# Modeling and Simplifying Morse Complexes in Arbitrary Dimensions

Lidija Čomić<sup>1</sup> and Leila De Floriani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Engineering, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad (Serbia) comic@uns.ac.rs,

<sup>2</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of Genova, Genova (Italy) deflo@disi.unige.it

Abstract. Ascending and descending Morse complexes, defined by a scalar function f over a manifold domain M, decompose M into regions of influence of the critical points of f, thus representing the morphology of the scalar function f over M in a compact way. Here, we introduce two simplification operators on Morse complexes which work in arbitrary dimensions and we discuss their interpretation as n-dimensional Euler operators. We consider a dual representation of the two Morse complexes in terms of an incidence graph and we describe how our simplification operators affect the graph representation. This provides the basis for defining a multi-scale graph-based model of Morse complexes in arbitrary dimensions.

#### 1 Introduction

The problem of representing morphological information extracted from discrete scalar fields is a relevant issue in several applications, such as terrain modeling and volume data analysis and visualization. The increasing availability of time-varying volume data sets and the need of extracting knowledge from such data sets makes it important to have a morphological representation of such fields. Time-varying volume data sets are often viewed as four-dimensional scalar fields and 4D models of such data sets based on hypercubic or simplicial meshes have been developed in the literature [3,27]. Morse theory offers a natural and intuitive way of analyzing the structure of a scalar field as well as of compactly representing a decomposition of its domain into meaningful regions associated with critical points of the field.

Discrete scalar fields are defined by a finite set of points in a domain D in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . At each of these points a value of a scalar function f is given. Traditionally, discrete scalar fields are described by decomposing their domain into cells, on which an interpolating function is defined based on discrete function values given at the vertices of the cells. This geometry-based description provides an accurate representation of a scalar field, but it fails in capturing the morphological structure of the field, which is defined by its critical points and integral lines.

Based on Morse theory, subdivisions of a manifold M, induced by a function f defined over it, have been defined as suitable representations for analyzing the topology of M and the behavior of f over M. The ascending and descending Morse complexes

are defined by considering the integral lines emanating from, or converging to, the critical points of f. The Morse-Smale complex describes the subdivision of M into parts, characterized by uniform flow of the gradient between two critical points of f. Here, we consider a representation, the incidence graph, that encodes both the ascending and descending Morse complexes which is dimension-independent, is based on encoding the incidence relations of the cells of the two complexes, and exploits the duality between the two complexes. The incidence graph can be effectively combined with a representation of the simplicial decomposition of the underlying domain M, in the discrete case.

Structural problems in Morse and Morse-Smale complexes, like over-segmentation in the presence of noise, or efficiency issues arising due to the very large size of the input data sets, can be faced and solved by defining simplification operators on those complexes and on their morphological representations. Morse and Morse-Smale complexes can be simplified by applying an operator called (general) *cancellation* of critical points, which eliminates pairs of critical points of f with consecutive index. A cancellation which does not involve a maximum or a minimum of f increases the number of pairs of cells in the Morse complexes which become incident to each other, and, counter-intuitively, it introduces new cells in the Morse-Smale complex. This motivated us to introduce two simplification operators on *n*-dimensional Morse complexes by imposing some constraints on a general cancellation. These operators do not enlarge the incidence relation on the Morse complexes, they do not introduce new cells in the Morse-Smale complex, and can be viewed as merging of cells in the Morse complexes. We show that the two simplification operators can be interpreted as Euler operators, and we show through an example how the general cancellation operator can be realized as a sequence of our elementary simplification operators. We discuss the effect of the two simplification operators on the incidence-based representation of the two Morse complexes. These simplification operators, together with their inverse refinement operators, are the basis for generating a dimension-independent multi-scale representation of Morse complexes, based on a hierarchy of incidence graphs. The inverse operators are not discussed here for brevity.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic notions on Morse theory. In Section 3, we discuss some related work. In Section 4, we briefly describe the dual representation of the two Morse complexes. In Section 5, we define two simplification operators on Morse complexes, and in Section 6, we describe the effect of these operators on their incidence-based representation. Finally, in Section 7, we draw some concluding remarks.

