Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: Fundamentals and Applications

Márcia do Vale Barreto Figueiredo, Lucy Seldin, Fabio Fernando de Araujo, and Rosa de Lima Ramos Mariano

Contents

Abstract Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have gained worldwide importance and acceptance for agricultural benefits. This is due to the emerging demand for dependence diminishing of synthetic chemical products, to the growing

M. do Vale Barreto Figueiredo (\boxtimes)

National Research and Technological Development, Brazil Agronomical Institute of Pernambuco, IPA/CARHP, 1371, Gen. San Martin Avenue, Recife, PE 50761-000, Brazil e‐mail: mbarreto@elogica.com.br

L. Seldin

National Research and Technological Development, Brazil Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

F.F. de Araujo

National Research and Technological Development, Brazil University of West Paulista, UNOESTE, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

R. de Lima Ramos Mariano

National Research and Technological Development, Brazil Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil

necessity of sustainable agriculture within a holistic vision of development and to focalize environmental protection. Scientific researches involve multidisciplinary approaches to understand adaptation of PGPR, effects on plant physiology and growth, induced systemic resistance, biocontrol of plant pathogens, biofertilization, and potential green alternative for plant productivity, viability of coinoculating, plant microorganism interactions, and mechanisms of root colonization. By virtue of their rapid rhizosphere colonization and stimulation of plant growth, there is currently considerable interest in exploiting these rhizosphere bacteria to improve crop production. The main groups of PGPR can be found along with the phyla Cyanobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria. Therefore, the examples coming up next are related to these microorganisms. Although taxonomic affiliation of validated genera containing PGPR strains described in literature is vast, phenotypic and genotypic approaches are now available to characterize these different rhizobacteria. The progress to date in using PGPR in a variety of applications is summarized and discussed here.

1 Introduction

The use of microorganisms with the aim of improving nutrients availability for plants is an important practice and necessary for agriculture (Freitas et al. [2007\)](#page-17-0). During the past couple of decades, the use of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) for sustainable agriculture has increased tremendously in various parts of the world. Significant increases in growth and yield of agronomically important crops in response to inoculation with PGPR have been repeatedly reported (Kloepper et al. [1980;](#page-18-0) Seldin et al. [1984;](#page-21-0) Chen et al. [1994;](#page-17-0) Zhang et al. [1996;](#page-22-0) Amara and Dahdoh [1997](#page-15-0); Chanway [1998;](#page-16-0) Pan et al. [1999](#page-20-0); Bin et al. [2000;](#page-16-0) Gupta et al. [2000;](#page-18-0) Biswas et al. [2000;](#page-16-0) Mariano and Kloepper [2000;](#page-19-0) Asghar et al. [2002;](#page-16-0) Vessey [2003;](#page-22-0) Gray and Smith [2005](#page-18-0); Silva et al. [2006](#page-21-0); Figueiredo et al. [2008;](#page-17-0) Araújo [2008\)](#page-15-0). Studies have also shown that the growth-promoting ability of some bacteria may be highly specific to certain plant species, cultivar and genotype (Bashan [1998;](#page-16-0) Gupta et al. [2000](#page-18-0); Lucy et al. [2004](#page-19-0)).

PGPR can affect plant growth by different direct and indirect mechanisms (Glick [1995;](#page-17-0) Gupta et al. [2000\)](#page-18-0). Some examples of these mechanisms, which can probably be active simultaneously or sequentially at different stages of plant growth, are (1) increased mineral nutrient solubilization and nitrogen fixation, making nutrients available for the plant; (2) repression of soilborne pathogens (by the production of hydrogen cyanide, siderophores, antibiotics, and/or competition for nutrients); (3) improving plant stress tolerance to drought, salinity, and metal toxicity; and (4) production of phytohormones such as indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) (Gupta et al. [2000\)](#page-18-0). Moreover, some PGPR have the enzyme 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase, which hydrolyses ACC, the immediate precursor of ethylene in plants (Glick et al. [1995](#page-17-0)). By lowering ethylene concentration in seedlings and thus its inhibitory effect, these PGPR stimulate seedlings root length (Glick et al. [1999\)](#page-17-0).

The bacteria presenting one or more of these characteristics are known as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria – PGPR (Kloepper and Schroth [1978](#page-18-0)).

Bashan and Holguin ([1998\)](#page-16-0) proposed the division of PGPR into two classes: biocontrol-PGPB (plant growth promoting bacteria) and PGPB. This classification may include beneficial bacteria that are not rhizosphere bacteria but it does not seem to have been widely accepted. According to Vessey [\(2003\)](#page-22-0), numerous species of soil bacteria which flourish in the rhizosphere of plants, but which may grow in, on, or around plant tissues, and stimulate plant growth by a plethora of mechanisms are collectively known as PGPR. Gray and Smith [\(2005](#page-18-0)) have recently shown that the PGPR associations range in the degree of bacterial proximity to the root and intimacy of association. In general, these can be separated into extracellular (ePGPR), existing in the rhizosphere, on the rhizoplane, or in the spaces between cells of the root cortex, and intracellular (iPGPR), which exist inside root cells, generally in specialized nodular structures.

There are several PGPR inoculants currently commercialized that seem to promote growth through at least one mechanism: suppression of plant disease (bioprotectants), improved nutrients acquisition (biofertilizers), or phytohormone production (biostimulants). Bacteria in the genera Bacillus, Streptomyces, Pseudomonas, Burkholderia, and Agrobacterium are the biological control agents predominantly studied and increasingly marketed. They suppress plant disease through at least one mechanism, production of antibiotics or siderophores and induction of systemic resistance (Tenuta [2003\)](#page-21-0).

Biofertlilizers are also available for increasing crop nutrient uptake of nitrogen from nitrogen-fixing bacteria associated with roots (Bashan and Holguin [1997\)](#page-16-0), iron uptake from siderophore-producing bacteria (Scher and Baker [1982\)](#page-21-0), sulfur uptake from sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (Stamford et al. [2008\)](#page-21-0), and phosphorus uptake from phosphate-mineral solubilizing bacteria (Chabot et al. [1996](#page-16-0)). Biofertlilizers, that can cater different needs of growing plant, act as a consortium along with other microorganisms in the rhizosphere. Understanding the interaction between consortium of microbial inoculants and plant systems will pave way to harness more benefits from microbial inoculants for improving plant growth and yield (Raja et al. [2006\)](#page-20-0).

2 Coinoculation of PGPR and Rhizobia: Improving Nodulation

Coinoculation studies with PGPR and Rhizobia have shown increased plant nodu-lation and N fixation (Li and Alexander [1988](#page-18-0); Araujo and Hungria [1999;](#page-16-0) Vessey and Buss [2002](#page-22-0); Silva et al. [2006;](#page-21-0) Figueiredo et al. [2007](#page-17-0)). Coinoculation of some Bacillus strains with effective *Bradyrhizobium* resulted in enhanced nodulation and plant growth of green gram (Vigna radiata L.) (Sindhu et al. [2002](#page-21-0)). A variety of rhizosphere microorganisms, including *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* species, are commonly found in the rhizosphere of leguminous and nonleguminous crops (Li and Alexander [1988](#page-18-0)). By virtue of their rapid colonization of the rhizosphere and stimulation of plant growth, there is currently considerable interest in exploiting these rhizosphere bacteria to improve crop production. Application of Bacillus and/or Paenibacillus species to seeds or roots has been shown to cause alteration in the composition of rhizosphere leading to increase in growth and yield of different crops (Li and Alexander [1988;](#page-18-0) Vessey and Buss [2002](#page-22-0)). Disease suppression of alfalfa by B. cereus enhanced nodulation and seedling emergence in common bean (Camacho et al. [2001;](#page-16-0) Figueiredo et al. [2007](#page-17-0)), soybean (Araújo and Hungria [1999;](#page-16-0) Vessey and Buss [2002](#page-22-0)), cowpea (Silva et al. [2006](#page-21-0), [2007](#page-21-0)), and pea (Cooper and Long [1994\)](#page-17-0) have been demonstrated as beneficial effects on plants. Bacilli are also very attractive as potential inoculants in agriculture, as they produce very hardy spores that can survive for prolonged periods in soil and in storage containers (Nelson [2004](#page-20-0)).

Araújo and Hungria ([1999\)](#page-16-0) demonstrated the viability of coinoculating soybean seeds with crude or formulated metabolites, or with cells of Bacillus subtilis, to increase the contribution of the biological nitrogen fixation process.

PGPR, in combination with efficient rhizobia, could improve the growth and nitrogen fixation by inducing the occupancy of introduced rhizobia in the nodules of the legume (Tilak et al. [2006\)](#page-21-0). According to Saravana-Kumar and Samiyappan [\(2007](#page-21-0)), Bradyrhizobium promoted the nodulation and growth of legumes in combination with active ACC deaminase containing PGPR. It has also been established that certain rhizobacteria possess an enzyme ACC-deaminase that hydrolyses ACC into ammonia and α -ketobutyrate (Mayak et al. [1999](#page-19-0)). ACC-deaminase activity in PGPR plays an important role in the host nodulation response (Remans et al. [2007\)](#page-20-0). PGPR containing ACC-deaminase could suppress accelerated endogenous ethylene synthesis and thus may facilitate root elongation a nodulation and improve growth and yield of plant (Zafar-ul-Hye [2008\)](#page-22-0).

