7 Sorghum

Hari P. Singh^{1,2} and H.C. Lohithaswa^{1,3}

¹ Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA *e-mail*: hpsingh@uga.edu

² N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, 224264, India

³ University of Agricultural Sciences, Krishinagar, Dharwad, Karnatka, 580005, India

7.1 Introduction

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] is the fifth most important cereal crop, after wheat, rice, maize, and barley. A largely self-pollinated crop, it is grown on over 40 million hectares (USDA 2004) in both temperate and tropical regions. Sorghum is mainly grown as a rainfed crop by subsistence farmers in the semiarid tropical regions of Africa and Asia as well as by other farmers in the USA and Latin America. It is a suitable crop for drought and heat-stressed environments and can be grown from sea level to elevations in excess of 300 m, in high rainfall areas, in semiarid regions, and in different seasons.

7.1.1 Center of Origin

The origin of sorghum, an African grass, and its diversification into five major races and thousands of different genotypes began in the distant human past and is only partially known. However, the work of botanists, plant breeders, archaeologists, and geographers has uncovered the probable evolutionary pathway in the domestication of sorghum and the probable spatial dynamics of that evolution under cultural control. A great deal has been learned in the last few about the origins of the cereal and the people responsible for the domestication of sorghum races years. The Ethiopian region of Africa is the center of origin of sorghum (Mann et al. 1983) as it is rich in the number of snowdenian species and also contains several varieties of the durra type, which represents the highly evolved varieties among the cultivated races. From Ethiopia sorghum was taken to West Africa across the Sudan, from where it was first grown among the Mande people of the upper Niger. Also from Ethiopia sorghum was taken to East Africa, from where it was distributed among the Nilotic and Bantu people. From East Africa the sorghum spread to India during the first millennium and was taken from there to China in the early Christian era (Doggett 1976). Sorghum races in India are closely related to those in northeast Africa. From West Africa sorghum was distributed to the USA and other parts of the world through slave trade around the mid-19th century. Before 1900 full-scale cultivation of sorghum had started in the southern great plains of the USA.

7.1.2 Domestication

Sorghum has been carried to many new habitats in different environments to become a staple grain for millions of people. Sorghum has also been diversified into a sugar source, a construction material, a raw material for household implements, and a raw material for industry. The change from a harvested wild plant with much internal variability to an important resource for use and improvement is the result of management. Cultivated races of sorghum originated by disruptive selection and domestication in east central Africa from the wild snowdenian species, Sorghum arundinaceum. Human selection for cultivated characters (mainly nonshattering heads, large seeds and ears, easy threshability, and suitable height and maturity) and natural selection for wild type character resulted in divergence into polymorphic populations in the presence of considerable gene flow between the wild and cultivated types. These processes seem to have contributed to the evolution of durra, kafir, bicolor, cernum, and caudatum and other intermediate types. According to Doggett (1976), most of these types might have migrated to India and China around 4000 BC and 2000 BC, respectively.

Sorghum is adapted to a wide range of environmental conditions but is particularly adapted to drought. It has a number of morphological and physiological characteristics that contribute to its adap-

Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants, Volume 1 Cereals and Millets C. Kole (Ed.) © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2006 tation to dry conditions, including an extensive root system, waxy bloom on the leaves that reduces water loss, and the ability to stop growth in periods of drought and resume it again when conditions become favorable. It is also tolerant to water logging and can be grown in high rainfall areas. It is, however, primarily a crop of hot, semiarid tropical environments with 400 to 600 mm rainfall that are too dry for maize. It is also widely grown in temperate regions and at altitudes of up to 2,300 m in the tropics.

7.1.3 Taxonomic Position

All commercial groups of sorghum such as grain sorghum, fodder sorghum, broomcorns, and sorgos are classified under a single botanical species *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. The genus *Sorghum* belongs to one of the 16 subtribes of the tribe Andropogoneae of the subfamily Panicoidae of the family Poaceae.

Classification of the Genus Sorghum

Among all the classification attempts, Snowden's (1936) is the most comprehensive and practicable to a certain extent.

Section	Eusorghum	
	Subsection	Arundinaceae
	Series	Spontanea and Sativa
	Subsection	Halepensia
Section	Para-sorghu	m

Members of the subsection Arundinacea are diploids with 2n = 20 chromosomes. The series Spontanea comprises wild species or races, and the series Sativa, the cultivated races. Using this basic structure, Snowden (1936) described 31 cultivated and 17 related wild species. These species are more appropriately considered as races of a single species.

Garber (1950) and Celarier (1959) divided the genus into six subgenera based on cytotaxonomic data: Eusorghum, which is the same as Snowden's section = Eusorghum, Chaetosorghum, Heterosorghum, Sorghastrum, Parasorghum, and Stiposorghum. Variation within these subgenera can best be described from the key outlined by Celarier (1959):

AA Nodes glabrous or minutely pubescent, first bloom of sessile spikelet many nerved (>10)

- A Sorghum: pedicellate spikelets staminate or neuter, awns small or wanting.
- B Pedicellate spikelets with glumes only, awns prominent.
- 1. Heterosorghum: primary branch of panicle simple and not whorled, glumes of pedicellate spikelets subequal, lodicules ciliate
- 2. Chaetosorghum: primary branch of panicle simple and not whorled, glumes of pedicellate spikelets unequal, lodicules glabrous
- BB Nodes with distinct ring of hairs, first glume of sessile spikelet few nerved (<10)
 - 1. Parasorghum: callus obtuse, awns <65 mm in length
 - 2. Stiposorghum: callus pointed, awns >65 mm in length

Sun et al. (1994) used internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA to evaluate the phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Sorghum*. They found that Chaetosorghum and Heterosorghum appear to be closely related to each other, and these two are more closely related to sorghum than to Parasorghum.

A simplified classification scheme of cultivated sorghums was proposed by Harlan and de Wet (1972) based on morphological characteristics that most present-day breeders have come to recognize and utilize. The International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (formerly IBPGR) Advisory Committee on sorghum and millet germplasm has recommended this classification to be used in describing sorghum germplasm. Their system of classification of cultivated races into five basic races and ten intermediate races and those of wild races into six spontaneous races is presented below:

- 1. Basic races:
 - Race 1 bicolor (B)
 - Race 2 guinea (G)
 - Race 3 caudatum (C)
 - Race 4 kafir (K)
 - Race 5 durra (D)
- 2. Intermediate races: (all combinations of basic races)
 - Race 6 guinea-bicolor (GB)
 - Race 7 caudatum-bicolor (CB)
 - Race 8 kafir-bicolor (KB)
 - Race 9 durra-bicolor (DB)
 - Race 10 guinea-caudatum (GC)
 - Race 11 guinea-kafir (GK)

Grain sorghum type	Brief morphological description	Geographical location
Durra	Hairy, rachises, flattened kernels and dry stalks	Mediterranean, Near East, Middle East
Shallu	Partly pubescent involute glumes, cone-shaped lax panicles, corneous kernels, dry and non-sweet stalks	India, tropical Africa
Guineense	Involute and nearly glabrous glumes and compact panicles	Central and Western Africa
Kafir	Awnless, compact cylindrical panicles and juicy non-sweet stalks	South Africa
Kaoliang	Stiff stalks, thick hard rind, stiff spreading and few panicle branches, and dry and no-sweet stalks	Eastern Asia
Milo	Yellow midrib, transverse wrinkle of the glumes, compact, awned panicles, large round kernels	East Africa
Feterita	Large kernels, brown testa, and dry and non-sweet stalks	Sudan
Hegari	Rounded kernels, brown testa midcompact ellipsoid and branched panicles, and white kernels with a bluish-white appearance	Sudan

Table 1. Characteristics of commercial grain sorghum types

- Race 12 guinea-durra (GD)
- Race 13 kafir-caudatum (KC)
- Race 14 durra-caudatum (DC)
- Race 15 kafir-durra (KD)
- 3. Spontaneous races: S. bicolor ssp. arundinaceum
 - Race 1 arundinaceum
 - Race 2 aethiopicum
 - Race 3 virgatum
 - Race 4 verticilliflorum
 - Race 5 propinquum
 - Race 6 shattercane

Classification within the subgenera was further developed by de Wet (1978). The three species in the subgenera sorghum were recognized: Sorghum, two rhizomatous taxa, *S. halepense* and *S. propinquum*, and *S. bicolor*, representing all annual wild, weedy, and cultivated taxa. *S. bicolor* was broken down further into three subspecies: *S. bicolor* ssp. bicolor, *S. bicolor* ssp. drummondii, and *S. bicolor* ssp. verticilliflorum (formerly ssp. arundinaceum).

A commercial type of classification is used in the United States. Several commercial types occur and are given regional names. Extensive breeding has eroded the clear-cut differences among the various types. However, popular regional types such as durras, shallus, guineas, kafirs, kaoliangs, milos, feteritas, and hegaris are common in grain sorghum literature. These groups differ in their genetic characters as evidenced by the diversity resulting from intercrosses between the groups. Certain factors for disease reaction, insect resistance, heterosis, cytoplasmic male sterility, fertility restoration, and tillering tend to be associated with particular groups. Details of some of the more popular groups are given in Table 1.

7.1.4 Brief Morphology

Sorghum is a vigorous grass that varies between 0.5 and 5.0 m in height. It is usually an annual. It produces one or many tillers, which emerge initially from the base and later from stem nodes. The root system consists of fibrous adventitious roots that emerge from the lowest nodes of the stem, below and immediately above ground level. Roots are normally concentrated in the top 0.9 m of soil but may extend to twice that depth and can extend to 1.5 m in lateral spread. The stem is solid, usually erect. Its center can be dry or juicy, insipid or sweet to taste. The center of the stem can become pithy with spaces. Leaves vary in number from 7 to 24, depending on the cultivar. They are borne alternately in two ranks. Leaf sheaths vary in length from 15 to 35 cm and encircle the stem with their margins overlapping. The leaf sheath often has a waxy bloom. Leaves are from 30 to 135 cm long and 1.5 to 13 cm wide, with flat or wavy margins. Midribs are white or yellow in dry pithy cultivars or green in juicy cultivars. The flower is a panicle, usually erect, but sometimes recurved to form a gooseneck. The panicle has a central rachis, with short or long primary, secondary, and sometimes tertiary branches, which bear groups of spikelets. The length and closeness of the panicle branches determine panicle shape, which varies from densely packed conical or oval to spreading and lax. Grain is usually partially covered by glumes. The seed is rounded and bluntly pointed, from 4 to 8 mm in diameter and varying in size, shape, and color with cultivar.

7.1.5 Cytogenetic Structure

Sorghum bicolor has a haploid chromosome number of 10, and it is classified as a diploid (2n = 2x = 20). Most species in the genus Sorghum are diploid with 2n = 20, but several species, most notably S. *halepense*, are tetraploid (2n = 4x = 40). As the basic chromosome number in the Sorghastrae is five, it has often been hypothesized that sorghum may be of tetraploid origin. Meiotic chromosome pairing analysis did not provide any strong evidence of a tetraploid origin (Brown 1943; Endrizzi and Morgan 1955), but the large number of complementary gene loci seems to indicate a tetraploid origin. The application of fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) to sorghum chromosomes indicates that single-copy probes consistently identify two loci on separate chromosomes. This provides strong evidence that sorghum does in fact have tetraploid origins (Gomez et al. 1997).

Differences between chromosomes in subgenera of sorghum are detectable, but karyotypic analysis of sorghum chromosomes has been difficult due to similarities in chromosome size and structure (Huskins and Smith 1932; Doggett 1988). Karyotype analysis of several subgenera of the genus Sorghum indicates that chromosomes in the subgenus Eusorghum are distinctly different and smaller than chromosomes in the subgenera Parasorghum and Stiposorghum (Garber 1950; Celarier 1959; Gu et al. 1984). Gu et al. (1984) described the karyotype of S. bicolor, but only chromosome I (nucleolar organizing region) and chromosome IV (characteristic arm ratio) could be identified distinctly. Yu et al. (1991) were able to identify all ten chromosomes in S. bicolor using a combination of chromosome size, arm ratio, and C-banding patterns. C-banded karyotype for somatic metaphase chromosomes of sorghum (Combined Kafir 60) is presented in Fig. 1. Later, Kim et al. (2002) used fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and integrated structural genomic resources, including large insert genomic clones in bacterial artificial (BAC) libraries, to identify ten chromosomes simultaneously. Recently, they (Kim et al. 2004) have determined linkage group identities and homologies for metaphase chromosomes of *Sorghum bicolor* (2n = 20) by FISH of landed BACs. They used relative lengths of chromosomes in FISH-karyotyped metaphase spreads of the elite inbred BT × 623 to estimate the molecular size of each chromosome and to establish a size based nomenclature for sorghum chromosomes (SBI-01 to SBI-10) and linkage groups (LG1 to LG10) (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

The genome size for *S. bicolor* and *S. halepense* has been reported to be 735 and 1,617 Mb, respectively (Laurie and Bennett 1985). Later Arumunganathan and Earle (1991) estimated the genome size of *S. bicolor* to be ca. 750 Mb while Peterson et al. (2002) reported 692 Mb.

7.1.6 Economic Importance

Sorghum is the fifth most important cereal crop in the world after wheat, rice, maize, and barley. It is cultivated annually on ca. 45 million ha, producing ca. 60 million MT of grain (USDA 2004) (Table 3). Sorghum grain is a major food in much of Africa, South Asia, and Central America and an important animal feed in the USA, Australia, and South America. In addition to these uses of the grain, sorghum crop residues and green plants also provide sources of animal feed, building materials, and fuel, particularly in dryland areas of the semiarid tropics (SAT). Grain sorghum is well known for its capacity to tolerate conditions of limited moisture and to produce during periods of extended drought, in circumstances that would impede production in most other grains. Sorghum leaves roll along the midrib when moisture-stressed, making the plant more drought resistant than other grain plants. Like corn, sorghum can be grown under a wide range of soil and climatic conditions. Unlike corn, however, sorghum's yield under different conditions is not so varied. Consequently, it is grown primarily in arid areas where corn would not make it without substantial irrigation.

Sorghum is an important part of the diets of many people in the world and is nutritionally rich (Table 4). It is made into unleavened breads, boiled porridge



Fig. 1. C-band karyotype for somatic metaphase chromosomes of Combine Kafir 60, sorghum (Reprinted, with permission of Crop Science Society of America, from Yu et al. 1991)

Table 2. Relationship of FISH-based kaof America; from Kim et al. 2004)	ryotype of sorgl	num and linka	ge groups com	ıprising variou	ıs linkage maj	os of sorghum	genome. (Rep	rinted with per	rmission of Ge	netics Society
Chromosome number ^l Linkage group (LG)	SBI-01 LG-01	SBI-02 LG-02	SBI-03 LG-03	SBI-04 LG-04	SBI-05 LG-05	SBI-06 LG-06	SBI-07 LG-07	SBI-08 LG-08	SBI-09 LG-09	SBI-10 LG-10
LG in Menz et al. 2002 ²	Α	В	U	D	Ĺ	Ι	щ	Н	ц	ß
LG in Pereira et al. 1994	C	ц	G	D		В	Α	I	Щ	Н
LG in Bowers et al. 2003 ³	C	В	А	ц	Н	D	I	Щ	IJ	I
LG in Crasta et al. 1999	G, K	D	А	C	ĺ	Н	щ	Η	I	В
LG in Boivin et al. 1999 ⁴	C, K	Н	Ŀ	D, L	Í	В	А	Ι	н	Н
LG in Whitkus et al. 1992	B, C	D	F, M	Н	IJ	ц	A	К, L	I	ſ
Fish Karyotype	See	Fig. 2								
Total length (µm)	5.11	3.87	3.85	3.5	3.44	3.15	3.13	3.07	2.98	2.94
Standard errot ⁶	0.047	0.035	0.038	0.032	0.037	0.029	0.028	0.026	0.029	0.023
Relative length ⁷	14.59	11.06	10.98	9.99	9.82	9.00	8.92	8.75	8.51	8.39
Estimated DNA content ⁸	119.3	90.5	89.8	81.7	80.3	73.6	73.0	71.6	69.6	68.6
Arm ratio ⁹	1.32	1.16	1.13	1.14	1.02	1.42	1.06	1.10	1.02	1.04
¹ Chromosomes were ordered and numb ² Linkage group designations are identic ³ Linkage group designations are identic ⁴ Linkage group designations are identic ⁵ The chromosomes are displayed accord ⁶ The sample size for measurement was 4 ⁷ Relative length = 100 [*] (chromosome lei ⁸ Estimated DNA content = Relative leng ⁹ Arm ratio = length of arm/length of sho	ered according al to those descr al to those descr al to those descr ling to cytogene t_0 ngth/genome le th \times estimated i or arm	to their rank o ibed in Peng a ibed in Chittei ibed in Dufou tic convention ngth) genome size, i.	f the total leng t al. (1999), KG nden et al. (1997) vith the shor e., 818 Mbp (F	sth at metapha ong et al. (2000 94) and Tao et t arm at the to rice et al. 200	se (full contra)), Bhattramal al. (2000) p of the vertic 5)	ction) cki et al. (2000) al chromosom) and Haussm es	ann et al (2002	a)	

)				
Country	Area harvested	Production	Country	Area harvested	Production
	(1,000 HA)	(1,000 MT)		(1,000 HA)	(1,000 MT)
Argentina	525	2,600	Lesotho	10	10
Australia	200	1,900	Mauritania	150	70
Benin	170	150	Mexico	1,800	6,300
Botswana	50	8	Morocco	25	15
Brazil	950	2,200	Mozambique	500	300
Burkina	1,450	1,300	Nicaragua	62	103
Burundi	55	65	Niger	1,500	650
Chile	0	0	Nigeria	6,800	8,050
China; Peoples Republic of	820	3,300	Norway	0	0
Colombia	60	170	Pakistan	400	230
Cote d'Ivoire	60	30	Paraguay	30	40
Dominican Republic	6	38	Peru	1	1
Ecuador	5	10	Philippines	0	0
Egypt	160	750	Romania	5	5
El Salvador	89	141	Rwanda	150	155
Eritrea	150	130	Saudi Arabia	180	200
Ethiopia	1,500	1,400	Senegal	210	160
EU-25	110	650	Somalia	225	150
Gambia; The	20	25	South Africa, Republic of	100	220
Ghana	300	320	Sudan	6,000	4,350
Guatemala	45	55	Swaziland	1	1
Guinea-Bissau	50	45	Taiwan	5	20
Haiti	115	90	Tanzania	750	580
Honduras	40	40	Thailand	160	280
India	9,900	8,500	Uganda	280	350
Iran	10	20	United States	2,799	11,050
Iraq	5	5	Uruguay	20	09
Israel	0	0	Venezuela	140	340
Japan	0	0	Yemen	320	260
Kenya	140	130	Zambia	40	25
Korea, Republic of	1	1	Zimbabwe	140	80

Table 3. Global area and production of Sorghum (USDA 2004)



Fig. 2. FISH-based karyotype of sorghum. (a) LG-01. (b) LG-02. (c) LG-03. (d) LG-04. (e) LG-05. (f) LG-06. (g) LG-07. (h) LG-08. (i) LG-09. (j) LG-10. (Reprinted, with permission of Genetics Society of America, from Kim et al. 2004)

or gruel, malted beverages including beer, and specialty foods such as popped grain and syrup from sweet sorghum. In Africa, the straw of traditional tall sorghums is used to make palisades in villages or around a homestead. The plant bases are an important source of fuel for cooking, and the stems of wild varieties are used to make baskets or fish traps. Dye extracted from sorghum is used in West Africa to color leather red.

Some quantities of grain sorghums go into industrial uses. Sorghum starch is manufactured in the USA by a wet-milling process similar to that used for corn starch, then made into dextrose for use in foods. Starch from waxy sorghums is used in adhesives and for sizing paper and fabrics and is an ingredient in oil drilling "mud". The grain can be a source of butyl alcohol.

7.1.7 Breeding Objectives

Sorghum is grown in a wide range of physical conditions in locations ranging from the equator to over 50° N and 30° S. The crop is therefore subjected to a wide variety of temperature, day-length, and moisture regimes. Improved sorghum cultivars for a particular environment always involve breeding for adaptation to the specific climatic conditions found there. This is usually indicated by the appropriate crop duration for that environment and by acceptable and stable yield levels and appropriate grain qualities. The type of cultivar required for a target location also influences the objectives of the plant breeder. For example, the height of a pure-line variety for a specific environment and the heights of the parental lines of a hybrid for the same environment are likely to be different. In addition, improved cultivars for specific locations must

Nutrient	Amount	Unit
Water	9.2	g
Energy	339.0	Kcal
Protein	11.3	g
Total lipid	3.3	g
Carbohydrate	74.6	g
Fiber, total dietary	n/a	g
Ash	1.57	g
Calcium	110.0	mg
Iron	3	mg
Magnesium	n/a	mg
Phosphorus	287.00	mg
Potassium	350	mg
Sodium	6	mg
Zinc	n/a	mg
Copper	n/a	mg
Manganese	n/a	mg
Selenium	n/a	mcg
Vitamin C	0	mg
Thiamin	0	mg
Riboflavin	0.26	mg
Niacin	3.53	mg
Pantothenic acid	n/a	mg
Vitamin B-6	n/a	mg
Folate	150.0	mcg
Vitamin B-12	0	mcg
Vitamin A	2,205	IU
Vitamin E	0.00	mg-ATE
Vitamin D	n/a	IU
Iodine	n/a	mcg

*Average values (per 100 g), taken from U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (USDA:ARS) 1998 USDA Nutrient Database, Release 12, Laboratory Home Page (http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp)

 Table 4. Nutritional composition of sorghum*

possess resistance to the major constraints to production encountered and grain- and stover-quality factors appropriate for sorghum there. These constraints include biotic stresses such as diseases, insects, and parasitic weeds, and abiotic stresses, the requirements for which are usually quite different from one location to another. Resistance to these constraints is deliberately bred into cultivars by crossing resistant types with cultivars possessing other desirable traits and selecting plants with both resistance and desirable traits. Increased yields and improvement of quality are the main concerns of sorghum-breeding programs. On a global basis, sorghum breeding aims at specific objectives including high grain yields, higher fodder yields, disease resistance, insect resistance, drought tolerance, high temperature resistance, striga resistance, nutritional quality, cooking quality, and good stalk quality. In addition, development of suitable varieties to fit into various cropping patterns (intercropping and sequence cropping) in developing countries is another objective.

