Chapter 19
High|Bombastic: Adaptive Skin Conceptual Prototype for Mediterranean Climate

Omid Bakhshaei, Giuseppe Ridolfi, and Arman Saberi

Abstract *High|Bombastic* is part of a research on Living Building Envelopes still in progress at Mailab, a research center active for 7 years at the University of Florence on Multimedia, Architecture, and Interaction.

High|Bombastic identifies the development of a naturally ventilated double-skin adaptive envelope.

This paper will present the results of the first phase dedicated to the definition and the realization of its conceptual prototype involving dynamic components and materiality speculation.

19.1 Order Through Fluctuations

> *If we now consider instead of an isolated system, a system in contact with an energy reservoir … we necessarily are confronted with open systems in which the exchanges with the external world play a capital role….*
>
> *In all these phenomena, an ordering mechanism not reducible to the equilibrium principle appears. For reasons to be explained later, we shall refer to this principle as order through fluctuations. One has structures which are created by the continuous flow of energy and matter from the outside world. Their maintenance requires a critical distance from equilibrium, i.e. a minimum level of dissipation. For all these reasons we have called them 'dissipative structures'.*
>
> – Ilya Romanovich Prigogine (1974).

High|Bombastic is a research on additional external building envelope as a regulatory device/resource for adaptive architecture: a solution able to fit different climate conditions beyond the heating-dominated ones representing the best contextualization and the place of its first applications [10, 15]. The idea is to provide

O. Bakhshaei (✉)
Novin Tarh Studio, Tehran, Iran

G. Ridolfi · A. Saberi
Department of Architecture (DIDA), Università degli Studi di Firenze, Florence, Italy
e-mail: giuseppe.ridolfi@unifi.it; arman.saberi@unifi.it

ability to shape the envelope and actively participate energy flows between environment and users.

High|Bombastic looks to realize an efficient/active filter in opposition to the *Insulating Modernism* practice where sealing buildings, realizing the envelope as an insulated continuous barrier, and considering the building as a thermodynamic closed system persist to be used as the indisputable and universal solutions, due in particular to its robustness and simplicity [17].

Conversely, *High|Bombastic* rejects the simplistic idea that more insulation means greater well-being and economy [29]. It looks to get the best dynamic mediation between energy consumption and users' satisfaction using a reasonable amount of material and making the most of its features [27].

It looks to resiliency obtained by warping geometry and instancing physical properties according to climate zone, sun path, seasons' characteristics, hours, and environmental conditions of the day.

High|Bombastic looks for an object which can mutate, adapting itself in a dynamic way, which acts as a dissipative energy architecture incorporating some kind of intelligence [12] (under certain circumstances) able to select and to start actions in order to ensure reasonable metabolism of the living system [31].

19.2   Responsive Bio-Adaptation, Geometry, and Warping

The energy efficiency discourse of consuming less and minimizing dissipation ultimately discloses little about the role of people, buildings, and design in the thermodynamic evolution of urbanization but does finally amplify many neoliberal dynamics. To address the non-isolated, non-equilibrium, and non-linear thermodynamics that float the operations of buildings and of life itself, architects by now need a radically different epistemology — a different ethic of work in these systems — for energy and the energy designs that will engender maximal entropy production futures for civilization.

– Iñaki Abalos (2014)

The idea for a building skin able to assume variable characteristics dated back to 1981 as a radical new proposal for multifunctional glass building layers [5], and 15 years later, envelopes were recognized for their *permeability*: properties enabling adjustment functions and responsive adaptations in order to have a better approximation to the optimal energy balance and users' satisfaction [9, 22, 25, 28].

*High|Bombasti*c adapts itself pursuing biologic (bioclimatic and passive design), incentivizing/blocking irradiation and air, and using mutant materiality able to be informed at different physical properties and geometry.

Based on a regular grid, the external layer is designed to assume accurate configurations through mechanical gears to get the maximum/minimum sun radiation and to resize the air cavity as it is an important regulatory device for natural ventilation [4, 6, 7, 19].

To allow movements, external elements are designed as on origami diagram made of rigid triangles able to warp the surface but maintaining the perimeter of the façade bound profile unchanged [30].

For the property of origami, the perimeter constrains required deformations in the façade surface that has been realized providing punctual elastic joints between its triangular components.

