# **Chapter 2 Functional Difference Equations**



In this chapter we consider functional difference equations that we apply to all types of Volterra difference equations. Our general theorems will require the construction of suitable Lyapunov functionals, a task that is difficult but possible. As we have seen in Chapter 1, the concept of resolvent can only apply to linear Volterra difference systems. The theorems on functional difference equations will enable us to qualitatively analyze the theory of boundedness, uniform ultimate boundedness, and stability of solutions of vectors and scalars Volterra difference equations. We extend and prove parallel theorems regarding functional difference equations with finite or infinite delay, and provide many applications. In addition, we will point out the need of more research in delay difference equations. In the second part of the chapter, we state and prove theorems that guide us on how to systematically construct suitable Lyapunov functionals for a specific nonlinear Volterra difference equation. We end the chapter with open problems. Most of the results of this chapter can be found in [37, 38, 128, 133, 135, 141, 147, 181], and [182].

## **2.1 Uniform Boundedness and Uniform Ultimate Boundedness**

We begin by considering Lyapunov functionals to prove general theorems about boundedness, uniform ultimate boundedness of solutions, and stability of the zero solution of the nonlinear functional discrete system

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = G(n, x(s); 0 \le s \le n) \stackrel{def}{=} G(n, x(\cdot))
$$
\n(2.1.1)

*def*

where  $G: \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$  is continuous in *x*. When Lyapunov functionals are used to study the behavior of solutions of functional difference equations of the form of  $(2.1.1)$ , we often end up with a pair of inequalities of the form

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
V(n, x(\cdot)) = W_1(x(n)) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} K(n, s)W_2(x(s)),
$$
\n(2.1.2)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\triangle V(n, x(\cdot)) \le -W_3(x(n)) + F(n)
$$
\n(2.1.3)

where *V* is a Lyapunov functional bounded below, *x* is the known solution of the functional difference equation, and  $K$ ,  $F$ , and  $W_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , are scalar positive functions. Inequalities  $(2.1.2)$  and  $(2.1.3)$  are rich in information regarding the qualitative behavior of the solutions of  $(2.1.1)$ .

The goal is to use inequalities  $(2.1.2)$  and  $(2.1.3)$  to conclude boundedness of  $x(n)$  when *F* is bounded. Also, we obtain stability results about the zero solution of  $(2.1.1)$  when  $F = 0$  and  $G(n, 0) = 0$ . In the celebrated paper of Kolmanovskii et al. [36], the authors investigated the boundedness of solutions of Volterra difference equations by means of Lyapunov functionals. Also, in [37] the same authors constructed general theorems for the stability of the zero solution of Volterra type difference equations.

As we have seen in Chapter 1, several authors like Medina [113, 115, 116], Islam and Raffoul [83], and Raffoul [135] obtained stability and boundedness results of the solutions of discrete Volterra equations by means of representing the solution in terms of the resolvent matrix of the corresponding system of difference Volterra equations. Eloe et al. [65] and Elaydi et al. [61] used the notion of total stability and established results on the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of discrete Volterra system with nonlinear perturbation. Their work heavily depended on the summability of the resolvent matrix. For more results on stability of the zero solution of Volterra discrete system we refer the reader to Elaydi [52] and Agarwal and Pang [5] and [117].

Boundedness of solutions of linear and nonlinear discrete Volterra equations was also studied by Diblik and Schmeidel [47], Gronek and Schmeidel [72], and the references therein. A survey of the fundamental results on the stability of linear Volterra difference equations, of both convolution and non-convolution type, can be found in Elaydi [59].

We say that  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \phi)$  is a solution of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) with a bounded initial function  $\phi$ :  $[0, n_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  if it satisfies [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) for  $n > n_0$  and  $x(j) = \phi(j)$  for  $j \leq n_0$ .

If *D* is a matrix or a vector,  $|D|$  means the sum of the absolute values of the elements. Since we are now dealing with functional difference equations, we restate the following stability definitions.

**Definition 2.1.1.** Solutions of  $(2.1.1)$  are uniformly bounded (UB) if for each  $B_1 > 0$  $\text{there is } B_2 > 0 \text{ such that } [n_0 \ge 0, \phi : [0, n_0] \to \mathbb{R}^k \text{ with } |\phi(n)| < B_1 \text{ on } [0, n_0], n > n_0]$  $|\text{implies } |x(n, n_0, \phi)| < B_2.$ 

**Definition 2.1.2.** Solutions of  $(2.1.1)$  are uniformly ultimately bounded (UUB) for bound *B* if there is a  $B > 0$  and if for each  $M > 0$  there exists  $N > 0$  such that  $[n_0 \geq 0, \phi : [0, n_0] \to \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $|\phi(n)| < M$  on  $[0, n_0], n > n_0, n > n_0 + N$  implies  $|x(n, n_0, \phi)| < B$ .

If  $G(n,0) = 0$ , then  $x(n) = 0$  is a solution of  $(2.1.1)$ . In this case we state the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1.3.** The zero solution of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) is stable (S) if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\alpha = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $\left[\phi : [0, n_0] \to \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $|\phi(n)| < \delta$  on  $[0, n_0]$ ,  $n \ge n_0$  implies  $|x(n, n_0, \phi)| < \varepsilon$ . It is uniformly stable (US) if  $\delta$  is independent of  $n_0$ .

<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Definition 2.1.4.** The zero solution of  $(2.1.1)$  is uniformly asymptotically stable (UAS) if it is (US) and there exists a  $\gamma > 0$  with the property that for each  $\mu > 0$  there  $\text{exists } N > 0 \text{ such that } [n_0 \ge 0, \phi : [0, n_0] \to \mathbb{R}^k \text{ with } |\phi(n)| < \gamma \text{ on } [0, n_0], n \ge n_0 + N]$  $|\text{implies } |x(n, n_0, \phi)| < \mu$ .

<span id="page-2-2"></span>We begin by proving general theorems regarding boundedness and stability of solutions of  $(2.1.1)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.1 ([133]).** Let  $\varphi(n,s)$  be a scalar sequence for  $0 \le s \le n < \infty$  and *suppose that*  $\varphi(n,s) > 0, \triangle_n \varphi(n,s) \leq 0, \triangle_s \varphi(n,s) \geq 0$  *and there are constants B and J* such that  $\sum_{s=0}^{n} \varphi(n,s) \leq B$  and  $\varphi(0,s) \leq J$ . Also, suppose that for each  $n_0 \geq 0$ *and each bounded initial function*  $\phi$  :  $[0, n_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ , *every solution*  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \phi)$ *of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *satisfies*

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n,x(\cdot)) \le W_2(|x(n)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi(n,s)W_3(|x(s)|)
$$
 (2.1.4)

*and*

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\Delta V_{(2.1.1)}(n, x(\cdot)) \le -\rho W_3(|x(n)|) + K \tag{2.1.5}
$$

*for some constants*  $\rho$  *and*  $K > 0$ *. Then solutions of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *are (UB).* 

*Proof.*  $H > 0$  and  $|\phi(n)| < H$  on  $[0, n_0]$ , and set  $V(n) = V(n, x(\cdot))$ . Let  $V(n^*) =$  $\max_{0 \leq n \leq n_0} V(n)$ . If  $V(n) \leq V(n^*)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , then by [\(2.1.4\)](#page-2-0) we have

$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n) \le V(n^*)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(|x(n^*)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n^*-1} \varphi(n^*, s)W_3(|\varphi(s)|)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(|\varphi(n^*)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n^*-1} \varphi(n^*, s)W_3(|\varphi(s)|)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(H) + BW_3(H).
$$

From which it follows that

$$
|x(n) \leq W_1^{-1} \big[ W_2(H) + BW_3(H) \big].
$$

On the other hand, if  $V(n) > V(n^*)$  for some  $n \ge n_0$ , so that  $V(n) = \max_{0 \le s \le n} V(s)$ . We multiply both sides of [\(2.1.5\)](#page-2-1) by  $\varphi(n,s)$  and then sum from  $s = n_0$  to  $s = n - 1$ , we obtain

$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} (\triangle V(s)) \varphi(n,s) \leq -\rho \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(n,s) W_3(|x(s)|) + KB.
$$

Summing by parts the left side we arrive at

$$
V(n)\varphi(n,n) - V(n_0)\varphi(n,n_0) - \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} V(s+1)\triangle_s \varphi(n,s)
$$
  
 
$$
\leq -\rho \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(n,s)W_3(|x(s)|) + KB.
$$

Hence

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\rho \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(n,s) W_3(|x(s)|) \le V(n) \varphi(n,n) + V(n_0) \varphi(n,n_0) + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} V(s+1) \triangle_s \varphi(n,s) + KB.
$$
 (2.1.6)

Since  $\triangle_s \varphi(n,s) \geq 0$ , we have for  $V(n) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq n-1} V(s+1)$ ,

$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} V(s+1) \triangle_s \varphi(n,s) \leq V(n) \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \triangle_s \varphi(n,s)
$$
  
=  $V(n) [\varphi(n,n) - \varphi(n,n_0)].$ 

Thus, from inequality  $(2.1.6)$  we have

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\rho \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(n,s)W_3(|x(s)|) \le V(n)[\varphi(n,n) - \varphi(n,n_0)]
$$
  
\n
$$
- V(n)\varphi(n,n) + V(n_0)\varphi(n,n_0) + KB
$$
  
\n
$$
\le V(n_0)\varphi(n,n_0) + KB
$$
  
\n
$$
\le V(n_0)\varphi(0,n_0) + KB
$$
  
\n
$$
\le V(n_0)J + KB.
$$
\n(2.1.7)

In view of  $(2.1.4)$ , we have

$$
V(n_0) \leq W_2(|\phi(n_0)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \phi(n_0, s)W_3(|\phi(s)|)
$$
  
 
$$
\leq W_2(H) + BW_3(H).
$$

As a result, inequality [\(2.1.7\)](#page-3-1) yields

$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(n,s)W_3(|x(s)|) \leq \frac{W_2(H)+BW_3(H)}{\rho}+\frac{KB}{\rho}.
$$

Now, inequality [\(2.1.4\)](#page-2-0) implies that

2.1 Uniform Boundedness and Uniform Ultimate Boundedness 59

$$
V(n) \le W_2(|x(n)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \varphi(n,s)W_3(|x(s)|) + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(n,s)W_3(|x(s)|)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(|x(n)|) + BW_3(H) + \frac{W_2(H) + BW_3(H)}{\rho} + \frac{KB}{\rho}
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(|x(n)|) + D(H),
$$

where  $D(H) = BW_3(H) + \frac{W_2(H) + BW_3(H)}{\rho} + \frac{KB}{\rho}$ . As  $W_3(r) \to \infty$  as  $r \to \infty$ , there exists an  $L > 0$  such that  $W_3(L) = \frac{K}{\rho}$ . Now, by [\(2.1.5\)](#page-2-1), if  $|x| > L$ , then  $\triangle V < 0$ . Thus,  $V(n)$  attains its maximum when  $|x| \leq L$ . Hence we have

$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n) \le W_2(|x(n)|) + D(H) \le W_2(L) + DH.
$$

Finally, from the above inequality we arrive at

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
|x(n)| \le W_1^{-1} [W_2(L) + D(H)].
$$

This completes the proof.

