# **On the Price of Anarchy of Highly Congested Nonatomic Network Games**

Riccardo Colini-Baldeschi<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Cominetti<sup>2</sup>, and Marco Scarsini<sup>1( $\boxtimes$ )</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Economia e Finanza, LUISS, Viale Romania 32, 00197 Rome, Italy {rcolini,marco.scarsini}@luiss.it <sup>2</sup> Facultad de Ingenier´ıa y Ciencias, Universidad Adolfo Ib´a˜nez, Santiago, Chile

roberto.cominetti@uai.cl

Abstract. We consider nonatomic network games with one source and one destination. We examine the asymptotic behavior of the price of anarchy as the inflow increases. In accordance with some empirical observations, we show that, under suitable conditions, the price of anarchy is asymptotic to one. We show with some counterexamples that this is not always the case. The counterexamples occur in simple parallel graphs.

### **1 Introduction**

The study of network routing costs and their efficiency goes back to Pigou [\[23\]](#page-11-0) who, in the first edition of his book, introduces his famous two-road model. Wardrop [\[31](#page-11-1)] develops a model where many players (vehicles on the road) choose a road in order to minimize their cost (travel time) and the influence of each one of them, singularly taken, is negligible. He introduces a concept of equilibrium that has become the standard in the literature on nonatomic network games.

When travelers minimize their travel time without considering the negative externalities that their behavior has on other travelers, the collective outcome of the choices of all travelers is typically inefficient, i.e., it is worse than the outcome that a benevolent planner would have achieved. Various measures have been proposed to quantify this inefficiency. Among them the price of anarchy has been the most successful. Introduced by Koutsoupias and Papadimitriou [\[14](#page-10-0)] and given this name by Papadimitriou [\[21](#page-11-2)], it is the ratio of the worst social equilibrium cost and the optimum cost. The price of anarchy has been studied by several authors and interesting bounds for it have been found under some conditions on the cost functions.

Most of the existing results about the price of anarchy consider worst-case scenarios. They are not necessarily helpful in specific situations. In a nice recent paper O'Hare et al. [\[19\]](#page-10-1) show, both theoretically and with the aid of simulations, how the price of anarchy is affected by changes in the total inflow of players. They consider data for three cities and they write: *"In each city, it can be seen that there are broadly three identifiably distinct regions of behaviour: an initial region in which the Price of Anarchy is one; an intermediate region of fluctuations; and a final region of decay, which has a similar characteristic shape across all*

-c Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

M. Gairing and R. Savani (Eds.): SAGT 2016, LNCS 9928, pp. 117–128, 2016.

DOI: 10.1007/978-3-662-53354-3 10

*three networks. The similarities in this general behaviour across the three cities suggest that there may be common mechanisms that drive this variation."*

The core of the paper [\[19](#page-10-1)] is an analysis of the intermediate fluctuations. In our paper we will mainly look at the asymptotic behavior of the price of anarchy. We consider nonatomic congestion games with single source and single destination. We show that for a large class of cost functions the price of anarchy is, indeed, asymptotic to one, as the mass of players grows. Nevertheless, we find counterexamples where its lim sup is not 1 and it can even be infinite.

**Contribution.** The goal of this paper is twofold. On one hand we provide some positive results that show that under some conditions the price of anarchy of nonatomic network games is indeed asymptotic to one. On the other hand, we present counterexamples where the lim sup of the price of anarchy is not one.

We first show that, for any single-source, single-destination graph, the price of anarchy is asymptotic to one whenever the cost of at least one path is bounded. Then we focus on parallel graphs and we show that the price of anarchy is asymptotic to one for a large class of costs that we characterize in terms of regularly varying functions (see [\[3\]](#page-10-2) for properties of these functions). This class includes affine functions and cost functions that can be bounded by a pair of affine functions with the same slope.

Next, we present counterexamples where the behavior of the price of anarchy is periodic on a logarithmic scale, so that its lim sup is larger than one both as the mass of players grows unbounded and as it goes to zero. In another counterexample the lim sup of the price of anarchy is infinite. A further counterexample shows that the price of anarchy may not converge to one even for convex costs. An interesting point is that all the counterexamples concern a very simple parallel graph with just two edges, so that the bad behavior of the price of anarchy depends solely on the costs and not on the topology of the graph. This is in stark contrast with the results in [\[19](#page-10-1)], where the irregular behavior of the price of anarchy in the intermediate region of inflow heavily depends on the structure of the graph.

