5 Using Satellite Data to Estimate Urban Leaf Area Index[1]

Ryan R. Jensen, Department of Geography, Geology, and Anthropology, Indiana State University, Terre Haute, Indiana State University

Perry J. Hardin, Department of Geography, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah

5.1 Introduction

The social value of the urban forest to local urban populations has long been recognized. In contrast, the impact of the urban forest on global and local environments is not clearly understood, and the impact of urban trees on carbon sequestration, mitigation of urban heat, and removal of pollution remain topics of contemporary scientific study. Land cover conversion in urban areas is typically faster than in wildland areas, thus there is a need for rapid measurement methods of urban biophysical variables that are repeatable and economically efficient.

Leaf area index (LAI) has been identified as one of the core biophysical variables for landscape monitoring at all scales (Pierce and Running 1988; Lymburner et al. 2000). LAI has three definitions in the literature but is usually standardized to represent the green area (m^2) of flat horizontal leaves per unit of ground area (m^2) (Chen and Black 1992; Chen et al. 1997; Barclay 1998). Many scenarios of season and landscape allow LAI measurement by earth resource satellites, and LAI is a derivative data product of many remote sensing initiatives. However, few studies have ex-

amined methods of combining satellite LAI estimates with those made using ceptometers in the urban forest to estimate LAI over large urban areas.

This research extends the work of Peper and McPherson (2003) that compared the accuracy of various nondestructive field measurement devices to accurately measure urban tree LAI. In the context of that previous work, algorithmically manipulated satellite data used in this study become an additional nondestructive method of measuring urban LAI.

The objective of this research is to develop transfer equations that can be used to convert satellite LAI measurements to their gap-fraction equivalents. Our hypothesis proposes that satellite and ground LAI measurements are related and that statistical and neural network approaches can be used to interconvert between the two methods of measurement.

5.1.1 Urban Remote Sensing

Instruments aboard remote sensing satellites measure the electromagnetic energy emitted or reflected from Earth or its atmosphere, allowing terrestrial objects to be distinguished and characterized. For example, when illuminated by the noonday sun, grass on an irrigated golf course is not only visibly green but also reflects intercepted infrared solar energy in proportion to the amount of its spongy mesophyll. Grass receiving insufficient moisture to maintain mesophyll turgidity may appear equally as green as adjacent well watered grass but would decrease significantly in infrared reflectance. If spatially extensive, this stress would be detectable from spaceborne instrumentation and would allow researchers to accurately map the affected area. Using similar logic, land cover types are mapped, and vegetation biophysical variables are measured from spaceborne instruments.

Historically, remote sensing in urban areas has been constrained by the spatial complexity of urban scenes. The problem is related to the spatial resolution of the satellite sensor. A single image resolution element (pixel) may be measuring the spectral response of a land cover mixture rather than a single land cover type. For example, a suburban pixel may represent a mixture of grass, asphalt, concrete, and roof shingles. This kind of spectral mixing makes urban remote sensing less amenable to statistical methods that assume normal distributions and no measurement error. Newer spaceborne instruments, having finer spatial resolutions, reduce the constraint and provide better data for urban remote sensing (Jensen et al. 2003). The

improvement in resolution is fortunate, because governments (e.g., state, county, city) and private companies annually invest hundreds of millions of dollars acquiring remotely sensed data that detail the urban landscape more effectively than through traditional "windshield surveys" (Jensen 1996).

5.2 Data and Methods

5.2.1 Study Area

The city of Terre Haute is located in Vigo County along the banks of the Wabash River in west central Indiana, U.S. (39°25 ′ N, 87°25′ W). Terre Haute government officials have made a conscious effort to maintain the urban tree canopy through a comprehensive tree ordinance that governs both tree removal and planting. The ordinance is administered by a tree advisory board consisting of city residents appointed by the city officials to make suggestions and recommendations to the mayor, city forester, city engineer, and city council.

5.2.2 LAI Field Measurements

Traditional field measurement of LAI has taken two approaches. The first approach requires the destructive harvesting of leaves within a vertical column passing upward through the entire tree canopy. The second involves collection of leaf litterfall. These direct methods are similar they are time intensive and require many replicates to account for spatial variability in the canopy (Green et al. 1997). However, these direct LAI measurements are accurate for a very specific geographic location, are relatively easy to perform by untrained personnel, and are well understood by ecologists. Gap-fraction analysis is a nondestructive field method that has been developed to estimate LAI.