3 Identification and Characterization of Beneficial Bacterial Strains for Agriculture

Identification and characterization of beneficial bacteria involves morphological, physiological and molecular characteristics based on fatty acid analysis, mol (%), $G + C$ contents, DNA–DNA hybridization, and 16S rRNA sequencing. These characteristics help in defining the taxonomy and nomenclature of PGPR.

3.1 Taxonomy of PGPR

Taxonomy is defined as the science dedicated to the study of relationships among organisms and has to do with their classification, nomenclature, and identification (Mayr and Ashlock [1991;](#page-19-0) Coenye et al. [2005](#page-17-0)). The accurate comparison of organisms depends on a reliable taxonomic system. Although many new characterization methods have been developed over the last 30 years, the principle of identification remains the same. Current schemes for identifying different bacterial strains may be roughly divided into four categories effectively based upon (1) traditional biochemical, morphological, and physiological characters, (2) miniaturized versions of traditional biochemical tests (e.g., API kits, VITEK cards, and Biolog plates), (3) chemotaxonomic characters (such as polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis [PAGE], and fatty acid methyl ester [FAME] profiles), and (4) genomic characters (16S rRNA gene sequencing, and DNA–DNA relatedness, and other techniques). Since the fifties, it was becoming clear that no one phenotypic technique would be suitable for identifying all bacterial species. Therefore, the potentials of chemota-

xonomic analyses and studies of nucleic acids have been investigated. However, it is impossible to set up standardized conditions to accommodate the growth of all bacterial strains of all species for chemotaxonomic work, and a polyphasic approach is now imperative for a confident classification study. Polyphasic approach refers to the integration of genotypic, chemotypic, and phenotypic information of a microbe in order to perform reliable grouping of the organism (Colwell [1970\)](#page-17-0). Some of the features used for polyphasic characterization of rhizobacteria are presented below. For overviews of modern taxonomy, recent papers can be referred, such as Vandamme et al. [\(1996](#page-21-0)), Prakash et al. [\(2007](#page-20-0)), Rodríguez-Díaz et al. ([2008\)](#page-20-0), and Logan et al. ([2009\)](#page-19-0).

3.2 Phenotypic Features

Phenotype includes morphological, physiological, and biochemical properties of the microorganism (de Vos et al. [2009](#page-17-0)). Traditional phenotypic tests used comprise colony morphology (color, dimensions, form) and microscopic appearance of the cells (shape, endospore, flagella, inclusion bodies), characteristics of the organism on different growth substrates, growth range of microorganisms on different conditions of salt, pH, and temperature, and susceptibility toward different kinds of antimicrobial agents, etc. Even if cell wall composition is analyzed, the Gram reaction is still a valuable diagnostic character. Biochemical tests in bacterial identification include the relationship with oxygen, fermentation reactions, and nitrogen metabolism. Other tests may be performed as appropriate, depending on the bacterial strains studied (Heritage et al. [1996;](#page-18-0) Rodríguez-Díaz et al. [2008\)](#page-20-0). However, reproducibility of results from phenotypic tests between different laboratories is a great problem, and only standardized procedure should be used during execution of experiment. Other major disadvantage with phenotypic methods is the conditional nature of gene expression wherein the same organism might show different phenotypic characters in different environmental conditions. Therefore, phenotypic data must be compared with similar set of data from type strain of closely related organism(s).

Miniaturized versions of traditional biochemical tests are available for taxonomical studies and mostly contain a battery of dehydrated reagents. Addition of a standardized inoculum initiates the reaction (growth, production of enzymatic activity, etc.). The results are interpreted as recommended by the manufacturer and are readily

accessible with a minimal input of time. The phenotypic fingerprinting systems API 50CH – composed of 49 different carbohydrates and one negative control – have been used to identify Bacillus (Logan and Berkeley [1984\)](#page-19-0) and Paenibacillus strains (Seldin and Penido [1986\)](#page-21-0), while the API 20NE system has yielded the highest rate of correct identification of *Pseudomonas* species (Barr et al. [1989\)](#page-16-0). In the same way, Biolog assay is considered a much less laborious system for bacterial identification (Miller and Rhoden [1991](#page-19-0)). This technique is based on the differential utilization of 95 carbon sources and a redox dye, tetrazolium violet, permits colorimetric determination of the increased respiration that occurs when cells are oxidizing a carbon source. The Biolog system was very useful for the identification of PGPR strains belonging to the species P. azotofixans (Pires and Seldin [1997](#page-20-0)).

3.3 Chemotaxonomic Characters

Some chemotaxonomic fingerprinting techniques applied to PGPR identification include FAME profiling, PAGE analysis of whole-cell proteins, polar lipid analysis, quinone content, cell wall diamino acid content, pyrolysis mass spectrometry, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy, and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry.

Fatty acids are the major constituents of lipids and lipopolysaccharides and have been used extensively for taxonomic purposes. FAME analysis is presently the only chemotaxonomic technique that is linked to a commercial database for identification purposes. Fatty acid profiles showing variability in chain length, double-bond position, and substituent groups are perfectly suitable for taxon description and also for comparative analyses of profiles that have been obtained under identical growth conditions (Suzuki et al. [1993\)](#page-21-0).

Sodium dodecyl sulfate-PAGE of whole-cell proteins requires standardized conditions of growth, combined with a rigorously standardized procedure for analysis, and normalization of the data for computer-assisted comparison of the results. Nevertheless, it has made important contributions to polyphasic taxonomic studies among the aerobic endospore formers (Logan et al. [2009\)](#page-19-0).

Determination of the cell wall composition has traditionally been important in Gram-positive bacteria which contain various peptidoglycan types. The peptidoglycan type of Gram-negative bacteria is rather uniform and provides little information. Preparation of cell wall samples and determination of peptidoglycan structure is usually carried out using the methods described by Schleifer and Kandler [\(1972](#page-21-0)).

Isoprenoid quinones occur in the cytoplasmic membranes of most prokaryotes and play important roles in electron transport, oxidative phosphorylation, and, possibly, active transport (Collins and Jones [1981](#page-17-0)). There are two major structural groups, the naphthoquinones (subdivided into two types: the phylloquinones and the menaquinones) and the benzoquinones. The large variability of the side chains (differences in length, saturation, and hydrogenation) can be used to characterize bacteria at different taxonomic levels (Collins and Jones [1981](#page-17-0)).

The taxonomic importance of polar lipids has now been demonstrated for some novel genera among the Bacillaceae, although many polar lipids detected have not yet been structurally characterized. Likewise, quinones (MK-7, MK-8, and MK-9) have so far been reported for representatives of *Bacillaceae* (Logan et al. [2009\)](#page-19-0).

Finally, pyrolysis mass spectrometry, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, and UV resonance Raman spectroscopy are sophisticated analytical techniques which examine the total chemical composition of bacterial cells. These methods have been used for taxonomic studies of particular groups of bacteria, including the members of the family *Bacillaceae* (Vandamme et al. [1996;](#page-21-0) Logan et al. [2009](#page-19-0)).

3.4 Genetic Approaches

Genotypic methods are those that are directed toward DNA or RNA molecules. Undoubtedly, these methods have revolutionized the bacterial identification system and taxonomy. Different techniques are now available to subtype bacteria up to strain level, such as restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), plasmid profiling, ribotyping, amplified ribosomal DNA restriction analysis (ARDRA), pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), and randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD). Different PGPR have already been characterized by one or more of these methods (Oliveira et al. [2000](#page-20-0); von der Weid et al. [2000](#page-22-0); Depret and Laguerre [2008;](#page-17-0) Monteiro et al. [2009](#page-19-0); and many others). For a detailed description of these methods, the reviews by Vandamme et al. ([1996\)](#page-21-0), Prakash et al. ([2007\)](#page-20-0), Rodríguez-Díaz et al. ([2008\)](#page-20-0), and Logan et al. ([2009\)](#page-19-0) can be referred.

For the description of bacterial taxa, other methods are essentially used. Determination of the moles percent guanosine plus cytosine is one of the classical genotypic methods. Generally, the range observed is not more than 3% within a well-defined species and not more than 10% within a well-defined genus (Stackebrandt and Goebel [1994](#page-21-0)).

DNA–DNA hybridization or DNA–DNA reassociation technique is based on the fact that at high temperatures DNA can be denatured, but the molecule can be brought back to its native state by lowering down the temperature (reassociation). This technique considers the comparison between whole genome of two bacterial species (Stackebrandt and Liesack [1993\)](#page-21-0). A bacterial species, generally, would include the strain with 70% or greater DNA–DNA hybridization values with 5° C or less ΔTm values, and both the values must be considered. There are many different methods for DNA–DNA hybridization [presented and compared by Mora [\(2006](#page-19-0))], but it is important to state that this technique gives the relative % of similarity but not the actual sequence identity.

