Overview of the NLPCC-ICCPOL 2016 Shared Task: Open Domain Chinese Question Answering

Nan Duan^(III)

Microsoft Research Asia, Beijing, China nanduan@microsoft.com

Abstract. In this paper, we give the overview of the open domain Question Answering (or open domain QA) shared task in the NLPCC-ICCPOL 2016. We first review the background of QA, and then describe two open domain Chinese QA tasks in this year's NLPCC-ICCPOL, including the construction of the benchmark datasets and the evaluation metrics. The evaluation results of submissions from participating teams are presented in the experimental part.

Keywords: Question Answering · Knowledge-based QA Document-based QA

1 Background

Question Answering (or QA) is a fundamental task in Artificial Intelligence, whose goal is to build a system that can automatically answer natural language questions. In the last decade, the development of QA techniques has been greatly promoted by both academic field and industry field.

In the academic field, with the rise of large scale curated knowledge bases, like Yago, Satori, Freebase, etc., more and more researchers pay their attentions to the knowledge-based QA (or KBQA) task, such as semantic parsing-based approaches [1–7] and information retrieval-based approaches [8–16]. Besides KBQA, researchers are interested in document-based QA (or DBQA) as well, whose goal is to select answers from a set of given documents and use them as responses to natural language questions. Usually, information retrieval-based approaches [18–22] are used for the DBQA task.

In the industry field, many influential QA-related products have been built, such as IBM Watson, Apple Siri, Google Now, Facebook Graph Search, Microsoft Cortana and XiaoIce etc. These kinds of systems are immerging into every user's life who is using mobile devices.

Under such circumstance, in this year's NLPCC-ICCPOL shared task, we call the open domain QA task that cover both KBQA and DBQA tasks. Our motivations are two-folds:

- 1. We expect this activity can enhance the progress of QA research, esp. for Chinese;
- 2. We encourage more QA researchers to share their experiences, techniques, and progress.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 1 describes two open domain Chinese QA tasks. In Sect. 2, we describe the benchmark datasets constructed. Section 3 describes evaluation metrics, and Sect. 4 presents the evaluation results of different submissions. We conclude the paper in Sect. 5, and point out our plan on future QA evaluation activities.

2 Task Description

The NLPCC-ICCPOL 2016 open domain QA shared task includes two QA tasks for Chinese language: knowledge-based QA (KBQA) task and document-based QA (DBQA) task.

2.1 KBQA Task

Given a question, a KBQA system built by each participating team should select one or more entities as answers from a given knowledge base (KB). The datasets for this task include:

• A Chinese KB. It includes knowledge triples crawled from the web. Each knowledge triple has the form: <Subject, Predicate, Object>, where 'Subject' denotes a subject entity, 'Predicate' denotes a relation, and 'Object' denotes an object entity. A sample of knowledge triples is given in Fig. 1, and the statistics of the Chinese KB is given in Table 1.

新还珠格格	entity.primaryName 新还珠格格
新还珠格格	中文名 新还珠格格
新还珠格格	外文名 New my fair Princess
新还珠格格 111	出品时间 2011年和2014年
新还珠格格 111	出品公司 上海创翊文化传播有限公司
新还珠格格 111	制片地区 111 中国大陆,中国台湾
新还珠格格 111	拍摄地点 横店影视城
新还珠格格	发行公司 111 上海创翊文化传播有限公司
新还珠格格	首播时间 2011年7月16日
新还珠格格	● 导演 李平, 丁仰国
新还珠格格	编剧 琼瑶,黄素媛
新还珠格格	主演 李晟,海陆,张睿,李佳航,潘杰明,赵丽颖,邱心志,邓萃雯,刘雪华
新还珠格格	集数 总共98集→第一部1至37集→第二部37至74集→第三部74至98集
新还珠格格	每集长度 前三部: 45分钟 第四部: 48分钟
新还珠格格	类型 古装,爱情,励志,喜剧
新还珠格格	上映时间 前三部: 2011年07月16日至2011年9月8日第四部: 2016年暑期档
新还珠格格	在线播放平台 芒果TV,PPTV,暴风影音,优酷,搜狐。
新还珠格格	总策划 杨文红,苏晓
新还珠格格	
新还珠格格	总监制 魏文彬
新还珠格格	entity.description 《新还珠格格》翻拍自琼瑶经典之作《还珠格格》,由李晟、海

Fig. 1. An example of the Chinese KB.

Table 1.	Statistics	of the	Chinese KB.	
----------	------------	--------	-------------	--

# of subject entities	8,721,640
# of triples	47,943,429
# of averaged triples per subject entity	5.5

• A training set and a testing set. We assign a set of knowledge triples sampled from the Chinese KB to human annotators. For each knowledge triple, a human annotator will write down a natural language question, whose answer should be the object entity of the current knowledge triple. The statistic of labeled QA pairs and an annotation example are given in Table 2:

# of labeled Q-A pairs (training set)	led Q-A pairs (training set) 14,609		
# of labeled Q-A pairs (testing set)	9,870		
An example	Triple	<微软, 创始人, 比尔盖茨>	
	Labeled question	微软公司的创始人是谁?	
	Golden answer	比尔盖茨	

Table 2. Statistics of the KBQA datasets.

In KBQA task, any data resource can be used to train necessary models, such as entity linking, semantic parsing, etc., but answer entities should come from the provided KB only.

2.2 DBQA Task

Given a question and its corresponding document, a DBQA system built by each participating team should select one or more sentences as answers from the document. The datasets for this task include:

• A training set and a testing set. We assign a set of documents to human annotators. For each document, a human annotator will (1) first, select a sentence from the document, and (2) then, write down a natural language question, whose answer should be the selected sentence. The statistic of labeled QA pairs and an annotation example are given in Table 3:

# of Labeled Q-A Pairs (training set)	14,609		
# of Labeled Q-A Pairs (testing set)	9,870		
An Example	俄罗斯贝加尔湖的面积有多大? \t 贝加尔湖, 中国古代纳为北海, 位于俄罗斯西伯利加的南部。\t 0 俄罗斯贝加尔湖的面积有多大? \t 贝加尔湖贝世界上最深, 容量最大的淡水湖, \t 0 俄罗斯贝加尔湖的面积有多大? \t 贝加尔湖贝加尔湖昆世界上最深和盛水量最大的淡水湖, \t 0 俄罗斯贝加尔湖的面积有多大? \t 它位于布里亚特共和国(Buryatiya)和伊尔萍茨克州(Irkutsk) 境内。\t 0 俄罗斯贝加尔湖的面积有多大? \t 滤塑感长弯曲, 宛如一弯新月, 所以又有"月亮胡"之称。\t 0 俄罗斯贝加尔湖的面积有多大? \t 湖图感长弯曲, 宛如一弯新月, 所以又有"月亮胡"之称。\t 0 俄罗斯贝加尔湖的面积有多大? \t 湖图感长弯曲, 宛如一弯新月, 所以又有"月亮胡"之称。\t 0		

Table 3. Statistics of the DBQA datasets.

As shown in the example in Table 3, a question (the 1^{st} column), question's corresponding document sentences (the 2^{nd} column), and their answer annotations

(the 3^{rd} column) are provided. If a document sentence is the correct answer of the question, its annotation will be 1, otherwise its annotation will be 0. The three columns will be separated by the symbol '\t'.

In DBQA task, any data resource can be used to train necessary models, such as paraphrasing model, sentence matching model, etc., but answer sentences should come from the provided documents only.

3 Evaluation Metrics

The quality of a KBQA system is evaluated by **Averaged F1**, and the quality of a DBQA system is evaluated by **MRR**, **MAP**, and **ACC@1**.

Averaged F1

Averaged
$$F1 = \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{i=1}^{|Q|} F_i$$

 F_i denotes the F1 score for question Q_i computed based on C_i and A_i . F_i is set to 0 if C_i is empty or doesn't overlap with A_i . Otherwise, F_i is computed as follows:

$$F_{i} = \frac{2 \cdot \frac{\#(C_{i},A_{i})}{|C_{i}|} \cdot \frac{\#(C_{i},A_{i})}{|A_{i}|}}{\frac{\#(C_{i},A_{i})}{|C_{i}|} + \frac{\#(C_{i},A_{i})}{|A_{i}|}}$$

where $\#(C_i, A_i)$ denotes the number of answers occur in both C_i and A_i . $|C_i|$ and $|A_i|$ denote the number of answers in C_i and A_i respectively.

• MRR

$$MRR = \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{i=1}^{|Q|} \frac{1}{rank_i}$$

|Q| denotes the total number of questions in the evaluation set, $rank_i$ denotes the position of the first correct answer in the generated answer set C_i for the *i*th question Q_i . If C_i doesn't overlap with the golden answers A_i for Q_i , $\frac{1}{rank_i}$ is set to 0.

• MAP

$$MAP = \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{i=1}^{|Q|} AveP(C_i, A_i)$$

 $AveP(C,A) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (P(k) \cdot rel(k))}{min(m,n)}$ denotes the average precision. k is the rank in the sequence of retrieved answer sentences. m is the number of correct answer sentences.

n is the number of retrieved answer sentences. If min(m, n) is 0, AveP(C, A) is set to 0. P(k) is the precision at cut-off *k* in the list. rel(k) is an indicator function equaling 1 if the item at rank *k* is an answer sentence, and 0 otherwise.

• ACC@N

Accuracy@N =
$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{i=1}^{|Q|} \delta(C_i, A_i)$$

 $\delta(C_i, A_i)$ equals to 1 when there is at least one answer contained by C_i occurs in A_i , and 0 otherwise.

4 Evaluation Results

There are totally 99 teams registered for the above two Chinese QA task, and 39 teams submitted their results. Tables 4 and 5 lists the evaluation results of KBQA and DBQA tasks respectively.

	Averaged F1	Rank (by averaged F1)	
Team 1	0.8247	1	
Team 2	0.8159	2	
Team 3	0.7957	3	
Team 4	0.7914	4	
Team 5	0.7272	5	
Team 6	0.7251	6	
Team 7	0.7022	7	
Team 8	0.6956	8	
Team 9	0.6809	9	
Team 10	0.5537	10	
Team 11	0.5237	11	
Team 12	0.5119	12	
Team 13	0.4923	13	
Team 14	0.3808	14	
Team 15	0.3584	15	
Team 16	0.0015	16	
Team 17	0.0005	17	
Below are results of LATE submissions			
Team 18	0.6234	-	
Team 19	0.5930	-	
Team 20	0.3172	-	
Team 21	0.0044	-	

Table 4. Evaluation results of the KBQA task.

	MRR	MAP	ACC@1	Rank (by MRR)
Team 1	0.8592	0.8586	0.7906	1
Team 2	0.8269	0.8263	0.7385	2
Team 3	0.8120	0.8111	0.7144	3
Team 4	0.8114	0.8105	0.7135	4
Team 5	0.8005	0.8008	0.7139	5
Team 6	0.7811	0.7804	0.6659	6
Team 7	0.7612	0.7607	0.6640	7
Team 8	0.7593	0.7588	0.6373	8
Team 9	0.7526	0.7519	0.6390	9
Team 10	0.7428	0.7422	0.6287	10
Team 11	0.7051	0.7047	0.5907	11
Team 12	0.6932	0.6925	0.5731	12
Team 13	0.6605	0.6576	0.5912	13
Team 14	0.6412	0.6409	0.5145	14
Team 15	0.6392	0.6386	0.5045	15
Team 16	0.6123	0.6120	0.4628	16
Team 17	0.5873	0.5864	0.4430	17
Team 18	0.5840	0.5834	0.4042	18

Table 5. Evaluation results of the DBQA task.

5 Conclusion

This paper briefly introduces the overview of this year's two open domain Chinese QA shared tasks. Comparing to last year's results (19 teams registered and only 3 teams submitted final submissions), in this year, we have 99 teams registered and 39 teams submitted final submissions, which has been a great progress for the Chinese QA community. In the future, we plan to provide more QA datasets and call for new QA tasks for Chinese. Besides, we plan to extend the QA tasks from Chinese to English as well.

References

- 1. Wang, Y., Berant, J., Liang, P.: Building a semantic parser overnight. In: ACL (2015)
- Pasupat, P., Liang, P.: Compositional semantic parsing on semi-structured tables. In: ACL (2015)
- 3. Pasupat, P., Liang, P.: Zero-shot entity extraction from web pages. In: ACL (2014)
- 4. Bao, J., Duan, N., Zhou, M., Zhao, T.: Knowledge-based question answering as machine translation. In: ACL (2014)
- 5. Yang, M.-C., Duan, N., Zhou, M., Rim, H.-C.: Joint relational embeddings for knowledge-based question answering. In: EMNLP (2014)
- 6. Berant, J., Chou, A., Frostig, R., Liang, P.: Semantic parsing on freebase from question-answer pairs. In: EMNLP (2013)

- 7. Kwiatkowski, T., Choi, E., Artzi, Y., Zettlemoyer, L.: Scaling semantic parsers with on-the-fly ontology matching. In: EMNLP (2013)
- 8. Bordes, A., Usunier, N., Chopra, S., Weston, J.: Large-scale simple question answering with memory network. In: ICLR (2015)
- 9. Weston, J., Bordes, A., Chopra, S., Mikolov, T.: Towards AI-complete question answering: a set of prerequisite toy tasks, arXiv (2015)
- Dong, L., Wei, F., Zhou, M., Xu, K.: Question answering over freebase with multi-column convolutional neural networks. In: ACL (2015)
- 11. Yih, W.-T., Chang, M.-W., He, X., Gao, J.: Semantic parsing via staged query graph generation: question answering with knowledge base. In: ACL (2015)
- 12. Yao, X.: Lean question answering over freebase from scratch. In: NAACL (2015)
- 13. Berant, J., Liang, P.: Semantic parsing via paraphrasing. In: ACL (2014)
- 14. Yao, X., Van Durme, B.: Information extraction over structured data: question answering with freebase. In: ACL (2014)
- 15. Bordes, A., Weston, J., Chopra, S.: Question answering with subgraph embeddings. In: EMNLP (2014)
- 16. Bordes, A., Weston, J., Usunier, N.: Open question answering with weakly supervised embedding models. In: ECML-PKDD (2014)
- 17. Yang, Y., Yih, W.-T., Meek, C.: WIKIQA: a challenge dataset for open-domain question answering. In: EMNLP (2015)
- 18. Miao, Y., Yu, L., Blunsom, P.: Neural variational inference for text processing, arXiv (2015)
- 19. Wang, D., Nyberg, E.: A long short term memory model for answer sentence selection in question answering. In: ACL (2015)
- 20. Yin, W., Schütze, H., Xiang, B., Zhou, B.: ABCNN: attention-based convolutional neural network for modeling sentence pairs. In: ACL (2016)
- 21. Yu, L., Hermann, K.M., Blunsom, P., Pullman, S.: Deep learning for answer sentence selection. In: NIPS Workshop (2014)
- 22. Yan, Z., Duan, N., Bao, J., Chen, P., Zhou, M., Li, Z., Zhou, J.: DocChat: an information retrieval approach for chatbot engines using unstructured documents. In: ACL (2016)