A Note on Analytically Irreducible Domains

Roswitha Rissner

Abstract If *D* is a one-dimensional, Noetherian, local domain, it is well known that *D* is analytically irreducible if and only if *D* is unibranched and the integral closure D' of D is finitely generated as D -module. However, the proof of this result is split into pieces and spread over the literature. This paper collects the pieces and assembles them to a complete proof. Next to several results on integral extensions and completions of modules, we use Cohen's structure theorem for complete, Noetherian, local domains to prove the main result. The purpose of this survey is to make this characterization of analytically irreducible domains more accessible.

Keywords Unibranched • Analytically irreducible • Noetherian • Local • Onedimensional

MSC 2010: 13-02; 13B22, 13B35 13J05, 3J10, 13H05

1 Introduction

Let (D, \mathbf{m}) be a Noetherian, one-dimensional, local domain. It is well known that Let (D, \mathbf{m}) be a Noetherian, one-dimensional, local domain. It is well known that the question of whether \widehat{D} has zero-divisors or nilpotents is strongly connected to certain properties of the integral closure D' of D .

Definition 1.1 Let (D, \mathbf{m}) be a Noetherian, local domain with integral closure D' certain properties of the integral closur
Definition 1.1 Let (D, \mathbf{m}) be a Noeth
and **m**-adic completion \widehat{D} . We say *D* is and **m**-adic completion \widehat{D} . We say *D* is
1. *unibranched*, if *D'* is local,
2. *analytically unramified*, if \widehat{D} is a reduced ring and

- 1. *unibranched*, if D' is local,
- 3. *unibranched*, if *D'* is local,
3. *analytically unramified*, if \hat{D} is a reduced
3. *analytically irreducible*, if \hat{D} is a domain.
-

R. Rissner (\boxtimes)

Institute of Analysis and Number Theory, Kopernikusgasse 24, 8010 Graz, Austria e-mail: rissner@math.tugraz.at

[©] Springer International Publishing AG 2017

M. Fontana et al. (eds.), *Rings, Polynomials, and Modules*, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-65874-2_17

Fig. 1 Completions of *D* with respect to m and m' in case D' is local with maximal ideal m'

The aim of this paper is to give a complete proof of the following well-known theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let* (D, m) *be a one-dimensional, Noetherian, local domain with* integral closure D[']. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- *1. D is analytically irreducible.*
- *2. D is unibranched and analytically unramified.*
- *3. D is unibranched and D' is finitely generated as D-module.*
- *4. D is unibranched and if* **m**⁰ *denotes the maximal ideal of the integral closure, then the* \mathbf{m} *-adic topology on* D *coincides with subspace topology induced by* \mathbf{m}' *.*

Assume that *D* is unibranched and let **m**⁰ be the unique maximal ideal of the integral closure D' . It follows from the Krull-Akizuki theorem that D' is a discrete valuation domain (see Corollary 2.5 below). In particular, D' is Noetherian and can be embedded into the m' -adic completion (D') of D' . Moreover, the valuation on D' can be extended to a valuation on (D') which implies that (D') is a domain (see bba a tha ann an t-ainm an t-a
Ba a t-ainm an t-ain Example [3.1\)](#page-4-1). Since the completion \overline{D} of *D* considered as a topological subspace of *D'* is the topological closure of *D* in (\overline{D}') , it follows that $\overline{D} \subseteq (\overline{D}')$ is a domain too.
On the other hand, *D* can b *D*^{\prime} is the topological closure of *D* in (D') , it follows that $D \subseteq (D')$ is a domain too.
On the other hand *D* can be embedded into the **m**-adic completion \widehat{D} of *D*

Figure [1](#page-1-0) above demonstrates the relationship between D, D' and the completions with respect to the different topologies. As usual, the solid lines represent inclusions. However, the dotted arrow deserves some additional explanation. Since $\mathbf{m}^n \subseteq \mathbf{m}^{n} \cap D$ it follows that the **m**-adic topology is finer than the **m**'-adic subspace $\mathbf{m}^{\prime n} \cap D$ it follows that the **m**-adic topology is finer than the **m**²-adic subspace
topology on D. This further implies that the inclusion $D \longrightarrow \overline{D}$ is a uniformly topology on *D*. This further implies that the inclusion $D \longrightarrow \overline{D}$ is a uniformly continuous homomorphism (where *D* is equipped with the **m**-adic topology). Since *D* is complete, the inclusion can be uniquely extended to a uniformly continuous topology on *D*. This further implies that the inclusion $D \longrightarrow D$ is a uniformly
continuous homomorphism (where *D* is equipped with the **m**-adic topology). Since
 \overline{D} is complete, the inclusion can be uniquely extended continuous homomorphism (where *D* is equipped with the **m**-adic topology).
 \overline{D} is complete, the inclusion can be uniquely extended to a uniformly conti

map $\varphi : \hat{D} \longrightarrow \overline{D}$. Theorem 1 implies that *D* is analyt

Theorem [1](#page-13-0) is well known but its proof is split into pieces and has to be assembled from several sources. This survey collects known results from different references in order to present a complete proof. We follow the approach of Nagata's textbook $[7, (32.2)]$ $[7, (32.2)]$ for the implication $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. For the remaining implications, we pursue the suggestions of [\[3,](#page-14-0) Theorem III.5.2]. One can also refer to [\[8,](#page-15-1) Theorem 8] for the implication $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$.

In order to make this survey more self-contained, we give a short introduction to integral ring extensions and completions in Sects. [2](#page-2-0) and [3,](#page-4-2) respectively. Then,

in Sect. [4](#page-8-0) we discuss Cohen's structure theorem which allows us to prove that the integral closure of a complete, Noetherian, local, reduced ring *R* is a finitely generated *R*-module in Sect. [5.](#page-9-0) Finally, we give a proof of Theorem [1](#page-13-0) in Sect. [6.](#page-13-1) It is worth mentioning that Sects. [4](#page-8-0) and [5](#page-9-0) are only needed for the implication [\(2\)](#page-1-1) \Rightarrow [\(3\)](#page-1-2) whereas the remaining implications can be shown using the results in Sects. [2](#page-2-0) and [3.](#page-4-2)

2 Integral Ring Extensions

In this section we recall some facts on integral ring extensions which we use throughout this paper.

Fact 2.1 (cf. [\[1,](#page-14-1) Proposition 5.1, Corollaries 5.3, 5.4]) *Let* $R \subseteq S$ *be a ring* extension We call $s \in S$ integral over R if the following equivalent assertions are *extension. We call* $s \in S$ *integral over R if the following equivalent assertions are satisfied:*

1. There exists a monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$ *such that* $f(s) = 0$.
2. $R[s]$ is finitely generated as R-module.

- 2. $R[s]$ is finitely generated as R-module.
- *3. There exists a ring T containing R*Œ*s which is finitely generated as R-module.*

Let $R_S' = \{s \in S \mid s \text{ integral over } R\}$ *denote the set of elements of S which are oral over R Then* $R \subseteq R_S'$ *is a ring extension integral over R. Then* $R \subseteq R'_S$ *is a ring extension.*
We call R', the integral closure of R in S and

We call R^{\prime}_S *the* integral closure of *R* in *S and if* $R = R'_{\rm S}$ *we say R is* integrally sed in *S H* $\rm S = R'$ *we say R* $\rm \subset S$ *is an* integral extension closed in *S.* If $S = R'_S$, we say $R \subseteq S$ is an integral extension.

