# **The New Systematics of Scleractinia: Integrating Molecular and Morphological Evidence**

 **4**

## Marcelo V. Kitahara, Hironobu Fukami, Francesca Benzoni, and Danwei Huang

#### **Abstract**

 The taxonomy of scleractinian corals has traditionally been established based on morphology at the "macro" scale since the time of Carl Linnaeus. Taxa described using macromorphology are useful for classifying the myriad of growth forms, yet new molecular and small-scale morphological data have challenged the natural historicity of many familiar groups, motivating multiple revisions at every taxonomic level. In this synthesis of scleractinian phylogenetics and systematics, we present the most current state of affairs in the field covering both zooxanthellate and azooxanthellate taxa, focusing on the progress of our phylogenetic understanding of this ecologically-significant clade, which today is supported by rich sets of molecular and morphological data. It is worth noting that when DNA sequence data was first used to investigate coral evolution in the 1990s, there was no concerted effort to use phylogenetic information to delineate problematic taxa. In the last decade, however, the incompatibility of coral taxonomy with their evolutionary history has become much clearer, as molecular analyses for corals have been improved upon technically and expanded to all major scleractinian clades, shallow and deep. We describe these methodological developments and summarise new taxonomic revisions based on robust inferences of the coral tree of life. Despite these efforts, there are still unresolved sections of the scleractinian phylogeny, resulting in uncertain taxonomy for several taxa. We highlight these and propose a way forward for the taxonomy of corals.

#### **Keywords**

 Azooxanthellate • Cnidaria • Coral • Integrative taxonomy • Phylogenetics • Reef • Species boundaries • Zooxanthellae

M.V. Kitahara

Departamento de Ciências do Mar, Universidade Federal de São Paulo , Campus Baixada Santista, Av. Alm. Saldanha da Gama, 89, Ponta da Praia, 11030-400 Santos, SP, Brazil

Centro de Biologia Marinha, Universidade de São Paulo, Rod. Manoel Hyppólito do Rego km 131,5 , Praia do Cabelo Gordo , São Sebastião/SP 11600-000 , Brazil e-mail: [mvkitahara@unifesp.br](mailto:mvkitahara@unifesp.br)

#### H. Fukami

 Department of Marine Biology and Environmental Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Miyazaki, 1-1 Gakuen-kibanadai Nishi, Miyazaki 889-2192, Japan e-mail: [hirofukami@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp](mailto:hirofukami@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp)

#### F. Benzoni

 Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences , University of Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 2, 20126 Milan, Italy

UMR ENTROPIE (IRD, Université de La Réunion, CNRS), Laboratoire d'excellence-CORAIL, Centre IRD de Nouméa, New Caledonia e-mail: [francesca.benzoni@unimib.it](mailto:francesca.benzoni@unimib.it)

D. Huang  $(\boxtimes)$ 

 Department of Biological Sciences and Tropical Marine Science Institute, National University of Singapore, 14 Science Drive 4, Singapore 117543, Singapore e-mail: [huangdanwei@nus.edu.sg](mailto:huangdanwei@nus.edu.sg)

<sup>©</sup> Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016 41 S. Goffredo, Z. Dubinsky (eds.), *The Cnidaria, Past, Present and Future*, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-31305-4\_4

## **4.1 Introduction**

 Stony corals belonging to the order Scleractinia (Anthozoa: Hexacorallia) are a clade of cnidarians that build a calcium carbonate skeleton in the form of aragonite, and are sister group to the non-stony corallimorpharians (Daly et al. [2003](#page-12-0); Fukami et al. [2008](#page-13-0); Kitahara et al. [2014](#page-15-0); Lin et al. 2014). At present, Scleractinia contains 31 families, about 240 genera, and over 1,500 species (Cairns [1999](#page-12-0), 2009; Appeltans et al. 2012; Huang and Roy 2015), including both zooxanthellate—hosting the symbiotic dinoflagallate *Symbiodinium* and azooxanthellate corals. Zooxanthellate species typically inhabit shallow waters surrounding warm-subtropical and tropical seas and comprise the main coral reef framework builders with about 800 valid species. Azooxanthellate species are widely distributed in the world's oceans from shallow to deep waters and consist of about 700 valid species. Neither zooxanthellate and azooxanthellate nor shallow and deep species are distinguished phylogenetically and only partially separated at the family level taxonomically. Due to the ecological and economic importance of tropical coral reefs e.g., high species diversity and mass fisheries production zooxanthellate taxa have been the subject of a greater volume of research relative to azooxanthellate species. However, both groups have comparable richness, having diversified successfully over hundreds of millions of years. Therefore in this chapter on coral systematics, they deserve equal attention, limited only by the amount of published data available.

