# **Chapter 12 2-Methyltetrahydrofuran: Main Properties, Production Processes, and Application in Extraction of Natural Products**

**Anne-Gaëlle Sicaire, Maryline Abert Vian, Aurore Filly, Ying Li, Antoine Bily, and Farid Chemat**

**Abstract** 2-Methyltetrahydrofuran (MeTHF) is a solvent produced from renewable raw materials by the hydrogenation of products obtained from carbohydrate fractions of hemicellulose from various feedstocks. MeTHF has the advantages to be biodegradable and has a promising environmental footprint, good preliminary toxicology assessments, and an easy recycling. An experimental study was conducted with MeTHF, in comparison to n-hexane, for the extraction of carotenoids and aromas. In parallel to this experimental study, a HSP (Hansen solubility parameters) theoretical study has been realized for the evaluation and the understanding of the interactions between the solvent and different compounds such as triglycerides contained in canola oil, carotenoids, and aromas. The results of these studies show that MeTHF appears to be a potential alternative solvent to n-hexane for the extraction of various products.

## **12.1 Introduction**

Extraction processes appear to take a very large part in industrial processes and produce not only by-products but also waste solvent or wastewater to recycle or eliminate. Extraction solvents generally are organic volatile compounds produced from nonrenewable resources, such as petroleum, and may be harmful for human health and environment. For example, one of the extraction solvents most commonly

A. Bily

A.-G. Sicaire • M. Abert Vian ( $\boxtimes$ ) • A. Filly • Y. Li • F. Chemat

Green Extraction Team, Université d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse, INRA, UMR 408, F-84000 Avignon, France

e-mail: [maryline.vian@univ-avignon.fr;](mailto:maryline.vian@univ-avignon.fr) [aurore.filly@alumni.univ-avignon.fr;](mailto:aurore.filly@alumni.univ-avignon.fr) [Ying.li@univ](mailto:Ying.li@univ-avignon.fr)[avignon.fr;](mailto:Ying.li@univ-avignon.fr) [Farid.chemat@univ-avignon.fr](mailto:Farid.chemat@univ-avignon.fr)

R&D Director, Nutrition & Health, Naturex, F-84000 Avignon, France e-mail: [a.bily@naturex.com](mailto:a.bily@naturex.com)

F. Chemat and M. Abert Vian (eds.), *Alternative Solvents for Natural Products Extraction*, Green Chemistry and Sustainable Technology, DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-43628-8\_\_12, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>Fig. 12.1 MeTHF structure



<span id="page-1-1"></span>

Biodegradable	Renewable – biomass derived
Noncarcinogenic	Noncorrosive
High solvency power for resins, polymers, and dyes	Stable to acids and bases
Quite low boiling point	Easy to recycle
Low vapor pressure	Not a hazardous air pollutant
Low VOC	Not an ozone-depleting chemical

**Table 12.1** MeTHF major benefits

*VOC* volatile organic compound

used industrially is n-hexane, a fraction of petroleum. It has the advantage to be quite easy to obtain and to have chemical properties that provide ideal functionalities in terms of solubility for various products such as vegetable oils. Moreover, it is very easy to recycle considering its very low miscibility with water. Nevertheless n-hexane is produced from fossil energies and has recently been classified as CMR 3 which means that it is suspected to be reprotoxic [\[1\]](#page-14-0).

Biomass-derived chemicals appear to be in accordance with several of the 12 principles of green chemistry described by Anastas and Warner [\[2\]](#page-14-1) such as the third principle concerning the reduction of hazardous chemical syntheses, the fourth concerning the use of safer chemicals, the tenth concerning the degradation, or the seventh suggesting the use of renewable feedstock. These principles give suggestions for the design of greener products and processes.

In fact, 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (MeTHF) represented in Fig. [12.1](#page-1-0) is a solvent produced from renewable raw materials as its reactants can be obtained from biomass by the hydrogenation of products obtained from carbohydrate fractions of hemicellulose from various feedstocks [\[3–](#page-14-2)[5\]](#page-14-3). It is biodegradable, has a promising environmental footprint and good preliminary toxicology [\[6\]](#page-14-4) assessments, and is easy to recycle. Considering all the advantages of this solvent, summarized in Table [12.1,](#page-1-1) several applications of MeTHF can be found in the literature especially as green solvent in organic chemistry [\[3,](#page-14-2) [7\]](#page-14-5), but it can also be considered as an interesting solvent for the extraction of bioactive components from natural sources [\[8,](#page-14-6) [9\]](#page-14-7).

