# **Mobility Diagnostics**

# 11

# Barbara Flügge

#### Abstract

To capture the entire momentum of Smart Mobility a holistic, mobility targeted analysis is a key instrument for decision makers and influencers. These days, technical advancements offer analytical and methodological procedures for real-time calculation and a digitally enabled predictive discourse on mobility matters. An increasing number of data sources and data volumes emerge. The large field of mobility targeted analysis we refer to as Mobility Diagnostics. We have encountered already analytical streams in the context of traffic data driven analysis (so called Smart Traffic). In the area of service oriented analytics, Service Diagnostics is playing a key role.

# Contents

| 11.1 | Traffic Data Management                          | 171 |
|------|--|-----|
| 11.2 | Service Driven Analytics                         | 174 |
| 11.3 | Intersection Analysis and Relevant Action Items. | 174 |

# 11.1 Traffic Data Management

With respect to traffic data management the potential for Smart Mobility triggered analytics is huge. The rise of big data and real-time processing allows us to derive traffic

B. Flügge (ed.), *Smart Mobility – Connecting Everyone*,

B. Flügge (🖂)

SAP (Switzerland) Ltd., St. Gallen, Switzerland e-mail: b.fluegge@sap.com

<sup>©</sup> Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH 2017

management related benchmarks. The most relevant *Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)* and who they are serving are depicted in Table 11.1.

| ID         | Smart Traffic analytics detailing  | Service bundling with | Applicability especially for             |
|------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| <b>S</b> 1 | Traffic Monitoring   |                       | City, region                             |
| S2         | Traffic Abnormal Discovery   |                       | City, region                             |
| \$3        | Temporary Zoning Control<br>Plan for City Event  |                       | City, region                             |
| S4         | Entry Control Policies   | \$5, \$6              | City, region, district,<br>event, campus |
| S5         | Vehicle License Control<br>Policies  | S4, S6                | City, region, district,<br>event, campus |
| S6         | Congestion Charge Policies   | S4, S5                | City, region, district, event, campus    |
| S7         | Incident Prioritizing  |                       | City, region, district, event, campus    |
| S8         | Operations planning and<br>operational research for<br>emergency vehicles and<br>police cars                         |                       | City, region, district,<br>event, campus |
| S9         | Event triggered operations planning  |                       | City, region, district,<br>event, campus |
| S10        | Equipment information<br>browsing for police officer's<br>equipment  |                       | Public security personnel                |
| S12        | Driver Analysis  |                       | Fleet manager                            |
| S13        | Commuter Insights  |                       | City, region, district,<br>event, campus |
| S14        | City related traffic<br>management and traffic<br>bundles  | S8, S9, S10, S13      | City, region, district,<br>event, campus |
| B1         | Operations monitoring and alert system   |                       | City, region, district,<br>event, campus |
| B2         | Resource management<br>planning and imbalance<br>management for means of<br>transport dependent on traffic<br>volume |                       | Bus                                      |
| B3         | Bus planning simulation  |                       | Bus                                      |

 Table 11.1 Data diagnostics examples with relevance to Smart Traffic

| ID | Smart Traffic analytics detailing               | Service bundling with | Applicability especially for |
|----|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| B4 | Public transit planning for commuters           |                       | Bus                          |
| B5 | Bus service level analysis                      |                       | Bus                          |
| B6 | Bus ticketing analysis and pricing strategy Bus |                       | Bus                          |
| B7 | 7 Bus lane setting and what-if Bu analysis      |                       | Bus                          |
| B8 | Estimated time of arrival for buses             |                       | Bus                          |
| C1 | Taxi capacity analysis                          |                       | Taxi                         |
| C2 | Tariff planning and service pricing for taxis   |                       | Taxi                         |
| C3 | Taxi operation monitoring   B1   Taxi           |                       | Taxi                         |
| C4 | Taxi Dispatching and<br>Guidance                |                       | Taxi                         |
| C5 | Taxi station layout planning                    | B3                    | Taxi                         |
| C6 | Emergency Management for<br>Taxis               |                       | Taxi                         |
| C7 | Taxi driver productivity and business compass   | C3                    | Taxi                         |
| M1 | Metro passenger evacuation management           |                       | Metro                        |
| V1 | Visualization schemas                           |                       | All                          |

#### Table 11.1 (continued)

**Example for Smart Traffic Applications** The company SAP drives a number of projects in European and Asian cities with respect to Smart Traffic:

"As cities grow fast and face challenges in terms of resources and infrastructure, they need transparent, collaborative and innovative technology to help them prosper. [...] this technology shows how Big Data-driven insights based on real-time traffic conditions and predictive analytics can help cities run smarter. Smart traffic control shows how optimized traffic light controls and additional car lanes help avoid rush-hour traffic congestion. Congestion indexes and speed controls based on data from RFID, GPS, cameras and induction loop technologies provide pictures of real-time traffic issues and compare conditions with other cities and city sectors. Origin-destination analysis compares travel behaviors between city sectors, areas, streets and multimodal travel." [146]

One of the advantages of Smart Traffic is the identification, analysis, and visualization of personae dependent KPIs. Big data applications are applicable due to a high number of

access points and data volumes, and serve the segmentation of KPIs according to city district, means of transport, and time and date for example. Being faced with an infrastructure burden and high maintenance efforts, the analysis of digitally connected vehicles allows us to derive resolutions in infrastructure planning [147].

