Interplay Between DNA Tumor Viruses and the Host DNA Damage Response

Karyn McFadden and Micah A. Luftig

Abstract Viruses encounter many challenges within host cells in order to replicate their nucleic acid. In the case of DNA viruses, one challenge that must be overcome is recognition of viral DNA structures by the host DNA damage response (DDR) machinery. This is accomplished in elegant and unique ways by different viruses as each has specific needs and sensitivities dependent on its life cycle. In this review, we focus on three DNA tumor viruses and their interactions with the DDR. The viruses Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), Kaposi's sarcomaassociated herpesvirus (KSHV), and human papillomavirus (HPV) account for nearly all of the virus-associated human cancers worldwide. These viruses have also been excellent models for the study of oncogenic virus-mediated cell transformation. In this review, we will discuss how each of these viruses engage and subvert aspects of the host DDR. The first level of DDR engagement is a result of the genetic linkage between the oncogenic potential of these viruses and their ability to replicate. Namely, the promotion of cells from quiescence into the cell cycle to facilitate virus replication can be sensed through aberrant cellular DNA replication structures which activate the DDR and hinder cell transformation. DNA tumor viruses subvert this growth-suppressive DDR through changes in viral oncoprotein expression which ultimately facilitate virus replication. An additional level of DDR engagement is through direct detection of replicating viral DNA. These interactions parallel those observed in other DNA virus systems in that the need to subvert these intrinsic sensors of aberrant DNA structure in order to replicate must be in place. DNA tumor viruses are no exception. This review will cover the molecular features of DNA tumor virus interactions with the host DDR and the consequences for virus replication.

K. McFadden · M. A. Luftig (⊠)

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Center for Virology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA e-mail: micah.luftig@duke.edu

B. R. Cullen (ed.), *Intrinsic Immunity*, Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology 371, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-37765-5_9, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

Contents

Abbreviations	230
1 Introduction	230
1.1 The DNA Damage Response	231
1.2 Viruses and the DDR	233
2 Epstein-Barr Virus and the DNA Damage Response	234
2.1 Epstein-Barr Virus	234
2.2 The Role of the DDR in Suppressing EBV-Induced Cell Proliferation 2	236
2.3 EBV and Genomic Instability 2	237
2.4 Latent EBV DNA Replication Requires the DDR	238
2.5 The Role of the DDR in Facilitating EBV Lytic Replication	239
3 Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus and the DDR 2	242
3.1 Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus	242
3.2 The Role of the DDR in Suppressing KSHV-Induced Cell Proliferation 2	242
3.3 The Role of the DDR in KSHV Lytic Replication 2	244
4 Human Papillomaviruses and the DNA Damage Response 2	245
4.1 Human Papillomaviruses	245
4.2 The Role of the DDR in Suppressing HPV-Induced Cell Proliferation 2	246
4.3 The Role of the DDR in Facilitating HPV Vegetative DNA Replication	247
5 Conclusions	248
References	250

Abbreviations

DDR	DNA damage response
EBV	Epstein-Barr virus
KSHV	Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus
HPV	Human papillomavirus
ATM	Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated
ATR	Ataxia-telangiectasia and RAD3-related
MRN	Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1
BL	Burkitt's lymphoma
LCL	Lymphoblastoid cell line
DSB	Double-stranded break
ssDNA	Single-stranded DNA
EBNA	Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen
LMP	(EBV) latent membrane protein
vFLIP	(KSHV) viral FLICE (FADD-like IL-1β-converting enzyme) inhibitory
	protein

1 Introduction

This review will focus on the interplay between DNA tumor viruses and the host DNA damage response (DDR). We will first discuss the components of the DDR sensing machinery and signaling pathways, then the interplay between viruses

and the DDR. The role of the DDR in regulating replication of each specific virus, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), and human papillomaviruses (HPV), will then be discussed individually. Finally, we will address emerging themes in the interactions between viral proteins and DNA with the DDR and convergent roles of the DDR in regulating latent versus lytic virus replication.

1.1 The DNA Damage Response

Our DNA must be replicated faithfully in the face of continuous damage by both endogenous and exogenous agents. Damaged DNA that is not repaired during the cell cycle has the potential to cause genomic instability which could ultimately result in cancer. The DDR is a signal transduction pathway that senses and recruits repair complexes to the site of damage and can stall cell cycle progression until the repair is completed [reviewed in (Bartek et al. 2007)]. The presence of damaged DNA triggers a response mediated by members of the phosphoinositide-3-like kinase (PIKK) family of serine/threonine kinases: ataxiatelangiectasia mutated (ATM), ataxia-telangiectasia and RAD3-related (ATR), or DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) (Smith et al. 2010). This leads to the activation via phosphorylation of a number of downstream factors leading to repair or in the face of irreparable damage, senescence, or apoptosis.

The most detrimental form of DNA damage is a double-stranded break (DSB) which is sensed by the ATM arm of the DDR (Fig. 1a and reviewed in Derheimer and Kastan 2010). In response to DSBs, the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 (MRN) complex binds to the site of DNA damage and ATM is recruited to the damaged DNA, where it interacts with the MRN complex (Derheimer and Kastan 2010; Falck et al. 2005). ATM then undergoes an activating autophosphorylation at serine 1981, which causes it to transition from a dimer to a monomer (So et al. 2009; Bakkenist and Kastan 2003). ATM is further activated through additional phosphorylations as well as acetylation of lysine 3016 by TIP60 (Sun et al. 2005). Recent evidence also implicates the modulation of phosphorylation by protein phosphatase 2A (Goodarzi et al. 2004) and WIP1 phosphatases in the activation of ATM (Shreeram et al. 2006). ATM then phosphorylates H2AX on S139 (known as yH2AX) (Burma et al. 2001) which recruits the critical adaptor protein MDC1 (Stewart et al. 2003). The ubiquitin ligase proteins RNF8 and RNF168 bind to ATM-phosphorylated MDC1 leading to the ubiquitination of γ H2AX, which scaffolds the interactions with 53BP1 and Brca1 (Huen et al. 2007; Mailand et al. 2007; Kolas et al. 2007; Doil et al. 2009). These events nucleate and retain a host of DNA repair factors at sites of DNA damage as well as enabling the phosphorylation of downstream targets of ATM including Chk2, p53, and Cdc25 family members that mediate cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Smith et al. 2010; Matsuoka et al. 2007).

ATR is essential in cells for DNA replication as it responds to single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) exposure following replication fork collapse and the uncoupling of



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the ATM and ATR DNA damage response signaling pathways. a ATM activation responding to a DNA double-stranded break (DSB). The initial complex of Mre11, Rad50, and Nbs1 targets the DSB and recruits ATM to activate its kinase domain. This leads to phosphorylation and monomerization, which then triggers a cascade of target phosphorylations. H2AX, the histone H2A variant, is first phosphorylated and provides a scaffold for MDC1, which recruits the ring-finger Ub ligases RNF8 and RNF168 to ubiquitinate H2AX. Concomitantly, enzymes such as Tip60 are recruited that can facilitate both ATM activation and chromatin de-compaction through acetylation of ATM directly and histone tails, respectively. The ubiquitination of H2AX further promotes recruitment and retention of factors such as 53BP1 and Brca1 that establish stable DDR foci propagating ATM signaling to effector molecules such as the checkpoint kinase Chk2, the transcription factor p53, and others. b ATR activation responding to ssDNA exposure following DNA replicative stress. Following replication fork collapse, ssDNA exposure is sensed by the protein RPA that coats these regions and serves as a scaffold to recruit the kinase ATR through its co-factor ATRIP. The critical ATR-activating factor TopBP1 is recruited through the Rad9-Rad1-Hus1 (9-1-1) complex at the replication fork. Finally, Claspin recruitment to the complex enables ATR phosphorylation of Chk1 and many other downstream effectors. A common downstream target is p53, which can be phosphorylated by both ATM and ATR leading to phenotypic outcomes ranging from DNA repair to apoptosis and senescence depending on the strength and duration of the DNA-damaging signal

the replication complex (Fig. 1b and reviewed in Nam and Cortez 2011). Replication protein A (RPA) coats the ssDNA and recruits ATR through its partner ATR-interacting protein (ATRIP) (Zou and Elledge 2003). Topoisomerase-binding protein 1 (TopBP1) is recruited to the ssDNA through the Rad9-Rad1-Hus1 (9-1-1) complex and then binds to both ATR and ATRIP. This stimulates ATR kinase activity lead-ing to the phosphorylation of downstream effectors (Kumagai et al. 2006; Matsuoka et al. 2007) including the critical mediator Claspin, which recruits Chk1 to ssDNA, thus enabling ATR to phosphorylate and activate its kinase domain to promote check-point activation (Jeong et al. 2003; Kumagai and Dunphy 2003; Smith et al. 2010).

Chk1 is the primary effector of the intra-S and G2/M checkpoints while Chk2 exerts influence in G1/S and intra-S (Bartek and Lukas 2003; Stracker et al. 2009). Both Chk1 and Chk2 phosphorylate the Cdc25 family of phosphatases that function to activate cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) by removing inhibitory phosphorylations (Smith et al. 2010). Chk2 also modulates cell cycle progression by phosphorylating E2F, and both Chk1 and Chk2 can phosphorylate p53 with

downstream consequences on G1/S-phase progression and apoptosis. Chk1 and Chk2 also play a role in DNA repair through the phosphorylation and activation of components of the homologous recombination (HR) pathway (Stracker et al. 2009). Despite the apparent dissection of function between the ATM and ATR pathway signaling to Chk2 and Chk1, respectively, these pathways are often functionally redundant where common targets are temporally offset in being phosphorylated by one kinase or the other (Stiff et al. 2006). Nevertheless, the engagement of the DDR leads to a rapid accumulation of factors with characteristic activating phosphorylations at sites of DNA damage. These hallmarks are useful measures of DDR activity, but detailed genetic analysis of individual signaling molecules is necessary to get an accurate picture of how these complex signaling networks are built.

1.2 Viruses and the DDR

Viruses face extraordinary challenges upon infection of a host cell. Both RNA and DNA viruses must evade innate sensors of foreign nucleic acids and other pattern recognition receptors to begin protein production, genome replication, and new particle formation. In the case of DNA viruses, a major challenge involves a specific conflict setup between the viral and host DNA genomes. The viral DNA genome may be recognized as damaged DNA and initiates a DDR that could have beneficial or catastrophic consequences for virus replication. For instance, adenovirus DNA structures are recognized by the host DDR to prevent cleavage and packaging of progeny DNA. An adenovirus protein E3 ubiquitin ligase complex (E4orf3/E1B55k) specifically degrades the components of the host DNA damage–sensing Mre11/Rad50/Nbs1 (MRN) complex to allow faithful DNA processing and prevent concatemerization (Stracker et al. 2002). This landmark paper highlighted the complexity of the conflict between DNA viruses and the host DDR. Subsequent work in other DNA viruses has indicated both a positive role for the DDR in some aspects of virus replication as well as a limiting role in others (Weitzman et al. 2010).

