Chapter 3 In Utero Exposure to Nicotine, Cocaine, and Amphetamines

Lynn T. Singer, Meeyoung O. Min, Adelaide Lang, and Sonia Minnes

Introduction

 Nicotine, cocaine, and amphetamines (methamphetamine, amphetamine, and 3,4, methylenedioxymethamphetamine, "MDMA or Ecstasy") are psychomotor stimulants, a class of drugs in which significant sensorimotor activation occurs with drug administration (Meyer & Quenzer, [2005](#page-21-0)). Stimulants are drugs that enhance alertness and attention, increase arousal and behavioral excitement, and have a high potential for producing mental and physiological dependence . They vary in their legality, therapeutic use, mode and pattern of intake, pharmacologic actions, and prevalence of use, as well as in the sociodemographic and personality characteristics of women who choose to continue to use them during pregnancy despite strong medical contraindications. Importantly, our understanding of the effects of these stimulants on fetal and child developmental outcomes varies considerably across specific substances. Some stimulants, such

M.O. Min, Ph.D. • S. Minnes, Ph.D.

A. Lang, Ph.D. Case Western Reserve University, 11635 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA e-mail: Adelaide.Lang@case.edu

L.T. Singer, Ph.D. (\boxtimes)

Case Western Reserve University, 2040 Adelbert Road, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA e-mail: Lynn.Singer@case.edu

Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA e-mail: [Meeyoung.Min@case.edu;](mailto:Meeyoung.Min@case.edu) Sonia.Minnes@case.edu

[©] Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016 51

C. Riccio, J. Sullivan (eds.), *Pediatric Neurotoxicology*, Specialty Topics

in Pediatric Neuropsychology, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-32358-9_3

as cocaine and nicotine, are subjects of a large body of research, while there is virtually no information on the effects of prescribed amphetamines or MDMA on long-term child development.

A significant issue in current research on the behavioral teratology of stimulants is that women who use stimulants during pregnancy, particularly those that are illegal, are virtually all polydrug users. They also tend to be heavier users of other drugs, such as marijuana, opiates, and alcohol that serve to mitigate the excitatory "highs" of stimulants. Thus, untangling the prenatal effects of any one drug on child development becomes difficult because stimulant drugs are likely to cause dependence and are rarely used alone. In studies of cocaine-exposed infants, their mothers self-reported using higher amounts of alcohol, marijuana, and nicotine during pregnancy in addition to cocaine than did control groups in which women reported alcohol, marijuana, and nicotine, but not cocaine use (Coles, Bard, Platzman, & Lynch, 1999; Eyler, Behnke, Conlon, Woods, & Wobie, [1998](#page-19-0); Singer, Arendt et al., 2002). Similarly, in a study in the UK, the majority of women who used MDMA recreationally during pregnancy also used alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana, as well as psychedelic drugs like LSD and ketamine (Singer et al., 2012a).

 Other factors affecting understanding of the outcomes of children exposed to stimulants in utero are the legality of the drug as well as the psychological and sociodemographic characteristics of the users. Exposure levels to illegal stimulants, such as cocaine, MDMA, and methamphetamines, are difficult to quantify accurately, while nicotine and prescription stimulant amphetamines, such as Ritalin, Concerta, Adderall, and Vyvanse, are federally regulated and provide more precise measures of the dose of drug exposure to the fetus if maternal reports are accurate. With illegal stimulants, data may be unreliable as fear of incarceration or loss of child custody may lead pregnant women to deny or minimize the amount of the drug used. However, even when legal, when harmful effects to the fetus are widely publicized, such as with alcohol or tobacco , pregnant women are reluctant to admit use to avoid social disapproval, so that denial or minimization of use occurs.

Finally, assessing the relative risks of various stimulants is difficult because the type of stimulant used by women during pregnancy is frequently confounded with the social and psychological characteristics of the users. The extensive data base now available on the sequelae of prenatal cocaine exposure is derived primarily from studies of offspring of poor, urban, largely minority women recruited during the "crack-cocaine" epidemic of the late 1980s and 1990s in the USA. There are no comparable studies available for children of women of middle socioeconomic status, so it is difficult to separate the biological effects of cocaine exposure from the effects of violence exposure, poor education, and poverty of the cohorts studied. The few studies available of prescription amphetamines, alternatively, are derived from studies of middle socioeconomic status volunteers with preexisting attentional deficits, so that effects on offspring may not be generalizable to other populations that do not share genetic factors or caregiving characteristics associated with a parent with ADHD. Despite these caveats, a substantial body of research that can begin to inform public health efforts for prevention and intervention has begun to emerge documenting the outcomes of various cohorts of children exposed prenatally to

stimulants. In light of the different research findings associated with different types of stimulants, in this chapter we discuss the developmental outcomes of in utero exposure to nicotine, cocaine, and amphetamines in separate sections.

Nicotine

Definitional Issues and Prevalence

 Nicotine, an alkaloid found in tobacco leaves, is the primary psychoactive component of tobacco . While there are over 4000 chemicals in tobacco smoke that may be toxic, substantial evidence exists that nicotine is a key ingredient with adverse effects on brain development (Dwyer, McQuown, & Leslie, 2009). Despite widespread public health warnings, about 15.4 % of pregnant women aged 15–44 in the USA smoke tobacco during their pregnancies (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, [2014 \)](#page-24-0). While some women quit smoking prior to or during pregnancy, about 10.7% of pregnant women continue to smoke through their third trimester. Smoking during pregnancy occurs in all socioeconomic and ethnic groups, but rates are higher in low socioeconomic populations, those under age 25, and those with less education. Of those who quit smoking during pregnancy, up to 40 % relapse within 6 months after delivery (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, [2013](#page-18-0)). Thus, adverse effects of smoking can continue after birth by transmission through breast milk (Primo, Ruela, Brotto, Garcia, & Ede Lima, [2013](#page-23-0)) and exposure to second hand smoke (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2006).

Impact on the Developing Child

 Nicotine crosses the placenta readily and may reach fetal concentrations much higher than maternal levels, contributing to reduced oxygen supply, undernourishment of the fetus, and vasoconstrictor effects on the placenta and umbilical cord (Behnke, Smith, Committee on Substance Abuse, & Committee on Fetus and Newborn, [2013](#page-17-0)). The adverse physical effects of smoking during pregnancy include increased risk for miscarriage, stillbirth, infant mortality, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), preterm birth, fetal growth retardation, and low birthweight (National Institute on Drug Abuse—US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, [2012](#page-22-0)). Relationships between maternal smoking in pregnancy and childhood obesity, elevated blood pressure, and Type II diabetes have also been found (Rogers, 2009). Exposure to secondhand smoke can cause additional health problems such as asthma attacks, bronchitis, respiratory infections, ear infections, and SIDS (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014, March 5).

 Animal studies indicate that prenatal exposure to nicotine disrupts the timing of trophic events linked to nicotinic cholinergic receptors , leading to premature onset of cell differentiation at the expense of replication, alteration of cell signaling, brain cell death or structural alterations in regional brain areas, and effects on the neurotransmitter systems involving dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine (Slikker, Xu, Levin, $\&$ Slotkin, [2005](#page-24-0)). In rats, these brain changes are associated with locomotor hyperactivity and reduced cognitive function. A review of the literature on brain structure and function in humans (Bublitz $\&$ Stroud, [2012](#page-18-0)) indicates that prenatal nicotine exposure is associated with decreased volume/thickness of the cerebellum and corpus callosum, increased auditory brainstem responses in infants, and lack of coordination across brain regions in response inhibition, memory, and attention tasks in adolescents. Alterations in these brain regions have also been linked to deficits in cognitive abilities, auditory processing, social development, and ADHD.

Numerous studies have identified neurobehavioral abnormalities in nicotineexposed infants including greater excitability, arousal, muscle tension, more signs of stress/abstinence, greater need for touch to be calmed, poorer self-regulation, and greater negative affect (Barros, Mitsuhiro, Chalem, Laranjeira, & Guinsburg, [2011 ;](#page-17-0) Law et al., 2003 ; Schuetze & Eiden, 2007 ; Stroud et al., 2009) compared to unexposed infants. This early compromised neurobehavior may predict persistent adverse outcomes in childhood, particularly behavioral difficulties (Hernandez-Martinez, Arija Val, Escribano Subias, & Canals Sans, 2012; Stroud et al., 2009).

Intellectual Functioning

 Many studies have found a relationship between prenatal nicotine exposure and lower IQ scores on intelligence measures across childhood and adolescence. A systematic review of the literature (Clifford, Lang, & Chen, [2012 \)](#page-18-0) indicated that the reductions in IQ tend to be small and effects sometimes attenuated or eliminated after adjustment for maternal intelligence, maternal education, SES, sibling relationships, and infant birth weight. Heavier exposure to nicotine is associated with lower overall intelligence scores (Batty, Der, & Deary, 2006; Fried, Watkinson, & Gray, [2003](#page-19-0); Mortensen, Michaelsen, Sanders, & Reinisch, [2005](#page-22-0)).

Academic Functioning

 A limited number of studies investigated the link between prenatal tobacco exposure and academic achievement. In one prospective birth cohort study, school age children of mothers who smoked during pregnancy performed more poorly on tests of arithmetic and spelling, after adjustment for risk factors (Batstra, Hadders-Algra, & Neeleman, [2003 \)](#page-17-0). Other studies found dose–response associations between exposure and school age children's reading skills after adjusting for socioeconomic status, type of school attended, and prenatal and postnatal characteristics (Cho, Frijters, Zhang, Miller, & Gruen, 2013 ; Fried, Watkinson, & Siegel, [1997](#page-20-0)). In studies that measured academic achievement using school grades or grade point average (D'Onofrio et al., [2010](#page-19-0); Lambe, Hultman, Torrang, Maccabe, & Cnattingius, 2006; Martin, Dombrowski, Mullis, Wisenbaker, & Huttunen, [2006 \)](#page-21-0) exposed children (age 12) and adolescents (age 15) had a higher risk of lower grade performance compared to non-exposed peers. However, when compared to siblings differentially exposed to maternal smoking during pregnancy, there were no differences in academic achievement in two large epidemiological studies (D'Onofrio et al., 2010; Lambe et al., 2006), suggesting the confounding role of genetic and familial factors.

Neuropsychological Functioning

 Studies investigating the effect of prenatal nicotine exposure on executive functions such as memory, attention, self-regulation, and response inhibition have produced mixed findings. At preschool age, nicotine-exposed 4-year-old children demonstrated poorer selective attention than unexposed children (Noland et al., 2005). Maternal smoking during pregnancy was also associated with a decrease of 4-yearold children's global cognitive scores, and poorer executive function and working memory (Julvez et al., [2007](#page-20-0)). In 3-year-old children, prenatal tobacco exposure was related to lower motivational, but not cognitive self-regulation (Wiebe et al., 2015).

