## Manganese Toxicity Hardly Affects Sulfur Metabolism in *Brassica rapa*

## Mariana I. Neves, Dharmendra H. Prajapati, Saroj Parmar, Tahereh A. Aghajanzadeh, Malcolm J. Hawkesford, and Luit J. De Kok

Abstract Manganese (Mn) is an essential plant nutrient, though at elevated levels in plant tissues it may become toxic. The physiological basis for phytotoxicity is largely unclear. Exposure of *Brassica rapa* to elevated levels of  $Mn^{2+}$  in the nutrient solution resulted in decreased biomass production at  $\geq 20 \ \mu M$  and chlorosis. The Mn content in the shoot increased with the  $Mn^{2+}$  concentration in the nutrient solution and became toxic when it exceeded a four-fold concentration of the control. In contrast to observations with Cu and Zn, elevated and toxic  $Mn^{2+}$  levels did not affect the water-soluble non-protein thiols in both root and shoot and the expression the sulfate transporters, Sultr1;1 and Sultr1;2, in the root.

Mn is an essential plant nutrient and its availability in soil strongly affects plant growth and development (Kováčik et al. 2014; Sadana et al. 2003). Mn functions in several physiological processes, viz. in photosynthesis, where it is associated with the water-oxidizing complex of photosystem II, which catalyzes the photosynthetic  $O_2$  evolution (Mukhopadhyay and Sharma 1991; Millaleo et al. 2013). Moreover, Mn is an important cofactor of several enzymes, e.g., manganese-dependent superoxide dismutase (MnSOD), catalases, glycotransferases, pyruvate carboxylase, nitrate reductase and is involved in amino acid and lignin synthesis (Marschner 1995; Pedas et al. 2005; Humphries et al. 2006; Pittman 2008). Mn is taken up by the plant root as  $Mn^{2+}$ , which availability is strongly affected by the pH of the soil (Humphries et al. 2006; Socha and Guerinot 2014). In alkaline soils (high pH) Mn availability to plants may be low and deficiency may occur, whereas in in acidic soils (low pH) excessive availability may result in toxicity (Humphries et al. 2006;

M.I. Neves • D.H. Prajapati • L.J. De Kok (🖂)

Laboratory of Plant Physiology, Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 11103, 9700 CC Groningen, The Netherlands e-mail: l.j.de.kok@rug.nl

S. Parmar • M.J. Hawkesford

Plant Biology and Crop Science Department, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, Hertfordshire AL5 2JQ, UK

T.A. Aghajanzadeh Department of Biology, Faculty of Basic Science, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

L.J. De Kok et al. (eds.), *Sulfur Metabolism in Higher Plants - Fundamental, Environmental and Agricultural Aspects*, Proceedings of the International Plant Sulfur Workshop, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-56526-2\_15

