Coronary Flow Reserve



Fausto Rigo, Jorge Lowenstein, and Eugenio Picano

9.1 Historical Background and Physiological Basis

The seminal concept of coronary flow reserve was proposed experimentally by Lance K. Gould in 1974 [1]. Under normal conditions, in the absence of stenosis, coronary blood flow can increase approximately four- to sixfold to meet increasing myocardial oxygen demands. This effect is mediated by vasodilation at the arteriolar bed, which reduces vascular resistance, thereby augmenting flow. Coronary reserve is the capacity of the coronary circulation to dilate following an increase in myocardial metabolic demand and can be expressed by the difference between the hyperemic flow and the resting flow curve. In most clinical applications, hyperemia is induced pharmacologically, not via an increase in oxygen demand. A combined anatomical and physiological classification can ideally identify four separate segments in the hyperemic curve (Fig. 9.1): (1) The hemodynamically silent range of 0-40% stenosis, which does not affect coronary flow reserve (>2.5) to any detectable extent. (2) The clinically silent zone, where stenosis ranging from 40 to 70%may marginally reduce the coronary flow reserve without reaching the critical threshold required to provoke ischemia with the usual stresses. (3) The severe stenosis range (70– 90%), where critical stenosis reduces coronary flow reserve less than 2.0, and myocardial ischemia is usually elicited when a stress is applied. (4) The very severe stenosis range (>90%), producing a marked transstenotic pressure drop at rest, with a reduction of baseline myocardial blood flow and a coronary flow reserve close to 1, or even less; in these patients, the administration of a coronary vasodilator actually decreases the poststenotic flow for steal phenomena. This experimental paradigm can be accurately reproduced clinically in highly selected series of patients with single-vessel disease, no myocardial infarction, no coronary collateral circulation, normal baseline function, no left ventricular hypertrophy, with no evidence of coronary vasospasm, and who are off therapy at the time of testing. In these patients, the more severe the stenosis, the more profound the impairment in



Fig. 9.1 The curve of coronary flow reserve with the four segments: hemodynamically silent (0-40% stenosis); clinically silent (40-70% stenosis); hemodynamically significant (70-90% with CFR<2.0); and very severe stenosis (>90%, with CFR<1.0). (Redrawn and adapted from [1] and [17])

coronary flow reserve. The correction of the stenosis improves coronary flow reserve, and perfect dilation normalizes the coronary flow reserve. The perfect, predictable relationship found in the experimental animal and in a very selected patient population [2] falls apart in the clinical arena [3], where many variables can modulate the imperfect match between epicardial coronary artery stenosis and coronary flow reserve. Among others, these variables include:

- 1. The geometric characteristics of the stenosis
- 2. The presence of coronary collateral circulation
- 3. The microvascular component of coronary resistance
- 4. Left ventricular hypertrophy modulating the myocardial extravascular component of coronary resistance
- 5. The viable or necrotic state of the myocardium distal to the stenosis
- 6. The presence of coronary macrovascular or microvascular spasm
- 7. The presence of concomitant antiischemic therapy

In fact, this impressive scatter of data leads to the need to reconsider our original stenoticocentric view of ischemic heart disease [4]. According to that view, each level of stenosis precisely predicts the level of impairment in coronary blood flow. This concept has some corollaries: stenosis is the disease and dilating the stenosis means curing the disease; the probability of subsequent occlusion depends on the severity of the stenosis; the stress test accurately maps the area at risk for subsequent infarction. Although reasonable, all these corollaries are at least partially wrong; the stenosis is only the fruit of the atherosclerotic plant, which has deep genetic, metabolic, and hemodynamic roots that must be identified and treated in order to better cure this disease. Critical stenosis may occlude, but the majority of clinically catastrophic occlusions occur in previously noncritical stenosis; the stress test accurately identifies the area at risk of subsequent infarction in only a minority (four out of ten) of patients. In two out of ten patients, the stress test is right for the wrong reason (the test results are positive and the patient develops infarction, but in an area different from the induced ischemia), and in four out of ten, the test is wrong (normal findings in a patient who subsequently develops infarction) [5]. The appeal of coronary flow reserve is to gain insight into a key physiological variable that integrates functional assessment during a stress [6]. This assessment can be obtained clinically, with six different basic approaches (Table 9.1): positron emission tomography (PET), myocardial scintigraphy, magnetic resonance perfusion imaging; intracoronary Doppler flow wire, transesophageal echocardiography, and transthoracic echocardiography. PET is highly accurate, allows a quantitative assessment of absolute myocardial blood flow, but is exorbitantly expensive, technically demanding, available in very few centers, and exposes the patient to radiation biohazards. Single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) is less expensive, and is also less accurate than PET, with a high radiation burden of 500–1,500 chest X-rays for a sestamibi or thallium scan, respectively). Intracoronary Doppler flow wire is invasive, risky, and expensive, requiring intracoronary catheterization; radiation exposure is required for intracoronary catheter placement, although not directly for coronary flow reserve measurement. Instead, transesophageal echocardiography has the limitation of being semiinvasive, while transthoracic echocardiography has the merit of being noninvasive, nonionizing, and compatible with other forms of functional testing for induction of wall motion abnormalities in the echocardiography laboratory. All these approaches are based on the theoretical prerequisite that the imaging technique combined with hyperemic stress will generate a signal whose intensity is correlated (possibly in a linear, direct fashion) with coronary