 At school age, prenatal cigarette exposure has been associated with impaired speed of executive attention in 5–7-year-old children (Mezzacappa, Buckner, & Earls, [2011](#page-21-0)). Seven- to nine-year-olds exposed to prenatal smoking had poor emotional inhibitory control in a dose response manner but no relationship was found on a measure of cognitive inhibitory control (Huijbregts, Warren, de Sonneville, & Swaab-Barneveld, 2008). Significant associations between prenatal tobacco exposure and 10-year-olds' lower performance on tasks measuring verbal learning, design memory, problem solving, mental flexibility, and eye-hand coordination were reported (Cornelius, Ryan, Day, Goldschmidt, & Willford, [2001](#page-18-0)) but no differences were found in attention deficits or increased activity on a continuous performance task.

 In adolescence, a relationship between prenatal cigarette smoking and auditory memory has been noted (Fried et al., [2003](#page-19-0)). However, an extensive neuropsychological battery of cognitive tests given to a large sample of 12–18-year-olds with and without prenatal nicotine exposure found no effects on any cognitive ability (IQ, academic achievement, executive functions) with cases matched by maternal education (Kafouri et al., [2009](#page-20-0)). The wide variety of neuropsychological measures used across different methodological approaches makes it difficult to draw definitive conclusions about prenatal nicotine exposure and its impact on specific executive function abilities (Clifford et al., 2012).

Language Functioning

 Prenatal tobacco exposure has been linked to reduced language skills in childhood. In a polydrug exposed sample of children followed prospectively from birth to age 6, cigarette exposure was associated with lower receptive language scores (Lewis, Kirchner, et al. [2007](#page-21-0)). Lower auditory processing and receptive language skills have

also been reported through age 12 (Fried et al., 1997). One large prospective birth cohort related higher prenatal nicotine exposure to poorer performance on language tasks and increased risk of language impairment at age 8 after adjusting for socioeconomic status, type of school attended, and parental interaction (Eicher et al., 2013).

Emotional and Behavioral Functioning

There are mixed findings on the association between prenatal nicotine exposure and child internalizing symptoms such as depression or anxiety, with some studies finding an association (Ashford, van Lier, Timmermans, Cuijpers, & Koot, 2008; Menezes et al., 2013; Moylan et al., 2015), while others did not (Brion et al., 2010; Lavigne et al., [2011](#page-20-0)). Prenatal nicotine exposure has been linked to a range of externalizing behavior problems consistently including inattention, hyperactivity, impulsivity, oppositional behavior, aggression, rule-breaking, delinquency, and peer problems, across childhood and adolescence (Cornelius et al., [2011](#page-18-0) ; Cornelius, Goldschmidt, DeGenna, Day, & Goldschmidt, [2007 ;](#page-18-0) Day, Richardson, Goldschmidt, & Cornelius, [2000](#page-19-0); Gatzke-Kopp & Beauchaine, [2007](#page-20-0)). Whether these effects are causal or due to genetic and other family environment factors remains a question (D'Onofrio et al., [2008](#page-19-0), [2012](#page-19-0); D'Onofrio, Van Hulle, Goodnight, Rathouz, & Lahey, 2012). A recent genetically sensitive study that examined the relationship between maternal smoking during pregnancy and conduct problems in children reared by biological and adoptive mothers across three pooled study samples found smoking during pregnancy to be a risk factor for offspring externalizing behavior problems even after control for childrearing practices and the home environment (Gaysina et al., [2013 \)](#page-20-0). A comprehensive overview of prenatal nicotine exposure and behavioral findings of attentional, externalizing and internalizing problems concluded there is evidence of increased risk of conduct or externalizing problems in children (Tiesler & Heinrich, [2014](#page-25-0)).

 Prenatal nicotine exposure increases the risk of early tobacco use as well as multiple substance use in adolescents (Goldschmidt, Cornelius, $\&$ Day, 2012; Lotfipour et al., [2009](#page-21-0)). Family background factors (D'Onofrio, Rickert, et al., [2012 ;](#page-19-0) D'Onofrio, Ricker, et al., [2012](#page-19-0)) and current maternal smoking and peer smoking (Cornelius, Leech, Goldschmidt, & Day, [2005](#page-18-0)) likely play confounding roles. Maternal smoking during pregnancy has been associated with increased risk of nicotine dependence in offspring (Buka, Shenassa, & Niaura, [2003 \)](#page-18-0), nearly doubling the risk that the child will meet diagnostic criteria for lifetime tobacco dependence in adulthood, if that child starts smoking.

<i>Specific Diagnostic Outcomes

 Maternal smoking during pregnancy is one of the most commonly cited prenatal risks associated with ADHD, with dose–response relationships evident (Thapar, Cooper, Eyre, & Langley, [2013 \)](#page-24-0). The risk of developing ADHD in children exposed in utero to nicotine ranges from 2.4 to 3.4 times higher than among unexposed children (Zhou et al., 2014). It is unclear whether the association is causal or due to confounding genetic or other household-level factors, in light of studies finding no association with increased ADHD risk that controlled for these factors (D'Onofrio et al., 2008; Thapar et al., [2009](#page-24-0)).

 Support for the association of smoking during pregnancy and the diagnosis of conduct disorder (CD), a severe and persistent pattern of antisocial behavior, has also been found (Wakschlag, Pickett, Cook, Benowitz, & Leventhal, [2002](#page-25-0)). In particular, children born to mothers who smoke more than a half pack of cigarettes per day during pregnancy are up to four times more likely to have CD, and to have increased rates of oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) (Zhou et al., [2014](#page-25-0)). While some argue that these findings cannot be interpreted as causal (D'Onofrio, Van Hulle, et al., [2012](#page-19-0)), others (Slotkin, [2013 ;](#page-24-0) Tiesler & Heinrich, [2014 \)](#page-25-0) believe that the bulk of the evidence supports prenatal tobacco exposure as contributing to subsequent conduct problems in offspring.

Prognosis and Moderating Factors

 The adverse effects of prenatal nicotine exposure on cognitive and behavioral outcomes have been shown to persist well into young adulthood (Cornelius, Goldschmidt, & Day, 2012; Mortensen et al., 2005). Low birth weight, maternal intelligence and education, SES, and other family environment factors (e.g., learning stimulation in the home) all play a role in the relationship between exposure and cognitive functioning (Clifford et al., [2012](#page-18-0)). A number of moderating factors have been demonstrated in the pathway from prenatal tobacco exposure to disruptive behaviors as well, including gender (early studies indicated the link was stronger in boys but recent findings are more contradictory), SES, parental psychopathology (e.g., ADHD or antisocial/criminal behavior), and caregiving environment (e.g., parental responsiveness) (Agrawal et al., [2010](#page-16-0); Wakschlag et al., [2011](#page-25-0)).

Considerations for Prevention /Intervention

 To prevent the negative effects of prenatal nicotine exposure on child development, women are advised to quit smoking before becoming pregnant. Once pregnant, smoking cessation should be done as early as possible to reduce impact on the developing fetus. Psychosocial support is an effective, evidence-based smoking cessation treatment for pregnant women, particularly if incentives are included. However, the safety and efficacy of pharmacotherapy during pregnancy (e.g., nicotine replacement therapy) remain unclear (Meernik & Goldstein, 2015).

 For women who smoked during pregnancy, periodic developmental and behavioral assessment of their young children may identify early learning or behavioral problems requiring intervention. Parent training, behavior modification and wraparound services that target multiple family risk factors may reduce externalizing behaviors, while medication management may be warranted if a child is formally diagnosed with an attention deficit disorder. Specific interventions that target self-regulatory skills may alter trajectories toward severe behavior problems in children with prenatal tobacco exposure (Wiebe et al., 2015). School accommodations for learning, attention and/or disruptive behaviors may also become necessary if problems persist in childhood and adolescence.

Prenatal Cocaine Exposure

Definitional Issues and Prevalence

 Cocaine is one of the most commonly abused illicit drugs in the USA and Europe. During the "cocaine epidemic" in the USA of the late 1980s and early 1990s it was estimated that 50,000–100,000 children were born prenatally with cocaine exposure each year, with higher rates reported in urban areas (National Institute on Drug Abuse, [1996](#page-22-0); Ostrea, Brady, Gause, Raymundo, & Stevens, [1992](#page-22-0)). Although the annual rate of affected births has dropped since then, it is currently estimated that nearly 7.5 million children have been affected by prenatal cocaine exposure (PCE) in the USA (Chae & Covington, 2009). Worldwide, $0.5-3\%$ of pregnant women are estimated to use cocaine (Lamy & Thibaut, [2010](#page-20-0)).

 Cocaine use in expensive powder form became prevalent in the 1980s when portrayed as a "safe" drug by President Carter's drug "czar". By the 1990s, a cheap smokable "crack" form had been manufactured and epidemic use occurred in major urban areas, primarily by poor, minority men and women. Information on developmental outcomes comes primarily from a number of large prospective birth cohort studies initiated by the NIH in the early 1990s, and drug effects are confounded with the environmental risks associated with "crack" cocaine use in poor urban areas.

Impact on the Developing Child

 Maternal cocaine use exposes the fetal brain to a powerful stimulant that readily crosses the fetal blood–brain barrier and can act directly to disrupt monoamine neurotransmitter systems important for directing fetal brain development (Kosofsky, Wilkins, Gressens, & Evrard, [1994](#page-20-0); McCarthy, Kabir, Bhide, & Kosofsky, [2014](#page-21-0)) particularly in brain areas known to impact reward systems and executive function (McCarthy et al., 2014). Prenatal cocaine exposure can also act indirectly via its vasoconstrictive properties that limit oxygen and nutrition to the developing fetal brain (Smeriglio & Wilcox, [1999 \)](#page-24-0). A number of well-controlled prospective studies indicate that PCE affects fetal physical growth, resulting in an increased likelihood of preterm birth, low birth weight, shorter birth length, smaller head circumference, and growth retardation, an indication of potential developmental delay (Gouin,

Murphy, Shah, & Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of Low Birth Weight and Preterm Births, [2011](#page-20-0)). Recent data suggest PCE is associated with persistent disruption in dopamine signaling, changes in pyramidal neuron dendritic structure, and fewer GABA neurons, all of which can compromise the dopamine- rich prefron-tal cortex (McCarthy et al., [2014](#page-21-0); Thompson, Levitt, & Stanwood, [2009](#page-25-0)). These structural brain differences may underlie cognitive deficits, including executive function, attention, and inhibitory control, in prenatally cocaine exposed children.

