# **Composite Production and Industrial Robot Trajectory Calculation**

#### T. Martinec, J. Mlýnek and M. Petrů

Abstract This paper discusses the problem of composite production. Composites often supplant traditional materials such as steel, iron, wood, etc. The most important advantages of composites are their high strength and flexibility, low weight, long lifespan and minimum maintenance. The technology used in this article is based on a winding of a carbon (or a glass) filament rovings on a polyure than core which is a frame shape in 3D space with a circular cross section. The polyurethane frame is fastened to the robot-end-effector of the robot arm and during the winding process goes through a fiber-processing head on the basis of the suitably determined robot-end-effector trajectory. The fiber-processing head is fixed in robot working space and is composed of three guide lines with coils of carbon rovings. Quality production of described type of composite depends primarily on the correct winding of fibers on a polyurethane frame. It is especially needed to ensure the correct angles of the fibers winding on a polyurethane frame and the homogeneity of individual winding layers. The polyurethane frame is specified in the local Euclidean coordinate system E<sub>3</sub>, the origin of this system is in the robot-end-effector. We use the matrix calculus to enumerate the trajectory of the robot-end-effector to determine the desired passage of the frame through the fiber-processing head. A practical example of the passage of a polyurethane frame through fiber-processing head is dealt with in the article. Of course, the determining calculation of the robot trajectory can be used in other applications of industrial robot use.

**Keywords** Robot trajectory planning and control • Composite manufacturing • Robotic fiber placement • Euler angles of rotations • Software implementation

Technical University of Liberec, Liberec, Czech Republic e-mail: tomas.martinec@tul.cz

J. Mlýnek e-mail: jaroslav.mlynek@tul.cz

M. Petrů e-mail: michal.petru@tul.cz

T. Martinec (🖂) · J. Mlýnek · M. Petrů

<sup>©</sup> Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2017

J. Beran et al. (eds.), Advances in Mechanism Design II,

Mechanisms and Machine Science 44, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-44087-3\_35

### 1 Introduction

There is a many possibilities how to make the composite materials and how to use it. Some analyses and, case studies and material properties are presented in [1, 2]. Composites are used in many areas, like in automotive industry, aircraft industry [3] or in space industry [4]. Specific area of composites production technologies are technologies, which uses the robots for fibers placing. Robots allows to produce specific composite products very effective [3]. In generally it is possible to use one of two different ways, how to make a composite using robots. For products with large open surfaces is suitable to use a prepreg tape. It is possible to activate the prepreg tape by pressure and temperature immediately after placement [5] and it is no needed moulding anymore. This article will discuss the other way, the winding technology. It follows the article [6], where winding technology is described. The computation method for offline trajectory planning and practical example with open-shaped core is also described in [6]. In this article we will discuss how to use this method for core with closed-frame shape.

First advantage of used method is fact, that it can be used in many program languages and development environments (because it is simple and it don't need special mathematical libraries or computation performance). Second great advantage is that we can generate variables, which are necessary to control the technology itself. In our case we needed to control also robots external axes. This three axes are to control of the winding speeds of three independent guide lines with coils (see Fig. 1). Positions of this guide lines along the main core defines the winding angles of fibers in all of three layers.



**Fig. 1** Picture (on *left side*) and diagram (on the *right side*) of the winding solution principle of the working head: *I* non sliding core, 2, 3, 4 rotating guide lines with coils for winding at desired angles, 5 guiderings, and 6 moving core for winding controlled by the robot

## 2 Trajectory Calculation

In the first step of algorithm is necessary to find such set of transformations (translational and rotational), which ensures identity between the vectors (h1, h2) and (b1, b2) for selected point Bi (see Fig. 2). The final transformation is generally the product of translated matrix  $L_i$  and rotation matrix  $Q_i$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{T}_i = \mathbf{L}_i \cdot \mathbf{Q}_i. \tag{1}$$

We perform the calculation of the Euler angles of effector local coordinate system rotation in relation to robot basic coordinate system by decomposition of matrix  $T_i$ . Each rotation matrix can be written as a product of the matrices of rotation around the coordinate axes z, y and x, i.e.

$$\mathbf{Q}_i = \mathbf{Rot}(z, a_i) \cdot \mathbf{Rot}(y, b_i) \cdot \mathbf{Rot}(x, c_i)$$
(2)

where matrices  $\mathbf{Rot}(z, a)$ ,  $\mathbf{Rot}(y, b)$  and  $\mathbf{Rot}(x, c)$  are in form

$$\mathbf{Rot}(z,a_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos a_i & -\sin a_i & 0 & 0\\ \sin a_i & \cos a_i & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{Rot}(y,b_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos b_i & 0 & \sin b_i & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ -\sin b_i & 0 & \cos b_i & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{Rot}(x,c_i) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos c_i & -\sin c_i & 0\\ 0 & \sin c_i & \cos c_i & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and a, b, c are the corresponding Euler angles. We note that the determination of Euler angles a, b and c is not unique [6]. Euler angles of rotation matrix



Fig. 2 Geometric scheme of the working head (on the *left*) and cross-section of the core (on the *right*)

$$\mathbf{Q}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11}(i) & q_{12}(i) & q_{13}(i) & 0\\ q_{21}(i) & q_{22}(i) & q_{23}(i) & 0\\ q_{31}(i) & q_{32}(i) & q_{33}(i) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

can be determined by following relations (in detail see [6])

$$a_{i} = ATAN2(q_{21}(i), q_{11}(i)),$$
  

$$b_{i} = ATAN2(-q_{31}(i), q_{11}(i)\cos a_{i} + q_{21}(i)\sin a_{i}),$$
  

$$c_{i} = ATAN2(q_{13}(i)\sin a_{i} - q_{23}(i)\cos a_{i}, q_{22}(i)\cos a_{i} - q_{12}\sin a_{i}).$$
  
(3)

When calculating the angles of rotations  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  in relation (3) we use the ATAN2 function (part of the library of most programming languages), which calculates the value of the function arctangent for argument  $arg_1/arg_2$  from two input parameters  $arg_1$  and  $arg_2$ . Moreover, the signs of both input parameters are used to determine the quadrant in which the resulting value function is located (it is valid that  $-\pi < \text{ATAN2}(arg_1, arg_2) \le \pi$ ).

Based on the knowledge of the translated matrix  $L_i$  and Euler angles we can determine a new tool-center-point position  $TCP_i$ . This position contains six values—three coordinates in Cartesian system (*X*, *Y* and *Z*) and three rotation angles (*A*, *B* and *C*). This values we can store in the robot native language. Described routine must be done for each of  $B_i$  points,  $1 \le i \le N$ . Range for variable *N* depends on the length of the axis *o* of the core and also on the distance between points (see [6]).

#### **3** Practical Example

The considered composite with the closed frame-shaped profile (see Fig. 3a) serves for fastening of the window to the fuselage of the helicopter. This core is only 2D shape, so it is easy to show and understand how the algorithm works. But in general it is possible to use it also for 3D complicated shapes.

There are the basic measurements of the core on Fig. 3 and also there are marked values of the *l* variable. This variable is distance between start point  $B_1$  (where l = 0, see Fig. 3a) and the selected point  $B_i$ . Distance is measured along the axis *o*. This variable helps us to determine proper positions of the guide lines with fiber coils (which are connected as an external axis of the robot). The winding angles and core diameter are given, so we can easily evaluate the external axis position for each  $B_i$  point, using *l* value for this point.

We used described algorithm of robot-end-effector trajectory calculation and generated source code in robot language. For our example we used a KUKA KR16 robot with KUKA KRC 4 controller. We implemented the algorithm in Delphi (Pascal language) and we generated source code in KRL (KUKA Robot Language). Generated code we tested in the KUKA Sim Pro software simulator first (see Fig. 5)



Fig. 3 Closed-frame-core—the sizes (on the *left*) and in the frame core connected to the robot-end-effector (on the *right*)



**Fig. 4** Diagram showing the course of the TCP during the passage of the frame through the fibre-processing head—values of the first three parameters (on the *left side*) and values of the TCP angles (on the *right side*)

Fig. 5 Graphic simulation of the position of the robot and the frame during winding at selected points of the trajectory



and then on the robot in laboratory (see Fig. 3b). Obtained values are visualised on Fig. 4.

#### 4 Conclusions

The described algorithm allows offline calculating the 3D trajectory of the robot-end-effector of the industry robot during the production of composites using the dry fiber winding technology on a core. The algorithm can be applied to any manufacturing process where it is necessary to determine the 3D trajectory of a robot-end-effector. Especially, this algorithm can be successfully used in the industrial production of specific composites, where is necessary to generate also trajectory for external equipment. The use of the described algorithm is completely independent of the type of production robot and software tools. The procedure for determining the trajectory of the robot-end-effector induces virtually no additional costs to the manufacturer and can significantly speed up the determination of the desired trajectory of the robot-end-effector.

Acknowledgments The research work reported here was made possible by project LO1201 financed with co-funding from the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports as part of targeted support from the "National Sustainability Program I" programme, and "GESHER/MOST LJ14005—New applications in production technology and the use of composite frames fiber reinforced composites".

## References

- Gay, D., Hoa, S.V.: Composite Materials—Design and Applications, p. 550. CRC press, Taylor & Francis Group London (2007). ISBN 978-1-4200-4519-2
- Agarwal, B.D., Broutman, L.J., Chandrashekhara, K.: Analysis and Performance of Fiber Composites, 3rd edn., p. 576 (2006). ISBN 978-0-471-26891-8
- Groppe, D.: Robots improve the quality and cost effectiveness of composite structures. Ind. Robot: Int. J. 27(2), 96–102 (2000)
- Hana, P., Inneman, A., Daniel, V., Sieger, L., Petrů, M.: Mechanical properties of carbon fiber composites for applications in space. In: Proceedings of SPIE 9442, Optics and Measurement Conference 2014, 7 Jan 2015. doi:10.1117/12.2175925
- Shirinzadech, B., Cassidy, G., Oetomo, D., Alici, G., Ang, M.H.: Trajectory generation for open-contoured structures in robotic fibre placement. Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf. 23, 380–394 (2007)
- Martinec, T., Mlýnek, J., Petrů, M.: Calculation of the robot trajectory for the optimum directional orientation of fibre placement in the manufacture of composite profile frames. Robot. Comput.-Integr. Manuf. 35, 42–54 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.rcim.2015.02.004