	Measure- ments of flow	Radiation exposure (CXr)	Cost	Availahility	Accuracy	Interest
DET	110.00	(CAI)	Cost	Availability	Accuracy	Therest
PET	Absolute		Very high	-	+++	Research
SPECT	Relative	(500–1500)	High	++	++	Clinical cardiology
CMR	Relative	0	High	±	++	Clinical cardiology
Intracoronary Doppler	Relative	++	High	±	+++	Cath lab
Transesopha- geal Doppler	Relative	_	Low	+	++(+)	Echo lab
Transthoracic echocardio- graphy	Relative	-	Very low	+++	++(+)	Clinical cardiology

Table 9.1 Methods of assessing coronary flow reserve

CXr chest radiograph, PET positron emission tomography, SPECT single-photon emission computed tomography, CMR cardiovascular magnetic resonance



Fig.9.2 Relationship between the true increments of coronary flow and the flow signal strength obtained with the currently available imaging techniques. All techniques, including the most sophisticated and expensive ones, are considerably far from the ideal, in which the signal increases in a linear and direct fashion with flow. In the high flow range – the most important one following a vasodilatory stimulus – the relationship between flow and signal tends toward a plateau, implying only minimal (if any) signal differences. For instance, if the flow is fivefold higher in the normal coronary vessel and only three-fold in the stenotic vessel, the recorded flow difference will be 18% by positron emission tomography (*PET*), and around 10% by SPECT, myocardial contrast echocardiography (*MCE*), transthoracic echo Doppler flowmetry, and magnetic resonance imaging (*MRI*). (Adapted and modified from [7])

flow, especially in the high-flow range that is the most important one for diagnostic purposes. Unfortunately, none of the available techniques for noninvasive assessment of coronary flow reserve allows a truly accurate quantitative assessment of coronary flow reserve [7] (Fig. 9.2). For instance, a 40% reduction in coronary flow reserve compared with normal values (i.e., a flow reserve of 3 in diseased myocardium compared to a flow reserve of 5 in the normal myocardium) will yield a difference in signal intensity of only 6% with SPECT (comparable to myocardial contrast echocardiography) and of 18% with PET, whose results correlate well with intracoronary, transesophageal, and transthoracic echocardiography Doppler techniques [8]. We are still far from the ideal test of coronary flow reserve. Nevertheless, the possibility of a reasonably accurate estimation of coronary flow reserve during a stress targeted on functional testing for wall motion analysis opens new, exciting clinical and research opportunities.

9.2 Coronary Flow Reserve in the Echocardiography Laboratory

With either transesophageal (sampling the proximal tract) or transthoracic echocardiography (exploring the middistal tract), the left anterior descending coronary blood flow velocity profile recorded with pulsed-wave Doppler is consistent with the pathophysiological

9



Fig. 9.3 Schematic representation of coronary flow velocity profile obtained with transthoracic Doppler of the middistal left anterior descending coronary artery and measurement of the coronary flow reserve through peak diastolic flow velocity. The coronary blood flow velocity is higher in diastole. The *lower panel* shows the experimental data obtained with intramyocardial pressure monitoring and left anterior descending flowmetry in the dog. (From [9], with permission)

premises. Coronary flow velocity by Doppler assessment appears to be biphasic, with a lower peak during systole and a higher peak during diastole. Myocardial extravascular resistance in fact is higher in systole and lower in diastole due to the effect of myocardial contraction (Fig. 9.3) [9]. The flow velocity variations are proportional to the total blood flow if the diameter of the vessel lumen is kept constant. In reality, the diameter of epicardial coronary arteries increases by an average of 30% in healthy subjects following adenosine infusion [10]. Therefore, failure to take into account epicardial coronary artery vasodilation during hyperemia may cause a nonsystematic underestimation of coronary flow reserve, which can be more accurately calculated as velocity time integral cross-sectional area [10]. In practice, and with an unavoidable approximation, the coronary flow

velocity variation between baseline and peak effect of a coronary vasodilator makes it possible to derive an index of coronary flow reserve in the left anterior descending artery territory. Several parameters might be measured from Doppler tracings of left anterior descending artery flow, including systolic flows, time-velocity integrals, and mean flows [8]. However, the best parameter is peak diastolic flow; it is not only the simplest parameter to be measured and the easiest to obtain, but also the most reproducible and the one with the closest correlation with coronary perfusion reserve measured with Doppler flow wire [11] and PET [12]. The signal of coronary flow on the left anterior descending coronary artery was first made possible by transesophageal echocardiography, with excellent diagnostic results [11, 12], but only recently has there been increased clinical interest in the development of the transthoracic method [13–17]. There were technological factors that allowed the totally noninvasive transthoracic imaging of the middistal left anterior descending coronary artery: second-harmonic imaging, which provides better definition of smaller structures such as the left anterior descending coronary artery, and high-frequency transducers (up to 8 MHz), which provide improved resolution imaging of near-field structures (Fig. 9.4). The availability of contrast agents also improved the signal-to-noise ratio, increasing the feasibility of transthoracic imaging of the left anterior descending coronary artery above the threshold of potential clinical impact.

