Momentum Maps for Smooth Projective Unitary Representations

Bas Janssens and Karl-Hermann Neeb

Abstract. For a smooth projective unitary representation $(\overline{\rho}, \mathcal{H})$ of a locally convex Lie group G, the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ of smooth vectors is a locally convex Kähler manifold. We show that the action of G on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is weakly Hamiltonian, and lifts to a Hamiltonian action of the central $U(1)$ extension G^{\sharp} obtained from the projective representation. We identify the non-equivariance cocycles obtained from the weakly Hamiltonian action with those obtained from the projective representation, and give some integrality conditions on the image of the momentum map.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 20C25, 37J15. **Keywords.** Momentum map, projective representation.

1. Introduction

Let G be a locally convex Lie group with Lie algebra g, and let $\overline{\rho}$: $G \to \text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ be a projective unitary representation of G. It is called *smooth* if the set $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})^{\infty}$ of smooth rays is dense in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, a ray $[\psi] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ being called smooth if its orbit map $G \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$: $g \mapsto \overline{\rho}(g)[\psi]$ is smooth. For finite-dimensional Lie groups, a projective representation is smooth if and only if it is continuous. For infinite-dimensional Lie groups, smoothness is a natural requirement.

In [3, Theorem 4.3], we showed that for smooth projective unitary representations, the central extension

$$
G^\sharp:=\{(g,U)\in G\times \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})\,;\,\overline{\rho}(g)=[U]\}
$$

of G by $U(1)$ is a central extension of locally convex Lie groups, in the sense that the projection $G^{\sharp} \to G$ is a homomorphism of Lie groups, as well as a principal U(1)bundle. Moreover, the projective representation $\overline{\rho}$: $G \to \text{PU}(\mathcal{H})$ of G then lifts to

B.J. is supported by the NWO grant 613.001.214 "Generalised Lie algebra sheaves" and K.-H. N. is supported by the DFG-grant NE 413/7-2, Schwerpunktprogramm "Darstellungstheorie".

a linear representation $\rho: G^{\sharp} \to U(\mathcal{H})$ of G^{\sharp} , with the property that $\rho(z) = z\mathbf{1}$ for all $z \in U(1)$. If $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is the space of smooth vectors for ρ , then $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is equal to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})^{\infty}$, the space of smooth rays for $\overline{\rho}$.

The main goal of these notes is to reinterpret this central extension in the context of symplectic geometry of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ and its prequantum line bundle, the tautological bundle $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$. In order to equip $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ with a symplectic structure, we need to consider it as a locally convex manifold. For this, we need a locally convex topology on \mathcal{H}^{∞} that is compatible with the G^{\sharp} -action.

Definition 1. The *strong topology* on \mathcal{H}^{∞} is the locally convex topology induced by the norm on H and the seminorms

$$
p_B(\psi) := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in B} \|\mathrm{d}\rho_k(\boldsymbol{\xi})\psi\|,
$$

where $B \subseteq (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, runs over the bounded sets, and the derived representation do of \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} is extended to $(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})^k$ by $d\omega(\xi, \xi)$, \vdots $d\omega(\xi)$, \vdots $d\omega(\xi)$ $d\rho$ of \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} is extended to $(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})^k$ by $d\rho_k(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_k) := d\rho(\xi_1)\cdots d\rho(\xi_k)$.

We will show that with this topology, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ becomes a locally convex Kähler manifold with prequantum line bundle $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$. If we identify its tangent space $T_{[\psi]} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ for any unit vector ψ with $\{\delta v \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}; \langle \psi, \delta v \rangle = 0\}$, then the symplectic form Ω on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is given by

$$
\Omega_{[\psi]}(\delta v, \delta w) = 2\mathrm{Im}(\delta v, \delta w).
$$

Similarly, the sphere $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ becomes a locally convex principal U(1)-bundle over $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, to which the prequantum line bundle $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is associated along the canonical representation $U(1) \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C})$. The connection ∇ on $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ with curvature $R_{\nabla} = \Omega$ is associated to the connection 1-form α on $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, given by

$$
\alpha_{\psi}(\delta v) = -i \langle \psi, \delta v \rangle
$$

under the identification $T_{\psi} \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \simeq {\delta v \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}}$; Re $\langle \psi, \delta v \rangle = 0$.

The group G acts on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ by Kähler automorphisms, hence in particular by symplectomorphisms. The action of the central extension G^{\sharp} lifts to $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to$ $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, on which it acts by holomorphic quantomorphisms (connection preserving bundle automorphisms). If the projective representation of G is faithful, then the central extension G^{\sharp} is precisely the group of quantomorphisms of $(\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \nabla)$ that cover the *G*-action on $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \Omega)$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nG^{\sharp} & \circlearrowright & \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \nabla) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G & \circlearrowright & \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \Omega).\n\end{array}
$$

We show that for any locally convex Lie group G , the action

$$
G\times\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)\to\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^\infty)
$$

obtained from a smooth projective unitary representation is *separately smooth* in the following sense.

Definition 2. An action $\alpha: G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}, (g, m) \mapsto \alpha_g(m)$ of a locally convex Lie group G on a locally convex manifold M is called *separately smooth* if for every $g \in G$ and $m \in M$, the orbit map $\alpha_m : G \to M$, $g \mapsto \alpha_g(m)$ and the action maps $\alpha_q: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ are smooth.