The next theorem extends Theorem [2.1.1.](#page-2-2)

**Theorem 2.1.2 ([133]).** Let  $\varphi_i(n,s)$  be a scalar sequence for  $0 \le s \le n < \infty$  and *suppose that*  $\varphi_i(n,s) \geq 0$ ,  $\triangle_n \varphi_i(n,s) \leq 0$ ,  $\triangle_s \varphi_i(n,s) \geq 0$  *and there are constants*  $B_i$ *and*  $J_i$  *such that*  $\sum_{s=0}^n \varphi_i(n, s) \leq B_i$  *and*  $\varphi_i(0, s) \leq J_i$ . Also, *suppose that for each*  $n_0 \geq 0$  *and each bounded initial function*  $\phi : [0,n_0] \to \mathbb{R}^k$ , *every solution*  $x(n) =$  $x(n, n_0, \phi)$  *of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *satisfies* 

$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n, x(\cdot))
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(|x(n)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_1(n, s) W_3(|x(s)|)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_2(n, s) W_4(|x(s)|)
$$
\n(2.1.8)

*and*

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\triangle V_{(2.1.1)}(n, x(\cdot)) \le -\rho_1 W_3(|x(n)|) - \rho_2 W_4(|x(n)|) + K \tag{2.1.9}
$$

*for some constants*  $\rho_i > 0, i = 1, 2$  *and*  $K > 0$ *. Then solutions of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *are (UB).* 

*Proof.* We follow the proof of the previous theorem. Let  $V(n) = \max_{0 \le s \le n} V(s)$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ . If the *max* of  $V(n)$  occurs on [0*,n*<sub>0</sub>], then it is trivial. Multiply both sides of [\(2.1.9\)](#page-4-0) by  $\varphi_i(n, s)$  and then sum from  $s = n_0$  to  $s = n - 1$  to obtain

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\rho_i \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi_i(n,s) W_3(|x(s)|) \le V(n_0) J + K B, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.1.10}
$$

For  $H > 0$  and  $|\phi(n)| < H$ , we have

$$
V(n_0) \le W_2(H) + BW_3(H) + B_2W_4(H) \stackrel{def}{=} R(H),
$$

and

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \varphi_i(n,s) W_{i+2}(|x(s)|) \leq W_{i+2} B_i.
$$

Thus, inequality [\(2.1.10\)](#page-4-1) yields,

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_i(n,s) W_{i+2}(|x(s)|) \leq \frac{R(H)J_i + K B_i}{\rho_i} + W_{i+2} B_i \stackrel{def}{=} S_i(H).
$$

Now, by [\(2.1.9\)](#page-4-0), if  $|x| > L$ , then  $\triangle V < 0$ . Thus,  $V(n)$  attains its maximum when  $|x|$  < *L* and hence

$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n) \le W_2[|x(H)| + S_1(H)] + S_2(H)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2[L + S_1(H)] + S_2(H).
$$

From the above inequality we obtain

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
|x(n)| \leq W_1^{-1} \Big[ W_2 \big[ L + S_1(H) \big] + S_2(H) \Big].
$$

This completes the proof.

In the next theorem we obtain boundedness and stability results about solutions and the zero solution of  $(2.1.1)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.3 ([133]).** *Let*  $\varphi(n) \geq 0$  *be a scalar sequence for*  $n \geq 0$  *and V and*  $W_i$ *,* $i = 1, 2$ *, be defined as before. Also, suppose that for each*  $n_0 \geq 0$  *and each bounded initial function*  $\phi$  :  $[0,n_0] \to \mathbb{R}^k$ , every solution  $x(n) = x(n,n_0,\phi)$  of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *satisfies*

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n,x(\cdot)) \le \alpha W_2(|x(n)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi(n-s-1)W_2(|x(s)|) \qquad (2.1.11)
$$

*and*

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\Delta V_{(2.1.1)}(n, x(\cdot)) \le -\rho W_2(|x(n)|) \tag{2.1.12}
$$

*for some constants*  $\rho$  *and*  $\alpha > 0$ *.* 

*a*) *If*  $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varphi(s) = B$ , then solutions of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) are (UB) and the zero solution *of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *is (US).*

*b*) *If*  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \varphi(s) = J$ , then solutions of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) are (UAB) and the zero solu*tion of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *is (UAS).*

*Proof.* Let  $H > 0$  and  $|\phi(n)| < H$  on  $[0, n_0]$ , and set  $V(n) = V(n, x(\cdot))$ . By [\(2.1.12\)](#page-5-0),  $V(n)$  is monotonically decreasing and hence, by  $(2.1.11)$ , we have

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n) \le V(n_0)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \alpha W_2(H) + W_2(H) \sum_{u=0}^{n_0-1} \varphi(u)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(H)(\alpha + B). \tag{2.1.13}
$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose *H* such that  $H < \varepsilon$  and

$$
W_2(H)(\alpha+B) < W_1(\varepsilon).
$$

Hence from [\(2.1.13\)](#page-6-0), we have  $|x(n)| < \varepsilon$ , for  $n \ge n_0$ . Consequently, the zero solution of  $(2.1.1)$  is US). Also, it follows from  $(2.1.13)$  that

$$
|x(n)| < W_1^{-1}\big[W_2(H)\big(\alpha + B\big)\big],
$$

which implies solutions of  $(2.1.1)$  are (UB). Sum [\(2.1.12\)](#page-5-0) from  $s = n_0$  to  $s = n - 1$  to obtain

$$
-V(n_0) \le V(n) - V(n_0) \le -\rho \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} W_2(|x(s)|)
$$

and hence

$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} W_2(|x(s)|) \leq \frac{V(n_0)}{\rho} \leq \frac{(\alpha+B)W_2(H)}{\rho}.
$$

On the other hand, if we sum  $(2.1.11)$  from  $s = n_0$  to  $s = n - 1$  we arrive at

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} V(s) \le \alpha \frac{(\alpha+B)W_2(H)}{\rho} + \sum_{u=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=0}^{u-1} \varphi(u-s-1)W_2(|x(s)|)
$$
  

$$
\le \frac{(\alpha+B)W_2(H)}{\rho} + \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \sum_{u=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(u-s-1)W_2(|x(s)|)
$$
  

$$
+ \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=s}^{n-1} \varphi(u-s-1)W_2(|x(s)|)
$$
  

$$
\le \frac{(\alpha+B)W_2(H)}{\rho} + \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} W_2(|x(s)|) \sum_{u=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(u-s-1)
$$
  

$$
+ \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} W_2(|x(s)|) \sum_{u=s}^{n-1} \varphi(u-s-1)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{(\alpha+B)W_2(H)}{\rho} + W_2(H) \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \sum_{u=n_0}^{n-1} \varphi(u-s-1)
$$
  
+ $B \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} W_2(|x(s)|)$   

$$
\leq \frac{(\alpha+B)^2W_2(H)}{\rho} + W_2(H) \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \sum_{r=n_0-s-1}^{u-n} \varphi(r)
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{(\alpha+B)^2W_2(H)}{\rho} + W_2(H) \sum_{\xi=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=\xi}^{\infty} \varphi(r)
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{(\alpha+B)^2W_2(H)}{\rho} + W_2(H)J
$$
  

$$
\leq [J + \frac{(\alpha+B)^2}{\rho}]W_2(H) \stackrel{def}{=} aW_2(H). \tag{2.1.14}
$$

Since  $V(n)$  is positive and decreasing for all  $n > n_0 > 0$ , we have

$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} V(s) \ge V(n)(n-n_0).
$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then, for  $n \ge n_0 + \frac{aW_2(H)}{W_1(\varepsilon)}$  we have form [\(2.1.11\)](#page-5-1) and [\(2.1.14\)](#page-6-1) that

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n) \le \frac{aW_2(H)}{n-n_0} < W_1(\varepsilon).
$$
 (2.1.15)

Hence, inequality [\(2.1.15\)](#page-7-0) implies that

$$
|x(n)| \leq W_1^{-1}\left(\frac{aW_2(H)}{n-n_0}\right) < \varepsilon.
$$

From this we have the (UAB) and the (UAS).

### **2.2 Functional Delay Difference Equations**

Next, we discuss the papers by Zhang [181], the paper [182] by Zhang, and MinG-Po, and the papers by Raffoul [133, 145], in which the authors prove general theorems regarding boundedness and stability of functional difference equations with infinite or finite delay. In [145], the author proves general theorem in which he offers necessary and sufficient conditions for the uniform boundedness of all solutions. We begin by noting that Definition [2.1.4](#page-2-3) can be easily extended to accommodate infinite or finite delays systems by considering the initial sequence  $\phi : [-\alpha, n_0] \to \mathbb{R}^k$  where  $\alpha$  can either be taken finite or infinite. We consider the functional delay difference equation

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
x(t+1) = F(t, x_t). \tag{2.2.1}
$$

We assume that *F* is continuous in *x* and that  $F : \mathbb{Z} \times C \to \mathbb{R}^n$  where *C* is the set of sequences  $\phi : [-\alpha, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . Let

$$
C(t) = \{\phi : [t - \alpha, t] \to \mathbb{R}^n\}.
$$

It is to be understood that  $C(t)$  is *C* when  $t = 0$ . Also  $\phi_t$  denotes  $\phi \in C(t)$  and  $||\phi_t|| = \max_{t-\alpha \le s \le t} |\phi(t)|$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is a convenient norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $t = 0$ ,

$$
C(0) = \{ \phi : [-\alpha, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^n \}.
$$

<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Theorem 2.2.1 ([181]).** *Let*  $\varphi(n) \geq 0$  *be a scalar sequence for*  $n \geq 0$  *and* V *and*  $W_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , *be defined as before. Also, suppose that for each*  $n_0 \geq 0$  *and each bounded initial function*  $\phi$  :  $[0, n_0] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ *, every solution*  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \phi)$ *of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *satisfies*

$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n, x(\cdot)) \le W_2(|x(n)|) + W_3\left(\sum_{s=l}^n \varphi(n-s)W_4(|x(s)|)\right) \tag{2.2.2}
$$

*and*

$$
\triangle V_{(2.2.1)}(n, x(\cdot)) \le -W_5(|x(n)|). \tag{2.2.3}
$$

*In addition, if*  $\sum \varphi(s) = J$ *, then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.1\)](#page-8-0) *is (UAS)*.

It is widely known that there are two methods in studying the qualitative theory of delay differential or difference equations. The basic and more natural one is what we call the Razumikhin Lyapunov method and the most popular one is the direct method of Lyapunov function or functional. In some cases one has an advantage over the other and that all depends on the system being studied. It is the opinion of the author that Razumikhin Lyapunov method is easier to use since the Lyapunov function is readily available. Moreover, the imposed conditions are less restrictives. We consider [\(2.2.1\)](#page-8-0) for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We assume  $F : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times C_H \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , where

$$
C_H = \{ \phi \in C(0) : ||\phi|| < H \},\
$$

for some positive constant *H*. Also,  $x_t(s) = x(t + s)$ ,  $s \in C(0)$ . We assume that  $F(t,0) = 0$ , so that  $x = 0$  is a solution. It is assumed that for any  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and a given function  $\phi \in C_H$ , there is a unique solution of [\(2.2.1\)](#page-8-0), denoted by  $x(t, t_0, \phi)$ , such that it satisfies [\(2.2.1\)](#page-8-0) for all integers  $t \ge t_0$ , and  $x(t_0, t_0, \phi) = \phi$ . Lastly, we assume there is a constant  $L > 0$  such that

$$
|F(t,\phi)| \leq L||\phi||, \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and } \phi \in C_H.
$$

<span id="page-8-1"></span>In the next theorem, we use Lyapunov-Razumikhin method type functions to prove the (UAS) of the zero solution of  $(2.2.1)$ . Its proof is too long for our purpose and it can be found in [182].

**Theorem 2.2.2 ([182]).** *In addition to the above assumptions, suppose there exists a continuous Lyapunov function*  $V : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times B_H \to \mathbb{R}^+$  *with*  $B_H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : |x| < H\}$ , *such that*

$$
W_1(|x|) \le V(t, x) \le W_2(|x|), \tag{2.2.4}
$$

*and*

$$
\triangle V(t, x(.)) \le -W_3(|x(t+1)|). \tag{2.2.5}
$$

*If*  $P(V(t+1, x(t+1))) \geq V(t+s, x(t+s)),$  *for*  $s \in C(0)$  *and*  $P : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *is continuous function with*  $P(s) > s$ , *for s* > 0*, then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.1\)](#page-8-0) *is (UAS).*

Next, we display an example in the form of a theorem to show the application of Theorem [2.2.2.](#page-8-1) Consider the Volterra difference equation with multiple delays

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
x(t+1) = a(t)x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i(t)x(t-h_i),
$$
\n(2.2.6)

where the delays  $h_i$  are positive integers for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots k$ .

**Theorem 2.2.3.** *Let*

$$
a^* = \max_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^+} |a(t)|, \ \ b_i^* = \max_{t \in \mathbb{Z}^+} |b_i(t)|, \ \ i = 1, 2, 3, \dots k.
$$

*If*

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
a^* + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i^* < 1,\tag{2.2.7}
$$

*then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.6\)](#page-9-0) *is (UAS).*

*Proof.* Consider the Razumikhin type Lyapunov function

 $V(t, x) = |x(t)|$ .

