# Chapter 13 Bacteria in the Management of Plant-Parasitic **Nematodes**

Pravin C. Trivedi and Abhiniti Malhotra

# 13.1 Introduction

Nematodes are one of the most important constraints to crop productivity and cause 12 % annual loss in the yield of important food and fiber crops on a worldwide basis (Sasser and Freckman [1987;](#page-26-0) Barker et al. [1994](#page-20-0)). The control of nematode is far more difficult than any other kind of pest because they inhabit soil and usually attack the underground parts of plants. On account of eco-friendly plant protection drive, great emphasis has been given to the exploitation of potential bioagents for controlling nematodes. Soils being a complex environment, housing various flora and fauna, nematodes are generally exposed to many enemies. The most widely found enemies are fungi and bacteria, of which bacteria as a bioagent have several advantages, followed by fungi. Not only is bacterium eco-friendly, but it also takes a long time to develop resistance. Besides, biotechnological interventions for evolving efficient strains are possible in the organism as they possess a simple genome.

Nematodes in soil are subject to infections by bacteria and fungi. This creates the possibility of using soil microorganisms to control plant-parasitic nematodes (Mankau [1980](#page-25-0); Jatala [1986\)](#page-24-0). Bacteria are numerically the most abundant organisms in soil, and some of them, for example, members of the genera Pasteuria, Pseudo-monas, and Bacillus (Emmert and Handelsman [1999](#page-22-0); Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999;](#page-27-0) Meyer [2003\)](#page-25-0), have shown great potential for the biological control of nematodes. Extensive investigations have been conducted over the last few years to assess their potential to control plant-parasitic nematodes.

P.C. Trivedi (⊠) • A. Malhotra

Department of Botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India e-mail: [ptrivedi33@yahoo.com;](mailto:ptrivedi33@yahoo.com) [pctrivedi33@gmail.com](mailto:pctrivedi33@gmail.com)

# 13.2 Bacteria in Nematode Control

Bacterial antagonists of plant-parasitic nematodes are grouped under the following categories: obligate parasites, antagonistic bacteria, and other soil bacteria.

# 13.2.1 Obligate Parasites

An obligate parasite is a parasitic organism that cannot live independently of its host. Members of the genus *Pasteuria* are obligate parasites of plant-parasitic nematodes. Pasteuria penetrans is a mycelial, endospore-forming, bacterial parasite that has shown great potential as a biological control agent of root-knot nematodes. Considerable progress has been made during the last 10 years in understanding its biology and importance as an agent capable of effectively suppressing root-knot nematodes in field soil. The biological control potential of Pasteuria spp. has been demonstrated on 20 crops; host nematodes include Belonolaimus longicaudatus, Heterodera spp., Meloidogyne spp., and Xiphinema diversicaudatum. The potential of predacious and nematoxic fungi and bacteria for the biological control of nematode parasites may offer a cheaper and more sustainable approach to reducing the damage caused by phytonematodes.

### 13.2.1.1 Pasteuria penetrans

Members of the genus Pasteuria are obligate, mycelial, endospore-forming bacterial parasites of plant-parasitic nematodes and water fleas (Sayre and Starr [1985;](#page-26-0) Bekal et al. [2001\)](#page-20-0). A number of bacterial species in this genus have shown great potential as biocontrol agents against plant-parasitic nematodes. They occur worldwide and have been reported from at least 51 countries (Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999\)](#page-27-0).

Taxonomy and Host Range of Pasteuria penetrans

Members of the genus have been reported to infect 323 nematode species belonging to 116 genera, including both plant-parasitic nematodes and free-living nematodes (Chen and Dickson [1998\)](#page-21-0). The majority of economically important plant-parasitic nematodes have been observed to be parasitized (Bird et al. [2003](#page-20-0)). Pasteuria was first described as a protozoan and later classified into the bacterial genus Bacillus and then into Pasteuria (Sayre and Starr [1985](#page-26-0)). At present, the taxonomy within the genus Pasteuria is based mainly on morphological and pathological characteristics, including the size and shape of sporangia and endospores, and ultrastructures, life cycles, and host ranges (Atibalentja et al. [2000\)](#page-20-0). Over the last few years, a number of molecular biological analyses have been used in the identification and classification of this genus. Recent analysis of a portion of the 16S rRNA gene showed that the genus Pasteuria is a deeply rooted member of the Clostridium–Bacillus–Streptococcus branch of the Gram-positive Eubacteria (Anderson et al. [1999\)](#page-19-0). Charles et al. sequenced the genome of Pas. penetrans, performed amino-acid-level analysis using concatenation of 40 housekeeping genes, and identified Pas. penetrans as ancestral to Bacillus spp. The results suggested that Pas. penetrans might have evolved from an ancient symbiotic bacteria associate of nematodes, possibly when the root-knot nematode evolved to a highly specialized parasite of plants (Charles [2005](#page-21-0); Charles et al. [2005\)](#page-21-0). So far, four nominal Pasteuria species have been reported. Among them, *Pasteuria ramosa* has been described from water fleas (Ebert et al. [1996](#page-22-0)). The other three nematode-infecting species are *Pas. penetrans*, which primarily parasitizes root-knot nematodes such as Meloidogyne spp.; Pas. thornei, which parasitizes root-lesion nematodes such as Pratylenchus spp.; and Pas. nishizawae, which occurs on cyst nematodes of the genera Heterodera and Globodera (Atibalentia et al. [2000\)](#page-20-0). Recently, based on morphological characteristics, host specificity, and the analysis of 16S rRNA gene Giblin-Davis et al. ([2001](#page-22-0), [2003\)](#page-22-0) proposed that strain S-1, which parasitizes the sting nematode *Belonolaimus longicaudatus*, represents a novel Pasteuria species, Candidatus Pasteuria usgae.

### Mechanisms of Infection

The life cycle of *Pas. penetrans* is completed in four stages, viz., spore germination, vegetative growth, fragmentation, and sporogenesis. Pasteuria penetrans infects the root-knot nematode Meloidogyne spp. Spores of Pasteuria can attach to the cuticles of the second-stage juveniles and germinate about 8 days after the juvenile has entered roots and begun feeding (Sayre and Wergin [1977\)](#page-26-0). The germ tubes can penetrate the cuticle, and vegetative microcolonies then form and proliferate through the body of the developing female. Finally, the reproductive system of the female nematode degenerates, and mature endospores are released into the soil (Mankau et al. [1976](#page-25-0); Sayre and Wergin [1977\)](#page-26-0). Attachment of the spores to the nematode cuticle is the first step in the infection process (Davies et al. [2001\)](#page-21-0). However, spores of individual *Pasteuria* populations do not adhere to or recognize all species of nematode. The spores of each Pasteuria species usually have a narrow host range. For example, Pas. penetrans infects Meloidogyne spp., Pas. thornei infects *Pratylenchus spp.*, and *Pas. nishizawae* infects the genera *Heterodera* and Globodera (Gives et al. [1999;](#page-22-0) Atibalentja et al. [2000](#page-20-0)). The specificity of spore attachment to the nematode cuticle has been intensively studied using biochemical and immunological methods. Monoclonal antibody studies have revealed a high degree of heterogeneity both within and among different populations of Pas. penetrans (Davies and Redden [1997\)](#page-21-0).

The distribution on the spore of any particular epitopes that are thought to be involved in adhesion may differ among populations and species (Davies and Redden [1997;](#page-21-0) Davies et al. [2001\)](#page-21-0). The distribution of an adhesin-associated epitope on polypeptides from different *Pasteuria* isolates provides an immunochemical approach to differentiating species and biotypes with specific host preferences (Preston et al. [2003](#page-26-0)). The processes associated with the initial binding of the endospores of Pasteuria spp. to their respective hosts have been explored by several laboratories (Stirling et al. [1986;](#page-28-0) Persidis et al. [1991;](#page-26-0) Davies and Danks [1993;](#page-21-0) Charnecki [1997](#page-21-0)). These studies have led to a model in which a carbohydrate ligand on the surface of the endospore binds to a lectin-like receptor on the cuticle of the nematode host (Persidis et al. [1991](#page-26-0)). The fibers surrounding the Pasteuria spore core are thought to be responsible for the adhesion of the spore to the host cuticle (Sayre and Wergin [1977](#page-26-0); Stirling et al. [1986](#page-28-0); Persidis et al. [1991](#page-26-0)). Sonication can increase spore attachment by removing the sporangial wall and exposing the parasporal fibers (Stirling et al. [1986](#page-28-0)).

### 13.2.1.2 Opportunistic Parasitic Bacteria

In 1946, Dollfus investigated and documented bacteria within the body cavity, gut, and gonads of nematodes (Jatala [1986\)](#page-24-0). Other reports have since suggested the association of some bacteria with the nematode cuticle. However, these studies were unable to specify whether these bacteria were parasites or saprophytes (Jatala [1986\)](#page-24-0). In fact, most nematophagous bacteria, except for obligate parasitic bacteria, usually live a saprophytic life, targeting nematodes as one possible nutrient resource. They are, however, also able to penetrate the cuticle barrier to infect and kill a nematode host in some conditions.

They are described as an opportunistic parasitic bacteria here, represented by Brevibacillus laterosporus strain G4 and Bacillus sp. B16. As a pathogen, Br. laterosporus has been demonstrated to have a very wide spectrum of biological activities. So far, it has been reported that four nematode species (three parasitic nematodes, namely, Heterodera glycines, Trichostrongylus colubriformis, and Bursaphelenchus xylophilus, and the saprophytic nematode Panagrellus redivivus) could be killed by various Br. laterosporus isolates (Oliveira et al. [2004](#page-25-0); Huang et al. [2005](#page-23-0)). Among these isolates, Br. laterosporusstrain G4, which was isolated from soil samples in Yunnan province in China and parasitizes the nematodes Panagrellus redivivus and Bursaphelenchus xylophilus, has been extensively studied (Huang et al.  $2005$ ). After attaching to the epidermis of the host body, Br. laterosporus can propagate rapidly and form a single clone in the epidermis of the nematode cuticle.

The growth of a clone can result in a circular hole shaped by the continuous degradation and digestion of host cuticle and tissue. Finally, bacteria enter the body of the host and digest all the host tissue as nutrients for pathogenic growth (Huang et al. [2005](#page-23-0)). During bacterial infection, the degradation of all the nematode cuticle components around the holes suggests the involvement of hydrolytic enzymes (Cox et al. [1981;](#page-21-0) Decraemer et al. [2003;](#page-22-0) Huang et al. [2005\)](#page-23-0). At present, the majority of research efforts on opportunistic nematode-parasitic bacteria have concentrated on understanding pathogenesis using free-living nematodes as targets. Such studies should allow us to identify new pathogenic factors and to learn more about infectious processes in nematodes. It is important to understand the mechanism that controls the switch from saprotrophy to parasitism in order to formulate effective commercial nematode control agents.

### 13.2.2 Antagonistic Soil Bacteria

Many species of soil bacteria are capable of decomposing plant and animal residues. A succession of these bacteria facilitates stepwise degradation of soil organic matter. The products released by the metabolic activity of the bacteria vary from complex to the simplest molecules. Some of these products accumulate in the soil and may be toxic, antibiotic, or inhibitory to plant-parasitic nematodes. During natural decomposition of plant residues, ammonifying bacteria apparently produce enough ammonia to influence nematodes.

Other compounds like hydrogen sulfate and ammonia produced by bacteria have also been found to have deleterious effects on Hirschmanniella oryzae in rice fields and root-knot nematodes (Jacq and Fortuner [1979;](#page-24-0) Zavalata [1985\)](#page-28-0). Soil bacteria like Bacillus thuringiensis var. thuringiensis (Prasad and Tilak [1972\)](#page-26-0) producing butyric acid, hydrogen sulfide, cyanide, and exotoxins, have been demonstrated to be antagonistic to nematodes. Ammonia produced by ammonifying bacteria during decomposition of nitrogenous organic materials can result in reduced nematode populations in soil (Rodriguez-Kabana 1986).

