Finite and Infinite Dosing

Wing Man Lau and Keng Wooi Ng

Contents

W.M. Lau (\boxtimes)

School of Pharmacy, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 226, Reading RG6 6AP, UK e-mail[: w.lau@reading.ac.uk](mailto:w.lau@reading.ac.uk)

K.W. Ng (\boxtimes) School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Brighton, Huxley Building, Lewes Road, Brighton BN2 4GJ, UK e-mail[: K.Ng@brighton.ac.uk](mailto:K.Ng@brighton.ac.uk)

3.1 Introduction

The aim of permeation studies is to determine how much of a preparation should be applied to the skin surface in order to achieve the desired bioavailability. In practice, many topical preparations are applied to the skin as a finite dose, often in the form of a cream, gel or ointment. However, when examining the fundamental permeation behaviour of a substance or when investigating the effects of penetration enhancers on percutaneous absorption, infinite dosing is usually employed to maintain a constant rate of absorption of the test compound through the skin, that is, the so-called steady-state flux.

With infinite dosing, it is generally assumed that there is no change in permeant concentration within the formulation throughout the experiment. When using Franz diffusion cells, this corresponds to a constant permeant concentration (or, more accurately, no change in thermodynamic activity of the permeant) in the donor phase. In practice, this can be achieved by regularly replenishing the donor solution or, more usually, by the addition of a small excess of solid permeant to a saturated donor solution; assuming that dissolution of the solid is not rate-limiting, then as the permeant leaves the donor solution, it is replaced by molecules entering the solution from the solid excess (Megrab et al. [1995\)](#page-8-0). Alternatively, infinite dosing is commonly assumed by administering an amount of the

formulation large enough to preclude any 'significant' reduction in the test compound or any other component in the donor phase during the course of the experiment (Franz et al. [1993](#page-8-1)). In these cases, a 'significant reduction' usually occurs when the permeant concentration in the donor phase declines by more than 10% of the initial value (Kielhorn et al. [2006](#page-8-2)).

Under finite dose conditions, permeant concentration in the formulation changes during the experiment, due to penetration of the permeant into and permeation through the skin barrier, or due to evaporation. Generally, the experimental conditions should mimic as closely as possible the in vivo situation in order to provide suitable data. The amount and concentration of permeant formulation to be applied to the skin surface, as well as the duration and procedure of the protocols, depend on the study aims. For example, a small amount of permeant formulation may be applied in order to mimic in vivo application of an ointment. According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the application of $\leq 10 \mu l$ cm⁻² of a liquid or 1–5 mg cm−2 of a solid formulation should be used for finite dose studies (OECD [2004a](#page-9-0)). For infinite dose, amounts of >100 μ l cm⁻² or >10 mg cm⁻² are required.

3.2 Skin Absorption Kinetics

Kinetically, the absorption of a substance into or through the skin is regarded as a passive diffusion process. It is also assumed that the skin is a pseudohomogeneous membrane providing a single transport route. Thus, both infinite dose and finite dose experiments can be described by Fick's laws of diffusion.

Typically, the parameters describing the absorption of a substance through the skin barrier (such as the permeability coefficient and lag time) are obtained by evaluating the timedependency of its cumulative permeated amount. An important parameter is the flux, *J*, which is proportional to the concentration gradient according to Fick's first law of diffusion (Eq. [3.1\)](#page-1-0). *J* can be estimated from the cumulative amount of

permeant passing through a unit area of membrane at time *t* (Crank [1975](#page-7-0)):

$$
J = -D\frac{\delta c}{\delta x} \tag{3.1}
$$

where *J* is the flux of the permeant (mass per cm²), *D* is the diffusion coefficient and $\delta c/\delta x$ is the concentration gradient. To determine how diffusion affects the permeant concentration with time, Fick's second law of diffusion (Eq. [3.2\)](#page-1-1), which is derived from Fick's first law of diffusion and the differential mass balance, can be employed:

$$
\frac{\delta c}{\delta t} = D \frac{\delta^2 c}{\delta x^2} \tag{3.2}
$$

This equation assumes that the permeant does not bind, nor is it metabolised. It is also assumed that the diffusion coefficient does not vary with the position or composition of the permeant, and that the barrier properties of the skin remain constant over time (Crank [1975](#page-7-0)).

