# **7 Sorghum**

Hari P. Singh<sup>1,2</sup> and H.C. Lohithaswa<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA *e-mail*: hpsingh@uga.edu

<sup>2</sup> N.D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, 224264, India

<sup>3</sup> University of Agricultural Sciences, Krishinagar, Dharwad, Karnatka, 580005, India

## **7.1 Introduction**

Sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] is the fifth most important cereal crop, after wheat, rice, maize, and barley. A largely self-pollinated crop, it is grown on over 40 million hectares (USDA 2004) in both temperate and tropical regions. Sorghum is mainly grown as a rainfed crop by subsistence farmers in the semiarid tropical regions of Africa and Asia as well as by other farmers in the USA and Latin America. It is a suitable crop for drought and heat-stressed environments and can be grown from sea level to elevations in excess of 300 m, in high rainfall areas, in semiarid regions, and in different seasons.

## **7.1.1 Center of Origin**

The origin of sorghum, an African grass, and its diversification into five major races and thousands of different genotypes began in the distant human past and is only partially known. However, the work of botanists, plant breeders, archaeologists, and geographers has uncovered the probable evolutionary pathway in the domestication of sorghum and the probable spatial dynamics of that evolution under cultural control. A great deal has been learned in the last few about the origins of the cereal and the people responsible for the domestication of sorghum races years. The Ethiopian region of Africa is the center of origin of sorghum (Mann et al. 1983) as it is rich in the number of snowdenian species and also contains several varieties of the durra type, which represents the highly evolved varieties among the cultivated races. From Ethiopia sorghum was taken to West Africa across the Sudan, from where it was first grown among the Mande people of the upper Niger. Also from Ethiopia sorghum was taken to East Africa, from where it was distributed among the Nilotic and Bantu people. From East Africa

the sorghum spread to India during the first millennium and was taken from there to China in the early Christian era (Doggett 1976). Sorghum races in India are closely related to those in northeast Africa. From West Africa sorghum was distributed to the USA and other parts of the world through slave trade around the mid-19th century. Before 1900 full-scale cultivation of sorghum had started in the southern great plains of the USA.

## **7.1.2 Domestication**

Sorghum has been carried to many new habitats in different environments to become a staple grain for millions of people. Sorghum has also been diversified into a sugar source, a construction material, a raw material for household implements, and a raw material for industry. The change from a harvested wild plant with much internal variability to an important resource for use andimprovement is the result of management. Cultivated races of sorghum originated by disruptive selection and domestication in east central Africa from the wild snowdenian species, *Sorghum arundinaceum*. Human selection for cultivated characters (mainly nonshattering heads, large seeds and ears, easy threshability, and suitable height and maturity) and natural selection for wild type character resulted in divergence into polymorphic populations in the presence of considerable gene flow between the wild and cultivated types. These processes seem to have contributed to the evolution of durra, kafir, bicolor, cernum, and caudatum and other intermediate types. According to Doggett (1976), most of these types might have migrated to India and China around 4000 BC and 2000 BC, respectively.

Sorghum is adapted to a wide range of environmental conditions but is particularly adapted to drought. It has a number of morphological and physiological characteristics that contribute to its adap-

Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants, Volume 1 Cereals and Millets C. Kole (Ed.) © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2006

tation to dry conditions, including an extensive root system, waxy bloom on the leaves that reduces water loss, and the ability to stop growth in periods of drought and resume it again when conditions become favorable. It is also tolerant to water logging and can be grown in high rainfall areas. It is, however, primarily a crop of hot, semiarid tropical environments with 400 to 600 mm rainfall that are too dry for maize. It is also widely grown in temperate regions and at altitudes of up to 2,300 m in the tropics.

## **7.1.3 Taxonomic Position**

All commercial groups of sorghum such as grain sorghum, fodder sorghum, broomcorns, and sorgos are classified under a single botanical species *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. The genus *Sorghum* belongs to one of the 16 subtribes of the tribe Andropogoneae of the subfamily Panicoidae of the family Poaceae.

#### **Classification of the Genus Sorghum**

Among all the classification attempts, Snowden's (1936) is the most comprehensive and practicable to a certain extent.



Members of the subsection Arundinacea are diploids with  $2n = 20$  chromosomes. The series Spontanea comprises wild species or races, and the series Sativa, the cultivated races. Using this basic structure, Snowden (1936) described 31 cultivated and 17 related wild species. These species are more appropriately considered as races of a single species.

Garber (1950) and Celarier (1959) divided the genus into six subgenera based on cytotaxonomic data: Eusorghum, which is the same as Snowden's section = Eusorghum, Chaetosorghum, Heterosorghum, Sorghastrum, Parasorghum, and Stiposorghum. Variation within these subgenera can best be described from the key outlined by Celarier (1959):

AA Nodes glabrous or minutely pubescent, first bloom of sessile spikelet many nerved (*>*10)

- A Sorghum: pedicellate spikelets staminate or neuter, awns small or wanting.
- B Pedicellate spikelets with glumes only, awns prominent.
- 1. Heterosorghum: primary branch of panicle simple and not whorled, glumes of pedicellate spikelets subequal, lodicules ciliate
- 2. Chaetosorghum: primary branch of panicle simple and not whorled, glumes of pedicellate spikelets unequal, lodicules glabrous
- BB Nodes with distinct ring of hairs, first glume of sessile spikelet few nerved (*<*10)
	- 1. Parasorghum: callus obtuse, awns*<*65 mm in length
	- 2. Stiposorghum: callus pointed, awns *>*65 mm in length

Sun et al. (1994) used internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA to evaluate the phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Sorghum*. They found that Chaetosorghum and Heterosorghum appear to be closely related to each other, and these two are more closely related to sorghum than to Parasorghum.

A simplified classification scheme of cultivated sorghums was proposed by Harlan and de Wet (1972) based on morphological characteristics that most present-day breeders have come to recognize and utilize. The International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (formerly IBPGR) Advisory Committee on sorghum and millet germplasm has recommended this classification to be used in describing sorghum germplasm. Their system of classification of cultivated races into five basic races and ten intermediate races and those of wild races into six spontaneous races is presented below:

- 1. Basic races:
	- **–** Race 1 bicolor (B)
	- **–** Race 2 guinea (G)
	- **–** Race 3 caudatum (C)
	- **–** Race 4 kafir (K)
	- **–** Race 5 durra (D)
- 2. Intermediate races: (all combinations of basic races)
	- **–** Race 6 guinea-bicolor (GB)
	- **–** Race 7 caudatum-bicolor (CB)
	- **–** Race 8 kafir-bicolor (KB)
	- **–** Race 9 durra-bicolor (DB)
	- **–** Race 10 guinea-caudatum (GC)
	- **–** Race 11 guinea-kafir (GK)



#### **Table 1.** Characteristics of commercial grain sorghum types

- **–** Race 12 guinea-durra (GD)
- **–** Race 13 kafir-caudatum (KC)
- **–** Race 14 durra-caudatum (DC)
- **–** Race 15 kafir-durra (KD)
- 3. Spontaneous races: *S. bicolor* ssp. *arundinaceum*
	- **–** Race 1 arundinaceum
	- **–** Race 2 aethiopicum
	- **–** Race 3 virgatum
	- **–** Race 4 verticilliflorum
	- **–** Race 5 propinquum
	- **–** Race 6 shattercane

Classification within the subgenera was further developed by de Wet (1978). The three species in the subgenera sorghum were recognized: Sorghum, two rhizomatous taxa, *S. halepense* and *S. propinquum*, and *S. bicolor*, representing all annual wild, weedy, and cultivated taxa. *S. bicolor* was broken down further into three subspecies: *S. bicolor* ssp. bicolor, *S. bicolor* ssp. *drummondii*, and *S. bicolor* ssp. *verticilliflorum* (formerly ssp. *arundinaceum*).

A commercial type of classification is used in the United States. Several commercial types occur and are given regional names. Extensive breeding has eroded the clear-cut differences among the various types. However, popular regional types such as durras, shallus, guineas, kafirs, kaoliangs, milos, feteritas, and hegaris are common in grain sorghum literature. These groups differ in their genetic characters as evidenced by the diversity resulting from intercrosses between the groups. Certain factors for disease reaction, insect resistance, heterosis, cytoplasmic male sterility, fertility restoration, and tillering tend to be associated with particular groups. Details of some of the more popular groups are given in Table 1.

## **7.1.4 Brief Morphology**

Sorghum is a vigorous grass that varies between 0.5 and 5.0 m in height. It is usually an annual. It produces one or many tillers, which emerge initially from the base and later from stem nodes. The root system consists of fibrous adventitious roots that emerge from the lowest nodes of the stem, below and immediately above ground level. Roots are normally concentrated in the top 0.9 m of soil but may extend to twice that depth and can extend to 1.5 m in lateral spread. The stem is solid, usually erect. Its center can be dry or juicy, insipid or sweet to taste. The center of the stem can become pithy with spaces. Leaves vary in number from 7 to 24, depending on the cultivar. They are borne alternately in two ranks. Leaf sheaths vary in length from 15 to 35 cm and encircle the stem with their margins overlapping. The leaf sheath often has a waxy bloom. Leaves are from 30 to 135 cm long and 1.5 to 13 cm wide, with flat or wavy margins. Midribs are white or yellow in dry pithy cultivars or green in juicy cultivars. The flower is a panicle, usually erect, but sometimes recurved to form a gooseneck. The panicle has a central rachis, with short or long primary, secondary, and sometimes tertiary branches, which bear groups of spikelets. The length and closeness of the panicle branches determine panicle shape, which varies from densely packed conical or oval to spreading and lax. Grain is usually partially covered by glumes. The seed is rounded and bluntly pointed, from 4 to 8 mm in diameter and varying in size, shape, and color with cultivar.

### **7.1.5 Cytogenetic Structure**

*Sorghum bicolor* has a haploid chromosome number of 10, and it is classified as a diploid  $(2n = 2x = 20)$ . Most species in the genus *Sorghum* are diploid with  $2n = 20$ , but several species, most notably *S*. *halepense*, are tetraploid  $(2n = 4x = 40)$ . As the basic chromosome number in the Sorghastrae is five, it has often been hypothesized that sorghum may be of tetraploid origin. Meiotic chromosome pairing analysis did not provide any strong evidence of a tetraploid origin (Brown 1943; Endrizzi and Morgan 1955), but the large number of complementary gene loci seems to indicate a tetraploid origin. The application of fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) to sorghum chromosomes indicates that single-copy probes consistently identify two loci on separate chromosomes. This provides strong evidence that sorghum does in fact have tetraploid origins (Gomez et al. 1997).

Differences between chromosomes in subgenera of sorghum are detectable, but karyotypic analysis of sorghum chromosomes has been difficult due to similarities in chromosome size and structure (Huskins and Smith 1932; Doggett 1988). Karyotype analysis of several subgenera of the genus *Sorghum* indicates that chromosomes in the subgenus Eusorghum are distinctly different and smaller than chromosomes in the subgenera Parasorghum and Stiposorghum (Garber 1950; Celarier 1959; Gu et al. 1984). Gu et al. (1984) described the karyotype of *S. bicolor*, but only chromosome I (nucleolar organizing region) and chromosome IV (characteristic arm ratio) could be identified distinctly. Yu et al. (1991) were able to identify all ten chromosomes in *S. bicolor* using a combination of chromosome size, arm ratio, and C-banding patterns. C-banded karyotype for somatic metaphase chromosomes of sorghum (Combined Kafir 60) is presented in Fig. 1. Later, Kim et al. (2002) used fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and integrated structural genomic resources, including large insert genomic clones in bacterial artificial (BAC) libraries, to identify ten chromosomes simultaneously. Recently, they (Kim et al. 2004) have determined linkage group identities and homologies for metaphase chromosomes of *Sorghum bicolor* (2*n* = 20) by FISH of landed BACs. They used relative lengths of chromosomes in FISHkaryotyped metaphase spreads of the elite inbred BT  $\times$  623 to estimate the molecular size of each chromosome and to establish a size based nomenclature for sorghum chromosomes (SBI-01 to SBI-10) and linkage groups (LG1 to LG10) (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

The genome size for *S. bicolor* and *S. halepense* has been reported to be 735 and 1,617 Mb, respectively (Laurie and Bennett 1985). Later Arumunganathan and Earle (1991) estimated the genome size of *S. bicolor* to be ca. 750 Mb while Peterson et al. (2002) reported 692 Mb.

## **7.1.6 Economic Importance**

Sorghum is the fifth most important cereal crop in the world after wheat, rice, maize, and barley. It is cultivated annually on ca. 45 million ha, producing ca. 60 million MT of grain (USDA 2004) (Table 3). Sorghum grain is a major food in much of Africa, South Asia, and Central America and an important animal feed in the USA, Australia, and South America. In addition to these uses of the grain, sorghum crop residues and green plants also provide sources of animal feed, building materials, and fuel, particularly in dryland areas of the semiarid tropics (SAT). Grain sorghum is well known for its capacity to tolerate conditions of limited moisture and to produce during periods of extended drought, in circumstances that would impede production in most other grains. Sorghum leaves roll along the midrib when moisture-stressed, making the plant more drought resistant than other grain plants. Like corn, sorghum can be grown under a wide range of soil and climatic conditions. Unlike corn, however, sorghum's yield under different conditions is not so varied. Consequently, it is grown primarily in arid areas where corn would not make it without substantial irrigation.

Sorghum is an important part of the diets of many people in the world and is nutritionally rich (Table 4). It is made into unleavened breads, boiled porridge



Fig. 1. C-band karyotype for somatic metaphase chromosomes of Combine Kafir 60, sorghum (Reprinted, with permission of Crop Science Society of America, from Yu et al. 1991)







**Fig. 2.** FISH-based karyotype of sorghum. (**a**) LG-01. (**b**) LG-02. (**c**) LG-03. (**d**) LG-04. (**e**) LG-05. (**f**) LG-06. (**g**) LG-07. (**h**) LG-08. (**i**) LG-09. (**j**) LG-10. (Reprinted, with permission of Genetics Society of America, from Kim et al. 2004)

or gruel, malted beverages including beer, and specialty foods such as popped grain and syrup from sweet sorghum. In Africa, the straw of traditional tall sorghums is used to make palisades in villages or around a homestead. The plant bases are an important source of fuel for cooking, and the stems of wild varieties are used to make baskets or fish traps. Dye extracted from sorghumis usedinWest Africa to color leather red.

Some quantities of grain sorghums go into industrial uses. Sorghum starch is manufactured in the USA by a wet-milling process similar to that used for corn starch, then made into dextrose for use in foods. Starch from waxy sorghums is used in adhesives and for sizing paper and fabrics and is an ingredient in oil drilling "mud". The grain can be a source of butyl alcohol.

## **7.1.7 Breeding Objectives**

Sorghum is grown in a wide range of physical conditions in locations ranging from the equator to over 50◦ N and 30◦ S. The crop is therefore subjected to a wide variety of temperature, day-length, and moisture regimes. Improved sorghum cultivars for a particular environment always involve breeding for adaptation to the specific climatic conditions found there. This is usually indicated by the appropriate crop duration for that environment and by acceptable and stable yield levels and appropriate grain qualities. The type of cultivar required for a target location also influences the objectives of the plant breeder. For example, the height of a pure-line variety for a specific environment and the heights of the parental lines of a hybrid for the same environment are likely to be different. In addition, improved cultivars for specific locations must



∗Average values (per 100 g), taken from U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (USDA:ARS) 1998 USDA Nutrient Database, Release 12, Laboratory Home Page (http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp)

**Table 4.** Nutritional composition of sorghum∗

possess resistance to the major constraints to production encountered and grain- and stover-quality factors appropriate for sorghum there. These constraints include biotic stresses such as diseases, insects, and parasitic weeds, and abiotic stresses, the requirements for which are usually quite different from one location to another. Resistance to these constraints is deliberately bred into cultivars by crossing resistant types with cultivars possessing other desirable traits and selecting plants with both resistance and desirable traits. Increased yields and improvement of quality are the main concerns of sorghum-breeding programs. On a global basis, sorghum breeding aims at specific objectives including high grain yields, higher fodder yields, disease resistance, insect resistance, drought tolerance, high temperature resistance, striga resistance, nutritional quality, cooking quality, and good stalk quality. In addition, development of suitable varieties to fit into various cropping patterns (intercropping and sequence cropping) in developing countries is another objective.

## **7.1.8 Classical Breeding Achievements**

#### **Kharif Sorghum**

With the release of CSH I, the first commercial hybrid in 1964, sorghum became the second crop after maize in developing high-yielding hybrids using a cytoplasmic-genic male sterility system. Since CSH I, a total of 18 more hybrids have been released. The hybrids played a major role in raising productivity and production, particularly in the case of kharif sorghum. Yield potential shown by the hybrids CSH 5 to CSH 18 requires special mention. CSH 5 and CSH 6 had a yield potential of 34 q/ha, while CSH 9 produces 40 q/ha in. This further increase to 42 to 45 q/ha in CSH 16–CSH 18 recently.

Besides hybrids, 15 high-yielding varieties (CSV 1 to CSV 15) have also been released with medium maturity (Table 5). Higher preference was shown for dual-purpose varieties such as CSV 10, CSV 13, SPV 462, and CSV 15. A major advantage of varieties over hybrids is their relatively better grain quality and multiple resistance or tolerance against major pests and diseases. The recently released variety CSV 15 has established higher grain and fodder yield potential than hybrids CSH 5 and CSH 6 released two decades ago.

#### **Rabi Sorghum**

Improvement of rabi sorghum did not receive as much emphasis and effort as the kharif sorghum until the 1990s. However, some of the hybrids and varieties listed in Table 5 are specifically developed and recommended for rabi season where the fodder yield is more important than that in kharif sorghum. Therefore, rabi grain productivity must be accompanied by normal or better fodder productivity. From this point of view, gradual success was achieved from the first rabi hybrid CSH 7R to the latest hybrids CSH 15R and 18R.

### **7.1.9 Limitations of Classical Endeavors and Utility of Molecular Mapping**

Plant-breeding efforts over the past six decades have contributed tremendously to the genetic improvement of cereals in terms of yield and quality. However, traditional approaches to crop improvement have several limitations, and increase in yield and productivity cannot be sustained indefinitely (Vasil 1994). Most sorghum-breeding programs have focused on agronomic performance to insure food security; however, grain quality is also an essential requirement for the development of improved cultivars. Sorghum proteins are not of superior quality. Limited lysine and the excess of leucine, which affects the leucine– isoleucine balance, are the primary limiting factors of sorghum protein quality. The hopes raised by those of the Ethiopian high-lysine sorghums that are late, photosensitive, and possess shriveled seeds, as well as those of P7212, an opaque mutant and N94 with shriveled seeds, have not been realized so far. Also, little is known about the genetic control of grainquality parameters and their relationships with the main component of sorghum productivity.

Improving drought tolerance is an important objective in a sorghum-breeding program. Early breeding for host plant resistance to sorghum midge, shoot fly, and stem borers brought about worthwhile resistance in sorghum; however, fast evolving races require incorporation of multiple resistance genes, which has not been possible through classical breeding efforts.

