Characterizations of α -Quasi Uniformity and Theory of α -P.Q. Metrics

Xiu-Yun Wu, Li-Li Xie and Shi-Zhong Bai

Abstract In Wu Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics 3:94–99, 2012, the author introduced concepts of α -remote neighborhood mapping and α -quasi uniform, and obtained many good results in α -quasi uniform spaces. This chapter will further investigate properties of α -remote neighborhood mapping, and give some characterizations of α -quasi uniforms. Based on this, this chapter also introduces concept of α -P.Q. metric, and establishes the relations between α -quasi uniforms and α -P.Q. metrics.

Keywords α -Quasi uniform $\cdot \alpha$ -Homeomorphism $\cdot \alpha$ -P.Q. metric $\cdot \alpha$ -Remote neighborhood mapping

1 Introduction

Theory of quasi-uniformity in completely distributive lattices was firstly introduced by Erceg [1] and Hutton [2]. Then it was developed into various forms and was extended into different topological spaces [3–9]. In [10], the author introduced the concept of α -quasi uniform in α -layer order-preserving operator spaces, and revealed the relations between α -layer topological spaces and α -quasi uniform spaces. In this chapter, firstly, we further study properties of α -remote neighborhood mappings.

X. Wu (🖂)

Institute of Computational Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Hunan Institute of Science and Engineering, Yongzhong 425100, China e-mail: wuxiuyun2000@126.com

L. Xie

Department of English Teaching, Hunan Institute of Science and Engineering, Yongzhong425100, China

S. Bai Institute of Mathematics, Wuyi university, Jiangmen 529020, China

Then we discuss some characterizations of α -quasi uniformities. Secondly, we introduce the concept of α -P.Q. metrics, and establish the relations between α -quasi uniforms and α -P.O. metrics.

2 Preliminaries

In this chapter, X, Y will always denote nonempty crisp sets, A mapping $A: X \to L$ is called an L-fuzzy set. L^X is the set of all L-fuzzy sets on X. An element $e \in L$ is called an irreducible element in L, if $p \lor q = e$ implies p = e or q = e, where $p, q \in L$. The set of all nonzero irreducible elements in L will be denoted by M(L). If $x \in X$, $\alpha \in M(L)$, then x_{α} is called a molecule in L^X . The set of all molecules in L^X is denoted by $M^*(L^X)$. If $A \in L^X$, $\alpha \in M(L)$, take $A_{[\alpha]} = \{x \in X \mid A(x) \ge \alpha\}$ [3] and $A^{\alpha} = \bigvee \{x_{\alpha} \mid x_{\alpha} \not\leq A\}$ [11]. It is easy to check $(A_{[\alpha]})' = A_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}$.

Let (L^X, δ) be an L-fuzzy topological space, $\alpha \in M(L)$. $\forall A \in L^X, D_{\alpha}(A) =$ $\wedge \{G \in \delta' \mid G_{[\alpha]} \supset A_{[\alpha]} \}$. Then the operator D_{α} is a α -closure operator of some co-topology on L^X , denoted by $D_{\alpha}(\delta)$. We called α -layer topology. The pair $(L^X, D_{\alpha}(\delta))$ is called α -layer co-topological space [11]. An α -layer topological space $(L^X, D_\alpha(\delta))$ is called an α - C_{II} space, if there is a countable base \mathscr{B}_α of $D_\alpha(\delta)$.

A mapping $F_{\alpha} : L^X \to L^Y$ is called an α -mapping, if $F_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} = F_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]}$ whenever $A_{\alpha} = B_{\alpha}$, and $F_{\alpha}(A) = 0_X$ whenever $A_{\alpha} = \emptyset$. The mapping F_{α}^{-1} : $L^Y \to L^X$ is called the reverse mapping of F_{α} , if for each $B \in L^Y, F_{\alpha}^{-1}(B) =$ $\vee \{A \in L^X \mid F_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} \subset B_{[\alpha]}\}$. Clearly, F_{α}^{-1} is also an α -mapping.

An α -mapping $F_{\alpha}: L^X \to L^Y$ is called an α -order-preserving homomorphism (briefly α -oph), iff both F_{α} and F_{α}^{-1} are α -union preserving mappings. An α -mapping $F_{\alpha} : L^X \to L^Y$ is called an α -Symmetric mapping, if for every

 $A, B \in L^X$, we have

$$\exists C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset A^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}, B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset F_{\alpha}(C)_{[\alpha]} \Leftrightarrow \exists D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset B^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}, A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset F_{\alpha}(D)_{[\alpha]}$$

An α -mapping $f_{\alpha}: L^X \to L^X$ is called an α -remote neighborhood mapping, if for each $A \in L^X$ with $A_{[\alpha]} \neq \emptyset$, we have $A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. The set of all α -remote neighborhood mappings is denoted by $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha}(L^X)$, (briefly by \mathscr{F}_{α}).