**Related Literature.** Wardrop's nonatomic model has been studied by Beckmann et al. [\[2](#page-9-0)] and many others. The formal foundation of games with a continuum of players came with Schmeidler [\[30\]](#page-11-3) and then with Mas Colell [\[16\]](#page-10-3). Nonatomic congestion games have been studied, among others, by Milchtaich [\[17](#page-10-4),[18\]](#page-10-5).

Various bounds for the price of anarchy in nonatomic games have been proved, under different conditions. In particular Roughgarden and Tardos [\[27](#page-11-4)] prove that, when the cost functions are affine, the price of anarchy in nonatomic games is at most 4/3, irrespective of the topology of the network. The bound is sharp and is attained even in very simple networks. Several authors have extended this bound to larger classes of functions. Roughgarden [\[25\]](#page-11-5) shows that if the class of cost functions includes the constants, then the worst price of anarchy is achieved on parallel networks with just two edges. In his paper he

considers bounds for the price of anarchy when the cost functions are polynomials of degree at most d. Dumrauf and Gairing  $[8]$  $[8]$  do the same when the degrees of the polynomials are between s and d. Roughgarden and Tardos [\[28\]](#page-11-6) provide a unifying result for the class of standard costs, i.e., costs  $c$  that are differentiable and such that  $xc(x)$  is convex. Correa et al. [\[5](#page-10-7)] consider the price of anarchy for networks where edges have a capacity and costs are not necessarily convex, differentiable, or even continuous. In [\[7](#page-10-8)] they reinterpret and extend these results using a geometric approach. In [\[6](#page-10-9)] they consider the problem of minimizing the maximum latency rather than the average latency and provide results about the price of anarchy in this framework. The reader is referred to [\[26](#page-11-7)[,29](#page-11-8)] for a survey.

Some papers show how in real life the price of anarchy may substantially differ from the worst-case scenario,  $[15,32]$  $[15,32]$  $[15,32]$ . González Vayá et al.  $[12]$  deal with a problem of optimal schedule for the electricity demand of a fleet of plug-in electric vehicles. Without using the term, they show that the price of anarchy goes to one as the number of vehicles grows. Cole and Tao [\[4](#page-10-12)] study large Walrasian auctions and large Fisher markets and show that in both cases the price of anarchy goes to one as the market size increases. Feldman et al. [\[10\]](#page-10-13) define a concept of  $(\lambda, \mu)$ -smoothness for sequences of games, and show that the price of anarchy in atomic congestion games converges to the price of anarchy of the corresponding nonatomic game, when the number of players grows. Patriksson [\[22](#page-11-10)] and Josefsson and Patriksson [\[13\]](#page-10-14) perform sensitivity analysis of Wardrop equilibrium to some parameters of the model. Closer to the scope of our paper, Englert et al. [\[9\]](#page-10-15) examine how the equilibrium of a congestion game changes when either the total mass of players is increased by  $\varepsilon$  or an edge that carries an  $\varepsilon$  fraction of the mass is removed. For polynomial cost functions they bound the increase of the equilibrium cost when a mass  $\varepsilon$  of players is added to the system. Other recent papers, such as [\[20](#page-10-16)[,24](#page-11-11)], have also raised questions about the practical validity of known results about the price of anarchy.

### **2 The Model**

Consider a finite directed multigraph  $\mathscr{G} = (V, E)$ , where V is a set of vertices and  $E$  is a set of edges. The graph  $G$  together with a source  $s$  and a destination t, with  $s, t \in V$ , is called a network. A path P is a set of consecutive edges that go from source to destination. Call  $\mathscr P$  the set of all paths. Each path P has a flow  $x_P \geq 0$  and call  $\mathbf{x} = (x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ . The total flow from source to destination is denoted by  $M \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . A flow  $x$  is *feasible* if  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} x_P = M$ . Call  $\mathcal{F}_M$  the set of feasible flows. For each edge  $e \in E$  there exists a cost function  $c_e(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , that is assumed (weakly) increasing and continuous. Call  $\mathbf{c} = (c_e)_{e \in E}$ . This defines a *nonatomic congestion game*  $\Gamma_M = (\mathcal{G}, M, c)$ . The number M can be seen as the mass of players who play the game.