For Banach Lie groups G, the action is a smooth map $G \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ by [11, Theorem 4.4], but a certain lack of smoothness is unavoidable as soon as one goes to Fréchet–Lie groups. Indeed, consider the unitary representation of the Fréchet–Lie group $G = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ on $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$, defined by $\rho(\phi)\psi =$ $(e^{i\phi_1}\psi_1, e^{i\phi_2}\psi_2,\ldots)$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} = \mathbb{C}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ with the direct limit topology [3, Example 3.11], but by [11, Example 4.8], the action of \mathfrak{g} on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is discontinuous for *any* locally convex topology on \mathcal{H}^{∞} . We therefore propose the following definition of (not necessarily smooth) Hamiltonian actions on locally convex manifolds.

Definition 3. An action $\alpha: G \times M \rightarrow M$ of a locally convex Lie group G on a locally convex, symplectic manifold (\mathcal{M}, Ω) is called:

- *Symplectic* if it is separately smooth, and $\alpha_g^* \Omega = \Omega$ for all $g \in G$.
- *Weakly Hamiltonian* if it is symplectic, and $i_{X_{\mathcal{F}}} \Omega$ is exact for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, where X_{ξ} is the fundamental vector field of ξ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$.
- *Hamiltonian* if, moreover, $i_{X_{\epsilon}}\Omega = d\mu(\xi)$ for a G-equivariant momentum map $\mu: \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{g}'$ into the continuous dual of \mathfrak{g} , which is smooth if \mathfrak{g}' is equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets.

Our main result is that the action of G^{\sharp} on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is Hamiltonian in the sense of the above definition.

Theorem 4. *The action of* G^{\sharp} *on* $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \Omega)$ *is Hamiltonian, with momentum* $map \ \mu \colon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp \prime}$ given by

$$
\mu_{[\psi]}(\xi) = \frac{\langle \psi, i \mathbf{d} \rho(\xi) \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle}.
$$
\n(1)

Since the G-action on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ factors through the action of G^{\sharp} , we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *The action of* G *on* $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \Omega)$ *is weakly Hamiltonian.*

Note that the (classical) momentum associated to $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp}$ at $[\psi] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is
selv the corresponding (quantum mechanical) expectation of the self-adjoint precisely the corresponding (quantum mechanical) expectation of the self-adjoint operator (observable) $i d\rho(\xi)$ in the state $[\psi]$.

Sections 2 and 3 of this paper are concerned with the proof of Theorem 4. In Section 2, we show in detail that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is a locally convex, prequantisable Kähler manifold, and in Section 3, we use this to show that the action of G^{\sharp} on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is Hamiltonian, and lifts to the prequantum line bundle $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$.

In the second half of this paper, we give some applications of this symplectic picture to projective representations. In Section 4, we calculate the Kostant– Souriau cocycles associated to the Hamiltonian action, and show that these are precisely the Lie algebra cocycles that one canonically obtains from a projective unitary representation and a smooth ray, cf. [3]. We then prove an integrality result for characters of the stabilizer group that one obtains as the image of the momentum map. Finally, in Section 5, we close with some remarks on smoothness of the action in the context of diffeological spaces.

Momentum maps have been introduced into representation theory by Norman Wildberger [13]. Studying the image of the momentum map has proven to be an extremely powerful tool in the analysis of unitary representations, in particular to obtain information on upper and lower bounds of spectra $([1], [7, 8, 10])$. Smoothness properties of the linear Hamiltonian action on the space \mathcal{H}^{∞} of smooth vectors of a unitary representation and the corresponding momentum map $\mu_{\psi}(\xi) :=$ $\langle \psi, d\pi(\xi)\psi \rangle$ have been studied by P. Michor in the context of convenient calculus in [5].

2. The locally convex symplectic space $\mathbb{P}(V)$

In order to equip $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ with a symplectic structure, we need to consider it as a locally convex manifold (in the sense of [4, Def. 9.1]). Later on, it will be important to choose a locally convex topology on \mathcal{H}^{∞} that is compatible with the group action, but for now, it suffices if the scalar product on $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is continuous. We will go through the standard constructions of projective geometry, using only a complex, locally convex space V with continuous hermitian scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ (antilinear in the first and linear in the second argument).

Proposition 6. The projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is a complex manifold modelled on locally *convex spaces. The tautological line bundle* $\mathbb{L}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ *is a locally convex, holomorphic line bundle over* $\mathbb{P}(V)$ *.*

Proof. We equip $\mathbb{P}(V)$ with the Hausdorff topology induced by the quotient map $V - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$. The open neighbourhood

$$
U_{[\psi]} := \{ [\chi] \in \mathbb{P}(V) \, ; \, \langle \psi, \chi \rangle \neq 0 \}
$$
 (2)

is then charted by the hyperplane

$$
T_{[\psi]} := \{ v \in V \, ; \, \langle \psi, v \rangle = 0 \},\tag{3}
$$

and the chart $\kappa_{\psi}: U_{[\psi]} \to T_{[\psi]}$, defined by $\kappa_{\psi}([\chi]) := \langle \psi, \chi \rangle^{-1} \chi - \psi$ (cf. [9, §V.1]). Note that the map κ_{ψ} depends on the choice of representative $\psi \in [\psi]$, which we will assume to be of unit length. The inverse chart is $\kappa_{\psi}^{-1}(v) = [\psi + v]$. We have $\kappa_{z\psi}([\chi]) = z\kappa_{\psi}([\chi])$ for $z \in U(1)$. More generally, the transition function $\kappa_{\psi\psi'}\colon \kappa_{\psi}(U_{[\psi]} \cap U_{[\psi']}) \to \kappa_{\psi'}(U_{[\psi]} \cap U_{[\psi']})$ is given by $v \mapsto \frac{\psi+v}{\langle \psi', \psi+v \rangle} - \psi'$. Since $v \mapsto \langle \psi', \psi + v \rangle$ is continuous and nonzero on $\kappa_{\psi}(U_{[\psi]} \cap U_{[\psi']})$, the transition functions are holomorphic, making $\mathbb{P}(V)$ into a complex manifold.

