Chapter 16 Circuit Double Covers of Graphs

Cun-Quan Zhang

Abstract The circuit double cover conjecture (CDC conjecture) is easy to state: *For every* 2*-connected graph, there is a family F of circuits such that every edge of the graph is covered by precisely two members of F.* The CDC conjecture (and its numerous variants) is considered by most graph theorists as one of the major open problems in the field. The CDC conjecture, Tutte's 5-flow conjecture, and the Berge-Fulkerson conjecture are three major snark family conjectures since they are all trivial for 3-edge-colorable cubic graphs and remain widely open for snarks. This chapter is a brief survey of the progress on this famous open problem.

16.1 Introduction

The circuit (cycle) double cover conjecture (CDC conjecture) is easy to state: *For every* 2*-connected graph, there is a family F of circuits such that every edge of the graph is covered by precisely two members of F.* As an example, if a 2-connected graph is properly embedded on a surface (without crossing edges) in such a way that all faces are bounded by circuits, then the collection of the boundary circuits will "double cover" the graph.

The CDC conjecture (and its numerous variants) is considered by most graph theorists as one of the major open problems in the field. One reason for this is its close relationship with topological graph theory, integer flow theory, graph coloring, and the structure of snarks.

The CDC conjecture was presented as an "open question" by Szekeres [\[66\]](#page-17-0) for cubic graphs (as we will see soon in Theorem [1,](#page-1-0) it is equivalent for all bridgeless graphs). The conjecture was also independently stated by Seymour in [\[62\]](#page-17-1) for all bridgeless graphs. An equivalent version of the CDC conjecture was proposed by Itai and Rodeh [\[36\]](#page-16-0) that *every bridgeless graph has a family F of circuits such that every edge is contained in one or two members of F.*

For the origin of the conjecture, some mathematicians gave the credit to Tutte. According to a personal letter from Tutte to Fleischner [\[73\]](#page-18-0), he said, "I too have

R. Gera et al. (eds.), *Graph Theory*, Problem Books in Mathematics, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-31940-7_16

C.-Q. Zhang (\boxtimes)

Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506-6310, USA e-mail: cqzhang@mail.wvu.edu

[©] Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

been puzzled to find an original reference. I think the conjecture is one that was well established in mathematical conversation long before anyone thought of publishing it." It was also pointed out in the survey paper by Jaeger [\[41\]](#page-17-2) that "it seems difficult to attribute the paternity of this conjecture" and also pointed out in some early literature (such as [\[23\]](#page-16-1)) that "its origin is uncertain." This may explain why the CDC conjecture is considered as "folklore" in [\[7\]](#page-15-0) (Unsolved problem 10). Some early investigations related to the conjecture can be traced back to publications by Tutte in 1949 [\[70,](#page-17-3) [73\]](#page-18-0).

Most material presented in this chapter follows the pioneering survey papers by Jaeger (1985 [\[41\]](#page-17-2)), and Jackson (1993 [\[37\]](#page-16-2)), and the monographs *Integer Flows and Cycle Covers of Graphs* (1997 [\[78\]](#page-18-1)) *Circuit Double Covers of Graphs* (2007 [\[79\]](#page-18-2)) by the author.

The circuit double cover conjecture is obviously true for 2-connected planar graphs since the boundary of every face is a circuit and the set of the boundaries of all faces forms a circuit double cover of an embedded graph. One might attempt to extend this observation further to all 2-connected graphs embedded on some surfaces. However, it is not true that *any embedding* of a 2-connected graph is free of a handle bridge. That is, the boundary of some face may not be a circuit. This leads to an even stronger open problem in topology known as the strong embedding conjecture: *Can we find an embedding of a* 2*-connected graph G on some surface* Σ *such that the boundary of every face is a circuit?* See [\[27,](#page-16-3) [50\]](#page-17-4) or Conjecture 3.10 in [\[7\]](#page-15-0) for more information.

The following theorem summarizes some structure of a minimal counterexample to the circuit double cover conjecture (see [\[41\]](#page-17-2)).

Theorem 1. *If G is a minimum counterexample to the circuit double cover conjecture, then:*

- *(1) G is simple, 3-connected, and cubic;*
- *(2) G has no nontrivial* 2 *or* 3*-edge cut;*
- *(3) G is not* 3*-edge colorable; and*
- *(4) G is not planar.*

Thus, most graphs considered in this chapter are cubic and bridgeless.

For a 3-edge-colorable cubic graph, we have an even stronger result for even subgraph double cover. The following theorem was formulated by Jaeger [\[41\]](#page-17-2). (The equivalence of (1) and (2) was also applied in $[62]$.)

Theorem 2. *Let G be a cubic graph. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(1) G is* 3*-edge colorable;*
- *(2) G has a* 3*-even subgraph double cover; and*
- *(3) G has a* 4*-even subgraph double cover.*

16.2 Faithful Circuit Cover and Petersen Minor-Free Graphs

The concept of faithful circuit cover is not only a generalization of the circuit double cover problem but also an inductive approach to the CDC conjecture in a very natural way.

Let \mathscr{Z}^+ be the set of all positive integers and \mathscr{Z}^* be the set of all nonnegative integers.

Definition 1. Let *G* be a graph and $w : E(G) \rightarrow \mathscr{Z}^+$. A family \mathscr{F} of circuits (or even subgraphs) of *G* is a *faithful circuit cover* (or *faithful even subgraph cover*, respectively) with respect to *w* if each edge *e* is contained in precisely $w(e)$ members of *F*.

Figure [16.1](#page-2-0) shows an example of a faithful circuit cover of (K_4, w) where *w* : $E(K_4) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$. Here $w^{-1}(1)$ induces a Hamilton circuit and $w^{-1}(2)$ induces a nerfect matching (a pair of diagonals) perfect matching (a pair of diagonals).

It is obvious that *the circuit double cover is a special case of the faithful circuit cover problem by choosing the weight w to be* 2 *for every edge.*

Definition 2. Let *G* be a graph. A weight $w : E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^+$ is *Eulerian* if the total weight of every edge cut is even. And (G, w) is called an *Eulerian weighted graph*.

Definition 3. Let G be a graph. An Eulerian weight $w : E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^+$ is *admissible* if, for every edge-cut *T* and every $e \in T$,

$$
w(e) \leq \frac{w(T)}{2}.
$$

And (G, w) is called an *admissible Eulerian weighted graph* if *w* is Eulerian and admissible.