The Doppler assessment of coronary flow reserve has some limitations. The assessment of absolute blood velocity can be limited in some patients by the large incident angle between the Doppler beam and blood flow. However, calculation of the flow reserve allows



Fig. 9.4 Color Doppler flow imaging of the left anterior descending artery, visualized in its middleto-distal portion to a variable extent in four different patients. (Courtesy of Dr. Jorge Lowenstein)

assessment of flow patterns without the need for absolute values. More importantly, the velocity ratio is used as a surrogate of flow reserve; flow within the coronary artery is not calculated because cross-sectional visualization of the vessel does not accurately measure the diameter of the vessel. The estimated flow reserve can be accurate if the coronary artery functions only as a conduit, with no change in its diameter during drug infusion. The variability and heterogeneity in coronary artery diameter response following administration of adenosine [10] or dobutamine introduce a remarkable source of error, which is amenable to correction only through direct measurement of epicardial vessel diameter true coronary flow reserve and coronary flow velocity changes, together with the lower method variability of the latter, makes it suitable for a robust assessment of coronary flow reserve in most experimental and clinical settings [19].

9.3 Methodology of Coronary Flow Reserve Testing

Stress testing of coronary flow reserve introduces a change in the choice of the stress, the use of transducers, and the methodology of testing.

After stress, the balance between exercise, dobutamine, and vasodilators clearly goes in the direction of vasodilators (Fig. 9.5), which fully recruit coronary flow reserve [20] (Fig. 9.6) and minimize the factors polluting image quality [21]. Among vasodilators, dipyridamole is better tolerated subjectively than adenosine [22], it induces less hyperventilation



Fig. 9.5 Coronary flow reserve and stresses: vasodilators [adenosine (*ADO*) or dipyridamole (*DIPY*)] evoke a greater recruitment of coronary flow reserve, substantially higher than dobutamine (*DOB*) and exercise (*EXE*). They are more appropriate stressors for testing coronary flow reserve. (Modified from [20], with permission)



Fig. 9.6 Coronary flow reserve assessed in the same patient with transthoracic echocardiography by dobutamine (*upper panels*) and adenosine (*lower panels*). *Left panels*, baseline signal. *Right panels*, peak stress signals. The increase in coronary flow reserve is substantially higher with adenosine than with dobutamine. (Courtesy of Dr. Jorge Lowenstein)

(which may pollute the echocardiography images), costs much less in most countries, and has a longer-lasting vasodilatory effect [23] (Fig. 9.7), which is more convenient for dual flow and function imaging (Table 9.2).

A broadband transducer (2-7 MHz) or two transducers (with low-frequency imaging of wall motion and high-frequency imaging of left anterior descending coronary artery flow) must be used, allowing alternative opening of imaging windows on coronary flow and left ventricular function [24, 25]. Besides the classic projections for stress echocardiography testing, specific projection for left anterior descending coronary artery imaging should be integrated into the cardiac imaging sequence (Fig. 9.8). The posterior descending artery (Fig. 9.9) and the left circumflex artery (Fig. 9.10) can be imaged with dedicated imaging projections, but with greater difficulty and a lower success rate. The imaging protocol methodology also changes, with a shift from left anterior descending coronary artery flow to left ventricular function. This is more technically demanding, but also more thrilling for the skilled stress echocardiographer, as it combines the two different aspects of flow and functional imaging into a single test [24-26]; the split brain of imaging formally finds its conceptual corpus callosum in the echocardiography laboratory (Figs. 9.11, 9.12). The normal values are quite similar for all three coronary arteries, and are clearly normal when above 2.5, borderline between 2.0 and 2.5, and clearly abnormal below 2.0. Athletes show supernormal values (above 4.0). A reduction in coronary flow reserve can be linked to



Fig. 9.7 The temporal sampling of coronary flow reserve by transthoracic echocardiography. There is a progressive, stepwise increase in coronary flow reserve peaking after the high dose and immediately reversed upon administration of aminophylline

Drug	Adenosine	Dipyridamole
Patient tolerance	Lower	Higher
Vasodilator effect onset	Seconds	Minutes
Multiple coronary imaging	Difficult	Possible
Combined wall motion and CFR	Difficult	Possible

Table 9.2 Vasodilator stress imaging

CFR coronary flow reserve

a significant epicardial coronary artery stenosis, but also to microvascular disease or to factors increasing extravascular resistance and endoluminal compressive forces with normal coronary arteries, as happens in syndrome X, dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and aortic stenosis [27].