### **12.2 MeTHF Properties**

MeTHF represented in Fig. [12.1](#page-1-0) (CAS No. 96-47-9, IUPAC name 2-methyltetrahydrofuran), with molecular formula  $C_5H_{10}O$ , is a clear liquid that is derived from renewable resources as corncobs or sugarcane bagasse. MeTHF is biodegradable,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

nontoxic, and non-ozone depleting; indeed, it is still not approved yet by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to be used for food contact. General properties of MeTHF are presented in Table [12.2.](#page-2-0) All the presented properties [\[3,](#page-14-2) [7,](#page-14-5) [10\]](#page-14-8) make MeTHF as a suitable compound for several applications especially in the extraction field.

#### **12.3 Production Processes**

### *12.3.1 Raw Materials*

Building blocks for the synthesis of MeTHF are issued of carbohydrates derived from lignocelluloses' biomass, which represent the largest terrestrial biomass resources. Although MeTHF can be produced thanks to catalytic processes from furfural or levulinic acid. Both compounds are obtained from the implementation of the concept of biorefinery with the retreatment of by-products such as corncobs or sugarcane bagass generated by agricultural industry. Furfural, a heterocyclic aldehyde with molecular formula  $C_5H_4O_2$ , is a versatile compound in the fragrance industry. It is a colorless oily liquid with an almond smell. Lignocellulose material can lead to furan molecules by dehydration reactions of carbohydrates from biomass origin. Furfural can be isolated from polysaccharide hemicellulose, polymers of C5 sugars, contained in many plant materials. The pentosan contained in hemicellulose is hydrolyzed in pentose carbohydrates which are dehydrated to furfural in acid conditions using sulfuric or phosphoric acid as catalysts [\[11\]](#page-14-9). Levulinic acid is a keto acid with molecular formula  $C_5H_8O_3$ . It appears as a white crystalline solid, and it is a highly versatile compound with several applications like in resins or plasticizers industry but also as precursor for pharmaceuticals [\[12\]](#page-14-10). Levulinic acid can be produced by acid hydrolysis at high temperature of carbohydrates [\[13\]](#page-14-11), which are C6 sugars such as glucose, galactose, or sucrose, isolated from wood-based feedstock.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

## *12.3.2 Synthesis*

MeTHF can be produced from furfural or levulinic acid as shown in Fig. [12.2.](#page-3-0)

# *12.3.3 Synthesis from Furfural*

MeTHF results from successive hydrogenations, as shown in Fig. [12.3,](#page-4-0) of furfural (and reactions intermediates) over Ni-Cu, Fe-Cu, Cu-Zn, or Cu-Cr alloy catalysts as



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 12.3** Production of MeTHF from furfural

reported in the literature [\[14–](#page-14-12)[18\]](#page-15-0). First, two successive hydrogenations of furfural on the Cu-Zn catalyst allow nearly a complete conversion of the compound to furfuryl alcohol directly converted to 2-methylfuran in a range of temperature of  $200-300$  °C with a yield higher than 95  $\%$  [\[5\]](#page-14-3).

MeTHF results in the conversion of 2-methylfuran at lower temperature (100  $^{\circ}$ C) over Ni-based catalyst with a yield around 86 %. Increasing the temperature would decrease the quantity by conversion in 2-pentanone. The choice of the catalyst also has a great importance in the conversion yield. In fact, MeTHF is the main product of the hydrogenation of furfural depending on the catalyst and on reaction conditions [\[5\]](#page-14-3).

#### *12.3.4 Synthesis from Levulinic Acid*

The synthesis of MeTHF from levulinic acid consists in consecutive catalyzed hydrogenations and dehydrogenations as shown in Fig. [12.4.](#page-5-0) The catalyzed hydrogenation of the keto group of levulinic acid leads to a hydroxyl acid that results in  $\gamma$ -valerolactone. Further hydrogenation of the keto bond of  $\gamma$ -valerolactone allows the formation of the cyclic hemiacetal in equilibrium with the aliphatic hydroxyl aldehyde. The hydrogenation of the last carbonyl group leads to 1,4-pentanediol that is etherified in MeTHF by dehydration in acid conditions.

