2 Introduction to Graphs

Abstract

Graphs are used to model many applications with vertices of a graph representing the objects or nodes and the edges showing the connections between the nodes. We review notations used for graphs, basic definitions, vertex degrees, subgraphs, graph isomorphism, graph operations, directed graphs, distance, graph representations, and matrices related to graphs in this chapter.

2.1 Introduction

Objects and connections between them occur in a variety of applications such as roadways, computer networks, and electrical circuits. Graphs are used to model such applications with vertices of a graph representing the objects or nodes and the edges showing the connections between the nodes.

We review the basic graph theoretical concepts in a rather dense form in this chapter. This review includes notations used, basic definitions, vertex degrees, subgraphs, graph isomorphism, graph operations, directed graphs, distance, graph representations and matrices related to graphs.We leave discussion of more advanced properties of graphs such as matching, connectivity, special subgraphs, and coloring to Part II when we review sequential, parallel, and distributed algorithms for these problems. We also delay review of methods and parameters for the analysis of large graphs to Part III. These large graphs are used to model complex networks such as the Internet or biological networks, which consist of a huge number of vertices and edges. We will see there is a need for new parameters and analysis methods for the investigation of these large graphs.

2.2 Notations and Definitions

A graph is a set of points and a set of lines in a plane or a 3-D space. A graph can be formally defined as follows.

Definition 2.1 (*graph*) A graph $G = (V, E, g)$ or $G = (V(G), E(G), g)$ is a discrete structure consisting of a vertex set *V* and an edge set *E* and a relation *g* that associates each edge with two vertices of the set *V*.

The vertex set consists of vertices also called *nodes*, and an edge in the edge set is incident between two vertices called its *endpoints*. The vertex set of a graph *G* is shown as $V(G)$ and the edge set as $E(G)$. We will use V for $V(G)$ and E for $E(G)$ when the graph under consideration is known. A *trivial graph* has one vertex and no edges. A *null graph* has an empty vertex set and an empty edge set. A graph is called *finite* if both $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ are finite. We will consider only simple and finite graphs in this book, unless stated otherwise. The number of vertices of a graph *G* is called its *order* and we will use the literal *n* for this parameter. The number of edges of *G* is called its *size* and we will show this parameter by the literal *m*. An edge of a graph *G* between its vertices *u* and *v* is commonly shown as (u, v) , *uv* or sometimes $\{u, v\}$; we will adopt the first one. The vertices at the ends of an edge are called its *endpoints* or *end vertices* or simply *ends*. For an edge (*u*, *v*) between vertices *u* and *v*, we say *u* and *v* are incident to the edge (u, v) .

Definition 2.2 (*self-loop, multiple edge*) A *self-loop* is an edge with the same endpoints. *Multiple edges* have the same pair of endpoints.

An edge that is not a self-loop is called a *proper edge*. A *simple graph* does not have any self-loops or multiple edges. A graph containing multiple edg[es](#page-1-0) [is](#page-1-0) called a *multigraph*. An *underlying graph* of a multigraph is obtained by substituting a single edge for each multiple edge. An example multigraph is depicted in Fig. 2.1.

Fig. 2.1 A graph with $V(G) = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $E(G) =$ {*e*1, *e*2, *e*3, *e*4, *e*5, *e*6}. Edge *e*² is a self-loop and edges *e*⁴ and *e*⁵ are multiple edges

Fig. 2.2 a Complement of a sample graph, **b** Complement of a completely connected graph in which every vertex is connected to all other vertices

Definition 2.3 (*complement of a graph*) The complement of a graph *G*(*V*, *E*) is the graph $G(V, E')$ with the same vertex set as *G* and any edge $(u, v) \in E'$ if and only if $(u, v) \notin E$.

Informally, we have the same vertex set in the complement of a graph *G* but only have edges that do not exist in *G*. Complements of two graphs are shown in Fig. 2.2.

2.2.1 Vertex Degrees

.

The degree of a vertex in a graph is a useful attribute of a vertex as defined below.

Definition 2.4 (*degree of a vertex*) The sum of the number of proper edges and twice the number of self-loops incident on a vertex *v* of a graph *G* is called its *degree* and is shown by $deg(v)$.