If $R \subset T \subset S$ is an intermediate ring such that both R

If $R \subseteq T \subseteq S$ is an intermediate ring such that both $R \subseteq T$ and $T \subseteq S$ are
earal extensions, then $R \subseteq S$ is an integral extension. In particular $R' = (R')'$ *integral extensions, then* $R \subseteq S$ *is an integral extension. In particular,* $R'_{S} = (R'_{T})'_{S}$.
In case S is the total ring of quotients of R *we simplify and say* $R' := R'$ *is th*

In case S is the total ring of quotients of R, we simplify and say $R' := R'_S$ *is the*
 Somal closure of R and R is integrally closed if $R - R'$ integral closure of *R* and *R* is integrally closed if $R = R'$.

Fact 2.2 (Cohen-Seidenberg, cf. [\[1,](#page-14-1) Corollary 5.9, Theorem 5.11]) *Let* $R \subseteq S$ *be an integral extension. Then the following assertions hold: be an integral extension. Then the following assertions hold:*

- *1.* If $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ are prime ideals of S such that $Q_1 \cap R = Q_2 \cap R$, then $Q_1 = Q_2$.
2. If $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $P_2 \subseteq P_2$ and $Q_3 \in \text{Spec}(S)$ with $Q_3 \cap R = P_3$, t
- 2. If P_1 , $P_2 \in \text{spec}(R)$ *with* $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ *and* $Q_1 \in \text{spec}(S)$ *with* $Q_1 \cap R = P_1$ *, then*
there exists $Q_2 \in \text{spec}(S)$ *such that* $Q_2 \subseteq Q_2$ *and* $Q_2 \cap R = P_2$ *there exists* $Q_2 \in \text{spec}(S)$ *such that* $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ *and* $Q_2 \cap R = P_2$.
 $dim(R) - dim(S)$ *and* $max(S) - {p \in \text{spec}(S) \mid p \cap R \in \text{max}}$
- *3.* dim $(R) = \dim(S)$ *and* $\max(S) = \{P \in \text{spec}(S) \mid P \cap R \in \max(R)\}.$

As a first result we prove the so-called *Krull-Akizuki* theorem which is central to the remainder of this paper.

Proposition 2.3 (Krull-Akizuki, cf. [\[6,](#page-14-2) Theorem 11.7], [\[4,](#page-14-3) Theorem 4.9.2]) *Let D be a one-dimensional, Noetherian domain with quotient field K and L a finite field extension of K.*

Then the integral closure D_{L}' of D in L is a Dedekind domain. Moreover, if I is a nonzero ideal of D', then D' /I is a finitely generated D-module.

Proof We can reduce the proof to the case $L = K$ with the following argument. Let b_1, \ldots, b_n form a *K*-basis of *L*. Without restriction we can assume that $b_i \in D'_L$.

Then the domain $R = D[b_1, \ldots, b_n]$ is finitely generated as *D*-module and therefore $D \subset R$ is an integral extension according to Eact 2.1. Further R is Noetherian and $D \subseteq R$ is an integral extension according to Fact [2.1.](#page-2-1) Further, *R* is Noetherian and
since $L = K[h_1, h_2]$ is the quotient field of *R* it follows that $R' = D'$ is the since $L = K[b_1, \ldots, b_n]$ is the quotient field of *R* it follows that $R' = D'_L$ is the integral closure of *R*. Moreover, if *I* is a nonzero ideal of *R'* and *R'* /*I* is finitely integral closure of *R*. Moreover, if *I* is a nonzero ideal of *R'* and R'/I is finitely generated as *R*-module, then $R'/I = D'_L/I$ is a finitely generated *D*-module.
Hence from this point on we assume that $I = K$ and $D = R$ Sin

Hence from this point on we assume that $L = K$ and $D = R$. Since $1 =$ $\dim(D) = \dim(D')$ by Fact [2.2](#page-2-2) and *D'* is integrally closed, we only need to prove
that *D'* is Noetherian to conclude that *D'* is a Dedekind domain that D' is Noetherian to conclude that D' is a Dedekind domain.

Let *I* be a nonzero ideal of *D'* and $s = \frac{a}{t} \in I$ be a nonzero element. Then $\frac{a}{t}$ $\leq t \leq I \cap D$ is a nonzero element which implies that D/aD is a zero-dimensional $a = ts \in I \cap D$ is a nonzero element which implies that D/aD is a zero-dimensional, Noetherian ring and thus Artinian. Since $I_n = (a^n D') \cap D + aD$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ form a descending chain of ideals of D/aD there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_n = I$ for all descending chain of ideals of D/aD , there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_m = I_n$ for all $n > m$.

If $a^mD' \subseteq a^{m+1}D' + D$, then

$$
D'/aD' \simeq a^m D'/a^{m+1} D' \subseteq (a^{m+1} D' + D)/a^{m+1} D' \simeq D/(D \cap a^{m+1} D')
$$

holds. This further implies that D'/aD' is a submodule of the Noetherian module $D/(D \cap a^{m+1}D')$ and hence a finitely generated *D*-module. Hence D'/aD' is
Noetherian and the submodule L/aD' is finitely generated. Consequently *L* is a Noetherian and the submodule I/aD' is finitely generated. Consequently *I* is a finitely generated ideal of *D'*. In addition, $D'/I \simeq (D'/aD')/(I/aD')$ is a quotient of the finitely generated *D*-module D'/aD' and therefore finitely generated.

It remains to prove that $a^mD' \subseteq a^{m+1}D' + D$. We can localize at each maximal at of *D* and prove the inclusion locally. So assume that *D* is local with maximal ideal of *D* and prove the inclusion locally. So assume that *D* is local with maximal ideal **m**.

If $a \notin \mathbf{m}$, then *a* is a unit in *D* and therefore $a^mD' = D' = a^{m+1}D' + D$. Now assume $a \in \mathbf{m}$ and let $x = \frac{b}{c} \in D' \setminus D$ where $b \in D$ and $c \in \mathbf{m}$. The radical of the poper or ideal cD is then \mathbf{m} and therefore there exists $n \ge m$ with $\mathbf{m}^{n+1} \subset cD$. It nonzero ideal *cD* is then **m** and therefore there exists $n \ge m$ with $\mathbf{m}^{n+1} \subseteq cD$. It follows that follows that

$$
a^{n+1}x \in (a^{n+1}D') \cap D \subseteq I_{n+1} = I_{n+2} = (a^{n+2}D') \cap D + aD
$$

and hence $a^n x \in a^{n+1}D' + D$. If $n > m$, then

$$
a^{n}x \in (a^{n+1}D' + D) \cap a^{n}D' = a^{n+1}D' + \underbrace{D \cap a^{n}D'}_{\leq I_n = I_{n+1}} \subseteq a^{n+1}D' + aD
$$

and therefore $a^{n-1}x \in a^nD' + D$. Repeating this argument completes the proof.

Corollary 2.4 *Let D be a one-dimensional, Noetherian, local domain with quotient field K and L a finite field extension of K.*

If \textbf{m} *is a maximal ideal of D and* \textbf{m}^\prime *a maximal ideal of the integral closure* D^\prime_L *of D in L with* $\mathbf{m}' \cap D = \mathbf{m}$ *, then the field extension* $D/\mathbf{m} \subseteq D'_L/\mathbf{m}'$ *is finite.*

Proof It follows from Proposition [2.3,](#page-2-3) that D'_L/\mathbf{m}' is a finitely generated *D*-module. Therefore D'_{L}/\mathbf{m}' is finitely generated as D/\mathbf{m} -vector space as well.

Corollary 2.5 *Let D be a one-dimensional, Noetherian, local domain.* If D is unibranched, then the integral closure D' is a discrete valuation domain.

Remark If *D* is a local, one-dimensional, Noetherian domain with maximal ideal **m**, then Fact [2.2](#page-2-2) implies that the maximal ideals of D' are the minimal primes of mD and therefore D' is always a semilocal Dedekind domain.

