# **Chebyshev Spectral Approximation for Diffusion Equations with Distributed Order in Time**

#### **Maria Luísa Morgado and Magda Rebelo**

**Abstract** In this work we provide a numerical method for the diffusion equation with distributed order in time. The basic idea is to expand the unknown function in Chebyshev polynomials for the time variable *t* and reduce the problem to the solution of a system of algebraic equations, which may then be solved by any standard numerical technique. We apply the method to the forward and backward problems. Some numerical experiments are provided in order to show the performance and accuracy of the proposed method.

**Keywords** Fractional differential equation • Caputo derivative • Diffusion equation • Chebyshev polynomials • Distributed order equation

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** 26A33, 41A50

## <span id="page-0-1"></span>**1 Introduction**

In the last decades, lots of attention has been devoted to the time fractional diffusion equation (TFDE), namely, the one in the Caputo sense:

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial^{\alpha}u(t,x)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = D \frac{\partial^2 u(t,x)}{\partial x^2} + f(t,x), \quad 0 < t \le a, \ 0 < x < b,\tag{1}
$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and the fractional Caputo derivative is defined by [\[2\]](#page-7-0)

M.L. Morgado

M. Rebelo  $(\boxtimes)$ 

e-mail: [msjr@fct.unl.pt](mailto:msjr@fct.unl.pt)

CMAT-Centro de Matemática, Pólo UTAD, Department of Mathematics, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro UTAD, Quinta de Prados, 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal e-mail: [luisam@utad.pt](mailto:luisam@utad.pt)

Centro de Matemática e Aplicações (CMA) and Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Quinta da Torre, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal

<sup>©</sup> Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

S. Pinelas et al. (eds.), *Differential and Difference Equations with Applications*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics 164, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-32857-7\_24

$$
\frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(t,x)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \frac{\partial^n u(s,x)}{\partial s^n} ds, \tag{2}
$$

where *n* is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ . The TFDE has been found in a broad variety of engineering, biological, finance, and physical processes where anomalous diffusion (AD) occurs (see, e.g., [\[6,](#page-8-0) [8,](#page-8-1) [10\]](#page-8-2)). More recently, a general equation has attracted the scientific community, the distributed-order time fractional diffusion equation, given by

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\int_0^1 c(\alpha) \frac{\partial^{\alpha} u(t, x)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} d\alpha = \frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial x^2} + f(t, x), \quad 0 < t \le a, \ 0 < x < b,\tag{3}
$$

where the function  $c(\alpha)$  acting as weight for the order of differentiation is such that [\[7,](#page-8-3) [9\]](#page-8-4)  $c(\alpha) \ge 0$  and  $\int_0^1 c(\alpha) d\alpha = C > 0$ . Obviously, if  $c(\beta) = \frac{1}{D}$  if  $\beta = \alpha$  and 0 otherwise, then  $(3)$  reduces to  $(1)$ .

Here, we will be interested in the numerical approximation of this type of distributed-order equations with boundary conditions of Dirichlet type:

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
u(t,0) = \phi_0(t), \ u(t,b) = \phi_b(t), \quad 0 < t < a,\tag{4}
$$

and we will distinguish the following two problems: a forward problem (FDODE) where  $(3)$  and  $(4)$  is subject to an initial condition

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
u(0, x) = g_0(x), \quad 0 < x < b,\tag{5}
$$

and a backward problem (BDODE), the case where  $(5)$  is replaced with the terminal condition

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
u(a,x) = g_a(x), \quad 0 < x < b. \tag{6}
$$

Numerical methods are crucial for this kind of fractional differential equations, since only in a very few special cases, the analytical solutions can be found. While the methods developed for TFDEs are already relatively wide, the same cannot be said for the distributed-order diffusion equation case, since, to the best of our knowledge, only a few works have been reported. In [\[4\]](#page-8-5) an implicit finite-difference method has been derived for the one-dimensional distributed-order diffusion equation; in  $[11]$  the same idea has been followed for the numerical approximation of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations with distributed order in time. In [\[12\]](#page-8-7) a numerical scheme has been developed for the solution of a distributed-order diffusion equation containing also a fractional derivative in space. In [\[5\]](#page-8-8), a finite-difference method was presented for the two-dimensional distributed-order diffusion equation, together with an extrapolation technique to improve the convergence orders in time. In all these papers, only finite-difference approximations have been considered for the fractional time derivative, which may become heavy from the computational point of view, due to the nonlocal property of fractional differential operators. Moreover, in all of these works, only forward problems have been investigated.

Here we will follow an alternative approach: we consider a Chebyshev polynomial approximation of the fractional derivatives. The paper is organized in the following way: we start with a section devoted to some preliminary results that will be used in the forthcoming sections. In Sect. [3](#page-4-0) we describe the numerical method and we end with some numerical examples and some conclusions.

#### **2 Preliminaries**

In this section we present some auxiliary results that will be used in the derivation of the numerical scheme. For the approximation of the integral term, we will use Gaussian quadrature. *N*-point Gaussian quadrature rules are a special class of quadrature formulas that yield the exact value of a definite integral for integral functions that are polynomials of degree less than or equal to  $(2N - 1)$ . This can be achieved by suitable choices of the points  $x_i$  and weights  $\omega_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ . These rules are conventionally given in the interval  $[-1, 1]$  and may be given by  $\int_0^1$  $\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \, dx \cong \sum_{i=1}^{n}$ *N*  $i=1$  $\omega_i f(x_i)$ . Obviously other intervals can be considered by using proper variable substitutions. In our case, since we are dealing with the interval  $[0, 1]$ , it is easy to see that

$$
\int_0^1 f(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) \, dt \cong \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_j f\left(\frac{t_j+1}{2}\right).
$$

**Lemma 1** ([\[1\]](#page-7-1)). *If f*  $\in C^{(2N)}([0, 1])$ , then with  $\gamma_N = \frac{(N!)^4}{(2N+1)[(2N)!]^3} \approx \frac{\Pi}{4^N}$ , we have: *have:*

$$
\int_0^1 f(x) \ dx - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_j f\left(\frac{t_j+1}{2}\right) = \gamma_N \frac{f^{(2N)}(c_N)}{(2N)!}, \ \ 0 \le c_N \le 1.
$$

As we have mentioned in the Sect. [1,](#page-0-1) we will use Chebyshev polynomials to approximate the fractional derivatives. Chebyshev polynomials of degree *n*,  $T_n(z)$ are defined in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

In order to use them in the interval  $[0, a]$ , we introduce the change of variable  $z = 2t/a - 1$  and obtain the so-called shifted Chebyshev polynomials  $T_{a,n}(t) =$  $T_n\left(\frac{2t}{a}-1\right)$ . These shifted Chebyshev polynomials can also be obtained from the following expression (see [\[3\]](#page-7-2)):

$$
T_{a,n}(t) = n \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \frac{2^{2k}(n+k-1)!}{(2k)!(n-k)!a^k} t^k, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,
$$

where

$$
T_{a,i}(0) = (-1)^i \text{ and } T_{a,i}(a) = 1,
$$
 (7)

and satisfy the following orthogonality relation:

$$
\int_0^a T_{a,j}(t) T_{a,k}(t) \omega_a(t) dt = \delta_{kj} h_k.
$$

where  $\omega_a(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{at - t^2}}$  and  $h_0 = \pi$ ,  $h_k = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, ...$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>A function  $y(t)$  belonging to the space of square integrable functions on [0, a] may be expressed as

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
y(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i T_{a,i}(t),
$$
\n(8)

where the coefficients  $c_i$  are given by

$$
c_i = \frac{y(t), T_{a,i}(t)}{\|T_{a,i}\|^2} = \frac{1}{h_i} \int_0^a y(t) T_{a,i}(t) \omega_a(t) \, dt, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots
$$

For computational purposes, only the first  $(m + 1)$  terms in (8) are considered:

$$
y_m(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i T_{a,i}(t), \quad t \in [0, a],
$$
 (9)

and the following result holds:

**Theorem 1** ([3]). Let  $y(t)$  be a square integrable function on [0, a]. Then, given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , y(t) may be approximated by  $y_m(t)$ , defined by (9), and for  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
D^{\alpha} y_m(t) = \sum_{i=\lceil \alpha \rceil}^m \sum_{k=\lceil \alpha \rceil}^i c_i w_{i,k}^{(\alpha)} t^{k-\alpha}, \ w_{i,k}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{(-1)^{i-k} 2^{2k} i (i+k-1)! \Gamma(k+1)}{(i-k)! (2k)! \Gamma(k+1-\alpha) a^k} \tag{10}
$$

and the error 
$$
|E(m)| = |D^{\alpha}y(t) - D^{\alpha}y_m(t)| \le \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} c_i \left( \sum_{k=\lceil \alpha \rceil}^{i} \sum_{j=0}^{k-\lceil \alpha \rceil} \theta_{i,j,k} \right),
$$

*where, for*  $h_0 = 2$ ,  $h_i = 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

$$
\theta_{i,j,k} = \frac{(-1)^{i-k} 2i(i+k-1)! \Gamma\left(k-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{h_j \Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)(i-k)! \Gamma(k-\alpha-j+1) \Gamma(k+j-\alpha+1) a^{\alpha}}.
$$

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**3 Numerical Method**

In the derivation of the numerical method, we proceed as in the classical (integer order) case. Let

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
u(t,x) \approx u_m(t,x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i(x) T_{a,i}(t),
$$
 (11)

Using a Gaussian quadrature formula with *n* points, [\(9\)](#page-3-1) and [\(10\)](#page-3-2), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j c \left( \frac{\beta_j + 1}{2} \right) \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{\beta_j + 1}{2} \rceil}^m \sum_{k=0}^{i-\lceil \frac{\beta_j + 1}{2} \rceil} v_i(x) w_{i,k}^{\left( \frac{\beta_j + 1}{2} \right)} t^{i-k-\frac{\beta_j + 1}{2}} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \ddot{v}_i(x) T_{a,i}(t) + f(x,t).
$$
\n(12)

Note that in this case  $\frac{\beta_j+1}{2} \in [0, 1], j=1, \ldots, n$ , and then  $\left\lceil \frac{\beta_j+1}{2} \right\rceil$  $\left] = 1, j=1, \ldots, n.$ 

Now, we collocate Eq. [\(12\)](#page-4-1) at *m* points  $t_p$ . For collocation points, we use the roots of the shifted Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $m, T_{a,m}(t)$ :

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j c \left( \frac{\beta_j + 1}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} v_i(x) w_{i,k}^{\left( \frac{\beta_j + 1}{2} \right)} t_p^{i-k - \frac{\beta_j + 1}{2}} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \ddot{v}_i(x) T_{L,i}(t_p) + f(x, t_p),
$$
  
\n
$$
p = 0, \dots, m - 1.
$$
\n(13)

We obtain in this way *m* ordinary differential equations on the  $(m+1)$  unknowns  $v_i(x), i = 0, \ldots, m.$ 

Using the fact that  $T_{a,i}(0) = (-1)^i$  and taking the initial condition [\(5\)](#page-1-2) into account, we obtain the extra equation:

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{i} v_i(x) = g_0(x).
$$
 (14)

Alternatively, since  $T_{a,i}(a) = 1$ , from the terminal condition [\(6\)](#page-1-3), we obtain

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i(x) = g_a(x) \tag{15}
$$

On the other hand, by substituting  $(11)$  on the boundary conditions  $(4)$ , we obtain

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i(0) T_{a,i}(t) = \phi_0(t),
$$
\n(16)

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i(b) T_{a,i}(t) = \phi_b(t).
$$
 (17)

At the collocation points  $t_p$ ,  $p = 0, \ldots, m-1$ , [\(16\)](#page-5-0) and [\(17\)](#page-5-0) are as follows:

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i(0) T_{a,i}(t_p) = \phi_0(t_p), \quad p = 0, \dots, m-1,
$$
\n(18)

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i(b) T_{a,i}(t_p) = \phi_b(t_p), \quad p = 0, \dots, m-1.
$$
 (19)

Therefore, in order to obtain the functions  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^m$  that define the approximate<br>ution of the forward problem (3) (4) (5) we must solve the system of differential solution of the forward problem  $(3)$ ,  $(4)$ ,  $(5)$ , we must solve the system of differential equations  $(13)$ – $(14)$ , with boundary conditions  $(18)$  and  $(19)$ .

In order to obtain an approximate solution of the backward problem  $(3)$ ,  $(4)$ ,  $(6)$ , we must solve the system of differential equations  $(13)$ – $(15)$ , with boundary conditions  $(18)$  and  $(19)$ .

#### **4 Numerical Results**

In this section, we apply the proposed method to solve some examples for which the analytical solution is known. We define the absolute error at the point  $(t, x)$  by

$$
e_m(t,x) = |u(t,x) - u_m(t,x)|, \quad (t,x) \in [0,a] \times [0,b].
$$

*Example 1. Forward problem:*

$$
c(\alpha) = \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} - \alpha\right)
$$
  

$$
f(t, x) = \frac{\sqrt{t(x-1)^2 \left(3\sqrt{\pi}(t-1)(x-1)^2 x^2 - 8t(5x(3x-2) + 1)\log(t)\right)}}{4\log(t)},
$$

with analytical solution given by  $u(x, t) = t^{3/2}x^2(1-x)^4$ ,  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Example 1: pointwise absolute error at the points  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  for several values of *m*. From *left to right*:  $m = 3$ ,  $m = 5$ ,  $m = 7$ ,  $m = 9$ 

In order to approximate the integral that defines the distributed-order derivative, we will use a 3-point Gaussian quadrature formula.

In Fig. [1](#page-6-0) the domain pointwise absolute errors are displayed. We see that the pointwise error goes up to the order of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $4 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $4 \times 10^{-6}$ <br>if we consider on the series expansion of u (11)  $m = 3$ ,  $m = 5$ ,  $m = 7$ , and if we consider on the series expansion of *u*, [\(11\)](#page-4-2),  $m = 3$ ,  $m = 5$ ,  $m = 7$ , and  $m = 9$ , respectively. This shows that the numerical solutions are in good agreement with the exact solutions, and we have more accuracy if we consider more terms on the series approximation [\(11\)](#page-4-2) of *u*.

As a second example we consider, a backward problem which is defined by

*Example 2. Backward problem*

$$
c(\alpha) = \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2} - \alpha\right)
$$
  

$$
f(t, x) = \frac{t^{3/2} \left(15\sqrt{\pi}(t-1)x(x-1)^2 + 16t(2-3x)\log(t)\right)}{8\log(t)},
$$

with analytical solution given by  $u(t, x) = t^{5/2} (1 - x)^2 x$ ,  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

In these backward problems, the unknown solution  $u(x, t)$  has to be determined from the boundary measurements  $\phi_0(t)$  and  $\phi_b(t)$  and terminal time measurement  $g_a(x)$ , which normally contain noises in practical problems. Thus, in order to test the proposed method, first we apply the method, with several values of *m*, to the second example without noise on the data and then we apply the method with some noise on the boundary and terminal data.

The comparison results between  $u(0, x)$  and  $u_m(0, x)$  are displayed in Table [1,](#page-7-3) for several values of  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $m = 1, 3, 5, 7$  and 9. From the results in Table [1,](#page-7-3) it can be observed that the error is smaller for the biggest value of *m* that we consider. Thus, the overall errors can be made smaller by adding new terms from the series [\(11\)](#page-4-2) that approximate  $u(t, x)$ .

Now, we consider Example 2 with several levels of noise,  $\delta = 10^{-i}$ ,  $i = 2, \ldots, 5$ , the houndary and terminal data:  $\sigma_i(x) = a_i(x) + \delta_i$ ,  $\phi_0(t) = \phi_0(t) + \delta_i$ ,  $\phi_1(t)$ on the boundary and terminal data:  $\bar{g}_a(x)=g_a(x)+\delta$ ,  $\phi_0(t)=\phi_0(t)+\delta$ ,  $\phi_b(t)$ <br> $-\phi_0(t)+\delta$ . In Fig. 2 we show the absolute error at points  $(x, 0)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$  obtained  $=\phi_b(t)+\delta$ . In Fig. [2](#page-7-4) we show the absolute error at points  $(x, 0), x \in [0, 1]$  obtained for the approximation [\(11\)](#page-4-2) with  $m = 5$  and several levels of noise. It can be observed that the noise has influence on the numerical results.

$\mathcal{X}$	$e_1(0,x)$	$e_3(0, x)$	$e_5(0, x)$	$e_7(0, x)$	$e_9(0, x)$
0.2	$1.261 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.614 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.725 \times 10^{-6}$	$8.529 \times 10^{-6}$	$14.397 \times 10^{-6}$
0.4	$1.583 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.447 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.407 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.669 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.122 \times 10^{-6}$
0.5	$1.466 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.545 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.123 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.809 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.048 \times 10^{-6}$
0.6	$1.221 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.114 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.335 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.030 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.064 \times 10^{-6}$
0.7	$8.987 \times 10^{-2}$	$4.223 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.660 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.689 \times 10^{-6}$	$12.514 \times 10^{-6}$
0.9	$5.522 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.979 \times 10^{-3}$	$4.870 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.350 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.825 \times 10^{-6}$

<span id="page-7-3"></span>**Table 1** Example 2: the absolute errors related with the approximate solutions  $u_m(x, t)$ ,  $m = 1, 3, 5, 7$  and  $m = 9$ , at the points  $(0, x)$ ,  $x \in \{0.2, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.9\}$ 



<span id="page-7-4"></span>Fig. 2 Absolute error for Example 2 using  $m = 5$  and different noise levels  $\delta$ . From *left to right*:  $\delta = 10^{-2}, \delta = 10^{-3}, \delta = 10^{-4}, \delta = 10^{-5}$ 

#### **Conclusions** 5

In this work we have presented an alternative method (than finite-difference methods) for the numerical approximation of time distributed-order diffusion equations that is able to deal with both initial (or forward) and terminal (or backward) problems. The numerical results presented for examples with known analytical solutions illustrate the accuracy of the proposed method. In the future we intend to provide a full comparison with the finite-difference methods and analyze the convergence of the scheme.

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by FCT (Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology) within the projects UID/MAT/00013/2013 (Centro de Matemática) and UID/MAT/00297/2013 (Centro de Matemática e Aplicações).

#### **References**

- <span id="page-7-1"></span>1. Burden, R., Faires, J.: Numerical Analysis, 9th edn. Brooks-Cole Publishing Company, Boston  $(2011)$
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>2. Diethelm, K.: The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations: An Application-Oriented Exposition Using Differential Operators of Caputo Type. Springer, Heidelberg (2010)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. Doha, E.H., Bhrawy, A.H., Ezz-Eldien, S.S.: A Chebyshev spectral method based on operational matrix for initial and boundary value problems of fractional order. Comput. Math. Appl. 62, 2364-2373 (2011)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>4. Ford, N.J., Morgado, M.L., Rebelo, M.: An implicit finite difference approximation for the solution of the diffusion equation with distributed order in time. Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal. **44**, 289–305 (2015)
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>5. Gao, G.H., Sun, Z.Z.: Two alternating direction implicit difference schemes with the extrapolation method for the two-dimensional distributed-order differential equations. Comput. Math. Appl. **69**, 926–948 (2015)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>6. Gorenflo, R., Mainardi, F., Scalas, E., Raberto, M.: Fractional calculus and continuous-time finance III: the diffusion limit. In: Mathematical Finance, pp. 171–180. Springer, New York (2001)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>7. Gorenflo, R., Luchko, Y., Stojanovic, M.: Fundamental solution of a distributed order timefractional diffusion-wave equation as probability density. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **16**(2), 297–316 (2013)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>8. Mainardi, F., Raberto, M., Gorenflo, R., Scalas, E.: Fractional calculus and continuous-time finance II: the waiting-time distribution. Physica A **287**, 468–481 (2000)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>9. Mainardi, F., Pagnini, G., Mura, A., Gorenflo, R.: Time-fractional diffusion of distributed order. J. Vib. Control **14**, 1267–1290 (2008)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>10. Metzler, R., Klafter, J.: The restaurant at the end of the random walk: recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics. J. Phys. A Math. Gen. **37**, R161–R208 (2004)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>11. Morgado, M.L., Rebelo, M.: Numerical approximation of distributed order reaction-diffusion equations. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **275**, 216–227 (2015)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>12. Ye, H., Liu, F., Anh, V., Turner, I.: Numerical analysis for the time distributed-order and Riesz space fractional diffusions on bounded domains. Int. J. Appl. Math. (2014). doi: [10.1093/imamat/hxu015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imamat/hxu015)