### 2 Morse Theory

We review here some basic notions of Morse theory. For more details, see [20].

Let f be a  $C^2$  real-valued function defined over a closed compact *n*-manifold M. A point p is a *critical point* of f if and only if the gradient  $\nabla f = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, ..., \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n})$  (in some local coordinate system around p) of f vanishes at p. Function f is a Morse function if all its critical points are non-degenerate (the Hessian matrix  $Hess_p f$  of the second derivatives of f at p is non-singular). The number of negative eigenvalues of  $Hess_p f$  is called the *index* of critical point p, and p is called an *i-saddle*. A 0-saddle, or an

(c)

*n*-saddle, is also called a *minimum*, or a *maximum*, respectively. An *integral line* of *f* is a maximal path which is everywhere tangent to the gradient of *f*. Each integral line connects two critical points of *f*, called its *origin* and *destination*. If  $m_i$  is the number of *i*-saddles of a Morse function *f*, and  $\chi(M)$  is the Euler characteristic of *M*, then  $\chi(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i m_i$ .



**Fig. 1.** (a) A 2D ascending Morse complex. The ascending cell of minimum p is shaded. (b) A dual descending Morse complex. The descending cell of maximum q is shaded. (c) Morse-Smale complex. 2-cells related to minimum p and maximum q are shaded.

(b)

Integral lines that converge to (originate from) a critical point p of index i form an i-cell ((n - i)-cell) called a *descending* (*ascending*) cell of p. The descending (ascending) cells decompose M into a Euclidean cell complex, called a *descending* (*ascending*) *Morse complex*, denoted as  $\Gamma_d$  ( $\Gamma_a$ ). A Morse function f is called a *Morse-Smale function* if and only if the descending and the ascending cells intersect transversally. The connected components of the intersection of descending and ascending cells of a Morse-Smale function f decompose M into a *Morse-Smale complex*. We illustrate the correspondence between Morse and Morse-Smale complexes in Figure 1. If f is a Morse-Smale function, then the ascending complex  $\Gamma_a$  of f and the descending complex  $\Gamma_d$  of f are dual to each other. In this work, we restrict our consideration to Morse-Smale functions satisfying an additional condition: in the descending (and ascending) Morse complexes, each *i*-cell is bounded by at least one (i - 1)-cell,  $1 \le i \le n$ , and each *i*-cell bounds at least one (i + 1)-cell,  $0 \le i \le n - 1$ .

#### 3 Related Work

(a)

In this Section, we review the state of the art on morphological representation of scalar fields, focusing on algorithms which assume a discretization of the domain of the field as a manifold simplicial complex.

There have been two attempts in the literature to discretize Morse theory, either by developing its discrete version, called *Forman theory* [13], by considering functions defined on all cells, and not only on vertices, of a cell complex  $\Gamma$ , or by representing the combinatorial structure of Morse-Smale complexes in 2D and 3D by *quasi-Morse complexes* [11, 12]. This latter has been the basis for algorithms for computing discrete counterparts of the Morse-Smale complex.

The extraction of critical points of a scalar field f defined on a simplicial mesh has been investigated in 2D [2, 21], and in 3D [11, 14, 24–26], as a basis for computing Morse and Morse-Smale complexes. Algorithms for decomposing the domain Dof f into an approximation of a Morse, or of a Morse-Smale complex in 2D can be classified as *boundary-based* [1, 5, 12, 22, 23], or *region-based* [6, 8, 18]. In [11], an algorithm for extracting the Morse-Smale complex from a tetrahedral mesh is proposed. In [8], a region-based dimension-independent algorithm is proposed, which subdivides the domain of f into an approximation of Morse complexes, by processing the points according to sorted function values. For a review of the work in this area, see [4].

One of the major issues that arise when computing a representation of a scalar field as a Morse, or as a Morse-Smale complex is the over-segmentation due to the presence of noise in the data sets. *Simplification algorithms* have been developed in order to eliminate less significant features from the Morse-Smale complex. Simplification is achieved by applying an operator, called *cancellation* of critical points. In 2D Morse-Smale complexes, cancellation operator has been investigated in [5, 12, 23, 28]. Cancellation operators on Morse and Morse-Smale complexes of a 3D scalar field have been investigated in [7, 15].