Mundus et al. 2012). Little is known about manganese transporters in plants, though the iron (Fe<sup>2+</sup>), zinc (Zn<sup>2+</sup>) and calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) family transporters seem to be the most probable candidates (Socha and Guerinot 2014). In the xylem, Mn is transported as  $Mn^{2+}$  ion or as complex with citrate or malate; in the shoot high levels of Mn may accumulate in the vacuole (Pittman 2005). The physiological basis for Mn toxicity is largely unclear. Differential tolerance of plants to manganese cannot solely be explained by a restricted Mn<sup>2+</sup> uptake and transport to the shoot but additionally by intrinsic strategies that enhance cellular accumulation capacity (Foy et al. 1978). Sequestration into the vacuole, activity of antioxidant enzymes and formation of chelation complexes in the cytosol are some of the strategies proposed to promote toxic metal tolerance (Pittman 2005). Sulfur metabolites play a role in the detoxification of potential toxic metals (Yaday 2010). Complexation of sulfur compounds (e.g., cysteine, phytochelatins, metallothioneins) with toxic metal ions as a mechanism to overcome their toxicity is widely described for different elements and plant species (Ernst et al. 2008; Yadav 2010; Leitenmaier and Küpper 2013). Other sulfur metabolites, such as glutathione, are also crucial for antioxidant protection against reactive oxygen species, of which levels might be induced upon toxic metal stress (Na and Salt 2011). Some species capable of high manganese accumulation, such as *Phytolacca americana*, show a positive relationship between sulfur and manganese (Peng et al. 2008; Yadav 2010). Moreover, it has been observed that toxic metals, e.g., Cu, Zn may directly induce changes in sulfur uptake by affecting the activity of the sulfate transporters and affect the regulation of enzymes involved in S assimilation and activity of sulfate transporters (Nocito et al. 2002; Sun et al. 2007; Schiavon et al. 2008; Shahbaz et al. 2010, 2013; Na and Salt 2011; Stuiver et al. 2014). All changes induced in sulfur status of the plant could be linked a toxic metal-induced change in activity of the sulfate transporters (Yoshimoto et al. 2002; Sun et al. 2007; Stuiver et al. 2014). Brassica species have high sulfur requirements for growth (Ernst 2000) and are generally considered to be susceptible to Mn toxicity (Foy et al. 1978; Humphries et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2011). In the current paper the interaction between Mn and sulfur metabolism was studied in the Brassica rapa.

*Brassica. rapa* var. perviridis (Komatsuna) seeds were germinated in vermiculite and were subsequently transferred to an aerated 25% Hoagland nutrient solution, containing supplemental concentrations of 0, 10, 20, 50 and 100  $\mu$ M MnCl<sub>2</sub> (pH 5.9) in 30 l plastic containers (20 sets of plants per container, three plants per set) in a climate-controlled room for 10 days. Day and night temperatures were 21 and 18 °C (±1 °C), respectively, relative humidity was 70–80%. The photoperiod was 14 h at a photon fluence rate of 300 ± 20 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (400–700 nm) at plant height, supplied by Philips GreenPower LED (deep red/white 120) production modules. After 10 days of Mn<sup>2+</sup> exposure, plants were harvested 3 h after the start of the light period and shoots and roots separated and weighed. Shoot and root biomass production was calculated by subtracting pre-exposure weight from that after Mn<sup>2+</sup> exposure. Shoot/root ratio was calculated from the shoot and root fresh weight after the exposure. For the determination of pigments and anions, plant material was frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub> immediately after harvest and stored at -80 °C. For analysis of water-soluble non-protein thiols freshly harvested material was used. Chlorophyll a + b content, chlorophyll a fluorescence and the content of sulfate, nitrate, water-soluble non-protein thiol content, free amino acid and the Mn and S mineral nutrient content were determined as described by Shahbaz et al. (2010) and Stuiver et al. (2014). Total RNA was isolated from shoots and roots of *B. rapa* plants as described by Aghajanzadeh et al. (2014). The full length sequences of sulfur transporter genes are found under the following accession numbers: Sulfur transporter 1.1 (Sultr1;1 XM009128953), Sulfur transporter 1.2 (Sultr1;1 XM009108197, XM009108195 and XM009108196). Transcription was determined by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR; see Reich et al. 2017). Statistical analysis of the results was performed using unpaired Student's t-test. Different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.01 between different treatments.

Exposure of *B. rapa* to elevated levels of  $Mn^{2+}$  in the nutrient solution resulted in decreased biomass production at  $\geq 20 \ \mu M$  (Table 1). Shoot growth was relatively slightly more affected upon  $Mn^{2+}$  exposure than root growth resulting in a decrease in shoot to root ratio.  $Mn^{2+}$  exposure also resulted in a substantial increase in dry