Fig. 9.8 *Left panel*: Artist's drawing illustrating transducer beam orientation to the left anterior descending coronary artery. The middistal tract is imaged from a modified apical 2-chamber view. *Right panel*: The corresponding echocardiographic image of left anterior descending color flow



Fig. 9.9 *Left panel*: Artist's drawing illustrating transducer beam orientation to the posterior descending coronary artery. The middistal tract is imaged from a modified apical 2-chamber view with counterclockwise rotation and anterior angulation of the probe. *Right panel*: The corresponding echocardiographic image of posterior descending color flow



Fig. 9.10 *Left panel*: Artist's drawing illustrating transducer beam orientation to the left circumflex coronary artery. The midproximal tract of the left circumflex artery is imaged from a modified apical 4-chamber view with 50–80° clockwise rotation and posterior angulation of the probe. *Right panel*: The corresponding echocardiographic image of left circumflex color flow

9



Fig. 9.11 A typical example of a normal regional wall motion and coronary flow reserve pattern from a patient with normal coronary arteries. The end-systolic frames from parasternal short-axis view show a normal thickening at rest (*left upper panel*) and during stress (*right upper panel*). On the *left*, pulsed Doppler shows a threefold increase in Doppler peak diastolic flow velocity from baseline (*left lower panel*) to peak dipyridamole (*right lower panel*)

9.4 Coronary Flow Reserve: The Diagnostic Results

Good results have been reported with coronary flow reserve evaluation during transesophageal [11, 12] or transthoracic echocardiography [13–17] for noninvasive diagnosis of coronary artery disease (Fig. 9.13). Nevertheless, the use of coronary flow reserve as a standalone diagnostic criterion suffers from so many practical problems: first of all, only the left anterior descending coronary artery is sampled; second, coronary flow reserve cannot distinguish between microvascular and macrovascular coronary disease [27]. Therefore, it is much more interesting (and clinically realistic) to evaluate the additive value over conventional wall motion for left anterior descending coronary artery detection. The assessment of coronary flow reserve adds sensitivity for left anterior descending



Fig. 9.12 A typical example of a regional wall motion (*right upper panel*) and coronary flow reserve (*left upper panel*) pattern from a patient with a tight proximal stenosis of the left anterior descending artery (*lower panel*). On the *right*, the end-systolic frames from the apical 4-chamber view show a normal thickening at rest and akinesia of the apex during stress. On the *left*, pulsed Doppler shows no significant increase in Doppler peak diastolic flow velocity from baseline (*left*) to peak dipyridamole (*right*)



Fig. 9.13 The sensitivity for noninvasive detection of anatomic disease of the left anterior descending coronary artery on the basis of wall motion (2D echocardiography) and coronary flow reserve (CFR) criteria in five different studies, all consistently showing the higher sensitivity achieved with the contribution of 2D echocardiography and CFR criterion versus 2D echocardiography alone. (Redrawn and adapted from original data of [28–32])

	Wall motion	Coronary flow reserve
Specificity	Higher	Lower
Sensitivity	Lower	Higher
Technical difficulty	Lower	Higher
Interpretation	Difficult	Easier
Prognostic value	High	Unknown
Segmental positivity response	All-or-one	Continuous
Coronary arteries explored	All territories	Mostly LAD

Table 9.3	The two	faces	of	stress	echocar	diograp	bhy	testing
-----------	---------	-------	----	--------	---------	---------	-----	---------

LAD left anterior descending artery

coronary artery disease, with a modest loss of specificity [28–32]. In some ways, coronary flow reserve and wall motion analysis offer complementary information during stress echocardiography (Table 9.3). From the pathophysiological viewpoint, wall motion positivity requires ischemia as a necessary prerequisite, whereas coronary flow reserve can be impaired in the absence of induced ischemia. Wall motion is easy to acquire but can be difficult to analyze. Coronary flow reserve can be difficult to acquire, but it is usually straightforward in its quantitative interpretation of a Doppler signal. In the interpretation phase, a regional wall motion abnormality has higher positive predictive value for predicting the presence of epicardial coronary artery stenosis. A normal coronary flow reserve has a higher negative predictive value. Therefore, the two pieces of information on flow and function can complement each other since a wall motion abnormality is highly specific and a normal coronary flow reserve is highly sensitive for coronary artery disease [28–32]. In addition, the flow information is relatively unaffected by concomitant antianginal therapy, which markedly reduces sensitivity of ischemia-dependent regional wall motion abnormality [33] and does not influence coronary flow reserve except to a limited extent, if at all [34]. In this way, the coronary flow reserve can already help in the difficult task of identifying patients with coronary artery disease. Obviously, such help will be greater with the potential of imaging all three major coronary arteries, with segments of the posterior descending and left circumflex coronary artery [35, 36] being more difficult for ultrasonic imaging at present.