The reactions are conducted with a ruthenium catalyst complex with tridentate phosphine ligands and acidic ionic additives in conditions described by Geilen et al. [\[4\]](#page-14-13).

#### *12.3.5 Recovery of MeTHF*

MeTHF can be recovered by conventional distillation, thanks to the solubility behavior of MeTHF/water mixtures and the formation of a favorable azeotrope between the two compounds.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 12.4** Production of MeTHF from levulinic acid

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

Solubility of water in MeTHF		Solubility of MeTHF in water		
Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	$wt\%$ water	Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	$wt\%$ water	
0.0	4.0	0.0	21.0	
9.5	4.1	9.5	17.8	
19.3	4.1	19.3	14.4	
29.5	4.2	29.5	11.4	
39.6	4.3	39.6	9.2	
50.1	4.4	50.1	7.8	
60.7	4.6	60.7	6.6	
70.6	5.0	70.6	6.0	

**Table 12.3** Solubility of water in MeTHF and MeTHF in water

As can be seen in Table [12.3,](#page-5-1) the solubility of water in MeTHF varies very slightly between 0 to 70  $^{\circ}$ C, whereas the solubility of MeTHF in water decreases a lot as the temperature is increased. Considering these properties, MeTHF/water mixtures need to be separated at least at  $60^{\circ}$ C in order to minimize the amount of MeTHF in water [\[10\]](#page-14-8). The azeotrope formed between MeTHF and water contains 10.6 % water and so 89.4 % MeTHF. Though MeTHF can be recovered at atmospheric pressure in batch or continuous distillation processes at 60  $^{\circ}$ C, as shown in Fig. [12.5,](#page-6-0) considering the recycling of a mixture containing 100 parts MeTHF and 100 parts water [\[3,](#page-14-2) [7,](#page-14-5) [10\]](#page-14-8).



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 12.5** Recovery of MeTHF at 60 $\degree$ C

#### **12.4 Applications**

MeTHF has many applications as solvent for organic synthesis [\[3,](#page-14-2) [7,](#page-14-5) [10\]](#page-14-8), but considering its various properties it looks very promising as alternative to commonly used petroleum solvent for extraction of natural products [\[8,](#page-14-6) [9\]](#page-14-7). A literature search did not yield any reference to earlier reports on using MeTHF as solvent for the extraction of natural products, although using MeTHF for the extraction of carotenoids or aromas that usually imply solvents as n-hexane can be considered.

## *12.4.1 Extraction of Carotenoids*

Solvents issued from petroleum are the one currently used for the extraction of carotenoids. A study was conducted to evaluate the potential of MeTHF compared to n-hexane, the solvent currently used by industrials.

A kinetic study was conducted during 3 h with n-hexane and MeTHF. Figure [12.6](#page-7-0) gives the yield of total carotenoids extracted for 1 g of dry carrots.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 12.6** Kinetic of total carotenoids extracted from carrots (**○** MeTHF, ▲ n-hexane)

As can be seen from Fig. [12.6,](#page-7-0) the extraction is realized in two steps. A first solvent-exchange surface interaction takes place for a short time frame. Thus, starting accessibility  $\delta Xs$  (in mg carotenoids/g dry material) corresponding to a "washing" step reveals the amount of carotenoids obtained in a very short time frame (t near 0) through the convection of solvent interacting with the exchange surface. Afterward, the main part of the extraction is controlled through various penetration processes of the solvent within the carrot particles (capillarity, molecular diffusivity, etc.). The driving force of the global operation is the gradient of concentration and the model can be similar to Fick's law with an effective diffusivity  $D_{\text{eff}}$  (m<sup>2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup>) as the process coefficient [\[19\]](#page-15-1).

