Chapter 34 Drosophila: An Invertebrate Model of NF1

James A. Walker, Jean Y. Gouzi, and André Bernards

34.1 Introduction

As discussed in preceding chapters, NF1 is a multisystem chronic genetic disease associated with a variety of symptoms. Another off-cited hallmark of NF1 is its variability and unpredictability. Thus, patients typically only develop a subset of symptoms, and the severity of individual symptoms can vary dramatically between patients. Variable expressivity of a single-gene genetic disorder, like NF1, can be due to several different factors, including incomplete genetic penetrance, the nature of the culprit gene defect, whether or not a patient is a somatic mosaic, and whether or not a given patient carries genetic modifiers or has been exposed to different environments. In the case of NF1, all these factors are believed to play a role. Thus, although individuals carrying NF1 mutations develop at least some symptoms with complete penetrance, stochastic genetic or epigenetic events may result in partial penetrance of individual symptoms. An example is provided by plexiform neurofibromas, most of which are believed to develop congenitally. The fact that no more than about a third of patients develop these tumors may reflect the limited probability of loss of the wild-type NF1 allele in tumor progenitors during a restricted developmental period. Secondly, while genotype-phenotype correlations are uncommon in NF1, some notable exceptions exist. First, 5-10 % of patients harbor recurring 1.2-1.4 Mb microdeletions that include the NFI gene and several flanking protein-coding and microRNA genes. Microdeletion patients often develop particularly severe symptoms, including mental retardation, dysmorphism, childhood overgrowth, and high numbers of unusually early onset neurofibromas (Kayes et al. 1994; Pasmant et al. 2010). In support of the view that the loss of contiguous genes may cause or modify these defects, patients carrying deletions

J.A. Walker • J.Y. Gouzi • A. Bernards (🖂)

Massachusetts General Hospital Center for Cancer Research and Harvard Medical School, Building 149, 13th Street, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA e-mail: abernards@helix.mgh.harvard.edu

that remove just the NF1 gene do not exhibit this more severe phenotype. Another example is provided by the finding that 21 unrelated NF1 patients carrying the same single amino acid deletion lacked obvious neurofibromas (Upadhyaya et al. 2007). Beyond effects attributable to the NF1 gene itself, variable expressivity can also reflect somatic mosaicism in sporadic patients who acquired their NF1 mutation post-zygotically (Bernards and Gusella 1994). The hypothesis that symptomspecific genetic modifiers play important roles in determining disease outcome received early support from a landmark study, which analyzed the presence or absence of five binary symptoms, as well as the severity of three quantitative symptoms amongst 175 patients in 48 NF1 families, including six monozygotic (MZ) twin pairs. This study found high correlation coefficients for four binary and two quantitative traits (neurofibroma and café-au-lait spot burden) for the MZ twins, lower scores for first-degree relatives, and lower values still for more distant family members (Easton et al. 1993). The hypothesis that unlinked modifier genes control specific NF1 symptoms has received additional support from subsequent work (Rieley et al. 2011; Szudek et al. 2002). Environmental factors are another potential cause for variable expressivity. In the case of NF1, the clearest example of a nongenetic factor affecting disease progression is the observation that neurofibromas increase in size and/or number during pregnancy (Roth et al. 2008).

The identity of modifier genes may provide clues to mechanisms responsible for disease symptoms, and since modifier genes perform rate-limiting functions, human modifiers represent prevalidated therapeutic targets. However, when the *NF1* gene was identified in 1990, the human genome sequence and other tools to efficiently survey cohorts of differentially affected patients for potential modifiers were not available. Thus, our strategy to identify NF1 modifiers involved the identification of a highly conserved *Drosophila NF1 (dNF1)* ortholog, the generation of mutants, the analysis of phenotypes, structure–function studies, and genetic screens. The remainder of this chapter summarizes what has been learned so far and how this knowledge may be relevant to those interested in human NF1.