The cost of a path  $P$  with respect to a flow  $x$  is the sum of the cost of its edges:  $c_P(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{e \in P} c_e(x_e)$ , where

$$
x_e = \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P}: \\ e \in P}} x_P.
$$

A flow  $x^*$  is an *equilibrium flow* if for every  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $x_P^* > 0$  we have  $c_P (\mathbf{x}^*) \leq c_O (\mathbf{x}^*)$ . Denote  $\mathscr{E}(\Gamma_M)$  the set of all such equilibrium flows.

For each flow *x* define the *social cost* associated to it as

$$
C(\boldsymbol{x}) := \sum_{P \in \mathscr{P}} x_P c_P(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{e \in E} x_e c_e(x_e),
$$

and let  $\text{Opt}(\Gamma_M) = \min_{\bm{x} \in \mathscr{F}_M} C(\bm{x})$  be the *optimum cost* of  $\Gamma_M$ . Define also the *worst equilibrium cost* of  $\Gamma_M$  as  $\mathsf{WEq}(\Gamma_M) = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma_M)} C(\mathbf{x})$ . Actually, in the present setting the cost  $C(\mathbf{x}^*)$  is the same for every equilibrium  $\mathbf{x}^*$  (see [\[11](#page-10-17)]).

The *price of anarchy* of the game  $\Gamma_M$  is then defined as

$$
\mathsf{PoA}(\varGamma_M) := \frac{\mathsf{WEq}(\varGamma_M)}{\mathsf{Opt}(\varGamma_M)}.
$$

We will be interested in the price of anarchy of this game, as  $M \to \infty$ . We will show that, under suitable conditions, it is asymptotic to one. We call *asymptotically well behaved* the congestion games for which this happens.

# **3 Well Behaved Congestion Games**

### **3.1 General Result**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>The following general result shows that for any network the price of anarchy is asymptotic to one when at least one path has a bounded cost.

**Theorem 1.** For each path  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  denote

$$
c_P^{\infty} = \sum_{e \in P} c_e^{\infty} \quad with \quad c_e^{\infty} = \lim_{z \to \infty} c_e(z)
$$

*and suppose that*  $B := \min_{P \in \mathcal{P}} c_P^{\infty}$  *is finite. Then,*  $\lim_{M \to \infty} \text{PoA}(\Gamma_M) = 1$ *. Proof.* Let  $x^*$  be an equilibrium for  $\Gamma_M$ . Then if  $x_P^* > 0$  we have

$$
c_P(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \min_{Q \in \mathscr{P}} c_Q(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \le \min_{Q \in \mathscr{P}} c_Q^{\infty} = B
$$

and therefore

$$
\mathsf{WEq}(T_M) = \sum_{P \in \mathscr{P}} x_P^* c_P(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \leq \sum_{P \in \mathscr{P}} x_P^* B = MB.
$$

It follows that

$$
\mathsf{PoA}(\varGamma_M) \leq \frac{MB}{\mathsf{Opt}(\varGamma_M)},
$$

so that it suffices to prove that  $\text{Opt}(\Gamma_M)/M \to B$ . To this end denote  $\Delta(\mathscr{P})$  the simplex defined by  $y = (y_P)_{P \in \mathscr{P}} \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{P \in \mathscr{P}} y_P = 1$ , so that

$$
\frac{1}{M}\text{Opt}(\Gamma_M) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{F}_M} \sum_{P \in \mathscr{P}} \frac{x_P}{M} c_P(\mathbf{x})
$$

$$
= \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \Delta(\mathscr{P})} \sum_{P \in \mathscr{P}} y_P c_P(M\mathbf{y}).
$$

Denote  $\Phi_M(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} y_P c_P(M\mathbf{y})$ . Since the cost functions  $c_e(\cdot)$  are nondecreasing, the family  $\overline{\Phi}_M(\cdot)$  monotonically increases with M towards the limit function

$$
\Phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{P \in \mathscr{P} : y_P > 0} y_P c_P^{\infty}.
$$