If *G* has a faithful circuit cover $\mathscr F$ with respect to a weight $w : E(G) \to \mathscr Z^+$, then the total weight of every edge cut must be even since, for every circuit *C* of *F* and every edge-cut *T*, the circuit *C* must use an *even* number of *distinct* edges of the cut *T*. With this observation, the requirements of being *Eulerian and admissible* are *necessary* for faithful circuit covers.

Problem 1. Let *G* be a bridgeless graph with $w : E(G) \rightarrow \mathscr{Z}^+$. If *w* is admissible and Eulerian, does *G* have a faithful circuit cover with respect to *w*?

Fig. 16.1 Faithful circuit cover – an example

Fig. 16.2 (P_{10}, w_{10})

Unfortunately, Problem [1](#page-2-1) is *not* always true. The Petersen graph P_{10} with an Eulerian weight w_{10} (see Figure [16.2\)](#page-3-0) does not have a faithful circuit cover: where the set of weight 2 edges induces a perfect matching of P_{10} and the set of weight 1 edges induces two disjoint pentagons.

For a given weight $w: E(G) \rightarrow \mathscr{Z}^+$, denote

$$
E_{w=i} = \{e \in E(G) : w(e) = i\}.
$$

Like many mainstream research areas in graph theory, 3-edge coloring plays a central role in the study of the faithful circuit cover problem. The following is one of the most frequently used lemmas in this field.

Lemma 1 (Seymour [\[62\]](#page-17-1)). Let G be a cubic graph and $w : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ be an *Eulerian weight. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(1) G is* 3*-edge colorable; and*
- *(2) G has a faithful* 3*-even subgraph cover with respect to w.*

Since the 4-color theorem is equivalent to 3-edge colorings for all bridgeless cubic planar graphs, an immediate corollary of Lemma [1](#page-3-1) is the following early result (Theorem [3\)](#page-3-2) by Seymour. An alternative proof of Theorem [3](#page-3-2) (slightly stronger) is provided by Fleischner [\[15\]](#page-15-1) without using the 4-color theorem.

Theorem 3 (Seymour [\[62\]](#page-17-1), and Fleischner [\[15,](#page-15-1) [18\]](#page-16-4)). *If G is a planar, bridgeless graph associated with an Eulerian weight w* : $E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$, then G has a faithful *circuit cover with respect to w.*

Theorem [3](#page-3-2) was further generalized for Petersen minor-free graphs as follows.

Theorem 4 (Alspach, Goddyn and Zhang [\[1,](#page-15-2) [3\]](#page-15-3)). *Let G be a graph without a Petersen minor and* $w : E(G) \to Z^+$ *be an admissible Eulerian weight. Then G has a faithful circuit cover with respect to w.*

16.3 Integer Flows

The concept of integer flow was introduced by Tutte [\[70\]](#page-17-3), [\[71\]](#page-18-3) as a generalization of the map coloring problems. This section is a brief survey of circuit covering theorems arising from the integer flow theory. Readers are referred to [\[40,](#page-16-5) [65,](#page-17-5) [78\]](#page-18-1) of the comprehensive surveys in this area.

The following are some of classical results in flow theory.

Theorem 5 (Jaeger [\[38,](#page-16-6) [39\]](#page-16-7)). *Every* 4*-edge-connected graph admits a nowherezero* 4*-flow.*

Theorem 6 (Jaeger [\[39\]](#page-16-7), Kilpatrick [\[44\]](#page-17-6)). *Every bridgeless graph admits a nowhere-zero* 8*-flow.*

Theorem [6](#page-4-0) is further improved by Seymour in the following theorem.

Theorem 7 (Seymour [\[63\]](#page-17-7)). *Every bridgeless graph admits a nowhere-zero* 6*-flow.*

With the application of the following lemma, the flow theorems can be stated as even subgraph covering problems.

Lemma 2 (Matthews [\[52\]](#page-17-8)). *Let r be a positive integer. A graph G admits a nowhere-zero* 2*^r -flow if and only if G has an r-even subgraph cover.*

Thus, the following are corollaries of Theorems [5](#page-4-1) and [6.](#page-4-0)

Corollary 1 (Jaeger [\[40\]](#page-16-5)). *Every* 4*-edge-connected graph has a* 2*-even subgraph cover, and every bridgeless graph has a* 3*-even subgraph cover.*

With an elementary operation, symmetric difference, between even subgraphs, one can further state the above corollary as theorems for even subgraph double covers and 4-covers.

Corollary 2 (Jaeger [\[40\]](#page-16-5)). *Every* 4*-edge-connected graph has a* 3*-even subgraph double cover.*

Corollary 3 (Bermond, Jackson and Jaeger [\[5\]](#page-15-4)). *Every bridgeless graph has a* 7*-even subgraph* 4*-cover.*

Applying Theorem [7,](#page-4-2) Fan proved the following even subgraph cover result.

Theorem 8 (Fan [\[13\]](#page-15-5)). *Every bridgeless graph has a* 10*-even subgraph* 6*-cover.*

The following is a combination of Corollary [3](#page-4-3) and Theorem [8.](#page-4-4)

Theorem 9 ([\[13\]](#page-15-5)). *For each even integer k greater than two, every bridgeless graph has an even subgraph k-cover.*

Theorem [9](#page-4-5) is therefore a partial result for the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1 (Seymour [\[62\]](#page-17-1)). Let $w : E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^+$ be an admissible Eulerian weight of a bridgeless graph *G* such that $w(e) \equiv 0 \mod 2$ for each edge $e \in E(G)$. Then *G* has a faithful circuit cover of *w*.

Conjecture 2 (Goddyn [\[26\]](#page-16-8)). Let $w : E(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^+$ be an admissible Eulerian weight of a bridgeless graph *G*. If $w(e) \geq 2$ for every edge *e* of *G*, then (G, w) has a faithful circuit cover.

Corollary [3](#page-4-3) can also be considered as a partial result for the Berge-Fulkerson conjecture. The following is an equivalent version of the conjecture.

Conjecture 3 (Berge and Fulkerson [\[22\]](#page-16-9)). Every bridgeless cubic graph has a 6-even subgraph 4-cover.

16.4 Small Oddness

Definition 4. Let *S* be an even subgraph of a cubic graph *G*. A component *C* of *S* is *odd* (or *even*) if *C* contains an odd (or even, respectively) number of vertices of *G*.

