Time Delays in Neural Systems

Sue Ann Campbell

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo ON N2L 3G1 Canada sacampbell@uwaterloo.ca Centre for Nonlinear Dynamics in Physiology and Medicine, McGill University, Montréal Québec H3C 3G7 Canada

1 Introduction

In this chapter I will give an overview of the role of time delays in understanding neural systems. The main focus will be on models of neural systems in terms of delay differential equations. Later in this section, I will discuss how such models arise. The goal of the chapter is two-fold: (1) to give the reader an introduction and guide to some methods available for understanding the dynamics of delay differential equations and (2) to review some of the literature documenting how including time delays in neural models can have a profound effect on the behaviour of those models.

1.1 Modelling Delay in Neural Systems

To begin, I will formulate a general model for a network of neurons and then determine how delays may occur in this model. Consider a network of nneurons modelled by the equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_i(t) = \mathbf{F}_i(\mathbf{x}_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{f}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_j(t)), \ i = 1, \dots, n \ . \tag{1}$$

The variable \mathbf{x}_i represents all the variables describing the physical state of the cell body of the i^{th} neuron in the network. For example, in the standard Hodgkin-Huxley model, it would represent the membrane voltage and gating variables: $\mathbf{x}_i = (V_i, m_i, n_i, h_i)$. The function \mathbf{F}_i represents the intrinsic dynamics of the i^{th} neuron and the function \mathbf{f}_{ij} , often called the coupling function, represents the input to the i^{th} neuron from the j^{th} neuron. In neural models, the coupling is usually through the voltage, V_i , only, so $\mathbf{f}_{ij} = [f_{ij}, 0, 0, \dots, 0]^T$. I will primarily consider this case in the rest of the chapter. If the j^{th} neuron is connected to the i^{th} via a chemical synapse, then the coupling function is given by

$$f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_j(t)) = c_{ij} h_{ij}^{\text{pre}}(\mathbf{x}_j(t)) h_{ij}^{\text{post}}(\mathbf{x}_i(t)) .$$
⁽²⁾

This is called **synaptic** coupling. Here h_{ij}^{pre} is a sigmoidal function, usually chosen to have maximum value 1, so that c_{ij} represents the maximum coupling strength (synaptic conductance). h_{ij}^{post} is typically a linear function (e.g. for Hodgkin Huxley models, $h_{ij}^{\text{post}}(\mathbf{x}_i(t)) = V_i(t) - K_{ij}$, where K_{ij} is a constant). Some models set $\mathbf{h}_{ij}^{\text{post}} = 1$, eliminating the dependence on the post-synaptic neuron, in which case this coupling is called **sigmoidal**.

If the neurons are connected via a gap junction, then the coupling function is

$$\mathbf{f}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_j(t)) = C_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i(t) - \mathbf{x}_j(t)) , \qquad (3)$$

where C_{ij} is the matrix of coupling coefficients. This is called **gap junc**tional, electrical or diffusive coupling. For most neural models only the (1,1) element of C_{ij} is non zero.

There are several sources of delay in a neural system. Consider first the delay due to propagation of action potentials along the axon. In the model above, when an action potential is generated in the cell body of neuron j, it is immediately felt by all other neurons to which it is connected. However, in reality, the action potential must travel along the axon of neuron j to the synapse or gap junction. Conduction velocities can range from the order of 1 m/sec along unmyelinated axons to more than 100 m/sec along myelinated axons (Desmedt and Cheron, 1980; Shepherd, 1994). This can lead to significant time delays in certain brain structures. There are several ways to incorporate this into the model, such as including spatial dependence of the variables or multiple compartments representing different parts of the neuron (Koch, 1990). However, if we are primarily interested in the effect of the action potential when it reaches the end of the axon (will it cause an action potential in another neuron?), then a simpler approach is to include a time delay in the coupling term. In this case the general coupling term becomes

$$f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_j(t - \tau_{ij})) \tag{4}$$

where $\tau_{ij} > 0$ represents the time taken for the action potential to propagate along the axon connecting neuron j (the pre-synaptic neuron) to neuron i(the post-synaptic neuron).

The above assumes that the axon of neuron j connects on or close to the cell body of neuron i. Some cells may have synapses or gap junctions on dendrites far from the cell body. In this case, there can also be a delay associated with propagation of the action potential along the dendrite. This will introduce an additional time delay, viz.,

$$f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i(t-\tau_{ij}^d),\mathbf{x}_j(t-\tau_{ij}^d-\tau_{ij}))$$
(5)

where τ_{ij} and τ_{ij}^d represent the time delays due to the propagation of the action potential along the axon and dendrite, respectively.

Another delay can occur in the transmission of the signal across the synapse. That is, once the action potential from neuron j reaches the synapse, there is some time before an action potential is initiated in neuron i. A common way to model this is to augment the model equations above by equations modelling the chemical kinetics of the synapse (Keener and Sneyd, 1998; Koch, 1999). Alternatively, this can be incorporated into (4) or (5) just by increasing the delay τ_{ij} . I will focus on the latter approach, but in Sect. 3 will review some literature that indicates the qualitative effect on the dynamics can be quite similar using both approaches. Clearly, the latter approach will yield a simpler model if one also wants to include the effect of axonal delay.

Equations (4) and (5) assume that the time delays are fixed. In reality, the delay will likely vary slightly each time an action potential is propagated from neuron j to neuron i. This may be incorporated into the model putting time dependence into the delay: $\tau_{ij}(t)$. Many of the methods outlined in Sect. 2 may be extended to this case, by assuming the delay satisfies some constraints $0 \leq \tau_{ij}(t) \leq \bar{\tau}_{ij}$. Alternatively, one might consider adding some noise to the delay, which would lead to a stochastic delay differential equation model. Unfortunately, there is very little theory available for such equations.

An alternative approach is to incorporate a distribution of delays, representing the situation where the delay occurs in some range of values with some associated probability distribution. In this case, coupling term (4) becomes

$$\int_0^\infty f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), \mathbf{x}_j(t-\sigma)) g_{ij}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \,, \tag{6}$$

and similarly for (5). The function g_{ij} is called the kernel of the distribution and represents the probability density function of the time delay. Since g_{ij} is a pdf it is normalized so that $\int_0^\infty g_{ij}(\sigma) d\sigma = 1$. Although distributions of delays are not commonly used in neural network models, they have been extensively used in models from population biology (Cushing, 1977; MacDonald, 1978). In this literature, the most commonly used distributions are the uniform distribution:

$$g_{ij}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le \sigma < \tau_{ij}^{\min} \\ \frac{1}{\delta} \ \tau_{ij}^{\min} \le \sigma \le \tau_{ij}^{\min} + \delta \\ 0 & \tau_{ij}^{\min} < \sigma \end{cases}$$
(7)

and the gamma distribution:

$$g_{ij}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le \sigma < \tau_{ij}^{\min} \\ \frac{a^m}{\Gamma(m)} (\sigma - \tau_{ij}^{\min})^{m-1} e^{-a(\sigma - \tau_{ij}^{\min})} & \tau_{ij}^{\min} \le \sigma \end{cases}$$
(8)

where $a, m \ge 0$ are parameters. Γ is the gamma function defined by $\Gamma(0) = 1$ and $\Gamma(m+1) = m\Gamma(m)$. Both these distributions can be shown to approach a Dirac distribution in the appropriate limits ($\delta \to 0$ for the uniform distribution and $m \to \infty$ for the gamma distribution), which leads to a discrete delay in the coupling term. It is usual in the population biology literature (Cushing, 1977; MacDonald, 1978) to take $\tau_{ij}^{min} = 0$. In this case model with a gamma distribution can be shown to be equivalent to a system of m ordinary differential equations, which is amenable to the analysis techniques described elsewhere in this volume (Breakspear and Jirsa, 2006). However, as pointed out by Bernard et al. (2001), it makes more biological sense to take $\tau_{\min} > 0$, since the probability of having zero delay is effectively zero in most applications. In this case, the model with a gamma distribution is equivalent to a system of m - 1 ordinary differential equations and one discrete delay differential equation.

