The Synchronization Problem for Locally Strongly Transitive Automata*-*

Arturo Carpi¹ and Flavio D'Alessandro²

 1 Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Perugia, via Vanvitelli 1, 06123 Perugia, Italy carpi@dipmat.unipg.it 2 Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza" Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy dalessan@mat.uniroma1.it

Abstract. The synchronization problem is investigated for a new class of deterministic automata called locally strongly transitive. An application to synchronizing colorings of aperiodic graphs with a cycle of prime length is also considered.

Keywords: Černý conjecture, road coloring problem, synchronizing automaton, rational series.

1 Introduction

The *synchronizat[ion](#page-11-10) [pr](#page-11-9)oble[m](#page-10-0)* [f](#page-10-1)[or](#page-10-2) [a](#page-11-0) [d](#page-11-1)[e](#page-11-2)[ter](#page-11-3)[min](#page-11-4)[ist](#page-11-5)[ic](#page-11-6) n[-s](#page-11-7)[tat](#page-11-8)[e](#page-11-9) [au](#page-11-9)tomaton consists in [t](#page-11-11)he search of an input-sequence, called a *synchronizing* or *reset word*, such that [th](#page-11-1)e state attained by the automaton, when this sequence is read, does not depend on the initial state of the automaton itself. If such a sequence exists, the automaton is called *synchronizing*. If a synchronizing automaton is deterministic and complete, a well-known conjecture by Cerný claims that it has a synchronizing word of length not larger than $(n-1)^2$ [7]. This conjecture has been shown to be true for several classes of automata (*cf.* [2,3,4,7,8,9,11,12,15,16,17,18,21]). The interested reader is refered to $[13,21]$ for a historical survey of the Cern $\acute{\text{y}}$ conjecture and to [6] for the study of the synchronization problem for unambiguous automata. In [8], the authors have studied the synchronization problem for a new class of automata called *strongly transitive*. An n-state automaton is said to be strongly transitive if it is equipped by a set of n words $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{n-1}\},$ called *independent*, such that, for any pair of states s and t, there exists a word $w_i, 0 \le i \le n-1$, such that $sw_i = t$. Interesting examples of strongly transitive automata are circular automata [and](#page-11-12) [tr](#page-11-12)ansitive synchronizing automata. The main result of [8] is that any synchronizing strongly transitive n-state automaton has a synchronizing word of length not larger than $(n-2)(n+L-1)+1$,

 \star This work was partially supported by MIUR project "Aspetti matematici e applicazioni emergenti degli automi e dei linguaggi formali" and by fundings "Facoltà di Scienze MM. FF. NN. 2007" of the University of Rome "La Sapienza".

R. Královič and D. Niwiński (Eds.): MFCS 2009, LNCS 5734, pp. 211–222, 2009.

⁻c Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2009

where L denotes the length of the longest word of an independent set of the automaton. As a straightforward corollary of this result, one can obtain the bound $2(n-2)(n-1)+1$ for the len[gt](#page-10-2)h of the shortest synchronizing word of any n-state synchronizing circular automaton.

In this paper, we consider a generalization of the notion of strong transitivity that we call *local strong transitivity*. An n-state automaton is said to be *locally strongly transitive* if it is equipped by a set of k words $W = \{w_0, \ldots, w_{k-1}\}\$ and a set of k distinct states $R = \{q_0, \ldots, q_{k-1}\}\$ such that, for all $s \in S$, $\{sw_0, \ldots, sw_{k-1}\} = \{q_0, \ldots, q_{k-1}\}.$ The set W is still called *independent* while R is called the *range* of W. A typical example of such kind of automata is that of *one-cluster* automata, recently investigated in [4]. An automaton is called one-cluster if there exists a letter a such that the graph of the automaton has a unique cycle labelled by a power of a . Indeed, denoting by k the length of the cycle, one easily verifies that the words