### 4 A Dual Representation for Morse Complexes

In this Section, we briefly describe a dual combinatorial representation for both the ascending and descending Morse complexes based on the *incidence graph* G = (N, A)[10]. The nodes of G are in one-to-one correspondence with the critical points of f. We call a node of G representing an i-saddle of f a node at level i. Thus an i-level node in G represents an *i*-cell of the descending Morse complex  $\Gamma_d$  and an (n-i)-cell of the ascending Morse complex  $\Gamma_a$ . Values of the scalar field are attached to the nodes of the incidence graph as well as the level of the node. The direct incidence relations between cells in  $\Gamma_d$  (and thus also in  $\Gamma_a$ ) are encoded as arcs. Arcs connect pairs of nodes which differ in level by 1 and represent integral lines connecting the corresponding critical points. An arc exists between an *i*-level node p and an (i+1)-level node q if and only if *i*-cell p is on the boundary of (i+1)-cell q in the descending complex  $\Gamma_d$  (and thus (n-i)-cell p is bounded by (n-i-1)-cell q in the ascending complex  $\Gamma_a$ ). Each arc connecting an *i*-level node p to an (i+1)-level node q is labeled by the number of times the corresponding *i*-cell p and (i+1)-cell q in  $\Gamma_d$  are incident to each other [17]. Note that incidence graph can also be seen as a combinatorial representation of (the 1-skeleton of) a Morse-Smale complex.

In the discrete case, the underlying domain of the field is decomposed into a simplicial mesh, and for this a very compact representation is an indexed data structure with adjacencies, as shown in [9], which encodes only the vertices and the *n*-simplexes in the *n*-dimensional simplicial complex and each *n*-simplex is encoded as the list of the indexes of its n + 1 vertices. Thus, the storage requirement is equal to *n* floats for each vertex of the mesh and n + 1 integers for each *n*-simplex. We can obtain a combined morphological and geometrical representation by attaching to each *n*-level node *p* of the incidence graph, which corresponds to a maximum and to an *n*-cell *p* in the descending Morse complex, the set of *n*-simplexes whose union gives the *n*-cell *p*, and, symmetrically, to each 0-level node q of the incidence graph, which corresponds to a minimum and to an *n*-cell q in the ascending Morse complex, the set of *n*-simplexes whose union gives the *n*-cell q. A data structure for 3D Morse-Smale complexes described in [16] represents the connectivity of the complex as an incidence graph, and attaches geometrical information referring to the underlying simplicial decomposition to all nodes of the complex, thus encoding the geometry of 1- 2- and 3-cells in both the ascending and descending Morse complexes. This representation supports efficient traversal, but it is dimension-specific.

#### 5 Removal and Contraction on Morse Complexes

The effect of a cancellation of a pair of critical points, as defined in Morse theory, has been investigated in 3D on Morse-Smale [15] and on Morse complexes [7]. An *i*-saddle p and an (i + 1)-saddle q can be cancelled if there is a unique integral line connecting them. After a cancellation, each cell r which was on the boundary of (i + 1)-cell q in  $\Gamma_d$  becomes incident to each cell t which was in the co-boundary of *i*-cell p in  $\Gamma_d$ . If a cancellation does not involve an extremum, the number of pairs of cells in the Morse complexes by two, and the number of cells in the Morse-Smale complex decreases by two. In the current approaches, after a cancellation of a 1-saddle and a 2-saddle in 3D, additional cancellations of maxima and 2-saddles, or minima and 1-saddles, are applied to eliminate the new cells in the Morse-Smale complexes created by the cancellation [15].

Here, we define two operators, which we call *removal* and *contraction*. They are defined in arbitrary dimensions, and are obtained by imposing additional constraints on a cancellation. They do not enlarge the incidence relation on the Morse complexes, they do not introduce new cells in the Morse-Smale complex, and they can be viewed as merging of cells in the Morse complexes.

