

Chapter 18

Lovotics: Love and Sex with Robots

Adrian David Cheok, David Levy, and Kasun Karunanayaka

Abstract The publication of the book “Love and Sex with Robots”, late in 2007 by Dr. David Levy, heralded a new era in this somewhat controversial field. Human-Robot intimate relationships were no longer pure science fiction but had entered the hallowed halls of serious academic research. This book chapter presents a summary of significant activity in this field during the recent years, and predicts how the field is likely to develop. We will also detail about our research in physical devices for human-robot love and sex communication.

Introduction

The publicity department at Harper Collins in New York, who had published the original English language edition of the book “Love and Sex with Robots” [1], found an eager public in North America who wanted to know more. During the period immediately prior to publication of the book and for a few months afterwards, the topic caught the imagination of the media, not just in the USA and Canada but on a worldwide scale. During those months Dr. David Levy gave some 120 interviews, by telephone, email and in person; to newspapers, magazines, radio, and TV stations and to electronic media. Television interviews included an appearance on The Colbert Report [2]; as well as visits to Dr. David Levy’s home by TV crews from Russia, Canada, Austria, France, Germany, Switzerland and other countries.

A.D. Cheok (✉)
City University London, London, UK

Imagineering Institute, Nusajaya, Malaysia
e-mail: adrian@imagineeringinstitute.org; adriancheok@mixedrealitylab.org

D. Levy
Imagineering Institute, Nusajaya, Malaysia

Retro Computers Ltd, London, UK
e-mail: david@retro-computers.co.uk

K. Karunanayaka
Imagineering Institute, Nusajaya, Malaysia
e-mail: kasun@imagineeringinstitute.org

There was also, not surprisingly, a flurry of interest from women's magazines, including *Elle* and *Marie Claire*. And the coverage in general science publications included articles in *IEEE Technology and Society Magazine*, *MIT Technology Review*, *Scientific American*, and *Wired*.

In the academic world there has already been sufficient coverage of the topic to demonstrate rather convincingly that it is of interest not only for mainstream media. An academically rewritten version of the book became Dr. David Levy's PhD thesis "Intimate Relationships with Artificial Partners" – at the University of Maastricht, where the thesis defense in October 2007 attracted more media publicity than any previous PhD in the university's history. Conferences on robotics, AI and other Computer Science related subjects began to accept and even invite papers on the subject, and there have thus far been two conferences devoted specifically to Human-Robot Personal Relationships. In 2014 the First International Congress on Love and Sex with Robots was held in Madeira. The academic journals that have since chosen to publish papers on the topic have included: *Accountability in Research, AI and Society*, *Artificial Intelligence*, *Current Sociology*, *Ethics and Information Technology*, *Futures*, *Industrial Robot*, *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, *International Journal of Social Development*, *International Journal of Social Robotics*, *International Journal of Technoethics*, *New Media and Society*, *Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences*, *Philosophy Technology*, *Social Robotics*, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, and various publications from the IEEE, Springer and other highly respected technology stables. One paper, from Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand, achieved a high profile in the general media when it appeared in 2012 for its entertaining depiction of a future scenario in the red light district of Amsterdam – a life, in 2050, revolving around android prostitutes "who are clean of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), not smuggled in from Eastern Europe and forced into slavery, the city council will have direct control over android sex workers controlling prices, hours of operations and sexual services" [3].

Since the initial burst of media interest late in 2007 there have also been TV documentaries and feature movies in which sex with robots, virtual characters, or with life-sized sex dolls was the dominant theme: *Lars and the Real Girl*, *Meaning of Robots* (which had its premiere at the 2012 Sundance Festival), *My Sex Robot*, *Her* (2013), and the BBC TV documentary *Guys and Dolls* as well as the 2004 remake of *The Stepford Wives*. This points out that it is the sexual nature of the subject matter which is responsible. *Sex Sells*.

Following the storm of publicity created by the launch of the Dr. David Levy's book and the defense of his thesis in 2007, the subject of human-robot romantic and intimate relationships rapidly developed into an academic research discipline in its own right. The subject was named "Lovotics", a term coined during discussions at the National University of Singapore between Adrian David Cheok, Sam Ge and Hooman Samani, and first mentioned in the literature in 2009 [4]. In his PhD thesis

in 2011, Adrian David Cheok's student Hooman Samani explored certain aspects of Lovotics, and describes the design and development of a hardware platform – a robot – which was capable of experiencing complex and human-like biological and emotional states that were governed by artificial hormones within its system [5]. Samani's robot was a novel advanced artificial intelligence system and is described in a little more detail in Sections below.

The interest in this field from the academic community resulted, in 2013, in the founding of a journal and e-journal devoted entirely to the subject, whose Editor-in-Chief is Adrian David Cheok. Lovotics [6] defines its own domain as “Academic Studies of Love and Friendship with Robots” (Fig. 18.1).




Fig. 18.1 Journal lovotics, academic studies of love and friendship with robots (Image used with permission)

The First Crude Sex Robot

One of the most often asked questions in media interviews with the Dr. David Levy in 2007–2008 was this: “How soon do you think the first sex robots will be on the market?” His consistent response was that the technologies necessary to create a crude sex robot were already available, and therefore it would probably not be more than 2–3 years before some enterprising entrepreneur(s) put these technologies together. For example, a sex doll with certain parts vibrating and some sexy synthetic speech would be a significant step up for those customers who have hitherto purchased just a static sex doll. This applies equally to a malebot as to a fembot – the worldwide commercial success of female vibrators indicates that a male sex doll endowed with a well-designed vibrating penis would be a good start in that direction.