$$
a^{n-1}, a^{n-2}, \dots, a^{n-k}
$$

form an independent set of the automaton whose range is the set of vertices of the cycle. Another more general class of locally strongly transitive automata is that of *word connected* automata. Given a *n*-state automaton $\mathcal{A} = (S, A, \delta)$ and a word $u \in A^*$, A is call[ed](#page-11-1) *u*-connected if there exists a state $q \in S$ such that, for every $s \in S$, there exists $\ell > 0$, with $su^{\ell} = q$. Define R and W respectively as:

$$
R = \{q, qu, \dots, qu^{k-1}\}, \quad W = \{u^i, u^{i+1}, \dots, u^{i+k-1}\},\tag{1}
$$

where k is the least positive integer such that $qu^k = q$ and i is the least integer such that, for every $s \in S$, $su^i \in R$. Then one has that W is an independent set of A with range R .

In this paper, by developing the techniques of $[8]$, we prove that any synchronizing locally strongly transitive n-state automaton has a synchronizing word of length not larger than

$$
(k-1)(n+L) + \ell,
$$

where k is the cardinality of an independent set W and L and ℓ denote respectively the maximal and the minimal length of the words of W. As a straightforward corollary of this result, we obtain that every *n*-state synchronizing u connected automaton has a synchronizing word of length not larger than

$$
(k-1)(n + (i + k - 1)|u|) + i|u|,
$$

where i and k are defined as in (1) . In particular, if the aut[om](#page-10-3)aton is one-cluster, the previous bound becomes $(2k-1)(n-1)$, where k is the length of the unique cycle of the graph of A labelled by a suitable letter of A .

Another result of this paper is related to the well-known *Road coloring problem*. This problem asks to determine whether any aperiodic and strongly connected graph, with all vertices of the same outdegree, (*AGW graph*, for short), has a synchronizing coloring. The problem was formulated in the context of Symbolic Dynamics by Adler, Goodwyn and Weiss and it is explicitly stated in [1].

In 2007, Trahtman has positively solved it in [19]. Recently Volkov has raised in [20] the problem of evaluating, for any AGW graph G , the minimal length of a reset word for a synchronizing coloring of G. This problem has been called *the Hybrid* Cerny-Road coloring problem. It is worth to mention that Ananichev has found, for any $n \geq 2$, a AGW graph of n vertices such that the length of the shortest reset word for any synchronizing coloring of the graph is $(n-1)(n-2)+1$ (see [20]). By applying our main theorem and a result by O' Brien [14], we are able to obtain a partial answer to the Hybrid Cern $\acute{\text{v}}$ –Road coloring problem. More precisely, we can prove that, given a AGW graph G of n vertices, without multiple edges, such that G has a simple cycle of prime length $p < n$, there exists a synchronizing coloring of G with a reset word of length not larger than $(2p-1)(n-1)$. Moreover, in the case $p = 2$, that is, if G contains a cycle of length 2, a similar result holds, even in presence of multiple edges. Indeed, for every graph of such kind, we can prove the existence of a synchronizing coloring with a reset word of length not larger than $5(n-1)$.

2 Preliminaries

We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of automata and rational series. In this section we shortly recall a vocabulary of few terms and we fix the corresponding notation used in the paper.

Let A be a finite alphabet and let A^* be the free monoid of words over the alphabet A. The identity of A^* is called the *empty word* and is denoted by ϵ . The *length* of a word of A^* is the integer |w| inductively defined by $|\epsilon| = 0$, $|wa| = |w| + 1$, $w \in A^*$, $a \in A$. For any finite set W of words of A^* , we denote by L_W and ℓ_W the lengths of the longest word and the shortest word in W respectively.

A finite automaton is a triple $\mathcal{A} = (S, A, \delta)$ where S is a finite set of elements called *states* and δ is a map

$$
\delta: S \times A \longrightarrow S.
$$

The map δ is called the *transition function* of A. The canonical extension of the map δ to the set $S \times A^*$ is still denoted by δ . For any $u \in A^*$ and $s \in S$, the state $\delta(s, u)$ will be also denoted su. If P is a subset of S and u is a word of A^* . we [d](#page-11-0)enote by Pu and Pu^{-1} the sets:

$$
Pu = \{ su \mid s \in P \}, \quad Pu^{-1} = \{ s \in S \mid su \in P \}.
$$

If $\{sw : w \in A^*\} = S$, for all $s \in S$, A is *transitive*. If $n = \text{Card}(S)$, we will say that A is a n-state automaton. A *synchronizing* or *reset* word of A is any word $u \in A^*$ such that $Card(Su) = 1$. The state q such that $Su = \{q\}$ is called *reset state*. A *synchronizing* automaton is an automaton that has a reset word. The following conjecture has been raised in [7].