For $f_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$, let's define

- (1) $f_{\alpha} \leq g_{\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \forall A \in L^X, f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} \subset g_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}.$
- (2) $(f_{\alpha} \vee g_{\alpha})(A) = f_{\alpha}(A) \vee g_{\alpha}(A).$
- (3) $(f_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha})(A) = \wedge \{f_{\alpha}(B) \mid \exists B \in L^X, B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset g_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}\}.$

An non-empty subfamily $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha} \subset \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$ is called an α -quasi-uniform, if \mathscr{D}_{α} satisfies: $(\alpha$ -U1) $\forall f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$ with $f_{\alpha} \leq g_{\alpha}$, then $g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$.

(α -U2) $\forall f_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$ implies $f_{\alpha} \lor g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$.

 $(\alpha$ -U3) $\forall f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, then $\exists g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, such that $g_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \ge f_{\alpha}$.

 $(L^X, \mathscr{D}_{\alpha})$ is called an α -quasi-uniform space. A subset $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} \subset \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$ is called a base of \mathscr{D}_{α} , if $\forall f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, there is $g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}$, such that $f_{\alpha} \leq g_{\alpha}$. A subset $\mathscr{A}_{\alpha} \subset \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$ is called a subbase of \mathscr{D}_{α} , if all of finite unions of the elements in \mathscr{A}_{α} consist a base of \mathscr{D}_{α} . An α -quasi-uniform \mathscr{D}_{α} is called an α -uniform, if \mathscr{D}_{α} possesses a base whose elements are α -symmetric. Usually, we call this base α -symmetric base.

In [10], the author discussed the relation between an α -quasi uniform space and an α -layer co-topological space as following:

Let \mathscr{D}_{α} be an α -quasi uniform on L^X . $\forall A \in L^X$, Let's take $c_{\alpha}(A) = \bigvee \{B \in L^X \mid \forall f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}, A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]}\}$. Then c_{α} is an α -closure operator of some *L*-fuzzy co-topology, which is denoted by $\eta_{\alpha}(\mathscr{D}_{\alpha})$. Each α -layer topological space $(L^X, D_{\alpha}(\delta))$ can be α -quasi uniformitale, i.e., there is an α -quasi uniform \mathscr{D}_{α} , such that $D_{\alpha}(\delta) = \eta_{\alpha}(\mathscr{D}_{\alpha})$.

Other definitions and notes not mentioned here can be seen in [12].

3 Properties of α-Remote Neighborhood Mappings

Theorem 3.1 Let $f_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$. Then

(1) $f_{\alpha} \vee g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}.$ (2) $f_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \leq f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \leq g_{\alpha}.$ (3) $(f_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha}) \vee h_{\alpha} = (f_{\alpha} \vee h_{\alpha}) \odot (g_{\alpha} \vee h_{\alpha}),$

 $(f_{\alpha} \vee g_{\alpha}) \odot h_{\alpha} = (f_{\alpha} \odot h_{\alpha}) \vee (g_{\alpha} \odot h_{\alpha}).$

Theorem 3.2 Let $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$. If for each $A \in L^X$,

$$f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(A) = \wedge \{ f_{\alpha}(B) \mid A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \notin B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \},$$

and

$$f_{\alpha}^{\diamond}(A) = \wedge \{ f_{\alpha}(B) \mid \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not \subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \not \subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} \}.$$

Then

(1) $f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}, f_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}.$ (2) $f_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \leq f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \leq f_{\alpha}.$ (3) $f_{\alpha}^{\diamond}(A) = \wedge \{f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(B) \mid B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}\} = (f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \odot f_{\alpha})(A).$ (4) f_{α}^{∇} is α -Symmetric. Proof (1) By $A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset A_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}$, we have $A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. Thus $f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}.$ \mathscr{F}_{α} . Again by $A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}$, we get $\bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset A_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. Therefore, $f_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}.$ (2) The proof is obvious. (3) For each $B \in L^{X}$,

$$\bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not \subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \not \subset f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]} \Leftrightarrow \exists D_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not \subset A_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}, D_{[\alpha]} \not \subset f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \exists D_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not \subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}, D_{[\alpha]} \not \subset f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]}.$$

So

$$f_{\alpha}^{\diamond}(A) = \wedge \{ f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(D) \mid D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(D)_{[\alpha]} \} = (f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \odot f_{\alpha})(A)$$

(4) $\forall D, E \in L^X$. If there is $A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset D^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}$, such that

$$E_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(A)_{[\alpha]} = \cap \{ f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]} \mid A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \}.$$

Then there is $B \in L^X$, satisfying $E_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]}$ and $A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset (B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \\ G_{[\alpha]}}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}$.

Clearly, $\bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \not\subset D_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}$, i.e., $D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset \bigcap_{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}$. Thus, there is $C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}$, such that $D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(C)_{[\alpha]}$. Conclusively, we have

$$D_{[\alpha]} \subset f_{\alpha}(B)^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset B^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}} f_{\alpha}(G)^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}.$$

and

$$D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset \cap \{ f_{\alpha}(C)_{[\alpha]} \mid D_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{H_{[\alpha]} \not\subset C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}} f_{\alpha}(H)^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]} \} = f^{\nabla}_{\alpha}(D)_{[\alpha]}.$$

Therefore, f_{α}^{∇} is α -Symmetric.