For $\mathbb{L}(V) = V - \{0\}$, define the charts $\Lambda_{\psi} \colon T_{[\psi]} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{L}(V)$ by $\Lambda_{\psi}(v, z) =$ $z(\psi + v)$. The transition functions

$$
\Lambda_{\psi\psi'}\colon \kappa_{\psi}(U_{[\psi]}\cap U_{[\psi']})\times \mathbb{C}\to \kappa_{\psi'}(U_{[\psi]}\cap U_{[\psi']})\times \mathbb{C}
$$

are given by $(v, z) \mapsto (\kappa_{\psi\psi'}(v), \langle \psi', \psi + v \rangle z)$. Since these are holomorphic isomorphisms of trivial locally convex line bundles, the result follows. \Box

For $\|\psi\|=1$, we identify the tangent vectors $\delta v, \delta w \in T_{[\psi]} \mathbb{P}(V)$ at the point $[\psi] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ with their coordinates $\delta v, \delta w \in T_{[\psi]}$ by the tangent map $T_{[\psi]}(\kappa_{\psi})$. Accordingly, we define the Hermitean form H on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ by

$$
H_{[\psi]}(\delta v, \delta w) := 2 \langle \delta v, \delta w \rangle. \tag{4}
$$

Note that this does not depend on the choice of chart κ_{ψ} .

Proposition 7. *Equipped with the Hermitean forms* $H_{[\psi]}$ *of equation* (4), $\mathbb{P}(V)$ *is a Hermitean manifold.*

Proof. As compatibility with the complex structure $J(\delta v) = i\delta v$ is clear, the only thing to show is that H is smooth. Using that the transition map

$$
D_v \kappa_{\psi\psi'}\colon T_{[\psi]} \to T_{[\psi']}, \quad \text{for} \quad \psi' = \frac{\psi + v}{\|\psi + v\|}
$$

is given by

$$
D_v \kappa_{\psi,\psi'}(\delta v) = \frac{1}{\|\psi+v\|} \left(\delta v - \langle \frac{\psi+v}{\|\psi+v\|}, \delta v \rangle \frac{\psi+v}{\|\psi+v\|} \right) ,
$$

one sees that in local coordinates for $T^2\mathbb{P}(V)$, the map $T_{[\psi]} \times T_{[\psi]} \times T_{[\psi]} \to \mathbb{C}$ is

$$
H_v(\delta v, \delta w) = 2\left(\frac{1}{1 + \|v\|^2} \langle \delta v, \delta w \rangle - \frac{1}{(1 + \|v\|^2)^2} \langle \delta v, v \rangle \langle v, \delta w \rangle\right), \quad (5)
$$

evidentally smooth.

which is evidently smooth.

As the real and imaginary parts of H , we obtain the Fubini–Study metric

$$
G_{[\psi]}(\delta v, \delta w) = 2\text{Re}\langle \delta v, \delta w \rangle
$$

and the 2-form

$$
\Omega_{[\psi]}(\delta v, \delta w) = 2\mathrm{Im}\langle \delta v, \delta w \rangle. \tag{6}
$$

The 2-form Ω is nondegenerate in the 'weak' sense that $\Omega(\delta v, \delta w) = 0$ for all δw implies $\delta v = 0$. In order to show that Ω is a symplectic form, and hence that $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is Kähler, it thus suffices to prove that it is closed. We will do this by showing that Ω is the curvature of a prequantum bundle.

Proposition 8. *The sphere* $\mathbb{S}(V) = \{ \psi \in V : ||\psi|| = 1 \}$ *is a locally convex manifold, and the projection* $\mathbb{S}(V) \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ *is a principal* U(1)*-bundle.*

Proof. The sphere inherits the Hausdorff topology from its inclusion in V. The locally convex space

$$
T_{\psi} := \{ v \in V \, ; \, \text{Re}\langle \psi, v \rangle = 0 \} \subseteq V \tag{7}
$$

can be naturally identified with the open neighbourhood

$$
U_{\psi} := \{ \chi \in \mathbb{S}(V) \, ; \, \text{Re}\langle \psi, \chi \rangle > 0 \}
$$
 (8)

of ψ by the chart $\kappa: U_{\psi} \to T_{\psi}$ with $\kappa_{\psi}(\chi) = (\text{Re}\langle \psi, \chi \rangle)^{-1} \chi - \psi$, which has inverse $\kappa_{\psi}^{-1}(v) = \frac{\psi + v}{\|\psi + v\|}$. If ψ and ψ' are not antipodal, then the transition

 $U_{\psi\psi'} := U_{\psi} \cap U_{\psi'}$ is nonempty, and the transition function $\kappa_{\psi}(U_{\psi\psi'}) \to \kappa_{\psi'}(U_{\psi\psi'})$ is given by $v \mapsto \frac{\psi+v}{\text{Re}(\psi',\psi+v)} - \psi'$. This is continuous for the strong topology that T_{ψ} and $T_{\psi'}$ inherit from V because the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is continuous, and $\text{Re}\langle\psi',\psi+v\rangle$ is nonzero on $\kappa_{\psi}(U_{\psi}\cap U_{\psi'})$. In particular, the tangent space $T_{\psi}\mathbb{S}(V)$ can be canonically identified with T_{ψ} .

