# **Chapter 52 Multi Objective Production–Distribution Decision Making Model Under Fuzzy Random Environment**

**Muhammad Nazim, Muhammad Hashim, Abid Hussain Nadeem, Liming Yao and Jamil Ahmad**

**Abstract** Today the most important concern of the managers is to make their firms viable in the competitive trade world. Managers are looking effective tools for decision making in the complex business world. This paper addresses a hierarchical multi objective production-distribution planing problem under fuzzy random environment. A mathematical model is presented to describe the purpose problem. To deal the uncertain environment, the fuzzy random variables are first transformed into trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, and by using the expected value operation, the trapezoidal fuzzy numbers are subsequently defuzzified. For solving the multi-objective problem a weighted sum base genetic algorithm is applied. Finally, the result of a numerical example are presented to demonstrate the practical and efficiency of the optimized model.

**Keywords** Multi-objective optimization · Fuzzy lead-time · Fuzzy inventory cost parameters · Inventory planing · Interactive fuzzy decision making method

# **52.1 Introduction**

A supply chain contains all activities that transform raw materials to final products and deliver them to the customers. Production-distribution (PD) planing is most important operational function in a supply chain. In today competitive environment, it is required to plan the products, manufactured and distribution, also need for higher efficiency, lower production cost and maximize the customer satisfaction. In general PD problems in supply chains, the decision maker attempts to achieve the following (a) set overall production levels for each product category for each source

Uncertainty Decision-Making Laboratory, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, People's Republic of China

M. Nazim (B) · M. Hashim · A. H. Nadeem · L.Yao · J. Ahmad

e-mail: nazimscu@gmail.com

(manufacturers) to meet fluctuating or uncertain demand for various destinations (distributors) over the intermediate planning horizon, and (b) make right strategies regarding production, subcontracting, back-ordering, inventory and distribution levels, and thus determining appropriate resources to be used [\[1](#page-9-0), [24](#page-10-0)]. Several methods and algorithms have been developed to solve various PD problems in certain environments [\[4](#page-9-1), [5](#page-10-1), [23\]](#page-10-2).

In real-world PD problems, however, related environmental coefficients and parameters, including market demand, available labor levels and machine capacities, and cost/time coefficients, are often imprecise/fuzzy because of some information being incomplete or unobtainable. It is critical that the satisfying goal values should normally be uncertain as the cost coefficients and parameters are imprecise/fuzzy in practical PD problems [\[20,](#page-10-3) [24\]](#page-10-0). The practical PD problems generally have conflicting goals in term of the use of organizational resources, and these conflicting goals must be simultaneously optimized by the decision makers in the framework of imprecise aspiration levels [\[17,](#page-10-4) [18](#page-10-5)]. The conventional deterministic techniques cannot solve all integrating PD programming problems in uncertain environments. PD planning is a core issue influencing the producer, distributor and customer. The importance of PD planning has already been recognized [\[10](#page-10-6), [23](#page-10-2)] and structure and different views of PD planning have been proposed in a great deal of research  $[2, 4, 4]$  $[2, 4, 4]$  $[2, 4, 4]$  $[2, 4, 4]$ [15,](#page-10-7) [16](#page-10-8), [21,](#page-10-9) [22\]](#page-10-10).

The uncertainty in PD system is widely recognized because uncertainties exist in a variety of system components. As a result, the inherent complexity and stochastic uncertainty existing in real-world PD decision making have essentially placed them beyond conventional deterministic optimization methods. While, modeling a production distribution problem, production costs, purchasing, selling prices, transportation cost, delivery time and demand of products in the objectives and constraints are defined to be confirmed. However, it is seldom so in the real-life. For example, holding cost for an item is supposed to be dependent on the amount put in the storage. Similarly, set-up cost also depends upon the total quantity to be produced in a scheduling period, transportation cost depend upon the number of items delivered and scheduling the good network,delivery time also depend upon the production capacity and communication network. So, due to the specific requirements and local conditions, uncertainties may be associated with these variables and the above goals and parameters are normally vague and imprecise, i.e. fuzzy random variable in nature. However, from the previous study review, there appear to be few literature that deal with the uncertainty environment using both fuzziness and randomness in supply chain PD planning problem. Kwakernaak [\[13](#page-10-11), [14](#page-10-12)] introduced a mathematical model by using fuzzy random variables, which was later developed more clearly by Kruse and Meyer [\[12](#page-10-13)]. In the Kwakernaak/Kruse and Meyer approaches, fuzzy random variables is viewed as a fuzzy perception/observation/report of a classical real-valued random variable. Xu and Pei [\[25\]](#page-10-14) proposed a construction supply chain management PD planning, a bi-level model with demand and variable production costs with both fuzzy and random varieties is developed. From a probability space fuzzy random variable is a measurable function to a collection of fuzzy variables, so, roughly speaking, an fuzzy random variable is a random variable that takes fuzzy

values. In this paper, for production-distribution planning, a bi-level multi objective model with demand, production costs, selling price and transportation costs all are considered as a fuzzy random.