#### 13.2.2.1 Cry-Protein-Forming Bacteria

Bacillus thuringiensis, a spore-forming aerobic, Gram-positive bacterium belonging to the genus Bacillus, is considered a potential biocontrol agent. More than 200 isolates of B. thuringiensis have been grouped into more than 12 stereotypes. The classification was done by combination of Heterodera antigens (stereotypes) and biotypes, particularly the esterase types (Norris [1964\)](#page-25-0). B. thuringiensis occurs in the dead matter of insects, litter of sericulture form, and soils. Chahal and Chahal ([1991](#page-21-0)) perhaps for the first time investigated B. thuringiensis toxic to eggs and larvae of Meloidogyne sp. Chahal and Chahal ([1999](#page-21-0)) examined the effect of different strains of B. thuringiensis on wheat galls and on egg masses of Meloidogyne incognita. The result showed a drastic inhibition of egg masses and death of all  $J_2S$  of M. incognita. The gelatinous matrix of egg mass was disintegrated due to bacterial action which might be due to the ability of bacteria to produce enzyme chitinase (Chigaleichik [1976](#page-21-0)), an enzyme which hydrolyze chitin present in the egg shell and gelatinous matrix of egg masses (Spiegel and Cohn [1985](#page-28-0)), thereby affecting the permeability.

Bird and McClure ([1976](#page-20-0)) and Ignoffo and Dropkin ([1977\)](#page-24-0) reported that a thermostable toxic of  $B$ . *thuringiensis* was found to be toxic to population Meloidogyne, Panagrellus, and Aphelenchus and prevented M. incognita juveniles from forming galls on tomato roots. B. thuringiensis (Bt) produces one or more parasporal crystal inclusions (Cry or d-endotoxins), which are known to be toxic to a wide range of insect species in the orders *Lepidoptera* (butterflies and moths), Diptera (flies and mosquitoes), Coleoptera (beetles and weevils), and Hymenoptera (wasps and bees) (Schenpe et al. [1998;](#page-26-0) Maagd et al. [2001](#page-25-0)). Some Cry proteins are also toxic to other invertebrates such as nematodes, mites, and protozoans (Feitelson et al. [1992\)](#page-22-0). To date, there are six Cry proteins (Cry5, Cry6, Cry12, Cry13, Cry14, Cry21) known to be toxic to larvae of a number of free-living or parasitic nematodes (Alejandra et al. [1998;](#page-19-0) Crickmore et al. [1998](#page-21-0); Marroquin et al. [2000;](#page-25-0) Wei et al. [2003;](#page-28-0) Kotze et al. [2005](#page-24-0)).

On the basis of amino acid sequence homology, these nematode-affecting Cry proteins (except for Cry6A) were assigned to a single cluster in the main Cry lineage, parallel to other main groups (Bravo [1997](#page-21-0); Marroquin et al. [2000\)](#page-25-0). Separate phylogenetic analysis of the three domains of Cry protein also generated a consensus tree result. The domain I and domain II trees showed that nematodespecific toxins (Cry5, Cry12, Cry13, Cry14, and Cry21) were arranged together in a single branch (Bravo [1997\)](#page-21-0). Domain III from all the nematode-specific toxin trees are also clustered together (Bravo [1997\)](#page-21-0). Nematicidal and insecticidal toxins of Bt are believed to share similar modes of action. Cry toxicity is directed against the intestinal epithelial cells of the midgut and leads to vacuole and pore formation, pitting, and eventual degradation of the intestine (Marroquin et al. [2000\)](#page-25-0). The binding of poreforming toxin to a receptor in the epithelial cell is a major event. In order to determine host receptors, a mutagenesis screen was performed with the genetically wellcharacterized nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. A detailed understanding of how the Bt toxins interact with nematodes should facilitate the production of more effective Bt biocontrol agents.

Other than Cry toxin, previous studies using B. thuringiensis israelensis, B. thuringiensis kurstaki, and another parasporal-crystal-forming bacterium, B. sphaericus, showed that some strains had significant activity on the eggs and larvae of the parasitic nematode Trichostrongylus colubriformis (Bottjer et al. [1986;](#page-20-0) Bowen et al. [1986a,](#page-20-0) [b](#page-20-0); Bowen and Tinelli [1987;](#page-20-0) Meadows et al. [1989](#page-25-0)). The toxicities of these strains were inhibited by antibiotics and neither correspond to the sporulation phase of the bacteria nor to their resistance to alkaline pH and heat, demonstrating that the pathogenic factors were not the parasporal crystal (Bottjer et al. [1986;](#page-20-0) Bowen et al. [1986a,](#page-20-0) [b;](#page-20-0) Bowen and Tinelli [1987](#page-20-0); Meadows et al. [1989\)](#page-25-0). Subsequently, an unknown Bt isolate was also reported to have toxicity to rootlesion nematodes (Bradfish et al. [1991\)](#page-20-0). However, the pathogenic factors of this strain have not been discovered.

#### 13.2.2.2 Rhizobacteria

Rhizospheric bacteria mainly fluorescent Pseudomonas (Oostendrop and Sikora [1989;](#page-25-0) Spiegel et al. [1991](#page-28-0)) and certain others like B, *subtilis* and B, *cereus* (Oka et al. [1993\)](#page-25-0), B. sphaericus (Racke and Sikora [1992\)](#page-26-0), Anthrobacter (Kloepper et al. [1988\)](#page-24-0), Scroratia (Kloepper et al. [1988\)](#page-24-0), and Agrobacterium (Racke and Sikora [1992\)](#page-26-0) play an important role in biocontrol of plant-parasitic nematodes. The rhizobacteria usually comprise a complex assemblage of species with many different modes of action in the soil (Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999](#page-27-0)). Rhizobacteria reduce nematode populations mainly by regulating nematode behavior (Sikora and Hoffmann-Hergarten [1993](#page-28-0)), interfering with plant–nematode recognition (Oostendorp and

Sikora [1990](#page-25-0)), competing for essential nutrients (Oostendorp and Sikora [1990\)](#page-25-0), promoting plant growth (El-Nagdi and Youssef [2004\)](#page-22-0), inducing systemic resistance (Hasky-Gunther et al. [1998](#page-23-0)), or directly antagonizing by means of the production of toxins, enzymes, and other metabolic products (Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999\)](#page-27-0). Most rhizobacteria act against plant-parasitic nematodes by means of metabolic by-products, enzymes, and toxins. The effects of these toxins include the suppression of nematode reproduction, egg hatching, and juvenile survival, as well as direct killing of nematodes (Zuckerman and Jasson [1984;](#page-28-0) Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999\)](#page-27-0). There are two commercial bionematicidal agents based on Bacillus species. Through a PGPR research program of the ARS (Agricultural Research Service, USA), a commercial transplant mix (Bio Yield TM, Gustafson LLC) containing Paenibacillus macerans and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens has been developed to control plant-parasitic nematodes on tomato, bell pepper, and strawberry (Meyer [2003\)](#page-25-0). Another product, used in Israel, is BioNem, which contains 3 % lyophilized Bacillus firmus spores and 97 % nontoxic additives (plant and animal extracts) to control root-knot nematodes as well as other nematodes (Giannakou and Prophetou-Athanasiadou [2004\)](#page-22-0). In extensive testing on vegetable crops (tomato, cucumber, pepper, garlic, and herbs), BioNem preplant applications significantly reduced nematode populations and root infestation (galling index), resulting in an overall increase in yield (Giannakou and Prophetou-Athanasiadou [2004\)](#page-22-0). BioNem showed a higher effectiveness against root-knot nematodes in the field than did Pas. penetrans.

However, the excellent biocontrol effects of BioNem can be partially attributed to the stimulating effect that the animal and plant additives contained in the bionematicide formulation have on the microbial community of the rhizosphere. Previous studies have shown that the addition of manure or other organic amendments stimulates the activity of the indigenous soil microbial community (Giannakou and Prophetou-Athanasiadou [2004](#page-22-0)).

#### 13.2.2.3 Pseudomonas fluorescens

In many crop–pathogen systems, the primary mechanism of biocontrol by fluorescent pseudomonads is production of HCN and antibiotics such as 2,4 diacetylphloroglucinol (2,4-DAPG), pyoluteorin, pyrrolnitrin, and phenazines, playing an important role in biocontrol of pathogens (Défago et al. [1990\)](#page-22-0). It is not clear exactly how the plant-growth-promoting properties of P. fluorescens are achieved; theories include that the bacteria might induce systemic resistance in the host plant, so it can better resist attack by a true pathogen; the bacteria might outcompete other (pathogenic) soil microbes, e.g., by siderophores giving a competitive advantage at scavenging for iron; and the bacteria might produce compounds antagonistic to other soil microbes, such as phenazine-type antibiotics or hydrogen cyanide.

P. fluorescens produces some siderophores (iron-chelating substances) which act as growth factors and disease-suppressive siderophores like pseudoactin which can

et al. [1993;](#page-24-0) Westcott and Kluepfel [1993](#page-28-0); Cronin et al. [1997a](#page-21-0); Jayakumar et al. [2002;](#page-24-0) Siddiqui and Shaukat [2002,](#page-27-0) [2003a](#page-27-0), [b](#page-27-0); Andreogloua et al. [2003;](#page-20-0) Siddiqui and Singh [2005\)](#page-27-0). The mechanisms employed by some Pseudomonas strains to reduce the plant-parasitic nematode population have been studied. These mechanisms include the production of antibiotics and the induction of systemic resistance (Spiegel et al. [1991;](#page-28-0) Cronin et al. [1997a](#page-21-0); Siddiqui and Shaukat [2002,](#page-27-0) [2003a](#page-27-0), [b\)](#page-27-0).

P. fluorescens controlled cyst nematode juveniles by producing several secondary metabolites such as 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) which reduces juvenile mobility (Cronin et al. [1997a;](#page-21-0) Siddiqui and Shaukat [2003a](#page-27-0), [b](#page-27-0)). Additionally, mortality of root-knot and cyst nematode juveniles in culture filtrates of P. fluorescens has also been observed (Gokta and Swarup [1988](#page-23-0)). Mena and Pimentel [\(2002\)](#page-25-0) reported that Corynebacterium paurometabolum inhibited nematode egg hatching by producing hydrogen sulfide and chitinase. Some other rhizobacteria reduce deleterious organisms and create an environment more favorable for plant growth by producing compounds such as antibiotics or hydrogen cyanide (Zuckerman and Jasson [1984](#page-28-0)). Recently, rhizobacteria-mediated induced systemic resistance (ISR) in plants has been shown to be active against nematode pests (Van Loon et al. [1998](#page-28-0); Ramamoorthy et al. [2001\)](#page-26-0). Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can bring about ISR by fortifying the physical and mechanical strength of the cell wall by means of cell wall thickening, deposition of newly formed callose, and accumulation of phenolic compounds. They also change the physiological and biochemical ability of the host to promote the synthesis of defense chemicals against the challenge pathogen (e.g., by the accumulation of pathogenesis-related proteins, increased chitinase and peroxidase activity, and synthesis of phytoalexin and other secondary metabolites) (Van Loon et al. [1998](#page-28-0); Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999](#page-27-0); Ramamoorthy et al. [2001](#page-26-0)). Bacterial determinants of ISR include lipopolysaccharides (LPSs), siderophores, and salicylic acid (SA) (Van Loon et al. [1998;](#page-28-0) Ramamoorthy et al. [2001](#page-26-0)).