DNA microarray is a method which was lined up to overcome the shortcomings of DNA–DNA hybridization. Although DNA microarray also involves hybridization of DNA, it uses fragmented DNA instead of whole genomic DNA. Numerous DNA fragments can be hybridized on a single microarray and gives resolution up to strain level. However, it is still an expensive methodology.

Indeed, taxonomy was revolutionized when the gene sequences of rRNA molecules were introduced to compare evolutionary similarities among strains (phylogenetic comparisons). All the three kinds of rRNA molecules, i.e., 5S, 16S, and 23S and spacers between these can be used for phylogenetic analyses, but 16S rRNA gene (1,650 bp) is the most commonly used marker. It has a universal distribution, highly conserved nature, fundamental role of ribosome in protein synthesis, no horizontal transfer, and its rate of evolution which represents an appropriate level of variation between organisms (Stackebrandt and Goebel [1994](#page-21-0)). The 16S rRNA molecule comprises of variable and conserved regions, and universal primers for the amplification of full 16S rRNA gene are usually chosen from conserved region while the variable region is used for comparative taxonomy. The 16S rRNA gene sequence is deposited in databases such as Ribosomal Database Project II [\(http://](http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/) rdp.cme.msu.edu/) and GenBank ([http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Sequences of related species for comparative phylogenetic analysis can also be retrieved from these databases. Thereafter, sequence comparing software packages such as BLAST and CLUSTAL X are used for alignment of 16S rRNA gene sequence. The extent of relatedness between bacterial species can be scrutinized by the construction of phylogenetic trees or dendrograms. The phylogenetic tree ascertains the genus to which the strain belongs and its closest neighbors, i.e., those sharing the clade or showing >97% 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity, are obtained from various culture collections to perform further genotypic, chemotaxonomic, and phenotypic analysis. At present, by correlation with experimental data obtained in the comparison of total genomic DNA (DNA–DNA hybridization), it is proposed that a similarity below 98.7–99% on the 16S rRNA gene sequences of two bacterial strains is sufficient to consider them as belonging to different species. On the other hand, two strains showing similarities above the 98.7% threshold may represent two different species. In these cases, total genome DNA–DNA hybridization must be performed and those strains for which similarities are below 70% are considered to belong to different species (Stackebrandt and Liesack [1993](#page-21-0); Stackebrandt and Goebel [1994\)](#page-21-0).

Finally, sequences of other highly conserved housekeeping or other proteinencoding genes, such as $rpoB$, $gyrB$, $recA$, have also great potential for taxonomic analysis at the species level. For example, Mota et al. ([2005](#page-19-0)) obtained clustering patterns for Paenibacillus based upon rpoB sequence comparisons that were similar to those obtained with 16S rRNA gene sequences. Moreover, Wang et al. [\(2007](#page-22-0)) included $gyrB$ sequence comparisons in the studies of the B. subtilis group and Cerritos et al. ([2008](#page-16-0)) included recA sequence comparisons in the work that led to the proposal of a new Bacillus species.

4 Prospective Biocontrol Agents of Plant Diseases

Since 1987 in China, PGPR, called yield increasing bacteria (YIB) have been largely applied in 48 different crops over 3.35 millions of hectares (Wenhua and Hetong [1997\)](#page-22-0). In that country, productivity gains as high as 23.1% and 22.5% were

obtained, respectively, in sweet potatoes and potatoes. Additionally, remarkable 85.5% and 80.3% reduction levels of diseases caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae and Glomerella cingulata, respectively, were recorded (Zhang et al. [1996\)](#page-22-0).

Rhizobacteria are effective competitors in the rhizosphere which can establish and persist on roots of agronomically grown plants (Kloepper and Mariano [2000\)](#page-18-0). PGPR may promote plant growth directly on healthy plants or indirectly when controlling phytopathogens or pests in different crops (Kloepper [1993;](#page-18-0) Medeiros et al. [2005;](#page-19-0) Zhender et al. [1997;](#page-22-0) Keel and Maurhofer [2009\)](#page-18-0). They can be isolated from any other plant part besides the roots as well as from the plant surface or interior. According to Hallman et al. [\(1997](#page-18-0)), the endophytic bacteria involved in biological control showed advantages of having the same ecological niche of the pathogen and could be protected from diverse abiotic influences.

The PGPR mechanisms for plant growth improvement were already discussed in this chapter. PGPR also exhibit several mechanisms of biological disease control, most of which involve competition and production of metabolites which affect the pathogen directly. Examples of such metabolites include antibiotics, cell walldegrading enzymes, siderophores, and HCN (Enebak et al. [1998](#page-17-0); Kloepper [1993;](#page-18-0) Weller [1988\)](#page-22-0). It is noteworthy to state that different mechanisms may be found in a single strain and act simultaneously. Some PGPR do not produce metabolites against the pathogens and are spatially separated from them. These two traits suggest that alteration of host defense mechanisms account for the observed disease protection. Induced systemic resistance (ISR) or systemic acquired resistance (SAR) is defined as the activation of chemical and physical defenses of the plant host by an inducer which could be a chemical or a microorganism, leading to the control of several pathogens (Kloepper et al. [1992](#page-18-0)). Several PGPR strains can act as inducers of ISR (Kloepper et al. [1992\)](#page-18-0), and PGPR-mediated ISR may be an alternative to the use of chemical inducers or pathogens for inducing SAR. This mechanism is discussed separately in this chapter.

Two cases of study will be discussed here: black rot of crucifers, a foliar disease, and Fusarium wilt of banana, a vascular disease. Black rot caused by Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris (Xcc) causes severe economic losses in all developmental crucifer stages (Mariano et al. [2001\)](#page-19-0). Bacillus spp. isolated from healthy cabbage, kale, and radish had reduced black rot incidence in kale and cabbage in greenhouse and field experiments (Assis et al. [1996\)](#page-16-0). Monteiro et al. ([2005\)](#page-19-0) showed that four of these Bacillus strains produced lipopeptides active against Xcc during its late growth phase. These peptide antibiotics are amphiphilic compounds with surfactant activity (Zuber et al. [1993\)](#page-22-0). Recently, it was demonstrated that lipopeptides can stimulate ISR in plants, probably by interacting with plant cell membranes and inducing temporary alterations in the plasma membrane which could raise plant defenses (Ongena et al. [2009\)](#page-20-0).

Fusarium wilt of banana caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense is a very destructive disease in Brazil and other parts of the world. The rhizomes and pseudostems of infected plants used for propagation are the principal sources of inoculum and disease dispersion. Therefore, micropropagated health plantlets are used to prevent or delay the introduction of this pathogen in soils. However, these plantlets

are more susceptible to this and other soilborne pathogens and should be protected before transplanting. PGPR are an alternative for improving this system. In greenhouse studies, endophytic and epiphytic bacteria applied, isolated or in mixtures, as root and substrate treatments, significantly increased the growth of micropropagated banana plantlets and controlled fusarium wilt (Mariano et al. [2004\)](#page-19-0) (Fig. 1). According to Nowak and Shulaev ([2003](#page-20-0)), the production of high-quality propagules with disease resistance may be achieved among others methods by their "in vitro" and "ex vitro" biopriming (priming with beneficial microorganisms).

Commonly, control is based on the use of single biocontrol agents. This strategy must be changed because, from the ecological point of view, the disease is part of a complex agroecosystem. As reported by Fravel ([2007\)](#page-17-0), a holistic view of this system can help take correct decisions about management. Therefore, a special approach for improving the PGPR efficiency is the use of mixtures containing different genera or species that presents additive or synergistic effects such as nitrogen-fixing bacteria and mycorrhiza helper bacteria (MHB). Another strategy is to use PGPR, mixed or alternated with fungicides, integrating biological and chemical control.

MHB are those which either assist mycorrhiza formation or promote the functioning of their symbiosis. They exist in arbuscular and ectomycorrhizal systems. MHB present three significant functions: nutrient mobilization from soil minerals, fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, and plant protection against root pathogens (Frey-Klett et al. [2007](#page-17-0)). According to these authors, PGPR induced increases in mycorrhizal root colonization from 1.1 to 17.5 fold in different interactions. Some of the MHB cited were

Fig. 1 Biocontrol of Fusarium wilt in micropropagated banana plantlet cv. Pacovan treated with Bacillus pumilus ENF24 (right) compared with plantlet not treated $(left)$. Plantlets were vertically sliced to show rhizome discoloration, an internal disease symptom

Pseudomonas fluorescens, P. monteilii, Bacillus coagulans, B. subtilis, Paenibacillus brasilensis, Rhizobium leguminosarum, and Bradyrrhizobium japonicum.

Wheat seeds treated with different mixtures of *Paenibacillus macerans* and difenoconazole showed significant reduced incidences of pathogens (Luz [2003a\)](#page-19-0), and in field all treatments promoted germination and grain yield except for difenoconazole alone that increased only yield. Similar results were obtained when corn seeds were bacterized with the same bioprotector $+$ fludioxonil $+$ metalaxyl M (Luz [2003b\)](#page-19-0). Also Bacillus-based treatments have been successfully combined with traditional chemical seed treatments (Bugg et al. [2009\)](#page-16-0). Therefore, the use of such mixtures may lead to a substantial reduction of pesticide use in several crops.