In the specific case of DNA tumor viruses, several aspects of virus replication and virus-induced cell transformation involve the DDR (Nikitin and Luftig 2011, 2012). Viral oncoproteins are required to drive infected cells from quiescence into the cell cycle in order to promote virus replication. The consequence of viral oncoprotein expression is cellular DNA replicative stress that can activate a growth-suppressive DDR. In the setting of viral latency or during virus replication, viral proteins can directly engage and either activate or inactivate components of the host DDR. This engagement can engender the specificity necessary to either promote certain aspects of the DDR, such as repair factor recruitment, or negate other activities, such as downstream activation of apoptosis. A final mechanism by which DNA tumor viruses can engage the DDR is through direct recognition of aberrant viral DNA structures. Under certain circumstances, such as latent DNA replication of large DNA tumor viruses (e.g., gamma-herpesviruses), DDR factor recruitment is important in maintaining episomal DNA. Similarly, during lytic replication of these viruses, DDR factors assemble at sites of viral DNA replication to recruit factors likely important for recombination and repair of viral DNA prior to packaging. However, robust DDR signaling can activate checkpoints and apoptosis that must be quenched downstream in order to allow virus replication to proceed. The coordination of these processes is an area of intense study and DNA tumor viruses provide an excellent model with which to strengthen our understanding of these responses. In this review, we will focus on the mechanisms by which human DNA tumor viruses engage and subvert the host DDR. The three representative viruses discussed will be EBV, KSHV, and the HPV.

2 Epstein-Barr Virus and the DNA Damage Response

2.1 Epstein-Barr Virus

EBV is a human gamma-herpesvirus that is found ubiquitously in the adult population, where it establishes a lifelong latency with no discernible clinical manifestations in the majority of those infected (Rickinson and Kieff 2007). Infection with EBV generally occurs early in life and the virus establishes a lifelong latency in B lymphocytes. EBV has been linked to a number of benign and malignant diseases such as infectious mononucleosis, endemic Burkitt's lymphoma (eBL), and the epithelial cell-derived nasopharyngeal carcinomas (NPC) (Rickinson and Kieff 2007). EBV is a concern in immunocompromised patients, where it has been linked to a large subset of HIV-1 associated B-cell lymphomas and post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders (Rickinson and Kieff 2007).

Initial EBV infection of epithelial cells typically results in lytic replication, which is followed by infection of resting B cells where long-term latency is established. Most EBV-induced malignancies are associated with the latent stage of the viral replicative cycle, during which only a limited set of viral proteins and small non-coding RNAs are produced. The proteins expressed during latent infection include the EBV nuclear antigens (EBNAs 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 3C, and LP) and the latent membrane proteins (LMP1 and LMP2) (Yates et al. 1985). Akin to many oncogenic viruses, EBV alters the host cell to ensure long-term proliferation and survival. This includes induction of the cell cycle and evasion of innate host defenses that would result in long-term senescence or apoptosis. The first viral proteins expressed in the infected cell are EBNA-LP and EBNA2, which transcriptionally up-regulate the expression of a number of viral and cellular genes, thereby inducing a transition of resting B cells into the cell cycle (Kaiser et al. 1999; Sinclair et al. 1994). These genes include the viral EBNA1 and EBNA3A, 3B, and 3C as well as cellular S-phase-initiating proto-oncogenes such as c-Myc, E2F1, and cyclin D2 (Kaiser et al. 1999) (Fig. 2a, bottom). The EBNA3 proteins modulate EBNA2-dependent gene expression in addition to regulating a number of other cellular targets (Johannsen et al. 1996; Zhao et al. 1996). EBNA2 and EBNA3C then co-activate the viral LMP1, a constitutively active mimic of the TNF receptor



Fig. 2 Epstein-Barr virus and the DNA damage response. a DDR activation during EBV latency. Top, Early after B-cell infection with EBV, cells hyper-proliferate dependent on the expression of the viral EBNA2 and EBNA-LP proteins driving c-Myc and other S-phase-promoting genes. This results in an activated DDR including ATM and H2AX phosphorylation. Bottom, in later divisions after infection, and also in immortalized LCLs, the expression of the EBNA3C protein mitigates the DDR, presumably through attenuating the CDK inhibitor p16. Additional viral proteins are expressed such as LMP1 and LMP2 that contribute to the LCL survival phenotype. **b** DDR activation during EBV lytic infection. Reactivation from diverse stimuli converge on expression of the critical viral trans-activator Z. In the case of anti-Ig treatment of latently infected B cells or pan-HDAC inhibition, these effects are direct on the Z promoter (top left). However, other molecules such as DNA-damaging agents like reactive oxygen species (ROS) can directly promote ATM activation. The consequence of this activation is also Z expression through the ATM-dependent regulation of viral lytic promoters and Tip60-mediated acetylation of viral chromatin. Expression of the viral kinase BGLF4 further supports this mechanism through direct activation of Tip60, ATM, and H2AX. Ultimately, the downstream signaling from ATM can lead to the deleterious effect of p53-mediated apoptosis. However, the viral Z protein can form an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex to promote p53 degradation at late stages during viral lytic replication

and B-cell co-stimulatory molecule CD40 (Lin et al. 2002; Cahir McFarland et al. 1999). This triggers NF κ B, p38, and JNK signaling pathways resulting in the modulation in gene expression patterns to allow for long-term cellular proliferation and survival. Additionally, LMP2A acts as a mimic of the B-cell receptor, leading to constitutive, high-level expression of PI3K signaling and pro-survival pathways (Miller et al. 1995). EBNA1 is a DNA-binding transcription factor that primarily functions to replicate and segregate the EBV episome (Yates et al. 1985).

EBV encodes three distinct latency programs which are tightly linked to the differentiation state of the infected B cell and exhibit distinct patterns of gene expression (Thorley-Lawson 2001). Infection of resting primary human peripheral blood B cells with EBV in vitro transforms them into indefinitely proliferating non-tumorigenic lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) which express the full set of latency genes (latency III). In contrast, the more restricted latency II program is found in normal EBV-infected germinal center B cells, Hodgkin's lymphomas, and NPCs, where only EBNA1, the LMPs, and viral non-coding RNAs are detected. A latency I expression profile is found in BL where only the non-coding viral RNAs and EBNA1 are expressed. A more recently described latency type, Wp-restricted, found in approximately 20 % of EBV-positive BLs, is often deleted for EBNA2 and retains all other latent genes (Kelly et al. 2002). Finally, normal resting peripheral blood memory B cells in asymptomatic individuals display a latency 0 type of gene expression where essentially all viral genes are silenced, save EBNA1 during cell division and perhaps the viral miRNAs (Babcock et al. 2000).

2.2 The Role of the DDR in Suppressing EBV-Induced Cell Proliferation

Viral induction of proliferation and survival pathways can result in oncogenic transformation, as evidenced by the tight association of latent EBV infection with many types of malignancies. However, these malignancies are rare despite the greater than 90 % prevalence of the virus in the adult population. In support of this, the efficiency of B-cell transformation is low, with only ~1 % of infected cells becoming immortalized (Henderson et al. 1977; Sugden and Mark 1977). This implies that the host cell must be able to restrict virally induced proliferation. This was addressed by work by Nikitin, et al. who demonstrated that at least 10 % of this restriction is due to the induction of the DDR (Nikitin et al. 2010). Infection of primary B cells with EBV leads to a transient period of hyper-proliferation that peaks 4-6 days after infection and eventually slows to the rate observed in LCLs. This hyper-proliferative phase coincides with the activation of the ATM-dependent DDR, as indicated by the phosphorylation of H2AX, ATM, Chk2 and the formation of 53BP1 nuclear foci (Fig. 2a). These cells were demonstrated to be nearly 100 % latently infected, and the DDR markers did not co-localize with viral episomes. This indicates that the DDR was activated in response to host DNA damage and not to the replication or presence of the viral DNA. Inhibition of ATM or Chk2 enhanced B-cell transformation, implying that the DDR is an innate mechanism that the cell uses to restrict viral-mediated cellular proliferation. The enhanced transformation observed in the presence of inhibitors of the DDR was only effective during the hyper-proliferative period. As the infected B cells transitioned to become immortalized LCLs, there was a reduction in both DDR markers and sensitivity to the DDR.

The differences in host gene expression between resting B cells, transformed LCLs, and this hyper-proliferative population could reveal how the host cell can

limit transformation. Indeed, gene expression microarrays indicated that there are distinct transcriptomic changes between the hyper-proliferating cells in comparison to either LCLs or uninfected B cells (Nikitin et al. 2010; Price et al. 2012; Forte et al. 2012). These include enrichment for genes that are involved in cell cycle progression and the DDR. This also corresponded to a transition from a period of elevated EBNA-LP and EBNA2 activity to an accumulation of EBNA3 proteins. As previously noted, EBNA-LP and EBNA2 up-regulate expression of a number of genes involved in cell cycle progression, and this activity is repressed though the action of the EBNA3 proteins (Kieff and Rickinson 2007). This could indicate a model in which initial infection causes a transient period of hyper-proliferation induced by EBNA2-regulated genes such as c-Myc, which results in replicative stress and induction of the DDR-mediated growth arrest.

In order to establish latency, the virus must overcome the DDR-induced cell cycle arrest. Loss of EBNA3C prevents EBV-mediated B-cell transformation and also results in a dramatic increase in the activation of DDR markers (Nikitin et al. 2010). Previous work has demonstrated that EBNA3C represses the MDM2 antagonist p14ARF and the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p16INK4a through epigenetic silencing of their promoter (Skalska et al. 2010; Maruo et al. 2011). Given recent evidence suggesting that persistent DDR signaling at sites of irreparable DNA damage leading to senescence can be exacerbated by over-expression of p16 (Rodier et al. 2011), the role of EBNA3C in suppressing p16 may, in fact, be critical for its ability to attenuate the EBV-induced DDR.

EBNA3C has also been demonstrated to interact with a number of host factors including RBPJ, CtBP, NCoR, HDACs, and others (Bajaj et al. 2008; Rosendorff et al. 2004; Subramanian et al. 2002; Touitou et al. 2005). Notably, EBNA3C was shown to directly interact with Chk2, and this interaction relieved a G2/M cell cycle block (Choudhuri et al. 2007). EBNA3C has also been linked to S-phase entry through the stabilization of the cyclin D1/CDK6 complex (Saha et al. 2011) and recruitment of SCFSkp2 E3 ligase for ubiquitin-mediated degradation of pRB (Knight and Robertson 2004). Therefore, EBNA3C is a key viral factor that is likely to regulate the host DDR through multiple mechanisms throughout the cell cycle.