The mechanism involved in resistance development seems to be directly related to nematode recognition and penetration of the root (Reitz et al. [2001](#page-26-0); Mahdy et al. [2001\)](#page-25-0). However, Siddiqui and Shaukat ([2004\)](#page-27-0) found that SA-negative or SA-overproducing mutants induced systemic resistance to an extent similar to that caused by the wild-type bacteria in tomato plants. They concluded that fluorescent pseudomonads induced systemic resistance against nematodes by means of a signal transduction pathway, which is independent of SA accumulation in roots. Except for the nematophagous fungi and actinomycetes, rhizobacteria are the only group of microorganisms in which biological nematicides have been reported. Ganeshan and Kumar [\(2005](#page-22-0)) used Pseudomonas fluorescens as a potential biopesticide for augmentative biological control of many diseases of agricultural and horticultural importance. Biological control by plant-growth-promoting fluorescent pseudomonads protects the plant from pathogens by activating defense

genes encoding chitinase, 1,3 glucanase, and peroxidase (Chen et al. [2000\)](#page-21-0). P. fluorescens strain PF-1 was toxic to R. reniformis, with all tested concentration exhibiting toxic effects (Jayakumar et al. [2002\)](#page-24-0).

Plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria can effect directly (Glick [1995;](#page-22-0) Presello-Cartieaux [2003\)](#page-26-0) by fixation of nitrogen, solubilization of minerals, production of siderophores that solubilize and sequester iron, or production of plant growth regulators (auxin, cytokinin, gibberellins, ethylene, or abscisic acid) that enhance plant growth at various stages of development, whereas indirect growth promotions occur when PGPR promotes plant growth by improving growth restricting conditions (Glick et al. [1995](#page-23-0)). Shanti et al. ([1998](#page-27-0)) reported suppression in nematode multiplication (root-knot) in grapevine root even after 8 months (second-generation crop) with application of P. fluorescens. Fluorescent pseudomonads have received much attention as biocontrol agents because they generally act through direct antagonism to pathogens, through antibiotic production, through competition with pathogen, or more directly through plant growth promotion (Gamlial and Katan [1993](#page-22-0)).

### 13.2.2.4 Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Siddiqui and Shaukat [\(2003a,](#page-27-0) [b\)](#page-27-0) reported on biocontrol agents Pseudomonas aeruginosa IE-6 and IE- $6S<sup>+</sup>$  (previously shown to suppress several soil-borne plant pathogens) on soil microfungi and plant-parasitic nematodes as well as on the root-knot development and growth of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). The biocontrol agents significantly reduced root-knot development and enhanced shoot growth of tomato over the controls.

Gulnaz et al.  $(2008)$  $(2008)$  used P. aeruginosa and B. japonicum alone or with mineral fertilizers significantly reduced infection of tomato roots by the root-rotting fungi Macrophomina phaseolina, Rhizoctonia solani, and Fusarium solani. Use of P. aeruginosa or B. japonicum alone or with mineral fertilizers suppressed the root-knot nematode M. javanica by reducing numbers of galls on roots, nematode establishment in roots, and nematode populations in soil. The tallest plants and maximum shoot fresh weight occurred due to treatment with P. aeruginosa. Siddiqui and Akhtar  $(2007)$  $(2007)$  found that *P. aeruginosa* reduced galling and nematode multiplication the most followed by A. awamori and G. intraradices. Combined inoculation of these microorganisms caused the greatest increase in plant growth and reduced the root-rot index more than individual inoculations. Pathogens adversely effected root colonization by G. intraradices. However, root colonization and root nodulation were increased when co-inoculated with P. aeruginosa and A. awamori whether in the presence or absence of pathogens.

### 13.2.2.5 Bacillus subtilis

Numerous Bacillus strains can suppress pests and pathogens of plants and promote plant growth. Some species are pathogens of nematodes (Gokta and Swarup [1988;](#page-23-0)

Li et al. [2005](#page-24-0)). The most thoroughly studied is probably B. subtilis (Krebs et al. [1998;](#page-24-0) Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999](#page-27-0); Siddiqui and Shaukat [2002](#page-27-0)). In addition, a number of studies have reported direct antagonism by other Bacillus spp. towards plant-parasitic nematode species belonging to the genera Meloidogyne, Heterodera, and Rotylenchulus (Gokta and Swarup [1988;](#page-23-0) Kloepper et al. [1992;](#page-24-0) Madamba et al. [1999](#page-25-0); Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999;](#page-27-0) Insunza et al. [2002;](#page-24-0) Kokalis-Burelle et al. [2002](#page-24-0); Meyer [2003](#page-25-0); Giannakou and Prophetou-Athanasiadou [2004](#page-22-0); Li et al. [2005](#page-24-0)).

B. subtilis improved plant growth by inhibiting nonparasitizing root pathogens, producing biologically active substances or by transforming unavailable minerals and organic compounds into forms available to plants (Broadbent et al. [1997\)](#page-21-0). El-Hassan and Gowen  $(2006)$  $(2006)$  found that the formulation of B. *subtilis* decreased the severity by reducing colonization of plants by pathogen, promoting their growth, and increasing the dry weight of lentil pea. B. *subtilis* is not a nematode parasite, but it has a high degree of larvicidal property (Siddiqui and Mahmood [1995a](#page-27-0)), and it produces many biological active substances. Gokta and Swarup [\(1988](#page-23-0)) also reported that isolates of  $B$ . *subtilis* and  $B$ . *pumilus* were found most effective against M. incognita, H. cajani, H. zeae, and H. avenae.

Other rhizobacteria reported to show antagonistic effects against nematodes include members of the genera Actinomycetes, Agrobacterium, Arthrobacter, Alcaligenes, Aureobacterium, Azotobacter, Beijerinckia, Burkholderia, Chromobacterium, Clavibacter, Clostridium, Comamonas, Corynebacterium, Curtobacterium, Desulforibtio, Enterobacter, Flavobacterium, Gluconobacter, Hydrogenophaga, Klebsiella, Methylobacterium, Phyllobacterium, Phingobacterium, Rhizobium, Serratia, Stenotrotrophomonas, and Variovorax (Tables [13.1](#page-10-0) and [13.2;](#page-11-0) Fig. [13.1](#page-12-0)) (Jacq and Fortuner [1979](#page-24-0); Kloepper et al. [1992;](#page-24-0) Racke and Sikora [1992](#page-26-0); Guo et al. [1996](#page-23-0); Cronin et al. [1997b](#page-21-0); Duponnois et al. [1999](#page-22-0); Neipp and Becker [1999](#page-25-0); Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999](#page-27-0), [2001;](#page-27-0) Meyer et al. [2001](#page-25-0); Mahdy et al. [2001](#page-25-0); Hallmann et al. [2001;](#page-23-0) Insunza et al. [2002](#page-24-0); Khan et al. [2002](#page-24-0); Mena and Pimentel [2002](#page-25-0); Meyer [2003](#page-25-0)).

#### 13.2.2.6 Azotobacter

Another bacterium, Azotobacter, is an aerobic, nonsymbiotic Gram-negative nitrogen-fixing bacteria, which occurs in most of the cultivated soil, is gaining importance in controlling phytoparasitic nematodes. Verma and Bansal [\(1996](#page-28-0)) showed the inhibitory effect of A. *chroococcum* on hatching of M. *javanica*. Racke and Sikora [\(1992](#page-26-0)) found that out of 179 bacterial isolates isolated from roots and cysts, only six caused a significant reduction  $(>=25\%)$  in Globodera pallida penetration of potato roots. Six of these isolates caused significant reductions in repeated greenhouse tests. The antagonistic activity was shown to be directly correlated with the number of colony-forming units (cfu) present on the tuber. The isolates Agrobacterium radiobacter and Bacillus sphaericus at densities of  $9.7 \times 10^8$  and  $3.16 \times 10^9$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, caused significant reductions in root infection of 24–41 % in repeated experiments.

Biotic agent	Nematode sp.	Crop	Reference
<b>Bacillus licheniformis</b>	M. incognita		Siddiqui and Hussain (1991)
Pseudomonas mendocina	M. incognita		Siddiqui and Hussain (1991)
<b>Bacillus</b> subtilis	M. incognita		Gokta and Swarup (1988)
B. pumilus, B. cereus Pseudomonas sp.	H. cajani, H. zeae, H. avenae		
P. fluorescens	H. avenae	Wheat	Kamra and Dhawan (1997)
P. fluorescens	M. incognita	Tomato	Verma et al. (1999)
P. stutzeri	M. incognita	Tomato	Khan and Tarannum (1999)
B. subtilis, P. fluorescens	M. incognita	Tomato	Santhi and Sivakumar (1995)
P. fluorescens	M. incognita	Black pepper	Eapen et al. (1997)
P. fluorescens	H. cajani	Pigeon pea	Siddiqui and Mahmood (1995a, b)
B. subtilis, Bradyrhizobium japonicum	H. cajani	Pigeon pea	Siddiqui and Mahmood (1995a)
P. fluorescens	Hirschmanniella gracilis	Paddy	Ramakrishnan and Sivakumar (1999)
<b>B.</b> subtilis	M. incognita	Chickpea	Siddiqui and Mahmood (1993)
P. fluorescens	H. cajani	Black gram	Latha and Shivakumar (1998)
P. fluorescens	Tylenchulus semipenetrans	Sweet orange and lime	Santhi et al. (1999)
P. fluorescens	Globodera sp.	Potato	Mani et al. (1998)

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Table 13.1 Antagonistic rhizosphere for the control of phytonematodes

Ali ([1996\)](#page-19-0) found that the population density of nematode species was reduced by application of five bacterial isolates (Arthrobacterium spp., Bacillus spp., Corynebacterium spp., Serratia spp., and Streptomyces spp.). Reductions of nematode populations were ranged between 46 % and 100 %. Youssef et al. ([1998\)](#page-28-0) studied the potential of A. chroococcum, Bacillus megaterium, and Rhizobium lupine for the control of M. incognita infecting cowpea and tomato plants. They noticed a number of both root galls and egg masses of  $M$ , *incognita* were decreased in soil treated with B. megatherium and A. chroococcum except R. lupine-treated soil. El-Sherif et al. [\(1995](#page-22-0)) studied the effect of culture filtrates of 5 isolates for their nematotoxic effect against plant-parasitic nematode (Bacillus spp., Corynebacterium spp., Serratia spp., Arthrobacterium spp., and Streptomyces spp.). The authors determined the culture filtrate concentration as 0.1 % to inhibit the hatching of the eggs and 0.6 % to be highly toxic to the juveniles. The toxic effect of the filtrate varied with the different nematode species.

Siddiqui and Futai [\(2009\)](#page-27-0) studied the effects of antagonistic fungi (Aspergillus niger v. Teigh, Paecilomyces lilacinus(Thom) Samson, and Penicillium chrysogenum

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Table 13.2 Mode of action of various bacterial groups Table 13.2 Mode of action of various bacterial groups

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Fig. 13.1 Mechanism of biocontrol by Pseudomonas fluorescens and Bacillus subtilis

Thom), and plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) (A. chroococcum Beijer, B. subtilis (Ehrenberg) Cohn, and Pseudomonas putida (Trev.) Mig.) were assessed with cattle manure on the growth of tomato and on the reproduction of M. incognita (Kof. and White) Chitwood. Application of antagonistic fungi and PGPR alone and in combination with cattle manure resulted in a significant increase in the growth of nematode-inoculated plants. Siddiqui [\(2004](#page-27-0)) conducted glasshouse experiments to assess the influence of P. fluorescens, A. chroococcum, and Azospirillum brasilense and composted organic fertilizers (cow dung, horse dung, goat dung, and poultry manure) alone and in combination on the multiplication of M. incognita and growth of tomato.

# 13.2.3 Other Soil Bacteria: Rhizobia

Nodulation is a complex symbiotic process between host plant and Rhizobia. For successful nodulation, the Rhizobia must multiply to a sufficient population level

and colonize the rhizosphere before making contact with the legume roots. Subsequently, the bacteria attach themselves to roots and penetrate root hairs and stimulate formation of nodules. This process can be disrupted by biotic stresses on either host plant or Rhizobia. Survival and colonization of Rhizobia in the rhizosphere are greatly influenced by root exudation of host plants (Bhagwat and Thomos [1982](#page-20-0)). Carbohydrates, amino acids, and a variety of nutrients by soybean roots as root exudates. Among amino acids, a variety of nutrients are released by soybean roots as root exudates. Among amino acids, tryptophan is easily converted by Rhizobium to indoleacetic acid that stimulates the formation and elongation of root hairs. This facilitates the bacteria to enter soybean roots via epidermal cells of root hairs and initiate the bacterial nodulation (Barker and Hussey [1976](#page-20-0)). However, plant-parasitic nematodes have been shown to alter quality and quantity of root exudates of infected plants (Wang and Bergeson [1974\)](#page-28-0). These changes have an impact on the efficacy of tryptophan in formation and elongation of root hairs.