The genetic improvement of sorghum through classical plant breeding has resulted in the successful development and deployment of highly adapted high-yielding cultivars that are stable across years.



However, to further enhance productivity, quality, and resistance to the constraints such as drought, s*triga*, grain mold, and insect pests that are so common on farm fields in the tropics, much more needs to be done. The resistance level available in cultivated sorghum types is not adequate to build durable resistance to some of the constraints, especially those caused by insect pests.

Therefore, biotechnological tools like DNA markers, genome mapping, identification, characterization and expression of genes, and genetic engineering have been adopted from the crop improvement perspective to address limitations of classical breeding efforts. It will accelerate identification and incorporation of useful genes into cultivars, facilitate positional cloning of genes, provide new opportunities for assessing and expanding the gene pool in sorghum through comparative mapping of related and unrelated taxa, and contribute to the understanding of the biological basis of complex traits and phenomena important to crop improvement and in the development of transgenics.

## **7.2 Construction of Genetic Maps**

## **7.2.1 First-Generation Genetic Maps**

Construction of a linkage map is the most fundamental step required for a detailed genetic study and marker-assisted breeding approach in any crop (Tanksley et al. 1989). Sorghum genome mapping based on DNA markers began in the early 1990s, and since then several genetic maps of sorghum have been constructed. All the sorghum molecular maps generated to date are summarized in Table 6. Initially, the genetic maps of sorghum were based largely on DNA probes previously mapped in the maize genome (Hulbert et al. 1990; Binelli et al. 1992; Whitkus et al. 1992; Melake-Berhan et al. 1993; Pereira et al. 1994). Later, three more maps were constructed using mainly sorghum genomic DNA probes (Chittenden et al. 1994; Raghab et al. 1994; Xu et al. 1994). Another sorghum map published was based on both maize and sugarcane probes (Dufour et al. 1997).All of these were developed using RFLP markers, and most of the mapping populations were  $F_2$ , with the exception of the maps of Dufour et al. (1997) and Peng et al. (1999). Dufour et al. (1997) used two recombinant

inbred line (RIL) populations for the construction of a composite map, which was later extended by Boivin et al. (1999) with the addition of a large number of RFLP and AFLP markers to the map of Dufour et al. (1997). Tao et al. (1998a) constructed a sorghum map using an RIL population and variety of probes, including sorghum genomic DNA, maize genomic DNA and cDNA, sugarcane genomic DNA and cDNA, cereal anchor probes, and eight SSR loci. They attempted to review and compare their map with other published maps, which is supposed to enhance the effectiveness of mapping information and facilitate efforts to map agronomically important traits in sorghum. However, Subudhi and Nguyen (2000) completely aligned all ten linkage groups of all major sorghum RFLP maps using a common RIL population and sorghum probes from all three sources (Chittenden et al. 1994; Raghab et al. 1994; Xu et al. 1994) along with many cereal anchor and maize probes.

Kong et al. (2000) mapped 31 polymorphic SSR loci obtained from 51 clones isolated from a sizefractionated genomic DNA library of *S. bicolor* (L.) Moench that had been probed with four radiolabeled di- and trinucleotide oligomers using an RI population BT  $\times$  623  $\times$  IS3602C. Taramino et al. (1997) have characterized a total of 13 SSR loci in *S. bicolor* and mapped seven of these using an existing sorghum RFLP map.

Haussmann et al. (2004) have mapped molecular markers for resistance of sorghum to the hemiparasitic weed *Striga hermonthica* in two recombinant inbred populations (RIP-1, -2) of  $F_{3,5}$  lines developed from the crosses IS9830  $\times$  E36-1 (1) and N13  $\times$  E36-1 (2). The resistant parental lines were IS9830 and N13; the former is characterized by a low stimulation of striga seed germination, the latter by "mechanical" resistance. The genetic maps of RIP-1 and RIP-2 spanned 1,498 cM and 1,599 cM, respectively, with 137 and 157 markers distributed over 11 linkage groups.

### **7.2.2 Integrated Genetic Maps**

An integrated SSR and RFLP linkage map of the sorghum was reported by Bhattramaki et al. (2000) using 18 diverse sorghum lines. They designed SSR loci from clones isolated from two sorghum bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries, their enriched sorghum genomic DNA (gDNA), and sorghum DNA sequences present in public databases. The linkage



map spanned 1,406 cM and consisted of 147 SSR loci and 323 RFLP loci. Klein et al. (2000) constructed an integrated genetic and physical map of the sorghum genome (750 Mbp). They have developed a new highthroughput PCR-based method for building BAC contigs and locating BAC clones on the sorghum genetic map. Subudhi and Nguyen (2000) attempted alignment and integration of all major molecular maps previously developed for sorghum. To achieve this objective, a genetic map of 214 loci with a total map of 1,200 cM was constructed using 98  $F<sub>7</sub>$  sorghum recombinant inbred lines from a cross between B35 and T  $\times$ 700. Five major restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) maps independently developed were used for alignment purposes.

A high-density genetic map using AFLP technology was constructed by Menz et al. (2002). The 1,713-cM map encompassed 2,926 loci distributed on 10 linkage groups; 2,454 of those loci were AFLP products; 136 SSRs previously mapped in sorghum and 203 were cDNA and genomic clones from rice, barley, oat and maize. Besides, a comprehensive reference map of the sorghum genome (Fig. 3) was also constructed from two recombinant inbred populations using AFLP, SSR, RFLP, and RAPD markers (Haussmann et al. 2002a). Recently, Bowers et al. (2003) reported a genetic recombination map for sorghum of 2,512 loci spaced at average 0.4-cM (∼300-kb) intervals based on 2,050 RFLP probes, including 865 heterologous probes from sugarcane, maize, *Oryza, Pennisetum* (pearl millet, buffle grass), the Triticeae (wheat, barley, oat, rye), and *Arabidopsis*.

### **7.2.3 Comparative Mapping**

Geneticists and evolutionary biologists have a longheld interest in the mechanisms involved in chromosomal evolution. Until recently, the primary means of addressing questions surrounding this issue has been via cytological analysis of interspecific hybrids and surveys of naturally occurring chromosomal diversity within populations (Stebbins 1971; Jackson 1984; Grant 1987). Comparative genome mapping adds a powerful new technique for investigating the mode and tempo of chromosomal evolution. This approach involves the use of molecular markers such as restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) to map the genomes of two species for a common set of markers (loci). Although a labor-intensive and expensive

method, comparative genome mapping allows one to determine the extent and nature of chromosomal rearrangements between cross-incompatible species. This method thus opens up comparisons among distantly related genomes that are not amenable to analysis by traditional cytogenetic techniques. This approachwas pioneered by Tanksley and coworkers using tomato RFLP probes to map the tomato (Tanksley et al. 1988). Recognition of the considerable conservation of features within sets of plants such as rice, wheat, and maize (Ahn et al. 1993); sorghum and maize (Pereira et al. 1994; Paterson et al. 1995b); wheat, barley, and rye (Devos et al. 1993); tomato, pepper, and potato (Tanksley et al. 1988, 1992); and *Arabidopsis* and *Brassica* (Teutonico and Osborn 1994) has inspired the suggestion of considering such groups as single genetic systems (Bennetzen and Freeling 1993; Helentjaris 1993). The recent discovery of small chromosomal regions retaining similar gene order in sorghum and two dicot species (*Arabidopsis* and cotton) suggests that comparative mapping may ultimately reach across a much greater "evolutionary distance" than has been spanned to date (Paterson et al. 1996). This concept should have considerable merit and mutual advantages for both breeders and geneticists.

The comparative mapping results between sorghum and closely related grass species are described below.

#### **Sorghum, Maize, and Rice**

Within the tribe Andropogoneae, comparative mapping facilitates an understanding of sorghum genetics. Several groups established the relationship between the sorghum and maize genomes (Hulbert et al. 1990; Whitkus et al. 1992; Melake-Berhan et al. 1993; Grivet et al. 1994; Pereira et al. 1994; Paterson et al. 1995b; Dufour et al. 1997). Gene orders appear to be largely conserved between sorghum and maize; only a limited number of rearrangements have been identified. With the exception of major evolutionary translocations, which characterize the Panicoideae, extreme colinearity also appears to have been maintained with rice. An RFLP linkage map of *S. bicolor* (L.) Moench was constructed (Peng et al. 1999) in a population of 137  $F_{6-8}$  recombinant inbred lines using sorghum, maize, oat, barley, and rice DNA clones. The map consisted of 10 linkage group and 323 markers. Comparison of the map with RFLP maps of maize, rice, and oat produced evidence for sorghum-maize linkage group rearrangements and homoeologies not reported pre-

```
LINKAGE GROUP A
                                                    (130.1 \text{ cM})333 Loci)
            T CSU4B2<sup>(0.8)</sup> BCD0926b
\Omega-<br>-- CDSR018a UMC032m<br>-- DM065b HHU33 pPAP07D12
 2.3 -\ddot{\phantom{a}}≔
 6.2 -- HHUK29 PRC1080b pSB0129
             PRIDGE PSB1287 pSB1718<br>
PSB0443a pSB13424 pSB1313<br>
PRAP09D04<br>
PRAP09D04
 9.2 -\frac{10}{12}, \frac{3}{2}- pSB05814
 15.4 -20 <br>
21.6 psB1306b pSB1661 pSHR0186.3a<br>
23.1 - CDO0328 pSB1305 pSB1661 pSHR0186.3a<br>
24.6 - PRC0241 pSHR0158.1<br>
24.6 - PRC0241 pSHR0158.1
 34.7 - pSB0017 pSB0688 pSB1157 UMC103 (35.4) CDSB62 CSU585 HHU61 PRC0012b PRC0144a PRC0167 see below
 37 -- pshooz4b pSB0350 pSHR0070c
37<br>
1980024b pSB0350 pSHR0070c<br>
42.4<br>
42.4<br>
191048<br>
192.4<br>
192.5<br>
1920777 (47.7) pHERIAO11 pHERSA091 PRC0039b<br>
45.9<br>
1920777 (47.7) BNL09.11 pSB0854 pSB1792<br>
192077 (47.7) BNL09.11 pSB0854 pSB1792<br>
192077 (47.7) BNL09.11 p
54.7 - AEST163 HRU36 pRAP04B07 pRAP05F11 pRAP09G01 pRAP10B12a PRC0402a pSB1910 pSHR0076 see below<br>58.5 - AEST163 HRU36 pRAP04B07 pRAP05F11 pRAD634 pSB16931<br>58.5 - MM03 R2635 S13994 (59.3) (DO04455 CD00920 CDSC55 CDSR154 PR
 70<br>
TO THE EXPLICITLY (70.8) COS22a CDO0470 CDSB23 CSU673 pPAP08G07 PRC0407 pSB0046 pSB0073 pSB1812 see below<br>
71.6 - CDSR067a pSB0293 pSB1611a (72.4) UMC016a
74.7 PRC1157c (75.4) CD01160b pSB001<br>77 - pSB0794 (77.7) AEST109 PRC0287<br>80.3 COUSES 198.8) PEEROOD - PARALLY
                - PRC1157c (75.4) CD01160b pSB0085b pSB0951c pSB1720b pSHR0139.2
80.1 - CSU451 (80.8) AESTOOSb pPAP01D04 pPAP05D01b pPAP07C04 pSB0044 pSB0148 pSB1739<br>82.4 - PRC0120 pSB1200 SH0466 UMC040 (83.1) CSU706 pPAP04B06 pPAP07C05 pSB1827 RZ161 S02245<br>83.9 - CSU690 (84.7) DM011<br>87.7 - CHIOB6e (84
93.4 CSU0966 (84.5)<br>
92.4 CSU0966 (84.59)<br>
92.4 CSU0966 (84.4 CSU591 pRAP08E07 PRC0336<br>
93.9 CSU591 p8B1591 (91.1) CSU351 pPAP08E07 PRC0336<br>
93.9 CSU591 p8B1591 (91.2) pRC1109b pSB00797 CSU4699 PRC0165 UMC060a<br>
93.4 CSU110
87.7 - CSU096a (88.5) pSHR0157.5
114.7 +- CDSC56 pPAP10D07 (115.4) CDSR153c
 117 PHERICI1 PRC1050 pSHR0143.7 (117.0) HHU464 pHERIA07 pPAP08G04 PRC1067 PRC1125 PRC1145
 117 - PRENICII PRODUS PSROUSS, 7 1999 RADOS PRENIGO PRENIGO PRODUS PRODUS ENCITS<br>120.1 - CSU579a CSU621c pSB0527 pSB0940 pSB0956b pSB0960b pSB1500 pSB1802 UMC071 (120 %) pPAP07B12<br>121.6 - PPAP08C09 (122.4) AEST069b CSU783
 123.9 PRC1108 (124.7) pSB1277<br>125.4 C1456a
127.8 CSU387 PRC01664 PRC0389 pSB0243 pSB0333b (128.5) pSB0414<br>129.3 PSB0242a (110.1) C0112 PRC0068
       (35.4) PRC1142<br>(53.9) pPAP01A09 pPAP01D10 pSHR0109 S01959b<br>(54.7) pSHR0149.4 RZ995a S01959a <sup>(35.4)</sup> DMO01c PRC1130a<br>(59.3) pSB0614 pSB0789 pSHR0110.2b pSHR0110.4 RZ244 SG161 SG168<br>(62.4) RZ3231 pSB0548 pSB0847a pSB1306a p
```
**Fig. 3.** Sorghum genetic map (Reprinted, with permission of Genetics Society of America, from Bowers et al. 2003)

LINKAGE GROUP B (120.8 cM, 331 Loci) 0<br>
1.5<br>
- PRC0367<sup>(2)</sup> <sup>1</sup> AEST141 PRC100 pSB1028 UNC045a<br>
- PRC0367<sup>(3)</sup> PSB16720 pSB1616<br>
- CD00533 c Web 5316164<br>
- CD00533 C USB167201 pSB1458 pRP01F01a PRC0005b PRC019b+ PRC0163b pSB0700c see below<br>
6.2<br>
- CD00533 C U  $\sim$  CSU702<sup>(15.4)</sup> PRC00354 PRC00374 pSB1124 pSHR0157.1 R1245a  $14.6 -$ 17.7<br>
20.8 METALLYE PSE1332 (20) CORAR PHERICO1<br>
20.8 MESTOS4 CRUSTOP CSUSS1 PPAP07604b pSB1267<br>
22.3 MESTOS4 CRUS34 CSUS35 HRU16 PRC0148b pSB1070d<br>
24.6 CSUS60 HRU12b HHU17a<br>
26.2 CI268 24.6 CSU460c HHU12b HHU17a 26.2 =  $\frac{1268}{90}$ <br>
28.5 =  $\frac{1268}{90}$ <br>
28.5 =  $\frac{1224}{120}$ <br>
28.5 =  $\frac{1222}{120}$ <br>
28.0011 PRC0047 (19.8) PRC0039a<br>
32.3 =  $\frac{1223}{120}$ <br>
28.0171 (19.8) AST005 PRC0009 PRC<br>
38.4 =  $\frac{1223}{120450}$ <br>
29.1172 (19.12 PRC0011 PRC0047 (00.0) PRC0039a<br>
PSB0077<br>
CO147 (14.6) AEST005 PRC0009 PRC0139 PRC0356 pSB0494<br>
CO147 (14.6) AEST005 PRC0009 PRC0139 PSB1663 R3089<br>
AEST157c CSU154 PPAP07D05 PRC1101 pSB0266 pSB0934a UMC004 (11.1) CSU784<br>
A  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>50.8 = pPAP10F04 pSB0037 pSB0093a pSB0418 pSB1801 S10622<sup>(61.6)</sup> pSB06054 pSB1355d pSB1400+ see below<br>52.3 = \$13922b 82.3<br>63.9 - PSB0805 (44.6) PRC0227<br>65.4 - C0397 PRC0130c% PRC0151b PRC0152 PRC0211b PRC1150a pSB0413b pWal10001 59.3<br>
TRC1129 pSB0787e pSB1076 pSB1098b pSB1080 pSB0080 pSB0966t pSB1803 pSB1832 see below<br>
73.1<br>
TRC1129 pSB0787e pSB1076 pSB1098b pSB1596b pSB1743b (11.6) AEST001b CDSC49 CDSR041 see below<br>
74.6<br>
TRC1132 (14.9 CD00407a C  $91.6 -$ - pSB0054% pSB1019 95.4<br>
97.3<br>
97.3<br>
100.8<br>
97.3<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.7<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.7<br>
100.7<br>
100.7<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.9<br>
100.7<br>
10 529b <sup>4105</sup> 0 pSB0053 pSB0606.  $unc014b$  $111.6 -$ 114.7<br>
116.2<br>
117.7<br> **120.2**<br>
120.2<br>
120 - RG418 (120.6) M190 PRC1127 pSHR0124.2 S10742 (4.6) pSB1014 (5.4) pPAP10H08 PRC1088 pSHR0114.1<br>(6.9) CD00405<br>(61.6) UMC139<br>(60.3) peuronnes 2 (70) ppc1081a pSB1476b pSB1894 (6.9) CD0405<br>
(61.6) UMC139<br>
(61.6) UMC139<br>
(71.6) CDSR074 CSU081 CSU603 PRC1162 pSB0091a pSB0457c<br>
(71.6) CDSR074 CSU081 CSU603 PRC1162 pSB0030 apsB0457c<br>
(73.9) pSB14522.2c pSB1456D4 pSB1932 SH070a UMC055<br>
(75.4) pSB015 suvesi<br>080457c **pSB0774%** pSB0951b pSB1448b pSB1716b<br>0139 3b **RZ413 SHO14b SHO38** 

