# **A Parallel Implementation of the K Nearest Neighbours Classifier in Three Levels: Threads, MPI Processes and the Grid***-*

G. Aparício, I. Blanquer, and V. Hernández

Instituto de las Aplicaciones de las Tecnologías de la Información y Comunicaciones Avanzadas - ITACA

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia. Camino de Vera s/n 46022 Valencia, Spain {gaparicio, iblanque, vhernand}@itaca.upv.es

Tel.: +34963877007; Fax: +34963877274

**Abstract.** The work described in this paper tackles the problem of data mining and classification of large amounts of data using the K nearest neighbours classifier (KNN) [1]. The large computing demand of this process is solved with a parallel computing implementation specially designed to work in Grid environments of multiprocessor computer farms. The different parallel computing approaches (intra-node, inter-node and inter-organisations) are not sufficient by themselves to face the computing demand of such a big problem. Instead of using parallel techniques separately, we propose to combine the three of them considering the parallelism grain of the different parts of the problem. The main purpose is to complete a 1 month-CPU job in a few hours. The technologies that are being used are the EGEE Grid Computing Infrastructure running the Large Hadron Collider Computing Grid (LCG 2.6) middleware [3], MPI [4] [5] and POSIX [6] threads. Finally, we compare the results obtained with the most popular and used tools to understand the importance of this strategy.

**Topics:** Grid, Parallel Computing, Threads and Data Mining.

# **1 Introduction**

Data Mining is a recently created concept that groups different techniques of data analysis and model extraction. The main purpose of Data Mining is the extraction of hidden predictive information from large databases. In this way, Data Mining is a helpful technology to get profit of the great amount of poorly exploited data. The interest of this work is focused on the classification of new registers (automatic selection of the category in which a piece of information will more likely fall into). The target of this work is the development of a set of tools to assist large-scale epidemiology studies. Thus the initial hypothesis is a

<sup>\*</sup> The authors wish to thank the financial support received from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology to develop the GRID-IT project (TIC2003-01318).

<sup>-</sup>c Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007

large database with registers in which most of them are labelled and in which the process will predict the label for a few of them. Considering this situation, we select the K nearest neighbours method [1] as the most suitable classification method to obtain the needed results, i.e., the predicted labels. The work will concentrate on speeding up the performance. The analysis of the accuracy and goodness of the predictions are not in the scope of this work.

Along this paper we will first make a review, in Section 2, of the specific problem to work with (the KNN method). After that, in Section 3, we will propose an architecture to the application (the Three-layer Parallelism Architecture) using the three technologies proposed. Once we have presented the problem and the architecture, then will be review the implementation in Section 4, starting with brief comments on the three technologies used and the selected interface. Finally we will see the results obtained with the solution proposed and a set of conclusions about the work made will be presented in the last two sections.

### **2 K Nearest Neighbours**

One of the most popular instance-based classification techniques is the K Nearest Neighbours method [1]. This method is a generalisation of the one nearest neighbour rule. It is appropriate when dealing with a large set of labelled registers or instances and a small group of non-labelled registers to be classified with the most probable label. Our hypothesis is that we are dealing with data with an strong relationship among the multidimensional distance of each entry with the rest. In this way, the 1-NN (one nearest neighbour [1]) consists on assigning to the non-labelled registers, the label of the nearest labelled register. Based on that, Cover & Hart [1] implemented a variant known as K-NN (K Nearest Neighbours) that applies the same philosophy that 1-NN but considering the most frequent label in the K Nearest Neighbours instead. The  $K$  parameter is very important and the optimal value will depend on many factors, such as the data nature, and it has to be chosen experimentally.

The cross validation test is the most popular test technique used for the analysis of the error of the classification. Starting from a set of labelled registers, those are divided into B blocks. One block will be used as the test set and the rest as the training set. This operation is performed with the B blocks. The K-NN classifier is applied to any register in the test block and compares the label assigned with the one previously defined. If the labels differ, an error is recorded, and the consolidation of all the errors obtained for the whole test block is the validation error. Repeating this process by changing the test set to the rest of blocks, the sum of all the errors will be an approximation to the real error of the K-NN classifier with the chosen K parameter

$$
err = \sum_{b=1}^{b=B} (err_b)
$$
 where b is the chosen block.