Plant lectins are the specific carbohydrate-binding proteins, constituting approximately 10 % of the extractable nitrogen in the seeds of leguminous plants and have been extensively used in the study of cell surface architecture. Earlier work on lectin distribution in plant tissues as well as lectin-mediated cell–cell interactions provides strong evidence for their involvement in the defense of plants against infection and also in Rhizobium–legume symbiosis. During the symbiotic biological nitrogen fixation, the bacteria of the genus Rhizobium living in the rhizospheric region of the leguminous plants adhere to the legume roots and are subsequently internalized to form nitrogen-fixing nodules. The Rhizobium–legume interactions are specific, and the specificity is achieved through the action of plant lectins.

It has been demonstrated that the lectin in beans extract could help bind the specific bacteria to the roots of Phaseolus vulgaris. Systematic studies in this direction were subsequently made in soybean–Rhizobium japonicum and clover–Rhizobium trifolii systems (Musarrat and Akhtar [2000](#page-25-0)). Plant lectins extruded by soybean roots are proteins capable of binding sugar or sugar containing proteins. Several studies suggest that Rhizobia bind to soybean roots via soybean lectins on the root surface (Bohlool and Schimt [1974](#page-20-0)). Soybean cyst nematode Heterodera glycines may affect the bacterial binding sites on the root to limit bacterial establishment for nodulation. An interaction between root surface lectins and surface carbohydrates of the nematode may be prerequisite for the nematode penetration (Zuckerman and Jasson [1984](#page-28-0)). Rhizobium japonicum cells also bind with soybean lectins (Balasubramanium [1971](#page-20-0)). Hence, there is a competition between Meloidogyne spp. and R. japonicum for binding to soybean root surface lectin. It also causes reduction of bacterial nodulation. Few studies have assessed the effect of Meloidogyne infection on bacterial nodulation of legume crops, and these studies have shown that *Meloidogyne* spp. have retarded the development of root system and the bacterial nodulation of legume crops (Balasubramanium [1971;](#page-20-0) Huang et al. [1984\)](#page-23-0).

The presence of sugars such as N-acetylglucosamine, galactose, N-acetylgalactosamine, and mannose and/or glucose on the cuticle surface of plantparasitic nematodes may play an important role in the interaction between nematodes and their hosts. It has been demonstrated that the binding of Rhizobia to nematode-free roots was inhibited only after pretreatment with certain sugars. Studies on the interference of nematodes with soybean lectin metabolism showed the reduced binding of  $Rhizobia$  to  $H.$  glycines-infected soybean roots, suppressing the nodule formation. Furthermore, the root-knot nematodes M. incognita infecting mungbean, chickpea, cowpea, wandopea, and green gram; M. hapla infecting white clover; and *Meloidogyne* spp. infecting horsebean, lupin, clover, and pea have been reported to inhibit nodulation. Interrelationship between M. incognita, Heterodera cajani, and Rhizobium sp. on cowpea (Vigna sinensis) has been investigated. Hussaini and Seshadri ([1975](#page-23-0)) reported that *M. incognita* and H. cajani, singly or in concomitant inoculum, significantly reduce the growth of cowpea; M. incognita reduced N-content to a greater extent than H. cajani. Similarly, Hussaini and Seshadri ([1975](#page-23-0)) reported that M. incognita inoculated before and after or simultaneously with *Rhizobium* caused significant decrease in plant height, fresh and dry weight of shoot and root, number of nodules on root, and nitrogen content of root when compared to nematode-free plants. Presumably, the common sugars on the cuticle surface of nematodes compete for the plant lectins, resulting in reduced rhizobial binding sites (Musarrat and Akhtar [2000](#page-25-0)).

The association of rhizobia with legume hosts has a beneficial effect on plant nutrition and growth. In contrast, the plant–nematode relationship has adverse effects on plant growth. The role of plant-parasitic nematodes on rhizobial nodulation and nitrogen fixation of host plants has been reviewed by a number of workers (Huang [1987;](#page-23-0) Khan [1993\)](#page-24-0). As a result of nematode infection, the nodulation and nitrogen fixation has been reported to be suppressed (Hussaini and Seshadri [1975\)](#page-23-0), or stimulated (Hussey and Barker [1976](#page-23-0)), or remain unaffected (Taha and Raski [1969\)](#page-28-0). The role of rhizobia in the control of plant diseases of various leguminous crops has already been discussed (Sawada [1982\)](#page-26-0), and biological control of plant diseases is now increasingly capturing the imagination of plant pathologists (Papavizas and Lumsden [1980](#page-25-0)). Some of the possible reasons for the reduced nematode reproduction caused by root-nodule bacteria are physiological and biochemical changes, change in host nutrition, and histopathological numbers.

#### 13.2.3.1 Physiological and Biochemical Changes

The root-nodule bacteria which fix atmospheric nitrogen are reported to produce toxic metabolites inhibitory to many plant pathogens (Haque and Gaffar [1993\)](#page-23-0). Rhizobium japonicum secretes rhizobitoxine, which is inhibitory to charcoal root fungus Macrophomina phaseolina (Chakraborty and Purkayastha [1984\)](#page-21-0). Chakraborty and Chakraborty [\(1989](#page-21-0)) reported an increased level of phytoalexin (4-hydroxy-2,3,9-trimethoxypterocarpan) when pea seeds were bacterized with R. leguminosarum prior to inoculation with Fusarium solani f. sp. pisi. This phytoalexin may have an important role in cross-protection against many pathogens. Siddiqui and Mahmood [\(1994](#page-27-0)) observed higher activity of peroxidase, nitrate reductase, and catalase in pigeon pea plants inoculated with Bradyrhizobium  $japonicum$  than in plants without  $B$ .  $japonicum$ . An increase in peroxidase activity due to B. japonicum inoculation indicates increasing resistance of the plant because it catalyzes the polymerization of phenolic compounds and forms cross-links between extensin, lignin, and feruloylated polysaccharides (Siddiqui and Mahmood [1994\)](#page-27-0). An increase in nitrate reductase and catalase may be correlated with the rate of protein synthesis and resistance of the plant to pathogens, respectively (Siddiqui and Mahmood [1994](#page-27-0)). Roslycky ([1967\)](#page-26-0) reported production of an antibiotic bacteriocin by rhizobia bacteria. Some properties of antibiotics of rhizobia bacteria have also been reported by others (Drapeau et al. [1973;](#page-22-0) Schwinghamer and Belkengren [1968;](#page-27-0) Tu [1978,](#page-28-0) [1988](#page-28-0)). Antibiotics and phytoalexin produced by rhizobia bacteria probably reduce damage from nematodes and other pathogens.

#### 13.2.3.2 Change in Host Nutrition

Damage to plant growth by nematodes can be lessened by the application of nitrogen fertilizer (NH<sub>4</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>) (Ross [1969](#page-26-0)), indicating that combined nitrogen can improve growth of diseased plants. Combined nitrogen, such as nitrate, at a high level is a powerful inhibitor of nodulation (Dart [1977](#page-21-0)) and also has an adverse effect on the development of nematodes (Barker et al. [1972](#page-20-0)). Barker and Huisingh [\(1970](#page-20-0)) observed necrosis in nodular tissues following invasion by nematodes; this may in part account for reduced nematode development. All this suggests that application of rhizobia bacteria which increase nitrogen content and plant growth can also reduce nematode populations.

#### 13.2.3.3 Histopathological Changes

Endo [\(1964](#page-22-0)) indicated that nematodes, especially males, often caused plant necrosis and degeneration of syncytia as the nematodes matured. Endo ([1965\)](#page-22-0) found that nematodes induced much necrosis in resistant plants. Some reactions that he observed were very similar to those of nodular tissues where the surrounding tissues, as well as the nematode, died. This type of reaction may partially explain the reduced number of nematodes obtained when nematodes and Rhizobium were added simultaneously to soybean (Barker et al. [1971\)](#page-20-0). Sharma and Sethi [\(1976](#page-27-0)) reported that both the nematodes, namely,  $M$ . incognita and  $H$ . cajani, either singly or in combination, significantly reduced the growth of cowpea and addition of Rhizobia tended to reduce this damage to some extent.

Mishra et al. [\(1994](#page-25-0)) reported improved plant growth in R. leguminosaruminoculated Phaseolus aureus L. plant as compared to reniform-nematode-infected plant. Datal and Bhatti  $(2002)$  $(2002)$  studied the interaction between H. *cajani* and Rhizobium in different combinations and revealed that alone or prior addition of Rhizobium enhanced nodulation but reduced multiplication on mungbean and cluster bean. Sharma and Sethi ([1976\)](#page-27-0) and Khan and Hussain (1990) reported that the addition of rhizobia tends to reduce the damage caused to the host plant in combined inoculation of phtyoparasitic nematodes.

Siddiqui and Singh [\(2005\)](#page-27-0) conducted glasshouse experiments to assess the ash amendments (0, 20, and 40 % with soil), a phosphate-solubilizing microorganism Pseudomonas striata and a root-nodule bacterium Rhizobium species on the reproduction of root-knot nematode M. *incognita* along with the growth and transpiration of pea. Amendments of fly ash with soil had no effect on transpiration. However, M. incognita reduced the rate of transpiration from first week onward after inoculation, while inoculation of  $Rhizobium$  sp and  $P$ . striata increased transpiration from first week onward after their inoculation both in nematode-inoculated and nematode uninoculated plants. Rhizobium sp. had greater adverse effect on galling and nematode multiplication than P. striata. Use of both organisms together had greater adverse effect on galling and nematode multiplication than caused by either of them alone. Highest reduction in galling and nematode multiplication was observed when both organisms were used in 40 % fly-ash-amended soil.

# 13.2.4 Other Nematophagous Bacterial Groups: Endophytic Bacteria

Endophytic bacteria have been found internally in root tissue, where they persist in most plant species. They have been found in fruits and vegetables, and are present in both stems and roots, but do no harm to the plant (McInory and Kloepper [1995;](#page-25-0) Hallmann et al. [1997](#page-23-0), [1999](#page-23-0); Azevedo et al. [2000;](#page-20-0) Hallmann [2001](#page-23-0); Surette et al. [2003\)](#page-28-0). They have been shown to promote plant growth and to inhibit disease development and nematode pests (Sturz and Matheson [1996](#page-28-0); Hallmann et al. [1999;](#page-23-0) Azevedo et al. [2000;](#page-20-0) Munif et al. [2000;](#page-25-0) Shaukat et al. [2002](#page-27-0); Sturz and Kimpinski [2004](#page-28-0)). For example, Munif et al. ([2000\)](#page-25-0) screened endophytic bacteria isolated from tomato roots under greenhouse conditions. They found antagonistic properties towards M. incognita in 21 out of 181 endophytic bacteria. Several bacterial species have also been found to possess activity against root-lesion nematode (Pratylenchus penetrans) in soil around the root zone of potatoes. Among them, *Microbacterium esteraomaticum* and *Kocuria varians* have been shown to play a role in root-lesion nematode suppression through the attenuation of host proliferation, without incurring any yield reduction (Munif et al. [2000\)](#page-25-0). Despite their different ecological niches, rhizobacteria and endophytic bacteria display some of the same mechanisms for promoting plant growth and controlling phytopathogens, such as competition for an ecological niche or a substrate, production of inhibitory chemicals, and induction of systemic resistance (ISR) in host plants (Hallmann [2001;](#page-23-0) Compant et al. [2005](#page-21-0)).