Then along the solutions of  $(2.2.6)$  we have that

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\triangle V(t, x) = |x(t+1)| - |x(t)|. \tag{2.2.8}
$$

Due to condition [\(2.2.7\)](#page-9-1), there exists a constant  $\mu \in (0,1)$  such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^* < \mu(1 - a^*).
$$

Set  $P(t) = \frac{1}{\mu}t$ , for  $t \ge 0$ . Let  $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , whenever  $P(V(t+1, x(t+1))) \geq V(t+s, x(t+s)),$  for  $s \in C(0)$ *,* 

or

$$
\frac{1}{\mu}|x(t+1)| > |x(t+s)|, \text{ for } s \in C(0)
$$

then, by  $(2.2.6)$ , we have

$$
|x(t+1)| \le |a(t)||x(t)| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |b_i(t)||x(t-h_i)|
$$
  

$$
\le a^*|x(t)| + 1/\mu \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} |b_i^*|\Big)|x(t+1)|,
$$

which implies that

$$
|x(t)| \geq \frac{\mu - \sum_{i=1}^{k} |b_i^*|}{a^* \mu} |x(t+1)|.
$$

Thus, by  $(2.2.8)$  we have

$$
\Delta V(t,x) \le \left(1 - \frac{\mu - \sum_{i=1}^{k} |b_i^*|}{a^* \mu}\right) |x(t+1)|
$$
  
 
$$
\le -\left(-\frac{(\mu(1-a^*) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} |b_i^*|)}{a^* \mu}\right) |x(t+1)|,
$$

if  $P(V(t+1, x(t+1))) \ge V(t+s, x(t+s))$ , for  $s \in C(0)$ . Thus the conditions of Theorem [2.2.2](#page-8-1) are satisfied with

$$
W_1(|x|) = W_2(|x|) = |x|
$$

and

$$
W_3(|x(t+1)|) = \left(-\frac{(\mu(1-a^*) - \sum_{i=1}^k |b_i^*|)}{a^*\mu}\right)|x(t+1)|,
$$

and the zero solution is (UAS). This completes the proof.

Equations of the form  $(2.2.6)$  play a leading role in modeling additive neural net-works. It is worth mentioning that Theorem [2.2.2](#page-8-1) cannot be applied to Volterra equations of the form

$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n} C(n,s)x(s).
$$

We will revisit such equation later in the chapter using Razumihkin-Lyapunov type functions. The next theorem offers easily verifiable conditions. Its proof can be found in  $[145]$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Theorem 2.2.4** ([145]). Let  $D > 0$  and there is a scalar functional  $V(t, \psi_t)$  that is *continuous in*  $\psi$  *and locally Lipschitz in*  $\psi_t$  *when*  $t \geq t_0$  *and*  $\psi_t \in C(t)$  *with*  $||\psi_t|| <$ *D. In addition we assume that if*  $x : [t_0 - \alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$  *is a bounded sequence, then*  $F(t, x_t)$  *is bounded on*  $[t_0, \infty)$ *. Suppose there is a function V such that*  $V(t, 0) = 0$ *,* 

$$
W_1(|\psi(t)|)\leq V(t,\psi_t)\leq W_2(||\psi_t||),
$$

*and*

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\triangle V(t,\psi_t)\leq -W_3(|\psi(t)|),
$$

*then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.1\)](#page-8-0) *is (UAS).*

It is noted that Theorem [2.2.4](#page-10-0) requires Lyapunov functional, unlike Theorem [2.2.2.](#page-8-1) In the next theorem we use a Lyapunov functional, and with the aid of Theo-rem [2.2.4,](#page-10-0) we show the zero solution of  $(2.2.6)$  is (UAS).

**Theorem 2.2.5** ([145]). Assume there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$
|a(t)|-1+k\delta<0 \text{ and } \delta\geq \sum_{i=1}^k |b_i(t)|.
$$

*Then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.6\)](#page-9-0) *is (UAS).*

*Proof.* Consider the Lyapunov functional

$$
V(t, x_t) = |x(t)| + \delta \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{s=t-h_i}^{t-1} |x(s)|.
$$
 (2.2.9)

Then along the solutions of  $(2.2.6)$  we have

$$
\Delta V(t, x_t) = |x(t+1)| - |x(t)| + \delta \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ \sum_{s=t-h_i+1}^t |x(s)| - \sum_{s=t-h_i}^{t-1} |x(s)| \right]
$$
  
=  $|x(t+1)| - |x(t)| + \delta \sum_{i=1}^k |x(t)| - \delta \sum_{i=1}^k |x(t-h_i)|$   

$$
\leq (|a(t)| - 1 + k\delta)|x(t)| + \sum_{i=1}^k (|b_i(t)| - \delta)|x(t-h_i)|
$$
  

$$
\leq -\alpha |x(t)|, \text{ for some positive constant } \alpha.
$$

To make sure the conditions of Theorem [2.2.4](#page-10-0) are satisfied, we note that

$$
|x(t)| \le V(t, x_t) = |x(t)| + \delta \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=t-h_i}^{t-1} |x(s)|
$$
  
=  $|x(t)| + \delta \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{u=-h_i}^{-1} |x(u+t)|$ .

Hence, if we take  $W_1(|\psi(t)|) = |\psi(t)|$ ,  $W_3(|\psi(t)|) = \alpha |\psi(t)|$ , and  $W_2(|\psi_t|) =$  $\delta \sum$ *k i*=1 *u*=−*hi*  $\sum_{n=1}^{-1} |\psi(u+t)|$ , then we satisfy all the requirements of Theorem [2.2.4,](#page-10-0) and hence we have the zero solution of  $(2.2.6)$  is  $(UAS)$ . This completes the proof.

It is very obvious that Theorem [2.2.1](#page-8-2) would not work for our Lyapunov functional in Theorem [2.2.5.](#page-11-0) Theorem [2.2.1](#page-8-2) is suitable for Volterra difference equations of convolution types. For example, if we consider

$$
x(n+1) = a(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} b(n-s)g(n,x(n)),
$$

then a typical Lyapunov functional would be

$$
V(n,x) = |x(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=n-s}^{\infty} |b(u)| |x(s)|,
$$

where the function *g* satisfies  $|g(n,x)| < \lambda |x|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  for positive constant  $\lambda$  < 1. By assuming that

$$
|a(n)| + \lambda |b(0)| \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} |b(u)| - 1 \leq -\alpha, \ \alpha > 0,
$$

we have along the solutions that

$$
\Delta V(n,x) \le (|a(n)| + \lambda |b(0)| \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} |b(u)| - 1) |x(n)| + (\lambda - 1) \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} |b(n-s)| |x(s)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\le -\alpha |x(n)|.
$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem [2.2.1](#page-8-2) are satisfied for  $W_1 = W_2 = W_3 = W_4 =$  $|x|, W_5 = \alpha |x|, l = 0, \text{ and } \phi(n-s) = \sum_{u=n-s}^{\infty} |b(u)|.$ 

We end this section with an application to the second order difference equation with constant delay,  $r > 0$ 

<span id="page-12-1"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
x(t+2) + ax(t+1) + bx(t-r) = 0, t \in \mathbb{Z},
$$
\n(2.2.10)

where *a* and *b* are constants.

**Theorem 2.2.6 ([145]).** *Suppose there are positive constants*  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$  *and*  $\gamma$ *such that*

$$
\alpha_1|b|-\alpha_2+\gamma r\leq -\eta_1,\ \alpha_1|a|-\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\gamma r\leq -\eta_2,
$$

*and*

$$
|b|-\gamma\leq 0.
$$

*Then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.10\)](#page-12-0) *is (UAS).*

*Proof.* First we write [\(2.2.10\)](#page-12-0) into a system by letting  $y(t) = x(t+1)$ . Then by noting that

$$
\triangle x(t) = y(t) - x(t),
$$

we have

$$
b\sum_{s=-r}^{-1} (y(t+s)-x(t+s)) = b\sum_{s=-r}^{-1} \Delta x(t+s) = bx(t) - bx(t-r).
$$

This implies that Equation  $(2.2.10)$  is equivalent to the system

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\nx(t+1) = y(t) \\
y(t+1) = -bx(t) - ay(t) + b\sum_{s=-r}^{-1} (y(t+s) - x(t+s))\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.2.11)

Let

$$
\beta=\max\{\eta_1,\,\eta_2\}
$$

and define the Lyapunov functional

$$
V(x_t, y_t) = \alpha_1 |y(t)| + \alpha_2 |x(t)| + \gamma \sum_{s=-r}^{-1} \sum_{u=t+s}^{t-1} (|y(u)| + |x(u)|).
$$

Then along the solutions of  $(2.2.11)$  we have

$$
\Delta V(x_t, y_t) \leq (\alpha_1 |b| - \alpha_2 + \gamma r)|x(t)| + (\alpha_1 |a| - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \gamma r)|y(t)|
$$
  
+ 
$$
(|b| - \gamma) \sum_{s=-r}^{-1} (|y(t+s)| + |x(t+s)|)
$$
  

$$
\leq -\beta (|x| + |y|).
$$
 (2.2.12)

The results follow from Theorem [2.2.4.](#page-10-0)

*Remark 2.1.* In Theorem [2.2.6](#page-12-1) we saw that the stability depended on the size of the delay, which was not the case in Theorem [2.2.5.](#page-11-0)

In [112] the authors considered the linear difference system with diagonal delay

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = ax(n-h) + by(n)
$$
  
\n
$$
y(n+1) = cx(n) + ay(n-h)
$$
\n(2.2.13)

where  $a, b$ , and  $c$  are real numbers and  $h$  is a positive integer. They used the method of characteristics and proved two theorems on the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of  $(2.2.13)$  by imposing conditions on the size of the delay; that is  $\sqrt{|ab|} < (h+1)/h$ . Also, they required *b* and *c* be of the same sign and the delay *h* is odd. The above system has some limitations by considering all constant coefficients and diagonal entries have the same coefficient. Next we shall display a Lyapunov functional to obtain (UAS) of the zero solution of [\(2.2.13\)](#page-13-1) by appealing to Theorem [2.2.4.](#page-10-0)

<span id="page-13-2"></span>**Theorem 2.2.7** ([145]). Let  $\delta$  be a positive constant such that

 $|a| - \delta \leq 0$ ,  $|c| + \delta - 1 \leq 0$ , and  $|b| + \delta - 1 \leq 0$ .

*Then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.13\)](#page-13-1) *is (UAS).*

*Proof.* Let

$$
\beta = \min\{|c| + \delta - 1, |b| + \delta - 1\}
$$

and define the Lyapunov functional

$$
V(x,y) = |x(n)| + |y(n)| + \delta \sum_{s=n-h}^{n-1} (|x(s)| + |y(s)|).
$$

Then along the solutions of [\(2.2.13\)](#page-13-1)

$$
\Delta V(x, y) \le (|a| - \delta)|x(n - h)| + (|c| + \delta - 1)|x(n)|
$$
  
+ (|a| - \delta)|y(n - h)| + (|c| + \delta - 1)|y(n)|  

$$
\le \beta (|x| + |y|).
$$

The (UAS) follows from Theorem [2.2.4.](#page-10-0)

Next we extend Theorem [2.2.7](#page-13-2) to the Volterra delay system

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = ax(n-h) + by(n) + d_1 \sum_{s=-h}^{-1} y(n+s)
$$
  

$$
y(n+1) = cx(n) + ay(n-h) + d_2 \sum_{s=-h}^{-1} x(n+s)
$$
 (2.2.14)

**Theorem 2.2.8 ([145]).** *Let* δ *be a positive constant such that*

$$
|a| + h\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 1 < 0, \ |b| + h\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 1 < 0,
$$

*and*

$$
|a| - \delta_2 \le 0, \ \text{and} \ |d_1| + |d_2| - \delta_1 \le 0.
$$

*Then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.14\)](#page-14-0) *is (UAS).*

*Proof.* Let

$$
\beta = \min\{|a| + h\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 1, |b| + h\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 1\}
$$

and define the Lyapunov functional

$$
V(x,y) = |x(n)| + |y(n)| + \delta_2 \sum_{s=n-h}^{n-1} (|x(s)| + |y(s)|)
$$
  
+  $\delta_1 \sum_{s=-h}^{-1} \sum_{u=n+s}^{n-1} (|x(u)| + |y(u)|).$ 

Then along the solutions of  $(2.2.14)$ 

$$
\Delta V(x, y) \le (|a| - \delta_2)|x(n - h)| + (|a| + h\delta_1 + \delta_2 - 1)|x(n)|
$$
  
+ (|a| - \delta\_2)|y(n - h)| + (|b| + h\delta\_1 + \delta\_2 - 1)|y(n)|  

$$
\le \beta (|x| + |y|)
$$
  
+ (|d<sub>1</sub>| + |d<sub>2</sub>| - \delta<sub>1</sub>)  $\sum_{s = -h}^{-1} (|x(n + s)| + |y(n + s)|)$   

$$
\le \beta (|x| + |y|).
$$

Hence, the result of (UAS) follows from Theorem [2.2.4.](#page-10-0)

The next theorem shows that the zero solution of the nonlinear delay difference equation

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = a(n)f(x(n)) + b(n)g(x(n-\tau)), h \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \tag{2.2.15}
$$

is (UAS).