	$Mn^{2+}$ concentration ( $\mu$ M)							
	0	10	20	50	100			
Shoot								
Biomass production (g FW)	$0.97 \pm 0.18c$	$0.85 \pm 0.11c$	$0.73 \pm 0.19$ bc	$0.59 \pm 0.14b$	$0.32 \pm 0.06a$			
Dry matter content (%)	7.7 ± 0.3a	$7.9 \pm 0.3a$	8.3 ± 0.3ab	$8.9 \pm 0.7$ bc	$9.4 \pm 0.4c$			
Chl a + b (mg $g^{-1}$ FW)	$0.69 \pm 0.04b$	$0.61 \pm 0.05b$	$0.54 \pm 0.13$ ab	$0.52 \pm 0.09a$	$0.47 \pm 0.05a$			
Chl a/b	$2.4 \pm 0.2a$	$2.6\pm0.1a$	$2.5\pm0.3a$	$2.2 \pm 0.4a$	$2.7\pm0.3a$			
Chl a + b/Car	$3.0\pm0.0a$	$2.9\pm0.1$ ab	$2.9\pm0.2$ ab	$2.9\pm0.2$ ab	$2.8\pm0.1b$			
F <sub>v</sub> /F <sub>m</sub>	$0.81\pm0.03a$	$0.81\pm0.05a$	$0.82 \pm 0.04a$	$0.80\pm0.05a$	$0.82\pm0.05a$			
Manganese (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> DW)	3.3 ± 0.2a	$9.8 \pm 0.6b$	$16.4 \pm 1.1c$	$30.5 \pm 0.4 d$	$53.6 \pm 4.7e$			
Sulfur (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> DW)	241 ± 21a	277 ± 7a	$265 \pm 7a$	$289 \pm 25ab$	$300 \pm 10b$			
Sulfate (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	$14 \pm 2a$	13 ± 1a	$15 \pm 4a$	$26 \pm 3b$	$34 \pm 4b$			
Thiols (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	$0.56 \pm 0.01a$	$0.57\pm0.09a$	$0.56 \pm 0.05a$	$0.59 \pm 0.04a$	$0.60 \pm 0.06a$			
Nitrate (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	84 ± 7a	$105 \pm 1b$	$102 \pm 4b$	$85 \pm 3a$	$85 \pm 0a$			
Amino acids ( $\mu$ mol g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	$14 \pm 1a$	$14 \pm 2a$	$15 \pm 1a$	$15 \pm 2a$	$16 \pm 2a$			

**Table 1** Impact of  $Mn^{2+}$  exposure on biomass production, dry matter content, chlorophyll afluorescence and contents of pigments, nitrate and sulfate, amino acids and water-soluble non-proteinthiols of *Brassica rapa* 

(continued)

	$Mn^{2+}$ concentration ( $\mu M$ )							
	0	10	20	50	100			
Root								
Biomass production (g FW)	$0.17 \pm 0.03 c$	$0.18 \pm 0.03 c$	$0.16 \pm 0.05 bc$	$0.12 \pm 0.04b$	$0.07 \pm 0.02a$			
Dry matter content (%)	$6.5 \pm 0.3a$	$7.1 \pm 0.4a$	$7.0 \pm 0.5a$	7.9 ± 1.1ab	$8.6 \pm 0.5b$			
Manganese $(\mu mol g^{-1} DW)$	49 ± 5a	$162 \pm 6b$	$168 \pm 75b$	$180 \pm 53b$	154 ± 19b			
Sulfur (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> DW)	$241 \pm 21a$	277 ± 7a	265 ± 7a	$289 \pm 25ab$	$300 \pm 10b$			
Sulfate ( $\mu$ mol g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	$28 \pm 2a$	$23 \pm 2a$	$27 \pm 2a$	$25 \pm 4a$	$22 \pm 2a$			
Thiols (µmol g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	$0.42 \pm 0.03a$	$0.41 \pm 0.08a$	$0.44 \pm 0.02a$	$0.45 \pm 0.06a$	$0.53 \pm 0.06a$			
Nitrate ( $\mu$ mol g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	$44 \pm 5a$	$39 \pm 2a$	48 ± 3b	$43 \pm 4ab$	$42 \pm 3a$			
Amino acids ( $\mu$ mol g <sup>-1</sup> FW)	$15 \pm 1a$	$15 \pm 2ab$	$18 \pm 2ab$	$18 \pm 1b$	$17 \pm 2ab$			
Plant								
Shoot/root ratio	$5.6 \pm 0.4a$	$4.7 \pm 0.3b$	$4.5 \pm 0.7b$	$4.9 \pm 0.9b$	$4.4 \pm 0.8b$			