In the next section I will review some tools for analyzing delay differential equations. To make the theory concrete, we will apply it to a particular example. Consider the following representation of the Fitzhugh-Nagumo model for a neuron (Fitzhugh, 1960; Nagumo et al., 1962)

$$\dot{v}(t) = -v^3 + (a+1)v^2 - av - w + I , \dot{w}(t) = bv - \gamma w .$$
(9)

Assume that the parameters are such that there is just one equilibrium point (\bar{v}, \bar{w}) of this equation, where \bar{v}, \bar{w} satisfy

$$\bar{v}^3 - (a+1)\bar{v}^2 + (a+\frac{b}{\gamma})\bar{v} + I = 0 , \qquad (10)$$

$$\bar{w} = \frac{b}{\gamma}\bar{v} . \tag{11}$$

I shall consider the situation when two of these neurons are joined with delayed sigmoidal coupling in the following way

$$\dot{v}_{1}(t) = -v_{1}^{3} + (a+1)v_{1}^{2} - av_{1} - w_{1} + I + c \tanh(v_{2}(t-\tau) - \bar{v})$$

$$\dot{w}_{1}(t) = bv_{1} - \gamma w_{1}$$

$$\dot{v}_{2}(t) = -v_{2}^{3} + (a+1)v_{2}^{2} - av_{2} - w_{2} + I + c \tanh(v_{1}(t-\tau) - \bar{v})$$

$$\dot{w}_{2}(t) = bv_{2} - \gamma w_{2}$$
(12)

This setup is due to Burić et al. (2005). I will sometimes write (12) in the condensed form

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{x}(t-\tau)), \qquad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2).$

I will focus on equations with a single discrete delay. The approach is similar for multiple delays, the analysis just becomes more complicated. We will discuss some of the differences that arise for distributed delays in the final section.

There is a very large literature on the effect of time delays on artificial neural networks (ANNs). An example of such a network is the additive (also called Hopfield) neural network with delays. This is usually written in the form

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n f_{ij}(x_j(t-\tau_{ij})).$$

I will not attempt to review all the material related to such equations, but will try to highlight those results I feel may have implications for biological neural networks. In particular, networks of the following form

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -k_i x_i(t) + f_{ii}(x(t-\tau_1)) + \sum_{j \neq i}^n f_{ij}(x_j(t-\tau_2)), \qquad (14)$$

have some parallels with biological neural networks, since the uncoupled units may behave as type II oscillators (Campbell et al., 2005).

2 Tools for Analysis

The main tools for studying the behaviour of delay differential equations are extensions of those for ordinary differential equations which are discussed elsewhere in this volume (Breakspear and Jirsa, 2006). Some familiarity with these tools will be helpful in reading this section.

To improve the flow of the text, I will not give references for all the standard results for delay differential equations that I use. For more information on these, I refer the reader to the fairly accessible books of Kolmanovskii and Nosov (1986) and Stépán (1989) which cover the results of this section or the books of Hale and Verduyn Lunel (1993) and Diekmann et al. (1995) which give complete, although not so accessible, accounts of the theory of delay differential equations.

To begin our discussion, consider the types of solutions which occur most often in neural systems. These are equilibrium solutions $(\mathbf{x}(t) = \bar{\mathbf{x}}, \text{ for some}$ constant $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$) and periodic solutions $(\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{x}(t+T) \text{ for some } T > 0)$. The fundamental questions we would like to answer in order to understand the behaviour of a model with time delays are the following

- 1. What equilibrium solutions occur in the system?
- 2. What periodic solutions occur in the system?
- 3. Are these stable or unstable? That is, do we expect to observe them in experiments and numerical simulations?
- 4. How do the answers to these questions change as parameters are varied?

Question 1 is easily answered: the equilibrium solutions of a system with time delays are the same as those of the corresponding system with zero delay. Thus for (13) these correspond to constant vectors $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ such that $\mathbf{f}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$.

Example. For system (12) the equilibrium points are given by $(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) = (\bar{v}_1, \bar{w}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{w}_2)$ where \bar{v}_j, \bar{w}_j are constants, found by solving the equations

$$0 = -\bar{v}_1^3 + (a+1)\bar{v}_1^2 - a\bar{v}_1 - \bar{w}_1 + I + c\tanh(\bar{v}_2 - \bar{v})
0 = b\bar{v}_1 - \gamma\bar{w}_1
0 = -\bar{v}_2^3 + (a+1)\bar{v}_2^2 - a\bar{v}_2 - \bar{w}_2 + I + c\tanh(\bar{v}_1 - \bar{v}))
0 = b\bar{v}_2 - \gamma\bar{w}_2$$
(15)

It is easy to check that one solution of these equations is $(\bar{v}_1, \bar{w}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{w}_2) = (\bar{v}, \bar{w}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})$, where \bar{v}, \bar{w} are given by (10)–(11). I will focus on this solution in later discussions of this example.

Question 2 is difficult to answer analytically with any completeness. A partial answer can be obtained by determining the bifurcations that occur in the system which lead to the creation of periodic solutions. More detail can be found in subsection 2.2. This question can also be addressed through the use of numerical tools, which are discussed in subsection 2.5.

For equilibrium solutions, question 3 can be addressed via linear stability analysis (see subsection 2.1) and via Lyapunov theory (see subsection 2.3). For periodic solutions this question generally must be answered using numerical tools, as discussed in subsection 2.5.

Answering question 4 is the main goal of bifurcation theory. Analytical methods for studying bifurcations will be discussed in subsection 2.2 and numerical methods in subsection 2.5.

2.1 Linear Stability

One way to study the stability of an equilibrium solution is through linearization. This is constructed in a similar way as for ordinary differential equations. The **linearization** of (13) about $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is given by

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{x}(t-\tau) \tag{16}$$

where A is a the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}(y, z)$ with respect to y, i.e. the matrix with entries $a_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j}$, and B is the Jacobian matrix of $\mathbf{f}(y, z)$ with respect to z. If the system has multiple delays, then there will be a term in the linearization corresponding to each delay.

It can be shown that, under the right conditions, (16) describes the behaviour of solutions close to $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$. This will in turn determine the stability of $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$. To study this behaviour, we assume that there are solutions of (16) of the form $\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{k}$ where λ is a complex number and \mathbf{k} is an *n*-vector of complex numbers, to be determined. Substituting this into (16) we obtain

$$\left[-\lambda I + A + Be^{-\lambda\tau}\right]\mathbf{k} = 0.$$
⁽¹⁷⁾

For solutions with $\mathbf{k} \neq 0$ to exist, we require

$$\det[-\lambda I + A + Be^{-\lambda\tau}] = 0.$$
(18)

If (13) is an *n*-dimensional system, then (18) can be written in the form

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \lambda^{n} + \lambda^{n-1} (\delta_{n-1,0} + \delta_{n-1,1} e^{-\lambda\tau}) + \dots + \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta_{1,j} e^{-j\lambda\tau} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \delta_{0,j} e^{-j\lambda\tau} = 0 , \qquad (19)$$

where the $\delta_{i,j}$ depend on the elements of the matrices A and B.

Equation (19) is called the **characteristic equation** of the linearization of (13) about $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$. Any complex number λ which satisfies (19) will give rise to a solution of (16) (**k** is found by solving (17) with the particular value of λ substituted in). In practice, we are mostly concerned with the λ values for the reasons outlined below.

Example. For our coupled Fitzhugh-Nagumo model (12) the linearization about the equilibrium point $(\bar{v}, \bar{w}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})$ is given by (16) where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b - \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha - 1 \\ 0 & 0 & b - \gamma \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \alpha = -3\bar{v}^2 + 2(a+1)\bar{v} - a, \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that α depends on all the intrinsic neuron parameters (a, b, γ, I) , since \bar{v} is a solution of (10). Putting A, B into (18) shows that the characteristic equation for this example is

$$\Delta_{+}(\lambda)\Delta_{-}(\lambda) = 0 \tag{20}$$

where

$$\Delta_{\pm}(\lambda) = (\lambda + \gamma)(\lambda - \alpha \pm ce^{-\lambda\tau}) + b .$$

Fact: If all the roots of the characteristic equation of the linearization of (13) about $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ have negative real part, then $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is asymptotically stable, i.e., all solutions which start sufficiently near to $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ will tend toward it as t increases.