LINKAGE GROUP C (118.5 cM, 499 Loci) AGE GROUP C (IIB.D CM, 499 LOC1)<br>
CONSERVER PROGOSA PSERISAS (<sup>20</sup> CHOSIST PROCESS)<br>
CONSERVER PROGOSA PSERISIA<sup>(2)</sup> PRAPOSBO3<br>
PRAPOSFO AESTIJP CSU448 CSU682 PRAPO2CO3 PRAPOSBO1a PRC1063· PSB1365 R2614 (<sup>5-6)</sup> AESTO25 see  $\frac{0}{1.5}$  = 1.5<br>3.1<br>4.6<br>4.5<br>5.2 6.2 - AESTI71b Pcp8c pPAP10H05a pSB0878 pSB0897<sup>(4, 21</sup> pSB1070b<br>
9.2 - CSU134 CSU149 DM024 <sup>(10)</sup> pPAP09E02a PRC0148a pSB00414 pSB1659<br>
10.8 - CSU031 CSU504b pSB1298b<br>
12.3 - AEST039 CSU663a M466 M477 PRC0016<sup>114 41</sup> CSU 12.3<br>
13.8<br>
13.8<br>
13.9<br>
13.9<br>
14.8 COMMODS M466 N477 PROODS (14.9)<br>
13.9<br>
13.9<br>
14.7<br>
15.7<br>
15.9<br>
14.9<br>
14.9 58.5<br>
The CD00020b CDSR155 R2421 (52.3) AHD225 CD00066 PRC0031<br>
54.6.2<br>
THE PRC0324 PSB0009 R2786 (55.4) pSB1086<br>
56.2<br>
THE CSU694 PRC0321 PSB1014 R2500a<br>
56.5<br>
THE PRAPO7H09a PRC0321c PRC1199 pSB0062 pSB0761a pSB1469 pSB1 63.1 - ISU078<br>64.6 - pSB0709<br>66.2 - pSB1862 69.2  $\longrightarrow$  pSB1423 (70) S01764 91.4 - PSSUALE WAS SUIT TO A PART OF THE RESEARCH OF STATE PART OF THE CONSTRUCT OF T 73.6<br>
The CSU389 CSU649 CSU737b DM010b<sup>4</sup> pHER1B05 PRC0137 pEB0395b pSB1776 RZ672<sup>(1) W</sup> CZ942c<br>
75.4<br>
2630389 CSU649 CSU737b DM010b<sup>4</sup> pHER1B05 PRC0137 pEB0395b pSB1776 RZ672<sup>(1) W</sup> CZ942c<br>
76.9<br>
26.5<br>
26.5<br>
26.5<br>
26.5<br> 88.5 - UNICO81<br>90.6 - CO245 FRC1203\* pSB1223 UNICO76 (\*0.8) BNL14.28 CDO0860 pPAP01F01b pPAP03F08 pPAP07E06 see below<br>91.6 - AESTO18b AESTO22 CDSR035 CSU469b CSU513 pPAP10E11 PRC0209 PRC0270 pSB0771 pSB0928 see below<br>93.9 91.6 CONSISTENTION: THE CONSISTENT ON THE  $114.6 118.5 -$  AESTO69a PRC0046b 1.5 - AESTO69a PRCOO28 PRODOS DEBIOIS UNCORS<br>
(11.7) PSD1912 BACO12 PRODOS DEBIOIS UNCORS<br>
(11.7) PSD1912 BACO12 PRODOS DEBIOIS UNCORS<br>
(12.9) PERICIS (12.9) PRODOSTA DEBIOIS (12.7) PRODOSTA DE PRODOSTA DE PRODOSTA DE PROD

```
LINKAGE GROUP D
                                                                     (81.6 \text{ cM}, 187 \text{ loci})\begin{array}{c}\n1.1 \text{ NNAGE} \quad \text{GKOUF} \quad D \quad \text{(61)} \\
0.5 \quad \text{p580444 p58169} \\
1.5 \quad \text{p580408 p581460} \\
3.1 \quad \text{GUT81b} \quad 0.9 \text{ PRC075}\n\end{array}6.2 PRC1146 (0.5) CD00036a CSU048 HKU11<br>
9.2 PRC1146 (0.5) C1991b<br>
9.2 PRAP06B02 PRC0164b pSB0040b pSB0725 pSB1795<br>
10.8 PRAP04G01 pSB0407 pSHR0066 (1) 1) AEST051 HKU39 pPAP10B03<br>
13.8 PRAP04G01 pSB0407 pSHR0066 (1) 1) AE
13.8 THE CSU642 PRC1041 ^{14.6} AEST038 pSHR0180.4<br>
16.9 The CSU458 PRC1041 ^{14.7}, CDO0524 HHU28b PPAP08H05b pSB1175 R1534c RZ017<br>
18.5 The CSU458 PRC0390 PRC1197 pSB1901 pSB1917<br>
20.5 The pSB0700b pSB1411a pSB1895 R1
27.7<br>
27.7<br>
27.7<br>
27.7<br>
27.7<br>
2821824 UNC045b <sup>(3)</sup> BNL10.13b M428 pSB0365a pSB0482 pSB1456c UNC044<br>
32.8<br>
28318244 UNC045b <sup>(3).6</sup> pSB1015<br>
28318244 UNC045b <sup>(3).6</sup> pSB1015<br>
29.8<br>
29.7<br>
201066 (3) 40 = PN 773
32.3<br>36.6<br>36.2 = pSB1856 (35.4) pSB173<br>37.7 = CSU745 pSB0647 (38.5) cSU410 PRC0253 pSB0140 pSB1047 pSB1589 UMC034
40.8 HRU27 (41.6) pSB0095 pSB1151 RZ740a
43.1<br>
43.1<br>
44.6 = ps800091b pS800911 pS81104 (43.9 ps80242b pS81442<br>
46.2<br>
47.7<br>
PS8014364 ps810361 pS81136 cD01328 cS0036 pHER5D10 pPAP03001a pS81916 513322<br>
47.7<br>
PS8114364 PS8114364 ps8014364 ps8001328 ps80745 ps81436a
                     - DM109 ROD93
53.9 -56.2<br>
The PRC10965 pSB0314 pSHR0063 (96.9) DN057 pSB0747 pSB1343 RZ782a<br>
TDSCOS CDSR084 Pcp8a pPAP03A07 pPAP11G02 PRC0162a PRC0219 pSB1847 (96.9) pPAP07E10 see below<br>
59.3 The pSB08666 pSB18650 (40.9) CDSR046 CDSR063 CSU03
69.3 -- BCD0348 CD00456
72.3 -- CSU423 CSU430 PRC0136b pSB1611b (23.1) PRC0130b4
80.1 - PAPO8F03<br>81.6 \frac{1}{20} pSB1622
        (50.5) pSB0161<br>(60) pSB0692 pSB1060c pSB16<sup>37</sup>c RZ069<br>(61.6) pSNR0091b pSHR0100.2 RZ550 SHO74
```

```
LINKAGE GROUP E
                                                                     (84.7 cM, 146 Loci)
LINKAGE GROUP E (84.7 CM,<br>
1.5 PRC0137 pSB1010 pSB1654<br>
1.1 PRC0137 pSB1010 pSB1654<br>
4.1 PRC0136 pSB1355c pSB1482 (9.4) pSB0607b<br>
6.2 CSU108 (4.9) pSB0832 S45<br>
8.5 PSB0880a
11.5<br>
13.1<br>
13.1<br>
14.6<br>
14.6<br>
15.2<br>
15.2<br>
15.2<br>
15.2<br>
16.2<br>
16.3<br>
16.3<br>
16.3<br>
16.9<br>
16.9<br>
16.2<br>
21.5 -- pSB18714
29.3 - CDSR095b pPAP08A05a pSB0506 pSB0544 pSB1007% pSB1502 pSB1799 (10 11 pSB0147a
32.4 PRC0303 pSB1004 pSHR0070a<br>34.7 RG463a RG482c<br>36.2 pSB0419a (37) pSB0866e<br>38.5 CD00459
38.5 -41.6 PPAP03C08 pSB1166 (42.4) pSB1203 RZ143a
41.6 PRAPO3COB pSB1166 (42.4) pSB1203 RZ143a<br>
43.9 AESTI71d CSU781a HNU10 MO36 MO44 pSHR0172.4 rRGH08 S06 UMC102 (44.7) CSU736<br>
47.5 AESTI71d CSU781a HNU10 MO36 MO44 pSHR0172.4 rRGH08 S06 UMC102 (44.7) CSU736<br>
47.5 AESTI31
57.8 - BCD0263<br>
59.3 - DM023± <sup>(40.1)</sup> DM023±<br>
60.9 - PEC0154at pSB0318t<br>
63.2 - PEC0154at pSB0318t<br>
64.7 - PSB0200 <sup>(45.3)</sup> CSU692a<br>
67 - CSU728 <sup>(47.8)</sup> OSU692a<br>
57 - CSU728 <sup>(47.8)</sup> DM023c pHER1B02 pHER5A03 PRC0103t PR
70.1 -\Box DM074 DM095 PRC0113b pSB1396a pSB1444a R2123 (70.9) AEST060
73.2 PRC0338b<br>74.7 -- HHUK27%
77.8 \rightarrow pSB0030<br>79.3 \rightarrow CDSR160b
82.4 - G0243 pSI<br>84.7 - PRC1178a
                    - G0243 pSB0047% pSB0063 UMC002b
        (47) pSB1450e SO0894 SO2083 (47.0) BCD1072a pPAP12GO7a pSB0182% pSB0504 pSB0787c pSB0804 pSB0839c<br>(47.8) pSB1101 pSB1123 pSB1285c% pSB1330b pSB1344b pSB1397a pSB1478c pSB1503b pAR1414c pSB1550<br>(47.8) pSB1720c pSB1758 pSB18
```
LINKAGE GROUP F (127.8 cM. 275 Loci)  $\frac{0}{3 \cdot 1}$  $p580120$ <br> $5H060k$  $PSB0$ 6.9  $\longrightarrow$  pPAP06C01<sup>(7.3)</sup> HHU37c pSB0907<br>8.5  $\longrightarrow$  PRC1182 pSB0057 R2816 10.8 - G1234 PRC0141 PRC1076 PRC1128- PRC1133 pSB1480 (11.5) CSU525 pPAP01D02<br>12.3 - CSU651a pSB0871 RZ446 UMC019 (13.1) pRC0126<br>13.9 - PPAP04F02 (14.6) pSB0979 .<br>— pSB10944  $16.2 -$ 19.2 PRC1157e (20) PRC1096a<br>20.8 - DM022a pPAP10A11 S02089 (21 6) DM054 pSB1839 26.9 <br>
28.5 <br>
CDO0516a FRC1C96c<br>
28.5 <br> **PRAP10807D** PRC1C96c<br>
31.6 <br> **CSU672** (32.3) PRC0268<br>
23.1 <br> **PRAP1080367** (33.3) PRC0268<br>
23.1 <br>
PSB0367 (33.3) PSB1489a  $\begin{array}{l}\n\text{CDO01DB} \\
\text{PRAP1OB0} \\
\text{CRU010} \\
\text{C3010} \\
\text{C3010} \\
\text{C3010} \\
\text{C3010} \\
\text{D4010} \\
\text{D4010} \\
\text{PR100} \\
\text{PR100} \\
\text{P100} \\
\text{P1$ 37.7 - AESTISTE UMC126 UMC156<br>39.3 - AEST001a MZY16.1 41.6  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  pSB1182 (42.3) UMC054 43.1<br>
44.7<br>  $\frac{1}{46.2}$  BNL10.13a<br>
45.2<br>  $\frac{1}{47.7}$  CSU440  $-$  R3393 (50.8) pSB0107  $50 -$ 33.1<br>
1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 1999 - 2004 64.7<br>
CD00497 CD01380 CSU593 ISU032b PRC0169 (65.4) CSU173 pFAF03EC7 pPAP06F06 PRC0052 PRC0132t see below<br>
CBS.5 DH066a pSB0866d pSB1698c (69.3) RZ782b  $\overline{50.8}$   $\pm$ - DIRECT (71.6) CSU600 PRC0002 PRC0077b FRC0118 PRC0343 PRC0394; pSB0083 pSB0341 pSB1217 see below 73.9<br>19.4 ESB0464a SO2577 S1084? (74.7) PRC0109a pSHRO149.3b RZ144b FIT4(b<br>17.4 ECDD32 pPAP07C06b pPAP08B04 PRC0375 pSB0030 prap05H05 PRC0354b pSB1524b pSB1548a pSB1677b pSB1703 see below<br>18.5 ECDBB22 pRAF07C06b pPAP08B04 81.6 = BSB05124 (82.4) C0132<br>83.1 = DM005a pHER1BIS: pPAP)1H04 PRC1100 pSB13314 pSB1642 pSB1727 pSB1E26 (8) 9) CD00078b 85.4  $\frac{1}{2}$ psB0093b (46.2) PRC11045 -<br>- HHU37a pPAP10A04 pSB1502 RZ087 90 - psB1446 pSB1720a psHR0147.1 (90.8) C0915 CSU652b CSU670 CSU774 CSU782 pHER5A08 93.1 - AEST127b **pPAPO9G08 pSB1060% (93.9) CSU413**<br>94.7 - pSB0187b <sup>(95.4)</sup> CSU377a 97.7 BB00944 (98.5) HHUK22 PRC0075<br>99.3 - pSB1056<br>101.6 CSU036a pSB1457b (192.4) pSB1457a  $104.7 -$  AEST036b  $p$ PAP09H10 107.8 =  $\begin{array}{l} \hline \text{psR}0029 \text{ }^{(101.5)} \text{ C}05815b \\ 110.1 \text{ } & 801912b \\ 111.6 \text{ } & \text{psR}1135 \text{ }^{(12.4)} \text{ }^{(105.5)} \text{ }^{(1738)} \end{array}$ 111.6 PSB1135 WHY CDSC42 R738<br>
115.4 PRC0381<br>
117.5 - RECO381<br>
117.5 PRC0381<br>
118.5 PRC0381<br>
128.5 PRC0381b PSB1445<br>
129.1 PSB1723 W20.9 NEST240<br>
123.1 PRC0346 W.1.9 PRERIDI2<br>
124.7 PRC1104a<br>
124.7 PRC1104a  $127.8$  PRC0345a (53.9) R2955 RZ058 RZ567<br>(64.7) pSB0615 pSB0823 (SS.4) pSB0713a pSB0991 RZ260 UMC108<br>(65.4) PRC0192 pSB0193 pSB0435 RZ141b<br>(71.6) pSB1394 pSB1451e PSB1562 pSB1603 pSB1629a pSB1685 RZ166<br>(75.4) pSB1424 pSB1861 pSB1883 pSRR0

```
LINKAGE GROUP G
                                                        (107 cM, 196 Loci)
LINKAGE GROUP G (107 CM, 19)<br>
\frac{1}{3.1} CDSB9 pSB0974 pSB1057 pSB1757+ (0 8) UNC134<br>
\frac{1}{3.1} PSB1372
 5.4 -- pSB1333 <sup>(6.2)</sup> UNCL67b
7.7<br>
7.7<br>
9.2<br>
10.00202<br>
12.8<br>
12.8<br>
13.8<br>
13.8<br>
14.9<br>
14.9<br>
14.9<br>
1500769<br>
14.9<br>
1500769
17.7 - CDSR153b pSB0989b<br>19.2 - pSB0945 <sup>(20</sup>) pSHR0121.1<br>20.8 - CDSC19 CSU066 M669 pSHR0117.1 UMC062
23.9<br>
25.4<br>
25.4 CO922b CD880047b pSB0045 pSB0772 SG155 <sup>(26.2)</sup> CSU660 pSHRC123.2b R2174 UNC132<br>
26.9<br>
28.5 CO597 pSB0122 (27.71 CSU402<br>
29.5 PSB1781 (29.2) CSU549<br>
29.5 PHERSCO4 pPAP08G08 pSB0023 pSB1738 S02678
33.1 PRC0107 (33.9) BEB0395c pSB1189<br>36.2 - PRAPOSFO3 (35.4) PRC0344<br>37.7 - MESTIN BODD 19.9) pSB0169 pSRR0111.1<br>37.7 - MESTIN BODD 38 pSB0082 pSB0445 pSB1147 pSB1811 (18.5) pRC1130b pSB1809b<br>39.2 - MESTINA CDSB58 DM006 pH
43.1 10.1 PRC0050b pSHR0074 (43.9) PRC1123 PRC1148b<br>44.6 SB0714 pSB1140a SH062 (45.9) HRU30 PRC1066<br>46.2 1286 PRC0046a (46.9) PRC0130de pSB1205
46.2 - C1286 PRC0046a (46.9) FRC0130ds pSB1205<br>
18.5 - M199 pERDOGS4 CSU155 CSU760 HRU01 HRUX11 HHUX13 pPAP09B06 (59 8) R1436<br>
51.6 - ECO0454 CSU155 CSU760 HRU01 HRUX11 HHUX13 pPAP09B06 (59 8) R1436<br>
51.6 - ECO047b CSU690 
50.8<br>
FRO123.2a<br>
CDSRO34 PRO70808 PSB0903<br>
FRO1005a<br>
FRO1005a<br>
FRO123.2a<br>
FRO123.2a<br>
FRO123.2a<br>
FRO123.2a<br>
FRO12
76.2 - p$80347<br>78.5 - cDSR112 (79.3) p$81505
82.3 -- pSB1945
89.3 - - m \cos \thetaPRC1148a S00782 (99.3) C1456b pHERSE08
98.5 -101.6 - pSB0847c SHO35 UMC012 (102.4) AEST137c pSB0134
106.3 psB1115<sup>(107)</sup> PRC0242
        (39.2) SG442 <sup>(40)</sup> AEST239b C0137a CDSR049b pSB1155 pSB1742b UMC060b<br>(53.1) PRC0111 PRC0156a pSB090d pSB1229 pSB1307 pSB1635 pSB1842 pSHR0(31c pSHR0113.3 RZ599<br>(53.1) SG322 UNC128 <sup>(33.9)</sup> M716
```

```
(85.4 cM, 191 Loci)
 LINKAGE GROUP H
LINKAGE GROUP H (65.4 CM, 191 LOC1)<br>
\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \end{array} PSB1396b<br>
\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \end{array} PSB1396b<br>
\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.8 \end{array} PM7600134 pSB0526b pSB1070s <sup>D.D</sup> pPAP09B03s PRC1178b pSB0517 UMC002a
6.9 <br>
9.5 <br>
9.124 RSU3 pSB0860 (9.21 PRC0113a<br>
10 <br>
12.3 <br>
PRC0338a<br>
14.6 <br>
HRUKO5
18.5 CDSR160a (19.2) CSU692b pSB1478b<br>20 CD00127 PRC1164 pSB0809a<br>21.6 CDD5880089 (22.3) pSB1925
21.6 - R2918<br>
24.6 - R2918<br>
27.7 - R2918<br>
27.7 - R2918<br>
27.7 - R2919<br>
27.7 - R291712 DMO97 pSB.407 (32.3) REST602b<br>
31.6 - R291717F DMO97 pSB.407 (32.3) REST602b<br>
34.6 - PRAPO4020 R1334 (3.9) pSB.1822<br>
34.6 - PRAPO4020 PR
50<br>
PSB1228<br>
54.6<br>
PERPO713b <sup>155.41</sup> CDO520<br>
PERPO713b <sup>155.41</sup> CDO520<br>
PERPO7405a pSB0240 pSB0396 pSB1673 pSB1750<br>
CORPORA PUID -091155-
 60 –
                    - CDSR070 DM103 pSB1355a
\frac{60}{62.3}- PRC1169b pSB1275 pSB1484b pSB1829
                  DM099 (65.4) DM073
64.7 -68.5 -- HHU49<sup>(69.3)</sup> DM113 PRC0350 pSHR0129
73.1 PRC1057% (73.9) PRC1124 S35<br>
75.4 PSB0395a pSB0543 (75.2) pHER5D11 pPAP12A03<br>
77 SB0343 pSB0315 (77.7) DM010a4 pSB0572<br>
80.8 PGA DM014 DM0214 DM034 pSB0914 S12886 (79.3) DM028 S02344 UGT1976<br>
83.1 HRU45 pHER5B09<br>
84.7
 83.1 HU45 pHER5B09<br>84.7 HHU56 PRC1205 pSB0809b pSB1218 PSHR0085b RZ143b S10003 (85.4) F12 PRC1211%
         (40) PRAPOJAO3 praposgo4a prapi2co2 PRC0008 PRC0015b PRC0057b PRC0160 PRC0250 PRC0345b PRC1071<br>(40) PRC1176 pSB0051 pSB0064 pSB0413a pSB0415 pSB0419b pSB0535b+ pSB0640 pSB0767a pSB0787a<br>(40) pSB08164 pSB1444 pSB0366b p
```

```
(107 cM, 216 Loci)
  LINKAGE GROUP I
                       - CSU698 FLS2 pSB0115% pSB1438 RZ508
 n.
 3.1<br>4.6 ---<br>6.9 ---<br>9.2 ---<br>10.8 ---<br>12.3 ---
                      — PPAPO1A02<sup>(1.9)</sup> RG433a<br>— M345<sup>(5.4)</sup> PRC1213
                    \frac{1}{2} pPAP08B06
                  ARSTOSOC pSB0632 pSB1511% <sup>(10)</sup> C1338 CSU679b CSU680b<br>
PSB1689 pSHR0186.4<br>
CD00344c <sup>(1) 1)</sup> pSHR0149.1m
 17.7 - AEST036a CSU400 (18.3) pSB1657<br>20 - RG653
 23.1<br>
24.6<br>
24.6<br>
25.12714 (25.9) CSU419 HHU044 (25.4) PRC0128 pSB1185<br>
28.5<br>
26.5<br>
26.5<br>
26.1714<br>
26.5<br>
26.1714<br>
26.1714<br>
28.5<br>
28.5<br>
28.5<br>
28.5<br>
28.1714<br>
29.5<br>
28.18.2<br>
28.5<br>
28.18.2<br>
28.18.2<br>
28.18.2<br>
28.18.2<br>
28.18.2<br>
 33.1 - pSB1791% (33.9) CSU377b pSB1418
 38.5 <br>
40 <br>
10 <br>
10 <br>
10 CSU056 M815 (40.8) pSB0761b<br>
14.7 <br>
10 PRC1090 (43.4) pSHR0122.2b<br>
10 PRC1090 (43.4) pSHR0122.2b
 44.7 -44.7 - PRC1090 (45.4) pSHR0122.2b<br>
48.5 - PRS10742<br>
181.5 - PRC1000 (45.4) pSHR0122.2b<br>
51.6 - CD00078s CD000799b PH100.43sisco PRC0168s pSB1161 pSB1403 pSHR0164.2 UMC114 <sup>(50</sup> <sup>41</sup> see below<br>
51.6 - BGB1073 aCO0799b PH10
 77 - pSHRO116.1<br>78.5 - AESTOOBs CSU415 pPAPO2DO6 PRC1167 RZ516<br>80.8 - pCBOO27- (81.6) B2740
78.5 XESTOOS CSU415 pPAPO2DO6 PRC1167 RZ516<br>
83.1 CDO0475 pSB0027a (41.6) RZ749<br>
83.1 CDO0475 pSB0657b pSB1070e pSB1348 (41.9) PRC0213<br>
85.4 PRC0711e pSB06533 pSB1822a<br>
PRC0711e pSB0653 pSB1822a<br>
PRC071e pSB0
83.1 <br>
B5.4 <br>
PRAPO9808b (87.1) pRC0132a<br>
PRAPO9808b (87.1) pRC0132<br>
93.5 <br>
PRAPO9808b (87.1) pRC0133<br>
93.5 <br>
PRAPO9808b (87.1) pRC0133<br>
93.4 <br>
PRAPO9808b (87.1) c0138 H2714 2 pSB0764<br>
95.4 <br>
PRAPO985<br>
PRAPO1121<br>
PRAPO112
                                                                                    .<br>214 2 pSB1722b pSB1969b
 (50.8) BCD0178 CD00087 CSU147 CSU360 HKU23 ISU128 RZY19.1 pPAP03D12 pPAP09C11 PRC0237 PRC1181<br>(56.2) pSB0138 pSB0666 pSB1236 (=816.318 pSB1754 ROS18 RG716 PSB1410 pSB1435 : 551444: pSB1481<br>(56.2) PRC0405 PRC1190 pSB63784 p
```
LINKAGE GROUP J (96.3 cM, 138 Loci)  $0.5$   $\overline{1.5}$   $\overline{257146}$   $^{(0.8)}$  CSU061 R1985 1.5  $\leftarrow$  CS0092<br>
3.8  $\leftarrow$  PRC1155 (6.9) R3188<br>
6.5  $\leftarrow$  PRC1155 (6.9) R3188<br>
10.8  $\leftarrow$  5CO5E06<br>
13.1  $\leftarrow$  PRC0122 pSB04914 pSB1401a<br>
14.6  $\leftarrow$  pSB0541 20  $\frac{1}{21.6}$  RZ028 24.6<br>
26.2<br>
26.2<br>
27.7<br>
29.3<br>
29.3<br>
29.3<br>
2008<br>
2008<br>
2009<br>
20115b \$14003<br>
29.9<br>
20115b \$14003<br>
2010<br>
29.7<br>
2010<br>
2010<br>
2010 31.6 DM033bt pPAP11F10b (32.3) PRC0315<br>33.1 - pSB1163 RZ456a 33.1 - PSB1163 RZ456a<br>35.4 - PRC0121 06.23 pPAP09H08a<br>38.5 - BCD0099 CD00093a pPAP03A08b pPAP10B05 pSB1629b pSHR0115.6 pSHR0119.2c pSHR0120.1 see below<br>38.5 - BP3 pSB17554<br>40 - Hmlb PRC0084b pSB1764bt<br>43.1 - CSU386a pSB071 40<br>
44.7<br>
44.7<br>
457056 198808154 (45.4 AESTOI8<br>
46.2<br>
16808154 (45.4 AESTOI8<br>
46.2<br>
168081226 6881556 (45.4 BESPOI8 pSBOI64<br>
16822 68815226 688156 (45.9 pSBOI64<br>
53.1.1<br>
168090208 PAP09E06 16980201 (51.9 CESS)<br>
53.1.1<br>
168 73.9 **AEST206a pSB1662 UMC085a**<br>75.5 - CDO0344a CSU679a DM075 pPAP04A10 pSHR0119.2b <sup>(76.2)</sup> CSU474a<br>79.3 - pPAP06208<br>79.3 - pPAP06208  $-$  pPAP06E08 93.2 - HHU20%<br>94.8 - CSU354 (95.5) AEST144<br>96.3 - pSB0381 (37) R1683<br>(50.8) pSB0886c pSB140lb pSB1517b% pSB1796<br>(52.4) pSB0895 pSB0919 pSB1430 UMC047<br>(55.4) pSB0124 pSB0419c pSB1254