Table 1 (continued)

Ten day-old seedlings were grown on a 25% Hoagland nutrient solution containing supplemental concentrations of 0, 10, 20, 50 and 100  $\mu$ M MnCl<sub>2</sub>. The initial shoot and root fresh weights were 0.100  $\pm$  0.01 g and 0.040  $\pm$  0.01 g, respectively. Data on biomass production, dry matter, pigment and amino acid content represent the mean of two independent experiments, with a total of 12, 6, 6, and 6 measurements with 3 plants in each, respectively ( $\pm$  SD). Data on chlorophyll a fluorescence represents the mean of 10 measurements ( $\pm$  SD). Data on nitrate, sulfate and water-soluble non-protein thiol content represent the mean of 3 measurements with 3 plants in each ( $\pm$  SD). Different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.01 between different treatments

matter content of both root and shoot at 100  $\mu$ M. Mn<sup>2+</sup> exposure resulted in interveinal chlorosis (especially of the younger leaves) at  $\geq$ 50  $\mu$ M, however the chlorophyll a/b and the chlorophyll/carotenoid ratios were hardly affected (Table 1). Chlorophyll a fluorescence (measured as the quantum yield of the photosynthetic system II photochemistry,  $F_v/F_m$  ratio) was not affected upon Mn<sup>2+</sup> exposure, even at toxic levels (Table 1). The Mn content in the shoot increased with the Mn<sup>2+</sup> concentration in the nutrient solution (Table 1). However, in the root there was a strong increase in the Mn content at 10  $\mu$ M Mn<sup>2+</sup>, which hardly increased further at higher Mn<sup>2+</sup> concentrations. This increase was markedly higher in the shoot than in the root (Table 1). Evidently, Mn became toxic and reduced the biomass production when the content in the shoot was  $\geq$ 16  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight and exceeded four-fold of that of the control. Exposure of plants to toxic Mn<sup>2+</sup> levels hardly affected the content of other essential mineral nutrients in both root and shoot (data not presented); there was only a 30% and 19% decrease at 100  $\mu$ M in the K content of the root and shoot, respectively. Moreover, the Zn content increased



Fig. 1 Impact of  $Mn^{2+}$  exposure on the transcript levels of Sultr1;1 and Sultr1;2 in the root of *B. rapa*. For experimental details, see legends of Table 1. Relative gene expression of these genes was determined by qRT-PCR and the mRNA levels were compared to actin. Data on relative expression represent the mean of 3 measurements with 3 plants in each (±SD). *Different letters* indicate significant differences at P < 0.01% between different treatments

two-fold in both root and shoot; a similar increase in the Cu content was observed in the root.  $Mn^{2+}$  hardly affected the nitrate and free amino acid content of the plants (Table 1). The total sulfur and sulfur metabolite contents of *B. rapa* were only slightly affected at toxic  $Mn^{2+}$  levels. There was only a 1.25-fold increase in sulfur content in the shoot at 100  $\mu$ M  $Mn^{2+}$ , which could be attributed to an increase of the sulfate content.  $Mn^{2+}$  exposure did not affect the total sulfur and sulfate content of the root and the water-soluble non-protein thiols in both root and shoot (Table 1). The sulfate transporters Sultr1;1 and Sultr1;2 are involved in the primary uptake of sulfate by the roots, though the transcript level of Sultr1;2 in the roots of *B. rapa* was 30-fold higher than that of Sultr1;1 (Fig. 1). The expression of these sulfate transporters were not affected upon  $Mn^{2+}$  exposure.

