# **Limit Theorems for Functionals of Higher Order Differences of Brownian Semi-Stationary Processes**

**Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen, Jose Manuel Corcuera, and Mark Podolskij ´**

**Abstract** We present some new asymptotic results for functionals of higher order differences of Brownian semi-stationary processes. In an earlier work [\[8](#page-27-0)] we have derived a similar asymptotic theory for first order differences. However, the central limit theorems were valid only for certain values of the smoothness parameter of a Brownian semi-stationary process, and the parameter values which appear in typical applications, e.g. in modeling turbulent flows in physics, were excluded. The main goal of the current paper is the derivation of the asymptotic theory for the whole range of the smoothness parameter by means of using second order differences. We present the law of large numbers for the multipower variation of the second order differences of Brownian semi-stationary processes and show the associated central limit theorem. Finally, we demonstrate some estimation methods for the smoothness parameter of a Brownian semi-stationary process as an application of our probabilistic results.

**Keywords** Brownian semi-stationary processes • Central limit theorem • Gaussian processes • High frequency observations • Higher order differences • Multipower variation • Stable convergence

O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Department of Mathematics, University of Aarhus, Ny Munkegade, DK–8000 Aarhus C, Denmark e-mail: oebn@imf.au.dk

J.M. Corcuera

M. Podolskij Department of Mathematics, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 294, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany e-mail: m.podolskij@uni-heidelberg.de

Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain e-mail: jmcorcuera@ub.edu

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** Primary 60F05, 60G15, 62M09; Secondary 60G22, 60H07

#### **1 Introduction**

Brownian semi-stationary processes (*BS S* ) has been originally introduced in [\[2](#page-27-1)] for modeling turbulent flows in physics. This class consists of processes  $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  of the form

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
X_t = \mu + \int_{-\infty}^t g(t-s)\sigma_s W(ds) + \int_{-\infty}^t q(t-s)a_s ds, \tag{1}
$$

where  $\mu$  is a constant,  $g, q : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$  are memory functions,  $(\sigma_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a càdlàg<br>intermittency process  $(a_1)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  a càdlàg drift process and W is the Wiener measure *intermittency* process,  $(a_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  a càdlàg *drift* process and W is the Wiener measure. When  $(\sigma_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  and  $(a_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  are stationary then the process  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is also stationary, which explains the name Brownian semi-stationary processes. In the following we concentrate on  $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}$  models without the drift part (i.e.  $a \equiv 0$ ), but we come back to the original process [\(1\)](#page-1-0) in Example [1.](#page-10-0)

The path properties of the process  $(X_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$  crucially depend on the behaviour of the weight function g near 0. When  $g(x) \simeq x^{\beta}$  (here  $g(x) \simeq h(x)$  means that  $g(x)/h(x)$  is slowly varying at 0) with  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , X has *r*-Hölder continuous paths for any  $r < \beta + \frac{1}{2}$  and, more importantly, X is not a semimartingale, because  $g'$  is not square integrable in the neighborhood of 0 (see semimartingale, because  $g'$  is not square integrable in the neighborhood of 0 (see e.g. [\[10\]](#page-27-2) for a detailed study of conditions under which Brownian moving average processes are semimartingales). In the following, whenever  $g(x) \simeq x^{\beta}$ , the index  $\beta$  is referred to as the *smoothness parameter* of X.

In practice the stochastic process  $X$  is observed at high frequency, i.e. the data points  $X_{i\Lambda_n}$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, [t/\Delta_n]$  are given, and we are in the framework of *infill asymptotics*, that is  $\Delta_n \to 0$ . For modeling and for practical applications in physics it is extremely important to infer the integrated powers of intermittency, i.e.

$$
\int_0^t |\sigma_s|^p ds, \qquad p > 0,
$$

and to estimate the smoothness parameter  $\beta$ . A very powerful instrument for analyzing those estimation problems is the normalized *multipower variation* that is defined as

$$
MPV(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n = \Delta_n \tau_n^{-p^+} \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil t/\Delta_n \rceil - k + 1} |\Delta_i^n X|^{p_1} \cdots |\Delta_{i+k-1}^n X|^{p_k}, \quad (2)
$$

where  $\Delta_i^n X = X_{i\Delta_n} - X_{(i-1)\Delta_n}$ ,  $p_1, \ldots, p_k \ge 0$  and  $p^+ = \sum_{l=1}^k p_l$ , and  $\tau_n$  is a certain normalizing sequence which depends on the weight function  $g$  and  $n$ (to be defined later). The concept of multipower variation has been originally introduced in [\[3\]](#page-27-3) for the semimartingale setting. Power and multipower variation of semimartingales has been intensively studied in numerous papers; see e.g. [\[3–](#page-27-3) [6,](#page-27-4) [13,](#page-27-5) [15,](#page-27-6) [17](#page-27-7), [22\]](#page-27-8) for theory and applications.

However, as mentioned above,  $\mathcal{BIS}$  processes of the form [\(1\)](#page-1-0) typically do not belong to the class of semimartingales. Thus, different probabilistic tools are required to determine the asymptotic behaviour of the multipower variation  $MPV(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n$  of  $\mathcal{BPS}$  processes. In [\[8\]](#page-27-0) we applied techniques from Malliavin calculus, which has been originally introduced in [\[18,](#page-27-9) [19\]](#page-27-10) and [\[20\]](#page-27-11), to show the consistency, i.e.

$$
MPV(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n - \rho_{p_1,\ldots,p_k}^n \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{p^+} ds \stackrel{\text{u.c.p.}}{\longrightarrow} 0,
$$

where  $\rho_{p_1,\dots,p_k}^n$  is a certain constant and  $Y^n \xrightarrow{\text{nc.p.}} Y$  stands for  $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |Y_t^n - Y_t| \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ <br>(for all  $T > 0$ ). This halds for all smastly gas narrowstage  $\beta \in (-1, 0) + (0, 1)$ ) and (for all  $T > 0$ ). This holds for all smoothness parameters  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , and<br>we proved the associated (stable) central limit theorem for  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ we proved the associated (stable) central limit theorem for  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ .<br>
Infortunately, the restriction to  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  in the central limit the

Unfortunately, the restriction to  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  in the central limit theorem is not<br>is factory for applications as in turbulance we usually have  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  at ultra high satisfactory for applications as in turbulence we usually have  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  at ultra high<br>frequencies. The theoretical reason for this restriction is two-fold: (i) long memory frequencies. The theoretical reason for this restriction is two-fold: (i) long memory effects which lead to non-normal limits for  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$  and more importantly (ii) a<br>hidden drift in Y which leads to an even stronger restriction  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ hidden drift in X which leads to an even stronger restriction  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ .<br>The main aim of this paper is to overcome both problems by co

The main aim of this paper is to overcome both problems by considering multipower variations of higher order differences of *BS S* processes. We will show the law of large numbers and prove the associated central limit theorem for all values of the smoothness parameter  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Furthermore, we discuss possible extensions to other type of processes. We apply the asymptotic results to estimate the smoothness parameter  $\beta$  of a  $\mathcal{BF}$  process X. Let us mention that the idea of using higher order differences to diminish the long memory effects is not new; we refer to [\[12](#page-27-12), [16](#page-27-13)] for theoretical results in the Gaussian framework. However, the derivation of the corresponding theory for *BS S* processes is more complicated due to their more involved structure.

This paper is organized as follows: in Sect. [2](#page-2-0) we introduce our setting and present the main assumptions on the weight function g and the intermittency  $\sigma$ . Section [3](#page-6-0) is devoted to limit theorems for the multipower variation of the second order differences of *BS S* processes. In Sect. [4](#page-13-0) we apply our asymptotic results to derive three estimators (the realised variation ratio, the modified realised variation ratio and the change-of-frequency estimator) for the smoothness parameter. Finally, all proofs are collected in Sect. [5.](#page-19-0)

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**2 The Setting and the Main Assumptions**

We consider a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$  on which we define a  $\mathcal{BPS}$  process  $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  without a drift as

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
X_t = \mu + \int_{-\infty}^t g(t - s)\sigma_s W(ds), \tag{3}
$$

where W is an F-adapted Wiener measure,  $\sigma$  is an F-adapted càdlàg processes and  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ . We assume that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^t g^2(t-s)\sigma_s^2 ds < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}
$$

to ensure that  $X_t < \infty$  almost surely. We introduce a Gaussian process  $G = (G_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ , that is associated to  $X$ , as

$$
G_t = \int_{-\infty}^t g(t-s)W(ds). \tag{4}
$$

Notice that  $G$  is a stationary process with the autocorrelation function

$$
r(t) = \text{corr}(G_s, G_{s+t}) = \frac{\int_0^\infty g(u)g(u+t)du}{\|g\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2}.
$$
 (5)

We also define the variance function  $\overline{R}$  of the increments of the process G as

$$
\overline{R}(t) = \mathbb{E}(|G_{s+t} - G_s|^2) = 2||g||_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2(1 - r(t)).
$$
\n(6)

Now, we assume that the process X is observed at time points  $t_i = i \Delta_n$  with  $\Delta_n \to 0$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, [t/\Delta_n]$ , and define the second order differences of X by

$$
\diamondsuit_i^n X = X_{i\Delta_n} - 2X_{(i-1)\Delta_n} + X_{(i-2)\Delta_n}.\tag{7}
$$

Our main object of interest is the multipower variation of the second order differences of the  $\mathcal{BIS}$  process X, i.e.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n = \Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p^+} \sum_{i=2}^{\lceil t/\Delta_n \rceil - 2k + 2} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} |\diamondsuit_{i+2l}^n X|^{p_l}, \qquad (8)
$$

where  $(\tau_n^{\Diamond})^2 = \mathbb{E}(|\Diamond_i^n G|^2)$  and  $p^+ = \sum_{l=1}^k p_l$ . To determine the asymptotic helponiour of the functional  $MPV^{\Diamond}(Y, p_l)$  is required as of assumptions behaviour of the functional  $MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)^n$  we require a set of assumptions on the memory function  $g$  and the intermittency process  $\sigma$ . Below, the functions  $L_{\overline{R}}, L_{\overline{R}^{(4)}}, L_g, L_{g^{(2)}} : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}$  are assumed to be continuous and slowly varying at 0,  $f^{(k)}$  denotes the k-th derivative of a function f and  $\beta$  denotes a number in  $\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cup \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right).$ 

*Assumption* 1*.* It holds that

- <span id="page-3-0"></span>(i)  $g(x) = x^{\beta} L_g(x)$ .
- (ii)  $g^{(2)} = x^{\beta 2} L_{g^{(2)}}(x)$  and, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $g^{(2)} \in \mathbb{L}^2((\varepsilon, \infty))$ .<br>Eurthermore,  $|g^{(2)}|$  is non-increasing on the interval  $(g, \infty)$  for some Furthermore,  $|g^{(2)}|$  is non-increasing on the interval  $(a,\infty)$  for some  $a>0$ .