 The coral skeleton has been and continues to be the main source of morphological characters used in scleractinian classification. Most coral species are colonial, but solitary corals have evolved in at least six lineages independently (Barbeitos et al. 2010). Among colonial species, each corallite (skeletal unit formed by an individual polyp) within a colony or species may have varying characteristics depending on growth rate, position in the colony and other environmentally-influenced traits. Consequently, morphological boundaries between species are generally obscure, and the task of identifying corals falling within and outside the limited pool of systematists has remained challenging at every taxonomic level since Linnaeus (1758) established *Madrepora* .

 Fortunately, molecular phylogenetic analyses in the last two decades have undoubtedly advanced coral taxonomy by making large amounts of data available and inspiring the next generation of systematists. Understandably, the numerous name changes across the entire coral phylogeny that have ensued can cause considerable confusion for coral researchers outside the limited circle of systematists. To address this apparent disarray, we track the history of molecular data used for phylogenetic reconstruction, summarise the most recent phylogenetic understanding of corals, and

M.V. Kitahara et al.

describe recent taxonomic research at family, genus and species levels. Finally we conclude by highlighting taxonomic issues that remain unresolved in the hope that research efforts will be refocused to stabilise all of the problematic taxa.

## **4.2 The Rise of Molecular Phylogenetic Methods**

 Genetic data have been collected from scleractinian corals since the early 1980s, but these were first based on allozyme allelic frequencies obtained using gel electrophoresis (Ridgway 2005). Stoddart (1983, 1984) examined the genetic diversity of *Pocillopora damicornis* using up to ten enzymes, and found that populations from Western Australia and Hawaii were maintained predominantly via asexual reproduction. Willis and Ayre (1985) analysed eight enzyme loci from Great Barrier Reef *Pavona cactus* to show that genetically similar colonies tended to show the same growth form, and overall the species comprised highly clonal populations (Ayre and Willis [1988](#page-11-0)). Allozyme electrophoresis was also employed to clarify genetic boundaries of closely-related morphotypes, such as between *Montipora* species (Heyward and Stoddart 1985), *M. digitata* populations (Stobart and Benzie [1994](#page-17-0)), within the *Orbicella* (previously "Montastraea") annularis species complex (Knowlton et al. [1992](#page-14-0) ; van Veghel and Bak [1993 \)](#page-17-0), and among *Platygyra* morphospecies (Miller and Benzie 1997).

 Another early genotyping method was restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), which hybridised digested DNA fragments to probes for determining their lengths, or to genomic DNA of known species to establish identity. McMillan and Miller (1988) used RFLP to distinguish the morphologically confusing corals, *Acropora formosa*  $(= A.$  *muricata* $)$  and  $A.$  "*nobilis*"  $(= A.$  *intermedia*; see Veron and Wallace 1984).

The first set of scleractinian DNA sequence data to be published comprised highly repetitive sequences of 118 bp each, otherwise known as minisatellites, cloned from *Acropora muricata* and *A. latistella* (McMillan and Miller [1989](#page-15-0)). Five more species were sequenced for these repeats in a follow-up study, in which a maximum parsimony analysis did not support most of the morphological subgroups (McMillan et al. [1991](#page-15-0)).

 The use of polymerase chain reaction (PCR), an essential technique of today, began for corals with the amplification of nuclear 28S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) that was then sequenced for reconstructing the phylogeny of Anthozoa (Chen et al. [1995](#page-12-0)). This analysis included nine species of scleractinian corals, and two families tested with more than one species each were recovered as clades. In a subsequent analysis that focused on Scleractinia, Veron et al. [\( 1996](#page-18-0) ) added six species with improved representation from Fungiidae and Poritidae, which were found to be monophyletic.