This paper contributes to current research as follows: first, a multi-objectives model is proposed which considers two objective functions in large-scale industry which solve PD planing problem. In addition, fuzzy random variables are used to describe the demand,variable production costs, transportation cost and delivery time, which assists decision makers to make more effective and precise decisions. In the following sections of this paper is designed as follow. In Sect. [52.2](#page-2-0) multi objective problem description and motivation of using fuzzy random variables are described. A mathematical model is used to optimized the production-distribution planing is explained in Sect. [52.3.](#page-3-0) In Sect. [52.4](#page-4-0) fuzzy random simulation based genetic algorithm is explained. A numerical example is parented in Sect. [52.5](#page-8-0) to show the significance of proposed model. At the end conclusions are given in Sect. [52.6.](#page-9-3)

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**52.2 Multi Objective Problem Description**

This paper consider multi-objective PD problems examined here can be described as follows. Assume that the decision maker attempts to determine the integrating PD plan for *K* types of homogeneous commodities from *L* sources (factories) to *M* destinations (distribution centers) to satisfy the market demand. Every source has a supply of the commodity available to distribute to various destinations, and each destination has its forecast demand for the commodity to be received from the sources. The estimate demand, unit cost coefficients, and machine capacity are normally imprecise/fuzzy random owing to incomplete and unobtainable information over the intermediate planning horizon. This work focuses on developing a expected programming method for optimizing the PD plan in fuzzy random environments.

The need to describe uncertainty in PD planning is widely acknowledged because uncertainties exist in a variety of system components and a linkage to the regulated policies. In PD the source of the uncertainty mainly has four aspects in the PD planning: production cost; transportation cost, market demand and delivery time. Uncertainty in production mainly exist on the reliability of the production system. Such as; machine fault, change in input prices, executive deviation of the plan etc. Similarly, uncertainty exist in the market demand of the product. Randomness exist in the market demand because of change in product price and season, disaster, market competitors influence etc. Uncertainty also exist in transportation cost of product, transfer to the sale markets. Such as change in flue price, market distance from distribution center, quantity of order etc. Uncertainty may exist in the delivery time because of labor strike, machine working and shortage of components that help in manufacturing the products etc. Generally we define out the uncertainty first with the help of sampling analysis on the base of statistical data when considering the production cost, market demand, transportation cost and delivery time. Then we can value them and make fuzzy random variables with the help of expert experiences.

In such a case of study, because it is very difficult to estimate the accurate value of all these fuzzy random variables. It is mostly defined by giving a range in which the most possible value is considered as a random variable, i.e, viz  $(a, \rho, b)$ . On the basis of statistics characteristics it is found that the most possible value of all these fuzzy random variables follow a normal distribution, i.e,  $\rho \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . To deal this situation the triangular fuzzy random variables (*a*, *ρ*, *b*), where  $\rho \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is applied to deal with these uncertain parameters by combining fuzziness and randomness. As a consequence, it is appropriate to consider production cost, product demand, transportation cost and delivery time as a fuzzy random variables.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>**52.3 Modeling**

In this section, a multi objective programming model for the PD planning considering fuzziness and randomness is constructed. The mathematical description of the problem is given as follows:

*Index Sets*

*k*: index for source, for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, K$ , *l*: index for kind of product, for all  $l = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , *j*: index for destinations of delivery, for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, J$ . *Parameters U*: index for kind of product, for all  $l = 1, 2, \dots, L$ ,<br>*j*: index for destinations of delivery, for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ <br>*Parameters*<br> $U_w$ : maximum inventory that can be store in warehouse, *Ckl*: Fuzzy random total cost of production per unit for product *l* by source *i*, *ykl*: inventory level of product *k* by source *i*,  $U_w$ : maximum inventory that can be store in warehouse,<br>  $\tilde{C}_{kl}$ : Fuzzy random total cost of production per unit for prod<br>  $y_{kl}$ : inventory level of product *k* by source *i*,<br>  $h_{kl}$ : inventory holding cost per unit  $\overline{t}_{kl}$ : delivery cost per unit of product *k* by source *i*,  $h_{kl}$ : inventory holding cost per unit of product *k* by source *i*,  $\tilde{t}_{kl}$ : delivery cost per unit of product *k* by source *i*,  $S_{kl}$  setup cost per unit of product *k* by source *i*,  $\overrightarrow{P}_{kl}$ : production cost per unit of product *k* by source *i*, *rkl*: rate of production of product *k* by source *i*, *Ml*: maximum level of production of source *i*,  $p_t$ : delivery time period lengths,  $\overline{T}_{kl}$ : per unit delivery time. *Decision Variables Xkl*: production volume of product *k* by source *i*,  $p_t$ : delivery time periods length.