7.1.8 Classical Breeding Achievements

Kharif Sorghum

With the release of CSH I, the first commercial hybrid in 1964, sorghum became the second crop after maize in developing high-yielding hybrids using a cytoplasmic-genic male sterility system. Since CSH I, a total of 18 more hybrids have been released. The hybrids played a major role in raising productivity and production, particularly in the case of kharif sorghum. Yield potential shown by the hybrids CSH 5 to CSH 18 requires special mention. CSH 5 and CSH 6 had a yield potential of 34 q/ha, while CSH 9 produces 40 q/ha in. This further increase to 42 to 45 q/ha in CSH 18 recently.

Besides hybrids, 15 high-yielding varieties (CSV 1 to CSV 15) have also been released with medium maturity (Table 5). Higher preference was shown for dual-purpose varieties such as CSV 10, CSV 13, SPV 462, and CSV 15. A major advantage of varieties over hybrids is their relatively better grain quality and multiple resistance or tolerance against major pests and diseases. The recently released variety CSV 15 has established higher grain and fodder yield potential than hybrids CSH 5 and CSH 6 released two decades ago.

Rabi Sorghum

Improvement of rabi sorghum did not receive as much emphasis and effort as the kharif sorghum until the 1990s. However, some of the hybrids and varieties listed in Table 5 are specifically developed and recommended for rabi season where the fodder yield is more important than that in kharif sorghum. Therefore, rabi grain productivity must be accompanied by normal or better fodder productivity. From this point of view, gradual success was achieved from the first rabi hybrid CSH 7R to the latest hybrids CSH 15R and 18R.

7.1.9 Limitations of Classical Endeavors and Utility of Molecular Mapping

Plant-breeding efforts over the past six decades have contributed tremendously to the genetic improvement of cereals in terms of yield and quality. However, traditional approaches to crop improvement have several limitations, and increase in yield and productivity cannot be sustained indefinitely (Vasil 1994). Most sorghum-breeding programs have focused on agronomic performance to insure food security; however, grain quality is also an essential requirement for the development of improved cultivars. Sorghum proteins are not of superior quality. Limited lysine and the excess of leucine, which affects the leucineisoleucine balance, are the primary limiting factors of sorghum protein quality. The hopes raised by those of the Ethiopian high-lysine sorghums that are late, photosensitive, and possess shriveled seeds, as well as those of P7212, an opaque mutant and N94 with shriveled seeds, have not been realized so far. Also, little is known about the genetic control of grainquality parameters and their relationships with the main component of sorghum productivity.

Improving drought tolerance is an important objective in a sorghum-breeding program. Early breeding for host plant resistance to sorghum midge, shoot fly, and stem borers brought about worthwhile resistance in sorghum; however, fast evolving races require incorporation of multiple resistance genes, which has not been possible through classical breeding efforts.

The genetic improvement of sorghum through classical plant breeding has resulted in the successful development and deployment of highly adapted high-yielding cultivars that are stable across years.

Table	5. List of released	sorghum hybrids					
No.	Name	Parentage	Year of release	Duration (d)	Plant ht (cm)	Grain yield (q/ha)	Fodder yield (q/ha)
1.	CSH 1	$CK 60A \times IS 84$	1964	105	150	28-31	80
2.	CSH 2	$CL 60A \times IS 3691$	1965	110	150	30-32	95
3.	CSH 3	$2219A \times IS 3691$	1970	110	145	33-35	105
4.	CSH 4	$1036A \times Swarna$	1972	110	175	34-35	06
5.	CSH 5	$2077A \times CS 3541$	1975	115	185	35-38	95
6.	CSH 6	$2219\mathrm{A} imes\mathrm{CS}$ 3541	1977	100	155	32-35	75
7.	CSH 7R	36A imes 168	1977	110	130	27-29	24
8.	CSH 8R	$36A \times PD3-1-11$	1977	110	120	33-35	97
9.	CSH 9	$296A \times CS 3541$	1981	115	190	38-40	95
10.	CSH 10	$296A \times SB 1085$	1984	110	235	36-38	130
11.	CSH 11	296A imes MR 750	1986	110	190	38-40	95
12.	CSH 12R	$296A \times M 148-138$	1986	115	205	25-28	50
13.	CSH 13R	296A imes RS 29	1990	115	180	31-32	55
14.	CSH 14	$AKMS14A \times AKR-150$	1989	103	178	30-32	75
15	CSH 15R	$104\mathrm{A} imes\mathrm{RS}$ 585	1996	110	195	32-33	56
16	CSH 16	27A imes C 43	1997	110	210	42-45	06
17	CSH 17	AKMS 14A $ imes$ RS 673	1999	105	205	42-45	105
18	CSH 18	IMS $9A \times INDORE 12$	1999	115	210	40 - 44	130
19	CSH 19R	$104\mathrm{A} imes\mathrm{R}$ 354	2000	125	165	25–28	45

However, to further enhance productivity, quality, and resistance to the constraints such as drought, *striga*, grain mold, and insect pests that are so common on farm fields in the tropics, much more needs to be done. The resistance level available in cultivated sorghum types is not adequate to build durable resistance to some of the constraints, especially those caused by insect pests.

Therefore, biotechnological tools like DNA markers, genome mapping, identification, characterization and expression of genes, and genetic engineering have been adopted from the crop improvement perspective to address limitations of classical breeding efforts. It will accelerate identification and incorporation of useful genes into cultivars, facilitate positional cloning of genes, provide new opportunities for assessing and expanding the gene pool in sorghum through comparative mapping of related and unrelated taxa, and contribute to the understanding of the biological basis of complex traits and phenomena important to crop improvement and in the development of transgenics.

7.2 Construction of Genetic Maps

7.2.1 First-Generation Genetic Maps

Construction of a linkage map is the most fundamental step required for a detailed genetic study and marker-assisted breeding approach in any crop (Tanksley et al. 1989). Sorghum genome mapping based on DNA markers began in the early 1990s, and since then several genetic maps of sorghum have been constructed. All the sorghum molecular maps generated to date are summarized in Table 6. Initially, the genetic maps of sorghum were based largely on DNA probes previously mapped in the maize genome (Hulbert et al. 1990; Binelli et al. 1992; Whitkus et al. 1992; Melake-Berhan et al. 1993; Pereira et al. 1994). Later, three more maps were constructed using mainly sorghum genomic DNA probes (Chittenden et al. 1994; Raghab et al. 1994; Xu et al. 1994). Another sorghum map published was based on both maize and sugarcane probes (Dufour et al. 1997). All of these were developed using RFLP markers, and most of the mapping populations were F₂, with the exception of the maps of Dufour et al. (1997) and Peng et al. (1999). Dufour et al. (1997) used two recombinant inbred line (RIL) populations for the construction of a composite map, which was later extended by Boivin et al. (1999) with the addition of a large number of RFLP and AFLP markers to the map of Dufour et al. (1997). Tao et al. (1998a) constructed a sorghum map using an RIL population and variety of probes, including sorghum genomic DNA, maize genomic DNA and cDNA, sugarcane genomic DNA and cDNA, cereal anchor probes, and eight SSR loci. They attempted to review and compare their map with other published maps, which is supposed to enhance the effectiveness of mapping information and facilitate efforts to map agronomically important traits in sorghum. However, Subudhi and Nguyen (2000) completely aligned all ten linkage groups of all major sorghum RFLP maps using a common RIL population and sorghum probes from all three sources (Chittenden et al. 1994; Raghab et al. 1994; Xu et al. 1994) along with many cereal anchor and maize probes.

Kong et al. (2000) mapped 31 polymorphic SSR loci obtained from 51 clones isolated from a size-fractionated genomic DNA library of *S. bicolor* (L.) Moench that had been probed with four radiolabeled di- and trinucleotide oligomers using an RI population BT \times 623 \times IS3602C. Taramino et al. (1997) have characterized a total of 13 SSR loci in *S. bicolor* and mapped seven of these using an existing sorghum RFLP map.

Haussmann et al. (2004) have mapped molecular markers for resistance of sorghum to the hemiparasitic weed *Striga hermonthica* in two recombinant inbred populations (RIP-1, -2) of $F_{3.5}$ lines developed from the crosses IS9830 × E36-1 (1) and N13 × E36-1 (2). The resistant parental lines were IS9830 and N13; the former is characterized by a low stimulation of striga seed germination, the latter by "mechanical" resistance. The genetic maps of RIP-1 and RIP-2 spanned 1,498 cM and 1,599 cM, respectively, with 137 and 157 markers distributed over 11 linkage groups.

7.2.2 Integrated Genetic Maps

An integrated SSR and RFLP linkage map of the sorghum was reported by Bhattramaki et al. (2000) using 18 diverse sorghum lines. They designed SSR loci from clones isolated from two sorghum bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries, their enriched sorghum genomic DNA (gDNA), and sorghum DNA sequences present in public databases. The linkage

S. no.	Cross	Mapping population	Types of marker	Number of linkage group	Reference
1.	Shanqui Red $ imes$ M91051	F2	Cloned maize DNA fragments from 14 characterized موnes and 91 random fragments	ø	Hulbert et al. (1990)
2.	Shanqui Red $ imes$ M91051	F2	Maize DNA fragments	15	Melake-Berhan et al. (1993)
3.	$S2482C \times IS18809$	F2	Isozymnes and maize nuclear sequebces	13	Whitkus et al. (1992)
4.	IS 18729 × IS 24756	F2	Maize DNA probes	Ŋ	Binelli et al. (1992)
5.	CK 60 \times PI 229828	F2	Maize and sorghum DNA probes	10	Pereira et al. (1994)
6.	$BSC35 \times BTx623$	F2	Sorghum and maize DNA probes	11	Ragab et al. (1994)
7.	IS $3620\mathrm{C} imes \mathrm{BT} imes 623$	F2	Sorghum RNA probes	14	Xu et al. (1994)
8.	${ m BT} imes 623 imes Sorghum propinguum$	F2	Sorghum DNA probes	10	Chittenden et al. (1994)
9.	$IS2807 \times 379$	RILs	Maize, sugarcane, and cereal anchor probes	13	Dufour et al. (1997)
	$IS2807 \times 249$	RILS	do	12	Dufour et al. (1997)
10.	$IS2807 \times 379$	RILs	Sorghum cDNA probes, rice, oat, barley, pearlmillet, wheat and maize probes	12	Boivin et al (1999)
	103807 × 240	DITe	A FI De	17	Boirrin at al (1000)
11	$OI36 \sim OI41$	DITE	Constitution maine and suscense analysis	21 01	
		NILS	outgramm, mance, and sugar came proves	17	
12.	$B35 \times 1x430$	KILS	Maize, sorghum, cereal anchor probes	14	Crasta et al. (1999)
13.	$BT \times 623 \times 153620C$	RILS	Sorghum, maize genomic DNA clones Rice, maize,	10	Peng et al. (1999)
			barley, oat, and rice cDNA clones		
14.	$CK60 \times PI22898$	F2	SSRs	I	Taramino et al. (1997)
15.	$BT \times 623 \times IS3620C$	RILS	SSRs	I	Kong et al. (2000)
16.	$IS9830 \times E36-1$	RIPs	ALFP, SSR, RFLP, and RAPD	10	Haussmann et al. (2004)
	$N13 \times E36-1$			12	
17.	$\mathrm{BT} imes 623 imes \mathrm{IS3620C}$	RILS	AFLP, RFLP, SSRs	10	Menz et al. (2002)
18.	BT $ imes$ 623 $ imes$ S. propinquum	F2	RFLP	10	Bowers et al. (2003)

Table6.Sorghum genetic maps developed to date

map spanned 1,406 cM and consisted of 147 SSR loci and 323 RFLP loci. Klein et al. (2000) constructed an integrated genetic and physical map of the sorghum genome (750 Mbp). They have developed a new highthroughput PCR-based method for building BAC contigs and locating BAC clones on the sorghum genetic map. Subudhi and Nguyen (2000) attempted alignment and integration of all major molecular maps previously developed for sorghum. To achieve this objective, a genetic map of 214 loci with a total map of 1,200 cM was constructed using 98 F_7 sorghum recombinant inbred lines from a cross between B35 and T × 700. Five major restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) maps independently developed were used for alignment purposes.

A high-density genetic map using AFLP technology was constructed by Menz et al. (2002). The 1,713-cM map encompassed 2,926 loci distributed on 10 linkage groups; 2,454 of those loci were AFLP products; 136 SSRs previously mapped in sorghum and 203 were cDNA and genomic clones from rice, barley, oat and maize. Besides, a comprehensive reference map of the sorghum genome (Fig. 3) was also constructed from two recombinant inbred populations using AFLP, SSR, RFLP, and RAPD markers (Haussmann et al. 2002a). Recently, Bowers et al. (2003) reported a genetic recombination map for sorghum of 2,512 loci spaced at average 0.4-cM (~300-kb) intervals based on 2,050 RFLP probes, including 865 heterologous probes from sugarcane, maize, Oryza, Pennisetum (pearl millet, buffle grass), the Triticeae (wheat, barley, oat, rye), and Arabidopsis.

7.2.3 Comparative Mapping

Geneticists and evolutionary biologists have a longheld interest in the mechanisms involved in chromosomal evolution. Until recently, the primary means of addressing questions surrounding this issue has been via cytological analysis of interspecific hybrids and surveys of naturally occurring chromosomal diversity within populations (Stebbins 1971; Jackson 1984; Grant 1987). Comparative genome mapping adds a powerful new technique for investigating the mode and tempo of chromosomal evolution. This approach involves the use of molecular markers such as restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) to map the genomes of two species for a common set of markers (loci). Although a labor-intensive and expensive method, comparative genome mapping allows one to determine the extent and nature of chromosomal rearrangements between cross-incompatible species. This method thus opens up comparisons among distantly related genomes that are not amenable to analysis by traditional cytogenetic techniques. This approach was pioneered by Tanksley and coworkers using tomato RFLP probes to map the tomato (Tanksley et al. 1988). Recognition of the considerable conservation of features within sets of plants such as rice, wheat, and maize (Ahn et al. 1993); sorghum and maize (Pereira et al. 1994; Paterson et al. 1995b); wheat, barley, and rye (Devos et al. 1993); tomato, pepper, and potato (Tanksley et al. 1988, 1992); and Arabidopsis and Brassica (Teutonico and Osborn 1994) has inspired the suggestion of considering such groups as single genetic systems (Bennetzen and Freeling 1993; Helentjaris 1993). The recent discovery of small chromosomal regions retaining similar gene order in sorghum and two dicot species (Arabidopsis and cotton) suggests that comparative mapping may ultimately reach across a much greater "evolutionary distance" than has been spanned to date (Paterson et al. 1996). This concept should have considerable merit and mutual advantages for both breeders and geneticists.

The comparative mapping results between sorghum and closely related grass species are described below.

Sorghum, Maize, and Rice

Within the tribe Andropogoneae, comparative mapping facilitates an understanding of sorghum genetics. Several groups established the relationship between the sorghum and maize genomes (Hulbert et al. 1990; Whitkus et al. 1992; Melake-Berhan et al. 1993; Grivet et al. 1994; Pereira et al. 1994; Paterson et al. 1995b; Dufour et al. 1997). Gene orders appear to be largely conserved between sorghum and maize; only a limited number of rearrangements have been identified. With the exception of major evolutionary translocations, which characterize the Panicoideae, extreme colinearity also appears to have been maintained with rice. An RFLP linkage map of S. bicolor (L.) Moench was constructed (Peng et al. 1999) in a population of 137 F_{6-8} recombinant inbred lines using sorghum, maize, oat, barley, and rice DNA clones. The map consisted of 10 linkage group and 323 markers. Comparison of the map with RFLP maps of maize, rice, and oat produced evidence for sorghum-maize linkage group rearrangements and homoeologies not reported pre-

```
LINKAGE GROUP A
                                                          (130.1 cM, 333 Loci)
                 CSU482 10 #) BCD0926b
0
                CDSR018a UMC032a
DM065b HHU33 pPAP07D12
 2.3 -
 3.8
 6.2 -
                   - HHUK29 PRC1080b pSB0129
 9.2 psB0068 psB1287 psB1718
10.8 psB0443a psB13424 psB1432 (11 5) psB1889.
12.3 ppAP09D04
 9.2 -
                   - pSB0581
 15.4 -
20 CSU016 HHU03 pSB1652
21.6 pSB1306b pSB1661 pSRR0186.3a
23.1 CD00328 pSB1323 pSB1409b pSB1462 pSHR0033 <sup>(23.9)</sup> pPAP07H07a
24.6 PRC0241 pSHR0158.1
29.3 psB0311 psB1368

30.8 psB0739 psHR0149 lb

32.3 AEST045 ppAP09C09b (3) 1) pPAP01C03

34.7 psB0017 psB0688 psB1157 UMC103 (35.4) CDSB62 CSU585 HHU61 PRC0012b PRC0144a PRC0167 see below

37 psB0024b psB0350 psHR0070c
54.7 AKST163 HRU36 pPAP04807 pPAP05P11 pPAP09G01 pPAP10B12a PRC0402a pSB1910 pSHR0076 see below

57 AKST162 HRU36 pPAP04807 pPAP05P11 pPAP09G01 pPAP10B12a PRC0402a pSB1910 pSHR0076 see below

58.5 DH003 R2635 S13994 (59.3) CD00455 CD00920 CDSC55 CDSR154 PRC1120 PRC1151* pSB0526c see below

60 CD0024b CSU036b pSB1048 pSB1120 (40.4) CSU058 CSU460a DM062 HHU09a* M696 pSB032 see below

61.6 pSB0378 pSB0497 pSB05284 pSB0779 pSB0807b pSB0980a R2776 UMC152 (42.4) HHU17b pSB0613* see below

63.1 pSB1231 (45.4) CDSC30 CDSR128 CSU397 PRC0038a pSB1231 UMC063

66.2 CSU031 CSU456 HHU4* PRC1031b pSB074b pSB10904 pSB1319 pSB1538 R0886 (43) pSB1920

67.7 C0122 C1264 CSU744 G0193a PRC0049 PRC0371 PRC0372 (46.3) pSB0289
70 pPAP12D11 <sup>(70.0)</sup> C0922a CD00470 CD5B23 CSU673 pPAP08G07 PRC0407 pSB0046 pSB0073 pSB1812 see below
71.6 CD5R067a pSB0293 pSB1611a <sup>(72.4)</sup> UMC016a
- PRC1157c (75.4) CD01160b p$80085b p$80951c p$81720b p$HR0139.2
               pSB0794 (77.7) AEST109 PRC0287
 77 -
80.1 -
                  - CSU451 (****) AEST008b pPAP01D04 pPAP05D01b pPAP07C04 pSB0044 pSB0148 pSB1739
82.4

83.9

85.4

PRC0120 pSB1200 SH046% UMC040 (83.3) CSU706 pP

CSU690 (84.7) DM011

95.4

PRC1128b pSB0035 pSB0469 pSB1138 pSHR0149.3a
                 - PRC0120 pSB1200 SH046% UMC040 (#3.1) CSU706 pPAP04806 pPAP03C05 pSB1827 RZ161 S02245
87.7 ____ CSU096a (88.5) pSHR0157.5
90.8 JA2 RC558a

92.4 CSU591 pSB1591 (*).1) CSU351 pPAP08807 PRC0336

93.9 ASST239a RZ444 (*4.7) ANGTITLE CDSR087 CSU469a PRC0165 UMC060a

95.4 CSU110 CSU776 (*6.2) PRC1109b pSB00794 pSB1545

97 CDSR126 PRC0106b pSB1698b S10846

98.5 PPAP03A08a pSB1075 (**.3) pSB0401

100.8 ASST137d C0086
100.1 pSB043b

104.7 CDSR019 pSB1261 (105.4) CD00345 HHU18 pSB0620 pSB0757 pSB1473 pSB1700

106.2 DM033at pPAP07A07 pSB1440c (107) pRC0271

107.8 C3120 (107.5) pRC1102

109.3 DM10 R2414 (110.1) pSB0109

10.8 pSB0273 (11.4) pSB12894 pSB1728 s10526

112.4 CSU328 CSU344 PRC00%b pSB1728 s10526

112.4 CSU328 CSU344 PRC00%b pSB1728 s10526
114.7
                 _____ CDSC56 pPAP10D07 (115.4) CDSR153c
               PHERICI1 PRC1050 pSHR0143.7 (117.8) HHU464 pHER1A07 pPAP08G04 PRC1067 PRC1125 PRC1145
123.9 PRC1108 (124.7) pSB1277
125.4 C1456a
127.8 _____ CSU387 PRC01664 PRC0389 pSB0243 pSB0333b (128.5) pSB0414
129.3 _____ pSB0242a (130.1) C0112 PRC0068
        (35.4) PRC1142
(53.3) pPAP01A09 pPAP01D10 pSHR0109 S01959b
(54.7) pSHR0149.4 RZ995a S01959a (35.4) DMC01c PRC1130a
(55.3) pSB0614 pSB0789 pSKR0110.2b pSHR0110.4 RZ244 SG161 SG168
(60.8) pSB0231 pSB0548 pSB0847a pSB1306a pSHR0164.3 UMC010
(62.4) RZ323
(70.8) SH065
```

