

# An Equivalent Definition of Pan-Integral

Yao Ouyang<sup>1(✉)</sup> and Jun Li<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Science, Huzhou University, Huzhou 313000, Zhejiang, China  
ouy@zjhu.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup> School of Sciences, Communication University of China, Beijing 100024, China  
lijun@cuc.edu.cn

**Abstract.** In this note, we introduce the concepts of support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additivity and positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneity of a functional (with respect to pan-addition  $\oplus$  and pan-multiplication  $\otimes$ , respectively). By means of these two properties of functionals, we discuss the characteristics of pan-integrals and present an equivalent definition of the pan-integral. As special cases, we obtain the equivalent definitions of the Shilkret integral, the  $+$ ,  $-$ -based pan-integral, and the Sugeno integral.

**Keywords:** Pan-integral · Sugeno integral · Shilkret integral · Support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additivity · Positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneity

## 1 Introduction

In non-additive measure theory, several prominent nonlinear integrals, for example, the Choquet integral [3] and the Sugeno [12] integral, have been defined and discussed in detail [4, 10, 16].

As a generalization of the Lebesgue integral and Sugeno integral, Yang [17] introduced the pan-integral with respect to a monotone measure and a commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$ , where  $\oplus$  is a pan-addition and  $\otimes$  a pan-multiplication [16, 17]. The researches on this topic can be also found in [1, 5, 8–10, 13, 18].

On the other hand, Lehrer introduced a new kind of nonlinear integral — the concave integral with respect to a capacity, see [6, 7, 14]. Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and  $\mathcal{F}_+$  denote the class of all finite nonnegative real-valued measurable functions on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . For fixed capacity  $\nu$ , the concave integral with respect to  $\nu$  is a concave and positively homogeneous nonnegative functional on  $\mathcal{F}_+$ . Observe that such integral was defined as the infimum taken over all concave and positively homogeneous nonnegative functionals  $H$  defined on  $\mathcal{F}_+$  with the condition:  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, H(\chi_A) \geq \mu(A)$ .

Inspiration received from the definition of concave integral, we try to characterize the pan-integrals via functionals over  $\mathcal{F}_+$  (with some additional restricts). We introduce the concepts of *support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additivity* and *positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneity* of a functional on  $\mathcal{F}_+$  (with respect to pan-addition  $\oplus$  and pan-multiplication  $\otimes$ , respectively). We will show the pan-integral, as

a functional defined on  $\mathcal{F}_+$ , is support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additive and positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneous. We shall present an equivalent definition of the pan-integral by using monotone, support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additive and positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneous functionals on  $\mathcal{F}_+$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ ,  $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\overline{R}_+ = [0, +\infty]$ . Recall that a set function  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{R}_+$  is a monotone measure, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu(X) > 0$ ;
- (2)  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$  whenever  $A \subset B$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

In this paper we restrict our discussion on a fixed measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . Unless stated otherwise all the subsets mentioned are supposed to belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of all monotone measures defined on  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ . When  $\mu$  is a monotone measure, the triple  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called a monotone measure space [10, 16].

The concept of a pan-integral involves two binary operations, the pan-addition  $\oplus$  and pan-multiplication  $\otimes$  of real numbers [16, 17].

**Definition 1.** *An binary operation  $\oplus$  on  $\overline{R}_+$  is called a pan-addition if it satisfies the following requirements:*

- (PA1)  $a \oplus b = b \oplus a = a$  (commutativity);
- (PA2)  $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$  (associativity);
- (PA3)  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  imply that  $a \oplus b \leq c \oplus d$  (monotonicity);
- (PA4)  $a \oplus 0 = a$  (neutral element);
- (PA5)  $a_n \rightarrow a$  and  $b_n \rightarrow b$  imply that  $a_n \oplus b_n \rightarrow a \oplus b$  (continuity).