19.3 Joining and Modeling

The architect no longer designs the final form but rather creates an initial state, introduces a set of controlled constraints, and then allows the structure to be activated to find its form. – Farahi Bouzanjani [7]

The use of punctual joints is a temporary solution useful for conducting preliminary test on the prototype but not satisfactory from the standpoint of the façade sealing as the spaces between the elements, produced in the various configurations, at this point of the research are not negligible.

The research is currently investigating how these gaps can be used as a regulatory device on *permeability* [23]. On the other hand, materials and technologies with passive/active deformability and continuity on surface to ensure an airtight buffer are under study [2, 7, 8, 13].

According to typological classifications [11, 16, 21, 23], we are dealing with different "functional models" which go from *naturally ventilated buffer* up to the *airtight made box* trying to fit them on Mediterranean climate zone.

Parent Components The triangular panels host three different parent components able to mutate thermal property, to activate/deactivate stack effects inside the air cavity, and to regulate energy flows. These components are:

Pneumatic-driven cushions
Memory alloy-driven flaps
Windows

For the dynamic cushions, two main alternatives are under investigation.

The first one is a three-layer Teflon-coated pillow where it can change its characteristics inflating/deflating the inner and outer air cavities. Taking advantage of this movement and the special treatment of the films, where opaque and transparent parts are alternated, it is possible to obtain a different alignment of the parts permeable to the light and consequently a precise regulation of the incoming natural light and connected internal irradiation.

The second one is based on standard ETFE pillows where the argon will replace the natural air used to inflate them in order to decrease its thermal conductivity of about 67%. In fact, and as well known, thermal conductivity of argon is 0,0168 W/mK where air is 0,025 W/mK. The different inflation of the cushion is then used to adjust the thermal energy of the system.

Another component of the façade is an opaque semirigid flap that can be opened up when the air cavity is overheated to increase permeability and its hot air dissipation capacity [19].

To operate the flap, *High|Bombasti*c uses the property of nitinol (500 nm 55–75 °C wire), a metal alloy that changes its state and length when the temperature reaches a predetermined temperature.

Nitinol is the commercial name of the metal alloy of nickel and titanium able to assume, under higher temperature, a crystal cubic structure as well known as austenite and, when cooled, to reverse at its original configuration of martensite [26]. This structural transformation induced, on the wire, a difference in length of around 6–7% that can be used to pull and release the flap.

In short, when the wire is heated and the nitinol changes to its austenite state, a contraction occurs; conversely when the wire gets back to a normal temperature, the wire recovers its original length. A very interesting property of nitinol is also the fact that the changing state temperature can be predetermined by "cooking" it at a specific temperature in a way it is possible to operate the opening of the flaps when the air in the cavity reaches preassigned temperatures of excessive overheating.

As a result, flaps do not require the use of any detector and any actuator device requiring energy.

The last component is a traditional glazed surface that can be defined in its technical characteristic according to the required performance of the façade.

Windows positions represent the qualifying element of glazing where the sill is at the same level of the floor and the window height not exceeds two meters high. Considering that the double-skin façade is normally used in large buildings such as offices, commercials, hospitals, etc., [3] the research has set two fundamental goals: the first one is to provide a better visibility toward the outside for users sitting at working table or lying in bed and the second one is to provide a sensible glaring reduction in the room.

To meet these goals, and at the same time to ensure optimal energy behavior, the façade can take benefits from its geometric changes and the selective properties of the cushions on the sun's rays.

19.4   Configurations for Mediterranean Climate

The prototype assumed as reference the Mediterranean climate (3C|Mediterranean North) and is currently under evaluation for different configurations in relation to six different environmental conditions.

Sunny winter days
Cloudy winter days
Winter nights
Normal summer days
Hottest hours of the days
Summer nights.

In the first condition, the façade frame assumes a concave configuration in order to increase the capturing surface of the glazed components and to achieve an angle of incidence with the solar irradiation closest to the 90°. In this configuration cushions remain deflated in order to promote the thermal gain derived from the sun presence. Flaps are closed.

In the second condition, occurring during a cloudy winter day, the façade is fitted to completely seal the air cavity and limiting stack effects that normally take place inside this space. In this configuration cushions are inflated to increase thermal insulation, and flaps are closed.

The above configuration is the same that is assumed for the winter nights where the goal is to limit the flow of energy from the indoor space to the outdoor environment with lower temperatures. During the summer period, the façade predominantly assumes the convex configuration in order to obtain the maximum shading, and cushions can be inflated in order to increase insulation. In case of the three-layer solution, the cushions can be adjusted in such a way as to obtain the best compromise between thermal insulation and room illumination.

