Joel R. Rosh

# Introduction

The inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) are characterized by chronic gastrointestinal inflammation in association with ongoing and inappropriate activation of the mucosal immune system [1]. In the correct clinical setting, pharmacologic treatment can include locally acting anti-inflammatory therapies, immune-modifying agents and now, biologic therapies. The short-term goal of therapy remains the relief of clinical symptoms, while the long-term goal is to improve quality of life while changing the natural history of the disease by decreasing the incidence of adverse outcomes such as the need for hospitalization and surgical intervention. The longterm goals have undergone a paradigm shift over the last decade, embracing a model that emphasizes the induction and then maintenance of not only a clinical but a biologic remission marked by mucosal healing [2].

Glucocorticosteroids have both anti-inflammatory as well as immunomodulatory effects. As such, steroids are still the most commonly used immune-modifying agent and have the longest history of use as induction agents. At a year after diagnosis, more than 30% of pediatric Crohn's patients will remain dependant on glucocorticosteroids and almost 10% will already have undergone surgery, demonstrating steroids' inability to alter the course of Crohn's disease [3]. In addition to this lack of long-term efficacy, chronic corticosteroid use is associated with a legion of side effects mandating the identification of more effective, steroid-sparing agents. Concordantly, approximately 60% of pediatric Crohn's disease patients will be placed on immunomodulatory therapy within the first year of diagnosis [4].

J.R. Rosh, MD

The thiopurines, 6-mercaptopurine (6MP) and azathioprine (AZA), have been shown to be efficacious as well as steroid sparing and are covered in more detail in Chap. 30. Using the Harvey-Bradshaw Index (HBI) as end-point, the prospective multicenter trial by Markowitz, et al., showed that 91% of pediatric Crohn's patients who underwent successful induction remain in remission on 6MP/AZA at 18 months [5]. With the subsequent advent and pediatric validation of the Pediatric Crohn's Disease Activity Index (PCDAI), more recent studies of thiopurines have demonstrated a lower long-term efficacy closer to 30-40% [6]. Additionally, pancreatitis and idiosyncratic reactions including gastrointestinal toxicity, fever and idiopathic pancreatitis are seen in 5-10% of patients. Increasing concerns related to potential toxicity from thiopurine therapy, especially with regard to hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) and lymphoma, especially hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma (HSTCL), have driven clinicians to look for other potential immunemodifying agents [7].

Methotrexate has emerged as an effective and overall well-tolerated alternative to the thiopurines [8]. Controlled trials have confirmed methotrexate as an effective agent in inducing as well as maintaining clinical remission in adult patients with Crohn's disease [9, 10]. While a prospective pediatric trial has not yet been performed, there is now ample published data regarding the efficacy of this agent in pediatric Crohn's disease [11].

# **Mechanism of Action**

Methotrexate is a folic acid derivative originally designed as an analogue of dihydrofolic acid. As a competitive antagonist of folic acid, methotrexate inhibits folate-dependent enzymes such as dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) which is critical to both purine and pyrimidine synthesis. In relatively high doses, methotrexate inhibits DNA production and exerts antiproliferative as well as cytotoxic effects [12].

Pediatric Gastroenterology, Clinical Development and Research Affairs, Goryeb Children's Hospital/Atlantic Health, 100 Madison Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07962, USA

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA e-mail: joel.rosh@atlantichealth.org

P. Mamula et al. (eds.), Pediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-49215-5\_31

response	
Increased interleukin (IL)-10	
Increased IL-2	
Inhibition of neutrophil chemotaxis	
Decreased leukotriene B <sub>4</sub> (LTB <sub>4</sub> )	
Decreased tumor necrosis factor alpha	
Decreased IL-6	
Decreased IL-8	
Decreased selective adhesion molecules (SAM)	

**Table 31.1** Effects of adenosine-related pathways on adaptive immune response

When given for immune mediated diseases, low-dose methotrexate is used. At these doses, methotrexate does not exert such a profound antimetabolite effect. This is an important clinical distinction since at low dose, there is a relative absence of otherwise common side effects such as hair loss and folate supplementation may decrease the toxicity but not the apparent of efficacy of low-dose methotrexate [13].