Cern´ ˇ y Conjecture. *Each synchronizing* n*-state automaton has a reset word of length not larger than* $(n-1)^2$.

We recall that a *formal power series* with rational coefficients and noncommuting variables in A is a mapping of the free monoid A^* into $\mathbb Q$. A series $\mathcal{S}: A^* \to \mathbb{Q}$ is *rational* if there exists a triple (α, μ, β) where

- $-\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{1 \times n}, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times 1}$ are a horizontal and a vertical vector respectively,
- $-$ μ : A^* → $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ is a morphism of the free monoid A^* in the multiplicative monoid $\mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$ of matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} ,
- **–** for every u ∈ A∗, S(u) = αμ(u)β.

The triple (α, μ, β) is called *a representation* of S and the integer *n* is called its *dimension*. With a minor abuse of language, if no ambiguity arises, the number n will be also called the dimension of S. Let $\mathcal{A} = (S, A, \delta)$ be any n-state automaton. One can associate with A a morphism

$$
\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}: A^* \to \mathbb{Q}^{S \times S},
$$

of the free monoid A^* in the multiplicative monoid $\mathbb{Q}^{S \times S}$ of matrices over the set of rational numbers, defined as: for any $u \in A^*$ and for any $s, t \in S$,

$$
\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(u)_{st} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = su \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Let R and K be subsets of S and consider the rational series S with linear representation $(\alpha, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}, \beta)$ $(\alpha, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}, \beta)$ $(\alpha, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}, \beta)$, where, for every $s \in S$,

$$
\alpha_s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \in R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \beta_s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \in K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

It is easily seen that, for any $u \in A^*$, one has

$$
\mathcal{S}(u) = \text{Card}(Ku^{-1} \cap R). \tag{2}
$$

The following well-known result (see [5,10]) extends to rational series a fundamental theorem by Moore and Conway on automata equivalence.

Theorem 1. *Let* S_1 , S_2 : $A^* \to \mathbb{Q}$ *be two rational series with coefficients in* \mathbb{Q} *of dimension* n_1 *and* n_2 *respectively. If, for every* $u \in A^*$ *such that* $|u| \leq n_1 + n_2 - 1$ *,* $S_1(u) = S_2(u)$, the series S_1 and S_2 are equal.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. Let $A = (S, A, \delta)$ be a synchronizing n-state automaton. Assume *that* R and K are subsets of S, and t is an integer such that $0 < t <$ Card (R) *. Then there exists a word* v *such that*

$$
|v| \le n, \quad \text{Card}(Kv^{-1} \cap R) \ne t \, .
$$

Proof. Consider the series S_1 , S_2 defined respectively by

$$
\mathcal{S}_1(v) = \text{Card}(Kv^{-1} \cap R), \quad \mathcal{S}_2(v) = t, \quad v \in A^*.
$$

In view of (2), S_1 is a rational series of dimension n. Moreover, S_2 is a rational series of dimension 1. We have to prove that $S_1(v) \neq S_2(v)$ for some $v \in A^*$ such that $|v| \le n$. In view of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that $S_1 \ne S_2$. Let u be a reset word of the automaton A. Then $Ku^{-1} = S$ or $Ku^{-1} = \emptyset$, according to whether the corresponding reset state belongs to K or not. One derives, respectively, $S_1(u) = \text{Card}(R)$ or $S_1(u) = 0$ and, in both cases, $S_1(u) \neq t$. Thus, $S_1 \neq S_2$, and the statement follows. \Box

3 Locally Strongly Transitive Automata

In this section, we study the notion of local strong transitivity. We begin by introducing the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $A = (S, A, \delta)$ be an automaton. A set of k words $W =$ $\{w_0, \ldots, w_{k-1}\}\$ is called independent *if there exist* k distinct states q_0, \ldots, q_{k-1} *of* A *such that, for all* $s \in S$ *,*

$$
\{sw_0,\ldots,sw_{k-1}\}=\{q_0,\ldots,q_{k-1}\}.
$$

The set $R = \{q_0, \ldots, q_{k-1}\}\$ *will be called the* range *of* W.