Now we use the fact that a monotonically increasing family of functions epi-converges (see [\[1\]](#page-9-1)) and since  $\Delta(\mathscr{P})$  is compact it follows that the minimum  $\min_{\mathbf{y}\in\Delta(\mathscr{P})}\Phi_M(\mathbf{y})$  converges as  $M\to\infty$  towards

$$
\min_{\boldsymbol{y}\in \varDelta(\mathscr{P})}\varPhi_\infty(\boldsymbol{y}).
$$

Clearly this latter optimal value is B and is attained by setting  $y_P > 0$  only on those paths P that attain the smallest value  $c_P^{\infty} = B$ , and therefore we conclude

$$
\frac{1}{M}\mathsf{Opt}(\varGamma_M)=\min_{y\in\Delta(\mathscr{P})}\varPhi_M(y)\to B,
$$

as was to be proved.

#### **3.2 Parallel Graphs**

In this section we examine the asymptotic behavior of the price of anarchy when the game is played on a parallel graph.

Let  $\mathscr{G} = (V, E)$  be a parallel graph such that  $V = \{s, t\}$  are the vertices and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$  are the edges. For each edge  $e_i \in E$  the function  $c_i(\cdot)$  represents the cost function of the edge  $e_i$ . Call  $\Gamma_M = (\mathscr{G}, M, c)$  the corresponding game. In the whole section we will deal with this graph.

**Adding a Constant to Costs.** First we prove a preservation result. We show that if the price of anarchy of a game converges to 1, then adding positive constants to each cost does not alter this asymptotic behavior.

**Theorem 2.** *Given a game*  $\Gamma_M = (\mathscr{G}, M, c)$  *and a vector*  $a \in [0, \infty)^n$ *, consider a* new game  $\Gamma_M^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathcal{G},M,\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{a}})$ , where

$$
c_i^a(x) = a_i + c_i(x).
$$

*If*  $c_i(\cdot)$  *is strictly increasing and continuous,*  $\lim_{x\to\infty} c_i(x) = \infty$  *for all*  $e_i \in E$ *,*  $\lim_{M\to\infty}$  PoA( $\Gamma_M$ ) = 1*, then*  $\lim_{M\to\infty}$  PoA( $\Gamma_M^{\mathbf{a}}$ ) = 1*.* 

#### **Regularly Varying Functions**

**Definition 3.** Let  $\beta \geq 0$ . A function  $\Theta$  :  $(0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  is called  $β$ -regularly varying *if for all*  $a > 0$ 

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\Theta(a \cdot x)}{\Theta(x)} = a^{\beta} \in (0, +\infty).
$$

*When*  $\beta = 1$ *, we just say that the function is regularly varying.* 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>The following theorem shows that asymptotically the price of anarchy goes to 1 for a large class of cost functions.

**Theorem 4.** *Consider the game*  $\Gamma_M$  *and suppose that for some*  $\beta > 0$  *there exists a β-regularly varying function*  $c(\cdot) \in C^1$  *such that the function*  $x \mapsto$  $c(x) + xc'(x)$  *is strictly increasing and for all*  $e_i \in E$  *the function*  $c_i(\cdot)$  *is strictly increasing and continuous with*

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{c^{-1} \circ c_i(x)}{x} = \alpha_i \in (0, +\infty]
$$
\n(1)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>*and that at least one*  $\alpha_i$  *is finite. Then* 

$$
\lim_{M\to\infty} \mathsf{PoA}(\varGamma_M) = 1.
$$

*Proof.* We begin by noting that if some cost  $c_i(\cdot)$  is bounded, then the result follows directly from Theorem [1.](#page-3-0) Suppose now that  $c_i(x) \to \infty$  when  $x \to \infty$ in all links and consider first the case where all the  $\alpha_i$  are finite. In this case the equilibrium flows  $x_i^*$  must diverge to  $\infty$  as  $M \to \infty$  and the equilibrium is characterized by  $c_i(x_i^*) = \lambda$ . This allows to derive an upper bound for the cost of the equilibrium. That is, [\(1\)](#page-5-0) implies that for small  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$
\frac{c^{-1} \circ c(x_i^*)}{x_i^*} = \frac{c^{-1}(\lambda)}{x_i^*} \in (\alpha_i - \varepsilon, \alpha_i + \varepsilon),
$$

provided M is large enough. It then follows that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c^{-1}(\lambda)}{\alpha_i + \varepsilon} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^* = M,
$$

so that, denoting

$$
a(\varepsilon) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\alpha_i + \varepsilon}\right)^{-1},
$$

we get  $\lambda \leq c(M a(\varepsilon))$  and

$$
\mathsf{WEq} = M\lambda \leq Mc(Ma(\varepsilon)).
$$

Next we derive a lower bound for the optimal cost

$$
\mathsf{Opt}(\Gamma_M)=\min_{x\in\mathscr{F}_M}\sum_{i=1}^n x_ic_i(x_i).
$$

We note that when  $M \to \infty$  the optimal solutions are such that  $x_i(M) \to \infty$  so that using [\(1\)](#page-5-0) and the fact that  $\alpha_i - \varepsilon > 0$  we get for all M large enough

$$
\min_{x \in \mathcal{F}_M} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i c_i(x_i) \ge \min_{x \in \mathcal{F}_M} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i c((\alpha_i - \varepsilon)x_i).
$$

The optimality condition for the latter yields

$$
c((\alpha_i - \varepsilon)x_i) + (\alpha_i - \varepsilon)x_i c'((\alpha_i - \varepsilon)x_i) = \mu.
$$

For the sake of brevity we denote  $\tilde{c}(x) = c(x) + xc'(x)$  and  $y_i = (\alpha_i - \varepsilon)x_i$  so that the optimality condition becomes  $\tilde{c}(y_i) = \mu$ . This yields  $y_i = \tilde{c}^{-1}(\mu)$  and therefore

$$
M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\tilde{c}^{-1}(\mu)}{\alpha_i - \varepsilon}.
$$

Denoting

$$
b(\varepsilon) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\alpha_i - \varepsilon}\right)^{-1},
$$

we then get  $\mu = \tilde{c}(Mb(\varepsilon))$  and we obtain the following lower bound for the optimal cost

$$
\operatorname{Opt}(\Gamma_M) \ge \min_{x \in \mathscr{F}_M} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i c((\alpha_i - \varepsilon)x_i) = Mc(\tilde{c}^{-1}(\mu)) = Mc(Mb(\varepsilon)).
$$

Combining the previous bounds we obtain the following estimate for the price of anarchy

$$
\mathsf{PoA}(\varGamma_M) \leq \frac{Mc(Ma(\varepsilon))}{Mc(Mb(\varepsilon))}.
$$

Letting  $M \to \infty$  and using the fact that c is  $\beta$ -regularly varying we deduce

$$
\limsup_{M \to \infty} \mathsf{PoA}(T_M) \le \left(\frac{a(\varepsilon)}{b(\varepsilon)}\right)^{\beta}
$$

and since  $a(\varepsilon)/b(\varepsilon) \to 1$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we conclude

$$
\limsup_{M \to \infty} \text{PoA}(T_M) = 1.
$$

If some  $\alpha_i = \infty$ , then call  $I_0 := \{i : \alpha_i < \infty\}$ . In equilibrium

$$
M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^{-1}(\lambda) \ge \sum_{i \in I_0} c_i^{-1}(\lambda) \ge \sum_{i \in I_0} \frac{1}{\alpha_i + \varepsilon} c^{-1}(\lambda),
$$

hence

$$
\lambda \leq c \left( M \left( \sum_{i \in I_0} \frac{1}{\alpha_i + \varepsilon} \right)^{-1} \right).
$$

In the optimum proceed as before with  $\alpha'_i \nearrow \alpha_i$ .