Similar to other essential potentially toxic metals, viz. Cu and Zn, exposure of B. rapa to elevated  $Mn^{2+}$  levels in the nutrient solution resulted in a strong accumulation of the metal in both root and shoot, resulting in decreased plant biomass production and chlororis of the shoot. B. rapa was much less susceptible to Mn than *B. pekinenis* to Cu and Zn toxicity:  $Mn^{2+}$  became toxic at  $\geq 20 \ \mu M$ , whereas  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  already affected plant biomass production at >2  $\mu$ M (Shahbaz et al. 2010, 2013, 2014; Stuiver et al. 2014). The decrease in biomass production due to toxic metal exposure was accompanied or even preceded by a decrease in pigment content (Foy et al. 1978, Shahbaz et al. 2010, 2013; Stuiver et al. 2014), although chlorophyll a fluorescence upon  $Cu^{2+}$  (Shahbaz et al. 2010) and Mn<sup>2+</sup> exposure remained unaffected, which indicated that development rather than chloroplast functioning was negatively affected. High Mn levels also reduced the pigment content in tobacco (Clairmont et al. 1986), mungbean (Sinha et al. 2002), Chinese cabbage (Lee et al. 2011), spearmint (Asrar et al. 2005), tomato (Shenker et al. 2004) by affecting the chlorophyll, carotenoid and flavonoid biosynthesis (Clairmont et al. 1986; González and López 2013).

Exposure of *B. pekinensis* to elevated levels of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  in the nutrient solution substantially affected the uptake, distribution and metabolism of sulfur (Shahbaz et al. 2010, 2013, 2014; Stuiver et al. 2014).  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  exposure resulted in an up-regulation of the activity of sulfate transporters and expression of the Group 1 sulfate transporters, viz. Sultr1;2, which are involved in the uptake of sulfate by the root in Brassica species (Shahbaz et al. 2010, 2013, 2014; Stuiver et al. 2014). The up-regulation of the sulfate transporters was most likely not due to a higher plant sulfur requirement upon  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  exposure, since it was accompanied by a substantial increase in the sulfate content of the shoot (Shahbaz et al. 2010, 2013, 2014; Stuiver et al. 2014). It was presumed that the up-regulation of the sulfate transporters was the consequence of a direct interference of these metal ions with the signal transduction pathway resulting in a disturbed regulation of the transporters (Shabaz et al. 2014; Stuiver et al. 2014). However, exposure of B. rapa to elevated  $Mn^{2+}$  levels did not affect the transcript levels of the sulfate transporters Sultr1;1 and Sultr1;2 in the root, despite a slight increase in the sulfate content in the shoot. The impact of elevated Mn<sup>2+</sup> levels was also measured in B. juncea and all results on growth, pigment content and metabolite content were quite similar to that in B. rapa, with the exception that Mn toxicity was not accompanied with higher sulfur and sulfate contents in the shoot, and that it was even slightly decreased (data not presented). Apparently, in contrast to Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Zn  $^{2+}$ , Mn<sup>2+</sup> exposure did not interfere with the signaling of the regulation of the sulfate transporters. In general under normal conditions glutathione is the major watersoluble non-protein thiol compound present in plant tissues. Exposure of B. pekinensis to  $Cu^+$  (Shahbaz et al. 2010, 2013, 2014) and  $Zn^{2+}$  (Stuiver et al. 2014) resulted a strong increase in the water-soluble non-protein thiol content of the root and to a lesser extent in the shoot. This increase could partially be ascribed to an increase in phytochelatins (Shahbaz et al. 2010) and cysteine (Stuiver et al. 2014). An increase in water-soluble non-protein thiols (e.g., cysteine and glutathione) is expected as a defense mechanism against heavy metal toxicity (Leitenmaier and Küpper 2013). Moreover, cysteine and glutathione are the precursors for the synthesis of phytochelatins, which may complex with metals and increase toxic metal tolerance (Ernst et al. 2008). However, Mn<sup>2+</sup> exposure did not affect the water-soluble non-protein content of both root and shoot of *B*. *rapa* (and *B*. *juncea*. data not presented). Apparently, an exposure of B. rapa to elevated and toxic  $Mn^{2+}$ levels did not trigger the synthesis of thiols (e.g. cysteine, glutathione and/or phytochelatins).