A vertex that has a degree of 0 is called an *isolated* vertex and a vertex of degree 1 is called a *pendant* vertex. The minimum degree of a graph *G* is denoted by $\delta(G)$ and the maximum degree by $\Delta(G)$. The following relation between the degree of a vertex *v* in *G* and these parameter holds:

$$
0 \le \delta(G) \le deg(v) \le \Delta(G) \le n - 1. \tag{2.1}
$$

Since the maximum number of edges in a simple undirected graph is $n(n-1)/2$, for any such graph,

$$
0 \le m \le \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \binom{n}{2}
$$

We can, therefore, conclude there are at most 2^{2} possible simple undirected graphs having *n* vertices. The *first theorem of graph theory*, which is commonly refered to as the *handshaking lemma* is as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (Euler) *The sum of the degrees of a simple undirected graph* $G =$ (*V*, *E*) *is twice the number of its edges shown below.*

$$
\sum_{v \in V} deg(v) = 2m \tag{2.2}
$$

Proof is trivial as each edge is counted twice to find the sum. A vertex in a graph with *n* vertices can have a maximum degree of $n - 1$. Hence, the sum of the degrees in a *complete graph* where every vertex is connected to all others is $n(n - 1)$. The total number of edges is $n(n - 1)/2$ in such a graph. In a meeting of *n* people, if everyone shook hands with each other, the total number of handshakes would be $n(n-1)/2$ and hence the name of lemma. The average degree of a graph is

$$
\frac{\sum_{v \in V} deg(v)}{n} = 2m/n.
$$
\n(2.3)

A vertex is called *odd* or *even* depending on whether its degree is odd or even.

Corollary 2.1 *The number of odd-degree vertices of a graph is an even number.*

Proof The vertices of a graph $G = (V, E)$ may be divided into the even-degree (v_e) and odd-degree (v_o) vertices. The sum of degrees can then be stated as

$$
\sum_{v \in V} deg(v) = \sum_{v_e \in V} deg(v_e) + \sum_{v_o \in V} deg(v_o)
$$

Since the sum is even by Theorem2.1, the sum of the odd-degree vertices should also be even which means there must be an even number of odd-degree vertices. \Box

Theorem 2.2 *Every graph with at least two vertices has at least two vertices that have the same degree.*

Proof We will prove this theorem using contradiction. Suppose there is no such graph. For a graph with *n* vertices, this implies the vertex degrees are unique, from 0 to *n* − 1. We cannot have a vertex *u* with degree of *n* − 1 and a vertex *v* with 0 degree in the same graph *G* as former implies *u* is connected to all other vertices in *G* and therefore a contradiction. □

This theorem can be put in practice in a gathering of people where some know each other and rest are not acquainted. If persons are represented by the vertices of a graph where an edge between two individuals, who know each other is represented by an edge we can say there are at least two persons that have the same number of acquaintances in the meeting.

2.2.1.1 Degree Sequences

The *degree sequence* of a graph is obtained when the degrees of its vertices are listed in some order.

Definition 2.5 (*degree sequence*) The degree sequence of a graph *G* is the list of the degrees of its vertices in nondecreasing or nonincreasing, more commonly in nonincreasing order. The degree sequence [of](#page-1-0) [a](#page-1-0) digraph is the list consisting of its in-degree, out-degree pairs.

The degree sequence of the graph in Fig. 2.1 is $\{2, 3, 3, 4\}$ for vertices a, d, c , *b* in sequence. Given a degree sequence $D = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$, which consists of a finite set of nonnegative integers, *D* is called *graphical* if it represents a degree sequence of some graph *G*. We may need to check whether a given degr[ee](#page-20-0) sequence is graphical. The condition that $deg(v) < n - 1$, $\forall v \in V$ is the first condition and also $\sum_{v \in V} deg(v)$ should be even. However, [th](#page-20-1)ese are necessary but not sufficient and an efficient method is proposed in the theorem first proved by Havel [7] and later by Hakimi using a more complicated method [5].

Theorem 2.3 (Havel–Hakimi) Let D be a nonincreasing sequence d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n *with* $n \geq 2$. Let D' be the sequence derived from D by deleting d_1 and subtracting *1 from each of the first d*¹ *elements of the remaining sequence. Then D is graphical if and only if D*- *is graphical.*

This means if we come across a degree sequence which is gr[aphi](#page-4-0)cal during this process, the initial degree sequence is graphical. Let us see the implementation of this theorem to a degree sequence by analyzing the graph of Fig. 2.3a.