The mechanism of action of low-dose methotrexate still needs to be fully elaborated. While not antiproliferative, low-dose methotrexate may induce T-cell apoptosis [14, 15], although there are studies that do not agree with this finding [16]. Other potential mechanisms of action include methotrexate's effect on intracellular and extracellular concentrations of adenosine and the effects of adenosine on the adaptive immune response [17] (see Table 31.1). Methotrexate has also been shown to have a more direct effect on a variety of regulatory cytokines [18, 19].

Improved understanding of methotrexate's mechanism of action and pharmacokinetics may also affect the recommended dosing. As has become appreciated with the thiopurines, metabolites of the parent drug may be the more clinically important compounds. There is now evidence that intracellular methotrexate polyglutamates are the active immune-modifying compounds [20] and that there are genetic polymorphisms that have been shown to affect intracellular methotrexate polyglutamate levels. Therefore, pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenetics may play a large role in the efficacy and potential toxicity of methotrexate in any individual [21]. The importance of methotrexate polyglutamate levels in IBD patients has not yet been studied. Such studies may lead to dosing recommendations based upon pharmacogenomics rather than weight-based dosing. For now, however, dosing is based upon weight or body surface area measurements (see Table 31.2).

## Efficacy

In 1995, Feagan et al. published their 16-week induction study demonstrating that 25 mg of intramuscular methotrexate delivered weekly is an effective, steroid-sparing,

Table 31.2         Methotrexate	e (MTX):dosing and monitoring
Supplemental oral folic a	cid 1 mg/day to be given to all patients
Consider pretreatment was and then as needed	ith ondansetron for first 4–8 doses of MTX
Dose (subcutaneous inject	ction on a weekly basis)
15 mg/m <sup>2</sup> (body surfac a week	e area) to a maximum dose of 25 mg once
Maintenance	
Consider conversion to	o oral dosing if stable $> 3$ months
	r > 3–6 months consider decreasing dose mum of 15 mg once a week
Patient Monitoring	
erythrocyte sedimentat	with differential and platelets (CBC), ion rate (ESR) and/or C-reactive protein on Panel weekly for the first month and if stable
The dose should be rec aminotransferase (ALT	luced by 50% for elevation in alanine ") > twice baseline
(WBC) <4000, absolut	luced by 50% for white blood count the neutrophil count (ANC) <1500 or held for 2 weeks for WBC <3000, ANC 0,000.
MTX should be held for 2 discontinued for pneumon	weeks for nonproductive cough >1 week, and itis or serious infections

- - - - - -

induction strategy in adult patients with active Crohn's disease [9]. This study of 141 patients showed that 39% were in a steroid-free remission at 16 weeks compared to 19% of placebo patients. Those who achieved remission with methotrexate were then offered enrollment in a 40-week double-blind placebo-controlled maintenance trial of 15 mg of methotrexate administered intramuscularly on a weekly basis. Seventy-six patients participated and demonstrated a methotrexate remission rate of 65% compared to 39% with placebo. No serious adverse events were noted [10]. In addition, there have been head-to-head trials suggesting that the effect of methotrexate is similar to that seen with thiopurines [22, 23]. Data on the use of methotrexate to treat ulcerative colitis has been variable [24, 25]. Two prospective studies are nearing completion which will hopefully help further knowledge on this question.

There is now a fairly robust published experience with methotrexate in pediatric IBD, especially Crohn's disease [26–35]. Mack et al. [28] first reported on 14 patients with a mean age of 10.6 years who had active Crohn's disease and were intolerant or unresponsive to 6-mercaptopurine. Subcutaneous (SQ) administration of methotrexate was used and 64% of the patients showed clinical improvement by as early as 4 weeks. Steroid sparing was also demonstrated.

