Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 932 Advances in Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Public Health

Gianfranco Donelli *Editor*

Advances in Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Public Health Volume 4

Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology

Advances in Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Public Health

Volume 932

Editorial Board

Irun R. Cohen, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel N.S. Abel Lajtha, Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Orangeburg, NY, USA John D. Lambris, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA Rodolfo Paoletti, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Subseries Editor

Gianfranco Donelli, Microbial Biofilm Laboratory, Fondazione Santa Lucia IRCCS, Rome, Italy

The Advances in Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Public Health Series will provide microbiologists, hygienists, epidemiologists and infectious diseases specialists with well-choosen contributed volumes containing updated information in the areas of basic and applied microbiology involving relevant issues for public health, including bacterial, fungal and parasitic infections, zoonoses and anthropozoonoses, environmental and food microbiology.The increasing threat of the multidrug-resistant microorganisms and the related host immune response, the new strategies for the treatment of biofilm-based, acute and chronic microbial infections, as well as the development of new vaccines and more efficacious antimicrobial drugs to prevent and treat human and animal infections will be also reviewed in this series in the light of the most recent achievements in these fields.Special attention will be devoted to the fast diffusion worldwide of the new findings of the most advanced translational researches carried out in the different fields of microbiological sciences, with the aim to promote a prompt validation and transfer at clinical level of the most promising experimental results.The book series publishes review and original research contributions, short (data) reports as well as guest edited thematic book volumes. All contributions will be published online first and collected in (thematic) book volumes. There are no publication costs.This series is a subseries of Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology2015 Impact Factor: 1.953 Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology has been publishing exceptional works in the field for over 30 years and is indexed in Medline, Scopus, EMBASE, BIOSIS, Biological Abstracts, CSA, Biological Sciences and Living Resources (ASFA-1), and Biological Sciences.

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/13513

Gianfranco Donelli Editor

Advances in Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Public Health

Volume 4

Editor Gianfranco Donelli Microbial Biofilm Laboratory Fondazione Santa Lucia IRCCS Rome, Italy

ISSN 0065-2598 ISSN 2214-8019 (electronic) Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology ISBN 978-3-319-43206-9 ISBN 978-3-319-43207-6 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-43207-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016935504

\circ Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Contents

Adv Exp Med Biol - Advances in Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Public Health (2016) 4: 1–64 DOI 10.1007/5584_2016_3 \circled{c} Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016 Published online: 12 May 2016

Biodiversity of Intestinal Lactic Acid Bacteria in the Healthy Population

Marika Mikelsaar, Epp Sepp, Jelena Stšepetova, Epp Songisepp, and Reet Mändar

Abstract

The complex ecosystem of the gastrointestinal tract involves tight interrelations among host cells, diet, and billions of microbes, both beneficial and opportunistic pathogens. In spite of advanced genomic, metagenomic, and metabonomic approaches, knowledge is still quite limited regarding the biodiversity of beneficial microbiota, including Lactobacillus spp., and its impact on the main biomarkers of general health. In this paper, *Lactobacillus* biodiversity is demonstrated through its taxonomy, function, and host-microbial interactions. Its prevalence, composition, abundance, intertwined metabolic properties, and relation to host age, genotype, and socioeconomic factors are reviewed based on the literature and original research experience. The species richness, e.g., the biodiversity of gut microbiota, provides the host with a variety of metabolically active species and strains that predict their response for different health conditions and extrinsic interventions. Metabolically active and safe *Lactobacillus* species and specific strains with particular functional properties increase the biodiversity of the whole intestinal microbiota. The elaborated principles for effective application of probiotics are discussed, aimed at regulating the composition of microbiota simultaneously with blood and urine biomarkers at the borderline of normality. This approach targets the impact of probiotic strains to maintenance of health with anti-infectious, cardiovascular, and metabolic support.

Keywords

Lactobacilli • Taxonomy • Metabolite • Species diversity • Functional properties • Probiotic elaboration • Health maintenance • Human

E. Songisepp

Bio-Competence Centre of Healthy Dairy Products, Europe, Estonia e-mail: epp.songisepp@tptak.ee

M. Mikelsaar (\boxtimes) , E. Sepp, J. Stšepetova, and R. Mändar Institute of Biomedicine and Translational Medicine, University of Tartu, Europe, Estonia e-mail: marika.mikelsaar@ut.ee; epp.sepp@ut.ee; [jelena.stsepetova@ut.ee](mailto:jelena.�stsepetova@ut.ee); reet.mandar@ut.ee

1 Intestinal Microbiome and Its **Biodiversity**

The intestinal microbiota is a dynamic complex of microbes comprising bacteria, archaea, protozoa, fungi, and different viruses. To date, this microbiota consists of 10^{14} viable microbes belonging to over 1000 species, among which anaerobic bacteria predominate (Holdeman et al. [1976;](#page-58-0) Finegold et al. [1977;](#page-57-0) Mikelsaar and Mändar [1993](#page-62-0): Bochkov et al. [1998](#page-55-0): Zoetendal et al. [1998](#page-69-0); van der Waaij et al. [2005;](#page-68-0) Reid et al. [2006;](#page-64-0) Sun and Chang [2014;](#page-67-0) Ghaisas et al. [2015](#page-57-0)). The understanding of its impact on human health and well-being and possible ways to regulate it following disruptions are not yet well elaborated. New molecular methods and large-scale European and US human microbiome projects (Qin et al. [2010](#page-64-0), [2012\)](#page-64-0) have led to an explosive increase in the number of cultureindependent metagenomic studies, illustrating a large ecological diversity, particularly in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Genomics and other -omics technologies are playing an important role in helping to maintain personal health. The revolution in DNA sequencing technologies has made it possible to sequence the microbiome and metabolome of healthy individuals and patients with diagnosed disease to tailor treatment for specific individuals (Wu [2016](#page-68-0)).

The first criteria to be evaluated were obtained by culture-based quantitative studies of microbiota of different biotopes followed by culture-independent sequencing studies of metagenomes. The large range of different microbial species (nearly 1000 for a person) was identified as having a large diversity at the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) level, confirming different biological properties of microbiota (Lozupone et al. [2012\)](#page-61-0).

Three robust clusters, e.g., enterotypes of microbiota, were detected by Sanger analysis of metagenomes of different populations (Danish, French, Italian, and Spanish individuals) and including previously published pyrosequencing datasets of Japanese and US volunteers (Arumugam et al. [2011](#page-54-0)). Their abundance, relative proportions, and number of species were set

as criteria for "core microbiota." Microbial abundance has been roughly characterized with a predominance of Firmicutes (approx. 28–40 %), followed by 20–38 % Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobiota as minor constituents $(3-10\%)$ (Eckburg et al. [2005](#page-56-0); Roager et al. [2014](#page-65-0)). However, newer data from developing countries have expanded the set of phyla differentially colonizing young children from different cultures and economic conditions (Yatsunenko et al. [2012\)](#page-69-0).

In contrast to the modest levels of diversification of phyla in the mammalian gut, quite high variation exists at the level of species and strains (Chow et al. [2010](#page-56-0)). The culture-independent 16S rRNA and metagenomic sequencing studies have shown a high variability of composition of healthy gut microbiota, particularly for viruses and the predominant phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, expressed in species diversity between individuals (Eckburg et al. [2005;](#page-56-0) Reyes et al. [2010;](#page-65-0) Qin et al. [2010\)](#page-64-0).

The biodiversity of microbiota is largely characterized by composition as well as function. Complex studies of biological properties of cultured phenotypes of microbiota with characterized genome profiles offer the possibility of revealing functioning genes in the whole DNA community of the biotope and further modeling the metabolic network of the host in a particular region or in general. Combining targeted sequencing with mRNA-, protein-, and metabolite-level analyses has helped to measure these community properties (Lozupone et al. [2012](#page-61-0)). Surprisingly, whereas plasma and urine metabolomes of human vegans differ markedly from those of omnivores, the gut microbiota is similar (Wu et al. 2016). Thus, the gut microbiota provides an individual person by chance with a variety of metabolically active species and strains, but the variability of functional maps is considered to be smaller than the genomic variability (Huttenhower et al. [2009](#page-58-0)).

Undoubtedly, the large metabolic potential of the gut microbiota influences the production of diet-dependent gut microbial metabolites, complicating the estimation of healthy versus

dysbiotic microbiota (Sonnenburg and Sonnenburg [2014](#page-67-0)). These findings have underscored the need to consider the structurally and functionally diverse microbiome when evaluating nutritional needs, physiological variations in health biomarkers, and the impact of westernization. The rapidly progressing knowledge about the balance of microbial groups in the gut of individuals has supported their impact on health and conversely the damaging role of imbalance in the generation of various metabolic diseases. The very large bacterial divisions of predominating phyla (Firmicutes vs. Bacteroidetes) involve different genera, species, and strains with different effects on host biomarkers and health.

The individual pattern of the microbiome does not, however, anticipate the presence of a universal well-balanced host–microbial symbiosis. Individual stability is granted by different mechanisms despite temporary or sometimes even long-lasting imbalance due to various exogenous and endogenous influences. A wide variety of host genetic, environmental, and dietary factors affect bacterial colonization of the GI tract, but the symbiosis occurs with several diverse functions of microbiota including the traditional decomposition of different nutrients, maturation of intestinal cells, morphology and gut physiology, stimulation of the immune system, systemic effects on blood lipids, and inhibition of harmful bacteria (Dubos and Schaedler [1962;](#page-56-0) McFarland [2000](#page-62-0)).

The complex immune-mediated signaling processes, together with different chemical interactions, comprise a series of multidirectional interactive metabolic axes between microbe and host (Nicholson et al. [2012](#page-63-0)). The higher diversity and abundance of particular microbial groups seemingly serve as more effective factors in the various metabolic connections of different organs, secretions, and metabolites that respond to perturbations of homeostasis (Clemente et al. [2012\)](#page-56-0). Although the abundant species do not always engage in the molecular functions that are important for the host, some marker genes and functional modules of bacteria significantly correlate with host age and health biomarkers such as body mass index (BMI) (Arumugam et al. [2011\)](#page-54-0). Moreover, the understanding of the stability of intestinal microbiota is tightly connected with the phenotypic flexibility of host metabolism (van Ommen et al. [2014\)](#page-68-0). These changes certainly also should be reflected in health biomarkers.

In 2000s many studies started to explain the relationship between individual genotype, stage of life and environment with epigenetic processes (Kanherkar et al. [2014](#page-59-0); Shenderov and Midtvedt [2014;](#page-66-0) Remely et al. [2014](#page-64-0)). Epigenomic processes regulate when and in which manner certain genes of both host and its microbiota are turned on or off by the covalent attachment of various chemical groups to DNA, RNA, chromatin, histones during the transcriptional and in post-translational period to aminoacids and even proteins. Methylation, but also acetylation or ubiquitylation, lead to different molecular outcomes which can persist even during several cell generations and result in inactivation of the X-chromosome, genomic imprinting, or different types of cancer (Sagl et al. [2007](#page-65-0); Paul et al. [2015](#page-64-0)). Several environmental factors are capable of eliciting positive or negative epigenetic modifications with lasting effects on development, metabolism and health. These can impact the body so profoundly as to permanently alter the epigenetic profile of an individual.

Microbiota and its metabolites influence epigenomic reprogramming. There are various molecules of microbial origin that are in complex interplay with host metabolism and physiology. For instance, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and Eubacterium rectale/Roseburia spp. (Firmicutes phylum), can regulate the gene expression of storage of lipids in fat cells by histone modifications due to production of butyrate. On the other hand, the methylation of genes for receptors of fat cells can silence their epigenomic programming. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of gram negative bacteria is another well proved microbial factor for epigenetic regulation of immune and intestinal cells (Bierne et al. [2012;](#page-55-0) Kumar et al. [2014](#page-60-0)).

Epigenomic impact may serve as a central factor for altered homeostasis of the host in the majority of modern-world diseases

(atherosclerosis, obesity, cancer, atopy and asthma, type II diabetes). Epigenomic programming of the genome and the post-translational modification of cell products such as proteins are closely associated with embryogenesis and postnatal development for adaptation to different environmental signals. Certainly, food and microbiota with their bioactive molecules can serve as the most important environmental epiprogramming factors, possibly increasing the risk of chronic inflammatory and metabolic diseases (Kau et al. [2011;](#page-59-0) McKay and Mathers [2011\)](#page-62-0). There is a clear necessity to identify more microbiota groups involved in epigenetic programming and elaborate the possibilities for epigenetic reprogramming with nutra- and microbial epigenetic-based functional foods and for use in personalized medicine.

In addition to epigenetic influences, the outcome of genetically well-defined microbiota can depend on phenotypic fluctuations at the single cell level. Recently, for genetically identical microbial cells that reside in the same microenvironment, molecular mechanisms for phenotypic variation have been outlined. The main drivers of phenotypic heterogeneity are stochastic gene expression, aged cultures, or interactions between phenotypic subpopulations in clonal groups. These modulators can provide microbial groups with new modified functionality to persist in fluctuating environments (Ackermann [2015](#page-54-0)).

In this review, we address a specific group of intestinal bacteria, Lactobacillus of the Firmicutes phylum, that are tightly involved in host-microbiota interactions. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), mainly Lactobacillus (Firmicutes) and Bifidobacterium (Actinobacteria), are believed to benefit the host through anti-inflammatory, antitumorigenic, and pathogen exclusion properties (Vaughan et al. [2005;](#page-68-0) Marteau [2013](#page-61-0)).

Our aim is to describe Lactobacillus spp. biodiversity by community composition, abundance, relative proportions of biotypes in particular microbiota, and individual metabolic variety, with the resulting rich functional diversity of species and strains. Some mechanisms behind these characteristics are considered, including age, genotype, and environmental

factors. The possible metabolic interactions among *Lactobacillus* species and with different species of various phyla are described and their beneficial vs. harmful impact on host health predicted. In different health states and perturbances, the metabolic activity of lactobacilli and its impact on intestinal microbiota and host metabolism can surely enlarge our understanding of the role of certain groups of microbiota and their interplay with host structure and physiology. Understanding interrelations with the other more numerous predominating microbiota can open possibilities for Lactobacillus spp. application as natural beneficial bacteria (probiotics) for personal correction of imbalances of intestinal microbiota and consequently for the maintenance and regulation of health.

2 Lactic Acid Bacteria in Humans: Origin, Divisions, and Characteristics

LAB are phylogenetically included in the phylum Firmicutes, class Bacilli, order Lactobacillales, family Lactobacillaceae (Heilig et al. [2002;](#page-58-0) Tannock [2004](#page-67-0); Vaughan et al. [2005\)](#page-68-0). The family *Lactobacillaceae* contains the genera Lactobacillus, Pediococcus, Paralactobacillus, and Sharpea, which are phylogenetically intermixed (Felis and Dellaglio [2007;](#page-57-0) Haakensen et al. [2011\)](#page-58-0).

Lactobacilli are Gram-positive, non-pathogenic microorganisms characterized by the production of lactic acid as the main end-product of carbohydrate metabolism. Among the other genera of LAB, the genus Lactobacillus (Kandler and Weiss [1986](#page-59-0); Garrity and Lilburn [2005;](#page-57-0) Felis and Dellaglio [2007\)](#page-57-0) comprises more than 154 validly described species and 19 subspecies [\(http://www.bacterio.net/lactobacillus.html\)](http://www.bacterio.net/lactobacillus.html).

Lactobacillus spp. forms a large, heterogeneous group consisting of non-sporulating, anaerobic or microaerophilic, catalase-negative, fermentative organisms with complex nutritional requirements. In humans, these bacteria persist in oral cavities, the GI tract, and genital tracts (Kandler and Weiss [1986](#page-59-0); Axelsson [1998;](#page-55-0)

Hayashi et al. [2005;](#page-58-0) Felis and Dellaglio [2007\)](#page-57-0). Although 20 species of lactobacilli have been tightly associated with the human GI tract, new species of bacteria still are being defined (Walter et al. [2010](#page-68-0); Oki et al. [2012;](#page-63-0) Rajilic-Stojanovic and de Vos [2014](#page-64-0)). In the small intestine, Lactobacillus spp. represents one of the predominant groups (Reuter [2001;](#page-64-0) Hayashi et al. [2005;](#page-58-0) Ahmed et al. [2007\)](#page-54-0). Approximately 30 % of species have been isolated from fecal sources, however. At the same time, the lactobacilli group has been the focus of several studies for their prevalence, numbers, and properties in specific biotopes of host.

Moreover, a wide variety of different Lactobacillus strains is present on plant material and fermented food, soil, and sewage. Altogether, consumed food complicates the determination of the true inhabitants of the human organism and assessment of their role in host function. Whether the health-promoting capacities of Lactobacillus spp. are mainly predicted by their host and biotope-specific origin still lacks evidencebased confirmation. Yet, for health promotion, the application of Lactobacillus spp. strains with defined functional properties largely depends on this specificity.

2.1 Phenotypic Properties

Lactobacillus spp. are catalase-negative bacteria, generally oxygen tolerant, aciduric or acidophilic, and obligately carbohydrate fermenters with at least 50 % of the carbohydrate end-product being lactate (Hammes and Vogel [1995](#page-58-0); Hammes and Hertel [2006](#page-58-0)). For a long time, the identification of Lactobacillus spp. was performed with application of different methods in phenotypic studies relying on detection of metabolites, enzymes, and/or chemical composition.

Metabolites According to the type of sugar fermentation, lactobacilli can be subdivided into three groups: a genus of homo-fermenters (OHOL), or Thermobacterium, and the genera of facultative hetero-fermenters (FHEL), the Streptobacterium, and obligate heterofermenters (OHEL), the Betabacterium (Table [1](#page-11-0)).

Group I – obligately homofermentative (OHOL) lactobacilli can convert hexoses into lactic acid via the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway while the pentoses and gluconate are not fermented because OHOL lactobacilli lack phosphoketolase; Group II – facultatively heterofermentative lactobacilli (FHEL) degrade hexoses to lactic acid by the EMP pathway and also can degrade pentoses to lactic acid and acetic acids and ethanol; the gluconate is often fermented. Group III – obligately heterofermentative (OHEL) hexoses are fermented to lactic acid, carbon dioxide, and ethanol (or acetic acid using an alternative electron acceptor), formate, and succinate. Pentoses are converted to lactic and acetic acids (Kandler and Weiss [1986;](#page-59-0) Pot et al. [1994b;](#page-64-0) Hammes and Vogel [1995;](#page-58-0) Axelsson [1998;](#page-55-0) Songisepp et al. [2005;](#page-66-0) Štšepetova et al. [2011a](#page-67-0))

A special kit, the API 50 CHL system, for identification of lactobacilli based on their phenotypic properties, particularly on the fermentation patterns of carbohydrates, has been developed by bioMérieux, France. The kit is mainly useful for identification to the species level. Its precision can be greatly improved by computerized application of Bayes's theorem (Cox and Thomsen [1990](#page-56-0)). The great advantage with cultivation is that isolates can be recovered and further studied for their ability to use different substances and for other physiological parameters, including their antibiotic susceptibility pattern. In addition to group and species specificity, the biochemical profile can be strainspecific, to some extent depending on the number of tests in any particular kit. The fermentation profile of carbohydrates of three particular strains – L. acidophilus 821 (OHOL group), L. plantarum Tensia DSM 21380 (FHEL group), and L. fermentum ME-3 DSM 14241 (OHEL group) – according to the API 50 CHL kit results is presented in Table [2](#page-12-0).

	Group I	Group II	Group III	
		Facultatively hetero-		
	Obligately homo-fermentative	fermentative	Obligately hetero-fermentative	
Indices	(OHOL)	(FHEL)	(OHEL)	
Growth at 45° C	$+$	$+$	$+/-$	
Growth at 15° C	$- (+)^a$	$+(-)^{\overline{b}}$	$+(-)^{b}$	
Hexose fermentation	$+$	$+$	$+$	
Pentose fermentation	$\overline{}$	$+$	$+$	
Fructose-diphosphate (FDP) aldolase	$+$	$+$		
Phosphoketolase (PK)	$\overline{}$	$+$ ^c	$+$	
Gas from glucose	$\overline{}$		$+$	
Gas from gluconate	$\overline{}$	$+$	$+$	
NH ₃ from arginine	$- (+)^a$		$+(-)^{\overline{b}}$	
Metabolites	$(D-, L-, DL)$	$(D-, L-, DL)$	(DL)	
	Lactic acid	Lactic acid	Lactic acid	
		Acetic acid	Acetic acid	
		Ethanol	Succinic acid	
			Formic acid	
			Ethanol	
Species of Lactobacillus	L. delbrueckii	L. casei	L. brevis	
	L. acidophilus	L. curvatus	L. buchneri	
	L. helveticus	L. paracasei	L. fermentum	
	L. salivarius	L. plantarum	L. reuteri	
	L. gasseri	L. sakei	L. oris	
	L. johnsonii	L. rhamnosus	L. mucosae	
	L. ruminis			
	L. crispatus			

Table 1 Human *Lactobacillus* spp. fermentative properties

Adapted from Bottazzi ([1983\)](#page-55-0), Kandler and Weiss ([1986\)](#page-59-0), Axelsson et al. [\(1993](#page-55-0)), Pot et al. ([1994a](#page-64-0)), Hammes and Vogel ([1995\)](#page-58-0), Songisepp et al. (2005) (2005) , Štšepetova et al. $(2011a)$

Legend: $\frac{1}{2}$ mostly negative

^bmostly positive, with a few exceptions

^cinducible by pentose

Enzymes The enzyme profile of Lactobacillus spp. can be detected by the API ZYM (bioMérieux, France) www.biomerieux.fr/test kit (Table [3](#page-13-0)).

Table [3](#page-13-0) depicts some LAB strains that can be characterized by both alpha- and betaglycosidases and -galactosidases. Alphaglucosidase breaks down starch and disaccharides to glucose and is close to [maltase](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maltase#Maltase), a similar enzyme that cleaves [maltose.](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maltose#Maltose) For health, the glucosidases may pose a problem by excess produced glucose. Beta-glucosidase is [an](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Glucosidase#Glucosidase) [enzyme](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Enzyme#Enzyme) located on the brush border of the small intestine that acts on $β1 - > 4$ bonds linking two

[glucose](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Glucose#Glucose) or glucose-substituted molecules (i.e., the [disaccharide](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Disaccharide#Disaccharide) [cellobiose](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cellobiose#Cellobiose)). It is one of the [cellulases,](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cellulase#Cellulase) enzymes involved in the decomposition of cellulose and related [polysaccharides;](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polysaccharide#Polysaccharide) more specifically, it is an [exocellulase](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Exocellulase#Exocellulase) with specificity for a variety of glycoside substrates and catalyzes the hydrolysis of terminal non-reducing residues in beta-D-glucosides with release of glucose (Cox et al. [2000](#page-56-0)).

The high content of alpha-galactosidase in L. casei and L. fermentum ME-3 (up to 93 % nmol/min/mg protein, at pH 6.5) is quite exceptional, enabling them to hydrolyze glycosides of different biologically active substances such as flavonoids and isoflavones (Uskova et al. [2010\)](#page-68-0). Table 2 API 50 CHL system (bioMérieux) profile of fermentation of carbohydrates by OHOL, FHEL, and OHEL group strains, respectively: L. acidophilus

821–3, L. plantarum, Tensia DSM 21380, and L. fermentum ME-3 DSM 14241

Source: Mikelsaar et al. ([2006\)](#page-62-0); Mikelsaar and Zilmer ([2009\)](#page-62-0); Songisepp et al. ([2012b\)](#page-66-0); Rööp et al. [\(2014](#page-65-0))

The presence of beta-galactosidases is quite common for different Lactobacillus spp. (L. casei, L. bulgaricus) and streptococci (Streptococcus thermophilus) involved in lactose fermentation in the production of yogurt.

Chemical Composition Recently, the identification of bacteria by their chemical composition has gained increasing importance. Applications of modern laboratory research methods such as matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization timeof-flight (e.g., MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (MS) has taken the lead in microbiology laboratories during the last decade. This method is rapid, accurate, and cost-effective and measures highly abundant proteins of microorganisms. The characteristic patterns of these proteins are used to reliably and accurately identify a particular microorganism by matching the respective pattern with an extensive database. Most studies regarding

identification of microorganisms by MALDI-TOF MS are based on the Bruker system, which has been commercially developed mainly for clinical application [\(https://www.bruker.com\)](https://www.bruker.com/).

Regarding lactobacilli, in most studies, human oral, fecal, vaginal, or non-human animal strains have been investigated (Callaway et al. [2013;](#page-55-0) Anderson et al. [2014](#page-54-0); Dec et al. [2014;](#page-56-0) Zhang et al. [2014\)](#page-69-0). In these studies, comparison of MALDI-TOF MS with 16S rDNA sequencing has given highly concordant results. Thus, MALDI-TOF MS analysis seems to be a reliable and fast tool to identify lactobacilli to the species level. Though 16S rDNA sequencing yielded more precise species identification, accuracy can be supposedly improved by extending reference databases (Anderson et al. [2014\)](#page-54-0).

In a study with MALDI-TOF MS analysis and 16S rDNA analysis, both methods were used to

Source: Songisepp et al. [\(2012b](#page-66-0))

analyze 77 vaginal and 21 oral Lactobacillus isolates. The concordance of both methods was at 96 % with only five samples discordantly identified (Anderson et al. [2014\)](#page-54-0). In addition to protein profile analysis, this method enables analysis and comparison of bacterial lipid profiles and has also been used with lactobacilli (Calvano et al. [2011](#page-55-0)). The method additionally allows definition of several seldom described Lactobacillus species in fecal samples of healthy humans such as *L. acidipiscis*, L. agilis, L. amylovorus, L. antri, L. coryniformis, L. equi, L. fructivorans, L. fuchuensis, L. gastricus, L. ingluviei, L. jensenii, L. kalixensis, L. kefiri, L. malefermentans, L. murinus, L. oligofermentans, L. parabuchneri, L. parakefiri, L. paralimentarius, L. pentosus, L. saerimneri, L. suebicus, L. zeae, L. ultunensis, L. vaginalis, and L. vitulinus.

2.2 Phylogenetic Division

The taxonomy of LAB is quite complicated. Lactobacilli are characterized by a low $G + C$ content (32–53 %), although the upper limit of DNA G + C content reaches $58.5-59.2$ mol % for Lactobacillus nasuensis (Cai et al. [2012\)](#page-55-0). To

date, the genus Lactobacillus contains over 150 species with wide phenotypic and genotypic variation (Kant et al. [2011;](#page-59-0) Salvetti et al. [2012](#page-65-0)).

Different methods for genotyping have changed the understanding of phylogenetic classification of Lactobacillus spp. Based on DNA–DNA hybridization, lactobacilli were grouped into eight major groups: L. buchneri, L. delbrueckii, L. casei, L. plantarum, L. reuteri, L. sakei, L. salivarius, and L. brevis (Felis and Dellaglio [2007\)](#page-57-0). Previous studies on the basis of 16S rRNA gene sequences have split *Lactobacillus* spp. into three clusters: L. acidophilus, L. casei/Pediococcus, and Leuconostoc (Schleifer and Ludwig [1995;](#page-66-0) Vandamme et al. [1996](#page-68-0); Kwon et al. [2004;](#page-60-0) Martinez et al. [2014\)](#page-61-0). According to the most recent taxonomic updates, with a combination of different methods based on 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity, the Lactobacillus spp. are categorized into 15 groups: L. delbrueckii, L. salivarius, L. reuteri, L. buchneri, L. alimentaris, L. brevis, L. collinoides, L. fructivorans, L. plantarum, L. sakei, L. casei, L. coryniformis, L. perolens, L. vaccinostercus, and L. manihotivorans (Fig. [1](#page-14-0)) (Collins et al. [1991;](#page-56-0) Felis and Dellaglio [2007;](#page-57-0) Salvetti et al. [2012;](#page-65-0) Mattarelli et al. [2014\)](#page-62-0).

Table 3 API ZYM (bioMérieux, France) profile of strains L. plantarum Tensia DSM 21380 and L. fermentum ME-3 DSM 14241

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree illustrating the relationship of *Lactobacillus* and *Pediococcus* spp. based on 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity (Adapted from Salvetti et al. [2012\)](#page-65-0)

Application of new sequencing technology has expanded our knowledge about the full genomes of Lactobacillus spp. and provided unprecedented insight into microbial diversity. Presently, more than 25 completed *Lactobacillus* genomes have become available within the different databases, with many projects ongoing (Altermann et al. [2005;](#page-54-0) Nelson et al. [2010;](#page-63-0) Kant et al. [2011;](#page-59-0) Wang et al. [2011](#page-68-0); Chen et al. [2015](#page-56-0); Tareb et al. [2015\)](#page-67-0). The comparative study of 10 complete genomes of Lactobacillus, Pediococcus, Streptococcus, Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, and Oenococcus has established that all of these LAB share a common ancestor with the bacilli and that their gene complement results from a combination of extensive gene loss and horizontal gene transfer during evolution (Makarova et al. [2006](#page-61-0)).

Detailed comparative analysis of the 20 Lactobacillus genomes has demonstrated that the Lactobacillus pan-genome consists of approximately 1400 protein-encoding genes with genomes sharing a total of 383 sets of orthologous genes that define the Lactobacillus core genome. This information allowed classification of all genomes into the NCFM (L. johnsonii, L. gasseri, L. crispatus, L. helveticus, L. acidophilus), GG (L. casei, L. rhamnosus and L. sakei) and WCFS (L. salivarius, L. reuteri, L. plantarum, L. fermentum, L. brevis) groups comprising different species. Into the latter also belong the species of our probiotic strains Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 (DSM 14241), L. plantarum Tensia (DSM 21380) and *L. [plantarum](https://mailhost.ut.ee/mail/#NOP)* Inducia (DSM 21379). Of note, the group-specific genes present in genome of one species and absent in all species (ORFans) appear to be of value in defining the different genomic groups and providing insight into the origin and function of the species (Kant et al. [2011\)](#page-59-0).

The functional prediction of the Lactobacillus core genome has identified 26 % of genes belonging to 'translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis', while 10 % of the genes belong to 'replication, recombination, and repair', 7 % to 'transcription', 6 % to 'carbohydrate transport and metabolism', and 14 % to 'unknown general function prediction'. Less than 5 % of the proteins encoded by the lactobacilli core genome were predicted to be secreted, indicating that many secreted proteins are encoded by strain-specific genes (Kant et al. [2011](#page-59-0)).

Within the last decade, *Lactobacillus* spp. identification has been revised due to the development of a wide variety of molecular techniques. The PCR-DGGE of 16S rRNA (or DNA) and 16S-23S ITS-region rRNA are widely used for selective monitoring of LAB and bifidobacteria populations (Bello et al. [2001](#page-55-0); Heilig et al. [2002](#page-58-0); Murray et al. [2005](#page-68-0); Vaughan et al. 2005; Stšepetova et al. [2011a](#page-67-0)). Specific nucleotide probes targeting rDNA have been designed for different species of Lactobacillus that occur in the human intestine. Fluorescent in situ hybridization has been applied to morphologically intact cells and thus provides a quantitative measure of the target organism without the limitation of culturedependent methods (Amann et al. [1995,](#page-54-0) [2001\)](#page-54-0). Unfortunately, the probe panel for lactobacilli is still incomplete and lacks specific probes for intestinal species. Although lactobacilli are

phylogenetically heterogeneous, two groupspecific probes (Lab 158) have been designed that also cover related genera such as Enterococcus, Streptococcus, Vagococcus, and Oenococcus (Harmsen et al. [1999](#page-58-0)). The value of real-time PCR has been demonstrated in several studies. Real-time PCR can be used to quantify Lactobacillus spp. and strains from various samples, including feces (Requena et al. [2002;](#page-64-0) Malinen et al. [2003;](#page-61-0) Matsuki et al. [2004](#page-61-0); Rinttila et al. [2004](#page-65-0); Maruo et al. [2006](#page-61-0)), dairy products, and other food (Kao et al. [2007\)](#page-59-0). Recently, RT-PCR also has been applied for strain-specific quantification in probiotic products (Ahlroos and Tynkkynen [2009;](#page-54-0) Kullisaar et al. [2010a;](#page-60-0) Stšepetova et al. [2011b;](#page-67-0) Sharafedtinov et al. [2013;](#page-66-0) Mikelsaar et al. [2015\)](#page-63-0).

2.3 Intertwined Metabolism of Lactobacillus spp. with Other Intestinal Microbiota

In the microbial ecosystem of the gut, the intertwined metabolism between the host and the microbiota components has played an important role in health. In addition, the whole microbial ecosystem is developed with tight interrelations among their components, including different groups of bacteria. We have attempted to associate the metabolites of the three fermentative groups of *Lactobacillus* spp. with the other important metabolites detected in gut but produced by other groups of bacteria. The major function of the metabolism of the human gut microbiota is to aid in the harvest of nutrients and energy from the varied human diet. Carbohydrates and proteins are broken down by primary fermenters (Fig. [2](#page-16-0)), yielding gases, hydrogen, carbon dioxide, short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs; e.g., acetic, propionic, butyric), branched fatty acids (isobutyrate, isovalerate, 2-methylbutyrate), organic acids (formate, lactate, and succinate), ethanol, ammonia, amines, phenols, and indoles. Usually, organic acids do not accumulate because they are rapidly further metabolized by other bacterial species to SCFAs. These fermentation and hydrolyzation products

Fig. 2 Schematic view of the metabolism of *Lactobacil*lus sp. intertwined with some other intestinal groups of bacteria (Modified from Sarbini and Rastall [2011](#page-65-0))

Legend: SCFA: Butyrate, propionate, acetate created from poly- and oligosaccharides and amino acids all groups of bacteria (Bacteroides, Clostridium IV, IX, XIVa groups, Akkermansia muciniphila, Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium sp.), main butyrate producres: Clostridium IV group (Faecalibacterium prausnitzii), Clostridium XIVa group (Eubacterium rectale, E. halii, Roseburia sp.); main propionate producers: Bacteroides; main acetate producer: Clostridium XIVa group (Blautia hydrogeotrophyca). Organic acids: formic, lactate and succinate created from poly- and oligosaccharides Firmicutes: Bacillus, Enterococcus, Eubacterium, Lactobacillus sp., Lactococcus, Leucocostoc, Pediococcus, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Weissella, Actinobacteria: Bifidobacterium sp.; Enterobacteria. Lactate-utilizers: Propionibacterium, Eubacterium, Veilonella, A. caccae, B. adolescentis, C. catus, M. eldsenii, Desulfomicrobium sp. Succinate-utilizers to

are sources of carbon and energy for community members. Dietary components that are not absorbed in the proximal intestine reach the distal gut, where they are metabolized through processes that involve a wide range of different bacteria in addition to lactobacilli. Furthermore, an unexpected important role of proteins (putatively enzymes) has been demonstrated based on propionate: Clostridium XIVa group (Roseburtia sp., F. prausnitzii), Clostridium IV group. Amines, NH4+ p cresyl sulphate, and indoxyl sulphate created from proteins and peptides by Firmicutes (Clostridium, Lactobacillus), Bifidobacterium sp., Bacteroides. Gases: $CO₂$ created form polysaccharides by OHEL lactobacilli and anaerobic bacteria. H₂ created by Clostridium XIVa group, Lactobacillus and Clostridium XIVa group (Blautia hydrogeo $trophyca$). H₂-consuming microbes include methanogens by creation of CH₄ (Methanobrevibacter smithii), acetogens by creation of acetate and sulphate-reducing bacteria by creation of H2S. Desulfovibrio sp. reduced sulfate. NO created by Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium sp. Mucin: genera Clostridium XIVa group (C.clostridiiforme, C. malenominatum), Bacteroides (B. thetaiotaomicron, B. uniformis), Bifidobacterium (B.longum, B.bifidum) and Akkermania muciniphila are able degradate mucin. Conjugated linoleic acid: Lactobacillus sp. can produce CLA from lipids (free linoleic acid). EPS created from monosaccharides by Lactobacillus sp.

expression of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism and energy generation (Biagi et al. [2010](#page-55-0)), possibly indicating a large gene repertoire of different lactobacilli strains. In contrast, Goel et al. [\(2015](#page-57-0)) showed that central metabolism in *Lactococcus lactis* appears to be scarcely regulated at the level of gene expression, e.g., ribosomal proteins, but rather more so at the interacting metabolic level. The regulation of metabolic pathways is still not well understood and involves trophic interactions among members of microbial community that could be explained by epigenetic influences.

2.3.1 Promotional Functions of Lactobacillus spp. on Beneficial Metabolites of Microbiota

SCFAs. The SCFAs produced by intestinal bacteria, including Lactobacillus and Bifidobacteria spp., are further diversified by different bacteria acting as crucial modulators of the gut ecosystem. The main fermentation products of Lactobacillus spp. – acetic, lactic, and succinic acids (Fig. [2](#page-16-0)) – reach additional end-products, including formic, caproic, propionic, butyric, and valeric acids and ethanol (Corsetti et al. [1998;](#page-56-0) Zalán et al. [2011\)](#page-69-0). In general terms, acetate appears to contribute 50–60 %, propionate 20–25 %, and butyrate 15–20 % of total SCFA depending on dietary variables (Topping [1996\)](#page-67-0). The total beneficial effect of SCFA is the reduction in pH, which diminishes the bioavailability of alkaline cytotoxic compounds and inhibits growth of pH-sensitive organisms. Moreover, a number of specific health-supporting properties have been identified for the major SCFAs (Topping [1996](#page-67-0)).

Acetate promotes the relaxation of resistance vessels in the colonic vasculature, which changes the maintenance of the blood flow to the liver as well as the colon and increases the absorption of calcium and magnesium. Acetate can reduce the concentration of serum free fatty acids (butyric and linoleic acids), which is important in lowering tissue glucose use. Acetate is also the primary substrate for cholesterol synthesis. Bacteria (Roseburia spp., Faecalibacterium prauznitzii, Clostridium IV group) isolated from the human intestine can use acetate to produce butyrate in the colon (Duncan et al. [2002\)](#page-56-0).

Butyrate enhances some properties of propionate and appears to be the preferred metabolic fuel for colonocytes possessing antineoplastic properties, thus contributing directly to energy production (Roediger and Millard [1995;](#page-65-0) Gillet et al. [1998](#page-57-0); Rizkalla et al. [2000](#page-65-0); Liong and

Shah [2005b](#page-61-0)). The major groups of bacteria characterized by levels of butyrate production include the Bacteroidetes phylum, Clostridium leptum, Roseburia species, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, and Coprococcus species (Guilloteau et al. [2010](#page-58-0)). The presence of butyrate may enhance the growth of *Lactobacillus* spp. and play a crucial role in colon physiology and metabolism (Roy et al. [2006](#page-65-0); Hijova and Chmelarova [2007\)](#page-58-0). In contrast, metabolites of lactobacilli such as lactate serve as the starting point for many bacteria to produce butyrate (Belenguer et al. [2011\)](#page-55-0).

Gibson et al. ([1995\)](#page-57-0) have shown that oligofructose and inulin, which are naturally occurring indigestible carbohydrates, selectively stimulate the growth of species of Bifidobacterium, a producer of butyrate considered beneficial to health. At the same time, some other microbes such as bacteroides, clostridia, anaerobic cocci, and fusobacteria are decreased.

Propionate affects colonic muscular contraction, relaxation of resistance vessels, stimulation of colonic electrolyte transport and colonic epithelial proliferation, and insulin resistance. Long-term dietary supplementation with propionate decreases blood glucose in rats and humans. Another possible effect of propionate is the reduction of plasma cholesterol levels (Chen et al. [1984;](#page-56-0) Hara et al. [1999](#page-58-0); Chambers et al. [2014\)](#page-56-0). One of the determinants of the actions of propionate on serum lipids is the ratio of propionate to acetate (Cheng and Lai [2000\)](#page-56-0). Propionate is subsequently metabolized by hepatocytes while acetate either remains in the liver or is released systemically to the peripheral venous system (Pomare et al. [1985\)](#page-64-0).

Both butyrate and propionate may be degraded into the two smaller acetate molecules by sulfate- or nitrate-reducing acetogenic bacteria such as Acetobacterium, Eubacterium, and Clostridium spp. (Westermann et al. [1989](#page-68-0)). However, an increased proportion of butyrateproducing or -consuming species such as F. prausnitzii and Roseburia species can reverse this process (Duncan et al. [2002\)](#page-56-0). Such interactions can involve the mutualistic production of SCFAs, with acetate produced by B. thetaiotaomicron acting as a substrate for butyrate generation by E. rectale (Mahowald et al. [2009\)](#page-61-0).

Lactate is not a major bacterial fermentation product, but it may be used by other bacteria in the environment. Bacteria in the GI tract that produce lactate include Bacteroidetes, bifidobacteria, LAB, and Eubacterium. However, it does not usually accumulate to a substantial extent in the colon (Duncan et al. [2002;](#page-56-0) Pessione [2012](#page-64-0)). Lactate modulates key functions of the main players in the innate response, such as myeloid and epithelial cells (Blad et al. [2012\)](#page-55-0). The receptor GPR81 is specific for lactate and expressed primarily in adipocytes, having an antilipolytic effect and mediating macrophagedependent anti-inflammatory effects (Liu et al. [2009;](#page-61-0) Hoque et al. [2014;](#page-58-0) Garrote et al. [2015](#page-57-0)). Beyond the signaling capacity through GPR81, lactate can also modulate histone deacetyl activity (Latham et al. [2012](#page-60-0)). A high concentration of lactate in the extracellular milieu has an effect on modulation of cell metabolism (Garrote et al. [2015\)](#page-57-0). Some studies have shown that after co-incubation of both dl-lactate and human intestinal butyrate-producing bacteria such as Eubacterium hallii, Anaerostipes caccae, and Bifidobacterium adolescentis, a significant amount of lactate is converted to butyrate (Duncan et al. [2004\)](#page-56-0). The high concentrations of lactate and butyrate are in agreement with the presence of Streptococcus spp. and Clostridium cluster XIVa spp., respectively (Zoetendal et al. [2012\)](#page-69-0). In addition, lactate can be metabolized by propionate-forming bacteria (Coprococcus catus, Megasphaera eldsenii) or by sulfate-reducing bacteria such as Desulfomicrobium spp. (Louis et al. [2014\)](#page-61-0).

During a randomized double-blind synbiotic cross-over intervention study with feeding probiotics (L. fermentum ME-3, L. paracasei 8700:2, B. longum 46) together with prebiotic (oligofructose or inulin), the counts of bifidobacteria and its metabolite butyrate increased. At the same time, the other microbes such as bacteroides, clostridia, and fusobacteria decreased (Gibson et al. [1995](#page-57-0); Saulnier et al. [2007](#page-65-0)). In addition, the counts of lactobacilli in the intestine increased (Mikelsaar et al. [2008\)](#page-62-0), accompanied by a documented increase in butyrate. The antioxidative effect of blood sera was simultaneously identified (Hutt et al. [2009](#page-58-0)).

Succinic acid is a [dicarboxylic acid](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dicarboxylic_acid#Dicarboxylic%20acid) detected in considerable amounts in the FHEL and OHEL groups of lactobacilli as a product of the fermentation of sugars. Among microbiota, the lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, bacteroides, and Clostridium IV group with Faecalibacterium prausnitzii are involved in the metabolism of succinate and further to butyrate and propionate via the Clostridium IX group (Flint et al. [2012\)](#page-57-0). In addition, succinate can donate [electrons](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electron#Electron) to the [electron transport chain,](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electron_transport_chain#Electron%20transport%20chain) leading to fumarate and ubiquinone, playing an important role in antioxidative processes. For instance, the production of succinate by a probiotic strain L. fermentum ME-3 (DSM14241) seems to be one mechanism of its antioxidative capacity (Mikelsaar and Zilmer [2009](#page-62-0); Mikelsaar et al. [2012a](#page-62-0), [b](#page-63-0)). Succinic acid is a final product of the oxidation of putrescine in the small bowel of animals and may serve as a source of instantly metabolizable energy (Bardoczs et al. [1998](#page-55-0)). Increased SCFA concentrations may increase the solubility of certain minerals such as calcium and enhance the absorption and expression of calcium-binding proteins (Scholz-Ahrens et al. [2007](#page-66-0)).

The pH and peptide supply have predicted alterations in bacterial populations and SCFA ratios within microbial communities in the human colon (Leitch et al. [2007](#page-60-0); Walker et al. [2008\)](#page-68-0). However, per a recent publication on the flexibility of human metabolism, even the ribosomal protein levels and enzyme activities changed somewhat with increasing microbial growth rates, whereas the central metabolism was more regulated at the metabolic levels (van Ommen et al. [2014\)](#page-68-0).

Gases

The three most abundant gas metabolites of Lactobacillus spp. include $CO₂$, intra-colonic hydrogen gas (H_2) , and nitrogen mono-oxide (NO) . $CO₂$ is a natural product of the OHEL group in carbohydrate metabolism. Excess $CO₂$ can create problems in the GI tract from probiotic bacteria of this fermentation group such as L. fermentum, L. brevis, and L. reuteri. Special precaution is needed for children below 6 months to avoid flatulence.

Intra-colonic hydrogen gas $(H₂)$ production has been shown by *Clostridium* XIV group, but it also can be released from acetate produced by lactobacilli and acetogens (Blautia hydrogenotrophica). One function of microbes during fermentation is to maintain redox balance while maximizing energy production. Many species have branched fermentation pathways that allow the disposal of reducing equivalents. The production of hydrogen is an energetically efficient way to yield higher levels of ATP (Rey et al. [2013](#page-64-0)). Hydrogen buildup inhibits reoxidation of pyridine nucleotides and forces primary fermenters to accumulate reduced compounds (e.g., butyrate, ethanol) that are key to the energy-extracting capacity of primary fermenters in microbial food webs and contribute to more efficient and complete oxidation of substrates (Wolin and Miller [1983](#page-68-0); Stams and Plugge [2009\)](#page-67-0). In the human gut, H_2 -consuming microbes include methanogens, acetogens, and sulfate-reducing bacteria that in turn produce methane, acetate, and hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) , respectively. They also can use H_2 or organic compounds (lactate, formate) for reduction of sulfate or their oxidized sulfur compounds to generate hydrogen sulfide. Sulfate-reducing bacteria have been found in fecal microbiota of healthy adults (Stewart et al. [2006](#page-67-0)) and in the distal mucosa and also associated with both proand anti-inflammatory signaling (Levine et al. [1998](#page-60-0); Loubinoux et al. [2002](#page-61-0); Levine and Kroemer [2008](#page-60-0); McIntosh et al. [2009;](#page-62-0) Rajilic-Stojanovic et al. [2011](#page-64-0)). Hydrogen sulfide is produced in the gut by sulfide-reducing bacteria (main genus Desulfovibrio) via the reduction of diet-derived sulfate and the metabolism of sulfur amino acids and taurine (Magee et al. [2000;](#page-61-0) Scanlan et al. [2009](#page-66-0)). Desulfovibrio spp. can use lactate as a co-substrate for growth and sulfide formation (Marquet et al. [2009](#page-61-0)); thus, they are putatively interconnected with *Lactobacillus*

spp. Sulfide is toxic to colonocytes and inhibits butyrate oxidation, which results in the breakdown of the colonocyte barrier (Roediger and Babidge [1997\)](#page-65-0). Hydrogen sulfide is also genotoxic to non-transformed human cell lines in the colonic lumen, and the mechanism of DNA damage is proposed to involve creation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Louis et al. [2014\)](#page-61-0).

Nitric oxide (NO) is a signaling molecule that regulates many biological functions. Formation of NO has been demonstrated in a wide variety of cells, including vascular endothelial, neuronal, polymorphonuclear PMN, bronchial epithelial cells, and hepatocytes. Excessive NO production, in particular by activated macrophages, has a cytotoxic or cytostatic effect, inhibiting the growth of a diverse array of infectious agents. NO production in vitro has been demonstrated also by lactic acid bacteria, e.g., lactobacilli and bifidobacteria (Xu and Verstraete [2001;](#page-69-0) Korhonen et al. [2001;](#page-59-0) Korhonen [2002;](#page-59-0) Sobko et al. [2005;](#page-66-0) Hutt et al. [2015](#page-58-0)). Lactobacilli produce NO from nitrate by reducing it to nitrite for further decomposition to NO either enzymatically or non-enzymatically. In addition, the sequential reduction of nitrate and nitrite by different anaerobes of gut microbiota has been shown. NO produced by LAB protects mucosa from damage and excessive permeability (Korhonen et al. [2001\)](#page-59-0).

