Chittaranjan Kole Editor

Wild Crop Relatives: Genomic and Breeding Resources Legume Crops and Forages



Wild Crop Relatives: Genomic and Breeding Resources

Chittaranjan Kole Editor

Wild Crop Relatives: Genomic and Breeding Resources

Legume Crops and Forages



Editor Prof. Chittaranjan Kole Director of Research Institute of Nutraceutical Research Clemson University 109 Jordan Hall Clemson, SC 29634 CKOLE@clemson.edu

ISBN 978-3-642-14386-1 e-ISBN 978-3-642-14387-8 DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-14387-8 Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011922649

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: deblik, Berlin

printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Dedication

Dr. Norman Ernest Borlaug,¹ the Father of Green Revolution, is well respected for his contributions to science and society. There was or is not and never will be a single person on this Earth whose single-handed service to science could save millions of people from death due to starvation over a period of over four decades like Dr. Borlaug's. Even the Nobel Peace Prize he received in 1970 does not do such a great and noble person as Dr. Borlaug justice. His life and contributions are well known and will remain in the pages of history of science. I wish here only to share some facets of this elegant and ideal personality I had been blessed to observe during my personal interactions with him.

It was early 2007 while I was at the Clemson University as a visiting scientist one of my lab colleagues told me that "somebody wants to talk to you; he



appears to be an old man." I took the telephone receiver casually and said hello. The response from the other side was – "I am Norman Borlaug; am I talking to Chitta?" Even a million words would be insufficient to define and depict the exact feelings and thrills I experienced at that moment!

¹The photo of Dr. Borlaug was kindly provided by Julie Borlaug (Norman Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M Agriculture) the granddaughter of Dr. Borlaug.

I had seen Dr. Borlaug only once, way back in 1983, when he came to New Delhi, India to deliver the Coromandal Lecture organized by Prof. M.S. Swaminathan on the occasion of the 15th International Genetic Congress. However, my real interaction with him began in 2004 when I had been formulating a 7-volume book series entitled Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants. Initially, I was neither confident of my ability as a series/book editor nor of the quality of the contents of the book volumes. I sent an email to Dr. Borlaug attaching the table of contents and the tentative outline of the chapters along with manuscripts of only a few sample chapters, including one authored by me and others, to learn about his views as a source of inspiration (or caution!) I was almost sure that a person of his stature would have no time and purpose to get back to a small science worker like me. To my utter (and pleasant) surprise I received an email from him that read: "May all Ph.D.'s, future scientists, and students that are devoted to agriculture get an inspiration as it refers to your work or future work from the pages of this important book. My wholehearted wishes for a success on your important job." I got a shot in my arm (and in mind for sure)! Rest is a pleasant experience – the seven volumes were published by Springer in 2006 and 2007, and were welcome and liked by students, scientists and their societies, libraries, and industries. As a token of my humble regards and gratitude, I sent Dr. Borlaug the Volume I on Cereals and Millets that was published in 2006. And here started my discovery of the simplest person on Earth who solved the most complex and critical problem of people on it – hunger and death.

Just one month after receiving the volume, Dr. Borlaug called me one day and said, "Chitta, you know I cannot read a lot now-a-days, but I have gone through only on the chapters on wheat, maize and rice. Please excuse me. Other chapters of this and other volumes of the series will be equally excellent, I believe." He was highly excited to know that many other Nobel Laureates including Profs. Arthur Kornberg, Werner Arber, Phillip Sharp, Günter Blobel, and Lee Hartwell also expressed generous comments regarding the utility and impact of the book series on science and the academic society. While we were discussing many other textbooks and review book series that I was editing at that time, again in my night hours for the benefit of students, scientists, and industries, he became emotional and said to me, "Chitta, forget about your original contributions to basic and applied sciences, you deserved Nobel Prize for Peace like me for providing academic foods to millions of starving students and scientists over the world particularly in the developing countries. I will recommend your name for the World Food Prize, but it will not do enough justice to the sacrifice you are doing for science and society in your sleepless nights over so many years. Take some rest Chitta and give time to Phullara, Sourav and Devleena" (he was so particular to ask about my wife and our kids during most of our conversations). I felt honored but really very ashamed as I am aware of my almost insignificant contribution in comparison to his monumental contribution and thousands of scientists over the world are doing at least hundred-times better jobs than me as scientist or author/editor of books! So, I was unable to utter any words for a couple of minutes but realized later that he must been too affectionate to me and his huge affection is the best award for a small science worker as me!

In another occasion he wanted some documents from me. I told him that I will send them as attachments in emails. Immediately he shouted and told me: "You know, Julie (his granddaughter) is not at home now and I cannot check email myself. Julie does this for me. I can type myself in type writer but I am not good in computer. You know what, I have a xerox machine and it receives fax also. Send me

the documents by fax." Here was the ever-present child in him. Julie emailed me later to send the documents as attachment to her as the "xerox machine" of Dr. Borlaug ran out of ink!

Another occasion is when I was talking with him in a low voice, and he immediately chided me: "You know that I cannot hear well now-a-days; I don't know where Julie has kept the hearing apparatus, can't you speak louder?" Here was the fatherly figure who was eager to hear each of my words!

I still shed tears when I remember during one of our telephone conversations he asked: "You know I have never seen you, can you come to Dallas in the near future by chance?" I remember we were going through a financial paucity at that time and I could not make a visit to Dallas (Texas) to see him, though it would have been a great honor.

In late 2007, whenever I tried to talk to Dr. Borlaug, he used to beckon Julie to bring the telephone to him, and in course of time Julie used to keep alive all the communications between us when he slowly succumbed to his health problems.

The remaining volumes of the *Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants* series were published in 2007, and I sent him all the seven volumes. I wished to learn about his views. During this period he could not speak and write well. Julie prepared a letter based on his words to her that read: "Dear Chitta, I have reviewed the seven volumes of the series on *Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants*, which you have authored. You have brought together genetic linkage maps based on molecular markers for the most important crop species that will be a valuable guide and tool to further molecular crop improvements. Congratulations for a job well done."

During one of our conversations in mid-2007, he asked me what other book projects I was planning for Ph.D. students and scientists (who had always been his all-time beloved folks). I told him that the wealth of wild species already utilized and to be utilized for genetic analysis and improvement of domesticated crop species have not been deliberated in any book project. He was very excited and told me to take up the book project as soon as possible. But during that period I had a huge commitment to editing a number of book volumes and could not start the series he was so interested about.

His sudden demise in September 2009 kept me so morose for a number of months that I could not even communicate my personal loss to Julie. But in the meantime, I formulated a 10-volume series on *Wild Crop Relatives: Genomic and Breeding Resources* for Springer. And whom else to dedicate this series to other than Dr. Borlaug!

I wrote to Julie for her formal permission and she immediately wrote me: "Chitta, Thank you for contacting me and yes I think my grandfather would be honored with the dedication of the series. I remember him talking of you and this undertaking quite often. Congratulations on all that you have accomplished!" This helped me a lot as I could at least feel consoled that I could do a job he wanted me to do and I will always remain grateful to Julie for this help and also for taking care of Dr. Borlaug, not only as his granddaughter but also as the representative of millions of poor people from around the world and hundreds of plant and agricultural scientists who try to follow his philosophy and worship him as a father figure.

It is another sad experience of growing older in life that we walk alone and miss the affectionate shadows, inspirations, encouragements, and blessings from the fatherly figures in our professional and personal lives. How I wish I could treat my next generations in the same way as personalities like Mother Teresa and Dr. Norman Borlaug and many other great people from around the world treated me! During most of our conversations he used to emphasize on the immediate impact of research on the society and its people. A couple of times he even told me that my works on molecular genetics and biotechnology, particularly of 1980s and 1990s, have high fundamental importance, but I should also do some works that will benefit people immediately. This advice elicited a change in my thoughts and workplans and since then I have been devotedly endeavoring to develop crop varieties enriched with phytomedicines and nutraceuticals. Borlaug influenced both my personal and professional life, particularly my approach to science, and I dedicate this series to him in remembrance of his great contribution to science and society and for all his personal affection, love and blessings for me.

I emailed the above draft of the dedication page to Julie for her views and I wish to complete my humble dedication with great satisfaction with the words of Julie who served as the living ladder for me to reach and stay closer to such as great human being as Dr. Borlaug and express my deep regards and gratitude to her. Julie's email read: "Chitta, Thank you for sending me the draft dedication page. I really enjoyed reading it and I think you captured my grandfather's spirit wonderfully.... So thank you very much for your beautiful words. I know he would be and is honored."

Clemson, USA

Chittaranjan Kole

Preface

Wild crop relatives have been playing enormously important roles both in the depiction of plant genomes and the genetic improvement of their cultivated counterparts. They have contributed immensely to resolving several fundamental questions, particularly those related to the origin, evolution, phylogenetic relationship, cytological status and inheritance of genes of an array of crop plants; provided several desirable donor genes for the genetic improvement of their domesticated counterparts; and facilitated the innovation of many novel concepts and technologies while working on them directly or while using their resources. More recently, they have even been used for the verification of their potential threats of gene flow from genetically modified plants and invasive habits. Above all, some of them are contributing enormously as model plant species to the elucidation and amelioration of the genomes of crop plant species.

As a matter of fact, as a student, a teacher, and a humble science worker I was, still am and surely will remain fascinated by the wild allies of crop plants for their invaluable wealth for genetics, genomics and breeding in crop plants and as such share a deep concern for their conservation and comprehensive characterization for future utilization. It is by now a well established fact that wild crop relatives deserve serious attention for domestication, especially for the utilization of their phytomedicines and nutraceuticals, bioenergy production, soil reclamation, and the phytoremediation of ecology and environment. While these vastly positive impacts of wild crop relatives on the development and deployment of new varieties for various purposes in the major crop plants of the world agriculture, along with a few negative potential concerns, are envisaged the need for reference books with comprehensive deliberations on the wild relatives of all the major field and plantation crops and fruit and forest trees is indeed imperative. This was the driving force behind the inception and publication of this series.

Unlike the previous six book projects I have edited alone or with co-editors, this time it was very difficult to formulate uniform outlines for the chapters of this book series for several obvious reasons. Firstly, the status of the crop relatives is highly diverse. Some of them are completely wild, some are sporadically cultivated and some are at the initial stage of domestication for specific breeding objectives recently deemed essential. Secondly, the status of their conservation varies widely: some have been conserved, characterized and utilized; some have been eroded completely except for their presence in their center(s) of origin; some are at-risk or endangered due to genetic erosion, and some of them have yet to be explored. The third constraint is the variation in their relative worth, e.g. as academic model, breeding resource, and/or potential as "new crops."

The most perplexing problem for me was to assign the chapters each on a particular genus to different volumes dedicated to crop relatives of diverse crops grouped based on their utility. This can be exemplified with *Arabidopsis*, which has primarily benefited the Brassicaceae crops but also facilitated genetic analyses and improvement in crop plants in other distant families; or with many wild relatives of forage crops that paved the way for the genetic analyses and breeding of some major cereal and millet crops. The same is true for wild crop relatives such as *Medicago truncatula*, which has paved the way for in-depth research on two crop groups of diverse use: oilseed and pulse crops belonging to the Fabaceae family. The list is too long to enumerate. I had no other choice but to compromise and assign the genera of crop relatives in a volume on the crop group to which they are taxonomically the closest and to which they have relatively greater contributions. For example, I placed the chapter on genus *Arabidopsis* in the volume on oilseeds, which deals with the wild relatives of Brassicaceae crops amongst others.

However, we have tried to include deliberations pertinent to the individual genera of the wild crop relatives to which the chapters are devoted. Descriptions of the geographical locations of origin and genetic diversity, geographical distribution, karyotype and genome size, morphology, etc. have been included for most of them. Their current utility status – whether recognized as model species, weeds, invasive species or potentially cultivable taxa – is also delineated. The academic, agricultural, medicinal, ecological, environmental and industrial potential of both the cultivated and/or wild allied taxa are discussed.

The conservation of wild crop relatives is a much discussed yet equally neglected issue albeit the in situ and ex situ conservations of some luckier species were initiated earlier or are being initiated now. We have included discussions on what has happened and what is happening with regard to the conservation of the crop relatives, thanks to the national and international endeavors, in most of the chapters and also included what should happen for the wild relatives of the so-called new, minor, orphan or future crops.

The botanical origin, evolutionary pathway and phylogenetic relationship of crop plants have always attracted the attention of plant scientists. For these studies morphological attributes, cytological features and biochemical parameters were used individually or in combinations at different periods based on the availability of the required tools and techniques. Access to different molecular markers based on nuclear and especially cytoplasmic DNAs that emerged after 1980 refined the strategies required for precise and unequivocal conclusions regarding these aspects. Illustrations of these classical and recent tools have been included in the chapters.

Positioning genes and defining gene functions required in many cases different cytogenetic stocks, including substitution lines, addition lines, haploids, monoploids and aneuploids, particularly in polyploid crops. These aspects have been dealt in the relevant chapters. Employment of colchiploidy, fluorescent or genomic in situ hybridization and Southern hybridization have reinforced the theoretical and applied studies on these stocks. Chapters on relevant genera/species include details on these cytogenetic stocks.

Wild crop relatives, particularly wild allied species and subspecies, have been used since the birth of genetics in the twentieth century in several instances such as studies of inheritance, linkage, function, transmission and evolution of genes. They have been frequently used in genetic studies since the advent of molecular markers. Their involvement in molecular mapping has facilitated the development of mapping populations with optimum polymorphism to construct saturated maps and also illuminating the organization, reorganization and functional aspects of genes and genomes. Many phenomena such as genomic duplication, genome reorganization, self-incompatibility, segregation distortion, transgressive segregation and defining genes and their phenotypes have in many cases been made possible due to the utilization of wild species or subspecies. Most of the chapters contain detailed elucidations on these aspects.

The richness of crop relatives with biotic and abiotic stress resistance genes was well recognized and documented with the transfer of several alien genes into their cultivated counterparts through wide or distant hybridization with or without employing embryo-rescue and mutagenesis. However, the amazing revelation that the wild relatives are also a source of yield-related genes is a development of the molecular era. Apomictic genes are another asset of many crop relatives that deserve mention. All of these past and the present factors have led to the realization that the so-called inferior species are highly superior in conserving desirable genes and can serve as a goldmine for breeding elite plant varieties. This is particularly true at a point when natural genetic variability has been depleted or exhausted in most of the major crop species, particularly due to growing and promoting only a handful of so-called high-yielding varieties while disregarding the traditional cultivars and landraces. In the era of molecular breeding, we can map desirable genes and polygenes, identify their donors and utilize tightly linked markers for gene introgression, mitigating the constraint of linkage drag, and even pyramid genes from multiple sources, cultivated or wild taxa. The evaluation of primary, secondary and tertiary gene pools and utilization of their novel genes is one of the leading strategies in present-day plant breeding. It is obvious that many wide hybridizations will never be easy and involve near-impossible constraints such as complete or partial sterility. In such cases gene cloning and gene discovery, complemented by intransgenic breeding, will hopefully pave the way for success. The utilization of wild relatives through traditional and molecular breeding has been thoroughly enumerated over the chapters throughout this series.

Enormous genomic resources have been developed in the model crop relatives, for example *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Medicago truncatula*. BAC, cDNA and EST libraries have also been developed in some other crop relatives. Transcriptomes and metabolomes have also been dissected in some of them. However, similar genomic resources are yet to be constructed in many crop relatives. Hence this section has been included only in chapters on the relevant genera.

In this book series, we have included a section on recommendations for future steps to create awareness about the wealth of wild crop relatives in society at large and also for concerns for their alarmingly rapid decrease due to genetic erosion. The authors of the chapters have also emphasized on the imperative requirement of their conservation, envisaging the importance of biodiversity. The importance of intellectual property rights and also farmers' rights as owners of local landraces, botanical varieties, wild species and subspecies has also been dealt in many of the chapters.

I feel satisfied that the authors of the chapters in this series have deliberated on all the crucial aspects relevant to a particular genus in their chapters.

I am also very pleased to present many chapters in this series authored by a large number of globally reputed leading scientists, many of whom have contributed to the development of novel concepts, strategies and tools of genetics, genomics and breeding and/or pioneered the elucidation and improvement of particular plant

genomes using both traditional and molecular tools. Many of them have already retired or will be retiring soon, leaving behind their legacies and philosophies for us to follow and practice. I am saddened that a few of them have passed away during preparation of the manuscripts for this series. At the same time, I feel blessed that all of these stalwarts shared equally with me the wealth of crop relatives and contributed to their recognition and promotion through this endeavor.

I would also like to be candid with regard to my own limitations. Initially I planned for about 150 chapters devoted to the essential genera of wild crop relatives. However, I had to exclude some of them either due to insignificant progress made on them during the preparation of this series, my failure to identify interested authors willing to produce acceptable manuscripts in time or authors' backing out in the last minute, leaving no time to find replacements. I console myself for this lapse with the rationale that it is simply too large a series to achieve complete satisfaction on the contents. Still I was able to arrange about 125 chapters in the ten volumes, contributed by nearly 400 authors from over 40 countries of the world. I extend my heartfelt thanks to all these scientists, who have cooperated with me since the inception of this series not only with their contributions, but also in some cases by suggesting suitable authors for chapters on other genera. As happens with a megaseries, a few authors had delays for personal or professional reasons, and in a few cases, for no reason at all. This caused delays in the publication of some of the volumes and forced the remaining authors to update their manuscripts and wait too long to see their manuscripts in published form. I do shoulder all the responsibilities for this myself and tender my sincere apologies.

Another unique feature of this series is that the authors of chapters dedicated to some genera have dedicated their chapters to scientists who pioneered the exploration, description and utilization of the wild species of those genera. We have duly honored their sincere decision with equal respect for the scientists they rightly reminded us to commemorate.

Editing this series was, to be honest, very taxing and painstaking, as my own expertise is limited to a few cereal, oilseed, pulse, vegetable, and fruit crops, and some medicinal and aromatic plants. I spent innumerable nights studying to attain the minimum eligibility to edit the manuscripts authored by experts with even life-time contributions on the concerned genera or species. However, this indirectly awakened the "student-for-life" within me and enriched my arsenal with so many new concepts, strategies, tools, techniques and even new terminologies! Above all, this helped me to realize that individually we know almost nothing about the plants on this planet! And this realization strikingly reminded me of the affectionate and sincere advice of Dr. Norman Borlaug to keep abreast with what is happening in the crop sciences, which he used to do himself even when he had been advised to strictly limit himself to bed rest. He was always enthusiastic about this series and inspired me to take up this huge task. This is one of the personal and professional reasons I dedicated this book series to him with a hope that the present and future generations of plant scientists will share the similar feelings of love and respect for all plants around us for the sake of meeting our never-ending needs for food, shelter, clothing, medicines, and all other items used for our basic requirements and comfort. I am also grateful to his granddaughter, Julie Borlaug, for kindly extending her permission to dedicate this series to him.

I started editing books with the 7-volume series on Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants with Springer way back in 2005, and I have since edited many other book series with Springer. I always feel proud and satisfied to be a member of the Springer family, particularly because of my warm and enriching working relationship with Dr. Sabine Schwarz and Dr. Jutta Lindenborn, with whom I have been working all along. My special thanks go out to them for publishing this "dream series" in an elegant form and also for appreciating my difficulties and accommodating many of my last-minute changes and updates.

I would be remiss in my duties if I failed to mention the contributions of Phullara – my wife, friend, philosopher and guide – who has always shared with me a love of the collection, conservation, evaluation, and utilization of wild crop relatives and has enormously supported me in the translation of these priorities in my own research endeavors – for her assistance in formulating the contents of this series, for monitoring its progress and above all for taking care of all the domestic and personal responsibilities I am supposed to shoulder. I feel myself alien to the digital world that is the sine qua non today for maintaining constant communication and ensuring the preparation of manuscripts in a desirable format. Our son Sourav and daughter Devleena made my life easier by balancing out my limitations and also by willingly sacrificing the spare amount of time I ought to spend with them. Editing of this series would not be possible without their unwavering support.

I take the responsibility for any lapses in content, format and approach of the series and individual volumes and also for any other errors, either scientific or linguistic, and will look forward to receiving readers' corrections or suggestions for improvement.

As I mentioned earlier this series consists of ten volumes. These volumes are dedicated to wild relatives of Cereals, Millets and Grasses, Oilseeds, Legume Crops and Forages, Vegetables, Temperate Fruits, Tropical and Subtropical Fruits, Industrial Crops, Plantation and Ornamental Crops, and Forest Trees.

This volume "Wild Crop Relatives – Genomic and Breeding Resources: Legume Crops and Forages" includes 15 chapters dedicated to *Arachis, Cajanus, Chenopodium, Cicer, Glycine, Lathyrus, Lens, Lotus, Lupinus, Medicago, Phaseolus, Pisum, Trifolium, Vicia,* and *Vigna.* The chapters of this volume were authored by 47 scientists from 9 countries of the world, namely Australia, Bolivia, Brazil, India, Japan, New Zealand, Poland, UK, and the USA.

It is my sincere hope that this volume and the series as a whole will serve the requirements of students, scientists and industries involved in studies, teaching, research and the extension of legume crops and forages with an intention of serving science and society.

Clemson, USA

Chittaranjan Kole

Contents

1	Arachis	1
2	<i>Cajanus</i> Nalini Mallikarjuna, K.B. Saxena, and D.R. Jadhav	21
3	<i>Chenopodium</i> Eric N. Jellen, Bozena A. Kolano, Maria C. Sederberg, Alejandro Bonifacio, and Peter J. Maughan	35
4	<i>Cicer</i> Nalini Mallikarjuna, Clarice Coyne, Seungho Cho, Sheri Rynearson, P.N. Rajesh, Deepak R. Jadhav, and Fred J. Muehlbauer	63
5	<i>Glycine</i>	83
6	<i>Lathyrus</i> Allison M. Gurung and Edwin C.K. Pang	117
7	<i>Lens</i> Dorin Gupta, Rebecca Ford, and Paul W.J. Taylor	127
8	Lotus	141
9	<i>Lupinus</i> Bogdan Wolko, Jon C. Clements, Barbara Naganowska, Matthew N. Nelson, and Hua'an Yang	153
10	<i>Medicago</i> Iryna Sanders, Leonid Sukharnikov, Fares Z. Najar, and Bruce A. Roe	207
11	<i>Phaseolus</i> Francisco J.L. Aragão, Rosana P.V. Brondani, and Marília L. Burle	223
12	<i>Pisum</i> T.H.N. Ellis	237
13	<i>Trifolium</i>	249

14	Vicia	273
	John A. Bryant and Stephen G. Hughes	
15	<i>Vigna</i> Norihiko Tomooka, Akito Kaga, Takehisa Isemura, and Dungan Vaughan	291
Ind		212
ina	ex	515

Abbreviations

α-EST	α-esterase
ψleaf	Leaf water potential
1C	DNA content of the haploid genome
2C	DNA content of the diploid genome
AB	Advanced backcross
ACP	Acid phosphatase
AFLP	Amplified fragment length polymorphism
ALC	Australian Lupin Collection
AM	Association mapping
AND	Andean subregion of South America
ANF	Anti-nutritional factor
APS	Adenosine 5' phosphosulfate
ARS	Agricultural Research Service
ASL	Apical segment length
ASPADERUC	Association for Rural Development in Cajamarca
AS-PCR	Allele-specific PCR
ATL	Atlantic subregion of South America
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
BAC	Bacterial artificial chromosome
BBSRC	Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council
BC	Backcross
BC_1F_1	First filial generation of backcross with the first parent
BES	BAC-end sequence
BGM	Botrytis gold mold
BGMV	Bean golden mosaic virus
BP	Branching pattern
BSL	Basal segment length
BYMV	Bean yellow mosaic virus
CCD	Charge coupled device
cDNA	Complementary DNA
CENARGEN	Centro Nacional de Pesquisas de Recursos Genéticos
	e Biotecnologia (Brazilian National Center for Genetic
	Resources and Biotechnology)
CEW	Corn earworm
CGIAR	Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research
CI	Centromere index

CIAT	Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (International Center
	for Tropical Agriculture)
CIP	International Potato Center
cM	Centi-Morgan
CMS	Cytoplasmic male sterility
CMV	Cucumber mosaic virus
CONDESAN	Consortium for the Sustainable Development of the Andean
	Ecoregion
cpDNA	Chloroplast-DNA
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization
cv.	Cultivar
CWR	Crop wild relatives
CyTed	Ibero-American Development Programme for Science and
	Technology
D	Nei's genetic distance
DAAL	Disomic alien addition line
DAFWA	Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia
DAP	Days after pollination
DAPI	4'-6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole
DArT	Diversity arrays technology
DAS	Days after sowing
DE	Days to emergence
DF	Days to 50% flowering
DIA	Diafarase
DM	Days to maturity
dr	Diffusion resistance
eIF	Eukarvotic initiation factor
ELS	Early leaf spot
EMBRAPA	Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (Brazilian
	Agricultural Research Corporation
EMS	Ethylmethane sulphonate
EST	Expressed sequence tag
ETS	External transcribed spacer region
F ₁	First filial generation
F ₂	Second filial generation
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FISH	Fluorescence in situ hybridization
FLM	Flowers on main stem
FPC	Fingerprint contig
FTP	File transfer protocol
FYM	Farm vard manure
GA/GA2	Gibberellic acid
GH GH	Growth habit
GISH	Genomic in situ hybridization
GM	Genetic modification/genetically modified
GOT	Glutamic_ovaloacetic transaminase
GP	Gene nool
GRIN	Germplasm Resource Information Network
HSW	100 seed weight
	Hyponthium hairings
птп	riypanunum nanmess

IAA	Indole acetic acid
IBONE	Instituto de Botánica del Nordeste (Botanical Institute
	of the Northeast)
IBPGR	International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (now IPGRI)
ICA	Instituto Colombiano Agropecuario (Colombian Agricultural
	Institute)
ICARDA	International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas
ICRISAT	International Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics
ILDIS	International Legume Database and Information Service
IMGAG	International Medicago Genome Annotation Group
INIA	Instituto Nacional de Innovación Agraria
INIA-CGNA	Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuarias - Centro de
	Genómica Nutricional Agro Acuícola
INIAP	Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuarias
INTA	National Institute for Agricultural Technology
IPGRI	International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (Now Biodiversity
	International)
IPK	Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research
IRLC	Inverted repeat-lacking clade
ISRIC	World Soil Information
ISSR	Inter-simple sequence repeat
ITIS	International Taxonomic Identification System
ITS	Internal transcribed spacer
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
K	Number of proposed ancestral populations
KEGG	Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
KN	Kinetin
KOG	EuKaryotic Orthologous Groups database
LB	Leaflet bristle
LBMSPL	Leaflet bristle on margin of main stem and primary laterals
LBPL	Leaflet bristle on primary lateral
LC	Leaflet color
LFI	Length of first isthmus
LH	Leaflet hairiness
LHMSPL	Leaflet hairiness on margin of main stem and primary laterals
LHPL	Leaflet hairiness on primary lateral
LINE	Long interspersed (repeated DNA) element
Lj	Lotus japonicus
<i>Lj</i> R	Lotus japonicus repeat region
LLAPL	Leaflet length of the apical primary lateral
LLBMS	Leaflet length on basal main stem
LLBPL	Leaflet length on basal primary lateral
LLS	Late leaf spot
LMHLMS	Leaflet midrib hairiness on lower main stem
LMHLPL	Leaflet midrib hairiness on lower primary lateral
LMHUMS	Leaflet midrib hairiness on upper main stem
LMHUPL	Leaflet midrib hairiness on upper primary lateral
LOTASSA	Lotus adaptation and sustainability in South America
LRR	Leucine-rich repeat
LSAMS	Leaflet shape on apical main stem

LSAPL	Leaflet shape on apical primary lateral
LSBMS	Leaflet shape on basal main stem
LSBPL	Leaflet shape on basal primary lateral
LSMS	Leaflet surface on main stem
LSPL	Leaflet surface on primary lateral
LTR	Long terminal repeat
LTSMS	Leaflet tip shape of the main stem
LTSPL	Leaflet tip shape of primary lateral
LWAMS	Leaflet width of apical main stem
LWAPL	Leaflet width of apical primary lateral
LWBMS	Leaflet width on basal main stem
LWBPL	Leaflet width on basal primary lateral
MAAL	Monosomic alien addition line
MAC	Mountain range of North and Central America
MAS	Marker-assisted selection
MFFGC	Margot Forde Forage Germplasm Centre
MFLP	Microsatellite-anchored fragment length polymorphism
MIPS	Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences
miRNA	Micro-RNA
MLG	Molecular linkage group
MS	Murashige and Skoog (medium)
MS	Mass-spectrometry
MSH	Main stem hairiness
MSHT	Main stem hair type
MSHt	Main stem height
MST	Main stem thickness
MST	Mass-spectral metabolite tag
Mt	Medicago truncatula
<i>Mt</i> R	Medicago truncatula repeat region
Mya	Million years ago
NAR	No adventitious roots
NARS	National Agricultural Research System
NBPGR	National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources
NBRI	National Botanical Research Institute
NBS	Nucleotide-binding site
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
NIAS	National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences
NLB	Number of lateral branches
NLL	Narrow leafed lupin
NMU	N-Nitroso-N-methyl urea
NOR	Nucleolus organizer region
NPGS	National Plant Germplasm System
NPMSPL	Nature of petiole on main stem and on primary laterals
NSBP	Number of segments between pods
NSP	Non-starch polysaccharides
NSTPL	Nature of stipule on primary lateral
NTS	Non-transcribed spacer
ODAP	β -N-Oxalyl-1- α , β -diaminopropanoic acid
PAGE	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

PB	Pod beak
PBMS	Petiole bristle on main stem
PBPL	Petiole bristle on primary lateral
PC	Principal component
PCGIN	Pulse Crop Genetic Improvement Network
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PDL	Pod length
PDR	Pea dispersed repeat
PDW	Pod width
PEBV	Pea early browning virus
PG	Peg growth
PGMS	Petiole groove on main stem
PGP	Peg pigmentation
PGPL	Petiole groove on primary lateral
PHMS	Petiole hairiness on main stem
PHPL	Petiole hairiness on primary lateral
PL	Peg length
PLMS	Petiole length on main stem
PLPL	Petiole length of primary lateral
PMS	Pigmentation on main stem
PMV	Peanut mottle virus
PPi	Pyrophosphate
PR	Pod reticulation
PRINS	Primed in situ labeling
PROINPA	Foundation for the Promotion and Investigation of Andean
	Products
PStV	Peanut stripe virus
QTL	Quantitative trait loci
RAPD	Random amplified polymorphic DNA
rDNA	Ribosomal DNA
RFLP	Restriction fragment length polymorphism
RG	Root growth
RGA	Resistance gene analog
RGM	Rachis groove on main stem
RGPL	Rachis groove on primary lateral
RH	Relative humidity
RI	Relative injury
RIL	Recombinant inbred line
RLMS	Rachis length of the main stem
RLPL	Rachis length of primary lateral
RNAi	RNA interference
rRNA	Ribosomal RNA
RuBisCo	Ribulose biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase
SAGE	Serial analysis of gene expression
SALMS	Stipule adnation length on main stem
SALPLB	Stipule adnation length on primary lateral branch
SAT	Satellite chromosome
SAWMS	Stipule adnation width on main stem
SAWPLB	Stipule adnation width on primary lateral branch

SBMMS	Stipule bristles on margin of the main Stem
SBMPL	Stipule bristle on the margin of primary lateral
SBOMS	Stipule bristles outside of the main stem
SBOPLB	Stipule bristle outside primary lateral branch
SC	Seed color
SCAR	Sequence-characterized amplified region
SCMR	SPAD Chlorophyll meter reading
SDL	Seed length
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
SDW	Seed width
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SEN	Southeastern subregion of North and Central America
SHMMS	Stipule hairiness on margin of the main stem
SHMPLB	Stipule hairiness on margin of primary lateral branch
SHOMS	Stipule hairiness on outside of the main stem
SHOPL	Stipule hairiness on outside primary lateral
SLA	Specific leaf area
SLMS	Stipule length on main stem
SLPLB	Stipule length on primary lateral branch
SM	Stem modification
SMD	Sterility mosaic disease
SMP®	Solid Matrix Priming®
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
SPAD	Soil plant analysis development
SPC	Standard petal color
SPL	Standard petal length
SPMBF	Standard petal markings on back face
SPMFF	Standard petal markings on front face
SPW	Standard petal width
SRAP	Sequence related amplified polymorphism
SSAP	Sequence-specific amplified polymorphism
SSR	Simple sequence repeat
STMS	Sequence tagged microsatellite site
STS	Sequence tagged site
TAC	Transformation competent artificial chromosome
TC	Tentative consensus
TIILING	Targeting induced local lesions in genomes
tr	Transpiration rate
tRNA	Transfer RNA
TSWV	Tomato spotted wilt virus
ULCL	Upper lip calyx lobation
UN	United Nations
UNALM	National Agrarian University of La Molina (Peru)
UNAP	National University of the Altiplano – Puno (Peru)
USDA	US Department of Agriculture
UV	Ultra violet light
VIGS	Virus-induced gene silencing
WPBS	Welsh Plant Breeding Station
WPC	Wing petal color

List of Contributors

Francisco J.L. Aragão Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, PqEB W5 Norte, 70770-900 Brasília, DF, Brazil, aragao@cenargen.embrapa.br

Alejandro Bonifacio PROINPA Foundation, Casilla Postal 4285, Cochabamba, Bolivia, alejandrobonifacio@gmail.com

Rosana P.V. Brondani Embrapa Arroz e Feijão, Rod. GO-462, km 12, 75375-000 Santo Antônio de Goiás, GO, Brazil, rosanavb@cnpaf.embrapa.br

John A. Bryant School of Biosciences, Hatherly Laboratories, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4PS, UK, J.A.Bryant@exeter.ac.uk

Marília L. Burle Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, PqEB W5 Norte, 70770-900 Brasília, DF, Brazil, marilia@cenargen.embrapa.br

Seungho Cho USDA-ARS, Washington State University, 301W. Johnson Hall, Pullman, WA 99164-6434, USA

Jon C. Clements Centre for Legumes in Mediterranean Agriculture, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia, clem@cyllene.uwa.edu.au

Clarice Coyne USDA-ARS, Washington State University, 301W. Johnson Hall, Pullman, WA 99164-6434, USA

J.J. Doyle Department of Plant Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA, jjd5@cornell.edu

S.L. Dwivedi International Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India, s.dwivedi@cgiar.org

T.H.N. Ellis John Innes Centre, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK, noel. ellis@bbsrc.ac.uk

Rebecca Ford Biomarka/Centre for Plant Health, Melbourne School of Land and Environment, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia, rebeccaf@unimelb.edu.au

Dorin Gupta Department of Crop Improvement, CSK Himachal Pradesh Agricultural University, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, India, gupta.dorin@gmail.com

Allison M. Gurung Melbourne School of Land and Environment, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia, a.gurung@unimelb.edu.au

Stephen G. Hughes ESRC Centre for Genomics in Society, University of Exeter, Byrne House, Exeter EX4 4PJ, UK, s.g.hughes@exeter.ac.uk

Takehisa Isemura Genebank, National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Kannondai 2-1-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan, isemura@affrc.go.jp

Deepak R. Jadhav International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India

Eric N. Jellen Department of Plant and Wildlife Sciences, Brigham Young University, 275 WIDB, Provo, UT 84602, USA, jellen@byu.edu

Akito Kaga Genebank, National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Kannondai 2-1-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan, kaga@nias.affrc.go.jp

Bozena A. Kolano Department of Plant Anatomy and Cytology, University of Silesia, Jagiellonska 28, Katowice, Poland, bozena.kolano@us.edu.pl

Nalini Mallikarjuna International Crops Research Institute for Semi Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India, n.mallikarjuna@cgiar.org

Peter J. Maughan Department of Plant and Wildlife Sciences, Brigham Young University, 275 WIDB, Provo, UT 84602, USA, Jeff_Maughan@byu.edu

Fred J. Muehlbauer USDA-ARS, Washington State University, 301W. Johnson Hall, Pullman, WA 99164-6434, USA, muehlbau@wsu.edu

Barbara Naganowska Institute of Plant Genetics Polish Academy of Sciences, Strzeszyńska 34, 60-479 Poznań, Poland, bnag@igr.poznan.pl

Fares Najar University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA, fznajar@ou.edu

Matthew N. Nelson School of Plant Biology, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia, mnelson@plants.uwa.edu.au

S. N. Nichols Faculty of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Lincoln University, PO Box 84 Canterbury, New Zealand, shirley.nichols@agresearch.co.nz

Edwin C.K. Pang School of Applied Sciences, RMIT University, P.O. Box 71 Bundoora, VIC 3083, Australia, eddie.pang@rmit.edu.au

P.N. Rajesh Dow AgroSciences, Trait Genetics and Technologies, 9330 Zionsville Road, Indianapolis, IN 46268, USA

M.B. Ratnaparkhe Center for Advance Genetic Technologies, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA, milindr@uga.edu

Bruce A. Roe University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA, broe@ou.edu

Sheri Rynearson USDA-ARS, Washington State University, 301W. Johnson Hall, Pullman, WA 99164-6434, USA

Iryna Sanders University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, University of Phoenix, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA, irynas@mail.med.upenn.edu

Shusei Sato Kazusa DNA Research Institute, 2-6-7 Kazusa-Kamatari, Kisarazu, Chiba 292-0818, Japan, ssato@kazusa.or.jp

K.B. Saxena International Crops Research Institute for Semi Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India, k.saxena@cgiar.org

Maria C. Sederberg Department of Plant and Wildlife Sciences, Brigham Young University, 275 WIDB, Provo, UT 84602, USA, maria_sederberg@hotmail.com

Shivali Sharma International Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India, s.shivali@cgiar.org

R.J. Singh Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA, ramsingh@uiuc.edu

Leo Sukharnikov Joint Institute for Computational Sciences, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA, lsukharn@utk.edu

Satoshi Tabata Kazusa DNA Research Institute, 2-6-7 Kazusa-Kamatari, Kisarazu, Chiba 292-0818, Japan, tabata@kazusa.or.jp

Paul W.J. Taylor Biomarka/Centre for Plant Health, Melbourne School of Land and Environment, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia, paulwjt@unimelb.edu.au

Norihiko Tomooka Genebank, National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Kannondai 2-1-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan, tomooka@affrc.go.jp

H.D. Upadhyaya International Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India, h.upadhyaya@cgiar.org

Duncan Vaughan Genebank, National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Kannondai 2-1-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan, Duncan.Vaughan@fao.org

W.M. Williams AgResearch, Grasslands Research Centre, Private Bag 11008, Palmerston North, New Zealand, warren.williams@agresearch.co.nz

Bogdan Wolko Institute of Plant Genetics Polish Academy of Sciences, Strzeszyńska 34, 60-479 Poznań, Poland, bwol@igr.poznan.pl

Hua'an Yang Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia, 3 Baron-Hay Court, South Perth, WA 6151, Australia, huaan.yang@agric.wa.gov.au

Chapter 1 Arachis

H.D. Upadhyaya, Shivali Sharma, and S.L. Dwivedi

1.1 Introduction

Crop wild relatives (CWR) are wild plant taxa that have an indirect use derived from their relatively close genetic relationships to crops (Maxted et al. 2006). An understanding of the taxonomic and evolutionary relationships between cultigens and their wild relatives is prerequisite for the exploitation of wild relatives in crop improvement programs (Hawkes 1977). In the past, several reviews on the use of wild relatives for crop improvement have been published, which demonstrated greatest benefit towards improving the levels of resistance to pests and diseases in several crops including groundnut (Harlan 1976; Stalker 1980; Goodman et al. 1987; Lenne and Wood 1991; Hoisington et al. 1999; Dwivedi et al. 2003). Hajjar and Hodgkin (2007) documented information on the presence of genes from CWR in released cultivars of CGIAR mandate crops, demonstrating that there has been steady increase in the rate of release of cultivars containing genes from CWR. More recently, it has also been demonstrated that CWR have contributed alleles associated with increased fruit/grain yield and improved seed quality, predominantly in tomato and rice, and resistance to drought and salinity in wheat [reviewed in Dwivedi et al. (2008)].

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) originated in South America and is widely grown (113 countries) throughout tropical, subtropical and warm temperate regions (40°N to 40°S). Worldwide, groundnut is next in importance after soybean and rapeseed, with an

H.D. Upadhyaya (🖂)

annual production of 38.2 million tons and average productivity of 1.5 ton ha^{-1} (FAO 2008). The seeds are rich in oil and protein and are eaten in a variety of forms. About two-thirds of global production is crushed for extracting vegetable oil. The remaining one-third is used in the form of edible product and as seed. The cake obtained after oil extraction is used as protein-rich meal for livestock or for making other food products. The haulms are an important source of good quality animal fodder. Some of the perennial wild species, such as A. glabrata from the section Rhizomatosae, have been used to develop several commercial tropical forage cultivars, including the Florigraze and Arbrook in the USA that are used as an alternative to alfalfa because of their high levels of proteins and resistance to pest and diseases (Prine et al. 1981, 1986; French et al. 1994). Likewise, in Australia, A. glabrata is valued as high-quality forage having the ability to spread through swards of aggressive summer-growing grass species (Bowman et al. 1998). In addition, groundnut helps to improve soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation.

Rust, early leaf spot, and late leaf spot are the most common and widely distributed foliar diseases of groundnut worldwide, while leaf minor is common in South Asia; army worm (*Spodoptera*) and bacterial wilt in South-east Asia; groundnut rosette disease and termite in Africa; and nematode, corn earworm, lesser corn stock borer, and southern corn rootworm in North America. Some insects are also the vectors of important viral diseases – *Thrips palmi* for peanut bud necrosis virus, *Frankliniella occidentalis* and *F. fusca* for tomato-spotted wilt virus and *Aphis crassivora* for groundnut rosette virus. In addition to biotic stresses, the crop is also adversely affected by drought, salinity, low availability of phosphorus under acidic soils and nonavailability of iron in calcareous soils in many

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India e-mail: h.upadhyaya@cgiar.org

parts of the world. Aflatoxin contamination is the major problem adversely affecting the groundnut seed quality. All these factors either alone or in combination adversely affect the yield and/or quality worldwide, necessitating the identification and utilization of resistance sources to enhance and sustain groundnut production. With regard to several pests and diseases, the level of resistance required is either not present or available only at very low levels in cultivated groundnut, while very high levels of resistance to pests and diseases have been reported in many wild *Arachis* relatives [reviewed in Dwivedi et al. (2003)].

The cultivated groundnut, A. hypogaea, belongs to the section Arachis, which also contains its tetraploid progenitor A. monticola Krapov. and Rigoni (Favero et al. 2006), and 29 wild diploid species that are cross-compatible with A. hypogaea. A. ipaënsis and A. duranensis have been suggested as putative B- and A-genome donors, respectively, of the cultivated peanut (Kochert et al. 1996; Seijo et al. 2004; Favero et al. 2006). More recently, Seijo et al. (2007) used the double genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) technique on seven diploid species that harbored either the A- or B-genome, to provide further evidence that A. duranensis (A-genome) and A. ipaënsis (B-genome) are the best candidates for the genome donors of cultivated groundnut as both yielded the most intense and uniform hybridization pattern when tested against the corresponding chromosome subsets of A. hypogaea. Further, all the presently known subspecies and varieties of A. hypogaea have arisen from a unique allotetraploid plant population, or alternatively, from different tetraploid populations that originated from the same two diploid species.

Singh and Simpson (1994) have classified the genetic variability in the genus *Arachis* into four genepools: primary gene pool (landraces of *A. hypogaea* and its wild form *A. monticola*), secondary gene pool (diploid species from section *Arachis* that are cross-compatible with *A. hypogaea*), tertiary gene pool (species of section *Procumbentes* that are weekly cross-compatible with *A. hypogaea*) and the fourth gene pool (wild *Arachis* species classified into seven other sections). While interspecific crosses involving some species from secondary gene pool have been successful in groundnut, it is more difficult to cross species from tertiary and forth gene pool, for which, techniques such as in vitro culture of ovule and

embryo is a must to produce viable hybrids (see Sect. 1.7).

This review is devoted to the use of wild Arachis for the improvement of A. hypogaea (cultivated groundnut) with the focus on conservation and regeneration of wild Arachis genetic resources; geographical distribution and the need to expedite collection of those species not present in genebanks before these are lost due to climate change or habitat disturbances in South America; differences in ploidy levels, genomes, and crossing relationships; descriptors used to characterize Arachis species; sources of resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses and for seed quality; barriers to interspecific hybridization; genomic resources developed to facilitate introgression of beneficial traits from wild Arachis to A. hypogaea; approaches to interspecific gene transfer and use of genetic markers to demonstrate the introgression of traits from wild Arachis species; the elite germplasm and cultivars developed using wild Arachis species; and new approaches to unlock the genetic variation from wild relatives using appropriate genetic and genomic resources.

1.2 Wild Arachis Species

1.2.1 Geographical Distribution

Arachis is exclusively a genus of South America and consists of nine sections that comprise 80 annual and perennial species (Krapovickas and Gregory 1994; Valls and Simpson 2005). It belongs to the family Leguminosae-Papilionoideae, tribe Aeschynomeneae and subtribe Stylosanthinae, and is restricted to Argentina, Bolivia, Paraguay and Uruguay. The Arachis section species occur in Brazil (mostly in the west central region) followed by Paraguay, Argentina and Uruguay. Wild Arachis species occur both in open and shaded areas, ranging from near to the equator to 34°S and from sea level to an attitude of almost 1,600 m. Because of the geocarpic nature of the fruit, species distribution generally follows major river valleys. Infrageneric groups may be closely associated with specific drainage basins, such as members of the section Triseminatae are found in the São Francisco,

while species of the section Arachis in the drainage basin of the river Paraguay and also in the Amazon drainage basin. Some overlap in distribution does occur for the sections Arachis, Erectoides, Rhizomatosae and Extranervosae (Gregory et al. 1973; Valls 1983; Valls et al. 1985). Species in the section Arachis are distributed in Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Uruguay and Paraguay, from the southern extreme of the genus along the river Uruguay to the eastern most extreme of the genus in Bolivia and Argentina and northeastwards across the Brazilian Highlands. Section Heteranthae contains six species and is endemic to the north-eastern highlands of Brazil, while section Trierectoides contains two species, A. guaranitica and A. tuberosa, and is geographically restricted to a narrow distribution range in Brazil (one population of A. guaranitica is also reported from Paraguay). Species in section Caulorhizae including A. pintoi and A. repens are endemic to Brazil and centered in the eastern Brazilian highlands with scattered populations found towards the highlands of Mato Grosso do Sul. Section Procumbentes species are distributed where the borders of Paraguay, Bolivia and Brazil come together, near an area known as Pantanal while Erectoides section species are restricted largely in the Brazilian Province of Mato Grosso do Sul stretching southwards in Paraguay. Section Extranervosae species are also endemic to Brazil, inhabiting the Brazilian Highlands north and west of Mato Grosso do Sul, spreading across the Brazilian Plateau as far as 5°S. Section Triseminatae is endemic to the north-eastern Brazilian Highlands, while section Rhizomatosae species inhabit areas surrounding the Parana basin, and southwards through Paraguay, Argentina and into Uruguay, following the Rio Paraguay and meeting the Rio Uruguay (Ferguson et al. 2005).

1.2.2 Ex Situ Conservation of Wild Arachis Genetic Resources and Priority Areas for Future Collection

The major centers of conservation of wild Arachis species are in India, Brazil, USA, Argentina and Columbia, together holding ~2,800 accessions (Table 1.1). ICRISAT developed Arachis house, an open space fixed with a large cylindrical concrete structure (75 cm high, 90 cm in inner ring diameter, and of 5 cm ring thickness) with a ring-to-ring distance of 52.5 cm, for regenerating the seeds of wild Arachis species (Fig. 1.1). These rings are filled with about 0.5 m³ pasteurized [3 cycles of 1 h each at 82°C and 34.5×10^3 Pa (5 psi)] soil mixture (soil, sand and FYM in 3:2:1 ratio). Five to six plants can be accommodated in one ring. After harvesting the pods at maturity, the remnant pods/seeds are visually collected and destroyed to avoid contamination with the next seed lot. The rings are kept fallow for 2-3 months and 2-3 irrigations are provided to allow remnant seeds, if any, to germinate, which are destroyed before the next seed lot is sown.

Preservation of wild *Arachis* species, in general, is difficult, particularly for accessions that produce a few seeds, and especially the section *Rhizomatosae* species, which are maintained as vegetative materials in greenhouse (Stalker and Simpson 1995). An international cooperative effort is underway to ensure that these vegetatively propagated species are maintained in multiple environments for conservation to minimize their loss (Singh and Simpson 1994). This effort involves the cooperation of USDA, North Carolina State University, Texas A&M University, ICRISAT, the Brazilian Corporation for Agricultural Research

Country	Institute	# Accessions
Argentina	Instituto Botánico del Nordeste, Universidad Nacional de Nordeste (IBONE)	109
Australia	Australian Tropical Crops and Forages Genetic Resources Centre	65
Brazil	Embrapa Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia (CENARGEN) Instituto AgronOmico de Campinas	450
Colombia	Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT)	243
	Centro de Investigaciones de Nataima, Instituto Colombiano Agropecuario (ICA)	225
India	International Crop Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Hyderabad	453
USA	USDA, Griffin, USA	498
	Texas A&M University, USA	798

Table 1.1 Major holdings of wild Arachis species accessions in genebank



Fig. 1.1 (a) *Arachis* species grown in large cylindrical concrete structures in the *Arachis* House, ICRISAT, Patancheru, India. (b) Scientists examining wild *Arachis* species growing in these structures

(EMBRAPA), the Brazilian National Center for Genetic Resources and Biotechnology (CENAR-GEN), the Argentina National Institute of Agricultural Technology (INTA) and the Argentina Botanical Institute of the Northeast (IBONE).

Spatial analysis of in situ wild relatives distribution using species richness (areas potentially high in species richness), proximity to existing accessions (areas most distant from the existing collections, thus targeting geographical gaps in existing collections), proximity to protected areas (areas most distant from protected areas), and risk to genetic erosion (areas with the greatest risk of genetic erosion) revealed that hotspot regions for the wild Arachis species' richness in Brazil include Serra Geral de Goias, north-east of Brasilia, the region west of Campo Grande in Mato Grosso do Sul, and the region 170 km south of Cuiaba, in Mato Grosso. In addition, 300 km south-east of the city of Cuiabá, near Pedro Gomes, has also been identified as a species-rich area where species such as A. cryptopotamica, A. diogoi, A. glabrata, A. helodes, A. hoehnei, A. kuhlmannii, A. lutescens, A. matiensis, A. stenosperma and A. subcoriacea exist sympatrically (Jarvis et al. 2002, 2003). Another area is the municipality of Parauna in the state of Goias (Brazil), where only A. prostata and A. glabrata were collected in the past, as it is predicted that as many as six different species may be found in this region, although the land in this region is predominantly agricultural (Jarvis et al. 2003). Likewise, considering anthropogenic influences as a risk to genetic erosion, some areas in Bolivia, where about five species potentially lie sympatrically (Jarvis et al. 2002), have also been highlighted for future collections. Further, Jarvis et al. (2003) emphasized the need for more effort to collect and conserve species belonging to B-genome such as A. williamsii, A. cruziana and A. ipaënsis along with A. martii, A. Pietrarellii, A. vallsii and A. monticola, which are also under the risk of extinction.

1.2.3 Climate Change and Habitat Disturbances a Threat to Wild Arachis in South America

Climate change poses serious impacts on biodiversity and has a potential to wipe out biodiversity. Wild relatives of groundnut are at risk of extinction, threatening a valuable repository of genes needed for the improvement of the cultivated groundnut. In a recent study, it is predicted that in the next 50 years, as many as 61% of the 51 wild groundnut species studied would become extinct, as a result of climate change (Jarvis et al. 2008). The areas, where wild Arachis species are most at risk, include Santa Cruz to Cuiba and along the Andean fringe in the south of Santa Cruz (Bolivia), eastern Bolivia, Paraguay and south-western Brazil. In recent years, there have been intensive developmental activities in these regions, thus disturbing the remote and fragile environments (Jarvis et al. 2002). Most of the wild species generally occur in the region under intensive environmental disturbance, which has led to habitat destruction and genetic erosion. Some Arachis species are particularly threatened by habitat loss. The species, which are most restricted in distribution, include A. archeri, A. setinervosa, A. marginata, A. hatschbachii, A. appressipila, A. villosa, A. cryptopotamica, A. helodes, A. magna and A. gracilis. Their distribution is limited to less than 10,000 km² of climatically suitable wild habitat, while A. burkatii, A. triseminata, A. tuberosa and A. Dardani remain above 10,000 km², but their distribution has been reduced by more than 75% because of agricultural land use (Jarvis et al. 2003).

1.2.4 Ploidy Levels and Genome Variations Among Wild Arachis Species

The cultivated groundnut is a tetraploid with chromosome number, 2n = 40, and genome size 2,813 Mbp. The first chromosome count reported for a wild species was 2n = 40 for A. glabrata (Gregory 1946). Mendes (1947) published the chromosome count of 2n = 20 for A. oteroi, A. benthami, A. archeri, A. major and A. villosulicarpa, which gave the first indication of the existence of 2n = 20 and 2n = 40chromosomes in the genus Arachis. Later on, several studies confirmed the existence of 2n = 2x = 20and 2n = 4x = 40, with basic chromosome number n = 10 (Krapovickas and Rigoni 1957; Krapovickas and Gregory 1960; Conagin 1964; Smartt 1965; also see Table 1.2). Polyploidy has apparently arisen independently at least twice in the genus, in the sections Arachis and Rhizomatosae. In the section Arachis,

Table 1.2 Chromosome counts in species belonging to the genus Arachis (Krapovickas and Gregory 1994; Valls and Simpson 2005)

Species	Chromosome number (2 <i>n</i>)	Species	Chromosome number (2 <i>n</i>)
Section Trierectoides			
A. guaranitica	20	A. tuberosa	20
Section Erectoides			
A. martii	20	A. gracilis	20
A. brevipetiolata	20	A. hermannii	20
A. oteroi	20	A. archeri	20
A. hatschbachii	20	A. stenophylla	20
A. cryptopotamica	20	A. paraguariensis spp.	20
A. major	20	capibarensis A. paraguariensis spp.	20
A benthamii	20	A porphyrocalyx	20
A douradiana	20	n. porphyroeddyx	20
	20		
Section Extranervosae	20	4	20
A. setinervosa	20	A. retusa	20
A. macedoi	20	A. burchellu	20
A. marginata	20	A. pletrarelli	20
A. prostrata	20	A.villosulicarpa	20
A. tutescens	20	A. submarginaia	20
Section Triseminatae A. triseminata	20		
Section Heteranthae			
A. giacomettii	20	A. dardani	20
A. sylvestris	20	A. interrupta	20
A. pusilla	20	A. seridoënsis	20
Section Caulorrhizae			
A. repens	20	A. pintoi	20
Section Procumbentes			
A. lignosa	20	A. appressipila	20
A. kretschmeri	20	A. vallsii	20
A. rigonii	20	A. subcoriacea	20
A. chiquitana	20	A. hassleri	20
A. matiensis	20	A. pflugeae	20
Section Rhizomatosae			
A hurkartii	20	A alabrata yar	40
	20	glabrata	10
A. pseudovillosa	40	A. glabrata var. hagenbeckii	40
A. nitida	40		
Section Arachis			
A. glandulifera	20	A. decora	18
A. cruziana	20	A. herzogii	20
A. monticola	40	A. microsperma	20
A. magna	20	A. villosa	20
A. ipaënsis	20	A. helodes	20
A. valida	20	A. correntina	20
A. williamsii	20	A. simpsonii	20
A. batizocoi	20	A. cardenasii	20
A. duranensis	20	A. kempff-mercadoi	20
A. hoehnei	20	A. diogoi	20
A. stenosperma	20	A. kuhlmannii	20
A. praecox	18	A. gregoryi	20
A. palustris	18	A. krapovickasii	20
A. benensis	20	A. linearifolia	20
A. trinitensis	20	A. schininii	20
		A. hypogaea	40

A. monticola and A. hypogaea are, therefore, tetraploids, which have attained diplontic behavior, though they sometimes show secondary associations in the form of quadrivalents and trivalents (Singh and Moss 1982). In addition to the basic chromosome number n = 10 found in most of the diploid and tetraploid species in all the nine sections of the genus Arachis, the basic chromosome number n = 9 has also been found in four diploid species, A. palustris (Lavia 1996), A. praecox (Lavia 1998), and A. decora (Penaloza et al. 1996) of section Arachis and A. porphyrocalyx of section Erectoides (Penaloza and Valls 2005). It has been suggested that the n = 9 constitutes a derived number from n = 10 (Lavia 1998); however, the cytogenetic mechanism involved in its origin is not yet known with certainty.

Smartt et al. (1978a) identified two genomes (A and B) in section Arachis, both of which occur in cultivated groundnut (A. hypogaea) and the tetraploid wild species A. monticola, which was further supported by later studies in the two-genome theory in cultivated groundnut, following the chromosome analysis (Stalker and Dalmacio 1981; Singh and Moss 1982). Subsequently, using chromosome morphology and crossing relationships, three genomes (A, B and D) were proposed in section Arachis diploid species (Smartt 1965; Smartt et al. 1978a; Singh and Moss 1982, 1984a, b; Stalker 1991). The A-genome is characterised by a pair of chromosomes smaller than the other chromosomes, while the B-genome lacks this smaller chromosome pair. Most diploid wild species contain the A-genome. Only a single B-genome species A. batizocoi was initially recognized, but now several others have been identified (Fernandez and Krapovickas 1994). The only D-genome diploid species is A. glandulifera, native to eastern Bolivia (Stalker 1991). "A"-genome species show considerable variation in fertility levels among the progenies from the crosses within A-genome species (Smartt 1965; Gregory and Gregory 1979; Spielman et al. 1979; Stalker and Wynne 1979; Singh and Moss 1982, 1984a). Subsequently, using the crossing relationships that Gregory and Gregory (1979) initiated, Smartt and Stalker (1982) proposed a series of genomes for diploid species in the genus Arachis, which include the following:

A = section *Arachis*, perennials and most annuals

B = section Arachis (A. batizocoi)

D = section Arachis (A. glandulifera)

Am = section Ambinervosae

C = section *Caulorrhizae*

- $E = section \ Erectoides$ (subgenomes E_1 , E_2 , E_3 , corresponding to series)
- Ex = section *Extranervosae*

T = section *Triseminatae*

 R_1 = section *Rhizomatosae*, series Prorhizomatosae

The nuclear DNA content has an important function in the evolution and adaptation of the plants (Price 1976; Bennett 1982). Lavia and Fernández (2008) studied the genome size of 16 species of Arachis with n = 10 and three with n = 9, involving both diploid and tetraploid species. DNA content (2C) between all diploid species of Arachis with 2n = 20 varied from 2.87 pg in A. retusa to 6.59 pg in A. douradiana. Likewise, the DNA content in species with 2n = 18varied from 3.26 pg in A. palustris to 4.16 pg in A. decora. The species with greater DNA contents have the longest chromosomes, while those with lower DNA contents have smaller chromosomes. In contrast, DNA content in A. hypogaea (2n = 40)ranged between 10.87 and 11.92 pg. These results suggest that in the evolution of Arachis genome, both increases and diminution of DNA content would have occurred. Species with greater DNA content are included in sections believed to have a more recent origin, whereas those that contain lower DNA content belong to the oldest section, suggesting genome evolution of Arachis towards higher DNA content. Reduction of the DNA content after polyploidization would have happened in A. hypogaea (Lavia and Fernández 2008).

1.2.5 Crossing Relationships Among Wild Arachis Species

Gregory and Gregory (1979) reported successful intrasectional hybrids in sections Arachis, Erectoides, Rhizomatosae, Caulorrhizae, Extranervosae, Triseminatae and Ambinervosae and the intersectional hybrids involving Arachis with Erectoides and Rhizomatosae; Erectoides with Rhizomatosae, Caulorrhizae and Ambinervosae and Ambinervosae with Extranervosae, which led to the establishment of intra- and intersectional crossing relationships between the nine sections of the genus Arachis (Krapovickas and Gregory 1994). No successful intersectional cross of the diploid annual wild Arachis species belonging to section Arachis were obtained with those in section Triseminatae, Rhizomatosae (A. burkartii), and with perennials and tetraploid species of the section Arachis (Krapovickas and Gregory 1994). A very high level of genetic isolation was found among the sections Erectoides, Trierectoides, Extranervosae, Triseminatae and Heteranthae, confirming their primitiveness in the genus Arachis, which has been further supported by the comparative morphology of the "B" (SAT) chromosome and the absence of the "A" pair (Fernandez and Krapovickas 1994). On the basis of taxonomic and cross-compatibility studies, Krapovickas and Gregory (1994) suggested that Trierectoides, Erectoides, Extranervosae, Triseminatae and Heteranthae are the oldest sections while Procumbentes, Caulorrhizae, Rhizomatosae and Arachis are of more recent origin. Intersectional hybrids involving section Arachis with Rhizomatosae, Extranervosae, Procumbentes and Erectoides have also been successful at ICRISAT (Mallikarjuna and Bramel 2001; Mallikarjuna 2002, 2005).

1.3 Taxonomy and Species Diversity of Wild *Arachis* Species

Krapovickas and Gregory (1994) used 32 descriptors, mostly morphological traits, to study taxonomy of 69 Arachis species. Using taxonomy and crossing incompatibility studies, they classified 69 species to nine sections and suggested that Trierectoides, Erectoides, Extranervosae, Triseminatae and Heteranthae are the oldest sections while Procumbentes, Caulorrhizae, Rhizomatosae and Arachis are of more recent origin. Valls and Simpson (2005) described 11 new species (A. porphyrocalyx, A. submarginata, A. pflugeae, A. hassleri, A. interrupta, A. seridoënsis, A. nitida, A. linearifolia, A. shcininii, A. gregoryi and A. krapovickasii) of Arachis, representing seven of the nine taxonomic sections of the genus. Of these, eight were earlier classified in Krapovickas and Gregory (1994) monograph, but are now treated with their own specific epithet. Thus, the description of these 11 species will help clarify the systematics of the genus Arachis, as well as aid in understanding the evolutionary pathway of certain important materials. Some of these may have played a role in developments that led to the origin of cultivated groundnut. The key morphological features that distinguish these species include growth habit (procumbent, erect, prostrate and decumbent), types of leaves (trifoliate and tetrafoliate), plant type (rhizomatous and nonrhizomatous), leaflet shape, leaflet surface, leaflet length and width, petiole length, leaflet margins, presence or absence of bristles on stipules, petiole and leaflet surface, standard petal and wing color and stem and peg characteristics (Krapovickas and Gregory 1994, 2007; Valls and Simpson 2005). Further, the species in sections *Arachis* and *Rhizomatosae* are characterized by short pegs that grow vertically in comparison to the species in the other seven sections in which the pegs are very long and superficial.

A. Pintoi is a herbaceous perennial species grown for multipurpose use, ranging from use as forage, ground cover in fruit orchards, forest and low tillage system, erosion control, and ornamental purposes. Carvalho and Quesenberry (2009) characterized A. Pintoi accessions for phenotypic diversity, which represented great morphological variability. Of the 595 correlations computed, 96 were statistically significant. They detected biologically meaningful correlations ($r^2 = 0.50$) for leaf length and pod weight, leaf length and pod width, leaf length and seed weight and leaf length and seed width. Total genetic diversity in this study was 0.71, with both principal component and cluster analysis differentiating the accessions into four distinct groups. Researchers at ICRISAT have characterized 267 wild Arachis accessions of 37 species for 33 qualitative and 15 quantitative traits (Table 1.3) at Arachis house, wherein six plants of each of the 267 accessions were grown under largesize cylindrical concrete structures. Preliminary results revealed that species exhibited large variation for lateral branches, plant width, stipule length, adnation of stipule on the main stem, petiole length on the main stem, apical leaflet length and width on the main stem, apical length and width on the primary lateral, hypanthium length, standard petal length and peg length, with Shannon-Weaver diversity index ranging from 0.022 for hairiness on the margin of the stipule of the main stem to 0.836 for basal leaflet shape on the primary lateral (Upadhyaya unpublished data).

Unlike cultivated groundnut germplasm, the evaluation of wild relatives in the field is not feasible because of their long generation time (from annual to perennial life cycle), extensive ground coverage, and thus the chance of mixing with other accessions, and risk of leftover pods/seeds remaining deep in the soil after harvest, thus becoming a source of contamination for the next crop. Researchers have, therefore, used isozyme and hybridization- and PCR-based markers to assess the intra- and interspecific variation, which have revealed high variability among wild Arachis species. The variability and relationship among 15 accessions of A. glabrata were studied by using isozymes (Maass and Ocampo 1995). In this study, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was applied to rhizome-tip tissue, which showed a high degree of intraspecific polymorphism for the isozymes α -EST, ACP, GOT and DIA. The four isozyme systems differentiated all the 15 accessions of A. glabrata. Using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers, Gimenes et al. (2002) analyzed four Agenome species (A. cardenasii, A. correntina, A. duranensis, A. kempff-mercadoi), three B-genome species (A. batizocoi, A. ipaënsis, A. magna), the AABB allotetraploid A. hypogaea and introgression lines resulting from a cross between A. hypogaea and A. cardenasii. All the A. batizocoi accessions were clustered in a separate group, suggesting that this species is not closely related to A. hypogaea, A. ipaënsis or the Agenome species analyzed. The highest level of genetic variation was found in A. cardenasii indicating that all accessions of wild species of Arachis might not be autogamous, as reported for A. hypogaea (Gimenes et al. 2002). Nóbile et al. (2004) evaluated genetic variability within and among accessions of wild Arachis species, A. glabrata, A. burkartii, A. pseudovillosa and A. nitida belonging to the section Rhizomatosae using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers that detected the highest genetic variation in diploid species A. burkartii. The diploid species A. burkartii and the tetraploid species A. glabrata, A. pseudovillosa and A. nitida were grouped separately, suggesting that none of these tetraploid species originated from A. burkartii. Hoshino et al. (2006) used heterologous simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers to characterize genetic diversity among 76 accessions of 34 species from nine sections of the genus Arachis. The total number of alleles ranged from 28 in A. tuberosa (section Trierectoides) to 81 in A. paraguariensis (section *Erectoides*). All the species investigated showed high polymorphism among their accessions; however, accessions were not grouped exactly according to the species and sections to which they belonged. This difference may be attributed to the high polymorphism

Table 1.3 List of descriptors used for characterizing wild Arachis species accessions at ICRISAT, Patancheru, India

Qualitative descriptor

Growth habit (GH); root growth (RG); stem modification (SM: absent, rhizome and stolon); branching pattern (BP: alternate 2:2:2, alternate 2:1:2 or 1:2:1, sequential, irregular); pigmentation on main stem (PMS: absent, present), main stem hairiness [MSH: Glabrous, Subglabrous (hairs in one or two rows), Moderately hairy (hairs in 3-4 rows), Very hairy (stem surface mostly covered with hairs), wooly (villous hairs >2 mm)]; main stem hair type [MSHT: Glandular (bristles), Non-glandular, or both types]; flowers on main stem (FLM); hypanthium hairiness [HYH: Glabrous, Hairy, wooly (villous hairs >2 mm)]; standard petal color [SPC: White (155 A–D), Lemon yellow (6 A–B), Yellow (14 A–B), Orange-yellow/yellow-orange (24 B), Orange (24 A or 25 A), Dark orange (28 A), Garnet/brick red/reddish orange (35 A)]; standard petal markings on front face [SPMFF: Absent, Lemon yellow (6 A-B), Yellow (14 A-B), Orange-yellow/yellow-orange (24 B), Orange (24 A or 25 A), Dark orange (28 A), Garnet/ brick red/reddish orange (35 A), Purple]; standard petal markings on back face (SPMBF: Absent, Red or purple blush, Red or purple streaks, Grayed orange streaks, and Greenish purple streaks); wing petal color (WPC: Yellow, lemon yellow, orange, yellow and white); leaflet surface on main stem (LSMS: Non-shiny, shiny); leaflet surface on primary lateral (LSPL: Non-shiny, shiny); leaflet color (LC) on main stem and on primary laterals [Yellow/yellow-green (146 A–D), light green (137 A–D), green (139 A–B), dark green (131 A), bluish green and purplish green]; leaflet shape on apical main stem (LSAMS: Cuneate, obcuneate, wide-elliptic, narrow-elliptic, elliptic, suborbicular, orbicular, ovate, obovate, oblong, oblong-lanceolate, lanceolate, ob-lanceolate, linear-lanceolate, others); leaflet shape on apical primary lateral (LSAPL: same as described for LSAMS); leaflet shape on basal main stem (LSBMS: same as described for LSAMS); leaflet shape on basal primary lateral (LSBPL: same as described for LSAMS); leaflet hairiness (LH) on main stem [Glabrous; almost glabrous on both surfaces; almost glabrous above, hairy below; almost glabrous below, hairy above; hairy on both surfaces; wooly(villous hairs >2 mm)]; leaflet hairiness on primary lateral (LHPL: same as described for LH); leaflet bristle (LB) on main stem (Absent, bristles on upper surface, bristles on lower surface, bristles on both surface); leaflet bristle on primary lateral (LBPL: Absent, bristles on upper surface, bristles on lower surface, bristles on both surface); leaflet hairiness on margin of main stem and primary laterals [LHMSPL: Absent, ciliate, wooly (villous hairs >2 mm)]; leaflet bristle on margin of main stem and primary laterals (LBMSPL: Absent, few, setose); leaflet midrib hairiness on upper main stem (LMHUMS: Glabrous, subglabrous, hairy); leaflet midrib hairiness on upper primary lateral (LMHUPL: Glabrous, subglabrous, hairy); leaflet midrib hairiness on lower main stem (LMHLMS: Glabrous, subglabrous, hairy); leaflet midrib hairiness on lower primary lateral (LMHLPL: Glabrous, subglabrous, hairy); leaflet tip shape of the main stem (LTSMS: Acuminate, acute, indented, mucronate, obtuse); leaflet tip shape of primary lateral (LTSPL: Glabrous, subglabrous, hairy); nature of stipule on primary lateral (NSTPL: Open, partially open, tubular); stipule hairiness on outside of the main stem [SHOMS: Glabrous, Subglabrous, Hairy, Very hairy, wooly (villous hairs >2 mm)]; stipule hairiness on outside primary lateral [SHOPL: Glabrous, Subglabrous, Hairy, Very hairy, wooly (villous hairs > 2mm)]; stipule hairiness on margin of the main stem [SHMMS: Glabrous, Subglabrous, Hairy, Very hairy, wooly (villous hairs >2 mm)]; stipule hairiness on margin of primary lateral branch [SHMPLB: Glabrous, Subglabrous, Hairy, Very hairy, wooly (villous hairs >2 mm)]; stipule bristles outside of the main stem (SBOMS: absent, a few, many); stipule bristle outside primary lateral branch (SBOPLB: absent, a few, many); stipule bristles on margin of the main Stem (SBMMS: absent, a few, many); stipule bristle on the margin of primary lateral (SBMPL: absent, a few, many); nature of petiole on main stem and on primary laterals (NPMSPL: Straight, slightly reflexed, reflexed); petiole hairiness on main stem [PHMS: Glabrous, subglabrous, hairy, very hairy, wooly (villous hairs >2 mm)]; petiole hairiness on primary lateral [PHPL: Glabrous, subglabrous, hairy, very hairy, wooly (villous hairs >2 mm)]; petiole bristle on main stem (PBMS: Absent, few, many); petiole bristle on primary lateral (PBPL: Absent, few, many); petiole groove on main Stem [PGMS: Absent (0%), shallow (<15%), deep (16–30%), very deep (>30%)]; petiole groove on primary lateral [PGPL: Absent (0%), shallow (<15%), deep (16–30%), very deep (>30%)]; rachis groove on main stem [RGM: Absent (0%), shallow (<15%), deep (16–30%), very deep (>30%)]; rachis groove on primary lateral [RGPL: Absent (0%), shallow (<15%), deep (16–30%), very deep (>30%)]; peg growth (PG: Almost horizontal, almost vertical, twisted); peg pigmentation (PGP: absent, present); pod beak (PB: Absent, slight, moderate, prominent, very prominent); pod reticulation (PR: Smooth, slight, moderate, prominent, very prominent); seed color [SC: Off-white (158 A-D and 159 C-D), tan (173 C-D and 174 C-D)]; number of segments between pods (NSBP: 1 segment, 2 segments, 1-2/2-1 segments, 1-2-3 segments, 3 segments)

Quantitative descriptor

Days to emergence (DE); days to 50% flowering (DF); upper lip calyx lobation [ULCL: indentation and number of lobes of the upper lip of calyx of flowers recorded in 4 classes (entire, 2 lobes, 3 lobes, 4 lobes) 4–6 months after emergence], number of lateral branches (NLB); standard petal length (SPL); standard petal width (SPW); leaflet length of the apical primary lateral (LLAPL); leaflet width of apical main stem (LWAMS); leaflet width of apical primary lateral (LWAPL); leaflet length on basal main stem (LLBMS); leaflet length on basal primary lateral (LLBPL); leaflet width on basal main stem (LWBMS); leaflet width on basal primary lateral (LWBPL); stipule length on main stem (SLMS); stipule length on primary lateral branch (SLPLB); stipule adnation length on main stem (SALMS: measured as length of adnate part of stipule of fourth leaf); stipule adnation length on primary lateral branch (SALPLB); stipule adnation width on main stem (SAWMS); stipule adnation width on primary lateral branch (SAWPLB); petiole length on main stem (PLMS); petiole length of primary lateral (PLPL); rachis length of the main stem (RLMS); rachis length of primary lateral (RLPL); main stem height (MSH); main stem thickness (MST); days to maturity (DM), peg length (PL); basal segment length (BSL); apical segment length (ASL); pod length (PDL); pod width (PDW), length of first isthmus (LFI); seed length (SDL); seed width (SDW), 100 seed weight (HSW)
found in some of the loci and sharing of alleles among species from different sections. Gimenes et al. (2007) reported high transferability of microsatellite markers of A. hypogaea to other species of the genus, and identified two groups - the first consisting of A. hypogaea, A. monticola and all the analyzed A-genome species while the second contained B- and D-genome species. Mallikarjuna et al. (2007) studied the genetic relationship among two A. diogoi accessions and three A. chiquitana accessions, using SSRs and highthroughput assay. Two A. diogoi accessions, ICG 4983 and ICG 8962, and the two A. chiquitana accessions, ICG 13181 and ICG 13241, formed two distinct groups. The third A. chiquitana accession, ICG 11560, did not group closely with the other A. chiquitana accessions, but showed a closer relationship with them than with the A. diogoi accessions. These results showed that A. chiquitana accessions, particularly ICG 11560, are not related to the accessions of A. diogoi and that the accessions belonging to these two species are different.

Angelici et al. (2008) used SSRs to study the genetic diversity among 77 accessions of the four species from section Rhizomatosae, the diploid A. burkartii and the tetraploid A. glabrata, A. pseudovillosa and A. nitida. The 15 SSR loci detected 249 alleles and high degrees of intra- and interspecific polymorphism. The diploid accessions grouped in one cluster and the tetraploid accessions in another cluster. The markers differentiated all the 77 accessions but the genetic distance could not be correlated with geographic origin. Furthermore, Robledo and Seijo (2008) studied the genomic affinities of A. glandulifera with A- and B-genome by comparing several chromosome landmarks and by total genome hybridization, using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) of the 5S and 45S rRNA genes and heterochromatic 4'-6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) positive bands. Their results revealed very poor homologies with all the A- and B-genome taxa, supporting the special genome constitution (D-genome) of A. glandulifera. In a study involving 14 wild Arachis species from different sections and 24 allotetraploid groundnut cultivars from several countries and belonging to different botanical types, Tang et al. (2008) revealed that groundnut cultivars were closely related to each other, and shared a large number of alleles. In contrast, the species in genus Arachis shared few alleles. Further, the cultivars in this study could be partitioned into two main groups and four subgroups

at the molecular level, and that A. duranensis is one of the wild ancestors of A. hypogaea. The lowest genetic variation was detected between A. cardenasii and A. batizocoi, and the highest between A. pintoi and the species in the section Arachis. This study also revealed that accessions in section Heteranthae were closest to the tested accessions in section Arachis, followed by the tested accessions in the sections Procumbentes, Rhizomatosae and Caulorrhizae, respectively, thus providing breeders insights into the use of wild species out of section Arachis, for the improvement of cultivated groundnut. At ICRISAT, 47 accessions of 14 wild Arachis species along with 805 accessions of cultivated A. hypogaea (322 accessions of hypogaea type and 483 of *fastigiata* type) were genotyped, using 21 SSR markers. The common alleles were higher in the wild Arachis species (359) than in the cultivated fastigiata (230) and hypogaea (190) types. Wild species also possessed the highest number of unique alleles (101), and the gene diversity was 0.870, ranging from 0.434 to 0.947. The wild Arachis accessions shared only 15 alleles with the subspecies hypogaea and 32 alleles with the subspecies fastigiata.

1.4 Wild Arachis as Source of Variation for Agronomic Traits

Wild Arachis species harbor very high levels of resistance to many biotic and abiotic stresses when compared with cultivated groundnut (Dwivedi et al. 2003, 2008; Table 1.4). Examples include resistance to rust, early leaf spot, late leaf spot, nematode, peanut mottle virus, peanut stripe virus, peanut bud necrosis virus, tomato-spotted wilt virus, groundnut rosette disease, aflatoxin, corn ear worm, southern corn root worm, thrips, leaf hoppers and Spodoptera. Further, the mechanism and genetic control of resistance in wild relatives appear to be different than that in cultivated types. For example, resistance to rust in crosses involving wild relatives is partially dominant (Singh et al. 1984). Sharma et al. (2003) found several morphological traits associated with tolerance to insect pests. For example, main stem thickness and hairiness, hypanthium length, leaflet shape and length, leaf hairiness, standard petal length and petal markings, basal leaflet width, stipule adnation length and width, and peg length showed significant correlation with damage by

Table 1.4 Wild Arachis species resistant to pests a

Species	Trait	References
A. hagenbeckii, A. glabrata and A. repens	Early leaf spot (ELS)	Gibbons and Bailey (1967)
A. diogoi and A. cardenasii	ELS and late leaf spot (LLS)	Abdou et al. (1974)
A. glabrata	Peanut mottle virus (PMV)	Demski and Sowell (1981)
 A. chacoense, A. cardenasii, A. stenosperma, A. repens, A. appressipila, A. paraguariensis, A. villosulicarpa, A. hagenbeckii, A. glabrata, A. batizocoi, A. duranensis, A. correntina, A. villosa and A. pusilla 	LLS, rust	Subrahmanyam et al. (1983, 1985a)
A. pusilla, A. cardenasii, A. diogoi and A. correntina	PMV, tomato-spotted wilt virus (TSWV)	Subrahmanyam et al. (1985b)
A. monticola	ELS	Subrahmanyam et al. (1985c)
A. batizocoi and A. cardenasii	Nematode	Nelson et al. (1989) and Holbrook and Noe (1990)
A. cardenasii, A. chacoense and A. stenosperma	ELS, LLS, rust	Nigam et al. (1991)
A. cardenasii and A. duranensis	Seed colonization and aflatoxin production	Nigam et al. (1991)
A. chacoense and A. pusilla	PMV	Nigam et al. (1991)
A cardenasii	Peanut strine virus (PStV)	Nigam et al. (1991)

ELS, TSWV, rust, nematode, thrips, Stalker (1992)

corn earworm (CEW), leaf

CEW, leaf hoppers

Peanut bud necrosis virus

Groundnut rosette disease

rootworm, leaf hopper

LLS, TSWV, ELS, rust, nematode,

Insect-pests

hoppers

Nematode

ELS

LLS, rust

Nigam et al. (1991)

Stalker (1992)

Sharma et al. (1999)

Reddy et al. (2000)

Pande and Rao (2001)

Subrahmanyam et al. (2001)

Sharma et al. (2003)

Mallikarjuna et al. (2004)

and Stalker and Lynch

ICRISAT (2000)

(2002)

A. cardenasii A. correntina, A. chacoense, A. stenosperma and A. villosulicarpa

A. chacoense

A. cardenasii, A. stenosperma and A. batizocoi

- A. helodes, A. sylvestris, A. kretschmeri, A. kuhlmannii and A. stenosperma A. benensis, A. cardenasii, A. villosa, A. appressipila and
- A. triseminata
- A. appressipila, A. triseminata, A. magna, A. sylvestris, A. pusilla, A. valida and A. dardani
- A. hoehnei, A. duranensis and A. kuhlmannii
- A. diogoi, A. hoehnei, A. kretschmeri, A. appressipila, A. cardenasii, A. villosa, A. stenosperma, A. pintoi, A. kuhlmannii, A. triseminata and A. decora

Helicoverpa armigera, Spodoptera litura and leafhop-

pers. Wild relatives are also reported to possess high

oil and protein content (Dwivedi et al. 2003). Several Arachis species are extremely drought tolerant (Stalker

and Moss 1987). At ICRISAT, 282 wild Arachis acces-

sions belonging to 38 species were evaluated for soil

plant analysis development (SPAD), chlorophyll meter

readings (SCMR) and specific leaf area (SLA) traits

related to drought tolerance at two stages, viz., 60 days

after sowing (DAS) and 80 DAS. Enormous variability

A. cardenasii

A. cardenasii, A. duranensis, A. kempff-mercadoi, A. monticola, Leaf miner, Helicoverpa, leaf A. stenosperma, A. paraguariensis, A. pusilla and hopper, rust, LLS A. triseminata A. kempff-mercadoi ELS, LLS, Spodoptera

> was observed among the accessions for these two traits, which ranged from 26.41 to 62.38 for SCMR at 60 DAS and 29.01 to 60.28 at 80 DAS, 39.23 to 357.70 for SLA at 60 DAS and 91.53 to 209.39 at 80 DAS (Upadhyaya unpublished data). More recently, Nautival et al. (2008) reported wide genetic variability in leaf characteristics such as color, shape, hairiness, specific leaf area (SLA, length, width and thickness) among wild Arachis species, that were associated with cold and heat tolerance as measured by relative leaf

Rust, ELS, nematode, southern corn Stalker et al. (2002a, b)

injury (RI). The SLA in 36 wild Arachis accessions ranged from 66 to 161 cm² g⁻¹. Using RI as the measure of tolerance, A. glabrata 11,824 and A. paraguariensis 12,042 were identified as heat and cold tolerant, respectively, while A. appresipila 11,786 was found to be susceptible to both heat and cold stress. Further, when detecting the concentration of various leaf constituents, the total protein, phenols, sugars, reducing sugar, amino acids, proline, epicuticular wax load, and chlorophyll were found to vary significantly among heat- and cold-tolerant accessions. For example, the epicuticular wax load ranged between 1.1 and 2.5 mg dm² among 13 A. glabrata accessions. The high-wax accessions showed a higher diffusion resistance (dr) as compared to low-wax type; though the transpiration rate (tr) in high-wax type was moderate (between 9.5 and 11.6 μ g cm⁻² s⁻¹). These accessions also showed large genetic variation in canopy temperature as well. For example, the fully turgid leaves with relative water content \geq 91% showed leaf water potential (ψ_{leaf}) between -0.7 and -1.2 MPa. These results revealed that plants with thicker leaves are better protected from heat injuries while epicuticular wax load helps in maintaining stomotal regulation and leaf water relations, thus affording adaptation to wild Arachis species to thrive under water-limited environments. The genetic upgradation of the cultivated groundnut necessitates the use of wild Arachis gene pools to expand its genetic variability.

1.5 Barriers to Interspecific Hybridization

Many of the wild *Arachis* species are not crosscompatible with cultivated groundnut. The major barrier for gene introgression is postzygotic failure of embryo development. Researchers have used a number of techniques to either circumvent or overcome barriers to hybridization, which include the use of hormonal treatment to overcome pre- and postfertilization barriers or embryo rescue, if postfertilization barriers exist.

The species in the secondary gene pool, which is represented by the diploid species of the section Arachis, have greater potential as they possess very high levels of resistance to many pests and diseases. However, utilization of the secondary gene pool for the introgression of useful genes into *A. hypogaea* shows sterility barriers due to different ploidy levels, genomic incompatibilities and cryptic genetic differences, which could be restored by manipulating ploidy levels, as discussed in Sect. 1.10.

Direct intersectional hybridization with A. hypogaea has been difficult, necessitating the use of hormonal treatment to overcome pre- and postfertilization barriers or embryo rescue, if postfertilization barriers exist, which may, to a large extent, be overcome using in vitro techniques such as cell and protoplast culture, ovule and embryo culture or both. For example, in vitro culture of ovules or embryos has been successfully used to produce intersectional hybrids in many genera (Narayanaswami and Norstog 1964; Collins et al. 1984). However, several factors including genotypic specificity, media composition, concentrations of growth hormones and environmental conditions alone or in combinations influence the successful use of ovule and embryo culture techniques in interspecific crosses particularly with species from more distant gene pool (Sastri and Moss 1982; Mallikarjuna and Sastri 1985a, b). The intersectional hybrid between A. hypogaea and A. glabrata has been developed following embryo rescue technique and the resultant hybrid inherited the resistance to rust, late leaf spot, peanut bud necerosis and peanut stripe diseases from the pollen parent A. glabrata (Mallikarjuna 2002; Mallikarjuna and Sastri 2002). Likewise, using hormonal application to the pollinated pistil followed by embryo rescue technique, Mallikarjuna (2005) produced the first fertile intersectional hybrid between A. hypogaea and A. chiquitana of section Procumbentes. A. chiquitana is reported resistant to seed colonisation by Aspergillus flavus. Clearly, these studies demonstrate that it is possible to access the desirable traits across the sections for broadening the genetic base of cultivated groundnut by following various approaches.

1.6 Genomic Resources to Monitor Introgression in Interspecific Crosses Involving Wild *Arachis* Species

The genetic linkage maps based on interspecific crosses will be useful in locating specific genes of interest in the interspecific progenies that provide

a way to accomplish interspecific gene transfer with minimum linkage drag, thus improving the prospects for successful introgression of desirable genes from wild relatives (Tanksley et al. 1989; Tanksley and McCouch 1997). Halward et al. (1993) were the first to report RFLP-based genetic linkage map, involving 87 F₂ population of the cross involving diploid Arachis species A. stenosperma and A. cardenasii, with a total map distance of 1,063 cm, which contained 117 RFLP loci on 11 linkage groups. Burow et al. (2001) used BC_1F_1 population (78) derived from synthetic amphiploid TxAG-6 (Simpson et al. 1993) and Florunner to develop the first RFLP-based tetraploid genetic map, which mapped 370 RFLP loci on 23 linkage groups (LGs) with a total map distance of 2,210 cm. Subsequently, Moretzsohn et al. (2005) constructed the first SSR-based genetic map of Arachis by using F_2 population, involving AA-genome diploid species A. duranensis and A. stenosperma, which mapped 170 SSR loci on 11 LGs covering 1,231 cm and average marker density of 7.24 cm. Gobbi et al. (2006) mapped 130 SSR loci on 10 LGs involving diploid B-genome donor species, A. ipaënsis and A. magna. It is expected that with the availability of AA- and BB-genome-based genetic maps for Arachis, it would be possible to use these segregating loci to tag gene of interest in interspecific crosses. The group in Brazil now uses synthetic amphidiploids to construct a reference map, which they are using to access the near-complete genome sequences of model legumes (Medicago truncatula and Lotus japonicus), in a way that would enhance understanding of the Arachis genome. To do that, they placed more than 80 legume anchor markers (Fredslund et al. 2006) on the AA-genome map and analyzed the synteny between Arachis and the model legumes, identifying affinities in nine of the ten Arachis linkage groups and model legume chromosomes, some showing substantial regions of marker colinearity (Moretzsohn et al. 2007). The combination of SSR-based genetic maps of diploid species and synthetic amphiploids incorporating various exotic genomes would unlock the hidden treasure in wild Arachis species and would facilitate the marker-assisted introgression of important traits into the cultivated groundnut.

Guimarães et al. (2008) constructed and characterized two large-insert bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries, one for each of the diploid ancestral species. The libraries (AA and BB) are 13

ca. 7.4 and ca. 5.3 genome equivalents, respectively, with low organelle contamination and average insert sizes of 110 and 100 kb. These diploid BAC libraries are important tools for the isolation of wild alleles conferring resistances to biotic stresses, comparisons of orthologous regions of the AA and BB-genomes with each other and with other legume species and will facilitate the construction of a physical map.

Garcia et al. (1995) showed introgression of genes from A. cardenasii into A. hypogaea in 10 of 11 LGs, which they used to enhance the selection efficiency for developing nematode-resistant germplasm (Garcia et al. 1996). Burow et al. (1996) identified two random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers linked with nematode resistance in BC_4F_2 population of the cross Florunner \times TxAg-6 that were closely linked to each other. One marker RKN229 was 9 cm away from resistance locus (Burow et al. 1996). Two dominant genes that conferred resistance on root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne arenaria race 1, were mapped using RAPD and sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers (Garcia et al. 1996). A marker Z3/265, closely linked with nematode resistance, was mapped to a linkage group in a backcross population known to contain A. cardenasii introgression (Garcia et al. 1996), which they cloned to make SCAR and RFLP probes that further confirmed the linkage with nematode resistance. The RFLP markers linked to a locus for resistance to M. arenaria race 1 has been identified by various workers using mapping populations derived from interspecific crosses (Choi et al. 1999; Church et al. 2000; Seib et al. 2003), thus providing a useful selection method for identifying resistance to the peanut root-knot nematode.

1.7 Approaches to Interspecific Gene Transfer

The differences in ploidy levels have been the major bottleneck in interspecific gene transfer between diploid wild *Arachis* species and tetraploid *A. hypogaea*. For the successful utilization of wild *Arachis* species in the genetic amelioration of the cultivated groundnut cultivars, Simpson (2001) has outlined the following approaches to overcome the genomic imbalances in crosses involving species with different ploidy levels.

1.7.1 Hexaploid Route

A. hypogaea (2n = 4x = 40) is hybridized with a diploid wild Arachis species (2n = 2x = 20) to produce a sterile triploid (2n = 3x = 30), which is then treated with colchicine to produce hexaploid (2n = 6)x = 60). This amphiploid is first crossed and then selfed or backcrossed with A. hypogaea until the tetraploid hybrid is obtained after eliminating the excess chromosomes during segregation. This pathway has been used with some success in North Carolina State University, Raleigh, USA, and at ICRISAT for developing numerous disease- and insect-resistant elite germplasm. This technique could be used with several variations such as crossing two or more diploid species before crossing with A. hypogaea. This approach has limitation, as it is time consuming and unpredictable. However, the advantage is through selfing as selfing the amphiploids increases recombination between the chromosomes of different genomes.

1.7.2 Diploid/Tetraploid Pathway using Bridge Species

This pathway has been the most successful introgression pathway at the Texas A&M University, USA, for gene transfer from wild Arachis species into A. hypogaea (Simpson 1991; Simpson and Starr 2001), using B-genome species as a bridge species. A. cardenasii was first crossed with A. diogoi, both diploid species and the resulting hybrid (52% pollen stained) was crossed as male parent with A. batizocoi, the "B"genome diploid species. The resulting diploid threeway hybrid was sterile (pollen stained <1%) and was subsequently treated with colchicines. The amphiploid (> 90% pollen stained) was easily crossed with A. hypogaea cv. Florunner. Selection was made for highly fertile-resistant progenies that were backcrossed to A. hypogaea. This approach had been proposed by Smartt et al. (1978b) as a solution to overcome the sterility barrier between A. hypogaea and the wild diploid species. They hypothesized that use of a B-genome parent might make the complex amphiploids more cross-compatible with A. hypogaea, but the B-genome species, A. batizocoi, is susceptible to late leaf spot and other diseases, which may lead to the incorporation of these unfavorable traits into the

breeding lines, thus hampering the groundnut improvement programs (Holbrook and Stalker 2003).

1.7.3 Diploid/Tetraploid Pathway

The two diploid wild *Arachis* species are first doubled with colchicines, followed by the hybridization of these two amphidiploids to form a tetraploid hybrid, which is finally crossed with *A. hypogaea*, provided the amphiploid hybrid is fertile enough to make the cross. In order to transfer rust resistance from the wild *Arachis* species, autotetraploidy was induced in three diploid species, viz., *A. cardenasii*, *A. stenosperma* and *A. chacoense*, and the resultant autotetraploids were crossed with *A. hypogaea* cultivars. A number of *A. hypogaea*-like derivatives were identified with rust resistance transferred from wild species. Germplasm lines have not been released from this pathway in peanut till date. High level of sterility is the major factor that limits this technique.

Another variant of this pathway is to first cross two diploid *Arachis* species, double the chromosome number of the hybrid, and then cross the resultant amphidiploid with *A. hypogaea*. This pathway was attempted in Texas (Simpson 1991), but without both A- and B-genome types in the crossing scheme, the success is limited greatly because of high sterility factors.

1.8 Elite Germplasm Originating from Interspecific Crosses

To date, only species from primary and secondary gene pools have been exploited, leading to the development of many elite germplasm lines that originate from interspecific crosses, with resistance to rust, ELS, LLS, nematodes, southern corn rootworm, corn earworm, *Spodoptera*, and leaf hoppers were reported from interspecific crosses [reviewed in Dwivedi et al. (2003)]. However, these elite germplasm are good sources of resistance to many pests and diseases to enhance the levels of resistance in cultivated groundnut.

Spancross (Hammons 1970), Tamnut 74 (Simpson and Smith 1975), Coan (Simpson and Starr 2001), NemaTAM (Simpson et al. 2003), ICGV-SM 85048 (Nigam et al. 1998) and ICGV-SM86715 (Moss et al. 1998) were released for cultivation, mostly in the USA. Nematode resistance has helped US peanut growers to save US\$100 million annually (*www.unep. org/documents/default.asp?documentID=399&article*). Likewise, the researchers at ICRISAT were able to improve the levels of resistance to rust and late leaf spot in newly developed breeding lines originating from crosses involving interspecific derivatives in the breeding program. Following interspecific hybridization, 16 breeding lines (ICGV 99001 to ICGV 99016) have been developed at ICRISAT, of which ICGV 99001 and 99004 are resistant to late leaf spot (LLS) and ICGV 99003 and 99005 are rust resistant (Singh et al. 2003).

1.9 New Approach to Interspecific Gene Transfer

Several attempts have been made to transfer variability from wild Arachis species into A. hypogaea using the methods described in Sect. 1.10. However, limited success has been realized from these approaches. Synthetic amphiploids have proved successful in generating new diversity in crops such as wheat and Brassicaceae [reviewed in Dwivedi et al. (2008)]. Using this approach, Simpson et al. (1993) crossed an AA-genome donor hybrid (A. cardenasii \times A. diogoi) with a BB-genome species, A. batizocoi, and treated the resultant sterile hybrid with colchicine to double the chromosome number to obtain fertile hexaploid. This synthetic amphiploid, named TxAG-6, was subsequently crossed and backcrossed with the cultivated groundnut, which resulted in the release of two groundnut cultivars (Coan and NemaTAM) carrying genes for root-knot nematode (M. arenaria) resistance from A. cardenasii (Simpson and Starr 2001; Simpson et al. 2003). Another germplasm line, TxAG-7, was derived by crossing TP-129 with UF 439-16-10-3, a component line of Florunner (Norden et al. 1969). The four-species F₁ complex hybrid was then backcrossed to UF 439-16-10-3 as female, producing a population of BC₁F₁ plants, one of which was designated TP-135-4, and named TxAG-7 (Simpson et al. 1993). Both the lines TxAG-6 and TxAG-7 carry genes for nematode resistance (Nelson et al. 1989; Starr et al.

1990). However, none of the parental genotypes involved in synthesizing the TxAG-6 are ancestors of cultivated groundnut, not the true synthesis of cultivated groundnut. More recently, the amphiploid synthesized by involving progenitor species, A. duranensis and A. ipaënsis, produced fertile progenies when crossed with A. hypogaea (Favero et al. 2006). Work on synthesizing the amphiploids involving wild species, and their subsequent utilization for the genetic amelioration of the cultivated groundnut is in progress at ICRISAT. The synthetic amphidiploids (tetraploid) have been generated from the diploid hybrids involving AB-genome (A. duranensis $\times A$. ipaënsis, A. duranensis × A. batizocoi, A. duranensis \times A. hoehnei, A. valida \times A. duranensis, A. ipaënsis \times A. duranensis, A. batizocoi × A. duranensis, A. valida

 \times A. duranensis, A. kempff-mercadoi \times A. hoehnei, A. batizocoi \times A. cardenasii, A. valida \times A. diogoi, Α. magna $\times A$. batizocoi, Α. batizocoi $\times A$. cardenasii), AA-genome (A. kempff-mercadoi \times A. stenosperma, A. duranensis \times A. cardenasii) and BBgenome (A. trinitensis \times A. hoehnei, A. magna \times A. valida), which are being further crossed with cultigens to introduce genes of interest into improved genetic backgrounds using marker-assisted introgression to minimize the linkage drag. This "resynthesizing pathway" would allow the breeders to capture the enormous variability available in the wild species by incorporating various exotic genomes in the synthetic amphidiploids, and its subsequent utilization would help in incorporating the traits of interest from various wild species into the cultivated groundnut background [reviewed in Dwivedi et al. (2008)].

Wild *Arachis* species have many undesirable traits linked with resistance traits: thick shell, highly reticulated, constricted, prominently ridged and conspicuously beaked pods, which are small and catenated. Using conventional crossing and selection, it has been difficult to break such undesirable association due to linkage drag while selecting the progenies from such interspecific crosses. However, with the recent developments in marker technology (both in terms of marker developments, SSRs and DArT, and high throughput assay, ABI3700), it should now be possible to minimize the linkage drag, and monitor and fix the allelic variations associated with beneficial traits in progenies from interspecific crosses.

1.10 Outlook

Genetic variability is the key to the success of crop improvement programs. Plant breeders preferably exploit variation from the primary gene pool of a specific crop. Cultivated groundnut has narrow genetic base, probably because of the bottlenecks associated with its origin. Moreover, for some stresses, the resistance is either not available or present in very low levels in A. hypogaea, in spite of the fact that over 14,000 germplasm accessions are locked in national and international genebanks. Wild Arachis, in contrast, show enormous genetic variation in the traits most important for the enhancement of groundnut productivity. However, most of these variations detected in secondary, tertiary and fourth gene pools require use of techniques such as ploidy manipulation, bridge crosses and ovule/embryo culture. Using these techniques alone or in combinations, researchers have been able to transfer beneficial traits (mostly resistance to pests and diseases) from secondary gene pool to cultivated groundnut. Several elite germplasm from such interspecific crosses that are resistant to pests and diseases have been released worldwide, of which a few have been released as cultivars. Prominent among these are the two root-knot nematoderesistant (carrying gene from wild relatives) groundnut cultivars in the USA. Likewise, some wild Arachis species from the tertiary gene pool have been successfully crossed with A. Hypogaea; however, the utility of such crosses towards releasing the genetic variation that is useful for selection is yet to be demonstrated. More recently, tetraploid amphiploids, involving several Arachis species, including A. hypogaea progenitors A. duranensis and A. ipaënsis, have been produced. These amphiploids are being further crossed with A. hypogaea to unlock the genetic variation from Arachis species. Over the past few years, molecular biology research in groundnut has made considerable progress towards developing markers (SSR and DArT) and genetic maps. Today, we have large number of SSR markers, the DArT markers being discovered, the high-throughput assay platform (ABI3700), the AAand BB-genome-based genetic maps involving wild relatives, tetraploid genetic map for A. hypogaea (see Sect. 1.6). It is expected that with the availability of these genomic resources, it should be feasible to minimize the linkage drag when selecting progenies with beneficial traits from interspecific crosses. Several projects are underway to exploit these genetic and genomic resources to broaden the genetic base of *A. hypogaea* germplasm for the development of high yielding groundnut cultivars with specific attributes for the benefit of the farming community globally.

References

- Abdou YAM, Gregory WC, Cooper WE (1974) Sources and nature of resistance to *Cercospora arachidicola* Hori and *Cercospora personatum* (Berk et Cutis) Deighton in *Arachis* species. Peanut Sci 1:6–11
- Angelici CMLCD, Hoshino AA, Nóbile PM, Palmieri DA, Valls JFM, Gimenes MA, Lopes CR (2008) Genetic diversity in section *Rhizomatosae* of the genus *Arachis* (Fabaceae) based on microsatellite markers. Genet Mol Biol 31:79–88
- Bennett MD (1982) Nucleotypic basis of special ordering of chromososmes in eukaryotes and the implication of the order for genome evolution and phenotypic variation. In: Dover GA, Flavell RB (eds) Genome evolution. Academic, London, UK, pp 239–261
- Bowman AM, Wilson GPM, Gogel BJ (1998) Evaluation of perennial peanuts (*Arachis* spp.) as forage on the New South Wales north coast. Trop Grassl 32:252–258
- Burow MD, Simpson CE, Paterson AH, Starr JL (1996) Identification of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) RAPD markers diagnostic of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne arenaria* (Neal) Chitwood) resistance. Mol Breed 2:369–379
- Burow MD, Simpson CE, Starr JL, Paterson AH (2001) Transmission genetics of chromatin from a synthetic amphiploid in cultivated peanut (*A. hypogaea* L.): broadening the gene pool of a monophyletic polyploidy species. Genetics 159:823–837
- Carvalho MA, Quesenberry KH (2009) Morphological characterization of the USA Arachis pintoi Krap. and Greg. collection. Plant Syst Evol 277:1–11
- Choi K, Burow MD, Church G, Burow G, Paterson AH, Simpson CE, Starr JL (1999) Genetics and mechanism of resistance to *Meloidogyne arenaria* in peanut germplasm. J Nematol 31:283–290
- Church GT, Simpson CE, Burow MD, Paterson AH, Starr JL (2000) Use of RFLPmarkers for identification of individuals homozygous for resistance to *Meloidogyne arenaria* in peanut. Nematology 2:575–580
- Collins GB, Taylor NL, De Verna JW (1984) In vitro approaches to interspecific hybridization. In: Gustafson JP (ed) Gene manipulation in plant improvement. Plenum, New York, pp 323–383
- Conagin CHTM (1964) Numero de cromossomos em Arachis selvagem. Bragantia 23:XXV–XXVII (nota 5)
- Demski JW, Sowell G Jr (1981) Resistance to peanut mottle virus in *Arachis* spp. Peanut Sci 8:43–44
- Dwivedi SL, Crouch JH, Nigam SN, Ferguson ME, Paterson AH (2003) Molecular breeding of groundnut for enhanced productivity and food security in the semi-arid tropics: opportunities and challenges. Adv Agron 80:153–221

- Dwivedi SL, Upadhyaya HD, Blair MW, Bertioli DJ, Nielen S, Ortiz RO (2008) Enhancing crop gene pools with beneficial traits using wild relatives. Plant Breed Rev 30:179–230
- FAO (2008) http://apps.fao.org/page/collections?subset=agri culture
- Favero AP, Simpson CE, Valls JFM, Vello NA (2006) Study of the evolution of cultivated peanut through crossability studies among A. ipaënsis, A. duranensis, and A. hypogaea. Crop Sci 46:1546–1552
- Ferguson ME, Jarvis A, Stalker HT, Valls JFM, Pittman RN, Simpson CE, Bramel P, Williams D, Guarino L (2005) Biogeography of wild *Arachis*: distribution and environmental characterization. Biodivers Conserv 14:1777
- Fernandez A, Krapovickas A (1994) Cromosomas Y évolution en Arachis (Leguminosae). Bonplandia 8:187–220 (in Spanish with English abstract)
- Fredslund J, Madsen LH, Nielsen AM, Bertioli D, Sandal N, Stougaard J, Schauser L (2006) A general strategy for the development of anchor markers for comparative genomics in plants. BMC Genome 7:207
- French EC, Prine GM, Ocumpaugh WR, Rice RW (1994) Regional experience with forage Arachis in the United States. In: Kerridge PC, Hardy B (eds) Biology and agronomy of forage Arachis. CIAT, Cali, Columbia, pp 169–186
- Garcia GM, Stalker HT, Kochert G (1995) Introgression analysis of an interspecific hybrid population in peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) using RFLP and RAPD markers. Genome 38:166–176
- Garcia GM, Stalker HT, Shroeder E, Kochert G (1996) Identification of RAPD, SCAR and RFLP markers tightly linked to nematode resistance genes introgressed from *Arachis cardenasii* into *Arachis hypogaea*. Genome 39:836–845
- Gibbons RW, Bailey BE (1967) Resistance to Cercospora arachidicola in some species of Arachis. Rhod Zam Mal J Agric Res 5:57
- Gimenes MA, Lopes CR, Galgaro ML, Valls JFM, Kochert G (2002) RFLP analysis of genetic variation in species of section Arachis, genus Arachis (Leguminosae). Euphytica 123:421–429
- Gimenes MA, Hoshino AA, Barbosa AVG, Palmieri DA, Lopes CR (2007) Characterization and transferability of microsatellite markers of the cultivated peanut (*A. hypogaea*). BMC Plant Biol 7:9
- Gobbi A, Teixeira C, Moretzsohn M, Guimaraes P, Leal-Bertioli D, Lopes CR, Gimenes M (2006) Development of a linkage map to species of B genome related to the peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*-AABB). In: Plant and animal genome XIV conference, San Diego, CA, USA, p 679. http://www.intl-pag. org/14/abstracts/PAG14_P679.html
- Goodman RM, Hauptli H, Crossway A, Knauf VC (1987) Gene transfer in crop improvement. Science 236:48–54
- Gregory WC (1946) Peanut breeding program underway. In: Research and farming. 69th annual report, North Carolina Agricultural Experiment Station, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA, pp 42–44
- Gregory MP, Gregory WC (1979) Exotic germplasm of Arachis L. interspecific hybrids. J Hered 70:185–193
- Gregory WC, Gregory MP, Krapovickas A, Smith BW, Yarbrough JA (1973) Structures and genetic resources of peanuts. In: Peanuts – culture and uses. American Peanut Research and Education Association, Stillwater, Oklahoma, USA, pp 47–133

- Guimarães PM, Garsmeur O, Proite K, Leal-Bertioli SCM, Seijo G, Chaine C, Bertioli DJ, D'Hont A (2008) BAC libraries construction from the ancestral diploid genomes of the allotetraploid cultivated peanut. BMC Plant Biol 8:14
- Hajjar R, Hodgkin T (2007) The use of wild relatives in crop improvement: a survey of developments over the last 20 years. Euphytica 156:1–13
- Halward T, Stalker HT, Kochert G (1993) Development of an RFLP linkage map in diploid peanut species. Theor Appl Genet 87:379–384
- Hammons RO (1970) Registration of Spancross peanuts (Reg. No. 3). Crop Sci 10:459–460
- Harlan JR (1976) Genetic resources in wild relatives of crops. Crop Sci 16:329–333
- Hawkes JG (1977) The importance of wild germplasm in plant breeding. Euphytica 26:615–621
- Hoisington D, Khairallah M, Reeves T, Ribaut JM, Skovmand B, Taba S, Warburton M (1999) Plant genetic resources: what can they contribute toward increased crop productivity? Proc Natl Sci Acad U S A 96:5937–5943
- Holbrook CC, Noe JP (1990) Resistance to *Meloidogyne arenaria* in *Arachis* spp. and the implications on development of resistant peanut cultivars. Peanut Sci 17:35–38
- Holbrook CC, Stalker HT (2003) Peanut breeding and genetic Resources. Plant Breed Rev 22:297–356
- Hoshino AA, Bravo JP, Angelici CMLCD, Barbosa AVG, Lopes CR, Gimenes MA (2006) Heterologous microsatellite primer pairs informative for the whole genus *Arachis*. Genet Mol Biol 29:665–675
- ICRISAT (2000) ICRISAT annual report 1999. ICRISAT, Patancheru, India
- Jarvis A, Guarino L, Williams D, Williams K, Hyman G (2002) The use of GIS in the spatial analysis of wild peanut distributions and the implications for plant genetic resource conservation. Plant Genet Res Newsl 131:1–10
- Jarvis A, Ferguson ME, Williams D, Mottram G, Guarino L, Stalker HT (2003) Biogeography of wild *Arachis*: assessing conservation status and setting future priorities. Crop Sci 43:1100–1108
- Jarvis A, Lane A, Hijmans RJ (2008) The effect of climate change on crop wild relatives. Agric Ecosyst Environ 126:13–26
- Kochert G, Stalker HM, Gimense M, Galgaro L, Lopes CR, Moore K (1996) RFLP and cytogenetics evidence on the origin and evolution of allotetraploid domesticated peanut, *Arachis hypogaea* (Leguminosae). Am J Bot 83:1282–1291
- Krapovickas A, Gregory WC (1960) Arachis rigonii, nueva especie silverstre de mani. Revista Invest Agric 14:157–160
- Krapovickas A, Gregory WC (1994) Taxonomia del genero Arachis (Leguminosae). Bonplandia 8:1–186 (in Spanish with English abstr)
- Krapovickas A, Gregory WC (2007) Taxonomy of the genus Arachis (Leguminosae). (Translated by Williams DE, Simpson CE.). Bonplandia 16(suppl):1205
- Krapovickas A, Rigoni UA (1957) Nuevas especies de Arachis vinculadas al problem del origen del mani. Darwinians 11:431–455
- Lavia GI (1996) Estudios cromosomicos en Arachis (Leguminosae). Bonplandia 9:111–120
- Lavia GI (1998) Karyotypes of *Arachis palustris* and *A. praecox* (Section *Arachis*), two species with basic chromosome number x = 9. Cytologia 63:177–181

- Lavia GI, Fernández A (2008) Genome size in wild and cultivated peanut germplasm. Plant Syst Evol 272:1–10
- Lenne JM, Wood D (1991) Plant disease and the use of wild germplasm. Annu Rev Phytopathol 29:35–63
- Maass L, Ocampo CH (1995) Isozyme polymorphism provides finger prints for germplasm of *Arachis glabrata* Bentham. Genet Resour Crop Evol 42:77–82
- Mallikarjuna N (2002) Gene introgression from A. glabrata into A. hypogaea, A. duranensis and A. diogoi. Euphytica 124:99–105
- Mallikarjuna N (2005) Production of hybrids between *Arachis* hypogaea and *A. chiquitana* (section Procumbentes). Peanut Sci 32:148–152
- Mallikarjuna N, Bramel P (2001) Crossability in genus Arachis L. Am Peanut Res Educ Assoc 33:57
- Mallikarjuna N, Sastri DC (1985a) Utilization of incompatible species in Arachis: sequential hormone applications. In: Moss JP (ed) Cytogenetics of *Arachis*. Proceedings of an international workshop on cytogenetics of *Arachis*, 31 Oct–2 Nov 1983. ICRISAT Centre, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India, pp 144–152
- Mallikarjuna N, Sastri DC (1985b) In vitro culture of ovules and embryos from some incompatible interspecific crosses in the genus *Arachis* L. In: Moss JP (ed) Cytogenetics of *Arachis*. Proceedings of an international workshop on cytogenetics of *Arachis*, 31 Oct–2 Nov 1983. ICRISAT Centre, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India, pp 135–138
- Mallikarjuna N, Sastri DC (2002) Morphological, cytological and disease resistance studies of the intersectional hybrid between *Arachis hypogaea* L. and *A. glabrata* Benth. Euphytica 126:161–167
- Mallikarjuna N, Pande S, Jadhav DR, Sastri DC, Rao JN (2004) Introgression of disease resistance genes from Arachis kempffmercadoi in to cultivated groundnut. Plant Breed 123:573–576
- Mallikarjuna N, Jadhav D, Chandra S, Prasanth VP (2007) Molecular genetic relationships among *Arachis diogoi* and *A. chiquitana* accessions. J SAT Agric Res 3:3
- Maxted N, Ford-Lloyd BV, Jury S, Kell S, Scholten M (2006) Towards a definition of crop wild relatives. Biodivers Conserv 15:2673–2685
- Mendes AJT (1947) Estudios citologicos no genero Arachis. Bragantia 7:257–267
- Moretzsohn MC, Leoi L, Proite K, Guimaraes PM, Leal-Bertioli SCM, Gimenes MA, Martins WS, Valls JFM, Grattapaglia D, Bertioli DJ (2005) A microsatellite-based, gene-rich linkage map for the AA genome of *Arachis* (Fabaceae). Theor Appl Genet 111:1060–1071
- Moretzsohn M, Bertioli SL, Guimarães P, Madsen L, Fredslund J, Hougaard B, Schauser L, Sandal N, Stougaard J, Tabata S, Bertioli D (2007) Can legume synteny be useful in guiding the introgression of wild genes into cultivated peanut? Lotus Newsl 37:95–96
- Moss JP, Singh AK, Nigam SN, Hilderbrand GL, Goviden N, Ismael FM (1998) Registration of ICGV-SM 87165 peanut germplasm. Crop Sci 38:572
- Narayanaswami S, Norstog K (1964) Plant embryo culture. Bot Rev 30:587–628
- Nautiyal PC, Rajgopal K, Zala PV, Pujari DS, Basu M, Dhadhal BA, Nandre BM (2008) Evaluation of wild *Arachis* species for abiotic stress tolerance: thermal stress and leaf water relations. Euphytica 159:43–57

- Nelson SC, Simpson CE, Starr JL (1989) Resistance to *Meloidogyne arenaria* in *Arachis* spp germplasm. J Nematol 21:654–660
- Nigam SN, Dwivedi SL, Gibbons RW (1991) Groundnut breeding: constraints, achievements, and future possibilities. Plant Breed Abstr 61:1127–1136
- Nigam SN, Hildebrand GL, Bock KR, Ismael FM, Govinden N, Subrahmanyam P, Reddy LJ (1998) Registration of ICGV-SM 85048 peanut germplasm. Crop Sci 38:572–573
- Nóbile PM, Gimenes MA, Valls JFM, Lopes CR (2004) Genetic variation within and among species of genus *Arachis*, section *Rhizomatosae*. Genet Resour Crop Evol 51:299–307
- Norden AJ, Lipscomb RW, Carver WA (1969) Registration of Florunner peanuts. Crop Sci 9:850
- Pande S, Rao JN (2001) Resistance of wild *Arachis* species to late leaf spot and rust in greenhouse trails. Plant Dis 85:851–855
- Penaloza APS, Valls JFM (2005) Chromosome number and satellite chromosome morphology of eleven species of *Arachis* (Leguminosae). Bonplandia 15:65–72
- Penaloza AP, Pozzobon MT, Valls JFM (1996) Cytogenetic findings in wild species of *Arachis* (Leguminosae). In: Programs and abstracts of the national congress of genetics, Sociedade Brasileira de Genetica (ed) Caxambu, vol 46, p 129
- Price HJ (1976) Evolution of DNA content in higher plants. Bot Rev 42:27–52
- Prine GM, Dunavin LS, Moore JE, Roush RD (1981) Florigraze rhizoma peanut: a perennial forage legume. Circular S275, Agricultural Experiment Station, Gainesville, FL
- Prine GM, Dunavin LS, Glennon RJ, Roush RD (1986) Arbrook rhizome peanut, a perennial forage legume. Circular S-332, Agricultural Experiment Station, Gainesville, FL
- Reddy AS, Reddy LJ, Mallikarjuna N, Abdurahman MD, Reddy YV, Bramel PJ, Reddy DVR (2000) Identification of resistance to peanut bud necrosis virus (PBNV) in wild *Arachis* germplasm. Ann Appl Biol 137:135–139
- Robledo G, Seijo G (2008) Characterization of the *Arachis* (Leguminosae) D genome using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) chromosome markers and total genome DNA hybridization. Genet Mol Biol 31:717–724
- Sastri DC, Moss JP (1982) Effects of growth regulators on incompatible crosses in the genus Arachis L. J Bot 33:1293
- Seib JC, Wunder L, Gallo-Meagher M, Carpentieri-Pipolo V, Gobert DW, Dickson DW (2003) Marker-assisted selection in screening peanut for resistance to root-knot nematode. In: Proceedings of the American peanut research and education society, July 8–11, 2003, Clearwater, FL, 35: 90 (abstr)
- Seijo JG, Lavia GI, Fernandez A, Krapovickas A, Ducasse D, Moscone EA (2004) Physical mapping of the 5S and 18S-25S rRNA genes by fish as evidence that *Arachis duranensis* and *A. ipaensis* are the wild diploid progenitors of A. *hypogaea*. Am J Bot 91:1294–1303
- Seijo G, Lavia GI, Fernandez A, Krapovickas A, Ducasse DA, Bertioli DJ, Moscone EA (2007) Genomic relationships between the cultivated peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) and its close relatives revealed by double GISH. Am J Bot 94:1963–1971
- Sharma SB, Ansari MA, Varaprasad KS, Singh AK, Reddy LJ (1999) Resistance to *Meloidogyne javanica* in wild *Arachis* species. Genet Resour Crop Evol 46:557–568

- Sharma HC, Pampapathy G, Dwivedi SL, Reddy LJ (2003) Mechanisms and diversity of resistance to insect pests in wild relatives of groundnut. J Econ Entomol 96:1886–1897
- Simpson CE (1991) Pathways for introgression of pest resistance into Arachis hypogaea L. Peanut Sci 18:22–26
- Simpson CE (2001) Use of wild Arachis species/introgression of genes into A. hypogaea L. Peanut Sci 28:114–116
- Simpson CE, Smith OD (1975) Registration of Tamnut 74 peanut (Reg. No. 19). Crop Sci 15:603–604
- Simpson CE, Starr JL (2001) Registration of COAN peanut. Crop Sci 41:918
- Simpson CE, Starr JL, Nelson SC, Woodard KE, Smith OD (1993) Registration of TxAG-6 and TxAG-7 peanut germplasm. Crop Sci 33:1418
- Simpson CE, Starr JL, Church GT, Burow MD, Paterson AH (2003) Registration of 'Nema TAM' peanut. Crop Sci 43:1561
- Singh AK, Moss JP (1982) Utilization of wild relatives in genetic improvement of Arachis hypogaea L. 2. Chromosome complements of species in section Arachis. Theor Appl Genet 61:305–314
- Singh AK, Moss JP (1984a) Utilization of wild relatives in the genetic improvement of Arachis hypogaea L. 5. Genome analysis in section Arachis and its implications in gene transfer. Theor Appl Genet 68:355–364
- Singh AK, Moss JP (1984b) Utilization of wild relatives in the genetic improvement of Arachis hypogaea L. 6. Fertility in triploids. Cytological basis and breeding implications. Peanut Sci 11:17–21
- Singh AK, Simpson CE (1994) Biosystematics and genetic resources. In: Smartt J (ed) The groundnut crop: a scientific basis for improvement. Chapman and Hall, London, UK, pp 96–137
- Singh AK, Subrahmanyam P, Moss JP (1984) The dominant nature of resistance to *Puccinia arachidis* in certain wild *Arachis* species. Oleagineux 39:535–538
- Singh AK, Dwivedi SL, Pande S, Moss JP, Nigam SN, Sastry DC (2003) Registration of rust and late leaf spot resistant peanut germplasm lines. Crop Sci 43:440–441
- Smartt J (1965) Cross-compatibility relationship between the cultivated peanut Arachis hypogaea L. and other species of the genus Arachis. PhD Thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, USA. University Microfilms International, Ann Arbor Michigan (Diss Abstract 65: 8968)
- Smartt J, Stalker HT (1982) Speciation and cytogenetics in Arachis. In: Pattee HE, Young CT (eds) Peanut science and technology. American Peanut Research and Education Society, Yoakum, TX, pp 21–49
- Smartt J, Gregroy WC, Gregory MP (1978a) The genome of Arachis hypogaea. 2. The implications in interspecific breeding. Euphytica 27:677–680
- Smartt J, Gregory WC, Gregory MP (1978b) The genome of Arachis hypogaea 1. Cytogenetics studies of putative genome donors. Euphytica 27:665–675
- Spielman IV, Burge AP, Moss JP (1979) Chromosome loss and meiotic behaviour in interspecific hybrids in the genus Arachis L. and their implications in breeding for disease resistance. Z fur Pflanzenzuchtg 53:236–250
- Stalker HT (1980) Utilization of crop species for crop improvement. Adv Agron 33:111–147
- Stalker HT (1991) A new species in section Arachis of peanuts with a D genome. Am J Bot 78:630–637

- Stalker HT (1992) Utilizing Arachis germplasm resources. In: Nigam SN (ed) Groundnut, a global perspective: proceedings of an international workshop, 25–29 Nov 1991. ICRI-SAT Center, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India, pp 281–295
- Stalker HT, Dalmacio RD (1981) Chromosomes of Arachis species, section Arachis (Leguminosae). J Hered 72:403–408
- Stalker HT, Lynch RE (2002) Registration of four insect-resistant peanut germplasm lines. Crop Sci 42:313–314
- Stalker HT, Moss JP (1987) Speciation, cytogenetics, and utilization of Arachis species. Adv Agron 41:1–40
- Stalker HT, Simpson CE (1995) Germplasm resources in Arachis. In: Pattee HE, Stalker HT (eds) Advanced peanut science. American Peanut Research and Education Society, Stillwater, Oklahoma, pp 14–53
- Stalker HT, Wynne JC (1979) Cytology of interspecific hybrids in section Arachis of peanuts. Peanut Sci 6:110–114
- Stalker HT, Beute MK, Shew BB, Barker KR (2002a) Registration of two root-knot nematode-resistant peanut germplasm lines. Crop Sci 42:312–313
- Stalker HT, Beute MK, Shew BB, Isleib TG (2002b) Registration of five leaf spot-resistant peanut germplasm lines. Crop Sci 42:314–316
- Starr JL, Schuster GL, Simpson CE (1990) Characterization of the resistance to *Meloidogyne arenaria* in an interspecific *Arachis* spp hybrid. Peanut Sci 17:106–108
- Subrahmanyam P, Moss JP, Rao VR (1983) Resistance to peanut rust in wild Arachis species. Plant Dis 67:209–212
- Subrahmanyam P, Moss JP, McDonald D, Rao PVS, Rao VR (1985a) Resistance to leaf spot caused by *Cercosporidium* personatum in wild Arachis species. Plant Dis 69:951–954
- Subrahmanyam P, Nolt AM, Reddy BL, DVR, McDonald D (1985b) Resistance to groundnut diseases in wild Arachis species. In: Proceedings of an international workshop on cytogenetics of Arachis, 31 Oct–2 Nov 1983. ICRISAT Centre, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India, pp 49–55
- Subrahmanyam P, Smith DH, Simpson CE (1985c) Resistance to *Didymella arachidicola* in wild *Arachis* species. Oleagineux 40:53–56
- Subrahmanyam P, Naidu RA, Reddy LJ, Lava Kumar P, Ferguson ME (2001) Resistance to ground nut rosette disease in wild Arachis species. Ann Appl Biol 139:45–50
- Tang R-H, Zhuang W-J, Gao G-Q, He L-Q, Han Z-Q, Shan S-H, Jiang J, Li Y-R (2008) Phylogenetic relationships in genus *Arachis* based on SSR and RFLP markers. Agric Sci China 7:101–105
- Tanksley SD, McCouch SR (1997) Seed banks and molecular maps: unlocking genetic potential from the wild. Science 277:1063–1066
- Tanksley SD, Young ND, Paterson AH, Bonierbale MW (1989) RFLP mapping in plant breeding: new tools for an old science. Biotechnology 7:257–264
- Valls JFM (1983) Collection of Arachis germplasm in Brazil. Plant Genet Resour Newsl 53:9–14
- Valls JFM, Simpson CE (2005) New species of Arachis from Brazil, Paraguay and Bolivia. Bonplandia 14:35–64
- Valls JFM, Ramanatha Rao V, Simpson CE, Krapovickas A (1985) Current status of collection and conservation of South American groundnut germplasm with emphasis on wild species of *Arachis*. In: Moss JP (ed) Proceedings of an international workshop on cytogenetics of *Arachis*, 31 Oct–2 Nov 1983. ICRISAT Centre, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India, pp 15–35

Chapter 2 Cajanus

Nalini Mallikarjuna, K.B. Saxena, and D.R. Jadhav

2.1 Introduction

The cultivation of the pigeonpea goes back to at least 3,000 years. Its center of origin is India (Vavilov 1928; van der Maesen 1980), from where it traveled to East Africa and, by means of the slave trade, to the American continent. Pigeonpea is an ancient crop as there is a mention of pigeonpea in Sanskrit and Buddhist literature dating back to 400 BC to 300 AD (Krishnamurthy 1991). Today, pigeonpea is widely cultivated in all tropical and semi-tropical regions of both the old and the new world.

Pigeonpea is an important grain legume crop of rain-fed agriculture in the semi-arid tropics. The Indian subcontinent, eastern Africa and Central America are the world's three main pigeonpea-producing regions. Pigeonpea is cultivated in more than 25 tropical and subtropical countries, either as a sole crop or as an intercrop with cereals and other legumes. Being a legume, pigeonpea enriches soil through nitrogen fixation. Besides this, it also enriches the soil through the addition of other valuable organic matter and micronutrients. It has a special mechanism to release soilbound phosphorus from vertisols by secreting pyssidic acid to meet its own as well as that of subsequent crop's phosphorous needs. Pigeonpea has an extensive root system that enables it to tolerate drought and improve soil structure by breaking plow pans. Besides its main use as dry dehulled splits, its tender green seeds and pods are used as vegetable. Its high protein (20-25%) containing leaves are used as fodder and dry

Nalini Mallikarjuna (🖂)

crushed seeds as animal feed while the dry stems make quality fuel wood.

Pigeonpea is attacked by a range of biotic (diseases and insect pests) and abiotic (drought, salinity and water logging) factors, which are major constraints to the increased productivity of pigeonpea. Resistance to some of these constraints is not present in the cultivated genotypes, but the wild relatives have been found to be good sources of resistance. Besides this, wild *Cajanus* species have contributed desirable agronomic traits such as cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) (Mallikarjuna and Saxena 2005; Saxena et al. 2005), dwarf growth habit (Saxena and Sharma 1995) and high protein content (Saxena et al. 2002).

Plant breeding continues to increase the productivity and ensure stable performance of crops in diverse environments. The adoption of genetically homogeneous cultivars has led to diminution of plant genetic diversity. This very process of crop improvement and narrowing of genetic variability is paving the way for epidemics of pests and diseases (genetic vulnerability), as seen in the case of the Phytophthora blight of potatoes in western Europe in 1845–1846 (Gregory 1983), the narrow cytoplasmic base of maize in the USA (Campbell and Madden 1990) and the coffee rust of the 1970s (Damania 2008). Therefore, there is a need of new allelic variation previously not encountered within a crop's domesticated gene pool. Such a situation may arise when attempting to introduce a crop into areas beyond its traditional eco-geographic range, or with the appearance of a new virulent pathogen race, as has been observed in race Ug 99, the stem rust of wheat.

Wild relatives of crop plants are important resources of variability with respect to resistance/ tolerance to disease, insect pests and drought, and good agronomic traits; therefore, they could broaden the genetic base of variation of the crop. Whenever

International Crops Research Institute for Semi Arid Tropics, Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India e-mail: N.Mallikarjuna@cgiar.org

there is a major epidemic in a region, crop improvement scientists have found resistance to the constraint in the wild relatives of those crops. The recent stem rust of wheat and Phytophthora blight of potato are good examples where scientists have gone back to wild relatives for an integrated approach to tackle the constraints (New Delhi 2008).

It is often said that pigeonpea has reached its performance plateau (Saxena 2008). Although ample morphological diversity is exhibited by pigeonpea as a crop, the same is not true at the molecular level (Yang et al. 2006). The crop has a rich source of variability in the form of wild relatives, which have played a major role in the introduction of disease resistance, good agronomic traits such as high protein content, identification and diversification of cytoplasmic base of CMS system, to name a few.

2.2 Wild Relatives of Pigeonpea

The gene bank at ICRISAT conserves over 13,632 accessions of *Cajanus* species from 74 countries. This includes 555 accessions of wild relatives, which represent six genera and 57 species (Upadhyaya et al. 2007). The majority of the collection has been



Fig. 2.1 Gene pools of the genus Cajanus

characterized for morpho-agronomic traits of importance in crop improvement.

Pigeonpea, Cajanus cajan L. belongs to the subtribe Cajaninae, which contains 13 genera. Earlier, the genus Atylosia and Cajanus were considered closely related, however, recently the genus Atylosia has been merged with the genus Cajanus (van der Maesen 1980). Subsequently, the genus Cajanus has 32 species, 18 of which are endemic to Asia and 13 to Australia and one to western Africa (van der Maesen 1986). Apart from these, there are other related genera, namely Rhynchosia, Dunbaria, Flemingia, Paracalyx, Eriosema, Adenodolichos, Bolusafra, Carissoa, Chrysoscias and Baukea. Figure 2.1 depicts the relationships among the wild species according to their crossability with cultivated species. Cajanus species, which are endemic to Australia, are Cajanus lanceolatus, C. confertiflorus, C. viscidus, C. acutifolius, C. aromaticus, C. crassicaulis, C. lanuginosus, C. latisepalus, C. reticulates, C. pubescens, C. cinereus, C. marmoratus and C. mareebensis, and C. kerstingii is endemic to Africa.

2.2.1 Gene Pools of Cajanus

Harlan and de Wet (1971) proposed a systematic means of grouping the germplasm of a crop species



and their wild relatives. They constituted three basic gene pools and divided them as primary gene pool (GP1), secondary gene pool (GP2) and tertiary gene pool (GP3) and the quaternary gene pool (GP4).

2.2.2 Primary Gene Pool

The primary gene pool consists of cultivated species and its landraces. The germplasm in the primary gene pool are fairly easy to use; however, a perusal of the utilization pattern of *Cajanus* germplasm indicates that a very small proportion of germplasm has been used so far in pigeonpea improvement programs, globally. In pigeonpea, 57 ancestors were used to develop 47 varieties. The top ten ancestors contributed 48% to the genetic base of the released varieties (Kumar et al. 2003). One of the reasons for such poor utilization may be that in spite of the vast number of lines available in the primary gene pool, there is a lack of characterization, evaluation and genetic diversity data.

As the accessibility and utilization of a collection is inversely related to its size (Frankel and Soule 1981), a core collection of pigeonpea, which represents the genetic spectrum that is representative of >85% of the diversity of the entire collection, was developed (Reddy et al. 2005). This core collection has been characterized for phenotypic traits (Upadhyaya et al. 2007). The information generated in the development of core collection has shown that it is possible to further reduce the size of the collection. This will provide options to breeders to use the germplasm as parents, which will enhance the trait(s), besides broadening the genetic base of variation in the cultivars without hindering the progress of breeding programs.

To capture maximum diversity, a composite collection of *Cajanus* that consists of 1,000 accessions has also been developed through a well-directed Generation Challenge Program. This composite collection consists of a few accessions from wild species, the core collection and accessions with traits of economic importance and resistance to major biotic and abiotoic stresses. This composite collection will be genotyped using 20 polymorphic simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers to know the structure of the population. The genotyping data will be used to select a 300-accession reference collection for use by the global scientific community (http://www.generationcp.org/sccv10/ sccv10 upload/2005 annual report.pdf).

Many pigeonpea cultivars have shown important characters such as resistance to Alternaria blight, wilt, sterility mosaic disease (SMD) and Phytophthora blight (Sharma et al. 1987). Germplasm lines from different parts of India have contributed dwarfing genes with a recessive mode of gene action (Saxena et al. 1989). ICP 7035, a popular vegetabletype pigeonpea, with high sugar content and SMD resistance, is a line collected from Madhya Pradesh, India.

2.2.3 Secondary Gene Pool

The greatest contribution to the utilization of wild species for pigeonpea improvement is from this group as the species are cross-compatible, which means there would be chromosome recombination and transfer of useful traits/genes from wild Cajanus species. There are ten wild species in the secondary gene pool (Fig. 2.1), and each wild species has several collections. The accessions of a species are important sources of genetic diversity with the presence of useful traits (Saxena et al. 1996; Upadhyaya 2006; Sujana et al. 2008). The introgression of useful genes/traits from secondary gene pool species is carried out through conventional hybridization techniques. In general, the techniques such as hormone-aided pollinations and embryo rescue are not essential, but sometimes these techniques are necessary to obtain more hybrid seeds, as was done in the case of a cross involving C. acutifolius and C. cajan (Mallikarjuna and Saxena 2002). A number of wild species of this group have been used in the genetic improvement of pigeonpea, including development of unique cytoplasmic nuclear male sterile systems (CMS), high protein lines, dwarf plant stature, disease and pest-resistant lines.

2.2.3.1 Cytoplasmic Nuclear Male Sterile Systems

Five unique CMS systems have been developed for pigeonpea. These are A_1 cytoplasm derived from *C*. *sericeus* (Ariyanayagam et al. 1995). The CMS lines derived from this source are sensitive to temperature

changes. The male sterile plants change to male fertile under low-temperature conditions (Saxena 2005). Although the A₁ source produces good yield, the presence of fertile plants in the progeny prevents it from becoming a desirable source for the development of CMS system. The A2 cytoplasm derived from C. scarabaeoides (Tikka et al. 1997; Saxena and Kumar 2003) is a stable source of CMS. The drawback of this system is that fertility restorers are inconsistent across environments. Hybrids derived from A2 showed high heterosis for yield (IIPR 2007). Unstable seed set across environments is an undesirable character of this source. A_3 cytoplasm derived from C. volubilis (Wanjari et al. 2001) does not have quality fertility restoration system. Hence, this source is not popular as a cytoplasm to develop CMS system. The A₄ cytoplasm was derived using C. cajanifolius (Saxena et al. 2005). The system is stable across environments with very good fertility restoration system. The A₄ system is used at ICRISAT and by other pigeonpea breeders of India to exploit heterosis in pigeonpea. Crosses between C. cajan and C. acutifolius gave rise to CMS on cultivated pigeonpea cytoplasm, which was named as A₅ (Mallikarjuna and Saxena 2005). It is fully maintained by its male parent C. acutifolius, and most of the cultivated types restore fertility. The A5 cytoplasm is still under development. Recently, crosses between C. platycarpus and cultivated pigeonpea gave rise to open flower (cleistogamous) segregants (Mallikarjuna et al. 2006). Some of the progeny were completely male sterile with white anthers. In the semi-fertile progeny, pollen shedding was not observed as the anthers had a thick cell wall. Self-pollination did not set seeds but seed set was observed when pollinated with a range of other cultivars. This may be another source of CMS in pigeonpea (Mallikarjuna unpublished results).

2.2.3.2 Cleistogamy

Pigeonpea is partially out crossing and insects mediate the process. The process of out-crossing is important in the development of CMS systems in pigeonpea but can lead to genetic deterioration. A partially cleistogamous line, which showed less than 1% cross-pollination, was purified from the cross *C. cajan* × *C. lineatus*, which was governed by a single recessive gene (Saxena et al. 1992). Partial cleistogamous lines developed from the above cross were found to be stable in India as well as in Sri Lanka. Cleistogamous trait can be utilized in pigeonpea to obtain pure seeds from genetic stocks.

2.2.3.3 High Protein and Seed Weight

High protein line, ICPL 87162, was developed from the cross C. cajan \times C. scarabaeoides (Reddy et al. 1997). Dhal protein content of ICPL 87162 ranged from 30 to 34% compared to 23% in the control cultivar. ICPL 87162 is resistant to sterility mosaic disease but is susceptible to wilt. High protein breeding lines were developed from C. sericeus, C. albicans and C. scarabaeoides. Significant positive correlation between seed size and protein content was observed in lines derived from C. scarabaeoides. Lines HPL 2, HPL 7, HPL 40 and HPL 51 were some of the high protein and high seed weight lines derived from wild species (Saxena et al. 1987). More recently, crosses between pigeonpea and C. acutifolius yielded progeny with high seed weight. High seed weight accompanied by beige seed color is a desirable trait. The material is under multilocational testing (Mallikarjuna unpublished results).

2.2.3.4 Helicoverpa armigera Resistance

Cajanus scarabaeoides, C. acutifolious, C. sericeus and C. albicans are some of the wild Cajanus species with resistance to pigeonpea pod borer H. armigera (Sujana et al. 2008). C. scarabaeoides, a wild species of Indian origin, has multiple disease resistance (Kulkarni et al. 2003; Upadhyaya 2006). Pods of C. scarabaeoides have a dense covering of non-glandular and low density of glandular trichomes (Shanower et al. 1997). Since C. scarabaeoides had least damage compared to cultivated pigeonpea, it was concluded that non-glandular trichomes form a preventive layer for insect lodging and feeding on the pod surface. Further research is necessary to know the differences between different glandular and non-glandular trichomes to assign clear-cut influences of these trichomes. As large number of glandular trichomes are present on C. cajan pods, they may be playing a role in the high damage due to pod borers. C. scarabaeoides was used as a wild species to introgress resistance to sterility mosaic disease (Patancheru isolate) and

2 Cajanus

H. armigera insect (Mallikarjuna unpublished results). *C. acutifolius*, a wild species native of Australia, can be crossed with pigeonpea as a one-way cross. The reciprocal cross, using *C. acutifolius* as the female parent, aborts to give rise to immature seeds. In vitro interventions are necessary to obtain hybrid plants (Mallikarjuna and Saxena 2002). Advanced generation population from cross utilizing *C. acutifolius* as the pollen parent has shown resistance to pod borer damage (Mallikarjuna et al. 2007), variation in seed color and high seed weight (Fig. 2.2). Some lines have shown high level of resistance to pod borers, pod fly and bruchids under unprotected field conditions (Table 2.2).

Some of the other important traits identified in wild *Cajanus* are nematode resistance, Alternaria blight resistance (Sharma et al. 1987) and salinity tolerance (Subbarao 1988; Srivastava et al. 2006).



Fig. 2.2 Tapping useful genetic variation from *Cajanus* platycarpus

2.2.3.5 Sterility Mosaic Disease Resistance

Sterility mosaic disease (SMD) of pigeonpea is transmitted by eriophyid mites, Aceria cajani, the smallest arthropods, transmitting the virus called tenui-like virus (PPSMV). Infected pigeonpea plants show mosaic symptoms on the leaves and cease flowering, rendering the plants sterile with no pod formation. Until recently, the causal agent of SMD was not identified, but it was possible to identify resistant plants as well as segregating populations based on disease symptoms. There are three major isolates of this virus and amongst these the Bangalore isolate has been identified as the most virulent virus and sources of resistance are few. Lava Kumar et al. (2005) have shown that many of the wild Cajanus species are resistant to all the isolates of the SMD virus, and this resistance to SMD is monogenic and recessive (Kulkarni 2002). C. scarabaeoides (ICPW 94), which is resistant to all the isolates of SMD, was used in the crossing program, and the progeny were tested for resistance. Many of the plants were found to be disease-free and were classified as resistant. Some of the plants showed relatively mild disease symptoms, called as ring spots, and these were classified as moderately resistant. These plants flowered and set seeds. The susceptible plants had disease mosaic symptoms with crinkled leaves and did not flower and set seeds (Mallikarjuna and Wesley unpublished results). Lines derived from crosses with C. acutifolius and C. platycarpus have shown resistance to Patancheru isolate of SMD under field conditions (Saxena and Mallikarjuna unpublished results).

2.2.4 Tertiary Gene Pool

There are 20 wild species in the tertiary gene pool of pigeonpea (Fig. 2.1). Till date, only one wild *Cajanus*

species from this gene pool is amenable to interspecific hybridization and gene transfer (Mallikarjuna and Moss 1995; Mallikarjuna et al. 2006). An important prerequisite for successful cross-pollinations using incompatible species is the application of growth regulators to pollinated pistils (Mallikarjuna 2003) followed by embryo rescue of aborting hybrid embryos (Mallikarjuna 1998). Embryo rescue technique is used to save aborting hybrid embryos in vitro. The immature aborting embryo is removed from seeds and cultured in vitro to produce hybrid plants. Hormone-aided pollinations and embryo culture have been valuable tools for the transfer of Phytophthora blight resistance from C. platycarpus, a wild species from the tertiary gene pool of pigeonpea, into pigeonpea (Mallikarjuna et al. 2006).

Wide crosses with distantly related species give rise to novel variation, not observed in either of the parents used in the crossing program (Hoisington et al. 1999). In the BC₂ plants, the flower color varied from yellow to orange-colored petals. Pollen fertility varied from 27 to 46% (Table 2.1). Some plants had open flowers, unlike those observed in pigeonpea or *C. platycarpus* (Cherian et al. 2006). Open flowers of pigeonpea is likely to play an important role in the development of hybrid pigeonpea as this trait will facilitate cross-pollination. Seed color ranged from white to black.

A selection was made in the BC₂ generation for open flower morphology and low pollen fertility, and this line was called F_1BC_2 -E (Fig. 2.3). They were backcrossed with the recurrent parent pigeonpea cv. ICPL 85010. Two lines were observed to have total pollen sterility. Their progeny were also completely male sterile. Seeds from self-pollinations were not obtained, and forced self-pollinations did not set seeds. The flowers had white anthers with open flower morphology (Fig. 2.3: E15 and E4). Anthers had shrunken pollen sacs with no pollen. Some of the anther sacs had some pollen (Fig. 2.3: E15), but the anthers never dehisced to release the pollen grains.

Table 2.1 Analysis of morphological traits in progeny lines derived from C. platycarpus

	J 1 U	101	1 2 1		
Identity	Plant habit	Flower color	Flower morphology	Seed color	Pollen fertility
F1BC2-A	Erect	Orange keel	Closed	Brown	46
F1BC2-B	Semi-erect	Orange keel	Closed	Brown	30
F1BC2-C	Erect	Orange keel	Closed	Brown	33
F1BC2-D	Erect	Red keel	Open	Brown	33
F1BC2-E	Erect	Red keel	Open	Black	27



Fig. 2.3 Male sterility in the progeny from the cross *C. platycarpus* \times *C. cajan.* E15: Flower, anther bundle and single anther. E 4: Flower, anther bundle and anther. 85010: Flower, anther bundle and single anther

Male sterility coupled with open flowers are traits important for the development of CMS systems as open flowers would favor cross-pollinations. None of the CMS systems available for pigeonpea have open flowers. Experiments are underway to identify restorers of fertility and maintainers of male sterility.

The progeny lines derived from F₁BC₂-A was backcrossed to cultivated recurrent parent ICPL 85010 and F₁BC₄-A lines were developed. Progeny lines were screened for days to flower, which varied from 60 to 92 days. In the parental lines, C. platycarpus flowered at 50 days and the cultivar ICPL 85010 flowered at 83 days. There was improvement in 100-seed weight compared to C. platycarpus (6.1 g/100 seeds). Three lines F₁BC4-A10-7, F₁BC4-A17-8 and F₁BC4-A14-6 had higher 100-seed weight than both the parents (Table 2.2). These might be good sources of bold seeds in pigeonpea. Protein content in all the hybrid lines was more than that in C. platycarpus, and F₁BC₄-A4 and F₁BC₄-A19-14 showed marginally more than that in the cultivated parent. Some of the lines (F₁BC₄-A8-4 and F₁BC4-A14-6) had a tendency towards male sterility with pollen fertility not exceeding 30% with open flowers and non-dehiscent anthers. Non-dehiscent anthers in open flowers coupled with high pollen sterility are desirable traits of a CMS source.

All the lines were screened for *H. armigera* (pod borer), Melanagromyza obtusa (pod fly) and Callosobruchus chinensis (bruchids) under unprotected field conditions. Damage due to H. armigera, in the wild parent C. platycarpus, was less than 1%. Damage in cultivated parent ICPL 87 was 69%. Damage in F₁BC₄-A derivatives ranged from 2 to 37% with majority of the lines with less than 15% damage (Table 2.2). It was observed that there were significant differences between the lines for pod borer and bruchid resistance and 100-seed weight (Table 2.2). The results show that there is good scope to transfer H. armigera resistance from C. platycarpus. Line F₁BC₄-A19-14 has pod borer and bruchid resistance (Table 2.2), and marginally high protein was an additional desirable trait present in the line. Line F₂BC₄-A22 plants consistently showed short stature

Table 2.2 Cajanus platycarpus progeny showing insect resistance and seed weight

Line no.	Yield components		Biotic stresses					
	Healthy pods	100-seed	Pod borer		Pod fly		Bruchid	
	pl^{-1} (no.)	wt (g)	damage (%)		damage (%)		damage (%)	
F1BC4-A4 10-7-1	$81.3\pm35.81~gh$	10.29 ± 1.15 bc	9.91 + 7.11	ijklm	14.54	defgh	1.03	jkl
F1BC4A4 10-12-1	$99.5\pm90.42~\text{cde}$	9.82 ± 0.76 ef	16.61 + 7.77	ef	12.05	hij	2.12	fghj
F1BC4A4 13-2-1	$91.25\pm27.35~g$	9.44 ± 1.40 ghi	11.12 + 9.09	ghijklm	15.84	de	2.74	fgh
F1BC4A4 13-2-1	63.2 ± 26.19 hij	8.64 ± 0.611	10.14 + 7.55	ijklm	10.24	ijk	0.04	1
F1BC4A4 13-5-1	$79.27\pm31.12~h$	9.52 ± 0.85 ghi	12.59 + 6.81	fghijkl	12.85	fghi	6.28	cde
F1BC4A4 13-5-1	70.55 ± 27.29 hi	$9.11 \pm 0.73 ~jk$	6.85 + 4.45	m	12.52	ghi	0.23	kl
F1BC4A4 14-16-1	$95.94\pm 63.37~cdef$	9.22 ± 0.92 ij	14.67	efghi	14.52	efgh	1.55	hjk
F1BC4A4 14-21-1	74.33 ± 47.75 hi	$8.56 \pm 6.59 \ 1$	10.26	ijklm	7.68	k	7.44	bcd
F1BC4A4 14-18-1	118.22 ± 76.41 a	10.27 ± 0.72 bcd	9.71	jklm	12.94	fghi	1.38	hjkl
F1BC4A4 14-4-1	72.05 ± 41.13 hi	9.70 ± 0.82 efg	18.56	cde	9.48	jk	1.98	ghj
F1BC4A4 14-6-1	106.93 ± 84.15 abc	$9.92\pm0.96~e$	15.89 + 7.71	b	10.80	ij	1.01	jkl
F1BC4A4 14-6-1	$54.50 \pm 30.17 ~ jk$	9.85 ± 0.82 ef	24.12 + 15.07	efg	10.64	ijk	0.47	kl
F1BC4A4 14-9-1	111.35 ± 79.42 ab	8.64 ± 0.871	13.18	fghijkl	14.96	defg	3.50	f
F1BC4A4 15-14-1	73.52 ± 35.28 hi	9.60 ± 0.88 fghi	13.42	fghijk	3.73	1	2.01	ghj
F1BC4A4 17-1-1	$50.15 \pm 25.25 ~jk$	$8.82\pm0.69~kl$	9.43	jklm	16.68	de	0.13	kl
F1BC4A4 17-5-1	67.6 ± 39.04 hi	$9.14\pm0.73~ij$	13.28	fghijkl	14.61	defgh	0.00	1
F1BC4A4 17-8-1	73.11 ± 41.36 hi	11.02 ± 1.62 a	11.42	ghijklm	10.98	ij	14.33	a
F1BC4A4 19-1-1	76.00 ± 49.35 h	$9.61\pm0.89~{ m fghi}$	9.46	jklm	7.74	k	7.69	bc
F1BC4A4 19-12-1	$77.95 \pm 36.69 \ h$	9.42 ± 1.10 ghi	7.23	m	15.71	def	8.65	b
F1BC4A4 19-14-1	$8.54\pm7.29~m$	$9.36\pm0.43~ij$	15.25	efgh	41.75	а	0.00	1
F1BC4A4 19-20-1	$22.82\pm7.59 cdef$	$10.46\pm0.99~b$	22.85	bc	16.57	de	2.52	fgh
F1BC4A4 19-8-1	$99.7\pm71.36~cd$	9.28 ± 1.08 ij	14.18	efghij	11.48	ij	1.06	jkl
F1BC4A4 20-10-1	$34.17 \pm 24.76 \ 1$	9.98 ± 1.66 de	21.52	bcd	21.65	b	0.33	kl
F1BC4A4 20-5-1	10.54 ± 7.42 hi	9.82 ± 0.63 ef	10.55	ijklm	20.19	bc	0.00	1
ICPL 85010 (S)	$12.00\pm0.93~m$	$7.66\pm0.93~m$	68.00	a	17.41	cd	3.10	fg
Mean \pm SE	74.26 ± 5.48	9.48 ± 0.14	15.61 ± 2.36		14.30 ± 1.39		2.78 ± 0.70	
CD (0.05)	11.31	0.29	4.88		2.87		1.45	

Means within the same row with same letter are not significantly different (P < 0.05)

with bushy growth habit, a trait not observed in the rest of the progeny.

Screening thousands of germplasm lines for Phytophthora blight, especially for race P₃, has failed to identify lines with resistance. Race P₃ is the most virulent race. Screening wild Cajanus for Phytophthora blight disease has resulted in the identification of C. platycarpus, which has shown resistance to all isolates of Phytophthora blight fungi. Although C. platycarpus belongs to the tertiary gene pool of pigeonpea, it has been successfully crossed, and progeny have been generated at ICRISAT (Mallikarjuna et al. 2006). Screening interspecific derivatives to Phytophthora blight disease under glasshouse conditions has shown that it is possible to transfer resistance from C. platycarpus (Mallikarjuna et al. 2005). Tetraploid progeny from F_1 hybrid C. platycarpus \times C. cajan showed high level of resistance to Phytophthora blight disease, under both field and glasshouse-simulated

conditions. As it was not possible to backcross them to pigeonpea, the progeny is best suited as a ground cover due to its semi-trailing growth habit. These results show that there is ample scope to transfer resistance from wild *Cajanus* into the cultivated *Cajanus* species.

It is hoped that the techniques developed for the cross *C. platycarpus* \times *C. cajan* will be useful to cross other wild *Cajanus* species from the tertiary gene pool with cultivated *C. cajan*.

2.2.5 Quaternary Gene Pool

Wild species placed in the quaternary gene pool of *Cajanus* belong to different genera, such as *Flemingia*, *Rhynchosia*, *Dunbaria* and *Eriosema*, to name a few (Fig. 2.1). Results of an exhaustive crossing experiment have shown that some of the species in

this group may be amenable to hybridization with pigeonpea; however, these results need to be confirmed (Mallikarjuna unpublizhed results). Until more cross-ability studies are carried out using species from this gene pool, it may not be possible to access genes/ traits from this gene pool for pigeonpea improvement. Isolation of genes from wild species, especially from the quaternary gene pool, may be an important strategy to introduce genes through genetic transformation, which are not amenable to wide crosses research. Alternatively, protoplast fusion may be an important technique to introduce genes/traits from this gene pool.

2.3 Genetic Diversity in the Genus Cajanus

Biochemical markers have been effectively used to detect polymorphism. Krishna and Reddy (1982) used esterase isozymes to study species affinity between pigeonpea and a few of the wild relatives. Esterase isozymes studies showed affinity between wild species C. scarabaeoides, C. albicans, C. scarabaeoides, C. sericeus and C. volubilis with closer affinity between C. albican and C. scarabaeoides. C. platycarpus had distinct band and did not show affinity with any of the wild species used in the study or with pigeonpea. C. cajanifolius showed a closer affinity to C. cajan. Panigrahi et al. (2007) carried sodium dedocyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis of seed albumins and globulins of 11 *Cajanus* species including cultivated species *C*. cajan. Banding patterns revealed C. cajanifolius to be the closest to C. cajan, with C. platycarpus as an outgroup species justifying its status as a tertiary gene pool species (van der Maesen 1986). The study also showed C. cajan sharing homology with C. cajanifolius and also with C. scarabaeoides, C. albicans, C. volubilis and C. sericeus. These results indicate that pigeonpea is a product of multigenomic interaction involving C. cajanifolius, C. scarabaeoides and other species.

Boehringer et al. (1991) used allozymes and were able to detect polymorphism between Indian and Zambian genotypes of pigeonpea. Nadimpalli et al. (1994) used restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers to determine phylogenetic relationships among 12 species belonging to four related genera. Two closely related Cajanus species, C. scarabaeoides and C. cajanifolius, showed a close relationship with each other; amongst the two, C. cajanifolius was closer to C. cajan. Interestingly, species belonging to different genera grouped together and were away from the above group. Species belonging to C. lineatus, C. albicans and C. sericeus formed a group that had a closer relationship with the first group. Utilizing random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers, it was possible to distinguish pigeonpea cultivars, albeit with low levels of polymorphism (Ratnaparkhe et al. 1995). High level of polymorphism was observed between different species of Cajanus with C. albicans, C. sericeus and C. lineatus, which are of Indian origin, showing closer relationship to C. cajan than to C. acutifolius, C. grandifolius and C. reticulates, which are of Australian origin. Rhynchosia species grouped together, with Flemingia stricta being distinct from rest of the species used in the study. Punguluri et al. (2006) used amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers to study genetic diversity in pigeonpea cultivars that were found to have low level of diversity (87% common bands), but they were able to distinguish Pusa cultivars from others. Genetic distance between wild relatives C. volubilis and Rhynchosia bracteata was high, and also from the cultivated pigeonpea. Ribosomal genes from wheat and Vicia faba were used to distinguish pigeonpea cultures and some wild relatives. The probes were not able to distinguish cultivars, but polymorphism was observed between species but not within species. The study showed a close relationship between C. cajan and C. scarabaeoides, and they, in turn, were related to C. mollis and C. albicans. C. reticulates showed 95% similarity with C. platycarpus. This study concluded that C. Scarabaeoides is closer to C. cajan than C. cajanifolius (Parani et al. 2000). In conclusion, genetic diversity studies show that two wild relatives, C. cajanifolius and C. scarabaeoides, are closely related to pigeonpea than any of the compatible wild species of the genus.

The merger of genus *Cajanus* with *Atylosia* has strong cytological support with the same chromosome number in all the species being 2n = 22 (Deodikar and Thakar 1956; Dundas 1990). Chromosome number analysis of 20 species belonging to five genera namely *Cajanus*, *Rhynchosia*, *Dunbaria*, *Flemingia* and *Paracalyx* showed 2n = 22 chromosome number (Ohri and Singh 2002).