Fact: If at least one root of the characteristic equation of the linearization of (13) about $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ has positive real part, then $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is unstable, i.e., some solutions which start near to $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ will tend away from it as t increases.

So we see that to determine the stability of an equilibrium point we need to determine the roots, λ of the characteristic (19). These are often called the **eigenvalues** of the equilibrium point. For ordinary differential equations, the characteristic equation is a polynomial in λ and hence there are a finite number of solutions all of which may be calculated or numerically approximated. For delay differential equations, however, the presence of the $e^{-\lambda\tau}$ terms means that there are an *infinite* number of solutions of the characteristic equation. This means we must rely on other methods to determine whether an equilibrium point is stable. Several methods are outlined in the book of Kolmanovskii and Nosov (1986), here we will focus on a particular one which relies on the following result.

Fact: The zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)$ depend continuously on τ and the $\delta_{i,i}$, and hence on the elements of A and B. Thus as any of these parameters is varied, the number of zeros of $\Delta(\lambda)$ with positive real part can only change if a root passes through the imaginary axis.

The most common way of using this fact in coupled neural systems, is outlined in the following procedure.

- 1. Set the delay, τ , equal to zero. This will change the delay differential equation into an ordinary differential equation with the same equilibrium points as the delay differential equation.
- 2. Determine the stability of an equilibrium point for the ODE system, i.e. determine the number of eigenvalues with positive real parts.
- 3. Determine the critical values of the delay, $\tau_1^c < \tau_2^c < \cdots$ for which the characteristic (19) has eigenvalues with zero real parts. These are the values of the delay where the stability of the equilibrium point may change.
- 4. Calculate the rate of change of the real part of an eigenvalue with respect to τ when τ is equal to one of the critical values found in the previous step, i.e., calculate

$$\left.\frac{dRe(\lambda)}{d\tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_k^c} = -\left.Re\left(\frac{\partial\varDelta}{\partial\tau}/\frac{\partial\varDelta}{\partial\lambda}\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_k^c}$$

If $\frac{dRe(\lambda)}{d\tau} > 0$, then the number of roots with positive real parts is increasing, if it is negative, then the number of roots is decreasing.

5. Due to the fact above, the number of roots of the characteristic equation with positive real part will be constant for $0 \le \tau < \tau_1$ and equal to the number found in step 2. For each subsequent interval, $\tau_k < \tau < \tau_{k+1}$, the number can be determined from the number in the previous interval $\tau_{k-1} < \tau < \tau_k$, the number of roots with zero real part at τ_k and the rate of change calculated in step 4.

Example. Consider our coupled Fitzhugh-Nagumo model (12). We will follow the procedure outlined above.

1. When $\tau = 0$ the factors of the characteristic (20) become

$$\Delta_{\pm} = \lambda^2 + \lambda(\gamma - \alpha \pm c) + \gamma(-\alpha \pm c) + b .$$

- 2. By analyzing the roots of this equation, it can be shown that if $\gamma^2 < b$ the trivial solution is stable for $|c| < \gamma \alpha \stackrel{def}{=} c_H$, and for c outside this region the equilibrium point has two complex conjugate eigenvalues with positive real part, i.e. it is unstable. (In fact the two points $c = \pm c_H$ are Hopf bifurcation points for the system with zero delay.)
- 3. To find the parameter values where the characteristic (20) has eigenvalues with zero real part, we substitute $\lambda = i\omega$ into (20) and separate into real and imaginary parts. This yields

$$-\alpha + \frac{b\gamma}{\gamma^2 + \omega^2} \pm c \cos \omega \tau = 0$$
$$\omega \left(1 - \frac{b}{\gamma^2 + \omega^2} \right) \mp c \sin \omega \tau = 0 .$$

Note that we choose the + in the first equation and - in the second for the parameter values for Δ_+ to have a pair of complex conjugate roots and the opposite for Δ_{-} . Some rearrangement of these equations gives

$$(b\gamma - \alpha(\gamma^2 + \omega^2))^2 + \omega^2(\gamma^2 + \omega^2 - b)^2 - c^2(\gamma^2 + \omega^2)^2 = 0$$
(21)

and

$$\tan \omega \tau = \frac{\omega(\gamma^2 + \omega^2 - b)}{b\gamma - \alpha(\gamma^2 + \omega^2)} .$$
(22)

Thus, for given values of the parameters a, b, γ, I (which determine α) and c one can find ω from the first equation and the corresponding τ values from the second equation. Alternatively, we can think of these two equations as defining the coupling parameters τ and c in terms of the intrinsic neuron parameters and ω . Then these equations define curves in the c, τ parameter plane. These curves are shown in Fig. 1 for a specific set of intrinsic parameter values. There are multiple curves because tan is a periodic function, i.e., for fixed $\alpha, b, \gamma, \omega$ there are multiple values of τ that satisfy (22).

4. Taking the appropriate derivatives, we find

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} = \frac{\pm \lambda c e^{-\lambda \tau}}{1 \mp \tau c e^{-\lambda \tau} - \frac{b}{(\lambda + \gamma)^2}}$$

5. Putting together the results of all steps, allows us to fill in the number of eigenvalues with positive real part in each of the subregions of the c, τ plane as shown in Fig. 1.

An alternative way to use the procedure outlined above is to set the coupling coefficient (c in (12)) to zero in step 1 and follow the same procedure, varying the coupling coefficient instead of the delay. In systems with multiple delays, the procedure can be followed by setting one of the delays to zero, see e.g. (Campbell et al., 2006, 2005), for examples of this.

To close, we note the work of Olgac and Sipahi (2002, 2005) who have found a way to automate this procedure using a transformation of the characteristic equation.

2.2 Bifurcations

As noted in the previous subsection, points in parameter space where the characteristic equation has an eigenvalue with zero real part are points where the stability of an equilibrium point may change. These are places where a bifurcation may occur. As discussed elsewhere in this volume (Breakspear and Jirsa, 2006), bifurcations may lead to the creation of other equilibrium points or of a periodic orbit. We refer the reader that chapter for more background on bifurcations.



Fig. 1. Illustration of the stability and bifurcation results for the example of (12). The equilibrium solution is stable in the region contiguous with the τ axis. The number of eigenvalues with positive real part is shown in each subregion of the plane. Thick/thin curves correspond to Hopf bifurcations giving rise to synchronous/ anti-phase oscillation

Recall that the equilibrium points of (13) with $\tau > 0$ are the same as those with $\tau = 0$. Thus for the neural model (13) with $\tau > 0$, the bifurcations involving only equilibrium points (saddle-node, pitchfork, transcritical) will be the same as those for (13) with $\tau = 0$.

The two main bifurcations leading to the creation of periodic orbits in neural systems are the Hopf bifurcation and the infinite period bifurcation. These bifurcations are associated with Type II and Type I oscillators, respectively (Breakspear and Jirsa, 2006).

Consider first the Hopf bifurcation. This involves the creation of a periodic orbit as an equilibrium point changes stability. There are simple criteria to check to determine if a Hopf bifurcation occurs in a delay differential equation at a particular parameter value, say $\tau = \tau_c$.

Hopf Bifurcation Test

Assume that system (13) has an equilibrium point $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$. If the following are satisfied, then system (13) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ as τ passes through τ_c .

1. The characteristic (19) of the linearization of (13) about $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ has a pair of pure imaginary eigenvalues, $\pm i\omega$ when $\tau = \tau_c$, that is,

$$\Delta(\pm i\omega)\big|_{\tau=\tau_c} = 0$$

- 2. As τ passes through τ_c the rate of change of the real part of this eigenvalue(s) is nonzero, that is, $\left.\frac{dRe(\lambda)}{d\tau}\right|_{\tau=\tau_c} \neq 0.$
- 3. The characteristic (19) of the linearization of (13) about $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ has no other eigenvalues with zero real part.

Other than in some exceptional cases, this is enough to guarantee that a periodic orbit is created as τ passes through τ_c .

Whether the periodic orbit is stable or unstable depends on the nonlinear terms in the equation. There are two main approaches for determining this analytically, both of which require intensive computations and are best done either numerically or with a symbolic algebra package such as Maple. The centre manifold construction reduces the system of delay differential equations to a system of two ordinary differential equations from which the stability of the periodic orbit (for τ close to τ_c) may be deduced. See (Bélair et al., 1996; Wischert et al., 1994; Wu et al., 1999) for examples of how this is done. Perturbation methods, such as averaging and the method of multiple scales, find an approximate expression for the periodic solution and for the corresponding Floquet exponents. See (Campbell et al., 2006; Gopalsamy and Leung, 1996; Wirkus and Rand, 2002) for examples of how this is done.

Example. Applying this test to our coupled Fitzhugh-Nagumo model shows that the system has a Hopf bifurcation along each of the curves where the characteristic equation has a pair of pure imaginary eigenvalues, i.e., along the curves defined by (21)–(22) and shown in Fig. 1. By analyzing the solutions of the linearization (16) that correspond to the roots, one can show that some of the Hopf bifurcations give rise to synchronous or in-phase oscillations (i.e. $v_1(t) = v_2(t)$ and $w_1(t) = w_2(t)$ for all t) and some to anti-phase solutions (i.e. the spikes in v_1 and v_2 are half a period apart and similarly for w_1 and w_2).

One important thing to note about Hopf bifurcation in systems of delay differential equations is that there are always multiple branches of Hopf bifurcation. This can be seen in our example. The τ value where a Hopf bifurcation occurs corresponds to a τ value satisfying (22). Clearly if a given value of τ satisfies this equation, then so does $\tau + k\pi$, $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$

Now consider the the infinite period bifurcation. This bifurcation occurs when a saddle-node bifurcation occurs on an invariant circle. As indicated above, the conditions for the saddle-node bifurcation to occur in a delay differential equation are the same as for the corresponding system with zero delay. Whether or not this bifurcation occurs on a limit cycle is not easily determined analytically (even without delays), thus these bifurcations are often investigated using numerical tools (see Sect. 2.5).

2.3 Lyapunov Theory

The basic idea of Lyapunov theory is to use an auxiliary function to determine the dynamics of a nonlinear system. A very simple example is the total energy in a mechanical system with damping, such as the pendulum model:

$$\ddot{\theta} + \gamma \dot{\theta} + \frac{g}{l} \sin \theta = 0 \; .$$

The total energy of this system is

$$E(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\theta}^2 + gl(1 - \cos\theta) .$$

A simple calculation, keeping in mind that θ and $\dot{\theta}$ depend on t, shows that $\frac{dE}{dt} < 0$. This means that as t increases, E must tend to a minimum value. This in turn determines what the solutions of the nonlinear model can do. In particular, one can show that this implies that all solutions must tend to one of the equilibrium points $(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = (2k\pi, 0), \ k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as $t \to \infty$, i.e. the pendulum swings with smaller and smaller amplitude until it is hanging straight down. Lyapunov theory generalizes this idea to an arbitrary auxiliary function, $V(\mathbf{x})$, which has similar properties to the energy function in the above example, viz.,

1. $V(\mathbf{x}) > 0$, $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$; V(0) = 0 (V positive definite) 2. $\frac{dV}{dt} < 0$, $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ ($\frac{dV}{dt}$ negative definite).

These properties can be used to show that the equilibrium point $\mathbf{x} = 0$ is asymptotically stable. By modifying the properties above, one can also use Lyapunov functions to show that an equilibrium point is unstable, that all solutions are bounded or that all solutions synchronize as $t \to \infty$.

There are two ways of extending the Lyapunov theory for ordinary differential equations to delay differential equations such as (13). **Lyapunov** functionals are auxiliary functions which depend on the value of the state over an interval in time, i.e., $V(\mathbf{x}_t)$, where $\mathbf{x}_t(\theta) = \mathbf{x}(t+\theta), \ -\tau \le \theta \le 0$.

The conditions for showing an equilibrium point is stable are basically the same as those outlined for the ODE case, above. The main difference comes in showing those conditions are satisfied, which can be more complicated. The **Razumikhin approach** uses an auxiliary function $V(\mathbf{x}(t))$, but the second condition is relaxed to $\frac{dV}{dt} < 0$ whenever $V(\mathbf{x}(t)) > V(\mathbf{x}(t+\theta)), -\tau \le \theta \le 0$. Essentially, this requires that V not increase for time intervals longer than the delay.

2.4 Phase Models

Many of the analytical tools I have discussed so far are used for studying the stability of equilibrium points and the creation of oscillatory solutions as parameters are varied. These tools are most helpful for predicting the behaviour of systems where the individual neurons do not exhibit oscillatory behaviour when they are uncoupled. For systems which are inherently oscillatory, i.e. systems where the individual neurons exhibit oscillatory behaviour when they are uncoupled, one of the primary tools available is the phase model. The basic idea of this approach is that for a group of oscillating neurons which are weakly coupled, the key variables of importance in understanding how the neurons affect each other are the phases of the oscillators associated with the neurons. Thus a system of k model neurons, each represented by an n-dimensional system of differential equations, can be reduced to a system of k differential equations for the phases of the k oscillators. Typically these equations are in the form

$$\theta_i(t) = \Omega t + \epsilon H_i(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_i(t) - \theta_i(t)\hat{\mathbf{e}})$$

where $\Theta_i(t) = (\theta_1(t), \dots, \theta_{i-1}(t), \theta_{i+1}(t), \dots, \theta_k(t)), \hat{\mathbf{e}} = (1, 1, \dots, 1), \Omega$ is the network frequency, and ϵ is the strength of the coupling. Since the coupling is weak, ϵ is small, i.e., $0 < \epsilon << 1$.

The procedure to calculate the phase model for a particular differential equation is described in Hoppensteadt and Izhikevich (1997). In most cases it is not possible to carry out this procedure analytically, however, a numerical implementation is available in the package XPPAUT (Ermentrout, 2005) and described in the book of Ermentrout (2002). The numerical implementation yields a numerical approximation of the functions H_i . A Fourier series representation of these functions can also be calculated.

There are two main results concerning phase models for equations such as (13) which have an explicit time delay in the coupling. The analysis of Ermentrout (1994) and Kopell and Ermentrout (2002) indicates that explicit time delays will produce phase shifts in the corresponding phase models provided that the delay is not a multiple of the oscillation period. Specifically, the models have the form

$$\theta_i(t) = \Omega t + \epsilon H_i(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_i(t) - \theta_i(t)\hat{\mathbf{e}} - \psi) ,$$

where $\psi = \tau \Omega \mod 2\pi$.

Izhikevich (1998) has refined this analysis. He has shown that Ermentrout's analysis only holds for delays as large as the order of the oscillation period, i.e., $\tau \sim 1/\Omega$. For larger delays, i.e., $\tau \sim 1/(\Omega \epsilon)$, an explicit delay will occur in the phase model. In this case the phase model will consist of a set of k delay differential equations of the form

$$\theta_i(t) = \Omega t + \epsilon H_i(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_i(t-\tau) - \theta_i(t)\hat{\mathbf{e}})$$

For equations with a distributed delay in the coupling, Ermentrout (1994) and Kopell and Ermentrout (2002) have shown that the phase model will be of the form

$$\dot{\theta}_i(t) = \Omega t + \epsilon \int_0^\infty [H_i(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_i(t-s) - \theta_i(t)\hat{\mathbf{e}}) g(s)] \, ds \, .$$

2.5 Numerical Tools

There are two basic numerical tools which can aid in the study of delay differential equations such as (13): numerical simulation and numerical bifurcation analysis.

In numerical simulation one attempts to determine an approximate solution of a differential equation given a particular initial state. Note that to solve such a problem for a delay differential equation such as (13), one needs to specify the value of the variable \mathbf{x} not just at the start time t = 0, but for the whole interval $[-\tau, 0]$. Thus an initial condition for (13) is

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \phi(t), \ -\tau \le t \le 0 \ .$$

Typically ϕ is taken to be a constant, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{x}_0, \ -\tau \le t \le 0 \ ,$$

which is reasonable for most experimental systems. It should be noted that only solutions which are asymptotically stable can be accurately approximated using numerical integration.