An automaton is called *locally strongly transitive* if it has an independent set of words. The following example shows that local strong transitivity does not imply transitivity.

Example 1. Consider the 4-state automaton A over the alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$ defined by the following graph:

The automaton A is not transitive. On the other hand, one can easily check that the set $\{a, a^2\}$ is an independent set of A with range $R = \{1, 2\}.$

The following useful property easily follows from Definition 1.

Lemma 2. *Let* A *be an automaton and let* W *be an independent set of* A *with range* R. Then, for every $u \in A^*$, the set uW is an independent set of A with *range* R*.*

Proposition 1. Let $A = (S, A, \delta)$ be a n-state automaton and consider an in*dependent set* $W = \{w_0, \ldots, w_{k-1}\}\$ *of* $\mathcal A$ *with range* R *. Then, for every subset* P *of* R*, either*

$$
Card(Pw_i^{-1}\cap R)=Card(P), \text{ for all } i=0,\ldots,k-1
$$

or there exists $j, 0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ *, such that*

$$
Card(Pw_j^{-1}\cap R) > Card(P).
$$

Proof. Because of Definition 1, for every $s \in S$ and $r \in R$, there exists exactly one word $w \in W$ such that $s \in \{r\}w^{-1}$. This implies that the sets $\{r\}w_i^{-1}$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, give a partition of S. Hence, for any $r \in R$, one has:

$$
k = \text{Card}(R) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \text{Card}(R \cap \{r\} w_i^{-1}). \tag{3}
$$

Let P be a a subset of R . If P is empty then the statement is trivially true. If $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ is a set of $m \ge 1$ states, then one has:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \operatorname{Card}(R \cap P w_i^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \operatorname{Card} \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m R \cap \{p_j\} w_i^{-1} \right).
$$

Since A is deterministic, for any pair p_i, p_j of distinct states of P and for every $u \in A^*$, one has[:](#page-5-0)

$$
\{p_i\}u^{-1} \ \cap \ \{p_j\}u^{-1} \ = \ \emptyset,
$$

so that the previous sum can be rewritten as:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Card}(R \cap \{p_j\} w_i^{-1}).
$$

The latter equation together with (3) implies that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \operatorname{Card}(Pw_i^{-1} \cap R) = k \operatorname{Card}(P).
$$

The statement follows from the equation above. \Box

Corollary 1. Let $A = (S, A, \delta)$ be a synchronizing n-state automaton and let W *be an independent set of* A *with range* R*. Let* P *be a proper and non empty subset of* R. Then there exists a word $w \in A^*$ such that

$$
|w| \le n + L_W, \quad \text{Card}(Pw^{-1} \cap R) > \text{Card}(P).
$$

Proof. Let $W = \{w_0, \ldots, w_{k-1}\}$. We first prove that there exists a word $v \in A^*$ with $|v| \leq n$ such that

$$
Card(P(vw_0)^{-1} \cap R) \neq Card(P).
$$
 (4)

If $\text{Card}(Pw_0^{-1} \cap R) \neq \text{Card}(P)$, take $v = \epsilon$. Now suppose that

$$
Card(Pw_0^{-1} \cap R) = Card(P).
$$

Since P is a proper and non-empty subset of R and since A is synchronizing, by applying Lemma 1 with $t = \text{Card}(P)$ and $K = P w_0^{-1}$, one has that there exists a wo[rd](#page-5-2) $v \in A^*$ such that $|v| \leq n$ and $Card(P(vw_0)^{-1} \cap R) \neq Card(P)$. Thus take v that satisfies (4) and let $W' = \{vw_0, \ldots, vw_{k-1}\}.$ By Lemma 2, W' is an independent set of A with range R and $L_{W'} \leq n + L_W$. Therefore, by Proposition 1, taking into account (4),

$$
Card(P(vw)^{-1} \cap R) > Card(P),
$$

for some $w \in W'$. The claim is thus proved.