34.2 Identification and Structure of *dNF1*

The human *NF1* gene sequence immediately suggested a function for the encoded protein as a GTPase-Activating Protein (GAP) for Ras (Xu et al. 1990). It also became apparent that, whereas neurofibromin shares a functional ~360 amino acid catalytic domain with RasGAPs from several species, it exhibited more extensive similarity over almost half of its length with the budding yeast *Inhibitor of Ras Activity-1* and -2 (IRA1/2) proteins (Ballester et al. 1990). These findings prompted us to test whether *NF1* orthologs existed in invertebrate species amenable to genetic analysis. No *NF1* ortholog exists in the nematode, *Caenorhabditis elegans*. However, the *dNF1* gene of the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, was found to predict a protein 55 % identical and 69 % similar to human neurofibromin over its entire 2,802 amino acid length (The et al. 1997). As shown in Fig. 34.1, the IRA-related central segment of *Drosophila* neurofibromin is most similar to the human protein, but conserved regions also exist both up- and downstream. Reflecting the smaller



Fig. 34.1 Diagram showing the degree of amino acid sequence identity between *Drosophila* and human neurofibromin. The alternate *gray/white boxes* below the graph indicate the size and location of 18 *dNF1* exons. GRD: GAP-related domain. The extent of the protein segment related to *S. cerevisiae* IRA1 and IRA2 proteins is also indicated

size of the *Drosophila* genome, the dNF1 gene is more compact than its human counterpart. Thus, while human NF1 consists of 60 exons distributed over ~283 kb of DNA and harbors three other genes in its introns, dNF1 comprises 18 exons (alternate white/gray boxes in Fig. 34.1) and spans only 13 kb. At two locations, dNF1 mRNA is alternatively spliced. The position of alternatively spliced dNF1 exon 14 corresponds closely to where exon 43 is alternatively spliced in man (Thomson and Wallace 2002). However, while human exon 43 has been suggested to provide a nuclear localization signal (Vandenbroucke et al. 2004), whether alternative splicing of the (not obviously related) 30 amino acids predicted by dNF1 exon 14 has functional consequences, remains to be determined. At the exact location of alternatively spliced human exon 48a, the penultimate dNF1 coding exon 17 splices to three different final exons, yielding mRNAs predicting proteins with three distinct C-termini. Again, whether these C-terminal isoforms are functionally different remains unknown. In human NF1, inclusion of alternatively spliced exon 23a in the GAP-related domain (GRD) reduces the catalytic activity of the encoded protein (Andersen et al. 1993). However, the location of exon 23a does not correspond to a splice site in dNF1. Finally, we note that disease-associated missense mutations disproportionally affect residues conserved in Drosophila NF1. For example, the approximately 1,200 amino acid segment upstream of the GRD shares 46 % overall sequence identity between man and fly, but 35 of 47 missense mutations in this region (74 %) affect residues conserved in Drosophila.

34.3 *dNF1* Mutants and Phenotypes

No classical dNF1 mutations existed. In their absence, we mobilized a flanking *P* transposon to generate two dNF1 disrupting de novo integrations. Both $dNF1^{P1}$ and $dNF1^{P2}$ alleles behave as molecular and genetic nulls. Given that expression of

oncogenic Ras in fly eyes causes severe defects (Bishop and Corces 1988), it seemed puzzling at first that homozygous loss of dNF1 did not cause any abnormal patterning. However, both mutants exhibited a 15–20 % reduction in linear dimensions during all phases of postembryonic development, and adult flies had a reduced tendency to fly away when released (The et al. 1997). Since these and other dNF1 phenotypes may be affected by the genetic background, and because the $dNF1^{P1}$ allele also deleted adjacent E(spl) complex genes, we made additional alleles by chemical mutagenesis of isogenized flies. Among three new alleles, $dNF1^{E4}$ is a C1045Y missense mutant, and $dNF1^{E1}$ and $dNF1^{E2}$ are Q370* and Q1062* nonsense mutations (Walker et al. 2006).

Beyond invoking Ras-independent functions, at least two other factors may explain why loss of *dNF1* and expression of constitutively active Ras do not cause similar defects. First, the Drosophila genome contains five RasGAP genes (Gap1, Vap, dNF1, CG1657, CG42684) and two plexin-related potential RasGAPs. The relatively subtle dNF1 phenotypes may also reflect the apparent restriction of dNF1 expression to the nervous system (Walker et al. 2006). While loss of dNF1 does not obviously affect viability, fertility, or patterning, several macroscopic, behavioral, and biochemical phenotypes have been identified (Fig. 34.2). Interestingly, the initially identified *dNF1* defects were not sensitive to genetic manipulations that affect Ras signaling strength. Rather, they were restored by increasing, and enhanced or mimicked by reducing, signaling through the cAMPdependent Protein Kinase A (PKA) pathway (Guo et al. 1997; The et al. 1997). The suggested link between dNF1 and adenylyl cyclase (AC)/PKA signaling has motivated much subsequent research, and while there is no doubt that loss of dNF1 somehow affects AC/PKA signaling, we and others have reached conflicting conclusions as to whether *dNF1* affects this pathway directly or indirectly. Before discussing what might explain this discrepancy, the following sections first briefly describe the *dNF1* phenotypes illustrated in Fig. 34.2.