viously.Comparativemaps of rice andmaize (Ahn and Tanksley 1993) may help to link rice and sorghum using maize as a bridge. This may be extended similarly to wheat (Ahn et al. 1993). Comparative maps should make it possible to begin uniting the genetics of these species and allow for transfer of mapping information (including centromere positions) and molecularmarker resources (e.g., RFLP probes) between species. In addition, such maps should shed light on the nature of chromosome evolution that accompanied the radiation of grasses in the early stages of plant diversification.

The extent of colinearity and other aspects of genome structure in cereals were investigated by cloning *Sh2* homologs from sorghum and rice using the maize *Sh2* gene as a probe in screening rice and sorghum bacterial artificial chromosome libraries (Woo et al. 1994; Zhang et al. 1996). In maize, the *Sh2* and *Al* loci are separated by about 140 kbp (Civardi et al. 1994). In both sorghum and rice, an *Al* homolog is near the *Sh2* homologs, but the *Al* and *Sh2* genes are about seven times closer together than in maize (Chen et al. 1997). In addition, the sorghum *Al* homolog was tandemly duplicated. Sequencing these regions indicated that the same genes were present in all three species, but the gene density was about one per 45 kb in maize and about one per 10 kb in sorghum and rice (Chen et al. 1998). A third gene encoding a putative transcription factor was located between these two loci, but no other sequences in the region were conserved except the genes. Comparative analysis of the orthologous *adh1* regions of sorghum and maize revealed the presence of nine known or candidate genes, including *adhl,* in a 225-kbp maize sequence, whereas the homolog of the same nine genes was identified in colinear order along with five additional genes in a 78-kbp space in sorghum (Tikhonov et al. 1999).

Significantly, it was discovered that only the genes cross-hybridized between these two colinear segments of the sorghum and maize genomes. Intergenic regions are likely to have accumulated species-specific sequences, which prohibit prediction of physical distances between homologous genes in related species. This made the genomic cross-referencing technique (i.e., cross-hybridization between homologous segments) (Avramova et al. 1996) a better method for gene identification than either transcript identification (Avramova et al. 1995) or enrichment for singlecopy DNA (San Miguel et al. 1996). The combined *Al-Sh2* and *adh1* regions show that grasses often exhibit extensive colinearity and similar gene content at the 50- to 300-kbp level. Therefore, map-based cloning, genomic sequencing, and gene identification using the smaller rice and sorghum genomes will usually be simpler in these species than in maize, barley, or wheat. Thus, a successful and efficient way to find genes in a large region of a complex genome is to use a homologous colinear clone from another species.

To gain insight into the relationship between spatial organization of the genome and genome function, Avramova et al. (1998) identified the locations of the matrix attachment regions (MARs) in the colinear *sh2/a1* homologous chromosome segments of rice and sorghum (30 and 50 kbp, respectively), which could serve as anchors for individual structural units or loops. All identified genes were placed in individual loops of comparable size for homologous genes. Hence, gene composition, gene orientation, gene order, and the placement of genes into structural units have been conserved evolutionarily in this region. Their analysis demonstrated that the occurrence of various "MAR motifs" is not indicative of MAR location. However, most of the MARs discovered in the two genomic regions were found to colocalize with miniature inverted repeat transposable elements (MITEs), suggesting that MITEs preferentially insert near MARs and/or that they can serve as MARs.

The nature, timing, and lineages of most of the genic rearrangements that have differentiated the chromosome segment that is orthologous to themaize *adh1* region of sorghum, rice, and *adh1* homologous region of maize, a remnant of the tetraploid history of the *Zea* lineage over the last 60 million years, was described by Ilic et al. (2003). The rice genome has been the most stable, sharing 11 orthologous genes with sorghum and exhibiting only one tandem duplication of a gene in this region. The lineage that gave rise to sorghum and maize acquired a two-gene insertion (containing the *adh* locus), whereas sorghum received two additional gene insertions after its divergence from a common ancestor with maize. The two homoeologous regions of maize have been particularly unstable, with complete or partial deletion of three genes from one segment and four genes from the other segment. As a result, the region now contains only one duplicated locus compared with the eight original loci that were present in each diploid progenitor. Deletion of these maize genes did not remove both copies of anylocus. This study suggests that grass genomes are generally unstable in local genome organization and gene content but that some lineages are much more unstable than others.

Maize, probably because of its polyploidy origin, has exhibited extensive gene loss so that it is now approaching a diploid state. *Al* toxicity is a major constraint to crop production on acidic soils. To assess the possible ancestral relationship between *Al* tolerance genes in the grasses, Magalhaes et al. (2004) conducted a molecular genetic analysis of *Al* tolerance in sorghum and integrated their findings with those from previous studies performedin crop species belonging to different grass tribes. A single locus, AltSB, was found to control *Al* tolerance in two highly *Al*-tolerant sorghum cultivars. Significant macrosynteny between sorghum and the Triticeae was observed for molecular markers closely linked to putatively orthologous *Al* tolerance loci present in the group 4 chromosomes of wheat, barley, and rye. However, AltSB was not located within the homoeologous region of sorghum but rather mapped near the end of sorghum chromosome 3. Thus, AltSB not only is the first major*Al*tolerance gene mapped in a grass species that does not belong to the Triticeae, but it also appears to be different from the major*Al* tolerance locus in the Triticeae. Intertribe map comparisons suggest that a major*Al*tolerance QTL on rice chromosome 1 is likely to be orthologous to AltSB, whereas a rice QTL on chromosome 3 is likely to correspond to the Triticeae group 4 *Al* tolerance loci. Therefore, this study demonstrates a clear evolutionary link between genes and QTLs encoding the same trait in distantly related species within a single plant family.

To provide a phylogenetic context to two maize genes *r*1 and *b*1, which have been a rich source for studying transposition, Swigonova et al. (2004) sequenced orthologous regions from maize and sorghum (*>*600 kb) surrounding these genes and compared them with the rice genome. This comparison showed that the homoeologous regions underwent complete or partial gene deletions, selective retention of orthologous genes, and migration of nonorthologous genes.

*Rp1* is a complex resistance (R) locus in maize conferring race-specific resistance to a fungal pathogen, common leaf rust (*Puccinia sorghii*). A 268-kb chromosomal segment containing sorghum (*S. bicolor)* genes that are orthologous to the maize (*Zea mays) Rp1* disease resistance (R) gene complex was sequenced (Ramakrishna et al. 2002a) to determine structural variation for an R gene cluster that has diverged at least since the ancestral divergence of maize

and sorghum. A region of approx. 27 kb in sorghum was found to contain five *Rp1* homologs, but most have structures indicating that they are not functional. In contrast, maize inbred B73 has 15 *Rp1* homologs in two nearby clusters of 250 and 300 kb. As at maize*Rp1*, the cluster of R gene homologous in sorghum is interrupted by the presence of several genes that appear to have no resistance role, but these genes were different from those found within the maize *Rp1* complex.

Conservation of gene order between sorghum and rice is well documented, which helped to enhance our understanding of cereal genome structure and evolution (Moore et al. 1995; Shimano et al. 1995; Paterson et al. 1995a). Multani et al. (1998) demonstrated that in sorghum and rice, the homologs of a pair of unlinked duplicate genes *Hml* and *Hm2* conferring resistance to *C. carbonum race* 1 in maize map to two chromosomal regions that are syntenic with the regions in maize harboring these loci, indicating that they are related to maize genes by vertical descent. These results suggest that the Hm-encoded resistance is of ancient origin and probably is conserved in all grasses. A direct comparison of the genetic linkage maps of sorghum and rice was done by Ventelon et al. (2001). It was based on the mapping of a common set of 123 RFLP probes scattered on the genomes of both species. For each species a composite map was established by merging two individual maps comprising many common loci. This enabled them to confirm the global correspondence scheme that had previously been established between the chromosomes of sorghum and rice. Morishige et al. (2002) have developed a "gene-island" sequencing strategy that expedites the targeted acquisition of orthologous gene sequences from related species for comparative genome analysis. A 152-kb bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clone from sorghum (*S. bicolor*) encoding phytochrome A (*PHYA*) was fully sequenced, revealing 16 open reading frames with a gene density similar to many regions of the rice (*Oryza sativa*) genome. The sequences of genes in the orthologous region of the maize (*Zea mays*) and rice genomes were obtained using the gene-island sequencing method. BAC clones containing the orthologous maize and rice *PHYA* genes were identified, sheared, subcloned, and probed with the sorghum *PHYA-*containing BAC DNA. Comparative mapping of rhizomatousness between rice and *Sorghum propinquum*, a wild relative of cultivated *Sorghum*, indicated that each gene closely corresponds to two major quantitative trait loci (QTL) (Hu et al. 2003). Correspondence of these genes in rice and sorghum, which diverged from a common ancestor ca. 50 million years ago, suggests that the two genes may be key regulators of rhizome development in many poaceae.

Sequence-based alignment of sorghum and rice chromosomes was attempted by Klein et al. (2003) for refining the sorghum genetic/physical map based on the rice genome sequence. A framework of 135 BAC contigs spanning ca. 33 Mbp was anchored to sorghum chromosome 3. A limited number of sequences was collected from 118 of the BACs and subjected to BLASTX analysis to identify putative genes and BLASTN analysis to identify sequence matches to the rice genome. Extensive conservation of gene content and order between sorghum chromosome 3 and the homologous rice chromosome 1 was observed (Fig. 4). One large-scale rearrangement was detected involving the inversion of an approx. 59-cM block of the short arm of sorghum chromosome 3. Several small-scale changes in gene colinearity were detected, indicating that single genes and/or small clusters of genes have moved since the divergence of sorghum and rice. Additionally, the alignment of the sorghum physical map to the rice genome sequence allowed sequence-assisted assembly of an approx. 1.6-Mbp sorghum BAC contig.

Using bacterial artificial chromosome sequence analysis Ramakrishna et al. (2002b) have studied four orthologous regions in barley, rice, sorghum, and wheat and observed general microcolineariry to shared genes in this region. However, three genic rearrangements were observed. First, the rice region contains a cluster of 48 predicted small nucleolar RNA genes, but the comparable region from sorghum contains no homologous loci. Second, gene 2 was inverted in the barley lineage by an apparent unequal recombination after the ancestors of barley and wheat diverged 11 to 15 million years ago (mya). Third, gene 4 underwent direct tandem duplication in a common ancestor of barley and wheat 11 to 29 mya.

A duplication or diploidization event that predates divergence of taxa from a common ancestor may account for some incongruence in "comparative maps". Specifically, if gene loss were still continuing at an appreciable rate after taxon divergence occurred, then differential gene loss in independent lineages would cause incongruities in their comparative maps. To test this possibility, Paterson et al. (2004) examined a sorghum–rice comparative map developed by BLASTing sequences from 2509 genetically mapped sorghum loci (Bowers et al. 2003) against the genome assembly. The positions of 1626 corresponding loci could be plotted based on the rice physical location and sorghum genetic location. This revealed much colinearity, with eight sorghum linkage groups (A, D, E, F, G, H, I, and J) corresponding to single rice chromosomes (1, 4, 12, 2, 5, 11, 6, and 8) and two sorghum linkage groups (B and C) differing from rice by translocations between chromosomes 7/9 and 3/10, respectively.

#### **Sorghum and Sugarcane**

The first comparison between the sorghum and sugarcane genomes was mostly indirect, in which maize was used as an intermediate, but it hinted at a large degree of synteny between the genomes of two species (D'Hont et al. 1994; Grivet et al. 1994; McIntyre et al. 2004). Grivet et al. (1994) determined the syntenic genomic regions in maize, sorghum, and sugarcane according to the existing bridge loci. The distribution of these synteny clusters closely matched the duplication pattern in maize. There appear to be common chromosome rearrangements between maize and sugarcane and between maize and sorghum. In this respect, sugarcane and sorghum appear to be more closely related than either is with maize. Distances between genes were similar in maize and sorghum, whereas sugarcane tended to display less recombination.