There is further evidence from cytology that C. cajanifolius is the progenitor species of C. cajan as the two have similar karyotype, and the hybrids between the two species show normal meiosis with high pollen fertility and high seed set (Pundir and Singh 1985). Hybrids between C. cajan and wild species C. scarabaeoides, C. albicans, C. sericeus and C. acutifolius showed 0-2 univalents with mature seed set (Pundir and Singh 1985). The presence of univalents shows that the genomes of C. cajan and the above-mentioned wild Cajanus species are more divergent than C. cajanifolius. The reciprocal crosses involving C. lineatus (Mallikarjuna unpublished results) and C. acutifolius did not set mature seeds. The aborting F_1 embryos from the cross C. acutifolius \times C. cajan were germinated in vitro and hybrid plants obtained. In spite of normal chromosome segregation at metaphase in 96% of the meiocytes, pollen fertility was only 12–16% (Mallikarjuna and Saxena 2002).

Analysis of the F_1 hybrid between *C. platycarpus* and *C. cajan* showed a mean of six univalents and eight bivalents. The presence of six univalents shows that the genomes of *C. platycarpus* and *C. cajan* are divergent with 2–3 non-pairing chromosomes. Pollen fertility in the hybrid was 0.05%, which again shows that the two genomes are not closely related (Mallikarjuna et al. 2006). The placement of *C. platycarpus* in the tertiary gene pool of pigeonpea is therefore justified.

2.4 Genomic Resources

Molecular markers are an important resource to study the geographical origin, genotype identification and genetic diversity, molecular linkage map, gene synteny, trait tagging and marker-assisted selection, association mapping, map-based cloning. RAPD technique was used to identify parents from hybrids of the cross C. platycarpus \times C. cajan (Mallikarjuna 2003). Although RAPDs are not favored as compared to other markers, they can still be effectively used to distinguish parents and hybrids. Kotresh et al. (2006) used RAPDs to show association between markers and Fusarium wilt resistance. Until now, there were only ten SSR markers, which could be used to detect variation in pigeonpea (Burns et al. 2001). In the study by Odeny et al. (2007), 208 SSR loci were identified by screening a non-enriched partial genomic library. Primers were designed for 39 SSR loci, 20 of which amplified PCR products of the expected size. Nineteen of the primer pairs were polymorphic amongst 15 cultivated and nine wild Cajanus accessions. A community effort was undertaken (Dubey et al. 2009) to develop more SSR markers. Several SSR-enriched genomic DNA, cDNA and bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries were developed from leading varieties of pigeonpea. A total of 86,268 BACend sequences were generated that provided 9,956 pseudo-contigs and 42,285 singletons. A large number of SSR markers are being developed from BAC-end sequences and SSR-enriched libraries. By using 454/ FLX sequencing on the normalized cDNA pool from 20 tissues representing different developmental stages, a total of 496,705 sequence reads have been generated to provide approximately 22,000 unigenes. Once SSR markers are developed from this study, the crop will be on par with other legumes such as chickpea, which has more than 400 SSR markers (Lichtenzveig et al. 2005).

Diversity array technology (DArT) is a novel genome-wide genotyping method. It offers low-cost, high-throughput and sequence-independent genotyping. Yang et al. (2006) reported the development and application of DArT for pigeonpea. DArT analysis showed no clear differentiation among cultivars from different regions, with cultivars from Africa showing some diversity. There was differentiation between wild and cultivated species. They inferred that morphological variation observed in cultivated pigeonpea accessions was much higher than that observed at the molecular level, whereas the wild species of pigeonpea and its related genera exhibited a higher degree of molecular diversity than that observed at the morphological level.

A beginning has been made to develop advanced backcross QTL (AB-QTL) analysis as proposed by Tanksley and Nelson (1996). In this approach, a wild species is crossed with the elite cultivar and backcrossed once or twice (sometimes more) with the elite cultivar, and selfed for one or two generations (sometimes more). The segregating $BC_1F_2/BC_2F_2/BC_2F_3$ lines are phenotyped for traits of interest and genotyped with polymorphic markers. This is a method for transferring agronomically important quantitative traits from wild species to the cultivated species. The approach has great potential to harness the wealth of wild relatives for pigeonpea improvement, where the cultivated species show low level of

polymorphism and susceptible to major diseases and insect pests.

2.5 Conclusion

Pigeonpea is an important protein rich food of vegetarian diet. It is a favorite crop of small holder farmers as the crop can tolerate and yield high under drought conditions when many other crops fail. Pigeonpea yield has reached a plateau and is susceptible to a range of diseases caused by virus, fungi and bacteria. Although high degree of morphological variability is seen, the same is not true at the molecular level. Crop improvement programs are looking for increased genetic diversity by tapping wild relatives from different gene pools. There is enough evidence to prove that C. cajanifolius is the progenitor species of pigeonpea. The secondary gene pool has contributed various traits for the improvement of the crop. In spite of the success obtained in the utilization of wild relatives from the secondary gene pool, there is scope to use others, which has not been attempted in the crossing program. Progress has been made to exploit and introgress useful traits including male sterility from C. platycarpus, a tertiary gene pool wild relative of pigeonpea. This has opened up avenues to tap other species in the tertiary gene pool. There are many species in the tertiary gene pool of the genus *Cajanus*. Many of them have not yet been crossed with pigeonpea. It is possible that some of the species placed in the tertiary gene pool may move to secondary gene pool, if they are cross-compatible with cultivated pigeonpea. Enhanced genomic resources may be available in the near future as there is international collaboration to develop them.

2.6 Future Prospects

Pigeonpea is a source of protein for vegetarian diet and resource poor farmers in the rainfed tropics. It has built in resilience to withstand drought and can yield even under very low input conditions. Efforts to broaden the genetic base and introduce traits for various biotic stresses and desirable abiotic traits have been significant. There is renewed interest to exploit more wild relatives from the secondary gene pool, and such efforts would have a big impact on broadening the genetic base of variation of pigeonpea and introduction of useful biotic, abiotic and agronomic traits. The possibility of exploiting wild relatives from the tertiary gene pool has opened up new vistas for the broadening of the genetic base of variation and for improvement in pigeonpea. Development of genomic resources has gained new impetus with community effort, and the development of genome-wide markers

References

introgressions and breeding.

Ariyanayagam RP, Nageshwara A, Zaveri PP (1995) Cytoplasmic genic male sterility in interspecific matings of pigeonpea. Crop Sci 35:981–985

may open avenues for molecular marker-assisted gene

- Boehringer A, Lebot V, Aradhya M (1991) Isozyme variation in twenty-one perennial pigeonpea genotypes. Int Pigeonpea Newsl 14:6–7
- Burns MJ, Edwards KJ, Newbury HJ, Ford-Lloyd BV, Baggott CD (2001) Development of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for the assessment of gene flow and genetic diversity in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). Mol Ecol Notes 1:283–285
- Campbell CL, Madden LV (1990) Introduction to plant disease epidemiology. Wiley, New York
- Cherian CA, Mallikarjuna N, Jadhav D, Saxena KB (2006) Open flower segregants selected from *Cajanus platycarpus* crosses. Int Chickpea Pigeonpea Newsl 13:32–33
- Damania AB (2008) History, achievements, and current status of genetic resources conservation. Agron J 100:9–21
- Deodikar GB, Thakar CV (1956) Cytotaxonomic evidence for the affinity between *Cajanus indicus* Spreng. and certain erect species of *Atylosia* W. and A. Proc Indian Acad Sci 43:37–45
- Dubey A, Penmesta V, Saxena RK, Farmer AD, Nikku RL, Nangappa G, Woodward J, Cheung F, Xiao Y, Pande S, Saxena K, Gothalwal R, Kavi Kishore P, Balaji J, Byregowda M, Upadhyaya HD, Hoisington DA, Town CD, Singh NK, May GD, Cook DR, Varshney RK (2009) Developing genetic and genomic resources for pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp) to improve its crop productivity. In: Plant and animal genome XVII conference, San Diego, CA, USA, W305: Legumes
- Dundas IS (1990) Pigeonpea: cytology and cytogeneticsperspectives and prospects. In: Nene YL, Hall SD, Sheila VK (eds) The Pigeonpea. CABI Publishing, Wallington, pp 117–136
- Frankel OH, Soule ME (1981) Conservation and evolution. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Gregory PH (1983) Some major epidemics caused by *Phy-tophthora*. In: Erwin DC, Bartnicki-Garcia S, Tsao PH (eds) Phytophthora: its biology, taxonomy, ecology, and

pathology. The American Phytopathological Society, St Paul, MN, pp 271–278

- Harlan JR, de Wet JMJ (1971) Toward a rational classification of cultivated plants. Taxon 20:509–517
- Hoisington D, Khairallah M, Reeves T, Ribaut JM, Skovmand B, Taba S, Warburton M (1999) Plant genetic resources: what can they contribute toward increased crop productivity? Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96(11):5937–5943
- IIPR (2007) Enhancing yield and stability of pigeonpea through heterosis breeding. Progress Rep of ISOPOM Project. Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, p 61
- Kotresh H, Fakrudin B, Punnari S, Rajkumar B, Thudi M, Parmesh H (2006) Identification of two RAPD markers genetically linked to a recessive allele of a Fusarium wilt resistance gene in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp). Euphytica 149:113–120
- Krishna TG, Reddy LJ (1982) Species affinities between *Cajanus cajan* and some *Atylosia* species based on esterase isozymes. Euphytica 31:709–713
- Krishnamurthy KS (1991) The wealth of Susruta. International Institute of Ayurveda, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, p 582
- Kulkarni NK (2002) Studies on pigeonpea sterility mosaic disease; transmission, virus-vector relationships and identification of resistant. PhD Thesis. University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
- Kulkarni NK, Reddy AS, Lava Kumar P, Vijaynarasimha J, Rangaswamy KT, Muniappa V, Reddy LJ, Saxena KB, Jones AT, Reddy DVR (2003) Broad-based resistance to pigeonpea sterility mosaic disease in accessions of *Cajanus* scarabaeoides (L.) Benth. Indian J Plant Prot 31:6–11
- Kumar S, Gupta S, Chandra S, Singh BB (2003) How wide is the genetic base of pulse crops? In: Ali M, Singh BB, Kumar S, Dhar V (eds) Pulses in new perspectives. Proceedings of national symposium on crop diversification and natural resource management. Indian Institute Pulses Research, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, pp 211–221
- Lava Kumar P, Latha TKS, Kulkarni NK, Raghavendra N, Saxena KB, Waliyar F, Rangaswamy KT, Muniyappa V, Doraiswamy S, Teifion J (2005) Broad-based resistance to pigeonpea sterility mosaic disease in wild relatives of pigeonpea. Ann Appl Biol 146:371–379
- Lichtenzveig J, Scheuring C, Dodge J, Abbo S (2005) Construction of BAC and BIBAC libraries and their applications for generation of SSR markers for genome analysis of chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* L. Theor Appl Genet 110:492–510
- Mallikarjuna N (1998) Ovule culture to rescue aborting embryos from pigeonpea (*Cajanus Cajan* L. Millspaugh) wide crosses. Indian J Exp Biol 36:225–228
- Mallikarjuna N (2003) Wide hybridization in important food legumes. In: Jaiwal PK, Singh RP (eds) Improvement strategies of leguminosae biotechnology. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 155–170
- Mallikarjuna N, Moss JP (1995) Production of hybrids between *Cajanus platycarpus* and *C. cajan*. Euphytica 83:43–46
- Mallikarjuna N, Saxena KB (2002) Production of hybrids between *Cajanus acutifolius* and *C. cajan*. Euphytica 124 (1):107–110
- Mallikarjuna N, Saxena KB (2005) A new cytoplasmic malesterility system derived from cultivated pigeonpea cytoplasm. Euphytica 142(1–2):143–148

- Mallikarjuna N, Jadhav D, Reddy MV, Dutta-Tawar U (2005) Introgression of Phytophthora blight disease resistance from *Cajanus platycarpus* into short duration pigeonpeas. Indian J Genet 65(4):261–264
- Mallikarjuna N, Jadhav D, Reddy P (2006) Introgression of *Cajanus platycarpus* genome into cultivated pigeonpea, *C. cajan*. Euphytica 149:161–167
- Mallikarjuna N, Sharma HC, Upadhyaya HD (2007) Exploitation of wild relatives of pigeonpea and chickpea for resistance to *Helicoverpa armigera*. SAT eJournal 3(1):4
- Nadimpalli RG, Jarret RL, Phatak SC, Kochart G (1994) Phylogenetic relationships of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) based on nuclear restriction fragment length polymorphism. Genome 36:216–223
- New Delhi (2008) International conference on wheat stem rust Ug99 – a threat to food security, 8 Nov 2008, New Delhi, India
- Odeny DA, Jayashree B, Ferguson M, Hoisington D, Cry LJ, Gebhardt C (2007) Development, characterization and utilization of microsatellite markers in pigeonpea. Plant Breed 126:130–136
- Ohri D, Singh SP (2002) Karyotypic and genome size variation in *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp. (pigeonpea) and some of its relatives. Genet Resour Crop Evol 49:1–10
- Panigrahi J, Kumar DR, Mishra M, Mishra RP, Jena P (2007) Genomic relationships among 11 species in the genus *Caja-nus* as revealed by seed protein (albumin and globulin) polymorphisms. Plant Biotechnol Rep 1:109–116
- Parani M, Lakshmi M, SenthilKumar P, Parida A (2000) Ribosome DNA variation and phylogenetic relationships among *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp. and its wild relatives. Curr Sci 78 (10):1235–1238
- Pundir RPS, Singh RB (1985) Cytogenetics of F₁ hybrids between *Cajanus* and *Atylosia* species and its phylogenetic implications. Theor Appl Genet 71:216–220
- Punguluri SK, Janaiah K, Govil JN, Kumar PA, Sharma PC (2006) AFLP fingerprinting in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp) and its wild relatives. Genet Resour Crop Evol 53:423–431
- Ratnaparkhe MB, Gupta VS, Venmurthy MR, Ranjekar PK (1995) Genetic fingerprinting of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp) and its wild relatives using RAPD markers. Theor Appl Genet 91:893–898
- Reddy LJ, Saxena KB, Jain KC, Singh U, Green JM, Sharma D, Faris DJ, Rao AN, Kumar RV, Nene YL (1997) Registration of high-protein pigeonpea elite germplasm ICPL 87162. Crop Sci 37:294
- Reddy LJ, Upadhyaya HD, Gowda CLL, Singh S (2005) Development of core collection in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp) using geographic and qualitative morphological descriptors. Genet Resour Crop Evol 52:1049–1056
- Saxena KB (2005) Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.). In: Singh RJ, Jauhar PR (eds) Genetic resources, chromosome engineering and crop improvement. Taylor and Francis, New York, pp 86–115
- Saxena KB (2008) Genetic improvement of pigeonpea a review. Trop Plant Biol 1:159–178
- Saxena KB, Kumar RV (2003) Development of cytoplasmic nuclear male-sterility system in pigeonpea using *C. scarabaeoides* (L.) Thours. Indian. J Genet 63(3):225–229
- Saxena KB, Sharma D (1995) Sources of dwarfism in pigeonpea. Indian J Pulse Res 8:1–6

- Saxena KB, Faris DG, Kumar RV (1987) Relationship between seed size and protein content in newly developed high protein lines of pigeonpea. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 36:335–340
- Saxena KB, Githiri SM, Singh L, Kimani PM (1989) Characterization and inheritance of dwarfing genes of pigeonpea. Crop Sci 29(5):1199–1202
- Saxena KB, Ariyanayagam RP, Reddy LJ (1992) Genetics of a high-selfing trait in pigeonpea. Euphytica 59:125–127
- Saxena KB, Jayasekera SJA, Ariyaratne HP, Ariyanayagam RP, Fonseka HHD (1996) Frequency of natural out-crossing in partial cleistogamous pigeonpea lines in diverse environments. Crop Sci 34:660–662
- Saxena KB, Kumar RV, Rao PV (2002) Pigeonpea nutrition and it improvement. In: Basara AS, Randhawa LS (eds) Quality improvement in field crops. Haworth Press, Binghamton, NY, USA pp 227–260
- Saxena KB, Kumar RV, Dalvi VA, Mallikarjuna N, Gowda CLL, Singh BB, Tikka SBS, Wanjari KB, Pandet LB, Paralkar LM, Patel MK, Shiying B, Xuxiao Z (2005) Hybrid breeding in grain legumes – a success story of pigeonpea. In: Khairwal MC, Jain HK (eds) Proceedings of the international food legumes research conference, New Delhi, India
- Shanower TG, Yoshida M, Peter AJ (1997) Survival, growth, fecundity and behavior of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) on pigeonpea and two wild *Cajanus* species. J Econ Entomol 90:837–841
- Sharma D, Kannaiyan J, Saxena KB (1987) Sources of resistance to Alternaria blight in pigeonpea. SABRAO J 19(2): 109–114
- Srivastava N, Vadez V, Upadhyaya HD, Saxena KB (2006) Screening for intra and inter specific variability for salinity tolerance in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp) and its related wild species. e-journal of SAT Agric Res Crop Improv 2(1):1
- Subbarao GV (1988) Salinity tolerance in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) and its wild relatives. PhD Thesis. Indian Institute Technology, Kharagpur, India

- Sujana G, Sharma HC, Rao DM (2008) Antixenosis and antibiosis components of resistance to pod borer *Helicoverpa* armigera in wild relatives of pigeonpea. Int J Trop Insect Sci 28(4):191–200
- Tanksley SD, Nelson JC (1996) Advanced backcross QTL analysis: a method for the simultaneous discovery and transfer of valuable QTLs from unadapted germplasm into elite breeding lines. Theor Appl Genet 92:191–203
- Tikka SBS, Parmar LD, Chauhan RM (1997) First record of cytoplasmic-genic male-sterility system in pigeonpea (*Caja-nus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) through wide hybridization. Gujarat Agric Univ Res J 22:160–162
- Upadhyaya HD (2006) Improving pigeonea with wild. SATrends Jan 2006
- Upadhyaya HD, Reddy KN, Gowda CLL, Singh S (2007) Phenotypic diversity in the pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) core collection. Genet Resour Crop Evol 54:1167–1184
- van der Maesen LJG (1980) India is the native home of pigeonpea. In: Arends JC, Boelema G, de Groot CT, Leeuwenberg AJM (eds) Libergratulatorius in honorem H. C.D. de Wit landbouwhogeschool Miscellaneous paper no 19. Veenman H, Zonen BV, Wageningen, Netherlands, pp 257–262
- van der Maesen LJG (1986) Cajanus DC. and Atylosia W. & A. (Leguminosae). Agricultural University, Wageningen Papers 85-4 (1985). Agricultural University, Wageningen, Netherlands, pp 1–225
- Vavilov (1928) Geographische Genzentren unserer Kulturpflanzen; Verhandlungen des V Internationalen Kongresses fur Vererbungswissenschaft. Berlin, Germany 1927, pp 342–369
- Wanjari KB, Patil AN, Manapure P, Manjaya JG, Manish P (2001) Cytoplasmic male-sterility with cytoplasm from *Cajanus volubilis*. Annu Plant Physiol 13:170–174
- Yang S, Pang W, Ash G, Harper J, Carling J, Wenzel P, Hutter E, Zong X, Kilian A (2006) Low level of genetic diversity in cultivated pigeonpea compared to its wild relatives is revealed by diversity arrays technology. Thoer Appl Genet 113:585–595

Chapter 3 Chenopodium

Eric N. Jellen, Bozena A. Kolano, Maria C. Sederberg, Alejandro Bonifacio, and Peter J. Maughan

3.1 Introduction

Chenopodium, commonly known as the goosefoot genus, includes a wide array of species and is native to all the inhabited continents as well as far-flung archipelagoes like Juan Fernandez, New Zealand, and Hawaii (Table 3.1). Most of these species are facultatively autogamous annuals, having a base chromosome number of x = 9. Many *Chenopodium* species are adapted to arid and/or saline environments. The genus is notorious for its invasive weeds, such as lambsquarters or pigweed (C. album and C. berlandieri), although these and at least two other species of the genus were domesticated anciently on four continents, as both vegetable and seed crops, while numerous species provided vegetative sustenance for huntergatherers from antiquity, particularly in arid regions like the North American west and northern Australia (McConnell 1998). One of these, South American quinoa (C. quinoa), has risen from a neglected subsistence crop of indigenous farmers to become a major export of the Andean nations of Bolivia and Peru within the past 20 years. The emergence of quinoa to prominence in organic food markets of the Developed World has led to scientists giving increasing attention to the crop's unique nutritional benefits, including a superb amino acid balance in the seed, and potentially novel abiotic stress-tolerance mechanisms. There is also growing curiosity regarding four lesser known

Eric N. Jellen (🖂)

domesticated *Chenopodium* crops: South American *cañahua* (*C. pallidicaule* Aellen), Mexican *huazontle* and *chia roja* [*C. berlandieri nuttaliae* (Safford) H.D. Wilson and Heiser], and Himalayan *khan* or *bithua* (*C. album* L. or *C. giganteum* D. Don; Partap et al. 1998). Quinoa geneticists recognize the tremendous value of this plethora of exotic germplasm for improving the South American crop and for expanding quinoa production into new environments. The novel biochemical and physiological characteristics in quinoa should be expressed at even greater levels in other *Chenopodium* species, making them attractive as natural product sources to biochemists and as unique gene resources to crop breeders.

3.2 Chenopodium Taxonomy

Aellen and Just (1943) published a detailed classification system of American chenopods. Aellen (1960) later expanded this system to encompass 120 worldwide species. The hierarchy of divisions and subdivisions for this taxonomy (Table 3.2) provided the basis for most of the *Chenopodium* systematic work until the late 1990s, when a new system was devised by Mosyakin and Clemants (1996, 2002, 2008); Table 3.3. The primary alteration of the latter system was the removal from the genus of Sections Ambrina, Botryoides, Orthosporum, and Roubieva into a separate genus, Dysphania. We will defer to this latter taxonomic system for all discussion related to North American taxa as per the Online Flora of North America (Clemants and Mosyakin 2003; http://www. efloras.org).

Department of Plant and Wildlife Sciences, Brigham Young University, 275 WIDB, Provo, UT 84602, USA e-mail: jellen@byu.edu

Species	Common name	Habit	Putative origin	References
acuminatum Willd.		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of China in http://www.efloras.org
albescens Small		Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
album L.	Lambsquarters, fat hen	Weed, domesticate	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003), Reiche in http://www.efloras.org, Flora of China in http://www.efloras.org, Flora of Pakistan in http://www.efloras.org, Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
atripliciforme Murr		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of Pakistan in <i>http://www.efloras.</i> org
atrovirens Rydb.	Pinyon goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
<i>auricomiforme</i> Murr and Thell.		Wild	Australia	PlantNet: New South Wales Flora Online at http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au
auricomum Lindl.	Queensland bluebush	Wild (perennial)	Australia	PlantNet: New South Wales Flora Online at http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au
badachschanicum Tzvelev		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of Pakistan in <i>http://www.efloras.</i> org
berlandieri Moq. berlandieri ssp. nuttaliae (Safford) H.D. Wilson and	Pitseed goosefoot Huazontle, chia roja, quelite	Weed, wild Domesticate	N. America N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
honus-henricus L	Good King Henry	Weed wild	Furasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
borbasii Murr	Good King Heiny	Weed	S America	Mulgura (1999)
bryoniifolium Bunge		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of China in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i>
bushianum var. bushianum Aell.	Village goosefoot	Weed, wild	N. America	Flora of Missouri in <i>http://www.efloras.</i>
<i>californicum</i> (S. Wats.) S. Wats.	Indian lettuce, soap plant	Wild (perennial)	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
<i>capitatum</i> (L.) Ambrosi	Strawberry blite	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
<i>carnosolum</i> Moq.	Ridged goosefoot	Weed	S. America	Mujica and Jacobsen (2002), Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
<i>chaldoranicum</i> Rahiminejad and Ghaemmaghami		Wild	Eurasia	Rahiminejad and Ghaemmaghami (2005)
chenopodioides (L.) Aell.	Buttered goosefoot	Weed	S. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and Flora of China in http://www.efloras.org
cordobense Aell.		Wild	S. America	Mulgura (1999)
crusoeanum Marticorena		Wild	Juan Fernandez	Marticorena (2010)
<i>curvispicatum</i> Paul G. Wilson		Wild	Australia	PlantNet: New South Wales Flora Online at http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au
cycloides A. Nels.	Sandhill goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
desertorum J.M. Black		Wild	Australia	PlantNet: New South Wales Flora Online at http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au
desiccatum A. Nels.	Arid goosefoot	Wild, weed	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and Mulgura (1999)
detestans T.W. Kirk	Fishguts weed	Wild, weed	New Zealand	PlantNet: New South Wales Flora Online at http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au and New Zealand Plant Conservation Network in http://nzpcn.org.nz
erosum R. Br.	Papery goosefoot	Wild	Australia	PlantNet: New South Wales Flora Online at http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au

Table 3.1 Known Chenopodium species and their putative origins

(continued)

3 Chenopodium

Table 3.1 (continued)

Species	Common name	Habit	Putative origin	References
<i>ficifolium</i> Sm.	Fig-leaf goosefoot	Wild, weed	Asia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003), Reiche in http://www.efloras.org, Flora of China in http://www.efloras.org, Flora of Pakistan in http://www.efloras.org and Marticorena (2010)
<i>foggii</i> Wahl	Fogg's goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
foliosum (Moench) Aschers.	Leafy goosefoot	Weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and Flora of Pakistan in http://www.efloras.org
fremontii S. Wats.	Fremont's goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
frigidum Phil.		Wild, weed	S. America	Reiche in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> , Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
giganteum D. Don		Weed, domesticate	Eurasia	Flora of China in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> and Mulgura (1999)
glaucum L.	Oak-leaf goosefoot	Wild, weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003), Flora of China in http://www.efloras.org, Flora of Pakistan in http://www.efloras.org, Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
gracilispicum H.W. Kung		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of China in http://www.efloras.org
halophilum		Wild	S. America	Marticorena (2010)
haumanii Ulbr.		Wild	S. America	Mulgura (1999)
hians Stand.	Hians goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
hircinum Schrad.	Avian goosefoot, ajara	Weed	S. America	Reiche in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> , Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
humile Hook.	Marshland goosefoot	Wild, weed	N. America	
hybridum L.	Maple-leaf goosefoot	Wild	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and Flora of China in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i>
<i>iljinii</i> Gol.		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of China in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i>
<i>incanum</i> (S. Watson) Heller	Mealy goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
karoi (Murr) Aell.		Wild, weed	Eurasia	Flora of China in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> and Flora of Pakistan in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> <i>efloras.org</i>
<i>korshinskyi</i> (Litv.) Minkw.		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of Pakistan in <i>http://www.efloras.</i> org
<i>leptophyllum</i> (MoqTand.) Nutt. ex S. Wats.	Narrowleaf goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
<i>litwinowii</i> (Paul.) Uotila		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of Pakistan in <i>http://www.efloras.</i> org
<i>macrospermum</i> Hook. f.	Red-stemmed goosefoot	Wild, weed	S. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and Mulgura (1999)
mandonii		Wild	S. America	Marticorena (2010)
murale L.	Nettle-leaf goosefoot	Weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003), Reiche in http://www.efloras.org, Flora of Pakistan in http://www.efloras.org, Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
<i>neomexicanum</i> Stand.	New Mexico goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
nesodendron		Wild	S. America	Marticorena (2010)
nevadense Standl.	Nevada goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)

(continued)

Table 3.1 (continued)

Species	Common name	Habit	Putative origin	References
nitrariaceum (F. Muell.) F. Muell. ex Benth.	Nitre goosefoot	Wild	Australia	PlantNet: New South Wales Flora Online at http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au
novopokrovskyanum (Aell.) Uotila		Wild, weed	Eurasia	Flora of Pakistan in <i>http://www.efloras.</i> org
oahuense (Meyen) Aell.	Alaweo	Wild (perennial)	Hawaii	
obscurum Aell.		Wild	S. America	Mulgura (1999)
<i>opulifolium</i> Schrad. ex Koch and Ziz	Seaport goosefoot	Weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
overi Aell.	Over's goosefoot	Wild	N. America	
pallescens Stand.	Light goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
pallidicaule Aell.	Canahua	Domesticate, weed	S. America	Mujica and Jacobsen (2002) and Mulgura (1999)
<i>pamiricum</i> Ijijn		Wild	Eurasia	Flora of Pakistan in <i>http://www.efloras.</i> org
<i>papulosum</i> Moq.		Wild, weed	S. America	Reiche in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> , Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
parodii Aell.		Wild	S. America	Mulgura (1999)
petiolare Kunth		Wild, weed	S. America	Reiche in <i>http://www.efforas.org</i> , Mujica and Jacobsen (2002), Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
philippianum Aell.		Wild, weed	S. America	Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
pilcomayense Aell.		Wild	S. America	Mulgura (1999)
polyspermum L.	Manyseed goosefoot	Weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
pratericola Rydb.	Desert goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
<i>quinoa</i> Willd.	Quinoa, quingua, ajara	Domesticate, weed	S. America	Reiche in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> , Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
rubrum L.	Red goosefoot	Weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and Flora of China in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i>
ruiz-lealii Aell.		Wild	S. America	Mulgura (1999)
salinum Standl.	Rocky Mountain goosefoot	Wild, weed	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
sancta-clarae Joh.		Wild (perennial)	Juan Fernandez	Reiche in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> and Marticorena (2010)
sancti-ambrosii Marticorena		Wild	San Ambrosio and San Felix	Marticorena (2010)
scabricaule Speg.		Wild	S. America	Mulgura (1999)
simplex (Torr.) Raf.	Maple-leaf goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
standleyanum Aell.	Standley's goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
strictum Roth.	Late-flowering goosefoot	Weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003), Flora of China in http://www.efloras.org and Flora of Pakistan in http://www. efloras.org
subglabrum (S. Wats.) A. Nels.	Smooth goosefoot	Wild	N. America	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)
suecicum Murr.		Weed	Eurasia	
trifurcatum Phil.		Wild	S. America	Reiche in http://www.efloras.org
urbicum L.	City goosefoot	Weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003), Flora of China in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> and Marticorena (2010)

(continued)

Table 3.1 (continued)

Species	Common name	Habit	Putative origin	References
vulvaria L.	Stinking goosefoot	Weed	Eurasia	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003), Flora of Pakistan in <i>http://www.efloras.org</i> , Mulgura (1999) and Marticorena (2010)
<i>watsonii</i> A. Nels. <i>Xvariabile</i> Aell.	Watson's goosefoot Variable goosefoot	Wild, weed Weed	N. America Hybrid	Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) Flora of Missouri in <i>http://www.efloras</i> .
	U		-	org

Authoritative taxa as identified by the Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS, *http://www.itis.gov/index.html*) are indicated in bold

3.2.1 Domesticated Chenopods

The most important domesticated chenopod is South American quinoa (*C. quinoa*, 2n = 4x = 36). Quinoa is part of a complex of interfertile New World wild, weedy, and domesticated ecotypes, variously listed as three or four separate taxa. Under the system of Mosyakin and Clemants (1996), this group, from Section *Chenopodium*, Subsection *Favosa*, includes weedy South American *C. hircinum*, weedy ecotypes of North American *C. berlandieri*, and at least four extinct or surviving domesticates of *C. berlandieri*.

A second, distinct domesticated chenopod is South American *cañahua* or *cañihua* (*C. pallidicaule*, 2n = 18). This seed crop is adapted to harsher environments than quinoa and in some respects can be considered in a state of semi-domestication (Heiser and Nelson 1974).

The last group of domesticated chenopods has its apparent origins in the wild and weedy complex of Section *Chenopodium* Subsection *Chenopodium* in Eurasia. Specifically, *C. album* is the cosmopolitan taxon of this group, and it may have been domesticated anciently in Europe, the Indian Himalayas, and China.

3.2.1.1 Chenopod Crops of the Americas

Wilson (1990) provided an extensive review of the history of *Chenopodium* cultivation in the Americas. More recent archeological evidence from the Nanchoc Valley of northwestern Peru establishes that quinoa was domesticated sometime earlier than 7500 BP (Dillehay et al. 2007), since this region is hundreds of miles distant from the proposed centers of domestication of the crop in the Mantaro Valley or northern

Altiplano (Wilson 1988b, c; Bruno and Whitehead 2003; Christensen et al. 2007). Quinoa itself existed as two distinct germplasm pools at the time of the Conquest: Andean highland quinoa (or quinua) with its associated weedy (ajara) complex; and quingua among the Araucanian people of the central and southern Chilean lowlands. Fuentes et al. (2009) provided simple sequence repeat (SSR)-based evidence to support coastal Chile as a second center of major quinoa diversity, either through separate domestication or, more likely, through continuous outcrossing with sympatric weedy C. hircinum and, since the Conquest, C. album. A third distinct germplasm pool, that of weedy C. hircinum from lowland Argentina, may represent remnants of archaic quinoa cultivation in that part of South America (Wilson 1990).

Cañahua (*C. pallidicaule*), although sympatric with quinoa, is an entirely unique domesticate capable of productivity at even higher altitudes than the latter and in soils near Lake Titicaca. The two crops are genetically isolated by their differing chromosome numbers and the cleistogamous floral structure of cañahua. Cañahua is cultivated for its mostly dark seed – small, relative to quinoa – which is primarily toasted, ground, and added to beverages to make *pito*.

The origin, or origins, of domesticated tetraploid chenopods in eastern North America is better understood than the origins of their Mesoamerican counterparts due to a profusion of archeological remains. Domesticated Mexican chenopods (*C. berlandieri* subsp. *nuttaliae*) were first described by Safford (1917), long after the Spanish Conquest, though it is highly likely that the Spanish lumped native Mesoamerican *Amaranthus* and chenopods together, referring to both simply as *bledo* (Wilson 1990). The ancient Mexicans apparently domesticated three distinct agroecotypes: a relatively common form from the

Section	Subsection	Species	Synonym
Roubieva		multifidum	Dysphania multifidum
		haumanii	
Orthosporum		pumilio	D. pumilio
Ambrina		ambrosioides	D. ambrosioides
Botryoides	Botrys	dissectum	
		botrys	D. botrys
		foetidum	
		graveolens	D. graveolens
	Teloxys	aristatum	D. aristata
Degenia		frigidum	
		chenopodioides	
		macrospermum	
Eublitum		overi	
		foliosum	
		capitatum	
Pseudoblitum		mexicanum	
		glaucum	
		rubrum	
Thellungia		antarcticum	
Agathophyton		bonus-henricus	
	. .	californicum	
Chenopodia	Leiosperma	subglabrum	
		cycloides	
		leptophyllum	
		hians	
		papulosum	
		pratericola	C allow
		giganieum	C. album
		standlavanum	
		sianale yanum urbicum	
		ur vicum cordobansa	
		framontii	
		nilcomavense	
		netiolare	
		vulvaria	
		onulifolium	
		incanum	
		nevadense	
		pallidicaule	
		atrovirens	
		zobelii	
		albescens	C. pratericola
		album	-
		missouriense	C. album
		covillei	
		strictum	
	Cellulata	watsonii	
		serotinum	C. ficifolium
		berlandieri	
		lenticulare	
		arizonicum	C. neomexicanum
		hircinum	
		pallescens	
		viride	C. opulifolium

 Table 3.2
 Taxonomic classification of American Chenopodium from Aellen and Just (1943)

C. opulifolium (continued)

Table 3.2 (continued)

Section	Subsection	Species	Synonym
		bushianum	
		macrocalaycium	
		philippianum	
	Undata	murale	
		polyspermum	
	Grossefoveata	hybridum	
		gigantospermum	C. simplex

 Table 3.3 Taxonomic classification of North American Chenopodium of Mosyakin and Clemants (1996) and Clemants and Mosyakin (2003)

Subgenus	Section	Subsection	Species	Synonym
Blitum (L.) Hiitonen	Agathophytum		bonus-henricus	
			californicum	
	Blitum (L.) Hooker fil.	<i>Capitata</i> Kowal ex Mosyakin and Clemants	capitatum	
		Foliosa Kowal ex Mosyakin and Clemants	foliosum	
	Degenia		macrospermum	
	Glauca		glaucum	C. salinum
	Pseudoblitum		chenopodioides	
			rubrum	C. humile
Chenopodium L.	Chenopodium L.	Polysperma Kowal ex Mosyakin and Clemants	polyspermum	
		Leptophylla (Standley) Clemants	atrovirens	
		and Mosyakin	subglabrum	
			cycloides	
			pallescens	
			leptophyllum	
			hians	C. incognitum
			pratericola	
			desiccatum	
			foggii	
		Chenopodium L.	vulvaria	
			strictum	
			opulifolium	
			album	C. giganteum, C. missouriense
		Favosa (Aellen) Mosyakin	watsonii	
		and Clemants	neomexicanum	C. palmeri
			ficifolium	
			berlandieri	C. nuttaliae, C. bushianum
			quinoa	
		Fremontiana (Standley) Clemants	fremontii	
		and Mosyakin	incanum	
			nevadense	
			albescens	
		Urbica (Standley) Mosyakin and Clemants	urbicum	
		Undata Aellen and Iljin ex Mosyakin and Clemants	murale	
		Standleyana Mosyakin and Clemants	standleyanum	
		<i>Grossefoveata</i> Aellen and Iljin ex Mosyakin	simplex	C. hybridum, C. gigantospermum

central highlands, *huazontle*, cultivated for its broccoli-like immature panicle; a highly branched form grown for its leaves (*quelite*) in and around the Mexican state of Puebla; and *chia roja*, a brightly pigmented form grown near Lake Patzcuaro for its seeds, which are used in festive Tarascan tamales (Wilson 1990). All three form crop–weed complexes with *C. berlandieri* subsp. *berlandieri*.

There is also extensive archeological evidence for widespread chenopod cultivation from Arkansas eastward throughout eastern North America. Two distinct cultivated types of C. berlandieri have been identified in the archeological sites. The first, subspecies jonesianum Smith (Smith and Funk 1985), is classified as a domesticate because of its larger seed size and thinner enveloping epiderm, though the latter retained the shiny, black, hard outer layer like its weedy relatives. The second was morphologically identical to Mesoamerican huazontle, having a light brown color due to elimination of the hard outer epiderm layer, though these eastern North American strains are increasingly considered to represent an independent domestication of subsp. nuttaliae (Wilson 1981a, 1990; Smith 1987; Smith and Yarnell 2009). This latter type of domesticated goosefoot has been definitively identified at sites from Oklahoma in the southwest to the central Ohio Valley in the northeast, extending back to 3800 YBP (Fritz 1984; Wilson 1981a; Smith and Yarnell 2009).

Like *C. quinoa* and *C. hircinum*, the *C. berlandieri* complex is classified within subsect. *Favosa*, and North American *C. berlandieri* is considered to be the ancestor of the American allotetraploid complex that includes quinoa (Wilson 1990; Wilson and Manhart 1993). The manner of long-range dispersal from North to South America – for example, whether by migrating birds or as a follower of camps of hunt-ing-gathering humans – is not known. However, comparative studies of isozyme and morphological variation indicated that quinoa and the *nuttaliae* crops of Mesoamerica arose from independent domestication events (Wilson and Heiser 1979; Wilson 1990).

3.2.1.2 Chenopod Cultivation in Eurasia

Lambsquarters or *C. album* is well known as a worldwide weed native to Eurasia. But there are also forms of this species that have, for millennia, been harvested mainly as a leafy vegetable, though some types are also cultivated for grain (Partap and Kapoor 1985, 1987; Joshi et al. 2002; Łuczaj and Szymański 2007). Domesticated C. album is an especially important crop in the Himalayan region, where it is cultivated for its seeds. The Himalayan grain chenopod is comparable to Andean quinoa in nutrient composition, and it is more nutritious than wheat, barley, maize, and rice (Partap and Kapoor 1985). Its seed protein quality equals that of milk and contains high levels of lysine (6 g/100 g protein), methionine (2.3 g/100 g protein), and cysteine (1.2 g/100 g protein). The crop is also suited to mixed farming, particularly multiple cropping systems. These domesticated Himalayan chenopods exhibit considerable morphological diversity. Four local chenopod varieties were recognized on the basis of their morphology, seed color (black, brown, red, and earthen) and uses (Partap and Kapoor 1987).

In places other than the Himalayan regions, *C. album* is mainly used as a leafy vegetable. Presently, it is being cultivated in the hill areas of North Bengal and Assam, India. Wild *C. album* (and other species such as *C. giganteum* and *C. bonus-henricus*) were/are collected and consumed in some European and Asian regions (Partap et al. 1998; Lentini and Venza 2007; Łuczaj and Szymański 2007).

The origin of domesticated *C. album* remains unexplained. The Himalayan forms of *C. album* are tetraploid (2n = 4x = 36) and are morphologically distinct from the weeds, which are hexaploid. Three of these varieties (black, brown, and red) appear to be correctly assigned to the *C. album* complex, whereas the earthen variety is similar to the species of subsect. *Favosa* in that it has alveolate fruits with an adhering pericarp. However, though its seeds are similar to those of quinua, the earthen variety is distinct in other respects (Partap and Kapoor 1985; Galwey 1995). The *C. album* plants cultivated as leafy vegetables in northern India are usually diploid or hexaploid (Bhargava et al. 2005).

The phylogenetic origin of hexaploid *C. album* is not well known. Gangopadhyay et al. (2002) suggested that the Indian hexaploid form is an allopolyploid and its ancestor species are two distinct varieties of diploid *C. album* (one broad leaved, another narrow leaved) along with diploid *C. murale*. The allopolyploid origin of *C. album* was supported by flavonoid evidence; however, *C. suecicum* and *C. ficifolium* were suggested as two of its ancestral diploids, since *C. murale* possessed a distinct group of flavonoids not found in *C. album* (Rahiminejad and Gornall 2004).

Germplasm comprising 84 accessions of *C. album* was evaluated for 12 descriptors at the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR) Regional Station, Shimla, India, by Joshi et al. (2002). Their evaluation revealed extensive variability in plant height; flowering time and maturity; leaf and inflorescence size; and seed yield. A wide range of variation was also reported for various other agromorphological traits. Analysis of foliage of the species of *Chenopodium* at the National Botanical Research Institute (NBRI), Lucknow, India, revealed a wide range of variation in protein (26–64 g/kg), carotene (78–190 mg/kg), vitamin C (0.5–2.4 g/kg), nitrate (2.6–5.0 g/kg), and oxalate (99–39 g/kg) contents (Joshi et al. 2002).

3.2.2 Weedy and Wild Chenopods

3.2.2.1 Distribution in North America

The Online Flora of North America (Clemants and Mosyakin 2003, *http://www.efloras.org*) provides the most comprehensive treatment of *Chenopodium* species distribution on the continent. Since the diploids native to the west are of most interest as genetic resources for improving quinoa and the Mexican domesticates, we will pay special attention to the distributions/habitats of these taxa.

The cosmopolitan weeds *C. album*, *C. berlandieri* (var. *zschackei*), *C. glaucum*, *C. murale*, *C. rubrum* (var. *rubrum*), *C. simplex*, and *C. strictum* have spread essentially throughout temperate North America. The Eurasian weeds *C. bonus-henricus*, *C. polyspermum*, *C. urbicum* are found throughout the northeast. Native *C. capitatum* is locally common in North American boreal forests and at higher elevations in the west.

The *Favosa* complex of alveolate-fruited species includes three diploids, two tetraploids, and one hexaploid. Among the diploids, *C. ficifolium* is native to Eurasia and appears sporadically as a weed in eastern North America. The native North American diploids, *C. neomexicanum* (New Mexico goosefoot) and *C. watsonii* (Watson's goosefoot), grow on disturbed acidic and alkaline soils, respectively, along the southern edge of the Colorado Plateau eastward to the Gila Mountains (Fig. 3.1). Whereas New Mexico goosefoot is locally rare in igneous ponderosa pine forests, Watson's goosefoot is more common on open grasslands, roadsides, sparse pinyon-juniper woodlands, and especially around corrals in conjunction with mealy goosefoot (*C. incanum*). It has also been reported, though less commonly, from the western Great Plains in New Mexico northward to Wyoming. The two species are easily distinguished phenotypically: New Mexico goosefoot by its relatively glabrous triangular leaves and upright growth habit and Watson's goosefoot by its gray or blue–green, farinose, highly fetid-smelling foliage and highly branched growth habit.

Berlandier's, or pitseed, goosefoot (C. berlandieri) is a common weed of roadsides, construction sites, stream banks, and other disturbed locales throughout the continent, as far north as Alaska (Fig. 3.2). Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) subdivided this species into an assortment of botanical varieties within subspecies berlandieri: berlandieri (Texas); boscianum (Gulf coast and lower Great Lakes); bushianum (croplands and abandoned fields of the Mississippi and Ohio Valleys); macrocalycium (northeast coast); sinuatum (southwest); and zschackei (widespread across most of the continent west and northwest of the Mississippi and Ohio Rivers). Of these botanical varieties, macrocalycium is noteworthy for its relatively large (2+ mm) fruits. In addition, subspecies nuttaliae, which encompasses the native Mexican agroecotypes, is commonly recognized. Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) noted that C. quinoa, which is occasionally cultivated in gardens and commercially in higher valleys of the Rocky Mountains, keys to C. berlandieri in their taxonomic treatment. Cytological studies (Kolano unpublished) have verified that var. bushianum is hexaploid with 54 chromosomes and sequencing of nuclear genes (Jellen unpublished) has verified that this taxon carries at least one unique subgenome when compared to the phylogenetically proximal allotetraploid complex that includes subsp. nuttaliae, C. quinoa, South American C. hircinum, and C. berlandieri vars. zschackei and macrocalycium. We have concluded that morphological similarities, particularly for the alveolate testa, likely derive from all these species sharing a common subsect, Favosa diploid ancestor. These results also suggest that bushianum should be classified as a separate species.

The *Leptophylla* complex of narrow-leaved species includes nine North American diploids (Fig. 3.3).



Fig. 3.1 Geographic distribution of North American *Chenopodium* diploids that are apparently most closely related to the 4x *C. berlandieri–C. hircinum–C. quinoa* complex. *C. fremontii* and *C. incanum* have smooth utriculate fruit and belong to

Subsection *Fremontiana*. *C. neomexicanum* and *C. watsonii* have alveolate achenes and are assigned to Subsection *Favosa*. Adapted from Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and personal observations

These are small, inconspicuous herbaceous plants, which are either mildly aggressive weeds of disturbed sandy soils (C. desiccatum and C. pratericola) or episodic in appearance in discrete niche habitats. Of these, the most widespread are narrowleaf goosefoot (C. leptophyllum), which is rare but native to deserts and sandy soils throughout the western third of the continent, and the more common C. pratericola, which grows on disturbed, sandy soils from California eastward into the Mississippi and lower Ohio Valleys. Mountain or pinyon goosefoot (C. atrovirens) is locally common on disturbed soils in the central Rocky Mountains and Great Basin. Aridland goosefoot (C. desiccatum) is locally common, but episodic in appearance at middle elevations on sandy soils from the Great Basin spreading eastward into the Great Plains. Hians' goosefoot (C. hians formerly known as C. incognitum) is locally common, but can be episodic in seasonally drier montane and foothill arroyos from the Peninsular and Transverse Ranges of southern

California northward through the foothills of the Sierra Nevada and eastward to the Gila Mountains of New Mexico. The sandhill goosefoots (C. cycloides and C. subglabrum) are locally rare, appearing sporadically in sandy areas like stream banks, blowouts, moist depressions, and stabilized dunes from the Texas Panhandle northward through the Sand Hills of Nebraska to the Saskatchewan-Alberta border region. Further study is probably needed to determine if C. cycloides qualifies for federal protection as an endangered species. Pallid goosefoot (C. pallescens) is native to the southern and central Plains on sandy prairies to open woodlands. Fogg's goosefoot (C. foggii) is locally rare on forest soils of the northeastern United States. Certain of these taxa can be extremely difficult to differentiate, with discriminating morphological criteria including the presence of one midvein (C. cycloides, leptophyllum, C. pallescens, C. subglabrum) versus three leaf veins (C. atrovirens, C. desiccatum, C. foggii, C. hians, C. pratericola); adhering pericarp as an achene



Fig. 3.2 North American distribution of various forms of allotetraploid *C. berlandieri* and allohexaploid *C. bushianum* (syn. *C. berlandieri* var. *bushianum*). Adapted from Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and personal observations



Fig. 3.3 Biogeography of various locally distributed North American *Chenopodium* diploids and South American-native tetraploid *C. macrospermum.* Subsection *Leptophylla* diploids not shown include *C. atrovirens, C. leptophyllum*, and *C. pratericola*

because these taxa are widely distributed throughout the interior west. Adapted from Clemants and Mosyakin (2003) and personal observations

(C. cycloides, C. hians, C. leptophyllum, C. pallescens) versus utriculate pericarp (C. desiccatum, C. pratericola, C. subglabrum); inflorescence type; stem growth habit (erect versus spreading, basally versus distal branching); and farinose (C. atrovirens, C. desiccatum, C. foggii, C. hians, C. leptophyllum, C. pratericola) versus glabrate (C. cycloides, C. pallescens, C. sub-glabrum) leaf and stem surfaces.

The Fremontiana group of North American diploids includes four North American species: Fremont's goosefoot (C. fremontii), silvery or mealy goosefoot (C. incanum), Nevada goosefoot (C. nevadense), and C. albescens. Fremont's goosefoot is relatively common in dry but shady habitats, and especially in pinyon-juniper woodlands, from the Sierra Nevada and Transverse Ranges of California eastward to the Great Plains (Fig. 3.1). The other widespread but episodic species of this group, mealy goosefoot, is usually found in disturbed, open habitats like arroyos and roadsides within the southern Great Basin, Mojave, Colorado Plateau, and Chihuahuan deserts, or along roadsides and other disturbed areas in the western Great Plains. Three botanical varieties were recognized in this species by Crawford (1977): var. incanum (western Great Plains); var. elatum (southern Arizona-New Mexico); and var. occidentale (Great Basin and Mojave Desert). While C. incanum tends to be short, highly basally branched, and inconspicuous with its gray-green, highly farinose foliage, C. fremontii has an erect growth habit and large, triangular, light green leaves with prominent basal lobes. Nevada goosefoot is very episodic, though locally abundant in favorable years, on highly alkaline soils in the western Great Basin. Similar to C. incanum, it is short, inconspicuous, and highly branched at the base. The two species are distinguished by their fruit morphology (utricle in mealy goosefoot versus achene in Nevada goosefoot) and leaf texture (highly farinose in mealy goosefoot and mostly glabrous in Nevada goosefoot). C. albescens is a highly localized and poorly defined taxon from southern Texas. Neither Subsection Fremontiana nor Subsection Leptophylla includes identified polyploids.

Other taxa native to western North America include the diploids *C. californicum*, *C. humile*, *C. simplex*, and *C. salinum*, along with the tetraploid *C. macrospermum*. These species are particularly interesting as genetic resources for improving quinoa due to their biochemical, morphological, and/or adaptive

characteristics. Indian lettuce or soap plant (C. californicum) is endemic to the California Floristic Province. Its two interesting features are perennial growth habit and a large taproot that accumulates triterpenoid saponins (hence its use by indigenous California tribes as a source of soap). Low goosefoot, C. humile (International Taxonomic Identification System [ITIS] designation, also known as C. rubrum var. humile), and the mostly sympatric Rocky Mountain goosefoot C. salinum (ITIS designation, also C. glaucum var. salinum), are low-growing forbs found along moist saline and alkaline shorelines in the Great Basin and other brackish soils of the west. Of prime interest for its salinity tolerance is red-stemmed goosefoot, C. macrospermum (Fig. 3.4), an estuarine species especially abundant in coastal California but thought to have spread northward from South America. This species, along with maple-leaf goosefoot (C. simplex) and Indian lettuce, is also of potential interest for quinoa improvement due to its large seed size.

3.2.2.2 Distribution in South America

Chenopod distribution in South America is primarily restricted to highland Andean, subtropical, and temperate regions of the continent. The most common species are Eurasian exotics, especially C. album and C. murale, along with the native New World wild/weedy/domesticated C. hircinum-C. quinoa (C. berlandieri) complex. At least three factors complicate the identification and classification of these common chenopods. The first is the systematic separation of C. hircinum and C. quinoa (and C. berlandieri), which is taxonomically problematic since it is based largely on growth habit (weedy versus domesticated, respectively) and ecological range (eastern Pampa versus Andean/Pacific), rather than crossincompatibility (Aellen and Just 1943; Wilson 1981b, 1988a). The second is phenotypic plasticity for confounding morphological characters and, in the case of C. album, the presence of diploid, tetraploid, and hexaploid forms. The third problematic factor is limited genetic, combined with abundant anecdotal, evidence for interspecific hybridization in the field, particularly in Chile, between C. quinoa and weedy C. hircinum and sympatric C. album (presumably tetraploid forms or misclassified 4x C. strictum; personal observations of the authors and I. von Baer; Fuentes



Fig. 3.4 Photographs of representative *Chenopodium* species: (a) *C. album* in an urban alley, Sale, Morocco; (b) *C. murale* (*arrowhead*) and *C. vulvaria* (*arrow*) on clay in a rock outcrop, Ourika Valley, Morocco; (c) *C. strictum* on Mekong River alluvium, Chiang Saen, Thailand; (d) *C. macrospermum* on irrigated sand in Iquique, Chile; (e) *C. frigidum* along the road-side in the precordillera of Tarapaca, Chile; (f) *C. pallidicaule* experimental plots at Quipaquipani, Bolivia; (g) *C. fremontii* on

et al. 2009). In irrigated portions of the Atacama Desert of northern Chile, for example, we have noted sympatric weedy populations of *C. album* and *C. hircinum*, distinguishable from each other by seed morphology (smooth versus alveolate, respectively) and

clay loam in shade of *Quercus gambelii* on Mt. Timpanogos, Utah; (**h**) *C. incanum* var. *occidentale* on previous-year burn area near Cove Fort, Utah; (**i**) *C. atrovirens* on limestone substrate behind Squaw Peak, Utah; (**j**) *C. watsonii (arrowhead)* and *C. berlandieri* var. *sinuatum (arrow)* in pinyon-oak-shrub steppe near Ash Fork, Arizona; (**k**) *C. nevadense* on black gravel alkali pavement near Fallon, Nevada; (**l**) *C. neomexicanum* in pine woodland near Payson, Arizona

DNA sequence variants (cpDNA and nuclear *SOS1* intronic sequences, Jellen and Fuentes unpublished). Cytological examinations of specimens from highland Peru, previously identified locally by plant morphology as diploid *C. petiolare*, had 2n = 6x = 54 chromosomes
and were therefore possibly also exotic *C. album*. The diploid weed *C. murale*, which is a native of Eurasia, is locally common at middle to low elevations of the central Andes, on irrigated soils of the western coastal desert belt and subtropical Brazil, extending southward into central Chile and the Uruguayan–Argentine Pampas into Patagonia (Mulgura 1999; *http://www.efloras. org*).

Several native South American species are also relatively common. Red-stemmed goosefoot (*C. macrospermum*) is a highly polymorphic diploid (Jellen unpublished; *http://www.efloras.org*) and/or tetraploid (Giusti 1970) in littoral to estuarine habitats, having been observed along the Pacific coast at Miraflores, Peru, and in Iquique, Chile (Fig. 3.4; Jellen unpublished), in addition to the Argentine Atlantic lowlands (Mulgura 1999).

Other Chenopodium species that are locally common to rare include the economically important high-altitude pseudocereal cañahua or cañihua (C. pallidicaule, Fig. 3.4), a series of weedy highland diploids, coastal populations of C. macrospermum, and several taxa native to the southeastern lowlands. Cañahua is a localized subsistence seed crop in Peru and Bolivia, mainly on the Altiplano and elsewhere at altitudes exceeding 3,600 meters (Risi and Galwey 1984). C. carnosolum exists as multiple weedy ecotypes in flooded and saline habitats around Lake Titicaca (Mujica and Jacobsen 2006) and extends southward through the Altiplano and into the higher valleys of northern Argentina and Chile (Mulgura 1999). C. petiolare is described as a common diploid weed in traditional cultivation fields around Lake Titicaca (Mujica and Jacobsen 2006), extending throughout the Altiplano and valleys of the central Andes (Mulgura 1999), characterized by a similar phenotype to quinoa but with shattering, black seed and tending toward a single, erect stem, and having excellent drought tolerance. We (Jellen, Maughan and Fuentes unpublished) have observed locally common, weedy populations of C. petiolare along roadsides and in towns of the Chilean Cordillera Occidental at elevations exceeding 3,000 m. C. incisum is reported to be sympatric with C. petiolare and C. carnosolum in the Lake Titicaca region, though its placement in *Chenopodium*, as opposed to Dysphania, may be in question due to morphological similarities with D. graveolens (Mulgura 1999; Mujica and Jacobsen 2006). C. philippianum and C. frigidum are also reported from the higher

central-southern Andes (Mulgura 1999; Mujica and Jacobsen 2006). Wild species distributed primarily within the southeastern lowlands or Patagonia include *C. burkhartii, C. cordobense, C. obscurum, C. papulo*sum, *C. parodii, C. pilcomayense, C. ruiz-lealii,* and *C. scabricaule* (Aellen and Just 1943; Mulgura 1999). Several novel taxa reported in the Chilean flora (Marticorena 2010; http://www.chlorischile.cl) include *C. macrocarpum, C. halophilum,* and *C. nesodendron,* along with species that most likely belong to *Dysphania* in the more recent classification system (Fig. 3.5).

There are a number of other wild/weedy plants formerly classified as *Chenopodium* and more recently in the genus *Dysphania* that are common and, in some cases, economically important in South America. The most widespread and important of these is *paico* or *D. ambrosioides*, a locally important medicinal plant in the Andes.

3.2.2.3 Distribution in Eurasia and North Africa

The best known Eurasian species is *C. album*. It is almost cosmopolitan, being common in subtropical to temperate zones and less frequent in the tropics. This species' center of diversity is likely in the Himalaya Mountains (Partap et al. 1998). Today, it is one of the most important and difficult weeds to control, being very common in gardens, abandoned fields, construction sites, roadsides, stream banks , irrigated places, and other ruderal areas. It is also reported as a halophytic plant in some parts of Asia (Brenan and Akeroyd 1992; Tanaka and Tanaka 1980).

A number of other chenopods grow in disturbed habitats and it is often impossible to decide whether they fall into the category of passively established alien versus native in a given region. The Chenopodium species C. ficifolium, C. strictum, C. murale, and C. botrys are widely distributed in Europe, Asia and North Africa. We (Jellen and Maughan unpublished) have observed isolated C. strictum plants along the bank of the Mekong River in tropical Thailand at 20.35°N latitude, far downstream from its "native" range in central and western China - obviously a consequence of seed dispersion by seasonal alluvial flooding (http://www.efloras.org; Fig. 3.4). Others, like C. glaucum and C. hybridum, are distributed in most of Europe and Asia between 40°N and 60°N (Meusel et al. 1978; Brenan and Akeroyd 1992).



Fig. 3.5 Variation for fruit morphology in *Chenopodium*: (a) *C. incanum* with utriculate fruits and semi-keeled sepals (Fivemile

Pass, Utah); (b) *C. nevadense* achenes (Wilson Canyon, Nevada); (c) *C. leptophyllum* achenes (Ephraim Canyon, Utah);

The more southerly ranging *C. foliosum* is found from the western Mediterranean to the Himalayas and the Altai Mountains; it is thought to have originally been native to high altitudes, but anciently became naturalized within its current range after being brought into cultivated places like gardens and in other disturbed sites (Uotila 1993). Common weedy species in the Maghreb, besides *C. album*, include *C. opulifolium*, *C. murale*, and *C. vulvaria* (Fig. 3.4).

Not all Eurasian *Chenopodium* species have such a wide range. For example, good King Henry (*C. bonushenricus*) is distributed mainly in Europe, where it is cultivated as a leafy vegetable and has spread as a weed to nitrate-rich soils in the countryside. Another local weed, *C. karoi*, is found in the mountains, fields, and around farms and wastelands of central and East Asia. Other examples of locally distributed species include *C. litwinowii* in the Pamirs and Hindukush Mountains at altitudes of 2,300–4,300 m and *C. exsuccum* in Spain and N.E. Portugal (Uotila 1981, 1993; *http://www.efloras.org* 2008).

Apart from the native species, there are also several naturalized chenopods in Eurasia including *C. berlandieri*, *C. bushianum*, *C. capitatum*, and *C. chenopodioides*. Some of them were initially cultivated in gardens as herbs or ornamentals but have since spread to ruderal and other disturbed road-sides, stockyards, railroad frontages, etc. (Brenan and Akeroyd 1992; *http://www.efloras.org* 2008). Other species spread into Europe via contaminated wool from sheep-producing regions like Patagonia (i.e. *C. hircinum*) and Australia (i.e. *C. nitrariaceaum*; Stace 1997).

3.2.2.4 Distribution in Australia and Oceania

Australia hosts unique *Chenopodium* and *Dysphania* biodiversity, along with the globally distributed exotic weeds like *C. album*, *C. glaucum*, *C. murale*, and *C. vulvaria*. Native Chenopodiaceae seeds were important as a gathered food source as evidenced by remains in rock shelters of northwestern Australia from 40,000 YBP (McConnell 1998). Many of the native *Chenopodium* species of Australia were

recently transferred to *Dysphania* (Shepherd and Wilson 2008). Species that remain in the genus include *C. auricomiforme*, *C. auricomum*, *C. curvispicatum*, *C. desertorum*, *C. detestans*, *C. erosum*, and *C. nitrariaceaum* (Table 3.1; New South Wales Flora Online, *http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au*). Although not domesticated, these mostly perennial species are considered to be important range forage on saline-sodic soils. The New Zealand–native fishguts weed (*C. detestans*) has also become naturalized as a weed within southeastern Australia (New South Wales Flora Online).

In addition to these species, *Chenopodium* species are native to three distant archipelagoes of the Pacific Ocean. Alaweo (*C. oahuense*) is a perennial shrub endemic to the Hawaiian Islands. *Chenopodium* sancti-ambrosii is reportedly endemic to the Desventuradas Islands approximately 900 km off the Chilean coast just south of 26°S latitude (Marticorena 2010). Two additional species, *C. crusoeanum* and *C. sanctaclarae*, are endemic to the Juan Fernandez Islands (Marticorena 2010).

3.2.3 Germplasm Conservation

3.2.3.1 Genetic Erosion in Quinoa

Quinoa and cañahua production and acreage suffered a tremendous post-Conquest decline, which actually accelerated during the interval between 1947 and 1975 (Tapia 1979). This acceleration in abandonment of the native crops in Peru and Bolivia was attributed to import subsidies, climatic factors, and cultural disparaging of "Indian" crops and culture (Tapia 1979; Risi and Galwey 1984). Fortunately, this situation has completely reversed itself, with Andean (Bolivia–Peru) quinoa production increasing dramatically in order to attempt to keep pace with increasing domestic and export demands for high-quality quinoa seed: from ~31,600 tons in 1961, to a low of ~14,800 tons in 1968, to a high of ~54,600 tons in 2007 (UN-FAO statistics, *http://faostat.fao.org*). Harvested quinoa

Utah); (g) *C. desiccatum* utricles with spreading sepals (Maybell, Colorado); (h) *C. humile* with connate sepals and vertical achenes (Utah Lake, Utah); (i) honeycombed achenes with alveolate pericarps in *C. watsonii* (Peach Springs, Arizona)

Fig. 3.5 (continued) (**d**) *C. berlandieri* ssp. *nuttaliae* (cultivated *huazontle*) pseudograin achenes (Colima, Mexico); (**e**) *C. californicum* achenes (Fallbrook, California); (**f**) *C. capita-tum* with vertical achenes and 3-sepaled flowers (Payson Canyon,

acreage in the two countries concomitantly increased during the same time interval from ~50,600 ha (1961) to a minimum of ~27,700 ha (1979) up to ~71,500 ha in 2007 (*http://faostat.fao.org*).

History, both natural and political, suggests superficially that quinoa may have passed through at least three genetic bottlenecks, though on closer scrutiny these may not have resulted in a tremendous loss of genetic diversity. The first, and potentially most severe, genetic bottleneck would have occurred when the two diploid ancestors of quinoa went through their hybridization/chromosome doubling event, assuming: (1) that this resulted in a reproductively isolated allotetraploid species (most likely *C. berlandieri*; Wilson 1990) and (2) that this event occurred only once, meaning that the 4x New World species complex had a monophyletic origin.

The second putative bottleneck would have occurred when quinoa was domesticated from its wild/weedy tetraploid ancestor; however, this genetic constriction may not be so significant given quinoa's ability to outcross with other 4x species (Wilson and Manhart 1993) and the fact that it is sympatric with multiple wild/weedy 4x forms of C. hircinum and/ or C. quinoa var. melanospermum (Wilson 1981b; Mujica and Jacobsen 2006). The significance of this second genetic bottleneck is directly dependent upon the first, since monophylogeny of the 4x complex implies the presence of relatively little genetic diversity for exchange in its cross-compatible wild relatives. Another possibility, recently borne out by DNA marker diversity studies, is that quinoa was domesticated twice: once in the High Andes and a second time in the Chilean lowlands (Christensen et al. 2007; Fuentes et al. 2009).

The third, political bottleneck happened over the span of 400+ years from the Conquest to the 1980s, during which time quinoa was marginalized for cultural reasons. There is abundant evidence that throughout quinoa's original range at the time of the Conquest, the crop has since been shifted onto marginal lands that are less suitable for cultivation of exotic, salt- and drought-sensitive crops like wheat (Risi and Galwey 1984; Lescano 1994). Risi and Galwey (1984) identified five original ecotypes of quinoa: (1) Valley; (2) Altiplano; (3) Salar (southern Altiplano); (4) Sea Level (Coastal Chilean); and (5) Subtropical or Yungas. Of these groups, the Yungas ecotypes are the least collected and characterized, by far.

Of the five quinoa ecotypes of Risi and Galwey (1984), the most susceptible to genetic erosion are the Valley and Sea Level groups. As they pointed out, much of the quinoa cultivation in northern Andean Valley regions has been supplanted by cash or exotic crops; here, quinoa farmers on more productive alluvial soils have been persuaded to replace lower yielding landraces with higher yielding cultivars like "Ingapirca" (Ecuador), "Rosa de Junin," and "Blanca de Hualhuas" in the Mantaro Valley of Peru under mechanized conditions. Exploratory collection efforts in recent years in the Callejon de Conchucos, Cusco, Cajamarca, and Apurimac valleys of Peru by scientists at the National Agrarian University of La Molina (UNALM) uncovered the existence of apparently extensive phenotypic variation (Gomez personal communication). Within the Chilean lowlands, smallscale indigenous Mapuche farmers continue to cultivate heterogeneous quinoas for mostly local consumption, while production in areas north of the Rio Biobio is becoming increasingly commercialized with cultivars like "Regalona," "Faro," and "Pichilemu" (Risi and Galwey 1984). On the other hand, the Chilean lowlands have apparently witnessed considerable gene exchange between quinoa and sympatric weeds that has diversified the cultivated gene pool (Fuentes et al. 2009).

Rojas (2003) and Christensen et al. (2007) identified abundant variation for morphological characters and microsatellite markers, respectively, in Bolivian quinoa germplasm. The vast majority of the genotypes examined in both studies were Altiplano and Salar ecotypes. Within these regions, quinoa breeders with the Foundation for the Promotion and Investigation of Andean Products (PROINPA, Bolivia) and the National University of the Altiplano-Puno (UNAP, Peru) have consciously endeavored to develop quinoa cultivars derived from a broad genetic base. In addition, agronomists working in the northern Altiplano, a region characterized by small subsistence farms and tremendous quinoa diversity, have encouraged them to retain heterogeneous traditional cultivation systems like the aynoka (Mujica and Jacobsen 2006). The Salares, in contrast, are characterized by dryland, mechanized, cooperative quinoa farms extending across huge acreages on very marginal soils, with much of the crop being harvested for export through the rail terminal at Uyuni, Bolivia. Here, a heterogeneous assemblage of large- and white-seeded "Real" varieties have been developed, literally through hundreds of years of selection, including strains such as "Kellu," "Lipeño," "Achachino," "Hilo," "Toledo," variegated "Sayaña," and "Ollagüe" (Chilean).

3.2.3.2 Genetic Erosion in Wild and Weedy Chenopodium Species

The goosefoot genus is a paradoxical mix of seriously threatened, ephemeral endemics and some of the world's most notorious cosmopolitan weeds. Obviously, universal weeds like C. album, C. berlandieri var. zschackei, and C. murale that have thrived and proliferated in the presence of anthropogenic disturbance are not susceptible to genetic erosion. On the other hand, two botanical varieties of C. berlandieri-boscianum and macrocalycium along with C. album var. missouriense are listed as protected by various state governments (http://plants.usda.gov). Other ephemeral, wild species that are threatened or endangered in North America include C. cycloides, C. standleyanum, and C. foggii. The wild species endemic to Argentina and rare Eurasian species like the newly described C. chandoranicum might also be threatened or endangered. The Pacific archipelago endemics C. crusoeanum, C. oahuense, C. sanctaclarae, and C. sancti-ambrosii are the species expected to be in greatest danger of erosion due to habitat loss and climate change.

3.2.3.3 Ex Situ Conservation Efforts

The most extensive ex situ germplasm collections for *Chenopodium* are maintained by the Royal Botanic Garden at Kew, the USDA-ARS, the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (India), PROINPA (the Bolivian national collection), UNAP, and the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK, Gatersleben, Germany). Of these gene banks, only the USDA-ARS and Royal Botanic Garden at Kew maintain significant collections of wild *Chenopodium* native to the Americas.

The primary characterized gene bank in Peru is held by UNAP in Puno, which reported a collection of 1,029 quinoa accessions, from which they defined a core collection of 103 (Ortiz et al. 1998, 1999). These authors reported the greatest amount of variation in Peruvian material from the Altiplano, though their collection was heavily biased toward accessions from the Puno and Cusco regions (742/1,029 or 72%). The collection did not contain wild species. Other Peruvian research groups also maintain gene banks, for example the UNALM, with a collection of approximately 2,800 quinoa and 140 cañahua accessions to date, including collections from central and northern Peru (Gomez personal communication).

The PROINPA Foundation was granted custody of the Bolivian national quinoa collection in the late 1990s. They actively collect and maintain their germplasm in an ex situ collection facility recently constructed at Quipaquipani 4 km south of Viacha, Ingaviri Province, in the northern Altiplano. Collection efforts are ongoing, with approximately 3,120 quinoa (282 in the core collection) and 770 canahua accessions in custody at the site. They also have collected 67 accessions of *paico* (*Dysphania ambrosioides*).

The USDA-ARS collection is maintained within the National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS) at Ames, Iowa (location NC-7). It currently consists of 137 quinoa, 13 cañahua, 13 C. album, eight C. giganteum, five weedy C. berlandieri, four domesticated C. berlandieri nuttaliae, two C. capitatum, two C. foliosum, two C. murale, and single accessions each of C. bonus-henricus, C. bushianum, C. ficifolium, C. fremontii, C. glaucum, C. neomexicanum, C. rubrum, C. simplex, C. standleyanum, C. strictum, and C. vulvaria. However, this collection is currently being updated with additional accessions of wild/ weedy C. atrovirens, C. berlandieri, C. californicum, C. desiccatum, C. fremontii, C. hians, C. humile, C. incanum, C. leptophyllum, C. macrospermum, C. neomexicanum, C. nevadense, C. salinum, C. strictum, and C. watsonii recently collected by the authors in the western United States.

In addition to the American ex situ gene banks are several known collections in Europe and India. Risi and Galwey (1989) reported morphological data on a collection of 294 quinoa accessions at the Cambridge University. In addition, the Royal Botanic Gardens at Kew, as part of the Millennium Seed Bank Project, maintains what is probably the broadest collection, consisting of 57 species, at least 11 of which are now classified in the genus *Dysphania* and 18 of which are native to the New World. Partap et al. (1998) reported the existence of collections of 99 and 18 mostly *C. album* and *C. amaranticolor* (syn. *C. giganteum*) accessions at the National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR) at Shimla, India, and the National Botanical Research Institute (NBRI) at Lucknow, India, respectively, which are the fruit of extensive collection efforts in the *Chenopodium* production areas of the Himalayas. Additionally, the IPK-Gaterseleben gene bank in Germany has an extensive collection of 1,044 available accessions, mostly Eurasian species and quinoa.

Ex situ collections of wild Chenopodium are fairly easy to maintain but difficult to use because of seed dormancy. We have observed that different species, and even genotypes within a species, respond differently to the same germination protocol. We have used variations ranging from 1 to 30 days at 4°C in 50-500 ppm GA₃, usually following a scarification procedure involving chipping of the enamel-like seed coat using a scalpel. In general, a 3-day treatment in 500 ppm GA₃ at room temperature works best. In addition, some genotypes are extremely susceptible to damping off as seedlings and must be protected with a fungicide until the plant is fully established at the four-leaf stage. A seed germination technique described by Hock et al. (2006) involving cold stratification and solid matrix priming (SMP®) in C. album also appears very promising.

3.2.3.4 In Situ Germplasm Conservation

In situ quinoa germplasm conservation, including farmer-participatory breeding, remains an important focus of quinoa improvement programs in the Andean region (Danielsen et al. 2000; Mujica and Jacobsen 2006; McElhinny et al. 2007). Tapia (2000) reviewed an exemplary, tri-entity approach to in situ conservation in Cajamarca Department, Peru, involving the International Potato Center (CIP), the Association for Rural Development in Cajamarca (ASPADERUC), and the Consortium for the Sustainable Development of the Andean Ecoregion (CONDESAN). The six steps of the model are: (1) soil and water conservation and management; (2) community seed fairs highlighting biodiversity, rather than best-performing lines; (3) farmer-participatory workshops; (4) farmer-organized conservation associations; (5) establishment of seed banks on farms having the greatest diversity; and (6) periodic visits of oversight institutions to monitor progress in maintaining biodiversity. Similar projects have been underway in central Mexico since 2004 to actively promote in situ conservation of native *C. berlandieri* subsp. *nuttaliae* landraces, many of which are on the brink of extinction (Perez-Agis et al. 2005).

Partap et al. (1998) and Partap and Kapoor (1985) pointed out the urgent need for in situ conservation to prevent genetic erosion in the Himalayan cultivated chenopods. Partap and Kapoor (1985) reported that remnant chenopod cultivation is strongly correlated with low socioeconomic status, low income, and socially conservative cultural practices – as was the case in the Andean region until recently. Partap et al. (1998) identified 14 areas in India, the Guizhou Plateau in China, and north-central Bhutan as regions where remnant chenopod cultivation merits further collection and in situ conservation efforts.

In contrast to the cultigens, wild goosefoot conservation is being widely ignored and is therefore a matter of utmost concern, there being a common misperception that Chenopodium is a genus strictly of noxious weeds such as lambsquarters (C. album), nettle-leaved goosefoot (C. murale), and pitseed goosefoot (C. berlandieri). Within southwestern North America - the area most intensively surveyed by the authors - diversity-rich, multispecies goosefoot communities present one year will be missing for two or more subsequent years, depending upon seasonal weather patterns. For example, a strikingly diverse Chenopodium community consisting of at least seven species (C. album, C. berlandieri, C. hians, C. incanum, C. leptophyllum, C. neomexicanum and/ or C. fremontii, and C. watsonii) and potential hybrids that were thriving along the Verde River bottom near Cottonwood, Arizona, in 2005 was revisited and found to be entirely absent in each of the next two summers (Fig. 3.6). We have observed a similar pattern at sites in Nevada, Utah, eastern Colorado, Wyoming, and California, over the span of years between 2004 and 2008. This implies that goosefoot diversity may be harbored over long time periods within the soil's seed bank. Protecting these seed banks is crucial to maintaining the biodiversity of the genus. At the same time, however, it is extremely difficult to sample and assess wild Chenopodium biodiversity because there may be few or no green plants upon which to base the assessment at a given location within a given year, while the next year the site might contain a profusion of goosefoot biodiversity. Surveying and/or collection



Fig. 3.6 Wild *Chenopodium* collection site on sandy soil along the embankment of the Verde River at Cottonwood, Arizona, in July 2005. The following two summers *Chenopodium* was essentially absent from the location. (a) Representative biodiversity for leaf and stem morphology at the site. (b) Overview of the site

expeditions therefore need to plan carefully based on the weather in the target area over the course of the previous 6 or so months, taking into account factors such as contributions of fall rain and winter snow cover to soil moisture, winter temperatures, date of last frost, growing-degree days, etc.

3.3 Chenopodium Genetics

For all the chenopods, the basic chromosome number is x = 9. There is some confusion if *Dysphania* species are also included, as a number of these have x = 8 (Giusti 1970). Some reported chromosome number counts are listed in Table 3.4. Karyologically, the species are distributed in three different polyploidy levels: diploid, tetraploid, and hexaploid. Diploids have 2n = 2x = 18chromosomes (e.g., C. atrovirens, C. californicum, C. ficifolium, C. pallidicaule, etc.). Tetraploids, such as C. quinoa, C. strictum, and C. berlandieri, have 2n = 4x= 36 chromosomes. The highest ploidy level found thus far is hexaploid, possessing 2n = 6x = 54 chromosomes, as represented by C. album and C. bushianum. However, there are curated accessions identified morphologically as C. album that differ for their chromosome numbers, including all three polyploidy levels (Tanaka and Tanaka 1980; Wang et al. 1993; Bhargava et al. 2007; Kolano et al. 2008).

3.3.1 Chromosome and Genome Size

Detailed studies of Chenopodium cytogenetics have been done for only a few species. Karyotypes of the genus consist mostly of metacentric and submetacentric chromosomes. Some reports describe only one pair of chromosomes with a secondary constriction in diploid and tetraploid species, although Palomino et al. (2008) reported two pairs in C. quinoa and C. berlandieri ssp. nuttaliae and hexaploid C. album has been reported to contain two pairs of satellited chromosomes (Kolano et al. 2001, 2008; Bhargava et al. 2006). We have also observed that species belonging to the C. album aggregate (subg. *Chenopodium*) exhibit similar karyotypes. Several authors have suggested that karyotype differentiation pattern may correspond to the sectional classification of the genus (Bhargava et al. 2006; Palomino et al. 2008). Within each species, there is minor karyotypic variation; this variation is likely due to chromosome rearrangements (mainly pericentric inversion and translocations), which are being maintained due to the predominantly self-pollinating behavior of most species of the genus (Risi and Galwey 1984; Bhargava et al. 2006; Palomino et al. 2008).

Several studies have examined genome size, albeit for only a few *Chenopodium* and *Dysphania* species;

3 Chenopodium

 Table 3.4
 Chromosome number and ploidy level of Chenopodium species in the literature

Species	Ploidy	Chromosome number	References
C. acuminatum	4 <i>x</i>	36	Tanaka and Tanaka (1980)
C. album	2x, 4x, 6x	18, 36, 54	Tanaka and Tanaka (1980), Gangopadhyay et al. (2002), Kolano et al. (2006), Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. amaranticolor (C. giganteum)	6 <i>x</i>	54	Bhargava et al. (2005, 2007)
C. atrovirens	2x	18	Authors
C. berlandieri	4x	36	Wang et al. (1993)
C. bushianum	6 <i>x</i>	54	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. californicum	2x	18	Authors
C. carnosolum	2x	18	Giusti (1970)
C. cordobense	2x	18	Giusti (1970)
C. feotidum	2x	18	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. ficifolium	2x	18	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. fremontii	2x	18	Authors
C. glaucum	2x	18	Wang et al. (1993)
C. hircinum	4x	36	Wang et al. (1993)
C. hybridum (C. simplex)	2x	18	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. litwinowii	2x	18	Uotila (1993)
C. macrospermum	2x	18, 36	Authors; Giusti (1970)
C. murale	2x	18	Gangopadhyay et al. (2002)
C. neomexicanum	2x	18	Wang et al. (1993)
C. obscurum	2x	18	Giusti (1970)
C. opulifolium	4x	36	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. pallidicaule	2x	18	Giusti (1970) and Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. papulosum	2x	18	Giusti (1970)
C. pratericola	2x	18	Giusti (1970)
C. quinoa	4x	36	Wang et al. (1993)
C. scabricaule	2x	18	Giusti (1970)
C. strictum	6 <i>x</i>	54	Bhargava et al. (2006)
C. suecicum	2x	18	Uotila (1978)
C. ugandae	4x	36	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. urbicum	2x	18	Kawatani and Ohno (1956)
C. vulvaria	2x	18	Kawatani and Ohno (1956)
C. watsonii	2x	18	Authors

however, large differences between species were revealed (Table 3.5). Among studied species, the 2C nuclear DNA content showed a 7.9-fold variation ranging from 0.63 to 4.95 pg. The highest interspecies differences in 2C DNA content were observed among diploid species. Among analyzed diploids, the smallest genome was possessed by C. aristatum (now Dysphania aristata, 0.63 pg) and the largest by diploid C. album (1.8 pg). Less variation was observed among tetraploid and hexaploid species, with an approximate genome size between 724 and 967 megabase pairs (Stevens et al. 2006; Bhargava et al. 2007; Kolano et al. 2008; Palomino et al. 2008). Palomino et al. (2008) reported no statistically significant genome size differences in C. quinoa and C. berlandieri subsp. nuttalliae accessions. In contrast, hexaploid C. album had clear differences in 2C value between accessions of European origin and those of Indian origin. This may not be surprising, since *C. album* is well known for its tremendous heterogeneity across its worldwide range (Bhargava et al. 2007; Kolano et al. 2008).

3.3.2 Interspecific and Intergeneric Hybridization

There are relatively few published reports of interspecific hybridization in *Chenopodium* that do not involve the *quinoa–berlandieri–hircinum* 4x complex. Wilson (1980) made 17 intersubsectional crosses onto male-sterile quinoa and was only able to recover one sterile hybrid, with the South American diploid *C. petiolare*. He was also able to produce sterile

 Table 3.5
 Genome size and ploidy level of Chenopodium and Dysphania species

Species	Ploidy	2C DNA (pg)	References
C. album	2x	$1.44 - 1.80^{a}$	Bhargava et al. (2007) and Kolano et al. (2008)
C. album	4x	3.26 ± 0.04	Bhargava et al. (2007) and Kolano et al. (2008)
C. album	6 <i>x</i>	$3.85 - 4.95^{a}$	Bhargava et al. (2007) and Kolano et al. (2008)
C. aristatum (D. aristata)	2x	0.625 ± 0.02	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. berlandieri subsp. nuttallie	4x	$2.96 - 3.04^{a}$	Palomino et al. (2008)
C. botrys (D. botrys)	2x	0.875 ± 0.03	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. bushianum	6 <i>x</i>	4.65 ± 0.04	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. feotidum	2x	0.89 ± 0.02	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. ficifolium	2x	1.325 ± 0.01	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. giganteum	6 <i>x</i>	4.39 ± 0.04	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. murale	2x	1.245 ± 0.02	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. opulifolium	4x	2.67 ± 0.03	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. pallidicaule	2x	1.26 ± 0.02	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. quinoa	4x	$2.01 - 3.24^{a}$	Stevens et al. (2006), Bhargava et al. (2007)
			and Palomino et al. (2008)
C. strictum	6 <i>x</i>	4.46 ± 0.03	Bhargava et al. (2007)
C. ugandae	4x	2.86 ± 0.03	Bhargava et al. (2007)

^aAccessions differed in 2C DNA value

hybrids using pollen from the intrasubsectional diploid *C. neomexicanum* and backcross-capable hybrids with 6x C. bushianum. Wilson's (1980) quinoa \times wild *C. berlandieri* and quinoa $\times C$. berlandieri subsp. nuttaliae hybrids were also fertile, though in a previous, and more exhaustive, study *C. quinoa* \times *C. berlandieri* subsp. nuttaliae hybrids had low pollen stainability and were self-sterile (Wilson and Heiser 1979). Interestingly, $4x \times 6x$ crosses involving *C. berlandieri* and *C. bushianum* had substantially higher percent pollen stainability than the quinoa $\times C$. bushianum hybrids: 71–97% versus 1–8% (Wilson 1980).

In a later study, Wilson and Manhart (1993) reported that over 30% of progeny of wild *C. berlandieri* plants free-living around the periphery of highly diverse quinoa introduction fields in eastern Washington State were derived via passive outcrossing from the quinoa plants. Their conclusion was based on the presence of polymorphic quinoa isozyme alleles as well as morphologically intermediate leaves.

3.3.3 Molecular and Genomics Resources

Few molecular studies have been conducted in the chenopods, although increased international interest has prompted more recent studies. Initial molecular studies in quinoa sought to elucidate the genetic relationships between several *Chenopodium* species. Wilson (1988a) used allozyme data to construct a phylogenetic tree of *Chenopodium* species which distinguished coastal and Altiplano ecotypes. The data also supported the hypothesis of the Altiplano being the center of origin for quinoa. Similar studies were conducted using seed protein variation and morphological markers (Wilson 1988b; Fairbanks et al. 1990).

Fairbanks et al. (1993) were the first to use molecular markers in quinoa. They used random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) primers to detect polymorphisms among different quinoa accessions. Bonifacio (1995) also used RAPDs as a means to identify true hybrids from intergeneric crosses. Ruas et al. (1999) used RAPDs to investigate the relationship among 19 *Chenopodium* species, and found that accessions clustered according to their species classifications.

More recent studies have utilized markers other than RAPDs. For example, Mason et al. (2005) developed simple sequence repeat (SSR) or microsatellite markers for use in quinoa by sequencing 1,276 clones from enriched CA, ATT, and ATG repeat DNA libraries. They found that of 397 potential SSRs, 208 were polymorphic on a panel of 31 quinoa accessions. In addition, the markers were tested on three different *Chenopodium* species (*C. pallidicaule*, *C. giganteum*, and *C. berlandieri* ssp. *nuttalliae*). Sixty-seven percent of the SSRs amplified in all species, while 99.5% amplified in *nuttalliae*, illustrating its close relationship with quinoa. Christensen et al. (2007) used 35 of the these SSR markers to assess the level genetic diversity in 152 accessions (representing the USDA and CIP collections) of quinoa and to test four hypotheses regarding quinoa's center of diversity, Highland and Lowland clustering patterns, origin of lowland varieties and the origin of domestication.

In addition to SSRs, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers have been developed in quinoa. Coles et al. (2005) obtained and deposited 424 expressed sequence tags (ESTs) in GenBank. Of these ESTs, 349 were found to have homology to protein-encoding genes from other plants. Fifty-one SNPs were identified from 20 of these ESTs, of which 38 were single-nucleotide changes and 13 were insertion/deletion changes. In addition, 81 more SNPs were obtained when quinoa was compared to *C. berlandieri* ssp. *nuttalliae*.

Maughan et al. (2004) constructed the first genetic linkage map of quinoa. The map was based on 80 F₂ individuals from a cross between Ku-2 (a Chilean lowland type) and 0654 (an Altiplano type) and consisted of 230 amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), 19 SSR, and six RAPD markers. The map spanned 1,020 cm and contained 35 linkage groups, with an average marker interval of 4.0 cm. Using the method of Hulbert, the total genome length was predicted to be 1,700 cm. Jarvis et al. (2008) reported the detection of 216 new SSR markers and a new genetic linkage map was constructed for a recombinant inbred line (RIL) population. The new linkage map included the location of two 11S seed storage protein loci, the nucleolar organizing region (NOR) and 275 molecular markers, including 200 SSR markers. The map consists of 38 linkage groups (LGs) covering 913 cm. Segregation distortion was observed in the mapping population for several marker loci, indicating possible chromosomal regions associated with selection or gametophytic lethality.

Four hundred twenty-four polymorphic quinoa SSR markers were evaluated for polymorphism and used to assess the level of genetic diversity in 47 cañahua (*C. pallidicaule*) accessions (Vargas personal communication). Sixty-three polymorphic microsatellite markers were identified, amplifying a total of 306 alleles. The number of alleles per marker identified ranged from 2 to 15 with an average of 4.86 alleles per marker locus. Phylogenetic analysis of the SSR data clearly identified two major groups of cañahua accessions, although the reason for this clustering remains unclear at this time.

Stevens et al. (2006) reported the development of a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library for quinoa. The library, which was estimated to represent approximately ninefold coverage of the haploid quinoa genome, contains 26,880 clones from BamHI digests and 48,000 clones from EcoRI digests. The BAC library was recently used as a starting point to clone and characterize two homoeologous Salt Overly Sensitive 1 loci (cqSOS1A and cqSOS1B) from quinoa, including full-length cDNA sequences, genomic sequences, relative expression levels, fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH), and a phylogenetic analysis of SOS1 genes from 13 plant taxa (Maughan et al. 2009). The SOS1 gene encodes a plasma membrane Na+/H+ antiporter that plays an important role in germination and growth of plants in saline environments.

Maughan et al. (2006) sequenced the nucleolar organizing region (NOR), intergenic spacers (IGS) and 5S rDNA non-transcribed spacers (NTS) from five quinoa and one *C. berlandieri* accession. IGS sequences revealed length differences due to insertion/deletions (indels), differing numbers of repeat copies, and other rearrangements among the accessions. NTS sequencing revealed two sequence classes, likely representing one locus from each of the genomes in allotetraploid quinoa.

3.4 Potential Economic Value

Although the nutritional and industrial value of quinoa has been highly touted in the scientific and popular literature, relatively little research has been done on the nutritional value, biotic or abiotic stress resistances, or other potentially valuable characteristics of its wild relatives. Much of the research on nutritional quality of wild species originated in India and was focused on *C. album* and other wild species native to Eurasia. Dembitsky et al. (2008) identified a wide range of potentially valuable volatile metabolites and active monoterpenoids in 11 *Chenopodium* species, including quinoa. Guil et al. (1997) reported that *C. album* seeds are an exceedingly rich source of ascorbic acid.

One of the most comprehensive early studies of wild *Chenopodium* nutritional value was by Prakash et al. (1993). They measured the nutritional components – protein, carotenoids, and vitamin C – in the leaves of 11 species or interspecies hybrids: *C. album*,

C. album \times quinoa, C. (Dysphania) ambrosioides, C. amaranticolor (giganteum), C. berlandieri, C. foliosum \times polyspermum, C. murale, C. opulifolium, C. quinoa, C. striatum, and C. foliosum \times polyspermum \times quinoa. Prakash et al. (1993) also measured seed protein, fat, and fatty acid composition in eight of these accessions. They detected quantities of seed protein ranging from 142 g/kg in C. opulifolium to 106 g/kg in C. quinoa and fat content up to 62 g/kg (in C. murale). Pale-seeded accessions had lower seed contents than did black-seeded ones. Leaf ascorbic acid values were as high as 2.4 g/kg fresh weight (in C. berlandieri) and carotenoids ranged from a low of 78 mg/kg (in C. album) up to 190 mg/kg fresh weight (in C. quinoa). However, they also detected up to 5.0 g/kg nitrate (in C. murale) and 39 g/kg oxalates (in C. ambrosioides) in the leaves.

A recent study by Bhargava et al. (2008) quantified ten minerals in leaves of 40 *Chenopodium* accessions comprising seven species (*C. album*, *C. berlandieri* subsp. *nuttaliae*, *C. bushianum*, *C. giganteum*, *C. murale*, *C. quinoa*, and *C. ugandae*). They reported very high potassium (2–8.5 g/100 g dry weight), calcium (generally 1.0–1.2 g/100 g dry weight), iron, and magnesium contents, along with sodium. In addition, they identified genotypes of quinoa that hyper-accumulate heavy metals, a potentially valuable characteristic for bioremediation of heavy metal-contaminated soils.

In addition to these studies, extensive anecdotal evidence supports the enormous potential of just *C*. *berlandieri*, let alone other less common wild species, as potential sources of resistance genes for improving cultivated quinoa. For example, in a field planting of some CIP-FAO quinoa nursery lines at Rexburg, Idaho, in 2003, while the quinoa plants acquired a severe infestation of leaf miners, pitseed goosefoot plants growing between the poorly tended rows showed essentially no damage from the insects. Similar observations have been made in PROINPA fields in Bolivia infested with downy mildew (Bonifacio and Vargas unpublished).

3.5 Conclusions

Considering the potential utilization of wild genetic resources in *Chenopodium*, the two greatest problems have to be addressed and they are (1) the incomplete taxonomic classification of the genus and (2) the meager amount of wild material readily available in germplasm collections. Obviously, the two problems are intricately interrelated. With an ever-increasing toolbox of molecular and genomic resources from quinoa research, the taxonomic classification issue should begin to be resolved, at least for major species that are readily accessible, in the near future. For example, Maughan et al. (2009) and Soliai et al. (2009) reported the identification of locusspecific, orthologous DNA sequence variants for introns 16 and 17 of the SOS1 gene in quinoa, wild C. berlandieri, C. bushianum, and C. hircinum, which are in the process of being matched to sequences from a series of diploid species from Eurasia along with New World species collected since 2004. In addition, Soliai et al. (2009) provided a preliminary report on a phylogenetically informative array of sequenced 5S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene non-transcribed spacer (NTS) clones from over 25 mostly New World species. Molecular markers and sequence information are also revealing their power to ensure that Chenopodium gene banks can devote limited resources to maintain maximally diverse accessions.

References

- Aellen P (1960) Chenopodium. In: Illustrierte flora von Mitteleuropa, 2nd edn, vol 3C. G Hegi, Hanser, Munich, Germany, pp 533–657
- Aellen P, Just T (1943) Key and synopsis of American species of the genus *Chenopodium* L. Am Midl Nat 30:47–76
- Bhargava A, Rana TS, Shukla S, Ohri D (2005) Seed protein electrophoresis of some cultivated and wild species of *Che*nopodium. Biol Plant 49:505–511
- Bhargava A, Shukla S, Ohri D (2006) Karyotypic studies on some cultivated and wild species of *Chenopodium* (Chenopodiaceae). Genet Resour Crop Evol 57:1309–1320
- Bhargava A, Shukla S, Ohri D (2007) Genome size variation in some cultivated and wild species of *Chenopodium* (Chenopodiaceae). Caryologia 60:245–250
- Bhargava A, Shukla S, Srivastava J, Singh N, Ohri D (2008) Genetic diversity for mineral accumulation in the foliage of *Chenopodium* spp. Sci Hortic 118:338–346
- Bonifacio A (1995) Interspecific and intergeneric hybridization in chenopod species. MS Thesis, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA
- Brenan PM, Akeroyd JR (1992) Chenopodia. In: Burges NA, Edmondson JR, Akeroyd JR, Bisby FA, Chater AO, Heywood VH, Jury SL, Moore DM, Walters SM (eds) Flora Europaea, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, London, pp 111–113

- Bruno MC, Whitehead WT (2003) Chenopodium cultivation and formative period agriculture at Chiripa, Bolivia. Lat Am Antiq 14:339–355
- Christensen SA, Pratt DB, Pratt C, Nelson PT, Stevens MR, Jellen EN, Coleman CE, Fairbanks DJ, Bonifacio A, Maughan PJ (2007) Assessment of genetic diversity in the USDA and CIP-FAO international nursery collections of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) using microsatellite markers. Plant Genet Resour 5:82–95
- Clemants SE, Mosyakin SL (2003) Flora of North America. http://www.efloras.org
- Coles ND, Coleman CE, Christensen SA, Jellen EN, Stevens MR, Bonifacio A, Rojas-Beltran JA, Fairbanks DJ, Maughan PJ (2005) Development and use of an expressed sequenced tag library in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) for the discovery of single nucleotide polymorphisms. Plant Sci 168:439–447
- Crawford DJ (1977) A study of morphological variability in *Chenopodium incanum* (Chenopodiaceae) and the recognition of two new varieties. Brittonia 29:291–296
- Danielsen S, Jacobsen S, Echegaray E, Ames T (2000) Impact of downy mildew on the yield of quinoa. In: Scientist and farmer. Partners in research for the 21st century. CIP program report 1999–2000. International Potato Center (CIP), Lima, pp 397–401
- Dembitsky V, Shkrob I, Hanus LO (2008) Ascaridole and related peroxides from the genus *Chenopodium*. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub 152:209–215
- Dillehay TD, Rossen J, Andres TC, Williams DE (2007) Preceramic adoption of peanut, squash, and cotton in northern Peru. Science 316:1890–1893
- Fairbanks DJ, Burgener KW, Robison LR, Andersen WR, Ballón E (1990) Electrophoretic characterization of quinoa seed proteins. Plant Breed 104:190–195
- Fairbanks DJ, Waldrigues DF, Ruas CF, Ruas RM, Maughan PJ, Robison LR, Andersen WR, Riede CR, Panley CS, Caetano LG, Arantes OMN, Fungaro MH, Vidotto MC, Jankevicius SE (1993) Efficient characterization of biological diversity using field DNA extraction and RAPD markers. Braz J Genet 16:11–33

Flora of Missouri. http://www.efloras.org

- Fritz G (1984) Identification of cultigens amaranth and chenopod from rockshelter sites in northwest Arkansas. Am Antiq 49:558–572
- Fuentes FF, Martinez EA, Hinrichsen PV, Jellen EN, Maughan PJ (2009) Assessment of genetic diversity patterns in Chilean quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) germplasm using multiplex fluorescent microsatellite markers. Conserv Genet 10:369–377
- Galwey NW (1995) Quinoa and relatives. In: Smart J, Simmonds NW (eds) Evolution of crop plants. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, pp 41–46
- Gangopadhyay G, Das S, Mukherjee KK (2002) Speciation in *Chenopodium* in West Bengal, India. Genet Resour Crop Evol 49:503–510
- Giusti L (1970) El genero Chenopodium en Argentina. Darwiniana 16:98–105
- Guil JL, Rodriguez-Garci I, Torija E (1997) Nutritional and toxic factors in selected wild edible plants. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 51:99–107

- Heiser CB, Nelson DC (1974) On the origin of the cultivated chenopods (*Chenopodium*). Genetics 78:503–505
- Hock SM, Knezevic SZ, Petersen CL, Eastin J, Martin AR (2006) Germination techniques for common lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*) and Pennsylvania smartweed (*Poly-gonum pensylvanicum*). Weed Technol 20:530–534
- Jarvis DE, Kopp OR, Jellen EN, Mallory MA, Pattee J, Bonifacio A, Coleman CE, Stevens MR, Fairbanks DJ, Maughan PJ (2008) Simple sequence repeat marker development and genetic mapping in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.). J Genet 87:39–51
- Joshi V, Gautam PL, Mal B, Sharma GD, Kochhar S (2002) Conservation and use of underutilized crops: an Indian perspective. In: Engels JMM, Rao VR, Brown AHD, Jackson MT (eds) Managing plant genetic diversity. IPGRI, Rome, pp 359–369
- Kawatani T, Ohno T (1956) Chromosome numbers of genus Chenopodium, II. Jpn J Genet 31:15–17
- Kolano B, Pando LG, Maluszynska J (2001) Molecular cytogenetic studies in *Chenopodium quinoa* and *Amaranthus caudatus*. Acta Soc Bot Pol 70:85–90
- Kolano B, Siwińska D, Maluszynska J (2006) Molecular cytogenetic analysis of genome structure in *Chenopodium album* complex. Variabil Evol 72:507–517
- Kolano B, Siwińska D, Maluszynska J (2008) Comparative cytogenetic analysis of diploid and hexaploid *Chenopodium album* Agg. Acta Soc Bot Pol 77:293–298
- Lentini F, Venza F (2007) Wild food plants of popular use in Sicily. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed 3:15
- Lescano JL (1994) Mejoramiento y fisiologia de cultivos andinos. Cultivos andinos en el Peru. Consejo Nacional Científico y Tecnico (CONCYTEC), Proyecto FEAS, p 231
- Łuczaj Ł, Szymański W (2007) Wild vascular plants gathered for consumption in the Polish countryside: a review. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed 3:17
- Marticorena A (2010) Clave para la identificación de las especies de *Chenopodium* en Chile. Revist Chlor Chils. http:// www.chlorischile.cl
- Mason SL, Stevens MR, Jellen EN, Bonifacio A, Fairbanks DJ, Coleman CE, McCarty RR, Rasmussen AG, Maughan PJ (2005) Development and use of microsatellite markers for germplasm characterization in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.). Crop Sci 45:1618–1630
- Maughan PJ, Bonifacio A, Jellen EN, Stevens MR, Coleman CE, Ricks M, Mason SL, Jarvis DE, Gardunia BW, Fairbanks DJ (2004) A genetic linkage map of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) based on AFLP, RAPD, and SSR markers. Theor Appl Genet 109:1188–1195
- Maughan PJ, Kolano BA, Maluszynska J, Coles ND, Bonifacio A, Rojas J, Coleman CE, Stevens MR, Fairbanks DJ, Parkinson SE, Jellen EN (2006) Molecular and cytological characterization of ribosomal DNAs in *Chenopodium quinoa* and *Chenopodium berlandieri*. Genome 49:825–839
- Maughan PJ, Turner TB, Coleman CE, Elzinga DB, Jellen EN, Morales JA, Udall JA, Fairbanks DJ, Bonifacio A (2009) Characterization of Salt Overly Sensitive (SOS1) gene homoeologs in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd). Genome 52(7):647–657
- McConnell K (1998) The prehistoric use of Chenopodiaceae in Australia: evidence from Carpenter's Gap shelter 1 in the Kimberley, Australia. Veg Hist Archaeobot 8:179–188

- McElhinny E, Peralta E, Mazon N, Danial DL, Thiele G, Lindhout P (2007) Aspects of participatory plant breeding for quinoa improvement in marginal areas of Ecuador. Euphytica 153:373–384
- Meusel H, Jager E, Rauschert S, Weintert E (1978) Vergleichende Chorologie der Zentraleuropaischen Flora. Gustav Fischer, Jena, Germany
- Mosyakin SL, Clemants SE (1996) New infrageneric taxa and combinations in *Chenopodium* L. (Chenopodiaceae). Novon 6:398–403
- Mosyakin SL, Clemants SE (2002) New nomenclatural combinations in *Dysphania* R. Br. (Chenopodiaceae): taxa occurring in North America. Ukr Bot Z 59:380–385
- Mosyakin SL, Clemants SE (2008) Further transfers of glandularpubescent species from *Chenopodium* subg. Ambrosia to Dysphania (Chenopodiaceae). J Bot Res Inst Tex 2:425–431
- Mujica A, Jacobsen S-E (2002) Genetic resources and breeding of the Andean grain crop quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.). PGR Newsl 130:54–61
- Mujica A, Jacobsen S-E (2006) La quinua (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) y sus parientes silvestres. In: Morales R, Ollgaard B, Kvist LP, Borchsenius F, Balsev H (eds) Botanica Economica de los Andes Centrales. Univ Mayor de San Andres, La Paz, Bolivia, pp 449–457
- Mulgura ME (1999) Chenopodiaceae L. In: Zuloaga FO, Morrone O (eds) Catalogo de las Plantas Vasculares de la Argentina, Pt II. Missouri Botanical Garden, St Louis, MO, pp 533–540
- New Zealand Plant Conservation Network (2005) http://nzpcn. org.nz
- Ortiz R, Ruiz-Tapia EN, Mujica-Sanchez A (1998) Sampling strategy for a core collection of Peruvian quinoa germplasm. Theor Appl Genet 96:475–483
- Ortiz R, Madsen S, Ruiz-Tapia EN, Jacobsen S-E, Mujica-Sanchez A, Christiansen JL, Stolen O (1999) Validating a core collection of Peruvian quinoa germplasm. Genet Resour Crop Evol 46:285–290
- Palomino G, Trejo Hernandez L, de la Cruz TE (2008) Nuclear genome size and chromosome analysis in *Chenopodium quinoa* and *C. berlandieri* subsp. *nuttaliae*. Euphytica 164:221–230
- Partap T, Kapoor P (1985) The Himalyan grain chenopods. I. Distribution and ethnobotany. Agric Ecosyst Environ 14:185–199
- Partap T, Kapoor P (1987) The Himalayan grain chenopods. III. An underexploited food plant with promising potential. Agric Ecosyst Environ 19:71–79
- Partap T, Joshi BD, Galwey NW (1998) Chenopods. Chenopodium spp. Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops, vol 22. IPGCPR/IPGRI, Gatersleben/Rome
- Perez-Agis E, de la Cruz TE, Mapes C, Andrade Garcia JM (2005) Las comunidades campesinas: un importante reservorio de recursos para la humanidad. LEISA Revista de Agroecologia 20:20–23
- PlantNet: New South Wales Flora Online (1999–2009) http:// plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au
- Prakash D, Nath P, Pal M (1993) Composition, variation of nutritional contents in leaves, seed protein, fat and fatty acid profile of *Chenopodium* species. J Sci Food Agric 62:203–205

- Rahiminejad MR, Ghaemmaghami L (2005) Chenopodium chaldoranicum (Chenopodiaceae), a new species from Iran. Ann Bot Fenn 42:469–471
- Rahiminejad MR, Gornall RJ (2004) Flavonoid evidence for allopolyploidy in the *Chenopodium album* aggregate (Amaranthaceae). Plant Syst Evol 246:77–87
- Reiche KF (1894–1911) Estudios criticos sobre la flora de Chile, pp 148–159. http://www.efloras.org
- Risi JC, Galwey NW (1984) The *Chenopodium* grains of the Andes: Inca crops for modern agriculture. Adv Appl Bot 10:145–216
- Risi JC, Galwey NW (1989) The pattern of genetic diversity in the Andean grain crop quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.). I. Associations between characteristics. Euphytica 41:147–162
- Rojas W (2003) Multivariate analysis of genetic diversity of Bolivian quinoa germplasm. Food Rev Int 19:9–23
- Ruas PM, Bonifacio A, Ruas CF, Fairbanks D, Anderson WR (1999) Genetic relationship among 19 accessions of six species of *Chenopodium* L. by random amplified polymorphic DNA fragments (RAPD). Euphytica 105:25–32
- Safford WE (1917) *Chenopodium nuttaliae*, a food plant of the Aztecs. J Wash Acad Sci 8:521–527
- Shepherd KA, Wilson PG (2008) New combinations in the genus *Dysphania* (Chenopodiaceae). Nuytsia 18:267–272
- Smith BG (1987) The independent domestication of indigenous seed-bearing plants in eastern North America. In: Keegan WF (ed) Emergent horticultural economies of the eastern woodlands. Southern Illinois University of Carbondale, Center for Archaeological Investigations, Carbondale, IL, pp 3–47
- Smith BG, Funk VA (1985) A newly described subfossil cultivar of *Chenopodium* (Chenopodiaceae). Phytologia 57:445–448
- Smith BD, Yarnell RA (2009) Initial formation of an indigenous crop complex in eastern North America at 3800 B.P. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:6561–6566
- Soliai MM, Maughan PJ, Espinoza-Herrera PA, Fuentes F, King B, Petty BA, Rainey J, Adhikary D, Leggett A, Coleman CE, Stevens MR, Udall JA, Jellen EN (2009) Genome relationships in New World *Chenopodium* species: II. Evidence from DNA sequencing. In: Plant and animal genome XVII conference, San Diego, CA, USA
- Stace CA (1997) Interactive flora of NW Europe. van der Meijden R, de Kort I (eds) http://Nlbif.eti.uva.nl
- Stevens MR, Coleman CE, Parkinson SE, Maughan PJ, Zhang H-B, Balzotti MR, Kooyman DL, Arumuganathan K, Bonifacio A, Fairbanks DJ, Jellen EN, Stevens JJ (2006) Construction of a quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) BAC library and its use in identifying genes encoding seed storage proteins. Theor Appl Genet 112:1593–1600
- Tanaka R, Tanaka A (1980) Karyomorphological studies on halophytic plants. I. Some taxa of *Chenopodium*. Cytologia 45:257–269
- Tapia ME (1979) Historia y distribución geográfica. In: Tapia ME (ed) Quinua y kañiwa. Cultivos Andinos. Serie Libros y Materiales Educativos No. 49. Instituto Interamericano de Ciencias Agricolas, Bogota, Colombia, pp 11–15
- Tapia ME (2000) Mountain agrobiodiversity in Peru. Seed fairs, seed banks, and mountain-to-mountain exchange. Mt Res Dev 20:220–225

3 Chenopodium

- Uotila P (1978) Variation, distribution and taxonomy of *Chenopodium suecicum* and *C. album* in N. Europe. Acta Bot Fenn 108:1–35
- Uotila P (1981) *Chenopodium exsuccum* and its affinities. Ann Jard Bot Madr 37:463–466
- Uotila P (1993) Taxonomic and nomenclatural notes on *Chenopodium* in the Flora Iranica area. Ann Bot Fenn 30:189–194
- Wang S, Tsuchiya T, Wilson HD (1993) Chromosome studies in several species of *Chenopodium* from North and South America. J Genet Breed 47:163–170
- Wilson HD (1980) Artificial hybridization among species of *Chenopodium* sect. Chenopodium. Syst Bot 5:253–263
- Wilson HD (1981a) Domesticated *Chenopodium* of the Ozark Bluff Dwellers. Econ Bot 35:233–239
- Wilson HD (1981b) Genetic variation among South American populations of tetraploid *Chenopodium* sect. *Chenopodium* subsect. Cellulata. Syst Bot 6:380–398

- Wilson HD (1988a) Allozyme variation and morphological relationships of *Chenopodium hircinum* (s.l.). Syst Bot 13:215–228
- Wilson HD (1988b) Quinoa biosystematics I: domesticated populations. Econ Bot 42:461–477
- Wilson HD (1988c) Quinoa biosystematics II: free living populations. Econ Bot 42:478–494
- Wilson HD (1990) Quinoa and relatives (Chenopodium sect. Chenopodium subsect. Cellulata). Econ Bot 44(suppl): 92–110
- Wilson HD, Heiser CB (1979) The origin and evolutionary relationships of 'Huauzontle' (*Chenopodium nuttaliae* Safford), domesticated chenopod of Mexico. Am J Bot 66: 198–206
- Wilson H, Manhart J (1993) Crop/weed gene flow: Chenopodium quinoa Willd. and C. berlandieri Moq. Theor Appl Genet 86:642–648

Chapter 4 Cicer

Nalini Mallikarjuna, Clarice Coyne, Seungho Cho, Sheri Rynearson, P.N. Rajesh, Deepak R. Jadhav, and Fred J. Muehlbauer

4.1 Introduction

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is one of the earliest grain crops cultivated by man and has been found in Middle Eastern archeological sites dated at 7500-6800 BC (Zohary and Hopf 2000). Chickpea is grown in about 50 countries with an estimated 95% of the cultivated area in the developing countries. Chickpea production is particularly important in the countries of South Asia and accounts for about 71% of global area devoted to the crop. Chickpea can fix up to 140 kg nitrogen ha^{-1} and meet up to 80% of its nitrogen requirement from symbiotic nitrogen fixation (Saraf et al. 1998). Substantial amounts of nitrogen remain in the soil following the chickpea crop, which is beneficial to subsequent crops. Chickpea crop residues add much needed organic matter for the maintenance of soil health, long-term fertility, and sustainability of the ecosystems. Chickpea is an important source of protein for millions of people in developing countries. Chickpea has the highest nutritional compositions of any dry edible grain legume and does not contain any anti-nutritional factors. In addition to having high protein content (20-22%), chickpea is rich in fiber and minerals (phosphorus, calcium, magnesium, iron, and zinc), and its lipid fraction is high in unsaturated fatty acids (Williams and Singh 1987). Chickpea contains higher amounts of carotenoids such as β -carotene than genetically engineered "golden rice" (Abbo et al. 2005).

4.2 Origin and Taxonomy

Chickpea is a Neolithic crop that had its origin in the fertile crescent some 10,000 years ago (Lev-Yadun et al. 2000; Zohary and Hopf 2000). Evidence suggests the region of southeastern Turkey and adjoining Syria (van der Maesen1987) as the center of origin. The progenitor species of chickpea, *Cicer reticulatum*, grows there even today. Further evidence of its origin comes from seeds that date back to 5450 BC that have been unearthed from excavations at Hac near Burdur in Turkey (Helbaek 1970). From Turkey, chickpea diverged in two directions: one into the Western Province, where it is grown in spring and summer, and the other into the eastern and southern parts of the region, where it is grown in the cool dry season.

Various studies have shown that chickpea rests on a narrow genetic base (Millan et al. 2006) and this is the reason for the crop being susceptible to a range of diseases and pests. According to Abbo et al. (2003), a series of four evolutionary bottlenecks are responsible for the narrow genetic base of the crop. Unlike pea, barley, lentil, and wheat, the progenitor species of chickpea, C. reticulatum (Ladizinsky and Adler 1976), is narrowly distributed in southeastern Turkey and harbors limited adaptive variation compared to those of wheat or barley (Berger et al. 2003). Based on both genetic and archeological evidence (Zohary 1999; Lev-Yadun et al. 2000), the limited founding species is called the domestic bottleneck. Chickpea is considered to be the victim of a series of bottlenecks. The crop underwent a bottleneck when it was shifted from being an autumn to a spring-sown crop, probably to avoid Ascochyta blight that is less severe in springsown crops. Spring-sown chickpea had to be vernalization insensitive, thus further narrowing the genetic

N. Mallikarjuna (🖂)

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India e-mail: N.Mallikarjuna@cgiar.org

cropping fureplacement of modern breed-

base. Selection to suit post-rainy season, cropping further reduced the genetic diversity. Replacement of landraces by elite cultivars produced by modern breeding caused yet another bottleneck. With the change in climatic conditions and the evolution of several pathogens, selection will be rigorous for chickpea germplasm to withstand abiotic and biotic stresses. This process of selection will further narrow the genetic base and contribute an additional bottle neck to the chickpea crop.

4.3 Gene Pools of Chickpea

Chickpea is endowed with rich germplasm in the form of wild species. The genus Cicer is classified into three gene pools based on its crossability with cultigen. Based on their crossability with cultivated species, wild species, both annual and perennial, have been grouped. Using the classification proposed by Harlan and de Wet (1971), a modification of the classification is proposed. Although the modification does not deviate much from the previously proposed gene pools for chickpea, the secondary gene pool is strengthened by the placement of C. reticulatum. The proposed classification is similar to the recent classification proposed by van der Maesen et al. (2007). The primary gene pool consists of cultivated species and landraces. The secondary gene pool consists of the progenitor species, C. reticulatum and C. echinospermum, a species that is crossable with C. arietinum but with reduced fertility of the resulting hybrids and progenies; nevertheless, both are cross-compatible with the cultigen and do not need in vitro interventions to produce hybrids. The tertiary gene pool consists of all the annual and perennial Cicer that are not crossable with cultivated C. arietinum. All the perennial Cicer species are considered to be in the tertiary gene pool as none of the species of this group are known to cross readily with the cultivated species and produce mature seeds (Mallikarjuna and Muehlbauer unpublished results). In general, the transfer of desirable traits from wild species may accompany tightly linked undesirable genes/traits commonly referred to as "linkage drag." Utilization of molecular markers can be used effectively for transfer of genes of interest from wild to cultivated species.

Cultivated chickpea has vast collections of landraces and improved cultivars that are maintained at the international centers, ICRISAT and ICARDA, and at numerous national gene repositories including USDA, USA. ICRISAT maintains 17,258 accessions (135 wild, 17,123 cultivated). Although a large number of cultivated accessions are conserved in the gene bank, there is fatigue in the utilization of the germplasm, as the sheer numbers are intimidating. ICRISAT chickpea breeders used just 83 germplasm lines, in contrast to their use of 480 breeding lines for the development of 3,430 advanced varieties (ICCV numbers) during the same period (1978-2004) (Upadhyaya et al. 2008). India has released 126 cultivars between 1967 and 2003. Pedigree analysis of 86 cultivars developed from crosses has revealed that although 95 progenitors were involved, just ten of these contributed 35% of the genetic base (Shivkumar et al. 2004). The five most frequently used ancestors were Pb7, IP 58, F 8, Rabat, and S 26. Furthermore, about 41% of the cultivars developed through crossing have Pb7 as an ancestor. This suggests that many cultivars share a narrow genetic base. Further, a global composite collection was developed that comprised 3,000 accessions. The composite collection is made up of 80% landraces, 9% advanced breeding lines, 2% cultivars, 1% wild species, and 8% whose precise status is unknown (Upadhyaya et al. 2008). It is envisaged that such collections would enhance the utilization of germplasm in improvement of chickpea. This is an ideal set of germplasm for allele mining, association genetics, mapping and cloning gene(s), and applied breeding. Nevertheless, due to narrow ancestry and numerous bottlenecks, chickpea has limited genetic variation in the primary gene pool. In spite of the above-mentioned constraints, extensive international breeding efforts have led to the development of over 300 improved varieties (Gowda and Gaur 2004). Potential yield of chickpea is estimated to be 5.0 ton ha^{-1} , but the average yield is around 0.8 ton ha⁻¹ as various biotic and abiotic stresses reduce yield. Drought is a serious abiotic stress, which has led to the development of cultivars that can escape terminal drought through early maturity. ICRISAT has invested in the development of short-duration cultivars such as ICCV 2, ICCV 3, and KAK 2.

Chickpea is highly self-pollinating with an outcrossing rate of less than 1%. Two main types of chickpea cultivars are grown globally, representing two diverse subgene pools: Kabuli and Desi. The Kabuli types are generally grown in the Mediterranean region, southern Europe, western Asia, and northern Africa and the Desi types are grown mainly in Ethiopia and the Indian subcontinent.

4.3.2 Secondary Gene Pool

C. reticulatum and C. echinospermum are the two wild species in the secondary gene pool. C. reticulatum crosses with C. arietinum, resulting in completely fertile hybrids and progenies. C. reticulatum is considered as the wild progenitor of C. arietinum based on morphological and cytological similarities as well as crossability (Ladizinsky and Adler 1976), molecular analysis, and their cohabitation at the center of origin of the crop (Zohary and Hopf 1988). Many accessions of C. reticulatum have been identified with useful genetic variation and there are various reports (see below) on its utilization to introgress useful traits into the cultivated species (Ascochyta blight resistance: Collard et al. 2003; botrytis gray mold resistance: Mallikarjuna unpublished data; Helicoverpa armigera resistance: Mallikarjuna et al. 2007a; nematode resistance: Malhotra et al. 2002; high yield: Singh and Ocampo 1997). Hence, C. reticulatum represents a potential source of genes for broadening the genetic base of cultivated chickpea.

Another potential source of increased genetic variation is *C. echinospermum*. Natural hybrids between chickpea and *C. reticulatum* and between *C. echinospermum* and *C. reticulatum* have been reported by ICARDA (Irula et al. 2002), which strongly suggests compatibility among these three species and the reason for compatible pollinations. Mature seed set is observed, when these species are crossed with each other. Although *C. echinospermum* readily crosses with cultivated chickpea, cytological studies have shown meiotic abnormalities leading to certain degrees of pollen sterility (Pundir and Mengesha 1995). Molecular studies have shown that *C. echinospermum* is not as closely related to cultivated chickpea as *C. reticulatum* (Choumane et al. 2000).

Ladizinsky and Adler (1976) reported that C. reticulatum and C. echinospermum differed from each other by a major reciprocal translocation, and their hybrid was completely sterile. They also stated that C. echinospermum also differed from the cultigen by the same translocation and their hybrids were also sterile. However, with some accessions of C. echinospermum, it was possible to obtain completely fertile selections (Singh and Ocampo 1997). Collard et al. (2003) used an accession of C. echinopsermum (PI 527930) and developed an interspecific population and identified quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with seedling resistance to Ascochyta blight. The same accession of C. echinospermum crossed with a different cultivar of chickpea set mature seeds, but the F_1 hybrid did not have fertile pollen grains. Meiosis was normal until the tetrad stage after which, instead of tetrads, polyads were observed. It was not possible to obtain F₂ seeds, but backcrossing the F₁ hybrid with female parent, chickpea cultivar KAK 2 gave rise to mature seeds (Mallikarjuna unpublished results). This shows that genotype of the female parent is important while attempting crosses with wild Cicer species and that crosses with C. echinospermum often have disturbed meiosis (Pundir and Mengesha 1995). The rationale of placing both the species in the secondary gene pool is their crossability with the cultigen.

4.3.3 Tertiary Gene Pool Species

Annual Cicer: Many of the species in this group harbor important traits/genes necessary for the improvement of chickpea, such as *H. armigera* resistance in *C. judaicum*, C. pinnatifidum, and C. bijugum (Sharma et al. 2005a); Ascochyta blight resistance in C. judaicum, C. bijugum, and C. pinnatifidum (Pande et al. 2006); botrytis gray mold resistance in C. judaicum (Pande et al. 2006), and drought resistance in C. pinnatifidum (Bhattarai and Fettig 2005). Various studies have shown that wild species in the tertiary gene pool are distantly related to cultivated chickpea; however, crossing techniques are being improvized for making wide crosses in chickpea using tertiary gene pool species (Mallikarjuna 1999; Mallikarjuna et al. 2007c; Mallikarjuna and Jadhav 2008). Many of the incompatible *Cicer* species in the tertiary gene pool that do not cross easily with cultivated chickpea have been used in crosses followed by hormone applications, embryo rescue, and attempts to root hybrid shoots/plants in vitro. Although hybrid shoots/plants have been obtained, hybrids have proven to be fragile and have not withstood transfer to glasshouse/field and hence are not yet available for chickpea improvement.

4.3.3.1 Barriers to Interspecific Crosses

It is now known that the barriers to hybridization are post-zygotic (Ahmad et al. 1988; Mallikarjuna 1999) meaning that pollinations take place but the zygote, which is a few celled, begins to abort by 3–5 days after pollination. Badami et al. (1997) were able to postpone the abscission of pollinated pistils to 15–18 days by the application of growth regulators. This facilitated the growth of the hybrid embryo to early cotyledonary stage of development and being 0.5–1.0 mm in size (Mallikarjuna 1999).

Embryos of size 0.5 mm or less did not grow directly on culture medium while 0.3-0.4 mm size embryos responded well to specific growth hormones when cultured as in-ovulo embryo culture. Embryo response was maximum when Zeatin was used in combination with indole acetic acid in in-ovulo embryo culture medium. Hybrid embryos emerged out of the ovules after 3-4 weeks of culture (Mallikarjuna 1999). Similar response was not obtained when zeatin was replaced with other cytokinins, which reduced the number of responding embryos (Mallikarjuna unpublished). In peanut ovule culture, emergence of the developing embryo is observed as seen in chickpea (Mallikarjuna and Sastri 1985), but in pigeonpea ovule culture, the developing embryo never emerges out of the ovule; hence, in such cases, it is important to dissect the developing embryo out of the ovule.

The best time to save the aborting seeds/ovules was when the hybrid embryo had reached its maximum growth and development, being at the cotyledonary stage of development, which was 15–18 days after pollination. If left longer on the plant, the pods turn yellow, indicating abortion of the hybrid seed. It was possible to save aborting hybrid embryos from the cross *C. arietinum* × *C. pinnatifidum* by in-ovulo embryo culture. Some of the hybrid shoots were pale yellow in color and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies showed that the chloroplasts were abnormal. Use of a cytokinin in culture medium in combination with light helped the conversion of leucoplastids to chloroplasts (Badami et al. 1997). Overall, the hybrids between *C. arietinum* and *C. pinnatifidum* were fragile with the leaves resembling those of *C. pinnatifidum*. The color of the flower was pale violet resembling the violet color of the male parent and the pollen was 100% non-viable (Mallikarjuna 1999).

In an attempt to check if C. reticulatum and C. echinospermum could be considered bridge species, as a means of transferring of genes from species of the tertiary gene pool to the cultigen, crosses were carried out between C. reticulatum and C. pinnatifidum and between C. echinopsermum and C. pinnatifidum. In both the crosses, embryos from crossings aborted 15-20 days after pollination. Rescuing hybrid embryos in vitro gave rise to albino plants (Mallikarjuna and Jadhav 2008). Although mature seeds were not obtained in the cross between C. reticulatum and C. bijugum, hybrid shoots were green (Mallikarjuna et al. 2007a). It is suggested that a larger number of C. reticulatum accessions should be used to identify compatible combinations to obtain viable and green hybrid plants between C. reticulatum/C. echinospermum and C. pinnatifidum.

Crosses between incompatible annual Cicer species as the female parent (C. pinnatifidum and C. bijugum) and cultivated chickpea as the pollen donor produced mature seeds consistently. These presumed hybrid seeds germinated well and all the plants resembled the female parent. Molecular profiling and high pollen fertility of the presumed hybrids indicated that the plants were identical to the female parent. In the crosses with cultivated chickpea and C. cuneatum, a similar situation was observed (Gaur personal communication). Although further investigations are necessary, the results may indicate apomictic seed production. This type of seed production is most prevalent when C. pinnatifidum or C. bijugum were used in crosses with cultivated chickpea as the pollen donor (Mallikarjuna 2003).

Development of haploid plants from chickpea anther culture and microspore culture is now possible (Grewal et al. 2009). Mallikarjuna et al. (2005) demonstrated that multicellular microspores can also be obtained through wide cross between chickpea and *C. pinnatifidum*. The hybrid gave rise to multicellular microspores in large numbers with divisions in all the microspores in some plants. Culturing such microspores may give rise to haploid plants that could be further utilized, through chromosome doubling, for gene transfer to *C. arietinum*.

4.3.3.2 Perennial Cicer

There are 34 perennial wild Cicer species, which require very specific soil and environmental conditions for growth and reproduction. Traits of interest such as resistance to Ascochyta blight (Muehlbauer et al. 1994), H. armigera (Sharma et al. 2006), Fusarium wilt (Kaiser et al. 1994), and drought resistance (Toker et al. 2007) are present in this gene pool. Perennial Cicer species survived the severe frost conditions and resumed their vegetative growth with the onset of summer in the USDA-ARS nursery located at the Washington State University, Pullman, USA. All the perennial *Cicer* species have larger plant morphology compared to the annual Cicer species, with robust vegetative growth (Fig. 4.1a, b). The flowers are larger and produce multi-seeded fruits/pods (Fig. 4.1c, d). Some of the perennial Cicer species have morphological modifications, such as spines and tendrils. Some of the characters that chickpea would benefit from perennial Cicer are large and robust vegetative growth, large pods with multiple seeds (Fig. 4.1c, d), drought and cold tolerance, Ascochyta blight, and insect resistance.

Application of growth regulators was mandatory to obtain pod set in the crosses involving perennial *Cicer* (Table 4.1). Pollinations were growth regulator (gibberellic acid 75 mg/L + naphthalene acetic acid 10 mg/L + kinetine 10 mg/L)-aided. Without the application of growth regulators, immature pods aborted by 6 days after pollination. Growth regulators were able to retain the pods from cross pollinations for 15 days or more. Pollinated pistils were observed under a microscope for pollen response. Pollen grains germinated on the stigma (Fig. 4.1e) post-fertilization changes observed and were (Fig. 4.1g, h).

Microscopic techniques were used to determine the barriers to successful hybridization between *C. arieti-num* and *C. anatolicum*. Fluorescence microscopy of pollen tube growth and development in these hybrid crosses showed that pollen was able to germinate, and the resulting pollen tubes penetrated the stigma, style, ovary, and ovule tissues. Traditional light microscopy was used to examine *C. arietinum* and *C. anatolicum* hybrid embryo and endosperm development. When

C. arietinum (cultivar Myles) and C. anatolicum (PI 561078) were self-fertilized resulting in the formation of a zygote and endosperm nucleus (Figs. 4.2 and 4.3, respectively). The zygote developed into an embryo that passed through the globular and heart stages and developed distinct cotyledons by 10 days after pollination. The endosperm nucleus gave rise to the rapidly dividing endosperm tissue. In C. arietinum \times C. anatolicum hybrids, embryo and endosperm growth was arrested after several cell divisions around 4-5 days after pollination and the embryo and endosperm subsequently broke down 6-8 days after pollination (Fig. 4.4). Because the endosperm was non-viable, nutrients available for its development were instead used by the nucellus, which became overgrown. In C. anatolicum \times C. arietinum hybrids, fertilization occurred, but the resulting zygote and endosperm nucleus failed to begin cell division to produce an embryo and endosperm (Fig. 4.5). The post-zygotic failure of this cross is most likely due to a lack of cooperation between the diverse genomes or slow growth of the pollen tube to deliver the gametes before the abscission of the flower, to form and maintain a viable embryo and endosperm.

Crosses were carried out between chickpea cultivar Myles and perennial Cicer species C. oxyodon, C. songaricum, and C. microphyllum. Pod set was observed in all the three cross combinations (Fig. 4.1g, h); many of the pods had immature seeds, but none of them matured. Pod set between C. reticulatum and C. oxyodon was 15%. In other cross combinations using C. anatolicum, C. nuristanicum, C. multijugum, and C. microphyllum, pods were observed, but if seeds developed, they were immature and non-viable (Fig. 4.1k). Crosses with C. echinospermum and C. oxyodon yielded 1% pod set and crosses with C. songaricum, C. microphyllum, C. microcanthum, C. nuristanicum, and C. multijugum did not set any pods. Crosses between C. pinnatifudum and C. oxyodon had 10% pod set, and there was no pod set when crosses were made with C. microcanthum. Pod set was not observed when C. judaicum was crossed with C. nuristanicum, C. macrocanthum, and C. oxyodon. Crosses with C. bijugum and C. oxyodon yielded 15% pod set, and 30% pod set when crossed with C. anatolicum. It was observed that C. bijugum was a better female parent with respect to pod set when crossed with perennial *Cicer* species (Table 4.1). But this may not be significant as C. bijugum cannot be used as bridge species between



Fig. 4.1 Interspecific hybridization using perennial *Cicer* species. (a) *Cicer multijugum* plant. (b) Annual *Cicer*. (c) *Cicer microphyllum* pod with multiple seeds. (d) *C. oxyodon* pod. (e) *C. oxyodon* pollinated with *C. reticulatum* pollen. (f) *C. multijugum* with *C. oxyodon* pollen. (g) Cultivated chickpea with *C.*

songaricum pollen. (h) Cultivated chickpea with *C. oxyodon* pollen. (i) *C. reticulatum* with *C. microphyllum* pollen. (j) Perennial *Cicer* with *C. reticulatum* pollen. (k) Immature seed from the cross *C. bijugum* \times *C. oxyodon*

cultivated chickpea and perennial *Cicer* species as it does not set mature seeds when crossed with cultivated chickpea. Reciprocal crosses using *C. oxyodon* as the female parent and *C. reticulatum* as the pollen donor set a large number of pods (24%; Fig. 4.1j). *C. nuristanicum* had 9% pod set when crossed with *C. reticulatum*. It was possible to retain pods from crossing with perennial *Cicer* species for 15–17 days by application of growth regulators, thereby encouraging the growth of hybrid

seeds. But it was not possible to rescue hybrid embryos by culturing them in vitro (Fig. 4.1k).

Hybrid seed size was not more than 2.5–3.0 mm, except for the immature seed from the cross *C. biju*gum \times *C. oxyodon* (Fig. 4.1k). Such seeds were collected for in-ovulo embryo culture. Immature seeds showed initial swelling, but no further response even after 60 days of culture. Ovule culture techniques were similar to that used for incompatible crosses involving annual *Cicer* species (Mallikarjuna 1999). Ovules remained green in culture and enlarged. None of the embryos grew to form a seedling.

4.4 International Transfer of Germplasm

Thus far none of the perennial *Cicer* species have been grown successfully in tropical or subtropical environments where the annual *Cicer* species grow. This is a major impediment for the growth and utilization of perennial species. If the pollen of the perennial *Cicer* species can be preserved for utilization in the regions of the world where these species do not grow, the bottleneck in the utilization of these species to develop

Table 4.1 Pod set in the crosses between annual and perennial

 Cicer species^a

Female parent	Male parent	Pod set (%
Chickpea cv Myles	C. oxyodon	18.0
Annual Cicer	Perennial Cicer	
C. reticulatum	C. oxyodon	15.00
C. echinospermum	C. oxyodon	1.00
C. pinnatifidum	C. oxyodon	10.00
C. bijugum	C. oxyodon	15.00
C. bijugum	C. anatolicum	30.00
C. bijugum	C. nuristanicum	20.00
C. bijugum	C. Microphyllum	5.00
Perennial Cicer	Annual Cicer	
C. microphyllum	C. reticulatum	35.0
C. oxyodon	C. reticulatum	24.0
C. microphyllum	C. echinopsermum	22.00

^aCrosses were carried out in 2006 at WSU, USA

Fig. 4.2 Embryo and endosperm development in self-pollinated *C. arietinum* ovules (**a**, **b**) 0 days after pollination (DAP), (**c**, **d**) 2 DAP, (**e**) 7 DAP, (**f**) 9 DAP, and (**g**) 10 DAP. Scale bars represent 200 µm. *cc* central cell, *eg* egg, *em* embryo, *en* endosperm nucleus, *es* endosperm, *nu* nucellus, *su* suspensor, *zy* zygote

crossability techniques can be overcome. This difficulty in the use of perennial *Cicer* germplasm might be overcome through transshipment of viable pollen. Pollen from cultivated and wild species can be collected and preserved for 14 days, at $4-6^{\circ}$ C in a desiccator, beyond which the viability of the pollen decreases. Pollinations are successful if the pollen is utilized within 14 days of collection and preservation (Mallikarjuna et al. 2007b).

4.5 Genetic Diversity in Cicer Species

A genetic diversity analysis among cultivated and wild chickpea is important for detecting variation in traits of interest. Various biochemical markers can be used; however, only molecular markers are discussed in this review. Narrow ancestry, recent domestication, and high percentage of self-pollination are reflected in the apparent minimal amount of molecular polymorphism between chickpea cultivars (van Rheenen 1992; Udupa et al. 1993). Several molecular markers have detected minimal polymorphism. For example, only 29% of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers were polymorphic and identified narrow genetic distance of 0.09-0.27 within 29 Indian cultivars. Compared to RAPD markers, restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) and microsatellite-based markers, such as sequence tagged microsatellite site (STMS) and intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR), detected greater polymorphism in several studies (Serret et al. 1997a, b; Sant et al. 1999;





Fig. 4.3 Embryo and endosperm development in self-pollinated *C. anatolicum* ovules (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) 1 day after pollination (DAP), (\mathbf{c}) 4 DAP, (\mathbf{d}) 6 DAP, (\mathbf{e}) 8 DAP, and (\mathbf{f}) 10 DAP.

Scale bars represent 200 µm. *em* embryo, *en* endosperm nucleus, *es* endosperm, *nu* nucellus, *su* suspensor, *zy* zygote

Choumane et al. 2000; Rajesh et al. 2003; Sethy et al. 2006). When oligonucleotide probes were employed, the genetic distance ranged from 0.42 to 0.61 (Sant et al. 1999). Oligonucleotide markers revealed intraand interaccessional polymorphism in chickpea. Wide range of variability in accessions from Pakistan, Iraq, Afghanistan, Russia, Turkey, and Lebanon were detected. Accessions from India, Jordan, Palestine, Syria, and Iran showed low levels of polymorphism (Sharma et al. 1995; Serret et al. 1997a, b). Sethy et al. (2006) used simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers developed from *C. reticulatum* to study diversity between nine annual *Cicer* species. The study showed greater similarity between cultivated chickpea and *C. reticulatum*. *C. pinnatifidum* was closer to *C. bijugum*, but the two species *C*. *yamashitae* and *C*. *chorassanicum* were distinct from all the other species.

Choumane et al. (2000) used STMS markers to study the relationship between the *Cicer* species. Their study showed a close relationship between *C. arietinum, C. reticulatum, C. echinospermm*, and a perennial *Cicer* species *C. anatolicum*. SSR markers (Staginnus et al. 1999) showed a close relationship between *C. anatolicum* and annual wild *Cicer* species, opening up avenues to consider *C. anatolicum* as the progenitor species of the annual wild *Cicer* species. Using ISSR markers, Rajesh et al. (2002) concluded that annuals are more recent than perennials and might have evolved from the perennial *Cicer* species. Many of the perennial *Cicer* species showed a closer relationship



Fig. 4.4 Embryo and endosperm development resulting from the interspecific cross *C. arietinum* \times *C. anatolicum* (**a**) 0 days after pollination (DAP), (**b**, **c**) 2 DAP, (**d**, **e**) 4 DAP, (**f**, **g**) 5

DAP, (**h**) 8 DAP, and (**i**) 10 DAP. Scale bars represent 200 µm. *cc* central cell, *eg* egg, *em* embryo, *en* endosperm nucleus, *es* endosperm, *nu* nucellus, *zy* zygote

with cultivated chickpea, *C. reticulatum*, and *C. echinospermum* than with other annual wild *Cicer* species. Here, *C. anatolicum* was more closely related to other perennial *Cicer* species, such as *C. oxyodon* and *C. microphyllum* than to cultivated chickpea or to *C. reticulatum*.

In conclusion, a more comprehensive analysis including a greater number of accessions and a more effective molecular marker system is required to establish a clearer understanding of the phylogenetic relationships among annual and perennial *Cicer* species. It is clear from crossability experiments that *C. reticulatum* is more closely related to cultivated chickpea than any other annual or perennial *Cicer* species. Crossability experiments do not show close relationship between cultivated chickpea and *C. anatolicum*.

4.6 Broadening the Genetic Base by Introducing Useful Genetic Variation from Wild Cicer Species

Chickpea is prone to be susceptible to 47 diseases (Nene and Reddy 1987) and 54 insect pests (Reed et al. 1987) attack chickpea. Of these, Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum f.* sp. *ciceri*), Ascochyta blight (*Ascochyta rabiei*), root rot (*Rhizoctonia bataticola*), botrytis gray mold (*Botrytis cinerea*), and nematodes (*Meloidogyne incognita*, *M. javanica*, *Heterodera ciceri*) are considered to be globally important. Among the insects, pod borer (*H. armigera*) is the most important pest. Among abiotic stresses, drought



Fig. 4.5 Embryo and endosperm development resulting from the interspecific cross *C. anatolicum* \times *C. arietinum* (**a**, **b**) 1 day after pollination (DAP), (**c**, **d**) 3 DAP, (**e**, **f**) 4 DAP, (**g**) 5

is very important. Because of these constraints, world mean yield of chickpea is about 0.8 ton ha^{-1} , which is a serious reduction of yield from an estimated potential of 5 ton ha^{-1} . However, this high estimate is made under very favorable growing conditions not often available for chickpea production. Grain yield is limited by several biotic and abiotic stresses including diseases, such as Fusarium wilt and Ascochyta blight, and abiotic stresses, such as heat and drought. Unavailability of adequate resistance sources to important stresses within the crop species and narrow genetic base are the limitations to its productivity (van Rheenen 1991). Hence, wild relatives with broader diversity can be utilized in breeding chickpea for disease and pest resistance (Lenne and Wood 1991). The resistance to diseases and pests available in germplasm of the primary gene pool is often minimal with a limited number of sources. Nevertheless, resistance to a number of diseases has been incorporated into the elite genotypes. Selection pressure on pathogen populations due to the widespread use of homogeneous host plant resistance may result in

DAP, (\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}) 6 DAP, (\mathbf{j}) 8 DAP, and (\mathbf{k}) 10 DAP. Scale bars represent 200 μ m. *en* endosperm nucleus, *nu* nucellus, *zy* zygote

more virulent strains, which may overcome the resistance. Hence, discovery and use of alien genes for resistance from wild species provide the way for sustaining crop improvement through pre-emptive breeding. Available resistance in wild *Cicer* species and their utilization to overcome various biotic and abiotic stresses and other useful traits are described below.

4.6.1 Ascochyta Blight

Among the foliar fungal diseases, Ascochyta blight, caused by *A. rabiei*, is the most devastating disease of chickpea. It is reported to occur in as many as 30 countries (Singh and Reddy 1991). It causes serious yield losses in India, Pakistan, and the countries around the Mediterranean region, where cool and humid climates prevail. Crop loss due to the disease can be 100% (Nene 1982). Under favorable weather conditions, the disease takes epidemic proportions. Such epidemics

have occurred in India, Pakistan, the US, Pacific northwest, Australia, and Syria (Malhotra et al. 2003). More than 60 years of efforts in managing the disease through cultural practices, chemical control, and exploitation of host plant resistance available in the cultivar have not resulted in satisfactory control of the disease. The reason for the failure of the elite resistant genotypes developed from intraspecific crosses within chickpea is attributed to the low levels of resistance caused by the development of more virulent pathotypes in different chickpea-growing regions, for example, Italy (Stamigna et al. 2000), Syria, Lebanon (Reddy and Kabbabeh 1985), and Pakistan (Jamil et al. 2000).

Several wild species are identified to be resistance sources for Ascochyta blight in chickpea, such as C. reticulatum and C. echinospermum (Collard et al. 2001). A linkage map was constructed based on an interspecific F_2 population derived from the cross between cultivated chickpea and C. echinopsermum (PI 527930; Collard et al. 2003). Santra et al. (2000) reported two quantitative trait loci (QTLs), QTL1 and QTL2, that confer resistance to Ascochyta blight in US. These QTLs accounted for an estimated 34.4% and 14.6% of the total phenotypic variance (Santra et al. 2000; Tekeoglu et al. 2000, 2002). Two QTLs were identified for seedling resistance and five markers were associated with stem resistance, four of which were also associated with seedling resistance in Australia (Collard et al. 2003). Udupa and Baum (2003) identified a single major gene conferring resistance to the pathotype 1 and two QTLs for pathotype II. Rakshit et al. (2003) mapped OPS06, a DNA amplification fingerprinting (DAF) marker, between the flanking markers at QTL1 of Santra et al. (2000) along with several other DAF markers.

The environmental effect of Ascochyta blight QTL1 and QTL2 was analyzed at Eskisehir, Turkey (Tekeoglu et al. 2004), using CRIL-7 (Santra et al. 2000) developed in the USA from the interspecific cross FLIP84-92C \times PI 599072. The same two QTLs were identified at both the locations indicating their robust nature, with some differences. The effect of QTL1 was greater than QTL2 at Pullman, Washington, USA, whereas the effect of QTL2 was greater than QTL1 at Eskisehir, Turkey, indicating possible differences in pathogen population and environmental interactions (Tekeoglu et al. 2004). Irula et al. (2006) used an intraspecific population devel-

73

oped from the cross ILC3279 \times WR315 and identified two QTLs that were the same as that of Santra et al. (2000). More recently, Pande et al. (2006) identified moderate levels of resistance in accessions belonging to *C. cuneatum*, *C. pinnatifidum*, *C. judaicum*, and *C. bijugum*, and accessions of *C. judaicum* and *C. bijugum* showed higher levels of resistance. Some accessions of *C. echinospermum* have also shown resistance to Ascochyta blight (Pande et al. 2006).

4.6.2 Botrytis Gray Mold

Botrytis gray mold (BGM) is prevalent in 14 countries, including the three main chickpeagrowing countries, India, Pakistan, and Turkey. The disease occurs regularly but increased damage occurs when there are rains and high humidity during flower/ pod formation. Screening of chickpea germplasm and breeding lines in India and Nepal has failed to identify high levels of resistance (Singh and Reddy 1991). Among the wild species, resistance has been identified in C. bijugum (Haware et al. 1992), C. pinnatifidum, and C. judaicum (van der Maesen and Pundir 1984). More recently, Pande et al. (2006) identified BGM resistance in C. echinospermum accessions, apart from C. bijugum, C. pinnatifidum, and C. judaicum accessions. It was possible to transfer BGM resistance from C. echinospermum to the cultigens, and the nature of resistance was found to be monogenic and recessive (Mallikarjuna unpublished results). Stevenson and Haware (1999) attributed resistance in C. bijugum to BGM with high concentrations of chemical maackiain (200-300 $\mu g/g^{-1}$) compared to low concentrations of maackiain $(70 \,\mu g/g^{-1})$ in susceptible wild and cultivated species.

4.6.3 Fusarium Wilt

Fusarium wilt (*F. oxysporum f.* sp. *Ciceri*) is a major constraint to chickpea production and yield losses due to wilt have been estimated at 10–90% (Jimenez-Diaz et al. 1989; Singh and Reddy 1991). The pathogen persists in soil year after year even in the absence of the host, which renders its control difficult (Haware et al. 1996). Vertical resistance to wilt is available in

cultivated chickpea (Sharma et al. 2005). There are eight distinct physiological races of wilt namely 0, 1A, 1B/C, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 (Haware and Nene 1982; Jimenez-Diaz et al. 1993; Kelly et al. 1994). The pathogen exhibits variation with respect to occurrence, regional specificity, and disease symptoms (Sharma et al. 2009). Races 0 and 1B/C cause yellowing syndrome, whereas 1A, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 lead to wilting syndrome. Genetics of resistance to two races (1B/C and 6) is yet to be determined; however, for other races, resistance is governed either by monogenes or by oligogenes. The individual genes of the oligogenic resistance mechanism delay the onset of disease symptoms, a phenomenon called as late wilting. Slow wilting, i.e., slow development of disease after onset of disease symptoms also occurs in reaction to pathogen; however, its genetics is not known.

STMS markers have revealed significant interspecific and intraspecific polymorphism in chickpea. Markers linked to six genes that govern resistance to six races (0, 1A, 2, 3, 4, and 5) of the pathogen have been identified and their position on chickpea linkage maps elucidated. These genes lie in two separate clusters on two different chickpea linkage groups. While the gene for resistance to race 0 is situated on linkage group (LG 5) of Winter et al. (2000), those governing resistance to races 1A, 2, 3, 4, and 5 spanned a region of 8.2 cm on LG 2. The cluster of five resistance genes was further subdivided into two subclusters of 2.8 cm and 2.0 cm, respectively.

Wild relative *C. judaicum* roots have three isoflav-3enes, together with two pterocarpan glycosides. Initial experiments have shown that these chemical compounds may confer resistance to Fusarium wilt fungi (Stevenson and Veitch 1996). Later, these compounds were isolated in many annual and perennial *Cicer* species (Stevenson and Veitch 1998).

4.6.4 H. armigera (Pod Borer)

The legume pod borer [*H. armigera* (Hubner)] is an important pest of chickpea globally and brings down the yield of the crop. Losses due to *H. armigera* are estimated at US\$927 million on chickpea and pigeon-pea worldwide (Gowda 2005). It is polyphagous insect and attacks more than 182 plant species. The levels of resistance to pod borer in cultivated chickpea is not up

to the desired level and other management options such as biological and chemical control have their own limitations. Therefore, development of host plant resistance is the best option as this can be coupled with management options.

Resistance to pod borers has been reported in wild *Cicer* species, namely, in accessions of *C. bijugum*, *C. pinnatifidum*, *C. judaicum*, *C. reticulatum*, and *C. echinospermum* (Sharma et al. 2005a). Mallikarjuna et al. (2007a) utilized *C. reticulatum* and *C. echinospermum* and obtained progeny that consistently showed low field damage (10% or less) due to pod borers. Laboratory bioassay using third instar larvae fed on the pods of resistant plants showed reduced larval weight, delayed pupation, failure to pupate or death before pupation, and in some cases, abnormal adults. This shows that antibiosis mechanism of resistance exists in these wild *Cicer* species, which can be transferred and exploited in a breeding program to develop cultivars with resistance against the insect.

Simmonds and Stevenson (2001) identified for the first time four isoflavonoids, namely, judaicin 7-0gluoside, 2-methoxy judaicin, maackiain, and judaicin, which deterred larval feeding by H. armigera at 100 ppm concentration. Flavonoids judaicin and maakiain retained their antifeedant activity at 50 and 10 ppm, respectively. Additionally, chlorogenic acid increased their antifeedant potency. These flavonoids may be the substances responsible for antibiosis mechanism of resistance observed by Mallikarjuna et al. (2007a). Antibiosis mechanism of resistance to Spodoptera litura was observed in interspecific progeny derived from wild species Arachis kempff-mercadoi with the presence of chlorogenic acid, quercetin, and rutin (Mallikarjuna et al. 2004). Flavonoids chlorogenic acid, quercetin, and rutin were present in larger quantities in A. kempff-mercadoi (Stevenson et al. 1993) than in the susceptible cultivated groundnut, and these substances were responsible for conferring resistance to S. litura.

4.6.5 Bruchids (Callosobruchus chinensis)

Many storage insects, specifically bruchids, are a serious pest of stored chickpea (Southgate 1978). Chickpeas stored as "dhal" harbor fewer bruchids than when stored as whole grains. Bruchids lower seed viability. For control of bruchids, dusting with BHC, DDT, derris, lindane, or pyrethrum or fumigation with methyl bromide has been recommended (Duke 1981). Resistance to seed beetles is not available in chickpea genotypes (Di Vito et al. 1988). At ICARDA, 127 accessions of eight wild Cicer species were screened for resistance to seed beetles (Singh et al. 1994, 1998). Three accessions of C. echinospermum, six accessions of C. judaicum, and nine accessions of C. bijugum were free from pest damage. It is possible that some of the flavonoids present in wild Cicer species (Simmonds and Stevenson 2001) may be responsible for bruchid resistance. There is no report of successfully transferring bruchid resistance from wild Cicer species to cultivated forms.

4.6.6 Cyst Nematode (H. ciceri Vovlas, Greco, and Divito)

Cyst nematode is an important pest in West Asia and North Africa and causes heavy losses to chickpea production (Greco et al. 1988). Resistance to cyst nematode has been identified in accessions of *C. bijugum, C. pinnatifidum* and in one accession of *C. reticulatum* ILWC 119. Chickpea germplasm lines, ILC 10765 and ILC 10766, resistant to cyst nematode were derived from crosses utilizing *C. reticulatum* ILWC 119 (Malhotra et al. 2002).

4.6.7 High Protein Content and High Yield

Significant variation in seed protein content has been observed in wild *Cicer* species, with some of the species showing higher content compared with cultivated chickpea (Singh and Pundir 1991). Ocampo et al. (1998) are of the opinion that this may be due to the methodology used in the estimation. Utilization of wild relatives in sorghum (Cox et al. 1984), soybean (Li et al. 2008), and rice (McCouch et al. 2007) has shown that wide crosses could produce positive transgressive segregants with high yield.

Although the present-day chickpea cultivars have been developed to produce more than the traditional varieties, there is ample scope to increase the yield, as there is a gap between potential yield and the actual yield obtained. In order to introduce yield genes into chickpea cultivars, chickpea cultivars were crossed with *C. reticulatum* and *C. echinospermum* on the premise that recombination could result in progenies with high yield. Heterosis was visually recorded in F_1 plants and promising and uniform progenies were bulked in F_5 . Lines with higher yield (39%) than the controls were observed in F_7 (Singh and Ocampo 1997).

4.6.8 Cold Tolerance

Cold conditions result in flower drop in chickpea, culminating in significant yield loss in the semi-arid tropics (Malhotra et al. 1997). In the Mediterranean region, winter sowing is more productive compared to traditional sowing in spring, and cold tolerance is an important prerequisite for winter sowing (Singh and Hawtin 1979). Many accessions of C. *bijugum*, one accession of *C. echinospermum*, 13 accessions of *C. reticulatum*, and one accession of *C. pinnatifidum* showed higher levels of cold tolerance than the cultivated species (Singh et al. 1990). There are no reports on transfer of cold tolerance from wild *Cicer* species to the cultigen.

4.6.9 Drought Tolerance

Chickpea is sensitive to water stress during the early pod development (Khanna-Chopra and Sinha 1987). As the crop is mostly grown under residual moisture situation, scanty and early cessation of rains can cause significant yield losses in chickpea. Recently, seven annual wild Cicer species were investigated for their along with chickpea root traits genotypes (Krishnamurthy et al. 2003). The root and shoot growth of annual wild Cicer species was relatively poor compared to cultivated chickpea genotypes. Among the annual wild Cicer species, C. reticulatum showed growth rates closer to cultivated genotypes. In a study, Canci and Toker (2009) reported a few accessions of C. reticulatum and C. pinnatifidum to perform better under drought conditions and those lines could be considered as the best available drought-resistant sources for breeding purposes. Utilizing C. reticulatum in place of cultivated chickpea would bring in much desired genetic variation in the resultant population. Toker et al. (2007) also found drought-resistant accessions of C. pinnatifidum and C. reticulatum on par with currently available resistant sources. Some of the perennial Cicer species not only recovered after wilting and drying out above the ground level but also tolerated temperatures above 41.8°C. A dehydrin gene (cpdhn1) related to drought resistance was isolated from a cDNA bank prepared from ripening seeds of C. pinnatifidum (Bhattarai and Fettig 2005). Two perennial species, C. microphyllum and C. montbretii, have been reported to be drought tolerant, inferred from their distribution in alpine regions (Chandel 1984). Additionally, C. stapfianum, C. subaphyllum, and C. pungens have been found to be drought resistant based on their growing region (van der Maesen, personal communication). Most perennial Cicer species are known to have a long woody tap root, which can often penetrate to 2 m depth. Long root system is marked as an important trait for drought tolerance. This trait may be useful in chickpea, which is often grown under receding moisture conditions. Although systematic screening of perennial wild Cicer species to quantify the variation in root growth is required for their exploitation in crop improvement programs, it can be concluded on the basis of the available information that perennial Cicer species are good sources of drought tolerance/resistance.

4.7 Genomics Resources

The chickpea genome is considered homogeneous, based on the minimal polymorphism detected by molecular markers. Limited polymorphism may be due to the self-pollinating nature. Although RAPDs were used initially, the development of highly variable polymorphic SSR markers has replaced them. Linkage maps were developed using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLPs), RAPDs, ISSRs, RGAs (resistance gene analogs), and STMS markers (Santra et al. 2000; Winter et al. 2000; Cho et al. 2002, 2004; Collard et al. 2003; Flandez-Galvez et al. 2003). Development of numerous SSR markers has accelerated chickpea genomics and the study of important traits. In chickpea, several hundred SSR markers have been developed and mapped on both intra- and interspecific mapping populations (Huttel et al. 1999; Winter et al. 1999; Lichtenzveig et al. 2005; Sethy et al. 2006). Functional markers generated from genic sequence, expressed tag sequences (ESTs), are advantageous as they are linked to traits of interest. Buhariwalla et al. (2005) developed 106 EST markers, of which 14 contained SSR motifs, and these were the first chickpea EST-SSR markers. More recently, Choudhary et al. (2009) generated 822 ESTs from immature seeds as well as 1,309 ESTs from chickpea database. From these, 246 SSR motifs were identified and 60 were validated as functional markers. These markers showed low levels of intraspecies polymorphism and high level of interspecies polymorphism.

The complete plastid genome of chickpea was sequenced by Jansen et al. (2008), and it was found to be 125,319 bp in size. The genome encodes 108 genes, including four rRNAs, 29 tRNAs, and 75 proteins. The sequence provides valuable information on the intergeneric spacer regions among legumes and endogenous regulatory sequences for plastid genetic engineering.

Jayashree et al. (2005) reported the development of a chickpea root-specific EST database comprising of over 2,800 EST sequences. This was constructed from using substractive suppressive hybridization (SSH) of root tissues from two closely related chickpea genotypes possessing different sources of drought avoidance and tolerance. The database provides researchers in chickpea genomics with a major resource for data mining association with root traits and drought tolerance.