 Brain imaging studies of cocaine-exposed children have consistently noted morphologic differences from non-exposed samples. These include less gray matter in the parietal and occipital lobes and lower volume of the corpus callosum in 7–8-year-olds (Singer et al., [2006](#page-23-0)), lower volume of the right anterior cerebellum at 11 years of age, and smaller caudate at adolescence (Avants et al., [2007 \)](#page-17-0).

Intellectual Functioning

Although global cognitive deficits have been noted in cohorts of children $1-3$ years of age with PCE (Behnke, Smith, & Committee on Substance Abuse, & Committee on Fetus and Newborn, 2013; Richardson, Goldschmidt, & Willford, 2008; Singer et al., [2002](#page-24-0)), specific, rather than overall cognitive differences have been found in older children with PCE. School age PCE cohorts and comparison substanceexposed children had mean IQ scores between 0.5 and 1.0 SD below the mean, reflective of their poor urban environments, low SES, and elevated lead levels (Ackerman, Riggins, & Black, 2010).

Academic Functioning

 PCE has not been directly associated with child academic achievement (Frank et al., 2005; Singer et al., [2008](#page-24-0)). However, PCE children were 2.8 times more likely to demonstrate learning disabilities than non-exposed peers at age 7 (Morrow et al., 2006) and to need individualized education plans and special support services (Levine et al., 2008) than non-exposed children. At 4 years, cocaine-exposed boys had deficits in arithmetic skills compared to non-exposed peers (Singer et al., 2004).

Neuropsychological Functioning

PCE appears to disrupt specific neuropsychological functions, including attention, executive function, and visual perceptual organization, especially difficulties with sustained (Bandstra, Morrow, Anthony, Accornero, & Fried, [2001](#page-17-0)) and selective (Savage, Brodsky, Malmud, Giannetta, & Hurt, [2005 \)](#page-23-0) attention. PCE children were more likely to demonstrate commission errors (Noland et al., [2005](#page-22-0)) at age 4, and omission errors and slower reaction times at ages 5–7 (Accornero, Anthony, Morrow, Xue, & Bandstra, [2006](#page-16-0); Roth, Isquith, & Gioia, [2005](#page-23-0)).

 Negative effects of PCE on EF have been found via experimental neuropsychological tasks during infancy (Noland et al., [2003](#page-22-0)) and on standard neuropsychological assessments at elementary school age (Eyler et al., [2009 ;](#page-19-0) Mayes, Molfese, Key, & Hunter, 2005) and at adolescence (Richardson, Goldschmidt, Larkby, & Day, 2015). In a study of inhibitory control using the Stroop interference task (Bridgett $\&$ Mayes, [2011](#page-17-0)), children with PCE made more errors than non-cocaine exposed (NCE) children at 7 years, but had more age related improvements between 7 and 12 years. While girls in both groups improved faster than boys, PCE girls did not catch up to their NCE peers.

Gender specific effects were also found in a study using parental ratings of EF that assessed functional aspects of school and home life. At 12 years, girls with PCE were rated by caregivers to have more problems in metacognition (planning/organizing and self-monitoring) but not behavioral regulation (Min et al., $2014a$, Min, Minnes, Yoon, Short, & Singer, 2014b). In contrast, PCE effects were found in boys only using a computerized go/no-go task (Carmody, Bennett, & Lewis, [2011 \)](#page-18-0). Boys with heavier PCE showed deficits in attention and inhibitory behaviors at ages 6, 9, and 11. Negative effects of heavier PCE on inhibitory control at 8.5–11 years of age were found compared to lighter PCE and NCE (Rose-Jacobs et al., 2009), but no effects were noted at 12–14 years of age (Rose-Jacobs et al., [2011](#page-23-0)).

The Cleveland Prenatal Cocaine Study found that children with PCE had deficits on Perceptual Reasoning tasks from the Wechsler IQ tests at ages 4, 9, and 15 (Singer et al., 2004 , 2008 ; Singer, Minnes, Min, Lewis, & Short, 2015). These IQ tasks of Block Design, Picture Arrangement, and Object Assembly measure nonverbal reasoning, visual-spatial processing, visual-perceptual organization, and the ability to learn new information.

Emotional and Behavioral Functioning

In the preschool years, studies provide conflicting evidence of PCE effects on behavior (Dixon, Kurtz, & Chin, [2008](#page-19-0)). However, findings on behavioral regulation converge at school age with few exceptions (Ackerman et al., 2010). PCE effects have been found on child-reported symptoms of Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD) and ADHD at 6 years (Linares et al., 2006), on caregiver-reported aggressive and delinquent behavior at 9 years (McLaughlin et al., [2011 \)](#page-21-0), on child-reported depressive symptoms and teacher-rated anxious/depressed behavior at 10 years (Richardson, Larkby, Goldschmidt, & Day, [2013](#page-23-0)), on teacher- and caregiver-rated externalizing behavior problems at 7, 9, and 11 years (Bada et al., 2011), and on child-reported externalizing behavior at 12 (Min, Minnes, Lang, et al., [2014](#page-21-0)a) and 15 years (Min, Minnes, Lang, et al., $2014a$ $2014a$; Min, Minnes, Yoon, et al., $2014b$; Richardson et al., 2013). Mixed findings of PCE by gender on behavioral adjustment have been noted, with PCE boys showing more clinically significant external-izing and delinquent behaviors using teacher ratings (Delaney-Black et al., [2000](#page-19-0)) and self-report (Bennett, Marini, Berzenski, Carmody, & Lewis, [2013](#page-17-0)) while other studies report effects of PCE in girls only (McLaughlin et al., [2011 ;](#page-21-0) Minnes et al.,

 2010 ; Sood et al., 2005). The negative effects of PCE on externalizing behaviors have been consistent across ages with small effect sizes at 0.20 SD on average (Ackerman et al., 2010 ; Singer et al., 2015).

 PCE effects have been noted in adolescence on substance use (Delaney-Black et al., [2011 ;](#page-19-0) Frank et al., [2011](#page-19-0) ; Minnes et al., [2014 ;](#page-22-0) Richardson et al., [2013 \)](#page-23-0) and early sexual behavior (De Genna, Goldschmidt, & Richardson, [2014 ;](#page-19-0) Min, Minnes, Lang, Yoon, & Singer, 2015). PCE adolescents self-reported more substance use related problems at age 15, and were more likely to experience accidents, forgetfulness, and mood swings when using alcohol or drugs (Min, Minnes, Lang, et al., 2014a).

Language Functioning

 Studies of language development in PCE children have also been consistent even after controlling for multiple prenatal and environmental confounders (Ackerman et al., [2010 ;](#page-16-0) Lambert & Bauer, [2012 \)](#page-20-0). The Miami Prenatal Cocaine study (Bandstra et al., [2011](#page-17-0)) reported a gradient (dose-dependent) relationship between PCE and lower receptive, expressive, and total language scores at 3, 5, and 12 years of age, with expressive language being most affected. Deficits in expressive language observed at age 3 years persisted to age 12 years. The Cleveland study (Lewis, Kirchner, et al., [2007](#page-21-0); Singer et al., [2001](#page-23-0)) found stable negative effects of cocaine on expressive language skills across 1, 2, 4, and 6 years of age (Lewis, Fulton, et al., 2007; Lewis, Kirchner, et al., [2007 \)](#page-21-0). This cohort continued to show PCE-associated language deficits related to syntax and phonological awareness at age 10 (Lewis et al., [2011](#page-21-0)) and 12 years (Lewis et al., [2013](#page-21-0)). Gender differences were noted with PCE girls differentially affected at age 10, consistent with other research.

Specific Diagnostic Outcomes

Studies from two birth cohorts (Linares et al., [2006](#page-21-0); Morrow et al., [2009](#page-22-0)) reported that ADHD and ODD were associated with prenatal cocaine exposure although these findings should be considered preliminary until replicated.

Prognosis and Moderating Factors

 The net effect of PCE is in the range of small to medium effect sizes. Children prenatally exposed to cocaine are likely to continue to have functional deficits due to the teratogenic effects of PCE and from associated risk factors (low SES, caregivers' ongoing substance use, poor parenting, and violence exposure). Compromised development in language skills, neuropsychological functioning, and externalizing behavior problems may lead to cascading effects in other developmental domains. As noted previously, gender may moderate PCE effects on behavior, but findings to date have been inconsistent.

Considerations for Prevention /Intervention

 Interventions that provide stimulating, responsive caregiving may mitigate PCE effects in some aspects of development. In addition, drug treatment of maternal depressive symptoms during pregnancy may also mitigate harmful effects on the fetus (Singer et al., [2002](#page-24-0); Singer, Salvator, et al., 2002). Preschool enrichment positively affected 4 year IQ in PCE children (Frank et al., [2005 \)](#page-19-0). A substantial (20–25 %) portion of PCE children from the Cleveland cohort placed in nonrelative foster-adoptive care had better quality home environments with lower lead levels, and caregivers with higher verbal skills and less depression than children with their biologic families. They also had better cognitive (Singer et al., [2004](#page-24-0), [2008 \)](#page-24-0) and language (Lewis et al., [2011](#page-21-0)) outcomes compared to those in biological relative care through school age, that were positively correlated with the length of time in better caregiving environments (Singer et al., 2004). However, these protective effects were not found for behavioral outcomes (Linares et al., 2006; McLaughlin et al., 2011; Min, Minnes, Lang, et al., 2014a; Min, Minnes, Yoon, et al., [2014](#page-22-0)b; Minnes et al., 2010).

Amphetamines, Methamphetamine, and MDMA (3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine)

Definitional Issues and Prevalence

 Amphetamine and its related compounds are synthetic psychostimulants that resemble the neurotransmitter dopamine in chemical structure, accounting for their effects on the dopaminergic system. Amphetamine, methamphetamine, and 3,4, methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, "Ecstasy") are highly similar, but not identical, psychostimulants. They cross the placenta to increase the levels of norepinephrine, dopamine, and serotonin in the synaptic cleft via transporter reuptake inhibition (Ross, Graham, Money, & Stanwood, [2015](#page-23-0)). Amphetamine is composed of two distinct compounds, dextroamphetamine and levoamphetamine , and medications containing amphetamine are prescribed for narcolepsy, obesity, ADHD, and Parkinson's Disease. Prescription drugs containing amphetamine, such as Ritalin, Vyvanse, Adderall, and Concerta are frequently used by women of child bearing age with obesity and ADHD, but the prevalence of women using amphetamines for nonmedical reasons is currently unknown.

 Methamphetamine and MDMA are illicit drugs that are part of the collective group of amphetamines. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (2014) estimates that .3% of adults age 18–25 reported using methamphetamine in the past month. The only study to assess methamphetamine prevalence in pregnant women found that 5 % of women in selected hospitals self-reported use in the US areas with significant overall use (Arria et al., 2006). Methamphetamine is more rapidly transported across the blood–brain barrier than amphetamine (Barr et al., 2006), with a higher potential for addiction.

