

Chapter 5

A Digression: Semigroups

We have seen that the Markov kernel $p_t(x, B)$ of a Lévy or Markov process induces a semigroup of linear operators $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$. In this chapter we collect a few tools from functional analysis for the study of operator semigroups. By $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the bounded Borel functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are the continuous functions vanishing at infinity, i.e., $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$; when equipped with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ both sets become Banach spaces.

Definition 5.1. A **Feller semigroup** is a family of linear operators

$$P_t : \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

satisfying the properties a)–g) of Definition 4.7: $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of conservative, sub-Markovian operators which enjoy the **Feller property** $P_t(\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and which are strongly continuous on $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Notice that $(t, x) \mapsto P_t f(x)$ is for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ continuous. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t f(x) - P_s f(y)| &\leq |P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| + |P_t f(y) - P_s f(y)| \\ &\leq |P_t f(x) - P_t f(y)| + \|P_{t-s} f - f\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

the Feller property 4.7.f) and the strong continuity 4.7.g).

Lemma 5.2. *If $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Feller semigroup, then there exists a Markov transition function $p_t(x, dy)$ (Definition 4.1) such that $P_t f(x) = \int f(y) p_t(x, dy)$.*

Proof. By the Riesz representation theorem we see that the operators P_t are of the form $P_t f(x) = \int f(y) p_t(x, dy)$ where $p_t(x, dy)$ is a Markov kernel. The tricky part is to show the joint measurability $(t, x) \mapsto p_t(x, B)$ and the Chapman–Kolmogorov identities (4.2).

For every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the functions defined by

$$f_n(x) := \frac{d(x, U_n^c)}{d(x, K) + d(x, U_n^c)}, \quad d(x, A) := \inf_{a \in A} |x - a|, \quad U_n := \{y : d(y, K) < 1/n\},$$

are in $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f_n \downarrow \mathbf{1}_K$. By monotone convergence, $p_t(x, K) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_t f_n(x)$ which proves the joint measurability in (t, x) for all compact sets.

By the same, the semigroup property $P_{t+s}f_n = P_s P_t f_n$ entails the Chapman–Kolmogorov identities for compact sets: $p_{t+s}(x, K) = \int p_t(y, K) p_s(x, dy)$. Since

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \left| \begin{array}{l} (t, x) \mapsto p_t(x, B) \text{ is measurable \&} \\ p_{t+s}(x, B) = \int p_t(y, B) p_s(x, dy) \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

is a Dynkin system containing the compact sets, we have $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. \square

To get an intuition for semigroups it is a good idea to view the semigroup property

$$P_{t+s} = P_s \circ P_t \quad \text{and} \quad P_0 = \text{id}$$

as an operator-valued Cauchy functional equation. If $t \mapsto P_t$ is – in a suitable sense – continuous, the unique solution will be of the form $P_t = e^{tA}$ for some **operator** A . This can be easily made rigorous for matrices $A, P_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ since the matrix exponential is well-defined by the uniformly convergent series

$$P_t = \exp(tA) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k A^k}{k!} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \left. \frac{d}{dt} P_t \right|_{t=0}$$

with $A^0 := \text{id}$ and $A^k = A \circ A \circ \dots \circ A$ (k times). With a bit more care, this can be made to work also in general settings.

Definition 5.3. Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Feller semigroup. The (infinitesimal) **generator** is a linear operator defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(A) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \left| \exists g \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{P_t f - f}{t} - g \right\|_\infty = 0 \right. \right\} \quad (5.1)$$

$$A f := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_t f - f}{t}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(A). \quad (5.2)$$

The next lemma is the rigorous version for the symbolic notation ‘ $P_t = e^{tA}$ ’.

Lemma 5.4. Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Feller semigroup with infinitesimal generator $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$. Then $P_t(\mathcal{D}(A)) \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_t f = A P_t f = P_t A f \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{D}(A), t \geq 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Moreover, $\int_0^t P_s f ds \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and

$$P_t f - f = A \int_0^t P_s f ds, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), t > 0 \quad (5.4)$$

$$= \int_0^t P_s A f ds, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(A), t > 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. Let $0 < \epsilon < t$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. The semigroup and contraction properties give