Development of diversity array technology (DArT) markers should overcome the constraint associated with the development of high-density linkage maps. The discovery of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), which is relatively new in plant systems, has great potential for marker development. Rajesh and Muehlbauer (2008) estimated single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) frequency at 1 in 94 bp in coding sequences and 1 in 74 bp in genomic regions in chickpea line FLIP 84-92C and wild relative *C. reticulatum* (PI 599072), two parental chickpea lines previously used to develop an interspecific linkage map.

A strategy for reverse genetics that is based on ethylmethyl sulfonate (EMS) mutagenesis was first described by McCallum et al. (2000) using the acronym targeted induced local lesions in genomes (TILLING). A specific advantage of EMS mutagenesis is that the series of allelic mutations can serve as the basis of detailed structure-function studies. In addition, this has the potential to recover weak alleles with subtle changes in functionality of genes that would be lethal when more strongly affected. TILLING identifies individuals carrying point mutations in any gene of interest within a large population of EMS-mutagenized plants. In chickpea, M₂ seeds from approximately 9,000 individual M₁ plants of chickpea germplasm accession ICC12004 that had been treated with 0.2% EMS were obtained in the initial phases for development of a TILLING platform for chickpea. The estimated mutation frequency was determined through an analysis of 768 M₂ progenies using 20 targets comprising genomic DNA and cDNA sequences. There was a 100% success rate in primer design and screening of the mutants when using genomic sequence, and only a 7% success rate using cDNA sequence (Muehlbauer and Rajesh 2008).

4.7.1 Molecular Maps

Progress has been made in the development of genetic maps and the placement of genes for resistance to Ascochyta blight and Fusarium wilt as well as genes controlling agronomically important traits such as time to flowering, time to maturity, and podding habits. Two types of mapping populations have been used in chickpea to generate genetic linkage maps: the F₂ population and recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from interspecific as well as intraspecific crosses. RILs are preferred for genome mapping because of the distinct advantages it offers. The first integrated molecular map with 354 markers, including 118 STMSs, 96 DAFs, 70 AFLPs, 37 ISSRs, 17 RAPDs, eight isozymes, three cDNAs, and two sequence-characterized amplified regions (SCARs), which covered a distance of 2,077.9 cm was the result of an international collaborative effort (Winter et al. 2000).

Santra et al. (2000) used an RIL population from an interspecific cross of *C. areitinum* \times *C. reticulatum* to generate a map of nine linkage groups with 116 markers (isozymes, RAPDs, and ISSRs) covering a map distance of 981.6 cm with an average distance of 8.4 cm between markers. In order to identify blight resistance in chickpea as well as genomic regions associated with blight resistance on intraspecific

genetic linkage maps, F_7 -derived RILs from the intraspecific cross of PI 359075 (blight susceptible) × FLIP84-92C(2) (blight resistant) were used by Cho et al. (2004). An intraspecific genetic linkage map comprising 53 STMS markers was constructed to identify genomic associations with blight resistance on the RIL population from a cross between PI359075(1) and FLIP84-92C(2). A major QTL for resistance to pathotype II of *A. rabiei* and two QTLs for resistance to pathotype I were identified.

Flandez-Galvez et al. (2003) established an intraspecific linkage map of chickpea genome using STMS markers on a F_2 population of chickpea cultivars with contrasting reaction to Ascochyta pathogen. Fifty-one out of 54 STMS markers (94.4%), three ISSR markers (100%), and 12 resistance gene analog (RGA) markers (57.1%) mapped on eight linkage groups. Chickpeaderived STMS markers were distributed throughout the genome, while RGA markers clustered with ISSR markers on the linkage groups LG 1, II, and III. Intraspecific linkage map spanned 534.5 cm, with an average interval of 8.1 cm between markers.

Madrid et al. (2008) identified a gene that controls resistance to chickpea rust in an RIL population derived from an interspecific cross between chickpea and *C. reticulatum*. A QTL for 31% of the phenotypic variance was located on the LG 7 of the chickpea genetic map. Two STMS markers were detected flanking the resistance gene.

A composite linkage map was constructed using RILs from a cross between *C. arietinum* and *C. reticulatum*. The mapping population segregated for resistance to Ascochyta blight, Fusarium wilt, and rust diseases. RGA markers have mapped loci that confer resistance to Ascochyta blight and Fusarium wilt. Association was detected between RGAs and genes that controlled resistance to Fusarium wilt caused by races 0 and 5 (Palomino et al. 2009).

The genetic map published by Taran et al. (2007) was generated from 135 primer pairs including 134 SSRs and was based on a population of 186 F_2 plants from an intraspecific cross of "desi" cultivar ICCV 96029 and "kabuli" cultivar CDC Frontier. Markers reported in this map were assigned to eight linkage groups with a combined linkage distance of 1,285 cm. The average linkage distance between markers in all linkage groups was 8.9 cm. Common markers in these maps with SSR primer pairs could lead to the development of a high-density genetic map of chickpea to

identify tightly linked flanking markers for genes of interest, which will ultimately be helpful in markerassisted selection (MAS) and positional cloning of agronomically important genes.

4.8 Directions for Future Research

Taking examples from rice and wheat, it is clear that a particular wild *Cicer* species may not possess many of the desirable traits needed for chickpea improvement. One of the major advantages of utilizing wild relatives is the resultant reshuffling/recombination in the genome, leading to the appearance of novel characters not found in parental species. With a large collection of molecular markers available for chickpea, it may not be too difficult to select against unwanted characters from the wild species brought along because of linkage drag.

Several wild species are poorly represented in gene banks either because of developmental activities in the areas of their origin or because of the inaccessibility of the region due to geographical or political reasons. Collection of additional accessions of each annual and perennial wild species is needed to widen the genetic base in the Cicer gene pool. Specifically, major emphasis should be placed on collection of C. reticulatum in the center of origin of chickpea, most importantly in Turkey, where the species is found in abundance. Collection could be followed by transfer of the agronomically important genes such as Ascochyta blight, botrytis gray mold, H. armigera to the cultigen by classical breeding methods. It will be useful if focused attempts in wide crosses are initially de-linked from the active breeding programs (often termed as pre-breeding) to utilize the available variation in the wild species. This will remove the pressure of agronomic performance for the segregants from the wide crosses. Although variation in resistance to some of the stresses is available in chickpea, it is not up to the desired level, and the use of genes from the wild species will broaden their genetic base and likely improve stability of resistance. Increased emphasis also needs to be placed on the collection of C. echinospermum as some of the accessions have shown variation for economically important traits. It is important to evaluate the available wild gene pool for various biotic and abiotic constraints to tap the potential of the available germplasm.

Low biomass production is often cited as one reason for low seed yields of chickpea. Increasing biomass of cultivated chickpea varieties appears to be possible with the use of *C. reticulatum* and *C. echinospermum* as progenies of both species in crosses with *C. arietinum* have had an apparent increase in biomass and overall plant vigor. Perennial *Cicer* also has potential for higher biomass but currently is unavailable for breeding purposes due to cross-incompatibility with the cultigen.

Advanced molecular marker technology should be exploited to estimate genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships among annual and perennial wild species. Molecular markers may also improve our genetic understanding of the traits, and genetic mapping and QTL analysis will provide useful information on the locations of important genes and markers that can be used for gene introgression. The desired genes can eventually be transferred from wild species to the cultigen using marker-assisted breeding, which will ultimately facilitate chickpea crop improvement.

The presence of biochemical compounds with antifungal activity toward botrytis gray mold and antifeedant activity toward *H. armigera* opens up avenues for molecular breeding for fungal and insect resistance in chickpea coupled with biochemical markers.

References

- Abbo S, Berger J, Turner NC (2003) Evolution of cultivated chickpea: four bottlenecks limit diversity and constraint adaptation. Funct Plant Biol 30:1081–1087
- Abbo S, Molina C, Jungmann R, Grusak A, Berkovitch Z, Reifen R, Kahl G, Winter P (2005) Quantitative trait loci governing carotenoid concentration and weight in seeds of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 111:185–195
- Ahmad F, Slinkard AE, Scoles GJ (1988) Investigations into the barrier(s) to interspecific hybridization between *Cicer arietinum* L. and eight other annual *Cicer* species. Plant Breed 100:193–198
- Badami PS, Mallikarjuna N, Moss JP (1997) Interspecific hybridization between *Cicer arietinum* and *C. pinnatifidum*. Plant Breed 116:393–395
- Berger JD, Abbo S, Turner NC (2003) Ecogeography of annual wild *Cicer* species: the poor state of the world collection. Crop Sci 43:1076–1090
- Bhattarai T, Fettig S (2005) Isolation and characterization of a dehydrin gene from Cicer pinnatifidum, a drought-resistant wild relative of chickpea. Physiol Plant 123:452–458
- Buhariwalla HK, Jayashree B, Eshwar K (2005) ESTs from chickpea roots with putative roles for drought tolerance. BMC Plant Biol 5:16

- Canci H, Toker C (2009) Evaluation of yield criteria for drought and heat resistance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). J Agron Crop Sci 195(1):47
- Chandel KPS (1984) A note on the occurrence of wild *Cicer* microphyllum Benth and its nutrient status. Int Chickpea Newsl 10:4–5
- Cho S, Kumar J, Shultz JL, Anupama K, Tefera F, Muchlbauer FJ (2002) Mapping genes for double podding and other morphological traits in chickpea. Euphytica 128:285–292
- Cho S, Chen W, Muehlbauer FL (2004) Pathotype-specific factors in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) for quantitative resistance to ascochyta blight. Theor Appl Genet 109:733–739
- Choudhary S, Sethy NK, Shokeen B, Bhatia S (2009) Development of chickpea EST-SSR markers and analysis of allelic variation across related species. Theor Appl Genet 118:591–608
- Choumane W, Winter P, Weigand F, Kahl G (2000) Conservation and variability of sequence tagged microsatellite sites from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) with in the Genus *Cicer*. Theor Appl Genet 101:269–278
- Collard BCY, Ades PK, Pang ECK, Brouwer JB, Taylor PWJ (2001) Prospecting for sources of resistance to ascochyta blight in wild *Cicer* species. Aust Plant Pathol 30:271–276
- Collard BCY, Pang ECK, Ades PK, Taylor PWJ (2003) Preliminary investigation of QTLs associated with seedling resistance to ascochyta blight from *Cicer echinopsermum*, a wild relative of chickpea. Theor Appl Genet 107:719–729
- Cox TS, House LR, Frey KJ (1984) Potential of wild germplasm for increasing yield of grain sorghum. Euphytica 33:673–684
- Di Vito M, Greco N, Singh KB, Saxena MC (1988) Response of chickpea germplasm lines to *Heterodera ciceri* attack. Nematol Mediterr 16:17–18
- Duke JA (1981) Handbook of legumes of world economic importance. Plenum, New York, USA, pp 52–57
- Flandez-Galvez H, Ford R, Pang ECK, Taylor PWJ (2003) An intraspecific linkage map of the chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genome based on sequence tagged microsatellite site and resistant gene analog markers. Theor Appl Genet 106:1447–1456
- Gowda CLL (2005) *Helicoverpa* the global problem. In: Sharma HC (ed) *Heliothis/Helicoverpa* management: emerging trends and strategies for future research. Oxford and IBH, New Delhi, India, pp 1–6
- Gowda CLL, Gaur PM (2004) Global scenario of chickpea research – present status and future thrusts. In: Ali M, Singh BB, Shivkumar S, Dhar V (eds) Pulses in new perspective. Indian Society of Pulses Research and Development, Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, UP, India, pp 1–22
- Greco N, Di Vito M, Saxena MC, Reddy MV (1988) Effect of *Heterodera ciceri* on yield of chickpea and lentil and development of this nematode on chickpea in Syria. Nematologica 4:98–114
- Grewal RK, Lulsdorf M, Croser J, Ochatt S, Vandenberg V, Warkentin T (2009) Doubled-haploid production in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): 3. Role of stress treatments. Plant Cell Rep 28(8):1289–99
- Harlan J, de Wet J (1971) Towards a rational classification of cultivated plants. Taxon 20:509–517

- Haware MP, Nene YL (1982) Races of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceri. Plant Dis 66:809–810
- Haware MP, Nene YL, Pundir RPS, Narayana Rao J (1992) Screening of world chickpea germplasm for resistance to fusarium wilt. Field Crops Res 30:147–154
- Haware MP, Nene YL, Natarajan M (1996) Survival of *Fusar-ium oxysporum f.* sp. *ciceri* in soil in the absence of chickpea. Phytopathol Mediterr 35:9–12
- Helbaek H (1970) The plant husbandry at Hacillar. In: Mellaart J (ed) Excavation at Hacillar. Edinburg University Press, Gerald Duckworth, London, UK, pp 189–244
- Huttel B, Winter P, Weising K, Choumane W, Weigand F, Kahl G (1999) Sequence-tagged microsatellite markers for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Genome 42:210–217
- Irula M, Rubio J, Cubero JI, Gil J, Millan T (2002) Phylogenetic analysis in the genus *Cicer* and cultivated chickpea using RAPD and ISSR markers. Theor Appl Genet 104:643–651
- Irula M, Rubio J, Barro F, Cubero JI, Millan T, Gil J (2006) Detection of two quantitative trait loci for resistance to ascochyta blight in an intra-specific cross of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): development of SCAR markers associated with resistance. Theor Appl Genet 112:278–287
- Jamil FF, Sarwar N, Sarwar M, Khan JA, Geistlinger J, Kahl G (2000) Genetic and pathogenic diversity within Ascochyta rabiei (Pass.) Lab. populations in Pakistan causing blight of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 57:243–254
- Jansen RK, Wojciechowski MF, Sanniyasi E, Lee SB, Daniell H (2008) Complete plastid genome sequence of the chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) and the phylogenetic distribution of rps 12 and clp intron losses among legumes (Leguminoase). Mol Phylogenet Evol 48(3):1204–1217
- Jayashree B, Buhariwalla HK, Shinde S, Crouch JH (2005) A legume genomic resource: the chickpea expressed sequence tag database. J Biotechnol 8:3
- Jimenez-Diaz RM, Alcala-Jimenez AR, Hervas A, Trapero-Casas JL (1993) Pathogenic variability and hosts resistance in the *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ciceris/Cicer arietinum* pathosystem. In: Proceedings of the European Seminar on fusarium mycotoxins, taxonomy, pathogenicity and host resistance. 3rd Hodowsla Roslin Aklimatyazacja i Nasiennictwo. Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute, Radzikov, Poland, pp 87–94
- Jimenez-Diaz RM, Trapero-Casas A, Cabrera de la Colina J (1989) Races of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Ciceris* infecting chickpea in southern Spain. In: Tjamos EC, Beckman CH (eds) Vascular wilt disease of plants, vol H28, NATO ASI Sr. Berlin, Springer, pp 515–520
- Kaiser WJ, Alcala-Ji nenez AR, Hervas-Jargas A, Trapero-cacas JL, Jinenez-diaz RM (1994) Screening of wild *Cicer* species for resistance to race 0 and 5 of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris*. Plant Dis 78(10):962–967
- Kelly A, Alcala-Jimnez AR, Bainbridge BW, Heale JB, Perez-Artes E, Jimnez-Diaz RM (1994) Use of genetic fingerprinting and random amplified polymorphic DNA to characterize pathotypes of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* infecting chickpea. Phytopathology 84:1293–1298
- Khanna-Chopra R, Sinha SK (1987) Chickpea: physiological aspects on growth and yield. In: Saxena MC, Singh KB

(eds) The chickpea. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp 163-189

- Krishnamurthy L, Kashiwagi J, Upadhyaya HD, Seeraj R (2003) Genetic diversity of drought-avoidance root traits in the mini-core germplasm collection of chickpea. Int Chickpea Pigeonpea Newsl 20:21–24
- Ladizinsky G, Adler A (1976) The origin of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Euphytica 25:211–217
- Lenne JM, Wood D (1991) Plant disease and the use of wild germplasm. Annu Rev Phytopathol 29:35–63
- Lev-Yadun S, Gopher A, Abbo S (2000) The cradle of agriculture. Science 288:1602–1603
- Li D, Pfeiffer TW, Cornelius PL (2008) Soybean QTL for yield and yield components associated with *Glycine soja* alleles. Crop Sci 48:571–581
- Lichtenzveig J, Scheuring C, Dodge J, Abbo S, Zhang HB (2005) Construction of BAC and BIBAC libraries and their application for generation of SSR markers for genome analysis of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 110:492–510
- Madrid E, Rubiales D, Moral A, Moreno MT, Millan T, Gil J, Rubio J (2008) Mechanism and molecular markers associated with rust resistance in a chickpea interspecific cross (*Cicer arietinum × Cicer reticulatum*). Eur J Plant Pathol 121(1):43–53
- Malhotra RS, Singh KB, Saxena MC (1997) Effect of irrigation on winter-sown chickpea in a Mediterranean environment. J Agron Crop Sci 178:237–243
- Malhotra RS, Singh KB, Di Vito M, Greco N, Saxena MC (2002) Registration of ILC 10765 and ILC 10766 chickpea germplasm lines resistant to cyst nematode. Crop Sci 42:1756
- Malhotra RS, Baum M, Udupa SM, Bayaa B, Kababbeh S, Khalaf G (2003) Ascochyta blight research in chickpea – present status and future prospects. In: Sharma RN, Srivastava GK, Rahore AL, Sharma ML, Khan MA (eds) Proceedings of the international chickpea conference chickpea research for the millennium, 20–22 Jan 2003, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India, pp 108–117
- Mallikarjuna N (1999) Ovule and embryo culture to obtain hybrids from interspecific incompatible pollinations in chickpea. Euphytica 110:1–6
- Mallikarjuna N (2003) Progeny sans papa! SATrends, ICRI-SAT, Patancheru, AP, India
- Mallikarjuna N, Jadhav DR (2008) Techniques to produce hybrid between *Cicer arietinum* L. × *C. pinnatifidum* Jaub. Indian. J Genet 68(4):1–8
- Mallikarjuna N, Sastri DC (1985) In vitro culture of ovules and embryos from some interspecific in the genus Arachis. In: Moss JP (ed) Proceedings of the international workshop on the cytogenetics of Arachis, 31 Oct–2 Nov 2003. ICRISAT, Patancheru, AP, India, pp 153–158
- Mallikarjuna N, Kranthi KR, Jadhav DJ, Kranthi S, Chandra S (2004) Influence of foliar chemical compounds on the development of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) on interspecific derivatives of groundnut. J Appl Entomol 128(5):321–328
- Mallikarjuna N, Jadhav DJ, Clarke H, Coyne C, Muehlbauer FJ (2005) Induction of androgenesis as a consequence of wide crossing in chickpea. Int Chickpea Pigeonpea Newsl 12:12–15

- Mallikarjuna N, Sharma HC, Upadhyaya HD (2007a) Exploitation of wild relatives of pigeonpea and chickpea for resistance to *Helicoverpa armigera*. eJ SAT Agric Res Crop Improv 3(1):4–7
- Mallikarjuna N, Mcgrew S, Reinerson S, Rajesh PN, Coyne C, Muehlbauer FJ (2007b) Pollen as a means of international transfer of germplasm. SAT eJ 2(1): ejournal.icrisat.org
- Mallikarjuna N, Jadhav D, Vakiti N, Amudhavalli C, Chandra S, Hoisington D (2007c) Progress in the interspecific hybridization between *Cicer arietinum* and the wild species *C. bijugum*. SAT eJ 5(1): ejournal.icrisat.org
- McCallum CM, Comai L, Greene EA, Henikoff S (2000) Targeting induced local lesions in genomes (TILLING) for plant functional genomics. Plant Physiol 123:439–442
- McCouch SR, Sweeney M, Li J, Jiang H, Thomson M, Septinginsih E, Edwards J, Moncada P, Xiao J, Garris A, Tai T, Martinez C, Tohme J, Sugiono M, McClung A, Yuan LP, Ahn SN (2007) Through the genetic bottleneck: *O. rufipogon* as a source of trait-enhancing alleles for *O. sativa*. Euphytica 154:317–339
- Millan T, Clarke HJ, Siddique KHM, Buhariwalla HK, Gaur PM, Kumar J, Gill J, Kahl G, Winter P (2006) Chickpea molecular breeding: new tools and concepts. Euphytica 147:81–103
- Muehlbauer FJ, Rajesh PN (2008) Genomics of chickpea, a major source of protein in the tropics. In: Paul HM, Ming R (eds) Genomics of tropical crop plants. Springer, New York, USA, pp 171–186
- Muehlbauer FJ, Kaiser WJ, Simon CS (1994) Potential for wild species in cool season food legume breeding. Euphytica 73:109–114
- Nene YL (1982) A review of ascochyta blight of chickpea. Trop Pest Manag 28:61–70
- Nene YL, Reddy MV (1987) Chickpea diseases and their control. In: Saxena MC, Singh KB (eds) The chickpea. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK
- Ocampo B, Robertson LD, Singh KB (1998) Variation in seed protein content in the annual wild *Cicer* species. J Sci Food Agric 78:220–224
- Palomino C, Fernandez-Romero MD, Rubio J, Torres A, Moreno MT, Millan T (2009) Integration of new CAPS and dCAPS-RGA markers into a composite chickpea genetic map and their association with disease resistance. Theor Appl Genet 118(4):671–682
- Pande S, Ramgopal D, Kishore GK, Mallikarjuna N, Sharma M, Pathak M, Narayana Rao J (2006) Evaluation of wild *Cicer* species for resistance to Ascochyta blight and Botrytis gray mold in controlled environment at ICRISAT, Patancheru, India. Int Chickpea Pigeonpea Newsl 13:25–26
- Pundir RPS, Mengesha MH (1995) Cross compatibility between chickpea and its wild relative cicer echinespermum davis. Euplytice 83:241–245
- Rajesh PN, Muehlbauer FJ (2008) Discovery and detection of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in coding and genomic sequences in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Euphytica 162:291–300
- Rajesh PN, Sant VJ, Gupta VS, Muehlbauer FJ, Ranjekar PK (2002) Genetic relationships among annual and perennial wild species of *Cicer* using inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) polymorphism. Euphytica 129(1):15–23

- Rajesh PN, Gupta VS, Ranjekar PK, Muehlbauer FJ (2003) Functional genome analysis using DDRT with respect to ascochyta blight disease in chickpea. Int Chickpea Pigeonpea Newsl 10:35–37
- Rakshit S, Winter P, Tekeoglu M, Juarez M, Pfaff J, Benko-Iseppon AM, Muehlbauer FJ, Kahl G (2003) DAF marker tightly linked to a major locus for ascochyta blight resistance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Euphytica 132:23–30
- Reddy MV, Kabbabeh S (1985) Pathogenic variability in Ascochyta rabiei (Pass.) Lab. in Syria and Lebanon. Phytopathol Mediterr 24:265–266
- Reed W, Cardona C, Sithanantham S, Lateef SS (1987) Chickpea insect pests and their control. In: Saxena MC, Singh KB (eds) The chickpea. CABI, Wallingford, UK, pp 283–318
- Sant VJ, Patankar AG, Sarode NG, Mhase LB, Sainani MN, Deshmukh RB, Ranjekar PK, Gupta VS (1999) Potential of DNA markers in detecting divergence and in analyzing heterosis in Indian elite chickpea cultivars. Theor Appl Genet 102:676–682
- Santra DK, Tekeoglu M, Ratnaparkhe M, Kaiser WJ, Muehlbauer FJ (2000) Identification and mapping of QTLs conferring resistance to Ascochyta blight in chickpea. Crop Sci 40:1606–1612
- Saraf CS, Rupela OP, Hegde DM, Yadav RL, Shivkumar BG, Bhattarai S, Razzaque MA, Sattar MA (1998) Biological nitrogen fixation and residual effects of winter grain legumes in rice and wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic plain. In: Kumar JVDK, Johansen C, Rego TJ (eds) Residual effects of legumes in rice and wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic plain. Oxford and IBH Publishing, New Delhi, India, pp 14–30
- Serret MD, Udupa SM, Weigand F (1997a) Assessment of genetic diversity of cultivated chickpea using microsatellitederived RFLP markers: implications for origin. Plant Breed 116:575–578
- Serret MD, Udupa SM, Weigand F (1997b) Assessment of genetic diversity of cultivated chickpea using microsatellitederived RFLP markers: implications for origin. Plant Breed 116:573–578
- Sethy NK, Shokeen B, Edwar KJ, Bhatia S (2006) Development of microsatellite markers and analysis of intraspecific genetic variability in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Theor Appl Genet 112:1416–1428
- Sharma PC, Huttel B, Winter P, Kahl G, Gardner RC, Weising K (1995) The potential of microsatellites for hybridization and polymerase chain reaction-based DNA fingerprinting of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and related species. Electrophoresis 16:1755–1761
- Sharma KD, Chen W, Muehlbauer FL (2005) Genetics of chickpea resistance to five races of fusarium wilt and a concise set of race differentials for *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. ciceris. Plant Dis 89:385–390
- Sharma HC, Pampapathy G, Lanka SK, Ridsdill-Smith TJ (2005a) Antibiosis mechanism of resistance to pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* in wild relatives of chickpea. Euphytica 142:107–117
- Sharma HC, Bhagwat MP, Pampapathy G, Sharma JP, Ridsdill-Smith TJ (2006) Perennial wild *Cicer* relatives of chickpea as potential sources of resistance to *Helicoverpa armigera*. Genet Resour Crop Evol 53:131–138

- Sharma M, Varshney RK, Rao JN, Kannan S, Hoisington D, Pande S (2009) Genetic diversity in Indian isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cieris*, chickpea wilt pathogen. Afr J Biotechnol 8(6):1016–1023
- Shivkumar S, Gupta S, Chandra S, Singh BB (2004) How wide is the genetic base of pulse crops. In: Ali M, Singh BB, Shivkumar S, Dhar V (eds) Pulses in new perspective. Proceedings of national symposium on crop diversification and natural resource management. Indian Institute of Pulses Reasearch, Kanpur, UP, India, pp 211–221
- Simmonds SJ, Stevenson PC (2001) Effects of isoflavonoids from *Cicer* on larvae of *Helicoverpa armigera*. J Chem Ecol 27(5):965–977
- Singh KB, Hawtin GC (1979) Winter planting of chickpea. Int Chickpea Newsl 1:4
- Singh KB, Ocampo B (1997) Exploitation of wild *Cicer* species for yield improvement in chickpea. Theor Appl Genet 95:418–423
- Singh U, Pundir RPS (1991) Amino acid composition and protein content of chickpea and its wild relatives. Int Chickpea Newsl 25:19–20
- Singh KB, Reddy MV (1991) Advances in disease resistance breeding in chickpea. Adv Agron 45:191–22
- Singh KB, Malhotra RS, Halila MH, Knights EJ, Verma MM (1990) Current status and future strategy in breeding chickpea for resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. In: Muehlbauer FJ, Kaiser WJ (eds) Expanding the production and use of cool season food legumes. Kluwer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp 572–591
- Singh KB, Malhotra RS, Halila H, Knights EJ, Verma MM (1994) Current status and future strategy in breeding chickpea for resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Euphytica 73:137–149
- Singh KB, Ocampo B, Robertson LD (1998) Diversity for abiotic and biotic stress resistance in the wild annual Cicer species. Genet Resour Crop Evol 45:191–222
- Southgate BJ (1978) The importance of bruchids as pests of grain legumes, their distribution and control. In: Singh SR, Van Emden HF, Taylor TA (eds) Pests of grain legumes: ecology and control. Academic, London, UK, pp 219–229
- Staginnus C, Winter P, Desel C, Schmidt T, Kahl G (1999) Molecular structure and chromosomal localization of major repetitive DNA families. The chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genome. Plant Mol Biol 39:1037–1050
- Stamigna C, Crino P, Saccardo F (2000) Wild relatives of chickpea: multiple disease resistance and problems to introgression in the cultigen. J Genet Breed 54:213–219
- Stevenson PC, Veitch NC (1996) Isoflavones from the roots of Cicer judaicum. Phytochemistry 43:695–700
- Stevenson PC, Veitch NC (1998) A 2-arylbenzofuran from roots of Cicer bijugum associated with Fusarium wilt resistance. Phytochemistry
- Stevenson PC, Haware MP (1999) Maackiain in *Cicer bijugum* Rech. F. associated with resistance to Botrytis grey mould. Biochem Syst Ecol 27:761–767
- Stevenson PC, Anderson JC, Blaney WM, Simmonds MSJ (1993) Developmental inhibition of *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) larvae by a novel caffeoylquine acid from the wild groundnut *Arachis paraguariensis* (Chod et Hassl.). J Chem Ecol 19:2917–2933

- Taran B, Warkentin TD, Tullu A, Vandenberg A (2007) Genetic mapping of ascochyta blight resistance in chickpea (*Cicer* arietinum L.) using a simple sequence repeat linkage map. Genome 50:26–34
- Tekeoglu M, Santra DK, Kaiser WJ, Muehlbauer FJ (2000) Ascochyta blight resistance inheritance in three chickpea recombinant inbred line populations. Crop Sci 40:1251–1256
- Tekeoglu M, Rajesh PN, Muehlbauer FJ (2002) Integration of sequence tagged microsatellite sites to the chickpea genetic map. Theor Appl Genet 105:847–854
- Tekeoglu M, Isuk M, Muehlbauer FJ (2004) QTL analysis of ascochyta blight resistance in chickpea. Turk J Agric Forecast 28:183–187
- Toker C, Canci H, Yildirim T (2007) Evaluation of perennial wild *Cicer* species for drought resistance. Genet Resour Crop Evol 54:1781–1786
- Udupa SM, Baum M (2003) Genetic dissection of pathotypespecific resistance to ascochyta blight disease in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) using microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 106:1196–1202
- Udupa SM, Sharma A, Sharma RP, Pai RA (1993) Narrow genetic variability in *Cicer arietinum* L. as revealed by RFLP analysis. J Plant Biochem Biotechnol 2:83–86
- Upadhyaya HD, Dwivedi SL, Baum M, Varshney RK, Udupa SM, Gowda CLL, Hoisington D, Singh D (2008) Genetic structure, diversity, and allelic richness in composite collection and reference set in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). BMC Plant Biol 8:106
- van der Maesen LJG (1987) Origin, history and taxonomy of chickpea. In: Saxena MC, Singh RB (eds) The chickpea. CABI, Wallingford, UK, pp 139–156
- van der Maesen LJG, Pundir RPS (1984) Availability and use of wild *Cicer* germplasm. FAO/IBPGR Plant Genet Resour Newsl 57:19–24

- van der Maesen LJG, Maxted N, Javadi F, Coles S, Davies AMR (2007) Taxonomy of the genus *Cicer* revisited. In: Yadav SS, Redden RJ, Chen W, Sharma B (eds) Chickpea breeding and management. CABI, Wallingford, UK, pp 14–47
- van Rheenen HA (1991) Chickpea breeding. Plant Breed Abstr 61:997–1009
- van Rheenen HA (1992) Biotechnology and chickpea breeding. Int Chickpea Newsl 26:14–17
- Williams PC, Singh U (1987) The chickpea nutritional quality and the evaluation of quality in breeding programs. In: Saxena MC, Singh KB (eds) The chickpea. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp 329–356
- Winter P, Pfaff T, Udupa SM, Huttel B, Sharma PC, Sahi S, Arreguin-Espinoza R, Wigand F, Muehlbauer FJ, Kahl G (1999) Characterization and mapping of sequence tagged microsatellite sites in the chickpea genome. Mol Gen Genet 262:90–91
- Winter P, Benko-Iseppon AM, Huttel B, Ratnaparkhe M, Tullu A, Sonnante G, Pfaff T, Tekeoglu M, Santra D, Sant VJ, Rajesh PN, Kahl G, Muehlbauer FJ (2000) A linkage map of the chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genome based on recombinant inbred lines from a *C*. *arietinum* \times *C*. *reticulatum* cross; localization of resistance genes for fusarium wilt races 4 and 5. Theor Appl Genet 101:1155–1163
- Zohary D (1999) Monophyletic vs. polyphyletic origin of the crops on which agriculture was founded in the Near East. Genet Resour Crop Evol 46:133–142
- Zohary D, Hopf M (1988) Domestication of plants in the old world: the origin and spread of cultivated plants in West Asia, Europe, and the Nile Valley. Oxford University Press, New York, UK
- Zohary D, Hopf M (2000) Domestication of plants in the old world, 3rd edn. Oxford University Press, New York, USA

Chapter 5 Glycine

M.B. Ratnaparkhe, R.J. Singh, and J.J. Doyle

5.1 Introduction

Legumes (Fabaceae or Leguminosae) are the third largest family of angiosperms with 730 genera and more than 19,000 species distributed throughout the world (Lewis et al. 2005). Traditionally, the legume family has been divided into three subfamilies: Caesalpinieae, Mimosoideae, and Papilionoideae, of which only the latter two are natural, with "caesalpinioid" legumes comprising several unrelated groups (Lewis et al. 2005; Bruneau et al. 2008). The grain legumes are included in the Papilionoideae. Within that subfamily, there are four large clades that include most of the economically important food and feed legumes (Doyle and Luckow 2003; Wojciechowski et al. 2004; Lewis et al. 2005) including Glycine. The genus Glycine is formally classified in the large tribe Phaseoleae, which also includes several other economically important genera such as Phaseolus (e.g., common bean and scarlet runner bean), Vigna (cowpea, mungbean), Cajanus (pigeon pea), Psophocarpus (winged bean), and Pachyrhizus (jicama) (Lackey 1977a). Most Phaseoleae are part of a clade of phaseoloid legumes that also includes the tribes Psoraleeae and Desmodieae (e.g., the forage crop, Lespe*deza*); this clade is part of a larger "millettioid" group of genera that includes such genera as Lonchocarpus and Derris, used by indigenous people in both the eastern and western Hemispheres as fish poisons (Kajita et al. 2001; Wojciechowski et al. 2004). Within the phaseoloid clade, Glycine is part of a clade that

M.B. Ratnaparkhe (🖂)

Center for Applied Genetic Technologies, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

e-mail: milindr@uga.edu, milind.ratnaparkhe@gmail.com

includes most of the genera formally classified as subtribe Glycininae (Lackey 1977a, c; Polhill 1994). The closest relatives of *Glycine*, based on chloroplast DNA phylogenies, are *Teramnus*, *Amphicarpaea* (hog-peanut, whose tubers were eaten by indigenous peoples of North America), and the tribe Psoraleeae (Stefanovic et al. 2009). These genera diverged from one another around 10 million years ago (Stefanovic et al. 2009).

The genus *Glycine* is divided into two subgenera, *Glycine* Willd. (perennial) and *Soja* (Moench) F.J. Herm (annual). The subgenus *Soja* includes two species: an economically very important crop, the soybean [(*G. max* (L.) Merr.)], and its wild annual progenitor, *G. soja* Sieb. and Zucc. The two species are cross-compatible and producing vigorous and fertile F_1 hybrids, suggesting that they have similar genomes (Singh and Hymowitz 1988). The subgenus *Glycine* contains 26 wild perennial species, which are indigenous to Australia, diverse morphologically, cytologically, and genomically, and grow in a wide range of agroclimatic regions of Australia (Chung and Singh 2008).

Soybean is rich in seed protein (about 40%) and oil (about 20%) and enriches the soil by fixing nitrogen through symbiosis with bacteria. In the international world trade markets, soybean is ranked number one (53%) among the major oil crops such as rapeseed, groundnut (peanut), cottonseed, sunflower seed, linseed, sesame seeds, and safflower (Fig. 5.1; FAO-STAT 2008; *http://www.fao.org*). Even though soybean is a very valuable legume crop, it is not considered a model plant for cytogenetic studies because of the large number of chromosomes (2n = 40) (Karpechenko 1925; *Soja hispida*, syn. *G. max*), their small and similar chromosome size (1.42–2.84 µm; Sen and Vidyabhusan 1960), and





lack of morphological distinguishing landmarks (Ladizinsky et al. 1979; Singh 2003). Using primarily restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and simple sequence repeat (SSR) marker loci, 20 molecular linkage groups (MLGs) have been developed (Song et al. 2004; Xia et al. 2007), however the cytogenetic knowledge of the soybean lags far behind many crops such as maize, barley, rice, wheat, tomato, Brassicas, pea, and faba bean (Singh 2003; Zou et al. 2003; Singh et al. 2007a, b).

5.2 Basic Botany of the Species

5.2.1 Taxonomy

The taxonomy of cultivated soybean is as follows:

Order	Fabales
Family	Fabaceae (Leguminosae)
Subfamily	Papilionoideae
Tribe	Phaseoleae
Subtribe	Glycininae
Genus	Glycine Willd.
Subgenus	Soja (Moench) F. J. Herm.
Botanical name	Glycine max (L.) Merr.

The taxonomy of the genus *Glycine* to which soybean belongs has been revised many times. Hermann (1962) divided the genus *Glycine* into three subgenera (Table 5.1): *Leptocyamus* (Benth.) F. J. Herm. with six wild perennial species indigenous to Australia and the Pacific Islands, *Glycine* L. with two species of African

origin, and Soja (Moench) F. J. Herm. containing soybean [G. max (L.) Merr.] and G. ussuriensis Regel and Maack. Table 5.2 shows the revision of the genus Glycine by Verdcourt (1966). Verdcourt (1970) questioned the validity of G. *javanica* L. as it has 2n = 22 or 44 chromosomes, and the chromosomes (morphology) are larger than those of other species of the genus Glycine. He kept the generic name and proposed G. wightii (R. Grah. Ex Wight and Arn.) Verdcourt as the species name. He changed the names of the genus Glycine L. to Glycine Willd. and the names of two of the subgenera of Glycine: subgenus Leptocyamus (Benth.) Hermann became a synonym of *Glycine* subgenus *Glycine*; subgenus Soja (Moench) Hermann was unchanged. Lackey (1977b) later removed G. wightii (Arnott) Verdcourt from the genus *Glycine* and designated it *Neonotonia* wightii (Wight and Arn.) J.A. Lackey, because it has 2n = 22 or 44 large mitotic metaphase chromosomes and canavanine (non-proteinogenic amino acid) in seeds.

Table 5.3 shows the current information on the taxonomy of genus *Glycine*. The species in the subgenus *Glycine* are distributed in Australia and various South and West Pacific Islands (Hymowitz and Singh 1987; Doyle et al. 2003; Chung and Singh 2008). Of the 26 perennial species, *Glycine tomentella* Hayata constitutes four cytotypes (2n = 38, 40, 78, 80), and *G. hirticaulis* Tindale and Craven, and *G. tabacina* (Labill.) Benth. have accessions with 2n = 40 and 80 chromosomes, respectively (Table 5.3). *Glycine tomentella* accessions with 2n = 80 chromosomes with A-, D-, and E-genomes were distributed in
Species	Distribution
Subgenus Leptocyamus	
Glycine clandestina Wendl.	Australia; Formosa (Taiwan), Micronesia
var. sericea Benth.	Australia
G. falcata Benth.	Australia
<i>G. latrobeana</i> (Meissn.) Benth.	Australia
G. canescens F. J. Herm.	Australia
G. tabacina (Labill.) Benth.	Australia; S. China; S. Pacific Islands
G. tomentella Hayata	Australia; S. China; Philippines; Formosa (Taiwan)
Subgenus Glycine	
<i>G. petitiana</i> (A. Rich.) Schweinf.	Ethiopia
G. javanica L.	India; Malaya (Malaysia)
ssp. micrantha (Hochst.) F.J.Herm.	Tropical Africa
var. <i>claessensii</i> (De Wild.) Hauman	Uganda to Nyasaland (Republic of Malawi)
var. paniculata Hauman	Belgian Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo)
var. <i>longicauda</i> (Schweinf.) Bak.	Ethiopia to Angola
var. <i>moniliformis</i> (Hochst.) F.J.Herm.	Ethiopia to Eritrea
subsp. <i>pseudojavanica</i> (Taub.) Hauman	Belgian Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo) to Angola
var. <i>laurentii</i> (De Wild.) Hauman	Belgian Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo)
Subgenus Soja	
G. ussuriensis Regal&Maack.	Asia
G. max (L.) Merr.	Cultigen

Table 5.1 Systematic classification of the *Glycine* species L.(Hermann 1962)

Table 5.2	Revision of the	e genus <i>Glycine</i> by	Verdcourt (1966)
Genus Glya	cine Willd.		

Subgenus Glycine
Glycine clandestina Wendl.
var. sericea Benth.
G. falcata Benth.
G. latrobeana (Meissn.) Benth.
G. canescens F. J. Herm.
G. tabacina (Labill.) Benth.
G. tomentella Hayata
Subgenus Bracteata Verdcourt
G. wightii (R.Grah. ex Wight and Arn.) Verdcourt
Subgenus Soja
G. soja Sieb. and Zucc.
G. max (L.) Merr.

Australia, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Indonesia, Miyako Island of Japan, and southern China, including Fujian and Taiwan, while 80-chromosome G. tabacina has been collected from Australia, Tonga, Vanuatu, Ryukyu Islands, and Taiwan. Tateishi and Ohashi (1992) examined Glycine of Taiwan and recognized four species: G. dolichocarpa Tateishi and Ohashi, G. tomentella, G. tabacina, and G. max subsp. formosana (Hosokawa, Tateishi and Ohashi). Glycine dolichocarpa contains 2n = 80 chromosomes, and G. tomentella and G. tabacina of Taiwan contain 2n = 80 chromosomes (Singh unpublished results). Subsequent study has shown that the tabacina complex consists of two allopolyploid species (G. tabacina and G. pescadrensis) that share one of their two genomes; both species are found in Taiwan (Doyle et al. 1990c, 1999a, b, 2000). G. dolichocarpa is "G. tomentella T2" of Doyle et al. (2002). All of these polyploids are thought to have arisen in Australia, and migratory birds are thought to have been responsible for their dispersal throughout the Pacific (Hymowitz et al. 1990).

The subgenus Soja includes soybean, cultigen (G. max), and its wild progenitor G. soja Sieb. and Zucc. Both species are annual, contain 2n = 40 chromosomes, are cross-compatible, and produce fertile F₁ plants, and gene exchange between them is possible. Broich and Palmer (1980) used cluster analysis techniques to examine phenotypic variation among G. max, G. soja, and G. gracilis. Glycine max and G. soja were found to be morphologically distinct, and G. gracilis was found to be conspecific with G. max. Thseng et al. (1999) identified a new species G. formosana Hosokawa from Taiwan based on pod morphology, allozyme, and DNA polymorphisms, and concluded that the newly defined species is different from G. soja, though they did not hybridize both species. It is likely that G. formosana is a variant of G. soja. Thus, we have not included G. formosana in Table 5.3.

5.2.2 Morphology

Cultivated and wild soybeans differ in a set of various morphological and physiological characteristics collectively designated as the domestication syndrome (Broich and Palmer 1980, 1981). The typical

 Table 5.3
 Taxonomy of the Genus Glycine Willd

Species	Mol. group	2 <i>n</i>	Genome Symbol	0	PI- number	G number	Distribution	Species described since Tables 5.1
			N	t				and 5.2
Subgenus Glycine								
G. albicans Tindale and Craven		40	I	A	_	2049	Aust. : WA	Tindale and Craven (1988)
G. aphyonota B. Pfeil		40	I ₃	А		2589	Aust. : WA	Pfeil and Craven (2002)
G. arenaria Tindale		40	Н	А	505204	1305	Aust. : WA	Tindale (1986b)
G. argyrea Tindale		40	A ₂	А	505151	1420	Aust. : Q	Tindale (1984)
G. canescens F.J. Hermann		40	А	А	440932	1853	Aust. : Q, NSW, V, SA, NT, WA	
G. clandestina Wendl.		40	A ₁	А	440958	1126	Aust. : Q, NSW, V, SA, T	
G. curvata Tindale		40	C ₁	С	505166	1849	Aust. : Q	Tindale (1986a)
G. cyrotoloba Tindale		40	C	С	440962	1184	Aust. : Q, NSW	Tindale (1984)
G. falcata Benth.		40	F	A	505179	1155	Aust. : Q, NT, WA	D0 11 1 (0000)
<i>G. gracei</i> B.E. Pfeil and Craven		40	?	?	H 1046	3124	Aust. : NT	Pfeil et al. (2006)
G. hirticaulis Tindale and		40	$H_1, (??)$	A, (A)	IL1246	2876	Aust. : NT	(1988)
Craven		80			IL943	1956	Aust. : NT	T
G. lactovirens Tindale and Craven		40	\mathbf{I}_1	А	IL1247	2720	Aust. : WA	Tindale and Craven (1988)
G. latifolia (Benth.) Newell and Hymowitz		40	B ₁	В	378709	1697	Aust. : Q, NSW	Newell and Hymowitz (1980)
G. latrobeana (Meissn.) Benth		40	A ₃	А	483196	1385	Aust. : V, SA, T	
<i>G. microphylla</i> (Benth.)		40	В	В	440956	1867	Aust. : Q, NSW, V, SA, T	Tindale (1986b)
G. montis-douglas B.E.Pfeil and		40	?	?			Aust. : NT	Pfeil et al. (2006)
<i>G. peratosa</i> B.E. Pfeil and Tindale		40	A ₅	А		2916	Aust. : WA	Pfeil et al. (2001)
G. pescadrensis Havata		80	AB_1	А	440996	1433	Aust. : Q, NSW; Taiwan, Japan	Pfeil et al. (2006)
G. pindanica Tindale and Crayen		40	H ₂	А	595818	2951	Aust. : WA	Tindale and Craven (1993)
<i>G. pullenii</i> B. Pfeil, Tindale and Craven		40	H ₃	А		2599	Aust. : WA	Pfeil and Craven (2002)
G. rubiginosa Tindale and B. E. Pfeil		40	A_4	Α	440954	1874	Aust. : NSW, SA, WA	Pfeil et al. (2001)
G. stenophita B. Pfeil and Tindale		40	B ₃	В	378705	2600	Aust. : Q, NSW; (Japan ??)	Doyle et al. (2000)
G. syndetika B.E. Pfeil and	D4	40	A6		441000	1300	Aust. : Q	Pfeil et al. (2006)
G. dolichocarpa Tateishi and Ohashi		80	D ₁ A	?			Taiwan	Tateishi and Ohashi (1992)
G. tabacina		40	B ₂	В	373990	1317	Aust. : O, NSW	
(Labill.) Benth.		80	² BB ₁ ;BB ₂ ;B ₁ B ₂	В	373992	1314	Aust. :Q, NSW, V, SA; West Central and South Pacific Islands ^a	

(continued)

Table 5.3 (continued)

Species	Mol. group	2 <i>n</i>	Genome Symbol		PI- number	G number	Distribution	Species described since Tables 5.1
			Ν	С				and 5.2
G. tomentella	D1, D2	38	Е	А	440998	1858	Aust. : Q	
Hayata	D3	40	D	Α	505222	1749	Aust. : Q, WA, PNG	
	D5B	40	H ₂	А	505294	1943	Aust. : WA	
	D5A	40	D_2	Α	505203	1303	Aust. : WA, NT	
	T1	78	D_3E	Α	441001	1133	Aust. : Q, NSW; PNG	
	T5	78	AE	А	509501	1487	Aust. : NSW	
	T6	78	$E H_2$	Α	505286	1945	Aust. : WA	
	T2	80	DA ₆	А	441005	1188	Aust. : Q; Taiwan	
	T3	80	D D ₂	А	483219	1927	Aust. : Q, NT, WA; PNG; Timor	
	T4	80	DH2	А	330961	1348	Aust. : Q, NT, WA; Philippines, Taiwan	
Subgenus Soja (Ma	ench) F. J. Herm	ann						
G. soja Sieb.& Zuc	rc	40	G	G	51762		China, Japan, Russia, Korea, Taiwan	
G. max (L.) Merr.		40	G_1	G			Cultigen; worldwide	

Abbreviations: N nuclear, C Chloroplast, PI Plant introduction, G CSIRO (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization) number, Aust Australia, Q Queensland, NSW New South Wales, NT Northern Territory, SA South Australia, V Victoria, WA Western Australia, T Tasmanisa, PNG Papua New Guinea, IL Illinois

^aTaiwan (Quemoy Is., Pescadores, Penghu Is., Paisha Is., Hsiaomen Is., Hsiyu Is., Chumei Is., Ghebay Is., Tiachung), Japan (Ryukyu, Miyako Is., Ie Is., Kurima Is., Shimaji Is., Ishigaki Is.), Marianas (Tinian Is., Saipan Is.), Fiji, Tonga, Vanuatu, New Caledonia, Niue

cultivated phenotype displays a bush-type growth habit with a stout primary stem and sparse branches, bearing large seeds with variable seed coat colors, while the wild phenotype is a procumbent or climbing vine with a slender, many branched stem bearing small, coarse black seeds. The wild soybean also differs in the extent of hard seededness and pod dehiscence from the cultivated soybean, although genetic variations also exist in the latter for these traits.

Soybean is an annual plant. It exhibits taproot growth initially, followed later by the development of a large number of secondary roots. The roots establish a symbiotic relationship with the nitrogen fixing bacterium, *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, through formation of root nodules. Soybean has four different types of leaves: the seed leaves (first pair of simple cotyledons) (Fig. 5.2a, b; *epigeal germination*), simple primary leaves (Fig. 5.2c), pinnately trifoliolate leaves (Fig. 5.2d), and the prophylls (a pair of 1 mm long simple leaves at the base of each lateral branch) [see Lersten and Carlson (2004) for detailed description of vegetative morphology].

Two loci are known to control stem termination (Dt1 and Dt2; Woodworth 1933; Bernard 1972). With the determinate stem type (dt1), there is usually little growth in stem length after flowering, with blunt

stem termination and a terminal raceme; whereas with the indeterminate stem type (Dt1), stem elongation and node production continue after flowering, producing a longer, more tapered main stem and branches. There is considerable variation in stem growth within each of these two types, with the time of flowering and of maturity having major effects on stem morphology. An intermediate phenotype conditioned by the Dt2 genotype is called "semi-determinate" (Bernard 1972). Thompson et al. (1997) identified a third allele (dt1 - t) at the Dt1 locus. It produces a phenotype for plant height that is similar to Dt2 but with fewer main stems and nodes and larger terminal leaflets.

Soybean plants enter into reproductive stages following vegetative growth. Axillary buds develop into clusters of 2–35 flowers. From 20 to 80% of the flowers abscise (Carlson and Lersten 2004). Generally, the earliest and latest flowers produced abort most often. Soybean has a typical papilionaceous flower with a tubular calyx of five unequal sepals and a five-part corolla. The corolla consists of a standard posterior banner petal, two lateral wings and two anterior keel petals contacting with each other but not fused (Fig. 5.3a). The stamens are clustered around the stigma, ensuring self-pollination (Fig. 5.3b). The



Fig. 5.2 Germination of soybean: (a) epigeal germination with two cotyledons leaves, (b) cotyledon leaves with emerging primary leaves, (c) simple primary leaves, (d) trifoliolate leaves [with permission from Chung and Singh (2008)]

gynoecium consists of an ovary, style, and stigma (Fig. 5.3c). As many as four ovules develop in the ovary. Nine stamens are arranged in two whorls; the outer and inner whorls contain five and four stamens, respectively. The two whorls of nine stamens align themselves into a single whorl on a staminal tube. The larger and older stamens alternate with the smaller and younger stamens in sequence around the developing gynoecium. The single free stamen (the tenth) is the last to appear (Fig. 5.3d). Soybean is highly self-pollinated with natural crossing usually below 1% because the stamens are elevated so that the anthers form a ring around the stigma. Thus, pollen is shed directly on the stigma surface, ensuring self-pollination (Carlson and Lersten 2004).

When the pollen grains are shed onto the stigma, they germinate, and the pollen tubes travel through style and enter into the filiform apparatus. The pollen tube tip bursts and releases two sperm nuclei. One sperm nucleus fuses with the egg nucleus and forms a zygote, while the second sperm unites with the two secondary nuclei, forming cotyledons. The seeds mature about 50 days after fertilization. They are devoid of endosperm and contain two large fleshy cotyledons, a plumule with two well-developed primary leaves enclosing one trifoliolate leaf primordium; a hypocotyl-radicle axis, a micropyle, and a hilum with a central fissure and a raphe (see Carlson and Lersten 2004).

The inflorescence of each node of the soybean plant may develop into one to more than 20 pods. A plant may produce up to 400 pods and over 1,000 seeds (Singh unpublished results). The soybean pod is similar to that of other legumes. A pod usually contains 1-3 seeds and rarely 4 seeds, except for plants that have the *na* allele that produces narrow leaflets and a much higher proportion of 4-seeded pods.

The stem of *G. max* is usually erect and produces axillary flowers (Fig. 5.4a), and wild perennial species are twining, climbing (Fig. 5.4b–d), or procumbent, and exhibit morphologically distinct traits. *Glycine cyrtoloba* and *G. curvata* contain curved pods (Fig. 5.4d); *G. microphylla* (Benth.) Tindale, *G. latifolia* (Benth.) Newell and Hymowitz, and *G. tabacina* (Labill.) Benth. carry adventitious roots, while *G. falcata* Benth. produces falcate pods (Fig. 5.4e). Wild perennial species show variation in flower color, from light purple (light pink; *G. falcata*) to dark purple, white, and blue.





Fig. 5.3 Reproductive organs (identified) of soybean: (**a**) complete mature flower, (**b**) mature androecium, (**c**) a mature gynoecium with stigma, style, and ovary, (**d**) mature anthers with five

anthers on longer filament (outer whorl), four anthers on shorter filament (inner whorl), and one free anther (*arrow*) always below the stigma [with permission from Singh et al. (2007b)]



Fig. 5.4 Morphological diversity in *Glycine* species: (**a**). *Glycine max* with axillary flower, (**b**) *Glycine canescens* showing flowers on a long raceme, (**c**) *Glycine tomentella* with twinning

stem and short raceme, (d) *Glycine curvata* with curved pod; a characteristic morphological trait, (e) *Glycine falcata* showing falcate pods on a raceme

5.3 Basic Chromosome Number of *Glycine*

The lowest chromosome numbers in Glycine (2n = 38, 40) are higher than those of related phaseoloid genera, among which 2n = 10 and 11 predominates (Goldblatt 1981). Lackey (1980) summarized chromosome counts of 53 species out of a total of 84 for the tribe Phaseoleae and reported that "the unique chromosome number of *Glycine* is probably derived from diploid ancestors with base number 11, which have undergone aneuploid loss to base number 10 and subsequent polyploidy to give somatic counts of 40 or sometime 80." Such a hypothesis is not inconsistent with the chromosome numbers of the closest generic relatives suggested by the chloroplast phylogeny (Stefanovic et al. 2009): Amphicarpaea, 2n = 22; Psoraleeae, 2n = 20, 22; though *Teramnus* is 2n = 28.

Zhu et al. (1995) discussed at great length the hypothetical ancestors of soybean based on comparisons of the sequence of the RFLP locus A-199a. Neonotonia (2n = 22) was the closest genus to Glycine, followed by Amphicarpa (2n = 22) and then *Teramnus* (2n = 28). By contrast, Lee and Hymowitz (2001) and Doyle et al. (2003) proposed that *Teramnus* is the closest relative of the Glycine on the basis of molecular taxonomy using a single chloroplast gene region; a more comprehensive study of chloroplast DNA sequence variation indicated Psoraleeae as the likely sister group to the chloroplast genome of Glycine and concluded that Teramnus and Amphicarpaea were also closely related (Stefanovic et al. 2009). Darlington and Wylie (1955) proposed x = 10 as the basic chromosome number of the genus Glycine. However, ancestral diploid Glycine species with 2n = 20 have not been identified (Hadley and Hymowitz 1973; Lackey 1980; Kumar and Hymowitz 1989). Walling et al. (2006) reported that the 40 chromosomes of soybean have been "derived from at least two rounds of genome-wide duplication or polyploidy events." Thus, it has been assumed that the basic chromosome number of the genus Glycine (as with all phaseoloid legumes) is x = 5.

Genetic and genomic studies have corroborated some aspects of this hypothesis. The genetic linkage map of soybean shows evidence of two nested duplications (Lee et al. 1999), and duplications in the leghemoglobin gene family are consistent with whole-genome duplications (Lee and Verma 1984). More recently, consideration of divergence of duplicate (paralogous) gene pairs estimated from expressed sequence tags (ESTs) revealed the presence of two whole-genome duplications (Blanc and Wolfe 2004; Schlueter et al. 2004; Shoemaker et al. 2006). The older of these duplications, dated at around 50 Mya, is shared with Medicago truncatula (Pfeil et al. 2005; Cannon et al. 2006). Medicago is a member of one of the other major clades of papilionoid legumes, the Hologalegina, which also includes pea (Pisum sativum), clover (Trifolium species), broad bean (Vicia faba), and other crop plants (Lewis et al. 2005); it is the sister group to the millettioid legumes (Wojciechowski et al. 2004). However, the lowest chromosome numbers of Hologalegina are 2n = 14 or 16 and not 2n = 10 or 20. So it is unclear what the chromosome number of the common ancestor of Hologalegina and the phaseoloid legumes was. Clearly, however, there was evolution of chromosome number early in the evolution of the papilionoid legumes.

Although chromosome number and genetic/genomic data indicate that Glycine has undergone a second, more recent whole genome duplication, none of this information sheds light on whether that event involved the duplication of the genome of a single species (taxonomic autopolyploidy) or whether the origin of Glycine involved hybridization between two diploid species (taxonomic allopolyploidy). It had been hypothesized that a putative ancestor of the genus *Glycine* with 2n = 2x = 20 likely arose in Southeast Asia and then underwent auto- or allopolyploidization (Darlington and Wylie 1955; Kumar and Hymowitz 1989; Singh and Hymowitz 1999; Lee and Hymowitz 2001; Singh et al. 2001). Initial molecular evolutionary studies concluded that G. max is an autotetraploid species (Gurley et al. 1979; Lee and Verma 1984; Skorupska et al. 1989), but other cytological (Hymowitz 1970; Xu et al. 2000a, b) and molecular research (e.g., Zhu et al. 1995) suggested that the species is a diploidized ancient (paleo) allotetraploid.

All of the *Glycine* species studied by Singh and Hymowitz (1985a) showed diploid-like meiosis, making modern *Glycine* a genetic allopolyploid with diverged homoeologous loci. It is assumed that plant species that begin their existence having multisomic inheritance characteristic of genetic autopolyploids eventually evolve to become chromosomally "diploidized" fixed heterozygotes with disomic segregation like plants that begin as genetic allopolyploids (Doyle et al. 2008). Thus, the current disomic genetic behavior of *Glycine* does not preclude an autopolyploid origin. Gene phylogenies are capable of diagnosing an allopolyploid origin if the progenitors of an allopolyploid are not extinct, but a phylogenetic pattern in which the two homoeologous copies of a polyploid are sister to one another (rather than each being sister to a gene from a different diploid) is consistent either with autopolyploidy or allopolyploidy involving extinct diploid progenitors (Doyle and Egan 2010). The latter, unfortunately, is the pattern observed in *Glycine* (Doyle et al. 2003; Straub et al. 2006), leaving the question of auto- vs. allopolyploid origins unanswerable by phylogenetic data. A recent cytological analysis used fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) to show that two classes of centromeric heterochromatin repeats are localized on two nearly mutually exclusive sets of soybean chromosomes, suggestive of subgenomes derived from two progenitor genomes through allopolyploidy (Gill et al. 2009). Regardless of the mode of its origin, the Glycine is composed of blocks of duplicated, homoeologous sequences at the megabase scale (e.g., Innes et al. 2008; Cannon et al. 2009; Schmutz et al. 2010).

The timing of divergence of duplicated genes in the soybean genome provides an estimate for the age of the recent polyploid event that produced the current 2n = 40 genome of "diploid" *Glycine*. This homoeolog divergence time lies between 10 and 15 million years (Schlueter et al. 2004, 2007; Innes et al. 2008). However, the divergence of homoeologs in an allopolyploid gives the divergence time of the diploid progenitors, and not the date of the polyploid event (Gaut and Doebley 1997; Doyle and Egan 2010), so the 10–15 Mya date for *Glycine* is the maximum age. The minimum age of the polyploid event is approximately 5 Mya, the age estimated for the earliest speciation events within Glycine, which divided the soybean lineage from the Australian perennial species (Innes et al. 2008). This estimate is based on the parsimonious assumption that all of the 2n = 40 species in the genus are derived from the same polyploidy event.

5.4 Geographical Distribution of *Glycine*

Table 5.3 shows the current information on the genus *Glycine*. The cultivated soybean, *G. max*, has a worldwide distribution. Morphologically, the species is var-

iable in characteristics that have been the targets of human selection, such as seed coat color and pattern.

G. soja is distributed throughout China and in adjacent areas of the lowlands of the Russian Far East region, Korea, and Japan (Hymowitz et al. 1998; Shimamoto et al. 1998; Tozuka et al. 1998; Zhuang 1999; Chung and Singh 2008), at the latitudes between approximately 24° and 53°N and the longitudes between approximately 97° and 143°E. The annual wild soybeans are typical ruderal plants and are usually found in semi-disturbed areas. This is particularly true of the weedy "G. gracilis" (see below, which grows mainly as a twining vine around relatively tall herbs or bushes). When there are no tall plants to climb, the wild soybeans show prostrate or bush forms (Ohara and Shimamoto 1994, 2002). In China, G. soja has a particularly wide distribution and is found in nearly all provinces, except for Hainan, Qinghai, and Xinjiang Provinces, occurring on waste land, mountain slopes, in farmer's fields, and along field margins, hedgerows, roadsides, riverbanks, lakesides, and in marshland, at altitudes from 5 to 2,650 m above sea level. The ruderal nature of G. soja makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the original native range of the species.

G. gracilis Skvortz. is considered to be a weedy or semi-wild form of G. max, with some phenotypic characteristics intermediate to those of G. max and G. soja. Once considered an intermediate in the speciation of G. max from G. soja (Fukuda 1933), it is more likely an early generation hybrid between the wild G. soja and the cultigen, G. max (Hymowitz 1970), usually being found in areas where the cultivated soybean and its wild ancestor have a sympatric distribution. It was mostly reported in the northeast part of China (Hymowitz 1970). However, herbarium specimens collected from a wider geographic range show intermediate characteristics between G. max and G. soja. Therefore, more detailed surveys may reveal a more extensive occurrence of this weedy soybean in China as well as adjacent areas (Korea and Japan) in which G. max and G. soja coexist. Nevertheless, the weedy soybean was never reported in other parts of the world such as the Americas and Europe where only the cultivated soybean was grown. Information on the geographic distribution of close relatives of the cultivated soybean is extremely important for the estimation of the effect of transgene escape from the cultivated soybean to its wild relatives through gene flow. This is because spatial contact or sympatric distribution is the prerequisite for regular gene exchange between two taxa, provided that a certain frequency of cross-pollination occurs.

All of the diploid species of subgenus Glycine are confined to Australia with the exception of G. tomentella D3, which also occurs in eastern Papua New Guinea (Hymowitz and Singh 1987; Shimamoto 1999, Doyle et al. 2003). Of the eight tetraploid species of the subgenus, five also occur outside of Australia in islands of the South Pacific, and as far north as Taiwan and the Ryukyu chain (Hymowitz and Singh 1987; Zhuang 1999; Doyle et al. 2002; Singh et al. 2007a, b; Chung and Singh 2008). Morphologically, most of these perennial species are twining vines somewhat reminiscent of G. soja. In addition to producing showy, chasmogamous flowers capable of outcrossing, many, if not all, species produce selfing, cleistogamous flowers. G. falcata and G. albicans are geocarpic.

5.5 Conservation Initiatives

With the rapid development of the global economy and continued increase in world population, natural environments face serious deterioration and change, which has led to the extinction or severe endangerment of many plant species including important crops and their wild relatives. At the same time, humans depend more and more on the wild relatives to enhance crop productivity in breeding by transferring genes from the wild gene pool. Effective conservation and exploitation of these wild relatives and their genetic diversity become essential factors in guaranteeing global food security and sustainable crop production. A great number of wild soybean populations has unfortunately become extinct or has been significantly reduced in recent decades due to the loss of a significant portion of their natural habitats at many locations in China. It is, therefore, vitally important to conserve wild soybean genetic resources.

For effective conservation of genetic diversity presented in wild soybean natural populations, either through in situ or ex situ approach, it is essential to have an appropriate sampling strategy to capture possibly high genetic diversity with a manageable amount of samples. For sampling in a wild soybean population, after an appropriate number of individuals to be collected are determined, the spatial intervals among individuals become another essential parameter for achieving a successful sampling strategy. Many studies have been done to evaluate the genetic diversity within accessions of wild soybean (Yu and Kiang 1993; Maughan et al. 1995; Choi et al. 1999; Dong et al. 2001; Kuroda et al. 2006; Li and Nelson 2001, 2002; Maughan et al. 1995; Wang and Takahata 2007; Yu et al. 1993; Xu et al. 2002; Xu and Gai 2003; Cho et al. 2006). However, little attention has been given to the fine scale genetic structure within natural populations of wild soybean, which is crucial for its ex situ conservation. An extensive wild soybean exploration in South Korean peninsula has produced over 4,000 accessions (Chung personal communication). Because the subgenus Glycine is the tertiary (GP-3) or quaternary (GP-4) gene pool for the cultivated soybean and harbors desirable agronomic traits, such as drought tolerance and disease and insect resistance, the group has been collected extensively. More than 2,000 accessions of the subgenus Glycine germplasm were collected, which provided the foundation for its biosystematic and molecular phylogenetic studies (Doyle et al. 2003), and a methodology has been developed to produce fertile plants between soybean (GP-1) and G. tomentella (2n = 78; GP-3) (Singh 2007). This method unlocks new, useful, economically important genes, not present in the soybean gene pool, from G. tomentella (Singh unpublished results).

5.6 Genetic Diversity in Glycine

The key factor for the effective conservation of genetic diversity is to accurately measure the genetic diversity of the targeted plant species. It will become possible to compare the level of genetic diversity among populations or species only when estimation of the total genetic diversity of the populations and species is correct and objective. During the past two decades, literature on genomic relationships in *Glycine* has been dominated by cytogenetics and molecular studies, including nuclear [seed protein electrophoresis, isozyme variation, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), simple sequence repeat (SSR),

sequences variation in the gene such as internal transcribed region (ITS) region of rDNA], extra-nuclear (chloroplast and mitochondrial DNA variation), and genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) by multicolor FISH. Molecular techniques are extremely powerful tools, especially for determining species relationships where production of interspecific or intergeneric hybrids is not feasible by conventional methods (Singh 2003).

The level of genetic diversity and geographic differentiation in Chinese cultivated soybean have been extensively studied using the coefficient of parentage (Cui et al. 2000a, b), morphological traits (Dong et al. 2004), RFLPs of chloroplast and mitochondrial DNA (Shimamoto et al. 2000), RAPD (Li et al. 2001) and simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers (Li et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2008), showing a clear geographic effect on genetic structure. Comparisons between the diversity of different samples of Asian soybean landraces and that of North American cultivars have demonstrated a lower level of diversity in the American pools than in the Asian pools, using either phenotypic characterization (Cui et al. 2000a, 2001) or the coefficient of parentage (Cui et al. 2000b). This reduced diversity was confirmed using sequence analyses to show successive genetic bottlenecks between wild and cultivated soybeans and between Asian landraces and North American cultivars (Hyten et al. 2006). Genetic diversity in soybean is covered in great detail by Carter et al. (2004).

Many studies have been conducted to evaluate the genetic diversity within accessions of wild soybean species (Kuroda et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2008; Li and Nelson 2001, 2002; Shimamoto et al. 1998; Wang and Takahata 2007; Xu et al. 2002). During the long-term evolutionary process, the wild soybean (G. soja) has accumulated tremendously rich genetic diversity by natural selection and its adaptation to various ecologic conditions and the continued changes of the environments. This adaptive evolutionary process has eventually led to the formation of diversification patterns of the wild soybean (Zhuang 1999). Soybean and its wild annual relative G. soja contain a great deal of diversity (Carter et al. 2004). This includes diversity for many obvious morphological traits like flower, pubescence, seed and hilum color, disease, and insect resistance traits, physiological and biochemical traits, as well as content of protein, oil, and carbohydrates and their constituents (Boerma and Specht 2004). Glycine soja is a source of genetic variation to improve soybeans. To improve the efficiency evaluation of conserved germplasm, a core or mini-core collection approach that maximizes allelic diversity in a proportion of the whole collection has frequently been advocated. In addition to being essential for elucidation of the origins and evolution of cultivated soybean, knowledge gained in annual wild soybean may provide useful genetic information for more efficient improvement of soybean cultivars.

The genomic relationships among most of the diploid (2n = 40) perennial species in the subgenus Glycine have been reviewed by Chung and Singh (2008). These relationships were established using cytogenetic methods, biochemical techniques, and molecular tools. Thus, species with the same genome designation are expected to be able to be crossed to produce viable, vigorous, and fertile F_1 plants (Table 5.3). For *Glycine* species with dissimilar genome designations, the crossability is extremely low, the pods and/or seeds may abort, and any seedlings that develop are weak and sterile (Singh and Hymowitz 1988; Singh et al. 1992a; Kollipara et al. 1993). A much more detailed discussion of the species and species relationships in the subgenus Glycine is presented by Chung and Singh (2008). The polyploid and aneuploid members of the perennial species have been studied less and appear to have more complex relationships. Allopolyploidization has played a key role in the recent diversification of subgenus Glycine and, as noted above, may have been involved in the origin of the genus as a whole.

5.7 National and International Germplasm Collection

The largest collection of soybean germplasm is at the National Crop Gene Bank in Beijing, China, with 25,034 accessions of *G. max* and 6,172 accessions of wild soybean (*G. soja*) (*http://icgr.caas.net.cn/cgris introduction.html*: accessed 15 March 2010). The USDA-ARS, Urbana, IL, USA collection (*http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/site_holding.pl?SOY*: accessed 15 March 2010) holds 1,181 accessions of wild soybean and 18,932 accessions of *G. max*.

More than 70 additional countries maintain more than 170,000 G. max accessions (Carter et al. 2004) and fewer than 10,000 *G. soja* accessions. Many remote regions of Southeast Asia including Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, Myanmar, and the northeastern and North Himalayan regions have not been explored as yet for landraces of soybean and wild soybean. The USDA Soybean Germplasm Collection, Urbana, Illinois, maintains 996 accessions of the 19 wild perennial species (*http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/ html/site_holding.pl?SOY*), and CSIRO maintains the largest collection of perennial *Glycine* species.

5.8 Phylogenetic Studies in Glycine

5.8.1 Taxonomy, Crossability, and Classical Studies

The name Glycine was originally introduced by Linnaeus in his first edition of Genera Plantaram (Linnaeus 1737). This new genus was based on Apios of Boerhaave (Linnaeus 1753). Glycine is derived from the Greek glykus (sweet). The name probably refers to the sweetness of the edible tubers produced by G. apios L. (Henderson 1881), now known as Apios americana Medik. Linnaeus in his 1753 publication Species Plantarum listed eight Glycine species. All these were subsequently moved to other genera; thus the Greek word *glykys* currently does not refer to any Glycine species (Hymowitz and Singh 1987). Since the time of Linnaeus, scholars have discussed the correct nomenclature for the cultivated soybean. The combination G. max as proposed by Merrill in 1917 is now generally accepted as the valid designation of the cultivated soybean. The genus Glycine has had many species added to it and subsequently removed over the years, including the removal of the original lectotype (Bentham 1864, 1865; Hitchcock and Green 1947; Hermann 1962; Verdcourt 1970; Lackey 1977a, b; Hymowitz and Singh 1987; Hymowitz 2004; Orf 2010).

There has been no adequate comprehensive study of biosystematic and evolutionary relationships of all species in the genus *Glycine*. However, the annual (subgenus *Soja*) and perennial (subgenus *Glycine*) soybean species are significantly distantly related (Doyle et al. 2003), having diverged from a common ancestor around 5 Mya (Innes et al. 2008). Early attempts to hybridize species between the subgenus Soja and subgenus Glycine were unsuccessful. In these studies, the pods resulted from interspecific hybridization that was eventually aborted and abscised although pod development could be initiated (Ladizinsky et al. 1979; Hood and Allen 1980). Later, the intersubgeneric F₁ hybrids of G. max \times G. clandestina, G. max \times G. tomentella, and G. $max \times G$. canescens were obtained in vitro either through embryo rescue (Newell and Hymowitz 1982; Singh and Hymowitz 1985d; Singh et al. 1987a) or using transplanted endosperm as a nurse layer (Broué et al. 1982). In general, it is concluded that the cultivated soybean could only hybridize with members of the subgenus Glycine imperfectly and under extreme technical assistance. In all cases, the progeny of such intersubgeneric hybrids were completely sterile and obtained with great difficulty. Research also demonstrated that the cultivated soybean does not hybridize with any of the wild relatives in other genera of the tribe (Hymowitz and Singh 1987).

Crossability rate is an excellent indirect measure for estimating the degree of genomic relationship between parental species. Interspecific crosses involving parental species with similar genomes usually set normal pods, and F₁ seeds and the hybrids are fertile, while in crosses between genomically dissimilar species, seed abortion is common, and hybrids, if obtained, are sterile (Newell and Hymowitz 1983; Grant et al. 1984a, b, 1986; Singh and Hymowitz 1985b, c; Singh et al. 1987b, 1989, 1992a, 1998b; Kollipara et al. 1993). Normal pod set and fertile F₁ hybrids are expected from crosses between morphologically and genomically similar species. However, this is not always true. For example, G. cyrtoloba and G. curvata carry curved pods, and their morphological features are nearly identical (Tindale 1984, 1986a).

In contrast, the cultivated soybean can be easily hybridized with *G. soja* and *G. gracilis* and produce fertile offspring. Interspecific hybridization between *G. max* and *G. soja* (Hadley and Hymowitz 1973; Ahmad et al. 1977, 1983, 1984; Broich 1978) and between *G. max* and *G. gracilis* (Karasawa 1952; Singh and Hymowitz 1989) was performed and fertile interspecific hybrids were obtained without much difficulty. Hybrids between the diploid annual *Glycine* species usually show normal meiosis and are fertile (Zhuang 1999). This strongly supports the viewpoint that the cultivated soybean and the two annual wild relatives share a common primary gene pool. As a matter of fact, *G. max* and *G. gracilis* are extensively used in soybean breeding programs to transfer elite germplasm through sexual interspecific hybridization. This indicates a significantly close biosystematic relationship among the three species. Molecular studies of genetic diversification and variation patterns of *G. max*, *G. soja*, and *G. gracilis* also confirmed a particularly close evolutionary relationship between the three species (Hui et al. 1996; Powell et al. 1996; Hui 1997; Wu et al. 2001).

Based on classical taxonomy, G. soja and G. max are different species (Hermann 1962). Both species carry 2n = 40 chromosomes, hybridize readily, produce viable, vigorous, and fertile hybrids, and some lines differ by a reciprocal translocations (Karasawa 1936; Palmer et al. 1987; Singh and Hymowitz 1988) or by paracentric inversions (Ahmad et al. 1977, 1979). Therefore, G. soja and G. max have now been assigned genome symbols G and G_1 , respectively (Singh et al. 2007b). Putievsky and Broué (1979) laid the foundation of genomic relationships in the genus Glycine. They produced 19 intraspecific and 30 interspecific F₁ hybrids among G. canescens, G. clandestina, G. tomentella (2n = 78, 80), G. falcata, and G. tabacina (2n = 40, 80). In the genus *Glycine*, all F₁ hybrids from crosses among A-(G. canescens, G. argyrea, G. clandestina, and G. syndetika) and B-(G. microphylla, G. latifolia, and G. tabacina) genome species displayed 20 bivalents at metaphase-I in the majority of the sporocytes (Broué et al. 1979; Putievsky and Broué 1979; Newell and Hymowitz 1983; Grant et al. 1984a, b; Singh and Hymowitz 1985b, c; Singh et al. 1988, 1992a, 2007b).

Classical taxonomy has played a major role in the identification and nomenclature of new species in the subgenus *Glycine* (Table 5.3). *Glycine clandestina* (2n = 40) has been observed to be a morphologically highly variable species (Hermann 1962). Newell and Hymowitz (1980) revised the subgenus *Glycine* by proposing a new species, *G. latifolia* Benth. (Newell and Hymowitz). Short pod *G. clandestina* (Singh and Hymowitz 1985b) was removed and named *G. microphylla* (Benth.) Tind. (Tindale 1986b) and curved pod *G. clandestina* was classified as *G. cyrtoloba* (Tindale 1984) and *G. curvata* (Tindale 1986a). Costanza and Hymowitz (1987) observed the presence of adventitious roots in B-genome species (Table 5.3) that

includes *G. microphylla*, *G. latifolia*, and *G. tabacina*. This morphologically distinguishing trait is absent in other *Glycine* species. Tindale and Craven (1988) described three new species (*G. albicans* Tindale and Craven, *G. lactovirens* Tind. and Craven, and *G. hirticaulis* Tind. and Craven). *Glycine hirticaulis* contains accessions with 2n = 40 and 80 chromosomes. Newly described species have restricted geographical habitats in Australia, and they have proven difficult to maintain under greenhouse conditions.

5.8.1.1 G. tomentella

Diploid (2n = 40) G. tomentella accessions have been separated into different groups [D1, D2, D3 (A, B, C), D4, D5, D6, and D7] based on isozyme similarities (Doyle and Brown 1985; Doyle et al. 1986). Later, accessions of the D6 group from Western Australia were classified as G. arenaria Tind. (Tindale 1986b). Singh and Hymowitz (1985b) produced and studied meiotic chromosome pairing between D4 group G. tomentella (PI 441000) and G. clandestina (2n = 40;PI 440948; A₁-genome). The PI 441000 accession (D4) contains long pods and narrow leaves, and its meiotic pairing suggests that it is closer to A-genome species (Singh et al. 1992a; Kollipara et al. 1995). Pfeil et al. (2006) removed PI 441000 and other D4 accessions from G. tomentella and named these G. syndetika B.E. Pfeil and Craven. Singh et al. (2007b) assigned to it a genome symbol A₆. Currently, we have 26 classified wild perennial Glycine species because of extensive plant exploration and taxonomic and molecular studies (Table 5.3).

Of the 26 wild perennial Glycine species, G. tomentella is a unique species because it consists of four cytotypes (2n = 38, 40, 78, 80). However, G. tomentella is not a single species in a biological or genetic sense, because the diploid "isozyme races" noted above are reproductively isolated from one another. It is thus a species complex, whose morphology defies simple separation into separate taxa because the various allopolyploids are fixed hybrids, combining features of their diploid progenitors (see Doyle et al. 2002 for detailed discussion of this complex). Aneudiploid (2n = 38) G. tomentella is distributed in a restricted region of Queensland, Australia. The diploid (2n = 40) cytotype is distributed widely in Australia (Queensland, Northern



Fig. 5.5 Summary of genomic relationships among five groups of aneudiploid (2n = 38; D1 and D2) and diploid (2n = 40; D3 (A, B, C), D4, and D5] *G. tomentella* based on crossability rate and meiotic chromosome pairing in F₁ hybrids. The withingroup crossability rate (%) is shown inside the *circle*. The

Territory, Western Australia) and Papua New Guinea. Isozyme banding patterns grouped the aneudiploids into two isozyme groups (D1 and D2), and the diploids form six isozyme (D3A, D3B, D3C, D4, D5, D6) groups (Doyle and Brown 1985). Cytogenetics revealed that D1 and D2 isozyme groups carry a similar genome and are distinct from other isozyme groups, and Singh et al. (1988) assigned to it the Egenome symbol. (Fig. 5.5; Grant et al. 1984b; Singh et al. 1988). The histone H3-D gene sequence also grouped D4 isozyme accessions with A-genome species (Brown et al. 2002), and the D4 isozyme group G. tomentella was classified as G. syndetika (Pfeil et al. 2006); the A_6 genome symbol was assigned to PI 441000 (Singh and Chung 2007; Singh et al. 2007b). No viable hybrid plants were produced in crosses between accessions of the D5 and D1, D2, D3, and D4 isozyme groups (Fig. 5.5).

The genus *Glycine* offers a good model for studying evolution in natural allopolyploids. The primarily Australian perennial subgenus *Glycine* includes a large, recently formed allopolyploid complex comprising several diploid genomes that have formed polyploids in various combinations, often recurrently (Doyle et al.

between-group crossability rates (number of pod set/total number of flowers pollinated) are shown in the *parenthesis*. *Asterisk* number of aborted pods; *double asterisk* Singh et al. (1988); *S* sterile, *SI* seed inviability [with permission from Kollipara et al. (1993)]

2004). This complex has long been recognized as polytypic, with polyploids classified under three distinct species epithets: *G. tabacina*, *G. pescadrensis* Hayata (formerly known as *G. tabacina* AAB9B9), and *G. tomentella* (reviewed in Doyle et al. 2004).

The progenitor of the wild perennial species of the subgenus *Glycine* radiated out into several morphotypes depending on the growing conditions in the Australian continent. These species were never domesticated and remained wild perennials. In contrast, the pathway of migration from a common progenitor to East Asia is assumed as: wild perennial (2n = 4x = 40; unknown or extinct), wild annual (2n = 4x = 40; G. soja), soybean (2n = 4x = 40; G. max); diagrammatically shown in Fig. 5.6. All species of the genus *Glycine* with 2n = 38, 40, 78, and 80 chromosomes exhibit diploid-like meiosis and are inbreeders (Singh and Hymowitz 1985a, b, c).

The comprehension of genomic relationships among species is important to systematists, evolutionary biologists, cytogeneticists, molecular biologists, and plant breeders. The taxonomic nomenclature of species and their evolutionary relationships can be refined by cytogenetic evidence such as chromosome



Fig. 5.6 A geographical map showing the home of *Glycine*; the common progenitor 2n = 2x = 20 of *G. soja* and soybean (both annual) and 26 wild species (perennial) is unknown. It may be extinct or not yet identified. Soybean is domesticated in East

morphology, crossability, hybrid viability, meiotic chromosome pairing, and molecular approaches (isozymes, nuclear, chloroplast, mitochondrial DNA markers, and FISH). Thus, phylogenetic relationships among species can be established more precisely by a multidisciplinary approach rather than through reliance on a single technique (Singh 2003).

The genomes of the diploid Glycine species (2n = 40) have been assigned capital letter symbols according to the degree of chromosome homology between species in F1 hybrids (Kihara and Lilienfeld 1932). Similar letter symbols are designated for species with interspecific F_1 hybrids that show normal chromosome pairing. Placing a subscript after the letter indicates minor chromosome differentiation, such as inversions or translocations. Highly differentiated species are designated by different letter symbols because their hybrids exhibit highly irregular chromosome pairing and hybrids are completely sterile. Singh and Hymowitz (1985b) conceived the idea of assigning genome symbols to *Glycine* species based on cytogenetic results. Molecular methods helped to assign genome symbols to those species for which cytogenetic information was not obtained (Singh et al. 1988, 1992a; Kollipara et al. 1995, 1997; Brown et al. 2002; Doyle et al. 2002; Table 5.3).

Asia from *G. soja* (*circle*), and 26 wild perennial *Glycine* species, indigenous, (*circle*), were not domesticated in Australia [with permission from Singh et al. (2007b)]

5.8.1.2 Origin of Polyploid Complexes of *Glycine tabacina* and *G. tomentella*

This complex topic has been reviewed by Doyle et al. (2004). Although these complexes are discussed separately, due to their nomenclatural history as separate species, there is a single large complex of approximately eight polyploid species that unite around eight different diploid genomes in various combinations.

Glycine tabacina (2n = 80)

Diploid *G. tabacina* is one of three formally recognized diploid species of the taxonomically complex B-genome, all of which are indigenous to Australia. Tetraploid (2n = 80) *G. tabacina* is found sympatrically with B-genome diploids in Australia and is also distributed in the islands of the South Pacific (New Caledonia, Vanuatu, Fiji, Tonga, Niue) and Westcentral Pacific (Taiwan, Ryuku, Marianas) (Singh et al. 1992b). Morphological observations (Costanza and Hymowitz 1987), cytogenetic investigation (Singh et al. 1987b, 1992b), and molecular studies (Doyle et al. 1990a, b, c, 1999a) identified two distinct species from what had been called tetraploid *G. tabacina*.

Both are allopolyploids with multiple origins and share only one of their two genomes. What is now recognized as G. tabacina contains adventitious roots (designated as WAR), while the other species, G. pescadrensis, formally reinstated from synonymy with G. tabacina (Pfeil et al. 2006), has long and narrow leaves (like A-genome species) and has no adventitious roots (designated as NAR). Singh et al. (1992a, b) proposed, based on cytogenetics, that one genome of G. pescadrensis (NAR) was most likely contributed by an A-genome species (e.g., G. canescens, G. clandestina, G. argyrea, G. syndetika); later molecular studies identified G. syndetika as the direct progenitor (Doyle et al. 2000). The second homoeologous genome of G. pescadrensis was contributed by a diploid species sister to the core species of the B-genome, G. stenophita (Doyle et al. 2000). The G. stenophita genome is also found in polyploid G. tabacina (WAR), the other portion of whose allopolyploid genome was contributed by core B-genome species (G. latifolia, G. microphylla, G. tabacina, G. stenophita) through multiple origins (Doyle et al. 1999a, b, 2000). Doyle et al. (1999b) suggested that, based on sequencing of histone H3-D locus, the multiple origins with gene exchange among lineage increase the genetic base of a polyploid and help better colonization of polyploid G. tabacina relative to its diploid progenitors. Hybridization is unlikely in a highly self-inbreeding species in nature; however, F₁ hybrids among B-genome species are completely fertile (Newell and Hymowitz 1983; Putievsky and Broué 1979; Singh and Hymowitz 1985c).

G. tomentella (2n = 78, 80)

Relationships among the various diploid and polyploid taxa that comprise *G. tomentella* have been hypothesized from crossing studies, isozyme data, and repeat length variation for the 5S nuclear ribosomal gene loci. However, several key questions have persisted, which have been addressed by detailed phylogenetic evidence from homoeologous nuclear genes. The histone H3-D locus is single copy in diploid *Glycine* species and has been used to elucidate relationships among diploid races of *G. tomentella* (Brown et al. 2002), providing a framework for testing genome origins in the polyploid complex. For all six *G. tomentella* polyploid races (T1-T6), alleles at two homoeologous histone H3-D loci were isolated and analyzed phylogenetically with alleles from diploid *Glycine* species, permitting the identification of all of the homoeologous genomes of the complex (Doyle et al. 2002). Allele networks were constructed to subdivide groups of homoeologous alleles further and two-locus genotypes were constructed using these allele classes. Results suggest that some races have more than one origin and that interfertility within races has led to lineage recombination. Most alleles in polyploids are identical or closely related to alleles in diploids, suggesting recency of polyploid origins and spread beyond Australia. These features parallel the other component of the Glycine subgenus Glycine polyploid complex, G. tabacina and G. pescadrensis, the latter of which shares the G. syndetika genome with G. dolichocarpa (aka G. tomentella T2).

Diploid-like meiosis, isozyme banding patterns among the accessions and meiotic pairing in intraspecific and interspecific F₁ hybrids, wide geographical distribution, and aggressive and vigorous growth habit suggest that 78 and 80-chromosome tomentellas are of allopolyploid origin and are polyploid complexes. Genomic complexes within species can be determined by obtaining intraspecific hybrids involving parental accessions of diverse morphology, cytology, and geographic origins. Meiotic pairing and molecular results in intraspecific plants of 78-chromosome tomentellas have revealed three complexes (designated based on isozyme variation; T1, T5, and T6; Doyle and Brown 1985). Hybrids within groups showed normal chromosome pairing. All hybrids between genomic complexes showed one common genome (EE-genome; 38 chromosome G. tomentella), and this was verified by molecular methods (Kollipara et al. 1994). This suggests that some complexes have one genome in common and differ for the second genome. T1 group aneutetraploid tomentella predominates and is distributed in Queensland, with one accession in Papua New Guinea. T5 group is found in New South Wales, while T6 group is found in Western Australia. Thus, these isozyme groups are geographically isolated and may have originated independently with an aneudiploid (2n = 38; E-genome) as the common genome donor (Fig. 5.7).

Based on isozyme banding patterns, Doyle and Brown (1985) separated 80-chromosome *G. tomentella* accessions into the T2, T3, and T4 groups. They did not examine accessions from Timor Island of Indonesia, and Kollipara et al. (1994) assigned these **Fig. 5.7** Summary of the genomic relationships based on an average chromosome pairing among three isozyme groups (T1, T5, and T6) in 78-chromosome *G. tomentella*. *S* sterile [with permission from Kollipara et al. (1994)]



accessions to the T7 group. Cytogenetics, total seed protein profiles, protease inhibitor activity band profiles, immunostained banding patterns, and RFLP analysis clearly identified four distinct groups (T2, T3, T4, and T7) in 80-chromosome G. tomentella (Kollipara et al. 1994). Chromosome pairing results suggest one common genome in the T2, T3, T4, and T7 groups. A way to ascertain the ancestors of 78-and 80-chromosome tomentellas is to synthesize amphidiploids from their putative parental species (Singh et al. 1987a, b, 1989). Aneuallotetraploid (DDEE, AAEE; 2n = 78) and allotetraploid (AADD; 2n = 80) were produced by somatic chromosome doubling of 2n = 39 and 2n = 40 F₁ hybrids. Synthesized amphidiploids were hybridized with accessions of tomentellas of T1, T5 (2n = 78) and T2 (2n = 80) isozyme groups. Meiotic pairing was normal and fertile (Singh et al. 1989), and molecular results verified the cytogenetic results (Kollipara et al. 1994). Rauscher et al. (2004) reported that the genome donors of T2 G. tomentella are diploid G. tomentella of D3 and D4 isozyme groups. The D4 (PI 441000) isozyme showed genomic affinity with clandestina (PI 505161) (Singh et al. 1987). Pfeil et al. (2006) taxonomically classified PI 441000 as G. syndetika, and Singh et al. (2007) assigned it the genome symbol A_6 (Table 5.3). Cytogenetics and molecular studies of Kollipara et al. (1994) supported G. canescens or any A-genome species, such as G. syndetika, as possible genome donor to T2 tomentella; histone H3-D phylogenies narrowed this to G. syndetika (Doyle et al. 2002), which, as noted

above, was also a genome donor to allopolyploid *G. pescadrensis*, and thus connects the "*G. tabacina*" and "*G. tomentella*" complexes. T2 is now known to correspond to *G. dolichocarpa*, identified from Taiwan. T7 combines the same genomes as T3 (D3 \times D5A) but involves different genotypes based on histone H3-D sequencing (Doyle et al. 2002). Histone results were subsequently corroborated with phylogenies from the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS; Rauscher et al. 2004).

5.8.2 Cytological Studies

The degree of chromosome pairing in interspecific hybrids provides an important cytogenetic context for interpreting phylogenetic relationships among diploid species, enhances our understanding of the evolution of the genus, and provides information about the ancestral species. Generally, species with similar genomes exhibit complete or almost complete chromosome pairing (intragenomic chromosome pairing) in their hybrids.

The extent of chromosome association in the hybrids of genomically dissimilar species elucidates structural homology in the parental chromosomes and hence furnishes evidence regarding the progenitor species (Singh and Hymowitz 1985b; Singh 2003). Usually, the F_1 generated from genomically unlike parents (different biological species) are germinated through in vitro techniques. Hybrid seed inviability,

seedling lethality, and vegetative lethality are common occurrence in intergenomic crosses (Newell and Hymowitz 1983; Singh et al. 1988, 1992a). In general, such hybrids are weak, slow in vegetative and reproductive growth, and sterile. Variable (semihomologous-homoeologous) and minimal chromosome pairing are common in intergenomic F₁ hybrids. Aneudiploid (2n = 38) G. tomentella is morphologically similar to 40, 78, and 80 chromosome tomentellas. In contrast, limited chromosome pairing was observed between 38 and 40-chromsome G. tomentella (D3) and aneudiploid G. tomentella (E-genome) (Singh et al. 1998b) and G. canescens (A-genome). G. falcata is morphologically distinct among 26 wild perennial species of the subgenus Glycine and two species of subgenus Soja. Chromosome pairing result supports the uniqueness of genome (F) of G. falcata because it showed minimal chromosome synapsis with A- and B-genomes. Putievsky and Broué (1979) reported a distant relationship between G. falcata and G. clandestina, and viable hybrids were not obtained with G. tabacina (2n = 40). Newell and Hymowitz (1983) obtained non-viable hybrids in G. falcata \times G. canescens and G. falcata \times G. tomentella (2n = 40) crosses. Cytogenetic studies demonstrate that G. falcata does not have a common progenitor present in A, B, C, D, and E-genome species of the subgenus Glycine and the origin of this species may be independent, or the genomes are completely distinctly differentiated.

Cytological studies of *G. max*, *G. soja*, and *G. gracilis* revealed nearly identical karyotypes of the three species, confirming their close genetic affinity.

5.8.3 Molecular Studies

Recent studies on biosystematic and phylogenetic relationships of *Glycine* species were carried out applying various molecular technologies, such as RAPD and SSR markers, ribulose biphosphate carbox-ylase/oxygenase (RuBisCo) *rbcS* subunits, ITS-1 sequences of the rRNA gene, and other genes. Results obtained from these studies consistently demonstrated a significant diversification between species in the subgenus *Soja* and subgenus *Glycine*, although the species relationships of the perennial subgenus showed a more complicated pattern (Cao et al. 1996;

Hui et al. 1996; Hui 1997; Wu et al. 2001; Doyle et al. 2003).

Molecular tools verified the cytogenetically based conclusion that G. max and G. soja are genomically similar (Doyle and Beachy 1985; Doyle 1988; Kollipara et al. 1995, 1997; Zhu et al. 1995). Ribosomal RNA RFLP patterns of G. max and G. soja appeared to be identical by Doyle (1988) and the sequence divergence for ITS region of rDNA was 0.2% (Kollipara et al. 1997). Broué et al. (1977) were the first to use the isozyme technique to establish genomic relationships among G. canescens, G. clandestina, and G. tomentella. Kollipara et al. (1997) determined phylogenetic relationships among 16 species of the subgenus Glycine and two species of the subgenus Soja from nucleotide sequence variation in the ITS region of nuclear ribosomal DNA. This study helped to assign genome symbols to five species: H to G. arenaria, H_1 to G. hirticaulis, H_2 to G. pindanica, I to G. albicans, and (I_1) to G. lactovirens. Cytogenetic relationships among these five species have not been determined because only a few accessions are available and they are difficult to grow in the greenhouse. These genome designations have been verified by histone H3-D gene sequences, and genomes were also assigned to G. aphyonota (I₃), G. peratosa (A₅), G. pullenii (H₃), and G. stenophita (B_3) (Brown et al. 2002; Doyle et al. 2002). The ITS region (nrDNA) is a multigene family. However, in the soybean, the nrDNA has been mapped to a single locus on the short arm of chromosome 13 based on the location of the nucleolus organizer region by pachytene chromosome analysis (Singh and Hymowitz 1988) and also by FISH using ITS as a probe (Singh et al. 2001).

5.9 Genetic Resources and Role in Crop Improvement Through Traditional and Advanced Tools

Soybean germplasm collections exist in many countries that contain landraces as well as current cultivars. It is unlikely that many additional landraces will be collected as modern cultivars have replaced most landraces; however, there are likely genotypes of *G. soja* as well as perennial species from the subgenus *Glycine* that remain to be collected. The subgenus *Soja*, which contains the wild annual soybean, *G. soja*,

and the cultivated soybean G. max, is considered one genome as hybrids made between accessions of the two species are almost always successful (Table 5.3), viable, and produce fertile F_1 plants. Although all the 26 perennial species of the subgenus Glycine could be considered potential sources of useful genes, so far only backcrossed-derived fertile progeny between G. max and G. tomentella has been reported (Singh et al. 1990, 1993; Singh 2007; Singh unpublished results). Improvements derived from wild species in many crops have enticed crop breeders and researchers to further explore wild genomes in search of beneficial traits. This is easily demonstrated by the literature currently available on work discussing the myriad of beneficial traits of wild relatives and the importance of broadening our crop gene pools. With accumulating information and materials, more efficient approaches to breeding may be developed by merging genomic resources and applied genetics. An example of this new approach is the investigation of genomic diversity in soybeans, followed by identification of genes of agronomic importance. Harlan and de Wet (1971) developed the concept of three gene pools - primary (GP-1), secondary (GP-2), and tertiary (GP-3) - based on the success rate of hybridization among/ between species. The clear understanding of taxonomic and evolutionary relationships between a cultigen and its wild relatives is a prerequisite for the exploitation of the primary, secondary, and tertiary gene pools.

5.9.1 Gene Pools

5.9.1.1 Soybean Gene Pools

The primary gene pool (GP-1) for soybean would include cultivars, landraces, and *G. soja* genotypes. GP-1 is defined as biological species that can easily be crossed within the gene pool and produce F_1 hybrids that are vigorous, exhibit normal meiotic chromosome pairing, and possess total seed fertility, such that segregation is normal and gene exchange is basically easy. GP-2 as defined by Harlan and de Wet (1971) consists of species that can be crossed with GP-1 and produce F_1 hybrids that have some fertility. By this definition, there are no currently described *Glycine* species in GP-2. GP-3 is the extreme limit of potential genetic resources classically defined (this does not include transgenes). Harlan and de Wet (1971) suggest that gene transfer is almost impossible or requires rescue techniques that result in sterile (or lethal) hybrids. In *Glycine*, the 26 wild perennial species would be considered GP-3.

Soybean GP-1

Soybean GP-1 consists of biological species that can be crossed to produce vigorous hybrids that exhibit normal meiotic chromosome pairing and possess total seed fertility. Gene segregation is normal and gene exchange is generally easy. Based on this definition, all soybean ($G.\ max$) germplasm and the wild soybean, $G.\ soja$, are included in GP-1 (Fig. 5.8) with the qualification that seed sterility can be associated with chromosomal structural changes such as inversions and translocations. Table 5.4 shows the crossability rate in $G.\ soja \times G.\ max$.

Soybean GP-2

GP-2 species can hybridize with GP-1 easily, and F_1 plants exhibit at least some seed fertility (Harlan and de Wet 1971). *G. max* is without GP-2 because no known species has such a relationship with soybean (Fig. 5.8). It is possible that species in the soybean GP-2 do exist in Southeast Asia where the *Glycine* genus may have originated. However, it is merely a speculation, and extensive plant exploration in this part of the world is required to validate this assumption.

Soybean GP-3

GP-3 is the third outer limit of potential genetic resource. Hybrids between GP-1 and GP-3 are lethal, or completely sterile, and gene transfer is not possible or requires radical techniques (Harlan and de Wet 1971). Based on this definition, GP-3 includes the 26 wild perennial species of the subgenus *Glycine*. These species are indigenous to Australia and are geographically isolated from *G. max* and *G. soja* (Fig. 5.8). Table 5.3 shows the *Glycine* species and their 2*n* chromosome numbers, nuclear and plastome genomes,



Fig. 5.8 Gene pools of soybean. GP-1 primary gene pool, GP-2 secondary gene pool, GP-3 tertiary gene pool, GP-4 quaternary gene pool

Table 5.4 Crossability rate in *Glycine soja* $(2n = 40) \times G$. max (2n = 40)

5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·	. ,	
Crosses	No. flowers pollinated	Pod set	Crossability rate (%)	Authors
Bonus \times PI 81762	18	2	11.1	Singh and Hymowitz 1988
PI 81762 \times Bonus	110	2	1.8	
Essex \times PI 81762	46	4	8.7	
PI 81762 \times Essex	159	9	6.0	
Glycine soja \times G. max	139	28	20.14	Singh (unpublished results, 2008)
$G. max \times G. soja$	55	8	14.6	

and geographical distributions. Only three species (*G. argyrea*, *G. canescens*, and *G. tomentella*) have been successfully hybridized with soybean (Table 5.5); the F_1 hybrids rescued by embryo culture were sterile, and most researchers could not proceed beyond the amphidiploid stage, with the exception of Singh et al. (1998a) and Singh (unpublished results). This suggests that only three species belong to GP-3.

Soybean GP-4

Harlan and de Wet (1971) did not envision, based on hybridization experiments, the potential existence of a quaternary gene pool (GP-4) because wide hybridization in many crops were not yet attempted, and gene cloning and genetic transformation had not yet been discovered in 1971. GP-4 is the extremely outer

Number of attempts	Hybrid combinations	Reference
1	TOM $(2n = 38) \times \text{CAN} (2n = 40)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 39 = \text{CT} (2n = 78) \times \text{MAX}$	Broué et al. (1982)
	$(2n = 40); F_1$ sterile	
2	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{TOM} (2n = 78)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 59$; sterile	Newell and Hymowitz (1982)
3	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{TOM} (2n = 80)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 60$; sterile	Newell and Hymowitz (1982)
4	TOM $(2n = 78) \times MAX (2n = 40)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 59$; sterile	Singh and Hymowitz (1985d)
5	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{TOM} (2n = 80)$; F ₁ embryo $(2n = 64)$; no F ₁ plant	Sakai and Kaizuma (1985)
6	ARG $(2n = 40) \times \text{CAN} (2n = 40)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 40 \times \text{MAX} (2n = 40) = \text{CT}$	Grant et al. 1986
	(2n = 80); sterile	
7	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{CLA} (2n = 40)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 40$; sterile	Singh et al. 1987a
8	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{TOM} (2n = 78)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 59$; sterile = CT $(2n = 118)$	Newell et al. (1987)
9	TOM $(2n = 78) \times MAX (2n = 40)$; F ₁ ; 2n = 59; sterile = CT $(2n = 118)$	Newell et al. (1987)
10	CAN $(2n = 40) \times MAX (2n = 40)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 40$; sterile = CT $(2n = 80)$	Newell et al. (1987)
11	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{TOM} (2n = 80)$; F ₁ ; $2n = \text{Not determined}$	Chung and Kim (1990)
12	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{LAT} (2n = 40)$; F ₁ ; $2n = \text{Not determined}$	Chung and Kim (1991)
13	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{TOM} (2n = 78)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 59$; sterile = CT $(2n = 118)$	Bodanese-Zanettini et al. (1996)
14	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{TOM} (2n = 78)$; F ₁ ; $2n = 59$;	Singh et al. (1990, 1993, 1998a)
	$CT = (2n = 118) \times MAX (BC1-BC6); MAALs$	
15	MAX $(2n = 40) \times \text{TOM} (2n = 78); F_1; 2n = 59;$	Singh (2007, unpublished results)
	$CT = (2n = 118) \times MAX$ (BC1–BC6); MAALs	

Table 5.5 Progress of wide hybridization in the genus Glycine

Abbreviations: TOM G. tomentella, CAN G. canescens, MAX G. max, ARG G. argyrea, LAT G. latifolia, CT Colchicine treatment

limit of potential genetic resources. Pre- and posthybridization barriers inhibit embryo development, and premature embryo abortion occurs. Rarely can hybrid seedling lethality, hybrid seed inviability, and inviable F_1 plants be circumvented by bridge crosses within the genus Glycine (Singh et al. 2007a). Only a few wild perennial Glycine species have been hybridized with soybean (Table 5.5). Thus, the majority of species belong to soybean GP-4 as they have not been hybridized with GP-1, or if hybridized, did not produce viable F_1 plants (Singh and Hymowitz 1987). Pods developed when G. clandestina, G. latifolia, and G. tabacina were crossed with soybean but aborted after 19-21 days after pollination. This suggests that the pod abortion in intersubgeneric crosses in the genus Glycine is a post-fertilization problem.

Although the wild perennial species carry resistance to several diseases, nematodes, and have tolerance to salt and certain herbicides and lack some biologically active seed components (see Hymowitz 2004 for a detailed listing), the transfer of useful genes into soybean has not been accomplished. Thus, at least for the time being, breeders/geneticists really only have access to the primary gene pool for expanding the germplasm base.

5.9.1.2 Interspecific Hybridization Involving Soybean

Historically, a major limiting factor has been difficulties with interspecific crossability. However, using embryo rescue and other techniques to overcome interspecific crossing barriers, it has been possible to make new hybrid combinations involving different species and to transfer many new traits. Regardless of interspecific crossability, retention of undesirable agronomic traits remains a prominent technical limitation to using wild germplasm. Crosses with wild relatives usually produce lines that have poor agronomic performance, and often, the undesirable traits cannot be eliminated.

Soybean breeders have not fully exploited the wealth of genetic diversity from exotic germplasm including soybean's progenitor *G. soja* (Singh and Hymowitz 1999; Carter et al. 2004; Singh et al. 2007b). *Glycine soja* may be an excellent source of genetic variability (Lee et al. 2008), although it harbors several undesirable genetic traits, for example, vining, lodging susceptibility, lack of complete leaf abscission, seed shattering, and small black coated seeds (Carpenter and Fehr 1986; Carter et al. 2004).

However, G. soja has been shown to be more genetically diverse than G. max (Li and Nelson 2002; Nichols et al. 2007) and the undesirable traits can be separated from the desirable ones during the course of selection in successive backcross generations and possibly through marker-assisted selection. Attempts to broaden the genetic base of soybeans by utilizing G. soja were reported by Hartwig (1973), Ertl and Fehr (1985), Carpenter and Fehr (1986), and Carter et al. (2004). Hartwig (1973) reported highly productive and high-protein lines derived from soybean and G. soja hybrids. Ertl and Fehr (1985) concluded that introgression of G. soja germplasm into two soybean cultivars was not an effective method for increasing their yield potential. Qian et al. (1996) identified accessions of G. soja that are potential sources of additional genes that restrict nodulation of soybean with specific strains of Bradyrhizobium. They concluded that introgression of such genes could result in soybean cultivars that exclude some of the indigenous strains and become nodulated with commercial strains that are more efficient at fixing nitrogen.

Several traits have distinct differences between *G. max* and *G. soja* accessions. In general, *G. soja* has much smaller seeds (<3.0 g 100 seeds⁻¹) than *G. max* (generally >9.0 g 100 seeds⁻¹). *G. soja* also has viny and twining stems, severe shattering before plant maturity, and impermeable seed coats, which are all rare in *G. max*. *G. soja* also has much lower oil and oleic acid concentration and higher linolenic acid concentration. *Glycine soja* harbors genes for tolerance to metribuzin [4-Amino-6-(1,1-dimethy-lethyl)-3-(methylthio)-1,2,4-triazin-5(4H)-one] (Kilen and He 1992), resistance to soybean cyst nematode (Kabelka et al. 2006), and higher seed yield (Concibido

et al. 2003), and is a rich source of genetic diversity (Ohara and Shimamoto 2002; Lee et al. 2008, 2010). These invaluable traits could be exploited to broaden the genetic base of soybean. There are many accessions in annual *Glycine* collections that are intermediate between the typical *G. soja* and *G. max* types. Few studies have examined both the genetic and phenotypic relationships among *G. soja*, *G. max*, and semi-wild types (*G. gracilis*) by combining morphological traits and DNA markers.

5.9.1.3 Intersubgeneric Hybridization

Wild perennial *Glycine* species have great potential for soybean improvement. They are a rich source of agronomically useful genes and alleles (Table 5.6). The 26 wild perennial species of the subgenus Glycine have not been exploited in soybean breeding programs. These species are extremely diverse morphologically, cytologically, and genomically, grow in very diverse climatic and soil conditions, and have a wide geographical distribution (Singh and Hymowitz 1999). However, extensive and reproducible screening for abiotic and biotic stresses of 26 wild perennial Glycine species are lacking. Schoen et al. (1992) studied the resistance of G. tomentella to three Australian isolates of soybean leaf rust and found PI 441001 (2n = 78) to be resistant to all three races. Researchers hope that the cross between the soybean and this wild relative will produce new types of soybeans that can thrive in dry conditions and are resistant to major diseases, such as rust. It has been demonstrated that resistance to soybean rust in PI 441001 has a chemical basis; a chemical inhibits the growth of fungus spores (Bilgin et al. 2008).

Table 5.6 Source of resistance in the wild perennial Glycine species of the subgenus Glycine

Traits	Authors
Resistance to soybean rust (<i>Phakopsora pachyrhizi</i> Sydow)	Burdon and Marshall (1981), Burdon (1988), Schoen et al. (1992) and Hartman et al. (1992)
Resistance to soybean cyst nematode (<i>Heterodera</i> glycines Ichinohe)	Riggs et al. (1998)
Soybean brown spot (Septoria glycines Hemmi.)	Lim and Hymowitz (1987)
Powdery mildew (Microsphaera diffusa Cke. and Pk.)	Mignucci and Chamberlain (1978)
Tolerance to 2,4-D	Hart et al. (1991)
Resistance to alfalfa mosaic virus	Horlock et al. (1997)
Tolerance to chloride	Pantalone et al. (1997)
Unique nodulation	Pueppke (1988)
Partial resistance to Sclerotinia stem rot and Sudden death syndrome	Hartman et al. (2000)



Fig. 5.9 A diagrammatic pictorial scheme to produce fertile soybean lines with 2n = 40 chromosomes, monosomic alien addition lines (MAALs), and disomic alien addition lines (DAALs) (Singh unpublished results)

Ladizinsky et al. (1979) initiated producing intersubgeneric hybrids between soybean and five wild perennial species of the subgenus Glycine. Since 1979, several researchers have attempted to hybridize wild perennial Glycine species with the soybean, but only a few (15) sterile intersubgeneric F_1 hybrid combinations have been achieved by using embryo rescue method (Table 5.5). Thus far, only Singh et al. (1990, 1993) have successfully produced backcross-derived fertile progenies from the soybean and a wild perennial, G. tomentella (2n = 78). Monosomic alien addition lines (MAALs) and modified diploid (2n = 40)lines are being isolated and identified (Singh et al. 1998c; Singh 2007; Chung and Singh 2008; Singh unpublished results). A schematic pictorial diagram to produce an intersubgeneric hybrid between soybean and G. tomentella is shown in Fig. 5.9. This study has broken the crossability barrier and set the stage for the exploitation of perennial, wild Glycine germplasm, a

so-called weed from Australia, to broaden the genetic base of the cultivated soybean.

5.9.1.4 Use of *Glycine* Species in Developing Linkage Maps

An accurate and saturated genetic linkage map of soybean is essential for studies on modern soybean genomics, i.e., identification of subtle or new trait loci, including quantitative trait loci (QTLs), mapbased cloning, and physical map construction, or even whole-genome sequencing. The first soybean genetic map was constructed with 57 classical markers. Thereafter, molecular maps have been gradually integrated using RFLP, RAPD, SSR, and AFLP markers. In recent years, integrated maps have been reported, each of which was merged from several maps derived from different mapping populations using JoinMap. Recent advances in DNA sequencing technologies have enabled the production of larger sets of microsatellite and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers (Cregan et al. 1999; Zhu 2003; Song et al. 2004; Choi et al. 2007; Hisano et al. 2007; Hyten et al. 2007, 2008, 2010a, b; Xia et al. 2007; Shoemaker et al. 2008).

In the initial stage, interspecific mapping populations between *G. max* and *G. soja* were used mainly to increase the number of polymorphic markers. Using a mapping population derived from an interspecific *G. max* \times *G. soja* cross, Shoemaker and Olson (1993) developed a molecular genetic linkage map that consisted of 25 linkage groups with about 365 RFLP, 11 RAPD, three classical markers, and four isozyme loci. Subsequently, several intraspecific maps as well as integrated maps have been developed.

Xia et al. (2007) developed an updated genetic map composed of 509 RFLP, 318 SSR, 318 AFLP, 97 AFLP-derived STS (sequence tagged site), 29 bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)-end or EST derived STS, one RAPD, and five morphological markers, covering a map distance of 3,080 cm in 20 linkage groups (LGs). G. gracilis, which originated in Northeast China, is morphologically intermediate between the cultivated G. max and the wild form, G. soja, and has been used to construct a soybean genetic map. Crosses between the cultivar (Misuzudaizu) and the intermediate form G. gracilis (Moshidou Gong 503) provides good genetic resources for linkage map construction and for the isolation of agronomically and biologically important genes. A framework of genetic linkage map had been previously constructed mainly with RFLP and SSR markers using a single F₂ population of this combination (Yamanaka et al. 2000, 2001).

The haploid chromosome number of the soybean plant is 20 (Veatch 1934), which is almost two times that observed in major diploid crops such as rice (12), maize (10), barley (7), and tomato (12) as well as in model plants including *Arabidopsis thaliana* (5), *Lotus japonicus* (6), and *M. truncatula* (8). The soybean mitotic metaphase chromosomes evidence small size variations ranging from 1.42 to 2.84 μ m and are symmetrical without karyotypically visible landmarks (Sen and Vidyabhusan 1960). However, the pachytene chromosome analysis of an F₁ hybrid between soybean and *Glycine soja* Sieb. and Zucc. evidenced heterochromatin distribution on either side of the centromeres, small structural differences, and a satellite

chromosome, thus allowing for the construction of chromosome maps on the basis of chromosome length and euchromatin and heterochromatin distribution, which were numbered in descending order of 1-20 (Singh and Hymowitz 1988). The chromosome harboring the satellite was designated as chromosome 13 (Singh and Hymowitz 1988). Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) using the rDNA as a probe verified that the satellite region of chromosome 13 is the nucleolar organizer region (NOR) (Griffor et al. 1991). FISH resulted in the detection of a pair of NORs on the short arm of chromosome 13 in the soybean and its progenitor, G. soja, as well as three strong fluorescent signals in the soybean that are trisomic for chromosome 13. Subsequently, MLG F was assigned to chromosome 13 with a set of primary trisomics and SSR markers (Cregan et al. 2001). However, the accurate genetic location of the soybean NOR on MLG F remains to be determined. Recently, Yang and Jeong (2008) developed a genetic linkage map of the nucleolus organizer region in the soybean.

5.10 Genomic Resources

Perhaps the most promising in their potential to increase the use of wild relative genes are the advancements in the field of genomics. While introgression was not easily detectable with the genetic tools of a few decades ago, recent use of DNA markers and sequencing has helped in isolating beneficial genes and in selecting for traits, which are difficult to detect based on phenotype. Soybean is now the first legume species with a complete genome sequence. It is, therefore, a key reference for the more than 20,000 legume species, and for the remarkable evolutionary innovation of nitrogen-fixing symbiosis. The genome sequence is an essential framework for vast new experimental information such as tissue-specific expression and whole-genome association data (Schmutz et al. 2010a, b).

Comparative genomics may represent another promising means of utilizing genomic resources for applied genetics. BAC library resources have been developed for the genus *Glycine*. BAC libraries have been constructed for eight genome types (species) within the genus *Glycine* (Schmutz et al. 2010b). These species represent nearly 5 million years of evolution and a diversity of genotypes and ecological adaptations within the genus. BAC-end sequences (BESs) are being produced so that the BACs can be aligned to the reference soybean genome to provide a resource for rapid transition between *Glycine* genomes to clone genes, understand sequence variation, explore domestication, and introgress new genetic diversity into the soybean gene pool. Libraries have been constructed for *G. soja*, *G. syndetika*, *G. canescens*, *G. stenophita*, *G. cyrtoloba*, *G. tomentella*, *G. falcata*, and the polyploid, *G. dolichocarpa*. All libraries are publicly available though the Arizona Genome Institute and are part of an NSF Plant Genome project to leverage diversity within the genus *Glycine*.

Innes et al. (2008) sequenced an approximately 1 Mbp region in soybean centered on the Rpg1-b disease resistance gene and compared this region with a region duplicated 10-14 Mya. These two regions were also compared with homologous regions in several related legume species (a second soybean genotype G. tomentella D3, Phaseolus vulgaris, and M. truncatula). In this study, a set of 36 BACs were sequenced from both diploid and tetraploid G. tomentella (http://sites.bio.indiana.edu/~nsflegume/progress. *php*). Comparison of ~1 Mb region of soybean with the orthologous regions of G. tomentella D3 (2n = 40) addressed several fundamental questions relating to Nucleotide binding-Leicine rich repeat (NB-LRRs) genes, polyploidy, and genome evolution. Analysis revealed a high level of conservation of low-copy genes but major differences in the NB-LRR content and retroelement content as well as differences in copy number of a family of protein kinases. Low-copy gene order of G. tomentella D3 is nearly identical to that of soybean. Analysis of 15 conserved gene pairs gave a mean Ks value of 0.064 ± 0.034 , consistent with a divergence time of 5-7 Mya for these two species. Interestingly, there are examples of low-copy gene loss unique to G. tomentella D3 not observed in soybean, indicating that homoeolog-specific gene loss has continued subsequent to the divergence of these two species, albeit at a slow rate.

Retrotransposons and their remnants often constitute more than 50% of higher plant genomes. Although extensively studied in monocot crops such as maize and rice, the impact of retrotransposons on dicot crop genomes is not well documented. Wawrzynski et al. (2008) identified several retrotransposon families in the genomes of *G. tomentella* and grouped the 23 intact elements into 16 families. Nine of these 16 families contain elements that had inserted within the last million years, and two elements contained identical long terminal repeats (LTRs). In addition to this, several apparently replicating non-autonomous retrotransposon families were identified. The results indicated that autonomous and non-autonomous retrotransposons appear to be both abundant and active in the *soybean* and *G. tomentella* genome.

Gill et al. (2009) characterized and analyzed two subfamilies of high-copy centromeric satellite repeats, CentGm-1 and CentGm-2, using a combination of computational and molecular cytogenetic approaches. These two subfamilies of satellite repeats mark distinct subsets of soybean centromeres and, in at least one case, a pair of homoeologs, suggesting their origin from an allopolyploid event. These satellite repeats are also present in *G. soja*, the wild progenitor of soybean, but could not be detected in any other relatives of soybean examined in this study, suggesting the rapid divergence and species-specific concerted evolution of the centromeric satellite DNA within the *Glycine* genus.

The development of a universal soybean cytogenetic map that associates classical genetic linkage groups, molecular linkage groups, and a sequencebased physical map with the karyotype has been impeded due to the soybean chromosomes themselves, which are tiny and morphologically homogeneous. To overcome this obstacle, Findley et al. (2010) screened soybean repetitive DNA to develop a cocktail of fluorescent in situ hybridization probes that could differentially label mitotic chromosomes in root tip preparations. Karyotyping tools were applied to wild soybean, G. soja Sieb. and Zucc., which represents a large gene pool of potentially agronomically valuable traits. These studies led to the identification and characterization of a reciprocal chromosome translocation between chromosomes 11 and 13 in two accessions of wild soybean.

ESTs, which are generated by large-scale singlepass sequencing of randomly picked cDNA clones, have proven to be an efficient and rapid means to identify novel genes. Ji et al. (2006) sequenced 2,003 ESTs generated from salinity-treated *G. soja* cDNA library, putatively representing 1,071 unigenes. Comparison of *G. soja* ESTs with those of *G. max* revealed the potential to investigate the wild soybean's expression profile using the soybean's gene chip. Through analysis of the ESTs with putative functional annotations, a large number of putative stress-regulated genes were identified. In the genus *Glycine*, many large-scale EST sequencing projects are in progress, and comparative genomic studies are being initiated.

A major milestone in soybean research was the recent sequencing of its genome. The sequence predicts 69,145 putative soybean genes, with 46,430 predicted with high confidence. Libault et al. (2010) utilized the Illumina Solexa platform to sequence cDNA derived from 14 conditions (tissues). The result is a searchable soybean gene expression atlas accessible through a browser (*http://digbio.missouri.edu/soybean_atlas*). The data provide experimental support for the transcription of 55,616 annotated genes.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) play important roles in post-transcriptional gene silencing by directing target mRNA cleavage or translational inhibition. Currently, hundreds of miRNAs have been identified in different plant species. Chen et al. (2009) constructed a small-RNA library consisting of 2,880 sequences and analyzed the secondary structure of these small RNAs. As a result, 15 conserved miRNA candidates belonging to eight different families and nine novel miRNA candidates comprising eight families were identified in wild soybean seedlings. These results provided useful information for miRNA research in wild soybean and plants.

5.11 Scope for Domestication and Commercialization

Soybean is widely used as food, feed, and for industrial purpose (Fig. 5.10). Among the wild Glycine species, G. tomentella is grown as a commercial crop in Taiwan, with their roots being used as the source of the herbal drug, I-Tiao-Gung, which is used as an important component of many herbal health products. It has been used to help treat arthritis and rheumatism for a long time. Recently, the herb has also been exploited in Taiwan as a functional tea, as steeped wine, and as a related product for commercial purposes, due to its health benefits. It has been reported that the roots of G. tomentella Hayata have several biological activities, such as antioxidant hypolipidaemic, analgesic, anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory (Chen et al. 2005; Pan et al. 2005; Chuang et al. 2008). In addition to this, G. tabacina roots have also



Fig. 5.10 Application of soybean for industrial purpose

been used for many years as a herbal drug although little work has been done to identify its constituent compounds.

Isoflavones, naturally occurring plant compounds found almost exclusively in soybeans and other leguminous plants, have been intensively studied in recent years with regard to their substantial health benefits. Isoflavones belong to a group of plant compounds with potential anticarcinogenic properties. Acting as antioxidants and tyrosine protein kinase inhibitors, they may lower the risk of cardiovascular disease and breast cancers. Contents of certain isoflavones in G. dolichocarpa, G. tabacina, and G. tomentella collected in Taiwan were reported by Lin et al. (2005). While little information is available about the therapeutic uses of the other wild Glycine species, they may be viewed as a source of value-added traits to enhance the nutritional and medicinal benefits of commercial varieties for human and animal populations.

5.12 Some Dark Sides and Potential Solutions

Modern soybean breeding procedures with intensive selection pressure have led to a dangerously narrow genetic base of the currently improved soybean varieties. The extensive cultivation of a few high-yielding soybean varieties on an exceptionally massive scale has caused tremendous genetic erosion of the soybean gene pool. Due to the rapid economic development, urbanization, industrialization, and expansion of transportation systems, many habitats of wild soybean have significantly deteriorated, leading to the extinction or decrease of many wild soybean populations.

The diversity of wild relatives of crop species with high international significance is increasingly threatened by land conversion, improper agricultural practices, intensification of land use, spread of invasive species, pollution, and the impact of genetically modified crops (Lu 2004). The underlying causes of these threats include short-term economic development measures at the local level, institutional constraints to implementation of conservation regulations, promotion of new cultivars and new techniques by the agricultural extension system, and obscurity of population status of wild relatives. If unaddressed, these issues will result in loss of genetic resources for the world. Conservation of biodiversity in the soybean gene pool, particularly in its origin and diversity center, is facing severe challenges.

Gene flow via pollination is a natural process whereby genes, aided by wind or insects, are exchanged between plants. This process can occur between sexually compatible plants and wild relatives if the appropriate conditions are met. In the case of crop plants, this process can occur regardless of whether the crop plant was developed through conventional plant breeding or biotechnology. In the United States and Canada, crops, such as maize and soybeans, do not have wild relatives nearby, so gene flow is limited to neighboring cultivated plants within the same field or to nearby fields. The likelihood of gene flow diminishes when the plants are located farther apart, even if they are in the same field or region. For some crops such as soybeans, pollination characteristics limit gene exchange even between neighboring plants in the same field. Gene flow to wild relatives is not an important issue for soybeans growing in the US, Canada, or Europe, but becomes potentially more important for soy grown in certain parts of China and Siberia, Taiwan, Korea, Japan, and Australia. Unlike maize, the relatives of soybean self-pollinate, or fertilize themselves, before the flower even opens. This means that the chance of other pollen fertilizing a plant is low. In addition, gene flow would depend on a number of other factors, though the factors that further determine the extent of gene flow are less relevant for soybean than maize. Transgenic soybean is grown on a larger area globally than any other transgenic crop, but it is not currently grown in Asia where the wild progenitor of soybean, G. soja, grows. The release of such varieties to the environment, particularly to the origin and diversity centers of soybean and wild soybean species, might cause a significant change in the general diversity patterns in traditional soybean landraces and wild soybean populations (Lu 2004). The spread of transgenes into the genome of wild soybean is a concern when transgenic and wild soybeans are planted sympatrically. Kuroda et al. (2010) investigated the origin and fate of morphological intermediates between wild and cultivated soybeans in their natural habitats in Japan. The chloroplast DNA haplotypes revealed that all intermediate soybean plants originated from gene flow from cultivated to wild soybeans at all sites. Based on monitoring at both the phenotypic and molecular levels, hybrids quickly

disappeared from natural habitats, and secondary gene flow from these plants to wild soybean was not detected. Thus, while gene flow from transgenic soybean into wild soybean can occur, gene introgression appears to be rare in natural habitats in Japan.

5.13 Recommendation for Future Actions

Despite the challenges of working with a relatively large and complex genome, the construction of genetic linkage maps in soybean has come a long way over the last 20 years. Currently, the number of linkage groups for soybean is 20, corresponding to its haploid chromosome number, and the total map length ranges from approximately 2,500 cm to 3,000 cm. These numbers strongly suggest that the consensus linkage map of soybean has already been saturated. Sequencing of the entire soybean genome is complete, which provides a substantial amount of genome sequence as well as physical maps of the entire genome. By taking advantage of these new data, integration of the genetic linkage map and the physical map has been attempted. The genetic map of the soybean is one of the most densely populated maps among plants, with >4,000published markers. However, its cytogenetic studies have lagged behind those of rice, maize, barley, and tomato. Thus, the relationships between soybean molecular linkage groups (MLG) and chromosomes remain incompletely understood (Cregan et al. 2001; Zou et al. 2003; Chung and Singh 2008). Twenty possible primary trisomics (Singh and Hymowitz 1991; Xu et al. 2000b), two monosomic (Xu et al. 2000a) and tetrasomics (Chung and Singh 2008) in soybean have been developed and results have been published. A major effort is recommended for developing a universal cytogenetic map for soybean.

Wild *Glycine* species are a rich source of agronomically useful genes and alleles and have great potential for soybean improvement. With the availability of effective genetic recombination and engineering technology, genetically modified (GM) soybean varieties would be developed with considerable speed. There are still many scientific questions relating to the ecologic consequences of transgene escape to wild soybean populations, which need to be thoroughly studied (Lu 2004). It is, therefore, recommended that people should be more cautious regarding the issues of transgene escape and its environmental consequences before extensively releasing GM soybean into the environment of its origin and diversity centers.

References

- Ahmad QN, Britten EJ, Byth DE (1977) Inversion bridges and meiotic behavior in species hybrids of soybeans. J Hered 68:360–364
- Ahmad QN, Britten EJ, Byth DE (1979) Inversion heterozygosity in the hybrid soybean × *Glycine soja*. J Hered 70: 358–364
- Ahmad QN, Britten EJ, Byth DE (1983) A quantitative method of karyotypic analysis applied to the soybean, *Glycine max*. Cytologia 48:879–892
- Ahmad QN, Britten EJ, Byth DE (1984) The karyotype of *Glycine soja* and its relationship to that of the soybean, *Glycine max.* Cytologia 49:645–658
- Bentham G (1864) Flora Australiensis, vol 2. L. Reeve, London
- Bentham G (1865) On the genera Sweetia Sprengel and Glycine Linn., simultaneously published under the name of Leptolobium. J Linn Soc Bot 8:59–267
- Bernard RL (1972) Two genes affecting stem termination in soybeans. Crop Sci 12:235–239
- Bilgin D, DeLucia EH, Zangerl AR, Singh RJ (2008) Plantderived biofungicide against soybean rust disease. US Provisional Application No 61/028,459
- Blanc G, Wolfe KH (2004) Widespread paleopolyploidy in model plant species inferred from age distributions of duplicate genes. Plant Cell 16:1667–1678
- Bodanese-Zanettini MH, Lauxen MS, Richter SNC, Cavalli-Molina S, Lange CF, Wang PJ, Hu CY (1996) Wide hybridization between Brazilian soybean cultivars and wild perennial relatives Theor Appl Genet 93:703–709
- Boerma HR, Specht JE (eds) (2004) Soybeans: improvement, production, and uses, vol 16, 3rd edn, Agronomy monograph. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI
- Broich SL (1978) The systematic relationships within the genus *Glycine* Willd. subgenus *soja* (Moench) F.J. Hermann. MS Thesis, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA
- Broich SL, Palmer RG (1980) A cluster analysis of wild and domesticated soybean phenotypes. Euphytica 29:23–32
- Broich S, Palmer RG (1981) Evolutionary studies of the soybean: the frequency and distribution of alleles among collections of *Glycine max* and *soja* of various origin. Euphytica 30:55–64
- Broué P, Marshall DR, Muller WJ (1977) Biosystematics of subgenus *Glycine* (Verdc.): isoenzymatic data. Aust J Bot 25:555–566
- Broué P, Marshall DR, Grace JP (1979) Hybridization among the Australian wild relatives of the soybean. J Aust Inst of Agric Sci 45:256–257
- Broué P, Douglass J, Grace JP, Marshall DR (1982) Interspecific hybridization of soybeans and perennial *Glycine* species indigenous to Australia via embryo culture. Euphytica 31:715–724

- Brown AHD, Doyle JL, Grace JP, Doyle JJ (2002) Molecular phylogenetic relationships within and among diploid races of *Glycine tomentella* (Leguminosae). Aust Syst Bot 15:37–47
- Bruneau A, Mercure M, Lewis GP, Herendeen PS (2008) Phylogenetic patterns and diversification in the caesalpinioid legumes. Botany 86:697–718
- Burdon JJ (1988) Major gene for resistance to *Phakopsora* pachyrhizi in Glycine canescens, a wild relative of soybean Theor Appl Genet 75:923–928
- Burdon JJ, Marshall DR (1981) Evaluation of Australian native species of *Glycine* for resistance to soybean rust Plant Dis 65:44–45
- Cannon SB, Sterck L, Rombauts S, Sato S, Cheung F, Gouzy J, Wang X, Mudge J, Vasdewani J, Schiex T et al (2006) Legume genome evolution viewed through the *Medicago* truncatula and Lotus japonicus genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:14959–14964
- Cannon SB, May GD, Jackson SA (2009) Three sequenced legume genomes and many crop species: rich opportunities for translational genomics. Plant Physiol 151:970–977
- Cao KM, Yuan WM, Zhan S, Xu QM, Xu B (1996) Cloning and structure analysis of *rbcS* gene from wild soybean. Acta Bot Sin 38:753–7576
- Carlson JB, Lersten NR (2004) Reproductive morphology. In: Boerma HR, Specht JE (eds) Soybeans: improvement, production, and uses, vol 16, 3rd edn, Agron Monogr. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 59–95
- Carpenter JB, Fehr WR (1986) Genetic variability for desirable agronomic traits in populations containing *Glycine soja* germplasm. Crop Sci 26:681–686
- Carter TE Jr, Nelson RL, Sneller CH, Cui Z (2004) Genetic diversity in soybean. In: Boerma HR, Specht JE (eds) Soybeans: improvement, production, and uses, vol 16, 3rd edn, Agron Monogr. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 303–416
- Chen TY, Shiao MS, Pan BS (2005) Inhibition of 12-and 15lipoxygenase activities and protection of human and tilapia low density lipoprotein oxidation by I-Tiao-Gung (*Glycine tomentella*). Lipids 40:1171–1177
- Chen R, Hu Z, Zhang H (2009) Identification of microRNAs in wild soybean (*Glycine soja*). J Integr Plant Biol 51(12): 1071–1079
- Cho YH, Yoon MS, Lee J, Baek HJ, King CY, Kim TS, Cho EG, Lee HB (2006) Diversity and geographical relationships by SSR marker in subgenus *Soja* originated from Korea. Korean J Crop Sci 51:239–247
- Choi IY, Kang JH, Song HS, Kim NS (1999) Genetic diversity measured by simple sequence repeat variations among the wild soybean, *Glycine soja*, collected along the riverside of five major rivers in Korea. Genes Genet Syst 74:169–177
- Choi IY, Hyten DL, Matukumalli LK, Song Q, Chaky JM, Quigley CV, Chase K, Lark KG, Reiter RS, Yoon MS, Hwag EY, Yi SI, Young ND, Shoemaker RC, van Tassell CP, Specht JE, Cregan PB (2007) A soybean transcript map: gene distribution, haplotype, single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis. Genetics 176:685–696
- Chuang WL, Haugland O, Pan BS, Evensen O (2008) Isoflavone-rich extracts from wooly glycine *Glycine tomentella* inhibits LPS-induced TNF-alpha expression in a macrophage cell line of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L). Mol Immunol 45(15):3956–3964

- Chung GH, Kim JH (1990) Production of interspecific hybrids between *Glycine max* and *G. tomentella* through embryo culture Euphytica 48:97–101
- Chung GH, Kim KS (1991) Obtaining intersubgeneric hybridization between *Glycine max* and *Glycine latifolia* through embryo culture. Korean J Plant Tissue Cult 18:39–45
- Chung G, Singh RJ (2008) Broadening the genetic base of soybean: a multi-disciplinary approach. Crit Rev Plant Sci 27:295–341
- Concibido V, La Vallee B, Mclaird P, Pineda N, Meyer J, Hummel L, Yang J, Wu K, Delannay X (2003) Introgression of a quantitative trait locus for yield from *Glycine soja* into commercial soybean cultivars. Theor Appl Genet 106:575–582
- Costanza SH, Hymowitz T (1987) Adventitious roots in *Glycine* subg *Glycine (Leguminosae)*: morphological and taxonomic indicators of the B Genome. Plant Syst Evol 158:37–46
- Cregan PB, Jarvik T, Bush AL, Shoemaker RC, Lark KG, Kahler AL, Kaya N, VanToai TT, Lohnes DG, Chung J, Specht JE (1999) An integrated genetic linkage map of the soybean genome. Crop Sci 39:1464–1490
- Cregan PB, Kollipara KP, Xu SJ, Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (2001) Primary trisomics and SSR markers as tools to associate chromosomes with linkage groups in soybean. Crop Sci 41:1262–1267
- Cui Z, Carter TE Jr, Burton JW (2000a) Genetic base of 651 Chinese soybean cultivars released during 1923 to 1995. Crop Sci 40:1470–1481
- Cui Z, Carter TE Jr, Burton JW (2000b) Genetic diversity patterns in Chinese soybean cultivars based on coefficient of parentage. Crop Sci 40:1780–1793
- Cui Z, Carter TE Jr, Burton JW, Wells R (2001) Phenotypic diversity of modern Chinese and North American soybean cultivars. Crop Sci 41:1954–1967
- Darlington CD, Wylie AP (1955) Chromosome atlas of flowering plants. George Allen and Unwin, London
- Dong YS, Zhuang BC, Zhao LM, Sun H, He MY (2001) The genetic diversity of annual wild soybeans grown in China. Theor Appl Genet 103:98–103
- Dong YS, Zhao LM, Liu B, Wang ZW, Jin ZQ, Sun H (2004) The genetic diversity of cultivated soybean grown in China. Theor Appl Genet 108:931–936
- Doyle JJ (1988) 5S ribosomal gene variation in the soybean and its progenitor. Theor Appl Genet 75:621–624
- Doyle JJ, Beachy RN (1985) Ribosomal gene variation in soybean (*Glycine*) and its relatives. Theor Appl Genet 70:369–376
- Doyle MJ, Brown AHD (1985) Numerical analysis of isozyme variation in *Glycine tomentella*. Biochem Syst Ecol 13: 413–419
- Doyle JJ, Egan AN (2010) Dating the origins of polyploidy events. New Phytol 186(1):73–85
- Doyle JJ, Luckow MA (2003) The rest of the iceberg: legume diversity and evolution in a phylogenetic context. Plant Physiol 131:900–910
- Doyle MJ, Grant JE, Brown AHD (1986) Reproductive isolation between isozyme groups of *Glycine tomentella* (Leguminosae) and spontaneous doubling in their hybrids. Aust J Bot 34:523–535
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Brown AHD (1990a) Analysis of a polyploidy complex in *Glycine* with chloroplast and nuclear DNA. Aust Syst Bot 3:125–136

- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Brown AHD (1990b) Chloroplast DNA phylogenetic affinities of newly described species in *Glycine* (Leguminosae: Phaseoleae). Syst Bot 15:466–471
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Grace JP, Brown AHD (1990c) Reproductively isolated polyploid races of *Glycine tabacina* (Leguminosae) had different chloroplast genome donors. Syst Bot 15:173–181
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Brown AHD (1999a) Incongruence in the diploid B-genome species complex of *Glycine* (Leguminosae) revisited: histone H3-D alleles versus chloroplast haplotypes. Mol Biol Evol 16:354–362
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Brown AHD (1999b) Origins colonization and lineage recombination in a widespread perennial soybean polyploid complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 10741–10745
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Brown AHD, Pfeil BE (2000) Confirmation of shared and divergent genomes in the *Glycine tabacina* polyploidy complex (Leguminosae) using histone H3-D sequences. Syst Bot 25:437–448
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Brown AHD, Palmer RG (2002) Genomes multiple origins and lineage recombination in the *Glycine* tomentella (Leguminosae) polyploid complex: histone H3-D gene sequences. Evolution 56:1388–1402
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Harbison C (2003) Chloroplast-expressed glutamine synthetase in *Glycine* and related Leguminosae: phylogeny gene duplication and ancient polyploidy. Syst Bot 28:567–577
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Rauscher JT, Brown AHD (2004) Evolution of the perennial soybean polyploid complex (*Glycine* subgenus glycine): a study of contrasts. Biol J Linn Soc 82:583–597
- Doyle JJ, Flagel LE, Paterson AH, Rapp RA, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Wendel JF (2008) Evolutionary genetics of genome merger and doubling in plants. Annu Rev Genet 42:443–461
- Ertl DS, Fehr WR (1985) Agronomic performance of soybean genotypes from *Glycine max* × *Glycine soja* crosses. Crop Sci 25:589–592
- FAOSTAT (2008) http://www.faostat.org
- Findley SD, Cannon S, Varala K, Du J, Ma J, Hudson ME, Birchler J, Stacey G (2010) A fluorescence in situ hybridization system for karyotyping soybean. Genetics 185:727–744
- Fukuda Y (1933) Cyto-genetical studies on the wild and cultivated Manchurian soybeans (Glycine L). Jpn J Bot 6:489–506
- Gaut BS, Doebley JF (1997) DNA sequence evidence for the segmental allotetraploid origin of maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:6809–6814
- Gill N, Findley S, Walling JG, Ma J, Stacey G, Doyle J, Jackson SA (2009) Molecular and chromosomal evidence for allopolyploidy in soybean *Glycine max* (L) Merr. Plant Physiol 151:1167–1174
- Goldblatt P (1981) Cytology and phylogeny of Leguminosae. In: Polhill RM, Raven PH (eds) Advances in legume systematics, part 2. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, pp 427–463
- Grant JE, Brown AHD, Grace JP (1984a) Cytological and isozyme diversity in *Glycine tomentella* Hayata (Leguminosae). Aust J Bot 32:665–677
- Grant JE, Grace JP, Brown AHD, Putievsky E (1984b) Interspecific hybridization in *Glycine* Willd subgenus *Glycine* (Leguminosae). Aust J Bot 32:655–663

- Grant JE, Pullen R, Brown AHD, Grace JP, Gresshoff PM (1986) Cytogenetic affinity between the new species *Glycine argyrea* and its congeners. J Hered 77:423–426
- Griffor MC, Vodkin LO, Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1991) Fluorescent in situ hybridization to soybean metaphase chromosomes. Plant Mol Biol 17:101–109
- Gurley WB, Hepburn AG, Key JL (1979) Sequence organization of the soybean genome. Biochim Biophys Acta 561: 167–183
- Hadley HH, Hymowitz T (1973) Speciation and cytogenetics. In: Caldwell BE (ed) Soybeans: improvement production and uses, vol 16, Agron Monogr. ASA, Madison, WI, pp 97–116
- Harlan JR, de Wet JMJ (1971) Towards a rational classification of cultivated plants. Taxon 20:509–517
- Hartman GL, Gardner ME, Hymowitz T, Naidoo GC (2000) Evaluation of perennial *Glycine* species for resistance to soybean fungal pathogens that cause sclerotinia stem rot and sudden death syndrome. Crop Sci 40:545–549
- Hartman GL, Wang TC, Hymowitz T (1992) Sources of resistance to soybean rust in perennial *Glycine* species. Plant Dis 76:396–399
- Hartwig EE (1973) Varietal development. In: Caldwell BE (ed) Soybeans: improvement production and uses, vol 16, Agron Monogr. ASA, Madison, WI, pp 187–210
- Henderson P (1881) Henderson's handbook of plants. Henderson, New York
- Hermann FJ (1962) A revision of the genus *Glycine* and its immediate allies. USDA-ARS Tech Bull No 1268, 82
- Hisano H, Sato S, Isobe S et al (2007) Characterization of the soybean genome using EST-derived microsatellite markers. DNA Res 14:271–281
- Hitchcock AS, Green ML (1947) Species lectotypical generum. Linn Brit 16:114–118
- Hood MJ, Allen FL (1980) Interspecific hybridization studies between cultivated soybean *Glycine max* and a perennial wild relative *G falcata*. Agron Abstr. ASA, Madison, WI, p58
- Horlock CM, Teakle DS, Jones RM (1997) Natural infection of the native pasture legumes, *Glycine latifolia*, by alfalfa mosaic virus in Queensland. Aust Plant Path 26:115–116
- Hui DW (1997) Re-constructing phylogenetic relationship of *Glycine* species using ITS-1 sequences of the rRNA gene. Chin Sci 27:327–333
- Hui DW, Zhuang BC, Chen SY (1996) Phylogeny of genus *Glycine* reconstructed by RAPD fingerprinting. Acta Genet Sin 23:460–468
- Hymowitz T (1970) On the domestication of the soybean. Econ Bot 24:408–421
- Hymowitz T (2004) Speciation and cytogenetics. In: Caldwell BE (ed) Soybeans: improvement production and uses, vol 16, 3rd edn, Agron Monogr. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 97–136
- Hymowitz T, Singh RJ (1987) Taxonomy and speciation. In: Wilcox JR (ed) Soybeans: improvement production and uses. ASA, Madison, WI, pp 23–48
- Hymowitz T, Singh RJ, Larkin RP (1990) Long-distance dispersal: the case for the allopolyploid *Glycine tabacina* (Labill) Benth and *G tomentella* Hayata in the West-Central Pacific. Micronesica 23:5–13

- Hymowitz T, Singh RJ, Kollipara KP (1998) The genomes of *Glycine*. Plant Breed Rev 16:289–317
- Hyten DL, Song Q, Zhu Y, Choi IY, Nelson RL et al (2006) Impacts of genetic bottlenecks on soybean genome diversity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:16666–16671
- Hyten DL, Choi IY, Song Q, Shoemaker RC, Nelson RL, Costa JM, Specht JE, Cregan PB (2007) Highly variable patterns of linkage disequilibrium in multiple soybean populations. Genetics 175:1937–1944
- Hyten DL, Song Q, Choe I-K, Yoon M-S, Specht JE, Mutukumalli LK, Nelson RL, Shoemaker RC, Young ND, Cregan PB (2008) High-throughput genotyping with the Golden Gate assay in the complex genome of soybean. Theor Appl Genet 116:945–952
- Hyten DL, Cannon SB, Song Q, Weeks NT, Fickus EW et al (2010a) High-throughput SNP discovery through deep resequencing of a reduced representation library to anchor and orient scaffolds in the soybean whole genome sequence. BMC Genomics 11:38
- Hyten DL, Choi I-Y, Song Q, Specht JE, Carter TE et al (2010b) A high density integrated genetic linkage map of soybean and the development of a 1,536 Universal Soy Linkage Panel for QTL mapping. Crop Sci 50:960–968
- Innes RW, Ameline-Torregrosa C, Ashfield T, Cannon E, Cannon SB, Chacko B, Chen NW, Couloux A, Dalwani A, Denny R, Deshpande S, Egan AN, Glover N, Hans CS, Howell S, Ilut D, Jackson S, Lai H, Mammadov J, Del Campo SM, Metcalf M, Nguyen A, O'Bleness M, Pfeil BE, Podicheti R, Ratnaparkhe MB, Samain S, Sanders I, Segurens B, Sevignac M, Sherman-Broyles S, Thareau V, Tucker DM, Walling J, Wawrzynski A, Yi J, Doyle JJ, Geffroy V, Roe BA, Maroof MA, Young ND (2008) Differential accumulation of retroelements and diversification of NB-LRR disease resistance genes in duplicated regions following polyploidy in the ancestor of soybean. Plant Physiol 148:1740–1759
- Ji W, Li Y, Li J, Dai CH, Wang X, Bai X, Cai H, Yang L, Zhu YM (2006) Generation and analysis of expressed sequence tags from NaCl-treated *Glycine soja*. BMC Plant Biol 6:4
- Kabelka EA, Carlson SR, Diers BW (2006) Glycine soja PI 468916 SCN resistance loci's associated effects on soybean seed yield and other agronomic traits. Crop Sci 46:622–629
- Kajita T, Ohashi H, Tateishi Y, Bailey CD, Doyle JJ (2001) *rbcL* and legume phylogeny with particular reference to Phaseoleae, Milletieae and allies. Syst Bot 26:515–536
- Karasawa K (1936) Crossing experiments with *Glycine soja* and *G ussuriensis*. Jpn J Bot 8:113–118
- Karasawa K (1952) Crossing experiments with *Glycine soja* and *G gracilis*. Genetica 26:357–358
- Karpechenko GD (1925) [On the chromosomes of Phaseolinae]. Trudy po Prikladnoi Botanike, Genetike i Selektsii (Bulletin of Applied Botany, Genetics and Plant Breeding, Leningrad) 14(2):143–148 (In Russian with English summary)
- Kihara H, Lilienfeld FA (1932) Genomanalyse bei Triticum und Aegilops IV Untersuchungen an Aegilops x Triticum-und Aegilops-bastarden. Cytologia 3:384–456
- Kilen TC, He G (1992) Identification and inheritance of metribuzin tolerance in wild soybean. Crop Sci 32:684–685
- Kollipara KP, Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1993) Genomic diversity in aneudiploid (2n = 38) and diploid (2n = 40) *Glycine tomentella* revealed by cytogenetic and biochemical methods. Genome 36:391–396

- Kollipara KP, Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1994) Genomic diversity and multiple origins of tetraploid (2n = 78, 80) *Glycine tomentella*. Genome 37:448–459
- Kollipara KP, Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1995) Genomic relationships in the genus *Glycine* (Fabaceae: Phaseoleae): use of a monoclonal antibody to the soybean Bowman-Birk inhibitor as a genome marker. Am J Bot 82:1104–1111
- Kollipara KP, Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1997) Phylogenetic and genomic relationships in the genus *Glycine* Willd based on sequences from the ITS region of nuclear rDNA. Genome 40:57–68
- Kumar PS, Hymowitz T (1989) Where are the diploid (2n = 2x = 20) genome donors of *Glycine*Willd (Leguminosae Papilionoideae)? Euphytica 40:221–226
- Kuroda Y, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Vaughan D (2006) Population genetic structure of Japanese wild soybean (*Glycine soja*) based on microsatellite variation. Mol Ecol 15:959–974
- Kuroda Y, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Vaughan D (2010) The origin and fate of morphological intermediates between wild and cultivated soybeans in their natural habitats in Japan. Mol Ecol 19:2346–2360
- Lackey JA (1977a) A synopsis of the Phaseoleae (Leguminosae Papilionaideae). PhD Dissertation, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA
- Lackey JA (1977b) Neonotonia a new generic name to include *Glycine wighti* (Arnott) Verdecourt (Leguminosae Papilionoideae). Phytologia 37:209–212
- Lackey JA (1977c) Revised classification of the tribe Phaseoleae (Leguminosae:Papilionoideae) and its relation to canavanine distribution. Bot J Linn Soc 74:163–178
- Lackey JA (1980) Chromosome numbers in the Phaseoleae (Fabaceae: Faboideae) and their relation to taxonomy. Am J Bot 67:595–602
- Ladizinsky G, Newell CA, Hymowitz T (1979) Wide crosses in soybean: prospects and limitations. Euphytica 28:421–423
- Lee J, Hymowitz T (2001) A molecular phylogenetic study of the subtribe Glycininae (Leguminisae) derived from the chloroplast DNA *rps16* intron sequences. Am J Bot 88:2064–2073
- Lee JS, Verma DPS (1984) Structure and chromosomal arrangement of leghemoglobin genes in kidney bean suggest divergence in soybean leghemoglobin gene loci following tetraploidization. EMBO J 3:2745–2752
- Lee JM, Bush A, Specht JE, Shoemaker R (1999) Mapping duplicate genes in soybean. Genome 42:829–836
- Lee JD, Yu JK, Hwang YH, Blake S, So YS, Lee GJ, Nguyen HT, Shannon JG (2008) Genetic diversity of wild soybean (*Glycine soja* Sieb and Zucc) accessions from South Korea and other countries. Crop Sci 48:606–616
- Lee JD, Shannon JG, Vuong TD, Moon H, Nguyen HT, Tsukamoto T, Chung G (2010) Genetic diversity in wild soybean (*Glycine soja* Sieb and Zucc) accessions from southern islands of Korean peninsula. Plant Breed 129:257–263
- Lersten NR, Carlson JB (2004) Vegetative morphology. In: Boerma HR, Specht JE (eds) Soybeans: improvement production and uses, vol 16, 3rd edn, Agron Monogr. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 15–57
- Lewis G, Schrire B, Mackind B, Lock M (2005) Legumes of the world. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew
- Li Z, Nelson RL (2001) Genetic diversity among soybean accessions from three countries measured by RAPDs. Crop Sci 41:1337–1347

- Li Z, Nelson RL (2002) RAPD marker diversity among cultivated and wild soybean accessions from four Chinese provinces. Crop Sci 42:1737–1744
- Li Z, Qiu L, Thompson JA, Welsh MM, Nelson RL (2001) Molecular genetic analysis of US and Chinese soybean ancestral lines. Crop Sci 41:1330–1336
- Li Y, Guan R, Liu Z, Ma Y, Wang L, Li L, Lin F, Luan W, Chen P, Yan Z, Guan Y ZhuL, Ning X, Smulders MJ, Li W, Piao R, Cui Y, Yu Z, Guan M, Chang R, Hou A, Shi A, Zhang B, Zhu S, Qiu L (2008) Genetic structure and diversity of cultivated soybean (*Glycine max* (L) Merr) land races in China. Theor Appl Genet 117:857–871
- Libault M, Farmer A, Joshi T, Takahashi K, Langley RJ, Franklin LD, He J, Xu D, May G, Stacey G (2010) An integrated transcriptome atlas of the crop model *Glycine max*, and its use in comparative analyses in plants. Plant J 63:86–99
- Lim SM, Hymowitz T (1987) Reaction of perennial wild species of genus *Glycine* to *Septoria glycines*. Plant Dis 71:891–893
- Lin SJ, Lay HL, Wu ST, Thseng FS (2005) Contents of certain isoflavones in *Glycine dolichocarpa*, *G. tabacina* and *G. tomentella* collected in Taiwan. J Food Drug Anal 13:260–266
- Linnaeus C (1737) Genera plantarum, 1st edn. Lugduni Batavorum
- Linnaeus C (1753) Genera plantarum, 2nd edn. Lars Salvius, Stockholm, Spain
- Lu BR (2004) Conserving biodiversity of soybean gene pool in the biotechnology era. Plant Species Biol 19:115–125
- Maughan PJ, Saghai-Maroof MA, Buss GR (1995) Microsatellite and amplified sequence length polymorphism in cultivated and wild soybean. Genome 38:715–723
- Mignucci JS, Chamberlain DW (1978) Interaction of *Micro-sphaera diffusa* with soybean and other legumes Phytopathology 68:169–173
- Newell CA, Hymowitz T (1980) A taxonomic revision in the genus *Glycine* subgenus *Glycine* (Leguminosae). Brittonia 32:63–69
- Newell CA, Hymowitz T (1982) Successful wide hybridization between the soybean and a wild perennial relative G. tomentella Hatyata. Crop Sci 22:1062–1065
- Newell CA, Hymowitz T (1983) Hybridization in the genus Glycine subgenus Glycine Willd (Leguminosae Papilionoideae). Am J Bot 70:334–348
- Newell CA, Delannay X, Edge ME (1987) Interspecific hybrids between the soybean and wild perennial relatives. J Hered 78:301–306
- Nichols DM, Lianzheng W, Pei Y, Glover KD, Diers BW (2007) Variability among Chinese *Glycine soja* and Chinese and North American soybean genotypes. Crop Sci 47:1289–1298
- Ohara M, Shimamoto Y (1994) Some ecological and demographic characteristics of two growth forms of wild soybean (*Glycine soja*). Can J Bot 72:486–492
- Ohara M, Shimamoto Y (2002) Importance of genetic characterization and conservation of plant genetic resources: the breeding system and genetic diversity of wild soybean (*Glycine soja*). Plant Species Biol 17:51–58
- Orf (2010) Introduction. In: Bilyeu K, Ratnaparkhe MB, Kole C (eds) Genetics, genomics and breeding of soybean. Science, Enfield, New Hampshire, pp 1–18
- Palmer RG, Newhouse KE, Graybosch RA, Delannay X (1987) Chromosome structure of the wild soybean. J Hered 78:243–247

- Pan BS, Kuo YY, Chen TY, Liu YC (2005) Anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory activities of two different species of a Chinese herb I-Tiao-Gung. Life Sci 77:2830–2839
- Pantalone VR, Kenworthy WJ, Slaughter LH, James BR (1997) Chloride tolerance in soybean and perennial *Glycine* accessions Euphytica 97:235–239
- Pfeil BE, Tindale MD, Craven LA (2001) A review of the *Glycine clandestina* species complex (Fabaceae:Phaseolae) reveals two new species. Aust Syst Bot 14:891–900
- Pfeil BE, Schlueter JA, Shoemaker RC, Doyle JJ (2005) Placing paleopolyploidy in relation to taxon divergence: a phylogenetic analysis in legumes using 39 gene families. Syst Biol 54:441–454
- Pfeil BE Craven LA (2002) New taxa in *Glycine* (Fabaceae: Phaseolae) from north-western Australia. Aust Syst Bot 15:565–573
- Pfeil BE, Craven LA, BrownAHD, Murray BG, Doyle JJ (2006) Three new species of northern Australian *Glycine* (Fabaceae Phaseolae) *G. gracei*, *G. montis-douglas* and *G. syndetika*. Aust Syst Bot 19:245–258
- Polhill RM (1994) Classification of the Leguminosae. In: Bisby FA, Buckingham J, Harborne JB (eds) Phytochemical dictionary of the Leguminosae. Chapman and Hall, New York, pp 35–48
- Powell W, Morgante M, Doyle JJ, McNicol JW, Tingey SV, Rafalske AJ (1996) Gene pool variation in genus *Glycine* subgenus soja revealed by polymorphic nuclear and chloroplast micro-satellites. Genetics 144:793–803
- Pueppke SG (1988) Nodulating associations among rhizobia and legumes of the genus *Glycine* subgenus *Glycine* Plant and Soil 109:189–193
- Putievsky E, Broué P (1979) Cytogenetics of hybrids among perennial species of *Glycine* subgenus Glycine. Aust J Bot 27:713–723
- Qian D, Allen FL, Stacey G, Gresshoff PM (1996) Plant genetic study of restricted nodulation in soybean. Crop Sci 36:243–249
- Rauscher JT, Doyle JJ, Brown AHD (2004) Multiple origins and nrDNA internal transcribed spacer homeologue evolution in the *Glycine tomentella* (Leguminosae) allopolyploid complex. Genetics 166:987–998
- Riggs RD, Wang S, Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1998) Possible transfer of resistance to *Heterodera glycines* from *Glycine* tomentella to *Glycine max*. J Nematol 30 (4S):547–552
- Sakai T, Kaizuma N (1985) Hybrid embryo formation in an intersubgeneric cross of soybean (*Glycine max* MERILL) with a wild relative (*G. tomentella* HAYATA) Japan J Breed 35:363–374
- Schlueter JA, Dixn P, Granger C, Grant D, Clark L et al (2004) Mining EST databases to resolve evolutionary events in major crop species. Genome 47:868–876
- Schlueter JA, Lin JY, Schlueter SD, Vasylenko-Sanders IF, Deshpande S, Yi J, O'Bleness M, Roe BA, Nelson RT, Scheffler BE et al (2007) Gene duplication and paleopolyploidy in soybean and the implications for whole genome sequencing. BMC Genomics 8:330
- Schmutz J, Cannon SB, Schlueter J, Ma J, Mitros T, Nelson W, Hyten DL et al (2010a) Genome sequence of the paleopolyploid soybean. Nature 463:178–183
- Schmutz J, Doyle J, Shoemaker R, Cregan P, Ma J, Schlueter J, Song X, Jetty ASS, Angelova A et al (2010) BAC library

resources for genus *Glycine*. In: Plant and animal genome XVIII conference, San Diego, CA, USA

- Schoen DJ, Burdon JJ, Brown AHD (1992) Resistance of *Gly-cine tomentella* to soybean leaf rust *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* in relation to ploidy level and geographical distribution. Theor Appl Genet 83:827–832
- Sen NK, Vidyabhusan RV (1960) Tetraploid soybeans. Euphytica 9:317–322
- Shimamoto Y (1999) Research on wild legume with an emphasis on soybean germplasm. In: Proceedings of the 7th international workshop on genetic resources. Ministry of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries Press, Tsukuba, Japan, pp 5–17
- Shimamoto Y, Fukushi H, Abe J, Kanazawa A, Gai J, Gao Z, Xu D (1998) RFLPs of chloroplast and mitochondrial DNA in wild soybean *Glycine soja* growing in China. Genet Resour Crop Evol 45:433–439
- Shimamoto Y, Abe J, Gao Z, Gai J, Thseng FS (2000) Characterizing the cytoplasmic diversity and phyletic relationship of Chinese landraces of soybean *Glycine max* based on RFLPs of chloroplast and mitochondrial DNA. Genet Resour Crop Evol 47:611–617
- Shoemaker R, Olson T (1993) Molecular linkage map of soybean. In: O'Brien S (ed) Genetic maps: locus maps of complex genomes, 6th edn. Cold Spring Harbor Lab Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, pp 6.131–6.138
- Shoemaker RC, Schlueter J, Doyle J (2006) Paleopolyploidy and genome duplication in soybean and other legumes. Curr Opin Plant Biol 9:104–109
- Shoemaker RC, Grant D, Olson T, Warren WC, Wing R, Yu Y, Kim H, Cregan P, Joseph B, Futrell-Griggs M, Nelson W et al (2008) Microsatellite discovery from BAC end sequences and genetic mapping to anchor the soybean physical and genetic maps. Genome 51:294–302
- Singh RJ (2003) Plant cytogenetics, 2nd edn. CRC, Boca Raton, FL
- Singh RJ (2007) Methods for producing fertile crosses between wild and domestic soybean species, US Patent, Publ No US2007/0261139A1
- Singh RJ, Chung GH (2007) Cytogenetics of soybean: progress and prospectives. Nucleus 50:403–425
- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1985a) Diploid-like meiotic behavior in synthesized amphiploids of the genus *Glycine* Willd subgenus *Glycine*. Can J Genet Cytol 27:655–660
- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1985b) The genomic relationships among six wild perennial species of the genus *Glycine* subgenus *Glycine* Willd. Theor Appl Genet 71:221–230
- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1985c) Intra-and interspecific hybridization in the genus *Glycine* subgenus *Glycine* Willd: Chromosome pairing and genome relationships. Z Pflanzenzuchtg 95:289–310
- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1985d) An intersubgeneric hybrid between *Glycine tomentella* Hayata and the soybean *G max* (L) Merr. Euphytica 34:187–192
- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1987) Intersubgeneric crossability in the genus *Glycine* Willd. Plant Breed 98:171–173
- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1988) The genomic relationship between *Glycine max* (L) Merr and *G. soja* Sieb and Zucc as revealed by pachytene chromosome analysis. Theor Appl Genet 76:705–711

- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1989) The genomic relationships among *Glycine soja* Sieb and Zucc *G. max* (L) Merr and '*G. gracilis*' Skvortz. Plant Breed 103:171–173
- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1991) Identification of four primary trisomics of soybean by pachytene chromosome analysis. J Hered 82:75–77
- Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (1999) Soybean genetic resources and crop improvement. Genome 42:605–616
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1987a) Intersubgeneric hybridization of soybeans with a wild perennial species *Glycine clandestine* Wendl. Theor Appl Genet 74:391–396
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1987b) Polyploid complexes of *Glycine tabacina* (Labill) Benth and *G. tomentella* Hayata revealed by cytogenetic analysis. Genome 29:490–497
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1988) Further data on the genomic relationships among wild perennial species (2n = 40) of the genus *Glycine* Willd. Genome 30:166–176
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1989) Ancestors of 80and 78-chromosome *Glycine tomentella* Hayata (Leguminosae). Genome 32:796–801
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1990) Backcrossedderived progeny from soybean and *Glycine tomentella* Hayata intersubgeneric hybrids. Crop Sci 30:871–874
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Ahmad F, Hymowitz T (1992a) Putative diploid ancestors of 80-chromosome *Glycine tabacina*. Genome 35:140–146
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1992b) Genomic relationships among diploid wild perennial species of the genus *Glycine* Willd Subgenus *Glycine* revealed by crossability meiotic chromosome pairing and seed protein electrophoresis. Theor Appl Genet 85:276–282
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1993) Backcross (BC2-BC4)-derived fertile plants from *Glycine max* and *G. tomentella* intersubgeneric hybrids. Crop Sci 33:1002–1007
- Singh RJ, Klein TM, Mauvais CJ, Knowlton S, Hymowitz T, Kostow CM (1998a) Cytological characterization of the transgenic soybean. Theor Appl Genet 96:319–324
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1998b) The genomes of *Glycine canescens* F J Herm and *G. tomentella* Hayata of Western Australia and their phylogenetic relationships in the genus *Glycine* Willd. Genome 41:669–679
- Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (1998c) Monosomic alien addition lines derived from *Glycine max* (L) Merr and *G. tomentella* Hayata: production characterization and breeding behavior. Crop Sci 38:1483–1489
- Singh RJ, Kim HH, Hymowitz T (2001) Distribution of rDNA loci in the genus *Glycine* Willd. Theor Appl Genet 103:212–218
- Singh RJ, Chung GH, Nelson RL (2007a) Landmark research in Legumes. Genome 50:525–537
- Singh RJ, Nelson RL, Chung GH (2007b) Soybean (*Glycine max* (L) Merr). In: Singh RJ (ed) Genetic resources, chromosome engineering and crop improvement, vol 4, Oilseed crops. CRC, Boca Raton, FL, pp 13–50
- Skorupska H, Albertsen MC, Langholz KD, Palmer RG (1989) Detection of ribosomal RNA genes in soybean *Glycine max* (L) Merr by in situ hybridization. Genome 32:1091–1095
- Song QJ, Marek LF, Shoemaker RC, Lark KG, Concibido VC, Delannay X, Specht JF, Cregan PB (2004) A new integrated

genetic linkage map of the soybean. Theor Appl Genet 109:122-128

- Stefanovic S, Pfeil BE, Doyle JJ, Palmer JD (2009) Relationships among phaseoloid legumes based on sequences from eight chloroplast regions. Syst Bot 34:115–128
- Straub SCK, Pfeil BE, Doyle JJ (2006) Testing the polyploid past of soybean using a low-copy nuclear gene – is *Glycine* (Fabaceae: Papilionoideae) an auto- or allopolyploid? Mol Phylogenet Evol 39:580–584
- Tateishi Y, Ohashi H (1992) Taxonomic studies on *Glycine* of Taiwan. J Jpn Bot 67:127–147
- Thompson JS, Bernard RL, Nelson RL (1997) A third allele at the soybean dtl locus. Crop Sci 37:757–7621
- Thseng FS, Tsai SJ, Abe J, Wu ST (1999) *Glycine formosana* Hosokawa in Taiwan: pod morphology, allozyme and DNA polymorphism. Bot Bull Acad Sin 40:251–257
- Tindale MD (1984) Two new Eastern Australian species of *Glycine* Willd (Fabaceae). Brunonia 7:207–213
- Tindale MD (1986a) A new North Queensland species of *Glycine* Willd (Fabaceae). Brunonia 9:99–103
- Tindale MD (1986b) Taxonomic notes on three Australian and Norfolk Island species of *Glycine* Willd (Fabaceae:Phaseolae) including the choice of a neotype for *G. clandestina* Wendl. Brunonia 9:179–191
- Tindale MD, Craven LA (1988) Three newspecies of *Glycine* (Fabaceae: Phaseolae) from North-western Australia with notes on amphicarpy in the genus. Aust Syst Bot 1:399–410
- Tindale MD, Craven LA (1993) *Glycine pindanica* (Fabaceae: Phaseolae), a new species from west Kimberley, Western Australia. Aus Syst Bot 6:371–376
- Tozuka A, Fukushi H, Hirata T, Ohara M, Kanazawa A, Mikami T, Abe J, Shimamoto Y (1998) Composite and clinal distribution of *Glycine soja* in Japan revealed by RFLP analysis of mitochondrial DNA. Theor Appl Genet 96:170–176
- Veatch E (1934) Chromosomes of the soybean. Bot Gaz 96:189
- Verdcourt B (1966) A proposal concerning *Glycine* L. Taxon 15:34–36
- Verdcourt B (1970) Studies in the Leguminosae-Papilionoideae for the flora of tropical East Africa. II. Kew Bull 24:235–307
- Walling JG, Shoemaker RC, Young N, Mudge J, Jackson S (2006) Chromosome level homeology in paleopolyploid soybean (*Glycine max*) revealed through integration of genetic and chromosome maps. Genetics 172:1893–1900
- Wang KJ, Takahata Y (2007) A preliminary comparative evaluation of genetic diversity between Chinese and Japanese wild soybean (*Glycine soja*) germplasm pools using SSR markers. Genet Resour Crop Evol 54:157–165
- Wang LX, Guan RX, Li YH, Lin FY, LuanWJ Li W, Ma YS, Liu ZX, Chang RZ, Qiu LJ (2008) Genetic diversity of Chinese spring soybean germplasm revealed by SSR markers. Plant Breed 127:56–61
- Wawrzynski A, Ashfield T, Chen NW, Mammadov J, Nguyen A, Podicheti R, Cannon SB, Thareau V, Ameline-Torregrosa C, Cannon E, Chacko B, Couloux A, Dalwani A, Denny R, Deshpande S, Egan AN, Glover N, Howell S, Ilut D, Lai H, Del Campo SM, Metcalf M, O'Bleness M, Pfeil BE, Ratnaparkhe MB, Samain S, Sanders I, Segurens B, Sevignac

M, Sherman-Broyles S, Tucker DM, Yi J, Doyle JJ, Geffroy V, Roe BA, Maroof MA, Young ND, Innes RW (2008) Replication of nonautonomous retroelements in soybean appears to be both recent and common. Plant Physiol 148:1760–1771

- Wojciechowski MF, Lavin M, Sanderson MJ (2004) A phylogeny of legumes (Leguminosae) based on analysis of the plastid *matK* gene resolves many well-supported subclades within the family. Am J Bot 91:1846–1862
- Woodworth CM (1933) Genetics of the soybean. J Am Soc Agron 25:36–51
- Wu XL, He CY, Chen SY, Zhuang BC, Wang KJ, Wan XC (2001) Phylogenetic analysis of interspecies in genus Glycine through SSR markers. Acta Genet Sin 28:359–366
- Xia Z, Tsubokura Y, Hoshi M, Hanawa M, Yano C, Okamura K, Ahmed TA, Anai T, Watanabe S, Hayashi M, Kawai T, Hossain KG, Masaki H, Asai K, Yamanaka N, Kubo N, Kadowaki K, Nagamura Y, Yano M, Sasaki T, Harada K (2007) An integrated high-density linkage map of soybean with RFLP, SSR, STS and AFLP markers using a single F2 population. DNA Res 14:257–269
- Xu DH, Gai JY (2003) Genetic diversity of wild and cultivated soybeans growing in China revealed by RAPD analysis. Plant Breed 122:503–506
- Xu SJ, Singh RJ, Hymowitz T (2000a) Monosomics in soybean: origin identification cytology and breeding behavior. Crop Sci 40:985–989
- Xu SJ, Singh RJ, Kollipara KP, Hymowitz T (2000b) Hypertriploid in soybean: origin identification cytology and breeding behavior. Crop Sci 40:72–77
- Xu DH, Abe J, Gai JY, Shimamoto Y (2002) Diversity of chloroplast DNA SSRs in wild and cultivated soybeans: evidence for multiple origins of cultivated soybean. Theor Appl Genet 105:645–653
- Yamanaka N, Nagamura Y, Tsubokura Y et al (2000) Quantitative trait locus analysis of flowering time in soybean using a RFLP linkage map. Breed Sci 50:109–115
- Yamanaka N, Ninomiya S, Hoshi M et al (2001) An informative linkage map of soybean reveals QTLs for flowering time leaflet morphology and regions of segregation distortion. DNA Res 8:61–72
- Yang K, Jeong SC (2008) Genetic linkage map of the nucleolus organizer region in the soybean. Genetics 178:605–608
- Yu H, Kiang YT (1993) Genetic variation in South Korean natural populations of wild soybean (*Glycine soja*). Euphytica 68:213–221
- Zhu T, Shi L, Doyle JJ, Keim P (1995) A single nuclear locus phylogeny of soybean based on DNA sequence. Theor Appl Genet 90:991–999
- Zhu YL, Song QJ, Hyten DL, Van Tassell CP, Matukumalli LK, Grimm DR, Hyatt SM, Fickus EW, Young ND, Cregan PB (2003) Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in soybean. Genetics 163:1123–1134
- Zhuang B (1999) Biological studies of Chinese wild soybean, 1st edn. Science, Beijing, China (in Chinese)
- Zou JJ, Singh RJ, Lee J, Xu SJ, Cregan PB, Hymowitz T (2003) Assignment of molecular linkage groups to soybean chromosomes by primary trisomics. Theor Appl Genet 107:745–750

Chapter 6 Lathyrus

Allison M. Gurung and Edwin C. K. Pang

6.1 Introduction

Lathyrus is a member of the Vicieae tribe (family Fabaceae), the other members of which are *Pisum*, *Lens*, and *Vicia*. There are approximately 160 species in the genus *Lathyrus* (Allkin et al. 1986); thus, there is potentially an enormous wealth of genetic diversity in the genus. Of the *Lathyrus* species, the most economically important and widely cultivated crop for human consumption is *L. sativus* L. Other *Lathyrus* species, which are grown for forage and/or grain include *L. cicera*, *L. ochrus*, *L. clymenum*, *L. tingitanus*, *L. latifolius*, and *L. sylvestris* (IPGRI 2000). In addition, *L. odoratus* (sweet pea) is widely cultivated as an ornamental plant.