9.5 The Prognostic Value of Coronary Flow Reserve

In patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy [37] or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [38] and in patients with normal to nonsignificant coronary artery disease [39, 40], a severely depressed coronary flow reserve is a predictor of poor prognosis. These studies were performed on small patient series, with a limited number of events, and employed highly academic (complex, expensive, time-consuming) techniques such as PET [37, 38] or the intracoronary Doppler flow wire technique [39, 40]. With the advent of coronary



Fig. 9.14 Kaplan–Meier survival curves (considering only death and myocardial infarction as end points) in patients stratified according to normal (CFR>2.0) or abnormal (CFR<2.0) coronary flow reserve at Doppler echocardiography, and presence or absence of wall motion abnormalities by 2D echocardiography

flow reserve in the stress echocardiography laboratory, in a few years a striking amount of information became available through large-scale multicenter studies, showing the impressive prognostic value of coronary flow reserve. This value has been proven in patients with stable angina [41, 42], patients with intermediate stenosis of single-vessel disease [43, 44], and in several other challenging subsets characterized by negative wall motion response during stress echocardiography, such as patients with diabetes [45] under antianginal therapy at the time of testing [46], dilated cardiomyopathy [47], hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [48], or heart transplant [49]. The prognostic value has also been shown for hard end points only, and adds incremental information over the value of inducible wall motion abnormalities (Fig. 9.14). At this point, an evidence-based use of coronary flow reserve in clinically driven decision-making is possible and fully justified. Similar diagnostic and prognostic results can be obtained in the assessment of left ventricular wall motion and coronary flow reserve in left internal mammary artery and right internal mammary artery grafts [50–53].

9.6 Targets, Tips, and Traps in Coronary Flow Reserve

At present, different segments of native or grafted coronary arteries can be imaged transthoracically in the echocardiography laboratory. The feasibility and clinical impact are highest for the middistal native left anterior descending coronary artery and the left internal mammary artery graft, while it is lowest (albeit still feasible) for posterior descending and left circumflex arteries, and intermediate for saphenous vein grafts. Each of the segments has different transducer frequency windows, different initial velocity range, different

9

Vein graft	LAD	LCx	PD	LIMA	RIMA	Saphenous
Success rate	90%	50%	60%	90-100%	90-100%	80–90%
Transducer position	Modified apical	Modified apical 4-chamber	Modified apical 2-chamber	Left supra- clavicular area	Right supraclavi- cular area	Modified parasternal
Transducer frequency (MHz)	5–7	3.5	3.5	5–7	5–7	3–5
Best CFR cut-off for stenosis detection	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.9	<1.9	<1.6

Table 9.4 Coronary flow reserve in the echocardiography laboratory: technicalities and targets

CFR coronary flow reserve, *LAD* left anterior descending artery, *LCx* left circumflex artery, *PD* posterior descending artery, *LIMA* left internal mammary artery, *RIMA* right internal mammary artery

projections, and different technical difficulties (Table 9.4). There are biological and technical problems with coronary flow reserve assessment. The coronary flow reserve depends on a coronary as well as a myocardial component. Patent native arteries or graft with a low flow reserve supply myocardium that is partially scarred from previous infarction. Under these conditions, the vasodilating capacity of the recipient myocardium is probably reduced independently of any stenosis. In diagnostic terms, this may account for a reduced specificity of coronary flow reserve (abnormal with patent arteries). Poststenotic coronary flow reserve accurately reflects the residual vasodilatory capacity of that vascular bed which is specifically affected by the stenosis [24–27]. Prestenotic coronary flow reserve can be diagnostically unreliable, since the abnormal response in the poststenotic territory can be pseudonormalized by the normal vasodilatory response in the territories supplied by the branching vessels stemming off the main trunk between the sampling zone and the stenosis. Coronary flow reserve will yield the greatest information when combined with wall motion imaging. Contrast agent injection is sometimes – although not often – needed, and this will impact favorably on the cost-effectiveness profile of the method.

9.7 Coronary Flow Reserve in the Stress Echocardiography Laboratory: Here to Stay

Assessment of coronary flow reserve integrates and complements classic stress echocardiography founded on regional wall motion analysis. With the addition of coronary flow reserve to wall motion, the stress echocardiography response can be stratified into a severity code mirroring the ischemic cascade. On one end of the spectrum, there is the totally normal pattern, with hyperdynamic left ventricular function and preserved coronary flow reserve, which is highly predictive of normal coronary anatomy and normal physiological response of coronary micro- and macrocirculation. At the opposite end of the spectrum, there is the totally abnormal pattern with regional wall motion abnormalities and abnormal coronary flow response, which is highly predictive of diseased epicardial coronary anatomy and impaired flow reserve. In between these extreme "black and white" responses, a gray zone can be found, more often with prognostically meaningful mild to moderate abnormal coronary flow reserve and normal function (Fig. 9.15). At present, coronary flow reserve in coronary artery disease is a feasible, useful, and prognostically validated tool to be considered with standard wall motion analysis for the "two birds with one stone" approach of dual imaging in stress echocardiography. As such, it is currently recommended as the state-of-the art method with vasodilatory stress echocardiography when adequate technology and expertise are available [54]. Its noninvasive, radiation-free nature also make it ideally suited for ethically immaculate, radiation-free research-oriented studies, especially when each subject or patient acts as his/her own control, allowing establishment of acute



Fig. 9.15 Pathophysiological and prognostic heterogeneity behind normal wall motion response during stress. In the *upper panel*, we show epicardial coronary arteries: normal in the *first two columns*, with moderate disease in the *third column*, and moderate-to-severe disease but concomitant, effective antiischemic therapy in the *last column*. The myocardium is shown as a *square box*, with small vessels as *circles*. Coronary small vessel disease is shown (*second columns*) as *bold circles* (structural or functional impairment). All four very different pathophysiological conditions show the negativity of wall motion response. The abnormal CFR response is present in the *last three columns*, with abnormality of micro- or macrocirculation. *Panel B*: Pathophysiological and prognostic heterogeneity behind abnormal wall motion response during stress. Symbols as in *Panel A*. The CFR can be normal in spite of wall motion abnormality when the left anterior descending artery is not significantly involved and the microcirculatory level is not impaired (*left panel*)

or chronic changes in coronary flow reserve, induced, for instance, by acute food or beverage intake (such as alcohol or chocolate) or ingestion of medication in chronic therapeutic interventions, for instance, statins or antihypertensive drugs [55–60]. Although substantial technological and conceptual refinements are expected in the near future, for instance, with 3D imaging and the possibility of accurately assessing coronary flow reserve with simultaneous evaluation of coronary flow velocity profiles and stress-induced changes in coronary diameter, there is little doubt that the technique is here to stay.

References

- Gould KL, Lipscomb K (1974) Effects of coronary stenosis on coronary flow reserve and resistance. Am J Cardiol 34:48–55
- Uren NG, Melin JA, De Bruyne B, et al (1994) Relation between myocardial blood flow and the severity of coronary artery stenosis. N Engl J Med 330:1782–1788
- 3. White CW, Wright CB, Doty DB, et al (1984) Does visual interpretation of the coronary arteriogram predict the physiologic importance of a coronary stenosis? N Engl J Med 310:819–824
- Topol EJ, Nissen SE (1995) Our preoccupation with coronary luminology. The dissociation between clinical and angiographic findings in ischemic heart disease. Circulation 92:2333–2342
- Varga A, Picano E, Cortigiani L, et al (1996) Does stress echocardiography predict the site of future myocardial infarction? A large-scale multicenter study. J Am Coll Cardiol 28:45–51
- Strauer BE (1990) The significance of coronary reserve in clinical heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 15:775–783
- Gould KL (1991) Comparison of PET and other imaging techniques. In: Gould KL (ed) Coronary artery stenosis. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Saraste M, Koskenvuo J, Knuuti J, et al (2001) Coronary flow reserve: measurement with transthoracic Doppler echocardiography is reproducible and comparable with positron emission tomography. Clin Physiol 21:114–122
- Ono S, Nohara R, Kambara H, Okuda K, Kawai C (1992) Regional myocardial perfusion and glucose metabolism in experimental left bundle branch block. Circulation. 85:1125–1131
- 10. Kiviniemi TO, Toikka JO, Koskenvuo JW, et al (2007) Vasodilation of epicardial coronary artery can be measured with transthoracic echocardiography. Ultrasound Med Biol. 33:362–370
- Iliceto S, Marangelli V, Memmola C, et al (1991) Transesophageal Doppler echocardiography evaluation of coronary blood flow velocity in baseline conditions and during dipyridamoleinduced coronary vasodilation. Circulation 83:61–69
- Radvan J, Marwick TH, Williams MJ, et al (1995) Evaluation of the extent and timing of the coronary hyperemic response to dipyridamole: a study with transesophageal echocardiography and positron emission tomography with oxygen 15 water. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 8:864–873
- Hozumi T, Yoshida K, Ogata Y, et al (1998) Noninvasive assessment of significant left anterior descending coronary artery stenosis by coronary flow velocity reserve with transthoracic color Doppler echocardiography. Circulation 97:1557–1562
- Caiati C, Montaldo C, Zedda N, et al (1999) New noninvasive method for coronary flow reserve assessment: contrast-enhanced transthoracic second harmonic echo Doppler. Circulation 99:771–778
- Lim HE, Shim WJ, Rhee H, et al (2000) Assessment of coronary flow reserve with transthoracic Doppler echocardiography: comparison among adenosine, standard-dose dipyridamole, and high-dose dipyridamole. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 13:264–270