According to Fick's first law [\[19\]](#page-15-1)

$$
\frac{\rho_{\rm s}}{\rho_{\rm d}}\left(\vec{v}_{\rm s}-\vec{v}_{\rm d}\right)=-D_{\rm eff}\vec{\nabla}\left(\frac{\rho_{\rm s}}{\rho_{\rm d}}\right)
$$

It can be assumed the absence of expansion or shrinkage of the solid particles which are not moving, i.e.,  $=0$  and  $=$ constant.

$$
\rho_{\rm s} \vec{v}_{\rm s} = -D_{\rm eff} \vec{\nabla} \rho_{\rm s}
$$

Crank's solution for a sphere

$$
\frac{X_{\infty} - X}{X_{\infty} - X_{t_0}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{6}{i^2 \pi^2} \exp\left(-\frac{i^2 \pi^2 D_{\text{eff}}}{r_d^2} (t - t_0)\right)
$$

$$
\frac{X_{\infty} - X}{X_{\infty} - X_{t_0}} = A \exp(-k (t - t_0))
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 12.4** Starting accessibility and effective diffusivity for the extraction of carotenoids from carrots with n-hexane and MeTHF

Solvent	$\delta Xs$ (mg/g DM)	$D_{\rm eff}$ (.10 <sup>10</sup> m <sup>2</sup> /s)
n-Hexane	0.220	0.009
MeTHF	0.269	0.013

$$
\operatorname{Ln}\left(\frac{X_{\infty} - X}{X_{\infty} - X_{t_0}}\right) = -k(t - t_0)
$$

$$
D_{\text{eff}} = k \frac{r_d^2}{\pi^2}
$$

Starting accessibility: value obtained by extrapolating diffusion model to  $t = 0$ :  $X_0 \neq (X_i = 0)$ 

$$
X_0 - X_i = X_0 = \delta X_s
$$

with

- $\delta X_s$ : starting accessibility (g of extract/g of dry material)
- $D_{\text{eff}}$ : effective diffusivity  $(m^2 \text{ s}^{-1})$
- $\rho_s$ : apparent density of the solute within the solid matrix (kg m<sup>-3</sup>)
- $\rho_d$ : apparent density of the solid dry material (kg m<sup>-3</sup>)
- $v_s$ : velocity of the solute  $(m s^{-1})$
- $v_d$ : velocity of the solid dry material  $(m s^{-1})$
- $X_{\infty}$ : amount of solute within the matrix  $(mg \cdot g^{-1}$  dry material)
- $d_p$ : radius
- $\overline{X}$  : amount of solute extracted at time t (mg · g<sup>-1</sup> dry material)
- $k:$  transfer coefficient  $(m \cdot s^{-1})$

Starting accessibility and diffusivity were calculated using previous equations. Starting accessibility is determined by extrapolating the value for  $t = 30$  min at  $t = 0$ . Calculated values are listed in Table [12.4.](#page-8-0) Starting accessibility appears to be higher for MeTHF than for n-hexane, and  $D_{\text{eff}}$  is 1.5 times higher with MeTHF than with n-hexane. This means that the washing step with MeTHF permits to solvate a higher amount of carotenoids at the surface of the matter than n-hexane does which suggests that there is a part of the solute extracted almost instantly that comes from the layers of cells the most exposed to the solvent. The same trend is observed for the effective diffusivity  $D_{\text{eff}}$  where the value for n-hexane is  $0.009 \times 10 - 10$  m<sup>2</sup>/s and  $0.013 \times 10 - 10$  m<sup>2</sup>/s for MeTHF. This means that the extraction is faster with MeTHF than with n-hexane. This is probably due to the difference of boiling point (69 °C vs 80 °C); a higher temperature increases the extraction, even if a higher temperature can increase the risk of a degradation of the extract. The carotenoid content in dry carrots was determined by HPLC analysis. After 6 h extraction MeTHF permits to extract 419  $\mu$  g/g dry matter, whereas n-hexane permits to extract 338  $\mu$ g/g dry matter which represents 23 % more carotenes extracted with MeTHF compared to n-hexane.

#### *12.4.2 Extraction of Aromas*

n-Hexane has been used as an extraction solvent for aromas of buds black currant. Recent regulation have banned numerous common organic solvents, as n-hexane, that have been recognized as hazardous to human health and environment. It is urgently to replace n-hexane by alternative solvents that minimize health and environmental risk. MeTHF can be considered as a good solvent from a (HSE) Health Safety Environment point of view which makes it a good candidate for the replacement of organic solvents. Performance trials using MeTHF and n-hexane were conducted in bud black currant.

The quality of oils extracted by these solvents was evaluated. Compounds were identified using GC-MS, while the content of separated components was measured by GC-FID (Table [12.5\)](#page-10-0). A total of 30 major compounds (in agreement with the literature [\[20,](#page-15-2) [21\]](#page-15-3)) were identified in n-hexane extract. The results reported in Table [12.5](#page-10-0) show that the relative proportions of these compounds are similar for both solvents.

Extracts obtained with n-hexane and MeTHF, respectively, contain 47.73 and 42.88 % of non-oxygenated compounds, while the amounts of oxygenated compounds, respectively, were 25.26 and 17.06 %. The principal volatile compounds were  $\delta$ -3-carene (21.45 % with n-hexane and 17.55 % with MeTHF) and terpinolene (11.34 % with n-hexane and 8.16 % with MeTHF), followed by other important compounds as sabinene,  $\beta$ -caryophyllene, caryophyllene oxide, p-cymen-8-ol, trans  $\beta$ -ocimene,  $\beta$ -phellandrene,  $\beta$ -myrcene,  $\alpha$ -humulene, cis  $\beta$ -ocimene, spathulenol, humulene epoxide, limonene, terpinolene epoxide, and 3-caren-5-one. Some of these main compounds are represented in Fig. [12.7.](#page-11-0) Besides, the higher extraction yield (7.10 %) obtained with MeTHF compared to n-hexane (3.87 %) is probably due to the fact that MeTHF allows the extraction of other compounds as amino acids, flavonoids, and phospholipids.

# *12.4.3 Comprehension of Solubility of Primary and Secondary Metabolites of Various Natural Products by Using Hansen Theoretical Prediction*

Hansen solubility parameters (HSP) of solvents and solutes have been studied using HSP theoretical prediction [\[22\]](#page-15-4). HSP provides a convenient and efficient way to characterize solute/solvent interactions according to the general "like

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Compounds	RI	n-hexane $(\% )$	MeTHF $(\% )$
$\alpha$ -Thujene <sup>a</sup>	929	0.25	0.28
$\alpha$ -Pinene <sup>a</sup>	938	0.93	0.79
Camphene <sup>a</sup>	945		
Sabinene <sup>a</sup>	968	4.93	3.88
$\beta$ -Pinene <sup>a</sup>	973	0.85	0.75
$\beta$ -Myrcene <sup>a</sup>	983	1.86	1.46
2-Carene <sup>a</sup>	1,001	0.31	0.27
Alpha phellandrene <sup>a</sup>	998	0.17	0.15
$\delta$ -3-Carene <sup>a</sup>	1,002	21.45	17.55
$\alpha$ -Terpinene <sup>a</sup>	1,009	0.81	0.69
p-Cymene <sup>a</sup>	1,013	0.82	0.56
$\beta$ -Phellandrene <sup>a</sup>	1,022	2.06	1.57
Limonene <sup>a</sup>	1,025	1.41	1.07
$trans\beta$ -ocimene <sup>a</sup>	1,026	2.79	2.24
$cis\beta$ -ocimene <sup>a</sup>	1,037	1.69	1.35
$\gamma$ -Terpinene <sup>a</sup>	1,040	0.25	0.29
4-Terpinyl acetate	1,030		
cis sabinene hydrate <sup>a</sup>	1,068	0.35	0.22
α-p-Dimethylstyrene <sup>a</sup>	1,071	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
<b>Terpinolene</b> <sup>a</sup>	1,080	11.34	8.16
trans sabinene hydrate <sup>a</sup>	1,097	0.33	0.23
<b>Terpinolene epoxide</b>		1.22	0.46
cis verbenol	1,110	$\overline{a}$	
Dehydrolinalool <sup>a</sup>	1,124	-	-
<i>trans</i> sabinol <sup>a</sup>	1,130	$\overline{a}$	
Pinocarvone	1,135		
p-Cymen-8-ol <sup>a</sup>	1,163	2.85	1.73
Terpin-4-ol <sup>a</sup>	1,173		
$\alpha$ -Terpineol <sup>a</sup> (p-menth-1-en-8-ol)	1,180	$\overline{ }$	
Eucarvone	1,240	-	
Bornyl acetate <sup>a</sup>	1,270	0.36	0.35
3-Caren-5-one		1.13	0.69
$\alpha$ -Terpinyl acetate <sup>a</sup>	1,340	0.32	0.35
Sesquiterpenes			
$\beta$ -Elemene <sup>a</sup>	1,380	0.22	
$\alpha$ -Humulene <sup>a</sup>	1,452	1.82	2.32
$\alpha$ -Muurolene <sup>a</sup>	1,477	$\overline{ }$	
Germacrene D <sup>a</sup>	1,480	0.82	1.29
$\gamma$ -Cadinene <sup>a</sup>	1,513	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
δ-Cadinene <sup>a</sup>	1,524	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
<b>Spathulenol</b> <sup>a</sup>	1,576	1.61	1.14
Caryophyllene oxide <sup>a</sup>	1,562	4.16	2.69
Aromadendrene oxide (1)	1,595	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
Humulene epoxide $\mathbf{H}^{\text{a}}$	1,600	1.59	1.04
Hardwickic acid		5.1	11.06

**Table 12.5** Major compounds extracted with n-hexane and MeTHF

(continued)



#### **Table 12.5** (continued)

*RI* retention indices

The percentage correspond to percent of total peak area (%)

<sup>a</sup>Aromas already known in this matrix



p-cymen-8-ol

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 12.7** Principal volatile compounds in bud black currant

dissolves like" rule. HSP has been found to be superior for more applications to acknowledged Hildebrand parameter, which the fundamental total cohesive energy density is partitioned by atomic dispersion forces  $(\delta_d^2)$ , molecular polar forces arising from dipole moments  $(\delta_p^2)$ , and hydrogen bonds (exchange of electrons, proton donor/acceptor) between moleculars  $(\delta_h^2)$ , as given in Eq. [\(12.1\)](#page-11-1):

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\delta_{\text{total}}^2 = \delta_d^2 + \delta_p^2 + \delta_h^2 \tag{12.1}
$$

	Compounds	$\delta_{\rm d}$ (MPa $^{1/2})$	$\delta_{\rm p}$ (MPa <sup>1/2</sup> )	$\delta_{\rm h}$ (MPa <sup>1/2</sup> )	$\delta_{\text{total}}$ (MPa <sup>1/2</sup> )
Solvent	n-Hexane	15	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	15
	MeTHF	16.8	4.8	4.6	17.7
Carotenoids in carrots	β-Carotene	17.4	0.8	1.7	17.5
	Lutein	17.8	1.3	4.5	18.4
	Zeaxanthin	17.8	1.4	4.8	18.5
Main aroma compounds in black currant	Terpinolene	17.2	1.9	4.3	17.8
	$\delta$ -3-Carene	16.4	1.1	2.2	16.6
	$\beta$ -Caryophyllene	16.8	0.7	2.2	16.6
	Sabinene	16.5	1.6	2.1	16.7
	trans $\beta$ -ocimene	16.4	1.5	2.6	16.7
Possible triglycerides in rapeseed oil	Rapeseed oil <sup>1</sup>	16.4	4.7	4.2	17.6
	Rapeseed oil <sup>2</sup>	16.6	$\overline{4}$	4.1	17.5
	Rapeseed $\text{oil}^3$	16.6	4.1	3.6	17.5
	Rapeseed oil <sup>4</sup>	16.5	4.2	4.6	17.6
	Rapeseed oil <sup>5</sup>	16.4	4	4.5	17.5

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Table 12.6** Hansen solubility parameters of various solvents and extracts

<sup>1</sup>Triglyceride (R<sub>1</sub> C18:1n9, R<sub>2</sub> C18:2n6, R<sub>3</sub> C18:3n3)<br>
<sup>2</sup>Triglyceride (R<sub>1</sub> C18:1n9, R<sub>2</sub> C18:1n9, R<sub>3</sub> C18:2n6)<br>
<sup>3</sup>Triglyceride (R<sub>1</sub> C18:1n9, R<sub>2</sub> C18:2n6, R<sub>3</sub> C18:2n6)<br>
<sup>4</sup>Triglyceride (R<sub>1</sub> C18:1n9, R<sub>2</sub> C18:1

where  $\delta$  total is the Hansen total solubility parameter, which now consists of its three partitioned HSP in terms of dispersion  $(\delta_d)$ , polar  $(\delta_p)$ , and hydrogen-bonding  $(\delta_h)$ force, respectively.

In general, the more similar the two  $\delta_{\text{total}}$  are, the greater the affinity between solutes and solvents. The chemical structures of the solvents and solutes discussed in this article could be mutually transformed by JChemPaint ver. 3.3 to their Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry Syntax (SMILES) notations, which were subsequently used to calculate the solubility parameters of extracts and extractants. These solubility parameters were further modeled to a frequently used two-dimensional HSP graph for better visualization of the solute/solvent interaction due to insignificant differences between  $\delta_{d}$ s (HSPiP Version 4.1.03, Denmark).

Since MeTHF was firstly used as extractant for natural products, it is interesting to introduce methods for predicting its physiochemical properties and the solubility parameters of its extracts, most of which do not exist in HSP database. The useful prediction method proposed by Yamamoto was applied to calculate HSP of solutes and solvents only through their chemical structures due to its stability and high accuracy comparing to other HSP estimation methods. Yamamoto-Molecular Break (Y-MB) method breaks SMILES into corresponding functional groups and thus estimates various physicochemical properties. The theoretical physicochemical properties of extractants and the HSP of their main extracts were obtained by Y-MB calculation through their chemical structures, which were represented in Table [12.6.](#page-12-0)



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 12.8** General two-dimensional diagram of Hansen solubility parameters for all solutes  $(\blacklozenge$  possible triglycerides in rapeseed oils,  $\blacksquare$  aroma compounds in black currant, and  $\blacktriangle$  carotenoids in carrot) in solvents (• n-hexane and MeTHF)

MeTHF has nearly the same molecular weights ( $\approx 86.1$  g/mol) as that of n-hexane. Moreover, it has higher boiling (82.4  $\degree$ C) and flash point (-1.9  $\degree$ C) in comparison to n-hexane (69  $\degree$ C and –23.3  $\degree$ C, respectively), which signifies MeTHF is a less flammable and less hazardous azeotrope with water. MeTHF with higher HSP values is considered higher polarity than n-hexane. The major drawback of using MeTHF is its higher viscosity and density than n-hexane, which can induce lower global identification in the component analysis, as well as its relatively high boiling point which may lead to higher energy consumption in its recovery. In addition, MeTHF with higher dielectric constant (6.97) has also found to be stable in acids and base, which allows the extraction more efficient and stable. Besides, this agro-solvent from renewable resources also has low volatility, which improves safety and reduces solvent consumption and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions. Considering all these aspects, MeTHF could be theoretically considered a better alternative solvent to nhexane in various extractions.

The two-dimensional (2-D) graph of  $\delta_p$  versus  $\delta_h$  has usually been used as references for easy understanding of solubility in solvent extraction as the result of the insignificant  $\delta_d$ . Figure [12.8](#page-13-0) illustrated that all combination possibilities of triglycerides in rapeseed oils were distinguished by small variations depending on their constituent fatty acids. According to the "like extracts like" principle, the triglycerides of rapeseed oil may be the most possible solutes in MeTHF, while the main aroma compounds in black currant seemed more likely to dissolve in n-hexane. Regarding carotenoids in carrots, lutein and zeaxanthin were more soluble in MeTHF, whereas  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -carotene were closed to n-hexane. These predicted results were generally in accordance with experimental results even though MeTHF gave higher total extraction yield than n-hexane in all independent extraction of rapeseed oil, aroma compounds, and carotenoids, which have further proved MeTHF as the alternative solvent to n-hexane for the extraction of natural compounds from plants.