2.3 EBV and Genomic Instability

DNA damage that is not repaired could ultimately lead to genomic instability and cancer. Unlike the karyotypically stable LCLs (Lacoste et al. 2010), chromosomal aberrations have been observed in EBV-associated Burkitt's lymphomas (BLs) and other cancers [reviewed in (Gruhne et al. 2009a)]. A direct link between EBV viral proteins and genomic instability was uncovered by the Masucci group in studies looking at paired EBV-negative and EBV-positive BL lines. The presence of EBV caused a 3–10-fold increase in chromosomal abnormalities including dicentric chromosomes as well as the induction of a DDR response as indicated by the presence of γ H2AX (Kamranvar et al. 2007). Further analysis revealed that EBNA1 alone induced the chromosomal dysfunction and up-regulation of the DDR (Gruhne et al. 2009b). EBNA1 increased expression of the transcription factor Nox2, which in turn increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) resulting in DNA damage and aberrant chromosomal structures. In a follow-up study, the authors found telomere dysfunction in a number of EBV-positive BL cell lines while the corresponding EBV-negative lines were karyotypically normal (Kamranvar and Masucci 2011). BL cells that express EBNA1 exhibit increased telomere dysfunction such as extra-chromosomal telomere signals, the accumulation of telomere dysfunction–induced foci (TIFs), and telomeric-sister chromatid exchange. While EBNA1 had no effect on the overall expression of the shelterin components, it did reduce the association of TRF2 with telomeres. EBNA1 appears to induce this damage through ROS as compounds that act as ROS scavengers were able to inhibit the appearance of DDR markers and reconstitute TRF2-protected telomeres (Kamranvar and Masucci 2011).

EBNA1 is not the only viral protein implicating in inducing genomic instability (Gruhne et al. 2009a). In an EBV-negative B-lymphoma cell line, BJAB, ectopic expression of LMP1 or EBNA3C also promoted genomic instability as detected by chromosomal aberrations and the up-regulation of DDR markers (Gruhne et al. 2009c). The three viral proteins appear to induce this instability through different mechanisms. Studies in epithelial cells initially indicated that LMP1 could inhibit DNA repair by inducing the cytoplasmic accumulation and thus inhibition of Foxo3, a transcription factor implicating in cell cycle arrest and DNA repair mechanisms (Chen et al. 2008). Furthermore, LMP1-expressing B cells had a reduced capacity to repair damaged DNA and a decrease in ATM response (Gruhne et al. 2009c). In contrast, EBNA3C over-expression promoted aneuploidy through a mitotic spindle checkpoint failure. More specifically, EBNA3C led to a decrease in the expression of the checkpoint protein BubR1 which binds cdc2 and prevents anaphase progression (Gruhne et al. 2009c). Additionally, the interaction of EBNA3C with Chk2 and other cell cycle components discussed above could be involved in promoting genomic instability through aberrant mitotic progression (Choudhuri et al. 2007; Knight et al. 2005; Saha et al. 2009). Collectively, these studies indicate that the aberrant expression of EBV latent oncoproteins may contribute to oncogenesis through disrupting normal controls of DNA repair and cell cycle checkpoints.

2.4 Latent EBV DNA Replication Requires the DDR

During viral latency, each EBV episome is replicated once per cell cycle by EBNA1 [(Yates et al. 1985); reviewed in (Hammerschmidt and Sugden 2013)]. EBNA1 binds to specific regions of the EBV genome, namely oriP, the origin of plasmid replication, and recruits the cellular replication machinery. EBNA1 binds to a family of repeats (FR) and a dyad symmetry (DS) element within oriP (Rawlins et al. 1985). Host proteins including the cellular origin replication complex (ORC) and mini chromosome maintenance (MCM) complex are enriched in association

with EBNA1 at the DS elements during G1 and early S phase (Ritzi et al. 2003). Interestingly, there are also repeat elements adjacent to EBNA1-binding sites within the DS that are very similar to telomere repeat elements (Deng et al. 2002, 2003). In fact, these sites bind the shelterin components TRF1, TRF2, and hRap1. Shelterin components normally function to protect telomeres from DDR sensing [reviewed in (Palm and de Lange 2008)]. Through these sites, EBNA1 and TRF2 interact and recruit ORC to the viral episome (Norseen et al. 2008; Tatsumi et al. 2008). TRF2 and hRap1 binding are both critical for EBNA1-mediated replication while TRF1 appears to be inhibitory (Deng et al. 2003). Thus, EBV co-opts the normal cellular DNA machinery of telomeric DNA repeats to recruit shelterin components to facilitate replication of the viral genome. This is likely important to suppress hyper-activation of the DDR at latent EBV genomes, as occurs at protected telomeric ends due to the activity of TRF2 (Palm and de Lange 2008).

The firing of oriP is also delayed in early S phase by a host checkpoint regulation that is dependent on the recruitment of HDACs by TRF2 (Zhou et al. 2009). In early S phase, the nucleosomes adjacent to the TRF2-binding sites are de-acetylated. As S-phase progresses, the nucleosomes become acetylated and oriP replication ensues (Zhou et al. 2009). The activity of the DDR protein Chk2 is down-regulated after interaction with TRF2 (Buscemi et al. 2009) in a cell cycle-dependent manner with enrichment in S phase (Zhou et al. 2010). Chk2 depletion by siRNA or Chk2 kinase inhibitor inhibits oriP replication and episome maintenance and advances the replication timing of OriP (Zhou et al. 2010). Mechanistically, the depletion of Chk2 alters histone de-acetylation at DS sites within oriP. In vitro studies indicate that Chk2 phosphorylates TRF2 on its amino-terminal domain and a phospho-mimetic TRF2 mutant precludes ORC recruitment to oriP.

In addition to shelterin components, EBNA1 also recruits the DNA damage-sensing MRN complex to oriP during S phase (Dheekollu et al. 2007). In the absence of Nbs1, similar to loss of Chk2, oriP maintenance and EBV genome maintenance are suppressed, indicating an important role for MRN recruitment to the oriP. Therefore, the oriP DNA element serves as a unique scaffold for DDR factors and signaling that is required to orchestrate the faithful replication of EBV DNA during latency as well as presumably to promote the proper resolution of Holliday junction structures formed late during each S phase (Dheekollu et al. 2007).

2.5 The Role of the DDR in Facilitating EBV Lytic Replication

EBV latently infected B cells can switch into a lytic phase of infection, generating progeny virions that can infect a new host. Lytic reactivation is a highly regulated process that begins with expression of two immediate early viral genes, BZLF1 and BRLF1, which encode the proteins Z and R, respectively (Kieff and Rickinson 2007). This expression results in the transactivation of early lytic genes, which encode viral proteins responsible for replication. After viral replication, the late

genes are transcribed, resulting in the production of the structural proteins needed to produce new virions. EBV, like many viruses, usurps host cell cycle controls in order to provide an S-phase-like environment for efficient replication.

The best-characterized viral protein involved in this process is Z which can interact with and alter components of the cell cycle checkpoint in addition to its role as a transcription factor. The link between Z and the DDR was first observed by Kudoh et al. (2005) using a tetracycline inducible BZLF1 (Z) construct to induce lytic replication. Induction of Z correlated with the phosphorylation and activation of ATM, Chk2, H2AX, and p53 as well as the co-localization of ATM-S1981 and the MRN complex with EBV replication centers. The activation of these proteins would normally lead to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. However, the authors also observed hyper-phosphorylation of Rb and the subsequent release and activation of E2F1 and its downstream targets, such as cyclins A and E, indicating cell cycle progression.

One of the ways that Z regulates cell cycle progression is through its interaction with p53 (Zhang et al. 1994; Mauser et al. 2002; Kudoh et al. 2005). Overexpression of Z in B958 cells induces the activation of p53 but without the subsequent increase in protein levels. Additionally, the expression of downstream targets such as MDM2 and p21CIP1 was not elevated (Kudoh et al. 2005; Mauser et al. 2002) although p53 retained its ability to bind to DNA and had increased posttranslational modifications (Mauser et al. 2002). Subsequent studies revealed that Z can function as an adaptor of the Elongin B/C-cul2/5-SOCS-(ECS) box ubiquitin ligase complex through direct interaction with Cul2 and Cul5 (Kato et al. 2009). The targeting and subsequent degradation of p53 by Z was enhanced by phosphorylation of p53 on S15 and S20 as a result of the activation of the ATM pathway.

To further delineate the interplay between the DDR and lytic replication, the Hayward group identified host substrates phosphorylated by a conserved herpesvirus kinase (Li et al. 2011). The EBV orthologue, BGLF4, is a mimic of host CDKs and plays a key role in the establishment of an S-phase-like environment in infected cells. Using a protein microarray to identify BGLF4 substrates, Li et al. (2011) observed enrichment for proteins involved in the ATM arm of the DDR, including TIP60, a chromatin remodeling protein that is involved in both DNA repair and transcription. BGLF4 interaction with TIP60 results in its phosphorylation and the activation of its histone and protein acetylation activity. TIP60 has previously been shown to activate ATM in response to DNA damage (Sun et al. 2005), and its activation by BGLF4 also results in the phosphorylation of ATM and its downstream effectors (Fig. 2b). Critically, loss of either TIP60 or ATM suppressed EBV DNA replication after lytic induction (Li et al. 2011). Mechanistically, BGLF4 and TIP60 are recruited to the EBV lytic replication origin (OriLyt) and other viral promoters stimulating both yH2AX and acetylated H2AK5, thereby leading to increased viral lytic gene expression and DNA replication.

The importance of the DDR for lytic replication was further confirmed by the Kenney group by exploring the importance of ATM in response to induction by a variety of stimuli (Hagemeier et al. 2012). Treatment of Akata BL cells with the ATM inhibitor KU55933 suppressed viral reactivation after induction with

paclitaxel, a chemotherapeutic agent; sodium butyrate, an HDAC inhibitor; activation of the B-cell receptor by anti-IgG treatment; cytokine treatment with TGF β or treatment with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib. The importance of ATM for lytic reactivation was also seen in both EBV-positive BL lines as well as in epithelial cells. Of note, not all of the BL lines used in this study contained functional p53, indicating that the role of ATM in lytic reactivation is at least partially p53 independent. Since ROS was recently shown to activate ATM kinase activity (Guo et al. 2010), the authors speculated that it also might lead to lytic reactivation. Indeed, ROS did lead to lytic reactivation, which was blocked by subsequent treatment with an ATM inhibitor or by knocking down ATM with shRNAs.

Viruses subvert host ubiquitination pathways in most phases of their life cycle in order to evade innate immune responses and to degrade proteins that would hinder their replication. Similarly, ubiquitin specific proteases are also targeted by viral proteins such as EBNA1 to alter the turnover of proteins needed for replication (Saridakis et al. 2005). Recent work by the Masucci group has demonstrated that the tegument protein BPLF1 is a de-neddylase that regulates Cullin-ring ligases (CRL) to alter S-phase progression (Gastaldello et al. 2010). BPLF1 is able to cleave both ubiquitin adducts as well as NEDD8 conjugates in transfected cells, although evidence points to its de-neddylation activity as being more functionally relevant. BPLF1 directly interacts with CRLs and modulates their activity as seen through an accumulation of substrates such as Cdc25A, p21CIP1, and Cdt1, an S-phase licensing factor. The stabilization of Cdt1 through its de-neddylation promotes aberrant S-phase entry and leads to the accumulation of phosphorylated ATM and Chk2. Inhibition of BPLF1 using shRNAs reduced EBV replication to a level similar to that seen with inhibition of Z (Gastaldello et al. 2010).