Fig. 3. Sorghum genetic map (Reprinted, with permission of Genetics Society of America, from Bowers et al. 2003)

LINKAGE GROUP B (120.8 cM, 331 Loci) C2042b p5B1679 1.5 PRC0367 ^[2] AEsT141 PRC1130d p5B1028 UMC045s 3.1 UMC035 ^[3] AEsT141 PRC1130d p5B1028 UMC045s 3.1 CMO035 ^[3] AEsT141 PRC1130d p5B1028 UMC045s 3.1 CMO035 CSU635 CSU635 NHUK09 HHUK30b pPAP01F01a PRC0005b PRC0019b+ PRC0163b p5B0700c see below 6.2 CMO0533 CSU635 CSU633 NHUK09 HHUK30b pPAP01F01a PRC0005b PRC0019b+ PRC0163b p5B0700c see below 6.2 CMO0533 CSU635 CSU673 PRC0236a p5B070813 p5B1362 p5B1431b+ p5B1468 9.2 ARET07a PRC1010b p5B1465 ^[10] p5B17664 10.8 BCD0855 CD5B12 CD5B12 CD5B77 PRC0065 PRC00136a 12.3 CSU652a pPAP12B03 PRC0093 PRC0106a PRC0320 p5B0432 p5B073b p5B1675 p5B1678 CSU702 (15.4) PRC00354 PRC00374 pSB1124 pSHR0157.1 R1245a 14.6 -17.7 R2395 19.2 MILELYE PSB1332 (20) CORAE PHERICO1 20.8 AESTO54 CRUS70b CSUS81 PPAPO7004b pSB1267 R2672 (2) 1) CSU534 CSU535 HRU16 PRC0148b pSB1070d CSU4600 HRU12b HRU17a 24.6 CSU460c HHU12b HHU17a 26.2 C1268 28.5 pSB1224 (29.2) pSB11441 30 PRC0011 PRC0047 (30.0) PRC0039a PRC0011 PRC0047 (10 0) PRC0039a pSB0077 C0147 (14 6) AESTOOS PRC0009 PRC0139 PRC0358 pSB0494 pSB1475a (16 2) C0285 MR5055 pSB0998 pSB1663 R3089 AEST157c CSU154 pPAP07D05 PRC1101 pSB0266 pSB0934a UMC004 (11 7) CSU784 S01723 (19.3) CD00385 pPAP04F04 pSB0074b CO006 pPAP06D04 (40 0) HMU17c pPAP050809 CSU4605 (41 7) pPAP12C03a PRC04094 PRC1065 AEST047a CO506 PRC0201 R2710 RG598b (4) 9) pSB0103 PRC0117 pSB3374 32.3 33.9 35.4 36.9 38.5 40 41.5 43.1 53.1 54.6 52.2 55.2 63.9 psB0805 (44.4) PRC0227 65.4 c0337 PRC0130c% PRC0151 PRC0152 PRC0211b PRC1150a psB0413b pWal10001 69.3 - CSU395 CSU401 pPAP09E04 PRC0190b PRC1045 pSB0080 pSB09864 pSB1051a pSB1803 pSB1832 see below PRC1129 pSB0787e pSB1076 pSB1098b pSB1596b pSB1743b ^(71 6) AEST001b CDSC49 CDSR041 see below 73.1 - pSB0521b AEST111 C0449 CD00407a CSU422 HHU384 PRC0084a pSB1283 pSB1537 SG298a ^(71 9) see below 74.6 - DM007 PRC1152b ^(73.4) CDSB07 CSU747 DM017 DM027 pPAP08C02 pPAP11D08 PRC0062 PRC0304 see below 76.2 - pSB0925 pSHR0113.2 ^(16.9) pSB0147b 78.5 - CSU305 - CSU305 (17 1) 76.2 psB0925 psHR0113.2 (**.*) psB0147b 78.5 CsU109 psB1037a psB1529a (**.) psB0495 psB0539 80.8 psB0918 (Mc032b UMc036 82.3 CsU013 PsC1098 (**.) psC0296a PRC1169a psB1807 83.9 psB1008 (**.4) psB1898 psB1944 85.4 CsU129 psHR0056 86.9 psC0983a psB1311b (**)) pPAP09D09 PRC1156 psB1521 psB1561b psB1716a 91.6 pSB0054% pSB1019 95.4 HHU05 (** ²⁾ p5B1765 AEST206b (*^{7.7)} R2387a 99.3 PRC1056 PRC1149 p5HR0179.2a 100.8 DH008 (** ¹⁰¹ *) p5B1369 103.1 P5B0456 (**) * p5B0799 104.7 PFRC0380 p5B1637b p5B1524a 106.2 HHU09b' p5B0653 p5B1524a 107.7 p5B1158a (**) p5B1526 109.3 p5B0990 29b (105 4) pSB0053 pSB0606+ 111.6 _____UMC014b 114.7 psB0018 116.2 AEST031b 117.7 psB0174 R2677 (110.5) psB1742a 120 - RG418 (120.8) M190 PRC1127 pSHR0124.2 S10742 (4.6) pSB1014 ^(5.4) pPAP10H08 PRC1088 pSHR0114.1 (6.9) CD00405 (61.6) UMC139 (69.3) pSHR0186.2 ⁽⁷⁰⁾ PRC1081a pSB1476b pSB1894 S00831 (71.6) CDSR074 CSU081 CSU603 PRC1162 pSB0091a pSB0457c psB0457c pSB0774% psB0951b psB1448b psB1716b R0139 3b RZ413 SHO14b SHO38 (73.9) pSB1452b pSB1456b* pSB1932 SHO70a UMC055 (75.4) pSB0150* pSB0669 pSB0694 pSB0844b pSB1153

LINKAGE GROUP C (118.5 cM, 499 Loci) CSU527 PRC0094a pSB1846% (0.4) CSU537 PRC1652* 1.5 CDSR018b pSB0978% pSB1914 (1.9) pPA05803 3.1 pPAP08F02 (1.4) PRC0181a 4.6 AEST055 AEST1376 CSU448 CSU582 pPAP02C03 pPAP05D01a PRC1063* pSB1365 RZ614 (^{0.4)} AEST025 see below 6.2 AEST171b Pcp8c pPAP10H05a pSB0878 pSB0897 (^{0.3)} pSB1070b C1460 CSU604b pS81298b AEST039 CSU063a M466 M477 PRC0016 (14 4) CSU662 pSB1301 pSB1381 pSB1447 HHU34 PRC0378 pSB0406 (14 2) AEST031a 13.8

 15.4
 HHU34 PRC0378 pSB0406 ⁽¹⁴⁻¹⁾ AEST031a

 17.7
 BCD0450 CD0036b phyca PRC0156b PRC1064 pSB0158 pSB1059 pSB1463* pSB1467 pSB1656 see below

 19.2
 CEU399 pPAP09C09a PRC01434 PRC0370 pSB0065 pSB0159 pSB1463* pSB1467 pSB1656 see below

 20.8
 PRC0144b ⁽¹¹⁻¹⁾ AEST014a pEAP01C05 pPAP09A09 pPAP10G11a pSB0221 pSB1140b R2630 see below

 23.9
 BCD1072b CD00542 HHUK21a PPAP01C05 pPAP09A09 pPAP10G11a pSB021 pSB183 pSB1484a see below

 25.4
 PPAP09C10 PRC021 HUK21a PPAP01C05 pPAP09A09 pPAP10G11a pSB021 pSB0183 pSB1484a see below

 25.4
 PPAP09C10 PRC021 HUK21a PPAP01C05 pPAP09A09 pPAP10G11a pSB021 pSB0183 pSB1484a see below

 26.9
 C0745 FRC1144 ⁽¹¹⁻¹⁾ CS0527 pSHR0119.2a

 28.5
 PSB1911 ⁽¹²⁻³⁾ UMC140a

 30
 PRC0187 R2474 ⁽¹⁰⁻³⁾ CS0574 PRC1099 S10074a

 32.3
 pSB0097 pSB059 pSB0611 UMC027

 35.4
 PSB1951 ⁽¹²⁻³⁾ UMC134 M84 M858 PRC0044 C PRC214 pSB15444 pSB1615 pSB1729 R2404 SG202 see below

 35.4
 PSB0195 ⁽¹³⁻³⁾ UMC134 M84 M858 PRC0044 C PRC214 pSB1542a pSB1742c pSHR0177.3 pSHR0178 1

 36.5
 PSB1760 ⁽¹³⁻³⁾ pSB1411c

 36.5
 PSB1760 ⁽¹³⁻³⁾ pSB1811

 36.5
 PSB1760 ⁽¹³⁻³⁾ pSB1811c

 36.5
 PSB1760 ⁽¹³⁻³⁾ pSB1811c

 36.5
 PSB1760 ⁽¹³⁻³⁾ pSB1811c

 36.6
 40.8 BCD0207 (41.3) pSB0874 42.3 PSB0770 512564 (43.1) HHU28a HHUK20 PRC0273 PRC11164 pSB1563 43.9 BCD0386 (44.4) pSB0071 45.4 CSU507 pPAP03H01 pPAP07A01 PRC0186 PRC1141 pSB0951a (44.2) AEST006b AEST075 AEST137a see below 46.9 PRC0020a pSB0081 pSB0239 SH059 SH068 SH087 (47.1) CSU111b pSB0352 pSB1345 pSB1406 see below 48.5 SC04E10 5C05H05 PRC1093 R1245b R2892 (48.2) CSU145b CSU653 H096a pPAP07F07 pSB1391 see below - CD00020b CDSR155 RZ421 (52.3) AHD225 CD00066 PRC0031 51.5 -51.5 ______ CD00020b CDSR155 RZ421 ^(54.7) AHD225 CD00066 PRC0031 51.1 ______ PRC1072 54.6 ______ PRC0324 pSB08004 RZ786 ^(55.4) pSB1086 56.2 ______ pSB0033 ^(54.9) pPAP09B11 58.5 ______ CSU564 PRC03931 pSB1814 RZ500a 60 ______ PPAP07H09a PRC0321c PRC1199 pSB0062 pSB0761a pSB1469 pSB1743c RZ995b UMC014a ^(40 %) see below 63.1 _____ ISU078 64.6 _____ pSB0709 66.2 _____ pSB1862 69.2 ____ pSB1423 (70) S01764 CSU435 UMC116 (72 3) pPAP06H03 pSB1409a pSB1798 CSU389 CSU649 CSU737b DM010b4 pHER1B05 PRC0137 pEB0395b pSB1776 RZ672 (71 4) C2942c 71.6 CSUSEY CS 88.5 - UNCO81 90 - C0245 PRC1203* pSB1223 UNC076 ^(90.8) BNL14.28 CD00860 pPAP01F01b pPAP03F08 pPAP07E06 see below 91.6 - ARST018b ARST022 CD5R035 CSU469b CSU513 pPAP0811 PRC0209 PRC0270 pSB0771 pSB0928 see below 91.6 ARSTO18b ARSTO22 CDSR035 CSU469b CSU513 pPAPI0E11 PRC0 93.9 CDSB15a HHU35 pSB11964 pSB1777 ^{194.6}) HHUK04 pSHR0123.3 95.4 PRC1055 PRC1105 96.9 pSB1024 ^(77 7) HHU60 98.5 pSB1024 ^(77 7) HHU60 98.5 DSR05004 100 CSU111a pS800688 pSB1187 pSB1797 R2329 101.6 CSU58097 pPAP03A06 pSB1864 RG944 ^(102.3) PRC0109b R2561a 103.1 pPAP107A05b pSB0186 ^(105.4) PRC1054a 106.2 PSHR0103.1 ^(104.5) C1454b CSU453 MOSt pSB1669 pSB17904 107.7 CD00020a CD00344b PRC0398 pS802847b pSB1334 ARST122a CD00507b pSHR0180.2b ⁽¹¹⁰⁾ CSU680a HHU13 10.8 RG348 112.3 PSB1159 RG348 psB1159 - CSU347 pPAP07A09 PRC0309 PRC0402b (115.4) pSB05084 114.6 118.5 - AEST069a PRC0046b (5.4) AEST239c PRC0028 PRC0045 pSB1018 UMC084 (11.5) pSB0102 pSB1106 8G305 (17.7) S01912a ^(14.5) PRC1061 pSB1503a (19.2) UMC090 ⁽²⁰⁾ PRC0057a pSB0446 (23.9) pSB1621 pSB17j3 ^(24.6) PRC0074 PRC0071a PRC0246 pSB0851 (24.6) PRC0121 pSB17j3 ^(24.6) (15.2) UMC090 120 PRC0057a pSB0446
 (23.3) pSB1621 pSB1733 (14.4) PRC0071a PRC0246 pSB0851
 (34.6) SG212
 (45.2) AEST256 AEST602a C0152a C0222 CDc0098 CD00226a CD00312 CD00516b CDSR131 CSU009b CSU096b (46.2) CSU0567 CSU654b CSU659b CSU16b HN007a HHU41a RMC2 M869 Pcp8b pAR05807b pAR058054a pPAP058066
 (46.2) PAR07A08b pPAP07803a pPAP07C06a pPAP07G04a pPAP08A05b pPAP08A07b pPAP08B07b pPAP08B05b (46.2) PAR057039 PAR059802b PPAP07605 pPAP09802b PPAP078033 PRC1054b PRC1077 PRC1054b PRC1071 PRC1054b PRC1071 PRC1054b PRC1071 PRC1054b PRC1071 PRC1054b PRC1071 PRC1054b PRC1071 PRC1054b PRC1073 UMC085b UMC107
 (46.2) PRC033 PRC038b PRC0915b PSB1330a pSB1489b PSB1704 PSB1743 R0404 R302 R3330 R6433b (46.2) R6453b RG482a R2053 R2400 R2561b R2777a S01623 S14158 UMC016b UMC083 UMC085b UMC107
 (46.2) PSB1487 PSB1488 R2447 S10
 (45.2) PSB1487 PSB1488 R2447 S10
 (45.2) PSB1487 PSB1488 R2447 S10
 (45.2) PSB1487 PSB1488 R2447 S10 (49.2) (60.8) (76.9) (77.7) (78.5) (81.6) (82.3) (83.9) (90.8) PRC0230 psB1422 psB1472 psB1726 s11433 UMC095 ^(77 7) C013°D CSU455 DM002% DM056% HHUK30m PRC0012a psB156d psB1632 ^(79,2) CSU523 CSU742 PRC0140 psB1016% psB0664 psB1320 psB1630 psB1722a psB1818 psB1909a R0549 ^(82.3) pPAP07D07 pPAP09H11 pPAP10F10 psP10F10 PSB1034 PRC0108 PRC0159 pSB1281 PSB0565b pSB1021 pSB1098a pSHR0110.2a (*2 " ENL05.09

```
Description of the particular of the provided and provided and provided pro
 16.9 psB0421 psHR0111.4 <sup>(17.7)</sup> CD00524 HHU28b psAP08H05b psB1175 R1534c R2017

18.5 CSU458 PRC0390 PRC1397 psB1901 psB1917

20 psB0700b psB1411a psB1895 B1534b R2387b <sup>(23 H)</sup> PRC0318

21.5 CSU405 CSU741 psRp12C05 <sup>(22 H)</sup> psB10B07b PRC0368 psB0838 psB1220 psB1363 psHR0121.2
                                             - pSB1764a%
  23.9 -
 27.7 - pPAP08E09 (20.3) UMC006
29.2 - pSB0523 pSB1619 (30) BNL10.13b M428 pSB0365a pSB0482 pSF1450c UMC044
30.8 - pSB18244 UMC045b (31.6) pSB1015
32.3 - PRC0064 (33.1) CDSR125a PRC1126
 34.6 psB1850 (35.4) psB1773
36.2 psB18568 (36.9) psB0187a
37.7 CSU745 psB0647 (34.5) CSU410 PRC0253 psB0140 psB1047 psB1589 UMC034
 40.8 HHU27 (41.6) pSB0095 pSB1151 R2740a
 43.1

p5B0091b p5B0911 p5B104 (41.9) p5B0242b p5B1442

44.6

p5B14364 p5HR0180.2a (44.9) CDSR109 CSU086 pHER5D10 pPAPGICO1a p5B1916 S13322

p5PAPI1F10a (44.3) AEST136a CD01328 CSU393 DM066c PRC0168b p5B0552 p5B1736 RZ467

49.2

C0058 pHER1C10 PRC0151a (50) CSU518 p5B0745 p5B1456a

AEST018c p5B1817 (51.6) CSU063c PRC1163 p5B1854
                                           - DM109 R0093
  53.9 -
 5.2 PRC1056 pSB0314 pSHR0063 (<sup>36.9</sup>) DH057 pSB0747 pSB1343 RZ782a

57.7 CDSC05 CDSR084 PcpBa pPAP03A07 pPAP11G02 PRC0162a PRC0219 pSB1847 (<sup>36.9</sup>) pPAP07E10 see below

59.3 pSB0866a pSB145Cd <sup>(60)</sup> CDSR046 CDSR063 CSU034 PRC0090 PRC0185 PRC0247 PRC1132 pSB0520b see below

60.8 pSB0428a pSB0521a pSB0580 pSB0580 pSB0643 pSB0787d pSB1655b pSB1737 see below

62.3 ppSB0900 pSB1379

65.4 PRC0362 pSB1355b pSB1813d <sup>(66.2)</sup> pSB1113
  69.3 -
                                           - BCD0348 CD00456
 72.3
                                           - CSU423 CSU430 PRC0136b pSB1611b (73.1) PRC0130b4
 80.1 ----- pPAP08F03
81.6 ---- pSB1622
                   (58.5) pSB0161
(60) pSB0692 pSB16tec pSB1% 3c RZ069
(61.6) pSMR0031b pSMR0100.2 R2558 SH074
```

```
LINKAGE GROUP E
                                                                   (84.7 cM, 146 Loci)
0

1.5

PRC0053

PRC0137 pSB1010 pSB1654

PRC0036

A.6

BCC0036 pSB1355c pSB1482 (5.4) pSB0607b

6.2

CSU108 (6.9) pSH20032 S45

8.5

pSB0880a
21.5 _
                    - pSB1871%
29.3 ____ CDSR095b pPAP08A05a pSB0506 pSB0544 pSB1007% pSB1502 pSB1799 (10 1) pSB0147a
32.4 PRC0303 psB1004 psHR0070a
34.7 R6463a R6482c
36.2 psB08419a <sup>(37)</sup> psB0866e
38.5 cb00459

        The praru3CUB pSB1166 (*2.4) pSB1203 RZ143a

        43.9
        AEST171d CSU781a HHU10 M036 M044 pSHR0172.4 rRGH08 S06 UMC102 (** ") CSU736

        45.5
        Rd66 pSB1617b pMal11 RZ296

        47
        CSU462 HHU19 HHU62 M265 MZ14.1 pHERSC07 pPAP09H05 pPAP10D04 PRC0020b PRC0182 PRC0251 see below

        48.5
        CSU439 CSU539 CSU539 CSU681 pSB1066a pSB1397c pSB1647 RZ141a

        50.1
        CSU030 (50.9) pBB0638
        AEST17b CSU103b PRC0190c pSB1549% pSHR0144.1

        53.2
        PFR03H12 PRC1165

41.6 ____ pPAP03C08 pSB1166 (42.4) pSB1203 RZ143a
57.8 BCD0263

59.3 DH023a (40.1) DH023b

60.9 p581285b: (41.4) pHER1E074

63.2 FRC015484 pSB03184

64.7 pSB0200 (45.3) CSU592a

67 CSU728 (45.4) DH023c pHER1B02 pHER5A03 PRC01034 PRC0236b pSB0845 pSB1610 pSB1617a mee below
70.1 ____ DM074 DM095 PRC0113b psB1396a psB1444a R2123 (70.9) AEST060
73.2 PRC0338b
77.8 psB0030
79.3 CDSR160b
82.4 -
                    - G0243 pSB0047% pSB0063 UMC002b
82.4 G0243 pS
84.7 PRC1178a

    (47) pSB1450e S00894 S02083 <sup>(47.8)</sup> BCD1072a pPAP12G07a pSB0182% pSB0504 pSB0787c pSB0804 pSB089c
    (47.8) pSB1101 pSB1223 pSB1285c% pSB1330b pSB1344b pSB1397a pSB1478c pSB1503b pFR%: 4c pSB1550
    (47.8) pSB1720c pSB1758 pSB1809a pSB1823 pSHR0156.4a RZ261 UMC130
    (67.8) pSB1837
```