Definition 5. Let *G* be a bridgeless cubic graph. For a spanning even subgraph *S* of *G*, the *oddness* of *S*, denoted by *odd*.*S*/, is the number of odd components of *S*. For the cubic graph *G*, the *oddness* of *G*, denoted by $odd(G)$, is the minimum of $odd(S)$ for all spanning even subgraph *S* of *G*.

The following are some straightforward observations.

Fact. A cubic graph *G* is 3-edge colorable if and only if $odd(G) = 0$.

Fact. The oddness of every cubic graph must be even.

Note that determination of the oddness of a cubic graph is a hard problem since determining the 3-edge colorability of a cubic graph is an *NP*-complete problem [\[31\]](#page-16-10).

Theorem 10 (Huck and Kochol [\[35\]](#page-16-11) and [\[32,](#page-16-12) [45\]](#page-17-9)). *Let G be a bridgeless cubic graph with oddness at most* 2*. Then G has a* 5*-even subgraph double cover.*

Theorem [10](#page-5-0) was further improved by Huck [\[34\]](#page-16-13) (a computer-assisted proof) and independently by Häggkvist and McGuinness [\[30\]](#page-16-14), for oddness 4 graphs.

Theorem 11 (Huck [\[34\]](#page-16-13), Häggkvist and McGuinness [\[30\]](#page-16-14)). *Let G be a bridgeless cubic graph with oddness at most* 4*. Then G has a circuit double cover.*

For a 3-edge-colorable cubic graph G_1 and an edge $e \in E(G_1)$, it is obvious that the suppressed cubic graph $G_2 = \overline{G_1 - e}$ is of oddness at most 2. And, therefore, a bridgeless cubic graph containing a Hamilton path is also of oddness at most 2. The following is a corollary of Theorem [10.](#page-5-0)

Corollary 4 (Tarsi [\[67\]](#page-17-10); Or see [\[25\]](#page-16-15) for a simplified proof). *Every bridgeless graph containing a Hamilton path has a* 6*-even subgraph double cover.*

Note that every 3-edge-colorable cubic graph (Theorem [2\)](#page-1-1) has a 3-even subgraph double cover, while every oddness 2 cubic graph has a 5-even subgraph double cover. The following is a conjecture for all bridgeless graphs.

Conjecture 4 (Preissmann [\[58\]](#page-17-11) and Celmins [\[9\]](#page-15-6)). Every bridgeless graph has a 5-even subgraph double cover.

16.5 Strong Circuit Double Cover

Circuit Extension and Strong CDC

Note that in the Eulerian $(1, 2)$ -weighted Petersen graph (P_{10}, w_{10}) (see Fig-ure [16.2\)](#page-3-0), E_{w_10} ₁ induces two disjoint circuits. How about an Eulerian $(1, 2)$ weighted graph (G, w) for which $E_{w=1}$ induces a single circuit? The following is an open problem that addresses possible faithful covers for such weighted graphs.

Conjecture 5 (Strong circuit double cover conjecture, Seymour, see [\[17\]](#page-16-16) p. 237, and [\[18\]](#page-16-4)*, also see* [\[24\]](#page-16-17)*)*. Let *w* be an Eulerian $(1, 2)$ -weight for a 2-edge-connected, cubic graph *G*. If the subgraph of *G* induced by weight 1 edges is a circuit, then .*G*;*w*/ has a faithful circuit cover.

Conjecture [5](#page-6-0) has an equivalent statement.

Let G be a 2*-edge-connected cubic graph and C be a circuit of G; then the graph G* has a circuit double cover \mathcal{F} with $C \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 6. Let *C* be a circuit of a 2-edge-connected cubic graph *G*. A *strong circuit* (even subgraph) *double cover* of G with respect to C is a circuit (even subgraph) double cover $\mathcal F$ of G with $C \in \mathcal F$. (As an abbreviation, $\mathcal F$ is called *a* strong CDC *of G with respect to C.)*

Conjecture [5](#page-6-0) is obviously stronger than the circuit double cover conjecture. Conjecture [6](#page-6-1) (Sabidussi Conjecture) is a special case of Conjecture [5](#page-6-0) that the given circuit is dominating.

Conjecture 6 (Sabidussi and Fleischner [\[16\]](#page-16-18), and Conjecture 2.4 in [\[2\]](#page-15-7)). Let *G* be a cubic graph such that *G* has a dominating circuit *C*. Then *G* has a circuit double cover $\mathscr F$ such that the given circuit *C* is a member of $\mathscr F$.

The following is a general question for circuit extension.

Problem 2 (Seymour [\[64\]](#page-17-12), also see [\[20,](#page-16-19) [47\]](#page-17-13)). For a 2-edge-connected cubic graph *G* and a given circuit *C* of *G*, does *G* contain a circuit *C'* with $V(C) \subseteq V(C')$ and $F(C) \neq F(C')$? $E(C) \neq E(C')$?

Fig. 16.3 The circuit *C* (of length $16 = n - 2$) is not extendable

Definition 7. A circuit *C* of a graph *G* is *extendable* if *G* contains another circuit *C*^{\prime} such that $V(C) \subseteq V(C')$ and $E(C) \neq E(C')$. And the circuit *C*^{\prime} is *an extension of C* (or simply a *C*-extension) *C* (or simply a *C-extension*).

Problem [2](#page-6-2) proposes a possible recursive approach to Conjecture [5.](#page-6-0)

Proposition 1 (Kahn, Robertson, Seymour [\[43\]](#page-17-14), also see [\[10,](#page-15-8) [64\]](#page-17-12), personal communication, 2012). *If Problem [2](#page-6-2) is true for every circuit in every* 2*-edgeconnected cubic graph, then Conjecture [5](#page-6-0) is true.*

Note that not every circuit is extendable; the graph in Figure [16.3](#page-7-0) is an example discovered by Fleischner ($[15, 17, 19, 20]$ $[15, 17, 19, 20]$ $[15, 17, 19, 20]$ $[15, 17, 19, 20]$ $[15, 17, 19, 20]$ $[15, 17, 19, 20]$ $[15, 17, 19, 20]$) in which a circuit *C* does not have an extension.

Definition 8. Let *G* be a 2-edge-connected, cubic graph and *C* be a circuit of *G*. If *C* is not extendable, then *C* is called a *stable circuit* of *G*.