Another single center experience [30] demonstrated a 12-month steroid-free remission rate of about 33% which is similar to that seen in reports of adult patients with Crohn's disease. Good tolerance of the methotrexate therapy was reported. Two larger, multicenter retrospective reports [27, 29] demonstrated a 40–45% 1-year steroid-free remission rate

with methotrexate as a second line immune modulator in pediatric Crohn's disease patients. No difference in effect was seen whether the indication for the methotrexate was lack of thiopurine efficacy or intolerance. Again, overall good drug tolerance was demonstrated as were a steroid-sparing effect and a positive effect on linear growth [27]. Similar retrospective reports have been published from several European countries showing a 12-month remission rate of 25-52% and these studies are well summarized elsewhere [11]. Along with this growing evidence of the efficacy of methotrexate as monotherapy in treating pediatric Crohn's disease, there has been an increasing level of concern regarding the potential toxicities of thiopurine therapy, especially in the pediatric population. These two effects have likely led to a much higher rate of methotrexate use in this setting. In fact, a multicenter report from the Pediatric IBD Collaborative Research Group demonstrated that the number of patients exposed to methotrexate quadrupled from 2002 to 2010 (14–60%) [34].

In addition to its use as monotherapy, there is a growing experience of using methotrexate in combination with monoclonal antibodies directed against tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF). While the prospective COMMIT trial did not show improved efficacy of infliximab dosed in combination with methotrexate compared to infliximab monotherapy in adults with Crohn's disease [36], many factors including high rates of corticosteroid use at baseline may have been critically confounding [37]. More recently, retrospective data from the Pediatric IBD Collaborative Research Group demonstrated improved infliximab durability when administered in combination with methotrexate [38]. It has been shown that the methotrexate dose may be critical to fully achieve this effect and a weekly dose of 12.5–15 mg weekly may be optimal when methotrexate is used as a concomitant agent [39, 40].

### **Dose and Administration**

Methotrexate is administered once a week. The route of administration can be parenteral (subcutaneous or intramuscular) or oral. Since there are no head-to-head prospective trials comparing the efficacy of oral and parenteral methotrexate for IBD, it remains controversial whether there is a preferred route of administration. Retrospective reports have provided some data relative to this question. Two uncontrolled, observational studies published within a year of each other differed in their conclusions with one showing no difference between oral and parenteral methotrexate [41] and the other showing clear advantage to the parenteral route [42].

Pharmacokinetic studies have been performed to see if there is a clinically significant difference in absorption between the two routes as it is recognized that oral absorption is individually variable and subject to a saturation effect with decreasing rates of absorption at higher doses [43]. In IBD, studies of adult [41] as well as pediatric patients [42] have demonstrated a wide individual range of methotrexate bioavailability. Interestingly, a study in adult patients showed the oral route to provide about 73% of the bioavailability that was seen with the parenteral route, while no such difference was seen in the pediatric study. Both of these pharmacokinetic studies were performed on subjects who were clinically stable on methotrexate maintenance therapy. Therefore, neither provides bioavailability data on patients being induced with methotrexate and there is retrospective data to suggest the parenteral route may induce a more rapid remission [26]. Additionally, it has recently been pointed out that any difference in bioavailability between these two routes of administration still falls within the FDA's definition of bioequivalence [44].

The question as to whether there is a clinically important difference in efficacy based upon the route of administration was investigated in a more direct, albeit retrospective manner, in the 2015 study by Turner et al. who used a propensity score analysis to look at outcomes in pediatric CD patients treated with oral vs. parenteral (subcutaneous) methotrexate [27]. This study demonstrated that any superiority of SQ over an oral route of administration was quite modest and the authors suggest that a change to oral MTX can be considered in those patients successfully induced with parenteral MTX. It is notable that a recent meta-analysis of the use of MTX in rheumatoid arthritis patients offered a different approach. This study demonstrated that efficacy and toxicity are related to an individual's absorbed dose rather than route of administration and the authors concluded that it is best to start patients on a relatively high oral dose and convert to the parenteral route in those who fail to respond [45].

In addition to the ongoing questions with regard to the optimal route of administration, the actual ideal dose of methotrexate for pediatric IBD patients has not been studied. The usual recommended dose is 15 mg/m<sup>2</sup> once weekly to a maximum weekly dose of 25 mg [46]. All patients are supplemented daily with folic acid 1 mg orally to avoid the development of medication-related nausea and subsequent anticipatory intolerance [47]. It has also been shown to be beneficial to recommend oral ondansetron as premedication before each of the first eight doses to prevent drug-associated nausea [48].