The following results follow easily from Theorem [4.](#page-5-1)

**Corollary 5.** *In the game*  $\Gamma_M$  *if for all*  $i \in E$  *we have*  $\lim_{x \to \infty} c_i(x)/x = m_i \in$  $(0, +∞]$  *and at least one*  $m_i < ∞$ , then

$$
\lim_{M\to\infty} \mathsf{PoA}(\varGamma_M) = 1.
$$

**Corollary 6.** *In the game*  $\Gamma_M$  *if for all*  $i \in E$  *we have*  $\lim_{x \to \infty} c'_i(x) = m_i$  *with*  $m_i \in (0, +\infty]$  *and at least one*  $m_i$  *is finite, then* 

$$
\lim_{M\to\infty} \mathsf{PoA}(I_M) = 1.
$$

**Corollary 7.** *In the game*  $\Gamma_M$  *if for all*  $i \in E$  *for some*  $\beta > 0$  *there exists a* <sup>β</sup>*-regularly varying function* <sup>c</sup>(·) *such that*

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{c_i(x)}{c(x)} = m_i \in (0, +\infty],
$$
\n(2)

and at least one  $m_i$  *is finite, then* 

$$
\lim_{M\to\infty} \mathsf{PoA}(T_M) = 1.
$$

**Corollary 8.** In the game  $\Gamma_M$  if, for all  $e_i \in E$ ,  $c_i(x) = a_i + b_i x$ , then

$$
\lim_{M\to\infty} \mathsf{PoA}(T_M) = 1.
$$

**Costs Bounded by Affine Functions.** The next theorem examines the case where each cost function is bounded above and below by two affine functions with the same slope.

**Theorem 9.** *Consider the game*  $\Gamma_M$  *and assume that for every*  $e_i \in E$ 

$$
\ell_i(x) := a_i + b_i x \leq c_i(x) \leq \alpha_i + b_i x =: L_i(x).
$$

*Then*

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{M\to\infty} \mathsf{PoA}(\varGamma_M) = 1.
$$

### **4 Ill Behaved Games**

In this section we will consider some examples where the price of anarchy is not asymptotic to one, as the inflow goes to infinity.

Consider a standard Pigou graph and assume that the costs are as follows:

$$
c_1(x) = x,
$$
  
\n $c_2(x) = a^k$  for  $x \in (a^{k-1}, a^k]$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , (3)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>with  $a \geq 2$ , as in Fig. [1.](#page-8-0) In this game the cost of one edge is the identity, whereas for the other edge it is a step function that touches the identity at intervals that grow exponentially. The cost function  $c_2$  is not continuous, but a very similar game can be constructed by approximating it with a continuous function.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 1.** Step function.

**Theorem 10.** *Consider the game*  $\Gamma_M$  *with costs as in* [\(3\)](#page-7-0)*. We have* 

$$
\liminf_{M\to\infty} {\rm PoA}(\varGamma_M) = 1, \quad \limsup_{M\to\infty} {\rm PoA}(\varGamma_M) = \frac{4+4a}{4+3a}.
$$

*Remark 11.* We can immediately see that

$$
\limsup_{M \to \infty} \text{PoA}(T_M) = \frac{6}{5} \quad \text{for } a = 2
$$

and

$$
\limsup_{M\to\infty} \mathsf{PoA}(T_M) \to \frac{4}{3} \quad \text{as } a\to\infty.
$$

The proof of Theorem [10](#page-7-1) shows that there is a periodic behavior of the price of anarchy (on a logarithmic scale). This implies that

$$
\liminf_{M\to 0} {\rm PoA}(\varGamma_M) = 1, \quad \limsup_{M\to 0} {\rm PoA}(\varGamma_M) = \frac{4+4a}{4+3a}.
$$

That is, even for very small values of M the price of anarchy is not necessarily close to 1.

Figure [2](#page-9-2) plots the price of anarchy for  $M \in [2a^k, 2a^{k+1}]$ , when  $a = 3$ .

The next theorem shows that the price of anarchy may fail to be asymptotic to one, even when the cost functions are all convex.

**Theorem 12.** *There exist congestion games*  $\Gamma_M$  *where the cost functions are all increasing and convex and both*

$$
\limsup_{M \to \infty} \text{PoA}(\Gamma_M) > 1 \quad and \quad \limsup_{M \to 0} \text{PoA}(\Gamma_M) > 1.
$$



**Fig. 2.** Price of anarchy for  $M \in [2a^k, 2a^{k+1}]$ , with  $a = 3, k = 1$ .

<span id="page-9-2"></span>The next theorem shows that the lim sup of the price of anarchy may even be infinite.