 \Box

As a consequence of Corollary 1, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. Let $A = (S, A, \delta)$ be a synchronizing n-state automaton and let W *be an indepen[de](#page-5-2)nt set of* A *with range* R*. Then there exists a reset word for* A *of length not larger than*

$$
(k-1)(n+L_W)+\ell_W,
$$

where $k = \text{Card}(W)$ *.*

Proof. Let $P = \{r\}$ where r is a given state of R. Starting from the set P, by iterated application [of](#page-6-0) Corollary 1, one can find a word v such that

$$
|v| \le (k-1)(n + L_W), \quad Pv^{-1} \cap R = R.
$$

Thus we ha[ve](#page-11-1) $Rv = P$. Let u be a word of W of minimal length. Because of Definition 1, we have $Su \subseteq R$ so that $Suv \subseteq Rv = P$. Therefore the word uv is the required word and the statement is proved. $\hfill \Box$

In the sequel of this section, we will present some results that can be obtained as straightforward corollaries of Theorem 2. We recall that an n -state automaton $\mathcal{A} = (S, A, \delta)$ is *strongly transitive* if there exists an independent set W of n words. Thus, in this case, S is the range of W . The notion of strong transitivity was intro[du](#page-6-0)ced and studied in [8] where the following result has been proved.

Theorem 3. Let $A = (S, A, \delta)$ be a synchronizing strongly transitive n-state *automaton with an independent set* W*. Then there exists a reset word* w *for* A *of length n[ot](#page-6-1) larger than*

$$
1 + (n-2)(n + L_W - 1). \tag{5}
$$

Remark 1. Since a strongly transitive automaton A is also locally strongly transitive, by applying Theorem 2 to A , we obtain the following upper bound on the length of w:

$$
(n-1)(n+L_W)+\ell_W,
$$

which is larger than that of (5). This gap is the consequence of the following three facts that depend upon the condition $S = R$. The quantity ℓ_W can be obviously deleted in the equation above. The reset word w of A is factorized as

 $w = w_i w_{i-1} \cdots w_0$, where $j \leq n-2$ and, for every $i = 0, \ldots, j, w_i$ is obtained by applying Corollary 1. The proof of Corollary 1 is based upon Lemma 1. Under the assumption $S = R$, one can see that the upper bound for the word defined in Lemma 1 is $n - 1$ so that the corresponding upper bound of Corollary 1 can be lowered to $n + L_W - 1$. Finally, since A is synchronizing, the word w_0 can be chosen as a letter.

Let us now define a remarkable class of locally strongly transitive automata.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{A} = (S, A, \delta)$ be an n-state automaton and let $u \in A^*$. Then A *is called u*-connected *if there exists a state* $q \in S$ *such that, for every* $s \in S$ *, there exists* $k > 0$ *, such that* $su^k = q$ *.*

Let A be a u-connected n-state automaton. Define the set R as:

$$
R = \{q, qu, \ldots, qu^{k-1}\},\
$$

where k is the least positive integer such that $qu^k = q$. Let i be the least integer such that, for every $s \in S$, $su^i \in R$. Finally define the set W as:

$$
W = \{u^i, u^{i+1}, \dots, u^{i+k-1}\}.
$$

One easily verifies that W is an independent set of A with range R and, moreover, $\ell_W = i|u|, \ L_W = (i + k - 1)|u|.$

Remark 2. We notice that, by definition of i , there exists a state s such that s, su, ..., suⁱ⁻¹ ∉ R and suⁱ ∈ R. This implies that the states s, su, ..., suⁱ⁻¹ are pairwise distinct. Since moreover Card $(R) = k$, one derives $i + k \leq n$, so that $L_W \leq (n-1)|u|$.