LINKAGE GROUP F (127.8 cM, 275 Loci) - pSB0120 - SH060% ĭ.5 3.1 ∃ - pSB01 6.9 _____ pPAP06C01 (7.7) HHU37c pSB0907 8.5 _____ PRC1182 pSB0057 R2816 10.8 G1234 PRC0141 PRC1076 PRC1128a PRC1133 pSB1480 (31.5) CSU525 pPAP01D02 12.3 CSU651a pSB0871 RZ446 DMC019 (33.3) PRC0126 13.9 PPAP04F02 (34.6) pSB0979 16.2 -- pSB10944 19.2 PRC1157e (20) PRC1096a 20.8 DM022a pPAPI0A11 S02089 (21 6) DM054 pSB1839 26.9 psB0883 psB0955a psB0960a 28.5 CD00516a FRC1096c 30 pPAP10H07b FRC1062 psB0179 psB1794 31.6 CSU672 (32.3) PsC20268 33.1 psB0367 (33.9) psB1489a 37.7 - AEST157t UMC126 UMC156 39.3 - AEST001a MZY16.1 PSB1182 (42.3) UMC054 CDSB53 41.6 44.7 BNL10.13a 46.2 CSU661 47.7 CSU440 R3393 (50.8) p5B0107 50 -53.1 C04756 PRC0096a R2266 ^(33.9) C0479a CEU460 CSU643 PRC0004 FPC115Ta PRC1186 pSHR0120.2 see below 54.7 AEST122b mcD1631 CD00507a CSU342 M443b pPAP08H11 PRC1191 pSB0170 pSB0236 pSB0455 mem below 56.2 PRC1105a ⁽³⁷⁾ pHERID10 SIJ922a 57.7 pPAP08H10 PRC0079 PRC1162b PRC0163a R0654a ^(38.5) AEST048 59.3 PPAP08H10 PRC0079 PRC1252 pSB0456 pSB0456 H4U444 60.8 C0624 CD00204 PRC1252 pSB0455 pSB0456 pSB0456 pSB0455 pSB0796 62.3 C00440 CLSPCE ^{(4).31} CSU358 pPAP08G09 pSB0153 pSB0458 pSB0516 pSB1804 pSHR0149.2 64.7 CD00497 CD01380 CSU599 ISU032b PRC0169 (65.4) CSU173 PIAFOSEC" PRAPOBEO PRC052 PRC01321 see below 66.2 CDSR017 (67) HHUI4 68.5 DM066a pSB0866d pSB1698c (69.3) RZ782b 70.8 pSB1354 (71.6) CSU600 PRC0002 PRC0077b - pSB1354 (71.6) CSU600 PRC0002 PRCCC075 PRC0118 PRC0343 PRC0394; pSB0083 pSB0341 pSB1217 see below 73.9 psB0464a S02577 s10847 ^(74.7) PRC0109a psHR0149.3b Rz144b PS744b 75.4 CSU039 pPAP07006b pPAP08B04 PRC0375 psB0038 psB0176 psB1524b psB1548a psB1677b psB1703 see below 77 CDSB22 pPAP10H03 psB0116 psB1596c RZ500b ⁽⁷⁾ 7) pAP05H08 PRC0310 PRC1357d see below 78.5 HHU42 ph&kSr09 prAr01c05 prAr05001 ⁽⁷⁾ 7) AST123b HHUF16 pHF20075 ptAf-1.1.5c see below 81.6 psB0512% (#2.4) C0132 83.1 DM005a pHER1B:6 pPAPJ1H04 PRC1100 psB1331% psB1642 psB1727 psB1626 (#) 9) CD00078b 85.4 HHU37a pPAP10A04 pSB1502 RZ087 90 ____ pSB1446 pSB1720. pSHR0147.1 (90.8) C0915 CSU652b CSU670 CSU774 CSU782 pHER5A08 93.1 _____ AEST1275 pPAP09G08 pS81060% ^(93.9) CSU413 94.7 _____ pS801875 ^(95.4) CSU377a 97.7 psB0094\ ^(98.5) HUK22 PRC0075 99.3 psB1056 101.6 CSU036a psB1457b ^(102.4) psB1457a 104.7 -- AESTO36b pPAP09H10 107.8 psHR0029 (108.5) CDSB15b 110.1 psB1135 (112.4) CDSC42 M738 111.6 psB1135 (112.4) CDSC42 M738
 111.6
 psB1135
 third
 CDSC42
 R/38

 113.9
 pPA058110
 pSB0927
 15.4
 PRC0381

 117
 AETT023
 pPA09601
 pSB0084
 pSB1555

 128.5
 PFC03821
 pSB1445
 120.1
 pSB1723
 L20.4
 AEST240

 121.6
 CD5R029
 L122.4
 pHER1D12
 123.1
 PRC0346
 L123.9
 pSB05398

 124.7
 PRC1104a
 PRC1104a
 PRC1104a
 PRC1104a
 PRC1104a
 127.8 PRC0345a (53.9) R2955 R2058 R2567 (54.7) p580615 p580823 (55.4) p580713a p580991 R2260 UMC108 (55.4) FRC0192 p580493 p580435 R2141b (71.6) p581394 p581461 p581562 p581563 p581629a p581685 R2166 (75.4) p581725 p581861 p581883 p5HR0070b p5HR0075 P5HR0085c p5HR0116.3 R2783 (76.2) C0358 (77.7) p581424 p581753a (79.3) FRC017C p580934b p581221 p581601 p581780 p5HRC166.3b R2476b

```
LINKAGE GROUP G ( 107 cM, 196 Loci)
CDSB59 pSB0974 pSB1057 pSB1757* (° *) UMC134

3.1 pSB1372
 5.4 -
                    - pSB1333 (4.2) UNC167b
7.7 CD00202
9.2 C1991a <sup>(19)</sup> R0844
10.8 p581698a
12.3 PRC01664a <sup>(1).1)</sup> CD01160a CDSB32
13.8 00191b <sup>(14, 4)</sup> pSB0769
23.9 C2782 PECC083b (24.6) pSB0416

25.4 C0922b CD5R067b pSB0045 pSB0772 SG155 (26.2) CSU660 pSHRC[23.26 P2174 UHC132

26.9 C0597 pSB0122 (27.7) CSU402

28.5 pSB1738 S02678

30 pHER5C04 pPAP08G08 pSB0023 pSB1738 S02678
33.1 _____ PRC0107 <sup>(33.9)</sup> pER0395c pSB189

34.6 _____ PPAP09F03 <sup>(35.4)</sup> PRC0344

36.2 _____ CD0340 <sup>(36.9)</sup> pSB0169 pSR0111.1

37.7 _____ AEST110 BCD0738 pSB0169 pSB0445 pSB1147 pSB1811 <sup>(18.5)</sup> PRC1130b pSB1809b

39.2 _____ AEST171a CDSB58 DM006 pHER5C08 pPAP06H09 pPAP08A02 PRC0261 pSB0024a pSHR0031a pSHR0156 4b see below
43.1

44.6

pSB0714 pSB140a SHO62 (43.9) PRC1123 PRC1146b

44.6

pSB0714 pSB140a SHO62 (43.9) PRC1130 PRC1066

46.2

48.5

M319 pB0531se RO188 (49.9) pSB0404

50

BCD0454 CS0155 CS0760 HHU01 RHUX11 HHUX13 PPAP09B06 (59 8) R1436

51.6

pSB0061 (53.1) DSB0681 pSB1001

53.1

CCD00407b CSU050 DH055a WHU24b HHU40 PPAP01A11 PPAP03CC1b PPAP07H07b PPAP10H05b PRC0083a see below

54.6

CDSR153a PPAP07G03 PRC0179 (53.9) PSB00693 pSHR0179.2b
56.9 CSU063b HHU58b pPAP06F11 pSB1866
58.5 CSU437 (59.3) pPAP04A06 PRC0147 pSB1408a
60.8 psHR0123.2a

PRC1183 psHR0123.2a

PRC1183 psHR0146.2 (43.3) psB1393

64.6 c

CDSR120 psR208D04b psB1451b psB1631a (45 4) DH001a DH104 M371

M386 pPAP07A08a psB0903

AEST142a CDSB13 DM001b PRC0005a PRC0019a- R2167a UMC064 (44 5) psB1905

69.3 CDSR094 psB1865 SCA22
71.6 PRC0397 (72.3) pSB1002
73.1 CD00580 (73.9) pPAP03B10
76.2 ____ pSB0347
78.5 ____ CDSR112 <sup>(79.3)</sup> pSB1505
82.3 ____ pSB1945
89.3 UMC089
92.4 ____ pSB0333a
94.7 ____ ISU032a S02649
96.2 ____ AEST090a pSB1150b
98.5 ____ PRC1148a S00782 (99.3) C1456b pHER5E08
101.6 ____ pSB0847c SH035 UMC012 (102.4) AEST137c pSB0134
106.3 ____ pSB1115 (107) PRC0242
        (39.2) SG442 <sup>(40)</sup> AEST239b C0137a CDSR049b pSB1155 p3B1742b UMC060b
(53.1) PRC0111 PRC0156a pSB0980d pSB1229 pSB1307 pSB1635 pSB1842 pSHR0(31c pSHR0113.3 R2599
(53.1) SG322 UMC13<sup>(3),0)</sup> H716
```

```
LINKAGE GROUP H ( 85.4 cM, 191 Loci)
                  pSB13965
PRC0013% pSB0526b pSB1070m (1.3) pPAP09B03m PRC1178b pSB0517 UMC002a
M476
 0
  2.3
3.8
            Ξ
 6.9 pSB0965a
8.5 G0124 KSU3 pSB0860 <sup>(9.2)</sup> PRC0113a
10 M180a
 12.3 PRC0338a
14.6 HHUK05
 18.5 _____ CDSR160a <sup>(19.2)</sup> CSUG92b p5B1478b
20 _____ CD00127 PRC1164 p5B0809a
21.6 ____ p5B0089 <sup>(22.3)</sup> p5B1925
 21.6 psB0089 <sup>(21.3)</sup> psB051925

24.6 R2918

67.7 ARST009 <sup>(28.3)</sup> psB0516

29.3 psB0718 <sup>(39)</sup> CSU103a

31.6 ASST0197 DM097 psB:407 <sup>(32.3)</sup> ARST602b

33.1 ppAP07C08 R1534a <sup>(31.3)</sup> psB1822c

44.6 CO152b DM077 prAP10812 PrCl1214 psB1052 <sup>(33.4)</sup> CDSC24 psB1263 psB1464

36.9 psB0607a <sup>(31.3)</sup> pAP10B12b PrCl135 psB1522a R2141c

38.5 CSU050 prAP11c07 <sup>(31.3)</sup> psB0457a psB05104 psB0536 psB05384 psB0630 psB1285a4 psB1541

40 prL216 ASST005 CDS146 CSU054 HH007b HH012a HH041b ISU036 prERSP02b see below

41.6 PrCl079 PRCl131 psB083b <sup>(42.3)</sup> R2797

43.9 CC00365 CSU166 psB030b SH089 <sup>(44.4)</sup> R2797

43.9 CC00365 CSU166 psB030b SH089 <sup>(44.4)</sup> SH088

ASST136b CSU003a psB0807c psB0844a R2537 <sup>(44.2)</sup> CSU704 psB1434

45.9 pFAP02c02 <sup>(13.7)</sup> CSU53a
 ppAP07A05a psB0240 psB0396 psB1673 psB1750
 57 -
  60 —
                          - CDSR070 DM103 pSB1355a
 60
62.3
                          - PRC1169b pSB1275 pSB1484b pSB1829
  64.7 ____ DM099 (65.4) DM073
  68.5 -
                          - HHU49 (69.3) DM113 PRC0350 pSHR0129

        73.1
        PRC10574 (73.9) PRC1124 S35

        75.4
        psB0343 psB0543 (76.2) pHER5D11 pPAP12A03

        77
        psB0343 psB0915b (77.7) DM010a1 psB0572

        78.5
        C4A DM014 DM0214 DM034 psB0914 S12886 (79.3) DM028 S02344 UGT1976

        80.8
        psB0944

        83.1
        HHU45 pHER5B09

        psB1218 PSHR0085b R2143b S10003 (#5.4) F12

    (40) pEAP07A03 pPAP09G04a pPAP12C02 PRC0008 PRC0015b PRC0057b PRC0160 PRC0250 PRC0345b PRC1071
    (40) PRC1176 pSB0051 pSB0064 pSB0413a pSB0415 pSB0419b pSB0535b* pSB0640 pSB07a pSB0787a
    (40) pSB08164 pSB0846 pSB0866 pSB08806 pSB0980 pSB1070c pSB1248 pSB137b pSB1408b pSB1448a
    (40) pSB1450a pSB1454 pSB1529 pSB1556a pSB1600 pSB1677d pSB1732 pSB1779b pSB1813c RG482b
    (40) R2777b SH014a SH070b (40.8) PRC0313 PRC1119b
```

```
LINKAGE GROUP I
                                                                                             (107 cM, 216 Loci)
                              - CSU698 FLS2 pSB0115% pSB1438 RZ508
 0
3.1 pPAP01A02 <sup>(1.9)</sup> RG433a

4.6 M345 <sup>(1.9)</sup> PRC1213

6.9 pPAP08B06

9.2 A&ST090c pSB0632 pSB1511% <sup>(10)</sup> C1338 CSU679b CSU680b

10.8 pSB1689 pSHR0186.4

12.3 CD00344c <sup>(1.1.1)</sup> pSHR0149.1a
                              - pPAP01A02 (3.9) RG433a
- M345 (5.4) PRC1213
 23.1

24.6

AEST127a CSU391 CSU419 HHU04% (25.4) PRC0128 pSB1185

26.2

pSB1771% (26.9) pSB1459

28.5

CSU758

pSB1470 (30.8) CD00226c
 33.1 ____ pSB1791% (3).9) CSU377b pSB1418
 38.5 p$B0355 p$B0373
40 c$U056 M815 (40.8) p$B0761b
42.3 p$B03014 (43.3) p$B0142
44.7 p$Rc1090 (45.4) p$HR0122 2b
47 p$R0742
44.7 PRC1090 <sup>(45.4)</sup> pSHR0122.2b

7 pBB0742

48.5 thals PRC2956b RZ682

50 cD00078c CD00095b PH100.43#isco PRC0168a pSB1161 pSB1403 pSHR0164.2 UHC114 <sup>(10) 4)</sup> see below

51.6 pSB10147b pSB0127 pSB05184 <sup>(12,4)</sup> c0235

54.7 ARST126 CD00017 CSU559 CSU646 HHU02 PRC0155 pSB0596 PSB0703 pSB0707 pSB1633a R3235

AEST123a CD0101b CSU059 HN037b H443a pHER5H01 pPAP07H07c pPAFICO11P PRC0095 PRC0211a see below

57.7 DbM05b H180b PRC131 PRC1204 pSB0428b

59.3 pSB1050 <sup>(60)</sup> ARST03 pPAP10H07a pSB0767b pSB1684 R2588

59.8 pPAP06G10 PRC0231 pSB0746a pSB1311a pSB13844 pSB1822b pSHR0085a S01520a

52.4 pSB0520a <sup>(61)</sup> pSB0526a

53.9 5C04A07 pSB0005 <sup>(64,7)</sup> PRC1207

FRC1091 <sup>(64)</sup> AIST0190a PRC0190a PRC0302

67.4 HHU37d PRC1150b <sup>(61,7)</sup> PRC0404

59.3 PRC1157b

70.8 PRC1157b pSHR0082 pSHR0182a pSHR0123.5a <sup>(71.6)</sup> R2002

72.4 PRC1157a pSHR0080 pSHR0082a pSHR0123.5a <sup>(71.6)</sup> R2002

73.9 PRC0202 pSHB18104
 77 pSHR0116.1
78.5 AEST008a CSU415 pPAP02D06 PRC1167 RZ516

        ABSIDUE
        CEU415
        pPAP02D06
        PRC1167
        R2516

        80.8
        pSB0027a
        (*1.4)
        R2749

        83.1
        CD00475
        pSB027a
        pSB1348
        (*3.9)
        PRC0213

        85.4
        PRC0071c
        pSB053
        pSB1822a
        PRC0213

14 2 pSB17225 pSB19095
                          - HHU15 PRC1138
 103.9-
 107 AEST132 CSU407 pSB03054
             (50.8) BCD0178 CD00087 CSU147 CSU360 HHU23 ISU128 MZX19.1 pPAP03D12 pPAP09C11 PRC0237 PRC1181
(50.8) pSB0138 pSB0666 pSB1236 pS81632 pS817754 R0518 RG716
(56.2) PRC0405 PRC1190 pS8151d pS80400 pS810666 pSB1344m pSB1410 pSB1435 rSt1444 pSB1481
(56.2) pSB1655m pS81677m pS81763b rS8177m pS81813m pS81877m pSHR0071 pSHR0139 3m pSHR0163 2
(56.2) RG123 RZ144m RZ476m RZ612 SG298b UAC065 <sup>(57)</sup> PHERSF02m pSHR006 pS80157 pS8166 pS809157
```

LINKAGE GROUP J (96.3 cM, 138 Loci) 0 AEST146 (0.8) CSU061 R1985
 1.5
 C30052

 3.8
 C0356b CSU675

 6.2
 PRC1155 (6.9) R3188

 8.5
 pS802044

 10.8
 5C05E06

 13.1
 PRC0122 pS804914 pSB1401a

 14.6
 pS80541
 20 21.6 RZ028 CSU590 24.6 CSU737a (25.4) CSU608 HHUK03b 26.2 pSB0700a pSB0811 27.7 pSB0108 (28.5) pSHR0110.1 29.3 C115b S14003 (50) DM026
 Christe status
 Christe status
 Christe status

 31.6
 DM033b4 pPAP11F10b (32.3) PRC0315

 33.1
 pSB1163 R8456a

 35.4
 PRC0121 (36.3) pPAP09H08a

 37
 BCD0098 CD00099a pPAP03A08b pPAP10B05 psB1629b psHR0115.6 psHR0119.2c psHR0120.1 see below

 38.5
 Hm1b PRC0084b psB17554
 40 Hill PRCOME PAIL/1085 43.1 CSU386a pSB07164 44.7 PSB0815 (43.4) ARSTO18a 46.2 ARSTO56 (47) pPAP12C03b pSB0164 47.7 PSB0262 pSB1556 (44.3) pSB03764 50 PRC0376 \$14085 (50.4) CDSR085 pPAP10B07a PRC0046c pSB0019 pSB0464c pSB0501 pSB0533 see below 51.6 PSB0402 (52.4) Bt2 CSU542 CSU716a pPAP09A08 PRC1177 pSB0067 p5B0540% pSB0787b pSB08C7a see below 51.7 PSB0402 (52.4) Bt2 CSU542 CSU716a pPAP09A08 PRC1177 pSB0067 p5B0540% pSB0787b pSB08C7a see below 51.6 PPAP07805 pPAP09511 (51.3) CDSR29 54.7 PPAP078055 pSB0562 pSB1650 pSB0639 57.7 PPAP07805b pSB0562 pSB1658 (40) pSHR0122.2a 61.6 PRC1046 (42.4) PRC10487 pSB0760 63.9 CDSR133 66.2 SH029 57.7 SH029 66.2 ----- SHO29 67.7 HHU24a 73.9 AEST206a pSB1662 UMC085a 75.5 CD03344a CSU679a DM075 pPAP04A10 pSHR0119.2b (^{76.2}) CSU474a 77 pPAP09C04 (^{77.0}) pPAP07C09 pSB1517at 79.3 pPAP06E08 93.2 HKU20% 94.8 CSU354 (95.5) AEST144 96.3 psB0381 (37) R1683 (50.8) pSB0866c pSB1401b pSB1517b% pSB1796 (52.4) pSB0895 pSB0919 pSB1430 UMC047 (55.4) pSB0124 pSB0419c pSB1254

viously. Comparative maps of rice and maize (Ahn and Tanksley 1993) may help to link rice and sorghum using maize as a bridge. This may be extended similarly to wheat (Ahn et al. 1993). Comparative maps should make it possible to begin uniting the genetics of these species and allow for transfer of mapping information (including centromere positions) and molecularmarker resources (e.g., RFLP probes) between species. In addition, such maps should shed light on the nature of chromosome evolution that accompanied the radiation of grasses in the early stages of plant diversification.

The extent of colinearity and other aspects of genome structure in cereals were investigated by cloning Sh2 homologs from sorghum and rice using the maize Sh2 gene as a probe in screening rice and sorghum bacterial artificial chromosome libraries (Woo et al. 1994; Zhang et al. 1996). In maize, the Sh2 and Al loci are separated by about 140 kbp (Civardi et al. 1994). In both sorghum and rice, an Al homolog is near the Sh2 homologs, but the Al and Sh2 genes are about seven times closer together than in maize (Chen et al. 1997). In addition, the sorghum Al homolog was tandemly duplicated. Sequencing these regions indicated that the same genes were present in all three species, but the gene density was about one per 45 kb in maize and about one per 10 kb in sorghum and rice (Chen et al. 1998). A third gene encoding a putative transcription factor was located between these two loci, but no other sequences in the region were conserved except the genes. Comparative analysis of the orthologous adh1 regions of sorghum and maize revealed the presence of nine known or candidate genes, including adhl, in a 225-kbp maize sequence, whereas the homolog of the same nine genes was identified in colinear order along with five additional genes in a 78-kbp space in sorghum (Tikhonov et al. 1999).

Significantly, it was discovered that only the genes cross-hybridized between these two colinear segments of the sorghum and maize genomes. Intergenic regions are likely to have accumulated species-specific sequences, which prohibit prediction of physical distances between homologous genes in related species. This made the genomic cross-referencing technique (i.e., cross-hybridization between homologous segments) (Avramova et al. 1996) a better method for gene identification than either transcript identification (Avramova et al. 1995) or enrichment for singlecopy DNA (San Miguel et al. 1996). The combined *Al-Sh2* and *adh1* regions show that grasses often exhibit extensive colinearity and similar gene content at the 50- to 300-kbp level. Therefore, map-based cloning, genomic sequencing, and gene identification using the smaller rice and sorghum genomes will usually be simpler in these species than in maize, barley, or wheat. Thus, a successful and efficient way to find genes in a large region of a complex genome is to use a homologous colinear clone from another species.

To gain insight into the relationship between spatial organization of the genome and genome function, Avramova et al. (1998) identified the locations of the matrix attachment regions (MARs) in the colinear sh2/a1 homologous chromosome segments of rice and sorghum (30 and 50 kbp, respectively), which could serve as anchors for individual structural units or loops. All identified genes were placed in individual loops of comparable size for homologous genes. Hence, gene composition, gene orientation, gene order, and the placement of genes into structural units have been conserved evolutionarily in this region. Their analysis demonstrated that the occurrence of various "MAR motifs" is not indicative of MAR location. However, most of the MARs discovered in the two genomic regions were found to colocalize with miniature inverted repeat transposable elements (MITEs), suggesting that MITEs preferentially insert near MARs and/or that they can serve as MARs.