With respect to requirements that personae have, bus operators seek resolutions, for example when to plan for a new bus line and how to get bus riders on board for the change. Another issue to be resolved is knowing the number of travelers that will be affected on board and to estimate the profitability of a new bus line.

In the context of customer service, operators seek to predict the number of people that are waiting to board at subsequent stations and to what extent the transit operator should increase or decrease the frequency of its assets. One key area that benefits from Smart Traffic is the design of service level agreements for one operator and the coordination among multiple transport operators. On the advantages of digitization, a benefit is the digital capture of service level agreements and their compliance checks.

## 11.2 Service Driven Analytics

How service oriented KPIs are being distilled and evaluated is the subject of the following example. The example illustrates the scope of service analytics in the field of cycling. Where two-wheeled means of transport are augmented technically with sensors or tags, the earlier introduced Smart Traffic KPIs (see Table 5.3) can be applied to bikes, e-bikes, and scooters, too. In cases where two-wheel means of transport are not captured within the traffic flow analysis or where cycling related traffic situation analysis does not take place, the following considerations might be useful.

#### **Example: Service Diagnostics for Bikes**

Overall, a mobility driven analysis for a city or region reveals insights into the optimization potential for the cycling community: firstly concerning the utilization of the given infrastructure and secondly concerning the increase of traffic safety for cyclists. Performance indicators play a significant role for decision makers and layout planners. Likewsie, can service designers benefit from performance indicators in the form of gaining insights and hints on adapting existing and designing additional services? Table 11.2 compares both viewpoints.

### 11.3 Intersection Analysis and Relevant Action Items

What is the benefit of a holistic view of cargo movements and mobility consumption? The so-called intersection analysis aims to distill those conditions from any potential ones that harm cargo related traffic flow in a critical segment or corridor of the observed transport route network.

|   | 1 5 8   |
|---|---|
| Mobility services for cyclists                                    | Performance indicators for the bike                                 |
| Accident prevention analytics and measures                        | Average cost/damage per accident                                    |
| Accommodate bike lanes to usage pattern                           | Average cycling speed   |
| Accommodate bike lanes to usage pattern                           | Average trip duration   |
| Accommodate bike lanes to usage pattern                           | Average trip length per person                                      |
| Promote bike usage, provide incentives to cyclists                | Bicycle use in relation to total modes of mobility                  |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Bike lane percentage per district in relation to total street space |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Cycling kilometers per year   |
| Accident prevention analytics and measures                        | Frequency of accidents  |
| Improve navigation, speed and enhanced safety of cyclists         | GPS coverage for mobile navigation systems                          |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Length of bike lane network per city                                |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Level of stress (accessibility)                                     |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Number of bike racks  |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Number of bike racks on busses                                      |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Number of bikes per 1000 people                                     |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Number of intersections   |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands, Investment advice | Number of public bike share stations                                |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Number of public shared bikes                                       |
| Steering of traffic flows, improve safety of cyclists             | Peak times for cycling activity                                     |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands (designated areas) | Percentage of people cycling for fun                                |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Percentage of people cycling to work                                |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                    | Square feet of space per cyclist (level of service)                 |
|   |   |

 Table 11.2
 Mobility analysis of the traffic infrastructure with respect to cycling

# Table 11.2 (continued)

| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                                     | Waiting time in relation to time spent cycling                      |
|--|---|
| Insurance companies, risk and premium calculations                                 | Average cost/damage per accident                                    |
| Intelligent routes   | Average cycling speed   |
| Intelligent routes, city planning  | Average trip duration   |
| Intelligent routes, city planning  | Average trip length per person                                      |
| Planning of bike lanes   | Bicycle use in relation to total mobility modes                     |
| Planning of bike lanes, insurance companies  | Bike lane percentage per district in relation to total street space |
| Planning of bike lanes   | Cycling kilometers per year   |
| Insurance companies, risk and premium calculations                                 | Frequency of accidents  |
| Intelligent routes   | GPS coverage for mobile navigation systems                          |
| Planning of bike lanes, insurance companies  | Length of bike lane network per city                                |
| Insurance companies, risk and premium calculations, planning of bike lanes         | Level of stress (accessibility)                                     |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                                     | Number of bike racks  |
| Procurement of public transport provider   | Number of bike racks on busses                                      |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                                     | Number of bikes per 1000 people                                     |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                                     | Number of intersections   |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                                     | Number of public bike share stations                                |
| Demand analysis, planning of bike stations<br>(location, quantity of bikes)        | Number of public shared bikes                                       |
| City planning: traffic lights, intelligent routes                                  | Peak times for cycling activity                                     |
| Bike lanes outside the city in recreational areas                                  | Percentage of people cycling for fun                                |
| City planning: adapt infrastructure to demands                                     | Percentage of people cycling to work                                |
| Accident prevention analytics and measures   | Square feet of space per cyclist (level of service)                 |
| Accommodate bike lanes to usage pattern  | Waiting time at traffic lights                                      |
| City planning: intelligent traffic lights and routes for mobile navigation systems | Waiting time in relation to time spent cycling                      |