The canonical projection $\mathbb{S}(V) \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ is a smooth principal U(1)-bundle, with local trivialization $\tau_{\psi} : T_{[\psi]} \times U(1) \to \mathbb{S}(V)$ given by $\tau_{\psi}(v, z) := z \frac{\psi + v}{\|\psi + v\|}$. (Note that this depends on the representative ψ of $[\psi]$.) For $[\chi]$ in its image $U_{\psi} \cup U_{-\psi} \cup$ $U_{i\psi} \cup U_{-i\psi}$, we have $z_{\psi}([\chi]) = \frac{\langle \psi, \chi \rangle}{|\langle \psi, \chi \rangle|}$ and $z_{\psi'}([\chi]) = \frac{\langle \psi', \chi \rangle}{|\langle \psi', \chi \rangle|}$, so the clutching functions $g_{\psi\psi'}\colon U_{[\psi]}\cap U_{[\psi']} \to \mathbb{T}$ are

$$
g_{\psi\psi'}([x]) = \frac{\langle \psi', \chi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \chi \rangle} / \left| \frac{\langle \psi', \chi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \chi \rangle} \right|.
$$

Identifying T_{ψ} S(V) with T_{ψ} in (7), we define the 1-form α on S(V) by

$$
\alpha_{\psi}(\delta v) = -i \langle \psi, \delta v \rangle. \tag{9}
$$

Proposition 9. *The form* α *is a connection* 1*-form on* $\mathbb{S}(V) \to \mathbb{P}(V)$ *with curvature* Ω*.*

Proof. We start by showing that α is smooth. Using the derivative

$$
D_v \kappa_{\psi\psi'}(\delta v) = \frac{1}{\|\psi + v\|} \left(\delta_v - \frac{\text{Re}\langle v, \delta v \rangle}{1 + \|v\|^2} (\psi + v) \right) = \frac{\delta v}{\|\psi + v\|} - \frac{\text{Re}\langle v, \delta v \rangle}{\|\psi + v\|^3} (\psi + v)
$$

for the transition function with $\psi' = \frac{\psi + v}{\|\psi + v\|}$, one sees that α is represented by the function $T_{\psi} \times T_{\psi} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $(v, \delta v) \mapsto \frac{1}{1 + ||v||^2} \text{Im}\langle v, \delta v \rangle$, which is evidently smooth.

If we identify $T_{\psi} \mathbb{S}(V)$ with T_{ψ} and $T_{z\psi} \mathbb{S}(V)$ with $T_{z\psi}$, then the pushforward $R_{z*}: T_{\psi} \to T_{z\psi}$ of the U(1)-action is $R_{z*}(\delta v) = z\delta v$. It follows that α is U(1)invariant,

$$
(R_z^*\alpha_\psi)(\delta v) = \alpha_{z\psi}(z\delta v) = -i\langle z\psi, z\delta v \rangle = -i\langle \psi, \delta v \rangle = \alpha_\psi(\delta v),
$$

and since the vector field X_1 generated by the U(1)-action on $\mathcal{S}(V)$ is $X_1(\psi)$ = $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}e^{it}\psi = i\psi$, we have $\alpha_{\psi}(X_1(\psi)) = 1$, so that α is a principal connection 1-form on $\mathbb{S}(V) \to \mathbb{P}(V)$. If we introduce the constant vector fields δv and δw on $U_{\psi} \subseteq \mathbb{S}(V)$, then at $v = 0$, we have

$$
d\alpha_v(\delta v, \delta w) = L_{\delta v} \alpha_v(\delta w) - L_{\delta v} \alpha_v(\delta w) = 2\mathrm{Im}\langle \delta v, \delta w \rangle, \qquad (10)
$$

which agrees with the local expression (5) for $\Omega_{\psi}(\delta v, \delta w)$ at $v = 0$, as required. \Box

In particular, Ω is closed, so $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is a Kähler manifold. Since the tautological line bundle is associated to $\mathcal{S}(V)$ in the sense that $\mathbb{L}(V) := \mathcal{S}(V) \times_{\mathcal{U}(1)} \mathbb{C}$, we have the following result (see also [9]).

Theorem 10. The projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ with Hermitean form H is a locally convex *K*ähler manifold. The tautological bundle $\mathbb{L}(V) \to \mathbb{P}(V)$, equipped with the connec*tion inherited from the* U(1)*-principal* 1*-form* α*, is a prequantum line bundle for the corresponding symplectic form* Ω*.*

3. Hamiltonian action of G^{\sharp} on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$

We return to the situation of a smooth, projective, unitary representation $\bar{\rho}$ of G, and the corresponding unitary representation ρ of G^{\sharp} . In order to obtain a Hamiltonian action of G^{\sharp} on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, we need a locally convex topology on \mathcal{H}^{∞} that is compatible with the G^{\sharp} -action. We will equip \mathcal{H}^{∞} with the *strong topology* of Definition 1. As the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{C}$ is manifestly continuous, Theorem 10 applies to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$.

Proposition 11. *The group action* $G^{\sharp} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ *is separately smooth for the strong topology.*

Proof. For fixed $g \in G^{\sharp}$, we show that the linear map $\rho(g) \colon \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is continuous. If $B \subseteq (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})^k$ is bounded, then so is $\mathrm{Ad}_g(B)$, as the action $\mathrm{Ad}_g: (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})^k \to (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})^k$
of a in the k-fold product of the adjoint representation is a homeomorphism. From of g in the k-fold product of the adjoint representation is a homeomorphism. From

$$
p_B(\rho(g)\psi) = \sup_{\xi \in B} \|\mathrm{d}\rho_k(\xi)\rho(g)\psi\|
$$

=
$$
\sup_{\xi \in B} \|\rho(g)\mathrm{d}\rho_k(\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\xi))\psi\| = p_{\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(B)}(\psi),
$$

we then see that $\rho(q)$ is strongly continuous. If we fix $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$, then the orbit map $g \mapsto \rho(g)\psi$ is smooth in the *norm* topology on $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ by definition, but we still need to show that it is smooth in the *strong* topology. This follows from $[3, \text{Lemma } 3.24].$

Our (somewhat laborious) proof of Theorem 10 now allows us to apply Proposition 11 in local coordinates, yielding the following result.

Proposition 12. The locally convex Lie group G acts separately smoothly on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ *by K¨ahler automorphisms. This action is covered by a separately smooth action of* G^{\sharp} on the prequantum line bundle $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ by holomorphic, connection*preserving bundle automorphisms.*

Proof. In local coordinates, the action of G^{\sharp} looks like $T_{[\psi]} \to T_{\overline{\rho}(g)[\psi]} : v \mapsto \rho(g)v$ on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, like $T_{\psi} \to T_{\rho(q)\psi} : v \mapsto \rho(g)v$ on $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, and like

$$
T_{[\psi]} \times \mathbb{C} \to T_{\overline{\rho}(g)[\psi]} \times \mathbb{C} \colon v \oplus z \mapsto \rho(g)v \oplus z \text{ on } \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}).
$$

It thus follows from Proposition 11 that the group action is separately smooth, and a holomorphic line bundle isomorphism of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$. In local coordinates, the pushforwards $\rho(g)_*: T_{[\psi]} \to T_{\overline{\rho}(g)[\psi]}$ and $\rho(g)_*: T_{\psi} \to T_{\rho(g)\psi}$ are simply given by $\delta v \mapsto \rho(g)\delta v$, so $\rho(g)^*H = H$ and $\rho(g)^*\alpha = \alpha$ follow from unitarity of $\rho(g)$ and the definitions (4) and (9). the definitions (4) and (9) .

For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp}$, the fundamental vector field $X_{\xi}(\psi) = d\rho(\xi)\psi$ on $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is smooth, is given in local coordinates $v \in T_{\psi}$ by as it is given in local coordinates $v \in T_{\psi}$ by

$$
X_{\xi}(u) = d\rho(\xi)(\psi + v) - \text{Re}\langle\psi, d\rho(\xi)v\rangle(\psi + v).
$$

Since $L_{X_{\xi}}\alpha = 0$, we have $d(i_{X_{\xi}}\alpha) + i_{X_{\xi}}d\alpha = 0$, so since $\Omega = d\alpha$, we find

$$
i_{X_{\xi}}\Omega = d(-i_{X_{\xi}}\alpha). \tag{11}
$$

We therefore find the comomentum map $\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}))$, $\xi \mapsto \mu(\xi)$ with

$$
\mu_{[\psi]}(\xi) = \alpha_{\psi}(-X_{\xi}(\psi)). \tag{12}
$$

This evaluates to $\langle \psi, i d\rho(\xi) \psi \rangle$, the expectation in the state $[\psi]$ of the essentially selfadjoint operator $i d\rho(\xi)$ (cf. Definition 1), which is the observable corresponding to the symmetry generator $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Note that for fixed ξ , the expression $\psi \mapsto$ $\alpha_{\psi}(-X_{\xi}(\psi))$ is independent of the unit vector $\psi \in [\psi]$, and smooth because both α and X_{ξ} are smooth.

We now prove the theorem announced in the introduction (Theorem 4):

Theorem 13. *The action of* G^{\sharp} *on* ($\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \Omega$) *is Hamiltonian, with momentum* $map \mu \colon \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'$ given by

$$
\mu_{[\psi]}(\xi) = \frac{\langle \psi, i \mathbf{d} \rho(\xi) \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle}.
$$
\n(13)

This is a smooth, G^{\sharp} -equivariant map into the continuous dual $(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'$, equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded sybests. *the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets.*

Proof. Since the G^{\sharp} -action preserves α , it preserves Ω , and $i_{X_{\xi}}\Omega$ is exact by equation (11). Combining (11) and (12), we have $i_{X_{\xi}}\Omega = d\mu_{\xi}(\xi)$. The momentum map is equivariant by

$$
\mu_{[g\psi]}(\xi) = \langle \rho(g)\psi, i \mathrm{d}\rho(\xi)\rho(g)\psi \rangle = \langle \psi, i \mathrm{d}\rho(\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(\xi))\psi \rangle.
$$

To prove that μ is smooth, consider its pullback to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, which is the restriction to $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ of the map $\hat{\mu} \colon \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'$ defined by $\hat{\mu}_{\psi} = \langle \psi, d\rho(\cdot)\psi \rangle$. Note that the map

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \text{Lin}(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp}, \mathcal{H}^{\infty}), \quad \psi \mapsto d\rho(\cdot)\psi
$$

is linear, and continuous if $\text{Lin}(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp}, \mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is equipped with the topology of uniform
convergence on bounded subsets of \mathfrak{g} . As the scalar product $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}$ convergence on bounded subsets of \mathfrak{g} . As the scalar product $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, the linear map $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})', (\psi, \chi) \mapsto \langle \psi, d\rho(\cdot) \chi \rangle$ is also
continuous and hence smooth. Since \hat{u} is the composition of this map with the continuous, and hence smooth. Since $\hat{\mu}$ is the composition of this map with the (smooth) diagonal map $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$, the result follows. (smooth) diagonal map $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$, the result follows.

4. Cocycles for Hamiltonian actions

A symplectic action of a locally convex Lie group G on a locally convex, symplectic manifold (M, Ω) gives rise to *Kostant–Souriau cocycles*.