During the hottest hours and when the temperature inside the air cavity reaches high temperatures, flaps are activated in order to let the hot air to flow out. The last geometry occurs during the summer nights where the double-skin façade is well known as an effective device to extract excess temperature from the indoor environment. In this situation the façade is planar realizing a sealed chimney with lower and upper openings for cold air intake and hot air exhalation.

19.5 The Prototype and Energy Modeling

A prototype fabrication and energy modeling have been undertaken to verify the design hypotheses. Although energy simulation is primarily used in the design detail stage, a very useful benefit can come if applied in the early stage [1, 24]. Besides the fact that energy simulation is based on a computer program and the accuracy of results would largely depend on the inputs provided, many useful feedbacks can be gotten in the simplified mass modeling of the early stage [14, 18].

In addition, the physical prototype and the related digital modeling have also been entrusted with an educational role and used during Mailab's international workshop "Parametric Computation and Digital Prototyping for Environmental Responsive Envelopes" hold on 2016, Florence, Sep. 5th–16th.

In fact, we think that besides being a research tool, modeling is also a valid educational instrument giving intuitive perception about matter's behaviors. In this case, the model is used, not as a mere presentation of phenomena but as a cognitive artifact that allows students to interact and become familiar with the theoretical foundations that the prototype incorporates: expression of concrete thought and formalization of the traditional sketching in a way it can be now used as a shareable instrument of scientific research [20].

For this goal, numerical analysis was translated into more understandable and shareable infographics to give evidence of immaterial aspects of design such as temperature, wind, light, etc.

Therefore 3D visualizations and graphic animations were produced to show the changing state of the façade under different conditions of forms, building elements, weather, and time as the complementary entity of form and matter.

The model is composed of two main parts: a sample of the façade fabricated in a 1:10 scale robotic model and a screen for video projection showing the physical effects on the building.

Numerous researches and tests have been carried out in order to identify a material capable of supporting the geometric transformations of the façade.

At this stage the solution has been identified in the construction of rigid frames connected through casted joints made up of silicone with hardness characteristics between shore 00–20 and shore 00–40.

Silicone with harder characteristics (shore A-40 and shore A-80) was also tested for the flaps components but with unsatisfactory results that have decreed the use of common 3 mm plexiglass.

Because of the gaps between the elements produced by elastic joints, the extensive use of silicon membrane or other equivalent material is currently under test for the whole façade system.

The façade movements were made through the use of pistons driven by digital servo with a standing torque of 15 kg.cm at 6.0 volt.

In this preliminary phase, cushions were simulated using a thin silicone membrane, but a deeper research is currently under way in order to investigate potentiality and feasibility of polyester-coated films.

19.6   The Interactive Installation

To reinforce the didactic power of the model, an interactive installation was also realized.

A portable device is used as the users' interface to set a scenario between different environmental conditions. The choice is processed and sent to the computer via Wi-Fi using the OSC (Open Sound Control) protocol.

The core of the system is managed by Isadora, a graphic programming environment, that allows the real-time synchronization of video/audio contents and digital signals activating the mechanical parts of the model.

Another important component is represented by Arduino the most famous open-source digital prototyping platform used to realize interactive electronic objects. All the signals coming from Isadora are processed inside Arduino in a way servo can be activated in relationship to the selected environmental scenario.

At the same time, Isadora starts different video animations that are sent and projected on the side screen in order to show which kind of effects is produced inside the building.

Results coming out from analytical calculations have shown that the proposed solution, compared to simple sealed double-skin façade and to a normal solution on layer envelope, produces a better thermal performance and a better distribution of the natural daylight with a very low percentage of glaring during the day and the whole year.

In detail, results show that natural daylight reaches a better distribution with glaring slightly perceptible in the worst condition (≤15 in Unified Glare Rating scale); energy use intensity in different building program has always been better for a ratio of about 20–35%. Other benchmarkings, based on Performance Metric for Green and Smart Building [18], are currently under study.