## **Toxicity and Monitoring**

In patients with inflammatory bowel disease, low-dose methotrexate has been shown to be a well-tolerated agent with more than 90% of clinical trial patients able to complete study drug [19, 49]. Reported side effects are usually transient or respond to dose reduction and, less commonly, drug withdrawal (the potential side effects of low-dose methotrexate are summarized in Table 31.3).

**Table 31.3** Side effects and toxicities of low-dose methotrexate

Teratogenicity	
Contraindicated in women of child-bearing potential	
Contraindicated in breastfeeding women	
Gastrointestinal—folate related	
Nausea and behavioral/anticipatory intolerance-mc	ost common
Abdominal pain, diarrhea	
Stomatitis including esophagitis	
Bone Marrow Suppression	
Monitor with CBC (Table 31.2 for schedule)	
Increased with trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	
Hepatic	
Monitor with routine liver chemistries (Table 31.2 for	or schedule)
Increased risk with obesity, concomitant hepatotoxic	medications
Routine liver biopsy not recommended	
Possible role for elastography	
Infections	
Upper respiratory most common	
Rarely herpetic as well	
Rarely clinically serious	
Pneumonitis	
Immune-mediated	
Rare	
Suspect if prolonged nonproductive cough	
Preliminary evaluation = chest radiograph and pulme function tests	onary
Dermatologic	
Hypersensitivity reactions	

There were early reports from the rheumatology literature that pediatric patients may have fewer methotrexate-induced side effects compared to adult patients [50]. An exception to this may be the development of learned associations and anticipatory intolerance to the medication [47]. Nausea has been correlated with inhibition of folate-dependant enzymes. As a result, folic acid supplementation may help limit this side effect, which has been reported in more than 20% of the adult patients who participated in clinical IBD trials [51]. Use of ondansetron as a premedication for the first 4–8 weeks can effectively mitigate against the development of nausea [48]. Other gastrointestinal side effects include abdominal pain, diarrhea and stomatitis that may even evolve into mucositis involving the esophagus [52].

In light of the potential for hepatic toxicity with high-dose methotrexate, liver-related complications have been well studied with low-dose methotrexate. There may be a disease-related rate of liver complications following therapy with low-dose methotrexate. Patients with psoriasis were shown to have a 7% rate of hepatic fibrosis [53] as compared to the 1% rate in rheumatoid arthritis [54]. The low rate of hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis in RA has led to the official recommendation of the American College of Rheumatology that routine, surveillance liver biopsies not be performed [54].

Studies in juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) patients have shown at least as good hepatic tolerance [55]. Similarly, negligible rates of drug-related hepatotoxicity have been seen in adult IBD patients treated with prolonged low-dose methotrexate [56]. This may actually occur at a higher rate in pediatric patients with a meta-analysis demonstrating a rate of elevated liver chemistries as high as 10% with 6% requiring dose reduction [57].

Rather than biopsy, routine liver chemistry monitoring should be performed as shown in Table 31.2. Elastography is a promising tool to noninvasively monitor for drug-induced hepatic fibrosis and it may be more sensitive than measuring liver chemistries [58].

Bone marrow suppression leading to leukopenia or thrombocytopenia occurs in about 1% of low-dose methotrexate treated patients [19]. This is usually transient and responds to dose reduction or holding of the drug. Routine monitoring of complete blood counts should be performed to look for bone marrow suppression (Table 31.2). Concomitant medications, especially antifolate agents such as trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole should be avoided with methotrexate therapy as these can exacerbate potential bone marrow suppression. Theoretically, this may be true of sulfasalazine as well although the combination of low-dose methotrexate and sulfasalazine has been utilized without increased toxicity [59].