The degree sequence for this graph is $\{4, 3, 3, 3, 2, 1\}$. We can now iterate as follows starting with the initial sequence. Deleting 4 and subtracting 1 from the first 4 of the remaining elements gives

$$
\{2, 2, 2, 1, 1\}
$$

continuing similarly, we obtain

$$
\{1,\,1,\,0,\,0\}
$$

Fig. 2.3 a A sample graph to implement Havel–Hakimi theorem **b** A graph representing graphical sequence $\{1, 1, 1, 1\}$ **c** A graph representing graphical sequence $\{0, 1, 1\}$

The last sequence is graphical since it can be realized as shown in Fig. 2.3b. This theorem can be conveniently implemented using a recursive algorithm due to its recursive structure.

2.2.2 Subgraphs

In many cases, we would be interested in part of a graph rather than the graph as a whole. A subgraph G' of a graph G has a subset of vertices of G and a subset of its edges. We may need to search for a subgraph of a graph that meets some condition, for example, our aim may be to find dense subgraphs which may indicate an increased relatedness or activity in that part of the network represented by the graph.

Definition 2.6 (*subgraph, induced subgraph*) $G' = (V', E')$ is a subgraph of $G =$ (V, E) if $V' \subseteq V$ and $E' \subseteq E$. A subgraph $G' = (V', E')$ of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is called an *induced subgraph* of G if E' contains all edges in G that have both ends in V' .

When $G' \neq G$, G' is called a *proper subgraph* of G ; when G' is a subgraph of *G*, *G* is called a *supergraph* of *G*- . A *spanning subgraph G*- of *G* is its subgraph with $V(G) = V(G')$ $V(G) = V(G')$. Similarly, a *spanning supergraph G* of *G*^{\prime} has the same vertex set as G' . A spanning subgraph and an induced subgraph of a graph are shown in Fig. 2.4.

Given a vertex *v* of a graph *G*, the subgraph of *G* shown by $G - v$ is formed by deleting the vertex *v* and all of its incident edges from *G*. The subgraph $G - e$ is

Fig. 2.4 a A sample graph *G*, **b** A spanning subgraph of *G*, **c** An induced subgraph of *G*

Fig. 2.5 Obtaining a regular graph **a** The graph **b** The first iteration, **c** The 3-regular graph obtained in the second iteration shown by dashed lines

obtained by deleting the edge *e* from *G*. The induced subgraph of *G* by the vertex set *V*' is shown by *G*[*V'*]. The subgraph *G*[*V* \ *V'*] is denoted by *G* – *V'*.

Vertices in a *regular graph* all have the same degree. For a graph *G*, we can obtain a regular graph *H* which contains *G* as an induce[d](#page-6-0) [sub](#page-6-0)graph. We simply duplicate *G* next to itself and join each corresponding pair of vertices by an edge if this vertex does not have a degree of $\Delta(G)$ as shown in Fig. 2.5. If the new graph G' is not $\Delta(G)$ -regular, we continue this process by duplicating G' until the regular graph is obtained. This result is due to Konig who stated that for every graph of maximum degree *r*, there exists an *r*-regular graph that contains *G* as an induced subgraph.

2.2.3 Isomorphism

Definition 2.7 (*graph isomorphism*) An isomorphism from a graph *G*¹ to another graph G_2 is a bijection $f: V(G_1) \to V(G_2)$ in which any edge $(u, v) \in E(G_1)$ if and only if $f(u) f(v) \in E(G_2)$.

When this condition holds, G_1 is said to be *isomorphic* to G_2 or, G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic. Three isomorphic graphs are depicted in Fig. 2.6. Testing whether two graphs are isomorphic is a difficult problem and cannot be performed in polynomial time. An isomorphism of a graph to itself is called an *automorphism*. A *graph invariant* is a property of a graph that is equal in its isomorphic graphs. Given two isomorphic graphs G_1 and G_2 , their orders and sizes are the same and their

Fig. 2.6 Three isomorphic graphs. Vertex *x* is mapped to vertex *x*[']

corresponding vertices have the same degrees. Thus, we can say that the number of vertices, the number of edges and the degree sequences are isomorphism invariants, that is, they do not change in isomorphic graphs.

2.3 Graph Operations

We may need to generate new graphs from a set of input graphs by using certain operations. These operations are uniting and finding intersection of two graphs and finding their product as described below.