It is also important to focus on the critical stages of commercialization of biocontrol agents. Screening for new agents should consider the biology and ecology of the pathosystem, as well as agricultural practices associated with the crop (Fravel [2007\)](#page-17-0). This knowledge will help prevent variation in field performance which is responsible for lack of wider adoption of biocontrol for disease management. The formulation stage aim is to deliver the biocontrol agent in a physiologically active state to provide the needed control. The formulation must be economical and present good shelf-life and a suitable form for shipping, storage, and application. Risk assessment to human health and to the environment are needed before releasing the new product, and early in the screening; even microorganisms with good biocontrol potential but capable of growing at human body temperature should be eliminated (Fravel [2007\)](#page-17-0). In the United States, organisms currently registered for biocontrol and active compounds isolated from plants or other organisms are listed at [http://www.epa.gov/oppbppd1/biopesticides/ingredi](http://www.epa.gov/oppbppd1/biopesticides/ingredients/index.htm)[ents/index.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oppbppd1/biopesticides/ingredients/index.htm). A few examples of PGPR and biocontrol products are: Agrobacterium radiobacter K1026 (Nogall[®]), Bacillus pumilus OST 2808 (Sonata[®] TM), B. pumilus GB34 (YieldShield®), B. subtilis GBO3(Kodiak®), Pantoea agglomerans C9-1 (BlightBan C9-1[®]), P. agglomerans E325 (Bloomtime[®]), Pseudomonas aureofaciens Tx-1(Spot-Less[®]T), P. syringae ESC-10 and ESC-11 (Bio-save[®]), P. fluorescens A506 (BlightBan[®]), P. chlororaphis MA 342 (Cedomon[®]), Streptomyces griseoviridis K61 (Mycostop[®]), and S. lydicus WYEC 108 (Actinovate[®]).

5 Induced Systemic Resistance as a Mechanism of Disease Suppression by Rhizobacteria

The increased level of resistance using external agents, without modifying the genome of the plant, is known as induced or acquired resistance. The expression of induced resistance can be local or systemic when it is expressed at sites not directly exposed to the inducers agent (Stadnik [2000](#page-21-0)). This agent may be a chemical activator, extracts of cells of living organisms or microorganisms (Romeiro [2000\)](#page-20-0). The event of ISR has been demonstrated in various plants inoculated with different species of rhizobacteria (Liu et al. [1995;](#page-18-0) Raj et al. [2003;](#page-20-0) Halfeld-Vieira et al. [2006\)](#page-18-0). This type of induced resistance can occur under

controlled conditions and in the field, and shows advantages such as: effectiveness against various pathogens; stability due to the action of different mechanisms of resistance, systemicity, energy economy; and metabolic utilization of genetic potential for resistance in all susceptible plants (Bonaldo et al. [2005\)](#page-16-0).

The ISR occurs when plants previously exposed to biotic and abiotic agents are induced to defense against pathogens, which are spatially separated from the inducer agent (Pieterse and Van Loon [1999;](#page-20-0) Stadnik [2000](#page-21-0)). PGPR that inhabit the soil and are often isolated from the rhizosphere of several plants have been studied as potential biotic agents of ISR (Mariano and Kloepper [2000](#page-19-0)). Bacillus and Pseudomonas are among the most studied genera of PGPR.

It is known that susceptible plants have genetic information for efficient mechanisms of resistance to diseases and that these mechanisms can be systematically expressed for long periods of time by prior inoculation with avirulents pathogens, microbial components, and chemical substances (Kuc [1995\)](#page-18-0). The ISR is persistent and presents complex components in different locations which are responsible for the activity of various defense compounds. Consequently, it is more stable when compared with the few pathways arising from the use of chemical pesticides.

Despite the many studies in this area, only in 1961 the induced resistance was first analyzed, by preinoculation of tobacco plants with tobacco mosaic virus (Ross [1961\)](#page-20-0). This procedure protected the plant against other viruses and resulted in the conception of "Systemic Acquired Resistance" (SAR). The activation of defense mechanisms induced by fungi, bacteria, viruses, and nematodes can be achieved by different routes, which may occur alone or concomitantly (Bonaldo et al. [2005\)](#page-16-0).

Problems of variability in the effectiveness of induced resistance to diseases in plants in different soil and climatic conditions may occur, similar to that found in biological control (Kuc [1995](#page-18-0)). In agriculture, the use of biological products on the induction of resistance in plants has one more benefit that can be added to the already known to reinforce the plant growth promotion. Induction of resistance by the application of chemical inducers has been used in some crops in the integrated management of diseases and pests. The use of biological inducers may be an option in the management of diseases in plants. The positive effects of PGPR on plants usually are included in two categories: promotion of growth and biological control (Mariano and Kloepper [2000\)](#page-19-0). In practice, these effects are often induced by the same strain of PGPR; therefore, some PGPR selected to promote growth also are able to control diseases and vice versa. The presence of the PGPR in the rhizosphere makes the entire plant, including the shoot, more resistant to pathogens.

Induction of resistance promoted by PGPR is active and signaling in the route of salicylic acid with induction of PR-proteins (proteins related to the pathogenesis) or route of the jasmonic acid and ethylene (Hoffland et al. [1995;](#page-18-0) Pieterse et al. [1998\)](#page-20-0). When the PGPR colonize the root system, constituents of bacterial cell molecules or synthesized by elicitors act as a biochemical signal. This time, the genes that encode for the synthesis of components of the dynamic resistance are activated and ISR is expressed (Romeiro [2000](#page-20-0)). Wei et al. ([1991\)](#page-22-0) working with cucumber and anthracnose caused by Colletotrichum orbiculare showed that this plant could be used as a model for ISR.

In addition to the PR-proteins, the plants produce other enzymes of the defense, including peroxidases, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), and polyphenoloxidase (PPO). Peroxidase and PPO are catalysts in the formation of lignin. PAL and other enzymes are involved in the formation of phytoalexins. Chen et al. [\(2000](#page-17-0)) reported that ISR mediated by PGPR against Pythium aphanidermatum in cucumber was associated with an increase of peroxidases, PPO and PAL. Metabolic changes involved in the defense mechanism of plants are correlated with changes in activity of key enzymes in primary and secondary metabolism. The production of enzymes related to pathogenesis (PR-proteins) by strains of rhizobacteria is considered the largest property of the antagonistic strains (Saikia et al. [2004](#page-20-0)). Among these enzymes can be highlighted chitinases, lipoxygenases, peroxidases, and glucanases. Plants express the activity of peroxidase during pathogen–host interaction (Saikia et al. [2006\)](#page-21-0), where this enzyme has been implicated in the oxidation of phenols (Schmid and Feucht [1980](#page-21-0)), lignification (Saparrat and Guillen [2005](#page-21-0)), plant protection (Hammerschmidt et al. [1982](#page-18-0)), and elongation of plant cells (Goldberg et al. [1986\)](#page-18-0). Increased activity of peroxidase has been correlated with resistance in many plant species, including rice and wheat (Young et al. [1995](#page-22-0)). The action of lipoxygenase products contributes to the defense reactions involving the inhibition of growth of the pathogen and induction of phytoalexins (Li et al. [1991](#page-18-0)). The phytoalexins are secondary metabolites, antibiotics, low molecular weight produced by plants in response to physical stress, chemical, or biological. They are able to prevent or reduce the activity of pathogens, the rate of production dependent on the genotypes of host and/or pathogen (Daniel and Purkayastha [1995\)](#page-17-0). The phytoalexin compounds are biocides and are directly related to the defense mechanisms of plants.

In several studies, the quantification of activity of enzymes involved in the induction of resistance has been used as a parameter to assess the induction mechanism (biotic or abiotic) involved (Knorzera et al. [1999;](#page-18-0) Campos et al. [2004;](#page-16-0) Nakkeeran et al. [2006;](#page-19-0) Silva et al. [2004](#page-21-0); Halfeld-Vieira et al. [2006](#page-18-0); Saikia et al. [2006\)](#page-21-0). The increase in activity and accumulation of these enzymes depend mainly on the inducing agent but also the genotype of the plant, physiological conditions, and the pathogen (Tuzun [2001\)](#page-21-0). Depending of pathosystem studied, a variety of substances are produced by rhizobacteria and has been linked to activation of mechanisms of disease suppression in plants which reduce the damage caused by phytopathogens. Thus, the application of PGPR in agriculture via soil or seed inoculation can be characterized as a beneficial component in the integrated management of diseases.

6 Bacterial Biofertilizers

Before initiating a review of PGPR as biofertilizers, it is necessary to define the term biofertilizer. It is proposed frequently here that biofertilizers designate the biological products which contain microorganisms providing direct and indirect gains in yield from crops. Vessey ([2003](#page-22-0)) defines biofertilizers as a substance which contains living microorganisms which, when applied to seed, plant surfaces, or soil colonizes the rhizosphere or the interior of the plant and promotes growth by increasing the supply or availability of primary nutrients the host plant. Rhizobacteria, associated with rhizosphere, can fix nitrogen, and solubilizing phosphorus has been used as inoculum in nonleguminous species such as maize, rice, wheat, and sugar cane (Dobereiner [1997](#page-17-0)). Biofertilizers have been an alternative to mineral fertilizers to increase the yield and plant growth in sustainable agriculture (Canbolat et al. [2006](#page-16-0)).