A *removal* (of index *i*) of an *i*-saddle  $\sigma_i$  and an (i+1)-saddle  $\sigma_{i+1}$  is defined if  $\sigma_i$  is connected by a unique integral line to

- (i+1)-saddle  $\sigma_{i+1}$  and exactly one (i+1)-saddle  $\sigma'_{i+1}$  different from  $\sigma_{i+1}$ , or
- exactly one (i+1)-saddle  $\sigma_{i+1}$ .

In the first case, a removal of  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{i+1}$  is denoted as  $rem(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i, \sigma'_{i+1})$ , and in the second case as  $rem(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i, \emptyset)$ . In both cases, a removal is specified only by  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{i+1}$ . We include  $\sigma'_{i+1}$  in the notation to emphasize the condition for the feasibility of the operator, and to highlight the cells merged by it.

After a removal  $rem(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i, \sigma'_{i+1})$  of an *i*-saddle  $\sigma_i$  and an (i+1)-saddle  $\sigma_{i+1}$ , *i*cell  $\sigma_i$  is deleted in  $\Gamma_d$ , and (i+1)-cell  $\sigma_{i+1}$  is merged into (i+1)-cell  $\sigma'_{i+1}$ . All cells which were on the boundary of (i+1)-cell  $\sigma_{i+1}$  before a removal (except *i*-cell  $\sigma_i$ ) are on the boundary of (i+1)-cell  $\sigma'_{i+1}$  after the removal. An example of the effect of a removal  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \gamma')$  on a 3D descending Morse complex is illustrated in Figure 2 (a). After the removal, 1-cell  $\beta$  is deleted, and 2-cell  $\gamma$  is merged with the unique 2-cell  $\gamma'$ incident in  $\beta$  and different from  $\gamma$ .



**Fig. 2.** Part of a 3D descending Morse complex before and after a removal (a)  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \gamma')$ , and (b)  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \emptyset)$ .

After a removal  $rem(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i, \emptyset)$ , *i*-cell  $\sigma_i$  and (i + 1)-cell  $\sigma_{i+1}$  are deleted in  $\Gamma_d$ . An example of the effect of a removal  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \emptyset)$  on a 3D descending Morse complex is illustrated in Figure 2 (b). 1-cell  $\beta$  is incident to exactly one 2-cell  $\gamma$ . 2-cell  $\gamma$  is bounded by 1-cells  $\beta$  and  $\beta_1$ . 3-cell  $\delta$  is the only 3-cell in the co-boundary of  $\gamma$ . After the removal, cells  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are deleted, and the boundary and the co-boundary of all other cells remain unchanged.

Dually, a *contraction* (of index i + 1) of an (i + 1)-saddle  $\sigma_{i+1}$  and an *i*-saddle  $\sigma_i$  is defined if  $\sigma_{i+1}$  is connected by a unique integral line to

- *i*-saddle  $\sigma_i$ , and exactly one *i*-saddle  $\sigma'_i$  different from  $\sigma_i$ , or
- exactly one *i*-saddle  $\sigma_i$ .

In the first case, a contraction of  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{i+1}$  is denoted as  $con(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma'_i)$ , and in the second case as  $con(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}, \emptyset)$ .

The effect of a contraction of index *i* on  $\Gamma_d$  ( $\Gamma_a$ ) is the same as the effect of a removal of index n - i on  $\Gamma_a$  ( $\Gamma_d$ ). After a contraction  $con(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma'_i)$ , (i+1)-cell  $\sigma_{i+1}$  in  $\Gamma_d$  is deleted, *i*-cell  $\sigma_i$  is merged into *i*-cell  $\sigma'_i$  and all cells which were in the co-boundary of *i*-cell  $\sigma_i$  before a contraction (except (i+1)-cell  $\sigma_{i+1}$ ) are in the co-boundary of *i*-cell  $\sigma'_i$  after the contraction (*i*-cell  $\sigma_i$  is deleted, and each (i+1)-cell in the co-boundary of  $\sigma_i$  is extended to include a copy of (i+1)-cell  $\sigma_{i+1}$ ). An example of the effect of a contraction  $con(\beta, \gamma, \beta')$  on a 3D descending Morse complex is illustrated in Figure 3 (a). The two 1-cells  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are merged, and 2-cell  $\gamma$  is deleted.