2.3.1 Union and Intersection

Definition 2.8 (*union and intersection of two graphs*) The *union* of two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ is a graph $G_3 = (V_3, E_3)$ in which $V_3 = V_1 \cup V_2$ and $E_3 = E_1 \cup E_2$. This operation is shown as $G_3 = G_1 \cup G_2$. The *intersection* of two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ is a graph $G_3 = (V_3, E_3)$ in which *[V](#page-8-0)*₃ = *V*₁ ∩ *V*₂ and *E*₃ = *E*₁ ∩ *E*₂. This is shown as *G*₃ = *G*₁ ∩ *G*₂.

Figure 2.7 depicts these concepts.

Definition 2.9 (*join of two graphs*) The *join* of two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and *G*₂ = (*V*₂, *E*₂) is a graph *G*₃ = (*V*₃, *E*₃) in which *V*₃ = *V*₁ ∪ *V*₂ and *E*₃ = $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{(u, v) : u \in V_1 \text{ and } v \in V_2\}.$ $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{(u, v) : u \in V_1 \text{ and } v \in V_2\}.$ $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \{(u, v) : u \in V_1 \text{ and } v \in V_2\}.$ This operation is shown as $G_3 = G_1 \vee G_2$.

The join operation of two graphs creates new edges between each vertex pairs, one from each of the two graphs. Figure 2.8 displays the join of two graphs. All of the union, intersection, and join operations are commutative, that is, $G_1 \cup G_2 = G_2 \cup G_1$, $G_1 \cap G_2 = G_2 \cap G_1$, and $G_1 \vee G_2 = G_2 \vee G_1$.

Fig. 2.7 Union and intersection of two graphs. The graph in **c** is the union of the graphs in **a** and **b** and the graph in **d** is their intersection

Fig. 2.8 Join of two graphs, **a** Two graphs **b** Their join

2.3.2 Cartesian Product

Definition 2.10 (*cartesian product*) The cartesian product or simply the product of two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ shown by $G_1 \square G_2$ or $G_1 \times G_2$ is a graph $G_3 = (V_3, E_3)$ in which $V_3 = V_1 \times V_2$ and an edge $((u_i, v_j), (u_p, j_q))$ is in $G_1 \times G_2$ if one of the following conditions holds:

1. $i = p$ and $(v_j, v_q) \in E_2$ 2. $j = q$ and $(u_i, u_p) \in E_1$.

Fig. 2.9 a Graph product of K_2 and P_4 **b** Hypercube of dimension 3

Informally, the vertices we have in the product are the cartesian product of vertices of the graphs and hence each represents two vertices, one from each graph. Figure 2.9a displays the product of complete graph K_2 and the path graph with 4 vertices, P_4 . Graph product is useful in various cases, for example, the hypercube of dimension *n*, Q_n , [is](#page-9-0) [a](#page-9-0) special graph that is the graph product of K_2 by itself *n* times. It can be described recursively as $Q_n = K_2 \times Q_{n-1}$. A hypercube of dimension 3 is depicted in Fig. 2.9b.

2.4 Types of Graphs

We review main types of graphs that have various applications in this section.

2.4.1 Complete Graphs

Definition 2.11 (*complete graph*) In a complete simple graph *G*(*V*, *E*), each vertex $v \in V$ is connected to all other vertices in *V*.

Searching for complete subgraphs of a graph *G* pro[vides](#page-10-0) dense regions in *G* which may mean some important functionality in that region. A complete graph is denoted by K_n where *n* is the number of vertices. Figure 2.10 depicts K_1, \ldots, K_5 . The complete graph with three vertices, *K*3, is called a *triangle*.

The size of a simple undirected complete graph K_n is $n(n-1)/2$ since the degree of every vertex in K_n is $n-1$, there are *n* such vertices, and we need to divide by two as each edge is counted twice for both vertices in its endpoints.

Fig. 2.10 Complete graphs of sizes 1 to 5

2.4.2 Directed Graphs

A *directed edge* or an *arc* has an orientation from its head endpoint to its tail endpoint shown by an arrow. Directed graphs consist of directed edges.

Definition 2.12 (*directed graph*) A *directed graph* or a *digraph* consists of a set of vertices and a set of ordered pairs of vertices called *directed edges*. A partially directed graph has both directed and undirected edges.

If an edge $e = (u, v)$ is a directed edge in a directed graph *G*, we say *e* begins at *u* and ends at *v*, or *u* is the origin of *e* and *v* is its destination, or *e* is directed from *u* to *v*. The underlying graph of a direc[ted](#page-10-1) [or](#page-10-1) partially directed graph is obtained by removing the directions in all edges and replacing each multiple edge with a single edge. A directed graph is shown in Fig. 2.11. Unless stated otherwise, what we state for graphs will be valid for directed and undirected graphs. In a *complete simple digraph*; there is a pair of arcs, one in each direction between any two vertices.

