

# Local Anomaly Cancellation and Equivariant Cohomology of Jet Bundles

Roberto Ferreiro Pérez

*Dedicated to Jaime Muñoz Masqué on the occasion of his 65th birthday, with my best wishes.*

**Abstract** We study the problem, suggested by Singer in [17], and consisting in determining a notion of “local cohomology” adequate to deal with the problem of locality in those approaches to local anomalies based on the Atiyah–Singer index theorem.

**Keywords** Local cohomology · Equivariant cohomology · Jet bundle · Anomaly cancellation

## 1 Introduction

An anomaly appears in a theory when a classical symmetry is broken at the quantum level. As we consider only local anomalies, we can assume that the group  $\mathcal{G}$  is connected. Let  $\mathcal{L}(\psi, s)$  be a  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant Lagrangian density depending on bosonic fields  $s \in \Gamma(E)$  and fermionic fields  $\psi$ . At the quantum level, the corresponding effective action  $W(s)$ , defined in terms of the fermionic path integral by  $\exp(-W(s)) = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp(-\int_M \mathcal{L}(\psi, s))$  could fail to be  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant. We define a form  $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^0(\Gamma(E)))$  by  $\mathcal{A} = \delta W$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{A}(X)(s) = L_X W(s)$  for  $X \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$ ,  $s \in \Gamma(E)$ . Although  $W$  is clearly a non-local functional,  $\mathcal{A}$  is local in  $X$  and  $s$ , i.e. we have  $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the

---

R. Ferreiro Pérez (✉)

Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales, Departamento de Economía Financiera y Contabilidad I, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Campus de Somosaguas, 28223 Pozuelo de Alarcón, Spain  
e-mail: roferreiro@ccee.ucm.es

condition  $\delta\mathcal{A} = 0$  (the Wess–Zumino consistency condition). Moreover, if  $\mathcal{A} = \delta\Lambda$  for a *local* functional  $\Lambda = \int_M \lambda \in \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E))$  then we can define a new lagrangian density  $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} + \lambda$ , such that the new effective action  $\hat{W}$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant, and in that case the anomaly cancels. If  $\mathcal{A} \neq \delta\Lambda$  for every  $\Lambda \in \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E))$  then we say that there exists an anomaly in the theory. Hence the anomaly is measured by the cohomology class of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the BRST cohomology  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$  (e.g. see [4, 6–8, 16]).

Local anomalies also admit a nice geometrical interpretation in terms of the Atiyah–Singer index theorem for families of elliptic operators (see [1, 2, 4, 17]). The first Chern class  $c_1(\det \text{Ind}D) \in H^2(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G})$  of the determinant line bundle  $\det \text{Ind}D \rightarrow \Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G}$  represents an obstruction for anomaly cancellation. However, the condition  $c_1(\det \text{Ind}D) = 0$  is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for local anomaly cancellation due to the problem of locality. In [17] (see also [1]) Singer proposes the problem of defining a notion of “local cohomology of  $\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G}$ ”,  $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G})$ , adequate to study local anomaly cancellation. The principal difficulty is the fact that the expression of the curvature of  $\det \text{Ind}D$  itself contains non-local terms (Green operators).

Moreover, we recall (see [2, 5, 15]) that the BRST and index theory approaches are related by means of the transgression map  $t$  (see Sect. 2), i.e., we have  $[\mathcal{A}] = t(c_1(\det \text{Ind}D))$ . As  $t$  is injective, the condition  $c_1(\det \text{Ind}D) = 0$  on  $H^2(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G})$  is equivalent to  $[\mathcal{A}] = 0$  on  $H^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^0(\Gamma(E)))$ . However, the condition for local anomaly cancellation is  $[\mathcal{A}] = 0$  on the BRST cohomology  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$ . We define  $H_{\text{loc}}^{\bullet}(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G})$  in such a way that the preceding condition is equivalent to the vanishing of the class of  $c_1(\det \text{Ind}D)$  on  $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G})$ , hence solving Singer’s problem.