Theorem 3.3 Let f_{α} be an α -Symmetric remote neighborhood mapping, then

$$(1) \quad C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} \Rightarrow A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset C_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(D)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}.$$

$$(2) \quad A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset C_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(D)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \Rightarrow C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}.$$

Proof (1) Since f_{α} is an α -Symmetric mapping. $\forall D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset A^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}$, there is $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}$, satisfying $D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]}$. Therefore,

$$A^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]} \supset \bigcap_{B_{[\alpha]} \not \subset C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}} f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]}, \quad i.e., A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{D_{[\alpha]} \not \subset C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}} f_{\alpha}(D)^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}.$$

(2) By $A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}} f_{\alpha}(D)^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}$, we have $A^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]} \supset \bigcap_{D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}} f_{\alpha}(D)_{[\alpha]}$. So $\forall x \notin A^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}$, there is $D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}$, such that $x \notin f_{\alpha}(D)_{[\alpha]}$. Since f_{α} is α -Symmetric.

There is $B_{[\alpha]}^x \not\subset x_{[\alpha]}^\alpha$, such that $C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_\alpha(B^x)_{[\alpha]}$. Take $E = \bigvee \{B^x \mid x \notin A_{[\alpha]}^\alpha\}$, then $x \not\subset E_{[\alpha]}^\alpha$. This implies $E_{[\alpha]}^\alpha \subset A_{[\alpha]}^\alpha$, i.e., $A_{[\alpha]} \subset E_{[\alpha]}$. Furthermore, we can conclude $C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_\alpha(A)_{[\alpha]}$. Otherwise, if $C_{[\alpha]} \subset f_\alpha(A)_{[\alpha]} \subset f_\alpha(E)_{[\alpha]}$, then it contradicts with the statement: for each $B^x \leq E$, $C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_\alpha(B^x)_{[\alpha]}$.

Theorem 3.4 Let $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$. Then

$$(f_{\alpha}^{\nabla})_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \leq f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \odot f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \leq f_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \leq f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha}.$$

Proof $\forall A \in L^X$,

$$(f_{\alpha}^{\nabla})_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(A) = \wedge \{f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(B) \mid A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \}$$
$$\leq \wedge \{f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(C) \mid C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(A)_{[\alpha]} \}$$
$$= (f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \odot f_{\alpha}^{\nabla})(A).$$

By Theorem 2 (2), $f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \leq f_{\alpha}$, we have

$$(f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \odot f_{\alpha}^{\nabla})(A) = \wedge \{f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(C) \mid C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(A)_{[\alpha]}\}$$
$$\leq \wedge \{f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(C) \mid C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}\}$$
$$= f_{\alpha}^{\diamond}(A).$$

Therefore

$$f_{\alpha}^{\diamond}(A) = \wedge \{ f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(C) \mid C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} \} \leq \wedge \{ f_{\alpha}(C) \mid C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} \} = (f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha})(A).$$

Theorem 3.5 Let $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$. Then

(1) $f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \leq f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha}.$ (2) $f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha}^{\diamond}.$

Proof (1) By Theorem 2, we have

$$f_{\alpha}^{\nabla}(A) = \wedge \{f_{\alpha}(B) \mid A_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{G_{[\alpha]} \not\subset B_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha}} f_{\alpha}(G)_{[\alpha]}^{\alpha} \}$$
$$\leq \wedge \{f_{\alpha}(B) \mid A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} \}$$
$$= f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha}(A).$$

(2) By Theorem 3 (2), we have

$$\bigcup_{D_{[\alpha]} \notin C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}} f_{\alpha}(D)^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]} \Rightarrow \exists B_{[\alpha]} \subset \bigcup_{D_{[\alpha]} \notin C^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}} f_{\alpha}(D)^{\alpha}_{[\alpha]}, B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}$$
$$\Rightarrow \exists B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}, C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}(B)_{[\alpha]}$$
$$\Rightarrow C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}.$$

This shows $f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha} \le f_{\alpha}^{\diamond}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2 (2) and (1) above, it is easy to find $f_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \le f_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \odot f_{\alpha} \le f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha} \odot f_{\alpha}$. Therefore, (2) holds.

4 Characterizations of α-Quasi Uniformities

Theorem 4.1 An non-empty subfamily $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha} \subset \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$ is an α -uniform, iff \mathscr{D}_{α} satisfies:

(1) $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$ with $f_{\alpha} \leq g_{\alpha}$, then $g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$.

(2) $f_{\alpha}, g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$ implies $f_{\alpha} \vee g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$.

(3) $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, then $\exists g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, such that $g_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \geq f_{\alpha}$.