Late in 2009 publicity began to appear in the media about a “sex robot” developed by a New Jersey entrepreneur, Douglas Hines. His web site www.truecompanion.com proudly proclaimed that

We have been designing “Roxxy TrueCompanion”, your TrueCompanion.com sex robot, for many years, making sure that she: knows your name, your likes and dislikes, can carry on a discussion and expresses her love to you and be your loving friend. She can talk to you, listen to you and feel your touch. She can even have an orgasm!

Other amazing claims on the [truecompanion.com](http://www.truecompanion.com) site included:

She also has a personality which is matched exactly as much as possible to your personality. So she likes what you like, dislikes what you dislike, etc. She also has moods during the day just like real people! She can be sleepy, conversational or she can “be in the mood”!

and

Roxxy also has a heartbeat and a circulatory system! The circulatory system helps heat the inside of her body.

and

She can talk to you about soccer, about your stocks in the stock market, etc.

For millions of men eagerly awaiting the next major technological development that would enhance their sex lives, the announcements about Roxxy probably seemed too good to be true. And they were! The press launch of Roxxy took place at the Adult Entertainment Expo in Las Vegas on January 9th 2010, but it posed more questions than it answered. It appeared, for example, that touching Roxxy’s hand caused it to exclaim that “I like holding hands with you”, but what does that prove? Only that an electronic sensor was linked to some sort of recorded sound output. It was not a demonstration of the speech technology that would be needed in a talking conversational robot. And furthermore, Hines’s behaviour during the demonstration prompted the question – how much of the technology was inside Roxxy and how much in the computer or whatever electronics were located behind the prototype?

The media hype surrounding Hines's launch in Las Vegas seems to have attracted the attention of many prospective customers for Roxxy's supposedly seductive charms. At the beginning of February 2010 Hines's web site started to take orders for Roxxy, advertising the product at a "sale price" of \$6,495, which it claimed represented a reduction of \$500. Accompanying the invitation to place an order, the site also presented a "Master Agreement" that extended to 15 clauses of legalese, covering the purchase of Roxxy and subscriptions to associated services, but the "RETURNS, REFUNDS AND CANCELLATION POLICY" of that agreement (clause 12.1) made it clear that once production of a customer's Roxxy commenced, the purchaser could not get any of their money refunded. This begs the question – why would any prospective customer be willing to part with their money without any possibility of recovery, when there had been no public demonstration or independent product review of a fully working Roxxy that could perform as advertised?

Shortly after truecompanion.com started taking orders for Roxxy, various news sites posted comments such as:

Roxxy won't be available for delivery for several months, but Hines is taking pre-orders through his Web site, TrueCompanion.com, where thousands of men have signed up.

Doubts about Roxxy persist to this day (July 2015). Dr. David Levy wrote an exposé entitled "Roxxy the 'Sex Robot' – Real or Fake?" and posted it on www.fembotcentral.com. And the Wikipedia entry for Roxxy [7] includes the following:

According to Douglas Hines, Roxxy garnered about 4,000 pre-orders shortly after its AEE¹ reveal in 2010. However, to date, no actual customers have ever surfaced with a Roxxy doll, and the public has remained skeptical that any commercial Roxxy dolls have ever been produced.

If it is true that Hines received 4,000 pre-orders then he would have raked in something over \$20 million for those orders, since his web site demands payment in advance. But as the above extract from the Wikipedia entry indicates, neither Hines himself or any of his customers has demonstrated, in public or to reputable media, the advertised features of Roxxy actually working. Three years after its "launch" there still appears to be absolutely no sign of a demonstrable product that can talk about Manchester United (as Hines claimed Roxxy could do) or perform in the other ways that Hines's advertising blurb claimed for Roxxy.

Despite all the negative aspects of Hines's operation and of the product itself, the launch of Roxxy at the January 2010 Adult Entertainment Expo can be viewed as some sort of milestone – a vindication of the forecast for a 2–3 year time span from late 2007 to the launch of the world's first commercially available sex robot. Hines has proved that there is indeed a significant level of interest in sex robots from the buying public.

¹Adult Entertainment Expo

Lovotics

Hooman Samani's PhD thesis describes the design and development, of a robot aimed at imitating the human affection process so as to engender attraction, affection and attachment from human users towards the robot [5]. In his thesis abstract Samani summarizes the design of the robot thus:

The artificial intelligence of the robot employs probabilistic mathematical models for the formulation of love. An artificial endocrine system is implemented in the robot by imitating human endocrine functionalities. Thus, the robot has the capability of experiencing complex and human-like biological and emotional states as governed by the artificial hormones within its system. The robot goes through various affective states during the interaction with the user. It also builds a database of interacting users and keeps the record of the previous interactions and degree of love.

The artificial intelligence of the Lovotics robot includes three modules: the Artificial Endocrine System, which is based on the physiology of love; the Probabilistic Love Assembly, which is based on the psychology of falling in love; and the Affective State Transition, which is based on human emotions. These three modules collaborate to generate realistic emotion-driven behaviors by the robot.

The next four subsections summarize the formulation of love that underpins much of Samani's work, as well as the three software modules of the system mentioned above. The combined effect of these modules is to provide an artificially intelligent model that can display a range of emotions, adjusting its affective state according to the nature and intensity of its interactions with humans. The goal is to develop a robotic system that can exude affection for the user and react appropriately to affection from the user.

The Formulation of Love

The robot's intimacy software employs parameters derived and quantified from five of the most important reasons for falling in love [1]: proximity, repeated exposure, attachment, similarity and attraction. Intimacy in the robot is thereby related to those same factors that cause humans to fall in love. The robot utilizes audio and haptic channels in order to provide these different types of input which communicate the user's emotional state to the robot [8]. The audio channel carries data for five audio parameters that characterize emotional cues within a human voice. The haptic channel carries data relating to the user touching the robot – the area of contact between robot and human and the force of that touch.

The Lovotics robot includes mathematical models for those five causal factors of love, creating a mathematical formula to represent each factor as well as a single "overall intimacy" formula which combines these five individual formulae into one. As an example of the five models, the proximity formula incorporates various

distances between robot and human that indicate, *inter alia*, how closely the robot and human are to touching each other, and how close they are emotionally.

The Probability of Love

The robot algorithm has taken account of the various factors that can engender human love, in order to develop a systematic method for assessing the level of love between a robot and a human. This is achieved by formulating probabilistic mathematical models for these factors, which in turn enable the robot to determine the level of intimacy between humans and robots. These models can be represented in a Bayesian network that depicts the relationship between love and its causal factors. The factors involved in this model include: proximity – the physical distance between human and robot; propinquity – spending time with each other; repeated exposure – this can increase familiarity and liking in the other individual; similarity – this is directly related to the feeling of love; etc.

The probabilistic nature of these parameters allows a Bayesian network to be employed to link the parameters to relevant audio, haptic and location data, leading to an estimate of the probability of love existing between robot and human. For example, audio proximity is employed in the calculations to emulate the effects of physical distance.

From the various causal parameters, the system calculates the probabilistic parameters of love, resulting in an appraisal of the level of love between human and robot [9].

The Artificial Endocrine System

The human endocrine system is a system of glands that secretes different types of hormones into the bloodstream. The purpose of those hormones is to maintain homeostasis, i.e. to regulate the internal environment of the body in order to keep certain functions stable, such as body temperature, metabolism and reproductive functions.

The Lovotics artificial endocrine system is based on the human endocrine system, employing artificial hormones to create a simulation of the human system. The artificial hormones are software simulations of those human hormones which are related to the emotions – Dopamine, Serotonin, Endorphin and Oxytocin, *inter alia*. The levels of these artificial hormones change dynamically due to the robot's interactions with users and according to its awareness of its emotional and physical circumstances.

The Affective State Transmission System

The affective state of the Lovotics robot depends largely on the various inputs it receives that are caused by its interactions with humans. Every interaction provide input data that is mapped onto a combination of six basic emotional parameters: happiness, sadness, disgust, surprise, anger and fear. These six emotions are widely employed and described in the emotion literature.

The manner in which the robot's emotional state changes with the various inputs it receives is controlled by a model of emotion referred to as Affective State Transition [9]. The Lovotics robot has a novel transition system which governs the immediate emotional changes in the robot. Their transition system functions in collaboration with the "probabilistic love assembly" module in order to control the overall emotional state of the robot. The short-term affective state of the robot is thereby transformed repeatedly into other affective states which are determined by the robot's previous affective states, its current mood, and the influences of the various input data it received during its interactions with humans, including audio and touch, and with its environment. For example, temperature could be one environmental input that might be programmed to influence the robot's affective state, if it "dislikes" being cold.

The Kissenger

In order for robots, such as the Lovotics robot, to have realistic physical interactions with humans, technology needs to be developed for human – machine kissing. Thus, we have developed a kissing robot messenger or "Kissenger" (Fig. 18.2).

We live in a global era, and more and more couples and families are apart due to work and business. New technologies are often employed to help us feel connected with those whom we care about, through an increasing interest in touch and feeling communication between humans in the human-computer interaction community. Research like "Hugvie" [10] and the "Hug over a Distance" project [11] tested the feasibilities of telepresence and intimacy technology. However, these are big, bulky, and impractical.

There is some commercial work like "Hug Shirt" [12] and "Huggy Pajama" [13], which explore hugging in remote with loved ones using wearable fashion technology. But these still lack a proper interface for "abstracted presence". Thus, we propose a new system to feel the real presence using communication over internet for humans or robots.

Kissing is one of the most important modes of human communication as it conveys intimacy and many deeply feel positive emotions such as respect, greeting, farewell, good luck, romantic affection, and/or sexual desire through the physical joining or touching of lips by one individual on another individual's cheek, forehead, lips, etc. [14].




Fig. 18.2 The concept of kiss communication

The first practical device to be developed by the Lovotics community in Asia was unveiled at the Designing Interactive Systems Conference in Newcastle in June 2012 [15]. Its development was started in the Mixed Reality Lab by Professor Adrian David Cheok and his team. It would be possible to integrate the Kissenger technology into a sex robot but initially its use will be in teledildonic products for enabling lovers to kiss each other via the Internet.

The Kissenger employed soft, pressure sensitive, vibrating silicone lips which, in the early prototypes, stood out from the surface of a smooth plastic casing shaped somewhat like a human head. Those early prototypes have since been replaced by a version for mobile phones.

Considering this missing dimension in today's communication technologies, we aim to design a new device to facilitate the exchange of emotional content to feel a closer sense of presence between people who are physically separated, thus integrating their interpersonal relationships further.

When a user kisses the device on its lips, the changes in shape of the lips are detected by sensors and the resulting data is transmitted over the Internet to a receiving Kissenger, which converts the data back to lip shapes. This reproduces the changes in the kisser's lip shape, changes which are felt by the kisser's partner.