3 Completions

In this section we recall the necessary facts on topologies on rings and modules which are induced by ideals. Let *R* be a Noetherian ring, *I* an ideal of *R* and *M* an *R*-module. Then the submodules $(I^nM)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ form a filtration on *M* which induces a linear topology on *M*, that is, the sets $m + IⁿM$ for $m \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ form a basis of this topology. We call this the *I-adic topology* on *M*.

Addition, subtraction and scalar multiplication are continuous with respect to this topology. If *M* is a ring extension of *R*, then multiplication in *M* is continuous too. this topology. We call this the Addition, subtraction and scale ology. If *M* is a ring extension Moreover, $M \setminus m + I^n M = \bigcup_{n \geq N} A^n M$ and hence each *m* -

 $y y + IⁿM$ where the union runs over all $y \in M$ with $HⁿM$ is both open and closed $m - y \notin I^n M$ and hence each $m + I^n M$ is both open and closed. fology. If *M* is a ring extension of *R*, then multiplication in *M* is continuous too.
Moreover, $M \setminus m + I^nM = \bigcup_y y + I^nM$ where the union runs over all $y \in M$ with $-y \notin I^nM$ and hence each $m + I^nM$ is both open and closed.
T

 (M) is the inverse limit of the inverse system M/I^nM toge

The completion *M* of *M* is the inverse limit of the inverse system *M*/*I*^{*m*}*M* to get
with the canonical projections
$$
M/I^{n}M \longrightarrow M/I^{m}M
$$
 for $n \ge m$, that is,

$$
\widehat{M} = \lim_{m \to \infty} M/I^{n}M = \left\{ (a_{n} + I^{n}M)_{n} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M/I^{n}M \mid a_{n+1} \equiv a_{n} \pmod{I^{n}M} \right\}
$$

A sequence $(x_k)_k$ in *M* is an *I*-adic Cauchy sequence, if for each *n* there exists k_n such that $x_{k_n} - x_{k_n+m} \in I^n M$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. As usual, we say two Cauchy sequences $(x_k)_k$, $(y_k)_k$ are equivalent if $(x_k - y_k)_k$ converges to 0. In particular, (x_k) is equivalent to $(x_{k_n})_n$. Hence each equivalence class of Cauchy sequences in *M* contains the socalled *coherent* sequence $(a_n)_n$ which satisfies $a_{n+1} \equiv a_n \pmod{I^n M}$ for all *n*. Thus \widehat{M} is isomorphic to the set of equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences. $(x_k)_k$, $(y_k)_k$ are equivalent if $(x_k - y_k)_k$ converges to 0. In particular, (x_k) to $(x_{k_n})_n$. Hence each equivalence class of Cauchy sequences in *M* concalled *coherent* sequence $(a_n)_n$ which satisfies $a_{n+1} \equiv a_n \pmod{T^m}$

 $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *I*^{*n*} $M = 0$, then *M* is *I*-adically separated and we can embed *M* into *M* is isomorphic to the set of equivalence classes of Cauce If $\overline{\mathbf{0}} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n M = \mathbf{0}$, then *M* is *I*-adically separated \widehat{M} via $m \longmapsto (m)_n$. We say that *M* is complete if $M \simeq \widehat{M}$.

Example 3.1 Let *V* be a discrete valuation domain with maximal ideal (t) and \widehat{M} via $m \mapsto (m)_n$. We say that M is complete if $M \simeq \widehat{M}$.
Example 3.1 Let V be a discrete valuation domain with valuation v . By \widehat{V} we denote the (t) -adic completion of V .

Moreover, let $(a_n)_n$ be a *(t)*-adic Cauchy sequence with limit $a \in \hat{V}$. If $a = 0$, then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n \in (t^k)$ for all $n \ge n_0$ and hence $\lim v(a_n) = \infty.$

If $a \neq 0$, then there exist $k, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_n \notin (t^k)$ for $n \geq m_0$. However, sequence $(a_{n+1} - a_n)$, converges to 0 and hence there exists $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the sequence $(a_{n+1} - a_n)_n$ converges to 0 and hence there exists $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{n+1} - a_n \in (t^k)$ for all $n \ge m_1$. If $m = \max\{m_0, m_1\}$, then for all $n \ge m$,

$$
v(a_{n+1}) = v(a_{n+1} - a_n + a_n) \ge \min\{v(a_{n+1} - a_n), v(a_n)\} = v(a_n) < k
$$

holds and the sequence $(v(a_n))_n$ stabilizes at $v(a_m)$.

For $a \in \hat{V}$, we set $v(a) = \lim v(a_n) = v(a_m)$. This extends v to a discrete valuation on \hat{V} . holds and the so
For $a \in \widehat{V}$,
valuation on \widehat{V} .

Next, we present some basic results on the completion of finitely generated modules over a Noetherian ring *R*.

Fact 3.2 (Artin-Rees, cf. [\[6,](#page-14-2) Theorem 8.5]) *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R and M a finitely generated R-module.*

If N is an R-submodule of *M*, then there exists an integer r such that for all $k \geq 0$

$$
I^{r+k}M\cap N=I^k(I^rM\cap N).
$$

Corollary 3.3 (cf. [\[6,](#page-14-2) Theorem 8.9, Theorem 8.10]) *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R and M a finitely generated R-module. Corollary 3.3 (cf. [6, Theorem 8.9, Theorem 8.10]) Let <i>R* be a Noetherial *I* an ideal of *R* and *M* a finitely generated *R*-module.
 1. If $N = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n M$, then there exists an $a \in R$ with $aN = 0$ and $1 - a \in$

-
- 2. If $I \subseteq \text{Jac}(R)$, then M is I-adically separated and every submodule of M is I-adically closed *I-adically closed.*

Proof [\(1\)](#page-5-0): According to Fact [3.2,](#page-5-1) there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(I^{r+1}M) \cap N = I(I^{r}M \cap N) \subseteq IN$ and hence
 $IN \subseteq N = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^{n}M \subseteq (I^{r+1}M) \cap N \subseteq IN$. $I(I^rM \cap N) \subseteq IN$ and hence

$$
IN \subseteq N = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n M \subseteq (I^{r+1}M) \cap N \subseteq IN.
$$

Consequently, $N = IN$ and it follows from Nakayama's lemma that there exists an $a \in R$ with $1 - a \in I$ and $aN = 0$. [\(2\)](#page-5-2): Since $I \subseteq \text{Jac}(R)$, the element *a* from (1) is a unit of *R*. Therefore $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n M = 0$ and *M* is separated. Consequently, if Consequently, $N = IN$ and it follows from Nakayama's lemma that there exists an $a \in R$ with $1 - a \in I$ and $aN = 0$. (2): Since $I \subseteq \text{Jac}(R)$, the element *a* from [\(1\)](#page-5-0) is a unit of *R*. Therefore $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n M = 0$ and *M* i Consequently, *N* = *IN* and it follows from Nakayama's lemma that there exists an *a* ∈ *R* with 1 − *a* ∈ *I* and *aN* = **0**. (2): Since *I* ⊆ Jac(*R*), the element *a* from (1) is a unit of *R*. Therefore $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{$ $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(P+I^nM)=P.$

Fact 3.4 (cf. [\[6,](#page-14-2) Theorem 8.7]) *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal and M a* $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(P+I^nM)=P.$
Fact 3.4 (cf. [6, Theorem 8.7]) Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal and M a finitely generated module. Further, let \widehat{R} , \widehat{M} be the I-adic completions of R and M, *respectively. finitely generated module. Further, let* \widehat{R} , \widehat{M} *be the I-adic completions of* R *and* M , *respectively.*
Then $\widehat{R} \otimes_R M \simeq \widehat{M}$ *via* (lim r_n , m) \mapsto lim r_n m . In particular, if R is

complete, then M is I-adically complete.