Note that the graph *G* illustrated in Figure [16.3](#page-7-0) is a \oplus ₃-sum of two copies G/P_1 , G/P_2 of the Petersen graphs (where P_1 , P_2 are two components of $G {e_0, e_1, e_2}.$

Proposition 2 (Fleischner). *The circuit C illustrated in Figure [16.3](#page-7-0) is stable.*

In [\[20\]](#page-16-19) and [\[47\]](#page-17-13), infinite families of stable circuits are constructed by Fleischner and Kochol. Some of them are cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks [\[47\]](#page-17-13).

Recently, a computer-aided search [\[8\]](#page-15-9) discovered stable circuits for some cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks of order *n*, for every even integer $n \in \{22, \ldots, 36\}$. However, the existence of stable circuits does not disprove the strong CDC conjecture for those snarks: the computer-aided proof further verifies the strong CDC conjecture for all of those small snarks (cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks of order at most 36). Note that 3-edge-colorable graphs are not counterexamples to any faithful cover problem (Lemma [1\)](#page-3-1), and graphs with nontrivial 2- or 3-edge cut can be reduced to graphs of smaller orders.

Proposition 3 (Brinkmann, Goedgebeur, Hägglund and Markström [\[8\]](#page-15-9)). *The strong circuit double cover conjecture holds for all bridgeless cubic graphs of order at most* 36*.*

Note that, for the stable circuit *C* illustrated in Figure [16.3,](#page-7-0) $|V(G) - V(C)| = 2$. Although it is not extendable, it is not a counterexample to the strong circuit double cover conjecture. However, for all cubic graphs, the strong CDC conjecture remains open if a circuit *C* is of length $n - 2$.

Extension-Inheritable Properties

Definition 9. A given property $\mathcal P$ is *extension-inheritable*, if for any pair (G, C) with property *P*,

- (1) The property $\mathscr P$ guarantees the existence of a *C*-extension *C'*, and
- (2) The reduced pair $(G (E(C) E(C'))$, C') also has the same property \mathscr{P} .

Fleischner [\[19\]](#page-16-20), by applying the lollipop method introduced in [\[68\]](#page-17-15), discovered the first extension-inheritable property: *circuit of length at least* $n - 1$.

With the same approach as for Proposition [1,](#page-7-1) we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. If a pair (G, C) has some extension-inheritable property \mathscr{P} , then the *graph G has a circuit double cover containing C.*

In the remaining part of this section, following the approach in $[21]$, some extension-inheritable properties are summarized.

Definition 10. A spanning tree *T* of a graph *H* is called a *Y-tree* if *T* consists of a path $x_1 \ldots x_{t-1}$ and an edge $x_{t-2}x_t$. A *Y*-tree is called a *small-end Y-tree* if $d_H(x_1) \leq 2.$
A Hamili

A Hamilton path $x_1 \ldots x_t$ of *H* is called a *small-end Hamilton path* if $d_H(x_1) \leq 2$.

The following is a list of some known extension-inheritable properties where *G* is a 2-edge-connected cubic graph and *C* is a circuit of *G*.

- *(1) C is a Hamilton circuit of G* (C. A. B. Smith; see [\[68,](#page-17-15) [69\]](#page-17-16) or [\[72\]](#page-18-4) p. 243).
- *(2)* $|V(G) V(C)| \le 1$ (Fleischner [\[19\]](#page-16-20); also see [\[21\]](#page-16-21)).
(3) $|V(G) V(C)| \le 2$ and in the case of $|V(G) V(G)|$
- *(3)* $|V(G) V(C)| \leq 2$ *and, in the case of* $|V(G) V(C)| = 2$ *, the distance between two vertices of* $V(G) V(C)$ *is* 3 (Eleischner and Häggkyist [211]; see *between two vertices of* $V(G) - V(C)$ *is* 3 (Fleischner and Häggkvist [\[21\]](#page-16-21); *see Figure [16.4\)](#page-9-0)*.
- (4) $|V(G) V(C)| \le 4$ *and* $G V(C)$ *is connected* (Fleischner and Häggkvist [\[21\]](#page-16-21)).
(5) $|V(G) V(C)| \le 6$ and $G V(C)$ *is connected* [54]
- (5) $|V(G) V(C)| \le 6$ and $G V(C)$ *is connected* [\[54\]](#page-17-17).
(6) $H G V(C)$ has a small-end Hamilton path (see
- *(6)* $H = G V(C)$ *has a small-end Hamilton path (see Figure [16.5\)](#page-9-1).* (Fleischner and Häggkvist [\[28\]](#page-16-22).)
- (7) $H = G V(C)$ has either a small-end Hamilton path or a small-end Y-tree (see *Figures [16.5](#page-9-1) and [16.6\)](#page-9-2).*
- *(8)* $H = G V(C)$ is of order at most 13 and has a Hamilton path or a *Y*-tree [\[54\]](#page-17-17).

Fig. 16.4 An extendable circuit missing two vertices

Fig. 16.5 An extendable circuit missing a small-end Hamilton path

Fig. 16.6 An extendable circuit missing a small-end *Y*-tree

Semi-Extension of Circuits

If a circuit *C* is not extendable, the graph *G* may still have a strong CDC containing *C*. In this section, we present a relaxed definition for circuit extendibility (introduced in [\[12\]](#page-15-10)), by which the strong CDC conjecture (Conjecture [5\)](#page-6-0) is true if every circuit of 2-connected cubic graphs has a semi-extension (Theorem [12](#page-10-0) and Conjecture [7\)](#page-10-1).

Before the introduction of the new concept of semi-extension, we first introduce the definition of Tutte bridge.

Definition 11. Let *H* be a subgraph of *G*. A *Tutte bridge* of *H* is either a chord *e* of *H* ($e = xy \notin E(H)$ with both $x, y \in V(H)$) or a subgraph of *G* consisting of one component *Q* of $G - V(H)$ and all edges joining *Q* and *H* (and, of course, all vertices of *H* adjacent to *Q*).

For a Tutte bridge B_i of H, the vertex subset $V(B_i) \cap V(H)$ is called the *attachment of B_i* and is denoted by $A(B_i)$ (see Figure [16.7\)](#page-10-2).