 MDMA, while similar to amphetamine, has additional hallucinogenic properties (Parrott, 2014) and a particular affinity for the serotonin transporter (Green, Mechan, Elliott, O'Shea, & Colado, [2003](#page-20-0)) in that 80 % of serotonin stores can be depleted in one episode. Usually taken in pill form, more recently MDMA has been popularized as "Molly", a powder form wrongly perceived as a safe form of the drug. MDMA has been called an "enactogen" referring to users' subjective experience of empathy, euphoria, and emotional warmth while using and has been used therapeutically to treat posttraumatic stress disorder.

 MDMA is widely used recreationally throughout Europe, Australia, and the USA. Johnston et al. (2014) found 5.6% of 12th graders in the USA reporting lifetime use of Ecstasy and Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (2014) reported 12.8% of 18–25-year-olds with lifetime use. Amphetamine type stimulants have highest prevalence rates in New Zealand, Australia, and the western USA (Mohan, 2014). Their use has been increasing, moving eastward from epidemics first noted in the western and southwestern parts of the USA (Nordahl, Salo, $\&$ Leamon, [2003](#page-22-0)). Although effects of amphetamine type stimulants have been extensively studied in adults (Berman, Kuczenski, McCracken, & London, [2009](#page-17-0) ; Nordahl et al., [2003](#page-22-0); Parrott, 2014), the impact of prenatal exposure to these stimulants on child development has not been well documented.

Impact on the Developing Child

 Given their similarities of action at the cellular level, the four major follow-up studies of prenatal amphetamine exposure will be summarized together. These studies include an early uncontrolled study from Sweden of growth and development of 65 children exposed to amphetamines through early adolescence (Billing, Eriksson, Larsson, & Zetterstrom, [1980](#page-17-0)). The New Zealand IDEAL study, a prospective, longitudinal, well-controlled study, has been following 100 methamphetamine-exposed children in comparison to similar non-exposed children since 2006 (Wouldes et al., [2014 \)](#page-25-0). The US IDEAL study recruited 204 methamphetamine-exposed and 208 non-exposed matched comparison children from four US sites (Los Angeles, CA; Des Moines, IA; Tulsa, OK; Honolulu, HI) and has followed them until 7.5 years old. The Drugs and Infancy Study (DAISY), a prospective longitudinal cohort design, followed 28 MDMA-exposed and 68 non-exposed infants from birth to 2 years of age using a volunteer sample of middle class recreational drug users from London, England. Infants were evaluated at 1, 4, 12, 18, and 24 months of age. The DAISY Study is the only available study worldwide to investigate prenatal MDMA exposure effects in humans (Moore et al., [2010](#page-22-0)).

 Amphetamine exposure in pregnancy was associated with low birthweight and prematurity in one meta-analysis of ten small studies (Ladhani, Shah, Murphy, & Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of Preterm/LBW Births, [2011 \)](#page-20-0). Several studies, including IDEAL, found that prenatal methamphetamine exposure was also related to prematurity, fetal growth retardation, and decreased birthweight, length, and head circumference (Smith et al., [2003 \)](#page-24-0). Methamphetamine-exposed infants appear to largely catch up in growth by 3 years of age. However, imaging studies indicated lower volume of the caudate nucleus and decreased cortical thickness at age 3 years in the same study (Zabaneh et al., 2012). In contrast, no differences were found in the DAISY study in birth complications or growth parameters, but MDMA exposure was associated with more male births, similar to findings of alterations in sex ratios seen with other toxins (Mocarelli et al., [2000 ;](#page-22-0) Singer, Moore, Fulton, et al., 2012a). One infant in the MDMA-exposed group in this study was diagnosed with Townes-Brocks Syndrome, a rare congenital anomaly that could not be attributed to MDMA exposure (Singer et al., $2012b$ $2012b$). However, the finding is consistent with a study that found a 4–7 times higher risk of congenital malformations in a series of 136 MDMA-exposed pregnancies (McElhatton, Bateman, Evans, Pughe, & Thomas, [1999](#page-21-0)).

Structural brain abnormalities have been identified in children with prenatal methamphetamine exposure, i.e., regional brain volume reductions in the putamen, globus pallidus, hippocampus, and caudate and decreased cortical thickness (Chang et al., 2004), and lower apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) in frontal and parietal white matter (Cloak, Ernst, Fujii, Hedemark, & Chang, [2009](#page-18-0); Zabaneh et al., 2012). There are no similar studies of amphetamine or MDMA exposed infants.

Intellectual Functioning

 Amphetamine-exposed children at age 4 had lower IQ scores, although in the average range of functioning, compared to a community sample in Sweden (Billing, Eriksson, Steneroth, & Zetterström, [1985 \)](#page-17-0). However, no differences in cognitive development related to methamphetamine exposure were found from 1–3 years of age on the Bayley Scales of Infant Development—Second Edition (BSID-II) relative to non-exposed children in the IDEAL Study through 7.5 years (Smith et al., [2011](#page-23-0)) nor in a small experimental study (Piper et al., 2011).

Similarly, no global differences on the MDI of the BSID-II between MDMA-exposed and non-exposed infants were found in the DAISY study. More heavily exposed infants achieved an MDI of 98.5 ± 11 vs. 103.4 ± 6 and 103.4 ± 9 for lighter exposed and nonexposed. However, heavier MDMA exposure prenatally was predictive of a lower Mental Development Index score at 12 months only (Singer, Moore, Min, et al., [2012](#page-24-0)b) at an age when the MDI items are heavily dependent on motor skills.

Academic Functioning

 Fifteen percent of 14–15-year-old amphetamine-exposed children were 1 year behind their school-age peers in the Swedish study, with problems in language and mathematics (Cernerud, Eriksson, Jonsson, Steneroth, & Zetterstrom, [1996](#page-18-0)) but there are no other reports on school achievement related to methamphetamine, MDMA, or amphetamine -exposed children.

Neuropsychological Functioning

The US IDEAL study identified lower grasping scores on the Peabody Developmental Motor Scales (PDMS-2) associated with heavier methamphetamine exposure from 1 to 3 years, but did not find a relationship with fine or gross motor skills on the Bayley Scales of Psychomotor Development Index (PDI) (Smith et al., 2011). Similar, but even more consistent motor deficits were found in MDMA-exposed infants in the DAISY study. At 4 months, MDMA-exposed infants were slower moving and more delayed as assessed by the motor subscale of the Behavioral Rating Scale (BRS) of the BSID-II, and more heavily exposed infants performed more poorly than comparison infants on the Alberta Infant Motor Scale (AIMS) (Singer, Moore, Fulton, et al., $2012a$ $2012a$). By 12 months, significant delays in motor skills were identified for more heavily exposed MDMA infants on the BSID-II PDI and the BRS motor quality scale (Singer, Moore, Min, et al., $2012b$). By 2 years, heavily MDMA-exposed infants still lagged behind the lighter and non-exposed comparison groups (Singer et al., [2015 ;](#page-24-0) Singer, Minnes, Min, Lewis, Lang, et al., [2015 ;](#page-23-0) Singer, Minnes, Min, Lewis, & Short, [2015 \)](#page-23-0).

Other specific deficits have been found related to methamphetamine exposure in preschool and early school age children . A subsample from the US IDEAL study was administered the Conner's Kiddie Continuous Performance Test (K-CPT) at age 5.5 years. While no differences were found in omission or commission errors or reaction time for correct trials, prenatal exposure was associated with outcomes predictive of ADHD. In a sample of 31, 7–9-year-old methamphetamine-exposed children compared to 35 non-exposed on the Conner's Parent Rating Scale at 7.5 years, exposed children were 2.8 times more likely to be rated to have cognitive problem scores above average. Parents rated their children to have more problems in executive function and the children were more likely to show poorer performance in spatial memory compared to non-exposed children (Roussotte et al., [2011](#page-23-0)).

Emotional and Behavioral Functioning

 Aggressive behavior and poorer social functioning were related to the amount and duration of prenatal amphetamine exposure in the Swedish cohort at 8 years (Billing, Eriksson, Jonsson, Steneroth, & Zetterström, [1994](#page-17-0)). Likewise, in the US IDEAL study, higher emotional reactivity and more anxiety, depressive, and ADHD symptoms were found at preschool age, but not at 7 1/2 years when using parent report (Smith et al., [2015](#page-24-0)). In the DAISY study, no effects of MDMA exposure were found on emotional regulation up to 2 years of age (Singer, Moore, Min, Goodwin, Turner, et al., [2015 \)](#page-24-0).

Impact on Other Areas of Functioning

The amphetamine exposed children in the Swedish cohort displayed deficits in math, language, and physical fitness activities at 14 years compared to normative groups (Cernerud et al., 1996), but no specific functional deficits have been noted in either the US or New Zealand IDEAL studies or the MDMA DAISY Study, although follow-up extends only from 2 to 7.5 years of age.

Specific Diagnostic Outcomes

 Preschool outcomes in the US IDEAL Study suggested that ADHD was potentially related to methamphetamine exposure, a finding not replicated at early school age (Diaz et al., 2014).

Prognosis and Moderating Factors

The early identification of motor, executive function, and behavior regulation problems across these four cohorts raises concern about long-term school achievement and conduct problems in stimulant exposed children, although definitive outcomes await larger and longer term studies. As with cocaine and nicotine, environmental factors can either increase or decrease developmental risk. The IDEAL studies identified methamphetamine use to be associated with maternal psychiatric symptoms of paranoia, depression, suicidal ideation, and psychosis (Smith et al., [2015](#page-24-0)), problems that could be expected to affect child behavior. The Swedish cohort mothers had significant problems with alcoholism, also related to child outcomes (Billing, Eriksson, Steneroth, $&$ Zetterstrom, [1988](#page-17-0)). In the DAISY study, no clinically significant differences in psychological distress symptoms in MDMA using women were noted although depressive symptoms were higher. These symptoms abated over the first year after infant birth coincident with decreased use of MDMA (Turner et al., 2014).

Considerations for Prevention /Intervention

 Pregnant women should be apprised of the addictive potential of amphetamine type stimulants. Non-pharmacologic treatments for ADHD and weight control should be considered as first line interventions to prevent addiction. Treatment of depression, alcohol and substance use prenatally may mitigate physiologic effects on the fetus and improve maternal–child interactions after birth. As motor delays can be identified early in development, and have been noted in the longitudinal studies, early screening and intervention for amphetamine-exposed infants is warranted.

Conclusion

 Prenatal nicotine exposure is robustly associated with externalizing behavior problems and, to a lesser extent, compromised cognitive functioning and academic achievement and an increased risk of teen tobacco and other substance use. While the exact causal mechanisms of these associations are not yet determined in humans, the adverse effects of prenatal nicotine exposure persist on a long-term basis. Public health education efforts about the dangers of smoking during pregnancy are warranted. The increasing use among young people of alternative tobacco products perceived to be less harmful, such as e-cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, and hookahs, is an area of needed future research.