3.2.1 Permeation Kinetics

3.2.1.1 Infinite-Dose Permeation

A typical infinite dosing regimen will produce a permeation profile of cumulative amount permeated across a unit area of membrane versus time, as shown in Fig. [3.1.](#page-2-0) Initially, when a permeant is applied to the skin, molecules penetrate into and diffuse through the stratum corneum. Depending on the permeants' physical and chemical properties (e.g. lipophilicity, hydrogen bond acceptor or donor potential), it may penetrate and diffuse rapidly or may bind to stratum corneum components. A lag phase is seen where the amount of permeant in the receptor compartment increases exponentially due to binding and the increasing concentration of permeant in the stratum corneum. After a sufficient time (again dependent on the nature of the permeant, typically shorter for lipophilic non-bound molecules, longer for hydrophilic molecules), binding sites become fully occupied (or at steady-state equilibrium), and a steady-state concentration gradient of the permeant develops across the membrane. Under these conditions, the flux profile becomes essen-

tially constant, and the curve approaches a straight line. The linear portion of the graph represents the steady-state flux (J_{ss}) and can be determined by simple linear regression of the linear portion of the graph (Crank [1975](#page-7-0); Scheuplein and Blank [1971](#page-9-1)).

Typically, the steady-state flux is assessed from an in vitro experiment under infinite dose conditions, with 'perfect' sink conditions where the receptor compartment is at zero concentration throughout. J_{ss} can also be estimated by

$$
J_{\rm ss} = \frac{DC_0}{h} \tag{3.3}
$$

where C_0 is the concentration in the outermost first layer of the skin and *h* is the membrane thickness. Practically, it is very difficult to measure C_0 . However, the concentration of the permeant in the donor vehicle, *C*v, is easily obtainable or is usually known. Since C_0 and C_v are related to the partition coefficient between donor and membrane (K_m) , where

$$
C_0 = K_{\rm m} C_{\rm v} \tag{3.4}
$$

then substitution of Eq. [3.4](#page-2-1) into Eq. [3.3](#page-2-2) gives Eq. [3.5,](#page-2-3) which is the most widely applied mathematical model in examining skin permeation data:

$$
J_{\rm ss} = \frac{DK_{\rm m}C_{\rm v}}{h} \tag{3.5}
$$

The lag time can be obtained by extrapolating the steady-state (linear) portion of the permeation graph to the intercept on the horizontal axis. Crank [\(1975](#page-7-0)) showed that the lag time, *L*, can be determined mathematically by

$$
L = \frac{h^2}{6D} \tag{3.6}
$$

It has been estimated that the time required for most permeants to achieve steady-state flux is about 2.7 times the lag time (Barry [1983](#page-7-1)).

Permeant transport across the skin is sometimes described in terms of the permeability coefficient (K_p) , essentially a measure for the speed of transport across a membrane (often as cm/h):

$$
K_{\rm p} = \frac{K_{\rm m}D}{h} = \frac{J_{\rm ss}}{C_{\rm v}}
$$
 (3.7)

Typically, the steady-state flux J_{ss} and the permeability coefficient K_p are obtained from an in vitro infinite dose experiment. As described above, J_{ss} is obtained from the gradient of the linear portion of the permeation profile, and therefore, if the concentration of the permeant in the applied vehicle (C_v) is known, then K_p can be determined. K_p is often used to characterise the skin permeation of permeants, as calculations for other parameters such as D and K_m can be problematic as the membrane thickness (*h*), or the diffusional path length, is often unknown.

Estimates of steady-state flux and permeability coefficients should only be derived from data points beyond 2.7 times the lag time when (pseudo-) steady-state conditions are established (Kielhorn et al. [2006](#page-8-2)); using data before steady state is established leads to false estimates of permeability coefficients (Shah et al. [1994\)](#page-9-2). It has been recommended that infinite dose permeation experiments should last for at least 24 h (OECD [2004a](#page-9-0)). However, an increase in exposure time may alter the integrity of the skin barrier (Kleszczyński and Fischer [2012\)](#page-8-3). Typically, experiments are performed for 24–48 h (Boonen et al. [2012](#page-7-2); Karadzovska et al. [2012](#page-8-4); Fasano et al. [2012](#page-8-5); Brain et al. [2005;](#page-7-3) Walters et al. [1997\)](#page-9-3), although shorter durations have also been reported (Chen et al. [2011](#page-7-4)). It has been suggested that 72 h or even longer may be needed in some cases for an infinite dose to establish steady-state flux, especially for permanents that have very low fluxes or present difficulties for detection (Franz et al. [1993;](#page-8-1) Howes et al. [1996\)](#page-8-6).