Proposition 3.5 (cf. [\[6,](#page-14-2) Theorem 8.4]) *Let R be a complete ring with respect to an ideal I of R and M an I-adically separated R-module.*

If M/IM is a finitely generated R/I-module, then M is a finitely generated R*module.*

Proof Let $m_1, \ldots, m_t \in M$ be elements such that their projections modulo *IM If* M/M is a finitely generated R/I -module, then M is a finitely generated R -module.
Proof Let $m_1, ..., m_t \in M$ be elements such that their projections modulo *IM* generate M/IM as R/I -module. Then $M = \sum_{i=1}^{t} Rm_i$

A Note on Analytically Irreducible Domains

exist $r_{0,i} \in R$, $i_1 \in I$ and $x_1 \in M$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{0,i}m_i + i_1x_1$. Then again, for
 $x_1 \in M$ there exist $r_1 \in R$, $i_2 \in I$ and $x_2 \in M$ such that $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i$ *x*₁ 2 *A*, *i*₁ 2 *I* and *x*₁ \in *M* such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{0,i}m_i + i_1x_1$, $x_1 \in M$, there exist $r_{1,i} \in R$, $i_2 \in I$ and $x_2 \in M$ such that $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{0,i}m_i + i_1x_1$.
For $i > 2$, we successively choose $x_1 \in M$, there exist $r_{1,i} \in R$, $i_2 \in I$ and $x_2 \in M$ such that $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{1,i} m_i + i_2 x_2$. For $j > 2$, we successively choose $r_{i-1,i} \in R$, $i_j \in I$ and $x_j \in M$ such that *x*₁ \in *R*, $i_1 \in$ *I* and $x_1 \in$ *M* such $x_1 \in$ *R*, $i_2 \in$ *I i* For $j > 2$, we successively choos $x_{j-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{j-1,i} m_i + i_j x_j$. Then (i.e. *R* which has a limit $x \in R$. Many th that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{0,i}$ $f(x) = \int_{r=0}^{n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} i_{i} \right) r_{j,i} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence
 *I n*eover,
 $\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{i} m_{i} \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^{n} M = 0$ in *R* which has a limit $r_i \in R$. Moreover,

$$
x - \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i m_i \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I^n M = \mathbf{0}
$$

and therefore *M* is generated by m_1, \ldots, m_t .

Zero-Divisors in the Completion of R

Zero-Divisors in the Completion of R
Let *V* be a discrete valuation domain with valuation *v* and \hat{V} its completion. If Let *V* be a discrete valuation domain with valuation *v* and \hat{V} its completion. If $a, b \in \hat{V}$ are nonzero elements, then $v(a), v(b) < \infty$ according to Example [3.1.](#page-4-1)
Consequently $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b) < \infty$ which implies that \hat Let *V* be a discrete valuation domain with valuation *v* and \hat{V} its comple $a, b \in \hat{V}$ are nonzero elements, then $v(a), v(b) < \infty$ according to Examp Consequently, $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b) < \infty$ which implies that \hat{V} is a doma

However, in general the completion of a domain may not be a domain.

Proposition 3.6 (cf. [\[3,](#page-14-0) Lemma III.3.4]) *Let R be a Noetherian domain and I an ideal of R.*

If there exist ideals J_1 *and* J_2 *of* R *such that* $I = J_1 \cap J_2$ *and* $R = J_1 + J_2$ *, then the I-adic completion* \widehat{R} *of R is not a domain.*

Proof Since J_1 and J_2 are coprime it follows that J_1^k and J_2^k are coprime as well. Hence there exist b_k , $c_k \in R$ such that

$$
b_k \equiv 0 \mod J_1^k, \qquad b_k \equiv 1 \mod J_2^k
$$

$$
c_k \equiv 1 \mod J_1^k, \qquad c_k \equiv 0 \mod J_2^k
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $b_{k+1} - b_k \equiv c_{k+1} - c_k \equiv 0 \mod J_1^k \cap J_2^k = J_1^k J_2^k = I^k$,
the sequence (b) and (c) converge Ledically Let $b = \lim_{k \to \infty} b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c =$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $b_{k+1} - b_k \equiv c_{k+1} - c_k \equiv 0 \mod J_1^k \cap J_2^k = J_1^k J_2^k = I^k$,
the sequences $(b_k)_k$ and $(c_k)_k$ converge *I*-adically. Let $b = \lim b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c = \lim c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ bein *L*-adic limits. By construction b for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $b_{k+1} - b_k \equiv c_{k+1} - c_k \equiv 0 \mod J_1^k \cap J_2^k = J_1^k J_2^k = I^k$,
the sequences $(b_k)_k$ and $(c_k)_k$ converge *I*-adically. Let $b = \lim b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c = \lim c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ fiber *I*-adic limits. By construction, $b_k c_k \equiv 0 \mod J_1^k \cap J_2^k = I^k$ for all *k*, it follows that $bc = 0$. Hence *b* and *c* are nonzero zero-divisors nonzero zero-divisors.

It follows from Proposition [3.6](#page-6-0) that the completion of a domain may contain zero-divisors (see also Proposition [3.8\)](#page-7-0). However, constant sequences behave well as the next lemma states. zero-divisors (see also Proposition 3.8). However, constant sequences behave well
as the next lemma states.
Lemma 3.7 *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I a proper ideal of R and* \hat{R} *the I-adic*

as the next lemma states.
Lemma 3.7 *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I a proper ideal of R and* \hat{R} *the I-adic completion of R. If* $d \in R$ *is not a zero-divisor in R, then d is not a zero-divisor in* \hat{R} *.*

Proof By Fact [3.2,](#page-5-1) there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
I^{n+r} \cap dR = I^n(I^r \cap dD) \subseteq dI^n \tag{1}
$$

Let $x = \lim_n x_n \in \widehat{R}$ such that $dx = 0$. Then $(dx_n)_n$ is an *I*-adic Cauchy sequence with limit 0. Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $dx_t \in I^{n+r}$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Then $dx_t \in dI^n$ by Equation [\(1\)](#page-7-1) and therefore $x_t \in I^n$ which implies $0 = \lim_{n} x_n = x.$

Proposition 3.8 *Let* (D, m) *be a Noetherian, local domain with quotient field K* and $D \subset R \subset K$ be an intermediate ring such that R is finitely generated as D*module.*

Then the following assertions hold:

- *1. R is semilocal,*
- *2. the* **m***-adic topology on D coincides with subspace topology induced by the* Jac.*R*/*-adic topology on R.*
- *3. If R is not local, then the* **m***-adic completion* \widehat{D} *of D is not a domain.*

Proof R is finitely generated as module over the Noetherian domain *D* and hence a Noetherian domain. Moreover, the ring extension $D \subseteq R$ is integral by Fact [2.1.](#page-2-1)
Therefore, according to Eact 2.2, all prime ideals of R which lie over **m** are maximal Therefore, according to Fact [2.2,](#page-2-2) all prime ideals of *R* which lie over **m** are maximal and thus minimal prime ideals of **m***R*. Hence there are only finitely many maximal ideals N_1 , ..., N_n in *R* which proves [\(1\)](#page-7-2). erefore, according to Fact 2.2, all prime ideals of *R* which lie over **m** are maximal
1 thus minimal prime ideals of **m***R*. Hence there are only finitely many maximal
als N_1, \ldots, N_n in *R* which proves (1).
[\(2\)](#page-7-3): Since

m*R*. Then

$$
Jac(R)^{\ell k}\subseteq (mR)^k\subseteq Jac(R)^k
$$

and hence the $Jac(R)$ -adic and the mR -adic topology coincide on R. Thus it suffices to prove that the **m**-adic topology on *D* coincides with subspace topology induced by the **m***R*-adic topology on *R*. Clearly, $\mathbf{m}^k \subseteq \mathbf{m}^k R \cap D$ holds for all *k*. On the other hand Fact 3.2 implies that there exists an integer *r* such that hand, Fact [3.2](#page-5-1) implies that there exists an integer *r* such that

$$
\mathbf{m}^{k+r}R\cap D=\mathbf{m}^k(\mathbf{m}^rR\cap D)\subseteq\mathbf{m}^k
$$

for all $k \ge 0$ and hence the topologies coincide.
(3): Let M_1, \ldots, M_n be the maximal ideals of R with $n > 1$. We set $J_1 =$ [\(3\)](#page-7-4): Let M_1, \ldots, M_n be the maximal ideals of *R* with $n > 1$. We set $J_1 = \ldots M_{n-1} = M_1 \cap \ldots \cap M_{n-1}$ and $J_2 = M_2 \cap \ldots \cap M_{n-1}$ Then $J_1 \cap J_2 = \text{Jac}(R)$ and $J_2 + J_3 = R$ for all $k \ge 0$ and hence the topologies coincide.