 At about the same time, the mitochondrial 16S rDNA was sequenced from 34 species to reconstruct a larger scleractin-ian phylogeny (Romano and Palumbi 1996, [1997](#page-16-0)). This analysis showed that all five genera and nine of ten families for which more than one taxon were tested formed monophyletic groups. However, of the seven suborders examined, only three—Meandriina, Poritiina and Dendrophylliina were recovered unambiguously as clades, although only Dendrophylliina remains the only monophyletic suborder to emerge from recent studies (e.g., Fukami et al. [2008](#page-13-0); Arrigoni et al. [2014a](#page-11-0)).

 Other PCR-based methods were adopted earlier on but these contributed little to phylogenetic reconstruction and have largely been discontinued owing to the fall in DNA sequencing costs in recent years. For instance, random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) detected by four 10-mer primers showed that *Favia fragum* and *Porites astreoides* underwent high levels of self- fertilisation (Brazeau et al. [1998](#page-12-0)). Five RAPD primers were also used to assess differentiation among populations of *Acropora surculosa* (= *A. hyacinthus* ) in Guam (Romano and Richmond [2000](#page-16-0) ). The four populations studied were not significantly distinct from one another, but the eastern and western coasts of Guam were found to be genetically distinct when the respective populations were pooled. Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) is another PCR-based tool related to the RFLP technique that amplifies the restriction fragments which are subsequently separated by gel electrophoresis. This method aided in the discrimination of *Orbicella faveolata* from the other two species of the *O. annularis* complex (Lopez and Knowlton 1997; Lopez et al. 1999). Interestingly, AFLP was able to detect a much greater proportion of distinct *Pavona cactus* genotypes at Eclipse Island compared to allozyme genotypes (Smith et al. 1997), which indicated highly clonal populations instead (Ayre and Willis [1988](#page-11-0)).

Microsatellites, short tandem sequence repeats of between two and five bp, are typically used in population genetic studies and in tests of species boundaries among closely- related species. The first coral microsatellite to be utilised was detected in *Orbicella franksi* and used to distinguish among members of the *O. annularis* complex (Lopez et al. [1999](#page-15-0)). Many taxon-specific sets of microsatellite markers were pub-lished at the turn of the century (Maier et al. [2001](#page-15-0); Le Goff and Rogers [2002](#page-14-0); Magalon et al. [2004](#page-15-0); Miller and Howard 2004; Severance et al. [2004a](#page-16-0); Shearer and Coffroth [2004](#page-16-0)), and continue to be developed in recent years (Davies et al. 2013; Torda et al. 2013c; Boulay et al. 2014; Serrano et al. 2014; Zilberberg et al. 2014; Addamo et al. 2015; Tay et al. 2015). Unfortunately, the extreme polymorphism exhibited by these markers even among sibling species diminishes

their utility for inferring phylogenies, but they continue to be the main workhorse for population genetic studies.

The first multi-species evolutionary trees of Scleractinia were reconstructed on the basis of the mitochondrial 16S rDNA (Romano and Palumbi 1996) and nuclear 28S rDNA (Veron et al. [1996](#page-18-0)). Shortly after, the nuclear internal transcribed spacers 1 and 2 (ITS), which include the 5.8S rDNA between them (White et al. 1990), were amplified and sequenced from the *Orbicella annularis* complex (Lopez and Knowlton 1997), as well as species from *Acropora* (Odorico and Miller [1997](#page-14-0)) and *Porites* (Hunter et al. 1997). Lopez and Knowlton (1997) also obtained sequence data from the β-tubulin coding and intron regions, but found that ITS and these loci showed no diagnosable variability among the three *Orbicella* species. The *Acropora* species exhibited varying degrees of molecular separation, with only *A. longicyathus* clearly distinguished from the other four studied species (Odorico and Miller 1997). However, ITS from five species of *Porites* analysed under maximum parsimony appeared to resolve evolutionary relationships among them (Hunter et al. 1997).