An immunologically mediated pneumonitis can also rarely be seen with methotrexate therapy. Screening asymptomatic pediatric patients does not seem warranted [50] and in fact, the rarity of this condition when methotrexate is used for inflammatory disease has recently been further characterized [60]. Clinically, a persistent cough or other symptoms should prompt a chest radiograph and pulmonary function studies with suspension of methotrexate therapy until clarification of the clinical picture is achieved.

The most important toxicity of methotrexate is related to its teratogenicity. Methotrexate is completely contraindicated in pregnancy as well as during breastfeeding. All patients and their families must be educated about this prior to starting methotrexate therapy.

#### References

- 1. de Souza HSP, Fiocchi C. Immunopathogenesis of IBD:current state of the art. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;13:13–27.
- Neurath MF, Travis SP. Mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel diseases: a systematic review. Gut. 2012;61:1619–35.
- Markowitz J, Hyams J, Mack D, et al. Corticosteroid therapy in the age of infliximab: acute and 1-year outcomes in newly diagnosed children with Crohn disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2006;4: 1124–9.
- Jacobstein DA, Mamula P, Markowitz JE, Leonard M, Baldassano RN. Predictors of immunomodulatory use as early therapy in pediatric Crohn disease. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2006;40:145–8.

- Markowitz J, Grancher K, Kohn N, et al. A multicenter trial of 6-mercaptopurine and prednisone in children with newly diagnosed Crohn disease. Gastroenterology. 2000;119:895–902.
- Riello L, Talbotec C, Garnier-Lengline H, et al. Tolerance and efficacy of azathioprine in pediatric Crohn disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2011;17:2138–43.
- Kotlyar DS, Lewis JD, Beaugerie L, et al. Risk of lymphoma in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with azathioprine and 6-mercaptopurine: a meta-analysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015;13:847–58.
- 8. Panaccione R. Methotrexate: lessons from rheumatology. Can J Gastroenterol. 2005;9:541–2.
- Feagan BG, Rochon J, Fedorak RN, et al. Methotrexate for the treatment of Crohn disease. The North American Crohn study group investigators. N Engl J Med. 1995;332:292–7.
- Feagan BG, Fedorak RN, Irvine EJ, et al. A comparison of methotrexate with placebo for the maintenance of remission in Crohn disease. North American Crohn study group investigators. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:1627–32.
- Scherkenbach LA, Stumpf JL. Methotrexate for the management of Crohn's disease in children. Ann Pharmacother. 2016;50:60–9.
- Chabner BA, Allegra CJ, Curt GA, et al. Antineoplastic agents. In: Hardman JG, Limbird LE, Molinoff PB, et al., editors. Goodman and Gilman's the pharmacological basis of therapeutics. 9th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1996. p. 1243–7.
- Shea B, Swinden MV, Ghogomu ET, et al. Folic acid and folinic acid for reducing side effects in patients receiving methotrexate for rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2014;41:1049–60.
- Paillot R, Genestier L, Fournel S, et al. Activation-dependent lymphocyte apoptosis induced by methotrexate. Transplant Proc. 1998;30:2348–50.
- Genestier L, Paillot R, Quemeneur L, et al. Mechanisms of action of methotrexate. Immunopharmacology. 2000;47:247–57.
- 16. Johnston A, Gudjonsson JE, Sigmundskottir H, et al. The antiinflammatory action of methotrexate is not mediated by lymphocyte apoptosis, but by the suppression of activation of adhesion molecules. Clin Immunol. 2005;114:154–63.
- Cronstein BN. The mechanism of action of methotrexate. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 1997;23:739–55.
- van Dieren JM, Kuipers EJ, Samsom JN, Nieuwenhuis EE, van der Woude J. Revisiting the immunomodulators tacrolimus. Methotrexate, and mycophenolate mofetil: their mechanisms of action and role in the treatment of IBD. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2006;12:311–27.
- Schroder O, Stein J. Low dose methotrexate in inflammatory bowel disease: current status and future directions. Am J Gastroenterol. 2004;98:530–7.
- 20. Ranganathan P. An update on methotrexate pharmacogenetics in rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacogenomics. 2008;9:439–51.
- Herrlinger KR, Cummings JR, Barnardo MC, Schwab M, Ahmad T, Jewell DP. The pharmacogenetics of methotrexate in inflammatory bowel disease. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2005;15:705–11.
- 22. Ardizzone S, Bollani S, Manzionna G, et al. Comparison between methotrexate and azathioprine in the treatment of chronic active Crohn disease: a randomized, investigator-blind study. Dig Liver Dis. 2003;35:619–27.
- Mate-Jimenez J, Hermida C, Canter-Perona J, et al. 6-mercaptopurine or methotrexate added to prednisone induces and maintains remission in steroid-dependent inflammatory bowel disease. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2000;12:1227–33.
- Chande N, Wang Y, MacDonald JK, McDonald J. Methotrexate for induction of remission in ulcerative colitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;(8): CD006618. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006618. pub3.
- Khan N, Abbas AM, Moehlen M, Balart L. Methotrexate in ulcerative colitis: a nationwide retrospective cohort from the Veterans Affairs Health Care System. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2013;19:1379–83.