The multi objective optimization model of PD planing under fuzzy random environment is mathematically formulated as follow:

*Objective function 1:*

The first objective of PD plan is to minimize the total cost. The total cost of PD planing is composed by three parts namely total production cost which included regular production cost and setup cost, inventory holding cost and product delivery cost. The ronment is mathematically formulated as follow:<br>*Objective function 1*:<br>The first objective of PD plan is to minimize the total co<br>is composed by three parts namely total production<br>production cost and setup cost, invento The total cost of PD planing<br>
ost which included regular<br> *d* product delivery cost. The<br> *l*  $X_{kl}\tilde{C}_{kl} + \sum_{l}\sum_{k}I_{kl}h_{kl} +$ 

 $\sum_{k}$ *l X<sub>k</sub>* $\tilde{t}_{kl}$ , where  $\tilde{\overline{C}}_{kl} = \sum_{k}$ on Decision Making Model 595<br>  $l S_{kl} + \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \widetilde{P}_{kl}$ . There are some uncertain 52 Multi Objective Production–Distribution Decision Making Model 5<br>  $\sum_k \sum_l X_{kl} \tilde{t}_{kl}$ , where  $\tilde{\overline{C}}_{kl} = \sum_k \sum_l S_{kl} + \sum_k \sum_l \tilde{P}_{kl}$ . There are some uncerta<br>
parameters in the objective function namely fuzzy random va  $\overline{t}_{kl}$ so it will be difficulty for decision makers to obtain the minimal cost accurately. Therefore, the decision makers usually only consider the average minimum cost by using the fuzzy random expected value model by  $X<sub>u</sub>$  and Zhou as follow: min  $F<sub>1</sub>$  =  $E[\sum_{k}$  $\sum_{k} \sum_{l} x_{kl} \kappa_{kl}$ , where  $\sum_{kl} \sum_{l} \sum_{l} \kappa_{kl}$ *l* is in the objective order to the difficulty for the decision m<br> *l*, the decision m<br> *l*  $\sum_{l} X_{kl} \overline{C}_{kl} + \sum_{l} Y_{l}$  $\sum_{k} \sum_{l} \sum_{k}$ <br>function name<br>decision maker<br>ers usually onle<br>eted value model<br> $k$   $I_{kl}h_{kl} + \sum_{k}$  $\frac{L}{k}$   $\frac{L}{l}$  **f**  $\frac{L}{k}$  *t x*  $\left[\frac{L}{k}\right]$  *l*  $X_{kl}$  *t*  $\left[\frac{L}{k}t\right]$ . *Constraint: l le fuzzy ra*<br> *l*  $\sum_{l} X_{kl} \overline{\widetilde{C}}_{k}$ <br> *zaint:*<br> *f* total ava<br> *l*  $X_{kl} \ge \overline{\widetilde{D}}$ Ξ

Sum of total available product is greater then sum of total demand of product:  $\sum_{k} \sum_{l} X_{kl} \geq \overline{\tilde{D}}_{kl}$ . There is uncertain parameter in the constraint namely fuzzy  $E\left[\sum_{k} \sum_{l} X_{kl} \overline{C}_{kl} + \sum_{l} \sum_{k} I_{kl} h_{kl} + \sum_{k} \sum_{l} X_{kl} \overline{t}_{kl}\right]$ .<br>
Sum of total available product is greater then sum of total demand of product:<br>  $\sum_{k} \sum_{l} X_{kl} \geq \overline{\widetilde{D}}_{kl}$ . There is uncertain parameter in t model to deal this as follow:  $E[\sum_k]$ *la*  $\sum_{k}$   $\sum_{k}$   $m \cdot m$  J<br>ter then sum of total demand of product:<br>parameter in the constraint namely fuzzy<br>on maker use fuzzy random expected value<br> $\sum_{l} X_{kl} \geq \overline{D}_{kl}$ . The sources are working at Sum of total available product is greater then sum of total demand of product:<br>  $\sum_k \sum_l X_{kl} \ge \widetilde{D}_{kl}$ . There is uncertain parameter in the constraint namely fuzzy<br>
random variable  $\widetilde{D}_{kl}$ . Therefore, decision maker  $\sum_k \sum_l X_{kl} \geq \widetilde{D}_{kl}$ . There is uncer<br>random variable  $\widetilde{D}_{kl}$ . Therefore, de<br>model to deal this as follow:  $E\left[\sum_{k} \sum_{l} r_{kl} X_{kl}\right] \leq \sum_{l}$  upper bound of warehouses:  $0 \leq \sum_{l}$  $\sum_l I_{kl} \leq U_w$ . *Objective function 2:* moder to dear this as follow:  $E[\sum_k \sum_l A_{kl} \leq D_{kl}]$ . I<br>maximum level:  $\sum_k \sum_l r_{kl} X_{kl} \leq M_k$ . Inventory level<br>upper bound of warehouses:  $0 \leq \sum_k \sum_l I_{kl} \leq U_w$ .<br>*Objective function 2*:<br>The second objective of PD plan is to m

The second objective of PD plan is to minimize the delivery time, which is mathe- $\sum_l X_{kl} \tilde{\overline{T}}_{kl}$ . There is uncertain paraupper bound of warehouses:  $0 \le \sum_k \sum_l I_{kl} \le U_w$ .<br> *Objective function 2:*<br>
The second objective of PD plan is to minimize the delivery time, which is mathe-<br>
matically formulated as follow: min  $F_2 = \sum_k \sum_l X_{kl} \overline{T}_{kl}$ . The expected value model is used to minimize as follow: min  $F_2 = E\left[\sum_k \sum_l X_{kl} T_{kl}\right]$ . *l* is mathe<br>*rtain para*<br>*zy randon*<br>*l*  $X_{kl} \overline{\tilde{T}}_{kl}$ *Constraint:* matically formulated as follow: min  $F_2 = \sum_k \sum_l X_{kl} \overline{T}_{kl}$ . There inter in the constraint namely fuzzy random variable  $\overline{T}_{kl}$ . Therefore, expected value model is used to minimize as follow: min  $F_2 = E$  [Constraint: Th *l x <i>x x z x x x x x i*  $\overline{T}_{kl}$  *z <i>x x i*  $\overline{T}_{kl}$  *z x x i*  $\overline{T}_{kl}$   $\leq p_t$ .