The Kissenger technology could perhaps be enhanced with an idea from a rather more ambitious haptic device of the same ilk which has been developed in Tokyo at the Kajimoto Laboratory in the University of Electro-Technology. Their invention is a French-kissing device whose prototypes are not yet at a stage where they are likely to inspire erotic thoughts, being based on a straw-like tube that moves when

Kissenger




Fig. 18.3 Kissenger usage scenario A

in contact with a user's tongue. But we can expect to see an enhanced form of this idea in a future version of the Kissenger and similar inventions – enhancements under consideration at the Kajimoto Laboratory include adding taste, breath and moisture to the experience.

During a kiss, along with its strong emotional and affectionate connections, a series of physical interactions takes place. The touch of the lips exchanges the pressure, softness, and warmth of each lip in a convincing way. We approached this design problem carefully, given the intimate nature of the interaction and iteratively designed Kissenger which consists of two paired devices that can send and receive kisses simultaneously as shown in concept images Figs. 18.3 and 18.4.

After studying the biological and psychological parameters of a kiss, a series of exploratory form factors were drawn to help visualize the possible interfaces. Figure 18.5 shows some of our initial concept designs.

At this stage, we looked for designing a system that effectively transmits the same sensation of kiss to one another. The one key issue was that the use of the device should be comfortable and not distract or obstruct the natural interaction of the kiss. Hence, we decided to integrate the initial concept design for a lip-like portable device with a minimalistic shape. However, one of the main concerns was the lip needed to be equipped with sensors and actuators. Hence, we looked into the possible technologies and sizes which could be fit into the form factor of our device. Figure 18.6 shows the 3D depiction of the proposed device with a new shape which can attach to a smart phone, allowing a video call and virtual kiss simultaneously.




Fig. 18.4 Kissenger usage scenario B

Design Features

The interaction mechanism for Kissenger was devised with a number of features that we believe will make kiss communication between two users more meaningful. The system consists of following key features:

- Lip sensor push and pull reverse feedback for kiss behavior.
- Lip rotation force feedback
- Sending scents.




Fig. 18.5 Preliminary concept designs of Kissenger




Fig. 18.6 The new design of Kissenger which can attach to a mobile phone

- Feeling LED light color communication (red, orange, green, and blue)
- Apps for kiss communication with video chat (Facetime, Google + Hangouts, Skype, Facebook, Zoom, etc. . . .)
- Changing the user characters and voices (face images)
- One-to-one pair and one-to-many user connections
- Recording the behavior of the partner's lips.
- Scent tank changes the scent to suit the partners.
- Soft silicone cover made with gel for kiss communication.

Design Flow

The hardware design of Kissenger with all the features listed above specifies the use of a LED light, pressure sensors, actuators, a vibration motor, a scent tank, and a smartphone connector in the Kissenger design flow. Their design role is as follows:

Input Kiss Sensing

The front of the lip has pressure sensors placed just below the outer surface to initiate the Kissenger for the transmitter (the kissing person), the receiver (the kissed person), and also to sense varying levels of soft touches. The features for the lip sensor push and pull reverse feedback for kiss behavior as shown in Fig. 18.7.

Upon initialization, the front end of Kissenger can be tilted to a maximum of 18° to replicate different styles of kissing. Thus, this design simplifies the interface and enables users to form a correct and semantically meaningful mental representation of the system with great feasibility for real kissing. The system also can be used for kissing a robot or a virtual 3D character.




Fig. 18.7 Lip sensor push and pull reverse feedback for kiss behavior

Control and Wireless

Each Kissenger device is equipped with lip sensors (pressure sensor + heat sensor), a scent tank, a smartphone connector and voice speaker (Fig. 18.8) connected to an embedded circuit that controls the sensors and actuators with your phone and thereon with other Kissenger devices through the internet. Data from the pressure sensors is read continuously until a change is detected. If there a substantial change, the resulting increase is transmitted wirelessly to a receiver circuit that then actuates a servo motor array to produce similar motion of the lips.

Output Kiss Actuation

The kiss sensation on receiver (the kissed person) is produced through the movement of servomotors that distend the surface of the lip. Simultaneously, the scent tank, LED light, and voice speaker are actuated with pheromones, colors and sounds respectively to depict different moods (Fig. 18.9). Pheromones are the scents used in Kissenger that are capable of acting outside the body of the secreting individual to impact the behavior of the receiving individual, giving the feel of real presence of the partner. The shape and size of the lip cover hide the inner electronics that go into the sensing, control, and actuation of the device. Thus all these features make the user more amicable to this device and help evoke emotional responses and feelings for kiss communication.

Communication

Two or more Kissenger devices are wirelessly connected to each other via the smartphone Kissenger app, which are internally connected to their respective Smartphones as shown in Fig. 18.10. One of the unique added features of the app is that it allows one-to-many user communication along with one-to-one user communication as shown in Fig. 18.11. With the Kissenger app, the user can also actuate and transmit different colors to their partners to depict different moods with different scents thus giving a real sense of kissing.

An assessment of the new proposed shape and its implementation was conducted with a wide variety of people including researchers not involved in our project, mall shoppers, and friends over a period of time with around 50 people from different cultural backgrounds, age, and sexes participated in the evaluation process and provided feedback for the proposed shape and features. The major feedback is to integrate the size to make it more portable and user-friendly and to provide room for asynchronous kissing. There is the ability for the device to store a kiss that can be read at a later time on which we will be working in the future for the social impact of our project.