**Definition 2.** *Let  $\oplus$  be a given pan-addition on  $\overline{R}_+$ . A binary operation  $\otimes$  on  $\overline{R}_+$  is said to be a pan-multiplication corresponding to  $\oplus$  if it satisfies the following properties:*

- (PM1)  $a \otimes b = b \otimes a$  (commutativity);
- (PM2)  $(a \otimes b) \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c)$  (associativity);
- (PM3)  $a \otimes (b \oplus c) = (a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c)$  (distributive law);
- (PM4)  $a \leq b$  implies  $(a \otimes c) \leq (b \otimes c)$  for any  $c$  (monotonicity);
- (PM5)  $a \otimes b = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  (annihilator);
- (PM6) there exists  $e \in [0, \infty]$  such that  $e \otimes a = a$  for any  $a \in [0, \infty]$  (neutral element);
- (PM7)  $a_n \rightarrow a \in [0, \infty)$  and  $b_n \rightarrow b \in [0, \infty)$  imply  $(a_n \otimes b_n) \rightarrow (a \otimes b)$  (continuity).

When  $\oplus$  is a pseudo-addition on  $\overline{R}_+$  and  $\otimes$  is a pseudo-multiplication (with respect to  $\oplus$ ) on  $\overline{R}_+$ , the triple  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$  is called a *commutative isotonic semiring* (with respect to  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$ ) [16].

Notice that similar operations called pseudo-addition and pseudo-multiplication can be found in the literature [1, 2, 5, 8–10, 13, 15, 18].

In the following, we recall the concept of *pan-integral* [16, 17].

**Definition 3.** Consider a commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$ . The *pan-integral* of  $f$  on  $X$  with respect to  $\mu$  is defined via

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f) = \sup \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \mu(A_i)) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \leq f, \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{P} \right\},$$

where  $\chi_A$  is the characteristic function of  $A$  which takes value  $e$  on  $A$  and 0 elsewhere, and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of all finite partitions of  $X$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , the pan-integral of  $f$  on  $A$  is defined by  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f \otimes \chi_A)$ .

Note: A finite partition of  $X$  is a finite disjoint system of sets  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\cup_{i=1}^n A_i = X$ .

Note that in the case of commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \vee, \wedge)$ , Sugeno integral [12] is recovered, while for  $(\overline{R}_+, \vee, \cdot)$ , Shilkret integral [11] is covered by the pan-integral in Definition 3.

**Proposition 1.** Consider a commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$  and fixed  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, \cdot)$ , as a functional on  $\mathcal{F}_+$ , is monotone, i.e., for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_+$ ,

$$f \leq g \implies \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f) \leq \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, g).$$

**Proposition 2.** For any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, \chi_A) \geq \mu(A)$ .

### 3 Main Results

In this section we present an equivalent definition of pan-integral. In order to do it, we first introduce two new concepts and show two lemmas.

**Definition 4.** Consider a commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$ . A functional  $F : \mathcal{F}_+ \rightarrow \overline{R}_+$  is said to be

(i) *positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneous*, if for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$  and any  $a > 0$ , we have

$$F(a \otimes f) \geq a \otimes F(f). \tag{1}$$

(ii) *support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additive*, if for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_+$ ,  $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ , we have

$$F(f \oplus g) \geq F(f) \oplus F(g), \tag{2}$$

here  $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) > 0\}$  since we do not concern the topology.

**Lemma 1.** Consider a commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$  and fixed  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, \cdot)$ , as a functional on  $\mathcal{F}_+$ , is positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneous, i.e., for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$  and any  $a > 0$ , we have

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, a \otimes f) \geq a \otimes \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f). \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* For any finite partition  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  of  $X$  and  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subset R_+$  with  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \leq f$ , we have that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n ((a \otimes \lambda_i) \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \leq a \otimes f$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, a \otimes f) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \bigoplus_{j=1}^m (\beta_j \otimes \mu(B_j)) : \bigoplus_{j=1}^m (\beta_j \otimes \chi_{B_j}) \leq a \otimes f, \{B_j\}_{j=1}^m \in \mathcal{P} \right\} \\ &\geq \sup \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n ((a \otimes \lambda_i) \otimes \mu(A_i)) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n ((a \otimes \lambda_i) \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \leq a \otimes f, \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{P} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ a \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \mu(A_i)) : a \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \leq a \otimes f, \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{P} \right\} \\ &\geq a \otimes \sup \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \mu(A_i)) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \leq f, \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathcal{P} \right\} \\ &= a \otimes \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 1.* Notice that for the commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \cdot)$ , i.e.,  $\otimes$  is the usual multiplication, then the related pan-integral is positively homogeneous, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, af) = a \cdot \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, f).$$

In fact, by Lemma 1,  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, af) \geq a \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, f)$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, f) = \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, \frac{1}{a}(af)) \geq \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, af)$ , which implies the reverse inequality and hence the equality holds.

**Lemma 2.** Consider a commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$  and fixed  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, \cdot)$ , as a functional on  $\mathcal{F}_+$ , is support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additive, i.e., for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_+$  such that  $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ , we have

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f \oplus g) \geq \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f) \oplus \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, g). \tag{4}$$

*Proof.* If one of the two integrals on the right-hand side of Ineq. (4) is infinite then, by the monotonicity of the pan-integral,  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f \oplus g)$  also equals to infinity, which implies that (4) holds.

So, without loss of generality, we can suppose that both  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f)$  and  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, g)$  are finite. Let  $l_n \nearrow \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f)$  and  $r_n \nearrow \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, g)$  be two sequences of real number. Then, for each  $n$ , there is a partition  $\{A_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^{k_n}$  of

$\text{supp}(f)$ , a partition  $\{B_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^{m_n}$  of  $\text{supp}(g)$ , and two sequences of positive number  $\{\alpha_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^{k_n}$  and  $\{\beta_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^{m_n}$  such that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_n} (\alpha_i^{(n)} \otimes \chi_{A_i^{(n)}}) \leq f$ ,  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} (\beta_j^{(n)} \otimes \chi_{B_j^{(n)}}) \leq g$  and both the following two inequalities hold

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_n} (\alpha_i^{(n)} \otimes \mu(A_i^{(n)})) \geq l_n, \quad \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} (\beta_j^{(n)} \otimes \mu(B_j^{(n)})) \geq r_n.$$

By the fact of  $\text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ , we know that  $\{A_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^{k_n} \cup \{B_j^{(n)}\}_{j=1}^{m_n}$  is a partition of  $\text{supp}(f \oplus g)$ . Moreover, we have that

$$\left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_n} (\alpha_i^{(n)} \otimes \chi_{A_i^{(n)}}) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} (\beta_j^{(n)} \otimes \chi_{B_j^{(n)}}) \right) \leq f \oplus g,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f \oplus g) &\geq \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_n} (\alpha_i^{(n)} \otimes \mu(A_i^{(n)})) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_n} (\beta_j^{(n)} \otimes \mu(B_j^{(n)})) \right) \\ &\geq l_n \oplus r_n. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by the continuity of pan-addition, we get that

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f \oplus g) \geq \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f) \oplus \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, g).$$

The proof is complete. □

Consider a commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \otimes}$  be the set of all nonnegative, monotone, positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneous and support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additive functionals on  $\mathcal{F}_+$ .

The following is our main result which provides an equivalent definition of the pan-integral.

**Theorem 1.** *Consider a commutative isotonic semiring  $(\overline{R}_+, \oplus, \otimes)$  and fixed  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$ ,*

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f) = \inf \left\{ F(f) : F \in \mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \otimes}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, F(\chi_A) \geq \mu(A) \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By Propositions 1 and 2, Lemmas 1 and 2, we know that  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, \cdot) : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is monotone, positively super- $\otimes$ -homogeneous, support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additive, i.e.,  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \otimes}$  and  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, \chi_A) \geq \mu(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f) \geq \inf \left\{ F(f) : F \in \mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \otimes}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, F(\chi_A) \geq \mu(A) \right\}.$$

On the other hand, for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}^+$ , any  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \leq f$  and any  $F \in \mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \otimes}$  with  $F(\chi_A) \geq \mu(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(f) &\geq F\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \chi_{A_i})\right) \geq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n F(\lambda_i \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \\ &\geq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes F(\chi_{A_i})) \geq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \mu(A_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$F(f) \geq \sup \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \mu(A_i)) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \otimes \chi_{A_i}) \leq f \right\} = \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f).$$

By the arbitrariness of  $F$ , we infer that

$$\inf \left\{ F(f) : F \in \mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \otimes}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, F(\chi_A) \geq \mu(A) \right\} \geq \mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \otimes)}(\mu, f),$$

which proves the conclusion. □

Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \cdot}^{(1)}$  be the set of nonnegative, monotone, positively homogeneous and support disjointness super- $\oplus$ -additive functionals on  $\mathcal{F}^+$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \cdot}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \cdot}$ . Noting that  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, \cdot) : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is positively homogeneous (Remark 1), then  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \cdot}^{(1)}$ . Thus we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a monotone measure space. Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$ ,*

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\oplus, \cdot)}(\mu, f) = \inf \left\{ F(f) : F \in \mathcal{C}_{\oplus, \cdot}^{(1)}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, F(\chi_A) \geq \mu(A) \right\}.$$

Let the commutative isotonic semiring be  $(\overline{R}_+, \vee, \cdot)$ . Noticing that  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\vee, \cdot)}(\mu, \chi_A) = \mu(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ , by Theorem 2, we get an equivalent definition for the Shilkret integral.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a monotone measure space. Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$ ,*

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\vee, \cdot)}(\mu, f) = \inf \left\{ F(f) : F \in \mathcal{C}_{\vee, \cdot}^{(1)}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, F(\chi_A) = \mu(A) \right\}.$$

If we let  $\oplus = +$ , then we get an equivalent definition for the usual addition and multiplication based pan-integral.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a monotone measure space. Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$ ,*

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(+, \cdot)}(\mu, f) = \inf \left\{ F(f) : F \in \mathcal{C}_{+, \cdot}^{(1)}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, F(\chi_A) \geq \mu(A) \right\}.$$

Noting that the Sugeno integral is positively  $\wedge$ -homogeneous [16] and satisfies  $\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\vee, \wedge)}(\mu, \chi_A) = \mu(A), \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we also have the following result.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a monotone measure space. Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_+$ ,*

$$\mathbf{I}_{pan}^{(\vee, \wedge)}(\mu, f) = \inf \left\{ F(f) : F \in \mathcal{C}_{\vee, \wedge}^{(1)}, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}, F(\chi_A) = \mu(A) \right\}.$$

**Acknowledgements.** This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371332 and No. 11571106) and the NSF of Zhejiang Province (No. LY15A010013).

## References

1. Benvenuti, P., Mesiar, R., Vivona, D.: Monotone set functions-based integrals. In: Pap, E. (ed.) Handbook of Measure Theory, vol. II, pp. 1329–1379. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2002)
2. Benvenuti, P., Mesiar, R.: Pseudo-arithmetical operations as a basis for the general measure and integration theory. *Inf. Sci.* **160**, 1–11 (2004)
3. Choquet, G.: Theory of capacities. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **5**, 131–295 (1954)
4. Grabisch, M., Murofushi, T., Sugeno, M. (eds.): Fuzzy Measures and Integrals: Theory and Applications. Studies in Fuzziness Soft Computing, vol. 40. Physica, Heidelberg (2000)
5. Ichihashi, H., Tanaka, M., Asai, K.: Fuzzy integrals based on pseudo-additions and multiplications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **130**, 354–364 (1988)
6. Lehrer, E.: A new integral for capacities. *Econ. Theor.* **39**, 157–176 (2009)
7. Lehrer, E., Teper, R.: The concave integral over large spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **159**, 2130–2144 (2008)
8. Mesiar, R.: Choquet-like integrals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **194**, 477–488 (1995)
9. Mesiar, R., Rybárik, J.: Pan-operations structure. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **74**, 365–369 (1995)
10. Pap, E.: Null-Additive Set Functions. Kluwer, Dordrecht (1995)
11. Shilkret, N.: Maxitive measure and integration. *Indag. Math.* **33**, 109–116 (1971)
12. Sugeno, M.: Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications. Ph.D. dissertation, Tokyo Institute of Technology (1974)
13. Sugeno, M., Murofushi, T.: Pseudo-additive measures and integrals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **122**, 197–222 (1987)
14. Teper, R.: On the continuity of the concave integral. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **160**, 1318–1326 (2009)
15. Tong, X., Chen, M., Li, H.X.: Pan-operations structure with non-idempotent pan-addition. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **145**, 463–470 (2004)
16. Wang, Z., Klir, G.J.: Generalized Measure Theory. Springer, Berlin (2009)
17. Yang, Q.: The pan-integral on fuzzy measure space. *Fuzzy Math.* **3**, 107–114 (1985). (in Chinese)
18. Zhang, Q., Mesiar, R., Li, J., Struk, P.: Generalized Lebesgue integral. *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* **52**, 427–443 (2011)