Example 2. Consider the following 6-state automaton A:

Let $u = ab$ and $q = 0$. One can check that, for all $s \in S$, $su^k = q$, with $k \leq 2$. Since $qu^2 = q$, one has $R = \{0, 2\}$ and one can check that $i = 2$. Thus $W = \{u^2, u^3\}$ is an independent set of A with range R.

By Remark 2 and by applying Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 2. Let $A = (S, A, \delta)$ be a synchronizing n-state automaton. Suppose *that* A *is* u-connected [with](#page-7-0) $u \in A^*$. Let i and k be integers defined as above. *Then* A *has a reset word of length not larger than*

$$
(k-1)(n + (i + k - 1)|u|) + i|u|.
$$

We say that an automaton A is *letter-connected* if it is a-connected for some letter $a \in A$. This notion is a natural generalization of that of circular automaton. Indeed, one easily verifies that A is a-connected if and only if it has a unique cycle labelled by a power of a. By Corollary 2, taking into account that $i+k \leq n$ one derives

Corollary 3. *A synchronizing a-connected n-state automaton,* $a \in A$ *, has a reset word of length not larger than*

$$
(2k-1)(n-1),
$$

where k *is the length of the unique cycle of* A *labelled by a power of* a*.*

We remark that the tighter upper bound

$$
i + (k - 1)(n + k + i - 2)
$$

for the length of the shortest reset word of a synchronizing a -connected n-state automaton was established in [4].

4 On the Hybrid Cern´ ˇ y–Road Coloring Problem

In the sequel, by using the word graph, we will term a finite, directed multigraph with all vertices of outdegree k. A *multiple edge* of a [gr](#page-10-3)aph is a pair of edges with the same source and the sam[e ta](#page-11-16)rget. A graph is *aperiodic* if the greatest common [divis](#page-11-17)or of the lengths of all cycles of the graph is 1. A *coloring* of a graph G is a labelling of its edges by letters of a k -letter alphabet that turns G into a complete and deterministic automaton. A coloring of G is *synchronizing* if it transforms G into a synchronizing automaton. The *Road coloring problem* asks the existence of a synchronizing coloring for every aperiodic and strongly connected graph. This problem was formulated in the context of Symbolic Dynamics by Adler, Goodwyn and Weiss and it is explicitly stated in [1]. In 2007, Trahtman has positively [solv](#page-11-13)ed this problem in [19]. Recently Volkov has raised the following problem [20].

Hybrid Cerný–Road coloring problem. Let G be an aperiodic and strongly *connected graph. What is the minimum length of a reset word for a synchronizing coloring of* G*?*

Now we present a partial answer to the previous problem. For this purpose, we recall the following theorem by O' Brien [14].

Theorem 4. *Let* G *be an aperiodic and strongly connected graph of* n *vertices, without multiple edges. Suppose* G has a simple cycle C of prime length $p < n$. *Then there exists a synchronizin[g](#page-8-0) [c](#page-8-0)oloring of* G *[suc](#page-8-1)h that* C *is the unique cycle labelled by a power [o](#page-8-0)f a given letter* a*.*

Corollary 4. *Let* G *be an aperiodic and strongly connected graph of* n *vertices, without multip[le](#page-11-13) edges. Supp[o](#page-11-13)se* G has a simple cycle of prime length $p < n$. *Then there exists a synchronizing coloring of* G *with a reset word of length* \leq $(2p-1)(n-1)$.

Proof. The statement follows by applying Theorem 4 and Corollary 3 to G . \square

If G contains multiple edges, Theorem 4 cannot be applied so that neither Corollary 4 holds. However, in this case, if G has a cycle of length 2, a result akin to Corollary 4 can be proven. In order to prove this extension, by following [14], we recall some notions and results.

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that all vertices of the graph $G = (S, E)$ have outdegree 2. However, we notice that Proposition 2 stated below remains true also when the common outdegree of the vertices of G is larger.