Definition 12. Let *C* and *D* be a pair of distinct circuits of a 2-connected cubic graph *G*. Let J_1, \ldots, J_p be the components of *C* ΔD . The circuit *D* is a *semiextension of C* if, for every Tutte bridge B_i of $C \cup D$,

- (1) Either the attachment $A(B_i) \subseteq V(D)$, or
- (2) $A(B_i) \subseteq V(J_i)$ for some $j \in \{1, ..., p\}.$

Fig. 16.7 Two Tutte bridges B_1 , B_2 of a circuit C

Note that a semi-extension *D* of *C* may not contain all the vertices of *C*.

It is easy to see that the concept of circuit semi-extension is a generalization of circuit extension: for a *C*-extension *D*, every Tutte bridge B_i has its attachment $A(B_i) \subseteq V(D)$ (since each *J_i* contains no vertex of $V(C) - V(D)$).

Conjecture 7 (Esteva and Jensen [\[12\]](#page-15-10)). For every 2-connected cubic graph *G*, every circuit *C* of *G* has a semi-extension.

Theorem 12 (Esteva and Jensen [\[12\]](#page-15-10)). *If Conjecture [7](#page-10-1) is true for every* 2*-connected cubic graph, then the strong circuit double cover conjecture is true.*

Further Generalizations

Similar to Definition [12](#page-9-3) and Theorem [12,](#page-10-0) the concept of semi-extension can be further generalized as follows.

Definition 13. Let *G* be a 2-connected cubic graph, *C* be a circuit, and *D* be a nonempty even subgraph of *G* with components D_1, \ldots, D_q . Let J_1, \ldots, J_p be the components of *C*-*D*. The even subgraph *D* is a *weak semi-extension of C* if, for every Tutte bridge B_i of $C \cup D$,

(1) Either the attachment $A(B_i) \subseteq V(J_i)$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$, or

(2) $A(B_i) \subseteq V(D_h)$ for some $h \in \{1, ..., q\}.$

Conjecture 8. For every 2-connected cubic graph *G*, every circuit *C* of *G* has a weak semi-extension.

With a similar proof to that of Theorem [12,](#page-10-0) we have the following result.

Proposition 4. *If Conjecture [8](#page-10-3) is true for every* 2*-connected cubic graph, then the strong circuit double cover conjecture is true.*

16.6 Kotzig Frames

Spanning Kotzig Subgraphs

Definition 14. A cubic graph *H* is called a *Kotzig graph* if *H* has a 3-edge-coloring $c: E(H) \to \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $c^{-1}(i) \cup c^{-1}(j)$ is a Hamilton circuit of *H* for every
pair $i, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. (Equivalently *H* is a *Kotzin graph* if it has a 3-circuit double pair $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. (Equivalently, *H* is a *Kotzig graph* if it has a 3-circuit double cover.) The coloring *c* is called *a Kotzig coloring of H.*

Obviously, $3K_2$, K_4 , Möbius ladders M_{2k+1} for every $k \geq 0$, the Heawood graph, and the dodecahedron graph are examples of Kotzig graphs.

The study of CDC for graphs containing some spanning subgraphs that are subdivisions of Kotzig graphs was initially started in [\[24\]](#page-16-17). Later, it was further generalized in [\[29\]](#page-16-23).

Definition 15. A graph *H* is a *spanning minor* of another graph *G* if *G* has a spanning subgraph that is a subdivision of *H*. If *H* is a Kotzig graph, then we say *G* has a spanning Kotzig minor.

Theorem 13 (Goddyn [\[24\]](#page-16-17), also see [\[29\]](#page-16-23)). *If a graph G has a Kotzig graph as a spanning minor, then G has a* 6*-even subgraph double cover.*

The concept of spanning Kotzig minor is further generalized in [\[24\]](#page-16-17) and [\[29\]](#page-16-23).

Definition 16. Let *H* be a cubic graph with a 3-edge-coloring $c : E(H) \rightarrow \mathscr{Z}_3$ such that

(*) edges in colors 0 and $\mu(\mu \in \{1, 2\})$ induce a Hamilton circuit.

Let *F* be the even 2-factor induced by edges in colors 1 and 2. If, for *every* even subgraph $S \subseteq F$, switching colors 1 and 2 of the edges of *S* yields a new 3-edge coloring having the same property $(*)$, then the 3-edge-coloring *c* is called a *semi-Kotzig coloring.* A cubic graph *H* with a semi-Kotzig coloring is called a *semi-Kotzig graph*.

Similar to semi-Kotzig graphs, various generalizations, variations, or relaxations of Kotzig graphs have been introduced in [\[29\]](#page-16-23), such as switchable-CDC graph, iterated Kotzig graph, etc. Analogies and stronger versions of Theorem [13](#page-11-0) have been obtained for those generalizations or variations ([\[24,](#page-16-17) [29\]](#page-16-23)).

Kotzig Frames: Disconnected Spanning Subgraphs

If a cubic graph *G* has an even 2-factor, then the graph *G* has many nice properties: *G is* 3*-edge colorable, G has a circuit double cover,* etc. Inspired by the structure of even 2-factors, Häggkvist and Markström [\[29\]](#page-16-23) introduced the following concept which extends the investigation of *connected* spanning minors to *disconnected* cases.

Definition 17. Let *G* be a cubic graph. A spanning subgraph *H* of *G* is called a *frame* of *G* if G/H is an even graph.

Definition 18. Let *G* be a cubic graph. A frame *H* of *G* is called a *Kotzig frame* (or *semi-Kotzig frame*) of *G* if, for each non-circuit component H_i of H , the suppressed graph $\overline{H_i}$ is a Kotzig graph (or semi-Kotzig graph, respectively).

We have discussed cubic graphs with connected Kotzig frames (Theorem [13\)](#page-11-0) and some of its generalizations. Those are results about frames with only one component. In this section, graphs with disconnected frames will be further studied.

The following is a generalization of Theorem [13.](#page-11-0)

Theorem 14 (Häggkvist and Markström [\[29\]](#page-16-23)). *If a cubic graph G has a Kotzig frame that contains at most one non-circuit component, then G has a* 6*-even subgraph double cover.*

Similarly, Theorem [14](#page-12-0) is further generalized for semi-Kotzig frames and other frames ([\[29\]](#page-16-23)).

Theorem 15 (Ye and Zhang [\[74\]](#page-18-5)). *If a cubic graph G contains a semi-Kotzig frame with at most one non-circuit component, then G has a* 6*-even subgraph double cover.*

The following conjecture about semi-Kotzig frames was originally proposed in [\[29\]](#page-16-23) for Kotzig frames, iterated Kotzig frames, and switchable-CDC frames.

