Chapter 2 Soil Health Indicators Under Climate Change: A Review of Current Knowledge

Diane E. Allen, Bhupinder Pal Singh, and Ram C. Dalal

2.1 Soil Health Indicators, Soil Health and Climate Change: Background

Soil health indicators are a composite set of measurable physical, chemical and biological attributes which relate to functional soil processes and can be used to evaluate soil health status, as affected by management and climate change drivers. Defining soil health in relation to climate change should consider the impacts of a range of predicted global change drivers such as rising atmospheric carbon dioxide $(CO₂)$ levels, elevated temperature, altered precipitation (rainfall) and atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition, on soil chemical, physical and biological functions (French et al. [2009](#page-17-0)). Many studies have progressed our understanding of relationships between particular soil properties and climate change drivers, e.g. responses to temperature, CO_2 or rainfall; however, Wixon and Balser [\(2009](#page-20-0)) note that "a comprehensive explanation of the factors at the heart of the issue is currently lacking". Determination of how predicted changes in climate relate to soil health will thus depend on our capacity to clearly define soil health properties and their relationship with specific soil functions, including complexity associated with interactive effects of climate change.

Note that both the terms "soil health" and "soil quality" are used synonymously in this chapter, although it is realised that the former term gives greater emphasis on soil biodiversity and ecological functions that make soil a dynamic living resource with capacity for self-organisation.

D.E. Allen (\boxtimes) • R.C. Dalal

B.P. Singh

Department of Environment and Resource Management, Environment and Resource Sciences, 41 Boggo Road, Dutton Park, QLD 4102, Australia

e-mail: Diane.Allen@derm.qld.gov.au

Forest Science Centre, NSW Department of Primary Industries, PO Box 100, Beecroft, NSW 2119, Australia

Indicators, calculated values or estimated statistics relative to a threshold level are being increasingly used across biological, environmental, economical, social, institutional and political disciplines to assess current condition or trend of soil health (Dalal et al. [2003a,](#page-17-0) [b](#page-17-0); Riley [2001c\)](#page-19-0). Indicators may be used as an indirect measure of soil function, serving to assess soil quality or health and its direction of change with time, by linking functional relationships among measurable attributes and monitoring for sustainable land management, including environmental impacts (Dalal et al. [2003a,](#page-17-0) [b](#page-17-0); Doran [2002](#page-17-0); Doran and Zeiss [2000\)](#page-17-0).

Kinyangi [\(2007](#page-18-0)) proposes that soil health assessment involves an evaluation process consisting of a series of actions: (1) selection of soil health indicators, (2) determination of a minimum data set, (3) development of an interpretation scheme of indices, and (4) on-farm assessment and validation. While the use of integrative soil health tests is increasing (e.g. Idowu et al. [2009](#page-18-0)), limited information exists to evaluate the applicability of soil health indicators for monitoring soil functions within the context of climate change.

A range of frameworks identifying soil health indicators to measure change and implement strategies to adapt to climate change exist (Dalal et al. [1999](#page-17-0); Dalal and Moloney [2000;](#page-17-0) Doran [2002;](#page-17-0) Kibblewhite et al. [2008](#page-18-0); Lal [1999;](#page-18-0) Nuttall [2007;](#page-18-0) Schjønning et al. [2004](#page-19-0); Stenberg [1999\)](#page-20-0). Potential linkages between soil health indicators, land management and climate change from these reviews are summarised in Fig. 2.1 . Elevated $CO₂$ concentration, increasing temperature, atmospheric N deposition and changes in total and seasonal distribution of rainfall and extreme events such as droughts and floods will impact on soil biological processes, C and N cycling, and consequently on soil structure and erosion events, nutrient availability and plant diseases, and hence on ecosystem functionality and agricultural productivity.

Major soil physical, chemical and biological properties which may indicate the status of soil health in relation to climate change impacts are listed in Table [2.1](#page-3-0) and outlined below.

2.2 Soil Physical Properties, Soil Health and Climate Change

Soil physical properties provide information related to water and air movement through soil, as well as conditions affecting germination, root growth and erosion processes. Many soil physical properties thus form the foundation of other chemical and biological processes, which may be further governed by climate, landscape position and land use. A range of soil physical properties are highlighted as potential soil health indicators, and key soil physical indicators in relation to climate change include soil structure, water infiltration, bulk density, rooting depth, and soil surface cover, which are discussed below.

= *f* (scored selective 'location specific' indicators)

Fig. 2.1 Schematic representation of the potential links between climate change, land use and management change, and soil health indicators (modified from Dalal and Moloney [2000](#page-17-0); French et al. [2009;](#page-17-0) Karlen et al. [2003](#page-18-0); Nuttall [2007](#page-18-0)), including indexing of location-specific indicators to assess soil health

2.2.1 Soil Structure (Aggregate Stability, Porosity)

Aggregate stability, the resistance of soil aggregates to external energy such as high intensity rainfall and cultivation, is determined by soil structure, as well as a range

Biological

of chemical and biological properties and management practices (Dalal and Moloney [2000;](#page-17-0) Moebius et al. [2007](#page-18-0)). It is considered a useful soil health indicator since it is involved in maintaining important ecosystem functions in soil including organic carbon (C) accumulation, infiltration capacity, movement and storage of water, and root and microbial community activity; it can also be used to measure soil resistance to erosion and management changes (Arias et al. [2005;](#page-16-0) Blanco-Canqui and Lal [2004;](#page-16-0) Lal [1999](#page-18-0); Moebius et al. [2007;](#page-18-0) Rimal and Lal [2009](#page-19-0); Weil and Magdoff [2004;](#page-20-0) see Chap. 3). Because of its association with the storage of soil organic carbon (SOC) and water, its measurement can be useful to guide climate adaptation strategies, especially in areas that are likely to experience high and intense rainfall and consequently increased erosion events. Since aggregate stability is measured in many different ways, standardised procedures are required within a soil health monitoring framework under climate change scenarios (Dalal and Moloney [2000;](#page-17-0) Salvador Sanchis et al. [2008](#page-19-0)).