Limit Theorems for Functionals of Higher Order Differences 73

(iii) For any  $t>0$ 

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
F_t = \int_1^{\infty} |g^{(2)}(s)|^2 \sigma_{t-s}^2 ds < \infty. \tag{9}
$$

*Assumption* 2. For the smoothness parameter  $\beta$  from Assumption [1](#page-3-0) it holds that

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>(i)  $\overline{R}(x) = x^{2\beta+1} L_{\overline{R}}(x)$ .
- (ii)  $\overline{R}^{(4)}(x) = x^{2\beta 3} L_{\overline{R}^{(4)}}(x)$ .<br>
(iii) There exists a  $b \in (0, 1)$  s
- (iii) There exists a  $b \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$
\limsup_{x \to 0} \sup_{y \in [x, x^b]} \left| \frac{L_{\overline{R}}^{(4)}(y)}{L_{\overline{R}}(x)} \right| < \infty.
$$

*Assumption* 3- $\nu$ . For any  $p > 0$ , it holds that

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}(|\sigma_t - \sigma_s|^p) \le C_p |t - s|^{ \gamma p} \tag{10}
$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$  and  $C_p > 0$ .

Some remarks are in order to explain the rather long list of conditions.

• *The memory function* g: We remark that  $g(x) \simeq x^{\beta}$  implies  $g^{(2)}(x) \simeq x^{\beta-2}$ under rather weak assumptions on g (due to the *Monotone Density Theorem*; see e.g. [\[11,](#page-27-14) p. 38]). Furthermore, Assumption [1\(](#page-3-0)ii) and *Karamata's Theorem* (see again  $[11]$ ) imply that

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} |g(x + 2\Delta_{n}) - 2g(x + \Delta_{n}) + g(x)|^{2} dx \simeq \varepsilon^{2\beta - 3} \Delta_{n}^{4} \tag{11}
$$

for any  $\varepsilon \in [\Delta_n, 1]$ . This fact will play an important role in the following discussion. Finally, let us note that Assumptions  $1(i)$  $1(i)$ –(ii) and [2](#page-4-0) are satisfied for the parametric class

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
g(x) = x^{\beta} \exp(-\lambda x),
$$

where  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , which is used to model turbulent flows<br>in physics (see [21]). This class constitutes the most important example in this in physics (see [\[2](#page-27-1)]). This class constitutes the most important example in this paper. ut • *The central decomposition and the concentration measure*: Observe the decom-

position

$$
\bigcirc_i^n X = \int_{(i-1)\Delta_n}^{i\Delta_n} g(i\Delta_n - s)\sigma_s W(ds)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{(i-2)\Delta_n}^{(i-1)\Delta_n} \left( g(i\Delta_n - s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_n - s) \right) \sigma_s W(ds)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{-\infty}^{(i-2)\Delta_n} \left( g(i\Delta_n - s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_n - s) + g((i-2)\Delta_n - s) \right) \sigma_s W(ds),
$$
\n(12)

and the same type of decomposition holds for  $\Diamond_i^n G$ . We deduce that

$$
(\tau_n^{\diamond})^2 = \int_0^{\Delta_n} g^2(x) dx + \int_0^{\Delta_n} \left( g(x + \Delta_n) - 2g(x) \right)^2 dx
$$

$$
+ \int_0^{\infty} \left( g(x + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(x + \Delta_n) + g(x) \right)^2 dx.
$$

One of the most essential steps in proving the asymptotic results for the functionals  $MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)^n$  is the approximation  $\diamondsuit_i^n X \approx \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \diamond_i^n G$ .<br>The justification of this approximation is not trivial: while the first two summands The justification of this approximation is not trivial: while the first two summands in the decomposition [\(12\)](#page-4-1) depend only on the intermittency  $\sigma$  around  $(i - 2)\Delta_n$ ,<br>the third summand involves the whole path ( $\sigma$ ),  $\epsilon(i, 2)$  a. We need to guarantee the third summand involves the whole path  $(\sigma_s)_{s \leq (i-2)\Delta_n}$ . We need to guarantee<br>that the influence of the intermittancy path outside of  $(i-2)\Delta_n$  on the third that the influence of the intermittency path outside of  $(i - 2)\Delta_n$  on the third<br>summand of (12) is asymptotically negligible. For this reason we introduce the summand of [\(12\)](#page-4-1) is asymptotically negligible. For this reason we introduce the measure

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\pi_n^{\diamond}(A) = \frac{\int_A \left( g(x + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(x + \Delta_n) + g(x) \right)^2 dx}{(\tau_n^{\diamond})^2} < 1, \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}),
$$
\n(13)

and define  $\overline{\pi}_n^{\otimes}(x) = \pi_n^{\otimes}((x,\infty))$ . To justify the negligibility of the influence of the intermittency path outside of  $(i-2)A_n$  we need to ensure that the intermittency path outside of  $(i - 2)\Delta_n$  we need to ensure that

<span id="page-5-2"></span> $\overline{\pi}_{n}^{\diamondsuit}(\varepsilon) \to 0$ 

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Indeed, this convergence follows from Assumptions [1\(](#page-3-0)i)–(ii) (due to [\(11\)](#page-4-2)).<br>The correlation structure: By the stationarity of the process G we deduce that

$$
r_n^{\diamond}(j) = \text{corr}(\diamond_i^n G, \diamond_{i+j}^n G)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{-\overline{R}((j+2)\Delta_n) + 4\overline{R}((j+1)\Delta_n) - 6\overline{R}(j\Delta_n) + 4\overline{R}(|j-1|\Delta_n) - \overline{R}(|j-2|\Delta_n)}{(\tau_n^{\diamond})^2}.
$$
 (14)

Since  $(\tau_n^{\diamond})^2 = 4\overline{R}(\Delta_n) - \overline{R}(2\Delta_n)$  we obtain by Assumption [2\(](#page-4-0)i) the convergence

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
r_n^{\diamond}(j) \to \rho^{\diamond}(j)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{-(j+2)^{1+2\beta} + 4(j+1)^{1+2\beta} - 6j^{1+2\beta} + 4|j-1|^{1+2\beta} - |j-2|^{1+2\beta}}{2(4-2^{1+2\beta})}.
$$
 (15)

We remark that  $\rho^{\diamond}$  is the correlation function of the normalized second order fractional noise  $\left(\diamond_i^n B^H/\sqrt{\text{var}(\diamond_i^n B^H)}\right)$  $\sum_{\mathbf{N}}$ , where  $B<sup>H</sup>$  is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$ . Notice that

$$
|\rho^{\diamond}(j)| \sim j^{2\beta - 3},
$$

where we write  $a_j \sim b_j$  when  $a_j/b_j$  is bounded. In particular, it implies that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\rho^{\diamond}(j)| < \infty$ . This absolute summability has an important consequence:<br>it leads to standard central limit theorems for the appropriately normalized  $\overline{z}$  it leads to standard central limit theorems for the appropriately normalized version of the functional  $MPV^{\diamond}(G, p_1, \ldots, p_k)^n$  for all  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .  $\Box$ 

• *Sufficient conditions*: Instead of considering Assumptions [1](#page-3-0) and [2,](#page-4-0) we can alternatively state sufficient conditions on the correlation function  $r_n^{\diamond}$  and the measure  $\pi_n^{\circ}$  directly, as it has been done for the case of first order differences in [\[8\]](#page-27-0). To ensure the consistency of  $MPV^{\circlearrowright}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n$  we require the following assumptions: there exists a sequence  $h(j)$  with

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
|r_n^{\diamond}| \le h(j), \qquad \Delta_n \sum_{j=1}^{[1/\Delta_n]} h^2(j) \to 0,
$$
 (16)

and  $\overline{\pi}_{n}^{\diamond}(ε) \to 0$  for all  $ε > 0$  (cf. condition (LLN) in [\[8\]](#page-27-0)). For the proof of the associated central limit theorem we need some stronger conditions:  $r^{\diamond}(i) \to$ associated central limit theorem we need some stronger conditions:  $r_n^{\diamond}(j) \rightarrow$ <br> $e^{\diamond}(i)$  for all  $i > 1$  there exists a sequence  $h(i)$  with  $\rho^{\diamond}(j)$  for all  $j \geq 1$ , there exists a sequence  $h(j)$  with

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
|r_n^{\diamond}| \le h(j), \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h^2(j) < \infty,\tag{17}
$$

Assumption [3-](#page-4-3) $\gamma$  holds for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  with  $\gamma(p \wedge 1) > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p =$ <br>max,  $p \cdot p$  and there exists a constant  $\lambda > 1/(p \wedge 1)$  such that for all  $\max_{1 \le i \le k}(p_i)$ , and there exists a constant  $\lambda > 1/(p \wedge 1)$  such that for all  $\kappa \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon_n = \Delta_n^{\kappa}$  we have

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\overline{\pi}_n^{\diamond}(\varepsilon_n) = O\left(\Delta_n^{\lambda(1-\kappa)}\right). \tag{18}
$$

(cf. condition (CLT) in [\[8](#page-27-0)]). In Sect. [5](#page-19-0) we will show that Assumptions [1](#page-3-0) and [2](#page-4-0) imply the conditions  $(16)$ – $(18)$ .

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>**3 Limit Theorems**

In this section we present the main results of the paper. Recall that the multipower variation process is defined in [\(8\)](#page-3-1) as

$$
MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n = \Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p^+} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]-2k+2} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} |\diamond_{i+2l}^n X|^{p_l}
$$

with  $\tau_n^2 = \mathbb{E}(|\diamond_i^n G|^2)$  and  $p^+ = \sum_{l=1}^k p_l$ . We introduce the quantity

$$
\rho_{p_1,\dots,p_k}^n = \mathbb{E}\Big(\prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \Big|\frac{\diamondsuit_{i+2l}^n G}{\tau_n^\diamondsuit}\Big|^{p_l}\Big). \tag{19}
$$

Notice that in the case  $k = 1$ ,  $p_1 = p$  we have that  $\rho_p^n = \mathbb{E}(|U|^p)$  with  $U \sim N(0, 1)$ .<br>We start with the consistency of the functional  $MDV^{\diamond}(V, n, n, \mathcal{N})^n$ . We start with the consistency of the functional  $MPV^{\circ}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let the Assumptions* [1](#page-3-0) *and* [2](#page-4-0) *hold. Then we obtain*

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n - \rho_{p_1, \ldots, p_k}^n \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{p^+} ds \xrightarrow{\text{u.c.p.}} 0.
$$
 (20)

*Proof.* See Sect. [5.](#page-19-0) □

As we have mentioned in the previous section, under Assumption  $2(i)$  $2(i)$  we deduce the convergence  $r_n^{\diamond}(j) \to \rho^{\diamond}(j)$  for all  $j \ge 1$  (see [\(15\)](#page-5-0)). Consequently, it holds that