Conjecture 9. Let *G* be a cubic graph with semi-Kotzig frame. Then *G* has a circuit double cover.

Some partial results for Conjecture [9](#page-12-1) can be found in $[11, 29, 80]$ $[11, 29, 80]$ $[11, 29, 80]$ $[11, 29, 80]$ $[11, 29, 80]$, etc.

16.7 Orientable Cover

Attempts to prove the CDC conjecture have led to various conjectured strengthenings, such as the *faithful circuit cover problem* (Problem [1\)](#page-2-1), *strong circuit double cover problem* (Conjecture [5\)](#page-6-0), *even covering problems* (Conjectures [1](#page-5-1) and [2\)](#page-5-2), 5 *even subgraph double cover problem* (Conjecture [4\)](#page-6-3), etc. Verification of any of those stronger problems will imply the CDC conjecture.

In this chapter, we present another type of variation of the double cover problem: *directed circuit double covering*. These are, in general, much stronger than the CDC problem. And some of them have already been completely characterized.

Historically, the paper by Tutte [\[70\]](#page-17-3) on *orientable circuit double cover* is the earliest published article related to the CDC problem.

Fig. 16.8 An orientable 4-even subgraph double cover of *K*⁴

Orientable Double Cover

Definition 19. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and *D* be an orientation of $E(G)$. A *directed even subgraph H* of the directed graph $D(G)$ is a subgraph of $D(G)$. such that for each vertex v of H , the indegree of v equals the outdegree of v .

- **Definition 20.** (1) Let $\mathcal{F} = \{C_1, \ldots, C_r\}$ be an even subgraph double cover of a graph *G*. The set *F* is an *orientable even subgraph double cover* if there is an orientation D_{μ} on $E(C_{\mu})$, for each $\mu = 1, \ldots, r$, such that
	- (i) $D_{\mu}(C_{\mu})$ is a directed even subgraph, and
	- (ii) For each edge *e* contained in two even subgraphs C_α and C_β ($\alpha, \beta \in$ $\{1,\ldots,r\}$, the directions of $D_{\alpha}(C_{\alpha})$ and $D_{\beta}(C_{\beta})$ are *opposite* on *e*.
- (2) *An orientable k-even subgraph double cover F* is an orientable even subgraph double cover consisting of *k* members. (See Figure [16.8.](#page-13-0))

The following theorem was originally proved by Tutte [\[70\]](#page-17-3) for cubic, bipartite graphs and reformulated and generalized by Jaeger [\[40\]](#page-16-5).

Theorem 16 (Tutte [\[70\]](#page-17-3)). *A graph G admits a nowhere-zero* 3*-flow if and only if G has an orientable* 3*-even subgraph double cover.*

Tutte proved the following theorem in [\[70\]](#page-17-3) for cubic graphs, and later this was generalized by Jaeger (see [\[39\]](#page-16-7) or see [\[41\]](#page-17-2)) and Archdeacon [\[4\]](#page-15-12).

Theorem 17 (Tutte [\[70\]](#page-17-3), Jaeger [\[39\]](#page-16-7), Archdeacon [\[4\]](#page-15-12)). *A graph G admits a nowhere-zero* 4*-flow if and only if G has an orientable 4-even subgraph double cover.*

The following conjecture is proposed for general graphs.

Conjecture 10 (Archdeacon [\[4\]](#page-15-12) and Jaeger [\[40\]](#page-16-5)). Every bridgeless graph has an orientable 5-even subgraph double cover.

16.8 Girth, Embedding, Small Cover

Girth

The girth of a smallest counterexample to the circuit double cover was first studied by Goddyn [\[23\]](#page-16-1), in which a lower bound 7 of girth was found. Later, this bound was improved as follows: at least 8 by McGuinness [\[53\]](#page-17-18) and at least 9 by Goddyn [\[24\]](#page-16-17) (a girth bound of 10 was also announced in [\[24\]](#page-16-17)). The following theorem, proved with a computer-aided search, remains the best bound up to today.

Theorem 18 (Huck [\[33\]](#page-16-24)). *The girth of a smallest counterexample to the circuit double cover conjecture is at least* 12*.*

It was conjectured in [\[42\]](#page-17-19) that cyclically 4-edge-connected snarks have bounded girth. If this conjecture were true, then the circuit double cover conjecture would follow immediately by Theorem 18 (or an earlier result in [\[23\]](#page-16-1) for girth 7). But this is not the case: in [\[46\]](#page-17-20), Kochol gave a construction of cyclically 5-edge-connected snarks of arbitrarily large girths.

However, Theorem [18](#page-14-0) (or its earlier results) remains useful in the studies of some families of embedded graphs with small genus since the girth of such graphs is bounded.

Small Genus Embedding

The circuit double cover conjecture is trivial if a bridgeless graph is planar: the collection of face boundaries is a double cover. How about graphs embeddable on surfaces other than a sphere? Although it is known that every bridgeless graph has a 2-cell embedding on some surface, it is not guaranteed that face boundaries are circuits.

The following early results verified the circuit double cover conjecture for graphs embeddable on some surfaces with small genus.

Theorem 19 (Zha [\[75–](#page-18-7)[77\]](#page-18-8)). *Let G be a bridgeless graph. If G has a* 2*-cell embedding on a surface with at most* 5 *crosscaps, or at most* 2 *handles, then G has a circuit double cover.*

Theorem [19](#page-14-1) was recently further generalized by Mohar to the following theorem for surfaces with larger genus.

Theorem 20 (Mohar [\[55\]](#page-17-21)). *Let G be the family of all bridgeless graphs each of which has a* 2-cell embedding on some surface with Euler characteristic $\xi \geq -31$ *. Then every member of G has a circuit double cover.*

Small Circuit Double Covers

The following conjectures were proposed by Bondy in [\[6\]](#page-15-13).

Conjecture 11 (Bondy [\[6\]](#page-15-13)). Every 2-edge-connected simple graph *G* of order *n* has a circuit double cover \mathscr{F} such that $|\mathscr{F}| \leq n - 1$.

Conjecture 12 (Bondy [\[6\]](#page-15-13)). Every 2-edge-connected simple cubic graph G ($G \neq$ *K*₄) of order *n* has a circuit double cover \mathcal{F} such that $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

The equivalent relation (Theorem [21\)](#page-15-14) between the circuit double cover conjecture and a small circuit double cover conjecture (Conjecture [12\)](#page-15-15) is proved in [\[49\]](#page-17-22).