## 2 The Transgression Maps

First we recall the definition of equivariant cohomology in the Cartan model (e.g. see [3]). We consider a left action of a connected Lie group  $\mathcal{G}$  on a manifold  $\mathcal{N}$ . We have an induced Lie algebra homomorphism  $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $X \mapsto X_{\mathcal{N}} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \rho(\exp(-tX))$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}^k(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^r(\mathcal{N}))^{\mathcal{G}}$  the space of degree  $k$   $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant polynomials on  $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  with values in  $\Omega^r(\mathcal{N})$ . We recall that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^k(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^r(\mathcal{N}))^{\mathcal{G}}$  if and only if  $\alpha(\text{Ad}_g X) = \rho(g^{-1})^*(\alpha(X)) \forall X \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$ .

The space of  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant differential  $q$ -forms is defined by

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^q(\mathcal{N}) = \bigoplus_{2k+r=q} (\mathcal{P}^k(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^r(\mathcal{N}))^{\mathcal{G}}). \quad (1)$$

The Cartan differential  $d_c: \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^q(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^{q+1}(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $(d_c\alpha)(X) = d(\alpha(X)) - \iota_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}\alpha(X)$  for  $X \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$ , satisfies  $(d_c)^2 = 0$ , and the  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant cohomology of  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $H_{\mathcal{G}}^q(\mathcal{N})$ , is the cohomology of this complex.

We recall (e.g. see [3]) that if  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G}$  is a principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle we have the (generalized) Chern–Weil homomorphism  $\text{ChW}: H_{\mathcal{G}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G})$ . If  $A$  is an arbitrary connection on  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G}$  with curvature  $F_A$ , and  $\alpha \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^q(\mathcal{N})$ , then we have  $\text{ChW}([\alpha]) = [\text{hor}_A(\alpha(F_A))]$ , where  $\text{hor}_A$  is the horizontalization with respect to the connection  $A$ . We also use the notation  $\underline{\alpha} = \text{ChW}(\alpha)$ .

If  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^2(\mathcal{N})$  is a closed  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant 2-form, then we have  $\omega = \omega_0 + \mu$  where  $\omega_0 \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{N})$  is closed, and  $\mu: \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathcal{N})$ , is a  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant moment map for  $\omega_0$ , i.e.,  $\iota_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}\omega_0 = d(\mu(X))$  for  $X \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$ . A direct computation shows that we have the following

**Proposition 1** *Assume that  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G}$  is a principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle, and let  $A \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{N}, \text{Lie } \mathcal{G})$  be a connection form. If  $\omega = \omega_0 + \mu \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^2(\mathcal{N})$  is a closed  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant 2-form and we define  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{N})^{\mathcal{G}}$  by  $\alpha(X) = \mu(A(X))(x)$  for  $X \in T_x\mathcal{N}$ , then we have  $\text{ChW}_A(\omega) = \omega + d_c\alpha$ .*

**Corollary 1** *The map  $\text{ChW}: H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G})$  is an isomorphism.*

Let us assume now that  $H^1(\mathcal{N}) = H^2(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ . The cohomology of the Lie algebra  $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  with values in  $\Omega^0(\mathcal{N})$  is denoted by  $H^{\bullet}(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^0(\mathcal{N}))$ .

**Proposition 2** *Let  $\omega = \omega_0 + \mu \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^2(\mathcal{N})$  be a closed  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant form. If  $\rho \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{N})$  satisfies  $\omega_0 = d\rho$ , then the map  $\tau_{\rho} \in \Omega^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^0(\mathcal{N}))$  given by  $\tau_{\rho}(X) = \rho(X_{\mathcal{N}}) + \mu(X)$  determines a linear map  $\tau: H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^0(\mathcal{N}))$  which is independent of the form  $\rho$  chosen, and that we call the transgression map  $\tau$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is connected, then  $\tau$  is injective.*

*Proof* The first part of the Proposition easily follows using that  $L_{Y_{\mathcal{N}}}\mu(X) = \mu([Y, X])$  by the invariance of  $\mu$ . We restrict ourselves to prove that  $\tau$  is injective. By definition  $[\tau_{\rho}] = 0$  on  $H^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^0(\mathcal{N}))$  if and only if there exists  $\beta \in \Omega^0(\mathcal{N})$  such that for every  $X \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  we have  $\tau_{\rho}(X) = L_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}\beta = \iota_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}d\beta$ . If we set  $\rho' = \rho - d\beta$  then for every  $X \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  we have  $d\rho' = \omega_0$ ,  $\iota_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}\rho' = -\mu(X)$ ,  $L_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}\rho' = 0$ , i.e.,  $\rho' \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{N})^{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $d_c\rho' = \omega$ .