- Turner D, Grossman AB, Rosh JR, Kugathasan S, Gilman AR, Baldassano R, Griffiths AM. Methotrexate following unsuccessful thiopurine therapy in pediatric Crohn disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2007;102:2804–12.
- 27. Turner D, Doveh E, Cohen A, Wilson ML, Grossman AB, Rosh JR, Lu Y, Bousvaros A, Deslandres C, Noble A, Baldassano RN, Levine A, Lerner A, Wilson DC, Griffiths AM. Efficacy of oral methotrexate in paediatric Crohn's disease: a multicentre propensity score study. Gut. 2015;64:1898–904.
- Mack DR, Young R, Kaufman SS, Ramey L, Vanderhoof JA. Methotrexate in patients with Crohn disease after 6-mercaptopurine. J Pediatr. 1998;132:830–5.
- 29. Uhlen S, Belbouab R, Narebski K, et al. Efficacy of methotrexate in pediatric Crohn disease: a French multicenter study. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2006;12:1053–7.
- Boyle B, Mackner L, Ross C, Moses J, Kumar S, Crandall W. A single-center experience with methotrexate after thiopurine therapy in pediatric Crohn disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2010; 51:714–7.
- Ravikumara M, Hinsberger A, Spray CH. Role of methotrexate in the management of Crohn disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2007;44:427–30.
- Weiss B, Lerner A, Shapiro R, et al. Methotrexate treatment in pediatric Crohn disease patients intolerant or resistant to purine analogues. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2009;48:526–30.
- Willot S, Noble A, Deslandres C. Methotrexate in the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease: an 8-year retrospective study in a Canadian pediatric IBD center. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2011;17:2521–6.
- Sunseri W, Hyams JS, Lerer T, et al. Retrospective cohort study of methotrexate use in the treatment of pediatric Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014;20:134–5.
- 35. Haisma S-M, Lijftogt T, Kindermann A, et al. Methotrexate for maintaining remission in paediatric Crohn's patients with prior failure or intolerance to thiopurines: a multicenter cohort study. J Crohns Colitis. 2015;9:305–11.
- Feagan BG, McDonald JW, Panaccione R, et al. Methotrexate in combination with infliximab is no more effective than infliximab alone in patients with Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 2014;146:681–8.
- Narula N, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Colombel JF. Combination therapy with methotrexate in inflammatory bowel disease: time to COMMIT? Gastroenterology. 2014;146:608–11.
- Grossi V, Lerer T, Griffiths A, et al. Concomitant use of immunomodulators affects the durability of infliximab therapy in children with Crohn's disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2015;13: 1748–56.
- Vahabnezhad E, Rabizadeh S, Dubinsky MC. A 10-year, single tertiary care center experience on the durability of infliximab in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014;20: 606–13.
- Colman RJ, Rubin DT. Optimal doses of methotrexate combined with anti-TNF therapy to maintain clinical remission in inflammatory bowel disease. J Crohns Colitis. 2015;9:312–7.
- 41. Kurnik D, Loebstein R, Fishbein E, Almog S, Halkin H, Bar-Meir S, Chowers Y. Bioavailability of oral vs. subcutaneous low-dose methotrexate in patients with Crohn disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2003;18:57–63.
- 42. Stephens MC, Baldassano RN, York A, Widemann B, Pitney AC, Jayaprakash N, Adamson PC. The bioavailability of oral methotrexate in children with inflammatory bowel disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2005;40:445–9.
- Balis FM, Mirro J, Reaman GH, et al. Pharmacokinetics of subcutaneous methotrexate. J Clin Oncol. 1988;6:1882–6.
- 44. Wilson A, Patel V, Chande N, Ponich T, Urquhart B, Asher L, Choi Y, Tirona R, Kim RB, Gregor JC. Pharmacokinetic profiles for oral and subcutaneous methotrexate in patients with Crohn's disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2013;37:340–5.