The mechanisms by which PGPR promote plant growth are not fully understood but include among others: ability to produce or change the concentration of plant hormones (Mordukhova et al. [1991](#page-19-0)); asymbiotic N_2 fixation (Boddey and Dobereiner [1995](#page-16-0)); and solubilization of mineral phosphate and other nutrients (De Freitas et al. [1997](#page-17-0)). The production of hormones in PGPR in numerous studies reports the importance of indolacetic acid (IAA) in the roots development (Aloni et al. [2006\)](#page-15-0). The effect of exogenous IAA in the plant can stimulate or inhibit growth and is often a function of hormones concentration available; in addition, the sensitivity of plant tissue changes according to hormones concentration (Persello-Cartieux et al. [2003](#page-20-0)). It was reported that isolates of Pseudomonas (fluorescent) produced exudates in roots of maize in response to IAA (Pan et al. [1999\)](#page-20-0). Gibberellins were detected in several cultures of B . *subtilis*, but were not detected in the presence of auxin (Broadbent et al. [1971\)](#page-16-0). Analyzing the sources of IAA with bacterial origin, Loper and Schroth [\(1986](#page-19-0)) found two strains of Pseudomonas spp. producing high concentrations of IAA $(5-10 \text{ mg/ml})$, which reduced roots elongation and increased shoot/root proportion in sugar beet plants (Beta vulgaris) when applied as seed inoculant in this culture. Araujo et al. (2005) (2005) detected auxin production in two strains of B. subtilis which provided benefits in growth of soybean, in addition to be antagonists of phytopathogenic fungi in culture. Araújo and Hungria (1999) (1999) found that B. subtilis $(AP-3)$ or its metabolites provided increase in nodulation and yield of soybean in the field.

Gains in nutrition in plants inoculated with rhizobacteria have also been demonstrated as a benefit of the presence of this group of microorganisms in the rhizosphere. In relation to nitrogen for several years has been discovered the potential of bacteria from the genus Azospirillum; fixing nitrogen when in free-living (Boddey and Dobereiner [1995](#page-16-0)), which when associated with the rhizosphere may contribute to nitrogen nutrition of plants. Concerning phosphate nutrition, Rodriguez and Fraga [\(1999](#page-20-0)) mention that strain from the genus Pseudomonas, Bacillus and Rhizobium are among the bacteria with the greatest potential of solubilization of phosphorus in the soil.

The solubilization of insoluble phosphates mediated by microorganisms is associated with the detachment of organic acids which are often combined with other metabolites, as found in vitro, that the potential for P solubilization by microorganisms is directly related to production of siderophores, lytic enzymes, and phytohormones (Vassilev et al. [2006\)](#page-22-0). With the increased availability of nutrients in the soil by the action of B. subtilis, was shown higher absorption of nutrients such as phosphorus and nitrogen in plants inoculated with rhizobacteria on seeds (Arau´jo [2008](#page-15-0)). Richardson ([2000\)](#page-20-0) reported that most soils are poor in available phosphorus and phosphate fertilizer represents a high cost to the farmer; therefore, it is interesting to take advantage of soil microorganisms used as inoculum for the mobilization of phosphorus in poor soils. In addition to phosphorus solubilization, other mechanisms are also related to the microbial metabolism in soil, such as enzymes production (nitrogenase, chitinases, and glucanases) (Cattelan et al. [1999](#page-16-0)).

Some failures derived from the use of biofertilizers containing PGPR may be related to interspecific genetic interaction by the rhizobacteria and the host plant. Previous studies have documented phenotypic variation within cultivars with respect to health and nutrition of plants from microbial inoculation (Remans et al. [2008\)](#page-20-0). Different cultures and species or cultivars may produce different types of root exudates, which may support the activity of the inoculum or serve as substrate for the formation of biologically active substances by the inoculum (Khalid et al. [2004\)](#page-18-0). Dalmastri et al. ([1999\)](#page-17-0) reported that different maize cultivars could provide variation in the rhizosphere colonization by Burkholderia. Phenotypic variation among cultivars may be partly due to genetic variation and suggested that the breeding of the host was performed in conjunction with PGPR in biofertilizers (Remans et al. [2008](#page-20-0)). Another strategy to reduce the effects of phenotypic variation can be the use of biofertilizers with more than two isolates in their composition. Studies conducted for 2 years with the application of biofertilizers originating from a mixture of isolates of Bacillus showed increase in plant growth and productivity (Adesemoye et al. [2008\)](#page-15-0).

A major problem for massive use of PGPR has been formulated for its commercial use. These include production in the scale of fermentation microorganisms with management of the quality, stability, and effectiveness of the product. B. subtilis has been assessed as of great potential for use in agriculture and has been used in the formulation of commercial products for agricultural use in several countries (Lazzareti and Bettiol [1997\)](#page-18-0). Several substances have been used in experimental formulations such as lactose, peptone, gum arabic and xanthan, cellulose, and others (Schisler et al. [2004\)](#page-21-0). This formulation may require a significant value to determine the effectiveness of the final product based on rhizobacteria such as the B. subtilis.

Development of formulations with a potential PGP to ensure survival and activity in the field and compatibility with chemical treatment of seeds has been the focus of researches with application of PGPR in agriculture. The research among other things optimizes growth conditions before the formulation, development of vehicles, and appropriate technology for application (Date [2001](#page-17-0)). In registration and marketing of products with PGPR, a large number of constraints are found (Mathre et al. [1999\)](#page-19-0).

The U.S. market based on the information of the committee of biological products from the American Phytopatology Society (APS) in 2005 has registered the following products: ten products based on the Bacillus (BioYield, Companion, EcoGuard, HiStick N/T, Kodiak , Mepplus, Serenade, Sonata, Subtilex, Yield-Shield), two products with *Burkholderia cepacia (Deny* and *Intercept*), and six products based on Pseudomonas (AtEze, Bio-save, BlightBan, Frostban, Spot-Less). Most of these products has been disposed in powder solubleformulate. Different genera of bacteria have been studied as PGPR; however, investments in research and development of bioproducts have been higher in projects on Pseudomonas and Bacillus. Works on Pseudomonas have been focused on alternatives to improve the survival of this species of bacteria in commercial formulations. Furthermore, bacteria from the genus Bacillus, which are tolerant to desiccation and heat, have a longer life in commercial formulations; this explains the greater availability of commercial products based on Bacillus.

Currently, biofertilizers with PGPR are still not a reality of extensive commercialization – unlike the agricultural use of legume inoculants using rhizobia already a reality for almost a century – except for Azospirillum inoculants that are available for a variety of crops in Europe and Africa (Vessey [2003](#page-22-0)). There is no doubt that the lack of consistent responses in different host cultivars (Remans et al. [2008](#page-20-0)) and different field sites (Hilali et al. [2001\)](#page-18-0) are reasons that limit expansion of the marketing of biofertilizers with PGPR. For these, it would be necessary to carry out more studies on ecology and colonization of microorganisms in the rhizosphere at different situations, since the biofertilizers with PGPR are restrictive for certain cultivars, climate, and soil conditions.

7 Concluding Remarks

PGPRs are the potential tools for sustainable agriculture and trend for the future. For this reason, there is an urgent need for research to clear definition of what bacterial traits are useful and necessary for different environmental conditions and plants, so that optimal bacterial strains can either be selected and/or improved. Combinations of beneficial bacterial strains that interact synergistically are currently being devised and numerous recent studies show a promising trend in the field of inoculation technology.