34.3.1 Postembryonic Growth Deficiency

dNF1 embryos are of normal size, but mutants are 15–20 % smaller than controls during all subsequent larval, pupal, and adult stages. By measuring adult wing cell densities, this defect was shown to reflect a reduction in cell size rather than in cell number. However, smaller mutant eyes consist of fewer normal-sized ommatidia, indicating that different tissues respond differently to loss of dNF1. Mosaic analysis provided the first indication that reduced wing growth involves a non-cell-autonomous mechanism (The et al. 1997). This conclusion is further supported by evidence that dNF1 expression is largely restricted to the nervous system (Walker et al. 2006) and by findings that neuronal re-expression of either fly or human NF1 sufficed to restore dNF1 growth (Tong et al. 2002; Walker et al. 2006). The dNF1 growth defect was not suppressed by heterozygous loss of *Ras1* or the Ras exchange factor *Son-of-sevenless* and not enhanced in mutants carrying a gain-of-function *Raf*



Fig. 34.2 Larval and adult homozygous null dNFI phenotypes. dNFI larvae lack a neuropeptideinduced rectifying K⁺-current at the body wall neuromuscular junction. Larvae, pupae, and adults are 15–20 % smaller than isogenic wild-type controls, and larval and adult dNFI brain phospho-ERK levels are approximately threefold higher than in controls. Adult dNF1 flies lack normal day/ night rhythmic locomotor behavior and exhibit a reduced olfactory associative learning/short-term memory performance, as well as deficits in middle-term and long-term memory. The diagram depicting the adult brain shows the location of the mushroom bodies (MBs), considered the insect equivalent of the vertebrate hippocampus. MBs play essential roles in olfactory learning and preferentially express several AC/PKA pathway proteins. Although dNFI is expressed in MBs, whether its learning/memory-related functions involve cells within or outside of MBs remains controversial (Buchanan and Davis 2010; Gouzi et al. 2011)

allele. Rather, hypomorphic PKA catalytic subunit mutations phenocopied the dNF1 size defect and expression of a constitutively active PKA transgene throughout development partially restored dNF1 growth (The et al. 1997).

Organism size is a function of growth rate and duration. In insects, whose growth occurs mostly during larval development, the former is controlled by insulin-like peptides and the latter by a hormonal cascade that culminates in the timed release of the molting hormone, ecdysone. No conclusive evidence implicating dNF1 in either pathway has yet been found (Walker et al. 2006). Among the various dNF1 phenotypes, the growth defect is the only one readily amenable to genetic analysis. Another reason for focusing on this defect is that reduced growth is a common symptom of human NF1 and related RASopathies (Szudek et al. 2000).

34.3.2 Behavioral Deficits

dNF1 mutants exhibit subtle behavioral defects. When flies are released, ~15 % do not fly away, even after repeated prodding (The et al. 1997). When adult flies are tapped down in their vials, mutants take longer to climb back up (Tong et al. 2007). No anatomical basis for these defects has been identified; mutants responded normally to visual or olfactory cues (The et al. 1997) and display normal locomotor activity (Williams et al. 2001). Like the reduced growth phenotype, the abnormal climbing response has been attributed to an AC/PKA signaling defect (Tong et al. 2007).

34.3.3 Neuropeptide-Stimulated K⁺-Current Defect

Loss of dNF1 does not affect *sevenless*-mediated Ras signals controlling photoreceptor cell development or torso/Ras signaling in the embryo (The et al. 1997). Hence, to analyze whether dNF1 affects other Ras pathways, we collaborated with Dr. Yi Zhong, who had reported that a rectifying K⁺ current elicited in *Drosophila* larval body wall neuromuscular junction preparations by the mammalian neuropeptide PACAP38 required intact Ras and *rutabaga* AC pathways (Zhong 1995). Electrophysiological analysis showed that dNF1 mutants lack the PACAP38elicited current, which was restored by manipulating AC rather than Ras signaling (Guo et al. 1997). An unanswered question remains as to how mammalian PACAP38 stimulates a response in the absence of an obvious *Drosophila* PACAP receptor ortholog.