# **3 Three-Layer Parallelism Architecture**

The decision of using the three layer parallelism lies on the difficulty of managing a large amount of data (especially when dealing epidemiology databases containing several millions of registers) and the poor results of traditional sequential approaches. Our purpose is based on performing a set of experiments with different values of  $K$  in the K-NN method to determine the optimal value for this  $K$  according to the lowest error in a cross validation test. Once the optimal value for  $K$  is determined, we will be able to classify the non-labelled registers with the K-NN method. The main aim of the work will be on reducing computing time especially for epidemiology analysis and support.

The evaluation of the error for each value of  $K$  takes around 18 CPU hours using a state-of-the-art computer and a database of 1 million records and 20 fields per record. Thus, a complete optimisation process of 10 different values of K would take more than 7 CPU days, which would be unmanageable in a production environment. However, the process is intrinsically parallel, presenting two clear levels of parallelism. In a first level, each evaluation of the error using different values of  $K$  is totally independent, since it consists on computing the whole classification and cross-validation process for each value of  $K$ , using the same input database. This process consists on computing the  $K$  minimal distances to all registers and selecting a block to act as the test set. This block will be re-labelled using the rest of the database as the training set. Labels are assigned considering the labels of the K Nearest Neighbours. This process will be repeated selecting different blocks of the database as training sets in order to cover the whole database. Each validation using a different block is independent. However, the computational cost of this process is on the order of  $cN^2$ , being c the number of flops for computing a single distance between two registers and N the number of registers in the database (directly affecting communication cost). Thus, a trade-off solution must be applied to obtain the maximum performance considering the best granularity.

In the frame of this scenario, three parallelism approaches can be considered. The conditions of each one concerning the problem of this article are the following:

- **–** Grid Computing [2]. This technique implies the coarsest granularity. Grid Computing deals with the concurrent usage of different computing resources in different administrative domains in a similar approach as a large-scale batch queue. Inter-resource communication is not usually available due to the long latencies, the internal configuration of nodes in resource providers and the overhead of the security policies. Data access thus is mainly performed through the job submission process and shared repositories, using ftp-like protocols. In this paradigm, the minimal running entity is the job, interacting with the rest of the jobs through input and output files.
- **–** Message-Passing Parallel Computing. This technique is proven to be very efficient in most medium-grain problems in which communication costs are on an order of magnitude lower than computing cost. Typically, jobs are fairly symmetrical and run on homogeneous nodes connected through a fast

network. Security policies are not applied in communication and data exchange is performed through message passing.

**–** Shared-Memory Parallel Computing. This constitutes the finest-grain parallelism. Applicable in very coupled and homogeneous environments, different threads concurrently execute a common program on different fragments of data. Data is exchanged through shared regions and contention mechanisms. Generally the scaling factor of those systems is low, due to hardware constraints and speed-ups are good.

Our proposal is to combine the three levels to achieve the maximum performance. Using shared-memory approaches only would lead to small speed-ups (limited by the number of available processors) and the need of shared-memory supercomputers. The combination of distributed-memory and shared-memory approaches would increase notably the speed-up, since computing farms can reach without performance losses many tens of bi-processor nodes. However, and considering that our problem is massively parallel, more powerful configurations could be efficiently used. Thus, the coordinated use of several computing farms is a reasonable choice considering the availability of those systems. In this case, grid computing constitutes an efficient way to organise and manage different computing resources in different administrative domains. So, in order to achieve the maximum performance, we have decided to combine three different techniques of parallel computing: Grid technology, MPI programming and POSIX threads. Considering the different characteristics of each approach, the problem of classification must be structured to obtain the maximum efficiency from each one. According to this, we have chosen the EGEE infrastructure currently running the LCG 2.7 Grid Middleware [3]. Command Line Interface (CLI) will be used to implement the scripts and the programmes for submitting several experiments with different values for K each. Each experiment is performed concurrently by several MPI processes to divide the cross validation into a



**THREE-LAYER PARALLELISM SCHEME**

**Fig. 1.** Three-layer parallelism scheme

simple test-training partition validation. Finally different sub-blocks of each MPI process testing partition are computed on the different POSIX threads created in a MPI process and executed within the processors of a node.

An example of a tree diagram of our approach is printed in figure 1. In this figure we can see the evolution of the work. It begins at the root of the tree with the submission of  $K$  different LCG Grid jobs (where the value of  $K$  will determine for each LCG job the number of neighbours to which the distances are computed). In a second phase, MPI parallel process are executed. We choose the same number of MPI processes as cross validation blocks, although other factors of parallelism grain could be analysed in the future. In the third phase, MPI processes are split into threads, according to the features of the target hardware resources and the experimental results.

# **4 Implementation**

The implementation of the three-layer model mainly considers three components:

- **–** Parallel KNN module. This component implements the KNN classification and cross-validation algorithm using MPI and POSIX Threads. It is an autonomous executable that takes as input the reference to the labelled registers file name, the reference to the file that contains the registers we want to label and the value of K to be used. It produces a different output file depending on our demands, being possible to show the labels assigned to the target registers or to obtain a statistical summarized file.
- **–** Grid scripts. They implement the selection of the rightmost computing resources, the job description file, the start-up script for the parallel executable, the job submission and monitoring and the job output retrieval. All these tasks are implemented through scripts that make use of the CLI.
- **–** Java Interface. It implements a user-friendly interface to select the data and the parameters for the Grid jobs and to retrieve their output.

The parallel KNN module comprises the MPI and POSIX Threads Computing levels. The synchronised execution of different instances of this processing module is performed through the Grid scripts.

The figure 2 shows us the global process, according to a chronological view. In the upper part of the diagram we can see subprocesses classified by functionality and in the lower part they are classified by technology.

#### **4.1 Grid Computing Level**

One typical application of Grid technology is multi-parametrical runs. The difficulty in establishing efficient communications among independent submitted jobs in a Grid environment has been traditionally an important barrier. In our case, different experiments with different values of K in the K-NN method, constitute clearly a multi-parametrical task which can be achieved by different LCG Grid jobs.



**GLOBAL PROCESS SCHEME**

**Fig. 2.** Global process scheme

The Grid infrastructure selected is EGEE (Enabling Grids in E-sciencE). This is the largest production infrastructure available for research world-wide, integrating, in October 2006, more than 29000 computers and more than 40 Petabytes of storage in 177 sites. This infrastructure runs currently the gLite 3.0. gLite 3.0 and LCG 2.7 share a major part of components, so migration is feasible. Both LCG 2.7 and gLite 3.0 are batch-oriented Grid middlewares and consider the same computing structure, which comprises the following components:

- **–** Computing Resources. The computing resources are organised in the form of Computing Elements (CEs), and Working Nodes (WNs). CEs are the front-ends and visible entry-points to computational farms of WNs. CEs implement the necessary batch queues to manage the jobs in the WNs and keep track of the status of both jobs and resources.
- **–** Storage Resources. The files in the EGEE infrastructure are stored in a distributed way in many Storage Elements (SEs).
- **–** Workload Management. The destination of a job (a queue in a CE) can be directly selected by the user, although the more effective way is to rely on the Workload Management System.
- **–** Storage Catalogue. Data stored on the SEs is organised through Storage Catalogues. Storage Catalogues keep track of the files stored.
- **–** User Management. Users are organised in Virtual Organisations (VOs). Typically, users in a VO have the same authorisation rights to access the resources. This reduces the burden of managing individual security policies.
- **–** System Information. The information of the system (status of the jobs and resources mainly) is published in a hierarchical model by the sites and the monitoring system.

In order to execute a job in the LCG environment, a Job Description File must be written according to the Job Description Language (JDL). This file defines

the input and output files, the executable file and the running parameters and the program requirements. The executable is typically a shell script that copies all necessary data locally on the resource and performs other preliminary steps (such as re-compiling, executable permissions, etc.). Job is submitted through the specific commands or API calls and enters in a cycle of states (submitted waiting - ready - scheduled - running - done - outputready - cleared).

Once the LCG job is assigned to a Computing Element, a shell-script is executed. The shell-script initial instructions will fetch the databases stored in the Grid, including both training registers and labelling registers, since not only training but also classification is performed in the last phase of the job. This approach will reduce the Grid waiting time. When all the necessary data are downloaded on the computing nodes, the classification process can begin. This is achieved using an MPI process that will be the responsible of making a test with an assigned block. The sum of all the block test errors will be the cross validation error, i.e., the information we are requesting to decide the optimal  $K$ value and then use it to classify the non-labelled registers.