Some other strains of intestinal microbiota such as E. coli and S. aureus can counteract this process by rapid NO consumption. To date, it has been demonstrated that lactobacilli can also induce NO synthetase activity in host cells (Korhonen et al. [2001](#page-59-0); Korhonen [2002](#page-59-0); Hu et al. [2013\)](#page-58-0). Both oxygen ([oxidative stress](http://echo4.bluehornet.com/ct/50228398:28400050218:m:1:2243079075:34FD78E3D8D117F019E2DD2F30D0C023:r) and [hypoxia](http://echo4.bluehornet.com/ct/50228399:28400050218:m:1:2243079075:34FD78E3D8D117F019E2DD2F30D0C023:r)) and [NO](http://echo4.bluehornet.com/ct/50228397:28400050218:m:1:2243079075:34FD78E3D8D117F019E2DD2F30D0C023:r) are important factors in [cardio](http://echo4.bluehornet.com/ct/50228397:28400050218:m:1:2243079075:34FD78E3D8D117F019E2DD2F30D0C023:r) [vascular diseases](http://echo4.bluehornet.com/ct/50228397:28400050218:m:1:2243079075:34FD78E3D8D117F019E2DD2F30D0C023:r) such as [atherosclerosis](http://echo4.bluehornet.com/ct/50228400:28400050218:m:1:2243079075:34FD78E3D8D117F019E2DD2F30D0C023:r) and [hypertension.](http://echo4.bluehornet.com/ct/50228401:28400050218:m:1:2243079075:34FD78E3D8D117F019E2DD2F30D0C023:r) ROS production intricately balances with that of NO, and both ROS and NO affect [mitochondrial function and structure](http://echo4.bluehornet.com/ct/50228402:28400050218:m:1:2243079075:34FD78E3D8D117F019E2DD2F30D0C023:r), which are crucial for maintaining a stable heartbeat. ROS and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) can modulate cardiac NO signaling, causing many downstream effects. This important topic in cardiology will require further studies while

Fig. 3 Detection of NO (μM) produced by *Lactobacillus* plantarum Tensia in the presence of different contents of sodium nitrate in Man, Rogosa, Sharpe (MRS) media and skim milk (Hutt et al. [2015](#page-58-0))

Legend: The amount of NO was in close correlation with the amount of $NaNO₃$ added to the MRS culture media and skim milk for Lactobacillus plantarum (Tensia DSM 21380). Thus, nitrate was the preferred source for NO generation

the role of oral microbiota has been recently raised (Koren et al. [2011;](#page-59-0) Erdmann [2013](#page-57-0); Kapil et al. [2014](#page-59-0)). Previously, specific mixtures of amino acids (arginine, cysteine) have been tried for increasing endothelial NO synthetase expression (Nisoli et al. [2008\)](#page-63-0). We suggest that non-nitrate sources such as some probiotic bacteria (Lactobacillus plantarum TENSIA DSM21380) can also be involved in in vitro NO production (Hutt et al. [2015\)](#page-58-0) and possibly in induction of NO synthetase activity in host cells (Hu et al. [2013\)](#page-58-0).

We have measured the NO production of lactobacilli using the Apollo 4000 free radical analyzer (WPI, Berlin, Germany) and electrodes of type ISO-NOP electrode signals (Fig. 3). The NO concentration was calculated according to standard curve correlation with the strength of the electrode signal.

Mucin

Additionally, the next most important catabolic activity of the bacteria of the intestinal microbiota

is degradation of mucus glycoproteins and cell membrane glycolipids (termed mucins). Mucins are composed of a peptide core rich in serine and threonine residues that is modified by oligosaccharides linked via O- or N- glycosidic bonds. The oligosaccharides are composed of one or more four primary sugars (N-acetylglucosamine, N-acetylgalactosamine, galactose, and fucose) and are terminated by sialic acids or sulfate groups (Allen [1981](#page-54-0)). Degradation of mucin is regarded as a pathogenicity factor because loss of the protective mucus layer may expose GI tract cells to pathogens (Ruseler-van Embden et al. [1995;](#page-65-0) Derrien et al. 2004). However, only 1 % of colonic microbiota can degrade host mucin-using enzymes (e.g., glycosidases and sulfatases) that can degrade the oligosaccharide chains (Hoskins and Boulding [1981](#page-58-0)). However, these findings show the role of mucin as a carbon and energy source for intestinal microbiota. Isolates belonging to the genera Enterobacteria, Eubacteria, Ruminococcus, Bacteroides (B. thetaiotaomicron, B. uniformis), Bifidobacterium (B. longum, B. bifidum), Clostridium (C. clostridiiforme, C. malenominatum), and Akkermansia muciniphila can degrade mucin (Salyers et al. [1977;](#page-65-0) Derrien et al. [2004](#page-56-0); Macfarlane et al. [2005](#page-61-0)). By measuring the release of reducing sugar monomers from the mucin polymer, it was shown that only mixed cultures of fecal bacteria could degrade mucin by more than 90 % whereas pure cultures of B. fragilis, B. longum, and Clostridium perfringens showed only partial degradation (Willis et al. [1996](#page-68-0)). Recently, it was demonstrated that the ability to degrade mucin is associated with the presence of two genes coding for the extracellular glycosidases *afcA* and *engBF*, found in B. bifidum and B. longum (Ruas-Madiedo et al. [2008\)](#page-65-0).

According to the literature, *Lactobacillus* spp. cannot degrade mucin (Ruseler-van Embden et al. [1995;](#page-65-0) Macfarlane et al. [2005;](#page-61-0) Subramani et al. [2010\)](#page-67-0). Supplementation with the multistrain probiotic product VSL#3, which contains LAB strains (B. breve, B. longum, B. infantis, L. acidophilus, L. plantarum, L. paracasei, L. bulgaricus, S. thermophilus) did not affect the expression levels of MUC1, MUC2, MUC3, and MUC4 genes in a mouse model of colitis (Gaudier et al. [2005](#page-57-0)) or the expression of MUC5AC in a rat model of gastric ulcer (Dharmani et al. [2013\)](#page-56-0). In contrast, administration of VSL#3 to healthy Wistar rats resulted in the upregulation of MUC2 and MUC3 as well as MUC31 gene levels (Caballero-Franco et al. [2007\)](#page-55-0). However, Lactobacillus-specific genes include mucus-binding proteins that are involved in cell adhesion and several transport systems for carbohydrates and amino acids (Klaenhammer et al. [2008\)](#page-59-0). Thus, the elucidation of the metabolism of mucins by Lactobacillus spp. needs more research.

Cholesterol

An important property of Lactobacillus spp. is the ability to reduce cholesterol through a combination of two or more mechanisms that include assimilation of cholesterol during growth, binding of cholesterol to the cellular membrane, and deconjugation of bile salts (Brashears et al. [1998;](#page-55-0)

Liong and Shah [2005a\)](#page-60-0). Some lactobacilli can produce proteins with a cholesterol-lowering effect (Kim et al. [2008](#page-59-0)), which is strain specific. The cellfree supernatant of the L. acidophilus strain contains a protein (NPC1L1) that influences cholesterol absorption and is a promising target for cholesterol-lowering mechanisms (Miura and Saku [2008](#page-63-0); Lee et al. [2010\)](#page-60-0). Administration L. reuteri to mice reduces serum total cholesterol by 20 % and increases the ratio of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol by 17 % (Taranto et al. [2003\)](#page-67-0). The *ccpA* gene encodes the catabolite control protein to play an important role in the cholesterolreducing activity of lactobacilli. Cholesterol removal by L. delbrueckii results from binding of free bile acids to their cell membranes through exocellular polysaccharides (EPS). LAB strains that produce more EPS bind the greatest amount of bile acids (Pigeon et al. [2002;](#page-64-0) Tok and Aslim [2010](#page-67-0)).

The *L. plantarum* PH04 strain has been reported to be able to produce bile salt hydrolase (BSH) in vitro. Full genome sequencing of the Estonian probiotic strains L. plantarum Tensia, L. plantarum Inducia, and L. fermentum ME-3 has demonstrated the presence of BSH genes in the genome. Detection of bile acid hydrolases has been associated with improvement in the blood lipid profile in volunteers with borderline high content of low LDL cholesterol (Mikelsaar et al. [2014,](#page-63-0) [2015\)](#page-63-0).

The single amino acids and di- and tripeptides of milk generated by lactobacilli (Jauhiainen et al. [2005;](#page-59-0) Turpeinen et al. [2012\)](#page-68-0) can reach the host bloodstream and act as angiotensin-1–converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory compounds. ACE inhibits the renin–angiotensin system with consequent vasodilatation. Some Estonian L. plantarum strains (Inducia and Tensia) produce peptides with inhibitory activity against ACE, polyamine spermidine, and NO and have been used for regulation of blood and urine biomarkers (Stšepetova et al. [2011a;](#page-67-0) Songisepp et al. [2012a](#page-66-0); Hutt et al. [2015](#page-58-0)). In dairy food intervention and clinical trials, the lactobacilli reduce the risk of obesity and high blood pressure

(Jauhiainen et al. [2012;](#page-59-0) Xu et al. [2015\)](#page-69-0). Thus, the described blood pressure–lowering effect of fermentation by probiotics as a special functional property of dairy products holds the potential to decrease the risk of cardiovascular disease.

Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) is a group of positional (e.g., 7:9, 9:11, 10:12, and 11:13) and geometric isomers of linoleic acid (C18, cis-9: cis-12) that exert health benefits including antiatherogenic, antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, and anticarcinogenic properties (Maggiora et al. [2004](#page-61-0)). Many papers have reported that Lactobacillus spp. (L. acidophilus, L. brevis, L. paracasei subsp. paracasei, L. pentosus, L. plantarum, L. rhamnosus, L. casei) could be able to convert free linoleic acid to the conjugated form (Lin et al. [2002](#page-60-0); Alonso et al. [2003;](#page-54-0) Sieber et al. [2004](#page-66-0); Zhao et al. [2011\)](#page-69-0).

Exopolysaccharides

Exopolysaccharides (EPS) are long-chain polysaccharides produced extracellularly by Lactobacillus spp. (Fig. [2\)](#page-16-0). The utility of various EPS depends on monosaccharide composition, types of linkages present, degree of branching, and molecular weight. Different researchers have demonstrated that species such as *L. lactis*, L. reuteri, L. sanfranciscensis, L. johnsonii, L. bulgaricus, L. kefir, L. kefiranofaciens, L. parakefir, L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus, L. mucosae, and L. helveticus possess high potential for synthesis of EPS (Frengova et al. [2002;](#page-57-0) Buchholz and Seibel [2003](#page-55-0); Korakli et al. [2003;](#page-59-0) Kralj et al. [2005;](#page-60-0) Vinderola et al. [2006](#page-68-0)). EPS derived from Lactobacillus spp. have beneficial physiological effects on human health, such as antitumor activity, immunomodulating bioactivity, and anticarcinogenecity (Doleyres et al. [2005](#page-56-0); Patel et al. [2012b\)](#page-64-0). EPS also have a role in initial adhesion, biofilm formation, cellular recognition, and pathogenicity (Patel et al. [2012b](#page-64-0)). Additionally, the exopolysaccharide-synthesizing Lactobacillus mucosae DPC 6426 strain has shown cholesterol-lowering properties in an animal model of lipid-driven atherosclerosis (Ryan et al. [2015\)](#page-65-0).

2.3.2 Suppressive Functions of Lactobacillus spp. on Detrimental Metabolites

The metabolites produced by intestinal bacteria involve not only their several beneficial components but also some toxic compounds such as ammonia, amines, indoxyl, and p-cresol sulfate created from proteins (amino acids) (Fig. [2\)](#page-16-0). Concerning protein metabolism, ammonia generated by bacteria in the colon and absorbed into the portal blood is converted into urea in the liver and excreted in the urine (Eklou-Lawson et al. [2009\)](#page-57-0). Bacteria species producing ammonia are Gram-negative anaerobes and Clostridium, Peptostreptococcus, and Fusobacterium species (Vince and Burridge [1980;](#page-68-0) Smith and Macfarlane [1996](#page-66-0)). Grampositive non-sporing anaerobes, the streptococci and micrococci, form modest amounts, and lactobacilli and yeasts form very little ammonia (Vince and Burridge [1980\)](#page-68-0). Bacteria assimilate ammonia to produce bacterial protein during carbohydrate fermentation, so the concentration of ammonia in the colon at any one time depends on the balance between amino acid deamination and bacterial protein synthesis. The consumption of the probiotic L. acidophilus LC1 may influence the bacterial production of toxic metabolic end-products, particularly ammonia, in the human colon (Cummings and Macfarlane [2002;](#page-56-0) De Preter et al. [2004](#page-56-0); Geboes et al. [2005](#page-57-0); Wutzke et al. [2010\)](#page-68-0). Fermentation of prebiotics containing resistant starch and other non-digestible carbohydrates, such as lactose and lactulose, may also repress the formation and inhibit the activity of enzymes responsible for ammonia release. In the human colon, these substrate effects may decrease the amount of ammonia available to exert a toxic effect on the host (Bianchi et al. [1993](#page-55-0); Ito et al. [1993](#page-59-0)).

Phenolic compounds

Phenolic compounds are formed following bacterial degradation of aromatic amino acids such as p-cresole and phenylpropionate from tyrosine, phenylacetate from phenylalanine, and indole propionate and indole acetate from tryptophan. Intestinal bacteria involved in these processes include the genera Clostridium, Bacteroides, Enterobacteriaceae, Bifidobacterium, and Lactobacillus (Aragozzini et al. [1979](#page-54-0); Yokoyama and Carlson [1981](#page-69-0); Smith and Macfarlane [1996;](#page-66-0) Blaut and Clavel [2007](#page-55-0); Evenepoel et al. [2009\)](#page-57-0). Phenolic compounds are absorbed in the colon, detoxified by the liver, and excreted in urine as p-cresol with the remainder being made up of phenol and 4-ethylphenol (Tamm and Villako [1971;](#page-67-0) Evenepoel et al. [2009\)](#page-57-0). Phenols are not found in the urine of germ-free animals (Bakke and Midtvedt [1970\)](#page-55-0), and in humans, their urinary excretion rate can be related to protein intake (Cummings et al. [1979\)](#page-56-0). In the colon, the increased carbohydrate fermentation decreases urinary phenol excretion, indicating that the amino acids are required for bacterial growth. The presence of fermentable carbohydrate (starch) may decrease the net production of phenolic compounds (Cummings et al. [1979;](#page-56-0) Smith and Macfarlane [1996](#page-66-0)). Indoxyl sulfate and p-cresyl sulfate are potentially important, therapeutically modifiable toxins in patients with chronic kidney disease. Both are protein-bound uremic retention solutes that are generated from colonic bacterial fermentation of dietary protein and have been associated with cardiovascular disease, kidney disease progression, and overall mortality in the chronic kidney disease population (Rossi et al. [2014](#page-65-0)).

A tight interplay has been shown between Lactobacillus spp. and the harmful phenolic, p-cresole metabolites of different anaerobes and enterobacteria. The probiotic intervention study in a cohort of hemodialysis patients has illustrated a 30 % decrease in serum indoxyl sulfate in conjunction with a decline in fecal enterobacteria (E. coli) ($p < 0.05$), which has one of the highest observed enzymatic activities for indoxyl sulfate production (Hida et al. [1996\)](#page-58-0). In a study of oral administration of probiotic L. gasseri G2055SR at a high dose, the decrease in p-cresol was also important because p-cresol as a tyrosine metabolite is considered to be a promoter of skin and liver carcinogenesis in mice (Fujiwara et al. [2001](#page-57-0)). It seems that L. gasseri LG 2055SR ingestion may reduce the toxicological risk of tyrosine metabolites in the human GI tract (Fujiwara et al. [2001;](#page-57-0) Sanders et al. [2009](#page-65-0)). The Bacteroides fragilis species have a high enzymatic activity for p-cresol production (Ling et al. [1994](#page-60-0)). The combination of the decrease in p-cresyl sulfate–producing bacteria (Bacteroidaceae) and the increase in p-cresyl sulfate- and indoxyl sulfate–suppressing bacteria (Bifidobacteria and Lactobacillus) supports the proposed mechanistic rationale for the significant reduction in fecal p-cresol and indole (Rossi et al. [2014\)](#page-65-0). De Preter et al. conducted a randomized placebo-controlled crossover study with coadministered Lactobacillus casei Shirota (dose 2×10^9) and oligofructose-enriched inulin, which demonstrated a significant reduction in urinary p-cresyl sulfate (De Preter et al. [2007](#page-56-0)).

Amines

Amines are produced by a long list of intestinal bacteria following hydrolysis and decarboxylation of amino acids. The amines include agmatine, methylamine, pyrrolidine, butylamine, taurine; the polyamines include putrescine spermine and spermidine; and the biogenic amines (BAs) include histamine, cadaverine, and tyramine (Drasar and Hill [1974\)](#page-56-0). Normally, amines produced by colonic bacteria are detoxified by monoamine and diamine oxidases in the gut mucosa and liver (Hughes et al. [2000\)](#page-58-0). Species belonging to the genera Clostridium, Bacteroides, Enterococcus Bifidobacterium, and Lactobacillus form amines in substantial quantities (Allison et al. [1989;](#page-54-0) Dudkowska et al. [2003\)](#page-56-0).

In organisms, the main source for polyamines is food intake, cellular synthesis, and in the lower parts of the intestine, the intestinal microbiota (Milovic [2001](#page-63-0)). Polyamines can be formed by bacteria from arginine by the mitochondrial enzyme arginase to produce ornithine. Ornithine is then decarboxylated to putrescine by ornithine decarboxylase, or from agmatine via agmatine deiminase (AgDI) (Ladero et al. [2011\)](#page-60-0). Furthermore, other polyamines are produced: spermidine from putrescine using spermidine synthetase or spermine from spermidine by spermine synthetase. These pathways has been

reported for some P. aeruginosa, enterococci, and lactic acid bacteria strains (Moinard et al. [2004](#page-63-0); Makarova et al. [2006;](#page-61-0) Larque et al. [2007](#page-60-0); Lavizzari et al. [2010\)](#page-60-0). The amount of polyamines decreases with age (Matsumoto and Kurihara [2007\)](#page-61-0), and it may be that polyamines are linked to senescence. Both spermidine and spermine can be converted back to putrescine, and polyamine oxidases can convert spermine and spermidine into the acetylated forms (Minois et al. [2011](#page-63-0)). The full repertoire of biological effect of polyamines is not fully known; however, many studies have addressed the toxicological effects of polyamines as a potential deterioration marker or quality indicator of food (Shinki et al. [1991;](#page-66-0) Moinard et al. [2004\)](#page-63-0). These compounds are present in all human cells (Takahashi and Kakehi [2009\)](#page-67-0) and involved in many physiological functions, including immunity, stress resistance, cell growth, proliferation, and the synthesis of proteins and nucleic acids (Rhee et al. [2007;](#page-65-0) Mandal et al. [2013\)](#page-61-0). All of these mechanisms comprise chronic low-grade inflammation, a major risk factor for aging and related diseases. In sufficient amounts, the polyamines are important in maintaining the healthy structure and function of the intestinal mucosa (Milovic [2001](#page-63-0)). Some species of lactobacilli of the human gut microbiota producing polyamines have special roles in the suppression of low chronic inflammation, supporting the recovery of damaged tissues. Human milk is a source of spermine and spermidine that is important for child growth. There seems to be a fine balance between beneficial and deleterious effects of polyamines that is not solved in infection and cancer development. Moreover, the larger amounts of putrescine can potentiate the effects of histamine by inhibiting the detoxifying enzymes diamine oxidase and hydroxymethyl transferase (Eerola et al. [1997](#page-56-0); Guerrini et al. [2002;](#page-58-0) Minois et al. [2011\)](#page-63-0).

The production of polyamines by lactobacilli can be tested using *in vitro* and experimental settings. Moreover, in 13 different species of intestinal Lactobacillus and E. faecalis, the

presence of genes and their ability to produce bio- and polyamines in the decarboxylation media supplemented with ornithine, agmatine, histidine, and tyrosine has been demonstrated (Nakovich 2003 ; Štšepetova et al. $2014a$). Both L. buchneri and L. gasseri contain the histamineencoding gene *hdcA*; additionally, *L. gasseri* contains the tyramine-encoding gene tdc. An AgDI cluster has been found in L. brevis, L. buchneri, L. fermentum, L. rhamnosus, L. plantarum, L. acidophilus, and L. gasseri. All of the species produce bio- and polyamines such as histamine, tyramine, and putrescine in correlation with the genes present (Stšepetova et al. [2014a](#page-67-0)).

The Ly tR gene, associated with the genetic organization of the AgDI cluster, has been found in both L. plantarum Inducia and L. plantarum Tensia using full genome sequencing. The presence of a similar gene has been demonstrated in dairy putrescine producer strains of Lactococcus lactis subs. lactis (Ladero et al. [2011\)](#page-60-0).

In our studies, for elaboration of different functional properties of specific probiotic Lactobacillus strains, the contents were compared of a putatively beneficial polyamine in vitro, in food products/supplements, and as a particular biomarker of human blood or urine after consump-tion (Songisepp et al. [2005](#page-66-0); Stšepetova et al. $2011a$, $2012a$). We illustrated this by depicting correlations between putrescine production *in vitro*, probiotic cheese comprising L. plantarum Inducia and Tensia, and excreted urine samples of volunteers consuming the probiotic cheeses. Surprisingly, the same proportions – comparatively higher values of putrescine – were present also in industrially produced cheese with L. plantarum Inducia, and a higher content of acetylated putrescine was identified in the urine of humans consuming the Inducia cheese for 3 weeks. The values in vitro, in cheese, and in volunteers were proportionally lower in the case of Tensia. Even in probiotic cheese with the L. plantarum strain Inducia, the obtained higher level did not reach the safe reference value of putrescine for lactobacilli after 3 weeks ripening (Fig. [4\)](#page-25-0) (Karvicoka and Kohajdova [2005\)](#page-59-0).

Fig. 4 Detection of putrescine (Put) and acetylputrescine (AcPut) in decarboxylation media, probiotic cheeses and urine of persons consuming probiotic cheeses during 3 weeks comprising L. plantarum Inducia DSM 21379, L. plantarum Tensia DSM 21380 and control cheese

Legend: Gas chromatographic (GC) analysis was performed with a Hewlett-Packard HP model 6890 (Hewlett Packard, USA). For detection of poly amines by GC analyze the decarboxylation medium cultivated with L. plantarum Inducia or L. plantarum Tensia or the

A positive association between consumption of probiotic L. acidophilus NCFM and the content of gut spermidine has been described (Ouwehand et al. [2009\)](#page-63-0). As well, it has been reported that administration of the probiotic strain B. animalis LKM512 to mice for 6 months is correlated with changes in gut microbiota, increasing the amount of polyamines in feces and longevity (Matsumoto et al. [2011\)](#page-62-0). In our studies, it has been shown that administration of cheese containing probiotic L. plantarum Tensia to obese persons for 3 weeks was associated with a reduction in BMI because of the lower water content of the body. The lactobacilli content was positively correlated with urinary putrescine content and the presence of Tensia (Sharafedtinov et al. [2013\)](#page-66-0).

Thus, the presence of genes of the Lactobacillus strain mainly affects the yield of putrescine regardless of the variable environment with different constituents (decarboxylation media, cheese, host organism). It seems that metabolic

supernatant of the probiotic cheeses was derivatized according modified method of Nakovich (Nakovich [2003](#page-63-0)). Polyamine concentrations in urine were expressed as ng/mol of creatinine (Stšepetova $2011a$). In decarboxylation medium L. plantarum Inducia produced 1.9 μg/ mL putrescine from ornithine while L. plantarum Tensia only 0.5 μg/mL, e.g. nearly in four times lower value. The similar proportions of putrescine and acetylated putrescine were detectable in cheese comprising Inducia and Tensia and in urine of volunteers consuming the probiotic cheeses

outcome depending on the genotype of the host, the microbial genetic metabolic profile, and epigenetic influence has great flexibility in homeostasis.

Concerning biogenic amines (BAs), the lactobacilli could exhibit certain disadvantageous metabolic activities with regard to consumer safety, particularly if BAs accumulate in the fermented products (Bernardeau et al. [2008\)](#page-55-0). BAs have been implicated in several outbreaks of food poisoning and are the initiators of hypertensive crises, hypertension, or hypotension, and dietary-induced migraines in certain patients. The probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum Tensia did not produce potentially harmful BAs, such as histamine or cadaverine, in decarboxylation media and milk according to gas chromatographic analysis. Also, the amount of tyramine produced in the cheese environment during ripening and after 15 weeks of storage was below the clinically significant content (Songisepp et al. [2012a](#page-66-0)).

Hydrogen sulfide is produced in the gut by sulfide-reducing bacteria (main genus Desulfovibrio) via the reduction of diet-derived sulfate and the metabolism of sulfur amino acids and taurine (Magee et al. [2000;](#page-61-0) Scanlan et al. [2006](#page-66-0)). Sulfate-reducing bacteria have been found in fecal microbiota of healthy adults (Stewart et al. [2006](#page-67-0)) and in the distal mucosa and also have been associated with both pro- and antiinflammatory signaling (Levine et al. [1998;](#page-60-0) Loubinoux et al. [2002](#page-61-0); Wallace et al. [2009;](#page-68-0) Rajilic-Stojanovic et al. [2011](#page-64-0)). Desulfovibrio spp. can use lactate as a co-substrate for growth and sulfide formation (Marquet et al. [2009](#page-61-0)); thus, they are putatively interconnected with Lactobacillus sp. sulfide is toxic to colonocytes and inhibits butyrate oxidation, which results in the breakdown of the colonocyte barrier (Roediger and Babidge [1997](#page-65-0)). Hydrogen sulfide is also genotoxic to non-transformed human cell lines in the colonic lumen, and the mechanism of DNA damage is proposed to involve ROS (Louis et al. [2014\)](#page-61-0).

The diversity of intestinal LAB of the Firmicutes phylum therefore has been proven with a variety of metabolic compounds produced differentially according to their taxonomic division. The promotional role of metabolites of the three fermentative groups of Lactobacillus spp. is intertwined with other beneficial compounds like acetate, propionate, butyrate, and NO produced by the other groups of intestinal bacteria. On the other hand, the suppressive impact of Lactobacillus spp. for reduction of detrimental bacterial metabolites such as ammonia, indole, para-cresol, sulfides, H_2 , ROS, or RNS and their producers is surely conferring balance on the intestinal microbial ecosystem.

3 Intestinal Lactobacillus Species Composition of Different Age Groups

3.1 Infants and Young Children

The characterization of intestinal lactobacilli by phenotypic properties and genotyping has been performed with 70 lactobacilli strains of fecal samples of healthy children (1–24 months). The species identification by the API 50 CHL divided the Lactobacillus strains into six species, and the concordance with molecular typing by intergenic/internal transcribed spacer polymerase chain reaction (ITS-PCR) was 89 %. The degree of antimicrobial activity of lactobacilli isolated from children against E. coli, S. aureus, E. faecalis, and S. sonnei divided the strains into three groups, which largely coincided with the fermentation types of lactobacilli: OHEL > FHEL > OHOL. Within the different fermentation types, L. plantarum strains expressed the strongest antagonistic activity among FHEL. L. fermentum strains had less antagonistic activity compared to L . brevis and L . buchneri (Annuk et al. [2003\)](#page-54-0). In the majority of children, lactobacilli of different fermentation types were presented in fecal samples

Chervonsky ([2012\)](#page-56-0) has emphasized the production of effector molecules (antimicrobial substances and specific immunoglobulin A antibodies) as important in the control of pathogens and commensals. Tracking these indices could be helpful in the search for probiotic strains with pathogen-suppressive properties.

In the literature, the intestinal lactobacilli in breastfed infants aged 15–60 days with infantile colic (30 cases) and healthy infants (26 cases) have been evaluated. Lactobacillus brevis $(4.3 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/g})$ and L. lactis ssp. lactis $(2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU/g})$ were found only in colicky infants while L. acidophilus $(2.4 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU/g})$ was found only in healthy infants. Lactobacillus brevis and L. lactis ssp. lactis might be involved in the pathogenesis of infantile colic, increasing abdominal distension (Savino et al. [2005](#page-65-0)). One reason for the observed differences may be the heterofermentive metabolism with gas production by the latter lactobacilli that could be involved in the pathogenesis of this common disorder (Vassos et al. [2008](#page-68-0)).

3.2 Adults

In our laboratory the Lactobacillus species distribution was assessed in 24 adults (mean age 29.2)

* p=0.031; • p=0.001; \ddagger p=0.0002; ** p=0.035; \ddagger p=0.007

Fig. 5 Intestinal *Lactobacillus* spp. diversity in adults $(n = 24)$ and elderly persons $(n = 37)$ (Stšepetova et al. [2011b\)](#page-67-0)

Legend: statistically significant differences were detected in prevalence $(\%)$ of *L. acidophilus* and *L. helveticus*

while the lactobacilli were isolated from 97 % of adults (Fig. 5). However, using molecular techniques (RT-qPCR method) the lactobacilli were present in all investigated persons.

Some correlations between the species distribution and host blood biomarkers were found. The lower blood glucose level was associated with presence of L. paracasei adjusted for adults age group. The higher BMI in both groups of persons was directly predicted by the presence of OHOL and facultative heterofermentative L . sakei species (Stšepetova et al. 2011). According literature there was found a good agreement as positive correlation between the presence of L. sakei and also L. reuteri with BMI in a study of obese individuals (Million et al. [2013](#page-63-0)).

3.3 Elderly People

Elderly people $($ >65 years) are the fastest growing subpopulation in the world, needing more medical and social attention. Recent studies indicate shifts in the composition of the intestinal microbiota of the elderly host, which may lead to detrimental health effects. Increased numbers of facultative anaerobes have been reported in conjunction with a decrease in beneficial

being more prevalent in adults. L. paracasei, L. plantarum and L. reuteri were more prevalent in elderly

microbes such as the anaerobic lactobacilli and bifidobacteria, amongst other anaerobes (Tiihonen et al. [2010\)](#page-67-0). These changes, along with a general reduction in species diversity in most bacterial groups and changes to diet and digestive physiology such as prolonged intestinal transit time, may result in increased putrefaction, collection of deteriorative metabolites in the colon, and resulting greater susceptibility to disease.

On the other hand, aging increases the viable count of Lactobacillus, with substantial changes in species prevalence (Stšepetova et al. [2011b\)](#page-67-0). In elderly individuals, two types of Lactobacillus spp. colonization have been detected (Mikelsaar [1969;](#page-62-0) Mikelsaar et al. [2010\)](#page-62-0). In some persons the counts of lactobacilli are significantly increased compared to other elderly persons, and the other elderly people were characterized by a lower abundance, similar to that of younger adults. This grouping could be detected both with culture methods and with qPCR with LAB-specific primers in different groups of elderly. We have tried to associate this finding with health biomarkers. In elderly people, the high counts of lactobacilli are in close correlation with lower values of one important oxidative stress marker, serum oxidized LDL (Mikelsaar

et al. [2010\)](#page-62-0). Thus, the higher counts of lactobacilli of some elderly (shown in Fig. [6a](#page-29-0)) also are reflected in biomarkers of blood as being correlated with lower levels of atherogenic oxidized LDL (Mikelsaar et al. [2010\)](#page-62-0). By molecular detection (Fig. $6b$) the infants were colonized less with lactobacilli in comparison to children and elderly persons ($p < 0.001$, both respectively) (Stšepetova et al. [2014b\)](#page-67-0).

Furthermore, it was possible to demonstrate (Fig. [7](#page-30-0)) that high levels of intestinal Lactobacillus spp. (Stšepetova et al. $2011b$) were positively associated with increased concentrations of fecal SCFAs, lactate, and essential amino acids in fecal waters by ¹H-NMR -based metabonomics (Le Roy et al. [2015\)](#page-60-0).

Nicholson et al. [\(2012\)](#page-63-0) proposed that the majority of metabolites in human plasma are microbe-derived, which fits well with our finding.

It seems that the unique phylotypes and variable list and number of genera and species should be addressed for discovering the functional impact of different loads of Lactobacillus spp. This can be addressed in food trials, e.g., functional food interventions.

That the biodiversity of intestinal microbiota increases in parallel with aging (Tiihonen et al. [2010](#page-67-0)) can be confirmed in the example of Lactobacillus spp. in adults and elderly persons in our studies. The number of different species detected by species-specific PCR was lower in adults than elderly $(6, 5-11, \text{median vs. } 4-12, 8;$ $p = 0.042$). Still, the most prevalent species in both age groups were L. casei and L. ruminis $(S$ tšepetova et al. [2011b\)](#page-67-0). This finding may have some important health impact considering the differences in the metabolic profile of these strains. The six species of lactobacilli show age-related differences: adults vs. elderly are more often colonized with L. acidophilus (79 % vs. 47 %; $p = 0.031$) and L. helveticus (66 %) vs. 24 %). At the same time, L. johnsonii was detected only in elderly people (13.5 %; median age 68 y). In adults vs. elderly L. plantarum (59 % vs. 29 %; $p = 0.035$) and L. paracasei (97 % vs. 58 %, $p = 0.0002$) both are present in higher proportions.

Similarly, the bacterial diversity of microbiota increases with age in the three studied populations of the United States, American Indians, and Malawians in adults and elderly (Yatsunenko et al. [2012\)](#page-69-0). Nevertheless, in US adults, the fecal microbiota was at least diverse compared with that found in developing countries, favoring the former to some modern lifestyle–derived diseases. The decreased taxonomic diversity of individuals in Western cultures raises concern about the maintenance of important microbial symbionts in the broader population that could provide health benefits and whether global trends in diet can result in the permanent loss/extinction of bacterial species.

The solution can be seen in maintaining culture collections from individuals from the developing world, or the agrarian cultures specifically may help to preserve potentially important components of the microbiota (Lozupone et al. [2012\)](#page-61-0).

Conversely, the persistence of a specific strain that is moderately resistant to antibiotics can be found in early life, as illustrated by our studies of antibiotic-resistant commensal Escherichia coli in different age groups (Sepp et al. [2009\)](#page-66-0). In antibiotic-naive children, a significantly higher frequency of integron-bearing strains and high minimum inhibitory concentration values was present compared to healthy elderly persons (53 % versus 17 %; $p < 0.01$). Recently, the same tendency was shown for lactobacilli from young children compared to adults (personal communication by Siiri Kõljalg, University of Tartu). This surprising finding could be explained by weaker colonization resistance of intestinal microbiota of young children against exogenous strains from mothers and environment. It would be interesting to test in healthy adults born in the 1960s (~55 years old in 2015) whether, because of the fitness cost, the resistant population would be suppressed or if largely resistant E. coli strains would appear that resemble those of young children in the 1990s. Furthermore, during the development of commensal intestinal microbiota, the strains without resistance probably have advantages in microbial competition in the intestinal micro-ecosystem

Fig. 6 (a) Intestinal *Lactobacillus* spp. counts in elderly persons (>65 years) as compared to adults detected by culture on MRS media (log CFU/g). (b) Gene copy number of total Lactobacillus spp. per gram of feces determined by real-time PCR in elderly people as compared to infants, children, and adults. Dot plots indicate max–min, median, and 1st and 3rd quartiles (Mikelsaar and Mändar [1993](#page-62-0); Mikelsaar et al. [2010](#page-62-0); Štšepetova et al. [2014b](#page-67-0))

Legend: The differences in counts (gene copies/g feces) of lactobacilli found between all study groups. Significantly higher amounts were observed in elderly persons in comparison to adults $(p < 0.001)$ with culture methods and molecular assessment

a

Fig. 7 High total count of *Lactobacillus* spp. is associated with higher fecal SCFAs, lactic acid, and amino acid levels. O-PLS score projection and loading plot of all individuals (Le Roy et al. [2015\)](#page-60-0)

Legend: (a) O-PLS scores projection of 49 individuals according to the decimal logarithm of total Lactobacillus

and therefore the resistant ones may be absent in older people (Karami [2007](#page-59-0)).

3.4 Lactobacilli in Children of Different Geographic Areas

The environmental impact on putative differences in the diversity of intestinal bacteria, including Lactobacillus spp. of the Firmicutes phylum, has not been completely established. In the mid-1990s, we compared the lactoflora formation among residents of two socioeconomically developed countries. Several differential tendencies were found: the prevalence of lactobacilli was different in Estonia (postsocialistic country with low income) and Sweden (well-industrialized country) during the first year of life (Fig. [8](#page-31-0)). The prevalence of lactobacilli was higher in Estonians than in their Swedish counterparts while the $p < 0.05$ significance value was reached at age 1 month and 1 year.

The same tendency could be seen for counts $(\log_{10} CFU/g)$ of lactobacilli in the two different countries (Table [4](#page-31-0)).

counts. This model is derived from 1H-NMR spectra of faecal waters using the log of total Lactobacillus counts as a response predictor. (b) Metabolic contribution of SCFAs, organic acids and amino acids to the same model (loadings plot). Metabolites pointing upwards are positively correlated with high Lactobacillus levels

At the beginning of the twenty-first century, however, the abundance of intestinal lactobacilli of young children did not differ between Estonia and Sweden, in prevalence or in counts. This shift seemingly reflects the higher degree of industrialization and changes in lifestyle achieved during 10 years of independence of Estonia from the Soviet system. The increased income of the general population and improvement in food hygiene could have reduced the differences in the abundance of intestinal lactobacilli between the Estonian and Swedish infants (Voor [2005;](#page-68-0) Sepp et al. [2006](#page-66-0)).

Concerning the biodiversity of species of Lactobacillus, a similar trend for the higher variety was detected in Estonian as compared to Swedish infants in cross-sectional and prospective studies of healthy infants at the age of 1–2 years (born 1995–1996). In Estonians, ten species compared to only five different species of Swedish infants were detected. The study relied on cultivation, API 50 CHL, and ITS-PCR identification of lactobacilli. In both groups of children, the three main biochemically divergent groups were still present but individually, particular species

Fig. 8 Prevalence (%) of lactobacilli in Swedish children and Estonian children born in different years (1996 versus 2002)

Legend: Colonization (%) with lactobacilli in Estonian and Swedish children during their first year of life (1 week, 1 month, 3, 6, and 12 months)

Table 4 The counts of intestinal lactobacilli in Estonian and Swedish children

	1 week		l month		vear	
	log_{10} CFU/g		log_{10} CFU/g		Log ₁₀ CFU/g	
	Estonian	Swedish	Estonian	Swedish	Estonian	Swedish
Lactobacilli	$n = 20$	$n = 20$	$n = 20$	$n = 20$	$n = 27$	$n = 29$
Median	$\leq 3^{\rm a}$	<3	7.7 ^a	${<}3$	$4.2^{\rm b}$	$\langle 3^b$
Range	$<3 - 10$	$<3-8.6$	$<3 - 10.8$	$<3 - 10.8$	$<3-10.3$	$<3-8.8$

Source: Sepp et al. ([1997,](#page-66-0) [2000](#page-66-0)) Legend: ${}^{a}_{p} = 0.01$
 ${}^{b}_{p} = 0.001$

 $^{b}p = 0.001$

p significance values comparing Estonians and Swedes at different ages

had different prevalences. In Estonian infants, L. plantarum prevailed whereas in Swedes, L. paracasei was the most prevalent species (Mikelsaar et al. [2002\)](#page-62-0).

The difference between Estonian and Swedish children in number of detected species was also apparent at the 6th month of age, as confirmed by MALDI-TOF/Bruker identification methods [\(https://www.bruker.com](https://www.bruker.com/)). In addition, the Swedish children (65 %) were more often colonized by Lactobacillus rhamnosus than Estonian (22 %) children ($p < 0.01$). Thus, the prevalence of Lactobacillus and its particular species is related to geographical location with its industrialization characteristics, birth years, and age (Bjorksten et al. [1999](#page-55-0), [2001](#page-55-0); Sepp et al. [2000;](#page-66-0) Mikelsaar et al. [2002](#page-62-0); Penders et al. [2010](#page-64-0)).

3.5 Main Factors Driving the Individual Variety of Intestinal Lactobacillus sp.

3.5.1 Human Genotype in Determination of Individual Variety of Microbes

The individual variety in terms of abundance and functions of predominant persistent microbiota has gained large attention. To date, the specialized seminal colonizers (microbiota "core" of operational taxonomic units (OTU) by HITChip) have been found independent of delivery mode and lactation stage (Turnbaugh et al. [2007\)](#page-67-0). Correlations among OTUs, metabolites, and OTUs-metabolites revealed that metabolic profiles were associated with early microbial ecological dynamics, maturation of milk components, and host physiology. One possibility to find dependence of the individual specificity of microbiota from host genotype is the use of monozygotic twin model.

We provide our own and literature data to illustrate the results of similarity e.g. selectivity of microbiota of twins obtained nearly 30 years back: first on culture-dependent level, further some 15 years later on PCR specific molecular detection level and up to date on metagenomics and metabolomics levels. At the beginning of eighties we have demonstrated that the quantitative composition of fecal cultivable microbiota of 12 pairs of healthy adult monozygotic twins had the same degree of similarity as that of the paired samples of a single healthy person (Mikelsaar et al. [1984](#page-62-0)). Additionally, in the Estonian twin pairs also the significant correlation (r = 0.711; n = 29; p < 0.001) between the very specific and individually stable composition of bacterial metabolites e.g., excretion of urinary phenols, was assessed (Siigur et al. [1991;](#page-66-0) Mikelsaar and Mändar [1993](#page-62-0)). Later, with advanced molecular methods the similarity indices of microbiota of genotypically identical pairs were demonstrated (Zoetendal et al. [1998](#page-69-0) [2001,](#page-69-0) [2006](#page-69-0); Vaughan et al. [2005](#page-68-0)).

The study group of Gordon's laboratory in USA confirmed the previous findings showing that intrapersonal variation of fecal microbiomes and metatranscriptomes of healthy twin pairs during repeated sampling for 16 weeks was not significantly different from interpersonal variation but occurred completely different from unrelated pairs (McNulty et al. [2011](#page-62-0)). Later several studies of twins have confirmed the concordance of microbiome and its functions (Tims et al. [2012](#page-67-0); Bondia-Pons et al. [2014\)](#page-55-0). Concluding the historic survey, we state that the comparison of microbiota in twin co-pairs indicates that factors related to the host genotype have one of the most important effects on determining the intestinal bacterial composition that are also reflected in host metabolism. However, never mind the relative stability of microbiota, the phenotypic flexibility of host metabolism can deem the host genetic makeup and environmental relations (van Ommen et al. [2014](#page-68-0)).

3.6 Ante-, Intra- and Postnatal Factors for Individual Persistent Colonization

The proved stability of the human gut microbiota suggests that in an individual most strains can be long-term residents. Faith and coauthors (Faith et al. [2013](#page-57-0)) have shown that nearly 60 % of individual strains of intestinal tract are remaining over the course of 5 years. Particularly stable components were members of Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria, inversely correlated within the first days of life (Jost et al. [2012](#page-59-0)). Still, the

dard of living as driving factors for individual

variety of intestinal Lactobacillus spp. For centuries, the fetus was thought to be in a sterile in utero environment with the normal lactic acid bacteria of the genital tract of healthy women offering protection. The specific microbes of intestinal or vaginal microbiota of mothers located on skin and perinea have been considered the main predictors of microbiota formation and changes from newborn age to infancy (Mandar and Mikelsaar [1996](#page-61-0)). During the twenty-first century, advances in understanding early host–microbe interactions have, however, indicated that the early microbial programming begins during the fetal period and is substantially modulated by mode of birth, perinatal antibiotics, and breastfeeding. Unexpectedly, the traces of microbes were detectable in the feto-placental unit and infant meconium from healthy, term pregnancies (Satokari et al. [2009](#page-65-0); Steel et al. [2005](#page-67-0); Rautava et al. [2012](#page-64-0); Mshvildadze et al. [2010](#page-63-0)). The presence of bacterial DNA – most often belonging to the common gut bacteria Lactobacillus spp. and Bifidobacterium spp. – was detected in all placentas and 43 % of amniotic fluid samples obtained after 29 sterile elective caesarean section deliveries at term without signs of infection, rupture of membranes, or onset of labor (Rautava et al. [2005\)](#page-64-0).

These discoveries bring us back to the idea of antenatal development of individually specific lactoflora during pregnancy (Mikelsaar and Mändar [1993](#page-62-0)). In 1986, the hypothesis on antenatal development of individually specific lactoflora was experimentally set: a particular strain of L. fermentum^{ResStr}, administered to mice together with food in the last days of gestation, could colonize the offspring. This strain vanished quickly from the mother's feces but could be found in the offspring during four generations (Mikelsaar et al. [1977](#page-62-0); Mikelsaar [1986;](#page-62-0) Mikelsaar and

Mändar [1993](#page-62-0)). For human babies, some other authors reported the very first data on early controlled colonization through mothers with specific strains, e.g. Escherichia coli Nissle (Borderon et al. [1981;](#page-55-0) Lodinova-Zadnikova et al. [1992;](#page-61-0) Schulze and Sonnenborn [1995;](#page-66-0) Lodinova-Zadnikova and Sonnenborn [1997](#page-61-0)). Finnish scientists have confirmed the persistent colonization of human colonic mucosa by a probiotic strain LGG after oral consumption (Alander et al. [1999\)](#page-54-0). Later in the 2000s, (Schultz et al. [2004](#page-66-0)) tried to apply the antenatal colonization for prevention of atopy by a Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG probiotic strain. In six women, the LGG was consumed in late pregnancy and stopped after delivery. At birth, the strain was present in fecal samples of 5/6 infants, and some of them remained colonized even for 12 months, yet none of the mothers was colonized after 1 month.

Individual lactoflora means the persistence of individually different lactobacilli strains in relatively stable quantities in a particular biotope of the host. Several of our human studies provide supportive evidence. Among monozygotic twins, for example, in six pairs out of ten, we found strains of the same species and biotype: L. acidophilus I, L. casei ssp. casei II and L. brevis I. From two pairs even the similar combinations of lactobacilli strains were isolated (Mikelsaar and Lencner [1982](#page-62-0)). Moreover, in 12 pairs of twins the biochemical activity of microbiota (amounts of urinary phenols) was in high concordance $(r = 0.711; n = 29;$ $p < 0.001$) (Mikelsaar et al. [1998\)](#page-62-0).

In a survey over a long 15 years period (years 1965, 1971 and 1979) of nine healthy adult persons, in addition to the stability of the number of lactobacilli in feces, the stable persistence of Lactobacillus spp. composition was also identified (Mikelsaar and Lencner [1982;](#page-62-0) Mikelsaar et al. [2004\)](#page-62-0). Particularly, one or two Lactobacillus species occurred repeatedly, and in four persons, we could isolate the same species and biotype even during three estimations.

Similarly, the biological isolation of healthy persons during special training or

Fig. 9 Hypothesis on formation of individual lactoflora: persistence of individually different lactobacilli strains/ biotypes with relatively stable quantities in the particular biotope of the host

Legend: During PREGNANCY the antenatal selective contamination of the fetus by the mother's lactoflora, possibly via translocation (1, 2), the ability of these strains to survive the bacteriocide impact of amniotic fluid, their selective capacity to adhere to placenta (3). At BIRTH the selective contamination of the fetus by the mother's microbiota, including lactobacilli, the ability of these strains to survive the bactericide impact of amniotic fluid; their selective capacity to adhere to newborn's ear

space flights of astronauts caused some shifts to opportunistic microorganisms, but the close physical contact and flight stress could not eliminate the individual specificity of their lactoflora in terms of bacterial species (Lentsner et al. [1981\)](#page-60-0). In the chapters about lactobacilli in human ecosystems we have postulated that the selective colonization of a biotope by lactobacilli and its composition (counts and prevalence) are determined during early infancy and kept during life, are a function of the host as

skin (4, 5). AFTER BIRTH postnatally colonize particular mucosal surfaces (6, 7), enabling persistence of specific Lactobacillus spp. strains/biotypes detected in adults during 15 years survey (8, 9, 10) and monozygotic twins (10, 11). This could be possible by induction of tolerance toward themselves

Source: 1 (Fernandes et al. [2013](#page-57-0)), 2 (Moles et al. [2013](#page-63-0)), 3 (Aagaard et al. [2014\)](#page-54-0), 4 (Mikelsaar et al. [1989](#page-62-0)), 5 (Mandar and Mikelsaar 1996), 6 (Gosalbes 5 (Mandar and Mikelsaar [1996](#page-61-0)), 6 (Gosalbes et al. [2013](#page-57-0)), 7 (Drell [In publication](#page-56-0)), 8 (Mikelsaar and Lencner [1982\)](#page-62-0), 9 (Mikelsaar et al. [1984](#page-62-0)), 10 (Mikelsaar and Mändar [1993\)](#page-62-0), 11 (Mikelsaar et al. [1998\)](#page-62-0)

well as of the microorganism (Mikelsaar and Mändar [1993\)](#page-62-0).

In our laboratory, we have compared the transfer of maternal microbiota of the perineum (fecal, vaginal, skin origin) to the newborn, investigating the anterior ear of the newborn just after birth (Fig. 9). This area can preserve the amniotic fluid and is not contaminated with the hands of the obstetrician. The high concordance between the microbiota abundance of maternal perineal and newborn ear samples was

detected in the first prospective study. The most common microorganisms were lactobacilli, epidermic staphylococci and non-haemolytic streptococci but not all mother's perineal microbes colonized the newborn (Mikelsaar and Lencner [1982;](#page-62-0) Mikelsaar et al. [2004\)](#page-62-0). However, after douching with chlorhexidine solution (0.005 %) the vaginal lactobacilli could not be found in newborn's ear samples (Mandar and Mikelsaar [1996\)](#page-61-0).

The transmission of maternal microbiota to the newborn also has been demonstrated by Tannock (Tannock [1990\)](#page-67-0) by applying advanced plasmid profiling studies to transmitted bacteria and their putative original strains. Gosalbes et al. ([2013\)](#page-57-0) showed that the meconium microbiota have an intrauterine origin, participating in gut colonization and having large consequences for child health. That group used high-throughput pyrosequencing of the 16S rRNA gene for comparison of the meconium bacteria in 20 term Spanish newborns, fecal samples of seven pregnant women some days before delivery, and infant samples spanning the first 7 months of life. Some meconium strains even remained in the gut until 7 months of age and could lay the basis for gut colonization. The meconium microbiota resembled that of fecal samples from young infants yet differed from those in adult feces, vagina, and skin. The mechanism could involve the bacterial translocation from gut to lymphatic nodes and blood in pregnant women (Fernandes et al. [2013](#page-57-0); Moles et al. [2013](#page-63-0)). A unique placental microbiome niche, composed of nonpathogenic commensal microbiota, was described recently (Aagaard et al. [2014\)](#page-54-0).

In our recent prospective study (Fig. [9](#page-34-0)) we investigated the similarity $(\%)$ of mother- baby microbiomes in seven mothers and their nine babies (including two pairs of twins) at three time points $-2-3$ days, 6–8 weeks and 6 months after delivery, applying next generation sequencing (Drell et al. 2016, submitted). We revealed that though the microbiomes were individually different there was present the similarity between mother and her baby. It is important to note that the similarity of baby's intestinal tract microbiome with mother's different microbiomes was moderate some days after birth but the intestinal similarity increased significantly in the course of time whereas the rate of other similarities (mouth, breast skin and vagina) decreased. Thus, the gradual formation of individual intestinal microbiota tends to be highly biotope-specific. Different confounding variables including environment, diet, and host genotype intervene into this process.

The persistence of the first colonizers of the neonate can be illustrated by the spread of particular Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG probiotic strain. In Estonian infants, up to the age of 6 months (born 1996–1998), the rare colonization with species $L.$ rhamnosus (21 %) was found (Mikelsaar et al. [2002\)](#page-62-0). These prevalence values did not differ in adults: In Estonian adults born at least in the 1970s and investigated in the 2000s with a mean age of 27 years, the intestinal L. rhamnosus species was still present in only 20% of adults (Stšepetova et al. $2011b$). Similarly, in our recent probiotic kefir trial with L. fermentum ME-3 (Mikelsaar et al. [2015](#page-63-0)) in adults (mean age 49.6 years, born \sim 1960s), out of 116 samples at baseline levels, only 16 (13.8 %) were positive for L. rhamnosus. The reason lies in the fact that in adults born before the start (at 1999 in Estonia) of marketing of the probiotic strain Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG (Valio OY), the species L. rhamnosus could not be a frequent intestinal colonizer. These data support our hypothesis that the random colonization with commensal species at infancy determines the resident microbiota later in life. Furthermore, the dependence of LGG colonization on spread of the strain in the community can be illustrated in the Finnish population. In Finland the marketing of LGG (Gefilus™, Valio OY) started from the first years of 1990s. Consequently, from 1992–1993, no young children harbored LGG, a particular strain belonging to the species L. rhamnosus (Kaila et al. [1998](#page-59-0)). Hence, in a
study of Finnish children (born in 1995–2003), the prevalence of probiotic L. rhamnosus GG showed a substantial increase. In blood sera of 94 % of infants at age 12 months, the prevalence of L. rhamnosus detected by immunoglobulin G antibody–reactive protein bands (58 and 65 kDa) was the highest (Talja et al. [2014](#page-67-0)). The important and interesting issue of selective colonization of the host gut by different Lactobacillus species still needs new experimental and clinical data, especially in frame of possible tolerance induction to first colonizers of newborn.

4 Beneficial Potential of Lactobacillus spp. on Host Physiological Functions

An imbalance of microbiota, expressed as a disturbance of host physiological functions, e.g., dysbiosis, is characterized by low species and gene numbers of beneficial intestinal microbiota and subsequently a reduced number of interacting balancing metabolites. This state is clearly the opposite of a "diversity of balanced" microbiota. Korpela et al. (Korpela et al. [2016](#page-59-0)) recently confirmed that the depletion of some components of microbiota caused by [antibiotic use in early life has](https://www.linkedin.com/e/v2?e=2xs8i3-ijw4ghjm-lj&t=plh&midToken=AQFvY2GSFd5XGw&ek=b2_newsle&li=3&m=network_news&urlhash=7hR9&url=http%3A%2F%2Fmedicalxpress%2Ecom%2Fnews%2F2016-01-antibiotic-early-life-disrupt-gut%2Ehtml) [disrupted normal gut microbiota development](https://www.linkedin.com/e/v2?e=2xs8i3-ijw4ghjm-lj&t=plh&midToken=AQFvY2GSFd5XGw&ek=b2_newsle&li=3&m=network_news&urlhash=7hR9&url=http%3A%2F%2Fmedicalxpress%2Ecom%2Fnews%2F2016-01-antibiotic-early-life-disrupt-gut%2Ehtml), which is associated with development of allergy later in life.

In host defense, for reconstruction of the balanced microbiota, the beneficial bacteria of the gut microbial ecosystem have been considered to:

- 1. maintain and promote perturbed colonization resistance for fighting pathogens;
- 2. maintain human metabolic functions by absorbed bacterial metabolites; and
- 3. modulate innate resistance and attenuate chronic low-grade inflammation.

The increase in Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus spp. abundance in the gut usually correlates well with numerous beneficial effects such as a reduction in the risk of enteric infections, dysbiosis, and metabolic and immune-mediated diseases (Floch [2011](#page-57-0)).

Using the stepwise collected and registered health impacts by beneficial bacteria of microbial ecosystem, the probiotic approach was developed. The regulations of the Food and Agriculture Organisation and World Health Organization (FAO/WHO [2002\)](#page-57-0) have defined the principles and recommendations for a claim of a probiotic. A probiotic was defined as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host. The expert commission of the International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics has retained the FAO/WHO definition in principle (Hill et al. [2014](#page-58-0)).

The origin of the strain (biotope/host specificity), its colonizing ability, and its safety and efficacy serve as the basic criteria for defining suitable candidates for probiotics.

Currently, according to well-designed clinical trials, systematic reviews, and meta-analysis, the efficacy of probiotics has been addressed as a general class (Hill et al. [2014\)](#page-58-0). The main contribution of probiotics is to a healthy gut microbiota or/and nutrition benefit. The support of probiotics for a healthy immune system has also been acknowledged by several authors as a core benefit while considering the specificity of strains with differential immune pro- or antiinflammatory action (Hill et al. [2014](#page-58-0)).

Concerning the general class of the probiotic bacteria with core functions, we illustrate several mechanisms of action. By these mechanisms, such as balancing intestinal microbiota, modulating the immune system, and exerting metabolic influences (dark pink, Fig. [10\)](#page-37-0), several probiotics of different genera and species may help to maintain the colonization resistance of the gut against invasion of pathogens, ensure or activate the immune system, and modulate the epithelial barrier function and host metabolism with its products, thus participating in creating healthy intestinal microbiota and improving digestion and regulation of peristalsis (Mikelsaar et al. [2011b](#page-62-0); Lozupone et al. [2012](#page-61-0); Patel and Denning [2013](#page-63-0); Sanders et al. [2013\)](#page-65-0).

Fig. 10 Mechanisms of action of probiotics Source: Neish et al. ([2000\)](#page-63-0); Hooper et al. [\(2001](#page-58-0));

Teitelbaum and Walker ([2002\)](#page-67-0); Mack and Lebel [\(2004](#page-61-0));

At the cellular level (Fig. 10), probiotics have a number of important activities:

1. Attenuation of NF-κB activation, a major proinflammatory pathway (Jones et al. [2012\)](#page-59-0): Recent data suggest that bacterial products, in the absence of viable organisms, may have similar effects on signaling pathways (Li et al. [2009](#page-60-0)) and a barrier function (Patel et al. [2012a](#page-63-0)). These bacterial products can be characterized as postbiotics or metabiotics that express biologic activity inside the host (Shenderov and Midtvedt [2014](#page-66-0)). The principal metabiotics are SCFAs but also other substances like polyamines (putrescine, spermidine, spermine) (Larque et al. [2007](#page-60-0)). Metabiotics are beneficial in promoting a healthy GI tract by creating an environment that is most favorable to probiotics, through nourishing enterocytes, reinforcing mucosal barrier function,

Sartor ([2004\)](#page-65-0); Kumar et al. [\(2007](#page-60-0)); Lin et al. [\(2008](#page-60-0)); Khailova et al. [\(2009](#page-59-0)); Patel et al. ([2012a](#page-63-0)); Patel and Denning ([2013\)](#page-63-0)

maintaining or supporting epithelial integrity, or signaling the immune system to limit inflammatory responses both in the gut and through influencing T cells throughout the body.

- 2. Upregulation of cytoprotective genes (Hooper et al. [2001](#page-58-0); Lin et al. [2008](#page-60-0)).
- 3. Prevention of apoptosis and cell death (Lin et al. [2008](#page-60-0); Khailova et al. [2010](#page-59-0)).
- 4. Immunological mechanisms: stimulation of specific antibody-secreting cell response (Kaila et al. [1992\)](#page-59-0), enhancement of pathogen phagocytosis (Schiffrin et al. [1997](#page-66-0)), and modification of cytokine production (Miettinen et al. [1996](#page-62-0); Truusalu et al. [2010](#page-67-0)).
- 5. Induction of the expression of tight junction proteins necessary for barrier function (Khailova et al. [2009](#page-59-0); Patel et al. [2012a\)](#page-63-0).
- 6. Generation of reactive species that are important in cell signaling (Kumar et al. [2007;](#page-60-0) Lin et al. [2009](#page-60-0); Jones et al. [2012\)](#page-59-0).

otal counts of lactobacilli (log_{1C} CFU/g) **Total counts of lactobacilli (log1C CFU/g)**

8

7

6

5

4

3

BL1

Fig. 11 Increase in total fecal lactobacilli during studies of the application of probiotic L. plantarum Tensia to (a) healthy adults; (b) elderly persons (Songisepp et al. [2012a\)](#page-66-0) Legend: BL $1 =$ baseline 1, at recruitment; PRO $=$ after

PRO BL2 PL

Probiotics comprise special compounds or metabolites of beneficial bacteria of the gut that can express specific health-maintaining or -improving properties. With laboratory studies, experimental studies, and translational research, however, several benefits of probiotics arise from a particular strain-specific action (Gibson [1998;](#page-57-0) Mikelsaar et al. [2011b](#page-62-0); Million and Raoult [2013\)](#page-63-0). The specific functions of probiotics addressed for particular health-improving physiological properties have gained increasing attention in the last century. Selection of Lactobacillus spp. strains with the potential to modulate host biomarkers is reviewed in paragraph 5.2.

4.1 Host Specificity (Mice, Humans) of Probiotics for Abundance of Intestinal Indigenous Lactobacilli

For understanding the impact of probiotic bacteria on the composition of gut microbiota, it is important to rely on their colonization ability and the possibility of increasing the abundance of lactobacilli. The question arises of whether the choice should be a strain of human origin or some environmental good antagonist. In various

the probiotic treatment; $BL 2 = baseline 2$, after washout; $PL =$ after the control treatment. The transverse line within the box indicates the median value; the bars extending from each box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles; and the open circles represent outliers

experimental settings (oral dose 0.5×10^8 CFU for 15 days), Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 (DSM14241) of human origin did not increase counts of total lactobacilli in the distal ileum (Truusalu et al. [2010\)](#page-67-0) or large intestine of mice (Truusalu et al. [2004](#page-67-0)). In contrast, the use of the same strain for 3 weeks with a daily dose 10×10^{10} CFU with fermented goat milk or a daily dose 2×10^9 CFU with a capsule caused a significant increase in intestinal lactobacilli in healthy volunteers (Songisepp et al. [2005](#page-66-0)).

A similar host distinction was seen with another intestinal species, Lactobacillus plantarum. The semihard Edam-type cheese comprising strain Tensia (DSM 21380) originated from another Estonian child and was fed for 30 consecutive days (dose 50 g, total 9.6 log_{10} CFU) to experimental mice. No statistically significant increase was found in the total count of cultivable lactobacilli either in the small or large intestine of mice when compared with the control group. Conversely, a significant increase was found in fecal lactobacilli counts (Fig. 11) in both groups of healthy adults and elderly individuals (DBPC crossover studies) after consuming a similar probiotic cheese with Tensia in a daily dose of 50 g, total 10.6 and 8.2 log_{10} CFU, respectively (Songisepp et al. [2012a\)](#page-66-0).

8

10

p=0.047 | NS | \vec{p} \degree | p=0.009 | $\frac{1}{2}$ NS

		Baseline			After
	Dose per	Lactobacillus species	After consumption	Baseline	consumption
Trial	day and	CFU log/g	Lactobacillus species CFU	prevalence $%$	$\%$
characteristics	duration	mean \pm SD	log/g mean \pm SD	L. fermentum	L. fermentum
Fermented	11.0	6.03 ± 2.4	8.0 ± 7.5	4/16	$16/16^*$
goat milk trial	log_{10} CFU				
	3 weeks			25%	100 $%$
Capsule trial	9.4	7.0 ± 7.5	7.9 ± 8.0	2/12	4/12

Table 5 Prevalence of L. fermentum species in fecal samples after consumption of the probiotic strain L. fermentum -ME-3 in different doses and formulations

Legend: ^{*}In the goat milk trial, the presence of the L. fermentum ME-3 strain in feces of all participants after consumption was assessed by AP-PCR typing (Songisepp et al. [2005\)](#page-66-0). In both trials, a large standard deviation (SD) was seen because of the minimal values of lactobacilli counts at baseline for some persons

3 weeks 16.7% 33.3 %

Different tested *Lactobacillus* strains originating from the intestinal tract of Estonian children (Mikelsaar et al. [2002\)](#page-62-0) [\(http://eemb.ut.](http://eemb.ut.ee) [ee\)](http://eemb.ut.ee) increased the abundance of human lactobacilli of feces in contrast to the experimental mice studies. Thus, settlement of the microbial ecosystem of the gut is largely granted by the host specificity for the beneficial Lactobacillus strain applied.

 log_{10} CFU

In our experiments, the amount of probiotic and the formulation applied did not seem to have selective value for increasing lactobacilli counts if daily microbial dose reached at least $10⁹$ CFU. In the literature, probiotic effects have been described as having a dosage threshold. The minimum effective dose that influences the intestinal environment and provides beneficial effects for human health is considered to be $>10^9$ live microbial cells per day. However, some authors state that the minimum dose depends on the particular strain and the type of foodstuffs (Reid et al. [2006](#page-64-0); Williams [2010;](#page-68-0) Champagne et al. [2011\)](#page-56-0). Thus, in case of two probiotic strains of human origin (L. fermentum ME-3 and L. plantarum Tensia), their ingestion caused a significant increase in the total count of fecal LAB of healthy volunteers in comparison with the initial count. The increase was almost the same in spite of the probiotic formulation or daily dose.

Furthermore, when the human origin strain L. fermentum ME-3 was applied for volunteers, the prevalence of L . *fermentum* as a species was increased from 25 to 100 % in the goat milk trial. In the capsule trial, however, the increase was more mild (Table 5) and accompanied by a smaller improvement in antioxidative

biomarkers such as total antioxidative activity (TAA) and total antioxidative status (TAS), as was seen in the fermented goat milk study (Songisepp et al. [2005\)](#page-66-0). Together with the increased prevalence of L. fermentum, some other lactobacilli species such as L. brevis, L. buchneri, and L. acidophilus were found in the GI tracts of the volunteers. Thus, the consumption of human-origin probiotic caused an increase in the diversity of Lactobacillus spp., without dominance of the consumed probiotic.

The results of our study of the response for both probiotic bacteria in adults measuring the counts of lactobacilli with RT-qPCR were not anticipated, however. No change in the intestinal abundance of intestinal lactobacilli was detected either in healthy adults consuming kefir containing L. fermentum ME-3 (8 weeks, daily dose 200 ml, total 8×10^9 CFU/day) or in overweight or adipose persons using cheese containing L. plantarum Tensia (3 weeks, daily dose 50 g, total 6×10^{10} CFU/day). Still, in both trials, after consumption, the probiotic bacteria were molecularly confirmed in 62 % and 64 % volunteers, respectively (Sharafedtinov et al. [2013;](#page-66-0) Mikelsaar et al. [2015](#page-63-0)). The absence of increase of lactobacilli counts may lie in the methods of estimation: the DNA of dead bacteria is present both at the start and completion of the study. The other possibility may be that the small intestine could be a location for propagation of the introduced strain yet the strain remains elusive for testing in clinical trials. A similar negative result for an increase in lactobacilli counts by RT-qPCR in volunteers after probiotic introduction was described by McNulty

et al. (McNulty et al. [2011](#page-62-0)). In their elegant translational study, they showed an improved metabolism with some other groups of intestinal microbes, but exceptionally not for the species of the administered probiotic.

Consequently, in clinical trials, to avoid efficacy failures due to registering dead bacteria, the metabolomic approach can reveal an increase in low-molecular-weight compounds such as amino acids, sugars, lipids, and some other organic compounds of microbial origin induced by probiotic consumption.

4.2 Interaction Between Probiotic Lactobacillus spp. with Some Other Groups of Intestinal Indigenous Microbiota

Mutual interactions take place between a probiotic strain and the host's indigenous microbiota in the gut. The suppression of normal microbiota has been feared for a long time. However, it has now been accepted that ingestion of a certain probiotic causes beneficial changes in the fecal flora by increasing the total number of a particular genus of probiotic such as the lactobacilli, but also of some other symbionts, such as bifidobacteria or enterococci (Sepp et al. [2013](#page-66-0); Alander et al. [1999;](#page-54-0) Brigidi et al. [2001](#page-55-0); Cesana [2001](#page-55-0)).

More than 20 years ago, in a study with newborns, the probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG, in addition to introduced lactobacilli, also boosted the abundance of some other groups of microbiota (Sepp et al. [1993](#page-66-0)). LGG was administered as a freeze-dried powder during the first 2 weeks of life. We compared the balance of microbiota of meconium and the fecal samples of neonates at 3–4, 6–7, and 28–31 days of age. In meconium, the counts of coliforms and bifidobacteria outnumbered those of bacteroides, but lactobacilli were seldom present (11 %). Yet, at 3–4 days after administration of L. rhamnosus GG, the prevalence of lactobacilli increased to 87 %. It is worth mentioning that in the GG group, the total counts of lactobacilli outnumbered those of the control group and that at the same time, the newborns were also more intensively colonized

with bifidobacteria. Thus, the danger of profound microbiota distortion by probiotic administration to early newborns was not realized. The large quantities of administered probiotic did not outcompete the indigenous microbiota of the newborns but in a proportional manner instead increased the populations of some beneficial bacteria, including lactobacilli and bifidobacteria. Other authors later described similar trends (Goossens et al. [2003;](#page-57-0) Wind et al. [2010](#page-68-0)).

Recently, the popular probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG was confirmed to work as a 'facilitator' that modifies and promotes the activity of the other gut bacteria (Eloe-Fadrosh et al. [2015](#page-57-0)). A metagenomics analysis of 300,000 sequences from bacterial rRNA genes showed that beneficial bacteria substantially modified the resident microbiota within nondiseased individuals. Increased expression of Bacteroides, Eubacteria, Faecalibacterium, Bifidobacterium, and Streptococcus strains was identified. Concerning the immunological impact of probiotics, a study of a Finnish–Spanish–Dutch population (Ganguli et al. [2015\)](#page-57-0) supported a beneficial role of probiotic administration for immune responses of the fetal gut.

Thus, beneficial strains of lactobacilli can support correction of an impaired balance of the intestinal microbiome, enriching the intentionally consumed bacteria and some other members of the microbiota. This effect is seemingly the result of the metabolic and immunologic interactions taking place between the strain used and the affected group of indigenous microbiota in the appropriate host. The metabolic outcome, depending on host genotype, the microbial genetic and phenotypic metabolite profile, and epigenetic influence from the environment, has great flexibility in homeostasis that is not fully understood.

5 Potential of Lactobacillus spp. Probiotic Strains for Health

5.1 Elaboration of a Lactobacillus Strain into a Probiotic Product

Development of a microbial strain into a probiotic product is a stepwise process in which host and biotope specificity and several other issues must be checked. The putative probiotic strain should be accurately phenotypically characterized and genetically identified (Vankerckhoven et al. [2008](#page-68-0)). The specific functional properties and the ability for temporal colonization (bile, gastric acid tolerance, and adhesive properties) should be confirmed (Saarela et al. [2000;](#page-65-0) Koll et al. [2010](#page-59-0)). Recent recommendations include an absence of hemolytic activity and transferable antibiotic resistance of the selected Lactobacillus strain, whereas the safety should be proven in animal models (FAO/WHO [2002](#page-57-0); Vesterlund et al. [2007;](#page-68-0) Koll et al. [2010](#page-59-0)).

Next, pilot clinical trials on healthy volunteers are needed to exclude adverse effects on gut health and biochemical and cellular indices of the blood (Reid and Hammond [2005;](#page-64-0) Wells and Mercenier [2008;](#page-68-0) Rijkers et al. [2010\)](#page-65-0). Furthermore, only after improving some physiological functions (e.g., antimicrobial, metabolic, immunogenic, antioxidative) of the host or by reducing the risk of some diseases after consumption of the probiotic product can the expression of the functional properties of the strain be tested in large groups of volunteers.

The genera of *Lactobacillus* and Bifidobacterium are "generally regarded as safe" (GRAS status according to the US Food and Drug Administration) due to their long history of safe use in fermented foods and their presence in the normal intestinal and urogenital microbiota of humans. Several lactobacilli species, including L. plantarum and L. fermentum, have received a Qualified Presumption of Safety (known as QPS) status from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Still, in rare cases, some lactobacilli species could cause clinical conditions like bacteremia and endocarditis (Snydman [2008\)](#page-66-0). Therefore, screening of new probiotics should include a proper safety assessment, starting from antibiotic susceptibility estimations.

Antibiotic Susceptibility

In a study of Estonian and Swedish 1–2-year-old children, 60 intestinal lactobacilli were tested for susceptibility to different antimicrobials (Mandar et al. [2001](#page-61-0)). Most of the strains appeared to be resistant to two or three antibiotics out of nine (Fig. 12). No differences between the Estonian and Swedish isolates were found.

The most important feature for safety assurance of putative probiotics is the absence of transmissible antibiotic-resistance plasmids containing transferable antibiotic-resistance

Fig. 12 Antibiotic susceptibility of lactobacilli by fermentation group

Legend: Prevalence (%) of susceptible strains is presented. The breakpoints (MIC/ml) were determined in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards

Institute (CLSI) guidelines for Gram-positive microorganisms as follows: ciprofloxacin (4 μg/ml); erythromycin (8 μg/ml); ampicillin, gentamicin, and tetracycline (16 μg/ml); cefoxitin, cefuroxime, vancomycin, and metronidazole (32 μg/ml)

SURVIVORS

Fig. 13 Schematic view of proportions of different Lac-tobacillus species (Mikelsaar [2011](#page-62-0)) surviving after antimicrobial treatment with therapeutic doses for selective

decontamination (Solomkin et al. [2010\)](#page-66-0). The circles and antibiotic names are matched by color

genes (Mathur and Singh [2005\)](#page-61-0). The comparison of a wild strain's susceptibility profile with the applicable Lactobacillus strain of the same species is the clue for confirming their natural resistance and absence of transferable resistance (Vankerckhoven et al. [2008](#page-68-0)).

In a previously reported complex safety study (Koll et al. 2010), we screened a pre-selected six lactobacilli strains for several antibiotics, including inhibitors of cell wall, protein, and nucleic acid synthesis. Plasmid-encoded erythromycin, tetracycline, and chloramphenicol resistance was reported in lactobacilli (Gueimonde et al. [2013\)](#page-58-0). However, we observed no resistance to erythromycin and chloramphenicol, and only one L. buchneri strain was resistant to tetracycline; it was excluded from further experiments. In the case of *L. fermentum* species, a natural resistance to trimethoprim plus sulfamethoxazole, metronidazole, fluoroquinolones, and cefoxitin was interpreted as a high natural resistance to these antibiotics.

In critically ill patients, the prophylactic selective decontamination of the digestive tract simultaneously involves applying three to four different antimicrobials (vancomycin, cefoxitin, ciprofloxacin, and some new tetracyclines; also the combination of metronidazole with cephalosporins or fluoroquinolones) for the

suppression of potentially pathogenic microorganisms (Solomkin et al. [2010](#page-66-0)). We have shown (Fig. [12\)](#page-41-0) that *Lactobacillus* species strains are all non-susceptible to metronidazole while specific antibiotic susceptibility pattern is characteristic for different species of lactobacilli of the three fermentation groups. The simultaneous colonization of humans with several (4–12) Lactobacillus species expressing variable intrinsic resistance (Fig. 13) to the aforementioned antimicrobials ($\text{St\textsc{sepetova}}$ et al. [2011b](#page-67-0)) offers the possibility of preserving the colonization of the intestine with particular naturally resistant species of indigenous lactobacilli during antimicrobial treatment (Mikelsaar [2011](#page-62-0)).

In addition, in the gut, the most abundant bacteria, such as the Firmicutes and the Bacteroidetes, by production of large-scale bacteriocins seem to ensure some antibiotic activity and participate in permanent intestinal host defense against the proliferation of harmful bacteria (Drissi et al. [2015\)](#page-56-0). Moreover, several probiotic products with Lactobacillus spp. strains that are intrinsically resistant to the above-mentioned antimicrobials can be used for balance of intestinal microbiota during antibacterial therapy.

Furthermore, we illustrate schematically (Fig. [14\)](#page-43-0) the complex safety studies on the six putative *Lactobacillus* spp. strains from the

Fig. 14 Development of a safe and efficacious probiotic product

Legend: The step-by-step testing was performed including in vitro assays, experimental animal studies, a volunteer safety trial, and a registered clinical efficacy trial,

Human Microbiota Biobank (Acronym: HUMB registration number: 977) (Koll et al. [2010](#page-59-0)). No strain caused the lysis of erythrocytes of human blood, and in an animal trial, no translocation into blood and organs of mice was detected in the case of five strains. Still, one of the two L. paracasei strains translocated into the spleen of one mouse and was excluded from further development.

Next, five of these strains of different species were fed for 5 days (each daily dose 1×10^{10} CFU) to nine volunteers. The regulatory guidelines prescribe the dose delivered at a

including ethics committee approval, randomization and double blinding of participants for placebo and treatment, defining primary and secondary outcomes for efficacy confirmation, and drawing the preliminary health claim for EFSA acceptance

level of 1×10^9 CFU per serving; thus, a tenfold larger dose (5 \times 10¹⁰ CFU) of the putative probiotic product was tested for safety reasons. The persistence of Lactobacillus strains was assessed by culturing combined with arbitrarily primed PCR (AP-PCR) and PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) from fecal samples on consecutive days. Only two strains persisted for 10 days in detectable numbers. In the second phase of the study, five additional volunteers consumed the selected strain L. acidophilus 821–3 (daily 1×10^{10} CFU) for five consecutive days. Using RT-qPCR, the

strain was subsequently found in the feces of all individuals on day 10 (range 4.6–6.7; median 6.0 log_{10} cell/g) (Hutt et al. [2011\)](#page-58-0). Thus, the colonizing ability of human-origin strains can be evaluated with a defined dose. More important, the administration of high doses of different Lactobacillus strains did not result in any severe adverse effects in the GI tract and/or abnormal values of blood indices. No specific functional property that could be biotechnologically applicable for human health improvement has been detected, however, among the five promising colonizers of humans with applying the scheme without detection of special functional properties of the best strain. Thus, without doubt, the colonizing properties and safety traits are critically necessary for application of every probiotic strain. To achieve particular health effects, though, the probiotic strain should have some specific beneficial properties that can influence the host physiology, metabolism, or immunology and that are expressed in specific host biomarkers.

5.2 Selection of Lactobacillus spp. Strains for Functional Properties

For application of probiotics, their functional properties, usually checked by improved values of human health biomarkers, must be characterized (FAO/WHO [2002](#page-57-0)). It should be kept in mind that high heterogeneity in metabolic properties exists among species and strains. Although not all strains even of human origin possess beneficial properties, numerous strains should be screened to obtain an ideal probiotic for special host function.

Recently, a functional score was suggested by Guidone et al. (Guidone et al. [2014\)](#page-58-0) to simplify the simultaneous screening of several microbial isolates from different ecological niches for the potential of probiotic applications. However, the usual colonizing and safety aspects were unfortunately intertwined with particular functional properties that should be addressed in specific health claims.

The elucidation of functional properties for health maintenance and fortification requires an understanding of the risk for a borderline healthy individual for particular pathogenesis of the disease. This understanding enables testing for the potential to correct shifts in human blood, urine, and feces health biomarkers using the functional properties of lactobacilli. These properties can be found by molecular assessment of the genetic profile of the strain (whole genome profile), followed by testing the expression of specific genes and by conducting phenotypic/physiological experiments using *in vitro* tests, animal models, population surveys, and clinical trials (Sanders and Huis in't Veld [1999](#page-65-0)). For instance, to reveal the potential for reduction of cholesterol content in blood sera by a probiotic strain, the presence of bile salt hydrolase (BSH) has to be tested either in genome or/and in vitro experiments and the impact assessed by clinical trials (Mikelsaar et al. [2011a;](#page-62-0) Songisepp et al. [2012b](#page-66-0); Mikelsaar et al. [2015](#page-63-0)). The specific functional impact of particular strains has been confirmed. Some Lactobacillus probiotic strains, e.g., Lactobacillus acidophilus, L. casei, and L. rhamnosus, each induce differential generegulatory transcriptional networks and pathways in the human mucosa (van Baarlen et al. [2011\)](#page-68-0).

Milk contains a list of proteins, including some similar to the opioids, blood pressure– lowering peptides and antithrombotic and cognitive function–improving compounds, normally in low quantities (Meisel and Bockelmann [1999\)](#page-62-0). Certainly, human and animal milk serves as a most suitable environment for producing similar compounds by lactobacilli.

We have elaborated some functional properties among our collected LAB strains to be applied for different physiological states of the host. The special Lactobacillus strains and their functional properties with their impact on the host are depicted in Fig. [15.](#page-45-0) These strains can be used for the three main physiological axes of the host organism: defense against infection, metabolic impact, and immune modulation, all bound to a beneficial effect of LAB.

Fig. 15 LAB produced bioactive compounds with potential impact for health

Legend: SCFA short chain fatty acids, CLA conjugated linoleic acid, NO nitric oxide, BSH bile salt hydrolase, ACE I inhib angiotensin I-converting enzyme inhibitory peptides, OxS oxidative stress, GSH/GSSG ratio of reduced and oxidized glutathione, MnSOD manganese-dependent superoxide dismutase, H_2O_2 hydrogen peroxide, IL interleukin

5.3 Role of Environment in Expression of Functional Properties of Probiotics

The environment has recently been intensively studied for its role in the expression of different functional properties of bacteria. The differences in aeration, nutrition, and metabolic co-metabolites may promote or suppress the genetically defined properties of Lactobacillus spp. and some other genera by epigenetic reprogramming (Shenderov [2012;](#page-66-0) Kumar et al. [2014;](#page-60-0) Remely et al. [2014\)](#page-64-0).

The lactobacilli bioactive compounds suppressing pathogens, affecting antioxidativity of host tissues, granting immune protection, and affecting metabolic impact on blood sera indices are tightly bound with different environmental influences. Below, we describe the results of testing some of these properties in different environmental conditions.

References: 1 (Kullisaar et al. [2002](#page-60-0)), 2 (Kullisaar et al. [2010a](#page-60-0)), 3 (Hutt et al. [2015\)](#page-58-0), 4 (Songisepp et al. [2012a](#page-66-0)), 5 (Mikelsaar et al. [2014\)](#page-63-0), 6 (Truusalu et al. [2010\)](#page-67-0), 7 (Truusalu et al. [2008](#page-67-0)), 8 (Annuk et al. 2003), 9 (Stšepetova et al. $2011a$), 10 (Andersen et al. [2015\)](#page-54-0), 11 (Mikelsaar et al. [2014\)](#page-63-0), 12 (Mikelsaar et al. [2015\)](#page-63-0)

5.3.1 Suppression of Pathogens

One of the most frequent health claims for probiotics concerns the putative reduction and prevention of infectious disease in the GI tract. Although probiotics are targeted to healthy populations, the effects on prevention and alleviating the infectious diseases have to be tested in models of specific infection. The effect of probiotic strains depends on their ability to survive during passage through the stomach, as well as on their ability to persist and compete with pathogens in the GI tract.

L. fermentum ME-3 can suppress mainly Gram-negative bacteria but to some extent also enterococci and Staphylococcus aureus. In different environmental conditions (MRS plates cultivated in microaerobic and anaerobic milieu), the production of lactic acid by strain ME-3 correlates well with its antagonistic activity. In her PhD dissertation, Heidi Annuk [\(2002](#page-54-0)) showed that the *in vitro* antagonistic activity

resulting from a pH drop and organic acid (lactic, acetic, and succinic acids) and ethanol production was differentially quite characteristic for particular fermentative groups of lactobacilli (homo-, facultatively heterofermentative, and obligately heterofermentative) further identified by ITS-PCR.

The strain ME-3 produces some cationic peptides, has a suitable lectin profile for competitive adhesion to the epithelium (Annuk et al. [2001\)](#page-54-0), and some immunogenic properties, as assessed in animal experiments (Truusalu et al. [2008](#page-67-0); [2010\)](#page-67-0). In addition, we recently found using a ROS analyzer (APOLLO 4000) that the ratio of H_2O_2 : NO signals was 13.7, produced by strain ME-3 in microaerobic MRS medium, achieving the first rank among about 30 tested strains of Lactobacillus species. This result shows that strain ME-3 can manage with compounds both to suppress antagonists and/or initiate signaling using several pathways.

Reactive species (ROS, RNS, H_2O_2 , OH, O*) are produced by body tissues and fluids. Principal ROS are superoxide radical, hydroxyl radical, lipid peroxyl radical, and non-radical hydrogen peroxide (the latter is produced from superoxide by superoxide dismutase (SOD)). The RNS are NO and non-radical peroxynitrite. Recent data on microbiota and gut epithelia cell signaling have demonstrated that enteric commensal bacteria rapidly generate reactive species, including ROS. Although the induced generation of ROS via stimulation of formyl peptide receptors is a cardinal feature of the cellular response of phagocytes to pathogenic or commensal bacteria, evidence is accumulating that ROS are also similarly elicited in other cell types, including intestinal epithelia. Additionally, ROS serve as critical second messengers in multiple signaltransduction pathways stimulated by proinflammatory cytokines and growth factors. This physiologically generated ROS participates in cellular signaling via the rapid and transient oxidative inactivation of a defined class of sensor proteins bearing oxidant-sensitive thiol groups. These proteins include tyrosine phosphatases that serve as regulators of MAP kinase pathways and cytoskeletal dynamics, as well as components involved in control of ubiquitination-mediated NF-κB activation. Microbial-elicited ROS mediate increased cellular proliferation and motility and modulate innate immune signaling. Certainly, for any upregulating physiological mechanism, there can be hypothesized a counterbalancing pathway, in this case antioxidative measures. These results demonstrate how enteric microbiota influence regulatory networks of the mammalian intestinal epithelia (Jones et al. [2012](#page-59-0)). The oxidative and antioxidative potential of *Lactobacil*lus spp. will be discussed further in association with the review of our specific trials.

Enteric pathogens cause pathological lesions in different atmospheric conditions of the host GI tract, leading to diarrheal disease. Salmonella spp. and *Clostridium difficile* trigger inflammation in the ileum and colon while Shigella spp. clearly prefers the colonic mucosa (Huang and DuPont [2005](#page-58-0); Pegues et al. [2005](#page-64-0)). In addition, the colon has been considered the main reservoir of Escherichia coli strains that cause urinary tract infections (Franz and Horl [1999](#page-57-0)). Thus, it has been postulated that the antagonistic activity of probiotic Lactobacillus strains and Bifidobacterium spp. depends on the environmental growth conditions, e.g., aerobic/anaerobic conditions (Jacobsen et al. [1999;](#page-59-0) Annuk et al. [2003\)](#page-54-0).

Therefore, it is important when working with probiotic bacteria to check their functional properties in conditions where they are expected to provide the health-improving effect, e.g., antagonistic suppression of pathogens. In laboratories of the Department of Microbiology of University of Tartu (UT), some original and commercial lactobacilli strains with high antagonistic activity against enteric pathogens (Salmonella enteritidis, Shigella spp., Helicobacter pylori, Clostridium difficile), based on the production of high amounts of SCFAs, ethyl alcohol, and antimicrobial peptides, have been tested (Annuk et al. [2003](#page-54-0); Naaber et al. [2004;](#page-63-0) Rätsep et al. [2014](#page-64-0)). The content and types of organic acids produced during the fermentation process depend on the species of lactobacilli, culture composition, and growth conditions (Lindgren

Fig. 16 Probiotic antagonistic activity against target pathogens according to the level of aeration (microaerobic, anaerobic) in the gut (Hutt et al. [2006;](#page-58-0) Mikelsaar et al. [2014\)](#page-63-0)

Legend: High antagonistic activity, decrease by 5.9–6.5 log_{10} CFU ml⁻¹. Probiotic bacteria L. rhamnosus GG, L. fermentum ME-3, L. paracasei 2700:2,

and Dobrogosz [1990](#page-60-0)). In an anaerobic environment, much more ethanol and succinic acids are produced. There is a positive correlation between the production of lactic acid and the inhibitory activity of lactobacilli after cultivation under microaerobic conditions; unexpectedly, the amount of acetic acid and the inhibitory activity of lactobacilli cultured under anaerobic conditions are negatively correlated, however. Bacterial growth inhibition due to lactic acid can be explained by efficient leakage of hydrogen ions across the cell membrane, causing acidification of the cytoplasm and dissipation of the pH gradient (Blom and Mortvedt [1991](#page-55-0)).

Probiotic potential differs concerning suppression of recurrent cystitis- or pyelonephritiscausing $E.$ coli strains, which both usually reside in the anaerobic environment of the colon (Fig. 16). The pyelonephritic E. coli strains are highly suppressed by three probiotics: L. rhamnosus GG and both bifidobacteria

Bifidobacterium lactis B12, and Bifidobacterium longum 46. Pathogens: Escherichia coli ATCC 700336, Escherichia coli ATCC 700414, Salmonella enterica subspecies enterica ATCC 13076, Shigella sonnei ATCC 2593, Clostridium difficile VPI 10463 (ATCC 43255), and Helicobacter pylori NCTC 11637

(Bifidobacterium lactis B12 and Bifidobacterium longum 46). However, the tested probiotics seemed to possess only intermediate potency for outcompeting cystitis-causing E. coli from the large intestine. Reid et al. [\(2003](#page-64-0)) reported that some strains from the aforementioned species – L. rhamnosus GR-1 and Lact. fermentum (reassigned for L. reuteri) $RC-14$ – are useful for preventing and treating urogenital infections in women. This finding confirms once more the specificity of action of a particular probiotic strain.

5.3.2 Antioxidativity against Ox-stress

Antioxidativity against ox-stress. An antimicrobial strain of *L. fermentum* ME-3 (DSM 14241) (Mikelsaar et al. [2002;](#page-62-0) Kullisaar et al. [2003;](#page-60-0) Kullisaar et al. [2010a\)](#page-60-0) can reduce GSSG for GSH and express antioxidative Mn–SOD and capture oxidative superradicals (Kullisaar et al. [2010a](#page-60-0)), as has been elaborated in different

conditions. An in vitro experiment showed that $H₂O₂$ as a typical oxidative compound was produced by the probiotic strain L. fermentum ME-3 during the exponential growth phase and that the total antioxidative activity was somewhat delayed to the stationary growth phase (Fig. 17). These two opposing oxidative and antioxidative parameters showed a significant negative correlation ($p < 0.05$), predicting their interaction also in the host.

Microaerobic H_2O_2 -producing lactobacilli, in contrast to strictly anaerobic bacteria, are not killed by H_2O_2 or O_2 and thus can colonize aerobic pockets in close contact with the intestinal epithelium (Berstad et al. [2015\)](#page-55-0). In these conditions, the antioxidative activity of ME-3 seemingly preserves bacteria for an excess of oxygen to achieve better survival.

In the alimentary tract, the redox potential, which is positive in the proximal sections of the small intestine, drops to negative in the large bowel. Through the length of the gut, the microbes can use several electron acceptors beside O_2 , including nitrate, nitrite, thiocyanate, sulfate, and trimethylaminoxide. The redox system thus promotes different microbiota in the small and large intestines. It also helps in regulating intestinal permeability, immune defense, gene expression, wound healing, and stem cell proliferation (Remely et al. [2014\)](#page-64-0).

The particular Lactobacillus fermentum strain therefore has the potential to kill pathogens invading luminal cavities and mucosal surfaces because of its oxidative properties. On the other hand, the same strain can express high antioxidative potential according to the growth cycle, seemingly depending on the changes in redox potential and exhaustion of nutrients in the environment.

Recently, the concept of oxidative stress (oxS) has been advanced as "a disruption of redox signaling and control" (Jones et al. [2012](#page-59-0)). It emphasizes the impact of oxidative degradation of [lipids](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lipid#Lipid) (lipid hydroperoxide; LPO) during oxS and an impaired redox ratio of glutathione. In LPO, the [free radicals](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radical_(chemistry)#Radical%20(chemistry)) "steal" electrons from the lipids in [cell membranes](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cell_membranes#Cell%20membranes), resulting in cell damage. This process proceeds by a free radical [chain](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chemical_reaction#Chemical%20reaction) [reaction](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chemical_reaction#Chemical%20reaction) mechanism. The most notable initiators in living cells are ROS such as OH and $HO₂$, which combine with a hydrogen atom to make water and a fatty acid radical (Jones [2006\)](#page-59-0). Thus, consumption of the multivalent probiotic L. fermentum ME-3, which produces Mn–SOD and GSH, contributes to the reduction of LPO in the epithelia of the GI tract (Truusalu et al. [2004;](#page-67-0) Kullisaar et al. [2010b](#page-60-0)) and in hepatocytes and prevents them from entering the circulation. This effect may lead to an improvement of the systemic picture of oxS in the host.

The expression of genes important for probiotic-linked mechanisms (quorum sensing) has been revealed by whole genome transcriptional profiling using varying growth conditions (pH, bile, carbohydrates) and food matrices (Klaenhammer et al. [2008\)](#page-59-0). It is anticipated that probiotic strains could tolerate the highly acidic conditions present in the stomach and the variable concentrations of intestinal juices along the GI tract. Confirmation can been obtained when, after oral administration, the survival of the probiotic strain inside the gut is detected by fecal recovery of the strain and the imbalance of intestinal microflora is corrected (Songisepp et al. [2005\)](#page-66-0).

Immune enhancement by functional properties of lactobacilli can be demonstrated with animal experiments. In experimental animal models of infections, some probiotic strains of lactobacilli (L. fermentum ME-3, L. plantarum Inducia) cause the enlargement of Peyer's patches and increase the number of lymphocytes and mono- and polymorphonuclears with induction of inflammatory (IFN-γ, TNF-α) and antiinflammatory cytokine (IL-10) in the gut mucosa and organs (Truusalu et al. [2010](#page-67-0); Truusalu [2013\)](#page-67-0). This capacity serves as an important functional property of the special strain that is targeted to control of infection and correction of dysbiosis after antibiotic treatment.

In Salmonella-challenged mice, we have tested the ability of L. fermentum ME-3 to kill salmonella by ROS production and conversely to express antioxidative potential for influencing the course of infection. In this model, the phagocytes produce ROS, which are important for killing the pathogen. The excessive ROS damage the collateral intestinal epithelial cells, and the superoxide compound is responsible for generating granulomatous lesions and limiting the spread of infection (Umezawa [1995\)](#page-68-0). In our work, administration of antimicrobial and antioxidative probiotic L. fermentum ME-3 to infected mice reduced the high number of Salmonella Typhimurium in ileum mucosa and reduced LPO, and no typhoid nodules were detected in the probiotic group with ME-3. This result could be explained by the improved antioxidative status of ileal mucosa thanks to the neutralization of the produced superoxides by the strain's SOD (Kullisaar et al. [2003](#page-60-0); Truusalu et al. [2004\)](#page-67-0).

The intertwined oxidative and antioxidative effects on formation of granulomas were demonstrated in experimental mice challenged with S. Typhimurium and treated with ofloxacin and the probiotic L. fermentum ME-3. In gut mucosa of Salmonella-challenged mice, the high ratio of GSSG/GSH (oxidized glutathione/reduced glutathione) was decreased (31 %) with application of the probiotic ME-3 strain, with remarkable antioxidative activity (TAA 48.2 \pm 2 %). In liver, the number of typhoid granulomas was subsequently lowered (from 80 % in S. Typhimurium to 15 %) after application of ofloxacin and ME-3. Moreover, a decrease in TNF-alpha and increase in the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in the ileal mucosa and liver were detected (Truusalu et al. [2008](#page-67-0), [2010](#page-67-0)).

5.4 Principles of Clinical Trials for Probiotic Efficacy

Probiotics are targeted toward improvement and/or maintenance of host physiological reactions or reduction in disease risk in the healthy population. To evaluate the potential of probiotic strains with defined functional properties, clinical trials for suppression of some pathogenetic factors of particular diseases need to be conducted.

In atherosclerosis, common risk markers like LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, fasting triglycerides, and plasma homocysteine with additional oxS- and inflammation-related indices (oxLDL, 8-isoprostanes, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP)) are the main players. OxS indices (oxLDL, urine 8-isoprostanes, etc.) together with increased inflammatory markers (white blood cells and hs-CRP) are characteristic for patients with atherosclerotic lesions of the vascular system (Stocker and Keaney [2004](#page-67-0)). All of these markers are considered to be age- and diet-related (Mensink et al. [2003;](#page-62-0) Tiihonen et al. [2010\)](#page-67-0).

For maintaining cardiovascular health, the assessed functional properties of L. fermentum ME-3 with different formulations were tested in

Fig. 18 Decrease of level of baseline diene conjugates of low density lipoproteins (BDC-LDL) during the synbiotic consumption compared to the placebo period in trial of Helicobacter pylori colonised persons (Mikelsaar et al. [2008](#page-62-0))

Legend: BL baseline, Syn end of synbiotic consumption, PL end of placebo period, NS not significant. The

healthy population subgroups for improvements in human blood and urine antioxidative biomarkers such as TAA, TAS, ox-LDL, baseline diene conjugate level of LDL (BDC-LDL), and urine diene conjugates (8-isoprostanes) (Kullisaar et al. [2003;](#page-60-0) Mikelsaar and Zilmer [2009](#page-62-0)).

Administering a food product (fermented goat milk) containing *L. fermentum* ME-3 to humans enhanced the systemic antioxidative activity of blood sera in a trial of volunteers (Kullisaar et al. [2003\)](#page-60-0).

Furthermore, in a placebo-controlled crossover synbiotic trial (Saulnier et al. [2007;](#page-65-0) Hutt et al. [2009](#page-58-0); Mikelsaar and Zilmer [2009\)](#page-62-0), we tested the blood biomarkers of healthy adults persistently colonized with Helicobacter pylori. A decreased local antioxidativity of gastric mucosa has been shown in H. pylori infection (Beil et al. [2000](#page-55-0); Jung et al. [2001\)](#page-59-0). The consumption of a synbiotic product containing enterocoated capsulated antimicrobial and antioxidative strains (L. fermentum ME-3;

BDC-LDL values decreased significantly in blood of volunteers at the end of synbiotic treatment period compared to baseline values (mean 15.2 vs $12.7 \mu M$) l, $p < 0.001$). There was also a significant reduction (13 %) seen at the end of synbiotic period as compared to the end of placebo period (mean 12.7 vs 14.6 $\mu M/l$, $p = 0.035$)

L. paracasei 8700:2; B. longum 46, 3×10^9 CFU, with 6.6 g Raftilose P95 twice a day for 3 weeks) increased blood TAS. In addition, the BDC-LDL values decreased significantly in the blood of volunteers to the end of the synbiotic administration and compared to the values to the end of the placebo period (Fig. 18). A quite similar distribution of H. pylori–positive $(n = 28)$ and H. pylori–negative $(n = 25)$ subjects, however, did not change after treatment.

Thus, the synbiotic treatment, though improving oxS indices, could not eradicate the persistent H. pylori infection. Still, we postulate that the risk for development and progression of atherosclerosis due to the chronic H . $pylori$ infection could be reduced after 3 weeks of synbiotic consumption. Clinical studies have shown that BDC-LDL is closely linked to atherosclerosis and serves as a well-known oxS-related atherosclerosis risk factor. As an indicator, BDC-LDL clearly exceeds the sensitivity and specificity of common markers, successfully revealing mild

Fig. 19 (a) (b) (c) Reduction of borderline high >4.0 mmol/l content of (a) blood LDL- cholesterol and (b) oxidized LDL cholesterol after 8 weeks consumption of kephir comprising L. fermentum ME-3

Legend: The extent of changes (mean \pm SD) of (a) LDL and (b) ox-LDL from the adjusted baseline to 8 weeks, for

oxidation of LDL (Ahotupa and Asankari [1999;](#page-54-0) Brizzi et al. [2004](#page-55-0)). The BDC-LDL method distinctly improves possibilities for diagnosis, follow-up of treatment, and basic research into cardiovascular diseases.

In our recent study with *L. fermentum* ME-3, we showed that the people with biomarkers at borderline-to-normal reference values (e.g., blood LDL, Fig. 19) can be selected from the whole population and tested for the efficacy of

individuals in the (left) probiotic and (right) control groups were compared (Mikelsaar et al. [2015\)](#page-63-0). (c) Distribution of LDL biomarker of blood in healthy adults, the circle depicts the borderline values of biomarkers in healthy population (Nordic Reference Interval Project; NORIP)

a probiotic product (Mikelsaar et al. [2015\)](#page-63-0). Apparently, the individual response to diet can vary largely, and an application aimed for personalized nutrition needs to take into consideration both the microbiota temporal signatures and the health biomarkers of the particular person.

Significantly different reductive values of LDL cholesterol ($p < 0.037$) and oxidized LDL cholesterol markers $(p < 0.0002)$ were found between the reduction in the test and control groups (Fig. [19](#page-51-0)). The results show a clear impact of probiotic food on healthy persons with biomarkers at borderline-to-normal values. This response is in consonance with the claim for maintenance of physiological health status.

Thus, we have proved the validity of the in vitro-assessed functional properties of some lactobacilli strains in host experimental animals and healthy volunteers.

5.5 Culture Collection of Lactobacillus spp.

To provide the Estonian population with beneficial bacteria of Estonian origin and for larger scientific purposes, the culture collection of microbiota was founded. Biobank was established in 1994 when the first microbiota samples and lactobacilli strains of Estonian and Swedish children were collected in the context of the first comparative studies for environmental impact in allergy development together with the universities of Linköping, Sweden, and Tartu, Estonia. From that time, the same study subjects have been repeatedly observed and sampled to continue the investigation. This unique cohort enables us to reveal changes in microbiota in connection with the changes in age, health, and environment.

The Human Microbiota Biobank (HUMB, http://eemb.ut.ee) is situated at the Department of Microbiology, University of Tartu, Estonia. The biobank was registered in 2010 at the World Data Centre for Microorganisms as the WFCC Estonian Human Microbiota Biobank (collection No. 977, acronym HUMB). HUMB is a member of ECCO (European Culture Collections' Organisation).

During the following years, the biobank was supplemented with numerous strains and microbiota samples in the context of several (including international) research projects. Indigenous bacteria of human microbiota – lactobacilli and bifidobacteria – form almost a quarter of the collection, but the collection also contains several opportunistic pathogens. Hundreds of new strains and microbiota samples from the GI, urogenital and respiratory tracts and the mouth and skin from healthy and diseased newborns, children, adults, and elderly people are added to the biobank each year. To date, the biobank contains more than 13,000 bacterial strains representing 74 genera and 201 species.

Probiotic development is an important research field in microbial ecology. In collaboration with the Bio-Competence Centre of Healthy Dairy Products, several GI probiotics have been developed: Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 (DSM 14241; strain and patent belong to the University of Tartu; the strain is on the market as the dairy product brand Hellus and food supplement Reg'Activ™), L. plantarum TENSIA® (DSM 21380), and L. plantarum INDUCIA® (DSM 21379) (the two latter strains and their patents belong to Bio-Competence Centre of Healthy Dairy Products). In collaboration with the Estonian Competence Centre of Health Technologies, the search for vaginal probiotics is ongoing. In collaboration with the Institute of Dentistry of University of Tartu, a set of oral bacteria has been collected that has the potential for the development of oral probiotics against caries and periodontitis.

In addition to practical applications, the set of human microbiota is expected to provide new theoretical perspectives for determining if the health-promoting capacities of different strains of Lactobacillus spp. are mainly predicted by their host and biotope-specific origin, genetic and phenotypic profile, or some other epigenetic influences like age, geographic origin, environment, and type of nutrition.

6 Summary

Pinpointing beneficial LAB with important metabolic functions resulting from special pathways and released compounds seems worthwhile for studies on maintenance and modification of human ecosystems. The intestinal Lactobacillus spp. of the Firmicutes phylum represents a large group of Gram-positive bacteria. In this paper its biodiversity is demonstrated with data on taxonomy, function, and host-microbial interactions.

Its prevalence, composition, abundance, metabolic properties, and relation to host age, genotype, and socioeconomic factors have been reviewed based on the literature and the research experience of the Department of Microbiology at the University of Tartu for almost half a century.

An attempt has been made to associate schematically the metabolites of the three fermentative groups of Lactobacillus spp. with some metabolites produced by other groups of intestinal bacteria. Several functions of lactobacilli are well demonstrated in the reviewed in vitro studies and human trials. The promotional role of lactobacilli can induce the increase of beneficial compounds like acetate, propionate, butyrate, and NO. On the other hand, the suppressive impact of Lactobacillus spp. for the reduction of detrimental bacterial metabolites such as ammonia, indole, paracresol, sulfides, H_2 , ROS, and RNS has also been demonstrated. As a result, the intertwined metabolism can induce the simultaneous increase in the abundance of groups of intestinal microbiota other than the applied probiotic Lactobacillus strain and also increase the biodiversity of different Lactobacillus species.

In gut microbial ecology, several experimental trials have failed to demonstrate the increase in abundance of total lactobacilli after human Lactobacillus strain application. We have confirmed that different *Lactobacillus* strains of Estonian children did not increase the abundance of total lactobacilli in experimental rodents. However, in human studies, a tight association has been demonstrated between host specificity and achieved effects after application of human lactobacilli strains of different species. This link may rely on the specific nutrition and genetic differences in receptors for host colonization. However, this result was detected only for cultivable metabolically active lactobacilli and with daily doses at least over $10⁹$ CFU.

The large collection of *Lactobacillus* spp. strains [\(http://eemb.ut.ee\)](http://eemb.ut.ee/) founded in 1994 originated from the first comparative studies of their environmental influence for allergy development together with the universities of Linköping, Sweden, and Tartu, Estonia. As noted, to date, this biobank contains more than 13,000 bacterial strains representing 74 genera and 201 species supplemented during several experimental, population, and clinical studies. This collection has served as the basis of several elaborated probiotic strains and for new putative candidates. For the selection of the potential for probiotic applications, FAO/EFSA has set strict regulations. There is no denying the need for precise molecular identification, safety traits, and colonizing properties as being of the utmost importance for application of every probiotic strain; however, to gain particular health effects, the probiotic strain also should have specific functional properties expressed in the host. For this purpose, physiological experiments using in vitro tests, animal models, population surveys, and clinical trials are decisive. It has been postulated that human metabolic status basically depends on the host genotype, microbiota metabolic profile, and epigenetic influence of the environment and normal diet, yielding great flexibility in homeostasis. In contrast, the environment, particularly the unbalanced homeostasis of the host either after infection or with metabolic disorders, can deliver epigenetic signals to the introduced probiotic strain to select the specific beneficial properties necessary for the current situation. For instance, the double functional efficacy of Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 is characterized by the ability to suppress intestinal pathogens during enteric infections because of its oxidative properties, but the same strain can express highly antioxidative effects in the maintenance of cardiovascular health.

More advanced knowledge of the biodiversity of lactobacilli may open the path for evidencebased specification of the abundance and species distribution of intestinal microbiota that results in regulation of blood and urine biomarkers to reduce the risk of metabolic and immunemediated diseases. The theory-based possibilities for the biotechnological applications of lactobacilli, together with directed and supportive personalized nutrition for large populations, appear to be part of the future of maintaining health.

Acknowledgments This study was financially supported by the European Union through the European Regional Development Fund (SFOS reg. No. 3.2.0701.11-0023), Estonian Ministry of Education and Research (grant No. SF0180132s08 and grant No. KOGU-HUMB), Estonian Research Council (grant No. IUT34-19). The research was co-financed by the European Community's Regional Development Fund in the framework of the Competence Centre Programme of the Enterprise Estonia under Project No. EU30002 of the Bio-Competence Centre of Healthy Dairy Products LLC. We appreciate the critical reading and suggestions from Professor Irja Lutsar, MD, and technical help from Irja Roots.

References

- Aagaard K, Ma J, Antony KM, Ganu R, Petrosino J, Versalovic J (2014) The placenta harbors a unique microbiome. Sci Transl Med 6(237):237ra65. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3008599) [1126/scitranslmed.3008599](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3008599)
- Ackermann M (2015) A functional perspective on phenotypic heterogeneity in microorganisms. Nat Rev Microbiol 13(8):497–508. doi[:10.1038/nrmicro3491](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3491)
- Ahlroos T, Tynkkynen S (2009) Quantitative strainspecific detection of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in human faecal samples by real-time PCR. J Appl Microbiol 106(2):506–514
- Ahmed S, Macfarlane GT, Fite A, McBain AJ, Gilbert P, Macfarlane S (2007) Mucosa-associated bacterial diversity in relation to human terminal ileum and colonic biopsy samples. Appl Environ Microbiol 73 (22):7435–7442
- Ahotupa M, Asankari TJ (1999) Baseline diene conjugation in LDL lipids: an indicator of circulating oxidized LDL. Free Radic Biol Med 27(11–12):1141–1150
- Alander M, Satokari R, Korpela R, Saxelin M, Vilpponen-Salmela T, Mattila-Sandholm T, von Wright A (1999) Persistence of colonization of human colonic mucosa by a probiotic strain, Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG, after oral consumption. Appl Environ Microbiol 65 (1):351–354
- Allen A (1981) Structure and function of gastrointestinal mucus. In: Johnson LR (ed) Physiology of the gastrointestinal tract. Raven, New York, pp 617–619
- Allison C, McFarlan C, MacFarlane GT (1989) Studies on mixed populations of human intestinal bacteria grown in single-stage and multistage continuous culture systems. Appl Environ Microbiol 55(3):672–678
- Alonso L, Cuesta EP, Gilliland SE (2003) Production of free conjugated linoleic acid by Lactobacillus acidophilus and Lactobacillus casei of human intestinal origin. J Dairy Sci 86(6):1941–1946
- Altermann E, Russell WM, Azcarate-Peril MA, Barrangou R, Buck BL, McAuliffe O, Souther N, Dobson A, Duong T, Callanan M, Lick S, Hamrick A, Cano R, Klaenhammer TR (2005) Complete genome sequence of the probiotic lactic acid

bacterium Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102(11):3906–3912

- Amann RI, Ludwig W, Schleifer KH (1995) Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. Microbiol Rev 59 $(1):143-169$
- Amann R, Fuchs BM, Behrens S (2001) The identification of microorganisms by fluorescence in situ hybridisation. Curr Opin Biotechnol 12(3):231–236
- Andersen KK, Strokappe NM, Hultberg A, Truusalu K, Smidt I, Mikelsaar RH, Mikelsaar M, Verrips T, Hammarstrom L, Marcotte H (2015) Neutralization of clostridium difficile toxin B mediated by engineered lactobacilli that produce single-domain antibodies. Infect Immun 84(2):395–406. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00870-15) [1128/IAI.00870-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00870-15)
- Anderson AC, Sanunu M, Schneider C, Clad A, Karygianni L, Hellwig E, Al-Ahmad A (2014) Rapid species-level identification of vaginal and oral lactobacilli using MALDI-TOF MS analysis and 16S rDNA sequencing. BMC Microbiol 14:312
- Annuk H (2002) Selection of medicinal plants and intestinal lactobacilli as antimicrobial components for functional foods. Dissertationes Medicinae Universitatis Tartuensis
- Annuk H, Hynes SO, Hirmo S, Mikelsaar M, Wadstrom T (2001) Characterisation and differentiation of lactobacilli by lectin typing. J Med Microbiol 50 (12):1069–1074
- Annuk H, Shchepetova J, Kullisaar T, Songisepp E, Zilmer M, Mikelsaar M (2003) Characterization of intestinal lactobacilli as putative probiotic candidates. J Appl Microbiol 94(3):403–412
- Aragozzini F, Ferrari A, Pacini N, Gualandris R (1979) Indole-3-lactic acid as a tryptophan metabolite produced by Bifidobacterium spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 38(3):544–546
- Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, Fernandes GR, Tap J, Bruls T, Batto JM, Bertalan M, Borruel N, Casellas F, Fernandez L, Gautier L, Hansen T, Hattori M, Hayashi T, Kleerebezem M, Kurokawa K, Leclerc M, Levenez F, Manichanh C, Nielsen HB, Nielsen T, Pons N, Poulain J, Qin J, Sicheritz-Ponten-T, Tims S, Torrents D, Ugarte E, Zoetendal EG, Wang J, Guarner F, Pedersen O, de Vos WM, Brunak S, Dore J, Antolin M, Artiguenave F, Blottiere HM, Almeida M, Brechot C, Cara C, Chervaux C, Cultrone A, Delorme C, Denariaz G, Dervyn R, Foerstner KU, Friss C, van de Guchte M, Guedon E, Haimet F, Huber W, van Hylckama-Vlieg J, Jamet A, Juste C, Kaci G, Knol J, Lakhdari O, Layec S, Le Roux K, Maguin E, Merieux A, Melo Minardi R, M'Rini C, Muller J, Oozeer R, Parkhill J, Renault P, Rescigno M, Sanchez N, Sunagawa S, Torrejon A, Turner K, Vandemeulebrouck G, Varela E, Winogradsky Y, Zeller G, Weissenbach J, Ehrlich SD, Bork P (2011) Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature 473(7346):174–180
- Axelsson L (1998) Lactic acid bacteria: classification and physiology. In: Salminen S, von Wright A (eds) Lactic acid bacteria. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, pp 1–72
- Axelsson L, Holck A, Birkeland SE, Aukrust T, Blom H (1993) Cloning and nucleotide sequence of a gene from Lactobacillus sake Lb706 necessary for sakacin A production and immunity. Appl Environ Microbiol 59(9):2868–2875
- Bakke OM, Midtvedt T (1970) Influence of germ-free status on the excretion of simple phenols of possible significance in tumour promotion. Experientia 26(5):519
- Bardoczs S, Grant G, Brown DS, Pusztai A (1998) Putrescine as a source of instant energy in the small intewstine of the rat. Gut 42:24–28
- Beil W, Obst B, Sewing KF, Wagner S (2000) Helicobacter pylori reduces intracellular glutathione in gastric epithelial cells. Dig Dis Sci 45:1769–1773
- Belenguer A, Holtrop G, Duncan SH, Anderson SE, Calder AG, Flint HJ, Lobley GE (2011) Rates of production and utilization of lactate by microbial communities from the human colon. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 77(1):107–119. doi[:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01086.x) [01086.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01086.x)
- Bello FD, Walter J, Hertel C, Hammes WP (2001) In vitro study of prebiotic properties of levan-type exopolysaccharides from Lactobacilli and non-digestible carbohydrates using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Syst Appl Microbiol 24 (2):232–237
- Bernardeau M, Vernoux JP, Henri-Dubernet S, Gueguen M (2008) Safety assessment of dairy microorganisms: the Lactobacillus genus. Int J Food Microbiol 126 (3):278–285
- Berstad A, Raa J, Valeur J (2015) Indole the scent of a healthy "inner soil". Microb Ecol Health Dis 26:27997
- Biagi E, Nylund L, Candela M, Ostan R, Bucci L, Pini E, Nikkila J, Monti D, Satokari R, Franceschi C, Brigidi P, De Vos W (2010) Through ageing, and beyond: gut microbiota and inflammatory status in seniors and centenarians. PLoS ONE 5(5):e10667
- Bianchi GP, Marchesini G, Fabbri A, Rondelli A, Bugianesi E, Zoli M, Pisi E (1993) Vegetable versus animal protein diet in cirrhotic patients with chronic encephalopathy. A randomized cross-over comparison. J Intern Med 233(5):385–392
- Bierne H, Hamon M, Cossart P (2012) Epigenetics and bacterial infections. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2 (12):a010272
- Bjorksten B, Naaber P, Sepp E, Mikelsaar M (1999) The intestinal microflora in allergic Estonian and Swedish 2-year-old children. Clin Exp Allergy 29(3):342–346
- Bjorksten B, Sepp E, Julge K, Voor T, Mikelsaar M (2001) Allergy development and the intestinal microflora during the first year of life. J Allergy Clin Immunol 108(4):516–520
- Blad CC, Tang C, Offermans S (2012) G proteine-coupled receptors for energy metabolites as new theraupetic targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov 11:603–619
- Blaut M, Clavel T (2007) Metabolic diversity of the intestinal microbiota: implications for health and disease. J Nutr 137(3 Suppl 2):751S–755S
- Blom H, Mortvedt C (1991) Anti-microbial substances produced by food associated micro-organisms. Biochem Soc Trans 19(3):694–698
- Bochkov IA, Liz'ko NN, Semina NA, Borunov NL, Iurko LP (1998) Peculiarities of cosmonauts fauces flora. Aviakosm Ekolog Med 32(4):25–28
- Bondia-Pons I, Maukonen J, Mattila I, Rissanen A, Saarela M, Kaprio J, Hakkarainen A, Lundbom J, Lundbom N, Hyotylainen T, Pietilainen KH, Oresic M (2014) Metabolome and fecal microbiota in monozygotic twin pairs discordant for weight: a Big Mac challenge. Faseb J 28(9):4169–4179
- Borderon JC, Gold F, Laugier J (1981) Enterobacteria of the neonate. Normal colonization and antibioticinduced selection. Biol Neonate 39(1–2):1–7
- Bottazzi V (1983) Other fermented dairy products. Food and feed production with microorganisms. In: Reed G (ed) Biotechnology, vol 5. Verlag Chemie, Weinhein, pp 315–364
- Brashears MM, Gilliland SE, Buck LM (1998) Bile salt deconjugation and cholesterol removal from media by Lactobacillus casei. J Dairy Sci 81(8):2103–2110
- Brigidi P, Vitali B, Swennen E, Bazzocchi G, Matteuzzi D (2001) Effects of probiotic administration upon the composition and enzymatic activity of human fecal microbiota in patients with irritable bowel syndrome or functional diarrhea. Res Microbiol 152(8):735–741
- Brizzi P, Tonolo G, Bertrand G, Carusillo F, Severino C, Maioli M, Malaguarnera L, Musumeci S (2004) Autoantibodies against oxidized low-density lipoprotein (ox-LDL) and LDL oxidation status. Clin Chem Lab Med 42(2):164–170
- Buchholz K, Seibel J (2003) Isomaltooligosaccharides. In: Eggleston G, Coté GL (eds) Oligosaccharides in food and agriculture. ACS Publication/Oxford University Press, Washington, DC
- Caballero-Franco C, Keller K, De Simone C, Chadee K (2007) The VSL#3 probiotic formula induces mucin gene expression and secretion in colonic epithelial cells. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 292 (1):G315–G322
- Cai Y, Pang H, Kitahara M, Ohkuma M (2012) Lactobacillus nasuensis sp. nov., a lactic acid bacterium isolated from silage, and emended description of the genus Lactobacillus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62 (Pt 5):1140–1144
- Callaway A, Kostrzewa M, Willershausen B, Schmidt F, Thiede B, Kupper H, Kneist S (2013) Identification of Lactobacilli from deep carious lesions by means of species-specific PCR and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Clin Lab 59(11–12):1373–1379
- Calvano CD, Zambonin CG, Palmisano F (2011) Lipid fingerprinting of gram-positive lactobacilli by intact–matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry using a proton sponge based matrix. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 25(12):1757–1764
- Cesana BM (2001) Comments on 'Sustained reduction in circulating cholesterol in adult hypopituitary patients given low dose titrated growth hormone replacement therapy: a two-year study' by Florakis et al. (2000). Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 55(5):695–697
- Chambers ES, Morrison DJ, Frost G (2014) Control of appetite and energy intake by SCFA: what are the potential underlying mechanisms? Proc Nutr Soc 74 (3):328–336
- Champagne CP, Ross RP, Saarela M, Hansen KF, Charalampopoulos D (2011) Recommendations for the viability assessment of probiotics as concentrated cultures and in food matrices. Int J Food Microbiol 149 (3):185–193. doi[:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.07.005)
- Chen WJ, Anderson JW, Jennings D (1984) Propionate may mediate the hypocholesterolemic effects of certain soluble plant fibers in cholesterol-fed rats. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 175(2):215–218
- Chen Y, Zhang W, Sun Z, Meng B, Zhang H (2015) Complete genome sequence of Lactobacillus helveticus H9, a probiotic strain originated from kurut. J Biotechnol 194:37–38
- Cheng HH, Lai MH (2000) Fermentation of resistant rice starch produces propionate reducing serum and hepatic cholesterol in rats. J Nutr 130(8):1991–1995
- Chervonsky AV (2012) Microbial influences on immune function and more. Immunol Rev 245(1):7-12. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01085.x) [1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01085.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01085.x)
- Chow J, Lee SM, Shen Y, Khosravi A, Mazmanian SK (2010) Host-bacterial symbiosis in health and disease. Adv Immunol 107:243–274
- Clemente JC, Ursell LK, Parfrey LW, Knight R (2012) The impact of the gut microbiota on human health: an integrative view. Cell 148(6):1258–1270
- Collins MD, Rodrigues U, Ash C, Aguirre M, Farrow JAE, Martinez-Murcia A, Phillips BA, Williams AM, Wallbanks S (1991) Phylogenetic analysis of the genus Lactobacillus and related lactic acid bacteria as determined by reverse transcriptase sequencing of 16S rRNA. FEMS Microbiol Lett 77(1):5–12
- Corsetti A, Gobbetti M, Rossi J, Damiani P (1998) Antimould activity of sourdough lactic acid bacteria: identification of a mixture of organic acids produced by Lactobacillus sanfrancisco CB1. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 50(2):253–256
- Cox RP, Thomsen JK (1990) Computer-aided identification of lactic acid bacteria using the API 50 CHL system. Lett Appl Bacteriol 10(6):257–259
- Cox M, Lehninger A, Nelson D (2000) Lehninger principles of biochemistry. Worth Publishers, New York. ISBN 1-57259-931-6
- Cummings JH, Macfarlane GT (2002) Gastrointestinal effects of prebiotics. Br J Nutr 87(Suppl 2): S145–S151
- Cummings JH, Hill MJ, Bone ES, Branch WJ, Jenkins DJ (1979) The effect of meat protein and dietary fiber on colonic function and metabolism. II Bacterial metabolites in feces and urine. Am J Clin Nutr 32 (10):2094–2101
- De Preter V, Geboes K, Verbrugghe K, De Vuyst L, Vanhoutte T, Huys G, Swings J, Pot B, Verbeke K (2004) The in vivo use of the stable isotope-labelled biomarkers lactose-[15N]ureide and [2H4]tyrosine to assess the effects of pro- and prebiotics on the

intestinal flora of healthy human volunteers. Br J Nutr 92(3):439–446

- De Preter V, Vanhoutte T, Huys G, Swings J, De Vuyst L, Rutgeerts P, Verbeke K (2007) Effects of Lactobacillus casei Shirota, Bifidobacterium breve, and oligofructose-enriched inulin on colonic nitrogenprotein metabolism in healthy humans. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 292(1):G358–G368
- Dec M, Urban-Chmiel R, Gnat S, Puchalski A, Wernicki A (2014) Identification of Lactobacillus strains of goose origin using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry and 16S-23S rDNA intergenic spacer PCR analysis. Res Microbiol 165(3):190–201
- Derrien M, Vaughan EE, Plugge CM, de Vos WM (2004) Akkermansia muciniphila gen. nov., sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54(Pt 5):1469–1476
- Dharmani P, De Simone C, Chadee K (2013) The probiotic mixture VSL#3 accelerates gastric ulcer healing by stimulating vascular endothelial growth factor. PLoS ONE 8(3):e58671
- Doleyres Y, Schaub L, Lacroix C (2005) Comparison of the functionality of exopolysaccharides produced in situ or added as bioingredients on yogurt properties. J Dairy Sci 88(12):4146–4156
- Drasar BS, Hill MJ (1974) Human intestinal flora. Academic, New York
- Drell T, Metsis A, Štšepetova J, Simm J, Aleksejeva A, Antson A, Metsis A, Sepp E, Mändar R, Salumets A (In publication) The similarity between infant gut and oral microbiota and maternal microbial communities
- Drissi F, Buffet S, Raoult D, Merhej V (2015) Common occurrence of antibacterial agents in human intestinal microbiota. Front Microbiol 6:441. doi:[10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00441) [fmicb.2015.00441](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00441)
- Dubos R, Schaedler RW (1962) Some biological effects of the digestive flora. Am J Med Sci 244:265–271
- Dudkowska M, Lai J, Gardini G, Stachurska A, Grzelakowska-Sztabert B, Colombatto S, Manteuffel-Cymborowska M (2003) Agmatine modulates the in vivo biosynthesis and interconversion of polyamines and cell proliferation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1619(2):159–166
- Duncan SH, Barcenilla A, Stewart CS, Pryde SE, Flint HJ (2002) Acetate utilization and butyryl coenzyme A (CoA): acetate-CoA transferase in butyrate-producing bacteria from the human large intestine. Appl Environ Microbiol 68(10):5186–5190
- Duncan SH, Holtrop G, Lobley GE, Calder AG, Stewart CS, Flint HJ (2004) Contribution of acetate to butyrate formation by human faecal bacteria. Br J Nutr 91 (6):915–923. doi:[10.1079/BJN20041150](https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN20041150)
- Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, Gill SR, Nelson KE, Relman DA (2005) Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science 308(5728):1635–1638
- Eerola S, Roig Sagués A-X, Lilleberg L, Aalto H (1997) Biogenic amines in dry sausages during shelf-life storage. Z Lebensm Unters Forsch A 205:351–355
- Eklou-Lawson M, Bernard F, Neveux N, Chaumontet C, Bos C, Davila-Gay AM, Tome D, Cynober L, Blachier F (2009) Colonic luminal ammonia and portal blood L-glutamine and L-arginine concentrations: a possible link between colon mucosa and liver ureagenesis. Amino Acids 37(4):751–760
- Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Brady A, Crabtree J, Drabek EF, Ma B, Mahurkar A, Ravel J, Haverkamp M, Fiorino A-M, Botelho C, Andreyeva I, Hibberd PL, Fraser CM (2015) Functional dynamics of the gut microbiome in elderly people during probiotic consumption. mBio 6(2):e00231–e00315
- Erdmann J (2013) Telltale hearts. Nat Med 19 (11):1361–1364. doi[:10.1038/nm1113-1361](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1113-1361)
- Evenepoel P, Meijers BK, Bammens BR, Verbeke K (2009) Uremic toxins originating from colonic microbial metabolism. Kidney Int Suppl 114:S12–S19
- Faith JJ, Guruge JL, Charbonneau M, Subramanian S, Seedorf H, Goodman AL, Clemente JC, Knight R, Heath AC, Leibel RL, Rosenbaum M, Gordon JI (2013) The long-term stability of the human gut microbiota. Science 341(6141):1237439. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237439) [1126/science.1237439](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237439)
- FAO/WHO (2002) Guidelines for evaluation of probiotics in food. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Health Organization Working Group Report, London/Ontario
- Felis GE, Dellaglio F (2007) Taxonomy of Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol 8 $(2):44-61$
- Fernandes J, Wang A, Su W, Rozenbloom SR, Taibi A, Comelli EM, Wolever TM (2013) Age, dietary fiber, breath methane, and fecal short chain fatty acids are interrelated in Archaea-positive humans. J Nutr 143 (8):1269–1275. doi[:10.3945/jn.112.170894](https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.112.170894)
- Finegold SM, Sutter VL, Sugihara PT, Elder HA, Lehmann SM, Phillips RL (1977) Fecal microbial flora in Seventh Day Adventist populations and control subjects. Am J Clin Nutr 30(11):1781–1792
- Flint HJ, Scott KP, Duncan SH, Louis P, Forano E (2012) Microbial degradation of complex carbohydrates in the gut. Gut Microbes 3(4):289–306
- Floch MH (2011) Intestinal microecology in health and wellness. J Clin Gastroenterol 45(Suppl):S108–S110
- Franz M, Horl WH (1999) Common errors in diagnosis and management of urinary tract infection. I: pathophysiology and diagnostic techniques. Nephrol Dial Transplant 14(11):2746–2753
- Frengova GI, Simova ED, Beshkova DM, Simov ZI (2002) Exopolysaccharides produced by lactic acid bacteria of kefir grains. Z Naturforsch C 57 (9–10):805–810
- Fujiwara S, Seto Y, Kimura A, Hashiba H (2001) Establishment of orally-administered Lactobacillus gasseri SBT2055SR in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and its influence on intestinal microflora and metabolism. J Appl Microbiol 90(3):343–352
- Ganguli K, Collado MC, Rautava J, Lu L, Satokari R, von Ossowski I, Reunanen J, de Vos WM, Palva A,

Isolauri E, Salminen S, Walker WA, Rautava S (2015) Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG and its SpaC pilus adhesin modulate inflammatory responsiveness and TLR-related gene expression in the fetal human gut. Pediatr Res 77(4):528–535

- Garrity GM, Lilburn TG (2005) Self-organizing and selfcorrecting classifications of biological data. Bioinformatics 21(10):2309–2314
- Garrote GL, Abraham AG, Rumbo M (2015) Is lactate an undervalued functional component of fermented food products? Front Microbiol 6:629. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00629) [2015.00629](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00629)
- Gaudier E, Michel C, Segain JP, Cherbut C, Hoebler C (2005) The VSL# 3 probiotic mixture modifies microflora but does not heal chronic dextran-sodium sulfateinduced colitis or reinforce the mucus barrier in mice. J Nutr 135(12):2753–2761
- Geboes KP, De Preter V, Luypaerts A, Bammens B, Evenepoel P, Ghoos Y, Rutgeerts P, Verbeke K (2005) Validation of lactose[15N,15N]ureide as a tool to study colonic nitrogen metabolism. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 288(5): G994–G999
- Ghaisas S, Maher J, Kanthasamy A (2015) Gut microbiome in health and disease: linking the microbiome-gut-brain axis and environmental factors in the pathogenesis of systemic and neurodegenerative diseases. Pharmacol Ther 158:52–62. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2015.11.012) [pharmthera.2015.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2015.11.012)
- Gibson GR (1998) Dietary modulation of the human gut microflora using prebiotics. Br J Nutr 80(4): S209–S212
- Gibson GR, Beatty ER, Wang X, Cummings JH (1995) Selective stimulation of bifidobacteria in the human colon by oligofructose and inulin. Gastroenterology 108(4):975–982
- Gillet R, Jeannesson P, Sefraoui H, Arnould-Guerin ML, Kirkiacharian S, Jardillier JC, Pieri F (1998) Piperazine derivatives of butyric acid as differentiating agents in human leukemic cells. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 41(3):252–255
- Goel A, Eckhardt TH, Puri P, de Jong A, Branco Dos Santos F, Giera M, Fusetti F, de Vos WM, Kok J, Poolman B, Molenaar D, Kuipers OP, Teusink B (2015) Protein costs do not explain evolution of metabolic strategies and regulation of ribosomal content: does protein investment explain an anaerobic bacterial Crabtree effect? Mol Microbiol 97(1):77–92
- Goossens D, Jonkers D, Russel M, Stobberingh E, Van Den Bogaard A, StockbrUgger R (2003) The effect of Lactobacillus plantarum 299v on the bacterial composition and metabolic activity in faeces of healthy volunteers: a placebo-controlled study on the onset and duration of effects. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 18 (5):495–505
- Gosalbes MJ, Llop S, Valles Y, Moya A, Ballester F, Francino MP (2013) Meconium microbiota types dominated by lactic acid or enteric bacteria are

differentially associated with maternal eczema and respiratory problems in infants. Clin Exp Allergy 43 (2):198–211. doi:[10.1111/cea.12063](https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.12063)

- Gueimonde M, Sanchez B, GdLR-G C, Margolles A (2013) Antibiotic resistance in probiotic bacteria. Front Microbiol 4:202. doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2013.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00202) [00202](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00202)
- Guerrini S, Mangani S, Granchi L, Vincenzini M (2002) Biogenic amine production by Oenococcus oeni. Curr Microbiol 44(5):374–378
- Guidone A, Zotta T, Ross R, Stanton C, Rea M, Parente E, Ricciardi A (2014) Functional properties of Lactobacillus plantarum strains: a multivariate screening study. Lwt-Food Sci Technol 56:69–76
- Guilloteau P, Martin L, Eeckhaut V, Ducatelle R, Zabielski R, Van Immerseel F (2010) From the gut to the peripheral tissues: the multiple effects of butyrate. Nutr Res Rev 23(2):366–384
- Haakensen M, Pittet V, Ziola B (2011) Reclassification of Paralactobacillus selangorensis Leisner et al. 2000 as Lactobacillus selangorensis comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61(Pt 12):2979–2983
- Hammes WP, Hertel C (2006) The genera Lactobacillus and Carnobacterium. In: Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer K-H, Stackebrandt E (eds) The Prokaryotes, vol 4. Springer Science & Business Media, New York, pp 320–403
- Hammes WP, Vogel RF (1995) The genus Lactobacillus. In: Wood BJB, Holzapfel WE (eds) The genera of lactic acid bacteria, vol 2. Chapman Hall, London, pp 19–54
- Hara H, Haga S, Aoyama Y, Kiriyama S (1999) Shortchain fatty acids suppress cholesterol synthesis in rat liver and intestine. J Nutr 129(5):942–948
- Harmsen HJ, Elfferich P, Schut F, Welling GW (1999) A 16S rRNA-targeted probe for detection of lactobacilli and enterococci in faecal samples by fluorescent in situ hybridization. Microb Ecol Health Dis 11:3–12
- Hayashi H, Takahashi R, Nishi T, Sakamoto M, Benno Y (2005) Molecular analysis of jejunal, ileal, caecal and recto-sigmoidal human colonic microbiota using 16S rRNA gene libraries and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism. J Med Microbiol 54 (Pt 11):1093–1101
- Heilig HG, Zoetendal EG, Vaughan EE, Marteau P, Akkermans AD, de Vos WM (2002) Molecular diversity of Lactobacillus spp. and other lactic acid bacteria in the human intestine as determined by specific amplification of 16S ribosomal DNA. Appl Environ Microbiol 68(1):114–123
- Hida M, Aiba Y, Sawamura S, Suzuki N, Satoh T, Koga Y (1996) Inhibition of the accumulation of uremic toxins in the blood and their precursors in the feces after oral administration of Lebenin, a lactic acid bacteria preparation, to uremic patients undergoing hemodialysis. Nephron 74(2):349–355
- Hijova E, Chmelarova A (2007) Short chain fatty acids and colonic health. Bratisl Lek Listy 108 (8):354–358
- Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, Morelli L, Canani RB, Flint HJ, Salminen S, Calder PC, Sanders ME (2014) Expert consensus document. The international scientific association for probiotics and prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 11(8):506–514. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66) [1038/nrgastro.2014.66](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66)
- Holdeman LV, Good IJ, Moore WE (1976) Human fecal flora: variation in bacterial composition within individuals and a possible effect of emotional stress. Appl Environ Microbiol 31(3):359–375
- Hooper LV, Wong MH, Thelin A, Hansson L, Falk PG, Gordon JI (2001) Molecular analysis of commensal host-microbial relationships in the intestine. Science 291(5505):881–884
- Hoque R, Farooq A, Ghani A, Gorelick F, Mehal WZ (2014) Lactate reduces liver and pancreatic injury in Toll-like receptor- and inflammasome-mediated inflammation via GPR81-mediated suppression of innate immunity. Gastroenterology 146 (7):1763–1774. doi[:10.1053/j.gastro.2014.03.014](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2014.03.014)
- Hoskins LC, Boulding ET (1981) Mucin degradation in human colon ecosystems. Evidence for the existence and role of bacterial subpopulations producing glycosidases as extracellular enzymes. J Clin Invest 67(1):163–172
- Hu X, Wang T, Li W, Jin F, Wang L (2013) Effects of NS Lactobacillus strains on lipid metabolism of rats fed a high-cholesterol diet. Lipids Health Dis 12:67
- Huang DB, DuPont HL (2005) Rifaximin–a novel antimicrobial for enteric infections. J Infect 50(2):97–106
- Hughes R, Magee EA, Bingham S (2000) Protein degradation in the large intestine: relevance to colorectal cancer. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol 1(2):51–58
- Hutt P, Shchepetova J, Loivukene K, Kullisaar T, Mikelsaar M (2006) Antagonistic activity of probiotic lactobacilli and bifidobacteria against entero- and uropathogens. J Appl Microbiol 100(6):1324–1332
- Hutt P, Andreson H, Kullisaar T, Vihalemm T, Unt E, Kals J, Kampus P, Zilmer M, Mikelsaar M (2009) Effects of a synbiotic product on blood antioxidative activity in subjects colonized with Helicobacter pylori. Lett Appl Microbiol 48(6):797–800
- Hutt P, Koll P, Štšepetova J, Alvarez B, Mandar R, Krogh-Andersen K, Marcotte H, Hammarstrom L, Mikelsaar M (2011) Safety and persistence of orally administered human Lactobacillus sp. strains in healthy adults. Benefic Microbes 2(1):79-90. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2010.0023) [3920/BM2010.0023](https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2010.0023)
- Hutt P, Songisepp E, Ratsep M, Mahlapuu R, Kilk K, Mikelsaar M (2015) Impact of probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum TENSIA in different dairy products on anthropometric and blood biochemical indices of healthy adults. Benefic Microbes 6(3):233–243
- Huttenhower C, Haley EM, Hibbs MA, Dumeaux V, Barrett DR, Coller HA, Troyanskaya OG (2009) Exploring the human genome with functional maps. Genome Res 19(6):1093–1106
- Ito M, Kimura M, Deguchi Y, Miyamori-Watabe A, Yajima T, Kan T (1993) Effects of transgalactosylated disaccharides on the human intestinal microflora and their metabolism. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol 39:279–288
- Jacobsen CN, Rosenfeldt Nielsen V, Hayford AE, Moller PL, Michaelsen KF, Paerregaard A, Sandstrom B, Tvede M, Jakobsen M (1999) Screening of probiotic activities of forty-seven strains of Lactobacillus spp. by in vitro techniques and evaluation of the colonization ability of five selected strains in humans. Appl Environ Microbiol 65(11):4949–4956
- Jauhiainen T, Vapaatalo H, Poussa T, Kyronpalo S, Rasmussen M, Korpela R (2005) Lactobacillus helveticus fermented milk lowers blood pressure in hypertensive subjects in 24-h ambulatory blood pressure measurement. Am J Hypertens 18(12 Pt 1):1600–1605
- Jauhiainen A, Nerman O, Michailidis G, Jornsten R (2012) Transcriptional and metabolic data integration and modeling for identification of active pathways. Biostatistics 13(4):748–761. doi[:10.1093/biostatistics/kxs016](https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxs016)
- Jones DP (2006) Redefining oxidative stress. Antioxid Redox Signal 8(9–10):1865–1879
- Jones ML, Martoni CJ, Prakash S (2012) Cholesterol lowering and inhibition of sterol absorption by Lactobacillus reuteri NCIMB 30242: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Clin Nutr 66(11):1234–1241. doi[:10.1038/ejcn.2012.126](https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2012.126)
- Jost T, Lacroix C, Braegger CP, Chassard C (2012) New insights in gut microbiota establishment in healthy breast fed neonates. PLoS ONE 7(8):e44595. doi[:10.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044595) [1371/journal.pone.0044595](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044595)
- Jung HK, Lee KE, Chu SH, Yi SY (2001) Reactive oxygen species activity, mucosal lipoperoxidation and glutathione in Helicobacter pylori-infected gastric mucosa. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 16:1336–1340
- Kaila M, Isolauri E, Soppi E, Virtanen E, Laine S, Arvilommi H (1992) Enhancement of the circulating antibody secreting cell response in human diarrhea by a human Lactobacillus strain. Pediatr Res 32 (2):141–144
- Kaila M, Isolauri E, Sepp E, Mikelsaar M, Salminen S (1998) Fecal recovery of a human Lactobacillus strain (ATCC 53103) during dietary therapy of rotavirus diarrhea in infants. Bioscience Microflora 17 (2):149–151
- Kandler O, Weiss N (1986) Regular non-sporing grampositive rods. In: Sneath PH, Mair NS, Sharpe ME (eds) Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, vol 2. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, pp 1209–1234
- Kanherkar RR, Bhatia-Dey N, Csoka AB (2014) Epigenetics across the human lifespan. Front Cell Dev Biol 2:49
- Kant R, Blom J, Palva A, Siezen RJ, de Vos WM (2011) Comparative genomics of Lactobacillus. Microb Biotechnol 4(3):323–332
- Kao Y, Liu Y, Shyu Y (2007) Identification of Lactobacillus spp. in probiotic products by real-time PCR and melting curve analysis. Food Res Int 40:71–79
- Kapil V, Weitzberg E, Lundberg JO, Ahluwalia A (2014) Clinical evidence demonstrating the utility of inorganic nitrate in cardiovascular health. Nitric Oxide 38:45–57. doi:[10.1016/j.niox.2014.03.162](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.niox.2014.03.162)
- Karami N (2007) Antibiotic resistance and fitness of Escherichia coli in the infantile commensal microbiota. Sahlgrenska Academy, Göteborg University, Gothenburg
- Karvicoka J, Kohajdova Z (2005) Biogenic amines in food. Chem Pap 59:70–79
- Kau AL, Ahern PP, Griffin NW, Goodman AL, Gordon JI (2011) Human nutrition, the gut microbiome and the immune system. Nature 474(7351):327–336
- Khailova L, Dvorak K, Arganbright KM, Halpern MD, Kinouchi T, Yajima M, Dvorak B (2009) Bifidobacterium bifidum improves intestinal integrity in a rat model of necrotizing enterocolitis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 297(5): G940–G949
- Khailova L, Mount Patrick SK, Arganbright KM, Halpern MD, Kinouchi T, Dvorak B (2010) Bifidobacterium bifidum reduces apoptosis in the intestinal epithelium in necrotizing enterocolitis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 299(5):G1118–G1127
- Kim Y, Kim SH, Whang KY, Kim YJ, Oh S (2008) Inhibition of Escherichia coli O157:H7 attachment by interactions between lactic acid bacteria and intestinal epithelial cells. J Microbiol Biotechnol 18 (7):1278–1285
- Klaenhammer TR, Altermann E, Pfeiler E, Buck BL, Goh YJ, O'Flaherty S, Barrangou R, Duong T (2008) Functional genomics of probiotic Lactobacilli. J Clin Gastroenterol 42(Suppl 3 Pt 2):S160–S162
- Koll P, Mandar R, Smidt I, Hutt P, Truusalu K, Mikelsaar RH, Shchepetova J, Krogh-Andersen K, Marcotte H, Hammarstrom L, Mikelsaar M (2010) Screening and evaluation of human intestinal lactobacilli for the development of novel gastrointestinal probiotics. Curr Microbiol 61(6):560–566
- Korakli M, Pavlovic M, Ganzle MG, Vogel RF (2003) Exopolysaccharide and kestose production by Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis LTH2590. Appl Environ Microbiol 69(4):2073–2079
- Koren O, Spor A, Felin J, Fak F, Stombaugh J, Tremaroli V, Behre CJ, Knight R, Fagerberg B, Ley RE, Backhed F (2011) Human oral, gut, and plaque microbiota in patients with atherosclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108(Suppl 1):4592–4598. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011383107) [1073/pnas.1011383107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011383107)
- Korhonen H (2002) Technology options for new nutritional concepts. J Dairy Technol 55:79–88
- Korhonen R, Korpela R, Saxelin M, Maki M, Kankaanranta H, Moilanen E (2001) Induction of nitric oxide synthesis by probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in J774 macrophages and human T84 intestinal epithelial cells. Inflammation 25 (4):223–232
- Korpela K, Salonen A, Virta LJ, Kekkonen RA, Forslund K, Bork P, de Vos WM (2016) Intestinal

microbiome is related to lifetime antibiotic use in Finnish pre-school children. Nat Commun 7:10410. doi[:10.1038/ncomms10410](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10410)

- Kralj S, Stripling E, Sanders P, van Geel-Schutten GH, Dijkhuizen L (2005) Highly hydrolytic reuteransucrase from probiotic Lactobacillus reuteri strain ATCC 55730. Appl Environ Microbiol 71 (7):3942–3950
- Kullisaar T, Zilmer M, Mikelsaar M, Vihalemm T, Annuk H, Kairane C, Kilk A (2002) Two antioxidative lactobacilli strains as promising probiotics. Int J Food Microbiol 72(3):215–224
- Kullisaar T, Songisepp E, Mikelsaar M, Zilmer K, Vihalemm T, Zilmer M (2003) Antioxidative probiotic fermented goats' milk decreases oxidative stressmediated atherogenicity in human subjects. Br J Nutr 90(2):449–456
- Kullisaar T, Songisepp E, Aunapuu M, Kilk K, Arend A, Mikelsaar M, Rehema A, Zilmer M (2010a) Complete glutathione system in probiotic Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3. Prikl Biokhim Mikrobiol 46 (5):527–531
- Kullisaar T, Songisepp E, Aunapuu M, Kilk K, Arend A, Mikelsaar M, Rehema A, Zilmer M (2010b) Complete glutathione system in probiotic Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3. Appl Biochem Micro 46:481–486
- Kumar A, Wu H, Collier-Hyams LS, Hansen JM, Li T, Yamoah K, Pan ZQ, Jones DP, Neish AS (2007) Commensal bacteria modulate cullin-dependent signaling via generation of reactive oxygen species. Embo J 26(21):4457–4466
- Kumar H, Lund R, Laiho A, Lundelin K, Ley RE, Isolauri E, Salminen S (2014) Gut microbiota as an epigenetic regulator: pilot study based on wholegenome methylation analysis. mBio 5(6): e02113–e02114
- Kwon HS, Yang EH, Yeon SW, Kang BH, Kim TY (2004) Rapid identification of probiotic Lactobacillus species by multiplex PCR using species-specific primers based on the region extending from 16S rRNA through 23S rRNA. FEMS Microbiol Lett 239 (2):267–275
- Ladero V, Rattray FP, Mayo B, Martin MC, Fernandez M, Alvarez MA (2011) Sequencing and transcriptional analysis of the biosynthesis gene cluster of putrescine-producing Lactococcus lactis. Appl Environ Microbiol 77(18):6409–6418
- Larque E, Sabater-Molina M, Zamora S (2007) Biological significance of dietary polyamines. Nutrition 23 (1):87–95
- Latham T, Mackay L, Sproul D, Karim M, Culley J, Harrison DJ, Hayward L, Langridge-Smith P, Gilbert N, Ramsahoye BH (2012) Lactate, a product of glycolytic metabolism, inhibits histone deacetylase activity and promotes changes in gene expression. Nucleic Acids Res 40(11):4794–4803. doi:[10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks066) [nar/gks066](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks066)
- Lavizzari T, Breccia M, Bover-Cid S, Vidal-Carou MC, Veciana-Nogues MT (2010) Histamine, cadaverine,

and putrescine produced in vitro by enterobacteriaceae and pseudomonadaceae isolated from spinach. J Food Prot 73(2):385–389

- Le Roy CI, Stšepetova J, Sepp E, Songisepp E, Claus SP, Mikelsaar M (2015) New insights into the impact of Lactobacillus population on host-bacteria metabolic interplay. Oncotarget 6(31):30545–30556. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5906) [18632/oncotarget.5906](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5906)
- Lee J, Kim Y, Yun HS, Kim JG, Oh S, Kim SH (2010) Genetic and proteomic analysis of factors affecting serum cholesterol reduction by Lactobacillus acidophilus A4. Appl Environ Microbiol 76(14):4829–4835
- Leitch EC, Walker AW, Duncan SH, Holtrop G, Flint HJ (2007) Selective colonization of insoluble substrates by human faecal bacteria. Environ Microbiol 9 (3):667–679
- Lentsner AA, Lentsner HP, Mikelsaar ME, Shilov VM, Lizko NN, Syrykh GD, Legenkov VI (1981) Examination of the digestive lactoflora of soyuz-13 and salyut-4 crew-members. Kosm Biol Aviakosm Med 15(4):39–43
- Levine B, Kroemer G (2008) Autophagy in the pathogenesis of disease. Cell 132(1):27–42. doi:[10.1016/j.cell.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.12.018) [2007.12.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.12.018)
- Levine J, Ellis CJ, Furne JK, Springfield J, Levitt MD (1998) Fecal hydrogen sulfide production in ulcerative colitis. Am J Gastroenterol 93(1):83–87
- Li N, Russell WM, Douglas-Escobar M, Hauser N, Lopez M, Neu J (2009) Live and heat-killed Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG: effects on proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines/chemokines in gastrostomy-fed infant rats. Pediatr Res 66 (2):203–207
- Lin TY, Lin CW, Wang YJ (2002) Linoleic acid Isomerase Activity in Enzyme Extracts from Lactobacillus Acidophilus and Propionibacterium Freudenreichii ssp. Shermanii. J Food Sci 67:1502–1505
- Lin PW, Nasr TR, Berardinelli AJ, Kumar A, Neish AS (2008) The probiotic Lactobacillus GG may augment intestinal host defense by regulating apoptosis and promoting cytoprotective responses in the developing murine gut. Pediatr Res 64(5):511–516
- Lin PW, Myers LE, Ray L, Song SC, Nasr TR, Berardinelli AJ, Kundu K, Murthy N, Hansen JM, Neish AS (2009) Lactobacillus rhamnosus blocks inflammatory signaling in vivo via reactive oxygen species generation. Free Radic Biol Med 47 (8):1205–1211
- Lindgren SE, Dobrogosz WJ (1990) Antagonistic activities of lactic acid bacteria in food and feed fermentations. FEMS Microbiol Rev 7(1–2):149–163
- Ling WH, Korpela R, Mykkanen H, Salminen S, Hanninen O (1994) Lactobacillus strain GG supplementation decreases colonic hydrolytic and reductive enzyme activities in healthy female adults. J Nutr 124 $(1):18-23$
- Liong MT, Shah NP (2005a) Acid and bile tolerance and cholesterol removal ability of lactobacilli strains. J Dairy Sci 88(1):55–66
- Liong MT, Shah NP (2005b) Production of organic acids from fermentation of mannitol, fructooligosaccharide and inulin by a cholesterol removing Lactobacillus acidophilus strain. J Appl Microbiol 99(4):783–793
- Liu C, Wu J, Zhu J, Kuei C, Yu J, Shelton J, Sutton SW, Li X, Yun SJ, Mirzadegan T, Mazur C, Kamme F, Lovenberg TW (2009) Lactate inhibits lipolysis in fat cells through activation of an orphan G-protein-coupled receptor, GPR81. J Biol Chem 284 (5):2811–2822. doi[:10.1074/jbc.M806409200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M806409200)
- Lodinova-Zadnikova R, Sonnenborn U (1997) Effect of preventive administration of a nonpathogenic Escherichia coli strain on the colonization of the intestine with microbial pathogens in newborn infants. Biol Neonate 71(4):224–232
- Lodinova-Zadnikova R, Bartakova Z, Tlaskalova H (1992) The effect of oral colonization by non-pathogenic E. coli on the immune response in neonates and possibilities of its use in the prevention of nosocomial infections in children at risk. Cesk Epidemiol Mikrobiol Imunol 42(3):126–132
- Loubinoux J, Bronowicki JP, Pereira IA, Mougenel JL, Faou AE (2002) Sulfate-reducing bacteria in human feces and their association with inflammatory bowel diseases. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 40(2):107–112
- Louis P, Hold GL, Flint HJ (2014) The gut microbiota, bacterial metabolites and colorectal cancer. Nat Rev Microbiol 12(10):661–672
- Lozupone CA, Stombaugh JI, Gordon JI, Jansson JK, Knight R (2012) Diversity, stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. Nature 489(7415):220–230
- Macfarlane S, Woodmansey EJ, Macfarlane GT (2005) Colonization of mucin by human intestinal bacteria and establishment of biofilm communities in a two-stage continuous culture system. Appl Environ Microbiol 71(11):7483–7492
- Mack DR, Lebel S (2004) Role of probiotics in the modulation of intestinal infections and inflammation. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 20(1):22–26
- Magee EA, Richardson CJ, Hughes R, Cummings JH (2000) Contribution of dietary protein to sulfide production in the large intestine: an in vitro and a controlled feeding study in humans. Am J Clin Nutr 72 (6):1488–1494
- Maggiora M, Bologna M, Ceru MP, Possati L, Angelucci A, Cimini A, Miglietta A, Bozzo F, Margiotta C, Muzio G, Canuto RA (2004) An overview of the effect of linoleic and conjugated-linoleic acids on the growth of several human tumor cell lines. Int J Cancer 112(6):909–919
- Mahowald MA, Rey FE, Seedorf H, Turnbaugh PJ, Fulton RS, Wollam A, Shah N, Wang C, Magrini V, Wilson RK, Cantarel BL, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B, Crock LW, Russell A, Verberkmoes NC, Hettich RL, Gordon JI (2009) Characterizing a model human gut microbiota composed of members of its two dominant bacterial phyla. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106(14):5859–5864
- Makarova K, Slesarev A, Wolf Y, Sorokin A, Mirkin B, Koonin E, Pavlov A, Pavlova N, Karamychev V,

Polouchine N, Shakhova V, Grigoriev I, Lou Y, Rohksar D, Lucas S, Huang K, Goodstein DM, Hawkins T, Plengvidhya V, Welker D, Hughes J, Goh Y, Benson A, Baldwin K, Lee JH, Diaz-Muniz I, Dosti B, Smeianov V, Wechter W, Barabote R, Lorca G, Altermann E, Barrangou R, Ganesan B, Xie Y, Rawsthorne H, Tamir D, Parker C, Breidt F, Broadbent J, Hutkins R, O'Sullivan D, Steele J, Unlu G, Saier M, Klaenhammer T, Richardson P, Kozyavkin S, Weimer B, Mills D (2006) Comparative genomics of the lactic acid bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103(42):15611–15616

- Malinen E, Kassinen A, Rinttila T, Palva A (2003) Comparison of real-time PCR with SYBR Green I or 5- '-nuclease assays and dot-blot hybridization with rDNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes in quantification of selected faecal bacteria. Microbiology 149 (Pt 1):269–277
- Mandal S, Mandal A, Johansson HE, Orjalo AV, Park MH (2013) Depletion of cellular polyamines, spermidine and spermine, causes a total arrest in translation and growth in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110(6):2169–2174
- Mandar R, Mikelsaar M (1996) Transmission of mother's microflora to the newborn at birth. Biol Neonate 69 (1):30–35
- Mandar R, Lijvukene K, Huftt P, Karki T, Mikelsaar M (2001) Antibacterial susceptibility of intestinal lactobacilli of healthy children. Scand J Infect Dis 33 (5):344–349
- Marquet P, Duncan SH, Chassard C, Bernalier-Donadille-A, Flint HJ (2009) Lactate has the potential to promote hydrogen sulphide formation in the human colon. FEMS Microbiol Lett 299(2):128–134
- Marteau P (2013) Butyrate-producing bacteria as pharmabiotics for inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 62(12):1673. doi:[10.1136/gutjnl-2012-304240](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2012-304240)
- Martinez RM, Hulten KG, Bui U, Clarridge JE 3rd (2014) Molecular analysis and clinical significance of Lactobacillus spp. recovered from clinical specimens presumptively associated with disease. J Clin Microbiol 52(1):30–36
- Maruo T, Sakamoto M, Toda T, Benno Y (2006) Monitoring the cell number of Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris FC in human feces by real-time PCR with strain-specific primers designed using the RAPD technique. Int J Food Microbiol 110(1):69–76
- Mathur S, Singh R (2005) Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria–a review. Int J Food Microbiol 105 (3):281–295
- Matsuki T, Watanabe K, Fujimoto J, Takada T, Tanaka R (2004) Use of 16S rRNA gene-targeted group-specific primers for real-time PCR analysis of predominant bacteria in human feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 70 (12):7220–7228
- Matsumoto M, Kurihara M (2007) Probiotics-induced increase of large intestinal luminal polyamine concentration may promote longevity. Med Hypotheses 4(77):469–472
- Matsumoto M, Kurihara S, Kibe R, Ashida H, Benno Y (2011) Longevity in mice is promoted by probioticinduced suppression of colonic senescence dependent on upregulation of gut bacterial polyamine production. PLoS ONE 6(8):e23652
- Mattarelli P, Holzapfel W, Franz CM, Endo A, Felis GE, Hammes W, Pot B, Dicks L, Dellaglio F (2014) Recommended minimal standards for description of new taxa of the genera Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus and related genera. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64 (Pt 4):1434–1451
- McFarland LV (2000) Normal flora: diversity and functions. Microb Ecol Health Dis 12:193–218
- McIntosh FM, Shingfield KJ, Devillard E, Russell WR, Wallace RJ (2009) Mechanism of conjugated linoleic acid and vaccenic acid formation in human faecal suspensions and pure cultures of intestinal bacteria. Microbiology 155(Pt 1):285–294
- McKay JA, Mathers JC (2011) Diet induced epigenetic changes and their implications for health. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 202(2):103–118
- McNulty NP, Yatsunenko T, Hsiao A, Faith JJ, Muegge BD, Goodman AL, Henrissat B, Oozeer R, Cools-Portier S, Gobert G, Chervaux C, Knights D, Lozupone CA, Knight R, Duncan AE, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, Newgard CB, Heath AC, Gordon JI (2011) The impact of a consortium of fermented milk strains on the gut microbiome of gnotobiotic mice and monozygotic twins. Sci Transl Med 3(106):106ra106
- Meisel H, Bockelmann W (1999) Bioactive peptides encrypted in milk proteins: proteolytic activation and thropho-functional properties. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 76(1–4):207–215
- Mensink RP, Aro A, Den Hond E, German JB, Griffin BA, ten Meer HU, Mutanen M, Pannemans D, Stahl W (2003) PASSCLAIM – diet-related cardiovascular disease. Eur J Nutr 42(Suppl 1):I6–I27
- Miettinen M, Vuopio-Varkila J, Varkila K (1996) Production of human tumor necrosis factor alpha, interleukin-6, and interleukin-10 is induced by lactic acid bacteria. Infect Immun 64(12):5403–5405
- Mikelsaar M (1969) Lactobacilli in the fecal microflora by some nonifectious diseases of GI tract. Acad Dissertation, Tartu (in Russian)
- Mikelsaar M (1986) The possibilities of balanced coexistence in the system: organism and its microflora. Endocytobiosis Cell Res 3:150
- Mikelsaar M (2011) Human microbial ecology: lactobacilli, probiotics, selective decontamination. Anaerobe 17(6):463–467
- Mikelsaar ME, Lencner AA (1982) Mucosal lactoflora of gastrointestinal tract, in Mikrobielle Umwelt und/in antimikrobielle Massnahmen. Bd. 6. Mikroökologie des Magen-Darmkanals des Menschen (H. Bernhardt and M. Knoke, eds.), Leipzig. 89–96
- Mikelsaar M, Mändar R (1993) Development of individual lactic acid microflora in the human microbial ecosystem. In: Salminen S, von Wright A (eds) Lactic acid bacteria. Marcel Dekker, New York, p 23793
- Mikelsaar M, Zilmer M (2009) Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 – an antimicrobial and antioxidative probiotic. Microb Ecol Health Dis 21(1):1–27
- Mikelsaar ME, Türi ME, Lencner AA (1977) Possibilities of intestinal colonization with lactobacilli of white mice during several generations. Abstracts of Estonian III Congress of Epidemiologists, Microbiologists, Infectionists and Hygienists, Tallinn (in Russian):62–63
- Mikelsaar ME, Tjuri ME, Valjaots ME, Lencner AA (1984) Anaerobic lumen and mucosal microflora of the gastrointestinal tract. Nahrung 28(6–7):727–733
- Mikelsaar M, Sepp E, Kasesalu R, Kolts K (1989) Some considerations on the formation of normal human microflora during the first year of life. Wiss Z Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Univ Greifswald Med Reihe 38:27
- Mikelsaar M, Mändar R, Sepp E (1998) Lactic acid microflora in the human microbial ecosystem and its development. In: Salminen S, von Wright A (eds) Lactic acid bacteria. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 279–342
- Mikelsaar M, Annuk H, Štšepetova J (2002) Intestinal Lactobacilli of Estonian and Swedish Children. Microb Ecol Health Dis 14:75–80
- Mikelsaar M, Mändar R, Sepp E, Annuk H (2004) Human lactic acid microflora and its role in the welfare of the host. In: Salminen S, von Wright A (eds) Lactic acid bacteria, vol 139. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 453–506
- Mikelsaar M, Zilmer M, Kullisaar T, Songisepp E, Annuk H (2006) Mikroorganismi tüvi Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 kui antimikroobne ja antioksüdantne probiootikum. Estonian patent EE04580, Granted 15022006, Patent owner: University of Tartu
- Mikelsaar M, Hütt P, Kullisaar T, Zilmer K, Zilmer M (2008) Double benefit claims for antimicrobial and antioxidative probiotic. Microb Ecol Health Dis 20 (4):184–188
- Mikelsaar M, Stšepetova J, Hutt P, Kolk H, Sepp E, Loivukene K, Zilmer K, Zilmer M (2010) Intestinal Lactobacillus sp. is associated with some cellular and metabolic characteristics of blood in elderly people. Anaerobe 16(3):240–246
- Mikelsaar M, Songisepp E, Smidt I, Štšepetova J, Hütt P, Zilmer M, Truusalu K, Kilk K (2011a) Isolated Lactobacillus plantarum strain Inducia DSM 21379 as probiotic that enhances natural immunity and food products and medicinal preparations comprising it. - European Patent EP2288360, Granted 14 Dec 2011 Patent owner: Bio-Competence Centre of Healthy Dairy Products
- Mikelsaar ML, Lazar V, Onderdonk AB, Donelli G (2011b) Do probiotic preparations for humans really have efficacy? Microb Ecol Health Dis 22: 10128
- Mikelsaar M, Zilmer M, Kullisaar T, Annuk H, Songisepp E (2012a) Strain of micro-organism Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 as novel antimicrobial and antioxidative probiotic. US patent US7244424, Filed 21 June 2012, Granted 17 July 2007
- Mikelsaar M, Zilmer M, Kullisaar T, Annuk H, Songisepp E (2012b) Strain of micro-organism Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 as novel antioxidative probiotic. European patent EP1401457, Filed 21 June 2012, Granted 01 Dec 2010
- Mikelsaar M, Songisepp E, Rätsep M, Vallas M, Hütt P, Smidt I, Truusalu K, Sepp E, Mikelsaar R-H, Kilk K, Štšepetova J, Kõljalg S (2014) Lactobacillus plantarum INDUCIA® strain DSM 21379 as hypocholesterolemic agent and antimicrobial agent against Clostridium difficile. Patent application PCT/EE2014/00007, Filed 31 Oct 2014
- Mikelsaar M, Sepp E, Štšepetova J, Hütt P, Zilmer K, Kullisaar T, Zilmer M (2015) Regulation of plasma lipid profile by Lactobacillus fermentum (probiotic strain ME-3 DSM14241) in a randomised controlled trial of clinically healthy adults. BMC Nutrition 1:1–11. doi[:10.1186/s40795-015-0020-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40795-015-0020-z)
- Million M, Raoult D (2013) The role of the manipulation of the gut microbiota in obesity. Curr Infect Dis Rep 15(1):25–30
- Million M, Angelakis E, Maraninchi M, Henry M, Giorgi R, Valero R, Vialettes B, Raoult D (2013) Correlation between body mass index and gut concentrations of Lactobacillus reuteri, Bifidobacterium animalis, Methanobrevibacter smithii and Escherichia coli. Int J Obes (Lond) 37 (11):1460–1466. doi[:10.1038/ijo.2013.20](https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2013.20)
- Milovic V (2001) Polyamines in the gut lumen: bioavailability and biodistribution. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 13(9):1021–1025
- Minois N, Carmona-Gutierrez D, Madeo F (2011) Polyamines in aging and disease. Aging (Albany NY) 3(8):716–732
- Miura S, Saku K (2008) Ezetimibe, a selective inhibitor of the transport of cholesterol. Intern Med 47 (13):1165–1170
- Moinard C, Cynober L, de Brandt J-P (2004) Polyamines: metabolism and implications in human diseases. Clin Nutr 24:184–197
- Moles L, Gomez M, Heilig H, Bustos G, Fuentes S, de Vos W, Fernandez L, Rodriguez JM, Jimenez E (2013) Bacterial diversity in meconium of preterm neonates and evolution of their fecal microbiota during the first month of life. PLoS ONE 8(6):e66986. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0066986](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066986)
- Mshvildadze M, Neu J, Shuster J, Theriaque D, Li N, Mai V (2010) Intestinal microbial ecology in premature infants assessed with non-culture-based techniques. J Pediatr 156(1):20–25. doi:[10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.06.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.06.063) [063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.06.063)
- Murray CS, Tannock GW, Simon MA, Harmsen HJ, Welling GW, Custovic A, Woodcock A (2005) Fecal microbiota in sensitized wheezy and non-sensitized non-wheezy children: a nested case–control study. Clin Exp Allergy 35(6):741–745
- Naaber P, Smidt I, Stšepetova J, Brilene T, Annuk H, Mikelsaar M (2004) Inhibition of Clostridium difficile

strains by intestinal Lactobacillus species. J Med Microbiol 53(Pt 6):551–554

- Nakovich L (2003) Analysis of biogenic amines by GC/FID and GC/MS. [http://www.scholarlibvtedu/the](http://www.scholarlibvtedu/theses/available/etd-09122003-131120/unrestricted/LN_Thesis.pdf) [ses/available/etd-09122003-131120/unrestricted/LN_](http://www.scholarlibvtedu/theses/available/etd-09122003-131120/unrestricted/LN_Thesis.pdf) [Thesis.pdf](http://www.scholarlibvtedu/theses/available/etd-09122003-131120/unrestricted/LN_Thesis.pdf)
- Neish AS, Gewirtz AT, Zeng H, Young AN, Hobert ME, Karmali V, Rao AS, Madara JL (2000) Prokaryotic regulation of epithelial responses by inhibition of IkappaB-alpha ubiquitination. Science 289 (5484):1560–1563
- Nelson KE, Weinstock GM, Highlander SK, Worley KC, Creasy HH, Wortman JR, Rusch DB, Mitreva M, Sodergren E, Chinwalla AT, Feldgarden M, Gevers D, Haas BJ, Madupu R, Ward DV, Birren BW, Gibbs RA, Methe B, Petrosino JF, Strausberg RL, Sutton GG, White OR, Wilson RK, Durkin S, Giglio MG, Gujja S, Howarth C, Kodira CD, Kyrpides N, Mehta T, Muzny DM, Pearson M, Pepin K, Pati A, Qin X, Yandava C, Zeng Q, Zhang L, Berlin AM, Chen L, Hepburn TA, Johnson J, McCorrison J, Miller J, Minx P, Nusbaum C, Russ C, Sykes SM, Tomlinson CM, Young S, Warren WC, Badger J, Crabtree J, Markowitz VM, Orvis J, Cree A, Ferriera S, Fulton LL, Fulton RS, Gillis M, Hemphill LD, Joshi V, Kovar C, Torralba M, Wetterstrand KA, Abouellleil A, Wollam AM, Buhay CJ, Ding Y, Dugan S, FitzGerald MG, Holder M, Hostetler J, Clifton SW, Allen-Vercoe E, Earl AM, Farmer CN, Liolios K, Surette MG, Xu Q, Pohl C, Wilczek-Boney K, Zhu D (2010) A catalog of reference genomes from the human microbiome. Science 328 (5981):994–999
- Nicholson JK, Holmes E, Kinross J, Burcelin R, Gibson G, Jia W, Pettersson S (2012) Host-gut microbiota metabolic interactions. Science 336 (6086):1262–1267
- Nisoli E, Cozzi V, Carruba MO (2008) Amino acids and mitochondrial biogenesis. Am J Cardiol 101 (11A):22E–25E
- Oki K, Kudo Y, Watanabe K (2012) Lactobacillus saniviri sp. nov. and Lactobacillus senioris sp. nov., isolated from human faeces. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62 (Pt 3):601–607
- Ouwehand AC, Tiihonen K, Saarinen M, Putaala H, Rautonen N (2009) Influence of a combination of Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM and lactitol on healthy elderly: intestinal and immune parameters. Br J Nutr 101(3):367–375
- Patel RM, Denning PW (2013) Therapeutic use of prebiotics, probiotics, and postbiotics to prevent necrotizing enterocolitis: what is the current evidence? Clin Perinatol 40(1):11–25
- Patel RM, Myers LS, Kurundkar AR, Maheshwari A, Nusrat A, Lin PW (2012a) Probiotic bacteria induce maturation of intestinal claudin 3 expression and barrier function. Am J Pathol 180(2):626–635
- Patel S, Majumder A, Goyal A (2012b) Potentials of exopolysaccharides from lactic Acid bacteria. Indian J Microbiol 52(1):3–12
- Paul B, Barnes S, Demark-Wahnefried W, Morrow C, Salvador C, Skibola C, Tollefsbol TO (2015) Influences of diet and the gut microbiome on epigenetic modulation in cancer and other diseases. Clin Epigenetics 7:112
- Pegues DA, Ohl ME, Miller SI (2005) In principles and practice of infectious diseases, Salmonella species, including Salmonella Typhi. Elsevier Inc, Philadelphia, pp 2636–2654
- Penders J, Thijs C, Mommers M, Stobberingh EE, Dompeling E, Reijmerink NE, van den Brandt PA, Kerkhof M, Koppelman GH, Postma DS (2010) Intestinal lactobacilli and the DC-SIGN gene for their recognition by dendritic cells play a role in the aetiology of allergic manifestations. Microbiology 156(Pt 11):3298–3305. doi[:10.1099/mic.0.042069-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.042069-0)
- Pessione E (2012) Lactic acid bacteria contribution to gut microbiota complexity: lights and shadows. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2:86
- Pigeon RM, Cuesta EP, Gililliand SE (2002) Binding of free bile acids by cells of yogurt starter culture bacteria. J Dairy Sci 85(11):2705–2710
- Pomare EW, Branch WJ, Cummings JH (1985) Carbohydrate fermentation in the human colon and its relation to acetate concentrations in venous blood. J Clin Invest 75(5):1448–1454
- Pot B, Devriese LA, Hommez J, Miry C, Vandemeulebroecke K, Kersters K, Haesebrouck F (1994a) Characterization and identification of Vagococcus fluvialis strains isolated from domestic animals. J Appl Bacteriol 77(4): 362–369
- Pot B, Ludwig W, Kersters K, Schleifer KH (1994b) Taxonomy of Lactic acid bacteria: microbiology, genetics and applications. (De Vuyst L, Vandamme EJ, eds.) Blackie Academic & Professional, London, p 13–90
- Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, Nielsen T, Pons N, Levenez F, Yamada T, Mende DR, Li J, Xu J, Li S, Li D, Cao J, Wang B, Liang H, Zheng H, Xie Y, Tap J, Lepage P, Bertalan M, Batto JM, Hansen T, Le Paslier D, Linneberg A, Nielsen HB, Pelletier E, Renault P, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Turner K, Zhu H, Yu C, Li S, Jian M, Zhou Y, Li Y, Zhang X, Li S, Qin N, Yang H, Wang J, Brunak S, Dore J, Guarner F, Kristiansen K, Pedersen O, Parkhill J, Weissenbach J, Bork P, Ehrlich SD, Wang J (2010) A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 464(7285):59–65
- Qin J, Li Y, Cai Z, Li S, Zhu J, Zhang F, Liang S, Zhang W, Guan Y, Shen D, Peng Y, Zhang D, Jie Z, Wu W, Qin Y, Xue W, Li J, Han L, Lu D, Wu P, Dai Y, Sun X, Li Z, Tang A, Zhong S, Li X, Chen W, Xu R, Wang M, Feng Q, Gong M, Yu J, Zhang Y, Zhang M, Hansen T, Sanchez G, Raes J, Falony G, Okuda S, Almeida M, LeChatelier E, Renault P,

Pons N, Batto JM, Zhang Z, Chen H, Yang R, Zheng W, Li S, Yang H, Wang J, Ehrlich SD, Nielsen R, Pedersen O, Kristiansen K, Wang J (2012) A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. Nature 490 (7418):55–60

- Rajilic-Stojanovic M, de Vos WM (2014) The first 1000 cultured species of the human gastrointestinal microbiota. FEMS Microbiol Rev 38(5):996–1047
- Rajilic-Stojanovic M, Biagi E, Heilig HG, Kajander K, Kekkonen RA, Tims S, de Vos WM (2011) Global and deep molecular analysis of microbiota signatures in fecal samples from patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology 141(5):1792–1801
- Rätsep M, Naaber P, Kõljalg S, Shkut E, Sepp E (2014) Effect of Lactobacillus Strain on Clinical Isoletes of Clostridium difficile in vitro. J Prob Health 2:119
- Rautava S, Kalliomaki M, Isolauri E (2005) New therapeutic strategy for combating the increasing burden of allergic disease: Probiotics-A Nutrition, Allergy, Mucosal Immunology and Intestinal Microbiota (NAMI) Research Group report. J Allergy Clin Immunol 116(1):31–37. doi:[10.1016/j.jaci.2005.02.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2005.02.010) [010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2005.02.010)
- Rautava S, Collado MC, Salminen S, Isolauri E (2012) Probiotics modulate host-microbe interaction in the placenta and fetal gut: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Neonatology 102 (3):178–184. doi:[10.1159/000339182](https://doi.org/10.1159/000339182)
- Reid G, Hammond JA (2005) Probiotics. Some evidence of their effectiveness. Can Fam Physician 51:1487–1493
- Reid G, Charbonneau D, Erb J, Kochanowski B, Beuerman D, Poehner R, Bruce AW (2003) Oral use of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GR-1 and L. fermentum RC-14 significantly alters vaginal flora: randomized, placebo-controlled trial in 64 healthy women. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 35(2):131–134
- Reid G, Kim SO, Kohler GA (2006) Selecting, testing and understanding probiotic microorganisms. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 46(2):149–157
- Remely M, Aumueller E, Merold C, Dworzak S, Hippe B, Zanner J, Pointner A, Brath H, Haslberger AG (2014) Effects of short chain fatty acid producing bacteria on epigenetic regulation of FFAR3 in type 2 diabetes and obesity. Gene 537(1):85–92
- Requena T, Burton J, Matsuki T, Munro K, Simon MA, Tanaka R, Watanabe K, Tannock GW (2002) Identification, detection, and enumeration of human bifidobacterium species by PCR targeting the transaldolase gene. Appl Environ Microbiol 68 (5):2420–2427
- Reuter G (2001) The Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium microflora of the human intestine: composition and succession. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol 2(2):43–53
- Rey FE, Gonzalez MD, Cheng J, Wu M, Ahern PP, Gordon JI (2013) Metabolic niche of a prominent sulfate-reducing human gut bacterium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110(33):13582–13587
- Reyes A, Haynes M, Hanson N, Angly FE, Heath AC, Rohwer F, Gordon JI (2010) Viruses in the faecal microbiota of monozygotic twins and their mothers. Nature 466(7304):334–338
- Rhee HJ, Kim EJ, Lee JK (2007) Physiological polyamines: simple primordial stress molecules. J Cell Mol Med 11(4):685–703
- Rijkers GT, Bengmark S, Enck P, Haller D, Herz U, Kalliomaki M, Kudo S, Lenoir-Wijnkoop I, Mercenier A, Myllyluoma E, Rabot S, Rafter J, Szajewska H, Watzl B, Wells J, Wolvers D, Antoine JM (2010) Guidance for substantiating the evidence for beneficial effects of probiotics: current status and recommendations for future research. J Nutr 140 (3):671S–676S. doi:[10.3945/jn.109.113779](https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.109.113779)
- Rinttila T, Kassinen A, Malinen E, Krogius L, Palva A (2004) Development of an extensive set of 16S rDNAtargeted primers for quantification of pathogenic and indigenous bacteria in faecal samples by real-time PCR. J Appl Microbiol 97(6):1166–1177
- Rizkalla SW, Luo J, Kabir M, Chevalier A, Pacher N, Slama G (2000) Chronic consumption of fresh but not heated yogurt improves breath-hydrogen status and short-chain fatty acid profiles: a controlled study in healthy men with or without lactose maldigestion. Am J Clin Nutr 72(6):1474–1479
- Roager HM, Licht TR, Poulsen SK, Larsen TM, Bahl MI (2014) Microbial enterotypes, inferred by the prevotella-to-bacteroides ratio, remained stable during a 6-month randomized controlled diet intervention with the new nordic diet. Appl Environ Microbiol 80 (3):1142–1149
- Roediger WE, Babidge W (1997) Human colonocyte detoxification. Gut 41(6):731–734
- Roediger WE, Millard S (1995) Selective inhibition of fatty acid oxidation in colonocytes by ibuprofen: a cause of colitis? Gut 36(1):55–59
- Rööp T, Mändar H, Mikelsaar M, Mändar R (2014) Human microbiota biobank (HUMB) at university of Tartu, Estonia. 24th ECCMID, Barcelona, May 10–13: eP501
- Rossi M, Johnson DW, Morrison M, Pascoe E, Coombes JS, Forbes JM, McWhinney BC, Ungerer JP, Dimeski G, Campbell KL (2014) SYNbiotics Easing Renal failure by improving Gut microbiologY (SYN-ERGY): a protocol of placebo-controlled randomised cross-over trial. BMC Nephrol 15:106
- Roy J, Denovan-Wright EM, Linsdell P, Cowley EA (2006) Exposure to sodium butyrate leads to functional downregulation of calcium-activated potassium channels in human airway epithelial cells. Pflugers Arch 453(2):167–176
- Ruas-Madiedo P, Gueimonde M, Fernandez-Garcia M, de los Reyes-Gavilan CG, Margolles A (2008) Mucin degradation by Bifidobacterium strains isolated from the human intestinal microbiota. Appl Environ Microbiol 74(6):1936–1940
- Ruseler-van Embden JG, van Lieshout LM, Gosselink MJ, Marteau P (1995) Inability of Lactobacillus

casei strain GG, L. acidophilus, and Bifidobacterium bifidum to degrade intestinal mucus glycoproteins. Scand J Gastroenterol 30(7):675–680

- Ryan PM, Burdikova Z, Beresford T, Auty MA, Fitzgerald GF, Ross RP, Sheehan JJ, Stanton C (2015) Reduced-fat Cheddar and Swiss-type cheeses harboring exopolysaccharide-producing probiotic Lactobacillus mucosae DPC 6426. J Dairy Sci 98 (12):8531–8544
- Saarela M, Mogensen G, Fonden R, Matto J, Mattila-Sandholm T (2000) Probiotic bacteria: safety, functional and technological properties. J Biotechnol 84 (3):197–215
- Sagl V, Thaler R, Gesche A, Haslberger A (2007) New understanding of epigenetics and consequences for environmental health and sustainability. In: Sustainable food production and ethics EurSafe 2007: 7th congress of the European Society for Agriculture and Food Ethics, Vienna, Austria: 376–381
- Salvetti E, Torriani S, Felis GE (2012) The Genus Lactobacillus: a taxonomic update. Probiotics Antimicro Prot 4:217–226
- Salyers AA, Vercellotti JR, West SE, Wilkins TD (1977) Fermentation of mucin and plant polysaccharides by strains of Bacteroides from the human colon. Appl Environ Microbiol 33(2):319–322
- Sanders ME, Huis in't Veld J (1999) Bringing a probioticcontaining functional food to the market: microbiological, product, regulatory and labeling issues. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 76(1–4):293–315
- Sanders JM, Bucher JR, Peckham JC, Kissling GE, Hejtmancik MR, Chhabra RS (2009) Carcinogenesis studies of cresols in rats and mice. Toxicology 257 $(1-2):33-39$
- Sanders ME, Guarner F, Guerrant R, Holt PR, Quigley EM, Sartor RB, Sherman PM, Mayer EA (2013) An update on the use and investigation of probiotics in health and disease. Gut 62(5):787–796. doi:[10.1136/](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302504) [gutjnl-2012-302504](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302504)
- Sarbini SR, Rastall RA (2011) Prebiotics: metabolism, structure, and function. Funct Food Rev 3(3):93–106
- Sartor RB (2004) Therapeutic manipulation of the enteric microflora in inflammatory bowel diseases: antibiotics, probiotics, and prebiotics. Gastroenterology 126(6):1620–1633
- Satokari R, Gronroos T, Laitinen K, Salminen S, Isolauri E (2009) Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus DNA in the human placenta. Lett Appl Microbiol 48(1):8–12. doi[:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02475.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02475.x)
- Saulnier DMA, Hütt P, Mikelsaar M, Bosscher D, Gibson G, Kolida S (2007) Effects of a symbiotic on biomarkers of oxidative stress and fecal microbiota in healthy adults: results of a cross-over double-blind placebo controlled trial. Proc Nutr Soc 66:101A
- Savino F, Bailo E, Oggero R, Tullio V, Roana J, Carlone N, Cuffini AM, Silvestro L (2005) Bacterial counts of intestinal Lactobacillus species in infants with colic. Pediatr Allergy Immunol $16(1)$:72–75. doi[:10.1111/j.1399-3038.2005.00207.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3038.2005.00207.x)
- Scanlan PD, Shanahan F, O'Mahony C, Marchesi JR (2006) Culture-independent analyses of temporal variation of the dominant fecal microbiota and targeted bacterial subgroups in Crohn's disease. J Clin Microbiol 44 (11):3980–3988. doi[:10.1128/JCM.00312-06](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00312-06)
- Scanlan PD, Shanahan F, Marchesi JR (2009) Cultureindependent analysis of desulfovibrios in the human distal colon of healthy, colorectal cancer and polypectomized individuals. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 69(2):213–221
- Schiffrin EJ, Brassart D, Servin AL, Rochat F, Donnet-Hughes A (1997) Immune modulation of blood leukocytes in humans by lactic acid bacteria: criteria for strain selection. Am J Clin Nutr 66(2):515S–520S
- Schleifer KH, Ludwig W (1995) Phylogeny of the genus Lactobacillus and related genera. Syst Appl Microbiol 18:461–467
- Scholz-Ahrens KE, Ade P, Marten B, Weber P, Timm W, Acil Y, Gluer CC, Schrezenmeir J (2007) Prebiotics, probiotics, and synbiotics affect mineral absorption, bone mineral content, and bone structure. J Nutr 137 (3 Suppl 2):838S–846S
- Schultz M, Gottl C, Young RJ, Iwen P, Vanderhoof JA (2004) Administration of oral probiotic bacteria to pregnant women causes temporary infantile colonization. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 38(3):293–297
- Schulze J, Sonnenborn U (1995) Oral administration of a certain strain of live Escherichia coli for intestinal disorders? (Infection 23, [1995] 51–54). Infection 23 (3):184–188
- Sepp E, Loivukene K, Julge K, Voor T, Mikelsaar M (2013) The association of gut microbiota with body weight and body mass index in preschool children of Estonia. Microb Ecol Health Dis 24. doi:[10.3402/](https://doi.org/10.3402/mehd.v24i0.19231.eCollection 2013) [mehd.v24i0.19231.eCollection 2013](https://doi.org/10.3402/mehd.v24i0.19231.eCollection 2013)
- Sepp E, Mikelsaar M, Salminen S (1993) Effect of administration of Lactobacillus casei Strain GG on the Gastrointestinal Microbiota of Newborns. Microb Ecol Health Dis 6(6):309–314
- Sepp E, Julge K, Vasar M, Naaber P, Bjorksten B, Mikelsaar M (1997) Intestinal microflora of Estonian and Swedish infants. Acta Paediatr 86(9):956–961
- Sepp E, Naaber P, Voor T, Mikelsaar M, Björksten B (2000) Development of intestinal micro-flora during the first month of life in Estonian and Swedish infants. Microb Ecol Health Dis 12:22–26
- Sepp E, Voor T, Julge K, Lõivukene K, Björksten B, Mikelsaar M (2006) Is intestinal microbiota bound up with changing lifestyle? In: Mendez-Vilas A (ed) Modern multidisciplinary applied microbiology: exploiting microbes and their interactions. Wiley, Weinheim, pp 708–712
- Sepp E, Štšepetova J, Loivukene K, Truusalu K, Koljalg S, Naaber P, Mikelsaar M (2009) The occurrence of antimicrobial resistance and class 1 integrons among commensal Escherichia coli isolates from infants and elderly persons. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 8:34. doi[:10.1186/1476-0711-8-34](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-0711-8-34)
- Sharafedtinov KK, Plotnikova OA, Alexeeva RI, Sentsova TB, Songisepp E, Štšepetova J, Smidt I,

Mikelsaar M (2013) Hypocaloric diet supplemented with probiotic cheese improves body mass index and blood pressure indices of obese hypertensive patients–a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled pilot study. Nutr J 12:138

- Shenderov BA (2012) Gut indigenous microbiota and epigenetics. Microb Ecol Health Dis 23:17195
- Shenderov B, Midtvedt T (2014) Epigenomic programing: a future way to health? Microb Ecol Health Dis 25:24145
- Shinki T, Tanaka H, Takito J, Yamaguchi A, Nakamura Y, Yoshiki S, Suda T (1991) Putrescine is involved in the vitamin D action in chick intestine. Gastroenterology 100(1):113–122
- Sieber R, Collomb M, Aeschlimann A, Jelen P, Eyer H (2004) Impact of microbial cultures on conjugated linoleic acid in dairy products – a review. Int Dairy J 14:1–15
- Siigur U, Tamm A, Tammur R (1991) The faecal SCFA and lactose tolerance in lactose malabsorbes. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 3:321
- Smith EA, Macfarlane GT (1996) Enumeration of human colonic bacteria producing phenolic and indolic compounds: effects of pH, carbohydrate availability and retention time on dissimilatory aromatic amino acid metabolism. J Appl Bacteriol 81(3):288–302
- Snydman DR (2008) The safety of probiotics. Clin Infect Dis 46(Suppl 2):S104–S111; discussion S144–151
- Sobko T, Reinders CI, Jansson E, Norin E, Midtvedt T, Lundberg JO (2005) Gastrointestinal bacteria generate nitric oxide from nitrate and nitrite. Nitric Oxide 13 (4):272–278
- Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, Rodvold KA, Goldstein EJ, Baron EJ, O'Neill PJ, Chow AW, Dellinger EP, Eachempati SR, Gorbach S, Hilfiker M, May AK, Nathens AB, Sawyer RG, Bartlett JG (2010) Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 50(2):133–164. doi[:10.1086/649554](https://doi.org/10.1086/649554)
- Songisepp E, Kals J, Kullisaar T, Mandar R, Hutt P, Zilmer M, Mikelsaar M (2005) Evaluation of the functional efficacy of an antioxidative probiotic in healthy volunteers. Nutr J 4:22
- Songisepp E, Hutt P, Ratsep M, Shkut E, Koljalg S, Truusalu K, Štšepetova J, Smidt I, Kolk H, Zagura M, Mikelsaar M (2012a) Safety of a probiotic cheese containing Lactobacillus plantarum Tensia according to a variety of health indices in different age groups. J Dairy Sci 95 (10): 5495–5509. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4756) [3168/jds.2011-4756](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4756)
- Songisepp E, Mikelsaar M, Rätsep M, Zilmer M, Hütt P, Utt M, Zilmer K, Üksti J, Kõljalg S (2012b) Isolated microorganism strain Lactobacillus plantarum Tensia DSM 21380 as antimicrobial and antihypertensive probiotic, food product and composition comprising said microorganism and use of said microorganism for preparation of antihypertensive medicine and method

for suppressing pathogens and nonstarter lactobacilli in food product. European patent EP2309870, Granted 04 May 2012, Patent owner: Bio-Competence Centre of Healthy Dairy Products

- Sonnenburg ED, Sonnenburg JL (2014) Starving our microbial self: the deleterious consequences of a diet deficient in microbiota-accessible carbohydrates. Cell Metab 20(5):779–786
- Stams AJ, Plugge CM (2009) Electron transfer in syntrophic communities of anaerobic bacteria and archaea. Nat Rev Microbiol 7(8):568–577
- Steel JH, Malatos S, Kennea N, Edwards AD, Miles L, Duggan P, Reynolds PR, Feldman RG, Sullivan MH (2005) Bacteria and inflammatory cells in fetal membranes do not always cause preterm labor. Pediatr Res 57(3):404–411. doi:[10.1203/01.PDR.](https://doi.org/10.1203/01.PDR.0000153869.96337.90) [0000153869.96337.90](https://doi.org/10.1203/01.PDR.0000153869.96337.90)
- Stewart JA, Chadwick VS, Murray A (2006) Carriage, quantification, and predominance of methanogens and sulfate-reducing bacteria in faecal samples. Lett Appl Microbiol 43(1):58–63
- Stocker R, Keaney JF Jr (2004) Role of oxidative modifications in atherosclerosis. Physiol Rev 84 (4):1381–1478
- Stšepetova J (2011a) The characterisation of intestinal lactic acid bacteria using bacteriological, biochemical and molecular approaches. Dissertationes Medicinae Universitatis Tartuensis
- Stšepetova J, Sepp E, Kolk H, Loivukene K, Songisepp E, Mikelsaar M (2011b) Diversity and metabolic impact of intestinal Lactobacillus species in healthy adults and the elderly. Br J Nutr 105(8):1235–1244
- Stšepetova J, Oopkaup H, Smidt I, Mikelsaar M (2014a) The ability of intestinal Lactobacillus sp.to produce putrescine from agmatine. In: The intestinal microbiota and gut health: contribution of the diet, bacterial metabolites, host interactions and impact on health and disease: 171–173
- Štšepetova J, Šebunova N, Larionova A, Voor T, Rööp T, Mikelsaar M, Sepp E (2014b) Quantitative changes of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium sp. in human intestinal tract of different age groups. In: 2014 ENGIHR conference: The gut microbiota throughout life, Max Rubner-Insitut, Karlsruhe, September 24–26, 2014
- Subramani DB, Johansson ME, Dahlen G, Hansson GC (2010) Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium species do not secrete protease that cleaves the MUC2 mucin which organises the colon mucus. Benefic Microbes 1(4):343–350
- Sun J, Chang EB (2014) Exploring gut microbes in human health and disease: pushing the envelope. Genes Dis 1 (2):132–139. doi:[10.1016/j.gendis.2014.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2014.08.001)
- Takahashi T, Kakehi J (2009) Polyamines: ubiquitous polycations with unique roles in growth and stress responses. Ann Bot 105(1):1–6
- Talja I, Kubo AL, Veijola R, Knip M, Simell O, Ilonen J, Vaha-Makila M, Sepp E, Mikelsaar M, Utt M, Uibo R (2014) Antibodies to Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria in young children with different propensity to develop

islet autoimmunity. J Immunol Res 2014:325938. doi[:10.1155/2014/325938](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/325938)

- Tamm A, Villako K (1971) Urinary volatile phenols in patients with intestinal obstruction. Scand J Gastroenterol 6(1):5–8
- Tannock GW (1990) The microecology of lactobacilli inhabiting the gastrointestinal tract. In: Marshall KC (ed) Advances in microbial ecology. Plenum Press, New York, pp 147–171
- Tannock GW (2004) A special fondness for lactobacilli. Appl Environ Microbiol 70(6):3189–3194
- Taranto MP, Fernandez Murga ML, Lorca G, de Valdez GF (2003) Bile salts and cholesterol induce changes in the lipid cell membrane of Lactobacillus reuteri. J Appl Microbiol 95(1):86–91
- Tareb R, Bernardeau M, Vernoux JP (2015) Genome sequence of Lactobacillus rhamnosus Strain CNCM I-3698. Genome Announc 3(3):e00582–e00615
- Teitelbaum JE, Walker WA (2002) Nutritional impact of pre- and probiotics as protective gastrointestinal organisms. Annu Rev Nutr 22:107–138
- Tiihonen K, Ouwehand AC, Rautonen N (2010) Human intestinal microbiota and healthy ageing. Ageing Res Rev 9(2):107–116
- Tims S, Derom C, Jonkers DM, Vlietinck R, Saris WH, Kleerebezem M, de Vos WM, Zoetendal EG (2012) Microbiota conservation and BMI signatures in adult monozygotic twins. ISME J 7(4):707–717
- Tok E, Aslim B (2010) Cholesterol removal by some lactic acid bacteria that can be used as probiotic. Microbiol Immunol 54(5):257–264
- Topping DL (1996) Short-chain fatty acids produced by intestinal bacteria. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 5(1):15–19
- Truusalu K (2013) Probiotic lactobacilli in experimental persistent Salmonella infection. Dissertationes Medicinae Universitatis Tartuensis
- Truusalu K, Naaber P, Kullisaar T, Tamm H, Mikelsaar R, Zilmer K, Rehema A, Zilmer M, Mikelsaar M (2004) The influence of antibacterial and antioxidative probiotic lactobacilli on gut mucosa in a mouse model of Salmonella infection. Microb Ecol Health Dis 16:180–187
- Truusalu K, Mikelsaar RH, Naaber P, Karki T, Kullisaar T, Zilmer M, Mikelsaar M (2008) Eradication of Salmonella Typhimurium infection in a murine model of typhoid fever with the combination of probiotic Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 and ofloxacin. BMC Microbiol 8:132
- Truusalu K, Kullisaar T, Hutt P, Mahlapuu R, Aunapuu M, Arend A, Zilmer M, Mikelsaar RH, Mikelsaar M (2010) Immunological, antioxidative, and morphological response in combined treatment of ofloxacin and Lactobacillus fermentum ME-3 probiotic in Salmonella Typhimurium murine model. Apmis 118(11):864–872
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Hamady M, Fraser-Liggett CM, Knight R, Gordon JI (2007) The human microbiome project. Nature 449(7164):804–810. doi:[10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06244) [nature06244](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06244)
- Turpeinen AM, Ikonen M, Kivimaki AS, Kautiainen H, Vapaatalo H, Korpela R (2012) A spread containing bioactive milk peptides Ile-Pro-Pro and Val-Pro-Pro, and plant sterols has antihypertensive and cholesterollowering effects. Food Funct 3(6):621–627
- Umezawa K (1995) Isolation and biological activities of signal transduction inhibitors from microorganisms and plants. Adv Enzyme Regul 35:43–53
- Uskova MA, Kravchenko LV, Avrenjeva LI, Tutelyan VA (2010) Effect of Lactobacillus casei 114001 probiotic on bioactivity of rutin. Bull Exp Biol Med 149(5):578–582
- van Baarlen P, Troost F, van der Meer C, Hooiveld G, Boekschoten M, Brummer RJ, Kleerebezem M (2011) Human mucosal in vivo transcriptome responses to three lactobacilli indicate how probiotics may modulate human cellular pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108(Suppl 1):4562–4569
- van der Waaij LA, Harmsen HJ, Madjipour M, Kroese FG, Zwiers M, van Dullemen HM, de Boer NK, Welling GW, Jansen PL (2005) Bacterial population analysis of human colon and terminal ileum biopsies with 16S rRNA-based fluorescent probes: commensal bacteria live in suspension and have no direct contact with epithelial cells. Inflamm Bowel Dis 11 (10):865–871
- van Ommen B, van der Greef J, Ordovas JM, Daniel H (2014) Phenotypic flexibility as key factor in the human nutrition and health relationship. Genes Nutr 9(5):423
- Vandamme P, Pot B, Gillis M, de Vos P, Kersters K, Swings J (1996) Polyphasic taxonomy, a consensus approach to bacterial systematics. Microbiol Rev 60(2):407–438
- Vankerckhoven V, Huys G, Vancanneyt M, Vael C, Klare I, Romond MB (2008) Biosafety assessment of probiotics used for human consumption: recommendations from the EUPROSAFE project. Trends Food Sci Technol 19:102–114
- Vassos D, Maipa V, Voidarou C, Alexopoulos A, Bezirtzoglou E (2008) Development of human lactic acid (LAB) gastro intestinal microbiota in a Greek rural population. Cent Eur J Biol 3:55–60
- Vaughan EE, Heilig HG, Ben-Amor K, de Vos WM (2005) Diversity, vitality and activities of intestinal lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria assessed by molecular approaches. FEMS Microbiol Rev 29(3):477–490
- Vesterlund S, Vankerckhoven V, Saxelin M, Goossens H, Salminen S, Ouwehand AC (2007) Safety assessment of Lactobacillus strains: presence of putative risk factors in faecal, blood and probiotic isolates. Int J Food Microbiol 116(3):325–331. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.02.002) [ijfoodmicro.2007.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.02.002)
- Vince AJ, Burridge SM (1980) Ammonia production by intestinal bacteria: the effects of lactose, lactulose and glucose. J Med Microbiol 13(2):177–191
- Vinderola G, Perdigon G, Duarte J, Farnworth E, Matar C (2006) Effects of the oral administration of the exopolysaccharide produced by Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens on the gut mucosal immunity. Cytokine 36(5–6):254–260
- Voor T (2005) Microorganisms in infancy and development of allergy: comparison of Estonian and Swedish children. Tartu University Press, Tartu
- Walker AW, Duncan SH, Harmsen HJ, Holtrop G, Welling GW, Flint HJ (2008) The species composition of the human intestinal microbiota differs between particle-associated and liquid phase communities. Environ Microbiol 10(12):3275–3283
- Wallace JL, Vong L, McKnight W, Dicay M, Martin GR (2009) Endogenous and exogenous hydrogen sulfide promotes resolution of colitis in rats. Gastroenterology 137(2):569–578. doi[:10.1053/j.gastro.](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2009.04.012) [2009.04.012](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2009.04.012)
- Walter J, Britton RA, Roos S (2010) Host-microbial symbiosis in the vertebrate gastrointestinal tract and the Lactobacillus reuteri paradigm. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108(Suppl 1):4645–4652
- Wang Y, Wang J, Ahmed Z, Bai X, Wang J (2011) Complete genome sequence of Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens ZW3. J Bacteriol 193 (16):4280–4281
- Wells JM, Mercenier A (2008) Mucosal delivery of therapeutic and prophylactic molecules using lactic acid bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 6(5):349–362. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1840) [1038/nrmicro1840](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1840)
- Westermann P, Ahring BK, Mah RA (1989) Acetate production by methanogenic bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 55(9):2257–2261
- Williams NT (2010) Probiotics. Am J Health Syst Pharm 67(6):449–458. doi[:10.2146/ajhp090168](https://doi.org/10.2146/ajhp090168)
- Willis CJ, Cummings JH, Neale G, Gibson GR (1996) In vitro effects of mucin fermentation on the growth of human colonic sulphate-reducing bacteria. Anaerobe 2:117–122
- Wind RD, Tolboom H, Klare I, Huys G, Knol J (2010) Tolerance and safety of the potentially probiotic strain Lactobacillus rhamnosus PRSF-L477: a randomised, double-blind placebo-controlled trial in healthy volunteers. Br J Nutr 104(12):1806–1816. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114510002746) [1017/S0007114510002746](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114510002746)
- Wolin MJ, Miller TL (1983) Interactions of microbial populations in cellulose fermentation. Fed Proc 42 (1):109–113
- Wu GD (2016) The gut microbiome, its metabolome, and their relationship to health and disease. Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser 84:103–110. doi:[10.1159/](https://doi.org/10.1159/000436993) [000436993](https://doi.org/10.1159/000436993)
- Wu GD, Compher C, Chen EZ, Smith SA, Shah RD, Bittinger K, Chehoud C, Albenberg LG, Nessel L, Gilroy E, Star J, Weljie AM, Flint HJ, Metz DC, Bennett MJ, Li H, Bushman FD, Lewis JD (2016) Comparative metabolomics in vegans and omnivores reveal constraints on diet-dependent gut microbiota metabolite production. Gut 65(1):63–72. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2014-308209) [1136/gutjnl-2014-308209](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2014-308209)
- Wutzke KD, Lotz M, Zipprich C (2010) The effect of preand probiotics on the colonic ammonia metabolism in humans as measured by lactose- $[(1)(5)N(2)]$ ureide. Eur J Clin Nutr 64(10):1215–1221
- Xu J, Verstraete W (2001) Evaluation of nitric oxide production by lactobacilli. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 56(3–4):504–507
- Xu J, Ahrén IL, Olsson C, Jeppsson B, Ahrné S, Molin G (2015) Oral and faecal microbiota in volunteers with hypertension in a double blind, randomised placebo controlled trial with probiotics and fermented bilberries. J Funct Foods 18:275–288
- Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Baldassano RN, Anokhin AP, Heath AC, Warner B, Reeder J, Kuczynski J, Caporaso JG, Lozupone CA, Lauber C, Clemente JC, Knights D, Knight R, Gordon JI (2012) Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 486(7402):222–227
- Yokoyama MT, Carlson JR (1981) Production of Skatole and para-Cresol by a Rumen Lactobacillus sp. Appl Environ Microbiol 41(1):71–76
- Zalán Z, Hudáček J, Tóth-Markus M, Husová E, Solichová K, Hegyi F, Plocková M, Chumchalová J, Halász A (2011) Sensorically and antimicrobially active metabolite production of Lactobacillus strains on Jerusalem artichoke juice. J Sci Food Agric 91(4):672–679
- Zhang Y, Liu Y, Ma Q, Song Y, Zhang Q, Wang X, Chen F (2014) Identification of Lactobacillus from the

saliva of adult patients with caries using matrixassisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. PLoS ONE 9(8):e106185

- Zhao J, Gillam ME, Taylor CG, Weiler HA (2011) Deposition of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) is limited in forebrain of young obese fa/fa Zucker rats fed a diet high in alpha-linolenic acid but devoid of DHA. J Nutr Biochem 22(9):835–842
- Zoetendal EG, Akkermans AD, De Vos WM (1998) Temperature gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of 16S rRNA from human fecal samples reveals stable and host-specific communities of active bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 64(10):3854–3859
- Zoetendal EG, Ben-Amor K, Akkermans AD, Abee T, de Vos WM (2001) DNA isolation protocols affect the detection limit of PCR approaches of bacteria in samples from the human gastrointestinal tract. Syst Appl Microbiol 24(3):405–410
- Zoetendal EG, Vaughan EE, de Vos WM (2006) A microbial world within us. Mol Microbiol 59(6):1639–1650
- Zoetendal EG, Raes J, van den Bogert B, Arumugam M, Booijink CC, Troost FJ, Bork P, Wels M, de Vos WM, Kleerebezem M (2012) The human small intestinal microbiota is driven by rapid uptake and conversion of simple carbohydrates. ISME J 6(7):1415–1426. doi[:10.1038/ismej.2011.212](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.212)

Adv Exp Med Biol - Advances in Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Public Health (2016) 4: 65–92 DOI 10.1007/5584_2016_27 \circ Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016 Published online: 28 June 2016

Clostridium difficile in Food and Animals: A Comprehensive Review

C. Rodriguez, B. Taminiau, J. Van Broeck, M. Delmée, and G. Daube

Abstract

Zoonoses are infections or diseases that can be transmitted between animals and humans through direct contact, close proximity or the environment. Clostridium difficile is ubiquitous in the environment, and the bacterium is able to colonise the intestinal tract of both animals and humans. Since domestic and food animals frequently test positive for toxigenic C. difficile, even without showing any signs of disease, it seems plausible that *C. difficile* could be zoonotic. Therefore, animals could play an essential role as carriers of the bacterium. In addition, the presence of the spores in different meats, fish, fruits and vegetables suggests a risk of foodborne transmission. This review summarises the current available data on C. difficile in animals and foods, from when the bacterium was first described up to the present.

Keywords

Clostridium difficile • Epidemiology • Animals • Food • Transmission

1 Introduction

Clostridium difficile is a spore-forming anaerobic bacterium recognised as the leading cause of

J. Van Broeck and M. Delmée

antibiotic-associated diarrhoea in hospitalised patients. However, in recent years C. difficile infection (CDI) is increasingly common in the community, in younger patients without a previous history of hospitalisation or antibiotic treatment (Gupta and Khanna [2014\)](#page-93-0). Studies worldwide have reported the presence of the bacterium in animals and foods (Songer and Anderson [2006;](#page-96-0) Hoover and Rodriguez-Palacios [2013;](#page-93-0) Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013](#page-96-0)) with a prevalence that varies according to the methodology used, the geographical area, the age and the animal species studied. While C . difficile is

C. Rodriguez (\boxtimes) , B. Taminiau, and G. Daube Department of Food Science, University of Liège-Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Avenue de Cureghem 10, baˆt 43bis Sart-Tilman, 4000 Liège, Belgium e-mail: c.rodriguez@ulg.ac.be

Belgian Reference Centre for Clostridium difficile (NRC), Pôle de microbiologie médicale, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

well known as enteric pathogen in some food producing, wild and companion animal species (Donaldson and Palmer [1999](#page-92-0); Songer and Uzal [2005\)](#page-96-0), there are several reports describing the presence of the bacterium in the intestinal contents of apparently healthy animals (Rodriguez et al. [2012;](#page-95-0) Hawken et al. [2013\)](#page-93-0). Moreover, data recently published suggests that besides the nosocomial transmission, animals are an important source of human CDI, whether through environmental contamination, direct or indirect contact, or food contamination, including carcass and meat contamination at slaughter – or in the case of vegetables and other fruits, by the use of organic fertilizer or contaminated water (Rupnik and Songer [2010](#page-96-0); Hoover and Rodriguez-Palacios [2013](#page-93-0); Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013\)](#page-96-0).

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) defines zoonoses as infections or diseases that can be transmitted directly or indirectly between animals and humans (through direct contact or close proximity with infected animals, or through the environment). As noted before (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013\)](#page-96-0), the relevance of the presence of *C*. *difficile* in some environments, animals and foods is little understood. This review describes the current knowledge regarding C. difficile in animals, foods, and the environment, as well as the prevalence among animals with and without signs of disease. The available data about animals and foods as vectors of CDI in humans has also been reviewed.

2 The Evolutionary History of C. difficile Detection in Animals and the Natural Environment

C. difficile was first reported in animals in 1960 (McBee [1960\)](#page-94-0). The bacterium was isolated from a sample of a Weddell seal's large intestine contents, obtained during the course of a brief biological survey in the Ross Sea area of Antarctica. In 1974, a doctoral thesis described for the first time the presence of C . difficile in hay, soil, sand, and mud from the bank of the river, and in stools from diverse animals such as donkeys, horses, cows and camels, in Pakistan (Hafiz [1974](#page-93-0)). In an experimental study conducted in 1979 to reproduce neonatal diarrhoea in young gnotobiotic hares, the authors concluded that C. difficile was the causal agent of neonatal diarrhoea and that other strains of Clostridium enhanced its pathogenic effect (Dabard et al. [1979\)](#page-92-0). CDI in pigs was first confirmed in 1980 when gnotobiotic pigs were accidentally exposed to C. difficile and accordingly suffered dehydration and excreted mucoid faeces containing specks of blood (Nagy and Bilkei [2003\)](#page-95-0). In 1981 C. difficile was isolated from a goat (Hunter et al. [1981\)](#page-93-0) and in 1982 the bacterium was obtained from rectal samples of healthy cattle in Nigeria of different breeds aged 6 months and above (Princewell and Agba [1982\)](#page-95-0). Borriello et al. ([1983\)](#page-92-0) were the first to report the carriage of C. difficile in household pets and their immediate environment, including dogs, cats, ducks, geese, chicken, ring-necked parakeets, rabbits, goats, hedgehogs and guinea pigs. However, most of the recovered isolates were identified as non-cytotoxigenic. In the same year, C. difficile was recovered from pigs (Jones and Hunter [1983](#page-93-0)) and identified as the causative agent of antibiotic-associated colitis in a Kodiak bear (Orchard et al. [1983](#page-95-0)). Interest in the study of C. difficile in animals continued to increase during this period. From 1984 to 1987 three new studies described the bacterium as causal agent of enteric disease and diarrhoea in hares, European and cottontail rabbits (Carman and Evans [1984\)](#page-92-0), horses (Ehrich et al. [1984](#page-93-0)) and foals (Jones et al. [1987\)](#page-93-0). These findings raised the first concerns that domestic animals might be vectors of C. difficile among humans (Weber et al. [1988](#page-97-0)). From 1978 onwards, several studies focused on the isolation procedures and characterisation of C. difficile from healthy and diarrhoeic animals, including not only domestic animals such as foals (Jones [1989](#page-93-0)), cats, dogs (Weber et al. [1989;](#page-97-0) Riley et al. [1991;](#page-95-0) Martirossian et al. [1992](#page-94-0)) and captive ostriches (Frazier et al. [1993](#page-93-0)), but also wild animals such as cotton-top tamarinds (Snook et al. [1989\)](#page-96-0). In 1995, C. difficile toxins were detected in the
small intestine and cecum of three juveniles and one adult rabbit with clinical signs of anorexia, decreased faecal output, nasal exudate and laboured breathing before death (Perkins et al. [1995](#page-95-0)). A later study in 1996 also reported the presence of C. difficile in animals (dogs, cats, horses, sheep and poultry) and in the environment: in soils, in river, sea and lake waters, and in swimming pool and tap waters (al Saif and Brazier [1996](#page-91-0)). Waters et al. ([1998](#page-97-0)) described an outbreak of C. difficile in suckling piglets, and in 1999, Rieu-Lesme and Fonty isolated the bacterium from the ruminal reservoir of newborn lambs (Rieu-Lesme and Fonty [1999](#page-95-0)).

Besides clinical reports of CDI in exotic animals, such as Asian elephants (Bojesen et al. [2006](#page-92-0)) and ocelots (Silva et al. [2013a\)](#page-96-0), C. difficile has been also isolated from faecal samples of captive white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus* virginianus) in confinement facilities in Ohio, USA, with a prevalence of 36.7 % (French et al. [2010](#page-93-0)). Furthermore, different studies have investigated the presence of the bacterium in wild animals, including wild passerine birds (Bandelj et al. [2011\)](#page-92-0) and barn swallows (Bandelj et al. [2014\)](#page-92-0); zoo animals (chimpanzees, dwarf goats, Iberian ibexes and plains zebras) (A) lvarez-Pérez et al. [2014\)](#page-91-0); sea otters (Miller et al. [2010\)](#page-94-0); free-living South America coatis (Silva et al. [2014\)](#page-96-0); small and medium-size wild mammals (raccoons, shrews, deer and house mice, rats, voles, opossum and groundhogs) (Jardine et al. [2013](#page-93-0)); black and Norway rats (Firth et al. [2014;](#page-93-0) Himsworth et al. [2014\)](#page-93-0); feral pigs (Thakur et al. [2011\)](#page-96-0) and Iberian free-range pigs (Alvarez-Pérez et al. [2013](#page-91-0)).

In the natural environment, C . difficile has recently been described in soils of studfarms and farms with mature horses in Sweden (Båverud et al. 2003), in homestead soils and household-stored water in Zimbabwe (Simango [2006\)](#page-96-0), in tropical soils in Costa Rica (del Mar Gamboa et al. [2005](#page-92-0)) and in Slovenian rivers (Zidaric et al. [2010](#page-97-0)). In a study conducted in marine environments in the South of Italy, toxigenic C. difficile was also detected in seawater and zooplankton (Pasquale et al. [2011\)](#page-95-0).

3 Clostridium difficile in Household Pets: Dogs and Cats

Rodriguez-Palacios et al. ([2013\)](#page-96-0) refer to the importance of household pets as common transmission routes for human infections of C. difficile: in modern lifestyles dogs and cats are considered family members and have access to all parts of the house, including beds, sofas, kitchens and dining rooms. Children under 16 years old often have close contact with their pets, as dogs often licked their faces and both cats and dogs usually sleep in the child's bed. In a study conducted in Canada, it was reported that very few of these children (2.9–4.4 %) recognised the need for washing their hands after contact with pets (Stull et al. [2013](#page-96-0)). A further study evaluating C . *difficile* in dogs and in the household environment indicated that 10 % of dogs were colonised by the bacterium and 31 % of households were contaminated with its spores, suggesting that exposure to this pathogen may be common (Weese et al. [2010a\)](#page-97-0). In this environment, children, elderly and immunecompromised people could be more at risk of being colonised and developing CDI. In the same study, molecular characterisation of the isolates revealed that household and dog strains were different, concluding that there are sources of household C. difficile contamination other than dogs (Weese et al. [2010a\)](#page-97-0). In any case, all dog isolates were indistinguishable from those circulating in human hospitals in the same geographical area (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013\)](#page-96-0). Therefore, the potential transmission of C. difficile between pets and humans is currently unclear.

Conversely, it has been reported that pets owned by an immune-compromised person or dogs living with a human receiving antimicrobial treatment were at greater risk of being colonised, presumably because the owner is at greater risk of developing the disease and in turn becoming a source of infection for the pet (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013](#page-96-0); Weese [2011\)](#page-97-0). C. difficile has been detected in very high rates in healthy

dogs that visit human hospitals (58 %) (Lefebvre et al. [2006a\)](#page-94-0). The risk seems to be particularly high when they accepted treats during the visit or licked patients (Lefebvre et al. [2009\)](#page-94-0). However, it is not yet clear whether the contamination comes from patients or the hospital environment (Weese and Fulford [2011\)](#page-97-0). Lefebvre et al. ([2006b\)](#page-94-0) reported the first human epidemic strain PCR-ribotype 027 in a healthy 4-year-old toy poodle that visited patients in healthcare settings in Ontario on a weekly basis. In 2009, Lefebvre and Weese ([2009\)](#page-94-0) reported the acquisition of toxigenic C . difficile by a therapy dog on its paws during a visit to an acute care facility. In this visit, the dog had been encouraged to 'shake paws' with patients. With these findings authors demonstrated that transient contamination of pet therapy animals (without colonisation) could be a source of pathogen transmission.

Regarding C. difficile as a cause of disease in pets, it seems that infection is more commonly community-associated rather than acquired at veterinary hospitals or after antimicrobial therapy (Weese [2011\)](#page-97-0). However, the prevalence and causes of infections acquired in veterinary practices is largely unknown. A previous study identified administration of antimicrobials prior to admission, or administration of immunosuppressive drugs during hospitalisation, as risk factors for veterinary hospital-associated colonisation (Clooten et al. [2008](#page-92-0)). Murphy et al. [\(2010\)](#page-95-0) described an important proportion of veterinary hospitals (58 %) with positive environmental swabs for C. difficile. While signs of disease could range from mild self-limiting diarrhoea to chronic or fatal diarrhoea (Berry and Levett [1986](#page-92-0)), the relevance of the bacterium in small veterinary clinics is still uncertain (Weese [2011;](#page-97-0) Busch et al. [2014](#page-92-0)). Different other studies have associated the presence of C. difficile in faeces with diarrhoea in dogs and cats (Weese et al. [2001a](#page-97-0); [2001b](#page-97-0); Weese and Armstrong [2003;](#page-97-0) Koene et al. [2012](#page-94-0); Wetterwik et al. [2013](#page-97-0)). However, dogs can also be healthy carriers of C. difficile strains belonging to human epidemic PCR-ribotypes (Schneeberg et al. [2012](#page-96-0); Silva et al. [2013b;](#page-96-0) Spigaglia et al. [2015\)](#page-96-0), with a high colonisation in the first period of live (Perrin et al. [1993;](#page-95-0) Alvarez-Pérez et al. 2015).

Regarding CDI in cats, little information is available. It seems that colonisation rates are relatively low in the general population $(0-21 \%)$, but slightly higher among cats in veterinary hospitals $(9.4-31\%)$ (Marks et al. [2011\)](#page-94-0). The same *C. difficile* strains were recovered from cats and floor drains in the same veterinary hospital, suggesting the clinical environment was a possible source of contamination (Madewell et al. [1999\)](#page-94-0).

Pet nutrition has been identified as a possible source of C. difficile, via pet treats (as bully sticks for dogs) and other raw or processed foods (Freeman et al. [2013;](#page-93-0) Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013\)](#page-96-0). In a study conducted in France, C. difficile was not detected in any feline raw foods $(n = 20)$ purchased from 20 Paris stores (Bouttier et al. [2010](#page-92-0)). However, a further study conducted in Ontario reported the presence of toxigenic C. difficile in turkey-based pet food. In the same study the authors recommended disinfecting food and water bowls daily with a 10 % bleach solution to reduce the potential burden of bacteria. Furthermore, it was proposed owners should not feed pets with raw diets in households with young children or immunosuppressed or elderly individuals (Weese et al. [2005\)](#page-97-0).

4 Clostridium difficile in Horses

C. difficile toxins were associated with equine diarrhoea for the first time in 1984, in a study of horses in Potomac River area. In this study, Ehrich et al. [\(1984](#page-93-0)) concluded that toxins appeared not to be primary determinants of diarrhoea but they may have contributed to the disease. Currently, C. difficile is considered one of the most important causes of diarrhoea and enterocolitis in foals and horses (Arroyo et al. [2006](#page-92-0); Weese et al. [2006;](#page-97-0) Uzal et al. [2012;](#page-96-0) Diab et al. [2013b\)](#page-92-0). The prevalence of C. difficile in foals and adult horses with gastrointestinal disease varies considerably among studies, ranging between 5 % and 63 % (Diab et al. [2013b\)](#page-92-0).

In newborn foals, C. difficile has been associated with spontaneous watery or bloody diarrhoea immediately after birth, depression, dehydration, toxaemia and finally death (Diab et al. [2013a\)](#page-92-0). While in some cases the disease can occur without a history of antibiotic therapy or hospitalisation (Diab et al. [2013b\)](#page-92-0), the major risk factors for the development of CDI in horses are antimicrobial treatment, hospitalisation, preor post-surgical feed withdrawal or changes in diet. The antimicrobials that have been most frequently associated with C. difficile diarrhoea in horses are erythromycin, clindamycin, rifampicin and gentamicin (Diab et al. [2013b\)](#page-92-0).

Like other species, horses can carry C. difficile without showing signs of disease. In healthy foals the reported prevalence can vary between 0 and 29 % depending on different factors such the type of the study, the diagnostic test used and the method of sample collection (Diab et al. [2013b](#page-92-0)). A colonisation rate of up to 44 % has been reported in non-diarrhoeic foals under antibiotic treatment (Båverud et al. [2003\)](#page-92-0). Mare-foal pairs can harbour C. difficile subclinically and potentially serve as reservoirs for crosscolonisation (Magdesian and Leutenegger [2011\)](#page-94-0). In hospitalised horses without clinical signs of C. difficile disease, the observed prevalence ranged from 4.8 to 11 % (Medina-Torres et al. [2011;](#page-94-0) Rodriguez et al. [2014a\)](#page-95-0), possibly under the influence of stresses that alter the intestinal flora (such as change of diet, transportation to the hospital, hospitalisation, and surgical or medical treatments) (Båverud [2004\)](#page-92-0). Some studies have suggested a transient shedding of C. difficile in adult horses (Schoster et al. [2012](#page-96-0)) but also in other animal species including cattle (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2011b\)](#page-95-0) and humans (Ozaki et al. [2004\)](#page-95-0).

A recent study has evaluated the effect of probiotics on foals developing diarrhoea within 6 months of birth. The authors concluded that there was no benefit observable of administering a 3-week course of probiotics. Furthermore, a significantly higher incidence of diarrhoea in foals receiving probiotics than in control groups suggested a negative impact of probiotics (Schoster et al. [2015\)](#page-96-0), although in vitro inhibition of C. difficile and C. perfringens by commercial probiotic strains has also been reported (Schoster et al. [2013\)](#page-96-0).

5 C. difficile in Food-Producing Animals

In the twenty-first century the possibility of human exposure to C. difficile spores via environments and foods contaminated with feces of colonised animals has aroused considerable interest. Furthermore, besides the concern for zoonotic transmission, C. difficile is also a costly disease on companion animals and livestock production. There are no financial loss estimates for the treatment of household pets, but veterinary services and medical treatment for a case of acute diarrhoea without further complications costs between 100 and 200 euros in Europe. In production animals, C. difficile losses and treatment costs have also not been estimated, but *C. difficile* can produce mortality in breeding, weight loss, and delayed weight gain in animals (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013;](#page-96-0) Squire and Riley [2013\)](#page-96-0).

5.1 Food-Producing Animals: Swine

C. difficile has been widely described in both healthy pigs and pigs with diarrhoea (Table [1\)](#page-75-0). In neonatal piglets $\left($ < 15 days old), C. difficile has been proposed as the most common cause of diarrhoea (Songer and Anderson [2006\)](#page-96-0) with a mortality rate of up to 50 % in suckling piglets (Songer [2000\)](#page-96-0). Previous studies reported spore or toxin detection ranging between 23 and 93 % in faeces of diarrhoeic piglets and between 1.4 and 96 % in piglets with normal faeces (Table [1\)](#page-75-0). The presence of C. difficile toxins in the colon of neonatal swine has been associated with: profuse non-haemorrhagic yellow pasty-to-watery diarrhoea, colitis, typhocoloitis, severe mesocolonic edema, other microscopic lesions such as erosive or ulcerative colonic lesions, infiltration of neutrophils in the lamina propia, and exudation of fibrin into the lumen, resulting

(continued)

 ${}^{\text{a}}$ Year when the study was conducted or year when the study was published bMain PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature "Year when the study was conducted or year when the study was published "Main PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature (-) Data not available or not applicable

) Data not available or not applicable

72 C. Rodriguez et al.

in 'volcano lesions' (Lizer [2010\)](#page-94-0). Scrotal edema, dyspnoea, mild abdominal distension, hydrothorax, ascites, anorexia and dehydration are other extra-intestinal symptoms probably caused by systemic sepsis (Squire and Riley [2013](#page-96-0)). However, an absence of diarrhoea does not discount possible C. difficile colonisation (Yaeger et al. [2007](#page-97-0)). Why some colonised piglets with toxigenic strains of C. difficile do not develop any signs of disease remains unclear and may be explained by the variability in colostrum intake and colostrum antibody concentration (Squire and Riley [2013](#page-96-0)). Similarly, the presence of C. difficile-negative piglets has been described in litters where most of the members carried the bacterium. The reason why these piglets were negative despite being constantly exposed to the bacterium is also unknown (Weese et al. [2010c\)](#page-97-0). The prevalence of the bacterium decreases with age, varying from 0 to 23 % at finishing in the farm or at slaughter (Table [1](#page-75-0)). Furthermore, outbreaks in adult pigs have only been reported in periparturient sows (Kiss and Bilkei [2005\)](#page-94-0). It appears that sows are more likely to be colonised by C. difficile before or after farrowing (Thakur et al. [2010;](#page-96-0) Weese et al. [2010c;](#page-97-0) Susick et al. [2012](#page-96-0)), which may be due to environmental stress or the administration of antibiotics (Kiss and Bilkei [2005\)](#page-94-0). While it seems sows would pose an obvious contamination source for piglets during farrowing, one study describes the predominance of different PCR-ribotypes in each group, suggesting that external sources other than sows could be responsible for CDI in piglets (Weese et al. [2010c;](#page-97-0) Hopman et al. [2011a\)](#page-93-0). Widespread aerial dissemination of C. difficile on a pig farm was demonstrated and associated with personnel activity. Furthermore, possible aerial dispersal of the bacterium between farrowing pens was revealed by the detection of spores in the hallway following relocation of piglets (Keessen et al. [2011a\)](#page-94-0). On pig farms, vermin such as house mice, drain flies, lesser houseflies and yellow mealworms were found positive for C. difficile and proposed as vectors for bacteria transmission (Burt et al. [2012\)](#page-92-0). Despite the progress made in these studies, the sources of C. difficile in pig farms and aspects of

the infection cycle still remain unclear. Several procedures, like surface disinfection and the use of gloves, have been proposed to reduce diseaseassociated mortality in piggeries (Squire and Riley [2013](#page-96-0)).

5.2 Food-Producing Animals: Cattle

As in the case of swine, the reported prevalence of C. difficile in cattle can vary wildly from one study to another depending on the geographical location studied, with percentages as diverse as 0 % in farms in North America and 60 % in Iran (Doosti and Mokhtari-Farsani [2014](#page-93-0); McNamara et al. [2011](#page-94-0)) (Table [2\)](#page-79-0). Furthermore, the pathogenicity of C. difficile in cattle is not fully understood. The bacterium and its toxins have been associated with diarrhoea in calves and dairy cows (Table [2](#page-79-0)). Using post-mortem analysis of calves infected with C. difficile, it has been showed that the bacterium was more frequently encountered in the cecum, where histologic lesions were also more severe (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2007b\)](#page-95-0).

A higher prevalence (up to 56 %) has been reported in apparently healthy calves aged less than three months old (Table [2\)](#page-79-0). One experimental study investigated the infection of neonatal calves by oral inoculation (in the colostrum) of toxigenic C. difficile spores. Results showed faecal shedding but did not detect toxins or the induction of enteric disease, and suggested that simple exposure to C . *difficile* could not cause disease in calves (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2007b](#page-95-0)). Colostrum can also play a protective role, providing passive immunity in neonatal calves. A natural protective effect of this first milk when ingested by calves immediately after birth is plausible (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2007b\)](#page-95-0) and merits further investigation. In the literature, many studies have investigated hyperimmune bovine colostrum (obtained by repeated immunisation of pregnant cows) as an effective treatment for CDI in human patients (Steele et al. [2013](#page-96-0)). However, with or without signs of enteric disease, a decrease in the prevalence rate of C. difficile is observed in adult

and claughterhous cattle and beef cattle at farm ce of C difficile in calves dairy

-1

(continued)

Main PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature $(-)$ Data not available or not applicable \mathbb{R} .) Data not available or not applicable bMain PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature (-

animals (Table [2\)](#page-79-0). While the reason for this age effect is still unknown, a probable explanation is that the bacterium is better able to colonise and proliferate in the intestinal tract of younger animals, where the gut microbiota is less developed (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2006](#page-95-0)).

5.3 Food-Producing Animals: Poultry

A wide variety of zoonotic diseases can be transmitted by poultry. However, few studies have focused on the study of C. difficile in these animals. The limited data available shows that the situation is similar to other species, with prevalence decreasing with increasing age (ranging from 100 % in faecal samples of 14-day-old birds to 0.29 % in mature farm animals), and with bacterial colonisation observable with or without development of disease (Table [3\)](#page-83-0).

Only one outbreak of C. difficile has been described in newly hatched ostriches (Cooper et al. [2013](#page-92-0)). In this outbreak, more than 90 % of birds died within three days of the onset of diarrhoea. At necropsy, the colon and rectum were dilated and diffusely haemorrhagic. Microscopic examination also revealed necrotizing typhilitis and colitis in all the birds. After this report, 300 additional birds from a subsequent hatching were also affected by an epidemic of necrotic enteritis. Identical symptoms were observed which may suggest that CDI is a common and important problem in captive ostrich chicks (Frazier et al. [1993](#page-93-0)).

In rural communities in Zimbabwe, chickens were identified as major reservoirs of C. difficile. Water probably acted as a source of the bacterium for these chickens, as spores were detected in well water and household-stored water. Sources of water contamination may be faeces of domestic animals or humans, although this was not investigated in the study. In addition, soils were also heavily contaminated with C. difficile by chicken faeces. The free movement of chickens between neighbouring homesteads highlights the importance of these colonised animals as vectors for widespread distribution

of C. difficile in rural communities (Simango [2006\)](#page-96-0).

5.4 Food-Producing Animals: Sheep and Goats

Other production animals such as lambs, sheep and goats have been also described as carriers of the bacterium, with a prevalence varying between 0.6 and 10.1 $%$ (Table [3](#page-83-0)). As in other animal species, the rate of C. difficile detection seems to decrease with age.

On average, a lower prevalence has been reported in sheep and lambs than in swine. This may be associated with the greater use of antimicrobials in production of pigs than in sheep (Knight and Riley [2013\)](#page-94-0). However, as stated before, the few studies available in the literature studying the effect of antibiotics did not find a direct relation between the use of antimicrobials and C. difficile colonisation or infection (Romano et al. [2012;](#page-96-0) Susick et al. 2012). While the presence of C. difficile in apparently healthy sheep and goats in farms and at slaughter could play a role in animal-to-animal, environmental or zoonotic transmission, there are no reports identifying the bacterium as responsible for outbreaks of enteropathogen in these animal species.

6 Clostridium difficile in Foods

Recent studies have described the presence of C. difficile spores in a variety of food products of both animal and plant origin. These findings highlight the potential risk of infection associated with consuming foods, particularly if they are not cooked prior to eating (Lund and Peck [2015](#page-94-0)).

6.1 Prevalence and Food Products Concerned

The contamination by *C. difficile* spores has been detected in different types of food products,

Table 3 Presence of C. difficile in other food-producing animals **Table 3** Presence of C. difficile in other food-producing animals

 ${}^{\text{a}}$ Year when the study was conducted or year when the study was published bMain PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature ⁹Year when the study was conducted or year when the study was published $\frac{1}{2}$ Main PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nonnenclature (-) Data not available or not applicable (-) Data not available or not appli

) Data not available or not applicable (-) Data not available or not applicable including seafood, vegetables and meats, with a prevalence ranging between 2.9 and 66.7 % (Tables [4](#page-86-0) and [5](#page-89-0)). Considering that C . *difficile* is present in healthy food-producing animals at slaughter, it is not surprising that its spores have also been found in meats (Table [4\)](#page-86-0). The mean prevalence of C. difficile spores in these products ranges between 0 and 15 %. While early studies conducted in North America reported a much higher contamination rate than elsewhere (Rupnik and Songer [2010\)](#page-96-0), recent studies show the situation to be similar to other countries (Table [4](#page-86-0)). Rodriguez-Palacios et al. ([2009\)](#page-95-0), noting an increased recovery of the bacterium from ground beef and chops in winter in Canada, suggested a seasonal component in C . difficile contamination in meats, and also hypothesised a possible epidemiological connection between the prevalence of C. difficile in food animals, some foods and humans (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013\)](#page-96-0).

If the initial contamination of food products with *C. difficile* is low, the preservation method used may play a fundamental role in the spores' survival. One of the key features of C. difficile in foods is if the pathogen grows or resides in the dormant state, especially if there are anaerobic conditions and the cool chain is not respected. C. difficile has been reported in vacuumpackaged meat in France (Bouttier et al. [2010](#page-92-0)) and in New Zealand, where the bacterium was isolated from chilled vacuum-packed meats in which 'blown pack' spoilage had been observed (Broda et al. [1996\)](#page-92-0). The impact of C. difficile survival in these storage conditions clearly demands further study.

There has also been interest with respect to thermal inactivation of C. difficile spores by thermal treatment. Rodriguez-Palacios and Lejeune [\(2011](#page-95-0)) reported that cooking food at a minimum of 96 \degree C for 15 min produced an inhibitory effect on C. difficile spores. However, minimallyprocessed fruits and vegetables are treated below these temperatures and therefore could be potential vectors of human infection (Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [2013\)](#page-96-0). The contamination source of these fruits and vegetables could be the use of organic fertilizer containing C. difficile spores, or irrigation or washing with contaminated water.

6.2 Routes of Food Contamination

As stated before, C. difficile is present in the intestinal contents of apparently healthy foodproducing animals, suggesting carcasses and meats could be contaminated during the slaughter process. A few studies have addressed the contamination of carcasses at slaughter. In pigs, C. difficile was detected in a total of 3 out of 20 carcasses (15 %) sampled at post-bleed and a further 3 out of 20 (15 %) at post-evisceration in a processing facility in Canada (Hawken et al. [2013](#page-93-0)). A further study reported a prevalence of 2.2 % and 2.5 % in antimicrobial-free pigs at post-evisceration and post-chill respectively (Susick et al. [2012](#page-96-0)). Harvey et al. ([2011b](#page-93-0)) detected 3 positive samples from a total of 10 sponge swabs collected from carcass hide, post-excision hides and ears from pigs in a processing plant in Texas. In Belgium, the prevalence reported in carcasses from slaughter pigs was 7 % (7/100) (Rodriguez et al. [2013](#page-95-0)).

C. difficile has also been described in cattle carcasses. In Belgium, the observed prevalence in cattle carcasses reached up to 7.9 % (8/101) (Rodriguez et al. [2013](#page-95-0)). In a study conducted in Pennsylvania, Houser et al. [\(2012](#page-93-0)) detected the tpi housekeeping gene in 4 out of 100 cattle carcass swabs by PCR, but C. difficile was not isolated using culture techniques. The same data has been reported in an Australian study of cattle carcasses sampled in the processing area of the slaughter line where none of the samples taken $(n = 151)$ were positive for C. difficile (Knight et al. [2013\)](#page-94-0). Rodriguez-Palacios et al. [\(2011b](#page-95-0)) reported 0 positive carcasses from a total of 168 samples analysed.. In a further study conducted in the USA, samples were collected from pig hides, pre-evisceration carcasses, postintervention carcasses and ground beef. The bacterium was detected in hides with a prevalence of 3.2 %. However, none of the carcass or meat samples tested positive, evidencing a low

Clostridium difficile in Food and Animals: A Comprehensive Review 81

(continued)

Table 4 (continued)

"Year when the study was conducted or year when the study was published
"Main PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature aYear when the study was conducted or year when the study was published bMain PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature

(-) Data not available or not applicable) Data not available or not applicable

Table 5 Presence of C. difficile in other foods sampling from farms, wholesalers or markets **Table 5** Presence of C. difficile in other foods sampling from farms, wholesalers or markets

 ${}^{\text{a}}$ Year when the study was conducted or year when the study was published bMain PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature "Year when the study was conducted or year when the study was published ${}^{\text{b}}$ Main PCR-ribotypes found with standard Cardiff nomenclature $(-)$ Data not available or not applicable

) Data not available or not applicable

contamination of the production chain (Kalchayanand et al. [2013](#page-94-0)).

Regarding the environmental shedding of C. difficile in processing facilities, little data is available. In seven hamburger processing plants in Iran, C. difficile was detected in 3.5 $% (2/56)$ of swabs taken from the environment. The authors suggested that this environmental contamination might be due to biofilm formation which could facilitate the attachment of spores (Esfandiari et al. [2014b](#page-93-0)). In contrast, in a further study conducted in three sausage-manufacturing plants, sponge swabs collected from equipment and facilities yielded no C. difficile isolates (Harvey et al. [2011b](#page-93-0)), while meat samples tested positive for the bacterium, indicating meat contamination with C . difficile from the intestinal contents of food animals.

The hands of food handers, especially of those who produce ready-to-eat food, are well-known vectors of foodborne pathogens, in most cases due to poor hygiene. However the impact of contamination of C . *difficile* by humans who handle foods without washing their hands has not yet been evaluated. In a previous study investigating the C. difficile contamination of foods prepared in-house at a Belgian nursing hom, only 1 out of 188 food samples tested positive for C. difficile. This positive sample was recovered from a meal composed of carrot salad, mustard sauce and pork sausage. However, as they were analysed together, contamination could have originated from any of the ingredients or as a result of manipulation (Rodriguez et al. [2015\)](#page-95-0).

7 The Threat of Zoonotic and Foodborne Transmission

The literature of the last decade has presented several hypotheses about C. difficile transmission (Bauer and Kuijper [2015\)](#page-92-0). Weese et al. [\(2002](#page-97-0)) reported a risk of zoonotic transmission of some animal diseases, including C . difficile, especially in small veterinary hospitals. Goorhuis et al. [\(2008](#page-93-0)) described PCR-ribotype 078 as frequently encountered in human CDI and in pigs

with diarrhoea in The Netherlands. A further study reported that this ribotype was the most prevalent type in pig, cattle and horse species worldwide, and also reported an increase in its prevalence in humans in different countries (Rupnik et al. [2008\)](#page-96-0). Other studies conducted in 2008 (Jhung et al. [2008](#page-93-0)) and in 2009 (Debast et al. [2009](#page-92-0)) showed a high degree of similarity between pig and animal C. difficile PCR-ribotype 078 toxinotype V strains, suggesting a common origin. Recently, Janezic et al. [\(2014](#page-93-0)) showed that the most prevalent C . difficile types in humans are also prevalent in different animals from different geographic areas, evidencing the potential for global dissemination of some strains.

In the twenty-first century, the development of different typing methods has allowed genome analysis and the comparison of animal, food and human strains (Griffiths et al. [2010\)](#page-93-0). The first study investigating the phylogeny of C. difficile by multilocus sequence typing (MLST) analysis reported that differences between phylogenetic lineages do not correlate with the type of host (human or animal) (Pons 2004). Lemée et al. (2004) (2004) studied the genetic relationships and population structures of 72 C. difficile isolates from various hosts and geographic sources, including human, dog, horse, cow and rabbit stools. Results obtained in the study showed that animal isolates did not constitute a distinct lineage from human isolates. In subsequent works, the same study group (Lemée et al. 2005 ; Lemée and Pons 2010) observed that animal isolates were intermixed with human isolates. In the recent years, clade 5 has been largely studied as it contains C. difficile PCR-ribotype 078 (Knight et al. [2015a\)](#page-94-0). This type was classically associated with animals, especially pigs (Alvarez-Pérez et al. [2013](#page-91-0)). However, lately it has been also reported in hospitals (Indra et al. [2015](#page-93-0)). At present, clade 5 seems to be highly heterogeneous and divergent from the rest of population (Janezic and Rupnik [2015](#page-93-0)).

Marsh et al. [\(2010](#page-94-0)) used multiple-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) to show that toxinotype V (REA group BK) human and animal isolates were highly related but differentiated. In another study conducted in the Netherlands (Koene et al. [2012](#page-94-0)), faecal samples from healthy and diarrhoeic animals were compared with human strains isolated from patients with diarrhoea and hospitalised patients. MLVA analysis showed a genotypic correlation between animal and human PCR-ribotype 078, but a distinction between human and animal PCR-ribotypes 012 and 014.

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) has recently been used to study the epidemiology of CDI and the genetics of C. difficile (Knight et al. [2015a](#page-94-0)). One such study investigated the evolutionary relatedness of C. difficile PCR-ribotype 078 isolated from humans and pigs (in farms) (Knetsch et al. [2014\)](#page-94-0). Results revealed that farmers and pigs were colonised with identical or nearly identical C. difficile clones (with zero or less than two single nucleotide polymorphism differences). These results supported the hypothesis of interspecies transmission between animals and humans; however, the existence of a common contamination source (in the environment) was also possible.

It seems that *C. difficile* occurs as a low-level contaminant in meats and other food products. Therefore foodborne transmission may be responsible for only a small proportion of human CDI cases (Curry et al. [2012\)](#page-92-0). However, other authors have reported no molecular relationship between clinical human and meat isolates and, therefore, that sources other than meat are responsible for CDI (Esfandiari et al. [2014a](#page-93-0)). At present, the human infectious dose for C. difficile is not known (Hoover and Rodriguez-Palacios [2013\)](#page-93-0) and the risk posed by the presence of its spores in meat and other foods is still not clarified. Among healthy people with normal intestinal flora, the ingestion of low quantities of spores may not have major repercussions. However, the consumption of these contaminated foods by vulnerable populations with gastrointestinal perturbations could lead to C. difficile colonisation and infection, or can contribute to the asymptomatic C. difficile carriage and transmission in the community.

8 Conclusions and Perspectives

Eighty years after its discovery, C. difficile continues to be the focus of attention in hospitals and an important topic for many research groups worldwide. Comparisons of strains have revealed that in some regions animals and humans are colonised with identical C. difficile clones or these strains cluster in the same lineage. Therefore, it is suggested that C. difficile should be considered as a zoonotic pathogen and that animals play an important role as reservoirs of the bacterium.

While many questions remain unanswered, next generation typing techniques must be applied in the future to study the relatedness of strains of human and animal origins. In this context, it will be interesting to assess the presence of C. difficile in close related human and animal populations, like pets and their owners or farmers in close contact with their animals. The analysis of the isolates by WGS analysis will definitively confirm the absence of host tropism of certain strains and the zoonotic transmission of the bacterium.

Acknowledgements Our most sincere thanks go to Cate Chapman and Josh Jones for their support in editing the manuscript.

References

- Al Saif N, Brazier JS (1996) The distribution of Clostridium difficile in the environment of South Wales. J Med Microbiol 45:133–137
- Alvarez-Perez S, Blanco JL, Bouza E, Alba P, Gibert X, Maldonado J, Garcia ME (2009) Prevalence of Clostridium difficile in diarrhoeic and non-fdiarrhoeic piglets. Vet Microbiol 137:302–305
- Álvarez-Pérez S, Blanco JL, Peláez T et al (2013) High prevalence of the epidemic Clostridium difficile PCR ribotype 078 in Iberian free-range pigs. Res Vet Sci 95:358–361
- Alvarez-Pérez S, Blanco JL, Martínez-Nevado E et al (2014) Shedding of Clostridium difficile PCR ribotype 078 by zoo animals, and report of an unstable metronidazole-resistant isolate from a zebra foal (Equus quagga burchellii). Vet Microbiol 169:218–222
- Álvarez-Pérez S, Blanco JL, Peláez T et al (2015) Faecal shedding of antimicrobial-resistant Clostridium difficile strains by dogs. J Small Anim Pract 56:190–195
- Arroyo LG, Kruth SA, Willey BM et al (2005) PCR ribotyping of Clostridium difficile isolates originating from human and animal sources. J Med Microbiol 54O:163–166
- Arroyo LG, Stämpfli HR, Weese JS (2006) Potential role of Clostridium difficile as a cause of duodenitisproximal jejunitis in horses. J Med Microbiol 55:605–608
- Asai T, Usui M, Hiki M et al (2013) Clostridium difficile isolated from the fecal contents of swine in Japan. J Vet Med Sci 75:539–541
- Avbersek J, Janezic S, Pate M et al (2009) Diversity of Clostridium difficile in pigs and other animals in Slovenia. Anaerobe 15:252–255
- Avberšek J, Pirš T, Pate M et al (2014) Clostridium difficile in goats and sheep in Slovenia: characterisation of strains and evidence of age-related shedding. Anaerobe 28:163–167
- Baker AA, Davis E, Rehberger T et al (2010) Prevalence and diversity of toxigenic Clostridium perfringens and Clostridium difficile among Swine Herds in the Midwest. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:2961–2967
- Bandelj P, Trilar T, Racnik J et al (2011) Zero prevalence of Clostridium difficile in wild passerine birds in Europe. FEMS Microbiol Lett 321:183–185
- Bandelj P, Trilar T, Blagus R et al (2014) Prevalence and molecular characterization of Clostridium difficile isolated from European Barn Swallows (Hirundo rustica) during migration. BMC Vet Res 10:40
- Bauer MP, Kuijper EJ (2015) Potential sources of Clostridium difficile in human infection. Infect Dis Clin North Am 29:29–35
- Båverud V (2004) Clostridium difficile diarrhea: infection control in horses. Vet Clin North Am Equine Prac 20:615–630
- Båverud V, Gustafsson A, Franklin A et al (2003) Clostridium difficile: prevalence in horses and environment, and antimicrobial susceptibility. Equine Vet J 35:465–471
- Berry AP, Levett PN (1986) Chronic diarrhoea in dogs associated with Clostridium difficile infection. Vet Rec 118:102–103
- Bojesen AM, Olsen KEP, Bertelsen MF (2006) Fatal enterocolitis in Asian elephants (Elephas maximus) caused by Clostridium difficile. Vet Microbiol 116:329–335
- Borriello SP, Honour P, Turner T et al (1983) Household pets as a potential reservoir for Clostridium difficile infection. J Clin Pathol 36:84–87
- Bouttier S, Barc MC, Felix B et al (2010) Clostridium difficile in ground meat, France. Emerg Infec Dis 16:733–735
- Broda DM, DeLacy KM, Bell RG et al (1996) Psychrotrophic Clostridium spp. associated with "blown pack" spoilage of chilled vacuum-packed red

meats and dog rolls in gas-impermeable plastic casings. Int J Food Micro 29:335–352

- Burt SA, Siemeling L, Kuijper EJ et al (2012) Vermin on pig farms are vectors for Clostridium difficile PCR ribotypes 078 and 045. Vet Microbiol 160:256–258
- Busch K, Suchodolski JS, Kühner KA et al (2014) Clostridium perfringens enterotoxin and Clostridium difficile toxin A/B do not play a role in acute haemorrhagic diarrhoea syndrome in dogs. Vet Rec 176:253
- Carman RJ, Evans RH (1984) Experimental and spontaneous clostridial enteropathies of laboratory and free living lagomorphs. Lab Anim Sci 34:443–452
- Clooten JS, Kruth S, Arroyo L et al (2008) Prevalence and risk factors for Clostridium difficile colonization in dogs and cats hospitalized in an intensive care unit. Vet Microbiol 129:209–214
- Cooper KK, Songer JG, Uzal FA (2013) Diagnosing clostridial enteric disease in poultry. J Vet Diagn Invest 25:314–327
- Costa MC, Stämpfli HR, Arroyo LG (2011) Epidemiology of Clostridium difficile on a veal farm: prevalence, molecular characterization and tetracycline resistance. Vet Microbiol 152:379–384
- Costa MC, Reid-Smith R, Gow S et al (2012) Prevalence and molecular characterization of Clostridium difficile isolated from feedlot beef cattle upon arrival and mid-feeding period. BMC Vet Res 8:38
- Curry SR, Marsh JW, Schlackman JL et al (2012) Prevalence of Clostridium difficile in uncooked ground meat products from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:4183–4186
- Dabard J, Dubos F, Martinet L et al (1979) Experimental reproduction of neonatal diarrhea in young gnotobiotic hares simultaneously associated with Clostridium difficile and other *Clostridium* strains. Infect Immun 24:7–11
- de Boer E, Zwartkruis-Nahuis A, Heuvelink AE et al (2011) Prevalence of Clostridium difficile in retailed meat in the Netherlands. Int J Food Microbiol 144:561–564
- Debast SB, van Leengoed LAMG, Goorhuis A et al (2009) Clostridium difficile PCR ribotype 078 toxinotype V found in diarrhoeal pigs identical to isolates from affected humans. Environ Microbiol 11:505–511
- del Mar Gamboa M, Rodríguez E, Vargas P (2005) Diversity of mesophilic clostridia in Costa Rican soils. Anaerobe 11:322–326
- Diab SS, Rodriguez-Bertos A, Uzal FA (2013a) Pathology and diagnostic criteria of Clostridium difficile enteric infection in horses. Vet Pathol 50:1028–1036
- Diab SS, Songer G, Uzal FA (2013b) Clostridium difficile infection in horses: a review. Vet Microbiol 167:42–49
- Donaldson MT, Palmer JE (1999) Prevalence of Clostridium perfringens enterotoxin and Clostridium difficile toxin A in feces of horses with diarrhea and colic. J Am Vet Med Assoc 215:358–361
- Doosti A, Mokhtari-Farsani A (2014) Study of the frequency of Clostridium difficile tcdA, tcdB, cdtA and cdtB genes in feces of Calves in south west of Iran. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 13:21
- Eckert C, Burghoffer B, Barbut F (2013) Contamination of ready-to-eat raw vegetables with Clostridium difficile in France. J Med Microbiol 62:1435–1438
- Ehrich M, Perry BD, Troutt HF et al (1984) Acute diarrhea in horses of the Potomac River area: examination for clostridial toxins. J Am Vet Med Assoc 185:433–435
- Esfandiari Z, Jalali M, Ezzatpanah H et al (2014a) Prevalence and characterization of Clostridium difficile in beef and mutton meats of Isfahan region, Iran. Jundishapur J Microbiol 7, e16771
- Esfandiari Z, Weese S, Ezzatpanah H et al (2014b) Occurrence of *Clostridium difficile* in seasoned hamburgers and seven processing plants in Iran. BMC Microbiol 14:283
- Firth C, Bhat M, Firth MA, Williams SH et al (2014) Detection of zoonotic pathogens and characterization of novel viruses carried by commensal Rattus norvegicus in New York City. MBio 5:e01933-14
- Frazier KS, Herron AJ, Hines ME et al (1993) Diagnosis of enteritis and enterotoxemia due to Clostridium difficile in captive ostriches (Struthio camelus). J Vet Diagn Invest 5:623–625
- Freeman LM, Janecko N, Weese JS (2013) Nutritional and microbial analysis of bully sticks and survey of opinions about pet treats. Can Vet J 54:50–54
- French E, Rodriguez-Palacios A, LeJeune JT (2010) Enteric bacterial pathogens with zoonotic potential isolated from farm-raised deer. Foodborne Pathog Dis 7:1031–1037
- Goorhuis A, Debast SB, van Leengoed LAMG et al (2008) Clostridium difficile PCR ribotype 078: an emerging strain in humans and in pigs? J Clin Microbiol 46:1157, author reply 1158
- Griffiths D, Fawley W, Kachrimanidou M et al (2010) Multilocus sequence typing of Clostridium difficile. J Clin Microbiol 48:770–778
- Gupta A, Khanna S (2014) Community-acquired Clostridium difficile infection: an increasing public health threat. Infect Drug Resist 7:63–72
- Hafiz S (1974) Clostridium difficile and its toxins. (Thesis Ph.D) Department of Microbiology, University of Leeds.
- Hammitt MC, Bueschel DM, Keel MK et al (2008) A possible role for Clostridium difficile in the etiology of calf enteritis. Vet Microbiol 127:343–352
- Harvey RB, Norman KN, Andrews K et al (2011a) Clostridium difficile in poultry and poultry meat. Foodborne Pathog Dis 8:1321–1323
- Harvey RB, Norman KN, Andrews K et al (2011b) Clostridium difficile in retail meat and processing plants in Texas. J Vet Diagn Invest 23:807–811
- Hawken P, Weese JS, Friendship R (2013) Longitudinal Study of *Clostridium difficile* and Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Associated with Pigs from

Weaning through to the End of Processing. J Food Prot 76:624–630

- Himsworth CG, Patrick DM, Mak S et al (2014) Carriage of Clostridium difficile by wild urban Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus) and black rats (Rattus rattus). Appl Environ Microbiol 80:1299–1305
- Hoffer E, Haechler H, Frei R et al (2010) Low occurrence of Clostridium difficile in fecal samples of healthy calves and pigs at slaughter and in minced meat in Switzerland. J Food Prot 73:973–975
- Hoover DG, Rodriguez-Palacios A (2013) Transmission of Clostridium difficile in foods. Infect Dis Clin North Am 27:675–685
- Hopman NEM, Keessen EC, Harmanus C et al (2011a) Acquisition of Clostridium difficile by piglets. Vet Microbiol 149:186–192
- Hopman NEM, Oorburg D, Sanders I et al (2011b) High occurrence of various Clostridium difficile PCR ribotypes in pigs arriving at the slaughterhouse. Vet Q 31:179–181
- Houser BA, Soehnlen MK, Wolfgang DR et al (2012) Prevalence of *Clostridium difficile* toxin genes in the feces of veal calves and incidence of ground veal contamination. Foodborne Pathog Dis 9:32–36
- Hunter D, Bellhouse R, Baker K (1981) Clostridium difficile isolated from a goat. Vet Rec 109:291–292
- Indra A, Lassnig H, Baliko N et al (2009) Clostridium difficile: a new zoonotic agent? Wien Klin Wochensr 121:91–95
- Indra A, Schmid D, Huhulescu S et al (2015) Clostridium difficile ribotypes in Austria: a multicenter, hospitalbased survey. Wien Klin Wochensr 127:587–593
- Janezic S, Rupnik M (2015) Genomic diversity of Clostridium difficile strains. Res in Microbiol 166:353–360
- Janezic S, Zidaric V, Pardon B et al (2014) International Clostridium difficile animal strain collection and large diversity of animal associated strains. BMC Microbiol 14:173
- Jardine CM, Reid-Smith RJ, Rousseau J et al (2013) Detection of Clostridium difficile in small and medium-sized wild Mammals in Southern Ontario, Canada. J Wildl Dis 49:418–421
- Jhung MA, Thompson AD, Killgore GE et al (2008) Toxinotype V Clostridium difficile in humans and food animals. Emerg Infect Dis 14:1039–1045
- Jöbstl M, Heuberger S, Indra A et al (2010) Clostridium difficile in raw products of animal origin. Int J Food Microbiol 138:172–175
- Jones RL (1989) Diagnostic Procedures for Isolation and Characterization of Clostridium difficile Associated with Enterocolitis in Foals. J Vet Diagn Invest 1:84–86
- Jones MA, Hunter D (1983) Isolation of Clostridium difficile from pigs. Vet Rec 112:253
- Jones RL, Adney WS, Shideler RK (1987) Isolation of Clostridium difficile and detection of cytotoxin in the feces of diarrheic foals in the absence of antimicrobial treatment. J Clin Microbiol 25:1225–1227
- Kalchayanand N, Arthur TM, Bosilevac DM et al (2013) Isolation and characterization of Clostridium difficile associated with beef cattle and commercially produced ground beef. J Food Prot 76:256–264
- Keessen EC, Donswijk CJ, Hol SP et al (2011a) Aerial dissemination of Clostridium difficile on a pig farm and its environment. Environ Res 111:1027–1032
- Keessen EC, van den Berkt AJ, Haasjes NH et al (2011b) The relation between farm specific factors and prevalence of Clostridium difficile in slaughter pigs. Vet Microbiol 154:130–134
- Kiss D, Bilkei G (2005) A new periparturient disease in Eastern Europe, Clostridium difficile causes postparturient sow losses. Theriogenology 63:17–23
- Knetsch CW, Connor TR, Mutreja A et al (2014) Whole genome sequencing reveals potential spread of Clostridium difficile between humans and farm animals in the Netherlands, 2002 to 2011. Euro Surveill 19:20954
- Knight DR, Riley TV (2013) Prevalence of gastrointestinal Clostridium difficile carriage in Australian sheep and lambs. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:5689–5692
- Knight DR, Thean S, Putsathit P et al (2013) Crosssectional study reveals high prevalence of Clostridium difficile non-PCR ribotype 078 strains in Australian veal calves at slaughter. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:2630–2635
- Knight DR, Elliott B, Chang BJ et al (2015a) Diversity and Evolution in the Genome of Clostridium difficile. Clin Microbiol Rev 28:721–741
- Knight DR, Squire MM, Riley TV (2015b) Nationwide surveillance study of Clostridium difficile in Australian neonatal pigs shows high prevalence and heterogeneity of PCR ribotypes. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:119–123
- Koene MGJ, Mevius D, Wagenaar JA et al (2012) Clostridium difficile in Dutch animals: their presence, characteristics and similarities with human isolates. Clin Microbiol Infect 18:778–784
- Kouassi KA, Dadie AT, N'Guessan KF et al (2014) Clostridium perfringens and Clostridium difficile in cooked beef sold in Côte d'Ivoire and their antimicrobial susceptibility. Anaerobe 28:90–94
- Lefebvre SL, Weese JS (2009) Contamination of pet therapy dogs with MRSA and Clostridium difficile. J Hosp Infect 72:268–269
- Lefebvre SL, Arroyo LG, Weese JS (2006a) Epidemic Clostridium difficile strain in hospital visitation dog. Emerg Infect Dis 12:1036–1037
- Lefebvre SL, Waltner-Toews D, Peregrine AS et al (2006b) Prevalence of zoonotic agents in dogs visiting hospitalized people in Ontario: implications for infection control. J Hosp Infect 62:458–466
- Lefebvre SL, Weese JS (2009) Contamination of pet therapy dogs with MRS and Clostridium difficile. J Hosp Infect 72:268–269
- Lemée L, Pons JL (2010) Multilocus sequence typing for Clostridium difficile. Methods Mol Biol 646:77–90
- Lemée L, Dhalluin A, Pestel-Caron M et al (2004) Multilocus Sequence Typing Analysis of Human and Animal Clostridium difficile Isolates of Various Toxigenic Types. J Clin Microbiol 42:2609–2617
- Lemée L, Bourgeois I, Ruffin E et al (2005) Multilocus sequence analysis and comparative evolution of virulence-associated genes and housekeeping genes of Clostridium difficile. Microbiology 151:3171–3180
- Limbago B, Thompson AD, Greene SA et al (2012) Development of a consensus method for culture of Clostridium difficile from meat and its use in a survey of U.S. retail meats. Food Microbiol 32:448–451
- Lizer J (2010) Development of a conventional pig model for Clostridium difficile infection and associated disease in neonatal pigs. Iowa State University, Graduate Theses and Dissertations
- Lund BM, Peck MW (2015) A possible route for foodborne transmission of Clostridium difficile? Foodborne Pathog Dis 12:177–182
- Madewell BR, Bea JK, Kraegel SA et al (1999) Clostridium difficile: a survey of fecal carriage in cats in a veterinary medical teaching hospital. J Vet Diagn Invest 11:50–54
- Magdesian KG, Leutenegger CM (2011) Real-time PCR and typing of Clostridium difficile isolates colonizing mare-foal pairs. Vet J 190:119–123
- Marks SL, Rankin SC, Byrne BA et al (2011) Enteropathogenic Bacteria in Dogs and Cats: Diagnosis, Epidemiology, Treatment, and Control. J Vet Intern Med 25:1195–1208
- Marsh JW, O'Leary MM, Shutt KA et al (2010) Multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis and multilocus sequence typing reveal genetic relationships among Clostridium difficile isolates genotyped by restriction endonuclease analysis. J Clin Microbiol 48:412–418
- Martirossian G, Sokół-Leszczyńska B, Mierzejewski J et al (1992) Occurrence of Clostridium difficile in the digestive system of dogs. Med Dosw Mikrobiol 44:49–54
- McBee RH (1960) Intestinal flora of some antarctic birds and mammals. J Bacteriol 79:311–312
- McNamara SE, Abdujamilova N, Somsel P et al (2011) Carriage of Clostridium difficile and other enteric pathogens among a 4-H avocational cohort. Zoonoses Public Health 58:192–199
- Medina-Torres CE, Weese JS, Staempfli HR (2011) Prevalence of Clostridium difficile in horses. Vet Microbiol 152:212–215
- Metcalf D, Reid-Smith RJ, Avery BP, Weese JS (2010a) Prevalence of Clostridium difficile in retail pork. Can Vet J 51:873–876
- Metcalf D, Costa MC, Dew WMV et al (2010b) Clostridium difficile in vegetables, Canada. Lett Appl Microbiol 51:600–602
- Metcalf D, Avery BP, Janecko N et al (2011) Clostridium difficile in seafood and fish. Anaerobe 17:85–86
- Miller MA, Byrne BA, Jang SS et al (2010) Enteric bacterial pathogen detection in southern sea otters (Enhydra lutris nereis) is associated with coastal urbanization and freshwater runoff. Vet Res 41:1
- Mooyottu S, Flock G, Kollanoor-Johny A et al (2015) Characterization of a multidrug resistant C. difficile meat isolate. Int J Food Microbiol 192:111–116
- Murphy CP, Reid-Smith RJ, Boerlin P et al (2010) Escherichia coli and selected veterinary and zoonotic pathogens isolated from environmental sites in companion animal veterinary hospitals in southern Ontario. Can Vet J 51:963–972
- Nagy J, Bilkei G (2003) Neonatal piglet losses associated with Escherichia coli and Clostridium difficile infection in a Slovakian outdoor production unit. Vet J 166:98–100
- Norén T, Johansson K, Unemo M (2014) Clostridium difficile PCR ribotype 046 is common among neonatal pigs and humans in Sweden. Clin Microbiol Infect 20: O2–O6
- Norman KN, Harvey RB, Scott HM et al (2009) Varied prevalence of Clostridium difficile in an integrated swine operation. Anaerobe 15:256–260
- Norman KN, Scott HM, Harvey RB et al (2011) Prevalence and genotypic characteristics of Clostridium difficile in a closed and integrated human and swine population. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:5755–5760
- Norman KN, Harvey RB, Andrews K et al (2014) Survey of Clostridium difficile in retail seafood in College Station, Texas. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Exp Risk Assess 31:1127–1129
- Orchard JL, Fekety R, Smith JR (1983) Antibioticassociated colitis due to Clostridium difficile in a Kodiak bear. Am J Vet Res 44:1547–1548
- Ozaki E, Kato H, Kita H et al (2004) Clostridium difficile colonization in healthy adults: transient colonization and correlation with enterococcal colonization. J Med Microbiol 53:167–172
- Pasquale V, Romano VJ, Rupnik M et al (2011) Isolation and characterization of Clostridium difficile from shellfish and marine environments. Folia Microbiol 56:431–437
- Pasquale V, Romano V, Rupnik M et al (2012) Occurrence of toxigenic Clostridium difficile in edible bivalve molluscs. Food Microbiol 31:309–312
- Perkins SE, Fox JG, Taylor NS (1995) Detection of Clostridium difficile toxins from the small intestine and cecum of rabbits with naturally acquired enterotoxemia. Lab Anim Sci 45:379–384
- Perrin J, Buogo C, Gallusser A et al (1993) Intestinal carriage of Clostridium difficile in neonate dogs. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B 40:222–226
- Pirs T, Ocepek M, Rupnik M (2008) Isolation of Clostridium difficile from food animals in Slovenia. J Med Microbiol 57:790–792
- Pons JL (2004) Clostridium difficile, nosocomial enteropathogen: phylogeny and virulence. Ann Pharm Fr 62:304–309
- Princewell TJT, Agba MI (1982) Examination of bovine faeces for the isolation and identification of Clostridium species. J Appl Bacteriol 52:97–102
- Quesada-Gómez C, Mulvey MR, Vargas P et al (2013) Isolation of a toxigenic and clinical genotype of Clostridium difficile in retail meats in Costa Rica. J Food Prot 76:348–351
- Rahimi E, Jalali M, Weese JS (2014) Prevalence of Clostridium difficile in raw beef, cow, sheep, goat, camel and buffalo meat in Iran. BMC Public Health 14:119
- Rieu-Lesme F, Fonty G (1999) Isolation of Clostridium difficile from the ruminal reservoir of newborn lambs. Vet Rec 145:501
- Riley TV, Adams JE, O'Neill G et al (1991) Gastrointestinal carriage of Clostridium difficile in cats and dogs attending veterinary clinics. Epidemiol Infect 107:659–665
- Rodriguez C, Taminiau B, Van Broeck J et al (2012) Clostridium difficile in young farm animals and slaughter animals in Belgium. Anaerobe 18:621–625
- Rodriguez C, Avesani V, Van Broeck J et al (2013) Presence of Clostridium difficile in pigs and cattle intestinal contents and carcass contamination at the slaughterhouse in Belgium. Int J Food Microbiol 166:256–262
- Rodriguez C, Taminiau B, Brévers B et al (2014a) Carriage and acquisition rates of Clostridium difficile in hospitalized horses, including molecular characterization, multilocus sequence typing and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial isolates. Vet Microbiol 172:309–317
- Rodriguez C, Taminiau B, Avesani V et al (2014b) Multilocus sequence typing analysis and antibiotic resistance of Clostridium difficile strains isolated from retail meat and humans in Belgium. Food Microbiol 42:166–171
- Rodriguez C, Korsak N, Taminiau B et al (2015) Clostridium difficile from food and surface samples in a Belgian nursing home: An unlikely source of contamination. Anaerobe 32:87–89
- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Lejeune JT (2011) Moist-heat resistance, spore aging, and superdormancy in Clostridium difficile. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:3085–3091
- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Stämpfli HR, Duffield T et al (2006) Clostridium difficile PCR ribotypes in calves, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis 12:1730–1736
- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Stämpfli HR, Duffield T et al (2007a) Clostridium difficile in retail ground meat, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis 13:485–487
- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Stämpfli HR, Stalker M et al (2007b) Natural and experimental infection of neonatal calves with Clostridium difficile. Vet Microbiol 124:166–172
- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Reid-Smith RJ, Staempfli HR et al (2009) Possible seasonality of Clostridium difficile in retail meat, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis 15:802–805
- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Koohmaraie M, LeJeune JT (2011a) Prevalence, enumeration, and antimicrobial agent resistance of Clostridium difficile in cattle at harvest in the United States. J Food Prot 74:1618–1624
- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Pickworth C, Loerch S et al (2011b) Transient fecal shedding and limited animal-to-animal transmission of Clostridium difficile

by naturally infected finishing feedlot cattle. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:3391–3397

- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Borgmann S, Kline TR et al (2013) Clostridium difficile in foods and animals: history and measures to reduce exposure. Anim Health Res Rev 14:11–29
- Rodriguez-Palacios A, Barman T, LeJeune JT (2014) Three-week summer period prevalence of Clostridium difficile in farm animals in a temperate region of the United States (Ohio). Can Vet J 55:786–789
- Romano V, Albanese F, Dumontet S, Krovacek K et al (2012) Prevalence and genotypic characterization of Clostridium difficile from ruminants in Switzerland. Zoonoses Public Health 59:545–548
- Rupnik M, Songer JG (2010) Clostridium difficile: its potential as a source of foodborne disease. Adv Food Nutr Res 60:53–66
- Rupnik M, Widmer A, Zimmermann O et al (2008) Clostridium difficile toxinotype V, ribotype 078, in animals and humans. J Clin Microbiol 46:2146
- Schmid A, Messelhäusser U, Hörmansdorfer S et al (2013) Occurrence of zoonotic Clostridia and Yersinia in healthy cattle. J Food Prot 76:1697–1703
- Schneeberg A, Rupnik M, Neubauer H et al (2012) Prevalence and distribution of Clostridium difficile PCR ribotypes in cats and dogs from animal shelters in Thuringia, Germany. Anaerobe 18:484–488
- Schneeberg A, Neubauer H, Schomoock G et al (2013a) Clostridium difficile genotypes in piglet populations in Germany. J Clin Microbiol 51:3796–3803
- Schneeberg A, Neubauer H, Schomoock G et al (2013b) Presence of *Clostridium difficile* PCR ribotype clusters related to 033, 078 and 045 in diarrhoeic calves in Germany. J Med Microbiol 62:1190–1198
- Schoster A, Arroyo LG, Staempfli HR et al (2012) Presence and molecular characterization of Clostridium difficile and Clostridium perfringens in intestinal compartments of healthy horses. BMC Vet Res 8:94
- Schoster A, Kokotovic B, Permin A et al (2013) In vitro inhibition of Clostridium difficile and Clostridium perfringens by commercial probiotic strains. Anaerobe 20:36–41
- Schoster A, Staempfli HR, Abrahams M et al (2015) Effect of a probiotic on prevention of diarrhea and Clostridium difficile and Clostridium perfringens shedding in foals. J Vet Intern Med 29:925–931
- Silva ROS, D'elia ML, de Magalhães Soares DF et al (2013a) Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea in an ocelot (Leopardus pardalis). Anaerobe 20:82–84
- Silva ROS, Santos RLR, Pires PS et al (2013b) Detection of toxins A/B and isolation of Clostridium difficile and Clostridium perfringens from dogs in Minas Gerais, Brazil. Braz J Microbiol 44:133–137
- Silva ROS, Ribeiro de Almeida L, Oliveira Junior CA et al (2014) Carriage of Clostridium difficile in freeliving South American coati (Nasua nasua) in Brazil. Anaerobe 30:99–101
- Simango C (2006) Prevalence of Clostridium difficile in the environment in a rural community in Zimbabwe. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 100:1146–1150
- Simango C, Mwakurudza S (2008) Clostridium difficile in broiler chickens sold at market places in Zimbabwe and their antimicrobial susceptibility. Int J Food Microbiol 124:268–270
- Snook SS, Canfield DR, Sehgal PK et al (1989) Focal ulcerative ileocolitis with terminal thrombocytopenic purpura in juvenile cotton top tamarins (Saguinus oedipus). Lab Anim Sci 39:109–114
- Songer JG (2000) Infection of neonatal swine with Clostridium difficile. J Swine Health Prod 4:185–189
- Songer JG, Anderson MA (2006) Clostridium difficile: an important pathogen of food animals. Anaerobe 12:1–4
- Songer JG, Uzal FA (2005) Clostridial enteric infections in pigs. J Vet Diagn Invest 17:528–536
- Songer JG, Trinh HT, Killgore GE et al (2009) Clostridium difficile in retail meat products, USA, 2007. Emerg Infect Dis 15:819–821
- Spigaglia P, Drigo I, Barbanti F et al (2015) Antibiotic resistance patterns and PCR-ribotyping of Clostridium difficile strains isolated from swine and dogs in Italy. Anaerobe 31:42–46
- Squire MM, Riley TV (2013) Clostridium difficile infection in humans and piglets: a "One Health" opportunity. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 365:299–314
- Squire MM, Carter GP, Mackin KE et al (2013) Novel Molecular Type of Clostridium difficile in Neonatal Pigs, Western Australia. Emerg Infect Dis 19:790–792
- Steele J, Sponseller J, Schmidt D et al (2013) Hyperimmune bovine colostrum for treatment of GI infections: a review and update on Clostridium difficile. Hum Vaccin Immunother 9:1565–1568
- Stull JW, Peregrine AS, Sargeant JM et al (2013) Pet husbandry and infection control practices related to zoonotic disease risks in Ontario, Canada. BMC Public Health 13:520
- Susick EK, Putnam M, Bermudez DM et al (2012) Longitudinal study comparing the dynamics of Clostridium difficile in conventional and antimicrobial free pigs at farm and slaughter. Vet Microbiol 157:172–178
- Thakur S, Putnam M, Fry PR et al (2010) Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance and association with toxin genes in Clostridium difficile in commercial swine. Am J Vet Res 71:1189–1194
- Thakur S, Sandfoss M, Kennedy-Stoskopf S et al (2011) Detection of Clostridium difficile and Salmonella in feral swine population in North Carolina. J Wildl Dis 47:774–776
- Thitaram SN, Frank JF, Lyon SA et al (2011) Clostridium difficile from healthy food animals: optimized isolation and prevalence. J Food Prot 74:130–133
- Uzal FA, Diab SS, Blanchard P et al (2012) Clostridium perfringens type C and Clostridium difficile co-infection in foals. Vet Microbiol 156:395–402
- Varshney JB, Very KJ, Williams JL et al (2014) Characterization of Clostridium difficile isolates from human fecal samples and retail meat from Pennsylvania. Foodborne Pathog Dis 11:822–829
- Visser M, Sephri S, Sepehrim S et al (2012) Detection of Clostridium difficile in retail ground meat products in Manitoba. Can J Infect Dis 23:28–30
- Von Abercron SMM, Karlsson F, Wigh GT et al (2009) Low occurrence of Clostridium difficile in retail ground meat in Sweden. J Food Prot 72:1732–1734
- Waters EH, Orr JP, Clark EG et al (1998) Typhlocolitis caused by Clostridium difficile in suckling piglets. J Vet Diagn Invest 10:104–108
- Weber A, Kroth P, Heil G (1988) Domestic animals as excreters of Clostridium difficile. Deutsch Med Wochenschr 113:1617–1618
- Weber A, Kroth P, Heil G (1989) The occurrence of Clostridium difficile in fecal samples of dogs and cats. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B 36:568–576
- Weese JS (2011) Bacterial enteritis in dogs and cats: diagnosis, therapy, and zoonotic potential. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract 41:287–309
- Weese JS, Armstrong J (2003) Outbreak of Clostridium difficile-associated disease in a small animal veterinary teaching hospital. J Vet Intern Med 17:813–816
- Weese JS, Fulford BM (2011) Companion animal zoonoses. In: Weese JS, Fulford MB (eds) Companion Animal Zoonoses. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK, pp 234–295
- Weese JS, Staempfli HR, Prescott JF et al (2001a) The roles of Clostridium difficile and enterotoxigenic Clostridium perfringens in diarrhea in dogs. J Vet Intern Med 15:374–378
- Weese JS, Weese HE, Bourdeau TL et al (2001b) Suspected Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea in two cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc 218:1436–1439
- Weese JS, Peregrine AS, Armstrong J (2002) Occupational health and safety in small animal veterinary practice: Part I--nonparasitic zoonotic diseases. Can Vet J 43:631–636
- Weese JS, Rousseau J, Arroyo L (2005) Bacteriological evaluation of commercial canine and feline raw diets. Can Vet J 46:513–516
- Weese JS, Toxopeus L, Arroyo L (2006) Clostridium difficile associated diarrhoea in horses within the

community: predictors, clinical presentation and outcome. Equine Vet J 38:185–188

- Weese JS, Finley R, Reid-Smith RR et al (2010a) Evaluation of Clostridium difficile in dogs and the household environment. Epidemiol Infect 138:1100–1114
- Weese JS, Reid-Smith RJ, Avery BP et al (2010b) Detection and characterization of Clostridium difficile in retail chicken. Lett Appl Microbiol 50:362–365
- Weese JS, Wakeford T, Reid-Smith R et al (2010c) Longitudinal investigation of Clostridium difficile shedding in piglets. Anaerobe 16:501–504
- Weese JS, Rousseau J, Deckert A et al (2011) Clostridium difficile and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus shedding by slaughter-age pigs. BMC Vet Res 7:41
- Wetterwik KJ, Trowald-Wigh G, Fernström LL et al (2013) Clostridium difficile in faeces from healthy dogs and dogs with diarrhea. Acta Vet Scand 55:23
- Yaeger MJ, Kinyon JM, Songer J (2007) A prospective, case control study evaluating the association between Clostridium difficile toxins in the colon of neonatal swine and gross and microscopic lesions. J Vet Diagn Invest 19:52–59
- Zidaric V, Zemljic M, Janezic S et al (2008) High diversity of Clostridium difficile genotypes isolated from a single poultry farm producing replacement laying hens. Anaerobe 14:325–327
- Zidaric V, Beigot S, Lapajne S et al (2010) The occurrence and high diversity of Clostridium difficile genotypes in rivers. Anaerobe 16:371–375
- Zidaric V, Pardon B, Dos Vultos T et al (2012) Different antibiotic resistance and sporulation properties within multiclonal Clostridium difficile PCR ribotypes 078, 126, and 033 in a single calf farm. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:8515–8522

Russian Kefir Grains Microbial Composition and Its Changes during Production Process

I.B. Kotova, T.A. Cherdyntseva, and A.I. Netrusov

Abstract

By combining DGGE-PCR method, classical microbiological analysis and light- and electron microscopic observations, it was found that the composition of microbial communities of central Russia regions kefir grains, starter and kefir drink include bacteria of the genera Lactobacillus, Leuconostoc and Lactococcus, and yeast anamorphs of the genera Saccharomyces, Kazachstania and Gibellulopsis. Fifteen prokaryotic and four eukaryotic pure cultures of microorganisms were isolated and identified from kefir grains. It has been shown that members of the genus Lactobacillus prevailed in kefir grains, whereas strains Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides and Lactococcus lactis dominated in the final product – kefir drink. Yeasts contained in kefir grains in small amounts have reached a significant number of cells in the process of development of this dairy product. The possibility of reverse cell aggregation has been attempted in a mixed cultivation of all isolated pure cultures, but full formation kefir grains is not yet observed after 1.5 years of observation and reinoculations.

Keywords

Kefir grains • Starter • Kefir drink • Composition of microbial communities • Lactic acid bacteria • Yeasts

1 Introduction

Kefir drink is a lactic and acetic acid/alcoholcontaining fermented milk. Kefir is a useful and

nutritious product with unique organoleptic qualities, having a positive effect on the human wellness (Otles and Cagindi [2003;](#page-125-0) Leite et al. [2013\)](#page-125-0). It is produced using a complex, naturally established microbial community of numerous microbial genera and species, which are assembled in an aggregated state, called kefir grains. Numerous research efforts to identify the precise structural and functional organization of

I.B. Kotova, T.A. Cherdyntseva, and A.I. Netrusov (\boxtimes) Microbiology Department, Moscow Lomonosov State University, Moscow 119992, Russia e-mail: anetrusov@mail.ru

the community have not been successful. Therefore, kefir, being essentially a natural probiotic, can not be classified as probiotic products on formal criteria. In this regard, identification of the members of kefir microbial community and the definition of their relationship is the actual problem. According to modern concepts, prevailing kefir grains microbiota includes yeast, lactic acid and acetic acid bacteria (LAB and AAB) of several groups (Angulo et al. [1993;](#page-124-0) Sarkar [2008;](#page-126-0) Leite et al. [2013\)](#page-125-0). There are mesophilic cocci from genera Lactococcus and Leuconostoc, mesophilic and thermophilic rods of the genus Lactobacillus, acetic acid bacteria, as well as fermenting and non-fermenting lactose yeast from genera Kluyveromyces, Dekkera and Saccharomyces could be found in various kefir grains (Simova et al. [2002](#page-126-0); Wang et al. [2008;](#page-126-0) Jianzhong et al. [2009](#page-125-0); Garofalo et al. [2015\)](#page-125-0). According to various observations (Wang et al. [2008;](#page-126-0) Dobson et al. [2011](#page-125-0)), dominant strains of bacteria in kefir grains' microbial community may change during kefir drink preparation. Also, the number of yeast cells increases during the process of kefir drink manufacture. Typically, kefir obtaining process includes kefir grains propagation in sterile milk (separate process), kefir grains growth and fermentation in starter preparation, removal of grains from starter and kefir drink obtaining with starter, prepared on the previous stage.

Kefir grains are elastic, slimy, cauliflower-like structures, varying from white to yellow in color, with irregular lobes, usually 0.5–2 cm in diameter (Leite et al. [2013](#page-125-0)). Kefir grains have been used for centuries in the Caucasus region as storage for the preparation of starter cultures and final kefir drink (Kabak and Dobson [2011\)](#page-125-0). Kefir drink is prepared by simultaneous lactic acid/alcoholic fermentation of milk, usually bovine, but it could be supplemented by goat, sheep, buffalo, and camel or mare milk too. It has a distinct flavor and viscosity due to a mixture of lactic and acetic acids, acetaldehyde, ethanol, acetioin, diacetyl, exopolysaccharides (EPS), $CO₂$ and other minor bioactive compounds, produced in microbial fermentation processes as bacteriocins, amino acids, peptides, vitamins B_{12} , B_1 , K and folic acid (Kabak and Dobson [2011;](#page-125-0) Leite et al. [2013;](#page-125-0) Garofalo et al. [2015\)](#page-125-0).

Several studies were conducted to determine the microbial composition of kefir grains that are used to produce this healthy drink in various places throughout the world: Portugal (Pintado et al. [1996](#page-125-0)), Ireland (Garbers et al. [2004\)](#page-125-0), South Africa (Witthuhn et al. [2004](#page-126-0)), Belgium (Ninane et al. [2007\)](#page-125-0), Taiwan (Chen et al. [2008\)](#page-125-0), Tibet, China (Jianzhong et al. [2009\)](#page-125-0), Brazil, Canada and USA (Miguel et al. [2010\)](#page-125-0), Turkey (Kesmen and Kacmaz [2011\)](#page-125-0), Italy (Garofalo et al. [2015](#page-125-0)). Culture-depended methods have revealed that dominant LAB in kefir grains are Lactobacillus spp. and Lactococcus lactis, but bacteria of Leuconostoc genus are present in low numbers (Chen et al. [2008](#page-125-0)). Some studies have highlighted the importance of combining both culture-dependent and culture-independent methods (i.e. DGGE-PCR) in order to have a more complete and precise picture of the microbiota thriving in kefir grains ecosystems (Chen et al. [2008;](#page-125-0) Leite et al. [2012;](#page-125-0) Garofalo et al. [2015\)](#page-125-0).

The use of preservatives and stabilizers is becoming subject to public concern and evertighter legislative control. Thus, manufacturers need procedures suitable to control the fermentation process, stabilizing microbial loads and extending kefir shelf-life without altering its traditional taste and flavor. An in-depth characterization of traditional kefir microbiota as well as the development of autochthonous starter cultures to be used by dairy factories seems to be essential pre-requisites to reach these goals and favor the production of kefir at industrial scale.

Furthermore, the study of structural and functional organization of the kefir grains has also a fundamental value, because kefir grain is a complex, naturally evolved, constantly aggregated, self-preserving and self-reproducing microbial community, which is considered by many scientists (Nielsen et al. [2014\)](#page-125-0), to be a new entity, and not only the sum of its components. Determination of kefir grains' microbial profile and exploring microorganisms of which they are composed can provide us with initial data for studying of cell-to-cell and population-to-population interactions which lead to microorganisms' close integration in such stable microbial ensembles in the form of 3D biofilm.

To the best of this authors' knowledge, there are no literary reports on the microbial composition of the kefir grains isolated and propagated from Russia. Though Caucasus region is considered to be the area of kefir grains origin, kefir grains then have spread all over Russia as well as other countries long time ago, in this respect they are still the same kind of grains. On the other hand in each region, depending on physicalchemical conditions of use and on production process flow, a "local selection" of kefir grains took place and the beverages taste differently. Consequently kefir grains from central Russia regions are most suitable for "Russian kefir type" production (in two stages), specifically under Russian conditions and in order to achieve final product's taste which is typical for those regions.

Therefore, comparative analysis of the microbial composition of kefir grain associations, starter, kefir drink, and the study of the properties of isolated pure cultures of bacteria and yeast will help to elucidate the role of different groups of microorganisms in the formation of the starter and the finished product (kefir drink). Knowing what species of microorganisms are transferred from kefir grains to the finished kefir beverage will help to establish a standard for the microbial composition of the final product. The aims of this study were to identify the microbial composition of Russian kefir grains' microbial community and to detect the microorganisms which are directly involved at different stages of kefir production, e.g. are transferred from kefir grains to starter and to the final product (ready-made kefir drink) using culture-dependent and -independent techniques, combining the results of scanning electron microscopy, viable counts on selective culture media for bacteria and yeasts and by DGGE-PCR analysis.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Biological Material

Constantly kept alive by regular re-inoculations, kefir grains, used for handicrafts (home-made) preparation of kefir drinks in the central Russia area, were used in this study. Several different samples of kefir grains were collected in Moscow region and then 12 samples were selected for the further studies. From those 12 samples, five were selected to make kefir drink and these products were tested for organoleptic properties with a panel of experts. The three best-quality kefir drinks were selected and from one of them, kefir grains were applied for this study as a model for development of microbial composition study algorithm and its standardization for largescale production.

2.2 Preparing of Starter and Kefir Drink

For preparing the starter, 1 g of wet, washed kefir grains was transferred to 300 ml of sterile skimmed cow milk and incubated at 20 \degree C for 24 h. Then, kefir grains were removed from the starter, washed intensively by sterile tap water and used for further propagation in a fresh portion of sterile milk. For the preparing of kefir drink, 15 ml of starter were added to 200 ml of sterile skimmed cow milk and further incubated at 20° C for 24 h (Fig. [1\)](#page-101-0). Samples of kefir grains, starter and kefir made of them were studied with microscopic examination, DGGE and inoculation to different kinds of media.

2.3 The Making of Fixed Stained Cells' Preparations

To study morphological characteristics of microorganisms speciments, fixed by flame and stained with methylene blue were prepared. They were examined under light microscope Biolam Co. (St.-Petersburg, Russia) with 90X objective.

Fig. 1 Scheme of the preparation of "Russian kefir" and of the investigations

2.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

For kefir grains architecture visualization, community morphological variety determination and for specific morphotypes detection the kefir grain slices were fixated in 2.5 % glutaraldehyde solution and in 1.0 % osmium tetroxide, dehydrated with ascending concentrations of ethanol and with absolute acetone, then finally were sputter coated with gold particles after Solontsov and Iudina ([1996\)](#page-126-0). Samples were observed under a scanning electron microscope AMRAY 1830 I (USA).

2.5 Molecular Biological Analysis of Prokaryotic Component of Samples

To detect microorganisms of community that cannot be cultivated, kefir grains, samples of starter and kefir drink were analyzed with DGGE-PCR method followed by subsequent sequencing.

2.5.1 DNA Isolation

The isolation of DNA from kefir grains, samples of starter and kefir drink was done according to a technique described by Boulygina et al. ([2002\)](#page-125-0). The DNA quantity and purity were assessed by optical readings at 260, 280 and 234 nm, respectively using spectrophotometer. Concentrations of the isolated DNA by using this method were 30–50 mkg/ml of the sample.

2.5.2 DGGE Analysis

Before carrying out DGGE analysis, a site rich $G + C$ nucleotides was added to obtained amplicons for what reamplification was done with primer, containing $G + C$ -clamp (515 F-GСclamp, Table [1](#page-102-0)). Obtained PCR amplicons were separated on the basis of their melting characteristics at 8 % (by volume of polyacrylamide gel) with concentration gradient of ureaformamide from 30 to 70 % [100 % corresponds

Table 1 The primers used in this study **Table 1** The primers used in this study Sanger et al. (1977), Lane (1991), Boulygina et al. (2002), Glushakova et al. (2014) Sanger et al. ([1977\)](#page-126-0), Lane ([1991](#page-125-0)), Boulygina et al. ([2002](#page-125-0)), Glushakova et al. [\(2014](#page-125-0))

to 7 M urea and 40 $\%$ (w/v) formamide]. The electrophoresis was conducted with a constant voltage of 70 V and temperature 60 \degree C for 20 h using TV400-DGGE (SCIE-PLAS, England). After the electrophoresis, the gels were washed with redistilled water, stained with SYBR® Gold and bands were visualized by a transilluminator at 470 nm wavelength. All visible bands were excised, placed in vials containing 20 mkl of distilled water and left in the refrigerator overnight to elute DNA from gels. 1 μl of each eluate were used as a template for the second PCR with appropriate primers. Reamplificated products were purified by electrophoresis in 1.5 % agarose gel, from which DNA gel bands were cut and purified using a DNA purification kit (Cytokine Co., Moscow, Russia).

2.6 Media and Isolation of Pure Cultures

To isolate of cultivated pure strains of bacteria and yeast cultures and for the further study of their properties we used the media, allowing the accumulation of lactic, acetic acid bacteria and yeasts: MRS broth and agar (Merck, Germany); M-17 agar medium (Fluka, Switzerland) supplemented with 10 % lactose; PDA medium (Scharlau, Spain); $7°B$ wort and wort agar (1.5 %, WA); liquid $7°B$ wort with $7%$ ethanol and wort agar (1.5%) with ethanol (WAE); Saburo medium and glucose-peptone medium (all – from SSC of Applied Microbiology, Obolensk, Russia). All media were sterilized in an autoclave at 0.5 atm. To prevent fungal growth, a sterile solution of cycloheximide was added in MRS and M-17 with 10 % lactose media to a final concentration of 200 mg/L. To inhibit the growth of bacteria, a sterile solution of chlortetracycline was added in the wort, WA and Saburo medium to a final concentration 100 mg/ L.

Active kefir grains look like swollen whitish granules with rubberlike texture, which can be elastically crumpled, and then restored to form, when attempting to grind them in a porcelain mortar with pest. Cutting with a scalpel did not

give the desired degree of homogenization. Therefore, to grind 1 g of the grains in a sterile porcelain mortar, a finely dispersed abrasive material (sterile zeolite powder) was added, which resulted in a sufficient homogeneity of the sample. In the process of grinding, 10 ml of sterile tap water was added to sample. Microscopic control of the resulting suspension verified the preservation of the integrity of the majority of cells by this treatment. Further 10-fold dilutions of suspension of kefir grains, starter and kefir drink were prepared to degree 10 ⁻¹⁰. 0.1 ml of each of 10^{-1} - 10^{-10} dilutions were plated (in duplicate) with a spatula onto Petri dishes with agar media (MRS, M-17 and WA). Inoculated plates were incubated at 30° C under aerobic conditions and in anaerobic boxes using Genbox packages (BioMerieux, France; [www.](http://www.apiweb.biomerieux.com/) [apiweb.biomerieux.com\)](http://www.apiweb.biomerieux.com/). After 3–5 days of growth, the plates were viewed, description of the colonies and microscopic examination were carried out, and re-inoculation of cells from individual colonies was performed into appropriate liquid medium in serum vials with rubber stoppers (MRS for bacteria, wort and glucosepeptone medium for yeasts). After their cultivation at 30 \degree C and at developing of turbidity, light microscopy was carried out, and examination for purity was performed by Koch method on solid media of MRS, M-17 with 10 % lactose, WAE (for bacteria) and WA, WAE, PDA, Saburo media and glucose-peptone medium (for yeasts). After confirmation of the purity, the cultures were again grown in liquid media and microscopically checked.

2.7 Identification of Pure Cultures of Microorganisms

The taxonomic positions of isolated cultures were further identified by complete sequencing of 16S rRNA gene and by phylogenetic analysis of nucleotide sequences. The isolation of DNA from a biomass of bacteria was done according to a technique described by Boulygina et al ([2002\)](#page-125-0). The quantity of the isolated DNA for the use of this method was 30–50 mkg/probe. The primary

analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequence of the studied strains was performed using the BLAST software package (Camacho et al. [2009](#page-125-0)).

The genetic identification of isolated strains of yeasts was carried out on the basis of the analysis of the nucleotide sequences of D1/D2 domain of 28S (LSU) rDNA region (Table [1,](#page-102-0) Glushakova et al. [2014](#page-125-0)). The species identification was performed according to the databases of CBS [\(www.cbs.knaw.nl](http://www.cbs.knaw.nl/)) and Genebank NCBI [\(www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)).

Isolated pure cultures were tested for the ability to use different sources of carbon and energy with the API-test (BioMerieux, France). The bacterial cells were grown in serum vials with a liquid MRS medium with glucose for 1–2 days, then they were separated from the medium by centrifugation in serum vials for 10–15 min at 5000 g and washed three times in sterile saline solution followed by centrifugation, then suspended in 10 ml of liquid MRS without substrate but with dye. The vials were shaken until homogeneity of cell suspension and aliquots were applied for API-test. For carrying out the API-test with yeast, their pure cultures were grown for 24 h on agar glucose-peptone medium to obtain isolated colonies. Then, several colonies were transferred by loop into 2 ml of sterile saline solution to obtain a suspension of the desired turbidity. 100 μl of the suspension was introduced into the vial with the API C medium and homogenized with a pipette, avoiding the formation of bubbles. The implementation of API-tests was performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions using the API 50CH kit for identification of lactic acid bacteria and API 20CAUX – for identification of yeasts and other fungi. Lactic bacteria-inoculated API strips were incubated for 48 h and for yeasts and fungi – for 72 h, at 30 \degree C for both. The interpretation of results was performed using the manufacturer's software [\(www.apiweb.](http://www.apiweb.biomerieux.com/) [biomerieux.com](http://www.apiweb.biomerieux.com/)).

The Gram-status of isolated bacteria was determined using a rapid test with 3 % KOH solution. The presence or absence of mobility and endospores in isolated cultures were determined by microscopy after staining. The test for the presence of oxidase was performed using a moistened oxidase discs (HiMedia, India). To test for catalase activity, a few drops of 3 % hydrogen peroxide were added to the colonies on an agar medium in a Petri dish.

To study the sensitivity of microorganisms to the presence of oxygen in the environment, along with changes in pH and temperature, the cells were grown at temperatures of 20, 30 and 37 °C , in the pH range from 3.0 to 8.0, in both aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

2.8 Long Storage of Kefir Grains and of Isolated Pure Cultures of Microorganisms

Periodic inoculations were used for the keeping of active kefir grains, isolates from them and pure cultures of bacteria. The grains were separated from fermented milk by a sieve, washed with sterile tap water, transferred into a new sterile container, filled with portions of sterile milk and placed in a refrigerator at 4° C every 1–3 weeks. The bacterial cultures were reinoculated every 3–6 months to liquid anaerobic MRS medium in vials and sealed with rubber stoppers and aluminum caps. Yeasts were grown on the beveled WA and glucose-peptone agar media for 6–7 days at 30 \degree C, then covered with sterile vaseline oil and kept in a refrigerator at 4° C for more than 6 months.

To test the ability of kefir grains and isolated microorganisms to maintain their properties during long-term preservation, to create a pool of standard inoculums and to avoid frequent re-inoculations lyophilization in the skimmed milk with 5 % glucose $+ 5$ % lactose (protective medium) was performed (Rapoport et al. [2009\)](#page-126-0). The washed young small kefir grains were placed in sterile Petri dishes and covered with two layers of sterile gauze fixed by adhesive tape. Isolated bacteria and yeasts grown at 30 \degree C, respectively, in a liquid MRS medium for 3–5 days and in glucose-peptone medium for 6–7 days in serum vials were concentrated by centrifugation at 5000 g for $15-20$ min. The supernatant was removed by syringe, and the cells were

resuspended in 1 ml of a protective medium. Prepared samples were frozen at -20 °C and placed for the lyophilization in Free Zone freeze-drier (Labconco, USA) at $T = -51$ °C and $P = 49$ kPa for 24 h. After drying, kefir grains and pure cultures were placed in sterile serum vials, which were closed by rubber stoppers with aluminum caps and then were stored at $4 \degree$ C. After 5–7 days of storage the samples were checked for viability. 0.5 ml of the appropriate sterile liquid medium was added to the vial, mixed well and the entire volume of the slurry was transferred in the serum vial with the same medium. After incubation of bacteria and yeasts at 30 $^{\circ}$ C for 2–7 days, the developing of significant turbidity samples were inoculated on appropriate agar medium in Petri dishes. The clot formation in the milk served as testimony to the vitality of kefir grains. Freeze-dried grains (0.1 g) were placed in sterile jars and filled with 100 ml of sterile milk (Lianozovsky milk factory, Moscow, Russia, 3.2 % fat content) at room temperature. The necks of the jars were covered with four layers of sterile gauze and fixed with circular rubber bands. The jars were left to stand at room temperature for 1–3 days, stirred daily, watched for changes in cultural characteristics and performed microscopy. The living kefir grains had to curdle milk, swell, ascend and form a "cap". The active grains were thoroughly rinsed with sterile tap water and used for the preparation of starter.

2.9 The Mixed Cultivation of the Isolated Microorganisms

To establish potentiality of forming artificial kefir grains with defined composition using microorganisms with prescribed properties and to observe the stages of aggregate forming we conducted mixed cultivation of all the pure isolated cultures. Defined and standard microbiota composition is one of the probiotic product characteristics which define organoleptic properties of final beverage. To observe the possible aggregation of isolated pure cultures of microorganisms, cells for kefir grains

"reconstruction", previously grown on a suitable liquid media (for bacteria – in MRS, for yeasts – in glucose-peptone medium) at 30 \degree C for 3–7 days. Then 1 ml of each pure culture of microorganisms was added to sterile 100 ml jars with 50 ml of sterile liquid MRS medium or sterile skimmed milk. The jar necks were covered by four layers of sterile gauze and food film, fixed by circular rubber bands, and then they were incubated at room temperature (about 20 \degree C), the development of mixed cultures was observed daily and thoroughly stirred. At intervals of 3–5 days, liquid mounts were made, fixed, stained with safranin and examined by light microscopy. These types of experiments were performed for 1.5 years.

3 Results

3.1 Process Stages of "Russian Kefir" Production and Morphological Variety of Kefir Grains, Starter and Kefir Drink Community

After 3 days, kefir grains turned the milk into curds, which swelled, surfaced and formed a "cap". While on the bottom of the jars they have not been observed, "eyes" (large $CO₂$ gas bubbles) can be seen in the thick clot. Microscopy of the liquid phase of samples showed an abundance of thin rods and small cocci, as well as a small number of large coccoid or oval cells appeared singularly, in pairs or in short chains. After the discount of starter and the washing of kefir grains with sterile tap water, both large and small white grains sized from 0.5 up to 2 cm in diameter were found (Fig. [1\)](#page-101-0). Electron micrographs showed that the surfaces of the kefir grains were smooth, bumpy and had a gelatinous matrix substance, which covered the cell clusters above in the form of a thin polysaccharide film (Fig. [2a, c\)](#page-106-0). Microbial cells are clearly seen from the surface in case of damage (after cutting with a scalpel). Cell-free cavities were observed inside the kefir grains (Fig. [2b\)](#page-106-0). Rare bands (perhaps it was the long thread of polysaccharide films) crossed these cavities. Rod-shaped

Fig. 2 Architecture of kefir grain microbial community: surface (a), the inner cavity (b) and the matrix (c) of kefir grain (SEM)

cells of different sizes were predominant in the community of kefir grains. Small coccoid and oval cells were presented in a significantly less amount, and yeast-like large oval and round cells were found in the amount of 1–3 in the field of view (Fig. $3a$, c). Clusters of globules (possible precipitated proteins) were seen in some micrographs (Fig. [3a, b](#page-108-0)).

The starter and kefir drink obtained in repeated cycles (Fig. [1\)](#page-101-0) had stable organoleptic properties and characteristic microscopic picture. The starter had a sharp, sourish taste and sour cream consistency with many "eyes", formation of which was not observed. A large number of small cocci and thin non-sporulating rods, a large number of dark-colored large diplococci and single typical yeast cells were observed in wet mount preparations. The kefir drink had a balanced taste and a thick sour cream consistency with many "eyes", also without which a layer formed. Small cocci and thin rods prevailed in the field of view, dark-colored medium-sized cocci in short chains and typical yeast cells were observed.

3.2 The Detecting of the Prokaryotic Microorganisms Composition Differences at Kefir Grains, Starter and Kefir Drink **Communities**

The comparison of the DGGE data for kefir grains, starter and kefir drink (Fig. [4](#page-109-0)) showed that many of the strains had approximately equal strength (except bands 7, 8 and 20) in grains, but in starter and kefir drink only six (bands 9–12, 15 and 17) and seven (bands 1–6 and 19) strains were dominant respectively. Also, three distinct bands (13, 14, and 16) with lower intensity were present in the starter sample. In the kefir drink, sample "blurred" bands in gel were also visible in these areas. In other parts of the gels of starter and kefir drink samples, bands or areas of very low intensity were observed, which could not be amplified. All well distinguishable bands (24 specimens) were sequenced consecutively (Fig. [4](#page-109-0) and Table [2](#page-110-0)).

In kefir grains samples, seven bands could clearly be seen (Fig. [4](#page-109-0)), represented by strains of the species Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris and lactis, Lactobacillus kefiri and Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens subsp. kefirgranum (Table [2\)](#page-110-0). Three prokaryotic strains (bands 7, 8 and 20) were dominant in this community: (1) a microorganism that was most closely cognate Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris strain MFPB22D06-03 (98 % similarity of 16S rRNA sequences), (2) a strain that was most closely related to *Lactobacillus kefiri* (98 % similarity of 16S rRNA sequences) and (3) Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens subsp. kefirgranum (99 % similarity of 16S rRNA sequences). The last microorganism, apparently, was presented in the form of three different strains (one was dominant and the other two were minor). Two strains of Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis also had insignificant number of cells in kefir grains.

Ten well visible bands (Fig. [4](#page-109-0) and Table [2\)](#page-110-0), attributed to members of the genus Lactococcus, were present in starter samples. These belong to different strains of the two subspecies of Lc . lactis subsp. lactis and Lc. lactis subsp. cremoris.

In the kefir drink samples, seven visible bands (Fig. [4](#page-109-0) and Table [2\)](#page-110-0) were attributed to representatives of the two subspecies of Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis and Lc. lactis subsp. cremoris.

Lactobacilli were not detected as distinct bands in starter and kefir drink. Probably, they are presented in quantities not detectable by this method. Thus, lactococci have profited in starter and kefir drink compared with a kefir grains sample and lactobacilli became a small group. Strain composition of kefir drink and starter seem to be similar, but the number of distinct bands in the kefir drink decreased in comparison with the starter. Thus, the same microorganisms (bands 9–12, 15, 17 and 1–6), which were not dominant in grains, prevailed in starter and kefir drink. Two organisms were most similar to various strains of Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris, and three others to three different strains of Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis. Not all strains present in kefir grains were developed in fermented milk products. So, lactobacilli were not revealed in

Fig. 3 Morphological diversity and spatial arrangement of cells in the microbial community of kefir grains. Different morphotypes of cells are presented, their close physical contact and location relative to each other can be seen (SEM)

them, although they were in significant numbers in kefir grains. At the same time, it could be noticed that cells in grains initially were in a "concentrated" state, i.e. kefir grains sample contained microorganisms in a significantly higher number than in samples of dairy products (starter and kefir drink). Moreover, closely related strains of lactobacilli gave a single band on the DGGE gel. However, even taking into account the above remarks, DGGE results indicated change in the dominant groups of microorganisms under development in milk and in the process of fermentation. Two bacterial

subspecies of the species *Lactococcus* lactis were detected in kefir drink and in starter. However, some strains were the same as prevailed in the starter, and the other part was replaced by other strains. At the same time, it could be argued that the lactococci are those microorganisms that pass from kefir grains in milk and then are able, through the starter, to get to the final product in significant amounts. Observed in microscopic starter and kefir drink preparations lactobacilli, apparently, is a minor group, the number of which is below the level of sensitivity of this analytical method (DGGE).

Fig. 4 DGGE gels photos of samples of kefir grains (a), starter (b) and kefir drink (c). Band's numbers correspond to that in Table [2](#page-110-0)

Table 2 Comparative analysis of microbial communities composition in the kefir grains, starter and kefir drink, determined by two methods with correspondent band

numbers on DGGE gels (band's numbers correspond to that in Fig. [4](#page-109-0))

(continued)

	Microorganisms detected in the communities				
Nf	Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. cerevisiae $KG-21.1$ (KJ162151)	Nf	Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. cerevisiae KG-21.1 (KJ162151)	Nf	Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. cerevisiae KG-21.1 (KJ162151)
Nf	Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. cerevisiae $KG-20.1$ (K.I162152)	Nf	Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. cerevisiae KG-20.1 (KJ162152)	Nf	Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. cerevisiae KG-20.1 (KJ162152)
Nf	Kazachstania unispora $KG-25.1$ (KJ162150)	Nf	Kazachstania unispora KG-25.1 (K,I162150)	Nf	Kazachstania unispora KG-25.1 (KJ162150)
Nf	Nf	Nf	Nf	Nf	Gibellulopsis piscis $K-30$ (KJ162153)

Table 2 (continued)

Notes: Nf not found, numbers in bold in parentheses correspondent to GenBank number accession numbers of submitted sequences of 16S rRNA genes of pure cultures isolated in this study

3.3 Determination of Microbial Composition of Kefir Grains, Starter and Kefir Drink Communities Using Cultivation (Classical Microbiological Analysis)

Classical microbiological analyses were performed in parallel by plate inoculation of samples suspension's dilutions on agar media. Analysis of platings of kefir grains samples showed that the total number amenable to cultivation in these media bacteria and yeasts did not exceed 10^9 cells per 1 g of wet biomass. More morphotypes of colonies grew on all media under anaerobic conditions than in the presence of oxygen. At the same time it should be noted that identical morphological colonies may be formed by various strains of microorganisms, and the same cells on different media and under different conditions can form morphologically different colonies. At least 8 colonies morphotypes for kefir grains were recorded on all media in total. By comparison of results of samples of kefir grains, starter and kefir drink it was found that no more than five morphotypes of colonies existed. It was also noted that some isolates did not grow at the second passage to liquid or solid media. At the same time, the growth of some

small colonies over or under large colonies was observed. In this case, the separation of the isolates was difficult because the cells of both colonies existed as co-culture, but could not grow alone in general. At the same time, part of the binary cultures managed to divide into two pure cultures or one of these components to isolate from them. Altogether we isolated fifteen bacterial pure cultures and ten yeast pure cultures (in accordance with its morphological features) from thirty-four primary isolates after checking for purity and after periodic passages.

3.4 Identification of Pure Culture Isolates and Their Properties **Determination**

A combination of molecular, morphological, physiological and biochemical tests were used to determine the taxonomic position of isolated pure cultures. The complete sequence of 16S rRNA genes was performed for pure cultures of bacteria and determination of the nucleotide sequences of D1/D2 domain 28S (LSU) rDNA region – for yeasts. The species names of isolated bacteria and yeasts were obtained by comparing the similarity of their nucleotide sequences corresponding to genes with sequences available

in the database of the NCBI GenBank ([www.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)), with a high degree of similarity (98–100 %). The sequences of all isolated pure cultures were deposited in the NCBI GenBank (Table [2](#page-110-0)). All isolated pure cultures were tested for the ability to use a variety of carbon and energy sources with API-test (BioMerieux, France). The auxiliary rapid identification of microorganisms was also performed. API-testing confirmed that the isolated bacterial cultures belong to the genera Lactobacillus, Lactococcus and Leuconostoc, however, in some cases, the taxonomic position of bacteria determined using API tests did not coincide with the results of molecular identification. The API-testing program observed identification as "doubtful", if the generic names did not match, and from "questionable" to "very good" if the species and subspecies names did not match. The API testing of yeast cultures confirmed the affiliation of nine isolates to the species of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, with identification observations from "acceptable" to "good". This does not contradict on the whole with the molecular identification, since a species Kazachstania unispora was previously called Saccharomyces unispora. Only for one isolate, Gibellulopsis piscis, API identification was deemed "unacceptable", which was explained by the absence of mold fungus in the database API 20 C AUX for yeasts. Like any other method, API-testing has its limitations and assumptions and is intended for identification of microorganisms, which are recorded in the database of API. Since the sequencing of the nucleotide basepairs is a more accurate method of identification, the results of molecular testing were used as the basis. To clarify the properties of pure cultures of bacteria, standard Bergey's tests were performed by (Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology [2007\)](#page-125-0). Their results were used to formulate the characteristics of the isolated microorganisms (Tables [3](#page-113-0) and [4](#page-115-0)). Prokaryotic microorganisms isolated from kefir grains, starter and kefir drink had a number of common features: they were Gram-positive; immobile; catalase- and oxidase-negative; asporogenous; belonged to aerotolerant anaerobes with a high

resistance to atmospheric oxygen; and were able to grow well under aerobic conditions. All of them were chemo-organo-heterotrophic, need in complex media, rich in organic matter, and carried out different types of lactic acid fermentation using a significant amount of sugars in D-form.

Four lactobacilli strains of two species and one strain of lactococci were isolated from kefir grains (Tables [3](#page-113-0) and [4\)](#page-115-0). Three members of the Lactobacillus casei specie had differed colonial and cell morphology and the spectrum of substrates used, but had a similar attitude to the physico-chemical parameters of cultivation. Another strain of Lb. casei, differed in its properties from the strains isolated from the kefir grains, as well as the culture of Lb. paracasei and three different strains of Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides specie (Tables [3](#page-113-0) and [4](#page-115-0)), differed in morphological characteristics and substrates preferences, but grew in the same range of temperature and pH, succeeded in isolating from starter. Isolated from kefir drink, three leuconostoc representatives were different from each other in morphology of cells, colonies, and the set of growth substrates used (Tables [3](#page-113-0) and [4](#page-115-0)). They also differed from strains obtained from the starter. The strains of Lactobacillus kefiri, isolated from kefir grains and finished kefir drink, were characterized by different morphological features and substrate preferences. The culture of Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris was also isolated from the kefir drink, whereas the microorganism of other subspecie (*lactis*) was isolated from kefir grains. Thus, fifteen pure cultures of lactic acid bacteria that are members of three genera (Leuconostoc, Lactococcus and Lactobacillus) were isolated from kefir grains and the corresponding starter and kefir drink. All isolated leuconostocs belong to the same specie of Leuc. pseudomesenteroides and, apparently, they are different strains since they have differences in morphology of cells and colonies and in the spectra of the used substrates. The lactococci were represented by two subspecies (lactis and cremoris) of one specie – Lactococcus lactis. Members of the species Lb. kefiri (two strains), Lb. casei (four strains) and

ł. $\ddot{}$ ł,

 \overline{a}

l. \overline{a}

ł,

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

l,

	Strains						
Substrate	Lactobacillus casei KG-3	Lactobacillus casei KG-5	Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis KG-8	Lactobacillus casei KG-9	Lactobacillus kefiri KG-17	Leuconostoc pseudome- senteroides S-2	Leuconostoc pseudome- senteroides S-7
L-arabinose	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	
D-ribose	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$
D-galactose	$+$	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$
D-glucose	$+$	$+$	$+$	$\ddot{}$	-	$+$	$^{+}$
D-fructose	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$	$\overline{}$	$^{+}$	$^{+}$
D-mannose	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$+$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$
D-mannitol	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$^{+}$
Methyl - α -D- glucopyranoside	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$	$+$	$+$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$
N-acetyl- glucosamine	$+$	$+$	$+$	$+$	$\overline{}$	$+$	$+$
Amygdalin	$\overline{}$	\pm		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$		
Salicin	$+$	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$\ddot{}$	-	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$
D-cellobiose	$+$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$
D-maltose	\pm	士	$+$	\equiv	-	$^{+}$	$^{+}$
D-lactose	$+$	\pm	$+$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$+$	$^{+}$
D-sucrose	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$
D-trehalose	$+$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$^{+}$
Inulin	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$
D-melezitose	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	\equiv	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$^{+}$
Amidon (starch)	\equiv	$\overline{}$	$+$	\equiv	$\overline{}$	\pm	$\overline{}$
Glycogen	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$
Xylitol	$\overline{}$	-	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	-	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$
Gentibiose	$\ddot{}$	$\ddot{}$	$+$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	\pm	$^{+}$
D-turanose	$^{+}$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	$\ddot{}$	$\overline{}$	-	$^{+}$
D-tagatose	$+$	$\ddot{}$		$+$		▃	$^{+}$

Table 4 Spectra of the substrates used by isolated cultures

Notes: $+$ used, $-$ not used, \pm the result was unclear or variable

None of the strain did not utilize glycerol, erythritol, D-arabinose, D- and L-xylose, D-adonitol, L-sorbose, L-rhamnose,

Inositol, D-sorbitol, D-melibiose, D-raffinose, D- and L-fucose, D- and L-arabitol

				Some properties		
Isolation source	Microorganism (GenBank number)	Description of colonies	Microscopic picture	The growth temperature	Attitude to oxygen	
Kefir grains, starter and kefir drink	Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. cerevisiae KG-21.1 (KJ162151)	Round white colonies. $d = 4-5$ mm, smooth, conical, matte, with homogeneous soft texture and toothed edge	Large oval grainy budding cells with vacuoles. Form ellipsoidal ascospores with a smooth wall	Under aerobic conditions, the cells grow well at 20–25, slow at above 30 and is absent at 37° C	Facultative anaerobes	
	Saccharomyces cerevisiae var. cerevisiae KG-20.1 $(K_{1162152})$	Round white colonies. $d = 8-9$ mm, wrinkled. flat, matte, with fine grain soft texture and wavy edge	Large oval grainy curved budding cells. Form ellipsoidal ascospores with a smooth wall	Under aerobic conditions, the cells grow well at 20–25, slow at above 30 and is absent at 37° C	Facultative anaerobes	
	Kazachstania unispora KG-25.1 (KJ162150) $(\text{previously}-$ Saccharomyces <i>unisporus</i>)	Round brown colonies. $d = 1.5$ mm, smooth, convex, matte, with fine grain soft texture and wavy edge	Large oval grainy curved cells. Form ascospores	Under aerobic conditions, the cells grow well at 25, slow at above 37 and is absent. at 45 \degree C	Facultative anaerobes	
Kefir drink	Gibellulopsis piscis K-30 (KJ162153)	Round white, slow- growing, immersed in agar colonies. $d = 3$ mm (on the ninth day), with concentric circles on the surface, convex, matte, with filamentous edge	Large curved oval budding cells and hyphae. In the early stages of development of culture is represented by yeast anamorphs	The cells grow well at $20-25$ °C under aerobic conditions	Microaerophilic	

Table 5 Pure cultures of eukaryotic microorganisms isolated from kefir grains, starter and kefir drink

Notes: + used, - not used

None of the strain did not use xylitol, Inositol, D-sorbitol, D-lactose, D-trehalose

Lb. paracasei (one strain) were found among lactobacilli, and the strains of the same species had morphological peculiarities and different substrate preferences.

Ten pure cultures of eukaryotic microorganisms classified in four strains were isolated from kefir grains, starter and kefir drink. It was found that three pure cultures of each strain (Saccharomyces cerevisiae KG-20.1, S. cerevisiae KG-21.1, Kazachstania unispora KG-25.1), obtained from three sources, were identical, i.e. these strains are "transferred" from kefir grains in starter at first and then, finally, to a kefir drink (Table [5](#page-117-0)). Two strains of the specie Saccharomyces cerevisiae were different in morphological features and spectrum of substrates used. The strain Gibellulopsis piscis was able to isolate only from kefir drink. At the early stages of development of this culture, yeast anamorphs was presented, and then formed the typical fungal mycelium, generating a limpid vegetative hyphae and erected conidiophores. The conidiophores were slightly differing from the vegetative hyphae, bearing one-two nodes with one-three subulate phialides. The conidia which formed were ellipsoidal or short cylindrical, in most cases, simple. Chlamydospores, single or in chains, with or without vitreous cells, abundantly formed on their ends or intercalarily after 10 days of incubation. Thus, the three pure cultures of yeasts that are members of two genera were isolated from kefir grains and from the corresponding starter and kefir drink. The fourth pure culture was a mold fungus (Gibellulopsis piscis K-30) obtained only from kefir drink (Table [5\)](#page-117-0).

3.5 Differences of Microbial Compositions of Kefir Grains, Starter and Kefir Drink Communities

Comparative analysis of the species composition of communities of kefir grains, starter and kefir beverage (Table [2\)](#page-110-0) showed that not all strains of cultured microorganisms present in the grains, were able to develop well in milk and dairy

products as planktonic cells. Thus, none of the strains of Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens subsp. kefirgranum, identified by using DGGE-PCR method, were possible to isolate using standard inoculations. At the same time, the number of strains which were not detected by DGGE analysis (probably due to the small number of cells) was obtained as pure cultures after plating (members of the Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides specie). It should be noted that not only the strains, that are numerically dominant in the community, were easier to isolate, but also those minor groups that are more suited to the culture conditions in media used in this study. Therefore, according to the microbiological analysis, we can definitely state about changing the composition of the microbial community in the row "kefir grains – starter – kefir drink" towards increasing coccoid cultures, but also argue that they are numerically dominated in associations of these dairy products.

Microbiological analysis allowed the revealing of the eukaryotic component of these communities in the form of "passing" from grains to the kefir drink of three yeast strains, as well as isolated, only from kefir drink, a mold fungus strain Gibellulopsis piscis K-30 (Domsch et al. [2007](#page-125-0); Kurtzman et al. [2011](#page-125-0)), which was not present in the plating of kefir grain and starter samples (see Table [3\)](#page-113-0). Using the DGGE analysis, data and direct microscopic observations, one may judge not only the changing of the component's composition (Table [2](#page-110-0)), but also the change of the dominant strains in associations of kefir grains and dairy products (starter and kefir beverage). Thus, according to the results of SEM, rod-shaped microorganisms, numerically dominated in grains, was confirmed by the intensity of the amplicon bands of lactobacilli members on DGGE gels. In dairy products, according to DGGE data, there was a significant increase in the number of coccoid cells, and that was also evidenced by microscopic observations (light microscopy) and does not contradict with microbiological analysis. Thus, using several methods, we found that in the consecution of "kefir grains \rightarrow starter \rightarrow kefir drink" succession of microbial communities occurs, which is

expressed in changes in species composition, ratios of microbial cultures and in changes of the dominant species. Lactobacilli were outnumbered by lactococci in the row of kefir grains to kefir drink and coccoid cells are dominating in the finishing product.

3.6 An Attempt of Kefir Grains Formation

There was recorded acidification and significant clouding of MRS medium, acidification and converting of milk to curds after five days upon pure mixed cultures inoculation. The formation of a thick dense sediment and turbidity reduction was observed in the MRS medium on the fourteenth day. The precipitate was "broke" into very small angular aggregates after vigorous stirring. When shaking milk, it was noted that the lower portion of the culture fluid had a significantly greater density. Microscopy showed the propensity of cells in both media to the formation of clusters and microaggregates containing different morphotypes of cells. The sediment of small grains, representing aggregates of rods and cocci of various sizes and large oval cells, covered with mucus, was located on the bottom of flasks in both media after 1.5 months of mixed pure cultures cultivation with regular re-inoculations. The aggregates in the milk appeared larger and friable, but contained less mucus than cellular aggregates in a liquid MRS medium. Thus, isolated pure cultures were able to form aggregates, resembling young kefir grains, however, the formation of high-grade artificial "kefir grains" were not yet observed even after 1.5 years of cultivation with regular re-inoculations in two media (skimmed milk and MRS).

3.7 Long-Time Storage of Isolated Pure Cultures of Microorganisms and Kefir Grains

It was shown that pure cultures of lactic acid bacteria isolated in this study were well stored at 4° C in the liquid MRS medium in serum vials, if they were subcultured into fresh medium every 0.5–2 months. Yeast cells can maintain their properties when stored in the WA and glucosepeptone agar medium, under mineral oil, at 4° C for 6 months. The checking of the viability of freeze dried cultures of the isolated microorganisms, kept in a refrigerator at $4^{\circ}C$, showed that their titer in all tested cases was not less than 10^5 cells per 1 mg of solid. Thus, lyophylization allowed the keeping of the culture without losing their properties for more than 1.5 years. The "revival" of lyophilized kefir grains samples (Fig. [1\)](#page-101-0) was performed at 2, 6, 12 and 18 months after lyophilization. It was found that the kefir grains do not lose the ability to produce good kefir starter after 18 months of storage in a lyophilized state. Storage experiments with lyophilized kefir grains will continue for more than 3 further years.

4 Discussion

It was known from ancient times that the products, obtained from milk by using kefir grains, have beneficial health effects, particularly for intestinal disorders and increasing lifespan. Now, kefir grains are widely used in industry to produce dairy products that suppress pathogenic microbiota (Rodrigues et al. [2005](#page-126-0); Londero et al. [2011\)](#page-125-0). Studies of kefir grains, whose compositions vary, are conducted in many countries (Garrote et al. [2001;](#page-125-0) Wang et al. [2008](#page-126-0); Jianzhong et al. [2009\)](#page-125-0). For example, with Argentine grains, researchers found 24 strains of yeasts and 23 bacterial strains. The microbial communities of three kinds of Tibetan kefir grains were similar in bacterial composition on 78–84 %, and in the composition of the yeasts – on 80–92 %. In general, lactic acid bacteria (lactobacilli and lactococci), isolated from kefir grains, can include several strains of the same species (Simova et al. [2002;](#page-126-0) Golowczyc et al. [2008\)](#page-125-0).

The DGGE-PCR method was widely used, throughout the world, to study the composition of kefir grains. Molecular methods allow indicating the microorganisms in community which cannot be detected by inoculation and growth: uncultivated, syntrophic, the ones that do not grow on the chosen media, the ones which initial amount in community was small, microorganisms with low growth rate. However, this method cannot be considered universal, as was shown by various researchers (da Cruz Pedrozo et al. [2010](#page-125-0); Chen et al. [2011\)](#page-125-0). If the composition of kefir grains includes a large number of microbial species, then there is no clear separation of electrophoretic bands during DGGE procedure. In addition, amplicons of closely related strains cannot be separated by this method. Species with minor amount of cells are difficult to determine by DDDE. Therefore it is necessary to apply several methods that could complement each other. In the case of kefir grains, minor species which were not determined by DGGE-PCR, can grow well in milk, accumulate there and then be readily isolated as pure cultures. There will not be a complete correspondence between the list of identified species determined and isolated by different methods.

In our study, 15 pure cultures of bacteria and four strains of microbial eukaryotes were isolated from kefir grains and related dairy products and further identified to the species level. It should be noted that not all members of the microbial associations, that are present in grains and dairy products according to DGGE, were obtained as pure cultures, as well as several isolated pure cultures were not detected by the molecular analysis (Table [2\)](#page-110-0). This partial discrepancy of results, due to the lack of a single universal method, which has no restrictions, for composition determination of complex multicomponent communities, has been noted by many authors (Garbers et al. [2004](#page-125-0); Wang et al. [2008](#page-126-0); Chen et al. [2008](#page-125-0); da Cruz Pedrozo et al. [2010;](#page-125-0) [2011;](#page-125-0) Chen et al. [2011](#page-125-0); Nielsen et al. [2014](#page-125-0)). It is noticed that DGGE-analysis cannot identify all closely related and/or minor strains in microbial associations, containing dozens and hundreds of members. Microbiological methods for the study of communities' composition also are not without drawbacks, because there is no universal media that supports the growth of all, without

exception, strains of the community. Culture conditions do not always repeat the conditions of natural propagation of microorganisms in associations, many cells can be in the uncultivated state, or will be able to give a stable growth only in co-cultures with partner (s) microorganisms. This should be considered when the results of molecular and classical microbiological analyses are compared. Therefore, in our study we applied a range of methods, combining DGGE-analysis, classical microbiological methods of cultivation and isolation of cultures, direct observations of microorganisms and their associations in the light and electron microscopes. This allowed us to most completely characterize the composition of the kefir grains' microbial community and to track microorganisms that are "passing" into the final product.

According to our data, the kefir grains' microbial community consists of more than a dozen strains of prokaryotes having approximately equal numbers, with the exception of three strains – one representative of each Lb. kefiri, Lb. kefiranofaciens and Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris species. The analysis of kefir grains microphotographs confirms the domi-nance of rod-shaped cells (Fig. [3a](#page-108-0)). High numbers of lactobacilli cells in kefir grains of different origin were noted by other authors (Garbers et al. [2004;](#page-125-0) Golowczyc et al. [2008](#page-125-0); da Cruz Pedrozo et al. [2010](#page-125-0)). However, the range of species may vary considerably depending on the origin of the kefir grains themselves. The presence of large quanities of cells in the kefir grains of the strains Lb. kefiri and Lb. kefiranofaciens, was noted in a number of papers (Garrote et al. [2001](#page-125-0); Golowczyc et al. [2008;](#page-125-0) Chen et al. [2008;](#page-125-0) Jianzhong et al. [2009](#page-125-0); da Cruz Pedrozo et al. [2010](#page-125-0)). We have isolated and described a culture of *Lb. kefiri*, but *Lb.* kefiranofaciens strains, presented in the grains and detected by molecular methods, could not be obtained in pure cultures. Based on the description of its properties, available from literature (Jianzhong et al. [2009;](#page-125-0) Lemieux et al. [2009\)](#page-125-0), it can be assumed that due to the active synthesis of exopolysaccharide kefiran

and tendency to grow in close associations, it develops poorly without partners. In addition to the DGGE-detected lactobacilli strains, members of the Lb. casei specie, obviously having a small number of cells in the kefir grains, were isolated in pure cultures. The amount of strains in the microbial community of starter and kefir drink was reduced, and quite different members of the association, than in the kefir grains, become the dominant microorganisms. So, the role of lactic acid cocci (strains of the genera Lactococcus and Leuconostoc) increases in dairy products according to the results of molecular and microbiological analyses, and DGGE detects only the presence of intense bands of different lactococci strains. At the same time, rod-shaped and oval cells in small amounts can be seen at microscopic preparations. On the contrary, the members of minor species of Leuconostoc preudomesenteroides, Lb. casei and Lb. paracasei were isolated by plating because, apparently, they can propagate better at suitable cultivation conditions in media used in this study. According to other researchers' data, lactobacilli are mandatory members of starter and kefir drink, but the presence and concentration of lactococci, leuconostocs, acetic acid bacteria and yeasts can vary and depends on the origin of kefir grains, from which they are produced (Garrote et al. [2001;](#page-125-0) Simova et al. [2002;](#page-126-0) Garbers et al. [2004](#page-125-0)). For example, as it was also observed in our study, changes of species composition and quantitative relationships of partners with a predominance of lactic acid cocci in the final product are shown for kefir grains from a different origin (Simova et al. [2002](#page-126-0); Dobson et al. [2011\)](#page-125-0). According to our data, lactococci are those microorganisms that are most easily transferred from kefir grains to milk and further able to enter through the starter in the final product in significant quantities. This property of lactococci is probably due to the formation of nizin-like bacteriocins which can suppress the development of Gram-positive bacilli in the same environmental niche.

In our study, neither molecular (DGGE technique) nor microbiological analysis of kefir

grains and dairy products obtained from it, have shown the presence of members of acetic acid bacteria (AAB) in microbial associations, in contrast to other authors' findings (Sarkar [2008;](#page-126-0) Leite et al. [2012](#page-125-0)). In the scientific literature, opinions about its presence in kefir grains and in the final product differ: some researchers believe AAB are small in number, but represent an integral part of these communities, others think that they are not obligatory and their availability depends on the individual samples of kefir grains. For example, Garrote et al [\(2001](#page-125-0)) revealed that *Lactococcus* lactis subsp. lactis, Lactobacillus kefir, Lactobacillus plantarum and Acetobacter species are present in all types of Argentine kefir grains, but strains of Leuconostoc mesenteroides, Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis biovar diacetylactis and Lactobacillus parakefir were detected only in some grains. According to Garbers et al ([2004\)](#page-125-0), AAB were absent in some grains, which was also the case for the samples tested in our study. The presence of members of the genera Acetobacter, Gluconobacter and even Bacillus was observed in the composition of kefir grains from Brazil, Canada and the USA, along with lactic acid bacteria (da Cruz Pedrozo et al. [2010](#page-125-0), [2011\)](#page-125-0). This fact again confirms the variations in the kefir grains microbial compositions of different origin, which was reflected in the mild sour flavor of our kefir drink. Kefir prepared with grains containing AAB should have a more acidic taste and lower pH in general. AAB could develop in kefir drink after yeasts components activity, producing ethanol, which, in turn, would be converted to acetic acid by AAB in the presence of oxygen. So, it would need certain conditions during kefir manufacturing to develop AAB in this beverage.

From the data of literature (Simova et al. [2002;](#page-126-0) Wang et al. [2008;](#page-126-0) Jianzhong et al. [2009;](#page-125-0) Golowczyc et al. [2009\)](#page-125-0) it is known that the composition of kefir grains includes yeasts (usually a lactose-negative yeast from genera Saccharomyces, Kluyveromyces, Kazachstania, Pichia, Candida, Torulopsis), and as minor components – even representatives of fungal genera Oidium, Penicillium,

Verticillium and others. In some samples of kefir grains, researchers observed the presence of yeasts from genera Zygosaccharomyces, Yarrowia, Dekkera and Cryptococcus (Witthuhn et al. [2005](#page-126-0); da Cruz Pedrozo et al. [2011](#page-125-0); Garofalo et al. [2015](#page-125-0)). We have also allocated ten isolates of yeasts from the grains studied, that after identification were members of three species of typical yeasts (from Saccharomyces and Kazachstania genera) and one species of mold fungi having a yeast anamorphs at the early development stages (Gibellulopsis piscis). SEM and light microscopy data demonstrated that the typical yeast cells presented in kefir grains were only in a small amount, and for isolation in pure culture pre-enrichment are required, whereas in milk and dairy products they developed best and can be obtained through simple plating technique by Koch method without previous enrichment. Mutualistic relationships of yeast cells with lactic acid bacteria promote their accumulation in milk (Spenser and Spenser [1997](#page-126-0); Ivanova et al. [2013](#page-125-0)). All yeasts isolated in this study are lactose-negative, and they are responsible for part of the fermenting process occurring in milk kefir by using glucose and galactose with production of ethanol and $CO₂$. Therefore, it is possible to postulate that their growth in kefir grains may occur at the expense of the galactose and glucose possibly released by lactose hydrolysis catalysed by β-galactosidase enzymes produced by LAB occurring in kefir grain microbiota. Among the species belonging to the Kazachstania genus, K. unispora was also found, even if it was less represented. K. exigua and K. unispora were previously detected in some kefir grains worldwide (Jianzhong et al. [2009;](#page-125-0) Leite et al. [2012](#page-125-0)). Many yeasts, especially Saccharomyces cerevisiae, are more resistant to both the active acidity and a high content of lactic acid in medium. A significant number of lactic acid bacteria, in turn, have a high resistance to yeast fermentation product – ethyl alcohol, frequently superior to that of the yeasts itself. The bactericidal effect of ethyl alcohol on living microorganisms generally increases with increasing the acidity of the medium.

The accumulation of alcohol and lactic acid by LAB and yeasts at a joint development in the rich media does not allow the development therein of foreign microorganisms. Most LAB for their development need vitamins and amino acids that yeasts can synthesize and excrete. LAB in the presence of yeasts can grow in the media in which they themselves do not grow. Coming into close physical contact with the yeasts, sometimes attaching themselves to their cells, LAB obtained a favorable environment. Inhibition of their growth rate by ethanol delays aging of the population and increases the lifespan of lactic acid bacteria in the community. At the same time, the growth of fungi cells in kefir grains association are being suppressed, and only if they enter into the milk with a significant change in physical and chemical conditions, cells of the mold fungi can reach concentrations, allowing them to be recognized by platings. The selected strain of Gibellulopsis piscis was isolated from the kefir drink, but it was absent in the platings of kefir grains and corresponding starter samples. There is information that in some kefir grains the presence of yeasts was not detected at all (Garbers et al. [2004](#page-125-0)).

Thus, the analysis of the literature and our own results suggests that the structure of the microbial community of kefir grains depends on the source of its origin and on the individual features of development.

Rod-shaped bacteria from Lactobacillus genus predominate in the investigated kefir grains, but lactic acid cocci dominate in numbers in kefir drink. Cells from the surface layers of aggregate transferred from kefir grains to milk when producing starter, because media and incubation conditions (free state, rich in milk protein and lactose medium, presence of air) are the most comfortable for them, and they are able to multiply rapidly in the milk. Those functional alternates – different strains of one species, metabolic capabilities of which are most adaptable to these physico-chemical conditions, in this case receive the advantage in development. The microbial community of one-day kefir drink is

almost similar in species composition of the starter's community, except for the replacement of species Lb. paracasei and Lb. casei by the specie of *Lb. kefiri*. This is probably due to further change of culture conditions during the kefir drink preparation using starter (lowering the pH, the formation of alcohol). Based on the analysis of our results, we can conclude that in the chain of "kefir grains \rightarrow starter \rightarrow kefir beverage" there is the succession of microbial community which exists, expressed in a change in its composition and proportions of the components. This is also confirmed by other authors' data (Simova et al. [2002](#page-126-0); Witthuhn et al. [2005](#page-126-0); Dobson et al. [2011\)](#page-125-0). The description of the pure cultures properties (Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology [2007](#page-125-0); Kurtzman et al. [2011\)](#page-125-0) isolated from kefir grains, allows for defining their possible roles in the life of this complex microbial association. Lactobacilli and lactococci convert lactose into glucose and galactose and further to lactic acid with reducing pH; yeasts produce ethanol and synthesize vitamins. In the studied community, isolated yeasts cultures cannot convert lactose into metabolizing sugars, therefore they relay on the lactic bacteria for the source of carbon and energy. On the other hand, yeast can consume oxygen fast, providing low-oxygen microenvironments for the lactic bacteria developments. In acidic medium, partial acidcaused denaturation of milk protein occurs. The species of bacteria capable of producing large amounts of exopolysaccharides (Lb. kefiranofaciens, Leuc. pseudomesenteroides) may participate in the formation of kefir grains matrix, as well as creating the viscosity of the final product – kefir. The role of G . *piscis* fungus in the community still remains unclear. They can grow only on hydrolyzed lactose of the milk after β-galactosidase enzymatic action of LAB and D-glucose and D-galactose evolving in the medium. On the other hand, having a microaerophilic nature, G. piscis can rapidly consume oxygen from the environment, oxidizing glucose and galactose, provided by lactoseutilizing LAB presented in the same batch, making the niche for anaerobic bacteria to develop more quickly.

We were able to trace the initial stages of the formation of aggregates in the experiments on the "reverse assembly" of artificial kefir grains involving pure cultures isolated by us. Despite the possible incompleteness of the species composition of mixed pure cultures, the cells have demonstrated the ability to implement the close contact and the formation of mucus matrix, but full formation kefir grains is not yet observed within 1.5 years of experiments with repeated re-inoculations. Although confirmation of the ability of some microorganisms isolated from kefir grains to create aggregates may be found in the scientific literature (Spenser and Spenser [1997;](#page-126-0) Golowczyc et al. [2009;](#page-125-0) Jianzhong et al. [2009](#page-125-0); Wang et al. [2012](#page-126-0)). Attempts to restore the kefir grains from the isolated pure cultures of bacteria and yeasts from artemisian grains will be continued with the hope to construct "artificial" kefir grains, which will have the same qualities as the original native ones.

We can conclude, that the studies of microbial compositions of kefir grains of various regions of world must be continued, as many aspects of metabolism of this complex microbial community remain obscure. More complex media should be developed which will allow the isolation of minor components of the microbial communities which are not propagated in the commonly used media, probable, due to lack of the nutritional components which are supplied by the partner's organisms in the close environmental niche of the kefir grains.

Acknowledgements This work was supported in part by Russian Scientific Fund grant № 14-50-00029.

Disclosure Section Authors disclose that they have no a conflict of interest, they have no commercial affiliations, consultancies, patents and/or licensing arrangements, that could imply a conflict of interest with regard to the submitted manuscript.

References

Angulo L, Lopez E, Lema C (1993) Microflora present in kefir grains of the Galician region (North-West of Spain). J Dairy Res 60:263–267

- Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology (2007) New York: Springer. <http://www.knovel.com/web/>
- Boulygina ES, Kuznetsov BB, Marusina AI, Tourova TP, Kravchenko IK, Bykova SA, Kolganova TV, Galchenko VF (2002) A study of nucleotide sequences of nifH genes of some methanotrophic bacteria. Microbiology (Rus) 71:425–432
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinforma 10:421
- Chen H-C, Wang S-Y, Chen M-J (2008) Microbiological study of lactic acid bacteria in kefir grains by culturedependent and culture-independent methods. Food Microbiol 25:492–501
- Chen S-J, Wang Q, Han J-R, Wang Y, Li Z-W (2011) Comparison of DNA extraction methods for the PCR-single-strand-conformation polymorphism analysis of kefir. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 27:193–196
- da Cruz Pedrozo MMG, Cardoso PG, de Assis LL, Schwan RF (2010) Diversity of bacteria present in milk kefir grains using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods. Food Res Int 43:1523–1528
- da Cruz Pedrozo MMG, Cardoso PG, Magalhaes KT, Schwan RF (2011) Profile of microbial communities present in tibico (sugary kefir) grains from different Brazilian states. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 27:1875–1884
- Dobson A, O'Sullivan O, Cotter PD, Ross P, Hil C (2011) High-throughput sequence-based analysis of the bacterial composition of kefir and an associated kefir grain. FEMS Microbiol Lett 320:56–62
- Domsch KH, Gams W, Anderson TH (2007) Compendium of soil fungi. IHW-Verlag, Eching, 860 p
- Garbers I-M, Britz TJ, Witthuhn RC (2004) PCR-based denaturing gradient gel electrophoretic typification and identification of the microbial consortium present in kefir grains. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 20:687–693
- Garofalo C, Osimani A, Milanovic V, Aquilanti L, De Filipps F, Stellato G, Di Mauro S, Turchetti B, Buzzini P, Ercolini D, Clementi F (2015) Bacteria and yeast microbiota in milk kefir grains from different Italian regions. Food Microbiol 49:123–133
- Garrote GL, Abraham AG, de Antoni GL (2001) Chemical and microbiological characterization of kefir grains. J Dairy Res 68:639–652
- Glushakova AM, Kachalkin AV, Chernov IY (2014) Yeasts in the flowers of entomophilic plants of the Moscow region. Microbiology (Rus) 83:125–134
- Golowczyc MA, Gugliada MJ, Hollmann A, Delfederico L, Garrote GL, Abraham AG, Semorile L, De Antoni G (2008) Characterization of homofermentative lactobacilli isolated from kefir grains: potential use as probiotic. J Dairy Res 75 (2):211–217
- Golowczyc MA, Mobili P, Garrote GL, de Los Angeles SM, Abraham AG, De Antoni GL (2009) Interaction

between Lactobacillus kefir and Saccharomyces lipolytica isolated from kefir grains: evidence for lectin-like activity of bacterial surface proteins. J Dairy Res 76(1):111–116

- Ivanova I, Iliev I, Haertle T, Chobert J-M (2013) Food or medicine? Future of lactic acid bacteria. Sofia University, Sofia, 180 p
- Jianzhong Z, Xiaoli L, Hanhu J, Mingsheng D (2009) Analysis of the microflora in Tibetan kefir grains using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Food Microbiol 26:770–775
- Kabak B, Dobson AD (2011) An introduction to the traditional fermented foods and beverages of Turkey. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 51(3):248–260
- Kesmen Z, Kacmaz N (2011) Determination of lactic microflora of kefir grains and kefir beverage by using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods. J Food Sci 76:276–283
- Kurtzman CP, Fell JW, Boekhout T (2011) The yeasts, a taxonomic study, 5th edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2100 p
- Lane DJ (1991) 16S/23S sequencing. In: Stackebrandt E, Goodfellow MC (eds) Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. Wiley, New York, pp 115–175
- Leite AMO, Mayoa B, Rachid CT, Peixoto RS, Silva JT, Paschoalin VM, Delgado S (2012) Assessment of the microbial diversity of Brazilian kefir grains by PCR-DGGE and pyrosequencing analysis. Food Microbiol 31(2):215–221
- Leite AMO, Miguel MA, Peixoto RS, Rosado AS, Silva JT, Paschoalin VM (2013) Microbiological, technological and therapeutic properties of kefir: a natural probiotic beverage. Braz J Microbiol 44(2):341–349
- Lemieux P, Precourt L-Ph, Simard E (2009) Use of Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens as a probiotic and a synbiotic. IPC8, Patent # 20090130072
- Londero A, Quinta R, Abraham AG, Sereno R, De Antoni G, Garrote GL (2011) Inhibitory activity of cheese whey fermented with kefir grains. J Food Prot 74(1):94–100
- Miguel MGCP, Cardoso PG, Lago LA, Schwan RF (2010) Diversity of bacteria present in milk kefir grains using culture-dependent and cultureindependent methods. Food Res Int 43(5):1523–1528
- Nielsen B, Gürakan GC, Ünlü G (2014) Kefir: a multifaceted fermented dairy product. Probiotics Antimicro Prot 6:123–135
- Ninane V, Mukandavambaje R, Berben G (2007) Identification of lactic acid bacteria within the consortium of a kefir grain by sequencing 16S rDNA variable regions. J AOAC Int 90(4):1111–1117
- Otles S, Cagindi O (2003) Kefir: a probiotic dairycomposition, nutritional and terapeutic aspects. Pak J Nutr 2:54–59
- Pintado ME, Lopes da Silva JA, Fernandes PB, Malcata FX, Hogg TA (1996) Microbiological and rheological studies on Portuguese kefir grains. Int J Food Sci Technol 31(1):15–26
- Rapoport AI, Khroustalyova GM, Crowe LM, Crowe JH (2009) Anhydrobiosis in yeast: stabilization by exogenous lactose. Microbiology (Rus) 78(5): 624–629
- Rodrigues KL, Caputo LRG, Carvalho JCT, Evangelista J, Schneedorf JM (2005) Antimicrobial and healing activity of kefir and kefiran extract. Int J Antimicrob Agents 25:404–408
- Sanger F, Nicklen S, Coulson AR (1977) DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 84:5463–5467
- Sarkar S (2008) Biotechnological innovations in kefir production: a review. Brit Food J 110(3):283–295
- Simova E, Beshkova D, Angelov A, Ts H, Frengova G, Spasov Z (2002) Lactic acid bacteria and yeasts in kefir grains and kefir made from them. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 28:1–6
- Solontsov IL, Iudina TG (1996) Scanning tunneling and electron microscopy of parasporal crystals in Bacillus thuringiensis. Microbiology (Rus) 65(2):235–240
- Spenser JFT, Spenser DM (1997) Yeasts in natural and artificial habitats. Springer, Berlin, 381 p
- Wang S-Y, Chen H-C, Liu J-R, Lin Y-C, Chen M-J (2008) Identification of yeasts and evaluation of their distribution in Taiwanese kefir and villi starters. J Dairy Sci 91(10):3798–3805
- Wang S-Y, Chen K-N, Lo Y-M, Chiang M-L, Chen H-C, Liu J-R, Chen M-J (2012) Investigation of microorganisms involved in biosynthesis of the kefir grain. Food Microbiol 32(2):274–285
- Witthuhn RC, Schoeman T, Britz TJ (2004) Isolation and characterization of the microbial population of different South African kefir grains. Int J Dairy Technol 57(1):33–37
- Witthuhn RC, Schoeman T, Britz TJ (2005) Characterization of the microbial population at different stages of kefir production and kefir grain mass cultivation. Int Dairy J 15:383–389

www.apiweb.biomerieux.com www.cbs.knaw.nl www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Adv Exp Med Biol - Advances in Microbiology, Infectious Diseases and Public Health (2016) 4: 123–125 DOI 10.1007/5584_2016 \oslash Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

Index

A

Acetic acid bacteria (AAB), 94, 117 Acetylputrescine (AcPut), 19, 20 Amines acetylputrescine, 19, 20 biogenic amines, 20 hydrogen sulfide, 21 $LytR$ gene, 19 polyamines, 18–19 putrescine, 19, 20 API-test, 99, 107 Arbitrarily primed PCR (AP-PCR), 38

B

Biogenic amines (BAs), 18, 20

C

Classical microbiological analyses, 106, 116 Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) in animals, 66–67 EFSA, 66 food contamination, 80, 85 in food-producing animals cattle, 73–77 goats, 77, 79 poultry, 77, 78 sheep, 77, 78 swine, 69–73 food products Africa, 80, 82 Asia, 80, 81 Canada, 80, 83 Central America, 80, 83 Europe, 80, 82 ready-to-eat/raw vegetables, 80, 84 seafood, 80, 84 seasoned ingredients, 80, 84 USA, 80–82 in horses, 68–69 household pets, 67–68 natural environment, 67 zoonotic and foodborne transmission, 85–86

Culture-based quantitative studies, 2 Culture-independent sequencing studies, 2

\bf{D}

Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis-PCR (DGGE-PCR), 38, 94–96, 115–116

E

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), 66

H

Human Lactobacillus spp. characteristics, 5 intertwined metabolism, 10–12 origin, 4 phenotypic properties API 50 CHL system (bioMérieux) profile, 5, 7 chemical composition, 7–8 enzymes, 6–8 metabolites, 5–6 phylogenetic division application, 9 fluorescent in situ hybridization, 10 functional prediction, 10 genotyping, 8 phylogenetic tree, 8, 9 real-time PCR, 10 16S rRNA gene sequences, 8, 10 16S-23S ITS-region rRNA, 10 promotional functions acetate, 12 butyrate, 12 cholesterol, 16–17 exopolysaccharides, 17 gases, 13–15 inulin, 12 mucin, 15–16 oligofructose, 12 propionate, 12 SCFAs, 11, 12 succinic acid, 13 suppressive functions amines, 18–21 phenolic compounds, 17–18

I

Intestinal Lactobacillus spp. adults, 21–22 antenatal factor, 28, 29 and biodiversity characterization, 2 core microbiota, 2 culture-based quantitative studies, 2 culture-independent sequencing studies, 2 epigenomic processes, 3–4 functional maps, 2 genomics and omics technologies, 2 healthy vs. dysbiotic microbiota, 2–3 immune-mediated signaling processes, 3 lipopolysaccharide, 3 metagenomic sequencing studies, 2 phenotypic heterogeneity, 4 structurally and functionally diverse microbiome, 3 host physiological functions gut microbial ecosystem, 31 probiotic (see Probiotics) in humans (see Human Lactobacillus spp.) individual variety of microbes, 27 infants and young children, 21 intranatal factor, 28, 29 meconium microbiota, 30 postnatal factor, 29–30 Swedish and Estonian children, 25–27

K

Kefir drink definition, 93 preparation, 94–96 vs. starter and kefir grain community architecture, 100, 101 eukaryotic microorganisms, 112–114 kefir preparation, 96, 100, 102 microbial composition, 105–106, 114–115 morphological diversity and spatial arrangement, 102, 103 NCBI GenBank, 105–107 prokaryotes isolation, 107–109 prokaryotic microorganisms composition, 102, 104–106 substrates, 107, 110–111 uses, 93 Kefir grain artificial kefir grains, 119 biological material, 95 culture-dependent method, 94, 95 culture-independent method, 94, 95 elastic, slimy, cauliflower-like structures, 94 fixed stained cells' preparations, 95 formation, 115 isolated pure culture eukaryotic microorganisms, 112–114 identification, 98–99

media, 98 of microorganism, 98–100, 115 NCBI GenBank, 105–107 physiological-biochemical properties, 107–109 prokaryotes, 107–109 substrates, 107, 110–111 microbial composition, 94 mixed cultivation, 100 molecular biological analysis DGGE analysis, 96–98 DNA isolation, 96 preservatives, 94 SEM, 96 stabilizers, 94 starter and kefir drink community architecture, 100, 101 eukaryotic microorganisms, 112–114 kefir preparation, 96, 100, 102 microbial composition, 105–106, 114–115 morphological diversity and spatial arrangement, 102, 103 NCBI GenBank, 105–107 prokaryotes isolation, 107–109 prokaryotic microorganisms composition, 102, 104–106 substrates, 107, 110–111 starter and Kefir drink preparation, 95, 96 structural and functional organization, 94

\mathbf{L}

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), 118 $LytR$ gene, 19

M

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF), 7–8 Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) analysis, 21 Multiple-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA), 85–86

O

Operational taxonomic units (OTU), 27

P

Phenotypic properties API 50 CHL system (bioMérieux) profile, 5, 7 chemical composition, 7–8 enzymes, 6–8 metabolites, 5–6 Phylogenetic division application, 9 fluorescent in situ hybridization, 10 functional prediction, 10 genotyping, 8 phylogenetic tree, 8, 9 real-time PCR, 10 16S rRNA gene sequences, 8, 10 16S-23S ITS-region rRNA, 10

Polyamines, 18–19 Probiotics antibiotic susceptibility AP-PCR and PCR-DGGE, 38 Human Microbiota Biobank, 38 lactobacilli by fermentation group, 36, 37 putative probiotics, 36 regulatory guidelines, 38 safe and efficacious probiotic product, 37–38 survival, 37 apoptosis and cell death, 32 attenuation of NF-kB activation, 32 clinical trials, 44–47 compounds/metabolites, 33 culture collection, 47 cytoprotective genes, 32 definition, 31 fecal lactobacilli counts, 33 functional properties antioxidativity against ox-stress, 42–44 bioactive compounds, 39–40

suppression of pathogens, 40–42 functions, 33 immunological mechanisms, 32 Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG, 33 mechanisms of action, 31, 32 probiotic strain L. fermentum ME-3, 34 QPS, 36 reactive species, 32 tight junction proteins, 32 Putrescine (Put), 13, 18–20

Q

Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS), 36

S

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), 96, 101, 103, 114, 118 Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), 10–12, 32

W

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) analysis, 86