The center of origin and main center of diversity of Lathyrus species is the Eastern Mediterranean, with smaller centers of diversity in North and South America (Kupicha 1983). Archeological remains of L. sativus seeds have been found dating back to 8000 BC and 6000 BC from the Balkans (Kislev 1989) and Jarmo (Iraq) (Helbaek 1965), respectively. L. sativus (grasspea) is now widely cultivated in South Asia and Ethiopia, with smaller areas of cultivation in other countries, including Spain, China, and Chile (IPGRI 2000). L. sativus is suited to a range of environments from temperate to subtropical regions. It is very tolerant of drought conditions and is also not affected by excessive rainfall (Campbell et al. 1994). However, despite the widespread distribution of L. sativus and the length of time that it has been cultivated, it has not

A.M. Gurung (🖂)

progressed as a pulse crop to the same extent as other pulses including field pea, lentil, and chickpea. Smartt (1984) hypothesized that the lack of progress of *L. sativus* as a pulse crop might have been due to its other and perhaps more important use as a forage crop.

L. sativus is an herbaceous annual (Smartt 1984); however, the genus Lathyrus also includes perennial species. Morphology of L. sativus genotypes is described in detail by Campbell (1997). International descriptors for Lathyrus species are based on diversity observed for the three most widely cultivated Lathyrus species: L. sativus, L. cicera, and L. ochrus (IPGRI 2000). The five categories of descriptors are: passport, management, environment and site, characterization, and evaluation. These descriptors are designed as a tool for a standardized characterization system and will assist with conservation of genetic resources.

Taxonomic characters that have traditionally been used to classify *Lathyrus* species include the anatomy of stipules, stems and phyllodes, number of leaflets, leaf venation, epidermal cell shape, flower structure, flower density, and legume shape (Kupicha 1983). Classification of *Lathyrus* based on these morphological traits separated the species into 13 sections (Orobus, Lathyrostylis, Lathyrus, Orobon, Pratensis, Aphaca, Clymenum, Orobastrum, Viciopsis, Linearicarpus, Nissolia, Neurolobus, and Notolathyrus) (Kupicha 1983).

All known annual *Lathyrus* species and most perennial species in *Lathyrus* are diploid with 2n = 14 chromosomes. There are a few polyploids among the perennials, including *L. palustris*, a hexaploid with 4x = 42, and *L. venosus*, a tetraploid with 28 chromosomes (Narayan and Durrant 1983; Gunes and Ali 2008). Large increases in chromosome size have occurred during the evolution of diploid *Lathyrus* species; Narayan and Durrant (1983) measured a fourfold difference in DNA between 25 *Lathyrus* species.

Melbourne School of Land and Environment, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia e-mail: a.gurung@unimelb.edu.au

However, despite differences in chromosome size, their shape and karyotype arrangement between complements are similar among *Lathryus* species (Narayan and Durrant 1983). Although trisomic and tetrasomic types of *L. sativus* have been recovered (Dibyendu 2008), there remains limited information on their use. The tetrasomics varied in morphological characters and had reduced pollen sterility and yield (Dibyendu 2008). Natural and induced autoployploids have also been reported for *L. sativus*, *L. odoratus*, *L. pratensis*, and *L. venosus* (Khawaja et al. 1997).

There have been several comprehensive reviews of the conservation of *Lathyrus* (Campbell 1997; Sarker et al. 2000; Heywood et al. 2007) and the status of *Lathyrus* improvement using classical breeding and molecular techniques (Vaz Patto et al. 2006a; Skiba et al. 2007). Therefore, in this chapter, we will discuss the more recent developments as a supplement to these previous publications.

6.2 Conservation Initiatives

Coordinated evaluation and conservation of Lathyrus genetic resources has attracted more attention in the last 10-15 years. In 1995, a regional workshop on Lathyrus genetic resources in Asia was organized by the International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI) and Indira Gandhi Agriculture University in India. The workshop proposed a working group to follow-up on the suggested activities of a regional network based on Lathyrus genetic resources conservation and use (Mathur et al. 1998). A follow-up regional working group meeting was held in 1997 in New Delhi, India, with the proceedings published under the title "Lathyrus Genetic Resources Network" (Mathur et al. 1998). The main focus of the network was L. sativus, although L. cicera and L. ochrus were a secondary focus. Recently, the development of a grasspea conservation strategy has been supported by the Global Crop Diversity Trust, which manages the Svalbard Global Seed Vault. The grasspea strategy focuses on the ex situ conservation of L. sativus, L. cicera, and L. ochrus (Hawtin 2007). The conservation strategy document prepared for Lathyrus details the current status of national collections and identifies gaps in collections of these three species from areas of diversity. The strategy recommends that documentation on collections be upgraded and that more work be carried out on characterizing and evaluating collections for key traits and making this data widely available.

To date ex situ Lathyrus conservation has primarily focused on collecting germplasm of cultivated species of Lathyrus, primarily L. sativus, and there has been very little attention paid to the conservation of other Lathyrus wild species. Countries including France and Bangladesh hold a large number of Lathyrus accessions, but the collections are limited to a few species, predominantly L. sativus (Sarker et al. 2000; Table 6.1). The largest ex situ collections of Lathyrus germplasm, based on the number of species, are held by ICARDA (44 species), USA (41 species), Australia (38 species), India (34 species), and Turkey (31 species) (Sabanci 1996; Robertson and El-Moneim 1998; Sarker et al. 2000, 2001; Mathur et al. 2005). Although exploitation of genetic diversity within L. sativus still holds much potential, it is crucial to also conserve the genetic diversity in the wild species for future exploitation, particularly as a source of potentially novel traits.

Centers of primary and secondary diversity of a species are priority collection regions; however, examination of national collections from centers of Lathyrus diversity show that some of the collections do not contain a large proportion of indigenous accessions (Table 6.1). In addition, sharing of Lathyrus accessions between nations can give the appearance that collections contain more resources than in reality; for example, 87% of the ICARDA collection is duplicated in other collections. Important gaps in ex situ genetic diversity of L. sativus were identified in Egypt, Iran, and regions of Russia, Iraq, Bangladesh, India, Ethiopia, Afghanistan, and Spain (Hawtin 2007). In addition to focusing on expanding collections, duplication of collections needs attention for safety purposes. The grasspea conservation strategy found less than 10% duplication of accessions for some important collections (Hawtin 2007).

In situ conservation of *Lathyrus* is not formally practiced; however, naturally occurring areas of *Lathyrus* still exist, although their survival is threatened by genetic erosion due to intensification of agriculture and threatened habitats. Five genetic reserves for *Lathyrus* diversity have been proposed in Syria and Turkey (Maxted 1995; Heywood et al. 2007). These sites were found to have many rare and diverse

Country	L. sativus	L. amphicarpos	L. cicera	L. gorgoni	L. latifolius	Total <i>Lathyrus</i> accessions	Indigenous accessions
Algeria ^a	234	0	45	1	0	437	26
Australia ^a	583	0	141	6	1	1,001	0
Bangladesh	2,432	0	0	0	0	2,432	2,422
Bulgaria ^b	213	0	44	0	0	369	ns
Cyprus ^a	19	0	0	0	0	31	31
Ethiopia ^a	115	0	2	0	0	163	5
France ^a	2,345	0	776	0	308	4,387	1,467
Germany ^a	205	2	61	2	4	445	4
Hungary ^a	228	0	55	0	0	307	101
India ^a	2,561	0	1	0	0	2,580	2,453
Jordan ^a	1	0	1	1	0	36	35
Nepal ^a	149	0	0	0	0	149	144
Pakistan ^a	11	0	0	0	0	130	55
Russia ^c	688	0	57	2	0	904	131
Spain ^b	178	0	220	0	1	442	275
Turkey ^d	17	0	90	27	0	>600	>600
USA ^a	242	0	33	1	11	529	48
ICARDA ^e (1998)	1,627	2	183	60	1	3,038	519 ^f
ICARDA ^g (2007)	1 660	ns	208	ns	ns	3 2 3 9	ns

 Table 6.1 Lathyrus germplasm in national collections

^aMathur et al. (2005) (Indian collection: Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Raipur) ^bEURISCO Catalog (*http://eurisco.ecpgr.org*, date of data consultation 25 May 2009)

^cDatabase of Vavilov Institute (http://www.vir.nw.ru/data/dbf.htm accessed 25 May 2009)

^dSabanci (1996)

^eRobertson and El-Moneim (1998)

^fIndigenous accessions from Syria in the ICARDA collection ^gHawtin (2007)

Lathyrus species (Maxted 1995). The importance of these types of sites is demonstrated by the recent finding of a new species of *Lathyrus* in Turkey, *L. egirdiricus* (Genc and Sahin 2008).

6.3 Elucidation of Origin and Evolution of Allied Crop Plants

L. sativus is classified in Section Lathyrus with 33 other species (including L. amphicarpos, L. cicera, L. gorgoni, L. odoratus, and L. latifolius) according to morphotaxonomic characters (Kupicha 1983). A biochemical study of free amino acids in the seeds of 50 Lathyrus species by Bell (1962) found that the species could be subdivided into five related groups (L. clymenum, L. ochrus, L. sativus, and L. cicera in Group I, L. gorgoni in Group II, and L. odoratus in Group V), which only partially corresponded with the morphological study (Kupicha 1983). Studies of the chloro-

plast DNA of 42 *Lathyrus* species (Asmussen and Liston 1998) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis of 18 species (Badr et al. 2002) suggest that reclassification of some species to different sections may be required. In comparison, random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis of eight species (from three sections) supported the classification of 53 species using internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and 5.8S coding region of nuclear ribosomal DNA also generally supported the morphological classification of *Lathyrus* species, particularly in Section *Lathyrus* (Kenicer et al. 2005).

The wild *Lathyrus* species that comprise the most accessible gene pool of *L. sativus* are the species with which *L. sativus* produces hybrids with some degree of fertility. Within Section *Lathyrus*, morphological variation (Jackson and Yunus 1984) and interspecific hybridization (Yunus and Jackson 1991) have been examined to identify the species most closely related to *L. sativus*. Morphological characterization of 14 species from Section *Lathyrus* indicated that *L. cicera*

and L. gorgoni were most closely related to L. sativus (Jackson and Yunus 1984). Interspecific hybridization between L. sativus and 15 wild species in Section Lathyrus supported the close relationship between L. sativus and L. cicera, with crossing between these species resulting in viable F₁ hybrids with low fertility (Yunus and Jackson 1991). Viable hybrids were also obtained between L. amphicarpos and L. sativus (Yunus and Jackson 1991). L. gorgoni \times L. sativus produced an F_1 , but the seedling was nonviable. Embryo abortion was an important barrier to interspecific hybridization between many of the Section Lathyrus species (Yunus and Jackson 1991); thus, ovule culture and embryo rescue techniques may be successful in increasing the success and efficiency of interspecific hybridizations between L. sativus and other Section Lathyrus species. Embryo rescue techniques for Lathyrus have been used successfully to produce hybrids between L. odoratus and L. belinensis in an attempt to transfer unique flower color traits to L. odoratus (Hammett et al. 1994), and Kearney (1993) also reported in a PhD thesis that ovule culture and embryo rescue techniques were successful in increasing the success and efficiency of interspecific hybridizations between L. sativus and other Section Lathyrus species.

Thus, in terms of conservation of Lathyrus wild relatives as a source of genetic variation for L. sativus that may be accessible using traditional interspecific hybridization techniques, L. cicera and L. amphicarpos are the most important species as they make up the secondary gene pool, followed by other Section Lathyrus species that make up the tertiary gene pool. A summary of national collections of a few Section Lathyrus species in Table 6.1 shows that relatively large collections of L. cicera exist in a number of countries due to its agricultural use. Thus, L. cicera represents a valuable source of potentially accessible variation for L. sativus. In comparison, only a couple of accessions of L. amphicarpos exist and they were collected more than 30 years ago (the two accessions in the German collection were acquired in 1962 and 1977 from Portugal; Table 6.1). In light of successful hybridizations between L. amphicarpos and L. sativus, it would be worthwhile revisiting the taxonomic status of L. amphicarpos and confirming it is a separate species.

The wild *Lathyrus* species represent a wealth of genetic variation that is potentially available to

Lathyrus crop species, but the wild species may also be a source of novel genes that can be accessed using modern genetic engineering technology. However, few accessions exist of *Lathyrus* species that are not agriculturally important. For example, less than 100 accessions of *L. gorgoni* are maintained, and it is likely that a significant proportion of these are duplicated (Table 6.1). Thus, conservation initiatives for the wild *Lathyrus* species need to be expedited before potentially valuable sources of genetic variability are permanently lost.

6.4 Crop Improvement Through Traditional and Advanced Tools

Traditional and molecular breeding of Lathyrus were reviewed comprehensively by Vaz Patto et al. (2006a) and Skiba et al. (2007); therefore, the reader is directed to these texts. In this section, we propose to discuss more recent developments as a supplement to these previous publications. Briefly, the major breeding objective for L. sativus improvement is reducing concentrations of the neurotoxin β -N-oxalyl-L- α , β diaminopropanoic acid (ODAP) in the seed. ODAP is a nonprotein amino acid associated with a neurodegenerative disease called neurolathyrism. The disease causes a degeneration of upper motor neurons, which is manifested as irreversible spastic paraparesis of the lower limbs (Spencer et al. 1986). L. sativus germplasm exhibits a large natural range of variation in ODAP concentrations in seed, ranging from ca. 0.22 to 7.20 g/kg (Campbell 1997), and somaclonal variation for the trait has also been observed (Santha et al. 1998; Chakrbarti et al. 1999).

Other major breeding objectives for *L. sativus* improvement are: increasing grain yield through the incorporation of yield components such as double pods per node and increased seeds per pod and increasing biomass yield (for forage varieties) (Campbell 1997; Abd El Moneim et al. 2001). Vaz Patto et al. (2006a) showed that previous breeding efforts have concentrated on the production of low β -ODAP lines, and it was only after the release of such lines that other traits, e.g., yield and abiotic/biotic stress resistance have been considered. However, progress in these areas has been slow, as no new report has
emerged recently of further advancements in breeding of such traits.

Other *Lathyrus* species have not fared as well as L. sativus in terms of breeding research, although there is the potential for using the significant variation in the Lathyrus secondary and tertiary gene pools for the improvement of L. sativus. Resistance to powdery mildew (Erisiphe pisi) in Lathyrus has been investigated in hybrid sweet peas (L. odoratus \times L. belinensis) by Poulter et al. (2003) and recently for L. sativus (Vaz Patto et al. 2006b). Full and partial resistance to this disease has been observed, indicating that qualitative (major gene) and quantitative (minor gene) inheritance may be involved. Recently, a collection of 140 accessions of L. cicera from Iberia were characterized for rust (Uromyces pisi and U. viciae-fabae) resistance (Vaz Patto et al. 2009). Although most accessions displayed only partial resistance to U. pisi, they were, in general, found to be highly resistant to U. viciae-fabae, as evidenced by clear hypersensitivity responses. Vaz Patto et al. (2006b) identified other areas of breeding research for Lathyrus, including downy mildew (Peronospora lathyri-palustris) resistance in L. aphaca and L. sativus (Campbell 1997), Ascochyta blight (Mycosphaerella pinodes) resistance in L. sativus (Gurung et al. 2002; Skiba et al. 2004), and broomrape (Orobanche crenata) resistance in Lathyrus spp. A search of the available literature revealed no recent progress in these areas.

Molecular breeding in *Lathyrus* is at a nascent stage. Although several marker systems have been developed for Lathyrus (Skiba et al. 2007), none has been employed for regular marker-assisted breeding. Most of the DNA-based marker systems reviewed by Skiba et al. (2007) were employed for genetic diversity and phylogenetic determinations; few studies were directed toward the development of markers for traits of economic importance. As an example, one of these latter studies involved the development of a molecular marker linked to the tendril trait for L. odoratus (Hanada and Hirai 2003). The region in the L. odoratus genome that was tagged by a RAPD marker linked to the tendril trait was cloned and sequenced, and a pair of primers was designed to specifically amplify this region, thereby developing a sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR) marker. Further, Skiba et al. (2004) developed 13 sequence tagged site (STS/CAPS) markers from expressed sequence tag (EST) sequences obtained

from a *L. sativus* cDNA library. These markers, representing a variety of genes, were subsequently mapped onto a linkage map of *L. sativus*. A quantitative trait loci (QTL) for Ascochyta blight resistance mapped closely to a sequence tagged site (STS) for a Cf-9 homolog (*Cladosporium fulvum R*-gene homolog), suggesting the possible involvement of this gene in the defense response. However, no subsequent proofof-function research was performed to validate these results; so currently, the *Cf-9* homolog may only be regarded as a molecular marker for Ascochyta blight resistance but not as an effector of resistance.

Thus far, only two linkage maps are available for Lathyrus, both of them developed for L. sativus independently by Chowdhury and Slinkard (1999) and Skiba et al. (2004). The first of these maps was constructed with mainly dominant RAPD markers (Chowdhury and Slinkard 1999). This map consisted of 71 RAPD, three isozymes and a morphological marker organized into 14 linkage groups spanning 898 cm, with an average marker distance of 17.2 cm. However, it is clear that this genetic map does not align properly with the physical map of Lathyrus as its haploid chromosome number is n = 7. This may have been due to the high level of segregation distortion of the RAPD markers (12%), as the use of such markers in map construction may lead to false linkages and unreliable estimates of map distances. Additionally, the segregation distortion of these markers may have been symptomatic of the overall level of heterozygosity of the parents used to produce the F₂ mapping population. The second map, by Skiba et al. (2004), was constructed using a combination of 47 RAPD, seven sequence-tagged microsatellite site (STMS) and 13 STS/CAPS markers. The map comprised nine linkage groups spanning 803.1 cm, with an average marker distance of 15.8 cm. A backcross mapping population was used in this instance, which simplified the interpretation of dominant marker data. Unfortunately, both maps suffered from the lack of marker saturation of a number of linkage groups, and disappointingly, could not be aligned due to the lack of common anchor markers. More effort is, therefore, clearly needed to develop a comprehensive genetic map for Lathyrus.

A growing volume of literature pertaining to the use of cell and tissue culture for improving *Lathyrus* spp. alludes to the emphasis placed by researchers in recent years on developing methods for gene transfer

between members of this genus and with other species in the tribe Vicieae. Additionally, recent promising research by Ochatt et al. (2009) on the use of osmotic and electric shocks to induce androgenesis in a number of Lathyrus spp. (L. sativus, L. cicera, L. clymenum and L. ochrus) may pave the way for the future application of doubled-haploid technology in breeding programs. The research on the introgression of donor genes through interspecific/intergeneric hybridization in Lathyrus was extensively reviewed by Vaz Patto et al. (2006). Most of the attempts to produce interspecific hybrids have failed, with the notable exceptions of, e.g., the successful L. sativus \times L. cicera/ L. amphicarpos crosses (Yunus and Jackson 1991) and the production of viable hybrids between L. odoratus and L. belinensis with the aid of embryo rescue techniques (Hammett et al. 1994; Poulter et al. 2003). Protoplast fusion between L. sativus and Pisum sativum has been attempted by several groups, mainly for the introgression of gene(s) for Ascochyta blight resistance from Lathyrus into pea (Vaz Patto et al. 2006). Somatic hybrid calli have been consistently produced (Ochatt et al. 2004); however, regeneration of complete plants from such calli has remained elusive.

6.5 Genomics Resources

The genomics resources for *Lathyrus* are currently limited compared with that of the major crop species but have improved considerably over the past 2 years. At the time of writing, a search of GenBank and GenBank ESTs revealed that there were nearly 18,000 entries for genomic and cDNA sequences for all members of the genus *Lathyrus*, a significant increase over the small number (<50) reported by Skiba et al. (2007). A summary of the available sequence information from the main contributors is

available in Table 6.2. Entries by Kenicer et al. (2005) and Oliver (2005, unpublished) were for sequenced fragments of ITS regions and microsatellites, potentially useful for phylogenetic studies and mapping purposes. At present, the only comprehensive cDNA or EST library for L. sativus was constructed by Skiba et al. (2005) from Mycosphaerella pinodes-challenged leaf and stem tissues. This library, comprising of 818 non-redundant ESTs encompasses the entire spectrum of functional categories with a slight overrepresentation of defense and cell rescue/ death/aging ESTs, along with a large number of ESTs (47%) with unknown/unclear function. Of these, the sequences of 177 ESTs, which were used in microarray studies on biotic and abiotic stress resistance in chickpea (Cicer arietinum, Coram and Pang 2006; Mantri et al. 2007), were submitted to GenBank (Table 6.2). Although parallel studies were not conducted on L. sativus, the existing microarray "The Pulse Chip" and the library will be a particularly useful resource in future studies on biotic and abiotic stress factors in Lathyrus. Very recently, Wolfe (2009, unpublished, Table 6.2) submitted a large number of EST sequences of L. odoratus to GenBank ESTs, which currently represent the bulk of the genetic sequence information available for Lathyrus. Unfortunately, none of the 17,582 sequences were characterized, and to compound the problem, the author acknowledged that the library was contaminated with cDNA from Danio rerio (zebrafish). No explanation was provided as to how this occurred or as to the extent of the contamination. For this current publication, one of us (Pang) randomly selected 100 ESTs from Wolfe's (2009) library (using random numbers generated by MS-Excel), BLASTed them against the SWISSProt and SpTrEmBL databases, and finally, assigned each EST into a functional category (Fig. 6.1). The results from this small sample indicated that the zebrafish cDNA contamination was significant (9%);

Table 6.2 Lathyrus spp. genetic sequences in GenBank Main and GenBank ESTs as at 25/05/09

Sequence type/gene(s)	Species	Accession numbers	Contributor(s)
EST	L. sativus	DY396259–DY396435	Skiba et al. (2005)
EST	L. odoratus	GO314003-GO322539	Wolfe (2009, unpublished)
Ribosomal ITS (5.8S, 16S)	53 Lathyrus spp.	AY839341-AY839405	Kenicer et al. (2005)
Chloroplast tRNA	53 Lathyrus spp.	AY838409–AY839537	Kenicer et al. (2005)
Microsatellite	L. japonicus	DQ201753-DQ218041 (with gaps)	Oliver (2005, unpublished)
Convicilin	L. clymenum	AM886028-AM886045	Saenz de Miera et al. (2008)

therefore, great caution must be exercised when employing sequence data from this set of L. odoratus ESTs. Thirty-seven percent of the ESTs returned either no functional match or were homologous with existing entries representing putative proteins with unknown function. The remaining sequences matched with entries for proteins with known functions (Fig. 6.1), notably from the genera Medicago, Arabidopsis, Populus (poplar), and Ricinus (castor oil). The large increase in available EST and genetic marker sequences for Lathyrus in the public databases is highly encouraging and will assist in future studies on functional genomics, phylogenetics, and plant breeding. However, the pollution of the largest set of EST sequences (L. odoratus) with zebrafish sequences is of concern and must be addressed by the full characterization of the library.

In contrast to the encouraging growth of genomic information discussed earlier, proof-of-function studies of putative Lathyrus genes via overexpression or deletion are currently difficult, for a number of reasons. Although an Agrobacterium-mediated transformation system for L. sativus is available (Barik et al. 2005), it is not widely employed as evidenced by the paucity of publications in this field. Apart from the ODAP mutants discussed previously, there are a number of mutant populations available for studying the effects of gene deletions/silencing. For example, Kumar and Dubey (2003) produced a mutant population of L. sativus using gamma rays and a number of chemical mutagens, including EMS (ethylmethane sulphonate) and NMU (N-nitroso-N-methyl urea). The M3 population of 81 individuals displayed significant variation for a number of agronomic characteristics, thus it may be cautiously inferred that this population contained a number of useful mutations. However, for targeting induced local lesions in genome (TILLING) studies, much larger populations will have to be



Fig. 6.1 Functional classification of 100 ESTs from L. odoratus (Wolfe 2009, unpublished)

constructed. Recently, a promising technique for reverse genetics involving virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) was developed for *Medicago truncatula* and *L. odorata* (sic) (Grønlund et al. 2008). This technique involved cloning a fragment of the putative gene of interest into the pea early browning virus (PEBV) vector. Upon infection of the plant with the modified virus, the RNAi-based virus defense targets the virus (and the cloned fragment) for degradation. A side effect of this is the post-transcriptional silencing of the target gene, leading to an altered phenotype. Although the technique was successful in producing gene silencing in *L. odorata*, it remains unclear whether it is broadly applicable to all members of *Lathyrus*, especially for the crop species *L. sativus*.

6.6 Recommendations for Future Actions

The genus *Lathyrus* possesses a wealth of genetic variation, but characterization and utilization of the diversity in the main cultivated species (*L. sativus*, *L. cicera* and *L. ochrus*) is still in its early stages, and consequentially, more than 100 wild species have received even less attention. Coordinated efforts to collect and conserve *Lathyrus* crop species have been initiated in the last 10–15 years and have gained momentum with the development of a grasspea conservation strategy as part of the Global Crop Diversity Trust. However, conservation initiatives for the wild *Lathyrus* species need to be expedited before potentially valuable sources of genetic variability are permanently lost.

Progress in crop improvement of *Lathyrus* using traditional and molecular breeding tools has been slow. The main focus of breeding has been to produce low ODAP lines of *L. sativus*, and few reports of advancements in breeding for other traits exist. There is a need to develop a more comprehensive genetic map for *Lathyrus*, with mapped positions of useful genes for marker-assisted breeding. Further, recent progress in protoplast fusion and anther culture require further advancement before these methodologies may be used for *Lathyrus* are modest compared with that of the major crop species such as wheat, and even with its close relatives, pea and lentil. Much more work is

required, particularly in producing EST libraries from specific tissues and organs. As a matter of priority, an EST library constructed from developing pods and seeds should be generated. This is because all of the available ESTs were derived from vegetative rather than reproductive tissues. Characterization of existing ESTs in the databases is also important, as evidenced by the contamination of the main set of Lathyrus ESTs with sequences from zebrafish. In terms of plant resources for functional genomic studies, mutant populations are critically needed for gene inactivation/ deletion studies. Transformation and VIGS systems for Lathyrus appear to be at a nascent stage; therefore, future research should concentrate on the optimization, and wider application of these systems to members of this genus.

References

- Abd El Moneim AM, van Dorrestein B, Baum M, Ryan J, Bejiga
 G (2001) Role of ICARDA in improving the nutritional quality and yield potential of grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.), for subsistence farmers in dry areas. Lathryus Lathyrism Newsl 2:55–58
- Allkin R, Goyder DJ, Bisby FA, White RJ (1986) Names and synonyms of species and subspecies in the Vicieae. Vicieae Database Proj 7:1–75
- Asmussen CB, Liston A (1998) Chloroplast DNA characters, phylogeny, and classification of *Lathyrus* (Fabaceae). Am J Bot 85:387–401
- Badr A, El Shazly H, El Rabey H, Watson LE (2002) Systematic relationships in *Lathyrus* sect. *Lathyrus* (Fabaceae) based on amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) data. Can J Bot 80:962–969
- Barik DP, Mohapatra U, Chand PK (2005) Transgenic grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.): factors influencing *Agrobacterium*mediated transformation and regeneration. Plant Cell Rep 24:523–531
- Bell EA (1962) Associations of ninhydrin-reacting compounds in the seeds of 49 species of *Lathyrus*. Biochem J 83: 225–229
- Campbell CG (1997) Grasspea *Lathryus sativus* L. promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops, vol 18. Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research/International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Gatersleben/Rome, Italy
- Campbell CG, Mehra RB, Agrawal SK, Chen YZ, Abd El Moneim AM, Khawaja HIT, Yadov CR, Tay JU, Araya WA (1994) Current status and future strategy in breeding grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus*). Euphytica 73:167–175
- Chakrbarti A, Santha IM, Mehta SL (1999) Molecular characterization of low ODAP somaclones of *Lathyrus sativus*. J Plant Biochem Biotechnol 8:25–29

- Chowdhury MA, Slinkard AE (1999) Linkage of random amplified polymorphic DNA, isozyme and morphological markers in grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus*). J Agric Sci 133:389–395
- Coram TE, Pang ECK (2006) Expression profiling of chickpea genes differentially regulated during resistance response to *Ascochyta rabiei*. Plant Biotechnol 4:647–666
- Croft AM, Pang ECK, Taylor PWJ (1999) Molecular analysis of Lathyrus sativus L. (grasspea) and related Lathyrus species. Euphytica 107:167–176
- Dibyendu T (2008) Cytogenetic characterization of seven different primary tetrasomics in grass pea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.). Caryologia 61:402–410
- Genc H, Sahin A (2008) A new species of *Lathyrus* L. (section Cicercula; Fabaceae) from Turkey. Bot J Linn Soc 158:301–305
- Grønlund M, Constantin G, Piednoir E, Kovacev J, Johansen IE, Lund OS (2008) Virus-induced gene silencing in *Medicago* truncatula and Lathyrus odorata. Virus Res 135:345–349
- Gunes F, Ali C (2008) Karyotype analysis of some *Lathyrus* L. species (Fabaceae) from the Thrace region (Turkey-in-Europe). Caryologia 61:269–282
- Gurung AM, Pang ECK, Taylor PWJ (2002) Examination of *Pisum* and *Lathyrus* species as sources of ascochyta blight resistance for field pea (*Pisum sativum*). Aust Plant Pathol 31:41–45
- Hammett KRW, Murray BG, Markham KR, Hallett IC (1994) Interspecific hybridization between *Lathyrus odoratus* and *L. belinensis*. Int J Plant Sci 155:763–771
- Hanada H, Hirai M (2003) Development of genetic marker linked to the tendril trait of sweet pea (*Lathyrus odoratus* L.). Breed Sci 53:7–13
- Hawtin (2007) Strategy for the ex situ conservation of *Lathyrus* (grass pea), with special reference to *Lathyrus sativus*, *L. cicera*, *L. ochrus*. http://www.croptrust.org/main/grasspea.php?itemid=32. Accessed 15 April 2010
- Helbaek H (1965) Early Hassunan vegetable remains at es-Sawwan near Samarra. Sumer 20:45–48
- Heywood V, Casas A, Ford-Lloyd B, Kell S, Maxted N (2007) Conservation and sustainable use of crop wild relatives. Agric Ecosyst Environ 121:245–255
- IPGRI (2000) Descriptors for *Lathyrus* spp. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy
- Jackson MT, Yunus AG (1984) Variation in the grass pea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) and wild species. Euphytica 33:549–559
- Kearney JP (1993) Wild Lathyrus species as genetic resources for improvement of grasspea (Lathyrus sativus). PhD Thesis, University of Southampton, UK
- Kenicer GJ, Kajita T, Pennington RT, Murata J (2005) Systematics and biogeography of *Lathyrus* (Leguminosae) based on internal transcribed spacer and cpDNA sequence data. Am J Bot 92:1199–1209
- Khawaja HIT, Sybenga J, Ellis JR (1997) Chromosome pairing and chiasma formation in autopolyploids of different *Lathyrus* species. Genome 40:937–944
- Kislev ME (1989) Origins of the cultivation of Lathyrus sativus and L. cicera (Fabaceae). Econ Bot 43:262–270
- Kumar S, Dubey DK (2003) Genetic diversity among induced mutants of grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.). Lathyrus Lathyrism Newsl 3:15–17
- Kupicha FK (1983) The infrageneric structure of *Lathyrus*. Notes R Bot Gard Edinb 41:209–244

- Mantri NL, Ford R, Coram TE, Pang ECK (2007) Transcriptional profiling of chickpea genes differentially regulated in response to high-salinity, cold and drought. BMC Genomics 8:303
- Mathur PN, Ramanatha Rao V, Arora RK (1998) Lathyrus genetic resources network: proceeding of a IPGRI-ICARDA-ICAR regional working group meeting, 8–10 Dec 1997. NBPGR, IPGRI Office for South Asia, New Delhi, India
- Mathur PN, Alercia A, Jain C (2005) Lathyrus germplasm collections directory. IPGRI, Rome, Italy
- Maxted N (1995) An ecogeographic study of *Vicia* subgenus *Vicia*, vol 8, Systematic and ecogeographic studies in crop genepools. IPGRI, Rome, Italy
- Narayan RKJ, Durrant A (1983) DNA distribution in chromosomes of *Lathyrus* species. Genetica 61:47–53
- Ochatt S, Benabdelmouna A, Marget P, Aubert G, Moussy F, Pontécaille C, Jaca L (2004) Overcoming hybridisation barriers between pea and some of its wild relatives. Euphytica 137:353–359
- Ochatt S, Pech C, Grewal R, Conreux C, Lulsdorf M, Jacas L (2009) Abiotic stress ehances androgenesis from isolated microspores of some legume species (Fabaceae). J Plant Physiol. doi:10.1016/jplph.2009.01.011
- Poulter R, Harvey L, Burritt DJ (2003) Qualitative resistance to powdery mildew in hybrid sweet peas. Euphytica 133: 349–358
- Robertson LD, El-Moneim AMA (1998) Status of *Lathyrus* germplasm held at ICARDA and its use in breeding programmes. In: Mathur PN, Ramanatha Rao V, Arora RK (eds) Lathyrus genetic resources network: proceeding of a IPGRI-ICARDA-ICAR regional working group meeting, 8–10 Dec 1997. NBPGR, IPGRI Office for South Asia, New Delhi, India
- Sabanci CO (1996) Lathyrus genetic resources in Turkey. In: Arora RK, Mathur PN, Riley KW, Adham Y (eds) Lathyrus genetic resources in Asia: proceeding of a regional workshop, 27–29 Dec 1995. Indira Gandhi Agricultural University/IPGRI Office for South Asia, Raipur, India/New Delhi, India
- Saenz de Miera LE, Ramos J, Perez de la Vega M (2008) A comparative study of convicilin storage protein gene sequences in species of the tribe Vicieae. Genome 51:511–523
- Santha IM, Ali K, Mehta SL (1998) Performance of low ODAP somaclones of *Lathyrus sativus*. In: Mathur PN, Ramanatha Rao V, Arora RK (eds) Lathyrus genetic resources network: proceeding of a IPGRI-ICARDA-ICAR regional working group meeting, 8–10 Dec 1997. NBPGR, IPGRI Office for South Asia, New Delhi, India
- Sarker A, Robertson LD, Campbell CG (2000) Lathyrus spp conserved resources, priorities for collection and future prospects. In: Knight R (ed) Linking research and marketing opportunities for pulses in the 21st century. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 645–654
- Sarker A, Abd El Moneim A, Maxted N (2001) Grasspea and chicklings (*Lathyrus* L.). In: Maxted N, Bennett SJ (eds) Plant genetic resources of legumes in the mediterranean. Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Skiba B, Ford R, Pang ECK (2004) Construction of a linkage map based on a *Lathyrus sativus* backcross population and preliminary investigation of QTLs associated with resistance to ascochyta blight. Theor Appl Genet 109:1726–1735

- Skiba B, Ford R, Pang ECK (2005) Construction of a cDNA library of *Lathyrus sativus* inoculated with *Mycosphaerella pinodes* and the expression of potential defence-related expressed sequence tags (ESTs). Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 66:55–67
- Skiba B, Gurung AM, Pang ECK (2007) Lathyrus. In: Kole C (ed) Genome mapping and molecular breeding in plants, vol 3, Pulse, sugar and tuber crops. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 123–132
- Smartt J (1984) Evolution of grain legumes. I. Mediterranean pulses. Exp Agric 20:275–296
- Spencer PS, Roy DN, Ludolph A, Hugon J, Schaumberg HH (1986) Lathyrism: evidence for role of the neuroexcitatory amino acid BOAA. Lancet 2:1066–1067
- Vaz Patto MC, Skiba B, Pang ECK, Ochatt SJ, Lambein F, Rubiales D (2006a) *Lathyrus* improvement for resistance against biotic and abiotic stresses: from classical breeding to marker assisted selection. Euphytica 147:133–147
- Vaz Patto MC, Fernández-Aparicio M, Moral A, Rubiales D (2006b) Characterization of resistance to powdery mildew (*Erisiphe pisi*) in a germplasm collection of *Lathyrus sativus*. Plant Breed 125:308–310
- Vaz Patto MC, Fernández-Aparicio M, Moral A, Rubiales D (2009) Pre and posthaustorial resistance to rusts in *Lathyrus cicera* L. Euphytica 165:27–34
- Yunus AG, Jackson MT (1991) The gene pools of the grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.). Plant Breed 106:319–328

Chapter 7 Lens

Dorin Gupta, Rebecca Ford, and Paul W. J. Taylor

7.1 Introduction

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik. ssp. *culinaris*) is an ancient crop of the Old World, which continues to play a vital role in agriculture. Its primary asset is the ability to produce a high quality protein in drought-prone marginal environments (Cubero 1981). Like other pulses, substantial improvement in the yield potential of lentil has not been achieved due to the lack of a combination of genes for higher productivity, and biotic and abiotic resistance, which are scattered in the cultivated and wild *Lens*.

Wild Lens species have emerged as a solution for introducing additional germplasm into cultivated lentil. The wild Lens taxa are known to possess resistance against biotic and abiotic stresses (Bayaa and Erskine 1991; Bayaa et al. 1995; Hamdi and Erskine 1996; Hamdi et al. 1996; Gupta and Sharma 2006). Therefore, introgression of desirable genes from related wild Lens taxa to the cultivated lentil will help in the flow of useful genes into cultivated lentil and thus including these wild species in the common gene pool (Ladizinsky 1993). The usefulness of these sources to the plant breeder depends on their genetic affinities to the cultigen and availability of gene transfer methods. As far as crossability status of wild Lens taxa with cultivated lentil is concerned, L. culinaris ssp. orientalis and L. culinaris ssp. odemensis are crossable with cultivated lentil and hence share a common gene pool. Single chromosomal rearrangements may cause partial sterility, but there are still ample opportunities for gene flow and for the

D. Gupta (🖂)

utilization of these forms for breeding purposes. Successful hybridization between *L. nigricans*, *L. ervoides*, and cultivated lentil is not yet possible; however, the use of improved techniques of embryo-ovule culture and application of plant growth regulators can help to overcome post-fertilization barriers.

7.2 Basic Botany

7.2.1 Origin and Geographical Distribution

The presumed wild progenitor of the cultivated lentil is L. culinaris ssp. orientalis (Boiss.) Ponert, which is distributed from Greece in the west to Uzbekistan in the east, and from the Crimean Peninsula in the north to Jordan in the south (Ladizinsky 1979a; Cubero 1981). Lentil (L. culinaris ssp. culinaris) originated in the Near East (Zohary 1972), where agriculture was adopted as a survival strategy about 10,000 years ago. Afterwards, the crop spread to the Nile and to central Europe. The wide spread use of legumes throughout the Mediterranean basin at the beginning of agriculture is inferred from the distribution of their wild ancestors and the variety of regions in which they have been transformed into domesticated plants. Progressively, local adaptation produced huge diversity within the L. culinaris species, resulting in the current pattern of geographic differentiation of landraces (Erskine 1997). Lentil is a widely grown edible grain legume in the semi-arid regions of the world, particularly in the Indian subcontinent and in the dry areas of the Middle East, Canada, southern Europe, and eastern and northern Africa.

Department of Crop Improvement, CSK Himachal Pradesh Agricultural University, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, India e-mail: gupta.dorin@gmail.com

7.2.2 Botany and Morphology

Plants of the genus *Lens* are diploid (2n = 2x = 14), which reproduce predominantly by self-pollination. *Lens* is a member of tribe Vicieae, family Fabaceae and subfamily Papilionacea. Besides *Lens*, Vicieae includes the three other genera *Vicia* L. (beans), *Lathyrus* L. (grass pea), and *Pisum* L. (field peas). *Lens* has intermediate ranking between *Vicia* and *Lathyrus*; however, it is more close to *Vicia*. Wild as well as cultivated lentils are annual plants with erect, semi-spreading, and spreading growth habit. The upper leaves have tendrils, which are thin, coiling thread-like extensions that are used for climbing.

Flowering starts on the lowest branches and gradually moves up the plant. The small flowers range in color from white or pink to pale blue and lilac. Pods contain one or two small lens-shaped round seeds (Fig. 7.1). However, pod shattering trait is exhibited by wild lentils. *L. culinaris* spp. *culinaris* encompasses two cultivated lentils on the basis of physiomorphological traits, the small-seeded (*microsperma*) and the largeseeded (*macrosperma*) (Barulina 1930). The other subspecies and species are *L. culinaris* ssp. *orientalis* (Boiss.) Ponert, *L. culinaris* ssp. *tomentosus* (Ladz.), *L. culinaris* ssp. *odemensis* Ladz., *L. ervoides* (Brign.) Grande, *L. nigricans* (Bieb.) Godr., and *L. lamottei* Czefr. (Ferguson et al. 2000).



Fig. 7.1 Morphological features of *Lens culinaris* (*http://caliban.mpiz-koeln.mpg.de/~stueber/thome/index.html*)

7.3 Modes of Preservation and Maintenance

Genetic resources offer vital germplasm material for selection and further improvement through breeding to ensure the food security needs of the world's population. The importance of genetic resource conservation arises from the fact that in spite of the vast and continuous demand for new genes, biodiversity is being lost at alarming rates. This biodiversity can be preserved in genebanks (ex situ) and genetic reserves (in situ). Most species show a pattern of genetic variation across their geographic range. *Lens* spp. accessions are mainly maintained and conserved ex situ with little efforts for in situ conservation.

7.3.1 Ex Situ Conservation

Management of ex situ collections requires innovative and adaptive decisions tailored to specific and continuously changing working conditions. Genebanks try to maintain and conserve the original plant diversity from wild relatives and landraces. As far as wild relatives are concerned, they can be utilized for the identification and introgression of novel genes to their cultivated counterparts. International Centre for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Aleppo, Syria, has a global mandate for lentil conservation and has the largest collection of lentil germplasm in the world. ICARDA holds 24% of Lens germplasm accessions conserved globally, including 583 accessions of wild Lens. According to the Genebank Standards published jointly by FAO and IPGRI in 1994, the optimum storage temperature for maximum longevity is -18° C or lower, with the seed moisture content between 3 and 7%, whereas medium term storage and further distribution requires temperatures of 2-5°C. However, drying of seed is recommended at 10-25°C with 10-15% relative humidity (RH) before storage.

A document released in December 2008 as "Global Strategy for the Ex-Situ Conservation of Lentil (*Lens* Miller)" (*http://www.croptrust.org/documents/ web/LensStrategy_FINAL_3Dec08.pdf*) provided a blueprint for a more efficient and effective conservation of the *Lens* gene pool throughout the world, including both cultivated and wild species of the genus *Lens*. This strategy identified 43,214 accessions of *Lens* held in collections worldwide, gathered from the questionnaire, meetings, and other data sources. However, *Lens* genetic resources have not been exploited completely, as accession level data is insufficient followed by lack of pre-breeding and other "value-adding" work in genebanks. The strategy report outlined key tasks, such as the completion of necessary regeneration, safety duplication, and storage in long term conditions of unique accessions within the collections, for the formation of a realistic global conservation effort for *Lens* spp.

7.3.2 In Situ Conservation

In situ conservation includes the designation of protected reserve areas in the form of natural parks in which native plants are protected. The park has to be reserved for wild relatives to preserve genetic polymorphism and to provide opportunity for recombination. The wild taxa of lentil are primarily distributed in the Mediterranean basin. The ecological preferences of four wild taxa were described by Ladizinsky (1993). Unfortunately, these genetic resources are being rapidly eroded because of their high palatability to grazing animals, threatening the loss of wild relative genotypes (Ferguson and Erskine 2001). All Lens species are self-pollinating with very low outcrossing. L. culinaris ssp. orientalis, ssp. tomentosus, ssp. odemensis, and L. nigricans are generally found in open or partially shaded habitats, whereas L. ervoides usually grows in shady or partially shaded habitats. Ferguson et al. (1998) emphasized that the overall collection priority for L. culinaris ssp. orientalis, based on the distribution of genetic variation, remains in Southeast Turkey and Northwest Syria, and in South Syria and Jordan. A center of diversity was found to exist in Sweida province, South Syria, for L. culinaris ssp. odemensis and for L. ervoides, along the coastal border region between Syria and Turkey stretching down along the Syrian coast. However, a center of diversity for L. nigricans remains in West Turkey. Very few efforts have been made till date for in situ conservation in the areas of highest Lens genetic diversity. Some regions of southern Syria identified as genetic reserves for Vicieae species by Maxted (1995) have been selected as genetic reserves by the Syrian Scientific Agricultural Research Commission. Though some productive steps have been taken for the in situ conservation of wild relatives and landraces of cultivated lentil, more consolidated efforts are required for conserving them for future use.

7.4 Taxonomy

Historical evidence for taxonomic study of *Lens* starts from the work of Alefeld (1866) and is currently based on morphological, crossability behavior, biochemical, and molecular studies.

7.4.1 Classification Based on Morphological Characteristics

Alefeld (1866) classified Lens (L. esculenta Moench.) into eight subspecies, which included both orientalis and nigricans. Barulina (1930) made the most detailed study of cultivated lentil on morphological basis. Interestingly, between Alefeld and Barulina, there were no descriptive studies on Lens classification. The significant difference between Barulina and Alefeld's classifications was that Barulina selected characters that showed little or no effect of environmental fluctuations for making distinction between subspecies. As far as Alefeld was concerned, he looked for characters such as plant height, vegetation period, color, and pubescence of leaves. Barulina divided cultivated lentil into two subspecies: ssp. macrosperma and ssp. microsperma. Barulina's work could not be followed by anyone. At present, her classification (ssp. macrosperma and microsperma) is considered as varieties of ssp. culinaris. Barulina did vast studies and also suggested L. culinaris ssp. orientalis as the wild progenitor of cultivated lentil. Her work was supported by Williams et al. (1974) through detailed morphological comparisons of cultivated lentil and L. nigricans and L. orientalis.

7.4.2 Classification Supported by Hybridization and Cytogenetic Studies

The use of morphological markers, especially stipule shape for *Lens* classification, was questioned by Ladizinsky (1979a). This trait was considered to be conspicuously plastic and variable. Ladizinsky was the first to perform hybridization experiments and cytogenetic analysis of interspecific crosses between the cultivated lentil and L. nigricans and L. orientalis. His findings suggested L. orientalis to be the wild progenitor of lentil. As a result of cytogenetic and crossability studies, Ladizinsky et al. (1984) recognized two species within the genus Lens, L. culinaris and L. nigricans. L. culinaris comprised (1) L. culinaris ssp. culinaris (cultivated lentil) and (2) L. orientalis (wild progenitor of cultivated lentil) (Barulina 1930; Zohary 1972; Williams et al. 1974; Ladizinsky 1979a) and an additional group split from the original L. nigricans, L. odemensis. L. odemensis and L. culinaris ssp. culinaris were partially interfertile. Ladizinsky et al. (1984) proposed L. ervoides as ssp. of L. nigricans. However, it was finally concluded that the genus Lens consisted of the cultivated lentil, L. culinaris ssp. culinaris, its wild progenitor L. orientalis and five additional wild relatives, viz. L. odemensis, L. ervoides, L. nigricans, L. tomentosus, and L. lamottei.

7.4.3 Classification Based on DNA Markers

Molecular data using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers of nuclear DNA (Havey and Muehlbauer 1989; Rajora and Mahon 1994, 1995; Abo-Alwafa et al. 1995; Sharma et al. 1995) or cpDNA (Muench et al. 1991; Mayer and Soltis 1994) have supported L. culinaris ssp. culinaris and L. orientalis as one group. The genotype composition of group two and three, however, differed among authors. In addition, Muench et al. (1991) and Abo-Alwafa et al. (1995) found L. odemensis in group one. Ahmad and McNeil (1996) reviewed phylogenetic relationships among species of the genus Lens by making a comparative study of crossability, RAPD, sodium dedocyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), and morphological markers. They concluded that the primary gene pool of cultivated lentil comprised L. orientalis and L. odemensis, while L. ervoides and L. nigricans formed a secondary gene pool. Many other workers have supplemented traditional taxonomic methods of identification as

well as studied taxonomic relationships within the genus Lens using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP; Sharma et al. 1996) and RAPD (van Oss et al. 1997) markers. Sharma et al. (1995) identified species-diagnostic RAPD markers for L. odemensis, L. ervoides, and L. nigricans. According to Van Oss et al. (1997), the genus Lens consisted of seven taxa: L. culinaris ssp. culinaris, its wild progenitor L. orientalis, L. odemensis, L. nigricans, L. ervoides, and two recently recognized species, L. tomentosus and L. lamottei. However, L. lamottei, L. nigricans, L. odemensis, and L. tomentosus taxa were always questioned because of the lack of clear morphological and molecular markers, which could differentiate between these Lens species. Ferguson et al. (2000) took into consideration these aspects and reassessed the taxonomy of Lens based on morphological characterization, isozyme electrophoresis, and RAPD techniques. They proposed the most recent classification as given below.

L. culinaris Medik.

ssp. culinaris

ssp. *orientalis* (Boiss.) Ponert

- ssp. tomentosus (Ladiz.) M.E. Ferguson et al. ssp. odemensis (Ladiz.) M.E. Ferguson et al.
- *L. ervoides* (Brign.) Grande
- L. nigricans (M. Bieb.) Godr.
- L. lamottei Czefr.

7.5 Classical and Molecular Genetic Studies

The knowledge of inheritance of qualitative and quantitative traits helps in describing new genes, developing linkage maps, and formulating marker-assisted selection strategies. In the present scenario, much of the genetic variability in the cultivated lentil has already been exploited. Of late, the gene pool of cultivated lentil is being enriched by transferring alien chromatin from the wild *Lens* taxa (Goshen et al. 1982; Ahmad et al. 1995; Gupta and Sharma 2007). The introgression from wild to cultivated lentil helps in the flow of useful genes into the cultivated lentil, thus including these wild taxa in the common gene pool. However, the inheritance of many valuable traits in cultivated x wild lentil taxa plays an important role in understanding and confirming the successful introgression of useful characters from wild to cultivated lentil. To date, very little effort has been made on genetic studies and gene mapping for this crop using cultivated x wild lentil populations.

7.5.1 Classical Genetic Studies

Cultivated lentil has been used for the majority of karyotype studies. All *Lens* species have a similar karyotype consisting of one metacentric chromosome with a satellite, three metacentric chromosomes, and three acrocentric chromosomes (Ladizinsky and Abbo 1993). However, Ladizinsky (1979a) concluded from his hybridization experiments and cytogenetic analysis of interspecific hybrids that there were three chromosome interchanges between the cultivated lentil and *L. nigricans* and only one between the cultivated species and *L. culinaris* ssp. *orientalis*. These results suggested the latter species as a wild progenitor of lentil.

In the past, the inheritance pattern of many useful traits was studied in cultivated lentil (Lal and Srivastava 1975; Wilson and Hudson 1978; Vandenberg and Slinkard 1989; Emami and Sharma 1999; Hoque et al. 2002). However, wild x cultivated lentil have not been well exploited for carrying out inheritance studies. Ladizinsky (1979b) reported that erect type of growing habit was under the control of a single dominant gene showing single gene control in the progeny of crosses between L. culinaris and L. orientalis. Flower color showed segregation for incomplete range of colors, indicating that white was recessive to blue in this study. However, pod dehiscence was dominant over pod indehiscence, and purple epicotyl was monogenically dominant over green epicotyl. Vaillancourt and Slinkard (1992) confirmed growth habit to be monogenic with erect dominant over spreading from L. odemensis \times L. culinaris crosses. However, Emami and Sharma (1999) reported spreading growth habit to be dominant over the erect type in the cultivated lentil. Gupta (2003) studied 12 crosses between cultivated and wild lentil subspecies and found that erect growth habit (Gh) was determined by a single gene dominant to semi-spreading growth habit (gh). Tendrilled leaf (Tnl) was determined by a single gene dominant to tendrilless leaf (tnl). Pod shattering (Pi) was determined by a single dominant gene to non-shattering pods (*pipi*). Orange cotyledon color (O) was determined by a single gene dominant to yellow cotyledon color (o). However, flower color showed digenic (violet vs. white flower color) and monogenic inheritance (violet vs. purple). Violet flower color was dominant to purple and white. The inheritance pattern of these traits helped in the identification of true F₁ plants. However, cotyledon color was reported as the most rapid and valuable genetic marker in hybridization programs to confirm the hybridity of the F₁ seeds.

7.5.2 Molecular Studies

Molecular cytogenetic techniques involving fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) of highly repetitive DNA sequences have been used to characterize whole chromosomes or chromosome segments in plant species and to understand the phylogenetic relationships of species (Badaeva et al. 1996; Cuadrado and Jouve 1997; Hizume et al. 2002). Repetitive DNA sequences have been used for chromosome identification and are broadly categorized into dispersed sequences and tandemly organized repeats. Tandemly organized repeats (mostly located at centromeric, telomeric, and (or) interstitial heterochromatin regions of the chromosomes) are useful for chromosome identification (Cuadrado and Schwarzacher 1998; Galasso et al. 2001; Hizume et al. 2002). Very few attempts have been made for karyotype analyses of Lens (Slinkard 1985; Galasso et al. 2001; Balyan et al. 2002). Galasso (2003) determined the distribution of the two highly repeated DNA sequences (pLc30 and pLc7) and ribosomal genes for the 18S-5.8S-25S rDNA and the 5S rDNA along chromosomes of all Lens species by FISH to provide information on karyotypes, genome organization, and phylogeny. He applied multiple-target FISH on mitotic chromosomes of seven Lens taxa and reported that, in general, each species showed a typical FISH karyotype, and a few differences were observed among accessions belonging to the same species, except for the accessions of L. culinaris ssp. odemensis, which reflected high genetic diversity. The most similar FISH karyotype to the cultivated lentil was that of L. culinaris ssp. orientalis, whereas L. nigricans and L. tomentosus were the two species that showed the most divergent FISH patterns compared with all taxa. However, more efforts are required in this field to understand chromosomal relationships between crop plants and their wild relatives, which are important for understanding the evolution of the cultigens and to study their genomic relationships.

7.6 Crop Improvement Through Conventional and Non-conventional Breeding Techniques

Many lentil populations have lost alleles for higher productivity and other economic traits under the present scenario of cultivation. Various workers have reported that there are low amounts of variation in the cultivated lentil germplasm collections (Muench et al. 1991; Ford et al. 1997; Duran et al. 2004). Nevertheless, desirable genes do exist in scattered populations, viz. wild relatives and landraces in different parts of the world. The foremost need, therefore, is to evaluate the wild relatives for different qualitative and quantitative traits besides screening for biotic and abiotic stresses, followed by a hybridization program. Lens species within the primary gene pool are readily intercrossed and produce almost fully fertile progenies (Fig. 7.2). Therefore, gene flow between species of the primary gene pool can be accomplished by conventional breeding methods (wide hybridization). However, selection among progenies will help in overcoming any partial fertility. The secondary gene pool contains species that are distant from the cultigens (Fig. 7.2), but it is difficult to obtain gene flow through conventional breeding methods. Therefore, biotechnological methods are required to assist in the introgression of genes between species.

7.6.1 Evaluation of Wild Genetic Resources

7.6.1.1 Agromorphological Traits

Very few attempts have been made to evaluate *Lens* accessions for agromorphological traits. Hamdi and Erskine (1991) evaluated large numbers of accessions from *L. culinaris* ssp. *culinaris*, *L. culinaris* ssp. *orientalis*, *L. culinaris* ssp. *odemensis*, *L. nigricans*, and *L. ervoides* for various morphological, phenological,

Fig. 7.2 Phylogenetic status of genus *Lens*



and yield related characters and found that some accessions of L. culinaris ssp. orientalis were early to flower and mature. Ferguson and Robertson (1999) studied morphological and phenological variation in 310 accessions from five Lens taxa and reported that certain L. culinaris ssp. orientalis accessions had substantially more leaves/plant, peduncles/plant, pods/ plant, seeds/plant, and leaf area than the two cultivated lentil checks. However, seed yield was greater in both the checks than it was in any of the wild species, which was mainly due to a higher 100-seed weight in the cultigen than the wild taxa. Gupta and Sharma (2006) evaluated wild taxa for morphophysiological traits, and biotic and abiotic stresses, and reported that L. culinaris ssp. orientalis flowered earlier. However, some were comparable with cultivated genotypes for flowers/peduncle, peduncle length, and plant height. The mean performance for flowers per peduncle, leaflets per leaf, plant height, seeds, and seed yield per plant increased, while there was a decrease in the number of days to flowering and maturity and branches per plant during the evolution of cultivated lentil from the wild Lens taxa.

7.6.1.2 Biotic Stresses

The wild *Lens* germplasm possesses novel genes for biotic resistances; however, the gene pool of cultivated

lentil is not generally enriched with these identified sources. Bayaa and Erskine (1991) surveyed accessions of Lens ssp./sp., comprising L. culinaris ssp. orientalis, L. culinaris ssp. odemensis, L. nigricans, and L. ervoides from nine countries for vascular wilt. Three accessions each of L. culinaris ssp. orientalis and L. nigricans and two accessions of L. ervoides exhibited resistance at seeding and adult stage. Bayaa et al. (1994) reported 24 Ascochyta blightresistant accessions out of 86 L. culinaris ssp. orientalis, 12 of 35 ssp. odemensis, 3 of 35 L. nigricans, and 36 of 89 L. ervoides accessions screened. Bayaa et al. (1995) also screened 219 accessions of wild Lens taxa for resistance to Fusarium wilt at seedling stage. All accessions of L. culinaris ssp. odemensis were found susceptible, and only three accessions of L. culinaris ssp. orientalis and one of L. ervoides exhibited good resistance. Subsequently, from the 99 accessions of wild Lens taxa that were screened by Nasir (1998), 36 of L. culinaris ssp. orientalis, four of L. culinaris spp. odemensis, 14 of L. ervoides, and 11 of L. nigricans accessions were found resistant. Ahmad et al. (1997) identified sources of resistance to the major diseases of lentil, viz. rust, vascular wilt, and Ascochyta blight in the wild relatives of lentil.

Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lentis*) is an important fungal disease that limits yields in dry areas. Farmers in Syria, Iraq, and Lebanon have, in the past, relied on a few wilt-resistant cultivars to manage the disease. Recently, researchers from ICARDA screened 3,033 lentil landraces originating from 62 countries, and 247 wild relatives from 14 countries (2000–2007), by growing them on soil infested with Fusarium wilt to identify 28% of landraces resistant to Fusarium wilt. Among the wild relatives, *L. culinaris* ssp. *orientalis* and *L. ervoides* showed good levels of resistance to the disease. These resistant lines were evaluated for agronomic traits, and those with desirable traits were sent to NARS for further evaluation under area specific conditions. Further, results from these areas are being utilized as feedback to improve breeding strategies intended to develop better and well-adapted breeding lines.

7.6.1.3 Abiotic Stresses

Drought and low temperature are the major abiotic stresses causing yield reduction in lentil. However, winter hardiness is a key objective in breeding highland lentil. There are very few reports from wild taxa on screening work for these stresses. Hamdi and Erskine (1996) screened 121 wild Lens accessions in the field; however, accessions of cultivated lentil were on an average earliest to flower (86 days). Irrigation prolonged the vegetative growth period in all species. The average seed yield over accessions showed that cultivated lentil produced the highest seed yield and that L. culinaris ssp. orientalis gave the highest seed and straw yields among wild species. Accessions of L. culinaris ssp. orientalis and L. culinaris ssp. odemensis showed an increase over rainfed conditions of 50% in seed yield with irrigation, whereas 156% in case of L. ervoides. Greater resistance to cold tolerance has been found in L. culinaris ssp. orientalis than in the cultivated lentil (Hamdi et al. 1996). In this study, 245 accessions of wild Lens taxa, 10 of cultivated lentil, and three accessions of Vicia montbretii (syn. L. montbretii) were evaluated for winter hardiness in Syria and Turkey. Accessions of L. culinaris ssp. orientalis exhibited the highest level of winter hardiness, on average, whereas accessions of L. ervoides were the most susceptible. Correlations revealed that winter hardiness was concentrated among accessions originating from high elevation areas. More efforts are required to screen wild lentil collections to identify accessions with good levels of tolerance for these stresses. Introgression of such novel genes from wild into cultivated lentil will help to have increased yield with adaptation to stress environments.

7.6.2 Wide Hybridization: Conventional Breeding

Wild relatives of lentil have useful variation for various agromorphological traits along with tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. To date, very little research has been carried out to select cultivars from cultivated x wild Lens spp. Therefore, there is great need for generation of a wide spectrum of variability through introgression of desirable genes from wild to cultivated lentil, which will help in broadening the genetic base of lentil. Intraspecific hybridization between cultivated and L. culinaris ssp. orientalis and L. culinaris ssp. odemensis has been attempted in the past. L. culinaris ssp. orientalis is readily crossable with the cultivated lentil (Muehlbauer et al. 1989; Vandenberg and Slinkard 1989; Fratini et al. 2004; Gupta and Sharma 2007), although the fertility of the hybrids varies with the chromosome arrangements of the progeny (Ladizinsky 1979a; Ladizinsky et al. 1984). Goshen et al. (1982) reported that chromosome pairing in F₁ plants produced from L. culinaris ssp. culinaris \times L. nigricans were highly irregular due to the difference of three translocations between the parental species, and 19% of F₂ plants from this cross showed meiotic stability, full fertility, and chromosomal arrangements similar to that of cultivated lentil. Ladizinsky et al. (1984) developed intersubspecific hybrids between the cultivated lentil and L. culinaris ssp. orientalis and L. culinaris ssp. odemensis and concluded that these subspecies shared a common gene pool with the cultivated lentil. Ladizinsky and Abbo (1993) evaluated chromosome pairing in 14 populations of L. culinaris ssp. orientalis following crosses with the cultivated line LC-2. Bivalent association in the hybrids at meiosis indicated that all 14 populations had a similar chromosome complement of cultivated lentil. Hamdi and Erskine (1994) attempted a few crosses between cultivated lentil and its putative wild progenitor L. culinaris ssp. orientalis. Ten lines were selected from a bulk segregating population of



I Meiotic analysis of the F₁'s of *L. culinaris* ssp. *culinaris* x *L. culinaris* ssp. *orientalis* showing normal (a) diakinesis, (b) metaphase I, (c) anaphase I and (d) telophase II

II Meiotic analysis of the F₁'s of *L. culinaris* ssp. *culinaris* x *L. culinaris* ssp. *odomensis* showing (a) two univalents at metaphase I, (b) unequal distribution of chromosomes at anaphase I disjunction, © two micronuclei at telophase II and (d) two micronuclei at tetrad stage

Fig. 7.3 Meiotic stages in lentil hybrids

these crosses. These selections containing genes introgressed from the wild exhibited high average yield among 24 entries of the lentil international yield traits tested in 13–15 countries. Later, Gupta (2003) reported that cultivated lentil $\times L$. *culinaris* ssp. *orientalis* crosses showed substantially higher variability for all the traits than crosses involving cultivated lentil $\times L$. *culinaris* ssp. *odemensis*. Normal meiosis and pollen fertility were observed in the first set of crosses, whereas chromosomal abnormalities and reduced pollen fertility were observed in the second set of crosses (Fig. 7.3). These results indicated that these wild subspecies can be exploited for breeding purpose and their variation can easily be utilized to widen the genetic base of the cultivated lentil.

7.6.3 Biotechnological Techniques: Nonconventional Breeding Approach

7.6.3.1 Tissue Culture

L. culinaris ssp. *orientalis* and *L. culinaris* ssp. *odemensis* are crossable with cultivated lentil and hence share a common gene pool. However, *L. nigricans* and L. ervoides are not crossable with cultivated lentil using conventional breeding techniques. The crosses between these two species with cultivated lentil fail due to post fertilization barrier. Ladizinsky et al. (1985) were the first to describe hybrid embryo abortion after interspecific hybridization in lentil. Interspecific hybridization between cultivated lentil and L. ervoides and L. nigricans resulted in pod development followed by its arrest 10-16 days after pollination and finally the production of shriveled non-viable seeds. This post-fertilization barrier has prevented gene flow from these two wild species into cultivated lentil and utilization of these forms for breeding purposes. Embryo-ovule rescue technique has been used in several crop plants and also reviewed to overcome interspecific fertilization barrier due to hybrid embryo abortion. In lentil, viable and vigorous plants from embryo culture of interspecific crosses between L. culinaris ssp. culinaris \times L. ervoides and L. culinaris ssp. culinaris \times L. nigricans were obtained on tissue culture medium (MS; Murashige and Skoog 1962) supplemented with plant growth regulators (Cohen et al. 1984; Ladizinsky et al. 1985).

Later, Abbo and Ladizinsky (1991) observed hybrid embryo abortion at about 14 days after pollination (DAP) in crosses between *L. culinaris* ssp. culinaris and L. ervoides, regardless of the direction of the cross, and in crosses between L. culinaris ssp. culinaris and specific accessions of its wild progenitor, L. culinaris ssp. orientalis. At 14 DAP, the hybrid embryos had smaller cotyledons, initiation of shoot and root primordia, and remnants of the endosperm. They suggested that the use of embryo culture technique would produce vegetatively normal plants that were partially fertile. Plant growth regulators were also helpful for the production of successful interspecific hybrids when they were applied after fertilization to harvested healthy seed. Ahmad et al. (1995) attempted 30 interspecific crosses among cultivated lentil and four wild species (L. culinaris ssp. orientalis, L. culinaris ssp. odemensis, L. ervoides, and L. nigricans) and obtained viable hybrids through artificial application of gibberellic acid (GA₃) after fertilization for the normal growth of the hybrid embryo. L. culinaris ssp. culinaris \times L. nigricans crosses showed 100% successful hybridization, followed by L. culinaris ssp. culinaris \times L. orientalis (66% success) and 50% success for rest of the crosses. However, all of them could not be carried to harvest. Hybrid embryos cultured on artificial medium showed no growth and died after 3 weeks. Gupta and Sharma (2005) used a two step in vitro method of embryoovule rescue to overcome the post-fertilization interspecific barrier. The hybrid embryo differentiated into single and multiple shoots, but it could not differentiate into roots. The medium was standardized for ovule and embryo rescue and its differentiation into shoots.

Fratini and Ruiz (2006) conducted four different hybridization experiments to obtain interspecific hybrids. In the first two sets, with only pollination and pollination with the addition of gibberellic acid after fertilization, no lentil hybrids were recovered. A single interspecific hybrid with L. culinaris ssp. odemensis was obtained in the third experiment using the embryo rescue protocol of Cohen et al. (1984). However, in the last experiment, with their new protocol, ovule-embryos of 18 DAP were cultured on MS salts with 1% sucrose and 1 µM indole acetic acid $(IAA) + 0.8 \,\mu\text{M}$ Kinetin (KN); after 2 weeks, embryos were released from the ovular integuments. Afterwards, the embryos were transferred to test tubes containing the same medium, and plantlets were obtained 1 month later. Of 1,707 pollinations, six interspecific hybrids with L. odemensis, two with L. nigricans, and one with L. ervoides were recovered.

Successful in vitro multiplication of an intraspecific and an interspecific (Alpo × *L. culinaris* ssp. *odemensis*) lentil hybrid in three consecutive micropropagation cycles was reported by Fratini and Ruiz (2007), to increase the production of F_2 seeds. A total of 982 F_2 seeds were produced in the experiment with Alpo × *L. culinaris* ssp. *odemensis*, consisting of 334 F_2 seeds of the original hybrid and 648 F_2 seeds produced by the 12 plants cloned. Consequently, F_2 seed production was increased threefold over the original hybrid (194%). These results suggested that micropropagation of hybrids was an important tool to acquire large F_1 populations from a single individual that would lead to sufficient production of F_2 seeds useful for genetic studies and breeding.

7.6.3.2 Molecular Markers

Wild Lens relatives have shown vast potential to increase the variation of the cultivated gene pool. However, introgression of desirable genes from wild to cultivated genome will also transfer segments of chromosomes of various sizes, which may have deleterious genetic material that requires elimination through backcrossing. Linkage maps and molecular marker systems are important tools for tagging and transfer genes of interest without bringing the closely linked undesired chromosome sequences. Tanksley et al. (1989) demonstrated that conventional backcross breeding will recover 99% recurrent genome in 6.5 generations, whereas marker-assisted backcrossing can recover 100% recurrent genome in just three generations. They also illustrated that conventional backcrossing would take 100 backcrosses to reduce linkage drag to 1 cm; however, it requires two backcrosses by marker-assisted backcrossing in the context of a highly saturated linkage map. Molecular markers are very useful when there is a well-developed genetic linkage map. Nine linkage groups of Lens were proposed by Havey and Muehlbauer (1989) and Muehlbauer et al. (1989) using the mapping population derived from an interspecific cross between L. culinaris ssp. culinaris and L. culinaris ssp. orien*talis*. Weeden et al. (1992) developed eleven linkage groups covering 560 cm distance using 64 markers. Tahir et al. (1993) compared the data from different studies and proposed 10 tentative linkage groups. The maps that have been developed for lentil are

particularly useful for gene introgression from wild relatives, since these maps have been developed from interspecific crosses of the cultivated lentil and its presumed progenitor.

Until recently, the genetic maps of this species consisted of a relatively small number of markers, which was further enriched by Eujayl et al. (1998), Rubeena et al. (2003), Duran et al. (2004), and Hamwieh et al. (2005) and covered a small portion of the lentil genome. A recent study (Phan et al. 2007) showed a clear evidence of a simple and direct macrosyntenic relationship between lentil and Medicago truncatula. This can, however, be resolved to an extent with the available framework of lentil genetic map and the possible use of genomic information available from the model legume species. Mapping and dissection of quantitative trait loci (QTL) can be aided greatly by using molecular markers. Comparative genomics has become an important strategy for extending genetic information from model species to more genetically complex species (Paterson et al. 2005). Studies have demonstrated that comparative genome analysis can reveal genetic conservation among genomes of closely related species and can greatly facilitate gene discovery (Sorrells et al. 2003; Hartmann et al. 2006; Jaiswal et al. 2006). The conserved regions, the so-called syntenic or orthologous regions, have colinear gene contents when compared either genetically or physically (Phan et al. 2007). Therefore, the development and integration of markers in a lentil genetic map using model genome information will increase its repertoire for mapping of genes and dissecting QTL associated with important plant traits.

7.7 Concluding Remarks

Global agriculture in the twenty-first century is facing unparalleled challenges. Despite an era of modern techniques and technologies for crop improvement, there is still the need to better integrate the knowledge acquired through conventional and non-conventional breeding approaches. A narrow genetic variability in cultivated lentil has hampered the enormous efforts put forth for improving the yield and quality of its produce. Wild Lens taxa have shown vast potential for improving and increasing the produce of cultivated lentil. However, conventional crop improvement approaches have not been able to successfully exploit (L. nigricans and L. ervoides) due to post-fertilization barriers. Tissue culture techniques, such as embryo rescue, have been used to overcome post-fertilization barriers. Other advanced techniques, such as molecular karyotyping, doubled haploid production, and regeneration from protoplast culture, are potential areas for widening the gene pool of cultivated lentil through inclusion of these wild relatives into the common gene pool. Molecular marker-facilitated introgression is an emerging breeding tool, which can be used effectively in the selection of progenies from interspecific hybridization. Use of PCR-based molecular markers, such as expressed sequence tags (EST), sequence related amplified polymorphism (SRAP), and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), which are sequences of DNA generated from random or specific primers (oligonucleotides) via PCR from same or related genera followed by marker-assisted selection, will bring research for this important legume to the front line. Moreover, with the exploitation of innovative gene expression tools, such as microarray technique, the whole genome of lentil can be studied and genes of interest identified from thousands of genes expressed in response to a particular abiotic or biotic stress simultaneously, regardless of whether they are cultivated or wild lentil species.

Acknowledgments We wish to thank Bioversity International, Rome, for funding Dr. D. Gupta to undertake the Vavilov-Frankel Fellowship 2008 at the University of Melbourne, Australia.

References

- Abbo S, Ladizinsky G (1991) Anatomical aspects of hybrid embryo abortion in the genus Lens L. Bot Gaz 152:316-320
- Abo-Alwafa A, Murai K, Shimada T (1995) Intra-specific and inter-specific variations in Lens, revealed by RAPD markers. Theor Appl Genet 90:335-340
- Ahmad M, McNeil DL (1996) Comparison of crossability, RAPD, SDS-PAGE and morphological markers for revealing genetic relationships within and among Lens species. Theor Appl Genet 93:788-793
- Ahmad M, Fautrier AG, McNeil DL, Burritt DJ, Hill GD (1995) Attempts to overcome post fertilization barrier in interspecific crosses of the genus Lens. Plant Breed 114:558-560
- Ahmad M, Russell AC, McNeil DL (1997) Identification and genetic characterization of different resistance sources to Ascochyta blight within the genus Lens. Euphytica 97:311-315

Alefeld F (1866) Landwirts chaftliche Flora. Wiegandt and Hempel, Berlin, Germany, pp 55–57

Badaeva ED, Friebe B, Gill BS (1996) Genome differentiation in Aegilops. 1. Distribution of highly repetitive DNA sequences on chromosomes of diploid species. Genome 39:293–306

Balyan HS, Houben A, Ahne R (2002) Karyotype analysis and physical mapping of 18S-5.8S-25S and 5S ribosomal RNA loci in species of genus *Lens* Miller (Fabaceae). Caryologia 55:121–128

- Barulina H (1930) Lentils of the USSR and other countries. Bull Appl Bot Genet Plant Breed Leningrad Suppl 40:1–319
- Bayaa B, Erskine W (1991) Legume program annual report. ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria
- Bayaa B, Erskine W, Hamdi A (1994) Response of wild lentil to Ascochyta fabae f sp lentis from Syria. Genet Resour Crop Evol 41:61–65
- Bayaa B, Erskine W, Hamdi A (1995) Evaluation of a wild lentil collection for resistance to vascular wilt. Genet Resour Crop Evol 42:231–235
- Cohen D, Ladizinsky G, Ziv M, Muehlbauer FJ (1984) Rescue of interspecific *Lens* hybrids by means of embryo culture. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 3:343–347
- Cuadrado A, Jouve N (1997) Distribution of highly repeated DNA sequences in species of the genus Secale. Genome 40:309–317
- Cuadrado A, Schwarzacher T (1998) The chromosomal organisation of simple sequence repeats in wheat and rye genomes. Chromosoma 107:587–594
- Cubero JI (1981) Origin, taxonomy and domestication. In: Webb C, Hawtin G (eds) Lentils. CAB, London, UK, pp 15–38
- Duran Y, Fratini R, Garcia P, Perez de la Vega M (2004) An intersubspecific genetic map of *Lens*. Theor Appl Genet 108:1265–1273
- Emami MK, Sharma B (1999) Linkage between three morphological markers in lentil. Plant Breed 118:579–581
- Erskine W (1997) Lessons for breeders from land races of lentil. Euphytica 93:107–112
- Eujayl I, Baum M, Powell W, Erskine W, Pehu E (1998) A genetic linkage map of lentil (*Lens* sp.) based on RAPD and AFLP markers using recombinant inbred lines. Theor Appl Genet 97:83–89
- Ferguson ME, Erskine W (2001) Lentils (*Lens L.*). In: Maxted N, Bennett SJ (eds) Plant genetic resources of legumes in the mediterranean. Kluwer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp 125–131
- Ferguson ME, Robertson LD (1999) Morphological and phenological variation in the wild relatives of lentil. Genet Resour Crop Evol 46:3–12
- Ferguson ME, Ford-Lloyd BV, Robertson LD, Maxted N, Newbury HJ (1998) Mapping of geographical distribution of genetic variation in the genus *Lens* for enhanced conservation of plant genetic diversity. Mol Ecol 7:1743–1755
- Ferguson ME, Maxted N, Van Slageren M, Robertson LD (2000) A re-assessment of the taxonomy of *Lens* Mill. (Leguminosae, Papilionoideae, Vicieae). Bot J Linn Soc 133:41–59
- Ford R, Pang ECK, Taylor PWJ (1997) Diversity analysis and species identification in *Lens* using PCR generated markers. Euphytica 96:247–255

- Fratini R, Ruiz ML, Perez De La Vega M (2004) Intra-specific and inter-sub-specific crossing in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). Can J Plant Sci 84:981–986
- Fratini R, Ruiz ML (2006) Interspecific hybridization in the genus *Lens* applying in vitro embryo rescue. Euphytica 150:271–280
- Fratini R, Ruiz ML (2007) Micropropagation of intra and interspecific Lens hybrids. Euphytica 159:199–206
- Galasso I (2003) Distribution of highly repeated DNA sequences in species of the genus *Lens* Miller. Genome 46:1118–1124
- Galasso I, Schmidt T, Pignone D (2001) Identification of *Lens* culinaris ssp. culinaris chromosomes by physical mapping of repetitive DNA sequences, Chromosome Res 9:199–209
- Global Strategy for the Ex-Situ Conservation of Lentil (Lens Miller) (2008) http://www.croptrust.org/documents/web/ LensStrategy_FINAL_3Dec08.pdf. Accessed 3 Dec 2008
- Goshen D, Ladizinsky G, Muehlbauer FJ (1982) Restoration of meiotic regularity and fertility among derivatives of *Lens culinaris* × *Lens nigricans* hybrids. Euphytica 31: 795–799
- Gupta D (2003) Genetic and cytogenetic studies in populations derived from crosses between wild Lens species and cultivated lentils. PhD Thesis, CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur, India
- Gupta D, Sharma SK (2005) Embryo ovule rescue in vitro technique for overcoming post fertilization barriers in *Lens*. J Lentil Res 2:27–30
- Gupta D, Sharma SK (2006) Evaluation of wild *Lens* taxa for agro-morphological traits, fungal diseases and moisture stress in North Western Indian Hills. Genet Resour Crop Evol 53:1233–1241
- Gupta D, Sharma SK (2007) Widening the gene pool of cultivated lentils through introgression of alien chromatin from wild *Lens* subspecies. Plant Breed 126:58–61
- Hamdi A, Erskine W (1991) Germplasm programme legumes annual report. ICARDA Aleppo, Syria
- Hamdi A, Erskine W (1994) Germplasm program legumes annual report. ICARDA Aleppo, Syria
- Hamdi A, Erskine W (1996) Reaction of wild species of the genus *Lens* to drought. Euphytica 91:173–179
- Hamdi A, Kusmenoglu I, Erskine W (1996) Sources of winter hardiness in wild lentil. Genet Resour Crop Evol 43:63–67
- Hamwieh A, Udupa SM, Choumane W, Sarker A, Dreyer F, Jung C, Baum M (2005) A genetic linkage map of *Lens* sp. based on microsatellite and AFLP markers and the localization of fusarium vascular wilt resistance. Theor Appl Genet 110:669–677
- Hartmann S, Lu D, Phillips J, Vision TJ (2006) Phytome: a platform for plant comparative genomics. Nucleic Acids Res 34:D724–D730
- Havey MJ, Muehlbauer FJ (1989) Linkages between restriction fragment length, isozyme and morphological markers in lentil. Theor Appl Genet 77:395–401
- Hizume M, Shibata F, Matsusaki Y, Garajova Z (2002) Chromosome identification and comparative karyotypic analyses of four *Pinus* species. Theor Appl Genet 105:491–497
- Hoque ME, Mishra SK, Sarker A (2002) Inheritance and linkage relationship between morphological and RAPD markers in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). Indian J Genet 62:5–10

- Jaiswal P, Ni J, Yap I, Ware D, Spooner W, Youens Clark K, Ren L, Liang C, Zhao W, Ratnapu K et al (2006) Gramene: a bird's eye view of cereal genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 34: D717–D723
- Ladizinsky G (1979a) The origin of lentil and its wild genepool. Euphytica 28:179–187
- Ladizinsky G (1979b) The genetics of several morphological traits in the lentils. J Hered 70:135–137
- Ladizinsky G (1993) Wild lentils. Crit Rev Plant Sci 12: 169–184
- Ladizinsky G, Abbo S (1993) Cryptic speciation in *Lens culinaris*. Genet Resour Crop Evol 40:1–5
- Ladizinsky G, Braun D, Muehlbauer FJ (1984) The biological species of the genes *Lens*. Bot Gaz 145:253–261
- Ladizinsky G, Cohen D, Muehlbauer FJ (1985) Hybridization in the genus *Lens* by means of embryo culture. Theor Appl Genet 70:97–101
- Lal S, Srivastava RS (1975) Inheritance of flower colour in lentil. Indian J Genet 35:29–30
- Maxted N (1995) An ecogeographic study of Vicia subgenusVicia, vol 8, Systematic and ecogeographic studies in crop genepools. IBPGR, Rome, Italy, 1–184
- Mayer MS, Soltis PS (1994) Chloroplast DNA phylogeny of Lens (Leguminosae): origin and diversity of the cultivated lentil. Theor Appl Genet 87:773–781
- Muehlbauer FJ, Weeden NF, Hoffman DL (1989) Inheritance and linkage relationship of morphological and isozyme loci in lentil (*Lens* Miller). J Hered 80:298–303
- Muench DG, Slinkard AE, Scoles GJ (1991) Determination of genetic variation and taxonomy in lentil (*Lens* Miller) species by chloroplast DNA polymorphisms. Euphytica 56: 213–218
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue culture. Plant Physiol 15:473–497
- Nasir M (1998) Improvement of drought and disease resistance in lentils in Nepal, Pakistan and Australia. Mid-Term Report 1995–1998. ACIAR Project PN-9436
- Paterson AH, Freeling M, Sasaki T (2005) Grains of knowledge: genomics of model cereals. Genome Res 15: 1643–1650
- Phan HT, Ellwood SR, Hane JK, Ford R, Materne M, Oliver RP (2007) Extensive macrosynteny between *Medicago trunca*-

tula and *Lens culinaris* ssp. culinaris. Theor Appl Genet 114:549–558

- Rajora OP, Mahon JD (1994) Inheritance of mitochondrial DNA in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). Theor Appl Genet 89:206–210
- Rajora OP, Mahon JD (1995) Paternal plastid DNA can be inherited in lentil. Theor Appl Genet 90:607–610
- Rubeena, Ford R, Taylor PWJ (2003) Molecular mapping the lentil (*Lens culinaris ssp. culinaris*) genome. Theor Appl Genet 107:910–916
- Sharma SK, Dawson IK, Waugh R (1995) Relationship among cultivated and wild lentils revealed by RAPD analysis. Theor Appl Genet 91:647–654
- Sharma SK, Knox MR, Ellis THN (1996) AFLP analysis of the diversity and phylogeny of *Lens* and its comparison with RAPD analysis. Theor Appl Genet 93:751–758
- Slinkard AE (1985) Cytology and cytogenetics of lentils. Lens Newsl 12:1–10
- Sorrells ME, La Rota M, Bermudez Kandianis CE, Greene RA, Kantety R, Munkvold JD et al (2003) Comparative DNA sequence analysis of wheat and rice genomes. Genome Res 13:1818–1827
- Tahir M, Simon CJ, Muehlbauer FJ (1993) Gene map of lentil: a review. Lens Newsl 20:3–10
- Tanksley SD, Young ND, Paterson AH, Bonierbale MW (1989) RFLP mapping in plant breeding – new tools for an old science. Biotechnology 7:257–264
- Vaillancourt R, Slinkard AE (1992) Inheritance of new genetic markers in lentil. Euphytica 64:227–236
- Van Oss H, Arnon Y, Ladizinsky G (1997) Chloroplast DNA variation and evolution in the genus L. Mill. Theor Appl Genet 94:452–457
- Vandenberg A, Slinkard AE (1989) Inheritance of four new quantitative genes in lentil. J Hered 80:320–322
- Weeden NF, Muehlbauer FJ, Ladizinsky G (1992) Extensive conservation of linkage relationships between pea and lentil genetic maps. J Hered 83:123–129
- Williams TT, Sanchez AMC, Jackson MT (1974) Studies on lentils and their variation: the taxonomy of the species. SABRAO J 6:133–145
- Wilson VE, Hudson LW (1978) Inheritance of lentil flower colour. J Hered 69:139–140
- Zohary D (1972) The wild progenitor and place of origin of the cultivated lentil *Lens culinaris*. Econ Bot 26:326–332

Chapter 8 Lotus

Shusei Sato and Satoshi Tabata

8.1 Introduction

8.1.1 Botanical Characteristics of the Lotus Species

The genus Lotus comprises more than 180 species, both annuals and perennials species, adapted to a wide range of ecological habitats. A large number of Lotus species provides genetic diversity, which is essential in breeding for a variety of agronomic traits, resulting in plants capable of adapting to different environmental conditions. Agronomical Lotus species are classified in the subgenus Edentolotus, section Xantolotus (Izaguirre and Beyhaut 1998; Arambarri 1999). Four species have been domesticated and subjected to breeding to improve pastures and hay quality: L. corniculatus (bird's foot trefoil), L. glaber (narrow-leaf trefoil), L. subbiflorus (hairy bird's-foot trefoil), and L. uliginosus (greater lotus), (Papadopoulos and Kelman 1999). Among these, L. corniculatus is considered to be the species with the greatest agricultural importance and the widest distribution. Comprehensive geographical, morphological, and genetic analyses have been carried out to deduce the ancestry of this species. L. corniculatus essentially has an allotetraploid genome, and four existing species with diploid genomes (2n = 12), L. alpinus, L. japonicus, L. tenuis, L. uliginosus, and L. alpinus [L. alpinus also possesses tetraploid (2n = 24) and hexaploid (2n = 36) genomes], have been speculated as possible ancestors to L. corniculatus (Grant and Small 1995).

S. Sato (🖂)

Kazusa DNA Research Institute, 2-6-7 Kazusa-Kamatari, Kisarazu, Chiba 292-0818, Japan e-mail: ssato@kazusa.or.jp

8.1.2 Germplasm Banks

8.1.2.1 National Plant Germplasm System (NPGS, USA)

Though the US *Lotus* collection has been housed at the Northeast Regional Plant Introduction Station (NER-PIS) in Geneva, New York, the forage crop collections including *Lotus* were transferred from Geneva, NY, to the Western Regional Plant Introduction Station (WRPIS) in Pullman, WA. Seed from the *Lotus* collection will be housed and distributed from the Pullman, WA facility, but the collection will be managed and regenerated in Prosser, WA (*http://www.ars.usda.gov/Main/site_main.htm?modecode=53-48-15-00*). Currently, the collection has 900 accessions, which

represent 60 species from 65 countries, including Italy, Russia, Armenia, Turkmenistan, and Tajikistan. The seeds of a total of 734 accessions are freely available for distribution for research purposes and can be requested using the Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN) (*http://www.ars-grin. gov/npgs*; Green 2005).

8.1.2.2 National BioResource Project (NBRP, Japan)

In 2004, *L. japonicus* was selected as a target by the National BioResource Project, Japan, whose aim is to support the development of legume researches by providing access to their research material. The seeds of experimental lines, 108 wild accessions collected from Hokkaido to Okinawa in Japan (Fig. 8.1), 205 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) generated between the Gifu and Miyakojima accessions, 171

		Search	Keyword	Latit	ude : 40 <= 45						
			Location of Seed Collecting								
		Acc.No.	Prefecture	Town	Location	Tribal name	Date	Depositor	Collector	Request	Latitude
	/	MG-2	Hokkaido	Taiki		Taiki1	1993.1	T. Sato	T. Sato M. Kawaguchi	Out of stock	42 * 34 * 19 * 1
		MG-23	Aomori	Aomori		Aomori		T. Nemoto	T. Nemoto	Available	40 * 49 * 25 * 1
-30*		MG-34	Hokkaido	Toyokoro	Lake Yudo	Toyokoro	1980.9.19	T. Shimada	T. Shimada	Available	42 * 36 * 51 * 1
		MG-35	Hokkaido	Noboribetsu	Sea side	Noboribetsu	1979.8	T. Shimada	T. Shimada	Available	42 ° 26 ' 44 " I
r 🕺		MG-36	Hokkaido	Oshamambe	Sae side Toyotsu	Oshyamanbe1	1979.8	T. Shimada	T. Shimada	Available	42 ° 24 ' 34 ° 1
25. 40		MG-38	Hokkaido	Mori	Karasu Yamazaki River	Mori1	1979.8	T. Shimada	T. Shimada	Out of stock	42 * 6 * 29 " N
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MG-39	Aomori	Hiranai	Mouth of Shimizu River	Hiranai	1979.8	T. Shimada	T. Shimada	Available	40 ° 56 ' 39 ° 1
AT R		MG-40	Aomori	Towada	Okuirise River	Towada	1979.8	T. Shimada	T. Shimada	Available	40 ° 35 ' 13 ° 1
35		MG-90	Hokkaido	Sapporo	NARCH	Sapporo	1981.8.7	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	43 ° 0'16" N
		MG-91	Hokkaido	Ono	Ono River	Ono	1981.8.20	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	41 * 53 * 44 * 1
		MG-92	Hokkaido	Tokoro	Wakka	Tokoro	1981.8.20	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	44 ° 9 ' 36 " N
·*		MG-93	Hokkaido	Mori	Mt. Komagatake	Komagatake	1981.8.5	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	42 * 2 ' 1 * N
		MG-94	Hokkaido	Date	Kogane Sea Side	Date	1981.8.6	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	42 * 25 ' 7 " N
		MG-95	Hokkaido	Shiraoi	Kojuhama Sea Side	Shiraoi	1981.8.6	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	42 * 28 ' 31 * 1
		MG-96	Hokkaido	Tomakomai	Nisikioka	Tomakomai	1981.8.18	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	42 * 36 ' 10 * 1
		MG-97	Hokkaido	Oshamambe	Kun-nui	Oshyamanbe2	1981.8.19	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	42 * 26 * 59 * 1
	1	MG-98	Hokkaido	Nanae	Shirotai Ranch	Nanae	1981.8.19	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	41 * 55 * 15 * 1
		MG-99	Hokkaido	Hakodate	Mt. Hakodate	Hakodate	1981.8.20	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	41 * 45 * 25 * 1
	/	MG-100	Hokkaido	Yakumo	Higashino	Yakumo	1981.8.20	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	42 * 13 * 44 * 1
	/	MG-101	Hokkaido	Mori	Akai River	Mori2	1981.8.20	NARCHR	NARCHR	Available	42 °

Wild Accession Strains List

About Wild Accessions

Fig. 8.1 List of *L. japonicus* wild accessions collected by the National BioResource Project (NBRP). Among the wild accessions in the NBRP, information is listed for the accessions collected from the area between latitudes 40 and 45° N (*left-hand figure*)

ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS) mutants, and genomic resources such as BAC/TAC genomic and cDNA libraries are distributed through the Legume Base database (Table 8.1) (Isobe and Akashi 2004). Some phenotypic data evaluated at Miyazaki (N. L. 31°, Long. 131°E) and Sapporo (N. L. 43°, Long. 141°E) are also provided through the database.

8.2 Diploid Lotus Species for Genomics

8.2.1 Lotus japonicus

L. japonicus (Regel) Larsen was proposed as a model legume for genetics and genomics in 1992 because of its various characteristics such as small genome size, small plant size, large and abundant flowers, easy hand pollination, high seed production, short generation

time, easy cultivation, and its amenity to plant transformation and regeneration from tissue culture (Handberg and Stougaard 1992). Since then, a set of genetic resources and tools has been rapidly developed. These have included ecotypes, mutant lines, genetic maps, recombinant inbred lines (RILs), a transformation technology, expressed sequence tags (ESTs), and a draft sequence covering the whole genome. Accordingly, the *L. japonicus* research has been drastically accelerated and has greatly contributed to the understanding of the symbiotic processes with both Rhizobium and mycorrhizal fungi. This has allowed the cloning of several key genes involved in the processes of symbiosis with both microbes.

For molecular genetics and genomics in *Lotus* species, two accessions of *L. japonicus*, Gifu B-129 and Miyakojima MG-20, have been mainly used. And very recently, another wild accession, *L. bruttii* B-303 derived from West Pakistan, is attracting attention

Site name	Contents	URL
Legume base	Detailed information and request forms for experimental strains, wild accessions (108 lines), B-129 \times MG-20 RILs, genome clones, and cDNA clones	http://www.legumebase.agr.miyazaki-u. ac.jp/index.jsp
miyakogusa.jp	Information on genome sequences, predicted genes, genetic maps, and DNA markers	http://www.kazusa.or.jp/lotus/
Lotus Tilling	Detailed information on <i>Lotus</i> TILLING system and instructions for screening requests	http://www.lotusjaponicus.org/ tillingpages/homepage.htm
Lotus japonicus mutant finder	Information from the screening of M_2 families of <i>L. japonicus</i> generated by EMS mutagenesis. Search system by characteristics is available	http://data.jic.bbsrc.ac.uk/cgi-bin/ lotusjaponicus/
DFCI L. japonicus gene index	Information on TC and singleton EST sequences	http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/cgi- bin/tgi/gimain.pl?gudb=l_japonicus
Lotus Newsletter	Sharing information for the Lotus species research community	http://www.inia.org.uy/sitios/lnl/

 Table 8.1
 Web databases for Lotus species resources

because of its early flowering characteristics and moderate genetic diversity, which enable design of DNA markers against *L. japonicus*, Gifu B-129, and Miyakojima MG-20.

8.2.1.1 Gifu B-129

The original source of B-129 was collected in the Gifu prefecture of Japan in the mid-1900s by Isao Hirayoshi of Kyoto University. An inbred line of B-129 was established by multiplication of this plant, and the S₉ generation constituted the Gifu B-129-S9 germplasm. In 1992, Handberg and Stougaard demonstrated that L. japonicus B-129-S9 is suitable for genetic and molecular biology research because (1) it is a diploid (2n = 2x = 12), perennial, autogamous legume with good seed set, and has a sexual regeneration time of approximately three months; (2) it has a relatively small haploid genome size, estimated at 0.5 pg per haploid complement; (3) it is susceptible to Agrobacterium tumefaciens, and transgenic plants can be regenerated. Stable transformation followed by regeneration has been demonstrated (Handberg and Stougaard 1992; Thykjaer et al. 1995).

The Gifu B-129-S9 plant grows with multiple branches up to 30 cm long and is cross-fertile, making classical genetic studies possible. Seed of this release has been deposited in the National Plant Germplasm System through the USDA-ARS Regional Plant Introduction Station, Pullman, WA 99164-6402, and can be distributed for research purposes as PI 591056, as described above.

8.2.1.2 Miyakojima MG-20

L. japonicus Gifu B-129 is a long-day plant and requires intense light for continuous flowering. Therefore, genetic analysis of this plant is often conducted in a greenhouse equipped with supplementary light. In order to develop a legume model system for legume genetics, as has been done with Arabidopsis thaliana, earlyflowering accessions, suitable for indoor handling, were searched for throughout Japan. As a result, a plant that grows wild in Miyakojima, one of the southernmost islands in the Japanese archipelago, was collected as the earliest-flowering accession by Masayoshi Kawaguchi of the University of Tokyo. The accession was named as Miyakojima MG-20, and after seven rounds of self-pollination, the Miyakojima MG-20-S7 germplasm was established in 2000 (Kawaguchi 2000). This accession has predominantly been used for development of genomic resources such as cDNA and genomic libraries, ESTs, whole-genome sequences, high-resolution genetic maps, and ion-beam mutants (Hayashi et al. 2001; Kawaguchi et al. 2001; Sato et al. 2001; Asamizu et al. 2004). The seeds of Miyakojima MG-20 can be obtained through the Legume Base (Table 8.1).

8.2.2 L. bruttii

L. burttii was collected from the banks of the Kabul River in Pakistan, and the germplasm *L. burttii* B-303-S9 was established in 2005 from the S_9 seed after

self-pollination in a greenhouse or a growth cabinet without pollinators (Kawaguchi et al. 2005). The most notable characteristic of L. burttii is its early flowering time, 1-2 weeks earlier than that of L. japonicus Miyakojima MG-20. The L. burttii B-303 plant is relatively small in size compared to Gifu B-129 and Miyakojima MG-20. The flowers of L. burttii are pale yellow and turn red during maturation. Pods rarely shatter even under dry conditions, whereas those of L. japonicus shatter very easily after pod maturation. The possession of such traits, ideally suited to a model system, means that L. burttii is expected to contribute to the molecular genetics of legumes. In addition, L. burttii has a higher level of DNA polymorphism compared to Gifu B-129 and Miyakojima MG-20, enabling design of codominant DNA markers. Hence, molecular genetics using L. burttii will make a contribution to isolation and functional characterization of legume genes in the future.

8.3 Genetic Maps of Lotus Species

In order to increase the efficiency of genetic analysis in the model legume L. japonicus, two high-density genetic linkage maps have been developed from an intraspecific cross between the two ecotypes L. japonicus Gifu B-129 and L. japonicus Miyakojima MG-20 (Hayashi et al. 2001), and an interspecific cross between L. japonicus Gifu B-129 and L. filicaulis (Sandal et al. 2002). For faster mapping of new loci, a selection of reliable markers spread over the chromosome arms provides a common framework for more efficient identification of new alleles. Combination of this mapping effort with the genome sequencing of L. japonicus has led to more than 2,000 genomic clones being anchored to the genetic linkage map through molecular markers (Hayashi et al. 2001; Sato et al. 2001, 2008). Based on a set of anchor markers selected from the markers generated through the sequencing project, the two genetic linkage maps were aligned, and regions of linkage groups, where genetic resolution is obtained preferentially using one or the other parental combination, have been highlighted (Sandal et al. 2006). A third genetic map was established from a cross between L. japonicus Gifu B-129 and L. burttii to obtain better genetic resolution in regions showing suppression of recombination or distorted segregation in one or both of the above-mentioned maps (Kawaguchi et al. 2005). Thus, three different diploid species, *L. japonicus*, *L. filicaulis*, and *L. burttii* form a *Lotus* molecular genetic triangle.

Additional genetic resolution and stabilized mapping populations, RILs, are important resources for the mapping of single genes as well as of quantitative trait loci (QTLs). From the two original F₂ mapping populations, RILs have been developed to homozygosity by single-seed descent to the S₈ generation. To date, more than 100 RILs have been developed for the cross population between L. japonicus Gifu B-129 and L. filicaulis (Sandal et al. 2006), and a total of 205 RILs have been developed for the cross between L. japonicus Gifu B-129 and Miyakojima MG-20 (Sato et al. 2001). An initial map of this mapping population can be seen at http://www. kazusa.or.jp/lotus/RIline/RI map.html; and the seeds of these lines can be obtained through the National BioResource Project of Japan (http://www.legumebase.agr.miyazaki-u.ac.jp/). RILs are currently being propagated from the cross between L. japonicus Gifu B-129 and the L. burttii population, adding further genetic and biological variability. Altogether, these research efforts have established a common genetic resource for Lotus species.

For the agronomical *Lotus* species, the first genetic linkage map for *L. corniculatus* has been developed using a combination of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD), intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR), sequence tagged site (STS), and isozyme markers (Fjellstrom et al. 2003). This linkage map will help to establish a basis for marker-assisted selection for the improvement of desirable characteristics in agronomical *Lotus* species.

8.4 Role in Crop Improvement Through Traditional and Advanced Tools

8.4.1 QTL Analysis of Agronomically Important Traits

Many agronomically important traits are controlled by plural genes known as quantitative trait loci (QTLs). Recent advances in genomic research on the model plants have made it possible to examine the naturally occurring allelic variation for agronomically important traits (Varshney et al. 2006). Therefore, model plants have been expected to play a role as a platform for exploring QTLs of useful traits. In order to apply further the knowledge obtained on L. japonicus to breeding legume crops, it is necessary to identify genomic regions related to agriculturally important traits. A QTL analysis for agronomic traits in L. japonicus has been performed using the population of RILs derived from the cross between Gifu B-129 and Miyakojima MG-20. Thirteen agronomic traits evaluated over 2 years included flowering traits (flowering time and degree); traits of vegetative parts (plant height, stem thickness, leaf length, leaf width, plant regrowth, plant type, and stem color); and reproductive traits (pod length, pod width, seeds per pod, and seed weight). A total of forty QTLs were detected, which explained 5.1–69% of the total variation. Some of them are mapped to the positions corresponding to the QTL positions of soybean, pea, and chickpea in the macrosyntenic relationship (Gondo et al. 2007). The information on QTLs accumulated for the model legume L. japonicus could be applied in developing a breeding program for agronomically important Lotus species as well as for legume crops through genomic synteny.

8.4.2 Breeding of Lotus Species

Improvements in *Lotus* species aim to make them more persistent, reliable, and productive. A large genetic variation exists in *Lotus* species, providing scope for further genetic improvement. Variation for agronomic and herbage quality characteristics including plant decumbency, winter injury, herbage dry mass, and in vitro digestible dry matter exists among different accessions.

Improving persistence is a complex task because plant death or stand loss results from the combined effects of disease susceptibility, adverse environment, low reproduction rate, and limited genetic variation. The persistence of a *Lotus* stand can vary depending upon the amount of disease, the level of natural reseeding, and the type of cultivar. Characteristics of specific interest to improve the persistence of *Lotus* species include rhizomatous growth habit, disease resistance, condensed tannins, and hydrocyanide content. Breeding for improved cultivars in *Lotus* species has been carried out by phenotypic recurrent selection for general and specific combining ability to identify desired genetic combinations. The transfer of rhizomatous growth from the putative L. corniculatus obtained from Morocco to the domesticated L. corniculatus has been developed with the purpose of increasing long term persistence, and evaluation studies are being carried out in USA in cooperation with INIA-Uruguay. In Australia, the improvement of L. uliginosus is focusing on optimizing the levels of condensed tannins to provide bloat protection. In Argentina, selection among naturalized ecotypes of L. glaber has been the basis of cultivar development for alkaline and saline soils of the Pampas. The breeding of cultivars with improved disease resistance, vigor, ability to reseed, or to spread by rhizomes should make these *Lotus* species more reliable and productive. A list of Lotus cultivars has been published in the Lotus Newsletter (Grant 2004).

The knowledge derived from the model legume, L. japonicus, will be transferred to the agronomical Lotus species. The slow progress observed in Lotus cultivar improvement could be explained by the crosspollination of species and the fact that some of them are polyploidy. These features are not present in L. japonicus. One of the tasks in attaining species improvement will be to determine the relationship between the agronomical species and the origin of L. corniculatus. Biochemical and genetic evidences indicate that L. japonicus, L. glaber, and L. uliginosus together with L. alpinus are likely to be the ancestors of L. corniculatus (Grant and Small 1995).

8.4.3 Genetic Transformation and Somaclonal Variation

One of the important characteristics of *Lotus* species is the feasibility of regeneration of plants in culture, which makes them amenable to genetic transformation by *A. tumefaciens* and *A. rhizogenes* (Armstead and Webb 1987; Handberg and Stougaard 1992). This advantage has been largely applied to basic studies, such as complementation of mutants and metabolic pathway control using expression of transgenes, although no cultivar has been released through genetic manipulation so far. Along with the effects of the introduced genes themselves, genetic transformation process has significant potential for generating new genetic variation known as somaclonal variation. In *L. japonicus*, mutant lines were generated from regenerated plants, and these lines were used for isolation of nodulation mutants (Schauser et al. 1999). During the detailed analysis of these mutant lines, a retrotransposon named LORE1 was identified as one of the causes of somaclonal variation (Madsen et al. 2005). In the agronomical *Lotus* species, somaclonal variation has been found in *L. corniculatus* regenerated from cell

cultures (Vessabutr and Grant 1995). Regenerated plants from *L. corniculatus* have proved to be suitable for the evaluation of somaclonal variation in morphological and agronomical traits.

8.5 Genomics Resources for *Lotus* Species

Genomic resources of *Lotus* species have been accumulated from the model legume, *L. japonicus*.

8.5.1 EST Analysis

Expressed sequence tag (EST) analysis is performed to generate a catalog of expressed portions of the genome by developing anonymous partial cDNA sequences. Currently, nearly 150,000 ESTs have been deposited in public databases (Szczyglowski et al. 1997; Endo et al. 2000; Asamizu et al. 2004; Colebatch et al. 2004) and assembled into 15,472 tentative consensus (TC) sequences (and 18,713 singleton ESTs) in the *L. japonicus* gene index database (Table 8.1). These ESTs as well as the corresponding cDNA clones are providing valuable information and material resources for the functional analysis of individual genes and of the genome.

8.5.2 Genome Sequences

Genome sequences, which complement the ESTs, provide a global picture of the genetic information carried by living organisms. To understand the genetic systems carried by leguminous plants, large scale genome sequencing of the *L. japonicus* accession, Miyakojima MG-20, is in progress (Sato et al. 2001, 2008). To sequence the *L. japonicus* genome, two parallel approaches are being used: (1) the clone-by-

clone method and (2) shotgun sequencing of selected genomic regions enriched for the gene space. Both have been very effective in supporting collaborative mapping and map-based cloning experiments, where many of the mechanisms governing legume biology, including root symbioses, have been uncovered. An insight into the genome of *L. japonicus* has been

reported based on the draft genome structure of 315.1 Mb, which covers 67% of the total genome's physical length and is presumed to represent approximately 91.3% of the *L. japonicus* gene space, as predicted from the TC sequences available (Sato et al. 2008). In total, 41% of the draft genomic sequence was positioned onto the *L. japonicus* genetic map, and this percentage is likely to increase substantially when the ongoing single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) detection and mapping is finalized in the near future.

A total of 30,799 potential protein-encoding genes (excluding those for transposons/retrotranspons) have been assigned to the analyzed genome sequence. Comparative analysis of these genes has revealed the expansion of several functional domains and gene families that are characteristic of *L. japonicus*. Detailed information on these predicted genes as well as information on the nucleotide sequences of pseudomolecules and DNA markers is available through the online database "*miyakogusa.jp*" (Table 8.1).

8.5.3 TILLING

TILLING (targeting-induced local lesions in genomes) is a high-throughput, reverse genetic approach used to identify individuals with point mutations in genes of interest from large EMS-mutagenized populations (McCallum et al. 2000). TILLING relies on the ability of the CEL1 endonuclease to detect mismatches between normal and mutant DNA strands when they are annealed. Several populations of *L. japonicus* Gifu B-129 are accessible for TILLING. One of these is a general-purpose TILLING population that is biased against the occurrence of severe developmental phenotypes and comprises about 5,000 plants, each representing an independent family (Perry et al. 2003). The average mutation frequency of six mutations per 2.3 Mb of sequence suggests that this population bears approximately 1,300 mutations per genome, which is similar to the value reported for the Arabidopsis TILLING facility. Smaller subpopulations of several hundred plants are composed of M₂ siblings defective in root nodule symbiosis and starch synthesis. Furthermore, to facilitate similar TILLING approaches for other traits, seeds from a variety of developmental mutants have been collected, and trait-specific populations for traits such as altered leaf or flower morphology can be assembled. Phenotypic descriptions and photographs of the various mutants have been entered onto a web-accessible database for people to browse and order mutants (Table 8.1). Details for accessing the Lotus TILLING facility are available through the website *http://www*. lotusjaponicus.org (Table 8.1).

8.5.4 Transcriptomics

Two approaches have been taken to measure transcript levels of *L. japonicus*, including serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) and analysis using DNA arrays.

SAGE is a sequence-based technology in which a genome-wide transcript analysis can be conducted. SAGE generates short cDNA fragments, referred to as SAGE tags, which are unique to each transcript and which provide a number of advantages for transcriptome analyses in many organisms. SAGE has been employed to investigate gene expression during the early stages of root nodulation, and 407 and 428 tag species have been identified as significantly upregulated and downregulated during the early stage of nodulation, respectively (Asamizu et al. 2005). Detailed information on SAGE analysis is available through a web database at http://est.kazusa.or.jp/en/plant/lotus/EST/index.html.

DNA arrays for transcriptome analysis of *L. japonicus* have been produced using PCR-amplified cDNA from several sources, including 4,048 clones from flower buds (Endo et al. 2002), 5,376 partially redundant clones from root nodules (Colebatch et al. 2004), and most recently, 18,144 non-redundant clones derived from a variety of organs (Kouchi et al. 2004). Biological processes that have been studied with these arrays

include anther development (Endo et al. 2002), and the development and differentiation of root nodules (Endo et al. 2002; Kouchi et al. 2004). More than 1,000 plant genes that are induced during nodule development and differentiation have now been identified. In addition to the cDNA arrays, oligonucleotide arrays have been developed based on EST, cDNA, and genomic sequence information to represent all known and predicted genes of *L. japonicus* and *Mesorhizobium loti*. A transcriptome atlas of *L. japonicus* has been constructed using these arrays, which should be valuable for studying various processes of fundamental and agri-

8.5.5 Proteomics

cultural importance.

Proteomics is the study of the protein complement of an organism and is facilitated by high-throughput massspectrometry, which provides information on the exact mass and/or sequence of proteins and protein-derived peptides. This information, together with genome sequence data and inferred protein sequence data, can be used to identify proteins and to follow changes in protein expression through time and space in an organism. Recently, Lotus has been the subject of several proteomic studies; for instance, nanoscale liquid chromatography, which separates proteins or fragments of proteins, obtained from enzymatic digestion, was coupled with tandem mass spectrometry to identify proteins associated with the symbiosome membrane that surrounds Rhizobia in infected nodule cells (Wienkoop and Saalbach 2003). The proteomic analysis of seed development has also been reported (Dam et al. 2009), and detailed information on the analysis data can be investigated further using the Web interface found at http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/cgi-bin/lotus/db.cgi. This site has a number of options for viewing and cross-referencing.

8.6 Scope for Future Applications

8.6.1 Metabolites Survey in Lotus Species

Secondary metabolites are one of the most important components in plants considered for industrial use. In legumes, several specific metabolites have been identified and applied to therapeutic and industrial use. With the aim of investigating potential applications for *Lotus* species, as well as understanding the biochemical processes involved, large-scale metabolomic analyses have been carried out in *L. japonicus*.

Gas chromatography coupled to mass-spectrometry (GC-MS) is currently the major platform for metabolomics in L. japonicus (Colebatch et al. 2004; Desbrosses et al. 2005). To facilitate metabolite identification from GC-MS data, a reference library of mass-spectral metabolite tags (MSTs) has been produced for L. japonicus and other species (Wagner et al. 2003; Colebatch et al. 2004) and can be found at http://csbdb.mpimpgolm.mpg.de/csbdb/gmd/gmd.html. Using the MST database and software for chromatogram peak area integration, it is possible to quantify and compare metabolite levels in different biological samples. Metabolomics data obtained in this way have been combined with parallel transcriptomics data to yield further insight into the metabolic differentiation that occurs during nodule development (Colebatch et al. 2004).

Metabolomic approaches have also been used to investigate the biosynthetic pathways for nitrile glucosides, the two cyanogenic glucosides, linamarin and lotaustralin, and terpenoides in *Lotus* (Forslund et al. 2004; Arimura et al. 2005). These compounds are thought to play a role in plant–herbivore or plant– insect interactions. The integration of "omics" data from different levels, such as metabolomics with transcriptomics (Colebatch et al. 2004), is beginning to have a significant impact on our understanding of biochemical processes in *L. japonicus*.

Along with the efforts on metabolite analysis, investigations of the genes responsible for the synthesis of legume-specific secondary metabolites are underway. One such approach has involved the extensive analysis of the genes encoding the enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of the legume-specific 5-deoxyisoflavonoid of L. japonicus (Shimada et al. 2004, 2007). The findings from detailed analyses of the structures and functions of paralogous genes in the L. japonicus genome suggest the functional diversification of the multigene families, due to gene duplication, followed by the accumulation of nucleotide substitutions. The genome-wide analyses of gene families involved in the biosynthesis of legumespecific metabolites is providing insights into the molecular evolution of legume-specific biosynthetic genes, as well as suggesting possible applications of these genes for genetic engineering.

8.6.2 Application of Lotus Species in Bioremediation

The possibility of the use of *Lotus* species for the purpose of bioremediation has been considered as another potential application.

In polluted soils, the presence of toxic inorganic compounds, such as heavy metals, has an important impact on the resident microflora, which shows significantly less variation in polluted areas. With respect to Lotus species, some survive in rather extreme conditions such as those existing in contaminated soils. Taking into account that symbiotic interactions between species of the genus Lotus and Rhizobium strains can be effective, ineffective or parasitic, according to the particular combination, nodulation tests were evaluated with different Lotus species. Several parameters were also analyzed such as population size, nitrogen fixation capacity, genetic diversity, and mercury and arsenic tolerance. The results suggested that certain symbiotic relationships between Lotus and Rhizobium symbiosis seem to be particularly well adapted to adverse environmental conditions and might be an appropriate tool for the bioremediation of polluted soils (Castro et al. 2007).

8.7 Gene Flow from Transgenic *Lotus* Species

Currently, no cultivar of *Lotus* species has been released through genetic transformation. However, risk assessment for transgenic *Lotus* species has been conducted in advance by measuring pollen dispersal levels. The survey was carried out using transformed plants of *L. corniculatus* as the pollen donors and non-transgenic plants as the recipients (De Marchis et al. 2003). As a result, transgene flow to non-transgenic plants was detected up to 18 m from a 1.8 m^2 donor plot in one location. In a second location, pollen dispersal was detected up to 120 m from a 14 m^2 donor

plot. Therefore, transgene flow does occur in *Lotus* species, and the size of the transgene source plot appears to influence the range of gene flow. This report will be the basis for suitable countermeasures on gene flow control in *Lotus* species.

8.8 Future Outlook

The accumulating nucleotide sequences and their positional information in the genome of L. japonicus, as well as Medicago truncatula and soybean, have revealed characteristic features of the legume genomes. A rapidly advancing characterization of these three legume genomes will undoubtedly enhance ongoing comparative genomic analyses (Choi et al. 2004, 2006; Zhu et al. 2005; Cannon et al. 2006). As the molecular characterization of induced variation, usually connected with single-locus traits, continues to advance, a shift towards legume-specific multigenic traits or QTLs can easily be envisaged. The single-locus approach will validate individual players in key developmental processes, while the progression of QTL cloning will define gene networks in a more holistic context involving the interplay between intrinsic and exogenous factors that determine plant productivity.

The transfer of knowledge acquired from model plants, mainly through orthologous gene sequences and DNA markers, results in identification and isolation of the corresponding genes using either genomic and/or cDNA libraries and common DNA markers on genetic linkage maps in crop plants. The creation of a larger number of "common words" will be crucial for the more efficient transfer of knowledge and for facilitating the exchange of knowledge between researchers working on legumes. The LOTASSA (LOTus Adaptation and Sustainability in South-America) program is a tangible example of the current efforts towards the practical application of genome information in model legumes. LOTASSA is the acronym for a project entitled "Bridging Genomics and Agrosystem Management: Resources for Adaptation and Sustainable Production of forage Lotus species in Environmentally Constrained South-American Soils," which has been recently selected for funding by the European Union (EU) International Cooperation (INCO) Program. The global objective of LOTASSA is to develop superior biological and genetic resources to (1) assist and speed up selection of *Lotus* genotypes that are more tolerant to abiotic stresses, and (2)improve the productivity, sustainability, and quality of Lotus pastures in environmentally constrained areas of South America. For this objective, LOTASSA will exploit the close genetic relationship between the model plant L. japonicus and cultivated Lotus species. In this respect, LOTASSA represents a pioneering project where basic and applied plant researches are combined for a common goal. This international experience provides a great advancement in the genetics, physiology, and microbiology of Lotus towards more productive and sustainable pastures for fattening animal livestock in the Southern Cone region of South America.

References

- Arambarri A (1999) Illustrated catalogue of *Lotus* L. seeds (Fabaceae). In: Beuselinck P (ed) Trefoil: the science and technology of *Lotus*. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp 21–41
- Arimura G, Ozawa R, Kugimiya S, Takabayashi J, Bohlmann J (2005) Herbivore-induced defense response in a model legume. Two-spotted spider mites induce emission of (E)beta-ocimene and transcript accumulation of (E)-betaocimene synthase in *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Physiol 135:1976–1983
- Armstead IP, Webb KJ (1987) Effect of age and type of tissue on genetic transformation of *Lotus corniculatus* by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 9:95–101
- Asamizu E, Nakamura Y, Sato S, Tabata S (2004) Characteristics of the *Lotus japonicus* gene repertoire deduced from large-scale expressed sequence tag (EST) analysis. Plant Mol Biol 54:405–414
- Asamizu E, Nakamura Y, Sato S, Tabata S (2005) Comparison of the transcript profiles from the root and the nodulating root of the model legume *Lotus japonicus* by serial analysis of gene expression. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 18:487–498
- Cannon SB, Sterck L, Rombauts S, Sato S, Cheung F, Gouzy J, Wang X, Mudge J, Vasdewani J, Schiex T, Spannagl M, Monaghan E, Nicholson C, Humphray SJ, Schoof H, Mayer KF, Rogers J, Quétier F, Oldroyd GE, Debellé F, Cook DR, Retzel EF, Roe BA, Town CD, Tabata S, Van de Peer Y, Young ND (2006) Legume genome evolution viewed through the *Medicago truncatula* and *Lotus japonicus* genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:14959–14964
- Castro IV, Sá-Pereira P, Simões F, Matos JA, Ferreira E (2007) Use of *Lotus/Rhizobium* symbiosis in regeneration of polluted soils. Lotus Newsl 37:87–88
- Choi HK, Mun JH, Kim DJ, Zhu H, Baek JM, Mudge J, Roe B, Ellis N, Doyle J, Kiss GB, Young ND, Cook DR (2004) Estimating genome conservation between crop and model legume species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:15289–15294

- Choi HK, Luckow MA, Doyle J, Cook DR (2006) Development of nuclear gene-derived molecular markers linked to legume genetic maps. Mol Genet Genomics 276:56–70
- Colebatch G, Desbrosses G, Ott T, Krusell L, Montanari O, Kloska S, Kopka J, Udvardi MK (2004) Global changes in transcription orchestrate metabolic differentiation during symbiotic nitrogen fixation in *Lotus japonicus*. Plant J 39:487–512
- Dam S, Laursen BS, Ornfelt JH, Jochimsen B, Staerfeldt HH, Friis C, Nielsen K, Goffard N, Besenbacher S, Krusell L, Sato S, Tabata S, Thøgersen IB, Enghild JJ, Stougaard J (2009) The proteome of seed development in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Physiol 149:1325–1340
- De Marchis F, Bellucci M, Arcioni S (2003) Measuring gene flow from two birdsfoot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*) field trials using transgenes as tracer markers. Mol Ecol 12:1681–1685
- Desbrosses GG, Kopka J, Udvardi MK (2005) *Lotus japonicus* metabolic profiling. Development of gas chromatographymass spectrometry resources for the study of plant–microbe interactions. Plant Physiol 137:1302–1318
- Endo M, Kokubun T, Takahata Y, Higashitani A, Tabata S, Watanabe M (2000) Analysis of expressed sequence tags of flower buds in *Lotus japonicus*. DNA Res 30:213–216
- Endo M, Matsubara H, Kokubun T, Masuko H, Takahata Y, Tsuchiya T, Fukuda H, Demura T, Watanabe M (2002) The advantages of cDNA microarray as an effective tool for identification of reproductive organ-specific genes in a model legume, *Lotus japonicus*. FEBS Lett 514:229–237
- Fjellstrom RG, Steiner JJ, Beuselinck PR (2003) Tetrasomic linkage mapping of RFLP, PCR, and isozyme loci in *Lotus corniculatus* L. Crop Sci 43:1006–1020
- Forslund K, Morant M, Jørgensen B, Olsen CE, Asamizu E, Sato S, Tabata S, Bak S (2004) Biosynthesis of the nitrile glucosides rhodiocyanoside A and D and the cyanogenic glucosides lotaustralin and linamarin in *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Physiol 135:71–84
- Gondo T, Sato S, Okumura K, Tabata S, Akashi R, Isobe S (2007) Quantitative trait locus analysis of multiple agronomic traits in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. Genome 50:627–637
- Grant WF (2004) List of Lotus corniculatus (Birdsfoot trefoil), L. uliginosus/ L. pedunculatus (Big trefoil), L. glaber (Narrowleaf trefoil) and L. subbiflorus cultivars. Lotus Newsl 34:12–26
- Grant WF, Small E (1995) The origin of the Lotus corniculatus (Fabaceae) complex: a synthesis of diverse evidence. Can J Bot 74:975–989
- Green SL (2005) U.S. Germplasm Collection of *Lotus*: activities over the last decade. Lotus Newsl 35:106–108
- Handberg K, Stougaard J (1992) Lotus japonicus, an autogamous, diploid legume species for classical and molecular genetics. Plant J 2:487–496
- Hayashi M, Miyahara A, Sato S, Kato T, Yoshikawa M, Taketa M, Hayashi M, Pedrosa A, Onda R, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Bachmair A, Sandal N, Stougaard J, Murooka Y, Tabata S, Kawasaki S, Kawaguchi M, Harada K (2001) Construction of a genetic linkage map of the model legume *Lotus japonicus* using an intraspecific F₂ population. DNA Res 8:301–310

- Isobe S, Akashi R (2004) Legume base: a new resource center of Lotus japonicus and Glycine max. Lotus Newsl 34:27–30
- Izaguirre P, Beyhaut R (1998) Loteae. In: Las leguminosas en Uruguay y regiones vecinas. Editorial Agropecuaria Hemisferio Sur SRL, pp 314–327
- Kawaguchi M (2000) *Lotus japonicus* 'Miyakojima' MG-20: an early-flowering accession suitable for indoor handling. J Plant Res 113:507–509
- Kawaguchi M, Motomura T, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Akao S, Kawasaki S (2001) Providing the basis for genomics in *Lotus japonicus*: the accessions Miyakojima and Gifu are appropriate crossing partners for genetic analyses. Mol Genet Genomics 266:157–166
- Kawaguchi M, Pedrosa-Harand A, Yano K, Hayashi M, Murooka Y, Saito K, Nagata T, Namai K, Nishida H, Shibata D, Sato S, Tabata S, Hayashi M, Harada K, Sandal N, Stougaard J, Bachmair A, Grant W (2005) *Lotus burttii* takes a position of the third corner in the *Lotus* molecular genetics triangle. DNA Res 12:69–77
- Kouchi H, Shimomura K, Hata S, Hirota A, Wu GJ, Kumagai H, Tajima S, Suganuma N, Suzuki A, Aoki T, Hayashi M, Yokoyama T, Ohyama T, Asamizu E, Kuwata C, Shibata D, Tabata S (2004) Large-scale analysis of gene expression profiles during early stages of root nodule formation in a model legume, *Lotus japonicus*. DNA Res 11:263–274
- Madsen LH, Fukai E, Radutoiu S, Yost CK, Sandal N, Schauser L, Stougaard J (2005) LORE1, an active low-copy-number TY3-gypsy retrotransposon family in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. Plant J 44:372–381
- McCallum CM, Comai L, Greene EA, Henikoff S (2000) Targeting induced local lesions in genomes (TILLING) for plant functional genomics. Plant Physiol 123:439–442
- Papadopoulos Y, Kelman W (1999) Traditional breeding of Lotus species. In: Beuselinck P (ed) Trefoil: the science and technology of Lotus. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp 187–198
- Perry JA, Wang TL, Welham TJ, Gardner S, Pike JM, Yoshida S, Parniske M (2003) A TILLING reverse genetics tool and a web-accessible collection of mutants of the legume *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Physiol 131:866–871
- Sandal N, Krusell L, Radutoiu S, Olbryt M, Pedrosa A, Stracke S, Sato S, Kato T, Tabata S, Parniske M, Bachmair A, Ketelsen T, Stougaard J (2002) A genetic linkage map of the model legume *Lotus japonicus* and strategies for fast mapping of new loci. Genetics 161:1673–1683
- Sandal N, Petersen TR, Murray J, Umehara Y, Karas B, Yano K, Kumagai H, Yoshikawa M, Saito K, Hayashi M, Murakami Y, Wang X, Hakoyama T, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Sato S, Kato T, Chen W, Hossain S, Shibata S, Wang TL, Yokota K, Larsen K, Kanamori N, Madsen E, Radutoiu S, Madsen LH, Radu TG, Krusell L, Ooki Y, Banba M, Betti M, Rispail N, Skøt L, Tuck E, Perry J, Yoshida S, Vickers K, Pike J, Mulder L, Charpentier M, Müller J, Ohtomo R, Kojima T, Ando S, Marquez J, Gresshoff PM, Harada K, Webb J, Hata S, Suganuma N, Kouchi H, Kawasaki S, Tabata S, Hayashi M, Parniske M, Szczyglowski K, Kawaguchi M, Stougaard J (2006) Genetics of symbiosis in *Lotus japonicus*: recombinant inbred lines, comparative genetic maps, and map position of 35 symbiotic loci. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 19:80–91

- Sato S, Kaneko T, Nakamura Y, Asamizu E, Kato T, Tabata S (2001) Structural analysis of a *Lotus japonicus* genome. I. Sequence features and mapping of fifty-six TAC clones which cover the 5.4 Mbp regions of the genome. DNA Res 8:311–318
- Sato S, Nakamura Y, Kaneko T, Asamizu E, Kato T, Nakao M, Sasamoto S, Watanabe A, Ono A, Kawashima K, Fujishiro T, Katoh M, Kohara M, Kishida Y, Minami C, Nakayama S, Nakazaki N, Shimizu Y, Shinpo S, Takahashi C, Wada T, Yamada M, Ohmido N, Hayashi M, Fukui K, Baba T, Nakamichi T, Mori H, Tabata S (2008) Genome structure of the legume, *Lotus japonicus*. DNA Res 15:227–239
- Schauser L, Roussis A, Stiller J, Stougaard J (1999) A plant regulator controlling development of symbiotic root nodules. Nature 402:191–195
- Shimada N, Sasaki R, Sato S, Kaneko T, Tabata S, Aoki T, Ayabe S (2004) A comprehensive analysis of six dihydroflavonol 4-reductases encoded by a gene cluster of the *Lotus japonicus* genome. J Exp Bot 56:2573–2785
- Shimada N, Sato S, Akashi T, Nakamura Y, Tabata S, Ayabe S, Aoki T (2007) Genome-wide analyses of the structural gene families involved in the legume-specific 5-deoxyisoflavonoid biosynthesis of *Lotus japonicus*. DNA Res 14:25–36

- Szczyglowski K, Hamburger D, Kapranov P, de Bruijn FJ (1997) Construction of a *Lotus japonicus* late nodulin expressed sequence tag library and identification of novel nodule-specific genes. Plant Physiol 114:1335–1346
- Thykjaer T, Stiller J, Handberg K, Jones J, Stougaard J (1995) The maize transposable element Ac is mobile in the legume *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Mol Biol 27:981–993
- Varshney RK, Hoisington DA, Tyagi AK (2006) Advances in cereal genomics and applications in crop breeding. Trends Biotechnol 24:490–499
- Vessabutr S, Grant WF (1995) Isolation, culture and regeneration of protoplast from birdsfoot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*). Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 49:9–15
- Wagner C, Sefkow M, Kopka J (2003) Construction and application of a mass spectral and retention time index database generated from plant GC/EI-TOF-MS metabolite profiles. Phytochemistry 62:887–900
- Wienkoop S, Saalbach G (2003) Proteome analysis. Novel proteins identified at the peribacteroid membrane from Lotus japonicus root nodules. Plant Physiol 131:1080–1090
- Zhu H, Choi HK, Cook DR, Shoemaker RC (2005) Bridging model and crop legumes through comparative genomics. Plant Physiol 137:1189–1196

Chapter 9 *Lupinus*

Bogdan Wolko, Jon C. Clements, Barbara Naganowska, Matthew N. Nelson, and Hua'an Yang

9.1 Basic Information on Lupinus Genus

9.1.1 Distribution and Centers of Diversity

Lupinus is a relatively large genus and one of the most geographically widespread with a rich diversity of species that are divided into two major groups - the Mediterranean/North and East African "Old World" species and the North and South American "New World" group comprising the greater number of species. Parallel indigenous domesticates occur in the Mediterranean and the Andes regions; however, when compared to nearly all other food crops, lupins have only recently been the focus of modern crop breeding. Wild and landrace lupins are distributed across climatic ranges from subarctic regions (Alaska), Mediterranean, and semi-desert climates; highland and mountain regions of East Africa, Mexico, the Andes, and High Rockies; the warm temperate climates of southeastern USA and subtropical regions of eastern South America (Gladstones 1998). They include simple and compound leaved, herbaceous annual and herbaceous to shrubby perennial plant types. The Old World group has only annual species. Generally, lupins are plants of open and well-lit habitats, which do not tolerate shading (Gladstones 1998), and prefer well-drained soils with from acid to neutral pH with limited distribution into the alkaline range – the rough-seeded species showing some tolerance to above neutral pH. A recent exception regarding soil

pH preference is the newly described 13th Old World species, *L. mariae-josephi* H. Pascual, which is reported to prefer calcareous soils (Pascual 2004; Pascual et al. 2006).

Based on studies that assessed genetic similarities, the centers of diversity of Lupinus are: (1) North and Central America and Andean South America, (2) Atlantic South America, and (3) the Mediterranean and northern and eastern African region (Hondelmann 1984; Planchuelo 1994; Ainouche and Bayer 1999; Maciel and Schifino-Wittmann 2002). The distribution maps of the Mediterranean (Old World) species can be found in Gladstones (1974, 1998). Within the major Old World species groupings, there are more local regions considered to be the species centers of diversity. For Lupinus albus L., the semi-domesticated largeseeded forms (var. albus) have long been cultivated around the Mediterranean and in the Nile valley, but the primary center of diversity is implied from the reported distribution of the wild L. albus var. graecus, which includes the southern Balkans (Greece including Crete, Albania, former Yugoslavia) and possibly into northeastern Greece, southern Italy, and western Turkey (Perrino et al. 1984; Clements and Cowling 1990; Gladstones 1998). The natural distribution of the derived cultivated landraces. L. albus var. albus is circum northern Mediterranean basin, the mid-Atlantic islands (Azores), the Canary Islands, North Africa (Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia) and the Nile valley, Kenya, and Ethiopia (Buirchell 1992; Gladstones 1984, 1998; Neves-Martins 1994). Generally, L. albus is distributed on mildly acidic or neutral soils of light to medium texture. Some occurrences on alkaline soils are reported (Christiansen et al. 1999, 2000).

Lupinus luteus L. is more confined to sandy, acidic soils and natural populations are much less widespread than *L. albus* or *L. angustifolius* L. and truly wild

B. Wolko (🖂)

Institute of Plant Genetics Polish Academy of Sciences, Strzeszyńska 34, 60-479 Poznań, Poland e-mail: bwol@igr.poznan.pl

forms are rare. The proposed place of origin is the Iberian Peninsula (Gladstones 1974), and the primary current distribution center is in the western parts of this region. Smaller-seeded, likely wild types occur in the high rainfall areas of Portugal and Northwest Spain, with some reports of occurrences in southern Spain, southern Italy (Calabria, Sicily), Greece, Turkey, coastal Morocco, and Israel and Lebanon (Gladstones 1974; Cowling 2001; Kurlovich 2002b). Occurrences in the eastern Mediterranean support the hypothesis of a temperate western Asian origin of the smooth-seeded lupin species (Gladstones 1998). L. luteus landraces are scattered along with the wild forms and as escapes from cultivation or used as a garden ornamental. Lupinus hispanicus Boiss. and Reut., which is closely related to L. luteus (included in the Lutei section described by Nowacki and Prus-Glowacki 1971) but whose distribution extends to higher altitudes, is found mainly in Spain and Portugal (Gladstones 1974). L. hispanicus ssp. hispanicus is found at moderate altitudes in southern and central Spain and L. hispanicus ssp. bicolor at higher altitudes up to 1,500 m in northwestern Spain and northern Portugal, sometimes on poorly drained soils. There have been some reports of occurrences in Turkey and northern Greece (Gladstones 1998; Clements and Cowling 1990; Cowling 2001). L. hispanicus is generally distributed on sands to sandy loams, which are acidic to very acidic (Gladstones 1974). Lupinus micranthus Douglas is relatively rare but is the second most widespread Old World species after L. angustifolius (Gladstones 1974). Its distribution is around the perimeter of the Mediterranean basin and this implies its translocation through human activity over time. It is found not only on mildly acidic to alkaline soils, frequently on sandy loams, but also on coarse sands and heavier and more calcareous soils.

The Aegean region is the possible center of diversity of *L. angustifolius*, with small-seeded, finer-leafed genotypes occurring particularly at higher elevations in northern Greece and some islands (Clements and Cowling 1994; Cowling 2001). Gladstones (1998) noted the existence of possible wild types distributed in North Africa and Iberia. A continuous range from small-seeded to larger-seeded types is found, larger seeds consistent with selection activity by early farmers. *L. angustifolius* natural populations are more widespread than the other Old World *Lupinus* species. Generally, *L. angustifolius* is found on acid, well-drained, non-calcareous, light to medium textured soils.

The seven rough-seeded Lupinus species (which includes the possibly extinct L. somaliensis Baker) mostly have a very restricted natural distribution, and their habitats generally show little overlap. These species still exist as wild plants with evidence of some human selection for larger seeded or ornamental types in L. pilosus Murr., L. digitatus Forsk, L. palaestinus Boiss., and L. atlanticus Gladst. (Gladstones 1974, 1998). Their habitats range from desert valleys to tropical highlands; from high mountain regions to coastal plains; from acidic soils to highly calcareous soils. They are distributed in a wide range of environments and soil types, although predominantly from neutral to alkaline soils. Some limited overlap occurs between L. pilosus and L. palaestinus in Israel. Hybrids between L. pilosus and L. palaestinus may occur where the two species grow together (Clements et al. 1996). Little penetration of the rough-seeded lupins into the northern Mediterranean has occurred with their specialized adaptation to semi-desert and warm Mediterranean conditions. L. pilosus has a diverse distribution from the mountainous regions of Crete and Turkey to the Greek Islands to the coastal areas of Israel and Syria. It grows on a wide range of soil types having been collected from coastal sandy soils to loamy clays with limestone present. It generally occurs on sandy soils of neutral to alkaline pH. The species seems well adapted to a number of climatic environments, and there is considerable variation within this species. L. palaestinus is a lowgrowing plant with much basal branching and has long inflorescences. Its natural range extends from the central and southern plains of Sharon and Philistia, down the coast of Israel, and on the Sinai Peninsula in the Jebel El Tih. L. atlanticus Gladst. is a distinctive species restricted to the Atlas and Anti Atlas mountains of Morocco at altitudes less than 1,700 m but greater than 460 m. The northern extent of its habitat is around Beni Mellal, and it is found on the western slopes of the Atlas Mountains. In the anti-Atlas Mountains, it is found in the Valleys of the central mountains around Tanalt, in the valleys around Tafraoute and on the Kerdous Plateau. The southern boundary is unknown but is restricted to above 250 mm rainfall.

Lupinus princei Harms isolated to the highlands of Kenya, Tanzania, and southern Ethiopia at elevations

between 1,700 and 3,000 m. *L. digitatus* is known from a number of regions in southern Sahara, mostly associated with mountainous areas. It has been found on the border of Chad and Libya, Niger and Algeria, in the Senegal Valley, the northwest Sahara, and in the Nile Valley. Limited collections occur in international seed banks, the most known samples coming from Southeast Egypt. The species is endangered due to desertification within most of its range. The extent and status of the distribution of *L. somaliensis* is unknown but may extend from the region of its type specimen in the Golis Range of northern Somalia into Ethiopia. No accessions are known to exist in genebanks.

The New World lupins are distributed across a wide range of climates including alpine, temperate, and subtropical. The centers of diversity are considered to be within these regions and are primarily North and Central America, the Andean South American region, and the Atlantic South American region, which encompasses the majority of the primitive simple-leafed species (Fig. 9.1). Planchuelo (1994) divides the North and Central American region into the southeastern subregion (SEN) and Mountain range from Alaska to Central America subregion (MAC). The SEN group consists of four simple-leafed species (thought to originate from Brazil) in coastal North Carolina to the Mississippi in the Gulf of Mexico. The MAC group of compound-leafed lupins extends from the Aleutian Island in Alaska, along and on both sides of the mountains and ranges in North America to the Sierra Madre in Mexico and Central America. The group includes ornamental and forage species L. polyphyllus Lindl., soil conservation species L. arboreus Sims and L. nootkatensis Donn ex Sims, and the ornamental L. mexicanus Cerv. ex Lag. (synonyms L. hartwegii, L. ehrenbergii). The South American region is divided into the Atlantic subregion (ATL) and Andean subregion (AND). The ATL group consists of a large number of perennial simple and compound-leafed species and a small group of variable annual species. It includes the L. gibertianus C.P. Sm.-L. linearis Desr. complex. The group is distributed across eastern Brazil, Uruguay, Paraguay, and central and eastern Argentina. The AND group covers the geographic region from the mountain slopes on both sides of the Andes, from Venezuela through Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia, Chile, and Northwest Argentina to the plains of Patagonia in the



Fig. 9.1 Approximate distribution regions of New World lupin species including *L. mutabilis* [from Planchuelo-Ravelo (1984), Mujica (1994), Planchuelo (1994), and various collection site data sources]

south. The species consist of perennial and annual compound-leafed species. The range in topography and microclimates create a large ecological diversity of plant types (Planchuelo 1994). Within the AND group is L. mutabilis Sweet, the only crop species from the region. Domesticated independently from Old World crop lupins in the Mediterranean, L. mutabilis populations exist in semi-domesticated form, with non-shattering pods, large, permeable seed, reduced seed coat pigmentation and a more or less annual life cycle. Reports of its cultivation are from as far north as Venezuela through Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia, to Chile and northern Argentina (Williams 1979; Blanco 1982; Planchuelo and Dunn 1984; Mujica 1994; Planchuelo 1994; Fig. 9.1). Research by Eastwood and Hughes 2008 had failed to reveal any occurrences of L. mutabilis in anything resembling natural vegetation, suggesting that the species may only be found in cultivation with occasional individuals persisting in fallow fields and their margins after the previous crop. Based on field, herbarium, and DNA sequence data, they suggest L. piurensis C.P.Sm., a species with similar morphology but differences particularly with fruits and seed as the most likely wild progenitor of domesticated L. mutabilis. It is distributed on the western slopes of the Andes between 1,650 and 3,300 m in northern Peru (Eastwood and Hughes 2008).

9.1.2 Taxonomy and Phylogeny of the Genus

Historically, the Leguminosae family has been divided into three subfamilies: Caesalpinieae, Mimosoideae, and Papilionoideae, the latter subfamily forming the grain legumes. This subfamily is monophyletic, and recent studies agree on a series of clades within it that are well supported and have received informal names. Of the seven clades, there are four within the Papilionoideae that include most of the economically important food and feed legumes. Of these, the genistoids include the *Lupinus* genus (Gepts et al. 2005; Cronk et al. 2006). Despite the broad distribution and relatively variable morphology within *Lupinus*, its unity is unquestioned, and the majority of authors agree in assigning the genus to the tribe Genisteae. Some variations to this taxonomic citation, however, do occur. A more recent study (Ainouche and Bayer 1999), based on internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA using 44 *Lupinus* taxa and five outgroup taxa, clearly supported previous classifications that the *Lupinus* genus is a strongly monophyletic genus and should be included in tribe Genisteae but as a distinct lineage from the Genistinae ("Cytisus-Genista complex") as sub-tribe Lupininae (Hutch.) Bisby. The results were consistent with serological data (Cristofolini 1989) and molecular-based phylogenies of the Papilionoideae (Doyle et al. 1997; Käss and Wink 1997).

There is a wide phylogenetic distance between lupin and the cool season food legumes that include pea, lentil, faba bean, and chickpea (Dwivedi et al. 2006). The lupin genome is distinct from these other species in that its chromosome number varies widely (from n = 12 to n = 26), it has a significant number of duplicate loci for relatively conserved isozymes (Wolko and Weeden 1990a), and it has a smaller DNA content per haploid complement (Weeden et al. 2000). Compared to other crop species, cytological work in Lupinus is relatively deficient, and this may be partly attributed to the large number of chromosomes (range from 2n = 32 to 52), similarity in chromosome size and shape such that pairs are not easily defined, and difficulty in distinguishing individual species through chromosome length or arm ratios.

The detailed taxonomy of lupins has been dealt with by several authors, including Gladstones (1974, 1984, 1998), Plitmann and Heyn (1984), and Planchuelo (1994). Until the major taxonomic revision of Gladstones (1974), the taxonomy of the Old World lupins was confused as a result of mistakes from the early years of Linnaean taxonomy. The taxonomy of the New World lupins has proven difficult due to the large number of apparent species and intermediates resulting from outcrossing and a high degree of phenotypic plasticity across their habitats (Planchuelo 1994).

Thus, the two geographically separate groups – the New World species and the Old World lupins – together occupy habitats from sea level to alpine tundra up to 4,000 m altitude. Species vary from annual to perennial with growth habits from acaulescent or small prostrate to tree-like shrubs reported to be of 4.5 m (Dunn 1984) or even to 8 m high with woody trunks of 30 cm across such as in *L. jaimehintoniana*

B.L. in Mexico (Turner 1995). The main features that distinguish the genus Lupinus are large and numerous flowers on terminal racemes; flowers with a deeply cleft calyx, erect standard petal; wings connate at the apex; keel incurved, beaked, and enclosed within the wings; stamens 10, alternately long and basifixed, short, and versatile; ovary sessile; style incurved, glabrous; stigma terminal; pod oblong, more or less compressed, septate between the seeds, valves thick and leathery, dehiscent; cotyledons thick and fleshy (Allen and Allen 1981). The distinctiveness of the New World and the Old World lupins has been supported by various authors who based upon the analyses of seed globulin patterns (Przybylska and Zimniak-Przybylska 1995; Przybylska and Przybylska 1997), protein serology (Cristofolini 1989) and general taxonomic reviews (Plitmann and Heyn 1984).

In addition to the geographic division of the Old and New World, lupins can be separated on leaf characters, one with simple leaves of approximately 26 species and the other with typically palmate compound leaves (Planchuelo 1994). The other subgeneric division is made on seed testa structure (Plitmann and Heyn 1984) – seven species, which have rough testa (section Scabrispermae), are all distributed in the Old World, while the rest are typically smooth (section Malacospermae) and include the economically important species, *L. angustifolius, L. luteus, L. albus*, and *L. mutabilis*. The rough-seeded micromorphology is characterized by the pluricellular tubercules of the seed coat, which are not present in the smooth-seeded species (Lush and Evans 1980; Plitmann and Heyn 1984; Ainouche and Bayer 2000). Support for the separation of the species into the groupings rough and smooth-seeded is provided by studies using flavonoids (Williams et al. 1983), isozymes (Wolko and Weeden 1990b), seed globulin patterns (Przybylska and Zimniak-Przybylska 1995; Przybylska and Przybylska 1997), cytology (Plitmann and Pazy 1984), coat structural comparisons, and DNA (Käss and Wink 1997; Ainouche and Bayer 1999) (Fig. 9.2).

9.1.3 Old World Lupin Species

The generally accepted taxonomy of the Old World lupin species is that of J. S. Gladstones whose comprehensive studies at several herbariums from 1968 resulted in the publication of revised taxonomy, history, and use of lupins (Gladstones 1970, 1974). This classification is supported by other studies (Salmanowicz and Przybylska 1994; Ainouche and Bayer 1999; Naganowska et al. 2003b) and is highly congruent with recent ITS-DNA data (Ainouche and Bayer 1999). Nowacki and Prus-Glowacki (1971) distinguished five groups of species on serological grounds, and these agreed well with morphology and



crossability among the Old World group as discussed by Gladstones (1984). Despite showing a range of chromosome numbers, the morphological, serological, genetic, isozyme, and interspecific crossing ability evidences show that the rough-seeded species from the Old World are very homogeneous (Gladstones 1974; Plitmann and Heyn 1984; Cristofolini 1989; Wolko and Weeden 1990a; Gupta et al. 1996) and the most strongly supported clade in the Old World group (Ainouche and Bayer 1999). Carstairs et al. (1992) placed the rough-seeded lupins, based on cytogenetic and crossability studies into three groups: the Princei group (L. princei) in equatorial Africa, the Atlanticus group (L. atlanticus, L. cosentinii Guss. and L. digitatus) in northwestern Africa, and the Pilosus group (L. pilosus and L. palaestinus) in the eastern Mediterranean. Lupinus anatolicus Święc. was proposed after a smooth-seeded seed sample was collected from the hills near Efez, Turkey (Święcicki 1988; Ainouche and Bayer 2000); however, accessions of L. pilosus containing smooth seeds have been found in Syria (Buirchell 1999), and based on the other evidence, L. anatolicus may be an ecotype or a natural mutant of L. pilosus.

L. palaestinus has the same chromosome number as L. pilosus, and their ability to experimentally intercross, albeit with considerable genetic barriers, suggests a close relationship between them. DNA contents were very similar for L. pilosus and L. palaestinus compared to L. cosentinii and L. atlanticus (Ghrabi et al. 1999). Other studies confirming the L. pilosus/L. palaestinus relationship are found for ITS data (Ainouche and Bayer 1999), seed proteins (Salmanowicz 1999), and interspecific crossing ability (Carstairs et al. 1992), but none have suggested combining them as one species. L. cosentinii (2n = 32) has been referred to in the past as L. cosentini, L. varius, and L. digitatus, but clarification was established both by Gladstones (1970, 1974) and through chromosome counts. Moroccan or Tunisian genotypes differ with respect to some morphological characters from those in the northern shores of the Mediterranean and the Australian naturalized populations. Based on ITS data, L. cosentinii is separated from the L. pilosus/L. palaestinus and the L. digitatus/ L. atlanticus subdivisions but is more closely related to the latter two (Ainouche and Bayer 1999). This closer relationship is reflected in seed protein studies (Salmanowicz and Przybylska 1994; Salmanowicz 1999).

L. digitatus (2n = 36; Plitmann and Heyn 1984) has been referred to as L. tassilicus Maire, but morphological and chromosome evidence support the synonymy of L. tassilicus and L. digitatus (Gladstones 1974; Carstairs et al. 1992). Synonyms for L. atlanticus were similarly clarified by Gladstones (1974). L. atlanticus has the same chromosome number as L. princei (2n = 38; Carstairs et al. 1992), but crossing and cytological studies suggest closest genetic affinity to L. cosentinii (Roy and Gladstones 1988; Carstairs et al. 1992; Gupta et al. 1996) with some affinity to L. pilosus (Gladstones 1998). The geographically isolated L. princei is relatively distinct morphologically but is identified in phylogenetic studies to clearly belong to the rough-seeded group (Käss and Wink 1997). Naganowska et al. (2003b) found it to have a nuclear DNA content closer to the smooth-seeded species (very similar to L. micranthus), and Carstairs et al. (1992) noted that it had the longest chromosomes among the rough-seeded lupins analyzed. It has failed to produce viable seeds when crossed with other rough-seeded species (Carstairs et al. 1992; Gupta et al. 1996), and this along with its morphological distinctiveness supports its status as a separate species. Further taxonomic evaluation and exploration appears worthwhile for the rough-seeded lupins because of the smaller numbers of available accessions, the tendency for ecogeographical isolation among many of these species, and the existence of numerous isolated populations in their habitats in North Africa and the eastern Mediterranean.

The smooth-seeded Mediterranean group is a much less homogeneous, more widely separated group, and has major genetic barriers between most species (Plitmann and Heyn 1984). Genetic, biochemical, and serological studies support this separation (Cristofolini 1989; Wolko and Weeden 1990b). The smooth-seeded Old World lupins were separated into four distinct sections (albus, angustifolius, luteus, micranthus), all monospecific apart from the L. luteus-L. hispanicus complex (Nowacki and Prus-Glowacki 1971; Gladstones 1984). ITS genetic evidence resolves them into two distinct clades, and within these clades, all species are well-defined (Ainouche and Bayer 1999). One of the clades defined by Ainouche and Bayer (1999) consisted of three species, L. angustifolius (2n = 40), L. luteus, and L. hispanicus (both 2n = 52). This indicated a close relationship between the Angustifoli and Lutei sections described by
Nowacki and Prus-Glowacki (1971) and Gladstones (1984), despite them being relatively distinct plants in morphology and cytology (Fig. 9.2). *L. luteus* was proposed as being closer to *L. micranthus* based on some similar morphological traits and because both have the same chromosome number (Gladstones 1984). Talhinas et al. (2003) also showed the phylogenetic connection between *L. angustifolius* and *L. luteus–L. hispanicus* using several molecular marker types. Some support for the connection between *L. luteus* and *L. angustifolius* might also be provided by the existence of a "foveolate" seed coat pattern found in *L. angustifolius* samples from North Africa that were similar to the appearance of *L. luteus* seed coat types (Ainouche and Bayer 1999).

L. luteus and L. hispanicus (both 2n = 52) were shown by Ainouche and Bayer (1999) to have genetic affinity but were clearly distinct based on nucleotide changes. Both species are distributed in the Iberian peninsular and separated by reproductive barriers; however, Święcicki et al. (1999) produced interspecific progeny from L. luteus \times L. hispanicus subsp. hispanicus. Israeli forms of L. luteus have been referred to as subspecies orientalis and were typified by smaller seeds and were later flowering than the western Mediterranean types. Gladstones (1998), from observations of the different forms growing together, did not support their classification as a subspecies. L. hispanicus Boiss. and Reuter has been divided into subspecies by Gladstones (1974) who describes two fairly distinct forms existing in the Iberian Peninsula. Subspecies hispanicus was in accordance with the original type of Boissier and Reuter, which is similar to L. luteus, but flowers and seeds differentiate it reasonably clearly. L. hispanicus ssp. hispanicus and ssp. bicolor did not form fertile hybrids with L. luteus, but within and between L. hispanicus, there was a relatively high production of fertile F₁ progeny with intermediate characteristics (Gladstones 1984).

The albus section comprises *L. albus* (2n = 50) with its subgroups var. *albus* and wild forms, var *graecus*, and the previously described species *L. graecus*, *L. juvoslavicus*, and *L. vavilovi* are considered to be blue-flowered, dark-seeded wild forms synonymous with var. graecus (Gladstones 1974, 1984). A numerical taxonomic study by Nowacki et al. (1988) using 19 morphological characters on 19 genotypes recommended the separation into separate species; however, earlier crossing studies showed no genetic

barriers between wild forms and var. albus. Recent RAPD-based evidence (Przyborowski and Weeden 2001) also tends to support the proposal of botanical varieties rather than separate species. A strict consensus tree clade described by Ainouche and Bayer (1999) grouped L. albus (2n = 50) with L. micranthus (2n = 52), and together with the isozyme data (Wolko and Weeden 1990b) suggests the close affinity of L. micranthus with the smooth-seeded Old World species. Clements et al. (1993) noted that L. albus and L. micranthus were similar among the Old World species in their prolific production of proteoid (cluster) root structures. Other evidence places L. micranthus somewhere between the smooth-seeded and rough-seeded Old World lupins (Williams et al. 1983; Cristofolini 1989; Wolko and Weeden 1990a). Further, morphological parameters of fruits and seeds together with peroxidase enzyme data suggested that L. micranthus was closer to L. angustifolius than to L. albus var graecus (Drossos et al. 1996). The evidence, however, generally supports that L. micranthus and L. albus are genetically closer to the rough-seeded group than are L. luteus, L. hispanicus, and L. angustifolius. Additionally, L. albus (and therefore L. micranthus) appears to have an intermediate position between the Old World and western New World species (Fig. 9.2; Käss and Wink 1997; Ainouche and Bayer 1999).

L. angustifolius has had a range of synonyms associated with it in the past, including L. varius L., L. linifolius Roth., L. reticulatus Desvaux, and L. philistaeus Boiss., all of which referred to the shorter, finer-leafed, and smaller-seeded wild types. These were in contrast to the larger-leafed, larger-seeded types referred to as L. angustifolius, which probably resulted from some deliberate selection over time. L. opsianthus Atab. et Maiss. referred to a small-seeded wild ecotype from Portugal and has been noted to have thin seed coats. Some past subdivisions of the species include L. angustifolius var. basalticus, a form with dark flowers, broad leaves, and pods, distributed in Israel on basalt soils that contrasted to "typical" var. L. angustifolius growing on coastal sandy soils. Gladstones (1984) assessed these types to be very similar in morphology to cultivars of northeastern Europe that may have been introduced to Israel. Little or no genetic incompatibility is reported between the wild and primitive genotypes of L. angustifolius. Some minor fertility reduction in some crosses between modern cultivars and wild types of eastern Mediterranean origin were noted by Gladstones (1984). Given the large spectrum of variation across the naturally occurring populations of *L. angustifolius*, the division between typical wild versus larger-seeded types is difficult. Recently, Kurlovich and Stankevich (2002) put forward a number of subdivisions especially for *L. angustifolius*, *L. albus*, and *L. luteus*, including subspecific and botanical variety groupings. Further, a large number of agroecotypes were described (Kurlovich 2002b). Although of questionable taxonomic status, such subgroupings could be useful for the development of core collections by gene banks and selection of representative types to include in breeders' crossing programs aimed at broadening the genetic base.

9.1.4 The New World Lupin Species

There has been a lack of monographic synthesis for the New World lupin species. A review of the South American lupins was the Species Lupinorum published by C. P. Smith from 1938 to 1945 and then by Macbride (1943). Several hundred species were described based only on superficial characters, and many of the taxa were considered later to by synonyms (Planchuelo-Ravelo 1984). Maisurjan and Atabiekova (1974) distinguished 12 sections among the New World lupins, and since then, the studies conducted by D. B. Dunn, A. M. Planchuelo and others (Planchuelo-Ravelo 1984, 1991; Planchuelo 1994, 1999, 2000), and C. Hughes (Hughes and Eastwood 2006; Eastwood et al. 2008) using classical and molecular taxonomy have gone some way to clarify the group. The latest estimates of Lupinus are that the genus comprises a total of approximately 280 species including approximately 85 and 100 species in the Andean and western North American groups, respectively (Eastwood et al. 2008). Dunn (1984) demonstrated the heterogeneity of species populations, noting that the L. mexicanus-L. exaltatus Zucc. complex in Mexico contains both annual and perennial species, which are morphologically indistinguishable and interfertile. Planchuelo (1978) reviewed taxa from Argentina and reduced from 85 to 29 the number of species for that region. Further taxonomic studies of the species of that region such as the L. gibertianus-L. linearis complex, are ongoing. Many of the North American and Mexican species have been reviewed to reduce the taxa from

200 to 21 species. Taxonomic research and chromosome counts for Mexican and Central American lupins are still required to review the large number of published names for the region (Planchuelo 1999; Eastwood and Hughes 2008).

The predominant chromosome number for the New World lupins is 2n = 48. Some species or individuals for which counts are recorded have 2n = 96, and hybrids among Alaskan species with 2n = 48 and 96 have been noted. Turner (1994) reported 2n = 36 for the majority of closely related species in northeastern Mexico with an exception of 2n = 24 for *L*. *caballoa*nus B.L. Turner, although recent investigations have shown that species to have 2n = 48 (Conterato and Schifino-Wittmann 2006). Recent studies confirmed the existence of three species in South America with 2n = 36 chromosomes, L. albescens Hook. Et Arn., L. paraguariensis Chodat et Hassl., and L. multiflorus Desr. (Perrisse et al. 2000). Dunn (1984) also refers to a count of 2n = 24 in a specimen of L. aridus Dougl., although this contrasts to 2n = 48 reported elsewhere (Conterato and Schifino-Wittmann 2006). A range of southeastern South American species has predominantly 2n = 36 with exceptions of 2n = 32 and 34 (Maciel and Schifino-Wittmann 2002). Several studies lend support to the genetic separation of the western New World (western North and South America), the eastern New World (including eastcentral parts of South America and southeastern USA, Florida), and the Old World species (Käss and Wink 1997; Ainouche and Bayer 1999; Maciel and Schifino-Wittmann 2002). Phylogenetic evidence suggests that the western New World species are possibly closer to the Old World species than they are to the eastern New World species (Ainouche and Bayer 1999; Eastwood and Hughes 2008). The studies also indicate a relatedness (although wide) of the two species L. albus and L. micranthus to the western New World species (Käss and Wink 1997; Ainouche and Bayer 1999). The Central American species such as L. mexicanus and L. elegans Kunth (Mexican), and South American L. mutabilis (Andean) and L. microcarpus Sims (N. and S. America), are grouped together with the western North American species (Ainouche and Bayer 1999). The close relationship between L. mutabilis and its possible relatives such as L. bogotensis Benth. and L. cruckshanskii Hook. (the latter possibly synonymous with L. mutabilis), L. praestabilis C.P. Sm. and L. piurensis to the North American

species is also supported in serological (Cristofolini 1989), isozyme (Wolko and Weeden 1990b), and DNA studies (Käss and Wink 1997; Eastwood and Hughes 2008). Eastwood and Hughes (2008), based on nuclear ITS I and ITS II genes, showed the phylogenetic closeness of two species from Florida, *L. cumulicola* Small and *L. villosus* Willd. (each 2n = 52), with *L. angustifolius* (2n = 40) and *L. luteus–L. hispanicus* (2n = 52).

9.1.5 Secondary Metabolites and Antinutritional Compounds

Secondary metabolites are active constituents of plants that frequently function in plant regulation, metabolism, and other essential processes and are metabolically expensive for the plant to produce. Some of the compounds have a simultaneous role in the attraction of pollinating or fruit-dispersing animals or as UV protectants (Wink 2006). The major plant secondary metabolites include alkaloids, glycosides, phenolics, uncommon proteins and unusual free amino acids, steroids, essential oils, terpenes, and resins (Jackson 1991). Many of these compounds are antinutritional when present at high concentrations, but some are of interest as pharmacological and nutraceutical substances for animals and humans, an example being the antidiabetic properties of sparteine, lupanine, and 13-hydroxylupanine (Wink 2006). Lupins produce several secondary metabolites that are considered antinutrients; however, unlike soybeans and several other food legumes, they do not need heat treatment to deactivate substances such as the lectins and protease inhibitors found in other genera that reduce protein digestion and availability.

9.1.5.1 Alkaloids

Lupins contain quinolizidine alkaloids, and this group of substances is common in Fabaceae, particularly among the genistoid group of legumes, and is also present in some other unrelated families. Different lupin species have unique alkaloid profiles of usually 4–5 major and several minor alkaloid types. Analysis of these compounds has been used to examine taxonomy among legumes (Käss and Wink 1994, 1995; Wink and Mohamed 2003). Alkaloid level is typically lower in shoot material than in seed. For example, in L. luteus, total alkaloid levels range from 1 to 4% in seed and 0.2 to 0.5% in shoot dry matter (Hackbarth and Troll 1956). In the crop lupin species, low alkaloid forms have been developed through the identification of natural and artificial mutant genes, which lower alkaloids in both vegetative and reproductive tissues but retain similar alkaloid ratios to wild types. Low alkaloid breeding lines of L. mutabilis can have seed total alkaloid levels as low as 0.001% (average 0.004%) compared to 3.3% for bitter landraces (Clements et al. 2008). Alkaloid levels in lupin crops in Australia are governed by food and feed standards, which specify an upper limit of 0.02% total alkaloids in whole seeds for varieties (Culvenor and Petterson 1986). This has allowed the use of the commercial term Australian sweet lupins for L. angustifolius seed exported from Australia, denoting that it is safe both for food and feed purposes.

Lupin alkaloids are toxic to herbivores such as bees, caterpillars, beetles, aphids, locusts, snails, nematodes, rabbits, and cows and have antiviral, antibacterial, and antifungal properties (Wink 2006). The bitter taste reduces palatability (Edwards and Barneveld 1998) and acts as a deterrent to larger mammalian herbivores, which might not initially be affected by pharmacological side effects of eating lupins. The biosynthetic pathway for alkaloids begins with lysine, which is converted to cadaverine that in turn produces the two primary tetracyclic molecules, sparteine and lupanine. Gramine, which is found in some genotypes of L. luteus (typical wild types do not have gramine) and in L. hispanicus, is an indole alkaloid synthesized from tryptophan and therefore is under different genetic control. Examination of F2 populations derived from crossing a gramine-containing genotype (cv. Teo) with a non-gramine cultivar (cv. Wodjil) has indicated that the gramine pathway was controlled by a single recessive gene (Sweetingham et al. 2006a). Production of alkaloids is within the chloroplasts in aerial green tissues (Wink 1984). Approximately half of the alkaloids that accumulated in pod and seed tissues of L. albus and L. angustifolius was due to synthesis in situ and half to translocation principally by phloem (although some in xylem) from other green tissues (Lee et al. 2007). Earlier work had implicated synthesis within all green tissues, including the pods, but with far greater proportions translocated from elsewhere in the plant into seed (Wink 1993). Although further work is needed to look at the in situ synthesis of alkaloids by direct experiments using labeled precursors and determination of gene expression, it is known that pod wall and developing seed tissues each contain considerable concentrations of chlorophyll during growth and it therefore is expected that production could occur at these sites (Clements et al. 2006).

The major alkaloids found in crop lupin species are: L. albus, lupanine, 13-α-hydroxylupanine, sparteine; L. angustifolius, lupanine, 13-a-hydroxylupanine, angustifoline; L. luteus, gramine (some cultivars), lupanine, cytosine, lupinine; and L. mutabilis, lupanine, sparteine, 13-α-hydroxylupanine (Wink et al. 1995; Petterson 1998). New World lupins of northern America have a range of contrasting profiles, some with unique alkaloids (Petterson 1998; Torres et al. 2002). Production of alkaloids as with other secondary metabolites is influenced by interactions between genotype and environment. Potassium deficiency is reported to increase alkaloid levels in low alkaloid (iucundus gene) lines but not in high alkaloid L. angustifolius genotypes (Gremigni et al. 2001). The point was made that plants grown on K-deficient soils are more susceptible to a range of environmental stresses, which in turn may have had direct effects on alkaloid metabolism. It was also found in that study that alkaloid levels were consistently higher in seed from plants grown in the glasshouse, compared with seeds produced in field experiments. It was suggested that this may have been due to the effects of high light energy and air temperatures in a glasshouse and to low rooting volume. Seeds from sweet lupins grown over summer in Western Australia (normally grown during the winter) typically show increased alkaloid levels (Buirchell personal communication). High rates of N-fixation or high inorganic N fertilization have been reported to increase alkaloid levels (Johnson et al. 1987).

9.1.5.2 Other Antinutritionals

Lupins have several advantages over soybean in relation to antinutritionals. Lupins do not contain lectins (Petterson et al. 1997), while soybean flour has been reported to contain levels of around 100 μ g/g and peanuts around 140 μ g/g (Sitren et al. 1985). Lectins are heat-labile, and their activity is reduced by cooking. Phytates, which reduce the digestibility of minerals and other nutrients, are low in lupins at 0.5% with levels in soybean reported at 1.0% (Fudiyansyah et al. 1995; Petterson et al. 1997). Saponins in commercial L. angustifolius are reported at levels of approximately 570 mg/kg seed (0.057%), which compares with defatted soy flour having 0.58% and navy bean seed with 0.32% (Gurfinkel and Rao 2002). Grains such as quinoa have saponin levels of approximately 0.65%, which necessitate removal prior to consumption (Ward 2001). Total and condensed tannin levels in lupins are reported to be approximately 0.29% and 0.01%, respectively (Petterson et al. 1997), compared with levels in soybean of approximately 0.4%. Condensed tannins are those most responsible for negative effect in protein binding. There is a concentration of the tannins in seed coats of legumes, and dehulling minimizes the quantities in kernels, flour, and meal. Cyanogenic glycosides, which are found in high levels in some legumes such as African yambean, jackbean, several forage legumes, and Acacia are present at nil or very low concentrations in lupins.

The major crop lupins contain from 4 to 9% oligossacharides, and these belong to the raffinose family. The major compounds in both lupin and soybean are the galactooligosaccharides, raffinose, stachyose, and verbascose (Hollung et al. 2005; Jiménez-Martínez et al. 2007). Because of their α -1,4 bonding, the oligosaccharides are not metabolized by monogastric animals (pigs, chickens, fish), and therefore, bacterial breakdown occurs in the colon with the subsequent CO₂, methane, and hydrogen gas production. Oligosaccharides, however, have the beneficial effect of osmotic regulation in the gastrointestinal tract (Petterson 1997) and reduce the uptake of normal sugars and cholesterol, thereby having positive effects on body weight. The concentration of oligosaccharide in whole soybean has been recorded from 3 to 5% (Yamka et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2007) to 10% (Hollung et al. 2005). There is scope for reduction of oligosaccharides in legumes through classical breeding and through molecular research with cultivar releases showing reduced oligosaccharides and high protein (Hartwig 1996). It has been shown in soybean that concentrations of carbohydrates were not associated with seed yield, and therefore, selection can occur for lines with improved seed quality and high yield (Wilcox and Shibles 2001). In general, fermentation of legume seeds leads to an improved protein quality and digestibility, increased palatability, and reduction of phytates and oligosaccharides. Many soy products are derived from fermentation, and similar products can be made from lupins.

The cell walls in L. angustifolius kernels are composed of non-starch polysaccharides, (lupin kernel fiber or NSP) and form approximately 23% of seed weight. Although this NSP is a valuable dietary fiber (Evans 1994), it can reduce digestible energy in monogastric diets. It may be possible to decrease NSPs either through direct breeding and selection or indirectly through selection for higher protein. In soybean, a strong negative correlation has been reported for seed cell wall polysaccharides and protein plus oil concentration (Stombaugh et al. 2000). Brillouet and Riochet (1983) also found a strong negative correlation between protein plus oil concentration and percentage cell wall material in kernels in various lupin species. L. mutabilis is a species with high protein and oil and, as might be expected, has lower NSP levels of around 9% (Brillouet and Riochet 1983). Raw soybean meal contains approximately 20% NSP, and approximately one-third of the NSP are soluble (Hollung et al. 2005). Lupin kernel fiber is a potentially valuable by-product of seed processing as it has been demonstrated to have cholesterol lowering properties, transit time reduction in human digestion, the ability to reduce blood glucose in non-insulin diabetics, reduction of blood pressure, and beneficial effects on stool bulking (Hall et al. 2005a, b; Johnson et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2009).

The consumption of raw and inadequately cooked soybean causes a decrease in protein digestibility and nutritive value and also causes pancreatic hypertrophy. The antinutritional effect is due to trypsin inhibitors and lectins and the compact structure of the native forms of soybean major storage proteins. When trypsin inhibitors are heat-inactivated, lectins, lipoxygenases, and major storage proteins are also denatured (Yuan et al. 2008). In the agriculturally important lupin species, protease inhibitors are at very low levels and of minor importance (Wink 2006). Trypsin and chymotrypsin inhibitor activity in *L. angustifolius* is reported at 0.12 and 0.08 mg/g, respectively. This contrasts with levels of 35 mg/g trypsin inhibitor activity reported for soybean (Yuan et al. 2008).

As with other legumes, lupins produce isoflavonoids in shoots (genistein, 2-hydroxy-genistein, wighteone, and luteone) and roots (as for shoots plus angustons A–C, licoisoflavone, and orobol) (von Baer et al. 2000). These compounds are known for a range of pharmacological benefits such as phytoestrogenic activities, anticancer, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory characteristics, which offer some benefits in relation to arteriosclerosis and related cardiovascular disease (Wink 2006). Seed isoflavone levels in lupins are considerably lower than in soybean (approximately 50 μ g/g in lupin and 1–4 mg/g in soybean) (Wink 2006). Increases in isoflavonones in lupins could enhance marketability and may also confer improved fungal disease resistance in the plant. Highest within plant levels of isoflavones and their conjugates were detected in roots and the lower stem tissue in *L. exaltatus* (Garcia-Lopez et al. 2006), and when lupin and other legume seeds are germinated, isoflavone levels often increase. Elicitors can be used also to boost levels of isoflavones for commercial production.

9.1.6 Nitrogen Fixation

For lupins, N fixation has been reviewed by Howieson et al. (1998), and the potential for increasing N fixation in legumes is addressed by Herridge and Rose (2000). Nitrogen nutrition of lupins occurs both through N_2 fixation and when nitrate or ammonium is assimilated by plant roots. Inside the root nodule, the bacteria (bacteroids) reduce dinitrogen to ammonium, which is secreted to the plant in exchange for a carbon and energy source. Lupins transport most nitrogen in the plant in xylem and phloem mainly as asparagine and smaller amounts of glutamine and are therefore regarded as amide plants cf. ureide production in warm-season legumes (Herridge and Brockwell 1988). This reduction of N takes place in the roots and lower stem (Atkins et al. 1979; Pate et al. 1998).

Lupins have a relatively specific rhizobial requirement and are nodulated mainly by the slow-growing *Bradyrhizobium* sp. *Lupinus* (Jordan 1982), although fast-growing strains associated with lupins have been identified (Miller and Pepper 1988). *Lupinus* has, however, been reported to be a promiscuous host legume that is nodulated by rhizobia with a range of chromosomal genotypes, which could even belong to several species of *Bradyrhizobium* (Perez-Galdona et al. 2004). The initiation of nodulation in lupin differs from many other symbioses in that bacterial cells access the host root through intercellular spaces in the root and entry into root cortex cells without evidence of an infection thread. Recent work with the aid of immunolabeling by Gonzalez-Sama et al. (2004) has described this process in L. albus. The nodules are indeterminate and described as "lupinoid" because they differ from other indeterminate nodule morphologies (Howieson et al. 1998). Bradyrhizobium sp. lupinus is one of the few of the genus that has a host that is an agricultural legume from a Mediterranean-type climate. Many of the strains of the species also nodulate Ornithopus, which include the pasture legume Serradella. The onset of the host-rhizobial symbiosis is mediated by an exchange of diffusible signals such as the bacterial lipooligosaccharides, which condition specificity and act as the inducers of the plant response to the microsymbiont. Lumichrome is an example of a recently identified signal substance (Matiru and Dakora 2005). The host plant then controls most of the nodulation processes including nodule morphology, efficiency, specificity, and function (Caetano-Anolles 1997).

With the observations in Australia that lupins did not form adequate nodulation on newly established cropping land without inoculation with introduced Bradyrhizobium bacteria, it was then shown that rhizobial populations that are found to reside in nodules of crop plants of lupins all originate from Europe (Stepkowski et al. 2005). Lupin crop species have not formed associations with native Australian legume Bradyrhizobial strains. Additionally, the inoculant strains used widely over many years (WU425 and WSM471) were not prevalent in nodules of widely surveyed Western Australian lupin crops in 2005 (Howieson and O'Hara 2008), indicating that background European populations were more persistent. In cropping fields that have not had a lupin or saradella legume host for four or more years, reintroducing inoculant strains of Bradyrhizobium sp. Lupinus is recommended (Evans 2005). A range of practices to improve nodulation effectiveness in lupin crops have been employed, including various seed coatings, peat and clay-based inoculants, use of nodule increasing bacteria, placement of fertilizer below the seed, and use of strains more tolerant of fungicide seed dressings.

Lupin crops relying solely on symbiotically fixed N frequently produce yields that are similar to high N fertilized crops. Adequate mineral N-fed *L. angustifolius* plants in sand culture had a greater flower number and greater branch growth and biomass compared with N₂-fixing plants, but pod set and seed yields were similar, the N-fed plants having a lower harvest index (Ma et al. 1998). Seed percentage N was similar in both N-fixing and mineral N supplied plants, but

shoot percentage N was lower by more than half in the N-fixing plants at final harvest compared with the N-supplied treatment (4.3% N in dry matter). In other work, total N in nodulated lupin plants was generally unaffected by N fertilizer addition (Evans et al. 1987). It is commonly found that applied nitrogen reduces nodule number for lupins and other legumes and factors such as drought stress severely reduces nodulation, which, via reduced N fixation, reduces plant growth (King and Purcell 2005).

N₂ fixation is highly correlated with total biomass production for both crop and pasture legumes. Evans et al. (2001) showed that between 20 and 25 kg shoot, N is fixed for every ton of shoot dry matter produced. This contributes to the higher protein of the lupin seed as well as providing residual N for subsequent crops, particularly cereals and Brassica crops. Estimates of shoot nitrogen generated by lupins depend on the environment, but averages from a range of geographic locations are approximately 200 kg N/ha for L. angustifolius and 330 kg N/ha for L. albus (Howieson et al. 1998). In cold climates such as Iceland, L. angustifolius showed symbiotic N yields as high as 185-212 kg/ha. Lupinus luteus was found to have higher N fixing rates than L. albus and L. angustifolius in inoculated plants in the field in Spain (Chamber and Delgardo 1986). Relating lupins to other crops, a survey of the quantities of N₂ fixed per unit area revealed that the principal crop legumes were ranked in the following descending order: soybean, lupin, field pea, faba bean, common bean, lentil, and chickpea (Unkovich and Pate 2003).

Nitrogen fixation improvement is currently not a part of routine breeding programs, and incorporating selection criteria for it in terms of nodule number or mass, nitrogenase activity and N compounds in vascular tissues into breeding schedules along with the other trait priorities is a difficult task. Marker-assisted selection (MAS) for nitrogen fixation if developed could be integrated into breeding programs that are already practicing MAS for other traits. Variation for strain effectiveness has been reported for crop lupin performance in Australia (Howieson and O'Hara 2008) and in Iceland for *L. nootkatensis* (El-Mayas 1999), and rhizobial strains that adapted to desert environments were identified for lupin and bean for enhanced nitrogen fixation (Pepper 1991).

While some molecular genetic studies have begun in lupins relating to nitrogen fixation (e.g., Macknight et al. 1995; Karłowski et al. 2000; Vincze et al. 2004; Liu et al. 2005; Wolko et al. 2008), this field of research deserves further attention for lupins.

9.2 Diversity Analyses and Wide Hybridization

9.2.1 Gene Bank Holdings

The importance of collection, conservation, documentation, and evaluation has been recognized for lupin because crosses between domesticated types and wild or landrace types is still seen as an important component of breeding programs for improving disease and pest resistance and tolerance to abiotic stresses in this relatively recently domesticated genus. Human activities have greatly impacted lupin populations, especially in the Mediterranean and North African regions. This has both diminished the occurrence of certain wild lupins and increased the spread of other wild or semi-domesticated types.

In 1926, N. I. Vavilov conducted a germplasm collection trip that included Lupinus in northern Africa, Cyprus, Crete, Sicily, Sardinia, Spain, Portugal, France, and Greece. Lamberts (1955) collected L. luteus after World War II, but it was not until collections made by J. S. Gladstones that contributions to lupin genetic resources collection and evaluation gained momentum. During the 1980s, lupin germplasm, especially of the Iberian Peninsula and Greece was extensively collected and evaluated by a number of scientists (Clements et al. 2005a). It was also during that decade that improved dissemination of information occurred through the International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (Bioversity, previously IBPGR), which included the publication of lupin descriptors (IBPGR 1981). Additionally, the establishment of the International Lupin Association and the International Lupin Conferences (López Bellido 1991) facilitated the exchange of information and germplasm. Summaries of lupin genetic resources have since been published in international legume conferences (Święcicki 1988) and plant introduction reviews and books (Clements and Cowling 1990; Buirchell and Cowling 1998; Cowling et al. 1998a; Cowling 2001). The Database of European Lupinus collections was initiated in 1995, and details of lupin accession holdings in Europe were published with other grain legumes. More recent collections and evaluation studies of lupin germplasm collections include the following: *L. angustifolius* of the Aegean region (Clements and Cowling 1994); *L. albus* from the Azores Islands (Papineau and Huyghe 1992), from Portugal (Neves-Martins 1994), from Spain (De Haro et al. 1982), and from Egypt (Christiansen et al. 1999); *L. albus, L. angustifolius* and *L. luteus* from the genebank at the Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Portugal (Pereira et al. 2000); *L. pilosus* (Clements et al. 1996); *L. atlanticus* from Morocco (Buirchell 1992; Cowling et al. 1998a); *L. albus* from Ethiopia (Francis et al. 1997); *L. angustifolius, L. albus, L. luteus, L. cosentinii*, and *L. atlanticus* from Morocco (Alami et al. 2004).

Collections of New World Andean lupins, including *L. mutabilis*, were first made in Peru in the 1970s through the University of Cusco and then involving Universidad del Centro in Huancayo and Universidad de Puno often with support from the IBPGR (now Bioversity). The National Lupin Germplasm Collection of Peru was initiated in Huancayo/INIA (Cowling et al. 1998a). Collection and evaluation in Bolivia was conducted by the Pairumani Research Station and in Ecuador by the Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuarias (INIAP). Evaluation studies or summaries of *L. mutabilis* germplasm include Blanco (1982), Neves-Martins (1994), and Planchuelo (1999).

Current total holdings of Lupinus germplasm accessions around the world, according to the IPGRI Directory of Germplasm Collections Database (Bioversity 2009), are estimated to be in the proximity of 40,000. Collections of greater than 100 Lupinus accessions are listed in Table 9.1. Gene Banks specializing in the Old World lupins include the Australian Lupin Collection (ALC), Vavilov Institute, Russia; Junta de Extremadura. Servicio de Inv. y Desarrollo Tecnologico Finca la Orden, Guadajira, Spain; Centro de Recursos Fitogeneticos, INIA, Alcala de Henares, Madrid, Spain; Station d'Amélioration des Plantes Fourragères, INRA, Lusignan, France; the Gene Bank, Institute for Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK), Gatersleben, Germany; the University of Reading, England; the Plant Breeding Station, Wiatrowo, Poland. The Vavilov Institute preserves approximately 30 species of Lupinus and has considerable evaluation data available in Russian, although much of it is not published. Substantial collections of L. hispanicus are held in Spain such as in the

Table 9.1	World <i>Lupinus</i> germplasm collections of ≥ 1	100 access	ons						
Institute	Institution	Total	L. albus	L. angustifolius	L. luteus	L. mutabilis	Other	Rough-	Comments
country		lupin accessions					New World	seeded	
Australia	Australian Lupin Collection, Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia.	4,665	979	2,165	463	221	54	613	All Old World spp. represented (except L. mariae-josephi and L. somaliensis). Duplicates held Horsham. Victoria. AIIS
Bolivia	Centro de Investigaciones Fitoecogeneticas de Pairumani. Cochahamha	114				114			Landraces
Chile	Campex, Temuco	183	70	4	4	100	4		
	Inst de Inv. Agropecuarias, Centro Regional de Investigacion Carillanca, Temuco	1,259	12						Landraces, many accessions, species not specified
Ecuador	Instituto de Ciencias Naturales Universidad Central del Ecuador. Onito	130				130			
	Estacion Experimental Santa Catalina, DENAREF, INIAP, Quito, Pichincha	547	51	35	10	396	27	°	L. mutabilis mainly from ECU, landraces and wild types
France	Institut National de la Recherche Agronomic, Le Rhen	1,100	1,100						:
	Station d'Amélioration des Plantes Enurgoeres INPA 1 neignan	2,046	1,398	168	148	332			Cultivated and genetic stock
Germany	Institut fuer Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung, Gatersleben	1,969	134	404	254	987	39	56	Mix of domesticated, landrace and wild types
	Federal Centre for Breeding Research on	1,969	134	404	254	987	39	56	As per Gatersleben
	Cultivated Plants (BAZ), Research and Coordination Centre for Plant Genetic Reconstrues (FKZPGR), Onedlinhure								
	Stuttgart, State Plant Breeding Institute, University of Hohenheim	200							Breeding lines and inbred lines, species not specified
Hungary	Institute for Agrobotany, Tápioszele	451 100			1				Species not specified
Italy	Bari Notional Combark of Vania Cam Dlant	100	00	0	9	ەد	v		Species not specified
Nenya	Genetic Resources Centre, KARI, Muguga	101	07	٨	D	07	n		
Lithuania	Voke Branch of the Lithuanian Institute of Agriculture, Traku Voke, Vilnius reg.	120							Species not specified
Peru	Estacion Experimental Agraria Banos del Inca,	347				347			
	Centro Regional de Investigación en	1.940		60	50	1.800			L. mutabilis landraces from BOL. PER
	Biodiverisdad Andina (UNSAAC), Cusco								
	Universidad Nacional San Antonio Abad del Cusco (UNSAAC/CICA), Cusco	1,800				1,800			L. mutabilis landraces from ARG, BOL, COL, ECU, PER
	Estacion Experimental Agropecuaria Santa Ana INIFA Fl Tambo Huancavo	1,725				1,725			L. mutabilis landraces from PER
	Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina, La Molina, Lima	300				300			L. mutabilis landraces mainly from PER
	Estacion Experimental Illpa-Puno, INIEA, Puno	138							Species not specified but possibly <i>L. mutabilis</i>
	Universidad Nacional del Altiplano, Puno	319				319			

166

Poland	Poznań Plant Breeders, Breeding Station Wiatrowo	1,049	249	248	421	14	23	29	Mix of domesticated, landrace and wild types
	Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute (IHAR) Radzikow Blonie	509							Species not specified
	Warsaw 76	150							Species not specified
Portugal	Instituto Superior de Agronomia de	661	377	37	78	149	5	5	Mix of domesticated, landrace
	Universidade Tecnica de Lisboa, Lisboa Codex								and wild types
	Banco de Germoplasma-Genetica, Estacao A oronomica Nacional Ociras	855	270	240	210		11		Landrace and wild types
	Second de Genetica Estacao Agronomica Nocional Ociano	288			288				Mostly landrace and wild types
Romania	Naciolial, Ochas Satu Mare	100	100						Cultivars and breeding lines
Russian	N.I. Vavilov All-Russian Scientific Research	2.450	417	650	751	129	301	48	Mix of domesticated, landrace
Federation	Institute of Plant Industry, St. Petersburg								and wild types
Spain	Centro de Recursos Fitogeneticos, INIA, Alcala de Henares, Madrid	1,554	567	537	240	20		13	Mostly landrace and wild types; 177 L. hispanicus
	Ramon Batlle Vernis, S.A., Bell-Lloch (Lerida)	254	112			142			Breeding lines and landraces
	Junta de Extremadura. Servicio de Inv. y	1,804	694	553	353				Especially Spanish L. albus,
	Desarrollo Tecnologico Finca la Orden, Guadajira								angustifolius, luteus; 204 L. hispanicus
	Granja-Escuela de Capacitacion y	436	15	9	15	400			
	Experimentation Agropectaria, ruleiva Compania Espanola de Cultivos Oleaginosos, S A (CFCOSA) Madrid	260							Species not specified, mostly breeding
	Demartamento de Dectos y Formales Calamanca	077	y	110	80				Wild types and breading lines: 253 I
	Departamento de Lastos y L'OLIAJes, Satamanea	6	þ	0	00				where types and orecums mucs, 2322. hispanicus – some "natural hybrids"
Syrian Arab	International Center for Agricultural Research	180	25	37	3		31		Wild types and landraces
Republic	in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Aleppo	010							Construction of the second second
Ukraine United	Insume of Agriculture, Kiev Agric, Botany, Plant Sci, Lah., School of Plant	910 1.300	1.100			200			Species not specified L. albus from GRC, ITA, PRT, ESP
Kingdom	Sc., Univ. Reading, Reading								
USA	Western Regional Plant Introduction Station, USDA-ARS, Washington State University. Pullman	1,183	327	187	82	79	373	19	More than 50 different New World species represented
	Desert Legume Programme, Tucson, Arizona	204	12	5	21	8	102	15	
	Gold-Smith Seed Co., Inc., Visalia, CA 93277	435	160	50		200	25		Advanced breeding material and L succulentus wild types
Totals		36,854	8,329	6,209	3,732	10,927	1,039	857	
Sources: Bio	versity Directory of Germplasm Collections	s (Biovers:	ity Internat	ional 2009), N.I.	Vavilov Al	I-Russian S	cientific Re	search Inst	itute of Plant Industry database

Departamento de Pastos y Forrajes, Salamanca. Significant collections of New World species, notably L. mutabilis, are held in South American institutions. The Western Regional Plant Introduction Station, USDA-ARS, Pullman, holds a diverse collection of lupins including more than 50 New World species, and relatively large numbers of American species are held in Gatersleben, Germany, and by the Institute for Agrobotany, Hungary. The Vavilov Institute holds a significant collection of L. polyphyllus, L. mutabilis, and other New World species. Approximately half of the world's gene bank-preserved Lupinus accessions are of the three major domesticated, smooth-seeded species, L. albus, L. angustifolius, and L. luteus, and one quarter are L. mutabilis. There are only very small holdings of the rough-seeded species L. digitatus, L. palaestinus, and L. princei. The lesser known, Old World, smoothseeded species L. micranthus is poorly represented, the largest holdings (51 accessions) available from the ALC. The L. albus wild form var. graecus is also not well-preserved compared with landrace and domesticated accessions of the species (var. albus). Although a relatively large number of accessions are conserved for L. mutabilis, information on their passport, collection site, and preliminary evaluation requires further development. With the range of geography and microclimates of sites in the region of diversity of L. mutabilis and related species, in addition to the start of genetic erosion (Planchuelo 2000), it is of high priority to document existing germplasm collections to determine duplication and gaps in collection regions. Useful internet resources for lupin germplasm include Bioversity Directory of Germplasm Collections, USDA GRIN database, the Eurisco website, and the N. I. Vavilov All-Russian Scientific Research Institute of Plant Industry website.

9.2.2 Diversity Analyses and Germplasm Screening

Several diversity studies have been conducted on lupin germplasm collections, which have provided useful knowledge for crop improvement programs. Simpson (1986a, b), using multivariate statistical methods, usefully characterized four geographical races of *L. albus* in the Mediterranean region. The Balkan group in that study included the wild progenitor var. *graecus* types. Approximately 200 Portuguese *L. albus* ecotypes were evaluated by Neves-Martins (1986, 1994), who described winter, spring, and intermediate types using a range of morphological characters, and this high-lighted the existence of the "*megalosperma*" ("lupini" types) with large seeds and vegetative structures that were distributed near Leiria on the central coast.

In L. pilosus, and similarly in L. angustifolius, Clements et al. (1996) described several groups related to geographic origins using multivariate analyses based on morphological characters. Clements and Cowling (1994) identified useful clusters of Greek accessions with vigorous growth and early flowering, which were then used in crossing programs. Clements and Cowling (1990) summarized the ALC germplasm for collection site data across the Old World species, and more recent statistics are given in Table 9.2. It shows the wide soil pH range and collection site altitude for both L. albus and L. angustifolius, while L. luteus (having a lower average soil pH) is more restricted in terms of altitude, soil pH, and plant traits such as seed size. More complete collection site data for L. mutabilis is necessary to assess the full range of germplasm that exists in South American gene banks. Berger et al. (2008a) analyzed bioclimatic variables from L. luteus collection sites finding three distinct groupings. European genotypes possessed a range of desirable traits including drought avoidance (through earliness and vigor), while Mediterranean germplasm offered potential drought tolerance traits, if associated with more stressful Mediterranean habitats. Collection sites for L. angustifolius, L. albus, and L. luteus were further studied through multivariate analyses and showed that L. luteus germplasm represented the narrowest habitat range compared with the other crop species, which formed more complex groupings covering a broader range of habitats (Berger et al. 2008c). Use of low-copy nuclear genes (Hughes et al. 2006) and mitochondrial RFLP analysis (Olczak et al. 2001) has proven to be valuable tools for taxonomic studies and may also be useful in characterizing the variability among the diverse New World lupins.

Screening for individual traits in lupin germplasm has covered a wide range of traits. A good range of seed protein contrasted a narrow variation range for oil in the germplasm screening of Gladstones and Crosbie (1979) for *L. angustifolius*. Seed coat and pod wall proportion variation was identified, particularly in *L. angustifolius* germplasm (Mera et al. 2004; Clements et al. 2005b), and pod wall proportion was found to be correlated with seed yield in studies by

169

	Flowering time (days)	Height 9 weeks (cm)	Height maturity (cm)	100 seed weight (g)	Seed oil (%db)	Seed protein (%db)	Coll. site, altitude (m)	Coll. site, soil pH
L. albus								
Mean	94	24	129	31.4		35.2	792	7.9
Minimum	59	3	40	11.2		31.3	1	5.0
Maximum	151	58	205	77.0		39.4	2,600	9.5
L. angustife	olius							
Mean	103	16	90	10.8	4.7	31.5	381	6.7
Minimum	73	3	25	3.0	2.7	18.8	1	4.2
Maximum	130	67	170	23.5	6.5	40.6	1,800	9.0
L. luteus								
Mean	115	12	105	9.7	5.2	36.9	158	6.3
Minimum	76	2	50	5.3	4.5	34.4	10	5.5
Maximum	150	43	150	15.0	5.7	37.5	500	8.5
L. mutabili	S							
Mean	92	47	147	18.6	13.6	43.6	2,781	6.8
Minimum	71	19	82	6.8	11.9	38.9	2,200	6.5
Maximum	113	85	205	25.5	17.2	46.7	3,500	7.0

Table 9.2 Selection of plant and collection site data averages for the Australian Lupin Collection wild and landrace germplasm based on total maximum accessions numbering for *L. albus* N = 588, *L. angustifolius* N = 1,179, *L. luteus* 108, and *L. mutabilis* 21 (Percent dry basis, %db)

Lagunes-Espinoza et al. (1999). Screening L. angustifolius for alkaline soil tolerance in L. angustifolius found that soil pH at the collection site was not a reliable predictor of alkaline-induced chlorosis, although significant variation in tolerance was observed (Cowling and Clements 1993). Kerley et al. (2002) evaluated tolerance in Egyptian genotypes of L. albus germplasm to limed soils, and Brand et al. (2002) screened roughseeded lupins (L. pilosus Murr. and L. atlanticus Glads.) for tolerance to calcareous soils. Disease resistance screening has included anthracnose in several lupin species (Cowling et al. 2000) and in L. albus and L. angustifolius (Talhinhas et al. 2000; Thomas and Sweetingham 2004), Pleiochaeta brown spot and root rot in L. angustifolius (Cowling et al. 1997) and root rot in L. albus (Luckett et al. 2008), phomopsis in lupin species (Shankar et al. 1999), and cucumber mosaic virus in L. angustifolius (Jones and Cowling 1995).

9.2.3 Interspecific Crossing

With the phylogenetic separation between the western New World (western North and South America), the eastern New World (including east-central parts of South America and southeastern USA), and the Old World species, interspecific crossing attempts between these groups has been difficult. The predominant chromosome number for the western North and South American New World lupins is 2n = 48, and many attempts have been made to interspecifically cross among the species within this group. An early example was the breeding of the ornamental Russell lupin by horticulturalist George Russell during the 1930s in England. With his aim of selecting brighter flower colors, Russell carried out crosses (without embryo rescue techniques) between North, Central, and Southern American species. Cross combinations from published reports for New World species that were apparently successful include L. elegans \times L. mutabilis viable seeds; L. pubescens Benth. \times L. muta*blis*, F_1 seeds; *L. nanus* Benth. \times *L. mutablis* F_1 seeds; L. polyphyllus \times L. mutabilis viable plants, use of backcrossing to L. mutabilis; L. hartwegii (synonymous with L. mexicanus) \times L. mutabilis viable seeds; L. mutabilis \times L. hartwegii embryo rescue hybrid F₁ plants, F₂ seed (Clements et al. 2005a). As a first step to broadening the genetic base available to a new L. mutabilis breeding program in Australia, crosses were attempted between L. mutabilis and a number of New World species ranging in their relatedness to L. mutabilis (Clements et al. 2008). Successful combinations, some requiring embryo rescue include L. mutabilis \times L. mexicanus, L. mutabilis \times L. hartwegii, L. mutabilis \times L. arizonicus (S. Watson) S. Watson, and L. mutabilis \times L. tomentosus DC. Some additional combinations among those species were also successful. Valuable traits such as drought tolerance, broader adaptation, and higher yield could be introgressed into *L. mutabilis* using a potentially large number of New World species. Perenniality can also be transferred to *L. mutabilis* from *L. poly-phyllus* to create new forage types with low alkaloid (Kurlovich et al. 2008). Using phylogenetic trees as a guide, further wide crossing within the New World lupins should generate an enormous genetic diversity for a very wide range of applications. Development of ornamental lupins would further benefit in terms of form, color, and scent variation from new interspecific combinations among New World species.

Because the Mediterranean crop lupin species are a much less homogeneous, more widely separated group, interspecific crossing among them or between them and the rough-seeded species or the New World species has been difficult. Apart from L. luteus \times L. hispanicus referred to earlier (Święcicki et al. 1999; Naganowska and Ładoń 2000), reports of confirmed hybrids are rare. Kasten et al. (1991) in Germany rescued embryos of L. angustifolius \times L. luteus and obtained a few isozymeconfirmed hybrid F₁ plants, which when transferred to soil, died at 12 weeks of age. Using modified embryo rescue methods, F1 hybrid plants confirmed (using resistance gene analog polymorphism markers) between L. angustifolius and L. luteus have been recently obtained in Australia (Clements et al. 2009). The plants with reduced pollen fertility are flowering, and backcrossing attempts are currently underway. This represents an opportunity to transfer highly complementary traits between these two Old World species including higher protein and sulfur amino acids from L. luteus introgressed into L. angustifolius.

Some potential was demonstrated by Przyborowski and Packa (1997) for crossing *L. albus* × *L. angustifolius* via embryo rescue at 15–20 days after pollination, although confirmation regarding the hybrid status of surviving plants was still pending. As an example of crossing between the New World and the Old World group of species, Sawicka-Sienkiewicz and Brejdak (1999) in Poland obtained putative hybrids between *L. mutabilis* and *L. albus*, and some cytological and morphological evidence supporting their hybrid status was obtained (Sawicka-Sienkiewicz et al. 2008). From phylogenetic studies, this combination is more likely to succeed than other combinations between the New and Old World species.

Interspecific crossing among the rough-seeded lupins has been reasonably successful with fertile hybrids now fully domesticated (Gupta et al. 1996). This is despite their range of chromosome numbers, and the compatibility is likely due to their very homogeneous phylogeny. The most mutually compatible species in crossability studies were the groups L. cosentinii (2n = 32), L. digitatus (2n = 36), and L. atlanticus (2n = 38). Crosses between L. pilosus and L. palaestinus (both 2n = 42) have been achieved. Introgression among the rough-seeded lupins should allow the transfer of domestication traits (reduced shattering, permeable seeds, early flowering) and adaptation to fine textured, neutral to alkaline soils and tolerance to free lime. In several two- and three-way crosses among L. cosentinii, L. digitatus, and L. atlanticus, a range of univalent frequencies were observed, the highest being between L. cosentinii and L. digitatus, and usually an intermediate chromosome number resulted.

The breeding efforts reported in Roy and Gladstones (1988), Buirchell and Cowling (1992), and Gupta et al. (1996) have allowed the transfer of important domestication genes from L. cosentinii and L. digitatus into L. atlanticus. Seed permeability, early flowering, and one of the genes (ma) coding for non-shattering pods were transferred to L. atlanticus type plants. These hybrids had chromosome numbers varying from 34 to 38. Of the two non-shattering genes, only ma has been found to be expressed in hybrids with L. atlanticus and only where L. digitatus was in the pedigree. A hybrid between L. atlanticus and L. digitatus has produced an early flowering hybrid, which is thermoneutral. Neither of the parents exhibits any of the early flowering characteristics expressed by this hybrid. The earliness is controlled by a single dominant gene. Through interspecific crossing, L. atlanticus is now considered to be fully domesticated, combining low alkaloids, non-shattering pods, and permeable seed coat, the latter coming from L. cosentinii cv. Erregulla-soft.

9.3 Cytogenetics and Biotechnology

9.3.1 Genome Size

Nuclear DNA content variation is a key diversity character in systematic and phylogenetic studies in plants (Bennett and Leitch 2005). Complemented with information at other levels of plant organization, it helps to elucidate interspecific relationships. Flow cytometry, a fast and accurate method, is being widely applied for the estimation of plant genome size.

Measurements of the nuclear DNA content in Lupinus species were reported by a few authors. Bennett and Smith (1976) published 1C values for L. albus (0.60 pg), L. luteus (1.00 pg), and a New World species L. arboreus (0.90 pg). Barlow (1981) gave 1C for L. angustifolius as 0.93 pg. Obermayer et al. (1999) analyzed L. anatolicus, L. pilosus, L. luteus, L. hispanicus ssp. hispanicus, and a hybrid L. hispanicus ssp. hispanicus $\times L$. luteus and determined 1C values ranging from 0.60 pg in L. anatolicus to 1.17 pg in L. luteus. Gammar et al. (1999) studied variation in nuclear DNA content within and between populations of eight Old World lupins but did not present absolute DNA amounts. According to Bennett and Leitch (2005), those data should be treated with caution unless confirmed independently as they differ greatly from earlier measurements. Hajdera et al. (2003) reported on 2C values for L. angustifolius and L. cosentinii as 2.07 pg and 1.54 pg, respectively. Several studies concerned the level of endopolyploidy in L. albus (Le Gal et al. 1986), L. luteus (Olszewska and Legocki 1989; Sakowicz and Olszewska 1997), and L. angustifolius and L. cosentinii (Hajdera et al. 2003).

The first study of nuclear DNA content in Lupinus, based on data for a large number of taxons, aimed to determine the range of genome size variation and to investigate relationships among the various taxa within the genus, was published by Naganowska et al. (2003b; 2006). The 2C values were estimated by flow cytometry, using propidium iodide as a fluorescent dye, in 18 species and botanical forms from the Old World (all herbaceous, annuals). The lupins represented distinct infrageneric taxonomic groups and differed in somatic chromosome numbers. Genome size displayed significant variation between species (more than 2.5-fold) as estimated 2C DNA values ranged from 0.97 pg (L. princei) to 2.44 pg (L. luteus). The variation among rough-seeded lupins was 1.7-fold, whereas among smooth-seeded species - 2.5-fold. No significant correlation between DNA content values and somatic chromosome numbers was found. Statistical analysis resulted in "homogeneous groups" that reflected the generally accepted taxonomic classification of the Old World lupins, i.e., obtained groups coincided with Lupinus sections. The rough-seeded *L. princei*, with the lowest 2C value, was an interesting exception as it got closer to smooth-seeded species. The analysis confirmed the heterogeneous character of the smooth-seeded group that might be a result of several independent evolutionary lineages from the ancient rough-seeded stock.

Similar analysis of the DNA content values, based on data for 38 species and accessions, was published for the New World lupins (Naganowska et al. 2006). Studied taxons were annuals and perennials, representing different taxonomic "complexes" proposed by Dunn (1984). Out of lupins analyzed, only genome size of L. arboreus was previously measured (Bennett and Smith 1976). Estimated 2C DNA values ranged from 1.08 pg in L. pusillus to 2.68 pg in L. albicaulis, both from North-America, so the 2.5-fold overall variation was similar to that of Old World species. The variation for North American lupins was much higher than that for South American ones. North American species clearly grouped according to their annual or perennial life cycle (annual species having smaller genomes than perennials). Preliminary data suggest that no correlation exists between 2C values and chromosome numbers in North American lupins. The poorly defined taxonomy and the limited sampling from South America did not allow the investigation of different aspects of interspecific relationships among the New World taxa.

Species of the genus *Lupinus* are characterized by small genomes, only a few times larger than that of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the model plant genome. Slight differences in measurements reported for individual species by different authors may not only be due to the origin of the material but also to the various internal standards used for flow cytometry. Studies on New World lupins need to be continued for a larger number of species to obtain complete pattern of interspecific relationships. Data on nuclear DNA content can be continuously verified based on the DNA content databases developed for Angiosperms, open for researchers, currently complemented with new results (*http:// www.kew.org/cval/homepage*; Bennett and Leitch 2003, 2005).

9.3.2 Chromosome Number

Lupinus species are considered to be paleopolyploids (Atkins et al. 1998; Gladstones 1998), and thus

in their early evolution, the events of allo- and autopolyploidization probably occurred, followed by genome divergence and the "diploidization" process (Wendel 2000). Contemporary forms studied are mostly functional diploids; however, the ploidy level of lupins is not clear and the great diversity in chromosome numbers is difficult to explain. Lupin chromosomes are generally small and similar in morphology. Cytological preparations of good quality are difficult to obtain; however, chromosome countings in lupins have been performed for over 60 years (see Atkins et al. 1998 for a review). Two main groups of species, from the Old and New World, differ in many aspects, including cytological characteristics.

The Old World lupins, a small group of multifoliolate annuals, mostly autogamous, reveal a series of different basic chromosome numbers (x = 5, 6 or 9, 7, 8 and 13) as well as various somatic numbers (Pazy et al. 1977; Atkins et al. 1998). Chromosome numbers of the rough-seeded species range from 2n = 32 for L. cosentinii and 2n = 36 for L. digitatus to L. atlanticus and L. princei with 2n = 38 and L. pilosus and L. palaestinus both having 2n = 42. For smooth-seeded Old World lupins, they are higher, ranging from 2n = 40 in L. angustifolius to 2n = 50 in L. albus and 2n = 52 in L. micranthus, L. luteus, and L. hispanicus. A few meiosis analyses were performed, showing mostly regular meiotic behavior in the Old World species (Pazy et al. 1977; Carstairs et al. 1992). Some measurements of mitotic chromosomes for several Old World species were made (Pazy et al. 1977; Carstairs et al. 1992; Naganowska and Ładoń 2000). A first complete karyotype was set for L. angustifolius (Kaczmarek et al. 2009) based on computer measurements of mitotic metaphase chromosomes and the use of molecular cytogenetic markers. The narrowleafed lupin chromosomes are meta- or submetacentric. The mean absolute chromosome lengths ranged from 1.9 µm to 3.8 µm, and mean relative lengths from 1.6% to 3.3%.

Cytological data on American species are limited. In contrast to the Old World, a very large group of lupins from the New World, including several hundred multifoliolate or unifoliolate (considered as more primitive) species, herbaceous or shrubby, annual, biennial, or perennial species, reveals mainly the basic chromosome number x = 6 and a low variability of somatic chromosome numbers (Dunn 1984; Gladstones 1998; Conterato and Schifino-Wittmann 2006).

Most of the North American species studied, as well as some Andean ones (L. microphyllus, L. mutabilis, L. paniculatus and L. pubescens), have 2n = 48, and only occasionally 96 or others (see for review, Gladstones 1998). Recent studies on several multifoliolate Andean taxa (L. ballianus, L. bandelierae, L. eanophyllus, L. huaronensis, and L. semperflorens) and eight taxa from Peru and Bolivia not yet formally named determined the same chromosome number 2n = 48 (Conterato and Schifino-Wittmann 2006). These data support an earlier conclusion on close relationship between Andean lupins and the North American ones. One exception was found - an Andean species L. bandelierae with 2n = 36 (Conterato and Schifino-Wittmann 2006); however, its geographical distribution suggests affinities rather with species from further south. On the other hand, for two unifoliolate North American lupins L. cumulicola and L. villosus, the chromosome number 2n = 52 was stated (Conterato and Schifino-Wittmann 2006). It was not reported for any American species before but is characteristic for three Old World smooth-seeded lupins.

In southeastern South American lupins, a group including multi- and unifoliolate species, chromosome number 2n = 36 was found as a rule, i.e., for seven multifoliolate species (*L. gibertianus, L. lanatus, L. magnistipulatus, L. multiflorus, L. rubriflorus, L. reitzii, L. uleanus*) (Maciel and Schifino-Wittmann 2002), then for multifoliolate *L. paraguariensis* and *L. paranensis*, as well as for unifoliolate *L. crotalarioides, L. guaraniticus*, and *L. velutinus* (Conterato and Schifino-Wittmann 2006). Only *L. bracteolaris* and *L. linearis* both had 2n = 34 and 32, respectively (Maciel and Schifino-Wittmann 2002). First data on meiosis for several Brazilian species were presented as well, showing meiotic stability (Conterato and Schifino-Wittmann 2006).

Chromosome analyses of American *Lupinus* sp. suggest that southeastern South American species are cytologically differentiated from the Andean as well as from most other American lupins. The difference in chromosome numbers between the two unifoliolate groups is an argument for independent origins of the Brazilian and the North American unifoliolate species. Understanding of relationships among different taxa within the genus needs further cytological investigations; however, chromosome analyses should be integrated with genome analysis by molecular cytogenetics methods and with phylogenetic studies.

9.3.3 Cytogenetic Mapping

Cytogenetic mapping has not been extensively performed in Lupinus and concerned mostly the Old World species. The application of the principal method of molecular cytogenetics, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), has provided potential insight into the genome structure by localization of DNA sequences in chromosomes. FISH is a method based on complementary binding of a molecular probe (a labeled DNA fragment) in chromosomes, directly on a cytological preparation, and observation of signals under a fluorescence microscope. The use of FISH in chromosome studies relies on the availability of suitable DNA markers. Clusters of rRNA genes make suitable targets for initial studies on physical genome mapping in plants. As the first step in cytogenetic mapping in lupins, genomic distribution of rDNA was determined by double-target FISH, altogether in 12 Old World species and one New World species L. mutabilis (Naganowska and Zielińska 2002; Hajdera et al. 2003; Naganowska et al. 2003a; Naganowska and Kaczmarek 2005). Sequences of rDNA (5S and probes based on conserved fragments of 45S rDNA) were mapped at only few discrete chromosomal sites, permitting unambiguous identification of two to five different pairs of chromosomes, depending on the species. Thus, they have been of limited value as chromosome markers. No correlation between numbers of rDNA loci and chromosome numbers was observed in the studied lupins. It needs to be stressed that in all 12 species, one locus of 45S rDNA gave an exceptionally large FISH signal in one chromosome pair, covering the major part of the chromosome, including the nucleolar organizer region (Fig. 9.3a). The large size of that signal, as well as low rDNA loci numbers in general, seems to support the widely accepted idea that polyploidy in Lupinus is of ancient origin, since during the long diploidization process, some rDNA sites might be lost (Thomas et al. 1997) or translocated and fused with other rDNA sequences, as it is suggested for Brassica (Snowdon et al. 1997). Some analyses of nucleolar activity were also conducted in L. luteus (Sakowicz and Olszewska 1997), L. angustifolius, and L. cosentinii (Hajdera et al. 2003).

More studies on cytogenetic mapping were performed for the narrow-leafed lupin (*L. angustifolius*).



Fig. 9.3 Mitotic metaphase chromosomes of *Lupinus angustifolius* with signals of FISH reactions: (**a**) rDNA (*larger green signals*) and the BAC clone including three markers for disease resistance (*smaller signals*); (**b**) two clones randomly chosen from the BAC library (*green* and *red signals*) (photograph by K. Leśniewska)

Besides rDNA, some tandemly repeated sequences were localized by FISH (i.e., telomere sequences), but still a relatively small proportion of chromosomes could be identified (Hajdera et al. 2003; Naganowska and Kaczmarek 2005). Primed in situ DNA labeling (PRINS) and its variant cycling PRINS (C-PRINS), alternative methods of molecular cytogenetics, which were applied to L. angustifolius, contributed to the lupin chromosome marker pool. They allow the detection of shorter DNA sequences by amplification of DNA fragments using sequence-specific primers, directly in chromosomes, and observation of signals with fluorescence microscope. Some oligonucleotide sequences have been localized and facilitated the identification of several chromosome pairs (Kaczmarek et al. 2007). Chromosome-specific markers obtained

by methods of molecular cytogenetics contributed to the *L. angustifolius* idiogram (Kaczmarek et al. 2009).

Lately, cytogenetic mapping of L. angustifolius has been focused mainly on the exploitation of a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library of the L. angustifolius nuclear genome (Kasprzak et al. 2006). BAC clones have been used as molecular probes for FISH (BAC-FISH) and localized in chromosomes. Some BACs selected from the library included markers for valuable traits, e.g., disease resistance. Cytogenetic markers for specific chromosomes were developed from physically mapped BACs that generated unique single locus FISH signals (Fig. 9.3b). Then sequencing of some BAC ends made it possible to generate markers for genetic mapping. Integration of these approaches allowed the assignment of a number of linkage groups to particular chromosomes (Leśniewska et al. 2009). This work is in progress and provides the foundation for a complete integration of linkage groups from the genetic map with the narrow-leafed lupin karyotype.

9.3.4 Biotechnology

Development of cell and tissue culture techniques as well as genetic manipulation methods has opened up new prospects for breeding by plant transformation and by releasing from transformants novel genotypes of increased value. The transformation process is aimed at delivering new genes by introducing foreign DNA into the genome. It requires a source of totipotent cells and a means of delivering the DNA. In vitro systems of plant regeneration are the main source of target cells. Two systems of DNA delivery are currently being used: the first is based on infection by Agrobacterium tumefaciens or by A. rhizogenes, and the second is based on the direct introduction by microinjection, electroporation, or microprojectile bombardment. For selecting transformed cells, several marker genes are exploited, mainly those associated with herbicide or antibiotic resistance.

Possibilities of increasing the variability in lupins by interspecific hybridization are limited due to strong incompatibilities within the genus. Nevertheless, lupins are not extensively used in biotechnological programs in comparison to other Fabaceae crops. Like most large-seed legumes, they are generally

recalcitrant to tissue culture manipulation and transformation; moreover, their regeneration in vitro is highly genotype-specific and requires considerable technical expertise. Progress in Lupinus biotechnology is still limited and in fact concerns only a few species. However, a range of protocols for tissue cultures from various explants have been reported for lupins, with various degrees of regeneration success (for review see Atkins and Smith 1997; Święcicki and Święcicki 2000). Procedures included callus production (for L. albus, L. angustifolius, L. hartwegii, L. luteus, L. polyphyllus – Sator 1985; Sroga 1987; for L. mutabilis - Phoplonker and Caligari 1993) or direct development from explants (for L. luteus - Daza and Chamber 1993; for L. albus, L. angustifolius, L. hispanicus, L. luteus, L. polyphyllus - Rybczyński and Podyma 1993a; for L. albus, L. hispanicus, L. mutabilis – Zgagacz and Rybczyński 1996). Recently, Pniewski et al. (2002) published complete protocols for longterm micropropagation of several cultivars of four lupin crops: L. luteus, L. albus, L. angustifolius, and L. mutabilis. Procedures for these included in vitro shoot regeneration by axillary bud development, multiplication of plantlets, followed by rooting or grafting.

Somatic embryogenesis process was also reported for L. albus, L. angustifolius, and L. mutabilis (Nadolska-Orczyk 1992); procedure for L. albus resulted in receiving plantlets (Rybczyński and Podyma 1993b). As embryo rescue culture might provide new possibilities for improving lupin cultivars, a number of modifications of immature embryo cultures aimed at obtaining interspecific hybrids, using various media types and composition, were published for several lupin species (i.e., Vuillaume and Hoff 1986; Podyma et al. 1988; Schäfer-Menuhr et al. 1988; Kasten et al. 1991; Kasten and Kunert 1991; Przyborowski et al. 1996; Clements et al. 2009). However, androgenesis, playing an important role in genetic manipulations in various crops, is of limited use in lupins (see for review, Święcicki and Święcicki 2000). On the other hand, protoplast isolation and somatic hybridization, with the aim of producing interspecific hybrids, have been explored in several lupin species (Schäfer-Menuhr 1990, 1991; Wetten et al. 1999; Babaoglu 2000). Lately, Sinha et al. (2003/2004) optimized protoplast production in L. albus and obtained a reproducible yield of protoplasts with more than 70% viability.

In spite of difficulties in genetic manipulations in *Lupinus* species, a number of successful transformations

have been reported (see for reviews, Atkins and Smith 1997; Święcicki and Święcicki 2000; Somers et al. 2003). As one of the first results, hairy root lines of L. albus and L. polyphyllus were obtained by infection with Agrobacterium rhizogenes (Mugnier 1988). Later, Smith et al. (1996) reported on routine transformation of L. angustifolius by infection of shoot apices with A. tumefaciens. Current strategies of genetic manipulations are aimed at exploiting transformation to improve yield potential through the introduction of valuable genes, using mainly A. tumefaciens-mediated gene transfer by inoculation of meristem explants and regeneration of transgenic plants. A series of key reports were published, concerning mainly L. angusti*folius*; thus, new traits were introduced, such as viral disease resistance (Jones 1996), herbicide resistance (Somsap et al. 1994; Pigeaire et al. 1997), and increased seed value by seed composition modifications (Molvig et al. 1997). Li et al. (2000) published the first report on the generation of transgenic plants of yellow lupin (L. luteus), a species considered as more difficult to transform than the narrow-leafed lupin; they obtained plants with herbicide resistance introduced by the A. tumefaciens-based procedure. As a basis for investigations of oral vaccines, an improved protocol of L. luteus seedling and hypocotyl transformation has been worked out, for the induction of transgenic calli or tumors producing a small surface antigen of hepatitis B virus (Pniewski et al. 2006). In white lupin (L. albus), an A. rhizogenes-based transformation system was recently developed for transgenic root formation, which is connected with L. albus tolerance to phosphorus deficiency (Uhde-Stone et al. 2005).

Direct DNA transfer methods have also been used in lupins (e.g., Guines et al. 1999), but they are of limited importance because of their difficulty. Compared with microprojectile bombardment, electroporation, or microinjection, the use of A. tumefaciens for DNA transfer in crop genetic engineering is still increasing. Apical meristems are successfully used as sources of totipotent target cells. Application of highly virulent strains and binary vectors, matching Agrobacterium strains with plant genotypes, as well as optimization of new selectable markers are important factors for improving transformation efficiency. Simple and reliable transformation systems, with low frequencies of abnormalities, are essential for progress of genetic manipulations in lupins. Thus, probably the systems of non-tissue culture transformation would be a future

way to transgenic *Lupinus* plants and should provide transformation efficiency required for functional genomics of lupins.

9.4 Genetic Mapping and Synteny with Model Plants

9.4.1 Genetic Linkage Maps

Genetic linkage can be defined as the tendency of two gene or marker alleles to be transmitted together to the next generation due to their proximal location on the same chromosome. A genetic map of a whole genome can be constructed based on the patterns of allele transmission of many genes or markers distributed across the genome, normally assayed in a controlled population or populations. The first genetic linkage maps for plant species were made for maize and tomato (MacArthur 1934; Emerson et al. 1935) and were based on morphological traits controlled by single genes. These early maps required the synthesis of many separate linkage studies and so whole genome linkage mapping did not become common until the advent of abundant and polymorphic DNA markers in the 1980s. Fittingly, the plant species at the forefront of these developments were again tomato and maize, for which restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) linkage maps were constructed by Bernatzky and Tanksley (1986) and Helentjaris et al. (1986).

9.4.1.1 Linkage Mapping in L. angustifolius

To our knowledge, the first linkage mapping experiment in a lupin species began at the Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia (DAFWA; Perth, Australia) in 1997 with the crossing of a domesticated breeding line (83A:476) and a wild accession from Morocco (P27255) of *L. angustifolius* (narrow-leafed lupin) (Nelson et al. 2006). These two crossing parents differed in all six key domestication traits used in Australian cultivars: Ku (early flowering); *iuc* (low seed alkaloid); *ta* and *le* (pod shatter resistance); *moll* (water permeable seed); and *leuc* (color in flowers, seeds, and cotyledons). The first partial linkage map was constructed in the F₂ generation using amplified

fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers (Brien et al. 1999). By a process of single seed descent, a population of F_8/F_9 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) was developed and formed the basis of more complete mapping of the *L. angustifolius* genome by Boersma et al. (2005) and Nelson et al. (2006).

The Boersma et al. (2005) map comprised 522 microsatellite-anchored fragment length polymorphism (MFLP) markers in 21 major linkage groups using 89 RILs. The Nelson et al. (2006) map comprised 382 mainly gene-based sequence tagged site (STS) markers in 20 major linkage groups using 93 RILs. The advantage of the arbitrary primer-type MFLP marker system used by Boersma et al. (2005) was that many marker loci were generated with great rapidity. However, MFLP markers are generally crossspecific and are, therefore, of limited value outside the mapping population unless they are first converted to locus-specific STS markers (e.g., Yang et al. 2004). In contrast, the STS markers produced by Nelson et al. (2006) were fewer in numbers but had the advantage that they were applicable across the legume family (see Sect. 9.4.2 below).

The complementary strengths of these two published *L. angustifolius* maps were combined recently in a map incorporating these loci along with an additional 200 new markers genotyped in up to 106 RILs (Nelson et al. 2010). This combined map comprised 1,080 loci in 20 linkage groups (matching the haploid chromosome number for *L. angustifolius*, n = 20) ranging in length from 69.7 to 168.1 cm, with a total map length of 2,361.8 cm. This map has recently improved with the addition of 300 diversity array technology (DArT) STS marker loci (Nelson unpublished data).

The combined map was used to locate the last domestication trait to be mapped: Ta, one of the genes controlling pod shatter resistance. Boersma et al. (2009) mapped Ta to linkage group NLL-01 of the combined map (Nelson et al. 2010), which is equivalent to linkage group 1 (LG1) of Boersma et al. (2005) and LG18 of Nelson et al. (2006). Three markers closely linked to Ta were developed, with at least one marker being informative in 70% of all possible crosses between wild and domestic lines screened in that study.

Only one quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping exercise has been reported for *L. angustifolius* by Boersma et al. (2008). QTLs were identified for early vigor, height, flowering time, and seed size. Interestingly, the genomic region harboring Ku had a role in all four traits measured. The connection between slow growth and vernalization requirement in *L. angustifolius* was also commented upon by Berger et al. (2008a) in a comparison of Australian narrow-leafed lupin varieties. Further investigation will be required to determine if these two traits are controlled by the same gene or by closely linked genes.

Another RIL population comprising 260 RILs was developed at DAFWA (Perth, Australia) from a cross between two domesticated Australian varieties of *L. angustifolius*: Unicrop and Tanjil. In this population, there is segregation for resistance to anthracnose disease, cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), seed transmission, aphids, and phomopsis stem blight. Yield data at three sites over two years have also been collected (Buirchell personal communication). This population was used to identify a major gene for anthracnose disease resistance (*Lanr1*) and to develop a marker for marker-assisted selection (Yang et al. 2004).

While the Unicrop \times Tanjil mapping population is segregating for a number of important traits, it suffers from very low frequencies of marker polymorphism, estimated to be approximately tenfold less than in the wild \times domestic RIL population (Nelson unpublished data). This low level of DNA polymorphism will make map construction relatively inefficient using conventional marker technologies.

9.4.1.2 Linkage Mapping in L. albus

Two linkage maps have been developed for *L. albus* (white lupin) based on independently developed RIL populations in Australia and the UK. Phan et al. (2007) used 105 STS and 220 AFLP markers to genotype 94 F₈ RILs (randomly selected from a larger population of 195 RILs) from a cross between the cultivar "Kiev Mutant" and an Ethiopian landrace "P27174." Twenty-eight major linkage groups were identified, which exceeds the haploid chromosome number for *L. albus* (n = 25), indicating that this map did not adequately cover at least three chromosomes. QTLs were identified for anthracnose resistance and flowering time, and a single major gene for seed alkaloid content was also mapped.

An independent mapping study was performed on a population of $102 \text{ F}_5 \text{ RILs}$ (from a larger population of 115 RILs) developed from a cross between "Lublanc" (a spring cultivar) and "LD37" (a graecus type). Using 77 STS and 230 AFLP markers, 25 linkage groups were identified, which the authors estimated to represent 84.2% genome coverage (Croxford et al. 2008). QTLs were identified for flowering time, seed alkaloid content, and stem height. Only a few markers were shared between the two studies, making comparisons between maps difficult.

9.4.1.3 Linkage Mapping in Other Lupin Species

Maps for other lupin species have not yet been reported. However, work is underway in Chile (Biotechnology Unit, INIA-CGNA) to develop the first linkage map of *L. luteus* (yellow lupin; Maureira Butler and Salvo-Garrido personal communication). In Australia, two populations, each consisting of 200 F_8 RILs, have been developed for *L. luteus* (Adhikari personal communication). One population (from a cross between two domesticated parents) is segregating for a single dominant gene for CMV resistance. The other population (from a cross between wild and domesticated parents) is segregating for seed coat permeability, flowering time, alkaloid content, seed coat color, pod shattering, and flower color. There are no immediate plans to develop genetic maps using these populations.

9.4.2 Synteny and Colinearity of Lupin Genomes

"Synteny" (from the Greek word for "same thread") in its original genetic meaning simply refers to the colocation of loci on the same chromosome (Passarge et al. 1999). However, the term synteny appears to be subject to its own rapid evolutionary process whereby it has taken on a second meaning of "conserved colocation of loci between two related organisms" and then a third meaning of "conserved order of loci between related organisms." In the hope of reducing confusion over terminology, we use synteny in its second meaning (conserved co-location of loci) and use the term "colinearity" to refer to conservation of locus order.

9.4.2.1 Synteny in the Legume Family

Comparing the genetic maps of related species can shed light on genome evolution such as the occurrence of chromosomal translocations, inversion, fusions, and fissions. In plant species, the earliest studies were in the Poaceae and Brassicaceae families (Moore et al. 1995; Lagercrantz and Lydiate 1996), but more recently, this approach was applied to galegoid and phaseolid clades (containing most agricultural legume species) of the Fabaceae family (Choi et al. 2004). Chromosomes within the galegoid clade appeared, in general, to be well-preserved with more differences between the galegoid and phaseolid clades.

9.4.2.2 Synteny Between Lupin Species and the Model Genome *Medicago truncatula*

Since the first legume analysis, synteny analysis has been extended to the genistoid clade with comparison of the lupin species L. angustifolius and L. albus to the model legume species, M. truncatula (Nelson et al. 2006; Phan et al. 2007), and comparison of L. angustifolius to the genome sequence of Lotus japonicus (Nelson et al. 2010; Sato et al. 2008). These studies revealed more frequent rearrangements and breakages of synteny between the lupin species (genistoid clade) and M. truncatula and L. japonicus (both galegoid species) compared to that seen within and between the galegoid and phaseolid clades (Choi et al. 2004), and more even than between galegoids and the relatively basal dalbergoids as represented by the genus Arachis (Bertioli et al. 2009). These results supported phylogenetic analysis of Lavin et al. (2005) that estimated that the genistoid clade branched off from the other legume clades around 56.4 million years ago, considerably earlier than the galegoid and phaseolid clades (part of the IRLC crown node) that branched off 39.0 million years ago. However, it is less clear why Lupinus has diverged more markedly from those clades than Arachis, which belongs to the dalbergoid clade that diverged from the other legume clades at a similar time to genistoids (55.3 million years ago; Lavin et al. 2005).

It should be noted that the relatively low densities of gene-based STS markers identifying orthologous sequences in the model legume genomes [140, 97 and 171 such "bridging points" in Nelson et al. (2006), Phan et al. (2007), and Nelson et al. (2010), respectively] make the resolution of these lupin synteny analyses rather low. As more STS markers are added to the lupin maps, more fine-scale comparisons to sequenced legume genomes will become possible. Furthermore, with the recent release of the soybean genome sequence (Schmutz et al. 2010), comparison of a lupin genome map to a phaseolid genome sequence is now possible and would shed further light on legume genome evolution.

9.4.2.3 Synteny Among Lupin Species

Lupin species show a range of chromosome numbers (Naganowska et al. 2003b; 2006). It would be interesting to use synteny analysis to investigate how those changes in chromosome numbers occurred and to infer the chromosome number of the founding ancestor of the genus *Lupinus*. As described above, linkage maps based on cross-species STS marker have been developed for *L. angustifolius* and *L. albus*: Do these shed light on the comparative genome structure of these lupin species?

The most basic form of synteny that can be described involves two loci being found on a single chromosome in both species. Phan et al. (2007) reported just two examples of this when comparing their map of *L. albus* with the map of *L. angustifolius*. We reanalyzed the two maps, and among the 26 marker loci mapped in both species, we found four pairs of syntenic loci, which are listed in Table 9.3. There were nine other pairs of loci that were located on one linkage group in one species but on two separate linkage groups in the other species (i.e., synteny could have been observed but was not; data not presented). We carried out a similar comparison of

Table 9.3 Examples of synteny observed between the genome linkage maps of *L. angustifolius* (Nelson et al. 2006) and *L. albus* (Phan et al. 2007)

Syntenic markers	L. angustifolius linkage group	<i>L. albus</i> linkage group
Lup160, Lup273	LG01 ^a	LG16
CPCB2, Lup056	LG02	LG24
Lup003, Lup181	LG08	LG1
Lup088, Lup241	LG11	LG4

^aLup160 was located on the minor linkage group "Triplet-1" in Nelson et al. (2006) but was subsequently joined to LG01 (unpublished data)

L. angustifolius and the L. albus map of Croxford et al. (2008) and found that among the 36 marker loci shared by both maps, there were four pairs of syntenic loci and one triplet (Table 9.4). There were 14 other non-syntenic pairs of shared loci (data not presented). Taken together, these few observations imply a significant amount of chromosomal rearrangement between the genomes of L. angustifolius and L. albus. Figure 9.4 gives a tantalizing glimpse into possible chromosome rearrangements that distinguish linkage group LG03 of L. angustifolius (Nelson et al. 2006) and linkage groups LG1 and LG3 of L. albus (Croxford et al. 2008). Too few shared marker loci are available to make strong conclusions, but the available data hint at possible chromosome fission/fusion and inversion events that distinguish chromosomes of these two lupin species.

9.5 Lupin Genome Structure

9.5.1 BAC Libraries

Physical genome mapping, positional gene cloning, and sequencing can be greatly facilitated by the availability of DNA libraries with large inserts. Bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) have become the standard vectors for cloning large DNA fragments of plant genomes. Due to the large insert size (10^5 bp), the number of BAC clones needed to cover the genome is relatively low (10^4 – 10^5 clones). Thus, it is possible to store the clones individually and create ordered libraries representing whole genome, which may be used for the selection of specific clones.

The first nuclear genome BAC library of L. angustifolius was constructed in a collaborative project

Table 9.4 Examples of synteny observed between the genome linkage maps of *L. angustifolius* (Nelson et al. 2006) and *L. albus* (Croxford et al. 2008)

Syntenic markers	L. angustifolius linkage group	<i>L. albus</i> linkage group
Lup130, UWA099	LG01	LG5
Lup275, UWA278	LG03	LG1
Lup019, UWA211	LG03	LG3
Lup060, Lup204	LG10	LG19
LSSR14, Lup063, Lup222	LG19	LG9

Fig. 9.4 Comparative mapping between Lupinus angustifolius and L. albus genomes. Four gene-based markers that mapped to L. angustifolius linkage group LG03 (Nelson et al. 2006) also mapped to L. albus linkage groups LG1 and LG3 (Croxford et al. 2008) are shown in larger font and connected by lines between the linkage groups



between the Institute of Plant Genetics Polish Academy of Sciences, Poznań (Poland) and the Institute of Experimental Botany, Czech Academy of Sciences, Olomouc (Czech Republic) (Kasprzak et al. 2006). The L. angustifolius species was chosen as a target because of the relatively low chromosome number (2n = 40), moderate genome size of 1.89 pg (2C) (Naganowska et al. 2003a, b), its economic importance, and wide range of cultivation. The library was developed from cultivar Sonet, which is a very early maturing variety that is resistant to viruses, Fusarium disease, and plant lodging. Its genotype contains some domestication genes such as: iuc (low-alkaloid content), ta and le (non-shattering pods), Deter (determinate growth habit), Ku (early flowering and thermoneutrality), and moll (soft seed coat).

E_0

E

ŧ

F

Ē

F

One of the crucial problems at initial step of BAC library construction is obtaining high molecularweight DNA free of contamination with organellar DNA. The improved protocol using flow sorting for the purification of nuclei (Šimková et al. 2003) was used in the lupin library construction. That resulted not only in almost complete absence of organellar DNA (lower than 0.1%) but also in a very high molecular weight of isolated DNA.

The library consists of a total number of 55,296 clones. The key quality parameters of the library are comparable to other plant BAC libraries: the average insert size is 100 kb, representing approximately six haploid genome equivalents and the probability of recovering any lupin DNA sequence from the library predicted to be 99.7%. Therefore, this library is suitable for a wide range of genomics applications. The L. angustifolius Sonet genomic BAC library described here is freely accessible for research collaboration with lupin geneticists and breeders. Its availability should stimulate development of physical contig map, positional gene cloning, and further analysis of genome structure in lupin.

The plant biotechnology group at CSIRO (Perth, Australia) in collaboration with Australian and overseas partners constructed a BAC library of L. angustifolius cultivar Tanjil (Foley et al. 2008). The library represents 11-12 haploid genome equivalents and is an excellent complement to the Sonet library described above because it has been developed by using different restriction enzyme (*Bam*HI instead of *Hind*III) and a different genotype. The library was constructed for extending the investigation of lupin resistance genes and seed storage proteins in the perspective of comparative studies of legume crops.

One of the attractive uses for the BAC library is the identification and cloning of genes coding agriculturally important traits, e.g., disease resistance. To address this question, high-density colony arrays can be screened using candidate genes (based on function, generally from a model genome species) or linked markers as probes (Książkiewicz et al. 2008). This will yield a set of BAC clones containing sequence homologous to the probe. The fingerprints of selected clones after digestion with restriction enzymes make possible the construction of contigs in genome region of interest. A number of strategies can then be employed to identify potential candidate genes for the trait of interest in those BACs. The Sonet BAC library is also being used to integrate the genetic and physical maps of L. angustifolius and to study the organization of this genome. Molecular cytogenetics can contribute to genome mapping through the assignment of genetic linkage groups to chromosomes (Kaczmarek et al. 2009). Localization of BAC clones using fluorescence in situ hybridization (BAC-FISH) was shown an effective approach to physically map specific DNA sequences and develop chromosome-specific cytogenetic markers (Naganowska and Leśniewska 2008).

9.5.2 cDNA Libraries

cDNA libraries, beside the genome libraries described above, are valuable tools for rapid searching and isolation of specific genes, but first of all, thanks to intensive development of sequencing technology, they are valuable tools for analysis of the whole gene pool, which undergoes expression in different part of plant or tissue and in different stage of growth and development. The series of λ gt and λ ZAP vectors are commonly used for the construction of cDNA libraries. The quality and representativeness of isolated and purified mRNA has a strong influence on quality of the library.

Lupin cDNA libraries have been developed from RNA isolated from different plant tissues, such as mature lupin leaves (Regalado et al. 2000), roots (Attucci et al. 1995; Wasaki et al. 1997; Uhde-Stone et al. 2001; Tian et al. 2009) of L. albus, root nodules of L. angustifolius (Reynolds et al. 1992; Winefield et al. 1994), and root nodules (Konieczny et al. 1987; Deckert et al. 1997; Narożna et al. 2004) and hypocotyls (Nuc et al. 1997, 2001) of L. luteus or cell suspension of L. polyphyllus (Perrey et al. 1990). These libraries have become very useful tools for identifying and sequencing new genes, characterization of their expression in different plant organs, and changes in expression of genes under influence of biotic and abiotic stresses. A total of 9,921 ESTs, mainly from the L. albus root libraries (Uhde-Stone et al. 2003; Tian et al. 2009), are available in databases at the time of writing (April 2010). In comparison 416 ESTs developed from L. luteus, hypocotyl and root libraries were published. L. angustifolius genome is represented by 388 published ESTs, mainly from developing seed and nodulated root libraries (Nelson et al. 2006). Foley et al. (2008) reported two cDNA libraries from developing L. angustifolius seed and sequenced 1,000 ESTs, but sequences are not yet publicly available. Additionally, the cDNA sequences have been the key to the development of gene-based markers for mapping studies in lupin (Nelson et al. 2006; Phan et al. 2007; Croxford et al. 2008).

9.6 Lupin Domestication and Breeding

9.6.1 History of Domestication and Early Cultivation

Archeological evidence has established that at least two of the grain legumes, pea and lentil, played a role with wheat in settled agriculture in the earliest Neolithic sites of the Middle East during the period 7500–7000 BC. Cultivation of lupins, however, is believed to date from about 2000–1000 BC or earlier in the Mediterranean basin and the central Andean region of South America (Smartt and Hymowitz 1985).

It is generally accepted that in the Old World, Egypt was the country of origin for the agricultural use of lupins (Gladstones 1974). However, there are divergent opinions suggesting that cultivated varieties could only have been introduced into this area after 300 BC. The earliest historical data on the cultivation of lupins comes from the Mediterranean region of Greek and Roman cultures and were extensively described in German literature (Hondelmann 1984). The species L. albus was predominant during that time among cultivated legume crops and it was favored for green manuring and seed harvest due to its ability to grow on poor soils. Seeds were used both for animal and human consumption. Because of their bitterness, seeds were boiled and then leached for a long time to remove most of the water-soluble alkaloids. Some accounts indicate that L. albus, after the fall of Rome, was cultivated as a minor subsistence crop throughout the Mediterranean area, around the Black Sea and the Nile Valley up to Ethiopia.

The parallel history of L. mutabilis cultivation can be traced in the Andean highlands of the New World, but it is less thoroughly documented. The first evidence of lupin cultivation in South America is linked to the Chavinoid culture from 1000 BC (Gross 1986). Further data comes from Tihuanacoid and then from the Inca civilization, which gave rise to the South American cultivation system and introduced lupin as a regular part of the crop rotation system. Mountain Indians debittered lupin seeds by soaking them for several weeks in bags in mountain streams or steeped them in containers, and the leachate was used as an insecticide (Brücher 1968). The invasion of Spanish conquistadors in the sixteenth century resulted in the decline of the Andean agriculture and pushed lupin cultivation to a few marginal, very high-altitude environments. Interest in the New World lupins has increased again in the last decades.

It seems probable that there were attempts to domesticate some other lupin species, but these were not successful. The human settlement on areas with fertile soil held no advantage for lupins, while their long-term adaptation to the wild environment developed such characteristics as high alkaloid content, pod shattering, and hard seeds.

The beginning of bitter lupin cultivation in northern Europe can be quite precisely determined at the year 1781 when King Frederick II of Prussia officially ordered *L. albus* to be introduced as a crop improving the poor soils of northern Germany (Hondelmann 1984). The efforts of King Frederick II and others largely failed because the available genotypes matured too late in the short summer season. In mid-1800s, L. luteus was introduced in that area with more success, and within a short time, it became an essential part of agriculture right across the acidic, sandy soils of Baltic coastal areas to be used for forage or green manuring and for seed production. The third lupin species - L. angustifolius - was also established in Europe in the early nineteenth century, first in southwestern France for cattle fodder, in Germany for green manuring, and then in England for sheep folding and improvement of sandy soils (Oldershaw 1925). Its basic advantage in the climate of northern Europe was frost tolerance. The cultivation of bitter L. luteus and L. angustifolius extended gradually eastward into the acidic soils of Poland, Belarus, Russia, and Ukraine, whereas L. albus cultivation remained limited to the warmer climate and more fertile soils of eastern central Europe. Generally, cultivation of bitter lupins decreased significantly at the turn of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries as a result of economic changes, production of cheap nitrogen fertilizers, rising land value, and preference for more intensive agricultural production (Hackbarth and Troll 1956; Gladstones 1998).

The interest in lupin cultivation, especially *L. luteus* and *L. angustifolius*, increased significantly after World War I. New bitter varieties with advanced maturity and soft seeds became popular not only in Germany but also southeastern USA, the Cape Province of South Africa and southern New Zealand. However, lupins still lacked some attributes significant for crop plants. Only *L. albus* and *L. mutabilis* had non-shattering pods at ripeness and only in these species, and in *L. angustifolius*, the trait of soft-seededness had been attained. But the most essential obstacle in the acceptance of lupins as crops was their high alkaloid content, precluding their direct use as food and feed except after inconvenient processing.

9.6.2 Modern Lupin Breeding

The history of lupin breeding can be found in Gladstones (1970), Hondelmann (1984), and Cowling et al. (1998b) with a more specific discussion of Australian lupin breeding in Gladstones (1994) and Clements et al. (2005a) and German work in Hondelmann (2000) and Brummund (2000). The identification of natural mutants of lupins with low

alkaloids by Reihold von Sengbusch and subsequently by others marked the beginning of modern lupin breeding. These simply inherited genes for reduction of alkaloid initiated the first steps in the transformation from a wild plant to a domesticated crop with good biological and seed yields, providing excellent nitrogen supply in rotation on infertile soils.

Early breeding methods mainly involved plant selection following simple hybridization between natural or induced mutants with bitter varieties and landraces. After this initial period of combining simply inherited major traits from natural mutants, came selection through recombination breeding for more complex traits, such as adaptation and yield and for the successive changes in traits, such as flowering time while maintaining protein and low alkaloid levels. Mutation breeding was also used in countries such as Poland and Russia to introduce traits such as restricted branching and recently to develop herbicide tolerance mutants (Si et al. 2006). The four major lupin crop species each have relative differences, although often overlapping plant adaptation and seed quality characteristics (Table 9.5) and breeders have exploited these specificities while enhancing or broadening characteristics within the genetic limits of the intraspecific germplasm base.

9.6.2.1 White Lupin: Lupinus albus

L. albus is recognized for its generally wide adaptation and good seed quality. Seed protein content in varies from 33 to 47% and oil content from 6 to 13% depending on genotype and environment (Huyghe 1997; Petterson et al. 1997). Although predominantly selfpollinating, outcrossing rates of 8-10% were reported (Green et al. 1980) in L. albus. Breeding of L. albus has been conducted typically through pedigree selection of pure lines. The gene pauper for low alkaloid content, selected in the early 1930s in Germany, is now most exclusively used in breeding programs (Huyghe 1997), although several other genes are available such as exiguus and nutricius (Gladstones 1970; Lin et al. 2009). Following the combining of domestication traits such as and soft and white seeds and reduced pod-shattering, which were already each available from ancient times, with that of reduced alkaloids, there was a focus on increased yield and the reduction of flowering time and excessive

indeterminate branching. The new low alkaloid cultivars were found to have lower yields than comparable bitter lines (Cowling et al. 1998b; Brummund 2000), and further breeding was required to compensate. The discovery of thermoneutral genotypes (e.g., cv. Neutra 1959) provided greatly reduced time to maturity and tolerance to delayed sowing in spring (Brummund 2000). Reduction of plant height in the so-called "short" types by German breeders in cultivars, such as cvs. Ultra (1950) and Hansa (1954), also facilitated maturity before autumn rains. Breeding objectives from these times forward, which was carried out mainly by European scientists depended on whether the crop was autumn/winter or spring sown. The areas for winter and spring sowing have been broadly outlined for the Mediterranean basin by Cubero and López-Bellido (1986) based on temperature isotherms. Święcicki (1986b) described L. albus ideotypes suited to these differing European growing seasons based on the available major genes for flowering time and growth habit (brev – early flowering, short growth; Flor – early flowering; con - shortens first order branches; Fest reduces flowering on higher order branches). The genotypic combinations were: (1) a spring dwarf type (brev Flor con Fest) for northern France, southern UK, and middle Poland; (2) a typical spring type (Brev flor con Fest) for mid to southern France, Hungary, middle Ukraine, northwestern USA and high rainfall maritime/Mediterranean climates; (3) a late spring type for Mediterranean countries with maritime climates (Brev flor Con fest); (4) winter types (Brev flor Con fest) for Egypt, Azores, and Canary Islands. Another gene named ep1 for the "self-completing" character, which restricts branching (determinacy) to the first order, was combined with a spring and spring dwarf backgrounds. Determinacy in white lupin is under monogenetic and recessive inheritance (Julier and Huyghe 1993) and restricts branch orders down to one or two levels and provides earlier maturation in cooler climates (Milford et al. 1993). Winter forms were developed further to incorporate tolerance to low temperature and disease resistance, especially brown leaf spot (Pleiochaeta setosa) for which recessive resistance from Italy was identified by M. Lenoble in France (Swięcicki 1986b).

Substantial further development of *L. albus* plant architecture from its classically indeterminate growth habit for various European growing conditions has occurred in the past 15 years (Julier and Huyghe 1993;

Table 9.5 General	characteristics of the major crop lupin	species		
	L. albus	L. luteus	L. angustifolius	L. mutabilis
Growing season climate	Cool to moderately warm, some frost tolerance	Mild temperatures, frost susceptible	Cool to mild temperatures, moderately tolerant to frost	Narrow temperature range, very frost susceptible
Soil adaptation	Mildly acid to mildly calcareous loamy sands and loams, very intolerant of waterlogging, cluster roots give efficient P uptake, low cadmium accumulation, manganese accumulator	Strongly to mildly acid sands and sandy loams, some waterlogging tolerance, aluminum tolerant, sensitive to alkaline soils, cadmium accumulator, more effective uptake of P and Zn than <i>L. angustifolius</i> (tertiary/ secondary clumped lateral roots), high-N-fixing efficiency	Moderately acid to neutral sands and sandy loams, intolerant of waterlogging, low cadmium accumulation	Mildly acid to neutral loamy sands and loams, tolerant of waterlogging, low cadmium accumulation, low P requirement, high internal P-use efficiency
General soil fertility requirement	Moderate	Low	Low to moderate	Moderate
Water requirement	Moderate	Low to moderate	Low	Moderate
Fungal diseases	Very susceptible to anthracnose but some resistance <i>anr-2</i> . Susceptible to fusarium, rust, botrytis. Resistance to phomopsis generally although susceptibility reported in S. Africa.	Susceptible to anthracnose Single gene resistances available for fusarium. Resistant to Pleiochaeta root rot and good sources of resistance to brown spot (polygenic). Moderate resistance to phomopsis, Eradu patch resistance	Susceptible to anthracnose, moderate resistance available (AnR - I and other genes). Susceptible to fusarium. Susceptible to Pleiochaeta root rot and brown spot but polygenic resistance available. Susceptible to phomopsis but resistance available ($PhrI$, $Phr2$)	Susceptible to anthracnose but less so than <i>L. albus.</i> Resistance available to fusarium. Very susceptible to Pleiochaeta root rot and brown spot but some genetic variation. Relatively resistant to phomopsis.
			$CMM_{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$	
VITUS diseases	LMY: tolerant. BYMV: moderate problem and seed borne in East and central Europe, and USA; not seed-borne in Australia. A non-necrotic strain causing concern in Australia.	CMV': susceptuble. Serious problem in East and central Europe – carried over through lupin seed. Resistance to seed transmission occurs - single gene resistance <i>Ncm-1</i> . BYMV: serious problem in East and central Europe, and in USA – carried over through lupin seed. Resistance to seed transmission occurs. Partial resistance to BYMV infection used in breeding in Europe. Very low alkaloid lines susceptible to aphids	CMV: fighty susceptione. Serious problem, seed-borne, partial resistance to seed transmission used in breeding in W. Australia. BYMV: serious problem, high susceptibility, not seed-borne, partial resistance to infection by aphids occurs. Two strains, necrotic and non-necrotic. Aphid resistance	CMV: susceptuble. Moderate problem, seed transmission not recorded, resistance found in one line. BYMV: highly susceptible.
Herbicide tolerances	Moderate tolerance to simazine and diffufenican, susceptible to	Moderate tolerance to simazine and diffufenican, susceptible to	Tolerant to simazine and diffutenican, some cultivars tolerant to	Moderate tolerance to simazine, susceptible to metribuzin, tolerant
	metribuzin, tolerant to grass selective herbicides	metribuzin, tolerant to grass selective herbicides	metribuzin, tolerant to grass selective herbicides	to grass selective herbicides

(continued)

183

	cu)			
	L. albus	L. luteus	L. angustifolius	L. mutabilis
Protein (% in seed)	36.1	38.3, higher S-amino acids	32.2, γ conglutin storage protein	42.0
Oil (% in seed)	9.1	5.6	5.8	18.0
Lysine	1.58	2.07	1.46	2.56
Cysteine + cystine ^a	2.3	3.2	2.0	Ъ
Methionine	0.24	0.27	0.20	0.31
Seed coat (% of	18	25	24	13
seed)				
Pod wall (% of	28	42	32	45
whole pod)				
Other traits	Specific antioxidants (lutein and			Very thin seed coat, low NSPs
	others), higher isoflavone levels			
	(genistein)			
Main alkaloids	Lupanine, 13-hydroxylupanine,	Lupinine, sparteine, gramine ^c	Lupanine, 13-hydroxylupanine,	Lupanine, 13-hydroxylupanine,
	albine, ^c angustifoline,		angustifoline, isolupanine	sparteine
	multiflorine, isolupanine			
From Gladstones (1	970), Hove et al. (1978), Culvenor and	Petterson (1986), Röemer and Jahn-D	eesbach (1988), Jones and McLean (19	89), Wink et al. (1995), Petterson et al.

(1997), Caligari et al. (2000); Cheng and Jones (2000), Jones (2001), Cheng et al. (2002), Jones et al. (2003), Clements et al. (2002, 2004), Sipsas et al. (2004) and Sweetingham et al. unpublished Ηr.

^aFrom Sipsas et al. (2004) using method of Barkhold and Jensen (1989) ^bNot available ^cVariously present in some lines

Milford et al. 1993; Huyghe 1997, 1998). This was achieved through the use of determinacy and dwarfism. Determinate forms of L. albus were found to have reduced intrainflorescence variation in seed size and higher harvest index than indeterminate types. A more uniform and, in some cases, larger seed size is desirable for processing in some markets. While grain yield in indeterminate genotypes in maritime temperate environments is not correlated with biomass, it is in restricted branching genotypes. Peel and Galwey (1999) suggested that stable yields for these environments in autumn-sown L. albus could be achieved by combining a low vernalization requirement with a long juvenility period despite the two traits being positively correlated.

L. albus is relatively widely adapted with respect to soil types (Gladstones 1984). This species produces numerous, well-defined proteoid roots, which are induced in response to low phosphorus and iron in the soil (Clements et al. 1996; Hocking and Jeffery 2004). Proteoid roots enable L. albus to take up almost five times more phosphorus per unit root length than soybean, which does not form them (Watt and Evans 2003). Germplasm with good tolerance to the abiotic stress induced by calcareous soils has been identified from Egypt (Christiansen et al. 1999) and from other regions such as the Bari region, Italy (Huyghe 1997). Some L. albus material from Egypt was found to have tolerance to limed soil at levels found in the tolerant species L. pilosus (Kerley et al. 2002).

Variation for cold and frost tolerance (Huyghe 1997) have been used for breeding and sources of cold resistance are likely to be found in germplasm of L. albus var. albus and var. graecus from Italy, Spain, Turkey, and the Greece. Despite some evidence for genetic variation for physiological tolerance to drought (Rodrigues et al. 1995), the main avenue of progress in L. albus has been achieved through developing the correct phenology, i.e., altering flowering time and plant architecture. Little remobilization of assimilates stored in the stem to seeds occurs in L. albus (Withers and Forde 1979). Development of deeper roots while maintaining yield may be another characteristic worth exploring in this species. A more extensive root system and higher leaf area and stomatal conductance gave better drought tolerance in cv. Acores (Rodrigues et al. 1995).

Current breeding in white lupin is especially focused on improving anthracnose resistance (Colletotrichum gloeosporioides), a disease which limits 185

(Adhikari et al. 2006b). The other major fungal diseases affecting L. albus are Pleiochaeta root rot and brown leaf spot (P. setosa), rust (Uromyces lupinicolus), Fusarium wilt (Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lupini), and gray mold (Botrytis cinerea). Anthracnose was a problem in Central and South America but emerged more widely in the past 15 years in Europe and other countries. Apart from recessive resistance from Italian germplasm reported by Święcicki (1986b), resistance to Pleiochaeta root rot has been reported in germplasm from Crete and the Azores (Sweetingham and Yang 1998). Reference to some levels of tolerance to anthracnose occurs (Talhinhas et al. 2000; Clements et al. 2005a; Adhikari et al. 2006b). Collaborative screening work has identified some promising resistances (Sweetingham et al. 2006b), and there is potential to find further sources of resistance to anthracnose in germplasm (e.g., from the Azores and Iberian Peninsula). L. albus appears to be resistant to phomopsis, compared to L. angustifolius, although there is marked strain specificity (Shankar et al. 1999). While L. albus is inherently resistant to CMV (Jones and Latham 1996), it is susceptible to bean yellow mosaic virus (BYMV), being a moderate problem in East and central Europe and USA. The strains that are seed-borne are not present in Australia. However, a non-necrotic strain has been identified in Australia, and this is causing some concern (Cheng and Jones 2000). Sowing seed with minimal BYMV is the most important control strategy.

9.6.2.2 Narrow-leafed Lupin: Lupinus angustifoloius

L. angustifolius is regarded as a self-pollinated species, with automatic self-pollination occurring usually before the petals open. Outcrossing rates have been reported to range from practically 0 (Wallace et al. 1954) to 2% (Dracup and Thomson 2000) with a report of up to 12% (Forbes et al. 1971). Narrow-leafed lupin breeding began in Germany, Poland, and also in Russia, with the discovery of low alkaloid natural mutants (Gladstones 1970, 1998; Święcicki and Święcicki 1995; Kurlovich 2002a), and was followed by the intensive work in Australia, beginning in the late 1950s, and some parallel and collaborative work in USA (Gladstones 1994). The crop has played a very important role in Australia since the early 1980s in rotation, particularly with cereals as a feed for sheep, pigs, and poultry. It is also grown currently on a commercial scale in Poland, Byelorussia, Baltic countries, Russia, South Africa, and Chile, its popularity due to reliable maturation in summer-grown crops, reasonable disease resistance, good yields, and good adaptation to a range of environments.

Lines of L. angustifolius evaluated in the 1950s in Australia were initially very late flowering and not productive in the environments in which they were first tested. The crop improvement program based in Perth by J. S. Gladstones focused on L. angustifolius. Genes that were found as naturally occurring mutants in cv. New Zealand Blue for non-shattering pods (le and ta) and white flowers and seeds (leuc) were combined with the naturally occurring mutant gene for early flowering and thermoneutrality (Ku) along with low alkaloid (iuc from cv. Borre) and the permeable seed gene moll. A series of cultivars beginning with cv. Uniwhite in 1967 was the beginning of the highly successful history of narrow-leafed lupin in Australia (Gladstones 1994). The program relied upon white flowers and seed as markers and the strict removal of bitter plants to ensure quality and purity in cultivars. Similar to the European experience with yellow lupins, a negative effect of domestication genes on yield and other characters occurred in L. angustifolius. The iuc gene for low alkaloid and, to some extent, the ta gene for non-shattering had negative pleiotropic effects on the severity of the split-seed disorder caused by manganese deficiency on infertile sandy soils (Walton and Francis 1975). Isogenic lines of earlier Australian L. angustifolius breeding material with the iuc gene were 30% lower yielding than bitter lines (Oram 1983). It is not surprising with these kinds of results for L. angustifolius and with the general observation that low alkaloid lupin crop genotypes have both greater pest susceptibility and possibly lower inherent yield potential that the concept of the "bitter-sweet" plant ideotype has arisen. The "bitter-sweet" concept proposes the generation of a plant with high alkaloids in vegetative tissues but which does not translocate alkaloids to or produce them within seeds possibly through the manipulation of alkaloid transporters or seed-specific promoters (Wink 1991, 1994). Although the gene le for reduced pod shattering through modification of the pod endocarp (Gladstones 1967) had some negative effects on plant growth, the gene ta for

reduced shattering had positive effects on plant growth and yield. Breeding over the past 20 years has improved yield to compensate for this apparent physiological deleterious effect of the gene for lower alkaloid content, which is in addition to the affect of greater general susceptibility to insects and in some cases diseases.

Following the initial phase of domestication, the next phases of breeding L. angustifolius in Australia were the incorporation of disease resistance genes from wild germplasm and selection for yield improvement through both pedigree selection and recurrent selection breeding methods (Gladstones 1994; Cowling and Gladstones 2000). Use of F_1s in crosses back to elite breeding lines was also common in the Australian program since the 1980s. Traits incorporated included gray leaf spot (gl_1) and anthracnose (An) resistance from USA cv. Rancher, phompsis resistance from Spanish and Moroccan wild types, and brown leaf spot and Pleiochaeta root rot resistance from Israeli and Italian wild types (Cowling and Gladstones 2000). With the outbreak of anthracnose in 1996 in Australia, intensive efforts were made to screen existing cultivars and germplasm for resistance both within Australia and offshore (Cowling et al. 2000). The preemptive intermediate resistance possibly originating from American breeders (An gene, Gladstones 1970) that was available in cvv. Illyarrie and Yandee and stronger resistance from cvv. Tanjil and Wonga (Anrl gene, Yang et al. 2004) has indicated that the disease is a lower threat in L. angustifolius. Another separate moderate resistance exists in cvv. Kalya and Mandelup (Yang and Buirchell unpublished).

The races of the Fusarium wilt pathogen in Germany, Poland, Ukraine, Belarus, and Russia vary in their specificity between L. angustifolius, L. albus, and L. luteus (Lamberts 1955). Local breeding programs have bred for resistance in each region, but resistant cultivars do not always show resistance elsewhere. P. setosa causes brown leaf spot in L. angustifolius but can be controlled by minimum tillage, stubble retention, and fungicide seed dressings. Recurrent selection in Western Australia produced cv. Myallie with improved resistance (reduced defoliation), which is polygenic in nature (Cowling et al. 1997). The mycotoxin of Phomopsis (Diaporthe toxica) has caused lupinosis in sheep in the past, usually without major effects on seed yield (Cowling and Wood 1989; Williamson et al. 1994). A dominant (Phr1) resistance gene originating from the breeding line 75A258 with a Moroccan wild type parent and an incompletely dominant gene (*Phr2*) for resistance in cv. Merrit have been developed in Australia (Shankar et al. 2002). Two DNA markers are available for *Phr1* (Yang et al. 2001).

CMV is a serious problem in *L. angustifolius*. The species is highly susceptible, particularly in late flowering cultivars. The virus is seed-borne with partial resistance to seed transmission used in breeding in Western Australia and present in some local cultivars, which is probably polygenically controlled and not related to plant alkaloid content (Jones and Cowling 1995; Jones 2001). BYMV is also a serious viral disease in *L. angustifolius*, which has high plant susceptibility with seed transmission depending on isolate. Western Australian isolates are not seed-borne but seed transmission occurs in other countries. Necrotic and non-necrotic strains of BYMV occur, the necrotic reaction being a form of systemic hypersensitivity.

Yield improvements in L. angustifolius have been through a combination of phenological improvements and disease resistance. In wild and landrace germplasm lacking any early flowering genes, time to flowering appears to be influenced primarily by vernalization with only minor influence of photoperiod (Rahman and Gladstones 1974). Landers (1995) showed that this vernalization response can range from an absolute (essential for flowering) to a reduced response (vernalization not essential as provided by the efl gene) to no response in genotypes carrying the Ku gene for early flowering. Further research on a wider range of breeding lines and germplasm could reveal useful variation to match biomass production prior to flowering to specific environments. Although high yielding Australian cultivars carry the Ku gene and are of indeterminate branching architecture, the restricted branching trait has proven useful in European programs. Yield increases of approximately 2-3% per year have been achieved from the first cultivar release in Western Australia in 1967 to the present (Clements et al. 2005a; Berger et al. 2008b). There have been progressive increases in harvest index from approximately 0.24 to approximately 0.34 in the latest cultivars (Tapscott et al. 1994; Clements et al. 2005a). Comparable figures of both harvest index and water use efficiency show that lupins still fall below that of some other legumes, such as Vicia faba and Pisum sativum (Siddique et al. 2001). The changes in harvest index in historical Australian cultivars has been associated with improved pod set and yield at higher plant densities (Tapscott et al. 1994), decreases in plant height, and more recent reductions in upper primary branch length since cultivars such as cv. Danja (1986) with long lateral branches. Drought tolerance is achieved through earlier flowering and, in more recent cultivars, rapid pod set and seed growth (Palta et al. 2003, 2004). G \times E analysis of narrow-leafed lupin historical cultivars indicated little specific adaptation to environments, and this suggests the need for new adaptation genes that could increase yields in specific environments (Berger et al. 2008b).

9.6.2.3 Yellow Lupin: Lupinus luteus

Outcrossing rates indicate that L. luteus is both selfcompatible and possesses the ability to outcross to moderate levels of approximately 8% (Adhikari et al. 2006a) but with some reports for mingled plants of up to 40% (Wallace et al. 1954). The main centers of yellow lupin breeding have been Germany, Poland, Belorussia, and Russia. Low alkaloid genes reduced the levels of the major alkaloids of yellow lupin, sparteine, and lupinine from their levels of 1-4% in seed and 0.2-0.5% in shoot dry matter. Levels of from 0.08 to 0.1% as in cv. Teo to as low as 0.02% as in cv. Wodjil have been achieved using the original natural mutant genes for low alkaloid (Cowling and Gladstones 2000). Other minor alkaloids, such as gramine, which were only discovered after the development of improved methods of alkaloid analysis, occurred in all L. luteus wild and landrace germplasm. This alkaloid was found to have a negative influence on fodder palatability. A progressive decline in the presence of gramine apparently occurred in breeding material in Poland, although higher amounts have still been found in some lines. For example, in cv. Teo, approximately 90% of the alkaloids are gramine (Święcicki and Święcicki 2000). Three low alkaloid genes were identified in yellow lupin (amoenus, liber and dulcis), one of which was associated with poor vigor (liber). The gene dulcis, developed by von Sengbusch, appears to have been used more frequently in breeding programs (Gladstones 1970; Pate et al. 1985). Reduced pod shattering was provided through the gene invulnerabilis, which provided large yield increases in European crops of yellow lupins. In Mediterranean environments with hot conditions at the end of the season, pod shattering still occurs, and there is a need for additional or alternative genes to reduce this.

After initial domestication by combining a number of recessive alleles (including w for permeable seeds), further improvements in yellow lupin were achieved by creating more rapidly growing and earlier flowering genotypes with higher yield, both for green and dry fodder and for seed (Święcicki and Święcicki 2000). This was achieved through the identification of genes such as crescens celer (crescel) in cv. Weiko III and crescens altus (cres^{alt}) in cv. Alteria, both genes giving a lighter green foliage color. Another gene promptus (prompt) accelerates growth but does not affect leaf color (e.g., cv. Expires). Additionally, the gene *Rapidus* (Rp), from the Netherlands, gives rapid early growth and had a pleiotropic effect on dark green leaf color and gave a stronger main stem (cvv. Palvo, Juno, Teo). Lines carrying the genes cres and alt together were found to have reduced root growth and therefore yield reductions under certain environments (Brummund 2000). The saturation of breeding material with the recessive alleles in the period from 1940 to the 1970s in several cultivars such as Refusanova resulted in lower yields relative to bitter types or those carrying few recessive genes. Cultivars after that period, which contained fewer of these genes, were found to recapture higher yield levels (Brummund 2000; Święcicki and Święcicki 2000). One of the genes dispensed with was the alb gene for white seed coat, which was no longer seen as being necessary in German programs.

Plant architecture is typically characterized by an early rosette stage followed by indeterminate branching. The gene *Therm* provided thermoneutrality and therefore removed vernalization requirement, resulting in earlier flowering even when crops were sown in late spring (Święcicki and Święcicki 2000). The gene also provided escape from viral infection. A gene for restricted branching, rb, was discovered in Hungary and introduced into a range of cultivars such as Manru, Borselfa, Radames, Markiz, and Legat. Genotypes with this gene were referred to as "self-completing" yellow lupins, and they provided advantages similar to the analogous trait in L. albus. Święcicki and Święcicki (2000) found restricted branching cultivars to be highly suited to higher rainfall but shorter growing seasonal environments.

Yellow lupin has some susceptibility to frost, particularly in the earlier growth stages as well as when racemes are exposed to transient frosts during flowering and podding. Interspecific crossing with L. hispanicus ssp. hispanicus and ssp. bicolor could offer resistance to low winter temperatures (to -15° C) (Święcicki 1986a), important for inland Spain and for extending the crop into northern Europe. This would depend on whether germplasm could be found that does not merely escape frost through late flowering. Yellow lupins are tolerant of soil aluminum (French et al. 2001) and are best suited to soils from pH 4.5-6.5. While L. luteus is more efficient at taking up soil phosphorus, it takes up cadmium, often to above maximum permissible health standard levels (Brennan and Bolland 2003). A possible reason for this efficient uptake of nutrients is the ability of L. luteus to produce a large number of third-order lateral roots with a structure not dissimilar to proteiod roots (Brennan and Bolland 2003) and due to the exudation of organic acids at levels similar to L. albus under P deficiency (Hocking and Jeffery 2004). L. luteus has been found to be relatively waterlogging-tolerant, a trait of some importance in the wetter months of autumn-sown crops in Mediterranean environments (Davies et al. 2000). L. luteus accumulates less ABA in roots, relative to other lupin species (Hartung and Turner 1997), and is considered to be drought-susceptible (compared with L. angustifolius) in the Mediterranean climates, and the species is better suited to higher rainfall cropping zones (Gladstones 1994). Święcicki and Święcicki (2000) suggest that genotypes with a higher concentration of anthocyanin and chlorophyll (through the gene oliv for leaf color) but with short growing periods are more resistant to drought than types having genes for fast growth and with the light green leaf gene au.

Very low alkaloid L. luteus genotypes, such as cv. Wodjil, can be severely damaged by aphids (Berlandier and Sweetingham 2003). Efforts are underway to determine mechanisms of tolerance and breeding for tolerance by maintaining alkaloid levels at 0.02% or below but by manipulating alkaloid profile (Adhikari et al. 2003; Edwards et al. 2003). CMV is a serious problem in East and central Europe, where it is carried over through lupin seed and causes "lupin browning disease." Resistance to both plant infection and to seed transmission is available. Resistance to seed transmission is not related to alkaloid content or flowering time and is probably polygenically controlled (Sweetingham et al. 1998). A single dominant hypersensitivity gene, *Ncm-1*, has been identified, which is responsible for resistance to CMV in cv. Wodjil and other genotypes (Jones and Latham 1996). BYMV is a serious viral disease in East and central Europe, where it reduces yield and is often called "lupin narrow leaf virus." It also occurs in the USA. The virus is readily carried over through lupin seed. Partial and quantitative resistance to BYMV has been used in breeding in Europe, particularly through the use of thermoneutral types, which are less likely to become infected (Sweetingham et al. 1998; Święcicki and Święcicki 2000).

Fungal diseases of yellow lupin include anthracnose, Fusarium wilt, and powdery mildew. Cultivars have moderate resistance to phomopsis (Shankar et al. 1999). Fusarium wilt has caused large yield losses in the past in Europe, and out of three races, races 1 and 2 were the most pathogenic on L. luteus (Sweetingham et al. 1998). Resistance was first identified in Portuguese germplasm and later found in other populations from Sicily (Święcicki and Święcicki 2000). The gene Fus¹ was then transferred to cultivars such as Refusanova, Borluta and Bornova (Germany), and Afus and succeeding Polish cultivars. The resistance provided by this gene has been remarkably stable over time (Święcicki and Święcicki 2000). Resistance to powdery mildew was provided first by Portuguese germplasm then by the gene Er, which was derived from Spanish germplasm (Lamberts 1955; Gladstones 1970). Crop rotation, fungicide seed dressing, and use of clean seed can provide control, and some promising levels of tolerance have been identified in collaborative screening programs (Sweetingham et al. 2006b). There has been a report of sources of resistance from Portuguese lines (Sweetingham 2000).

Further breeding of L. luteus is required for anthracnose resistance, higher harvest index, and yield, accompanied by lodging resistance, aphid tolerance, and reduced pod shattering. Exploration of different combinations of various genes for earliness, vigor, and plant architecture may provide improvements. Reduction of pod wall proportion, which is unusually high in this species, may also provide yield increases (Clements et al. 2002). Seed coat proportion of total seed weight is also relatively high (Clements et al. 2002, 2005b), and some reduction could be achieved through increases in seed size or through identification of thinner seed coat in germplasm or mutation populations. Yield improvements of approximately 30% over cv. Piast (registered in 1987) have been achieved in Poland with a series of cultivars released over the past decade.

9.6.3 Importance as a Crop

The three species that have achieved modern crop status such as L. angustifolius, L. albus, and L. luteus are from the Old World. L. cosentinii Guss., L. pilosus L., and L. atlanticus Gladst. have also been domesticated, although not used widely (Buirchell and Cowling 1992). The New World species, L. mutabilis, has been domesticated but has yet to be used on a large scale as a crop plant. Each of these species, however, possesses useful adaptation, plant, and seed quality attributes that makes the genus a valuable resource for farming practice, production, and use in established feed and emerging food and health industries. Lupin's role in modern agricultural systems began in the twentieth century with the domestication of the three major species, particularly through the development of reduced shattering and low alkaloid lines.

While historically lupins have played a major role as an animal feed, subsistence food, and soil improvers, current and future use has entered the arena of health food and functional ingredient as well as its continuing role in animal nutrition and aquaculture feeds. World grain lupin production peaked during the latter part of the 1990s (Table 9.6) largely as a result of the expansion in Australia. Rapid increases over the past few decades have also occurred in Chile and Germany while declines have occurred in Poland, Italy, and South Africa. A large proportion of lupins in Chile are directed towards salmon aquaculture in the south of the country. In 2007 major producing countries were Australia (despite declines since 1996-2000), Germany, Chile, and Poland followed by sustained lower levels in South Africa, Morocco, and France. Reasons for the contraction of lupins in Europe include anthracnose and Fusarium wilt diseases and competition with soybean imports. The former USSR had large areas (more than half a million ha in the 1950s as forage and green manure) of primarily L. luteus, but this declined due to the spread of Fusarium wilt. Better resistance has seen a steady increase in regions such as Ukraine and Belarus. L. angustifolius cultivation has increased in Europe due to better disease resistance and more reliable maturity at harvest. In the UK, a rapid increase in the use of lupins in lupin/cereal forage mixtures has occurred. In the Andes in South America, L. mutabilis continues to be used as a traditional crop, while in Argentina, there is interest in expanding L. albus

 Table 9.6
 World lupin production for major producing countries (average annual production, metric tons)

Country	1961-1970	1971–1980	1981-1990	1991–1995	1996-2000	2001-2005	2006	2007
Australia	1,410	57,550	593,620	1,271,450	1,560,410	984,800	174,000	331,000
Chile	0	2,070	14,390	30,690	33,240	45,370	70,480	51,400
Egypt	7,960	5,820	7,270	6,350	5,230	3,890	2,790	2,900
France	0	0	1,810	10,820	14,820	26,770	16,560	13,000
Germany	14,580	10,800	12,640	1,110	0	98,330	85,000	85,000
Italy	25,530	8,310	4,740	4,560	4,680	4,790	6,000	5,000
Lithuania	0	0	0	670	1,340	2,400	4,800	7,900
Morocco	1,000	1,820	2,690	3,260	11,660	14,000	14,000	14,000
Peru	1,330	2,580	3,510	3,510	7,220	9,540	9,480	8,500
Poland	155,900	71,780	99,860	74,200	31,760	15,090	27,990	28,000
Russia	_	_	_	10,870	15,340	27,810	13,510	9,500
South Africa	42,830	6,600	7,100	6,600	11,470	10,230	14,400	15,000
Spain	6,350	2,090	1,700	4,630	12,010	9,160	6,900	5,200
USSR	449,000	312,500	265,800	116,200	_	_	-	-
Ukraine	_	_	_	_	_	9,730	6,600	6,000
Belarus	_	_	_	_	_	72,400	54,130	46,000
Total (including minor countries)	720,390	489,870	102,310	1,548,630	1,713,340	1,239,460	424,760	632,050

Source: FAOSTAT (2009)

cultivation in rotation with cereals into areas of the Pampas. Despite competition from other crops, there is increased interest in lupins in southern USA for use as a late winter, high protein livestock feed and as a cover crop for cotton (van Santen et al. 2006). The use of genetically modified lupins would suit such applications in the USA in the future. In both South Africa and other countries close to the centers of diversity for lupins, such as Ethiopia and Kenya, there is considerable potential for mixed uses of lupins in the farming system and for food security. Given the increased interest in lupins for food, for plant-derived proteins in expanding livestock and aquaculture markets, and with the need for reduced fertilizer input and nutrient leaching into subsoil, lupins can offer a viable option for world agriculture in the future. Profit margins for lupin production could be increased through more efficient weed control, increased yield and yield stability, and by increasing grain quality.

9.6.4 Molecular Breeding

Molecular markers can be developed to tag genes of agronomic traits of interest and be applied for markerassisted selection (MAS) in plant breeding in order to increase the efficiency of genetic improvement. Marker development for practical MAS in lupin breeding started in 2001, and the scale of MAS has been continuously increased. Since 2005, about 15,000–25,000 progeny plants from the lupin breeding program in Australia have been screened and selected annually with molecular markers linked to various genes of interest (Yang et al. 2002, 2008; You et al. 2005; Lin et al. 2009).

The first challenge in developing markers applicable to practical MAS is the requirement for the markers to be closely linked to genes of interest and able to be cost-effectively applied to a large number of samples. At present, sequence-specific, simple PCR-based markers best satisfy the requirements as implementable markers for MAS, and these include sequence-tagged microsatellite site (STMS), sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR), sequence-tagged site (STS), and allele-specific PCR (AS-PCR) markers.

In lupin, the DNA fingerprinting method of microsatellite-anchored fragment length polymorphisms (MFLP) has played a pivotal role in marker development for marker-assisted selection (MAS) (Yang et al. 2001). MFLP is highly efficient in generating candidate markers, and MFLP markers can be converted relatively easily into sequence-specific PCR markers desirable for routine implementation (Yang et al. 2001, 2002, 2004). In *L. angustifolius*, MFLP-derived sequence-specific markers have been developed that are linked to genes for anthracnose resistance (Yang et al. 2004, 2008; You et al. 2005), for phomopsis resistance (Yang et al. 2002), for early flowering gene *Ku* (Boersma et al. 2007a), for soft-seed gene *moll* (Boersma et al. 2007b), and for nonshattering genes *ta* (Boersma et al. 2009) and *le* (Boersma et al. 2007c). In *L. albus*, MFLP-derived sequence-specific markers include the marker "PauperM1" linked to the low alkaloid gene *pauper* (Lin et al. 2009) and three markers (WANR1, WANR2, and WANR3) linked to QTLs conferring anthracnose resistance (Yang et al. 2010).

The second challenge for a broad implementation of MAS is that the markers need to be applicable to a wide range of crosses in a breeding program (Holland 2004). In practical MAS in lupin breeding, some markers were found, such as the markers linked to the Ku gene (Boersma et al. 2007a), which were only applicable to a very limited numbers of crosses. Similar to the cases in other crops, most of the molecular markers developed for MAS are not "perfect" (i.e., not part of the gene itself), and certain genetic distances exist between the markers and the genes of interest. As a result, cultivars showing the desirable markers may not necessarily possess the targeted genes and vice versa ("false positive") (You et al. 2005). Having learnt the lessons, a new strategy has now been adapted in marker development work in lupin, where a number of candidate markers are generated by MFLP first; the obtained candidate markers are then validated on key cultivars and breeding lines; and only the candidate markers with the widest applicability are selected and converted into sequence-specific markers for routine MAS in lupin breeding (Yang et al. 2008).

9.7 Future Prospects, Existing Limitations, and Priorities

The agricultural potential of lupin species is just now being reached. Three Old World species (*L. albus*, *L. angustifolius*, *L. luteus*) and one from New World gene pool (*L. mutabilis*) can be considered as domesticated and accepted in the market. However, the domesticated gene pool of modern lupins is narrow in comparison to other major crop species. In fact, direct crossing with wild populations or landrace types is a good way to expand this gene pool. A consequence of the recent domestication is that domesticated lupins are still close genetically to their wild relatives so that significant improvements can be achieved through crosses with them. The prospects for improving the adaptation of lupin crops to different climatic conditions and demands of modern agricultural systems through new scientific and breeding technologies are still excellent. Several more species, such as *L. cosen-tinii*, *L. pilosus*, or *L. atlanticus*, have reached different stages of domestication and each is adapted to different environmental conditions. Domestication of new species can contribute to full exploitation the whole eco-logical potential of the genus and will provide new crops adapted to a wider range of soil and climate conditions (Gladstones 1970).

9.7.1 Germplasm Enhancement

Interspecific crossing within Old World, the New World, and between these two major groups will dramatically increase the genetic base available to breeders. Already, interspecific crossing among the rough-seeded species has led to the full domestication of several base species within that taxonomic clade. Recent progress in developing hybrids between L. angustifolius and L. luteus will add a new dimension of genetic base broadening for each of those species if introgression of traits can be achieved whilst maintaining productivity. Crossing among the New World species, a large number of which share the same chromosome number, should be relatively easy for large groups of taxa, given the phylogenetic relatedness and sheer number of species from which to choose. The genetic variability produced through hybridization among these species, which occupy broad habitats, should be very valuable in the future when breeding lupins for specific environments.

Further evaluation and collection of germplasm from higher altitudes may offer some source of frost resistance and from low rainfall environments for drought tolerance and water use efficiency. Breeding *L. luteus* to tolerate higher soil pH may be possible through the use of germplasm that collected from higher pH sites. Some accessions held in Australian gene banks have been collected by C. M. Francis in Morocco on soils with a pH ranging from 7 to 8.5. Screening for variation among breeding lines or germplasm for uptake of cadmium for bioremediation of contaminated soils may be worthwhile. Little information is available on the status of *L. luteus* in relation to drought tolerance, and a collection of *L. luteus* from drier climates may be worthwhile. More detailed studies to determine if there is genetic variation for nutrient use efficiency both within and among species (including the vast genetic resource in the New World) may be worthwhile in efforts to reduce fertilizer use. A better understanding of the genetics of alkaloid profile in *L. luteus* may provide benefits for aphid resistance, a continuing problem encountered by breeders in Australia. Linking collection site, morphological evaluation data, and genetic data should greatly enhance the smarter use of germplasm for breeding programs and will generally ensure a broad genetic base in sustainable lupin crop improvement.

9.7.2 Use in the Agricultural System and in Feed and Food Markets

World requirement for feed grain, boosted by economic growth in China and India, and the use of grains in biofuels is expected to sustain grain prices and demand. The role of lupins as a feed and use in sustainable cereal and canola crop production will ensure a future for lupins. As part of the trend towards the increased use of plant-derived ingredients in formulation of foods such as dairy and meat analogs, lupin has attracted interest worldwide as a potential high protein food ingredient suitable for human consumption (Sipsas 2008; Sweetingham and Kingwell 2008). New information on the health-promoting properties is emerging, which can bring lupin into roles traditionally played by soybean as well as promoting an identity in the market separate from soybean (Sipsas 2004; Johnson et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006, 2009). This will increase the need for nutritional studies and molecular characterization of lupins to assist breeding for improved seed quality traits. However, care should be taken in introducing lupin products into the human diet, given the potential for allergic reactions similar to peanut (Goggin et al. 2008).

Characteristically high non-starch polysaccharides found in the Old World lupins, particularly *L. angustifolius* and *L. luteus*, are both valuable dietary fibers with cholesterol-lowering properties and negative components that reduce the digestible energy of feed in monogastrics. Better screening methods for this and other seed quality traits such as lutein, β -carotene, and antioxidant compounds will assist the more efficient and rapid development of higher value seed. Sources of genetic variation could be found in germplasm, through wide crossing or by genetic modification. A premium for yellow lupin grain is possible in Australia because of its greater protein, lysine, and sulfur amino acid content (Petterson et al. 1997). A better assessment of available variation in breeding lines and germplasm for protein and sulfur amino acid content in L. luteus may be possible with improved analytical methods (Sipsas 2004; Sipsas et al. 2004) and rapid screening techniques such as near infrared spectroscopy (Pazdernik et al. 1997). Potential for selecting higher protein content in L. angustifolius and gerrmplasm may be possible based on the data of Gladstones and Crosbie (1979) and Buirchell (2008).

Genetically modified (GM) crops such as in soybean have escalated in use in the USA and Brazil. Genetic modification offers more efficient weed management in modern farming systems. It is likely that the development of GM lupins will progress in the future, initially in crops destined for feed markets, and this will open new research opportunities. The major focus will initially be herbicide resistance; however, seed quality and fungal and insect resistance traits may be additional candidates for GM lupins.

9.7.3 Mapping Populations and Markers

Due to the foresight of lupin genetic researchers and breeders in Australia and the UK, there exist valuable RIL mapping populations for three of the major lupin crop species: *L. angustifolius*, *L. albus*, and *L. luteus*. These will be key resources for building our knowledge of lupin genomes and genetic control of domestication and agronomic traits.

Many types of markers have been developed for lupin species, some of which (gene-based STS markers) are transferable between species. However, due to the large effort required to develop STS markers, there are still relatively few available. Strategies for generating many more markers must be developed to more adequately resource lupin genetic research. One strategy being employed in Poland and Australia is BAC-end sequencing of *L. angustifolius* BAC libraries (Foley et al. 2008; Książkiewicz et al. 2008). STS markers can then be developed that exploit variability at microsatellite repeats found in some BAC-end sequences.

With the advent of next generation sequencing platforms (reviewed by Mardis 2008), other approaches for identifying large numbers of STS markers have now become feasible and affordable. While sequencing lupin genomes is still expensive and complex, large-scale sequencing of transcribed genes (also known as the transcriptome) in multiple genotypes is much cheaper and technically simpler. Barbazuk et al. (2007) were the first to describe this approach in a complex crop genome (maize). They used the Roche/ 454 genome sequencing technology to discover thousands of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between two inbred parents of a maize mapping population. The recently reported method described by Maughan et al. (2009) provides a promising alternative whereby SNPs can be identified cheaply by sequencing multiple genotypes using reduced genomic complexity, barcoding and Roche/ 454 genome sequencing. These approaches could equally be applied to the parents of lupin RIL mapping populations and to the SNPs validated and mapped in the RIL populations. SNP array technology is also advancing with several platforms (such as the Illumina Golden Gate or Sequenom custom arrays) that could be used for high-throughput SNP genotyping. The new sequencing technologies could equally be used to develop other types of STS marker such as microsatellite markers; an approach of this type is currently being used to develop microsatellite markers for L. luteus (Maureira Butler and Salvo-Garrido personal communication). The availability of extensively expressed gene sequences would also expedite investigations of candidate genes implicated in traits or in biochemical processes of interest.

9.7.4 Association Mapping in Lupins

Association mapping (AM) is a powerful approach for identifying genes or genomic regions controlling complex traits. AM was pioneered in human and livestock genetics but is now becoming feasible in crop species as a consequence of recent developments in highthroughput SNP marker technology and in computational tools for exploiting population structure in crop species (Zhu et al. 2008). The AM approach is particularly attractive to animal and plant breeders because it increases potential genetic progress in existing breeding material and in germplasm collections without the need to custom-make populations as is the case in the conventional QTL approach. Crucially, AM encompasses more genetic diversity than conventional QTL mapping does and provides greater genetic resolution because it takes advantage of meiotic recombination in many lines over many generations.

Lupin breeding and genetics are well-placed to exploit the AM approach because fully-characterized germplasm collections and breeding populations already exist for the main agricultural lupin species. Good linkage mapping populations are also available, which are essential for providing map locations of markers used in AM and for the independent verification of significant associations identified by AM. The main limiting factor for implementing effective AM in lupin is the restricted availability of sufficiently large numbers of mapped STS markers required to fully exploit the association mapping approach. The SNP discovery and mapping approach described above may offer the best solution to overcome this limiting factor.

There are many benefits that AM could offer to lupin researchers and breeders. Basic research applications of AM include: identifying genes controlling traits of interest; determining the differences and commonalities of the domestication process in the different lupin species; and improving understanding of the genetic diversity in wild and domesticated populations. Breeders could benefit from newly identified marker-trait associations for MAS purposes, from a better understanding of the allelic diversity in their own breeding programs, and from enhanced genetic progress through incorporation of markers in the analysis of field trial data.

9.7.5 Integration of the Genetic and Physical Maps

Recently, cytogenetic mapping of lupins has been developed. It has been focused first on rDNA and some other repetitive sequence mapping. Currently, it concerns mainly the exploitation of a BAC library of the *L. angustifolius* nuclear genome (Kasprzak et al. 2006). BAC clones, including markers for some

valuable traits, have been selected from the library and used as molecular probes for BAC-FISH. Cytogenetic markers for specific chromosomes were developed from physically mapped single-locus BACs. Several markers, generated on the basis of BAC end sequences, were used for genetic mapping (Leśniewska and Naganowska 2009; Przysiecka et al. 2009). The work is aimed on the assigning of linkage groups to particular chromosomes and the complete integration of the physical and genetic maps of *L. angustifolius*.