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>**52.4 Solution Method**

To solve the previous multi objective PD planing problem, four step are proposed. First, a fuzzy random variables transform into fuzzy trapezoidal numbers. Secondly, fuzzy simulation is applied to calculate the expected value of objective functions. Third, weighted sum method is used to transformed the multi objective into single objective. At the end a genetic algorithm is proposed to solve the above describe multi objective problem.

## *52.4.1 Dealing with Fuzzy Random Variables*

Generally we know that, it is difficult to directly obtain an optimal solution of fuzzy random variables. Therefore, the fuzzy random variables convert into deterministic ones by the proposed transformation method. At First, the fuzzy random variables are transformed into fuzzy numbers, and then Heilpern [\[9](#page-10-15)] expected value operator is applied to to drive the deterministic variables.

#### *Transformation of fuzzy numbers variables into fuzzy numbers*

596 M. Nazim et al.<br> *Transformation of fuzzy numbers variables into fuzzy numbers*<br>
Total Production Cost. Generally, a fuzzy random variable is denoted as  $\frac{a}{\xi}$  =  $([m]_L, \rho(c), [m]_R)$ . From the previous section,  $\rho(\omega)$  is supposed to approximately follow a normal distribution  $N(\mu_0, \sigma^2)$  with a probability density function of  $\varphi_\rho(x)$ , so the expression of  $\varphi_{\rho}(x)$  should be  $\varphi_{\rho}(x)=(1/\sqrt{2/p i \sigma_0} \exp[-(x-\mu_0)^2/2\sigma_0^2])$ . Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a given probability level of random variable and  $\sigma \in [0, \sup \varphi_o(x)]$ , *r* is a given possibility level for the fuzzy variable and  $r \in [r_l, 1]$ , where  $r_l =$  $([m]_R - [m]_L)/([m]_R - [m]_L + \rho_\sigma^R - \rho_\sigma^L)$ , both of them reflecting the decision maker's degree of optimism. For an easy description, the probability level and the possibility level are called  $\sigma$  and  $r$ , respectively. The transformation method consists of the following steps:

- 1. Through historical data and professional experience using statistical laws, estimate the parameters  $[m]_L$ ,  $[m]_R$ ,  $\mu_0$  and  $\sigma_0$ ;
- 2. Obtain the decision maker's degree of optimism, i.e., the values of probability level  $\sigma \in [0, \sup \varphi_{\rho(x)}]$  and possibility level  $r \in [r_l, 1]$ , where  $r_l = ([m]_R [m]_L$ )/ $[m]_R - [m]_L + \rho_\sigma^R - \rho_\sigma^L$ , which are often determined by using a groupdecision-making approach;
- 3. Let  $\rho_{\sigma}$  be the  $\sigma$ -cut of the random variable  $\rho(c)$ , that is  $\rho_{\sigma} = [\rho_{\sigma}^L, \rho_{\sigma}^R = \{x \in$  $R|\varphi_{\rho}(x)| \ge \sigma$ }, then, the value of  $\rho_{\sigma}^L$  and  $\rho_{\sigma}^R$  can be expressed as  $\left[\rho_{\sigma}^L, \right]$ <br>
as<br>  $\frac{2}{0}ln\sqrt{2}$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
R|\varphi_{\rho(x)} \ge \sigma\},\text{ then, the value of } \rho_{\sigma}^{L} \text{ and } \rho_{\sigma}^{R} \text{ can be expressed as}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{\sigma}^{L} = \inf\{x \in R|\varphi_{\rho(x)} \ge \sigma\} = \inf\{\varphi_{\rho}^{-1}(\sigma) = \mu_0 - \sqrt{-2\sigma_0^2\ln\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0\sigma}},\tag{52.1}
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{\sigma}^{R} = \sup\{x \in R|\varphi_{\rho(x)} \ge \sigma\} = \sup\{\varphi_{\rho}^{-1}(\sigma) = \mu_0 - \sqrt{-2\sigma_0^2\ln\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0\sigma}}.\tag{52.2}
$$
\n4. Transform the fuzzy random variable  $\tilde{\xi} = ([m]_L), \rho(c), [m]_R \text{ into the } (r, \sigma) \text{ level}$ 

 $\rho_{\sigma}^+ = \sup \{ x \in R | \varphi_{\rho(x)} \ge \sigma \} = \sup \varphi_{\rho} \cdot (\sigma) = \mu_0 - \sqrt{-2\sigma_0}.$ <br>Transform the fuzzy random variable  $\tilde{\bar{\xi}} = ([m]_L), \rho(c), [m]_R$  into trapezoidal fuzzy number  $\tilde{c}_{\tilde{\bar{\xi}}(r,\sigma)}$  by using the following equation:<br> $\tilde{\bar{\xi$ 

$$
\widetilde{\tilde{\xi}} \to \tilde{c}_{\widetilde{\tilde{\xi}}(r,\sigma)} = ([m]_L, \underline{m}, \overline{m}, [m]_R),
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{\xi} \to \tilde{c}_{\widetilde{\tilde{\xi}}(r,\sigma)} = [m]_R - r([m]_R - \mu_0 + \sqrt{-2\sigma_0^2 ln \sqrt{2\pi \sigma_0 \sigma}}),
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{\xi} \to \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\xi} \to \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\
$$

so

so  
\n
$$
\underline{m} = [m]_R - r([m]_R - \rho_\sigma^L) = [m]_R - r([m]_R - \mu_0 + \sqrt{-2\sigma_0^2 ln \sqrt{2\pi \sigma_0 \sigma}}),
$$
\n(52.4)  
\n
$$
\overline{m} = [m]_L + r(\rho_\sigma^R - [m]_L) = [m]_L + r(\mu_0 - [m]_L + \sqrt{-2\sigma_0^2 ln \sqrt{2\pi \sigma_0 \sigma}}).
$$
\n(52.5)  
\n
$$
\widetilde{\overline{\xi}}
$$
 can be specified by  $\widetilde{c}_{\widetilde{\overline{\xi}}(r,\sigma)} = ([m]_L, \underline{m}, \overline{m}, [m]_R)$  with the following member-

<span id="page-5-1"></span>ship function:

$$
\mu_{\tilde{c}_{\overline{\xi}(r,\sigma)}} = \begin{cases}\n0 & \text{for } x < [m]_L, x > [m]_R \\
\frac{x - [m]_L}{m - [m]_L} & \text{for } [m]_L \le x < \underline{m} \\
\frac{1}{1} & \text{for } \underline{m} \le x \le \overline{m} \\
\frac{[m]_R - x}{[m]_R - \overline{m}} & \text{for } \overline{m} < x \le [m]_R.\n\end{cases} \tag{52.6}
$$

52 Multi Objective Production–Distribution Decision Making Model 597<br>The transformation process of fuzzy random variable  $\frac{2}{5}$  to the  $(r, σ)$ -level trapezoidal For Multi Objective Production–Distribution Decision Making N<br>The transformation process of fuzzy random variable  $\tilde{\overline{\xi}}$  fuzzy number  $\tilde{c}_{\tilde{\overline{\xi}}(r,\sigma)}$  is described in Eqs. [\(52.1\)](#page-5-0)–[\(52.6\)](#page-5-1). Multi Objective Production–Dist<br>
e transformation process of fu<br>
zy number  $\tilde{c}_{\overline{\xi}(r,\sigma)}$  is describe<br>
Let  $\tilde{t}_{kl}$  transportation cost,  $\tilde{D}$ *S97*<br> *Decision Making Model*<br> *Ded in Eqs.* (52.1)–(52.6).<br>  $\widetilde{\overline{B}}_k l$  demand of product and  $\widetilde{\overline{T}}_k l$  per unit delivery time

of product are also fuzzy random variables. Based on the previous method described The t<br>fuzzy<br>Lof pr<br>for  $\tilde{\vec{c}}_i$ for  $\overline{c}_{kl}$  total cost of production, can be transformed into  $(r, \sigma)$ -level trapezoidal fuzzy numbers.

## *52.4.2 Fuzzy Simulation for Expected Value*

By using the fuzzy random simulation we can get the expected value of objective functions. The procedure is describe step by step as follow.

**Step 1**. Set *E*[ $f(C, Q, \xi)$ ] = 0. **Step 2**. Randomly generate  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  from the  $\varepsilon$ -level sets of  $\xi$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a sufficiently small number. **Step 3**. Set  $a = f(C, Q, \mu_1) \wedge f(C, Q, \mu_2) \wedge, \dots \wedge f(C, Q, \mu_m), b = f(C, Q,$  $\mu_1$ )  $\vee$   $f(C, Q, \mu_2) \vee, \cdots \vee f(C, Q, \mu_m)$ . **Step 4**. Randomly generate *r* from [*a*, *b*]. **Step 5**. If  $r \geq 0$ , then  $E[f(C, Q, \xi)] \leftarrow E[f(C, Q, \xi)] + Cr[f(C, Q, \mu_1)] \geq r$ . **Step 6**. If  $r < 0$ , then  $E[f(C, Q, \xi)] \leftarrow E[f(C, Q, \xi)] - Cr[f(C, Q, \mu_1)] \leq r$ . **Step 7**. Repeat the fourth to sixth steps for *m* times. **Step 8**. *E*[ $f(C, Q, \xi)$ ] =  $a \vee 0 + b \wedge 0 + E[f(C, Q, \xi)]$ . $(b - a)/m$ .

#### *52.4.3 Weighted Sum Method*

The weight sum method is one of the technique which is mostly applied to solve the multi-objective programming problem. By applying the weighted sum method we can convert the multi objective into single objective giving the weight of each objective function.

Assume that the related weight of the objective function  $f_i(x)$  is  $w_i$  such that  $m_{i,w} = 1$  and  $w_i > 0$ . So we can construct the evaluation function as fol- $\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i = 1$  and  $w_i \ge 0$ . So we can construct the evaluation function as folthe multi-objective programming problem. By applying the weighted sum method<br>we can convert the multi objective into single objective giving the weight of each<br>objective function.<br>Assume that the related weight of the obj the object  $f_i(x)$  for decision maker. Then we get the following weight problem,  $\min_{x \in X} u(f(x)) = \min_{x \in X} \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i f_i(x) = \min_{x \in X} w^t f(x).$ 

#### *52.4.4 Genetic Algorithm*

In this subsection we have applied a stochastic search methods for optimization problems based on the mechanics of natural selection and natural genetics, genetic algorithms (GAs), which have received remarkable attention regarding their potential as a novel approach to multi objective optimization problems. GAs do not need many mathematical requirements and can handle any types of objective functions and constraints. GAs have been well discussed and summarized by several authors, e.g., Holland [\[11\]](#page-10-16), Goldberg [\[8\]](#page-10-17), Michalewicz [\[19\]](#page-10-18), Fogel [\[6\]](#page-10-19), Gen and Cheng [\[7\]](#page-10-20) and Liu [\[3](#page-9-4)].

This section attempts to present a fuzzy random simulation and weighted sum method-based genetic algorithm to obtain a solution of multi objective programming with fuzzy random coefficients.

1. Representation structure: We use a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  as a chromosome to represent a solution to the optimization problem.

2. Handling the constraints: To ensure the chromosomes generated by genetic operators are feasible, we can use the technique of fuzzy random simulation to check them.

3. Initializing process: Suppose that the DM is able to predetermine a region which contains the feasible set. Generate a random vector *x* from this region until a feasible one is accepted as a chromosome. Repeat the above process  $N_{pop\_size}$  times, then

we have  $N_{pop\_size}$  initial feasible chromosomes  $x^1$ ,  $x^2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $x^{N_{pop\_size}}$ .<br>4. Evaluation function: The regret value of each chromosome *x* is call<br>the fitness function of each chromosome is computed by<br> $eval(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m}$ 4. Evaluation function: The regret value of each chromosome *x* is calculated, then the fitness function of each chromosome is computed by

$$
eval(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{E[f_k(x, \xi)] - z_k^{\max}}{z_k^{\max} - z_k^{\min}}.
$$

5. Selection process: The selection process is based on spinning the roulette wheel *N<sub>pop size* times. Each time a single chromosome for a new population is selected in</sub> the following way: Calculate the cumulative probability  $q_i$  for each chromosome  $x^i$ ocess: The selectifies. Each time a sing vay: Calculate the  $q_0 = 0$ ,  $q_i = \sum$ 

$$
q_0 = 0, \ q_i = \sum_{j=1}^i eval(x^j), \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, N_{pop\_size}.
$$

Generate a random number r in [0,  $q_{N_{pop\_size}}$ ] and select the *i*th chromosome  $x^i$  such that  $q_{i-1} < r ≤ q\Gamma_i$ , 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *N*<sub>*pop*\_*size*</sub>. Repeat the above process  $N_{pop\_size}$  times and we obtain *Npop*\_*size* copies of chromosomes.

6. Crossover operation: Generate a random number  $c$  from the open interval  $(0, 1)$ and the chromosome  $x^i$  is selected as a parent provided that  $c < P_c$ , where parameter  $P_c$  is the probability of crossover operation. Repeat this process  $N_{pop\_size}$  times and  $P_c$ . $N_{pop\_size}$  chromosomes are expected to be selected to undergo the crossover operation. The crossover operator on  $x<sup>1</sup>$  and  $x<sup>2</sup>$  will produce two children  $y<sup>1</sup>$  and  $y<sup>2</sup>$ as follows:  $y^1 = cx^1 + (1 - c)x^2$ ,  $y^2 = cx^2 + (1 - c)x^1$ .

If both children are feasible, then we replace the parents with them, or else we keep the feasible one if it exists. Repeat the above operation until two feasible children are obtained or a given number of cycles is finished.

7. Mutation operation: Similar to the crossover process, the chromosome  $x^i$  is selected as a parent to undergo the mutation operation provided that random number  $m < P_m$ , where parameter  $P_m$  as the probability of mutation operation.  $P_m \cdot N_{pop\_size}$  chromosomes are expected to be selected after repeating the process  $N_{pop\_size}$  times. Suppose that  $x^1$  is chosen as a parent. Choose a mutation direction  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  randomly. Replace *x* with  $x + M \cdot d$  if  $x + M \cdot d$  is feasible, otherwise we set *M* as a random number between 0 and *M* until it is feasible or a given number of cycles is finished. Here, *M* is a sufficiently large positive number.