**Theorem 2.2.9.** *Suppose f and g are continuous and there are positive constants*  $\alpha, \beta$ *, and*  $\gamma$  *with*  $\gamma > 1 + \alpha$  *such that* 

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
\gamma|a(n)||f(x)| \ge |x|, |f(x)| \ge |g(x)| \text{ for } 0 < |x| < \beta,
$$
 (2.2.16)

*and*

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
(1 - \gamma)|a(n)| + |b(n + \tau)| \leq -\alpha|a(n)|. \tag{2.2.17}
$$

*Then the zero solution of* [\(2.2.15\)](#page-15-0) *is (UAS).*

*Proof.* Define the Lyapunov functional

$$
V(n, x_n) = |x(n)| + \sum_{s=n-\tau}^{n-1} (|b(s+\tau)||g(x(s))|).
$$
 (2.2.18)

Then along the solutions of  $(2.2.15)$  we have

$$
\Delta V(n, x_n) \leq |a(n)||f(x(n))| + |b(n)||g(x(n-\tau))| - |x(n)| + |b(n+\tau)||g(x(n))| - |b(n)||g(x(n-\tau))|.
$$

Using  $(2.2.16)$  and  $(2.2.17)$  we arrive at

$$
\Delta V(n, x_n) \le (|a(n)| + |b(n + \tau)| - \gamma |a(n)|)|f(x(n))|
$$
  
\n
$$
\le -\alpha |a(n)||f(x(n))|.
$$
 (2.2.19)

The (UAS) follows from Theorem [2.2.4.](#page-10-0) This completes the proof.

# *2.2.1 Application to Volterra Difference Equations*

In this section we apply Theorems [2.1.1,](#page-2-2) [2.1.2,](#page-4-2) and [2.1.3](#page-5-2) to establish stability and boundedness results regarding the nonlinear Volterra discrete system

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n} C(n,s)f(x(s)) + g(n,x(n)
$$
\n(2.2.20)

where *A*, *C*, are  $k \times k$  matrices, *g*, *f* are  $k \times 1$  vector functions with  $|g(n, x(n))| \leq N$ and  $|f(x)| < \lambda |x|$ , for some positive constants N and  $\lambda$ .

In the case of  $f(x) = x$ , Medina [117], showed that if the zero solution of the homogenous equation associated with [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) is uniformly asymptotically stable, then

all solutions of [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) are bounded when  $C(n, s) = C(n - s)$  and  $g(n, x) = g(n)$  is bounded. In proving his results, Medina used the notion of the resolvent matrix coupled with the variation of parameters formula. Also, the author in [128] used Lyapunov functionals of convolution type coupled with the *z*-transform and ob-tained results about boundedness of solutions of [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) when  $g(n,x(n)) = g(n)$ . Moreover, we saw in Chapter 1 that when  $f$  is linear in  $x$ , unlike the case here, we used total stability and under suitable conditions, we showed that the zero solution of [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) is uniformly asymptotically stable, when  $|g(n,x)| < \lambda(n)|x|$ . We remark that the notion of the resolvent cannot be used to obtain boundedness of solutions of  $(2.2.20)$ , since the summation term in  $(2.2.20)$  is nonlinear.

**Theorem 2.2.10 ([133]).** *Suppose*  $A(n) = A$  *is a*  $k \times k$  *constant matrix, and*  $C^T(n,s) =$  $C(n,s)$ *. Let I be the k* $\times$ *k identity matrix. Also, suppose there exist positive constants* <sup>ρ</sup>*,* <sup>μ</sup>*, and a constant k* ×*k symmetric matrix B such that*

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
A^T B A - B = -\mu I,\tag{2.2.21}
$$

$$
\lambda |A^T B| \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s)| + |B| \sum_{s=n}^\infty |C(n,s)| + N^2 - \mu \le -\rho,
$$
\n(2.2.22)

*and*

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\lambda |A^T B| \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s)| + \lambda^2 |B| \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s)| + \lambda - |B| \le 0.
$$
 (2.2.23)

*Then solutions of* [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) *are (UB).*

*Proof.* Define the Lyapunov functional  $V(n) = V(n, x(n, \cdot))$  by

$$
V(n, x(\cdot)) = x^T(n)Bx(n) + |B| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} |C(s, j)| x^2(j),
$$
 (2.2.24)

where  $x^2(i) = x^T(i)x(i)$ . Then along solutions of [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) we have

<span id="page-16-2"></span>
$$
\Delta V_{(2.2.20)}(n) = x^T(n) \left[ A^T B A - B \right] x(n) + 2x^T(n) A^T B \sum_{s=0}^n C(n, s) f(x(s)) \n+ 2x^T(n) A^T B g(n, x(n)) + 2g^T(n, x(n)) B \sum_{s=0}^n C(n, s) f(x(s)) \n+ \sum_{s=0}^n f^T(x(s)) C(n, s) B \sum_{s=0}^n C(n, s) f(x(s)) \n+ |B| \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} |C(n, s)| x^2(n) - |B| \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} |C(n, s)| x^2(s) \n+ g^T(n, x(n)) B g(n, x(n)).
$$
\n(2.2.25)

Using  $(2.2.21)$ – $(2.2.23)$  and the fact that for any two real numbers *a* and *b*,  $2ab <$  $a^2 + b^2$ , equation [\(2.2.25\)](#page-16-2) reduces to

$$
\Delta V_{(2.2.20)}(n) \leq \left[ \lambda |A^T B| \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s)| + |B| \sum_{s=n}^\infty |C(n,s)| + N^2 - \mu \right] x^2(n)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left[ \lambda |A^T B| \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s)| + \lambda^2 |B| \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s)| + \lambda - |B| \right] \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s)| x^2(s)
$$
  
+ 
$$
|A^T B|^2 + \lambda N^2 |B|^2 \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s) + |g^T B g|
$$
  

$$
\leq -\rho x^2(n) + K,
$$

where  $K = |A^T B|^2 + \lambda N^2 |B|^2 \sum_{s=0}^n |C(n,s) + |g^T B g|$ . Thus, by Theorem [2.1.1](#page-2-2) all solutions of  $(2.2.20)$  are (UB).

In the next theorem, we use Theorem [2.1.3](#page-5-2) to establish (UB) and (UAS) for [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3), when  $g(n, x(n))$  is identically zero.

**Theorem 2.2.11 ([133]).** Assume  $g(n,x(n)) = 0$  and suppose there is a function  $\varphi(n) \geq 0$ *, with*  $\triangle \varphi(n) \leq 0$  *for*  $n \geq 0$ *,*  $\triangle_n \varphi(n-s-1) + |C(n,s)| \leq 0$  *for*  $0 \leq s < n <$  $\infty$ *. Also, suppose that for n* ≥ 0*,*  $|A(n)|+|C(n,n)|+\varphi(0)$  ≤ 1 −  $\rho$  *for some*  $\rho \in (0,1)$ *.* 

*a*) *If*  $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varphi(s) = B$ , then solutions of [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) are (UB) and the zero solution *of* [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) *is (US).*

*b*) *If*  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \varphi(s) = J$ , then solutions of [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) are (UUB) and the zero solution *of* [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) *is (UAS).*

*Proof.* Define the Lyapunov functional  $V(n) = V(n, x(n, \cdot))$ , by

$$
V(n) = |x(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi(n-s-1)|x(s)|, \quad n \ge 0.
$$
 (2.2.26)

Then along solutions of [\(2.2.20\)](#page-15-3) we have

$$
\Delta V_{(2.2.20)}(n) \le (|A(n)| + |C(n,n)| + \varphi(0) - 1)|x(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (\Delta_n \varphi(n-s-1) + |C(n,s)|) |x(s)| \le -\rho |x(n)|,
$$

and the results follow from Theorem [2.1.3.](#page-5-2)

### **2.3 Necessary and Sufficient Conditions**

We prove a general theorem in which necessary and sufficient conditions for obtaining uniform boundedness for functional difference system are present. We apply our results to finite and infinite delay Volterra difference equations. In the analysis we state and prove a discrete Jensen's type inequality. Thus we consider the system of functional difference equations of the form

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = G(n, x_n), x \in \mathbb{R}^k
$$
 (2.3.1)

where  $G: \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$  is continuous in *x*. Let *C* be set of bounded sequences  $\phi: (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^k$  with the maximum norm. For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $C(n)$  denotes the set of sequences  $\psi : [0, n] \to \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $||\psi|| = \max{ | \psi(s) | : 0 \le s \le n }$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the Euclidean norm on R*k*.

We assume that for each  $n_0 \geq 0$ , and each  $\phi \in C(n_0)$  there is at least one solution  $x(n, n_0, \phi)$  of [\(2.3.1\)](#page-18-0) defined on an interval  $[n_0, \alpha]$  with  $x_{n_0} = \phi$ . If the solution remains bounded for all *n*, then  $\alpha = \infty$ . Notation wise,  $x_n(s) = x(n+s)$  for  $s \le 0$ . If *D* is a matrix or a vector, |*D*| means the sum of the absolute values of the elements.

**Definition 2.3.1.** Solutions of [\(2.3.1\)](#page-18-0) are (UB) if for each  $B_1 > 0$  there is  $B_2 > 0$  $|\sinh(n) \geq 0, \phi \in C, ||\phi|| < B_1, n \geq n_0$  implies  $|x(n, n_0, \phi)| < B_2$ .

**Definition 2.3.2.** Solutions of  $(2.3.1)$  are (UUB) if there is a  $B > 0$  and for each  $B_3 > 0$  there is  $N > 0$  such that  $|n_0 \ge 0, \phi \in C, ||\phi|| < B_3, n \ge n_0 + N$  implies  $|x(n, n_0, \phi)| < B$ .

<span id="page-18-4"></span>**Theorem 2.3.1 ([133]).** *Let*  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$  *and assume there is a scalar sequence*  $\Phi: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  *that satisfy*  $\Phi \in l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ *. Assume the existence of wedges*  $W_j, j =$ 1,2, $\cdot$ *,* $\cdot$ , 5*. with*  $W_1(r) \rightarrow \infty$ *, and positive constants K,M with*  $W_5(K) > M$ *. Suppose there is a functional*  $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \times C \to \mathbb{R}^+$  *such that for each*  $x \in C(n)$ *, we have:* 

<span id="page-18-2"></span>
$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n, x_n) \le W_2(|x(n)|) + W_3\left(\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Phi(n-s)W_4(|x(s)|)\right) \tag{2.3.2}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-18-3"></span>
$$
\triangle V(n, x_n) \le -W_5(|x(n)|) + M. \tag{2.3.3}
$$

*Then solutions of* [\(2.3.1\)](#page-18-0) *are (UB) if and only if for each*  $K_1 > 0$ *, there exists*  $K_2 > 0$ *such that if*  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \phi)$  *is a solution of* [\(2.3.1\)](#page-18-0) *with*  $||\phi|| \leq K_1$ *, then* 

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n^*-1} \Phi(n^*-s)W_4(|x(s)|) \le K_2
$$
\n(2.3.4)

*whenever*  $v(s) < v(n^*)$  *for*  $n_0 \le s < n^*$ *, where*  $v(s) = V(s, x_s)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \phi)$  be a solution of [\(2.3.1\)](#page-18-0) that is (UB). Then, for ever *B*<sub>1</sub> > 0 there exists a *B*<sub>2</sub> > 0, say *B*<sub>2</sub> > *B*<sub>1</sub> so that for  $n_0 \ge 0$ ,  $||\phi|| < B_1$ ,  $n \ge n_0$ , we have  $|x(n, n_0, \phi) < B_2$ . Let  $J := \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \Phi(u)$ . Then, for  $n \ge n_0$  we have that

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Phi(n-s)W_4(|x(s)|) \leq \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Phi(n-s)W_4(B_2) \leq JW_4(B_2).
$$

This completes the proof of [\(2.3.4\)](#page-18-1).