Porosity, a measure of the void spaces in a material as a fraction (volume of voids to that of the total volume), and pore size distribution provide a direct, quantitative estimate of the ability of a soil to store root-zone water and air necessary for plant growth (Reynolds et al. [2002](#page-19-0)). Pore characteristics are strongly linked to soil physical quality; bulk density and macroporosity are functions of pore volume, while soil porosity and water release characteristics directly influence a range of soil physical indices including soil aeration capacity, plant available water capacity and relative field capacity (Reynolds et al. [2009](#page-19-0)). Recent studies to model soil water balance and ecosystem conditions under present-day and projected climatic scenarios use porosity as a model parameter (Porporato et al. [2005\)](#page-19-0). Since root development and soil enzyme activities are closely related to soil porosity and pore size distribution (Piglai and De Nobili [1993\)](#page-19-0) and because future climate change scenarios (e.g. elevated $CO₂$ and temperature, and variable and extreme rainfall events) may alter root development and soil biological activities (see Chaps. 7 and 8), soil porosity and pore size distribution and consequently soil functions are likely to be affected in unexpected directions; this aspect needs attention in future studies on the relationship of soil health and climate change. Moreover, pore size distribution and aeration status, besides other factors, are the key factors in governing methane (CH_4) fluxes, both CH_4 emission and uptake (Dalal et al. [2008](#page-17-0)), and nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from soil (Dalal et al. [2003a](#page-17-0), [b](#page-17-0)). However, data on relationships of greenhouse gas emissions and soil porosity and pore size distribution in response to climate change are limited and hence urgently required to guide development of climate adaptive strategies.

2.2.2 Infiltration, Soil Available Water and Distribution

Soil water infiltration, the rate at which water enters the soil surface and moves through soil depth, is gaining increasing interest within soil water modelling, as field-based measurements continue to improve (Dalal and Moloney [2000;](#page-17-0) Joel and Messing [2001\)](#page-18-0). Since infiltration rate may change significantly with soil use, management and time, it has been included as an indicator of soil health for assessments of land use change impacts (Arias et al. [2005;](#page-16-0) O'Farrell et al. [2010\)](#page-18-0).

The availability of water for plant growth and important soil processes is governed by a range of soil properties including porosity, field capacity, lower limit of plant available water (thus excluding osmotic potential) and hence plant available water capacity, macropore flow and texture (Jarvis [2007](#page-18-0); Reynolds et al. [2002](#page-19-0)). Plant available water capacity has been used as part of integrative soil health tests to assess management impacts, although variation in methodology may complicate the interpretation of results (Idowu et al. [2009\)](#page-18-0). Furthermore, the soil available water and distribution may respond rapidly to climate change, especially to variable and high intensity rainfall or drought events, and thus management strategies, such as the planting of cover crops, conservation tillage and incorporation of organic matter, that maintain or even enhance water infiltration and available water in soil may help in mitigating the impacts of severe rainfall and drought events or severe erosion events (Lal [1995](#page-18-0); Salvador Sanchis et al. [2008](#page-19-0)).

2.2.3 Bulk Density

Bulk density is routinely assessed in agricultural systems to characterise the state of soil compactness in response to land use and management (Håkansson and Lipiec [2000\)](#page-17-0). It is considered as a useful indicator for the assessment of soil health with respect to soil functions such as aeration and infiltration (e.g. Dalal and Moloney [2000;](#page-17-0) Pattison et al. [2008](#page-19-0); Reynolds et al. [2009](#page-19-0)). Since bulk density is in general negatively correlated with soil organic matter (SOM) or SOC content (Weil and Magdoff [2004](#page-20-0)), loss of organic C from increased decomposition due to elevated temperatures (Davidson and Janssens [2006](#page-17-0)) may lead to increase in bulk density and hence making soil more prone to compaction via land management activities and climate change stresses, for example, from variable and high intensity rainfall and drought events (Birkás et al. [2009](#page-16-0)).

2.2.4 Rooting Depth

Rooting depth is considered an important indicator of soil health, since changes in this property is likely to affect plant available water capacity, subsoil salinity, SOC content or other properties to indicate physicochemical constraints in the soil profile (Arias et al. [2005;](#page-16-0) Birka´s et al. [2009;](#page-16-0) Dalal and Moloney [2000\)](#page-17-0). Under prolonged drought, the impact of subsoil constraints such as salinity and high chloride concentrations (Dang et al. [2008](#page-17-0); Rengasamy [2010\)](#page-19-0) is likely to be greater on plant available water and hence plant productivity. Also, Birkás et al. [\(2008](#page-16-0)) included rooting depth as a soil health parameter for monitoring of soil condition and plant growth under extreme drought and variable rainfall events to indicate the potential for adaptability and mitigation of climate stresses through alteration of rooting depth.

2.2.5 Soil Surface Cover

Soil surface cover (e.g. a layer of crop residues or biological soil crust) provides a range of important ecological functions including protection of soil surface by dissipating raindrop impact energy, soil stabilisation, reduction in erodible surface area, water and nutrient retention, C fixation and, in some instances, N fixation and support of native seed germination (Box and Bruce [1996](#page-16-0); see Chap. 11). Dalal and Moloney [\(2000\)](#page-17-0) note that soil surface cover provides an integrated indicator of soil physical management, organic matter input and effects associated with erosion and runoff, although correct timing of monitoring of soil surface cover change is required to evaluate impacts of management and climate change on soil health.

Soil structural conditions such as soil crust and soil seal formation, primarily related to sodicity, are also indicators that may be used to characterise soil health under climate change. The formation of soil crusts and seals can affect a range of soil processes, including water infiltration, oxygen diffusion, runoff, surface water evaporation and wind erosion. A range of methods exist to measure their thickness and strength, although research effort is needed to relate these properties with soil processes (Assouline [2004](#page-16-0)) affecting ecosystem functions and plant productivity, as well as to evaluate their role in mitigating adverse climate change impacts, thereby assisting in climate change adaptation.