We illustrate the fuzzy random simulation-based genetic algorithm procedure as follows:

**Step 1**. Input the parameters  $N_{pop\_size}$ ,  $P_c$  and  $P_m$ .

**Step 2.** Initialize  $N_{pop\_size}$  chromosomes whose feasibility may be checked by fuzzy random simulation.

**Step 3**. Update the chromosomes by crossover and mutation operations and fuzzy random simulation is used to check the feasibility of offspring.

**Step 4**. Compute the fitness of each chromosome based on the regret value.

**Step 5**. Select the chromosomes by spinning the roulette wheel.

**Step 6.** Repeat the second to fourth steps for a given number of cycles.

**Step 7**. Report the best chromosome as the optimal solution.

#### <span id="page-8-0"></span>**52.5 Numerical Example**

A numerical example is proposed in this section which illustrate the practical application of the proposed optimized model.

- Number of production plant (source): 1
- Numbers of distribution places: 4
- Fuzzy random cost of production:  $(140, \rho(c), 160)$ ; where  $\rho(c) \sim N(150, 4)$ .
- Number of production plant (source): 1<br>
 Numbers of distribution places: 4<br>
 Fuzzy random cost of production: (140,ρ(*c*), 160); where ρ(*c*) ∼ *N*(150, 4)<br>
 Fuzzy random cost of transportation:  $\tilde{t}_{11} = (4, \rho(t),$ • Fuzzy random cost of transportation:  $\overline{t}_{11} = (4, \rho(t), 8)$ ;  $\rho(t) \sim N(7, 1), \overline{t}_{12} =$ Numbers of distribution places: 4<br>
Fuzzy random cost of production: (140, $\rho(c)$ , 160); where  $\rho(c) \sim N(150, 4)$ <br>
Fuzzy random cost of transportation:  $\tilde{t}_{11} = (4, \rho(t), 8)$ ;  $\rho(t) \sim N(7, 1)$ ,  $\tilde{t}_{(3.5, \rho(t), 7)$ ;  $\rho(t) \sim N($  $(3.5, \rho(t), 7); \rho(t) \sim N(6, 0.8), \overline{t}_{13} = (4.2, \rho(t), 8.4); \rho(t) \sim N(7, .9), \overline{t}_{14} =$  $(4, \rho(t), 6.1); \rho(t) \sim N(7, 1).$ • Fuzzy random cost of production: (140,*ρ*(*c*), 160); where *ρ*(*c*) ∼ *N*(150, 4).<br>
• Fuzzy random cost of transportation:  $\tilde{t}_{11} = (4, \rho(t), 8)$ ; *ρ*(*t*) ∼ *N*(7, 1),  $\tilde{t}_{12} =$ <br>
(3.5, *ρ*(*t*), 7); *ρ*(*t*) ∼ *N* Fuzzy random cost of transportation:  $\tilde{t}_{11}$  = (4, ρ(*t*), 8); ρ(*t*) ∼ *N*(7, 1),  $\tilde{t}_{12}$  = (3.5, ρ(*t*), 7); ρ(*t*) ∼ *N*(6, 0.8),  $\tilde{t}_{13}$  = (4.2, ρ(*t*), 8.4); ρ(*t*) ∼ *N*(7, .9),  $\tilde{t}_{14}$  = (4, ρ(*t*
- $\overline{T}_{14} = (6, \rho(T), 12); \rho(T) \sim N(9.5, 1).$ (4, ρ(*t*), 6.1); ρ(*t*) ∼ *N*(7, 1).<br>
• Fuzzy random delivery time:  $\overline{T}_{11} = (8, \rho(T), 12); \rho(T)$  ∼ *N*(11, 1<br>
<u>(6.5, ρ(*T*), 10); ρ(*T*) ∼ *N*(8, 0.8),  $\overline{T}_{13} = (8.5, \rho(T), 13); \rho(T)$  ∼ *N*<br>  $\overline{T}_{14} = (6, \rho(T), 12); \rho(\underline{T})$  ∼ *</u> Fuzzy* random delivery time: *T*<sub>11</sub> = (8, ρ(*T*), 12);ρ(*T*) ∼ *N*(11, 1), *T*<sub>12</sub> = (6.5, ρ(*T*), 10); ρ(*T*) ∼ *N*(8, 0.8),  $\overline{T}_{13}$  = (8.5, ρ(*T*), 13); ρ(*T*) ∼ *N*(11, .95),  $\overline{T}_{14}$  = (6, ρ(*T*), 12); ρ(*T*)
- $D_{12} = (60,$  $\overline{D}_{14} = (65, \rho(D), 90)$ ;  $\rho(D) \sim N(80, 3.5)$ .
- Inventory Holding cost per unit: 2.

In the view of final solution of GA in Table [52.1,](#page-9-5) the producer can rationally allocate the production and save cost and delivery time. We have considered the

		$w_1$ $w_2$ $f_1^*$ $f_2^*$ $x_1^{1*}$ $x_2^{1*}$ $x_3^{1*}$ $x_4^{1*}$ $x_1^{2*}$ $x_2^{2*}$ $x_3^{2*}$ $x_4^{2*}$								
		0.6 0.4 1,640 37 90 75 90 85 10 8 10 9								

<span id="page-9-5"></span>**Table 52.1** The result of GA using weighted sum method

fuzziness and randomness at the same time when making planing which assist decision makers to make more accurate and well informed planing. Suppose the decision maker is not satisfied with the current solution when  $w_1 = 0.6$  and  $w_2 = 0.4$ , so he can get the satisfied approximate solution by changing the weight coefficients of  $w_1$ and  $w_2$ .