- 45. Goodman SM, Cronstein BN, Bykerk VP. Outcomes related to methotrexate dose and route of administration in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic literature review. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2015;33:272–8.
- 46. Ruemmele FM, Veresd G, Kolhoe KL, et al. Consensus guidelines of ECCO/ESPGHAN on the medical management of pediatric Crohn's disease. J Crohns Colitis. 2014;8:1179–207.
- 47. Bulatovic M, Heijstek MW, Verkaaik M, et al. High prevalence of methotrexate intolerance in juvenile idiopathic arthritis: development and validation of a methotrexate intolerance severity score. Arthritis Rheum. 2011;63:2007–13.
- Kempinska A, Benchimol E, Mack A, Barkey J, Boland M, Mack DR. Short-course ondansetron for the prevention of methotrexateinduced nausea in children with Crohn disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2011;53:389–93.
- Lemann M, Zenjari T, Bouhnik Y, et al. Methotrexate in Crohn disease: long-term safety and toxicity. Am J Gastroenterol. 2000;95:1730–4.
- Graham LD, Myones BL, Rivas-Chacon RF, Pachman LM. Morbidity associated with long-term methotrexate therapy in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. J Pediatr. 1992;120:468–73.
- Chong RY, Hanauer SB, Cohen RD. Efficacy of parenteral methotrexate in refractory Crohn disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2001;15:35–44.
- Batres LA, Gabriel CA, Tsou VM. Methotrexate-induced esophagitis in a child with crohn disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2003;37:514–6.
- Roenigk Jr HH, Auerbach R, Maibach H, et al. Methotrexate in psoriasis: revised guidelines. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1988;19:145–56.

- Kremer JM, Alarcon GS, Lightfood Jr RW, et al. Methotrexate for rheumatoid arthritis. Suggested guideline for monitoring liver toxicity. Arthritis Rheum. 1994;37:316–28.
- 55. Kugathasan S, Newman AJ, Dahms BB, Boyle JT. Liver biopsy findings in patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis receiving longterm weekly methotrexate therapy. J Pediatr. 1996;128:149–51.
- 56. Khan N, Abbas AM, Whang N, Balart LA, Bazzano LA, Kelly TN. Incidence of liver toxicity in inflammatory bowel disease patients treated with methotrexate: a meta-analysis of clinical trials. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2012;18:359–67.
- 57. Valentino PL, Church PC, Shah PS, Beyene J, Griffiths AM, Feldman BM, Kamath BM. Hepatotoxicity caused by methotrexate therapy in children with inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2014;20:47–59.
- 58. Laharie D, Zerbib F, Adhoute X, Boué-Lahorgue X, Foucher J, Castéra L, Rullier A, Bertet J, Couzigou P, Amouretti M, de Lédinghen V. Diagnosis of liver fibrosis by transient elastography (Fibro Scan) and non-invasive methods in Crohn's disease patients treated with methotrexate. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2006;23: 1621–8.
- Rains CP, Noble S, Faulds D. Sulfasalazine. A review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic efficacy in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Drugs. 1995;50:137–56.
- 60. Conway R, Low C, Coughlan RJ, O'Donnell MJ, Carey JJ. Methotrexate use and risk of lung disease in psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, and inflammatory bowel disease: systematic literature review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2015;350:h1269.