References

- Adesemoye AO, Torbert HA, Kloepper JW (2008) Enhanced plant nutrient use efficiency with PGPR and AMF in an integrated nutrient management system. Can J Microbiol 54:876–886
- Aloni R, Aloni E, Langhans M, Ulrich CI (2006) Role of cytokinin and auxin in shaping root architecture: regulating vascular differentiation, lateral root initiation, root apical dominance and root gravitropism. Ann Bot 97:883–893
- Amara MAT, Dahdoh MSA (1997) Effect of inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and uptake of nutrients by wheat grown on sandy soil. Egypt J Soil 37:467–484
- Araújo FF (2008) Inoculação de sementes com Bacillus subtilis, formulado com farinha de ostras e desenvolvimento de milho, soja e algodão. Ciênc Agrotec 32:456–462
- Araujo FF, Hungria M (1999) Nodulação e rendimento de soja co-infectada com Bacillus subtilis e Bradyrhizobium japonicum / Bradyrhizobium elkanii. Pesq Agropec Bras 34:1633–1643
- Araujo FF, Henning AA, Hungria M (2005) Phytohormones and antibiotics produced by Bacillus subtilis and their effects on seed pathogenic fungi and on soybean root development. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 21:1639–1645
- Asghar HN, Zahir ZA, Arshad M, Khalig A (2002) Plant growth regulating substances in the rhizosphere: microbial production and functions. Adv Agron 62:146–151
- Assis SMP, Mariano RLR, Michereff SJ, Coelho RSB (1996) Biocontrol of Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris on kale with Bacillus spp. and endophytic bacteria. In: Tang W, Cook RJ, Rovira A (eds) Advances in biological control of plant diseases. China Agricultural University Press, Beijing, China, pp 347–353
- Barr JG, Emmerson AM, Hogg GM, Smyth E (1989) API-20NE and sensititre autoidentification systems for identifying Pseudomonas spp. J Clin Pathol 42:1113–1114
- Bashan Y (1998) Inoculants of plant growth-promoting bacteria for use in agriculture. Biotechnol Adv 16:729–770
- Bashan Y, Holguin G (1997) Azospirillum-plant relationships: environmental and physiological advances. Can J Microbial 43:103–121
- Bashan Y, Holguin G (1998) Proposal for the division of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria into two classification: biocontrol-PGPB (plant growth-promoting bacteria) and PGPB. Soil Biol Biochem 30:1225–1228
- Bin L, Smith DL, Ping-Qui F (2000) Application and mechanism of silicate bacteria in agriculture and industry. Guizhou Sci 18:43–53
- Biswas JC, Ladha JK, Dazzo FB (2000) Rhizobial inoculation influences seedling vigor and yield of rice. Agron J 92:880–886
- Boddey RM, Dobereiner J (1995) Nitrogen fixation associated with grasses and cereals: recent progress and perspectives for the future. Fert Res 42:241–250
- Bonaldo SM, Pascholati SF, Romeiro RS (2005) Indução de resistência: Noções básicas e perspectivas. In: Cavalcanti LS, di Piero RM, Cia P, Pascholati SF, Resende MLV, Romeiro RS (eds) Indução de resistência em plantas a patógenos e insetos. FEALQ, Piracicaba, pp 11–28
- Broadbent P, Baker KF, Waterworth Y (1971) Bacteria and actinomycetes antagonistic to root pathogens in Australian soils. Aust J Biol Sci 24:925–944
- Bugg K, Hairston W, Riggs J (2009) Succeeding in a traditional Ag-chemical company despite the "snake oil"/"foo-foo dust" concepts of biological-based products. In: Weller D, Thomashow L, Loper J, Paulitz T, Mazzola M, Mavrodi D, Landa BB, Thompson J (eds) 8th International PGPR Workshop. Portland, USA, p 17
- Camacho M, Santamaria C, Temprano F, Daza A (2001) Co-inoculation with Bacillus sp. CECT 450 improves nodulation in Phaseolus vulgaris L. Can J Microbiol 47:1058–1062
- Campos AD, Ferreira AG, Hampe MMV, Antunes IF, Brancão N, Silveira EP, Osório VA, Augustin E (2004) Atividade de peroxidase e polifenoloxidase na resistência do feijão a antracnose. Pesq Agrop Bras 39:637–643
- Canbolat MY, Barik KK, Cakmarci R, Sabin F (2006) Effects of mineral and biofertilizers on barley growth on compacted soil. Act Agric Scand 56:324–332
- Cattelan AJ, Hartel PG, Fuhrmann JJ (1999) Screening for plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to promote early soybean growth. Soil Sci Soc Am J 63:1670–1680
- Cerritos R, Vinuesa P, Eguiarte LE, Herrera-Estrella L, Alcaraz-Peraza LD, Arvizu-Gómez JL, Olmedo G, Ramirez E, Siefert JL, Souza V (2008) Bacillus coahuilensis sp. nov., a moderately halophilic species from a desiccation lagoon in the Cuatro Ciénegas Valley in Coahuila, Mexico. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58:919–923
- Chabot R, Anroun H, Cesces MC (1996) Growth promotion of maize and lettuce by phosphate solubilizing Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar phaseoli. Plant Soil 184:31–121
- Chanway CP (1998) Bacterial endophytes: ecological and practical implications. Sydowia 50:149–170
- Chen C, Bauske EM, Musson G, Rodriguez-Kaban˜a R, Kloepper JW (1994) Biological control of Fusarium on cotton by use of endophytic bacteria. Biol Control 5:83–91
- Chen J, Abawi GS, Zucherman BM (2000) Efficacy of Bacillus thuringiensis, Paecilomyces marquandii and Streptomyces costaricanus with organic amendment against Meloidogyne hapla infecting lettuce. J Nematol 32:70-77
- Coenye T, Gevers D, Van de Peer Y, Vandamme P, Swings J (2005) Towards a prokaryotic genomic taxonomy. FEMS Microbiol Rev 29:147–167
- Collins MD, Jones D (1981) Distribution of isoprenoid quinine structural types in bacteria and their taxonomic implications. Microbiol Rev 45:316–354
- Colwell RR (1970) Polyphasic taxonomy of the genus Vibrio: numerical taxonomy of Vibrio cholerae, Vibrio parahaemolyticus and related Vibrio species. J Bacteriol 104:410–433
- Cooper JB, Long SR (1994) Morphogenetic rescue of Rhizobium-meliloti nodulation mutants by trans-zeatin secretion. Plant Cell 6:215–225
- Dalmastri C, Chiarini L, Cantale C, Bevinino A, Tabacchioni S (1999) Soil type and maize cultivar affect the genetic diversity of maize root-associated *Burkholderia cepacia* populations. Microb Ecol 38:273–284
- Daniel M, Purkayastha RP (1995) Handbook of phytoalexin metabolism and action. Marcel Dekker, New York, p 615p
- Date RA (2001) Advances in inoculant technology: a brief review. Austral J Exp Agric 41:321–325
- de Freitas JR, Banerjee MR, Germida JJ (1997) Phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria enhance the growth and yield but not phosphorus uptake of canola (Brassica napus). Biol Fertil Soils 36:842–855
- de Vos P, Garrity G, Jones D, Krieg NR, Ludwig W, Rainey FA, Schleifer K-H, Whitman WB (2009) Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology. Volume 3: The Firmicutes. 2nd edn. XXVI, 1450 p. 393 illus., Hardcover. Originally published by Williams & Wilkins, 1984
- Depret G, Laguerre G (2008) Plant phenology and genetic variability in root and nodule development strongly influence genetic structuring of Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar viciae populations nodulating pea. New Phytol 179:224–235
- Dobereiner J (1997) Biolgical nitrogen fixation in the tropics: social and economic contributions. Soil Biol Biochem 29:771–774
- Enebak SA, Wei G, Kloepper JW (1998) Effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on loblolly and slash pine seedlings. Forest Sci 44:139–144
- Figueiredo MVB, Burity HA, Martinez CR, Chanway CP (2007) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving nodulation and nitrogen fixation in the common bean (*Phaseolus* vulgaris L.). World J Microbiol Biotechnol 24:1187–1193
- Figueiredo MVB, Burity HA, Martinez CR, Chanway CP (2008) Alleviation of water stress effects in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) by co-inoculation Paenibacillus x Rhizobium tropici. Applied Soil Ecol 40:182–188
- Fravel D (2007) Commercialization of biocontrol agents for use against plant pathogens. In: IX Reunião Brasileira sobre Controle Biológico de Doenças de Plantas, Campinas, S. Paulo, Brasil, CD-ROM, pp 1–2
- Freitas ADS, Vieira CL, Santos CERS, Stamford NP, Lyra MCCP (2007) Caracterização de rizóbios isolados de Jacatupé cultivado em solo salino no Estado de Pernanbuco, Brasil. Bragantia 66:497–504
- Frey-Klett P, Garbaye J, Tarkka M (2007) The mycorrhiza helper bacteria revisited. New Phytol 176:22–36
- Glick BR (1995) The enhancement of plant-growth by free-living bacteria. Can J Microbiol 41:109–117
- Glick BR, Karaturovic DM, Newell PC (1995) A novel procedure for rapid isolation of plant growth promoting Pseudomonas. Can J Microbiol 41:533–536
- Glick BR, Patten CL, Holgin G, Penrose DM (1999) Biochemical and genetic mechanisms used by plant growth promoting bacteria. Imperial College Press, London, 267 p
- Goldberg R, Imberty A, Liberman M, Prat R (1986) Relationships between peroxidatic activities and cell wall plasticity. In: Greppin H, Peneland C, Gaspar T (eds) Molecular and physiological aspects of plant peroxidases. University of Geneva, Geneva, pp 208–220
- Gray EJ, Smith DL (2005) Intracellular and extracellular PGPR: commonalities and distinctions in the plant–bacterium signaling processes. Soil Biol Biochem 37:395–412