# <span id="page-9-3"></span>**52.6 Conclusion**

In this paper, we have proposed the multi objective production-distribution programming problem with fuzzy random coefficients. For a special type of fuzzy random variables, we have applied a method to transfer into fuzzy number and expected value operator was applied to get the deterministic variables. A fuzzy random simulationbased genetic algorithm using weighted sum method approach which is effective to solve the general fuzzy random multi objective programming problem. Though the fuzzy random simulation-based genetic algorithm proposed in this paper usually spends more CPU time than traditional algorithms, it is a viable and efficient way to deal with complex optimization problems involving randomness and fuzziness.In the future, fuzzy random simulation-based multi objective genetic algorithm is another field that we will consider.

**Acknowledgments** The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for their helpful and constructive comments and suggestions. The work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 71301109), the Western and Frontier Region Project of Humanity and Social Sciences Research, Ministry of Education of China (Grant No. 13XJC630018), the Philosophy and Social Sciences Planning Project of Sichuan province (Grant No. SC12BJ05), and the Initial Funding for Young Teachers of Sichuan University (Grant No. 2013SCU11014).

## **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Aliev RA, Fazlollahi B et al (2007) Fuzzy-genetic approach to aggregate productiondistribution planning in supply chain management. Inf Sci 177(20):4241–4255
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>2. Averbakh I (2010) On-line integrated production-distribution scheduling problems with capacitated deliveries. Eur J Oper Res 200(2):377–384
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>3. Liu B (2002) Theory and practice of uncertain programming. Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg pp 235–238
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>4. Bilgen B, Ozkarahan I (2004a) Strategic tactical and operational production-distribution models: a review. Int J Technol Manage 28(2):151–171
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>5. Erengüç SS, Simpson NC, Vakharia AJ (1999) Integrated production/distribution planning in supply chains: an invited review. Eur J Oper Res 115(2):219–236
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>6. Fogel DB (2006) Evolutionary computation: Toward a new philosophy of machine intelligence, vol 1. Wiley.com
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>7. Gen M, Cheng R (1997) Genetic algorithms and engineering design. Wiley, New Jersey
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>8. Glodberg DE (1989) Genetic algorithms in search, optimization, and machine learning. Addion Wesley, Reading
- 9. Heilpern S (1992) The expected value of a fuzzy number. Fuzzy Sets Syst 47(1):81–86
- <span id="page-10-15"></span><span id="page-10-6"></span>10. Hua G, Wang S, Chan CK (2009) A fractional programming model for internation facility location. J Ind Manage Optim 5:629–649 (in Chinese)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>11. Holland J (1975) Adaptation in natural and artificial systems. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>12. Kruse R, Meyer KD (1987) Statistics with vague data, vol 6. Springer.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>13. Kwakernaak H (1978) Fuzzy random variables-I. definitions and theorems. Inf Sci 15(1):1–29
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>14. Kwakernaak H (1979) Fuzzy random variables-II, algorithms and examples for the discrete case. Inf Sci 17(3):253–278
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>15. Lee YH, Kim SH (2002) Production-distribution planning in supply chain considering capacity constraints. Comput Ind Eng 43(1):169–190
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>16. Liang TF (2008a) Fuzzy multi-objective production/distribution planning decisions with multiproduct and multi-time period in a supply chain. Comput Ind Eng 55(3):676–694
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>17. Liang TF (2008b) Integrating production-transportation planning decision with fuzzy multiple goals in supply chains. Int J Prod Res 46(6):1477–1494
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>18. Liang TF, Cheng HW et al (2011) Application of fuzzy sets to aggregate production planning with multiproducts and multitime periods. IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst 19(3):465–477
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>19. Michalewicz  $Z(1996)$  Genetic algorithms  $+$  data structures  $=$  evolution programs. springer.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>20. Petrovic D, Roy R, Petrovic R (1998) Modelling and simulation of a supply chain in an uncertain environment. Eur J Oper Res 109(2):299–309
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>21. Sarmiento AM, Nagi R (1999) A review of integrated analysis of production-distribution systems. IIE Trans 31(11):1061–1074
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>22. Selim H, Araz C, Ozkarahan I (2008a) Collaborative production-distribution planning in supply chain: a fuzzy goal programming approach. Transp Res Part E: Logistics Transp Rev 44(3):396– 419
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>23. Vidal CJ, Goetschalckx M (1997a) Strategic production-distribution models: A critical review with emphasis on global supply chain models. Eur J Oper Res 98(1):1–18
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>24. Wang RC, Liang TF (2004a) Application of fuzzy multi-objective linear programming to aggregate production planning. Comput Ind Eng 46(1):17–41
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>25. Xu JP, Pei W (2013) Production-distribution planing of construction supply chain management under fuzzy random environment for large-scale construction projects. J Ind Manage Optim 9(1):31–56 (in Chinese)