The nature, timing, and lineages of most of the genic rearrangements that have differentiated the chromosome segment that is orthologous to the maize adh1 region of sorghum, rice, and adh1 homologous region of maize, a remnant of the tetraploid history of the Zea lineage over the last 60 million years, was described by Ilic et al. (2003). The rice genome has been the most stable, sharing 11 orthologous genes with sorghum and exhibiting only one tandem duplication of a gene in this region. The lineage that gave rise to sorghum and maize acquired a two-gene insertion (containing the *adh* locus), whereas sorghum received two additional gene insertions after its divergence from a common ancestor with maize. The two homoeologous regions of maize have been particularly unstable, with complete or partial deletion of three genes from one segment and four genes from the other segment. As a result, the region now contains only one duplicated locus compared with the eight original loci that were present in each diploid progenitor. Deletion of these maize genes did not remove both copies of any locus. This study suggests that grass genomes are generally unstable in local genome

organization and gene content but that some lineages are much more unstable than others.

Maize, probably because of its polyploidy origin, has exhibited extensive gene loss so that it is now approaching a diploid state. Al toxicity is a major constraint to crop production on acidic soils. To assess the possible ancestral relationship between Al tolerance genes in the grasses, Magalhaes et al. (2004) conducted a molecular genetic analysis of Al tolerance in sorghum and integrated their findings with those from previous studies performed in crop species belonging to different grass tribes. A single locus, AltSB, was found to control Al tolerance in two highly Al-tolerant sorghum cultivars. Significant macrosynteny between sorghum and the Triticeae was observed for molecular markers closely linked to putatively orthologous Al tolerance loci present in the group 4 chromosomes of wheat, barley, and rye. However, AltSB was not located within the homoeologous region of sorghum but rather mapped near the end of sorghum chromosome 3. Thus, AltSB not only is the first major Al tolerance gene mapped in a grass species that does not belong to the Triticeae, but it also appears to be different from the major Al tolerance locus in the Triticeae. Intertribe map comparisons suggest that a major Al tolerance QTL on rice chromosome 1 is likely to be orthologous to AltSB, whereas a rice QTL on chromosome 3 is likely to correspond to the Triticeae group 4 Al tolerance loci. Therefore, this study demonstrates a clear evolutionary link between genes and QTLs encoding the same trait in distantly related species within a single plant family.

To provide a phylogenetic context to two maize genes r1 and b1, which have been a rich source for studying transposition, Swigonova et al. (2004) sequenced orthologous regions from maize and sorghum (>600 kb) surrounding these genes and compared them with the rice genome. This comparison showed that the homoeologous regions underwent complete or partial gene deletions, selective retention of orthologous genes, and migration of nonorthologous genes.

Rp1 is a complex resistance (R) locus in maize conferring race-specific resistance to a fungal pathogen, common leaf rust (*Puccinia sorghii*). A 268-kb chromosomal segment containing sorghum (*S. bicolor*) genes that are orthologous to the maize (*Zea mays*) *Rp1* disease resistance (R) gene complex was sequenced (Ramakrishna et al. 2002a) to determine structural variation for an R gene cluster that has diverged at least since the ancestral divergence of maize and sorghum. A region of approx. 27 kb in sorghum was found to contain five *Rp1* homologs, but most have structures indicating that they are not functional. In contrast, maize inbred B73 has 15 *Rp1* homologs in two nearby clusters of 250 and 300 kb. As at maize *Rp1*, the cluster of R gene homologous in sorghum is interrupted by the presence of several genes that appear to have no resistance role, but these genes were different from those found within the maize *Rp1* complex.

Conservation of gene order between sorghum and rice is well documented, which helped to enhance our understanding of cereal genome structure and evolution (Moore et al. 1995; Shimano et al. 1995; Paterson et al. 1995a). Multani et al. (1998) demonstrated that in sorghum and rice, the homologs of a pair of unlinked duplicate genes Hml and Hm2 conferring resistance to C. carbonum race 1 in maize map to two chromosomal regions that are syntenic with the regions in maize harboring these loci, indicating that they are related to maize genes by vertical descent. These results suggest that the Hm-encoded resistance is of ancient origin and probably is conserved in all grasses. A direct comparison of the genetic linkage maps of sorghum and rice was done by Ventelon et al. (2001). It was based on the mapping of a common set of 123 RFLP probes scattered on the genomes of both species. For each species a composite map was established by merging two individual maps comprising many common loci. This enabled them to confirm the global correspondence scheme that had previously been established between the chromosomes of sorghum and rice. Morishige et al. (2002) have developed a "gene-island" sequencing strategy that expedites the targeted acquisition of orthologous gene sequences from related species for comparative genome analysis. A 152-kb bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clone from sorghum (S. bicolor) encoding phytochrome A (*PHYA*) was fully sequenced, revealing 16 open reading frames with a gene density similar to many regions of the rice (Oryza sativa) genome. The sequences of genes in the orthologous region of the maize (Zea mays) and rice genomes were obtained using the gene-island sequencing method. BAC clones containing the orthologous maize and rice PHYA genes were identified, sheared, subcloned, and probed with the sorghum PHYA-containing BAC DNA. Comparative mapping of rhizomatousness between rice and Sorghum propinguum, a wild relative of cultivated Sorghum, indicated that each gene closely corresponds to two major quantitative trait loci (QTL) (Hu et al. 2003). Correspondence of these genes in rice

and sorghum, which diverged from a common ancestor ca. 50 million years ago, suggests that the two genes may be key regulators of rhizome development in many poaceae.

Sequence-based alignment of sorghum and rice chromosomes was attempted by Klein et al. (2003) for refining the sorghum genetic/physical map based on the rice genome sequence. A framework of 135 BAC contigs spanning ca. 33 Mbp was anchored to sorghum chromosome 3. A limited number of sequences was collected from 118 of the BACs and subjected to BLASTX analysis to identify putative genes and BLASTN analysis to identify sequence matches to the rice genome. Extensive conservation of gene content and order between sorghum chromosome 3 and the homologous rice chromosome 1 was observed (Fig. 4). One large-scale rearrangement was detected involving the inversion of an approx. 59-cM block of the short arm of sorghum chromosome 3. Several small-scale changes in gene colinearity were detected, indicating that single genes and/or small clusters of genes have moved since the divergence of sorghum and rice. Additionally, the alignment of the sorghum physical map to the rice genome sequence allowed sequence-assisted assembly of an approx. 1.6-Mbp sorghum BAC contig.

Using bacterial artificial chromosome sequence analysis Ramakrishna et al. (2002b) have studied four orthologous regions in barley, rice, sorghum, and wheat and observed general microcolineariry to shared genes in this region. However, three genic rearrangements were observed. First, the rice region contains a cluster of 48 predicted small nucleolar RNA genes, but the comparable region from sorghum contains no homologous loci. Second, gene 2 was inverted in the barley lineage by an apparent unequal recombination after the ancestors of barley and wheat diverged 11 to 15 million years ago (mya). Third, gene 4 underwent direct tandem duplication in a common ancestor of barley and wheat 11 to 29 mya.

A duplication or diploidization event that predates divergence of taxa from a common ancestor may account for some incongruence in "comparative maps". Specifically, if gene loss were still continuing at an appreciable rate after taxon divergence occurred, then differential gene loss in independent lineages would cause incongruities in their comparative maps. To test this possibility, Paterson et al. (2004) examined a sorghum-rice comparative map developed by BLASTing sequences from 2509 genetically mapped sorghum loci (Bowers et al. 2003) against the genome assembly. The positions of 1626 corresponding loci could be plotted based on the rice physical location and sorghum genetic location. This revealed much colinearity, with eight sorghum linkage groups (A, D, E, F, G, H, I, and J) corresponding to single rice chromosomes (1, 4, 12, 2, 5, 11, 6, and 8) and two sorghum linkage groups (B and C) differing from rice by translocations between chromosomes 7/9 and 3/10, respectively.

Sorghum and Sugarcane

The first comparison between the sorghum and sugarcane genomes was mostly indirect, in which maize was used as an intermediate, but it hinted at a large degree of synteny between the genomes of two species (D'Hont et al. 1994; Grivet et al. 1994; McIntyre et al. 2004). Grivet et al. (1994) determined the syntenic genomic regions in maize, sorghum, and sugarcane according to the existing bridge loci. The distribution of these synteny clusters closely matched the duplication pattern in maize. There appear to be common chromosome rearrangements between maize and sugarcane and between maize and sorghum. In this respect, sugarcane and sorghum appear to be more closely related than either is with maize. Distances between genes were similar in maize and sorghum, whereas sugarcane tended to display less recombination.

Existence of large colinear regions among the three species (sugarcane, maize, and sorghum) was also revealed in a study involving comparative genetic mapping between duplicated segments on maize chromosomes 3 and 8 and homologous regions in sorghum and sugarcane (Dufour et al. 1996). Their results emphasize that those duplications will considerably complicate precise comparative mapping at the whole genome scale between maize and other Poaceae. A more elaborate analysis by Dufour et al. (1997) revealed a straight synteny between two pairs of sorghum and sugarcane linkage groups and a large array of colinear probes with sugarcane along the other sorghum linkage groups (Fig. 5). Similarly, colocation of RFLP markers associated with stalk number and suckering in sugarcane with QTLs associated with tillering and rhizomatousness in sorghum was reported by Jordan et al. (2004). Guimaraes et al. (1997) also observed striking colinearity between Sorghum and Saccharum genomes.

Alignment of complex polyploid genomes of three *Saccharum* species with the compact diploid genome of sorghum (2n = 2x = 20) was also reported by

Fig. 4. Sequence-based alignment of sorghum chromosome 3 and rice chromosome 1 (Reprinted, with permission of Blackwell Publishing, from Klein et al. 2003)





Fig. 5. Comparative mapping between sorghum and sugarcane (Reprinted, with permission of Springer, from Dufour et al. 1997)



Fig. 5. (continued)

Trait	Closely linked markers	Reference
Heat smut resistance	RFLP (TXS 560)	Oh et al. (1994)
Shattering	RFLP (PSB 766 and PSB 195)	Paterson et al. (1995b)
Organophosphate insecticide reaction	RFLP (TXS 713)	Toure et al. (1997)
Awn	RFLP (SSCIR 203)	Tao et al. (1998a)
Mesocarp thickness	RFLP (TXS 636)	Tao et al. (1998a)
Juicy midrib	RFLP (CSU6 and UMC34)	Xu et al. (2000)
Red coleoptile	RFLP (UMC 44)	Xu et al. (2000)
Red pericarp	RFLP (TXS 584)	Xu et al. (2000)
Leaf blight resistance	RAPD (OPD12)	Boora et al. (1999)
Male sterility	AFLP	Wen et al. (2002)
Pollen fertility	AFLP; SSR	Klein et al. (2001)
Yield	RFLP	Jordan et al. (2003)
Downy mildew resistance	RFLP	Gowda et al. (1995)
Acremonium wilt, downy mildew,	RFLP, RAPD	Oh et al. (1996)
and smut resistance		

Table 7. Major genes tagged by molecular markers in Sorghum

Ming et al. (1998). Genetic maps of the six Saccharum genotypes, constituting up to 72 linkage groups, were assembled into homologous groups based on parallel arrangements of duplicated loci. About 84% of the loci mapped by 242 common probes were homologous between Saccharum and sorghum. One interchromosomal and two intrachromosomal rearrangements differentiated S. officinarum and S. spontaneum from sorghum, but 11 additional cases of chromosome structural polymorphism were found within Saccharum. Cross utilization of microsatellites or single sequence repeats developed from sugarcane ESTs between sugarcane and sorghum revealed lower level of polymorphism in sugarcane and a significantly higher level of polymorphism in a related genus Sorghum sp. (Cordeiro et al. 2001).

McIntyre et al. (2004) mapped a sugarcane cDNA clone with homoeology to the maize Rp1-D rust resistance gene in sorghum. The cDNA probe hybridized to multiple loci, including one on sorghum linkage group E in a region where a major rust resistance QTL had been previously mapped. Partial sorghum Rp1-D homologs were isolated from genomic DNA of rust resistance and susceptible progeny selected from a sorghum mapping population. Sequencing of the Rp1-D homologs revealed five discrete sequence classes: three from resistant progeny and two from susceptible progeny. Cluster analysis of these sorghum sequences and available sugarcane, maize, and sorghum Rp1-D homolog sequences showed that the maize Rp1-D sequence and the partial sugarcane *Rp1–D* homolog were clustered with one of the sorghum resistant progeny sequence classes.

Sorghum and Foxtail Millet

Comparative mapping revealed a very close relationship between foxtail millet (Setaria italica) with haploid chromosome n = 9 and sorghum with n = 10(Devos and Gale 1997). The difference in chromosome number is accounted for by the synteny of foxtail millet chromosome III with sorghum chromosomes E and I (Devos et al. 1998; Wang et al. 1998). Elsewhere, only one inversion was detected in sorghum chromosome D and one translocation involving foxtail millet chromosomes III and VII, which differentiate the two species.

7.3 Gene Mapping

Determination of the relative positions of genes on a DNA molecule (chromosome or plasmid) and of the distance, in linkage units or physical units, between them is critical for marker-assisted selection, gene cloning, and elucidating the functions of these genes, thereby contributing to accelerated crop improvement. Sorghum is an important target of plant genomics because of its unusual tolerance to adverse environments, a small genome (750 Mbp) relative to most other grasses, a diverse germplasm, and utility for comparative genomics with rice, maize, and other grasses. Efforts are under way for discovery and mapping of genes in sorghum (Table 7). Boora et al. (1999) analyzed the genetic basis for resistance to leaf blight, which revealed resistance was transmitted as a dominant single-gene trait. By combining the random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) technique with bulked-segregant analysis, it was possible to identify PCR amplification products that segregated with disease response. Primer OPD12 amplified a 323-bp band (D12R) that segregated with resistance.

Molecular mapping of a gene for pollen fertility in Al (milo) type cytoplasm of sorghum using AFLP and SSR marker analysis was reported by Klein et al. (2001) that will facilitate the selection of pollen fertility restoration in sorghum inbred-line development and provide the foundation for map-based gene isolation. Fifteen AFLP markers were linked to fertility restoration from the initial screening with 49 unique AFLP primer combinations (+3/+3 selective basis). As many of these AFLP markers had been previously mapped to a high-density genetic map of sorghum, the target gene (rf1) could be mapped to linkage group H. Confirmation of the map location of rf1 was obtained by demonstrating that additional linkage group-H markers (SSR, STS, AFLP) were linked to fertility restoration. The closest marker, AFLP Xtxa2582, mapped within 2.4 cM of the target loci, while two SSRs, Xtxp and Xtxp250, flanked the rf1 locus at 12 cM and 10.8 cM, respectively. Wen et al. (2002) also reported three RFLP markers suitable for mapping rf4 linked to restoration of male fertility in the sorghum IS 1112 (A3) male sterile cytoplasm.

7.4 Detection of Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL)

Quantitative phenotypes have been a major area of genetic study for over a century because they are a common feature of natural variation in populations of all eukaryotes. They include commercially important traits in crop plants and domestic animals as well as in vital traits in humans from hypertension to intelligence (Kearsey and Farquhar 1998). The first attempt to study individual determinants of quantitatively inherited characters in plants date back to Sax (1923). The studies on quantitative variation suffered from a lack of precision in the absence of complete genetic maps (Thoday 1961). This limitation was overcome with the advent of DNA markers detected as restriction fragment length polymorphism (Paterson et al. 1988). The advent of RFLPs and subsequent PCRbased markers has revolutionized the field of genetic mapping and gene identification in both animals and plants. The basis of all QTL detection is the identification of association between genetically determined phenotypes and specific genetic markers. In sorghum several QTLs have been associated with plant height (Lin et al. 1995) and pre- and postflowering drought tolerance (Tuinstra et al. 1996, 1997). Later Tao et al. (1998b) mapped four regions, each in a separate linkage group, associated with rust resistance (Table 8).

Subudhi et al. (2000) determined the consistency of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) controlling stay-green in sorghum, which is characterized by the plant's ability to tolerate postflowering drought stress by reevaluating the recombinant inbred line (RIL) mapping population from the cross $B35 \times Tx7000$ in two locations over 2 years and compared it with earlier reports. Analysis using the combined stay-green-rating means of seven environments and the expanded molecular map reconfirmed all four stay-green QTLs (Stgl, Stg2, *Stg3*, and *Stg4*) that had been identified earlier by Xu et al. (2000). Similarly, comparison of the stay-green QTL locations with earlier reported results indicated that all four stay-green QTLs showed consistency across different genetic backgrounds. Sanchez et al. (2002) also identified four genomic regions associated with the stay-green trait using an RIL population developed from $B35 \times Tx7000$, whereas Kebede et al. (2001) reported nine QTLs located over seven linkage groups for stay-green using the method of composite interval mapping. In addition, three and four major QTLs responsible for lodging tolerance and preflowering drought tolerance, respectively, were detected. Haussmann et al. (2002b) reported five to eight QTLs for the stay-green trait in two recombinant inbred populations (IS 9830 \times E 36-1 and N 13 \times E 36-1), and three QTLs present on linkage groups A, E, and G were common to both crosses.

Preharvest sprouting (PHS), one of the important agronomic problems in the production of sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] in humid climates, was studied by Lijavetzky et al. (2000). A molecular linkage map was developed using 112 molecular markers in an F_2 mapping population derived from a cross between IS 9530 (high resistance to PHS) and Redland B2 (susceptible to PHS). Two years' phenotypic data were obtained. By means of interval mapping analy-

S. no.	Trait	Population	Marker type	No. of QTLs	Reference
1	Stay green	RILs (B35 × TX7000)	RFLP, SSR, RAPD	4	Subudhi et al. 2000
2	Plant height	S. bicolor $ imes$ S. propinquum	RFLP	6	Lin et al. 1995
3	Flowering			3	
4	Pre-harvest sprouting	F2 (IS9530x Redland B2)	RFLP	2	Lijavetzky et al. 2000
5	Tiller number	BC1 and F2 (BTx623 × S. propinquum)	RFLP	4	Paterson et al. 1995a
6	Rhizomatousness			3	Paterson et al. 1995a
7	Ratooning ability			6	
8	Stay green	RILs (SC56 \times TX7000)	RFLP	9	Kebede at al. 2001
9	Lodging tolerance			3	
10	Pre-flowering drought tolerance			4	
11	Flowering time	RILs (IS2807 × TS 7680)	RFLP	1	Chantereau et al. 2001
12	Photoperiod sensitivity			2	
13	Height of main culm	RILs (BTX623 \times IS3620C)	RFLP & SSR	3	Hart et al. 2001
14	Tallest basal tiller height			2	
15	Number of basal tillers			2	
16	Panicle length			3	
17	Panicle width			7	
18	Leaf angle			3	
19	Maturity			2	
20	Awn length			1	
21	Greenbug resistance and tolerance	RILs (GBIK \times Redlan)	SSR and RAPDs	9	Agrama et al. 2002
22	Staygreen	RILs (IS9830 × e36-1 and N13 × H36-1)	AFLP, RFLP, SSR, RAPD	5-8	Haussmann et al. 2002b
23	Staygreen	RILs (B35 \times TX70000)	-	4	Sanchez et al. 2002
24	Midge resistance	RILs (ICSV 745 × 90562)	RFLP SSR	2	Tao et al. 2003
	(Antixamosis)				
25	Striga hermonthica	RIPs (IS9830 \times E36-1 and N13 \times E36-1)	RFLP AFLP SSRs	11 (RIP1) 9 (RIP2)	Haussmann et al 2004
26	Grain mold	RTx430x Sureno	-	5	Rooney and Klein 2000
27	Rust Resistance	QL 39 \times QL 41	RFLP	4	Tao et al. 1998b

Table	8.	List of QTI	s identified	in	sorghum
-------	----	-------------	--------------	----	---------

sis, two significant QTLs were detected in two different linkage groups with LOD scores of 8.77 and 4.39. Each of these two QTLs individually explained ca. 53% of the phenotypic variance, but together, in a two-QTL model, they explained 83% of the phenotypic variance with a LOD score of 12.37.

The plant vp1 gene, which encodes a transcription factor originally identified in maize, participates in the control of the transition from embryogenesis to seed germination. Different lines of evidence suggest that vp1 participates in preharvest sprouting resistance in cereals. Carrari et al. (2003) studied the connection between *vp1* and formerly documented QTLs (Lijavetzky et al. 2000) for PHS in sorghum. Linkage analysis revealed that the sorghum *vp1* (*sbvp1*) locus is linked to markers on chromosomes 3 and 8 in maize, and this gene is not correlated with PHS.

Chantereau et al. (2001) investigated the genetic control of flowering time in sorghum using a recombinant inbred line population derived from a cross between IS 2807, a slightly photoperiod-sensitive tropical caudatum landrace, and TS 7680, a highly photoperiod-sensitive tropical guinea landrace. Emphasis was placed on identifying the most relevant traits to account for basic vegetative phase (BVP) and photoperiod sensitivity *sensus stricto*. One QTL was detected on linkage group (LG) F for the traits related to BVP. Two QTLs were detected on LGs C and H for the traits related to the photoperiod sensitivity *sensus stricto*. For nine morphological traits, including the presence vs. the absence and the height of basal tillers, number of tillers, plant height, and time of anthesis, Hart et al. (2001) mapped a minimum of 27 unique QTLs.