(3): Let $M_1, ..., M_n$ be the maximal ideals of R with $n > 1$. We set $J_1 = M_1 \cdots M_{n-1} = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_{n-1}$ and $J_2 = M_n$. Then $J_1 \cap J_2 = \text{Jac}(R)$ and $J_1 + J_2 = R$.

By Proposit (3): Let $M_1, ..., M_n$ be the maximal ideals of R with $n > 1$. We set $J_1 = ... M_{n-1} = M_1 \cap ... \cap M_{n-1}$ and $J_2 = M_n$. Then $J_1 \cap J_2 = \text{Jac}(R)$ and $J_1 + J_2 = R$.
Proposition 3.6, the Jac(R)-adic completion \hat{R} of R is not a d

 $M_1 \cdots M_{n-1} = M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_{n-1}$ and $J_2 = M_n$. Then $J_1 \cap J_2 = \text{Jac}(R)$ and $J_1 + J_2 = R$.
By Proposition 3.6, the **Jac**(R)-adic completion \hat{R} of R is not a domain.
By (2), \hat{D} is a topological subspace of \hat{R} . S By Proposition 3.6, the Jac (R) -adic completion R of R is not a domain, there exists nonzero $b, c \in \hat{R}$ with $bc = 0$. However, R is a finitely generated *D*-module and therefore there exists a nonzero element $d \in D$ By (2), *D* is a topological subspace of *R*. Since *R* is not a domain, there exist
nonzero $b, c \in \hat{R}$ with $bc = 0$. However, *R* is a finitely generated *D*-module and
therefore there exists a nonzero element $d \in D$ wi therefore there exists a nonzero element $d \in D$ with $dR \subseteq D$. Hence $(db)(dc) = 0$ with $db, dc \in \widehat{D}$. By Lemma 3.7, d is not a zero-divisor in \widehat{D} and therefore $db \neq 0$ is a zero-divisor in \widehat{D} .

4 Structure Theorem for One-Dimensional Complete Local Domains

In this section we discuss the structure theorem for complete, Noetherian, local domains. We restrict our study to the one-dimensional case, since this is what we need later on. Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that the results can be extended to higher dimensions but the proofs become more technical.

As Proposition [4.3](#page-8-1) states, a complete, Noetherian, one-dimensional local domain .*D*; **m**/ contains a subring *S* such that *D* is finitely generated as *S*-module. Moreover, *S* is a certain complete discrete valuation domain whose residue field is isomorphic to D/m . This result allows us in the next section to reduce the investigation to domains of this form.

Definition 4.1 Let (D, m) be a complete, Noetherian, local domain. We say

- 1. *D* is of *equal characteristic*, if $char(D) = char(D/m)$ and
- 2. *D* is of *unequal characteristic*, if $char(D) \neq char(D/m)$.

If char(*D*/**m**) = 0, it follows that char(*D*) = 0 and therefore $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq D$. However,
 \cap **m** - **0** which implies that every integer is invertible in *D* and thus $\cap \subset D$ $\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbf{m} = 0$ which implies that every integer is invertible in *D* and thus $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq D$.
Similarly if $\text{char}(D) = n > 0$ then $\text{char}(D/\mathbf{m}) = n$ and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is contained Similarly, if $char(D) = p > 0$, then $char(D/m) = p$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is contained in *D*. Hence, a domain of equal characteristic contains a field. On the other hand, if *D* contains a field *k*, then $char(k) = char(D)$. Let $\pi : D \longrightarrow D/m$ denote the canonical projection. Then $\pi(k)$ is a subfield of *D*/**m** and since $char(\pi(k))$ = $char(k)$ it follows that *D* is of equal characteristic. Indeed, it is possible to show that a domain *D* of equal characteristic contains a field *k* with $\pi(k) = D/m$, cf. Fact [4.2.](#page-8-2)

If *D* is a domain of unequal characteristic, then $char(D) = 0$ and $char(D/m) =$ $p > 0$. In this case it is possible to show that *D* contains a complete discrete valuation domain (R, pR) such that the residue fields of R and D are isomorphic. We summarize these results in Fact [4.2.](#page-8-2) However, the proof goes beyond the scope of this paper. We refer to Matsumura's textbook [\[6\]](#page-14-2) for details.

Fact 4.2 (cf. [\[6,](#page-14-2) Theorem 28.3, Theorem 29.3]) *Let* (D, m) *be a complete, Noetherian, local domain.*

- *1. If D is of equal characteristic, then D contains a field k which is isomorphic to D*/**m** *via* $d \mapsto d + \mathbf{m}$ *. We say k is a* coefficient field of *D*.
- 2. If *D* is of unequal characteristic and $char(D/m) = p$, then *D* contains a *complete discrete valuation domain* (R, pR) *such that* R/pR *is isomorphic to D*/**m** *via* $r + pR \mapsto r + m$ *. We say R is a* coefficient ring of *D*.

The existence of a coefficient field or coefficient ring, respectively, is crucial for the proof of the structure theorem which we state in the next proposition.

Proposition 4.3 (cf. [\[6,](#page-14-2) Theorem 29.4.(iii)]) *Let* (D, m) *be a complete, Noetherian, one-dimensional, local domain.*

Then D contains a complete discrete valuation domain S such that D is finitely generated over S and

- 1. in equal characteristic S \simeq k $\llbracket X \rrbracket$ where k is a coefficient field of D.
- *2. in unequal characteristic S is a coefficient ring of D.*

Proof Let **m** be the maximal ideal of *D*. First, we consider the case where *D* is of unequal characteristic and let $p = \text{char}(D/m) > 0$. According to Fact [4.2,](#page-8-2) *D* contains a coefficient ring *S* which is a complete discrete valuation domain with maximal ideal *pS* such that $S/pS \simeq D/m$ via π .

Further, D/pD is a zero-dimensional, Noetherian ring and hence Artinian. Therefore D/pD has finite length as (D/pD) -module and hence as *D*-module. However, this is equivalent to the existence of a composition series $0 = N_0 \subsetneq$ $N_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N_r = D/pD$ of the *D*-module D/pD . Since N_{i+1}/N_i is simple for all $0 \le i \le r-1$ it follows that $N_{i+1}/N_i \simeq D/\mathbf{m} \simeq S/pS$ which implies that $(N_i)_{i=0}^r$ is a composition series of D/pD as S-module. Thus D/pD has finite length as S-module composition series of D/pD as *S*-module. Thus D/pD has finite length as *S*-module and is therefore a finite dimensional (S/pS) -vector space. Further, *D* is *p*-adically separated since *D* is Noetherian and we can conclude that *D* is a finitely generated *S*-module by Proposition [3.5.](#page-5-3)

If *D* is of equal characteristic, then *D* contains a coefficient field *k* which is a subfield of *D* such that $k \simeq D/m$ via π according to Fact [4.2.](#page-8-2)

Let $T = k[[X]]$ be the power series ring in the variable *X* and let $y \in D$ be a variable *X* and let $y \in D$ be a variable *X* and $y \in D$ by $\varphi(X) = y$ and nonzero non-unit. We define the *k*-homomorphism $\varphi : T \longrightarrow D$ by $\varphi(X) = y$ and set $S = k[[y]]$ to be the image of *T* under φ .
With the same aroument as above w

With the same argument as above we can conclude that D/yD is a finitely generated $(S/\gamma S)$ -module. Moreover, *S* is complete and *D* is separated with respect to the ideal *yS*. Hence *D* is finitely generated as *S*-module by Proposition [3.5.](#page-5-3) Furthermore, this implies $\dim(S) = \dim(D) = 1$ by Fact [2.2.](#page-2-2) However, since $S \simeq k[[X]] / \ker(\varphi)$ and $\dim(k[[X]]) = 1$ it follows that $\ker(\varphi) = \mathbf{0}$ and $S \simeq k[[X]]$.