Definition 2.13 (*in-degree, out-degree*) The in-degree of a vertex *v* in a digraph is the number of edges directed to v and the out-degree of v is the number of edges originating from it. The degree of ν is the sum of its in-degree and its out-degree.

The sum of the in-degrees of the vertices in a graph is equal to the sum of the out-degrees which are both equal to the sum of the number of edges. A directed graph that has no cycles is called a *directed acyclic graph* (DAG).

2.4.3 Weighted Graphs

We have considered unweighted graphs up to this point. Weighted graphs have edges and vertices labeled with real numbers representing weights.

Definition 2.14 (*edge-weighted, vertex-weighted graphs*) An edge-weighted graph $G(V, E, w)$, $w : E \to \mathbb{R}$ has weights consisting of real numbers associated with its edges. Similarly, a vertex-weighted graph $G(V, E, w)$, $w: V \to \mathbb{R}$ has weights of real numbers associated with its vertices.

Weighted graphs find many real applications, for example, weight of an edge (u, v) may represent the cost of moving from u to v as in a roadway or cost of sending a message between two routers u and v in a computer network. The weight of a vertex *v* may be associated with capacity stored at *v* which may be used to represent a property such as the storage volume of a router in a computer network.

2.4.4 Bipartite Graphs

Definition 2.15 (*bipartite graph*) A graph $G = (V, E)$ is called a bipartite graph if the vertex set *V* can be partitioned into two disjoint subsets V_1 and V_2 such that any edge of *G* connects a vertex in V_1 to a vertex in V_2 . That is, $\forall (u, v) \in E$, $u \in V_1 \land v \in V_2$, or $u \in V_2 \land v \in V_1$.

In a *complete bipartite graph*, each vert[ex](#page-11-0) [of](#page-11-0) V_1 is connected to each vertex of V_2 and such a graph is denoted by $K_{m,n}$, where *m* is the number of vertices in V_1 and *n* is the number of vertices in V_2 . The complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ has *mn* edges and $m + n$ vertices. A weighted complete bipartite graph is depicted in Fig. 2.12.

Fig. 2.13 a A 0-regular graph, **b** A 1-regular graph, **c** 2-regular graphs; **d** A 3-regular graph

2.4.5 Regular Graphs

In a *regular graph*, each vertex has the same degree. Each vertex of a *k*-regular graph has a degree of k . Every k -complete graph is a $k-1$ -regular graph [but](#page-12-0) [the](#page-12-0) latter does not imply the former. For example, a *d*-hypercube is a *d*-regular graph but it is not a *d*-complete graph. Examples of regular graphs are shown in Fig. 2.13. Any single *n*-cycle graph is a 2-regular graph. Any regular graph with odd-degree vertices must have an even number of such vertices to have an even number sum of vertices.

2.4.6 Line Graphs

In order to construct a line graph $L(G)$ of a simple graph G , e[ach](#page-12-1) [v](#page-12-1)ertex of L representing an edge of *G* is formed. Then, two vertices *u* and *v* of *L* are connected if the edges represented by them are adjacent in *G* as shown in Fig. 2.14.

2.5 Walks, Paths, and Cycles

We need few definitions to specify traversing the edges and vertices of a graph.

Definition 2.16 (*walk*) A *walk* W between two vertices v_0 and v_n of a graph *G* is an alternating sequence of $n + 1$ vertices and *n* edges shown as $W =$ $(v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}, e_n, v_n)$, where e_i is incident to vertices v_{i-1} and v_i . The

vertex v_0 is called the *initial* vertex and v_n is called the *terminating* vertex of the walk *W*.

In a directed graph, a directed walk can be defined similarly. The length of a walk *W* is the number of edges (arcs in d[igrap](#page-13-0)hs) included in it. A walk can have repeated edges and vertices. A walk is *closed* if it starts and ends at the same vertex and *open* otherwise. A walk is shown in Fig. 2.15.

A graph is *connected* if there is a walk between [an](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73235-0_8)y pair of its vertices. Connectivity is an important concept that finds many applications in computer networks and we will review algorithms for connectivity in Chap. 8.

Definition 2.17 (*trail*) A *trail* is a walk that does not have any repeated edges.

Definition 2.18 (*path*) A *path* is a trail that does not have any repeated vertices with the exception of initial and terminal vertices.