 Prenatal cocaine exposure is associated with compromised language development, suboptimal executive functions, and greater externalizing behavior problems, as well as an increased risk of teen substance use and early sexual behavior, reflecting long-lasting teratogenic effects of PCE. Amphetamines are among the most poorly researched stimulants regarding use during pregnancy and impact on the developing child, despite their widespread legal and illegal use, with no controlled longitudinal studies beyond the preschool years. Limited data available suggest significant effects on brain development, behavioral adjustment, and motor skills, although the research literature is too small to allow firm conclusions to be drawn.

 Acknowledgement This study was supported by grant RO1-DA07957 NIH—National Institute on Drug Abuse.

References

- Accornero, V. H., Anthony, J. C., Morrow, C. E., Xue, L., & Bandstra, E. S. (2006). Prenatal cocaine exposure: An examination of childhood externalizing and internalizing behavior problems at age 7 years. *Epidemiologia E Psichiatria Sociale, 15* (1), 20–29.
- Ackerman, J. P., Riggins, T., & Black, M. M. (2010). A review of the effects of prenatal cocaine exposure among school-aged children. *Pediatrics*, 125(3), 554-565. doi:[10.1542/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-0637) [peds.2009-0637](http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-0637).
- Agrawal, A., Scherrer, J. F., Grant, J. D., Sartor, C. E., Pergadia, M. L., Duncan, A. E., et al. (2010). The effects of maternal smoking during pregnancy on offspring outcomes. *YPMED Preventive Medicine, 50(1), 13-18.*
- Arria, A. M., Derauf, C., Lagasse, L. L., Grant, P., Shah, R., Smith, L., et al. (2006). Methamphetamine and other substance use during pregnancy: Preliminary estimates from the infant development, environment, and lifestyle (IDEAL) study. *Maternal and Child Health Journal, 10*(3), 293-302. doi[:10.1007/s10995-005-0052-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10995-005-0052-0).
- Ashford, J., van Lier, P. A., Timmermans, M., Cuijpers, P., & Koot, H. M. (2008). Prenatal smoking and internalizing and externalizing problems in children studied from childhood to late adolescence. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 47(7), 779-787. doi:[10.1097/CHI.0b013e318172eefb](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CHI.0b013e318172eefb).
- Avants, B. B., Hurt, H., Giannetta, J. M., Epstein, C. L., Shera, D. M., Rao, H., et al. (2007). Effects of heavy in utero cocaine exposure on adolescent caudate morphology. *Pediatric Neurology, 37* (4), 275–279. doi:S0887-8994(07)00328-1 [pii].
- Bada, H. S., Bann, C. M., Bauer, C. R., Shankaran, S., Lester, B., LaGasse, L., et al. (2011). Preadolescent behavior problems after prenatal cocaine exposure: Relationship between teacher and caretaker ratings (maternal lifestyle study). *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 78–87. doi:[10.1016/j.ntt.2010.06.005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.06.005)
- Bandstra, E. S., Morrow, C. E., Accornero, V. H., Mansoor, E., Xue, L., & Anthony, J. C. (2011). Estimated effects of in utero cocaine exposure on language development through early adolescence. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 25–35. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2010.07.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.07.001).
- Bandstra, E. S., Morrow, C. E., Anthony, J. C., Accornero, V. H., & Fried, P. A. (2001). Longitudinal investigation of task persistence and sustained attention in children with prenatal cocaine exposure. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 23* (6), 545–559.
- Barr, A. M., Panenka, W. J., MacEwan, G. W., Thornton, A. E., Lang, D. J., Honer, W. G., et al. (2006). The need for speed: An update on methamphetamine addiction. *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience, 31* (5), 301–313.
- Barros, A. M., Mitsuhiro, S. S., Chalem, E., Laranjeira, R. R., & Guinsburg, R. (2011). Prenatal tobacco exposure is related to neurobehavioral modifications in infants of adolescent mothers. *Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil), 66* (9), 1597–1603.
- Batstra, L., Hadders-Algra, M., & Neeleman, J. (2003). Effect of antenatal exposure to maternal smoking on behavioural problems and academic achievement in childhood: Prospective evidence from a Dutch birth cohort. *Early Human Development, 75* (1-2), 21–33.
- Batty, G. D., Der, G., & Deary, I. J. (2006). Effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy on offspring's cognitive ability: Empirical evidence for complete confounding in the US national longitudinal survey of youth. *Pediatrics*, 118(3), 943-950.
- Behnke, M., Smith, V. C., & Committee on Substance Abuse, & Committee on Fetus and Newborn. (2013). Prenatal substance abuse: Short- and long-term effects on the exposed fetus. *Pediatrics, 131* (3), 1009–1024. doi[:10.1542/peds.2012-3931](http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-3931).
- Bennett, D. S., Marini, V. A., Berzenski, S. R., Carmody, D. P., & Lewis, M. (2013). Externalizing problems in late childhood as a function of prenatal cocaine exposure and environmental risk. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 38* (3), 296–308. doi:[10.1093/jpepsy/jss117.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jss117)
- Berman, S. M., Kuczenski, R., McCracken, J. T., & London, E. D. (2009). Potential adverse effects of amphetamine treatment on brain and behavior: A review. *Molecular Psychiatry, 14*(2), 123–142.
- Billing, L., Eriksson, M., Jonsson, B., Steneroth, G., & Zetterström, R. (1994). The influence of environmental factors on behavioural problems in 8-year-old children exposed to amphetamine during fetal life. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 18* (1), 3–9.
- Billing, L., Eriksson, M., Larsson, G., & Zetterstrom, R. (1980). Amphetamine addiction and pregnancy. III. One year follow-up of the children. Psychosocial and pediatric aspects. *Acta* Paediatrica Scandinavica, 69(5), 675–680.
- Billing, L., Eriksson, M., Steneroth, G., & Zetterström, R. (1985). Pre-school children of amphetamine- addicted mothers. I. Somatic and psychomotor development. *Acta Paediatrica Scandinavica, 74* (2), 179–184.
- Billing, L., Eriksson, M., Steneroth, G., & Zetterstrom, R. (1988). Predictive indicators for adjustment in 4-year-old children whose mothers used amphetamine during pregnancy. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 12* (4), 503–507. doi: 0145-2134(88)90067-1 [pii].
- Bridgett, D. J., & Mayes, L. C. (2011). Development of inhibitory control among prenatally cocaine exposed and non-cocaine exposed youths from late childhood to early adolescence: The effects of gender and risk and subsequent aggressive behavior. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 47–60. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2010.08.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.08.002).
- Brion, M. J., Victora, C., Matijasevich, A., Horta, B., Anselmi, L., Steer, C., et al. (2010). Maternal smoking and child psychological problems: Disentangling causal and noncausal effects. *Pediatrics, 126* (1), e57–e65. doi:[10.1542/peds.2009-2754](http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-2754).
- Bublitz, M. H., & Stroud, L. R. (2012). Maternal smoking during pregnancy and offspring brain structure and function: Review and agenda for future research. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 14* (4), 388–397. doi:[10.1093/ntr/ntr191.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntr191)
- Buka, S. L., Shenassa, E. D., & Niaura, R. (2003). Elevated risk of tobacco dependence among offspring of mothers who smoked during pregnancy: A 30-year prospective study. *The American Journal of Psychiatry, 160* (11), 1978–1984.
- Carmody, D. P., Bennett, D. S., & Lewis, M. (2011). The effects of prenatal cocaine exposure and gender on inhibitory control and attention. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 61–68. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2010.07.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.07.004).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2013). Trends in smoking before, during, and after pregnancy: Pregnancy risk assessment monitoring system (PRAMS), United States, 40 sites, 2000–2010. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 62* (6), 1–19.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2014). *Fact sheet: Health effects of secondhand smoke, March 5* . Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved from http://Www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/secondhand_smoke/health_effects.
- Cernerud, L., Eriksson, M., Jonsson, B., Steneroth, G., & Zetterstrom, R. (1996). Amphetamine addiction during pregnancy: 14-year follow-up of growth and school performance. *Acta Paediatrica, 85* , 204–208.
- Chae, S. M., & Covington, C. Y. (2009). Biobehaivoral outcomes in adolescents and young adults prenatally exposed to cocaine: Evidence from animal models. *Biological Research for Nursing, 10* , 318–330.
- Chang, L., Smith, L. M., LoPresti, C., Yonekura, M. L., Kuo, J., Walot, I., & Ernst, T. (2004). Smaller subcortical volumes and cognitive deficits in children with prenatal methamphetamine exposure. *Psychiatry Research, 132* (2), 95–106. doi:S0925-4927(04)00073-3 [pii].
- Cho, K., Frijters, J. C., Zhang, H., Miller, L. L., & Gruen, J. R. (2013). Prenatal exposure to nicotine and impaired reading performance. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 162(4), 713–718.e2. doi[:10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.09.041](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.09.041).
- Clifford, A., Lang, L., & Chen, R. (2012). Effects of maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy on cognitive parameters of children and young adults: A literature review. *NTT Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 34* (6), 560–570.
- Cloak, C. C., Ernst, T., Fujii, L., Hedemark, B., & Chang, L. (2009). Lower diffusion in white matter of children with prenatal methamphetamine exposure. *Neurology, 72* (24), 2068–2075. doi[:10.1212/01.wnl.0000346516.49126.20](http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000346516.49126.20).
- Coles, C. D., Bard, K. A., Platzman, K. A., & Lynch, M. E. (1999). Attentional response at eight weeks in prenatally drug-exposed and preterm infants. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 21(5), 527–537. doi:S0892-0362(99)00023-9 [pii].
- Cornelius, M. D., De Genna, N. M., Leech, S. L., Willford, J. A., Goldschmidt, L., & Day, N. L. (2011). Effects of prenatal cigarette smoke exposure on neurobehavioral outcomes in 10-yearold children of adolescent mothers. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 137–144. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2010.08.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.08.006).
- Cornelius, M. D., Goldschmidt, L., DeGenna, N., Day, N. L., & Goldschmidt, L. (2007). Smoking during teenage pregnancies: Effects on behavioral problems in offspring. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 9* (7), 739–750.
- Cornelius, M. D., Goldschmidt, L., & Day, N. L. (2012). Prenatal cigarette smoking: Long-term effects on young adult behavior problems and smoking behavior. *NTT Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 34* (6), 554–559.
- Cornelius, M. D., Leech, S. L., Goldschmidt, L., & Day, N. L. (2005). Is prenatal tobacco exposure a risk factor for early adolescent smoking? A follow-up study. *NTT Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 27* (4), 667–676.
- Cornelius, M. D., Ryan, C. M., Day, N. L., Goldschmidt, L., & Willford, J. A. (2001). Prenatal tobacco effects on neuropsychological outcomes among preadolescents. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 22* (4), 217–225.
- Day, N. L., Richardson, G. A., Goldschmidt, L., & Cornelius, M. D. (2000). Effects of prenatal tobacco exposure on preschoolers' behavior. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 21* (3), 180–188.
- De Genna, N., Goldschmidt, L., & Richardson, G. A. (2014). Prenatal cocaine exposure and age of sexual initiation: Direct and indirect effects. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 145* , 194–200. doi[:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.10.011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.10.011)
- Delaney-Black, V., Chiodo, L. M., Hannigan, J. H., Greenwald, M. K., Janisse, J., Patterson, G., et al. (2011). Prenatal and postnatal cocaine exposure predict teen cocaine use. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 110–119. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2010.06.011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.06.011)
- Delaney-Black, V., Covington, C., Templin, T., Ager, J., Nordstrom-Klee, B., Martier, S., et al. (2000). Teacher-assessed behavior of children prenatally exposed to cocaine. *Pediatrics, 106* (4), 782–791.
- Diaz, S. D., Smith, L. M., LaGasse, L. L., Derauf, C., Newman, E., Shah, R., et al. (2014). Effects of prenatal methamphetamine exposure on behavioral and cognitive findings at 7.5 years of age. *The Journal of Pediatrics, 164* (6), 1333–1338. doi[:10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.01.053](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.01.053).
- Dixon, D. R., Kurtz, P. F., & Chin, M. D. (2008). A systematic review of challenging behaviors in children exposed prenatally to substances of abuse. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 29* (6), 483–502. doi:S0891-4222(07)00051-0 [pii].
- D'Onofrio, B, M., Van Hulle, C. A., Waldman, I. D., Rodgers, J. L., Harden, K. P., Rathouz, P. J., & Lahey, B. B. (2008). Smoking during pregnancy and offspring externalizing problems: An exploration of genetic and environmental confounds. *Development and Psychopathology, 20* (1), 139–164. doi:[10.1017/S0954579408000072](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0954579408000072).
- D'Onofrio, B. M., Rickert, M. E., Langstrom, N., Donahue, K. L., Coyne, C. A., Larsson, H., et al. (2012). Familial confounding of the association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and offspring substance use and problems. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 69* (11), 1140–1150. doi[:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.2107.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.2107)
- D'Onofrio, B. M., Singh, A. L., Iliadou, A., Lambe, M., Hultman, C. M., Neiderhiser, J. M., et al. (2010). A quasi-experimental study of maternal smoking during pregnancy and offspring academic achievement. *Child Development*, 81(1), 80-100.
- D'Onofrio, B. M., Van Hulle, C. A., Goodnight, J. A., Rathouz, P. J., & Lahey, B. B. (2012). Is maternal smoking during pregnancy a causal environmental risk factor for adolescent antisocial behavior? Testing etiological theories and assumptions. *Psychological Medicine, 42* (7), 1535–1545.
- Dwyer, J. B., McQuown, S. C., & Leslie, F. M. (2009). The dynamic effects of nicotine on the developing brain. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 122(2), 125–139. doi[:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2009.02.003) [pharmthera.2009.02.003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2009.02.003).
- Eicher, J. D., Powers, N. R., Cho, K., Miller, L. L., Mueller, K. L., Ring, S. M., et al. (2013). Associations of prenatal nicotine exposure and the dopamine related genes ANKK1 and DRD2 to verbal language. *PloS One, 8* (5), e63762. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0063762.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063762)
- Eyler, F. D., Behnke, M., Conlon, M., Woods, N. S., & Wobie, K. (1998). Birth outcome from a prospective, matched study of prenatal crack/cocaine use: II. Interactive and dose effects on neurobehavioral assessment. *Pediatrics*, 101(2), 237-241.
- Eyler, F. D., Warner, T. D., Behnke, M., Hou, W., Wobie, K., & Garvan, C. W. (2009). Executive functioning at ages 5 and 7 years in children with prenatal cocaine exposure. *Developmental Neuroscience, 31* (1-2), 121–136. doi:[10.1159/000207500.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000207500)
- Frank, D. A., Rose-Jacobs, R., Beeghly, M., Wilbur, M., Bellinger, D., & Cabral, H. (2005). Level of prenatal cocaine exposure and 48-month IQ: Importance of preschool enrichment. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 27(1), 15–28.*
- Frank, D. A., Rose-Jacobs, R., Crooks, D., Cabral, H. J., Gerteis, J., Hacker, K. A., et al. (2011). Adolescent initiation of licit and illicit substance use: Impact of intrauterine exposures and post-natal exposure to violence. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 100–109. doi[:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.06.002) [ntt.2010.06.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.06.002).
- Fried, P. A., Watkinson, B., & Gray, R. (2003). Differential effects on cognitive functioning in 13 to 16-year-olds prenatally exposed to cigarettes and marihuana. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 25* (4), 427–436.
- Fried, P. A., Watkinson, B., & Siegel, L. S. (1997). Reading and language in 9- to 12-year olds prenatally exposed to cigarettes and marijuana. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 19* (3), 171– 183. doi:S0892036297000159 [pii].
- Gatzke-Kopp, L. M., & Beauchaine, T. P. (2007). Direct and passive prenatal nicotine exposure and the development of externalizing psychopathology. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development, 38* (4), 255–269. doi:[10.1007/s10578-007-0059-4.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10578-007-0059-4)
- Gaysina, D., Fergusson, D. M., Leve, L. D., Horwood, J., Reiss, D., Shaw, D. S., et al. (2013). Maternal smoking during pregnancy and offspring conduct problems: Evidence from 3 independent genetically sensitive research designs. *JAMA Psychiatry, 70*(9), 956–963.
- Goldschmidt, L., Cornelius, M. D., & Day, N. L. (2012). Prenatal cigarette smoke exposure and early initiation of multiple substance use. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 14(6), 694–702. doi[:10.1093/ntr/ntr280](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntr280).
- Gouin, K., Murphy, K., Shah, P. S., & Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of Low Birth Weight and Preterm Births. (2011). Effects of cocaine use during pregnancy on low birthweight and preterm birth: Systematic review and metaanalyses. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 204* (4), 340.e1-340.1. doi[:10.1016/j.ajog.2010.11.013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2010.11.013)
- Green, A. R., Mechan, A. O., Elliott, J. M., O'Shea, E., & Colado, M. I. (2003). The pharmacology and clinical pharmacology of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, "ecstasy"). *Pharmacology Review, 55* (3), 463–508.
- Hernandez-Martinez, C., Arija Val, V., Escribano Subias, J., & Canals Sans, J. (2012). A longitudinal study on the effects of maternal smoking and secondhand smoke exposure during pregnancy on neonatal neurobehavior. *Early Human Development, 88* (6), 403–408. doi[:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2011.10.004) [earlhumdev.2011.10.004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2011.10.004)
- Huijbregts, S. C., Warren, A. J., de Sonneville, L. M., & Swaab-Barneveld, H. (2008). Hot and cool forms of inhibitory control and externalizing behavior in children of mothers who smoked during pregnancy: An exploratory study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 36* (3), 323–333. doi[:10.1007/s10802-007-9180-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10802-007-9180-x).
- Johnston, L. D., O'Malley, P. M., Miech, R. A., Bachman, J. G., & Schulenberg, J. E. (2014). *Monitoring the future, national survey results on drug use 2014 overview key findings on adolescent drug use* . Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, the University of Michigan.
- Julvez, J., Ribas-Fitó, N., Torrent, M., Forns, M., Garcia-Esteban, R., & Sunyer, J. (2007). Maternal smoking habits and cognitive development of children at age 4 years in a population-based birth cohort. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 36* (4), 825–832.
- Kafouri, S., Leonard, G., Perron, M., Richer, L., Seguin, J. R., Veillette, S., et al. (2009). Maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy and cognitive performance in adolescence. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 38* (1), 158–172. doi:[10.1093/ije/dyn250](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyn250).
- Kosofsky, B. E., Wilkins, A. S., Gressens, P., & Evrard, P. (1994). Transplacental cocaine exposure: A mouse model demonstrating neuroanatomic and behavioral abnormalities. *Journal of Child Neurology, 9* (3), 234–241.
- Ladhani, N. N., Shah, P. S., Murphy, K. E., & Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of Preterm/LBW Births. (2011). Prenatal amphetamine exposure and birth outcomes: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 205(3), 219e1*-219.e7. doi[:10.1016/j.ajog.2011.04.016.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2011.04.016)
- Lambe, M., Hultman, C., Torrang, A., Maccabe, J., & Cnattingius, S. (2006). Maternal smoking during pregnancy and school performance at age 15. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, MA), 17(5)*, 524–530. doi[:10.1097/01.ede.0000231561.49208.be.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ede.0000231561.49208.be)
- Lambert, B. L., & Bauer, C. R. (2012). Developmental and behavioral consequences of prenatal cocaine exposure: A review. *Journal of Perinatology, 32* (11), 819–828. doi:[10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jp.2012.90) [jp.2012.90](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jp.2012.90).
- Lamy, S., & Thibaut, F. (2010). Psychoactive substance use during pregnancy: A review. [Etat des lieux de la consommation de substances psychoactives par les femmes enceintes]. *L'Encephale, 36* (1), 33–38. doi[:10.1016/j.encep.2008.12.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.encep.2008.12.009).
- Lavigne, J. V., Hopkins, J., Gouze, K. R., Bryant, F. B., LeBailly, S. A., Binns, H. J., & Lavigne, P. M. (2011). Is smoking during pregnancy a risk factor for psychopathology in young chil-

dren? A methodological caveat and report on preschoolers. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 36* (1), 10–24. doi[:10.1093/jpepsy/jsq044](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsq044).

- Law, K. L., Stroud, L. R., LaGasse, L. L., Niaura, R., Liu, J., & Lester, B. M. (2003). Smoking during pregnancy and newborn neurobehavior. *Pediatrics, 111* (6 Pt 1), 1318–1323.
- Levine, T. P., Liu, J., Das, A., Lester, B., Lagasse, L., Shankaran, S., et al. (2008). Effects of prenatal cocaine exposure on special education in school-aged children. *Pediatrics*, 122(1), e83– e91. doi:[10.1542/peds.2007-2826](http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-2826).
- Lewis, B. A., Kirchner, H. L., Short, E. J., Minnes, S., Weishampel, P., Satayathum, S., et al. (2007). Prenatal cocaine and tobacco effects on children's language trajectories. *Pediatrics, 120*(1), 78–85.
- Lewis, B. A., Minnes, S., Short, E. J., Min, M. O., Wu, M., Lang, A., et al. (2013). Language outcomes at 12 years for children exposed prenatally to cocaine. *Journal of Speech Language and Hearing Research, 56* (5), 1662–1676. doi[:10.1044/1092-4388\(2013/12-0119\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388(2013/12-0119))
- Lewis, B. A., Minnes, S., Short, E. J., Weishampel, P., Satayathum, S., Min, M. O., et al. (2011). The effects of prenatal cocaine on language development at 10 years of age. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 17–24. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2010.06.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.06.006).
- Linares, T. J., Singer, L. T., Kirchner, H. L., Short, E. J., Min, M. O., Hussey, P., et al. (2006). Mental health outcomes of cocaine-exposed children at 6 years of age. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 31* (1), 85–97. doi:[10.1093/jpepsy/jsj020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsj020).
- Lotfipour, S., Ferguson, E., Leonard, G., Perron, M., Pike, B., Richer, L., et al. (2009). Orbitofrontal cortex and drug use during adolescence: Role of prenatal exposure to maternal smoking and BDNF genotype. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 66* (11), 1244–1252. doi:[10.1001/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.124) [archgenpsychiatry.2009.124.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.124)
- Martin, R. P., Dombrowski, S. C., Mullis, C., Wisenbaker, J., & Huttunen, M. O. (2006). Smoking during pregnancy: Association with childhood temperament, behavior, and academic performance. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 31(5), 490–500.
- Mayes, L. C., Molfese, D. L., Key, A. P., & Hunter, N. C. (2005). Event-related potentials in cocaine-exposed children during a Stroop task. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 27(6)*, 797–813.
- McCarthy, D. M., Kabir, Z. D., Bhide, P. G., & Kosofsky, B. E. (2014). Effects of prenatal exposure to cocaine on brain structure and function. *Progress in Brain Research, 211* , 277–289. doi[:10.1016/B978-0-444-63425-2.00012-X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63425-2.00012-X)
- McElhatton, P. R., Bateman, D. N., Evans, C., Pughe, K. R., & Thomas, S. H. (1999). Congenital anomalies after prenatal ecstasy exposure. *Lancet, 354* (9188), 1441–1442.
- McLaughlin, A. A., Minnes, S., Singer, L. T., Min, M., Short, E. J., Scott, T. L., et al. (2011). Caregiver and self-report of mental health symptoms in 9-year old children with prenatal cocaine exposure. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (5), 582–591. doi[:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2011.03.002) [ntt.2011.03.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2011.03.002).
- Meernik, C., & Goldstein, A. O. (2015). A critical review of smoking, cessation, relapse and emerging research in pregnancy and post-partum. *British Medical Bulletin*, 114(1), 135–146. doi[:10.1093/bmb/ldv016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldv016).
- Menezes, A. M., Murray, J., Laszlo, M., Wehrmeister, F. C., Hallal, P. C., Goncalves, H., et al. (2013). Happiness and depression in adolescence after maternal smoking during pregnancy: Birth cohort study. *PLoS One, 8* (11), e80370. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0080370.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080370)
- Meyer, J. S., & Quenzer, L. F. (2005). *Psychopharmacology: Drugs, the brain, and behavior* . Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates, Publishers.
- Mezzacappa, E., Buckner, J. C., & Earls, F. (2011). Prenatal cigarette exposure and infant learning stimulation as predictors of cognitive control in childhood. *Developmental Science*, 14(4), 881–891. doi[:10.1111/j.1467-7687.2011.01038.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2011.01038.x).
- Min, M. O., Minnes, S., Lang, A., Weishampel, P., Short, E. J., Yoon, S., et al. (2014a). Externalizing behavior and substance use related problems at 15 years in prenatally cocaine exposed adolescents. *Journal of Adolescence, 37* (3), 269–279. doi[:10.1016/j.adolescence.2014.01.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2014.01.004).
- Min, M. O., Minnes, S., Lang, A., Yoon, S., & Singer, L. T. (2015). Effects of prenatal cocaine exposure on early sexual behavior: Gender difference in externalizing behavior as a mediator. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 153* , 59–65. doi[:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.06.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.06.009).
- Min, M. O., Minnes, S., Yoon, S., Short, E. J., & Singer, L. T. (2014b). Self-reported adolescent behavioral adjustment: Effects of prenatal cocaine exposure. *Journal of Adolescence Health, 55* (2), 167–174. doi:[10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.12.032](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.12.032).
- Minnes, S., Singer, L. T., Kirchner, H. L., Short, E., Lewis, B., Satayathum, S., et al. (2010). The effects of prenatal cocaine exposure on problem behavior in children 4-10 years. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 32* (4), 443–451. doi:[10.1016/j.ntt.2010.03.005.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.03.005)
- Minnes, S., Singer, L., Min, M. O., Wu, M., Lang, A., & Yoon, S. (2014). Effects of prenatal cocaine/polydrug exposure on substance use by age 15. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 134* , 201–210. doi[:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.09.031.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.09.031)
- Mocarelli, P., Gerthoux, P. M., Ferrari, E., Patterson, D. G., Kieszak, J. S. M., Brambilla, P., et al. (2000). Paternal concentrations of dioxin and sex ratio of offspring. *Lancet, 355* (9218), 1858–1863.
- Mohan, J. (Ed.). (2014). *World drug report 2014*. Vienna: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. No. ISBN 978-92-1-056752-7; pp 2, 3, 123–152.
- Moore, D. G., Turner, J. D., Parrott, A. C., Goodwin, J. E., Fulton, S. E., Min, M. O., et al. (2010). During pregnancy, recreational drug-using women stop taking ecstasy (3,4-methylenedioxy-Nmethylamphetamine) and reduce alcohol consumption, but continue to smoke tobacco and cannabis: Initial findings from the development and infancy study. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, *24* (9), 1403–1410. doi[:10.1177/0269881109348165.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269881109348165)
- Morrow, C. E., Accornero, V. H., Xue, L., Manjunath, S., Culbertson, J. L., Anthony, J. C., et al. (2009). Estimated risk of developing selected DSM-IV disorders among 5-year-old children with prenatal cocaine exposure. *Journal of Child and Family Studies, 18* (3), 356–364.
- Morrow, C. E., Culbertson, J. L., Accornero, V. H., Xue, L., Anthony, J. C., & Bandstra, E. S. (2006). Learning disabilities and intellectual functioning in school-aged children with prenatal cocaine exposure. *Developmental Neuropsychology, 30* (3), 905–931. doi:[10.1207/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15326942dn3003_8) [s15326942dn3003_8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15326942dn3003_8).
- Mortensen, E. L., Michaelsen, K. F., Sanders, S. A., & Reinisch, J. M. (2005). A dose-response relationship between maternal smoking during late pregnancy and adult intelligence in male offspring. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology, 19* (1), 4–11.
- Moylan, S., Gustavson, K., Overland, S., Karevold, E. B., Jacka, F. N., Pasco, J. A., & Berk, M. (2015). The impact of maternal smoking during pregnancy on depressive and anxiety behaviors in children: The Norwegian mother and child cohort study. *BMC Medicine, 13* (1), 24-014- 0257-4. doi[:10.1186/s12916-014-0257-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12916-014-0257-4).
- National Institute on Drug Abuse. (1996). *National pregnancy and health survey: Drug use among women delivering live births, 1992.* (No. NIH publication no. 96-3819). doi:[10.3886/](http://dx.doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR02835.v2) [ICPSR02835.v2](http://dx.doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR02835.v2).
- National Institute on Drug Abuse US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health. (2012). *Smoking and pregnancy – what are the risks.* (No. NIH Publication Number 12-4342).
- Noland, J. S., Singer, L. T., Arendt, R. E., Minnes, S., Short, E. J., & Bearer, C. F. (2003). Executive functioning in preschool-age children prenatally exposed to alcohol, cocaine, and marijuana. *Alcoholism, Clinical and Experimental Research, 27* (4), 647–656. doi:[10.1097/01.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ALC.0000060525.10536.F6) [ALC.0000060525.10536.F6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ALC.0000060525.10536.F6)
- Noland, J. S., Singer, L. T., Short, E. J., Minnes, S., Arendt, R. E., Kirchner, H. L., et al. (2005). Prenatal drug exposure and selective attention in preschoolers. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 27* (3), 429–438. doi:[10.1016/j.ntt.2005.02.001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2005.02.001)
- Nordahl, T. E., Salo, R., & Leamon, M. (2003). Neuropsychological effects of chronic methamphetamine use on neurotransmitters and cognition: A review. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences, 15* (3), 317–325.
- Ostrea, E. M., Brady, M., Gause, S., Raymundo, A. L., & Stevens, M. (1992). Drug screening of newborns by meconium analysis: A large-scale, prospective, epidemiologic study. *Pediatrics, 89* (1), 107–113.
- Parrott, A. C. (2014). Why all stimulant drugs are damaging to recreational users: An empirical overview and psychobiological explanation. *Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental, 30* (4), 213–224. doi:[10.1002/hup.2468.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hup.2468)
- Piper, B. J., Acevedo, S. F., Kolchugina, G. K., Butler, R. W., Corbett, S. M., Honeycutt, E. B., et al. (2011). Abnormalities in parentally rated executive function in methamphetamine/polysubstance exposed children. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior, 98* (3), 432–439. doi[:10.1016/j.pbb.2011.02.013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2011.02.013)
- Primo, C. C., Ruela, P. B., Brotto, L. D., Garcia, T. R., & Ede Lima, F. (2013). Effects of maternal nicotine on breastfeeding infants. *Revista Paulista De Pediatria, 31* (3), 392–397. doi:[10.1590/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-05822013000300018) [S0103-05822013000300018.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-05822013000300018)
- Richardson, G. A., Goldschmidt, L., Larkby, C., & Day, N. L. (2015). Effects of prenatal cocaine exposure on adolescent development. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 49* , 41–48. doi:S0892- 0362(15)00035-5 [pii].
- Richardson, G. A., Goldschmidt, L., & Willford, J. (2008). The effects of prenatal cocaine use on infant development. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*, 30(2), 96–106.
- Richardson, G. A., Larkby, C., Goldschmidt, L., & Day, N. L. (2013). Adolescent initiation of drug use: Effects of prenatal cocaine exposure. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 52* (1), 37–46. doi:[10.1016/j.jaac.2012.10.011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2012.10.011).
- Rogers, J. M. (2009). Tobacco and pregnancy. *Reproductive Toxicology (Elmsford, NY), 28* (2), 152–160. doi[:10.1016/j.reprotox.2009.03.012.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2009.03.012)
- Rose-Jacobs, R., Soenksen, S., Appugliese, D. P., Cabral, H. J., Richardson, M. A., Beeghly, M., et al. (2011). Early adolescent executive functioning, intrauterine exposures and own drug use. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (3), 379–392. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2011.02.013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2011.02.013)
- Rose-Jacobs, R., Waber, D., Beeghly, M., Cabral, H., Appugleise, D., Heeren, T., et al. (2009). Intrauterine cocaine exposure and executive functioning in middle childhood. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 31* (3), 159–168. doi:[10.1016/j.ntt.2008.12.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2008.12.002)
- Ross, E. J., Graham, D. L., Money, K. M., & Stanwood, G. D. (2015). Developmental consequences of fetal exposure to drugs: What we know and what we still must learn. *Neuropsychopharmacology, 40* (1), 61–87. doi[:10.1038/npp.2014.147](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/npp.2014.147).
- Roth, R. M., Isquith, P. K., & Gioia, G. A. (2005). Executive function: Concepts, assessment and intervention. In G. P. Koocher, J. C. Norcross, & S. S. Hill (Eds.), *Psychologists' desk reference* (2nd ed., pp. 38–41). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Roussotte, F. F., Bramen, J. E., Nunez, S. C., Quandt, L. C., Smith, L., O'Connor, M. J., et al. (2011). Abnormal brain activation during working memory in children with prenatal exposure to drugs of abuse: The effects of methamphetamine, alcohol, and polydrug exposure. *NeuroImage, 54* (4), 3067–3075. doi[:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.072.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.072)
- Savage, J., Brodsky, N. L., Malmud, E., Giannetta, J. M., & Hurt, H. (2005). Attentional functioning and impulse control in cocaine-exposed and control children at age ten years. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 26* (1), 42–47. doi:00004703-200502000-00010 [pii].
- Schuetze, P., & Eiden, R. D. (2007). The association between prenatal exposure to cigarettes and infant and maternal negative affect. *Infant Behavior & Development*, 30(3), 387–398. doi:S0163-6383(06)00073-7.
- Singer, L. T., Arendt, R., Minnes, S., Salvator, A., Siegel, A. C., & Lewis, B. A. (2001). Developing language skills of cocaine-exposed infants. *Pediatrics, 107* , 1057–1064.
- Singer, L. T., Arendt, R., Minnes, S., Farkas, K., Salvator, A., Kirchner, H. L., et al. (2002). Cognitive and motor outcomes of cocaine-exposed infants. *JAMA, 287* (15), 1952–1960.
- Singer, L. T., Lewin, J., Minnes, S., Weishampel, P., Drake, K., Satayathum, S., et al. (2006). Neuroimaging of 7 to 8 year-old children exposed prenatally to cocaine. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 28* , 393–396.
- Singer, L. T., Minnes, S., Min, M. O., Lewis, B. A., Lang, A., & Wu, M. (2015). Prenatal cocaine, alcohol, and tobacco effects on adolescent attention/inhibition [Abstract]. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology* , Presented at the 2015 NBTS Annual Meeting, Montreal, Canada, June 27–July 1, 2015.
- Singer, L. T., Minnes, S., Min, M. O., Lewis, B. A., & Short, E. J. (2015). Prenatal cocaine exposure and child outcomes: A conference report based on a prospective study from cleveland. *Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental, Special Edition, 30, 285–289.* doi[:10.1002/hup.2454.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hup.2454)
- Singer, L. T., Minnes, S., Short, E., Arendt, R., Farkas, K., Lewis, B., et al. (2004). Cognitive outcomes of preschool children with prenatal cocaine exposure. *JAMA, 291* (20), 2448–2456. doi[:10.1001/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.20.2448) [jama.291.20.2448.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.20.2448)
- Singer, L. T., Moore, D. G., Fulton, S., Goodwin, J., Turner, J. J. D., Min, M. O., et al. (2012). Neurobehavioral outcomes of infants exposed to MDMA (ecstasy) and other recreational drugs during pregnancy. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 34*(3), 303–310. doi[:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2012.02.001) [ntt.2012.02.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2012.02.001).
- Singer, L. T., Moore, D. G., Min, M. O., Goodwin, J., Turner, J. J. D., Fulton, S., et al. (2012). One-year outcomes of prenatal exposure to MDMA and other recreational drugs. *Pediatrics, 130* (3), 407–413. doi:[10.1542/peds.2012-0666.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-0666)
- Singer, L. T., Moore, D. G., Min, M. O., Goodwin, J., Turner, J.J., Fulton, S., & Parrott, A. C. (2015). Developmental outcomes of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (ecstasy)-exposed infants in the UK. *Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical and Experimental, Special Edition, 30* (4), 290–294. doi:[10.1002/hup.2459.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hup.2459)
- Singer, L. T., Nelson, S., Short, E., Min, M. O., Lewis, B., Russ, S., & Minnes, S. (2008). Prenatal cocaine exposure: Drug and environmental effects at 9 years. *The Journal of Pediatrics, 153* (1), 105–111. doi[:10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.01.001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.01.001)
- Singer, L. T., Salvator, A., Arendt, R., Minnes, S., Farkas, K., & Kliegman, R. (2002). Effects of cocaine/polydrug exposure and maternal psychological distress on infant birth outcomes. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 24* (2), 127–135.
- Slikker, W. J., Xu, Z. A., Levin, E. D., & Slotkin, T. A. (2005). Mode of action: Disruption of brain cell replication, second messenger, and neurotransmitter systems during development leading to cognitive dysfunction—developmental neurotoxicity of nicotine. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 35* (8-9), 703–711.
- Slotkin, T. A. (2013). Maternal smoking and conduct disorder in the offspring. *JAMA Psychiatry, 70* (9), 901–902.
- Smeriglio, V. L., & Wilcox, H. C. (1999). Prenatal drug exposure and child outcome. past, present, future. *Clinics in Perinatology*, 26(1), 1–16.
- Smith, L. M., Diaz, S., LaGasse, L. L., Wouldes, T., Derauf, C., Newman, E., et al. (2015). Developmental and behavioral consequences of prenatal methamphetamine exposure: A review of the infant development, environment, and lifestyle (IDEAL) study. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 51* , 35–44. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2015.07.006](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2015.07.006).
- Smith, L. M., LaGasse, L. L., Derauf, C., Newman, E., Shah, R., Haning, W., et al. (2011). Motor and cognitive outcomes through three years of age in children exposed to prenatal methamphetamine. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 176–184. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2010.10.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.10.004).
- Smith, L., Yonekura, M. L., Wallace, T., Berman, N., Kuo, J., & Berkowitz, C. (2003). Effects of prenatal methamphetamine exposure on fetal growth and drug withdrawal symptoms in infants born at term. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 24* (1), 17–23.
- Sood, B. G., Nordstrom, B. B., Covington, C., Sokol, R. J., Ager, J., Janisse, J., et al. (2005). Gender and alcohol moderate caregiver reported child behavior after prenatal cocaine. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 27* (2), 191–201. doi:S0892-0362(04)00146-1 [pii].
- Stroud, L. R., Paster, R. L., Papandonatos, G. D., Niaura, R., Salisbury, A. L., Battle, C., et al. (2009). Maternal smoking during pregnancy and newborn neurobehavior: Effects at 10 to 27 days. *The Journal of Pediatrics, 154* (1), 10–16. doi:[10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.07.048.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.07.048)
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). *Results from the 2013 National survey on drug use and health: Summary of national findings, NSDUH series H-48, HHS publication no. (SMA) 14–4863 Center for behavioral health statistics and quality* . Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.
- Thapar, A., Cooper, M., Eyre, O., & Langley, K. (2013). Practitioner review: What have we learnt about the causes of ADHD? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 54* (1), 3–16.
- Thapar, A., Rice, F., Hay, D., Boivin, J., Langley, K., van den Bree, M., et al. (2009). Prenatal smoking might not cause attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Evidence from a novel design. *BPS Biological Psychiatry, 66* (8), 722–727.
- Thompson, B. L., Levitt, P., & Stanwood, G. D. (2009). Prenatal exposure to drugs: Effects on brain development and implications for policy and education. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10* (4), 303–312. doi:[10.1038/nrn2598](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn2598).
- Tiesler, C. M., & Heinrich, J. (2014). Prenatal nicotine exposure and child behavioural problems. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 23* (10), 913–929. doi[:10.1007/s00787-014-0615-y.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00787-014-0615-y)
- Turner, J. J., Parrott, A. C., Goodwin, J., Moore, D. G., Fulton, S., Min, M. O., et al. (2014). Psychiatric profiles of mothers who take ecstasy/MDMA during pregnancy: Reduced depression 1 year after giving birth and quitting ecstasy. *Journal of Psychopharmacology, 28* (1), 55–61. doi[:10.1177/0269881113515061](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269881113515061).
- US Department of Health and Human Services. (2006). *The health consequences of involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke: A report of the surgeon general* (p. 790). Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health.
- Wakschlag, L. S., Henry, D. B., Blair, R. J., Dukic, V., Burns, J., & Pickett, K. E. (2011). Unpacking the association: Individual differences in the relation of prenatal exposure to cigarettes and disruptive behavior phenotypes. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 33* (1), 145–154. doi[:10.1016/j.ntt.2010.07.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2010.07.002).
- Wakschlag, L. S., Pickett, K. E., Cook, E. J., Benowitz, N. L., & Leventhal, B. L. (2002). Maternal smoking during pregnancy and severe antisocial behavior in offspring: A review. *American Journal of Public Health, 92* (6), 966–974.
- Wiebe, S. A., Clark, C. A., De Jong, D. M., Chevalier, N., Espy, K. A., & Wakschlag, L. (2015). Prenatal tobacco exposure and self-regulation in early childhood: Implications for developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 27(2), 397–409. doi:[10.1017/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S095457941500005X) [S095457941500005X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S095457941500005X).
- Wouldes, T. A., LaGasse, L. L., Huestis, M. A., DellaGrotta, S., Dansereau, L. M., & Lester, B. M. (2014). Prenatal methamphetamine exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes in children from 1 to 3 years. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 42* (2), 77–84.
- Zabaneh, R., Smith, L. M., LaGasse, L. L., Derauf, C., Newman, E., Shah, R., et al. (2012). The effects of prenatal methamphetamine exposure on childhood growth patterns from birth to 3 years of age. *American Journal of Perinatology, 29* (3), 203–210. doi:[10.1055/s-0031-1285094](http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1285094).
- Zhou, S., Rosenthal, D. G., Sherman, S., Zelikoff, J., Gordon, T., & Weitzman, M. (2014). Physical, behavioral, and cognitive effects of prenatal tobacco and postnatal secondhand smoke exposure. *Current Problems in Pediatric and Adolescent Health Care, 44* (8), 219–241. doi[:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cppeds.2014.03.007) [cppeds.2014.03.007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cppeds.2014.03.007).