Remark The domain *S* is the so-called *regular local ring*, that is, a local, Noetherian domain *S* such that its maximal ideal is generated by $dim(S)$ elements. Proposition [4.3](#page-8-1) is a special case of Cohen's structure theorem which states that every complete Noetherian local domain *D* contains a regular local subring *S* such that *D* is finitely generated as *S*-module. Moreover, $S = R[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is a power series ring where in equal characteristic *R* is a coefficient field and $n - \dim(D)$ and in ring where in equal characteristic *R* is a coefficient field and $n = \dim(D)$ and in unequal characteristic *R* is a coefficient ring and $n = \dim(D) - 1$. For details, we refer Matsumura's textbook [\[6,](#page-14-2) §28, §29].

5 Finiteness of the Integral Closure

Let *D* be a complete, one-dimensional, Noetherian, local domain with quotient field *K* and let $K \subseteq L$ be a finite field extension. The goal of this section is to prove that the integral closure D' of D in *L* is finitely generated as *D*-module (see prove that the integral closure D'_{L} of *D* in *L* is finitely generated as *D*-module (see Proposition [5.3\)](#page-11-0). This allows us to conclude in Corollary [5.4](#page-12-0) that the integral closure R' of a complete, one-dimensional, Noetherian, local, reduced ring R is finitely

generated as *R*-module. The latter result is essential in the proof of Theorem [1](#page-13-0) in the next section.

Following Nagata's textbook [\[7\]](#page-15-0), we exploit the structure of complete, Noetherian, local domains. According to Proposition [4.3,](#page-8-1) *D* contains a subring *S* such that *D* is finitely generated as *S*-module (see Figure [2\)](#page-10-0). If *F* is the quotient field of *S*, then the extension $F \subseteq L$ is finite. Moreover, by Fact [2.1,](#page-2-1) the extension $S \subseteq D$ is integral and hence $D' = S'$ is the integral closure of *S* in *L*. integral and hence $D'_L = S'_L$ is the integral closure of *S* in *L*.
If we show that *S'*, is finitely generated as *S*-module, the

If we show that S'_L is finitely generated as *S*-module, then it follows that D'_L is a finitely generated *D*-module. Therefore, Proposition [4.3](#page-8-1) allows us to reduce the investigation to the case where *S* is a certain complete discrete valuation domain.

To prove that the integral closure of *S* in *L* is finitely generated, we distinguish between two cases, either the field extension $F \subset L$ is separable or it is inseparable.

Proposition 5.1 (cf. [\[2,](#page-14-4) Ch. V, 1.6, Corollary 1 of Proposition 18]) *Let S be an* integrally closed, Noetherian domain with quotient field F and F \subseteq L a finite field
extension *extension.*

If $F \subseteq L$ is separable, then the integral closure S'_{L} of S in L is finitely generated
S-module *as S-module.*

Proof Let $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in L$ be a *K*-basis of *L*. Without restriction we can assume that $w_j \in S'_L$ for $1 \le j \le n$. Further, let $L^* = \text{Hom}_K(L, K)$ be the dual space of *L* and $w' \in L^*$ be the *K*-basis of *L*^{*} which is defined by $w'(w) = \delta_{\mathcal{C}}$. (*Kronecker-delta*) for $w'_i \in L^*$ be the *K*-basis of L^* which is defined by $w'_i(w_j) = \delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker-delta) for $1 \le i, i \le n$ $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Since *L* is a finite separable extension of *K*, *L* is isomorphic to its dual space L^* via the *K*-linear map

$$
T: L \longrightarrow L^*
$$

$$
x \longmapsto (y \mapsto \text{tr}_{L/K}(xy))
$$

where $tr_{L/K}: L \longrightarrow K$ is the field trace with respect to the extension $K \subseteq L$ (cf. [\[5,](#page-14-5) Theorem 5.21) Theorem 5.2]).

For $1 \le i \le n$, set $w_i^* = T^{-1}(w_i')$. Then w_1^* , ..., w_n^* form a *K*-basis of *L* and $w(w^*w_i) = \hat{s}_v$ holds for all $1 \le i \le n$. Hence for $a \in S'$ there exist $a \in K$ ${\rm tr}_{L/K}(w_i^*w_j) = \delta_{ij}$ holds for all $1 \le i, j \le n$. Hence, for $a \in S'_L$ there exist $a_i \in K$
such that $a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_iw_i^*$ Moreover Theorem 5.2]).

For $1 \le i \le n$
 $tr_{L/K}(w_i^*w_j) = \delta_{ij}$

such that $a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^*$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i w_i^*$. Moreover,

Fig. 2 *D* contains a subring *S* such that *D* is finitely generated as *S*-module which is either a complete discrete valuation ring or isomorphic to $k[[X]]$ where k is a coefficient field of *D*

$$
a_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \operatorname{tr}_{L/K}(w_i^* w_j) = \operatorname{tr}_{L/K}(aw_j)
$$

holds for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

If $g_j \in K[X]$
ne field exte If $g_i \in K[X]$ is the minimal polynomial of aw_i and z_1, \ldots, z_m are all roots of g_i in field extension $\tilde{L} \leq n$.

If $g_j \in K[X]$ is the minimal polynomial of aw_j and z_1, \ldots, z_m are all roots of g_j in

some field extension \tilde{L} of K , then $tr_{L/K}(aw_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \in K$ holds (cf. [\[5,](#page-14-5) p. 284]).

Moreo Moreover, since *aw_j* is integral over *S* it follows that $g_j \in S[X]$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_m \in S_{\tilde{L}}'$
are integral over *S* as well. Therefore are integral over *S* as well. Therefore

$$
a_j = \text{tr}_{L/K}(aw_j) \in K \cap S'_{\widetilde{L}} = S
$$

where the last equality holds since *S* is integrally closed. It follows that S'_L is an $a_j = \text{tr}_{L/K}(aw_j)$ \in
where the last equality holds since *S* is in
S-submodule of the Noetherian module $\sum_{j=1}^{n}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Sw_i^*$ and therefore finitely generated.

Proposition 5.2 (cf. [\[6,](#page-14-2) p. 263]) *Let* $S = k[[X]]$ *be a power series ring over a field*
k with quotient field *F* and *L* a finite purely inseparable field extension of *F k with quotient field F and L a finite purely inseparable field extension of F.* Then the integral closure S_{L}' of S in L is finitely generated as S-module.

Proof Let $p > 0$ be the characteristic of the field *F* and $q = p^e = [L : F] < \infty$ be the degree of the field extension *F* $\subset I$. Since the extension is purely inseparable the degree of the field extension $F \subseteq L$. Since the extension is purely inseparable,
every element $a \in L$ is a q-th root of an element in F every element $a \in L$ is a *q*-th root of an element in *F*.