For resistance and tolerance to green bug (Schizaphids grami-num Rondani) biotypes I and K, Agrama et al. (2002) mapped 113 markers (38 SSRs and 75 RAPDs) in 12 linkage groups covering 1,530 cM. In general, nine QTLs were detected affecting both resistance and tolerance to green bug (GB) biotypes I and K. The phenotypic variance explained by each QTL ranged from 5.6 to 38.4%. For green bug biotypes C, E, I, and K, Katsar et al. (2002) also reported at least nine loci, dispersed on eight linkage groups. Tao et al. (2003) identified two and one quantitative trait loci associated with two of the mechanisms of midge resistance, antixenosis, and antibiosis, respectively, in an RI population from the cross of sorghum lines ICSV745 \times 90562. Haussmann et al. (2004) detected 11 and nine QTLs in two recombinant inbred populations IS9830 \times E 36-1 and N13 \times E36-1, respectively, for resistance to Striga hermonthica

Comparative Mapping of QTLs

Conversion of gene order along the chromosomes is well known to transgress species boundaries, but the extent of correspondence in the QTLs that account for variation in complex phenotypes has been a point of conjuncture. Paterson et al. (1995b) hypothesized that if QTLs in separate taxa mapped to corresponding locations more often than would be expected by chance, such a finding would strongly suggest that corresponding genes were involved in the evolution of the relevant phenotypes. They tested the hypothesis by assessing correspondence between QTLs that affect seed mass, temperate (day-neutral) flowering, and disarticulation of the mature inflorescence (shattering) in crosses between divergent sorghum, Oryza and Zea taxa. Three QTLs that affect seed mass (size) correspond closely in sorghum, rice, and maize, and at least five additional QTLs correspond between two of these genera. Among seven QTLs that account for 52% of phenotypic variance explained (PVE) in sorghum

seed mass, five (on linkage groups A, C, E, F, and I) correspond to five of the eight QTLs that account for 78% of PVE in rice. Four of the sorghum QTLs (on linkage groups A, B, C, and F) correspond to four of the eight QTLs that account for 69% of PVE in maize. Five maize QTLs correspond to rice QTLs. Only four QTLs (two on maize chromosome 2, one on rice chromosome 5, and one on sorghum LG J) showed no correspondence. The probability that seed mass QTLs in sorghum, rice, and maize would correspond so frequently by chance is conservatively estimated as 0.1 to 0.8%. QTLs that affect seed dispersal show similar correspondence across taxa. Shattering mapped to a single locus (ca. 100% PVE) in sorghum, three loci (24% PVE) in rice, and ten loci (60% PVE) in maize. The discrete sorghum locus corresponds to rice QTLs on chromosome 9 and to maize QTLs on duplicated regions of chromosomes 1 and 5. Rice QTLs on chromosomes 2 and 3 correspond to maize QTLs on chromosome 4 and 1. Six additional QTLs influence shattering in maize but not in rice or sorghum.

The ability of many cultivated cereals to flower in the long days of summer temperatures may be largely the result of mutations at a single ancestral locus. Sorghum LG D QTL (probably Ma1) explains about 86% of PVE in flowering time and accounts for the dichotomy of F_2 phenotypes in our day-neutral (S. *bicolor*) \times short-day (S. *propinguum*) cross. It also accounts for short-day flowering in each of the five races of S. bicolor (Lin et al. 1995). Short-day flowering of sugarcane is closely associated with the DNA probe PSB188 (Paterson et al. 1995b), which lies near Ma1. The corresponding region of maize chromosome 10 accounts for up to 26% of PVE in the flowering of a temperate/tropical cross (Koester et al. 1993). The corresponding region in wheat and barley, the short arm of the group 2 homologs, all harbor photoperiodic flowering mutants (Laurie et al. 1994). In rice, the orthologous (directly descended from a common ancestral locus) region on chromosome 4 harbors no known flowering mutants; however, short-day flowering mutations Se1 and Se3 both map to a region of chromosome 6 (Mackill et al. 1993; Causse et al. 1994), that is, are orthologous to sorghum LG I and paralogous (derived by duplication and subsequent divergence from a common ancestral locus) to the sorghum LG D region of Ma1. The Sel/Se3 region of rice corresponds to a region of maize chromosome 9 that harbors QTLs that affect flowering in at least four populations (Lin et al. 1995). This model implies ancient duplication of regions of maize chromosomes 9 and 10 and regions of rice chromosomes 4 and 6 equivocally supported by the correspondence of *Pi2* and *Pi5t* genes that influence rice blast reaction (Causse et al. 1994). These day-length-insensitive flowering mutations are not in any of at least three genes for phytochrome, a key regulator of photomorphogenesis (Paterson et al. 1995b).

Comparative mapping has provided the basis for parallel investigations of other genetic factors. The first report of detection of orthologous QTLs with the greatest effects on seed weight in mungbean and cowpea was provided by Fatokun et al. (1992). In a similar manner, comparative mapping in maize and sorghum has revealed four putatively orthologous regions for plant height (Pereira and Lee 1995; Lee 1996) and other possible instances of orthologous QTL included regions for maturity and tillering. The putative orthologous regions for plant height are on linkage group A and the long arm of chromosome 1, D and chromosome 5, E and the long arm of chromosome 6, H and chromosome 9 of the sorghum linkage map and maize chromosome, respectively. The regions of the maize plant height QTL also contain genetic loci defined by mutants with qualitative effects on stature, such as *br1* and *an1* on chromosome 1, *na1* and *td1* on chromosome 5, py1 on chromosome 6, and d3 on chromosome 9. The effects of some of these maize mutants strongly resemble those of the sorghum plant height QTL and dw loci. At least three of the maize loci, an1, br1, and d3, have been tagged with transposons or cloned by various laboratories. These sequences could be used to isolate the related gene from sorghum and further assess the degree and nature of conservation between these two genomes. In sorghum, each region has a major effect on that trait and on a unique suite of other traits (e.g., tillering, panicle dimensions, leaf length, and width), much like some of the dw loci in sorghum. Interestingly, plant height mutants at maize genetic loci in related regions have pleiotropic effects on some of the same combinations of traits as the sorghum QTL and the candidate dw loci. Possible duplication of QTLs that affect the height of sorghum and maize has also been reported (Lin et al. 1995).

Evidence for several other orthologous regions has also been provided through comparative QTL analysis (Lee 1996). For example, a region of linkage group A (*isu033* to *isul23*) was strongly associated with tillering and production of lateral branches. This region of the sorghum genome is most closely related to the long arm of chromosome 1 of maize. This region of the maize genome is the site of a genetic locus, *tb1*. The mutant phenotype at that locus is characterized by the production of many tillers and lateral branches in a manner strongly resembling the tillering QTL in sorghum. Other possible instances of orthologous QTL included regions for maturity. These observations suggest that the conservation of the maize and sorghum genomes encompass sequence homology, colinearity, and function despite their divergence millions of years ago and subsequent evolution in different hemispheres with contrasting ecogeographical conditions. Thus, comparative QTL mapping provides a means to unify, and thereby simplify, molecular analysis of complex phenotypes.

7.5 Marker-Assisted Breeding

7.5.1 Marker Conversions

Molecular markers help unravel patterns of diversity in crops and their wild relatives. DNA markers are used to evaluate the genetic variation in gene banks as well as to identify phylogenetic and molecular structure of crops and their associated wild species. Molecular-assisted genetic analysis provides a means to locate and select genes controlling important agronomic, pest-resistance, stress-tolerance, and food quality traits.

For leaf blight resistance, Boora et al. (1999) developed RAPD primer OPD12, and a 332-bp PCR band has been converted into SCAR, which resulted in the amplification of a single major band of the predicted size from all the resistant F_2 progeny and the resistant parent SC326-6, but not from BT × 623 or 24 of 29 susceptible F_2 progeny. The SCAR primers also amplified a single band with DNA from TS3620C, the female parent in a cross with BT × 623 that has been used to produce a recombinant inbred population for RFLP mapping. An equivalent band was amplified from all 137 recombinant inbred progeny, indicating that organelle DNA is the amplification target in this cross.

The gene *rf4* restores fertility in IS1112 (A3) male sterile cytoplasm, for which three AFLP markers were identified and subsequently converted to STS/CAPS markers, two of which are codominant (Wen et al. 2002). Markers LW8 and LW9 were used to screen sorghum BAC libraries to identify the genomic region encoding rf4. A contig of BAC clones flanking the LW9 marker represents seed clones on linkage group E, from which fine mapping of the rf4 locus and chromosome mapping can be initiated.

7.5.2 Marker-Assisted Selection

Conventional plant breeding is primarily based on phenotypic selection of superior individuals among segregating progenies resulting from hybridization. Although significant strides have been made in crop improvement through phenotypic selections for agronomically important traits, considerable difficulties are often encountered during this process primarily due to genotype-environment interactions. Molecular-marker-assisted selection (MAS) involves selection of plants carrying genomic regions that are involved in the expression of traits of interest through molecular markers. With the development and availability of an array of molecular markers and dense molecular genetic maps in crop plants, MAS has become possible for traits governed by both major genes and by quantitative trait loci (QTLs).

Grain mold caused by Curvularia lunata (Wakker) Boedijn is a serious disease on sorghum especially when grain development coincides with wet and warm weather conditions. Rooney and Klein (2000) identified five QTLs on linkage groups D, E, F, G, and I using a mapping population consisting of 125 F₅ RILs from a cross between $RT \times 430 \times Sureno$. Five populations were developed using Sureno as grain mold resistant parent. From each cross, F₂ progeny were selected based on maturity and short plant height. A total of 1,000 $F_{2:3}$ lines were evaluated for agronomic desirability and grain mold resistance. From this evaluation, a total of 100 F_{3:4} lines were selected and advanced. In the F₄ generation, an array of molecular markers linked to the sorghum grain mold QTL was screened. To test the effectiveness of MAS, lines from each population were classified for QTL marker alleles at each of the five loci. This comparison indicated that only one of the five QTLs enhanced selection for grain mold resistance. The presence of the Sureno allele in LG-F enhanced mold resistance. MAS was clearly effective in the population derived from crosses with $RT \times 430$ since these QTLs were developed in this population (Rooney and Klein 2000).

Drought is another major limiting factor in sorghum productivity. Moisture stress during both

pre- and postflowering stages reduces sorghum yield drastically. Therefore, improvement in both preand postflowering drought tolerance is necessary to improve and stabilize productivity of sorghum in stress environments. Subudhi et al. (2000) have identified QTLs for stay-green, postflowering drought tolerance trait using three random inbred lines (RILs). Near-isogenic lines (NILs) for stay-green QTLs have been developed using MAS to dissect the QTL regions and to determine the effect of QTLs in stress environments.

Jordan et al. (2003) investigated the value of molecular-marker-based distance information to identify high-yielding grain sorghum hybrids in Australia. Data from 48 trials were used to produce hybrid performance estimates for four traits (yield, height, maturity, and stay-green) for 162 hybrid combinations derived from 70 inbred parent lines. Each line was screened with 113 mapped RFLP markers. The researchers utilized the concept of using diversity on linkage groups to predict hybrid performance. Using data from just two linkage groups, 38% of the variation in hybrid performance for grain yield could be explained. A model combining phenotypic trait data and parental diversity on particular linkage groups explained 71% of the variation in grain yield and has potential for use in the selection of heterotic hybrids.

7.6 Physical Mapping in Sorghum

Molecular physical mapping will provide an invaluable, readily accessible system for many detailed genetic studies. The development of large DNA fragment (>100 kb) manipulation and cloning technologies, such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), and yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) (Burke et al. 1987) and bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) (Shizuya et al. 1992) cloning have provided the powerful tools needed to generate molecular physical maps for genomes of higher organisms. Once generated, the physical map will provide a virtually unlimited number of DNA markers from any chromosomal region for gene tagging, gene manipulation, and genetic studies. It will also provide an online framework for studies in genome molecular structure, genome organization, evolution, and gene regulation. The identification, isolation, characterization, and manipulation of genes will become far more user feasible than ever before. The physical map, therefore, will become central to all types of genetic and molecular enquiry and manipulation, including genome analysis, gene cloning, and crop improvement.

The first construction and characterization of a 2.7 imes BAC library from S. bicolor cultivar BT imes 623 with 13,440 ordered clones and average insert size of 157 kbp was reported by Woo et al. (1994). Sorghum inserts of up to 315 kbp were isolated and shown to be stable when grown for over 100 generations in liquid media. No chimeric clones were detected as determined by fluorescence in situ hybridization of 10 BAC clones to metaphase and interphase S. bicolor nuclei. Lin et al. (1999) constructed and characterized a 6.6× BAC library of Sorghum propinguum, with 38,016 clones and average insert size of 126 kbp. This wild relative of sorghum has been utilized in RFLP linkage mapping and QTL analysis of many important traits related to domestication and productivity (Chittenden et al. 1994; Lin et al. 1995; Paterson et al. 1995a,b). Further, S. propinguum appears to have been the ancestor that conferred many "weediness" traits to johnsongrass (S. helepense) and so offers opportunities to pursue new dimensions in agricultural research (Paterson et al. 1995a). This S. propinquum library is a valuable complement to an established S. bicolor BAC library (Woo et al. 1994) for the cloning of genes associated with domestication and many other traits. Six traits related to domestication were analyzed in the F_2 of a cross between S. *bicolor* cultivar BT \times 623 and S. propinguum. S. propinguum possessed most of the dominant alleles at five traits (grain shattering, plant height, flowering time, tiller number, and rhizomatousness). Dominant and additive alleles have an advantage over recessive alleles in physical mapping, and the testing of candidate DNA sequences for mutant complementation requires that the candidate sequence be genetically dominant or additive. Thus, BAC libraries of wild species offer unique advantages for map-based cloning that harbor dominant and additive alleles for many traits of agronomic importance. Bowers et al. (2001) reported their efforts toward the construction of two physical maps of sorghum based on a 6 \times coverage BAC library of *S. propinguum* and 14× coverage BAC library of S. bicolor. Markers from a 2,600-loci RFLP-based genetic map of sorghum are being used to probe the BAC libraries either as individual plasmid probes or by using synthetically designed overgo probes. Attempts at constructing robust physical maps of sorghum using a high-density RFLP map

as a framework were also reported by Draye et al. (2001); such a map is being assembled by integrating hybridization and fingerprint data with comparative data from related taxa such as rice and using new methods to resolve genomic duplications into locus-specific groups. By taking advantage of allelic variation revealed by heterologous probes, the positions of corresponding loci on the wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), rice, maize, sugarcane, and Arabidopsis genomes are being interpolated on the sorghum physical map. Bacterial artificial chromosomes for the small genome of rice are shown to close several gaps in the sorghum contigs. Characterwise positional cloning efforts are discussed below.

Seed dispersal via disarticulation of inflorescence, or shattering, is an important agronomic trait contributing to significant yield loss in many common cereal crops. Isolation of shattering genes can enhance our understanding of the seed dispersal process and perhaps help us to reduce grain losses. Lin (1998) focused on positional cloning of the sorghum shattering gene, Sh1, and used substitution mapping to narrow down the chromosome segment associated with Shl to 0.8 cM. Based on these data, Shl cosegregates with RZ474 and is flanked by pSB097 and BCD1072b. These three RFLP markers were used to screen the S. propinguum BAC library. Twelve BAC clones with an average size of 113 kbp were identified, and nine of them formed a contig spanning the region of pSB097 and RZ474 (Shl). Wise et al. (2002) also screened the S. propinguum BAC library with DNA markers closely linked to sh1 for the fine mapping of a chromosomal segment associated with sh1. Interval mapping showed that sh1 cosegregated with one marker, SOG0128, that is located between markers SOG0251 and SOG1273 in a genetic interval of 0.42 cM. Thirteen BACs that hybridized markers in the region formed one contig. One BAC, 39E21, spanned a large part of the contig with SOG0251 at one end, and the sh1 cosegregation marker SOG0128 near the middle. Sequencing revealed this BAC to be 220 kb in size. But the researchers were unable to extend the BAC contig at satisfactory stringency to include the BAC hybridizing marker SOG1273.

Lin (1998) studied characteristics of photoperiodic-sensing genes in sorghum, a short-day plant, focusing on positional cloning of the sorghum photoperiodic flowering gene, *Ma*1. Previous work on comparative mapping of flowering-time QTLs in the Poaceae has revealed that *Ma1* may be homologous to sugarcane, maize, barley, and wheat photoperiodic flowering genes and paralogous to rice photoperiodic flowering genes. Substitution mapping was used to narrow down the chromosomal segment containing *Ma1* to 0.5 cM. The two most closely linked RFLP markers, pSB1113 and CDSR084, were used to screen a *S. propinquum* BAC library. These two markers hybridized to ten BAC clones with an average size of 190 kbp, which set the stage for chromosome walking to clone *Mal*. Positional cloning and subsequent analysis of the sorghum photoperiodic flowering gene will pave the way to understanding how photoperiodic genes regulate flowering in response to day length.

Stay-green is an important postflowering drought resistance trait in sorghum. With the objective of isolating the drought resistance genes in sorghum, markers linked to stay-green QTLs (Xu et al. 2000) were used for screening the BAC libraries in Henry Nguyen's laboratory. Several positive BAC clones corresponding to the stay-green QTL 1 and 2 regions were identified, and these positive BACs fall entirely into five contigs. Simultaneously, large mapping populations have been developed using near-isogenic lines for the stay-green QTL regions for fine mapping. Identification of BACs in conjunction with the NIL mapping populations will be a useful starting point for chromosome walking toward the stay-green genes.

The liguleless (*lg-1*) linkage group is a highly conserved region of the rice and maize genome (Ahn and Tanksley 1993). Zwick et al. (1998) used fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) for physical mapping of BACs to analyze the *liguleless (lg-1)* linkage group in sorghum and compared it to the conserved region in rice and maize. Six *liguleless*-associated rice RFLP markers were used to select 16 homoeologous sorghum BACs, which were in turn used to physically map the liguleless linkage group in sorghum. Results show a basic conservation of the *liguleless* region in sorghum relative to the linkage map of rice. Selected BACs, representing RFLP loci, were end-cloned for RFLP mapping, and the relative linkage order of these clones was in full agreement with the physical data. Similarities in locus order and the association of RFLP-selected BAC markers with two different chromosomes were found to exist between the linkage map of the liguleless region in maize and the physical map of the *liguleless* region in sorghum.

Fertility restorer gene *Rf1* in sorghum is very important because of its critical role in hybrid seed production. Klein et al. (2004) utilized four BAC libraries from two unique sorghum genotypes to create an in-

tegrated genetic, physical, and cytological map of the sorghum genome targeting Rf1 gene for positional cloning. Initial cytological examination of this genomic region suggested that the physical size of the trait locus was amenable to positional cloning. A minimum tiling path of BAC clones spanning the Rf1 locus was subsequently assembled. A key feature in physical map closure in the Rf1 region was the exploitation of the synteny between rice and sorghum to identify sorghum BACs that span gaps in the sorghum physical map. A 0.5-Mbp genomic region surrounding Rf1 was sequenced. The development of a high-resolution map for the Rf1 locus was accomplished in part by identifying sequence polymorphisms in overlapping BACs derived from two unique sorghum genotypes. The culmination of these efforts was the identification of a member of the pentatricopeptide repeat gene family that cosegregates with Rf1.

Development of modified cDNA selection protocol to aid the discovery and mapping of genes across an integrated genetic and physical map of the sorghum genome has been reported by Childs et al. (2001). BAC DNA from the sorghum genome map was isolated and covalently bound in arrayed tubes for efficient liquid handling. Amplifiable cDNA sequence tags were isolated by hybridization to individual sorghum BACs, cloned, and sequenced. Analysis of a fully sequenced sorghum BAC indicated that about 80% of known or predicted genes were detected in the sequence tags, including multiple tags from different regions of individual genes. Data from cDNA selection using the fully sequenced BAC indicate that the occurrence of mislocated cDNA tags is very low. Analysis of 35 BACs (5.25 Mb) from sorghum linkage group B revealed (and therefore mapped) two sorghum genes and 58 sorghum ESTs. Additionally, 31 cDNA tags that had significant homologies to genes from other species were also isolated. The modified cDNA selection procedure described will be useful for genomewide gene discovery and EST mapping in sorghum and for comparative genomics of sorghum, rice, maize, and other grasses.

7.7 Structural Genomics

Structural genomic resources for *S. bicolor* (L.) Moench were applied by Islam-Faridi et al. (2002) to target and develop multiple molecular cytogenetic

probes that would provide extensive coverage for a specific chromosome of sorghum. Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones containing molecular markers mapped across sorghum linkage group A were labeled as probes for fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Signals from single-, dual-, and multiprobe BAC-FISH to spreads of mitotic chromosomes and pachytene bivalents were associated with the largest sorghum chromosome, which bears the nucleolus organizing region (NOR). The order of individual BAC-FISH loci along the chromosome was fully concordant with that of marker loci along the linkage map. In addition, the order of several tightly linked molecular markers was clarified by FISH analysis. The FISH results indicated that markers from the linkage map positions 0.0 to 81.8 cM reside in the short arm of chromosome 1 whereas markers from 81.8 to 242.9 cM are located in the long arm of chromosome 1. The centromere and NOR were located in a large heterochromatic region that spans \sim 60% of chromosome 1. In contrast, this region represents only 0.7% of the total genetic map distance of this chromosome. Variation in recombination frequency among euchromatic chromosomal regions also was apparent. The integrated data underscore the value of cytological data because minor errors and uncertainties in linkage maps can involve huge physical regions. The successful development of multiprobe FISH cocktails suggests that it is feasible to develop chromosome-specific "paints" from genomic resources rather than flow sorting or microdissection and that, when applied to pachytene chromatin, such cocktails provide an especially powerful framework for mapping. Such a molecular cytogenetic infrastructure would be inherently crosslinked with other genomic tools and thereby establish a cytogenomics system with extensive utility in development and application of genomic resources, cloning, transgene localization, development of plant "chromonomics", germplasm introgression, and marker-assisted breeding. In combination with previously reported work, the results indicate that a sorghum cytogenomics system would be partially applicable to other gramineous genera but recent publication by Kim et al. (2004) has changed this notion completely. They have used FISH-based karyotyping in metaphase chromosomes of elite inbred BT \times 623 to estimate the molecular size and to establish a size-based nomenclature for sorghum chromosomes. This size-based nomenclature for BT \times 623 represents a reasonable choice as the standard

for a unified chromosome nomenclature. Adoption of such a common reference for nomenclature of sorghum chromosomes and a related nomenclature for linkage groups would definitely facilitate development of gramineous genomics, e.g., by enhancing communication between research groups and data usage across genome maps. The unified nomenclature system for chromosomes and linkage groups of line BT \times 623 provides a reasonable basis for a genomic nomenclature for S. bicolor in that this line is readily available, highly inbred, and extensively used for genetic, breeding, and genomics research. However, caution must be exercised in applying the nomenclature to other mapping endeavors because the incidence of structural rearrangements in sorghum is inadequately studied, so it remains reasonably likely that genomes of mapping parents differ structurally (Kim et al. 2004)

7.8 Functional Genomics

The complete sequence of the Arabidopsis [Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Hyenh.] and rice (Oryza sativa L.) genomes ushered plant biology into the postgenomic era. From being largely a genetic black box, the genome sequence is revealing all the possible genes that make up a flowering plant. Now the goal for plant biologists in the postgenome era is to understand the function of every gene and how individual gene products interact and contribute to major plant processes. This new challenge for plant functional genomics is destined to become the most difficult hurdle in plant biology and requires the systematic application of global molecular approaches integrated through bioinformatics. Several tools are now required to decipher gene function including the traditional methods of random mutagenesis, gene knockout and silencing, and the new high-throughput "omic" disciplines of transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics. In the last few years, new techniques for the global analysis of gene expression (including microarrays and DNA chips) using thousands of sequences at a time have been rapidly changing the way to do research to determine gene expression and function for both basic and applied objectives. This shift from the analysis of one gene at a time to thousands at a time has created opportunities to dramatically increase the rate of gene discovery in higher plants and animals. For

an important agronomic crop such as sorghum, the traits of interest include preharvest sprouting, shattering, flowering and fertility, nutritional quality, disease and insect resistance, photosynthesis, drought tolerance, and many others.