Gap-fraction analysis is predicated on the theory that the decrease in light intensity (light attenuation) with increasing depth in vegetative canopies can be described by the relationship:

$$IL / IO = e^{-kLAI(L)},\qquad(5.1)$$

where *IL/IO* is the fraction of incident light at the top of the canopy (*IO*) reaching depth *L* in the canopy, *LAI(L)* is the cumulative LAI from the top of the canopy to point *L*, *k* is a stand or species specific constant, and *e* is the natural logarithm base (Larcher 1975; Aber and Melillo 1991). Different types of vegetation have different *k* values, causing different rates of light attenuation for the same leaf area. The principal factor causing this is "twig angles and the angles that the foliage subtends with the twig" (Barclay 1998; see also Larcher 1975). Field-measured LAI using gap-fraction analysis assumes that leaf area can be calculated from the fraction of direct solar energy that penetrates the canopy (canopy transmittance). By applying gap-fraction techniques to study LAI in many different forest settings, standard operating procedures have been developed (Pierce and Running 1988; Chason et al. 1991; Ellsworth and Reich 1993; Nel and Wessman 1993; Green et al. 1997).

In this study, LAI was measured using the gap-fraction approach in 145 random locations (sampling sites) throughout the study area during July and August 2001. Like most urban areas, land cover in Terre Haute consists of a wide variety of vegetated and nonvegetated patches. Vegetated areas sampled included trees, shrubs, grasses, and agricultural fields growing different varieties of corn and soybeans. Unvegetated areas included buildings, streets, parking lots, ponds, lakes, and the Wabash River. The randomly selected sampling sites represented all major land cover types in Terre Haute.

Each of the 145 sampling sites was defined as a 20 $\times$ 20 m (65.6 $\times$ 65.6 ft) quadrat identified by the global positioning system coordinates of its center. At each sampling point, 16 below-canopy, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) measurements were collected, one in each cardinal direction at each corner of the 20 m quadrat. The PAR measurements were collected using a Decagon AccuPar Ceptometer™ held approximately 1 m (3.3 ft) above the ground beneath the tree cover. The AccuPar Ceptometer consists of a linear array of 80 adjacent, 1 cm^2 (0.16 in^2) PAR sensors mounted rigidly along a bar and oriented so that when the operator holds the ceptometer horizontally, the PAR passing downward through the canopy can be measured. The ceptometer stored the 16 PAR samples taken at each sampling site and calculated the LAI average automatically. This sitewide LAI average was then recorded along with general operator notes regarding the sampling site character.

5.2.3 Satellite Sensor Data

Data from the Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) sensor were used for comparison to the field LAI measurements. ASTER data are collected in several wavelengths, often referred to as bands. This study employed ASTER bands 1, 2, and 3 measuring the green, red, and near-infrared segments of the electromagnetic spectrum (520–600 nm, 630–690 nm, and 790–860 nm), respectively. These wavelengths are used in vegetation studies because of their correlation to the quantity and health of green vegetation (Jensen 2000). Remote sensing data are commonly used to calculate vegetation indices ‒dimensionless, radiometric measures of the relative abundance of green vegetation, including LAI (Jensen 2000). One of the most common vegetation indices is the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). The NDVI is calculated using the equation (Rouse et al. 1974):

$$NDVI = \frac{NIR - RED}{NIR + RED},$$ (5.2)

where NIR is the near-infrared reflected radiant flux, and RED is the red reflected radiant flux.

An ASTER image of the study area acquired in July 2001 was used for this investigation. The image had a spatial resolution of 15 m (49.5 ft). Using a United States Geological Survey digital raster graphic image, the ASTER scene was geometrically adjusted to the same coordinate system used for the field data collection. This adjustment ensured that the 145 sample sites could be accurately registered to the ASTER data.

5.2.4 Estimating LAI Using Regression

As mentioned above, the principal objective of this research was to create transfer equations that could be used to convert satellite LAI measurements to their gap-fraction equivalents. Because correlation and regression are common methods used to model forest biophysical characteristics with remotely sensed data (e.g., Jensen et al. 2000), their use was suggested. In this instance, multiple regression analysis was performed using brightness values from the three ASTER bands as the independent variables (Table 1). Because previous remote sensing research has shown that ratios and vegetation indexes derived from brightness values (e.g., NDVI) frequently measure vegetation differences better than the direct brightness values alone (Fassnacht et al. 1997; Jensen 2000), five derived independent variables were also explored in the regression process.