Fig. 18.8 Key design features of Kissenger

LED Color	User's Feeling Image
	<p>Blue: (Chat start) Feeling: Normal Chat Time: 0s~120s Number of Kiss 0 Number of Sent 0</p>
	<p>Green: Feeling: Peace Chat Time: 120s~240s Number of Kiss 1~3 Number of scent 1</p>
	<p>Orange: Feeling: Empathy Chat Time: 240s~300s Number of Kiss 3~5 Number of scent 2</p>
	<p>Red: Feeling: Love (sincerely) Chat Time: 300s~ Number of Kiss 5~ Number of scent 3 more (1/s)</p>

Fig. 18.9 LED light feeling sensor color depiction




Fig. 18.10 Kissenger system diagram




Fig. 18.11 User communication via Kissenger app

The Ethical and Legal Debate

The ethics of robot sex were first aired in an academic forum at the EURON Workshop on Roboethics in 2006 [16–18]. The following year, Dr. David Levy has discussed five aspects of the ethics of robot prostitution at an IEEE conference in Rome [19]: the ethics of making robot prostitutes available for general use; the ethics vis à vis oneself and society in general, of using robot prostitutes; the ethics vis à vis one's partner or spouse, of using robot prostitutes; the ethics vis à vis human sex workers, of using robot prostitutes; and the ethics vis à vis the sexbots themselves, of using robot prostitutes. Since the last of these issues is only of significance if robots are eventually developed with (artificial) consciousness, it is also relevant when considering this particular issue to contemplate the ethical treatment in general of artificially conscious robots [20].

A somewhat broader airing of the ethical impacts of love and sex machines was presented by John Sullins in 2012 [21]. Sullins explores the subject partly on the basis that such entities are programmed to manipulate human emotions “in order to evoke loving or amorous reactions from their human users”. He submits that there should be “certain ethical limits on the manipulation of human psychology when it comes to building sex robots”, and accordingly he identifies three design considerations which he proposes should be applied to the development of robots designed for love: (i) robots should not fool people into ascribing more feelings to the machine than they should; (ii) robot designers should be circumspect in how their inventions exploit human psychology; and (iii) robots should not be designed that intentionally lie to their users in order to manipulate their user's behaviour.

A considerably more strident attitude to the ethics of robot sex pervades a 2012 paper by Yusuff Amuda and Ismaila Tijani [22], which views the subject from an Islamic perspective. These authors appear to have no doubts that “having intercourse with robot is unethical, immoral, uncultured, slap to the marriage institution and respect for human being.” While many might not concur with the robustness of their position on the subject, it cannot be denied that the question of robot sex within the confines of marriage, or indeed within any existing human sexual relationship, is a serious issue. The question most often asked of the present author in media interviews has been: “Is it cheating for someone who is married or in a committed relationship to have sex with a robot?”

In this author's opinion the answer is a resounding “No”. A partner or spouse who has sex with a robot is no more guilty of cheating on their other half than are any of the tens of millions of women who use a vibrator. But not everyone agrees with this position, and in parallel with the possibility that sex with a robot should be regarded as cheating on one's spouse, there comes an interesting legal question which has been flagged by the California lawyer Sonja Ziaja [23]. Could a sex robot be legally regarded as the enticing protagonist in a law suit brought for the enticement of one's spouse? In the eight states of the USA where this type of law is still on the statute books, where they are called amatory or “heart balm” laws,

Ziaja questions whether a sex robot could be held to be the cause, or a contributing cause, to the breakdown and dissolution of a marriage, and if so, who should be held legally liable to pay whatever damages a court might assess? Ziaja suggests a few obvious possible culprits for cases of enticement by a robot: the robot's inventor, its manufacturer, its owner, or even the robot itself. But the attribution of liability for a wrong wrought by a robot is an extremely complex issue, one which this author believes will not be adequately solved in the foreseeable future. Instead it has been suggested [24] that robot wrongs could be compensated by an insurance scheme, much akin to that which works well for automobiles and other vehicles.

The only form of punishment considered by Ziaja for transgressing the American heart balm laws is to compensate the plaintiff, which is a notion that pales into insignificance when compared to the punishments discussed by Amuda and Tijani. They point out that, under Sharia law, judges are permitted to invoke lashes or even capital punishment for having sex with a robot, provided there is sufficient credible evidence of the crime [23]. "To this study, death penalty by hanging may not be applicable and implemented unless there are enough and credible evidences to justify the death by hanging of robot fornicator or adulterer."

Ziaja's paper largely avoids discussing punishment in relation to enticement cases in which a robot is the protagonist, preferring to prevent the problem from occurring by having robots designed in such a way as to incorporate feelings of heartbreak together with the goal of caring for those in its owner's circle of friends and relatives. "In order for robots to enter into human romantic relationships in a way that is consistent with the values underlying the heart balm torts, it may also need to experience heartache and empathy as we do." Ziaja's position thus supports that of John Sullins.

An in-depth consideration of whether or not human-humanoid sexual interactions should be legally regulated was discussed by Anna Russell in *Computer Law and Security Review* [25]. The very fact that such a discussion should appear in the pages of a respected legal journal points to the seriousness with which the legal profession is viewing the legal implications of the human-robot relationships of the future. Russell suggests that:

"Regulation of human-humanoid sexual interaction either by the state or federal government² will be sought when the level of interaction either (1) mimics human sexual interactions currently regulated or (2) will create a social harm if the interaction is not regulated . . . currently, in places where humans are using robots for pleasure in a sexual way that pleasure is either not regulated or is regulated in the way the use of any sexual device may be regulated" but that when more advanced robots – humanoids – are used for sexual pleasure, "then in many places, traditional norms and social mores will be challenged, prompting the development of state regulation. Will such regulation, then, be at odds with accepted notions of rights and freedoms?"

²In the USA.

Russell then delves further into the question of how regulation of human-humanoid sexual encounters would work, and highlights some of the questions that will arise, including:

How many rights will humans allow if humanoids clamor for sexual freedoms? How will humanoids be punished for sexual transgressions? Will humanoids need legal protection from the abuse of human sexual proclivities?

Russell's conclusion is a call for the

... early discussion of the ramifications of a future species' demand for legal rights... the legal profession should develop legal arguments before attest case occurs in order to avoid the illogic and danger of arguments that stem from species bias.

In 2011 the MIT Technology Review conducted a poll on people's attitudes to the idea of loving a robot. Nineteen percent of those questioned indicated that they believed they could love a robot, 45 % said "No" and 36 % responded "Maybe". When it came to a question of whether or not people believed that robots could love humans, 36 % said "Yes", only 23 % responded "No", and 41 % "Maybe". So already the idea of human-robot love was taking root as a serious proposition.

In a later poll, this one about robot sex rather than robot love, which was conducted in February 2013 by The Huffington Post and YouGov among 1,000 American adults, 9 % of respondents indicated that they would have sex with a robot, and 42 % opined that robot sex would constitute cheating on one's human partner (31 % said "No" to the cheating question, while 26 % said they were uncertain). This can be taken as further evidence that a significant portion of the population already regards robot sex as a serious subject. Just how serious can perhaps be judged by a news story that hit the media in March 2013 about an online auction for the virginity of a Brazilian sex doll called Valentina [26] which was inspired by a 20-year-old Brazilian woman, Catarina Migliorini, who had auctioned her own virginity for \$780,000 (sold to a Japanese buyer). True, a sex doll is only an inanimate product, lacking all the interactive capabilities of the sex robots of the future. But the level of interest demonstrated by this news story bodes well for the commercial possibilities of sex robots.

For the Brazilian sex doll auction, the online retailer Sexônico offered a complete "romantic" package for the successful bidder, which included a one-night stay with Valentina in the Presidential Suite at the Swing Motel in Sao Paulo, a candlelit champagne dinner, an aromatic bath with rose petals, and a digital camera to capture the action. If the successful bidder lived outside Sao Paulo, Sexônico also offered to provide a round trip air ticket. Valentina's charms were not able to match the great commercial success of Ms Migliorini, but considering that most sex dolls retail at prices in the range \$5,000–10,000 the final bid of \$105,000 was still a good result for Sexônico, not to mention the value of all the media exposure they attracted.

Robot Love

In parallel with the developments we have discussed in the field of robot sex and teledildonics, there is a continuing and burgeoning research interest in robot love. Amongst the fundamental conditions for engendering human love, physical appearance and attractiveness rank highly. The translation of these conditions to the field of robotics have a champion in Professor Hiroshi Ishiguro, whose research teams are based at the Graduate School of Engineering Science at Osaka University and at the Hiroshi Ishiguro Laboratory in the Advanced Telecommunications Research Institute International in Kyoto.

Ishiguro is famous for, inter alia, the amazingly lifelike robots he has developed in various human images [27]. These include one in his own image which is sometimes sent to deliver his lectures when he is too busy to do so himself. Another of his robots, called “Geminoid-F” (Fig. 18.12), is made in the image of an attractive young woman who can blink, respond to eye contact, and recognize and respond to body language [28]. Ishiguro is encouraged in this aspect of his work by his conviction that Japanese men are more prone than are western men to develop amorous feelings towards such robots because, in Japan, with the influence of the Shinto religion, “we believe that everything has a soul and therefore we don’t hesitate to create human-like robots”.

Another strand of Ishiguro’s research into artificially engendering feelings of love in humans is concerned with promoting romantic forms of communication. The “Hugvie” [29] is a huggable pillow, shaped in a somewhat human form, that is held by a user close to their body while they speak to their human partners



Fig. 18.12 “Geminoid-F” robot

via their mobile phone, located in a pocket in the Hugvie's head.³ The Hugvie incorporates a vibrator to simulate a heartbeat, and the vibrations emanating from it are synchronized with the sounds of the partner's voice. This allows the simulated heartbeat to be changed according to the volume of the partner's voice, with the result that the listening user feels as though they are close to their partner. The comfort felt by holding the cushion, the sense of hugging one's partner, hearing one's partner's voice close to one's ear, and the simulated heartbeat aligned with that voice, all these combine to create a sense that the partner is in some way present, which in turn intensifies the listener's feelings of emotional attraction for their partner. Ishiguro expects this intensified affinity to increase the sense of intimacy between couples who are communicating through their respective Hugvies. Ishiguro shared in a breakthrough study that the Hugvie could decrease blood cortisol levels, therefore reducing stress [30]. Integrating the Hugvie technology into the design of an amorous robot might therefore enable a human user of such a robot to experience an enhanced feeling of a humanlike presence and a greater sense of intimacy from and for the robot.

Yet another direction of Ishiguro's research into having a robot engender emotions in humans is his investigation of the emotional effects, on a human user, of different facial expressions exhibited by a robot [31]. That research is currently in its early stages but there is already some indication that it will be possible for robots, by their own facial expressions, to affect a user's emotional state. Emotional facial expression is also a hot topic at the MIT Media Lab, where the Nexi robot was developed [32].

Predictions

Robot Sex

Clearly a significant sector of the public is now ready for the advent of commercially available sex robots, and the public's interest in and appetite for such products seems to be growing steadily. We have noticed a steady increase in the number of requests for media interviews on the subject during the past 2 years. Also growing steadily is the interest within the academic research community.

In our opinion nothing has occurred since the publication of *Love and Sex with Robots* to cast doubt on his 2007 prediction that sophisticated sex robots would be commercially available by the middle of this century. On the contrary, the increase in academic interest in this field has reinforced Dr. David Levy's conviction regarding that time frame.

What will be the next significant steps in this field? Intelligent electronic sex toys are gaining in popularity, for example the Sasi Vibrator, which "comes pre-loaded

³The Hugvie project grew out of an earlier Ishiguro project called "Telenoid"

with sensual intelligence which learns movements you like, specifically tailoring a unique experience by remembering movements that suit you.”; and the “Love Glider Penetration Machine” which can be purchased from Amazon.com at around \$700 and which is claimed to “give you the most comfortable stimulating ride you will ever have!” The Amazon web site also offers a very much more primitive looking sex machine at around \$800, a machine of the type seen in many variations on the specialist site www.fuckingmachines.com, and which “supports multiple positions, has adjustable speeds, strong power, remote control.”⁴

Another research direction that perhaps offers even greater commercial potential comes from a combination of augmented reality with digital surrogates (“dirrogates”) of porn stars. A recent (June 2013) posting by Clyde DeSouza [33] posits that the 3D printing of human body parts will enable the downloading, from “hard-drives in Hollywood studios” of “full body digital model and ‘performance capture’ files of actors and actresses”. DeSouza continues:

With 3D printing of human body parts now possible and blue prints coming online with full mechanical assembly instructions, the other kind of sexbot is possible. It won’t be long before the 3D laser-scanned blueprint of a porn star sexbot will be available for licensing and home printing, at which point, the average person will willingly transition to transhuman status once the ‘buy now’ button has been clicked.

...

If we look at Digital Surrogate Sexbot technology, which is a progression of interactive porn, we can see the technology to create such Dirrogate sexbots exists today, and better iterations will come about in the next couple of years. Augmented Reality hardware when married to wearable technology such as ‘fundawear’ [34] and a photo-realistic Dirrogate driven by perf-captured libraries of porn stars under software (AI) control, can bring endless sessions of sexual pleasure to males and females.

Fundawear is a prime example of the increase in popularity of intelligent electronic sex toys and teledildonic devices. It is a wearable technology project currently under development by the condom manufacturer Durex, which allows lovers to stimulate their partner’s underwear via their respective mobile phones. Such products seem likely to benefit from the increased academic interest in Lovotics, which will surely lead to at least some of the academic research in this field being spun off into commercial development and manufacturing ventures. And the more prolific such products become in the market place, the more the interest in them and in fully fledged sex robots will grow. How long will it be before we see a commercially available sexbot much more sophisticated than Roxxy? Almost certainly within the next 5 years.

⁴The sole review on Amazon.com as of May 2013 suggests that this product is poorly made and describes it as “a piece of junk”.

Robot Love

The past few years have seen a surge of interest in research projects aimed at different aspects of love-with-robots. One aspect is concerned with enabling humans to convey amorous feelings to artificial partners, or to remotely located human partners with whom they communicate by artificial means (i.e. technology). Another aspect works in the opposite direction, enabling artificial partners to exhibit their artificial feelings, including love, to human partners. Some of this research has already demonstrated promising results, for example the experiments conducted with Hugvie by Ishiguro and his team in Japan. They plan further research with the Hugvie to investigate how vibration can further enhance the feeling of presence experienced by a user. Additionally they plan to employ tactile sensors to monitor the emotional state of a user, which will provide feedback for the Hugvie and thereby enhance its ability to influence a user's emotions. Ishiguro's team has already found that hugging and holding such robots "is an effective way for strongly feeling the existence of a partner".

Another domain to become an important catalyst for the development of human-robot emotional relationships, is what might be called girlfriend/boyfriend games. An example of this type of game is "Love Plus", which was first released in 2009 for the Nintendo DS games console, and subsequently upgraded for re-release. A recent (February 2013) article describes the relationship between a 35-year-old Tokyo engineer, Osamu Kozaki, and his girlfriend Rinko Kobayakawa [35]. When she sends him a message

... his day brightens up. The relationship started more than three years ago, when Kobayakawa was a prickly 16-year-old working in her school library, a quiet girl who shut out the world with a pair of earphones that blasted punk music.

Kozaki describes his girlfriend's personality as being

... the kind of girl who starts out hostile but whose heart gradually grows warmer. And that's what has happened; over time, Kobayakawa has changed. These days, she spends much of her day sending affectionate missives to her boyfriend, inviting him on dates, or seeking his opinion when she wants to buy a new dress or try a new hairstyle.

But while Kozaki has aged, Kobayakawa has not. After 3 years, she's still 16. She always will be. That's because she is a simulation; Kobayakawa only exists inside a computer.

Kozaki's girlfriend has never been born. She will never die. Technically, she has never lived. She may be deleted, but Kozaki would never let that happen.

Because he's "in love."

Conclusion

In this chapter, we discussed about the possibility of human robot intimate relationships and humanoid robot sex. We detailed Lovotics, which is a new research field that studies emotions of robots with an artificial endocrine system capable

of simulating love. We also presented the design and principle of Kissenger, an interactive device that provides a physical interface for transmitting a kiss between two remotely connected people. Finally we have discussed ethical and legal background and future predictions of love and sex with robots.

References

1. Levy D (2007) *Love and sex with robots*. Harper Collins, New York
2. The Colbert Report (2008) <http://www.colbertnation.com/the-colbert-report-videos/147893/january-17-2008/david-levy>
3. Yeoman I, Mars M (2011) Robots, men and sex tourism. *Futures* 44(4):365–371
4. Nomura S, Teh K, Samani H, Godage I, Narangoda M, Cheok A (2009) Feasibility of social interfaces based on tactile senses for caring communication. In: *The 8th international workshop on Social Intelligence Design – SID 2009*
5. Samani H (2011) *Lovotics: love + robotics, sentimental robot with affective artificial intelligence*. PhD thesis, National University of Singapore
6. Lovotics ISSN 2090-276X, e-ISSN 2090-7214
7. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roxxy>. Visited on 29 Dec 2012
8. Samani H, Cheok A, Ngai F, Nagpal A, Mingde Q (2010) Towards a formulation of love in human-robot interaction. 19th IEEE International Symposium in Robot and Human Interactive Communication – Ro-Man 2010
9. Samani H, Cheok A (2010) Probability of love between robots and humans. In: *IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems, Taipei*
10. Kuwamura K, Sakai K, Minato T, Nishio S, Ishiguro H (2013, August) Hugvie: a medium that fosters love. In: *RO-MAN, 2013 IEEE*. IEEE, pp 70–75
11. Mueller FF, Vetere F, Gibbs MR, Kjeldskov J, Pedell S, Howard S (2005, April) Hug over a distance. In: *CHI'05 extended abstracts on human factors in computing systems*. ACM, pp 1673–1676
12. <http://cutecircuit.com/collections/the-hug-shirt/>
13. Teh JKS, Cheok AD, Peiris RL, Choi Y, Thuong V, Lai S (2008) Huggy Pajama: a mobile parent and child hugging communication system. In: *Proceedings of the 7th international conference on interaction design and children*. ACM, pp 250–257
14. Brooks-Gunn J, Paikoff RL (1993) Sex is a gamble, kissing is a game”: adolescent sexuality and health promotion. Promoting the health of adolescents: new directions for the twenty-first century. pp 180–208
15. Samani H, Parsani R, Rodriguez L, Saadatian E, Dissanayake K, Cheok A (2012) Kissenger: design of a kiss transmission device. In: *Designing interactive systems conference – DIS 2012*
16. Levy D (2006) A history of machines with sexual functions: past, present and robot. *EURON Roboethics Atelier*, Genova
17. Levy D (2006) Emotional relationships with robotic companions. *EURON Roboethics Atelier*, Genova
18. Levy D (2006) Marriage and sex with robots. *EURON Roboethics Atelier*, Genova
19. Levy D (2007) “Robot Prostitutes as Alternatives to Human Sex Workers” *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Rome*. Reproduced as “The Ethics of Robot Prostitutes”. In: Lin P, Abney K, Bekey G (eds) (2012) “*Robot Ethics*”. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp 223–231
20. Levy D (2012) The ethical treatment of artificially conscious robots. *Int J Soc Robot* 1:209–216
21. Sullins J (2012) Robots, love, and sex: the ethics of building a love machine. *IEEE Trans Affect Comput* 3(4):398–409

22. Amuda Y, Tijani I (2012) Ethical and legal implications of sex robot: an Islamic perspective. *OIDA Int J Sustain Dev* 3(6):19–28
23. Ziaja S (2011) Homewrecker 2.0: an exploration of liability for heart balm torts involving AI humanoid consorts. In: Mutlu B et al (eds) *Proceedings of the international conference on social robotics (ICSR 2011)*, lecture notes in artificial intelligence (LNAI vol. 7072). Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp 114–124
24. Levy D (2012) When robots do wrong. Invited paper, Conference on Computing and Entertainment, Kathmandu, November 3rd–5th. Available at http://share.pdfonline.com/87cad18d73324e8fb2eaae1cddb60f77/Kathmandu_final_text_October31st.htm To appear in *Lovotics*, vol. 1
25. Russell A (2009) Blurring the love lines: the legal implications of intimacy with machines. *Comput Law Secur Rev* 25:455–463
26. Gates S (2013) Brazilian sex doll's virginity: bids for valentina's flower surpass \$105,000. *The Huffington Post*, 7th Mar 2013
27. Hofilena J (2013) Japanese robotics scientist Hiroshi Ishiguro unveils body-double robot. *Japan Daily Press*, June 17 2013. Available at <http://japandailynews.com/japanese-robotics-scientist-hiroshi-ishiguro-unveils-body-double-robot-1730686/>
28. Torres I (2013) Japanese inventors create realistic female 'love bot'. *Japan Daily Press*, March 28, 2013. Available at <http://japandailynews.com/japanese-inventors-create-realistic-female-love-bot-2825990/>
29. Hugvie. www.geminoid.jp/projects/CREST/hugvie.html
30. Sumioka H, Nakae A, Kanai R, Ishiguro H (2013) Huggable communication medium decreases cortisol levels. *Scientific reports*, 3
31. Nishio S, Taura K, Ishiguro H (2012) Regulating emotion by facial feedback from teleoperated android robot. In: Ge et al (eds) *International Conference on Social Robotics*, LNAI 7621. pp 388–397
32. <http://robotic.media.mit.edu/projects/robots/mds/headface/headface.html>
33. DeSouza C (2013) Sexbots, ethics and transhumans. <http://lifeboat.com/blog/2013/06/sexbots-ethics-and-transhumans>
34. Fundawear Reviews (2013) www.fundawearreviews.com
35. Belford A (2013) That's not a droid, that's my girlfriend. *The Global mail*, February 21 2013. Available at www.theglobalmail.org/feature/thats-not-a-droid-thats-my-girlfriend/560/