Proof Necessity. Since \mathscr{D}_{α} is an α -uniform, (1) and (2) hold. Furthermore, if $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} \subset \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$ is an α -symmetric base. So for every $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, there is $g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}$, such that $g_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \ge f_{\alpha}$. By Theorem 5 (2), $g_{\alpha}^{\diamond} = g_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \ge f_{\alpha}$.

Sufficiency. If $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = \{g_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \mid g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\}$. For every $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, there is $g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, such that $g_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \geq f_{\alpha}$. By Theorem 4, $g_{\alpha} \odot g_{\alpha} \geq g_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \geq f_{\alpha}$. Then \mathscr{D}_{α} is an α -quasi-uniform. By Theorem 2 (2)and (4), we know $g_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \geq g_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \geq f_{\alpha}$. This shows \mathscr{B}_{α} is an α -symmetric base of \mathscr{D}_{α} . Therefore \mathscr{D}_{α} is an α -uniform.

Theorem 4.2 An non-empty subfamily $\mathscr{D}_{\alpha} \subset \mathscr{F}_{\alpha}$ is an α -uniform, iff \mathscr{D}_{α} satisfies:

f_α ∈ D_α, g_α ∈ F_α with f_α ≤ g_α, then g_α ∈ D_α.
 f_α, g_α ∈ D_α implies f_α ∨ g_α ∈ D_α.
 f_α ∈ D_α, then ∃g_α ∈ D_α, such that g_α[∇] ≥ f_α.

Proof Necessity. Since \mathscr{D}_{α} is an α -uniform, (1) and (2) hold. By Theorem 6, For every $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, there is $g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, such that $g_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \geq f_{\alpha}$. So according to Theorem 2 (2), $g_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \geq g_{\alpha}^{\diamond} \geq f_{\alpha}$. Thus (3) holds.

Sufficiency. If $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = \{g_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \mid g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}\}$. By (3), For every $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, there is $g_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, such that $g_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \geq f_{\alpha}$. Again, there is $h_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}$, such that $h_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \geq g_{\alpha}$. By Theorem 4, we get

$$h_{\alpha} \odot h_{\alpha} \ge h_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \odot h_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \ge (h_{\alpha}^{\nabla})_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \ge g_{\alpha}^{\nabla} \ge f_{\alpha}.$$

So \mathscr{B}_{α} is an α -symmetric base of \mathscr{D}_{α} . Therefore, \mathscr{D}_{α} is an α -uniform.

5 α-P.Q. Metric and its Properties

A binary mapping $d_{\alpha} : L^X \times L^X \to [0, +\infty)$ is called an α -mapping, if $\forall (A, B), (C, D) \in L^X \times L^X$ satisfying $A_{[\alpha]} = C_{[\alpha]}$ and $B_{[\alpha]} = D_{[\alpha]}$, then $d_{\alpha}(A, B) = d_{\alpha}(A, B)$.

Definition 5.1 An α -mapping $d_{\alpha} : L^X \times L^X \to [0, +\infty)$ is called an α -P.Q metric on L^X , if

 $(\alpha - M1) d_{\alpha}(A, A) = 0.$ $(\alpha - M2) d_{\alpha}(A, C) \leq d_{\alpha}(A, B) + d_{\alpha}(B, C).$ $(\alpha - M3) d_{\alpha}(A, B) = \bigwedge_{C[\alpha] \subset B[\alpha]} d_{\alpha}(A, C).$

Theorem 5.1 Let d_{α} be an α -P.Q. metrics on L^X . $\forall r \in (0, +\infty)$, a mapping $P_r : L^X \to L^X$ is defined by $\forall A \in L^X$,

$$P_{\alpha}^{r}(A) = \vee \{B \in L^{X} \mid d_{\alpha}(A, B) \ge r\}.$$

Then

(1) P_{α}^{r} is α -symmetric mapping. (2) $\forall A, B \in L^{X}, B_{[\alpha]} \subset P_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]} \Leftrightarrow d_{\alpha}(A, B) \geq r.$ (3) $\forall A \in L^{X}, r > 0, A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]}.$ (4) $\forall r, s \in (0, \infty), P_{\alpha}^{r} \odot P_{\alpha}^{s} \geq P_{\alpha}^{r+s}.$ (5) $\forall A \in L^{X}, r > 0, P_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]} = \bigcap_{s < r} P_{\alpha}^{s}(A)_{[\alpha]}.$ (6) $\forall A \in L^{X}, \bigcap_{r > 0} P_{\alpha}^{s}(A)_{[\alpha]} = \emptyset.$

Proof Since d_{α} is an α -mapping, (1), (5) and (6) are easy.

(2) Clearly, $d_{\alpha}(A, B) \ge r \Rightarrow B_{[\alpha]} \subset P_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. Conversely, $\forall x \in B_{[\alpha]}, \exists x \in D_{x} \in L^{X}$, such that $d_{\alpha}(A, D_{x}) \ge r$. So $d_{\alpha}(A, \{x_{\alpha}\}) \ge d_{\alpha}(A, D_{x}) \ge r$. Thereby $d_{\alpha}(A, B) = \bigwedge_{x \in B_{[\alpha]}} d_{\alpha}(A, \{x_{\alpha}\}) \ge r$.