Although Eqs. [3.5](#page-2-3), [3.6](#page-2-4) and [3.7](#page-2-5) are commonly used to evaluate infinite dose experiments, it is assumed that the skin membrane acts as a homogeneous membrane and that permeation through the stratum corneum is the rate-limiting factor for transdermal transport. This assumption is usually valid for most permeants, but for highly viscous vehicles, highly lipophilic or highly hydrophilic molecules, partitioning behaviour may become the limiting factor (Cross et al. [2001\)](#page-7-5). Also, the equations assume perfect sink conditions throughout the experiment in order to ensure drug permeation is not affected by solubility in the receptor phase. For in vitro studies, flowthrough diffusion cells maintain sink conditions by constant replenishment of the receptor fluid,

but this may lead to dilution of the penetrant below the detection limit. For static diffusion cells, it is commonly regarded that sink conditions are maintained when the receptor fluid does not contain more than 10% of the saturated concentration of the penetrant (Ng et al. [2010](#page-8-7); Skelly et al. [1987](#page-9-4)). The most widely used receptor fluid is isotonic buffered saline, pH 7.4. However, for highly lipophilic compounds, the solubility in the receptor fluid may become the rate-determining step in skin absorption and may have a significant effect on the total flux measured. When solubility is a concern, the receptor phase can include lipophilic solvents which do not affect the skin barrier or a solubilising agent (e.g. 50% ethanol, 6% polyethylene glycol, 20% oleyl ether or 5% bovine serum albumin) (Skelly et al. [1987;](#page-9-4) OECD [2004a](#page-9-0)).

In reality, even under infinite dose conditions, depletion of the donor phase, non-sink receptor conditions and deterioration of the skin can occur with time. These factors may result in inaccuracies in steady-state flux and lag time estimations (Moody [2000](#page-8-8)). Therefore, particular caution has to be exercised in experiments where prolonged incubation times are necessary.

3.2.1.2 Finite-Dose Permeation

In vitro percutaneous absorption studies often utilise an infinite dose regimen to define a permeant's properties, that is, steady-state flux, permeability coefficient and lag time. However, a major limitation of infinite dosing is its failure to mimic the application of topical drug formulations in common clinical situations, where a relatively small amount (i.e. a finite dose) of the formulation is used. Under these circumstances, steadystate permeation seldom occurs and, therefore, the permeability coefficient cannot be determined. Most in vivo experiments are based on finite dosing, although in some cases, infinite dose conditions may result when finite doses are applied repeatedly.

In contrast to the infinite-dose permeation profile, finite-dose application may result in a 'pseudo steady-state' condition, where the amount permeated may be transiently linear but then reaches a plateau, beyond which the amount

Fig. 3.3 Estimation of maximum flux (J_{max}) from a graph of amount penetrated per unit time (assuming constant permeation area) versus time. In this case, the vertical axis represents instantaneous flux. The time taken to reach maximum flux is referred to as T_{max}

permeated remains constant due to donor depletion (Fig. [3.2](#page-4-0)).

Alternatively, by plotting the amount penetrated between the time points (i.e. instantaneous flux) against time (Figs. 3.3 and 3.4), a peak is observed which corresponds to the maximum flux before appreciable donor depletion (Franz [1983](#page-8-9); Kasting [2001\)](#page-8-10). The maximum flux (J_{max})

and the time to maximum flux (T_{max}) are the most commonly reported parameters in finite dosing. These can be represented by (Scheuplein and Ross [1974;](#page-9-5) Crank [1975](#page-7-0); Kasting [2001](#page-8-10))

$$
J_{\text{max}} = \frac{1.85DC_0\delta}{h^2} \tag{3.8}
$$

$$
T_{\text{max}} = \frac{h^2 - \delta^2}{6D} \tag{3.9}
$$

Here, *D* is the apparent diffusion coefficient, C_0 is the concentration of the permeant in the first layer of the stratum corneum, *h* is the thickness of the stratum corneum and δ is the thickness of the finite dose layer on the skin surface. For a finite dose, since δ is considerably smaller in comparison with h , δ can be neglected, leading to

$$
T_{\text{max}} = \frac{h^2}{6D} \tag{3.10}
$$

Thus, it is possible to estimate the apparent diffusion coefficient (*D*), if the values of J_{max} and T_{max} are known. In addition to this mathematical model, J_{max} and T_{max} are sometimes obtained graphically from experimental data (Figs. [3.2](#page-4-0) and [3.3](#page-4-1)) (van de Sandt et al. [2004](#page-9-6); Wilkinson et al. [2006](#page-9-7)).

Due to the nature of finite dosing, experimental problems can ensue, since applying a small

Fig. 3.4 Exemplar data showing instantaneous flux of finite doses of loperamide and propylene glycol (PG) through human skin over time (Data are from Trottet et al.

([2004\)](#page-9-9). Figure copyright (2012) reprinted with permission from Elsevier)

finite dose formulation evenly across a membrane in vitro can be difficult. Since the bioavailability of drugs applied to the skin in finite doses depends on the amount of drug applied per unit area (Wester and Maibach [1976;](#page-9-8) Franz et al. [1993](#page-8-1)), a homogenous drug distribution over the whole donor area is needed to minimise variation within and between experiments.

Applying semisolid formulations homogenously poses more complications than liquid dosing. Semisolids are usually applied manually, using a mechanical device such as a glass rod (Hadgraft et al. [2003;](#page-8-11) Franz et al. [1993;](#page-8-1) Brain et al. [1995](#page-7-6); Gupta et al. [1999\)](#page-8-12) or spatula (Dreher et al. [2002](#page-8-13); Trottet et al. [2004;](#page-9-9) Youenang Piemi et al. [1998](#page-9-10)) to help distribute the formulation evenly on the skin surface. In this case, it is important that the application device is also analysed in order to determine the actual dose applied to the skin. This is commonly done by extraction (Gupta et al. [1999](#page-8-12)) of the remaining drug from the application device, or by weight difference (Walters et al. [1997;](#page-9-3) Brain et al. [1995;](#page-7-6) Vallet et al. [2007](#page-9-11)). Sometimes, the permeant is dissolved in a volatile medium to allow easy application and homogenous distribution (Kasting and Miller [2006;](#page-8-14) Southwell and Barry [1984](#page-9-12)). The volatile solvent evaporates, leaving a thin film of the drug on the skin surface. However, the volatile solvent may extract some lipids from the stratum corneum, affecting the integrity of the skin membrane. Another method used for uniform dose distribution is by massaging the formulation onto the skin surface, but this mechanical stress may influence the absorption rate in some cases (Amidouche et al. [1994](#page-7-7); Lademann et al. [2007](#page-8-15), [2009\)](#page-8-16). To date, a very limited number of publications have investigated drug formulation distribution over the incubation area (Lademann et al. [2008\)](#page-8-17); homogenous distribution is generally assumed in many reports.

The degree of occlusion of diffusion cells during experiments can affect skin hydration and, consequently, drug permeation (Akhter and Barry [1985](#page-7-8); Zhai and Maibach [2001](#page-9-13); Sarpotdar and Zatz [1986](#page-9-14)). Some researchers recommend that the skin be exposed to ambient conditions to simulate the in-use conditions of topically applied formulations (Wilkinson and Williams [2002;](#page-9-15) Grégoire et al. [2009\)](#page-8-18). However, evaporation of

the vehicle and/or permeant can occur in nonoccluded experiments which can impact significantly permeant absorption (Frasch et al. [2011\)](#page-8-19). Moreover, the skin may become dry, and its barrier function can thus be affected (Selzer et al. [2013](#page-9-16)). Occlusion is also used during finite dosing to avoid drying of the skin surface due to application of small amounts of formulation, or to prevent evaporation, which could lead to a change in permeant concentration (van de Sandt et al. [2004;](#page-9-6) Koyama et al. [1994\)](#page-8-20). However, care is required to avoid damage to skin integrity caused by excessive stratum corneum hydration (Zhai and Maibach [2002\)](#page-9-17).

For finite dose studies, exposure times usually reflect 'in-use' scenarios to allow quantification of permeant absorption over a realistic period of time that relates to potential human exposure (OECD [2004a](#page-9-0)). Exposure times of up to 48 h are commonly used (Hadgraft et al. [2003](#page-8-11); Gupta et al. [1999;](#page-8-12) Walters et al. [1998](#page-9-18)), but may be shorter to mimic exposure to rinse-off products (Brain et al. [1995](#page-7-6), [2005;](#page-7-3) Okuda et al. [2011](#page-9-19)), or in studies on occupational exposure to hazardous materials (Howes et al. [1996;](#page-8-6) Moody et al. [2007\)](#page-8-21). In such cases, data collection commonly continues for at least 24 h. On the other hand, if the drug formulation is for a once-a-week product, for example, the experiment design should mimic the application exposure period and thus should be performed over 7 days (Williams [2003\)](#page-9-20).

Due to the limited amount of test substance used in finite dose studies, it is important that a mass balance is performed on completion of the experiment to ensure the total amount of applied permeant could be recovered. The OECD guidelines state that the mean recovery of the permeant and metabolites should be in the range of $100\pm10\%$, and reason must be sought if this is not met (OECD [2004a,](#page-9-0) [b\)](#page-9-21). However, under certain circumstances, the test of a volatile substance that had to be trapped in a filter, recovery can be broadened to $100\pm20\%$ (OECD [2004a;](#page-9-0) European Commission [2006\)](#page-8-22). The mass balance should include permeant remaining in the donor phase, that within the skin and all equipment in contact with the test substance (e.g. the donor and receptor chambers of the diffusion cell). In some cases, the recommended total recovery may not be achieved, for example, due to the limits of analytical detection or difficulties with simple extraction methods. Degradation of the permeant could also aggravate the accuracy of total recovery. For example, skin esterase has been shown to degrade permeants in situ (Lau et al. [2010](#page-8-23), [2012\)](#page-8-24). Chemical degradation could also have a significant impact on permeant stability (Kubota et al. [1995;](#page-8-25) Müller et al. [2003](#page-8-26)). The degradation products are likely to have lower permeation rates than the test compound. Thus, failure to detect degradation products using suitable analytical methods may confound experimental results.

3.2.2 Penetration Kinetics

3.2.2.1 Infinite-Dose Penetration

Although skin permeation data allow the transport parameters across skin to be determined, constructing a drug concentration/depth profile can be valuable. The tape-stripping technique is most commonly used to assess the change in permeant concentration throughout the stratum corneum (Lau et al. [2010](#page-8-23); Thomas and Heard [2007;](#page-9-22) Weerheim and Ponec [2001;](#page-9-23) Pellett et al. [1997;](#page-9-24) Mohammed et al. [2012;](#page-8-27) Stinchcomb et al. [1999;](#page-9-25) Dreher et al. [1998\)](#page-7-9). This is a method, whereby the stratum corneum is progressively removed by adhesive tape and the drug within each tape is then extracted and determined to calculate the diffusivity and solubility of the drug within the stratum corneum.

Under infinite dosing, it is possible to predict the concentration of the penetrant $(c_{(x, t)})$ as a function of position and time within the stratum corneum, using the appropriate solution of Fick's second law of diffusion (Anissimov et al. [2012;](#page-7-10) Moser et al. [2001;](#page-8-28) Selzer et al. [2013\)](#page-9-16):

$$
c_{(x,t)} = KC_0 \left[\left(1 - \frac{x}{h} \right) - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{h} \right) \exp \left(\frac{-Dn^2 \pi^2 t}{h^2} \right) \right]
$$
(3.11)

In Eq. [3.11](#page-6-0), *K* is the partition coefficient between the stratum corneum and the formulation vehicle, \bar{x} is the vertical position within the stratum corneum (where $0 \le x \le h$), *t* is the time at which the permeant concentration is to be determined and *D*/*h*² gives the characteristic diffusion parameter. If the concentration of the permeant in the stratum corneum is obtained experimentally, the experimental concentration profiles can be fitted into Eq. [3.11](#page-6-0) to determine K and D/h^2 (Pirot et al. [1997](#page-9-26)). Again, it is assumed that the skin is a homogenous membrane and that the permeant transports across the stratum corneum by passive

diffusion. In addition, the formulation should not alter the membrane integrity or act as a carrier for the test permeant. It is also assumed that the experiment is maintained under sink conditions, and the stratum corneum is the rate-limiting barrier.

3.2.2.2 Finite-Dose Penetration

Similarly, with finite dosing, it is possible to predict permeant concentration at a given position inside the stratum corneum and at a given time, using Eq. [3.12](#page-7-11) (Kasting [2001](#page-8-10); Selzer et al. [2013\)](#page-9-16):

$$
c_{(x,t)} = 2KC_{v0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta \cos(\alpha_n x/h) - \alpha_n \sin(\alpha_n x/h)}{\beta + \beta^2 + \alpha_n^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_n^2 Dt}{h^2}\right)
$$
(3.12)

where C_{v0} is the initial concentration of the permeant in the donor compartment, $\beta = K(h/h_v)$, h_v being the theoretical height of the volume of donor formulation and *αn* is related to *β* such that *α*_{*n*}⋅tan *α*_{*n*}=*β*. However, very few studies have made use of this mathematical model.

Conclusion

Finite and infinite dose regimens have different applications in transdermal delivery. Each approach presents its own advantages and challenges. Mathematical models allow us to predict, characterise and compare the skin absorption kinetics relating to finite or infinite dosing. In this respect, they are an invaluable tool for transdermal delivery. However, in applying these models, it is important to appreciate the underlying assumptions and limitations of the models.

References

- Akhter SA, Barry BW (1985) Absorption through human skin of ibuprofen and flurbiprofen; effect of dose variation, deposited drug films, occlusion and the penetration enhancer N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone. J Pharm Pharmacol 37:27–37
- Amidouche D, Montassier P, Poelman M-C, Duchene D (1994) Evaluation by laser Doppler velocimetry of the

attenuation of tretinoin induced skin irritation by \hat{I}^2 cyclodextrin complexation. Int J Pharm 111:111–116

- Anissimov YG, Jepps OG, Dancik Y, Roberts MS (2012) Mathematical and pharmacokinetic modelling of epidermal and dermal transport processes. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 65(2):169–190
- Barry B (1983) Dermatological formulations: percutaneous absorption. Marcel Dekker, New York
- Boonen J, Malysheva SV, Taevernier L, Diana di Mavungu J, De Saeger S, De Spiegeleer B (2012) Human skin penetration of selected model mycotoxins. Toxicology 301:21–32
- Brain KR, Walters KA, James VJ, Dressler WE, Howes D, Kelling CK, Moloney SJ, Gettings SD (1995) Percutaneous penetration of dimethylnitrosamine through human skin in vitro: application from cosmetic vehicles. Food Chem Toxicol 33:315–322
- Brain KR, Walters KA, Green DM, Brain S, Loretz LJ, Sharma RK, Dressler WE (2005) Percutaneous penetration of diethanolamine through human skin in vitro: application from cosmetic vehicles. Food Chem Toxicol 43:681–690
- Chen M, Liu X, Fahr A (2011) Skin penetration and deposition of carboxyfluorescein and temoporfin from different lipid vesicular systems: in vitro study with finite and infinite dosage application. Int J Pharm 408:223–234
- Crank J (1975) The mathematics of diffusion. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Cross SE, Jiang R, Benson HAE, Roberts MS (2001) Can increasing the viscosity of formulations be used to reduce the human skin penetration of the sunscreen oxybenzone? J Invest Dermatol 117:147–150
- Dreher F, Arens A, Hostynek JJ, Mudumba S, Ademola J, Maibach HI (1998) Colorimetric method for quantifying human Stratum corneum removed by adhesivetape stripping. Acta Derm Venereol 78:186–189

 \overline{a}

- Dreher F, Fouchard F, Patouillet C, Andrian M, Simonnet JT, Benech-Kieffer F (2002) Comparison of cutaneous bioavailability of cosmetic preparations containing caffeine or alpha-tocopherol applied on human skin models or human skin ex vivo at finite doses. Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol 15(Suppl 1):40–58
- European Commission (2006) Basic criteria for the in vitro assessment of dermal absorption of cosmetic ingredients, SCCP/0970/06. Health & Consumer Protection Directorate – General. http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_ risk/committees/04_sccp/docs/sccp_s_03.pdf
- Fasano WJ, Ten Berge WF, Banton MI, Heneweer M, Moore NP (2012) Dermal penetration of propylene glycols: Measured absorption across human abdominal skin in vitro and comparison with a QSAR model. Toxicol In Vitro 25:1664–1670
- Franz TJ (1983) Kinetics of cutaneous drug penetration. Int J Dermatol 22:499–505
- Franz TJ, Lehman PA, Franz SF, North-Root H, Demetrulias JL, Kelling CK, Moloney SJ, Gettings SD (1993) Percutaneous penetration of N-nitrosodiethanolamine through human skin (in vitro): comparison of finite and infinite dose applications from cosmetic vehicles. Fundam Appl Toxicol 21:213–221
- Frasch HF, Dotson GS, Barbero AM (2011) In vitro human epidermal penetration of 1-bromopropane. J Toxicol Environ Health A 74:1249–1260
- Grégoire S, Ribaud C, Benech F, Meunier JR, Garrigues-Mazert A, Guy RH (2009) Prediction of chemical absorption into and through the skin from cosmetic and dermatological formulations. Br J Dermatol 160:80–91
- Gupta VK, Zatz JL, Rerek M (1999) Percutaneous absorption of sunscreens through micro-yucatan pig skin in vitro. Pharm Res 16:1602–1607
- Hadgraft J, Whitefield M, Rosher PH (2003) Skin penetration of topical formulations of ibuprofen 5%: an in vitro comparative study. Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol 16:137–142
- Howes D, Guy RH, Hadgraft J, Heylings J, Hoeck U, Kemper F, Maibach HI, Marty J-P, Merk H, Parra J, Rekkas D, Rondelli I, Schaefer H, Tauber U, Verbiese N (1996) Methods for assessing percutaneous absorption, ECVAM Workshop Report 13. ATLA 24:81–106
- Karadzovska D, Brooks JD, Riviere JE (2012) Experimental factors affecting in vitro absorption of six model compounds across porcine skin. Toxicol In Vitro 26:1191–1198
- Kasting GB (2001) Kinetics of finite dose absorption through skin 1. Vanillylnonanamide. J Pharm Sci 90: 202–212
- Kasting GB, Miller MA (2006) Kinetics of finite dose absorption through skin 2: volatile compounds. J Pharm Sci 95:268–280
- Kielhorn J, Melching-Kollmu B, Mangelsdorf I (2006). Environmental Health Criteria 235 dermal absorption. World Health Organization, Geneva European Commission
- Kleszczyński K, Fischer T (2012) Development of a shortterm human full-thickness skin organ culture model

in vitro under serum-free conditions. Arch Dermatol Res 304:579–587

- Koyama Y, Bando H, Yamashita F, Takakura Y, Sezaki H, Hashida M (1994) Comparative analysis of percutaneous absorption enhancement by d-limonene and oleic acid based on a skin diffusion model. Pharm Res 11:377–383
- Kubota K, Ademola J, Maibach HI (1995) Simultaneous diffusion and metabolism of betamethasone 17-valerate in the living skin equivalent. J Pharm Sci 84:1478–1481
- Lademann J, Richter H, Teichmann A, Otberg N, Blume-Peytavi U, Luengo J, Weiãÿ B, Schaefer UF, Lehr C-M, Wepf R, Sterry W (2007) Nanoparticles – an efficient carrier for drug delivery into the hair follicles. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 66:159–164
- Lademann J, Richter H, Golz K, Zastrow L, Sterry W, Patzelt A (2008) Influence of microparticles on the homogeneity of distribution of topically applied substances. Skin Pharmacol Physiol 21:274–282
- Lademann J, Patzelt A, Richter H, Antoniou C, Sterry W, Knorr F (2009) Determination of the cuticula thickness of human and porcine hairs and their potential influence on the penetration of nanoparticles into the hair follicles. J Biomed Opt 14:021014
- Lau W, White A, Heard C (2010) Topical delivery of a naproxen-dithranol co-drug: in vitro skin penetration, permeation, and staining. Pharm Res 27:2734–2742
- Lau WM, Ng KW, Sakenyte K, Heard CM (2012) Distribution of esterase activity in porcine ear skin, and the effects of freezing and heat separation. Int J Pharm 433:10–15
- Megrab NA, Williams AC, Barry BW (1995) Oestradiol permeation through human skin and silastic membrane: effects of propylene glycol and supersaturation. J Control Release 36:277–294
- Mohammed D, Yang Q, Guy RH, Matts PJ, Hadgraft J, Lane ME (2012) Comparison of gravimetric and spectroscopic approaches to quantify stratum corneum removed by tape-stripping. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 82:171–174
- Moody RP (2000) Automated In Vitro Dermal Absorption (AIVDA): predicting skin permeation of atrazine with finite and infinite (swimming/bathing) exposure models. Toxicol In Vitro 14:467–474
- Moody RP, Akram M, Dickson E, Chu I (2007) In vitro dermal absorption of methyl salicylate, ethyl parathion, and malathion: first responder safety. J Toxicol Environ Health A 70:985–999
- Moser K, Kriwet K, Naik A, Kalia YN, Guy RH (2001) Passive skin penetration enhancement and its quantification in vitro. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 52:103–112
- Müller B, Kasper M, Surber C, Imanidis G (2003) Permeation, metabolism and site of action concentration of nicotinic acid derivatives in human skin: correlation with topical pharmacological effect. Eur J Pharm Sci 20:181–195
- Ng SF, Rouse JJ, Sanderson FD, Meidan V, Eccleston GM (2010) Validation of a static Franz diffusion cell system for in vitro permeation studies. AAPS PharmSciTech 11:1432–1441
- OECD (2004a) Guidance document for the conduct of skin absorption studies, no. 28. OECD, Paris
- OECD (2004b) OECD guideline for the testing of chemicals 428, skin absorption: in vitro method. OECD, Paris
- Okuda M, Donahue DA, Kaufman LE, Avalos J, Simion FA, Story DC, Sakaguchi H, Fautz R, Fuchs A (2011) Negligible penetration of incidental amounts of alphahydroxy acid from rinse-off personal care products in human skin using an in vitro static diffusion cell model. Toxicol In Vitro 25:2041–2047
- Pellett MA, Roberts MS, Hadgraft J(1997) Supersaturated solutions evaluated with an in vitro stratum corneum tape stripping technique. Int J Pharm 151:91–98
- Pirot F, Kalia YN, Stinchcomb AL, Keating G, Bunge A, Guy RH (1997) Characterization of the permeability barrier of human skin in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci 94:1562–1567
- Sarpotdar PP, Zatz JL (1986) Evaluation of penetration enhancement of lidocaine by nonionic surfactants through hairless mouse skin in vitro. J Pharm Sci 75:176–181
- Scheuplein RJ, Blank IH (1971) Permeability of the skin. Physiol Rev 51:702–747
- Scheuplein RJ, Ross LW (1974) Mechanism of percutaneous absorption. V. Percutaneous absorption of solvent deposited solids. J Invest Dermatol 62:353–360
- Selzer D, Abdel-Mottaleb MMA, Hahn T, Schaefer UF, Neumann D (2013) Finite and infinite dosing: difficulties in measurements, evaluations and predictions. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 65(2):278–294
- Shah JC, Kaka I, Tenjarla S, Lau SWJ, Chow D (1994) Analysis of percutaneous permeation data: II. Evaluation of the lag time method. Int J Pharm 109:283–290
- Skelly JP, Shah VP, Maibach HI, Guy RH, Wester RC, Flynn G, Yacobi A (1987) FDA and AAPS report of the workshop on principles and practices of in vitro percutaneous penetration studies: relevance to bioavailability and bioequivalence. Pharm Res 4: 256–257
- Southwell D, Barry BW (1984) Penetration enhancement in human skin; effect of 2-pyrrolidone, dimethylformamide and increased hydration on finite dose permeation of aspirin and caffeine. Int J Pharm 22:291–298
- Stinchcomb AL, Pirot F, Touraille GD, Bunge AL, Guy RH (1999) Chemical uptake into human stratum corneum in vivo from volatile and non-volatile solvents. Pharm Res 16:1288–1293
- Thomas CP, Heard CM (2007) Probing the skin permeation of eicosapentaenoic acid and ketoprofen 2. Comparative depth profiling and metabolism of eicosapentaenoic acid. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 67:156–165
- Trottet L, Merly C, Mirza M, Hadgraft J, Davis AF (2004) Effect of finite doses of propylene glycol on