References

 1. AIA (2013) An architect's guide to integrating energy modeling in the design process. The American Institute of Architecture. https://www.aia.org/resources/8056-architects-guide-to-integrating-energy-modeli v: 2016
 2. Beesley P, Khan O, Stacey M (2013) Interactive tensegrity structure. In: ACADIA 2013 adaptive architecture, proceedings of the 33rd annual conference of the association for computer aided design in architecture. Riverside Architectural Press, Cambridge
 3. Musa BT (2016) Evaluating the Use of double-skin facade systems for sustainable development. Department of Architecture, Eastern Mediterranean University, Gazimagusa
 4. Compagno A (2002) Intelligent glass facades., (5th revised and updated edition). Birkhäuser, Berlin
 5. Michael D (1981) A wall for all season. Riba J 88(2), 135–139
 6. Faist AP (1998) Double skin walls. Institut de technique du batiment. Department d'Architecture. École Polytechnique Fédéral de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne
 7. Behnaz FB (2016) Alloplastic architecture. In: Fox M (ed) Interactive architecture. Princeton Architectural Press, New York
 8. Russel F, Charles LD (2014) Kinetic architecture: design for active envelopes. The Images Publishing Group, Mulgrave
 9. Thomas H, Norbert K, Michael V (eds) (1996) Solar energy in architecture and urban planning. In: Proceedings European conference on solar energy in architecture and urban planning, Berlin
10. Jaeggi A (1998) Fagus. Industriekultur zwisschen Werkbund und Bauhaus, Werlagsbüro, Berlin, tr. E. M. Schwaiger, Fagus. Industrial culture from Werkbund to Bauhaus, Princeton Architectural Press, New York, 2000
11. Kragh M (2000) Building envelopes and environmental systems. In: Modern façades of office buildings, proceedings. Delft Technical University, Delft
12. Kroner MW (1997) An intelligent and responsive architecture in automation in construction, vol 6. Elsevier, pp 5–6. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580597000174 v:jun 2017
13. Salford K (1993) Soft systems. In: Lab C (ed) Brian boigonm. Princeton Architecture Press, New York
14. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Eleanor Lee (2006) High performance commercial building facades, California energy commission building technologies program. Environmental Energy Technologies Division, Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley
15. Charles LD (2014) Ancestors of the kinetik facade. In: Russel F, Charles LD (eds) Kinetic architecture: design for active envelopes. The Images Publishing Group, Victoria, p 2014

16. Loncour X, Deneyer A, Blasco M, Flamant G, Wouters P (2004) Ventilated doubel facades. Classification & illustrations of facade concepts. Belgian Building Research Institute. Department of Building Physics, Indoor Climate & Building Services, Bruxelles
17. Moe K (2014) Insulating modernism. Isolated and non-isolated thermodynamics in architecture. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel
18. Jadhav NY (2016) Green and smart buildings. Advanced technology options. Energy Research Institute Nanyang Technological University Singapore, Springer, Singapore
19. Oesterle E, Lieb R-D, Lutz M, Heusler W (2001) Double skin. Prestel, Munich/London/New York
20. Papert S (1996) A word for learning. In: Kafai Y, Resnick M (eds) Constructionism in practice: designing, thinking and learning in a digital world. Lawrence Erlbaum Association, Mahwah
21. Parkin S (2004) A description of a ventilated double-skin façade classification. In: International conference on building envelope systems &technology, Sydney
22. John P, Maurya MC (2000) The challenge of green building in Asia. Arup Facade Engineering, Sydney
23. Poirazis H (2004) Double skin façades for office buildings. Department of Construction and Architecture, Division of Energy and Building Design. Lund University, Lund Institute of Technology, Lund
24. Di Pongratz C, Rita PM (2000) Natural born caadesigners: young American architects. Birkhäuser, Basilea
25. READ (1996) European charter for solar energy on architecture and urban planning, proceedings, Berlin
26. Ritter A (2007) Smart materials in architecture, interior architecture and design. Birkhauser, Basel
27. Stec W, van Paassen AHC (2000) Integration of the double skin façade with the buildings, energy in built environment. Energy Technology, TU Delft
28. Streicher Wolfang (2005) Best practice for double skin façades, WP 1 Report "State of the Art" EIE/04/135/S07.38652
29. Sullivan CC, Barbara H (2011) Energy performance starts at the building envelope. In: Building design+construction. https://www.bdcnetwork.com/energy-performance-starts-building-envelope. v: 2016
30. Tachi T, Epps G (2011) Designing One_DOF mechanism for architecture by rationalizing curved folding. In: Algorithmic design for architecture and urban design, proceedings of the international symposium on algorithmic design for architecture and urban design, Tokyo, pp 14–16
31. Wigginton M, Jude H (2002) Intelligent skins. Butterworth-Heineann, Oxford, MA