References

- Adhikari K, Buirchell B, Sweetingham M, Berlandier FA (2003)
 Development of aphid tolerant yellow lupins in Western Australia. In: Crop updates 2003. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia, Australia, pp 220–225
- Adhikari K, Buirchell B, Stefanova K (2006a) Outcrossing and isolation distance in yellow lupins. In: van Santen E, Hill GD (eds) Mexico, where old and new world lupins meet. Proceedings of the 11th international lupin conference, 4–9 May 2005, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico – Canterbury, New Zealand, pp 47–49
- Adhikari K, Buirchell B, Sweetingham M, Thomas G (2006b) Development of anthracnose resistant *L. albus* in Western Australia. In: van Santen E, Hill GD (eds) Mexico, where old and new world lupins meet. Proceedings of the 11th international lupin conference, 4–9 May 2005, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico – Canterbury, New Zealand, pp 57–61
- Ainouche A, Bayer RJ (1999) Phylogenetic relationships in Lupinus (Fabaceae: Papilionoideae) based on internal transcribed spacer sequences (ITS) of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Am J Bot 86:590–607
- Ainouche A, Bayer RJ (2000) Genetic evidence supports the new Anatolian lupine accession, *Lupinus anatolicus*, as an Old World "rough-seeded" lupine (section Scabrispermae) related to *L. pilosus*. Folia Geobot Phytotaxon 35:83–95
- Alami IT, Papineau J, Huyghe C, Al-Faiz C (2004) Collection of the *Lupinus* genus in Morocco. In: van Santen E, Hill GD (eds) Wild and cultivated Lupins from the tropics to the poles. Proceedings of the 10th international lupin conference, 19–24 June 2002, Iceland, pp 64–66
- Allen ON, Allen EK (1981) The *Leguminosae*: a source book of characteristics, uses, and nodulation. MacMillan, London
- Atkins CA, Smith PMC (1997) Genetic transformation and regeneration of legumes. In: Legocki A, Bothe H, Puhler A (eds) Biological fixation of nitrogen for ecology and sustainable agriculture. Springer, Berlin, pp 283–304
- Atkins CA, Pate JS, Layzell DB (1979) Assimilation and transport of nitrogen in non-nodulated (NO₃-grown) *Lupinus albus* L. Plant Physiol 64:1078–1082
- Atkins CA, Smith PMC, Gupta S, Jones MGK, Caligari PDS (1998) Genetics, cytology and biotechnology. In: Gladstones

JS, Atkins CA, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants: biology, production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 67–92

- Attucci S, Aitken SM, Gulick PJ, Ibrahim RK (1995) Farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase from white lupin: molecular cloning, expression, and purification of the expressed protein. Arch Biochem Biophys 321(2):493–500
- Babaoglu M (2000) Protoplast isolation in lupin (*Lupinus mut-abilis* Sweet): determination of optimum explant sources and isolation conditions. Turk J Bot 24:177–185
- Barbazuk WB, Emrich SJ, Chen HD, Li L, Schnable PS (2007) SNP discovery via 454 transcriptome sequencing. Plant J 51:910–918
- Barkholt V, Jensen AL (1989) Amino acid analysis: determination of cysteine plus half-cystine in proteins after hydrochloric acid hydrolysis with a disulfide compound as additive. Anal Biochem 177:318–322
- Barlow P (1981) RBG Kew angiosperm DNA C-values database. http://www.rbgkew.org.uk/cvalues/html/cvalOrigReference. html#346
- Bennett MD, Leitch IJ (2003) Angiosperm DNA C-values database (released 4.0, Jan 2003). http://www.rbgkew.org.uk/ cval/homepage.html
- Bennett MD, Leitch IJ (2005) Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms: progress, problems and prospects. Ann Bot 95:45–90
- Bennett MD, Smith JB (1976) Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 274:227–274
- Berger JD, Adhikari KN, Wilkinson D, Buirchell BJ, Sweetingham MW (2008a) Ecogeography of the Old World lupins. 1. Ecotypic variation in yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.). Aust J Agric Res 59:691–701
- Berger J, Buirchell B, Luckett D, Palta J, Ludwig C, Shrestha D (2008b) G x E analysis of narrow-leafed lupin historical trials indicates little specific adaptation among Australian cultivars. In: Palta JA, Berger JB (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 317–320
- Berger JD, Ludwig C, Buirchell BJ (2008c) Ecogeography of the old world lupins: characterizing the habitat range. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 355–361
- Berlandier FA, Sweetingham MW (2003) Aphid damage causes large losses in susceptible lupin cultivars. Aust J Exp Agric 43:1357–1362
- Bernatzky R, Tanksley SD (1986) Towards a saturated linkage map of tomato based on isozymes and random cDNA sequences. Genetics 112:887–898
- Bertioli D, Moretzsohn M, Madsen L, Sandal N, Leal-Bertioli S, Guimaraes P, Hougaard B, Fredslund J, Schauser L, Nielsen A, Sato S, Tabata S, Cannon S, Stougaard J (2009) An analysis of synteny of *Arachis* with *Lotus* and *Medicago* sheds new light on the structure, stability and evolution of legume genomes. BMC Genomics 10:45
- Blanco GO (1982) Genetic variability of Tarwi (Lupinus mutabilis Sweet). In: Gross R, Bunting ES (eds) Agricultural and nutritional aspects of lupines. Proceedings of the 1st international lupine workshop, Lima-Cuzco, Peru, 12–21 April 1980. Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ), Eschborn, pp 33–49
- Boersma JG, Pallotta M, Li CD, Buirchell BJ, Sivasithamparam K, Yang H (2005) Construction of a genetic linkage map using MFLP and identification of molecular markers linked to domestication genes in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus* angustifolius L.). Cell Mol Biol Lett 10:331–344
- Boersma JG, Buirchell BJ, Sivasithamparam K, Yang H (2007a) Development of a sequence-specific marker linked to the *Ku* gene which removes the vernalization requirement in narrowleafed lupin. Plant Breed 126:306–309
- Boersma JG, Buirchell BJ, Sivasithamparam K, Yang H (2007b) Development of a PCR marker tightly linked to *mollis*, the gene that controls seed dormancy in *Lupinus angustifolius* L. Plant Breed 126:612–616
- Boersma JG, Buirchell BJ, Sivasithamparam K, Yang H (2007c) Development of two sequence-specific PCR markers linked to the *le* gene that reduces pod shattering in narrow-leafed Lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Genet Mol Biol 30:623–629
- Boersma JG, Li C, Leśniewska K, Sivasithamparam K, Yang H (2008) Identification of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) influencing early vigour, height, flowering date, and seed size and their implications for breeding of narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Aust J Agric Res 59:527–535
- Boersma JG, Nelson M, Sivasithamparam K, Yang H (2009) Development of sequence-specific PCR markers linked to the *Tardus* gene that reduces pod shattering in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Mol Breed 23:259–267
- Brand JD, Tang C, Rathjen AJ (2002) Screening rough-seeded lupins (*Lupinus pilosus* Murr. and *Lupinus atlanticus* Glads.) for tolerance to calcareous soils. Plant Soil 245:261–275
- Brennan RF, Bolland MDA (2003) Lupinus luteus cv. Wodjil takes up more phosphorus and cadmium than Lupinus angustifolius cv. Kalya. Plant Soil 248:167–185
- Brien SJ, Cowling WA, Potter RH, Jones RAC, Jones MGK (2000) A molecular marker for early maturity (*Ku*) and marker-assisted breeding of *Lupinus angustifolius*. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millennium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/ Muritz, Germany, pp 115–117
- Brillouet JM, Riochet D (1983) Cell wall polysaccharides and lignin in cotyledons and hulls of seeds from various lupin (*Lupinus* L.) species. J Sci Food Agric 34:861–868
- Brücher H (1968) Die genetischen Reserven Südamerikas für die Kulturpflanzenzüchtung. Theor Appl Genet 38:9–22 (in German)
- Brummund M (2000) History of lupin research and development in Germany from 1945 until 1990. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millenium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/Muritz, Germany, pp 6–13
- Buirchell BJ (1992) Collecting wild *Lupinus* spp. in Morocco. FAO/IBPGR Plant Genet Resour Newsl 90:36–39
- Buirchell BJ (2008) Narrow-leafed lupin breeding in Australia where to from here? In: Palta JA, Berger JB (eds) Lupins for Health and Wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 226–230

- Buirchell BJ, Cowling WA (1992) Domestication of roughseeded lupins. J Agric West Aust 33:131–137
- Buirchell BJ, Cowling WA (1998) Genetic resources in lupins. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants. Biology: production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 41–66
- Caetano-Anolles G (1997) Molecular dissection and improvement of the nodule symbiosis in legumes. Field Crops Res 53:47–68
- Caligari PDS, Romer P, Rahim MA, Huyghe C, Neves-Martins J, Sawicka-Sienkiewicz EJ (2000) The potential of *Lupinus mutabilis* as a crop. In: Knight R (ed) Linking research and marketing opportunities for pulses in the 21st century. Proceedings of the 3rd international food legumes research conference, Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 569–573
- Carstairs SA, Buirchell BJ, Cowling WA (1992) Chromosome number, size and interspecific crossing ability of three Old World lupins, *Lupinus princei* Harms, *L. atlanticus* Gladstones and *L. digitatus* Forskal, and implications for cytosystematic relationships among the rough-seeded lupins. J R Soc West Aust 75:83–88
- Chamber MA, Delgardo MJ (1986) Interaction between combined nitrogen and N₂ fixation in several lupin species. In: Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth, Proceedings of the 4th international lupin conference 15–22 Aug 1986, Geraldton, Australia, pp 309
- Cheng Y, Jones RAC (2000) Biological properties of necrotic and non-necrotic strains of bean yellow mosaic virus in cool season grain legumes. Ann Appl Biol 136:215–227
- Cheng Y, Jones RAC, Thackray DJ (2002) Deploying strain specific hypersensitive resistance to diminish temporal virus spread. Ann Appl Biol 140:69–79
- Choi HK, Kim D, Uhm T, Limpens E, Lim H, Mun JH, Kalo P, Penmetsa RV, Seres A, Kulikova O, Roe BA, Bisseling T, Kiss GB, Cook DR (2004) A sequence-based genetic map of *Medicago truncatula* and comparison of marker colinearity with *M. sativa*. Genetics 166:1463–1502
- Christiansen JL, Raza S, Ortiz R (1999) White lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) germplasm collection and preliminary in situ diversity assessment in Egypt. Genet Resour Crop Evol 46:169–174
- Christiansen JL, Raza S, Jornsgard B, Mahmoud SA, Ortiz R (2000) Potential of landrace germplasm for genetic enhancement of white lupin in Egypt. Genet Resour Crop Evol 47:425–430
- Clements JC, Cowling WA (1990) Summary of wild and semidomesticated lupin accessions introduced to Australia from 1974 to 1989. Aust Plant Intro Rev 21:1–14
- Clements JC, White PF, Buirchell BJ (1993) The root morphology of *Lupinus angustifolius* in relation to other *Lupinus* species. Aus J Agric Res 44:1367–1375
- Clements JC, Cowling WA (1994) Patterns of morphological diversity in relation to geographical origins of wild *Lupinus* angustifolius from the Aegean region. Genet Resour Crop Evol 41:109–112
- Clements JC, Cowling WA, Buirchell BJ (1996) Relationship between morphological variation and geographical origin or selection history *in Lupinus pilosus*. Plant Breed 115:16–22

- Clements JC, Dracup M, Galwey NW (2002) Effect of genotype and environment on proportion of seed hull and pod wall in lupin. Aust J Agric Res 53:1147–1154
- Clements JC, Zvyagin AV, Silva KKMBD, Wanner T, Sampson DD, Cowling WA (2004) Optical coherence tomography as a novel tool for non-destructive measurement of the hull thickness of lupin seeds. Plant Breed, 123:266–270
- Clements JC, Buirchell BJ, Yang H, Smith PMC, Sweetingham MW, Smith CG (2005a) Chapter 9: Lupin. In: Singh R, Jauhar P (eds) Genetic resources, chromosome engineering, and crop improvement, series-II grain legumes. CRC, Boca Raton, FL, pp 231–323
- Clements JC, Dracup M, Buirchell BJ, Smith CG (2005b) Variation for hull and pod wall percentage and other traits in a germplasm collection and historical cultivars of lupins. Aust J Agric Res 56:75–83
- Clements JC, Ma Q, Pate JS (2006) A high chlorophyll genotype in *Lupinus angustifolius* L. In: van Santen E, Hill GD (eds) Wild and cultivated lupins from the tropics to the poles. Proceedings of the 10th international lupin conference, 19–24 June 2002, Laugarvatn, Iceland, pp 18–20
- Clements JC, Prilyuk L, Quealy J, Francis G (2008) Interspecific crossing among the new world lupin species for *L. mutabilis* crop improvement. In: Palta JA, Berger JB (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 324–327
- Clements JC, Chong L, Quealy J, Prilyuk L, Yang H, Francis G, Buirchell BJ (2009) Interspecific hybrids between *Lupinus* angustifolius and L. luteus – an avenue to increase the value of narrow-leafed lupin in Australia. SABRAO J Breed Genet 41 (Spl Suppl)
- Conterato IF, Schifino-Wittmann MT (2006) New chromosome numbers, meiotic behaviour and pollen fertility in American taxa of *Lupinus* (Leguminosae): contributions to taxonomic and evolutionary studies. Bot J Linn Soc 150:229–240
- Cowling WA (2001) *Lupinus* L. In: Maxted N, Bennet SJ (eds) Plant genetic resources of legumes in the Mediterranean. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 191–203
- Cowling WA, Clements JC (1993) Association between collection site soil pH and chlorosis in *Lupinus angustifolius* induced by a fine-textured, alkaline soil. Aust J Agric Res 44:1821–1836
- Cowling WA, Gladstones JS (2000) Lupin breeding in Australia. In: Knight R (ed) Linking research and marketing opportunities for pulses in the 21st century. Proceedings of the 3rd international food legumes research conference. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 541–547
- Cowling WA, Wood PM (1989) Resistance to Phomopsis stem and pod blight of narrow-leafed lupin in a range of environments and its association with reduced Phomopsis seed infection. Aust J Exp Agric 29:43–50
- Cowling WA, Sweetingham MW, Diepeveen D, Cullis BR (1997) Heritability of resistance to brown spot and root rot of narrow-leafed lupins caused by *Pleiochaeta setoza* (Kirchn.) Hughes in field experiments. Plant Breed 116:341–345
- Cowling WA, Buirchell BJ, Tapia ME (1998a) Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops, Lupins – Lupinus spp. IPK/IPGRI, Gatersleben, Germany/ Rome, Italy

- Cowling WA, Huyghe C, Święcicki W (1998b) Lupin breeding. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants. Biology, production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 93–120
- Cowling WA, Buirchel BJ, Sweetingham MW, Yang H, Thomas G, Luckett DJ, Brown AGP, Hamblin J (2000) Anthracnose resistance in lupins: an innovative Australian research effort 1996–1998. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millennium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999, Klink/Muritz, Germany, Canterbury, New Zealand, pp 60–62
- Cristofolini G (1989) A serological contribution to the systematics of the genus *Lupinus* (Fabaceae). Plant Syst Evol 166:265–278
- Cronk Q, Ojeda I, Pennington RT (2006) Legume comparative genomics: progress in phylogenetics and phylogenomics. Curr Opin Plant Biol 9:99–103
- Croxford AE, Rogers T, Caligari PDS, Wilkinson MJ (2008) High-resolution melt analysis to identify and map sequencetagged site anchor points onto linkage maps: a white lupin (*Lupinus albus*) map as an exemplar. New Phytol 180:594–607
- Cubero JI, López-Bellido L (1986) The potential of lupins in agriculture of the Mediterranean basin. In: Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth, Proceedings of the 4th international lupin conference, 15–22 Aug 1986, Geraldton, Australia, pp 129–137
- Culvenor CCJ, Petterson DS (1986) Lupin toxins alkaloids and phomopsins. In: Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth. Proceedings of the 4th international lupin conference, 15–22 Aug 1986, Geraldton, Australia, pp 188–198
- Davies CL, Turner DW, Dracup M (2000) Yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus*) tolerates waterlogging better than narrow-leafed lupin (*L. angustifolius*). I. Shoot and root growth in a controlled environment. Aust J Agric Res 51:701–709
- Daza A, Chamber MA (1993) Plant regeneration from hypocotyl segments of *Lupinus luteus* L. cv. Aurea. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 34:303–305
- De Haro A, Martin LM, Cubero JI (1982) Variations existing in indigenous populations of Lupinus of agricultural interest.
 In: López-Bellido L (ed) Proceedings of the 2nd international lupin conference, 3–6 May 1982, Torremolinos, Spain, pp 25–28
- Deckert J, Jeleńska J, Zaborowska Z, Legocki AB (1997) Isolation and classification of a family of cyclin gene homologues in *Lupinus luteus*. Acta Biochim Pol 44(1):37–42
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL, Ballenger JA, Dickson EE, Kajita T, Ohashi H (1997) A phylogeny of the chloroplast gene *rbcL* in the Leguminosae: taxonomic correlations and insights into the evolution of nodulation. Am J Bot 84:541–554
- Dracup M, Thomson R (2000) Restricted branching narrowleafed lupin 2. Cross-pollination. Aust J Agric Res 51: 1011–1015
- Drossos E, Symeonidis L, Karataglis S (1996) A contribution to the study of three taxa of the genus *Lupinus* from North Greece. Feddes Repert 107:219–223
- Dunn DB (1984) Cytotaxonomy and distribution of new world lupin species. In: Proceedings of the 3rd international lupin conference, 4–8 June 1984, La Rochelle, France, pp 67–85

- Dwivedi SL, Upadhyaya HD, Jayashree B, Buhariwalla HK, Blair MW, Ortiz R, Crouch JH, Serraj R (2006) Using genomics to exploit grain legume biodiversity in crop improvement. Plant Breed Rev 26:171–357
- Eastwood RJ, Hughes CE (2008) Origins of domestication of lupinus mutabilis in the Andes. In: Palta JA, Berger JB (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 373–379
- Eastwood RJ, Drummond CS, Schifino-Wittmann MT, Hughes CE (2008) Diversity and evolutionary history of lupins – insights from new phylogenies. In: Palta JA, Berger JB (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 346–354
- Edwards AC, Barneveld RJ (1998) Lupins for livestock and fish. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants. Biology: production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 385–410
- Edwards OR, Ridsdill-Smith TJ, Berlandier FA (2003) Aphids do not avoid resistance in Australian lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*, *L. luteus*) varieties. Bull Entomol Res 93:403–411
- El-Mayas H (1999) Selection of an effective strain of *Rhizobium lupini* on *Lupinus nootkatensis* Donn., used in reclamation of low temperature areas in Iceland. In: Hill GD (ed) Towards the 21st century. Proceedings of the 8th international lupin conference, 11–16 May 1996, Asilomar, CA, USA, pp 16–19
- Emerson RA, Beadle GW, Fraser AC (1935) A summary of linkage studies in maize. Cornell Univ Agric Exp Stn Memoir 180:1–83
- Evans AJ (1994) The carbohydrates of lupins, compositions and uses. In: Dracup M, Palta J, (eds) Proceedings of the 1st Australian lupin technical symposium, Department of Agriculture, 17–21 Oct 1994, Perth, Australia, pp 110–114
- Evans J (2005) An evaluation of potential Rhizobium inoculant strains used for pulse production in acidic soils of south-east Australia. Aust J Exp Agric 45:257–268
- Evans J, O'Connor GE, Turner GL, Bergersen FJ (1987) Influence of mineral nitrogen on nitrogen fixation by lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) as assessed by ¹⁵N isotope dilution methods. Field Crops Res 17:109–120
- Evans J, McNeill AM, Unkovich MJ, Fettell NA, Heenan DP (2001) Net nitrogen balances for cool-season grain legume crops and contributions to wheat nitrogen uptake: a review. Aust J Exp Agric 41:347–359
- FAOSTAT. 2009. FAO Statistics Division. ProdSTAT Crops. http://faostat.fao.org/
- Foley R, Gao L, Lichtenzveig J, Smith E, Shi B, Atkins C, Rosen B, Carrasquilla-Garcia N, Farmer A, Penmetsa V, Cook D, Singh K (2008) How can the genomic revolution help improve lupins. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 231–235
- Forbes I, Leuck DB, Edwardson JR, Burns RE (1971) Natural cross-pollination in blue lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) in Georgia and Florida. Crop Sci 11:851–854
- Francis CM, Robertson LD, Demissie A (1997) Collection of plant genetic resources in Ethiopia, January 1997. ACIAR Project Report, Australia
- French RJ, Sweetingham MW, Shea GG (2001) A comparison of the adaptation of yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.) and

narrow-leafed lupin (*L. angustifolius* L.) to acid sandplain soils in low rainfall agricultural areas of Western Australia. Aust J Agric Res 52:945–954

- Fudiyansyah N, Petterson DS, Bell RR, Fairbrother AH (1995) A nutritional, chemical and sensory evaluation of lupin (L. angustifolius) tempe. Int J Food Sci Technol 30:291–305
- Gammar ZG, Puech S, Zouaghi M (1999) Flow cytometry DNA assay of Mediterranean lupins. Candollea 54:45–56
- Garcia-Lopez PM, Kachlicki P, Zamora-Natera F, Ruiz-Moreno J, Stobiecki M (2006) Profiling isoflavone conjugates in different organs of *Lupinus exaltatus* Zucc. Food Sci Biotechnol 15:24–27
- Gepts P, Beavis WD, Brummer EC, Shoemaker RC, Stalker HT, Weeden NF, Young ND (2005) Legumes as a model plant family. Genomics for food and feed report of the crosslegume advances through genomics conference. Plant Physiol 137:1228–1235
- Ghrabi GZ, Puech S, Zouaghi M (1999) Flow cytometry DNA assay of Mediterranean lupins. Candollea 54:45–56
- Gladstones JS (1967) Selection for economic characters in Lupinus angustifolius and Lupinus digitatus. 1. Non-shattering pods. Aust J Exp Agric Anim Husb 7:360–366
- Gladstones JS (1970) Lupins as crop plants. Field Crops Abstr 23:123–148
- Gladstones JS (1974) Lupins of the Mediterranean region and Africa. Tech Bull No 26. Department of Agriculture of Western Australia, South Perth, Australia
- Gladstones JS (1984) Present situation and potential of Mediterranean/African lupins for crop rotation. In: Proceedings of the 3rd international lupin conference, 4–8 June 1984, La Rochelle, France, pp 18–37
- Gladstones, JS (1994) An historical review of lupins in Australia. In: Dracup M, Palta J (eds) Proceedings of the 1st Australian lupin technical symposium, Department of Agriculture, Western Australia, 17–21 Oct 1994, South Perth, Australia, pp 1–38
- Gladstones JS (1998) Distribution, origin, taxonomy, history and importance. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants. Biology: production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 1–39
- Gladstones JS, Crosbie GB (1979) Wild types introduced into Western Australia to 1973: collection site data, preliminary ratings of field characteristics and disease reactions, and measurements of seed protein and oil contents. Tech Bull 43. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia, Perth, Australia
- Goggin DE, Mir G, Smith WB, Stuckey M, Smith PMC (2008) Proteomic analysis of lupin seed proteins to identify conglutin beta as an allergen. J Agric Food Chem 56:6370–6377
- Gonzalez-Sama A, Lucas MM, de Felipe MR, Pueyo JJ (2004) An unusual infection mechanism and nodule morphogenesis in white lupin (*Lupinus albus*). New Phytol 163:371–380
- Green AG, Brown A, Oram R (1980) Determination of outcrossing rate in a breeding population of *Lupinus albus* L. (White Lupin). Z Pflanzenzücht 84:181–191
- Gremigni P, Wong MTF, Edwards NK, Harris D, Hamblin J (2001) Potassium nutrition effects on seed alkaloid concentrations, yield and mineral content of lupins (*Lupinus angustifolius*). Plant Soil 234:131–142
- Gross R (1986) First Reinhold von Sengbusch memorial lecture: Lupins in the old and new world – a biological-cultural

coevolution. In: Department of Agriculture, Western Australia, South Perth. Proceedings of the 4th international lupin conference, 15–22 Aug 1986, Geraldton, Australia, pp 244–277

- Guines F, Herzic N, Huyghe C (2000) Particle mediated gene transfer to white lupin apical meristem Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/ Muritz, Germany, p 119
- Gupta S, Buirchell BJ, Cowling WA (1996) Interspecific reproductive barriers and genomic similarity among the roughseeded *Lupinus* species. Plant Breed 115:123–127
- Gurfinkel DM, Rao AV (2002) Determination of saponins in legumes by direct densitometry. J Agric Food Chem 50:426–430
- Hackbarth J, Troll HJ (1956) Lupinen als Körnerleguminosen und Futterpflanzen. In: Kapert H, Rudolf W (eds) Handbuch der Pflanzenzüchtung. Part IV. Paul Parey, Berlin, pp 1–51
- Hajdera I, Siwinska D, Hasterok R, Maluszynska J (2003) Molecular cytogenetic analysis of genome structure in *Lupinus angustifolius* and *Lupinus cosentinii*. Theor Appl Genet 107(6):988–996
- Hall RS, Johnson SK, Baxter AL, Ball MJ (2005a) Lupin kernel fibre-enriched foods beneficially modify serum lipids in men. Eur J Clin Nutr 59:325–333
- Hall RS, Thomas SJ, Johnson SK (2005b) Australian sweet lupin flour addition reduced the glycaemic index of a white bread breakfast without affecting palatability in healthy human volunteers. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 14:91–97
- Hartung W, Turner NC (1997) Abscisic acid relations in stressed roots. In: Altman A, Waisel Y (eds) The biology of root formation and development. Plenum, New York, pp 125–132
- Hartwig EE (1996) Registration of soybean germplasm line D90-7256 having high seed protein and low oligosaccharides. Crop Sci 36:212
- Helentjaris T, Slocum M, Wright S, Schaefer A, Nienhuis J (1986) Construction of genetic linkage maps in maize and tomato using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Theor Appl Genet 72:761–769
- Herridge DF, Brockwell J (1988) Contributions of fixed nitrogen and soil nitrate to the nitrogen economy of irrigated soybean. Soil Biol Biochem 20:711–717
- Herridge DF, Rose I (2000) Breeding for enhanced nitrogen fixation in crop legumes. Field Crops Res 65:229–248
- Hocking PJ, Jeffery S (2004) Cluster-root production and organic anion exudation in a group of old-world lupins and a new-world lupin. Plant Soil 258:135–150
- Holland JB (2004) Implementation of molecular markers for quantitative traits in breeding programs – challenges and opportunities. Proceedings of the 4th international crop science congress, Brisbane, Australia. http//:www. cropscience.org.au
- Hollung K, Øverland M, Hrusticä M, Sekulicä P, Miladinovicä J, Martens H, Narum B, Sahlstrøm S, Sørensen M, Storebakken T, Skrede A (2005) Evaluation of nonstarch polysaccharides and oligosaccharide content of different soybean varieties (*Glycine max*) by near-infrared spectroscopy and proteomics. J Agric Food Chem 53:9112–9121
- Hondelmann W (1984) The lupin ancient and modern crop plant. Theor Appl Genet 68:1–9

- Hondelmann W (2000) The history of lupin in Germany from the 18th to the mid-20th century. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millennium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/Muritz, Germany, pp 2–5
- Hove EL, King S, Hill GD (1978) Composition, protein quality, and toxins of the grain legumes *Glycine max*, *Lupinus spp.*, *Phaseolus spp.*, *Pisum sativum*, and *Vicia faba*. N.Z. J Agri Res 21:457–462
- Howieson J, O'Hara GW (2008) Nitrogen fixation by lupins in Western Australia: which microbes are responsible, from where did they originate, and can we intercede? In: Palta JA, Berger JB (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Australia, pp 47–50
- Howieson JG, Fillery IRP, Legocki AB, Sikorski MM, Stepkowski T, Minchin FR, Dilworth MJ (1998) Nodulation, nitrogen fixation and nitrogen balance. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins CA, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants: biology, production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 149–180
- Hughes C, Eastwood R (2006) Island radiation on a continental scale: exceptional rates of plant diversification after uplift of the Andes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 10334–10339
- Huyghe C (1997) White lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.). Field Crops Res 53:147–160
- Huyghe C (1998) Genetics and genetic modifications of plant architecture in grain legumes: a review. Agronomie 18:383–411
- IBPGR (1981) Lupin descriptors. IBPGR Secretariat, Rome, Italy
- Jackson FLC (1991) Secondary compounds in plants (allelochemicals) as promoters of human biological variability. Annu Rev Anthropol 20:505–546
- Jiménez-Martínez C, Hernández-Sánchez H, Dávila-Ortiz G (2007) Diminution of quinolizidine alkaloids, oligosaccharides and phenolic compounds from two species of *Lupinus* and soybean seeds by the effect of *Rhizopus oligosporus*. J Sci Food Agric 87:1315–1322
- Johnson ND, Liu B, Bentley BL (1987) The effects of nitrogen fixation, soil nitrate, and defoliation on the growth, alkaloids, and nitrogen levels of *Lupinus succulentus* (Fabaceae). Oecologia 74:425–431
- Johnson SK, Chua V, Hall RS, Baxter AL (2006) Lupin kernel fibre foods improve bowel function and beneficially modify some putative faecal risk factors for colon cancer in men. Br J Nutr 95:372
- Jones MGK (1996) Progress towards engineered resistance to viral diseases in lupins. In: Proceedings of the 4th Western Australia lupin update meeting for advisers and consultants, 20 Feb 1996, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia, pp 9–10
- Jones RAC (2001) Developing integrated disease management strategies against non-persistently aphid-borne viruses: a model program. Integr Pest Manag Rev 6:1–46
- Jones RAC, Coutts BA, Cheng Y (2003) Yield limiting potential of necrotic and non-necrotic strains of *Bean yellow mosaic virus* in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*). Austr J Agri Res 54:849–859

Jones RAC, McLean GD (1989) Virus diseases of lupins. Ann Appl Biol 114:609–637

- Jones RAC, Cowling WA (1995) Resistance to seed transmission of cucumber mosaic virus in narrow-leafed lupins (*Lupinus angustifolius*). Aust J Agric Res 46:1339–1352
- Jones RAC, Latham LJ (1996) Natural resistance to cucumber mosaic virus in lupin species. Ann Appl Biol 129 (523):542
- Jordan DC (1982) Transfer of *Rhizobium japonicum* Buchanan 1980 to *Bradyrhizobium* gen. nov., a genus of slow-growing, root nodule bacteria from leguminous plants. Int J Syst Bacteriol 32:136–139
- Julier B, Huyghe C (1993) Description and model of the architecture of four genotypes of determinate autumn-sown white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) as influenced by location, sowing data and density. Ann Bot 72:493–501
- Kaczmarek A, Naganowska B, Wolko B (2007) PRINS and C-PRINS: promising tools for physical mapping of the lupin genome. Cell Mol Biol Lett 12(1):16–24
- Kaczmarek A, Naganowska B, Wolko B (2009) Karyotyping of the narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) by using FISH, PRINS and computer measurements of chromosomes. J Appl Genet 50(2):77–82
- Karłowski WM, Stróżycki PM, Legocki AB (2000) Characterization and expression analysis of the yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.) gene coding for nodule specific proline-rich protein. Acta Biochim Pol 47:371–383
- Kasprzak A, Šafář J, Janda J, Doležel J, Wolko B, Naganowska B (2006) The bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library of the narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Cell Mol Biol Lett 11:396–407
- Käss E, Wink M (1994) Molecular phylogeny of lupins. In: Neves-Martins JM, Beirao da Costa ML (eds) Advances in lupin research. Proceedings of the 7th international lupin conference, 18–23 April 1994. Technical University of Lisbon, Evora, Portugal, pp 267–270
- Käss E, Wink M (1995) Molecular phylogeny of the Papilionoideae (Family Leguminosae): *rbcL* gene sequences versus chemical taxonomy. Bot Acta 108:149–162
- Käss E, Wink M (1997) Molecular phylogeny and phylogeography of *Lupinus* (Leguminosae) inferred from nucleotide sequences of the rbcL gene and ITS 1 + 2 regions of rDNA. Plant Syst Evol 208:139–167
- Kasten W, Kunert R (1991) A culture method for isolated embryos of different *Lupinus* species. Biol Zent Bl 110:290–300
- Kasten W, Paradies T, Kunert R, Straka P (1991) Progress in realization of interspecific hybrids in the genus *Lupinus* by means of an embryo rescue technique. Biol Zent Bl 110:290–300
- Kerley SJ, Norgaard C, Leach JE, Christiansen JL, Huyghe C, Römer P (2002) The development of potential screens based on shoot calcium and iron concentrations for the evaluation of tolerance in Egyptian genotypes of white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) to limed soils. Ann Bot 89:341–349
- King CA, Purcell LC (2005) Inhibition of N2 fixation in soybean is associated with elevated ureides and amino acids. Plant Physiol 137:1389–1396
- Konieczny A, Jensen EØ, Marcker A, Legocki AB (1987) Molecular cloning of lupin leghemoglobin cDNA. Mol Biol Rep 12:61–66

- Książkiewicz M, Karłowski W, Yang H, Wolko B (2008) Physical and genetic analysis of genome region conferring the resistance to fungal pathogens in narrow-leafed lupin. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Australia, pp 263–266
- Kurlovich BS (2002a) The history of lupin domestication. In: Kurlovich BS (ed) Lupins: geography, classification, genetic resources and breeding. OY International North Express, St Petersburg, Russia, pp 147–164
- Kurlovich BS (2002b) Eco-geographic classification of lupins (*L. albus* L., *L. angustifolius* L. and *L. Luteus* L.). In: Kurlovich BS (ed) Lupins: geography, classification, genetic resources and breeding. OY International North Express, St Petersburg, Russia, pp 89–145
- Kurlovich BS, Stankevich AK (2002) Classification of lupins. In: Kurlovich BS (ed) Lupins: geography, classification, genetic resources and breeding. OY International North Express, St Petersburg, Russia, pp 39–87
- Kurlovich BS, Stoddard FL, Earnshaw P (2008) Potential and problems of *Lupinus polyphyllus* Lindl domestication. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 304–307
- Lagercrantz U, Lydiate DJ (1996) Comparative genome mapping in *Brassica*. Genetics 144:1903–1910
- Lagunes-Espinoza LC, Huyghe C, Papineau J, Pacault D (1999) Effect of genotype and environment on pod wall proportion in white lupin: consequences to seed yield. Aust J Agric Res 50:575–582
- Lamberts H (1955) Broadening the bases for breeding of yellow sweet lupin. Euphytica 4:97–106
- Landers KE (1995) Vernalization response in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*) genotypes. Aust J Agric Res 46:1011–1025
- Lavin M, Herendeen P, Wojciechowski M (2005) Evolutionary rates analysis of Leguminosae implicates a rapid diversification of lineages during the tertiary. Syst Biol 54:575–594
- Le Gal MF, Lecocoq FM, Hallett JN (1986) The reserve proteins of mature cotyledons of *Lupinus albus* var. Lucky. II. Relationship with the nuclear DNA content. Protoplasma 130:128–137
- Lee YP, Mori TA, Barden A, Puddey IB, Sipsas S, Bourke V, Hall RS, Hodgson JM (2006) Lupin-enriched bread increases satiety and reduces energy intake acutely. Am J Clin Nutr 84:975–980
- Lee MJ, Pate JS, Harris DJ, Atkins CA (2007) Synthesis, transport and accumulation of quinolizidine alkaloids in *Lupinus* albus L. and L. angustifolius L. J Exp Bot 58:935–946
- Lee YP, Mori TA, Puddey IB, Sipsas S, Ackland TR, Beilin LJ, Hodgson JM (2009) Effects of lupin kernel flour-enriched bread on blood pressure: a controlled intervention study. Am J Clin Nutr 89:766–772
- Leśniewska K, Naganowska B (2009) Assigning linkage groups to *Lupinus angustifolius* chromosomes. In: Kononowicz AK, Mikołajczyk E, Maszewski J (eds) The challenges of contemporary cell biology (molecular genetics, system biology, bioinformatics). Proceedings conference, 20–21 April 2009, Łódź, Poland, pp 34–35
- Leśniewska K, Chudy M, Święcicki WK, Naganowska B, Wolko B (2009) BAC-FISH and genetic mapping in *Lupinus*

angustifolius genome. In: Plant and animal genome XVII conference, 10–14 Jan 2009, San Diego, CA, USA. http://www.intl-pag.org/17/abstracts/P05f_PAGXVII_382.html

- Li H, Wylie SJ, Jones MGK (2000) Transgenic yellow lupin (Lupinus luteus). Plant Cell Rep 19:634–637
- Lin R, Renshaw D, Luckett D, Clements J, Yan G, Adhikari K, Buirchell B, Sweetingham M, Yang H (2009) Development of a sequence-specific PCR marker linked to the gene "pauper" conferring low-alkaloids in white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) for marker assisted selection. Mol Breed 23:153–161
- Liu JQ, Samac DA, Bucciarelli B, Allan DL, Vance CP (2005) Signaling of phosphorus deficiency-induced gene expression in white lupin requires sugar and phloem transport. Plant J 41:257–268
- López Bellido L (1991) Ten years of ILA. In: von Bayer D (ed) Proceedings of the 6th international lupin conference, 25–30 Nov 1990, Temuco-Pucon, Chile, pp 1–6
- Luckett DJ, Cowley RB, Richards MF, Roberts DM (2008) Improved methodology for screening for resistance to *Pleiochaeta setosa* root rot in *Lupinus albus*. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 447–450
- Lush WM, Evans LT (1980) The seed coats of cowpeas and other grain legumes: structure in relation to function. Field Crops Res 3:267–286
- Ma Q, Longnecker N, Emery N, Atkins CA (1998) Growth and yield in *Lupinus angustifolius* are depressed by early transient nitrogen deficiency. Aust J Agric Res 49:811–819
- MacArthur JW (1934) Linkage groups in tomato. J Genet 29:123-133
- Macbride JF (1943) Flora of Peru. Fieldiana, Botany 13:74-83
- Maciel HS, Schifino-Wittmann MT (2002) First chromosome number determination in southeastern South American species of *Lupinus* L. (Leguminosae). Bot J Linn Soc 139:395–400
- Macknight RC, Reynolds PHS, Farnden KJF (1995) Analysis of the lupin Nodulin-45 promoter: conserved regulatory sequences are important for promoter activity. Plant Mol Biol 27:457–466
- Maissurjan NA, Atabiekova AI (1974) Lupin. Kolos, Moscow, pp. 463
- Mardis ER (2008) Next-generation DNA sequencing methods. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet 9:387–402
- Matiru VN, Dakora ED (2005) Xylem transport and shoot accumulation of lumichrome, a newly recognized rhizobial signal, alters root respiration, stomatal conductance, leaf transpiration and photosynthetic rates in legumes and cereals. New Phytol 165:847–855
- Maughan P, Yourstone S, Jellen E, Udall J (2009) SNP discovery via genomic reduction, barcoding, and 454-pyrosequencing in Amaranth. Plant Genome 2:260
- Mera M, Harcha C, Miranda H, Rouanet JL (2004) Genotypic and environmental effects on pod wall proportion and pod wall specific weight in *Lupinus angustifolius*. Aust J Agric Res 55:397–406
- Merino EF, Planchuelo AM, Wink M (2000) Phylogenetic analysis of *Lupinus*. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Roemer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millenium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/Müritz, Germany, pp 287–290

- Milford GFJ, Day JM, Leach JE, Stevenson HJ, Huyghe C, Papineau J (1993) The effect of modifying plant structure on yield and maturity of the white lupin *Lupinus albus*. Ann Appl Biol 122:113–122
- Miller MS, Pepper IL (1988) Physiological and biochemical characteristics of a fast-growing strain of lupin rhizobia isolated from the Sonoran Desert. Soil Biol Biochem 20:319–322
- Molvig L, Tabe LM, Eggum BO, Moore AE, Craig S, Spencer D, Higgins TJV (1997) Enhanced methionine levels and increased nutritive value of seeds of transgenic lupins (*Lupi-nus angustifolius* L.) expressing a sunflower seed albumin gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:8393–8398
- Moore G, Devos KM, Wang Z, Gale MD (1995) Cereal genome evolution – grasses, line up and form a circle. Curr Biol 5:737–739
- Mugnier J (1988) Establishment of new axenic hairy root lines by inoculation with *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. Plant Cell Rep 7:9–12
- Mujica A (1994) Andean grains and legumes. In: Hernándo Bermejo JE, León J (eds) Neglected crops: 1492 from a different perspective. Plant production and protection series No 26. FAO, Rome, Italy, pp 131–148
- Nadolska-Orczyk A (1992) Somatic embryogenesis of agriculturally important lupin species (*Lupinus angustifolius*, *L. albus*, *L. mutabilis*). Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 28:19–25
- Naganowska B, Kaczmarek A (2005) Repetitive DNA sequences in cytogenetic studies of the *Lupinus* genome. In: van Santen E, Hill GD (eds) Mexico, where old and new world lupins meet. Proceedings of the 11th international lupin conference, 4–9 May 2005, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico, Canterbury, New Zealand, pp 27–29
- Naganowska B, Ładoń D (2000) Chromosomes of Lupinus hispanicus subsp. hispanicus Boiss. et Reut., L. luteus L. and their hybrids. J Appl Genet 41(3):167–170
- Naganowska B, Leśniewska K (2008) Cytogenetic mapping of the *Lupinus angustifolius* genome. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 291–293
- Naganowska B, Zielińska A (2002) Physical mapping of 18S-25S rDNA and 5S rDNA in *Lupinus* by fluorescent in situ hybridization. Cell Mol Biol Lett 7(2B):665–670
- Naganowska B, Doležel J, Święcicki WK (2003a) Development of molecular cytogenetics and physical mapping of ribosomal RNA genes in *Lupinus*. Biol Plant 46(2):211–215
- Naganowska B, Wolko B, Śliwińska E, Kaczmarek Z (2003b) Nuclear DNA content variation and species relationships in the genus *Lupinus* (Fabaceae). Ann Bot 92:349–355
- Naganowska B, Wolko B, Śliwińska E, Kaczmarek Z, Schifino-Wittmann MT (2006) 2C DNA variation and relationships among new world species of the genus *Lupinus* (Fabaceae). Plant Syst Evol 256:147–157
- Narożna D, Paś J, Schneider J, Mądrzak CJ (2004) Two sequences encoding chalcone synthase in yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.) nay have evolved by gene duplication. Cell Mol Biol Lett 9:95–105
- Nelson MN, Phan HTT, Ellwood SR, Moolhuijzen PM, Hane J, Williams A, O'Lone CE, Fosu-Nyarko J, Scobie M, Cakir M, Jones MGK, Bellgard M, Ksiażkiewicz M, Wolko B, Barker

SJ, Oliver RP, Cowling WA (2006) The first gene-based map of *Lupinus angustifolius* L. – location of domestication genes and conserved synteny with *Medicago truncatula*. Theor Appl Genet 113(2):225–238

- Nelson MN, Moolhuijzen PM, Boersma JG, Chudy M, Lesniewska K, Bellgard M, Oliver RP, Święcicki W, Wolko B, Cowling WA, Ellwood SR (2010) Aligning a new reference genetic map of *Lupinus angustifolius* with the genome sequence of the model legume, *Lotus japonicus*. DNA Res 17(2):73–83. doi:10.1093/dnares/dsq001
- Neves-Martins JM (1986) Pattern types of *L. albus* populations from Portugal after multivariate analysis. In: Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth. Proceedings of the 4th international lupin conference, 15–22 Aug 1986, Geraldton, Australia, p 282
- Neves-Martins JM (1994) Characterization in *Lupinus albus* and *Lupinus mutabilis* populations types. In: Neves-Martins JM, Beirao da Costa ML (eds) Advances in lupin research. Proceedings of the 7th international lupin conference, 18–23 April 1994. Technical University of Lisbon, Evora, Portugal, pp 65–69
- Nowacki E, Prus-Glowacki W (1971) Differentiation of protein fractions in species and varieties of the genus *Lupinus* with the use of serological methods. Genet Pol 12:245–260
- Nowacki E, Oledzka T, Batco A (1988) Taximetrics of *Lupinus* albus L. sensu lato. In: Twardowski T (ed) Proceedings of the 5th international lupin conference, 5–8 July 1988, Poznań, Poland, pp 434–438
- Nuc P, Nuc K, Szweykowska-Kulińska Z, Pawełkiewicz J (1997) Nucleotide sequence of nuclear tRNA^{Gly} genes and tRNA^{Gly} pseudogenes from yellow lupine (*Lupinus luteus*): expression of the tRNA^{Gly} genes in vitro and in vivo. Acta Biochim Pol 44:259–274
- Nuc K, Nuc P, Słomski R (2001) Yellow lupin cyclophilin transcripts are highly accumulated in the nodule meristem zone. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 14(12):1384–1394
- Obermayer R, Święcicki WK, Greilhuber J (1999) Flow cytometric determination of genome size in some Old World *Lupinus* species (Fabaceae). Plant Biol 1:403–407
- Olczak T, Rurek M, Janska H, Augustyniak H, Sawicka-Sienkiewicz EJ (2001) Screening of cytoplasmic DNA diversity between and within *Lupinus mutabilis* Sweet and *Lupinus albus* sensu lato by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP). J Appl Genet 42:127–137
- Oldershaw AW (1925) Lupins and light land. Agriculture, London, UK 32:316–325
- Olszewska MJ, Legocki A (1989) Changes in DNA content during rhizobial nodule development in *Lupinus luteus* L. Cytophotometry and autoradiography. Biol Zentralbl 108:221–230
- Oram RN (1983) Selection for higher seed yield in the presence of the deleterious low alkaloid allele *iucundis* in *Lupinus angustifolius* L. Field Crops Res 7:169–180
- Palta JA, Turner NC, French B, Buirchell B (2003) Selection for high lupin yield under terminal drought. Crop updates 2003. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia
- Palta JA, Turner NC, French RJ (2004) The yield performance of lupin genotypes under terminal drought in a Mediterranean environment. Aust J Agric Res 55:449–459

- Papineau J, Huyghe C (1992) Collecting white lupin in the Azores. FAO/IBPGR Plant Genet Resour Newsl 88 (89):77–78
- Pascual H (2004) Lupinus mariae-josephi (Fabaceae), new and surprising species discovered in Spain. An Jard Bot Madr 61:69–72
- Pascual H, Bellostas N, Guillaume L (2006) Lupinus mariaejosephi H. Pascual – a new lupin species adapted to calcareous soils. Grain Legum 46(2):8–9
- Passarge E, Horsthemke B, Farber RA (1999) Incorrect use of the term synteny. Nat Genet 23:387–387
- Pate JS, Williams W, Farrington P (1985) Lupin (*Lupinus* spp.).
 In: Summerfield RJ, Roberts EH (eds) Grain legume crops. Collins, London, pp 37–72
- Pate JS, Emery RJN, Atkins CA (1998) Transport physiology and partitioning. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins CA, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants: biology, production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 181–226
- Pazdernik DL, Killam AS, Orf JH (1997) Analysis of amino and fatty acid composition in soybean seed, using near infrared reflectance spectroscopy. Agron J 89:679–685
- Pazy B, Heyn CC, Herrnstadt I, Plitmann U (1977) Studies in populations of the old world *Lupinus* species. I. Chromosomes of the East-Mediterranean lupines. Isr J Bot 26:115–127
- Peel DNY, Galwey NW (1999) Identification of stable plant architecture: the key to development of *Lupinus albus* as a crop for Northern Europe. In: Hill GD (ed) Towards the 21st century. Proceedings of the 8th international lupin conference, 11–16 May 1996, Asilomar, California, USA, pp 346–348
- Pepper IL (1991) Physiological adaptation of rhizobia to improve nitrogen fixation in desert environments. In: BishayA, Dregne H (eds) Desert development. Part 1: desert agriculture, ecology and biology. Proceedings of the 2nd international desert development conference, Cairo, Egypt, pp 293–304
- Pereira H, Feio R, Talhinhas P, Neves-Martins J (2000) Characterization and evaluation of *Lupinus albus*, *L. angustifolius* and *L. luteus* accessions of the DBEB gene bank. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millennium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/Muritz, Germany, pp 138–144
- Perez-Galdona R, Donate-Correa J, Rivas R, Velazquez E, Hernandez M, Temprano F, Martinez-Molina E, Ruiz-Argueso T, Leon-Barrios M (2004) Genetic diversity of bradyrhizobial populations from diverse geographic origins that nodulate *Lupinus* spp. and *Ornithopus* spp. Syst Appl Microbiol 26:611–623
- Perrey R, Warskulat U, Wink M (1990) (1990) Molecular cloning of a cDNA for the ubiquitin gene of *Lupinus polyphyllus*. Nucleic Acids Res 18(21):6428
- Perrino P, Hammer K, Hanelt P (1984) Collection of land-races of cultivated plants in Southern Italy 1983. Kulturpflanze 32:207–216
- Perrisse P, Torres L, Planchuelo AM (2000) Chromosome studies in some members of *Lupinus* (Fabaceae: Lupininae) of South America. Cytologia 65:149–152

- Petterson DS (1998) Composition and food uses of lupins. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants. Biology: production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 353–384
- Petterson DS, Sipsas S, Mackintosh JB (1997) The chemical composition and nutritive value of Australian grain legumes, 2nd edn. Grains Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, Australia
- Phan HTT, Ellwood SR, Adhikai K, Nelson MN, Oliver RP (2007) The first genetic and comparative map of white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.): identification of QTLs for anthracnose resistance and flowering time, and a locus for alkaloid content. DNA Res 14:59–70
- Phoplonker MQ, Caligari PDS (1993) Cultural manipulations affecting callus formation from seedling explants of the pearl lupin (*Lupinus mutabilis* Sweet). Ann Appl Biol 123:419–432
- Pigeaire A, Abernethy D, Smith PM, Simpson K, Fletcher N, Lu C-Y, Atkins CA, Cornish E (1997) Transformation of a grain legume (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.) via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated gene transfer to shoot apices. Mol Breed 3:341–349
- Planchuelo AM (1978) A monograph of Lupinus for Argentina. PhD Dissertation, University of Missouri, Columbia, USA
- Planchuelo AM (1994) Wild lupins distribution and its implication as germplasm resources. In: Neves-Martins JM, Beirao da Costa ML (eds) Advances in lupin research. Proceedings of the 7th international lupin conference, 18–23 April 1994. Technical University of Lisbon, Evora, Portugal, pp 65–69
- Planchuelo AM (1999) Biodiversity of lupins in South America. In: Hill GD (ed) Towards the 21st century. Proceedings of the 8th international lupin conference, 11–16 May 1996, Asilomar, California, USA, pp 394–400
- Planchuelo AM (2000) Endangered species of wild lupins in South America. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millennium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/Muritz, Germany, pp 320–323
- Planchuelo AM, Dunn DB (1984) The simple leaves *Lupinus* of Argentina and their relatives. Ann Mo Bot Gard 71:92–104
- Planchuelo-Ravelo AM (1984) Taxonomic studies of *Lupinus* in South America. In: Proceedings of the 3rd international lupin conference, 4–8 June 1984, La Rochelle, France, pp 39–53
- Planchuelo-Ravelo AM (1991) Flower morphology of *Lupinus* gibertianus complex and its relation with cultivated species. In: von Baer D (ed) Proceedings of the 6th international lupin conference, 25–30 Nov 1990, Temuco-Pucon, Chile, pp 366–372
- Plitmann U, Heyn CC (1984) Old world Lupinus: taxonomy, evolutionary relationships, and links with new world species.
 In: Proceedings of the 3rd international lupin conference, 4–8 June 1984, La Rochelle, France, pp 56–66
- Plitmann U, Pazy B (1984) Cytogeographical distribution of the Old World Lupinus. Webbia 38:531–539
- Pniewski T, Kapusta J, Legocki AB (2002) In vitro micropropagation of four lupins species. Acta Physiol Plant 24 (4):417–424
- Pniewski T, Kapusta J, Płucienniczak A (2006) Agrobacteriummediated transformation of yellow lupin to generate callus

tissue producing HBV surface antigen in a long-term culture. J Appl Genet 47(4):309–318

- Podyma E, Turzyński D, Rybczyński JJ (1988) An immature embryo culture, vegetative propagation and somatic cell genetic manipulation of *Lupinus taxa*. In: Twardowski T (ed) Proceedings of the 5th international lupin conference, 5–8 July 1988, Poznań, Poland, pp 439–443
- Przyborowski J, Packa D (1997) Embryo development after interspecific hybridisation of *Lupinus albus* L., *L. mutabilis* Sweet and *L. angustifolius* L. J Appl Genet 38:131–141
- Przyborowski JA, Packa D, Samborska-Ciania A (1996) Prospects fpor obtaining hybryd plants a a result of interspecific crossing between *Lupinus albus* L., *Lupinus mutabilis* Sweet and *Lupinus angustifolius* L. Genet Pol 37A:166–169
- Przybylska Z, Przybylska J (1997) Electrophoretic seed globulin patterns in some New World *Lupinus* species. Genet Resour Crop Evol 44:57–62
- Przybylska J, Zimniak-Przybylska Z (1995) Electrophoretic patterns of seed globulins in the Old World *Lupinus* species. Genet Resour Crop Evol 42:69–75
- Przyborowski JA, Weeden NF (2001) RAPD-based assessment of genetic similarity and distance between *Lupinus* species in section Albus J Appl Genet 42(4):425–433
- Przysiecka Ł, Naganowska B, Wolko B (2009) The chalcone isomerase genes of narrow-leafed lupin: physical and genetic mapping. Abstract 4th conference of Polish society of "Experimental Plant Biology. Why not?!", 21–25 Sept 2009, Cracow, Poland. Acta Biol Crac 51(suppl 2): 80
- Rahman MS, Gladstones JS (1974) Effects of temperature and photoperiod on flowering and yield components of lupin genotypes in the field. Aust J Exp Agric Anim Husb 14:205–213
- Regalado AP, Pinheiro C, Vidal S, Chaves I, Ricardo CP, Rodrigues-Pousada C (2000) The *Lupinus albus* class-III chitinase gene, *IF3*, is constitively expression in vegetative organs and developing seeds. Planta 210:543–550
- Reynolds PHS, Smith LA, Dickson JMJJ, Jones WT, Jones SD, Rodber KA, Carne A, Liddane CP (1992) Molecular cloning of a cDNA encoding aspartate aminotransferase-P₂ from lupin root nodules. Plant Mol Biol 19:465–472
- Römer P, Jachn-Deesbach W (1988) In: Twardowski T (ed) Development in *Lupinus mutabilis* breeding. Proceedings of the 5th international lupin conference. 5–8 July 1988, Poznań, Poland. pp. 40–50
- Rodrigues ML, Pacheco CMA, Chaves MM (1995) Soil-plant water relations, root distribution and biomass partitioning in *Lupinus albus* L. under drought conditions. J Exp Bot 46:947–956
- Roy NN, Gladstones JS (1988) Further studies with interspecific hybridization among Mediterranean/African lupin species. Theor Appl Genet 75:606–609
- Rybczyński JJ, Podyma E (1993a) Micropropagation of some *Lupinus* species from seedling explants. Genet Pol 34 (3):237–247
- Rybczyński JJ, Podyma E (1993b) Preliminary studies of plant regeneration via somatic embryogenesis induced on immature cotyledons of white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.). Genet Pol 34:249–257
- Sakowicz T, Olszewska MJ (1997) DNA content, interphase AgNOR-area, number of ³HrDNA hybridization signals

and the methylation level in coding rDNA sequence in different organs of *Lupinus luteus* L. Genetica 99:67–72

- Salmanowicz BP, Przybylska J (1994) Electrophoretic patterns of seed albumins in the Old-World *Lupinus* species (*Fabaceae*): variation in the 2S albumin class. Plant Syst Evol 192: 67–78
- Salmanowicz BP (1999) Seed globulins in the Old World Lupinus species: comparative study by HPLC. Genet Resour Crop Evol 46:409–417
- Sato S, Nakamura Y, Kaneko T, Asamizu E, Kato T, Nakao M, Sasamoto S, Watanabe A, Ono A, Kawashima K, Fujishiro T, Katoh M, Kohara M, Kishida Y, Minami C, Nakayama S, Nakazaki N, Shimizu Y, Shinpo S, Takahashi C, Wada T, Yamada M, Ohmido N, Hayashi M, Fukui K, Baba T, Nakamichi T, Mori H, Tabata S (2008) Genome structure of the legume, *Lotus japonicus*. DNA Res 15:227–239
- Sator C (1985) Studies on shoot regeneration of lupins (*Lupinus* spp.). Plant Cell Rep 4:126–128
- Sawicka-Sienkiewicz EJ, Brejdak E (1999) Interspecific crossability of the Andean Lupin (*Lupinus mutabilis* Sweet). In: Hill GD (ed) Towards the 21st century. Proceedings of the 8th international lupin conference, 11–16 May 1996, Asilomar, California, USA, pp 357–360
- Sawicka-Sienkiewicz EJ, Galek R, Clements JC, Wilson J (2008) Difficulties with interspecific hybridization in the genus *Lupinus*. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 135–142
- Schäfer-Menuhr A (1990) Electrical fusion of lupin species. In: von Bayer D (ed) Proceedings of the 6th international lupin conference, Temuco-Pucon, pp 373–375
- Schäfer-Menuhr A (1991) Regeneration of shoots from protoplasts of lupins. Lupin Newsl 14:42–44
- Schäfer-Menuhr A, Busmann A, Czerwinski T (1988) Embryo rescue of interspecific hybrids. In: Twardowski T (ed) Proceedings of the 5th international lupin conference, 5–8 July 1988, Poznań, Poland, pp 424–428
- Schmutz J, Cannon SB, Schlueter J, Ma J, Mitros T, Nelson W, Hyten DL, Song Q, Thelen JJ, Cheng J, Xu D, Hellsten U, May GD, Yu Y, Sakurai T, Umezawa T, Bhattacharyya MK, Sandhu D, Valliyodan B, Lindquist E, Peto M, Grant D, Shu S, Goodstein D, Barry K, Futrell-Griggs M, Abernathy B, Du J, Tian Z, Zhu L, Gill N, Joshi T, Libault M, Sethuraman A, Zhang X-C, Shinozaki K, Nguyen HT, Wing RA, Cregan P, Specht J, Grimwood J, Rokhsar D, Stacey G, Shoemaker RC, Jackson SA (2010) Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. Nature 463:178–183
- Shankar M, Cowling WA, Sweetingham MW, Than KA, Edgar JA, Michalewicz A (1999) Screening for resistance to *Diaporthe toxica* in lupins by estimation of phomopsins and glucoseamine in individual plants. Plant Pathol 48:320–324
- Shankar M, Sweetingham MW, Cowling WA (2002) Identification of alleles at two loci controlling resistance to Phomopsis stem blight in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Euphytica 125:35–44
- Si P, Sweetingham MW, Buirchell BJ, Bowran DG, Piper T (2006) Genotypic variation in metribuzin tolerance in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L). Aust J Exp Agric 46:85–91

- Siddique KHM, Regan KL, Tennant D, Thomson BD (2001) Water use and water use efficiency of cool season grain legumes in low rainfall Mediterranean-type environments. Eur J Agron 15:267–280
- Šimková H, Číhalíková J, Vrána J, Lysák MA, Doležel J (2003) Preparation of HMW DNA from plant nuclei and chromosomes isolated from root tips. Biol Plant 46:369–373
- Simpson MJA (1986a) Geographical variation in *Lupinus albus* L. I. Iberia. Plant Breed 96:232–240
- Simpson MJA (1986b) Geographical variation in *Lupinus albus* L. II. Northwest Spain, the Nile Valley, the Balkans and Turkey. Plant Breed 96:241–251
- Sinha A, Wetten AC, Caligari PDS (2003/2004) Optimisation of protoplast production in white lupin. Biol Plant 47(1):21–25
- Sipsas S (2004) Lupin vs Soy no longer the poor relative. In: Crop Updates 2004, Department of Agriculture, Western Australia
- Sipsas S (2008) Lupin products concepts and reality. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 506–513
- Sipsas S, Snowden J, St John C (2004) Sulphur amino acid content in lupins. In: Proceedings of the 5th European conference on grain legumes, Dijon, France, p 399
- Sitren HS, Ahmed EM, George DE (1985) In vivo and in vitro assessment of antinutritional factors in peanut and soy. J Food Sci 50:419–423
- Smartt J, Hymowitz T (1985) Domestication and the evaluation of grain legumes. In: Summerfield RJ, Roberts EH (eds) Grain legume crops. Collins, London, pp 37–72
- Smith PMC, Pigeaire A, Fletcher N, Abernethy D, Walker RM, Simpson K, Lu C-Y, Cornish E, Atkins CA (1996) Routine transformation of *Lupinus angustifolius* via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated gene transfer to shoot apices. In: Looking towards the 21st century. Abstract book of 8th international lupin conference, 11–16 May 1996, Asilomar, California, USA
- Snowdon RJ, Kőhler W, Kőhler A (1997) Chromosomal localization and characterization of rDNA loci in the *Brassica* A and C genomes. Genome 40:582–587
- Somers DA, Samac DA, Olhoft PM (2003) Recent advances in legume transformation. Plant Physiol 131:892–899
- Somsap V, Cooper JI, Li D, Jones MGK (1994) Tissue culture and transformation of lupins. In: Dracup M, Palta J (eds) Proceedings of the 1st Australian lupin technical symposium, 17–21 Oct 1994, Perth, Australia, pp 312
- Sroga GE (1987) Plant regeneration of two *Lupinus* spp. From callus cultures via organogenesis. Plant Sci 51: 245–249
- Stępkowski T, Moulin L, Krzyżańska A, McInnes A, Law IJ, Howieson JG (2005) European origin of *Bradyrhizobium* populations infecting lupins and serradella in soils of Western Australia and South Africa. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 7041–7052
- Stombaugh SK, Jung HG, Orf JH, Somers DA (2000) Genotypic and environmental variation in soybean seed cell wall polysaccharides. Crop Sci 40:408–412
- Sweetingham MW (2000) Anthracnose workshop report. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millennium. Proceedings of the 9th

international lupin conference, 20–24 June, 1999. Klink/ Muritz, Germany, pp 63–68

- Sweetingham M, Kingwell R (2008) Lupins reflections and future prospects. In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, Canterbury, New Zealand, pp 514–524
- Sweetingham MW, Yang H (1998) New sources of resistance to Pleiochaeta and Eradu patch disease in *Lupinus* spp. GRDC Final Report, Project UWA 166. CLIMA, UWA, Perth, Australia
- Sweetingham MW, Jones RAC, Brown AGP (1998) Diseases and pests. In: Gladstones JS, Atkins C, Hamblin J (eds) Lupins as crop plants. Biology: production and utilization. CABI, Oxon, pp 263–289
- Sweetingham MW, Adhikari K, Clements JC, Shea G (2006a) Yellow lupin workshop report. Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia and Centre for Legumes in Mediterranean Agriculture
- Sweetingham MW, Frencel I, Buirchell BJ, Barzyk P, Lewartowska E, Yang HA, Ponomaryova L, Yakasheva A, Adhikari KN, Francis CM, Thomas GJ, Andrada M da PC (2006b) Collaborative anthracnose resistance screening in Poland, Russia and Australia. In: Ruiz Moreno JJ (ed) Mexico, where old and new world lupins meet. Proceedings of the 11th international lupin conference, 4–9 May 2005, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico, pp 2–5
- Święcicki W (1986a) Developments in breeding *L. luteus* and its relatives. In: Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth, Proceedings of the 4th international lupin conference, 15–22 Aug 1986, Geraldton, Australia, pp 20–24
- Święcicki W (1986b) Developments in *L. albus* breeding. In: Department of Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth, Proceedings of the 4th international lupin conference, 15–22 Aug 1986, Geraldton, Australia, pp 14–19
- Święcicki W (1988) Lupin gene resources in the old world. In: Twardowski T (ed) Proceedings of the 5th international lupin conference, 5–8 July 1988, Poznań, Poland, pp 2–14
- Święcicki W, Święcicki WK (1995) Domestication and breeding improvement of narrow-leafed lupin (*L. angustifolius* L.). J Appl Genet 36(2):155–167
- Święcicki W, Święcicki WK (2000) Domestication and genetics of the yellow lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L.) and the biotechnological improvement of lupins. J Appl Genet 41:11–34
- Święcicki W, Święcicki WK, Nijaki T (1999) Lupinus x hispanicoluteus – an interspecific hybrid of old world lupins. Acta Soc Bot Pol 68:217–220
- Talhinhas P, Neves-Martins J, Oliveira H (2000) Screening Lupinus albus and L. angustifolius for anthracnose resistance. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millennium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/Muritz, Germany, pp 55–56
- Talhinas P, Sreenivasprased S, Neves-Martins J, Oliveira H (2003) Genetic and morphological characterization of *Colletotrichum acutatum* causing anthracnose of lupins. Phytopathology 92:986–996
- Tapscott HL, Cowling WA, Dracup M, Speijers EJ (1994) Effect of genotype, site and plant density on yield components of a historical set of narrow-leafed lupin cultivars. In:

Dracup M, Palta J (eds) Proceedings of the 1st Australian lupin technical symposium, 17–21 Oct 1994. Department of Agriculture, South Perth, Australia, p 317

- Thomas GJ, Sweetingham MW (2004) Cultivar and environment influence the development of lupin anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum lupini*. Aust Plant Pathol 33:571–577
- Thomas HM, Harper JA, Meredith MR, Morgan WG, King IP (1997) Physical mapping of ribosomal DNA sites in *Festuca arundinacea* and related species by in situ hybridization. Genome 40:406–410
- Tian L, Peel G, Lei Z, Aziz N, Dai X, He J, Watson B, Zhao P, Sumner L, Dixon R (2009) Transcript and proteomic analysis of developing white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) roots. BMC Plant Biol 9:1
- Torres KB, Quintos NR, Necha LL, Wink M (2002) Alkaloid profile of leaves and seeds of *Lupinus hintonii* C. P. Smith. J Biosci 57:243–247
- Turner BL (1994) Species of *Lupinus* (Fabaceae) occurring in northeastern Mexico (Nuevo Leon and closely adjacent states). Phytologia 76:290–302
- Turner BL (1995) A new species of *Lupinus* (Fabaceae) from Oaxaca, Mexico: a shrub or tree mostly three to eight meters high. Phytologia 79:102–107
- Uhde-Stone C, Li A, Daemen M, Allan DL, Vance CP (2001) Isolation and characterization of white lupin proteoid root expressed sequence tags (ESTs) associated with plant hormones. In: Horst WWJ, Bürkert A, Claassen N, Flessa H, Frommer WB, Goldbach HE, Merbach W, Olfs H-W, Römheld V, Sattelmacher B, Schmidhalter U, Schenk MK, von Wirén N (eds) Development in plant and soil sciences: plant nutrition. Food security and sustainability of agroecosystems through basic and applied research. Kluwer, San Diego, CA, pp 32–33
- Uhde-Stone C, Zinn KE, Ramirez-Yanez M, Li AG, Vance CP, Allan DL (2003) Nylon filter arrays reveal differential gene expression in proteoid roots of white lupin in response to phosphorus deficiency. Plant Physiol 131:1064–1079
- Uhde-Stone C, Liu J, Zinn KE, Allan DL, Vance CP (2005) Transgenic proteoid roots of white lupin: a vehicle for characterizing and silencing root genes involved in adaptation to P stress. Plant J 44:840–853
- Unkovich MJ, Pate JS (2003) An appraisal of recent field measurements of symbiotic N2 fixation by annual legumes. Field Crops Res 65:211–228
- van Santen C, Noffsinger SL, van Santen E (2006) Low-tech breeding approach to develop low-return cultivars. In: van Santen E, Hill GD (eds) Mexico, where old and new world lupins meet. Proceedings of the 11th international lupin conference, 4–9 May 2005, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico, Canterbury, New Zealand, pp 80–83
- Vincze E, Reeves JM, Lamping E, Farnden KJF, Reynolds PHS (2004) Repression of the L-asparaginase gene during nodule development in *Lupinus angustifolius*. Plant Mol Biol 26:303–311
- von Baer D, Saelzer M, Vega P, Ibieta L, Molina E, von Baer E, Ibanez R, Hashaben U (2000) Isoflavones and anthracnose in *Lupinus albus* and *L. angustifolius*. In: van Santen E, Wink M, Weissmann S, Romer P (eds) Lupin, an ancient crop for the new millennium. Proceedings of the 9th international lupin conference, 20–24 June 1999. Klink/Muritz, Germany, pp 26–32

- Vuillaume E, Hoff T (1986) Development in vitro d'embryons immatures de *Lupinus albus* L. et de *Lupinus mutabilis* Sweet par culture de gousses, d'ovules ou d'embryons isoles. Agronomie 6:925–930
- Wallace AT, Hanson WD, Decker P (1954) Natural cross-pollination in blue and yellow lupines. Agron J 46:59–60
- Walton GH, Francis CM (1975) Genetic influences on the split seed disorder in *Lupinus angustifolius* L. Aust J Agric Res 26:641–646
- Wang Q, Ke L, Yang DI, Bao B, Jiang J, Ying T (2007) Change in oligosaccharides during processing of soybean sheet. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 16:89–94
- Ward S (2001) A recessive Allele inhibiting saponin synthesis in two lines of bolivian quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.). J Hered 92:83–86
- Wasaki J, Ando M, Ozawa K, Omura M, Osaki M, Ito H, Matsui H, Tadano T (1997) Properties of secretory acid phosphatase from lupin roots under phosphorus-deficient conditions. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 43:981–986
- Watt M, Evans JR (2003) Phosphorus acquisition from soil by white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) and soybean (*Glycine max* L.) species with contrasting root development. Plant Soil 248:271–283
- Weeden NF, Ellis THN, Timmerman-Vaughan GM, Simon CJ, Torres AM, Wolko B (2000) How similar are the genomes of the cool season food legumes? In: Knight R (ed) Linking research and marketing opportunities for pulses in the 21st century. Proceedings of the 3rd international food legumes research conference. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 397–410
- Wendel JF (2000) Genome evolution in polyploids. Plant Mol Biol 42:225–249
- Wetten A, Sinha A, Caligari PDS (1999) Electrofusion of lupin protoplasts for the production of interspecific hybrids. In: Hill GD (ed) Towards the 21st century. Proceedings of the 8th international lupin conference, 11–16 May 1996, Asilomar, California, USA, pp 270–272
- Wilcox JR, Shibles RM (2001) Interrelationships among seed quality attributes in soybean. Crop Sci 41:11–14
- Williams W (1979) Studies on the development of lupins for oil and protein. Euphytica 28:481–488
- Williams CA, Demissie A, Harborne JB (1983) Flavonoids as taxonomic markers in the Old World *Lupinus* species. Biochem Syst Ecol 11:221–231
- Williamson PM, Highet AS, Gams W, Sivasithamparam K, Cowling WA (1994) *Diaporthe toxica* sp. nov., the cause of lupinosis in sheep. Mycol Res 98:1364–1368
- Winefield CS, Reddington BD, Jones WT, Reynolds PH, Farnden KJ (1994) Cloning and characterization of a cDNA encoding aspartate aminotransferase-P1 from *Lupinus angustifolius* root tips. Plant Physiol 104(2):417–423
- Wink M (1984) Biochemistry and chemical ecology of lupin alkaloids. In: Proceedings of the 3rd international lupin conference, 4–8 June 1984, La Rochelle, France, pp 326–343
- Wink M (1991) Plant breeding: low or high alkaloid content? In: von Bayer D (ed) Proceedings of the 6th international lupin conference, 25–30 Nov 1990, Temuco-Pucon, Chile, pp 326–334

- Wink M (1993) Quinolizidine alkaloids. In: Waterman P (ed) Methods in plant biochemistry, vol 8. Academic, London, pp 197–239
- Wink M (1994) Biological activities and potential application of lupin alkaloids. In: Neves-Martins JM, Costa ML (eds) Advances in lupin research. Proceedings of the 7th international lupin conference, 18–23 April 1993. Technical University of Lisbon, Evora, Portugal, pp 161–178
- Wink M (2006) Heath promoting activities of non-nutritional factors in lupins. In: van Santen E, Hill GD (eds) Mexico, where old and new world lupins meet. Proceedings of the international lupin conference, 4–9 May 2005, Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico, Canterbury, New Zealand, pp 308–319
- Wink M, Mohamed GIA (2003) Evolution of chemical defense traits in the Leguminosae: mapping of distribution patterns of secondary metabolites on a molecular phylogeny inferred from nucleotide sequences of the *rbc* L gene. Biochem Syst Ecol 31:897–917
- Wink M, Meibner C, Witte L (1995) Patterns of quinolizidine alkaloids in 56 species of the genus *Lupinus*. Phytochemistry 38:139–153
- Withers NJ, Forde BJ (1979) Translocation of 14C in Lupinus albus. NZ J Agric Res 22:261–269
- Wolko B, Weeden NF (1990a) Isozyme number as an indicator of phylogeny in *Lupinus*. Genet Pol 31:179–187
- Wolko B, Weeden NF (1990b) Relationships among lupin species as reflected by isozyme phenotype. Genet Pol 31:189–197
- Wolko B, Kasprzak A, Doležel J (2008) Screening a narrowleafed lupin BAC library to search for clones containing nodulation and disease resistance genes In: Palta JA, Berger JD (eds) Lupins for health and wealth. Proceedings of the 12th international lupin conference, 14–18 Sept 2008, Fremantle, Western Australia, pp 50–51
- Yamka RM, Hetzler BM, Harmon DL (2005) Evaluation of lowoligosaccharide, low-phytate whole soybeans and soybean meal in canine foods. J Anim Sci 83:393–399
- Yang H, Sweetingham MW, Cowling WA, Smith PMC (2001) DNA fingerprinting based on microsatellite-anchored fragment length polymorphisms, and isolation of sequencespecific PCR markers in lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Mol Breed 7:203–209
- Yang H, Shankar M, Buirchell BJ, Sweetingham MW, Caminero C, Smith PMC (2002) Development of molecular markers using MFLP linked to a gene conferring resistance to *Diaporthe toxica* in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Theor Appl Genet 105:265–270
- Yang H, Boersma JG, You M, Buirchell BJ, Sweetingham MW (2004) Development and implementation of a sequence-specific PCR marker linked to a gene conferring resistance to anthracnose disease in narrow-leafed lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Mol Breed 14:145–151
- Yang H, Renshaw D, Thomas G, Buirchell B, Sweetingham M (2008) A strategy to develop molecular markers applicable to a wide range of crosses for marker assisted selection in plant breeding: a case study on anthracnose disease resistance in lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius* L.). Mol Breed 21:473–483

- Yang H, Lin R, Renshaw D, Li C, Adhikari K, Thomas G, Buirchell B, Sweetingham M, Yan G (2010) Development of sequence-specific PCR markers associated with a polygenic controlled trait for marker-assisted selection using a modified selective genotyping strategy: a case study on anthracnose disease resistance in white lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.). Mol Breed 25:239–249
- You M, Boersma JG, Buirchell BJ, Sweetingham MW, Siddique KHM, Yang H (2005) A PCR-based molecular marker applicable for marker-assisted selection for

anthracnose disease resistance in lupin breeding. Cel Mol Biol Lett 10:123-134

- Yuan S, Chang SK, Liu Z, Xu B (2008) Elimination of trypsin inhibitor activity and beany flavor in soy milk by consecutive blanching and ultrahigh-temperature (UHT) processing. J Agric Food Chem 56:7957–7963
- Zgagacz SE, Rybczyński JJ (1996) Different in vitro responses of three species of lupine. Genet Pol 37A:133–135
- Zhu C, Gore M, Buckler ES, Yu J (2008) Status and prospects of association mapping in plants. Plant Genome 1:5–20

Chapter 10 *Medicago*

Iryna Sanders, Leonid Sukharnikov, Fares Z. Najar, and Bruce A. Roe

10.1 Introduction to the Legume Family

Legumes, second only to grasses as the most important agriculturally important family, include more than 650 genera and 20,000 species that grow on over 400 million acres, i.e., about 12% of the Earth's land, and contribute up to 27% of worldwide crop production and 33% of the human diet (Graham and Vance 2003). In addition to their economic importance, legumes play critical role in natural ecosystems since they have the ability to fix nitrogen via symbiosis with Sinorhizobium bacteria. This partnership allows the conversion of atmospheric inorganic N2 gas into nitrogen compounds that are precursors to proteins and natural fertilizers. Since legumes produce three-times more protein than cereal grains and more ammonia fertilizer than the entire worldwide industrial production, they can occupy lands unsuitable for other plants (Graham and Vance 2003).

The importance of legumes is not limited to protein production and contribution to soil fertility as they also produce a broad range of natural products, secondary metabolites, which include flavonoids that are involved in symbiosis, disease/defense interactions, and plant developmental processes. This makes legumes an ideal model for both biochemical and genetic studies (Dangl 1998; McKhann et al. 1998; Constabel 1999). In addition, there is an expanding body of research regarding the healthful effects of flavonoids including their anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory, anti-aging, and cholesterol-reducing potentials (Woo et al. 2005). As the human population grows and urbanization increases, there is an increasing demand for higher levels of protein-rich foods. Since legume yields per acre lag behind those of cereals, new technologies are required to enhance legume protein levels. These include broader breeding programs, a better use of marker-assisted technologies, and an emphasis on disease resistance, enhanced nitrogen fixation, and tolerance to soil constraints to improve crop yield. The development of these new technologies will greatly benefit from the knowledge of a typical legume's genomic organization and its genomic features.

10.2 *Medicago* Genus as a Part of the Legume Family

The Medicago genus (medics) is an important part of the Mediterranean, southern European, Asian, and northern African flora. Plants of this genus occur in steppe meadows, along wood edges, and sometimes as a weed in fallow fields and gardens. Medics prefer reasonably fertile soils but not distinctly acid or alkaline. Excessive field moisture early in the season can significantly reduce medic stands (Clark 2007). This genus includes 83 species of flowering plants that belong to the legume family (Fabaceae), tribe Trifolieae. Most members of the family are low, creeping herbs. However, alfalfa (M. sativa) grows to a height of 1 m, and tree medick (M. arborea) is a shrub. This genus contains 20 perennial weedy species and 34 annual weedy species. Within the last group, some species present variability for their perenniality, for example, some populations of Medicago lupulina are pluriannual (Edwards et al. 2006). Medicago species are used as food plants by the larvae of some

B.A. Roe (🖂)

University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73072, USA e-mail: broe@ou.edu

Lepidoptera species including Common Swift, Flame, Latticed Heath, Lime-speck Pug, Nutmeg, Setaceous Hebrew Character, Turnip Moth, and case-bearers of the genus *Coleophora* including *C. frischella* (recorded on *M. sativa*) and *C. fuscociliella* (feeds exclusively on *Medicago* spp). *Medicago truncatula* has natural genetic resistance against aphids and resistance against chewing insects due to jasmonic acid signaling and secondary metabolite production (Edwards et al. 2006).

Because of its extensive genetic resources, M. truncatula was selected as a model system that would serve as a reference for all members of this family (Bell et al. 2001). Since the genome organization of organisms from the same taxonomic group is fairly well-conserved, the information about the gene order and organization of one legume can be applied broadly to other legume species. M. truncatula has a small diploid genome (500 Mbp), can self-fertilize with a short seed-to-seed generation time, and is ideal for genetic studies because of its high transformation efficiency, the availability of the large collection of phenotypic mutants, and naturally occurring ecotypes (McKhann et al. 1998; Constabel 1999). In addition, the genus also offers a wide range of diversity. Some species are diploids, tetraploïds, or even hexaploïds (M. cancellata, M. saxatilis, and some populations of *M. arborea*). The chromosome number is often 8, but it can also be 7 (M. constricta, M. polymorpha, M. praecox, M. rigidula, or certain taxons of M. murex) (Prosperi et al. 1995).

10.3 Agricultural Usage

Medicago species (medics) are widely used in agriculture as they can produce almost as much biomass and N as clovers. Perennial medics are self-reseeding with hardy seeds that can take several years to germinate. This makes medics ideal for long rotations of forages and cash crops in the northern plains and in cover crop mixtures in the drier areas of California. Medics have high biomass productivity of 100 lb per acre in the Midwest under favorable conditions but have the potential for 200 lb per acre. Other agricultural benefits of *Medicago* spp. include conservation of soil moisture, protection from weeds due to quick spring regrowth, organic matter boost, erosion control due to hard-seeded tendency, drought tolerance and root penetration up to 5 feet deep, and tolerance to regular grazing and mowing. The annual medics are susceptible to diseases caused by *Rhizoctonia*, *Phytophthora*, and *Fusarium* (Clark 2007).

The best-known crop of the genus is alfalfa (M. sativa). Alfalfa is generally considered as one of the best forage crops for feeding ruminant animals because of its high nutritional value. Alfalfa leaves are protein- and vitamin-rich and low in cell wall concentration and, therefore, highly digestible. In contrast to leaves, stems exhibit low digestibility as a result of high concentrations of cell wall polysaccharides and lignin (Schnurra et al. 2007).

Several of the creeping members of the family (such as *M. lupulina* and *M. truncatula*) have also been used as forage crops. Alfalfa has higher stem crude protein concentrations than the *M. truncatula*, but both species have the same digestibility, providing support for the idea that alfalfa and *M. truncatula* stems are similar in cell wall structure (Schnurra et al. 2007). These characteristics make members of the *Medicago* genus very promising forage plant for improving pastures.

10.4 Karyotype and Cytogenetics of *Medicago* spp.

Most of the *Medicago* molecular cytogenetic studies have been done only on M. sativa and M. truncatula. A molecular cytogenetic map of *M. truncatula* was constructed on the basis of a pachytene DAPI karyogram in which all chromosomes were identified based on their chromosome length, centromere position, heterochromatin patterns, and the positions of three repetitive sequences (5S rDNA, 45S rDNA, and the MtR1 tandem repeat), visualized by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (Kulikova et al. 2004). The cytogenetic map was later integrated with molecular and genetic maps. The pachytene chromosomes allowed to distinguish large heterochromatic blocks with low gene density around the centromere from euchromatic arms rich in genes. It was determined that the total length of pachytene chromosomes is 406 µm, of which about 350 µm is euchromatic or estimated size of generich region is 105 Mb of the 500 Mb Medicago genome.

M. truncatula chromosomes were divided into three groups. The longest chromosomes 1, 3, and 4

measure 60-68 µm and have centromere positions with centromere index (CI) values of 36%, 27%, and 30%, respectively. Chromosomes 3 and 4 are hard to distinguish because they have similar symmetrical heterochromatic regions, arm lengths, and centromere positions. MtR1 was used to distinguish chromosomes 3 and 4 (Kulikova et al. 2004). Three medium-sized chromosomes 2, 5, and 7 measure approximately 50 µm. Chromosomes 2 and 5 have median centromere positions (centromere indexes of 47 and 46%, respectively), whereas chromosome 7 has a submedian centromere (CI = 30%). In addition, chromosome 5 has a characteristic pattern of four pericentromeric heterochromatic knobs. In contrast, chromosomes 2 and 7 have only one knob on each arm. Furthermore, chromosome 5 contains the secondary constriction. Two smallest chromosomes 6 and 8 are 29 µm and 33 µm long, respectively, and vary in their chromatic patterns. Chromosome 6 has several heterochromatic chromomeres on both arms, whereas chromosome 8 has two larger heterochromatic blocks on either side of the centromere (Kulikova et al. 2004).

Based on FISH hybridization results, 5S rDNA loci are located on chromosomes 2, 5, and 6. A major 5S rDNA region occurs on the distal part of the pericentromeric heterochromatin of chromosome 5, on the arm containing a single heterochromatic knob. A second, smaller 5S rDNA region is located on the long arm of chromosome 2, close to the border of the pericentromeric heterochromatin. A third 5S rDNA site is present on chromosome 6. FISH with the 45S rDNA probe demonstrated a bright spot on the secondary constriction of chromosome 5, between two proximal heterochromatic knobs. The MtR1 tandem repeat was found in the pericentromeric regions of the chromosome arms 1-L, 2-L, 4-S, 7-S and 7-L, and 8-L (Kulikova et al. 2004).

The advanced molecular cytogenetics is also available for major subspecies of *M. sativa*. Based on the pachytene karyotype, the diploid ssp. *falcata* is similar to the diploid ssp. *coerulea* (Gillies 1968). The major differences of the pachytene karyotypes lays in the amount of heterochromatic DNA, and it was observed that diploid ssp. *falcata* contains a lower amount than does ssp. *coerulea*, results also supported by mitotic chromosome C- and N-banding studies (Bauchan and Hossain 1999).

A majority of the pachytene chromomeres in M. sativa ssp. falcata are located on either side of the centromeres, and telomeric knobs are not observed except when an entire arm is chromatic. The somatic chromosome karyotype of M. sativa ssp. coerulea is represented by one pair of satellite chromosomes (chromosome 8), four pairs of submetacentric chromosomes (chromosomes 1–4), and three pairs of short metacentric chromosomes (chromosomes (chromosomes 5–7). The nucleolar organizer regions (NOR) of the alfalfa genome is located on chromosome 8; the hallmarks of this karyotype are the SAT chromosomes.

FISH data with the number of nuclear ribosomal loci present in *Medicago* are only available for eight species including three out of 12 sections of the genus (Table 10.1; Rosato et al. 2008), making it difficult to understand the evolutionary dynamics within

	Medicago spp.	Chromosome number	45S rDNA	5S rDNA
Section Dendrotelis	M. arborea	2n = 32 (4x)	1	2
	M. strasseri	2n = 32 (4x)	1	2
	M. citrina	2n = 48 (6x)	4	5
Section <i>Medicago</i>	M. sativa subsp. coerulea	2n = 16 (2x)	1	2
	M. sativa subsp. glomerata	2n = 16 (2x)	1	2
	M. sativa subsp. falcata	2n = 16 (2x)	2	2
		2n = 32 (4x)	2	4
	M. sativa subsp. sativa	2n = 32 (4x)	2	4
	M. marina	2n = 16 (2x)	1	2
Section Spirocarpos	M. truncatula	2n = 16 (2x)	1-2	1-3 ^a
	M. lesinsii	2n = 16(2x)	1	1
	M. murex	2n = 14 (2x)	1	1

 Table 10.1
 Cytogenetic features (chromosome number and ploidy level) and the number of reported 45S and 5S rDNA loci in

 Medicago truncatula
 Provide the second se

^aOne of the 5S rDNA loci is collinear with a 45S rDNA locus (after Rosato et al. 2008)

Chromosome number	No. of predicted genes	No. of single exon genes	No. of predicted exons	Gene density (%)	Repeat sequences (%)	Structural RNAs bp	No. of tRNAs	No. of transposons
0	1,995	560	6,051	10.85	7.91	6,286	31	130
1	5,719	1,387	20,288	16.45	9.74	8,118	84	227
2	5,402	1,427	18,625	17.68	10.93	5,013	60	220
3	7,083	1,801	23,999	17.21	10.89	5,355	98	290
4	7,674	1,984	26,467	17.71	11.21	7,972	85	338
5	8,074	2,053	27,258	19.19	9.23	96,980	101	233
6	3,672	964	10,892	17.41	16.38	1,812	28	264
7	6,097	1,560	20,840	16.77	11.45	4,880	65	239
8	6,278	1,603	22,260	16.25	10.68	4,548	64	284

Table 10.2 Summary of Medicago truncatula genes and other genome elements

Chromosome 0 includes all genes that could not be mapped to any other chromosome

Medicago, as has been done in other genera (Mishima et al. 2002).

The diploid species of Medicago show a single 45S locus and two 5S loci with an exception of M. truncatula (Table 10.2; Rosato et al. 2008). Tetraploid species of Medicago showed the number of rDNA signals that are consistent with a diploid-doubled to tetraploid model of polyploidization (two of 45S rDNA and four of 5S rDNA). However, species from section Dendrotelis do not fall in the same pattern. It looks like the tetraploid taxa of section Dendrotelis (M. arborea and M. strasseri) have experienced a diploidization event through physical loss of the sequences and not just loss of function. However, a simple chromosomal diploidization of rDNA loci cannot explain why the hexaploid M. citrina showed the highest number of rDNA loci reported in the genus so far. The possible explanation can be elimination, silencing, or rearrangement of rDNA genes that occurred early after polyploidization events (Skalicka et al. 2005).

10.5 Phylogenetic Relationship and Evolution of *Medicago* Species

The first taxonomic analysis of the *Medicago* genus was performed more than 30 years ago (Lesinš and Lesinš 1979). Later, the comparative analysis on species has been done using multiple methods such as cytology, isozymes, and DNA polymorphism, in addition to morphological and geographical data. We have discussed the most recent studies concerning the relationship within the genus (Table 10.3).

Using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) band patterns detected by chloroplast DNA variation, Valizadeh et al. (1996) estimated genetic distances among nine species of *Medicago* and clustered them according to the level of distances between markers. They showed a significant genetic similarity between *Medicago* species. The most similar were *M. truncatula* and *M. littoralis*, and the most distantly related was *M. lupulina*. Based on RFLP, all the studied species were divided into four groups (1) *M. truncatula*, *M. littoralis*, *M. sativa*, *M. scutellata*; (2) *M. orbicularis*, *M. radiata*; (3) *M. rigidula*, *M. minima*; and (4) *M. lupulina*.

As it would be expected, genetically distant *Medicago* species can have different life form, mating system, and seed dispersal. For example, comparing *M. lupulina* and *M. ruthenica*, the former is an annual, biennial, or occasionally short-lived perennial, predominantly self-fertilizing, and widely distributed plant, whereas the latter is long-lived perennial, outcrossing, and much more narrowly distributed plant (Yan et al. 2009). They also differ in their genetic variation and level of heterozygosity. *M. ruthenica* populations possessed a larger average number of private alleles and a higher allelic diversity than *M. lupulina* populations (Yan et al. 2009).

Based on molecular variance analysis, the majority of genetic variation in *M. lupulina* occurred among populations and in *M. ruthenica* within individuals. However, the minimum partitions of genetic variation in *M. lupulina* were observed within individuals while they varied among populations in *M. ruthenica* (Yan et al. 2009).

The estimate of population differentiation showed a much higher population genetic differentiation in

Organism	Genome size	No. of	Gene size	Gene density	Av. exon	Av. intron	Reference
	(Mbp)	genes	(kbp)	(1 gene/kbp)	length (kbp)	length (kbp)	
Arabidopsis	125	26,500	2.0	1/4.5	217	157	Sclep et al. (2007)
Rice	400	45,000	2.6	1/9.9	254	413	Li et al. (2006)
Maize	2,400	~50,000	4.0	1/43.5	259	607	Haberer et al. (2005)
Lotus	470	40,000	2.5	1/9.1	297	377	Young et al. (2005)
Medicago	500	50,000	2.7	1/10.0	266	375	Young et al. (2005)

Table 10.3 Comparative analysis of the sequenced genomes

M. lupulina than in *M. ruthenica*. Genetic and geographic distances for both species have a strong positive correlation, suggesting that territorial separation played an important part in defining their genetic differences. Although these species are geographically and, therefore, genetically separated, there are some evidences of positive gene flow between populations of both species as around 15% of individuals differ from their parental species (Yan et al. 2009).

Within largely herbal Medicago genus, there is a section Dendrotelis, woody medics including M. arborea, M. citrina, and M. strasseri. Although the woody species are morphologically different from other medics, phylogenetically they are not isolated from the Medicago genus as a whole (Rosato 2008). Although all woody species are polyploids (Table 10.2), the tetraploid *M. arborea* and *M. stras*seri have only single 45S rDNA locus and two 5S loci as diploid members of the genus, suggesting that a diploidization of ribosomal loci happened through physical loss of the sequences but not of function. Among woody taxa, the pair M. arborea-M. strasseri shows strong morphological and genetic similarities, *M. citrina* is genetically divergent from them having a high number of 45S rDNA loci and specific organization of NORs. The origin of *M. citrina* is unclear; it is believed that this species has originated through autopolyploidy from other woody species of section Dendrotelis, hybridization between M. arborea and/or M. strasseri, and an unrelated diploid species from section Medicago (Rosato 2008).

The phylogenetic relationship between *Medicago* species was analyzed by Bena (2001) on the basis of a molecular phylogenetic approach using the internal and external transcribed spacers of 53 sequenced *Medicago* species and 10 medicagoid species. His version of *Medicago* species relationship is represented on Fig. 10.1. He also proposed to place morphologically atypical *Medicago* species (such as *M. radiata* and *M. platycarpa*) in *Medicago* rather than in a new genus.

The same research group (Bena et al. 2005) made an attempt to compare the phylogenetic trees of *Medicago* and *Rhizobia* species to understand if the symbiotic association influences the diversification of the *Medicago* genus. Several different symbiotic groups were specified in this genus. *M. radiata*, *M. brachycarpa*, *M. monantha*, *M. orthoceras*, *M. noeana*, and *M. rigiduloides* are able to fix nitrogen only when in association with the Rhizobia strain USDA 1623 (SG1). However, using molecular phylogenetic method, these species belong to two different branches (see Fig. 10.1).

The two closely related species *M. laciniata* and M. sauvagei (SG2) fixed nitrogen only when associated with the strain USDA1635. Eight species (M. arabica, M. granadensis, M. intertexta, M. ciliaris, M. muricoleptis, M. tenoreana, M. shepardii, and M. polymorpha) all fixed nitrogen in association with Sinorhizobium medicae strains but phylogenetically belong to four separate branches. No strict specificity between Medicago and the bacteria species was found. Based on a visual inspection of the Medicago and Rhizobia phylogenies, a possible punctual reciprocal event of codivergence was suggested (Bena et al. 2005). Although the *Medicago* genus diversification supposedly occurred from 65 to 2 million years ago (Mya) (Lesinš and Lesinš 1979) and Rhizobia diversification started 10 Mya, there is a possibility that both organisms have undergone chromosomal co-speciation (Bena et al. 2005).

10.6 Comparison of *Medicago* Genome Features with Other Legume Genomes

Although all legumes share many common genomic features, there are unique differences. For example, *M. truncatula* and *Lotus japonicus*, the two model plants that represent cold climate and tropical



Fig. 10.1 Symbiotic association between *Medicago* species (*left*) and *Sinorhizobium* strains (*right*) (Bena 2001). The *same* colors between *Medicago* species and bacterial strains indicate an efficient nitrogen fixation between these species. The five specificity groups (SG) were defined based on the fixation test's results, with the corresponding colors. *Medicago* species in *black* performed an efficient symbiosis with strains from *S. meliloti* type 2, *S. meliloti* type 3, and *S. medicae. Medicago* species in purple did not fix nitrogen with any of the strains tested. The colors of the branches in the plant phylogeny

indicate the putative symbiotic state along this branch during the evolution of the genus. *Dashed branches* reflect uncertainty in the determination. Strains *underlined* are the one that have been used in the fixation tests. Plant phylogeny branch lengths are arbitrary. A *yellow star* on the plant phylogeny indicates a main modification of symbiotic association, whereas the "R" indicates putative reversion of specificity. The similar "D1, D2 and D3" in the two phylogenies indicate corresponding divergence events between the two trees

legumes, respectively, have substantial macrosynteny and microsynteny as determined by marker-based comparisons (Young et al. 2005). Both legumes also have a similar genome size around 450-470 Mbp, similar number of genes 35,000-40,000, a similar gene density of one gene per 6.3 kb for L. japonicus and one gene per 6.7 kb for M. truncatula, and have 82% conserved genes. Their genes mainly are located in euchromatic regions that comprise the chromosome arms and are not found in heterochromatin of centromeres and pericentromeres (Young et al. 2005). *Medicago* and *Lotus* have significant synteny despite that they are relatively distant relatives and the synteny is interrupted by chromosomal rearrangements that is reflected in the differences in their chromosome number. The observed conserved microsynteny is characterized by 80% of the close homologs that have had the same gene order and transcriptional orientation, similar to that observed in humans and mice. Species-specific tandem duplication of genes accounts for an additional 12-17% divergence of gene content. Considering their similarity in gene size, order, and orientation, they probably have undergone similar genome development. However, these two legumes differ in both the diversity and organization of their repeat sequences. Both genomes encode specific novel repeat families that are clustered into large groups (Young et al. 2005). In M. truncatula, MtR3 repeats of up to 1 Mbp are found within centromeres, whereas MtR1 and MtR2 repeats are present mainly in pericentromeric regions. However, L. japonicus has its own repeats, LjRE1, LjRE2, and LjTR1 that are shorter and located in chromosome arms, pericentromeric regions, and chromosome knobs, respectively (Young et al. 2005). Interestingly, both legumes have similar retrotransposon and transposon compositions.

Comparison of *Medicago* genome features with those of other legume relatives of larger genome size also reveals a high degree of synteny. Diploid pea (*Pisum sativum*) has 5–10 times larger genome than *Medicago*, but they show a strong conservation of gene order (co-linearity). Five *Medicago* linkage groups (1, 4, 5, 7) are completely colinear with pea linkage groups 2, 7, 1, 5, and 4, respectively. The other *Medicago* and pea linkage groups have more chromosomal rearrangements, but co-linearity is maintained. Both plants also display more than 85% of gene homology. These two legume species represent closely related tribes with differences in genome sizes due to multiple transposition events and accumulation of polymorphic transposon insertions in pea that occurred after pea and *Medicago* diverged (Kalo et al. 2004).

In contrast, the relationship between Medicago and soybean is more complex because soybean underwent polyploidization followed by gene loss and segmental reshuffling that makes it difficult to identify syntenic segments in these two plants. Some observations show that between 30 and 54% of soybean contig groups combined in 11 blocks have microsynteny to M. truncatula. Around 81% of soybean genes have their homologs in Medicago genome, and 70% of their genes are conserved and colinear. Although all legume genomes underwent varying degrees of duplications as they evolved, at least two duplications observed in soybean that dramatically changed its genome organization because approximately 25% of the genes are physically clustered in soybean, paralogs recovered from duplicated genes share 86-100% sequence identity, and more than 90% of the non-repetitive sequences are present in more than two copies, with the average chromosomal segment being duplicated approximately 2.55 times. *Medicago* also underwent a number of duplications because the M. truncatula genes in orthologous regions are closely related to soybean based on synonymous substitution levels, percent identity, and extent of synteny between Medicago and soybean lineages. Although soybean genome is more than twice larger than *Medicago* genome, both have very compatible gene densities in syntenic blocks that can be considered as an additional evidence for possible gene clustering in *Medicago* similarly to soybean (Mudge et al. 2005).

10.7 M. truncatula Sequencing Initiative

M. truncatula genome sequencing was done in four sequencing centers: Bruce Roe et al., The University of Oklahoma; Chris Town et al., The Institute for Genomic Research (TIGR); Jane Rogers et al., Sanger Centre; Francis Quétier et al., Genoscope (Young et al. 2003). Originally, the *M. truncatula* sequencing project began as a pilot project with a whole-genome shotgun study that generated 25,000 sequence reads (Roe and Kupfer 2004). As the cytogenetic mapping improved, several BACs also were chosen for

sequencing as they contained legume resistance genes with high biological interest (Kulikova et al. 2001). Because of the high level of repeat sequences in the whole-genome shotgun, a fingerprint-based physical map and the availability of approximately 177,000 BAC-end sequences, a BAC-by-BAC approach was proposed to sequence the M. truncatula gene space (Nam et al. 1999). To create a genome physical map, BAC DNA was isolated, digested with HindIII, and end-labeled at *Hind*III sites with radioactive dNTPs. The DNA fragments were subjected to polyacryamide gel electrophoresis and exposed to X-ray films. The fingerprints were scanned into image files and edited to exclude clones, which could not provide sufficient information for contig assembly. The physical map has been assembled using the fingerprint contig (FPC) software and anchored to the genetic map by means of BAC-based genetic markers (Mun et al. 2006). For sequencing, clones were selected based on a minimum overlapping minimal tiling path. Here, initial "seed" BACs were confirmed by physical mapping and sequenced (Thoquet et al. 2002), and then new BAC clones were chosen by extending the finished BACs using the minimal tiling path. Because of the large number of repeats in plants, 10-20% of FPC map was incorrect. Therefore, at later stages of the project, the sequencing groups switched from FPC maps to more extensive use of BAC end sequences to extend and eventually join contigs to form pseudochromosomes (Choi et al. 2004). After screening out repeats, the sequence of more than 95% of the euchromatic regions of the M. truncatula genome is now rapidly being reached. Approximately, 75% of the *M*. truncatula genome sequencing was done using the Sanger dideoxynucleotide method with fluorescent terminators, while the remainder was finished using the combination of this method and the newer 454 based pyrosequencing approach.

10.7.1 M. truncatula Sequencing Using the Fluorescence Terminating Method

The *M. truncatula* large-scale BAC DNA isolation and BAC library construction is outlined in Fig. 10.2.

Sequencing was performed using either the BigDye or ET terminator kits, which contains Taq polymerase

buffer, AmpliTaq Fs DNA polymerase, the four dNTPs, and the four dye-labeled dideoxynucleotide terminators. During incubation, the dideoxynucleotide terminator containing a fluorescent dye, a fluorescinederivative (donor dye) connected to a dRhodaminederivative (acceptor dye), resulted in a nested fragment set that then was electrophoretically resolved on either an ABI 3700 or ABI 3730 capillary sequencers. Here, the capillaries were automatically filled with flowable gel mix POP-5 prior to electrokinetically injecting the sequencing reaction products that were dissolved in 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.6. Electrophoresis was performed at 6.5 kV for 2.5 h and the dye-labeled terminators were excited by an argon ion laser source of PE Applied Biosystems DNA sequencer. Energy emitted by the donor dye excited the electrons in the dRhodamine acceptor, and emitted light was detected by a CCD camera in the ABI-3700 or ABI-3730, when they return to their ground state (Rosenblum et al. 1997). Because of the small capillary inner diameter (50 µm), the temperature gradient within the tube was minimized and the heat was dissipated rapidly in the applied high electric field. The fluorescence data was collected automatically and analyzed using ABI base caller on Dell-PC computer and then transferred to a Unix-based SUN workstation.

10.7.2 454 Pyrosequencing Technology

454 pyrosequencing technology emerged in early 2005 and was successfully adapted by M. truncatula genome sequencing project (Margulies et al. 2005). Pyrosequencing is a sequencing method based on synthesis (Lindström et al. 2004). On a first step, a sequencing primer is hybridized to a single-stranded, PCR-amplified DNA template and incubated with the enzymes: DNA polymerase, ATP sulfurylase, luciferase and apyrase, and the substrates: adenosine 5'phosphosulfate (APS) and luciferin. Next, the first of four deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTP) is added to the reaction. DNA polymerase catalyzes the incorporation of the deoxynucleotide triphosphate into the DNA strand, if it is complementary to the base in the template strand. Each incorporation event is accompanied by release of pyrophosphate (PPi) in a quantity equimolar to the amount of incorporated nucleotide. On the third step, ATP sulfurylase quantitatively

Fig. 10.2 Detailed scheme of

M. truncatula sequencing



converts PPi to ATP in the presence of adenosine 5' phosphosulfate. This ATP drives the luciferasemediated conversion of luciferin to oxyluciferin that generates visible light in amounts that are proportional to the amount of ATP. The light produced in the luciferase-catalyzed reaction is detected by a charge coupled device (CCD) camera and seen as a peak in a pyrogram[™]. Each light signal is proportional to the number of nucleotides incorporated. On the fourth step, apyrase, a nucleotide degrading enzyme, continuously degrades unincorporated dNTPs and excess ATP. When degradation is complete, another dNTP is added. Addition of dNTPs is performed one at a time. Deoxyadenosine alfa-thio triphosphate (dATP S) is used as a substitute for the natural deoxyadenosine triphosphate (dATP) since it is efficiently used by the DNA polymerase but not recognized by the luciferase. As the process continues, the complementary DNA strand is synthesized and the nucleotide sequence is determined from the signal peak at each base addition.

When it became clear that the total gene space of the M. truncatula genome was significantly larger than initially predicted, we began to implement a BAC pooling approach that reduced the overall sequencing costs and improve sequencing efficiency. Individual BAC clones were grown to stationary phase in 100 ml cultures. Then 20 ml of each BACs were pooled such as each pool contained Z number (were 9, 10, 11, 24) of BACs representing $Z \times Z$ horizontal array. BACs were also pooled vertically where the first column of $Z \times Z$ horizontal array was a first vertical pool, etc. The BAC DNA was then isolated from eachpool using the standard method described above, and shotgun libraries are generated using protocols for the dye-terminating and pyrosequencing approaches. The resulting data, therefore, contains the shotgun sequence from each of the Z BAC-containing pools. For the assembly phase, the overlapping adjacent contig sequence for each extending BAC was added to each pool along with the individual BAC-end sequences for each pool member, low coverage pooled BAC shotgun ABI 3730 data, and 454-generated pooled paired-end reads after assembly using the 454 Newbler assembler. This combined 454 (shotgun and paired end-data) and ABI 3730 (shotgun and BAC endread) and then was assembled using Phred/Phrap. Data obtained from sequencing of horizontal pools was compared with the vertical pool sequences, and the intersection of both pools consisted of data from the individual BAC in that intersecting well of the $Z \times Z$ array (Csuros et al. 2003).

10.7.3 Sequence Assembly

The data from the sequencers was transferred to a project directory on the SUN station using the file transfer protocol (ftp). The chromatograms produced by the sequencers for each reaction, called the trace files, were analyzed by Phred software (Ewing et al. 1998) that determines the base sequence and assigns quality values to each base. Here, Phred first determines where the peaks would be centered if there were no factors shifting the peaks from their calculated locations. Then, the center of actual peaks is located

for each trace (Ewing et al. 1998), and the traces surrounding each called base are evaluated using quality value parameters to assess the trace quality and uses trace parameters to produce error probabilities associated with each called base. Phrap, the actual sequence assembly software, then uses the information provided by Phrep together with the read alignments to assign an error probability to each base of the inferred underlying sequence (consensus sequence) of the clone and construct the contigs (Gordon et al. 1998).

Contigs were viewed using the Consed contig viewer program (Gordon et al. 1998) that uses the quality files produced by Phred and the output assembly files generated by Phrap to create an accurate and interactive view of the data. Consed also shows the individual reads of the consensus sequence for each contig that are associated with the Phred quality files with white background and capital letters representing the high quality reads and a dark shade and low case letters representing the poor quality of data. Consed also can display the repeats in the sequence data and represent them by green shades as well as chimerical clones that are shown in red (Gordon et al. 1998).

10.7.4 Data Analysis and Annotation

Analysis and annotation of *M. truncatula* genome began once sixfold coverage was obtained. Initially, BAC sequencing data is run through RepeatMasker, a program developed by A. Smit and P.Green (http:// www.repeatmasker.org) that screens DNA sequences for interspersed and low complexity DNA sequences. RepeatMasker by masking intersperced repeats in genomic sequences avoids spurious matches during databases searches. After repeat masking, genomic sequences were screened by tRNA - ScanSE to predict all tRNAa and BLASTN to predict all rRNAs. tRNAscan-SE scans against transfer RNA (tRNA) genes, which are also called non-coding RNA (Lowe and Eddy 1997) based on known conserved tRNA secondary structural features, such as common sized loops and stems and conserved nucleotides, such as the TTC in loop VI. The tRNAscan-SE program can detect 99-100% of tRNA genes as well as tRNAderived repetitive elements and tRNA pseudogenes.

For gene prediction, Genscan and Fgenesh were used. These programs predict the transcriptional

units based on different algorithms/models. Genscan incorporates a description of the basic transcriptional, translational, splicing signals, length distribution, and compositional features of exons and introns, and creates the most likely gene structure and composition model of the genomic DNA for a given organism (Burge and Karlin 1997). Under this probabilistic model, each possible gene structure is assigned as a probability where exons are described as "optimal exons" and the translation products of the corresponding "optimal genes" are displayed as predicted peptides. Since Genscan was designed mainly for humans and vertebrates and usually under predicts genes for plants, Fgenesh also was used to identify genes in M. truncatula since it was a hidden Markov model and is considered to be more accurate and faster than Genscan (Solovyev et al. 1995). The combination of both programs allowed predicting genes on chromosomes with the highest accuracy. Also, because FgeneSH is trained on fulllength cDNA, it has higher specificity and sensitivity, misses fewer exons, and predicts fewer wrong exons than Genscan (Fig. 10.3).

Then, the M. truncatula genome was searched for open reading frames (ORFs) that were identified after a BLASTN (Altschul et al. 1997) homology search against the GenBank NR database after gene prediction using FgeneSH (Burge and Karlin 1997) trained on M. truncatula full-length cDNAs and Genscan (Solovyev et al. 1995). The BLAST algorithm determines a statistically significant alignment based on high-scoring pair aligned words. Here, BLAST scans the database for these words (typically of length three for proteins) that score at least the default T value when aligned with words within the query sequence. Any aligned word pair satisfying this condition is called a hit, and each hit with the E value below the threshold is recorded. These "hits" are then grouped based on K-tuple and displayed (Altschul et al. 1997).

As this project progressed on, International Medicago Genome Annotation Group (IMGAG) was formed. The schems for the IMGAG is: BAC sequences are deposited by sequencing centers in EMBL or Genbank. The Institute for Genome Research (TIGR) retrieved the Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences (MIPS) phase 3 BACs that were analyzed by PASA (Haas et al. 2003) and Fgenesh/Mt, (PASA including MENS peptides and Mt ESTs); then this annotation was posted on the TIGR FTP site. The Toulouse sequencing center captured this information and analyzed the data using the gene-finding program, Eugene (Foissac et al. 2003). Since TIGR assigned preliminary protein descriptions using Interpro, and Tigrblast, if no significant similarity was found, the tag "no description assigned" was added. The BAC sequences and TIGR annotation then were combined, and this annotation was made publicly available (Cannon et al. 2005).

Proteins with no homology or homology below the Evalue threshold were analyzed further for common patterns in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) metabolic pathways database, and the eukaryotic orthologous groups (KOG) and Pfam databases. If a protein sequence was distantly related to a known protein, a motif-based search using the Motif program at the Bioinformatics Center Institute for Chemical Research at Kyoto University, and protein pattern libraries such as Pfam (Bateman et al. 2002) and Prosite (Hofmann et al. 1999) were employed. Pfam allows searching for many common protein domains and families and link protein structure and functions, while the Prosite database consisting of biologically significant patterns and profiles can determine homology to known protein families (if any) or to known protein domain(s).

The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa et al. 2000) contains the GENES database with gene catalogs for all completely and partially sequenced genomes, the PATHWAY database containing graphical representations for metabolism, membrane transport, and other cellular processes supplemented by a set of ortholog group tables for the information about conserved subpathways (pathway motifs), and the LIGAND database that provides the information about chemical compounds, enzyme molecules, and enzymatic reactions. KEGG also provides Java graphics tools for browsing genome maps, comparing two genome maps and manipulating expression maps, as well as computational tools for sequence comparison, graph comparison, and path computation, features that were useful to predict and complete metabolic pathways when BLAST homologs were absent.

As mentioned above, the euKaryotic Orthologous Groups (KOG) database (Tatusov et al. 2003), is a useful classification system for eukaryotic genomes based on relationships of genes with similar functional domains. The underlying premise of this database is that orthologs have sequence features that are more similar to each other than they are to any other protein in its genomes and thus can be joined to form clusters. **Fig. 10.3** BAC pooling strategy combined with 454 data collection based approach for genomic DNA sequencing



10.8 Sequencing Statistics for the *M. truncatula* Chromosomes

M. truncatula encodes approximately 52,000 genes including more than 13,000 single exon genes, 2,225 genes encoding transposable elements (Table 10.2), spanning approximately 176,680 exons. The average gene density in the euchromatic region is 1 gene per 4.4 kbp. *Medicago* gene has an average size of 2.7 kbp

with a predicted peptide of 239 amino acids. Genes comprise approximately 16.6% of euchromatic region of the *Medicago* genome with the highest percentage on chromosome 5, which has 19.19% of genes.

The euchromatic region of the *Medicago* chromosomes contains on average of 10.9% repeated sequences, chromosome 5 has the lowest number of repeats and chromosome 6 has the highest (Table 10.2). Chromosome 5 also has the largest number of small RNAs, more than ten times of the amount of small









Fig. 10.4 Transposon and gene density on each of the eight Medicago truncatula chromosomes

RNAs on other chromosomes. The majority of these RNAs are rRNAs. Also, chromosome 5 is the only chromosome that contains rRNAs. Chromosome 5 has the largest amount of tRNA. *Medicago* chromosomes 5 and 6 have unusual gene densities; the former has the elevated gene density while the latter displays the reduced gene density. The rest of the chromosomes have relatively high and homogenous gene density. Also, chromosome 6 has an elevated level of transposons (Fig. 10.4).

10.9 *M. truncatula*: Importance for Gene Analysis

The legume family is extremely diverse, including tropical and temperate species from grain and forage crops to trees and herbs. Most legumes have large and complex genomes and therefore are unsuitable for detailed genomic research. Arabidopsis, another dicot, cannot serve as a model for legumes because of its lack of symbiosis, it cannot form endomycorrhizae, and it has very low levels of nitrogen-rich compounds and secondary metabolites. Fortunately, since the genome organization of organisms from the same taxonomic group is fairly well conserved, the information about the gene order and organization of one legume can be applied broadly to other legume species. This knowledge of synteny among legume genomes resulted in choosing M. truncatula as a model system that would serve as a reference for all members of legume family (Bell et al. 2001). The knowledge on M. truncatula genome organization would greatly facilitate gene discovery among related species.

The combined genetic, phylogenetic, and genomic information demonstrate extensive conservation of gene order and orthology between crop and model legumes and also reveal how these genomes vary structurally (Choi et al. 2004). For example, genomes of *M. truncatula* and *P. sativum* indicate a high level of conservation, although the latter has ten times larger genome and one less chromosome. That one less chromosome in *M. truncatula* corresponds to the highly heterochromatic chromosome 6, which has a low frequency of non-RGA EST markers. However, parallel analyses conducted by Kalo et al. (2004) suggest that *M. sativa* chromosome 6 has synteny with PsLGVI

and PsLGVII regions in the pea genome. This observation helps us to detect the chromosomal fission/ fusion events potentially responsible for the reduction of chromosome number in *P. sativum* (Choi et al. 2004).

L. japonicus and M. truncatula genomes represent the two best-characterized legumes and are always compared to each other due to recent divergence and sister-clade relationship. Although they share large fragments of genetic macrosynteny and sequence microsynteny, there is still a significant divergence between these two legume genomes due to the insertion/deletion of genes, tandem duplication of genes, and a unique distribution of mobile DNAs (Choi et al. 2004). Chromosomal rearrangements in legumes increase with phylogenetic distance effecting macrosynteny between species. Small regions of colinearity observed between M. truncatula and P. sativum or between M. truncatula and L. japonicus helps us to understand why it is difficult to identify macrosynteny between M. truncatula and soybean despite the relatively large number of genetic markers used for comparison. It is possible that recent duplication and low rates of polymorphism in the soybean genome contributed to that. Nevertheless, there is a significant conservation of microsynteny between M. truncatula and soybean (Cannon et al. 2003).

It was also observed that not only functionally conserved genes but also large and rapidly evolving gene families occupy syntenic positions across the diversity of legume species (Choi et al. 2004). Therefore, despite current challenges, the comparative genomics can be practically applicable in crop improvement.

References

- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402
- Bateman A, Birney E, Cerruti L, Durbin R, Etwiller L, Eddy S, Griffiths-Jones S, Howe K, Marshall M, Sonnhammer E (2002) The Pfam protein family database. Nucleic Acids Res 30:546–550
- Bauchan GR, Hossain MA (1999) Constitutive heterochromatin DNA polymorphisms in diploid Medicago sativa ssp. falcate. Genome 42:930–935
- Bell K, Dixon R, Farmer A (2001) The *Medicago* genome initiative: a model legume database. Nucleic Acids Res 29(1):547–553

- Bena G (2001) Molecular phylogeny supports the morphologically based taxonomic transfer of the "medicagoid" *Trigonella* species to the genus *Medicago* L. Plant Syst Evol 229:217–236
- Bena G, Lyet A, Huguet T, Olivieri I (2005) Medicago-Sinorhizobium symbiotic specificity evolution and the geographic expansion of Medicago. J Evol Biol 18:1547–1558
- Burge C, Karlin S (1997) Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA. J Mol Biol 268:78–94
- Cannon SB, McCombie WR, Sato S, Tabata S, Denny R, Palmer L, Katari M, Young ND (2003) Evolution and microsynteny of the apyrase gene family in three legume genomes. Mol Genet Genomics 270:347–361
- Cannon S, Crow J, Heuer M, Wang X, Cannon E, Dwan C, Lamblin A, Vasdewani J, Mudge J, Cook A, Gish J, Cheung F, Kenton S, Kunau T, Brown D, May G, Kim D, Cook D, Roe B, Town C, Young N, Retzel E (2005) Databases and Information Integration for the Medicago truncatula Genome and Transcriptome. Plant Physiol 138:38–46
- Choi HK, Kim D, Uhm T, Limpens E, Lim H, Mun JH, Kalo P, Penmetsa RV, Seres A, Kulikova O, Roe BA, Bisseling T, Kiss GB, Cook DR (2004) A sequence-based genetic map of *Medicago truncatula* and comparison of marker colinearity with *M. sativa*. Genetics 166(3):1463–1502
- Clark A (2007) Managing cover crops profitably, 3rd edn. SARE Outreach 2007, 244 p. http://hotfile.com/dl/38414892/ e309ef2/Managing20Cover20Crops20Profitably.rar.html
- Constabel CP (1999) A survey of herbivore-inducible defensive proteins and phytochemicals. In: Agrawal S, Tuzun S, Bent E (eds) Inducible plant defenses against pathogens and herbivores: biochemistry, ecology, and agriculture. The American Phytopathology Society Press, St. Paul, MN, pp 137–166
- Csuros M, Li B, Milosavljevic A (2003) Clone-Array Pooled Shotgun Mapping and Sequencing: Design and Analysis of Experiments. Genome Informatics 14:186–195
- Dangl J (1998) Plants just say NO to pathogens. Nature 394: 525–527
- Edwards O, Klingler J, Gao L, Korth K, Singh K (2006) Medicago truncatula interaction with insects. Medicago truncatula Handbook. Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation, Oklahoma, OK
- Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P (1998) Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. Accuracy assessment. Genome Res 8:175–185
- Foissac S, Bardou P, Moisan A, Cros M, Schiex T (2003) EuGene'Hom: a generic similarity-based gene finder using multiple homologous sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 31:3742–3745
- Gillies CB (1968) The pachytene chromosomes of a diploid Medicago sativa. Can J Genet Cytol 10:788–793
- Gordon D, Abajian C, Green P (1998) Consed: A graphical tool for sequence finishing. Genome Research 8:195–202
- Graham P, Vance C (2003) Legumes: importance and constraints to greater use. Plant Physiol 131(3):872–877
- Haas B, Delcher A, Mount S, Wortman J, Smith R, Hannick L, Maiti R, Ronning C, Rusch D, Town C, Salzberg S, White O (2003) Improving the Arabidodpsis genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment assemblies. Nucleic Acids Res 31:5654–5666
- Haberer G, Young S, Bharti AK, Gundlach H, Raymond C, Fuks G, Butler E, Wing RA, Rounsley S, Birren B, Nusbaum C,

Mayer KF, Messing J (2005) Structure and architecture of the maize genome. Plant Physiol 139:1612–1624

- Hofmann K, Bucher P, Falquet L, Bairoch A (1999) The PRO-SITE database, its status in 1999. Nucleic Acids Res 27:215–219
- Kalo P, Seres A, Taylor S, Jakab J, Kevei Z, Kereszt A, Entre G, Ellis T, Kiss G (2004) Comparative mapping between *Medicago sativa* and *Pisum sativum*. Mol Genet Genomics 272:235–246
- Kanehisa M, Goto S (2000) KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 28:27–30
- Kulikova O, Gualtieri G, Geurts R, Kim DJ, Cook D, Huguet T, de Jong JH, Fransz PF, Bisseling T (2001) Integration of the FISH pachytene and genetic maps of Medicago truncatula. Plant J 27(1):49–58
- Lesinš KA, Lesinš I (1979) Genus *Medicago* (Leguminosae), a taxogenetic study. Dr. W. Junk, Hague, pp 1–229. ISBN 9-06193-598-9
- Li L, Wang X, Stolc V, Li X, Zhang D, Su N, Tongprasit W, Li S, Cheng Z, Wang J, Deng X (2006) Genome-wide transcription analyses in rice using tiling microarrays. Nature Genetics 38:124 –129
- Lindström A, Odeberg J, Albert J (2004) Pyrosequencing for detection of Lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B virus. J Clin Microbiol 42:4788–4795
- Lowe TM, Eddy SR (1997) tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 25:955–964
- Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman W, Attiya S, Bader J, Bemben L, Berka J, Braverman M, Chen Y, Chen Z, Dewell S, Du L, Fierro J, Gomes X, Godwin B, He W, Helgesen S, Ho C, Irzyk G, Jando S, Alenquer M, Jarvie T, Jirage K, Kim J, Knight J, Lanza J, Leamon J, Lefkowitz S, Lei M, Li J, Lohman K, Lu H, Makhijani V, McDade K, McKenna M, Myers E, Nickerson E, Nobile J, Plant R, Puc B, Ronan M, Roth G, Sarkis G, Simons J, Simpson J, Srinivasan M, Tartaro K, Tomasz A, Vogt K, Volkmer G, Wang S, Wang Y, Weiner M, Yu P, Begley R, Rothberg J (2005) Genome sequencing in microfabricated highdensity picolitre reactors. Nature 437:376–380
- McKhann H, Paiva N, Dixon R, Hirsch A (1998) Expression of genes for enzymes of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway in the early stages of the *Rhizobium* legume symbiosis. Adv Exp Med Biol 439:45–54
- Mishima M, Ohmido N, Fukui K, Yahara T (2002) Trends in site-number change of rDNA loci during polyploid evolution in Sanguisorba (Rosaceae). Chromosoma 110:550–558
- Mudge J, Cannon S, Kalo P, Oldroyd G, Roe B, Town C, Young N (2005) Highly syntenic regions in the genomes of soybean, *Medicago truncatula* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Plant Biol 5:1–16
- Mun J, Kim D-J, Choi H-K, Gish J, Debellé F, Mudge J, Denny R, Endré G, Saurat O, Dudez A-M, Kiss G, Roe B, Young N, Cook D (2006) Distribution of microsatellites in the genome of *Medicago truncatula*: a resource of genetic markers that integrate genetic and physical maps. Genetics 172:2541–2555
- Nam YW, Penmetsa RV, Endre G, Uribe P, Kim D (1999) Construction of a bacterial artificial chromosome library

of *Medicago truncatula* and identification of clones containing ethylene-response gene. Theor Appl Genet 98:638–646

- Prosperi JM, Guy P, Genier G, Angevain M (1995) Les luzernes ou le genre *Medicago*. In: Ressources génétiques des plantes fourragères et à gazon, INRA Editions, Paris, France, 131–140
- Roe B, Kupfer D (2004) Sequencing gene rich regions of *Medicago truncatula*, a model legume. In: Hopkins A, Yang ZY, Mian R, Sledge M, Barker RE (eds) Molecular breeding of forage and turf. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 333–344
- Rosato M, Castro M, Rosselló J (2008) Relationships of the woody *Medicago* species (Section *Dendrotelis*) assessed by molecular cytogenetic analyses. Ann Bot 102(1):15–22
- Rosenblum BB, Lee LG, Spurgeon SL, Khan SH, Menchen SM, Heiner CR, Chen SM (1997) New dye-labeled terminators for improved DNA sequencing pattern. Nucleic Acids Res 25:4500–4504
- Schnurra J, Jungb H, Samaca D (2007) A comparative study of alfalfa and *Medicago truncatula* stem traits: morphology, chemical composition, and ruminal digestibility. Crop Sci 47:1672–1680
- Sclep G, Allemeersch J, Liechti R, Meyer B, Beynon J, Bhalerao R, Moreau Y, Nietfeld W, Renou J, Reymond P, Kuiper M, Hilson P (2007) CATMA, a comprehensive genome-scale resource for silencing and transcript profiling of Arabidopsis genes. BMC Bioinformatics 8:400
- Skalicka K, Lim Y, Matyasek R, Koukalova B, Leitch A, Kovarik A (2005) Rapid evolution of parental rDNA in a synthetic tobacco allotetraploid line. Am J Bot 90:988–996

- Solovyev V, Salamov A, Lawrence C (1995) Identification of human gene structure using linear discriminant functions and dymanic programming. ISMB 3:367–375
- Tatusov R, Fedorova N, Jackson J, Jacobs A, Kiryutin B, Koonin E, Krylov D, Mazumder R, Mekhedov S, Nikolskaya A, Rao B, Smirnov S, Sverdlov A, Vasudevan S, Wolf Y, Yin J, Natale D (2003) The COG database: an updated version includes eukaryotes. BMC Bioinformatics 4:41
- Thoquet P, Gherardi M, Journet EP, Kereszt A, Ane JM (2002) The molecular genetic linkage map of the model legume *Medicago truncatula*: an essential tool for comparative legume genomics and the isolation of agronomically important genes. BMC Plant Biol 2:1
- Valizadeh M, Kang K, Kanno A, Kameya T (1996) Analysis of genetic distance among none Medicago species by using DNA polymorphism. Breed Sci 46:7–10
- Woo H, Jeong BR, Hawes M (2005) Flavonoids: from cell cycle regulation to biotechnology. Biotechnol Lett 27:365–374
- Yan J, Chu H, Wang H, Li J, Sang T (2009) Population genetic structure of two Medicago species shaped by distinct life form, mating system and seed dispersal. Ann Bot 103:825–834
- Young N, Mudge J, Elli N (2003) Legume genomes: more than peas in a pod. Curr Opin Plant Biol 6:199–204
- Young N, Cannon S, Sato S, Kim D, Cook D, Towm C, Roe B, Tabata S (2005) Sequencing the gene spaces of *Medicago truncatula* and *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Physiol 137: 1174–1181

Chapter 11 Phaseolus

Francisco J.L. Aragão, Rosana P.V. Brondani, and Marília L. Burle

11.1 Introduction

Common bean (P. vulgaris L.) has been grown on more than 12 million ha and constitutes the most important food legume for direct consumption by more than a billion people worldwide. Beans have been a very important source of protein and calories for Latin America, Africa, and Asia. The world annual production is about 9 million metric tons. Production occurs in a wide range of cropping systems and diverse environments in the Latin America, Africa, Middle East, China, Europe, United States, and Canada. In Latin America and Africa, beans have been primarily cultivated on small farms with few purchased inputs, in association with other crops. The species is native of the Americas, and after domestication, it has spread to different regions in the world. As a consequence, the common bean presents adaptation to diverse environmental conditions. In the last two decades, P. vulgaris has been the target for multiple genomic studies, ending with the development of genetic maps, the identification of multiple quantitative traits loci, and the identification and use of molecular markers that cosegregate with genes conferring resistance to pests and diseases that affect the crop.

Desirable characteristics have been searched for within germplasm banks, with considerable success. For self-pollinated crops, such as common bean, the breeding methods most commonly used are bulk, pedigree, back-cross, and their modifications. In general, interspecific hybridization and exploration of genetic variability is very limited within the genus Phaseolus. In addition, some barriers to hybridization and genetic recombination have been observed within P. vulgaris, with great consequences for genetic and breeding studies (Aragão et al. 2008; Gepts et al. 2008). Another fact, which has to be considered, is that both inter- and intraspecific hybridization produce hybrid plants with undesirable characteristics. Thus, an extra period in the breeding program is necessary to obtain a commercial cultivar. Despite this drawback, the utilization of sexual hybridization has resulted in several important examples of gene transfer, on which many of our current cultivated cultivars have been based. In the field of genetic engineering, substantial scientific advances have been achieved with the crop in the last two decades. Genetic transformation techniques have overcome the former limitation of the introduction of foreign genes into bean genome. For instance, transgenic plants of P. vulgaris with resistance to the Bean golden mosaic virus, an important crop disease, have been tested in field conditions in Brazil (Aragão and Faria 2009).

11.2 Taxonomy and Natural Distribution of *Phaseolus*

The *Phaseolus* genus is placed within the subtribe Phaseolinae, the tribe Phaseoleae, the subfamily Faboideae (=Papilionoideae), and the family Fabaceae (=Leguminosae) (Freytag and Debouck 2002; Judd et al. 2008). According to Freytag and Debouck (2002), more than 400 species within the genus have been described since the 1700s, and this diversity remains to be uncovered in more details. The exact

F.J.L. Aragão (🖂)

Embrapa Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, PqEB W5 Norte, 70770-900 Brasília, DF, Brazil e-mail: aragao@cenargen.embrapa.br

number of *Phaseolus* species currently accepted is not known, and a reasonable estimate would be 50–60 species (Debouck 2000). Only five species have been domesticated within the *Phaseolus* genus, in pre-Columbian times: *P. vulgaris* (common bean), *P. polyanthus* (year-long bean), *P. coccineus* (scarlet runner bean), *P. acutifolius* (tepary bean), and *P. lunatus* (lima bean).

The occurrence of *P. vulgaris* species in its wild form was described in Mexico, in most of the countries of Central America [Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, and Nicaragua (Freytag and Debouck 2002)] and in the western countries of South America [Ecuador, Colombia, Peru, Venezuela (Debouck et al. 1993), Bolivia (Freyre et al. 1996), and Argentina (Von Burkart and Brücher 1953)]. Pictures of wild *P. vulgaris* (plants and seeds) are presented in Fig. 11.1.

According to Debouck et al. (1993), one of the main ecological features of the habitat for the species colonization is the pattern of rainfall distribution, with a rainy season for the plant growth until the blooming period or the beginning of the pod filling period, followed by a dry season period, when the plant maturation would take place. Maturation during the rainy season or in areas without dry season would lead to a significant reduction in fitness, due to seed germination inside the unopened pods. Debouck et al. (1993) described the ecological distribution of *P. vulgaris* in northwestern South



Fig. 11.1 Wild and cultivated *Phaseolus vulgaris*. (a) Plants of wild *P. vulgaris* showing the vigorous climbing nature, the large load of small pods, and the heliophilic nature of the species; photo by P. Gepts. (b) The first wild population of *P. vulgaris* collected in Ecuador (DGD-2762); it has been shown linked to the original branch of the species; photo by D. Debouck. (c) Plant of wild *P. vulgaris* collected in Colombia; photo by

D. Debouck. (d) Flowers of wild *P. vulgaris*; photo by P. Gepts. (e) The small seeds are from *P. vulgaris* wild type and the large seeds are the result of hybridization between wild and domesticated black-seeded common bean planted by farmers; photo by P. Gepts. (f) Seeds, pods, leaves, and flowers of cultivated *P. vulgaris*. Seeds and pods are from different varieties; photo collection of Embrapa Arroz e Feijão America (Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, and Peru). The wild populations of common bean described in the northwestern South America were collected in the Andes Mountain range, and the main ecological habitat was described as (semi-) dry montane tropical forests. As for the wild populations of *P. vulgaris* collected in Central and North America, the same ecological pattern of rainfall distribution seems to hold: fairly constant rainfall for early growth followed by an abrupt cease of rainfall (dry season initiates) during or shortly after the plant flowering period (Delgado-Salinas et al. 1988; Freytag and Debouck 2002).

The wild *P. vulgaris* was usually found in habitats with intermediary to high altitudes, such as pre-montane environments. Freytag and Debouck (2002) reported altitudes ranging from 800 to 2,000 m for the collecting sites of wild *P. vulgaris* from Mexico and Central America. As for the wild types from South America, Debouck et al. (1993) reported ranges of 960–2,090 m for Colombia, Ecuador, and Peru, whereas Freyre et al. (1996) reported ranges of 920–2,780 m.

In their review about the taxonomy and distribution of *Phaseolus* species in North and Central America, Freytag and Debouck (2002) mentioned that there were relatively few wild collections of *P. vulgaris* from Central America. The authors recalled the fact that early collectors (until the 1940s) did not find any wild types of the species in Mexico or Guatemala, contributing to an erroneous conclusion about the origin of the cultivated common bean. According to these authors, the Central American area would be on the edge of the distribution of wild *P. vulgaris*.

11.3 Relationships of *P. vulgaris* with Other *Phaseolus* Species

Many studies have tried to evaluate the genetic relationships of *P. vulgaris* with other *Phaseolus* species. These studies have taken into account different aspects such as morphological and phytogeographic reviews, molecular phylogenetic analyses, and reports on hybridization between the species. In a detailed review of herbaria and field collections, Freytag and Debouck (2002) recognized 15 sections within the *Phaseolus* genus, based on morphological differences of previously recognized species. *P. vulgaris* was placed within the Phaseoli section, along with *P. costaricensis*, P. dumosus (=polyanthus), and P. albescens. Molecular phylogenetics studies within the genus confirmed, in part, this assignment of species within the same section as P. vulgaris. Using internal transcribed spacer DNA sequencing combined with non-molecular data, Delgado-Salinas et al. (1999) performed a molecular phylogenetic analysis sampling around 50 Phaseolus species. Their results identified nine monophyletic clades within the *Phaseolus* genus, and the *P. vulgaris* clade included all the four species placed in the Phaseoli section (Freytag and Debouck 2002), in addition to P. coccineus and P. acutifolius. Other studies using molecular analyses showed some differences in the relationship between Phaseolus species (for example, Fofana et al. 1999), probably due to differences on sampling strategies (numbers of accessions and taxa examined) (Freytag and Debouck 2002), as well as differences for the type of DNA analyzed (Broughton et al. 2003).

According to Freytag and Debouck (2002), P vulgaris is fairly easily hybridized with *P. dumosus* (=polyanthus). As for the potential hybridization between P. coccineus and P. vulgaris, Broughton et al. (2003) recalled that these species were frequently intercrossed from 1940 to 1985, and they claimed that P. coccineus would hold the greatest potential as genetic diversity resource once the primary gene pool of P. vulgaris has been completely exploited. However, Freytag and Debouck (2002) contradict the close relationship between these two species, emphasizing that although they have been crossed in multiple occasions, they are rarely found growing together, and little fertile and useful progeny have yet been obtained. Many studies have also tested the hybridization between *P*. *vulgaris* and P. acutifolius, and less compatibility between these species was reported (Freytag and Debouck 2002; Broughton et al. 2003). Other species have been crossed with P. vulgaris: P. angustissimus, P. filiformis, P. maculatus, P. ritensis (reviewed in Freytag and Debouck 2002), P. parvifolius, and P. lunatus (reviewed in Broughton et al. 2003), but no fertile hybrids have been obtained.

11.4 Domestication: Two Gene Pools

Common bean is a typical example of a non-centric crop (Harlan 1992), since domestication of *P. vulgaris* occurred over a wide area. The species has been

domesticated independently in Mesoamerica and the southern Andes, based on several kinds of data (distribution of wild populations, archeological remains, historical texts, and evolutionary studies based on several types of molecular markers), including phaseolin (the major seed protein in *P. vulgaris*) (reviewed in Gepts 1998; Gepts et al. 2008; McClean et al. 2008; Kwak et al. 2009). To discuss the evolution of common bean, Gepts (1998) defined Mesoamerica as the region that encompasses the southern half of Mexico and the northern half of Central America, while the Andean region was defined as the one that encompasses southern Peru, Bolivia, and Argentina. A wild common bean from northern Peru was identified as a presumed ancestral gene pool of the species (Debouck et al. 1993; Kami et al. 1995). These wild beans probably dispersed both northwards and southwards to form two distinct gene pools before domestication (reviewed in Gepts 1998; Broughton et al. 2003; McClean et al. 2008). The precise locations of common bean domestication within each one of these two major regions (Mesoamerica and Andes) are still under debate. Kwak et al. (2009) recently proposed a region in West-central Mexico, in the Rio Lerma-Rio Grande de Santiago basin, as the putative Mesoamerican domestication center of common bean, based on molecular analysis using microsatellites.

As a result of this bicentric process of domestication, the two gene pools are usually very clearly distinguished in most (if not all) of the studies assessing the genetic diversity of common bean collections, either by different kinds of molecular data (Gepts 1988; Koenig and Gepts 1989; Emydgio et al. 2003; Pallottini et al. 2004; Kwak et al. 2009) or by morphological characters (Singh et al. 1991).

These two gene pools are also separated by partial reproductive isolation, both in wild and domesticated populations (Gepts and Bliss 1985; Koinange and Gepts 1992), which leads to hybrid weakness in the F_1 (Gepts and Bliss 1985) and later generations (Singh and Molina 1996). According to Gepts (1998), the existence of this reproductive isolation and the degree of divergence at the molecular level suggest that these two gene pools would actually represent two subspecies.

This strong subdivision of common bean domesticated gene pool into two major groups presents important implications for the use of this genetic resource. First, host–microbe co-evolution has been suggested in the case of common bean, for different pathogens (Guzmán et al. 1995; Geffroy et al. 1999; Araya et al. 2004; Kelly and Vallejo 2004; Mkandawire et al. 2004) as well as for *Rhizobium* (Aguilar et al. 2004). Physiological traits related to photosynthesis may also distinguish these two gene pools (Lynch et al. 1992; Gonzalez et al. 1995).

Second, limitations related to reproductive barriers between accessions from the two different gene pools are also important. Broughton et al. (2003) referred a variety of studies that found it difficult to obtain highyielding genotypes in Andean × Mesoamerican crosses because of outbreeding depression. However, as Gepts (1998) claims, assessing the diversity from both common bean gene pools may also constitute an opportunity, since recombination between these two pools could provide new and interesting gene combinations, if the reproductive isolation could be overcome.

Besides the main division of common bean gene pool into the Andean and the Mesoamerican groups, a further genetic classification of common bean into ecogeogaphic races was also proposed (Singh et al. 1991). For this classification into races, Singh et al. (1991) considered a multiple set of characteristics such as molecular and morphological characterization, agronomic traits, reproductive isolations, ecological adaptation, and geographical distribution. Six races of common bean were defined: races Durango, Jalisco, and Mesoamerica are from the Mesoamerican gene pool, whereas races Chile, Nueva Granada, and Peru are from the Andean gene pool. The races' classification have been often used and discussed in studies of genetic diversity of common bean, particularly by the group of bean scientists from the Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (Beebe et al. 2000; Blair et al. 2006a, 2007, 2009a; Díaz and Blair 2006).

11.4.1 Domestication Syndrome and Diversity Reduction

The phenotypic differences that cultivated plants often show if compared with their wild-growing progenitors (Harlan 1992) have been named as domestication syndrome (Koinange et al. 1996). The two most important attributes of the domestication syndrome in common bean are the loss of dispersal ability and seed dormancy (Koinange et al. 1996). Other important traits that present changes with domestication in the species are the ones related to growth habit. While the wild types of common bean often sprawl or climb, the cultivated common bean usually presents determinacy, non-twining branches, few vegetative nodes, and long internodes. As a result, the cultivated common bean types usually present a more compact growth habit if compared with the wild progenitor.

Another important trait related to the domestication syndrome in common bean is the life cycle. According to Koinange et al. (1996), determinacy (the early transition from a vegetative terminal meristem to a reproductive one) has, by its very nature, an effect on shortening the plant life cycle. Freytag and Debouck (2002) described wild *P. vulgaris* as a perennial plant, tending to be annual in many wild populations. As for the cultivated types, almost all of them are annual plants (Freytag and Debouck 2002).

The study of Koinange et al. (1996) was the first one to investigate, directly, the inheritance of the domestication syndrome in a legume crop. The following traits were confirmed, in this study, as related to this syndrome in the species: growth habit, phenology, seed dispersal, dormancy, and size of fruit and seed. An interesting finding of this study was the fact that the domestication genes identified in the species appeared to be concentrated in just three genomic regions.

Many crops are marked with a reduction of diversity during and after domestication (Doebley 1989; Gepts 1998). For common bean, this aspect also holds true, and the reduction of diversity associated with the domestication process was documented in this crop more than 15 years ago, based on DNA molecular analysis (Sonnante et al. 1994).

11.4.2 The Domesticated Pool Occupied New Regions

The process of dissemination of common bean from its domestication centers to other regions in the World, where it is currently cultivated, is not extensively studied, and the subject remains to be evaluated in more depth. In a classic study about the origin, dispersal and variability of *P. lunatus* (the lima bean, one of the four cultivated *Phaseolus* species) in the American continent, Mackie (1943) discussed three routes of dispersal

of this crop from Central America, in pre-Columbian times: the Hopi, the Carib, and the Inca routes. Most of these routes were also trade routes, and they dispersed also other crops, the common bean among them. The Hopi route, for example, connected Central America northward to Arizona State, in the United States, and was responsible for the dispersion of common bean, lima bean, tepary bean (*P. acutifolius*), corn, and squashes. The Carib route would connect Central America continent to the Caribbean islands, Cuba, the former West Indies, and the Antilles Islands, reaching Brazil. The Inca route dispersed the beans southward to the Andean region, in South America.

Gepts and collaborators discussed the dissemination pathways of common bean to Africa, Europe, and other American regions based on phaseolin (a major seed protein) variability. Gepts et al. (1988) postulated that common bean had been introduced in Brazil at least from two routes, one (or more than one) for the Mesoamerican types and another(s) one for the Andean types, since both types of common bean occurred in Brazil. For the routes that could have dispersed Mesoamerican common bean types into Brazil, Gepts et al. (1988) postulated two options: from Mexico, following the Caribbean Coast into Colombia and Venezuela and eventually into Brazil, and from Mexico for the Caribbean islands and from there to Venezuela, Colombia, and Brazil. This last route postulated by Gepts et al. (1988) was similar, but not identical, to the Carib route described by Mackie (1943). As for the possible routes that dispersed the Andean common bean types into Brazil, Gepts et al. (1988) suggested also two options: a route from Colombia and Ecuador, and a post-Columbian introduction from immigrants that came from Europe, where the Andean common bean types predominated (Gepts and Bliss 1988). Historical reports also indicated that beans were already cultivated by the Brazilian native populations when the Portuguese colonizers first arrived in Brazil (Léry 1576; Hoehne 1937). In addition, archeological samples from Brazil also supported the hypotheses of common bean cultivation in Brazil before the European conquest (Prous et al. 1984; Freitas 2006).

As for the dissemination of common bean to North America, Gepts et al. (1988) identified that most of the types cultivated in the southwestern United States presented a phaseolin type characteristic from the Mesoamerican gene pool. Historical and linguistic records of common bean cultivation in that region before the European conquest, such as Native American names for the crop, as well as archeological records (Kaplan 1981), helped Gepts et al. (1988) postulating the hypothesis that common bean has been introduced in that part of the United States predominantly from the Mesoamerican region and in pre-colonization times [similarly as the Hopi route, postulated by Mackie (1943)]. According to Gepts et al. (1988), in the northeastern United States predominated the Andean common bean types, and the authors suggested two possible routes, both in poscolonization times: from Europe and directly from the Andean countries (Chile).

Gepts and Bliss (1988) identified that most of common bean cultivars in the Iberian Peninsula presented an Andean phaseolin type that had been described predominantly in Chile, pending on more investigation. As for the rest of Europe, the authors identified the predominance of cultivars with Andean phaseolin types that occurred also in other Andean regions. More recently, other studies have confirmed the predominance of Andean types among the European common bean (Logozzo et al. 2007; Marotti et al. 2007).

The results of Gepts and Bliss (1988) provided a new outlook on the origin of common bean in the African continent, where most of the accessions sampled presented also an Andean phaseolin type. Previous hypothesis postulated that common bean had been introduced in Africa from Brazil, associated to the slaves' trades (Evans 1976). However, the Mesoamerican common bean types predominated in Brazil (Gepts et al. 1988). According to Gepts and Bliss (1988), differential adaptations among cultivars of different origins and differences on consumption preferences may also explain the maintenance (or not) of the different common bean types in the regions where they have been introduced after domestication.

Zhang et al. (2008) claimed that China could be another secondary center of diversity for common bean, where the crop has been introduced around 400 years ago. Although the majority of the landraces cultivated in China were classified as Mesoamerican types, the authors postulated that a higher number of introductions of the species in this country were from Andean types, since the study detected a higher diversity within the Andean group of Chinese landraces, when compared with the Mesoamerican group. As the domesticated common bean was spread from the specific habitats within its primary center of diversification (subhumid pre-montane forests of Central America and western South America) to different regions and habitats within the World, some other aspects/traits of the species were also affected. One of the physiological traits affected in the species during this process is the sensitivity to photoperiod. The dissemination of cultivated common bean from the species' domestication centers (in the tropics) to areas with higher latitudes has resulted in selection for genotypes insensitive to day length, if compared with the wild types (Koinange et al. 1996; Broughton et al. 2003).

Differences on the adaptation of the species to stressing soil characteristics after domestication constitutes a subject that has not been extensively investigated and debated, although very relevant. The domesticated gene pool of common bean seems to harbor more genotypes adapted to acid and low fertility soils, if compared to the wild gene pool in the species (Beebe et al. 1997). Toro et al. (1990) pointed out that wild P. vulgaris was typically found in a subhumid pre-montane forest environment in which soils are less weathered than in more humid areas of the tropics. Freytag and Debouck (2002) described the soils of the areas where wild P. vulgaris was collected in Mexico and Central America as mineral or volcanic types, usually derived from limestone (high fertility), schist, granite, lava (high fertility), or tuffa (high fertility). In contrast, secondary centers of common bean diversity, such as Brazil and Africa, present older and more weathered soils, with more acidity, with higher contents of toxic aluminum, and lower availability of nutrients, phosphorus among them (Goedert 1986; ISRIC 2010).

Adaptation for cultivation in lower altitudes (therefore, adaptation to warmer temperatures) is another aspect that has probably been affected in the species after the domesticated gene pool moved to other regions. While the wild *P. vulgaris* was usually found in pre-montane habitats, the domesticated common bean occupied, for example, the lowland South American regions (Brazil, Venezuela, and northern Colombia) (Gepts et al. 1988). Burle (2008) estimated altitudes ranging from 0 to 1,200 m for the collecting sites where common bean landraces have been cultivated in Brazil.

11.5 Genetic Analyses

The genetic variability of cultivated common bean varieties (P. vulgaris) is thought to be smaller than that of wild common bean due to a genetic bottleneck and founder effect that occurred during crop domestication, which reduced the possibilities of genetic gain on breeding programs (Gepts 1988). Since wild progenitors are the foundation of landraces and landraces are the foundation of modern cultivars, elucidation and understanding the structure of genetic diversity in the gene pool of common bean are essential for broadening the genetic base of cultivars to sustain the breeding programs (Singh 2001; Chacón et al. 2005). Studies on the domestication and organization processes of the common bean genetic variability were first based on morphological traits and biochemical markers. In the late 1980s, following the PCR methodology inception, a number of molecular marker technologies have been developed. In the 1990s, with the emerging advances of the DNA techniques, associated to the reduction of the costs and greater accessibility to the molecular approaches, the number of available molecular markers became limitless, making possible the investigation of different aspects of the genetic structure of crops species and accessible the construction of more informative and representative genetic maps. The characterization of genetic diversity among common bean accessions from divergent gene pools using molecular markers has been shown to be effective to elucidate mechanisms of origin and evolution, to investigate the effects of domestication processes in the reduction of the genetic diversity that has characterized common bean gene pools, and to allow an efficient management and effective exploitation of the germplasm (Gepts 2004). On the basis of diverse classes of molecular markers, which explore genomewide variation, studies of genetic structure between and within gene pools of a collection of wild P. vulgaris has shown that wild accessions of P. vulgaris clearly clustered into Andean, Mesoamerican, and intermediate gene pools (Freyre et al. 1996). Several investigations have shown the P. vulgaris diversity and most likely region of origin from its gene pool (Kwak et al. 2009; Blair et al. 2010), the level of genetic diversity and origin of Andean cultivated and wild bean (Beebe et al. 2001), the level and direction of gene flow between wild and domesticated bean populations (Papa and Gepts 2003; Papa et al. 2005), and the analysis of variability that allowed to conclude about the high levels of variability both within wild bean populations and landraces, where the wild samples grouped according to their geographic distribution and the landraces based on their seed morphobotanical descriptors (Galván et al. 2010).

As an advantage of the genetic divergence, wild common beans represent an important reservoir of alleles and can, therefore, be used as an additional source of genetic variability in common beans-breeding programs, since they cross easily with the modern P. vulgaris cultivars, producing fertile generations as demonstrated by Singh (2001). In addition, the use of wild genitors overcome the reduced polymorphism attributed to the narrow genetic base observed among cultivated common bean (Maciel et al. 2003), mainly among crosses within the same gene pool as reported by Frei et al. (2005) and Blair et al. (2006a). More recently, Pérez-Vega et al. (2009) characterized a group of landraces collected in Spain and described a considerable diversity among them, suggesting as an important secondary center of genetic diversity of common bean that must be preserved in order to maintain part of the genetic diversity of the primary centers.

Acosta-Gallegos et al. (2007) in a review described the use of the genetic diversity from wild germplasm of common beans in the pre-breeding programs as a valuable means to recover the lost of diversity through the search of potentially favorable alleles in wild ancestors, aiming at the development of new cultivars with a higher genetic diversity and more resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Afterwards, important linkage maps were developed that allowed significant advances in the knowledge regarding the structure and organization of the P. vulgaris genome. The first genetic map for common beans, which integrated a diverse class of molecular markers, was nominated "Florida map," and was based on restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLPs), isozyme, and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers and phenotypical traits (Vallejos et al. 1992). Later on, Nodari et al. (1993a, b) developed linkage maps derived from the crossing between the line BAT93 and the cultivar Jalo EEP558, nominated as "BJ" population, embracing a large set of RFLP and RAPD markers. In a following study, Adam-Blondon et al. (1994) constructed the "Paris map," also based on RFLP and RAPD markers. In order to align these

maps, a core linkage map was established in the recombinant inbred BJ population with a total length of 1,226 cm and it included a large set of markers (Freyre et al. 1998), followed by the assignment of the first set of codominant microsatellite markers into a P. vulgaris molecular linkage map (Yu et al. 2000). Subsequently, a large and new set of microsatellite markers begun to be integrated into common beans' linkage maps (Blair et al. 2003, 2006a), resulting in a map based exclusively on microsatellite markers mapped in the BJ population (Grisi et al. 2007). The correspondence between the linkage groups and the chromosomes was established as described by Pedrosa et al. (2003) and adapted by Grisi et al. (2007). Recently, a new expanded version of the core linkage map also using the BJ population was released, which included markers with putative gene function (Hanai et al. 2009). Currently, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based genetic markers are being used to create dense genetic linkage maps. In addition, SNPs can provide gene-based markers to identify candidate genes associated with quantitative trait loci (QTL) (Rafalski 2002). The first report of SNP mapping in P. vulgaris was described by Galeano et al. (2009), which placed a total of 118 new marker loci into an integrated molecular map.

Following the construction of linkage maps, genome regions involved in the control of simple as well as complex heritable traits are being identified. QTLs for important traits have been located in the genus Phaseolus using a variety of molecular marker classes and several types of segregating populations. The experimental approaches used have been effective to identify genomic regions that have a significant effect on the expression of QTLs, providing information about the number and the magnitude of the effects of the genes controlling proportions of the total variation, subjected to a pronounced environmental effect and dependent on the genetic background. Most of the target traits are related to agronomically relevant measures for the breeding programs and can be considered as useful traits in the selection process to identify lines with desirable phenotypes contributing to the increase in the efficiency of the common bean breeding programs. QTLs for complex traits have been identified from wild relatives and landraces of common beans since they provide a large variation of naturally occurring alleles for QTL mapping and are used for crop improvement. Koinange et al. (1996) were the first to map QTLs using a recombinant inbred population resulting from a cross between a wild and a cultivated common bean employing molecular markers. In this report, traits related to the domestication syndrome in common bean were evaluated, and QTLs with large effects (>25-30%) related to growth habit and phenology, seed dispersal and dormancy, size of fruit and seed concentrated in three regions of the genome accounting for substantial component of the phenotypic variance (>40-50%) were detected. In subsequent studies, QTLs related to yield including days to flowering, days to maturity, seed weight, number of seeds per pod, and architectural traits were identified through advanced backcross QTL analysis, in which QTLs for seed size showed positive alleles from the wild parent (Blair et al. 2006b). More recently, traits related to growth habit, such as plant height, internode length, and number of branches per plant, have being analyzed by QTL mapping (Checa and Blair 2008). Among the factors that affect crop yield, root traits play a major role due to the possibility to increase the efficiency to extract and absorb water and nutrients from the environment. QTL analysis of root architecture traits has been conducted that allowed the establishment of correlation with mineral soil tolerance. Beebe et al. (2006) were the first to conduct such studies, followed by Ochoa et al. (2006), who mapped adventitious root formation QTLs under contrasting soil phosphorus concentrations. More recently, a study locating QTLs for root architecture traits under low phosphorus tolerance in an Andean bean population was described (Cichy et al. 2009a).

Several studies have described QTLs controlling different traits as being mapped in the same chromosomal regions. However, if these analyses are based on low-resolution linkage maps, it will not be possible to determine whether the traits are controlled by single genetic elements that exhibit pleiotropic effects, or they contain several tightly linked genes. QTL overlapping has been extensively described, for example, QTLs for increased concentrations of different seed micronutrients (Fe and Zn) that co-localized showing a positive correlation without environment interaction reported in an Andean bean population (Cichy et al. 2009b). Only fine-mapping on the target genomic regions has been of help to address these questions (Hanemann et al. 2009). However, regarding all experimental QTL researches that have been conducted along the years, only a few QTLs related to the same traits has been mapped at common locations in the
linkage maps. This is in part due to the different mapping populations used, the interactions related to different genetic backgrounds of the genotypes, the environmental effect on the trait, the limitations due to the incomplete alignment of linkage groups between the studies, and the reduced level of genetic information content of the markers used for the linkage analyses. The increasing use of codominant markers widely distributed across the genome and their potential to be transferable between different genotypes, as microsatellite markers, depicts an amenable perspective for the establishment of consolidated linkage maps, and making the QTL mapping analyses useful among different research groups. Currently, these studies have provided insights into the genetic control of several agronomically important traits in common beans and strongly represent an initial step toward marker-assisted selection for complex traits.

The advanced genomic studies of common beans can be successfully accelerated due to the development of expressed sequence tag (EST) database, genome sequencing, bacterial artificial chromosome libraries, and physical mapping. As in February 2010, there were 83,847 entries of ESTs in the National Center for Biotechnology Information database. These sequences, when submitted to functional annotation studies, provide a valuable resource for the development of markers based on candidate gene approach, facilitating the direct establishment of probable relationships between these and specific QTLs through the development of a transcriptional map. Over the last 5 years, EST sequencing projects were initiated in common beans, contributing until now with an increase of 46 times in the number of EST sequences publicly available. Microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (SSR) are the most important and popular molecular markers that can be developed from ESTs. Approaches of mining for repetitive sequences in EST databases have been successfully applied for common beans (Blair et al. 2003, 2009b; Hanai et al. 2007). As part of the genomic resources available for common beans, Gaitán-Solís et al. (2008) reported identification of a high frequency of SNPs through the genomic DNA when analyzing a set of cultivated and wild bean genotypes belonging to the Mesoamerican and Andean gene pools, suggesting that diverse P. vulgaris genotypes could be useful for SNP identification. An initiative toward a whole structural genome sequencing of common bean is currently underway by an international collaborative project including Mexico, Brazil, Spain, and Argentina, funded by the Ibero-American Development Program for Science (CyTed). The *P. vulgaris* sequencing genome information is a promising field with high potential to provide significant advances in common beans' genomics, useful for geneticists, breeders, and genetic resource specialists.

11.6 Tissue Culture and Genetic Engineering

Plant regeneration in the genus Pheseolus has been achieved for several species through de novo organogenesis, somatic embryogenesis, and proliferation of shoot meristems from areas surrounding a shoot bud. Numerous attempts have been made to regenerate *P. vulgaris* plants from several types of isolated cells and tissues. Although no satisfactory results have been achieved, some methodologies have described shoot organogenesis (through multiple shoot induction) of the apical and axillary meristems from bean embryonic axis (McClean and Grafton 1989; Malik and Saxena 1992; Mohamed et al. 1992, 1993; Aragão et al. 1996; Aragão and Rech 1997). Cruz de Carvalho et al. (2000) employed the transverse thin cell layer (tTCL) method to optimize the frequency of shoot regeneration without an intermediate callus stage. Recently, organogenesis protocols have been developed or optimized for several genotypes (Delgado-Sánchez et al. 2006; Mohamed et al. 2006; Arellano et al. 2009; Kwapata et al. 2010).

Zambre et al. (2001) described regeneration of several genotypes of *P. polyanthus* combining thidiazuron (TDZ) and indole-3-acetic acid (AIA) to induce morphogenic green nodular callus. Regenerated shoots that formed roots in vitro (40%) were established in the greenhouse, whereas non-rooted shoots could be established in vitro by grafting performed on the hypocotyl of *P. vulgaris* seedling rootstocks. Somatic embryogenesis and subsequent plant development have been reported for *P. coccineus* and *P. acutifolius* (Kumar et al. 1988; Genga and Allavena 1991).

Recently, the first protocol for callus induction and shoot regeneration has been reported for *P. lunatus* (Kanchiswamy and Maffei 2008). Combining thidiazuron and IAA for the induction of callus followed by BAP for the induction of shoots, it was possible to regenerate plants with fastest response and with the highest percentage of shoot regeneration (40–60%) from epicotyls (Kanchiswamy and Maffei 2008).

To date, *Phaseolus* species have been transformed genetically with limited success, with most of the efforts being concentrated on *P. vulgaris* (Aragão et al. 2008) and *P. acutifolius* (Dillen et al. 1997; Clercq et al. 2002). *P. acutifolius* can be used as a "bridging" species to introduce transgenes into the economically more important species *P. vulgaris*.

P. vulgaris has been successfully transformed using both *Agrobacterium* and biolistic-mediated systems (for a review see Nagl et al. 1997; Liu et al. 2005; Aragão et al. 2008). These systems have been used to introduce several useful traits into common bean plants, and the first commercial lines are now under development in Brazil (Aragão et al. 2008; Aragão and Faria 2009).

Dillen et al. (1997) have described a methodology to transform P. acutifolius (genotype NI 576) utilizing Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Regenerating calli, obtained from bud explants, were co-cultivated with A. tumefaciens C58C1Rif^R (pMP90) harboring a binary vector with the neomycin phosphotransferase II (nptII) and β -glucuronidase (*uidA*) marker genes. Using this system, transgenic plants containing a genomic fragment encoding the P. vulgaris arcelin-5a protein were obtained. The P. acutifolius transformation system was further improved with an A. tumefaciens strain carrying nopaline-type virulence genes and when calli were infected with Agrobacterium cells in the early-log growth phase. With these modifications, an efficient and reproducible transformation procedure was established for the P. acutifolius genotype NI576 (Clercq et al. 2002). Another Agrobacterium-mediated method was further developed by Zambre et al. (2005) allowing the generation of morphologically normal transgenic plants of P. acutifolius. However, the shoots did not root in approximately one-third of the lines. The non-rooted shoots had to be grafted and in vitro establishment rate for the grafts was 80–100%. All the plants regenerated with this procedure were stably transformed, and the introduced foreign genes (uidA, nptII, arc1 and acr5) were inherited in Mendelian fashion in most of the transformants. In addition, plants expressing arcelin genes (Arcelin 5 and Arcelin 1) were tested for resistance to Z. subfasciatus (Mexican bean weevil) and the results showed a delay in the emergence of adult insects. However, due the fact that the differences were small, the expression of *arc1* or *arc5* transgenes was considered not to influence insect biology (Zambres et al. 2005)

11.7 Concluding Remarks

Important information has been obtained about the diversity of Phaseolus, particularly in the last three decades. At the same time, many interesting questions have been raised about the species' diversity. The regions of natural distribution of the species have been described, in the Americas, making it possible to understand the main ecological features for the wild species' growth. The relationships of P. vulgaris with other species within the genus have also been investigated, from multiple perspectives. Some five species have been pointed as closely related to P. vulgaris, despite some on-going debate on the subject. The strong genetic structure of the species, organized into two major gene pools (the Andean and the Mesoamerican), is unequivocal in the common bean scientific community and that presents important implications for the use of the genetic resources. The history of common bean dispersion from its center of domestication to other regions in the world has been discussed in the literature, although it remains to be investigated in more depth. As the domesticated P. vulgaris occupied other regions in the world, important traits related to the adaptation of the species to environmental stresses were affected. This is another important aspect that deserves further investigation, and is very relevant for the use of the crop genetic resources.

An increased understanding of common bean genome associated with contributions from biotechnology will provide an opportunity for breeders to accelerate the development of new varieties with valuable agricultural traits. Common bean has a relatively small genome with 11 haploid chromosomes and genomics studies are in progress. These will facilitate an excellent scenario for the introduction of useful traits as well as studding gene function in *P. vulgaris* plants. There is considerable interest in the introduction of genes for several useful traits in common bean such as resistance against virus, insect, bacteria, and fungi, environmental stress tolerance, and improvement in nutritional properties. In addition, manipulation of

plant architecture and phenological characteristics might facilitate management, increasing yield, quality, and diversity. Although regeneration systems have been developed for several *Pheseolus* species, transformation has been achieved with limited success. From a basic and applied genetics and molecular biology perspective, it would be interesting if it would be possible to transform most *Phaseolus* species, facilitating studies on gene expression and comparative genomics. Several groups are now making efforts for introducing useful traits into *P. vulgaris* and *P. acutifolius* by genetic engineering, mainly in Brazil, United States, Colombia, and Mexico.

Acknowledgments We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Paul Gepts (University of California, Davis) and Dr. Daniel Debouck (International Center for Tropical Agriculture) for pictures and respective comments on Fig. 11.1.

References

- Acosta-Gallegos JA, Kelly JD, Gepts P (2007) Prebreeding in common bean and use of genetic diversity from wild germplasm. Crop Sci 47:44–59
- Adam-Blondon A, Sévignac M, Dron M (1994) A genetic map of common bean to localize specific resistance genes against anthracnose. Genome 37:915–924
- Aguilar OM, Riva O, Peltzer E (2004) Analysis of *Rhizobium etli* and of its symbiosis with wild *Phaseolus vulgaris* supports coevolution in centers of host diversification. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:13548–13553
- Aragão FJL, Faria JC (2009) First transgenic geminivirus resistant plant in the field. Nat Biotechnol 27:1086–1088
- Aragão FJL, Rech EL (1997) Morphological factors influencing recovery of transgenic bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) of a carioca cultivar. Int J Plant Sci 158:157–163
- Aragão FJL, Barros LMG, Brasileiro ACM, Ribeiro SG, Smith FD, Sanford JC, Faria JC, Rech EL (1996) Inheritance of foreign genes in transgenic bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) co-transformed via particle bombardment. Theor Appl Genet 93:142–150
- Aragão FJL, Faria JC, Del Peloso MJ, Melo LC, Brondani RPV (2008) Common bean. In: Kole C, Hall TC (eds) Compendium of transgenic crop plants, vol 3, Legume grains and forages. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, pp 1–24
- Araya CM, Alleyne AT, Steadman JR, Eskridge KM, Coyne AP (2004) Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of Uromyces appendiculatus from Phaseolus vulgaris in the Americas. Plant Dis 88:830–836
- Arellano J, Fuentes SI, Castillo-España C, Hernandez G (2009) Regeneration of different cultivars of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) via indirect organogenesis. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 96:11–18

- Beebe S, Lynch JN, Galwey N, Tohme J, Ochoa IA (1997) A geographical approach to identify phosphorus-efficient genotypes among landraces and wild ancestors of common beans. Euphytica 95:325–336
- Beebe S, Skroch PW, Tohme J, Duque MC, Pedraza F, Nienhuis J (2000) Structure of genetic diversity among common bean landraces of Middle American origin based on correspondence analysis of RAPD. Crop Sci 40:264–273
- Beebe SE, Rengifo J, Gaitan E, Duque MC, Tohme J (2001) Diversity and origin of Andean landraces of common bean. Crop Sci 41:854–862
- Beebe SE, Rojas-Pierce M, Yan X, Blair MW, Pedraza F, Muños F, Tohme J, Lynch JP (2006) Quantitative trait loci for root architecture traits correlated with phosphorus acquisition in common bean. Crop Sci 46:413–423
- Blair MW, Pedraza F, Buendia HF, Gaitán-Solís E, Beebe SE, Gepts P, Tohme J (2003) Development of a genome-wide anchored microsatellite map for common bean (*Phaseolus* vulgaris L.). Theor Appl Genet 107:1362–1374
- Blair MW, Giraldo MC, Buendia HF, Tovar E, Duque MC, Beebe SE (2006a) Microsatellite marker diversity in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Theor Appl Genet 113:100–109
- Blair MW, Iriarte G, Beebe S (2006b) QTL analysis of yield traits in an advanced backcross population derived from a cultivated Anden x wild common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cross. Theor Appl Genet 112:1149–1163
- Blair MW, Díaz JM, Hidalgo R, Díaz LM, Duque MC (2007) Microsatellite characterization of Andean races of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Theor Appl Genet 116:29–43
- Blair MW, Díaz LM, Buendía HF, Duque MC (2009a) Genetic diversity, seed size associations and population structure of a core collection of common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Theor Appl Genet 119:955–972
- Blair MW, Torres MM, Giraldo MC, Pedraza F (2009b) Development and diversity of Andean-derived, gene-based microsatellites for common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). BMC Plant Biol 9:100. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-9-100
- Blair MW, Chaves A, Tofinõ A, Calderón JF, Palacio JD (2010) Extensive diversity and inter-genepool introgression in a world-wide collection of indeterminate snap bean accessions. Theor Appl Genet 120:1381–1391
- Broughton WJ, Hernández G, Blair MW, Beebe S, Gepts P, Vanderleyden J (2003) Beans (*Phaseolus* spp.) – model food legumes. Plant Soil 252:55–128
- Burle ML (2008) Assessing the genetic diversity of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) landraces from Brazil: from genetic structure to landscape distribution. PhD Dissertation, University of California, Davis, CA, USA
- Chacón SMI, Pickersgill B, Debouck DG (2005) Domestication patterns in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and the origin of the Mesoamerican and Andean cultivated races. Theor Appl Genet 110:432–444
- Checa OE, Blair MW (2008) Mapping QTL for climbing ability and component traits in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Mol Breed 22:201–215
- Cichy KA, Blair MW, Galeano CHM, Snapp SS, Kelly JD (2009a) QTL analysis of root architecture traits and low phosphorus tolerance in an Andean bean population. Crop Sci 49:59–68

- Cichy KA, Caldas GV, Snapp SS, Blair MW (2009b) QTL analysis of seed iron, zinc, and phosphorus levels in an Andean bean population. Crop Sci 49:1742–1750
- Clercq J, Zambre M, Van Montagu M, Dillen W, Angenon G (2002) An optimized Agrobacterium-mediated transformation procedure for Phaseolus acutifolius A. Gray. Plant Cell Rep 21:333–340
- Cruz de Carvalho MH, Van Le B, Zuily-Fodil Y, Pham Thi AT, Van Tran Thanh K (2000) Efficient whole plant regeneration of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) using thin-celllayer culture and silver nitrate. Plant Sci 159:223–232
- Debouck DG (2000) Bioversity, ecology and genetic resources of Phaseolus beans – seven answered and unanswered questions. In: 7th MAFF international workshop of genetic resources, part I. Wild legumes. Japan-National Institute of Agrobiological Resources, Tsukuba, Japan, pp 95–123
- Debouck DG, Toro O, Paredes OM, Johnson WC, Gepts P (1993) Genetic diversity and ecological distribution of *Pha-seolus vulgaris* in northwestern South America. Econ Bot 47:408–423
- Delgado-Salinas A, Bonet A, Gepts P (1988) The wild relative of *Phaseolus vulgaris* in Middle América. In: Gepts P (ed) Genetic resources of *Phaseolus* beans. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 163–184
- Delgado-Salinas A, Turley T, Richman A, Lavin M (1999) Phylogenetic analysis of the cultivated and wild species of Phaseolus (Fabaceae). Syst Bot 24:438–460
- Delgado-Sánchez P, Saucedo-Ruiz M, Guzmán-Maldonado SH, Villordo-Pineda E, González-Chavira M, Fraire-VelÁzquez SF, Acosta-Gallegos JA, Mora-Aviles A (2006) An organogenic plant regeneration system for common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Plant Sci 170:822–827
- Díaz LM, Blair MW (2006) Race structure within the Mesoamerican gene pool of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as determined by microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 114:143–154
- Dillen W, De Clercq J, Groossens A, Van Montagu M, Angenon G (1997) Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Phaseolus acutifolius A. Gray. Theor Appl Genet 94:151–158
- Doebley J (1989) Isozymic evidence and the evolution of crop plants. In: Soltis DE, Soltis PS (eds) Isozymes in plant biology. Dioscorides, Portland, OR, pp 165–191
- Emydgio BM, Antunes IF, Choer E, Nedel JL (2003) Eficiência de coeficientes de similaridade em genótipos de feijão mediante marcadores RAPD. Pesqui Agropecu Bras 38:243–250
- Evans AM (1976) Beans. In: Simmonds NW (ed) Evolution of crop plants. Longman, London, pp 168–172
- Fofana B, Jardin P, Baudoin JP (1999) Genetic diversity in the Lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus* L.) as revealed by chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) variations. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 48:437–445
- Frei A, Blair MW, Cardona C, Beebe SE, Gu H, Dorn S (2005) QTL mapping of resistance to *Thrips palmi* Karny in common bean. Crop Sci 45:379–387
- Freitas FO (2006) Evidências genético-arqueológicas sobre a origem do feijão comum no Brasil. Pesqui Agropecu Bras 41:1199–1203
- Freyre R, Ríos R, Guzmán L, Debouck DG, Gepts P (1996) Ecogeographic distribution of *Phaseolus* spp. (Fabaceae) in Bolivia. Econ Bot 50:195–215

- Freyre R, Skroch P, Geffroy V, Adam-Blondon A-F, Shirmohamadali A, Johnson W, Llaca V, Nodari R, Pereira P, Tsai S-M, Tohme J, Dron M, Nienhuis J, Vallejos C, Gepts P (1998) Towards an integrated linkage map of common bean. 4. Development of a core map and alignment of RFLP maps. Theor Appl Genet 97:847–856
- Freytag GF, Debouck DG (2002) Taxonomy, distribution and ecology of the genus *Phaseolus* (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae) in North America, Mexico and Central America, Sida, Botanical Miscellany, No 23, Fort Worth, USA
- Gaitán-Solís E, Choi I-Y, Quigley C, Cregan P, Tohme J (2008) Single nucleotide polymorphisms in common bean: their discovery and genotyping using a multiplex detection system. Plant Genome 1:125–134
- Galeano CH, Fernández AC, Gómez M, Blair MW (2009) Single strand conformation polymorphism based SNP and Indel markers for genetic mapping and synteny analysis of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). BMC Genomics 10:629. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-629
- Galván MZ, Lanteri AA, Menéndez-Sevillano MC, Balatti PA (2010) Molecular characterisation of wild populations and landraces of common bean from northwestern Argentina. Plant Biosyst. doi:10.1080/11263500903503942
- Geffroy V, Sicard D, de Oliveira J, Sévignac M, Cohen S et al (1999) Identification of an ancestral resistance gene cluster involved in the coevolution process between *Phaseolus vul*garis and its fungal pathogen *Colletotrichum lindemuthia*num. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 12:774–784
- Genga A, Allavena A (1991) Factors affecting morphogenesis from immature cotyledons of *Phaseolus coccineus* L. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 27:189–196
- Gepts P (1988) A Middle American and an Andean common bean gene pool. In: Gepts P (ed) Genetic resources of *Phaseolus* beans. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 375–407
- Gepts P (1998) Origin and evolution of common bean: past events and recent trends. HortScience 33:1124–1130
- Gepts P, Bliss FA (1985) F1 hybrid weakness in the common bean: differential geographic origin suggests two gene pools in cultivated bean germplasm. J Hered 76:447–450
- Gepts P, Bliss FA (1988) Dissemination pathways of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*, Fabaceae) deduced from phaseolin electrophoretic variability. II. Europe and Africa. Econ Bot 42:86–104
- Gepts P, Kmiecik K, Pereira P, Bliss FA (1988) Dissemination pathways of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*, Fabaceae) deduced from phaseolin electrophoretic variability. I. The Americas. Econ Bot 42:73–85
- Gepts P, Aragão FJL, de Barros E, Blair MW, Brondani R, Broughton W, Galasso I, Hernández G, Kami J, Lariguet P, McClean P, Melotto M, Miklas P, Pauls P, Pedrosa-Harand A, Porch T, Sánchez F, Sparvoli F, Yu K (2008) Genomics of Phaseolus beans, a major source of dietary protein and micronutrients in the tropics. In: Moore PJ, Ming R (eds) Genomics of tropical crop plants. Springer, New York, pp 113–143
- Goedert WJ (1986) Solos dos Cerrados: tecnologias e estratégias de manejo. EMBRAPA-CPAC/Nobel, Brasília
- Gonzalez A, Lynch J, Tohme JM, Beebe SE, Macchiavelli RE (1995) Characters related to leaf photosynthesis in wild populations and landraces of common bean. Crop Sci 35:1468–1476

- Grisi MCM, Blair MW, Gepts P, Brondani C, Pereira PAA, Brondani RPV (2007) Genetic mapping of a new set of microsatellite markers in a reference common bean (*Pha-seolus vulgaris*) population BAT93 x Jalo EEP558. Genet Mol Res 3:691–706
- Guzmán P, Gilbertson RL, Nodari R, Johnson WC, Temple SR, Mandala D, Mkandawire ABC, Gepts P (1995) Characterization of variability in the fungus *Phaeoisariopsis griseola* suggests coevolution with the common bean (*Phaseolus* vulgaris). Phytopathology 85:600–607
- Hanai LR, Campos T, Camargo LEA, Benchimol LL, Souza AP, Melotto M, Carbonell SAM, Chioratto AF, Consoli L, Formighieri EF, Bohrer MV, Tsai SM, Vieira MLC (2007) Development, characterization, and comparative analysis of polymorphism at common bean SSR loci isolated from genic and genomic source. Genome 50:266–277
- Hanai LR, Santini L, Camargo LEA, Fungaro MHP, Gepts P, Tsai SM, Vieira MLC (2009) Extension of the core map of common bean with EST-SSR, RGA, AFLP, and putative functional markers. Mol Breed 25:25–45
- Hanemann A, Schweizer GF, Cossu R, Wicker T, Röder MS (2009) Fine mapping, physical mapping and development of diagnostic markers for the *Rrs2* scald resistance gene in barley. Theor Appl Genet 119:1507–1522
- Harlan JR (1992) Crops and man. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI
- Hoehne FC (1937) Botânica e agricultura no Brasil no século XVI. Cia Editora Nacional, São Paulo, Brasil
- ISRIC (2010) World soil information. http://www.isric.org. Accessed 28 Feb 2010
- Judd WS, Campbell CR, Kellogg EA, Stevens PF, Donoghue MJ (2008) Plant systematics: a phylogenetic approach. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA
- Kami J, Velásquez VB, Debouck DG, Gepts P (1995) Identification of presumed ancestral DNA sequences of phaseolin in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92:1101–1104
- Kanchiswamy CN, Maffei M (2008) Callus induction and shoot regeneration of *Phaseolus lunatus* L. cv. Wonder Bush and cv. Pole Sieva. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 92:239–242
- Kaplan L (1981) What is the origin of the common bean? Econ Bot 35:240–254
- Kelly JD, Vallejo VA (2004) A comprehensive review of the major genes conditioning resistance to anthracnose in common bean. HortScience 39:1196–1207
- Koenig R, Gepts P (1989) Allozyme diversity in wild *Phaseolus vulgaris*: further evidence for two major centers of diversity. Theor Appl Genet 78:809–817
- Koinange EMK, Gepts P (1992) Hybrid weakness in wild Phaseolus vulgaris L. J Hered 83:135–139
- Koinange EMK, Singh SP, Gepts P (1996) Genetic control of the domestication syndrome in common-bean. Crop Sci 36:1037–1045
- Kumar AS, Gamborg OL, Nabors MW (1988) Regeneration from long-term cell suspension cultures of tepary bean (*Pha-seolus acutifolius*). Plant Cell Rep 7:322–325
- Kwak M, Kami J, Gepts P (2009) The putative Mesoamerican domestication center of *Phaseolus vulgaris* is located in the Lerma-Santiago Basin of Mexico. Crop Sci 49:554–563

- Kwapata K, Sabzikar R, Sticklen MB, Kelly JD (2010) In vitro regeneration and morphogenesis studies in common bean. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 100:97–105
- Léry J (1576) Viagem à terra a do Brasil Série. Documentos Históricos Caderno n. 10. Conselho Nacional da Reserva da Mata Atlântica, São Paulo, Brasil
- Liu Z, Park B-J, Kanno A, Kameya T (2005) The novel use of a combination of sonication and vacuum infiltration in *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) with *lea* gene. Mol Breed 16:189–197
- Logozzo G, Donnoli R, Macaluso L, Papa R, Knüpffer H, Spagnoletti Zeuli P (2007) Analysis of the contribution of Mesoamerican and Andean gene pools to European common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) germplasm and strategies to establish a core collection. Genet Resour Crop Evol 54:1763–1779
- Lynch J, Gonzalez A, Tohme J, Garcia J (1992) Variation for characters related to leaf photosynthesis in wild bean populations. Crop Sci 32:633–640
- Maciel FL, Echeverrigara YS, Gerald LTS, Grazziotin FG (2003) Genetic relationships and diversity among Brazilian cultivars and landraces of common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) revealed by AFLP markers. Genet Resour Crop Evol 50:887–893
- Mackie WW (1943) Origin, dispersal, and variability of the lima bean, *Phaseolus lunatus*. Hilgardia 15:1–29
- Malik KA, Saxena PK (1992) Regeneration in *Phaseolus vul-garis* L.: high-frequency induction of direct shoot formation in intact seedlings by *N*-benzylaminopurine and thidiazuron. Planta 186:84–389
- Marotti I, Bonetti A, Minelli M, Catizone P, Dinelli G (2007) Characterization of some Italian common bean (*Phaseolus* vulgaris L.) landraces by RAPD, semi-random and ISSR molecular markers. Genet Resour Crop Evol 54:175–188
- McClean P, Grafton KF (1989) Regeneration of dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) via organogenesis. Plant Sci 60:17–122
- McClean PE, Lavin M, Gepts P, Jackson SA (2008) *Phaseolus* vulgaris: a diploid model for soybean. In: Stacey G (ed) Genetics and genomics of soybean. Springer, New York, pp 55–76
- Mkandawire ABC, Mabagala RB, Guzman P, Gepts P, Gilbertson RL (2004) Genetic diversity and pathogenic variation of common blight bacteria (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *phaseoli* and *X. campestris* pv. *phaseoli* var. *fuscans*) suggests pathogen coevolution with the common bean. Phytopathology 94:593–603
- Mohamed MF, Read PE, Coyne DP (1992) Plant regeneration from in vitro culture of embryonic axis explants in common and tepary beans. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 117:332–336
- Mohamed MF, Coyne DP, Read PE (1993) Shoot organogenesis in callus induced from pedicel explants of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). J Am Soc Hortic Sci 118:58–162
- Mohamed SV, Sung J-M, Jeng T-L, Wang C-S (2006) Organogenesis of *Phaseolus angularis* L.: high efficiency of adventitious shoot regeneration from etiolated seedlings in the presence of N⁶-benzylaminopurine and thidiazuron. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 86:187–199
- Nagl W, Ignacimuthu S, Becker J (1997) Genetic engineering and regeneration of *Phaseolus* and *Vigna*. State of the art and new attempts. J Plant Physiol 150:625–644

- Nodari RO, Tsai SM, Gilbertson RL, Gepts P (1993a) Towards an integrated linkage map of common bean. II. Development of an RFLP-based linkage map. Theor Appl Genet 85:513–520
- Nodari RO, Tsai SM, Guzmán P, Gilbertson RL, Gepts P (1993b) Towards an integrated linkage map of common bean. III. Mapping genetic factors controlling host-bacteria interactions. Genetics 134:341–350
- Ochoa IE, Blair MW, Lynch JP (2006) QTL analysis of adventitious root formation in common bean under contrasting phosphorus availability. Crop Sci 46:1609–1621
- Pallottini L, Garcia E, Kami J, Barcaccia G, Gepts P (2004) The genetic anatomy of a patented yellow bean. Crop Sci 44: 968–977
- Papa R, Gepts P (2003) Asymmetry of gene flow and differential geographical structure of molecular diversity in wild and domesticated common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) from Mesoamerica. Theor Appl Genet 106:239–250
- Papa R, Acosta J, Delgado-Salinas A, Gepts P (2005) A genome-wide analysis of diff erentiation between wild and domesticated *Phaseolus vulgaris* from Mesoamerica. Theor Appl Genet 111:1147–1158
- Pedrosa A, Vallejos CE, Bachmair A (2003) Integration of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) linkage and chromosomal maps. Theor Appl Genet 106:205–212
- Pérez-Vega E, Pañeda A, Rodríguez-Suárez C, Giraldez ACR, Ferreira JJ (2009) Mapping of QTLs for morpho-agronomic and seed quality traits in a RIL population of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Theor Appl Genet. doi:10.1007/ s00122-010-1261-5
- Prous A, Junqueira PA, Malta IM (1984) Arqueologia do alto médio São Francisco. Região de Januária e Montalvânia. Rev Arqueol 2:59–72
- Rafalski A (2002) Applications of single nucleotide polymorphisms in crop genetics. Curr Opin Plant Biol 5:94100
- Singh SP (2001) Broadening the genetic base of common bean cultivars: a review. Crop Sci 41:1659–1675

- Singh SP, Molina A (1996) Inheritance of crippled trifoliate leaves occuring in interracial crosses of common bean and its relationship with hybrid dwarfism. J Hered 87: 464–469
- Singh SP, Gepts P, Debouck DG (1991) Races of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L., Fabaceae). Econ Bot 45:379–396
- Sonnante G, Stockton T, Nodari RO, Becerra Velásquez VL, Gepts P (1994) Evolution of genetic diversity during domestication of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Theor Appl Genet 89:629–635
- Toro O, Tohme J, Debouck DG (1990) Wild bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.): description and distribution. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR) and Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), Cali, Colombia
- Vallejos CE, Sakiyama NS, Chase CD (1992) A molecular marker-based linkage map of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Genetics 131:733–740
- Von Burkart A, Brücher H (1953) Phaseolus aborigineus BURKART, die mutmaßlische andine Stammform der Kulturbohne. Züchter 23:65–72
- Yu K, Park SJ, Poysa V, Gepts P (2000) Integration of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers into a molecular linkage map of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). J Hered 91: 429–434
- Zambre M, Geerts P, Maquet A, Van Montagu M, Dillen W, Angenon G (2001) Regeneration of fertile plants from callus in *Phaseolus polyanthus* greenman (year bean). Ann Bot 88:371–377
- Zambre M, Goossens A, Cardona C, Van Montagu M, Terryn N, Angenon G (2005) A reproducible genetic transformation system for cultivated *Phaseolus acutifolius* (tepary bean) and its use to assess the role of arcelins in resistance to the Mexican bean weevil. Theor Appl Genet 110:914–924
- Zhang X, Blair MW, Wang S (2008) Genetic diversity of Chinese common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) landraces assessed with simple sequence repeat markers. Theor Appl Genet 117:629–640

Chapter 12 Pisum

T.H.N. Ellis

12.1 Introduction

Pisum has long been recognized as a significant genus; the Papilionoid legumes as a whole are often typified as having pea or pea-like flowers (Lewis et al. 2005). Pea (Pisum sativum L.) is the original model organism for genetic studies. It was first used in this way by Knight (1799) to show that male and female individuals contributed equally to the progeny of a cross. Olby (1985) points out that Mendel (1866) seemed to be impressed by this choice, for he gives the same reasons, in the same order as Knight, for choosing to study pea. Pisum has been a workhorse for plant biochemistry but has declined in its use as a model species for genetics largely as a consequence of its large genome size (Macas et al. 2007), small number of seeds set per cross, and its recalcitrance in tissue culture that makes transformation difficult (Schroeder et al. 1993; Bean et al. 1997). Nevertheless, just preceding the ascendancy of the model system Arabidopsis thaliana, working solely from knowledge of the underlying biochemistry and genetics, it was possible to identify Mendel's wrinkled-seed gene, r (Bhattacharyya et al. 1990).

Although we have seen a period of relative decline in the study of *Pisum*, a number of recent developments hold promise for a resurgence. We now have a systematic mutant population for reverse genetics (Dalmais et al. 2008), bacterial artificial chromosome libraries (Coyne et al. 2007; and see Hofer et al. 2009), and an effective gene silencing system (Constantin et al. 2004) as basic resources. Together with the

T.H.N. Ellis

availability of high-throughput sequencing and genotyping methodologies, these resources hold promise for rekindling interest in basic genetic studies in pea.

It is clear that pea has been an object of study for many years; however, much of the revolution in molecular genetics, especially genomics-based approaches, have yet to have their impact. Nevertheless, several marker-based studies have attempted to describe the pea genome and the pattern of allelic diversity within the genus. This chapter focuses on what has been learnt about the relationship between pea and its wild relatives and the attendant attempts to understand how these resources can be accessed and deployed.

12.2 Taxonomy and Geography

Pisum is a genus within the Fabeae (formerly Vicieae) closely related to *Lathyrus*, with this pair of genera closely related to *Vicia* and *Lens. Cicer* is an outgroup to these and the Trifolieae (Wojciechowski 2003; Fig. 12.1). The close relationship between *Pisum* and *Lathyrus* has been reflected by former versions of species names, such as *L. japonicus* Willd ssp. *maritimus*, which was previously called *P. maritimum* (see the International Legume Database and Information Service database, *http://www.ildis.org*). Similarly, the enigmatic *Vavilovia formosa* was formerly considered to be *P. formosum*.

Although the systematics of *Lathyrus* has been carefully revised (Kenicer et al. 2005; Kenicer 2006), the relationship between *Pisum* and *Lathyrus* is not completely clear. These studies used a single representative of *P. sativum*, and the authors note that the support for the separation of *Pisum* and *Lathyrus* is weak. Kenicer et al. (2005) placed *Pisum* close to

John Innes Centre, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK e-mail: noel.ellis@bbsrc.ac.uk

Fig. 12.1 Taxonomic relationships of *Pisum*. A summary phylogenetic tree based on the references in the text is presented. The family Vicieae (or Fabeae see Lewis et al. 2005) is highlighted in *gray*. The Inverted Repeat Lacking Clade (IRLC, where the chloroplast DNA lacks the usual inverted repeat organization) is highlighted in *light gray*. The hologalegoids are highlighted in *dark gray*



L. nissolia (grass pea), which, as the common name suggests, has a very unusual leaf form. It is, therefore, interesting to note that a distinguishing feature of *Pisum* vs. *Lathyrus* is the way in which leaflets open (*Pisum* like a book vs. *Lathyrus* unrolling).

For convenience, in this discussion, I consider *Pisum* as monophyletic, but this has not been established rigorously with modern taxonomic analytical methods and must, therefore, remain in some doubt.

Pisum has variously been considered species-rich or monospecific (discussed in Blixt 1972). The Kew database (http://epic.kew.org) lists 82 different species of Pisum, although not all names are "valid," according to the International Plant Names Index (http:// www.ipni.org). In the USDA-GRIN database (http:// www.ars-grin.gov), the names of 13 species are listed, 3 of which correspond to other genera, and of the remaining 10, "arvense" and "commune" are considered synonymous with "sativum." This leaves us with the names P. abyssinicum, P. elatius, P. fulvum, P. humile, P. jomardii, P. sativum, P. syriacum, and P. transcaucasicum. Several of these names also occur in the ILDIS database and in both some also appear as subspecies or varieties of P. sativum. The ILDIS names follow Maxted and Ambrose (2001) and recognize P. sativum ssp. sativum, P. sativum ssp. elatius, P. abyssinicum, and P. fulvum.

Despite these issues about the identity of taxa, the geographic distribution of *Pisum* is fairly clear. *Pisum* is an Old World genus with a broad distribution of non-cultivated types from the western end of the Med-

iterranean to the east of the Himalayas. *P. fulvum* is limited to the eastern end of the Mediterranean basin, whereas *P. elatius* is distributed all around the Mediterranean (in North Africa and southern Europe) and extends eastward south of the Caspian Sea and along the southern foothills of the Himalayas. *P. sativum* has a worldwide distribution and is grown in most temperate regions as well as in highlands of tropical areas. *P. abyssinicum*, as its name implies, has a much more restricted distribution corresponding to the highlands of Ethiopia (Westphal 1974) although some accessions have been attributed to Yemen.

12.3 Genetic Diversity Within Pisum

12.3.1 Marker Types and Diversity

A wide range of marker types is available for studying genetic diversity, and it is clear from previous studies that different markers have different utility. Lu et al. (1996) compared several marker methods and concluded that, of the several marker types tested, only the intersimple sequence repeat PCR method was unreliable for the analysis of diversity in *Pisum*. Ellis et al. (1998) noted that amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) and sequence-specific amplified polymorphism (SSAP) methods were generally in agreement, but AFLP exaggerated the differences among *P. abyssinicum* accessions. Knox and Ellis (2001) showed that DNA methylation is a likely

cause of this exaggeration of the estimated genetic distance among *P. abyssinicum* accessions with respect to wild *Pisum*. Jing et al. (2007) used single-nucleotide polymorphisms to estimate genetic distances in *Pisum* and showed that these agreed well with estimates from retrotransposon insertion site variation.

Microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) are popular markers, and they are highly polymorphic. They give excellent discrimination between closely related individuals, and are sufficiently sensitive to detect novel length variants that arise in the sperm of a single human (Brinkmann et al. 1998). Microsatellites can have a mutation rate of the order of 10^{-4} per locus per meiosis in humans. Vigouroux et al. (2002) found a slightly slower rate of microsatellite length mutation in maize, whereas Raquin et al. (2008) reported a higher rate in wheat. Jing et al. (2005) estimated the rate of transposition of the PDR1 retrotransposon in pea as $\sim 5 \times 10^{-7}$ and of silent nucleotide changes as ~ 10^{-8} to 5 \times 10⁻⁹. These are three to four orders of magnitude slower than microsatellite mutation rates (assuming one generation per year). As Pisum is incredibly diverse by these measures (Jing et al. 2007), for microsatellite markers the risk of homoplasy in wide surveys of pea germplasm is high.

Homoplasy arises when alleles are identical, but not identical by descent. For example, an A to G transition may occur, and then later, in the population carrying the G allele, a G to A transition may occur. Now there are two indistinguishable alleles (carrying the A) that have different ancestry. This problem vexes taxonomists, but is unlikely to be a problem for intraspecific variation because of the slow nucleotide mutation rate. For microsatellites, the risk is relatively much higher because homoplasy will occur at the square of the ratio of the two mutation rates, that is, the risk is about six to eight orders of magnitude greater for microsatellites as for single-nucleotide polymorphisms. Whether homoplasy is actually a problem or not depends on the actual mutation rate and the time since the last common ancestor. Jing et al. (2007) estimated the age of alleles segregating in *Pisum*, and found this to be 1.9 ± 0.7 million years. If we take 10^{-4} as the microsatellite length mutation rate per year, then we expect on average 2% of (correct) microsatellite allele calls to misattribute ancestry. Obviously this will be a less severe problem in narrow germplasm and more severe in wide germplasm. This effect could be observed in a plot of genetic distance (D) vs. genetic distance measured by microsatellites. However, genetic distance is never known; we have only measures of genetic distance, but we should see a distortion, if we compare microsatellite measures of genetic distance to those from a process with a lower mutation rate. This is illustrated in Fig. 12.2 that clearly shows that microsatellites give larger estimates of genetic distance than other markers, especially for closely related individuals. This is expected because microsatellites are highly polymorphic, but at higher values of D, the microsatellite

measure is relatively lower (the slope of the regression

line is less) indicating the effect of homoplasy.

12.3.2 Accession Diversity

Several studies have used molecular markers to characterize the distribution of genetic diversity in Pisum (Ellis et al. 1998, 2005; Pearce et al. 2000; Ford et al. 2002; Vershinin et al. 2003; Baranger et al. 2004; Jing et al. 2005, 2007, 2010; Tar'an et al. 2005; Smýkal et al. 2008a, b; Zong et al. 2008, 2009) and these give a consistent view. Pisum is very diverse and its diversity is structured, showing a range of degrees of relatedness that reflect taxonomic identifiers, ecogeography, and breeding gene pools. However, as pointed out by Maxted and Ambrose (2001), the whole of *Pisum* is capable of genetic exchange, and the observations of Jing et al. (2005, 2010) and Vershinin et al. (2003) showed that allelic introgression between very diverse material does occur, so biologically there is good reason to consider Pisum as one species. This means that tree-like descriptions of the pattern of variation can be misleading because different markers have different trees. For this reason, a range of alternative approaches have been used, such as Principal Coordinate or Component analyses and modeling methods, such as Structure (Pritchard et al. 2000 and citations above). One such multivariate analysis, for a wide set of *Pisum* accessions listed in Table 12.1, is shown in Fig. 12.3c. This display is similar to the way that microarray data are presented, clustering by relationship along two independent axes. Accessions with similar genotype are in adjacent columns, while markers with similar allele distributions among accessions are in adjacent rows. This type of display is not popular, and it is easy to see why: it highlights the fact



Fig. 12.2 Genetic distance and marker type. The data set illustrated in Figs. 12.2 and 12.3 comprises data from three marker types: Retrotransposon-derived SSAP (Pearce et al. 2000; Vershinin and Ellis 1999; Vershinin et al. 2003), AFLP (Ellis et al. 1998), and microsatellites. The graph plots all pairwise genetic distances between 50 accessions (see also Fig. 12.3). A total of 176 microsatellite bands were scored and the estimate of genetic distance based on these has been plotted against the genetic distance measure based on 1,104 pooled markers

that many markers give more or less the same information, and that many accessions are quite similar. In other words, the informative data sets are much smaller than the total amount of data.

P. abyssinicum accessions are very similar to each other, as is obvious from the (almost) solid red block on the top right of Fig. 12.3c. This clustering exaggerates the distinctness of *P. abyssinicum*; if there is only one *P. abyssinicum* accession then the multivariate analysis shows it as a member of the blue group, and *P. fulvum* becomes distinct. In three dimensions (explaining 45% of the variance), the points lie on the surface of a tetrahedron, with *P. abyssinicum* at one vertex and *P. fulvum* at another. The other accessions lie along the edge connecting the other two vertices, with a concentration of *P. sativum* at one end and *P. elatius* at the other. This is consistent with the idea that there are four main taxonomic groupings, one corresponds to *P. abyssinicum* and another to

(•). Similarly 176 of the PDR1 SSAPs (•), 176 of the other retroelement SSAPs (•) together with all the 101 AFLPs (•) were used for comparison. The correlation coefficient between all these measures is given as is the slope of the corresponding regression line. For the microsatellite comparison, two regression lines are plotted, the first with slope 0.95 ± 0.11 is for estimates below the mean on the *X*-axis and the second with slope 0.62 ± 0.05 is for estimates above the mean on the *X*-axis (*see text*)

P. fulvum, while the other two represent admixtures between two poles, one corresponding roughly to *P. sativum* and the other to *P. elatius*. This pattern should be seen in Structure (Pritchard et al. 2000) analyses, in which four founder populations are proposed (K = 4). With the data set illustrated in Fig. 12.3, Structure repeatedly finds one of two alternative solutions (Fig. 12.4): one with these major taxa as the groups, while the other splits *P. sativum* geographically, combines *P. elatius* and *P. fulvum* and resolves *P. abyssinicum*.

The overall conclusion from these analyses of the pattern of diversity within *Pisum* is consistent with the taxonomic scheme of Maxted and Ambrose (2001) and the ILDIS database, except that these rank "*elatius*" as a subspecies of *P. sativum* but *P. elatius* and *P. sativum* would be better considered of equal rank. Vershinin et al. (2003) included minor taxonomic entities, such as *P. humile* and *P. jomardii*

Table 12.1 *Pisum* accessions and designations

Accession	Species designation	Continent of origin
JI156	P. sativum	Africa
JI281	P. sativum	Africa
Л189	P. sativum	
JI399	P. sativum	
JI188	P. sativum	
JI284	P. sativum	
JI52	P. sativum	
Л1030	P. sativum	
JI2546	P. sativum ssp. transcaucasicum	
JI2547	<i>P. sativum</i> ssp. transcaucasicum	
JI804	P. sativum	Asia
JI201	P. sativum	
JI209	P. sativum	
JI250	P. iomardii	
JI185	P. sativum	Africa
1195	P sativum	Asia
II181	P sativum	Asia
II1033	P sativum	Asia
II2545	P sativum	Asia
II1846	P sativum	1 Ioiu
II109	P sativum	Asia
II1854	P sativum	Asia
II2713	P sativum	7 15iu
II1428	P sativum	Asia
II1346	P sativum	Asia
II45	P sativum son transcaucasicum	Asia
J145 II241	P sativum	Asia
II102	P sativum	Asia
J1102	P satisum sep transcaucasicum	Asia
1195	P sativum	Asia
J105 H2201	P sativum sen alatius	Asia
J12201 II100	P sativum ssp. elatius	
J1179/	P sativum ssp. cianus	
U1074	P sativum ssp. numite	
J110/4 II261	P sativum ssp. elatius	
11262	P sativum ssp. elatius	
J1202	P sativum ssp. clains	
1164	P sativum ssp. elatius	
II1093	P sativum ssp. clatius	
11254	P sativum ssp. clatius	
II1006	P fulvum	
II1010	P fulvum	
II2055	P sativum ssp elatius	
II1092	P sativum ssp. clatius	
II1796	P fulvum	
112385	P abyssinicum	
H130	P abyssinicum	
JI150	P abyssinicum	
11225	P abyssinicum	
J1225 II 2	P abyssinicum	
J12	1. avyssinicum	

Accessions are on the order of PC1 of Fig. 12.4a and of Fig. 12.3c





Fig. 12.3 Distribution of genetic diversity in *Pisum*. Pooled data for 1,104 markers from Ellis et al. (1998, 2005), Jing et al. (2005), Pearce et al. (2000), Vershinin and Ellis (1999) and Vershinin et al. (2003), together with data from 176 microsatellite markers (Fig. 12.2), scored on a set of 50 *Pisum* accessions was analyzed by multifactorial analysis using the Darwin 5 package (Perrier et al. 2003). (a) The relationship between accessions, given the marker data, is summarized for the first two dimensions that explain 23% and 12% of the variation, respectively. On average, one dimension is expected to explain ~2% of the total variation. Three main groups are identified by color, and a line threads between them in the order of PC1 (the

X-axis). The accessions are listed in this order in Table 12.1. Black points correspond to P. sativum, blue to wild species, and red to P. abyssinicum. (b) The relationship between markers, given the accession data, is summarized for the first two dimensions that explain 9.6% and 6.3% of the variation, respectively. On average, one dimension is expected to explain ~0.1% of the total variation. The markers fall into two main classes and these are colored orange and green, respectively. A line threaded through these data follows descending values of PC2 (Y-axis) among the orange, and ascending values of PC1 (X-axis) for the green. (c) Marker data is shown. The columns correspond to accessions and rows to markers.

within P. elatius, as they were no more distinct genetically than individual P. elatius accessions. P. elatius, in either sense, includes a greater diversity than P. sativum. As P. sativum is the cultigen, domesticated from a wild ancestor, probably a type (or types) of P. elatius, it would seem more likely that P. sativum is subordinate to P. elatius. The etymology of P. sativum ssp. *elatius* is also a little odd as this is emphatically not a tall cultivated pea! Nevertheless, it is clear that P. sativum has distinct properties, is the most abundant type, and is usefully considered as a discrete entity, justifying its identification as a species. The distinctness of P. sativum and P. abyssinicum has led several authors to conclude that they were independently domesticated (Ellis et al. 1998; Vershinin et al. 2003; see also Baranger et al. 2004). Thus, in the full knowledge that genetic exchange within *Pisum* is possible, and has occurred, it seems reasonable to conclude that *Pisum* is a species complex with four subgroups; P. fulvum and P. elatius as wild taxa and P. sativum and *P. abyssinicum* as cultivated species.

12.4 Genetic Resources in Wild Pisum

There are 16 major germplasm collections for *Pisum* (Smýkal et al. 2008c), with some degree of overlap. These are dominated by cultivated forms, and although wild forms in these collections are highly diverse (Jing et al. 2010), they probably sample wild forms poorly. Furthermore, it is not known what proportion of existing alleles in wild material has been collected. A related issue is that the degree of inbreeding in wild material is unknown. The instances where useful alleles have been obtained from wild accessions thus probably under-represent the potential that wild material has for crop improvement. In the text that follows, some instances of useful allelic vari-

ation that have been found in diverse germplasm are described. Some landrace material of *P. sativum* and *P. abyssinicum* are also included as though they were "wild" because these are exotic to the bulk of cultivated *Pisum*.

12.4.1 Virus Resistance

The identification of virus resistance genes in pea is exemplified by the study of resistance to pea seedborne mosaic virus and it is clear that the two recessive resistance genes sbm1 and sbm2 (Gao et al. 2004) encode eukaryotic initiation factor 4E proteins eIF4E and eIF(iso)4E, respectively (Gao et al. 2004a, b). The recessive resistance allele sbm1 has been described in several accessions recorded as *P. sativum* from Ethiopia (Smýkal et al. 2010 also characterized the allele in many Indian accessions); several allelic forms exist, where amino acid substitutions correspond to distinct strain specificities.

12.4.2 Bacterial Interactions

Infection by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi* is controlled genetically by race-specific resistance alleles, many of which are available in cultivars. The *Ppi2* allele conferring resistance to race 2 was also found in the exotic Ethiopian *P. sativum* JI281 (Hunter et al. 2001) and a race non-specific resistance has been detected in *P. abyssinicum* (Elvira-Recuenco 2000; Elvira-Recuenco and Taylor 2001). Interestingly, the symbiotic interaction between pea and rhizobia also has some strain specificity governed by the *sym1* or *sym2* alleles at the *Sym2* locus (Kozik et al. 1995). This

Fig. 12.3 (continued) A colored bar at the intersection of a row and a column indicates that the corresponding amplicon is present in that accession. Accessions are ordered left to right according to their position in PC1 in (**a**) (see Table 12.1), and the bars are colored according to the group colors in A. The markers are ordered top to bottom according to the threading line in (**b**), and a colored bar to the left indicates the marker group. (**d**) The frequency of band presence in each group is shown by a line colored corresponding to the group in A.

To smooth this line, these are running averages of ten adjacent markers in (c). Two groups of markers are indicated by shaded areas: The orange area indicates amplicons rare (occupied site allele frequency less than 0.4) in *P. sativum* with respect to the wild *Pisum* species but common (occupied site allele frequency greater than 0.4) in *P. abyssinicum*. Those highlighted in *green* conversely are common in *P. sativum* with respect to the wild *Pisum* species but rare in *P. abyssinicum*. These markers are indicators of the distinct ancestry of these two domesticated types



Fig. 12.4 Structure plots. The program Structure was used to analyze the data shown in Fig. 12.3, assuming four ancestral populations (K = 4). Ten repetitions of the analysis with 10,000 "burn-in" runs followed by 10,000 MCMC simulations were performed and the results presented as a *horizontal bar*. The proportion of the ancestry of each accession assigned to each progenitor population is indicated by *vertical colored bars*. For the four runs illustrated by the upper four panels,

specificity requirement for an acetylated nod factor appears to be determined by variant (or variants or possibly haplotypes) of a LysM domain receptor kinase (Limpens et al. 2003). Although the *sym1* allele is found in peas from Iran, the *sym2* allele (from Afghanistan) is associated with a distinct ecotype of *P. sativum* (Young and Matthews 1982) that appears to be genetically very distant from conventional *P. sativum* (Jing et al. 2010).

12.4.3 Resistance to Fungal Diseases

Major fungal diseases of pea include Ascochyta pisi, Mycosphaerella pinodes (Ascochyta pinodes), and Phoma medicaginis. These form a disease complex that affects the leaves and stem. No clear genetic resistance has been found. Zhang et al. (2006) surveyed a range of USDA accessions for resistance to isolates of *M. pinodes*. Most of the resistant types these the assignments are to *red*, *pink*, *light green*, and *dark green* progenitors in a consistent pattern. For the six runs shown below, the patterns were again consistent but different. At the bottom, the accessions are identified and colored corresponding to taxonomic group: red - P. *abyssinicum*, *orange* – *P*. *fulvum*, *pink* – *P*. *elatius*, and *green* – *P*. *sativum*. The bracketed set of *P*. *sativum* accessions is from a restricted geographical area in Asia

authors identified had only partial resistance and appear to be P. sativum. In a survey of 78 Pisum accessions, Fondevilla et al. (2005) reported that the highest level of resistance was found in P. fulvum followed by P. elatius and then in P. sativum ssp. syriacum, and these authors reported that crosses with these material had been successful. Interestingly Le May et al. (2008) reported that, in field tests of winter peas, the allelic state of the Hr locus was associated with disease severity. Hr is an important regulator of the sensitivity of flowering time to photoperiod (Murfet 1973) and most cultivars carry the recessive insensitive allele Hr. In a study by Le May et al. (2008), it was the more sensitive (Hr) types that had greater resistance, so it will be important to determine whether improved resistance in wild Pisum can be separated from Hr.

Powdery mildew resistance in pea is caused by *Erysiphe pisi*, and good genetic resistance is available from recessive alleles at the *Er1* and *Er2* loci (Fondevilla et al. 2006). All of these resistance alleles are

already available within *P. sativum*, although at least one source is from exotic Chinese *P. sativum* germplasm. A range of useful alleles may be available in more extended exotic germplasm. Fondevilla et al. (2007) report the discovery of a new locus *Er3* in *P. fulvum*, where the dominant allele confers resistance. Given the sterility problems in *P. sativum* \times *P. fulvum* crosses, and the difficulty in determining the allelism between a dominant and a recessive allele for a trait, it remains a possibility that *Er3* may yet turn out to be a novel allele of *Er1* or *Er2*.

12.4.4 Resistance to Oomycetes

A major problem that affects the inclusion of pea in crop rotations, especially in Europe, arises because of infection by *Aphanomyces eustiches*. Genetic resistance has been difficult to find, but quantitative trait loci have recently been described that confer some resistance (Pilet-Nayel et al. 2005); however, the crosses involved parents, all of which have been designated as *P. sativum*.

12.4.5 Pest and Parasite Resistance

Bruchid beetles cause considerable damage to grains in storage and may also infest developing seeds, causing quality and/or yield loss. This system has been studied in pea, with two interesting sources of potential resistance. The dominant Np allele (Snoad and Matthews 1969) has the property of causing neoplasms to develop on the pod surface, but UV light inhibits their formation. Thus, neoplasm formation tends to occur on shaded pods. The dominant allele is widespread in the genus, but tends not to be found in modern cultivars. Probesting and colleagues (Doss et al. 2000) showed that the Np allele conditions a reaction to a small molecule (bruchin) secreted by bruchid eggs, such that neoplasm outgrowth is initiated at the point of contact between the egg and the pod wall. It is further suggested that once the egg hatches, the larva eats the connecting outgrowth and is therefore detached from the pod, which is a potentially elegant mechanism of partial resistance. Byrne and colleagues (Byrne et al. 2000, 2008; Clement et al. 2002, 2009) have also shown that bruchid resistance, both pod based and seed based, can be found in some, but not all, *P. fulvum* accessions.

Orobanche cernata (broomrape) is a significant pest of legume crops in the Mediterranean area and is very difficult, if not impossible, to control using pesticide treatments, so the availability of genetic resistance would be a significant boon. Surveys of germplasm (reviewed by Rubiales et al. 2009) have uncovered partial resistance in P. abyssinicum, P. elatius, and P. fulvum as well as some P. sativum accessions, but singly, none of these provides significant resistance. This problem may be very deep as recent studies have connected mycorrhization (a symbiosis generally considered to have been important for plant colonization of the land), bud outgrowth (an essential component of plant growth), and strigolactone, the germination stimulant for Orobanche (Gomez-Roldan et al. 2008; Umehara et al. 2008). One possibility is that natural variation in strigolactone secretion by roots may be an important determinant of susceptibility to broomrape infection, suggesting an analysis that could be undertaken of existing sources for partial resistance.

12.5 General Conclusions

We have seen that *Pisum* is a diverse genus and that its subdivision into wild vs. cultivated species is unclear. Nevertheless, a substantial amount of genetic variation remains available in non-cultivated Pisum. Plant breeders are understandably reluctant to approach wild germplasm because there are many more ways to impair performance genetically than to improve it. Although there may be some useful alleles in wild material, we can expect that there are also many detrimental alleles. When time is short, and funds are limited, it is simplest to proceed by surveying germplasm with as few detrimental alleles as possible. The diversity of *P. sativum* has meant that this is generally a reasonably successful strategy, but as we have seen, there are cases where alleles are required that are not currently available in breeders' lines.

There are two general approaches to providing novel and useful alleles to breeders' lines: transgenic methods are one, but these are expensive to devise, while the other is the survey of non-adapted material for useful alleles. These strategies are not mutually exclusive. The introgression of a useful allele from a wild relative may be most easily achieved by transgenesis as was the case in potato for the *RB* gene from *Solanum bulbocastanum* that conferred resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* (Song et al. 2003).

It seems unlikely that transgenic methods for pea improvement will be either practicable or acceptable to consumers for some time. So alleles to be deployed in pea improvement must come from cross-compatible *Pisum*, yet we want to avoid the introgression of detrimental alleles. For this reason, it would seem appropriate to initiate programs for the generation of chromosomal segment substitution lines based on a selected set of diverse *Pisum* using a common, adapted background for the recurrent parent.

Acknowledgments I thank Andy Flavell, Mike Ambrose, and Julie Hofer for the helpful discussions, Julie Hofer for edits of this manuscript and Maggie Knox for the unpublished microsatellite scores and comments. I acknowledge the support to the European Commission (FOOD-CT-2004-506223) Defra (PCGIN AR0711) and BBSRC support for the John Innes Centre.

References

- Baranger A, Aubert G, Arnau G, Lainé AL, Deniot G, Deniot J, Potier C, Weinachter I, Lejeune-Hénaut J, Lallemand J, Burstin J (2004) Genetic diversity within *Pisum sativum* using protein- and PCR-based markers. Theor Appl Genet 108:1309–1321
- Bean SJ, Gooding PS, Mullineaux PM, Davies DR (1997) A simple system for pea transformation. Plant Cell Rep 16:513–519
- Bhattacharyya MK, Smith AM, Ellis THN, Hedley C, Martin C (1990) The wrinkled seed character of pea described by Mendel is caused by a transposon like insertion in a gene encoding starch branching enzyme. Cell 60:115–122
- Blixt S (1972) Mutation genetics in *Pisum*. Agric Hort Genet 30:1–293
- Brinkmann B, Klintschar M, Neuhuber F, Hühne J, Rolf B (1998) Mutation rate in human microsatellites: influence of the structure and length of the tandem repeat. Am J Hum Genet 62:1408–1415
- Byrne O, Hardie D, Smith P (2000) Development of a molecular marker for pea weevil resistance in field pea. In: ReganK WP, Siddique K (eds) Crop updates: pulse research and industry development in Western Australia 2000. Bull 4405. Agriculture Western Australia, South Perth, Australia, p 102
- Byrne OM, Hardie DC, Khan T, Yan G (2008) Genetic analysis of pod and seed resistance to pea weevil in a *Pisum sativum* × *P. fulvum* interspecific cross. Aust J Agric Res 59:854–862

- Clement SL, Hardie DC, Elberson LR (2002) Variation among accessions of *Pisum fulvum* for resistance to pea weevil. Crop Sci 42:2167–2173
- Clement SL, McPhee KE, Elberson LR, Evans MA (2009) Pea weevil, Bruchus pisorum L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae), resistance in Pisum sativum × Pisum fulvum interspecific crosses. Plant Breed 128:478–485
- Constantin GD, Krath BN, MacFarlane SA, Nicolaisen M, Johansen IE, Lund OS (2004) Virus-induced gene silencing as a tool for functional genomics in a legume species. Plant J 40:622–631
- Coyne CJ, McClendon MT, Walling JG, Timmerman-Vaughan GM, Murray S, Meksem K, Lightfoot DA, Shultz JL, Keller KE, Martin RR, Inglis DA, Rajesh PN, McPhee KE, Weeden NF, Grusak MA, Li C-M, Storlieaj EW (2007) Construction and characterization of two bacterial artificial chromosome libraries of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) for the isolation of economically important genes. Genome 50:871–875
- Dalmais M, Schmidt J, Le Signor C, Moussy F, Burstin J, Savois V, Aubert G, Brunaud V, de Oliveira Y, Guichard C, Thompson R, Bendahmane A (2008) UTILLdb, a *Pisum sativum in silico* forward and reverse genetics tool. Genome Biol 9:R43
- Doss RP, Oliver JE, Proebsting WM, Potter SW, Kuy S, Clement SL, Williamson RT, Carney JR, DeVilbiss ED (2000) Bruchins: insect-derived plant regulators that stimulate neoplasm formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:6218–6223
- Ellis THN, Poyser SJ, Knox MR, Vershinin AV, Ambrose MJ (1998) *Ty1-copia* class retrotransposon insertion site polymorphism for linkage and diversity analysis in pea. Mol Gen Genet 260:9–19
- Ellis THN, Vershinin AV, Knox MR, Turner L, Ambrose MJ (2005) Evolutionary change in *Pisum* genome organisation. In: Tuberosa R, Phillips RL, Gale M (eds) In the wake of the double helix: from the green revolution to the gene revolution. Avenue Media, Bologna, Italy, pp 91–102
- Elvira-Recuenco M (2000) Sustainable control of pea bacterial blight. PhD Thesis, Wageningen University, Netherlands [ISBN 90-5808-291-1]
- Elvira-Recuenco M, Taylor JD (2001) Resistance to bacterial blight (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi*) in Spanish pea (*Pisum sativum*) landraces. Euphytica 118:305–311
- Fondevilla S, Ávila CM, Cubero JI, Rubiales D (2005) Response to *Mycosphaerella pinodes* in a germplasm collection of *Pisum* spp. Plant Breed 124:313–314
- Fondevilla S, Carver TLW, Moreno MT, Rubiales D (2006) Macroscopic and histological characterisation of genes *er1* and *er2* for powdery mildew resistance in pea. Eur J Plant Pathol 115:309–321
- Fondevilla S, Torres AM, Moreno MT, Rubiales D (2007) Identification of a new gene for resistance to powdery mildew in *Pisum fulvum*, a wild relative of pea. Breed Sci 57:181–184
- Ford R, Le Roux K, Itman C, Brouwer JB, Taylor PWJ (2002) Diversity analysis and genotyping in *Pisum* with sequence tagged microsatellite site (STMS) primers. Euphytica 124:397–405
- Gao Z, Eyers S, Thomas C, Ellis N, Maule A (2004a) Identification of markers very tightly linked to recessive *sbm* resistance to *Pea seed-borne mosaic virus*. Theor Appl Genet 109:488–494

- Gao Z, Johansen E, Eyers S, Thomas CL, Ellis THN, Maule AJ (2004b) The potyvirus recessive resistance gene, *sbm1*, identifies a novel role for ranslation initiation factor eIF4E in cell-to-cell trafficking. Plant J 40:376–385
- Gomez-Roldan V, Fermas S, Brewer PB, Puech-Pagès V, Dun EA, Pillot J-P, Letisse F, Matusova R, Danoun S, Portais J-C, Bouwmeester H, Bécard G, Beveridge CA, Rameau C, Rochange SF (2008) Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching. Nature 455:189–194
- Hofer J, Turner L, Moreau C, Ambrose M, Isaac P, Butcher S, Weller J, Dupin A, Dalmais M, Le Signor C, Bendahmane A, Ellis N (2009) *Tendril-less* regulates tendril formation in pea leaves. Plant Cell 21:420–428
- Hunter PJ, Ellis N, Taylor JD (2001) Association of dominant loci for resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi* with linkage groups II, VI and VII of *Pisum sativum*. Theor Appl Genet 103:129–135
- Jing R, Knox MR, Lee JM, Vershinin AV, Ambrose M, Ellis THN, Flavell AJ (2005) Insertional polymorphism and antiquity of *PDR1* retrotransposon insertions in *Pisum* species. Genetics 171:741–752
- Jing R, Johnson R, Seres A, Kiss G, Ambrose MJ, Knox MR, Ellis THN, Flavell AJ (2007) Gene-based sequence diversity analysis of field pea (*Pisum*). Genetics 177:1–13
- Jing R, Vershinin A, Grzebyta J, Shaw P, Smykal P, Marshall D, Ambrose MJ, Ellis THN, Flavell AJ (2010) The genetic diversity and evolution of field pea (*Pisum*) studied by high throughput retrotransposon based insertion polymorphism (RBIP) marker analysis. BMC Evol Biol 10:44
- Kenicer GJ (2006) Systematics and biogeography of *Lathyrus* L. (Leguminosae, Papilionoideae). PhD Thesis, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK
- Kenicer GJ, Kajita T, Pennington RT, Murata J (2005) Systematics and biogeography of leguminosae based on internal transcribed spacer and cpDNA sequence data. Am J Bot 92:1199–1209
- Knight TA (1799) Experiments on the fecundation of vegetables. Philos Trans R Soc Lond 89:504–509
- Knox MR, Ellis THN (2001) Stability and inheritance of methylation states at PstI sites in *Pisum*. Mol Gen Genet 265:497–507
- Kozik A, Heidstra R, Horvath B, Kulikova O, Tikhonovich I, Ellis THN, van Kammen A, Lie TA, Bisseling T (1995) Pea lines carrying *sym1* or *sym2* can be nodulated by *Rhizobium* strains containing *nodX*; *sym1* and *sym2* are allelic. Plant Sci 108:41–49
- Le May C, Jumel S, Schoeny A, Tivoli B (2008) Ascochyta blight development on a new winter pea genotype highly reactive to photoperiod under field conditions. Field Crops Res 111:32–38
- Lewis G, Schrirer B, Mackinder B, Lock M (eds) (2005) Legumes of the world. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew
- Limpens E, Franken C, Smit P, Willemse J, Bisseling T, Geurts R (2003) LysM domain receptor kinases regulating rhizobial nod factor-induced infection. Science 302:630–633
- Lu J, Knox MR, Ambrose MJ, Brown JKM, Ellis THN (1996) Comparative analysis of genetic diversity in pea assessed by RFLP and PCR-based methods. Theor Appl Genet 93: 1103–1111
- Macas J, Neumann P, Navrátilová A (2007) Repetitive DNA in the pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) genome: comprehensive charac-

terization using 454 sequencing and comparison to soybean and *Medicago truncatula*. BMC Genomics 8:427

- Maxted N, Ambrose MJ (2001) Peas (*Pisum* L). In: Maxted N, Bennett SJ (eds) Plant genetic resources of legumes in the Mediterranean. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 181–190
- Mendel G (1866) Versuche über Pflanzen-Hybriden. Verhandlungen des Naturforschenden Vereins in Brünn 4:3–47. http://www.mendelweb.org/
- Murfet IC (1973) Flowering in *Pisum*: a gene for high response to photoperiod. Heredity 31:157–164
- Olby R (1985) Origins of Mendelism. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL [ISBN A4978]
- Pearce SP, Knox M, Ellis THN, Flavell AJ, Kumar A (2000) Pea Ty1-copia group retrotransposons: transpositional activity and use as markers to study genetic diversity in *Pisum*. Mol Gen Genet 263:898–907
- Perrier X, Flori A, Bonnot F (2003) Data analysis methods. In: Hamon P, Seguin M, Perrier X, Glaszmann JC (eds) Genetic diversity of cultivated tropical plants. Science, Enfield, NH, pp 43–47
- Pilet-Nayel ML, Muehlbauer FJ, McGee RJ, Kraft JM, Baranger A, Coyne CJ (2005) Consistent quantitative trait loci in pea for partial resistance to *Aphanomyces euteiches* isolates from the United States and France. Phytopathology 95:1287–1293
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly PJ (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155:945–959
- Raquin A-L, Depaulis F, Lambert A, Galic N, Brabant P, Goldringer I (2008) Experimental estimation of mutation rates in a wheat population with a gene genealogy approach. Genetics 179:2195–2211
- Rubiales D, Fernandez-Aparicio M, Alejandro Perez-de-Luque A, Castillejo MA, Prats E, Sillero JC, Rispaila N, Fondevilla S (2009) Breeding approaches for crenate broomrape (*Orobanche crenata* Forsk.) management in pea (*Pisum* sativum L.). Pest Manag Sci 65:553–559
- Schroeder HE, Schotz AH, Wardley-Richardson T, Spencer D, Higgins TJV (1993) Transformation and regeneration of two cultivars of pea (*Pisum sativum* L). Plant Physiol 101:751–757
- Smýkal P, Hýbl M, Corander J, Jarkovský J, Flavell AJ, Griga M (2008a) Genetic diversity and population structure of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) varieties derived from combined retrotransposon, microsatellite and morphological marker analysis. Theor Appl Genet 117:413–424
- Smýkal P, Horáèek J, Dostálová R, Hýbl M (2008b) Variety discrimination in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) by molecular, biochemical and morphological markers. J Appl Genet 49:155–166
- Smýkal P, Coyne CJ, Ford R, Redden R, Flavell AJ, Hýbl M, Warkentin T, Burstin J, Duc G, Ambrose M, Ellis THN (2008c) Effort towards a world pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) germplasm core collection: the case for common markers and data compatibility. Pisum Genet 40: 11–14
- Smýkal P, Šafářová D, Navrátil M, Dostalová R (2010) Marker assisted pea breeding: *eIF4E* allele specific markers to *pea seed-borne mosaic virus* (PSbMV) resistance. Mol Breed. doi:10.1007/s11032-009-9383-7
- Snoad B, Matthews P (1969) Neoplasms of the pea pod. In: Darlington CD, Lewis KR (eds) Chromosomes today. Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, pp 126–131

- Song J, Bradeen JM, Naess SK, Raasch JA, Wielgus SM, Haberlach GT, Liu J, Kuang H, Austin-Phillips S, Buell CR, Helgeson JP, Jiang J (2003) Gene *RB* cloned from *Solanum bulbocastanum* confers broad spectrum resistance to potato late blight. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:9128–9133
- Tar'an B, Zhang C, Warkentin T, Tullu A, Vandenberg A (2005) Genetic diversity among varieties and wild species accessions of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) based on molecular markers, and morphological and physiological characters. Genome 48:257–272
- Umehara M, Hanada A, Yoshida S, Akiyama K, Arite T, Takeda-Kamiya N, Magome H, Kamiya Y, Shirasu K, Yoneyama Junko Kyozuka J, Yamaguchi S (2008) Inhibition of shoot branching by new terpenoid plant hormones. Nature 455:195–200
- Vershinin AV, Ellis THN (1999) Heterogeneity of the internal structure of PDR1, a family of TY1/copia-like retrotransposons in pea. Mol Gen Genet 262:703–713
- Vershinin AV, Allnutt TR, Knox MR, Ambrose MJ, Ellis THN (2003) Transposable elements reveal the impact of introgression, rather than transposition, in *Pisum* diversity, evolution and domestication. Mol Biol Evol 20:2067–2075
- Vigouroux Y, Jaqueth JS, Matsuoka Y, Smith OS, Beavis WD, Smith JSC, Doebley J (2002) Rate and pattern of mutation at microsatellite loci in maize. Mol Biol Evol 19:1251–1260

- Westphal E (1974) Pulses in ethiopia, their taxonomy and agricultural significance. Versl Landbouwkundl Onderzoek, Wageningen
- Wojciechowski MF (2003) Reconstructing the phylogeny of legumes (Leguminosea:) an early 21st century perspective.
 In: Klitgaard BB, Bruneau A (eds) Advances in legume systematics 10 (higher level systematics). Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew, pp 5–35
- Young JPW, Matthews P (1982) A Distinct class of peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) from Afghanistan that show strain specificity for symbiotic *Rhizobium*. Heredity 48:203–210
- Zhang R, Hwang S-F, Chang K-F, Gossen BD, Strelkov SE, Turnbull GD, Blade SF (2006) Genetic resistance to Mycosphaerella pinodes in 558 field pea accessions. Crop Sci 46:2409–2414
- Zong X-X, Guan J-P, Wang S-M, Liu Q-C (2008) Genetic diversity among Chinese Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) landraces as revealed by SSR markers. Acta Agron Sin 34: 1330–1338
- Zong X, Redden RJ, Liu Q, Wang S, Guan J, Liu J, Xu Y, Liu X, Gu J, Yan L, Ades P, Ford R (2009) Analysis of a diverse global *Pisum* sp. collection and comparison to a Chinese local collection with microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 118:193–204

Chapter 13 *Trifolium*

W.M. Williams and S.N. Nichols

13.1 Introduction to Trifolium

The clover genus Trifolium has 250-300 species (Allen and Allen 1981; Zohary and Heller 1984; Ellison et al. 2006), about 10% (20-30) of which are used as forage plants in commercial agriculture, and a greater number are used locally for fodder in their native zones. This chapter will give most consideration to the wild relatives of the most important of these species, white clover (T. repens L.), red clover (T. pratense L.), and berseem (T. alexandrinum L.). However, there are nearly 50 other species, which are listed here as being of current or potential interest, either for direct use in agriculture, or as sources of genes for other species. Clovers, like most legumes, are co-evolved complexes of plant, symbiotic bacteria, fungi, and insect pollinators. Strains of symbiotic N-fixing bacteria (Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar trifolii) have co-evolved with plant species and populations, and form an important part of the species adaptations. These are mentioned, but have not been comprehensively reviewed. Similarly, pollination and mycorrhizal associations are indicated only where they are of special significance. We have based our classification of species relatedness on the DNA phylogenetic analysis of Ellison et al. (2006), which replaces the former morphological classification of Zohary and Heller (1984).

13.2 Genetic Resources of Trifolium

Germplasm exploration and conservation of *Trifolium* species was reviewed by Gillett and Smith (1985). Conservation initiatives in recent years have been led

AgResearch, Grasslands Research Centre, Private Bag 11008, Palmerston North, New Zealand e-mail: warren.williams@agresearch.co.nz by N.L. Taylor (University of Kentucky), S. Greene (USDA), R.R. Smith (University of Wisconsin), R. Snowball (Agriculture Western Australia) and W.M. Williams (AgResearch, New Zealand).

Many *Trifolium* species have very narrow distributions and must be considered to be under threat in their native environments. All of them have orthodox seed that can be dried and stored for long periods at low temperature, especially when hard. However, many are not well represented in seed bank collections. This applies especially to many of the North American species that tend to be distributed in small isolated pockets that are hard to access. They need further urgent collection, along with those of the Mediterranean region, many of which are threatened by overgrazing and increasing environmental change.

Even the wild relatives of major species are poorly represented in seed banks and need more extensive collecting. For example, the numbers of accessions of white clover relatives in major seed banks are given in Table 13.1. The most threatened species is *T. occidentale* Coombe, which occurs in relatively precarious coastal habitats, and may be vulnerable to drought, soil erosion, tidal incursion and property development. It is important that this species is well collected and stored in seed banks. Some species are little represented in seed banks, e.g., *T. pallescens* Schreb.

13.3 The Wild Relatives of White Clover (*T. repens*)

White clover (*T. repens*) is a tetraploid (2n = 4x = 32) stoloniferous herb that is native to, and widespread in, Europe, Eurasia, the Middle East and North Africa. It has been introduced as a cultivated species to many

W.M. Williams (🖂)

Species	Accessions in seed banks ^a	Comments
T. ambiguum	GRIN > 100	
0	WPBS 2	
T. occidentale	GRIN 2	A threatened species in
	KEW 1	several locations
	MFFGC (NZ) 50	
T. nigrescens	GRIN 42	
0	WPBS 10	
	KEW 6	
	MFFGC (NZ) 23	
T. uniflorum	GRIN 3	A very diverse species that
·	MFFGC (NZ) 2	lacks collections
T. pallescens	GRIN 3	Little collected and
	MFFGC (NZ) 3	requires attention
	WPBS 3	1
T. thalii	GRIN 4	
	WPBS 2	

 Table 13.1
 Numbers of accessions of the wild relatives of white clover in major seed banks

Similar situations apply to the other wild relatives.

^aGRIN = USDA system; WPBS = Welsh Plant Breeding Station, Aberystwyth; KEW = Millennium Seed Bank, London; MFFGC (NZ) = Margot Forde Forage Germplasm Centre, New Zealand

countries of the world for use as a pasture plant (Zohary and Heller 1984; Williams 1987; Tesfaye and Williams 2008). It thrives best on damp soils and in grassy places, and is a very diverse species, with adaptations to a range of environmental niches. Zohary and Heller (1984) subdivided it into eight botanical varieties, based on degree of hairiness, organ (especially leaf) size, peduncle length and calyx venation.

White clover is a tetraploid (2n = 4x = 32) species with disomic (amphidiploid) inheritance (Williams et al. 1998), and is likely to be of hybrid origin (Ellison et al. 2006). Its 1C-genome size has been reported as 0.559 pg (Vizintin et al. 2006). Despite its considerable genetic diversity (Caradus et al. 1989), white clover lacks variation for traits that would improve its adaptation to a wider range of environments, especially semi-arid soils of low fertility (Williams et al. 2007). It is already the most used temperate legume of grazed pastures, but would be used more widely if its gene pool could be enhanced using wild relatives.

On the basis of DNA sequence phylogeny, Ellison et al. (2006) placed white clover in a new section *Trifoliastrum* S.F.Gray, along with its closest relatives (Table 13.2). These species are very diverse, ranging from annuals to long-lived perennials, and offer potential genetic resources for genome elucidation and improvement.

Table 13.2 The species closely related to white clover (section *Trifoliastrum*)

111j011d3114111)				
Species	Ploidy ^a	Annual/perennial		
T. nigrescens	2x	Annual		
T. occidentale	2x	Perennial		
T. uniflorum	4x	Perennial		
T. isthmocarpum	2x	Annual		
T. thalii	2x	Perennial		
T. pallescens	2x	Perennial		
T. retusum	2x	Annual		
T. suffocatum	2x	Annual		
T. parnassi	2x	Perennial		
T. cernuum	2x	Annual		
T. glomeratum	2x	Annual		
T. ambiguum	2x, 4x, 6x	Perennial		
T. montanum	2x, 4x	Perennial		
-				

^aCleveland (1985)

13.3.1 T. nigrescens Viv.

13.3.1.1 Basic Botany

T. nigrescens is a diploid annual species that occurs as at least three subspecies (Williams et al. 2001) from western Europe to Asia Minor. *T. nigrescens* ssp. *nigrescens* is distributed across the western Mediterranean countries of Europe, Turkey, Crete and North Africa in fields, and among shrubs on stony hillsides and in damp places (Zohary and Heller 1984). It has sprawling stems

up to 1 m long from a single crown, white or pink flowers, and differs from the other subspecies because of its elongated pod with 3-4 or more seeds. T. nigrescens ssp. petrisavii (Clem.) Holmboe occurs in the eastern Mediterranean, from Greece to Turkey, and in the Middle East and the Caucasus. It resembles ssp. nigrescens, but has a 1-2-seeded pod. It occurs from sea level to 1,100 m on sand dunes, river banks, roadsides, fields, dry hillsides, and moist places. A giant form, with thick, hollow stems, large leaflets, and 1-2 seeded pods was recognized by Hossain (1961) as T. nigrescens ssp. petrisavii var. meneghinianum (Clem.) Hossain. It is found in damp places in Northwest and South Turkey, Lebanon, and the Caucasus. This form was not recognized by Coombe (1968) or Zohary and Heller (1984), but it probably deserves subspecies status as it differs significantly from ssp. petrisavii in crossability with other taxa, and in its distribution of rDNA on the chromosomes (Williams et al. 2001). A form designated T. nigrescens ssp. petrisavii var. grandifolium, described from Southwest Turkey by Ertekin and Akbayin (2000) is probably the same taxon.

All three subspecies have 2n = 2x = 16 chromosomes. As with the other species closely related to white clover, the chromosomes are small and predominantly metacentric or submetacentric and not reliably distinguishable except for one satellited (NOR-bearing) pair (Chen and Gibson 1971a; Ansari et al. 1999). Chen and Gibson (1971a) found that the karyotype of ssp. *meneghinianum* differed from the other two subspecies, particularly in having larger satellited chromosomes. This suggested that ssp. *nigrescens* and *petrisavii* are more closely related to each other than to ssp. *meneghinianum*. The genome size of *T. nigrescens* is small (1C haploid nuclear genome value = 0.39 pg; Vizintin et al. 2006). In the same study, the 1C value for *T. repens* was 0.559.

Among the three subspecies, to-date only *T. nigrescens* ssp. *petrisavii* has been commercialized. It is used as a winter annual forage crop in the southern States of the United States of America, where it is known as "ball clover" (Knight 1985). It produces up to 7.5 MT/ha of high protein (18–26%) forage. It has very high seed production (200–600 kg/ha), of which about half is hard seed that stays in the soil and germinates in subsequent years. The other subspecies occur naturally throughout their distributions, and are almost certainly part of meadows that are grazed and browsed by domestic animals. A strain of symbiotic nodulating

bacteria, *R. leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii* belonging to the *T. subterraneum* L. group was more effective on ssp. *petrisavii* than other strains (Pryor and Lowther 2002), including one from *T. repens*.

13.3.1.2 Role in Classical and Molecular Genetics Studies

Classical genetic analyses have shown that the selfincompatibility of *T. nigrescens* is controlled by a gametophytic system of oppositional *S* alleles (Brewbaker 1955). Chromosome doubling of *T. nigrescens* led to some self-fertile plants in the F_2 generation following open-pollination of the self-incompatible doubled plants. This was attributed to competition interaction between alleles in heterogenic pollen (Brewbaker 1955).

T. nigrescens ssp. nigrescens is predominantly cyanogenic. However, the existence of some acyanogenic genotypes in a few populations has allowed the genetics of cyanogenesis to be studied. Williams and Williamson (2001) showed that T. nigrescens has a two-locus genetic system, with one locus (Ac)controlling cyanogenic glucoside development, and the other (Li) controlling production of the hydrolyzing enzyme. This is the same system as in white clover, and led these authors to conclude that T. nigrescens was a likely donor of a genome to white clover. Other evidence that the Li locus in T. repens could have been donated by T. nigrescens was provided by Kakes and Hakvoort (1994), who compared the enzyme activities and found them similar in the two species, although immunology indicated slightly different structures.

All 16 *T. nigrescens* ssp. *meneghinianum* chromosomes have a multiple-copy centromeric satellite DNA repeat, TrR350, a feature in common with white clover and some other closely related species. By contrast, *T. nigrescens* ssp. *nigrescens* shows the same repeat in much lower copy-number on all chromosomes (Ansari et al. 2004).

13.3.1.3 Role in Crop Improvement

Traditional breeding: There are no available cultivars of *T. nigrescens* in the USA, a local form is marketed as "ball clover" mainly by one company.

Interspecific hybrids: *T. nigrescens* has been used by several workers to achieve interspecific hybrids with white clover. *T. repens* $(8x) \times T.$ *nigrescens* (4x): Among the first successful interspecific crosses in *Trifolium*, were reciprocal crosses of colchicine-doubled *T. repens* and *T. nigrescens* to give two fertile hexaploid hybrids (Brewbaker and Keim 1953). One was self-fertile, and the other self-incompatible. Both hybrids were interfertile with one another and both parents and produced progeny with a range of ploidy levels (5x-7x). One was very short-lived and the other, although prostrate and rapidly spreading, did not have nodal roots.

T. repens $(4x) \times T$. nigrescens (2x): The cross between T. repens and T. nigrescens ssp. nigrescens can be easily made without the use of embryo rescue to give triploid F₁ hybrids. Early reports of hybrids were from Keim (1953), Hovin (1962) and Chen and Gibson (1970a). Pairing of T. repens and T. nigrescens chromosomes was reported by Chen and Gibson (1970a). Although seed set was very high when T. nigrescens ssp. nigrescens was used as the seed parent (Williams et al. 2001), progeny plants arising from T. nigrescens-derived seeds were often weak. The cross was, therefore, more successful when T. repens was used as the seed parent. Crosses of T. nigrescens ssp. petrisavii and ssp. meneghinianum as female with T. repens as male gave much lower numbers of seeds (Williams et al. 2001).

Crosses with T. nigrescens ssp. nigrescens have been used to transfer clover cyst nematode resistance (Hussain et al. 1997a), root-knot nematode resistance (Pederson and Windham 1989), and high inflorescence production and seed-set (Marshall et al. 1995) from T. nigrescens to white clover. A ploidy series (2x-7x)involving different balances of hybrid genomes was developed by colchicine doubling a sterile 3x hybrid plant to produce a fertile 6x plant and backcrossing this to the parents (Hussain et al. 1997b). The 3x and 6x hybrids were as resistant to clover cyst nematode as the most resistant T. nigrescens genotypes (Hussain et al. 1997a). To increase flowering and seed-set, Marshall et al. (1995) generated triploid F_1 hybrids and backcrossed these repeatedly to T. repens to get T. repens-like backcross hybrids introgressed by T. nigrescens (Marshall et al. 1998, 2002a, b, 2005). Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers were employed to monitor the introgression of the seed yield trait (Marshall et al. 2003b). The hybrids had N-fixation levels similar to white clover (Abberton et al. 1999), and similar digestibility with higher soluble carbohydrate and slightly lower protein concentrations than white clover (Marshall et al. 2003c). Higher seed yield was transferred from *T. nigrescens* most successfully to medium and large leaved white clover varieties, while the small-leaved variety was not significantly improved (Marshall et al. 1999, 2008).

13.3.2 T. occidentale (Western Clover)

T. occidentale is a diploid (2n = 2x = 16) perennial species that occurs only on the gulf stream coasts of western Europe and outlying islands, from north-western Portugal and Spain, to south-eastern Ireland. It occurs on the western coast of France, near Land's End in Cornwall and in western Wales. It is adapted to a relatively narrow range of maritime habitats, from beach sand above high tide and consolidated sand dunes to cliff tops immediately adjacent to the ocean. It is predominantly self-pollinating, but populations from north-western Spain are cross-pollinating. The self-pollinating populations from France, United Kingdom and Ireland are relatively uniform (Coombe 1961) and appear to have little genetic diversity. By contrast, the cross-pollinating populations from Spain have high genetic diversity among and within populations (Williams et al. 2009).

It is a stoloniferous species, resembling a small white clover. Indeed, this resemblance is so close that the species was not identified as a unique taxon until about 1960 (Coombe 1961). There is a set of characteristics which, in combination, can be used to distinguish *T. occidentale* from *T. repens*, (Coombe 1961). Briefly, relative to white clover, *T. occidentale* has short stolons, small, thick leaflets, often wider than long, with a very glossy under-surface. Leaf veins are few, and are not translucent when held up to the light. Petioles, petiolules and peduncles are hairy. Heads have few florets (less than 20–40) and creamy-white petals (never pink).

T. occidentale is taxonomically distinct from *T. repens* (Coombe 1961). It is diploid, has a distinctive habitat, and hybrids with white clover are unknown in nature. There is a possible uncertainty of distinctness from *T. biasolettii* Steud. and Hochst., a similar Mediterranean taxon with hairy petioles but pink flowers. Coombe (1961) presented evidence that

T. occidentale was distinct from *T. biasolettii* which he concluded to be close to *T. repens.* However, Zohary and Heller (1984) did not distinguish the two, and classified both *T. biasolettii* and *T. occidentale* as *T. repens* var *biasolettii* (Steud. and Hochst.) Asch. and Graeb. More information is needed on *T. biasolettii*, especially its ploidal level, before its status can be resolved. However, there is no doubt that *T. occidentale* is a separate species from *T. repens*.

The karyotype of *T. occidentale* is similar to that of *T. nigrescens* (Chen and Gibson 1971a; Ansari et al. 1999), consisting of two metacentric, five submetacentric and one satellited subtelocentric chromosome pair. The genome size is half that of white clover (Williams et al. 2009).

T. occidentale has never been domesticated and, in its native state, it contributes very little to agriculture. The author has collected it in its natural habitat in grazed pastures near ocean-facing cliff-tops on the Gower Peninsular, Wales, but found that it was replaced about 50 m inland by white clover. Elsewhere, its natural habitat is too close to the sea for agricultural use. Because of its diploid, self-pollinating nature, it has been developed as a model species for genetic and genomic research relating to the white clover complex (Williams et al. 2009). It is also a likely ancestral diploid parent of white clover (Ellison et al. 2006; Hand et al. 2008; Williams et al. 2009).

T. repens is polymorphic for cyanogenesis, a trait controlled by two disomic genetic loci giving four different phenotypes (Corkill 1942). One of the loci (Ac) controls presence or absence of the cyanogenic glucosides linamarin and lotaustralin, while the second locus (Li) controls presence or absence of the β-glucosidase enzyme, linamarase. T. occidentale is polymorphic for cyanogenic glucosides but no plant has ever been found with linamarase (Kakes and Chardonnens 2000). This has several implications for the evolution of white clover and for the systematic separation of T. repens and T. occidentale, which occur naturally adjacent to each other. The absence of linamarase from T. occidentale strongly suggests that the Li allele in T. repens was not donated by T. occidentale, despite this species being one of the parental ancestors. As the other putative parent, T. pallescens, is also acyanogenic (from limited testing so-far), the source of the linamarase allele is a mystery. One possibility is that it came from T. nigrescens, the only other strongly cyanogenic Trifolium species, as discussed above. Because *T. nigrescens* (2x) and *T. occidentale* (2x) are weakly interfertile and may form hybrids (Williams et al. 2008), a first generation hybrid between these diploids may have been involved in the origin of white clover. However, there is no evidence for this, and because the first generation hybrids can backcross to *T. nigrescens* but not *T. occidentale*, the transfer of genes from *T. nigrescens* to *T. occidentale* is unlikely by this route.

13.3.3 T. ambiguum M. Bieb. (Caucasian Clover, Kura Clover)

13.3.3.1 Basic Biology

T. ambiguum is a very long-lived perennial species found in Romania, Turkey, Armenia, the Caucasus, Iran and Iraq. It occurs at relatively high altitudes from 1,700 to 2,750 m on steep slopes, stream edges, etc. (Zohary and Heller 1984). Below ground it has rhizomes, and very thick, deep roots. Above-ground it has robust stems arising from rhizomes that do not root at the nodes. The flowers are white, turning pink after fertilization, so that the heads are white above and pink below. The flowers have a very pleasant scent and are excellent sources of nectar.

T. ambiguum occurs as a polyploid series, with diploid, tetraploid, and hexaploid populations. The different chromosome races are clinal in distribution (Kannenberg and Elliott 1962), with the hexaploids at the lowest altitudes and diploids at the highest. The tetraploids tend to be at intermediate altitudes.

Karyotype analysis of diploid *T. ambiguum* by Chen and Gibson (1971a) identified one pair of metacentric, six pairs of submetacentric and one satellited pair of chromosomes. The 1C nuclear genome size in hexaploid *T. ambiguum* was estimated by Vizintin et al. (2006) to be 0.764 pg, i.e. approximately double that of *T. nigrescens* and 36% larger than *T. repens*. *T. ambiguum* was placed with *T. repens* in section *Trifoliastrum* by Ellison et al. (2006) on the basis of DNA sequence phylogenetics. Formerly (Zohary and Heller 1984), it was classified in section *Lotoidea* Crantz., but in a different subsection from *T. repens*. (Subsection *Platystylium* Willk., on the basis of its having only basal florets deflexed after anthesis).

T. ambiguum is recognized as a potentially useful agronomic species in several countries, including Australia (Dear and Zorin 1985), New Zealand (Black and Lucas 2000), and USA (Brummer and Moore 2000), in addition to its region of natural distribution. To date, cultivars have been developed at diploid, tetraploid and hexaploid levels, but only the hexaploids have been commercialized. It is valued for its very persistent nature, drought tolerance, high forage quality and summer productivity (Hill and Mulcahy 1995). However, difficulties in obtaining adequate seed yields have led to high seed prices and these have hampered its commercialization. In addition, it is very slow to establish, allocating more carbon to root than shoot growth, and also having slow leaf and shoot development (Black et al. 2006). Consequently, establishment failures are frequent and create another source of difficulty in the uptake of this species.

13.3.3.2 Role in Crop Improvement

T. ambiguum has several desirable traits that breeders of white clover would like to introduce to the gene pool. These include rhizomes, deep roots, drought tolerance, and virus resistance (Townsend 1985). Crosses have proved to be very difficult to achieve.

T. ambiguum $(4x) \times T$. repens (4x): Fertile hybrids have been obtained to date only by Williams and Verry (1981), who used embryo rescue, and Meredith et al. (1995) who used ovule culture. In both cases only one or two fertile F₁ plants resulted from large numbers of attempts. Yamada et al. (1989) used ovule culture and produced some hybrids, but these were of very low fertility and did not grow well in the field. The F_1 4x-H-435 produced by Williams and Verry (1981) was chromosome-doubled by Anderson et al. (1991) to produce 8x-H435. Backcrosses of 8x-H435 to white clover were produced by these workers and by Hussain and Williams (1997). The BC_1F_1 families were hexaploid (6x) with genomic constitution of AARRRR (A = ambiguum, R = repens), and have been included in a large breeding program to develop varieties of this genomic constitution (Widdup et al. 2003; Williams and Hussain 2008). Williams et al. (2006c) reported that the backcrosses of 8x hybrid material to T. repens was more successful when T. repens was used as the female parent. Apparent negative nucleo-cytoplasmic interactions occurred when the hybrid material was used as female, leading to

poor vigor and frequent chlorophyll deficiencies in the progeny. The BC₁ hybrids have been advanced to at least BC₁F₆, and combine the stoloniferous growth habit of *T. repens* with the deep rooted character of *T. ambiguum*. To date, the general vigor and agronomic performance of these hybrids has limited their commercial potential.

The F_1 created by Meredith et al. (1995) was male sterile, but formed seeds when pollinated with T. repens. The resulting BC_1 plants were 6x, presumably as the result of the functioning of unreduced gametes from the F_1 hybrid. BC₂ and BC₃ progenies were produced and extensively characterized. The BC₃ (presumably aneuploid) hybrids showed a small amount of rhizome expression in plants that were otherwise similar in yield, persistence and N-fixation to white clover (Abberton et al. 1998, 2000; Marshall et al. 2003a). The BC_2 (presumably 5x) plants showed enhanced drought tolerance over white clover (Marshall et al. 2001). The forage quality of the backcrosses was excellent, and compared with white clover had similar digestibility, lower protein and higher soluble carbohydrate (Abberton et al. 2002; Marshall et al. 2004).

Using bulked segregant analysis, Abberton et al. (2003) were able to find AFLP markers that were associated with the *T. ambiguum* rhizomatous trait through backcrossing to white clover. This should enable successful marker-assisted selection for this trait, which is otherwise difficult to select as it is underground and is sometimes not expressed until after more than 1 year.

Partially fertile backcrosses to *T. ambiguum* were reported by Williams et al. (2006b). These workers obtained backcrosses at very low frequencies using embryo rescue. Success was achieved at two ploidal levels: *T. ambiguum* (2x) × 6x (*T. ambiguum* x *T. repens*) to give 4x AARR progeny, and *T. ambiguum* (6x) × 6x (*T. ambiguum* × *T. repens*) to give 6xprogeny with genomic constitution AAAARR.

13.3.4 T. uniflorum L.

13.3.4.1 Basic Botany

T. uniflorum (2n = 32) is a perennial, wild species from the Mediterranean region, found in Greece, Turkey, southern France, southern Italy and Libya (Zohary and Heller 1984). It is tolerant of dry environments, and occurs in coastal to inland habitats, including halophilous coastal communities (Brullo et al. 2000). The species is of no economic importance.

It is self-incompatible (Pandey 1957), although Gibson and Chen (1971), found some self-compatibility in three seed lines. Pandey (1957) determined the chromosome number of *T. uniflorum* to be 32. This was confirmed by Gibson and Chen (1971) who also found predominantly bivalent and quadrivalent chromosome pairing. It has four satellited chromosomes (Gibson and Chen 1971; Gibson et al. 1971) which, along with the chromosome pairing arrangements, was interpreted as evidence of an autotetraploid origin for *T. uniflorum* (Gibson and Chen 1971).

T. uniflorum appears to be highly variable. Vierhapper (1919) divided the species into seven varieties, based mainly on floral morphology. Hossain (1961) also described the species as variable, including leaflet size and shape, peduncle length, and pedicel length and breadth, in addition to floral characteristics. Greuter (1972) listed the co-existence of *T. uniflorum* with a mountain ecotype on the Greek island of Crete (*T. uniflorum* var. *breviflorum* Boiss.). Badr et al. (2002) evaluated genetic diversity in a range of *Trifolium* species and accessions, and found that *T. uniflorum* had the highest diversity and differentiation among accessions.

Some authors treat *T. savianum* Guss. as a synonym or subspecies of *T. uniflorum*, while others consider it to be a distinct species. Brullo et al. (2000) proposed that *T. savianum* is an endemic Sicilian species separated from *T. uniflorum* by geographic isolation, with the two exhibiting differing morphological characteristics and ecological associations. They speculated that *T. savianum* could have adopted a mountainous habitat prior to the ice-age, isolating it from low land connections in glaciations, which may have allowed genetic exchange in the eastern populations of *T. uniflorum*.

Detailed morphological descriptions of *T. uni-florum* are given by Zohary and Heller (1984) and Brullo et al. (2000). Its name derives from its production of groups of 1–3 large florets, in contrast to the inflorescences of other *Trifolium* species. Various authors have particularly noted its short internodes, thick and deep roots with a woody tap root, and a relatively large seed (Chen and Gibson 1971b; Pandey and Petterson 1978; Pandey et al. 1987). These characteristics were suggested to have potential to improve

T. repens through interspecific hybridization. Gibson et al. (1971) suggested that the larger seed size could improve the seedling vigor of *T. repens*. The stronger deeper roots were also suggested to improve drought tolerance, pest tolerance, nutrient interception and soil conservation (Pandey and Petterson 1978; Pandey et al. 1987). Vierhapper (1919) noted that low nutrients or exposure to drought caused a decrease in the size of above ground plant parts (e.g., leaves, flowers and petiole length).

13.3.4.2 Conservation Needs

As indicated in Table 13.1, there are extremely limited numbers of seed accessions of T. *uniflorum* available in seed banks. The GRIN system reports only three available accessions. The Margot Forde Forage Germplasm Center reports only two accessions. Considering the great diversity of this species, the current ex situ conservation effort is very poor; it is essential that an effort is made to secure far more accessions in the seed banks of the world.

13.3.4.3 Nodulation

In laboratory studies, *T. uniflorum* has been found to form partially effective or effective nodules with three *R. leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* strains from *T. subterraneum* L. and one from *T. medium* L. (Yates et al. 2003; Howieson et al. 2005). Those from *T. subterraneum* are commercial Australian *Trifolium* strains. Rhizobia from *T. repens* were not tested on *T. uniflorum*, but the two strains tested from *T. uniflorum* were ineffective on *T. repens*.

One strain of rhizobia from *T. uniflorum* was effective or partially effective with ten *Trifolium* species (Howieson et al. 2005). Of two other strains, one was effective and one ineffective with *T. fragiferum* L. (perennial) (Yates et al. 2003; Howieson et al. 2005). All successful relationships involving *T. uniflorum* were with European clovers and rhizobial strains isolated from European species. They included both perennial and annual clovers. *T. uniflorum* rhizobia were unsuccessful with the African, North American and South American species tested and, similarly, rhizobia isolated from clovers from these regions were unsuccessful with *T. uniflorum*.

13.3.4.4 Role in the Elucidation of the Origin and Evolution of White Clover

Badr et al. (2002) concluded that *T. uniflorum* is one of the ancestors of white clover, and Evans (1962a) also suggested this was possible given the success in hybridizing the two species. However, the results of a molecular marker based phylogeny of the *Trifolium* genus did not confirm this (Ellison et al. 2006). Nevertheless, *T. uniflorum* is within the new section *Trifoliastrum* designated by Ellison et al. (2006) and so is very closely related to *T. repens*. Hybridization of white clover with *T. uniflorum* could, therefore, be expected to be relatively successful compared to other more distantly related species, such as *T. ambiguum*.

Studies by Chen and Gibson (1970a, b) indicated homology between the chromosomes of *T. repens*, *T. occidentale*, and *T. nigrescens*, suggesting closely related genomes. Chen and Gibson (1972b) subsequently showed that *T. uniflorum* may share a similar genome to these three species. Ansari et al. (1999) found that these four species share a similar chromosome, bearing both a nucleolus organizer region and a large 5S ribosomal DNA repeat on opposite arms. *T. uniflorum* also shares a centromeric satellite DNA repeat TrR350 with these species (Ansari et al. 2004).

13.3.4.5 Role in Classical and Molecular Genetic Studies: Cyanogenesis

It is unclear whether T. uniflorum is cyanogenic. Gibson et al. (1971) found that T. occidentale contained cyanoglucoside but T. uniflorum did not. However, Gibson et al. (1972) later found two out of ten T. uniflorum plants tested did contain cyanoglucoside, although only trace amounts were detected. None of the T. uniflorum plants tested contained the hydrolyzing enzyme. The authors questioned the significance of the weak response of T. uniflorum and recommended that further tests be carried out using more accessions and greater sample sizes. Given the variability in morphological features observed in T. uniflorum by other authors it is possible that variability may also exist for cyanogenesis. Within T. repens there are certainly cyanogenic and acyanogenic genotypes, plus variation in the level of HCN produced (Crush and Caradus 1995). The variation in cyanogenesis with latitude found by Daday (1954) could also suggest that high levels may be

expected, at least in some populations, in a Mediterranean species such as *T. uniflorum*.

13.3.4.6 Role in White Clover Improvement

Pest and Disease Tolerance

Dymock and Hunt (1989) found that grass grub (Costelytra zealandica) fed equally on T. repens and T. uniflorum in terms of percentage of root dry weight consumed. For both species, roots over 2 mm in diameter were eaten less than those under 2 mm, but this was particularly so for T. uniflorum (4.0% of root dry weight versus 38.1% for T. repens). Dymock et al. (1989) subsequently found that grass grub larval growth was reduced on T. uniflorum compared to other Trifolium species. Growth of several seedlines was comparable to, or lower than, Lotus pedunculatus Cav., a species known to be resistant to grass grub. The authors speculated that resistance of T. uniflorum could be due to nutritional quality of the roots, absence of feeding stimulants, or the production of feeding deterrents. Sutherland (1979) also suggested that the woody nature of T. uniflorum roots could provide a mechanical method of tolerance. Dymock and Hunt (1989) suggested the resistance of T. uniflorum to grass grub could be utilized by hybridization.

Gibson et al. (1971) also suggested that *T. uni-florum* may have some virus tolerance, listing only one virus as causing symptoms. These authors also reported a lower effect of sooty blotch and powdery mildew on *T. uniflorum* compared to *T. occidentale*.

Pederson and Windham (1989) studied the resistance of eight *Trifolium* species to southern root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*). Four species had lower mean gall indexes than *T. repens*, including *T. uniflorum*. One accession of *T. uniflorum* had the lowest mean gall index, but only two plants classified as resistant, so it was not considered to be as resistant as *T. nigrescens* and *T. ambiguum*. This accession also had the smallest proportion of the root system affected by galls. The second *T. uniflorum* accession had no resistant plants.

Interspecific Hybrids

Interspecific hybrids between *T. repens* and *T. uni-florum* were first produced by Pandey (1957), and the

 F_1 was successfully backcrossed to both parents. The F_1 was also self-compatible, in contrast to both parents, which are self-incompatible. The author interpreted this as indicating that the *S* gene of the two species occurs at different loci either on the same homologous chromosome or on non-homologous chromosomes. Studies on *T. repens* and *T. unifforum* hybrids have also been published by Evans (1962a, b), Gibson et al. (1971), Gibson and Chen (1973), Chen and Gibson (1971b, 1972a, b), Pandey and Petterson (1978), Pandey et al. (1987) and Williams et al. (2006a).

Hybridization of these two species has had the same problems reported for other interspecific hybrids. For the first *T. uniflorum* \times *T. repens* crosses produced by Pandey (1957), seed production was 30–50% that of intraspecific crosses, but germination (2 out of 30 seeds) and seedling survival (one of the two seedlings) were low. The two germinated seedlings also exhibited chlorophyll deficiencies. Pandey et al. (1987) subsequently found that the reciprocal cross – *T. repens* \times *T. uniflorum* – was more successful. Although this combination produced no seed, rescued embryos ultimately produced plants that were viable. In contrast, *T. uniflorum* \times *T. repens* F₁ plants had low germination, poor seedling survival and a high proportion of chlorotic or albino seedlings.

Evans (1962a) initially gained no seed from both *T. uniflorum* \times *T. repens* and *T. repens* \times *T. uniflorum* crosses, but observed development of embryos after hybridization of compatible genotypes. With the use of embryo rescue she then successfully produced several seedlings from each cross direction.

Gibson et al. (1971) also initially failed to produce hybrids from *T. repens* \times *T. uniflorum* crosses, although pod enlargement and production of non-viable seed suggested that some embryo development was occurring. Further attempts, utilizing genotypic variation by concentrating on genotypes which exhibited pod enlargement, were successful.

Pre- and Post-fertilization Barriers

The findings of Evans (1962a), Gibson et al. (1971) and Pandey et al. (1987) showed the presence of barriers to hybridization between these two species. These have been studied in more depth by Evans (1962b), Chen and Gibson (1971b), and Chen and Gibson

(1972a). As with interspecific crosses in general, pre-fertilization barriers appear to be less important than post-fertilization barriers. Evans (1962b) found *T. uniflorum* to have the longest pistil and style out of the ten species studied, and also the highest mean pollen tube growth rate. This growth rate was lower in *T. repens* \times *T. uniflorum* crosses, but was still relatively good up to 24 h after pollination, unlike some other interspecific combinations where abnormal pollen tube growth was observed.

Conversely, Chen and Gibson (1972a) found *T. repens* x *T. uniflorum* had the slowest pollen tube growth with more abnormalities compared to other interspecific crosses, although few of these were the same as those studied by Evans. Pollen germination and fertilization was lower, but still occurred and fertilization was also slower than in *T. repens* intraspecific crosses.

Chen and Gibson (1971b) examined the seed development of *T. repens* \times *T. uniflorum* crosses, and also observed delayed fertilization and a decrease in frequency of ovule fertilization. Abnormal growth of the hybrid endosperm appeared 4 days after pollination, followed by abnormal growth of the embryo. The authors speculated that failure of the embryos was due to starvation following the disintegration of the hybrid endosperm.

Embryo rescue has improved the success of interspecific hybridization between the two species (Evans 1962a; Pandey and Petterson 1978; Pandey et al. 1987).

Morphology of the Hybrids

Morphological descriptions of hybrids are generally intermediate to the two parental species. Pandey (1957) described the *T. uniflorum* \times *T. repens* F₁ as vigorous and intermediate to the parents. Gibson et al. (1971) also described their *T. repens* \times *T. uniflorum* F₁ hybrids as vigorous, with intermediate stipule shape and internode length. Example images of floral form were intermediate to the parents and the hybrids were stoloniferous perennials.

 F_1 , F_2 and BC₁'s produced by Pandey et al. (1987) were generally intermediate, but variability was observed within the cross combinations, reflecting heterozygosity of the parents. Backcrosses to *T. repens* more closely resembled white clover in vegetative characteristics, while those to *T. uniflorum* showed more *T. uniflorum*-like floral characteristics. Some plants showed genetic variation outside the range of either parent, notably the formation of taproot-like structures at the nodes. The authors describe most vigorous hybrids as having stronger and deeper root systems than *T. repens*, with most roots from the central crown. The hybrids were nodulated, suggesting that they are compatible with the same rhizobia as *T. repens*. Hybrids in the field were reported to have low vigor, but no further data has been presented on this.

Pandey and Petterson (1978) had previously reported similar transgressive segregation in a hybrid with a central taproot plus taproots at the nodes. A second hybrid had a root system more like that of *T. repens*.

T. uniflorum has been hybridized with 4x T. occidentale (Gibson et al. 1971; Gibson and Chen 1975),and the F₁ backcrossed to*T. repens*. In addition,*T. repens* $<math>\times$ *T. occidentale* hybrids have also been backcrossed to *T. uniflorum*. Crossing *T. repens* and *T. uniflorum* with *T. occidentale* was used to overcome compatibility barriers between the two species. The multi-species hybrids were also seen as a means of introducing genes to *T. repens* from two species at once. *T. uniflorum* \times *T. occidentale* has been backcrossed to *T. uniflorum*.

Scope for Improvement of White Clover

Despite the general difficulties of hybridization, this cross combination is relatively easy to achieve compared to some others (e.g., T. ambiguum \times T. repens). Improvements to embryo rescue techniques have further improved the success of hybridization, and hybrids are also reasonably fertile (Pandey and Petterson 1978; Pandey et al. 1987). Good pairing of chromosomes and crossing over of genes between the two species occurs, indicating real potential for genetic exchange and the production of new variation. Most importantly, transgressive segregation has frequently been observed and there is also good potential to create new traits within white clover. Specifically, prospective improvements to the root characteristics of T. repens could dramatically increase drought tolerance, pest resistance and persistence, thus overcoming some of the limiting features of the species. This could extend its use on a regional and global basis and also have financial benefits to agriculture through decreased costs (irrigation, agrichemicals, re-seeding, fertilizers), and impacts on dry matter and animal production. A breeding program by the present authors aiming to achieve these objectives is currently underway in New Zealand. There are no known instances of the use of *T. uniflorum* as a crop in its own right. Individual plants are extremely small, and its chemical constitution is uncharacterized.

13.3.5 T. isthmocarpum Brot.

T. isthmocarpum (Moroccan clover) is an annual cross-pollinating diploid (2n = 2x = 16) Mediterranean herb found in the hills and fields of North Africa (Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia), Spain, Portugal, Corsica, Italy, Sicily and West Turkey (Zohary and Heller 1984). It has been identified in Australia as a potentially valuable species for spring production and as a waterlogging tolerant species suitable for a range of non-sandy soil types from loams to clays (Dear et al. 2003; Nichols et al. 2007). It has an efficient germination strategy involving brief hardseededness that breaks down rapidly in the field. This is associated with high temperature dormancy which protects it from germinating if it rains during summer (Norman et al. 1998). To date, however, no agricultural varieties have been commercialized. It has a unique karyotype among this group of species, it being the only diploid with two pairs of satellited subtelocentric chromosomes, although frequently only three satellites are visible (Chen and Gibson 1971a). Fertile hybrids with white clover were reported by Ferguson et al. (1990).

13.3.6 T. pallescens

T. pallescens is a diploid (2n = 2x = 16) perennial from high altitude Europe. It occurs on granite soils above 1,800 m altitude in the Pyrenees, Massif Central, and the Alps and mountains east of Bulgaria and Romania (Zohary and Heller 1984). In the central European Alps, it is an invasive pioneer species characteristic of recently de-glaciated moraines (Raffl et al. 2008). It is a highly self-fertile species which builds a large and persistent buried seed bank. It shows significant gene flow from valley to valley,

presumably by long distance seed head dispersal by wind (Raffl et al. 2008). Although it has some rooting from basal nodes, it shows limited vegetative spread. According to Chen and Gibson (1971a), its karyotype is indistinguishable from that of *T. ambiguum*. It has no agricultural role. Partially fertile hybrids with *T. ambiguum* were reported by Williams et al. (2006a). As shown in Table 13.1, there are very few accessions available in seed banks.

13.3.7 T. thalii Vill.

T. thalii is also an alpine species, not unlike T. pallescens in distribution, except that it is not found in Bulgaria or Romania and it is found in the mountains of Morocco (Zohary and Heller 1984). It is also a diploid, self-pollinating perennial, but has a different adaptation from T. pallescens, occurring in more stable alpine pastures rather than moraines, and being less invasive with lower seed production and larger seeds (Hilligardt 1993a, b). Its karyotype is similar to both T. pallescens and T. ambiguum (Chen and Gibson 1971a). Its role in agriculture is limited to its incidental natural occurrence in subalpine and alpine pastures. Williams et al. (2006a) reported that partially fertile hybrids with T. ambiguum could be made by embryo culture. Like T. pallescens, it has been little collected and more samples for seed banks should be obtained.

13.3.8 Other Species in Section Trifoliastrum

Other species in the white clover section that are not currently considered to have use in agriculture, or have not been adequately researched to contribute to the gene pool of white clover include the following.

13.3.8.1 T. montanum L.

T. montanum is a high altitude species from Europe and the Caucasus that is closely related to *T. ambiguum* (Ellison et al. 2006). It is diploid and genetically diverse (tetraploids have also been reported), occurring as three distinctive subspecies (Zohary and Heller 1984). It has not been evaluated as a potential agricultural species, but has been crossed with *T. ambiguum*, although the fertility of the hybrids was not given (Ferguson et al. 1990). If fertile hybrids are possible, then this species would fall within the tertiary gene pool of white clover.

13.3.8.2 T. retusum L., T. suffocatum L., T. glomeratum L. and T. cernuum Brot.

These diploid, annual species are widespread in the Mediterranean region and Eurasia (except *T. cernuum*, which is western only). All of them are relatively common weeds and gap-fillers in pastures in countries with temperate climates. To date, none has raised interest as a potential contributor to the gene pool of white clover.

13.3.8.3 T. parnassi Boiss. and Sprun

Ellison et al. (2006) confirmed the close phylogenetic relationship of *T. parnassi* with white clover. It is a diploid perennial species endemic to high mountain slopes in Greece (Zohary and Heller 1984). This species is of unknown potential as a source of traits for the improvement of white clover as there is little available germplasm in seed banks and there are few research reports. It is recommended that this species be collected and tested in interspecific hybrids with other species in section *Trifoliastrum*.

13.4 The Wild Relatives of Red Clover (*T. pratense*, Section *Trifolium*)

The closest wild relatives of *T. pratense* were listed by Cleveland (1985) on the basis of ease of crossing and chromosomal associations as: *T. diffusum* Ehrh. (2n = 16), *T. pallidum* Waldst. and Kit. (2n = 16), *T. medium* (2n = 48-80), including var. sarosiense (Hazsl.) Savul. and Rayss (*T. sarosiense* Haszl. ex Neileich) (2n = 48), *T. alpestre* L. (2n = 16), *T. heldreichianum* (Gibelli and Belli) Hausskn. (2n = 16), *T. rubens* L. (2n = 16) and *T. noricum* Wulf. (2n = 16). *T. andricum* Lassen (2n = 16) is also very close according to DNA sequence phylogenetics (Ellison et al. 2006). The DNA phylogeny also suggests that *T. squamosum* L., *T. barbeyi* Gibelli and Belli and *T. lappaceum* L. (all 2n = 16) are close relatives.

13.4.1 T. medium and T. sarosiense

Zig-zag clover, *T. medium* is a widespread rhizomatous perennial found from northern to southern Europe, and east ward to the Caucasus region and Iran. It occurs at diverse altitudes up to 2,000 m. Habitats range from forests and scrubland to meadows and it is also cultivated. It is very variable and has been loosely divided by Zohary and Heller (1984) into four varieties on the basis of flower structure and stem hairiness. However, these workers indicated that the intraspecies variation was far from resolved. It probably exists as a polyploid series, as chromosome numbers from 2n = 48-126 have been reported. One such variety occurs at medium-high altitudes to 1,000 m in Romania (Zohary and Heller 1984) and has been used in hybridizations with *T. pratense* and other close relatives.

T. medium is of agricultural interest as a long-lived perennial clover suitable for grazed pasture (Townsend 1985). Several cultivars have been selected, but they have not met with commercial success, possibly because of a combination of very poor seed production, low forage yields and slow establishment of the species (Townsend 1985). In New Zealand, an experimental selection, G41, was produced by Rumball and Claydon (2005), but it never reached the market.

T. medium (2n = 72) and T. sarosiense (2n = 48)plants were found to be interfertile by Quesenberry and Taylor (1977), with only a slightly reduced seed set compared with intraspecific crosses. The progeny from T. medium as female were normal and as vigorous as either of the parent species. However, the reciprocal cross produced chlorotic plants, several of which did not flower. Progeny had 2n = 60 chromosomes, as expected, except for two plants that were 2n = 58. Pollen stainability of the hybrids was high (80–96%) and chromosome pairing between the genomes of T. medium and T. sarosiense also was high. Backcrosses to both parents and $F_1 \times F_1$ populations were produced, giving progeny that were largely normal, apart from a few chlorotic plants. Quesenberry and Taylor (1977) concluded that T. sarosiense was a distinct highly diploidized hexaploid species while T. medium was more complex with at least two chromosome races (2n = 64, 2n = 80) and unstable intermediates, including the 2n = 72 plants used in their study. *T. medium* × *T. sarosiense* hybrid germplasm was registered by Taylor and Quesenberry (1978).

There is significant interest in T. medium and T. sarosiense as potential sources of genes to improve the perenniality of red clover. However, the crosses have proved to be difficult. In a study of barriers to interspecific hybridization between red clover and T. medium, Repkova et al. (2006) found that pollen of T. medium (2n = 56) did not germinate on the styles of diploid red clover, and that the reciprocal cross behaved similarly. However, tetraploid red clover showed no such prefertilization barrier with T. medium in reciprocal crosses. Pollen from T. sarosiense (2n = 48) germinated in both 2x and 4x red clover crosses, but pollen from 2x red clover did not germinate on T. sarosiense styles. Successful germination of pollen from 4x red clover on T. sarosiense styles depended on the genotypic combination. The only crosses to develop hybrid embryos were those involving T. pratense $(4x) \times T$. medium, where 160 crosses produced only four hybrid embryos.

Hybrids (2n = 31) between *T. sarosiense* (2n = 48) and diploid *T. pratense* (2n = 14) were produced by embryo rescue (Phillips et al. 1982) but these failed to produce viable pollen or seeds. The hybrids inherited the rhizomatous trait from *T. sarosiense* but the plants were not vigorous. Only one F₁ family produced normal flowers and no embryos from these reached maturity following pollination with *T. pratense*.

Hybrids between red clover and *T. medium* have been produced several times (Merker 1982; Sawai et al. 1990, 1995; Isobe et al. 2002), but to date, none have been commercially successful. Isobe et al. (2002), following Sawai et al. (1990, 1995), used tetraploid red clover and then doubled the chromosome number of the F_1 hybrids obtained by embryo rescue to achieve BC₁, BC₂, BC₃ and BC₄ progenies. This appears to have been the most successful project so-far but, even here, only weak expression of perenniality traits has been observed in the hybrids.

13.4.2 T. pallidum and T. diffusum

These are annual diploid species that are widespread in Europe and Eurasia. They have been crossed with red clover with varying but rather low success (Armstrong and Cleveland 1970; Schwer and Cleveland 1972; Cleveland 1985) and all of the hybrids were annuals. The most fertile hybrids were obtained between 4x T. *pratense* and 4x T. *diffusum*. The annual nature of these species probably limits their value as genetic resources for extending the perenniality of red clover, but they may have a role in improving red clover seed production. Dabkeviciene et al. (2008) obtained higher numbers of flower heads in *T. pratense* $\times T$. *diffusum* BC₁ hybrids to red clover and also observed some autogamy inherited from the *T. diffusum* parent.

13.4.3 T. noricum, T. alpestre, T. heldreichianum, T. rubens

These species are mainly alpine perennials that are of interest as potential sources of improved perenniality for red clover. However, although they have been hybridized among themselves, in various combinations (Quesenberry and Taylor 1976; Cleveland 1985), no successful hybrids with red clover have been reported to date. The DNA phylogeny of Ellison et al. (2006) places these species at a greater genetic distance from red clover than the annuals T. diffusum and T. pallidum. Phillips et al. (1992) obtained a single F_1 hybrid (2n = 15) using embryo rescue following T. alpestre $(2n = 16) \times T$. pratense (2n = 14)crosses. The hybrid plant was both male- and femalesterile, and was morphologically more similar to T. alpestre below ground, having a creeping rhizome, while the leaves and flower heads were intermediate.

Quesenberry and Taylor (1978) successfully hybridized *T. sarosiense* with autotetraploid (2n = 4x = 32) *T. alpestre*, obtaining seeds and vigorous hybrid plants that were rhizomatous. These were partially fertile and produced low frequencies of F_2 and BC₁ seeds to both parents. However, crosses to both diploid and tetraploid *T. pratense* failed. Hybrid germplasm *T. sarosiense* × 4x *T. alpestre* was registered by Taylor and Quesenberry (1978). On DNA phylogenetic evidence (Ellison et al. 2006) *T. alpestre* appears to be very closely related to *T. medium*. Quesenberry and Taylor (1978) suggested that an ancestral form of *T. alpestre* may have been a diploid progenitor of *T. sarosiense*.

13.4.4 T. lappaceum (Lappa Clover)

Native to southern Mediterranean countries and Asia Minor, *T. lappaceum* is a diploid winter annual that also grows wild and is sown in the SE USA (Knight 1985). It is a close relative of red clover (Ellison et al. 2006). No breeding programs are known.

13.4.5 Recommendations for Future Actions

The lack of success in using interspecies hybridization to improve the perenniality of red clover indicates that new approaches are needed. One recommended approach would be to develop a new gene-pool based on the few available interspecific hybrids among red clover and its relatives, including the annual species. Although this may lead to the predominance of shortlived types in the early generations, provided that longlived species are included, later generations may segregate fertile long-lived plants. This approach will require a long-term outlook by the breeder.

13.5 The Wild Relatives of *T. alexandrinum* (Egyptian Clover/Berseem)

Egyptian clover (berseem), *T. alexandrinum*, is a diploid (2n = 16) species widely grown as an annual feed crop in the Middle East, Mediterranean, Asia Minor regions and on the Indian subcontinent. A marked distinction has been made between forms with profuse basal branching, which have high agricultural value and forms with little or no basal branching (Zohary and Heller 1984). The species exhibits significant other genetic diversity, including that for duration of growth (number of cuts), yield and forage quality. However, needs have been identified for better dry matter yields in early cuts, a more extended vegetative growth period and resistance to root and stem rots (Malaviya et al. 2004b).

The closest relative of *T. alexandrinum*, according to protein profiles (Kumar et al. 2003), isozyme variation (Malaviya et al. 2004a) and DNA phylogenetics (Ellison et al. 2006) is *T. apertum. T. apertum* is an annual, diploid (2n = 16) meadow plant found in

Turkey and the Caucasus region, Italy and Greece. Indian varieties of T. alexandrinum did not cross naturally with T. apertum, but embryo rescue was successful in generating hybrids using T. alexandrinum as the female parent (Malaviya et al. 2004b). The F₁ hybrids were verified by isozyme characterization and were intermediate to the parents in morphology, although some showed better growth and branching than both the parents. Some of the hybrids were several weeks later in flowering than T. alexandrinum – a desirable trait that might extend vegetative yields. The hybrids showed pollen fertilities of 78-100% and bivalent formation at meiosis ranging from 89% to 98%. Seeds were obtained from some hybrids by selfing, and from others by backcrossing to T. alexandrinum. T. apertum offers potential for the expansion of the gene pool of T. alexandrinum.

13.6 Other Agricultural Species in Section *Trifolium*

13.6.1 T. hirtum All. and T. cherleri L.

Rose clover, T. hirtum, and cupped clover, T. cherleri, are extreme annual species widely distributed in fields and on roadsides throughout the Mediterranean region, and the Middle East and southwest Asia. They are a distinct, closely related pair on the basis of DNA sequences and a reduced chromosome complement of 2n = 10 (Ellison et al. 2006). Rose clover has been developed commercially by selection of varieties suitable for Mediterranean climatic zones as far apart as Australia (Nichols et al. 2007) and California (Love 1985). Three cultivars of cupped clover were developed in Australia (Barnard 1972), but there has apparently been little recent interest. Because of their unique chromosome number, these species may be of limited value as a genetic resource for the improvement of any other species in the section. Attempted crosses of red clover with T. cherleri were unsuccessful (Quesenberry 1975).

13.6.2 T. affine C. Presl and T. arvense L.

T. affine and T. arvense are two closely related annual species in the red clover section. T. arvense (2n = 14, 28) is a self-fertilizing annual. It is particularly polymorphic and widespread throughout Europe, southwest Asia and the Mediterranean region, and has spread around the world in semi-arid habitats. T. affine (2n = 12) is a cross-fertilized annual with a much narrower distribution in Turkey and Bulgaria in dry habitats (Zohary and Heller 1984). Although both species can provide seasonal feed for grazing animals, the main interest is in their very high concentrations of foliar condensed tannins (CT). These are the only clover species with high foliar CT concentrations (Jones and Lyttleton 1971), and this has made them the target of some research efforts to identify the chemistry and genetics of CT production. To date, all efforts to transfer the high CT content to other species by hybridization have been unsuccessful.

13.6.3 T. incarnatum L. (Crimson Clover)

Crimson clover is well known for its large blood-red flower heads on erect stems, and is used in some regions, e.g. southern USA, as a roadside wild flower. It is a diploid (2n = 14) annual, native to southern Europe. It has received attention as a dual-purpose grazing and fodder species for farming in the USA (Knight 1985) and Western Australia (Nichols et al. 2007). It is soft-seeded, and produces well early in the season, but may lack persistence. Efforts to incorporate hard-seed are reviewed by Knight (1985).

13.6.4 T. dasyurum C. Presl (Eastern Star Clover)

This Mediterranean diploid annual species has been identified as a promising annual for Western Australia, and has been the subject of selection of a cultivar (Norman et al. 2005; Nichols et al. 2007). The selected material has a very specific and adaptive germination pattern, requiring summer heat followed by low diurnal fluctuating temperatures in autumn, and several weeks of high soil moisture before the seed will soften and imbibe. This provides considerable insurance that germination will not occur when rainfall is inadequate, so ensuring that a "false break" will not occur (Nichols et al. 2007).

13.6.5 T. purpureum Loisel. (Purple Clover)

This highly polymorphic Mediterranean diploid annual is widespread in its region of origin (Zohary and Heller 1984). It has been used in Australia as a winter annual pasture species since the 1950s. A variety "Paratta" was registered in 1971, and was developed to provide a low estrogenic replacement for subterranean clover on wet and waterlogged soils (Barnard 1972). Subsequently, another variety ("Electra") with disease resistance was registered in 2006 for high and very high rainfall zones (Nichols et al. 2007).

13.7 Section Paramesus (C. Presl) Endl

This section consists of just two species, *T. glanduli-ferum* Boiss. and *T. strictum* L., which have glandular hairs. DNA sequence phylogeny has placed it well apart from most other sections of the genus (Ellison et al. 2006). *T. glanduliferum* is of some agricultural interest.

T. glanduliferum originated in SE Europe, and is annual and diploid (2n = 16). It is adapted to sandy places among scrub and in fields. In Western Australia, it has been found to be well adapted to sandy loam to clay loam soils with a broad pH range. A variety was registered for Australia in 2001 (Nutt and Loi 2002). It has shown particularly good resistance to a range of pests (Nichols et al. 2007).

13.8 The African Clovers

Approximately 36 species of *Trifolium* are found in sub-Saharan Africa (Zohary and Heller 1984), in the central Eritreo-Arabian highlands, and extending to southern Africa as well. These species are all grouped into a new section *Vesicastrum* Ser. on the basis of DNA sequence phylogeny (Ellison et al. 2006). They were formerly classified into two sections – *Lotoidea* (33 species) and *Mystillus* (3 species) by Zohary and Heller (1984). The new section *Vesicastrum* also includes two groups of Mediterranean species, including six that were previously in section *Mystillus*, seven that were in section *Vesicaria* and four from section *Lotoidea*. These are discussed further in the next section.

The African group is relatively little studied. The students of systematics include Gillett (1952) and the Australia-based researchers Pritchard (1962) and Pritchard and t'Mannetje (1967). Vizintin et al. (2006) included five African species in a study of nuclear DNA content. These five species had the largest genome sizes in the genus (1C sizes: 2x T. tembense Fresen. 0.84 pg, 4x T. africanum Ser. 1.15 pg, 6x T. burchellianum Ser. 1.23 pg, 2x T. rueppellianum Fresen. 1.52 pg, 2x T. semipilosum Fresen. 1.96 pg).

13.8.1 T. semipilosum

Kenya white clover, *T. semipilosum*, is a stoloniferous perennial species from North and East Africa, especially Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania and Ethiopia. Formerly classified in series *Lotoidea* with white clover (Zohary and Heller 1984), it is now placed in section *Vesicastrum* on the basis of DNA phylogeny (Ellison et al. 2006), alongside all other African species and a few European species, including *T. hybridum* L., *T. michelianum* Savi, *T. ornithopodioides* L. and *T. fragiferum* L.

To date, it is the only African species that has been commercialized for agricultural use outside of Africa. T. semipilosum is a diploid (2n = 16), outcrossing perennial from very high altitude (1,400–3,200 m) grasslands and moist evergreen forests from north Ethiopia and Yemen south to Kenya, Uganda and Tanzania (Zohary and Heller 1984). It occurs as several distinct forms, e.g., var. semipilosum, which is hairy and adapted to slightly drier climates and var. glabrescens J.B. Gillett, which is almost glabrous and adapted to moist upland grasslands, often in association with kikuyu grass. Two further varieties have been identified in Ethiopia (Thulin 2008). Tetraploid (4x = 32) populations have also been reported. Cultivar "Safari" was released in 1973 after selection from Kenyan material of var. glabrescens by CSIRO researchers in tropical Australia. Agronomic studies of T. semipilosum are described by Hill (1989) and Ison et al. (1992) and flowering and seed-set problems by Ison and Parson (1992). One potential disadvantage is that the species has a requirement for very distinct and specific Rhizobium strains (Tesfaye and Holl 1998).

T. semipilosum is a potential source of a single gene resistance to clover root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne trifoliophila*) in white clover. Genetic analyses of a segregating diploid population of *T. semipilosum* revealed that resistance to this parasitic nematode was inherited as a single dominant allele at a locus designated *TRKR* (Barrett et al. 2005). Using *T. repens* simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers and bulk segregant analysis, these authors located the linkage group carrying the *TRKR* locus in *T. semipilosum* and demonstrated macro-synteny between this linkage group and linkage group D homoeologues of *T. repens*.

T. semipilosum has been successfully crossed with other African species, including T. masaiense J.B.Gillett (2x = 16), T. pseudostriatum Baker, f. (2x = 16) and T. rueppellianum Fresen. (2x = 16), indicating close relationships among these species (Pritchard and t'Mannetje 1967). In all cases, shriveled seeds were obtained but apparently they were not germinated. T. masaiense and T. pseudostriatum, and T. masaiense and T. rueppellianum var. rueppellianum were also interfertile. According to DNA sequence data, T. pseudostriatum and T. rueppellianum are some distance further away from T. semipilosum than T. masaiense, which is very close (Ellison et al. 2006). There are many other African species (and some European species) closer to T. semipilosum than these, so further hybridization research with the African and European species might reveal the existence of species complexes that are, as yet, undetected. White and Williams (1976) found that fertilization occurred when T. semipilosum var. semipilosum was pollinated with white clover (T. repens). Globular embryos were formed and arrest of endosperm development may have been the main cause of failure. These authors detected genotypic variation for pod development and ovule enlargement, and suggested that a search for more compatible genotype combinations might be fruitful.

13.8.2 T. burchellianum and T. africanum

These perennial, outcrossing (self-incompatible) species occur in southern Africa. *T. burchellianum* ssp. *johnstonii* (Oliv.) J.B. Gillett (2n = 12x = 96) occurs

further north from Tanzania to Ethiopia. *T. burchellianum* ssp. *burchellianum* (2n = 6x = 48) occurs at high altitudes in southern Africa only. By contrast, *T. africanum* (2n = 4x = 32) occurs across a range of altitudes and habitats in southern Africa (Zohary and Heller 1984). These polyploid species are related to a large group of diploid species that presumably includes the parental relatives (Ellison et al. 2006). Considerable scope exists to unravel the species relationships among the African species, especially given

13.9 Mediterranean Clovers with African Affinities

their closeness to some European species.

The former section *Vesicaria* (Zohary and Heller 1984) consisted of seven Eurasian species that have more recently been re-classified with the African clovers on the basis of DNA sequence phylogenies (Ellison et al. 2006). These species have a very characteristic vesicular calyx that serves as a dispersal organ. They include *T. fragiferum* (strawberry clover) and *T. resupinatum* L. that are used in agriculture.

A second group of Mediterranean and Eurasian species with DNA sequence affinities to African species (Ellison et al. 2006) are six species that were previously classified with three African species in section *Mistyllus* (Zohary and Heller 1984). These include *T. spumosum* L. and *T. vesiculosum* Savi, which are of agricultural interest and *T. argutum* Sol., which has been reported to hybridize with white clover and its close relatives (Kazimierski et al. 1972).

A third group of Eurasian species with African affinities on the basis of DNA phylogeny (Ellison et al. 2006) are *T. hybridum*, *T. ornithopodioides*, and *T. michelianum*. These species were in the former section *Lotoidea* (Zohary and Heller 1984). This group includes two species of agricultural value.

13.9.1 T. fragiferum (Strawberry Clover)

This Mediterranean and central European diploid (2n = 16) perennial is adapted to wet saline or alkaline soils. Consequently, it has been spread widely by man (Forde et al. 1981) and is found throughout much of north and west North America, parts of Australia, New Zealand and South America. Zohary and Heller (1984) identified five varieties. It is a prostrate plant with horizontal stems that root at the nodes (Gillett 1985; Townsend 1985), and consequently, is well adapted to close grazing. It is predominantly self-incompatible and cross-pollinated, although Mediterranean populations tend to be selfcompatible (Davies and Young 1966). A number of cultivars have been commercialized, including "Palestine" and "O'Connors" (Australia), "Salina" and "Fresa" (USA, Townsend 1985). No hybrids with other species have been developed. Hybrids with T. neglectum C.A. Mey. were reported by Kazimierski et al. (1972), but this is a variety of T. fragiferum (Zohary and Heller 1984).

13.9.2 T. resupinatum L. (Persian Clover, Shaftal)

Persian clover is a widespread species in Southwest Asia, southern and central Europe, and the Mediterranean region. A distinctive cultivated form (var. *majus* Boiss.) that is not found in the wild has developed in Iran and neighboring countries (Zohary and Heller 1984; Gillett 1985). Both var. *majus* and var. *resupinatum* types have been developed for agricultural use, especially on winter waterlogged soils in Australia (Nichols et al. 2007), and in South Central USA, and many temperate countries (Gillett 1985).

13.9.3 T. spumosum (Bladder Clover)

This diploid (2n = 16) annual occurs throughout the Mediterranean region and is adapted to cool, moist winters and hot, dry summers. It has been found to be very productive in Western Australia on acid loams and clays in the 350–700 mm rainfall zone (Loi et al. 2003). It maintains a soil bank of hard seeds that soften in autumn in time for the wet season (Ghamkhar et al. 2007). A germplasm collection of about 400 accessions kept at the Australian *Trifolium* Genetic Resource

Centre in Perth, Western Australia has been comprehensively characterized using eco-geographic data relating to the collection sites (Ghamkhar et al. 2007, 2008).

13.9.4 T. vesiculosum (Arrowleaf Clover)

This is a diploid (2n = 16), self-incompatible, deeply rooted, annual species, native to southern and Southeast Europe. It has gained some attention as a winter annual forage plant, especially in the southern USA (Miller and Wells 1985) and in Western Australia (Nichols et al. 2007). In Western Australia, two cultivars have been selected as fodder and grazing plants on moderately acidic light soils in medium-high and high rainfall zones.

13.9.5 T. argutum (Syn. T. xerocephalum Fenzl.)

T. argutum is a Mediterranean diploid (2n = 16) annual that has been reported to hybridize with white clover and its relatives, *T. isthmocarpum*, and *T. nigrescens* (Kazimierski et al. 1972). This report is unverified, but if correct, it would greatly widen the potential gene pool of white clover. However, large genetic distances between white clover and *T. argutum* were indicated by the DNA analyses of Ellison et al. (2006), suggesting that hybridization between these species would be unlikely.

13.9.6 T. hybridum (Alsike Clover)

Alsike clover, *T. hybridum*, is a perennial diploid occurring in fields and pastures, and on roadsides and river banks in the Mediterranean region as Far East as the Caucasus (Zohary and Heller 1984). It is widely cultivated as a fodder species in most temperate countries, and many cultivars exist (Townsend 1985).

As indicated above, and despite a similar floral morphology, it has been placed at a distance from white clover by DNA sequence phylogeny. Its nuclear 1C-genome size is 0.62 pg (Vizintin et al. 2006), and the karyotype differs from that of white clover and its other near relatives in having satellited chromosomes that are the largest in the genome. In addition, the satellites are as long as the long arms of some other species (Chen and Gibson 1971a). Tetraploid *T. hybridum* was crossed with white clover using embryo culture, but the hybrid plants were predominantly chlorotic or albino. One survived to flowering, and a single green backcross seedling to white clover was obtained (Przywara et al. 1989).

13.9.7 T. michelianum (Balansa Clover)

T. michelianum is very closely related to T. hybridum according to DNA sequence comparisons (Ellison et al. 2006), but is an annual diploid species naturally distributed in the countries surrounding the Mediterranean Sea, from Portugal to Turkey (Zohary and Heller 1984). It is outcrossing and genetically diverse (Craig and Ballard 2000). Its genome size (1C = 0.654)is similar to T. hybridum (Vizintin et al. 2006), and its karyotype is also similar to that of T. hybridum (Chen and Gibson 1971a). It has been divided into two varieties, var. michelianum and var. balansae (Boiss.) Azn. It is used in more than 1.5 million hectares in Australian agriculture as an annual fodder species known as balansa clover (Craig and Ballard 2000). It is resistant to waterlogging and has moderate salinity tolerance (Rogers and Noble 1991; Rogers and West 1993). Several cultivars have been developed, including "Paradana," "Frontier," and "Bolta." It has good seed production, and the cultivars cause no animal fertility problems from phytoestrogens (Craig and Ballard 2000).

13.10 The American Clovers (Section *Involucrarium* Hooker)

Approximately 70 (over one fourth) of all *Trifolium* species occur in North and South America, and these form a monophyletic group (section *Involucrarium*) with no overlap with Eurasian species (Ellison et al. 2006). Most species occur in the western states, with

California having 40 native species, including 12 endemic species (Crampton 1985). Thirteen species occur in South America, four endemic in Chile, and the rest mainly common to North America (Zohary and Heller 1984). From an agricultural perspective, no American species have been domesticated and, based on DNA sequence phylogeny, none appear to be closely related to any agricultural species from Eurasia. However, several have value as rangeland species for grazing in the west of North America (Crampton 1985). These include the rhizomatous perennials, T. longipes Nutt. in Torr. and Gray. and T. wormskioldii Lehm., which occur as polyploid series with relatively broad ecological ranges, and good grazing tolerance. Several western annual species also have grazing value in the Great Valley of California, where 65% of grazing animals in California are farmed (Crampton 1985). T. stoloniferum Muhlenberg is a tetraploid eastern North American species that resembles white clover but has never been domesticated or used in breeding. The South American species, T. argentinense Speg. and T. polymorphum Poir. ex Lam. and Poir. are perennial, stoloniferous, amphicarpic species occurring in the Campos region (Uruguay, South Brazil, East Argentina) where they are grazed as part of the native grassland vegetation and also sold as improved seed. This species has considerable potential for further plant breeding (Real et al. 2007). T. polymorphum (2n = 16, 32)(Zohary and Heller 1984; Vizintin et al. 2006) has a 1C DNA content of 1.025 pg (Vizintin et al. 2006). It has a unique amphicarpic breeding system, with selffertilizing flowers under-ground, and allogamous, selfincompatible flowers requiring pollinators aboveground (Real et al. 2007).

13.11 Section Trichocephalum Koch

This is a group of nine annual species with specialized seed dispersal mechanisms, native to the Mediterraneam region. It has one agricultural species, *T. subterraneum* L. This group of species is cytogenetically complex, with chromosome numbers ranging from 2n = 16 (*T. subterraneum*) through 2n = 14 (*T. pilulare* Boiss., *T. eriosphaerum* Boiss., *T. meduseum* Bl. ex Boiss.) to 2n = 12 (*T. israeliticum* D. Zoh. and
Katzn.). This is likely to be an aneuploid reduction series from a 2n = 16 ancestral form. Significant karyotypic polymorphism also occurs among the varieties of 2n = 16 *T. subterraneum*, with chromosome rearrangements associated with reproductive isolation (Morley et al. 1956; Katznelson and Morley 1965).

13.11.1 T. subterraneum L. (Subterranean Clover)

T. subterraneum is a highly variable diploid annual species that has aerial flower heads that twist into the soil after fertilization, so that the seed matures underground. It occurs throughout the Mediterranean region and into England and S. Russia. Zohary and Heller (1984) have designated eight varieties, of which three have come into agricultural use. Var. subterraneum is the common form, best adapted to free-draining loams and sands, while var. yanninicum (Katzn. and Morley) Zohary is adapted to winter waterlogged soil types, and var. brachycalycinum (Katzn. and Morley) Zohary to neutral-alkaline soils. This species has been domesticated mainly by Australian researchers, who have selected within wild collections for genotypes of suitable adaptation, low estrogen content, and improved pest resistance for a wide range of environments (Collins and Gladstones 1984; McGuire 1985; Nichols et al. 2007).

Despite evidence for reproductive isolation due to chromosome rearrangements in this group, some interspecific hybrids have been produced. Katznelson (1967) obtained flowering specimens of T. eriosphaerum $(2n = 14) \times T$. subterraneum var brachycalycinum (2n = 16) and T. pilulare (2n = 14) \times T. subterraneum var. yanninicum. He also obtained hybrid plants of T. eriosphaerum \times T. israeliticum and T. pilulare \times T. subterraneum var. subterraneum, but both died before flowering. To date, these related species have not been used as a secondary gene pool for subterranean clover, but in future, they may be used for this purpose. Hybrids among the varieties of T. subterraneum have received some attention and deserve more. Reed et al. (1985) drew attention to the likely superior performance in Victoria, Australia of var. yanninicum × var. subterraneum hybrids.

13.12 Subgenus Chronosemium (Ser.) Reichenb.

This subgenus of 20 Mediterranean species is a divergent group of species, but clearly belongs to Trifolium. Although several species have pale purple or pink flowers, the majority have yellow flowers. It was separated from subgenus Trifolium (i.e. the remainder of the genus) by Ellison et al. (2006). This subgenus separated into two separate groups of species, corresponding with different chromosome numbers a set of five species with 2n = 14 and the remainder with predominantly 2n = 16 – and one allotetraploid (*T. dubium* Sibth.) (2n = 4x = 30). Only two of the species are perennial - T. badium Schreb. and T. rytidosemium Boiss. and Hohen., both 2n = 14. T. dubium has been shown by DNA sequence and genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) evidence to be an allotetraploid hybrid between T. campestre Schreb. (2n = 14) and T. micranthum Viv. (2n = 16) (Ansari et al. 2007).

None of the species of this subgenus have been commercialized for use in agriculture. However, the annual tetraploid species, T. *dubium*, has spread to pastures and meadows in many parts of the world, where it contributes seasonal animal feed, especially in spring, before seeding and dying. Because it is a clearly divergent subgroup (Ellison et al. 2006), it is unlikely that any species of this subgenus would provide genetic resources for hybridization with any of the species in the rest of the genus.

Acknowledgements We thank Dr. N.W. Ellison and M.L. Williamson for their very helpful comments on the manuscript.

References

- Abberton MT, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Marshall AH, Holdbrook-Smith K, Rhodes I (1998) Morphological characteristics of hybrids between white clover *Trifolium repens* L. and Caucasian clover, *Trifolium ambiguum* M. Bieb. Plant Breed 117:494–496
- Abberton MT, Macduff JH, Marshall AH, Michaelson-Yeates TPT (1999) Nitrogen fixation by hybrids of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) and *Trifolium nigrescens*. J Agron Crop Sci 183:27–33
- Abberton MT, Macduff JH, Vagg S, Marshall AH, Michaelson-Yeates TPT (2000) Nitrogen fixation in hybrids of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) and Caucasian clover (*Trifolium ambiguum* M. Bieb.). J Agron Crop Sci 185:241–247

- Abberton MT, Marshall AH, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Williams TA, Rhodes I (2002) Quality characteristics of backcross hybrids between *Trifolium repens* and *Trifolium ambiguum*. Euphytica 134:217–222
- Abberton MT, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, White C, Marshall AH, Prewer W, Carlile E (2003) Bulked segregant AFLP analysis to identify markers for the introduction of the rhizomatous habit from *Trifolium ambiguum* into *T. repens* (white clover). Euphytica 134:217–222
- Allen ON, Allen EK (1981) The Leguminosae. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI
- Anderson JA, Taylor NL, Williams EG (1991) Cytology and fertility of the interspecific hybrid *Trifolium ambiguum* × *T. repens* and backcross populations. Crop Sci 31:683–687
- Ansari HA, Ellison NW, Reader SM, Badaeva ED, Friebe B, Miller TE, Williams WM (1999) Molecular cytogenetic organisation of 5S and 18S–26S rDNA loci in white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) and related species. Ann Bot 83:199–206
- Ansari HA, Ellison NW, Griffiths A, Williams WM (2004) A lineage-specific centromeric satellite sequence in the genus *Trifolium*. Chromosome Res 12:1–11
- Ansari HA, Ellison NW, Williams WM (2007) Molecular and cytogenetic evidence for an allotetraploid origin of *Trifolium dubium* (Leguminosae). Chromosoma 117:159–167
- Armstrong KC, Cleveland RW (1970) Hybrids of Trifolium pratense L. × T. pallidum. Crop Sci 10:354–357
- Badr A, Sayed-Ahmed H, El-Shanshouri A, Watson LE (2002) Ancestors of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.), as revealed by isozyme polymorphisms. Theor Appl Genet 106:143–148
- Barnard C (1972) Register of Australian herbage plant cultivars. Division of Plant Industry, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Canberra, Australia, pp 140–144
- Barrett B, Mercer C, Woodfield D (2005) Genetic mapping of a root-knot nematode resistance locus in *Trifolium*. Euphytica 143:85–92
- Black AD, Lucas RJ (2000) Caucasian clover was more productive than white clover in grass mixture under drought conditions. Proc NZ Grassl Assoc 62:183–188
- Black AD, Moot DJ, Lucas RJ (2006) Development and growth characteristics of Caucasian and white clover seedlings, compared with perennial ryegrass. Grass Forage Sci 61:442–453
- Brewbaker JL (1955) Studies of oppositional allelism in *Trifolium nigrescens*. Hereditas 41:367–375
- Brewbaker JL, Keim WF (1953) A fertile interspecific hybrid in Trifolium (4n T. repens L. × 4n T. nigrescens Viv.). Am Nat 87:323–326
- Brullo S, Guarino R, Minissale P (2000) Taxonomic and phytogeographical remarks on *Trifolium savianum* Guss., a misappreciated species of the Italian flora. Bot Jahrb Syst Pflanzengesch Pflanzengeogr 122:469–480
- Brummer EC, Moore KJ (2000) Persistence of perennial coolseason grass and legume cultivars under continuous grazing by beef cattle. Agron J 92:466–471
- Caradus JR, MacKay AC, Woodfield DR, van den Bosch J, Wewala S (1989) Classification of a world collection of white clover cultivars. Euphytica 42:183–196

- Chen C-C, Gibson PB (1970a) Chromosome pairing in two interspecific hybrids of *Trifolium*. Can J Genet Cytol 12:790–794
- Chen C-C, Gibson PB (1970b) Meiosis in two species of *Trifolium* and their hybrids. Crop Sci 10:188–189
- Chen C-C, Gibson PB (1971a) Karyotypes of fifteen *Trifolium* species in section Amoria. Crop Sci 11:441–445
- Chen C-C, Gibson PB (1971b) Seed development following the mating of *Trifolium repens* × *T. uniflorum.* Crop Sci 11:667–672
- Chen C-C, Gibson PB (1972a) Barriers to hybridization of *Trifolium repens* with related species. Can J Genet Cytol 14:381–389
- Chen C-C, Gibson PB (1972b) Chromosome relationships of *Trifolium uniflorum* to *T. repens* and *T. occidentale*. Can J Genet Cytol 14:591–595
- Cleveland RW (1985) Reproductive cycle and cytogenetics. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology, vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI
- Collins WJ, Gladstones JS (1984) Breeding to improve subterranean clover in Australia. In: Barnes RF, Ball PR, Brougham RW, Marten GC, Minson DJ (eds) Forage legumes for energy-efficient animal production. Proceedings of a trilateral workshop, Palmerston North, New Zealand, April 30–May 4, 1984. USDA-ARS, St. Paul, MN, pp 308–315
- Coombe DE (1961) *Trifolium occidentale*, a new species related to *T. repens* L. Watsonia 5:68–87
- Coombe DE (1968) *Trifolium* L. In: Tutin TG et al. (eds) Flora Europaea, vol 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 157–172
- Corkill L (1942) Cyanogenesis in white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) V. The inheritance of cyanogenesis. NZ J Sci Technol B 23:178–193
- Craig AD, Ballard RA (2000) Balansa clover a forage legume for temperate pastures. In: Legumes for Mediterranean forage crops, pastures and alternative uses. Proceedings of the 10th meeting of the Mediterranean sub-network of the FAO-CIHEAM inter-regional cooperative research and development network on pastures and fodder crops, Sassari, Italy, 4–9 April 2000; Cahiers Options Mediterraneennes (France) 45:177–180
- Crampton B (1985) Native range clovers. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology, vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 579–590
- Crush JR, Caradus JR (1995) Cyanogenesis potential and iodine concentration in white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) cultivars. NZ J Agric Res 38:309–316
- Dabkeviciene G, Paplauskiene V, Paseakinskiene I (2008) Assessment of the agronomic utility of interspecific hybrids *Trifolium pratense* L. \times *T. diffusum* Ehrh. and confirmation of their hybridity with ISSR markers. J Food Agric Environ 6:132–135
- Daday H (1954) Gene frequencies in wild populations of *Trifolium repens*. I. Distribution by latitude. Heredity 8:61–78
- Davies WE, Young NR (1966) Self-fertility in *Trifolium fragiferum*. Heredity 21:615–624
- Dear BS, Zorin M (1985) Persistence and productivity of *Trifolium ambiguum* M. Bieb. (Caucasian clover) in a high

altitude region of south-eastern Australia. Aust J Exp Agric 25:124–132

- Dear BS, Sandral BJ, Peoples MB, Wilson BCD, Taylor JN, Rodham CA (2003) Growth, seed set and nitrogen fixation of 28 annual legume species on 3 Vertisol soils in southern New South Wales. Aust J Exp Agric 43:1101–1115
- Dymock JJ, Hunt VA (1989) Laboratory studies of *Trifolium* uniflorum root consumption by grass grub (*Costelytra zeal*andica). Proceedings of NZ weed and pest control conference, 42. pp 86–87
- Dymock JJ, van den Bosch J, Caradus JR, Lane GA (1989) Growth and survival of grass grub, *Costelytra zealandica*, (White) (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) on *Trifolium* species and *T. repens* × *T. uniflorum* hybrids. NZ J Agric Res 32:389–394
- Ellison NW, Liston A, Steiner JJ, Williams WM, Taylor NL (2006) Molecular phylogenetics of the clover genus (*Trifo-lium* – Leguminosae). Mol Phylogenet Evol 39:688–705
- Ertekin AS, Akbayin H (2000) A new variety of *Trifolium nigres*cens Viv. (Fabaceae) from Turkey. Isr J Plant Sci 48:71–73
- Evans AM (1962a) Species hybridization in *Trifolium*. I. Methods of overcoming species incompatibility. Euphytica 11:164–176
- Evans AM (1962b) Species hybrization in *Trifolium*. II. Investigating the pre-fertilization barriers to compatibility. Euphytica 11:256–262
- Ferguson NH, Rupert EA, Evans PT (1990) Interspecific *Trifo-lium* hybrids produced by embryo and ovule culture. Crop Sci 30:1145–1149
- Forde MB, Duke JA, Gibson P, Reed CF, Smith RR (1981) *Trifolium fragiferum* L. (strawberry clover). In: Duke JA (ed) Handbook of legumes of world economic importance. Plenum, New York, pp 238–241
- Ghamkhar K, Snowball R, Bennett SJ (2007) Ecogeographical studies identify diversity and potential gaps in the largest germplasm collection of bladder clover (*Trifolium spumo*sum L.). Aust J Agric Res 58:728–738
- Ghamkhar K, Snowball R, Wintle BJ, Brown AHD (2008) Strategies for developing a core collection of bladder clover (*Trifolium spumosum* L.) using ecological and agro-morphological data. Aust J Agric Res 59:1103–1112
- Gibson PB, Chen C-C (1971) Reproduction and cytology of *Trifolium uniflorum*. Crop Sci 11:69–70
- Gibson PB, Chen C-C (1973) Success in hybridizing and selfing *Trifolium repens* at different temperatures. Crop Sci 13:728–730
- Gibson PB, Chen C-C (1975) Registration of SC-2 and SC-3 clover germplasms. Crop Sci 15:605–606
- Gibson PB, Chen C-C, Gillingham JT, Barnett OW (1971) Interspecific hybridization of *Trifolium uniflorum* L. Crop Sci 11:895–899
- Gibson PB, Barnett OW, Gillingham JT (1972) Cyanoglucoside and hydrolyzing enzyme in species related to *Trifolium* repens. Crop Sci 12:708–709
- Gillett JB (1952) The genus *Trifolium* in southern Arabia and in Africa south of the Sahara. Kew Bull 7:367–404
- Gillett JM (1985) Taxonomy and morphology. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology, vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 7–47
- Gillett JM, Smith RR (1985) Germplasm exploration and preservation. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology,

vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 446–456

- Greuter W (1972) The relict element of the flora of Crete and its evolutionary significance. In: Valentine DH (ed) Taxonomy, phytogeography and evolution. Academic, London, pp 161–177
- Hand ML, Ponting RC, Drayton MC, Lawless KA, Cogan NO, Brummer EC, Sawbridge TI, Spangenberg GC, Smith KF, Forster JW (2008) Identification of homologous, homoeologous and paralogous sequence variants in an outbreeding allopolyploid species based on comparison with progenitor taxa. Mol Genet Genomics 280:293–304
- Hill MJ (1989) Growth of *Trifolium repens* L. and *T. semipilo-sum* Fres. var. *glabrescens* Gillet at different temperatures in controlled environments and in the field. Grass Forage Sci 44:125–137
- Hill MJ, Mulcahy C (1995) Seedling vigour and rhizome development in *Trifolium ambiguum* M. Bieb. (Caucasian clover) as affected by density of companion grasses, fertility, drought and defoliation in the first year. Aust J Agric Res 46:807–819
- Hilligardt M (1993a) Durchsetzungs- und reproduktionsstrategien bei *Trifolium pallescens* Schreb. und *Trifolium thalii* Vill. I: Untersuchungen zur wuchsformmorphologie und vergesellschaftung. Flora 188:93–116
- Hilligardt M (1993b) Durchsetzungs- und reproduktionsstrategien bei *Trifolium pallescens* Schreb. und *Trifolium thalii* Vill. II. Untersuchungen zur populationsbiologie. Flora 188:175–195
- Hossain M (1961) A revision of *Trifolium* in the nearer east. Notes Roy Bot Gard Edinburgh 23:387–481
- Hovin AW (1962) Species compatibility in subsection *Eua-moria* of *Trifolium*. Crop Sci 2:527–530
- Howieson JG, Yates RJ, O'Hara GW, Ryder M, Real D (2005) The interactions of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii* in nodulation of annual and perennial *Trifolium* spp. from diverse centres of origin. Aust J Exp Agric 45:199–207
- Hussain SW, Williams WM (1997) Development of a fertile genetic bridge between *Trifolium ambiguum* M. Bieb and *T. repens* L. Theor Appl Genet 95:678–690
- Hussain SW, Williams WM, Mercer CF, White DWR (1997a) Transfer of clover cyst nematode resistance from *Trifolium* nigrescens Viv. to *T. repens* L. by interspecific hybridisation. Theor Appl Genet 95:274–1281
- Hussain SW, Williams WM, Woodfield DR, Hampton JG (1997b) Development of a ploidy series from a single interspecific *Trifolium repens* L. × *T.nigrescens* Viv. F1 hybrid. Theor Appl Genet 94:821–831
- Isobe S, Sawai A, Yamaguchi H, Gau M, Uchiyama K (2002) Breeding potential of the backcross progenies of a hybrid between *Trifolium medium* \times *T. pratense* to *T. pratense*. Can J Plant Sci 82:395–399
- Ison RL, Parson AEB (1992) Comparative growth and development of Kenya clover (*Trifolium semipilosum*) and white clover (*T. repens* cv. Haifa): II. Temperature and daylength effects on flowering. Trop Grassl 26:51–57
- Ison RL, Parson AEB, Jacobs BC (1992) Comparative growth and development of Kenya clover (*Trifolium semipilosum*) and white clover (*T. repens* cv. Haifa): I. Seedling and plant growth. Trop Grassl 26:40–50

- Jones WT, Lyttleton JW (1971) Bloat in cattle. XXXIV. A survey of legume forages that do and do not produce bloat. NZ J Agric Res 14:101–107
- Kakes P, Chardonnens AN (2000) Cyanotypic frequencies in adjacent and mixed populations of *Trifolium occidentale* Coombe and *Trifolium repens* L. are regulated by different mechanisms. Biochem Syst Ecol 28:633–649
- Kakes P, Hakvoort HWJ (1994) On the origin of the cyanogenic polymorphism in *Trifolium repens*. J Evol Biol 7:201–215
- Kannenberg LW, Elliott FC (1962) Ploidy in *Trifolium ambiguum* M. Bieb. in relation to some morphological and physiological characters. Crop Sci 2:378–381
- Katznelson J (1967) Interspecific hybridization in *Trifolium*. Crop Sci 7:307–310
- Katznelson J, Morley FHW (1965) Speciation processes in Trifolium subterraneum L. Isr J Bot 14:15–35
- Kazimierski T, Kazimierska EM, Strzyzewska C (1972) Species crossing in the genus *Trifolium* L. Genet Pol 13:11–32
- Keim WF (1953) Interspecific hybridization in *Trifolium* utilizing embryo culture techniques. Agron J 45:601–606
- Knight WE (1985) Miscellaneous annual clovers. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology, vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 547–562
- Kumar B, Malaviya DR, Roy AK, Kaushal P (2003) Protein profile and species relationship in *Trifolium*. Indian J Genet 63:41–44
- Loi A, Nutt BJ, McRobb R, Carr SJ (2003) Trifolium spumosum L., an exciting prospective legume for fine textured soils in Mediterranean farming systems. In: "Solutions for a better environment". Proceedings of the 11th Australian agronomy conference, Australian Society of Agronomy, Geelong, Victoria, 2–6 Feb 2003. Published on CDROM ISBN 0-9750313-0-9. http://www.regional.org.au/au/asa. Accessed 15 April 2010
- Love RM (1985) Rose clover. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology, vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 536–546
- Malaviya DR, Kumar B, Roy AK, Kaushal P, Tiwari A (2004a) Estimation of variability for isozymes of five enzyme systems among wild and cultivated species of *Trifolium*. Genet Resour Crop Evol 52:967–976
- Malaviya DR, Roy AK, Kaushal P, Kumar B, Tiwari A (2004b) Development and characterization of interspecific hybrids of *Trifolium alexandrinum* × *T. apertum* using embryo rescue. Plant Breed 123:536–542
- Marshall AH, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Aluka P, Meredith M (1995) Reproductive characters of interspecific hybrids between *Trifolium repens* L. and *T. nigrescens* Viv. Heredity 74:136–145
- Marshall AH, Holdbrook-Smith K, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Abberton MT, Rhodes I (1998) Growth and reproductive characters in backcross hybrids derived from *Trifolium repens* L. × *T. nigrescens* Viv. interspecific crosses. Euphytica 104:61–66
- Marshall AH, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Abberton MT, Rhodes I, Williams TA (1999) Improving the seed production potential of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) by interspecific hybridisation. In: Falcinelli M, Rosellini D (eds) Herbage seed as a key factor for improving production and environmental quality. International herbage seed conference, Perugia, Italy, May 1999. IHSG, Italy, pp 37–41

- Marshall AH, Rascle C, Abberton MT, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Rhodes I (2001) Introgression as a route to improved drought tolerance in white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.). J Agron Crop Sci 187:11–18
- Marshall AH, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Abberton MT, Williams TA, Powell HG (2002a) Variation for reproductive and agronomic traits among *T. repens* \times *T. nigrescens* third generation backcross hybrids in the field. Euphytica 126:195–201
- Marshall AH, Williams TA, Powell HG, Abberton MT, Michaelson-Yeates TPT (2002b) Forage yield and persistency of *T. repens* \times *T. nigrescens* hybrids when grown with a grass companion. Grass Forage Sci 57:232–238
- Marshall AH, Williams TA, Abberton MT, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Powell HG (2003a) Dry matter production of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.), Caucasian clover (*T. ambiguum* M. Bieb.) and their associated hybrids when grown with a grass companion over 3 harvest years. Grass Forage Sci 58:63–69
- Marshall AH, Abberton MT, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Bowen C (2003b) The application of molecular markers to monitor introgression of seed yield traits from *Trifolium nigrescens* into *T. repens* (white clover). In: Loch D (ed) Fifth international seed conference, Gatton, Australia, 23–26 Nov 2003. IHSG, Australia, pp 79–83
- Marshall AH, Abberton MT, Williams TA, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Powell HG (2003c) Forage quality of *Trifolium repens* L. × *T. nigrescens* Viv. hybrids. Grass Forage Sci 58:295–301
- Marshall AH, Williams TA, Abberton MT, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Olyott P, Powell HG (2004) Forage quality of white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) × Caucasian clover (*T. ambiguum* M. Bieb.) hybrids when grown with a grass companion over three harvest years. Grass Forage Sci 59:91–99
- Marshall AH, Williams TA, Olyottt P, Abberton MT, Michaelson-Yeates TPT (2005) Forage yield and persistency of *Trifolium repens* × *Trifolium nigrescens* hybrids under rotational sheep grazing. Grass Forage Sci 60:68–73
- Marshall AH, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Abberton MT (2008) Introgression of reproductive traits from *Trifolium nigrescens* increases the seed yield of white clover (*T. repens*). Plant Breed 127:597–601
- McGuire WS (1985) Subterranean clover. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology, vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 515–534
- Meredith MR, Michaelson-Yeates TPT, Ougham H, Thomas H (1995) *Trifolium ambiguum* as a source of variation in the breeding of white clover. Euphytica 82:185–191
- Merker A (1982) Hybrids between Trifolium medium and Trifolium pratense. Hereditas 101:267–268
- Miller JD, Wells HD (1985) Arrowleaf clover. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology, vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 503–514
- Morley FHW, Brock RD, Davern CI (1956) Subspeciation in *Trifolium subterraneum*. Aust J Biol Sci 9:1–17
- Nichols PGH, Loi A, Nutt BJ, Evans PM, Craig AD, Pengelly BC, Dear BS, Lloyd DL, Revell CK, Nair RM, Ewing MA, Howieson JG, Auricht GA, Howie JH, Sandral GA, Carr SJ, de Koning CT, Hackney BF, Crocker GJ, Snowball R, Hughes SJ, Hall EJ, Foster KJ, Skinner PW, Barbetti MJ, You MP (2007) New annual and short-lived pasture legumes

for Australian agriculture – 15 years of revolution. Field Crops Res 104:10–23

- Norman HC, Cocks PS, Smith FP, Nutt BJ (1998) Reproductive strategies in Mediterranean annual clovers: germination and hardseededness. Aust J Agric Res 49:973–982
- Norman HC, Masters DG, Rintou AJ, Wilmot MG, Jayasena V, Loi A, Revell CK (2005) The relative feeding value of a new pasture legume, eastern star clover (*Trifolium dasyurum*), compared with subterranean clover (*Trifolium subterraneum*). Aust J Agric Res 56:637–644
- Nutt BJ, Loi A (2002) Prima gland clover. Farm note no 4/2002. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia, Perth, Australia
- Pandey KK (1957) A self-compatible hybrid from a cross between two self-incompatible species in *Trifolium*. J Hered 48:278–281
- Pandey KK, Petterson GB (1978) Fertile interspecific hybrids between *Trifolium repens* and *T. uniflorum*: prospects for grasslands white clover improvement. Aust Plant Breed Genet Newsl 28:114–116
- Pandey KK, Grant JE, Williams EG (1987) Interspecific hybridisation between *Trifolium repens* and *T. uniflorum*. Aust J Bot 35:171–182
- Pederson GA, Windham GL (1989) Resistance to *Meloidogyne* incognita in *Trifolium* interspecific hybrids and species related to white clover. Plant Dis 73:567–569
- Phillips GC, Collins GB, Taylor NL (1982) Interspecific hybridization of red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) with *T. sarosiense* Hazl. using in vitro embryo rescue. Theor Appl Genet 62:17–24
- Phillips GC, Grosser JW, Berger S, Taylor NL, Collins GB (1992) Interspecific hybridization between red clover and *Trifolium alpestre* using in vitro embryo rescue. Crop Sci 32:1113–1115
- Pritchard AJ (1962) Number and morphology of chromosomes in African species in the genus *Trifolium* L. Aust J Agric Res 13:1023–1029
- Pritchard AJ, t'Mannetje L (1967) The breeding systems and some interspecific relations of a number of African *Trifolium* spp. Euphytica 16:324–329
- Pryor HN, Lowther WL (2002) Symbiotic relationship between *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar trifolii and *Trifolium nigrescens*. NZ J Agric Res 45:145–149
- Przywara L, White DWR, Sanders PM, Maher D (1989) Interspecific hybridisation of *Trifolium repens* with *T. hybridum* using *in ovulo* embryo and embryo culture. Ann Bot 64:613–624
- Quesenberry KH (1975) Interspecific hybridization of perennial *Trifolium* species related to red clover. PhD Dissertation, University of Kentucky, Lexington, USA. Dissertation Abstract 36/09-B. DCJ76-06143, p 4256
- Quesenberry KH, Taylor NL (1976) Interspecific hybridization in *Trifolium* L. Sect. *Trifolium* Zoh. I. Diploid hybrids among *T. alpestre* L., *T. rubens* L., *T. heldreichianum* Hausskn. and *T. noricum* Wulf. Crop Sci 16:382–386
- Quesenberry KH, Taylor NL (1977) Interspecific hybridization in *Trifolium* L. Sect. *Trifolium* Zoh. II. Fertile polyploidy hybrids between *T. medium* L. and *T. sarosiense* Hazsl. Crop Sci 17:141–145
- Quesenberry KH, Taylor NL (1978) Interspecific hybridization in *Trifolium L. Sect. Trifolium Zoh. III. Partially fertile*

hybrids of *T. sarosiense* Hazsl. \times 4x *T. alpestre* L. Crop Sci 18:551–556

- Raffl C, Holderegger R, Parson W, Erschbamer B (2008) Patterns in genetic diversity of *Trifolium pallescens* populations do not reflect chronosequence on alpine glacier forelands. Heredity 100:526–532
- Real D, Rizza MD, Reyno R, Quesenberry KH (2007) Breeding system of the aerial flowers in an amphicarpic clover species: *Trifolium polymorphum*. Crop Sci 47: 1401–1406
- Reed KFM, Schroder PM, Eales JW, McDonald RM, Chin JF (1985) Comparative productivity of *Trifolium subterraneum* and *T. yanninicum* in south-western Victoria. Aust J Exp Agric 25:351–361
- Repkova J, Jungmannova B, Jakesova H (2006) Identification of barriers to interspecific crosses in the genus *Trifolium*. Euphytica 151:39–48
- Rogers ME, Noble CL (1991) The effect of NaCl on the establishment and growth of balansa clover (*Trifolium* michelianum Savi Var. balansae Boiss.). Aust J Agric Res 42:847–857
- Rogers ME, West DW (1993) The effects of rootzone salinity and hypoxia on shoot and root growth in *Trifolium* species. Ann Bot 72:503–509
- Rumball W, Claydon RB (2005) Germplasm release 'G41' zigzag clover (*Trifolium medium* L.). NZ J Agric Res 48:129–130
- Sawai A, Ueda S, Gau M, Uchiyama K (1990) Interspecific hybrids of *Trifolium medium* L. × 4x *T. pratense* L. obtained through embryo culture. J Jpn Grassl Soc 35:267–272
- Sawai A, Yamaguchi H, Uchiyama K (1995) Fertility and morphology of the chromosome-doubled hybrid *T. medium* × *T. pratense* (red clover) and backcross progeny. J Jpn Grassl Soc 41:122–127
- Schwer JF, Cleveland RW (1972) Diploid interspecific hybrids of *Trifolium pratense* L., *T. diffusum* Ehrh., and some related species. Crop Sci 12:321–324
- Sutherland ORW (1979) Invertebrate-plant relationships and breeding pest-resistant plants. Second Australasian conference on grassland invertebrate ecology, pp 84–88
- Taylor NL, Quesenberry KH (1978) Registration of *Trifolium* medium $\times T$. sarosiense hybrid germplasm. Crop Sci 18:1102
- Tesfaye M, Holl FB (1998) Rhizobium strains that nodulate *Trifolium semipilosum* Fres. are phylogenetically distinct. Plant Soil 207:147–154
- Tesfaye M, Williams WM (2008) Clovers. In: Kole C, Hall TC (eds) A compendium of transgenic crop plants, vol 3, Transgenic legume grains and forages. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp 211–224
- Thulin M (2008) New varieties of *Trifolium semipilosum* in Ethiopia. Nord J Bot 2:51–52
- Townsend CE (1985) Miscellaneous perennial clovers. In: Taylor NL (ed) Clover science and technology, vol 25, Agronomy series. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madison, WI, pp 563–578
- Vierhapper F (1919) Beitrage zur Kenntnis der Flora Griechenlands. Verh Zool Bot Ges Wien 69:102–220
- Vizintin L, Javornik B, Bohanec B (2006) Genetic characterization of selected *Trifolium* species as revealed by nuclear

DNA content and ITS rDNA region analysis. Plant Sci 170:859-866

- White D, Williams E (1976) Early seed development after crossing of *Trifolium semipilosum* and *T. repens.* NZ J Bot 14:161–168
- Widdup KH, Hussain SW, Williams WM, Lowther WL, Pryor HN, Sutherland BL (2003) The development and plant characteristics of interspecific hybrids between white and caucasian clover. In: Legumes for dryland pastures. Proceedings of the New Zealand grassland association symposium, Lincoln University 18–19 Nov 2003. Grassl Res Practice Ser 11: 143–148
- Williams WM (1987) Adaptive variation. In: Baker MJ, Williams WM (eds) White clover. CABI, Wallingford, pp 299–321
- Williams WM, Hussain SW (2008) Development of a breeding strategy for interspecific hybrids between Caucasian clover and white clover. NZ J Agric Res 51:115–126
- Williams EG, Verry IM (1981) A partially fertile hybrid between *Trifolium repens* and *T. ambiguum*. NZ J Bot 19:1–7
- Williams WM, Williamson ML (2001) Genetic polymorphism for cyanogenesis and linkage at the linamarase locus in *Trifolium nigrescens* Viv. subsp. *nigrescens*. Theor Appl Genet 103:1211–1215
- Williams WM, Mason KM, Williamson ML (1998) Genetic analysis of shikimate dehydrogenase allozymes in *Trifolium* repens L. Theor Appl Genet 96:859–868
- Williams WM, Ansari HA, Ellison NW, Hussain SW (2001) Evidence of three subspecies in *Trifolium nigrescens* Viv. Ann Bot 87:683–691
- Williams WM, Verry IM, Ellison NE (2006a) A phylogenetic approach to germplasm use in clover breeding. In: Mercer CF (ed) Breeding for success: diversity in action. Proceedings of the 13th Australasian plant breeding conference, Christchurch, New Zealand, 18–21 April 2006, pp 966–971
- Williams WM, Verry IM, Ansari HA, Hussain SW (2006b) First backcrosses of caucasian × white clover hybrids to

caucasian clover. In: Mercer CF (ed) Breeding for success: diversity in action. Proceedings of the 13th Australasian plant breeding conference, Christchurch, New Zealand, 18–21 April 2006, pp 972–976

- Williams WM, Verry IM, Widdup, KH (2006c) Breeding clover interspecific hybrids: reciprocal cross effects. In: Mercer CF (ed) Breeding for success: diversity in action. Proceedings of the 13th Australasian plant breeding conference, Christchurch, New Zealand, 18-21 April 2006, pp 977–983
- Williams WM, Easton HS, Jones CS (2007) Future options and targets for pasture plant breeding in New Zealand. NZ J Agric Res 50:223–248
- Williams WM, Ansari HA, Hussain SW, Ellison NW, Williamson ML, Verry IM (2008) Hybridization and introgression between two diploid wild relatives of white clover, *Trifolium nigrescens* Viv. and *T. occidentale* Coombe. Crop Sci 48:139–148
- Williams WM, Griffiths AG, Hay MJM, Richardson KA, Ellison NW, Rasmussen S, Jones C, Verry IM, Collette V, Hussain SW, et al. (2009) Development of *Trifolium occidentale* as a plant model system for perennial clover species. In: Yamada T, Spangenberg G (ed) Molecular breeding of forage and turf. Proceedings of the 5th international symposium on the molecular breeding of forage and turf, 1–7 July 2007, Sapporo, Japan, pp 45–53
- Yamada T, Fukuoka H, Higuchi S (1989) Interspecific hybridization of tetraploid kura clover (M. Bieb.) and white clover (*T. repens* L.) using ovule culture. J Jpn Soc Grassl Sci 35:180–185
- Yates R, di Mattia E, O'Hara G, Real D, Howieson J (2003) The role of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii* in extending (or restricting) the adaptation of annual and perennial *Trifolium* species in natural and managed ecosystems. In: Bennett SJ (ed) New perennial legumes for sustainable agriculture. UWA, Perth, Australia, pp 116–130
- Zohary M, Heller D (1984) The genus *Trifolium*. Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, Jerusalem, Israel

Chapter 14 *Vicia*

John A. Bryant and Stephen G. Hughes

14.1 Introduction

14.1.1 Taxonomy and Geography

Vicia is an important genus within the family Leguminosae (also known as Papilionaceae or Fabaceae; Figs. 14.1 and 14.2). The family is very species-rich, containing over 9% of all known eudicot species (Doyle and Luckow 2003). Vicia is the type genus of the "vicioid" clade (Hanelt and Mettin 1989), which in turn is part of a higher level taxon (the IRLC) of legumes that lack the inverted repeat sequence in chloroplast DNA (Wojciechowski et al. 2004; Cronk et al. 2006). Within the vicioids, molecular phylogenetic analysis suggests a relatively recent divergence from the Lathyrus and Pisum lineage (Wojciechowski et al. 2000, 2004; Kenicer 2005). The genus is taxonomically complex and different types of phylogenetic analysis may give different patterns of interspecific relationship (see e.g., Potokina et al. 1999; van de Wouw et al. 2003a, b). There is also a good deal of genetic diversity within species (see e.g., Galasso et al. 1997; Huh and Huh 2001; Shiran and Raina 2001; van de Wouw et al. 2003a), which is obviously relevant to the possible utilization of wild Vicia species in agriculture.

There are about 140–150 *Vicia* species¹ (Kupicha 1976) although some authorities suggest that the total

may be around 200 (see Hanelt and Mettin 1989). Several new species have been described in the last two decades (Erik and Demirkus 1998; Maxted et al. 1991) and it is likely that more remain to be discovered in the more inaccessible regions of the genus's range. Some taxa are hard to define and several species exist as multiple subspecies or races (van de Wouw et al. 2001; USDA-GRIN) while others are regarded as aggregates or complexes (Bennett and Maxted 1997; van de Wouw et al. 2001, 2003b). Thus, in V. sativa, different lineages have different chromosome numbers (see Sect. 14.1.3), while the genetic differences between two particular biotypes of V. benghalensis are large enough to prevent cross-breeding between the two (Galasso et al. 1997). The existence of many welldefined races or biotypes, which may or may not be defined as separate species, can be taken as an indication of rapid evolution. Specific evidence for this comes from studies of restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) in the Vicia sativa complex (Shiran and Raina 2001).

Vicia is a mainly Euro-Asiatic genus with a major center of diversity in the eastern Mediterranean or western Asiatic region. There are also 27 New World species known, giving minor centers of diversity in North and South America (reviewed by Endo et al. 2008). Morphological and molecular phylogenetic analyses nest the New World Vicia well within the Old World species indicating a relatively recent divergence of the two groups (Endo et al. 2008). It is likely that the genus's genetic diversity in the New World arose from three separate invasions via the Tertiary land bridge that linked the American continent with Eurasia (Tiffney 1985; Doyle and Luckow 2003). Certainly today, molecular phylogeny, based on sequences of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) in the genes encoding ribosomal RNA, identifies three

¹Readers should be aware that some Web sites present very inaccurate estimates of *Vicia* species numbers, including one that gave a total of nearly 900

J. Bryant (🖂)

School of Biosciences, Hatherly Laboratories, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4PS, UK e-mail: J.A.Bryant@exeter.ac.uk



Fig. 14.1 Position of the Leguminosae/Fabaceae amongst the angiosperms (Doyle and Luckow 2003; Soltis et al. 2000)

distinct groups of New World *Vicia* (Endo et al. 2008; Fig. 14.3).

14.1.2 General Features

The genus consists mainly of a mix of annual and perennial species (with only a few biennials); most are climbing or scrambling herbaceous plants with tendrils. However, the main domesticated species, *V. faba* does not exhibit the climbing habit and lacks tendrils. All members of the genus possess the characteristic "papilionaceous" (i.e. "butterfly-shaped") flowers like those on the pea plant (Fig. 14.4). The flowers are borne in racemes each of which may contain between 1 and 40 individual flowers, according to the species. In many species, the flowers are brightly colored and strikingly beautiful. The flowers produce nectar and are mostly pollinated by bees of various species. Seeds are borne in two-valved dehiscent pods with several seeds in each pod.

14.1.3 Genomes

The majority of *Vicia* species have a diploid chromosome number of 14, but there are species in which 2n = 12 and 2n = 10. Many of the species with 2n = 10 and 2n = 12 are in the Hypechusa section of the genus (Caputo et al. 2006). Tetraploid species are known (e.g., *V. cracca*, 2n = 28) as are species with variable karyotypes. Thus, within the *V. sativa* aggregate, there are lines with 10, 12 and 14 chromosomes while in *V. amphicarpa*, strains with 2n = 10 and 2n = 14 have been identified (Raina et al. 2001). Haploid genome sizes vary between ca 1,175 Mbp and ca 13,255 Mbp (Bennett and Smith 1976; Bennett and Leitch 1997; Bennett et al. 2000; Caputo et al. 2006). There is no apparent correlation with chromosome numbers but there is some correlation with life-style: perennial species tend to have larger genomes than annual species (Bennett and Smith 1976; Bennett and Leitch 1997; Bennett et al. 2000).

Variations in genome sizes arise almost entirely from variations in repetitive DNA sequences, including genes encoding rRNA, "satellite" DNA, simplesequence repeats and retrotransposons (Bryant 1976; Pearce et al. 1996; Hill et al. 2005; Neumann et al. 2006). The latter comprise between 20 and 45% of the genomes in different species. Several classes of retrotransposons have been identified, including LINEs (which form a very complex and heterogeneous group of sequences), Ty1-copia and Ty3-gypsy elements, including the giant (22-25 kbp) retroelement Ogre. Comparisons between V. faba, V. melanops and V. sativa show that the Ty3-gypsy elements are very abundant and comprise18-35% of the genomes of these three species. Ty1-copia group elements are less numerous, while LINE elements are the least abundant retrotransposons. At the other end of the range, the Ogre element exhibits hugely variable copy numbers between species (Neumann et al. 2006). For example, in V. pannonica (1C = 6,615 Mbp), there are 1×10^5 copies, comprising 38% of the genome while in V. faba (1C = 13,255 Mbp), there are between 100 and 500 copies, comprising on average 0.1% of the genome.

14.1.4 Agricultural Status

The utilization in agriculture of *Vicia* species has been limited by the widespread occurrence of toxins (antinutritional factors) in this genus. These toxins include lectins, nonprotein amino acids, protease inhibitors, cyanogenic compounds and condensed tannins (see Sect. 14.6). The selective advantage of producing these compounds is clear: they protect against herbivory. Nevertheless, their presence is a problem for the nutrition of humans and other monogastric animals. Ruminants are much less affected by some of the toxins and so some *Vicia* species, which cannot





0.020 expected changes/site





Fig. 14.4 Flower and immature seed pods of *Vicia sativa*. © Colin Duke

be used to feed humans (or pigs), are acceptable for feeding cattle.

Thus, relatively few *Vicia* species have been used during the millennia of human agriculture. Today, only one of these, *V. faba* ("broad bean") (Fig. 14.5) is very widely grown for human nutrition. Based on findings in North-west Syria, its use goes back to at least the tenth millennium BP (Tanno and Willcox 2006; see also Sect. 14.3). However, despite this long history, including selection for low toxin content, this species can still cause "favism" in people with a genetic deficiency in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Other species that have in the past been domesticated, or cultivated without domestication or gathered from the wild include V. sativa (common vetch), V. ervilia (bitter vetch), V. peregrina (rambling vetch) and V. narbonensis (narbon bean). It has been suggested that some instances of human use were accidental in that seeds of wild Vicia species became mixed with those of other pulses that already had a place in human agriculture (Erskine et al. 1994) or those plants were harvested with grasses when animal feed was gathered (Melamed et al. 2008). Whatever the means of incorporation into human or animal food, the presence of toxins was a problem; it is likely that in both human and animal nutrition, Vicia seeds were "diluted" with less poisonous pulses and with cereals. Cooking also removes some of the Vicia toxins and there is evidence from one Neolithic site that seeds of V. peregrina were cooked (Melamed et al. 2008).

Another species that has been cultivated is *V. articulata*, the single-flowered vetch. Laghetti et al.



Fig. 14.5 Flowers of *Vicia faba*. Photograph by Ken Redshaw © University of Leeds

(2000) state that this has been used for green manure, forage and even human food. It is still grown (but not for human food) in southern Europe (mainly Spain), western Asia and to a much lesser extent in Australia. In Italy, the country in which these authors have a particular interest, records suggest that it was grown until the 1950s but that its use was then discontinued.

Over the long period of human agriculture, *Vicia* species other than *V. faba* and *V. narbonensis* were mostly discarded from human diets, except *in extremis*, and were "demoted" to be used only in animal food (but see Sect. 14.3). Nevertheless, it is widely held that the genus *Vicia* has great potential for human and animal nutrition and as green manure (Enneking and Tate 2007).

14.2 Conservation

A search of the 2009 IUCN Red List does not bring up any *Vicia* species as threatened with overall extinction (*http://www.iucnredlist.org/*). However, some species are rare (see e.g., Castiglione et al. 2007) because they are confined to particular habitats within particular regions. This type of situation raises concerns about conservation at a more local level. Thus, many Old World Vicia species have a preference for the types of soil that are widely found in the alluvial plains of the eastern Mediterranean region (Tanno and Willcox 2006). In the major center of diversity, many examples of these habitats have become degraded by human activity. In 1995, seven wild Vicia species were threatened with local extinction in this region, while a more recent survey in Syria suggested that several species are under threat (Keiša et al. 2008). For many regions local databases are available in which the locally threatened or vulnerable species are listed (e.g., for Wales, UK: http://www.watsonia.org.uk/ WBull77a5.pdf). Most of these indicate some level of threat to at least one, and often more, species. Reduction and fragmentation of populations mean that for the vulnerable species, genetic erosion is a significant threat, made the more significant by the increased interest in the use of several Vicia species as forage crops (see next section).

Conservation is important in the general context of maintaining biodiversity and of preserving genetic resources for new breeding programs. Many Vicia species are conserved as germplasm. The major germplasm resource for Vicia species with actual or potential economic importance is at ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria (http://www.icarda.org). Other important collections are at the Vavilov Institute of Plant Industry, St. Petersburg (http://www.vir.nw.ru), the Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung, Gatersleben (http://www.ipk-gatersleben.de) and the Millennium Seed Bank at Wakehurst Place, UK (part of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew: *http://www.kew.org/ msbp*). There are smaller collections at other centres, e.g. at the Institute for Agrobotany, Tápiószele, Hungary there is a collection of European wild species which are related to past and present crop species (http://www.rcat.hu; Holly et al. 2005).

Maxted, the leading expert on this genus, along with his colleagues, emphasizes the importance of understanding in depth the ecogeography of individual species (e.g., Bennett et al. 1998; Maxted 1999). Conservation in situ may then be used as a complementary technique for germplasm preservation in an overall conservation strategy (e.g., Maxted et al. 1997; Maxted 2003).

14.3 Origin, Evaluation and Selection of Allied Crop Plants

In this particular genus, it is often hard to make a distinction between wild species and species used as crops. There are species which appeared in the past to be gathered from the wild; others have been cultivated but remain essentially wild species while yet others have been subject to domestication and selection. Thus, of the relatively few *Vicia* species that have been adopted as crop plants, a number of these were, and still are gathered from the wild or are cultivated without becoming domesticated. This will be become clearer as we now discuss specific examples.

Based on archeological records, *V. ervilia* (Fig. 14.6) is regarded as one of the eight "founder crops" of human agriculture. Further evidence, albeit fragmentary, suggests that this domestication occurred only once (Zohary 1999) and presumably involved selection for desirable traits, including lowered toxicity. It has also been argued that *V. faba* should be included as one of the founder species following morphological and anatomical identification at Tell el-Kerkh in Northwest Syria (Tanno and Willcox



Fig. 14.6 Vicia ervilia. © D. Hassler. University of Karlsruhe

2006). As briefly mentioned in Sect. 14.1.4, this places the domestication of V. *faba* in the late tenth millenium BP, contemporary with the other founder species. Many different cultivars or races of V. *faba* are now in use in different regions of the world and several centers of agricultural selection have been identified.

The immediate progenitors of *V*. *faba* remain unknown. No closely related wild relative of *V*. *faba* has ever been identified and it does not hybridize with any modern wild *Vicia* species (Maxted et al. 1991; Muehlbauer et al. 1994). Indeed, it seems likely that the wild progenitor is now extinct (Tanno and Willcox 2006).

V. sativa (common vetch) has also been domesticated (Erskine et al. 1994; van de Wouw et al. 2003b). Erskine et al. (1994) suggest that this initially happened accidentally when *V. sativa* grew as a weed in stands of *Lens culinaris* (lentil). Selection led to less hard seeds, lower levels of seed dormancy, greater yield and greater competitiveness; eventually the common vetch was grown in single-crop stands as a forage crop. There is also evidence that it was used as green manure in pre-industrial agriculture in the UK; the organic farming movement is promoting a renewal of the practice (e.g., Garden Organic 2009). Nevertheless, it is often regarded as a weed rather than as a useful plant (e.g., Aarssen et al. 1986).

Evidence for earlier selection of desirable traits in V. sativa comes from recent research in western Turkey (Celiktas et al. 2007). Comparison of wild and cultivated populations in that region showed that all the wild plants had a 2n chromosome number of 10 whereas for the cultivated population, 2n = 12. Further, the cultivated plants had bigger seeds with less hard seed coats and higher germinability than the wild plants, again giving evidence for selection during domestication. However, it is not clear whether the increase in diploid chromosome number arose as a consequence of selection of desired characters or whether the lineages with desired characters had the higher chromosome number. In Brazil, it has been suggested that a reduction in 2n chromosome number from 14 to 12 is a result of founder effect in terms of the lineages of V. sativa used as forage crops.

Another species that has undergone some degree of domestication is *V. narbonensis* (Bennett and Maxted 1997). In times past it had been regarded as the likely immediate ancestor of *V. faba* (Hopf 1973) but modern phylogenetic analyses show that this cannot be so:

the two species are quite distantly related (van de Ven et al. 1993). V. narbonensis exhibits a good deal of diversity between different populations and a recent phylogenetic analysis using retrotransposonbased sequence-specific amplification polymorphisms (SSAP) suggests that at least some of this diversity arose deep in the history of the species (Sanz et al. 2007). Today in the Middle East it often occurs in grassland or as a weed in cultivated land (Bennett and Maxted 1997). However, it is still grown as a crop for animal feed and human nutrition in Syria, northern Iraq and parts of Turkey (Bennett and Maxted 1997) but in earlier centuries was cultivated much more widely in the eastern Mediterranean region. In this regard it resembles several other Vicia species, cultivation of which has become less widespread. However, V. narbonensis is undergoing a "renaissance." Its seeds, which are cooked before they are eaten, are described as being of high quality (but see Sect. 14.4); there are several selection breeding programs underway (see Sect. 14.4).

A relatively recent worldwide survey presented in the 2001 version of the ILDIS database (ILDIS 2001) lists 21 Vicia species that are used today as forage or fodder crops. Some of these have already been mentioned as being domesticated or at least harvested in the early centuries of human agriculture, namely V. ervilia,² V. faba, V. narbonensis, V. peregrina and V. sativa. For the other 16 species, it is presumed that their use is more recent and/or localized to particular areas, depending on the species. These include V. villosa in several countries, V. pannonica in Turkey, V. ervilia and V. articulata in Spain and V. benghalensis in Australia (Enneking and Tate 2007).

The same database lists five species as finding current use in human nutrition. These obviously include V. faba and V. narbonensis but the list also mentions V. amurensis, V. hirsuta and V. unijuga. It is worthwhile to examine the use of the "extra" three species. V. amurensis is native to eastern Asia, including Japan. According to Kunkel's comprehensive guide, Plants for Human Consumption (1984), it is the young leaves that are harvested from the wild. The leaves are cooked before being eaten. V. hirsuta is a native of western Asia, North and North-east

²One interesting modern use of *V. ervilia* is its inclusion in diets of poultry in order to induce molt (Mohammadi and Sadeghi 2009)



Fig. 14.7 Vicia unijuga (Photograph from http://www.botanic.jp)

Africa and Europe; it has been introduced into the USA; in Europe it is regarded as a weed. In Ethiopia it is gathered from the wild; the shoots are used as green vegetables and the seeds are roasted or boiled. In Europe and Asia, V. hirsuta has been used as human food under famine conditions but today its possible more widespread acceptance, both in western Europe and the USA, is being promoted by the "food-fromnature" movement (see e.g., Plants for a Future 2009). However, the seeds contain trypsin inhibitors and the toxic nonprotein amino acid canavanine; they must therefore be prepared and cooked properly prior to consumption. V. unijuga (Fig. 14.7) is confined to the temperate regions of Asia. Its shoots are used as a food in parts of China, but usually only under conditions of food shortage.

14.4 Wild Species: Potential and Possibilities for Use in Agriculture

14.4.1 Introduction

It is abundantly clear that the legumes, in general, have a much greater potential for human use than is currently utilized. Even for those species that are widely grown, research and breeding programs have lagged behind those on cereal crops (Graham and Vance 2003). Further, the newer molecular technologies have had almost no impact on legume breeding (Varshney et al. 2009). The result of this relative lack of effort is that increases in yield have been far smaller than those seen in cereals and indeed, in some countries, yields of leguminous crops have actually declined (Graham and Vance 2003). This lack of attention is strange, especially in view of the nitrogen-fixing capabilities of the legume–*Rhizobium* symbiosis, giving legumes the ability to succeed on N-poor soils as well as supporting their potential as interplanted or break crops.

What is true for legumes in general is also true for the genus Vicia in particular. It is true, as has already been stated, that over 20 wild Vicia species are already in use, mainly as animal feed; other uses include animal bedding, application as green manure or in pasture (thus exploiting the N-fixing ability) and for a small number of species, human nutrition. Secondly, we have seen that some of these species, typified by V. sativa and V. narbonensis, exist both as wild forms and as a series of domesticated and selected forms. The potential to extend the use in agriculture of this genus is in some senses thus already acknowledged. Nevertheless, the research aimed at exploiting this potential has been relatively insignificant. However, over the past two decades there has been growing pressure to start taking more seriously the need for focussed research, typified by the question "When and where will vetches have an impact as grain legumes?" (Francis et al. 2000; see also Tate and Enneking 2007a). This pressure has been translated into action in the form of a number of breeding programs for specific wild species and especially for V. narbonensis and V. sativa.

14.4.2 V. narbonensis

The "narbon bean," *V. narbonensis* is regarded as having potential for growth in areas where water supply is restricted; breeding for even better drought tolerance is under way in Australia (Siddique et al. 1996; Francis et al. 2000) and at ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria (Ahmed et al. 2000; Francis et al. 2000). Individual laboratories in the USA and Europe (e.g., at Gatersleben, Germany: Muntz et al. 1998; Rolletschek et al. 2004) are focussing on other aspects of crop growth, yield and quality (see below). In an early series of trials in western Australia, the accessions

used in breeding programs exhibited slower development than *V. faba*. However, the yields finally obtained were comparable to those obtained with *V. faba* (*V. faba* is better under wet conditions; *V. narbonensis* is better under dry conditions; Siddique et al. 1996).

In addition to enhanced drought tolerance, other improvements that are being sought through breeding programs include faster development (Siddique et al. 1999), higher yield of seeds (Francis et al. 2000), higher seed protein content (Rolletschek et al. 2004), higher content of essential amino acids in seed protein (Muntz et al. 1998) and greater resistance to diseases (Ahmed et al. 2000) and to parasitism by broomrapes, *Orobanche* spp. (Sillero et al. 2005; Nadal et al. 2007).

One of the advantages of narbon bean is its high content of sulfur amino acids, an important factor when considering the use of legumes in the diet. However, this is also a disadvantage because much of the cysteine occurs in the form of γ -L-glutamyl-S-ethenyl-L-cysteine, which gives a very unpleasant off-flavor. Royo et al. (2007) suggest strategies, both chemical and genetic, for dealing with this problem and conclude that the previously "much maligned and illregarded narbon bean" now has great potential.

14.4.3 V. sativa

Of all the "wild" Vicia species that have been partially domesticated, V. sativa (common vetch) is the most widely grown in modern times; it is used as a forage and fodder crop for ruminants, as green manure and as a component of hay. As may be expected from this wider usage, there has also been more research and a larger number of breeding programs than with most other Vicia species. The existence of different karyotypes has already been mentioned although it appears at present that all the cultivated lineages fall into the 2n = 12 karyotype. A more general assessment of genetic variety within the V. sativa complex has been made by Potokina et al. (2002). They performed an amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) fingerprint analysis of 673 accessions from the Vavilov Institute of Plant Industry (St. Petersburg) seed collection and 450 accessions from the worldwide collection at the Institut fur Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung

(Gatersleben).³ Seventy clearly recognizable polymorphic fragments were generated which were all present, although to greatly varying extents, throughout the whole natural range. However, they also identified accessions which, despite very different geographical origins, had very similar amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) patterns.

As yet there have been no attempts to use these AFLP fingerprints or other molecular markers in V. sativa breeding programs but this must surely emerge (see Sect. 14.5). Current breeding programs are mostly based on traditional methods of selection and are aimed at improving seed yield (Cakmakci et al. 2003, 2006; van de Wouw et al. 2003b), yield of biomass for use in hay (Mihailovic et al. 2005), coldtolerance (van de Wouw et al. 2003b), resistance to fungal diseases (Ahmed et al. 2000), insect pests (van de Wouw et al. 2003b) and Orobanche parasites (Perezde-Luque et al. 2006). Also, and very importantly if this species is to be used in nutrition of monogastric animals (including humans), there is keen interest (see Tate and Enneking 2007b) in reducing the antinutritional factors - toxins - that are synthesized by this and indeed many other Vicia species. In common vetch, the toxins include cyano-alanine, cyanogenic glycosides and the favism-inducing compounds, vicine and convicine. However, despite the efforts of several research groups (see Tate and Enneking 2007b), no toxin-free lines of V. sativa have yet been produced (see also Sect. 14.6).

14.4.4 Other Wild or Partly Domesticated Vicia Species

Of all the other wild *Vicia* species in which there are domesticated (or partly domesticated) populations only one appears to have a breeding program devoted to it. This is *V. articulata* (Laghetti et al. 2000) and the particular concern is to produce cultivars that do not accumulate the non-protein amino acid, canavanine and which have a lower content of condensed tannins (Vioque et al. 2008). For other species, such as

³Note that these authors did not make use of the germplasm collection of economically important *Vicia* at ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria

V. ervilia and *V. villosa*, some comparison of different lines has taken place (e.g. El Moneim 1993) but this does not appear to have led as yet to any concerted breeding programs.

14.5 Breeding Strategies

14.5.1 Introduction

It will be apparent by now that the genus *Vicia* represents a large range of genetic variation, most of which has not been utilized or even investigated. The focus on *V. faba* as the main agricultural species has been partly responsible for this. Because of inability to hybridize with any other *Vicia* species, it has not been feasible until very recently to consider introgression of traits from wild species into *V. faba*. However, recent advances in genetic modification and genomics may mean that the situation is about to change. This will lead to further research on wild species both because of possible use of particular traits in the breeding of *V. faba* and because of the wider uptake of wild species in agriculture.

14.5.2 Background Information

In the selection and breeding and the identification of useful traits in wild species, Bennett (1999) has emphasized the importance of properly curated and documented ex situ collections, including germplasm banks and herbaria. Knowledge of the provenance, including soil type, altitude, climate and specific habitat of a particular accession provides extensive background information on the general genetic traits possessed by that accession. To put it simply, the breeder would be foolish to look for drought tolerance in a species or in a population that grows in an area of high rainfall. Thus, breeders interested in growing grain legumes in semi-arid regions in Australia have made collections of wild species growing under similar conditions in South-east Europe and western Asia (e.g., Siddique and Loss 1996; Berger et al. 2002). In this context, Duc et al. (2009) discuss the complications that may be imposed by

variable levels of allogamy in the maintenance and deployment of phenotypically or genotypically characterized accessions.

14.5.3 Hybridization

Hybridization followed by backcrossing has been a traditional means for introgression of traits from one accession or species into another. As already noted, wild Vicia species have not been used in this way in the breeding of V. faba because it will not hybridize with any other extant member of the genus. However, hybridizations between other Vicia species have been recorded (reviewed by Hanelt and Mettin 1989) although wide hybridizations between members of different tribes usually fail. Indeed, even some of the hybridizations within tribes lead to the production of infertile offspring. This may indicate relatively rapid sequence divergence between species, notwithstanding the general genetic synteny between different legume clades (see Sect. 14.5.4). Nevertheless, analysis of some of the tetraploid species gives evidence for interspecific hybridization followed by the formation of allotetraploids in the evolutionary history of the genus (Li et al. 2001).

A different approach may involve the use of synthetic hybrid populations which offer an alternative and potentially tractable route to achieving heterosis where F_1 hybrid seed breeding is not possible. Both spontaneous outcrossing and deliberate assembly of mixed intercrossing populations offer the possibility of enriching heterozygosity and releasing heterosis (Link 1990). It has been suggested that considerable heterotic performance benefits may be obtained for *V. faba* through this strategy (Link et al. 1994).

14.5.4 Transgenic Technology

There was some success in the late 1980s and early 1990s in transforming *V. faba* using *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* and *A. tumefaciens* (e.g., Ramsay and Kumar 1990). However, it was not until the beginning of the twenty-first century that it became possible routinely to regenerate transgenic plants of this species (Bottinger et al. 2001). This opens the door for further

development of the crop (see Eapen 2008), such as the introgression of desirable traits from any other organism, including of course the wealth of wild *Vicia* species.

Of the partially domesticated species, genetic transformation has been reported only for *V. narbonensis* and *V. hirsuta*. With the former there has been some success in improving protein quality, mainly by the insertion of a gene encoding a protein from Brazil nut (Pickardt et al. 1995; Muntz et al. 1998). However, in view of the fears expressed about the potential allergenicity of this protein it seems doubtful whether these transgenic narbon beans will be used in human nutrition. An alternative approach has been taken by Rolletschek et al. (2004, 2005, 2007), who have used ectopic gene expression to modify carbon and amino acid metabolism in *V. narbonensis* and have thereby achieved an increase in seed protein content.

For the *V*. *hirsuta*, the main application has been the production of "hairy roots" after transformation with *A*. *rhizogenes* to provide material for study of nodulation by symbiotic rhizobia (Quandt et al. 1993).

14.5.5 Genomics, Map-Based Cloning and Marker-Assisted Breeding

Two legumes, namely Medicago trunculata and Lotus japonica, have been selected as model species for genome sequencing. Annotation of the sequenced genomes is aided by transcriptomics which helps to confirm the identity of genes originally sequenced as expressed sequence tags (ESTs). The establishment of genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic databases (e.g., Cannon et al. 2005; Benedito et al. 2008) also facilitates annotation and thus the identification of "new" genes continues, even after the main sequencing program is "complete" (see, for example, the discussion of plant DNA-polymerase-β: Bryant 2009). However, from the point of view of this chapter, the most important application of the Medicago and Lotus sequencing projects, together with establishment of the associated databases, is the ability to apply the information to other legumes. One of the reasons for this is the synteny, i.e. the similar way in which genes are ordered along the chromosomes, in different

legume species. The comparative mapping across the whole chromosome set has only been performed so far for eight species, amongst which are of course *Medi*cago and Lotus but also including several crop species (Choi et al. 2004). No Vicia species has yet been included in the overall analysis but *Pisum sativum*, another member of the tribe Viceae, is one of the species analyzed. Although inevitably there have been gene rearrangements over millions of years of evolution, the degree of macro synteny between species is remarkable, as are some of the examples of detailed micro-synteny (Choi et al. 2004; see also Cronk et al. 2006). All this provides cause for optimism that Vicia species will show similar gene arrangements. This optimism is strengthened by the finding that genetic maps of V. faba and L. culinaris indicate conservation of genome structure between these two vicioid species (Ellwood et al. 2008).

The next phase in applying this information to breeding of *Vicia* species is the identification of useful markers (see, e.g., van de Ven et al. 1990; Torres et al. 2006; Sanz et al. 2007; Terzopoulos and Bebeli 2008; Varshney et al. 2009). This will aid both markerassisted selection of useful traits for breeding programs and map-based cloning in order to obtain useful genes for introgression via transgenic technology. The information may also be of value when imported into targeted mutational approaches to the development of new allelic variation via TILLING procedures (Henikoff et al. 2004).

However, given the relatively low agricultural status attributed by many farmers to *Vicia* grains (notwithstanding the enthusiasts already mentioned), it seems likely that breeding progress will, as already hinted, be dependent on the sharing of information and technology within a broader interest in the pulses in general.

14.6 The Dark Side

14.6.1 Introduction

Mention has been made several times of toxic compounds – antinutritional factors (ANFs) – that occur in *Vicia* and indeed in many other legumes. Wagstaff's comprehensive book, *International Poisonous Plants* *Checklist: An Evidence-Based Reference* (2008) lists many *Vicia* species, including most of those that have been used in human and/or animal nutrition over the last ten millennia, as containing toxic compounds. The book contains extensive references to case studies of poisoning episodes. Thus, at the milder end of the scale we learn that a 3-year-old who had ingested seeds of *V. tetrasperma* "suffered only minor gastrointestinal effects which lasted a few hours and had no residual effects upon 24- and 96-h follow-ups" (Wine and Johnson 1993). The toxic compounds in this case were probably lectins and/or protease inhibitors (Oke 2007; Devi et al. 2009; see Sect. 14.6.5).

14.6.2 Nonprotein Amino Acids

The vicioid tribe is part of a group of subfamilies within the Leguminosae which possess the ability to synthesize the non-protein amino acid, canavanine (named after *Canavalia ensiformis*, the plant in which it was first discovered). The distribution of canavanine maps very well onto the cladogram generated by comparison of sequences of the chloroplast *mat*K gene (Wojciechowski et al. 2004) and suggests that canavanine synthesizing ability has evolved only once during the evolution of the legumes.⁴

Canavanine (Fig. 14.8) is an analog of arginine and disrupts several aspects of arginine metabolism (Rosenthal 1977). It is also recognized by arginyltRNA synthetase, leading to the incorporation of canavanine into protein; protein function is severely compromized and the aberrant proteins are degraded more rapidly than normal proteins. In plants that synthesize canavanine the arginyl-tRNA synthetase discriminates against canavanine (Bray 1976) thus avoiding the toxic effects on protein synthesis. It is presumed that this ability to "tell the difference" between canavanine and arginine is shown by all the enzymes involved in arginine metabolism in canavanine-synthesizing species. Fig. 14.8 Canavanine



14.6.3 Cyano-Alanine and Cyanogenic Compounds

 γ -L-Glutamyl- β -cyano-L-alanine and β -cyano-L-alanine are synthesized by several *Vicia* species, including *V. sativa*, (Ressler 1962) which is finding increasing use as animal feed. These compounds are neurotoxins,⁵ the presence of which was first recognized in the closely related genus, *Lathyrus*. They cause a condition known as lathyrism, which is a painful spastic paralysis of the lower limbs. Over 40 years ago, Ressler et al. (1969) detected toxic amounts of the cyano-alanines in 14 accessions of *V. sativa* and in 30% of the 13 other *Vicia* species tested. Since then, selection in *V. sativa* has led to significant reductions in the levels of these toxins, partly correlated with an increase in seed size (Tate and Enneking 2007b) but no "zero lines" have yet been developed.

The presence of the cyano-alanines in V. sativa has also been the subject of a scandalous episode in the commercial use of this species. In the 1980s, Australian breeders produced a red-seeded variety, Blanche Fleur, the seeds of which resemble closely those of red lentil (L.culinaris), consumed widely in the Indian sub-continent as red dahl. In the late 1980s and onwards into the 1990s, there were several instances of the export from Australia of split seeds of V. sativa which were relabeled by the importers and then sold, at a higher price, as a split red lentil (red dahl) for human consumption (Tate and Enneking 1992; Tate et al. 1999; Pandian et al. 2002). This led to outbreaks of illness amongst people consuming the seeds and eventually the Australian government dealt with the problem by banning the export of V. sativa. Nevertheless, some clandestine export continued for several years after the ban (Tate et al. 1999).

⁴Some taxa have subsequently lost the ability to synthesize canavanine, either naturally during evolution or as a result of selection by humans

⁵Cyano-alanine is, chemically, a non-protein amino acid but does not exert its effects by being incorporated into protein (in contrast to canavanine)

Cyanogenic compounds release the respiratory poison cyanide. Most cyanogenic compounds are glycosides in which the CN moiety is esterified to a sugar molecule; in *Vicia* species the sugar is usually a disaccharide (Poulton 1990). The cyanide is released as HCN in a straightforward hydrolysis. Amongst the more familiar *Vicia* species, *V. angustifolia* is wellknown for the presence of vicianin (Poulton 1990); this has also been detected in some accessions of *V. sativa* (Vetter 2000; Ressler and Tatake 2001) and in several other *Vicia* species scattered through the genus (Vetter 2000). Thus some species, exemplified especially by *V. angustifolia* and to a lesser extent by *V. sativa*, synthesize both cyano-alanines and a cyanogenic glycoside.

14.6.4 Favism Inducing Compounds, Vicine and Convicine

Favism is a form of haemolytic anemia (breakdown of red blood cells) caused by ingestion of vicine and convicine. Both these compounds are glycosides of substituted pyrimidines and have a major effect on redox metabolism by rapidly oxidizing reduced gluta-thione (Jamalian et al. 1976). Vicine also directly inhibits glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (Jamalian et al. 1976) and indeed, favism occurs mainly in people with a genetic deficiency in this enzyme. The term *favism* is derived from *V. faba* but the causative agents, vicine and convicine, are actually widely distributed through the genus.

14.6.5 Lectins and Inhibitors of Proteases

Although their modes of action are very different, these ANFs are generally discussed together (see, for example, Lajolo and Genovese 2002; Oke 2007) because both are proteins. As such, they are denatured and inactivated by heating and thus constitute much less of a problem if the seeds are cooked. Both classes are widely distributed in legumes and the genus *Vicia* is no exception.

Lectins were originally named "phyto-haemagglutinins" because of their ability to agglutinate blood cells. This effect is based on the carbohydrate-binding activity of the lectins. Binding activity is often specific for particular carbohydrate moieties, including those in glyco-proteins found on the surface of blood cells and which are involved in specifying blood group. This type of action may have very serious consequences but in reality, access of the lectins to the circulatory system is usually very restricted if the lectins originate from ingested seeds (Lajolo and Genovese 2002; Oke 2007). Effects of lectins, for example gastro-enteritis, ranging from mild to very severe, are generally ascribed to the ability of lectins to bind to carbohydrate residues in the cell membrane components of the cells lining the alimentary tract (Oke 2007).

Inhibitors of the digestive proteases, trypsin and chymotrypsin, hinder significantly the breakdown of dietary protein and thus reduce the availability of amino acids. In severe cases this leads in turn to hypertrophy of the pancreas. The inhibitors fall into two broad classes, the Kunitz type that inhibit only trypsin and the Bowman–Birk type that inhibit both trypsin and chymotrypsin (see Norton et al. 1985; Lajolo and Genovese 2002; Oke 2007). Both types are small proteins with molecular weights of the order of 23 kDa; the Bowman–Birk type inhibitors are the more stable of the two classes (and therefore more difficult to denature/inactivate) because of a tertiary structure that incorporates many disulphide bridges.

14.6.6 Antinutritional Factors: An Overview

In Sects. 14.6.2–14.6.5, we have discussed the major ANFs that occur in *Vicia* species and in many other legumes. These are not the only toxic compounds that occur in this large genus. Some, such as condensed tannins and other phenolic compounds (Aletor et al. 1994; Berger et al. 2001, 2003) may be less problematic than those discussed above, while others may be confined to only a few species.

Overall therefore, the idea that wider use may be made of the wealth of wild *Vicia* species may seem over-optimistic because of the very widespread occurrence of toxins. Indeed, many species have a veritable "armory" of chemical weapons, which have presumably evolved as defenses against herbivory. Even in species which have been subject to selection in breeding programs, ANFs are still a problem, as shown by *V. sativa* and by the most extensively selected member of the whole genus, *V. faba*. Despite this, scientists who wish to see much greater use of this genus present a more optimistic view, pointing out the rapidity with which zero-erucic-acid strains of oil-seed rape (canola) were developed. It is suggested that similar concerted efforts with particular *Vicia* species may be similarly rewarded (Tate and Enneking 2007b)

14.7 Concluding Remarks

Vicia is a large and important genus in a large and important family. Land-based biological N-fixation amounts to ca.150 million tons per year, of which the symbiosis between legumes and rhizobia accounts for about one quarter. This has a global environmental significance and is also important in relation to human use of the land. Further, it is definitely realistic to suggest that more *Vicia* species may be domesticated for use as forage and fodder and in human nutrition, provided of course that the problem of ANFs can be solved. However, at most this will involve only a fraction of species in the genus. Nevertheless, as a genetic resource, with the possibility of introgression of desirable traits from wild into domesticated species, the genus *Vicia* is wealthy indeed.

Acknowledgements Anyone who is interested in *Vicia* will soon encounter the extensive work of Dr. Nigel Maxted. His contribution to our understanding the biology of this genus has been immense and it is a pleasure to acknowledge this both on our own behalf and on behalf of anyone with a scientific interest in "the vetches."

References

- Aarssen LW, Hall IV, Jensen KIN (1986) The biology of Canadian weeds, 76: Vicia angustifolia L., Vicia cracca L., Vicia sativa L., Vicia tetrasperma (L) Schreb and Vicia villosa Roth. Can J Plant Sci 66:711–737
- Ahmed S, Akem C, El Moneim AMA (2000) Sources of resistance to downy mildew in narbon (*Vicia narbonensis*) and

common (Vicia sativa) vetches. Genet Resour Crop Evol 47:153–156

- Aletor VA, Goodchild AV, El Moneim AMA (1994) Nutritional and anti-nutritional characteristics of selected *Vicia* genotypes. Anim Feed Sci Technol 47:125–139
- Benedito VA, Torres-Jerez I, Murray JD, Adriankaja A, Allen S, Kakar K, Wandrey M, Verdier J, Zuber H, Ott T, Moreau S, Niebel A, Frickey T, Weiller G, He J, Dai XB, Zhao PX, Tang YH, Udvardi MK (2008) A gene expression atlas of the model legume *Medicago trunculata*. Plant J 55:504–513
- Bennett SJ (1999) Using collections to describe ecological relationships. Curr Plant Sci Biotechnol Agric 33:41–52
- Bennett MD, Leitch IJ (1997) Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms – 583 new estimates. Ann Bot 80:169–196
- Bennett SJ, Maxted N (1997) An ecogeographic analysis of the Vicia narbonensis complex. Genet Resour Crop Evol 44:411–428
- Bennett MD, Smith JB (1976) Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 274:227–274
- Bennett SJ, Maxted N, Sabanci CO (1998) The ecogeography and collection of grain, forage and pasture legumes in southwest Turkey. Genet Resour Crop Evol 45:253–262
- Bennett MD, Bhandol P, Leitch IJ (2000) Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms and their modern uses 807 new estimates. Ann Bot 86:859–909
- Berger JD, Robertson LD, Cocks PS (2002) Agricultural potential of Mediterranean grain legumes: key differences between and within *Vicia* species in terms of phenology, yield and agronomy give insight into plant adaptation to semi-arid environments. Genet Resour Crop Evol 49:313–325
- Berger JD, Robertson LD, Cocks PS (2003) Agricultural potential of Mediterranean grain and forage legumes: 2) Antinutritional factor concentrations in the genus *Vicia*. Genet Resour Crop Evol 50:201–212
- Berger JD, Siddique KHM, Loss SP (2001) Cool season grain legumes for Mediterranean environments: species x environment interaction in seed quality traits and anti-nutritional factors in the genus *vicia*. Aust J Agric Res 50:389–401
- Bottinger P, Steinmetz A, Schieder O, Pickardt T (2001) Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Vicia faba*. Mol Breed 8:243–254
- Bray CM (1976) Protein synthesis. In: Bryant JA (ed) Molecular aspects of gene expression in plants. Academic, London, pp 109–138
- Bryant JA (1976) Nuclear DNA. In: Bryant JA (ed) Molecular aspects of gene expression in plants. Academic, London, pp 1–51
- Bryant JA (2009) Replication of nuclear DNA. Progr Bot 71:26-60
- Cakmakci S, Aydinoglu B, Karaca M (2003) Strains for macroproductivity in common vetch (*Vicia sativa*). Indian J Agric Sci 73:296–297
- Cakmakci S, Aydinoglu B, Karaca M, Bilgen M (2006) Heritability of yield components in common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.). Acta Agric Scand B Soil Plant Sci 56:54–59
- Cannon SB, Crow JA, Heuer ML, Wang X, Cannon EKS, Dwan C, Lamblin A-F, Vasdewani J, Mudge J, Cook A, Gish J, Cheung F, Kenton S, Kunau TM, Brown D, May GD, Kim D, Cook DR, Roe BA, Town CD, Young ND, Retzel EF

(2005) Databases and information integration for the *Medicago trunculata* genome. Plant Physiol 138:38–46

- Caputo P, Frediani M, Venora G, Ravalli C, Ambrosio M, Cremonini R (2006) Nuclear DNA contents, rDNAs and karyotype evolution in subgenus *Vicia*: III, the heterogeneous section *Hypechusa*. Protoplasma 228:167–177
- Castiglione MR, Frediani M, Gelati MT, Ravalli C, Venora G, Caputo P, Cremonini R (2007) Cytological and molecular characterization of *Vicia esdraelonensis* Warb & eig: a rare taxon. Protoplasma 231:151–159
- Celiktas N, Can E, Hatipoglu R, Avci S (2007) Comparison between a wild population and cultivar of common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L., Fabaceae) on cytological and agronomic characteristics. NZ J Agric Res 49:389–393
- Choi H-K, Mun J-H, Kim D-J, Zhu H, Back J-M, Mudge J, Roe B, Ellis N, Doyle J, Kiss GB, Young ND, Cook DR (2004) Estimating genome conservation between crop and model legume species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 15289–15294
- Cronk Q, Ojeda I, Pennington RT (2006) Legume comparative genomics: progress in phylogenetics and phylogenomics. Curr Opin Plant Biol 9:99–103
- Devi SK, Devi LI, Singh LR (2009) Purification and characterization of a new dimeric mannose/glucose binding isolectin from Vicia tetrasperma. Prep Biochem Biotechnol 39:57–71
- Doyle JJ, Luckow MA (2003) The rest of the iceberg: legume diversity and evolution in a phylogenetic context. Plant Physiol 131:900–910
- Duc G, Bao S, Baum M, Redden R, Sadiki M, Suso MJ, Vishniakova M, Zong X (2009) Diversity maintenance and use of *Vicia faba* L. genetic resources. Field Crops Res. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2008.10.003
- Eapen S (2008) Advances in development of transgenic pulse crops. Biotechnol Adv 26:162–168
- El Moneim AMA (1993) Agronomic potential of three vetches (Vicia spp.) under rainfed conditions. J Agron Crop Sci 170:113–120
- Ellwood SR, Phan HTT, Jordan M, Hane J, Torres AM, Avila CM, Cruz-Izquierdo S, Oliver RP (2008) Construction of a comparative genetic map in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.); conservation of genome structure with *Lens culinaris*. BMC Genomics 9:380. doi:10.1186/147-2164-9-380
- Endo Y, Choi B-Y, Ohashi H, Delgado-Salinas A (2008) Phylogenetic relationships of New World Vicia (Leguminosae) inferred from nrDNA internal transcribed spacer sequences and floral characters. Syst Bot 33:356–363
- Enneking D, Tate M (2007) Global vetch production. In: Vetches: from feed to food. Grain legumes portal. http:// www.grainlegumes.com. Accessed 22 May 2009
- Erik S, Demirkus N (1998) New species from NE Turkey: Chaerophyllum posofianum (Apiaceae) and Vicia erzurumica (Fabaceae). Willdenowia 28:151–156
- Erskine W, Smartt J, Muehlbauer FJ (1994) Mimicry of lentil and the domestication of common vetch and grass pea. Econ Bot 48:326–332
- Francis CM, Enneking D, El Moneim AM (2000) When and where will vetches have an impact as grain legumes? Curr Plant Sci Biotechnol Agric 34:375–384
- Galasso I, Sonnante G, Tota DG, Pinone D (1997) Comparison of molecular, cytogenetic and genetic analyses in the two biotypes of *Vicia benghalensis* L. Ann Bot 79:311–317

- Garden Organic (2009) Optimisation of nitrogen from winter cover crops and use by subsequent crops. http://www.gardenorganic.org.uk/research/ireswcov.php. Accessed 20 July 2009
- Graham PH, Vance CP (2003) Legumes: importance and constraints to greater use. Plant Physiol 131:872–877
- Hanelt P, Mettin D (1989) Biosystematics of the genus Vicia L. (Leguminosae). Annu Rev Ecol Syst 20:199–223
- Henikoff S, Till BJ, Comai L (2004) TILLING: traditional mutagenesis meets functional genomics. Plant Physiol 135:630–636
- Hill P, Burford D, Martin DMA, Flavell AJ (2005) Retrotransposon populations of *Vicia* species with varying genome size. Mol Genet Genomics 273:371–381
- Holly L, Vörösváry G, Horváth L (2005) Ex situ conservation of crop wild relatives in Hungary. http://www.pgrforum. org/Documents/Conference/First_ICCWRCU_Programme_ FINAL.pdf
- Hopf M (1973) Frühe Kulturpflanzen aus bulgarien. Jahrb Röm-Germ Zentralmus Mainz 20:1–47
- Huh MK, Huh HW (2001) Genetic diversity and population structure of wild lentil tare. Crop Sci 41:1940–1946
- ILDIS (2001) ILDIS legumes of the world. http://www.ildis.org/ LegumeWeb/6.00. Accessed 03 May 2009
- Jamalian J, Aylward F, Hudson BJF (1976) Favism-inducing toxins in broad beans (*Vicia faba*): examination of bean extracts for pyrimidine glucosides. Plant Foods Hum Nutr 26:331–339
- Keiša A, Maxted N, Ford-Lloyd B (2008) The assessment of biodiversity loss over time: wild legumes in Syria. Genet Resour Crop Evol 55:603–612
- Kenicer GJ (2005) Systematics and biogeography of *Lathyrus* (Leguminosae) based on internal transcribed spacer and cpDNA sequence data. Am J Bot 92:1199–1209
- Kunkel G (1984) Plants for human consumption. Koeltz Scientific Books, Königstein, Germany
- Kupicha A (1976) The infrageneric structure of Vicia. Notes R Bot Gard Edinb 34:287–326
- Laghetti G, Piergiovanni AR, Galasso I, Hammer K, Perrino P (2000) Single-flowered vetch (*Vicia articulata* Hornem): a relic crop in Italy. Genet Resour Crop Evol 47:461–465
- Lajolo FM, Genovese MI (2002) Nutritional significance of lectins and enzyme inhibitors from legumes. J Agric Food Chem 50:6592–6598
- Li RJ, Taylor S, Jenkins G (2001) Unravelling the phylogeny of tetraploid *Vicia amoena* (Fabaceae) and its diploid relatives using chromosomal landmarks. Hereditas 134:219–224
- Link W (1990) Autofertility and rate of cross-fertilization: crucial characters for breeding synthetic varieties in faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.). Theor Appl Genet 79:713–715
- Link W, Stelling D, Ebmeyer E (1994) Factors determining the performance of synthetics in *Vicia faba* L. 1, Heterogeneity, heterozygosity and degree of cross-fertilization. Euphytica 75:77–84
- Maxted N (1999) Ecogeography and genetic conservation. Curr Plant Sci Biotechnol Agric 33:53–66
- Maxted N (2003) Conserving the genetic resources of crop wild relatives in European protected areas. Biol Conserv 113:411–417
- Maxted N, Khatab AMA, Bisby FA (1991) The newly discovered relatives of *Vicia faba* L. do little to resolve the enigma of its origin. Bot Chron 10:435–465

- Maxted N, Hawkes JG, Guarino L, Sawkins M (1997) Towards the selection of taxa for plant genetic conservation. Genet Resour Crop Evol 44:337–348
- Melamed Y, Plitmann U, Kislev ME (2008) *Vicia peregrina*: an edible early Neolithic legume. Veg Hist Archaeobot 17 (suppl 1):S29–S34
- Mihailovic W, Mikic A, Karagic D, Pataki I, Krstic D (2005) Genetic variability of yield and its components in spring vetch cultivars. Grassl Sci Eur 10:303–306
- Mohammadi L, Sadeghi G (2009) Using different ratios of bitter vetch (*Vicia ervilia*) seed for moult induction and post-moult performance in commercial laying hens. Br Poult Sci 50:207–212
- Muehlbauer FJ, Kaiser WJ, Simon CJ (1994) Potential for wild species in cool-season food legume breeding. Euphytica 73:109–114
- Muntz K, Christov V, Saalbach G, Saalbach I, Waddell D, Pickardt T, Schneider O, Wustenhagen T (1998) Genetic engineering for high methionine grain legumes. Nahrung Food 42:125–127
- Nadal S, Cubero JI, Moreno MT (2007) Sources of resistance to broomrape (*Orobanche crenata* Forsk.) in narbon vetch. Plant Breed 126:110–112
- Neumann P, Koblísková A, Navrátilová A, Macas J (2006) Significant expansion of *Vicia pannonica* genome size mediated by amplification of a single type of giant retro element. Genetics 173:1047–1056
- Norton G, Bliss FA, Bressani R (1985) Biochemical and nutritional attributes of grain legumes. In: Summerfield RJ, Roberts EH (eds) Grain legume crops. Collins, London, pp 73–114
- Oke DB (2007) Mechanism of action, toxicity and nutritional significance of heat-labile antinutritional factors in some legumes: a review. J Food Technol 5:286–289
- Pandian A, Ford R, Taylor PWJ (2002) A sensitive and specific PCR-based discrimination of split red vetch and lentil seeds. Plant Mol Biol Rep 20:177–184
- Pearce SR, Harrison G, Li DT, Heslop-Harrison JS, Kumar A, Flavell AJ (1996) The Ty1-copia group retrotransposons in *Vicia* species: copy number, sequence heterogeneity and chromosomal localisation. Mol Gen Genet 250:305–315
- Perez-de-Luque A, Lozano MD, Cubero JI, Gonzales-Melendi P, Risueno MC, Rubiales D (2006) Mucilage production during the incompatible interaction between *Orobanche crenata* and *Vicia sativa* L. J Exp Bot 57:931–942
- Pickardt T, Saalbach I, Waddell D, Meixner M, Muntz K, Schieder O (1995) Seed-specific expression of the 2S albumin gene from Brazil nut (*Bertholletia excelsa*) in transgenic *Vicia narbonensis*. Mol Breed 1:295–301
- Plants for a Future (2009) *Vicia hirsuta* (L.) Gray. Hairy tare. http://www.pfaf.org/database/plants.php?Vicia+hirsuta. Accessed 16 July 2009
- Potokina E, Tommoka N, Vaughan DA, Alexandrova T, Xu R-Q (1999) Phylogeny of Vicia sub-genus Vicia (Fabaceae) based on analysis of RAPDs and RFLP of PCR-amplified chloroplast genes. Genet Resour Crop Evol 46:149–161
- Potokina E, Blattner FR, Alexandrova T, Bachmann K (2002) AFLP diversity in the common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) on the world scale. Theor Appl Genet 105:58–67
- Poulton JE (1990) Cyanogenesis in plants. Plant Physiol 94:401–405

- Quandt HJ, Puhler A, Broer I (1993) Transgenic root-nodules of Vicia hirsuta – a fast and efficient system for the study of gene-expression in indeterminate-type nodules. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 6:699–706
- Raina SN, Mukai Y, Kawaguchi K, Goel S, Jain A (2001) Physical mapping of 18S-5.8S-26S and 5S ribosomal RNA gene families in three important vetches (*Vicia* species) and their allied taxa constituting three species complexes. Theor Appl Genet 103:839–845
- Ramsay G, Kumar A (1990) Transformation of *Vicia faba* cotyledon and stem tissues by *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* infectivity and cytological studies. J Exp Bot 41:841–847
- Ressler C (1962) Isolation and identification from common vetch of the neurotoxin β -cyano-L-alanine, a possible factor in neurolathyrism. J Biol Chem 237:733–735
- Ressler C, Tatake JG (2001) Vicianin, prunasin and betacyanoalanine in common vetch as sources of urinary thiocyanate in the rat. J Agric Food Chem 49:5075–5080
- Ressler C, Nigam SN, Giza YH (1969) Toxic principle in vetch: Isolation and identification of γ -L-glutamyl- β -cyano-Lalanine from common vetch seeds: distribution in some legumes. J Am Chem Soc 91:2758–2765
- Rolletschek H, Borisjuk L, Radchuk R, Miranda M, Heim U, Wobus U, Weber H (2004) Seed-specific expression of a bacterial phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in *Vicia narbonensis* increases protein content and improves carbon economy. Plant Biotechnol J 2:211–219
- Rolletschek H, Hosein F, Miranda M, Heim U, Gotz KP, Schlereth A, Borisjuk L, Saalbach I, Wobus U, Weber H (2005) Ectopic expression of an amino acid transporter (VfAAP1) in seeds of *Vicia narbonensis* and pea increases storage proteins. Plant Physiol 137:1236–1249
- Rolletschek H, Nguyen TH, Hausler RE, Rutten T, Goebel C, Feussner I, Radchuk R, Tewes A, Claus B, Klukas C, Linemann U, Weber H, Wobus U, Borisjuk L (2007) Antisense inhibition of the plastidial glucose-6-phosphate/phosphate translocator in *Vicia* seeds shifts cellular differentiation and promotes protein storage. Plant J 51:468–484
- Rosenthal GA (1977) The biological effects and mode of action of L-canavanine, a structural analogue of L-arginine. Q Rev Biol 52:155–178
- Royo MA, Tate M, Enneking D (2007) Narbon bean (*Vicia narbonensis* L.): farmer's dream or devil's bean? In: Vetches: from feed to food. Grain legumes portal. http://www.grainlegumes.com. Accessed 22 May 2009
- Sanz AM, Gonzalez SG, Syed NH, Suso MJ, Saldana CC, Flavell AJ (2007) Genetic diversity analysis in *Vicia* species using retrotransposon-based SSAP markers. Mol Genet Genomics 278:433–441
- Shiran B, Raina SN (2001) Evidence of rapid evolution and incipient speciation in *Vicia sativa* species complex based on nuclear and organellar RFLPs and PCR analysis. Genet Resour Crop Evol 48:519–532
- Siddique KHM, Loss SP (1996) Growth and seed yield of vetches (Vicia spp) in south-western Australia. Aust J Exp Agric 36:587–593
- Siddique KHM, Loss SP, Enneking D (1996) Narbon bean (*Vicia narbonensis* L.): a promising grain legume for low rainfall areas of south-western Australia. Aust J Exp Agric 36:53–62

- Siddique KHM, Loss SP, Regan KL, Jettner RL (1999) Adaptation and seed yield of cool season grain legumes in Mediterranean environments of south-western Australia. Aust J Agric Res 50:375–387
- Sillero JC, Moreno MT, Rubiales D (2005) Sources of resistance to crenate broomrape among species of Vicia. Plant Dis 89:23–27
- Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Chase MW, Mort ME, Albach DC, Zanis M, Savolainen V, Hahn WH, Hoot SB, Fay MF, Axtell M, Swensen SM, Prince LM, Kress WJ, Nixon KC, Farris JS (2000) Angiosperm phylogeny inferred from 18S rDNA, *rbcL*, and *atpB* sequences. Bot J Linn Soc 133:381–461
- Tanno K-I, Willcox G (2006) The origins of cultivation of *Cicer* arietinum L., and Vicia faba L.: early finds from Tell el-Kerky, nortt-west Syria, late 10th millenium BP. Veg Hist Archaeobot 15:197–204
- Tate ME, Enneking D (1992) A mess of red pottage. Nature 359:357–358
- Tate M, Enneking D (2007a) Vetches: from feed to food? In: Vetches: from feed to food. Grain legumes portal. http:// www.grainlegumes.com. Accessed 22 May 2009
- Tate M, Enneking D (2007b) Common vetch (Vicia sativa ssp. sativa): feed or future food? In: Vetches: from feed to food. Grain legumes portal. http://www.grainlegumes.com. Accessed 22 May 2009
- Tate ME, Rathjen J, Delaere I, Enneking D (1999) Covert trade in vetch continues. Nature 400:207
- Terzopoulos PJ, Bebeli PJ (2008) Genetic diversity analysis of Mediterranean faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) with ISSR markers. Field Crops Res 108:39–44
- Tiffney BH (1985) The Eocene North American land bridge: its importance in Tertiary and modern phytogeography of the northern hemisphere. J Arnold Arbor 66:243–273
- Torres AM, Roman B, Avila CM, Satovic Z, Rubiales D, Sillero JC, Cubero JI, Moreno MT (2006) Faba bean breeding for resistance against biotic stresses: towards application of marker technology. Euphytica 147:67–80
- van de Ven M, Powell W, Ramsay G, Waugh R (1990) Restriction-fragment-length polymorphisms as genetic markers in *Vicia*. Heredity 65:329–342

- van de Ven WTG, Duncan N, Ramsay G, Phillips W, Waugh R (1993) Taxonomic relationships between *V. faba* and its relatives based on nuclear and mitochondrial RFLPs and PCR analysis. Theor Appl Genet 86:71–80
- van de Wouw M, Maxted N, Chabana K, Ford-Lloyd BV (2001) Molecular taxonomy of *Vicia* ser. *Vicia* based on amplified fragment polymorphisms. Plant Syst Evol 229:91–105
- van de Wouw M, Maxted N, Ford-Lloyd BV (2003a) A multivariate and cladistic study of *Vicia* L. ser *Vicia* (Fabaceae) based on analysis of morphological characters. Plant Syst Evol 237:19–38
- van de Wouw M, Maxted N, Ford-Lloyd BV (2003b) Agromorphological characterisation of common vetch and its close relatives. Euphytica 130:281–292
- Varshney RK, Close TJ, Singh NK, Hoisington DA, Cook DR (2009) Orphan legume crops enter the genomics era! Curr Opin Plant Biol 12:202–210
- Vetter J (2000) Plant cyanogenic glycosides. Toxicon 38:11-36
- Vioque RS, Prado IC, Gil FF, Alvear MJG, Pascual MD, Conde MFR (2008) Contents of total protein, L-canavanine and condensed tannins of the one-flowered vetch (*Vicia articulata* Hornem.) collection of the Bank of Germplasm of Cuenca (Spain). Genet Resour Crop Evol 55:949–957
- Wagstaff DJ (2008) International poisonous plants checklist: an evidence-based reference. CRC, Boca Raton, FL
- Wine HA, Johnson PN (1993) Vicia tetrasperma (4-seeded vetch) ingestion by a 3-year-old child. Vet Hum Toxicol 35:436–437
- Wojciechowski MF, Sanderson MJ, Steele KP, Liston A (2000) Molecular phylogeny of the "temperate herbaceous tribes" of Papilionoid legumes: a supertree approach. In: Herendeen PS, Bruneau A (eds) Advances in legume systematics, part 9. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, pp 277–298
- Wojciechowski MF, Lavin M, Sanderson MJ (2004) A phylogeny of legumes (Leguminosae) based on analyses of the plastid *matK* gene resolves many well-supported sub-clades within the family. Am J Bot 91:1846–1862
- Zohary D (1999) Monophyletic vs polyphyletic origin of the crops on which agriculture was founded in the Near East. Genet Resour Crop Evol 46:133–142

Chapter 15 Vigna

Norihiko Tomooka, Akito Kaga, Takehisa Isemura, and Duncan Vaughan

15.1 Introduction

The economically important Vigna species are grown in warm temperate and tropical regions globally but are particularly crucial to human nutrition in large parts of tropical Africa and Asia. Vigna also furnish important forage crops. Of the domesticated Vigna species, cowpea and mungbean are the most important in terms of production. Cowpea production stands at about 4.5 million metric tons (mmt) of dry grain (seeds) produced on 10 million ha worldwide (http:// www.faostat.fao.org/faostat). Mungbean is showing an annual increase of about 2.5% in production rates because, as a short duration crop, it fits well into crop rotation cycles. Estimated global production of mungbean is 2.5-3 mt from about 5 million ha (Tomooka et al. 2005). Other Vigna species have lower production but are significant contributors to nutrition of some countries or localities for example azuki bean production in Japan is 70-90,000 mt and in China is estimated to be about 700,000 mt (Vaughan et al. 2005). Rice bean (V. umbellata) is locally important in parts of northern India and Southeast Asia. Moth bean (V. aconitifolia) is an important crop in drier parts of South Asia. Bambara groundnut (V. subterreanea) is particularly important in drier parts of Africa.

Norman Borlaug (1973) called the Asian *Vigna* "slow runners" in terms of crop improvement in part because they did not have the benefit of an international (CGIAR) institute to focus research on them.

However, the situation for the Asian *Vigna* has recently started to show some significant scientific advances, particularly in relation to genetic resource analysis and genome mapping (Kaga et al. 2005, 2008; Tomooka et al. 2006a).

On the other hand, the main African *Vigna*, cowpea, has been a mandated crop of IITA and consequently has received considerable attention from the international agricultural research community. Despite considerable research attention, seed yield of cowpea remains low in farmer's fields (Singh 2005; Matsunaga et al. 2008). To address this and bring cowpea research and extension on par with other major crops, there have been several research initiatives related to this crop such as the Cowpea Collaborative Research Support Program (*http://www.isp.msu.edu/CRSP*), and Generation Challenge Program (*http://www.generationcp.org*).

Vigna crops can be viewed in terms of trying to catch up with other major crops. However, in terms of understanding the wild relatives and genetics resources of *Vigna*, the focus of this chapter, the situation is rather better because of the recent publication of two comprehensive books on the Asian and African *Vigna* genetic resources (Tomooka et al. 2002; Maxted et al. 2004). In this chapter, we focus on the scientific progress that has been made in relation to the wild relatives of the cultivated African and Asian *Vigna*.

15.2 Vigna in Context

Vigna belong to the hot weather herbaceous legumes, those legumes that do not grow or survive in the winter season in temperate regions (Fig. 15.1). *Vigna* is closely related phylogenetically to the agriculturally important genera *Cajanus*, *Glycine*, and *Phaseolus*.

D. Vaughan (🖂)

National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Kannondai 2-1-2, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan

e-mail: Duncan.Vaughan@fao.org

This review was based on literature available to the authors up to February 2009



Fig. 15.1 Relationships among papilionoid legume crops [adapted from Gepts et al. (2005)]

Indeed, until 1970, Asian *Vigna* were classified as *Phaseolus* (Verdcourt 1970). The genus *Vigna* consists of over 90 species in six subgenera (Fig. 15.2).

It seems likely that *Vigna* first evolved in Africa because major species radiation of the genus *Vigna* has occurred in Africa where the subgenera *Haydonia*, *Plectotropis*, and *Vigna* are found (Vaillancourt et al. 1993). In addition, molecular studies suggest that *Vigna* may have evolved from the African genus *Wajira* as it is basal compared to *Vigna* and *Phaseolus* (Thulin et al. 2004).

Chloroplast and nuclear genes have enabled molecular clock divergence dates to be estimated for legumes including *Phaseolus* and *Vigna* (Lavin et al. 2004, 2005; Thulin et al. 2004). An estimated date for the divergence between *Vigna subterranean* (subgenus *Vigna*) and *Phaseolus vulgaris* is about 8 million years ago (Mya). Divergence of New World *Vigna* and *Phaseolus* was estimated at about 6 Mya (Delgado-Salinas et al. 2006). The date of divergence of the Asian *Vigna* (subgenus *Ceratotropis*) from African *Vigna* (subgenus *Vigna*) is about 3 Mya and divergence among sections of the Asian *Vigna* about 1 Mya.

Chloroplast DNA (Lavin et al. 2004, 2005; Thulin et al. 2004) and nuclear DNA studies (Lavin et al. 2004) have compared many species of the entire genus *Vigna* and related genera; these studies have provided a new interpretation of the relationships in the genus *Vigna* compared to studies based mainly on morphological traits (Maréchal et al. 1978). Molecular



Fig. 15.2 The relationships, geographical distribution, and approximate number of species in the six subgenera in the genus *Vigna* and closely related genera *Phaseolus* and *Wajira*. Approximate species number in each genus and subgenus is shown. Sections within subgenus *Vigna* and subgenus *Ceratotropis* are shown. Modified from Tomooka et al. (2008). Species numbers are from (a) Freytag and Debouck (2002), (b) Maréchal et al. (1978), (c) Maxted et al. (2004), (d) Tomooka et al. (2002), and (e) Thulin et al. (2004)

studies suggest that *Vigna* subgenus *Haydonia* of Africa may be the most primitive and well-diverged group within *Vigna*. *Vigna* subgenus *Sigmoidotropis* of the New World is more closely related to *Phaseolus* than to the other subgenera of the genus *Vigna* (Vaillancourt et al. 1993). Among other subgenera,

subgenus Vigna contains a diverse group of species. Species in section Vigna subgenus Vigna are more closely related to the New World subgenus Lasiospron, whereas species in section Catiang (containing cowpea) and Reticulatae are close to species in the subgenus Plectotropis. In Asia, the subgenus Ceratotropis represents a homogeneous and distinct group.

The ecological amplitude of the genus *Vigna* is reflected in its global distribution and latitudinal spread (40°N to 35°S). Some species are remarkably salt-tolerant, such as *V. marina*. Others are pyrophytic species, flowering directly from rootstocks following burning, such as *V. ambaciensis* and *V. juncea*. Species in the genus grow from sea level to 3,500 m (Tomooka et al. 2002; Maxted et al. 2004).

15.3 Vigna Taxonomy

Maxted et al. (2004) have provided a detailed description of the historical aspects of *Vigna* taxonomy. To date, the most comprehensive taxonomic study of the entire genus was conducted by Verdcourt (1970), which was subsequently modified by Maréchal et al. (1978). They recognized seven subgenera in the genus *Vigna*, namely *Vigna*, *Haydonia*, *Lasiospron*, *Macrorhyncha*, *Plectotropis*, *Sigmoidotropis*, and *Vigna*. Recently, the taxonomy of the subgenus *Macrorhyncha* has been studied, and this subgenus is now recognized as a new genus *Wajira* (Thulin et al. 2004; Fig. 15.2).

According to Maxted et al. (2004), there are 98 species in the genus *Vigna*. Among *Vigna* subgenera, the subgenus *Vigna* has the most species (38) and is divided into six sections. There are about 22 species in the three sections of the Asian *Vigna* (Fig. 15.2).

The main characteristics that distinguish *Vigna* from the very closely related genus *Phaseolus* are the stipule, tubercle (with extra floral nectaries), keel, style, and style beak (Fig. 15.3; Maréchal et al. 1978). The stipule in *Phaseolus* is attached to the stem by its basal part, but it is attached in several ways in *Vigna* (Fig. 15.3a). Tuberacles are present in *Vigna* but not seen in *Phaseolus* (Fig. 15.3b). The keel in *Phaseolus* is narrowly curved around the style, whereas it is variously shaped in *Vigna* as shown (Fig. 15.3c). The style in *Phaseolus* is always curled through 360°, but it may not be curled or curled through

 360° in *Vigna* (Fig. 15.3d). In *Phaseolus*, the stigma is at the end of the style, whereas in *Vigna*, the tip of the style sometimes elongates into a style beak so that the stigma is situated laterally to the style (Fig. 15.3e).

15.4 Conservation

15.4.1 Ex situ conservation

15.4.1.1 Asian Vigna

There are now accessions of all known Asian *Vigna* species in ex situ germplasm collections. This reflects the concentrated collection efforts focusing on wild species by collectors, particularly those led by N. Tomooka from Japan and R.J. Lawn from Australia. Since the review of Asian *Vigna* conservation status (Tomooka et al. 2002), specimens of *V. dalzelliana* and *V. khandalensis* have been collected and are conserved at the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India.

Recent extensive collection of Asian Vigna has revealed the true status of Asian Vigna in several countries (Fig. 15.4a–f). It is surprising that even in countries with rather well-studied flora, such as Sri Lanka, many new Asian Vigna have been recorded for the first time. The Revised Handbook of the Flora of Ceylon recognizes only two wild Asian Vigna, when in fact six are present. Germplasm collection reports in English that have focused on Asian Vigna collections can be found at website: (http://www.gene. affrc.go.jp/publications.php?type=report§ion= plant).

A comprehensive molecular analysis of wild *Vigna* germplasm from Thailand that is a center of diversity for wild Asian *Vigna* has been conducted (Seehalak et al. 2006). The study revealed that rice bean (*V. umbellata*) probably was domesticated there or in a neighboring region (Seehalak et al. 2006). In addition, wild *V. mungo* from Thailand is distinct from Indian wild black gram. Intraspecific genetic diversity was high for several species, and the species *V. hirtella* appears to have evolved two distinct forms. The high level of wild *Vigna* diversity in northern Thailand, where as many as five species can be found at one site, suggests that this region is well-suited to development of in situ conservation reserves.

Fig. 15.3 Key traits that distinguish *Phaseolus* and *Vigna* [modified from Tomooka et al. (2002)]. *Boxes* surround traits of subgenus *Ceratotropis*, and *dashed boxes* surround traits of subgenus *Vigna* section *Catieng* (cowpea group)



15.4.1.2 African Vigna

Maxted et al. (2004) reviewed the status of conservation of African *Vigna* in detail. About 10,000 accessions of cowpea and 1,500 accessions of bambara ground nut are conserved ex situ. However, the review highlighted the fact that little attention that has been paid to the conservation of the wild species. More than 20 species of African *Vigna* are apparently not conserved in any ex situ collection even though several of these species have ethnobotanical uses (Table 15.1).

15.4.2 Core Collections

Germplasm in genebanks is there for conservation and use. To enable the increasing numbers of conserved accessions to be rationally and cost effectively evaluated for use, a core collection approach has been widely applied (Hodgkin et al. 1995). A core collection aims to represent about 90% of the allelic diversity in 10% of the accessions, while a mini-core collection aims to represent about 80% of the allelic diversity in 1% of the accessions (Upadhyaya and Ortiz 2001). Other approaches have been developed to make germplasm more usefully available for study such as a representative Asian *Vigna* species collection that uses one or two accessions of each taxon to understand the diversity for different traits across the subgenus (Konarev et al. 2002; Tomooka et al. 2000, 2002).

Core collections have been developed for the major *Vigna* crops – cowpea (Mahalakshmi et al. 2007), mungbean (Bisht et al. 1998; Sangiri et al. 2007), and azuki bean (Xu et al. 2008) (Table 15.2). Some of them have incorporated wild germplasm into these core collections (e.g., Sangiri et al. 2007). Study of



Bhutan

- V. angularis var. nipponensis 310–2455 m ▲ V. radiata var. sublobata 690–1629 m
- □ V. vexillata 1629-2455 m



- ▲ V. tenuicaulis 350–1122 m
- V. trinervia 355–1475 m

b

d

• V. umbellata 345-1300 m



♦ Vigna sp. (new) 15–38 m





Papua New Guinea

- △ V.cf. minima sea level to 35 m
- V. reflexo-pilosa sea level to 1550 m
- V. radiata var sublobata 6-485 m
- ☆ V. marina sea level
- * V. luteola sea level
- ▲ V. vexillata 12-31 m



Fig. 15.4 Distribution of wild *Vigna* species in countries that have recently been surveyed. (a) Bhutan, (b) Laos, (c) Myanmar, (d) Papua New Guinea, (e) Sri Lanka, (f) Thailand

crop complex variation has enabled the breadth of crop diversity to be incorporated into core collections. Such genetic diversity studies have also revealed previously unknown or poorly known regions of genetic diversity. For example, analysis of azuki bean variation showed that the Himalayan region wild germplasm has much greater genetic variation than other regions (Zong et al. 2003). Simple sequence repeat (SSR) analysis revealed that Nepalese azuki germplasm consisted of two groups of materials: one group from eastern Nepal was similar to Bhutanese azuki germplasm, while in western Nepal, azuki germplasm was more similar to Chinese azuki germplasm (Xu et al. 2008). Analysis of diverse mungbean germplasm revealed Australia and New Guinea wild mungbean as a distinct center of diversity for wild mungbean (Sangiri et al. 2007).

Another approach to developing useful sets of germplasm for evaluation has been the representative species collection (Tomooka et al. 2000). This approach has enabled species level evaluation to quickly highlight useful germplasm. Using an Asian *Vigna* species collection, many new sources of resistance to bruchid beetles have been found (Tomooka et al. 2000), and this approach has enabled more detailed studies of the most promising resistance sources (Kashiwaba et al. 2003). The Asian *Vigna* species representative collection was evaluated for proteinase inhibitor variation and species with very low levels or absence of trypsin inhibitors were identified (Konarev et al. 2002).

Species	Subgenus, section	Natural distribution	Uses		
V. aconitifolia (Moth bean)	Ceratotropis, Aconitifoliae	South Asia	Pulse, green pods as vegetable, forage, cover crop, green manure (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. adenantha	Sigmoidotropis, Laptospron	Americas	Medicinal uses, tuberous roots eaten (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. adenantha	Sigmoidotropis, Laptospron	Americas	Tubers of wild type eaten (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. ambacensis	Vigna, Vigna	Africa	Tubers eaten by human, leaves grazed by animal ar used as fodder, leaves dried and smoked as a cough remedy (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. angivensis	Vigna, Vigna	Madagascar (endemic)	Tuberous roots, pods and seeds eaten by domesticated and wild animals (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. angularis (Azuki bean)	Ceratotropis, Angulares	Himalaya to East Asia	Pulse, sweet soup or paste, celebration (Tomooka et al. 2002), green pods as vegetable [Nepal, (Personal communication from Dr. Jwala Baajracharya, Nepal Agric. Res. Council)]		
V. angularis	Ceratotropis, Angulares	Himalaya to East Asia	Wild and weedy type collected and eaten in times of food shortage (Yamaguchi 1992)		
V. antillana Pulse, soil improvement (Cuba) (Maxted et al. 2004)	Sigmoidotropis,	Sigmoidotropis	Caribbean		
V. caracalla (Snail flower)	Sigmoidotropis, Caracallae	South and Central America	Ornamental, minor pulse (Cuba) (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. fischeri	Vigna, Vigna	East Africa	Flower and roots eaten by humans, the raw root h a sweet juice, tubers eaten by human, leaves a shoot used to make rope (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. friesiorm	Vigna, Macrodontae	East Africa	All plant parts including tubers eaten by baboons (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. frutescens	Vigna, Liebrechtsia	East Africa	All plant parts including tubers eaten by baboons and grazing animals, stem used to make rope, flower fragrant may have potential as a ornamental (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. gracilis	Vigna, Vigna	East Africa	Seeds and pods eaten by humans after grilled, roots used as a vermifuge, upper plant parts grazed by animals and used as fodder (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. <i>hosei</i> (Sarawak bean)	Vigna, Vigna	East Africa, South and Southeast Asia	Cover crop, green manure (India, Malaysia, Sri Lanka) (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. juncea	Haydonia, Haydonia	Central Africa	Fruit and seed eaten by humans (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. luteola (Dalrymple vigna)	Vigna, Vigna	Africa (now prevailed pan-tropical area)	Fodder and forage (Argentina), soil improvement (Cuba) (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. luteola (wild plants)	Vigna, Vigna	Africa (now prevailed pan-tropical area)	Upper plant parts grazed by animals (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. macrorhyncha	Macrorhyncha	Africa	Roots eaten by humans as raw roots (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. marina (Dune bean, Beach bean)	Vigna, Vigna	Africa (now prevailed pan-tropical area)	Pulse for human [Indian Ocean (Tomooka et al. 2004)], cover crop (Southeast Asia), forage (Cuba) (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. marina (wild plants)	Vigna, Vigna	Africa (now prevailed pan-tropical area)	Shoots grazed by animals and used as fodder. Seeds used as coffee substitute (Gabon). Tubers eaten by humans (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. membranacea	Vigna, Macrodontae	East Africa	Flower, fruit, seed and root eaten by humans (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. minima	Ceratotropis, Angulares	Southeast and East Asia, Papua New Guinea	Flowers and young pods eaten by humans (Laos) (Tomooka et al. 2004)		

Table 15.1 Ethnobotany of *Vigna* species (cultivated or domesticated taxa in bold, common name in parenthesis)

(continued)

Table 15.1 (continued)

Species	Subgenus, section	Natural distribution	Uses		
V. monophylla	Haydonia, Haydonia	East Africa	Roots eaten by humans (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. mungo (Black gram, urd bean)	Ceratotropis, Ceratotropis	South Asia	Pulse (South Asia), green pods as vegetable, forage green manure (Maxted et al. 2004), bean sprou [Japan (Tomooka et al. 2002)]		
<i>V. nuda</i> Flavoring in beer (Maxted et al. 2004)	Plectotropis,	Pseudoliebrechtsia	Central Africa		
V. oblongifolia	Vigna, Vigna	Tropical Africa	Roots eaten by humans as raw roots (Maxted et al 2004). Flower structure similar to <i>V. luteola</i> (Maréchal et al. 1978).		
V. parkeri (Creeping vigna)	Vigna, Vigna	Central and East Africa	Pasture (Australia and USA), cover and green manure (New Guinea). Cover crop under coconuts (Madagascar) (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. parkeri (wild plants)	Vigna, Vigna	Central and East Africa	Above ground parts grazed by animals as forage (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. radiata (Mungbean, green gram)	Ceratotropis, Ceratotropis	East Africa through tropical Asia to Papua New Guinea, tropical Australia	Pulse (South Asia), noodles (vermicelli), bean sprout and sweet soup or paste (Southeast and East Asia) (Maxted et al. 2004; Tomooka et al. 2002)		
V. radiata var. sublobata (wild plants)	Ceratotropis, Ceratotropis	East Africa through tropical Asia to Papua New Guinea tropical Australia	Boiled seeds eaten by humans (India) (Janardhanan et al. 2003)		
V. racemosa	Vigna, Vigna	Tropical Africa	Leaves and shoots eaten by chimpanzees and other animals. Mashed-up leaf drunk for cataracts and catarrh (Maxted et al. 2004). Leaf used as poultice for testicles (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. reflexo-pilosa var. glabra (=V. glabrescens)(Creole bean)	Ceratotropis Angulares	Southeast Asia, Oceania	Pulse (West Bengal, Mauritius, Vietnam, Philippines) (Tomooka et al. 2002)		
V. reticulata	Vigna, Reticulatae	Tropical Africa	Tuberous roots eaten by humans (Maréchal et al. 1978) Leaves eaten by humans. Leaves and shoots eaten by animals as forage. Sap decoction used for ear-ache and diarrhea (Tanzania) (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. stenophylla	Vigna, Vigna	East Africa	Tuberous roots eaten by humans (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. stipulacea ^a	Ceratotropis, Aconitifoliae	South Asia	Pulse and green manure (India) (Tomooka et al. 2008), forage, cover crop (India, Pakistan, Indonesia and Sudan) (Maxted et al. 2004), Seeds are roasted and eaten by humans (India) (Janardhanan et al. 2003)		
V. subterranea (Bambara groundnut)	Vigna, Vigna	Sub-Saharan Africa	Pulse, green pods as vegetable (Africa, Madagascar) (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. subterranea	Vigna, Vigna	Sub-Saharan Africa	Fruit and seed eaten raw by humans (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. trilobata ^a	Ceratotropis, Angulares	South Asia and Myanmar	Young pods, seeds are roasted and eaten by humans (India) (Tomooka personal observation 2009)		
V. trinervia (Tua pee)	Ceratotropis, Angulares	South and Southeast Asia	Cover crop to suppress weed growth in rubber plantation (Thailand) (Tomooka et al. 2002)		
V. umbellata (rice bean)	Ceratotropis, Angulares	South and Southeast Asia	Pulse, green pods as vegetable, fodder, green manure (Maxted et al. 2004; Tomooka et al. 2002)		

(continued)

Table 15.1 (continued)

Species	Subgenus, section	Natural distribution	Uses		
<i>V. umbellata</i> (wild plants)	Ceratotropis, Angulares	South and Southeast Asia	Flowers and young pods eaten as vegetables (Laos (Tomooka et al. 2006b). Wild deer like to eat shoots (Myanmar)		
V. unguiculata (cowpea, yard long bean)	Vigna, Catiang	Africa (cultivated worldwide)	Pulse (cowpea), green pods as vegetable (yard long bean, Asia), sweet soup or paste (cowpea, East and Southeast Asia)		
V. unguiculata (wild plants)	Vigna, Catiang	Africa	Leaves, shoots, young pods and seeds eaten by humans. Tuberous roots eaten by humans (may be poisonous when roasted). Roots used as dye (Maxted et al. 2004)		
V. vexillata (root cowpea)	Plectotropis, Plectotropis	Pan tropical	Tubers eaten by humans. Domesticated plants grown in Bali and Timor for edible tuber (Karuniawan et al. 2006). Wild plants used fo pasture, green manure, cover crop and edible tuber.		
V. vexillata (wild plants)	Plectotropis, Plectotropis	Pan tropical (originally Africa)	Leaves, pods and tubers eaten by humans. Tubers may be used as a water source. Leaf decoction is used as a wash against itch. Roots ground into a paste for tropical ulcers and sores (Mozambique) and for schistosomiasis (Tanzania) (Maxted et al. 2004) Seeds used to prepare curry (Nilgiri Hills, Tamil Nadu) (Tomooka et al. 2008) Seeds boiled and eaten (Northeast India) (Janardhanan et al. 2003, treated as <i>V. capensis</i>). Seeds are roasted and eaten by Khatkharis and Ghonds (India) (Janardhanan et al. 2003, treated as <i>V. vexillata</i>)		

^aWe had previously considered that reports of *V. trilobata* being used as a food may have been the result of mistaken identification of *V. stipulacea* (Tomooka et al. 2006a). However, during a recent visit to South India by the senior author, it has been confirmed that both *V. trilobata* and *V. stipulacea* are used as an occasional food there. Variation in *V. trilobata* suggests that it may in some places be semi-domesticated

Table 15.2 Core collection

Crop	No. of accession (wild sp.)	Traits	Core	No. acc	References
Cowpea	10,227 (64)	28 agronomic	Core	2,062 (6)	Mahalakshmi et al. (2007)
Mungbean	1,532	38	Core	152	Bisht et al. (1998)
Mungbean	615 (189)	19 SSR primers	Core	106 (54)	Sangiri et al. (2007)
Azuki bean	616 (67 and 1 weedy)	13 SSR primers	Core	96 (9)	Xu et al. (2008)

15.5 Cross Compatibility

The crop gene pool concept developed by Harlan and de Wet (1971) provides a basis for understanding which wild species related to particular crop can be most readily used by traditional breeding methods (primary gene pool) and which species require special techniques to effect gene transfer into a particular crop (secondary and tertiary gene pools).

15.5.1 Asian Vigna

The primary gene pool of azuki bean (*Vigna angularis*) consists of its wild, weedy, and cultivated forms (Fig. 15.5a). The secondary gene pool consists of two parts. Secondary gene pool (a) consists of species that cross relatively easily both as seed parent and as pollen parent with *V. angularis* – *V. minima*, *V. nakashimae*, *V. nepalensis*, *V. riukiuensis*, and *V. tenuicaulis*.



Fig. 15.5 Gene pools of major *Vigna* crops. Gene pool 1 (GP-1) constitutes the biological species. Gene pool 2 (GP-2) includes these species that cross with GP-1 with at least some fertility; Gene pool 3 (GP-3) includes those species where gene transfer requires radical techniques. (a) Azuki bean. (1) Species in section Angulares. Some of the species in the section Ceratotropis

have not yet been examined for their cross compatibility relationships with azuki bean and therefore this section is tentatively classified as GP-3. (b) Mungbean. (1) This species is in section Aconitifolia. (2) These species are in section Ceratotropis. (3) These species are in section Angulares. (c) Cowpea [(a) and (b) updated from Tomooka et al. (2005) and Vaughan et al. (2005)]

V. nakashimae has been successfully used as a bridging species along with other species in the V. minima complex (Tomooka et al. 2006a). Secondary gene pool (b) consists of V. hirtella that cross either as female (seed) parent or can cross in both directions, depending on the accession. V. umbellata is also in the secondary gene pool (b) and can only produce hybrids with azuki bean with the help of embryo rescue. It is probable that other species in section Angulares, such as Vigna exilis and V. dalzelliana, are part of the secondary gene pool, but these species have yet to be studied. Although there is limited information, the tertiary gene pool would be species in section Ceratotropis (Vigna radiata, V. mungo, etc.) gene pool. Cross compatibility of species in section Aconitifoliae (V. aconitifolia, V. trilobata, etc.) with azuki bean has not been clarified (Tomooka et al. 2002).

The gene pools of mungbean consist of a primary gene pool of domesticated *V. radiata* and its presumed wild progenitor var. *sublobata* (Fig. 15.4b). The secondary gene pool of mungbean consists of the other species in section Ceratotropis, *V. mungo*, *V. subramanian*, *V. grandiflora*, as well as *V. stipulacea* (Section Aconitifolia), *V. tenuicaulis*, *V. trinervia*, and *V. umbellata* (Section Angulares). Other species in sections Aconitifolia and Angulares are within the tertiary gene pool based on studies of the first author to date.

Dana and Karmakar (1990) proposed two genome groups for the subgenus *Ceratotropis*, AA and A_1A_1 , based on crossability, hybrid fertility, and chromosome pairing. The genome group AA includes mungbean and Vigna aconitifolia, V. dalzelliana, V. khandalensis, V. mungo, and V. trilobata, while A1A1 consists of V. angularis and V. umbellata. There have been many reports of interspecific hybridization involving mungbean (for reviews, see Dana and Karmakar 1990; Tomooka et al. 2002). Results of interspecific hybridization suggests that mungbean and related Asian Vigna have more complexity to genome structure than indicated by just two groups for the 21 species in the subgenus Ceratotropis. A complete study and synthesis of information of genomes in the subgenus Ceratotropis have yet to be undertaken.

15.5.2 African Vigna

V. vexillata (subgenus *Plectotropis*) has been the focus of efforts to develop hybrids with *V. unguiculata*

(subgenus *Vigna*) due to its range of useful traits, particularly cowpea mottle carmovirus. However, these have not been successful (Evans 1976; Barone and Ng 1990). Therefore, alternative approaches to introducing useful traits from this species into cowpea are being sought, such as seeking a bridging species, gene cloning, and transformation of cowpea.

An attempt to develop a bridging cross employed a hybrid between V. vexillata and V. davyi also of subgenus *Plectotropis*. The F_1 was partially fertile, but when crosses with cowpea were attempted, no hybrid was obtained (Fatokun et al. 1996).

A similar approach with similar results occurred when the partially fertile hybrid between *V. oblongifolia* and *V. luteola* (subgenus *Vigna* Section Vigna) was crossed with cowpea to try and transfer insect resistance. No hybrid was obtained (Fatokun et al. 1996).

Within the complex section *Catiang* of the subgenus *Vigna*, there is some cross incompatibility. To transfer pubescence from *V. unguiculata* ssp. *dekindtiana* var. *pubescens* to cowpea, a hybrid was successfully made, but it entailed embryo rescue (Fatokun et al. 1996). A cross between cowpea and *V. unguiculata* ssp. *rhomboidea* resulted in an F_2 population, but about 30% of plants produced no pods. A cross between a yard-long bean (ssp. *sesquipedalis*) and ssp. *tenuis* resulted in an F_1 with 60% pollen fertility and pods with few seeds. These examples suggest that even within a section of the genus *Vigna* there are various types of incompatibility (Fatokun et al. 1996).

These studies provide a basis for understanding the complexity of the crop gene pool system. For cowpea, studies suggest that the primary gene pool consists of the four cultivar groups of *V. unguiculata* ssp. *ungui-culata* and *V. unguiculata* ssp. *dekindtiana*, *steno-phylla*, and *tenuis* (Fig. 15.4c). The secondary gene pool consists of some subspecies of *V. unguiculata*, such as ssp. *pubescens*. *V. vexillata* (subgenus *Vigna*), and *V. radiata* (subgenus *Ceratotropis*) represent species in the tertiary gene pool.

15.6 Evaluation

Wild germplasm is not a preferred source of useful genes for breeding because of its use requires back-crossing to get rid of unwanted genes. However, wild *Vigna* are a source of many useful genes not found in the cultigen gene pools.

1. Resistance to bruchids have observed in *V. riukiuensis*, *V. reflexo-pilosa* (Tomooka et al. 1992), *V. radiata* var. *sublobata* from Madagascar (Fujii and Miyazaki 1987; Kaga and Ishimoto 1998) and from Australia (Miyagi et al. 2004), *V. umbellata* (Tomooka et al. 2000; Kashiwaba et al. 2003; Somta et al. 2006), *V. tenuicaulis* (Tomooka et al. 2000), and *V. nepalensis* (Somta et al. 2008a).

In the African *Vigna*, *V. vexillata*, *V. reticulata*, *V. oblongifolia*, and *V. luteola* were found to have a high level of resistance to cowpea storage weevil (Ng 1990).

- 2. *V. stipulacea* shows high resistance to powdery mildew due to hypersensitive reaction (Tomooka et al. 2006a). *V. reflexo-pilosa* var. *glabra* has also been reported to have powdery mildew resistance (Egawa et al. 1996).
- 3. Low trypsin inhibitor activity has been found in *V. tenuicaulis* (Konarev et al. 2002). Chymotrypsin was not detected in *V. grandiflora* (Konarev et al. 2002).
- 4. High methionine content has been reported in seeds of *V. radiata* var. *sublobata* (Babu et al. 1988).
- 5. High photosynthetic efficiency and drought tolerance has been reported in *V. raditata* var. *sublobata* (Ignacimuthu and Babu 1987).
- 6. Moth bean (*V. aconitifolia*) is a good source of drought tolerance (Jain and Mehra 1980).
- 7. Moth bean (V. aconitifolia) has the highest heat tolerance among the 15 Asian Vigna species tested. It could survive being subjected to consecutive heat treatment conditions of 36° for 12 days, 38° for 5 days, and 40° for 11 days. All other tested species died in the same test under 40°C temperatures (Tomooka et al. 2001). V. riukiuensis is resistant to heat stress (Egawa et al. 1999). Since heat stress is a constraint to growing azuki beans in tropical and subtropical areas and even in northern Japan, attempts have been made to identify and transfer genes for resistance to heat stress in V. riukiuensis to azuki bean. Several quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for resistance to heat stress have been identified; among them, two (HQTL1 and 2) maintain pollen viability during extended heat stress. Currently, a backcrossing program is underway to incorporate these QTLs into azuki breeding lines (Kaga et al. 2003).

- 8. Sources of resistance to the parasitic plant species in the genera *Striga* and *Alectra*, important mainly in Africa, have been found in landraces and breeding lines of cowpea (Singh 2005). So the wild gene pool has not yet been needed for resistance.
- 9. Parthenocarpy has been found in crosses between wild and cultivated cowpea that may enable apomixes to be identified and lead to the development of hybrid cowpeas (Hall et al. 1997).
- 10. Insect resistance in the form of increased pubescence from *V. unguiculata* ssp. *dekindtiana* var. *pubescens* has been introduced into cowpea breeding lines (Ehlers and Hall 1997).
- 11. Pronounced antibiosis to cowpea moth (*Cydia ptychora*) was detected in the wild species *V. unguiculata* ssp. *mensensis* (Ezueh 1981).
- Yellow mosaic virus resistance has been reported in *V. radiata* var. *sublobata* (Singh and Ahuja 1977). Cucumber mosaic virus resistance has been reported in *V. reflexo-pilosa* var. *glabrescens* (Egawa et al. 1996). Cowpea mottle carmovirus (CPMoV) resistance has been reported in *V. vexillata* (Thouttappilly et al. 1994; Ogundiwin et al. 2002).
- 13. Bean fly (*Ophiomyza phaseoli*, *O. centrosematis* and *Melanagromyza soja*) resistance has been reported in the cultivated form of the tetraploid species *V. reflexo-pilosa* (Egawa et al. 1996).
- Flower thrips, pod sucking bugs, and mucuna pod borer has been found in *V. unguiculata* ssp. *dekindtiana* var. *pubescens* and *V. unguiculata* ssp. *tenuis* (Ehlers and Hall 1997) and *V. vexillata* (Ng 1990).
- 15. High tolerance to saline and alkaline soils has been reported in *V. radiata* var. *sublobata* (Lawn et al. 1988).
- Resistance to pod bug (*Clavigralla tomento-sicollis*) has been identified in the wild cowpea (*V. unguiculata* ssp. *dekinditiana* TVNu 151) (Koona et al. 2002).
- Many V. vexillata lines have been identified as having high levels of resistance to several cowpea insect pests including pod-sucking bug (C. tomentosicollis), the bruchid Callosobruchus maculatus, and the pod borer Maruca vitrata (Birch et al. 1986; IITA 1988).
- 18. One of the important considerations of growing legume crops is the efficiency with which they fix nitrogen and may increase soil fertility. In a

survey of *Bradyrhizobium* in the root nodules of various wild and cultivated *Vigna* from Thailand, much greater variation was observed in *Bradyrhizobium* from Thailand than in soybean *Bradyrhizobia* from Thailand, Japan, and the US (Yokoyama et al. 2006). This study suggested that useful variation exists in *Bradyrhizobium* to improve symbiotic systems.

Resistance to soybean cyst nematode (*Heterodera* glycines) has been found in V. riukiuensis, and this trait is being transferred to azuki bean in Japan (Shimada, Tokachi Experiment Station, 2009, personal communication).

15.7 Genome Mapping and Domestication Syndrome

Wild relatives of crops provide insights into crop evolution and the domestication syndrome. The domestication syndrome can help to understand how the crop has evolved and also how the crop may further evolve. Wild species have, therefore, been important in the development of mapping populations that have led to abundant information on the location of QTL of potential for crop improvement. A summary of genome maps published for various *Vigna* species is shown (Table 15.3).

15.7.1 Azuki Bean

Wild azuki beans have a higher yield on per plant basis than domesticated azuki beans. This is because domestication of azuki bean has involved a trade-off between yield and seed size. Domesticated azuki bean has fewer longer pods and fewer larger seeds on plants with shorter stature compared to wild azuki bean (Kaga et al. 2008).

The domestication syndrome in azuki bean has been studied in detail in two different crosses (Isemura et al. 2007a; Kaga et al. 2008). One cross was of azuki bean and a closely related species from the Himalayan region, *V. nepalensis*. The second involved azuki bean and an accession of its wild progenitor from Japan, *V. angularis* var. *nipponensis*. Both studies showed similarities: about 40% commonality in QTLs detected and clusters of domestication-related QTLs clustered on the same linkage groups 1, 4, 7, and 9. However, the two studies revealed some differences. One difference was the detection of a presumed translocation in the cross between azuki bean and wild azuki from Japan (Kaga et al. 2008).

15.7.2 Black Gram

Two genome maps have been developed in black gram (Chaitieng et al. 2006; Gupta et al. 2008). These maps show linkage order of markers is highly conserved between black gram and azuki bean. These maps were developed using simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers from azuki bean as well as restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), and intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. However, both maps had significant gaps that may require development of specific molecular markers for black gram.

15.7.3 Cowpea

The development of linkage maps for cowpea has concentrated on identifying the location of QTLs for various agronomically important traits. Genome maps that have used one cultivated and one wild plant have focused on identifying morphoagronomic traits (Fatokun et al. 1992; Ubi et al. 2000) and aphid resistance (Myers et al. 1996). However, the most detailed genetic maps for cowpea have been developed from a cross between two cultivated parents that have complementary useful traits such as resistance to different races of *Striga*, cowpea mosaic virus, root-knot nematode virus, and *Fusarium* wild (Menéndez et al. 1997; Ouédraogo et al. 2001, 2002a, b).

15.7.4 V. vexillata

V. vexillata is a highly variable pan-tropical species that is palatable to cattle; its green pods are also used as fresh vegetable, and its tubers are eaten by some
Table 15.3 Vigna genome linkage maps						
Cross combination	Population (plants/lines) analyzed	Markers used	LG^{a}	Map distance	Level of distortion	References
V. angularis (cv. Erimoshouzu) \times V. nakashimae	F ₂ population (80)	19 RFLP, 108 RAPD and 5 morphological markers	14	1,250 cM	0.197	Kaga et al. (1996)
V. angularis (cv. Erimoshouzu) × V. umbellata (cv. Kaoochima)	F_2 population (86)	114 RFLP, 74 RAPD, 1 morphological marker	14	1,702 cM	0.298	Kaga et al. (2000)
V. radiata var. radiata $\times V$. radiata var. sublobata (from Madagascar)	F_2 population (58)	151 RFLP, 20 cDNA and 1 pest locus	14	1,570 cM	0.12	Menacio-Hautea et al. (1992)
V. radiata var. radiata × V. radiata var. sublobtata (from Australia)	F_2 population (67)	52 RFLP, 56 RAPD, 2 morphological markers	12	758.3 cM	0.145	Lambrides et al. (2000)
V. radiata var. radiata × V. radiata var. sublobata V from Australia)	Recombinant (67)	113 RAPD, 2 morphological markers	12	691.7 cM	0.24	Lambrides et al. (2000)
V. radiata var. sublobata (from Australia)	Recombinant inbreed	78 RFLP markers (64 probes) 1 morphological locus	13	684.7 cM	0.367	Humphrey et al. (2005)
V. radiata (cv. Berken) × V. radiata ssp. sublobata (ACC41)	Recombinant inbreed (80)	255 RFLP markers	13	737.9 cM	0.308	Humphrey et al. (2002)
V. unguiculata (TT84S-2246-4 improved line) × V. unguiculata ssp. dekindriana var. pubescens (TVNu-110-3A)	Recombinant inbreed (94)	77 RAPD, 3 morphological markers	12	669.8 cM	0.217	Ubi et al. (2000)
V. imguiculata (TT2246-4) \times V. unguiculata ssp. dekindtiana (TVN1963)	F_2 population (58)	79 genomic4 cDNA, 6 RAPD, 2 aphid, 1 seed coat texture markers	10	>800 cM	0.22	Menacio-Hautea et al. (1993) and Fatokun et al. (1997)
V. unguiculata (IT84S-2049) \times V. unguiculata (5200)	F_2 population	133 RAPD, 19 RFLP, 25 AFLP, 3 mombolocitori 1 hisobamicol morbare	12	972 cM	0.18	Menéndez et al. (1997)
V. $mguiculata$ (TT84S-2049) × V. $mguiculata$ (524B) (524B)	Recombinant inbred (94)	 morphological, 1 operating markets 133 RAPD, 36 RFLP, 267 AFLP, 3 morphological, 1 biochemical markets 	11	2,670 cM	0.197	Ouédraogo et al. (2002a)
V. vexillata var. angustifolia (Tvnu 1443) × V. vexillata var. vexillata (Tvnu 73)	$F_{2}(94)$	70 RAPDs, 47 AFLP, 1 SSR, 2 morphological traits	14	1,564.1 cM	0.335	Ogundiwin et al. (2005)
V. angularis (Tanba Dainagon) \times V. riukiuensis	BC ₁ F ₁ population (77)	100 SSR, 47 RFLP, 234 AFLP markers	11	624 cM	0.029	Kaga et al. (2003)
 V. nepalensis (JP107881) × V. angularis (JP81481) V. nepalensis (JP107881) × V. angularis (JP81481) V. angularis var. angularis (JP109685 cv. Kyoto Dainagon) × V. angularis var. nipponensis 	$BC_{1}F_{1}$ (187) F_{2} (141) F_{2} (188)	 205 SSR, 94 RFLP, 187 AFLP markers 74 SSR markers 191 SSR, 2 STS, 1 CAPS, 2 SCAR, 36 AFLP markers 	11 11 10	832 cM 650 cM 772 cM	0.039 0.280 0.039	Han et al. (2005) Isemura et al. (2007a) Kaga et al. (2008)
(JP110658) V. mungo (JP219132) × V. mungo var. silvestris (JP107873)	BC ₁ F ₁ population (180)	61 SSR, 59 RFLP, 27 AFLP, 1 morphological markers	11	783 cM	0.000	Chaitieng et al. (2006)

V. mungo (TU94-2) \times V. mungo var. silvestris	F ₉ RI population (104)	47 SSR, 254 AFLP, 86 RAPD, 41 ISSR	11	865.1 cM	0.44	Gupta et al. (2008)
V. umbellata (JP100304) \times V. nakashimae (JP107879)	$F_2(74)$	101 SSR, 74 RFLP markers	11	652 cM	0.260	Somta et al. (2006)
V. umbellata (cultivated, JP217439) \times V. umbellata (wild, JP210639)	BC ₁ F ₁ (198)	185 SSR, 103 AFLP markers	11	814 cM	0.192	Isemura et al. (2007b)
V. radiata (cultivated, cv. Sukhothai) \times V. radiata	BC_1F_1	153 SSR markers	11	674 cM	0.137	Isemura et al. (2008)
(wild, W166)	population (250)					
^a Linkage groups resolved						

people (Lawn and Cottrell 1988). It is a useful legume to help prevent soil erosion due to its fast growth. A domesticated form of V. vexillata has been reported from Bali, West Timor, and Indonesia, where it is used for seeds and tubers (Karuniawan et al. 2006). V. vexillata has a number of traits that would be useful for cowpea breeding, but it is cross-incompatible with cowpea. An approach to introducing genes from V. vexillata into cowpea would be to identify genome regions with useful genes, clone them, and put them in cowpea by genetic transformation. To this end, a genome map of V. vexillata has been constructed (Ogundiwin et al. 2005). The resulting genome map had 70 RAPD markers, 47 AFLP markers, and one SSR marker mapped to 14 linkage groups compared to the expected 11, the haploid chromosome number. This linkage map enabled positioning of various OTLs including that for cowpea mottle carmovirus CPMoV resistance (Ogundiwin et al. 2005).

15.7.5 Mungbean

It is only recently that a genetic map of mungbean with the expected 11 linkage groups of this species has been reported (Isemura et al. 2008; Table 15.3). A comparison across the genus *Vigna* with regard to a QTL related to the important domestication-related trait seed size is shown in Fig. 15.6.

15.7.6 Rice Bean

Rice bean is not a major cultivated legume; however, it is locally important in parts for South and Southeast Asia. It produces profuse numbers of pods. Its major interest to Asian *Vigna* specialists is its useful source of resistance to pests and diseases. Resistance to mungbean mosaic virus, one of the most devastating diseases of this crop, has been found in rice bean (Pandiyan personal communication). It is also a source of bruchid resistance (Tomooka et al. 2000). Consequently a genetic map of this species has been developed (Isemura et al. 2007c). Domestication-related traits have also been analyzed in a cross between cultivated and wild rice bean (Isemura et al. 2007b)

N. Tomooka et al.

15.8 Genomic Resources and Collaborative Initiatives

An increasing number of genome resources are now available for *Vigna*. The National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences (NIAS) has developed SSR markers for azuki bean that have been used successfully in mapping other Asian *Vigna* (Wang et al. 2002; Chaitieng et al. 2006; Gupta et al. 2008; Somta et al. 2008b), and primer information is available at: (*http://www.gene.affrc.go.jp/databases-marker_information_en.php*).

Using genome arrays from soybean enabled many single feature polymorphisms to be detected in cowpea (Das et al. 2008). Genomic sequences of the hypomethylated portion of the cowpea genome have been reported (Chen et al. 2007; Timko et al. 2008). Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries of three cowpea genotypes are leading to the finding of new markers, such as 9,077 SSRs and SNPs, genomic amplicons of conserved orthologous sequences and ESTs (Close 2008). The NordEST network is developing ESTs and SAGE tags for cowpea (Close 2008).

Support, particularly for research on cowpea genomic resources and cowpea improvement, is coming from the CGIAR Generation Challenge program (*http://www.generationcp.org/index.php*), USAID-CRSP (http://crsps.org/), Gates Foundation (*http://www.entm. purdue.edu/NGICA/*), and the Kirkhouse Trust, among others. This is largely in response to the challenges of increasing food security in sub-Saharan Africa.

The various *Vigna* genomic initiatives will undoubtedly be used to understand not just the crops themselves but also the gene pools including wild and weedy species of which they are a part.

15.9 Future Perspectives

This review has discussed the considerable research that has been conducted on the wild relatives of crops in the genus *Vigna*. However, there are major gaps in our knowledge of these genetic resources, and the research on *Vigna* as a whole is poorly coordinated as it lacks the cooperative networks that exist for other crops. Particularly, as advances are made in genome mapping and genomics of *Vigna*, enhanced



Fig. 15.6 A major QTL for seed weight on linkage group 1 of azuki bean compared with QTL for seed weight at a similar genomic position in other *Vigna* species. Of *Vigna* species analyzed to date only black gram did not have a QTL for seed size at a similar location to azuki bean. References: Rice bean (Isemura

et al. 2007b), mungbean 1 (Isemura et al. 2008), mungbean 2 (Fatokun et al. 1992, Menacio-Hautea et al. 1993), azuki bean (Isemura et al. 2007a), black gram (Chaitieng et al. 2006), cowpea (Fatokun et al. 1992)

collaboration will be necessary to ensure some standardization for such things as gene symbols, molecular markers, and linkage groups.

There are also significant gaps in the germplasm collection for wild *Vigna*. This is particularly true for species of Latin America (subgenus *Sigmoidotropis*). Future research needs to focus on ways to transfer useful genes from one species to another.

15.10 Databases that Include Data Related to *Vigna* Species

http://www.nenno.it/Beanref/

BeanRef is a collection of external links and references from literature to different aspects of research on beans (*Phaseolus* and *Vigna*). http://beangenes.cws.ndsu.nodak.edu/ A Phaseolus/Vigna database.

http://www.kew.org/herbarium/legumes/beanbag.html

Bean Bag is a newsletter to promote communication among research scientists concerned with the systematics of the Leguminoseae/Fabaceae.

http://www.grainlegumes.com/

A grain legumes portal that provides abundant information on conferences, research, and publications related to grain legumes, particularly from a Eurpoean perspective.

http://www.br.fgov.be/RESEARCH/COLLECTIONS/ LIVING/PHASEOLUS/index.html.

This database provides information on Wild Phaseoleae – Phaseolinae Collection of the National Botanic Garden, Belgium.

http://www.gene.affrc.go.jp/publications.php?type= report§ion=plant

Germplasm collection reports in English that have focused on Asian *Vigna* collection by the *Vigna* group at NIAS can be found at this web site.

http://www.gene.affrc.go.jp/databases-marker_information_en.php

Information related to SSR markers used in the genome maps developed by the *Vigna* group at NIAS and links to some of their publications can be found at this website.

http://www.becabioinfo.org/bbrweb/cms/iitabioinformatics/CowpeaSNP/putative cos.php

The Cowpea SNP project with information on primers associated with various potentially useful genes can be found at this website.

References

- Babu CR, Sharma SK, Chaterjee SR, Abrol YP (1988) Seed protein and amino acid composition of wild *Vigna radiata* var. sublobata (Fabaceae) and two cultigens *V. mungo* and *V. radiata*. Econ Bot 42:54–61
- Barone A, Ng NQ (1990) Embryological study of crsses between V. unguiculata and V. vexillata. In: Ng NQ, Monti LM (eds) Cowpea genetic resources. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp 151–160
- Birch ANE, Fellows LE, Evans SV, Doherty K (1986) Paraaminophenylalanine in *Vigna*: possible taxonomic and ecological significance as a seed defence against bruchids. Phytochemistry 25:2745–2749
- Bisht IS, Mahajan RK, Patel DP (1998) The use of characterisation data to establish the Indian mungbean core collection

and assessment of genetic diversity. Genet Resour Crop Evol 45:127–133

- Borlaug N (1973) Building a protein revolution on grain legumes. In: Milner M (ed) Nutritional improvement of food legumes by breeding. Protein Advisory Group of the Unitied Nations, New York, pp 7–11
- Chaitieng B, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Isemura T, Kuroda Y, Vaughan DA (2006) Development of a black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] linkage map and its comparison with an azuki bean [*Vigna angularis* (Willd.) Ohwi and Ohashi] linkage map. Theor Appl Genet 113:1261–1269
- Chen X, Laudeman TW, Rushton PJ, Spraggins TA, Timko MP (2007) CGKB: an annotation knowledge base for cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) methylation filtered genomic genespace sequences. BMC Bioinformatics 8:129–137
- Close TJ (2008) Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) genomic resources. In: Plant and animal genome XVI conference, San Diego, CA, USA, W102
- Dana S, Karmakar PG (1990) Species relationships in *Vigna* subgenus *Ceratotropis* and its implications in breeding. Plant Breed Rev 8:19–42
- Das S, Bhat PR, Sudhakar C, Ehlers JD, Wanamaker S, Roberts PA, Cui X, Close TJ (2008) Detection and validation of single feature polymorphisms in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) using a soybean genome array. In: Plant and animal genome XVI conference, San Diego, CA, USA
- Delgado-Salinas A, Bibler R, Lavin M (2006) Phylogeny of the genus *Phaseolus* (Leguminosae): a recent diversification in an ancient landscape. Syst Bot 31:779–791
- Egawa Y, Bujang IB, Chotechuen S, Tomooka N, Tateishi Y (1996) Phylogenetic differentiation of tetraploid *Vigna* species, *V. glabrescens* and *V. reflexo-pilosa*. Jpn Int Res Center Agric Sci 3:49–58
- Egawa Y, Takeda H, Suzuki K (1999) Research plan on crop heat tolerance at the crop introduction and cultivation laboratory. Japan Int Res Center Agric Sci 14:103–107
- Ehlers JD, Hall AE (1997) Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.). Field Crops Res 53:187–204
- Evans AM (1976) Species hybridization in the genus *Vigna*. In: Collaborators' meeting on grain legume improvement, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp 31–34
- Ezueh MI (1981) The biological bases of resistance in cowpea to the cowpea moth, *Cydia ptychora* (Lepidoptera: Olethreutidae). Ann Appl Biol 99:313–321
- Fatokun CA, Menacio-Hautea D, Danesh D, Young ND (1992) Evidence for orthologous seed weight genes in cowpea and mungbean based on RFLP mapping. Genetics 132:841–846
- Fatokun CA, Perrino P, Ng NQ (1996) Wide crossing in African Vigna species. In: Singh BB, Mohan Raj DR, Dashiell KE, Jackai LEN (eds) Advances in cowpea research. Copublication of IITA and JIRCAS, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp 50–57
- Fatokun CA, Young ND, Myers GO (1997) Molecular markers and genome mapping in cowpea. In: Singh BB, Mohan Raj DR, Dashiell KE, Jackai LEN (eds) Advances in cowpea research. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria
- Freytag GF, Debouck DG (2002) Taxonomy, distribution and ecology of the genus *Phaseolus* (Leguminosae–Papilionoideae) in North America, Mexico, vol 23, SIDA, botanical

miscellany. Botanical Research Institute of Texas, Fort Worth, TX, p 300

- Fujii K, Miyazaki S (1987) Infestation resistance of wild legumes (Vigna sublobata) to azuki bean weevil, Callosobruchus chinensis (L.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) and its relationship with cytogenetic classification. Appl Entomol Zool 22:229–230
- Gepts P, Beavis WD, Brummer EC, Shoemaker RC, Stalker HT, Weeden NF, Young ND (2005) Legumes as a model plant family. Plant Physiol 137:1228–1235
- Gupta SK, Souframanien J, Gopalakrishna T (2008) Construction of a genetic linkage map of black gram, *Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper, based on molecular markers and comparative studies. Genome 51:628–637
- Hall AE, Singh BB, Ehlers JD (1997) Cowpea breeding. Plant Breed Rev 15:15–274
- Han OK, Kaga A, Isemura T, Wang XW, Tomooka N, Vaughan DA (2005) A genetic linkage map for azuki bean [Vigna angularis (Willd.) Ohwi & Ohashi]. Theor Appl Genet 111:1278–1287
- Harlan JR, de Wet JMJ (1971) Towards a rationale classification of cultivated plants. Taxon 20:509–517
- Hodgkin T, Brown AHD, van Hintum Th JL, Morales EAV (1995) Core collections of plant genetic resources. Wiley, Chichester
- Humphrey ME, Konduri V, Lambrides CJ, Magner T, McIntyre CL, Aiten EAB, Liu CJ (2002) Development of a mungbean (Vigna radiata) RFLP linkage map and its comparison with lablab (Lablab purpureus) reveals a high level of colinearity between the two genomes. Theor Appl Genet 105:160–166
- Humphrey ME, Lambrides CJ, Chapman SC, Aitken EAB, Imrie BC, Lawn RJ, McIntyre CL, Liu CJ (2005) Relationship between hard-seededness and seed weight in mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) assessed by QTL analysis. Plant Breed 124:292–298
- Ignacimuthu S, Babu CR (1987) Vigna radiata var. sublobata (Fabaceae): economically useful wild relative of urd and mung beans. Econ Bot 41:418–422
- IITA (1988) Annual report and research highlights 1987/88. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibedan, Nigeria
- Isemura T, Kaga A, Konishi S, Ando T, Tomooka N, Han OK, Vaughan DA (2007a) Genome dissection of traits related to domestication in azuki bean (*Vigna angularis*) and their comparison with other warm season legumes. Ann Bot 100:1053–1071
- Isemura T, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Vaughan DA (2007b) QTL analysis for domestication related traits in rice bean (*Vigna umbellata*). Breeding science autumn meeting, Sept 22-23, Tsuruoka City, Yamagata, Japan, p 189
- Isemura T, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Vaughan D (2007c) Construction of a rice bean linkage map using azuki SSR markers. Breed Res 9:104 (in Japanese)
- Isemura T, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Vaughan D (2008) Construction of a mungbean linkage map using azuki SSR markers. Breed Res 10(2):316
- Jain HK, Mehra KL (1980) Evolution, adaptation, relationship and uses of the species of *Vigna* cultivated in India. In: Summerfield RJ, Bunting AH (eds) Advances in legume science, vol 1. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, pp 459–464

- Janardhanan K, Vadivel V, Pugalenthi M (2003) Biodiversity in Indian underexploited tribal pulses. In: Jaiwal PK, Singh RP (eds) Improvement strategies for Leguminosae biotechnology. Springer, New York, pp 353–405
- Kaga A, Ishimoto M (1998) Genetic localization of a bruchid resistance gene and its relationship to insecticidal cyclopeptide alkaloids, the vignatic acids, in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). Mol Gen Genet 258:378–384
- Kaga A, Ohnishi M, Ishii T, Kamijima O (1996) A genetic linkage map of azuki bean constructed with molecular and morphological markers using an interspecific population (*Vigna angularis* × *V. nakashimae*). Theor Appl Genet 93: 658–663
- Kaga A, Ishii T, Tsukimoto K, Tokoro E, Kamijima O (2000) Comparative molecular mapping in *Ceratotropis* species using an interspecific cross between azuki bean (*Vigna angularis*) and rice bean (*V. umbellata*). Theor Appl Genet 100: 207–213
- Kaga A, Han OK, Wang XW, Egawa Y, Tomooka N, Vaughan DA (2003) Vigna angularis as a model for legume research. In: Jayasuriya AHM, Vaughan DA (eds) Conservation and use of wild relatives of crops. Proceedings of the Joint Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka and National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Japan. Workshop. Department of Agriculture, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka, pp 51–74
- Kaga A, Vaughan DA, Tomooka N (2005) Molecular markers in plant breeding and crop improvement of *Vigna*. In: Lorz H, Wenzel G (eds) Biotechnology in agriculture and forestry, vol 55, Molecular markers in plant breeding and crop improvement. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 171–187
- Kaga A, Isemura T, Tomooka N, Vaughan DA (2008) The domestication of the azuki bean (*Vigna angularis*). Genetics 178:1013–1036
- Karuniawan A, Iswandi A, Kale PR, Heinzemann J, Gruneberg WJ (2006) Vigna vexillata (L.) A. Rich cultivated as a root crop in Bali and Timor. Genet Resour Crop Evol 53:213–217
- Kashiwaba K, Tomooka N, Kaga A, Han OK, Vaughan DA (2003) Characterization of resistance to three bruchid species (*Callosobruchus* spp., Coleoptera, Bruchidae) in cultivated rice bean, [*Vigna umbellata* (Thunb.) Ohwi & Ohashi]. J Econ Entomol 96:207–213
- Konarev AV, Tomooka N, Vaughan DA (2002) Proteinase inhibitor polymorphism in the genus *Vigna* subgenus *Ceratotropis* and its biosystematic implications. Euphytica 123:165–177
- Koona P, Osisanya EO, Jackai LEN, Tamo M, Markham RK (2002) Resistance in accessions of cowpea to the coreid pod-bug *Clavigralla tomentosicollis* (Hemiptera: Coreidae). J Econ Entomol 95:1281–1288
- Lambrides CJ, Lawn RJ, Godwin ID, Manners J, Imrie BC (2000) Two genetic linkage maps of mungbean using RFLP and RAPD markers. Aust J Agric Res 51:415–425
- Lavin M, Schrire BP, Lewis G (2004) Metacommunity process rather than continental tectonic history better explains geographically structured phylogenies in legumes. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 359:1509–1522
- Lavin M, Herendeen PS, Wojciechowski MF (2005) Evolutionary rates analysis of Leguminosae implicates a rapid diversification of lineages during the tertiary. Syst Biol 54: 575–594

Lawn RJ, Cottrell A (1988) Wild mungbean and its relaties in Australia. Biologist 35:267–273

- Lawn R, Williams W, Imrie BC (1988) Potential of wild germplasm as a source of tolerance to environmental stresses in mungbean. In: Proceeding of the 2nd international symposium on mungbean, AVRDC, Taiwan, pp 136–145
- Mahalakshmi V, Ng NQ, Lawson M, Ortiz R (2007) Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] core collection defined by geographical, agronomic and botanical descriptors. Plant Genet Resour 5:113–119
- Maréchal R, Mascherpa JM, Stainier F (1978) Etude taxonomique d'un groupe complexe d'espècies des genres *Phaseolus* et *Vigna* (Papilionaceae) sur la base de données morphologiques et polliniques, traitées par l'analyse informatique. Boussiera 28:1–273
- Matsunaga R, Singh BB, Adamou M, Tobita S, Hayashi K, Kamidohzono A (2008) Yield performance, nitrogen and phosphorous acquisition of cowpea germplasm accessions in low-fertility sandy soils in the Sahelian Zone. Trop Agric Dev 52:50–57
- Maxted N, Mabuza-Dlamini P, Moss H, Padulosi S, Jarvis A, Guarino L (2004) An ecogeographic study. African Vigna. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy
- Menacio-Hautea D, Kumar L, Danesh D, Young ND (1992) A genome map for mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] based on DNA genetic markers (2n = 2x = 22). In: O'Brien JS (ed) Genetic maps. A compilation of linkage and restriction maps of genetically studied organisms. Cold Spring Harbor, New York, p 259
- Menacio-Hautea D, Fatokun CA, Kumar L, Danesh D, Young ND (1993) Comparative genome analysis of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) and cowpea (*V. unguiculata* L. Walpers) using RFLP mapping data. Theor Appl Genet 86:797–810
- Menéndez CM, Hall AE, Gepts P (1997) A genetic linkage map of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) developed from a cross between two inbred, domesticated lines. Theor Appl Genet 95:1210–1217
- Miyagi M, Humphrey M, Ma ZY, Lambrides CJ, Bateson M, Liu CJ (2004) Construction of bacterial artificial chromosome libraries and their application in developing PCRbased markers closely linked to a major locus conditioning bruchid resistance in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). Theor Appl Genet 110:151–156
- Myers GO, Fatokun CA, Young ND (1996) RFLP mapping of an aphid resistance gene in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). Euphytica 91:181–187
- Ng NQ (1990) Recent developments in cowpea germplasm collection, conservation, evaluation and research at the genetic resources unit, IITA. In: Ng NQ, Monti LM (eds) Cowpea genetic resources. IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria, pp 13–20
- Ogundiwin EA, Thottappilly G, Aken'Ova ME, Ekpo EJA, Fatokun CA (2002) Resistance to cowpea mottle carmovirus in *Vigna vexillata*. Plant Breed 121:517–520
- Ogundiwin EA, Thottappilly G, Aken'Ova ME, Pillay M, Fatokun CA (2005) A genetic linkage map for *Vigna vexillata*. Plant Breed 124:392–398
- Ouédraogo JT, Maheshwari V, Berner DK, St-Pierre CA, Belzile F, Timko MP (2001) Identification of AFLP markers linked to resistance of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) to

parasitism by *Striga gesnerioides*. Theor Appl Genet 102: 1029–1036

- Ouédraogo JT, Gowda BS, Jean M, Close TJ, Ehlers JD, Hall AE, Gillaspie RPA, Ismail AM, Bruening G, Gepts P, Timko MP, Belzile FJ (2002a) An improved genetic linkage maps for cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) combining AFLP, RFLP, RAPD, biochemical markers and resistance traits. Genome 45:175–188
- Ouédraogo JT, Tignegre JB, Timko MP, Belzile FJ (2002b) AFLP markers linked to resistance against *Striga gesnerioides* race 1 in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). Genome 45: 787–793
- Sangiri C, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Vaughan D, Srinives P (2007) Genetic diversity of the mungbean (*Vigna radiata*, Leguminosae) gene pool on the basis of microsatellite analysis. Aust J Bot 55:837–847
- Seehalak W, Tomooka N, Waranyuwat A, Thipyapong P, Paisan L, Kaga A, Vaughan DA (2006) Genetic diversity of the *Vigna* germplasm from Thailand and neighboring regions revealed by AFLP analysis. Genet Resour Crop Evol 53: 1043–1059
- Singh BB (2005) Cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.]. In: Singh RJ, Jauhar PP (eds) Genetic resources, chromosome engineering, and crop improvement, vol 1, Grain legumes. Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, FL, pp 117–161
- Singh BV, Ahuja MR (1977) Phaseolus sublobata Roxb. a source of resistance to yellow mosaic virus for cultivated mungbean. Indian J Genet 37:130–132
- Somta P, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Kashiwaba K, Isemura T, Chaitieng B, Srinives P, Vaughan DA (2006) Development of an interspecific Vigna linkage map between Vigna umbellata (Thunb.) Ohwi & Ohashi and V. nakashimae (Ohwi) & Ohashi and its use in analysis of bruchid resistance and comparative genomics. Plant Breed 125:77–84
- Somta P, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Isemura T, Vaughan DA, Srinives P (2008a) Mapping of quantitative trait loci for a new source of resistance to bruchids in the wild species Vigna nepalensis Tateishi & Maxted (Vigna subgenus Ceratotropis). Theor Appl Genet 117:621–628
- Somta P, Musch W, Kongsamai B, Chanprame S et al (2008b) New microsatellite markers isolated from mungbean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek). Mol Ecol Resour 8:1155–1157
- Thouttappilly G, Ng NQ, Rossel HW (1994) Screening germplasm of *Vigna vexillata* for resistance to cowpea mottle carmovirus. Int J Trop Plant Dis 12:75–80
- Thulin M, Lavin M, Pasquet R, Delgado-Salinas A (2004) Phylogeny and biogeography of *Wajira* (Leguminosae): a monophyletic segregate of *Vigna* centered on the Horn of Africa region. Syst Bot 29:903–920
- Timko MP, Rushton PJ, Laudeman TW, Bokowiec MT, Chipumuro E, Cheung F, Town CD, Chen X (2008) Sequencing and analysis of the gene-rich space of cowpea. BMC Genomics 9:103
- Tomooka N, Lairungreang C, Nakeeraks P, Egawa Y, Thavarasook C (1992) Development of bruchid-resistant mungbean line using wild mungbean germplasm in Thailand. Plant Breed 109:60–66
- Tomooka N, Kashiwaba K, Vaughan DA, Ishimoto M, Egawa Y (2000) The effectiveness of evaluation using a species level core collection: a case study of searching for sources of

resistance to bruchid beetles in species of the genus Vigna subgenus Ceratotropis. Euphytica 115:27–41

- Tomooka N, Kaga A, Egawa Y, Vaughan DA, Kashiwaba K, Doi K (2001) Searching for vegetative stage high temperature tolerance in the genus *Vigna* subgenus *Ceratotropis*. Jpn J Trop Agric 45:47–48 (in Japanese with English summary)
- Tomooka N, Vaughan DA, Moss H, Maxted N (2002) The Asian Vigna: genus Vigna subgenus Ceratotropis genetic resources. Kluwer, Dordretch, p 270
- Tomooka N, Thadavong S, Boonphanousay C, Inthapanya P, Vaughan DA, Kaga A (2004) Field survey of Vigna genetic resources in Laos, November 15–26, 2003. Annual report on exploration and introduction of plant genetic resources (NIAS, Tsukuba, Japan) 21:77–91: http://www.gene.affrc. go.jp/publications.php?type=report§ion=plant
- Tomooka N, Vaughan DA, Kaga A (2005) Mungbean. In: Singh RJ, Jauhar PP (eds) Genetic resources, chromosome engineering and crop improvement. II. Grain legumes. CRC, Boca Rogue, FL, pp 319–339
- Tomooka N, Kaga A, Vaughan DA (2006a) The Asian Vigna (Vigna subgenus Ceratotropis) biodiversity and evolution. In: Sharma AK, Sharma A (eds) Plant genome: biodiversity and evolution, vol 1, Part C: phanerogams (angiosperms– dicotyledons). Science, Enfield, NH, pp 87–126
- Tomooka N, Thadavong S, Inthapanya P, Vaughan DA, Kaga A, Isemura T, Kuroda Y (2006b) Conservation of legume – symbiotic rhizobia genetic diversity in Laos, 2005. Annual report on exploration and introduction of plant genetic resources (NIAS, Tsukuba, Japan) 22:149–161. http://www. gene.affrc.go.jp/publications.php?type=report§ion=plant
- Tomooka N, Senthil N, Pandiyan M, Ramamoothi N, Kaga A, Vaughan DA (2008) Collection and Conservation of leguminous crops and their wild relatives in tamil Nadu, India, 2008. Annual report on exploration and introduction of plant genetic resources (NIAS, Tsukuba, Japan) 24:113–125. http://www. gene.affrc.go.jp/publications.php?type=report§ion=plant

- Ubi BE, Mignouna H, Thottappilly G (2000) Construction of a genetic linkage map and QTL analysis using a recombinant inbred population derived from an intersubspecific cross of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). Breed Sci 50: 161–172
- Upadhyaya HD, Ortiz R (2001) A mini core subset for capturing diversity and promoting utilization of chickpea genetic resources. Theor Appl Genet 102:1292–1298
- Vaillancourt RE, Weeden NF, Bruneau A, Doyle JJ (1993) Chloroplast DNA phylogeny of Old World Vigna (Leguminosae). Syst Bot 18:642–651
- Vaughan DA, Tomooka N, Kaga A (2005) Azuki bean. In: Singh RJ, Jauhar PP (eds) Genetic resources, chromosome engineering and crop improvement, vol 1, Grain legumes. CRC, Boca Raton, FL, pp 341–353
- Verdcourt B (1970) Studies in the Leguminosae-Papilionoideae for the 'Flora of East Africa', IV. Kew Bull 24:7–69
- Wang XW, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Vaughan DA (2002) The development of SSR markers by a new method in plants and their application to gene flow studies in azuki bean [Vigna angularis (Willd.) Ohwi and Ohashi]. Theor Appl Genet 109:352–360
- Xu HX, Jing T, Tomooka N, Kaga A, Isemura T, Vaughan DA (2008) Genetic diversity of the azuki bean [*Vigna angularis* (Willd.) Ohwi & Ohashi] gene pool as assessed by SSR markers. Genome 51:728–738
- Yamaguchi H (1992) Wild and weed azuki beans in Japan. Econ Bot 46:384–394
- Yokoyama T, Tomooka N, Okabayashi M, Kaga A, Boonkerd N, Vaughan DA (2006) Variation in the *nod* and *gyrB* gene RFLPs, Nod factors and nodulation abilities of *Bradyrhizobium* strains isolated from Thai *Vigna* plants. Can J Microbiol 52:31–46
- Zong XX, Kaga A, Tomooka N, Wang XW, Vaughan DA (2003) Genetic diversity of the azuki bean complex using AFLP markers. Genome 46:647–658

β-carotene, 63
β-ODAP, 120
2C DNA value, 171
2C value, 55, 171
454 Pyrosequencing, 214

A

ABI 3700, 214 Abiotic stress, 71, 133, 165 Acyanogenic, 253 Adaptation, 228 Addition line, 105 Advanced backcross QTL (AB-QTL), 30 Aflatoxin. 2 AFLP. See Amplified fragment length polymorphism Agrobacterium, 123, 143, 174, 232, 282 A. rhizogenes, 145, 283 A. tumefaciens, 145, 175, 232, 282 Agroecotypes, 160 Alfalfa, 207 Alkaloid, 161, 182 Allele-specific PCR (AS-PCR), 190 Allelism, 245 Allopolyploidization, 90 Allopolyploidy, 90 Allotetraploid, 42, 141 Alsike clover, 265 Alternaria blight, 25 Ambinervosae, 7 Amphiploid, 14 Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), 29, 57, 76, 92, 119, 131, 176, 238, 252, 281, 303 fingerprint, 281 Aneuploid, 90 Annotation, 216, 283 Anthracnose, 169 Anti-aging, 207 Antibiosis, 302 Antibiotic resistance, 174 Anti-cancer, 207 Anticarcinogenic, 109 Anti-inflammatory, 108, 207 Antinutritional, 161, 162

factors, 274 Antioxidant, 108 Apomictic, 66 Arabidopsis, 106, 143, 171, 211, 220, 237 Arachis, 1, 3, 5-8, 10 A. appressipila, 5 A. archeri, 5 A. benthami, 5 A. burkatii, 5 A. cardenasii, 13 A. cruziana, 5 A. cryptopotamica, 5 A. dardani, 5 A. decora, 7 A. diogoi, 5 A. douradiana, 7 A. duranensis, 2, 13 A. glabrata, 1, 5 A. gracilis, 5 A. guaranitica, 3 A. hatschbachii, 5 A. helodes, 5 A. hoehnei, 5 A. hypogaea, 2, 7 A. ipaënsis, 2, 5 A. kuhlmannii, 5 A. lutescens, 5 A. magna, 5 A. major, 5 A. marginata, 5 A. martii, 5 A. matiensis, 5 A. monticola, 5 A. oteroi, 5 A. palustris, 7 A. pietrarellii, 5 A. pintoi, 3, 8 A. repens, 3 A. retusa, 7 A. setinervosa, 5 A. stenosperma, 5, 13 A. subcoriacea, 5 A. triseminata, 5 A. tuberosa, 3, 5 A. vallsii, 5 A. villosa, 5

Arachis (cont.) A. villosulicarpa, 5 A. williamsii, 5 Archeological evidence, 42 Aridland goosefoot, 44 Arrowleaf clover, 265 Ascochyta blight, 72, 121, 133 resistance, 65 Association mapping (AM), 30, 193 Atylosia, 22 Autoployploid, 118 Autotetraploid, 90, 255 Autotetraploidy, 14 Azuki bean, 303

B

BAC. See Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) Backcross, 136 Backcrossing, 254 Bacterial interaction, 243 Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC), 178, 306 BAC-by-BAC, 214 clone, 180, 214 end, 106, 192, 214 library, 13, 30, 57, 106, 174, 178, 180, 214 sequencing, 216 Balansa clover, 266 Bambara groundnut, 291 Biotic stress, 10 Bean fly, 302 Bean yellow mosaic virus (BYMV), 185 Berlandier's goosefoot, 43 Berseem, 249 **Bioclimatic** variables, 168 Biodiversity, 5, 50, 129 Biogeography, 45 Bioremediation, 148 Biosynthesis, 148 Biotic stress, 10, 133 Bitter vetch, 277 Black gram, 303 Bladder clover, 265 **BLAST**, 217 BLASTN, 216, 217 Bombardment, 174 Botrytis gray mold (BGM), 73 Bradyrhizobium, 163, 303 Bridge species, 14 Broomrape, 245 Bruchid, 74, 245, 302 resistance, 27

С

Cajanus, 21 C. acutifolius, 23

C. cajan, 23 C. cajanifolius, 24 C. platycarpus, 24, 27 C. scarabaeoides, 24 Cañahua, 50 Canavanine, 284 Caulorhizae, 3, 7, 10 C-banding, 209 cDNA, 30, 108, 180 array, 147 clone, 146 library, 121, 142, 180 sequence, 57, 77, 122, 146 CENARGEN, 3, 5 CGIAR, 1, 291 Charge coupled device (CCD), 215 Chenopod, 39 Chenopodium, 35 C. album, 35 C. berlandieri, 35, 39 C. berlandieri nuttaliae, 35 C. giganteum, 35 C. hircinum, 39 C. pallidicaule, 35 C. quinoa, 35 Chickpea, 63 Chloroplast DNA, 292 Chromatogram, 216 Chromosomal rearrangement, 220 Chromosome doubling, 67, 251 landmarks, 10 pairing, 97 rearrangement, 54 Chymotrypsin, 285 CIAT, 3 Cicer, 237 C. anatolicum, 67 C. arietinum, 64 C. bijugum, 65 C. echinospermum, 64, 65 C. judaicum, 65 C. microphyllum, 67 C. oxyodon, 67 C. pinnatifidum, 65 C. reticulatum, 63, 65 C. songaricum, 67 Cleistogamy, 24 Climate change, 5, 52 Clover, 249 Co-evolution, 226 Cold tolerance, 11, 75 Colinearity, 13, 177 Common bean, 223 Common vetch, 279 Comparative genomics, 137, 220 Complementation, 145 Contigs, 216

Core collection, 23, 294 Cowpea, 303 Cowpea moth, 302 Cowpea mottle carmovirus, 302 Cowpea storage weevil, 302 Crimson clover, 262 Crop wild relatives (CWR), 1 Crossability, 94, 103 Cross-compatible, 51 CSIRO, 94, 179 Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), 169, 176 Cultigen, 1, 53 Cupped clover, 262 Cyano-alanines, 284 Cyanogenesis, 253 Cyanogenic glycosides, 281 Cyst nematode, 75 Cytogenetic mapping, 173, 213 Cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS), 21 line. 23

D

DAFWA, 176 Diploidization, 172 Disease resistance, 165 tolerance, 256 Diversity array technology (DArT), 30, 176 DNA content, 7, 171 delivery, 174 methylation, 238 phylogeny, 264 sequence, 264 phylogeny, 265 sequencing, 225 DNA amplification fingerprinting (DAF), 73 DNA-polymerase, 283 Domestication syndrome, 226 Drought, 71, 117, 134 tolerance, 75, 255, 302

E

Eastern Star clover, 262 Ecosystem, 63, 207 Ecotype, 56, 142 Electroporation, 174 EMBL, 217 EMBRAPA, 5 Embryo rescue, 12, 23, 65, 120, 174, 257 Endemic species, 266 *Erectoides*, 6–8 Ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS), 142 Ethylmethyl sulfonate (EMS), 76 Exotic weed, 50 Expressed sequence tag (EST), 57, 76, 90, 121, 123, 142, 146, 180, 231, 283 sequence, 123 library, 122 Ex situ conservation, 52, 118, 129, 293 *Extranervosae*, 3, 7

F

Fabaceae, 83, 117, 207, 223, 273 Favism, 285 FgeneSH, 217 Fgenesh/Mt, 217 F1 hybrid, 30, 98 Fine-mapping, 230 Fingerprint contig (FPC), 214 Flavonoid, 207 Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), 10, 57, 91, 106, 132, 173, 208 Fogg's goosefoot, 44 Fremont's goosefoot, 46 Functional genomics, 175 Fungal disease, 244 Fusarium wilt, 73, 133 resistance, 30

G

Gametophytic lethality, 57 Gas chromatography, 148 GenBank, 57, 122, 217 Gene bank, 2, 129, 165, 294 clustering, 213 density, 220 discovery, 137, 220 expression, 283 flow, 109, 127, 149, 258 phylogenies, 91 pool, 92, 119, 127, 299 primary, 22, 64, 101, 130, 225, 299 quaternary, 22, 102 secondary, 22, 65, 121, 130, 299 tertiary, 22, 65, 120 transfer, 67 Genetic base, 64 deterioration, 24 distance, 29 diversity, 8, 29, 69, 92, 229, 238 engineering, 231 erosion, 5, 50, 52, 118 manipulation, 145, 174 map, 16, 77, 142, 144, 175, 306 mapping, 174, 175 stock, 24 transformation, 29, 145, 223 variability, 229 vulnerability, 21 Genetically modified (GM) lupin, 192

Genetically modified (GM) (cont.) soybean, 110 Genetic Resources Information Network (GRIN), 141, 168, 238, 273 Genome arrays, 306 duplication, 90 map, 303 mapping, 77 organization, 220 sequence, 146 sequencing, 144, 213 size, 54, 170, 265, 266 Genomic imbalance, 13 Genomic in situ hybridization (GISH), 2, 93, 267 Genscan, 217 Germplasm, 93, 239 banks, 141 collection, 165, 293 pool, 39 Glycine, 83 G. curvata, 94 G. cvrtoloba, 94 G. gracilis, 91, 95 G. max, 83 G. soja, 83 G. tabacina, 97 G. tomentella, 92, 95, 98 Goosefoot, 35 GRIN. See Genetic Resources Information Network Groundnut, 1

H

Hairy roots, 283 Halotype, 109 Haploid, 66 Haplotype, 244 Heat stress, 302 tolerance, 11 Herbicide resistance, 174 Heteranthae, 7, 10 Heterochromatic block, 209 DNA, 209 knob, 209 Heterosis, 282 Hexaploid, 14 Hians' goosefoot, 44 Homoplasy, 239 Human nutrition, 280

I

IBONE, 3 IBPGR. *See* International Board for Plant Genetic Resources ICARDA, 64, 118, 129, 278 ICCV, 64 Index

ICRISAT, 3, 22, 64 Ideotype, 186 IITA, 291 **ILDIS**, 238 database, 279 **IMGAG**, 217 INCO, 149 Indian lettuce, 46 **INIAP. 165** INRA. See Institut Scientifique de Recherche Agronomique Insect resistance, 302 In situ conservation, 53, 118, 129 Institut Scientifique de Recherche Agronomique (INRA), 165 INTA, 5 Intergeneric hybridization, 122 Intergenic spacers (IGS), 57 Internal transcribed region (ITS), 93 Internal transcribed spacer (ITS), 119, 156 International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR), 165 Interpro, 217 Intersectional hybrid, 12 Intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR), 69, 144, 303 Interspecific cross, 12, 66, 144 crossing, 103, 169 hybrid, 131, 174, 251, 256 hybridization, 55 Intersubgeneric hybridization, 104 Introgression, 2, 13, 23, 127, 131 IPGRI, 117, 129 IPK, 52, 165 Isoflavones, 109 Isozyme, 8, 29, 98, 144, 229 ITIS, 46 **IUCN** Red List, 277

K

Karyotype, 174, 253, 266 KEGG, 217 Kenya white clover, 263

L

Landrace, 2, 53, 64, 165, 229 Lappa clover, 261 Lathyrism, 284 Lathyrus L. amphicarpos, 120 L. cicera, 118 L. egirdiricus, 119 L. gorgoni, 120 L. ochrus, 118 L. sativus, 117 Lectins, 285

Leghemoglobin, 90 Leguminosae, 83, 156, 273 Lens, 237 L. culinaris, 127 L. culinaris spp. culinaris, 127, 128 L. culinaris ssp. odemensis, 128 L. culinaris ssp. tomentosus, 128 L. ervoides, 129 L. nigricans, 129 Lentil, 127 LIGAND, 217 Linkage drag, 13 group, 13 map, 12, 30, 57, 77, 90, 106, 121, 136, 144, 175, 231, 303 mapping, 175 Long terminal repeat (LTR), 107 LOTASSA, 149 Lotus, 13, 106, 177, 211 L. alpinus, 141, 145 L. burttii, 143 L. corniculatus, 141, 145 L. glaber, 141 L. japonicus, 141, 177, 213, 237 L. subbiflorus, 141 L. tenuis, 141 L. uliginosus, 141, 145 Lumichrome, 164 Lupins, 156 Lupinus L. albus, 153, 176, 182, 185 L. angustifolius, 153, 175, 185 L. arboreus, 155 L. atlanticus, 154 L. digitatus, 154, 155 L. ehrenbergii, 155 L. gibertianus, 155 L. hartwegii, 155 L. hispanicus, 154 L. jaimehintoniana, 156 L. linearis, 155 L. luteus, 153, 177, 187 L. mariae-josephi, 153 L. mexicanus, 155 L. micranthus, 154 L. mutabilis, 156, 191 L. nootkatensis, 155 L. palaestinus, 154 L. pilosus, 154 L. piurensis, 156 L. polyphyllus, 155 L. princei, 154 L. somaliensis, 154, 155

M

Macro-synteny, 283 Map-based cloning, 30 Mapping population, 13, 106 Marker-assisted introgression, 13 Marker-assisted selection (MAS), 30, 78, 144, 190 Mass-spectral metabolite tag (MST), 148 Mass-spectrometry, 148 Mealy goosefoot, 46 Medicago, 13, 90, 149, 177, 207, 211 M. arabica, 211 M. arborea, 207 M. brachycarpa, 211 M. ciliaris, 211 M. granadensis, 211 M. intertexta, 211 M. laciniata, 211 M. littoralis, 210 M. lupulina, 208, 210 M. minima, 210 M. monantha, 211 M. muricoleptis, 211 M. noeana, 211 M. orbicularis, 210 M. orthoceras, 211 M. polymorpha, 211 M. radiata, 210 M. rigidula, 210 M. rigiduloides, 211 M. ruthenica, 210 M. sativa, 207 M. sauvagei, 211 M. scutellata, 210 M. shepardii, 211 M. tenoreana, 211 M. truncatula, 106, 177, 208, 213 Medick, 207 Metabolomic, 148 Methionine, 302 Microinjection, 174 Microprojectile, 174 Micropropagation, 174 MicroRNA (miRNA), 108 Microsatellite, 56, 230, 239 Microsatellite-anchored fragment length polymorphism (MFLP), 176, 190 MIPS, 217 Model plants, 175 Molecular breeding, 190 clock, 292 cytogenetic, 172 map, 208 evolution, 148 taxonomy, 160 Monophyletic, 225 Monosomic, 105 Moroccan clover, 258 Moth bean, 291 Mountain goosefoot, 44 Multisomic, 90 Mungbean, 306 Mutagenesis, 76

Mutant, 123 line, 142

N

Narbon vetch, 277 Narrow-leafed Lupin, 185 N-banding, 209 NBPGR, 53 NBRI, 43 NBRP, 141 Nematode resistance, 15 NERPIS, 141 Neurotoxins, 284 Nevada goosefoot, 46 New World, 160, 273 **NIAS, 306** Nitrogen fixation, 163, 207 Nodulation, 148, 255 Non-transcribed spacers (NTS), 57 NordEST, 306 NPGS, 52, 141 NSF, 107 Nuclear DNA. 292 Nucleolar organizer region (NOR), 106, 209 Nucleolar organizing region (NOR), 57 Nutraceutical, 161 Nutrient interception, 255 Nutritional, 57

0

ODAP, 120 Oestrogenic, 263 Old World, 157, 273 Oomycetes, 245 Organogenesis, 231 *Orobanche*, 245, 281 Orthology, 220 Ovule culture, 120

Р

Pachytene chromosome, 209 Paleopolyploid, 171 Pallid goosefoot, 44 Papilionaceae, 273 Parthenocarpy, 302 PASA, 217 PATHWAY, 217 Pea, 237 Persian clover, 265 Pest resistance, 165 tolerance, 256 Pharmacological, 161 *Phaseolus*, 223, 292

P. acutifolius, 224 P. albescens, 225 P. angustissimus, 225 P. coccineus, 224 P. dumosus, 225 P. filiformis, 225 P. lunatus, 224, 225, 227 P. maculatus, 225 P. parvifolius, 225 P. polyanthus, 224, 231 P. ritensis, 225 P. vulgaris, 223, 224 Phenotypic mutant, 208 plasticity, 156 Phomopsis, 169 Photosynthetic efficiency, 302 Phrap, 216 Phred, 216 Phylogenetic distance, 156 origin, 42 relationship, 210 Phylogeny, 256 Physical map, 13, 193 Phytophthora blight, 22 Pigeonpea, 21 Pisum, 122 P. abyssinicum, 238 P. elatius, 238 P. formosum, 237 P. fulvum, 238 P. humile, 238 P. jomardii, 238 P. sativum, 237, 238 P. syriacum, 238 P. transcaucasicum, 238 Plastome, 101 Pleiochaeta brown spot, 169 Pod borer, 24, 74 Pod bug, 302 Pollen sterility, 27, 65 Poly-acrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), 8 Polyploid, 252 Polyploidization, 210 Polyploidy, 90 Positional cloning, 78 Post-fertilization barrier, 257 Powdery mildew, 244, 302 Pre-breeding, 129 Pre-fertilization barrier, 257 Primary gene pool, 2 Primed in situ DNA labeling (PRINS), 173 Procumbentes, 3, 7, 10, 12 Progenitor, 83 PROINPA, 51

Proteomics, 147 Protoplast, 174 fusion, 29 PsLGVI, 220 Purple clover, 263

Q

Quantitative trait loci (QTL), 65, 105, 121, 137, 144, 176, 230, 302

R

Rambling vetch, 277 Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), 8, 13, 29, 56, 69, 92, 119, 130, 144, 229, 303 Reciprocal cross, 25 Recombinant inbred line (RIL), 57, 77, 141, 176 Red clover, 249 RepeatMasker, 216 Repetitive DNA sequences, 132, 274 sequences, 208 Reproductive isolation, 226 Resistance gene analog (RGA), 77 Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), 8, 29, 69, 84, 92, 130, 144, 175, 210, 229, 273, 303 Retroelement, 274 Retrotransposon, 146 Rhizobium, 142, 226, 249, 280 R. leguminosarum, 255 Rhizomatosae, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10 Ribosomal DNA (rDNA), 173, 209, 250 Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene, 58 Rice bean, 306 Root rot, 169 Rose clover, 262

\mathbf{S}

Salinity tolerance, 25, 46 Sandhill goosefoot, 44 Satellite DNA, 274 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), 66 SDS-PAGE. See Sodium dodecyl sulfite-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis Secondary metabolite, 147, 161 Secondary gene pool, 2 Seed bank, 53 Segregation distortion, 57 Self-incompatible, 255 Sequence assembly, 216 Sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR), 13, 77, 121, 190 Sequence-specific amplified polymorphism (SSAP), 238 Sequence-tagged microsatellite site (STMS), 69, 121, 190 Sequence tagged site (STS), 121, 144, 176, 190 Serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE), 147

Sexual hybridization, 223 Simple sequence repeat (SSR), 8, 23, 39, 56, 84, 92, 93, 231, 303 Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), 56, 76, 106, 146.230 Sinorhizobium, 207 Soap plant, 46 Sodium dodecyl sulfite-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), 29, 130 Solid matrix priming, 53 Somaclonal variation, 146 Somatic embryogenesis, 231 hybrid, 122 hybridization, 174 Soybean, 220 Soybean cyst nematode, 303 SpTrEmBL, 122 Sterility mosaic disease (SMD), 26 Subtractive suppressive hybridization (SSH), 76 Subterranean clover, 267 SWISSProt, 122 Symbiosis, 83, 142, 207 Symbiotic association, 212 Sympatric weed, 51 Synapsis, 100 Synteny, 175, 177, 213

Synthetic amphiploid, 13 T

Tannins, 262 Targeting induced local lesions in genomes (TILLING), 76, 123, 146, 283 Tertiary gene pool, 2 Tetraploid, 5 Tetrasomic, 118 The Institute of Genome Research (TIGR), 213, 217 Threatened species, 249 TIGR. See The Institute of Genome ResearchTigrblast, 217 TILLING. See Targeting induced local lesions in genomes Transcript, 147 Transcriptome, 147 Transcriptomics, 147, 283 Transgene, 149, 232 escape, 91 Transgenic, 148, 282 plant, 143 Transgressive segregation, 258 Translocation, 134 Transposition, 213 Transposon, 213, 220 Trierectoides, 3, 7, 8 Trifolium, 249 T. affine, 262

320

Trifolium, 249 (cont.) T. africanum, 264 T. alexandrinum, 249, 261 T. alpestre, 261 T. ambiguum, 253 T. argutum, 265 T. arvense, 262 T. burchellianum, 264 T. cernuum, 259 T. cherleri, 262 T. dasyurum, 262 T. diffusum, 261 T. fragiferum, 265 T. glanduliferum, 263 T. glomeratum, 259 T. hirtum, 262 T. hybridum, 265 T. incarnatum, 262 T. isthmocarpum, 258 T. lappaceum, 261 T. medium, 260 T. michelianum, 266 T. montanum, 259 T. neglectum, 265 T. nigrescens, 250 T. occidentale, 249, 252 T. pallescens, 249, 258 T. parnassi, 259 T. pratense, 249 T. purpureum, 263 T. resupinatum, 265 T. retusum, 259 T. sarosiense, 260 T. semipilosum, 263 T. spumosum, 265 T. strictum, 263 T. subterraneum, 267 T. suffocatum, 259 T. thalii, 259 T. uniflorum, 254, 255 T. vesiculosum, 265 Triseminatae, 3, 7 Trisomic, 118 Trypsin, 285 inhibitor, 302

U

UNALM, 51 UNAP, 51 US Department of Agriculture (USDA), 3, 64, 168, 211, 238, 273 US Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS), 52, 93, 143

V

Vetch, 277 Vicia, 237, 273 V. amphicarpa, 274

V. articulata, 279, 281 V. benghalensis, 279 V. ervilia, 277, 279 V. faba, 274 V. hirsuta, 280 V. melanops, 274 V. narbonensis, 277, 280 V. pannonica, 274 V. peregrina, 277 V. sativa, 274, 281 Vicianin, 285 Vicieae, 129 Vigna V. aconitifolia, 291, 301 V. ambaciensis, 293 V. angularis, 299 V. dalzelliana, 301 V. davyi, 301 V. hirtella, 293, 301 V. juncea, 293 V. khandalensis, 293 V. luteola, 301 V. marina, 293 V. minima, 299, 301 V. mungo, 301 V. nakashimae, 299, 301 V. nepalensis, 299 V. oblongifolia, 301 V. radiata, 301 V. radiata var. sublobata, 302 V. riukiuensis, 299, 302 V. subterreanea, 291 V. tenuicaulis, 299 V. trilobata, 301 V. umbellata, 293 V. vexillata, 301, 303 Virus

resistance, 243

W

Watson's goosefoot, 43 Weedy chenopods, 43 Western clover, 252 Western Regional Plant Introduction Station (WRPIS), 141 White clover, 249 White Lupin, 182 Whole genome duplication, 90 Wide cross, 66 hybridization, 102, 132 Wild accession, 175 Arachis, 2 chenopods, 43 form, 168

groundnut, 5 Lathyrus, 120 Lens, 127 lentil, 131 Pisum, 243 progenitor, 65, 127 relatives, 21 rice bean, 306

soybean, 93 taxa, 129 WRPIS. *See* Western Regional Plant Introduction Station

Y

Yellow Lupin, 187 Yellow mos