**Fig. 3.** Part of a 3D descending Morse complex before and after a contraction  $con(\beta, \gamma, \beta')$  (a), and  $con(\beta, \gamma, \emptyset)$  (b).

After a contraction  $con(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}, \emptyset)$ , (i + 1)-cell  $\sigma_{i+1}$  and *i*-cell  $\sigma_i$  are deleted in  $\Gamma_d$ . An example of the effect of a contraction  $con(\beta, \gamma, \emptyset)$  on a 3D descending Morse complex is illustrated in Figure 3 (b). 1-cell  $\beta$  is the only 1-cell on the boundary of

2-cell  $\gamma$ . The only 3-cell in the co-boundary of 2-cell  $\gamma$  is  $\delta$ . After the contraction, 1-cell  $\beta$  and 2-cell  $\gamma$  are deleted.

It is possible to give a unifying definition of the two operators as a special case of a cancellation when one of the two canceled critical points satisfies certain valence conditions in the incidence graph. We define the two operators separately, due to the different (dual) geometric effect they have on the Morse complexes.

When i = 0 (and dually when i = n), a removal  $rem(\sigma_1, \sigma_0, \sigma'_1)$ , which is defined when a 0-cell  $\sigma_0$  is incident once to exactly two different 1-cells  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma'_1$  in  $\Gamma_d$ , has the same geometric effect as a contraction  $con(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma'_0)$ , where  $\sigma'_0$  is the other 0-cell on the boundary of 1-cell  $\sigma_1$ . For example, removal  $rem(\beta, \alpha, \beta')$  illustrated in Figure 4 (a) can also be viewed as contraction  $con(\alpha, \beta, \alpha')$ . Note that the result of a removal or contraction may be a complex which does not satisfy the condition stated in Section 2, as illustrated in Figure 4 (b).



**Fig. 4.** Part of a 3D descending Morse complex before and after a removal  $rem(\beta, \alpha, \beta')$  (or a contraction  $con(\alpha, \beta, \alpha')$ ).  $\gamma_3$  is a bubble-like 2-cell bounded by one 1-cell. (a) Operator is allowed. (b) Operator is not allowed.

Both removal and contraction can be interpreted as Euler operators, since they cancel a pair of cells of consecutive dimension in the two Morse complexes. Thus, Euler formula is satisfied after each simplification. The two operators are instances of the same Euler operator Kill\_i-Cell\_and\_(i + 1)-Cell in the descending complex, and to Kill\_(n - i)-Cell\_and\_(n - (i + 1))-Cell in the ascending complex.

We can show that the two operators form a basis of the set of operators for updating Morse complexes using the approach in [19]. Each operator c which simplifies Morse complexes in a topologically consistent manner maintains the Euler formula, and thus can be expressed as a vector  $c = (c_0, c_1, ..., c_n)$  with positive integer coordinates  $c_i$ ,  $0 \le i \le n$ , in an *n*-dimensional discrete subspace V of an (n+1)-dimensional discrete space, defined by  $c_0 - c_1 + ... + (-1)^n c_n = 0$ . The *i*th coordinate  $c_i$  corresponds to the number of *i*-cells removed by operator c. A removal (and a contraction)  $b_i$  of an *i*-cell p and an (i+1)-cell q,  $0 \le i \le n-1$ , can be expressed as a vector  $b_i = (a_0, a_1, ..., a_n)$  in V, where  $a_i = a_{i+1} = 1$ , and  $a_j = 0$ ,  $j \ne i, i+1$ . The set of all such vectors obviously forms a basis of subspace V. The above argument does not provide an algorithm for expressing an arbitrary operator c as a sequence of basis operators. As pointed out in [19], if  $c = k_0b_0 + k_1b_1 + ... + k_{n-1}b_{n-1}$  then c may be expressed through  $K_i \ge k_i$ simplifications  $b_i$  and  $K_i - k_i$  refinements inverse to  $b_i$ . Moreover, the entities (cells in Morse complexes, or critical points of f) which are introduced by inverse operations are not restricted to belong to a fixed set of entities of the initial full-resolution model.