Let \overline{F} be an algebraically closed extension of F that contains L . Then \overline{F} contains an element *Y* such that $X = Y^q$ and $\tilde{L} = L(Y)$ is a finite, purely inseparable field extension of *K*. Moreover, S'_L is an *S*-submodule of $S'_{\tilde{L}}$ and it therefore suffices to show that $S_{\tilde{L}}'$ is a finitely generated *S*-module. This allows us to assume that $L = L$
and $Y \in I$ from this point on and $Y \in L$ from this point on.

If $a \in S'_L$ is an integral element, then $a^q \in S'_L \cap F$. However, *S* is a discrete
ustion domain, so it is integrally closed and therefore $S' = \{a \in I \mid a^q \in S\}$ valuation domain, so it is integrally closed and therefore $S'_L = \{a \in L \mid a^q \in S\}$.
If *M* is a maximal ideal of *S'* then $M \cap S = SS$ by Eact 2.2. Hence $M = S$

If *M* is a maximal ideal of S'_L , then $M \cap S = XS$ by Fact [2.2.](#page-2-2) Hence $M = \{a \in S^Q | S^Q \}$ which implies that $M - YS'$ is the unique maximal ideal of S' . In $L \mid a^q \in XS$ which implies that $M = YS'_L$ is the unique maximal ideal of S'_L . In addition it follows from Corollary 2.4 that the field extension $k \sim S/XS \subset S'/YS'$ addition, it follows from Corollary [2.4](#page-3-0) that the field extension $k \simeq S/XS \subseteq S'_L/YS'_L$
is finite Eurther Corollary 3.3 implies that S' is X-adically separated Einally S is is finite. Further, Corollary 3.3 implies that S'_{L} is *X*-adically separated. Finally, *S* is *X*-adically complete and we can conclude that S_L is a finitely generated *S*-module by Proposition [3.5.](#page-5-3)

Proposition 5.3 *Let D be a complete, local, one-dimensional, Noetherian domain* with quotient field K and $K \subseteq L$ a finite field extension.
Then the integral closure D' of D in L is finitely gen

Then the integral closure D_{L}' of D in L is finitely generated as D -module.

Proof According to Proposition [4.3,](#page-8-1) *D* contains a complete discrete valuation domain *S* such that *D* is a finitely generated *S*-module. Let *F* denote the quotient field of *S*. Hence $F \subseteq L$ is a finite field extension, $S \subseteq D$ is integral and $S'_L = D'_L$
(see Eact 2.1) of Figure 2. Therefore, it suffices to show that *S'* is a finitely (see Fact [2.1\)](#page-2-1), cf. Figure [2.](#page-10-0) Therefore, it suffices to show that S'_{L} is a finitely generated *S*-module. Moreover, *S* is a complete, discrete valuation domain and in the equicharacteristic case $S \simeq k[[X]]$ where k is a field by Proposition [4.3](#page-8-1) and $dim(S) = 1.$

Fig. 3 Integral closures of *S* in the field extensions *L*, *E* and *LE* where *E* is a finite inseparable field extension of *F* such that *LE* is a finite separable extension of *E*

In particular, *S* is integrally closed. Consequently, if the field extension $F \subseteq L$ is
parable, then the assertion follows from Proposition 5.1 separable, then the assertion follows from Proposition [5.1.](#page-10-1)

Let us assume that $F \subseteq L$ is inseparable. Then $char(F) = p > 0$ and hence $char(S) = char(D) = p$ which implies that *D* is of equal characteristic. Therefore $char(S) = char(D) = p$ which implies that *D* is of equal characteristic. Therefore $S \simeq k[[X]]$ where *k* is a field and $k \simeq D/\mathbf{m}$.

Let *N* be the normal hull of *L* and *E* be the fixed field of the automorphism group Aut_{*F*}(*N*) of *F* \subseteq *N*. Then *F* \subseteq *E* is a purely inseparable extension and *E* \subseteq *N* is a separable extension of 5. Proposition V6.111, see Figure 3. separable extension, cf. [\[5,](#page-14-5) Proposition V.6.11], see Figure [3.](#page-12-1)

Moreover, since $F \subseteq L$ is a finite extension, it follows that $F \subseteq N$ is finite which
turn implies that $F \subseteq F$ is a finite extension too. in turn implies that $F \subseteq E$ is a finite extension too.
Hence $F \subseteq I$ *E* is a finite senarable extension an

Hence $E \subseteq LE$ is a finite separable extension and it follows from Proposition [5.1](#page-10-1)
t $S' = - (S')'$ is finitely generated as S' -module. In addition S' is finitely that $S'_{LE} = (S'_E)'_{LE}$ is finitely generated as S'_E -module. In addition, S'_E is finitely generated as *S*-module according to Proposition 5.2 generated as *S*-module according to Proposition [5.2.](#page-11-1)

Consequently, S'_{LE} is finitely generated as *S*-module and therefore a Noetherian *S*-module. However, S'_L is an *S*-submodule of S'_{LE} and thus finitely generated.

We conclude this section with the analogous assertion for complete, Noetherian, local, reduced rings.

Corollary 5.4 *Let R be a complete, Noetherian, one-dimensional, local ring.*

If R is reduced, then the integral closure R' of R is finitely generated as R-module.

Proof Let P_1, \ldots, P_n be the minimal prime ideals of *R*. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let Q_i be the quotient field of the Noetherian, one-dimensional, local domain R/P_i . Then $Q = Q_1 \times \cdots \times Q_n$ is the total ring of quotients of $R/P_1 \times \cdots \times R/P_n$. Moreover,

$$
(R/P_1 \times \cdots \times R/P_n)'_Q = (R/P_1)'_{Q_1} \times \cdots \times (R/P_n)'_{Q_n}.
$$
 (2)

The Noetherian, local domain R/P_i is a finitely generated *R*-module and hence **m**-adically complete by Fact [3.4.](#page-5-5) As the **m**-adic topology coincides with the **m**/ P_i adic topology on R/P_i , it follows that $(R/P_i)_{Q_i}^{\prime}$ is a finitely generated (R/P_i) -module according to Proposition 5.3 . Together with Equation (2) , it now follows that $(\prod_{i=1}^{n} R/P_i)'_Q$ is a finitely generated $(\prod_{i=1}^{n} R/P_i)$ -module. % omplete by Fact 3.4. As the y on R/P_i , it follows that (R/P_i) Proposition 5.3. Together Q is a finitely generated $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$

Fig. 4 Embeddings via ε

Since $\prod_{i=1}^{n} R/P_i$ is a finitely generated *R*-module, it follows that $(\prod_{i=1}^{n} R/P_i)'_Q$ is finitely generated as *R*-module too and therefore Noetherian. Let

> $\varepsilon: R \longrightarrow R/P_1 \times \cdots \times R/P_n$ $r \mapsto (r + P_1, \ldots, r + P_n).$

 $\varepsilon: K \longrightarrow K/P_1 \times \cdots \times K/P_n$
 $r \longmapsto (r + P_1, \dots, r + P_n).$

Then ker $(\varepsilon) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i = \text{nil}(R) = \mathbf{0}$ since *R* is reduced by hypothesis. Hence we

can embed *R* into $\overline{\Pi}^n \cdot R/P$, via ε . Similarly, we can embed *R'* into $(\$ Then ker(ε) = $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} P_i$ = nil(R) = 0 since R is reduced by hypothesis. Hence we can embed R into $\prod_{i=1}^{n} R/P_i$ via ε . Similarly, we can embed R' into $(\prod_{i=1}^{n} R/P_i)'_Q$ since ε can be canonically extended to the total ring of quotients *T* of *R*, see Figure $\tilde{4}$.

Thus *R'* is isomorphic to a submodule of the Noetherian *R*-module $(\prod_{i=1}^{n} R/P_i)'_Q$ and hence finitely generated.