- Gupta A, Gopal M, Tilak KV (2000) Mechanism of plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria. Indian J Exp Biol 38:856–862
- Halfeld-Vieira BA, Vieira JR Jr, Romeiro RS, Silva HSA, Baract-Pereira MC (2006) Induction of systemic resistance in tomato by autochthonus phylloplane residente *Bacillus cereus*. Pesq Agrop Bras 41:1247–1252
- Hallman J, Quadt-Hallman A, Mahafee WF, Kloepper JW (1997) Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. Can J Microbiol 43:895–914
- Hammerschmidt R, Nucckles F, Kuc I (1982) Association of enhance peroxidase activity with induced systemic resistance of cucumber to *Colletotrichum largenarium*. Physiol Plant Pathol 20:73–82
- Heritage J, Evans EGV, Killington RA (1996) Introductory microbiology. Cambridge University Press, England, 234 p
- Hilali A, Prevost D, Broughton WJ, Antoun H (2001) Effects of inoculation with strains of Rhizobium leguminosarun biovar trifolli on the growth of wheat in two different Morrocan soils. Can J Microbiol 47:590–593
- Hoffland E, Hakulinen J, van Pelt JA (1995) Comparison of systemic resistance induced by avirulent and nonpathogenic Pseudomonas species. Phytopathology 86:757–762
- Keel C, Maurhofer M (2009) Insecticidal activity in biocontrol pseudomonads. In: Weller D, Thomashow L, Loper J, Paulitz T, Mazzola M, Mavrodi D, Landa BB, Thompson J (eds) 8th International PGPR Workshop. Portland, USA, p 51
- Khalid A, Arshad M, Kahir ZA (2004) Screening plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving growth and yield of wheat. Appl Soil Ecol 96:473–480
- Kloepper JW (1993) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as biological control agents. In: Metting B (ed) Soil microbial technologies. Marcel Dekker, New York, USA, pp 255–274
- Kloepper JW, Mariano RLR (2000) Rhizobacteria to induce plant disease resistance and enhance growth – theory and practice. In: International symposium on biological control for crop protection, Rural Development Administration, Suwon, South Korea, pp 99–116
- Kloepper JW, Schroth MN (1978) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on radishes, In: Proceedings of the 4th international conference on plant pathogenic bacteria, Angers, France, pp 879–882
- Kloepper JW, Schroth MN, Miller TD (1980) Effects of rhizosphere colonization by plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria on potato plant development and yield. Phytopathology 70: 1078–1082
- Kloepper JW, Tuzun S, Kuc J (1992) Proposed definitions related to induced disease resistance. Biocontrol Sci Technol 2:349–351
- Knorzera OC, Lederera B, Durnerb J, Bogera P (1999) Antioxidative defense activation in soybean cells. Physiol Plant 107:294–302
- Kuc J (1995) Induced systemic resistance an overview. In: Hammerschmidt R, Kuc J (eds) Induced resistance to disease in plants. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 169–175
- Lazzareti E, Bettiol W (1997) Tratamento de sementes de arroz, trigo, feijão e soja com um produto formulado a base de células e de metabólitos de Bacillus subtilis. Sci Agricola 54:89–96
- Li DM, Alexander M (1988) Co-inoculation with antibiotic producing bacteria to increase colonization and nodulation by rhizobia. Plant Soil 108:211–219
- Li WX, Kodama O, Akatsuka T (1991) Role of oxygenated fatty acids in rice phytoalexin production. Agric Biol Chem 55:1041–1147
- Liu L, Kloepper JW, Tuzun S (1995) Induction of systemic resistance in cucumber against Fusarium wilt by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Phytopathology 85:695–698
- Logan NA, Berkeley RCW (1984) Identification of Bacillus strains using the API system. J Gen Microbiol 130:1871–1882
- Logan NA, Berge O, Bishop AH, Busse HJ, De Vos P, Fritze D, Heyndrickx M, Kampfer P, Rabinovitch L, Salkinoja-Salonen MS, Seldin L, Ventosa A (2009) Proposed minimal standards for describing new taxa of aerobic, endospore-forming bacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59(8):2114–2121
- Loper JE, Schroth MN (1986) Influence of bacterial sources of indole-3-acetic acid on root elongation of sugar beet. Phytopathology 76:386–389
- Lucy M, Reed E, Glick BR (2004) Applications of free living plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Review Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 86:1–25
- Luz WC (2003a) Avaliação dos tratamentos biológico e químico na redução de patógenos em semente de trigo. Fitopatol Bras 28:093–095
- Luz WC (2003b) Combinação dos tratamentos biológico e químico de semente de milho. Fitopatol Bras 28:37–40
- Mariano RLR, Kloepper JW (2000) Método alternativo de biocontrole: Resistência sistêmica induzida por rizobactérias. Revisão Anual de Patologia de Plantas 8:121-137
- Mariano RLR, Silveira EB, Assis SMP, Gomes AMA, Oliveira IS, Nascimento ARP (2001) Diagnose e manejo de fitobacterioses de importância no Nordeste Brasileiro. In: Michereff SJ, Barros R (eds) Proteção de Plantas na Agricultura Sustentável. UFRPE, Recife, Brasil, pp 141–169
- Mariano RLR, Medeiros FHV, Albuquerque VV, Assis SMP, Mello MRF (2004) Growth-promotion and biocontrol of diseases in fruits and ornamentals in the states of Pernambuco and Rio Grande do Norte, Northeastern Brazil. In: Kobayashi K, Gasoni L, Terashima H (eds) Biological control of soilborne plant diseases. JICA, Buenos Aires, Argentina, pp 70–80
- Mathre DE, Cook RJ, Callan NW (1999) From discovery to use. Traversing the world of commercializing biocontrol agents for plant disease control. Plant Dis 83:972–983
- Mayak S, Tirosh T, Glick BR (1999) Effect of wild type and mutant plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on the rooting of mung bean cuttings. J Plant Growth Regul 18:49–53
- Mayr E, Ashlock PD (1991) Principles of systematic zoology, 2nd edn. McGraw-Hill, New York, pp 1–12
- Medeiros FHV, Silva G, Mariano RLR, Barros R (2005) Effect of bacteria on the biology of diamondback moth (Plutella xylostella) on cabbage (Brassica oleraceae var. capitata) cv. Midori. An Acad Pernamb Ciênc Agronôm 2:204-212
- Miller JM, Rhoden DL (1991) Preliminary evaluation of Biolog, a carbon source utilization method for bacterial identification. J Clin Microbiol 29:1143–1147
- Monteiro L, Mariano RLR, Souto-Maior AM (2005) Antagonism of Bacillus spp. against Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris. Braz Arch Biol Technol 48:23–29
- Monteiro JM, Vollú RE, Coelho MRR, Alviano CS, Blank AF, Seldin L (2009) Culture-dependent and -independent approaches to analyze the bacterial community of different genotypes of Chrysopogon zizanioides (L.) Roberty (vetiver) rhizospheres. J Microbiol 47:363–370
- Mora RR (2006) DNA-DNA reassociation methods applied to microbial taxonomy and their critical evaluation. In: Stackebrandt E (ed) Molecular identification, systematics, and population structure of prokaryotes. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 23–49
- Mordukhova EA, Skvortsova VV, Kochetkov AN, Dubeikovskii AN, Boronin AM (1991) Synthesis of the phytohormone índole-3-acetic acid by rhizosphere bactéria of the genus Pseudomonas. Mikrobiologiya 60:494–500
- Mota FF, Gomes EA, Paiva E, Seldin L (2005) Assessment of the diversity of *Paenibacillus* species in environmental samples by a novel rpoB-based PCR-DGGE method. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 53:317–328
- Nakkeeran S, Kavitha K, Chandrasekar G, Renukadevi P, Fernando WGD (2006) Induction of plant defence compounds by *Pseudomonas chloraphis* PA23 and *Bacillus subtilis* BSCBE4 in controling damping-off of hot pepper caused by *Pythium aphanidermatum*. Biocontrol Sci Technol 16:403–416
- Nelson LM (2004) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): prospects for new inoculants. 2004 Plant Management Network, online doi:10.1094/CM-2004-0301-05-RV
- Nowak J, Shulaev V (2003) Priming for transplant stress resistance in in vitro propagation. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant 39:122–130
- Oliveira IA, Vasconcellos MJ, Seldin L, Paiva E, Vargas MAT, Sa´ NMH (2000) Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of effective Rhizobium sp. associated with beans cultivated in Brazilian cerrado soils. Braz J Microbiol 31:39–44
- Ongena M, Henry G, Adam A, Jourdan E, Thonart P (2009) Plant defense reactions stimulated following perception of *Bacillus* lipopeptides. In: Weller D, Thomashow L, Loper J, Paulitz T, Mazzola M, Mavrodi D, Landa BB, Thompson J (eds) $8th$ International PGPR Workshop. Portland, USA, p 43
- Pan B, Bai YM, Leibovitch S, Smith DL (1999) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and kinetic as ways to promote corn growth and yield in short season areas. Eur J Agron 11:179–186
- Persello-Cartieux F, Nussaume L, Robaglia C (2003) Tales from the underground: molecular plant-rhizobacteria interactions. Plant Cell Environ 26:189–199
- Pieterse CMJ, Van Loon LC (1999) Salicylic acid-independent plant defense pathways. Trends Plant Sci 4:52–58
- Pieterse CMJ, van Pelt JA, Knoester M, Laan R, Gerrits H, Weisbeek PJ, van Loon LCA (1998) Novel signaling pathway controlling induced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 10:1571–1580
- Pires MN, Seldin L (1997) Evaluation of Biolog system for identification of strains of Paenibacillus azotofixans. Antonie Leeuwenhoek 71:195–200
- Prakash O, Verma M, Sharma P, Kumar M, Kumari K, Singh A, Kumari H, Jit S, Gupta SK, Khanna M, Lal R (2007) Polyphasic approach of bacterial classification – an overview of recent advances. Indian J Microbiol 47:98–108
- Raj SN, Chaluvaraju G, Amruthesh KN, Shetty HS (2003) Induction of growth promotion and resistance against downy mildew on pearl millet (*Penninsetum glaucum*) by rhizobacteria. Plant Dis 87:380–384
- Raja P, Una S, Gopal H, Govindarajan K (2006) Impact of BioInoculants consortium on rice root exudates, biological nitrogen fixation and plant growth. J Biol Sci 6:815–823
- Remans R, Croonenborghs A, Gutierrez RT, Michiels J, Vanderleyden J (2007) Effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on nodulation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* [L.] are dependent on plant P nutrition. Europ J Plant Pathol 119:341–351
- Remans S, Blair MW, Manrique G, Tovar LE, Rao IM, Croomenborghs A, Torres GR, El-Howeity M, Michiels J, Vanderleyden J (2008) Physiological and genetic analysis of root responsiveness to auxin-producing plant growth-promoting bacteria in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Plant Soil 302:149–161
- Richardson AE (2000) Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by plants. Aust J Plant Physiol 28:897–906
- Rodriguez H, Fraga R (1999) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. Biotechnol Adv 17:319–339
- Rodríguez-Díaz M, Rodelas B, Pozo C, Martínez-Toledo MV, González-López J (2008) A review on the taxonomy and possible screening traits of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. In: Ahmad I, Pichtel J, Hayat S (eds) Plant-bacteria interactions: strategies and techniques to promote plant growth. Wiley, UK
- Romeiro RS (2000) PGPR e inducão de resistência sistêmica em plantas a patógenos. Summa Phytopathol 26:177–184
- Ross AF (1961) Localizated acquired resitance to plant virus infection in hypersensitive hosts. Virology 14:340–358
- Saikia R, Kumar R, Singh T, Srivastava AK, Arora DK, Gogoi DK, Lee MW (2004) Induction of defense related enzymes and pathogenesis related proteins in Pseudomonas fluorescens-treated chickpea in response to infection by *Fusarium oxysporum* F. sp. Ciceri. Mycobiology 32:47–52
- Saikia R, Kumar R, Arora DK, Gogoi DK, Azad P (2006) Pseudomonas aeruginosa inducing rice resistance against Rhizoctonia solani: production of salicylic acid and peroxidases. Folia Microbiol 51:375–380
- Saparrat MCN, Guillen F (2005) Lignolitic ability and potential biotechnology applications of the South American fungus Pleurotus lacioniatocrenatus. Folia Microbiol 50:155–160
- Saravana-Kumar D, Samiyappan R (2007) ACC deaminase from Pseudomonas fluorescens mediated saline resistance in groundnut (Arachis hypogea) plants. J Appl Microbial 102:1283–1292
- Scher FM, Baker R (1982) Effect of *Pseudomonas putida* and synthetic iron chelator on induction of soil suppressiveness to Fusarium wilt pathogens. Phytopathology 72:1567–1573
- Schisler DA, Slininger PJ, Behle RW, Jackson MA (2004) Formulation of *Bacillus* spp. for biological control of plant diseases. Phytopathology 94:1267–1271
- Schleifer KH, Kandler O (1972) Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic implications. Bacteriol Rev 36:407–477
- Schmid PS, Feucht W (1980) Tissues-specific oxidation browning of polyphenols by peroxiase in cherry shoots. Gartenbauwisenschaft 45:68–73
- Seldin L, Penido EGC (1986) Identification of Bacillus azotofixans using API tests. Antonie Leeuwenhoek 52:403–409
- Seldin L et al (1984) Bacillus azotofixans sp. nov. a nitrogen fixing species from Brazilian soils and grass roots. Int J Syst Bacteriol 34:451–456
- Silva HSA, Romeiro RS, Macagnan D, Halfeld-vieira BA, Pereira MCB, Mounteer A (2004) Rhizobacterial induction of systemic resitance in tomato plants: non-specific protection and increase in enzyme activities. Biol Control 29:288–295
- Silva VN, Silva LESF, Figueiredo MVB (2006) Atuação de rizóbios com rizobactérias promotoras de crescimento em plantas na cultura do caupi (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp). Acta Sci Agron 28:407–412
- Silva VN, Silva LESF, Martínez CR, Seldin L, Burity HA, Figueiredo MVB (2007) Estirpes de Paenibacillus promovem a nodulação específica na simbiose Bradyrhizobium-caupi. Acta Sci Agron 29:331–338
- Sindhu SS, Gupta SK, Suneja S, Dadarwal KR (2002) Enhancement of green gram nodulation and growth by Bacillus species. Biol Plant 45:117–120
- Stackebrandt E, Goebel BM (1994) Taxonomic note: a place for DNA-DNA reassociation and 16S rRNA sequence analysis in the present species definition in bacteriology. Int J Syst Bacteriol 44:846–849
- Stackebrandt E, Liesack W (1993) Nucleic acids and classification. In: Goodfellow M, O'Donnell AG (eds) Handbook of new bacterial systematics. Academic, London, pp 151–194
- Stadnik MJ (2000) Indução de resistência a Oídios. Summa Phytopathol 26:175–177
- Stamford NP, Santos CERS, Lira Junior MA, Figueiredo MVB (2008) Effect of rhizobia and rock biofertilizers with Acidithiobacillus on cowpea nodulation and nutrients uptake in a tabeland soil. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 24:1857–1865
- Suzuki K, Goodfellow M, O'Donnell AG (1993) Cell envelopes and classification. In: Goodfellow M, O'Donnell AG (eds) Handbook of new bacterial systematics. Academic, London, pp 195–250
- Tenuta M (2003) [http://www.umanitoba.ca/afs/agronomists_conf/2003/pdf/tenuta_rhizobac-teria.](http://www.umanitoba.ca/afs/agronomists_conf/2003/pdf/tenuta_rhizobac-teria.pdf) [pdf](http://www.umanitoba.ca/afs/agronomists_conf/2003/pdf/tenuta_rhizobac-teria.pdf)
- Tilak KVBR, Rauganayaki N, Manoharachari C (2006) Synergistic effects of plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria and Rhizobium on nodulation and nitrogen fixation by pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan). Europ J Soil Sci 57:67–71
- Tuzun S (2001) The relationship between pathogen-induced systemic resistance (ISR) and multigenic (horizontal) resistance in plants. Eur J Plant Pathol 107:85–93
- Vandamme P, Pot B, Gillis M, de Vos P, Kersters K, Swings J (1996) Polyphasic taxonomy, a consensus approach to bacterial systematics. Microbiol Rev 60:407–438
- Vassilev N, Vassileva M, Nikolaeva I (2006) Simultaneous p-solubilizing and biocontrol activity of microorganisms: potential and future trends. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 71:137–144
- Vessey JK (2003) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. Plant Soil 255:571–586 Vessey JK, Buss TJ (2002) Bacillus cereus UW85 inoculation effects on growth, nodulation, and
- N accumulation in grain legumes. Controlled-environment studies. Can J Plant Sci 82:282–290 von der Weid I, Paiva E, Nobrega A, van Elsas JD, Seldin L (2000) Diversity of Paenibacillus
- polymyxa strains isolated from the rhizosphere of maize planted in Cerrado soil. Res Microbiol 151:369–381
- Wang L-T, Lee F-L, Tai C-J, Kasai H (2007) Comparison of gyrB gene sequences, 16S rRNA gene sequences and DNA-DNA hybridization in the *Bacillus subtilis* group. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:1846–1850
- Wei G, Kloepper JW, Tuzun S (1991) Induction of systemic resistance of cucumber to Colletotrichum orbiculare by seven strains of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Phytopathology 81:1508–1512
- Weller DM (1988) Biological control of soilborne plant pathogens in the rhizosphere with bacteria. Ann Rev Phytopathol 26:379–407
- Wenhua T, Hetong Y (1997) Research and application of biocontrol of plant diseases and PGPR in China. In: Ogoshi A, Kobayashi K, Homma Y, Kodama F, Kondo N, Akino S (eds) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria – present status and future prospects. OECD-OCDE, Sapporo, Japan, pp 2–9
- Young SA, Guo A, Guikema JA, White F, Leach IE (1995) Rice cationic peroxidase accumulation in xylem vessels during incompatible interaction with Xanthomonas oryzae. Plant Physiol 107:1333–1341
- Zafar-ul-Hye M. (2008). Improving nodulation in lentil through co-inoculation with rhizobia and ACC-deaminase containing plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria. PhD Thesis. University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan, p 198
- Zhang F, Dashti N, Hynes RK, Smith DL (1996) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.]. Nodulation and fixation at suboptimal root zone temperatures. Ann Bot 7:453–459
- Zhender G, Kloepper J, Changbin Y, Wei G (1997) Induction of systemic resistance in cucumber against cucumber beetles (Coleoptera: Crysomelidae) by Plant-Growth-Promoting-Rhizobacteria. J Econ Entomol 90:391–396
- Zuber P, Nakano MM, Marahiel MA (1993) Peptide antibiotics. In: Sonenshein AL, Hoch JA, Losick R (eds) Bacillus subtilis and other Gram-positive Bacteria. ASM, Washington, USA, pp 897–916