In other words, a path does not contain any repeated edges or vertices. Paths are shown by the vertices only. For example, (i, a, b, h, g) is a path in Fig. 2.15.

Definition 2.19 (*cycle*) A closed path which starts and ends at the same vertex is called a *cycle*.

The length of a cycle can be an odd integer in which case it is called an *odd cycle*. Otherwise, it is called an *even cycle*.

Definition 2.20 (*circuit*) A closed trail which st[arts](#page-14-0) and ends at the same vertex is called a *circuit*.

All of these concepts are summarized in Table 2.1.

	Repeated vertices	Repeated edges
Walks	Yes	Yes
Trails	Yes	No
Paths	No except initial and terminal vertex	No
Circuits	Yes, starts and ends at the same vertex	No
Cycles	No except initial and term	No

Table 2.1 Properties of simple graph traversals

A trail in Fig. 2.15 is $(h, e_9, b, e_6, f, e_5, e)$. When e_{11} and h are added to this trail, it becomes a cycle. An *Eulerian tour* is a closed Eulerian trail and an *Eulerian graph* is a graph that has an Eulerian tour. The number of edges contained in a cycle is denoted its *length l* and the cycle is shown as C_l . For example, C_3 is a triangle.

Definition 2.21 (*Hamiltonian Cycle*) A cycle that includes all of the vertices in a graph is called a *Hamiltonian cycle* and such a graph is called *Hamiltonian*. A Hamiltonian path of a graph *G* passes through every vertex of *G*.

2.5.1 Connectivity and Distance

We would be interested to find if we can reach a vertex *v* from a vertex *u*. In a *connected graph G*, there is a path between every pair of vertices. Otherwise, *G* is *disconnected*. The connected subgraphs of a disconnected graph are called *components*. A connected graph itself is the only component it has. If the underlying graph of a digraph *G* is *connected*, *G* is connected. If there is a directed walk between each pair of vertices, *G* is *strongly connected*.

In a connected graph, it is of interest to find how easy it is to reach one vertex from another. The distance parameter defined below provides this information.

Definition 2.22 (*distance*) The distance $d(u, v)$ between two vertices *u* and *v* in a (directed) graph *G* is the length of the shortest path between them.

In an unweighted (directed) graph, $d(u, v)$ is the number of edges of the shortest path between them. In a weighted graph, this distance is the minimum sum of the weights of the edges of a path out of all paths between these vertices. The *shortest path* between two vertices *u* and *v* in a graph *G* is another term used instead of dist[ance](#page-13-0) [b](#page-13-0)etween the vertices u and v to have the same meaning. The shortest paths between vertices *h* and *e* are *h*, *b*, *f*, *e* and *h*, *g*, *f*, *e* both with a distance of 3 in Fig. 2.15. Similarly, shortest paths between vertices *i* and *b* are *i*, *a*, *b* and *i*, *h*, *b* both with a distance of 2. The *directed distance* from a vertex *u* to *v* in a digraph is

the length of the shortest walk from *u* to *v*. In an undirected simple (weighted) graph $G(V, E, w)$, the following can be stated:

1. $d(u, v) = d(v, u)$ 2. $d(u, w) \leq d(u, w) + d(w, v), \forall w \in V$

Definition 2.23 (*eccentricity*) The eccentricity of a vertex *v* in a connected graph *G* is its maximum distance to any vertex in *G*.

The maximum eccentricity is called the *diameter* and the minimum value of this parameter is called the *radius* of the graph. The vertex *v* of a graph *G* with minimum eccentricity in a connected graph *G* is called the *central vertex* of *G*. Finding central vertex of a graph has practical implications, for example, we may want to place a resource center at a central location in a geographical area where cities are represented by the vertices of a graph and the roads by its edges. There may be more than one central vertex.

2.6 Graph Representations

We need to represent graphs in suitable forms to be able to perform computations on them. Two widely used ways of representation are the *adjacency matrix* and the *adjacency list* methods.

2.6.1 Adjacency Matrix

An adjacency matrix of a simple graph or a digraph is a matrix *A*[*n*, *n*] where each element a_{ij} =1 if there is an edge joining vertex *i* to *j* and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. For multigraphs, the entry a_{ij} equals the number of edges between the verti[ces](#page-16-0) i and *j*. For a digraph, a_{ij} shows the number of arcs from the vertex *i* to vertex *j*. The adjacency matrix is symmetric for an undirected graph and is asymmetric for a digraph in general. A digraph and its adjacency matrix are displayed in Fig. 2.16. An adjacency matrix requires $O(n^2)$ space.