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{P_t f - P_{t-\epsilon} f}{\epsilon} - P_t A f \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \left\| P_{t-\epsilon} \frac{P_{\epsilon} f - f}{\epsilon} - P_{t-\epsilon} A f \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| P_{t-\epsilon} A f - P_{t-\epsilon} P_{\epsilon} A f \right\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{P_{\epsilon} f - f}{\epsilon} - A f \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| A f - P_{\epsilon} A f \right\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we use the strong continuity in the last step. This shows $\frac{d^-}{dt} P_t f = A P_t f = P_t A f$; a similar (but simpler) calculation proves this also for $\frac{d^+}{dt} P_t f$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t, \epsilon > 0$. By Fubini's theorem and the representation of P_t with a Markov transition function (Lemma 5.2) we get

$$P_{\epsilon} \int_0^t P_s f(x) ds = \int_0^t P_{\epsilon} P_s f(x) ds,$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{\epsilon} - \text{id}}{\epsilon} \int_0^t P_s f(x) ds &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t (P_{s+\epsilon} f(x) - P_s f(x)) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} P_s f(x) ds - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^{\epsilon} P_s f(x) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t \mapsto P_t f(x)$ is continuous, the fundamental theorem of calculus applies, and we get $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_r^{r+\epsilon} P_s f(x) ds = P_r f(x)$ for $r \geq 0$. This shows that $\int_0^t P_s f ds \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ as well as (5.4). If $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, then we deduce (5.5) from

$$\int_0^t P_s A f(x) ds \stackrel{(5.3)}{=} \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} P_s f(x) ds = P_t f(x) - f(x) \stackrel{(5.4)}{=} A \int_0^t P_s f(x) ds. \quad \square$$

Remark 5.5 (Consequences of Lemma 5.4). Write $\mathcal{C}_{\infty} := \mathcal{C}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

a) (5.4) shows that $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is dense in \mathcal{C}_{∞} , since $\mathcal{D}(A) \ni t^{-1} \int_0^t P_s f ds \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} f$ for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\infty}$.

b) (5.5) shows that A is a **closed operator**, i.e.,

$$f_n \in \mathcal{D}(A), (f_n, A f_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{uniformly}} (f, g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\infty} \times \mathcal{C}_{\infty} \implies f \in \mathcal{D}(A) \ \& \ A f = g.$$

c) (5.3) means that A determines $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ uniquely.

Let us now consider the Laplace transform of $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Definition 5.6. Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Feller semigroup. The **resolvent** is a linear operator on $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ given by

$$R_{\lambda} f(x) := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} P_t f(x) dt, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \lambda > 0. \quad (5.6)$$

The following formal calculation can easily be made rigorous. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $(\lambda - A) := (\lambda \text{id} - A)$ for $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda - A)R_\lambda f &= (\lambda - A) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t f \, dt \\
 &\stackrel{(5.4), (5.5)}{=} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda - A) P_t f \, dt \\
 &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t f \, dt - \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \left(\frac{d}{dt} P_t f \right) dt \\
 &\stackrel{\text{parts}}{=} \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t f \, dt - \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t f \, dt - [e^{-\lambda t} P_t f]_{t=0}^\infty = f.
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation for $R_\lambda(\lambda - A)$ gives

Theorem 5.7. *Let $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ and $(R_\lambda)_{\lambda > 0}$ be the generator and the resolvent of a Feller semigroup. Then*

$$R_\lambda = (\lambda - A)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$

Since R_λ is the Laplace transform of $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, the properties of $(R_\lambda)_{\lambda > 0}$ can be found from $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and vice versa. With some effort one can even invert the (operator-valued) Laplace transform which leads to the familiar expression for e^x :

$$\left(\frac{n}{t} R_{\frac{t}{n}} \right)^n = \left(\text{id} - \frac{t}{n} A \right)^{-n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{strongly}} e^{tA} = P_t \quad (5.7)$$

(the notation $e^{tA} = P_t$ is, for unbounded operators A , formal), see Pazy [42, Chapter 1.8].

Lemma 5.8. *Let $(R_\lambda)_{\lambda > 0}$ be the resolvent of a Feller¹ semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Then*

$$\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} R_\lambda = n! (-1)^n R_\lambda^{n+1} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. Using a symmetry argument we see

$$t^n = \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t dt_1 \dots dt_n = n! \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$(-1)^n \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} R_\lambda f(x) = \int_0^\infty (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} e^{-\lambda t} P_t f(x) \, dt = \int_0^\infty t^n e^{-\lambda t} P_t f(x) \, dt$$

¹This lemma only needs that the operators P_t are strongly continuous and contractive, Definition 4.7.g), d).

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n! \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} e^{-\lambda t} P_t f(x) dt_1 \dots dt_n dt \\
&= n! \int_0^\infty \int_{t_n}^\infty \cdots \int_{t_1}^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t f(x) dt dt_1 \dots dt_n \\
&= n! \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(t+t_1+\dots+t_n)} P_{t+t_1+\dots+t_n} f(x) dt dt_1 \dots dt_n \\
&= n! R_\lambda^{n+1} f(x). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

The key result identifying the generators of Feller semigroups is the following theorem due to Hille, Yosida and Ray, a proof can be found in Pazy [42, Chapter 1.4] or Ethier & Kurtz [17, Chapter 4.2]; a probabilistic approach is due to Itô [25].