These taxon-specific patterns of genetic resolution prompted researchers to expand on the repertoire of loci from both the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes for phylo-genetic purposes (Severance et al. [2004b](#page-16-0); Concepcion et al. [2006](#page-12-0), [2010](#page-12-0); Flot et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2009). These markers, along with the primers used to amplify them, are often clade specific. Among the nuclear loci that are still in use today, some of the earliest to be developed include the intron region of the mini-collagen gene (Wang et al. [1995](#page-18-0)), used almost exclusively to investigate the evolutionary history of *Acropora* (Hatta et al. [1999](#page-13-0); Vollmer and Palumbi [2002](#page-18-0); Fukami et al. [2003](#page-13-0); Palumbi et al. [2012](#page-16-0); Suzuki and Fukami [2012](#page-17-0)). The Pax-C 46/47 intron, introduced by van Oppen et al. (2000, [2001](#page-17-0)), continues to be used for *Acropora* phylogenetics (Richards et al. 2008, [2013](#page-16-0)) and taxonomi-cally broader reconstructions (Fig. [4.1](#page-3-0)). The divergence of Pax-C intron is low among sibling species (van Oppen et al. [2000](#page-17-0) ) but is much higher for more inclusive clades (van Oppen et al. [2001](#page-17-0)).

 The awareness that gene duplication (Lopez and Knowlton [1997](#page-15-0); Odorico and Miller 1997) and heterozygosity (van Oppen et al. 2000) are common in nuclear loci led many to clone their PCR products and sequence multiple clones in hopes of capturing intragenomic variability. These include amplifications of the Pax-C intron (van Oppen et al. 2001, [2004](#page-17-0); Márquez et al. [2002](#page-15-0); Richards et al. [2008](#page-16-0), [2013](#page-16-0)), β-tubulin (Fukami et al. [2004b](#page-13-0); Stefani et al. 2008a), ITS (Medina et al. 1999; van Oppen et al. 2000, 2002; Diekmann et al. [2001](#page-12-0); Rodriguez-Lanetty and Hoegh-Guldberg [2002](#page-16-0); Márquez et al. [2003](#page-15-0); Chen et al. [2004](#page-12-0); Vollmer and Palumbi [2004](#page-18-0); Forsman et al. 2005, 2006, [2009](#page-13-0), 2010, 2015; Wei

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

 **Fig. 4.1** Maximum likelihood genus-level phylogeny (576 species) of Scleractinia based on 12 DNA markers: mitochondrial 12S rDNA, 16S rDNA, ATP synthase subunit 6, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I, control region, cytochrome b and NADH dehydrogenase subunit 5; nuclear 18S rDNA, 28S rDNA, histone H3, internal transcribed spacers and Pax-C

46/47 intron. Data unavailable for Schizocyathidae, the only valid extant family not represented here. Branch supports not assessed in detail. *Colours* differentiate adjacent families and are not unique for any taxa, except for genera assigned *incertae sedis* that are shown in *black*

et al. [2006](#page-18-0); Stefani et al. 2011; Kitano et al. 2013, 2014), and 28S rDNA (Chen et al. [2000](#page-12-0); Cuif et al. 2003; Wolstenholme et al. 2003). For regions that have not diverged considerably between paralogues, such as the ITS, mixed PCR products can be split into two dominant sequences based on phase reconstruction of forward and reverse chromatograms of distinct lengths (Flot and Tillier [2006](#page-13-0); Flot et al. 2006). The software Champuru was developed (Flot 2007) and used for processing direct sequencing data from *Pocillopora* (Flot et al. 2008, 2010; Schmidt-Roach et al. 2013; Adjeroud et al. [2014](#page-11-0)) and *Stylophora* (Flot et al. [2011](#page-13-0)). Variable amplicons with no intra-individual length variation can also be resolved

statistically using SeqPHASE (Flot 2010). Furthermore, direct sequencing of ITS has been carried out following PCR with primers demonstrating high fidelity for a single copy (Takabayashi et al. 1998a, b, [2003](#page-14-0); Lam and Morton 2003; Benzoni et al. [2007](#page-11-0), [2010](#page-11-0), [2011](#page-11-0), 2012a, b, 2014; Mangubhai et al. [2007](#page-15-0); Stefani et al. 2008b; Knittweis et al. [2009](#page-14-0); Huang et al. 2011; Benzoni and Stefani [2012](#page-11-0)). Nevertheless, since the intra-individual variability of these nuclear markers is not fully understood (Chen et al. 2004; Vollmer and Palumbi  $2004$ ), caution should be exercised even when using these primer sets.