(3) Suppose $A_{[\alpha]} \subset P_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. By (2), we get $d_{\alpha}(A, A) \geq r$. This is a contradiction with (α -M1).

(4) $\forall A, B \in L^X$, if $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P^r_{\alpha} \odot P^s_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}$, then there is $D \in L^X$, such that $D_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P^s_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}$ and $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P^r_{\alpha}(D)_{[\alpha]}$. By (2), we have $d_{\alpha}(A, D) < s, d_{\alpha}(D, B) < r$. Then by (α -M2), we gain $d_{\alpha}(A, B) < r + s$. Therefore $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P^{r+s}_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. This means $P^r_{\alpha} \odot P^s_{\alpha} \ge P^{r+s}_{\alpha}$.

Theorem 5.2 If a family of α -mappings $\{P_{\alpha}^r | P_r : L^X \to L^X, r > 0\}$ satisfies the conditions (2)–(5) in Theorem 8. For each A, $B \in L^X$, let's denote

$$d_{\alpha}(A, B) = \wedge \{r \mid B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]} \}.$$

Then

(1) $d_{\alpha}(A, B) < r \Leftrightarrow B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]}.$ (2) d_{α} is α -P.O. metric on L^{X} .

Proof (1) By Theorem 8 (2),(5), we have

$$d_{\alpha}(A, B) < r \Leftrightarrow \exists s < r, B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P_{\alpha}^{s}(A)_{[\alpha]} \Leftrightarrow B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]}.$$

(2)
$$\forall A, B \in L^X, r, s > 0$$
, if $d_\alpha(A, B) > r + s$, then

$$B_{[\alpha]} \subset P_{\alpha}^{r+s}(A)_{[\alpha]} \subset (P_{\alpha}^{r} \odot P_{\alpha}^{s}(A))_{[\alpha]}.$$

Thus $\forall C \in L^X$, we have $C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P^s_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}$ and $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P^r_{\alpha}(C)_{[\alpha]}$. this implies $d_{\alpha}(A, C) > s$, and $d_{\alpha}(A, B) > r$. Hence $d_{\alpha}(A, B) + d_{\alpha}(A, C) > r + s$. Consequently, we obtain $d_{\alpha}(A, B) + d_{\alpha}(A, C) \ge d_{\alpha}(A, B)$.

Theorem 5.3 An α -mapping $d_{\alpha} : L^X \times L^X \to [0, +\infty)$ satisfies $(\alpha - M1), (\alpha - M2)$ and $(\alpha - M3^*)$, then for each $C_{[\alpha]} \subset B_{[\alpha]}, d_{\alpha}(C, B) = 0$. $(\alpha - M3^*) \forall A \in L^X, r > 0, A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P^r_{\alpha}(A)_{[\alpha]}.$

Proof By $(\alpha$ -M2), for each $B, C \in L^X$, satisfying $C_{[\alpha]} \subset B_{[\alpha]}$, we have $d_{\alpha}(A, B) < d_{\alpha}(A, C) + d_{\alpha}(C, B)$. Here we can conclude $d_{\alpha}(C, B) = 0$. Otherwise, if $d_{\alpha}(C, B) = s > 0$, then $B_{[\alpha]} \subset P^s_{\alpha}(C)_{[\alpha]}$. it contracts with $C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P^s_{\alpha}(C)_{[\alpha]}$ according to $(\alpha$ -M3^{*}). Therefore $d_{\alpha}(C, B) = 0$.

Theorem 5.4 An α -mapping $d_{\alpha} : L^X \times L^X \to [0, +\infty)$ is an α -P.Q metric on L^X , iff d_{α} satisfies $(\alpha$ -M1), $(\alpha$ -M2) and $(\alpha$ -M3^{*}).

Proof We only need to prove $(\alpha$ -M3) \Leftrightarrow $(\alpha$ -M3^{*}). By Theorem 8 (2), it is easy to check $(\alpha$ -M3) \Rightarrow $(\alpha$ -M3^{*}). If the converse result is not true, then there are r, s > 0, such that

$$d_{\alpha}(A, B) < s < r \leq \bigcap_{C_{[\alpha]} \subset B_{[\alpha]}} d_{\alpha}(A, C).$$

so for each $C_{[\alpha]} \subset B_{[\alpha]}$,

$$r \le d_{\alpha}(A, C) \le d_{\alpha}(A, B) + d_{\alpha}(B, C) < s + d_{\alpha}(B, C).$$

Thus, $0 < r - s < d_{\alpha}(B, C)$, which implies $C_{[\alpha]} \subset P_{\alpha}^{r-s}(B)_{[\alpha]}$. As a result

$$B_{[\alpha]} = (\lor \{C \mid C_{[\alpha]} \subset B_{[\alpha]}\})_{[\alpha]} \subset P_{\alpha}^{r-s}(B)_{[\alpha]}.$$

However, it contradicts with $(\alpha$ -M3^{*}). Therefore $(\alpha$ -M3) holds.