The tasks that must be implemented for delivering the above functionality are:

- **–** Selection of the rightmost Computing Resource. Resources in the EGEE Grid are accessed through Workload Management Systems. Those resources are selected according to the job features and the VO policies. The resources were ranked considering their proximity to Storage Resources where replicas of the database are stored and other performance criteria (mainly the number of free CPUs, historical average length of the submission queue).
- **–** Submission and Resubmission. Once the resources are identified, input data for each job is packed and jobs are submitted along with all the needed information (including references to the stored databases). The status of the job is periodically monitored and jobs are resubmitted to a new computing resource if scheduling time exceeds a predefined threshold.
- **–** Monitoring and Output Retrieval. Jobs being executed are monitored through the corresponding scripts. Once finished, output data are retrieved and user is notified via e-mail (obtained automatically from the Distinguished Name of the certificate or given as a parameter).

Final result of all the process is the cross-validation error for the execution of KNN for a specific value of K. Results are presented as available and sorted by the magnitude of the error.

#### **4.2 MPI and POSIX Threads Computing Level**

The MPI executable is an autonomous programme that computes the distance evaluation, cross-validation and labelling of the registers of a database.

MPI process 0 will be the responsible to load and broadcast databases to the rest of MPI processes that run in other nodes of the cluster selected in the Grid Infrastructure for each job. Databases are replicated among all the processors. The computation of the distance requires considering all the registers each time. Other distributions could be considered if memory is insufficient, although they will require additional communication cost, since they must involve intermediate data exchange among processes. The distribution of large amounts of data does not imply an important penalisation since the communication is performed inside the cluster farm and not through the Grid.

MPI process 0 also normalises the database to ensure that all fields of each register are considered with the same weight. Registers are evenly distributed among the processors and within each processor evenly among the threads. A list of the  $K$  nearest registers is updated during the process. This process is run for the block of registers selected as the test set in each computer. The errors are computed as the number of wrongly assigned labels. Finally, labels are assigned at the end of the process to reduce the overhead of redistributing the data again.

Each block of test-set registers considered in a processor is processed by different threads. POSIX threads are created in each MPI process dealing with the distance computation and labelling of a portion of the testing set. The use of POSIX threads permits exploiting efficiently the multiprocessor capability that modern clusters have. Moreover, the process do not imply conflicts neither on write access to common variables nor on synchronisation. Experiments also prove that the consideration of the hyper-threading capabilities of current processors provide an additional gain factor in the speed-up, being able to run more threads than physical processors are available.

The moderated cost of this process (in the quadratic order) and the large amount of data to be exchanged makes this problem suitable for parallel computing rather than Grid computing. Complexity can be increased by considering more costly computations of the distances (currently a homogeneous Euclidean distance) considering different weights or distance metrics for different fields, or considering more complex error metrics, such as distances to the right label.

The development of MPI applications across different Grid nodes is an issue currently tackled by Grid-MPI projects. Grid-MPI is a Grid approach of the Message Passing Interface. Although GRID-MPI enables the development of large-scale MPI parallel applications, current infrastructures are not supporting it. The main reason is that WNs typically can communicate within the cluster and although inbound connections are allowed, direct communication within WNs of different resources is not possible. From the users' point of view, the resource is the CE. Moreover, geographically distributed resources on the Grid make communications fairly inefficient for a fine-grain application, so coarsegrain parallelism is clearly the current aim.

#### **4.3 User Interface**

In order to ease the process of creating experiments, submitting the jobs and monitoring the results, a java-based interface has been implemented. This interface co-ordinately execute the necessary scripts to deal with the Grid job submission, monitoring and output retrieval.

The interface enables an authenticated and authorised user to log in the system and to upload the test and training sets on a specific SE. Target CEs will be selected according to the availability of computing resources, the support of MPI and the proximity to a SE publishing a replica of the databases.

Then, jobs are automatically constructed considering the range of values of K that will be sweeped. Jobs are submitted through the interface and their status is monitored either at global level (percentage of jobs in each state) or individually by jobs. Jobs are automatically submitted if an error is produced (although a maximum retry count is reached) or if they keep on waiting on a queue for an excessive time, choosing in both cases a different computing resource.

Finally, the result of the jobs can be dynamically consulted. The user is notified when a job has finished through e-mail.

Figure 3 shows a couple of snapshots of the application interface.

<b>ED PARALLEL KNN</b>	a lit	<b>ED PARALLEL KNN</b>				
File SEs Config Time Jobs Results Help $\mathcal{B}$ to		$E \parallel \Phi$		File SEs Config Time Jobs Results Help		
File: /home/gaparicio/SE.txt	<b>Browse</b>	$0 -$	Time	<b>Status</b>	I	
LFN: Ifn:file-SE.txt	Test		$^{\circ}$ 30 60	Waiting Scheduled Scheduled		Ready $0\%$
Availables: aker.dsic.upv.es aker.dsic.upv.es Assigned: bohr0013.tier2.hep.man.ac. castorgrid.pic.es castor.qrid.sinica.edu.tw castorsrm.pic.es cclcqseli01.in2p3.fr cclcgseli02.in2p3.fr ce1.eqee.unile.it Delete	New		90 120 150 180 210	Scheduled Running Running Running Running		Waiting 12% Scheduled 37% Running 50% Done (Failed) 0% Done (Success) 0% Aborted 0% Others 0%
Non registered LFN						

**Fig. 3.** Snapshots of the user interface application

## **5 Results**

We have done different experiments in three scenarios. First, using the commandline WEKA [7] java K-NN class (3.4.5 release, a very well-known and widely used classification tool); second, using a sequential tool we have implemented in "C"; and, third, by using the three-layer parallel K-NN tool. We have used two different training databases, one with one hundred thousand labelled registers and another one with one million labelled registers. The number of fields of the two databases was 20, plus the label field.

The performance of the version implemented in "C" language was very efficient comparing to the results with WEKA, mainly due to the fact that WEKA is implemented in Java. A linear speed-up is obtained using grid technology since the experiments are independent. The gain in the MPI parallelisation approach has been above a factor of 9.5 with 10 biprocessors nodes (PIII Xeon 3GHz. on an SCI 3D torus network) and the gain using four threads in each node is above an additional 1.5 factor. We selected four threads considering that each node has two hyper-threading processors. This gain is not as linear as in the other cases since not all the process has been implemented using threads. Thus, the gain of using the MPI and threads parallel technologies is above 15, and the total advantage from WEKA multiplies by 6. Moreover, as it was mentioned before, Grid scales linearly. Figure 4 summarizes the results obtained.

This figure reflects the Speed Up comparing to WEKA to the sequential process, the MPI parallel process and the three-layer parallelism process.



**SPEED UP IN ODIN.DSIC.UPV.ES**

**Fig. 4.** Speed Up results

## **6 Conclusion**

The results of our work have been very encouraging. The approach based on a three-layer parallelism is a very effective way to get the best performances and give us a hopeful vision of data mining in the Grid. The classification of the registers, including the identification of the optimal K value in the K-NN method on a database of one million registers took less than 6 hours, i.e., a single nightly run. For a comparison, this results implies an speed-up larger than 90 compared to the equivalent WEKA K-NN sequential execution, i.e., more than a month waiting time. Considering that our efforts are routed to biomedical prediction, this advantage would enable, for example, classifying the information recorded in Primary Care each day (in the order of millions of records) and its automatic classification.

## **References**

- 1. T.M.Cover, P.E.Hart: Nearest neighbour pattern recognition. IEEE Trans. on Information Theory **13(1)** (1967) 2127
- 2. I. Foster, C. Kesselman, S. Tuecke: The Anatomy of the Grid: Enabling Scalable Virtual Organizations. International J. Supercomputer Applications, 15(3) (2001) http://www.globus.org/research/papers/anatomy.pdf
- 3. LCG: World Wide Web Computing Grid. Distributed Production Environment of Physics Data Processing. http://lcg.web.cern.ch/LCG
- 4. Message Passing Interface Forum: MPI: A message-passing interface standard. (2003) http://www.mpi-forum.org/
- 5. Gropp, W., Huss-Lederman, S., Lumsdaine, A., Lusk, E., Nitzberg, B., Saphir, W., Snir, M.: MPI: The Complete Reference, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA (1998)
- 6. Drepper, U and I. Molnar: The Native POSIX Thread Library for Linux. (2003) http://people.redhat.com/drepper/nptl-design.pdf
- 7. E. Frank, M. Hall, L.T.: Weka 3: Data Mining Software in Java. (2005) http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka.