

Anthony R. Otley, Andrew S. Day, and Mary Zachos

Introduction

While similar in many respects, the inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) can be classified based on certain distinctive endoscopic and histological characteristics. Clinical manifestations also vary between Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), including their impact on nutritional status. A history of weight loss or poor weight gain is a very common symptom at presentation particularly with CD and severe UC [1, 2]. Linear growth impairment is reported even before the onset of intestinal symptoms in almost half of pediatric patients with CD [3]. Given the early age of onset, such impairment of growth is particularly problematic, with subsequent impact on onset of puberty, self-esteem, and quality of life.

In the treatment of IBD in children, nutrition and growth outcomes are critical indicators of overall well-being and therapeutic success in addition to other therapeutic targets of symptom resolution and mucosal healing. In addition to a multitude of pharmacologic approaches to therapy, there is extensive evidence supporting the efficacy of nutritional therapy in CD. Current guidelines support exclusive enteral

nutrition (EEN) as the first-line therapy to induce remission in children with active CD [4]. Despite the obvious advantages including the direct impact on growth and nutrition and the avoidance of adverse drug effects, nutritional therapy has not been as widely accepted in North America as other parts of the world [5, 6].

Since linear growth and bone disease have been addressed in alternate chapters, this chapter will focus on nutritional deficiencies and the role of nutritional management in the treatment of IBD, highlighting updates since the last edition.

Nutritional Impairment in Pediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Malnutrition is common in IBD. In a recent systematic review, the main nutritional consequences of pediatric IBD included growth stunting, slower pubertal development, underweight, and vitamin deficiencies. Nutritional impairments were more significant in CD, while overweight and obesity were more common in patients with UC [7]. Several cohort studies have demonstrated weight loss or poor weight gains at the time of initial diagnosis of CD. Griffiths et al. [8] reported that 80% of the 386 children diagnosed with CD over a period of 10 years had a history of weight loss. A registry cohort of 261 patients in northern France found that 27% of children were underweight and 32% had BMI below two standard deviations of normal at diagnosis. At maximal follow-up, 15% continued to suffer from malnutrition. A Danish prospective population-based cohort study reported that children with CD had poor nutritional status at diagnosis compared with the general pediatric population [9]. Among Australian children, a case-control study by Aurangzeb et al. [10] assessing nutritional status found that children with newly diagnosed IBD had lower mean body mass index (BMI) Z scores and weight-for-age percentiles than controls.

Weight loss is seen less commonly, particularly through the course of established UC, but has been seen in up to 65%

A.R. Otley, MD, MSc, FRCPC
Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada

Division of Gastroenterology & Nutrition, IWK Health Centre,
5850 University Avenue, Halifax, NS, Canada, B3K 6R8
e-mail: arotley@dal.ca

A.S. Day, MB, MD, ChB, FRACP, AGAF
Pediatric Gastroenterology, Christchurch Hospital,
Christchurch, New Zealand

Department of Pediatrics, University of Otago,
Christchurch, New Zealand
e-mail: andrew.day@otago.ac.nz

M. Zachos, MD, FRCPC (✉)
Department of Pediatrics, McMaster University,
McMaster Children's Hospital, 1200 Main St. West,
Hamilton, ON L8N 3Z5, Canada
e-mail: mary.zachos@cogeco.ca

of children at diagnosis [1]. Kugathasan et al. [11] conducted a systematic review of 783 children with newly diagnosed IBD from two prospective inception cohorts to examine BMI status at presentation. Most children with CD and UC had a BMI in the normative range (5–84%). Low BMI (<5%) was seen in 22–24% of children with CD and 7–9% of children with UC.

Several interrelated factors contribute to growth impairment in IBD. Chronic suboptimal nutrition has long been implicated as a cause of growth retardation [1, 12–16]. In addition, direct growth-inhibiting effects of pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as TNF- α) released from the inflamed intestine have been more recently recognized for their role in growth impairment, as well as indirectly resulting in anorexic effects and early satiety [17]. Symptoms, including nausea, abdominal pain, or diarrhea in association with meals, also limit caloric intake. Localization of disease in the small bowel may lead to partial obstruction and early satiety. Small intestinal involvement may also lead to disaccharide intolerance resulting in shorter gut transit times, pain, and exacerbation of diarrhea. Malabsorption of food components and the diversion of calories to sites of gut inflammation may also lead to impaired weight gain and growth [18]. Thus, enhancement of growth is best achieved through control of intestinal inflammation and assurance of adequate nutrition [19, 20].

Dietary Intake and Body Composition in Children with IBD

Dietary Intake

The impact of CD on growth and body composition is determined by an interaction between the duration and severity of the inflammatory disease process, genetic predisposition, and the extent to which the demands for energy and nutrients are met. It is imperative that the management of children and adolescents with CD combines the control of inflammation while providing optimal nutrition support with adequate protein and sufficient calories to support growth.

The mean energy intake of patients with CD is less than age-matched controls particularly during symptomatic relapses [13] but also while asymptomatic [8]. Pons et al. [21] evaluated the dietary intake of 41 children with CD (18 active, 23 in remission) and compared them with the intakes of 22 age-matched control children without IBD. The energy intakes of the children with CD were less than the estimated energy requirements regardless of disease activity. Fat and carbohydrate intake were found to be lower in CD patients than in controls, while protein intake was similar in patient and control groups [21].

A recent study from Brazil found that total energy intake was lower than the daily recommended intake (DRI) in 50%

of the adolescents with active CD compared to 3.5% in inactive CD and 5.7% in the control group. Protein intake was found to be low in all three groups but significantly lower in the active CD group than in the inactive CD and control group (68.2% vs 17.2% and 14.3%, below the DRIs, respectively) [22].

Body Composition

A recent systematic review, reporting on a total of 1479 children with IBD (1123 Crohn's disease, 243 ulcerative colitis), attempted to define the alterations in non-bone tissue compartments in children with IBD [23]. Data were highly heterogeneous, in terms of methodology and patients. In this systematic review, six studies were prospective and 11 cross-sectional in design. Body composition methodologies included whole-body dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) most commonly, as well as peripheral quantitative computerized tomography (p-QCT), skinfold thickness, isotope dilutional studies, whole-body potassium measurement, total body potassium counting ($n = 30$), and bioelectrical impedance. Overall, the review concluded that almost all children with CD and half with UC have reduced lean mass; however, body fat alterations are not well defined.

Deficits in protein-related compartments were reported with lean mass deficits documented in 93.6% of CD and 47.7% of UC patients when compared with healthy control populations. Several studies have confirmed that children with CD have significant deficits in lean body mass (or fat-free mass), which is consistent with cachexia [24–27]. Wiskin [26] found that fat-free mass was related to disease activity regardless of changes in weight and concluded that weight or BMI may mask deficits in lean tissue in the presence of normal or increased proportions of body fat.

Body fat composition findings have been inconsistent [23]. Some studies report reductions in body fat in new diagnosis or active CD. For example, Boot et al. suggest proportional reductions in lean and fat mass, as shown by percentage body fat that did not differ significantly from zero in their combined IBD cohort [28]. In contrast, in an all CD cohort, Burnham et al. report that fat mass adjusted for age and fat mass adjusted for height were not significantly different from controls [24]. Similarly, in 42 children with CD, weight gain over a 2-year period was explained by gains in fat mass raising concerns regarding the long-term impact of disease on growth and bone health [29].

In addition to circulating inflammatory cytokines, there are several other factors that are likely to contribute to the reduction in protein compartments in IBD patients (Table 27.1).

Body composition studies have often been limited by the large proportion of participants that had received concomitant systemic corticosteroids at the time of body composition assessment. Glucocorticoids instigate remission but also promote muscle proteolysis and alter whole-body adiposity

Table 27.1 Factors affecting body composition

Circulating inflammatory cytokines
Medications, particularly glucocorticoids
Malnutrition
Resting energy expenditure
Height, weight, and pubertal status
Gender
Physical activity

[30]. Variations in the glucocorticoid treatment the participants received may have influenced some of the discrepancies in the fat-related data across the studies in this review. Future studies should attempt to differentiate between the effects of therapy and the disease process itself.

There is conflicting data from studies reporting resting energy expenditure (REE) in children with CD. Azcue et al. demonstrated that per unit of lean body mass, there was no difference between REE in patients with CD and controls, whereas patients with anorexia nervosa had significantly reduced REE [25]. In contrast, Zoli et al. [31] found elevated REE in growing children with CD. Surprisingly, the latter study did not reveal any further increase in REE with relapse of disease and suggested that energy may be “diverted” from growth to disease activity during relapse. Varille et al. showed that a lower fat-free body mass in pediatric IBD was associated with higher resting energy expenditure [32]. Thus, these energy imbalances may explain the cachectic changes seen in children with IBD even when disease is in remission. This resting energy expenditure imbalance is most likely driven by nutritional insufficiencies and chronic inflammation.

Height, age, and pubertal status may also influence body composition. Puberty affects fat and muscle compartments and should be accounted for in analysis of body composition. In children with IBD, height is reduced, and bone age and puberty are delayed when compared with healthy children of the same age, possibly explaining some of the body compositional deficits seen [23].

Gender can also influence body mass composition, as reported by Thayu et al., who studied the body composition of 74 children with CD at diagnosis. They found that boys with CD at diagnosis had significant fat-free mass deficits consistent with cachexia, whereas girls demonstrated both fat mass as well as fat-free mass deficits consistent with wasting [27]. In a recent systematic review, lower lean mass was common to both sexes in CD and UC, but deficits in females persisted for longer, possibly because males are known to accumulate lean tissue at puberty, while females reach peak lean mass before puberty [23].

The effect of malabsorption may lead to reduction in protein compartments due to protein-losing enteropathy that result in fluid shifts [25]. In addition, physical activity is

important for muscle and bone strength in growing children and may be limited in pediatric IBD patients even when their disease is asymptomatic. Werkstetter compared 39 IBD patients in remission (or with only mild disease activity) with 39 healthy controls. Muscle function assessed by measuring handgrip strength was reduced in children with CD, which corresponded to deficits found in muscle cross-sectional area of the upper limb [33]. In addition, IBD patients tended to take fewer steps per day and engage in shorter periods of physical activity, particularly among females and patients with mild disease. Exercise studies in adolescents with CD have shown impaired fat metabolism during activity with a greater reliance on carbohydrates to meet the energy demands of submaximal exercise [34].

The clinical significance of muscle deficits in children with CD is not known; however, lean mass deficits may be associated with poor physical functioning and greater infection risk during childhood and compromised peak bone mass by young adulthood. Adult studies suggest that body fat composition predicts infectious complications following bowel resection in CD [35]. In adults, low muscle mass and sarcopenia are common and may be predictive of osteoporosis [36]. Further study of the long-term impact of altered body composition in children with IBD is required, as this may have clinical importance in terms of nutritional and pharmacological management, even when disease is in remission.

Because of the difficulty ensuring adequate energy and nutrient requirements of children with IBD, particularly during flares, active monitoring of nutritional status must be undertaken throughout childhood but especially in adolescence. Hannon et al. demonstrated that in stable adolescents with CD, enteral nutrition promotes anabolism by suppressing proteolysis and increasing protein synthesis [37]. Thus, where indicated, aggressive nutritional intervention should be initiated before puberty, whether disease is active or in remission, to correct the energy deficits and maximize growth potential.

Micronutrient Deficiencies

Low concentration of plasma micronutrients is commonly reported in IBD patients. Dietary intakes of children and adolescents with IBD may be compromised in micronutrient content in addition to protein and energy due to many factors including decreased food intake, intestinal losses, malabsorption, and drug effects [38].

Specific micronutrient and vitamin deficiencies are encountered more commonly with CD than with UC. Hendricks et al. [13] compared a group of adolescents with CD and growth failure with a control group of adolescents with CD who were growing normally. Mean serum ferritin levels were significantly decreased in both groups, and mean plasma zinc levels were borderline low in the growth

failure group and low in the control group. Dietary zinc intake was below the recommended dietary allowance (RDA) in 88% of the group with growth failure and 44% of controls (64% combined) and less than 75% of the RDA in 41% of all adolescents with CD. Dietary iron intake was also below the RDA in 24% of all adolescents with CD, with one adolescent in the growth failure group consuming less than 75% of the RDA. One third of adolescents were consuming less than 75% of the RDA for calcium. In evaluation of 41 children with CD compared to age-matched controls, calcium intake was significantly less than the Australian recommended daily intake (RDI), and iron intake approached less than RDI [21]. Vitamin D is a key factor in both bone mineralization and immunomodulation. Levin et al. retrospectively assessed vitamin D in a group of 78 Australian children with IBD (70 CD, 5 UC, 3 IBDU) and explored associations between vitamin D status and clinical factors. Using a level of 50 nmol/l or less to indicate deficiency and 50–75 nmol/l to indicate insufficiency, 19% of children were vitamin D deficient and 38% were insufficient, respectively. Levels were not found to be associated with disease location or use of immunosuppressive drugs. Children with vitamin D deficiency had significantly greater corticosteroid exposure than those with normal status [39].

Alkhoury et al. investigated the prevalence of vitamin and zinc deficiencies in 61 children with newly diagnosed IBD (80% with ileal inflammation) compared to age- and sex-matched controls. Sixty-two percent had vitamin D deficiency (vs 75% in the controls). In contrast to other studies [40], Alkhoury et al. found no IBD patients with folate or vitamin B12 deficiency suggesting no reason for routine monitoring. However, vitamin A (16% deficient) and zinc (40% deficient) deficiencies were statistically more prevalent among the IBD patients than controls, suggesting that levels should be assessed at the time of diagnosis. In addition, since vitamin D deficiency was so common in the population tested, routine screening and supplementation are warranted [41].

Older studies of micronutrient intakes in CD have found mean intakes of zinc, copper, iron, calcium, folic acid, vitamin C, and vitamin D to be significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower than age-matched controls and RDAs [17]. Essential fatty acid status may also be altered, in association with low body mass index and disease activity [42]. Malabsorption of fat-soluble vitamins can be an issue in patients with ileal disease [43, 44]. Gerasimidis et al. looked at the impact of EEN on body composition and circulating micronutrients in plasma and erythrocytes of 17 children with active CD. At baseline, several children presented with suboptimal concentrations of carotenoids, trace elements, vitamins C and B6, and folate in plasma but not in erythrocytes [45]. The same group later reported anemia in 72% of children with IBD at diagnosis. Children with CD at diagnosis had significantly shorter

diagnostic delay and a lower BMI than those who were not. After EEN, the frequency of severe anemia decreased (32–9%; $P = 0.001$). Extensive colitis was associated with anemia in UC [46].

Despite recognition of the occurrence of potential nutritional deficiency in IBD patients, only ESPEN has recommended nutritional deficiency screening in this population [47]. The extent of micronutrient deficiency screening and whether or not to supplement a child's diet should be considered on an individual basis, following dietary assessment, as firm recommendations for vitamin and mineral supplementation await future studies [19]. Kleinman and colleagues [48] have suggested that patients should be recommended a multivitamin/mineral to meet 100–150% of the RDA when dietary intake is less than expected. Vitamin and mineral supplement adherence has been examined by two studies. In a cross-sectional study examining self-reported adherence to IBD maintenance medications as well as supplements, an average adherence rate of 80% was reported across all medications and supplements combined [49]. More recently, adherence specifically to vitamin and mineral supplements was assessed in 49 youth aged 11–18 years with IBD using a validated interview. Mean adherence rates ranged from 32 to 44% across supplements, which included multivitamins, calcium, or iron. Youth who did not know the reason for supplementation (approximately 25% of the sample) displayed substantially poorer adherence than did those with moderate or high levels of knowledge, across all supplements [50].

Elevated Body Mass Index in Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Although most emphasis of the nutritional aspects of IBD is focused upon impaired nutritional status, the increasing rate of childhood obesity is also relevant in children presenting with acute IBD. Several cohorts have observed that children with IBD are at comparable risk of overweight and obesity as the general population.

Sondike and colleagues [51] reported this phenomenon in a group of 166 children from Wisconsin, USA. Sixteen (12%) of a group of newly diagnosed children with CD were overweight (BMI >85%) or obese (BMI >95%). This feature was also evident in the children diagnosed with UC: 17.6% of these 34 children were overweight or obese. Observations by Kugathasan et al. from two large multicenter North American cohorts revealed that 10% of children with CD and 20–30% of children with UC had a BMI at diagnosis consistent with overweight or risk for overweight [11]. A large multicenter cohort of 1598 children with IBD found that approximately one in five children with CD and one in three with UC are overweight or obese [52]. Rates of obesity in UC are comparable to the general population. Attempts to evaluate whether overweight and obese status is associated with patient demographics or disease characteristics found

that sociodemographic risk factors for obesity in the IBD population were similar to those in the general population. Prior IBD-related surgery was the only disease characteristic associated with overweight and obesity in children with CD (OR 1.73, 95% CI 1.07–2.82) [52].

Obesity is associated with a pro-inflammatory state that may be involved in the etiology of IBD. However, a prospective cohort study conducted on a sample of 300,724 participants recruited for the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study found no association between obesity, as measured by the BMI with the onset of incident UC or CD [53].

General Management of Nutrition in Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Monitoring Nutritional Status

Assessment for under- (or over-)nutrition is an essential component of medical care of children with IBD. At a minimum, screening should include measurement of body weight and height for age, with calculation of BMI. Nutritional status can be expressed in terms of the degree of height deficit (shortness), weight deficit (underweight or lightness), or relative weight for height or BMI for age (thinness). Each component captures a different aspect of growth, and interpretation is further complicated during puberty when differences in measures for thinness can be driven by changes in lean muscle and/or fat [26]. Growth parameters should be routinely collected and graphically recorded on standardized charts. It is important to obtain information on familial growth patterns, particularly parental heights, as well as pre-illness measurements to assess growth potential and the impact of disease on growth, respectively.

Ongoing assessment of nutritional status includes history, physical examination, and laboratory testing. History should attempt to obtain information on appetite, weight changes, and dietary intake (often with the assistance of a registered dietician), as well as identification of medications and nutritional or herbal supplements, including vitamins and minerals. Review of psychosocial factors such as economic and cultural or environmental influences may be useful.

Physical examination, in addition to growth parameters and BMI, should include anthropometric assessment of body habitus along with recordings of sexual maturation by Tanner staging. Examination may reveal signs of generalized malnutrition or specific nutrient deficiencies.

Laboratory tests are valuable in assessment of specific nutrient deficiencies; however some measures of nutritional status can also be affected by inflammation (e.g., serum albumin and ferritin). Serum pre-albumin has a much shorter half-life (2 days) than albumin (18–20 days) and may be

more useful in the assessment of nutritional status changes with nutritional support [54].

Other potential tests of nutritional status are urinary creatinine/height ratio or 3-methylhistidine determinations which reflect somatic (muscle) protein status and 24 h urine urea nitrogen which reflects protein catabolism. However due to the difficulty obtaining accurate specimens and assumptions required for interpretation, these lab tests are not used in routine clinical practice. Additional research techniques for assessment of nutritional status are dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry [24], bioelectric impedance analysis, and total body electrical conductance to determine total body water and fat mass and isotopic labeling of various molecules to determine energy expenditure and metabolic turnover rates [19].

Serum leptin may also have a role in nutritional assessment as a marker of fat stores [55–57] and has been found to be lower in children with severe protein energy malnutrition [58]. Controversy exists in the literature regarding the correlation of leptin levels with inflammation or whether it simply reflects nutritional status regardless of underlying disease. Hoppin et al. found no difference in serum leptin levels between children with IBD and controls and concluded that serum leptin levels depend on BMI and sex and not on disease activity or severity [59].

Aurangzeb et al. explored the relationship between leptin and BMI in newly diagnosed children with IBD in comparison to controls. Significantly lower mean serum levels were found in 28 newly diagnosed IBD patients compared to 56 controls (2.32 pg/ml \pm 1.88 vs 5.09 pg/ml \pm 4.86, $p=0.009$). In this group of children with IBD, leptin levels did not correlate with the degree of inflammation, as defined by serum markers of inflammation [10]. Further studies are required to elucidate the role of leptin in nutritional assessment of IBD patients.

Following diagnosis of IBD, there are numerous ongoing aspects of nutritional management to address. Nutritional issues relating to therapy may arise. The use of steroids often leads to increased appetite and commonly alters fluid balance with initial fluid retention and weight gain that only partially reflects improvements in underlying nutritional status. Steroids are clearly linked with impaired bone mineralization, with enhanced resorption, and with decreased new bone formation [60, 61]. Adequacy of calcium and vitamin D intake must be reviewed regularly. Inhibition of linear growth and altered final height, due to suppression of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), is also a feature of daily corticosteroid therapy [62].

Other medications may interfere with the absorption of specific micronutrients. Sulfasalazine may interfere with folate metabolism by reducing absorption; however, daily supplementation does not appear necessary [63]. In contrast, folate supplementation is required when the

immunosuppressive drug methotrexate is used, as this drug acts to inhibit the conversion of folate to the active moiety tetrahydrofolate [64].

Questions related to nutrition and which foods to avoid are among the commonest raised by families both at diagnosis and in routine follow-up. The current consensus from the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (NASPGHAN) is that diets of children with CD should be well balanced, based on the Food Guide Pyramid, and follow dietary reference intakes [19]. Brown et al. recently created a “global practice guideline,” which attempted to consolidate the existing information regarding diet and IBD proposed by medical societies or dietary guidelines from patient-centered, IBD-related organizations. The dietary suggestions included nutritional deficiency screening, avoiding foods that worsen symptoms, eating smaller meals at more frequent intervals, eliminating dairy if lactose intolerant, limiting excess fat, reducing carbohydrates, and reducing high-fiber foods during flares. Enteral nutrition was recognized as being recommended for CD in some parts of the world more often than others (e.g., more in Japan than in the USA) [65].

Overall, CD, in contrast to UC, can have a tremendous and long-lasting impact upon nutritional status but can also be successfully treated with nutritional therapy. Minimal evidence exists for the treatment of UC with enteral nutrition. Wedrychowicz et al. recently evaluated the effect of EEN on endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF- β 1) in both UC and CD [66]. However, due to the concomitant use of antibiotics and 5ASA in this study, the role of EEN in UC is impossible to determine from this study. Therefore, the remainder of this chapter will focus on the nutritional impact and management of CD.

History of the Use of EEN in CD

The effectiveness of elemental diets was originally identified in 1973 by Voitk when it was used in adult patients with CD to provide preoperative nutritional support [67]. The first controlled study of an elemental diet in adults with CD determined that an elemental diet was equally effective in the induction of remission as corticosteroids [68]. The role of EEN in pediatrics, where EEN had the important additional benefit of supporting growth, was first reported by Sanderson and colleagues in 1987 [69].

The type of EEN utilized has evolved from the initial use of elemental feeds by nasogastric tube toward using polymeric feeds, which have better palatability, lower cost, and the option of oral administration. Although still the subject of some debate, practice has moved toward the use of EEN for any disease location in the gastrointestinal tract. Ongoing

research continues to explore the mechanism of action of EEN and strategies to optimize acceptance and utility of nutritional therapy.

Postulated Mechanisms of Action of EEN in CD

Our understanding of the mechanisms by which the beneficial effects of EEN are achieved in active CD remains incomplete. Various mechanisms have been proposed over time including relative gut rest, avoidance of allergenic elements, nutritional mechanisms, alteration of the intestinal microflora, and specific anti-inflammatory effects. Gut rest does not appear to be a complete explanation as complete gut rest, with total parenteral nutrition and nil by mouth, does not lead to enhanced rates of remission. Avoidance of dietary protein allergens also does not seem to explain the effects of EEN fully as the benefits of EEN are shown to the same whether an elemental or polymeric formula is utilized. Recent studies have focused upon changes in the intestinal microbiota, direct anti-inflammatory activities, and effects upon gut barrier function.

The Intestinal Microbiota

The intestinal microbiota plays a central role in the pathogenesis of IBD, although current data does not indicate any one species as being causative on its own. The impact of EEN upon the intestinal microbiota has been examined in human settings and in an animal model of IBD.

Two early studies used molecular techniques to examine the impact of EEN upon the flora in the context of IBD [70, 71]. These reports illustrated changes in the flora consequent to the introduction of the enteral formula. A more recent study employed a more comprehensive molecular approach (denaturing gel gradient electrophoresis or DGGE) with a wider selection of probes, enabling a broader profile of the changes [72]. This study showed a reduction in the diversity of the bacterial species and changes within all the main bacterial groupings. These changes were sustained, with effects well beyond the period of EEN alone.

A subsequent study utilized 16S rRNA and whole genome high-throughput sequencing to ascertain additional understanding of the impact of EEN upon the microbiota [73]. All five children included in this study had dysbiosis at diagnosis of CD. EEN resulted in a prompt reduction of the number of operational taxonomic units (OTU), which correlated with induction of disease remission. Subsequent exacerbation of disease leads to an increase in the number of OTU. Furthermore, six specific *Firmicutes* families were shown to correlate closely with disease activity during and after exposure to EEN [73].

More recently, further studies from the UK [74] and the USA [75] have utilized advanced molecular tools to further define changes in the intestinal microbiota consequent to EEN. Although each of these reports indicates the impact of EEN, they do not yet illustrate whether these changes result solely from the difference in the nutrients supplied in the formulae or how these changes then influence mucosal inflammation.

Data from an animal model of CD complements these data. Using an IL-10 knockout model of gut inflammation, a Japanese group assessed changes after the administration of elemental formula [76]. The bacterial diversity and bacterial number were both reduced in those animals given the formula compared to a control group with normal mouse diet.

Two recent studies have also assessed patterns of the intestinal flora consequent to enteral feeding in non-IBD contexts. Smith et al. [77] assessed changes in bacterial composition in the stomach and duodenum of adults receiving enteral formulae via a gastrostomy for various noninflammatory indications. Higher levels of bacterial DNA were found in the upper gut after enteral feeding. The fecal flora was not examined in this patient group. A second study examined the fecal microflora in a small group of adults requiring exclusive nasogastric feeding for a variety of medical indications [78]. Individuals with IBD were excluded from the study. The subjects provided stools at the start of, during, and at the end of a 14-day period of enteral feeds. Molecular methodology was employed to assess the flora (fluorescence in situ hybridization). Overall the investigators did not observe consistent changes in the microflora during this short period. However, they did note changes in particular groups of organisms in the individuals who developed diarrhea secondary to the enteral feeds. However, these effects differed to those seen consistently in individuals with IBD.

Anti-inflammatory Activities

Meister et al. [79] demonstrated in vitro anti-inflammatory activities of formulae in a series of experiments using explants (short-term culture of colonic tissue samples obtained endoscopically). These samples were incubated directly with an elemental formula or maintained in a control situation. The production of interleukin (IL)-1- β , IL-1-receptor antagonist (RA), and IL-10 was used as an indicator of cell responses. The cells incubated with formula lead to an increase in the ratio between IL-1RA and IL-1- β , compared to the control cells ($P < 0.05$). These changes were also evident when full protein-based formulae were employed. Further, these changes were not observed in biopsies taken from individuals with UC or with noninflamed IBD tissue.

More recently, an in vitro model of intestinal cells has been used to elucidate the anti-inflammatory effects of for-

mulae [80]. These experiments utilized established colonic epithelial cells lines, which were stimulated with one or more pro-inflammatory cytokines to replicate intestinal inflammatory events. Polymeric formulae were then used to rescue or to prevent the cellular response to this inflammatory insult, with interleukin (IL)-8 utilized as an indicator of epithelial response. The effect of adding polymeric formula (PF) to this model was assessed in a series of different ways, with particular use of a two-compartment model, whereby the PF was separated from the inflammatory cytokine. Experiments using this model demonstrated that PF leads to alteration of the inflammatory effects of TNF- α (reduced levels of IL-8) and suggested alteration of cellular signal transduction pathways as a mechanism for this finding [80].

A similar model was utilized to show that the application of PF resulted in modulation of nuclear factor (NF)- κ B activity, thereby modulating the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [81].

Subsequent studies showed that vitamin D and two specific amino acids (arginine and glutamine) mediated the effects of PF in this setting [82]. These findings suggest that active components within the nutritional products used for EEN may explain the anti-inflammatory effects seen in vivo.

Epithelial Barrier Function

Disruptions to barrier function, measured as altered intestinal permeability, are demonstrated in individuals with CD [83]. It is unclear whether these are primary events or are consequent to inflammation. Data showing similar alterations in permeability in asymptomatic first-degree relatives of people with IBD suggests that these could be primary changes, which could thereby predispose to the development of inflammatory changes in some individuals [84]. Intestinal permeability improves with resolution of inflammation [85] including following EEN [86].

Recent in vitro studies have explored these mechanisms further [87]. These studies employed an in vitro model of inflammation similar to that described above, whereby intestinal epithelial cell monolayers were stimulated with pro-inflammatory stimuli and then rescued with PF. Using an Ussing chamber, these experiments demonstrated that EEN lead to complete reversal of cytokine-induced changes in transepithelial resistance, short-circuit current, and horseradish peroxidase flux. In addition, PF was shown to correct cytokine-induced changes in tight junction proteins and key mediators of tight junction function. A subsequent series of confirmatory experiments were conducted using an animal model of colitis. Colitis induced in interleukin-10 knockout mice resulted in altered barrier function. These changes were reversed by the administration of a PF to the affected animals. PF in this setting also had reversal of mucosal inflammatory changes [88].

Although the molecular mechanisms of these observations are not yet defined, these findings provide significant clues to the activity of EEN *in vivo*. More work is required to clearly define the molecular events behind these important observations and also to translate these findings to the *in vivo* situation.

Effectiveness of Exclusive Enteral Nutrition Therapy in Crohn's Disease

Induction of Remission

Multiple pediatric studies have indicated that approximately 60–90% of children fed an exclusive liquid diet will enter clinical remission. As shown in several studies and a meta-analysis [89] updated with the most recent randomized study [90], high remission rates with EEN are achieved irrespective of the type of enteral feed (14/15 93% achieved remission with elemental diet vs 15/19 73% on polymeric diet, *n.s.*).

In addition, there have been numerous open and comparative studies evaluating the use of EEN versus corticosteroids in adults [91–94] and children [69, 95, 96] with CD. Recently, patients enrolled at diagnosis into the growth relapse and outcomes with therapy in Crohn's disease (GROWTH CD) study were evaluated for disease activity, CRP, and fecal calprotectin for 1 year. Clinical remission at 12 weeks with EEN was superior to corticosteroids both when considering remission by PCDAI (OR, 2.07; 95% CI, 1.8–18.3) or combined normal PCDAI and CRP (OR 3.4; 95% CI, 1.3–9) [97].

In three meta-analyses investigating the use of EEN in CD, steroids were found to be more effective in the induction of remission [98–100]. The most recent Cochrane meta-analysis comparing induction of remission by corticosteroids (160 patients) versus EEN (192 patients) yielded a pooled odds ratio (OR) of 0.33 (95% CI: 0.21–0.53) favoring corticosteroid therapy [75]. However, these analyses involved predominantly adult studies of varying quality. A well-conducted pediatric randomized controlled study [101], added to the latest meta-analysis, allowed for a sensitivity analysis of high-quality studies based on the Jadad scale [102]. The two high-quality studies had conflicting results, one favoring steroid therapy [103] and one favoring EEN [101] though neither study demonstrated statistically significant differences. However, the more recent study demonstrated mucosal healing after 10 weeks of EEN in 14/19 (74%) of participants versus only 6/18 (33%) of those treated with steroid therapy. The question of equivalent or superior efficacy of steroids to EEN in children is also raised by Heuschkel et al. who combined in meta-analysis the data accrued in controlled trials conducted exclusively in children and adolescents [104]. They concluded that nutritional treatment and conventional corticosteroids are equally effective

in a pediatric population, even if not in adults. However, to reach this conclusion, their NNT was 182 patients to detect a 20% difference in treatment effects. The actual number they had from five randomized controlled trials was only 147 children, and hence, they included two nonrandomized trials to reach the desired sample size.

Day et al. have identified poor compliance resulting in inadequate volume of EEN received as a major reason why some patients did not achieve remission [105]. The effect of compliance was explored in a recently updated Cochrane meta-analysis by performing a sub-analysis of the data on a per-protocol basis, excluding patients who withdrew due to lack of acceptability of nasogastric tube feeding or palatability of the enteral feed. When comparing those who completed EEN therapy to the corticosteroid group, efficacy was equivalent for induction of clinical remission [89].

In addition, a number of pediatric retrospective studies have found that EEN is more effective than corticosteroids in improving disease severity and growth deficiency. Among these is a large retrospective study from Canada including 229 patients where EEN has been commonly used as induction therapy [106]. In addition, a recent retrospective study from China where the incidence of CD is much lower, EEN was also found to be more effective than corticosteroids (90% vs 50% $P < 0.05$) [107]. Another large Canadian cohort found equal efficacy to corticosteroids [108].

In summary, existing studies and meta-analyses demonstrate high remission rates with EEN therapy depending on adherence. With efficacy to corticosteroids being similar, the advantages in mucosal healing, lack of corticosteroid side effects, and improvements in nutritional status strongly support the use of exclusive enteral nutrition over corticosteroid therapy for induction of remission. Current guidelines support EEN as the first-line therapy to induce remission in children with active CD [4]. Efforts to develop innovative palatable formulations to improve acceptance of this therapy among patients and strategies to improve geographic variability in utilization are required.

Comparative Effectiveness of Nutritional and Biological Therapy

In a recent prospective study of 90 children with CD, clinical outcomes of disease activity, quality of life, and mucosal healing estimated by fecal calprotectin were compared between partial enteral nutrition (PEN) ($n = 16$), EEN ($n = 22$), and anti-TNF therapy ($n = 52$). Clinical response (PCDAI reduction ≥ 15 or final PCDAI ≤ 10) was achieved by 64% on PEN, 88% EEN, and 84% anti-TNF (test for trend $P = 0.08$). FCP ≤ 250 $\mu\text{g/g}$ was achieved with PEN in 14%, EEN 45%, and anti-TNF 62% (test for trend $P = 0.001$). Improvement in overall quality of life was not statistically

significantly different between the three groups [109]. Further clinical and cost-effective studies are required to aid in the therapeutic decision pathway of pediatric CD.

Maintenance of Remission

Following the induction of remission, the use of EN as maintenance therapy may have additional benefits to prolonging remission, including delaying the requirement for further therapy (i.e., corticosteroids) and optimizing growth and nutrition. Most often maintenance EN is practiced in combination with maintenance medical therapy, but limitations of adherence may similarly impact enteral therapy as it does medical therapy.

To date the majority of the literature on maintenance of remission of CD with EN therapy has been in adult patients, mostly arising from multiple centers in Japan. There is a smaller and older body of work in pediatrics.

Maintenance of Remission with EN in Adults

Akobeng and Thomas [110] conducted a Cochrane review of enteral nutrition for maintenance of remission in Crohn's disease. They identified only two maintenance studies in adult patients which were randomized controlled studies, one where the comparison groups were two types of formula (elemental vs polymeric) [111] and another where a maintenance EN regimen was compared with regular diet [112]. Verma and colleagues studied 33 adult steroid-dependent CD patients in remission, who were randomized to elemental ($n = 19$) versus polymeric ($n = 14$) formula, and followed for maximum of 12 months. Fourteen or 43% of the total population remained in remission and off corticosteroid at 12 months, with no significant difference in relapse rates noted between the two formula groups [111]. They did not identify any disease- or patient-related factors that predicted response to enteral nutrition; however, their sample size was small limiting their ability to make meaningful comparisons. Although no "toxicity" was encountered per se, 6 (18%) of patients withdrew within 2 weeks of study start due to intolerance to feeds related to smell or taste problems.

Takagi [112] studied 51 adult patients in remission who were randomized to receive a half-elemental diet ($n = 26$) or a free diet group ($n = 25$). The half-elemental diet group was required to take half the daily caloric allowance as an elemental formula (either orally or via a nasogastric tube). While there were some restrictions placed on the caloric intake of the other "half" of their diet (aided through use of semi-weighed food diaries), there were no specifications for its composition. This was one of many Japanese studies which has looked at the question of maintenance EN how-

ever, and as such, the unrestricted free diet is likely different from the equivalent Western diet. The authors in the Takagi study chose a primary outcome of relapse over a 2-year period [112]. The study was stopped before achieving the 2-year follow-up for all participants because the relapse rate in the half-elemental diet group was significantly lower than that in the free diet group (34.6% vs 64%) after a mean follow-up of 11.9 months.

Yamamoto [113] carried out a systematic review examining EN for the maintenance of remission in Crohn's disease. They included studies where EN was compared with another therapy; thus the study by Takagi [112] was included, but not the study by Verma and colleagues [111]. They did not limit their review to RCTs, so three prospective nonrandomized trials [114–116] and six retrospective studies [117–121] were included. The number of patients included in most of these studies was small. One of the ten studies included pediatric patients alone [118]. Eight of ten studies were conducted in Japan. Knowledge of the country of origin for a study is important when interpreting the results and assessing generalizability. In Japan, EN has a central role in the management of CD. In all but one of the eight Japanese studies included in the systematic review, an elemental formula was used, and also in a majority of studies, the oral component of the diet was a low-fat diet. The impact of this dietary approach, compared with a maintenance polymeric formula and/or traditional Western diet, has not been directly studied. The contribution of the low-fat diet, and elemental formula with a relative low-fat component, may be a relevant factor in light of the work by Bamba et al. who suggested that a lower-fat diet may be an important factor related to the efficacy of EN in CD [122]. Another factor, when reviewing EN studies from Japan, is that virtually all participants with CD are on a 5ASA preparation, as this is viewed as a standard of care for maintenance [113]. Because all participants are exposed to this intervention, it would not be expected to bias the findings relative to the EN outcomes. Additionally azathioprine was used by a number of study participants, but as is the case with 5ASA, overall its use seemed to be balanced between the treatment and comparison groups in the studies, thereby limiting the bias this concomitant therapy might have introduced.

In the systematic review by Yamamoto [113], the authors broke down the studies by whether the patients had achieved a medically or surgically induced remission. Interestingly, different from what would be seen in studies conducted in North America, for those studies with patients who entered from a medically induced remission, the majority of patients went into remission with total parenteral nutrition or EEN. Regardless of the method of induction of remission (medical or surgical), the outcomes for the ten included studies showed benefit of EN for maintenance of remission (48–95%) over the non-EN comparison groups (21–65%)

[113]. In four studies the impact of dose of EN on remission rates was evaluated [117, 119, 121]. They found that higher amounts of enteral formula were associated with higher clinical remission rates. Another interpretation of these findings could be that patients with less active disease tolerated the enteral feeding better and, therefore, reached greater intakes than those with more active disease. Thus patients with milder disease may tolerate the nutrition better, rather than the higher intake being a predictor of maintenance of remission. As well, because there was no standard approach to “dosing” used in these studies, at this time no clear recommendations can be made regarding the minimum dose of EN required to optimally maintain remission.

Maintenance of Remission with EN in Pediatrics

Maintenance EN programs have been provided in various forms: overnight NG feeds in conjunction with normal daytime eating, short intervals of exclusive NG feeds every few months interspersed with regular diet, or as oral supplements in addition to oral eating through the day. Two Canadian groups have considered the first two approaches [118, 123]. Researchers at the Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto, Canada, reported on 28 children who after entering remission with EEN had subsequently continued overnight supplementary NG feeds in addition to normal diet in the daytime [118]. They were compared with 19 children in whom EEN successfully induced remission but who opted to discontinue nocturnal elemental feeding. At 12 months, 43% (12/28) of those receiving nocturnal EN had relapsed compared with 79% (15/19) who had discontinued supplemental elemental feedings ($P < 0.02$). A second group, from Montreal, Quebec, published a report utilizing a different approach to EN feeds, with intermittent intensive periods of nutritional therapy (EEN) [123]. This small study included eight children with CD and associated growth failure who were given intensive exclusive periods of formula for 1 month out of every 4 months. Disease activity markers fell in this group over time and in comparison to a control group who did not receive this intensive therapy. These eight children managed with intensive nutritional therapy also had significant catch-up growth [123].

EN in Combination with Medical Therapy

Thus far, the majority of studies investigating the role of EN with medical therapy have focused on concomitant use with infliximab. A meta-analysis of four adult studies, which were all from Japan, showed that specialized enteral nutrition therapy with infliximab resulted in 109 of 157 (69.4%) patients

reaching clinical remission compared with 84 of 185 (45.4% with infliximab monotherapy [OR 2.73; 95% confidence interval 1.73–4.31, $P < 0.01$]. Maintenance of remission was also achieved in the combination treatment group [124].

In children, there have been minimal studies conducted to examine the use of immunomodulators and EEN in children with newly diagnosed CD, but Buchanan et al. reported that patients found it difficult to continue supplemental nutrition as maintenance or remission and therefore used a strategy of early introduction of azathioprine for maintenance of EEN-induced remission [125]. The relative importance of choice of initial induction therapy on 2-year outcomes in the setting of early thiopurine use was recently evaluated. In the setting of early thiopurine commencement, choice of EEN over corticosteroid induction was associated with reduced linear growth failure (7 vs 26%, $P = 0.02$), steroid dependency (7 vs 43%, $P = 0.002$), and improved primary sustained response to infliximab (86 vs 68%, $P = 0.02$) [126].

The effect of supportive short-term partial enteral nutrition (SPEN) on the treatment of children with severe CD along with unspecified conventional therapy was recently explored in a Korean cohort [127]. Patients with active CD were divided into mild, moderate, and severe categories according to PCDAI. The severe group was given the option of receiving SPEN, and 17 of 34 patients opted in. The remaining 17 patients were considered to be the non-SPEN group. Changes in nutritional status and PCDAI were significantly higher in the SPEN group ($P < 0.05$).

Further long-term study of the combination and synergistic effects of enteral nutrition and medical therapy particularly for maintenance of remission and mucosal healing is needed.

Repeated EEN and Long-Term Outcomes of Therapy

Despite the convincing results regarding immediate benefits of nutritional treatment, the efficacy of EEN for disease exacerbation and duration of remission is poorly studied.

The efficacy of repeated EEN therapy as a treatment for flares of disease tends to decrease with the second course. In a recent retrospective study, 26/52 patients received a second EEN course. The first compared to the second EEN tended to a higher remission rate (92% remission for the first course vs 77% n.s.). Duration of the second EEN therapy was shorter compared to the first (mean days 50 vs 43, $P < 0.05$). It was possible that nonadherence increased with the second course of EEN and contributed to the lower effectiveness. Disease activity measured by the mathematically weighted PCDAI (wPCDAI) was higher for the first course of EEN therapy (59 vs 40, $P < 0.0001$) [128]. Remission rates ranging from 57 to 80% have been reported by other retrospective studies evaluating a consecutive course of EEN [105, 129, 130].

In terms of 1–2-year outcomes, approximately half to two thirds of patients will relapse [128, 130, 131]. Predictors of higher relapse rates include the type of induction therapy (corticosteroids have higher relapse rates than EEN induction) [130, 131] and the type of NOD2 genotypes (92% R702W or G908R vs 50% 1007 fs vs 60% wild type, $P < 0.01$) [128].

Additional Effects and Proof of Efficacy of EEN

EEN and Mucosal Healing

For some time the treatment goals for the management of active CD have focused on the induction of remission, judged clinically (resolution of symptoms) and biochemically (normalization of altered inflammatory markers). More recently it has become clear that the goal of treatment should be the achievement of mucosal healing. Mucosal healing in both CD and UC is clearly associated with improved long-term outcomes [132]. Persisting inflammatory changes are likely to contribute to poor growth in children and are also associated with an increased risk of subsequent disease relapse [133]. Mucosal healing may also influence disease progression and extraintestinal disease patterns.

Both EEN and infliximab lead to high rates of mucosal healing in CD: more so than other therapies used to induce remission (such as corticosteroids) [134].

At the turn of the century, Fell and colleagues [135] undertook a prospective assessment of mucosal healing in a group of children treated with EEN. These 29 children with active CD were treated with a polymeric formula. In addition to baseline endoscopic assessment, repeat colonoscopy was completed after 6–8 weeks time in order to judge endoscopic and histologic changes. EEN lead to clinical remission in 79% of these children. Overall there was significant endoscopic improvement in these children. A one-point improvement in the colonoscopy grading score was seen in the ileum and colon ($P < 0.0001$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively). Eight of the children achieved mucosal healing in the ileal region, while eight also had colonic mucosal healing.

More recently the results of two prospective Italian studies and an Australian study show the enhanced rates of mucosal healing following EEN comparing to corticosteroids [101, 136, 137]. Berni-Canani and colleagues [101] evaluated the responses in children managed with EEN or corticosteroids. Thirty-seven children were treated nutritionally for 8 weeks with various different formulae (polymeric, semi-elemental, and elemental), while ten received corticosteroids. Clinical remission rates were similar in the two groups (86.5% vs 90%, respectively), but mucosal healing rates were quite different. Twenty-six of the 37 children

treated nutritionally had mucosal improvements, and seven of them had complete mucosal healing. In contrast, just four of the steroid group had improvement noted, and none had mucosal healing.

In a second Italian study, children with active CD were allocated to receive either EEN (polymeric formula) or corticosteroids. Baseline colonoscopic assessment was followed by repeat colonoscopy at 10 weeks. Fourteen (74%) of the 19 children treated with EEN had mucosal healing. In contrast, mucosal healing was achieved in just six (33%) of the 18 children treated with corticosteroids ($P < 0.05$). Grover et al. evaluated the effects of 6 weeks of EEN in a cohort of 26 children. Paired endoscopic assessments showed that 58% of the group had complete or near-complete MH following EEN [137]. Subsequent work by this group in a larger group of children demonstrated that complete MH (seen in 18 of 54 children) after EEN resulted in sustained remission for up to 3 years [138].

Data from adult patients also clearly demonstrates high rates of mucosal healing consequent to EEN. Yamamoto et al. [139] assessed the mucosal changes following an elemental formula in 28 adults with active CD. In this series of patients treated with EEN, clinical remission was seen in 71%. Furthermore, endoscopic healing or improvements were documented in 44% and 78% of patients, respectively.

Mucosal healing with EEN does not appear to be dependent on the type of formula utilized. Benefits have been documented with elemental [101, 139, 140] or polymeric formulae [135, 136].

Coincident with promoting healing of the inflamed mucosa, EEN is also shown to lead to changes in levels of inflammatory mediators. Several reports published in the final decade of the last century demonstrated that EEN lead to reduced mucosal production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (especially TNF- α and interleukin-2) [140, 141] and prompted downregulation of pro-inflammatory genes measured within the intestinal mucosa [135, 142]. In addition, Fell et al. [135] also demonstrated increased levels of TGF- β mRNA, consistent with increased production of this anti-inflammatory cytokine. Yamamoto and colleagues [139] also showed that the mucosal levels of multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines fell to control levels consequent to treatment with an elemental formula. The ratio between IL-1 β and IL-1ra within the mucosa was also normalized.

Overall these data clearly show alterations in levels of inflammatory mediators within the mucosa following treatment with EEN. The full implications of achieving mucosal healing with EEN in children are not yet well defined. Maintenance EN may have a role in maintaining the levels of mucosal healing. It is also not clear if mucosal healing with one therapy (such as EEN) is different to that achieved by another agent (e.g., steroids). Furthermore, treatment protocols have not yet evolved to stratify maintenance therapy upon the level of mucosal healing.

EEN and Changes in Fecal Markers of Inflammation

Various proteins measured in the stool are valid markers of the level and extent of gut inflammation [143]. The most well-known markers are calprotectin and lactoferrin, but others include S100A12 and osteoprotegerin.

In a study by Gerasimidis et al., fecal calprotectin (FC) levels were measured on multiple occasions during and following a course of EEN in 15 children [144]. The children received a polymeric formula, and clinical disease activity was defined by determination of PCDAI scores, with a score of 10 or less being judged as clinical remission. FC levels fell only in the children who were in clinical remission by the end of the period of EEN, but FC levels were normalized in only one child. Interestingly, the FC level after 1 month of EEN was associated with clinical response at the end of EEN, suggesting a predictive value at this time. In a study comparing partial EN (PEN), EEN, and anti-TNF therapy, FC ≤ 250 $\mu\text{g/g}$ was achieved with PEN in 14%, EEN in 45%, and anti-TNF in 62% (test for trend $P = 0.001$).

The levels of S100A12 (a protein related to calprotectin) were evaluated in a small group of Australian children managed with EEN for active CD [145]. Levels fell in the subset of children who achieved clinical remission and normal CRP.

Recent work showed that EEN treatment also led to reductions in levels of another fecal inflammatory marker, osteoprotegerin (OPG) [146]. Levels of OPG fell to around 25% in response to 6–8 weeks of EEN (1994 ± 2289 pg/g at baseline to 406 ± 551 pg/g after EEN; $P = 0.002$). The value of this marker in predicting response to EEN or in correlating with mucosal healing has not yet been determined.

EEN: Nutritional Status and Growth

Along with improvements in disease activity, weight and growth improvements are also commonly seen with EEN. Numerous studies show improved weight gains, while some have illustrated changes in specific nutritional markers. Several studies have suggested that nutritional improvements occur at different times to changes in specific inflammatory markers. These studies demonstrate that improvements in nutrition do not correlate with the timing of normalizing inflammatory markers [54, 147]. It is not clear whether the nutritional changes are essential to achieve anti-inflammatory improvements. However, satisfactory weight gains are associated with response to EEN, illustrating the importance of these events [105].

Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 is a key mediator of growth hormone signaling. Alterations in this protein occur due to the effects of cytokines (reduced hepatic production secondary to interleukin-6) and are commonly observed in

active CD. A number of studies illustrate early increases in IGF-1 and its related binding protein (IGF-BP3) after commencement of EEN [148]; unpublished data, Day et al. [105]. IGF-1 levels rose after just 7 days of EEN in a small group of 12 children [147].

Detailed nutritional assessments, including body composition analysis, have been conducted in individuals receiving EEN. One key study evaluated body composition using multiple direct methods to define fat, water, total body protein, and potassium [149]. A group of 30 individuals with CD were assessed before and after 3 weeks of EEN. Within this short time, increased weight was linked with proportionate increases in body fat, protein, and water. Another study documented changes in body compartments in a group of Canadian children [25]. Body water, lean body mass, and height increases were observed in the children who had received EEN, but not in a comparison group treated with corticosteroids. EEN has been shown by other authors to promote anabolism consequent to suppression of proteolysis and enhanced protein synthesis [18, 37].

These changes in nutrition manifest in weight gains during EEN. The average weight gain in a group of Australian children treated with 6–8 weeks of EEN was 4.7 ± 3.5 kg [105]. In addition, weight Z scores increased over the duration of EEN from -0.2767 ± 0.9707 to 0.1866 ± 0.8024 ($P = 0.0016$). Weight standard deviation scores increased after 8 and 16 weeks ($P < 0.05$) in a small cohort of 14 UK children with a mean age of 12.5 years [148]. However, studies do report variable weight gains [136, 150].

EEN is also noted to have a positive benefit upon linear growth, with improved height velocity even within a short period of time [17, 69]. In a meta-analysis, Newby and colleagues [151] illustrated a significant improvement in height velocity Z scores with EEN compared to outcomes after treatment with corticosteroids. In the aforementioned Australian study, children receiving EEN gained up to 3 cm during the 8-week course of EEN; however there was no change in height Z scores across the whole group [105].

EEN and Bone Health

CD is associated with reductions in bone mineral density, which can lead to osteopenia and increased fracture risk. EEN appears to have benefits upon bone health. Whitten et al. [152] evaluated serum markers of bone turnover in a group of children with active newly diagnosed CD who were treated with polymeric formula as sole therapy to induce remission. Serum levels of bone resorption and bone production were measured at baseline and then again after 6–8 weeks of EEN. Control data was obtained from a group of children without IBD with normal growth patterns. Serum levels of C-terminal telopeptides of type-1 collagen (CTX), a marker

of bone resorption, were elevated at baseline and fell during therapy ($P = 0.002$). In addition, levels of bone-specific alkaline phosphatase (BAP), a marker of new bone formation, were low at baseline but rose significantly during therapy ($P = 0.02$). This study did not include evaluation of other aspects of bone health or bone densitometry.

A more recent study has evaluated the impact of EEN upon vitamin D, an important factor involved in bone health [39]. This study retrospectively evaluated levels of vitamin D in 78 children with CD. A subgroup ($n = 38$) had been treated with EEN at diagnosis. These children treated with EEN had higher levels of vitamin D than a comparison group of 17 children treated with corticosteroids after diagnosis ($P = 0.04$), suggesting that EEN provided a protective effect for this aspect of bone health.

Further information supporting the role of EEN in bone health was shown in a small German study. In this report, ten children with CD managed with EEN had repeated assessments of bone densitometry. The administration of EEN led to improved trabecular and cortical density by 3 months after starting EEN, although further improvements were not seen subsequently [153].

Altogether, these data suggest that EEN provides significant beneficial effects upon bone metabolism in children with CD. However, further confirmatory studies are required.

EEN and Quality of Life

Impaired QOL is well recognized in children with CD. The IMPACT questionnaire was developed and validated several years ago as a disease-specific tool to measure QOL in pediatric IBD [154]. Given the importance of eating and food in many cultures and the disruption of these usual patterns during treatment with EEN, there has been some concern that EEN could further impair QOL in these children. The influence of EEN upon QOL has been examined in just a small number of studies in children and adults.

An initial report on the effects of EEN upon QOL and functioning was published by a French group [155]. This study involved 30 children with active CD: half of the group was treated with EEN via an NG tube, while the other half of the group was given corticosteroids. The children were assessed by an adaptation of the IBD Questionnaire and underwent a series of psychological assessments, including a psychological interview. A disease-specific pediatric scoring tool was not utilized in this cohort. The authors showed that the children managed with EEN overall had improvements in their well-being. Several reported concerns about feeling different, disruptions to family routines, and the cosmetic effects of the NG tube itself. The children managed with EEN had better scores of anxiety and depression measures than those treated with corticosteroids. Both groups had

disruptions to daily activities such as school absences. A study from the UK looked specifically at QOL in a group of 26 children with active CD who were all managed with EEN [156]. This study reported remission rates and measured QOL using the IMPACT II questionnaire. Almost 90% of these children entered remission with EEN. Overall, 24 of the 26 children had improved QOL scores during this therapy. In this group of English children, the use of NG tubes to provide the formula did not impact adversely upon QOL.

In contrast to these findings, Hill et al. [157] found that the use of EEN was associated with lower QOL scores in their evaluation of children in their Australian center. This study involved the repeated assessment of various variables, including QOL and disease activity, at diagnosis and then six-monthly in 41 children (with 186 assessments in total). Nine children had assessments while receiving EEN: these children were noted to have lower QOL scores than other children on no treatments or those on other medical therapies. However, the group treated with EEN was also those with the highest disease activity scores and lowest nutritional parameters. Furthermore, multiple regression analyses showed that the only independent factor for prediction of QOL in the overall group was disease activity.

These data relate to the use of EEN as therapy for active disease. The ongoing influence of maintenance EN upon QOL has also been assessed in a large group of Japanese adults with known CD [158]. Ninety-five of the 126 patients included were receiving EN as maintenance therapy at the time of the assessment. The investigators used the adult IBD Questionnaire to assess QOL scores. In addition to QOL, other parameters were evaluated. Overall, this study showed that disease activity affected QOL, while nutritional treatment improved QOL. Overall scores and subscores for bowel and systemic symptoms were better in the patients with long-standing disease who were receiving maintenance EN.

In the PLEASE study discussed above, comparing anti-TNF therapy, EEN, and PEN, with assessment of outcomes at 8 weeks of therapy, a secondary outcome of QOL was assessed [109]. While clinical response (PCDAI reduction ≥ 15 or final PCDAI ≤ 10) was obtained in 64% of PEN, 88% EEN, and 84% anti-TNF, improvement in overall QOL was not statistically different between the three groups ($P = 0.86$). However, QOL improvement in the body image domain was greatest in the EEN group ($P = 0.03$) and with anti-TNF in the emotional functioning domain ($P = 0.04$).

At present, the overall impression of the available data is that the net benefits of EEN upon QOL are positive, likely consequent to improved energy and improved disease control. However, these data are not yet comprehensive, and further study is required to more fully understand the relationships between nutritional therapies and QOL in children with CD.

Pre-/Postoperative Effects

Two recent adult studies from China have examined the role of EEN in the preoperative setting. Li G et al. retrospectively reviewed the influence of preoperative 3-month EEN on the incidence of intra-abdominal septic complications (IASCs) after bowel resections for enterocutaneous fistulas (ECFs). The EEN group had a significantly higher serum albumin level and lower CRP at operation and suffered a lower risk of IASCs (3.6% vs 17.6%, $P < 0.05$) [159]. In addition, Li Y et al. demonstrated that preoperative optimization of CD following immunosuppressive therapy by EEN prolongs the immunosuppressant-free interval, reduces the risk of urgent surgery and reoperation, and decreases complications after abdominal surgery [160].

There is limited data from Japan on the impact of enteral nutrition on postoperative recurrence of CD. Initial intraoperative enteroscopic evaluation by Esaki suggested prophylactic effects of enteral nutrition on postoperative recurrence of small intestinal CD [121]. Yamamoto et al. studied the impact of long-term enteral nutrition on the clinical and endoscopic recurrence rates in a prospective, nonrandomized, parallel, controlled study of 40 adults who underwent resection for ileal or ileocolonic CD. Twenty patients continuously received enteral nutritional therapy (EN group) overnight via nasogastric tube and had a low-fat diet during the day. The 20 controls had neither nutritional therapy nor food restriction (non-EN group). Six months after operation, five patients (25%) in the EN group and eight (40%) in the non-EN group developed endoscopic recurrence, but the difference did not achieve significance. At 1 year a significant difference was found in both clinical recurrence (5% in the EN group vs 35% in the non-EN group) and endoscopic recurrence rates (30% in the EN group vs 70% in the non-EN group) [116]. This preliminary work in the postoperative setting supports the effectiveness of enteral nutrition, but additional studies are required to replicate this effect or determine regimens of postoperative EN use that would optimize long-term compliance and outcomes.

Adverse Effects of Enteral Nutrition

There are very few adverse effects associated with the use of EN. Loose stools may be reported, particularly in those with predominantly colonic disease distribution. Nausea and constipation are less commonly reported [135].

A cross-sectional Japanese study in adults has reported a risk of selenium deficiency in patients with CD being treated with EN. Selenium concentrations were measured and compared in 29 patients with CD treated by EN, 24 patients with CD who were not being treated with EN, and 21 healthy

controls. Selenium levels were only decreased in CD patients receiving EN and were inversely correlated to the duration and daily dose of EN. Clinical manifestations of selenium deficiency were only found in one patient [161]. A European study examining the effect of exclusive EN on antioxidant concentrations in childhood CD reported conflicting results with respect to selenium. Mean selenium concentrations of the cohort increased significantly from 0.82 $\mu\text{mol/l}$ to 1.14 mmol/L ($P < 0.001$). There were, however, significant reductions in mean concentrations of vitamins C and E [162]. A recent study on the impact of EEN on circulating micronutrients resulted in improved concentration for several nutrients, but interestingly, more than 90% of patients had depleted concentrations of all carotenoids, which later improved on normal diet [163]. Multiple factors including differences in age groups, disease activity, nutritional status, and EN formulae may all impact on vitamin and antioxidant levels and the disparate results of the above studies. Further investigation of potential adverse effects at the micronutrient level is required.

Another potential biochemical side effect reported to occur with EEN is transient elevation of transaminase enzymes. Schatorje et al. [164] performed prospective follow-up of liver enzymes in 11 new consecutive children who were primarily treated with total enteral nutrition (TEN) for 6 weeks. Liver enzymes were measured before starting TEN and after 3, 6, and 12 weeks. Overall, nine of 11 patients developed a marked elevation of aspartate transaminase (AST), and ten had an elevated alanine transaminase (ALT) peaking at 3 and 6 weeks. GGT was slightly elevated in three patients during therapy, including two boys with either pre-existing or persistent raised transaminases. Alkaline phosphatase and bilirubin remained normal. The mean follow-up period was 2.1 years (1.0–3.5 years). None of the patients developed liver disease during follow-up, and liver biopsy was therefore not performed [164]. However, subsequent to this publication, a letter to the editor by Lemberg et al. reviewing transaminase results in their published cohort of 12 children with newly diagnosed CD managed with 8 weeks of EEN showed conflicting data. ALT levels were borderline elevated in only two of their patients at 3 weeks of EEN and one patient at 8 weeks of EEN therapy [165]. At diagnosis, all of the markers were within normal ranges. After 2–3 weeks of EEN, the average AST levels were 26.2. Subsequent means were 25 at 8 weeks and 16.8 at 1–2 months after EEN. Average ALT levels rose initially to 21.9 U/L and were subsequently 21.2 at 8 weeks and 14.2 at 1–2 months after EEN. ALT levels were above the upper range of normal (45 U/L) at 2–3 weeks in only two children (51 and 48, respectively) and at 8 weeks in one child (48 U/L). GGT levels did not change and liver disease did not develop in any of the patients. Thus, the effect of EEN on the liver is unclear

from existing data. Further prospective investigation is required to clarify the effects of EEN on transaminase levels.

Severe adverse events related to EN are rare. To date there are three case reports of refeeding syndrome consequent to the use of EEN in CD [166, 167]. The two cases reported by Akobeng et al. occurred within days of starting EEN in severely malnourished children [167]. Although rare, it is important for clinicians to be aware of refeeding syndrome and to identify and monitor patients at risk.

Factors Affecting Response to EEN

Disease-Related Factors

Disease Duration

Several studies suggest higher efficacy of EEN in children with newly diagnosed CD over those with established disease duration. A multicenter North American study using a semi-elemental formula showed a remission rate of 83% in children newly diagnosed with CD [168], compared to a response rate of 50% in children with previously diagnosed CD. An Australian retrospective study found 12 of 15 (80%) children with newly diagnosed CD entered remission, defined by PCDAI, compared to 7 of 12 (58%) children, who had been diagnosed with CD for a mean of 3.2 years [105]. The latter study also showed that although some children in this group did not enter remission, each had reductions in PCDAI scores and each had nutritional improvements.

As previously discussed, the efficacy of repeated EEN therapy as a treatment for flares of disease tends to decrease with the second course [128], but the contribution of nonadherence in this setting versus disease duration is unclear.

Disease Location

Disease location has often been considered to potentially influence the effectiveness of EEN. Several early reports suggested increased efficacy when there is small bowel involvement [119, 129] and a trend toward earlier relapse in those with isolated colonic involvement [129]. Yet, Afzal et al. [150] demonstrated, in a prospective study of 65 children with acute intestinal CD treated with exclusive polymeric diet, that even the patients with disease limited to the colon had remission rates of 50%, albeit much lower than those with ileocolonic (82% remission rate) or ileal disease (91.7% remission rate).

Buchanan and colleagues [125], using carefully defined phenotypic classification in 110 patients on EEN, found no significant differences in the remission rates based on disease location. This is supported by a retrospective study by Rubio et al. who recently compared remission rates according to route of administration and found that the site of disease

activity had no impact on response to nutritional therapy [169]. Disease location could not be examined by the meta-analysis by Narula and Zachos et al. due to insufficient data [89, 100]. The most recent randomized trial evaluating elemental versus polymeric formula also did not identify any difference in remission rates based on disease location [90]. Thus, until the influence of disease location on response to EEN is more clearly delineated, it is reasonable to recommend it for all patients with CD regardless of disease site.

EEN-Related Factors

Polymeric Versus Elemental/Semi-elemental Diets

Nutritional therapy is classified by the nitrogen source derived from the amino acid or protein component of the formula. Elemental diets are created by mixing of single amino acids and are entirely antigen-free. Oligopeptide or semi-elemental diets are made by protein hydrolysis and have a mean peptide chain length of four or five amino acids, which is too short for antigen recognition or presentation. Polymeric diets contain whole protein from sources such as milk, meat, egg, or soy. They can be classified more simply as elemental (amino acid-based), semi-elemental (oligopeptide), and polymeric (whole-protein) diets.

Although elemental diets were used in the initial studies focusing upon the nutritional treatment of CD, subsequent studies in both children and adults have compared these elemental diets to polymeric diets [90, 103, 135, 170, 171]. Comparisons between any combination of the different protein sources when combined in meta-analysis [89, 100] have shown no significant difference in effectiveness. Similarly, one study comparing polymeric diets differing in glutamine enrichment showed no difference in remission rates [172].

Fat Composition

Several trials have been conducted to investigate the importance of fat composition [122, 173–175], building on the hypothesis that the proportion or type of fat in an enteral feed could affect the production of pro- or anti-inflammatory mediators. Two trials, Leiper et al. [174] and Sakurai et al. [175], investigated the effect of low versus high long-chain triglyceride (LCT) content and differing amounts of medium-chain triglycerides, respectively, in adult patients and showed no difference in effect. Another study by Bamba et al. [122] comparing diets of low (3.06 g/day), medium (16.56 g/day), or high fat (30.06 g/day) contents showed higher remission rates in the lowest fat group. By intention to treat analysis, remission was achieved in eight of 11 patients (72.7%) of the low-fat group, four of 13 (30.8%) in the medium-fat group, and two of 12 (16.7%) of the high-fat group. However, all of

these studies were flawed by either small sample sizes, high dropout rates, or unvalidated activity indices used to define remission. When studies evaluating fat composition were combined by meta-analysis [89, 100], a nonsignificant trend favoring very low-fat and low LCT content has been demonstrated. However, these results should be interpreted with caution due to statistically significant heterogeneity and small size, which may have lacked statistical power to show differences should they exist. In addition, subgroup analyses could not be performed based on the n6 or n9 fatty acid composition in the feeds due to significant heterogeneity. The possibility that fat composition influences immunomodulatory or anti-inflammatory effect in active CD warrants further exploration with larger trials. In summary, no specific formula composition of EN diets has been conclusively shown to influence induction of remission in active CD.

Exclusive Versus Partial EN

The question of whether supplementary EN could be considered instead of EEN was explored in a randomized controlled pediatric trial by Johnson et al. [176]. This study showed that the combination of partial EN (50% of energy requirements) with normal diet leads to a substantially lower rate of remission compared to the use of EEN (100% of energy requirements) (15% in PEN vs 42% in EEN; $P < 0.035$).

Gupta et al. [177] recently retrospectively examined a novel protocol providing patients with 80–90% of caloric needs by EN and allowing consumption of remaining calories from a normal diet. Fifteen of twenty-three (65%) of the patients receiving the novel partial EN protocol achieved remission [177]. However, subsequent work from this group, as part of the PLEASE study [109], would suggest that although patients/families are instructed to consume 10–20% of calories from a normal diet, it would seem that in many what actually occurs is that overall caloric consumption is increased. Close monitoring of intake by dietitians revealed that the PEN group consumed 150.8% \pm 36.2 of their estimated energy requirement from a combination of formula (77.7% \pm 14.2) and food (72.9% \pm 25.5) so that 47.0% \pm 13.5 of their caloric intake was from food. While PEN plus ad lib diet improved clinical symptoms in this study, EEN and anti-TNF therapies were superior for inducing remission. These data suggest that EEN is effective due to the exclusion or at least a significant reduction of certain components of normal diets. Emerging data and further efforts are underway to study the effect of restricted table food-based diets on CD.

Duration of Therapy

The duration of EEN therapy ranges from 2 to 12 weeks in the literature and varies substantially in different parts of the world. The early effects of EN have been achieved over the

first 4 weeks of therapy. Additional later effects in the fourth to eighth week of therapy may include further anti-inflammatory and nutritional benefits [105].

Delivery of EEN

Route of Administration of EEN

EEN can be administered by various different routes, such as oral and nasogastric (NG), or via a gastrostomy tube. The choice of route of administration will often be dependent on clinical judgment and reflects local practice, tolerance of formulae, and patient choice. Elemental or semi-elemental formulae may be more difficult to take orally. Since polymeric formulae have the same clinical benefits, lower cost, and better palatability (allowing for oral administration), they may be associated with increased interest, tolerance, and compliance of EN therapy, which remains the greatest challenge of this form of therapy. However, while, generally, children will accept the oral route more than the NG route, oral feeding may lead to greater difficulties over time as the child tries to maintain sufficient volume over a longer period of time. Rubio et al. [169] retrospectively reviewed 106 patients treated with either fractionated oral or continuous enteral feedings and found that both routes were efficacious in inducing remission and mucosal healing. After 8 weeks of EEN, 34/35 (75%) achieved remission in the oral group and 52/61 (85%) in the enteral nutrition group ($P = 0.157$). All patients showed a significant decrease in disease severity assessed by PCDAI and significant improvements in anthropometric measures and inflammatory indices. Weight gain was greater in the enteral group ($P = 0.041$) [169].

Some reports refer to the practice of routine placement of a NG tube at the start of the course of EEN and then encouragement of oral intake so that children end up with removal of the tube and ongoing oral feeds [90]. On the other hand, children who struggle with tolerance soon after commencing a period of EEN orally can subsequently be switched to NG administration [125].

Approach to Reintroduction of Normal Diet

Following the completion of the course of EEN, the next step will be the recommencement of normal regular diet. An international review of protocols in different units illustrated the range of approaches [178]. Overall, the time taken to reintroduce a normal diet (following a 6–8 week period of EEN) at these pediatric units varied from 1 to 12 weeks.

One of the most accepted approaches to reintroduce normal diet is a gradual introduction of food quantity, while formula volume is progressively decreased [178]. This approach entails the introduction of a meal every 2–3 days while reducing the volume of formula with the introduction of each

meal, so that the adjustment takes place over 7–10 days time [105, 125]. Although not formally evaluated in this setting, this approach has been well accepted with very few children having disruptions to the reintroduction of normal diet [personal observations, A. Day].

One group has reported the immediate introduction of food, while formula volume is decreased to overnight feeds [179]. A further approach has involved the use of a low-allergen diet, with new low-allergen foods (initially lamb, potato, chicken, or rice) introduced every 2 or 3 days, followed by the progressive reintroduction of other foods and food groups [180]. This method of returning to a normal diet was evaluated by Shergill-Bonner et al. in 100 patients, and no clear benefits were demonstrated [181]. Similarly Faiman et al. reported a retrospective cohort study where 20 patients had reintroduction of food using the low-allergen approach, while a comparison group ($n = 19$) followed a low-residue diet for 3 days before reestablishing their usual unrestricted diet, with their EEN being weaned over a 2-week period. As with other studies which have looked at this issue, no significant differences were noted between the two groups with respect to relapse rate and duration of remission [182].

Geographic Variability and Barriers to Utilization of EEN

There is significant geographic variation in the practice and recommendations for EEN as primary therapy in the management of children with CD [65]. In Europe and Japan, guidelines recommend EEN as the first-line therapy for induction of remission in children with CD [183, 184]. The variation in use is noted between and within different countries across the world [5, 185–187]. In an early study by Levine et al. [5], significant variations in the use of EEN were reported in a trans-Atlantic survey of 167 physicians from the USA, Canada, Western Europe, and Israel. In that study, while 4% of North American pediatric gastroenterologists used EEN regularly, 62% of European practitioners reported regular use. These European numbers were echoed in a report from a survey of Swedish pediatric GI units, which showed that 65% of the units used EEN as their primary therapy in newly diagnosed CD [185]. The variation in practice among North American pediatric gastroenterologists was revisited in a survey of 326 NASPGHAN members from North America (86% USA, 14% Canada) [187]. They reported that 31% of respondents never used EN, 55% reported sparse use, and 12% reported regular use. Physicians in Canada reported significantly more use than in America ($P < 0.001$). Variations in EN use within a country were also demonstrated in a study of Australian pediatric gastroenterologists by Day et al. [186]. In both the North American and

Australian studies, currently working and previously working in a center where EEN was used were important factors for both the perceived appropriateness of EEN and the regularity of its use. North American pediatric gastroenterologists reported that concerns about adherence were the main disadvantage of EEN and provided a barrier to wider usage. Australian respondents also commented that adherence was a concern but cited other issues including cost and resource demands. Both of these surveys noted that experience with EEN during gastroenterology training related to current use and confidence with EEN.

While this preliminary work has attempted to explore physician factors to explain the use of EEN, currently only one pilot study has been published which assesses factors influencing patient or parent acceptance [188]. Individual qualitative interviews were conducted with 11 pediatric Crohn's disease patients and their parents from various clinics across Canada to explore the experience of choosing (or not choosing) a treatment option. Of the 11 patients, seven had received some form of EN as part of their initial treatment. Issues raised during the qualitative interviews were grouped into six themes, and for each of these themes, considerations and impacts on practice were derived (see Table 27.2). Patient, family, and societal/cultural factors undoubtedly play a role in the acceptance and use of EEN. The fear of corticosteroid-related side effects, the cost of EEN (which is rarely covered by insurance plans in many countries), concerns over giving up conventional foods, poor palatability of formulae, and fear of tube feedings are some of the reasons patients and/or parents give for not choosing EEN [189].

Another potential barrier to the incorporation of EN as a realistic therapeutic option is adequate resources to support an EN program. There are no published studies which have delineated the optimal resources required. A recent clinical report on EN as primary therapy in pediatric Crohn's disease from the NASPGHAN highlighted several issues of importance [189]. Attitudes among the health-care staff that promote the use of EN and the center's experience appear to play a large role [187]. Dedicated dietitians are fundamental to an EN program, determining appropriate nutrient intake, and in administration of the program. Nursing support with experience in administering and teaching care of tube feedings and use of the feeding pumps is necessary for those who are unable to tolerate oral formula. Formula cost is also an important consideration, particularly when semi-elemental or elemental formulae are chosen, and they are providing sole-source nutrition during the period of exclusive EN feeding. Also, formula costs may not be covered by the relevant health system or drug insurance plans. In some jurisdictions, coverage may be obtained if formula is delivered by a tube, either NG or gastrostomy tube. The high cost is likely to be a barrier to utilization of this therapy.

Table 27.2 Thematic summary of patient and family interviews

Factor/themes (with examples)	Considerations and impact on practice after discussion in workshop
Messaging from healthcare team	
“Pharmacist said incidence of most side effects from steroids was 10% or lower” Family opted for the steroid because they did not feel the efficacy of the EEN was explained	Need for multidisciplinary education and conviction; ensure accurate and consistent messaging Written information to ensure accurate recall by families
Parental assumptions and expectations	
“At 14, no way would she do that” “12 is a difficult, in-between age. Maybe if he was younger or older, he would (been convinced to) have tried the [formula.]”	Importance of connecting parents with experienced parents Involve social work or health psychology
Social concerns	
Integration into school, activities, not eating “EEN would be socially isolating” “Patient became emotional about not eating (worried about missing the food he like, being different from his friends)”	Importance of connecting patients to youth with EEN experience; use available resources (videos, camp/social experience)
Guilt	
Parents felt that he had already been through so much that they did not want to upset him further “At 10 or 11, it was hard to imagine that he could only drink, when his friends were eating”	Focus on benefits of EEN, not only challenges Importance of connecting parents with experienced parents Involve social work or health psychology
Child as the decision maker	
“Parents have to respect the wishes of their children (even very young children). The option of a steroid was the only one our son wanted to look at, so we had to go with his wishes.” (patient was 10 years old when EEN was offered) “You can’t make your teen do what they don’t want to do”	Be sure child is present and actively engaged in discussions regarding treatment The child is a key player in the decision making, but they are not the only player – parental involvement is also important; it is a difficult decision to make alone Engage supports – such as peers – and connect with patient who has been on EEN
Adaptation	
“It seems so traumatic at first, but you have to look ahead. There are so many possibilities for a good outcome.” “It is hard, but it will get a lot better” “Nervous but relieved [at decision to place NG tube.]” “The tube was in for 10½ weeks, stayed in, and was changed three times. Very successful. She gained weight.”	Have families share their experiences and strategies

Taken from Johan Van Limbergen et al. [188]

EEN exclusive enteral nutrition, NG nasogastric

Conclusion

Nutrition is an important component of the management of IBD in children and adolescents. Successful use of EEN as a form of therapy, specifically for CD, requires a dedicated multidisciplinary team of nurses, dieticians, social workers, and medical staff to support children and families during therapy. Pediatric gastroenterologists must consider EEN in the therapeutic decision process since it yields all of the target outcomes of interest in the management of CD including alleviation of symptoms, mucosal healing, correction of nutritional deficiencies, optimization of growth, and normalization of quality of life, without adverse effects encountered with most pharmacologic therapies.

With a renewed interest in the role of nutrition in the treatment of IBD, a remaining challenge is the difficulty in maintaining remission as many patients do not welcome repeated restrictions on normal eating. The combination of enteral and drug therapy with immunomodulators, or other therapies, to maintain remission requires further study.

Avenues of investigation will likely include exploration of specific oral diets and nutrients that have pharmacologic properties, such as the ability to induce immunomodulation. Although the influence of nutrition on the pathogenesis of IBD and the role of nutrition in the therapy of IBD remain unclear, future investigation of the potential interactions among nutrition and the genome, microbiome, and immune system will enhance our understanding of pathogenesis and have an important clinical impact on the treatment of pediatric IBD.

References

1. Seidman E, LeLeiko N, Ament M, Berman W, Caplan D, Evans J, et al. Nutritional issues in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1991;12(4):424–38.
2. Alhagamhmad MH, Day AS, Lemberg DA, Leach ST. An update of the role of nutritional therapy in the management of Crohn’s disease. *J Gastroenterol.* 2012;47(8):872–82.

3. Kanof ME, Lake AM, Bayless TM. Decreased height velocity in children and adolescents before the diagnosis of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. 1988;95(6):1523-7.
4. Ruemmele FM, Veres G, Kolho KL, Griffiths A, Levine A, Escher JC, et al. Consensus guidelines of ECCO/ESPGHAN on the medical management of pediatric Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2014;8(10):1179-207.
5. Levine A, Milo T, Buller H, Markowitz J. Consensus and controversy in the management of pediatric Crohn disease: an international survey. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2003;36(4):464-9.
6. Ruemmele FM, Hyams JS, Otley A, Griffiths A, Kolho KL, Dias JA, et al. Outcome measures for clinical trials in paediatric IBD: an evidence-based, expert-driven practical statement paper of the paediatric ECCO committee. *Gut*. 2015;64(3):438-46.
7. dos Santos GM, Silva LR, Santana GO. Nutritional impact of inflammatory bowel diseases on children and adolescents. *Rev Paul Pediatr*. 2014;32(4):403-11.
8. Griffiths A. Inflammatory bowel disease; Chapter 41. In: WADPHJe, editor. *Pediatric gastrointestinal disease*. 3rd ed. Hamilton: BC Decker; 2000.
9. Jakobsen C, Paerregaard A, Munkholm P, Faerk J, Lange A, Andersen J, et al. Pediatric inflammatory bowel disease: increasing incidence, decreasing surgery rate, and compromised nutritional status: a prospective population-based cohort study 2007-2009. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2011;17(12):2541-50.
10. Aurangzeb B, Leach ST, Lemberg DA, Day AS. Assessment of nutritional status and serum leptin in children with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2011;52(5):536-41.
11. Kugathasan S, Nebel J, Skelton JA, Markowitz J, Keljo D, Rosh J, et al. Body mass index in children with newly diagnosed inflammatory bowel disease: observations from two multicenter North American inception cohorts. *J Pediatr*. 2007;151(5):523-7.
12. Kelts DG, Grand RJ, Shen G, Watkins JB, Werlin SL, Boehme C. Nutritional basis of growth failure in children and adolescents with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. 1979;76(4):720-7.
13. Kirschner BS, Klich JR, Kalman SS, deFavaro MV, Rosenberg IH. Reversal of growth retardation in Crohn's disease with therapy emphasizing oral nutritional restitution. *Gastroenterology*. 1981;80(1):10-5.
14. Kirschner BS, Voinchet O, Rosenberg IH. Growth retardation in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*. 1978;75(3):504-11.
15. Motil KJ, Altchuler SI, Grand RJ. Mineral balance during nutritional supplementation in adolescents with Crohn disease and growth failure. *J Pediatr*. 1985;107(3):473-9.
16. Motil KJ, Grand RJ, Maletskos CJ, Young VR. The effect of disease, drug, and diet on whole body protein metabolism in adolescents with Crohn disease and growth failure. *J Pediatr*. 1982;101(3):345-51.
17. Thomas AG, Taylor F, Miller V. Dietary intake and nutritional treatment in childhood Crohn's disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 1993;17(1):75-81.
18. Shafir R, Philip M, Levine A. Growth retardation in pediatric Crohn's disease: pathogenesis and interventions. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2001;13:620-8.
19. Kleinman RE, Baldassano RN, Caplan A, Griffiths AM, Heyman MB, Issenman RM, et al. Nutrition support for pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a clinical report of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology And Nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2004;39(1):15-27.
20. Thayu M, Denson LA, Shults J, Zemel BS, Burnham JM, Baldassano RN, et al. Determinants of changes in linear growth and body composition in incident pediatric Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2010;139(2):430-8.
21. Pons R, Whitten KE, Woodhead H, Leach ST, Lemberg DA, Day AS. Dietary intakes of children with Crohn's disease. *Br J Nutr*. 2009;102(7):1052-7.
22. Costa CO, Carrilho FJ, Nunes VS, Sipahi AM, Rodrigues M. A snapshot of the nutritional status of Crohn's disease among adolescents in Brazil: a prospective cross-sectional study. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2015;15:172.
23. Thangarajah D, Hyde MJ, Konteti VK, Santhakumaran S, Frost G, Fell JM. Systematic review: body composition in children with inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;42(2):142-57.
24. Burnham JM, Shults J, Semeao E, Foster BJ, Zemel BS, Stallings VA, et al. Body-composition alterations consistent with cachexia in children and young adults with Crohn disease. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2005;82(2):413-20.
25. Azcue M, Rashid M, Griffiths A, Pencharz PB. Energy expenditure and body composition in children with Crohn's disease: effect of enteral nutrition and treatment with prednisolone. *Gut*. 1997;41(2):203-8.
26. Wiskin AE, Wootton SA, Hunt TM, Cornelius VR, Afzal NA, Jackson AA, et al. Body composition in childhood inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Nutr (Edinburgh, Scotland)*.
27. Thayu M, Shults J, Burnham JM, Zemel BS, Baldassano RN, Leonard MB. Gender differences in body composition deficits at diagnosis in children and adolescents with Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2007;13(9):1121-8.
28. Boot AM, Bouquet J, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM. Bone mineral density and nutritional status in children with chronic inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut*. 1998;42(2):188-94.
29. Sylvester FA, Leopold S, Lincoln M, Hyams JS, Griffiths AM, Lerer T. A two-year longitudinal study of persistent lean tissue deficits in children with Crohn's disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol Off Clin Pract J Am Gastroenterol Assoc*. 2009;7(4):452-5.
30. Mitch WE, Goldberg AL. Mechanisms of muscle wasting. The role of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;335(25):1897-905.
31. Zoli G, Care M, Falco F, Parazza M, Spano CG. Effect of oral elemental diet on nutritional status, intestinal permeability and disease activity in Crohn's patients. *Gastroenterology*. 1996;110(4):A1054.
32. Varille V, Cezard JP, de Lagausie P, Bellaiche M, Tounian P, Besnard M, et al. Resting energy expenditure before and after surgical resection of gut lesions in pediatric Crohn's disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 1996;23(1):13-9.
33. Werkstetter KJ, Ullrich J, Schatz SB, Prell C, Koletzko B, Koletzko S. Lean body mass, physical activity and quality of life in paediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease and in healthy controls. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2012;6(6):665-73.
34. Nguyen T, Ploeger HE, Obeid J, Issenman RM, Baker JM, Takken T, et al. Reduced fat oxidation rates during submaximal exercise in adolescents with Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2013;19(12):2659-65.
35. Li Y, Zhu W. Body fat composition predicts infectious complications after bowel resection in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2015;21(8):E19.
36. Bryant RV, Ooi S, Schultz CG, Goess C, Grafton R, Hughes J, et al. Low muscle mass and sarcopenia: common and predictive of osteopenia in inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;41(9):895-906.
37. Hannon TS, Dimeglio LA, Pfefferkorn MD, Denne SC. Acute effects of enteral nutrition on protein turnover in adolescents with Crohn disease. *Pediatr Res*. 2007;61(3):356-60.
38. Hwang C, Ross V, Mahadevan U. Micronutrient deficiencies in inflammatory bowel disease: from A to zinc. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2012;18(10):1961-81.
39. Levin AD, Wadhwa V, Leach ST, Woodhead HJ, Lemberg DA, Mendoza-Cruz AC, et al. Vitamin D deficiency in children with inflammatory bowel disease. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2011;56(3):830-6.
40. Yakut M, Ustun Y, Kabacam G, Soykan I. Serum vitamin B12 and folate status in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2010;21(4):320-3.

41. Alkhoury RH, Hashmi H, Baker RD, Gelfond D, Baker SS. Vitamin and mineral status in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2013;56(1):89–92.
42. Trebble TM, Wootton SA, May A, Erlewyn-Lajeunesse MD, Chakraborty A, Mullee MA, et al. Essential fatty acid status in paediatric Crohn's disease: relationship with disease activity and nutritional status. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2003;18(4):433–42.
43. Driscoll Jr RH, Meredith SC, Sitrin M, Rosenberg IH. Vitamin D deficiency and bone disease in patients with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology.* 1982;83(6):1252–8.
44. Geerling BJ, Badart-Smook A, Stockbrugger RW, Brummer RJ. Comprehensive nutritional status in patients with long-standing Crohn disease currently in remission. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1998;67(5):919–26.
45. Gerasimidis K, Talwar D, Duncan A, Moyes P, Buchanan E, Hassan K, et al. Impact of exclusive enteral nutrition on body composition and circulating micronutrients in plasma and erythrocytes of children with active Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2012;18(9):1672–81.
46. Gerasimidis K, Barclay A, Papangelou A, Missiou D, Buchanan E, Tracey C, et al. The epidemiology of anemia in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease: prevalence and associated factors at diagnosis and follow-up and the impact of exclusive enteral nutrition. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2013;19(11):2411–22.
47. Van Gossum A, Cabre E, Hebuterne X, Jeppesen P, Krznaric Z, Messing B, et al. ESPEN guidelines on parenteral nutrition: gastroenterology. *Clin Nutr (Edinburgh, Scotland).* 2009;28(4):415–27.
48. Kleinman RE, Balistreri WF, Heyman MB, Kirschner BS, Lake AM, Motil KJ, et al. Nutritional support for pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1989;8(1):8–12.
49. Kitney L, Turner JM, Spady D, Malik B, El-Matary W, Persad R, et al. Predictors of medication adherence in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease patients at the Stollery Children's Hospital. *Can J Gastroenterol.* 2009;23(12):811–5.
50. Greenley RN, Stephens KA, Nguyen EU, Kunz JH, Janas L, Goday P, et al. Vitamin and mineral supplement adherence in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Psychol.* 2013;38(8):883–92.
51. Sondike S. Weight status in pediatric IBD patients at the time of diagnosis: effects of the obesity epidemic. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2004;39(Suppl 1):S317.
52. Long MD, Crandall WV, Leibowitz IH, Duffy L, del Rosario F, Kim SC, et al. Prevalence and epidemiology of overweight and obesity in children with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2011;17(10):2162–8.
53. Chan SS, Luben R, Olsen A, Tjorneland A, Kaaks R, Teucher B, et al. Body mass index and the risk for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis: data from a European Prospective Cohort Study (The IBD in EPIC Study). *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013;108(4):575–82.
54. Teahon K, Pearson M, Smith T, Bjarnason I. Alterations in nutritional status and disease activity during treatment of Crohn's disease with elemental diet. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 1995;30(1):54–60.
55. Grinspoon S, Gulick T, Askari H, Landt M, Lee K, Anderson E, et al. Serum leptin levels in women with anorexia nervosa. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1996;81(11):3861–3.
56. Hassink SG, Sheslow DV, de Lancey E, Opentanova I, Considine RV, Caro JF. Serum leptin in children with obesity: relationship to gender and development. *Pediatrics.* 1996;98(2 Pt 1):201–3.
57. Singhal A, Farooqi IS, O'Rahilly S, Cole TJ, Fewtrell M, Lucas A. Early nutrition and leptin concentrations in later life. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2002;75(6):993–9.
58. Suliman AT, ElZalabany MM, Salama M, Ansari BM. Serum leptin concentrations during severe protein-energy malnutrition: correlation with growth parameters and endocrine function. *Metab Clin Exp.* 2000;49(7):819–25.
59. Hoppin AG, Kaplan LM, Zurakowski D, Leichtner AM, Bousvaros A. Serum leptin in children and young adults with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1998;26(5):500–5.
60. Gokhale R, Favus MJ, Karrison T, Sutton MM, Rich B, Kirschner BS. Bone mineral density assessment in children with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology.* 1998;114(5):902–11.
61. Compston JE. Management of bone disease in patients on long term glucocorticoid therapy. *Gut.* 1999;44(6):770–2.
62. Hyams JS, Carey DE. Corticosteroids and growth. *J Pediatr.* 1988;113(2):249–54.
63. Franklin JL, Rosenberg HH. Impaired folic acid absorption in inflammatory bowel disease: effects of salicylazosulfapyridine (Azulfidine). *Gastroenterology.* 1973;64(4):517–25.
64. Feagan BG, Rochon J, Fedorak RN, Irvine EJ, Wild G, Sutherland L, et al. Methotrexate for the treatment of Crohn's disease. The North American Crohn's Study Group Investigators. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;332(5):292–7.
65. Brown AC, Rampertab SD, Mullin GE. Existing dietary guidelines for Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *Exp Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2011;5(3):411–25.
66. Wedrychowicz A, Kowalska-Duplaga K, Jedynak-Wasowicz U, Pieczarkowski S, Sladek M, Tomasik P, et al. Serum concentrations of VEGF and TGF-beta1 during exclusive enteral nutrition in IBD. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2011;53(2):150–5.
67. Voitek AJ, Echave V, Feller JH, Brown RA, Gurd FN. Experience with elemental diet in the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. Is this primary therapy? *Arch Surg.* 1973;107(2):329–33.
68. O'Morain CA, Segal AW, Levi AJ. Elemental diet as primary treatment of acute Crohn's disease: a controlled trial. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed).* 1984;288(6434):1859–62.
69. Sanderson IR, Udeen S, Davies PS, Savage MO, Walker-Smith JA. Remission induced by an elemental diet in small bowel Crohn's disease. *Arch Dis Child.* 1987;62(2):123–7.
70. Lionetti P, Callegari ML, Ferrari S, Cavicchi MC, Pozzi E, de Martino M, et al. Enteral nutrition and microflora in pediatric Crohn's disease. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2005;29(4 Suppl):S173–5. discussion S5-8, S84-8.
71. Pryce-Millar E, Murch SH, Heuschkel RB. Enteral nutrition therapy in Crohn's disease changes the mucosal flora [abstract]. *JPGN J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2004;39(Suppl 1):289.
72. Leach ST, Mitchell HM, Eng WR, Zhang L, Day AS. Sustained modulation of intestinal bacteria by exclusive enteral nutrition used to treat children with Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2008;28(6):724–33.
73. Kaakoush NO, Day AS, Leach ST, Lemberg DA, Nielsen S, Mitchell HM. Effect of exclusive enteral nutrition on the microbiota of children with newly diagnosed Crohn's disease. *Clin Trans Gastroenterol.* 2015;6:e71.
74. Quince C, Ijaz UZ, Loman N, Eren AM, Saulnier D, Russell J, et al. Extensive modulation of the fecal metagenome in children with Crohn's disease during exclusive enteral nutrition. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2015;110(12):1718–29. quiz 30.
75. Lewis JD, Chen EZ, Baldassano RN, Otley AR, Griffiths AM, Lee D, et al. Inflammation, antibiotics, and diet as environmental stressors of the gut microbiome in pediatric Crohn's disease. *Cell Host Microbe.* 2015;18(4):489–500.
76. Kajiura T, Takeda T, Sakata S, Sakamoto M, Hashimoto M, Suzuki H, et al. Change of intestinal microbiota with elemental diet and its impact on therapeutic effects in a murine model of chronic colitis. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2009;54(9):1892–900.
77. Smith AR, Macfarlane S, Furrer E, Ahmed S, Bahrami B, Reynolds N, et al. Microbiological and immunological effects of enteral feeding on the upper gastrointestinal tract. *J Med Microbiol.* 2011;60(Pt 3):359–65.
78. Whelan K, Judd PA, Tuohy KM, Gibson GR, Preedy VR, Taylor MA. Fecal microbiota in patients receiving enteral feeding are

- highly variable and may be altered in those who develop diarrhea. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009;89(1):240–7.
79. Meister D, Bode J, Shand A, Ghosh S. Anti-inflammatory effects of enteral diet components on Crohn's disease-affected tissues in vitro. *Dig Liver Dis Off J Ital Soc Gastroenterol Ital Assoc Study Liver.* 2002;34(6):430–8.
80. de Jong NS, Leach ST, Day AS. Polymeric formula has direct anti-inflammatory effects on enterocytes in an in vitro model of intestinal inflammation. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2007;52(9):2029–36.
81. Nahidi L, Corley SM, Wilkins MR, Wei J, Alhagamhmad M, Day AS, et al. The major pathway by which polymeric formula reduces inflammation in intestinal epithelial cells: a microarray-based analysis. *Genes Nutr.* 2015;10(5):479.
82. Alhagamhmad MH, Day AS, Lemberg DA, Leach ST. Exploring and enhancing the anti-inflammatory properties of polymeric formula. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutrition.* 2016. PMID: 26826259. [Epub ahead of print].
83. Hollander D. The intestinal permeability barrier. A hypothesis as to its regulation and involvement in Crohn's disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 1992;27(9):721–6.
84. Teahon K, Smethurst P, Levi AJ, Menzies IS, Bjarnason I. Intestinal permeability in patients with Crohn's disease and their first degree relatives. *Gut.* 1992;33(3):320–3.
85. Suenart P, Bulteel V, Lemmens L, Noman M, Geypens B, Van Assche G, et al. Anti-tumor necrosis factor treatment restores the gut barrier in Crohn's disease. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2002;97(8):2000–4.
86. Guzy C, Schirbel A, Paclik D, Wiedenmann B, Dignass A, Sturm A. Enteral and parenteral nutrition distinctively modulate intestinal permeability and T cell function in vitro. *Eur J Nutr.* 2009;48(1):12–21.
87. Nahidi L, Day AS, Lemberg DA, Leach ST. Differential effects of nutritional and non-nutritional therapies on intestinal barrier function in an in vitro model. *J Gastroenterol.* 2011;47(2):107–17.
88. Nahidi L, Leach ST, Mitchell HM, Kaakoush NO, Lemberg DA, Munday JS, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease therapies and gut function in a colitis mouse model. *Biomed Res Int.* 2013;2013:909613.
89. Narula N, Tondeur M, Sherlock M, Zachos M. Enteral nutritional therapy for induction of remission in Crohn's disease. Update. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;CD000542.
90. Grogan JL, Casson DH, Terry A, Burdge GC, El-Matary W, Dalzell AM. Enteral feeding therapy for newly diagnosed pediatric Crohn's disease: a double-blind randomized controlled trial with two years follow-up. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2012;18(2):246–53.
91. Gorard DA, Hunt JB, Payne-James JJ, Palmer KR, Rees RG, Clark ML, et al. Initial response and subsequent course of Crohn's disease treated with elemental diet or prednisolone. *Gut.* 1993;34(9):1198–202.
92. Lindor KD, Fleming CR, Burnes JU, Nelson JK, Ilstrup DM. A randomized prospective trial comparing a defined formula diet, corticosteroids, and a defined formula diet plus corticosteroids in active Crohn's disease. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 1992;67(4):328–33.
93. Lochs H, Steinhardt HJ, Klaus-Wentz B, Zeitz M, Vogelsang H, Sommer H, et al. Comparison of enteral nutrition and drug treatment in active Crohn's disease. Results of the European Cooperative Crohn's Disease Study. IV. *Gastroenterology.* 1991;101(4):881–8.
94. Malchow H, Ewe K, Brandes JW, Goebell H, Ehms H, Sommer H, et al. European Cooperative Crohn's Disease Study (ECCDS): results of drug treatment. *Gastroenterology.* 1984;86(2):249–66.
95. Seidman EG, Griffiths AM, Jones A, Issenman R. Semi-elemental diet versus prednisone in pediatric Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology.* 1993;104:A778.
96. Seidman EG, Lohoues MJ, Turgeon J, Bouthillier L, Morin CL. Elemental diet versus prednisone as initial therapy in Crohn's disease: early and long-term results. *Gastroenterology.* 1991;100:150A.
97. Levine A, Turner D, Pfeffer Gik T, Amil Dias J, Veres G, Shaoul R, et al. Comparison of outcomes parameters for induction of remission in new onset pediatric Crohn's disease: evaluation of the porto IBD group "growth relapse and outcomes with therapy" (GROWTH CD) study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2014;20(2):278–85.
98. Fernandez-Banares F, Cabre E, Esteve-Copmans M, Gassull MA. How effective is enteral nutrition in inducing clinical remission in active Crohn's disease? A meta-analysis of the randomized clinical trials. *J Parenter Enter Nutr.* 1995;19:1056–64.
99. Messori A, Trallori G, D'Albrasio G, Milla M, Vanzo G, Pacini F. Defined-formula diets versus steroids in the treatment of active Crohn's disease. A Meta-Analysis. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 1996;31:267–72.
100. Zachos M, Tondeur M, Griffiths AM. Enteral nutritional therapy for induction of remission in Crohn's disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007(1):CD000542.
101. Berni Canani R, Terrin G, Borrelli O, Romano MT, Manguso F, Coruzzo A, et al. Short- and long-term therapeutic efficacy of nutritional therapy and corticosteroids in paediatric Crohn's disease. *Dig Liver Dis Off J Ital Soc Gastroenterol Ital Assoc Study Liver.* 2006;38(6):381–7.
102. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials.* 1996;17(1):1–12.
103. Gonzalez-Huix F, de Leon R, Fernandez-Banares F, Esteve M, Cabre E, Acero D, et al. Polymeric enteral diets as primary treatment of active Crohn's disease: a prospective steroid controlled trial. *Gut.* 1993;34(6):778–82.
104. Heuschkel RB, Menache CC, Megerian JT, Baird AE. Enteral nutrition and corticosteroids in the treatment of acute Crohn's disease in children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2000;31(1):8–15.
105. Day AS, Whitten KE, Lemberg DA, Clarkson C, Vitug-Sales M, Jackson R, et al. Exclusive enteral feeding as primary therapy for Crohn's disease in Australian children and adolescents: a feasible and effective approach. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2006;21(10):1609–14.
106. Otley A, Grant A, Giffin N, Mahdi G, Rashid M, Van Limbergen J. Steroids no more! Exclusive Enteral nutrition therapy in pediatric patients with Crohn's disease results in long term avoidance of corticosteroid therapy. *J Crohns Colitis.* 2015;9(Supplement 1):S393.
107. Luo Y, Yu J, Zhao H, Lou J, Chen F, Peng K, et al. Short-term efficacy of exclusive enteral nutrition in pediatric Crohn's disease: practice in China. *Gastroenterol Res Pract.* 2015;2015:428354.
108. Soo J, Malik BA, Turner JM, Persad R, Wine E, Siminoski K, et al. Use of exclusive enteral nutrition is just as effective as corticosteroids in newly diagnosed pediatric Crohn's disease. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2013;58(12):3584–91.
109. Lee D, Baldassano RN, Otley AR, Albenberg L, Griffiths AM, Compher C, et al. Comparative effectiveness of nutritional and biological therapy in North American children with active Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2015;21(8):1786–93.
110. Akobeng AK, Thomas AG. Enteral nutrition for maintenance of remission in Crohn's disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007;3:CD005984.
111. Verma S, Holdsworth CD, Gjaffer MH. Does adjuvant nutritional support diminish steroid dependency in Crohn disease? *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2001;36(4):383–8.
112. Takagi S, Utsunomiya K, Kuriyama S, Yokoyama H, Takahashi S, Iwabuchi M, et al. Effectiveness of an 'half elemental diet' as maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease: a randomized-controlled trial. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2006;24(9):1333–40.