2.6.2 Adjacency List

An *adjacency list* of a simple graph (or a digraph) is an array of lists with e[ach](#page-16-0) [lis](#page-16-0)t representing a vertex and its (out)neighbors in a linked list. The end of the list is marked by a NULL pointer. The adjacency list of a graph is depicted in Fig. 2.16c. The adjacency matrix requires $O(n + m)$ space. For sparse graphs, adjacency list

Fig. 2.16 a A digraph, **b** Its adjacency matrix, **c** Its adjacency list. The end of the list entries are marked by a backslash

is preferred due to the space dependence on the number of vertices and edges. For dense graphs, adjacency matrix is commonly used as searching the existence of an edge in this matrix can be done in constant time. With the adjacency list of a graph, the time required for the same operation is $O(n)$.

In a digraph $G = (V, E)$, the *predecessor list* $P_v \subseteq V$ of a vertex *v* is defined as follows.

$$
P_v = \{u \in V : (u, v) \in E\}
$$

and the *successor list* of *v*, $S_v \subseteq V$ is,

$$
S_v = \{u \in V : (v, u) \in E\}
$$

The predecessor and successor lists of the vertices of the graph of Fig. 2.16a are listed in Table 2.2.

v	P_v	S_v
	${2}$	$\{3, 4, 5\}$
	$\{\emptyset\}$	$\{1, 3\}$
∍	${1, 2, 5}$	${4}$
	$\{1, 3, 5\}$	$\{\emptyset\}$
	$\{1\}$	${3, 4}$

Table 2.2 Predecessor lists and successor lists of vertices

2.6.3 Incidence Matrix

An *incident matrix B*[*n*, *m*] of a simple graph has elements $b_{ij} = 1$ if edge *j* is incident to vertex *i* and $b_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The incidence matrix for a digraph is defined differently as below.

> $b_{ve} =$ $\sqrt{ }$ ⎨ \mathbf{I} −1 if arc *e* ends at vertex *v* 1 if arc *e* starts at vertex *v* 0 o[therw](#page-16-0)ise

The incidence matrix of the graph of Fig. 2.16a is as below:

$$
B = \left(\begin{array}{rrrrrr} 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right)
$$

In the *edge list* representation of a graph, all of its edges are included in the list.

2.7 Trees

A graph is called a *tree* if it is connected and does not contain any cycles. The following statements equally define a tree *T* :

- 1. *T* is connected and has $n 1$ edges.
- 2. Any two vertices of *T* are connected by exactly one path.
- 3. *T* is connected and each edge is a cut-edge removal of which disconnects *T* .

In a [roote](#page-18-0)d tree *T* , there is a special vertex *r* called the *root* and every other vertex of *T* has a directed path to *r*; the tree is unrooted otherwise. A rooted tree is depicted in Fig. 2.17. A spanning tree of a graph *G* is a tree that covers all vertices of *G*.

A minimum spanning tree of a weighted graph *[G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73235-0_6)* is a spanning tree of *G* with the minimum total weight among all spanning trees of *G*. We will be investigating tree structures and algorithms in more detail in Chap. 6.

2.8 Graphs and Matrices

The spectral analysis of graphs involves operations on the matrices related to graphs.

2.8.1 Eigenvalues

Multiplying an $n \times n$ matrix A by an $n \times 1$ vector x results in another $n \times 1$ vector y which can be written as $Ax = y$ in equation form. Instead of getting a new vector y, it would be interesting to know if the *Ax* product equals a vector which is a constant multiplied by the vector *x* as shown below.

$$
Ax = \lambda x \tag{2.4}
$$

If we can find values of x and λ for this equation to hold, λ is called an *eig[enva](#page-18-1)lue* of *A* and *x* as an *eigenvector* of *A*. There will be a number of eigenvalues and a set of eigenvectors corresponding to these eigenvalues in general. Rewriting Eq. 2.4,

$$
Ax - \lambda x = 0 \tag{2.5}
$$

$$
(A - \lambda I)x = 0
$$

det $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$ (2.6)

For $A[n, n]$, $det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ is call[ed](#page-18-1) [th](#page-18-1)e *characteristic polynomial* which has a degree of *n* and therefore has *n* roots. Solving this polynomial provides us with eigenvalues and substituting these in Eq. 2.4 provides the eigenvectors of matrix *A*.