In conclusion, in contrast to  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$ , elevated and toxic  $Mn^{2+}$  levels in the root environment hardly affected the uptake and metabolism of sulfate in *Brassica*.

Acknowledgement Rothamsted Research is supported via the 20:20 Wheat® Programme by the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council.

## References

- Aghajanzadeh T, Hawkesford MJ, De Kok LJ (2014) The significance of glucosinolates for sulfur storage in Brassicaceae seedlings. Front Plant Sci 5:704
- Asrar Z, Khavari-Nejad RA, Heidari H (2005) Excess manganese effects on pigments of *Mentha spicata* at flowering stage. Arch Agron Soil Sci 51:101–107
- Clairmont KB, Hagar WG, Davis EA (1986) Manganese toxicity to chlorophyll synthesis in tobacco callus. Plant Physiol 80:291–293
- Ernst WHO (2000) Agriculture aspects of sulfur. In: Lens P, Pol LH (eds) Environmental technologies to treat sulfur pollution. IWA Publishing, London, pp 355–376
- Ernst WHO, Krauss G-J, Verkleij JAC, Wesenberg D (2008) Interaction of heavy metals with the sulphur metabolism in angiosperms from an ecological point of view. Plant Cell Environ 31:123–143
- Foy CD, Chaney RL, White MC (1978) The physiology of metal toxicity in plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol 29:511–566
- González MB, López JG (2013) Beneficial plant-microbial interactions: ecology and applications. CRC Press, London
- Humphries JM, Stangoulis CR, Graham Robin D (2006) Manganese. In: Allen V, Barker DJP (eds) Handbook of plant nutrition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp 351–374
- Kováčik J, Štêrbova D, Babula P, Švec P, Hedbavny J (2014) Toxicity of naturally-contaminated manganese soil to selected crops. J Agric Food Chem 62:7287–7296
- Lee TJ, Luitel BP, Kang WH (2011) Growth and physiological response to manganese toxicity in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L. ssp campestris). Hortic Environ Biotechnol 52:252–258
- Leitenmaier B, Küpper H (2013) Compartmentation and complexation of metals in hyperaccumulator plants. Front Plant Sci 4:374
- Marschner H (1995) Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic, London
- Millaleo R, Reyes-Diaz M, Alberdi M, Ivanov AG, Krol M, Huner NP (2013) Excess manganese differentially inhibits photosystem I versus II in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot 64:343–354
- Mukhopadhyay MJ, Sharma A (1991) Manganese in cell metabolism of higher plants. Bot Rev 57:117–149
- Mundus S, Lombi E, Holm PE, Zhang H, Husted S (2012) Assessing the plant availability of manganese in soils using Diffusive Gradients in Thin films (DGT). Geoderma 183-184:92–99
- Na G, Salt DE (2011) The role of sulfur assimilation and sulfur-containing compounds in trace element homeostasis in plants. Environ Exp Bot 72:18–25
- Nocito FF, Pirovano L, Cocucci M, Sacchi GA (2002) Cadmium-induced sulfate uptake in maize roots. Plant Physiol 129:1872–1879
- Pedas P, Hebbern CA, Schjoerring JK, Holm PE, Husted S (2005) Differential capacity for highaffinity manganese uptake contributes to differences between barley genotypes in tolerance to low manganese availability. Plant Physiol 139:1411–1420
- Peng K, Luo C, You W, Lian C, Li X, Shen Z (2008) Manganese uptake and interactions with cadmium in the hyperaccumulator *Phytolacca americana* L. J Hazard Mater 154:674–681
- Pittman JK (2005) Managing the manganese: molecular mechanisms of manganese transport and homeostasis. New Phytol 167:733–742
- Pittman JK (2008) Mechanisms of manganese accumulation and transport. In: Jaiwal PK, Singh RP, Dhankher OP (eds) Plant membrane and vacuolar transporters, 1st edn. CABI, Wallingford/Cambridge, pp 173–204
- Reich M, Aghajanzadeh T, Helm J, Parmar S, Hawkesford MJ, De Kok LJ (2017) Chloride and sulfate salinity differently affect biomass, mineral nutrient composition and expression of sulfate transport and assimilation genes in *Brassica rapa*. Plant Soil 411:319–332
- Sadana US, Samal D, Claassen N (2003) Differences in manganese efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L*) and raya (*Brassica juncea L*) as related to root-shoot relations and manganese influx. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 166:385–389