 Mitochondrial loci have also been popular markers in phylogenetic analyses. These are haploid, and thus unambiguous sequences can be obtained generally without cloning. While mitochondrial genes typically evolve faster than nuclear genes in metazoans , anthozoans show an opposite pattern (van Oppen et al. 1999; Shearer et al. 2002; Fukami and Knowlton [2005](#page-13-0); Tseng et al. 2005; Hellberg 2006; Huang et al. [2008 ;](#page-14-0) Chen et al. [2009 \)](#page-12-0). Therefore, these genes are more informative for reconstructing deep coral phylogenies. Other than the 16S rDNA that established widespread subordinal non-monophyly (Romano and Palumbi 1996, 1997; Le Goff-Vitry et al. [2004](#page-14-0)), 12S rDNA, cytochrome b and cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) were purposed for corals relatively early (Medina et al. [1999](#page-15-0); van Oppen et al. 1999; Chen and Yu [2000](#page-13-0); Fukami et al. 2000) and have been used for inferring large scleractinian trees effectively (Chen et al. 2002; Fukami et al. 2004b, 2008; Barbeitos et al. [2010](#page-11-0); Kitahara et al. [2010b](#page-14-0), 2013; Stolarski et al. [2011](#page-17-0); Arrigoni et al. [2012](#page-11-0), 2014c; Huang 2012; Huang and Roy 2013, [2015](#page-14-0); Marcelino et al. [2013](#page-15-0); Curnick et al.  $2015$ ; Fig. [4.1](#page-3-0)). The gene encoding ATP synthase subunit 6 is also commonly used, but primarily for Acroporidae (Fukami et al. 2000; Forsman et al. [2010](#page-13-0)).

 Different taxa contain various intergenic regions within their mitochondrial genomes, but these may not be orthologous across species or are not amenable for alignment across distant clades. The noncoding intergenic region identified by Fukami et al. (2004a), for instance, was too variable to be aligned across all of Merulinidae (Huang et al. 2011) and is not orthologous with the intergenic region (or the putative control region) in *Acropora* (van Oppen et al. [2001](#page-17-0); Wolstenholme [2004](#page-18-0); Richards et al. [2008](#page-16-0), [2013](#page-16-0)), *Montipora* (van Oppen et al. [2004](#page-17-0); Forsman et al. [2010](#page-13-0)), *Porites* (Forsman et al. [2009](#page-13-0)) or Agariciidae (Luck et al. [2013](#page-15-0); Pochon et al. 2015). These fast-evolving mitochondrial markers remain useful for phylogenetic studies among closely-related species.

 Whole mitochondrial genomes have also been extremely important sources of data for large coral phylogenies (Medina et al. [2006](#page-15-0); Emblem et al. 2011; Kayal et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2011, 2014). Nevertheless, we note that major clades appear to exhibit distinct patterns of mtDNA sequence evolution that could be responsible for various topological inconsistencies, such as the paraphyly of Scleractinia with respect to Corallimorpharia (Kitahara et al. 2014), i.e., the "naked coral" hypothesis (Medina et al. 2006).

 On the one hand, single-gene analyses were the rule among the earliest studies because of the high cost of DNA sequencing and the paucity of suitable markers, primers and publicly available data. On the other hand, there were studies drawing phylogenetic inference based on more than one loci, including Lopez and Knowlton's (1997) analyses of two nuclear genes and AFLP. Early researchers also acknowledged that nuclear and mitochondrial genes evolve at different rates and thus both should be examined, albeit as separate datasets (Medina et al. [1999](#page-15-0); Romano and Cairns [2000](#page-16-0); van Oppen et al. 2001). Sequence data were combined beginning with the seminal study by Fukami et al.  $(2004b)$ , which concatenated the cytochrome b and COI genes after passing the incongruence length difference test (Farris et al. [1995](#page-12-0)). The use of more than one marker for inferring species relationships has become the norm in more recent studies, aided by a variety of nucleotide substitution models (Posada and Crandall [2001](#page-16-0)) and the ability to use mixed models in a multilocus partitioned-by-gene analysis (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck [2003](#page-16-0); Stamatakis [2006](#page-16-0)).

 Authors remain split between concatenating markers to obtain hidden support (Huang et al. 2011; Addamo et al. [2012](#page-11-0); Arrigoni et al. 2012, [2014a](#page-11-0), b, c; Benzoni et al. 2012b) and making separate estimations of gene trees (Benzoni et al. [2011 ,](#page-11-0) [2012a](#page-11-0) , [2014](#page-11-0) ; Gittenberger et al. [2011 ;](#page-13-0) Bongaerts et al. [2013](#page-11-0); Kitano et al. 2013, 2014; Huang et al. [2014a](#page-14-0); Arrigoni et al. 2015). With more markers available for inferring phylogenies, combined analyses of multilocus data may be the way forward. Recent large-scale studies ( $>450$  species) have sought to concatenate data from seven or more loci (Huang [2012](#page-14-0); Huang and Roy 2013, [2015](#page-14-0); Curnick et al. 2015; Fig. [4.1 \)](#page-3-0). However, different genes cannot be assumed to share the same evolutionary history, and the phylogeny reconstructed for every gene may differ from the actual species history (Maddison and Knowles [2006](#page-15-0)). Thus, for species classifications, methods that use coalescent theory to jointly estimate gene trees and the species tree would be more appropriate (Liu and Pearl [2007](#page-15-0); Liu [2008](#page-15-0); Liu et al. 2008; Heled and Drummond [2010](#page-13-0)). A recent study of *Porites* corals based on the multilocus coalescence showed that the three branching forms found in the Caribbean are probably not distinct species (Prada et al. [2014](#page-16-0)).

 These species tree methods have become especially relevant with the development of high-throughput sequencing technologies because it is now possible to generate orthologous sequence data in great abundance (McCormack et al. [2013](#page-15-0) ). Such data can be obtained through the sequencing of expressed sequence tags (Philippe and Telford [2006](#page-16-0)), restriction site associated DNA (Rubin et al.  $2012$ ), and probebased target enrichment of nuclear ultraconserved elements

(Faircloth et al. 2012; Lemmon et al. 2012), among several others. The assembly of the complete *Acropora digitifera* genome (Shinzato et al. 2011) has provided a much-needed reference to identify and utilise orthologous regions for phylogenetic analyses. Indeed, we expect these new methods to be applied on scleractinians extensively in the next decade, sustaining the "molecular revolution" (Stolarski and Roniewicz [2001](#page-17-0): 1101) of coral systematics.

## **4.3 The Phylogeny of Scleractinia: Integrating Molecular and Morphological Evidence**

 The origin of modern Scleractinia is not well understood. Fossils appeared abruptly in the Middle Triassic (ca. 240 Ma ago) already represented by a wide variety of solitary and colonial forms (Roniewicz and Morycowa 1993; Veron [1995](#page-17-0); Stanley Jr 2003). From colony integration, e.g., phaceloid, meandroid and thamnasteroid (Wells 1956; Stanley Jr [2003](#page-16-0)), to the structural organisation within individual corallites, e.g., septal ornamentation and axial structures (Roniewicz 1989; Roniewicz and Stanley Jr 1998; Roniewicz and Stolarski [1999](#page-16-0), [2001](#page-16-0)), the range of morphological diversity observed among Triassic fossils is comparable to that in modern scleractinians. Moreover, the recent proposal that *Kilbuchophyllia* (Ordovician, ca. 450 Ma ago; Scrutton and Clarkson [1991](#page-16-0); Scrutton 1993), *Numidiaphyllum* and *Houchangocyathus* (Permian, ca. 265–255 Ma ago; Ezaki [1997](#page-12-0), 2000) were true scleractinian corals, in addition to molecular clock estimates (Stolarski et al. [2011](#page-17-0) ), suggest an extensive Palaeozoic evolutionary history for Scleractinia.

 The foundational studies of evolutionary relationships in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries relied exclusively on macromorphological skeletal characteristics of extant and extinct scleractinians . As they are sessile or have restricted capacity for movement (e.g., free-living and/or solitary), corals are subjected to the environmental conditions at their place of settlement. Consequently, they exhibit considerable morphological plasticity, driven in part by vari-ous ecological factors (Foster [1979a](#page-13-0), b, 1980; Best et al. [1984](#page-11-0); Hoeksema [1991](#page-13-0); Budd [1993](#page-12-0); Todd [2008](#page-17-0)). According to Lowenstein (1985), taxonomic research based exclusively on morphology is plagued by two major limitations. The first arises from convergence, in which unrelated taxa resemble one another as a result of having adapted to living in similar environments, so morphological similarities are not indicative of close evolutionary relationships. The second limitation concerns traits that may evolve at distinct rates in different lineages. Not surprisingly, the small number of "reliable" macromorphological characters, as indicated by Cairns (2001), and the uncertain impact of environmental variables on skeletal morphology have severely hampered