6 Proof of the Theorem

Finally, we are ready to give a proof of Theorem [1.](#page-13-0) For the reader's convenience we restate it here. Recall that a Noetherian, local domain (D, \mathbf{m}) with \mathbf{m} -adic completion \hat{D} and integral closure D' is called completion \hat{D} and integral closure D' is called
 - unibranched, if D' is local,
 - analytically unramified, if \hat{D} is a reduced ring and

- $-$ *unibranched*, if D' is local,
- *unibranched*, if *D'* is local,
 analytically unramified, if \hat{D} is a reduced
 analytically irreducible, if \hat{D} is a domain
-

(cf. Definition [1.1\)](#page-0-0).

Theorem 1 *Let* (D, m) *be a one-dimensional, Noetherian, local domain with* integral closure D'. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- *1. D is analytically irreducible.*
- *2. D is unibranched and analytically unramified.*
- *3. D is unibranched and D' is finitely generated as D-module.*
- *4. D is unibranched and if* **m**⁰ *denotes the maximal ideal of the integral closure, then the* m *-adic topology on* D *coincides with subspace topology induced by* m' .

Proof [\(1\)](#page-13-3) \Rightarrow [\(2\)](#page-13-4): By assumption, \widehat{D} is a domain and therefore is a reduced ring.

Assume that *D* is not unibranched and let $M_1 \neq M_2$ be two different maximal ideals of the integral closure *D'* of *D*. Let $a_1 \in M_1 \setminus M_2$ and $a_2 \in M_2 \setminus M_1$. Then

 $R = D[a_1, a_2] \subseteq D'$ is an integral extension of *D* and therefore finitely generated as *D*-module by Eact 2.1. Hence according to Proposition 3.8, *R* is a semilocal -as *D*-module by Fact [2.1.](#page-2-1) Hence, according to Proposition [3.8,](#page-7-0) *R* is a semilocal domain. However, the extension $R \subseteq D'$ is integral and therefore $N_i = M_i \cap R$ are maximal ideals of *R* for $i = 1, 2$ according to East 2.2. Due to the choice of *a*₁ and maximal ideals of *R* for $i = 1, 2$ according to Fact [2.2.](#page-2-2) Due to the choice of a_1 and $a_2, N_1 \neq N_2$ and *R* is semilocal but not local. It follows from Proposition 3.8 that \hat{D} as *D*-module by Fact 2.1. Hence, according to Proposition [3.8](#page-7-0), *R* is a semilocal domain. However, the extension $R \subseteq D'$ is integral and therefore $N_i = M_i \cap R$ are maximal ideals of *R* for $i = 1, 2$ according to Fact 2.2. is not a domain.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$: If *D'* is not finitely generated as *D*-module, then there exists an infinite
ctly ascending chain of intermediate rings $D \subseteq D \subseteq D'$ which are finitely strictly ascending chain of intermediate rings $D \subseteq D_i \subseteq D'$ which are finitely generated as *D*-modules generated as *D*-modules.

Let *K* be the quotient field of *D* and D_i for all *i* and $\hat{D_i}$ denote the **m**-adic completion of D_i . If $\frac{a}{b} \in \widehat{D_i} \cap K$, then $a \in b\widehat{D_i} \cap K$. However, by Corollary [3.3,](#page-5-4) *h* and *i h* 2 (2) ⇒ (3): If *D'* is not finitely generated as *D*-module, then there exists an infinite strictly ascending chain of intermediate rings $D \subseteq D_i \subseteq D'$ which are finitely generated as *D*-modules. Let *K* implies that *D i i p*₂ chain of interpendent field
D_i. If $\frac{a}{b} \in \widehat{D}_i \cap$
D_i and hence $\frac{a}{b} \in \widehat{D}_i$
D_i and hence $\frac{a}{b} \in \widehat{D}_i$ Hence $\widehat{D}_i \cap K = D_i \subsetneq D_{i+1} = \widehat{D_{i+1}} \cap K$ which
 $\widehat{D}_i \simeq D_i \otimes_D \widehat{D}$ is a finitely generated \widehat{D} -module. Ì.

Moreover, according to Fact [3.4,](#page-5-5) *D* Moreover, according
Hence \hat{D}_i is contained $\hat{D}_i \subsetneq \hat{D}_{i+1}$ for all *i*.

bit $\hat{D}_i \subsetneq \hat{D}_{i+1}$ for all *i*.

bit $\hat{D}_i \subsetneq \hat{D}_{i+1}$ for all *i*.
 \hat{D}_i is contained in the integral closure $(\hat{D})'$ of \hat{D} in its total ring of quotients. Moreover, according to Fact 3.4, $\hat{D}_i \simeq D_i \otimes_D \hat{D}$ is a finitely generated \hat{D} -module.

Hence \hat{D}_i is contained in the integral closure $(\hat{D})'$ of \hat{D} in its total ring of quotients.

Consequently, the 3.4, $\widehat{D}_i \simeq D_i \otimes_D \widehat{D}$ is a finitely generated \widehat{D} -module.
legral closure $(\widehat{D})'$ of \widehat{D} in its total ring of quotients.
 $\subseteq (\widehat{D})'$ contains the infinite strictly ascending chain of intermediate rings \hat{D}_i . Thus $(\hat{D})^{\prime}$ is not finite g Hence D_i is contained in the integral closure (
Consequently, the extension $\hat{D} \subseteq (\hat{D})'$ contain
of intermediate rings \hat{D}_i . Thus $(\hat{D})'$ is not fir
implies that \hat{D} is not reduced by Corollary [5.4.](#page-12-0)

[\(3\)](#page-13-5) \Rightarrow [\(4\)](#page-13-6): The assertion immediately follows from Proposition [3.8.](#page-7-0)
(4) \rightarrow (1): Let (\widehat{D}) be the m' edia completion of D' . Since the m' e

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$: Let (D') be the **m**'-adic completion of *D'*. Since the **m**'-adic topology
luces the **m**-adic topology on *D* it follows that *D* is a topological subspace of induces the **m**-adic topology on *D*, it follows that *D* is a topological subspace of (4) \Rightarrow (1): Let $\overline{(D')}$ be the **m'**-adic completion
induces the **m**-adic topology on *D*, it follows that
 $\overline{(D')}$ and \overline{D} is the topological closure of *D* in $\overline{(D')}$.

By assumption D' is local, so D' is a discrete valuation domain according to Corollary [2.5.](#page-4-0) As shown in Example [3.1,](#page-4-1) the m' -adic completion (D') of D' is also a discrete valuation domain. By assumption *D* is focal, so *D* is
rollary 2.5. As shown in Example 3.1
iscrete valuation domain.
Hence \hat{D} is a subring of the domain (

 (D') and thus it is a domain itself.

Remark There are examples of one-dimensional, Noetherian, local domains which are unibranched but not analytically irreducible, cf. [\[9\]](#page-15-2).

Acknowledgements R. Rissner is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P 27816-N26.

References

- 1. Atiyah, M.F., Macdonald, I.G.: Introduction to Commutative Algebra. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA (1969)
- 2. Bourbaki, N.: Commutative Algebra, Chapters 1–7. Springer, Berlin (1989)
- 3. Cahen, P.-J., Chabert, J.-L.: Integer-Valued Polynomials. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 48. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1997)
- 4. Huneke, C., Swanson, I.: Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 336. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)
- 5. Lang, S.: Algebra. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211. Springer, New York (2002)
- 6. Matsumura, H.: Commutative Ring Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986)
- 7. Nagata, M.: Local Rings. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 13. Wiley, New York (1962)
- 8. Northcott, D.G.: A general theory of one-dimensional local rings. Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **2**, 159–169 (1956)
- 9. Olberding, B.: One-dimensional bad Noetherian domains. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **366**(8), 4067–4095 (2014)