## **References**

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>1. Liu J, Huang L, Sun Y, Li YC, Zhu JL, Wang WX, Zhang WC (2013) N-hexane alters the maturation of oocytes and induces apoptosis in mice. Biomed Environ Sci 26(9):735–741
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>2. Anastas PT, Warner JC (1998) Green chemistry: theory and practice. Oxford University Press, Oxford/New York
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>3. Pace V, Hoyos P, Castoldi L, Domínguez deMaría P, Alcántara AR (2012) 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (2-MeTHF): a biomass-derived solvent with broad application in organic chemistry. ChemSusChem 5(8):1369–1379
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>4. Geilen FMA, Engendahl B, Harwardt A, Marquardt W, Klankermayer J, Leitner W (2010) Selective and flexible transformation of biomass-derived platform chemicals by a multifunctional catalytic system. Angew Chem Int Ed 49(32):5510–5514
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>5. Zheng H-Y, Zhu Y-L, Teng B-T, Bai Z-Q, Zhang C-H, Xiang H-W, Li Y-W (2006) Towards understanding the reaction pathway in vapour phase hydrogenation of furfural to 2-methylfuran. J Mol Catal Chem 246(1–2):18–23
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>6. Antonucci V, Coleman J, Ferry JB, Johnson N, Mathe M, Scott JP, Xu J (2011) Toxicological assessment of 2-methyltetrahydrofuran and cyclopentyl methyl ether in support of their use in pharmaceutical chemical process development. Org Process Res Dev 15(4):939–941
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>7. Aycock DF (2007) Solvent applications of 2-methyltetrahydrofuran in organometallic and biphasic reactions. Org Process Res Dev 11(1):156–159
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>8. vom Stein T, Grande PM, Kayser H, Sibilla F, Leitner W, Domínguez de María P (2011) From biomass to feedstock: one-step fractionation of lignocellulose components by the selective organic acid-catalyzed depolymerization of hemicellulose in a biphasic system. Green Chem 13(7):1772
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>9. Saunois A, Legrand J, Mercier E (2011) Extraction solide/liquide. WO 2011092334 A2
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>10. Penn Specialty Chemicals Inc. (2005) Methyltetrahydrofuran. Penn Specialty Chemicals Inc., Memphis
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>11. Adams R, Voorhees V (2003) Furfural. In: Organic syntheses. Wiley, New York: Hoboken, NJ
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>12. Ghorpade V, Hanna M (1997) Industrial applications for levulinic acid. In: Campbell GM, Webb C, McKee SL (eds) Cereals. Springer, New York, pp 49–55
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>13. Upare PP, Yoon J-W, Kim MY, Kang H-Y, Hwang DW, Hwang YK, Kung HH, Chang J-S (2013) Chemical conversion of biomass-derived hexose sugars to levulinic acid over sulfonic acid-functionalized graphene oxide catalysts. Green Chem 15(10):2935–2943
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>14. Zhu Y-L, Xiang H-W, Li Y-W, Jiao H, Wu G-S, Zhong B, Guo G-Q (2003) A new strategy for the efficient synthesis of 2-methylfuran and  $\gamma$ -butyrolactone. New J Chem 27(2):208–210
- 15. Yang J, Zheng H-Y, Zhu Y-L, Zhao G-W, Zhang C-H, Teng B-T, Xiang H-W, Li Y (2004) Effects of calcination temperature on performance of Cu–Zn–Al catalyst for synthesizing  $\gamma$ butyrolactone and 2-methylfuran through the coupling of dehydrogenation and hydrogenation. Catal Commun 5(9):505–510
- 16. Lukes RM, Wilson CL (1951) Reactions of furan compounds. XI. Side chain reactions of furfural and furfuryl alcohol over nickel-copper and iron-copper catalysts. J Am Chem Soc 73(10):4790–4794
- 17. Thomas HP, Wilson CL (1951) Reactions of furan compounds. XV. Behavior of tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol over iron-copper catalysts. J Am Chem Soc 73(10):4803–4805
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>18. Wilson CL (1948) Reactions of furan compounds. X. Catalytic reduction of methylfuran to 2-pentanone. J Am Chem Soc 70(4):1313–1315
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>19. Allaf T, Mounir S, Tomao V, Chemat F (2012) Instant controlled pressure drop combined to ultrasounds as innovative extraction process combination: fundamental aspects. Procedia Eng 42:1061–1078
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>20. Dvaranauskaitė A, Venskutonis PR, Raynaud C, Talou T, Viškelis P, Sasnauskas A (2009) Variations in the essential oil composition in buds of six blackcurrant (Ribes nigrum L.) cultivars at various development phases. Food Chem 114(2):671–679
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>21. Píry J, Príbela A, Ďurčanská J, Farkaš P (1995) Fractionation of volatiles from blackcurrant (Ribes nigrum L.) by different extractive methods. Food Chem 54(1):73–77
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>22. Hansen CM (2012) Hansen solubility parameters: a user's handbook, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton