A Simplified Form of Fuzzy Multiset Finite Automata

Pavel Martinek

Abstract Fuzzy multiset finite automata represent fuzzy version of finite automata working over multisets. Description of these automata can be simplified to such a form where transition relation is bivalent and only the final states form a fuzzy set. In this paper it is proved that the simplified form preserves computational power of the automata and way of how to perform the corresponding transformation is described.

Keywords Fuzzy multiset finite automata ⋅ Simplified fuzzy multiset finite automata

1 Introduction

Fuzzy multiset finite automata were introduced by Wang et al. in [\[16\]](#page-7-0). They represent fuzzy version of finite automata working over multisets (also called bags) which generalize sets in the respect that allow multiplied occurrence of its elements. Whilst finite automata work over strings (i.e. order of the input symbols is strictly determined), work of multiset finite automata over multisets means that at any moment of their computation, any remaining symbol of the input multiset can be processed (see e.g. $[4, 9]$ $[4, 9]$ $[4, 9]$ $[4, 9]$).

Further contribution to fuzzy multiset finite automata was made in [\[13](#page-7-3)] by introducing determinism and by formulating pumping lemmata for languages accepted by both deterministic and non-deterministic fuzzy multiset finite automata. Further explorations of these automata can be easier if we simplify their description. This paper is devoted to the task.

Let us start with some notes concerning fuzzy finite automata whose theory is well elaborated and which can inspire us a lot. Traditionally, the automata are defined with fuzzy set of initial states, fuzzy transition relation, and fuzzy set of final states (cf.

P. Martinek (\boxtimes)

Department of Mathematics, Tomas Bata University in Zlin,

T. G. Masaryka 5555, Zlín, Czech Republic

e-mail: pmartinek@fai.utb.cz

[©] Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

R. Silhavy et al. (eds.), *Artificial Intelligence Perspectives in Intelligent Systems*, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 464,

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-33625-1_42

e.g. [\[5,](#page-7-4) [14](#page-7-5)]). Substitution of the fuzzy set of initial states by crisp one-element set (i.e. only one initial state is considered with truth value 1) is easy to perform and widely used. Further simplification consisting in change of fuzzy transition relation to crisp one was described under some restricting condition by Bělohlávek in [\[1](#page-7-6)] and used in many papers dealing for example with determinization process or minimization of the automata (cf. $[12]$ or $[8]$ $[8]$).

Contrary to fuzzy finite automata, deterministic and non-deterministic fuzzy multiset finite automata have different computational power (see [\[13\]](#page-7-3)), therefore Bělohlávek's approach cannot be used to determinization in the multiset case. Nevertheless, resulting automata with bivalent transition relation will undoubtedly lead due to their simpler form to easier elaboration of fuzzy multiset finite automata theory.

The presented paper is organized as follows. Section [2.1](#page-1-0) presents basic notions of multisets and multiset finite automata. Section [2.2](#page-2-0) is devoted to fuzzy multiset finite automata. In Sect. [3,](#page-4-0) simplified fuzzy multiset finite automata are defined and their computational power is proved to be equal to the computational power of standard fuzzy multiset finite automata. Some possibilities of future research are mentioned in Sect. [4.](#page-6-0)

2 Preliminaries

In the paper we use notation and basic notions from [\[13](#page-7-3)].

2.1 Multiset Finite Automata

We assume certain familiarity of the reader with basic notions from formal languages and automata theory (cf. [\[7,](#page-7-9) [15](#page-7-10)]). Therefore, we skip the classical notion of finite state automaton and start with multisets and multiset finite automaton.

We denote by N the set of all natural numbers including 0. If Σ is a finite nonempty set of symbols we call it an *alphabet*. Cardinality of any alphabet Σ is denoted by card (Σ) .

For any alphabet Σ , a mapping $\sigma : \Sigma \to \mathbb{N}$ is called a finite *multiset*. Obviously, each usual set $U \subseteq \Sigma$ is a multiset σ_U such that $\sigma_U(x) = 1$ iff $x \in U$. We use denotation of [\[10](#page-7-11), [11](#page-7-12), [13\]](#page-7-3). So, we denote the set of all multisets over Σ by Σ^{\oplus} . Σ^{\oplus} is a commutative monoid with operation of *addition* \oplus and neutral element $\mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}$, defined as follows:

- $(\alpha \oplus \beta)(x) = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)$ for all $x \in \Sigma$,
- $\mathbf{0}_\Sigma(x)=0$ for all $x \in \Sigma$.

Further, for any multisets $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^{\oplus}$, we define the *difference* $\alpha \ominus \beta$ and the *inclusion* $\alpha \sqsubseteq \beta$ by

- $(\alpha \ominus \beta)(x) = \max\{0, \alpha(x) \beta(x)\}\$ for all $x \in \Sigma$,
- $\alpha \subseteq \beta$ iff $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$ for all $x \in \Sigma$.

We use the notation $\langle y \rangle$ for singleton multisets, i.e. $\langle y \rangle(x) = 0$ for $x \neq y$ and $\langle y \rangle(y) = 0$ 1. If $a_i = a \in \Sigma$ for $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, we write $\langle a \rangle^m$ instead of $\langle a_1 \rangle \oplus ... \oplus \langle a_m \rangle$. For a multiset α , we denote the number of occurrences of a symbol $a \in \Sigma$ in α by $|\alpha|_a$. By cardinality of a multiset α we understand card $(\alpha) = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} |\alpha|_a$.

The interested reader can find more about multiset theory for example in [\[2,](#page-7-13) [3\]](#page-7-14).

Definition 1 A *shape multiset finite automaton* is an ordered quintuple $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where Q is a nonempty finite set of states, Σ is the input alphabet, q_0 is the initial state, $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states, and δ is the transition relation δ ⊂ $O \times \Sigma \times O$.

We extend the relation δ to relation $\delta^* \subseteq Q \times \Sigma^{\oplus} \times Q$ in the recursive way:

- 1. $(q, \mathbf{0}_\Sigma, r) \in \delta^*$ iff $r = q$,
- 2. $(q, \alpha, s) \in \delta^*$ if there are $r \in Q$, $a \in \Sigma$ such that $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \alpha$, $(q, a, r) \in \delta$ and $(r, \alpha \ominus \langle a \rangle, s) \in \delta^*$.

The *shape multiset language L*(*A*) accepted by the multiset finite automaton *A* is defined by

 $L(A) = \{ \alpha \in \Sigma^{\oplus} | (q_0, \alpha, q) \in \delta^* \text{ for some } q \in F \}.$

Otherwise stated, the multiset language $L(A)$ consists of all multisets α such that the automaton *A* starting its computation in q_0 with α on its 'input' finishes its work in a final state with $\mathbf{0}_\Sigma$ on its 'input'. Realize that computation of the automaton *A* does not depend on some strict order of symbols in the 'input multiset'.

Note that in [\[10](#page-7-11)], the transition relation of a multiset finite automaton is not confined only to single symbols of Σ but is defined on the same basis as our relation δ^* (i.e. instead of symbols of Σ it uses multisets over Σ) which is accompanied by demand of finiteness of such transition relation. However in the same paper, the statement about irrelevance of these differences is made. Namely, there is mentioned mutual transformation between automata of these two definitions without change of the accepted multiset language.

2.2 Fuzzy Multiset Finite Automata

In this paper we consider fuzzy sets with truth values in the unit interval [0*,* 1], i.e. a *fuzzy set* in a universe set *X* is any mapping $A : X \to [0, 1]$, $A(x)$ being interpreted as the truth degree of the fact that "*x* belongs to *A*" and being called *membership value*. A *fuzzy relation R* between sets *X* and *Y* is defined as a mapping $R : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Analogously, a fuzzy ternary relation *R* is defined as a mapping $R : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow$ [0, 1]. For any fuzzy set *A*, the set supp(*A*) = { $a \in X | A(a) > 0$ } is called *support* of *A*.

Definition 2 A *fuzzy multiset finite automaton* (FMFA) is an ordered quintuple *A* = $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where Q is a nonempty finite set of states, Σ is the input alphabet, q_0 is the initial state, $F: O \to [0, 1]$ is a fuzzy set in *Q*, and $\delta: O \times \Sigma \times O \to [0, 1]$ is the fuzzy transition relation.

A state *q* ∈ *Q* is called a *final state* of *A* if $F(q) > 0$. We extend the fuzzy relation *δ* to fuzzy relation *δ*^{*} : *Q* × $Σ$ [⊕] × *Q* → [0, 1] in the following way.

• $\delta^{*}(q, \mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}, r) = 0$ for $r \neq q$ and $\delta^{*}(q, \mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}, q) = 1$, • $\delta^*(q, \alpha, s) = \max_{r \in O}$ *a*∈*Σ,* $\langle a \rangle \subseteq \alpha$ $\{\delta(q, a, r) \wedge \delta^*(r, a \ominus \langle a \rangle, s)\}$ for all α of positive cardinality.

The *fuzzy multiset language L*(*A*) accepted by the FMFA *A* is defined by

•
$$
L(A)(\alpha) = \max_{q \in Q} \{ \delta^*(q_0, \alpha, q) \wedge F(q) \}
$$
 for all $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\oplus}$

and is called a FMFA-*language*.

Analogously to the note following Definition [1](#page-2-1) we should mention that the definition of a fuzzy multiset finite automaton in [\[16\]](#page-7-0) differs from our definition (taken from [\[13\]](#page-7-3)) in two respects. First, it uses fuzzy set of initial states. Second, it defines fuzzy transition relation on multisets^{[1](#page-3-0)} over Σ (and not on symbols of Σ). Neither of these differences is fundamental. Both of them are removable with help of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 from [\[16](#page-7-0)].

Example 1 Consider FMFA $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where

$$
Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\},
$$

\n
$$
\Sigma = \{a, b\},
$$

\n
$$
\delta(q_0, a, q_1) = 0.8,
$$

\n
$$
\delta(q_1, a, q_2) = \delta(q_1, b, q_0) = 0.5,
$$

\n
$$
\delta(q_2, b, q_1) = 0.4,
$$

\n
$$
\delta(q_i, x, q_j) = 0 \text{ otherwise},
$$

\n
$$
F(q_0) = 0, F(q_1) = 1, F(q_2) = 0.3.
$$

We can illustrate the automaton lucidly with help of Fig. [1](#page-4-1) where we utilize graphical representation which is used for fuzzy finite automata (cf. e.g. [\[6](#page-7-15)]). In the labelled directed graph, its nodes represent states of the automaton, the initial state is indicated by the arrow pointing at it from nowhere, each final state q is depicted by double circle including the value of *F*(*q*) (if the value is missing, the default value 1 is assumed), and each arc in the graph coincides with a non-null transition (if the arc goes from state *q* to state *r* and $\delta(q, a, r) = \mu$ then the arc is labelled by a/μ .

Consequently, for example

$$
\delta^*(q_1, \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle, q_1) = \max\{\delta(q_1, a, q_2) \land \delta(q_2, b, q_1), \delta(q_1, b, q_0) \land \delta(q_0, a, q_1)\} = \max\{0.5 \land 0.4, 0.5 \land 0.8\} = 0.5
$$

¹Unfortunately, this is not accompanied by necessary demand of finite support of the fuzzy relation. However omission of this condition would cause invalidity of Theorems 4.2 and 5.2 in [\[16](#page-7-0)].

Obviously

 $\delta^*(q_0, \langle a \rangle^3 \oplus \langle b \rangle, q_0) = 0,$ $\delta^*(q_0, \langle a \rangle^3 \oplus \langle b \rangle, q_1) = 0$, $\delta^*(q_0, \langle a \rangle^3 \oplus \langle b \rangle, q_2) = 0.5$,

and

L(*A*)(⟨*a*⟩³ \oplus ⟨*b*⟩) = max { $\delta^*(q_0, \langle a \rangle^3 \oplus \langle b \rangle, q_2) \wedge F(q_2)$ } = 0.5 ∧ 0.3 = 0.3. It is easy to see that

$$
L(A)(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0.8 & \text{if } \alpha = \langle \alpha \rangle, \\ 0.5 & \text{if } |\alpha|_a = |\alpha|_b + 1 > 1, \\ 0.3 & \text{if } |\alpha|_a = |\alpha|_b + 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Note that in [\[16\]](#page-7-0), it is proven that the family of all FMFA-languages equals the family of all fuzzy multiset regular languages (which are generated by fuzzy multiset regular grammars).

3 A Simplified Form of Fuzzy Multiset Finite Automata

Definition 3 If a FMFA $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q, F)$ satisfies the condition $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \times Q \rightarrow$ {0*,* 1} we will call it a *fuzzy multiset finite automaton in simplified form*.

Note that FMFA in simplified form uses (non-fuzzy) transition relation and the only 'fuzzy' component is represented by fuzzy set of final states.

Analogously to situation concerning fuzzy automata (see [\[1\]](#page-7-6)), we are going to explore computational power of the 'simplified FMFA'.

Theorem 1 *Each FMFA-language is accepted by a FMFA in simplified form.*

Proof We will prove the theorem on the basis of ideas from [\[1\]](#page-7-6) where analogous statement concerned fuzzy (non-multiset) finite automata.

Let $L(A)$ be a FMFA-language accepted by the FMFA $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$. Since *Q* and *Σ* are finite we have finite support of both δ and *F*. Hence, $I = {\delta^*(q, \alpha, s) | \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3}$ Σ^{\oplus} ∧ *q*, *s* ∈ *Q*}∪ {*F*(*q*)|*q* ∈ *Q*} is finite. Therefore the set \widetilde{Q} consisting of all fuzzy sets in *Q* with truth values in *I* (i.e. \ddot{Q} : $Q \rightarrow I$) is finite and can represent a new set of states. Now, consider the 'simplified FMFA' $\widetilde{A} = (\widetilde{Q}, \Sigma, \overline{\delta}, \overline{q_0}, \widetilde{F})$ where

• $\overline{\delta}$: $\overline{Q} \times \Sigma \times \overline{Q} \to \{0, 1\}$ is a transition relation defined for all \overline{Q} , $\overline{R} \in \overline{Q}$, $a \in \Sigma$ by δ . $Q \times Z \times Q \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is a transition relation defined for all Q, K
 $\bar{\delta}(Q, a, \bar{R}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{R}(q) = \max_{s \in Q} {\{\bar{Q}(s) \land \delta(s, a, q)\}} & \text{for all } q \in Q, \end{cases}$ 0 otherwise*,*

□

- $\bar{q}_0 \in \tilde{Q}$ is a fuzzy set defined by $\bar{q}_0(q_0) = 1$ and $\bar{q}_0(q) = 0$ for $q \neq q_0$,
- \widetilde{F} : \widetilde{Q} → *I* is a fuzzy set of fuzzy sets defined by $\widetilde{F}(\overline{Q}) = \max_{q \in Q}$ $\overline{Q}(q) \wedge F(q)$ } for all $\overline{Q} \in \widetilde{Q}$.

We claim that $L(A) = L(\widetilde{A})$.

(I)
$$
L(\widetilde{A})(\mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}) = \max_{\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{Q}} \left\{ \widetilde{\delta}^*(\bar{q}_0, \mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}, \widetilde{Q}) \wedge \widetilde{F}(\widetilde{Q}) \right\} = \widetilde{F}(\bar{q}_0) = \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \bar{q}_0(q) \wedge F(q) \right\} =
$$

\n $= F(q_0) = F(q_0) \wedge \delta^*(q_0, \mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}, q_0) = \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \delta^*(q_0, \mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}, q) \wedge F(q) \right\} =$
\n $= L(A)(\mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}).$

(II) For the verification of $L(A)(\alpha) = L(\widetilde{A})(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ _{*x*}, we will use the following assertion.

Assertion: *Let* $\overline{S} \in \widetilde{Q}$, $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\oplus}$, card $(\alpha) = n > 0$. *If* $\overline{\delta}^*(\overline{q}_0, \alpha, \overline{S}) = 1$ *then there is a sequence* $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$, $\alpha = \langle a_1 \rangle \oplus ... \oplus \langle a_n \rangle$ *such that for all* $q \in Q$, $\overline{S}(q) = \max_{r_i \in Q} \{ \delta(q_0, a_1, r_1) \wedge \delta(r_1, a_2, r_2) \wedge ... \wedge \delta(r_{n-1}, a_n, q) \}$.

Proof of the assertion: We will use an induction on cardinality of the multiset α .

- (1) If card $(\alpha) = 1$ then $\alpha = \langle a \rangle$ for some $a \in \Sigma$. By definition of $\overline{\delta}$, we have $\bar{\delta}(\bar{q}_0, a, \bar{S}) = 1$ iff $\bar{S}(q) = \delta(q_0, a, q)$ for all $q \in Q$. Since $\bar{\delta}(\bar{q}_0, a, \bar{S}) =$ $\delta^*(\bar{q}_0, \alpha, \bar{S})$, the assertion holds true for $n = 1$.
- (2) Let the assertion hold true for any multiset of cardinality from the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ where $n \geq 1$. We will verify its validity for an multiset α of cardinality $n + 1$.

Assume $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\oplus}, \overline{S} \in \widetilde{Q}$ such that card $(\alpha) = n + 1$ and $\overline{\delta^*}(\overline{q}_0, \alpha, \overline{S}) = 1$. Since

$$
1 = \bar{\delta}^*(\bar{q}_0, \alpha, \bar{S}) = \max_{\bar{T} \in \tilde{Q} \atop a \in \Sigma, \langle a \rangle \subseteq \alpha} \{ \bar{\delta}^*(\bar{q}_0, \alpha \ominus \langle a \rangle, \bar{T}) \wedge \bar{\delta}(\bar{T}, a, \bar{S}) \},
$$

there are $\overline{T} \in \overline{Q}$, $a \in \Sigma$, $\langle a \rangle \sqsubseteq \alpha$ such that

 $\overline{\delta}(\overline{T}, a, \overline{S}) = 1$ and $\overline{\delta}^*(\overline{q}_0, \alpha \ominus \langle a \rangle, \overline{T}) = 1$.

The first equality implies by definition of $\bar{\delta}$ that

 $\overline{S}(q) = \max{\{\overline{T}(s) \land \delta(s, a, q)\}} \ \forall q \in Q$

and the second equality implies by inductive hypothesis that

$$
\exists (a_i)_{i=1}^n, \alpha \ominus \langle a \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle a_n \rangle, \forall q \in \mathcal{Q} :
$$

$$
\overline{T}(q) = \max_{r_i \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ \delta(q_0, a_1, r_1) \land \dots \land \delta(r_{n-1}, a_n, q) \}.
$$

If we denote $a_{n+1} = a$ then we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\exists \left(a_i\right)_{i=1}^{n+1}, \alpha &= \langle a_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle a_{n+1} \rangle, \ \forall q \in \mathcal{Q} : \\
\bar{S}(q) &= \max_{s \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ \max_{r_i \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \delta(q_0, a_1, r_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \delta(r_{n-1}, a_n, s) \right\} \wedge \delta(s, a_{n+1}, q) \} = \\
&= \max_{s \in \mathcal{Q}} \{ \max_{r_i \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \delta(q_0, a_1, r_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \delta(r_{n-1}, a_n, s) \wedge \delta(s, a_{n+1}, q) \right\} \}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

If we denote
$$
r_n = s
$$
 then we get
\n
$$
\overline{S}(q) = \max_{r_i \in Q} \left\{ \delta(q_0, a_1, r_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \delta(r_{n-1}, a_n, r_n) \wedge \delta(r_n, a_{n+1}, q) \right\}
$$

and the assertion is proved.

Now we prove $L(\widetilde{A}) \subset L(A)$:

Consider an arbitrary $\alpha \in \Sigma^{\oplus}, \alpha \neq \mathbf{0}_{\Sigma}$. Then, with help of the previous assertion (used in fourth equality) we get $\sqrt{ }$

$$
L(\widetilde{A})(\alpha) = \max_{\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{Q}} \left\{ \delta^*(\bar{q}_0, \alpha, \widetilde{Q}) \wedge \widetilde{F}(\widetilde{Q}) \right\} =
$$

\n
$$
= \max_{\substack{\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{Q} \\ \delta^*(\bar{q}_0, \alpha, \widetilde{Q}) = 1}} \widetilde{F}(\widetilde{Q}) =
$$

\n
$$
= \max_{\substack{\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{Q} \\ \delta^*(\bar{q}_0, \alpha, \widetilde{Q}) = 1}} \left\{ \max_{q \in Q} \left\{ \widetilde{Q}(q) \wedge F(q) \right\} \right\} =
$$

\n
$$
= \max_{\substack{\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{Q} \\ \widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{Q}}} \left\{ \max_{q \in Q} \left\{ \max_{r_i \in Q} \left\{ \delta(q_0, a_1, r_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \delta(r_{n-1}, a_n, q) \right\} \wedge F(q) \right\} \right\} \le
$$

\n
$$
\leq \max_{\widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{Q}} \left\{ \max_{q \in Q} \left\{ \delta^*(q_0, \alpha, q) \wedge F(q) \right\} \right\} =
$$

\n
$$
= L(A)(\alpha).
$$

For the proof of the opposite inclusion (i.e. $L(A) \subseteq L(\widetilde{A})$), we use the following implication (which is easy to verify):

Let α ∈ Σ^{\oplus} and let \overline{S} ∈ \widetilde{Q} be defined by $\overline{S}(q) = \delta^*(q_0, \alpha, q)$ for all $q \in Q$. Then $\bar{\delta}^*(\bar{q}_0, \alpha, \bar{S}) = 1.$

By the definition,

By the definition,
\n
$$
L(A)(\alpha) = \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \delta^*(q_0, \alpha, q) \wedge F(q) \right\}.
$$
\nIf we denote $\delta^*(q_0, \alpha, q) = \overline{S}(q)$ then we have
\n
$$
L(A)(\alpha) = \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \left\{ \overline{S}(q) \wedge F(q) \right\} = \widetilde{F}(\overline{S}) = \widetilde{F}(\overline{S}) \wedge 1 =
$$
\n
$$
= \widetilde{F}(\overline{S}) \wedge \delta^*(\overline{q}_0, \alpha, \overline{S}) \le \max_{\overline{Q} \in \widetilde{Q}} \left\{ \delta^*(\overline{q}_0, \alpha, \overline{Q}) \wedge \widetilde{F}(\overline{Q}) \right\} =
$$
\n
$$
= L(\widetilde{A})(\alpha).
$$

The following corollary is obvious.

Corollary 1 *The family of FMFA languages is equal to the family of languages accepted by FMFA in simplified form.*

4 Conclusion

In this paper, a simpler form of fuzzy multiset finite automata was introduced and computational power of these automata was proved to be equal to the computational power of standard fuzzy multiset finite automata. The proof is constructive and provides an algorithm for transformation of any fuzzy multiset finite automaton to its version in simpler form.

□

The simpler form can prove its usefulness in further development of fuzzy multiset finite automata theory. As an immediate tasks we can mention solving equivalence and minimization problems.

References

- 1. Bělohlávek, R.: Determinism and fuzzy automata. Inf. Sci. **143**, 205–209 (2002)
- 2. Blizard, W.D.: Multiset theory. Notre Dame J. Form. Log. **30**(1), 36–66 (1989)
- 3. Blizard, W.D.: The development of multiset theory. Mod. Log. **1**(4), 319–352 (1991)
- 4. Csuhaj-Varjú, E., Martín-Vide, C., Mitrana, V.: Multiset automata. In: Calude, C.S., Păun, G., Rozenberg, G., Salomaa, A. (eds.) Multiset Processing—Mathematical, Computer Science, and Molecular Computing Points of View. LNCS, vol. 2235, pp. 69–83. Springer, Berlin (2001)
- 5. Dubois, D., Prade, H.: Fuzzy Sets and Systems: Theory and Applications. Academic Press, New York (1980)
- 6. González de Mendívil, J.R., Garitagoitia, J.R.: Fuzzy languages with infinite range accepted by fuzzy automata: pumping lemma and determinization procedure. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **249**, 1–26 (2014)
- 7. Hopcroft, J.E., Motwani, R., Ullman, J.D.: Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation, 2nd edn. Pearson Addison Wesley, Upper Saddle River (2003)
- 8. Ignjatović, J., Ćirić, M., Bogdanović, S.: Determinization of fuzzy automata with membership values in complete residuated lattices. Inf. Sci. **178**(1), 164–180 (2008)
- 9. Kudlek, M., Martín-Vide, C., Păun, G.: Toward a formal macroset theory. In: Calude, C.S., Păun, G., Rozenberg, G., Salomaa, A. (eds.) Multiset Processing—Mathematical, Computer Science, and Molecular Computing Points of View. LNCS, vol. 2235, pp. 123–133. Springer, Berlin (2001)
- 10. Kudlek, M., Totzke, P., Zetsche, G.: Multiset pushdown automata. Fund. Inf. **93**, 221–233 (2009)
- 11. Kudlek, M., Totzke, P., Zetsche, G.: Properties of multiset language classes defined by multiset pushdown automata. Fund. Inf. **93**, 235–244 (2009)
- 12. Li, Y., Pedrycz, W.: Minimization of lattice finite automata and its application to the decomposition of lattice languages. Fuzzy Sets Syst. **158**(13), 1423–1436 (2007)
- 13. Martinek, P.: Fuzzy multiset finite automata: determinism, languages, and pumping lemma. In: Tang, Z., Du, J., Yin, S., He, L., Li, R. (eds.) 2015 12th International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery, pp. 64–68. China, Zhangjiajie (2015)
- 14. Mordeson, J.N., Malik, D.S.: Fuzzy Automata and Languages: Theory and Applications. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton (2002)
- 15. Sipser, M.: Introduction to the Theory of Computation, 2nd edn. Thomson Course Technology, Boston (2006)
- 16. Wang, J., Yin, M., Gu, W.: Fuzzy multiset finite automata and their languages. Soft Comput. **17**(3), 381–390 (2013)