Table 1. ASTER bands and derived variables used in the study

Variable	Meaning	Variable	Meaning
GREEN	520 – 600 nm Band (Green band)	RDIRRAT	Red / Infrared ratio
RED	630 – 690 nm band (Red band)	NDVI	(See Formula 5.2)
IR	790 – 860 nm band (Infrared band)	GRRDDIF	Green – Red band difference
GRRDRAT	Green / Red ratio	RDIRDIF	Red – Infrared band difference

These variables are described in Table 1. In all regressions, the average field site LAI value (LAI_{obs}) was the dependent variable.

The goodness of the regression models were measured in two ways. The first is the standard error of the estimate (SEE). The standard of the estimate is synonymous for root mean square error; the former term is preferred in regression, whereas the latter term is preferred in neural network studies. The standard error of the estimate is defined as:

$$SEE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(LAI_{pred} - LAI_{obs})^2}{n}} \, , \qquad (5.3)$$

where LAI_{pred} is the LAI for a given fieldsite predicted by the regression. The summation is iterated over all the observations in the dataset ($i = 1$ to n). Smaller values of *SEE* indicate better fit between model and observed data and can be interpreted as the best estimate of the standard deviation of the observations around the regression line. The second method was the common multiple correlation coefficient (R) as described in Marascuilo and Levin (1983). The minimum acceptable level of significance in all the statistical analyses was 0.05.

5.2.5 Estimating LAI Using a Back-Propagation Feed-Forward Network

Artificial neural networks (ANNs) grew out of research in artificial intelligence, specifically attempts to mimic the fault tolerance and learning capacity of biological neural systems by modeling the low-level structure of

the brain. Research on ANNs has been motivated from their inception by the recognition that the brain computes in a very different way than digital computers (Haykin 1994).

A neuron is the fundamental processing unit of an ANN. Artificial neurons are analogous to biological neurons in the human brain. ANN behavior resembles that of the brain in two respects. First, knowledge is acquired by the network through a learning process. Secondly, interneuron connection strengths known as synaptic weights are used to store knowledge (Haykin 1994). ANNs do not rely on statistical relationships for function fitting but adaptively estimate continuous functions from data without mathematically describing how outputs depend on inputs (e.g., adaptive model-free function estimation using a nonalgorithmic strategy) (Gopal and Woodcock 1996).

ANNs have been used in remote sensing applications to classify images (Bischof et al. 1992; Hardin 2000) and incorporate multisource data (Benediktsson et al. 1990). ANN classifiers have been successfully used with remote sensing data because they take advantage of the ability to incorporate non-normally distributed numerical and categorical GIS data and image spatial information (Jensen et al. 2000).

Several forest studies have demonstrated the utility of coupling ANN approaches with satellite data. For example, Jensen et al. (2000) used an ANN to discriminate conifer stand age in southern Brazil using remotely sensed imagery. That study demonstrated that ANNs were; (1) competent to model the complex nonlinearity of biophysical forest processes, (2) better at estimating conifer stand age than traditional image processing techniques, (3) ideal for modeling the latent complexity of plant biophysical characteristics during the plant life cycle, and (4) able to explain more variance in forest biophysical parameters than their traditional statistical counterparts. In another study, Jensen and Binford (2004) found that ANNs were more accurate than traditional statistical techniques to estimate LAI in forested ecosystems throughout north central Florida.

For this research, a back-propagation feed-forward ANN was created and trained using the variables shown in Table 1 as inputs, and the field site LAI (i.e., LAI_{obs}) as the output. This procedure is directly analogous to the multiple regression approach described previously in this article, in which ASTER variables and LAI_{obs} were the independent and dependent variables, respectively.

The procedure used to build the ANN models generally followed Hardin (2000). The calibration of several candidate networks required trial and error. The networks were trained with different variable combinations, different numbers of hidden neurons, different learning rates, and different momentum rates until an acceptable error rate was obtained or further improvement was unlikely. Parsimony was also sought in the neural network solutions. Given equal predictive value from alternative network configurations, the network with the fewest hidden neurons was considered superior to more complex networks.

Like the regression approach described above, the *SEE* was also used to measure the accuracy of the network predictions by comparing LAI_{obs} values against LAI_{pred} values across all 145 fieldsites. *R* was also calculated for neural networks by regressing predicted LAI values against their observed counterparts. Use of the same accuracy metrics allowed the regression results to be compared to the ANN outcome.