Theorem 5.9 (Hille–Yosida–Ray). *A linear operator $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ on $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ generates a Feller semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ if, and only if,*

- $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ dense.
- A is **dissipative**, i.e., $\|\lambda f - Af\|_\infty \geq \lambda \|f\|_\infty$ for some (or all) $\lambda > 0$.
- $(\lambda - A)(\mathcal{D}(A)) = \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some (or all) $\lambda > 0$.
- A satisfies the **positive maximum principle**:

$$f \in \mathcal{D}(A), f(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \geq 0 \implies Af(x_0) \leq 0. \quad (\text{PMP})$$

This variant of the Hille–Yosida theorem is not the standard version from functional analysis since we are interested in positivity preserving (sub-Markov) semigroups. Let us briefly discuss the role of the positive maximum principle.

Remark 5.10. Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e., $\|P_t f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|P_t f - f\|_\infty = 0$, cf. Definition 4.7.d),g).²

1° Sub-Markov \implies (PMP). Assume that $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ is such that $f(x_0) = \sup f \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P_t f(x_0) - f(x_0) &\stackrel{f \leq f^+}{\leq} P_t^+ f(x_0) - f^+(x_0) \leq \|f^+\|_\infty - f^+(x_0) = 0. \\
\implies Af(x_0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_t f(x_0) - f(x_0)}{t} \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (PMP) holds.

2° (PMP) \implies dissipativity. Assume that (PMP) holds and let $f \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. Since $f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we may assume that $f(x_0) = |f(x_0)| = \sup |f|$ (otherwise $f \rightsquigarrow -f$). Then

$$\|\lambda f - Af\|_\infty \geq \lambda f(x_0) - \underbrace{Af(x_0)}_{\leq 0} \geq \lambda f(x_0) = \lambda \|f\|_\infty.$$

²These properties are essential for the existence of a generator and the resolvent on $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

3° (PMP) \Rightarrow sub-Markov. Since P_t is contractive, we have $P_t f(x) \leq \|P_t f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \leq 1$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $|f| \leq 1$. In order to see positivity, let $f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be non-negative. We distinguish between two cases:

- a) $R_\lambda f$ does not attain its infimum.] Since $R_\lambda f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ vanishes at infinity, we have necessarily $R_\lambda f \geq 0$.
- b) $\exists x_0 : R_\lambda f(x_0) = \inf R_\lambda f$. Because of the (PMP) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda R_\lambda f(x_0) - f(x_0) &= \lambda R_\lambda f(x_0) - f(x_0) \geq 0 \\ \implies \lambda R_\lambda f(x) &\geq \inf \lambda R_\lambda f = \lambda R_\lambda f(x_0) \geq f(x_0) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $f \geq 0 \implies \lambda R_\lambda f \geq 0$. From (5.8) we see that $\lambda \mapsto R_\lambda f(x)$ is completely monotone, hence it is the Laplace transform of a positive measure. Since $R_\lambda f(x)$ has the integral representation (5.6), we see that $P_t f(x) \geq 0$ (for all $t \geq 0$ as $t \mapsto P_t f$ is continuous).

Using the Riesz representation theorem (as in Lemma 5.2) we can extend P_t as a sub-Markov operator onto $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In order to determine the domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of the generator the following ‘maximal dissipativity’ result is handy.

Lemma 5.11 (Dynkin, Reuter). *Assume that $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ generates a Feller semigroup and that $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}))$ extends A , i.e., $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathfrak{A}|_{\mathcal{D}(A)} = A$. If*

$$u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad u - \mathfrak{A}u = 0 \implies u = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

then $(A, \mathcal{D}(A)) = (\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}))$.

Proof. Since A is a generator, $(\text{id} - A) : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is bijective. On the other hand, the relation (5.9) means that $(\text{id} - \mathfrak{A})$ is injective, but $(\text{id} - A)$ cannot have a proper injective extension. \square

Theorem 5.12. *Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Feller semigroup with generator $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \exists g \in \mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \forall x : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_t f(x) - f(x)}{t} = g(x) \right\}. \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ the right-hand side of (5.10) and define

$$\mathfrak{A}f(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_t f(x) - f(x)}{t} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Obviously, $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A}))$ is a linear operator which extends $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$. Since (PMP) is, essentially, a pointwise assertion (see Remark 5.10, 1°), \mathfrak{A} inherits (PMP); in particular, \mathfrak{A} is dissipative (see Remark 5.10, 2°):

$$\|\mathfrak{A}f - \lambda f\|_\infty \geq \lambda \|f\|_\infty.$$

This implies (5.9), and the claim follows from Lemma 5.11. \square