**Fig. 5.** A sequence of cancellations (top), and of removals and contractions (bottom) on a 3D descending Morse complex, which produce the same simplified Morse complex.

We illustrate the above argument on a simple example. After a cancellation of a 1-cell  $\beta$  and a 2-cell  $\gamma$  in a 3D descending Morse complex  $\Gamma_d$  shown in Figure 5 (top), each 1-cell  $\beta_i$  (and each 0-cell  $\alpha_j$ ) in  $\Gamma_d$  on the boundary of  $\gamma$  becomes incident to each 2-cell  $\gamma_k$  (and to each 3-cell  $\delta_l$ ) in the co-boundary of  $\beta$ . Each such pair of incident cells (e.g.  $\delta_1$  and  $\alpha_1$ ) induces a new cell in the Morse-Smale complex, which is eliminated by subsequent cancellations of 1-cells and 0-cells (e.g.  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$ ), and of 2-cells and 3-cells (e.g.  $\delta_1$  and  $\gamma_1$ ) [15]. Such a sequence of cancellations can be expressed as a (simpler) sequence of contractions (of 1-cells and 0-cells) and removals (of 2-cells and 3-cells), which do not have a side-effect of introducing new cells in the Morse-Smale complex, as illustrated in Figure 5 (bottom).

### 6 Removal and Contraction on the Incidence Graph

In this Section, we illustrate the effect of removals and contractions on the incidence graph and on the corresponding incidence-based representation.



**Fig. 6.** Effect of a removal  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \gamma')$  on a 3D descending Morse complex (a), and on a part of the corresponding incidence graph (b).

Before a removal  $rem(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i, \sigma'_{i+1})$ , node  $\sigma_i$  in the incidence graph G = (N, A) is connected through an arc in A to exactly two different nodes  $\sigma_{i+1}$  and  $\sigma'_{i+1}$  at level i+1 (the label of those arcs is 1), and to an arbitrary number of nodes at level i-1. The number of arcs incident to nodes  $\sigma_{i+1}$  or  $\sigma'_{i+1}$  may be arbitrary. For example, before a removal  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \gamma')$  illustrated in Figure 6, node  $\beta$  at level 1 is connected to exactly two different nodes  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  at level 2.

In terms of the incidence graph G = (N, A), a removal  $rem(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i, \sigma'_{i+1})$  can be expressed as the deletion of the arcs connecting  $\sigma_i$  to lower-level nodes and of arcs connecting  $\sigma_{i+1}$  to higher-level nodes, and merging of nodes  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{i+1}$  into node  $\sigma'_{i+1}$  by contraction of arcs connecting  $\sigma_i$  to  $\sigma_{i+1}$  and to  $\sigma'_{i+1}$ . For each arc connecting (i + 1)-level node  $\sigma_{i+1}$  to an *i*-level node  $\tau \neq \sigma_i$ , such that  $\sigma'_{i+1}$  and  $\tau$  are connected through an arc in A, the label of the arc connecting  $\sigma'_{i+1}$  and  $\tau$  is increased by the label of the arc connecting  $\sigma_{i+1}$  and  $\tau$ .

In Figure 6, after a removal  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \gamma')$ , arcs connecting node  $\beta$  to 0-level nodes  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  (not illustrated in the Figure) and arcs connecting  $\gamma$  to 3-level nodes  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  (not illustrated in the Figure) are deleted. Nodes  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are merged into node  $\gamma'$ , by contracting arcs connecting  $\beta$  to  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ , i.e., arcs connecting  $\gamma$  to  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  are replaced by arcs connecting  $\gamma'$  to  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$ .

In the example shown in Figure 7, before a removal  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \gamma')$ , 1-cell  $\beta_1$  is incident to 2-cells  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  once. After the removal,  $\beta_1$  is incident twice to  $\gamma'$ .



**Fig.7.** Effect of a removal  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \gamma')$  on a 2D descending Morse complex (a), and on a part of the incidence graph (b). The label of the arc connecting nodes  $\beta_1$  and  $\gamma'$  is increased after the removal.



**Fig. 8.** Effect of a removal  $rem(\gamma, \beta, \emptyset)$  on a 3D descending Morse complex (a), and on a part of the corresponding incidence graph (b).