Conversely, suppose that [\(2.3.4\)](#page-18-1) holds. Then for  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \phi)$  and  $v(n) =$  $V(n, x_n)$  with  $||\phi|| < B_1$ , we have the two cases:

- (*i*)  $v(n) \le v(n_0)$  for all  $n \ge n_0$  or
- (*ii*)  $v(s) \le v(n^*)$  for some  $n^* > n_0$  and all  $n_0 \le s < n^*$ .

If (*i*) holds, then

$$
W_1(|x(n)|) \le V(n) \le V(n_0)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(|x(n_0)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \varphi(n_0, s)W_3(|\varphi(s)|)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(|\varphi(n_0)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \varphi(n_0, s)W_3(|\varphi(s)|)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le W_2(H) + BW_3(H).
$$

From which it follows that

$$
|x(n) \le W_1^{-1} [W_2(H) + BW_3(H)].
$$

On the other hand, if (*ii*) holds, then  $V(n, \cdot)$  is increasing and hence we have  $0 \leq$  $-W_5(|x(n^*)|) + M$ *.* Or,  $W_5(|x(n^*)|) \leq M$ *.* Now since  $W_5(K) > M$ , we get  $|x(n^*)| \leq$  $W_5^{-1}(M)$ . It follows from (i) and [\(2.3.2\)](#page-18-2) that

$$
\nu(n^*) \leq W_2(|x(n^*)|) + W_3\Big(\sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \Phi(n^*-s)W_4(|x(s)|) + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n^*-1} \Phi(n^*-s)W_4(|x(s)|)\Big) \\ \leq W_2\left[W_5^{-1}(M)\right] + W_3\left[JW_4(K_1) + K_2\right].
$$

Since *n*<sup>∗</sup> is arbitrary, we have for all  $n \ge n_0$  that

$$
\nu(n) \leq W_2 \left[ W_5^{-1}(M) \right] + W_3 \left[ J W_4(K_1) + K_2 \right] + \nu(n_0).
$$
  
\$\leq W\_2 \left[ W\_5^{-1}(M) \right] + W\_3 \left[ J W\_4(K\_1) + K\_2 \right] + W\_2(K\_1) + W\_3(J W\_4(K\_1)).

On the other hand, from [\(2.3.2\)](#page-18-2) we have  $W_1(|x(n)|) \le v(n)$ , which implies that

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
|x(n)| \le W_1^{-1} \Big[ W_2 \left[ W_5^{-1}(M) \right] + W_3 \left[ J W_4(K_1) + K_2 \right] + W_2(K_1) + W_3(J W_4(K_1)) \Big]. \tag{2.3.5}
$$

Finally, for all  $n \ge n_0$ , we have  $|x(n)| \le B_2$ , where  $B_2$  is given by the right side of [\(2.3.5\)](#page-19-0).

This completes the proof.

The next Lemma is needed for our next results. One could say it is a Jensen's type inequality.

**Lemma 2.1 (Raffoul Jensen's Type Discrete Inequality [133]).** *Assume* <sup>Φ</sup> : <sup>Z</sup><sup>+</sup> <sup>→</sup>  $\mathbb{R}^+$  *such that*  $\Phi(u+1), \triangle \Phi(u)u \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ . Also, assume that  $q : [n_0, n] \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is such *that for constants* <sup>α</sup> *and* β*, we have*

$$
\frac{1}{n-s} \sum_{u=s}^{n-1} q(u) \le \alpha + \frac{\beta}{n-s}
$$
 (2.3.6)

*for all*  $n_0 \leq s < n$ *. Then,* 

$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Phi(n-s)q(s) \le \alpha \max_{n\ge 0} \left\{ \Phi(n)n + \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} |\Delta \Phi(u)|u \right\} + \beta \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} |\Delta \Phi(u)|. \quad (2.3.7)
$$

*Proof.* Let *b* be any positive integer. Then since  $\Phi(u+1), \Delta \Phi(u)u \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^+),$  we have  $\Phi(\infty) = 0$ . Moreover,

$$
\sum_{u=b}^{\infty} |\triangle \Phi(u)| \geq |\sum_{u=b}^{\infty} \triangle \Phi(u)| = |\Phi(\infty) - \Phi(b)|,
$$

from which we have

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
\Phi(b) \le \sum_{u=b}^{\infty} |\Delta \Phi(u)|. \tag{2.3.8}
$$

We claim that since  $\Phi(u+1), \Delta \Phi(u)u \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^+),$  we have  $\Phi(\infty) = 0$ . To see this for any two sequences *y* and *z*, we use the summation by parts formula

$$
\sum (\triangle z)y = yz - \sum Ez \triangle y,
$$

where  $Ez(n) = z(n+1)$ . With this in mind, we have

$$
\sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \Delta \Phi(u)u = \Phi(u)u \big|_{u=0}^{\infty} - \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \Phi(u+1).
$$

From which we get

$$
\Phi(u)u\mid_{u=0}^{\infty}=\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\triangle\Phi(u)u+\sum_{u=0}^{\infty}\Phi(u+1)<\infty.
$$

Suppose the contrary; that is  $\Phi(\infty) \to 0$ . Then, there exists a *T* large enough so that  $\Phi(p) > \varepsilon$ , for  $p > T$ . Thus,

$$
\lim_{p\to\infty}p\Phi(p)\geq \lim_{p\to\infty}p\varepsilon=\infty,
$$

which contradict the fact that  $\Delta \Phi(u)u$ ,  $\Phi(u+1) \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ . This completes the proof of the claim.

Again, using summation by parts, we get

$$
\sum_{u=0}^{b-1} |\triangle \Phi(u)u| \ge |\sum_{u=0}^{b-1} \triangle \Phi(u)u| = |\Phi(b)b - \sum_{u=0}^{b-1} \Phi(u+1)|.
$$

From this inequality we arrive at,

$$
|\Phi(b)b| - |\sum_{u=0}^{b-1} \Phi(u+1)| \leq |\Phi(b)b - \sum_{u=0}^{b-1} \Phi(u+1)|
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{u=0}^{b-1} |\Delta \Phi(u)u|,
$$

from which we get

$$
\Phi(b)b \leq \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \left[ \Phi(u+1) + |\triangle \Phi(u)u| \right] < \infty.
$$

Let  $y = \Phi(n-s)$  and  $\Delta z = q(s)$ . Then we have  $z = -\sum_{u=s}^{n-1} q(u)$ . Hence,

$$
\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Phi(n-s)q(s) \leq \Phi(n-s) \left( -\sum_{u=s}^{n-1} q(u) \right) \Big|_{s=n_0}^{n} - \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Delta \Phi(n-s) \sum_{u=s+1}^{n-1} q(u)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \Phi(n-n_0) \sum_{u=n_0}^{n-1} q(u) - \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Delta \Phi(n-s) \sum_{u=s+1}^{n-1} q(u)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \Phi(n-n_0) \sum_{u=n_0}^{n-1} q(u) + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |\Delta \Phi(n-s)| \sum_{u=s}^{n-1} q(u)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \Phi(n-n_0) \left( (n-n_0) \alpha + \beta \right) + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |\Delta \Phi(n-s)| \left( (n-s) \alpha + \beta \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left[ \Phi(n-n_0)(n-n_0) + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |\Delta \Phi(n-s)| \right] \alpha
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left[ \Phi(n-n_0) + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |\Delta \Phi(u)| u \right] \alpha \text{ (letting } u = n-s)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left[ \Phi(n-n_0)(n-n_0) + \sum_{u=1}^{n-n_0} |\Delta \Phi(u)| u \right] \alpha \text{ (letting } u = n-s)
$$

$$
\leq \left[\Phi(n-n_0)(n-n_0) + \sum_{u=0}^{n-n_0} |\Delta \Phi(u)|u\right] \alpha \n+ \left[\Phi(n-n_0)(n-n_0) + \sum_{u=0}^{n-n_0} |\Delta \Phi(u)| \right] \beta \n\leq \alpha \max_{n\geq 0} \{\Phi(n)n + \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} |\Delta \Phi(u)|u\} \n+ \Phi(n-n_0)(n-n_0) + \left[\sum_{u=0}^{\infty} |\Delta \Phi(u)| - \sum_{u=n-n_0}^{\infty} |\Delta \Phi(u)| \right] \beta \n\leq \alpha \max_{n\geq 0} \{\Phi(n)n + \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} |\Delta \Phi(u)|u\} + \beta \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} |\Delta \Phi(u)| < \infty,
$$

where we have used  $(2.3.8)$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.3.2** ([133]). Assume there is a scalar sequence  $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  that *satisfies*  $\Phi \in l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ *. Assume the existence of wedges*  $W_j$ *, j* = 1*,*2*,*·*,*·*,,5. with*  $W_1(r) \rightarrow \infty$ , and positive constants K, M with  $W_5(K) > M$ . Suppose there is a func*tional*  $V : \mathbb{R}^+ \times C \to \mathbb{R}^+$  *such that for each*  $x \in C(n)$ *,* [\(2.3.2\)](#page-18-2) *and* [\(2.3.3\)](#page-18-3) *hold. Suppose that for every*  $\alpha > 0$ *, there exists*  $\alpha^* > 0$  *such that for*  $0 \leq s < n$ *,* 

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{n-s}\sum_{u=s}^{n-1}W_5(|x(u)|) \le \alpha \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n-s}\sum_{u=s}^{n-1}W_4(|x(u)|) \le \alpha^*.
$$
 (2.3.9)

*Then solutions of* [\(2.3.1\)](#page-18-0) *are (UB.)*

*Proof.* Let  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \phi)$  be a solution of [\(2.3.1\)](#page-18-0) and  $B_1 > 0$  with  $||\phi|| < B_1$ . Set  $v(n) = V(n, x_n)$ . In the case of (ii) we sum [\(2.3.3\)](#page-18-3) from *s* to  $n^* - 1$  to get

$$
v(n^*) - v(s) \leq -\sum_{u=s}^{n^*-1} W_5(|x(u)|) + M(n^*-s).
$$

This yields that

$$
\frac{1}{n-s}\sum_{u=s}^{n^*-1}W_5(|x(u)|) \le M.
$$
 (2.3.10)

Then by  $(2.3.9)$ , there exists  $M^* > 0$  such that

$$
\frac{1}{n-s}\sum_{u=s}^{n^*-1}W_4(|x(u)|)\leq M^*.
$$

If we let  $q(u) = W_4(|x(u)|)$ ,  $\alpha = M^*$  then an application of Lemma 1 with  $\beta = 0$ , we obtain [\(2.3.4\)](#page-18-1) and hence by Theorem [2.3.1,](#page-18-4) solutions are (UB).

It is worth noting that in general  $(2.3.9)$  does not hold for arbitrary wedges. To see this, we let  $W_5(r) = \frac{1}{r}, r > 0$  and  $W_4(r) = r$ . Let  $x(u) = \frac{1}{a^{u-1}}, |a| > 1$ . Then

$$
\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{u=1}^{n}W_4(|x(u)|) = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{u=1}^{n}(\frac{1}{a})^{u-1} \to \infty, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

On the other hand

$$
\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{u=1}^{n}W_5(|x(u)|)=\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{u=1}^{n}a^{u-1}=\frac{1}{n-1}\frac{1-(\frac{1}{a})^n}{1-\frac{1}{a}}\leq 1,
$$

for  $n > 1$ .