We suppose that G has a cycle C of length 2 and call s_0, s_1 the vertices of C. A C*-tree* T of G is a subgraph of G that satisfies the following properties:

- the set of vertices of T is S and, for every vertex s of G , exactly one edge outgoing from s is an edge of T ;
- $-$ C is a subgraph of T;
- for every vertex s of G , there exists a path from s to s_1 .

Let T be a given C -tree of G . Define a map

$$
C_T : S \longrightarrow \{0,1\}
$$

as follows: for every $s \in S$, $C_T(s) = 1$ (resp., $C_T(s) = 0$) if the length of the shortest path in T from s to s_1 is even (resp., odd).

Given a vertex $s \in S$, we say that s is *aperiodic* (with respect to T) if there exists an edge (s, t) of G such that $C_T(t) = C_T(s)$; otherwise the vertex is called *periodic* (with respect to T). One can easily prove that, since G is an aperiodic graph, for every C -tree T of G , there exists an aperiodic vertex.

Let $A = \{a, b\}$ be a binary alphabet and define a coloring of the edges of T as follows: for every edge $e = (s,t)$ of T, label e by the letter a if $C_T(s) = 1$ and by the letter b otherwise. Finally extend, in the obvious way, the latter coloring to the remaining edges of G in order to transform G into an automaton A. We remark that with such a coloring, if $C_T(x) = 1$, then $C_T(xa) = 0$ and, if $C_T(x) = 0$, then $C_T(xb) = 1$. Moreover, if x is aperiodic, then $C_T(xa) = 0$ and $C_T(xb) = 1$. The following lemma can be proved easily.

Lemma 3. *Let* x, y *be states of* A*. The following properties hold:*

1. If $C_T(x) = 1$, then, for every $m \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$, $x(ab)^m = s_1$; 2. If $C_T(x) = 0$, then, for every $m \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$, $x(ba)^m = s_0$;

- *3. Either* $C_T(x(ab))^m = 0$ *for all* $m \geq 0$ *or* $x(ab)^{n-1} = s_1$ *.*
- 4. If x is aperiodic, then there exists $\sigma \in \{a, b\}$ such that $C_T(x\sigma) = C_T(y\sigma)$.
- *5. There is a word* u *such that* $xu = yu$ *, with* $|u| \leq 2n 2$ *.*

Proof. Conditions 1 and 2 immediately follow from the definition of the coloring of G.

Let us prove Condition 3. Suppose that there exists $m \geq 0$ with $C_T(x(ab)^m) =$ 1. Then, by Condition 1, $x(ab)^k = s_1$ for any $k \geq m + \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$. Let k be the least non-negative integer such that $x(ab)^k = s_1$. The minimality of k implies that the states $x(ab)^i$, $0 \le i \le k$ are pairwise distinct. Consequently, $k + 1 \le n$, so that $x(ab)^{n-1} = s_1(ab)^{n-k-1} = s_1$.

Now let us prove Condition 4. Since x is aperiodic, one has $C_T(xa) = 0$ and $C_T(xb) = 1$. Moreover, either $C_T(ya) = 0$ or $C_T(yb) = 1$, according to the value of $C_T(y)$. The conclusion follows. Let us prove Condition 5. We can find a word v such that $|v| \leq n-2$ and at least one of the states xv, yv is aperiodic. By Condition 4, $C_T(xv\sigma) = C_T(yv\sigma)$ for some $\sigma = a, b$. Set

$$
v' = \begin{cases} (ab)^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} & \text{if } C_T(xv\sigma) = 1, \\ (ba)^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1} & \text{if } C_T(xv\sigma) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

According to Conditions 1, 2 one has $xv\sigma v' = yv\sigma v' \in C$ so that the statement is verified with $u = v \sigma v'$. . **In the contract of the cont**

Proposition 2. *Let* G *be an aperiodic and strongly connected graph of* n *vertices with outdegree* 2*. Assume that* G *has a cycle of length two. Then there exists a synchronizing coloring of* G *with a reset word of length* $\leq 5(n-1)$ *.*