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\rho_{p_1,\dots,p_k}^n \to \rho_{p_1,\dots,p_k} = \mathbb{E}\Big(\prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \Big|\frac{\diamondsuit_{i+2l}^n B^H}{\sqrt{\text{var}(\diamondsuit_{i+2l}^n B^H)}}\Big|^{p_l}\Big),\tag{21}
$$

where  $B^H$  is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$  (notice<br>that the right-hand side of (21) does not depend on *n*, because  $B^H$  is a self-similar that the right-hand side of [\(21\)](#page-7-0) does not depend on n, because  $B<sup>H</sup>$  is a self-similar process). Thus, we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 1.** *Let the Assumptions* [1](#page-3-0) *and* [2](#page-4-0) *hold. Then we obtain*

<span id="page-7-4"></span><span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n \xrightarrow{\mu c.p.} \rho_{p_1, \ldots, p_k} \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{p^+} ds. \tag{22}
$$

Next, we present a multivariate stable central limit theorem for the family  $(MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1^j, \ldots, p_k^j)^n)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$  of multipower variations. We say that a sequence of d dimensional process.  $Z^n$  converges of the law to a d dimensional process of d-dimensional processes  $Z<sup>n</sup>$  converges stably in law to a d-dimensional process Z, where Z is defined on an extension  $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{P}')$  of the original probability  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , in the space  $\mathscr{D}([0, T])^d$  equipped with the uniform topology  $(Z^n \xrightarrow{st} Z)$  if and only if

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(f(Z^n)V) = \mathbb{E}'(f(Z)V)
$$

for any bounded and continuous function  $f : \mathcal{D}([0,T])^d \to \mathbb{R}$  and any bounded  $\mathscr{F}$ -measurable random variable V. We refer to [\[1,](#page-27-15)[14\]](#page-27-16) or [\[21](#page-27-17)] for a detailed study of stable convergence.

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Theorem [2](#page-4-0).** Let the Assumptions [1](#page-3-0), 2 and  $3-\gamma$  $3-\gamma$  be satisfied for some  $\gamma \in (0,1]$  with  $\gamma (p \wedge 1) > \frac{1}{2}, p = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq d} (p_i^j)$ . Then we obtain the stable convergence

$$
\Box
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\Delta_n^{-1/2} \Big( MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1^j, \dots, p_k^j)_t^n - \rho_{p_1^j, \dots, p_k^j}^n \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{p_j^+} ds \Big)_{1 \le j \le d} \stackrel{st}{\longrightarrow} \int_0^t A_s^{1/2} dW'_s,
$$
\n(23)

*where* W <sup>0</sup> *is a* d*-dimensional Brownian motion that is defined on an extension of the original probability space*  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  *and is independent of*  $\mathcal{F}, A$  *is a d*  $\times$  *d*-dimen*sional process given by*

$$
A_s^{ij} = \mu_{ij} |\sigma_s|^{p_i^+ + p_j^+}, \qquad 1 \le i, j \le d,
$$
 (24)

and the  $d \times d$  matrix  $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$  is defined as

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\mu_{ij} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} cov \Big( MPV^{\diamond}(B^H, p_1^i, \dots, p_k^i)_1^n, MPV^{\diamond}(B^H, p_1^j, \dots, p_k^j)_1^n \Big) \tag{25}
$$

with  $B^H$  being a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$ . *Proof.* See Sect. [5.](#page-19-0) □

We remark that the conditions of Theorem [2](#page-7-1) imply that  $\max_{1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le d} (p_i^j) > \frac{1}{2}$ since  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ .

*Remark* 1. Notice that the limit process in [\(23\)](#page-8-0) is *mixed normal*, because the Brownian motion  $W'$  is independent of the process  $A$ . In fact, we can transform the convergence result of Theorem [2](#page-7-1) into a standard central limit theorem due to the properties of stable convergence; we demonstrate this transformation in Sect. [4.](#page-13-0) We remark that the limit in  $(25)$  is indeed finite; see Theorem 2 in  $[8]$  and its proof for more details.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-8-2"></span>*Remark* 2. In general, the convergence in [\(23\)](#page-8-0) does not remain valid when  $\rho_{p'_1,\dots,p'_k}^n$ is replaced by its limit  $\rho_{p_1^j,...,p_k^j}$  defined by [\(21\)](#page-7-0). However, when the rate of convergence associated with [\(21\)](#page-7-0) is faster than  $\Delta_n^{-1/2}$ , we can also use the quantity  $\rho_{p_1^j,...,p_k^j}$  without changing the stable central limit theorem in [\(23\)](#page-8-0). This is the case when the convergence

$$
\Delta_n^{-1/2}(r_n^{\diamondsuit}(j) - \rho^{\diamondsuit}(j)) \to 0
$$

holds for any  $j \ge 1$ . Obviously, the latter depends on the behaviour of the slowly varying function  $L_{\overline{R}}$  from Assumption [2\(](#page-4-0)i) near 0. It can be shown that for our main example

$$
g(x) = x^{\beta} \exp(-\lambda x),
$$

where  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{4})$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\rho_{p'_1, \dots, p'_k}^n$  can indeed be replaced by the quantity  $\rho_{p_1^j,...,p_k^j}$  without changing the limit in Theorem [2.](#page-7-1) *Remark* 3 (*Second order differences vs. increments*)*.* Let us demonstrate some advantages of using second order differences  $\Diamond_i^n X$  instead of using first order increments  $\Delta_i^n X$ .

(i) First of all, taking second order differences weakens the value of autocorrelations which leads to normal limits for the normalized version of the functional  $MPV^{\diamond}(G, p_1, \ldots, p_k)^n$  (and hence to mixed normal limits for the value of  $MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)^n$  for all  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . This can<br>be explained as follows: to obtain normal limits it has to hold that be explained as follows: to obtain normal limits it has to hold that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\rho^{\diamond}(j)|^2 < \infty
$$

where  $\rho^{\diamond}(i)$  is defined in formula [\(15\)](#page-5-0) (it relies on the fact that the function  $|x|^p - \mathbb{E}(|N(0, 1)|^p)$  has *Hermite rank* 2; see also condition [\(17\)](#page-6-3)).<br>This is clearly satisfied for all  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2} \ 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$  because we have This is clearly satisfied for all  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , because we have  $|\rho^{\diamond}(j)| \sim j^{2\beta - 3}$ .<br>In the case of us

In the case of using first order increments  $\Delta_i^n X$  we obtain the correlation function  $\rho$  of the fractional noise  $(B_i^H - B_{i-1}^H)_{i \geq 1}$  with  $H = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$  as the limit autocorrelation function (see a.g. (4.15) in [81],  $\Delta s$  |  $\alpha(i)$ |  $\alpha$ ,  $i^{2\beta-1}$  is function *p* of the Hactional holse  $(b_i - b_{i-1}j_i \ge 1$  with  $H = p + \frac{1}{2}$  as the limit autocorrelation function (see e.g. (4.15) in [\[8\]](#page-27-0)). As  $|\rho(j)| \sim j^{2\beta - 1}$  it holds that holds that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\rho(j)|^2 < \infty
$$

only for  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{4})$ <br>As we have mentioned in

only for  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{4})$ .  $\square$ <br>(ii) As we have mentioned in the previous section, we need to ensure that  $\overline{\pi}_n^{\otimes}(\varepsilon) \to 0$ , where the measure  $\pi_n^{\otimes}$  is defined by [\(13\)](#page-5-1), for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  to show the law of large numbers. But for proving the central limit theorem we show the law of large numbers. But for proving the central limit theorem we require a more precise treatment of the quantity

$$
\overline{\pi}_n^{\diamondsuit}(\varepsilon) = \frac{\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left( g(x + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(x + \Delta_n) + g(x) \right)^2 dx}{(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^2}.
$$

In particular, we need to show that the above quantity is small enough (see condition  $(18)$ ) to prove the negligibility of the error that is due to the first order approximation  $\bigcirc_i^n X \approx \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \bigcirc_i^n G$ . The corresponding term in the case of increments is essentially given as case of increments is essentially given as

$$
\overline{\pi}_n(\varepsilon)=\frac{\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}\Big(g(x+\Delta_n)-g(x)\Big)^2dx}{\tau_n^2},
$$

where  $\tau_n^2 = \mathbb{E}(|\Delta_i^n G|^2)$  $\tau_n^2 = \mathbb{E}(|\Delta_i^n G|^2)$  $\tau_n^2 = \mathbb{E}(|\Delta_i^n G|^2)$  (see [\[8](#page-27-0)]). Under the Assumptions [1](#page-3-0) and 2 the denominators  $(\tau^{\diamond})^2$  and  $\tau^2$  have the same order but the nominator of denominators  $(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^2$  and  $\tau_n^2$  have the same order, but the nominator of  $\overline{\pi}_n^{\diamond}(\varepsilon)$  is much smaller than the nominator of  $\overline{\pi}_n(\varepsilon)$ . This has an important

consequence: the central limit theorems for the multipower variation of the increments of X hold only for  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  while the corresponding results for the second order differences hold for all  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ for the second order differences hold for all  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Another advantage of using second order differences  $\Diamond_i^n X$  is the higher robustness to the presence of smooth drift processes. Let us consider the process

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
Y_t = X_t + D_t, \qquad t \ge 0,
$$
\n<sup>(26)</sup>

where X is a  $\mathcal{BIS}$  model of the form [\(3\)](#page-2-1) and D is a stochastic drift. We obtain the following result.