While S-phase entry is a critical aspect of EBV lytic DNA replication, the consequences on cell cycle arrest through aberrant entry via Cdt1 stabilization could be catastrophic for the virus. Interestingly, recent work by the Pagano laboratory indicates that BPLF1 can de-ubiquitinate the major host DNA replication processivity factor PCNA (Whitehurst et al. 2012). Since mono-ubiquitinated PCNA (PCNA-Ub) recruits trans-lesion synthesis (TLS) polymerase to sites of DNA damage (Yang et al. 2010) and replaces the replicative polymerase, thus allowing it to bypass the lesion, the authors speculate that stalling of cellular DNA replication may free cellular factors that are needed for viral DNA replication (Whitehurst et al. 2012). Additionally, the downstream signaling consequences of PCNA-Ub, such as strong checkpoint activation and apoptosis, would be ameliorated by BPLF1. Thus, BPLF1 simultaneously functions to promote pseudo-S-phase maintenance through Cdt1 stabilization while cutting off downstream signaling through inhibiting PCNA-Ub.

A model can then be considered in which DNA damage or ROS leads to the activation of ATM (Fig. 2b). This leads to the activation of the Z and R promoters and the expression of the immediate early genes. Data from the Tsurumi, Kenney, and other groups indicate that p53 may be important at this early stage in lytic replication (Sato and Tsurumi 2010). The combined activity of Z and R would then lead to the up-regulation of BGLF4, which phosphorylates TIP60 resulting in an increase in its HAT activity. TIP60 then further activates ATM as well as the

OriLyt promoter, leading to lytic reactivation. Viral replication is aided at this late stage by the inhibition of p53-mediated apoptosis through Z-dependent ubiquitination as well as by the regulation of DDR signaling by BPLF1.

3 Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus and the DDR

3.1 Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus

KSHV is a large, double-stranded DNA virus of the gamma-herpesvirus family that was originally identified in the lesions of patients suffering from Kaposi's sarcoma (Chang et al. 1994). KSHV has been found in all forms of KS as well as primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) and multi-centric Castleman's disease [reviewed in (Mesri et al. 2010)]. Like all members of the herpesvirus family, KSHV can replicate in both lytic and latent stages. During latency, the virus is maintained as an extra-chromosomal episome that is linked to the host chromosome through the virally encoded protein latency-associated nuclear antigen (LANA) (Ballestas et al. 1999).

The major viral transcripts expressed during latency function to keep the cell alive and replicating. The proteins include LANA, viral cyclin (v-cyclin), viral FLICE inhibitory protein (vFLIP), and the kaposins, and KSHV also encoded many miRNAs (Mesri et al. 2010). LANA plays a role in abrogating host tumor suppressor pathways by inhibiting p53 and Rb-E2F (Friborg et al. 1999; Si and Robertson 2006; Radkov et al. 2000; Mesri et al. 2010) in addition to its critical role in episome maintenance (Ballestas et al. 1999). LANA also activates telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) expression further promoting infected-cell survival (Verma et al. 2004; Mesri et al. 2010).

KSHV v-cyclin is structurally homologous to cellular D-type cyclins and can bind to and activate cellular CDK6 [reviewed in (Mittnacht and Boshoff 2000; Verschuren et al. 2004)]. The v-cyclin/CDK6 complex has a broader substrate range than its cellular counterpart and is resistant to inhibition by CDK inhibitors. vFLIP can activate NF κ B by binding to the activating IKK complex, which results in the expression of anti-apoptotic genes and the induction of cytokine secretion (Guasparri et al. 2004; Mesri et al. 2010). Importantly, vFLIP also serves as a key negative regulator of autophagy in latently infected cells (Lee et al. 2009).

3.2 The Role of the DDR in Suppressing KSHV-Induced Cell Proliferation

The first link between latent KSHV infection and the DDR came from the Ojala group exploring the effect of v-cyclin in telomerase immortalized endothelial cells (Koopal et al. 2007) (Fig. 3a). Over-expression of v-cyclin decreased cellular



Fig. 3 Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus and the DNA damage response. **a** DDR activation during KSHV latency. The induction of cell cycle progression by the viral cyclin (v-cyclin) in complex with CDK6 leads to the activation of an ATM-mediated DDR. The consequence of this activation is p53 induction, oncogene-induced senescence (*OIS*), and autophagy. However, co-expression of the KSHV v-FLIP protein overrides v-cyclin-mediated OIS by suppressing autophagy. **b** KSHV and the DDR during lytic reactivation. Little is understood about KSHV lytic viral DNA replication and the DDR. However, the expression of the lytic cycle vIRF1 gene is capable of suppressing p53 activity and, in particular, the ability of ATM to activate p53. This suggests that if ATM were to be activated by KSHV lytic DNA replication (as occurs in EBV, HPV, and MHV68 infection), then a plausible mechanism to overcome checkpoint activation or apoptosis would be in place

proliferation through the induction of an S-phase arrest. They also demonstrated that viral protein expression could lead to cellular senescence, as has also been observed by over-expression of classical cellular oncogenes. Both primary and immortalized endothelial cells had a strong induction of DDR markers, such as phosphorylated H2AX, ATM, Chk2, and p53. Treatment of v-cyclin-expressing cells with an inhibitor of the ATM kinase (KU-55933) led to a reduction in DDR markers and a release of the S-phase arrest, which resulted in aberrant mitosis (Koopal et al. 2007). This could be due to v-cyclin-induced centrosome amplification, which can lead to aberrant mitosis in the absence of a functional DDR (Verschuren et al. 2002). The DDR pathway was activated in KSHV-infected endothelial cells after they overcame an early period of arrest. The effect of the DDR could be bypassed by ablation of p53 or Rb signaling (Koopal et al. 2007).

This work was followed up by Leidal, et al, who demonstrated that KSHVinfected primary human fibroblasts activated the DDR but did not undergo senescence (Leidal et al. 2012). This is in contrast to v-cyclin-expressing cells, which had a greater induction of the DDR as well as senescence markers. The authors hypothesized that one of the KSHV latency genes must be capable of abrogating the v-cyclin-induced senescence. To address this, they performed an ectopic screen of a number of latency proteins and identified v-FLIP as a suppressor of v-cyclin-mediated senescence.

vFLIP was previously shown to inhibit autophagy in KSHV-infected endothelial cells and lymphocytes (Lee et al. 2009), and autophagy is necessary for the efficient induction of senescence (Young et al. 2009). Therefore, the authors explored the link between the loss of oncogene-induced senescence (OIS) and the suppression of autophagy. KSHV v-cyclin induced autophagy similar to the potent oncogene HrasV12 (Leidal et al. 2012). Temporally regulated expression of v-cyclin revealed an initial period of hyper-proliferation followed by a sharp decrease in the rate of proliferation coinciding with the onset of OIS. p53 was strongly activated in cells expressing v-cyclin, and its activity was required for the induction of OIS through the transcriptional up-regulation of the key autophagy regulators Sestrin1 and damage-regulated autophagy modulator (DRAM). Notably, the mTOR pathway was inactivated concomitant with OIS induction, partially dependent on AMP-responsive protein kinase (AMPK), a target of Sestrin1. While co-expression of vFLIP with v-cyclin had no effect on DDR markers, the induction of autophagy and OIS was dramatically reduced. Surprisingly, in contrast to its role in cell survival through NFkB activity (Chaudhary et al. 1999; Liu et al. 2002), the specific antagonism of autophagy by vFLIP was responsible for its ability to override v-cvclin-mediated OIS. This cooperativity likely contributes significantly to KSHV-mediated tumorigenesis (Fig. 3a).

3.3 The Role of the DDR in KSHV Lytic Replication

While the default pathway for KSHV infection is latency, it can be induced to reactivate by a variety of stimuli, resulting in the generation of a full set of viral proteins and the production of new virions. The switch between latency and lytic replication is primarily mediated by the protein RTA, encoded by ORF5 (Ganem 2006). The expression of RTA and other immediate early genes is followed by the expression of the delayed-early genes. These genes include a viral DNA polymerase, primase, helicase, and single-stranded binding proteins. These proteins can form a stable replication complex on the OriLyt promoter in the host cell nucleus. The final stage of lytic reactivation is the induction of late genes, which largely encode structural proteins necessary for viral particle formation (Ganem 2006).

As with all of the DNA viruses discussed, KSHV needs to modulate the host cell to ensure that there is an appropriate S-phase-like environment for replication as well as the evasion of host cell defenses. KSHV evades host innate immunity by encoding several homologs of host genes, such as viral interleukin-6 (vIL-6), viral Bcl2, and four homologs of interferon regulatory factors (vIRF1-4) (reviewed in Jacobs and Damania 2011). vIRF1 was initially reported to interact with and inactivate p53

by suppressing its acetylation and its transcriptional activation potential (Seo et al. 2001). This was further explored by Shin et al. (2006), who used ectopic expression of a tetracycline-regulated vIRF1 in a KSHV-null B-lymphoma line, BJAB. Expression of vIRF1 resulted in an increase in p53 ubiquitination and the inhibition of the activating phosphorylation of S15. Further analysis revealed that vIRF1 interacted with ATM through its C-terminal domain and that this lead to a decrease in its activation, as revealed by phosphorylation of S1981. The activation of downstream targets of ATM such as Chk2, H2AX, and p53 was also decreased by vIRF1, while the expression of the cell cycle regulatory protein, Cdc25, was increased, consistent with a role for vIRF1 in suppressing downstream checkpoint activation by ATM. Therefore, these studies suggest a possible mechanism by which KSHV oncoproteins subvert downstream DDR signaling during lytic reactivation (Fig. 3b). It will be of great interest in the future to discern whether, as in the case of EBV, KSHV also utilizes aspects of ATM signaling to promote lytic viral gene expression and DNA replication. Indeed, evidence from the KSHV-related murine gamma-herpesvirus MHV68 suggests a role for ATM and H2AX in virus replication (Tarakanova et al. 2007).

4 Human Papillomaviruses and the DNA Damage Response

4.1 Human Papillomaviruses

HPVs are small double-stranded DNA viruses that generally cause benign skin lesions. Over 120 serotypes of HPV have been identified that can be further classified as low or high risk according to their association with benign or malignant lesions, respectively. Infection with a high-risk variant, such as HPV 16 or 18, has been associated with 99 % of cervical cancers (Walboomers et al. 1999) and ~25 % of head and neck cancers (Gillison 2004).