attempts to infer relationships among scleractinian suborders and families (Romano and Cairns 2000; Stolarski and Roniewicz 2001; Le Goff-Vitry et al. [2004](#page-14-0); Fukami et al. [2008](#page-13-0)). As such, evolutionary hypotheses based on morphological characters have resulted in several different taxo-nomic schemes (e.g., Vaughan and Wells [1943](#page-17-0); Alloiteau [1952](#page-11-0); Wells 1956; Chevalier and Beauvais 1987; Veron [1995](#page-17-0); for a review of the first four schemes, see Stolarski and Roniewicz [2001](#page-17-0)). Despite the long history of the subject (e.g., Linnaeus [1758](#page-15-0) ; Pallas [1766](#page-15-0) ; Forskål [1775 ;](#page-13-0) Esper [1795](#page-12-0) ; Lamarck [1801](#page-14-0)), taxonomic and evolutionary relationships within this important habitat-forming anthozoan order remain largely uncertain to date.

In their first comprehensive and consistent scheme that was heavily influenced by the skeletal macromorphological research of Milne Edwards and Haime (e.g., [1848a](#page-15-0), [b](#page-15-0), [c](#page-15-0), d, e, [1850](#page-15-0), 1851a, [b](#page-15-0), [1857](#page-15-0)), Vaughan and Wells (1943) hierarchically ordered several characters and devised keys to genera centered around an evolutionary hypothesis of Scleractinia. Although more recent analyses have included additional and more detailed subcorallite morphology, the revised version of this scheme published in the *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology* (Wells [1956](#page-18-0)) is still widely applied (Wood [1983](#page-18-0): Veron 1986, [2000](#page-17-0)). The essence of Wells' (1956) scheme is that five scleractinian suborders can be distinguished based on characteristics of septal trabeculae and septal structure, with 33 families differentiated by wall type, occurrence of endotheca and type of budding.

 The incorporation of subcorallite data into scleractinian classification was pioneered by Alloiteau (1952, 1957), who recognised a total of 65 families (30 with extant representatives) belonging to eight suborders. These groupings were later revised with greater emphasis on microstructural characters by Chevalier and Beauvais (1987), who proposed 11 suborders embracing 55 families. However, according to Stolarski and Roniewicz (2001: 1095), the microstructural criteria applied "to distinguish suborders containing only extinct taxa (i.e., Pachythecaliina, Distichophylliina, Archaeofungiina) are unclear or have not been supported by further research".

The most recent Scleractinia-wide classification divided the order into 13 suborders (7 with extant representatives) and 61 families  $(24 \text{ extant})$  (Veron 1995). However, as explicitly stated by the author, it had many points of uncertainty at subordinal and family levels. According to Budd et al.  $(2010)$ , this evolutionary scheme had even lower resolution among families and suborders than the classification of Wells (1956), and by that time cladistic analyses had yet to contribute significantly to our understanding of scleractinian evolution. Indeed, the use of morphological characters to establish phylogenetic relationships within coral families have proved challenging and, as a consequence, applied to only a small number of extant families— Fungiidae (Cairns

[1984](#page-12-0); Hoeksema [1989](#page-13-0), 1991, 1993), Mussidae and Siderastreidae (Pandolfi [1992](#page-16-0)), Turbinoliidae (Cairns [1997](#page-12-0)), Faviidae (Johnson [1998](#page-14-0)), Acroporidae (Wallace [1999](#page-18-0)), Dendrophylliidae (Cairns 2001), Atlantic Faviidae and Mussidae (Budd and Smith [2005](#page-12-0)), and Pacific Faviidae (Huang et al. [2009](#page-14-0)).