- Daimon M, Watanabe H, Yamagishi H, et al (2001) Physiologic assessment of coronary artery stenosis by coronary flow reserve measurements with transthoracic Doppler echocardiography: comparison with exercise thallium-201 single photon emission computed tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol 37:1310–1315
- Pizzuto F, Voci P, Mariano E, et al (2001) Assessment of flow velocity reserve by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography and venous adenosine infusion before and after left anterior descending coronary artery stenting. J Am Coll Cardiol 38:155–162
- Barbato E, Bartunek J, Wyffels E, et al (2003) Effects of intravenous dobutamine on coronary vasomotion in humans. J Am Coll Cardiol 42:1596–1601
- Wikström J, Grönros J, Gan LM (2008) Adenosine induces dilation of epicardial coronary arteries in mice – Relationship between coronary flow velocity reserve and coronary flow reserve in vivo using transthoracic echocardiography. Ultrasound Med Biol 34:1053–1062
- Iskandrian AS, Verani MS, Heo J (1994) Pharmacologic stress testing: mechanism of action, hemodynamic responses, and results in detection of coronary artery disease. J Nucl Cardiol 1:94–111
- Picano E (1992) Stress echocardiography. From pathophysiological toy to diagnostic tool. Circulation 85:1604–1612
- Martin TW, Seaworth JF, Johns JP, et al (1992) Comparison of adenosine, dipyridamole, and dobutamine in stress echocardiography. Ann Intern Med 116:190–196
- Rossen JD, Quillen JE, Lopez AG, et al (1990) Comparison of coronary vasodilation with intravenous dipyridamole and adenosine. J Am Coll Cardiol 15:373–377
- Dimitrow PP (2003) Transthoracic Doppler echocardiography noninvasive diagnostic window for coronary flow reserve assessment. Cardiovasc Ultrasound 1:4
- Dimitrow PP, Galderisi M, Rigo F (2005) The non-invasive documentation of coronary microcirculation impairment: role of transthoracic echocardiography. Cardiovasc Ultrasound 3:18
- Rigo F (2005) Coronary flow reserve in stress-echo lab. From pathophysiologic toy to diagnostic tool. Cardiovasc Ultrasound 3:8
- Rigo F, Murer B, Ossena G et al (2008)Transthoracic echocardiographic imaging of coronary arteries: tips, traps, and pitfalls. Cardiovasc Ultrasound 6:7
- Rigo F, Richieri M, Pasanisi E, et al (2003) Usefulness of coronary flow reserve over regional wall motion when added to dual-imaging dipyridamole echocardiography. Am J Cardiol 91:269–273
- Nohtomi Y, Takeuchi M, Nagasawa K, et al (2003) Simultaneous assessment of wall motion and coronary flow velocity in the left anterior descending coronary artery during dipyridamole stress echocardiography. J Am Soc Echo 17:457–463
- Lowenstein J, Tiano C, Marquez G, et al (2003) Simultaneous analysis of wall motion and coronary flow reserve of the left anterior descending coronary artery by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography during dipyridamole stress. J Am Soc Echo 17:735–744
- Chirillo F, Bruni A, De Leo A, et al (2004) Usefulness of dipyridamole stress echocardiography for predicting graft patency after coronary artery bypass grafting. Am J Cardiol 93:24–30
- 32. Ascione L, De Michele M, Accadia M, et al (2006) Incremental diagnostic value of ultrasonographic assessment of coronary flow reserve with high-dose dipyridamole in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Int J Cardiol. 106:313–318
- Lattanzi F, Picano E, Bolognese L, et al (1991) Inhibition of dipyridamole-induced ischemia by antianginal therapy in humans. Correlation with exercise electrocardiography. Circulation 83:1256–1262
- 34. Sicari R, Cortigiani L, Bigi R, et al; Echo-Persantine International Cooperative (EPIC) Study Group; Echo-Dobutamine International Cooperative (EDIC) Study Group (2004) Prognostic value of pharmacological stress echocardiography is affected by concomitant antiischemic therapy at the time of testing. Circulation 109:2428–2431