Proposition 14 (Kostant–Souriau cocycles). For every $m \in \mathcal{M}$, the map

$$
\omega_m\colon \mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{R}
$$

defined by $\omega_m(\xi, \eta) = \Omega_m(X_\xi, X_\eta)$ *is a continuous* 2*-cocycle. If* M *is a Kähler manifold, then* $\omega_m = \text{Im } h_m$ *for a continuous, positive semidefinite, Hermitean form* $h_m: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Since the action is symplectic, $L_{X_{\epsilon}}\Omega = 0$, and we have

$$
L_{X_{\xi}}\Omega(X_{\eta}, X_{\zeta}) = \Omega([X_{\xi}, X_{\eta}], X_{\zeta}) + \Omega(X_{\eta}, [X_{\xi}, X_{\zeta}]).
$$

As Ω is closed, it follows that for all $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$
0 = d\Omega(X_{\xi}, X_{\eta}, X_{\zeta}) = (L_{X_{\xi}}\Omega(X_{\eta}, X_{\zeta}) + \text{cycl.}) - (\Omega([X_{\xi}, X_{\eta}], X_{\zeta}) + \text{cycl.})
$$

= $\Omega(X_{\eta}, [X_{\xi}, X_{\zeta}]) + \text{cycl.} = \delta\omega(\xi, \eta, \zeta),$

and ω_m is a cocycle for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Since the orbit map $g \mapsto \alpha_g(m)$ is smooth, the map $\xi \mapsto X_{\xi}(m)$ is continuous. Since $\Omega_m: T_m \mathcal{M} \times T_m \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, the cocycle ω_m is continuous. If M is Kähler, then Ω_m is the imaginary part of a positive definite Hermitean form H_m on $T_m \mathcal{M}$. We then have $\omega_m = \text{Im } h_m$ for the pullback $h_m: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ of H_m along the complexification $D_m \alpha: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \to T_m \mathcal{M}$ of the derivative of the orbit map.

4.1. Cocycles for projective unitary representations

For the weakly Hamiltonian action of G on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ derived from a smooth projective unitary representation, the Kostant–Souriau cocycles are given by

$$
\omega_{[\psi]}(\xi,\eta) = 2\mathrm{Im}\langle\mathrm{d}\rho(\xi^{\sharp})\psi,\mathrm{d}\rho(\eta^{\sharp})\psi\rangle, \qquad (14)
$$

where $\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp}$ are arbitrary lifts of $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$. (This does not depend on the choice of lift because $\langle \psi, id \rho(\xi^{\sharp})\psi \rangle$ is real.) of lift because $\langle \psi, i \mathbf{d} \rho(\xi^{\sharp}) \psi \rangle$ is real.)

In particular, we see that the Kostant–Souriau cocycles related to a smooth projective representation arise as the image of the momentum map $\mu: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to$ $(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'$, concatenated with the differential $\delta: \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \to \mathcal{Z}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ that maps $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'$ to the
2-cocycle 2-cocycle

$$
(\delta\lambda)(\xi,\eta):=\lambda([\xi^\sharp,\eta^\sharp]),
$$

which is again independent of the choice of lift.

Proposition 15. For the weakly Hamiltonian action of G on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ derived from *a smooth projective unitary representation, we have* $\omega_{[\psi]} = \delta \mu_{[\psi]}.$

Proof. This is a direct computation. From (13), we obtain

$$
\delta \mu_{[\psi]}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\langle \psi, i \mathbf{d} \rho([\xi^{\sharp}, \eta^{\sharp}]) \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle}
$$

= $-i \left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{d} \rho(\xi^{\sharp}) \psi, \mathbf{d} \rho(\eta^{\sharp}) \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{d} \rho(\eta^{\sharp}) \psi, \mathbf{d} \rho(\xi^{\sharp}) \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle} \right)$
= $2 \frac{\text{Im} \langle \mathbf{d} \rho(\xi^{\sharp}) \psi, \mathbf{d} \rho(\eta^{\sharp}) \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle},$

which equals $\omega_{[\psi]}(\xi,\eta)$ for $\|\psi\|=1$ by (14).

From a smooth projective unitary representation, we thus get not only a *class* $[\omega_{[ij]}] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ in continuous Lie algebra cohomology, but a distinguished set $\mathcal{C} := {\omega_{[\psi]}}; [\psi] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^2(\mathfrak{g})$ of (cohomologous, cf. [3]) cocycles. As both μ and δ are G-equivariant, this set $\mathcal{C} = \text{Im}(\delta \circ \mu)$ of cocycles is G-invariant, and every $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ is the imaginary part of a continuous, positive semidefinite, Hermitean form on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by Proposition 14. This sheds geometric light on Propositions 6.6, 6.7 and 6.8 of [3].

4.2. Characters of the stabilizer group

The derivative of the momentum map $\mu: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'$ is given (for $\|\psi\| = 1$) by

$$
D_{[\psi]}\mu(\delta v)(\xi) = 2\text{Re}\langle i\mathbf{d}\rho(\xi)\psi,\delta v\rangle. \tag{15}
$$

This has some interesting consequences. We denote the *real* inner product on \mathcal{H}^{∞} by $(v, w)_{\mathbb{R}} := 2\text{Re}\langle v, w\rangle$, and the orthogonal complement with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{R}}$ by $\perp_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the kernel $\text{Ker}(D_{\llbracket \psi \rrbracket} \rvert \leq T_{\llbracket \psi \rrbracket} = (\mathbb{C}\psi)^{\perp_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is precisely the *real* orthogonal complement $(i\mathbb{R}\psi \oplus i d\rho(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})\psi)^{\perp_{\mathbb{R}}}$ in \mathcal{H}^{∞} .

Proposition 16. *The derivative* $D_{\{\psi\}}\mu$: $T_{\{\psi\}} \to \mathfrak{g}'$ *is injective if and only if* $d\rho(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})\psi$
energy $\psi^{\sharp\sharp} \in \mathcal{H}$ as a real Hilbert energy and zero if and only if the identity compo spans $\psi^{\perp_{\mathbb{R}}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ *as a real Hilbert space, and zero if and only if the identity component* G_0 *stabilizes* $[\psi]$ *.*

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from the formula for the kernel. For the second statement, note that $D_{[\psi]} \mu = 0$ is equivalent to $d\rho(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})\psi = i\mathbb{R}\psi$.
By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for locally convey spaces G_0 stabilizes By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for locally convex spaces, G_0 stabilizes $[\psi] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ if and only if \mathfrak{g} stabilizes $[\psi]$, which is the case if and only if $d\rho(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})\psi \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ $i\mathbb{R}\psi$.

We denote the stabilizer of $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'$ under the coadjoint representation by

Nurther we denote by G_{λ}^{\sharp} . Further, we denote by

$$
G_{[\psi]}^{\sharp}:=\{g\in G^{\sharp}\,:\,[\rho(g)\psi]=[\psi]\}
$$

the preimage in G^{\sharp} of the stabilizer $G_{[\psi]}$ of $[\psi] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, and we denote

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{[\psi]}^{\sharp} := \{ \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \, ; \, \mathrm{d}\rho(\xi)\psi \in i\mathbb{R}\psi \} \, .
$$

Proposition 17. For every $[\psi] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, we have $G^{\sharp}_{[\psi]} \subseteq G^{\sharp}_{\mu_{[\psi]}}$.

Proof. Since the momentum map is G^{\sharp} -equivariant, we have $g \in G_{\mu_{[\psi]}}$ if and only if

$$
\frac{\langle \rho(g)\psi, i d\rho(\xi)\rho(g)\psi \rangle}{\langle \rho(g)\psi, \rho(g)\psi \rangle} = \frac{\langle \psi, i d\rho(\xi)\psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle} \tag{16}
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. This is clearly the case if $g \in G_{\lbrack \psi \rbrack}^{\sharp}$

Proposition 18. *The restriction of* $-i\mu_{[\psi]} : \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \to i\mathbb{R}$ *to* $\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp}_{[\psi]}$ *is a Lie algebra char*acter. It integrates to a group character on any Lie subgroup of $G_{[\psi]}^{\sharp}$.

Proof. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\lbrack \psi \rbrack}^{\sharp}$, we have $d\rho(\xi)\psi = -i\mu(\xi)\psi$. As

$$
\mathrm{d}\rho([\xi,\eta])\psi = [\mathrm{d}\rho(\xi),\mathrm{d}\rho(\eta)]\psi = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi,\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_{[\psi]}^{\sharp},
$$

it follows that $-i\mu$ is an iR-valued character. Similarly, the smooth map

$$
F \colon G^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad F(g) := \frac{\langle \psi, \rho(g)\psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle}
$$

is a U(1)-valued character when restricted to $G_{[\psi]}^{\sharp}$, as $\rho(g)\psi = F(g)\psi$ on that subgroup. In fact, $F: G^{\sharp} \to \mathbb{C}$ takes values in the unit ball $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and $G_{[\psi]}^{\sharp}$ is the preimage of the unit circle $\partial \Delta$. The derivative of F at the unit $\mathbf{1} \in G$ is $D_1 F = -i\mu_{[\psi]}$, so for any Lie subgroup $H \subseteq G_{[\psi]}^{\sharp}$, the restriction of F to H is a U(1)-valued smooth character that integrates $-i\mu_{[\psi]}|_{\text{Lie}(H)}$. \Box

Note that the image of μ is contained in the hyperplane $(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'_{-1} \subset (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'$ of onts that evaluate to -1 on $1 \in \mathbb{R} - \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \to \mathfrak{g})$. Now suppose that the elements that evaluate to -1 on $1 \in \mathbb{R} = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \to \mathfrak{g})$. Now suppose that the
image of D_{tot} is done in $T = (\sigma^{\sharp})' = (\sigma^{\sharp})' \sim \sigma'$. Since $\text{Im}(D_{\text{tot}}) \subset (\sigma^{\sharp}/\sigma^{\sharp})'$. image of $D_{[\psi]}\mu$ is dense in $T_{\mu_{[\psi]}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'_{-1} = (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp})'_{0} \simeq \mathfrak{g}'.$ Since $\text{Im}(D_{[\psi]}\mu) \subseteq (\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp}/\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp}_{\psi})'$, we then have $\mathfrak{g}_{\psi}^{\sharp} = \{0\}$, so that $\mathfrak{g}_{[\psi]}^{\sharp} = \mathbb{R}$. For points $[\psi] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ where the image of $D_{[\psi]} \mu$ is dense, the identity component of any Lie subgroup $H \subseteq G_{[\psi]}$ is therefore U(1), and since the character on U(1) $\subseteq G_{[\psi]}^{\sharp}$ is always the identity, Proposition 18 yields no extra information.

However, Proposition 18 does yield nontrivial integrality requirements if $G_{[\psi]}^{\sharp}$ is strictly bigger than $U(1)$, which one expects to be the case for extremal points of the momentum set $\text{Im}\mu$. Compare this to Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 8.1 in [2], where it is shown that for compact Lie groups G , the vertices of the momentum polygon are integral lattice points in the dual \mathfrak{h}' of the Cartan subalgebra.

5. Diffeological Smoothness

As noted in the introduction, the action $G^{\sharp} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}) \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$ is separately smooth, but not necessarily smooth. However, if we settle for smoothness in the sense of diffeological spaces, then one can hope for this action to be smooth for the (large) class of *regular* Lie groups modelled on *barrelled* spaces, which includes regular Fréchet and LF Lie groups. Here we prove the infinitesimal version of

. The contract of the contract of \Box

this, namely that the infinitesimal action $\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is a smooth map of diffeological spaces diffeological spaces.