There are two main programs available for the numerical integration of delay differential equations. The widely-used (and free) package XPPAUT (Ermentrout, 2005) can perform numerical integration using a variety of fixed step numerical methods, including Runge-Kutta. It has a good graphical user interface for visualizing the results. Perhaps the most useful aspect of this program is the ease with which parameters and initial conditions can be changed. The recent book of Ermentrout (2002) gives a overview of the package including many examples. Information on how to download the package as well as documentation and tutorials are available at www.math.pitt.edu/~bard/xpp/xpp.html. Within MATLAB there is the function DDE23 (Shampine and Thompson, 2001) which is a variable step size numerical integration routine for delay differential equations. A tutorial is on this routine available at www.mathworks.com/dde_tutorial. Results maybe visualized using the extensive graphing tools of MATLAB.

Numerical bifurcation analysis consists of two parts, the approximation of a solution and the calculation of the stability of this solution. The approximation of a solution in a numerical bifurcation package is not done using numerical integration, but rather using numerical continuation. Numerical continuation uses a given solution for a particular parameter value to find a solution for a different (but close) parameter value. This is only easily implemented for equilibrium and periodic solutions. Both stable and unstable solutions can be found. Once an equilibrium solution is found to a desired accuracy, approximations for a finite set of the eigenvalues with the largest real part can be determined, which will determine the stability of the equilibrium point. The stability of periodic orbits can be numerically determined in a similar way. Numerical bifurcation packages generally track the stability of equilibrium points and periodic orbits, indicating where bifurcations occur. There is one package available that does numerical bifurcation analysis for delay differential equations, DDE-BIFTOOL (Engelborghs et al., 2001). This package runs on MATLAB. An overview of the numerical methods used in this package and some examples applications can be found in the paper of Engelborghs et al. (2002). The user manual and information on how to download the package are available at

www.cs.kuleuven.ac.be/cwis/research/twr/research/software/delay/

3 Effects of delay

In this section I will outline some of the effects of delay that have been documented in the literature.

3.1 Creation of Oscillations

Time delays are commonly associated with type II oscillations, i.e. oscillations created by a Hopf bifurcation (Breakspear and Jirsa, 2006), for the following reason. There are many examples of systems that have a stable equilibrium point if the time delay is zero (or sufficiently small), but have oscillatory behaviour if the delay is large enough. In these systems, the oscillation is created via a Hopf bifurcation at a critical value of the delay. This is sometimes referred to as a **delay-induced oscillation**. One of the simplest examples of this is the following model for recurrent inhibition due to Plant (1981):

$$\dot{v}(t) = v(t) - \frac{1}{3}v^3(t) - w(t) + c(v(t-\tau) - v_0)$$

$$\dot{w}(t) = \rho(v(t) + a - bw(t)) .$$

This is a Fitzhugh-Nagumo model neuron with a delayed term which represents recurrent feedback. Plant considered parameters such that the system with no feedback has a stable equilibrium point and showed that this stability is maintained for the system with feedback and sufficiently small delay. He then showed that when c < 0 (i.e. the recurrent feedback is inhibitory), there is a Hopf bifurcation at a critical value of the delay, leading to oscillations.

3.2 Oscillator Death

One of the most publicized (Strogatz, 1998) effects of time delays is the fact that the presence of time delays in the coupling between oscillators can destroy the oscillations. This phenomenon, usually called **oscillator death** or **amplitude death** was first noted by Ramana Reddy et al. (1998), in their analysis of a simple model of type II oscillators with gap junctional coupling. Subsequently Ramana Reddy et al. (2000) observed this phenomenon experimentally in a system of two intrinsically oscillating circuits with the same type of coupling. There are many papers related to delay induced oscillator death in the coupled oscillator literature, which I will not attempt to review here. Instead I will focus the discussion on results relevant to neural models.

The work of Ramana Reddy et al. (1998, 1999) shows that when two or more intrinsically oscillating elements are connected with gap junctional coupling of sufficient strength with a sufficiently large delay then the oscillations may be destroyed. Their work focused on systems where the elements were identical except for the frequency of the intrinsic oscillations and the coupling was all-to-all and symmetric (all the coupling coefficients were the same). Their model oscillator was just the normal form for the Hopf bifurcation. This behaviour has also been seen for a delayed, linearly coupled (i.e. (3) with no $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$ term) pair of van der Pol oscillators (Wirkus and Rand, 2002), and for a pair of Fitzhugh-Nagumo oscillators with delayed gap junctional coupling (Campbell and Smith, 2007). To my knowledge this has yet to be observed for other biophysical models of neural oscillators, however, it may be expected to occur for most type II oscillators. Atay (2003b) obtained results for a network of weakly nonlinear oscillators with a symmetric connection matrix and gap junctional coupling. He showed that if the intrinsic frequency of the oscillators is sufficiently similar then oscillator death can occur.

Several studies have shown that the type of oscillator death described above does not occur for type II oscillators with sigmoidal coupling (Burić and Todorović, 2003; Campbell et al., 2004; Shayer and Campbell, 2000). However, a different type of oscillator death can occur (Burić and Todorović, 2003; Burić et al., 2005; Campbell et al., 2004; Shayer and Campbell, 2000): for elements which are intrinsically excitable (i.e. not oscillating when decoupled), oscillations induced by instantaneous coupling may be lost if a time delay is introduced.

The work of Burić et al. (2005) has shown that for the type I oscillator of Terman and Wang (1995), there is no oscillator death of this latter type with either gap junctional or sigmoidal coupling. Their work also suggests that delay induced oscillator death of the first type is not possible.

The study of type II oscillator death in coupled neural systems combines various techniques of Sect. 2. Oscillator death can occur when increasing the time delay causes the *stabilization* of an equilibrium point. Values of the delay where this occurs will correspond to places where the characteristic (19) has an eigenvalue with zero real part and $\frac{dRe(\lambda)}{d\tau} < 0$. To have oscillator death, however, one must also show that the periodic orbit is eliminated. This means that at the value of τ where the equilibrium point stabilizes, there is a "reverse" Hopf bifurcation destroying the stable limit cycle. This may be checked via numerical simulations or numerical continuations (see subsection 2.5), or by showing, as outlined in subsection 2.2, that the Hopf bifurcation is subcritical. Burić et al. (2005) and Burić and Todorović (2003, 2005) have shown that for excitable Fitzhugh-Nagumo neurons, the restabilization of the equilibrium point is not always accompanied by oscillator death. In the case that the Hopf bifurcation is subcritical, the stable oscillator may persist with the stable equilibrium point giving a region of bistability. In their model, for larger values of τ the periodic orbit is eliminated in a saddle-node bifurcation of limit cycles, leading to oscillator death.

The results of Burić et al. on type I oscillator death are primarily based on numerical simulations. To my knowledge there has been virtually no mathematical study of this situation. Recall that type I oscillators are those where the oscillation is created by an infinite period bifurcation (Breakspear and Jirsa, 2006). If such a bifurcation takes place in the coupled system with no time delay, introducing a time delay will not change the presence of the saddlenode bifurcation, however, it may affect whether this bifurcation occurs on an invariant circle. Continuity arguments would suggest that for sufficiently small delay, the saddle-node bifurcation will still occur on the invariant circle, leading to the creation of a periodic orbit at exactly the same bifurcation point as for the undelayed system. What happens for large delay remains to be investigated.

3.3 Attractor Switching and Multistability

A significant observation about ANNs of the form (14), is that many intersections between different Hopf bifurcation curves and between Hopf bifurcation curves and pitchfork bifurcation curves can occur (Bélair et al., 1996; Shayer and Campbell, 2000; Yuan and Campbell, 2004). Figure 1 shows that this occurs in our coupled Fitzhugh-Nagumo model as well. These intersection points are called **codimension two bifurcation points**. Such points can lead to more complicated dynamics including: the existence of solutions with multiple frequencies (quasiperiodicity), the coexistence of more than one stable solution (multistability) or the switching of the system from one type of solution to another as a parameter is varied (Guckenheimer and Holmes, 1983, Chap. 7), (Kuznetsov, 1995, Chap. 8). In ordinary differential equations, such points are quite rare. In delay differential equations, however, such points are more common as the time delay forces there to be multiple branches of Hopf bifurcation.