Symbionts of entomopathogenic nematodes Xenorhabdus spp. and Photorhabdus spp. are bacterial symbionts of the entomopathogenic nematodes Steinernema spp. and *Heterorhabdus* spp., respectively (Paul et al. [1981](#page-25-0)). They have been thought to contribute to the symbiotic association by killing the insect and providing a suitable nutrient environment for nematode reproduction (Boenare et al. [1997](#page-20-0)). In recent years, a potentially antagonistic effect of the symbiotic complex on plant-parasitic nematodes has been reported (Bird and Bird [1986](#page-20-0); Grewal et al. [1997](#page-23-0), [1999](#page-23-0); Perry et al. [1998;](#page-26-0) Lewis et al. [2001\)](#page-24-0). Further investigation demonstrated that the symbiotic bacteria seemed to be responsible for the plant-parasitic nematode suppression via the production of defensive compounds (Samaliev et al. [2000\)](#page-26-0). To date, three types of secondary metabolites from symbiotic bacteria have been identified as nematicidal agent: ammonia, indole, and stilbene derivatives (Hu et al. [1995](#page-23-0), [1996,](#page-23-0) [1997](#page-23-0), [1999\)](#page-23-0). They were toxic to second-stage juveniles of root-knot nematode (M. incognita) and to fourth-stage juveniles and adults of pinewood (Bursaphelenchus xylophilus) and inhibited egg hatching of *M. incognita* (Hu et al. [1999\)](#page-23-0).

# 13.3 Some Important Molecular Genetic Techniques Used in Studying Bacterial Pathogenesis in Nematodes

A number of bacteria have been shown to exhibit a variety of effects on nematodes in natural environments and laboratory conditions. However, studies on the mechanisms of bacterial pathogenicity have lagged behind those assessing their roles in biological control and resource potential. Over the past few years, a number of molecular genetic methods in bacterial pathogenicity have been developed, and it is now possible to introduce these successful techniques to the study of bacterial pathogenesis in plant-parasitic nematodes (Hensel and Holden [1996](#page-23-0); Aballav and Ausube [2002](#page-19-0); Tan [2002;](#page-28-0) Barker [2003](#page-20-0)). Although some technologies have been reported not to be successful in studying plant-parasitic nematodes, knowledge from studying bacterial pathogens of C. elegans and other animal pathogens may enhance knowledge of bacterial pathogenesis in plant-parasitic nematodes and provide a basic methodology for studies on plant-parasitic nematodes.

Reverse genetics is a common approach in identifying and determining functions of virulence determinants. This method involves the isolation of virulence proteins involved in pathogenicity and cloning of the corresponding genes. Mutational analysis, this tool can be divided into directed and random mutagenesis. In directed mutagenesis, a putative virulence determinant encoding a gene postulated to be responsible for a certain pathogenic trait is disrupted or replaced to construct a mutant strain. Comparative genomics, this technique can identify pathogenic genes by comparing genomic sequences of pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains or other sequences from strains of interest of the same genus.

# 13.4 Conclusion and Future Perspectives

Over the past 20 years, a large number of studies have been undertaken to investigate the use of microorganisms as biocontrol agents against nematode pests. All these groups of bacteria have undoubtedly generated a lot of interest in acting as natural enemies and for their role in biological control of phytoparasitic nematodes. However, the major constraints in the development of effective biocontrol agents have been the mass production, storage and distribution of fresh materials, and effect of abiotic factors like pH, moisture, and soil types which influence the activities of these microbial biopesticides, host range, and virulence of the inoculum. For instance, the major attributes which favor Pas. penetrans as a successful biocontrol agent are long viability of spores, resistance to heat and desiccation, persistence in soil, compatibility with chemical nematicides, nontoxicity to plants and other soil biota, and easy storage, but major hurdles are the following: lack of a technique enabling culture of the bacterium in vitro on any of standard biological media; neither vegetative cells nor spores of the organism can be harvested in sufficient numbers to test extensively in laboratory conditions or to infest soil in large-scale field tests to determine its influence; and with the currently available methods of mass multiplication, its commercial use may be limited to glasshouse crops or horticultural crops only, and more research is required to be conducted in order to exploit important aspects of bacterium–nematode interaction with particular emphasis on the mechanism of action for the control of plant pathogens and nematodes.

Only a few commercial biocontrol products from the bacteria with nematicidal potentials have been developed and used in the agriculture system (Whipps and Davies [2000](#page-28-0); Gardener [2004;](#page-22-0) Schisler et al. [2004](#page-27-0)). The development of biocontrol agents is often unpredictable and too variable for large-scale implementation (Meyer [2003](#page-25-0)). No matter how well suited a commercial nematode antagonist is to a target host in a laboratory test, in order to realize ideal biocontrol effects in practice, an intensive exploration of the mechanisms of the antagonist against nematode populations and a thorough understanding of the interactions among biocontrol strains, nematode target, soil microbial community, plant, and environment must be developed.

An increased understanding of the molecular basis of the various bacterial pathogenic mechanisms on nematodes not only will lead to a rational nematode management decision but also could potentially lead to the development of new biological control strategies for plant-parasitic nematodes. For example, it has been recognized that the attraction between bacteria and their hosts is governed by chemotactic factors emanating from the hosts or pathogens (Zuckerman and Jasson [1984\)](#page-28-0). Knowledge of these mechanisms could be used to attract or target nematodes intentionally by modified nematicidal bacteria or to regulate nematode populations by the chemotactic factors produced by these nematophagous bacteria.

Advances in molecular biology have allowed us to obtain important information concerning molecular mechanisms of action, such as the production of nematotoxins, the signaling pathways that induce the host-plant defense mechanism, and the <span id="page-19-0"></span>infection process. Such information should provide novel approaches to improve the efficacy of nematophagous bacteria for biological control applications, to increasing the expression of toxins or enzymes from the microorganisms, and for formulation of commercial nematicidal agents. For example, the developing genomic–bioinformatic approach may help to solve the difficulty of culturing the nematode parasite Pasteuria in vitro. This may allow mass production of spores for commercial use.

Microorganisms as biocontrol agents have a relatively narrow spectrum of activity compared with synthetic pesticides (Barker [1991](#page-20-0); Janisiewicz [1996](#page-24-0)) and often exhibit inconsistent performance in practical agriculture. Application of a mixture of inoculated biocontrol agents would more closely mimic the natural situation and might broaden the spectrum of biocontrol activity. A good colonization capacity and compatibility of inoculated microorganisms constitutes an important prerequisite for successful development of biocontrol (Barker [1990\)](#page-20-0). Phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms improved the growth of plants possibly through an inhibitory effect on nematode development as reported by Becker et al. [\(1988](#page-20-0)), Kloepper et al. [\(1992](#page-24-0)) and Hasseb et al. ([2005\)](#page-23-0). Pseudomonads may improve plant growth by suppressing parasitic and nonparasitic root pathogens (Oostendorp and Sikora [1990](#page-25-0)) by the production of biologically active substances (Gamlial and Katan [1993](#page-22-0)) or by converting unavailable minerals and organic compounds into forms available to plants (Broadbent et al. [1997](#page-21-0); Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999\)](#page-27-0). Bacillus and Pseudomonas are known to suppress diseases by inhibition of pathogens by competition of Fe (III), inhibition of pathogen by diffusible or volatile products, induction of resistance in plants, and aggressive root colonization and stimulation of plant growth (Kloepper et al. [1988;](#page-24-0) Weller [1988;](#page-28-0) Siddiqui and Mahmood [1999\)](#page-27-0). Similarly, the presence of rhizobia in the rhizosphere presumably protects the host roots from pathogens, besides fixing atmospheric nitrogen. The use of these symbionts will reduce the damage without use of chemical pesticides, which are costly and have health hazards. Therefore, using a consortium of rhizobia and other phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms such as fluorescent Pseudomonas and Bacillus species could provide a better solution against phytonematodes.

### References

- Aballav A, Ausube FM (2002) Caenorhabditis elegans as a host for the study of host-pathogen interactions. Curr Opin Microbiol 5:97–101
- Alejandra B, Sergio S, Lorena L et al (1998) Characterization of cry genes in a Mexican Bacillus thuringiensis strain collection. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:4965–4972
- Ali AH (1996) Biocontrol of reniform and root-knot nematodes by new bacterial isolates. Bull Fac Agric Univ Cairo 47(3):487–497
- Anderson JM, Preston JF, Dichson DW, Hewlett TE, Williams NH, Maruniak JE (1999) Phylogenetic analysis of Pasteuria penetrans by 16S rRNA gene cloning and sequencing. J Nematol 31:319–325
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Andreogloua FI, Vagelasa IK, Woodb M, Samalievc HY, Gowena SR (2003) Influence of temperature on the motility of Pseudomonas oryzihabitans and control of Globodera rostochiensis. Soil Biol Biochem 35:1095–1101
- Atibalentja N, Noel GR, Domier LL (2000) Phylogenetic position of the North American isolates of Pasteuria that parasitizes the soybean cyst nematodes, *Heterodera glycines*, as inferred from 16S rDNA sequence analysis. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50:605–613
- Azevedo JL, Maccheroni W Jr, Pereira JO, Luiz de Arau´jo W (2000) Endophytic microorganisms: a review on insect control and recent advances on tropical plants. Elect J Biotechnol 3:40–65
- Balasubramanium M (1971) Root knot nematodes and bacterial nodulation in soyabean. Curr Sci 11(3):69–70
- Barker R (1990) An overview of current and future strategies and models for biological controls. In: Hornby D (ed) Biological control of soil-borne plant pathogens. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 375–388
- Barker R (1991) Diversity in biological control. Crop Prot 10:85–94
- Barker KR (2003) Perspectives on plant and soil nematology. Annu Rev Phytopathol 41:1–25
- Barker KR, Huisingh D (1970) Histological investigations of the antagonistic interaction between Heterodera glycines and Rhizobium japonicum on soybean. Phytopathology 60:1282–1283
- Barker KR, Hussey RS (1976) Histopathology of nodule tissues of legumes infected with certain nematodes. Phytopathology 66:851–855
- Barker KR, Lehman PS, Huisingh D (1971) Influence of nitrogen and *Rhizobium japonicum* on the activity of Heterodera glycines. Nematologica 17:377–385
- Barker KR, Huisingh D, Johnston SA (1972) Antagonistic interaction between Heterodera glycines and Rhizobium japonicum on soybean. Phytopathology 62:1201–1205
- Barker KR, Hussey RS, Krusberg LR, Bird GW, Dunn RA, Ferris VR (1994) Plant and soil nematodes: societal impact and focus for the future. J Nematol 26:126–137
- Becker JO, Zavaleta-Mejia E, Colbert SF, Schroth MN, Weinhold AR, Hancock JG, Van Gundy SD (1988) Effect of rhizobacteria on root-knot nematodes and gall formation. Phytopathology 78:1466–1469
- Bekal S, Borneman J, Springer MS, Giblin-Davis RM, Becker JO (2001) Phenotypic and molecular analysis of a Pasteuria strain parasitic to the sting nematode. J Nematol 33:110–115
- Bhagwat HA, Thomos J (1982) Legume Rhizobium interactions: cowpea root exudates elicits faster nodulation response by Rhizobium spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 43:800-805
- Bird AF, Bird J (1986) Observations on the use of insect parasitic nematodes as a means of biological control of root knot nematodes. Int J Parasitol 16:511–516
- Bird AF, Mcclure MA (1976) The Tylenchoid nematode eggshell structure, composition and permeability. Parasitology 72:19–28
- Bird DM, Opperman CH, Davies KG (2003) Interaction between bacteria and plant-parasitic nematodes: now and then. Int J Parasitol 33:1269–1276
- Boenare NE, Givaudan A, Brehelin M, Laumond C (1997) Symbiosis and pathogenicity of nematode–bacterium complex. Symbiosis 22:21–45
- Bohlool BB, Schimt EI (1974) Lectin, a possible basis for specificity in Rhizobium-legume nodule symbiosis. Science 185:269–271
- Bottjer KP, Bowen LW, Gill SS (1986) Nematoda: susceptibility of the egg to Bacillus thuringiensis toxins. Exp Parasitol 60:239–244
- Bowen LW, Tinelli R (1987) Trichostrongylus colubriformis: larvicidal activity of toxic extracts from Bacillus sphaericus (strain 1593) spores. Exp Parasitol 64:514-516
- Bowen LW, Bottjer KP, Gill SS (1986a) Trichostrongylus colubriformis: isolation and characterization of ovicidal activity from *Bacillus thuringiensis* israelensis. Exp Parasitol 62:247–253
- Bowen LW, Bottjer KP, Gill SS (1986b) Trichostrongylus colubriformis: egg lethality due to Bacillus thuringiensis crystal toxin. Exp Parasitol 60:314–322
- Bradfish GA, Hickle LA, Flores R, Schwab G (1991) Nematicidal *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins: opportunities in animal health and plant protection. In: 1st International conference on Bacillus thuringiensis. St. Catherine's College, Oxford, UK, p 33 (abstract)
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>Bravo A (1997) Phylogenic relationship of Bacillus thuringiensis d-endotoxin family protein and their functional domains. J Bacteriol 179:2793–2801
- Broadbent P, Barker KF, Franks N, Holand J (1997) Effect of Bacillus spp. on increased growth of seedlings in steamed and no treated soil. Phytopathology 67:1027–1034
- Chahal VPS, Chahal PPK (1991) Control of Meloidogyne incognita with Bacillus thuringiensis. In: Wright RJ et al. (eds) Plant soil interaction. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 677–680
- Chahal VPS, Chahal PPK (1999) Final technical report of PL-480 Project Microbial Control of Plant Parasitic Nematodes. Submitted to USD
- Chakraborty U, Chakraborty BN (1989) Interaction of Rhizobium leguminosarum and Fusarium solani f. sp. pisi on pea affecting disease development and phytoalexin production. Can J Bot 67:1698–1701
- Chakraborty U, Purkayastha RP (1984) Role of Rhizobitoxine in protecting soybean roots from Macrophomina phaseolina infection. Can J Microbiol 30:285–289
- Charles L (2005) Phylogenetic studies of Pasteuria penetrans looking at the evolutionary history of housekeeping genes and collagen like motif sequences. MSc thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC
- Charles L, Carbone I, Davis KG, Bird D, Burke M, Kerry BR, Opperman CH (2005) Phylogenetic analysis of Pasteuria penetrans by use of multiple genetic loci. J Bacteriol 187:5700–5708
- Charnecki JH (1997) Pasteuria penetrans spore proteins: potential function in attachment to Meloidogyne spp. MSc thesis, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
- Chen ZX, Dickson DW (1998) Review of Pasteuria penetrans: biology, ecology, and biological control potential. J Nematol 30:313–340
- Chen C, Belanger RR, Benhamou NA, Paulitz TC (2000) Defense enzymes induced in cucumber roots by treatment with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 56:13–23
- Chigaleichik AG (1976) Chitinase of Bacillus thuringiensis. Mikrobiologiia 45:972–996
- Compant S, Duffy B, Nowak J, Clement C, Barka EA (2005) Use of plant growth promoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: principles, mechanisms of action, and future prospects. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:4951–4959
- Cox GN, Kusch M, Edgar RS (1981) Cuticle of Caenorhabditis elegans: its isolation and partial characterization. J Cell Biol 90:7–17
- Crickmore N, Zeigler DR, Feitelson J, Schnepf E, Rie JV, Lereclus D, Baum J, Dean DH (1998) Review of the nomenclature for the *Bacillus thuringiensis* pesticidal crystal proteins. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62:807–813
- Cronin D, Moenne-Loccoz Y, Fenton A, Dunne C, Dowling DN, O'gara F (1997a) Role of 2, 4-diacetylphloroglucinol in the interaction of the biocontrol Pseudomonas strain F113 with the potato cyst nematode Globodera rostochiensis. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:1357–1361
- Cronin D, Moenne-Loccoz Y, Dunne C, O'Gara F (1997b) Inhibition of egg hatch of the potato cyst nematode Globodera rostochiensis by chitinase-producing bacteria. Eur J Plant Pathol 103:433–440
- Dart PJ (1977) Infection and development of leguminous nodules. In: Hardy RWF, Silver WS (eds) A treatise on dinitrogen fixation, Sect 3: Biology. Wiley Interscience, New York, pp 367–372
- Datal MR, Bhatti DS (2002) Interactions between Heterodera cajani and Rhizobium on mungbean and cluster bean. Nematol Medit 24(1):101–103
- Davies KG, Danks C (1993) Carbohydrate/protein interaction between the cuticle of infective juveniles hyperparasite Pasteuria penetrans. J Nematol 39:53–64
- Davies KG, Redden M (1997) Diversity and partial characterization of putative virulence determinants in *Pasteuria penetrans*, the hyperparasitic bacterium of root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.). J Appl Microbiol 83:227–235
- Davies KG, Fargette M, Balla G, Daudi A, Duponnois R, Gowen SR, Mateille T, Phillips MS, Sawadogo A, Trivino C, Vouyoukalou E, Trudgill DL (2001) Cuticle heterogeneity as

<span id="page-22-0"></span>exhibited by *Pasteuria* spore attachment is not linked to the phylogeny of parthenogenetic rootknot nematode (Meloidogyne spp.). Parasitologica 122:111-120

- Decraemer W, Karanastasi E, Brown D, Backeljau T (2003) Review of the ultrastructure of the nematode body cuticle and its phylogenetic interpretation. Biol Rev 78:465–510
- Défago G, Berling CH, Burger U, Keel C, Voisard O (1990) Suppression of black root of tobacco by a Pseudomonas strain: potential application and mechanisms. In: Hornby D (ed) Biological control of soil borne plant pathogen. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 93–108
- Drapeau R, Fortin JA, Cagnon C (1973) Antifungal activity of Rhizobium. Can J Bot 51:681–682
- Duponnois R, Ba AM, Mateille T (1999) Beneficial effects of *Enterobacter cloacae* and Pseudomonas mendocina for biocontrol of Meloidogyne incognita with the endospore-forming bacterium Pasteuria penetrans. Nematology 1:95–101
- Eapen SJ, Ramana KV, Sharma YR (1997) Evaluation of Pseudomonas fluorescens isolated for control Meloidogyna incognita in Black pepper (Piper nigrum). In: Edison S, Ramana KV, Sasikumar B, Babu K, Eapen SJ (eds) Biotechnology of spices, medicinal and aromatic plants. pp 129–133
- Ebert D, Rainey P, Embley TM, Scholz D (1996) Development, life cycle, ultrastructure and phylogenetic position of Pasteuria ranosa Metchnikoff 1888: rediscovery of an obligate endoparasite of Daphnia magna Straus. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 351:1689-1701
- El-Hassan SA, Gowen SR (2006) Formulation and delivery of bacterial antagonists Bacillus subtilis on management of lentil vascular wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. Lentis. Phytopathology 52:731
- El-Nagdi WMA, Youssef MMA (2004) Soaking faba bean seed in some bio-agent as prophylactic treatment for controlling Meloidogyne incognita root-knot nematode infection. J Pest Sci 77:75–78
- El-Sherif MA, Ali AH, Barakat MI (1995) Suppressive bacteria associated with plant parasitic nematodes in Egyptian agriculture. Jpn J Nematol 24(1):55–59
- Emmert EAB, Handelsman J (1999) Biocontrol of plant disease: a (Gram1) positive perspective. FEMS Micriobiol Lett 171:1–9
- Endo BY (1964) Penetration and development of Heterodera glycines in soybean roots and related anatomical changes. Phytopathology 54:79–88
- Endo BY (1965) Histological responses of resistant and susceptible soybean varieties and back cross progeny to entry and development of Heterodera glycines. Phytopathology 55:375-381
- Feitelson JS, Payne J, Kim L (1992) Bacillus thuringiensis insects and beyond. BioTechnology 10:271–275
- Gamlial A, Katan J (1993) Suppression of major and minor pathogens by fluorescent Pseudomonads in solarized and non solarized soil. Phytopathology 83:68–75
- Ganeshan G, Kumar MA (2005) *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, a potential bacterial antagonist to control plant diseases. J Plant Interact 1(3):123–124
- Gardener BBM (2004) Ecology of *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* spp. in agricultural systems. Phytopathology 94:1252–1258
- Giannakou IO, Prophetou-Athanasiadou D (2004) A novel non-chemical nematicide for the control of root-knot nematodes. Appl Soil Ecol 26:69–79
- Giblin-Davis RM, Williams DS, Wergin WP, Dickson DW, Hewlett TE, Bekal S, Becker JO (2001) Ultrastructure and development of *Pasteuria* sp. (S-1 strain), an obligate endoparasite of Belonolaimus longicaudatus (Nemata: Tylenchida). J Nematol 33:227–238
- Giblin-Davis RM, Williams DS, Bekal S, Dickson DW, Brito JA, Becker JO, Preston JF (2003) 'Candidatus Pasteuria usage' sp. nov. an obligate endoparasite of the phytoparasitic nematode Belonolaimus longicaudatus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53:197–200
- Gives PM, Davies KG, Morgan M, Behnke JM (1999) Attachment tests of Pasteuria penetrans to the cuticle of plant and animal parasitic nematodes, free living nematodes and srf mutants of Caenorhabditis elegans. J Helminthol 73:67–71
- Glick BR (1995) The enhancement of plant growth by living bacteria. Can J Microbiol 41(2):109–117
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>Glick BR, Karaturovic DM, Newell PC (1995) A novel procedure for rapid isolation of plantgrowth promoting pseudomonads. Can J Microbiol 41:533–536
- Gokta N, Swarup G (1988) On the potential of some bacterial biocides against root-knot cyst nematodes. Indian J Nematol 18:152–153
- Grewal PS, Martin WR, Miller RW, Lewis EE (1997) Suppression of plant-parasitic nematode populations in turfgrass by application of entomopathogenic nematodes. Biocontrol Sci Technol 7:393–399
- Grewal PS, Lewis EE, Venkatachari S (1999) Allelopathy: a possible mechanism of suppression of plant-parasitic nematodes by entomopathogenic nematodes. Nematology 1:735–743
- Gulnaz P, Haque SE, Viqar S (2008) Suppression of root pathogens of tomato by rhizobia, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and mineral fertilizers. Int J Veg Sci 14(3):205–215
- Guo RJ, Liu XZ, Yang HW (1996) Study for application of rhizobacteria to control plant-parasitic nematode. Chin Biol Control 12:134–137 (abstract)
- Hallmann J (2001) Plant interactions with endophytic bacteria. In: Jeger MJ, Spence NJ (eds) Biotic interactions in plant-pathogen interactions. CAB International, Wallingford, pp 87–119
- Hallmann J, Quadt-Hallmann A, Mahaffee WF, Kloepper JW (1997) Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. Can J Microbiol 43:895–914
- Hallmann J, Rodriguez-Kabana R, Kloepper JW (1999) Chitin mediated changes in bacterial communities of the soil, rhizosphere and within roots of cotton in relation to nematode control. Soil Biol Biochem 31:551–560
- Hallmann J, Quadt-Hallmann A, Miller WG, Sikora RA, Lindow SE (2001) Endophytic colonization of plants by the biocontrol agent Rhizobium etli G12 in relation to Meloidogyne incognita infection. Phytopathology 91:415–422
- Haque SE, Gaffar A (1993) Use of rhizobia in the control of root rot diseases of sunflower, okra, soybean and mungbean. Phytopathol Z 138:157–163
- Hasky-Gunther K, Hoffmann-Hergarten S, Sikora RA (1998) Resistance against the potato cyst nematode Globodera pallida systemically induced by the rhizobacteria Agrobacterium radiobacter (G12) and Bacillus sphaericus (B43). Fundam Appl Nematol 21:511-517
- Hasseb A, Sharma A, Shukla PK (2005) Studies on the management of root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita wilt fungus, Fusarium oxysporum disease complex of green gram. Vigna radiata cv ML-1108. J Zhejiang Univ Sci 6B(8):736–742
- Hensel M, Holden DW (1996) Molecular genetic approaches for the study of virulence in both pathogenic bacteria and fungi. Microbiology 142:1049–1058
- Hu K, Li J, Webster JM (1995) Mortality of plant-parasitic nematodes caused by bacterial (Xenorhabdus spp. and Photorhabdus luminescens) culture media. J Nematol 27:502–503
- Hu K, Li J, Webster JM (1996) 3, 5-Dihydroxy-4-isopropylstilbene: a selective nematicidal compound from culture filtrate of Photorhabdus luminescens. Can J Plant Pathol 18:104
- Hu K, Li J, Webster JM (1997) Quantitative analysis of a bacteria-derived antibiotic in nematodeinfected insects using HPLC-UV and TLC-UV methods. J Chromatogr B 703:177–183
- Hu K, Li J, Webster JM (1999) Nematicidal metabolites produced by Photorhabdus luminescens (Enterobacteriaceae), bacterial symbiont of entomopathohenic nematodes. Nematology 1:457–469
- Huang JS (1987) Interactions of nematodes with rhizobia. In: Veech JA, Dickson DW (eds) Vistas on nematology. Society of Nematologists, Hyattsville
- Huang JS, Barker KR, Van Dyke CG (1984) Suppression of binding between Rhizobia and soya bean roots by Heterodera glycine. Phytopathology 74:181–1384
- Huang XW, Tian BY, Niu QH, Yang JK, Zhang LM, Zhang KQ (2005) An extracellular protease from Brevibacillus laterosporus G4 without parasporal crystal can serve as a pathogenic factor in infection of nematodes. Res Microbiol 156:719–727
- Hussaini SS, Seshadri AR (1975) Interrelationship between Meloidogyne incognita and Rhizobium sp. on mung (Phaseolus aureus). Indian J Nematol 5:189–199
- Hussey RS, Barker KR (1976) Influence of nematodes and light sources on growth and nodulation of soybean. J Nematol 8:48–52
- <span id="page-24-0"></span>Ignoffo CM, Dropkin VH (1977) Deleterious effect of thermostable toxin of Bacillus thuringiensis on species of soil-inhabiting, myceliophagus and plant parasitic nematodes. J KS Entomol Soc 50:394–398
- Insunza V, Alstrom S, Eriksson KB (2002) Root bacteria from nematicidal plants and their biocontrol potential against trichodorid nematodes in potato. Plant Soil 241:271–278
- Jacq VA, Fortuner R (1979) Biological control of rice nematodes using sulphate reducing bacteria. Rev Nematol 2:41–50
- Janisiewicz W (1996) Ecological diversity, niche overlap, coexistence of antagonists used in developing mixtures for biocontrol of postharvest diseases of apples. Phytopathology 86:473–479
- Jatala P (1986) Biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes. Annu Rev Phytopathol 24:453–489
- Jayakumar J, Ramakrishnan S, Rajendran G (2002) Bio-control of reniform nematode, Rotylenchulus reniformis through fluorescent Pseudomonas. Pesttol 26:45–46
- Kamra A, Dhawan SC (1997) Nematode management–an overview. In: Trivedi PC (ed) Recent advances in plant nematology. CBS, New Delhi, pp 171–190
- Kell C, Voisard C, Berling CH, Kahr G, Defago G (1989) Iron sufficiency, a prerequistic for the suppression of tobacco black root by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHAO under gnotobiotic conditions. Phytopathology 79:584–589
- Khan MW (1993) Mechanisms of interactions between nematodes and other plant pathogens. In: Khan MW (ed) Nematodes-interactions. Chapman and Hall, London, pp 175–202
- Khan MR, Tarannum Z (1999) Effect of field application of various microorganisms in Meloidogyne incognita on tomato. Nematol Medit 27:233–238
- Khan MR, Kounsar K, Hamid A (2002) Effect of certain rhizobacteria and antagonistic fungi on root-nodulation and root-knot nematode disease of green gram. Nematol Medit 31:85–89
- Kloepper JW, Hume DJ, Schner FM, Singleton C, Tipping B, Laliberte M, Frauley K, Kutchaw T, Simonson C, Lifshitz R, Zaleska I, Lee L (1988) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on canola. Plant Dis 72:42–46
- Kloepper JW, Rodriguez-Kabana R, Mcinroy JA, Young RW (1992) Rhizosphere bacteria antagonistic to soybean cyst (Heterodera glycines) and root-knot (Meloidogyne incognita) nematodes: identification by fatty acid analysis and frequency of biological control activity. Plant Soil 139:75–84
- Kluepfel DA, Mclnnis TM, Zehr E (1993) Involvement of root colonizing bacteria in peach orchard soils suppressive to the nematode Criconemella xenoplax. Phytopathology 83:1240–1245
- Kokalis-Burelle N, Vavrina CS, Rosskopf EN, Shelby RA (2002) Field evaluation of plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria amended transplant mixes and soil solarization for tomato and pepper production in Florida. Plant Soil 238:257–266
- Kotze AC, O'Grady J, Gough JM, Pearson R, Bagnall NH, Kemp DH, Akhurst RJ (2005) Toxicity of Bacillus thuringiensis to parasitic and free-living life stages of nematodes parasites of livestock. Int J Parasitol 35:1013–1022
- Krebs B, Hoeding B, Kuebart S, Workie MA, Junge H, Schmiedeknecht G, Grosch R, Bochow H, Hevesi M (1998) Use of *Bacillus subtilis* as biocontrol agent. I. Activities and characterization of Bacillus subtilis strains. Zeit Pflanzen Pflanzen 105:181–197
- Latha TKS, Shivakumar CV (1998) Effect of culture filtrate of antagonistic organisms on cyst nematode, Heterodera cajani in blackgram. J Biol Control 12:134–145
- Leong J (1986) Siderophores: their biochemistry and possible role in the biocontrol of plant pathogens. Annu Rev Phytopathol 24:187–209
- Lewis EE, Grewal PS, Sardanelli S (2001) Interactions between Steinernema feltiae–Xenorhabdus bovienii insect pathogen complex and root-knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita. Biol Control 21:55–62
- Li B, Xie GL, Soad A, Coosemans J (2005) Suppression of Meloidogyne javanica by antagonistic and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. J Zhejiang Univ Sci 6B:496–501
- <span id="page-25-0"></span>Maagd RA, Bravo A, Crickmore N (2001) How Bacillus thuringiensis has evolved specific toxins to colonize the insect world. Trends Genet 17:193–199
- Madamba CP, Camaya EN, Zenarosa DB, Yater HM (1999) Screening soil bacteria for potential biocontrol agents against the root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne spp. Philipp Agric 82:113–122
- Mahdy M, Hallmann J, Sikora RA (2001) Influence of plant species on the biological control activity of the antagonistic rhizobacterium *Rhizobium etli* strain G12 toward the root knot nematode Meloidogyne incognita. Meded Rijksuniv Gent Fak Landbouwkd Toegep Biol Wet 66:655–662
- Mani MP, Rajeshwari S, Shivakumar CV (1998) Management of potato cyst nematode, Globodera spp. through plant rhizosphere bacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens (Migula). J Biol Control 12:131–134
- Mankau R (1980) Biological control of nematodes pests by natural enemies. Annu Rev Phytopathol 18:415–440
- Mankau R, Imbriani JL, Bell AH (1976) SEM observations on nematode cuticle penetration by Bacillus penetrans. J Nematol 8:179–181
- Marroquin LD, Elyassnia D, Griffitts JS, Feitelson JS, Aroian RV (2000) Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) toxin susceptibility and isolation of resistance mutants in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 155:1693–1699
- McInory JA, Kloepper JW (1995) Survey of indigenous bacterial endophytes from cotton and sweet corn. Plant Soil 173:337–342
- Meadows J, Gill SS, Bowen LW (1989) Factors influencing lethality of Bacillus thuringiensis kurstaki toxin for eggs and larvae of Trichostrongylus colubriformis. J Parasitol 75:191–194
- Mena J, Pimentel E (2002) Mechanism of action of *Corynebacterium paurometabolum* strain C-924 on nematodes. Nematology 4:287 (abstract)
- Meyer SLF (2003) United States Department of Agriculture–Agricultural research service research programs on microbes for management of plant-parasitic nematodes. Pest Manag Sci 59:665–670