2.8.2 Laplacian Matrix

Definition 2.24 (*degree matrix*) The degree matrix *D* of a graph *G* is the diagonal matrix with elements d_1, \ldots, d_n where d_i is the degree of vertex *i*.

Definition 2.25 (*Laplacian matrix*) The Laplacian matrix *L* of a simple graph is obtained by subtracting its adjacency matrix from its degree matrix.

The entries of the Laplacian matrix *L* are then as follows.

$$
l_{ij} = \begin{cases} d_i & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are neighbors} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

The Laplacian matrix *L* is sometimes referred to as the combinatorial Laplacian. The set of all eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix of a graph *G* is called the *Laplacian spectrum* of *G*. The *normalized Laplacian* matrix *L* is closely related to the combinatorial Laplacian. The relation between these two matrices is as follows:

$$
\mathcal{L} = D^{-1/2} L D^{-1/2} = D^{-1/2} (D - A) D^{-1/2} = I - D^{-1/2} A D^{-1/2}.
$$
 (2.7)

The entries of the normalized Laplacian matrix $\mathcal L$ can then be specified as below.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{ij} = \begin{cases}\n1 & \text{if } i = j \\
\frac{-1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}} & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are neighbors} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$

The Laplacian matrix and adjacency matrix of a graph *G* are commonly used to analyze the spectral properties of *G* and design algebraic graph algorithm to solve various graph problems.

2.9 Chapter Notes

We have reviewed the basic concepts in graph theory leaving the study of some of [the](#page-20-2) related background [in](#page-20-3)cluding tre[es,](#page-20-4) connectivity, matching, network flows and coloring to Part II when we discus[s](#page-20-5) algorithms for these problems. The main graph theory background is presented in a number of books including books by Harary [6], Bondy and M[urt](#page-20-6)y [1], and W[es](#page-20-7)t [8]. Graph theory with applications is studied in a book edited by Gross et al. [4]. Algorithmic graph theory focusses more on the algorithmic aspects of graph theory and books available in this topic include the books by Gibbons [2], Golumbic [3].

Exercises

- 1. Show that the relation between the size and the order of a simple graph is given by $m \leq (n/2)$ and decide when the equality holds.
- 2. Find the order of a 4-regular graph that has a size of 28.
- 3. Show that the minimum and maximum degrees of a graph *G* are related by $\delta(G) \leq 2m/n \leq \Delta(G)$ inequality.
- 4. Show that for a regular bipartite graph $G = (V1, V2, E), |V1| = |V2|$.
- 5. Let $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$ be a bipartite graph with vertex partitions V_1 and V_2 . Show that

$$
\sum_{u \in V_1} deg(u) = \sum_{v \in V_2} deg(v)
$$

- 6. A graph *G* has a degree sequence $D = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$. Find the degree sequence of the complement \overline{G} of this graph.
- 7. Show that the join of the complements of a complete graph K_p and another complete graph K_q is a complete bipartite graph $K_{p,q}$.
- 8. Draw the line graph of *K*3.
- 9. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph where $\forall v \in V$, $deg(v) \ge 2$. Show that *G* contains a cycle.
- 10. Show that if a simple graph *G* is disconnected, its complement \overline{G} is connected.

References

- 1. Bondy AB, Murty USR (2008) Graph theory. Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer, Berlin. 1st Corrected edition 2008. 3rd printing 2008 edition (28 Aug 2008). ISBN-10: 1846289696, ISBN-13: 978-1846289699
- 2. Gibbons A (1985) Algorithmic graph theory, 1st edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. ISBN-10: 0521288819, ISBN-13: 978-0521288811
- 3. Golumbic MC, Rheinboldt W (2004) Algorithmic graph theory and perfect graphs, vol 57, 2nd edn. Annals of discrete mathematics. North Holland, New York. ISBN-10: 0444515305, ISBN-13: 978-0444515308
- 4. Gross JL, Yellen J, Zhang P (eds) (2013) Handbook of graph theory, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton
- 5. Hakimi SL (1962) On the realizability of a set of integers as degrees of the vertices of a graph. SIAM J Appl Math 10(1962):496–506
- 6. Harary F (1969) Graph theory. Addison Wesley series in mathematics. Addison–Wesley, Reading
- 7. Havel V (1955) A remark on the existence of finite graphs. Casopis Pest Mat 890(1955):477–480
- 8. West D (2000) Introduction to graph theory, 2nd edn. PHI learning. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs