# Numerical Front Propagation Using Kinematical Conservation Laws

K.R. Arun, M. Lukáčová-Medviďová, and P. Prasad

**Abstract** We use the newly formulated three-dimensional (3-D) kinematical conservation laws (KCL) to study the propagation of a nonlinear wavefront in a polytropic gas in a uniform state at rest. The 3-D KCL forms an under-determined system of six conservation laws with three involutive constraints, to which we add the energy conservation equation of a weakly nonlinear ray theory. The resulting system of seven conservation laws is only weakly hyperbolic and therefore poses a real challenge in the numerical approximation. We implement a central finite volume scheme with a constrained transport technique for the numerical solution of the system of conservation laws. The results of a numerical experiment is presented, which reveals some interesting geometrical features of a nonlinear wavefront.

**Keywords** kinematical conservation laws, kink, weakly nonlinear ray theory, wavefront, polytropic gas **MSC2010:** 35L60, 35L65, 35L67, 35L80

# 1 Introduction

A curved nonlinear wavefront or a shock front during its evolution develops certain curves of discontinuity, across which the normal to the front and the amplitude

K.R. Arun

P. Prasad

Institut für Geometrie und Praktische Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, Templergraben 55, D-52056 Aachen, Germany, e-mail: arun@igpm.rwth-aachen.de

M. Lukáčová-Medviďová

Institut für Mathematik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Staudingerweg 9, D-55099 Mainz, Germany, e-mail: lukacova@mathematik.uni-mainz.de

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore - 560012, India, e-mail: prasad@math.iisc.ernet.in

distribution on it are discontinuous. Some of these curves of discontinuity are called kinks, which are shocks in a corresponding ray coordinate system in which a physically realistic system of conservation laws has been formulated. The conservation form of the system of evolution equations of a surface is called kinematical conservation laws (KCL). The KCL is a pure geometrical result and it does not take into consideration any dynamics of the propagating front. This makes the KCL an incomplete system and additional closure equations derived by considering the dynamical conditions of the propagating front are required for applications. Prasad and collaborators have used the KCL in two dimensions along with some closure equations derived on physical considerations to solve several interesting problems, see the review paper [6] and the references therein. The KCL for a surface evolving in three space dimensions, called 3-D KCL, is a system of six conservation laws with three divergence-free type stationary constraints, all three together are termed as 'geometric solenoidal constraint', see [3]. The analysis of the 3-D KCL system, with the closure equation from a weakly nonlinear ray theory (WNLRT), was done in [3] and it has been shown that the resulting system of conservation laws, the socalled conservation laws of 3-D WNLRT give rise to a weakly hyperbolic system; in the sense that the system has zero as a repeated eigenvalue with multiplicity five, but the associated eigenspace is only four-dimensional.

Despite the 3-D WNLRT being a weakly hyperbolic system, in [1, 2] we have been able to develop efficient numerical approximations for it using simple, but robust central schemes. It is well known that the solution to the Cauchy problem for a weakly hyperbolic system (with deficiency in dimension of the eigenspace by one) typically contains a mode, the so-called 'Jordan mode', which grows linearly in time. However, it has been proved in [1] that when the geometric solenoidal constraint is satisfied initially, the solution to the Cauchy problem for linearised 3-D WNLRT at any time does not exhibit the Jordan mode. Motivated by this, a constrained transport technique has been employed to enforce the geometric solenoidal constraint in the numerical solution of 3-D WNLRT, see [1] for more details.

The aim of the present paper is to give a brief overview of the recent results obtained with 3-D WNLRT and to show its efficacy to model propagating wavefronts. The layout of the paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we introduce the governing equations of 3-D WNLRT. The numerical approximation and the constrained transport strategy are outlined in Sect.3. In Sect.4 we present the results of a numerical experiment, showing the efficiency and robustness of the present method. Finally, we close this article with some concluding remarks in Sect.5.

## 2 Governing equations

Consider a one parameter family of surfaces in  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ -space such that it represents the successive positions of a moving surface  $\Omega_t$  as time varies. Associated with the family, we have a ray velocity  $\chi$  at any point  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  on the surface  $\Omega_t$ . We consider only the isotropic evolution of  $\Omega_t$  so that we take  $\chi$  to be in the

direction of the unit normal **n** to  $\Omega_t$ , i.e.  $\chi = m\mathbf{n}$ , where *m* is the normal velocity of propagation of  $\Omega_t$ . Hence, the evolution of  $\Omega_t$  is governed by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = m\mathbf{n}.\tag{1}$$

We introduce a ray coordinate system  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)$  such that for t = const, we get  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  as the surface coordinates on  $\Omega_t$ . Further,  $\xi_1 = \text{const}, \xi_2 = \text{const}$  represent the rays, a two parameter family of curves orthogonal to  $\Omega_t$ . Let **u** and **v** be respectively unit tangent vectors to the curves  $\xi_2 = \text{const}$  and  $\xi_1 = \text{const}$  on  $\Omega_t$ . Let **n** be a unit normal to  $\Omega_t$  given by

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}\|} \tag{2}$$

so that  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n})$  forms a right handed system. Let an element of distance along a curve ( $\xi_2 = \text{const}, t = \text{const}$ ) be  $g_1 d\xi_1$ . Analogously, denote by  $g_2 d\xi_2$ , the element of distance along a curve ( $\xi_1 = \text{const}, t = \text{const}$ ). The element of distance along a ray ( $\xi_1 = \text{const}, \xi_2 = \text{const}$ ) is mdt. Based on geometrical considerations we can derive the 3-D KCL [3],

$$(g_1\mathbf{u})_t - (m\mathbf{n})_{\xi_1} = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$(g_2 \mathbf{v})_t - (m\mathbf{n})_{\xi_2} = 0 \tag{4}$$

subject to the condition

$$(g_1 \mathbf{u})_{\xi_2} - (g_2 \mathbf{v})_{\xi_1} = 0.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

Note that the constraint (5) is an involution, i.e. if it is satisfied at time t = 0, then the equations (3)-(4) imply that it is satisfied for every time. Note that each of the scalar equations in (5) can be written as  $div(\mathfrak{B}_k) = 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{B}_k := (-g_2v_k, g_1u_k), k = 1, 2, 3$ . Therefore, the vector constraint (5) has been designated as geometric solenoidal constraint. The 3-D KCL (3)-(4), being a system of six evolution equations in seven unknowns  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, m, g_1$  and  $g_2$ , is underdetermined. We use the closure equation by considering the energy propagation along the rays of a WNLRT, c.f. [6]. The energy transport equation of WNLRT for a polytropic gas initially at rest and in uniform state can be written in a conservation form [3]

$$\left((m-1)^2 e^{2(m-1)} g_1 g_2 \sin \chi\right)_t = 0, \tag{6}$$

where  $\chi$  is the angle between the vectors **u** and **v**. The system of equations (3)-(4) and (6), hereafter designated as the conservation laws of 3-D WNLRT, is the complete set of equations describing the evolution of the nonlinear wavefront  $\Omega_t$ .

*Remark 1.* It has been proved in [3] that the eigenvalues of 3-D WNLRT are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2(=-\lambda_1), \lambda_3 = \cdots = \lambda_7 = 0$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is given by

$$\lambda_1 = \left\{ \frac{m-1}{2\sin^2 \chi} \left( \frac{e_1^2}{g_1^2} - \frac{2e_1e_2}{g_1g_2} \cos \chi + \frac{e_2^2}{g_2^2} \right) \right\}^{1/2}.$$
 (7)

Here,  $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $e_1^2 + e_2^2 = 1$ . Further, there are only four independent eigenvectors for the eigenvalue zero. Note that  $\lambda_1$  is real for m > 1 and purely imaginary for m < 1. Hence, the 3-D WNLRT forms a weakly hyperbolic system when m > 1. In this article we consider only the case when m > 1.

#### **3** Numerical approximation

In this section we present a numerical approximation of the conservation laws of 3-D WNLRT to study evolution of a weakly nonlinear wavefront  $\Omega_t$  and formation and propagation of kink curves on it. Note that the system of conservation laws of 3-D WNLRT can be recast in the usual divergence form

$$W_t + F_1(W)_{\xi_1} + F_2(W)_{\xi_2} = 0, (8)$$

where the vector of conserved variables W and the flux-vectors  $F_1(W)$  and  $F_2(W)$  in the  $\xi_1$ - and  $\xi_2$ -directions respectively, are given by

$$W = (g_1 \mathbf{u}, g_2 \mathbf{v}, (m-1)^2 e^{2(m-1)} g_1 g_2 \sin \chi)^T,$$
  

$$F_1(W) = (m\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{0}, 0)^T, \ F_2(W) = (\mathbf{0}, m\mathbf{n}, 0)^T.$$
(9)

In what follows we briefly summarise the central finite volume scheme for (8), first employed in [1].

- 1. The cell integral averages  $\overline{W}_{i,j}$  of the conservative variable W are used in the discretisation of the system of conservation laws (8).
- 2. A second order TVD Runge-Kutta method [8] is used for time integration. The time-step is chosen to be inversely proportional to the maximum of the nonzero eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ , c.f. (7), taken over the entire computational domain.
- 3. A nonlinear iterative solver is employed to recover the values of  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, g_1, g_2$  and *m* from the computed values of *W*.
- 4. A second order MUSCL reconstruction with a central weighted essentially nonoscillatory (CWENO) limiter [4] is used to reconstruct the variables at the cell interfaces.
- 5. The Kurganov-Tadmor high resolution flux [5] is used as the numerical flux at a cell interface, for example at a right hand vertical edge

$$\mathscr{F}_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}\left(W_{i,j}^{R}, W_{i+1,j}^{L}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(F_{1}\left(W_{i+1,j}^{L}\right) + F_{1}\left(W_{i,j}^{R}\right)\right) - \frac{a_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}}{2}\left(W_{i+1,j}^{L} - W_{i,j}^{R}\right),\tag{10}$$

where  $W_{i,j}^{L(R)}$  denote respectively the left and right interpolated states. Here,  $a_{i+1/2,j}$  is the maximal wave-speed, which can be computed with the help of the maximum of eigenvalues, c.f. [5]. The numerical flux at a horizontal edge can be computed in an analogous manner.

6. In order that the numerical solution satisfy a discrete version of the geometric solenoidal constraint (5), we use a constrained transport algorithm [7]. We employ three potentials  $\mathbb{A}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_3$ , corresponding to the three components of the vectors  $g_1\mathbf{u}$  and  $g_2\mathbf{v}$ . Note that the geometric solenoidal constraint (5) implies the conditions

$$g_1 u_k = \mathbb{A}_{k\xi_1}, \ g_2 v_k = \mathbb{A}_{k\xi_2}, \ k = 1, 2, 3.$$
 (11)

The use of (11) in the 3-D KCL system (3)-(5) immediately yields the evolution equations

$$\mathbb{A}_{kt} - mn_k = 0. \tag{12}$$

We numerically solve (12) to get the updated values of the potentials  $\mathbb{A}_k$ . The resulting values of  $\mathbb{A}_k$  are used to suitably discretise (11) to yield the corrected values of  $g_1$ **u** and  $g_2$ **v**. It is these updated values which satisfy a discrete version of (5), see [1] for more details.

At any time *t*, we approximate the wavefront  $\Omega_t$  by a discrete set of points  $\mathbf{x}_{i,j}(t) := \mathbf{x}(\xi_{1i}, \xi_{2j}, t)$ . To get the successive positions of  $\Omega_t$ , we numerically solve the system of ODEs (1) in the discretised form  $d\mathbf{x}_{i,j}(t)/dt = m_{i,j}(t)\mathbf{n}_{i,j}(t)$  where  $m_{i,j}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{n}_{i,j}(t)$  are the corresponding values of *m* and **n** obtained from  $\overline{W}_{i,j}(t)$ .

In order to start the algorithm, the conserved variable W has to be initialised at each mesh point. Here, some care has to be taken, so that (11) is satisfied by the initial values. Let us assume that the initial wavefront  $\Omega_0$  is given a parametric form  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ , with some appropriate choice of surface coordinates  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ . The initial values for  $g_1\mathbf{u}$  and  $g_2\mathbf{v}$  and the potentials  $\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_3$  can be chosen to be

$$g_1 \mathbf{u}(\xi_1, \xi_2, 0) = \mathbf{x}_{0\xi_1}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \ g_2 \mathbf{v}(\xi_1, \xi_2, 0) = \mathbf{x}_{0\xi_2}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \tag{13}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_k(\xi_1, \xi_2, 0) = x_k(\xi_1, \xi_2), \ k = 1, 2, 3.$$
(14)

Note that (5) and (11) are satisfied by the above choice of initial values. In the numerical test problem considered here, the normal velocity m on  $\Omega_0$  has been assigned a constant value  $m_0 = 1.2$ . For more details of the numerical scheme and its implementation, we refer the reader to [1].

#### 4 Numerical test problem

We choose initial wavefront  $\Omega_0$  in such a way that it is not axisymmetric. The front  $\Omega_0$  has a single smooth dip. The initial shape of the wavefront is given by

$$\Omega_0: x_3 = \frac{-\kappa}{1 + \frac{x_1^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{x_2^2}{\beta^2}},\tag{15}$$

where the parameter values are set to be  $\kappa = 1/2$ ,  $\alpha = 3/2$ ,  $\beta = 3$ . The ray coordinates  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  are chosen initially as  $\xi_1 = x_1$  and  $\xi_2 = x_2$ . The computational domain  $[-20, 20] \times [-20, 20]$  is divided into  $401 \times 401$  mesh points. The simulations are done up to t = 2.0, 6.0, 10.0. We have set non-reflecting boundary conditions for all the variables.



Fig. 1 The successive positions of the nonlinear wavefront  $\Omega_t$  with an initial smooth dip which is not axisymmetric

In Fig. 1 we plot the initial wavefront  $\Omega_0$  and the successive positions of the wavefront  $\Omega_t$  at times t = 2.0, 6.0, 10.0. It can be seen that the wavefront has moved up in the  $x_3$ -direction and the dip has spread over a larger area in  $x_1$ - and  $x_2$ -directions. The lower part of the front moves up leading to a change in shape of the initial front  $\Omega_0$ . It is very interesting to note that two dips appear in the central part of the wavefront, which are clearly visible at t = 6.0 and t = 10.0. These two dips are separated by an elevation almost like a wall parallel to the  $x_2$ -axis.

To explain the results of convergence of the rays we give in Fig. 2 the slices of the wavefront in  $x_2 = 0$  section and  $x_1 = 0$  section from time t = 0.0 to t = 10.0. Due to the particular choice of the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in the initial data (15), the

section of the front  $\Omega_0$  in  $x_2 = 0$  plane has a smaller radius of curvature than that of the section in  $x_1 = 0$  plane. This results in a stronger convergence of the rays in  $x_2 = 0$  plane compared to those in  $x_1 = 0$  plane as evident from Fig. 2. In the diagram on the top in Fig. 2, we clearly note a pair of kinks at times t = 3.0 onwards in the  $x_2 = 0$  section. However, there are no kinks in the bottom diagram in Fig. 2 in  $x_1 = 0$  section.

We give now the plots of the normal velocity m in  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  plane along  $\xi_1$ - and  $\xi_2$ -directions in Fig. 3. It is observed that m has two shocks in the  $\xi_1$ -direction which correspond to the two kinks in the  $x_1$ -direction. We have also plotted the numerical values of the divergence of  $\mathfrak{B}_1$  at time t = 10.0 in Fig. 4. It is evident that the



Fig. 2 The sections of the nonlinear wavefront at times t = 0.0, ..., 10.0 with a time step 0.5. On the top: in  $x_2 = 0$  plane. Bottom: in  $x_1 = 0$  plane



Fig. 3 The time evolution of the normal velocity m. (a): along  $\xi_1$ -direction in the section  $\xi_2 = 0$ . (b): along  $\xi_2$ -direction in the section  $\xi_1 = 0$ 



Fig. 4 The divergence of  $\mathfrak{B}_1$  at t = 10.0. The error is of the order of  $10^{-15}$ 

geometric solenoidal condition is satisfied with an error of  $10^{-15}$ . The divergences of  $\mathfrak{B}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_3$  also show the same trend.

## 5 Concluding remarks

An efficient central finite volume scheme for the weakly hyperbolic system of conservation laws of 3-D WNLRT has been described and tested. Reconstruction is achieved component-wise and a simple central flux is employed in the numerical flux evaluation. Based on our numerical experiment and the ones reported in [1], it can be concluded that the solenoidal condition is preserved up to machine accuracy if the present finite volume scheme with a constrained transport technique is used. Moreover, none of the solution components exhibits any linearly growing Jordan mode.

Acknowledgements K. R. A. wishes to thank the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a postdoctoral fellowship. P. P. is supported by the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under Raja-Ramanna Fellowship Scheme.

# References

- Arun, K.R.: A numerical scheme for three-dimensional front propagation and control of Jordan mode. Tech. rep., Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore (2010)
- Arun, K.R., Lukáčová-Medviďová, M., Prasad, P., Raghurama Rao, S.V.: An application of 3-D kinematical conservation laws: propagation of a three dimensional wavefront. SIAM. J. Appl. Math. 70, 2604–2626 (2010)

- 3. Arun, K.R., Prasad, P.: 3-D kinematical conservation laws (KCL): evolution of a surface in ℝ<sup>3</sup>-in particular propagation of a nonlinear wavefront. Wave Motion **46**, 293–311 (2009)
- Jiang, G.S., Shu, C.W.: Efficient implementation of weighted ENO schemes. J. Comput. Phys. 126, 202–228 (1996)
- Kurganov, A., Tadmor, E.: New high-resolution central schemes for nonlinear conservation laws and convection-diffusion equations. J. Comput. Phys. 160, 241–282 (2000)
- 6. Prasad, P.: Ray theories for hyperbolic waves, kinematical conservation laws (KCL) and applications. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 38, 467–490 (2007)
- Ryu, D., Miniati, F., Jones, T.W., Frank, A.: A divergence-free upwind code for multidimensional magnetohydrodynamic flow. Astrophys. J. 509, 244–255 (1998)
- Shu, C.W.: Total-variation-diminishing time discretizations. SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput. 9, 1073–1084 (1988)

The paper is in final form and no similar paper has been or is being submitted elsewhere.