Theorem 5.5 d_{α} is α -P.Q. metric on L^X . Then $\{P_{\alpha}^r \mid r > 0\}$ satisfying (3)–(5) in Theorem 8 is an α -base of some α -uniform, which is called the α -uniform induced by d_{α} .

Given an α -quasi-uniform \mathscr{D}_{α} , if we say \mathscr{D}_{α} is metricable, we mean there is an α -P.Q. metric d_{α} , such that \mathscr{D}_{α} is induced by d_{α} .

Theorem 5.6 An α -quasi-uniform spaces $(L^X, \mathscr{D}_{\alpha})$ is α -P.Q. metricable iff it has a countable α -base.

Proof By Theorem 12, the necessity is obvious. Let's prove the sufficiency.

Let \mathscr{D}_{α} be an α -uniformity on L^X , which has a countable α -base $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = \{P_{\alpha}^n \mid n \in N\}$. Let's take $g_{\alpha}^1 = P_{\alpha}^1$, then there is $g_{\alpha}^2 \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}$, such that $g_{\alpha}^2 \odot g_{\alpha}^2 \odot g_{\alpha}^2 \ge g_{\alpha}^1 \lor P_{\alpha}^2$. In addition, there is $g_{\alpha}^3 \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}$, such that $g_{\alpha}^3 \odot g_{\alpha}^3 \ge g_{\alpha}^2 \lor P_{\alpha}^3$. The process can be repeated again and again, then $\{g_{\alpha}^n \in n \in N\}$ is also an α -base. Obviously, $g_{\alpha}^{n+1} \odot g_{\alpha}^{n+1} \ge g_{\alpha}^n$. Let's take $\varphi_{\alpha} : L^X \to L^X$, defined by: $\forall A \in L^X$,

$$\varphi_{\alpha}^{r}(A) = \begin{cases} g_{\alpha}^{n}(A), & \frac{1}{2^{n}} < r \le \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}, \\ 0_{X}, & r > 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\forall r > 0, A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset \varphi_{\alpha}^{r}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. And $\forall \frac{1}{2^{n}} < r \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$, we have

$$\varphi_{\alpha}^{r} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r} = g_{\alpha}^{n} \odot g_{\alpha}^{n} \odot g_{\alpha}^{n} \ge g_{\alpha}^{n-1} = \varphi_{\alpha}^{2r}$$

Let's define

$$f_{\alpha}^{r}(A) = \wedge \left\{ (\varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{1}} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{2}} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{k}}(A)) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} = r \right\}.$$

Then $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = \{f_{\alpha}^r \mid r > 0\} \subset \mathscr{D}_{\alpha}.$

Finally, let's prove \mathscr{B}_{α} is an α -base of \mathscr{D}_{α} satisfying (3)-(6) in Theorem 8.

Step 1. For $f_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}$, there is $n \in N$, such that $g_{\alpha}^{n} \geq f_{\alpha}$. So if $r \in (\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{n}}]$, then $\varphi_{\alpha}^{2r} = g_{\alpha}^{n}$. Besides, it is easy to check, $\varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{1}} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{2}} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{k}} \geq \varphi_{\alpha}^{2r}$ whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} = r$. Thus $f_{\alpha}^{r} \geq \varphi_{\alpha}^{2r} = g_{\alpha}^{n} \geq f_{\alpha}$. This means \mathscr{B}_{α} be an α -base of \mathscr{D}_{α} .

Step 2. Obviously, $f_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{B}$ satisfies (3) and (6) in Theorem 8. Furthermore, for $r, s > 0, A \in L^X$, if there is $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset (f_{\alpha}^r \odot f_{\alpha}^s(A))_{[\alpha]}$. Then there is $C \in L^X$, such that $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^r(C)_{[\alpha]}$ and $C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^s(A)_{[\alpha]}$. So there are $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i = r$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m s_i = s$, such that

$$B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset (\varphi_{\alpha}^{r_1} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_2} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_k}(C))_{[\alpha]}$$

and

$$C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset (\varphi_{\alpha}^{s_1} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{s_2} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{s_m}(A))_{[\alpha]}$$

Thus

$$B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset (\varphi_{\alpha}^{r_1} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_2} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_k}) \odot (\varphi_{\alpha}^{s_1} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{s_2} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{s_m})(A)_{[\alpha]}.$$

As a result, $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^{r+s}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. Consequently, $f_{\alpha}^{r+s} \leq f_{\alpha}^r \odot f_{\alpha}^s$. This is the proof of (4) in Theorem 8.