- Meyer SLF, Roberts DP, Chitwood DJ, Carta LK, Lumsden RD, Mao W (2001) Application of Burkholderia cepacia and Trichoderma virens, alone and in combinations, against Meloidogyne incognita on bell pepper. Nematropica 31:75–86
- Mishra SM, Dwivedi BK, Chaubey AK (1994) Meloidogyne incognita interaction with systemic nematicide and Rhizobium leguminosarum on Phaseolus aureus L. Curr Nematol 5(1):47-52
- Munif A, Hallmann J, Sikora RA (2000) Evaluation of the biocontrol activity of endophytic bacteria from tomato against Meloidogyne incognita. Meded Facult Landbouwk Universiteit Gent 65:471–480
- Musarrat J, Akhtar H (2000) Agrichemicals as antagonist of lectin-mediated Rhizobium–legume symbiosis: paradigms and prospects. Curr Sci 78(7):793–797
- Neipp PW, Becker JO (1999) Evaluation of biocontrol activity of rhizobacteria from Beta vulgaris against Heterodera schachtii. J Nematol 31:54–61
- Norris JR (1964) The classification of Bacillus thuringiensis. J Appl Bacteriol 27:439–447
- Oka K, Chal I, Spiegel Y (1993) Control of root knot nematode, Meloidogyne javanica by Bacillus cereus. Biocontrol Sci Technol 3:115
- Oliveira EJ, Rabinovitch L, Monnerat RG, Passos LKJ, Zahner V (2004) Molecular characterization of Brevibacillus laterosporus and its potential use in biological control. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:6657–6664
- Oostendorp M, Sikora RA (1990) In-vitro interrelationships between rhizosphere bacteria and Heterodera schachtii. Rev Nematol 13:269–274
- Oostendrop M, Sikora RA (1989) Seed treatment with antagonistic rhizobacteria for suppression of Heterodera schachtii early root infection of sugarbeet. Rev Nematol 12:77–83
- Papavizas GC, Lumsden RD (1980) Biological control of soil borne fungal propagules. Annu Rev Phytopathol 18:389–413
- Paul VJ, Frautschy S, Fenical Wand Nealson KH (1981) Antibiotics in microbial ecology: isolation and structure assignment of several new antibacterial compounds from the insect symbiotic bacteria Xenorhabdus spp. J Chem Ecol 7:589–597
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>Perry RN, Hominick WM, Beane J, Briscoe B (1998) Effects of the entomopathogenic nematodes, Steinernema feltiae and S. carpocapsae on the potato cyst nematode, Globodera rostochiensis, in pot trials. Biocontrol Sci Technol 8:175–180
- Persidis A, Lay JG, Manousis T, Bishop AH, Ellar DJ (1991) Characterization of potential adhesions of the bacterium *Pasteuria penetrans*, and of putative receptors on the cuticle of Meloidogyne incognita, a nematode host. J Cell Sci 100:613–622
- Prasad SSV, Tilak KVBR (1972) Aerobic spore forming bacteria from root knot nematode infested soil. Indian J Microbiol 11:59–60
- Presello-Cartieaux (2003) Plant growth promoting bacteria and nitrate availability: impacts on root development and nitrate uptake. J Exp Bot 55:24–37
- Preston JF, Dickson DW, Maruniak JE, Nong G, Brito JA, Schmidt LM, Giblin-Davis RM (2003) Pasteuria spp.:systematics and phylogeny of these bacterial parasites of phytopathogenic nematodes. J Nematol 35:198–207
- Racke J, Sikora RA (1992) Isolation, formulation and antagonistic activity of rhizobacteria toward the potato cyst nematode Globodera pallida. Soil Biol Biochem 24:521-526
- Ramakrishnan S, Sivakumar CV (1999) Biological control of rice root nematodes with *Pseudo*monas fluorescens. In: Dhawan SC, Kaushal KK (eds) Proceedings of national symposium on rational approaches in nematode management for sustainable culture, pp 43–46
- Ramamoorthy V, Viswanathan R, Raguchander T, Prakasam V, Samiyappan R (2001) Induction by systemic resistance by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in crop plants against pests and diseases. Crop Prot 20:1–11
- Reitz M, Hoffmann-Hergarten S, Hallmann J, Sikora RA (2001) Induction of systemic resistance in potato by rhizobacterium Rhizobium etli strain G12 is not associated with accumulation of pathogenesis-related proteins and enhanced lignin biosynthesis. Z Pflkrankh Pflschutz 108:11–20
- Rodriguez-Kabana R, Jordan JW, Hollis JP (1965) Nematodes: biological control in rice fields: role of hydrogen sulphide. Science 148:524–526
- Roslycky EB (1967) Bacteriocin production in the rhizobia bacteria. Can J Microbiol 13:431
- Ross JP (1969) Effect of *Heterodera glycines* on yields of non-nodulating soybean grown at various nitrogen levels. J Nematol 1:40–42
- Samaliev HY, Andreoglou FI, Elawad SA, Hague NGM, Gowen SR (2000) The nematicidal effects of the bacteria Pseudomonas oryzihabitans and Xenorhabdus nematophilus on the rootknot nematode Meloidogyne javanica. Nematology 2:507–514
- Santhi A, Sivakumar CV (1995) Biocontrol potential of Pseudomonas fluorescens against rootknot nematode, Meloidogyna incognita (Kofoid and White, 1919) Chitwood 1949 on tomato. J Biol Control 9(2):113–115
- Santhi A, Rajeshwari S, Shivakumar CV, Sunderbabu R (1999) Field evaluation of Rhizobacterium, Pseudomonas fluorescens for the management of citrus nematode, Tylenchulus semipenetrans. In: Dhawan SC, Kaushal KK (eds) Proceedings of national symposium on rational approaches in nematode management for sustainable culture, pp 38–42
- Sasser JM, Freckman DW (1987) World perspective on nematology: the role of the society. In: Veech JA, Dickson DW (eds) Vistas on nematology. Society of Nematologists, Hyattsville, pp 7–14
- Sawada Y (1982) Interaction of rhizobial nodulation of alfalfa and root-rot caused by *Fusarium* oxysporum. Bull Nat Grass Res Inst Jpn 22:19–26
- Sayre RM, Starr MP (1985) Pasteuria penetrans (ex Thorne 1940) non. rev. comb. n. sp. n. a mycelial and endospore forming bacterium parasite in plant parasitic nematodes. Proc Heminth Soc Washington 52:149–165
- Sayre RM, Wergin WP (1977) Bacterial parasite of a plant nematode: morphology and ultrastructure. J Bacteriol 129:1091–1101
- Schenpe E, Crickmore N, Rie JV, Lereclus D, Baum J, Feitelson J, Zeigler DR, Dean DH (1998) Bacillus thuringiensis and its pesticidal crystal proteins. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62:775–806
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>Schisler DA, Slininger PJ, Behle RW, Jackson MA (2004) Formulation of Bacillus spp. for biological control of plant diseases. Phytopathology 94:1267–1271
- Schwinghamer EA, Belkengren RD (1968) Inhibition of Rhizobium by a strain of Rhizobium trifolii; some properties of the antibiotic and of the strain. Arch Mikrobiol 64:130–145
- Shanti A, Rageshwari S, Sivakumar CVB (1998) Soil application of P. fluorescens (Migula) for the control of root knot nematode (M. incognita) on grapevine (Vitis vindra Linn.). In: Proceedings of third international symposium of Afro-Asian Society of nematologists (TISSAN), pp 203–206
- Sharma NK, Sethi CL (1976) Inter-relationship between Meloidogyne incognita and Heterodera cajani and Rhizobium sp. on cowpea (Vigna sinensis (L.) Savi). Indian J Nematol 6:117–123
- Shaukat SS, Siddiqui IA, Hamid M, Khan GH, Ali SA (2002) In vitro survival and nematicidal activity of Rhizobium, Bradyrhizobium and Sinorhizobium, the influence of various NaCl concentrations. Pak J Biol Sci 5:669–671
- Siddiqui ZA (2004) Effects of plant growth promoting bacteria and composed organic fertilizers on the reproduction of Meloidogyne incognita and tomato growth. Bioresour Technol 95(2):223–227
- Siddiqui ZA, Akhtar MS (2007) Biocontrol of a chickpea root-rot disease complex with phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. J Plant Pathol 89:31–42
- Siddiqui ZA, Futai K (2009) Biocontrol of Meloidogyne incognita on tomato using antagonistic fungi, plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria and cattle manure. Pest Manag Sci 65(9):943
- Siddiqui ZA, Hussain SI (1991) Studies on the biological control of root-knot nematode. Curr Nematol 2:1–56
- Siddiqui ZA, Mahmood I (1993) Biological control of Meloidogyne incognita race 3 and Macrophomina phaseolina by Paecilomyces lilacinus and Bacillus subtilis alone and in combination on chickpea. Fundam Appl Nematol 16(3):215–218
- Siddiqui ZA, Mahmood I (1994) Effect of Heterodera cajani on growth, chlorophyll content and activity of some enzymes in pigeonpea. Nematropica 24:103–111
- Siddiqui ZA, Mahmood I (1995a) Management of *Meloidogyne incognita* race 3 and Macrophomina phaseolina by fungus culture filtrates and Bacillus subtilis on chick pea. Fundam Appl Nematol 18:71–76
- Siddiqui ZA, Mahmood I (1995b) Some observations on the management of the wilt disease complex of pigeonpea by treatment with a vesicular arbuscular fungus and biocontrol agents for nematodes. Bioresour Technol 54(3):227–230
- Siddiqui ZA, Mahmood I (1999) Role of bacteria in the management of plant parasitic nematodes: a review. Bioresour Technol 69:167–179
- Siddiqui ZA, Mahmood I (2001) Effects of rhizobacteria and root symbionts on the reproduction of Meloidogyne javanica and growth of chickpea. Bioresour Technol 79:41–46
- Siddiqui IA, Shaukat SS (2002) Rhizobacteria-mediated induction of systemic resistance (ISR) in tomato against Meloidogyne javanica. J Phytopathol 150:469–473
- Siddiqui IA, Shaukat SS (2003a) Suppression of root-knot disease by Pseudomonas fluorescens CHA0 in tomato: importance of bacterial secondary metabolite 2, 4- diacetylphloroglucinol. Soil Biol Biochem 35:1615–1623
- Siddiqui IA, Shaukat SS (2003b) Effects of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on the diversity of culturable microfungi and nematodes associated with tomato: impact on root-knot disease and plant growth. Soil Biol Biochem 35:1359–1368
- Siddiqui IA, Shaukat SS (2004) Systemic resistance in tomato induced by biocontrol bacteria against the root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne javanica is independent of salicylic acid production. J Phytopathol 152:48–54
- Siddiqui ZA, Singh LP (2005) Effects of fly ash, Pseudomonas striata and Rhizobium on the reproduction of nematode Meloidogyne incognita and on the growth and transpiration of pea. J Environ Biol 26(1):117–122
- Sikora RA (1992) Management of the antagonistic potential in agriculture ecosystems for the biological control of plant parasitic nematodes. Annu Rev Phytopathol 30:245–270
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>Sikora RA, Hoffmann-Hergarten S (1993) Biological control of plant parasitic nematodes with plant-health promoting rhizobacteria. In: Lumsden PD, Vaugh JL (eds) Biologically based technology. ACS symposium series. ACS, Washington, DC, pp 166–172
- Spiegel Y, Cohn E (1985) Chitin is present in gelatinous matrix of *Meloidogyne*. Revue Nematol 8:184–188
- Spiegel Y, Cohn E, Galper S, Sharon E, Chet I (1991) Evaluation of newly isolated bacterium Pseudomonas chitinolytic sp. for controlling the root knot nematode Meloidogyne javanica. Biocontrol Sci Technol 1:115–125
- Stirling GR, Bird AF, Cakurs AB (1986) Attachment of Pasteuria penetrans spores to the cuticle of root-knot nematodes. Revue Nematol 9:251–260
- Sturz AV, Kimpinski J (2004) Endoroot bacteria derived from marigolds (Tagetes spp.) can decrease soil population densities of root-lesion nematodes in the potato root zone. Plant Soil 262:241–249
- Sturz AV, Matheson BG (1996) Populations of endophytic bacteria which influence hostresistance to Erwinia-induced bacterial soft rot in potato tubers. Plant Soil 184:265–271
- Surette MA, Sturz AV, Lada RR, Nowak J (2003) Bacterial endophytes in processing carrots (Daucus carota L. var. sativus): their localization, population density, biodiversity and their effects on plant growth. Plant Soil 253:381–390
- Taha AHY, Raski DJ (1969) Interrelationships between root-nodule bacteria, plant parasitic nematodes and their leguminous host. J Nematol 1:201–211
- Tan MW (2002) Identification of host and pathogen factors involved in virulence using a Caenorhabditis elegans. Methods Enzymol 358:13–28
- Tu JC (1978) Protection of soybean from severe phytophthora root-rot by Rhizobium. Physiol Plant Pathol 12:233–240
- Tu JC (1988) Incidence of root rot and over-wintering of alfalfa as influenced by Rhizobia. Phytopathol Z 97:233–240
- Van Loon LC, Bakker PAHM, Pieterse CM (1998) Systemic resistance induced by rhizosphere bacteria. Annu Rev Phytopathol 36:453–483
- Verma VK, Bansal RK (1996) Antagonistic effect of culture supernatant of Azotobacter chroococcum on larval hatching and mortality of Meloidogyne javanica under in vitro conditions. In: Natural resource management. pp 158–163
- Verma KK, Gupta DC, Paruthi IJ (1999) Preliminary trial on the efficacy of Pseudomonas fluorescens as a seed treatment against M. incognita in tomato. In: Dhawan SC, Kaushal KK (eds) Proceedings of a national symposium on rational approaches in nematode management for sustainable agriculture, pp 79–81
- Wang HR, Bergeson GB (1974) Biochemical changes in root exudates and xylem sap of tomato plants infected with Meloidogyne incognita. J Nematol 6:194-202
- Wei JZ, Hale K, Carta L, Platzer E, Wong C, Fang SC, Aroian RV (2003) Bacillus thuringiensis crystal proteins that target nematodes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:2760–2765
- Weller DM (1988) Biological control of soil borne plant pathogens in the rhizosphere with bacteria. Annu Rev Phytopathol 26:379–407
- Westcott SW III, Kluepfel DA (1993) Inhibition of Criconemella xenoplax egg hatch by Pseudomonas aureofaciens. Phytopathology 83:1245–1249
- Whipps JM, Davies KG (2000) Success in biological control of plant pathogens and nematodes by microorganisms. In: Gurr G, Wratten S (eds) Biological control: measures of success. Kluwer Academic, Dordecht, pp 231–269
- Youssef MM, Ali A, Aboul-Eid ZH (1998) Inter-relationship between Meloidogyne javanica, Azotobacter chroococcum, Bacillus megatherium, Rhizobium lupine and glomus clarum on cowpea and tomato. Egypt J Agronematol 2(1):43–55
- Zavalata (1985) Management of phytonematodes by bioagents. In: Sheela Hebsybai MS, Sivaprasad P (eds) Nematode management in plants. Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, 194 p
- Zuckerman BM, Jasson HB (1984) Nematode chemotaxis and possible mechanisms of host/prey recognition. Annu Rev Phytopathol 22:95–113
- Zukerman BM (1983) Hypothesis and possibilities of intervention in nematode chemoresponses. J Nematol 15:173–182