5.1. Infinitesimal action

Let $\bar{\rho}$ be a smooth projective unitary representation of a locally convex Lie group ^G modelled on a *barrelled* Lie algebra g.

Lemma 19. *If* $\xi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\psi: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathcal{H}^\infty$ *are continuous, then the map* $d\rho(\xi)\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathcal{H}^\infty$ *defined by* $(s,t) \mapsto d\rho(\xi_t)\psi_s$ *is continuous.*

Proof. Since the Lie algebra action $\mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is sequentially continuous by [3] Lemma 3.14] the same holds for its concatenation with the continuous by [3, Lemma 3.14], the same holds for its concatenation with the continuous map (ξ, ψ) : $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$. Since $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is first countable, this implies continuity continuity. \Box

Lemma 20. *If* $\xi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathfrak{g}^\sharp$ and $\psi: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathcal{H}^\infty$ are C^1 , then so is $d\rho(\xi)\psi$, and
 $D_{\xi} = \frac{d\rho(\xi)\psi}{dr}$, $d\rho(\xi) = \frac{d\rho(\xi)}{dr}$, $d\rho(\xi) = \frac{d\rho(\xi)}{dr}$, $d\rho(\xi) = \frac{d\rho(\xi)}{dr}$ $D_{(v_1,v_2)}(\mathrm{d}\rho(\xi)\psi)_{s,t} = \mathrm{d}\rho(\partial_{v_1}\xi_s)\psi_t + \mathrm{d}\rho(\xi_s)\partial_{v_2}\psi_t.$

Proof. For the directional derivative along $(v_1, v_2) \in T_{s,t}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$, note that

$$
D_{v_1,v_2}(\mathrm{d}\rho(\xi)\psi)_{s,t} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathrm{d}\rho(\Delta \xi_s(\varepsilon))\psi_{t+\varepsilon v_2} + \mathrm{d}\rho(\xi_s)\Delta \psi_t(\varepsilon),
$$

with difference quotients $\Delta \xi$ and $\Delta \psi$ defined by $\Delta \xi_s(\varepsilon) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\xi_{s+\varepsilon v_1} - \xi_s)$ and $\Delta \psi_t(\varepsilon) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\psi_{t+\varepsilon v_2} - \psi_t)$ for $\varepsilon \neq 0$, and $\Delta \xi_s(0) := \partial_{v_1} \xi_s$ and $\Delta \psi_t(0) := \partial_{v_2} \psi_t$ for $\varepsilon = 0$. Since $\Delta \xi$ and $\Delta \psi$ are continuous in ε , the formula for $D_{v_1,v_2}(\text{d}\rho(\xi)\psi)_{s,t}$ follows by Lemma 19. Another application of this lemma to $(D\xi, \psi)$ and $(\xi, D\psi)$ shows that the derivative is continuous.

Proposition 21. *If* $\xi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathfrak{g}^\sharp$ *and* $\psi: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathcal{H}^\infty$ *are* C^k *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *or* $k = \infty$ *, then* so is do(ξ)^{*y*} *then so is* $d\rho(\xi)\psi$ *.*

Proof. This follows by induction on k, using Lemmas 19 and 20. \Box

If we equip all locally convex manifolds M with the diffeology of smooth maps from open subsets of Euclidean space into M , then the following is simply a reformulation of Proposition 21.

Proposition 22. *If* ^G *is modelled on a barrelled Lie algebra* g*, then the infinitesimal* $action \mathfrak{g}^{\sharp} \times \mathcal{H}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ *is a smooth map of diffeological spaces.*

References

- [1] Arnal, D., and J. Ludwig, La convexité de l'application moment d'un groupe de Lie, J. Funct. Anal. **105:2** (1992), 256–300
- [2] Guillemin, V., and S. Sternberg, Convexity properties of the moment mapping, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 491–513
- [3] Janssens, B. and K.-H. Neeb, Projective unitary representations of infinite-dimensional Lie groups, arXiv:1501.00939, 2015
- [4] Michor, P.W., "Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings", Shiva Publishing Ltd., Kent, 1980
- [5] $_____\$ n. The moment mapping for a unitary representation, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **8:3** (1990), 299–313
- [6] Mohrdieck, S. and R. Wendt, Integral conjugacy classes of compact Lie groups, Manuscripta Math. **113:4** (2004), 531–547
- [7] Neeb, K.-H., On the convexity of the moment mapping for a unitary highest weight representation, J. Funct. Anal. **127:2** (1995), 301–325
- [8] $\qquad \qquad$ "Holomorphy and Convexity in Lie Theory," Expositions in Mathematics **28**, de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin, 1999
- [9] , Representations of infinite-dimensional groups, pp. 131–178; in "Infinitedimensional Kähler Manifolds," Eds. A. Huckleberry, T. Wurzbacher, DMV-Seminar 31, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2001
- [10] , Semibounded representations and invariant cones in infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, Confluentes Math. **2:1** (2010), 37–134
- [11] , On differentiable vectors for representations of infinite-dimensional Lie groups, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), 2814–2855
- [12] Neeb, K.-H., and C. Vizman, Flux homomorphisms and principal bundles over $infinite-dimensional manifolds$. Monatshefte für Math. **139** (2003), 309–333
- [13] Wildberger, N., The moment map of a Lie group representation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (1992), 257–268

Bas Janssens Mathematisch Instituut Universiteit Utrecht Budapestlaan 6 NL-3584 CD Utrecht, the Netherlands e-mail: B.Janssens@uu.nl

Karl-Hermann Neeb Department Mathematik FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg Cauerstrasse 11 D-91058 Erlangen, Germany e-mail: neeb@math.fau.de