enhancement of in vitro percutaneous permeation of loperamide hydrochloride. Int J Pharm 274:213–219

- Vallet V, Cruz C, Josse D, Bazire A, Lallement G, Boudry I (2007) In vitro percutaneous penetration of organophosphorus compounds using full-thickness and splitthickness pig and human skin. Toxicol In Vitro 21:1182–1190
- van de Sandt JJM, van Burgsteden JA, Cage S, Carmichael PL, Dick I, Kenyon S, Korinth G, Larese F, Limasset JC, Maas WJM, Montomoli L, Nielsen JB, Payan JP, Robinson E, Sartorelli P, Schaller KH, Wilkinson SC, Williams FM (2004) In vitro predictions of skin absorption of caffeine, testosterone, and benzoic acid: a multicentre comparison study. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 39:271–281
- Walters KA, Brain KR, Howes D, James VJ, Kraus AL, Teetsel NM, Toulon M, Watkinson AC, Gettings SD (1997) Percutaneous penetration of octyl salicylate from representative sunscreen formulations through human skin in vitro. Food Chem Toxicol 35:1219–1225
- Walters KA, Watkinson AC, Brain KR (1998) In vitro skin permeation evaluation: the only realistic option. Int J Cosmet Sci 20:307–316
- Weerheim A, Ponec M (2001) Determination of stratum corneum lipid profile by tape stripping in combination with high-performance thin-layer chromatography. Arch Dermatol Res 293:191–199
- Wester RC, Maibach HI (1976) Relationship of topical dose and percutaneous absorption in rhesus monkey and man. J Invest Dermatol 67:518–520
- Wilkinson S, Williams F (2002) Effects of experimental conditions on absorption of glycol ethers through human skin in vitro. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 75:519–527
- Wilkinson S, Maas W, Nielsen J, Greaves L, van de Sandt J, Williams F (2006) Interactions of skin thickness and physicochemical properties of test compounds in percutaneous penetration studies. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 79:405–413
- Williams A (2003) Transdermal and topical drug delivery from theory to clinical practice. Pharmaceutical Press, London
- Youenang Piemi MP, de Luca M, Grossiord J-L, Seiller M, Marty J-P (1998) Transdermal delivery of glucose through hairless rat skin in vitro: effect of multiple and simple emulsions. Int J Pharm 171:207–215
- Zhai H, Maibach HI (2001) Effects of skin occlusion on percutaneous absorption: an overview. Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol 14:1–10
- Zhai H, Maibach HI (2002) Occlusion vs. skin barrier function. Skin Res Technol 8:1–6