Existence of large colinear regions among the three species (sugarcane, maize, and sorghum) was also revealed in a study involving comparative genetic mapping between duplicated segments on maize chromosomes 3 and 8 and homologous regions in sorghum and sugarcane (Dufour et al. 1996). Their results emphasize that those duplications will considerably complicate precise comparative mapping at the whole genome scale between maize and other Poaceae. A more elaborate analysis by Dufour et al. (1997) revealed a straight synteny between two pairs of sorghum and sugarcane linkage groups and a large array of colinear probes with sugarcane along the other sorghum linkage groups (Fig. 5). Similarly, colocation of RFLP markers associated with stalk number and suckering in sugarcane with QTLs associated with tillering and rhizomatousness in sorghum was reported by Jordan et al. (2004). Guimaraes et al. (1997) also observed striking colinearity between *Sorghum* and *Saccharum* genomes.

Alignment of complex polyploid genomes of three *Saccharum* species with the compact diploid genome of sorghum  $(2n = 2x = 20)$  was also reported by **Fig. 4.** Sequence-based alignment of sorghum chromosome 3 and rice chromosome 1 (Reprinted, with permission of Blackwell Publishing, from Klein et al. 2003)





**Fig. 5.** Comparative mapping between sorghum and sugarcane (Reprinted, with permission of Springer, from Dufour et al. 1997)



**Fig. 5.** (continued)





Ming et al. (1998). Genetic maps of the six *Saccharum* genotypes, constituting up to 72 linkage groups, were assembled into homologous groups based on parallel arrangements of duplicated loci. About 84% of the loci mapped by 242 common probes were homologous between *Saccharum* and sorghum. One interchromosomal and two intrachromosomal rearrangements differentiated *S. officinarum*and *S. spontaneum* from sorghum, but 11 additional cases of chromosome structural polymorphism were found within *Saccharum.* Cross utilization of microsatellites or single sequence repeats developed from sugarcane ESTs between sugarcane and sorghum revealed lower level of polymorphismin sugarcane and a significantly higher level of polymorphism in a related genus *Sorghum sp.* (Cordeiro et al. 2001).

McIntyre et al. (2004) mapped a sugarcane cDNA clone with homoeology to the maize *Rp1–D*rust resistance gene in sorghum. The cDNA probe hybridized to multiple loci, including one on sorghum linkage group E in a region where a major rust resistance QTL had been previously mapped. Partial sorghum *Rp1–D* homologs were isolated from genomic DNA of rust resistance and susceptible progeny selected from a sorghum mapping population. Sequencing of the *Rp1–D* homologs revealed five discrete sequence classes: three from resistant progeny and two from susceptible progeny. Cluster analysis of these sorghum sequences and available sugarcane, maize, and sorghum *Rp1–D* homolog sequences showed that the maize *Rp1–D* sequence and the partial sugarcane *Rp1–D* homolog were clustered with one of the sorghum resistant progeny sequence classes.

#### **Sorghum and Foxtail Millet**

Comparative mapping revealed a very close relationship between foxtail millet (Setaria italica) with haploid chromosome  $n = 9$  and sorghum with  $n = 10$ (Devos and Gale 1997). The difference in chromosome number is accounted for by the synteny of foxtail millet chromosome III with sorghum chromosomes E and I (Devos et al. 1998; Wang et al. 1998). Elsewhere, only one inversion was detected in sorghum chromosome D and one translocation involving foxtail millet chromosomes III and VII, which differentiate the two species.

## **7.3 Gene Mapping**

Determination of the relative positions of genes on a DNA molecule (chromosome or plasmid) and of the distance, in linkage units or physical units, between them is critical for marker-assisted selection, gene cloning, and elucidating the functions of these genes, thereby contributing to accelerated crop improvement. Sorghum is an important target of plant genomics because of its unusual tolerance to adverse environments, a small genome (750 Mbp) relative to most other grasses, a diverse germplasm, and utility for comparative genomics with rice, maize, and other grasses. Efforts are under way for discovery and mapping of genes in sorghum (Table 7). Boora et al. (1999) analyzed the genetic basis for resistance to leaf blight, which revealed resistance was transmitted as a dominant single-gene trait. By combining the random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) technique with bulked-segregant analysis, it was possible to identify PCR amplification products that segregated with disease response. Primer OPD12 amplified a 323-bp band (D12R) that segregated with resistance.

Molecular mapping of a gene for pollen fertility in *Al* (milo) type cytoplasm of sorghum using AFLP and SSR marker analysis was reported by Klein et al. (2001) that will facilitate the selection of pollen fertility restoration in sorghum inbred-line development and provide the foundation for map-based gene isolation. Fifteen AFLP markers were linked to fertility restoration from the initial screening with 49 unique AFLP primer combinations (+3/+3 selective basis). As many of these AFLP markers had been previously mapped to a high-density genetic map of sorghum, the target gene (*rf1*) could be mapped to linkage group H. Confirmation of the map location of *rf1* was obtained by demonstrating that additional linkage group-H markers (SSR, STS, AFLP) were linked to fertility restoration. The closest marker, AFLP *Xtxa2582,* mapped within 2.4 cM of the target loci, while two SSRs, *Xtxp and Xtxp250,* flanked the *rf1* locus at 12 cM and 10.8 cM, respectively. Wen et al. (2002) also reported three RFLP markers suitable for mapping rf4 linked to restoration of male fertility in the sorghum IS 1112 (A3) male sterile cytoplasm.

## **7.4 Detection of Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL)**

Quantitative phenotypes have been a major area of genetic study for over a century because they are a common feature of natural variation in populations of all eukaryotes. They include commercially important traits in crop plants and domestic animals as well as in vital traits in humans from hypertension to intelligence (Kearsey and Farquhar 1998). The first attempt to study individual determinants of quantitatively inherited characters in plants date back to Sax (1923). The studies on quantitative variation suffered from a lack of precision in the absence of complete genetic maps (Thoday 1961). This limitation was overcome with the advent of DNA markers detected as restriction fragment length polymorphism (Paterson et al. 1988). The advent of RFLPs and subsequent PCRbased markers has revolutionized the field of genetic mapping and gene identification in both animals and plants. The basis of all QTL detection is the identification of association between genetically determined phenotypes and specific genetic markers. In sorghum several QTLs have been associated with plant height (Lin et al. 1995) and pre- and postflowering drought tolerance (Tuinstra et al. 1996, 1997). Later Tao et al. (1998b) mapped four regions, each in a separate linkage group, associated with rust resistance (Table 8).

Subudhi et al. (2000) determined the consistency of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) controlling stay-green in sorghum, which is characterized by the plant's ability to tolerate postflowering drought stress by reevaluating the recombinant inbred line (RIL) mapping population from the cross B35  $\times$  Tx7000 in two locations over 2 years and compared it with earlier reports. Analysis using the combined stay-green-rating means of seven environments and the expanded molecular map reconfirmed all four stay-green QTLs *(Stgl, Stg2, Stg3,* and *Stg4)* that had been identified earlier by Xu et al. (2000). Similarly, comparison of the stay-green QTL locations with earlier reported results indicated that all four stay-green QTLs showed consistency across different genetic backgrounds. Sanchez et al. (2002) also identified four genomic regions associated with the stay-green trait using an RIL population developed from B35  $\times$  Tx7000, whereas Kebede et al. (2001) reported nine QTLs located over seven linkage groups for stay-green using the method of composite interval mapping. In addition, three and four major QTLs responsible for lodging tolerance and preflowering drought tolerance, respectively, were detected. Haussmann et al. (2002b) reported five to eight QTLs for the stay-green trait in two recombinant inbred populations (IS 9830  $\times$  E 36-1 and N 13  $\times$  E 36-1), and three QTLs present on linkage groups A, E, and G were common to both crosses.

Preharvest sprouting (PHS), one of the important agronomic problems in the production of sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor*(L.) Moench] in humid climates, was studied by Lijavetzky et al. (2000). A molecular linkage map was developed using 112 molecular markers in an  $F_2$  mapping population derived from a cross between IS 9530 (high resistance to PHS) and Redland B2 (susceptible to PHS). Two years' phenotypic data were obtained. By means of interval mapping analy-





sis, two significant QTLswere detectedin two different linkage groups with LOD scores of 8.77 and 4.39. Each of these two QTLs individually explained ca. 53% of the phenotypic variance, but together, in a two-QTL model, they explained 83% of the phenotypic variance with a LOD score of 12.37.

The plant *vp1* gene, which encodes a transcription factor originally identified in maize, participates in the control of the transition from embryogenesis to seed germination. Different lines of evidence suggest that *vp1* participates in preharvest sprouting resistance in cereals. Carrari et al. (2003) studied the con-

nection between *vp1* and formerly documented QTLs (Lijavetzky et al. 2000) for PHS in sorghum. Linkage analysis revealed that the sorghum *vp1* (*sbvp1*) locus is linked to markers on chromosomes 3 and 8 in maize, and this gene is not correlated with PHS.

Chantereau et al. (2001) investigated the genetic control of flowering time in sorghum using a recombinant inbred line population derived from a cross between IS 2807, a slightly photoperiod-sensitive tropical caudatum landrace, and TS 7680, a highly photoperiod-sensitive tropical guinea landrace. Emphasis was placed on identifying the most relevant traits to account for basic vegetative phase (BVP) and photoperiod sensitivity *sensus stricto.* One QTL was detected on linkage group (LG) F for the traits related to BVP. Two QTLs were detected on LGs C and H for the traits related to the photoperiod sensitivity *sensus stricto.* For nine morphological traits, including the presence vs. the absence and the height of basal tillers, number of tillers, plant height, and time of anthesis, Hart et al. (2001) mapped a minimum of 27 unique QTLs.

For resistance and tolerance to green bug (*Schizaphids grami-num* Rondani) biotypes I and K, Agrama et al. (2002) mapped 113 markers (38 SSRs and 75 RAPDs) in 12 linkage groups covering 1,530 cM. In general, nine QTLs were detected affecting both resistance and tolerance to green bug (GB) biotypes I and K. The phenotypic variance explained by each QTL ranged from 5.6 to 38.4%. For green bug biotypes C, E, I, and K, Katsar et al. (2002) also reported at least nine loci, dispersed on eight linkage groups. Tao et al. (2003) identified two and one quantitative trait loci associated with two of the mechanisms of midge resistance, antixenosis, and antibiosis, respectively, in an RI population from the cross of sorghum lines ICSV745  $\times$  90562. Haussmann et al. (2004) detected 11 and nine QTLs in two recombinant inbred populations IS9830  $\times$  E 36-1 and N13  $\times$  E36-1, respectively, for resistance to *Striga hermonthica*

#### **Comparative Mapping of QTLs**

Conversion of gene order along the chromosomes is well known to transgress species boundaries, but the extent of correspondence in the QTLs that account for variation in complex phenotypes has been a point of conjuncture. Paterson et al. (1995b) hypothesized that if QTLs in separate taxa mapped to corresponding locations more often than would be expected by chance, such a finding would strongly suggest that corresponding genes were involved in the evolution of the relevant phenotypes. They tested the hypothesis by assessing correspondence between QTLs that affect seed mass, temperate (day-neutral) flowering, and disarticulation of the mature inflorescence (shattering) in crosses between divergent sorghum, O*ryza* and Z*ea* taxa. Three QTLs that affect seed mass (size) correspond closely in sorghum, rice, and maize, and at least five additional QTLs correspond between two of these genera. Among seven QTLs that account for 52% of phenotypic variance explained (PVE) in sorghum

seed mass, five (on linkage groups A, C, E, F, and I) correspond to five of the eight QTLs that account for 78% of PVE in rice. Four of the sorghum QTLs (on linkage groups A, B, C, and F) correspond to four of the eight QTLs that account for 69% of PVE in maize. Five maize QTLs correspond to rice QTLs. Only four QTLs (two on maize chromosome 2, one on rice chromosome 5, and one on sorghum LG J) showed no correspondence. The probability that seed mass QTLs in sorghum, rice, and maize would correspond so frequently by chance is conservatively estimated as 0.1 to 0.8%. QTLs that affect seed dispersal show similar correspondence across taxa. Shattering mapped to a single locus (ca. 100% PVE) in sorghum, three loci (24% PVE) in rice, and ten loci (60% PVE) in maize. The discrete sorghum locus corresponds to rice QTLs on chromosome 9 and to maize QTLs on duplicated regions of chromosomes 1 and 5. Rice QTLs on chromosomes 2 and 3 correspond to maize QTLs on chromosome 4 and 1. Six additional QTLs influence shattering in maize but not in rice or sorghum.

The ability of many cultivated cereals to flower in the long days of summer temperatures may be largely the result of mutations at a single ancestral locus. Sorghum LG D QTL (probably *Ma1*) explains about 86% of PVE in flowering time and accounts for the dichotomy of F2 phenotypes in our day-neutral (*S*.  $bicolor) \times short-day (S. *propinquam*) cross. It also$ accounts for short-day flowering in each of the five races of *S. bicolor* (Lin et al. 1995). Short-day flowering of sugarcane is closely associated with the DNA probe PSB188 (Paterson et al. 1995b), which lies near *Ma1*. The corresponding region of maize chromosome 10 accounts for up to 26% of PVEin the flowering of a temperate/tropical cross (Koester et al. 1993). The corresponding region in wheat and barley, the short arm of the group 2 homologs, all harbor photoperiodic flowering mutants (Laurie et al. 1994). In rice, the orthologous (directly descended from a common ancestral locus) region on chromosome 4 harbors no known flowering mutants; however, short-day flowering mutations *Se1* and *Se3* both map to a region of chromosome 6 (Mackill et al. 1993; Causse et al. 1994), that is, are orthologous to sorghum LG I and paralogous (derived by duplication and subsequent divergence from a common ancestral locus) to the sorghum LG D region of *Ma1*. The *Sel/Se3* region of rice corresponds to a region of maize chromosome 9 that harbors QTLs that affect flowering in at least four populations (Lin et al. 1995). This model implies ancient duplication of regions of maize chromosomes 9 and 10 and regions of rice chromosomes 4 and 6 equivocally supported by the correspondence of *Pi2* and *Pi5t* genes that influence rice blast reaction (Causse et al. 1994). These day-length-insensitive flowering mutations are not in any of at least three genes for phytochrome, a key regulator of photomorphogenesis (Paterson et al. 1995b).

Comparative mapping has provided the basis for parallel investigations of other genetic factors. The first report of detection of orthologous QTLs with the greatest effects on seed weight in mungbean and cowpea was provided by Fatokun et al. (1992). In a similar manner, comparative mapping in maize and sorghum has revealed four putatively orthologous regions for plant height (Pereira and Lee 1995; Lee 1996) and other possible instances of orthologous QTL included regions formaturity and tillering. The putative orthologous regions for plant height are on linkage group A and the long arm of chromosome 1, D and chromosome 5, E and the long arm of chromosome 6, H and chromosome 9 of the sorghum linkage map and maize chromosome, respectively. The regions of the maize plant height QTL also contain genetic loci defined by mutants with qualitative effects on stature, such as *br1* and *an1* on chromosome 1, *na1* and *td1* on chromosome 5, *py1* on chromosome 6, and *d*3 on chromosome 9. The effects of some of these maize mutants strongly resemble those of the sorghum plant height QTL and *dw*loci. At least three of themaize loci, *an1*, *br1*, and*d*3, have been taggedwith transposons or cloned by various laboratories. These sequences could be used to isolate the related gene from sorghum and further assess the degree and nature of conservation between these two genomes. In sorghum, each region has a major effect on that trait and on a unique suite of other traits (e.g., tillering, panicle dimensions, leaf length, and width), much like some of the *dw* loci in sorghum. Interestingly, plant height mutants at maize genetic loci in related regions have pleiotropic effects on some of the same combinations of traits as the sorghum QTL and the candidate *dw* loci. Possible duplication of QTLs that affect the height of sorghum and maize has also been reported (Lin et al. 1995).

Evidence for several other orthologous regions has also been provided through comparative QTL analysis (Lee 1996). For example, a region of linkage group A (*isu033* to *isul23)* was strongly associated with tillering and production of lateral branches. This region of the sorghum genome is most closely related to the long arm of chromosome 1 of maize. This region

of the maize genome is the site of a genetic locus, *tb1.* The mutant phenotype at that locus is characterized by the production of many tillers and lateral branchesin amanner strongly resembling the tillering QTL in sorghum. Other possible instances of orthologous QTL included regions for maturity. These observations suggest that the conservation of the maize and sorghum genomes encompass sequence homology, colinearity, and function despite their divergence millions of years ago and subsequent evolution in different hemispheres with contrasting ecogeographical conditions. Thus, comparative QTLmapping provides a means to unify, and thereby simplify, molecular analysis of complex phenotypes.

## **7.5 Marker-Assisted Breeding**

### **7.5.1 Marker Conversions**

Molecular markers help unravel patterns of diversity in crops and their wild relatives. DNA markers are used to evaluate the genetic variation in gene banks as well as to identify phylogenetic and molecular structure of crops and their associated wild species. Molecular-assisted genetic analysis provides a means to locate and select genes controlling important agronomic, pest-resistance, stress-tolerance, and food quality traits.

For leaf blight resistance, Boora et al. (1999) developed RAPD primer OPD12, and a 332-bp PCR band has been converted into SCAR, which resulted in the amplification of a single major band of the predicted size from all the resistant  $F_2$  progeny and the resistant parent SC326-6, but not from BT  $\times$  623 or 24 of 29 susceptible  $F_2$  progeny. The SCAR primers also amplified a single band with DNA from TS3620C, the female parent in a cross with BT  $\times$  623 that has been used to produce a recombinant inbred population for RFLP mapping. An equivalent band was amplified from all 137 recombinant inbred progeny, indicating that organelle DNA is the amplification target in this cross.

The gene *rf4* restores fertility in IS1112 (A3) male sterile cytoplasm, for which three AFLP markers were identified and subsequently converted to STS/CAPS markers, two of which are codominant (Wen et al. 2002). Markers LW8 and LW9 were used to screen sorghum BAC libraries to identify the genomic region encoding *rf4*. A contig of BAC clones flanking the LW9 marker represents seed clones on linkage group E, from which fine mapping of the *rf4* locus and chromosome mapping can be initiated.

### **7.5.2 Marker-Assisted Selection**

Conventional plant breeding is primarily based on phenotypic selection of superior individuals among segregating progenies resulting from hybridization. Although significant strides have been made in crop improvement through phenotypic selections for agronomically important traits, considerable difficulties are often encountered during this process primarily due to genotype-environment interactions. Molecular-marker-assisted selection (MAS) involves selection of plants carrying genomic regions that are involved in the expression of traits of interest through molecular markers. With the development and availability of an array of molecular markers and dense molecular genetic maps in crop plants, MAS has become possible for traits governed by both major genes and by quantitative trait loci (QTLs).

Grain mold caused by*Curvularia lunata* (Wakker) Boedijn is a serious disease on sorghum especially when grain development coincides with wet and warm weather conditions. Rooney and Klein (2000) identified five QTLs on linkage groups D, E, F, G, and I using a mapping population consisting of 125  $F_5$  RILs from a cross between RT  $\times$  430  $\times$  Sureno. Five populations were developed using Sureno as grain mold resistant parent. From each cross,  $F_2$  progeny were selected based on maturity and short plant height. A total of 1,000  $F_{2:3}$  lines were evaluated for agronomic desirability and grain mold resistance. From this evaluation, a total of 100  $F_{3:4}$  lines were selected and advanced. In the  $F_4$  generation, an array of molecular markers linked to the sorghum grain mold QTL was screened. To test the effectiveness of MAS, lines from each population were classified for QTL marker alleles at each of the five loci. This comparison indicated that only one of the five QTLs enhanced selection for grain mold resistance. The presence of the Sureno allele in LG-F enhanced mold resistance. MAS was clearly effective in the population derived from crosses with  $RT \times 430$  since these QTLs were developed in this population (Rooney and Klein 2000).

Drought is another major limiting factor in sorghum productivity. Moisture stress during both pre- and postflowering stages reduces sorghum yield drastically. Therefore, improvement in both preand postflowering drought tolerance is necessary to improve and stabilize productivity of sorghum in stress environments. Subudhi et al. (2000) have identified QTLs for stay-green, postflowering drought tolerance trait using three random inbred lines (RILs). Near-isogenic lines (NILs) for stay-green QTLs have been developed using MAS to dissect the QTL regions and to determine the effect of QTLs in stress environments.

Jordan et al. (2003) investigated the value of molecular-marker-based distance information to identify high-yielding grain sorghum hybrids in Australia. Data from 48 trials were used to produce hybrid performance estimates for four traits (yield, height, maturity, and stay-green) for 162 hybrid combinations derived from 70 inbred parent lines. Each line was screened with 113 mapped RFLP markers. The researchers utilized the concept of using diversity on linkage groups to predict hybrid performance. Using data from just two linkage groups, 38% of the variation in hybrid performance for grain yield could be explained. A model combining phenotypic trait data and parental diversity on particular linkage groups explained 71% of the variation in grain yield and has potential for use in the selection of heterotic hybrids.

## **7.6 Physical Mapping in Sorghum**

Molecular physical mapping will provide an invaluable, readily accessible system for many detailed genetic studies. The development of large DNA fragment (*>*100 kb) manipulation and cloning technologies, such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), and yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) (Burke et al. 1987) and bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) (Shizuya et al. 1992) cloning have provided the powerful tools needed to generate molecular physical maps for genomes of higher organisms. Once generated, the physical map will provide a virtually unlimited number of DNA markers from any chromosomal region for gene tagging, gene manipulation, and genetic studies. It will also provide an online framework for studies in genome molecular structure, genome organization, evolution, and gene regulation. The identification, isolation, characterization, and manipulation of genes will become far more user feasible than ever before. The physical map, therefore, will become central to all types of genetic and molecular enquiry and manipulation, including genome analysis, gene cloning, and crop improvement.

The first construction and characterization of a 2.7  $\times$  BAC library from *S. bicolor* cultivar BT  $\times$  623 with 13,440 ordered clones and average insert size of 157 kbp was reported by Woo et al. (1994). Sorghum inserts of up to 315 kbp were isolated and shown to be stable when grown for over 100 generations in liquid media. No chimeric clones were detected as determined by fluorescence in situ hybridization of 10 BAC clones to metaphase and interphase *S. bicolor* nuclei. Lin et al. (1999) constructed and characterized a 6.6× BAC library of *Sorghum propinquum,* with 38,016 clones and average insert size of 126 kbp. This wild relative of sorghum has been utilized in RFLP linkage mapping and QTL analysis of many important traits related to domestication and productivity (Chittenden et al. 1994; Lin et al. 1995; Paterson et al. 1995a,b). Further, *S. propinquum*appears to have been the ancestor that conferred many "weediness" traits to johnsongrass (*S*. *helepense)* and so offers opportunities to pursue new dimensions in agricultural research (Paterson et al. 1995a). This *S. propinquum* library is a valuable complement to an established *S. bicolor* BAC library (Woo et al. 1994) for the cloning of genes associated with domestication and many other traits. Six traits related to domestication were analyzed in the  $F_2$  of a cross between *S. bicolor* cultivar  $BT \times 623$ and *S. propinquum*. *S. propinquum* possessed most of the dominant alleles at five traits (grain shattering, plant height, flowering time, tiller number, and rhizomatousness). Dominant and additive alleles have an advantage over recessive alleles in physical mapping, and the testing of candidate DNA sequences for mutant complementation requires that the candidate sequence be genetically dominant or additive. Thus, BAC libraries of wild species offer unique advantages for map-based cloning that harbor dominant and additive alleles for many traits of agronomic importance. Bowers et al. (2001) reported their efforts toward the construction of two physical maps of sorghum based on a 6 × coverage BAC library of *S. propinquum* and 14× coverage BAC library of *S. bicolor*. Markers from a 2,600-loci RFLP-based genetic map of sorghum are being used to probe the BAC libraries either as individual plasmid probes or by using synthetically designed overgo probes. Attempts at constructing robust physical maps of sorghum using a high-density RFLP map

as a framework were also reported by Draye et al. (2001); such a map is being assembled by integrating hybridization and fingerprint data with comparative data from related taxa such as rice and using new methods to resolve genomic duplications into locusspecific groups. By taking advantage of allelic variation revealed by heterologous probes, the positions of corresponding loci on the wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), rice, maize, sugarcane, and Arabidopsis genomes are being interpolated on the sorghum physical map. Bacterial artificial chromosomes for the small genome of rice are shown to close several gaps in the sorghum contigs. Characterwise positional cloning efforts are discussed below.

Seed dispersal via disarticulation of inflorescence, or shattering, is an important agronomic trait contributing to significant yield loss in many common cereal crops. Isolation of shattering genes can enhance our understanding of the seed dispersal process and perhaps help us to reduce grain losses. Lin (1998) focused on positional cloning of the sorghum shattering gene, *Sh1*, and used substitution mapping to narrow down the chromosome segment associated with *Shl* to 0.8 cM. Based on these data, *Shl* cosegregates with RZ474 and is flanked by pSB097 and BCD1072b. These three RFLP markers were used to screen the *S. propinquum* BAC library. Twelve BAC clones with an average size of 113 kbp were identified, and nine of them formed a contig spanning the region of pSB097 and RZ474 (*Shl*). Wise et al. (2002) also screened the *S. propinquum* BAC library with DNA markers closely linked to *sh1* for the fine mapping of a chromosomal segment associated with *sh1.* Interval mapping showed that *sh1* cosegregated with one marker, SOG0128, that is located between markers SOG0251 and SOG1273 in a genetic interval of 0.42 cM. Thirteen BACs that hybridized markers in the region formed one contig. One BAC, 39E21, spanned a large part of the contig with SOG0251 at one end, and the *sh1* cosegregation marker SOG0128 near the middle. Sequencing revealed this BAC to be 220 kb in size. But the researchers were unable to extend the BAC contig at satisfactory stringency to include the BAC hybridizing marker SOG1273.

Lin (1998) studied characteristics of photoperiodic-sensing genes in sorghum, a short-day plant, focusing on positional cloning of the sorghum photoperiodic flowering gene, *Ma*1. Previous work on comparative mapping of flowering-time QTLs in the Poaceae has revealed that *Ma1* may be homologous to sugarcane, maize, barley, and wheat photoperiodic flowering genes and paralogous to rice photoperiodic flowering genes. Substitution mapping was used to narrow down the chromosomal segment containing *Ma1* to 0.5 cM. The two most closely linked RFLP markers, pSB1113 and CDSR084, were used to screen a *S. propinquum* BAC library. These two markers hybridized to ten BAC clones with an average size of 190 kbp, which set the stage for chromosome walking to clone *Mal*. Positional cloning and subsequent analysis of the sorghum photoperiodic flowering gene will pave the way to understanding how photoperiodic genes regulate flowering in response to day length.

Stay-green is an important postflowering drought resistance trait in sorghum. With the objective of isolating the drought resistance genes in sorghum, markers linked to stay-green QTLs (Xu et al. 2000) were used for screening the BAC libraries in Henry Nguyen's laboratory. Several positive BAC clones corresponding to the stay-green QTL 1 and 2 regions were identified, and these positive BACs fall entirely into five contigs. Simultaneously, large mapping populations have been developed using near-isogenic lines for the stay-green QTL regions for fine mapping. Identification of BACs in conjunction with the NIL mapping populations will be a useful starting point for chromosome walking toward the stay-green genes.

The liguleless (*lg-1*) linkage group is a highly conserved region of the rice and maize genome (Ahn and Tanksley 1993). Zwick et al. (1998) used fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) for physical mapping of BACs to analyze the *liguleless (lg-1)* linkage group in sorghum and compared it to the conserved region in rice and maize. Six *liguleless-*associated rice RFLP markers were used to select 16 homoeologous sorghum BACs, which were in turn used to physically map the *liguleless* linkage group in sorghum. Results show a basic conservation of the *liguleless*region in sorghum relative to the linkage map of rice. Selected BACs, representing RFLP loci, were end-cloned for RFLP mapping, and the relative linkage order of these clones was in full agreement with the physical data. Similarities in locus order and the association of RFLP-selected BAC markers with two different chromosomes were found to exist between the linkagemap of the *liguleless* region in maize and the physical map of the *liguleless* region in sorghum.

Fertility restorer gene *Rf1* in sorghum is very important because of its critical role in hybrid seed production. Klein et al. (2004) utilized four BAC libraries from two unique sorghum genotypes to create an in-

tegrated genetic, physical, and cytological map of the sorghum genome targeting *Rf1* gene for positional cloning. Initial cytological examination of this genomic region suggested that the physical size of the trait locus was amenable to positional cloning. A minimum tiling path of BAC clones spanning the*Rf1* locus was subsequently assembled. A key feature in physical map closure in the *Rf1* region was the exploitation of the synteny between rice and sorghum to identify sorghum BACs that span gaps in the sorghum physical map. A 0.5-Mbp genomic region surrounding *Rf1* was sequenced. The development of a high-resolution map for the *Rf1* locus was accomplished in part by identifying sequence polymorphisms in overlapping BACs derived from two unique sorghum genotypes. The culmination of these efforts was the identification of amember of the pentatricopeptide repeat gene family that cosegregates with *Rf1*.

Development of modified cDNA selection protocol to aid the discovery and mapping of genes across an integrated genetic and physical map of the sorghum genome has been reported by Childs et al. (2001). BAC DNA from the sorghum genome map was isolated and covalently bound in arrayed tubes for efficient liquid handling. Amplifiable cDNA sequence tags were isolated by hybridization to individual sorghum BACs, cloned, and sequenced.Analysis of a fully sequenced sorghum BAC indicated that about 80% of known or predicted genes were detected in the sequence tags, including multiple tags from different regions of individual genes. Data from cDNA selection using the fully sequenced BAC indicate that the occurrence of mislocated cDNA tags is very low. Analysis of 35 BACs (5.25 Mb) from sorghum linkage group B revealed (and therefore mapped) two sorghum genes and 58 sorghum ESTs. Additionally, 31 cDNA tags that had significant homologies to genes from other species were also isolated. The modified cDNA selection procedure described will be useful for genomewide gene discovery and EST mapping in sorghum and for comparative genomics of sorghum, rice, maize, and other grasses.

## **7.7 Structural Genomics**

Structural genomic resources for *S. bicolor* (L.) Moench were applied by Islam-Faridi et al. (2002) to target and develop multiple molecular cytogenetic probes that would provide extensive coverage for a specific chromosome of sorghum. Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones containing molecular markers mapped across sorghum linkage group A were labeled as probes for fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Signals from single-, dual-, and multiprobe BAC-FISH to spreads of mitotic chromosomes and pachytene bivalents were associated with the largest sorghum chromosome, which bears the nucleolus organizing region (NOR). The order of individual BAC-FISH loci along the chromosome was fully concordant with that of marker loci along the linkage map. In addition, the order of several tightly linked molecular markers was clarified by FISH analysis. The FISH results indicated that markers from the linkage map positions 0.0 to 81.8 cM reside in the short arm of chromosome 1 whereas markers from 81.8 to 242.9 cM are located in the long arm of chromosome 1. The centromere and NOR were located in a large heterochromatic region that spans  $~\sim 60\%$  of chromosome 1. In contrast, this region represents only 0.7% of the total genetic map distance of this chromosome. Variation in recombination frequency among euchromatic chromosomal regions also was apparent. The integrated data underscore the value of cytological data because minor errors and uncertainties in linkage maps can involve huge physical regions. The successful development of multiprobe FISH cocktails suggests that it is feasible to develop chromosome-specific "paints" from genomic resources rather than flow sorting or microdissection and that, when applied to pachytene chromatin, such cocktails provide an especially powerful framework for mapping. Such a molecular cytogenetic infrastructure would be inherently crosslinked with other genomic tools and thereby establish a cytogenomics system with extensive utility in development and application of genomic resources, cloning, transgene localization, development of plant "chromonomics", germplasm introgression, and marker-assisted breeding. In combination with previously reported work, the results indicate that a sorghum cytogenomics system would be partially applicable to other gramineous genera but recent publication by Kim et al. (2004) has changed this notion completely. They have used FISH-based karyotyping in metaphase chromosomes of elite inbred BT  $\times$  623 to estimate the molecular size and to establish a size-based nomenclature for sorghum chromosomes. This size-based nomenclature for BT  $\times$  623 represents a reasonable choice as the standard

for a unified chromosome nomenclature. Adoption of such a common reference for nomenclature of sorghum chromosomes and a related nomenclature for linkage groups would definitely facilitate development of gramineous genomics, e.g., by enhancing communication between research groups and data usage across genome maps. The unified nomenclature system for chromosomes and linkage groups of line BT  $\times$  623 provides a reasonable basis for a genomic nomenclature for *S. bicolor* in that this line is readily available, highly inbred, and extensively used for genetic, breeding, and genomics research. However, caution must be exercised in applying the nomenclature to other mapping endeavors because the incidence of structural rearrangements in sorghum is inadequately studied, so it remains reasonably likely that genomes of mapping parents differ structurally (Kim et al. 2004)

## **7.8 Functional Genomics**

The complete sequence of the Arabidopsis [*Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Hyenh.] and rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genomes ushered plant biology into the postgenomic era. From being largely a genetic black box, the genome sequence is revealing all the possible genes that make up a flowering plant. Now the goal for plant biologists in the postgenome era is to understand the function of every gene and how individual gene products interact and contribute to major plant processes. This new challenge for plant functional genomics is destined to become the most difficult hurdle in plant biology and requires the systematic application of global molecular approaches integrated through bioinformatics. Several tools are now required to decipher gene function including the traditional methods of random mutagenesis, gene knockout and silencing, and the new high-throughput "omic" disciplines of transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics. In the last few years, new techniques for the global analysis of gene expression (including microarrays and DNA chips) using thousands of sequences at a time have been rapidly changing the way to do research to determine gene expression and function for both basic and applied objectives. This shift from the analysis of one gene at a time to thousands at a time has created opportunities to dramatically increase the rate of gene discovery in higher plants and animals. For an important agronomic crop such as sorghum, the traits of interest include preharvest sprouting, shattering, flowering and fertility, nutritional quality, disease and insect resistance, photosynthesis, drought tolerance, and many others.

### **7.8.1 Development of ESTs**

Expressed sequence tags (ESTs) are currently the most widely sequenced nucleotide commodity from plant genomes in terms of the number of sequences and the total nucleotide count. ESTs provide a robust sequence resource that can be exploited for gene discovery, genome annotation, and comparative genomics (Rudd 2003). To date, 190,949 ESTs in *S. bicolor*, 21,387 in *S. propinquum*, and 1,641 in *S. halepense* (Johnsongrass) have been submitted to GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ dbEST/dbEST\_summary.html; as of 26 November 2004) from various global EST sequencing projects.

### **7.8.2 Gene Function Analysis**

With the advancement of bioinformatics, sequence analysis of molecular probes to assign function has been realized. Schloss et al. (2002) collected and analyzed DNA sequence data for 789 previously mapped RFLP probes from *S. bicolor* (L.) Moench. DNA sequences, comprising 894 nonredundant contigs and end sequences, were searched against three Gen-Bank databases, nucleotide (nt), protein (nr), and EST (dbEST), using BLAST algorithms. Matching ESTs were also searched against nt and nr. Translated DNA sequences were then searched against the conserved domain database (CDD) to determine if functional domains/motifs were congruent with the proteins identified in previous searches. More than half (500/894 or 56%) of the query sequences had significant matches in at least one of the GenBank searches. Overall, proteins identified for 148 sequences (17%) were consistent among all searches, of which 66 sequences (7%) contained congruent coding domains.

The 3-deoxyanthocyanidins, a unique class of flavonoid phytoalexins, have been reported to be synthesized in sorghum in response to fungal infection. Lo et al. (2002) studied the biosynthetic pathways for 3-deoxyflavonoids, which are known to involve transcriptional activation of chalcone synthase (CHS). CHS, or naringenin CHS, catalyzes the formation of naringenin, the precursor for different flavonoids. They have isolated seven sorghum CHS genes, CHS1 7, from a genomic library on high-density filters. CHS1 7 genes are highly conserved and closely related to the maize C2 and Whp genes. Several of them are also linked in the genome. These findings suggest that they are the result of recent gene-duplication events. Expression of the individual CHS genes was studied *in silico* by examination of EST data available in the public domain. Analyses suggested that CHSl 7 genes were not differentially expressed in the various growth and developmental conditions represented by the cDNA libraries used to generate the EST data. However, a CHS-like gene, CHS8, was identified with significantly higher EST abundance in the pathogeninduced library. CHS8 shows only 81 to 82% identity to CHSl 7 and forms a distinct subgroup in the phylogenetic analysis. In addition, the active site region contains substitutions that distinguish CHS8 from naringenin CHS. The researchers proposed that CHS8 has evolved new enzymatic functions that are involved in the synthesis of defense-related flavonoids, such as the 3-deoxyanthocyanidins, during fungal infection.

Complete sequences of mitochondrial (mt) genomes or chondrions are now available from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. As a consequence of recombination, the order and localization of mitochondrial genes differ largely among plant chondrions. But cotranscripts for two mt genes, *nad*3 and *rps*12, are conserved within angiosperms and also in gymnosperms. The *nad*3 gene codes for a subunit of the mitochondrial NADH-ubichinonoxidoreductase complex, while the *rps*12 gene product is a protein of the mitochondrial small ribosomal subunit. Howad and Kempken (1997) have cloned and sequenced the *nad3-rps12* genes from *S. bicolor.* The DNA sequence was very similar to known sequences from wheat or maize. Both genes were cotranscribed. A total of 17 RNA editing sites in *nad3* and six editing sites in *rps12* were detected. Cotranscripts exhibited a low degree of RNA editing, which was the same in four different fertile and cytoplasmic male sterile lines. In contrast to *atp6* RNA editing, no cell-type specific loss of RNA editing was observed.

Photosynthesis depends upon the strict compartmentalization of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -assimilatory enzymes of the C4and Calvin cycle in two different cell types, mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells. A differential accumulation is also observed for enzymes of other metabolic pathways, and mesophyll and bundle-sheath chloroplasts of NADP-malic enzyme type C4 plants differ even in their photosynthetic electron transport chains. A large number of studies indicate that this division of labor between mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells is the result of differential gene expression. To investigate the extent of this differential gene expression and thus gain insight into the genetic basis of C4 photosynthesis, Wyrich et al. (1998) cataloged genes that are differentially expressed in the mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells in the NADP-malic enzyme type C<sub>4</sub> grass *S. bicolor*. A total of 58 cDNAs were isolated by differential screening. Using a tenfold difference in transcript abundance between mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells as a criterion, 25 cDNAs were confirmed to encode mesophyll-specific gene sequences, and eight were found to encode bundlesheath-specific sequences. Eight mesophyll-specific cDNAs showed no significant similarities within Gen-Bank and may therefore represent candidates for the elucidation of hitherto unknown functions in the differentiation ofmesophyll and bundle-sheath cells. The chromosomal location of 50 isolated cDNAs was determined by RFLP mapping using an interspecific sorghum cross.

Bak et al. (1998) have isolated a cDNA encoding the multifunctional cytochrome P450, CYP71EI, involved in the biosynthesis of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin from *S. bicolor* (L.) Moench. A PCR approach based on three consensus sequences of A-type cytochromes P450 – (V/T) KEX (L/F) R, FXPERF, and PFGXGRRXCXG – was applied. Three novel P450 cytochromes (CYP71E1, CYP98, and CYP99), in addition to a PCR fragment encoding sorghum cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase, were obtained. Reconstitution experiments with recombinant CYP71E1 heterologously expressed in *Escherichia coli* and sorghum NADPH-cytochrome P450-reductase in L-a-dilaurylphosphatidyl choline micelles identified CYP71E1 as the P450 cytochrome that catalyzes the conversion of p-hydroxyphenylacetaldoxime top-hydroxymandelonitrile in dhurrin biosynthesis. In accordance with the proposed pathway for dhurrin biosynthesis, CYP71E1 catalyzes the dehydration of the oxime to the corresponding nitrile, followed by a C-hydroxylation of the nitrile to produce p-hydroxymandelonitrile. In vivo administration of oxime to *E. coli* cells results in the accumulation of the nitrile, which indicates that the flavodoxin/flavodoxin reductase system in *E. coli* is only able to support CYP71E1 in the dehydration

reaction and not in the subsequent C-hydroxylation reaction. CYP79 catalyzes the conversion of tyrosine to p-hydroxyphenylacetaidoxime, the first committed step in the biosynthesis of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin. Reconstitution of both CYP79 and CYP7 IE1 in combination with sorghum NADPH-cytochrome P450-reductase resulted in the conversion of tyrosine to p-hydroxymandelonitrile, i.e., the membranous part of the biosynthetic pathway of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin. Isolation of the cDNA for CYP71E1 together with the previously isolated cDNA for CYP79 provided important tools necessary for the tissue-specific regulation of cyanogenic glucoside levels in plants to optimize food safety and pest resistance.

Preharvest sprouting (PHS) in sorghum is related to the lack of a normal dormancy level during seed development and maturation. Carrari et al. (2001) used a PCR-based approach to isolate two *S. bicolor* genomic and cDNA clones from two genotypes exhibiting different PHS behavior and sensitivity to abscisic acid (ABA). The two 699 amino-acid-predicted protein sequences differ in two residues at positions 341 (Gly or Cys within the repression domain) and 448 (Pro or Ser) and show over 80, 70, and 60% homology to maize, rice, and oat *vp1* proteins, respectively. Expression analysis of the sorghum *vp1* gene in the two lines shows a slightly higher level of *vp1* mRNA in the embryos susceptible to PHS than in those resistant to PHS during embryogenesis. However, timing of expression was different between these genotypes during this developmental process. Whereas for the former the main peak of expression was observed at 20 d after pollination (DAP), the peak in the latter was found at later developmental stages when seed maturation was almost complete. Under favorable germination conditions and in the presence of fluridone (an inhibitor of ABA biosynthesis), sorghum *vp1* mRNA proved to be consistently correlated with sensitivity to ABA but not with ABA content and dormancy.

Sorghum is attacked by *Colletrotrichum sublineolum,* which causes leaf blight. Goodwin et al. (2004) analyzed the types of genes being expressed and their level of expression by conducting single-pass, partial sequencing of cDNA clones to generate expressed sequence tags (ESTs). They compared expressed sequence tag redundancy between EST collections from resistant and susceptible *S. bicolor* inoculated with *C. sublineolum.* Differences in expressed sequence redundancy between interactions included a greater abundance of heat shock protein ESTs in the susceptible interaction and a greater abundance of cystine proteinase ESTs in the resistant interaction.

## **7.9 Future Prospects**

Population trends predict increasing food needs, while progress in developmental and genomic plant sciences offer new opportunities for crop improvement. Sorghum is an important target for molecular genetic studies because of its adaptation to harsh environments, diverse germplasm collection, smaller genome size, and value for comparing the genomes of grass species such as corn, rice, and sugarcane. Concerted efforts over the past one and a half decades have greatly helped in the construction of integrated and highly saturated molecular maps in sorghum, and the majority of the agronomically important genes have been tagged. Successful utilization of this information in sorghum genetic improvement has not yet been realized. This is largely due to lack of application of marker information in marker-assisted breeding. Molecular breeders must reassess their strategies and design efficient MAS programs to augment efforts in breeding for better plant types to meet the growing needs of modern agriculture.

The most noted accomplishment is in the filed of comparative genomics as sorghum stands central in the Andropogoneae tribe. Sorghum has also served as a model to bridge the comparative analysis between the grass relatives. Conservation of gene order across cereal genomes is evident from several studies. However, very little information is available on chromosome walking and positional cloning of agriculturally important genes in sorghum to facilitate isolation of orthologous genes in the related crop species and vice versa. Physical mapping efforts were initiated (Woo et al. 1994; Lin 1998; Klein et al. 2000; Bowers et al. 2001) and are near completion, which will eventually provide innumerable number of DNA markers from any chromosomal region for map-based gene isolation and a better understanding of genome organization, evolution, and gene regulation.

Recent programs to understand the function of every gene and how individual gene products interact and contribute to major plant processes resulted in the development and deposition of 190,949 sorghum ESTs in GenBank. Utilization of corresponding cDNA clone libraries in large-scale expression profiling will prove to be a valuable resource for gene discovery implicated in plant development processes, disease and insect resistance, drought tolerance, and nutritional qualities.

With the availability of these efficient molecular biology tools in hand, there is a great potential for the exploitation of large genetic diversity as yet untapped so far in sorghum. Furthermore, application of novel gene-combining techniques has the potential to meet the challenges of increasing the productivity of sorghum.

*Acknowledgement.* We thank Frank A. Feltus and John E. Bowers of the Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, USA, for their critical reading of the manuscript and R.L. Ravikumar, Associate Professor (Genetics and Plant Breeding) UAS, Dharwad, India, for providing information on classical breeding achievements.

#### **References**

- Agrama A, Widle E, Reese C, Campbell R, Tuinstra R (2002) Genetic mapping of QTLs associated with greenbug resistance and tolerance in *Sorghum bicolor*. Theor Appl Genet 104:1373–1378
- Ahn SN, Tanksley SD (1993) Comparative linkage maps of the rice and maize genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:7980–7984
- Ahn SN, Anderson JA, Sorrels ME, Tanksley SD (1993) Homoeologous relationships of rice, wheat and maize chromosomes. Mol Gen Genet 241:483–490
- Arumunganathan K, Earle ED (1991) Nuclear DNA content of some important species. Plant Mol Biol Rep 9:208–218
- Avramova Z, SanMiguel P, Georgieva E, Bennetzen JL (1995) Matrix attachment regions and transcribed sequences within a long chromosomal continuum containing maize Adh1. Plant Cell 7:1667–1680
- Avramova Z, Tikhonov A, SanMiguel P, Jin YK, Liu C, Woo SS, Wing RA, Bennetzen JL (1996) Gene identification in a complex chromosomal continuum by local genomic cross-referencing. Plant J 10:1163–1168
- Avramova Z, Tikhonov A, Chen M, Bennetzen JL (1998) Matrix attachment regions and structural collinearity in the genomes of two grass species. Nucleic Acids Res 26:761–767
- Bak S, Kahn RA, Nielsen HL, Moller BL, Halkier BA (1998) Cloning of three A-type cytochromes P450, CYP71E1, CYP98, and CYP99 from *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench by a PCR approach and identification by expression in *Escherichia coli* of CYP71E1 as a multifunctional cytochrome

P450 in the biosynthesis of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin. Plant Mol Biol 36:393–405

- Bennetzen JL, Freeling M (1993) Grasses as a single genetic system: genome composition, collinearity, and compatibility. Trends Genet 9:259–261
- Bhattramakki D, Donj J, Chhabra AK, Hart GE (2000) An integrated SSR and RFLP linkage map of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Genome 43:988–1002
- Binelli GL, Gianfranceschi L, Pe ME, Taramino G, Busso C, Stenhouse J, Ottaviano E (1992) Similarity of maize and sorghum genomes as revealed by maize RFLP probes. Theor Appl Genet 84:10–16
- Boivin K, Deu M, Rami J-F, Trouche G, Hamon P (1999) Towards a saturated sorghum map using RFLP and AFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 98:320–328
- Boora KS (1999) A molecular marker that segregates with sorghum leaf blight resistance in one cross is maternally inherited in another. Mol Gen Genet 261:317–322
- Bowers JE, Burow GB, Kaivin C, Draye X, Hooks CA, Lemke C, Marler B, Presting GG, Begum D, Blackmon B, Wing RA, Paterson AH (2001) Development of a BAC based physical map of sorghum. In: Plant and Animal Genome IX Conf, San Diego
- Bowers JE, Abbey C, Anderson S, Chang C, Draye X, Hoppe AH, Jessup R, Lemke C, Lennington J, Li Z, Lin YR, Liu SC, Luo L, Marler BS, Ming R, Mitchell SE, Qiang D, Reischmann K, Schulze SR, Skinner DN, Wang YW, Kresovich S, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (2003) A high-density genetic recombination map of sequence-tagged sites for sorghum, as a framework for comparative structural and evolutionary genomics of tropical grains and grasses. Genetics 165:367–86
- Brown MS (1943) Haploid plants in sorghum. J Hered 34:163–166
- Burke DT, Carle GFa, Olson MV (1987) Cloning of large segments of exogenous DNA into yeast by means of artificial chromosome vectors. Science 236:805–811
- Carrari F, Perez-Flore L, Lijavetzky D, Enciso S, Sanchez R, Benech-Arnold R, Iusem N (2001) Cloning and expression of a sorghum gene with homology to maize *vp1*. Its potential involvement in pre-harvest sprouting resistance. Plant Mol Biol 45:631–640
- Carrari F, Benech-Arnold R, Osuna-Fernandez R, Hopp E, Sanchez R, Iusem N, Lijavetzky D (2003) Genetic mapping of the *Sorghum bicolor vp1* gene and its relationship with preharvest sprouting resistance. Genome 46:253–8
- Causse MA, Fulton TM, Cho YG, Ahn SN, Chunwongse J, Wu K, Xiao J, Yu Z, Ronald PC, Harrington SE et al (1994) Saturated molecular map of the rice genome based on an interspecific backcross population. Genetics 138:1251–74
- Celarier RP (1959) Cytotaxonomy of the andropogoneae. III. Sub-tribe Sorgheae, genus, Sorghum. Cytologia (Tokyo) 21:272
- Chantereau J, Trouche G, Rami JF, Deu M, Barro C, Grivet L (2001) RFLP mapping of QTLs for photoperiod response in tropical sorghum. Euphytica 120:183–194
- Chen M, San Miguel P, de Oliveira AC, Woo SS, Zhang H, Wing RA, Bennetzen JL (1997) Microcolinearity in *sh2* homologous regions of the maize, rice, and sorghum genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:3431–3435
- Chen M, SanMiguel P, Bennetzen JL (1998) Sequence organization and conservation in sh2/a1-homologous regions of sorghum and rice. Genetics 148:435–43
- Childs KL, Klein RR, Klein PE, Morishige DT, Mullet JE (2001) Mapping genes on an integrated sorghum genetic and physical map using cDNA selection technology. Plant J 27:243–55
- Chittenden LM, Schertz KF, Lin YR, Wing RA, Paterson AH (1994) A detailed RFLP map of *Sorghum bicolor* ×*Sorghum propinquum*, suitable for high density mapping, suggests ancestral duplication of sorghum chromosomes or chromosomal segments. Theor Appl Genet 87:925–933
- Civardi L, Xia Y, Edwards KJ, Schnable PS, Nikolau BJ (1994) The relationship between genetic and physical distances in the cloned *a1-sh2* interval of the *Zea mays* L. genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:8268–72
- Cordeiro GM, Casu R, McIntyre CL, Manners JM, Henry RJ (2001) Microsatellite markers from sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) ESTs cross transferable to erianthus and sorghum. Plant Sci 160:1115–1123
- Crasta OR, Xu WW, Rosenow DT, Mullet J, Nguyen HT (1999) Mapping of post-flowering drought resistance traits in grain sorghum: association between QTLs influencing premature senescence and maturity. Mol Gen Genet 262:579–588
- de Wet JMJ (1978) Systematics and evolution of sorghum sect. Sorghum (Gramineae). Am J Bot 65:477–484
- Devos KM, Gale MD (1997) Comparative genetics in the grasses. Plant Mol Biol 35:3–15
- Devos KM, Milan T, Gale MD (1993) Comparative RFLP maps of the homoeologous group-2 chromosomes of wheat, rye, and barley. Theor Appl Genet 85:784–792
- Devos KM, Wang ZM, Beales J, Sasaki T, Gale MD (1998) Comparative genetic maps of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) and rice (*Oryza sativa*). Theor Appl Genet 96:63–68
- D'Hont A, Lu YH, Gonzalez-dey-Leon D, Grivet L, Geldmen P, Lanaud C, Glaszmann JC (1994) A molecular approach to unravelling the genetics of sugarcane, a complex polyploid of the Andropogoneae tribe. Genome 37:222–230
- Doggett H (1976) Sorghum. In: Simmonds NW (ed) Evolution in Crop Plants. Longman, Essex, UK, pp 112–117
- Doggett H (1988) Sorghum, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York
- Draye X, Lin YR, Qian XY, Bowers JE, Burow GB, Morrell PL, Peterson DG, Presting GG, Ren SX, Wing RA, Paterson AH (2001) Toward integration of comparative genetic, physical, diversity, and cytomolecular maps for grasses and grains, using the sorghum genome as a foundation. Plant Physiol 125:1325–41
- Dufour P, Grivet L, D'Hont A, Deu M, Trouche G, Glaszmann JC, Hamon P (1996) Comparative genetic mapping between duplicated segments on maize chromosomes 3 and 8 and

homoeologous regions in sorghum and sugarcane. Theor Appl Genet 92:1024–1030

- Dufour P, Deu M, Grivet L, D'Hont A, Paulet F, Bouet A, Lanaud C, Glaszmann JC, Hamon P (1997) Construction of a composite sorghum genome map and comparison with sugarcane, a related complex polyploid. Theor Appl Genet 94:409–418
- Endrizzi JE, Morgan DTJ (1955) Chromosomal interchanges and evidence for duplication in haploid *Sorghum vulgare*. J Hered 46:201–208
- Fatokun CA, Menancio-Hautea DI, Danesh D, Young ND (1992) Evidence for orthologous seed weight genes in cowpea and mungbean based on RFLP mapping. Genetics 132:841–846
- Garber ED (1950) Cytotaxonomic studiesin the genus sorghum. Univ California Publ Bot 23:283–362
- Gomez MI, Islam-Faridi MN, Woo S, Schertz KF, Czeschin DG, Zwicj MS Jr, Wing RA, Stelly DM, Price HJ (1997) FISH of a maize sh2-selected sorghum BAC to chromosomes of *Sorghum bicolor*. Genome 40:475–478
- Goodwin PH, Oliver RP, Hsiang T (2004) Comparative analysis of expressed sequence tags from *Malva pusilla, Sorghum bicolor,* and *Medicago truncatula* infected with *Colletotrichum* species. Plant Sci 167:481–489
- Gowda PSB, Magill CW, Frederiksen RA, Xu GW (1995) DNA markers for downey mildew resistance genes in sorghum. Genome 38:823–826
- GrantWF (1987) Genome Differentiation in Higher Plants. Academic, London
- Grivet L, D'Hont A, Dufour P, Hamon P, Roques D, Glaszmann JC (1994) Comparative genome mapping of sugarcane with other species within the andropogoneae tribe. Heredity 73:500–508
- Gu MH, Ma HT, Liang GH (1984) Karyotype analysis of seven species in genus sorghum. J Hered 75:196–202
- Guimaraes CT, Sills GR, Sobral BWS (1997) Comparative mapping of Andropogoneae: *Saccharum* L. (sugarcane) and its relation to sorghum and maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:14262–14266
- Harlan JR, de Wet JMJ (1972) A simplified classification of cultivated sorghum. Crop Sci 12:172
- Hart GE, Schertz KF, Peng Y, Syed NH (2001) Genetic mapping of *Sorghum bicolor*(L.) Moench QTLs that control variation in tillering and other morphological characters. Theor Appl Genet 103:1232–1242
- Haussmann G, Hess E, Seetharama N, Welz G, Geiger H (2002a) Construction of a combined sorghum linkage map from two recombinant inbred populations using AFLP, SSR, RFLP, and RAPD markers, and comparison with other sorghum maps. Theor Appl Genet 105:629–637
- Haussmann BI, Mahalakshmi V, Reddy BV, Seetharama N, Hash CT, Geiger HH, Haussmann G, Hess E, Welz G, Geiger H (2002b) QTL mapping of stay-green in two sorghum recombinant inbred populations. Theor Appl Genet 106:133–142
- Haussmann BI, Hess DE, Omanya GO, Folkertsma RT, Reddy BV, Kayentao M, Welz HG, Geiger HH (2004) Genomic regions influencing resistance to the parasitic weed *Striga hermonthica* in two recombinant inbred populations of sorghum. Theor Appl Genet 109:1005–16
- Helentjaris T (1993) Implications for conserved genomic structure among plant species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:8308–8309
- HowadW, Kempken F (1997) Cell type-specific loss of atp6 RNA editing in cytoplasmic male sterile *Sorghum bicolor*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:11090–11095
- Hu FY, Tao DY, Sacks E, Fu BY, Xu P, Li J, Yang Y, McNally K, Khush GS, Paterson AH, Li ZK (2003) Convergent evolution of perennialityin rice and sorghum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:4050–4054
- Hulbert S, Richter T, Axtell J, Bennetzen J (1990) Genetic mapping and characterization of sorghum and related crops by means of maize DNA probes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 87:4251–4255
- Huskins CL, Smith SG (1932) A cytological study of the genus sorghum Pers. I. The somatic chromosomes. J Genet 25:241–249
- Ilic K, SanMiguel PJ, Bennetzen JL (2003) A complex history of rearrangement in an orthologous region of the maize, sorghum, and rice genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:12265–12270
- Islam-Faridi MN, Childs KL, Klein PE, Hodnett G, Menz MA, Klein RR, RooneyWL, Mullet JE, Stelly DM, Price HJ (2002) A molecular cytogenetic map of sorghum chromosome 1. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis with mapped bacterial artificial chromosomes. Genetics 161:345–353
- Jackson RC (1984) Chromosome pairing in species and hybrids. In:GrantWF (ed) Plant Biosystematics.Academic, Toronto, pp 67–86
- Jordan DR, Tao Y, Godwin ID, Henzell RG, Cooper M, McIntyre CL (2003) Prediction of hybrid performance in grain sorghum using RFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 106:559–67
- Jordan DR, Casu RE, Besse P, Carroll BC, Berding N, McIntyre CL (2004) Marker associated with stalk number and suckering in sugarcane colocate with tillering and rhizomatousness QTLs in sorghum. Genome 47:988–993
- Katsar CS, Paterson RH, Teetes GL, Peterson GC (2002) Molecular analysis of sorghum resistance to the greenbug (Homoptera: Aphididae). J Econ Entomol 95:448–457
- Kearsey MJ, Farquhar AG (1998) QTL analysis in plants; where are we now? Heredity 80 (Pt 2):137–142
- Kebede H, Subudhi PK, Rosenow DT, Nguyen HT (2001) Quantitative trait loci influencing drought tolerance in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). Theor Appl Genet 103:266–276
- Kim JS, Childs KL, Islam-Faridi MN, Menz MA, Klein RR, Klein PE, Price HJ, Mullet JE, Stelly DM (2002) Integrated karyotyping of sorghum by *in situ* hybridization of landed BACs. Genome 45:402–412

Kim JS, Klein PE, Klein RR, Price HJ, Mullet JE, Stelly DM (2004) Chromosome identification and nomenclature of *Sorghum bicolor*. Genetics 104.035980

Klein PE, Klein RR, Cartinhour SW, Ulanch PE, Dong J, Obert JA, Morishige DT, Schlueter SD, Childs KL, Ale M, Mullet JE (2000) A high-throughput AFLP-based method for constructing integrated genetic and physical maps: progress toward a sorghum genome map. Genome Res 10:789–807

Klein RR, Klein PE, Chhabra AK, Dong J, Pammi S, Childs KL, Mullet JE, Rooney WL, Schertz KF (2001) Molecular mapping of the *rf1* gene for pollen fertility restoration in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.). Theor Appl Genet 102:1206–1212

Klein PE, Klein RR, Vrebalov J, Mullet JE (2003) Sequence-based alignment of sorghum chromosome 3 and rice chromosome 1 reveals extensive conservation of gene order and one major chromosomal rearrangement. Plant J 34:605–21

- Klein RR, Klein PE, Stelly DM, JE M (2004) Positional cloning of the sorghum fertility restoration gene *rf1* utilizing large insert DNA libraries and associated genomics technology. In: Plant and Animal Genome XII Conf, San Diego, CA, USA
- Koester RP, Sisco PH, Stuber CW (1993) Identification of quantitative trait loci controlling days to flowering and plant height in to near isogenic lines of maize. Crop Sci 33:1209–1216
- Kong L, Dong J, Hart GE (2000) Characteristics, linkage-map positions, and allelic differentiation of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench DNA simple-sequence repeats (SSRs). Theor Appl Genet 101:438–448
- Laurie DA, Bennett MD (1985) Nuclear DNA content in the genera *Zea* and *Sorghum*: intergeneric, interspecific, and intraspecific variation. Heredity 55:307–313
- Laurie DA, Pratchett N, Bezant JH, Snape JW (1994) Genetic analysis of a photoperiod response gene on the short arm of chromosome 2(2H) of *Hordeum vulgar*e (Barley). Heredity 72:619–627
- Lee M (1996) Comparative genetic and QTL mapping in sorghum and maize. In: Heslop-Harrison JS (ed) Unifying Plant Genomes, Symp Soc Exp Biol, No 50, The Company of Biologists, Cambridge, UK, pp 31–38
- Lijavetzky D, Martinez MC, Carrari F, Hopp E (2000) QTL analysis and mapping of pre-harvest sprouting resistance in sorghum. Euphytica 112:125–135
- Lin Y (1998) Construction of *Sorghum propinquum* BAC library, towards positional cloning of sorghum shattering gene (*Sh1*) and the sorghum photoperiodic gene (Ma1). PhD Thesis, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX
- Lin YR, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (1995) Comparative analysis of QTLs affecting plant height and maturity across the Poaceae, in reference to an interspecific sorghum population. Genetics 141:391–411
- Lin YR, Zhu L, Ren S, Yang J, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (1999) A *Sorghum propinquum* BAC library, suitable for cloning

genes associated with loss-of-function mutations during crop domestication. Mol Breed 5:511–520

- Lo C, Coolbaugh RC, Nicholson RL (2002) Molecular characterization and in silico expression analysis of a chalcone synthase gene family in *Sorghum bicolo*r. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 61:179–188
- Mackill DJ, Salam MA, Wang ZY, Tanksley SD (1993) A major photoperiod-sensitivity gene tagged with RFLP and isozyme markers in rice. Theor Appl Genet 85:536–540
- Magalhaes JV, Garvin DF, Wang Y, Sorrells ME, Klein PE, Schaffert RE, Li L, Kochian LV (2004) Comparative mapping of a major aluminum tolerance gene in sorghum and other species in the poaceae. Genetics 167:1905–1914
- Mann JA, Kimber CT, Miller FR (1983) The origin and early cultivation of sorghums in Africa, Bulletin No. 1454. Texas A & M University, College Station, TX
- McIntyre CL, Hermann SM, Casu RE, Knight D, Drenth J, Tao Y, Brumbley SM, Godwin ID, Williams S, Smith GR, Manners JM (2004) Homologues of the maize rust resistance gene *Rp1-D* are genetically associated with a major rust resistance QTL in sorghum. Theor Appl Genet 109:875–883
- Melake-Berhan A, Hulbert SH, Butler LG, Bennetzen JL (1993) Structure and evolution of the genomes of *Sorghum bicolor* and *Zea mays*. Theor Appl Genet 86:598–604
- Menz MA, Klein RR, Mullet JE, Obert JA, Unruh NC, Klein PE (2002) A high-density genetic map of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench based on 2926 AFLP, RFLP and SSR markers. Plant Mol Biol 48:483–99
- Ming R, Liu SC, Lin YR, da Silva J, Wilson W, Braga D, van Deynze A, Wenslaff TF, Wu KK, Moore PH, Burnquist W, Sorrells ME, Irvine JE, Paterson AH (1998) Detailed alignment of Saccharum and Sorghum chromosomes: comparative organization of closely related diploid and polyploid genomes. Genetics 150:1663–1682
- Moore G, Devos KM, Wang Z, Gale MD (1995) Grasses, line up and form a circle. Curr Biol 5:737–739
- Morishige DT, Childs KL, Moore LD, Mullet JE (2002) Targeted analysis of orthologous phytochrome A regions of the sorghum, maize, and rice genomes using comparative gene-island sequencing. Plant Physiol 130:1614–1625
- Multani DS, Meeley RB, Paterson AH, Gray J, Briggs SP, Johal GS (1998) Plant-pathogen microevolution: molecular basis for the origin of a fungal disease in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:1686–1691
- Oh BJ, Frederiksen RA, Magill CW (1994) Identification of molecular markers linked to head smut resistance gene (*Shs*) in sorghum by RFLP and RAPD analyses. Phytopathology 84:830–833
- Oh BJ, Frederiksen RA, Magill CW (1996) Identification of RFLP markers linked to a gene for downy mildew resistance (*Sdm*) in sorghum. Can J Bot 74:315
- Paterson AH, Lander ES, Hewitt JD, Peterson S, Lincoln SE, Tanksley SD (1988) Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete linkage map

of restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Nature 335:721–726

- Paterson AH, Schertz K, Lin Y, Liu S, Chang Y (1995a) The Weediness of wild plants: molecular analysis of genes influencing dispersal and persistence of Johnsongrass, *Sorghum halepense* (L.). Proc Natl Acad of Sci USA 92:6127–6131
- Paterson AH, Lin YR, Li Z, Schertz KF, Doebly JF, Pinson SRM, Liu SC, Stansel JW, Irvine JE (1995b) Convergent domestication of cereal crops by independent mutations at corresponding genetic loci. Science 269:1714–1718
- Paterson AH, Lan TH, Reischmann KP, Chang C, Lin YR, Liu SC, Burow MD, Kowalski SP, Katsar CS, DelMonte TA, Feldman KA, Schertz KF, Wendel JF (1996) Toward a unified genetic map of higher plants, transcending the monocot-dicot divergence. Nat Genet 14:380–382
- Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Chapman BA (2004) Ancient polyploidization predating divergence of the cereals, and its consequences for comparative genomics. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:9903–9908
- Peng Y, Schertz KF, Cartinhour S, Hart GE (1999) Comparative genome mapping of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench using an RFLP map constructed in a population of recombinant inbred lines. Plant Breed 118:225–235
- Pereira MG, Lee M (1995) Identification of genomic regions affecting plant height in sorghum and maize. Theor Appl Genet 90:380–388
- Pereira MG, Lee M, Bramel-Cox P, Woodman W, Doebley J, Whitkus R (1994) Construction of an RFLP map in sorghum and comparative mapping in maize. Genome 37:236–243
- Peterson DG, Schulze SR, Sciara EB, Lee SA, Bowers JE, Nagel A, Jiang N, Tibbitts DC, Wessler SR, Paterson AH (2002) Integration of Cot analysis, DNA cloning, and high-throughput sequencing facilitates genome characterization and gene discovery. Genome Res 12:795–807
- Price HJ, Dillon SL, Hodnett G, Rooney W, Ross L (2005) Genome evolution in the genus *Sorghum* (Poaceae). Ann Bot *95:219–227*
- Raghab RA, Dronvalli S, Saghai Maroof MA, Yu YG (1994) Construction of sorghum RFLP linkage map using sorghum and maize DNA probes. Genome 37:590–594
- Ramakrishna W, Emberton J, San Miguel P, Ogden M, Llaca V, Messing J, Bennetzen JL, Dubcovsky J, Park YJ, Busso C (2002a) Comparative sequence analysis of the sorghum Rph region and the maize *Rp1* resistance gene complex. Plant Physiol 130:1728–1738
- Ramakrishna W, Dubcovsky J, Park YJ, Busso C, Emberton J, San Miguel P, Bennetzen JL (2002b) Different types and rates of genome evolution detected by comparative sequence analysis of orthologous segments from four cereal genomes. Genetics 162:1389–1400
- Rooney WL, Klein RR (2000) Potential of marker-assisted selection for improving grain mold resistance in sorghum. ICRISAT, Patancheru, India, pp 183–194
- Rudd S (2003) Expressed sequence tags: alternative or complement to whole genome sequences? Trends Plant Sci 8:321–329
- San Miguel P, Tikhonov A, Jin YK, Motchoulskaya N, Zakharav D, Melake-Berhan A, Sprienger P, Edwards K, Lee M, Avramova Z, Bennetzen JL (1996) Nested retrotransposons in the inter-genic regions of the maize genome. Science 274:765–768
- Sanchez AC, Subudhi PK, Rosenow DT, Nguyen HT (2002) Mapping QTLs associated with drought resistance in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). Plant Mol Biol 48:713–726
- Sax K (1923) The association of size differences with seed-coat pattern and pigmentation in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Genetics 8:552–560
- Schloss J, Mitchell E, White M, Kukatla R, Bowers E, Paterson H, Kresovich S (2002) Characterization of RFLP probe sequences for gene discovery and SSR development in *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Theor Appl Genet 105:912–920
- Shimano T, Inove T, Antonio B, Kajiya H, Shomura A, Yang Lin S, Kuboki Y, Nagamura N, Yano M, Sasaki S (1995) Extensive conservation in linkage alignement of RFLP markers between rice chromosomes 11 and 12. In: Plant Genome III Conf, San Diego
- Shizuya H, Birren B, Kim U, Mancino V, Slepak T, Tachiiri Y, Simon M (1992) Cloning and stable maintenance of 300 kilobase-pair fragments of human DNA in *Escherichia coli* using an F-factor-based vector. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:8794–8797
- Snowden JD (1936) Cultivated Races of Sorghum. Adlard & Sons, London
- Stebbins GL (1971) Chromosomal Evolution of Higher Plants. Edward Arnold, London
- Subudhi PK, Nguyen HT (2000) Linkage group alignment of sorghum RFLP maps using a RIL mapping population. Genome 43:240–249
- Subudhi PK, Rosenow DT, Nguyen HT (2000) Quantitative trait loci for the stay green trait in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench): consistency across genetic backgrounds and environments. Theor Appl Genet 101:733–741
- Sun Y, Skinner DZ, Liang GH, Hulbert SH (1994) Phylogenetic analysis of sorghum and related taxa using internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Theor Appl Genet 89:26–32
- Swigonova Z, Bennetzen JL, Messing J (2004) Structure and evolution of the r/b chromosomal regions in rice, maize, and sorghum. Genetics 2004: doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.034629
- Tanksley SD, Bernatzky R, Lapitan NL, Prince JP (1988) Conservation of gene repertoire but not gene order in pepper and tomato. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85:6419–6423
- Tanksley SD, Young ND, Paterson AH, Bonierbale MW (1989) RFLP mapping in plant breeding: new tool for an old science. Bio/Technology 7:257–264
- Tanksley SD, Ganal MW, Prince JP, deVincente MC, Bonierbale MW, Broun P, Fulton TM, Giovannoni JJ, Grandilo S,

Martin GB, Messenger R, Miller L, Paterson AH, Pineda O, Roder MS, Wing RA, Wu W, Young ND (1992) High density molecular linkage maps of the tomato and potato genomes. Genetics 132:1141–1160

- Tao YZ, Henzell RG, McIntyre CL (1998a) Construction of a genetic map in a sorghum RIL population using probes from different sources and its comparison with other sorghum maps. Aust J Agric Sci 49:729–736
- Tao YZ, Jordan DR, Henzell RG, McIntyre CL (1998b) Identification of genomic regions for rust resistance in sorghum. Euphytica 103:287–292
- Tao YZ, Henzell RG, Jordan DR, Butler DG, Kelly AM (2000) Identification of genomic regions associated with slay green in sorghum by testing RILs in multiple anvironments. Theor Appl Genet 100:1225–1232
- Tao YZ, Hardy A, Drenth J, Henzell RG, Franzmann BA, Jordan DR, Butler DG, McIntyre CL (2003) Identifications of two different mechanisms for sorghum midge resistance through QTL mapping. Theor Appl Genet 107:116–22
- TaraminoG, Tarchini R, Ferrario S, LeeM, PeME (1997) Characterization and mapping of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in *Sorghum bicolor*. Theor Appl Genet 95:66–72
- Teutonico RA, Osborn TC (1994) Mapping of RFLP and quantitative trait loci in Brassica rapa and comparison to the linkage maps of *B. napus*, *B. oleracea*, and*Arabidopsis thaliana*. Theor Appl Genet 89:885–893

Thoday JM (1961) Location of polygenes. Nature 191:368–369

- Tikhonov AP, San Miguel PJ, Nakajima Y, Gorenstein NM, Bennetzen JL, Avramova Z (1999) Colinearity and its exceptions in orthologous adh regions of maize and sorghum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:7409–7414
- Toure A, Xu W, Rosenow DT, Peterson GC, Nguyen HT (1997) Inheritance of insecticide phytotoxicity in sorghum. In: Plant and Animal Genome V Conf, San Diego
- Tuinstra MR, Grote EM, Goldsbrough PB, Ejeta G (1996) Identification of quantitative trait loci associated with pre-flowering drought tolerance in sorghum. Crop Sci 36:1337–1344
- Tuinstra MR, Grote EM, Goldsbrough PB, Ejeta G (1997) Genetic analysis of post-flowering drought tolerance and components of grain development in *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Mol Breed 3:439–448
- USDA (2004) Sorghum Production, Consumption, Exports, and Imports Statistics – 2004. http://www.usda.gov/wps/ portal/usdahome
- Vasil V, Castillo AM, Fromm ME, Vasil IK (1994) Herbicide resistant fertile transgenic wheat plants obtained by mi-

croprojectile bombardment of regenerable embryogenic callus. Bio/Technology 10:667–674

- Ventelon M, Deu M, Garsmeur O, Doligez A, Ghesquière A, Lorieux M, Rami JF, Glaszmann JC, Grivet L (2001) A direct comparison between the geneticmaps of sorghum and rice. Theor Appl Genet 102:379–386
- Wang ZM, Devos KM, Liu CJ, Wang RQ, Gale MD (1998) Construction of RFLP-based maps of foxtail millet, *Setaria italica* (L.) P. Beauv. Theor Appl Genet 96:31–36
- Wen L, Tang HV, Chen W, Chang R, Pring DR, Klein PE, Childs KL, Klein RR (2002) Development and mapping of AFLP markers linked to the sorghum fertility restorer gene *rf4*. Theor Appl Genet 104:577–585
- Whitkus R, Doebley J, Lee M (1992) Comparative genome mapping of sorghum and maize. Genetics 132:119–130
- Wise MG, Schulze SR, Lin YR, Bowers JE, Okuizumi H, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (2002) Progress toward the positional cloning of the sorghum grain shattering gene (*sh1*). In: Plant, Animal & Microbe Genomes X Conf, San Diego
- Woo SS, Jiang J, Gill BS, Paterson AH, Wing RA (1994) Construction and charaterization of a bacterial artificial chromosome library for *sorghum bicolor*. Nucleic Acids Res 22:4922–4931
- Wyrich R, Dressen U, Brockmann S, Streubel M, Chang C, Qiang D, Paterson AH, Westhoff P (1998) The molecular basis of C4 photosynthesis in sorghum: isolation, characterization and RFLP mapping of mesophyll- and bundlesheath-specific cDNAs obtained by differential screening. Plant Mol Biol 37:319–35
- Xu GW, Magill CW, Schertz KF, Hart GE (1994) A RFLP linkage map of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Theor Appl Genet 89:139–145
- Xu W, Subudhi PK, Crasta OR, Rosenow DT, Mullet JE, Nguyen HT (2000) Molecular mapping of QTLs conferring staygreen in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). Genome 43:461–469
- Yu H, Liang GH, Kofoid KD (1991) Analysis of C-banding chromosome patterns of sorghum. Crop Sci 31:1524–1527
- Zhang HB, Choi SD, Woo SS, Li Z, Wing RA (1996) Construction and characterization of two rice bacterial artificial chromosome libraries from the parents of a permanent recombinant inbred mapping population. Mol Breed 2:11–14
- Zwick MS, Islam-Faridi MN, Czeschin DG Jr, Wing RA, Hart GE, Stelly DM, Price HJ (1998) Physical mapping of the liguleless linkage group in *Sorghum bicolor* using rice RFLPselected sorghum BACs. Genetics 148:1983–1992