Proof. Let C be the cycle of length two of G and let A be the automaton obtained from G by considering the coloring defined above. By Condition 3, $S(ab)^{n-1} \subseteq \{s_1\} \cup S_0$, where $S_0 = \{x \in S \mid C_T(x) = 0\}$. By Condition 2, $S_0(ba)^{\lceil n/2 \rceil-1} = \{s_0\}.$ Thus, the set $R = S(ab)^{n-1}(ba)^{\lceil n/2 \rceil-1}$ contains at most 2 states. By Condition 5, there is a word u such that $|u| \leq 2n-2$ and Ru is reduced to a singleton. We conclude that the word $w = (ab)^{n-1}(ba)^{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}u$ is a reset word. Moreover, $|w| \leq 5(n-1)$.

References

- 1. Adler, R.L., Goodwyn, L.W., Weiss, B.: Equivalence of topological Markov shifts. Israel J. Math. 27, 49–63 (1977)
- 2. Ananichev, D.S., Volkov, M.V.: Synchronizing generalized monotonic automata. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 330(1), 3–13 (2005)
- 3. Béal, M.-P.: A note on Cerný's Conjecture and rational series, technical report, Institut Gaspard Monge, Université de Marne-la-Vallée (2003)
- 4. B´eal, M.-P., Perrin, D.: A quadratic upper bound on the size of a synchronizing word in one-cluster automata. To appear in the proceedings of Developments in Language Theory, DLT 2009, Stuttgart, Germany (2009)
- 5. Berstel, J., Reutenauer, C.: Rational series and their languages. Springer, Heidelberg (1988)
- 6. Carpi, A.: On synchronizing unambiguous automata. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 60, 285–296 (1988)
- 7. Cerný, J., Poznámka, k.: Homogénnym eksperimenton s konečnými automatmi. Mat. Fyz. Cas SAV 14, 208–215 (1964)
- 8. Carpi, A., D'Alessandro, F.: The synchronization problem for strongly transitive automata. In: Ito, M., Toyama, M. (eds.) DLT 2008. LNCS, vol. 5257, pp. 240–251. Springer, Heidelberg (2008)
- 9. Dubuc, L.: Sur les automates circulaires et la conjecture de Cerny. RAIRO Inform. Théor. Appl. 32, 21–34 (1998)
- 10. Eilenberg, S.: Automata, Languages and Machines, vol. A. Academic Press, London (1974)
- 11. Frankl, P.: An extremal problem for two families of sets. Eur. J. Comb. 3, 125–127 (1982)
- 12. Kari, J.: Synchronizing finite automata on Eulerian digraphs. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 295, 223–232 (2003)
- 13. Mateescu, A., Salomaa, A.: Many-valued truth functions, Cerny's conjecture and road coloring. EATCS Bull. 68, 134–150 (1999)
- 14. O' Brien, G.L.: The road coloring problem. Israel J. Math. 39, 145–154 (1981)
- 15. Pin, J.E.: Le problème de la synchronization et la conjecture de Cerny, Thèse de 3ème cycle, Université de Paris 6 (1978)
- 16. Pin, J.E.: Sur un cas particulier de la conjecture de Cerny. In: Ausiello, G., Böhm, C. (eds.) ICALP 1978. LNCS, vol. 62, pp. 345–352. Springer, Heidelberg (1978)
- 17. Rystov, I.: Almost optimal bound of recurrent word length for regular automata. Cybern. Syst. Anal. 31(5), 669–674 (1995)
- 18. Trahtman, A.N.: The Cerny conjecture for aperiodic automata. Discrete Math. and Theor. Comput. Sci. 9(2), 3–10 (2007)
- 19. Trahtman, A.N.: The road coloring problem. Israel J. Math. (2008) (to appear)
- 20. Volkov, M.V.: Communication in: Around the Cern $\acute{\gamma}$ conjecture, International Workshop, University of Wroclaw (Poland) (June 2008)
- 21. Volkov, M.V.: Synchronizing Automata and the Cerný Conjecture. In: Martín-Vide, C., Otto, F., Fernau, H. (eds.) LATA 2008. LNCS, vol. 5196, pp. 11–27. Springer, Heidelberg (2008)