In the ANN models, the following behaviour associated with the codimension two points has been observed (Bélair et al., 1996; Campbell et al., 2005; Shayer and Campbell, 2000; Yuan and Campbell, 2004): (i) multistability between a periodic solution and one or more equilibrium points; (ii) bistability between two periodic solutions (both synchronous or one synchronous and one asynchronous); and (iii) switching from one stable solutions to another as the delay is changed for a fixed coupling strength or as the coupling strength is changed for a fixed delay. The switching in (iii) may take place through a region of bistability or a region where the trivial solution is stable. Note that situation (i) leads to a different type of oscillator death than that discussed in the previous subsection: a slight perturbation can cause the system to switch from the stable oscillatory solution to the stable equilibrium solution, with no change in the parameter values.

Most of this behaviour has been confirmed in systems with biophysically relevant models for the neurons. In their studies of rings of Fitzhugh-Nagumo oscillators with time delayed gap-junctional or sigmoidal coupling, Burić and Todorović (2003) and Burić et al. (2005) have documented almost all the behaviour observed in the ANN models including switching between different oscillation patterns and bistability between different oscillation patterns. For a system of two van der Pol oscillators with linear delayed coupling (i.e. (3) with no $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$ term), Sen and Rand (2003) have numerically observed and Wirkus and Rand (2002) have analytically proven the following sequence as the time delay is increased: in-phase oscillations \rightarrow bistability between in-phase and anti-phase oscillations \rightarrow anti-phase oscillations. They also observed the reverse sequence for different values of the coupling strength. Delay-induced bistability between in-phase oscillations and suppression oscillations (i.e. one cell oscillates and the other is quiescent) has been observed in models of hippocampal interneurons (Skinner et al., 2005a,b). Here the delay was synaptic and modelled via an extra equation representing the chemical kinetics of the synapse. Bistability between different types of travelling pulses has been observed in certain integrate-and-fire networks with delayed excitatory synaptic connections (Golomb and Ermentrout, 1999, 2000). In particular, they observe a switch from continuous travelling pulses to lurching travelling pulses as the time delay is increased with a transition region where there is bistability between the two types. This behaviour seems to be associated with a subcritical Hopf bifurcation.

Foss et al. (1996) and Milton and Foss (1997) have studied multistability in models for a delayed recurrent neural loop. Their model consists of a single excitatory neuron with delayed inhibitory feedback. They showed that up to three stable oscillatory patterns can coexist and that switching between the attractors can be induced by small perturbations in the neuron voltage (Foss et al., 1996) or by noise (Foss et al., 1997). These results have been replicated in experimental studies of a hybrid neural computer device consisting of an *Aplysia* motorneuron dynamically clamped to a computer which provides the delayed feedback (Foss and Milton, 2000, 2002). A possible cause of the multistability in these delayed feedback systems maybe period doubling bifurcations (Ikeda and Matsumoto, 1987). Bistability between different oscillation patterns was also observed in preparations of small *Aplysia* neural circuits (Kleinfeld et al., 1990).

Bifurcation induced transitions between different attractors have been observed in several experiments. In an experimental electrical circuit system, Ramana Reddy et al. (2000) have observed the sequence: in-phase oscillations \rightarrow no oscillations \rightarrow anti-phase oscillations as the time delay in the (gap-junctional) coupling is increased. Transitions from in-phase to anti-phase oscillations have been observed in human bimanual coordination experiments (Kelso et al., 1981; Kelso, 1984; Carson et al., 1994); see also the review article of Jantzen and Kelso (2006). One model which explains these experiments incorporates time delays in the coupling (Haken et al., 1985).

3.4 Synchronization

There are several approaches to studying synchronization. I will not review the details here, but give some indication which of these have been extended to delay differential equations and what the results are.

There is a very large literature on synchronization in artificial neural networks, some of which addresses systems with time delays (Campbell et al., 2006; Wu et al., 1999; Yuan and Campbell, 2004; Zhou et al., 2004a,b). Most of these papers use Lyapunov functionals to show that the all solutions synchronize as $t \to \infty$, for appropriate parameter values. Although the equations of the individual elements are not relevant for modelling biophysical neurons, the techniques of analysis may be carried over to neural systems. A common conclusion in many of these papers is that if the strength of the coupling is small enough, one can achieve synchronization for all $\tau \geq 0$. However, synchronization may mean that all elements asymptotically approach the same equilibrium point.

As I have mentioned elsewhere in this chapter, a basic principle of delay differential equations such as (13) is that the behaviour of the system for small delay is often qualitatively similar to that for zero delay. Thus if the neurons are synchronized for a given value of the coupling with zero delay they should remain synchronized for small enough delays in the coupling. Unfortunately, quantifying "small enough" may be difficult and will generally depend on the particular neural model involved. Recall the example illustrated in Fig. 1. We showed that for c > 0 large enough (sufficiently large excitatory coupling) the undelayed system exhibits synchronized oscillations. We expect these oscillations to persist for $\tau > 0$ at least until one reaches the first thick Hopf bifurcation curve where synchronous oscillations are destroyed. (If the Hopf bifurcation is subcritical, the oscillations may persist above the curve). Thus, for this particular example, the Hopf bifurcation curve gives a lower bound on "how small" the delay must be to preserve the synchronization found for zero delay. Note that this does not preclude synchronization occurring for larger values of the delay, which is the case in this example. A similar situation is seen for coupled van der Pol oscillators in (Wirkus and Rand, 2002). Another example is the work of Fox et al. (2001) who studied relaxation oscillators with excitatory time delayed coupling. They showed that synchrony achieved for zero delay is preserved for delays up to about 10% of the period of the oscillation, for a variety of different models. The one exception is when the right hand side of the equation is not a differentiable function, in which case synchronization is lost for $\tau > 0$. Crook et al. (1997) observed a similar phenomenon for a continuum model of the cortex, with excitatory coupling and distance dependent delays. Namely, they found for small enough delay the synchronous oscillation is stable, but for larger delays this oscillation loses stability to a travelling wave.

More complicated situations occur when both excitatory and inhibitory connections exist. Ermentrout and Kopell (1998); Kopell et al. (2000); Karbowski and Kopell (2000) have studied a model for hippocampal networks of excitatory and inhibitory neurons where two types of synchronous oscillation are possible. They show that persistence of the synchronous oscillations with delays depends subtly on the currents present in the cells and the connections present between cells.

So far I have discussed synchronization in spite of delays. I now move on to the more interesting case of synchronization because of delays. This situation can occur when there are inhibitory synaptic connections in the network. This has been extensively documented and studied when the delay is modelled by slow kinetics of the synaptic gating variable (van Vreeswijk et al., 1994; Wang and Buzsáki, 1998; Wang and Rinzel, 1992, 1993; White et al., 1998). Further, Maex and De Schutter (2003) suggest that the type of delay is not important, just the fact that it leads to a separation in time between when the pre-synaptic neuron generates an action potential and the post-synaptic neuron receives it. They confirm this for a network of multi-compartment model neurons with fast synaptic kinetics and a discrete conduction delay. This idea is further supported by the observation of synchronization via discrete delayed inhibition in a number of artificial neural network models (Campbell et al., 2004, 2005; Shayer and Campbell, 2000). Finally we illustrate this with our coupled Fitzhugh-Nagumo model. Consider the part of Fig. 1 with c < 0(inhibitory coupling). For sufficiently large coupling strength and zero delay the system tends to an asynchronous phase-locked state. This state persists for $\tau > 0$ sufficiently small, however, for τ large enough a stable synchronous state may be created in the Hopf bifurcation corresponding to the thin curve.

Only a few studies have looked at synchronization with time delayed gapjunctional coupling. One example is the work of Dhamala et al. (2004) which shows that for two gap junctional coupled Hindmarsh-Rose neurons synchronization is achieved for smaller coupling strengths if there is a nonzero time delay in the coupling. Another is the work of Burić et al. (2005).

4 Distributed Delays

There are very few results concerning neural systems with distributed delays, thus I will review some general results, mostly from the population biology literature, which should carry over to neural systems. What has emerged from this literature is a general principle that a system with a distribution of delays is inherently more stable than the same system with a discrete delay. Some specific results to support this are described below.