5.3 Results and Discussion

The field LAI measurements were made at 145 Terre Haute area locations ($n = 145$). The maximum and minimum LAI recorded were 7.7 and 0.0, respectively. The mean LAI measured was 1.2 ($s = 1.9$).

5.3.1 Regression Results

All possible single variable regression models were tested. Several provided statistically significant predictive ability. The regression model providing the highest correlation coefficient ($R = 0.60$) and lowest error (*SEE* = 1.54) was created from the ratio of the ASTER green and ASTER red bands (*GRRD_RAT*). In unstandardized form, the predictive model was

$$LAI_{pred} = 4.79 \times GRRD\_RAT - 5.81. \qquad (5.4)$$

The best two-variable model included the same ratio as Equation 4 but added the infrared ASTER band. The predictive equation using these two variables was

$$LAI_{pred} = 3.99 \times GRRD\_RAT + 0.02 \times IR - 7.10. \qquad (5.5)$$

This model lowered the standard error of the single variable model by only 3% (SEE = 1.51) and improved the simple correlation by only 5% ($R =$

0.62). The addition of further variables did not improve the predictive ability of the model.

For all the regression equations previously cited, their coefficients, and constants were significant at the 0.05 level. Use of the single variable model (Equation 4) is suggested because of its simplicity. The direction of the coefficient signs for the regression variables is logical. As the amount of green reflectance increases and red reflectance decreases, the ratio GRRDRD increases mathematically and LAI_{pred} also increases. In addition, as infrared reflectance increases, so does LAI_{pred}. These results suggest that both the ratio and the infrared band are measuring the same physical phenomena; they are measuring the increase in green reflectance as leaf area increases with the co-occurring loss of ground reflectance.

5.3.2 Artificial Neural Network Results

All the variables in Table 1 were submitted separately to ANN analysis to create a single variable model explaining LAI. Three single-variable networks produced nearly equivalent LAI predictive accuracy ($R \approx 0.69$, *SEE* ≈ 1.40). These three models employed, respectively, the ASTER green band, *NDVI*, and the ratio between the ASTER red and infrared variables (*RDIR_RAT*). In all three cases, networks with two neurons in a single hidden layer were sufficient for fitting the network. The best two variable models tested included the ASTER green band (*GREEN*) and the ratio between the ASTER red and infrared bands (*RDIR_RAT*) trained on single hidden layer of three neurons. This network produced a moderately high *R* value ($R = 0.71$) with an *SEE* of 1.35. A Visual Basic function that reproduces the network output is shown in Figure 1. This function returns LAI_{pred} when passed *GREEN*, *RED*, and *INFRARED* brightness values. The variable *RDIR_RAT* is calculated inside the function from *RED* and *INFRARED* and then used with *GREEN* in the network calculations. No three-variable network models significantly exceeded the predictability of this two-variable network model.

The interpretation of the neural network results follows the same logic used in discussing the regression results. LAI_{pred} increases with increased reflectance in the ASTER green band. The ratio of the red to infrared reflectance (*RDIR_RAT*) assumes the role that *GRRD_RAT* played in the regression analysis; it is a measure of the ratio of background to photosynthetically active vegetation or healthy, spongy leaf mesophyll. With an increase in vegetation at the expense of impervious material, infrared re-

flectance in the ratio increases while red reflectance decreases. This causes a corresponding increase in *LAI_pred*.

5.4 Conclusion

As shown in Table 2, the ANN technique was superior to the multiple regression approach. In all cases, the ANN produced higher values of *R* and lower values of *SEE* than did regression. These results provide another case study demonstrating that a biophysical variable critical to urban study (i.e., LAI) can be predicted from remotely sensed satellite data and be more accurately predicted using a feed-forward back-propagation neural network than multiple linear regression.

Using ANNs to estimate LAI could enhance the accuracy of some studies that have relied on traditional regression techniques in the past. To improve such studies, ANNs could be created and trained using representative ecosystem *in situ* LAI samples and then used to estimate LAI in other image areas. For example, after measuring *in situ* urban LAI using one of the methods described by Peper and McPherson (2003), an ANN could be created and trained that is unique to that specific urban area. A program such as that shown in Figure 1 could then be used to estimate LAI in the

```
'Input ASTER green, red and infrared brightness values
'Function will produce a predicted LAI
Function PredictLAI(ByVal GREEN As Double, _
                    ByVal RED As Double, _
                    ByVal INFRARED As Double) As Double
    Dim NetSum As Double
    Dim LAI As Double
    Static HiddenNeuron(3) As Double

    'Handle water and outside image
    If INFRARED = 0.0 then Return 0.0

    'Calculate ratio of red and infrared
    Dim RDIR_RAT As Double = RED / INFRARED

    'Prescale
    If (GREEN < 48.9) Then GREEN = 48.9  'Brightness
    If (GREEN > 206) Then GREEN = 206
    GREEN = (GREEN - 48.9) / 157.1
    If (RDIR_RAT < 0.18) Then RDIR_RAT = 0.18 'Ratio
    If (RDIR_RAT > 1.7) Then RDIR_RAT = 1.7
    RDIR_RAT = (RDIR_RAT - 0.18) / 1.52

    'Function for the hidden neurons
    NetSum = 0.03620234
    NetSum = NetSum + GREEN * 22.74368
    NetSum = NetSum + RDIR_RAT * 6.679393
    HiddenNeuron(1) = 1.0 / (1.0 + Math.Exp(-NetSum))
    NetSum = 0.7550668
    NetSum = NetSum + GREEN * -3.907263
    NetSum = NetSum + RDIR_RAT * 10.81035
    HiddenNeuron(2) = 1.0 / (1.0 + Math.Exp(-NetSum))
    NetSum = -1.42035
    NetSum = NetSum + GREEN * -2.62758
    NetSum = NetSum + RDIR_RAT * 5.134643
    HiddenNeuron(3) = 1.0 / (1.0 + Math.Exp(-NetSum))

    'Accumulate results across all hidden neurons.
    NetSum = 5.523126
    NetSum = NetSum + HiddenNeuron(1) * -5.202937
    NetSum = NetSum + HiddenNeuron(2) * -1.52756
    NetSum = NetSum + HiddenNeuron(3) * -1.493804
    LAI = 1.0 / (1.0 + Math.Exp(-NetSum))

    'Final scaling of result and return
    LAI = 7.71 * (LAI - 0.1) / 0.8
    If (LAI < 0) Then LAI = 0
    If (LAI > 7.71) Then LAI = 7.71
    Return LAI

End Function
```

Fig. 1. Visual Basic function of neural network to predict LAI from ASTER band data values

Table 2. Comparison of regression and neural network models. In all cases, the neural network models were superior to the regression-based models for predicting LAI.

Model Reference	Building Method	Variables	R	SEE
Equation 3	Regression	GRRDRAT	0.60	1.54
Equation 4	Regression	GRRDRAT, IR	0.62	1.51
*	ANN	GREEN	0.69	1.39
*	ANN	NDVI	0.68	1.39
*	ANN	RDIRRAT	0.69	1.39
Fig. 1	ANN	GREEN, RDIRRAT	0.71	1.35

unsampled remainder of the urban area. This is demonstrated in Figure 2. In this example, LAI has been estimated for the Terre Haute region using the ANN represented in Figure 1. This kind of map may be useful when urban planners and others examine the distribution of LAI in urban and suburban areas.

While the ANN method proved most accurate in Terre Haute, this may not be the case in other urban areas under different environmental conditions. Future research could focus on these issues and determine whether ANNs provide the most accurate method to estimate LAI elsewhere. Also, care should be taken to ensure that the network algorithms and regression equations developed in this research are only applied in areas having similar solar zenith angles and vegetation types. While this study was completed at the landscape level, it suggests that artificial neural networks may be created and trained in other areas throughout the world to provide an accurate method to remotely estimate LAI. Further, these models can be used to answer important geographic questions by describing temporal and spatial LAI dynamics at landscape to regional scales (e.g., Jensen 2002). Of equal importance, this methodology can help land managers, conservationists, and urban foresters formulate urban environmental policy that is empirically supported by inexpensive remotely sensed biophysical data.

Fig. 2. Estimated LAI map of the Terre Haute area computed using the artificial neural network and three ASTER bands. Lighter areas represent higher LAI values. Note the city center in the middle left of the image and Terre Haute International Airport in the middle right of the image.

References

Aber, J.D. and J.M. Melillo. 1991. *Terrestrial Ecosystems*. Saunders College Publishing, Chicago.

Barclay, H. J. 1998. Conversion of total leaf area to projected leaf area in lodge pole pine and Douglas-fir. *Tree Physiology.* 18:185-193.

Benediktsson, J.A., Swain, P.H., and O.K. Ersoy. 1990. Neural network approaches versus statistical methods in classification of multisource remote sensing data. *IEEE Transactions in Geoscience and Remote Sensing.* 28:540-551.

Bischof, H., Shneider, W., and A.J. Pinz. 1992. Multispectral classification of Landsat-images using neural networks. *IEEE Transactions in Geoscience and Remote Sensing.* 30:482-490.

Chason, J.W., Baldocchi, D.D., and M.A. Huston. 1991. A comparison of direct and indirect methods for estimating forest canopy leaf area. *Agriculture Forestry and Meteorology.* 57:107-128.

Chen, J. M. and T. A. Black. 1992. Defining leaf-area index for non-flat leaves. *Plant Cell and Environment.* 15:421-429.

Chen, J. M., Rich, P.M., Gower, S. T., Norman, J.M., and S. Plummer. 1997. Leaf area index of boreal forests: theory, techniques, and measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres.* 102:29429-29443.

Ellsworth, D.S. and P.B. Reich. 1993. Canopy structure and vertical patterns of photosynthesis and related leaf traits in a deciduous forest. *Oceologia.* 96:169-178.

Fassnacht, K.S., Gower, S.T., MacKenzie, M.D., Nordheim, E.V., and T.M. Lillesand. 1997. Estimating the leaf area index of north central Wisconsin forests using the Landsat Thematic Mapper. *Remote Sensing of Environment.* 61:229-245.

Green, E.P., P.J. Mumby, A.J. Edwards, C.D. Clark, and A.C. Ellis. 1997. Estimating leaf area index of mangroves from satellite data. *Aquatic Botany* 58:11-19.

Gopal, S. and C.E. Woodcock. 1996. Remote sensing of forest change using artificial neural networks. *IEEE Transactions in Geoscience and Remote Sensing.* 34:398-404.

Hardin, P.J. 2000. Neural networks versus nonparametric neighbor-based classifiers for semisupervised classification of Landsat Thematic Mapper imagery. Optical Engineering. 39:1898-1908.

Haykin, S. 1994. *Neural Networks: a Comprehensive Foundation*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Jensen, J.R. 2000. *Remote Sensing of the Environment: An Earth Resources Perspective*. NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Jensen, J.R. 2005. *Introductory Digital Image Processing: A Remote Sensing Perspective*, 3rd Ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Jensen, J.R., Qui, F., and M. Ji. 2000. Predictive modeling of coniferous forest age using statistical and artificial neural network approaches applied to remote sensor data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 20:2805-2822.

Jensen, R.R. 2002. Spatial and temporal leaf area index dynamics in a north central Florida, USA preserve. *Geocarto International.* 17 (4): 45-52.

Jensen, R.R., Boulton, J.R., and B.T. Harper. 2003. The relationship between urban leaf area and household energy usage in Terre Haute, Indiana, USA. *Journal of Arboriculture.* 29(4): 226-230.

Jensen, R.R. and M.W. Binford. 2004. Measurement and comparison of leaf area index estimators derived from satellite remote sensing techniques. *International Journal of Remote Sensing.* 25(20): 4251-4265.

Larcher, W. 1975. *Physiological Plant Ecology*. NY: Springer-Verlag.

Lymburner, L., Beggs, P.J, and C.R. Jacobson. 2000. Estimation of canopy-average surface-specific leaf area using Landsat TM data. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing.* 66:183-191.

Marascuilo, L.A. and J.R. Levin. 1983. *Multivariate Statistics in the Social Sciences*. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.

Nel, E.M. and C.A. Wessman. 1993. Canopy transmittance models for estimating forest leaf area index. *Canadian Journal of Forest Resources.* 23:2579-2586.

Peper, P.J. and E.G. McPherson. 2003. Evaluation of four methods for estimating leaf area of isolated trees. *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening.* 2:19-29.

Pierce, L.L. and S.W. Running. 1988. Rapid estimation of coniferous forest leaf area index using a portable integrating radiometer. *Ecology.* 69:1762-1767.

Rouse, J.W., R.H. Haas, J.A. Schell, and D.W. Deering. 1974. "Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS." *Proceedings of the Third Earth Resources Technology Satellite-1 Symposium*, Greenbelt, MD: NASA SP-351, 3010-3017.