34.3.4 Circadian Rhythm Defect

The circadian clock controls various rhythmic behaviors, including daily changes in locomotor activity. When analyzed in constant darkness, *dNF1* mutants showed normal cycling of the *period* and *timeless* clock genes but were either completely

 $(NF1^{P1})$ or nearly completely $(NF1^{P2})$ arrhythmic. Normal rhythmic behavior was restored upon *elav*-GAL4-driven pan-neuronal expression of UAS-*dNF1*, but not by restricted expression in central brain lateral neurons, the site of the circadian clock. Antibody staining revealed a circadian oscillation in phospho-ERK near nerve terminals containing Pigment Dispersing Factor, a secreted output of clock cells, and *dNF1* arhythmicity was restored by mutations that attenuate Ras/ERK signaling. Thus, excess Ras/ERK signaling in *dNF1*-deficient neurons affects a circadian clock output pathway and circadian locomotor activity (Williams et al. 2001).

34.3.5 Associative Olfactory Learning and Short/Middle-Term Memory Defects

Drosophila is widely used to study molecular and cellular circuits responsible for learning and memory (Davis 2005). Because analysis of the neuromuscular signaling defect implicated dNF1 in a pathway involving the *rutabaga* AC, a well-known learning mutant, and because NF1 is associated with learning deficits in both man and mouse (Hyman et al. 2005; Silva et al. 1997), the Zhong group analyzed *dNF1* mutants in a Pavlovian associative learning assay that uses electrical shocks to teach adult flies to choose between two equally aversive odors. In this test, *NF1*^{P1} and *NF1*^{P2} mutants both exhibited a significantly reduced learning/short-term memory performance. Arguing that developmental defects do not explain this deficiency, adult expression of a hsp70-*dNF1* transgene suppressed the learning deficit. Further work showed that beyond short-term (3 min) memory, 3- and 8-h middle-term memory retention was also affected in flies lacking *dNF1*. Again implicating abnormal AC/PKA signaling, both learning and memory defects were suppressed by increased PKA expression (Guo et al. 2000).

34.3.6 Long-Term Memory Defect

Excess Ras/ERK signaling underlies the reduced performance of $NfI^{+/-}$ mice in the Morris water maze, which assesses spatial learning ability (Costa et al. 2002; Cui et al. 2008). By contrast, defective AC signaling appears responsible for the dNF1 olfactory learning deficit (Guo et al. 2000). Unlike the short-term olfactory learning assay, performance in the water maze requires protein synthesis-dependent long-term memory (LTM). Arguing that this difference may explain the different conclusions reached, dNF1 null mutants were found to have an LTM defect that, unlike the short-term learning and memory deficits, appeared Ras dependent (Ho et al. 2007). More recent work by others further supports roles for dNF1 in short-term (3 min), middle-term (3 h), and 24-h LTM, and specifically in memory acquisition but not decay (Buchanan and Davis 2010).

34.3.7 Mitochondrial Dysfunction

dNF1 mutants have reduced life spans and increased vulnerability to heat or oxidative stress, in association with reduced mitochondrial respiration and elevated reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. By contrast, dNF1 overexpression increased life span and improved reproductive fitness, increased resistance to heat and oxidative stress, accompanied by increased mitochondrial respiration and a 60 % reduction in ROS production. Like other dNF1 defects, these phenotypes were restored by pharmacological or genetic manipulations that increase cAMP/PKA signaling. Counteracting ROS with catalytic antioxidants also restored normal life span to homozygous dNF1 null mutants (Tong et al. 2007).

34.4 Does *dNF1* Affect AC/PKA Signaling Directly or Indirectly?

As summarized above, genetic evidence strongly supports a link between dNF1 and AC/PKA signaling. A functional link is further supported by biochemical evidence, including the observation that GTP γ S-stimulated but not basal AC activity is reduced in $dNF1^{P1}$ and $dNF1^{P2}$ brain membrane preparations (Guo et al. 2000). Moreover, GTP γ S-stimulated AC activity and cAMP levels were also found to be reduced in day E12.5 $Nf1^{-/-}$ murine brain extracts (Tong et al. 2002). Thus, while defective AC signaling appears to be an evolutionarily conserved, albeit recessive, NF1 phenotype, these results do not reveal how neurofibromin affects AC activity. Consistent with the view that non-cell-autonomous mechanisms may be involved, GTP γ S-stimulated AC activity was not only reduced in Drosophila brain but also in abdominal tissue (Guo et al. 2000), where dNF1 may not be expressed (Walker et al. 2006). Also compatible with a non-cell-autonomous mechanism, the dNF1/PKA-mediated effect on mitochondrial respiration was detected in experiments with mitochondria isolated from whole dNF1 mutant flies (Tong et al. 2007; Walker and Bernards 2007).

In experiments aimed at identifying how dNF1 affects AC/PKA signaling, we and others have reached conflicting conclusions. Thus, work by the Zhong group led them to postulate the existence of two dNF1-dependent AC pathways, beyond the canonical G α s-dependent pathway. The first NF1-requiring pathway, stimulated by serotonin and histamine, depended upon G α s, whereas a novel AC pathway involved the EGF receptor, NF1, and Ras, but not G α s. Using transgenic flies expressing human *NF1* deletion and point mutants, the authors reported that NF1-mediated Ras regulation was essential for the novel EGF receptor-stimulated AC pathway, but not for NF1/G α s-dependent neurotransmitter-stimulated AC activity. Moreover, providing the clearest evidence that NF1 may have Ras-independent functions, a C-terminal segment of human neurofibromin that did not include the GRD was capable of rescuing the AC-dependent organismal growth (Hannan et al. 2006) and learning defects (Ho et al. 2007).

Our conflicting conclusion that excess neuronal Ras/ERK activity is the proximal cause of dNF1 growth and learning phenotypes is based on the results of two studies. The first focused on the dNF1 growth defect. In structure/function studies similar to those performed with human NF1 transgenes (Hannan et al. 2006), we found that large dNF1 segments other than the GRD were dispensable for growth regulation, that several GAP deficient *dNF1* point mutants did not restore growth, and that expression of a truncated protein representing just the dNF1 GRD was sufficient to suppress the growth defect. Moreover, the growth detect was also suppressed by neuronal expression of a *Drosophila* p120RasGAP ortholog. To investigate why in earlier studies, loss of Rasl or other canonical Ras pathway components did not modify the dNF1 growth defect, we tested a comprehensive set of Ras pathway single and double mutants for their ability to restore dNF1 growth. As a molecular correlate, we also analyzed the ability of these mutants to restore the elevated larval and adult brain phospho-ERK level. None of the single mutants modified either phenotype, arguing that the tested Ras pathway components are either not involved, or not rate limiting in the pathway leading to ERK activation in *dNF1* brain. Supporting the latter conclusion, some double mutants that did restore normal ERK activity also rescued the growth deficiency at least partially (Walker et al. 2006). Thus, the proximal cause of the non-cell-autonomous dNF1 organismal growth defect is excessive neuronal Ras/ERK signaling (Walker et al. 2006).

34.4.1 Drosophila Alk/Ras Signaling May Be Responsible for dNF1 Growth and Learning Defects

Further evidence implicating abnormal Ras/ERK signaling as the primary cause of dNF1 defects comes from work that began with the observation that overexpression of either the Drosophila Alk (dAlk) receptor tyrosine kinase or of its activating ligand jelly belly (jeb) phenocopied both dNF1 growth and olfactory learning phenotypes. This result was not unexpected, since previous work had identified dAlk as an activator of Ras/ERK signaling in vivo (Loren et al. 2001). However, attenuating dAlk expression or activity, either through the use of dAlk mutant alleles, dAlk shRNA constructs, expression of a dominant-negative dAlk transgene, or through pharmacological inhibition, rescued *dNF1* growth, olfactory learning, and brain ERK over-activation phenotypes. In support of the hypothesis that dAlk is a rate-limiting activator of dNF1-regulated Ras/ERK signals that promote organismal growth and that limit olfactory learning, dNF1 and dAlk expression overlaps extensively in both larval and adult brain. Moreover, dAlk-GAL4 driven neuronal UAS-dNF1 expression sufficed to restore all tested defects (Gouzi et al. 2011). Finally, although a role for dAlk as a negative regulator of learning appears unusual, recent work indicates that murine Alk may have a similar role (Weiss et al. 2012).

Whether excess Alk/NF1/Ras signaling contributes to human NF1 defects is an obvious next question. Intriguingly, loss of *NF1* expression is common and

associated with a worse prognosis in neuroblastoma (Holzel et al. 2010), a significant proportion of which shows *ALK* amplification or gain-of-function *ALK* mutations [reviewed by Azarova et al. (2011)]. ALK overexpression and *NF1* loss have similarly been implicated in glioblastoma (Powers et al. 2002; Verhaak et al. 2010). Finally, mammalian ALK is activated, either directly (Stoica et al. 2001, 2002) or indirectly (Perez-Pinera et al. 2007) by two related secreted ligands, pleiotrophin and midkine. Suggesting the intriguing possibility that inappropriate ALK signaling may contribute to NF1 tumorigenesis, midkine is overexpressed in human NF1 and has been shown to act as a mitogen for NF1 tumor cells (Mashour et al. 2001, 2004).

34.5 Conclusions

Our results have led us to conclude that excess neuronal Ras/ERK signaling is the root cause of most, if not all, *dNF1* defects. However, several important questions remain. Perhaps the most vexing unresolved issue is how dNF1 affects AC/PKA signaling. One possible mechanism is that loss of *dNF1* precipitates one or more neuroendocrine or neurotransmitter signaling defects. Since hormones and neurotransmitters often signal through AC-coupled receptors, such defects might be restored by increased AC/PKA signaling. We note that in this model, defective AC/PKA signaling does not necessarily involve *dNF1*-requiring neurons. However, although no results to date formally exclude the possibility that dNF1 affects AC/ PKA signaling cell autonomously, our working hypothesis remains that cross talk between distinct neuronal populations may be involved in generating the various Ras/ERK- and AC/PKA-dependent dNF1 phenotypes. Ongoing genetic screens for dominant genetic modifiers of *dNF1* phenotypes, beyond *dAlk* and *jeb*, experiments to identify the site of action of modifiers, and studies to pinpoint the cells in which increased AC/PKA signaling restores dNF1 defects, may eventually reveal the neuronal circuits via which dNF1 exerts its various functions, and provide further clues to molecular and cellular pathways responsible for human NF1 symptoms.

References

- Andersen LB, Ballester R, Marchuk DA, Chang E, Gutmann DH, Saulino AM, Camonis J, Wigler M, Collins FS (1993) A conserved alternative splice in the von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis (*NF1*) gene produces two neurofibromin isoforms, both of which have GTPase-activating protein activity. Mol Cell Biol 13:487–495
- Azarova AM, Gautam G, George RE (2011) Emerging importance of ALK in neuroblastoma. Semin Cancer Biol 21:267–275
- Ballester R, Marchuk D, Boguski M, Saulino A, Letcher R, Wigler M, Collins F (1990) The *NF1* locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins. Cell 63:851–859
- Bernards A, Gusella JF (1994) The importance of genetic mosaicism in human disease. N Engl J Med 331:1447–1449

- Bishop JG 3rd, Corces VG (1988) Expression of an activated ras gene causes developmental abnormalities in transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genes Dev 2:567–577
- Buchanan ME, Davis RL (2010) A distinct set of *Drosophila* brain neurons required for neurofibromatosis type 1-dependent learning and memory. J Neurosci 30:10135–10143
- Costa RM, Federov NB, Kogan JH, Murphy GG, Stern J, Ohno M, Kucherlapati R, Jacks T, Silva AJ (2002) Mechanism for the learning deficits in a mouse model of neurofibromatosis type 1. Nature 415:526–530
- Cui Y, Costa RM, Murphy GG, Elgersma Y, Zhu Y, Gutmann DH, Parada LF, Mody I, Silva AJ (2008) Neurofibromin regulation of ERK signaling modulates GABA release and learning. Cell 135:549–560
- Davis RL (2005) Olfactory memory formation in *Drosophila*: from molecular to systems neuroscience. Annu Rev Neurosci 28:275–302
- Easton DF, Ponder MA, Huson SM, Ponder BA (1993) An analysis of variation in expression of neurofibromatosis (NF) type 1 (NF1): evidence for modifying genes. Am J Hum Genet 53:305–313
- Gouzi JY, Moressis A, Walker JA, Apostolopoulou AA, Palmer RH, Bernards A, Skoulakis EM (2011) The receptor tyrosine kinase Alk controls neurofibromin functions in *Drosophila* growth and learning. PLoS Genet 7:e1002281
- Guo HF, The I, Hannan F, Bernards A, Zhong Y (1997) Requirement of Drosophila NF1 for activation of adenylyl cyclase by PACAP38-like neuropeptides. Science 276:795–798
- Guo HF, Tong J, Hannan F, Luo L, Zhong Y (2000) A neurofibromatosis-1-regulated pathway is required for learning in *Drosophila*. Nature 403:895–898
- Hannan F, Ho I, Tong JJ, Zhu Y, Nurnberg P, Zhong Y (2006) Effect of neurofibromatosis type I mutations on a novel pathway for adenylyl cyclase activation requiring neurofibromin and Ras. Hum Mol Genet 15:1087–1098
- Ho IS, Hannan F, Guo HF, Hakker I, Zhong Y (2007) Distinct functional domains of neurofibromatosis type 1 regulate immediate versus long-term memory formation. J Neurosci 27:6852–6857
- Holzel M, Huang S, Koster J, Ora I, Lakeman A, Caron H, Nijkamp W, Xie J, Callens T, Asgharzadeh S et al (2010) NF1 is a tumor suppressor in neuroblastoma that determines retinoic acid response and disease outcome. Cell 142:218–229
- Hyman SL, Shores A, North KN (2005) The nature and frequency of cognitive deficits in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. Neurology 65:1037–1044
- Kayes LM, Burke W, Riccardi VM, Bennett R, Ehrlich P, Rubenstein A, Stephens K (1994) Deletions spanning the neurofibromatosis 1 gene: identification and phenotype of five patients. Am J Hum Genet 54:424–436
- Loren CE, Scully A, Grabbe C, Edeen PT, Thomas J, McKeown M, Hunter T, Palmer RH (2001) Identification and characterization of DAlk: a novel *Drosophila melanogaster* RTK which drives ERK activation in vivo. Genes Cells 6:531–544
- Mashour GA, Driever PH, Hartmann M, Drissel SN, Zhang T, Scharf B, Felderhoff-Muser U, Sakuma S, Friedrich RE, Martuza RL et al (2004) Circulating growth factor levels are associated with tumorigenesis in neurofibromatosis type 1. Clin Cancer Res 10:5677–5683
- Mashour GA, Ratner N, Khan GA, Wang HL, Martuza RL, Kurtz A (2001) The angiogenic factor midkine is aberrantly expressed in NF1-deficient Schwann cells and is a mitogen for neurofibroma-derived cells. Oncogene 20:97–105
- Pasmant E, Sabbagh A, Spurlock G, Laurendeau I, Grillo E, Hamel MJ, Martin L, Barbarot S, Leheup B, Rodriguez D et al (2010) NF1 microdeletions in neurofibromatosis type 1: from genotype to phenotype. Hum Mutat 31:E1506–E1518
- Perez-Pinera P, Zhang W, Chang Y, Vega JA, Deuel TF (2007) Anaplastic lymphoma kinase is activated through the pleiotrophin/receptor protein-tyrosine phosphatase beta/zeta signaling pathway: an alternative mechanism of receptor tyrosine kinase activation. J Biol Chem 282:28683–28690
- Powers C, Aigner A, Stoica GE, McDonnell K, Wellstein A (2002) Pleiotrophin signaling through anaplastic lymphoma kinase is rate-limiting for glioblastoma growth. J Biol Chem 277:14153–14158

- Rieley MB, Stevenson DA, Viskochil DH, Tinkle BT, Martin LJ, Schorry EK (2011) Variable expression of neurofibromatosis 1 in monozygotic twins. Am J Med Genet A 155A:478–485
- Roth TM, Petty EM, Barald KF (2008) The role of steroid hormones in the NF1 phenotype: focus on pregnancy. Am J Med Genet A 146A:1624–1633
- Silva AJ, Frankland PW, Marowitz Z, Friedman E, Laszlo GS, Cioffi D, Jacks T, Bourtchuladze R (1997) A mouse model for the learning and memory deficits associated with neurofibromatosis type I. Nat Genet 15:281–284
- Stoica GE, Kuo A, Aigner A, Sunitha I, Souttou B, Malerczyk C, Caughey DJ, Wen D, Karavanov A, Riegel AT et al (2001) Identification of anaplastic lymphoma kinase as a receptor for the growth factor pleiotrophin. J Biol Chem 276:16772–16779
- Stoica GE, Kuo A, Powers C, Bowden ET, Sale EB, Riegel AT, Wellstein A (2002) Midkine binds to anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) and acts as a growth factor for different cell types. J Biol Chem 277:35990–35998
- Szudek J, Birch P, Friedman JM (2000) Growth in North American white children with neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1). J Med Genet 37:933–938
- Szudek J, Joe H, Friedman JM (2002) Analysis of intrafamilial phenotypic variation in neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1). Genet Epidemiol 23:150–164
- The I, Hannigan GE, Cowley GS, Reginald S, Zhong Y, Gusella JF, Hariharan IK, Bernards A (1997) Rescue of a *Drosophila* NF1 mutant phenotype by protein kinase A. Science 276:791–794
- Thomson SA, Wallace MR (2002) RT-PCR splicing analysis of the NF1 open reading frame. Hum Genet 110:495–502
- Tong J, Hannan F, Zhu Y, Bernards A, Zhong Y (2002) Neurofibromin regulates G proteinstimulated adenylyl cyclase activity. Nat Neurosci 5:95–96
- Tong JJ, Schriner SE, McCleary D, Day BJ, Wallace DC (2007) Life extension through neurofibromin mitochondrial regulation and antioxidant therapy for neurofibromatosis-1 in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Nat Genet 39:476–485
- Upadhyaya M, Huson SM, Davies M, Thomas N, Chuzhanova N, Giovannini S, Evans DG, Howard E, Kerr B, Griffiths S et al (2007) An absence of cutaneous neurofibromas associated with a 3-bp inframe deletion in exon 17 of the *NF1* gene (c.2970-2972 delAAT): evidence of a clinically significant NF1 genotype-phenotype correlation. Am J Hum Genet 80:140–151
- Vandenbroucke I, Van Oostveldt P, Coene E, De Paepe A, Messiaen L (2004) Neurofibromin is actively transported to the nucleus. FEBS Lett 560:98–102
- Verhaak RG, Hoadley KA, Purdom E, Wang V, Qi Y, Wilkerson MD, Miller CR, Ding L, Golub T, Mesirov JP et al (2010) Integrated genomic analysis identifies clinically relevant subtypes of glioblastoma characterized by abnormalities in PDGFRA, IDH1, EGFR, and NF1. Cancer Cell 17:98–110
- Walker JA, Bernards A (2007) *Drosophila melanogaster* neurofibromatosis-1: ROS, not Ras? Nat Genet 39:443–445
- Walker JA, Tchoudakova AV, McKenney PT, Brill S, Wu D, Cowley GS, Hariharan IK, Bernards A (2006) Reduced growth of *Drosophila* neurofibromatosis 1 mutants reflects a non-cellautonomous requirement for GTPase-activating protein activity in larval neurons. Genes Dev 20:3311–3323
- Weiss JB, Xue C, Benice T, Xue L, Morris SW, Raber J (2012) Anaplastic lymphoma kinase and leukocyte tyrosine kinase: functions and genetic interactions in learning, memory and adult neurogenesis. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 100:566–574
- Williams JA, Su HS, Bernards A, Field J, Sehgal A (2001) A circadian output in *Drosophila* mediated by neurofibromatosis-1 and Ras/MAPK. Science 293:2251–2256
- Xu GF, O'Connell P, Viskochil D, Cawthon R, Robertson M, Culver M, Dunn D, Stevens J, Gesteland R, White R et al (1990) The neurofibromatosis type 1 gene encodes a protein related to GAP. Cell 62:599–608
- Zhong Y (1995) Mediation of PACAP-like neuropeptide transmission by coactivation of Ras/Raf and cAMP signal transduction pathways in *Drosophila*. Nature 375:588–592