Bernard et al. (2001) analyzed the linear stability of a scalar system with one and two delays in terms of generic properties of the distribution g, such as the mean, variance and skewness. For the uniform and continuous distributions, they have shown that stability regions are larger than those with a discrete delay.

Jirsa and Ding (2004) have analyzed an $n \times n$ linear system with linear decay and arbitrary connections with a common delay. They have shown, under some mild assumptions, that the stability region of the trivial solution for any distribution of delays is larger than and contains the stability region for a discrete delay.

Campbell and Ncube (2006) have shown that it is more difficult to get delay induced oscillations with distributions of delays of the form (6) with $\tau_m = 0$. For large variance (m = 1) delay induced instability is impossible and for smaller variance (m > 1) the mean delay needed for instability is much larger than the discrete delay value. They have also shown that sufficiently small variance in the distribution is needed to get the bifurcation interactions which may lead to multistability, oscillator death and attractor switching discussed above.

Atay (2003a, 2006) has studied the same model as Ramana Reddy et al. (1998) only with distributed delays of the form (6) with g given by (7). He shows it is easier to destroy oscillations with a distribution of delays than with a discrete delay, in the sense that there is a larger region of oscillator death in the parameter space consisting of the mean delay and the strength of the coupling. As the variance of the distribution increases the size of this region increases.

Thiel et al. (2003) studied a scalar equation representing a mean field approximation for a population pyramidal cells with recurrent feedback, first formulated by Mackey and an der Heiden (1984). They show that having a uniform distribution of delays simplifies the dynamics of the system. The size of the stability region of the equilibrium point is larger and larger mean delays are needed to induce oscillations. Complex phenomena such as chaos are less likely to occur, or totally precluded if the variance of the distribution is sufficiently large. The model with a distribution of delays better explains the appearance of periodic bursts of activity when penicillin is added to a hippocampal slice preparation (which reduces the coupling strength).

5 Summary and Future Directions

In this chapter I showed how time delays due to conduction along the axon or dendrite or due to transmission across the synapse could be modelled with delay differential equations. I outlined some of the tools available for analyzing such equations and reviewed some of the literature about such models. Some key observations are:

- Time delays can lead to the creation of type II oscillations, especially in systems with delayed inhibitory coupling.
- Time delays can destroy type II oscillations in a network of intrinsically oscillatory neurons with gap junctional coupling.

- If a system has a stable synchronous oscillation when there is no delay in the coupling, the solution remains stable for small enough delay, but may lose stability for larger delay.
- A system with inhibitory coupling which does not have a stable synchronous oscillation for zero delay, may have one if the delay is large enough.
- Time delays may lead to bistability between different type II oscillatory solutions (e.g. synchronous and anti-phase) or switching between different type II oscillatory solutions.

There are a number of problems which still require further study. These include: determining the effect of delay on the generation and destruction of type I oscillations (infinite period bifurcations), applying and/or extending the methods used to study synchronization in artificial neural networks to biophysical neural networks, and studying the effect of distributions of delays on biophysical neural networks.

References

- Atay FM (2003a) Distributed delays facilitate amplitude death of coupled oscillators. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (9), 094101
- Atay FM (2003b) Total and partial amplitude death in networks of diffusively coupled oscillators. Physica D 183, 1–18
- Atay FM (2006) Oscillator death in coupled functional differential equations near Hopf bifurcation. J. Differential Equations 221 (1), 190–209
- Bélair J, Campbell SA, van den Driessche P (1996) Frustration, stability and delayinduced oscillations in a neural network model. SIAM J. Applied Mathematics 56 (1), 245–255
- Bernard S, Bélair J, Mackey MC (2001) Sufficient conditions for stability of linear differential equations with distributed delay. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 1B, 233–256
- Breakspear M, Jirsa VK (2006) Neuronal dynamics and brain connectivity. In: McIntosh R, Jirsa VK (eds), Handbook of Brain Connectivity. Springer-Verlag, New York
- Burić N, Grozdanović I, Vasović N (2005) Type I vs. type II excitable systems with delayed coupling. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 23, 1221–1233
- Burić N, Todorović D (2003) Dynamics of Fitzhugh-Nagumo excitable systems with delayed coupling. Physical Review E 67, 066222
- Burić N, Todorović D (2005) Bifurcations due to small time-lag in coupled excitable systems. International Journal of Bifurcations and Chaos 15 (5), 1775–1785
- Campbell SA, Edwards R, van den Dreissche P (2004) Delayed coupling between two neural network loops. SIAM J. Applied Mathematics 65 (1), 316–335
- Campbell SA, Ncube I (2006) Some effects of gamma distribution on the dynamics of a scalar delay differential equation. Preprint
- Campbell SA, Ncube I, Wu J (2006) Multistability and stable asynchronous periodic oscillations in a multiple-delayed neural system. Physica D 214 (2), 101–119
- Campbell SA, Smith A (2007) Phase models and delayed coupled Fitzhugh-Nagumo oscillators. Preprint

- Campbell SA, Yuan Y, Bungay S (2005) Equivariant Hopf bifurcation in a ring of identical cells with delayed coupling. Nonlinearity 18, 2827–2846
- Carson RG, Byblow WD, Goodman D (1994) The dynamical substructure of bimanual coordination. In: Swinnen S, Heuer H, Massion J, Casaer P (eds), Interlimb coordination: Neural, dynamical and cognitive constraints. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 319–337
- Crook SM, Ermentrout GB, Vanier MC, Bower JM (1997) The role of axonal delay in the synchronization of networks of coupled cortical oscillators. J. Computational Neuroscience 4, 161–172
- Cushing JM (1977) Integrodifferential Equations and Delay Models in Population Dynamics. Vol. 20 of Lecture Notes in Biomathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin; New York
- Desmedt JE, Cheron G (1980) Central somatosensory conduction in man: Neural generators and interpeak latencies of the far-field components recorded from neck and right or left scalp and earlobes. Electro. Clin. Electroencephalog. 50, 382–403
- Dhamala M, Jirsa VK, Ding M (2004) Enhancement of neural synchrony by time delay. Physical Review E 92 (7), 074104
- Diekmann O, van Gils SA, Verduyn Lunel SM, Walther H-O (1995) Delay Equations. Springer-Verlag, New York
- Engelborghs K, Luzyanina T, Roose D (2002) Numerical bifurcation analysis of delay differential equations using DDE-BIFTOOL. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 28 (1), 1–21
- Engelborghs K, Luzyanina T, Samaey G (2001) DDE-BIFTOOL v. 2.00: a MAT-LABpackage for bifurcation analysis of delay differential equations. Tech. Rep. TW-330, Department of Computer Science, K.U. Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- Ermentrout GB (1994) An introduction to neural oscillators. In: Ventriglia F (ed), Neural Modeling and Neural Networks. Pergamon, Oxford, UK, pp 79–110
- Ermentrout GB (2002) Similating, Analyzing and Animating Dynamical Systems: A Guide to XPPAUT for Researcher and Students. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA
- Ermentrout GB (2005) XPPAUT5.91 the differential equations tool. Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA
- Ermentrout GB, Kopell N (1998) Fine structure of neural spiking and synchronization in the presence of conduction delays. PNAS 95 (3), 1259–64
- Fitzhugh R (1960) Thresholds and plateaus in the Hodgkin-Huxley nerve equations. J. General Physiology 43, 867
- Foss J, Longtin A, Mensour B, Milton JG (1996) Multistability and delayed recurrent loops. Phys. Rev. Letters 76, 708–711
- Foss J, Milton JG (2000) Multistability in recurrent loops arising from delay. J. Neurophysiol. 84, 975–985
- Foss J, Milton JG (2002) Multistability in delayed recurrent loops. In: Milton J, Jung P (eds), Epilepsy as a Dynamic Disease. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp 283–295
- Foss J, Moss F, Milton JG (1997) Noise, multistability and delayed recurrent loops. Phys. Rev. E 55, 4536–4543
- Fox JJ, Jayaprakash C, Wang DL, Campbell SR (2001) Synchronization in relaxation oscillator networks with conduction delays. Neural Computation 13, 1003–1021