*Remark 2.2.* If  $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\Delta \Phi(n) \leq 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $\Phi(n+1) \in$  $l^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ , then  $\triangle \Phi(u)u \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ . As a matter of fact,

$$
\Phi(n)n + \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} |\triangle \Phi(u)| u = \Phi(n)n - \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} \triangle \Phi(u)u = \sum_{u=0}^{n-1} \Phi(u+1).
$$

For example, if we take  $\Phi(n) = a^n \sin(n\pi/2)$  for  $0 < a < 1$ , then

$$
\triangle \Phi(n) = a^{n+1} \cos(n\pi/2) - a^n \sin(n\pi/2).
$$

It is easy to see that  $\Delta \Phi(3) = a^3 > 0$ . On the other hand

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta \Phi(n) n < \infty.
$$

In Theorem [2.1.1](#page-2-2) we asked that  $\Delta_n \Phi(n)(n) \leq 0$ .

We end the section with the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.3 ([133]).** *Solutions of the scalar difference equation*

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = a(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n} D(n-s)x(s) + p(n),
$$
\n(2.3.11)

*are (UB) if and only if*

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
-1 + |a(n)| + \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} |D(u)| \le -\beta, \ \beta > 0.
$$
 (2.3.12)

*Proof.* First it is obvious that [\(2.3.12\)](#page-23-0) implies  $\sum_{u=0}^{\infty} |D(u)|$  is bounded. Consider the Lyapunov functional

<span id="page-23-2"></span>
$$
V(n, x_n) = |x(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=n-s}^{\infty} |D(u)| |x(s)|.
$$
 (2.3.13)

Let  $M = |p(n)|$ . Then along the solutions of  $(2.3.11)$  we have

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
\triangle V(n, x_n) = (|x(n+1)| - |x(n)|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n} \sum_{u=n-s+1}^{\infty} |D(u)| |x(s)|
$$
  

$$
- \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=n-s}^{\infty} |D(u)| |x(s)| + |p(n)|
$$
  

$$
\leq (-1 + |a(n)| + \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} |D(u)|) |x(n)| + |p(n)|
$$
  

$$
\leq -\beta |x(n)| + M
$$
 (2.3.14)

if and only if  $(2.3.12)$  holds (by noting that  $(2.3.12)$  is the condition given by  $(2.3.4)$ ). We have from equation  $(2.3.13)$  and inequality  $(2.3.14)$  that

$$
|x(n)| \le V(n, x_n) \le |x(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Theta(n-s) |x(s)| \tag{2.3.15}
$$

and  $\Theta(n) =$  $\sum_{u=n}^{\infty} |D(u)|$ . The results follow from Theorem [2.3.1.](#page-18-4)

# **2.4 More on Boundedness**

In this section, we state and prove general theorems that guarantee boundedness of all solutions of  $(2.1.1)$ . Then we utilize the theorems and use nonnegative definite Lyapunov functionals to obtain sufficient conditions that guarantee boundedness of solutions of  $(2.1.1)$ . The theory is illustrated with several examples. A stereotype of equation  $(2.1.1)$  is the Volterra discrete system

<span id="page-24-1"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n} B(n,s)f(s,x(s)).
$$
\n(2.4.1)

Also, in [85], the author studied the exponential stability and boundedness of solutions of the nonlinear discrete system

$$
x(n+1) = F(n, x(n)); n \ge 0
$$
  

$$
x(n_0) = x_0; n_0 \ge 0.
$$

We emphasize that the results of [85] do not apply to equations similar to  $(2.4.1)$ . We are mainly interested in applying our results to Volterra discrete systems of the form of [\(2.4.1\)](#page-24-1)) with  $f(x) = x^n$  where *n* is positive and rational. This section offers a new perspective at looking at the notion of constructing Lyapunov functionals that can be effectively used to obtain existence results. For this section, we use a slightly different boundedness definition.

**Definition 2.4.1.** We say that solutions of system  $(2.1.1)$  are bounded, if any solution  $x(n, n_0, \phi)$  of  $(2.1.1)$  satisfies

$$
||x(n, n_0, \phi)|| \le C (||\phi||, n_0), \text{ for all } n \ge n_0,
$$

where  $C: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is a constant that depends on  $n_0$  and  $\phi$  is a given bounded initial function. We say that solutions of system [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) are uniformly bounded if *C* is independent of  $n_0$ .

<span id="page-25-3"></span>**Theorem 2.4.1** ([147]). Let D be a set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Suppose there exists a Lyapunov func*tional*  $V : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times D \to \mathbb{R}^+$  *that satisfies* 

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
\lambda_1 W_1(|x|) \le V(n, x(.)) \le \lambda_2 W_2(|x|) + \lambda_2 \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_1(n, s) W_3(|x(s)|) \tag{2.4.2}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
\triangle V(n, x(.)) \le -\lambda_3 W_4(|x|) - \lambda_3 \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_2(n, s) W_5(|x(s)|) + L \tag{2.4.3}
$$

*for some positive constants*  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  *and*  $L$ *, and*  $\lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ *, where*  $\varphi_i(n, s) \ge 0$  *is a scalar sequence for*  $0 \le s \le t < \infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , *such that for some constant*  $\gamma \ge 0$  *the inequality*

<span id="page-25-2"></span>
$$
W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left( \varphi_1(n,s) W_3(|x(s)|) - \varphi_2(n,s) W_5(|x(s)|) \right) \le \gamma \qquad (2.4.4)
$$

*holds. Moreover, if*  $\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \phi_1(n,s) \leq B$  *for some positive constant B, then all solutions of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *that stay in D are uniformly bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $M = -\ln(1 - \lambda_3/\lambda_2) > 0$ . For any initial value  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $x(n)$  be any solution of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) with  $x(n) = \phi(n)$ , for  $0 \le n \le n_0$ . Taking the difference of the function  $V(n, x)e^{M(n-n_0)}$ , we have

$$
\triangle \left(V(n,x)e^{M(n-n_0)}\right)=\left[V(n+1,x)e^M-V(n,x)\right]e^{M(n-n_0)}.
$$

For  $x \in D$ , using [\(2.4.2\)](#page-25-0) and [\(2.4.3\)](#page-25-1) we get

$$
\triangle \Big( V(n,x) e^{M(n-n_0)} \Big)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \Big[ V(n,x) e^{M} - \lambda_3 W_4(|x|) e^{M} - \lambda_3 \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_2(n,s) W_5(|x(s)|) e^{M} + L e^{M} - V(n,x) \Big] e^{M(n-n_0)}
$$
\n
$$
= \Big[ V(n,x) (e^{M} - 1) - \lambda_3 e^{M} \Big( W_4(|x|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_2(n,s) W_5(|x(s)|) \Big) + L e^{M} \Big] e^{M(n-n_0)}
$$

$$
\leq \left[ (e^M - 1) \lambda_2 \Big( W_2(|x|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_1(n, s) W_3(|x(s)|) \Big) - \lambda_3 e^M \Big( (W_4(|x|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_2(n, s) W_5(|x(s)|) \Big) + Le^M \right] e^{M(n-n_0)}.
$$
\n(2.4.5)

Since  $M = -\ln(1 - \lambda_3/\lambda_2) > 0$ , we have  $\lambda_2(e^M - 1) = \lambda_3 e^M$ . Thus, the above inequality reduces to

<span id="page-26-0"></span>
$$
\triangle \left(V(n,x)e^{M(n-n_0)}\right) \le \left[ (e^M - 1)\lambda_2 \Big(W_2(|x|) - (W_4(|x|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Big(\varphi_1(n,s)W_3(|x(s)|) - \varphi_2(n,s)W_5(|x(s)|)\Big) + Le^M \right] e^{M(n-n_0)}.
$$
\n(2.4.6)

By invoking condition  $(2.4.4)$ , the inequality  $(2.4.6)$  takes the form

$$
\triangle \left(V(n,x)e^{M(n-n_0)}\right) \le \left((e^M-1)\lambda_2\gamma + Le^M\right)e^{M(n-n_0)} \le \alpha e^{M(n-n_0)},
$$

where  $\alpha = (e^M - 1)\lambda_2\gamma + Le^M$ . Summing the above inequality from  $n_0$  to  $n - 1$ , we obtain,

$$
V(n,x)e^{M(n-n_0)}-V(n_0,\phi)\leq \alpha\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}e^{M(s-n_0)}\leq \alpha e^{-Mn_0}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}(e^M)^s\leq \frac{\alpha}{e^M-1}e^{M(n-n_0)},
$$

that is,

<span id="page-26-2"></span>
$$
V(n,x) \leq V(n_0,\phi)e^{-M(n-n_0)} + \frac{\alpha}{e^M-1} \leq V(n_0,\phi) + \frac{\alpha}{e^M-1}.
$$

From condition [\(2.4.2\)](#page-25-0), we have

$$
||x|| \leq W_1^{-1} \Big[ \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \Big( \lambda_2 W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2 W_3(|\phi|) \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \varphi_1(n_0,s) \Big) + \frac{\alpha}{e^M-1} \Big];
$$
 for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

This completes the proof.

In the next theorems, we consider variables  $\lambda_i(n)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

**Theorem 2.4.2** ([147]). Let D be a set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Suppose there exist a Lyapunov func*tional*  $V : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times D \to \mathbb{R}^+$  *that satisfies* 

<span id="page-26-1"></span>
$$
\lambda_1(n)W_1(|x|) \le V(n, x(.)) \le \lambda_2(n)W_2(|x|) + \lambda_2(n) \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_1(n, s)W_3(|x(s)|) \quad (2.4.7)
$$

*and*

<span id="page-27-1"></span>
$$
\triangle V(n, x(.)) \leq -\lambda_3(n)W_4(|x|) - \lambda_3(n) \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \varphi_2(n, s)W_5(|x(s)|) + L \tag{2.4.8}
$$

*for some positive constant L, and positive sequence*  $\lambda_1(n), \lambda_2(n), \lambda_3(n)$ *, where*  $\lambda_1(n)$ *is nondecreasing sequence, and*  $\varphi_i(n,s) > 0$  *is a scalar sequence for*  $0 \le s \le n \le$ ∞*,i* = 1*,*2*. Assume that for some positive constants* <sup>θ</sup>*, and* γ *the inequality with*

<span id="page-27-0"></span>
$$
0 < \frac{\lambda_3(n)}{\lambda_2(n)} \le \theta < 1,\tag{2.4.9}
$$

*and*

<span id="page-27-2"></span>
$$
W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left( \varphi_1(n,s) W_3(|x(s)|) - \varphi_2(n,s) W_5(|x(s)|) \right) \leq \gamma \quad (2.4.10)
$$

*holds. If*  $\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \phi_1(n,s) \leq B$ ,  $\lambda_2(n) \leq N$  for some positive constants B and N, then *all solutions of* [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) *that stay in D are uniformly bounded.*

*Proof.* First we note that due to condition [\(2.4.9\)](#page-27-0),  $\lambda_3(n)$  is bounded for all  $n \ge n_0 \ge$ 0. For any initial value  $n_0 > 0$ , let  $x(n)$  be any solution of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) with  $x(n) = \phi(n)$ for  $0 \le n \le n_0$ . Taking the difference of the function  $V(n,x)e^{M(n-n_0)}$  with

$$
M = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \left( -\ln(1 - \frac{\lambda_3(n)}{\lambda_2(n)}) \right) > 0,
$$

we have

$$
\triangle \left(V(n,x)e^{M(n-n_0)}\right) = \left[V(n+1,x)e^M - V(n,x)\right]e^{M(n-n_0)}.
$$

By a similar argument as in Theorem [2.4.1](#page-25-3) we obtain,

$$
\Delta\left(V(n,x)e^{M(n-n_0)}\right) \leq \left((e^M-1)\lambda_2(n)\gamma + Le^M\right)e^{M(n-n_0)}\n\leq \left((e^M-1)N\gamma + Le^M\right)e^{M(n-n_0)}.
$$

We let  $\beta = (e^M - 1)N\gamma + Le^M$ , and summing the above inequality from  $n_0$  to  $n - 1$ , we obtain

$$
V(n,x)e^{M(n-n_0)} \le V(n_0, \phi(n_0)) + \beta \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} e^{M(s-n_0)}
$$
  
 
$$
\le V(n_0, \phi(n_0)) + \frac{\beta}{e^M - 1} e^{M(n-n_0)}.
$$

By condition  $(2.4.7)$ , we have

$$
||x|| \le W_1^{-1} \Big[ \frac{1}{\lambda_1(n_0)} \Big( V(n_0, \phi(n_0)) e^{M(n-n_0)} + \frac{\beta}{e^M - 1} \Big) \Big] \le W_1^{-1} \Big[ \frac{1}{\lambda_1(n_0)} \Big( \lambda_2(n_0) W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2(n_0) W_3(|\phi|) \sum_{s=0}^{n_0-1} \phi_1(n_0, s) + \frac{\beta}{e^M - 1} \Big) \Big],
$$

for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Hence, the solutions of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0) that start in *D* are uniformly bounded. This completes the proof.

Theorems [2.4.1](#page-25-3) and [2.4.2](#page-26-2) are of special importance since, by the aid of constructing a suitable Lyapunov functionals, the theorems can be applied to nonlinear Volterra systems of the form

<span id="page-28-0"></span>
$$
x(n+1) = \sigma(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n} B(n,s)x^{2/3}(s), \ n \ge 0, \ x(n) = \phi(n) \ \text{ for } \ 0 \le n \le n_0,
$$
\n(2.4.11)

where  $\phi(n)$  is a given bounded initial sequence.

# **2.5 Applications to Nonlinear Volterra Difference Equations**

In this section, we apply the results of the previous section to nonlinear Volterra difference equations. As we shall see that Theorems [2.4.1](#page-25-3) and [2.4.2](#page-26-2) will guide us step by step on how such Lyapunov functionals are constructed.

**Theorem 2.5.1 ([147]).** *Consider the scalar nonlinear Volterra difference equation given by* [\(2.4.11\)](#page-28-0)*. Suppose there are constants*  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0,1)$  *such that* 

$$
\sigma^{2}(n)+\frac{2}{3}\Big(|\sigma(n)|+\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|\Big)|B(n,n)|+\sum_{u=n+1}^{\infty}|B(u,n)|-1\leq-\beta_{1},
$$

*and*

$$
\frac{2}{3}\Big(|\sigma(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n} |B(n,s)|\Big) - 1 \leq -\beta_2.
$$
 (2.5.1)

*If*

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\sum_{u=n}^{\infty}|B(u,s)|,\ \sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|<\infty,
$$

*and*

$$
|B(n,s)| \geq \sum_{u=n}^{\infty} |B(u,s)|,
$$

*then all solutions of* [\(2.4.11\)](#page-28-0) *are uniformly bounded.*

*Proof.* To see this, we consider the Lyapunov functional

$$
V(n,x) = x^{2}(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=n}^{\infty} |B(u,s)|x^{2}(s).
$$

Then along solutions of  $(2.4.11)$  we have

<span id="page-29-1"></span>
$$
\triangle V(n,x) = x^2(n+1) - x^2(n) + \sum_{u=n+1}^{\infty} |B(u,n)|x^2(n) - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} |B(u,s)|x^2(s)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left(\sigma(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=0}^n B(n,s)x^{2/3}(s)\right)^2 - x^2(n)
$$
  
\n
$$
+2 \sum_{u=n+1}^{\infty} |B(u,n)|x^2(n) - \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} |B(u,s)|x^2(s)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left(\sigma^2(n) + \sum_{u=n+1}^{\infty} |B(u,n)| - 1\right)x^2(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
+2\sigma(n)x(n) \sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s) + \left(\sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s)\right)^2
$$
  
\n
$$
- \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} |B(n,s)|x^2(s).
$$
 (2.5.2)

To further simplify the above inequality we perform the following calculations. Using the fact that  $ab \leq a^2/2 + b^2/2$ ,

$$
2\sigma(n)x(n)\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s)\leq 2|\sigma(n)||x(n)|\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s)
$$
  

$$
\leq |\sigma(n)|\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|\left(x^{2}(n)+x^{4/3}(s)\right).
$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequalities for series, one obtains

$$
\left(\sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s)\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)|^{1/2} |B(n,s)|^{1/2} x^{2/3}(s)\right)^2
$$
  

$$
\le \sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)| \sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)| x^{4/3}(s).
$$

Adding the above two inequalities yields

<span id="page-29-0"></span>
$$
2\sigma(n)x(n)\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s)+\left(\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s)\right)^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |\sigma(n)|\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|\left(x^{2}(n)+x^{4/3}(s)\right)+\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|x^{4/3}(s)
$$

#### 2.5 Applications to Nonlinear Volterra Difference Equations 85

$$
= |\sigma(n)| \sum_{s=0}^{n} |B(n,s)| x^{2}(n)
$$
  
+ 
$$
(|\sigma(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n} |B(n,s)|) \sum_{s=0}^{n} |B(n,s)| x^{4/3}(s).
$$
 (2.5.3)

Finally, we make use of Young's inequality, which says for any two nonnegative real numbers  $\omega$  and  $\overline{\omega}$ , we have

$$
\omega \overline{\omega} \le \frac{\omega^e}{e} + \frac{\overline{\omega}^f}{f}, \text{ with } 1/e + 1/f = 1.
$$

Thus, for  $e = 3/2$  and  $f = 3$ , we get

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n} |B(n,s)|x^{4/3}(s) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} |B(n,s)|^{1/3} |B(n,s)|^{2/3} x^{4/3}(s)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{s=0}^{n} \left( \frac{|B(n,s)|}{3} + \frac{2}{3} |B(n,s)|x^{2}(s) \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{|B(n,s)|}{3} + \frac{2}{3} |B(n,s)|x^{2}(s) + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} |B(n,s)|x^{2}(s).
$$

With this in mind, inequality  $(2.5.3)$  reduces to

<span id="page-30-0"></span>
$$
2\sigma(n)x(n)\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s)+\left(\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|x^{2/3}(s)\right)^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{2}{3}\left(|\sigma(n)|+\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|\right)|B(n,n)|
$$
  
\n
$$
+\frac{1}{3}\left(|\sigma(n)|+\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|\right)\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|
$$
  
\n
$$
+\frac{2}{3}\left(|\sigma(n)|+\sum_{s=0}^{n}|B(n,s)|\right)\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}|B(n,s)|x^{2}(s).
$$
 (2.5.4)

By substituting  $(2.5.4)$  into  $(2.5.2)$ , we arrive at

$$
\triangle V(n,x) \leq \left[\sigma^2(n) + \frac{2}{3}\left(|\sigma(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)|\right)|B(n,n)| + \sum_{u=n+1}^\infty |B(u,n)| - 1\right]x^2(n)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{2}{3}\left(|\sigma(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)| - 1\right)\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} |B(n,s)|x^2(s)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{3}\left(|\sigma(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)|\right)\sum_{s=0}^n |B(n,s)|.
$$
 (2.5.5)

Let  $L = \frac{1}{3} (|\sigma(n)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n} |B(n, s)|) \sum_{s=0}^{n} |B(n, s)|$ . Take  $W_1 = W_2 = W_4 = x^2(n)$ ,  $W_3 =$  $W_5 = x^2(s)$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$  and  $\lambda_3 = \min{\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}}$ . Also, we choose  $\varphi_1(n, s) =$  $\sum_{u=n}^{\infty} |B(u,s)|$ , and  $\varphi_2(n,s) = |B(n,s)|$ , we see that conditions [\(2.4.7\)](#page-26-1) and [\(2.4.8\)](#page-27-1) of Theorem [2.4.2](#page-26-2) are satisfied. Next we make sure condition  $(2.4.10)$  is satisfied. To see this,

$$
W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (\varphi_1(n, s)W_3(|x(s)|) - \varphi_2(n, s)W_5(|x(s)|))
$$
  
=  $x^2(n) - x^2(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (\sum_{u=n}^{\infty} |B(u, s)| - |B(u, s)|) x^2(s)$   
=  $\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (\sum_{u=n}^{\infty} |B(u, s)| - |B(u, s)|) x^2(s) \le 0.$ 

Thus, condition [\(2.4.10\)](#page-27-2) is satisfied for  $\gamma = 0$ . An application of Theorem [2.4.2](#page-26-2) yields the results.

In the next theorem we establish sufficient conditions that guarantee the boundedness of all solutions of the vector Volterra difference equation

<span id="page-31-0"></span>
$$
\triangle x(t) = Ax(t) + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s) + g(t),
$$
\n(2.5.6)

where  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x(t) = \phi(t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ ,  $\phi(t)$  is a given bounded continuous initial  $k \times 1$  vector function. Also, *A* and *C* are  $k \times k$  matrices and *g* is  $k \times 1$  vector functions that is continuous in *x* If *D* is a matrix,  $|D|$  means the sum of the absolute values of the elements. For what to follow we write *g* and *x* for  $g(t)$  and  $x(t)$ , respectively.

**Theorem 2.5.2.** *Suppose*  $C^T(t,s) = C(t,s)$ *. Let I be the k* × *k identity matrix. Assume there exist positive constants L,v*, $\xi$ , $\beta_1$ , $\beta_2$ , $\lambda_3$ *, and k*  $\times$  *k positive definite constant symmetric matrix B such that*

$$
\left[A^T B + BA + A^T BA\right] \le -\xi I,\tag{2.5.7}
$$

<span id="page-31-1"></span>
$$
\[ -\xi + |Bg| + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |B||C(t,s)| + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |A^T B||C(t,s)| + \nu \sum_{u=t+1}^{\infty} |B(u,t)| \] (1+\lambda_3) \leq -\beta_1, \tag{2.5.8}
$$

<span id="page-31-2"></span>
$$
\[|B| - v + ((g^T B)^2 + 1 + |A^T B| + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)|) \] (1 + \lambda_3) \le -\beta_2,
$$
 (2.5.9)

#### 2.5 Applications to Nonlinear Volterra Difference Equations 87

<span id="page-32-2"></span>
$$
(|g^T g| + |B g|)(1 + \lambda_3) = L, \tag{2.5.10}
$$

<span id="page-32-3"></span>
$$
|C(t,s)| \geq v \sum_{u=t+1}^{\infty} |C(u,s)|,
$$
 (2.5.11)

*and*

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \sum_{u=t}^{\infty} |C(u,s)|, \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)| < \infty.
$$
 (2.5.12)

*Then solutions of* [\(2.5.6\)](#page-31-0) *are uniformly bounded.*

*Proof.* Since *B* is  $k \times k$  positive definite constant symmetric matrix, then there exists an  $r_1 \in (0,1]$  and  $r_2 > 0$  such that

<span id="page-32-4"></span>
$$
r_1 x^T x \le x^T B x \le r_2 x^T x. \tag{2.5.13}
$$

Define

$$
V(t,x) = xT Bx + v \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \sum_{u=t}^{\infty} |C(u,s)|x2(s).
$$

Here  $x^T x = x^2 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_k^2)$ . We have along the solutions that

<span id="page-32-0"></span>
$$
\triangle V(t, x) = \left[ Ax + \sum_{0}^{t-1} C(t, s) x(s) + g \right]^T Bx
$$
\n
$$
+ x^T B \left[ Ax + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t, s) x(s) + g \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[ Ax + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t, s) x(s) + g \right]^T B \left[ Ax + \sum_{0}^{t-1} C(t, s) x(s) + g \right]
$$
\n
$$
- v \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |B(t, s)| x^2(s) + v \sum_{u=t+1}^{\infty} |B(u, t)| x^2.
$$
\n(2.5.14)

By noting that the right side of [\(2.5.14\)](#page-32-0) is scalar and by recalling that *B* is a symmetric matrix, expression [\(2.5.14\)](#page-32-0) simplifies to

<span id="page-32-1"></span>
$$
\triangle V(t,x) = x^T \left( A^T B + BA + A^T BA \right) x + 2x^T B g \qquad (2.5.15)
$$
  
+ 
$$
2 \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x^T BC(t,s)x(s)
$$
  
+ 
$$
\left[ x^T A^T B g + 2g^T B \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s) + 2x^T A^T B \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s) + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x^T(s)C(t,s) \triangle_s B \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s) + g^T B g \right]
$$
 (2.5.15)

$$
- \nu \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)|x^{2}(s) + \nu \sum_{u=t+1}^{\infty} |C(u,t)|x^{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq -\xi x^{2} + 2|x^{T}||Bg| + 2 \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |x^{T}||B||C(t,s)||x(s)|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)|2|g^{T}B||x(s)| + 2 \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |x^{T}||A^{T}B||C(t,s)||x(s)|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x^{T}(s)C(t,s) B \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s) + |g^{T}g| \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
- \nu \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)|x^{2}(s) + \nu \sum_{u=t+1}^{\infty} |C(u,t)|x^{2}.
$$

Next, we perform some calculations to simplify inequality [\(2.5.15\)](#page-32-1).

$$
2|x^T||Bg| = 2|x^T||Bg|^{1/2}|Bg|^{1/2} \le x^2|Bg| + |Bg|,
$$
  
\n
$$
2\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |x^T||B||C(t,s)||x(s)| \le \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |B||C(t,s)|(x^2 + x^2(s)),
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)|2|g^T B||x(s)| \le \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)|(|g^T B|^2 + x^2(s)),
$$

and

$$
2\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |x^T||A^T B||C(t,s)||x(s)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |A^T B||C(t,s)|(x^2+x^2(s)).
$$

Finally,

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x^T(s)C(t,s) B \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s)
$$
\n
$$
|B| |\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x^T(s)C(t,s)|| \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s)|
$$
\n
$$
\leq |B| \Big(\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x^T(s)C(t,s)\Big)^2 / 2 + |B| \Big(\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s)\Big)^2 / 2
$$
\n
$$
= |B| \Big(\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s)\Big)^2
$$
\n
$$
= |B| \Big(\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |C(t,s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |x(s)| \Big)^2
$$
\n
$$
\leq |B| \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)| \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)| x^2(s).
$$

Substitution of the above inequalities into [\(2.5.15\)](#page-32-1) yields

$$
\begin{aligned} \triangle V(t,x) &\leq \Big[ -\xi + |Bg| + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |B||C(t,s)| \\ &+ \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |A^T B||C(t,s)| + \nu \sum_{u=t+1}^{\infty} |C(u,t)| \Big] x^2 \\ &+ \Big[ |B| - \nu + \Big( (g^T B)^2 + 1 + |A^T B| + |B| \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)| \Big) \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)| x^2(s) \\ &+ (\mu(t)|g^T Bg| + |Bg|)(1+\lambda_3). \end{aligned}
$$

Applying conditions [\(2.5.8\)](#page-31-1), [\(2.5.9\)](#page-31-2), and [\(2.5.10\)](#page-32-2),  $\Delta V(t, x)$  reduces to

$$
\triangle V(t,x) \leq -\beta_1 x^2 - \beta_2 \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |C(t,s)|x^2(s) + L,
$$

where  $L = (\mu(t)|g^T B g| + |B g|)(1 + \lambda_3)$ . By taking  $W_1 = r_1 x^T x$ ,  $W_2 = x^T B x$ ,  $W_4 =$  $r_2x^Tx$ ,  $W_3 = W_5 = x^2(s)$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$  and  $\lambda_3 = \min{\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}}, \phi_1(t, s) = v \sum_{u=t}^{\infty} |C(u, s)|$ , and  $\phi_2(t,s) = |C(t,s)|$ , we see that conditions [\(2.4.7\)](#page-26-1) and [\(2.4.8\)](#page-27-1) of Theorem [2.4.2](#page-26-2) are satisfied. Next we make sure condition  $(2.4.10)$  is satisfied. Using  $(2.5.11)$ and  $(2.5.13)$  we obtain

$$
W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} (\phi_1(t,s)W_3(|x|) - \phi_2(t,s)W_5(|x(s)|))
$$
  
=  $x^T Bx - r_2 x^T x + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} (v \sum_{u=t}^{\infty} |C(u,s)| - |C(t,s)|) x^2(s) \le 0.$ 

Thus condition [\(2.4.10\)](#page-27-2) is satisfied with  $\gamma = 0$ . An application of Theorem [2.4.2](#page-26-2) yields the results.

### **2.6 Open Problems**

#### **Open Problem 1.**

Reformulate Theorems [2.4.1](#page-25-3) and [2.4.2](#page-26-2) to obtain results concerning the exponential stability of the zero solution of [\(2.1.1\)](#page-0-0).

For Open Problem 2, we consider the functional delay difference equation

<span id="page-34-0"></span>
$$
x(t+1) = F(t, x_t). \tag{2.6.1}
$$

We assume that *F* is continuous in *x* and that  $F : \mathbb{Z} \times C \to \mathbb{R}^n$  where *C* is the set of sequences  $\phi : [-\alpha, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . Let

$$
C(t) = \{\phi : [t - \alpha, t] \to \mathbb{R}^n\}.
$$

It is to be understood that  $C(t)$  is *C* when  $t = 0$ . For  $\phi \in C(t)$  we denote

$$
|||\phi_t||| = \Big[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=t-\alpha}^{t-1} \phi_i^2(s)\Big]^{1/2}
$$

where  $\phi(t) = (\phi_1(t), \cdots, \phi_n(t)).$ 

#### **Open Problem 2.**

**Theorem 2.6.1.** *Let*  $D > 0$  *and there is a scalar functional*  $V(t, \psi_t)$  *that is continuous in*  $\psi$  *and locally Lipschitz in*  $\psi_t$  *when*  $t > t_0$  *and*  $\psi_t \in C(t)$  *with*  $||\psi_t|| < D$ *. In addition we assume that if*  $x : [t_0 - \alpha, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$  *is a bounded sequence, then*  $F(t, x_t)$ *is bounded on*  $[t_0, \infty)$ *. If V such that*  $V(t, 0) = 0$ *,* 

$$
W_1(|\phi(t)|) \leq V(t,\phi_t) \leq W_2(|\phi_t|) + W_3(|||\phi_t|||),
$$

*and*

$$
\triangle V(t, \phi_t) \leq -W_4(|\phi(t)|),
$$

*then the zero solution of* [\(2.6.1\)](#page-34-0) *is uniformly asymptotically stable .*

#### **Open Problem 3.**

General theorem in the spirit of Theorems [2.1.1,](#page-2-2) [2.1.2,](#page-4-2) and [2.1.3](#page-5-2) regarding functional delay difference equations is nowhere to be found and hence there is a desperate need of such theorems. In particular, for  $h > 0$  and constant, we ask that parallel theorems to Theorems [2.1.1,](#page-2-2) [2.1.2,](#page-4-2) and [2.1.3](#page-5-2) should be developed regarding the functional discrete system

$$
x(n+1) = (G(n,x(s); -h \le s \le n) \stackrel{def}{=} G(n,x(\cdot))
$$
 (2.6.2)

where  $G: \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k$  is continuous in *x*. Then such theorems can be applied to Volterra difference systems of the form

$$
x(n+1) = b(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=-h}^{n-1} C(n,s)g(x(s)),
$$
\n(2.6.3)

and

$$
x(n+1) = b(n)x(n) + \sum_{s=n-h}^{n-1} C(n,s)g(x(s)).
$$
\n(2.6.4)

In the next theorem we establish sufficient conditions that guarantee the boundedness of all solutions of the vector Volterra difference equation by using Lyapunov-Razumikhini method. It should serve as a guidance to formulate and prove boundedness results concerning functional difference equations. Thus, we consider the Volterra difference equation

<span id="page-36-0"></span>
$$
x(t+1) = Ax(t) + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s) + g(t),
$$
\n(2.6.5)

where  $t > 0$ ,  $x(t) = \phi(t)$  for  $0 \le t \le t_0$ ,  $\phi(t)$  is a given bounded initial  $k \times 1$  vector functions. Also, *A* and *C* are  $k \times k$  matrices and *g* is  $k \times 1$  vector functions. If *D* is a matrix, |*D*| means the sum of the absolute values of the elements. Let  $||g||^{[0,\infty)}$ denote the norm of *g*.

**Theorem 2.6.2** ([144]). Let I be the  $k \times k$  identity matrix. Assume there exists a  $k \times k$ *positive definite constant symmetric matrix B such that*

$$
A^T B + B A = -I,
$$
\n<sup>(2.6.6)</sup>

*Suppose that there is a positive constant M such that*

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |BC(t,s)| \leq M,
$$

*so that*

$$
\frac{2\beta hM}{\alpha}<1,
$$

*where* <sup>α</sup>*,*β*, and h are all positive constants to specify in the proof. If in addition, g is bounded, then all solutions of of* [\(2.6.5\)](#page-36-0) *are uniformly bounded.*

*Proof.* Since *B* is  $k \times k$  positive definite constant symmetric matrix, then there exists an  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$
\alpha^2|x|^2 \le x^T Bx \le \beta^2|x|^2.
$$

Define the Lyapunov-Razumikhini function

$$
V(t,x) = x^T B x.
$$

Then clearly

$$
\alpha^2|x|^2 \le V(t,x) \le \beta^2|x|^2.
$$

Then along the solutions of  $(2.6.5)$  we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \triangle V(t,x) &= \Big[ Ax + \sum_{0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s) + g \Big]^T Bx \\ &+ x^T B \Big[ Ax + \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} C(t,s)x(s) + g \Big] \\ &= x^T \Big( A^T B + B A \Big) x + 2x^T B g + 2 \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x^T B C(t,s)x(s) \\ &\le -|x|^2 + 2|x||B|||g||^{[0,\infty)} + 2 \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |BC(t,s)||x(s)|. \end{aligned}
$$

Now, if  $h^2V(t, x(t)) > V(s, x(s))$  for  $0 \le s \le t - 1$ , where  $h > 1$  is a constant to be determined, then

$$
\alpha^{2}|x(s)|^{2} \le V(s,x(s)) \le h^{2}V(t,x(t)) \le h^{2}\beta^{2}|x|^{2},
$$

and

$$
\frac{h\beta}{\alpha}|x(t)| \ge |x(s)|, \ \ s \le t-1.
$$

Thus,

$$
\Delta V(t,x) \le -|x|^2 + 2\frac{h\beta}{\alpha}|x|^2 \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} |BC(t,s)| + 2|x||B|||g||^{[0,\infty)}
$$
  

$$
\le -|x|^2 + 2\frac{h\beta M}{\alpha}|x|^2 + 2|x||B|||g||^{[0,\infty)}.
$$

Since  $\frac{2\beta hM}{\alpha} < 1$ , *h* maybe chosen so that *h* > 1 and  $\frac{2h\beta hM}{\alpha} < 1$ , yielding

$$
\triangle V(t, x) \le (2\frac{h\beta}{\alpha} - 1)|x|^2 + 2|x||B|||g||^{[0,\infty)} \le 0
$$

provided that

$$
|x| \ge \frac{2|B|||g||^{[0,\infty)}}{1 - \frac{2\beta hM}{\alpha}} := K.
$$

Now we summarize what we have

- (a)  $W_1(|x|) \le V(t,x) \le W_2(|x|),$
- (b) there exists  $K > 0$  so that if  $x(t)$  is a solution of [\(2.6.5\)](#page-36-0) with  $|x(t)| \geq K$  for some  $t \ge 0$  and  $V(s, x(s)) < p(V(t, x))$  for  $0 \le s \le t - 1$  and  $p(u) > u$ , then  $\triangle V(t, x) \le$ 0, where  $p(u) = h^2 u$ .

Now choose any solution  $x(t)$  such that  $|\phi(t)| < H$  for  $0 \le t \le n_0$  for some  $H > 0$ . Let  $L > \max\{H, K\}$  and choose  $D > 0$  with  $W_2(L) < W_1(D)$ . If this solution is unbounded, then there is  $t_1$  > 0 such that  $|x(t_1)|$  > *D*,  $|x(t)|$  ≤ *D* for  $0 < t$  ≤  $t_1$  − 1*.* If  $V(t_1, x(t_1) \leq V(t_0, x(t_0))$ , then we would have

$$
W_1(|x(t_1|) \leq V(t_1,x(t_1) \leq V(t_0,\phi(t_0) \leq W_2(|\phi(t_0|))
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq W_2(L) < W_1(D),
$$

from which we get  $|x(t_1)| < D$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $V(t_1, x(t_1) > V(t_0, x(t_0))$ . We leave it for the reader to complete the proof using (a) and (b) as guidance.

#### **Open Problem 4.**

We propose that the reader develops general theorems for the boundedness and stability of functional difference equations using Lyapunov-Razumikhini method. Conditions (a) and (b) should serve as guidance for stating and proving such theorems. For more on the subject we refer to [181] and [182].