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Proposition 1.** *Assume that the conditions of Theorem* [2](#page-7-1) *hold and*  $D \in C^{\nu}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  for some  $\nu \in (1, 2)$  i.e.  $D \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  (a.s.) and  $D'$  has  $(\nu - 1)$ -Hölder continuous *for some*  $v \in (1, 2)$ *, i.e.*  $D \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  (a.s.) and D' has  $(v - 1)$ -Hölder continuous paths (a s) When  $v - \beta > 1$  then  $paths (a.s.).$  When  $v - \beta > 1$  then

$$
\Delta_n^{-1/2} \Big( MPV^{\diamond}(Y, p_1^j, \ldots, p_k^j)_t^n - \rho_{p_1^j, \ldots, p_k^j}^n \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{p_j^+} ds \Big)_{1 \le j \le d} \xrightarrow{s t} \int_0^t A_s^{1/2} dW'_s,
$$

*where the limit process is given in Theorem* [2.](#page-7-1) *That is, the central limit theorem is robust to the presence of the drift* D*.*

*Proof.* Proposition [1](#page-10-1) follows by a direct application of the Cauchy-Schwarz and Minkovski inequalities (see Proposition 6 in [\[8\]](#page-27-0) for more details).  $\Box$ 

The idea behind Proposition [1](#page-10-1) is rather simple. Notice that  $\bigcirc_i^n X = O_{\mathbb{P}}(\Delta_n^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}})$ <br>is follows from Assumption 2) whereas  $\bigcirc^n D = O_{\mathbb{P}}(\Delta^{\gamma})$ . It can be easily seen (this follows from Assumption [2\)](#page-4-0) whereas  $\Diamond_i^n D = O_{\mathbb{P}}(\Delta_n^{\nu})$ . It can be easily seen that the drift process D does not influence the central limit theorem if  $\nu - \beta$ that the drift process D does not influence the central limit theorem if  $v - \beta$  - $\frac{1}{2}$  >  $\frac{1}{2}$ , because  $\Delta_n^{-1/2}$  is the rate of convergence; this explains the condition of Proposition [1.](#page-10-1)

Notice that we obtain better robustness properties than in the case of first order increments: we still have  $\Delta_i^n X = O_{\mathbb{P}}(\Delta_n^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}})$ , but now  $\Delta_i^n D = O_{\mathbb{P}}(\Delta_n)$ . Thus, the drift process D is negligible only when  $\beta < 0$  which is obviously a more restrictive drift process D is negligible only when  $\beta < 0$ , which is obviously a more restrictive condition.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>*Example* 1. Let us come back to the original  $\mathcal{BIS}$  process from [\(1\)](#page-1-0), which is of the form  $(26)$  with

$$
D_t = \int_{-\infty}^t q(t-s)a_s ds.
$$

For the ease of exposition we assume that

$$
q(x) = x^{\overline{\beta}} 1_{\{x \in (0,1)\}}, \qquad \overline{\beta} > -1,
$$

and the drift process  $a$  is càdlàg and bounded. Observe the decomposition

$$
D_{t+\varepsilon}-D_t=\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}q(t+\varepsilon-s)a_sds+\int_{-\infty}^t(q(t+\varepsilon-s)-q(t-s))a_sds.
$$

We conclude that the process D has Hölder continuous paths of order  $(\overline{\beta} + 1) \wedge 1$ . Consequently, Theorem  $1$  is robust to the presence of the drift process  $D$  when  $\overline{\beta} > \beta - \frac{1}{2}$ . Furthermore, for  $\overline{\beta} \ge 0$  we deduce that

$$
D'_t = q(0)a_t + \int_0^\infty q'(s)a_{t-s}ds.
$$

By Proposition [1](#page-10-1) we conclude that Theorem [2](#page-7-1) is robust to the presence of  $D$  when the process a has Hölder continuous paths of order bigger than  $\beta$ .

*Remark* 4 (*Higher order differences*)*.* Clearly, we can also formulate asymptotic results for multipower variation of q-order differences of *BS S* processes X. Define

$$
MPV^{(q)}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n = \Delta_n(\tau_n^{(q)})^{-p^+} \sum_{i=q}^{[t/\Delta_n]-qk+q} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} |\Delta_{i+ql}^{(q)n} X|^{p_l},
$$

where  $\Delta_i^{(q)n} X$  is the q-order difference starting at  $i \Delta_n$  and  $(\tau_n^{(q)})^2 = \mathbb{E}(|\Delta_i^{(q)n} G|^2)$ .<br>Then the results of Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid for the class Then the results of Theorems [1](#page-7-2) and [2](#page-7-1) remain valid for the class  $MPV^{(q)}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)^n$  with  $\rho_{p_1,\ldots,p_k}^n$  defined as

$$
\rho_{p_1,...,p_k}^n = \mathbb{E}\Big(\prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \Big|\frac{\Delta_{i+q_l}^{(q)n}G}{\tau_n^{(q)}}\Big|^{p_l}\Big).
$$

The Assumptions [1](#page-3-0) and [2](#page-4-0) have to be modified as follows: (a)  $g^{(2)}$  has to be replaced by  $g^{(q)}$  in Assumption [1\(](#page-3-0)ii) and 1(iii), and (b)  $\overline{R}^{(4)}$  has to be replaced by  $\overline{R}^{(2q)}$  in Assumption [2\(](#page-4-0)ii).

However, let us remark that going from second order differences to q-order differences with  $q > 2$  does not give any new theoretical advantages (with respect to robustness etc.). It might though have some influence in finite samples.

*Remark* 5 (*An extension to other integral processes*)*.* In [\[8](#page-27-0)] and [\[9\]](#page-27-18) we considered processes of the form

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
Z_t = \mu + \int_0^t \sigma_s dG_s, \qquad (27)
$$

where  $(G_s)_{s\geq0}$  is a Gaussian process with centered and stationary increments. Define

$$
\overline{R}(t) = \mathbb{E}(|G_{s+t} - G_s|^2)
$$

and assume that Assumption [2](#page-4-0) holds for  $\overline{R}$  (we use the same notations as for the process  $(3)$  to underline the parallels between the models  $(27)$  and  $(3)$ ). We remark that the integral in [\(27\)](#page-11-0) is well-defined in the Riemann-Stieltjes sense when the process  $\sigma$  has finite r-variation with  $r < 1/(1/2 - \beta)$  (see [\[8](#page-27-0)] and [\[23](#page-27-19)]), which we

assume in the following discussion. We associate  $\tau_n^{\circ}$  and  $MPV^{\circ}(Z, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n$ with the process Z by  $(8)$ . Then Theorem [1](#page-7-2) remains valid for the model  $(27)$  and Theorem [2](#page-7-1) also holds if we further assume that Assumption  $3-\nu$  $3-\nu$  is satisfied for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  with  $\gamma(p \wedge 1) > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p = \max_{1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le d} (p_i^j)$ .<br>We remark that the justification of the approximation

We remark that the justification of the approximation  $\Diamond_{i}^{n}Z = \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_{n}} \Diamond_{i}^{n}G$  is<br>ier to provide for the model (27) (see e.g. [81). All other proof steps are performed easier to provide for the model  $(27)$  (see e.g. [\[8\]](#page-27-0)). All other proof steps are performed in exactly the same way as for the model  $(3)$ .

<span id="page-12-0"></span>*Remark* 6 (*Some further extensions*)*.* We remark that the use of the power functions in the definition of  $MPV^{\circlearrowright}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n$  is not essential for the proof of Theorems [1](#page-7-2) and [2.](#page-7-1) In principle, both theorems can be proved for a more general class of functionals

$$
MPV^{\diamond}(X, H)_t^n = \Delta_n \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]-2k+2} H\left(\frac{\diamondsuit_i^n X}{\tau_n^{\diamondsuit}}, \ldots, \frac{\diamondsuit_{i+2(k-1)}^n X}{\tau_n^{\diamondsuit}}\right),
$$

where  $H : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable *even* function with polynomial growth (cf. Remark 2 in [\[8\]](#page-27-0)). However, we dispense with the exact exposition.

Another useful extension of Theorem [2](#page-7-1) is a joint central limit theorem for functionals  $MPV^{\circlearrowright}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n$  computed at different frequencies (this result will be applied in Sect. [4.3\)](#page-17-0). For  $r \geq 1$ , define the multipower variation computed at frequency  $r\Delta_n$  as

$$
MPV_r^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \dots, p_k)_t^n = \Delta_n(\tau_{n,r}^{\diamond})^{-p^+} \sum_{i=2r}^{[t/\Delta_n]-2k+2} \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} |\diamondsuit_{i+2lr}^{n,r} X|^{p_l}, \qquad (28)
$$

where  $\Diamond_i^{n,r} X = X_{i\Delta_n} - 2X_{(i-r)\Delta_n} + X_{(i-2r)\Delta_n}$  and  $(\tau_{n,r}^{\Diamond})^2 = \mathbb{E}(|\Diamond_i^{n,r} G|^2)$ . Then, under the conditions of Theorem [2,](#page-7-1) we obtain the stable central limit theorem

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\Delta_n^{-1/2} \left( \frac{MPV_{r_1}^{\lozenge}(X, p_1, \dots, p_k)_t^n - \rho_{p_1, \dots, p_k}^{n, r_1} \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{p^+} ds}{MPV_{r_2}^{\lozenge}(X, p_1, \dots, p_k)_t^n - \rho_{p_1, \dots, p_k}^{n, r_2} \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{p^+} ds} \right) \stackrel{st}{\longrightarrow} \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{p^+} \mu^{1/2} dW'_s,
$$
\n(29)

where  $W'$  is a 2-dimensional Brownian motion independent of  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$
\rho_{p_1,\ldots,p_k}^{n,r} = \mathbb{E}\Big(\prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \Big|\frac{\diamondsuit_{i+2lr}^{n,r}G}{\tau_{n,r}^{\diamondsuit}}\Big|^{p_l}\Big)
$$

and the 2  $\times$  2 matrix  $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{1 \le i, j \le 2}$  is defined as

$$
\mu_{ij} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{cov}\Big(MPV_{r_i}^{\diamond}(B^H, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_1^n, MPV_{r_j}^{\diamond}(B^H, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_1^n\Big)
$$

with  $B^H$  being a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $H = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$ .<br>Clearly an analogous result can be formulated for any d-dimensional family

Clearly, an analogous result can be formulated for any  $d$ -dimensional family  $(r_j; p_1^j, \ldots, p_k^j)$  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{J} \bigg\{ 1 \leq j \leq d$ .

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**4 Estimation of the Smoothness Parameter**

In this section we apply our probabilistic results to obtain consistent estimates of the smoothness parameter  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . We propose three different estimators for  $\beta$ ; the realised variation ratio for  $\beta$ : the *realised variation ratio* ( $RVR^{\tilde{\diamond}}$ ), the *modified realised variation ratio*  $(\overline{RVR}^{\diamond})$  and the *change-of-frequency* estimator (*COF*<sup> $\diamond$ </sup>). Throughout this section we assume that

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\Delta_n^{-1/2}(r_n^{\mathcal{O}}(j) - \rho^{\mathcal{O}}(j)) \to 0
$$
\n(30)

for any  $j \ge 1$ , where  $r_n^{\mathcal{D}}(j)$  and  $\rho^{\mathcal{O}}(j)$  are defined in [\(14\)](#page-5-2) and [\(15\)](#page-5-0), respectively.<br>This condition guarantees that  $\rho^n$ , can be replaced by the quantity  $\rho$ , in This condition guarantees that  $\rho_{p'_1,\dots,p'_k}^n$  can be replaced by the quantity  $\rho_{p'_1,\dots,p'_k}$  in Theorem [2](#page-7-1) without changing the limit (see Remark [2\)](#page-8-2). Recall that the condition  $(30)$ holds for our canonical example

$$
g(x) = x^{\beta} \exp(-\lambda x)
$$

when  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{4})$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

### **4.1** *The Realised Variation Ratio*

We define the realised variation ratio based on the second order differences as

$$
RVR_t^{\Diamond n} = \frac{MPV^{\Diamond}(X, 1, 1)_t^n}{MPV^{\Diamond}(X, 2, 0)_t^n}.
$$
\n(31)

This type of statistics has been successfully applied in semimartingale models to test for the presence of the jump part (see e.g. [\[4\]](#page-27-20)). In the  $\mathcal{BPS}$  framework the statistic  $RVR_t^{\diamond n}$  is used to estimate the smoothness parameter  $\beta$ .

Let us introduce the function  $\psi: (-1, 1) \rightarrow (\frac{2}{\pi}, 1)$  given by

$$
\psi(x) = \frac{2}{\pi}(\sqrt{1 - x^2} + x \arcsin x).
$$
 (32)

We remark that  $\psi(x) = \mathbb{E}(U_1U_2)$ , where  $U_1, U_2$  are two standard normal variables with correlation  $x$ . Let us further notice that while the computation of the value of  $MPV^{\diamond}(X, p_1, \ldots, p_k)_t^n$  requires the knowledge of the quantity  $\tau_n^{\diamond}$  (and hence the knowledge of the memory function  $g$ ), the statistic  $RVR_t^{\diamond n}$  is purely observation based since

$$
RVR_t^{\Diamond n} = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]-2} |\Diamond_i^n X||\Diamond_{i+2}^n X|}{\sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} |\Diamond_i^n X|^2}.
$$

Our first result is the consistency of  $RVR_t^{\diamond n}$ , which follows directly from Theorem [1](#page-7-2) and Lemma [1.](#page-7-3)

**Proposition 2.** *Assume that the conditions of Theorem* [1](#page-7-2) *hold. Then we obtain*

$$
RVR_i^{\diamond n} \xrightarrow{\mu c.p.} \psi(\rho^{\diamond}(2)),\tag{33}
$$

*where*  $\rho^{\diamond}(i)$  *is defined by* [\(15\)](#page-5-0).

Note that

$$
\rho^{\diamond}(2) = \frac{-4^{1+2\beta} + 4 \cdot 3^{1+2\beta} - 6 \cdot 2^{1+2\beta} + 4}{2\left(4 - 2^{1+2\beta}\right)},
$$

 $\rho^{\diamond}(2) = \rho_{\beta}^{\diamond}(2)$  is invertible as a function of  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , it is positive for  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$  and negative for  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .<br>Obviously the function  $\psi$  is only in

Obviously, the function  $\psi$  is only invertible on the interval  $(-1, 0)$  or  $(0, 1)$ .<br>Thus, we can recover the absolute value of  $\rho^{\diamond}(2)$ , but not its sign (which is not Obviously, the function  $\psi$  is only invertible on the interval  $(-1, 0)$  or  $(0, 1)$ . a big surprise, because we use absolute values of the second order differences in the definition of  $RVR_t^{\diamond n}$ ). In the following proposition we restrict ourselves to  $\beta \in$  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$  as those values typically appear in physics.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Proposition 3.** *Assume that the conditions of Theorems* [2](#page-7-1) *and* [\(30\)](#page-13-1) *hold. Let*  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \ \rho_{\beta}^{\diamond}(2) : (0, \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow (-1, 0), \ \psi : (-1, 0) \rightarrow (\frac{2}{\pi}, 1) \ \text{and set}$ <br>  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$  $f = \psi \circ \rho_{\beta}^{\diamond}$  (2). Then we obtain for  $h = f^{-1}$ 

$$
h(RVR_t^{\Diamond n}) \xrightarrow{\mu.c.p.} \beta,
$$
\n(34)

*and*

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\Delta_n^{-1/2} (h(RVR_t^{\Diamond n}) - \beta)MPV^{\Diamond}(X, 2, 0)_t^n}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}|h'(RVR_t^{\Diamond n})|(1, -RVR_t^{\Diamond n})\mu(1, -RVR_t^{\Diamond n})^TMPV^{\Diamond}(X, 4, 0)_t^n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1),
$$
\n(35)

for any  $t > 0$ , where  $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le 2}$  is given by

$$
\mu_{11} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{var}\Big(MPV^{\diamond}(B^H, 1, 1)^n\Big),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{12} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{cov}\Big(MPV^{\diamond}(B^H, 1, 1)^n\Big(MPV^{\diamond}(B^H, 2, 0)^n\Big)\Big),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{22} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{var}\Big(MPV^{\diamond}(B^H, 2, 0)^n\Big),
$$

*with*  $H = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Proposition [3](#page-14-0) is a direct consequence of Theorem [2,](#page-7-1) of the delta-method for stable convergence and of the fact that the true centering  $\psi(r_n^{\diamond}(2))$  in [\(23\)](#page-8-0) can be replaced by its limit  $\psi(\rho^{\diamondsuit}(2))$  $\psi(\rho^{\diamondsuit}(2))$  $\psi(\rho^{\diamondsuit}(2))$ , because of the condition [\(30\)](#page-13-1) (see Remark 2). We note that the normalized statistic in  $(35)$  is again self-scaling, i.e. we do not require the knowledge of  $\tau_n^{\diamond}$ , and consequently we can immediately build confidence regions for the smoothness parameter  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

*Remark* 7. The constants  $\beta_{ij}$ ,  $1 \le i, j \le 2$ , can be expressed as

$$
\mu_{11} = \text{var}(|Q_1||Q_3|) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{cov}(|Q_1||Q_3|, |Q_{1+k}||Q_{3+k}|),
$$
  

$$
\mu_{12} = \text{cov}(Q_2^2, |Q_1||Q_3|) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{cov}(Q_1^2, |Q_{1+k}||Q_{3+k}|),
$$
  

$$
\mu_{22} = \text{var}(Q_1^2) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{cov}(Q_1^2, Q_{1+k}^2) = 2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\rho^{\diamond}(k)|^2,
$$

with  $Q_i = \bigcirc_i^n B^H / \sqrt{\text{var}(\bigcirc_i^n B^H)}$ . The above quantities can be computed using formulas for absolute moments of the multivariate normal distributions formulas for absolute moments of the multivariate normal distributions.  $\Box$ 

## **4.2** *The Modified Realised Variation Ratio*

Recall that the restriction  $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  is required to formulate Proposition [3.](#page-14-0) To obtain estimates for all values  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$  let us consider a modified (and obtain estimates for all values  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$  let us consider a modified (and, in fact, more natural) version of  $RVR_t^{\tilde{\diamond n}}$ :

$$
\overline{RVR}_t^{\Diamond n} = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]-2} \Diamond_i^n X \Diamond_{i+2}^n X}{\sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} |\Diamond_i^n X|^2}.
$$
\n(36)

Notice that  $\overline{RVR}_t^{\Diamond n}$  is an analogue of the classical autocorrelation estimator. The following result describes the asymptotic behaviour of  $\overline{\textit{RVR}}_t^{\Diamond n}$ .

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Proposition 4.** *Assume that the conditions of Theorems* [2](#page-7-1) *and* [\(30\)](#page-13-1) *hold, and let*  $h = (\rho_{\beta}^{\diamondsuit}(2))^{-1}$ . Then we obtain

$$
h(\overline{RVR}_t^{\Diamond n}) \xrightarrow{ucp} \beta,\tag{37}
$$

*and, with*  $\overline{MPV}^{\diamond}(X, 1, 1)_t^n = \Delta_n (\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-2} \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor t/\Delta_n \rfloor - 2} \diamondsuit_i^n X \diamondsuit_{i+2}^n X,$ 

$$
\frac{\Delta_n^{-1/2} (h(\overline{RVR}_t^{\diamond n}) - \beta)MPV^{\diamond}(X, 2, 0)_t^n}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}|h'(\overline{RVR}_t^{\diamond n})|(1, -\overline{RVR}_t^{\diamond n})\mu(1, -\overline{RVR}_t^{\diamond n})^TMPV^{\diamond}(X, 4, 0)_t^n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1),
$$
\n(38)

for any  $t > 0$ , where  $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le 2}$  is given by

$$
\mu_{11} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{var}\Big(\overline{MPV}^{\diamond}(B^H, 1, 1)_1^n\Big),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{12} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{cov}\Big(\overline{MPV}^{\diamond}(B^H, 1, 1)_1^n, \overline{MPV}^{\diamond}(B^H, 2, 0)_1^n\Big),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{22} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{var}\Big(\overline{MPV}^{\diamond}(B^H, 2, 0)_1^n\Big),
$$

*with*  $H = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Remark* 8. Note that Proposition [4](#page-15-0) follows from Remark [6,](#page-12-0) because the function  $H(x, y) = xy$  is even one. In fact, its proof is much easier than the corresponding result of Theorem [2.](#page-7-1) The most essential step is the joint central limit theorem for the nominator and the denominator of  $\overline{RVR}_{t}^{\Diamond n}$  when  $X = G$  (i.e.  $\sigma \equiv 1$ ). The latter the nominator and the denominator of  $RVR_t^{\gamma}$  when  $X = G$  (i.e.  $\sigma \equiv 1$ ). The latter can be shown by using Wiener chaos expansion and Malliavin calculus. Let  $\mathbb H$  be a separable Hilbert space generated by the triangular array  $(\Diamond_i^n G / \tau_n^{\Diamond})_{n \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq [t/\Delta_n]}$ with scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}$  induced by the covariance function of the process  $(\Diamond_{i}^{n} G / \tau_{n}^{\Diamond})_{n \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq [t/\Delta_{n}]}$ . Setting  $\chi_{i}^{n} = \Diamond_{i}^{n} G / \tau_{n}^{\Diamond}$  we deduce the identities

$$
\Delta_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]-2} \left( \chi_i^n \chi_{i+2}^n - \rho^{\diamondsuit}(2) \right) = I_2(f_n^{(1)}), \quad f_n^{(1)} = \Delta_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]-2} \chi_i^n \otimes \chi_{i+2}^n,
$$
  

$$
\Delta_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \left( |\chi_i^n|^2 - 1 \right) = I_2(f_n^{(2)}), \quad f_n^{(2)} = \Delta_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} (\chi_i^n)^{\otimes 2},
$$

where  $I_2$  is the second multiple integral. The joint central limit theorem for the above statistics follows from [\[19\]](#page-27-10) once we show the contraction conditions

$$
||f_n^{(1)} \otimes_1 f_n^{(1)}||_{\mathbb{H}^{\otimes 2}} \to 0, \qquad ||f_n^{(2)} \otimes_1 f_n^{(2)}||_{\mathbb{H}^{\otimes 2}} \to 0,
$$

and identify the asymptotic covariance structure by computing  $2 \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle f_n^{(i)}, f_n^{(j)} \rangle_{\mathbb{H}^{\otimes 2}}$  for  $1 \le i, j \le 2$ . We refer to the appendix of [\[7\]](#page-27-21) for a more detailed proof of such central limit theorems. more detailed proof of such central limit theorems.

*Remark* 9. The constants  $\beta_{ij}$ ,  $1 \le i, j \le 2$ , are now much easier to compute. They are given as

$$
\mu_{11} = \text{var}(Q_1 Q_3) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{cov}(Q_1 Q_3, Q_{1+k} Q_{3+k})
$$
  
=  $1 + |\rho^{\diamond}(2)|^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|\rho^{\diamond}(k)|^2 + \rho^{\diamond}(k+2)\rho^{\diamond}(k-2)),$ 

$$
\mu_{12} = \text{cov}(Q_2^2, Q_1 Q_3) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{cov}(Q_1^2, Q_{1+k} Q_{3+k})
$$
  
=  $2|\rho^{\diamond}(1)|^2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho^{\diamond}(k)\rho^{\diamond}(k+2),$   

$$
\mu_{22} = \text{var}(Q_1^2) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{cov}(Q_1^2, Q_{1+k}^2) = 2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\rho^{\diamond}(k)|^2,
$$

with  $Q_i = \bigcirc_i^n B^H / \sqrt{\text{var}(\bigcirc_i^n B^H)}$ . This follows from a well-known formula

$$
cov(Z_1 Z_2, Z_3 Z_4) = cov(Z_1, Z_3) cov(Z_2, Z_4) + cov(Z_2, Z_3) cov(Z_1, Z_4)
$$

whenever  $(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4)$  is normal.

## <span id="page-17-0"></span>**4.3** *Change-of-Frequency Estimator*

Another idea of estimating  $\beta$  is to change the frequency  $\Delta_n$  at which the second order differences are built. We recall that  $(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^2 = 4\overline{R}(\Delta_n) - \overline{R}(2\Delta_n)$  and consequently we obtain the relationship consequently we obtain the relationship

$$
(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^2 \simeq \Delta_n^{2\beta+1}
$$

by Assumption  $2(i)$  $2(i)$ . Observing the latter we define the statistic

$$
COF_t^n = \frac{\sum_{i=4}^{[t/\Delta_n]} |\diamond_i^{n,2} X|^2}{\sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} |\diamond_i^n X|^2},\tag{39}
$$

that is essentially the ratio of  $MPV^{\diamond}(X, 2, 0)_t^n$  computed at frequencies  $\Delta_n$  and  $2\Delta_n$ . Recall that  $(\tau_{n,2}^{\diamondsuit})^2 = \mathbb{E}(|\diamond_i^{n,2} G|^2) = 4\overline{R}(2\Delta_n) - \overline{R}(4\Delta_n)$  and observe

$$
\frac{(\tau_{n,2}^{\diamondsuit})^2}{(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^2} \to 2^{2\beta+1}.
$$

As a consequence we deduce the convergence

$$
COF_t^n \xrightarrow{\text{u.c.p.}} 2^{2\beta+1}.
$$

The following proposition is a direct consequence of  $(29)$  and the properties of stable convergence.

**Proposition 5.** *Assume that the conditions of Theorems* [2](#page-7-1) *and* [\(30\)](#page-13-1) *hold, and let*  $h(x) = (\log_2(x) - 1)/2$ . Then we obtain

$$
h(COF_t^n) \xrightarrow{\mu.c.p.} \beta,\tag{40}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\Delta_n^{-1/2} (h(COF_t^n) - \beta)MPV^{\diamond}(X, 2, 0)_t^n}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}|h'(COF_t^n)|(1, -COF_t^n)\mu(1, -COF_t^n)^TMPV^{\diamond}(X, 4, 0)_t^n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1), \quad (41)
$$

for any  $t > 0$ , where  $\mu = (\mu_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le 2}$  is given by

$$
\mu_{11} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{var}\Big(MPV_2^{\diamond}(B^H, 2, 0)_1^n\Big),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{12} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{cov}\Big(MPV_2^{\diamond}(B^H, 2, 0)_1^n, MPV^{\diamond}(B^H, 2, 0)_1^n\Big),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mu_{22} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Delta_n^{-1} \text{var}\Big(MPV^{\diamond}(B^H, 2, 0)_1^n\Big),
$$

*with*  $H = \beta + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let us emphasize that the normalized statistic in [\(41\)](#page-18-0) is again self-scaling. We recall that the approximation

$$
\frac{(\tau_{n,2}^{\lozenge})^2}{(\tau_n^{\lozenge})^2} - 2^{2\beta+1} = o(\Delta_n^{1/2}),
$$

which follows from [\(30\)](#page-13-1), holds for our main example  $g(x) = x^{\beta} \exp(-\lambda x)$  when  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\lambda > 0$  $\beta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{4})$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Remark* 10. Observe the identity

$$
X_{i\Delta_n} - 2X_{(i-2)\Delta_n} + X_{(i-4)\Delta_n} = \bigcirc_i^n X - 2\bigcirc_{i-1}^n X + \bigcirc_{i-2}^n X.
$$

The latter implies that

$$
\mu_{11} = 2 + 2^{-4\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\rho^{\diamond}(k+2) - 4\rho^{\diamond}(k+1) + 6\rho^{\diamond}(k) - 4\rho^{\diamond}(k-1)|
$$
  
+  $\rho^{\diamond}(k-2|)$ <sup>2</sup>,

 $\Box$ 

$$
\mu_{12} = 2^{-2\beta} (\rho^{\diamond}(1) - 1) + 2^{1-2\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\rho^{\diamond}(k+2) - 2\rho^{\diamond}(k+1) + \rho^{\diamond}(k)|^2,
$$
  

$$
\mu_{22} = 2 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\rho^{\diamond}(k)|^2.
$$

### <span id="page-19-0"></span>**5 Proofs**

Let us start by noting that the intermittency process  $\sigma$  is assumed to be càdlàg, and thus  $\sigma_{-}$  is locally bounded. Consequently, w.l.o.g.  $\sigma$  can be assumed to be bounded<br>on compact intervals by a standard localization procedure (see a.g. Sect. 3 in 151) on compact intervals by a standard localization procedure (see e.g. Sect. 3 in [\[5](#page-27-22)] for more details). We also remark that the process  $F$  defined by [\(9\)](#page-4-4) is continuous. Hence,  $F$  is locally bounded and can be assumed to be bounded on compact intervals w.l.o.g. by the same localization procedure.

Below, all positive constants are denoted by  $C$  or  $C_p$  if they depend on some parameter  $p$ . In the following we present three technical lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** *Under Assumption* [1](#page-3-0) *we have that*

<span id="page-19-2"></span><span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}(|\diamond_i^n X|^p) \le C_p(\tau_n^\diamond)^p, \qquad i = 2, \dots, [t/\Delta_n]
$$
\n(42)

*for all*  $p > 0$ *.* 

*Proof of Lemma* [2:](#page-19-1) Recall that due to Assumption [1\(](#page-3-0)ii) the function  $|g^{(2)}|$  is nonincreasing on  $(a, \infty)$  for some  $a > 0$  and assume w.l.o.g. that  $a > 1$ . By the decomposition [\(12\)](#page-4-1) and Burkholder's inequality we deduce that

$$
\mathbb{E}(|\diamond_i^n X|^p) \leq C_p \Bigg( (\tau_n^{\diamond})^p + \mathbb{E} \Big( \int_0^\infty \Big( g(s + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(s + \Delta_n) + g(s) \Big)^2 \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n - s}^2 ds \Big)^{p/2} \Bigg),
$$

since  $\sigma$  is bounded on compact intervals. We immediately obtain the estimates

$$
\int_0^1 (g(s + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(s + \Delta_n) + g(s))^2 \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n - s}^2 ds \le C(\tau_n^{\delta})^2,
$$
  

$$
\int_1^a (g(s + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(s + \Delta_n) + g(s))^2 \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n - s}^2 ds \le C\Delta_n^2,
$$

because  $g^{(2)}$  is continuous on  $(0,\infty)$  and  $\sigma$  is bounded on compact intervals. On the other hand, since  $|g^{(2)}|$  is non-increasing on  $(a,\infty)$ , we deduce that

$$
\int_a^\infty \left( g(s+2\Delta_n)-2g(s+\Delta_n)+g(s)\right)^2 \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n-s}^2 ds \leq \Delta_n^2 F_{(i-2)\Delta_n}.
$$

Finally, the boundedness of the process F implies [\(42\)](#page-19-2).  $\Box$ <br>Next, for any stochastic process f and any  $s > 0$ , we define the (possibly infinite) measure

$$
\pi_{f,s}^{\Diamond n}(A) = \frac{\int_A \left( g(x + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(x + \Delta_n) + g(x) \right)^2 f_{s-x}^2 dx}{(\tau_n^{\Diamond})^2}, \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}),
$$
\n(43)

and set  $\overline{\pi}^{\Diamond n}_{f,s}(x) = \pi^n_{f,s}(\{y : y > x\}).$ 

<span id="page-20-0"></span>**Lemma 3.** *Under Assumption* [1](#page-3-0) *it holds that*

$$
\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \overline{\pi}_{\sigma,s}^{\Diamond_n}(\varepsilon) \le C \overline{\pi}_n^{\Diamond}(\varepsilon) \tag{44}
$$

*for any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where the measure  $\pi_n^{\diamond}$  is given by [\(13\)](#page-5-1).

*Proof of Lemma* [3:](#page-20-0) Recall again that  $|g^{(2)}|$  is non-increasing on  $(a, \infty)$  for some  $a>0$ , and assume w.l.o.g. that  $a>\varepsilon$ . Since the processes  $\sigma$  and F are bounded we deduce exactly as in the previous proof that

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left( g(x + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(x + \Delta_n) + g(x) \right)^2 \sigma_{s-x}^2 dx
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^a \left( g(x + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(x + \Delta_n) + g(x) \right)^2 \sigma_{s-x}^2 dx
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_a^{\infty} \left( g(x + 2\Delta_n) - 2g(x + \Delta_n) + g(x) \right)^2 \sigma_{s-x}^2 dx \le C(\overline{\pi}_n^{\diamond}(s) + \Delta_n^2).
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma [3.](#page-20-0)  $\Box$ <br>Finally, the last lemma gives a bound for the correlation function  $r_n^{\diamond}(j)$ .

<span id="page-20-1"></span>**Lemma 4.** *Under Assumption* [2](#page-4-0) *there exists a sequence*  $(h(j))_{j \geq 1}$  *such that* 

$$
|r_n^{\diamond}(j)| \le h(j), \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h(j) < \infty,\tag{45}
$$

*for all*  $j \geq 1$ *.* 

*Proof of Lemma* [4:](#page-20-1) This result follows directly from Lemma 1 in [\[7\]](#page-27-21). Recall that  $r_n^{\diamond}(j) \to \rho^{\diamond}(j)$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\rho^{\diamond}(j)| < \infty$ , so the assertion is not really surprising.

Observe that Lemma [4](#page-20-1) implies the conditions [\(16\)](#page-6-1) and [\(17\)](#page-6-3).

#### **5.1** *Proof of Theorem* **[1](#page-7-2)**

In the following we will prove Theorems [1](#page-7-2) and [2](#page-7-1) only for  $k = 1$ ,  $p_1 = p$ . The general case can be obtained in a similar manner by an application of the Hölder inequality.

Note that  $MPV^{\circ}(X, p)_t^n$  is increasing in t and the limit process of [\(22\)](#page-7-4) is continuous in  $t$ . Thus, it is sufficient to show the pointwise convergence

$$
MPV^{\diamond}(X, p)_t^n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow} m_p \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^p ds,
$$

where  $m_p = \mathbb{E}(|N(0, 1)|^p)$  $m_p = \mathbb{E}(|N(0, 1)|^p)$  $m_p = \mathbb{E}(|N(0, 1)|^p)$ . We perform the proof of Theorem 1 in two steps.

• *The crucial approximation*: First of all, we prove that we can use the approximation  $\Diamond_i^n X \approx \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \Diamond_i^n G$  without changing the limit of Theorem [1,](#page-7-2) i.e. we show that show that

$$
\Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \left( |\diamondsuit_i^n X|^p - |\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \diamondsuit_i^n G|^p \right) \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{46}
$$

An application of the inequality  $||x|^p - |y|^p| \leq p|x - y|(|x|^{p-1} + |y|^{p-1})$  for  $p > 1$  and  $||y|^p - |y|^p| < |x - y|^p$  for  $p \leq 1$  (42) and the Cauchy-Schwarz  $p > 1$  and  $||x|^p - |y|^p| \le |x - y|^p$  for  $p \le 1$ , [\(42\)](#page-19-2) and the Cauchy-Schwarz<br>inequality implies that the above convergence follows from  $\overline{\text{inequality}}$  implies that the above convergence follows from

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
\Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(|\diamond_i^n X - \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \diamond_i^n G|^2) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{47}
$$

Observe the decomposition

$$
\bigcirc_i^n X - \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \bigcirc_i^n G = A_i^n + B_i^{n,\varepsilon} + C_i^{n,\varepsilon}
$$

with

$$
A_i^n = \int_{(i-1)\Delta_n}^{i\Delta_n} g(i\Delta_n - s)(\sigma_s - \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n})W(ds)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{(i-2)\Delta_n}^{(i-1)\Delta_n} \left( g(i\Delta_n - s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_n - s) \right) (\sigma_s - \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n})W(ds)
$$

$$
B_{i}^{n,\varepsilon} = \int_{(i-2)\Delta_{n}}^{(i-2)\Delta_{n}} \left( g(i\Delta_{n}-s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_{n}-s) + g((i-2)\Delta_{n}-s) \right) \sigma_{s} W(ds)
$$
  

$$
- \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_{n}} \int_{(i-2)\Delta_{n}-\varepsilon}^{(i-2)\Delta_{n}} g(i\Delta_{n}-s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_{n}-s) + g((i-2)\Delta_{n}-s)W(ds)
$$
  

$$
C_{i}^{n,\varepsilon} = \int_{-\infty}^{(i-2)\Delta_{n}-\varepsilon} \left( g(i\Delta_{n}-s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_{n}-s) + g((i-2)\Delta_{n}-s) \right) \sigma_{s} W(ds)
$$
  

$$
- \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_{n}} \int_{-\infty}^{(i-2)\Delta_{n}-\varepsilon} g(i\Delta_{n}-s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_{n}-s) + g((i-2)\Delta_{n}-s)W(ds)
$$

Lemma [3](#page-20-0) and the boundedness of  $\sigma$  imply that

$$
\Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(|C_i^{n,\varepsilon}|^2) \le C \overline{\pi}_n^{\diamondsuit}(\varepsilon),\tag{48}
$$

and by  $(11)$  and Assumption  $2(i)$  $2(i)$  we deduce that

$$
\Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-2}\sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(|C_i^{n,\varepsilon}|^2) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Next, set  $v(s, \eta) = \sup\{|\sigma_s - \sigma_r|^2 | r \in [-t, t], |r - s| \leq n\}$  for  $s \in [-t, t]$  and denote by  $\Delta \sigma$  the jump process associated with  $\sigma$ . We  $\eta$ } for  $s \in [-t, t]$  and denote by  $\Delta \sigma$  the jump process associated with  $\sigma$ . We obtain the inequality obtain the inequality

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(|A_i^n|^2) \le \Delta_n \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(\nu((i-2)\Delta_n, 2\Delta_n))
$$
\n
$$
\le \lambda + \Delta_n \mathbb{E}\Big(\sum_{s \in [-t,t]} |\Delta \sigma_s|^2 1_{\{|\Delta \sigma_s| \ge \lambda\}}\Big) = \theta(\lambda, n)
$$
\n(49)

for any  $\lambda > 0$ . We readily deduce that

$$
\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \theta(\lambda, n) = 0.
$$

Next, observe the decomposition  $B_i^{n,\varepsilon} = B_i^{n,\varepsilon}(1) + B_i^{n,\varepsilon}(2)$  with

$$
B_i^{n,\varepsilon}(1) = \int_{(i-2)\Delta_n}^{(i-2)\Delta_n} \left( g(i\Delta_n - s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_n - s) + g((i-2)\Delta_n - s) \right)
$$
  
 
$$
\times (\sigma_s - \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n - \varepsilon})W(ds)
$$

$$
B_i^{n,\varepsilon}(2) = (\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n-\varepsilon} - \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n})
$$
  
\$\times \int\_{(i-2)\Delta\_n-\varepsilon}^{(i-2)\Delta\_n} g(i\Delta\_n-s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta\_n-s) + g((i-2)\Delta\_n-s)W(ds).

We deduce that

$$
\Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(|B_i^{n,\varepsilon}(1)|^2) \leq \Delta_n \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(v((i-2)\Delta_n, \varepsilon)),
$$
  

$$
\Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-2} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(|B_i^{n,\varepsilon}(2)|^2) \leq \Delta_n \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(v((i-2)\Delta_n, \varepsilon)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
 (50)

By using the same arguments as in [\(49\)](#page-22-0) we conclude that both terms converge to zero and we obtain (47), which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

zero and we obtain [\(47\)](#page-21-0), which completes the proof of Theorem [1.](#page-7-2) <br>
• *The blocking technique*: Having justified the approximation  $\Diamond_i^n X \approx \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \Diamond_i^n G$ <br>
in the previous step, we now apply a blocking technique for  $\sigma_{$ in the previous step, we now apply a blocking technique for  $\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \Diamond_i^n G$ : we divide the interval [0, t], into high ploats of the langth  $l^{-1}$  and fragge the divide the interval [0, t] into big sub-blocks of the length  $l^{-1}$  and freeze the intermittency process  $\sigma$  at the beginning of each big sub-block. Later we let  $l$ tend to infinity.

For any fixed  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , observe the decomposition

$$
MPV^{\diamond}(X,p)_t^n - m_p \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^p ds = \Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} (|\diamondsuit_i^n X|^p - |\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \diamondsuit_i^n G|^p) + R_t^{n,l},
$$

where

$$
R_t^{n,l} = \Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \Big( \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} |\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \diamond_i^n G|^p - \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{j-1}|^p \sum_{i \in I_l(j)} |\diamond_i^n G|^p \Big) + \Big( \Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{j-1}|^p \sum_{i \in I_l(j)} |\diamond_i^n G|^p - m_p l^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{j-1}|^p \Big) + m_p \Big( l^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{j-1}|^p - \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^p ds \Big),
$$

and

$$
I_l(j) = \left\{ i \mid i \Delta_n \in \left( \frac{j-1}{l}, \frac{j}{l} \right] \right\}, \qquad j \geq 1.
$$

Notice that the third summand in the above decomposition converges to 0 in probability due to Riemann integrability of  $\sigma$ . By Theorem 1 in [\[8\]](#page-27-0) we know that

 $MPV^{\diamond}(G, p)_t^n \xrightarrow{u.c.p} m_p t$ , because the condition [\(16\)](#page-6-1) is satisfied (see Lemma [4\)](#page-20-1).<br>This implies the negligibility of the second summand in the decomposition when This implies the negligibility of the second summand in the decomposition when we first let  $n \to \infty$  and then  $l \to \infty$ . As  $\sigma$  is càdlàg and bounded on compact intervals, we finally deduce that

$$
\lim_{l\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(|R_l^{n,l}|>\varepsilon)=0,
$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This completes the proof of the second step and of Theorem [1.](#page-7-2)  $\Box$ 

# **5.2** *Proof of Theorem* **[2](#page-7-1)**

Here we apply the same scheme of the proof as for Theorem [1.](#page-7-2) We start with the justification of the approximation  $\Diamond_i^n X \approx \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \Diamond_i^n G$  and proceed with the blocking technique blocking technique.

• *The crucial approximation:* Here we prove that

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
\Delta_n^{1/2}(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \left( |\diamondsuit_i^n X|^p - |\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \diamondsuit_i^n G|^p \right) \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{51}
$$

Again we apply the inequality  $||x|^p - |y|^p| \leq p|x - y|(|x|^{p-1} + |y|^{p-1})$  for  $p > 1$ .  $||x|^p - |y|^p| < |x - y|^p$  for  $p < 1$  and (42) to deduce that  $p > 1$ ,  $||x|^p - |y|^p| \le |x - y|^p$  for  $p \le 1$  and [\(42\)](#page-19-2) to deduce that

$$
\Delta_n^{1/2}(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \mathbb{E}(|\triangle_j^n X|^p - |\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \triangle_j^n G|^p|) \leq \Delta_n^{1/2}(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-(p\wedge 1)} \times \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} (\mathbb{E}(|\triangle_j^n X - \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \triangle_j^n G|^2))^{\frac{p\wedge 1}{2}}.
$$

Now we use a similar decomposition as in the proof of Theorem [1:](#page-7-2)

$$
\bigcirc_i^n X - \sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \bigcirc_i^n G = A_i^n + B_i^{n, \varepsilon_n^{(1)}} + \sum_{j=1}^l C_i^{n, \varepsilon_n^{(j)}, \varepsilon_n^{(j+1)}},
$$

where  $A_i^n$ ,  $B_i^{n,\varepsilon_n^{(1)}}$  are defined as above,  $0 < \varepsilon_n^{(1)} < \cdots < \varepsilon_n^{(l)} < \varepsilon_n^{(l+1)} = \infty$  and

$$
C_i^{n,e_n^{(j)},e_n^{(j+1)}} = \int_{(i-2)\Delta_n - e_n^{(j)}}^{(i-2)\Delta_n - e_n^{(j)}} \left( g(i\Delta_n - s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_n - s) + g((i-2)\Delta_n - s) \right) \sigma_s W(ds)
$$

$$
-\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \int_{(i-2)\Delta_n - e_n^{(j)}}^{(i-2)\Delta_n - e_n^{(j)}} g(i\Delta_n - s) - 2g((i-1)\Delta_n - s) + g((i-2)\Delta_n - s)W(ds).
$$

An application of Assumptions [1,](#page-3-0) [2](#page-4-0) and  $3-y$  $3-y$ , for  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  with  $\gamma(p \wedge 1) > \frac{1}{2}$ , and I emma 3 implies that (recall that  $\sigma$  is bounded on compact intervals) and Lemma [3](#page-20-0) implies that (recall that  $\sigma$  is bounded on compact intervals)

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
\Delta_n^{1/2}(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \left( \mathbb{E}(|A_i^n|^2) \right)^{\frac{p \wedge 1}{2}} \leq C \Delta_n^{\gamma(p \wedge 1) - \frac{1}{2}},
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_n^{1/2}(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \left( \mathbb{E}(|B_i^{n, \varepsilon_n^{(1)}}|^2) \right)^{\frac{p \wedge 1}{2}} \leq C \Delta_n^{-1/2} |\varepsilon_n^{(1)}|^{\gamma(p \wedge 1)},
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_n^{1/2}(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \left( \mathbb{E}(|C_i^{n, \varepsilon_n^{(j)}, \varepsilon_n^{(j+1)}}|^2) \right)^{\frac{p \wedge 1}{2}} \leq
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \Delta_n^{-1/2} |\varepsilon_n^{(j+1)}|^{\gamma(p \wedge 1)} |\overline{\pi}_n^{\diamond}( \varepsilon_n^{(j+1)}) - \overline{\pi}_n^{\diamond}( \varepsilon_n^{(j)})|^{\frac{p \wedge 1}{2}},
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_n^{1/2}(\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \left( \mathbb{E}(|C_i^{n, \varepsilon_n^{(i)}, \varepsilon_n^{(i+1)}}|^2) \right)^{\frac{p \wedge 1}{2}} \leq C \Delta_n^{-1/2} \overline{\pi}_n^{\diamond}( \varepsilon_n^{(j)})^{\frac{p \wedge 1}{2}},
$$
\n(52)

for  $1 \le j \le l - 1$ . In [\[8\]](#page-27-0) (see Lemma 3 therein) we have proved the following result: if the condition (18) is satisfied then there exist sequences result: if the condition  $(18)$  is satisfied then there exist sequences

$$
0 < \varepsilon_n^{(1)} < \dots < \varepsilon_n^{(l)} < \varepsilon_n^{(l+1)} = \infty
$$
\nsuch that all terms on the right-hand side of (52) converge to 0.

Set  $\lambda = (3 - 2\beta)(1 - \delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\lambda > 1/(p \wedge 1)$ . This is exible because  $3 - 2\beta \in (2, 4)$  and the assumptions of Theorem 2 imply that possible, because  $3 - 2\beta \in (2, 4)$  $3 - 2\beta \in (2, 4)$  $3 - 2\beta \in (2, 4)$  and the assumptions of Theorem 2 imply that  $n > 1/2$ . We obtain that  $p > 1/2$ . We obtain that

$$
\overline{\pi}_n^{\diamondsuit}(\varepsilon_n) \leq C\Delta_n^{\lambda(1-\kappa)},
$$

for any  $\varepsilon_n = \Delta_n^k$ ,  $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ , by [\(11\)](#page-4-2) and Assumption [2\(](#page-4-0)i). Thus, we deduce [\(18\)](#page-6-2) which implies the convergence of (51) which implies the convergence of [\(51\)](#page-24-0).  $\square$ <br>The blocking technique: Again we only consider the case  $d = 1, k = 1$  and

• *The blocking technique*: Again we only consider the case  $d = 1, k = 1$  and  $p_1 - p$ . We recall the decomposition from the proof of Theorem 1:  $p_1 = p$ . We recall the decomposition from the proof of Theorem [1:](#page-7-2)

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
\Delta_n^{-1/2} \Big( MPV^{\diamond}(X, p)_t^n - m_p \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^p ds \Big)
$$
\n
$$
= \Delta_n^{-1/2} \Big( \Delta_n (\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{j-1}|^p \sum_{i \in I_l(j)} |\diamondeta_i^n G|^p - m_p l^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[t/1\Delta_n]} |\sigma_{j-1}|^p \Big)
$$
\n
$$
+ \Delta_n^{1/2} (\tau_n^{\diamond})^{-p} \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_n]} \Big( |\diamondeta_i^n X|^p - |\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_n} \diamondeta_i^n G|^p \Big) + \overline{R}_t^{n,l}, \tag{53}
$$

where

$$
\overline{R}_{t}^{n,l} = \Delta_{n}^{1/2}(\tau_{n}^{\diamond})^{-p} \Big( \sum_{i=2}^{[t/\Delta_{n}]} |\sigma_{(i-2)\Delta_{n}} \diamondsuit_{i}^{n} G|^{p} - \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{\frac{j-1}{l}}|^{p} \sum_{i \in I_{l}(j)} |\diamondsuit_{i}^{n} G|^{p} \Big)
$$

$$
+ m_{p} \Delta_{n}^{-1/2} \Big( l^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{\frac{j-1}{l}}|^{p} - \int_{0}^{t} |\sigma_{s}|^{p} ds \Big).
$$

Note that the negligibility of the second summand in the decomposition  $(53)$  has been shown in the previous step. The convergence

$$
\lim_{l\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(|\overline{R}^{n,l}_t|>\varepsilon)=0,
$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , has been shown in [\[7\]](#page-27-21) (see the proof of Theorem 7 therein). Finally, we concentrate on the first summand of the decomposition [\(53\)](#page-25-1). By Remark 11 in [\[8](#page-27-0)] we know that  $(G_t, \Delta_n^{-1/2} (MPV^{\diamondsuit}(G, p)_t^n - m_p t)) \Rightarrow (G_t, \sqrt{\mu}W_t')$ , where  $\mu$  is defined by [\(25\)](#page-8-1), because  $r_n^{\diamond}(j) \to \rho^{\diamond}(j)$  and condition [\(17\)](#page-6-3) holds (see<br>again Lemma 4). An application of the condition  $D''$  from Proposition 2 in [1] again Lemma [4\)](#page-20-1). An application of the condition  $D''$  from Proposition 2 in [\[1](#page-27-15)] shows that

$$
\Delta_n^{-1/2}(MPV^{\diamond}(G, p)_t^n - m_p t) \stackrel{st}{\longrightarrow} \sqrt{\mu}W_t'.
$$

Now we deduce by the properties of stable convergence:

$$
\Delta_n^{-1/2} \Big( \Delta_n(\tau_n^{\diamondsuit})^{-p} \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{\frac{j-1}{l}}|^p \sum_{i \in I_l(j)} |\diamondsuit_i^n G|^p - m_p l^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{[t/l \Delta_n]} |\sigma_{\frac{j-1}{l}}|^p \Big)
$$
  

$$
\xrightarrow{st} \sqrt{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{[lt]} |\sigma_{\frac{j-1}{l}}|^p \Delta_j^l W',
$$

for any fixed l. On the other hand, we have that

$$
\sqrt{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^{[l\prime]} |\sigma_{\frac{j-1}{l}}|^p \Delta_j^l W' \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\longrightarrow} \sqrt{\mu} \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^p dW'_s
$$

as  $l \to \infty$ . This completes the proof of Theorem [2.](#page-7-1) u

**Acknowledgements** Ole Barndorff-Nielsen and Mark Podolskij gratefully acknowledge financial support from CREATES funded by the Danish National Research Foundation.

## **References**

- <span id="page-27-15"></span>1. Aldous, D.J., Eagleson, G.K.: On mixing and stability of limit theorems. Ann. Probab. **6**(2), 325–331 (1978)
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>2. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Schmiegel, J.: Brownian semistationary processes and volatility/intermittency. In: Albrecher, H., Rungaldier, W., Schachermeyer, W. (eds.) Advanced Financial Modelling. Radon Series of Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 8, pp. 1–26. W. de Gruyter, Berlin (2009)
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>3. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Shephard, N.: Power and bipower variation with stochastic volatility and jumps (with discussion). J. Financ. Econom. **2**, 1–48 (2004)
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>4. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Shephard, N.: Econometrics of testing for jumps in financial economics using bipower variation. J. Financ. Econom. **4**, 1–30 (2006)
- <span id="page-27-22"></span>5. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Graversen, S.E., Jacod, J., Podolskij, M., Shephard, N.: A central limit theorem for realised power and bipower variations of continuous semimartingales. In: Kabanov, Yu., Liptser, R., Stoyanov, J. (eds.) From Stochastic Calculus to Mathematical Finance: Festschrift in Honour of A.N. Shiryaev, pp. 33–68. Springer, Heidelberg (2006)
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>6. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Shephard, N., Winkel, M.: Limit theorems for multipower variation in the presence of jumps. Stoch. Process. Appl. **116**, 796–806 (2006)
- <span id="page-27-21"></span>7. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Corcuera, J.M., Podolskij, M.: Power variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments. Stoch. Process. Appl. **119**, 1845–1865 (2009)
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>8. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Corcuera, J.M., Podolskij, M.: Multipower variation for Brownian semistationary processes. Bernoulli, **17**, 1159–1194 (2011)
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>9. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Corcuera, J.M., Podolskij, M., Woerner, J.H.C.: Bipower variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments. J. Appl. Probab. **46**, 132–150 (2009)
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>10. Basse, A.: Gaussian moving averages and semimartingales. Electron. J. Probab. **13**(39), 1140– 1165 (2008)
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>11. Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M., Teugels, J.L.: Regular Variation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987)
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>12. Istas, J., Lang, G.: Quadratic variations and estimation of the local Hölder index of a Gaussian process. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré Probabilités et Statistiques 33(4), 407–436 (1997)
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>13. Jacod, J.: Asymptotic properties of realized power variations and related functionals of semimartingales. Stoch. Process. Appl. **118**, 517–559 (2008)
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>14. Jacod, J., Shiryaev, A.N.: Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (2003)
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>15. Kinnebrock, S., Podolskij, M.: A note on the central limit theorem for bipower variation of general functions. Stoch. Process. Appl. **118**, 1056–1070 (2008)
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>16. Lang, G., Roueff, F.: Semi-parametric estimation of the Hölder exponent of a stationary Gaussian process with minimax rates. Stat. Inference Stoch. Process. **4**, 283–306 (2001)
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>17. Lepingle, D.: La variation d'ordre p des semimartingales. Zeitschrift fur. Wahrscheinlichkeitsth ´ und verwandte Gebiete **36**, 285–316 (1976)
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>18. Nualart, D., Ortiz-Latorre, S.: Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus. Stoch. Process. Appl. **118**, 614–628 (2008)
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>19. Nualart, D., Peccati, G.: Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. Ann. Probab. **33**, 177–193 (2005)
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>20. Peccati, G., Tudor, C.A.: Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals. In: Emery, M., Ledoux, M., Yor, M. (eds.) Seminaire de Probabilites XXXVIII. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1857, pp. 247–262. Springer, Berlin (2005)
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>21. Renyi, A.: On stable sequences of events. Sankhya A **25**, 293–302 (1963)
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>22. Vetter, M.: Limit theorems for bipower variation of semimartingales. Stoch. Process. Appl. **120**, 22–38 (2010)
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>23. Young, L.C.: An inequality of the Holder type connected with Stieltjes integration. Acta Math. ¨ **67**, 251–282 (1936)