- Golomb D, Ermentrout GB (1999) Continuous and lurching travelling pulses in neuronal networks with delay and spatially decaying connectivity. PNAS 96, 13480–13485
- Golomb D, Ermentrout GB (2000) Effects of delay on the type and velocity of travelling pulses in neuronal networks with spatially decaying connectivity. Network: Comput. Neural Syst. 11, 221–246
- Gopalsamy K, Leung I (1996) Delay induced periodicity in a neural netlet of excitation and inhibition. Physica D 89, 395–426
- Guckenheimer J, Holmes PJ (1983) Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems and Bifurcations of Vector Fields. Springer-Verlag, New York
- Haken H, Kelso JAS, Bunz H (1985) A theoretical model of phase transitions in human hand movements. Biological Cybernetics 51, 347–356
- Hale JK, Verduyn Lunel SM (1993) Introduction to Functional Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, New York
- Hoppensteadt FC, Izhikevich EM (1997) Weakly connected neural networks. Springer-Verlag, New York
- Ikeda I, Matsumoto K (1987) High dimensional chaotic behaviour in systems with time-delayed feedback. Physica D 29, 223–235
- Izhikevich EM (1998) Phase models with explicit time delays. Physical Review E 58 (1), 905–908
- Jantzen KJ, Kelso JAS (2006) Neural coordination dynamics of human sensorimotor behaviour: A review. In: McIntosh R, Jirsa VK (eds), Handbook of Brain Connectivity. Springer-Verlag, New York
- Jirsa VK, Ding M (2004) Will a large complex system with delays be stable? Physical Review Letters 93, 070602
- Karbowski J, Kopell N (2000) Multispikes and synchronization in a large neural network with temporal delays. Neural Computation 12, 1573–1606
- Keener J, Sneyd J (1998) Mathematical Physiology. Springer-Velag, New York
- Kelso JAS (1984) Phase transitions and critical behaviour in human bimanual coordination. American J. Physiology: Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology 15, R1000–R1004
- Kelso JAS, Holt KG, Rubin P, Kugler PN (1981) Patterns of human interlimb coordination emerge from nonlinear limit cycle oscillatory processes: theory and data. J. Motor Behaviour 13, 226–261
- Kleinfeld D, Raccuia-Behling F, Chiel HJ (1990) Circuits constructed from identified Aplysia neurons exhibit multiple patterns of activity. Biophysical J. 57 (4), 697–715
- Koch C (1999) Biophysics of Computation. Oxford University Press, New York
- Kolmanovskii VB, Nosov VR (1986) Stability of functional differential equations. Vol. 180 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic Press
- Kopell N, Ermentrout GB (2002) Mechanisms of phase-locking and frequency control in pairs of coupled neural oscillators. In: Fiedler B (ed), Handbook of Dynamical Systems, vol 2: Toward Applications. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Kopell N, Ermentrout GB, Whittington MA, Traub R (2000) Gamma rhythms and beta rhythms have different synchronization properties. PNAS 97 (4), 1867–1872
- Kuznetsov YA (1995) Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory. Vol. 112 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin; New York
- MacDonald N (1978) Time lags in biological models. Vol. 27 of Lecture notes in biomathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin; New York

- Mackey MC, an der Heiden U (1984) The dynamics of recurrent inhibition. J. Mathematical Biology 19, 211–225
- Maex R, De Schutter E (2003) Resonant synchronization in heterogeneous networks of inhibitory neurons. J. Neuroscience 23 (33), 10503–10514
- Milton JG, Foss J (1997) Oscillations and multistability in delayed feedback control. In: Othmer HG, Adler FR, Lewis MA, Dallon JC (eds), The Art of Mathematical Modeling: Case Studies in Ecology, Physiology and Cell Biology. Prentice Hall, New York, pp 179–198
- Nagumo J, Arimoto S, Yoshizawa S (1962) An active pulse transmission line simulating nerve axon. Proc. IRE 50, 2061–2070
- Olgac N, Sipahi R (2002) An exact method for the stability analysis of time-delayed linear time-invariant (LTI) systems. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control 47 (5), 793–797
- Olgac N, Sipahi R (2005) Complete stability robustness of third-order LTI multiple time-delay systems. Automatica 41, 1413–1422
- Plant RE (1981) A Fitzhugh differential-difference equation modeling recurrent neural feedback. SIAM J. Applied Mathematics 40 (1), 150–162
- Ramana Reddy DV, Sen A, Johnston GL (1998) Time delay induced death in coupled limit cycle oscillators. Physical Review Letters 80, 5109–5112
- Ramana Reddy DV, Sen A, Johnston GL (1999) Time delay effects on coupled limit cycle oscillators at Hopf bifurcation. Physica D 129, 15–34
- Ramana Reddy DV, Sen A, Johnston GL (2000) Experimental evidence of timedelay-induced death in coupled limit-cycle oscillators. Physical Review Letters 85 (16), 3381–3384
- Sen AK, Rand R (2003) A numerical investigation of the dynamics of a system of two time-delayed coupled relaxation oscillators. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 2 (4), 567–577
- Shampine LF, Thompson S (2001) Solving DDEs in MATLAB. Applied Numerical Mathematics 37, 441–458
- Shayer LP, Campbell SA (2000) Stability, bifurcation and multistability in a system of two coupled neurons with multiple time delays. SIAM J. Applied Mathematics 61 (2), 673–700
- Shepherd G (1994) Neurobiology. Oxford University Press, New York
- Skinner FK, Bazzazi H, Campbell SA (2005a) Two-cell to n-cell heterogeneous, inhibitory networks: precise linking of multistable and coherent properties. J. Computational Neuroscience 18 (3), 343–352
- Skinner FK, Chung JYJ, Ncube I, Murray PA, Campbell SA (2005b) Using heterogeneity to predict inhibitory model characteristics. J. Neurophysiology 93, 1898–1907
- Stépán G (1989) Retarded Dynamical Systems. Vol. 210 of Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics. Longman Group, Essex
- Strogatz SH (1998) Death by delay. Nature 394, 316–317
- Terman D, Wang DL (1995) Global competition and local cooperation in a network of neural oscillators. Physica D 81, 148–176
- Thiel A, Schwegler H, Eurich CW (2003) Complex dynamics is abolished in delayed recurrent systems with distributed feedback times. Complexity 8 (4), 102–108
- van Vreeswijk C, Abbott LF, Ermentrout GB (1994) When inhibition not excitation synchronizes neural firing. J. Computational Neuroscience 1, 313–321

- Wang X-J, Buzsáki G (1998) Gamma oscillation by synaptic inhibition in a hippocampal interneuronal network model. J. Neuroscience 16 (20), 6–16
- Wang X-J, Rinzel J (1992) Alternating and synchronous rhythms in reciprocally inhibitory model neurons. Neural Computation 4, 84–97
- Wang X-J, Rinzel J (1993) Spindle rhythmicity in the reticularis thalami nucleus: synchronization among mutually inhibitory neurons. Neuroscience 53, 899–904
- White JA, Chow CC, Ritt J, Soto-Treviño C, Kopell N (1998) Synchronization and oscillatory dynamics in heterogeneous, mutually inhibited neurons. J. Computational Neuroscience 5, 5–16
- Wirkus S, Rand R (2002) The dynamics of two coupled van der Pol oscillators with delay coupling. Nonlinear Dynamics 30, 205–221
- Wischert W, Wunderlin A, Pelster A, Olivier M, Groslambert J (1994) Delayinduced instabilities in nonlinear feedback systems. Physical Review E 49 (1), 203–219
- Wu J, Faria T, Huang YS (1999) Synchronization and stable phase-locking in a network of neurons with memory. Math. Comp. Modelling 30 (1-2), 117–138
- Yuan Y, Campbell SA (2004) Stability and synchronization of a ring of identical cells with delayed coupling. J. Dynamics and Differential Equations 16 (1), 709–744
- Zhou J, Chen T, Lan Z (2004a) Robust synchronization of coupled delayed recurrent neural networks. In: Advances in Neural Networks - ISNN 2004. Vol. 3173 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 144–149
- Zhou S, Liao Z, Yu J, Wong K (2004b) Chaos and its synchronization in two-neuron systems with discrete delays. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 21, 133–142