Now we assume that  $\pi: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G}$  is a principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle. Then we can consider the more familiar transgression map defined as follows

**Proposition 3** *Let  $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G})$  be a closed 2-form. If  $\eta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{N})$  is a form such that  $\pi^*\underline{\omega} = d\eta$ , then the map  $t_{\eta}: \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Omega^0(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $t_{\eta}(X) = \eta(X_{\mathcal{N}})$  determines a linear map  $t: H^2(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega^0(\mathcal{N}))$ , which is independent of the form  $\eta$  chosen, and that we call the transgression map  $t$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is connected, then  $t$  is injective.*

*Proof* Again we only prove that  $t$  is injective. If  $t_{\eta} = \delta v$  for certain  $v \in \Omega^0(\mathcal{N})$ , then  $\eta(X_{\mathcal{N}}) = L_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}v$ . We define  $\eta' = \eta - dv$ , and we have  $d\eta' = \pi^*\underline{\omega}$ ,  $\iota_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}\eta' = 0$ ,  $L_{X_{\mathcal{N}}}\eta' = 0$ . Hence  $\eta'$  is projectable onto a form  $\underline{\eta}' \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{G})$  and  $d\underline{\eta}' = \underline{\omega}$ .

**Proposition 4** *If  $\omega \in H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(\mathcal{N})$  and  $\underline{\omega} = \text{ChW}(\omega)$  then we have  $\tau(\omega) = t(\underline{\omega})$ .*

*Proof* If  $\omega = \omega_0 + \mu$ , by Proposition 1 we have  $\omega = \pi^*\underline{\omega} + d_c\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathcal{N}) = \Omega^1(\mathcal{N})^{\mathcal{G}}$ , i.e.  $\omega_0 = \pi^*\underline{\omega} + d\alpha$  and  $\mu(X) = -\alpha(X_{\mathcal{N}})$ .

Let  $\eta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{N})$  be a form such that  $\pi^*\underline{\omega} = d\eta$ . If we set  $\rho = \eta + \alpha$  then  $\omega_0 = d\rho$  and for every  $X \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  we have  $\tau_{\rho}(X) = t_{\eta}(X)$ .

### 3 Local Equivariant Cohomology

Let  $p: E \rightarrow M$  be a bundle over a compact, oriented  $n$ -manifold  $M$  without boundary. We denote by  $J^r E$  its  $r$ -jet bundle, by  $J^\infty E$  the infinite jet bundle and by  $\Gamma(E)$  be the manifold of global sections of  $E$  (assumed to be not empty).

We denote by  $\text{Proj} E$  the space of projectable diffeomorphism of  $E$ , and by  $\text{Proj}^+ E$  the subgroup of elements preserving the orientation of  $M$ . The space of projectable vector fields on  $E$  is denoted by  $\text{proj} E$ . We consider the natural actions of  $\text{Proj} E$  on  $J^\infty E$  and  $\Gamma(E)$ .

Let  $j^\infty: M \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow J^\infty E$ ,  $j^\infty(x, s) = j_x^\infty s$  be the evaluation map. We define a map  $\mathfrak{S}: \Omega^{n+k}(J^\infty E) \rightarrow \Omega^k(\Gamma(E))$ , by  $\mathfrak{S}[\alpha] = \int_M (j^\infty)^* \alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in \Omega^{n+k}(J^\infty E)$ . The map  $\mathfrak{S}$  commutes with the exterior differential and is  $\text{Proj}^+ E$ -equivariant (see [9]). We define the space of local  $k$ -forms on  $\Gamma(E)$ , as the image of the map  $\mathfrak{S}$ , i.e.  $\Omega_{\text{loc}}^k(\Gamma(E)) = \mathfrak{S}(\Omega^{n+k}(J^\infty E)) \subset \Omega^k(\Gamma(E))$ . The cohomology  $H_{\text{loc}}^\bullet(\Gamma(E))$  of the complex  $(\Omega_{\text{loc}}^\bullet(\Gamma(E)), d)$  is called the local cohomology of  $\Gamma(E)$ . We have  $H_{\text{loc}}^k(\Gamma(E)) \cong H^{n+k}(E)$  for  $k > 0$  (see [10]).

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a Lie group acting on  $E$  by elements  $\text{Proj}^+ E$ . In order to define an adequate notion of local equivariant cohomology we made the following

**Assumption 1** We assume that  $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  is isomorphic to the space of sections of a Lie algebroid  $V \rightarrow M$ , i.e.  $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \cong \Gamma(V)$ . We also assume that the map  $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \cong \Gamma(V) \rightarrow \text{proj} E$ ,  $X \mapsto X_E$  is a differential operator. Finally, in the definition of  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant cohomology  $H_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+k}(J^\infty E)$ , we assume that the polynomial maps  $\alpha: \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(J^\infty E)$  are differential operators.

We extend the integration operator to a map  $\mathfrak{S}: \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+k}(J^\infty E) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^k(\Gamma(E))$ , by setting  $(\mathfrak{S}[\alpha])(X) = \mathfrak{S}[\alpha(X)]$  for every  $\alpha \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+k}(J^\infty E)$ ,  $X \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$ . The map  $\mathfrak{S}$  commutes with the Cartan differential and induces a homomorphism in equivariant cohomology  $\mathfrak{S}: H_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+k}(J^\infty E) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^k(\Gamma(E))$  (see [9]).

We define the space of local  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant  $k$ -forms by

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{G}, \text{loc}}^k(\Gamma(E)) = \mathfrak{S}(\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+k}(J^\infty E)) \subset \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}^k(\Gamma(E)). \quad (2)$$

The local  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant cohomology of  $\Gamma(E)$ ,  $H_{\mathcal{G}, \text{loc}}^\bullet(\Gamma(E))$ , is defined as the cohomology of the complex  $(\Omega_{\mathcal{G}, \text{loc}}^\bullet(\Gamma(E)), d_c)$ .

### 4 Application to Local Anomaly Cancellation

Let us define the BRST cohomology (see [6, 16]). Recall (see Assumption 1) that we assume  $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \cong \Gamma(V)$  for some vector bundle  $V \rightarrow M$ . A map  $\alpha: \bigwedge^k \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E))$  is said to be local if there exists a differential operator  $A: \bigwedge^k \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Omega^n(J^\infty E)$  such that  $\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \mathfrak{S}[A(X_1, \dots, X_k)]$  for every  $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$ . We denote by  $\Omega_{\text{loc}}^k(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$  the space of local  $k$ -forms on  $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  with

values on  $\Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E))$ . The differential  $\delta$  on the complex  $\Omega^\bullet(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$  induces a differential on  $\Omega_{\text{loc}}^\bullet(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$ . The corresponding cohomology  $H_{\text{loc}}^\bullet(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$  is called the BRST cohomology. We assume that  $H^2(\Gamma(E)) = H^1(\Gamma(E)) = 0$  and also that  $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E)) = H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Gamma(E)) = 0$ .

**Proposition 5** *The restriction of the transgression map  $\tau$  to  $H_{\mathcal{G},\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E))$  takes values on the BRST cohomology  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$ . The map  $\tau: H_{\mathcal{G},\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E)) \rightarrow H_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$  is injective for  $\mathcal{G}$  connected.*

*Proof* Let  $\omega = \omega_0 + \mu \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G},\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E))$  be a closed local  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant 2-form. As  $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E)) = 0$ , we have  $\omega_0 = d\rho$ , for certain  $\rho \in \Omega_{\text{loc}}^1(\Gamma(E))$ . By our assumption in the definition of local equivariant cohomology (Assumption 1), the map  $\tau_\rho: \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E))$ ,  $\tau_\rho(X) = \rho(X_{\Gamma(E)}) + \mu(X) \in \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E))$  is a local map. The injectiveness of  $\tau$  follows from Proposition 2.

Assume that  $\Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G}$  is a principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle. Then we define the local cohomology by  $H_{\text{loc}}^k(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G}) = \text{ChW}(H_{\mathcal{G},\text{loc}}^k(\Gamma(E)))$ . By Proposition 4 we have the following

**Proposition 6** *Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G},\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E))$  be a closed local  $\mathcal{G}$ -equivariant 2-form and let  $\underline{\omega} = \text{ChW}(\omega)$ . Then we have  $\tau(\omega) = t(\underline{\omega})$ , and in particular we conclude that  $t(\underline{\omega}) \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$ . Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent*

- (a)  $[\omega] = 0$  on  $H_{\mathcal{G},\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E))$ .
- (b)  $[\underline{\omega}] = 0$  on  $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G})$ .
- (c)  $[\tau(\omega)] = [t(\underline{\omega})] = 0$  on  $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}, \Omega_{\text{loc}}^0(\Gamma(E)))$ .

Proposition 6 applied to  $\underline{\omega} = c_1(\det \text{Ind} D)$  shows that our definition of  $H_{\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G})$  solves Singer's problem. We also note that if  $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{G},\text{loc}}^2(\Gamma(E))$  is closed, the form  $\underline{\omega} \in \Omega^2(\Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G})$  determining the class  $\text{ChW}([\omega])$  could contain non-local terms, as  $\underline{\omega}$  depends on the curvature of a connection  $\Theta$  on the principal  $\mathcal{G}$ -bundle  $\Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)/\mathcal{G}$ , and  $\Theta$  usually contains non-local terms. This fact explains the appearance of non-local terms on the expression of the curvature of  $\det \text{Ind} D$  commented on the Introduction.

## References

1. Álvarez, O., Singer, I., Zumino, B.: Gravitational anomalies and the family's index theorem. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **96**, 409–417 (1984)
2. Atiyah, M.F., Singer, I.: Dirac operators coupled to vector potentials. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **81**, 2597–2600 (1984)
3. Berline, N., Getzler, E., Vergne, M.: *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Springer, Berlin (1992)
4. Bertlmann, R.A.: *Anomalies in Quantum Field Theory*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000)
5. Blau, M.: Wess-Zumino terms and the geometry of the determinant line bundle. *Phys. Lett.* **209 B**, 503–506 (1988)

6. Bonora, L., Cotta-Ramusino, P.: Some remarks on BRS transformations, anomalies and the cohomology of the Lie algebra of the group of gauge transformations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87**, 589–603 (1983)
7. Bonora, L., Cotta-Ramusino, P.: Consistent and covariant anomalies and local cohomology. *Phys. Rev. D* **33**(3), 3055–3059 (1986)
8. Dubois-Violette, M., Henneaux, M., Talon, M., Viallet, C.: General solution of the consistency equation. *Phys. Lett. B* **289**, 361–367 (1992)
9. Pérez, R.F.: Equivariant characteristic forms in the bundle of connections. *J. Geom. Phys.* **54**, 197–212 (2005)
10. Pérez, R.F.: Local cohomology and the variational bicomplex. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **5** (2008), 587–604
11. Pérez, R.F.: Local anomalies and local equivariant cohomology. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **286**, 445–458 (2009)
12. Pérez, R.F., Masqué, J.M.: Natural connections on the bundle of Riemannian metrics. *Monatsh. Math.* **155**, 67–78 (2008)
13. Guillemin, V., Sternberg, S.: *Supersymmetry and Equivariant de Rham Theory*. Springer, Berlin (1999)
14. Mañes, J., Stora, R., Zumino, B.: Algebraic study of chiral anomalies. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **102**, 157–174 (1985)
15. Martellini, M., Reina, C.: Some remarks on the index theorem approach to anomalies. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **113**, 443–458 (1985)
16. Schmid, R.: Local cohomology in gauge theories, BRST transformations and anomalies. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **4**(2), 107–116 (1994)
17. Singer, I.M.: Families of Dirac operators with applications to physics, The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984). *Astérisque* (1985), Numero Hors Serie, 323–340