113. Yamamoto T, Nakahigashi M, Umegae S, Matsumoto K. Enteral nutrition for the maintenance of remission in Crohn's disease: a systematic review. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2010;22(1):1–8.
114. Verma S, Kirkwood B, Brown S, Giaffer MH. Oral nutritional supplementation is effective in the maintenance of remission in Crohn's disease. *Dig Liver Dis Off J Ital Soc Gastroenterol Ital Assoc Study Liver*. 2000;32(9):769–74.
115. Yamamoto T, Nakahigashi M, Saniabadi AR, Iwata T, Maruyama Y, Umegae S, et al. Impacts of long-term enteral nutrition on clinical and endoscopic disease activities and mucosal cytokines during remission in patients with Crohn's disease: a prospective study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2007;13(12):1493–501.
116. Yamamoto T, Nakahigashi M, Umegae S, Kitagawa T, Matsumoto K. Impact of long-term enteral nutrition on clinical and endoscopic recurrence after resection for Crohn's disease: a prospective, non-randomized, parallel, controlled study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2007;25(1):67–72.
117. Hirakawa H, Fukuda Y, Tanida N, Hosomi M, Shimoyama T. Home elemental enteral hyperalimentation (HEEH) for the maintenance of remission in patients with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterol Jpn*. 1993;28(3):379–84.
118. Wilschanski M, Sherman P, Pencharz P, Davis L, Corey M, Griffiths A. Supplementary enteral nutrition maintains remission in paediatric Crohn's disease. *Gut*. 1996;38(4):543–8.
119. Esaki M, Matsumoto T, Nakamura S, Yada S, Fujisawa K, Jo Y, et al. Factors affecting recurrence in patients with Crohn's disease under nutritional therapy. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2006;49(10 Suppl):S68–74.
120. Ikeuchi H, Yamamura T, Nakano H, Kosaka T, Shimoyama T, Fukuda Y. Efficacy of nutritional therapy for perforating and non-perforating Crohn's disease. *Hepato-Gastroenterology*. 2004;51(58):1050–2.
121. Esaki M, Matsumoto T, Hizawa K, Nakamura S, Jo Y, Mibu R, et al. Preventive effect of nutritional therapy against postoperative recurrence of Crohn disease, with reference to findings determined by intra-operative enteroscopy. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2005;40(12):1431–7.
122. Bamba T, Shimoyama T, Sasaki M, Tsujikawa T, Fukuda Y, Koganei K, et al. Dietary fat attenuates the benefits of an elemental diet in active Crohn's disease: a randomized, controlled trial. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2003;15(2):151–7.
123. Belli DC, Seidman E, Bouthillier L, Weber AM, Roy CC, Pletincx M, et al. Chronic intermittent elemental diet improves growth failure in children with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. 1988;94(3):603–10.
124. Nguyen DL, Palmer LB, Nguyen ET, McClave SA, Martindale RG, Bechtold ML. Specialized enteral nutrition therapy in Crohn's disease patients on maintenance infliximab therapy: a meta-analysis. *Ther Adv Gastroenterol*. 2015;8(4):168–75.
125. Buchanan E, Gaunt WW, Cardigan T, Garrick V, McGrogan P, Russell RK. The use of exclusive enteral nutrition for induction of remission in children with Crohn's disease demonstrates that disease phenotype does not influence clinical remission. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;30(5):501–7.
126. Grover Z, Lewindon P. Two-year outcomes after exclusive enteral nutrition induction are superior to corticosteroids in pediatric Crohn's disease treated early with thiopurines. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2015;60(10):3069–74.
127. Kang Y, Kim S, Kim SY, Koh H. Effect of short-term partial enteral nutrition on the treatment of younger patients with severe Crohn's disease. *Gut Liver*. 2015;9(1):87–93.
128. Frivolt K, Schwerdt T, Werkstetter KJ, Schwarzer A, Schatz SB, Buefler P, et al. Repeated exclusive enteral nutrition in the treatment of paediatric Crohn's disease: predictors of efficacy and outcome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;39(12):1398–407.
129. Knight C, El-Matary W, Spray C, Sandhu BK. Long-term outcome of nutritional therapy in paediatric Crohn's disease. *Clin Nutr (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2005;24(5):775–9.
130. Cameron FL, Gerasimidis K, Papangelou A, Missiou D, Garrick V, Cardigan T, et al. Clinical progress in the two years following a course of exclusive enteral nutrition in 109 paediatric patients with Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2013;37(6):622–9.
131. Lambert B, Lemberg DA, Leach ST, Day AS. Longer-term outcomes of nutritional management of Crohn's disease in children. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2012;57(8):2171–7.
132. Frosliel KF, Jahnsen J, Moum BA, Vatn MH, Group I. Mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel disease: results from a Norwegian population-based cohort. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;133(2):412–22.
133. Tibble JA, Sigthorsson G, Bridger S, Fagerhol MK, Bjarnason I. Surrogate markers of intestinal inflammation are predictive of relapse in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2000;119(1):15–22.
134. Modigliani R, Mary JY, Simon JF, Cortot A, Soule JC, Gendre JP, et al. Clinical, biological, and endoscopic picture of attacks of Crohn's disease. Evolution on prednisolone. Groupe d'Etude Therapeutique des Affections Inflammatoires Digestives. *Gastroenterology*. 1990;98(4):811–8.
135. Fell JME, Paintin M, Arnaud-Battandier F, Beatties RM, Hollis A, Kitching P, et al. Mucosal healing and a fall in mucosal pro-inflammatory cytokine mRNA induced by a specific oral polymeric diet in paediatric Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2000;14:281–9.
136. Borrelli O, Cordischi L, Cirulli M, Paganelli M, Labalestra V, Uccini S, et al. Polymeric diet alone versus corticosteroids in the treatment of active pediatric Crohn's disease: a randomized controlled open-label trial. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol Off Clin Pract J Am Gastroenterol Assoc*. 2006;4(6):744–53.
137. Grover Z, Muir R, Lewindon P. Exclusive enteral nutrition induces early clinical, mucosal and transmural remission in paediatric Crohn's disease. *J Gastroenterol*. 2014;49(4):638–45.
138. Grover Z, Burgess C, Muir R, Reilly C, Lewindon PJ. Early mucosal healing with exclusive enteral nutrition is associated with improved outcomes in newly diagnosed children with luminal Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2016;10(10):1159–64.
139. Yamamoto T, Nakahigashi M, Umegae S, Kitagawa T, Matsumoto K. Impact of elemental diet on mucosal inflammation in patients with active Crohn's disease: cytokine production and endoscopic and histological findings. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2005;11(6):580–8.
140. Breese EJ, Michie CA, Nicholls SW, Williams CB, Domizio P, Walker-Smith JA, et al. The effect of treatment on lymphokine-secreting cells in the intestinal mucosa of children with Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 1995;9(5):547–52.
141. Breese EJ, Michie CA, Nicholls SW, Murch SH, Williams CB, Domizio P, et al. Tumor necrosis factor alpha-producing cells in the intestinal mucosa of children with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology*. 1994;106(6):1455–66.
142. Ferguson A, Glen M, Ghosh S. Crohn's disease: nutrition and nutritional therapy. *Baillieres Clin Gastroenterol*. 1998;12(1):93–114.
143. Judd TA, Day AS, Lemberg DA, Turner D, Leach ST. Update of fecal markers of inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2011;26(10):1493–9.
144. Gerasimidis K, Nikolaou CK, Edwards CA, McGrogan P. Serial fecal calprotectin changes in children with Crohn's disease on treatment with exclusive enteral nutrition: associations with disease activity, treatment response, and prediction of a clinical relapse. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2011;45(3):234–9.
145. de Jong NS, Leach ST, Day AS. Fecal S100A12: a novel noninvasive marker in children with Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2006;12(7):566–72.

146. Nahidi L, Leach ST, Sidler MA, Levin A, Lemberg DA, Day AS. Osteoprotegerin in pediatric Crohn's disease and the effects of exclusive enteral nutrition. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2011;17(2):516–23.
147. Bannerjee K, Camacho-Hubner C, Babinska K, Dryhurst KM, Edwards R, Savage MO, et al. Anti-inflammatory and growth-stimulating effects precede nutritional restitution during enteral feeding in Crohn disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2004;38(3):270–5.
148. Beattie RM, Camacho-Hubner C, Wacharasindhu S, Cotterill AM, Walker-Smith JA, Savage MO. Responsiveness of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 to therapeutic intervention in children and adolescents with Crohn's disease. *Clin Endocrinol.* 1998;49(4):483–9.
149. Royall D, Greenberg GR, Allard JP, Baker JP, Jeejeebhoy KN. Total enteral nutrition support improves body composition of patients with active Crohn's disease. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 1995;19(2):95–9.
150. Afzal NA, Davies S, Paintin M, Arnaud-Battandier F, Walker-Smith JA, Murch S, et al. Colonic Crohn's disease in children does not respond well to treatment with enteral nutrition if the ileum is not involved. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2005;50(8):1471–5.
151. Newby EA, Sawczenko A, Thomas AG, Wilson D. Interventions for growth failure in childhood Crohn's disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005;3:CD003873.
152. Whitten KE, Leach ST, Bohane TD, Woodhead HJ, Day AS. Effect of exclusive enteral nutrition on bone turnover in children with Crohn's disease. *J Gastroenterol.* 2010;45(4):399–405.
153. Werkstetter KJ, Schatz SB, Alberer M, Filipiak-Pittroff B, Koletzko S. Influence of exclusive enteral nutrition therapy on bone density and geometry in newly diagnosed pediatric Crohn's disease patients. *Ann Nutr Metab.* 2013;63(1–2):10–6.
154. Otley A, Smith C, Nicholas D, Munk M, Avolio J, Sherman PM, et al. The IMPACT questionnaire: a valid measure of health-related quality of life in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2002;35(4):557–63.
155. Gailhoustet L, Goulet O, Cachin N, Schmitz J. Study of psychological repercussions of 2 modes of treatment of adolescents with Crohn's disease. *Arch Pediatr.* 2002;9(2):110–6.
156. Afzal NA, Van Der Zaag-Loonen HJ, Arnaud-Battandier F, Davies S, Murch S, Derkx B, et al. Improvement in quality of life of children with acute Crohn's disease does not parallel mucosal healing after treatment with exclusive enteral nutrition. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2004;20(2):167–72.
157. Hill R, Lewindon P, Muir R, Grange I, Connor F, Ee L, et al. Quality of life in children with Crohn disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2010;51(1):35–40.
158. Kuriyama M, Kato J, Morimoto N, Fujimoto T, Kono H, Okano N, et al. Enteral nutrition improves health-related quality of life in Crohn's disease patients with long disease duration. *Hepato-Gastroenterology.* 2009;56(90):321–7.
159. Li G, Ren J, Wang G, Hu D, Gu G, Liu S, et al. Preoperative exclusive enteral nutrition reduces the postoperative septic complications of fistulizing Crohn's disease. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2014;68(4):441–6.
160. Li Y, Zuo L, Zhu W, Gong J, Zhang W, Gu L, et al. Role of exclusive enteral nutrition in the preoperative optimization of patients with Crohn's disease following immunosuppressive therapy. *Medicine.* 2015;94(5):e478.
161. Kuroki F, Matsumoto T, Iida M. Selenium is depleted in Crohn's disease on enteral nutrition. *Dig Dis.* 2003;21(3):266–70.
162. Akobeng AK, Richmond K, Miller V, Thomas AG. Effect of exclusive enteral nutritional treatment on plasma antioxidant concentrations in childhood Crohn's disease. *Clin Nutr (Edinburgh, Scotland).* 2007;26(1):51–6.
163. Gerasimidis K, Talwar D, Duncan A, Moyes P, Buchanan E, Hassan K, et al. Impact of exclusive enteral nutrition on body composition and circulating micronutrients in plasma and erythrocytes of children with active Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2011;18(9):1672–81.
164. Schatorje E, Hoekstra H. Transient hypertransaminasemia in paediatric patients with Crohn disease undergoing initial treatment with enteral nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2010;51(3):336–40.
165. Lemberg DA, Leach ST, Day AS. Transient hypertransaminasemia in pediatric patients with Crohn disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2011;53(2):229.
166. Afzal NA, Addai S, Fagbemi A, Murch S, Thomson M, Heuschkel R. Refeeding syndrome with enteral nutrition in children: a case report, literature review and clinical guidelines. *Clin Nutr (Edinburgh, Scotland).* 2002;21(6):515–20.
167. Akobeng AK, Thomas AG. Refeeding syndrome following exclusive enteral nutritional treatment in Crohn disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2010;51(3):364–6.
168. Seidman E. Relapse prevention/growth enhancement in pediatric Crohn's disease: multicentre randomized controlled trial of intermittent enteral nutrition versus alternate day prednisone. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1996;23:A344.
169. Rubio A, Pigneur B, Garnier-Lengline H, Talbotec C, Schmitz J, Canioni D, et al. The efficacy of exclusive nutritional therapy in paediatric Crohn's disease, comparing fractionated oral vs. continuous enteral feeding. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;33(12):1332–9.
170. Beattie RM, Schiffrin EJ, Donnet-Hughes A, Huggett AC, Domizio P, MacDonald TT, et al. Polymeric nutrition as the primary therapy in children with small bowel Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 1994;8(6):609–15.
171. O'Sullivan MA, O'Morain CA. Nutritional therapy in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 1998;4(1):45–53.
172. Akobeng AK, Miller V, Stanton J, Elbadri AM, Thomas AG. Double-blind randomized controlled trial of glutamine-enriched polymeric diet in the treatment of active Crohn's disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2000;30(1):78–84.
173. Gassull MA, Fernandez-Banares F, Cabre E, Papo M, Gaffer MH, Sanchez-Lombrana JL, et al. Fat composition may be a clue to explain the primary therapeutic effect of enteral nutrition in Crohn's disease: results of a double blind randomised multicentre european trial. *Gut.* 2002;51(2):164–8.
174. Leiper K, Woolner J, Mullan MM, Parker T, van der Vliet M, Fear S, et al. A randomised controlled trial of high versus low long chain triglyceride whole protein feed in active Crohn's disease. *Gut.* 2001;49(6):790–4.
175. Sakurai T, Matsui T, Yao T, Takagi Y, Hirai F, Aoyagi K, et al. Short-term efficacy of enteral nutrition in the treatment of active crohn's disease: a randomized, controlled trial comparing nutrient formulas. *J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2002;26(2):98–103.
176. Johnson T, Macdonald S, Hill SM, Thomas A, Murphy MS. Treatment of active Crohn's disease in children using partial enteral nutrition with liquid formula: a randomised controlled trial. *Gut.* 2006;55(3):356–61.
177. Gupta K, Noble AJ, Baldassano RN, Sreedharan R, Grossman AB. A novel enteral nutritional therapy protocol for the treatment of pediatric Crohn's disease. *JPGN J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2010;51(Suppl 2):E87.
178. Whitten KE, Rogers P, Ooi CK, Day AS. International survey of enteral nutrition protocols used in children with Crohn's disease. *J Dig Dis.* 2012;13(2):107–12.
179. Otley A, Murray A, Christensen B, Williams T, Rashid M, Ste-Marie M. Primary enteral nutrition induces and maintains remission and reduces steroid exposure in a paediatric Crohn's

- disease population. *Gastroenterology*. 2005;128(4(Suppl 2)):W1053.
180. Riordan AM, Hunter JO, Cowan RE, Crampton JR, Davidson AR, Dickinson RJ, et al. Treatment of active Crohn's disease by exclusion diet: East Anglian multicentre controlled trial. *Lancet*. 1993;342(8880):1131–4.
181. Shergill-Bonner R, Brennan M, Torrente F, Heuschkel R. Food reintroduction after exclusive enteral nutrition – a clinical experience. *JPGN J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2007;44:E36.
182. Faiman A, Mutalib M, Moylan A, Morgan N, Crespi D, Furman M, et al. Standard versus rapid food reintroduction after exclusive enteral nutritional therapy in paediatric Crohn's disease. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;26(3):276–81.
183. Konno M, Kobayashi A, Tomomasa T, Kaneko H, Toyoda S, Nakazato Y, et al. Guidelines for the treatment of Crohn's disease in children. *Pediatr Int*. 2006;48(3):349–52.
184. Lochs H, Dejong C, Hammarqvist F, Hebuterne X, Leon-Sanz M, Schutz T, et al. ESPEN guidelines on enteral nutrition: gastroenterology. *Clin Nutr (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2006;25(2):260–74.
185. Grafors JM, Casswall TH. Exclusive enteral nutrition in the treatment of children with Crohn's disease in Sweden: a questionnaire survey. *Acta Paediatr*. 2011;100(7):1018–22.
186. Day AS, Stephenson T, Stewart M, Otley AR. Exclusive enteral nutrition for children with Crohn's disease: use in Australia and attitudes of Australian paediatric gastroenterologists. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2009;45(6):337–41.
187. Stewart M, Day AS, Otley A. Physician attitudes and practices of enteral nutrition as primary treatment of paediatric Crohn disease in North America. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2009;52(1):38–42.
188. Van Limbergen J, Haskett J, Griffiths AM, Critch J, Huynh H, Ahmed N, et al. Toward enteral nutrition for the treatment of pediatric Crohn disease in Canada: a workshop to identify barriers and enablers. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;29(7):351–6.
189. Critch J, Day AS, Otley A, King-Moore C, Teitelbaum JE, Shashidhar H. Use of enteral nutrition for the control of intestinal inflammation in pediatric Crohn disease. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2012;54(2):298–305.