- Schiavon M, Pilon-Smits EA, Wirtz M, Hell R, Malagoli M (2008) Interactions between chromium and sulfur metabolism in *Brassica juncea*. J Environ Qual 37:1536–1545
- Shahbaz M, Tseng MH, Stuiver CEE, Koralewska A, Posthumus FS, Venema JH, Parmar S, Schat H, Hawkesford MJ, De Kok LJ (2010) Copper exposure interferes with the regulation of the uptake, distribution and metabolism of sulfate in Chinese cabbage. J Plant Physiol 167:438–446
- Shahbaz M, Parmar S, Stuiver CEE, Hawkesford MJ, De Kok LJ (2013) Copper toxicity and sulfur metabolism in Chinese cabbage are affected by UV radiation. Environ Exp Bot 88:60–70
- Shahbaz M, Stuiver CEE, Posthumus FS, Parmar S, Hawkesford MJ, De Kok LJ (2014) Copper toxicity in Chinese cabbage is not influenced by plant sulphur status, but affects sulphur metabolism-related gene expression and the suggested regulatory metabolites. Plant Biol 16:68–78
- Shenker M, Plessner OE, Tel-Or E (2004) Manganese nutrition effects on tomato growth, chlorophyll concentration, and superoxide dismutase activity. J. Plant Physiol 161:197–202
- Sinha S, Mukherji S, Dutta J (2002) Effect of manganese toxicity on pigment content, Hill activity and photosynthetic rate of Vigna radiata L. Wilczek seedlings. J Environ Biol 23:253–257
- Socha AL, Guerinot ML (2014) Mn-euvering manganese: the role of transporter gene family members in manganese uptake and mobilization in plants. Front Plant Sci 5:106
- Stuiver CEE, Posthumus FS, Parmar S, Shahbaz M, Hawkesford MJ, De Kok LJ (2014) Zinc exposure has differential effects on uptake and metabolism of sulfur and nitrogen in Chinese cabbage. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 177:748–757
- Sun XM, Lu B, Huang SQ, Mehta SK, Xu LL, Yang ZM (2007) Coordinated expression of sulfate transporters and its relation with sulfur metabolites in *Brassica napus* exposed to cadmium. Bot Stud 48:43–54
- Yadav SK (2010) Heavy metals toxicity in plants: an overview on the role of glutathione and phytochelatins in heavy metal stress tolerance of plants. S Afr J Bot 76:167–179
- Yoshimoto N, Takahashi H, Smith FW, Yamaya T, Saito K (2002) Two distinct high-affinity sulfate transporters with different inducibilities mediate uptake of sulfate in Arabidopsis roots. Plant J 29:465–473