**Theorem 13.** *There exist congestion games*  $\Gamma_M$  *with*  $\limsup_{M \to \infty} \text{PoA}(\Gamma_M) = \infty$ *.*  $M\rightarrow\infty$ 

# **5 Conclusions**

The classical result of  $[27]$  $[27]$  can be restated as follows. Given a nontrivial singlecommodity network, for any fixed total flow  $M$ , there exists a vector  $\boldsymbol{c}$  of affine costs that depend on  $M$ , such that the price of anarchy of the corresponding game is  $4/3$ .

In this paper we have proved that, given a single-commodity network, for any vector  $\boldsymbol{c}$  of costs that is bounded on some path  $P$ , there exists a total flow M such that the price of anarchy of the corresponding game is arbitrarily close to 1. Similar results have been obtained under different conditions on the network and the costs. What is relevant is that in our model the order of the quantifiers is reversed with respect to the classical bounds of the price of anarchy, such as [\[27\]](#page-11-4).

**Acknowledgments.** Riccardo Colini-Baldeschi is a member of GNCS-INdAM. Roberto Cominetti gratefully acknowledges the support and hospitality of LUISS during a visit in which this research was initiated. His research is also supported by N´ucleo Milenio Información y Coordinación en Redes ICM/FIC P10-024F. Marco Scarsini is a member of GNAMPA-INdAM. His work is partially supported by PRIN and MOE2013- T2-1-158.

The authors thank three referees for their insightful comments.

# <span id="page-9-1"></span>**References**

- 1. Attouch, H.: Variational Convergence for Functions and Operators. Pitman, Boston (1984)
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>2. Beckmann, M.J., McGuire, C., Winsten, C.B.: Studies in the Economics of Transportation. Yale University Press, New Haven (1956)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>3. Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M., Teugels, J.L.: Regular Variation, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989)
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>4. Cole, R., Tao, Y.: The price of anarchy of large Walrasian auctions. Technical report, [arXiv:1508.07370v4](http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.07370v4) (2015). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.07370>
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>5. Correa, J.R., Schulz, A.S., Stier-Moses, N.E.: Selfish routing in capacitated networks. Math. Oper. Res. **29**(4), 961–976 (2004). [http://dx.doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/moor.1040.0098) [1287/moor.1040.0098](http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/moor.1040.0098)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>6. Correa, J.R., Schulz, A.S., Stier-Moses, N.E.: Fast, fair, and efficient flows in networks. Oper. Res. **55**(2), 215–225 (2007). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/opre.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/opre.1070.0383) [1070.0383](http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/opre.1070.0383)
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>7. Correa, J.R., Schulz, A.S., Stier-Moses, N.E.: A geometric approach to the price of anarchy in nonatomic congestion games. Games Econom. Behav. **64**(2), 457–469 (2008). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2008.01.001>
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>8. Dumrauf, D., Gairing, M.: Price of anarchy for polynomial Wardrop games. In: Spirakis, P.G., Mavronicolas, M., Kontogiannis, S.C. (eds.) WINE 2006. LNCS, vol. 4286, pp. 319–330. Springer, Heidelberg (2006). [http://dx.doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/11944874_29) [1007/11944874](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/11944874_29) 29
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>9. Englert, M., Franke, T., Olbrich, L.: Sensitivity of Wardrop equilibria. Theory Comput. Syst. **47**(1), 3–14 (2010). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00224-009-9196-4>
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>10. Feldman, M., Immorlica, N., Lucier, B., Roughgarden, T., Syrgkanis, V.: The price of anarchy in large games. Technical report, [arXiv:1503.04755](http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.04755) (2015)
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>11. Florian, M., Hearn, D.: Network equilibrium and pricing. In: Hall, R.W. (ed.) Handbook of Transportation Science, pp. 373–411. Springer, US, 978-0-306-48058- 4 (2003). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48058-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48058-1_11) 11
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>12. González Vayá, M., Grammatico, S., Andersson, G., Lygeros, J.: On the price of being selfish in large populations of plug-in electric vehicles. In: 2015 54th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), pp. 6542–6547 (2015)
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>13. Josefsson, M., Patriksson, M.: Sensitivity analysis of separable traffic equilibrium equilibria with application to bilevel optimization in network design. Transp. Res. Part B: Methodol. **41**(1), 4–31 (2007). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2005.12.004>
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>14. Koutsoupias, E., Papadimitriou, C.: Worst-case equilibria. In: Meinel, C., Tison, S. (eds.) STACS 1999. LNCS, vol. 1563, pp. 404–413. Springer, Heidelberg (1999). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-49116-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-49116-3_38)<sub>-</sub>38
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>15. Law, L.M., Huang, J., Liu, M.: Price of anarchy for congestion games in cognitive radio networks. IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun. **11**(10), 3778–3787 (2012)
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>16. Mas-Colell, A.: On a theorem of Schmeidler. J. Math. Econom. **13**(3), 201–206 (1984). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-4068\(84\)90029-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-4068(84)90029-6)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>17. Milchtaich, I.: Generic uniqueness of equilibrium in large crowding games. Math. Oper. Res. **25**(3), 349–364 (2000). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/moor.25.3.349.12220>
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>18. Milchtaich, I.: Social optimality and cooperation in nonatomic congestion games. J. Econom. Theory **114**(1), 56–87 (2004). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0531(03)00106-6) [-0531\(03\)00106-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0531(03)00106-6)
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>19. O'Hare, S.J., Connors, R.D., Watling, D.P.: Mechanisms that govern how the price of anarchy varies with travel demand. Transp. Res. Part B: Methodol. **84**, 55–80 (2016). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2015.12.005>
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>20. Panageas, I., Piliouras, G.: Approximating the geometry of dynamics in potential games. Technical report, [arXiv:1403.3885v5](http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.3885v5) (2015). [https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.3885) [3885](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.3885)
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>21. Papadimitriou, C.: Algorithms, games, and the Internet. In: Proceedings of the Thirty-Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 749–753. (2001). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/380752.380883>
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>22. Patriksson, M.: Sensitivity analysis of traffic equilibria. Transp. Sci. **38**(3), 258–281 (2004). <http://pubsonline.informs.org/doi/abs/10.1287/trsc.1030.0043>
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>23. Pigou, A.C.: The Economics of Welfare, 1st edn. Macmillan and Co., London (1920)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>24. Piliouras, G., Nikolova, E., Shamma, J.S.: Risk sensitivity of price of anarchy under uncertainty. In: Proceedings of the Fourteenth ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, EC 2013, pp. 715–732. ACM, New York (2013). [http://doi.acm.](http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2482540.2482578) [org/10.1145/2482540.2482578](http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2482540.2482578)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>25. Roughgarden, T.: The price of anarchy is independent of the network topology. J. Comput. System Sci. **67**(2), 341–364 (2003). [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0000(03)00044-8) [S0022-0000\(03\)00044-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0000(03)00044-8)
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>26. Roughgarden, T.: Routing games. In: Algorithmic Game Theory, pp. 461–486. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2007)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>27. Roughgarden, T., Tardos, E.: How bad is selfish routing? J. ACM ´ **49**(2), 236–259 (2002). (electronic) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/506147.506153>
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>28. Roughgarden, T., Tardos, E.: Bounding the inefficiency of equilibria in ´ nonatomic congestion games. Games Econom. Behav. **47**(2), 389–403 (2004). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2003.06.004>
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>29. Roughgarden, T., Tardos, E.: Introduction to the inefficiency of equilibria. In: ´ Algorithmic Game Theory, pp. 443–459. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2007)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>30. Schmeidler, D.: Equilibrium points of nonatomic games. J. Statist. Phys. **7**, 295– 300 (1973)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>31. Wardrop, J.G.: Some theoretical aspects of road traffic research. In: Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers, Pt. II, vol. 1, pp. 325–378 (1952).  $\frac{http://dx.}{http://dx.}$  $\frac{http://dx.}{http://dx.}$  $\frac{http://dx.}{http://dx.}$ [doi.org/10.1680/ipeds.1952.11362](http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/ipeds.1952.11362)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>32. Youn, H., Gastner, M.T., Jeong, H.: Price of anarchy in transportation networks: efficiency and optimality control. Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 128701 (2008). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.128701>