2.3 Soil Chemical Properties, Soil Health and Climate Change

2.3.1 pH

Soil pH, a function of parent material, time of weathering, vegetation and climate, is considered as one of the dominant chemical indicators of soil health, identifying trends in change for a range of soil biological and chemical functions including acidification, salinisation, crop performance, nutrient availability and cycling and biological activity (Dalal and Moloney [2000](#page-17-0); see Chap. 4). Soil pH has thus been included in integrative soil health tests to assess impacts of land use change and agricultural practices (Gil et al. [2009;](#page-17-0) Idowu et al. [2009;](#page-18-0) Pattison et al. [2008](#page-19-0); Schindelbeck et al. [2008](#page-19-0)). While Brinkman and Sombroek [\(1999](#page-16-0)) suggested that most soils would not be subjected to rapid pH changes resulting from drivers of climate change such as elevated temperatures, $CO₂$ fertilisation, variable precipitation and atmospheric N deposition (DeVries and Breeuwsma [1987;](#page-17-0) McCarthy et al. [2001\)](#page-18-0), it is likely, however, that these drivers of climate change will affect organic matter status, C and nutrient cycling, plant available water and hence plant productivity, which in turn will affect soil pH (Reth et al. [2005,](#page-19-0) see Chap. 4).

2.3.2 Electrical Conductivity

Soil electrical conductivity (EC), a measure of salt concentration, is considered an easily measured, reliable indicator of soil quality/health (Arnold et al. [2005\)](#page-16-0). It can inform trends in salinity, crop performance, nutrient cycling (particularly nitrate) and biological activity and, along with pH, can act as a surrogate measure of soil structural decline especially in sodic soils (Arnold et al. [2005](#page-16-0); Dalal and Moloney [2000\)](#page-17-0). Electrical conductivity has been used as a chemical indicator to inform soil biological quality in response to crop management practices (Gil et al. [2009\)](#page-17-0). Using elevation gradient as a surrogate for increasing temperatures and decreasing precipitation under climate change scenarios, Smith et al. [\(2002](#page-19-0)) found that EC decreased and pH increased in a semi-arid environment. Pariente ([2001\)](#page-19-0) examined the dynamics of soluble salts concentration in soils from four climatic regions (Mediterranean, semi-arid, mildly arid and arid) and found a non-linear relationship between the soluble salts content and rainfall, with sites that received <200 mm rainfall contained significantly high soluble contents and vice versa. Clearly, there is a need for comprehensive assessment of the influence of drivers of climate change on soil EC as an important soil health indicator in different ecosystems.

2.3.3 Sorption Capacity and Cation Exchange Capacity

Sorption capacity and cation exchange capacity (CEC) are considered important determinants of soil chemical quality, particularly the retention of major nutrient cations Ca, Mg and K, and immobilisation of potentially toxic cations Al and Mn; these properties can thus be useful indicators of soil health, informing of a soil's capacity to absorb nutrients, as well as pesticides and chemicals (Dalal and Moloney [2000;](#page-17-0) Ross et al. [2008](#page-19-0)). This may qualify CEC as a useful soil health indicator that is also required to be monitored in response to climate change. Since CEC of coarse-textured soils and low-activity clay soils is attributed to that of SOM (Weil and Magdoff [2004\)](#page-20-0), the increasing decomposition and loss of SOM due to elevated temperatures (Davidson and Janssens [2006\)](#page-17-0) may lead to the loss of CEC of these soils. Low CEC of soil may result in increased leaching of base cations in response to high and intense rainfall events, thus transporting alkalinity from soil to waterways (see Chap. 4).

2.3.4 Plant Available Nutrients

In their identification of basic soil properties to meet requirements of indicators for screening soil quality/health, Doran et al. ([1999\)](#page-17-0) list extractable nutrients N, phosphorus, potassium, since "they provide information on plant available nutrients and potential loss from soil...providing indication of productivity and environment quality". Measurement of extractable nutrients may provide indication of a soil's capacity to support plant growth; conversely, it may identify critical or threshold values for environmental hazard assessment (Dalal and Moloney [2000\)](#page-17-0). Nutrient cycling, especially N, is intimately linked with soil organic C cycling (Weil and Magdoff [2004](#page-20-0)), and hence drivers of climate change such as elevated temperatures, variable precipitation and atmospheric N deposition are likely to impact on N cycling (see Chap. 6) and possibly the cycling of other plant available nutrients such as phosphorus and sulphur, although direction and exact magnitude of change in plant available nutrients need to be investigated in detail.

2.4 Soil Biological Properties, Soil Health and Climate Change

"While the chemistry (and physics) of the soil system provides the context... it is the soil biota which is adaptive to changes in environmental circumstances" (Kibblewhite et al. [2008\)](#page-18-0). Under conditions of climate change, biological indicators form an integral component in soil health assessment, since, by virtue, they involve complex adaptive systems (i.e. the biota) by integrating key soil processes in ways that other indicators do not (Ritz et al. [2009](#page-19-0); see Chap. 8 for a detailed overview).

Ritz et al. ([2009\)](#page-19-0) note that published information on potential biological indicators has shown an almost exponential increase since the 1970s; however, many of these publications cover a range of processes, for example, microbial, invertebrate or ecological processes. Consequently, a comprehensive explanation of the factors including formal criteria identifying a collective set of biological indicators is limited. Key biological indicators selected for the scope of this study include SOM and its constituents, soil C, respiration and soil microbial biomass. Other microbiological indicators will also be briefly discussed.

2.4.1 Soil Organic Matter

SOM comprises an extensive range of living and non-living components; it has been widely acknowledged that SOM is one of the most complex and heterogeneous components of soils, which vary in their properties, functions and turnover rates (Weil and Magdoff [2004\)](#page-20-0). It is considered an important attribute of soil quality (or soil health) due to the many functions it provide and/or support, including the contribution to the charge characteristics of soils, a sink for and source of C and N, and to a variable extent regulates phosphorus and sulphur cycling, possesses an ability to complex with multivalent ions and organic compounds, provides microbial and faunal habitat and substrates, as well as affecting aggregate stability, trafficability, water retention and hydraulic properties (Haynes [2008](#page-18-0); Weil and Magdoff [2004\)](#page-20-0). Main indicators for evaluating SOM status include: SOC, since it comprises about 50% of SOM; organic N, since it is closely associated with organic C and is the most important nutrient for plant productivity; and readily mineralisable C and N (Gregorich et al. [1994;](#page-17-0) Haynes [2008;](#page-18-0) see Chaps. 5 and 6).

As SOM drives the majority of soil functions, decreases in SOM can lead to a decrease in fertility and biodiversity, as well as a loss of soil structure, resulting in reduced water holding capacity, increased risk of erosion and increased bulk density and hence soil compaction (Weil and Magdoff [2004\)](#page-20-0). Land use and management practices that lead to build up of SOM will help in absorbing $CO₂$ from the atmosphere, thus mitigating global warming. By increasing water storage, SOM can play an important role in the mitigation of flooding impacts following extreme rainfall events, while storing water in the event of droughts thus increasing soil resilience.

SOM indicators have been used in long-term soil experiments for the assessment of climate change (Richter et al. [2007](#page-19-0); Rinnan et al. [2007](#page-19-0)), although the response of SOM to elevated temperature remains scientifically controversial and without consensus (Agren and Wetterstedt 2007 ; see Chap. 5). In general, increases in temperature have been reported to enhance decomposition of SOM, but rising temperature and precipitation, $CO₂$ fertilisation and atmospheric N deposition may support high plant productivity and organic matter input to soil and consequently increase SOM. According to Davidson and Janssens ([2006\)](#page-17-0) and Kuzyakov and Gavrichkova ([2010\)](#page-18-0), it is the accessibility and availability of SOM to microorganisms that govern SOM losses rather than the rate-modifying climate factor (i.e. temperature).

2.4.2 Soil Carbon

Soil C can be used as an indicator of change for a number of reasons: it is familiar, direct, linked to ecosystem performance and it has "memory", that is, changes across time; however, it may not be reliable as a solitary indicator of soil quality/ health, as it may not encompass all ecosystem traits (Janzen [2005](#page-18-0)). While soil contains C in diverse forms and residence times, considerable research attention has focused on the SOC form, since it (1) has been largely modified through human activities, and (2) is predicted to decline with increase in mean global temperatures, which would have adverse effects on important soil functions and processes and soil quality/health (Lal et al. [2007](#page-18-0)). However, in his review on the role of soil C in the changing global C cycle, Janzen (2005) (2005) proposed that "if soil C is to be used as

an indicator of ecosystem performance, we may need to rethink how it is measured and interpreted... since, although we have been measuring soil C for a long time, our methods were not developed to measure changing C stocks". Since then substantial progress has been made, especially in geospatial and geostatistical methodologies to measure soil C across the landscape and over time (Allen et al. [2010;](#page-16-0) Worsham et al. [2010\)](#page-20-0).

2.4.3 Light Fraction and Macro-Organic Matter (Labile Organic Matter)

Light (or low-density) fraction and macro-organic components of SOM consist mainly of mineral-free particulate plant and animal residues, which serve as readily decomposable substrate for soil micro-organisms, as well as a labile nutrient reservoir (Gregorich et al. [1994](#page-17-0); Post and Kwon [2000](#page-19-0); Wagai et al. [2009](#page-20-0)). Since light fraction and macro-organic matter are responsive to management practices, they may act as early indicators to measure the effectiveness of changing management practice in adaptive response to climate change (Gregorich et al. [1994\)](#page-17-0). For example, the labile soil organic C is rapidly depleted as the temperature rises (Davidson and Janssens 2006 ; Knorr et al. 2005). In addition, elevated $CO₂$ in the future may reduce sequestration of root-derived soil C, a major source of labile, light fraction C (Heath et al. [2005](#page-18-0)).

2.4.4 Potentially Mineralisable C and N

The amount of mineralisable organic matter in soil is an indicator of organic matter quality, acting as the interface between autotrophic and heterotrophic organisms during the nutrient cycling process (Gregorich et al. [1994\)](#page-17-0). While potentially mineralisable C and N may be measured in both the field and laboratory, it is generally treated as a relative rather than an absolute value due to inconsistencies in methods (Haynes [2008\)](#page-18-0). However, mineralisable organic matter may be a useful indicator to assess soil health under climate change, since it affects nutrient dynamics within single growing seasons, and may be used to compare management regimes and C sequestration over extended periods of time (Gregorich et al. [1994\)](#page-17-0).

2.4.5 Soil Respiration

Soil respiration is often used as a biological indicator for soil health (see Chap. 7), since it is positively correlated with SOM content (and often with microbial biomass and activity) and can be determined as either $CO₂$ production or $O₂$ consumption, e.g. "soil" or "basal" respiration, using a range of in situ or laboratory methods (Dalal and Moloney [2000](#page-17-0); Arias et al. [2005](#page-16-0); Haynes [2008](#page-18-0)). Soil respiration, particularly its temperature response (often expressed as the Q_{10} function), is widely acknowledged to be a critical link between climate change and the global C cycle (Wixon and Balser [2009\)](#page-20-0), although the nature of this relationship is under current scientific debate (Agren and Wetterstedt [2007;](#page-16-0) Balser et al. [2006;](#page-16-0) Kuzyakov and Gavrichkova [2010;](#page-18-0) also see Chap. 7). Recent studies have also shown that soil respiration is relatively responsive to changes in the seasonal timing of rainfall (see Chap. 7), which is predicted to change according to global and regional climate models (Chou et al. [2008\)](#page-16-0).

2.4.6 Soil Microbial Biomass

Microbial biomass, the living component of SOM, is considered the most labile C pool in soils and a sensitive indicator of changes in soil processes, with links to soil nutrient and energy dynamics, including mediating the transfer between SOC fractions (Haynes [2008;](#page-18-0) Post and Kwon [2000](#page-19-0); Saha and Mandal [2009;](#page-19-0) Weil and Magdoff [2004](#page-20-0)). While it has been compared to related soil properties to determine change in SOM quality (Gregorich et al. [1994\)](#page-17-0), its use as an indicator of soil quality or soil health is currently limited due to unavailability of benchmark values, difficulty in interpretation and cost-effectiveness of measurement procedures (Dalal [1998\)](#page-17-0). However, soil microbial biomass, similar to labile C, has been shown to be responsive to short-term environmental changes (Haynes [2008\)](#page-18-0), with recent studies revealing significant decline in the soil microbial biomass during long-term simulated climatic warming experiments (Rinnan et al. [2007\)](#page-19-0). When combined with 13C isotope labelling technique, the shift in microbial biomass 13° C may provide a more sensitive measure of changes in soil C processes in response to climate and land use changes than the total microbial biomass C (Paterson et al. [2009\)](#page-19-0).

2.4.7 The Use of Microbial and Metabolic "Quotients"

In addition to individual biological indicators, ecophysiological indices or "quotients" have also been used to assess environmental change. Indices of physiological performance (e.g. respiration, growth/death, C uptake) against the total organic C or total microbial biomass C per unit time are expressed as microbial quotient (microbial C/organic C), and respiratory or metabolic quotient (CO_2-C) respired/hr/microbial C), respectively, and have been used to assess management impacts on organic C dynamics (Moscatelli et al. [2005](#page-18-0)).

While the effectiveness of these quotients to assess ecosystem disturbance and development has been questioned (Moscatelli et al. [2005\)](#page-18-0), there are cases where these quotients have provided useful information on substrate quality and ecosystem response to stresses across different soil types and environments (Haynes [2008;](#page-18-0) Sparling [1997;](#page-20-0) Weil and Magdoff [2004\)](#page-20-0). Their potential for use as soil health indicators to assess climate change impacts is also promising, with research under controlled Free Air $CO₂$ Enrichment and N fertilisation trials, reporting that both microbial and metabolic quotients were sensitive to changes due to elevated $CO₂$ and N fertilisation (Moscatelli et al. [2005](#page-18-0)).

2.4.8 Enzyme Activity

Soil enzyme activities may serve to indicate change within the plant-soil system, since these (1) are closely linked to the cycling of nutrients and soil biology, (2) are easily measured, (3) integrate information on both the microbial status and the physicochemical soil conditions, and (4) show rapid response to changes in soil management (Aon et al. [2001;](#page-16-0) García-Ruiz et al. [2009](#page-17-0)). Studies of individual enzyme activities report strong temporal and spatial variability, thus often leading to conflicting results (Aon et al. 2001 ; García-Ruiz et al. 2009). Furthermore, Dorodnikov et al. [\(2009\)](#page-17-0) showed that by altering the quantity and quality of belowground C input by plants, elevated $CO₂$ may stimulate microbial enzyme activities, abundance of microbial enzymes and C turnover possibly affecting microbial community functioning in soil, and furthermore, the extent of stimulation of microbial enzyme activities may depend on soil aggregate size. In addition, atmospheric N deposition may affect extracellular enzymes, which are involved in SOC decomposition and nutrient cycling processes (Frey et al. [2004\)](#page-17-0). It is still to be known how soil microbial enzyme activities involved in organic C turnover, nutrient cycling and greenhouse gas emissions will respond to the interactive effects of multiple global change drivers (such as climate change, land use change), thereby supporting the generalised view of their use as indicators of changes in soil health.

2.4.9 Other Microbiological Indicators as Integrative Indicators of Soil Health

Many other soil microbial properties may be considered with respect to soil health indicators; their inclusion within research literature is gaining popularity as more holistic definitions of soil health include soil and plant attributes and functionality, and as advances in microbiological techniques offer promising developments in the detection of soil health status (see Chap. 8). Other microbial indicators noted in the literature, although not included in the scope of this chapter, include microbial autotrophic nitrification, arbuscular mycorrhiza, community studies of soil macroand microfauna, and soil disease suppressiveness and plant disease incidence (for extended reviews, see Arias et al. [2005;](#page-16-0) Doran and Zeiss [2000;](#page-17-0) French et al. [2009;](#page-17-0) Kibblewhite et al. [2008;](#page-18-0) Pankhurst et al. [1997;](#page-18-0) Ritz et al. [2009](#page-19-0)).

2.5 Genetic and Functional Biodiversity of Soils, Soil Health and Climate Change

Soil community structure and function is a current theme for debate among soil scientists, particularly whether ecosystem functioning is influenced by biodiversity, and how the loss of microbial functional groups influences ecosystem functioning (Hunt and Wall [2002;](#page-18-0) Kibblewhite et al. [2008](#page-18-0)). Hunt and Wall ([2002\)](#page-18-0) note that much of the focus has been on aboveground systems to assess potential effects of climate change on plant biodiversity and biochemistry, although recent studies suggest that climatic stresses, such as warming, will have a profound effect on the rhizosphere, soil heterotrophic community structure and soil processes, including soil respiration, N mineralisation and ecosystem C functioning (Bardgett et al. [2008;](#page-16-0) Briones et al. [2009;](#page-16-0) see Chaps. 7 and 8). Changing climatic factors is also of concern due to possible evolutionary changes, which allow the spread of virulence factors and genes that aid in environmental survival (French et al. [2009\)](#page-17-0). As molecular technique advancements continue to expand our understanding of microbial diversity and the conditions driving changes in microbial diversity and community structure (Arias et al. [2005](#page-16-0); Prosser [2002\)](#page-19-0), it is likely that the adoption of genetic and functional biodiversity indicators of soil health will increase, particularly within food web and nutrient cycling models, to improve predictions of climate change impacts on soil health (see Chap. 8).

2.6 Selection of Soil Health Key Indicators: Requirements and Conceptual Framework for In Situ Soil Health Assessment Under Climate Change

Many soil health indicators discussed above need prioritisation with regard to assessment of soil health under sustainable management practices and predicted climate change scenarios. Recent reviews have focused upon measurement of individual indicators or a suite of indicators to assess changes in soil health within a soil physical, chemical and/or biological context (Moebius et al. [2007](#page-18-0); Zagal et al. [2009\)](#page-20-0), although Stockdale and Watson [\(2009](#page-20-0)) note that work is needed to test existing indicator frameworks to guide management decisions, rather than simply to compare with the status quo.

The indicators of soil health are interlinked (Fig. 2.1) and so are the drivers of global change (land use change, elevated temperatures, elevated atmospheric $CO₂$ concentration, increasing atmospheric N deposition, variability in the amount, intensity and distribution of rainfall, extreme climatic events, and their interactions). Conceptualisation of interactions between climate change, land management and soil health indicators, as presented in Fig. [2.1,](#page-2-0) further highlights the complexity and interdependence of many of these drivers to influence soil health, primarily through their influence on plant primary productivity, microbial and faunal biomass diversity and activity, including their products (intracellular and extracellular enzymes), as well as the overall C and N cycles (Bardgett et al. [2008](#page-16-0); Kibblewhite et al. [2008;](#page-18-0) Wixon and Balser [2009;](#page-20-0) see Chaps. 6 and 8).

Table [2.1](#page-3-0) presents a summary of major soil health indicators, their processes and functions under projected climate change scenarios, their relevance to climate change impacts and their frequency of inclusion within a minimum data set for soil health assessment. Most studies agree that a minimum data set for the assessment of soil health should include key indicators that: (1) are sensitive to changes due to management and climate variations, (2) integrate soil physical, chemical and biological properties, (3) are relatable to important soil functions, (4) are applicable to field conditions and (5) are accessible to many users (Idowu et al. [2009;](#page-18-0) Karlen et al. [2003;](#page-18-0) Lagomarsino et al. [2009;](#page-18-0) Pattison et al. [2008;](#page-19-0) Rao and Siddaramappa [2008;](#page-19-0) Schindelbeck et al. [2008](#page-19-0)). The selection of key indicators of soil health under climate change scenarios, as discussed in this chapter, should be able to reflect the mitigation and adaptive capability of soil and its resilience to climate change over short to long term.

2.7 Summary and Future Directions

Understanding soil health impacts in relation to climate change is possible through the use of indicators (measurable attributes or values) which relate soil physical, chemical and biological properties to ecological functions and which can be monitored in the context of sustainable land management and climate change. Key soil health indicators affected by climate change include aggregate stability, SOM, carbon and nitrogen cycling, microbial biomass and activity, and microbial fauna and flora diversity. Selection of indicators within a minimum data set depends on their sensitivity to management and climate changes, capacity to integrate and relate to other soil functions, ease of use, repeatability and cost of measurement. Soil health "tests" recommending a minimum data set of soil health indicators are being promoted within research and government organisations for agricultural management (e.g. Nelson et al. [2009](#page-18-0); Pattison et al. [2008](#page-19-0)) and to assist monitoring efforts and policy development (Ritz et al. [2009;](#page-19-0) Schindelbeck et al. [2008\)](#page-19-0). This minimum dataset could be used to assess the effect of climate change on soil health. Although tentative steps are underway, greater efforts are required to explore individual and interactive effects of drivers of global change (e.g. land use change, increasing temperatures, elevated $CO₂$ concentration, variability in the amount,

intensity and distribution of rainfall, and increasing atmospheric N deposition) using controlled environment and long-term research experiments to assess soil health indicators that can be responsive to such treatment variations over wider spatiotemporal scales, and consequently, their monitoring and inclusion in a minimum data set can assist us in devising greenhouse gas mitigation and climate adaptive strategies.

Acknowledgements We thank the two anonymous reviewers for providing helpful comments on an earlier draft version of this chapter.

References

- Å gren GI, Wetterstedt M (2007) What determines the temperature response of soil organic matter decomposition? Soil Biol Biochem 39:1794–1798
- Allen DE, Pringle MJ, Page KL, Dalal RC (2010) A review of sampling designs for the measurement of soil organic carbon in Australian grazing lands. Rangel J 32:227–246
- Aon MA, Cabello MN, Sarena DE, Colaneri AC, Franco MG, Burgos JL, Cortassa S (2001) I. Spatio-temporal patterns of soil microbial and enzymatic activities in agricultural soils. Appl Soil Ecol 18:239–254
- Arias ME, González-Pérez FJ, González-Vila ASB (2005) Soil health a new challenge for microbiologists and chemists. Int Microbiol 8:13–21
- Arnold SL, Doran JW, Schepers J, Wienhold B (2005) Portable probes to measure electrical conductivity and soil quality in the field. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 36:2271–2287
- Assouline S (2004) Rainfall-induced soil surface sealing: a critical review of observations, conceptual models, and solutions. Vadose Zone J 3:570–591
- Balser TC, McMahon KD, Bart D, Bronson D, Coyle DR, Craig N, Flores-Mangual ML, Forshay K, Jones SE, Kent AE, Shade AL (2006) Bridging the gap between micro – and macro-scale perspectives on the role of microbial communities in global change ecology. Plant Soil 289: 59–70
- Bardgett RD, Freeman C, Ostle NJ (2008) Microbial contributions to climate change through carbon cycle feedbacks. ISME J 2:805–814
- Birkás M, Stingli A, Szemők A, Kalmár T, Bottlik L (2008) Soil condition and plant interrelations in dry years. Cereal Res Commun 36(Suppl):15–18
- Birkás M, Dexter A, Szemők A (2009) Tillage-induced soil compaction, as a climate threat increasing stressor. Cereal Res Commun 37:379–382
- Blanco-Canqui H, Lal R (2004) Mechanisms of carbon sequestration in soil aggregates. Crit Rev Plant Sci 23:481–504
- Box JE, Bruce RR (1996) The effect of surface cover on infiltration and soil erosion. In: Agassi M (ed) Soil erosion, conservation and rehabilitation. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York
- Brinkman R, Sombroek W (1999) Chapter 3. The effects of global change on soil conditions in relation to plant growth and food production. In: Bazzaz F, Sombroek W (eds) Global climate change and agricultural production. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Rome, Italy. Accessed online from: <http://www.fao.org>. Accessed 15 Feb 2010
- Briones MJI, Ostle NJ, McNamara NP, Poskitt J (2009) Functional shifts of grassland soil communities in response to soil warming. Soil Biol Biochem 41:315–322
- Chou WW, Silver WL, Jackson RD, Thompson AW, Allen-Diaz B (2008) The sensitivity of annual grassland carbon cycling to the quantity and timing of rainfall. Glob Chang Biol 14: 1382–1394
- Dalal RC (1998) Soil microbial biomass – what do the numbers really mean? Aust J Exp Agric 38:649–665
- Dalal RC, Moloney D (2000) Sustainability indicators of soil health and biodiversity. In: Hale P, Petrie A, Moloney D, Sattler P (eds) Management for sustainable ecosystems. Centre for Conservation Biology, Brisbane, pp 101–108
- Dalal RC, Lawrence P, Walker J, Shaw RJ, Lawrence G, Yule D, Doughton JA, Bourne A, Duivenvoorden L, Choy S, Moloney D, Turner L, King C, Dale A (1999) A framework to monitor sustainability in the grains industry. Aust J Exp Agric 39:605–620
- Dalal RC, Eberhard R, Grantham T, Mayer DG (2003a) application of sustainability indicators, soil organic matter and electrical conductivity, to resource management in the northern grains region. Aust J Exp Agric 43:253–259
- Dalal RC, Wang WJ, Robertson GP, Parton WJ (2003b) Nitrous oxide emission from Australian agricultural lands and mitigation options: a review. Aust J Soil Res 41:165–195
- Dalal RC, Allen DE, Livesley SJ, Richards G (2008) Magnitude and biophysical regulators of methane emission and consumption in the Australian agricultural, forest, and submerged landscapes: a review. Plant Soil 309:43–76
- Dang YP, Dalal RC, Mayer D, McDonald M, Routley R, Schwenke GD, Buck SR, Daniells IG, Singh DK, Manning W, Ferguson N (2008) High subsoil chloride concentrations reduce soil water extraction and crop yield on Vertisol in northeastern Australia. Aust J Agric Res 59:321–330
- Davidson EA, Janssens IA (2006) Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. Nature 440:165–173
- DeVries W, Breeuwsma A (1987) The relationship between soil acidification and element cycling. Water Air Soil Pollut 35:293–310
- Doran JW (2002) Soil health and global sustainability: translating science into practice. Agric Ecosyst Environ 88:119–127
- Doran JW, Zeiss MR (2000) Soil health and sustainability: managing the biotic component of soil quality. Appl Soil Ecol 15:3–11
- Doran JW, Jones AJ, Arshad MA, Gilley JE (1999) Determinants of soil quality and health. In: Pankhurst CE, Doube BM, Gupta VVSR (eds) Biological indicators of soil health. CAB International, Oxon
- Dorodnikov M, Blagodatskaya E, Blagodatsky S, Marhan S, Fangmeier A, Kuzyakov Y (2009) Stimulation of microbial extracellular enzyme activities by elevated $CO₂$ depends on soil aggregate size. Glob Change Biol 15:1603–1614
- French S, Levy-Booth D, Samarajeewa A, Shannon KE, Smith J, Trevors JT (2009) Elevated temperatures and carbon dioxide concentrations: effects on selected microbial activities in temperate agricultural soils. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25:1887–1900
- Frey SD, Knorr M, Parrent JL, Simpson RT (2004) Chronic nitrogen enrichment affects the structure and function of the microbial community in temperate hardwood and pine forests. For Ecol Manage 196:159–171
- García-Ruiz R, Ochoa V, Vinegla B, Hinojosa MB, Pena-Santiago R, Liébanas G, Linares JC, Carreira JA (2009) Soil enzymes, nematode community and selected physico-chemical properties as soil quality indicators in organic and conventional olive-oil farming: influence of seasonality and site features. Appl Soil Ecol 41:305–314
- Gil SV, Meriles J, Conforto C, Figoni G, Basanta M, Lovera E, March GJ (2009) Field assessment of soil biological and chemical quality in response to crop management practices. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25:439–448
- Gregorich EG, Carter MR, Angers DA, Monreal CM, Ellert BH (1994) Towards a minimum data set to assess soil organic matter quality in agricultural soils. Can J Soil Sci 74:367–385
- Hadas A (1997) Soil tilth the desired soil structural state obtained through proper soil fragmentation and reorientation processes. Soil Tillage Res 43:7–40
- Håkansson I, Lipiec J (2000) A review of the usefulness of relative bulk density values in studies of soil structure and compaction. Soil Tillage Res 53:71–85
- Haynes RJ (2008) Soil organic matter quality and the size and activity of the microbial biomass: their significance to the quality of agricultural soils. In: Huang Q, Huang PM, Violante A (eds) Soil mineral-microbe-organic interactions: theories and applications. Springer, Berlin, pp 201–230
- Heath J, Ayres E, Possell M, Bardgett RD, Black HIJ, Grant H, Ineson P, Kerstiens G (2005) Rising atmospheric $CO₂$ reduces sequestration of root-derived soil carbon. Science 309:1711–1713
- Hunt HW, Wall DH (2002) Modelling the effects of loss of soil biodiversity on ecosystem function. Glob Change Biol 8:33–50
- Idowu OJ, van Es HM, Abawi GS, Wolfe DW, Schindelbeck RR, Moebius-Clune BN, Gugino BK (2009) Use of an integrative soil health test for evaluation of soil management impacts. Renew Agric Food Syst 24:214–224
- Janzen HH (2005) Soil carbon: a measure of ecosystem response in a changing world? Can J Soil Sci 85:467–480
- Jarvis NJ (2007) A review of non-equilibrium water flow and solute transport in soil macropores: principles, controlling factors and consequences for water quality. Eur J Soil Sci 58:523–546
- Joel A, Messing I (2001) Infiltration rate and hydraulic conductivity measured with rain simulator and disc permeameter on sloping arid land. Arid Land Res Manage 15:371–384
- Karlen DL, Doran JW, Andrews SS, Wienhold BJ (2003) Soil Quality Humankinds' foundation for survival. J Soil Water Conserv 58:171–179
- Kibblewhite MG, Ritz K, Swift MJ (2008) Soil health in agricultural systems. Philos Transact R Soc 363:685–701
- Kinyangi J (2007) Soil health and soil quality: a review. Draft publication. Available on: [http://](http://www.cornell.edu.org) [www.cornell.edu.org;](http://www.cornell.edu.org) Accessed on: www.worldaginfo.org. Accessed 15 Feb 2010
- Knorr W, Prentice IC, House JI, Holland EA (2005) Long-term sensitivity of soil organic carbon turnover to warming. Nature 433:298–301
- Kuzyakov Y, Gavrichkova O (2010) Time lag between photosynthesis and carbon dioxide efflux from soil: a review of mechanisms and controls. Global Change Biology 16:3386–3406
- Lagomarsino A, Moscatelli MC, Tizio AD, Mancinelli R, Grego S, Marinari S (2009) Soil biological indicators as a tool to assess the short-term impact of agricultural management on changes in organic C in a Mediterranean environment. Ecol Indic 9:518–527
- Lal R (1995) Tillage systems in the tropics: management options and sustainability implications. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Soils Bulletin 71, FAO, Rome
- Lal R (ed) (1999) Soil quality and soil erosion. Soil and Water Conservation Society, Ankeny
- Lal R, Follett RF, Stewart BA, Kimble JM (2007) Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change and advance food security. Soil Sci 172:943–956
- McCarthy JJ, Canziani OF, Leary NA, Dokken DJ, White KS (2001) Climate Change 2001: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Moebius BN, van Es HM, Schindelbeck RR, Idowu OJ, Clune DJ, Thies JE (2007) Evaluation of laboratory-measured soil properties as indicators of soil physical quality. Soil Sci 172:895–912
- Moscatelli MC, Lagomarsino A, Marinari S, De Angelis P, Grego S (2005) Soil microbial indices as bioindicators of environmental changes in a poplar plantation. Ecol Indic 5:171–179
- Nelson KL, Lynch DH, Boiteau G (2009) Assessment of changes in soil health throughout organic potato rotation sequences. Agric Ecosyst Environ 131:220–228
- Nuttall JG (2007) Climate change identifying the impacts on soil and soil health. Department of Primary Industries, Future Farming Systems Research Division, Victoria
- O'Farrell PJO, Donaldson JS, Hoffman MT (2010) Vegetation transformaion, functional compensation, and soil health in a semi-arid environment. Arid Land Res Manage 24:12–30
- Pankhurst CE, Doube BM, Gupta VVSR (eds) (1997) Biological indicators of soil health. CAB International, Oxon
- Pariente S (2001) Soluble salts dynamics in the soil under different climatic conditions. Catena 43:307–321
- Paterson E, Midwood AJ, Millard P (2009) Through the eye of the needle: a review of isotope approaches to quantify microbial processes mediating soil carbon balance. New Phytol 184:19–33
- Pattison AB, Moody PW, Badcock KA, Smith LJ, Armour JA, Rasiah V, Cobon JA, Gulino L-M, Mayer R (2008) Development of key soil health indicators for the Australian banana industry. Appl Soil Ecol 40:155–164
- Piglai M, De Nobili M (1993) Relationship between soil porosity and root development and soil enzyme activity in cultivated soils. Geoderma 56:243–256
- Porporato A, Daly E, Rodriguez-Iturbe I (2005) Soil water balance and ecosystem response to climate change. Am Nat 164:625–632
- Post WM, Kwon KC (2000) Soil carbon sequestration and land-use change: processes and potential. Glob Change Biol 6:317–328
- Prosser JI (2002) Molecular and functional diversity in soil micro-organisms. Plant Soil 244:9–17
- Rao BKR, Siddaramappa R (2008) Evaluation of soil quality parameters in a tropical paddy soil amended with rice residues and tree litters. Eur J Soil Biol 44:334–340
- Rengasamy P (2010) Soil processes affecting crop production in salt-affected soils. Funct Plant Biol 37:613–620
- Reth S, Reichstein M, Falge E (2005) The effect of soil water content, soil temperature, soil pHvalue and root mass on soil $CO₂$ efflux. Plant Soil 268:21-33
- Reynolds WD, Bowman BT, Drury CF, Tan CS, Lu X (2002) Indicators of good soil physical quality: density and storage parameters. Geoderma 110:131–146
- Reynolds WD, Drury CF, Tan CS, Fox CA, Yang XM (2009) Use of indicators and pore volumefunction characteristics to quantify soil physical quality. Geoderma 152:252–263
- Richter DD, Hofmockel M, Callaham MA, Powlson DS, Smith P (2007) Long-term soil experiments: keys to managing Earth's rapidly changing ecosystems. Soil Sci Soc Am J 71:266–279
- Riley J (2001c) Multidisciplinary indicators of impact and change: key issues for identification and summary. Agric Ecosyst Environ 87:245–259
- Rimal BK, Lal R (2009) Soil and carbon losses from five different land management areas under simulated rainfall. Soil Tillage Res 106:62–70
- Rinnan R, Michelsen A, Ba˚a˚th E, Jonasson S (2007) Fifteen years of climate change manipulations alter soil microbial communities in a subarctic heath ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 13:28–39
- Ritz K, Black HIJ, Campbell CD, Harris JA, Wood C (2009) Selecting biological indicators for monitoring soils: a framework for balancing scientific and technical opinion to assist policy development. Ecol Indic 9:1212–1221
- Ross DS, Matschonat G, Skyllberg U (2008) Cation exchange in forest soils: the need for a new perspective. Eur J Soil Sci 59:1141–1159
- Saha N, Mandal B (2009) Soil health a precondition for crop production. In: Khan MS, Zaidi A, Musarrat J (eds) Microbial strategies for crop improvement. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 161–168
- Salvador Sanchis MP, Torri D, Borselli L, Poesen J (2008) Climate effects on soil erodibility. Earth Surf Process Landf 33:1082–1097
- Schindelbeck RR, van Es HM, Abawi GS, Wolfe DW, Whitlow TL, Gugino BK, Idowu OJ, Moebius-Clune BN (2008) Comprehensive assessment of soil quality for landscape and urban management. Landsc Urban Plan 88:73–80
- Schjønning P, Elmholt S, Christensen BT (2004) Soil quality management concepts and terms. In: Schjønning P, Elmholt S, Christensen BT (eds) Managing soil quality: challenges in modern agriculture. CAB International, Oxon
- Smith JL, Halvoson JJ, Bolton H Jr (2002) Soil properties and microbial activity across a 500 m elevation gradient in a semi-arid environment. Soil Biol Biochem 34:1749–1757
- Sparling GP (1997) Soil microbial biomass, activity and nutrient cycling as indicators of soil health. In: Pankhurst CE, Doube BM, Gupta VVSR (eds) Biological indicators of soil health. CAB International, Oxon, pp 79–96
- Stenberg B (1999) Monitoring soil quality of arable land: microbiological indicators. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica., Sect. B. Soil Plant Sci 49:1–24
- Stockdale EA, Watson CA (2009) Biological indicators of soil quality in organic farming systems. Renew Agric Food Syst 24:308–318
- Wagai R, Mayer LM, Kitayama K (2009) Nature of the "occluded" low-density fraction in soil organic matter studies: a critical review. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 55:13–25
- Weil RR, Magdoff F (2004) Significance of soil organic matter to soil quality and health. In: Weil RR, Magdoff F (eds) Soil organic matter in sustainable agriculture. CRC press, Florida, pp 1–43
- Wixon DL, Balser TC (2009) Complexity, climate change and soil carbon: a systems approach to microbial temperature response. Syst Res Behav Sci 26:601–620
- Worsham L, Markewitz D, Nibblelink N (2010) Incorporating spatial dependence into estimates of soil carbon contents under different land covers. Soil Sci Soc Am J 74:635–646
- Zagal E, Munoz C, Quiroz M, Córdova C (2009) Sensitivity of early indicators for evaluating quality changes in soil organic matter. Geoderma 151:191–198