Step 3. for r > s > 0, $A \in L^X$.

$$f_{\alpha}^{r}(A) = \wedge \left\{ (\varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{1}} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{2}} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_{k}}(A) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} = r \right\}$$

$$\leq \wedge \left\{ (\varphi_{\alpha}^{s_{1}} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{s_{2}} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{s_{m}} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r-s}(A)) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} = m \right\}$$

$$\leq \wedge \left\{ (\varphi_{\alpha}^{s_{1}} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{s_{2}} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{s_{m}}(A)) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i} = m \right\} = f_{\alpha}^{s}(A).$$

Hence $f_{\alpha}^r \leq \bigwedge_{s < r} f_{\alpha}^s$. Conversely. Let's prove the reverse result.

If $B \in L^X$, $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^r(A)_{[\alpha]}$, then there is $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i = r$, such that $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_1} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_2} \odot$ $\cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_k}(A)_{[\alpha]}. \text{ So there is } C \in L^X, \text{ such that } C_{[\alpha]} \not\subset (\varphi_{\alpha}^{r_2} \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_2} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_k}(A)_{[\alpha]})$ and $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_1}(C)_{[\alpha]}. \text{ By } \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_1} = \bigwedge_{t < r_1} \varphi_{\alpha}^t, \text{ there is } t < r_1, \text{ such that } B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset \varphi_{\alpha}^t(C)_{[\alpha]},$ i.e., $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset \varphi_{\alpha}^t \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_2} \odot \cdots \odot \varphi_{\alpha}^{r_k}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. Let's take $s = t + \sum_{i=2}^k r_i$, we have s < rand $B_{[\alpha]} \not\subset f_{\alpha}^{s}(A)_{[\alpha]}$. Therefore $f_{\alpha}^{r} \ge \bigwedge_{s < r} f_{\alpha}^{s}$. Therefore (5) in Theorem 8 holds.

Theorem 5.7 Each α - C_{II} α -layer topological space is P.Q.-metriclizable.

Proof Let $(L^X, D_{\alpha}(\delta))$ be an α - C_{II} space, $\{P_n \mid n \in N\}$ be an α -base. $\forall n \in N$, $f_{\alpha}^{P_n} : L^X \to L^X$ is defined as: $\forall A \in L^X$,

$$f_{\alpha}^{P_n}(A) = \begin{cases} 0_X, \ A_{[\alpha]} \subset P_{n[\alpha]}.\\ P_n, \ A_{[\alpha]} \not\subset P_{n[\alpha]}. \end{cases}$$

Let's take $\mathscr{D}^* = \{ f_{\alpha}^{P_n} \mid n \in N \}$ and

$$\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = \{ f_{\alpha} \mid \exists f_{\alpha}^{P_{n_i}} \in \mathscr{D}^*, i = 1, 2, \cdots, m, f_{\alpha} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} f_{\alpha}^{P_{n_i}} \}.$$

Then \mathscr{B}_{α} is an α -base of some uniform denoted by \mathscr{D}_{α} and clearly, $\eta_{\alpha} = \eta_{\alpha}(\mathscr{D}_{\alpha})$. Furthermore, since \mathscr{B}_{α} is countable, we know $(L^{X}, D_{\alpha}(\delta))$ is P.Q.-metriclizable.

Theorem 5.8 An α -layer co-topology $D_{\alpha}(\delta)$ on L^{X} can be α -P.Q. metriclizable iff there is a Sequence of α -remote neighborhood mappings $\{f_{\alpha}^{n}\}_{n \in N}$ satisfying

(1) $\forall n \in N, f_{\alpha}^{n} \leq f_{\alpha}^{n+1} \odot f_{\alpha}^{n+1} \odot f_{\alpha}^{n+1},$ (2) $\forall a \in M^{*}(L^{X}), \{f_{\alpha}^{n}(a)\}_{n \in N}$ is the α -remote neighborhood family of a.

Proof Necessary. If $D_{\alpha}(\delta)$ can be α -P.Q. metriclizable, there is an α -P.Q. metric, say d_{α} . Let's take $f_{\alpha}^{n} = P_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{3^{n}}}$. By Theorem 8, it is clear that (1) and (2) hold. Sufficiency. If $\{f_{\alpha}^{n}\}_{n \in N}$ satisfies (1) and (2). Clearly, $\{f_{\alpha}^{n}\}_{n \in N}$ is countable. So

Sufficiency. If $\{f_{\alpha}^{n}\}_{n \in N}$ satisfies (1) and (2). Clearly, $\{f_{\alpha}^{n}\}_{n \in N}$ is countable. So by Theorem 12, it is an α -base of some α -quasi uniform \mathscr{D}_{α} . Therefore $D_{\alpha}(\delta) = \eta_{\alpha}(\mathscr{D}_{\alpha})$. This means $D_{\alpha}(\delta)$ is α -P.Q. metriclizable.

Theorem 5.9 An α -layer co-topology $D_{\alpha}(\delta)$ on L^X can be α -P.Q. metriclizable iff there is a Sequence of α -symmetric remote neighborhood mappings $\{f_{\alpha}^n\}_{n \in N}$ satisfying

(1) $\forall n \in N, f_{\alpha}^{n} \leq f_{\alpha}^{n+1} \odot f_{\alpha}^{n+1} \odot f_{\alpha}^{n+1}$, (2) $\forall a \in M^{*}(L^{X}), \{f_{\alpha}^{n}(a)\}_{n \in N}$ is the α -remote neighborhood family of a.

Theorem 5.10 An α -layer co-topology $D_{\alpha}(\delta)$ on L^X can be α -P.Q. metriclizable iff there is a Sequence of α -symmetric remote neighborhood mappings $\{f_{\alpha}^n\}_{n \in N}$ satisfying

(1) $\forall n \in N, f_{\alpha}^{n} \leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+1})^{\diamond} \leq f_{\alpha}^{n+1},$ (2) $\forall a \in M^{*}(L^{X}), \{f_{\alpha}^{n}(a)\}_{n \in N}$ is the α -remote neighborhood family of a.

Proof Necessity. It is similar to that of Theorem 14.

Sufficiency. If $\{f_{\alpha}^n\}_{n \in N}$ satisfies (1) and (2). By Theorem 2, $\forall n \in N, f_{\alpha}^n \leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+1})^{\diamond} \leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+1})^{\bigtriangledown} \leq f_{\alpha}^{n+1}$. By Theorem 4, $\forall n \in N, f_{\alpha}^n \leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+1})^{\diamond} \leq f_{\alpha}^{n+1} \odot f_{\alpha}^{n+1}$.

Therefore $\forall n \in N$,

$$f_{\alpha}^{n} \leq f_{\alpha}^{n+1} \odot f_{\alpha}^{n+1} \leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+2})^{\nabla} \odot (f_{\alpha}^{n+2})^{\nabla} \leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+2})^{\nabla}.$$

Again, by Theorem 4,

$$\begin{split} f_{\alpha}^{n} &\leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+2})^{\nabla} \odot (f_{\alpha}^{n+2})^{\nabla} \leq ((f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla})^{\nabla} \odot ((f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla})^{\nabla} \\ &\leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla} \odot (f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla} \odot (f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla} \odot (f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla} \\ &\leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla} \odot (f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla} \odot (f_{\alpha}^{n+4})^{\nabla}. \end{split}$$

 $\forall n \in N, g_{\alpha}^{n} = (f_{\alpha}^{4n-3})^{\nabla}$. Then $\{g_{\alpha}^{n}\}_{n \in N}$ is a family of α -symmetric remote neighborhood mappings. It is clear that $\{g_{\alpha}^{n}(a)\}_{n \in N}$ is the α -remote neighborhood family of a.

Theorem 5.11 An α -layer co-topology $D_{\alpha}(\delta)$ on L^X can be α -P.Q. metriclizable iff there is a Sequence of α -remote neighborhood mappings $\{f_{\alpha}^n\}_{n \in N}$ satisfying

(1)
$$\forall n \in N, f_{\alpha}^n \leq (f_{\alpha}^{n+1})^{\nabla} \leq f_{\alpha}^{n+1},$$

(2) $\forall a \in M^*(L^X), \{f_{\alpha}^n(a)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the α -remote neighborhood family of a.

Acknowledgments Thanks to the support by: 1. National Science Foundation (No.10971125). 2. Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 01000004) 3. The construct program of the key discipline in Hunan University of Science and Engineering.

References

- 1. Erceg, M.A.: Metric in fuzzy set theory. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 69, 205-230 (1979)
- 2. Hutton, B.: Uniformities on fuzzy topological spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 58, 557-571 (1997)
- 3. Peng, Y.W.: Pointwise p.q. metric and the family of induced maps on completely distributive lattices. Ann. Math. **3**, 353–359 (1992)
- 4. Shi, F.G.: Pointwise quasi-uniformities in fuzzy set theory. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 98, 141-146 (1998)
- 5. Shi, F.G.: Pointwise Pseudo-metrics in *L*-fuzzy set theory. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **121**, 209–216 (2001)
- 6. Shi, F.G., Zhang, J., Zheng, C.Y.: *L*-proxinmities and totally bounded pointwise L-uniformities. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **133**, 321–331 (2003)
- 7. Zhang, J.: Lattice valued smooth pointwise Quasi-uniformity on completely distributive lattice. Fuzzy Syst. Math. **17**(2), 30–34 (2003)
- Yue, Y., Fang, J.: Extension of Shi's quaso-uniformities in a Kubiak-spstal sense. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 157, 1956–1969 (2006)
- Yue, Y., Shi, F.G.: On (L, M)-fuzzy quasi-uniform spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 158, 1472–1485 (2007)
- 10. Wu, X.Y.: *L*-fuzzy α -quasi uniformity. Fuzzy Syst. Math. **3**(3), 94–99 (2012)
- 11. Meng, G.W., Meng, H.: *D*-closed sets and their applications. Fuzzy Syst. Math. **17**(1), 24–27 (2003)
- Wang, G.J.: Theory of L-Fuzzy Topological Spaces. The Press of Shanxi normal University, Xi'an (1988)