Theorem 21 (Lai, Yu and Zhang [\[49\]](#page-17-22)). *If a simple cubic graph G (G* $\neq K_4$ *) has a circuit double cover, then the graph G has a circuit double cover containing at most* $\frac{|V(G)|}{2}$ *circuits.*

Conjecture [11](#page-15-16) has been verified for some families of graphs ([\[14,](#page-15-17) [48,](#page-17-23) [51,](#page-17-24) [56,](#page-17-25) [57,](#page-17-26) [59–](#page-17-27)[61\]](#page-17-28)).

References

- 1. Alspach, B., Zhang, C.-Q.: Cycle covers of cubic multigraphs. Discret. Math. **111**, 11–17 (1993)
- 2. Alspach, B., Godsil, C.: Unsolved problems. In: Cycles in Graphs. Annals of Discrete Mathematics, vol. 27, pp. 461–467. North Holland, New York (1985)
- 3. Alspach, B., Goddyn, L.A., Zhang, C.-Q.: Graphs with the circuit cover property. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **344**, 131–154 (1994)
- 4. Archdeacon, D.: Face coloring of embedded graphs. J. Graph Theory **8**, 387–398 (1984)
- 5. Bermond, J.C., Jackson, B., Jaeger, F.: Shortest coverings of graphs with cycles. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **35**, 297–308 (1983)
- 6. Bondy, J.A.: Small cycle double covers of graphs. In: Hahn, G., et al. (eds.) Cycles and Rays. NATO ASI Ser. C, pp. 21–40. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1990)
- 7. Bondy, J.A., Murty, U.S.R.: Graph Theory. Springer, New York (2008)
- 8. Brinkmann, G., Goedgebeur, J., Hägglund, J., Markström, K.: Generation and properties of snarks. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **103**, 468–488 (2011)
- 9. Celmins, U.A.: On cubic graphs that do not have an edge 3-coloring. Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, Ontario (1984)
- 10. Chan, M.: A survey of the cycle double cover conjecture, Princeton University. Preprint (2009)
- 11. Cutler, J., Häggkvist, R.: Cycle double covers of graphs with disconnected frames. Research Report 6, Department of Mathematics, Umeå University (2004)
- 12. Esteva, E.G.M., Jensen, T.R.: On semiextensions and circuit double covers. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **97**, 474–482 (2007)
- 13. Fan, G.-H.: Covering graphs by cycles. SIAM J. Discret. Math. **5**, 491–496 (1992)
- 14. Fish, J.M., Klimmek, R., Seyffarth, K.: Line graphs of complete multipartite graphs have small cycle double covers. Discret. Math. **257**, 39–61 (2002)
- 15. Fleischner, H.: Eulersche Linien und Kreisuberdeckungen die vorgegebene Duurchgange inden Kanten vermeiden. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **29**, 145–167 (1980)
- 16. Fleischner, H.: Eulerian graph. In: Beineke, L.W., Wilson, R.J. (eds.) Selected Topics in Graph Theory (2), pp. 17–53. Academic, London (1983)
- 17. Fleischner, H.: Cycle decompositions, 2-coverings, removable cycles and the four-color disease. In: Bondy, J.A., Murty, U.S.R. (eds.) Progress in Graph Theory, pp. 233–246. Academic, New York (1984)
- 18. Fleischner, H.: Proof of the strong 2-cover conjecture for planar graphs. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **40**, 229–230 (1986)
- 19. Fleischner, H.: Communication at Cycle Double Cover Conjecture Workshop, Barbados, 25 Feb–4 Mar 1990
- 20. Fleischner, H.: Uniqueness of maximal dominating cycles in 3-regular graphs and Hamiltonian cycles in 4-regular graphs. J. Graph Theory **18**, 449–459 (1994)
- 21. Fleischner, H., Häggkvist, R.: Circuit double covers in special types of cubic graphs. Discret. Math. **309**, 5724–5728 (2009)
- 22. Fulkerson, D.R.: Blocking and antiblocking pairs of polyhedral. Math. Program. **1**, 168–194 (1971)
- 23. Goddyn, L.A.: A girth requirement for the double cycle cover conjecture. In: Alspach, B., Godsil, C. (eds.) Cycles in Graphs. Annals of Discrete Mathematics, vol. 27, pp. 13–26. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1985)
- 24. Goddyn, L.A.: Cycle covers of graphs. Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, Ontario (1988)
- 25. Goddyn, L.A.: Cycle double covers of graphs with Hamilton paths. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **46**, 253–254 (1989)
- 26. Goddyn, L.A.: Cones, lattices and Hilbert bases of circuits and perfect matching. Contemp. Math. **147**, 419–440 (1993)
- 27. Haggard, G.: Edmonds Characterization of disc embedding. Proceeding of the 8th Southeastern Conference of Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing. Utilitas Mathematica, pp. 291–302. Utilitas Mathematica, Winnipeg (1977)
- 28. Häggkvist, R.: Lollipop Andrew strikes again (abstract). 22nd British Combinatorial Conference, University of St Andrews, 5–10 July 2009
- 29. Häggkvist, R., Markström, K.: Cycle double covers and spanning minors I. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **96**, 183–206 (2006)
- 30. Häggkvist, R., McGuinness, S.: Double covers of cubic graphs with oddness 4. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **93**, 251–277 (2005)
- 31. Holyer, I.: The *NP*-completeness of edge-coloring. SIAM J. Comput. **10**, 718–720 (1981)
- 32. Huck, A.: On cycle-double covers of bridgeless graphs with hamiltonian paths. Technical Report 254, Institute of Mathematics, University of Hannover (1993)
- 33. Huck, A.: Reducible configurations for the cycle double cover conjecture. Discret. Appl. Math. **99**, 71–90 (2000)
- 34. Huck, A.: On cycle-double covers of graphs of small oddness. Discret. Math. **229**, 125–165 (2001)
- 35. Huck, A., Kochol, M.: Five cycle double covers of some cubic graphs. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **64**, 119–125 (1995)
- 36. Itai, A., Rodeh, M.: Covering a graph by circuits. In: Automata, Languages and Programming. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 62, pp. 289–299. Springer, Berlin (1978)
- 37. Jackson, B.: On circuit covers, circuit decompositions and Euler tours of graphs. In: Walker, K. (ed.) Surveys in Combinatorics. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 187, pp. 191–210. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)
- 38. Jaeger, F.: On nowhere-zero flows in multigraphs. In: Proceedings of the Fifth British Combinatorial Conference 1975. Congressus Numerantium, vol. XV, pp. 373–378. Utilitas Mathematica, Winnipeg (1975)
- 39. Jaeger, F.: Flows and generalized coloring theorems in graphs. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **26**, 205–216 (1979)
- 40. Jaeger, F.: Nowhere-zero flow problems. In: Beineke, L.W., Wilson, R.J. (eds.) Selected Topics in Graph Theory (3), pp. 71–95. Academic, London (1980)
- 41. Jaeger, F.: A survey of the cycle double cover conjecture. In: Alspach, B., Godsil, C. (eds.) Cycles in Graphs. Annals of Discrete Mathematics, vol. 27, pp. 1–12. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1985)
- 42. Jaeger, F., Swart, T.: Conjecture 1. In: Deza, M., Rosenberg, I.G. (eds.) Combinatorics 79. Annals of Discrete Mathematics, vol. 9, pp. 304–305. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1980)
- 43. Kahn, J., Robertson, N., Seymour, P.D.: Communication at Bellcore (1987)
- 44. Kilpatrick, P.A.: Tutte's first colour-cycle conjecture. Ph.D. thesis, Cape Town (1975)
- 45. Kochol, M.: Cycle double covering of graphs. Technical Report TR-II-SAS-08/93-7 Institute for Informatics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava (1993)
- 46. Kochol, M.: Snarks without small cycles. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **67**, 34–47 (1996)
- 47. Kochol, M.: Stable dominating circuits in snarks. Discret. Math. **233**, 247–256 (2001)
- 48. Lai, H.-J., Lai, H.-Y.: Small cycle covers of planar graphs. Congr. Numer. **85**, 203–209 (1991)
- 49. Lai, H.-J., Yu, X.-X., Zhang, C.-Q.: Small circuit double covering of cubic graphs. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **60**, 177–194 (1994)
- 50. Little, C.H.C., Ringeisen, R.D.: On the strong graph embedding conjecture. In: Proceeding of the 9th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, pp. 479– 487. Utilitas Mathematica, Winnipeg (1978)
- 51. MacGillivray, G., Seyffarth, K.: Classes of line graphs with small cycle double covers. Aust. J. Comb. **24**, 91–114 (2001)
- 52. Matthews, K.R.: On the eulericity of a graph. J. Graph Theory **2**, 143–148 (1978)
- 53. McGuinness, S.: The double cover conjecture. Ph.D. thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario (1984)
- 54. Miao, Z., Tang, W., Zhang, C.-Q.: Strong circuit double cover of some cubic graphs. J. Graph Theory **78**, 131–142 (2015)
- 55. Mohar, B.: Strong embeddings of minimum genus. Discret. Math. **310**, 2595–2599 (2010)
- 56. Nowakowski, R.J., Seyffarth, K.: Small cycle double covers of products I: lexicographic product with paths and cycles. J. Graph Theory **57**, 99–123 (2008)
- 57. Nowakowski, R.J., Seyffarth, K.: Small cycle double covers of products II: categorical and strong products with paths and cycles. Graph Comb. **25**, 385–400 (2009)
- 58. Preissmann, M.: Sur les colorations des arêtes des graphes cubiques. Thèse de Doctorat de 3*eme*, Université de Grenoble (1981)
- 59. Seyffarth, K.: Cycle and path covers of graphs. Ph.D. thesis, University of Waterloo, Ontario (1989)
- 60. Seyffarth, K.: Hajós' conjecture and small cycle double covers of planar graphs. Discret. Math. **101**, 291–306 (1992)
- 61. Seyffarth, K.: Small cycle double covers of 4-connected planer. Combinatorica **13**, 477–482 (1993)
- 62. Seymour, P.D.: Sums of circuits. In: Bondy, J.A., Murty, U.S.R. (eds.) Graph Theory and Related Topics, pp. 342–355. Academic, New York (1979)
- 63. Seymour, P.D.: Nowhere-zero 6-flows. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **30**, 130–135 (1981)
- 64. Seymour, P.D.: Communication at Cycle Double Cover Conjecture Workshop, Barbados, 25 Feb–4 Mar 1990
- 65. Seymour, P.D.: Nowhere-zero flows. In: Graham, R.L., et al. (eds.) Handbook of Combinatorics, pp. 289–299. Elsevier, New York (1995)
- 66. Szekeres, G.: Polyhedral decompositions of cubic graphs. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **8**, 367–387 (1973)
- 67. Tarsi, M.: Semi-duality and the cycle double cover conjecture. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **41**, 332–340 (1986)
- 68. Thomason, A.: Hamiltonian Cycles and uniquely edge colorable graphs. Ann. Discret. Math. **3**, 259–268 (1978)
- 69. Tutte, W.T.: On Hamilton circuits. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **s1-21**, 98–101 (1946)
- 70. Tutte, W.T.: On the imbedding of linear graphs in surfaces. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **s2-51**, 474–483 (1949)
- 71. Tutte, W.T.: A contribution on the theory of chromatic polynomial. Can. J. Math. **6**, 80–91 (1954)
- 72. Tutte, W.T.: Graph Theory. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 21. Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge (1984)
- 73. Tutte, W.T.: Personal correspondence with H. Fleischner, 22 July 1987
- 74. Ye, D., Zhang, C.-Q.: Cycle double covers and Semi-Kotzig frame. Eur. J. Comb. **33**, 624–631 (2012)
- 75. Zha, X.-Y.: The closed 2-cell embeddings of 2-connected doubly toroidal graphs. Discret. Math. **145**, 259–271 (1995)
- 76. Zha, X.-Y.: Closed 2-cell embeddings of 4 cross-cap embeddable graphs. Discret. Math. **162**, 251–266 (1996)
- 77. Zha, X.-Y.: Closed 2-cell embeddings of 5-crosscap embeddable graphs. Eur. J. Comb. **18**, 461–477 (1997)
- 78. Zhang, C.-Q.: Integer Flows and Cycle Covers of Graphs. Dekker, New York (1997)
- 79. Zhang, C.-Q.: Circuit Double Covers of Graphs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012)
- 80. Zhang, X.-D., Zhang, C.-Q.: Kotzig frames and circuit double covers. Discret. Math. **312**, 174–180 (2012)