- Voci P, Pizzuto F, Mariano E et al (2002) Measurement of coronary flow reserve in the anterior and posterior descending coronary arteries by transthoracic Doppler ultrasound. Am J Cardiol 90:988–991
- Ueno Y, Nakamura Y, Takashima H et al (2002) Noninvasive assessment of coronary flow velocity and coronary flow velocity reserve in the right coronary artery by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography: comparison with intracoronary Doppler guidewire. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 15:1074–1079
- Neglia D, Michelassi C, Trivieri MG, et al (2002) Prognostic role of myocardial blood flow impairment in idiopathic left ventricular dysfunction. Circulation 105:186–193
- Cecchi F, Olivotto I, Gistri R et al (2003) Coronary microvascular dysfunction and prognosis in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med 349:1027–1035
- Schächinger V, Britten M, Zeiher A (2000) Prognostic impact of coronary vasodilator dysfunction on adverse long-term outcome of coronary heart disease. Circulation 101:1899–1906
- Albertal M, Voskuil M, Piek JJ, et al; The Doppler Endpoints Balloon Angioplasty Trial Europe (DEBATE) II Study Group (2002) Coronary flow velocity reserve after percutaneous interventions is predictive of periprocedural outcome. Circulation 105:1573–1578
- Rigo F, Cortigiani L, Pasanisi E, et al (2006) The additional prognostic value of coronary flow reserve on left anterior descending artery in patients with negative stress echo by wall motion criteria. A Transthoracic Vasodilator Stress Echocardiography Study. Am Heart J 151:124–130
- 42. Rigo F, Sicari R, Gherardi S, et al (2008) The additive prognostic value of wall motion abnormalities and coronary flow reserve during dipyridamole stress echo. Eur Heart J 29:79–88
- 43. Rigo F, Sicari R, Gherardi S, et al (2007) Prognostic value of coronary flow reserve in medically treated patients with left anterior descending coronary disease with stenosis 51% to 75% in diameter. Am J Cardiol 100:1527–31
- 44. Meimoun P, Benali T, Elmkies F, et al (2008) Prognostic value of transthoracic coronary flow reserve in medically treated patients with proximal left anterior descending artery stenosis of intermediate severity. Eur J Echocardiogr 10:127–32
- 45. Cortigiani L, Bigi R, Sicari R, et al (2007) Comparison of prognostic value of pharmacologic stress echocardiography in chest pain patients with versus without diabetes mellitus and positive exercise electrocardiography. Am J Cardiol 100:1744–1749
- Sicari R, Rigo F, Gherardi D, et al (2008) The prognostic value of Doppler echocardiographicderived coronary flow reserve is not affected by concomitant antiischemic therapy at the time of testing. Am Heart J 155:1110–1117
- Rigo F, Gherardi S, Galderisi M, et al (2006) The prognostic impact of coronary flow-reserve assessed by Doppler echocardiography in non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J 27:1319–1323
- Sicari R, Rigo F, Gherardi S et al (2008) Prognostic implications of coronary flow reserve on left anterior descending coronary artery in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 102:1634–1646
- Tona F, Caforio AL, Montisci R, et al (2006) Coronary flow velocity pattern and coronary flow reserve by contrast-enhanced transthoracic echocardiography predict long-term outcome in heart transplantation. Circulation 114:149–155
- De Bono DP, Samani NJ, Spyt TJ, et al (1992) Transcutaneous ultrasound measurements of blood flow in internal mammary artery to coronary artery graft. Lancet 339:379–381
- 51. Fusejima K, Takahara Y, Sudo Y, et al (1990) Comparison of coronary hemodynamics in patients with internal mammary artery and saphenous vein coronary artery bypass grafts: a noninvasive approach using combined two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiography. J Am Coll Cardiol 15:131–139

- 52. De Simone L, Caso P, Severino S, et al (1999) Noninvasive assessment of left and right internal mammary artery graft patency with high-frequency transthoracic echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 12:841–849
- 53. Chirillo F, Bruni A, Balestra G, et al (2001) Assessment of internal mammary artery and saphenous vein graft patency and flow reserve using transthoracic Doppler echocardiography. Heart 86:424–431
- 54. Sicari R, Nihoyannopoulos P, Evangelista A, et al; European Association of Echocardiography (2009) Stress echocardiography expert consensus statement: European Association of Echocardiography (EAE) (a registered branch of the ESC). Eur J Echocardiogr 9:415–437
- 55. Shiina Y, Funabashi N, Lee K, et al (2007) Acute effect of oral flavonoid-rich dark chocolate intake on coronary circulation, as compared with non-flavonoid white chocolate, by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography in healthy adults. Int J Cardiol 131:424–9
- 56. Kiviniemi TO, Saraste A, Toikka JO, et al (2007) A moderate dose of red wine, but not de-alcoholized red wine increases coronary flow reserve. Atherosclerosis 195:e176–e181
- Galderisi M, de Simone G, D'Errico A, et al (2008) Independent association of coronary flow reserve with left ventricular relaxation and filling pressure in arterial hypertension. Am J Hypertens 21:1060–6
- Erdogan D, Yildirim I, Ciftci O, et al (2007) Effects of normal blood pressure, prehypertension, and hypertension on coronary microvascular function. Circulation 115:593–599
- Kiviniemi TO, Saraste A, Toikka JO, et al (2008) Effects of cognac on coronary flow reserve and plasma antioxidant status in healthy young men. Cardiovasc Ultrasound 6:25
- 60. Galderisi M, Capaldo B, Sidiropulos M, et al (2007) Determinants of reduction of coronary flow reserve in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus or arterial hypertension without angiographically determined epicardial coronary stenosis. Am J Hypertens 20:1283–1290