| Elements         | Detailing the key elements  |
|------------------|---|
| Addressees       | Regional and/or intersection related transport road network analysis for the cargo business   |
| Motives          | Being dependent on third party incidents that occur in the neighborhood<br>and lead to higher traffic volume and congestion in own municipality |
| Value Drivers    | Optimized traffic flow management   |
|                  | Reduce traffic load   |
|                  | Reduce air pollution and noise  |
|                  | Foster service provisioning of regional providers   |
| Owner            | Regional and municipal government   |
| Personae         | Hub operators such as ports and cargo terminals   |
|                  | Navigation providers  |
|                  | Providers of external infrastructure (surface, soil condition, bridges, streets, etc.)  |
|                  | Resource providers  |
|                  | Service providers for drivers, vehicles, vehicle components, production, repair, re-utilization and maintenance                                 |
|                  | System integrators  |
|                  | Technology providers  |
|                  | Telematics providers and enablers   |
|                  | Territorial communities   |
|                  | Transport network providers   |
|                  | Urban layout planners and community authorities   |
| Market Offerings | Data dashboards   |
|                  | Forecasting traffic flow and traffic situation  |
| Degree of        | Established: Phase 1, Phase 2   |
| Deployment       | First deployments: Phase 3, Phase 4   |
| Roadmap          | Phase 1: Analysis of current and projected traffic volume   |
|                  | Phase 2: Matching result with other and field trial data  |
|                  | Phase 3: Transferring results into service design   |
|                  | Phase 4: Considering the design and establishment of a personae driven data portal  |
|                  |   |

 Table 11.3
 Usage scenario intersection analysis

Applying the significant design elements, the usage scenario with respect to mobility turns into the following, as outlined in Table 11.3.

The roadmap for the intersection analysis consists of four phases:

**Phase 1** Phase 1 starts with an analysis of current and projected traffic volume. The key activities are:

- Assessment of current volume and classification of freight moved through the region
- Identification of hubs and analysis of freight movements within the targeted corridors
- Agreeing upon and determining the critical performance indicators as to why a certain corridor is mostly affected by cargo traffic, by freight volume and/or by diverted traffic
- Identifying the key success factors for urban hubs to optimize space, infrastructure measures such as road maintenance, bridges, commuter lanes, and any other public and private service provisioning
- Conduct of field test(s)
- Drawing conclusions about maintenance efforts for streets and bridges, commuter lanes, and others.

One of the key prerequisites to drive Phase 1 is access to data. For any of the individual efforts it is recommended you design a digital worksheet that lists the known data sources. Expanded by and enriched with further data that are sourced from government and other data providers the analysis continues.

**Phase 2** The value proposition for any participating stakeholder presented by an innovative urban traffic and transport management system is subjected to Phase 2. It covers the first round of analyzing the cost-benefit effects and the framework for Return on Investment (RoI) calculations. Depending on the progress in the field test and the accessibility of data, an analysis of costs versus reduction in congestion takes place, too. Cost types include costs for smart traffic solutions, infrastructure efforts, and further design and deployment efforts. Next to congestion reduction, secondary benefits that affect business entities and constituents will be observed where possible.

Phase 2 compares the outcome of, or observations and the impact in, one field test on other urban hubs. In case communalities have been identified, further field trials make sure that the efforts are on the right track and reveal additional parameters and dependencies.

**Phase 3** All parameters and results are depicted in a service-design driven manner. Thus service relevant roles and responsibilities are studied and documented.

**Phase 4** Phases 4 focuses on the need, how-to and design of parameters for one commonly used data portal. Here we will be investigating the value of open data and the potential obstacles of using open data, private data, and/or user generated data. In the market you find terms such as Data Dashboards and Open Government Data Lab. In most cases there is a willingness to share data from a public provider's point of view. One of the prominent examples is the portal from Transport for London (TfL) [148]. Another example is the recently founded VAO GmbH, a traffic information portal for Austria [149]. Those reflections about open access, free-of-charge, and right to re-use go hand in hand with the construct and conduct of *Data Gates;* or as we call them *Trust Gates*.

**Trust Gates** Trust Gates, hence gating systems, are in use to control the provisioning and sourcing of data in accordance with a classification system along private, confidential, access driven, and publicly available lines. Trust Gates operate through identification and authentication, payment terms, billing and usage, reporting, and governing trust. Codes of individual and business conduct monitor compliance and govern which data are being published, anonymized, or not published at all. The depicted scenario is also applicable to passenger transport.