Before a removal  $rem(\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_i, \emptyset)$  of the second kind, node  $\sigma_i$  at level *i* in the incidence graph is connected through an arc in *A* to exactly one node  $\sigma_{i+1}$  at level *i* + 1. After the removal, nodes  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_{i+1}$  together with all arcs incident in them are deleted, as illustrated in Figure 8.

A contraction  $con(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma'_i)$  is expressed in terms of the graph in a completely dual fashion. Its description is omitted here for brevity.

The effect on the incidence-based representation, that is on the combination of the incidence graph with the underlying simplicial decomposition of the domain, is restricted to the incidence graph when a simplification operator does not involve an extremum. When we perform a removal  $rem(\sigma_n, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma'_n)$ , then the partition of the *n*-simplexes of the underlying mesh into descending cells of maxima is updated by merging the set of *n*-simplexes forming the descending cell of  $\sigma_n$  into set of *n*-simplexes forming the descending cell of  $\sigma_0$ ,  $\sigma_1, \sigma'_0$  merges *n*-simplexes of the ascending cell of  $\sigma_0$  with *n*-simplexes of the ascending cell of  $\sigma'_0$ .

# 7 Concluding Remarks

We have defined removal and contraction operators for simplifying *n*-dimensional Morse complexes. We have expressed them as Euler operators, thus providing a minimal set of atomic operators for updating Morse complexes. We have shown the effect of such simplification operators on the incidence graph representation of the two Morse complexes.

We are currently working on the definition of a multi-scale representation for Morse complexes, which will provide a combinatorial description of the ascending and descending Morse complexes at different levels of abstraction. This will be obtained by defining the inverse operators of removal and contraction operators, a dependency relation between such operators, and by using an incidence graph representation for the complexes. We are also planning to implement the simplification operators and the multi-scale model for 3D scalar fields. Our future work would be in the direction of combining a multi-scale morphological representation with a mesh-based multi-resolution representation of the field.

# Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant CCF-0541032, by the MIUR-FIRB project SHALOM under contract number RBIN04HWR8, and by the Ministry of Science of the Republic of Serbia through Project 23036.

# References

- C. L. Bajaj and D. R. Shikore. Topology Preserving Data Simplification with Error Bounds. Computers and Graphics, 22(1):3–12, 1998.
- T. Banchoff. Critical Points and Curvature for Embedded Polyhedral Surfaces. American Mathematical Monthly, 77(5):475–485, 1970.

- P. Bhaniramka, R. Wenger, and R. Crawfis. Isosurfacing in Higher Dimensions. In Proceedings IEEE Visualization 2000, pages 267–273. IEEE Computer Society, Oct. 2000.
- S. Biasotti, L. De Floriani, B. Falcidieno, and L. Papaleo. Morphological Representations of Scalar Fields. In L. De Floriani and M. Spagnuolo, editors, *Shape Analysis and Structuring*, pages 185–213. Springer Verlag, 2008.
- P.-T. Bremer, H. Edelsbrunner, B. Hamann, and V. Pascucci. A Topological Hierarchy for Functions on Triangulated Surfaces. *Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 10(4):385–396, July/August 2004.
- F. Cazals, F. Chazal, and T. Lewiner. Molecular Shape Analysis Based upon the Morse-Smale Complex and the Connolly Function. In *Proceedings of the nineteenth Annual Symposium* on Computational Geometry, pages 351–360, New York, USA, 2003. ACM Press.
- L. Čomić and L. De Floriani. Cancellation of Critical Points in 2D and 3D Morse and Morse-Smale Complexes. In *Discrete Geometry for Computer Imagery (DGCI), Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, volume 4992, pages 117–128, Lyon, France, Apr 16-18 2008. Springer-Verlag GmbH.
- E. Danovaro, L. De Floriani, and M. M. Mesmoudi. Topological Analysis and Characterization of Discrete Scalar Fields. In T.Asano, R.Klette, and C.Ronse, editors, *Theoretical Foundations of Computer Vision, Geometry, Morphology, and Computational Imaging*, volume LNCS 2616, pages 386–402. Springer Verlag, 2003.
- L. De Floriani and A. Hui. Shape Representations Based on Cell and Simplicial Complexes. In *Eurographics 2007, State-of-the-art Report*. September 2007.
- 10. H. Edelsbrunner. Algorithms in Combinatorial Geometry. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer, V. Natarajan, and V. Pascucci. Morse-Smale Complexes for Piecewise Linear 3-Manifolds. In *Proceedings 19th ACM Symposium on Computational Geome*try, pages 361–370, 2003.
- H. Edelsbrunner, J. Harer, and A. Zomorodian. Hierarchical Morse Complexes for Piecewise Linear 2-Manifolds. In *Proceedings 17th ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry*, pages 70–79, 2001.
- R. Forman. Morse Theory for Cell Complexes. *Advances in Mathematics*, 134:90–145, 1998.
- T. Gerstner and R. Pajarola. Topology Preserving and Controlled Topology Simplifying Multi-Resolution Isosurface Extraction. In *Proceedings IEEE Visualization 2000*, pages 259–266, 2000.
- A. Gyulassy, V. Natarajan, V. Pascucci, P.-T. Bremer, and B. Hamann. Topology-Based Simplification for Feature Extraction from 3D Scalar Fields. In *Proceedings IEEE Visualization*'05, pages 275–280. ACM Press, 2005.
- A. Gyulassy, V. Natarajan, V. Pascucci, P.-T. Bremer, and B. Hamann. A Topological Approach to Simplification of Three-Dimensional Scalar Functions. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 12(4):474–484, 2006.
- T. Illetschko, A. Ion, Y. Haxhimusa, and W. G. Kropatsch. Collapsing 3D Combinatorial Maps. In F. Lenzen, O. Scherzer, and M. Vincze, editors, *Proceedings of the 30th OEAGM Workshop*, pages 85–93, Obergurgl, Austria, 2006. sterreichische Computer Gesellschaft.
- P. Magillo, E. Danovaro, L. De Floriani, L. Papaleo, and M. Vitali. Extracting Terrain Morphology: A New Algorithm and a Comparative Evaluation. In *2nd International Conference on Computer Graphics Theory and Applications*, pages 13–20, March 8–11 2007.
- 19. M. Mantyla. An Introduction to Solid Modeling. Computer Science Press, 1988.
- 20. J. Milnor. Morse Theory. Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1963.
- X. Ni, M. Garland, and J. C. Hart. Fair Morse Functions for Extracting the Topological Structure of a Surface Mesh. In *International Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques ACM SIGGRAPH*, pages 613–622, 2004.

- V. Pascucci. Topology Diagrams of Scalar Fields in Scientific Visualization. In S. Rana, editor, *Topological Data Structures for Surfaces*, pages 121–129. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2004.
- S. Takahashi, T. Ikeda, T. L. Kunii, and M. Ueda. Algorithms for Extracting Correct Critical Points and Constructing Topological Graphs from Discrete Geographic Elevation Data. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 14, pages 181–192, 1995.
- 24. S. Takahashi, Y. Takeshima, and I. Fujishiro. Topological Volume Skeletonization and its Application to Transfer Function Design. *Graphical Models*, 66(1):24–49, 2004.
- G. H. Weber, G. Schueuermann, H. Hagen, and B. Hamann. Exploring Scalar Fields Using Critical Isovalues. In *Proceedings IEEE Visualization 2002*, pages 171–178. IEEE Computer Society, 2002.
- G. H. Weber, G. Schueuermann, and B. Hamann. Detecting Critical Regions in Scalar Fields. In G.-P. Bonneau, S. Hahmann, and C. D. Hansen, editors, *Proceedings Data Visualization Symposium*, pages 85–94. ACM Press, New York, 2003.
- C. Weigle and D.Banks. Extracting Iso-Valued Features in 4-dimensional Scalar Fields. In Proceedings IEEE Visualization 1998, pages 103–110. IEEE Computer Society, Oct. 1998.
- G. W. Wolf. Topographic Surfaces and Surface Networks. In S. Rana, editor, *Topological Data Structures for Surfaces*, pages 15–29. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2004.