7.8.1 Development of ESTs

Expressed sequence tags (ESTs) are currently the most widely sequenced nucleotide commodity from plant genomes in terms of the number of sequences and the total nucleotide count. ESTs provide a robust sequence resource that can be exploited for gene discovery, genome annotation, and comparative genomics (Rudd 2003). To date, 190,949 ESTs in *S. bicolor*, 21,387 in *S. propinquum*, and 1,641 in *S. halepense* (Johnsongrass) have been submitted to GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/dbEST/dbEST_summary.html; as of 26 November 2004) from various global EST sequencing projects.

7.8.2 Gene Function Analysis

With the advancement of bioinformatics, sequence analysis of molecular probes to assign function has been realized. Schloss et al. (2002) collected and analyzed DNA sequence data for 789 previously mapped RFLP probes from S. bicolor (L.) Moench. DNA sequences, comprising 894 nonredundant contigs and end sequences, were searched against three Gen-Bank databases, nucleotide (nt), protein (nr), and EST (dbEST), using BLAST algorithms. Matching ESTs were also searched against nt and nr. Translated DNA sequences were then searched against the conserved domain database (CDD) to determine if functional domains/motifs were congruent with the proteins identified in previous searches. More than half (500/894 or 56%) of the query sequences had significant matches in at least one of the GenBank searches. Overall, proteins identified for 148 sequences (17%) were consistent among all searches, of which 66 sequences (7%) contained congruent coding domains.

The 3-deoxyanthocyanidins, a unique class of flavonoid phytoalexins, have been reported to be synthesized in sorghum in response to fungal infection. Lo et al. (2002) studied the biosynthetic pathways for 3-deoxyflavonoids, which are known to involve transcriptional activation of chalcone synthase (CHS). CHS, or naringenin CHS, catalyzes the formation of naringenin, the precursor for different flavonoids. They have isolated seven sorghum CHS genes, CHS17, from a genomic library on high-density filters. CHS1 7 genes are highly conserved and closely related to the maize C2 and Whp genes. Several of them are also linked in the genome. These findings suggest that they are the result of recent gene-duplication events. Expression of the individual CHS genes was studied in silico by examination of EST data available in the public domain. Analyses suggested that CHSl 7 genes were not differentially expressed in the various growth and developmental conditions represented by the cDNA libraries used to generate the EST data. However, a CHS-like gene, CHS8, was identified with significantly higher EST abundance in the pathogeninduced library. CHS8 shows only 81 to 82% identity to CHSl 7 and forms a distinct subgroup in the phylogenetic analysis. In addition, the active site region contains substitutions that distinguish CHS8 from naringenin CHS. The researchers proposed that CHS8 has evolved new enzymatic functions that are involved in the synthesis of defense-related flavonoids, such as the 3-deoxyanthocyanidins, during fungal infection.

Complete sequences of mitochondrial (mt) genomes or chondrions are now available from Arabidopsis thaliana. As a consequence of recombination, the order and localization of mitochondrial genes differ largely among plant chondrions. But cotranscripts for two mt genes, nad3 and rps12, are conserved within angiosperms and also in gymnosperms. The nad3 gene codes for a subunit of the mitochondrial NADH-ubichinonoxidoreductase complex, while the rps12 gene product is a protein of the mitochondrial small ribosomal subunit. Howad and Kempken (1997) have cloned and sequenced the nad3-rps12 genes from S. bicolor. The DNA sequence was very similar to known sequences from wheat or maize. Both genes were cotranscribed. A total of 17 RNA editing sites in *nad3* and six editing sites in rps12 were detected. Cotranscripts exhibited a low degree of RNA editing, which was the same in four different fertile and cytoplasmic male sterile lines. In contrast to atp6 RNA editing, no cell-type specific loss of RNA editing was observed.

Photosynthesis depends upon the strict compartmentalization of the CO_2 -assimilatory enzymes of the C_4 and Calvin cycle in two different cell types, mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells. A differential accumulation is also observed for enzymes of other metabolic

pathways, and mesophyll and bundle-sheath chloroplasts of NADP-malic enzyme type C4 plants differ even in their photosynthetic electron transport chains. A large number of studies indicate that this division of labor between mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells is the result of differential gene expression. To investigate the extent of this differential gene expression and thus gain insight into the genetic basis of C₄ photosynthesis, Wyrich et al. (1998) cataloged genes that are differentially expressed in the mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells in the NADP-malic enzyme type C₄ grass S. bicolor. A total of 58 cDNAs were isolated by differential screening. Using a tenfold difference in transcript abundance between mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells as a criterion, 25 cDNAs were confirmed to encode mesophyll-specific gene sequences, and eight were found to encode bundlesheath-specific sequences. Eight mesophyll-specific cDNAs showed no significant similarities within Gen-Bank and may therefore represent candidates for the elucidation of hitherto unknown functions in the differentiation of mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells. The chromosomal location of 50 isolated cDNAs was determined by RFLP mapping using an interspecific sorghum cross.

Bak et al. (1998) have isolated a cDNA encoding the multifunctional cytochrome P450, CYP71EI, involved in the biosynthesis of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin from S. bicolor (L.) Moench. A PCR approach based on three consensus sequences of A-type cytochromes P450 - (V/T) KEX (L/F) R, FXPERF, and PFGXGRRXCXG - was applied. Three novel P450 cytochromes (CYP71E1, CYP98, and CYP99), in addition to a PCR fragment encoding sorghum cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase, were obtained. Reconstitution experiments with recombinant CYP71E1 heterologously expressed in Escherichia coli and sorghum NADPH-cytochrome P450-reductase in L-a-dilaurylphosphatidyl choline micelles identified CYP71E1 as the P450 cytochrome that catalyzes the conversion of p-hydroxyphenylacetaldoxime top-hydroxymandelonitrile in dhurrin biosynthesis. In accordance with the proposed pathway for dhurrin biosynthesis, CYP71E1 catalyzes the dehydration of the oxime to the corresponding nitrile, followed by a C-hydroxylation of the nitrile to produce p-hydroxymandelonitrile. In vivo administration of oxime to E. coli cells results in the accumulation of the nitrile, which indicates that the flavodoxin/flavodoxin reductase system in E. coli is only able to support CYP71E1 in the dehydration reaction and not in the subsequent C-hydroxylation reaction. CYP79 catalyzes the conversion of tyrosine to p-hydroxyphenylacetaidoxime, the first committed step in the biosynthesis of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin. Reconstitution of both CYP79 and CYP7 IE1 in combination with sorghum NADPH-cytochrome P450-reductase resulted in the conversion of tyrosine to p-hydroxymandelonitrile, i.e., the membranous part of the biosynthetic pathway of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin. Isolation of the cDNA for CYP71E1 together with the previously isolated cDNA for CYP79 provided important tools necessary for the tissue-specific regulation of cyanogenic glucoside levels in plants to optimize food safety and pest resistance.

Preharvest sprouting (PHS) in sorghum is related to the lack of a normal dormancy level during seed development and maturation. Carrari et al. (2001) used a PCR-based approach to isolate two S. bicolor genomic and cDNA clones from two genotypes exhibiting different PHS behavior and sensitivity to abscisic acid (ABA). The two 699 amino-acid-predicted protein sequences differ in two residues at positions 341 (Gly or Cys within the repression domain) and 448 (Pro or Ser) and show over 80, 70, and 60% homology to maize, rice, and oat vp1 proteins, respectively. Expression analysis of the sorghum vp1 gene in the two lines shows a slightly higher level of vp1 mRNA in the embryos susceptible to PHS than in those resistant to PHS during embryogenesis. However, timing of expression was different between these genotypes during this developmental process. Whereas for the former the main peak of expression was observed at 20 d after pollination (DAP), the peak in the latter was found at later developmental stages when seed maturation was almost complete. Under favorable germination conditions and in the presence of fluridone (an inhibitor of ABA biosynthesis), sorghum *vp1* mRNA proved to be consistently correlated with sensitivity to ABA but not with ABA content and dormancy.

Sorghum is attacked by *Colletrotrichum sublineolum*, which causes leaf blight. Goodwin et al. (2004) analyzed the types of genes being expressed and their level of expression by conducting single-pass, partial sequencing of cDNA clones to generate expressed sequence tags (ESTs). They compared expressed sequence tag redundancy between EST collections from resistant and susceptible *S. bicolor* inoculated with *C. sublineolum*. Differences in expressed sequence redundancy between interactions included a greater abundance of heat shock protein ESTs in the susceptible interaction and a greater abundance of cystine proteinase ESTs in the resistant interaction.

7.9 Future Prospects

Population trends predict increasing food needs, while progress in developmental and genomic plant sciences offer new opportunities for crop improvement. Sorghum is an important target for molecular genetic studies because of its adaptation to harsh environments, diverse germplasm collection, smaller genome size, and value for comparing the genomes of grass species such as corn, rice, and sugarcane. Concerted efforts over the past one and a half decades have greatly helped in the construction of integrated and highly saturated molecular maps in sorghum, and the majority of the agronomically important genes have been tagged. Successful utilization of this information in sorghum genetic improvement has not yet been realized. This is largely due to lack of application of marker information in marker-assisted breeding. Molecular breeders must reassess their strategies and design efficient MAS programs to augment efforts in breeding for better plant types to meet the growing needs of modern agriculture.

The most noted accomplishment is in the filed of comparative genomics as sorghum stands central in the Andropogoneae tribe. Sorghum has also served as a model to bridge the comparative analysis between the grass relatives. Conservation of gene order across cereal genomes is evident from several studies. However, very little information is available on chromosome walking and positional cloning of agriculturally important genes in sorghum to facilitate isolation of orthologous genes in the related crop species and vice versa. Physical mapping efforts were initiated (Woo et al. 1994; Lin 1998; Klein et al. 2000; Bowers et al. 2001) and are near completion, which will eventually provide innumerable number of DNA markers from any chromosomal region for map-based gene isolation and a better understanding of genome organization, evolution, and gene regulation.

Recent programs to understand the function of every gene and how individual gene products interact and contribute to major plant processes resulted in the development and deposition of 190,949 sorghum ESTs in GenBank. Utilization of corresponding cDNA clone libraries in large-scale expression profiling will prove to be a valuable resource for gene discovery implicated in plant development processes, disease and insect resistance, drought tolerance, and nutritional qualities.

With the availability of these efficient molecular biology tools in hand, there is a great potential for the exploitation of large genetic diversity as yet untapped so far in sorghum. Furthermore, application of novel gene-combining techniques has the potential to meet the challenges of increasing the productivity of sorghum.

Acknowledgement. We thank Frank A. Feltus and John E. Bowers of the Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, USA, for their critical reading of the manuscript and R.L. Ravikumar, Associate Professor (Genetics and Plant Breeding) UAS, Dharwad, India, for providing information on classical breeding achievements.

References

- Agrama A, Widle E, Reese C, Campbell R, Tuinstra R (2002) Genetic mapping of QTLs associated with greenbug resistance and tolerance in *Sorghum bicolor*. Theor Appl Genet 104:1373–1378
- Ahn SN, Tanksley SD (1993) Comparative linkage maps of the rice and maize genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:7980-7984
- Ahn SN, Anderson JA, Sorrels ME, Tanksley SD (1993) Homoeologous relationships of rice, wheat and maize chromosomes. Mol Gen Genet 241:483–490
- Arumunganathan K, Earle ED (1991) Nuclear DNA content of some important species. Plant Mol Biol Rep 9:208–218
- Avramova Z, SanMiguel P, Georgieva E, Bennetzen JL (1995) Matrix attachment regions and transcribed sequences within a long chromosomal continuum containing maize Adh1. Plant Cell 7:1667–1680
- Avramova Z, Tikhonov A, SanMiguel P, Jin YK, Liu C, Woo SS, Wing RA, Bennetzen JL (1996) Gene identification in a complex chromosomal continuum by local genomic cross-referencing. Plant J 10:1163–1168
- Avramova Z, Tikhonov A, Chen M, Bennetzen JL (1998) Matrix attachment regions and structural collinearity in the genomes of two grass species. Nucleic Acids Res 26:761–767
- Bak S, Kahn RA, Nielsen HL, Moller BL, Halkier BA (1998) Cloning of three A-type cytochromes P450, CYP71E1, CYP98, and CYP99 from Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench by a PCR approach and identification by expression in Escherichia coli of CYP71E1 as a multifunctional cytochrome

P450 in the biosynthesis of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin. Plant Mol Biol 36:393–405

- Bennetzen JL, Freeling M (1993) Grasses as a single genetic system: genome composition, collinearity, and compatibility. Trends Genet 9:259–261
- Bhattramakki D, Donj J, Chhabra AK, Hart GE (2000) An integrated SSR and RFLP linkage map of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Genome 43:988–1002
- Binelli GL, Gianfranceschi L, Pe ME, Taramino G, Busso C, Stenhouse J, Ottaviano E (1992) Similarity of maize and sorghum genomes as revealed by maize RFLP probes. Theor Appl Genet 84:10–16
- Boivin K, Deu M, Rami J-F, Trouche G, Hamon P (1999) Towards a saturated sorghum map using RFLP and AFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 98:320–328
- Boora KS (1999) A molecular marker that segregates with sorghum leaf blight resistance in one cross is maternally inherited in another. Mol Gen Genet 261:317-322
- Bowers JE, Burow GB, Kaivin C, Draye X, Hooks CA, Lemke C, Marler B, Presting GG, Begum D, Blackmon B, Wing RA, Paterson AH (2001) Development of a BAC based physical map of sorghum. In: Plant and Animal Genome IX Conf, San Diego
- Bowers JE, Abbey C, Anderson S, Chang C, Draye X, Hoppe AH, Jessup R, Lemke C, Lennington J, Li Z, Lin YR, Liu SC, Luo L, Marler BS, Ming R, Mitchell SE, Qiang D, Reischmann K, Schulze SR, Skinner DN, Wang YW, Kresovich S, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (2003) A high-density genetic recombination map of sequence-tagged sites for sorghum, as a framework for comparative structural and evolutionary genomics of tropical grains and grasses. Genetics 165:367–86
- Brown MS (1943) Haploid plants in sorghum. J Hered 34:163-166
- Burke DT, Carle GFa, Olson MV (1987) Cloning of large segments of exogenous DNA into yeast by means of artificial chromosome vectors. Science 236:805-811
- Carrari F, Perez-Flore L, Lijavetzky D, Enciso S, Sanchez R, Benech-Arnold R, Iusem N (2001) Cloning and expression of a sorghum gene with homology to maize *vp1*. Its potential involvement in pre-harvest sprouting resistance. Plant Mol Biol 45:631–640
- Carrari F, Benech-Arnold R, Osuna-Fernandez R, Hopp E, Sanchez R, Iusem N, Lijavetzky D (2003) Genetic mapping of the *Sorghum bicolor vp1* gene and its relationship with preharvest sprouting resistance. Genome 46:253-8
- Causse MA, Fulton TM, Cho YG, Ahn SN, Chunwongse J, Wu K, Xiao J, Yu Z, Ronald PC, Harrington SE et al (1994) Saturated molecular map of the rice genome based on an interspecific backcross population. Genetics 138:1251–74
- Celarier RP (1959) Cytotaxonomy of the andropogoneae. III. Sub-tribe Sorgheae, genus, Sorghum. Cytologia (Tokyo) 21:272
- Chantereau J, Trouche G, Rami JF, Deu M, Barro C, Grivet L (2001) RFLP mapping of QTLs for photoperiod response in tropical sorghum. Euphytica 120:183–194

- Chen M, San Miguel P, de Oliveira AC, Woo SS, Zhang H, Wing RA, Bennetzen JL (1997) Microcolinearity in *sh2*homologous regions of the maize, rice, and sorghum genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:3431–3435
- Chen M, SanMiguel P, Bennetzen JL (1998) Sequence organization and conservation in sh2/a1-homologous regions of sorghum and rice. Genetics 148:435–43
- Childs KL, Klein RR, Klein PE, Morishige DT, Mullet JE (2001) Mapping genes on an integrated sorghum genetic and physical map using cDNA selection technology. Plant J 27:243–55
- Chittenden LM, Schertz KF, Lin YR, Wing RA, Paterson AH (1994) A detailed RFLP map of Sorghum bicolor × Sorghum propinquum, suitable for high density mapping, suggests ancestral duplication of sorghum chromosomes or chromosomal segments. Theor Appl Genet 87:925–933
- Civardi L, Xia Y, Edwards KJ, Schnable PS, Nikolau BJ (1994) The relationship between genetic and physical distances in the cloned *a1-sh2* interval of the *Zea mays* L. genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:8268–72
- Cordeiro GM, Casu R, McIntyre CL, Manners JM, Henry RJ (2001) Microsatellite markers from sugarcane (Saccharum spp.) ESTs cross transferable to erianthus and sorghum. Plant Sci 160:1115–1123
- Crasta OR, Xu WW, Rosenow DT, Mullet J, Nguyen HT (1999) Mapping of post-flowering drought resistance traits in grain sorghum: association between QTLs influencing premature senescence and maturity. Mol Gen Genet 262:579–588
- de Wet JMJ (1978) Systematics and evolution of sorghum sect. Sorghum (Gramineae). Am J Bot 65:477–484
- Devos KM, Gale MD (1997) Comparative genetics in the grasses. Plant Mol Biol 35:3–15
- Devos KM, Milan T, Gale MD (1993) Comparative RFLP maps of the homoeologous group-2 chromosomes of wheat, rye, and barley. Theor Appl Genet 85:784–792
- Devos KM, Wang ZM, Beales J, Sasaki T, Gale MD (1998) Comparative genetic maps of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) and rice (*Oryza sativa*). Theor Appl Genet 96:63–68
- D'Hont A, Lu YH, Gonzalez-dey-Leon D, Grivet L, Geldmen P, Lanaud C, Glaszmann JC (1994) A molecular approach to unravelling the genetics of sugarcane, a complex polyploid of the Andropogoneae tribe. Genome 37:222–230
- Doggett H (1976) Sorghum. In: Simmonds NW (ed) Evolution in Crop Plants. Longman, Essex, UK, pp 112–117
- Doggett H (1988) Sorghum, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York
- Draye X, Lin YR, Qian XY, Bowers JE, Burow GB, Morrell PL, Peterson DG, Presting GG, Ren SX, Wing RA, Paterson AH (2001) Toward integration of comparative genetic, physical, diversity, and cytomolecular maps for grasses and grains, using the sorghum genome as a foundation. Plant Physiol 125:1325–41
- Dufour P, Grivet L, D'Hont A, Deu M, Trouche G, Glaszmann JC, Hamon P (1996) Comparative genetic mapping between duplicated segments on maize chromosomes 3 and 8 and

homoeologous regions in sorghum and sugarcane. Theor Appl Genet 92:1024–1030

- Dufour P, Deu M, Grivet L, D'Hont A, Paulet F, Bouet A, Lanaud C, Glaszmann JC, Hamon P (1997) Construction of a composite sorghum genome map and comparison with sugarcane, a related complex polyploid. Theor Appl Genet 94:409–418
- Endrizzi JE, Morgan DTJ (1955) Chromosomal interchanges and evidence for duplication in haploid *Sorghum vulgare*. J Hered 46:201–208
- Fatokun CA, Menancio-Hautea DI, Danesh D, Young ND (1992) Evidence for orthologous seed weight genes in cowpea and mungbean based on RFLP mapping. Genetics 132:841-846
- Garber ED (1950) Cytotaxonomic studies in the genus sorghum. Univ California Publ Bot 23:283–362
- Gomez MI, Islam-Faridi MN, Woo S, Schertz KF, Czeschin DG, Zwicj MS Jr, Wing RA, Stelly DM, Price HJ (1997) FISH of a maize sh2-selected sorghum BAC to chromosomes of Sorghum bicolor. Genome 40:475–478
- Goodwin PH, Oliver RP, Hsiang T (2004) Comparative analysis of expressed sequence tags from *Malva pusilla*, *Sorghum bicolor*, and *Medicago truncatula* infected with *Colletotrichum* species. Plant Sci 167:481–489
- Gowda PSB, Magill CW, Frederiksen RA, Xu GW (1995) DNA markers for downey mildew resistance genes in sorghum. Genome 38:823–826
- Grant WF (1987) Genome Differentiation in Higher Plants. Academic, London
- Grivet L, D'Hont A, Dufour P, Hamon P, Roques D, Glaszmann JC (1994) Comparative genome mapping of sugarcane with other species within the andropogoneae tribe. Heredity 73:500–508
- Gu MH, Ma HT, Liang GH (1984) Karyotype analysis of seven species in genus sorghum. J Hered 75:196–202
- Guimaraes CT, Sills GR, Sobral BWS (1997) Comparative mapping of Andropogoneae: *Saccharum* L. (sugarcane) and its relation to sorghum and maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:14262–14266
- Harlan JR, de Wet JMJ (1972) A simplified classification of cultivated sorghum. Crop Sci 12:172
- Hart GE, Schertz KF, Peng Y, Syed NH (2001) Genetic mapping of Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench QTLs that control variation in tillering and other morphological characters. Theor Appl Genet 103:1232–1242
- Haussmann G, Hess E, Seetharama N, Welz G, Geiger H (2002a)
 Construction of a combined sorghum linkage map from two recombinant inbred populations using AFLP, SSR, RFLP, and RAPD markers, and comparison with other sorghum maps. Theor Appl Genet 105:629–637
- Haussmann BI, Mahalakshmi V, Reddy BV, Seetharama N, Hash CT, Geiger HH, Haussmann G, Hess E, Welz G, Geiger H (2002b) QTL mapping of stay-green in two sorghum recombinant inbred populations. Theor Appl Genet 106:133-142

- Haussmann BI, Hess DE, Omanya GO, Folkertsma RT, Reddy BV, Kayentao M, Welz HG, Geiger HH (2004) Genomic regions influencing resistance to the parasitic weed *Striga hermonthica* in two recombinant inbred populations of sorghum. Theor Appl Genet 109:1005–16
- Helentjaris T (1993) Implications for conserved genomic structure among plant species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:8308-8309
- Howad W, Kempken F (1997) Cell type-specific loss of atp6 RNA editing in cytoplasmic male sterile *Sorghum bicolor*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:11090–11095
- Hu FY, Tao DY, Sacks E, Fu BY, Xu P, Li J, Yang Y, McNally K, Khush GS, Paterson AH, Li ZK (2003) Convergent evolution of perenniality in rice and sorghum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:4050–4054
- Hulbert S, Richter T, Axtell J, Bennetzen J (1990) Genetic mapping and characterization of sorghum and related crops by means of maize DNA probes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87:4251–4255
- Huskins CL, Smith SG (1932) A cytological study of the genus sorghum Pers. I. The somatic chromosomes. J Genet 25:241–249
- Ilic K, SanMiguel PJ, Bennetzen JL (2003) A complex history of rearrangement in an orthologous region of the maize, sorghum, and rice genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:12265–12270
- Islam-Faridi MN, Childs KL, Klein PE, Hodnett G, Menz MA, Klein RR, Rooney WL, Mullet JE, Stelly DM, Price HJ (2002) A molecular cytogenetic map of sorghum chromosome 1. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis with mapped bacterial artificial chromosomes. Genetics 161:345–353
- Jackson RC (1984) Chromosome pairing in species and hybrids. In: Grant WF (ed) Plant Biosystematics. Academic, Toronto, pp 67–86
- Jordan DR, Tao Y, Godwin ID, Henzell RG, Cooper M, McIntyre CL (2003) Prediction of hybrid performance in grain sorghum using RFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 106:559–67
- Jordan DR, Casu RE, Besse P, Carroll BC, Berding N, McIntyre CL (2004) Marker associated with stalk number and suckering in sugarcane colocate with tillering and rhizomatousness QTLs in sorghum. Genome 47:988–993
- Katsar CS, Paterson RH, Teetes GL, Peterson GC (2002) Molecular analysis of sorghum resistance to the greenbug (Homoptera: Aphididae). J Econ Entomol 95:448–457
- Kearsey MJ, Farquhar AG (1998) QTL analysis in plants; where are we now? Heredity 80 (Pt 2):137–142
- Kebede H, Subudhi PK, Rosenow DT, Nguyen HT (2001) Quantitative trait loci influencing drought tolerance in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor L. Moench*). Theor Appl Genet 103:266–276
- Kim JS, Childs KL, Islam-Faridi MN, Menz MA, Klein RR, Klein PE, Price HJ, Mullet JE, Stelly DM (2002) Integrated karyotyping of sorghum by *in situ* hybridization of landed BACs. Genome 45:402–412

- Kim JS, Klein PE, Klein RR, Price HJ, Mullet JE, Stelly DM (2004) Chromosome identification and nomenclature of *Sorghum bicolor*. Genetics 104.035980
- Klein PE, Klein RR, Cartinhour SW, Ulanch PE, Dong J, Obert JA, Morishige DT, Schlueter SD, Childs KL, Ale M, Mullet JE (2000) A high-throughput AFLP-based method for constructing integrated genetic and physical maps: progress toward a sorghum genome map. Genome Res 10:789–807
- Klein RR, Klein PE, Chhabra AK, Dong J, Pammi S, Childs KL, Mullet JE, Rooney WL, Schertz KF (2001) Molecular mapping of the *rf1* gene for pollen fertility restoration in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.). Theor Appl Genet 102:1206–1212
- Klein PE, Klein RR, Vrebalov J, Mullet JE (2003) Sequence-based alignment of sorghum chromosome 3 and rice chromosome 1 reveals extensive conservation of gene order and one major chromosomal rearrangement. Plant J 34:605–21
- Klein RR, Klein PE, Stelly DM, JE M (2004) Positional cloning of the sorghum fertility restoration gene *rf1* utilizing large insert DNA libraries and associated genomics technology. In: Plant and Animal Genome XII Conf, San Diego, CA, USA
- Koester RP, Sisco PH, Stuber CW (1993) Identification of quantitative trait loci controlling days to flowering and plant height in to near isogenic lines of maize. Crop Sci 33:1209–1216
- Kong L, Dong J, Hart GE (2000) Characteristics, linkage-map positions, and allelic differentiation of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench DNA simple-sequence repeats (SSRs). Theor Appl Genet 101:438–448
- Laurie DA, Bennett MD (1985) Nuclear DNA content in the genera Zea and Sorghum: intergeneric, interspecific, and intraspecific variation. Heredity 55:307–313
- Laurie DA, Pratchett N, Bezant JH, Snape JW (1994) Genetic analysis of a photoperiod response gene on the short arm of chromosome 2(2H) of *Hordeum vulgare* (Barley). Heredity 72:619–627
- Lee M (1996) Comparative genetic and QTL mapping in sorghum and maize. In: Heslop-Harrison JS (ed) Unifying Plant Genomes, Symp Soc Exp Biol, No 50, The Company of Biologists, Cambridge, UK, pp 31–38
- Lijavetzky D, Martinez MC, Carrari F, Hopp E (2000) QTL analysis and mapping of pre-harvest sprouting resistance in sorghum. Euphytica 112:125–135
- Lin Y (1998) Construction of Sorghum propinquum BAC library, towards positional cloning of sorghum shattering gene (Sh1) and the sorghum photoperiodic gene (Ma1). PhD Thesis, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX
- Lin YR, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (1995) Comparative analysis of QTLs affecting plant height and maturity across the Poaceae, in reference to an interspecific sorghum population. Genetics 141:391–411
- Lin YR, Zhu L, Ren S, Yang J, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (1999) A Sorghum propinquum BAC library, suitable for cloning

genes associated with loss-of-function mutations during crop domestication. Mol Breed 5:511–520

- Lo C, Coolbaugh RC, Nicholson RL (2002) Molecular characterization and in silico expression analysis of a chalcone synthase gene family in *Sorghum bicolor*. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 61:179–188
- Mackill DJ, Salam MA, Wang ZY, Tanksley SD (1993) A major photoperiod-sensitivity gene tagged with RFLP and isozyme markers in rice. Theor Appl Genet 85:536–540
- Magalhaes JV, Garvin DF, Wang Y, Sorrells ME, Klein PE, Schaffert RE, Li L, Kochian LV (2004) Comparative mapping of a major aluminum tolerance gene in sorghum and other species in the poaceae. Genetics 167:1905–1914
- Mann JA, Kimber CT, Miller FR (1983) The origin and early cultivation of sorghums in Africa, Bulletin No. 1454. Texas A & M University, College Station, TX
- McIntyre CL, Hermann SM, Casu RE, Knight D, Drenth J, Tao Y, Brumbley SM, Godwin ID, Williams S, Smith GR, Manners JM (2004) Homologues of the maize rust resistance gene *Rp1-D* are genetically associated with a major rust resistance QTL in sorghum. Theor Appl Genet 109:875–883
- Melake-Berhan A, Hulbert SH, Butler LG, Bennetzen JL (1993) Structure and evolution of the genomes of *Sorghum bicolor* and *Zea mays*. Theor Appl Genet 86:598–604
- Menz MA, Klein RR, Mullet JE, Obert JA, Unruh NC, Klein PE (2002) A high-density genetic map of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench based on 2926 AFLP, RFLP and SSR markers. Plant Mol Biol 48:483–99
- Ming R, Liu SC, Lin YR, da Silva J, Wilson W, Braga D, van Deynze A, Wenslaff TF, Wu KK, Moore PH, Burnquist W, Sorrells ME, Irvine JE, Paterson AH (1998) Detailed alignment of Saccharum and Sorghum chromosomes: comparative organization of closely related diploid and polyploid genomes. Genetics 150:1663–1682
- Moore G, Devos KM, Wang Z, Gale MD (1995) Grasses, line up and form a circle. Curr Biol 5:737–739
- Morishige DT, Childs KL, Moore LD, Mullet JE (2002) Targeted analysis of orthologous phytochrome A regions of the sorghum, maize, and rice genomes using comparative gene-island sequencing. Plant Physiol 130:1614–1625
- Multani DS, Meeley RB, Paterson AH, Gray J, Briggs SP, Johal GS (1998) Plant-pathogen microevolution: molecular basis for the origin of a fungal disease in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:1686–1691
- Oh BJ, Frederiksen RA, Magill CW (1994) Identification of molecular markers linked to head smut resistance gene (*Shs*) in sorghum by RFLP and RAPD analyses. Phytopathology 84:830–833
- Oh BJ, Frederiksen RA, Magill CW (1996) Identification of RFLP markers linked to a gene for downy mildew resistance (*Sdm*) in sorghum. Can J Bot 74:315
- Paterson AH, Lander ES, Hewitt JD, Peterson S, Lincoln SE, Tanksley SD (1988) Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete linkage map

of restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Nature 335:721-726

- Paterson AH, Schertz K, Lin Y, Liu S, Chang Y (1995a) The Weediness of wild plants: molecular analysis of genes influencing dispersal and persistence of Johnsongrass, *Sorghum halepense* (L.). Proc Natl Acad of Sci USA 92:6127-6131
- Paterson AH, Lin YR, Li Z, Schertz KF, Doebly JF, Pinson SRM, Liu SC, Stansel JW, Irvine JE (1995b) Convergent domestication of cereal crops by independent mutations at corresponding genetic loci. Science 269:1714–1718
- Paterson AH, Lan TH, Reischmann KP, Chang C, Lin YR, Liu SC, Burow MD, Kowalski SP, Katsar CS, DelMonte TA, Feldman KA, Schertz KF, Wendel JF (1996) Toward a unified genetic map of higher plants, transcending the monocot-dicot divergence. Nat Genet 14:380–382
- Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Chapman BA (2004) Ancient polyploidization predating divergence of the cereals, and its consequences for comparative genomics. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:9903–9908
- Peng Y, Schertz KF, Cartinhour S, Hart GE (1999) Comparative genome mapping of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench using an RFLP map constructed in a population of recombinant inbred lines. Plant Breed 118:225–235
- Pereira MG, Lee M (1995) Identification of genomic regions affecting plant height in sorghum and maize. Theor Appl Genet 90:380–388
- Pereira MG, Lee M, Bramel-Cox P, Woodman W, Doebley J, Whitkus R (1994) Construction of an RFLP map in sorghum and comparative mapping in maize. Genome 37:236–243
- Peterson DG, Schulze SR, Sciara EB, Lee SA, Bowers JE, Nagel A, Jiang N, Tibbitts DC, Wessler SR, Paterson AH (2002) Integration of Cot analysis, DNA cloning, and high-throughput sequencing facilitates genome characterization and gene discovery. Genome Res 12:795–807
- Price HJ, Dillon SL, Hodnett G, Rooney W, Ross L (2005) Genome evolution in the genus *Sorghum* (Poaceae). Ann Bot 95:219–227
- Raghab RA, Dronvalli S, Saghai Maroof MA, Yu YG (1994) Construction of sorghum RFLP linkage map using sorghum and maize DNA probes. Genome 37:590–594
- Ramakrishna W, Emberton J, San Miguel P, Ogden M, Llaca V, Messing J, Bennetzen JL, Dubcovsky J, Park YJ, Busso C (2002a) Comparative sequence analysis of the sorghum Rph region and the maize *Rp1* resistance gene complex. Plant Physiol 130:1728–1738
- Ramakrishna W, Dubcovsky J, Park YJ, Busso C, Emberton J, San Miguel P, Bennetzen JL (2002b) Different types and rates of genome evolution detected by comparative sequence analysis of orthologous segments from four cereal genomes. Genetics 162:1389–1400
- Rooney WL, Klein RR (2000) Potential of marker-assisted selection for improving grain mold resistance in sorghum. ICRISAT, Patancheru, India, pp 183–194

- Rudd S (2003) Expressed sequence tags: alternative or complement to whole genome sequences? Trends Plant Sci 8:321-329
- San Miguel P, Tikhonov A, Jin YK, Motchoulskaya N, Zakharav D, Melake-Berhan A, Sprienger P, Edwards K, Lee M, Avramova Z, Bennetzen JL (1996) Nested retrotransposons in the inter-genic regions of the maize genome. Science 274:765–768
- Sanchez AC, Subudhi PK, Rosenow DT, Nguyen HT (2002) Mapping QTLs associated with drought resistance in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). Plant Mol Biol 48:713–726
- Sax K (1923) The association of size differences with seed-coat pattern and pigmentation in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Genetics 8:552–560
- Schloss J, Mitchell E, White M, Kukatla R, Bowers E, Paterson H, Kresovich S (2002) Characterization of RFLP probe sequences for gene discovery and SSR development in *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Theor Appl Genet 105:912–920
- Shimano T, Inove T, Antonio B, Kajiya H, Shomura A, Yang Lin S, Kuboki Y, Nagamura N, Yano M, Sasaki S (1995) Extensive conservation in linkage alignement of RFLP markers between rice chromosomes 11 and 12. In: Plant Genome III Conf, San Diego
- Shizuya H, Birren B, Kim U, Mancino V, Slepak T, Tachiiri Y, Simon M (1992) Cloning and stable maintenance of 300kilobase-pair fragments of human DNA in *Escherichia coli* using an F-factor-based vector. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:8794–8797
- Snowden JD (1936) Cultivated Races of Sorghum. Adlard & Sons, London
- Stebbins GL (1971) Chromosomal Evolution of Higher Plants. Edward Arnold, London
- Subudhi PK, Nguyen HT (2000) Linkage group alignment of sorghum RFLP maps using a RIL mapping population. Genome 43:240-249
- Subudhi PK, Rosenow DT, Nguyen HT (2000) Quantitative trait loci for the stay green trait in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench): consistency across genetic backgrounds and environments. Theor Appl Genet 101:733–741
- Sun Y, Skinner DZ, Liang GH, Hulbert SH (1994) Phylogenetic analysis of sorghum and related taxa using internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Theor Appl Genet 89:26–32
- Swigonova Z, Bennetzen JL, Messing J (2004) Structure and evolution of the r/b chromosomal regions in rice, maize, and sorghum. Genetics 2004: doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.034629
- Tanksley SD, Bernatzky R, Lapitan NL, Prince JP (1988) Conservation of gene repertoire but not gene order in pepper and tomato. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:6419–6423
- Tanksley SD, Young ND, Paterson AH, Bonierbale MW (1989) RFLP mapping in plant breeding: new tool for an old science. Bio/Technology 7:257–264
- Tanksley SD, Ganal MW, Prince JP, deVincente MC, Bonierbale MW, Broun P, Fulton TM, Giovannoni JJ, Grandilo S,

Martin GB, Messenger R, Miller L, Paterson AH, Pineda O, Roder MS, Wing RA, Wu W, Young ND (1992) High density molecular linkage maps of the tomato and potato genomes. Genetics 132:1141–1160

- Tao YZ, Henzell RG, McIntyre CL (1998a) Construction of a genetic map in a sorghum RIL population using probes from different sources and its comparison with other sorghum maps. Aust J Agric Sci 49:729–736
- Tao YZ, Jordan DR, Henzell RG, McIntyre CL (1998b) Identification of genomic regions for rust resistance in sorghum. Euphytica 103:287–292
- Tao YZ, Henzell RG, Jordan DR, Butler DG, Kelly AM (2000) Identification of genomic regions associated with slay green in sorghum by testing RILs in multiple anvironments. Theor Appl Genet 100:1225–1232
- Tao YZ, Hardy A, Drenth J, Henzell RG, Franzmann BA, Jordan DR, Butler DG, McIntyre CL (2003) Identifications of two different mechanisms for sorghum midge resistance through QTL mapping. Theor Appl Genet 107:116–22
- Taramino G, Tarchini R, Ferrario S, Lee M, Pe ME (1997) Characterization and mapping of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in *Sorghum bicolor*. Theor Appl Genet 95:66–72
- Teutonico RA, Osborn TC (1994) Mapping of RFLP and quantitative trait loci in Brassica rapa and comparison to the linkage maps of *B. napus*, *B. oleracea*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Theor Appl Genet 89:885–893
- Thoday JM (1961) Location of polygenes. Nature 191:368-369
- Tikhonov AP, San Miguel PJ, Nakajima Y, Gorenstein NM, Bennetzen JL, Avramova Z (1999) Colinearity and its exceptions in orthologous adh regions of maize and sorghum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:7409–7414
- Toure A, Xu W, Rosenow DT, Peterson GC, Nguyen HT (1997) Inheritance of insecticide phytotoxicity in sorghum. In: Plant and Animal Genome V Conf, San Diego
- Tuinstra MR, Grote EM, Goldsbrough PB, Ejeta G (1996) Identification of quantitative trait loci associated with pre-flowering drought tolerance in sorghum. Crop Sci 36:1337-1344
- Tuinstra MR, Grote EM, Goldsbrough PB, Ejeta G (1997) Genetic analysis of post-flowering drought tolerance and components of grain development in *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Mol Breed 3:439–448
- USDA (2004) Sorghum Production, Consumption, Exports, and Imports Statistics – 2004. http://www.usda.gov/wps/ portal/usdahome
- Vasil V, Castillo AM, Fromm ME, Vasil IK (1994) Herbicide resistant fertile transgenic wheat plants obtained by mi-

croprojectile bombardment of regenerable embryogenic callus. Bio/Technology 10:667-674

- Ventelon M, Deu M, Garsmeur O, Doligez A, Ghesquière A, Lorieux M, Rami JF, Glaszmann JC, Grivet L (2001) A direct comparison between the genetic maps of sorghum and rice. Theor Appl Genet 102:379–386
- Wang ZM, Devos KM, Liu CJ, Wang RQ, Gale MD (1998) Construction of RFLP-based maps of foxtail millet, *Setaria italica* (L.) P. Beauv. Theor Appl Genet 96:31–36
- Wen L, Tang HV, Chen W, Chang R, Pring DR, Klein PE, Childs KL, Klein RR (2002) Development and mapping of AFLP markers linked to the sorghum fertility restorer gene *rf4*. Theor Appl Genet 104:577–585
- Whitkus R, Doebley J, Lee M (1992) Comparative genome mapping of sorghum and maize. Genetics 132:119–130
- Wise MG, Schulze SR, Lin YR, Bowers JE, Okuizumi H, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (2002) Progress toward the positional cloning of the sorghum grain shattering gene (*sh1*). In: Plant, Animal & Microbe Genomes X Conf, San Diego
- Woo SS, Jiang J, Gill BS, Paterson AH, Wing RA (1994) Construction and charaterization of a bacterial artificial chromosome library for *sorghum bicolor*. Nucleic Acids Res 22:4922–4931
- Wyrich R, Dressen U, Brockmann S, Streubel M, Chang C, Qiang D, Paterson AH, Westhoff P (1998) The molecular basis of C4 photosynthesis in sorghum: isolation, characterization and RFLP mapping of mesophyll- and bundlesheath-specific cDNAs obtained by differential screening. Plant Mol Biol 37:319–35
- Xu GW, Magill CW, Schertz KF, Hart GE (1994) A RFLP linkage map of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Theor Appl Genet 89:139–145
- Xu W, Subudhi PK, Crasta OR, Rosenow DT, Mullet JE, Nguyen HT (2000) Molecular mapping of QTLs conferring staygreen in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor L.* Moench). Genome 43:461–469
- Yu H, Liang GH, Kofoid KD (1991) Analysis of C-banding chromosome patterns of sorghum. Crop Sci 31:1524–1527
- Zhang HB, Choi SD, Woo SS, Li Z, Wing RA (1996) Construction and characterization of two rice bacterial artificial chromosome libraries from the parents of a permanent recombinant inbred mapping population. Mol Breed 2:11–14
- Zwick MS, Islam-Faridi MN, Czeschin DG Jr, Wing RA, Hart GE, Stelly DM, Price HJ (1998) Physical mapping of the liguleless linkage group in *Sorghum bicolor* using rice RFLPselected sorghum BACs. Genetics 148:1983–1992