The HPV lifecycle is closely linked to the differentiation state of epithelial cells [reviewed in (Moody and Laimins 2010; McBride et al. 2012)]. Initially, the virus infects the basal layer of squamous epithelia and establishes itself as a low copy number extra-chromosomal episome. These cells represent the only actively replicating cells within the epithelia and are exposed to infection through abrasions or wounding. Infection leads to an initial burst of viral DNA replication that is followed by a period where the virus DNA replicates in concert with the host chromosome. As uninfected epithelial cells divide, they leave the basement membrane layer and undergo terminal differentiation until they are eventually shed as dead and dying cells. HPV undergoes its final replication cycle, called vegetative replication, in the differentiated cell layer. Newly generated virions can then be shed and spread to new targets.

Restricting its replicative life cycle to differentiated cells allows the virus to remain undetected by the immune system for extended periods of time. However, terminal differentiation entails an exit from the cell cycle which would deprive the virus of the host replicative machinery. To circumvent this, HPV encodes several proteins (E5, E6 and E7) that promote proliferation by delaying exit from the cell cycle. E6 and E7 are the primary transforming genes of HPV through their antagonism of p53 and Rb, respectively (Dyson et al. 1989; Munger et al. 1989a, b). The E1 and E2 proteins are essential for viral DNA replication and episome maintenance and may play a role in promoting genomic instability and cancer progression, as will be discussed below (Amin et al. 2000; Androphy et al. 1987).

4.2 The Role of the DDR in Suppressing HPV-Induced Cell Proliferation

Despite the high frequency of HPV infection, the rate of cancer progression is low and only occurs if the virus has not been cleared over an extended period of time. One striking observation is that a majority of the cervical cancer biopsies show integration of the high-risk HPVs (Moody and Laimins 2010). While this appears to occur randomly there does seem to be a preference for common fragile sites within the genome. One consequence of HPV genomic integration is the loss of the E2 protein, which is responsible for repressing viral gene expression in addition to its role as a replication factor. This leads to uncontrolled expression of E6 and E7 (Schwarz et al. 1985) which can independently transform cells and lead to genomic instability through mitotic defects (Duensing et al. 2000).

The Ustav group demonstrated that integrated HPV genomes in HeLa and SiHa cells undergo an "onion skip" type of replication in the presence of exogenous E1 (Kadaja et al. 2009). This leads to DNA replication structures that are recognized by the ATM arm of the DDR (Fig. 4a). This ultimately leads to repair by either HR or NHEJ, the latter of which can produce mutations or chromosomal translocations. In fact, genomic instability was observed in SiHa cells transfected with E1. In other studies, over-expression of E1 from diverse HPV strains induced cell cycle arrest and a DDR in undifferentiated keratinocytes in the absence of viral DNA (Fradet-Turcotte et al. 2011; Sakakibara et al. 2011; Reinson et al. 2013). Expression of E2 alone, or coupled with the viral origin, was able to partially suppress this effect in one study (Fradet-Turcotte et al. 2011) but not in another (Sakakibara et al. 2011). The ability of E1 to induce a DDR was seen even with basal-level expression of the protein, indicating that it is not simply an artifact of over-expression. The DDR foci partially co-localized with E1 and E2 as well as sites of DNA synthesis (Sakakibara et al. 2011). The level of nucleotide incorporation detected was below what would normally be detected for S-phase progression and could indicate regions of DNA repair. Consistent with this, the DDR foci were also TUNEL positive indicating regions of fragmented DNA (Sakakibara et al. 2011) and had DSBs detected by alkaline comet assay (Reinson et al. 2013).

High-risk HPV E6 and E7 expression in undifferentiated keratinocytes is sufficient to induce replicative stress, which could result in collapsed replication forks and the activation of ATR and ATM pathways (Moody and Laimins 2009; Bester et al. 2011). While HPV oncoprotein expression drives aberrant S phase, promoting a DDR,



Fig. 4 Human papillomavirus and the DNA damage response. **a** HPV infection of basal layer epithelial cells and DDR activation. The infection of basal epithelial cells by HPV leads to a constitutive ATM-dependent DDR. This may be due to the role of HPV E1 in promoting replication of integrated HPV genomes or perhaps promoting DNA damage at other sites through the host genome (*left*). Normally, in this layer, E2 protein suppresses the expression of E6 and E7. If E2 is abrogated due to integration, then E7 up-regulation could lead to increased S-phase entry promoting the DDR or through direct engagement and activation of ATM (*bottom, right*). **b** During vegetative DNA replication upon differentiation of the basal keratinocyte layer, the ATM pathway is activated and DDR factors co-localize with viral genomes (*right*). ATM and Chk2 activity are important for viral DNA replication in this phase of the HPV life cycle. The uppermost layer of keratinocytes in the figure indicates virion release (*stars*)

the potential for senescence or apoptosis is strongly mitigated by the ability of E6 to leverage E6AP to degrade p53 (Scheffner et al. 1993). Therefore, HPV infection in undifferentiated keratinocytes can drive an oncogenic stress, but cell proliferation in the context of viral genome integration is maintained due to potent anti-apoptotic activities. The role of the DDR in suppressing HPV tumorigenesis may then be restricted to its functions as a regulator of viral DNA replication, as discussed below.

4.3 The Role of the DDR in Facilitating HPV Vegetative DNA Replication

Emerging evidence suggests that the DDR plays a critical role in HPV amplification in differentiated keratinocytes. Keratinocytes containing the HPV-31 episome differentiate upon calcium treatment. Differentiation increases markers of the canonical ATM pathway, which localize to nuclear foci (Moody and Laimins 2009). These foci also contain the HPV episome as well as enzymes responsible for DNA repair and HR such as PCNA, pRPAS32-S33, Rad51, and Brca1 (Gillespie et al. 2012) (Fig. 4b). Inhibition of ATM led to diminished replication foci and suppressed episome amplification (Moody and Laimins 2009). ATM-driven activation of Chk2 is required for caspase 7 activation (Moody and Laimins 2009) which was previously shown to be necessary for the cleavage of E1 and genome amplification (Moody et al. 2007), further solidifying the link between the DDR and viral replication. The up-regulation of DDR markers was also observed in primary human keratinocytes that underwent differentiation in organotypic raft cultures (Banerjee et al. 2011). Strong pATM-S1981 was seen in differentiated cells that expressed either the HPV-31 (Moody and Laimins 2009) or HPV-18 genome (Banerjee et al. 2011).

DDR activation appears to be primarily induced by E7 in differentiated cells. Banerjee et al. (2011) compared the expression of the HPV-18 genome, E7 alone, or vector controls in organotypic raft cultures using primary human keratinocytes. The full viral genome or E7 alone were able to induce up-regulation of pATM-S1981 as well as the activation of Chk2 and the ATR effector Chk1. Additionally, E7 was previously shown to directly interact with ATM and induce the activation of Chk2 (Moody and Laimins 2009). Cultures expressing E7 alone or the HPV-18 genome exhibited a prolonged G2 phase (Wang et al. 2009; Banerjee et al. 2011) as evidenced by the accumulation of inactive Cdk1 and Cdc25 in the cytoplasm and the lack of mitotic cells in culture. Active Chk1, Chk2, and JNK are known to inactivate Cdc25 and therefore the activation of the DDR could lead to a prolonged G2 phase, which can enhance viral amplification (Banerjee et al. 2011).

A model is starting to emerge in which HPV is able to exploit the intrinsic DDR to amplify its genome. E7 can interact with and activate ATM in differentiated cells leading to the activation of its downstream effectors such as Chk2 and γ H2AX (Fig. 4). This results in the co-localization of many repair proteins at the viral genome. Chk2 can then activate caspase 7, resulting in the cleavage of E1, an essential replication factor. The activated DDR would then induce a G2 checkpoint by inactivating Cdk1 and Cdc25 to prevent entry into mitosis. This allows the virus to amplify its genome out of sync with normal cellular S phase, thus preventing competition for replication factors. The inhibition of p53 by E6 prevents the apoptosis normally induced by the activation of the DDR and thereby facilitates viral DNA replication.

5 Conclusions

DNA tumor viruses activate the host DDR in multiple ways. Viral oncoproteins drive cells from quiescence into cell cycle and often lead to aberrant cellular DNA replication that can provoke the host DDR. This process may occur during viral

latency, as exemplified by the oncogenic herpesviruses, or during acute infection. Furthermore, viral DNA replication, either latent or lytic, can produce structures that directly activate the DDR. Once activated, the DDR can promote DNA repair and recombination as well as activate cell cycle checkpoints, senescence, or apoptosis. In the case of latent viral oncoprotein-driven cellular DNA replicative stress leading to DDR activation, the consequence is a decrease in cellular proliferation which is ultimately detrimental for the virus. In contrast, during lytic replication, the activation of the DDR through viral DNA replication structures is beneficial for the virus. Therefore, the host DDR plays divergent roles in the replication of human DNA tumor viruses depending on the mode of virus replication.

DNA tumor viruses drive cellular transformation under circumstances where virus replication and the ability to produce progeny virions are inhibited. For example, in the case of high-risk HPVs, unintentional integration of viral DNA into the host genome leads to the aberrantly high expression of the oncoproteins E6 and E7, which can lead to cell transformation and contribute to tumorigenesis. Early expression of these oncoproteins in basal keratinocytes may mimic aspects of this process. As shown by Bester et al. (2011), HPV-16 E6/E7 expression can activate a growth-suppressive DDR due to increased cellular DNA replicative stress. Similarly, in the case of EBV and KSHV, viral latent oncoproteins drive aberrant cellular DNA proliferation and a growth-suppressive DDR (Nikitin et al. 2010; Koopal et al. 2007). Interestingly, recent work by the McCormick lab has shown that KSHV vFLIP is capable of overcoming the DDR-dependent senescence mediated by the v-cyclin protein in KSHV-infected endothelial cells (Leidal et al. 2012). This activity depends on induction of autophagy by vFLIP and mitigates the far downstream consequences of the DDR. Similarly, in the context of EBV infection of primary B cells, the cell proliferation rate is transiently high, concomitant with DDR activation, but then wanes through immortalization dependent on expression of the viral EBNA3C protein (Nikitin et al. 2010). Therefore, DDR activation in latently infected cells (in the case of the gammaherpesivruses) leads to growth suppression that ultimately compromises viral infection. However, viral oncoproteins are able to mitigate these pathways either downstream through modulating autophagy or upstream through attenuating the strength of the oncogenic signal.

The nature of the molecular signal downstream of oncogenic stress that triggers the DDR in these settings remains controversial. Evidence for increased DNA replicative stress (Bartkova et al. 2006; Di Micco et al. 2006), increased fragile sites (Di Micco et al. 2006), increased irreparable DNA damage at telomeres (Fumagalli et al. 2012), and telomere dysfunction (Suram et al. 2012), as well as changes in chromatin structure associated with hyper-activation of transcription at certain loci, has all been implicated in DDR activation downstream of activated oncogenes. The careful genetic dissection of the critical sensors and mediators of each of these aberrant DNA structures in the context of viral oncogene expression will be needed to discern precisely how the DDR is activated and whether these signals engender specificity in the downstream signaling pathways following oncogenic stress. During lytic viral DNA replication, two mechanisms can give rise to an activated DDR. First, the set of proteins expressed early during infection or reactivation can generate a pseudo-S-phase environment important for viral DNA replication. Often the consequence of this aberrant cell cycle promotion is the activation of the DDR. However, the downstream consequences of the DDR are mitigated, enabling virus replication. In the case of EBV, for example, the promotion of S-phase entry through the BPLF1 protein leads to an activated DDR including ATM phosphorylation. However, the downstream signaling at sites of cellular DNA damage is mitigated in part through the activity of BPLF1 in preventing PCNA mono-ubuiqitination. Furthermore, the viral Z protein is capable of degrading p53 once activated at late stages in lytic reactivation. Thus, as often observed in the context of virus infection, a multi-faceted approach to ensuring cell survival is used to facilitate DNA replication in the context of an activated DDR.

A second signal for DDR activation during lytic replication is the replication of viral DNA. In both the EBV and HPV systems, strong co-localization of DDR factors with sites of viral DNA replication corroborates their induction by aberrant viral DNA structures sensed as damaged DNA. Interestingly, DDR signaling, and ATM in particular, is required for efficient DNA replication and progeny virion formation. It is likely that the recruitment of factors necessary for viral DNA repair and/or recombination is critical in the process of faithful DNA replication and packaging necessary to produce competent infectious progeny. The precise set of ATM targets important for DNA tumor virus replication is an active and exciting area of current research. The mechanistic delineation of the beneficial DDR in virus replication has the potential to lead to new and interesting therapeutic targets in these and other viral diseases. Moreover, it is likely that using these viruses to probe the specificities of DDR activation will lead to new insights into the recognition, repair, and signaling from cellular sites of DNA damage as well.

Acknowledgments This publication resulted (in part) from research supported by the Duke University Center for AIDS Research (CFAR), an NIH funded program (5P30 AI064518); as well as an NIH funded R01 (R01-CA140337); a Viral Oncology Training grant (VOTG: T32-CA009111) and IRTPA Training grant (T32-AI007392). We would also like to thank members of the Luftig lab for helpful discussions.

References

- Amin AA, Titolo S, Pelletier A, Fink D, Cordingley MG, Archambault J (2000) Identification of domains of the HPV11 E1 protein required for DNA replication in vitro. Virology 272:137–150
- Androphy EJ, Lowy DR, Schiller JT (1987) Bovine papillomavirus E2 trans-activating gene product binds to specific sites in papillomavirus DNA. Nature 325:70–73
- Babcock GJ, Hochberg D, Thorley-Lawson AD (2000) The expression pattern of Epstein-Barr virus latent genes in vivo is dependent upon the differentiation stage of the infected B cell. Immunity 13:497–506
- Bajaj BG, Murakami M, Cai Q, Verma SC, Lan K, Robertson ES (2008) Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C interacts with and enhances the stability of the c-Myc oncoprotein. J Virol 82:4082–4090

- Bakkenist CJ, Kastan MB (2003) DNA damage activates ATM through intermolecular autophosphorylation and dimer dissociation. Nature 421:499–506
- Ballestas ME, Chatis PA, Kaye KM (1999) Efficient persistence of extrachromosomal KSHV DNA mediated by latency-associated nuclear antigen. Science 284:641–644
- Banerjee NS, Wang HK, Broker TR, Chow LT (2011) Human papillomavirus (HPV) E7 induces prolonged G2 following S phase reentry in differentiated human keratinocytes. J Biol Chem 286:15473–15482
- Bartek J, Lukas J (2003) Chk1 and Chk2 kinases in checkpoint control and cancer. Cancer Cell 3:421–429
- Bartek J, Bartkova J, Lukas J (2007) DNA damage signalling guards against activated oncogenes and tumour progression. Oncogene 26:7773–7779
- Bartkova J, Rezaei N, Liontos M, Karakaidos P, Kletsas D, Issaeva N, Vassiliou LV, Kolettas E, Niforou K, Zoumpourlis VC, Takaoka M, Nakagawa H, Tort F, Fugger K, Johansson F, Sehested M, Andersen CL, Dyrskjot L, Orntoft T, Lukas J, Kittas C, Helleday T, Halazonetis TD, Bartek J, Gorgoulis VG (2006) Oncogene-induced senescence is part of the tumorigenesis barrier imposed by DNA damage checkpoints. Nature 444:633–637
- Bester AC, Roniger M, Oren YS, Im MM, Sarni D, Chaoat M, Bensimon A, Zamir G, Shewach DS, Kerem B (2011) Nucleotide deficiency promotes genomic instability in early stages of cancer development. Cell 145:435–446
- Burma S, Chen BP, Murphy M, Kurimasa A, Chen DJ (2001) ATM phosphorylates histone H2AX in response to DNA double-strand breaks. J Biol Chem 276:42462–42467
- Buscemi G, Zannini L, Fontanella E, Lecis D, Lisanti S, Delia D (2009) The shelterin protein TRF2 inhibits Chk2 activity at telomeres in the absence of DNA damage. Curr Biol 19:874–879
- Cahir McFarland ED, Izumi KM, Mosialos G (1999) Epstein-Barr virus transformation: involvement of latent membrane protein 1-mediated activation of NF-kappaB. Oncogene 18:6959–6964
- Chang Y, Cesarman E, Pessin MS, Lee F, Culpepper J, Knowles DM, Moore PS (1994) Identification of herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in AIDS-associated Kaposi's sarcoma. Science 266:1865–1869
- Chaudhary PM, Jasmin A, Eby MT, Hood L (1999) Modulation of the NF-kappa B pathway by virally encoded death effector domains-containing proteins. Oncogene 18:5738–5746
- Chen YR, Liu MT, Chang YT, Wu CC, Hu CY, Chen JY (2008) Epstein-Barr virus latent membrane protein 1 represses DNA repair through the PI3K/Akt/FOXO3a pathway in human epithelial cells. J Virol 82:8124–8137
- Choudhuri T, Verma SC, Lan K, Murakami M, Robertson ES (2007) The ATM/ATR signaling effector Chk2 is targeted by Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C to release the G2/M cell cycle block. J Virol 81:6718–6730
- Deng Z, Lezina L, Chen CJ, Shtivelband S, So W, Lieberman PM (2002) Telomeric proteins regulate episomal maintenance of Epstein-Barr virus origin of plasmid replication. Mol Cell 9:493–503
- Deng Z, Atanasiu C, Burg JS, Broccoli D, Lieberman PM (2003) Telomere repeat binding factors TRF1, TRF2, and hRAP1 modulate replication of Epstein-Barr virus OriP. J Virol 77:11992–12001
- Derheimer FA, Kastan MB (2010) Multiple roles of ATM in monitoring and maintaining DNA integrity. FEBS Lett 584:3675–3681
- Dheekollu J, Deng Z, Wiedmer A, Weitzman MD, Lieberman PM (2007) A role for MRE11, NBS1, and recombination junctions in replication and stable maintenance of EBV episomes. PLoS ONE 2:e1257
- Di Micco R, Fumagalli M, Cicalese A, Piccinin S, Gasparini P, Luise C, Schurra C, Garre M, Nuciforo PG, Bensimon A, Maestro R, Pelicci PG, d'Adda di Fagagna F (2006) Oncogeneinduced senescence is a DNA damage response triggered by DNA hyper-replication. Nature 444:638–642
- Doil C, Mailand N, Bekker-Jensen S, Menard P, Larsen DH, Pepperkok R, Ellenberg J, Panier S, Durocher D, Bartek J, Lukas J, Lukas C (2009) RNF168 binds and amplifies ubiquitin

conjugates on damaged chromosomes to allow accumulation of repair proteins. Cell 136:435-446

- Duensing S, Lee LY, Duensing A, Basile J, Piboonniyom S, Gonzalez S, Crum CP, Munger K (2000) The human papillomavirus type 16 E6 and E7 oncoproteins cooperate to induce mitotic defects and genomic instability by uncoupling centrosome duplication from the cell division cycle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:10002–10007
- Dyson N, Howley PM, Munger K, Harlow E (1989) The human papilloma virus-16 E7 oncoprotein is able to bind to the retinoblastoma gene product. Science 243:934–937
- Falck J, Coates J, Jackson SP (2005) Conserved modes of recruitment of ATM, ATR and DNA-PKcs to sites of DNA damage. Nature 434:605–611
- Forte E, Salinas R, Chang C, Zhou T, Linnstaedt SD, Gottwein E, Jacobs C, Jima D, Li QJ, Dave SS, Luftig MA (2012) The Epstein-Barr virus induced tumor suppressor miR-34a is growth promoting in EBV-infected B cells. J Virol 86:6889–6898
- Fradet-Turcotte A, Bergeron-Labrecque F, Moody CA, Lehoux M, Laimins LA, Archambault J (2011) Nuclear accumulation of the papillomavirus E1 helicase blocks S-phase progression and triggers an ATM-dependent DNA damage response. J Virol 85:8996–9012
- Friborg J Jr, Kong W, Hottiger MO, Nabel GJ (1999) p53 inhibition by the LANA protein of KSHV protects against cell death. Nature 402:889–894
- Fumagalli M, Rossiello F, Clerici M, Barozzi S, Cittaro D, Kaplunov JM, Bucci G, Dobreva M, Matti V, Beausejour CM, Herbig U, Longhese MP, d'Adda di Fagagna F (2012) Telomeric DNA damage is irreparable and causes persistent DNA-damage-response activation. Nat Cell Biol 14:355–365
- Ganem D (2006) KSHV infection and the pathogenesis of Kaposi's sarcoma. Annu Rev Pathol 1:273–296
- Gastaldello S, Hildebrand S, Faridani O, Callegari S, Palmkvist M, Di Guglielmo C, Masucci MG (2010) A deneddylase encoded by Epstein-Barr virus promotes viral DNA replication by regulating the activity of cullin-RING ligases. Nat Cell Biol 12:351–361
- Gillespie KA, Mehta KP, Laimins LA, Moody CA (2012) Human papillomaviruses recruit cellular DNA repair and homologous recombination factors to viral replication centers. J Virol 86:9520–9526
- Gillison ML (2004) Human papillomavirus-associated head and neck cancer is a distinct epidemiologic, clinical, and molecular entity. Semin Oncol 31:744–754
- Goodarzi AA, Jonnalagadda JC, Douglas P, Young D, Ye R, Moorhead GB, Lees-Miller SP, Khanna KK (2004) Autophosphorylation of ataxia-telangiectasia mutated is regulated by protein phosphatase 2A. EMBO J 23:4451–4461
- Gruhne B, Kamranvar SA, Masucci MG, Sompallae R (2009a) EBV and genomic instability–a new look at the role of the virus in the pathogenesis of Burkitt's lymphoma. Semin Cancer Biol 19:394–400
- Gruhne B, Sompallae R, Marescotti D, Kamranvar SA, Gastaldello S, Masucci MG (2009b) The Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen-1 promotes genomic instability via induction of reactive oxygen species. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:2313–2318
- Gruhne B, Sompallae R, Masucci MG (2009c) Three Epstein-Barr virus latency proteins independently promote genomic instability by inducing DNA damage, inhibiting DNA repair and inactivating cell cycle checkpoints. Oncogene 28:3997–4008
- Guasparri I, Keller SA, Cesarman E (2004) KSHV vFLIP is essential for the survival of infected lymphoma cells. J Exp Med 199:993–1003
- Guo Z, Kozlov S, Lavin MF, Person MD, Paull TT (2010) ATM activation by oxidative stress. Science 330:517–521
- Hagemeier SR, Barlow EA, Meng Q, Kenney SC (2012) The cellular ataxia telangiectasiamutated kinase promotes Epstein-Barr virus lytic reactivation in response to multiple different types of lytic reactivation-inducing stimuli. J Virol 86:13360–13370
- Hammerschmidt W, Sugden B (2013) Replication of epstein-barr viral DNA. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 5:a013029
- Henderson E, Miller G, Robinson J, Heston L (1977) Efficiency of transformation of lymphocytes by Epstein-Barr virus. Virology 76:152–163

- Huen MS, Grant R, Manke I, Minn K, Yu X, Yaffe MB, Chen J (2007) RNF8 transduces the DNA-damage signal via histone ubiquitylation and checkpoint protein assembly. Cell 131:901–914
- Jacobs SR, Damania B (2011) The viral interferon regulatory factors of KSHV: immunosuppressors or oncogenes? Front Immunol 2:19
- Jeong SY, Kumagai A, Lee J, Dunphy WG (2003) Phosphorylated claspin interacts with a phosphate-binding site in the kinase domain of Chk1 during ATR-mediated activation. J Biol Chem 278:46782–46788
- Johannsen E, Miller CL, Grossman SR, Kieff E (1996) EBNA-2 and EBNA-3C extensively and mutually exclusively associate with RBPJkappa in Epstein-Barr virus-transformed B lymphocytes. J Virol 70:4179–4183
- Kadaja M, Isok-Paas H, Laos T, Ustav E, Ustav M (2009) Mechanism of genomic instability in cells infected with the high-risk human papillomaviruses. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000397
- Kaiser C, Laux G, Eick D, Jochner N, Bornkamm GW, Kempkes B (1999) The proto-oncogene c-myc is a direct target gene of Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 2. J Virol 73:4481–4484
- Kamranvar SA, Masucci MG (2011) The Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen-1 promotes telomere dysfunction via induction of oxidative stress. Leukemia 25:1017–1025
- Kamranvar SA, Gruhne B, Szeles A, Masucci MG (2007) Epstein-Barr virus promotes genomic instability in Burkitt's lymphoma. Oncogene 26:5115–5123
- Kato M, Sanada M, Kato I, Sato Y, Takita J, Takeuchi K, Niwa A, Chen Y, Nakazaki K, Nomoto J, Asakura Y, Muto S, Tamura A, Iio M, Akatsuka Y, Hayashi Y, Mori H, Igarashi T, Kurokawa M, Chiba S, Mori S, Ishikawa Y, Okamoto K, Tobinai K, Nakagama H, Nakahata T, Yoshino T, Kobayashi Y, Ogawa S (2009) Frequent inactivation of A20 in B-cell lymphomas. Nature 459:712–716
- Kelly G, Bell A, Rickinson A (2002) Epstein-Barr virus-associated Burkitt lymphomagenesis selects for downregulation of the nuclear antigen EBNA2. Nat Med 8:1098–1104
- Kieff E, Rickinson A (2007) Epstein-Barr virus and its replication. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM (eds) Fields virology, 5th edn, vol 2. pp 2603–2654
- Knight JS, Robertson ES (2004) Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C regulates cyclin A/p27 complexes and enhances cyclin A-dependent kinase activity. J Virol 78:1981–1991
- Knight JS, Sharma N, Robertson ES (2005) Epstein-Barr virus latent antigen 3C can mediate the degradation of the retinoblastoma protein through an SCF cellular ubiquitin ligase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:18562–18566
- Kolas NK, Chapman JR, Nakada S, Ylanko J, Chahwan R, Sweeney FD, Panier S, Mendez M, Wildenhain J, Thomson TM, Pelletier L, Jackson SP, Durocher D (2007) Orchestration of the DNA-damage response by the RNF8 ubiquitin ligase. Science 318:1637–1640
- Koopal S, Furuhjelm JH, Jarviluoma A, Jaamaa S, Pyakurel P, Pussinen C, Wirzenius M, Biberfeld P, Alitalo K, Laiho M, Ojala PM (2007) Viral oncogene-induced DNA damage response is activated in Kaposi sarcoma tumorigenesis. PLoS Pathog 3:1348–1360
- Kudoh A, Fujita M, Zhang L, Shirata N, Daikoku T, Sugaya Y, Isomura H, Nishiyama Y, Tsurumi T (2005) Epstein-Barr virus lytic replication elicits ATM checkpoint signal transduction while providing an S-phase-like cellular environment. J Biol Chem 280:8156–8163
- Kumagai A, Dunphy WG (2003) Repeated phosphopeptide motifs in claspin mediate the regulated binding of Chk1. Nat Cell Biol 5:161–165
- Kumagai A, Lee J, Yoo HY, Dunphy WG (2006) TopBP1 activates the ATR-ATRIP complex. Cell 124:943–955
- Lacoste S, Wiechec E, Dos Santos Silva AG, Guffei A, Williams G, Lowbeer M, Benedek K, Henriksson M, Klein G, Mai S (2010) Chromosomal rearrangements after ex vivo Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection of human B cells. Oncogene 29:503–515
- Lee JS, Li Q, Lee JY, Lee SH, Jeong JH, Lee HR, Chang H, Zhou FC, Gao SJ, Liang C, Jung JU (2009) FLIP-mediated autophagy regulation in cell death control. Nat Cell Biol 11:1355–1362
- Leidal AM, Cyr DP, Hill RJ, Lee PW, McCormick C (2012) Subversion of autophagy by Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus impairs oncogene-induced senescence. Cell Host Microbe 11:167–180

- Li R, Zhu J, Xie Z, Liao G, Liu J, Chen MR, Hu S, Woodard C, Lin J, Taverna SD, Desai P, Ambinder RF, Hayward GS, Qian J, Zhu H, Hayward SD (2011) Conserved herpesvirus kinases target the DNA damage response pathway and TIP60 histone acetyltransferase to promote virus replication. Cell Host Microbe 10:390–400
- Lin J, Johannsen E, Robertson E, Kieff E (2002) Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C putative repression domain mediates coactivation of the LMP1 promoter with EBNA-2. J Virol 76:232–242
- Liu L, Eby MT, Rathore N, Sinha SK, Kumar A, Chaudhary PM (2002) The human herpes virus 8-encoded viral FLICE inhibitory protein physically associates with and persistently activates the Ikappa B kinase complex. J Biol Chem 277:13745–13751
- Mailand N, Bekker-Jensen S, Faustrup H, Melander F, Bartek J, Lukas C, Lukas J (2007) RNF8 ubiquitylates histones at DNA double-strand breaks and promotes assembly of repair proteins. Cell 131:887–900
- Maruo S, Zhao B, Johannsen E, Kieff E, Zou J, Takada K (2011) Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigens 3C and 3A maintain lymphoblastoid cell growth by repressing p16INK4A and p14ARF expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:1919–1924
- Matsuoka S, Ballif BA, Smogorzewska A, McDonald ER 3rd, Hurov KE, Luo J, Bakalarski CE, Zhao Z, Solimini N, Lerenthal Y, Shiloh Y, Gygi SP, Elledge SJ (2007) ATM and ATR substrate analysis reveals extensive protein networks responsive to DNA damage. Science 316:1160–1166
- Mauser A, Saito S, Appella E, Anderson CW, Seaman WT, Kenney S (2002) The Epstein-Barr virus immediate-early protein BZLF1 regulates p53 function through multiple mechanisms. J Virol 76:12503–12512
- McBride AA, Sakakibara N, Stepp WH, Jang MK (2012) Hitchhiking on host chromatin: how papillomaviruses persist. Biochim Biophys Acta 1819:820–825
- Mesri EA, Cesarman E, Boshoff C (2010) Kaposi's sarcoma and its associated herpesvirus. Nat Rev Cancer 10:707–719
- Miller CL, Burkhardt AL, Lee JH, Stealey B, Longnecker R, Bolen JB, Kieff E (1995) Integral membrane protein 2 of Epstein-Barr virus regulates reactivation from latency through dominant negative effects on protein- tyrosine kinases. Immunity 2:155–166
- Mittnacht S, Boshoff C (2000) Viral cyclins. Rev Med Virol 10:175-184
- Moody CA, Laimins LA (2009) Human papillomaviruses activate the ATM DNA damage pathway for viral genome amplification upon differentiation. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000605
- Moody CA, Laimins LA (2010) Human papillomavirus oncoproteins: pathways to transformation. Nat Rev Cancer 10:550–560
- Moody CA, Fradet-Turcotte A, Archambault J, Laimins LA (2007) Human papillomaviruses activate caspases upon epithelial differentiation to induce viral genome amplification. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:19541–19546
- Munger K, Phelps WC, Bubb V, Howley PM, Schlegel R (1989a) The E6 and E7 genes of the human papillomavirus type 16 together are necessary and sufficient for transformation of primary human keratinocytes. J Virol 63:4417–4421
- Munger K, Werness BA, Dyson N, Phelps WC, Harlow E, Howley PM (1989b) Complex formation of human papillomavirus E7 proteins with the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor gene product. EMBO J 8:4099–4105
- Nam EA, Cortez D (2011) ATR signalling: more than meeting at the fork. Biochem J 436:527–536
- Nikitin PA, Luftig MA (2011) At a crossroads: human DNA tumor viruses and the host DNA damage response. Future Virol 6:813–830
- Nikitin PA, Luftig MA (2012) The DNA damage response in viral-induced cellular transformation. Br J Cancer 106:429–435
- Nikitin PA, Yan CM, Forte E, Bocedi A, Tourigny JP, White RE, Allday MJ, Patel A, Dave SS, Kim W, Hu K, Guo J, Tainter D, Rusyn E, Luftig MA (2010) An ATM/Chk2-mediated DNA damage-responsive signaling pathway suppresses Epstein-Barr virus transformation of primary human B cells. Cell Host Microbe 8:510–522

- Norseen J, Thomae A, Sridharan V, Aiyar A, Schepers A, Lieberman PM (2008) RNA-dependent recruitment of the origin recognition complex. EMBO J 27:3024–3035
- Palm W, de Lange T (2008) How shelterin protects mammalian telomeres. Annu Rev Genet 42:301-334
- Price AM, Tourigny JP, Forte E, Salinas RE, Dave SS, Luftig MA (2012) Analysis of Epstein-Barr virus-regulated host gene expression changes through primary B-cell outgrowth reveals delayed kinetics of latent membrane protein 1-mediated NF-kappaB activation. J Virol 86:11096–11106
- Radkov SA, Kellam P, Boshoff C (2000) The latent nuclear antigen of Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus targets the retinoblastoma-E2F pathway and with the oncogene hras transforms primary rat cells. Nat Med 6:1121–1127
- Rawlins DR, Milman G, Hayward SD, Hayward GS (1985) Sequence-specific DNA binding of the Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen (EBNA-1) to clustered sites in the plasmid maintenance region. Cell 42:859–868
- Reinson T, Toots M, Kadaja M, Pipitch R, Allik M, Ustav E, Ustav M (2013) Engagement of the ATR-dependent DNA damage response at the human papillomavirus 18 replication centers during the initial amplification. J Virol 87:951–964
- Rickinson A, Kieff E (2007) Epstein-Barr virus. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM (eds) Fields virology, 5th edn. Lippincott, Williams, and Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp 2603–2654
- Ritzi M, Tillack K, Gerhardt J, Ott E, Humme S, Kremmer E, Hammerschmidt W, Schepers A (2003) Complex protein-DNA dynamics at the latent origin of DNA replication of Epstein-Barr virus. J Cell Sci 116:3971–3984
- Rodier F, Munoz DP, Teachenor R, Chu V, Le O, Bhaumik D, Coppe JP, Campeau E, Beausejour CM, Kim SH, Davalos AR, Campisi J (2011) DNA-SCARS: distinct nuclear structures that sustain damage-induced senescence growth arrest and inflammatory cytokine secretion. J Cell Sci 124:68–81
- Rosendorff A, Illanes D, David G, Lin J, Kieff E, Johannsen E (2004) EBNA3C coactivation with EBNA2 requires a SUMO homology domain. J Virol 78:367–377
- Saha A, Murakami M, Kumar P, Bajaj B, Sims K, Robertson ES (2009) Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C augments Mdm2-mediated p53 ubiquitination and degradation by deubiquitinating Mdm2. J Virol 83:4652–4669
- Saha A, Bamidele A, Murakami M, Robertson ES (2011) EBNA3C attenuates the function of p53 through interaction with inhibitor of growth family proteins 4 and 5. J Virol 85:2079–2088
- Sakakibara N, Mitra R, McBride AA (2011) The papillomavirus E1 helicase activates a cellular DNA damage response in viral replication foci. J Virol 85:8981–8995
- Saridakis V, Sheng Y, Sarkari F, Holowaty MN, Shire K, Nguyen T, Zhang RG, Liao J, Lee W, Edwards AM, Arrowsmith CH, Frappier L (2005) Structure of the p53 binding domain of HAUSP/USP7 bound to Epstein-Barr nuclear antigen 1 implications for EBV-mediated immortalization. Mol Cell 18:25–36
- Sato Y, Tsurumi T (2010) Noise cancellation: viral fine tuning of the cellular environment for its own genome replication. PLoS Pathog 6:e1001158
- Scheffner M, Huibregtse JM, Vierstra RD, Howley PM (1993) The HPV-16 E6 and E6-AP complex functions as a ubiquitin-protein ligase in the ubiquitination of p53. Cell 75:495–505
- Schwarz E, Freese UK, Gissmann L, Mayer W, Roggenbuck B, Stremlau A, zur Hausen H (1985) Structure and transcription of human papillomavirus sequences in cervical carcinoma cells. Nature 314:111–114
- Seo T, Park J, Lee D, Hwang SG, Choe J (2001) Viral interferon regulatory factor 1 of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus binds to p53 and represses p53-dependent transcription and apoptosis. J Virol 75:6193–6198
- Shin YC, Nakamura H, Liang X, Feng P, Chang H, Kowalik TF, Jung JU (2006) Inhibition of the ATM/p53 signal transduction pathway by Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus interferon regulatory factor 1. J Virol 80:2257–2266

- Shreeram S, Demidov ON, Hee WK, Yamaguchi H, Onishi N, Kek C, Timofeev ON, Dudgeon C, Fornace AJ, Anderson CW, Minami Y, Appella E, Bulavin DV (2006) Wip1 phosphatase modulates ATM-dependent signaling pathways. Mol Cell 23:757–764
- Si H, Robertson ES (2006) Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-encoded latency-associated nuclear antigen induces chromosomal instability through inhibition of p53 function. J Virol 80:697–709
- Sinclair AJ, Palmero I, Peters G, Farrell PJ (1994) EBNA-2 and EBNA-LP cooperate to cause G0 to G1 transition during immortalization of resting human B lymphocytes by Epstein-Barr virus. EMBO J 13:3321–3328
- Skalska L, White RE, Franz M, Ruhmann M, Allday MJ (2010) Epigenetic repression of p16(INK4A) by latent Epstein-Barr virus requires the interaction of EBNA3A and EBNA3C with CtBP. PLoS Pathog 6:e1000951
- Smith J, Tho LM, Xu N, Gillespie DA (2010) The ATM-Chk2 and ATR-Chk1 pathways in DNA damage signaling and cancer. Adv Cancer Res 108:73–112
- So S, Davis AJ, Chen DJ (2009) Autophosphorylation at serine 1981 stabilizes ATM at DNA damage sites. J Cell Biol 187:977–990
- Stewart GS, Wang B, Bignell CR, Taylor AM, Elledge SJ (2003) MDC1 is a mediator of the mammalian DNA damage checkpoint. Nature 421:961–966
- Stiff T, Walker SA, Cerosaletti K, Goodarzi AA, Petermann E, Concannon P, O'Driscoll M, Jeggo PA (2006) ATR-dependent phosphorylation and activation of ATM in response to UV treatment or replication fork stalling. EMBO J 25:5775–5782
- Stracker TH, Carson CT, Weitzman MD (2002) Adenovirus oncoproteins inactivate the Mre11-Rad50-NBS1 DNA repair complex. Nature 418:348–352
- Stracker TH, Usui T, Petrini JH (2009) Taking the time to make important decisions: the checkpoint effector kinases Chk1 and Chk2 and the DNA damage response. DNA Repair (Amst) 8:1047–1054
- Subramanian C, Hasan S, Rowe M, Hottiger M, Orre R, Robertson ES (2002) Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 3C and prothymosin alpha interact with the p300 transcriptional coactivator at the CH1 and CH3/HAT domains and cooperate in regulation of transcription and histone acetylation. J Virol 76:4699–4708
- Sugden B, Mark W (1977) Clonal transformation of adult human leukocytes by Epstein-Barr virus. J Virol 23:503–508
- Sun Y, Jiang X, Chen S, Fernandes N, Price BD (2005) A role for the Tip60 histone acetyltransferase in the acetylation and activation of ATM. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:13182–13187
- Suram A, Kaplunov J, Patel PL, Ruan H, Cerutti A, Boccardi V, Fumagalli M, Di Micco R, Mirani N, Gurung RL, Hande MP, d'Adda di Fagagna F, Herbig U (2012) Oncogeneinduced telomere dysfunction enforces cellular senescence in human cancer precursor lesions. EMBO J 31:2839–2851
- Tarakanova VL, Leung-Pineda V, Hwang S, Yang CW, Matatall K, Basson M, Sun R, Piwnica-Worms H, Sleckman BP, Virgin HWt (2007) Gamma-herpesvirus kinase actively initiates a DNA damage response by inducing phosphorylation of H2AX to foster viral replication. Cell Host Microbe 1:275–286
- Tatsumi Y, Ezura K, Yoshida K, Yugawa T, Narisawa-Saito M, Kiyono T, Ohta S, Obuse C, Fujita M (2008) Involvement of human ORC and TRF2 in pre-replication complex assembly at telomeres. Genes Cells Devoted Mol Cell Mech 13:1045–1059
- Thorley-Lawson DA (2001) Epstein-Barr virus: exploiting the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol 1:75–82
- Touitou R, O'Nions J, Heaney J, Allday MJ (2005) Epstein-Barr virus EBNA3 proteins bind to the C8/alpha7 subunit of the 20S proteasome and are degraded by 20S proteasomes in vitro, but are very stable in latently infected B cells. J Gen Virol 86:1269–1277
- Verma SC, Borah S, Robertson ES (2004) Latency-associated nuclear antigen of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus up-regulates transcription of human telomerase

reverse transcriptase promoter through interaction with transcription factor Sp1. J Virol 78:10348-10359

- Verschuren EW, Klefstrom J, Evan GI, Jones N (2002) The oncogenic potential of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus cyclin is exposed by p53 loss in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Cell 2:229–241
- Verschuren EW, Hodgson JG, Gray JW, Kogan S, Jones N, Evan GI (2004) The role of p53 in suppression of KSHV cyclin-induced lymphomagenesis. Cancer Res 64:581–589
- Walboomers JM, Jacobs MV, Manos MM, Bosch FX, Kummer JA, Shah KV, Snijders PJ, Peto J, Meijer CJ, Munoz N (1999) Human papillomavirus is a necessary cause of invasive cervical cancer worldwide. J Pathol 189:12–19
- Wang X, Wang HK, McCoy JP, Banerjee NS, Rader JS, Broker TR, Meyers C, Chow LT, Zheng ZM (2009) Oncogenic HPV infection interrupts the expression of tumor-suppressive miR-34a through viral oncoprotein E6. RNA 15:637–647
- Weitzman MD, Lilley CE, Chaurushiya MS (2010) Genomes in conflict: maintaining genome integrity during virus infection. Annu Rev Microbiol 64:61–81
- Whitehurst CB, Vaziri C, Shackelford J, Pagano JS (2012) Epstein-Barr virus BPLF1 deubiquitinates PCNA and attenuates polymerase eta recruitment to DNA damage sites. J Virol 86:8097–8106
- Yang K, Moldovan GL, D'Andrea AD (2010) RAD18-dependent recruitment of SNM1A to DNA repair complexes by a ubiquitin-binding zinc finger. J Biol Chem 285:19085–19091
- Yates JL, Warren N, Sugden B (1985) Stable replication of plasmids derived from Epstein-Barr virus in various mammalian cells. Nature 313:812–815
- Young AR, Narita M, Ferreira M, Kirschner K, Sadaie M, Darot JF, Tavare S, Arakawa S, Shimizu S, Watt FM (2009) Autophagy mediates the mitotic senescence transition. Genes Dev 23:798–803
- Zhang Q, Gutsch D, Kenney S (1994) Functional and physical interaction between p53 and BZLF1: implications for Epstein-Barr virus latency. Mol Cell Biol 14:1929–1938
- Zhao B, Marshall DR, Sample CE (1996) A conserved domain of the Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigens 3A and 3C binds to a discrete domain of Jkappa. J Virol 70:4228–4236
- Zhou J, Snyder AR, Lieberman PM (2009) Epstein-Barr virus episome stability is coupled to a delay in replication timing. J Virol 83:2154–2162
- Zhou J, Deng Z, Norseen J, Lieberman PM (2010) Regulation of Epstein-Barr virus origin of plasmid replication (OriP) by the S-phase checkpoint kinase Chk2. J Virol 84:4979–4987
- Zou L, Elledge SJ (2003) Sensing DNA damage through ATRIP recognition of RPA-ssDNA complexes. Science 300:1542–1548