 The recent recognition that the scleractinian skeleton is biologically controlled and not easily perturbed by environmental factors at the microstructural level (Janiszewska et al. 2011, 2013) has led to more detailed subcorallite observa-tions (Cuif et al. 2003; Budd et al. [2012](#page-14-0); Kitahara et al. 2012, [2013](#page-14-0); Arrigoni et al. 2014a; Huang et al. 2014b; Janiszewska et al. [2015 \)](#page-14-0). Indeed, greater attention has been given to previously overlooked micromorphological and microstructural characters. Specifically, micromorphology considers the shapes of teeth along the wall, septa, columella, and septal face granulations, while microstructure is concerned with the cross-sectional wall structure, arrangements of rapid accretion centres and thickening deposits within the wall, septa, and columella (Cuif and Perrin [1999](#page-12-0); Budd and Stolarski [2009](#page-12-0) , [2011](#page-12-0) ). Together with improvements in our understanding of skeletal ontogeny, new studies of subcorallite morphology are shedding light on evolutionary relationships within the order. Indeed, the finding that intra-fibrous organic matrices containing complex macromolecular assemblages actually control nucleation, spatial delineation and organisation of basic microstructural skeletal units (Lowenstam and Weiner 1989) has provided support for several molecular clades (e.g., Cuif et al. [2003](#page-12-0); Benzoni et al. 2007; Budd and Stolarski [2009](#page-12-0), [2011](#page-12-0); Janiszewska et al. 2011, 2015; Kitahara et al. 2012, 2013).

 DNA sequences provide large numbers of phylogenetically informative characters that are independent of the high morphological variability of the coral skeleton. Various degrees of incongruence between morphological and molecular phylogenies are seen at all taxonomic levels, but the most striking is found at the subordinal level. While five suborders are recognised in the most widely-accepted morpho-logical scheme (Wells [1956](#page-18-0)), only three main clades at the deepest nodes—"basal", "complex" and "robust"—have been recovered based on molecular analyses (Romano and Palumbi 1996; Kitahara et al. 2010b; Stolarski et al. [2011](#page-17-0); Huang [2012](#page-14-0)). Nearly every genetic locus tested to date supports these latter groupings. The 28S rDNA (Chen et al. [1995](#page-12-0); Cuif et al. [2003](#page-12-0)), 16S rDNA (Romano and Palumbi [1996](#page-16-0), 1997; Le Goff-Vitry et al. [2004](#page-14-0); Kitahara et al. [2010a](#page-14-0)), 12S rDNA (Chen et al. 2002), combined 16S rDNA and 28S rDNA (Romano and Cairns [2000](#page-16-0)), combined cytochrome b and COI, as well as  $\beta$ -tubulin (Fukami et al. 2008) all support the split between the "complex" and "robust" clades. The sister relationship between the "basal" clade and the rest of Scleractinia has been recovered by 12S rDNA, COI, 28S rDNA (Kitahara et al. [2010b](#page-14-0); Stolarski et al. 2011), and most other mitochondrial loci (Huang [2012](#page-14-0); Huang and Roy 2013, [2015](#page-14-0); Kitahara et al. [2014](#page-15-0); Lin et al. 2014). To date, no morphological characters associated with the hard skeleton have been found to correlate directly with the molecular splits. Interestingly, an examination of four "complex" and seven "robust" corals revealed that the two clades differ in embryonic developmental morphology ("prawn chip" in "complex" corals), with the notable exception of the "complex" *Pavona decussata*, which is more similar to "robust" clade representatives in this respect (Okubo et al. [2013](#page-15-0)). Expectedly, without any trace of soft tissue preserved, it would be even more challenging to position the extinct suborders on the coral phylogeny.

 At the family level, the picture is not very different. Most families composed exclusively of zooxanthellate species have been shown by molecular data to be polyphyletic (Fukami et al. [2004b](#page-13-0), 2008; Arrigoni et al. [2012](#page-11-0)). Among these, the most poorly understood families were Faviidae, Merulinidae, Pectiniidae and Trachyphylliidae (sensu Veron [2000](#page-17-0)). The Indo-Pacific representatives of these taxa had been called the "Bigmessidae" for their extremely chaotic and unnatural classification (Budd 2009; Huang et al. [2011](#page-14-0)). In contrast, the molecular evolutionary hypothesis posits that most families composed exclusively or predominantly of azooxanthellate corals are monophyletic. Therefore, apart from Caryophylliidae and Oculinidae, molecular groupings of azooxanthellate taxa are broadly consistent with classical taxonomy (Kitahara et al. [2010b](#page-14-0); Stolarski et al. 2011).

 According to our present understanding, the order Scleractinia comprises at least 30 clades that correspond to family-level groups. Among them, Gardineriidae and Micrabaciidae belong to the "basal" clade; Acroporidae, Agariciidae, Astrocoeniidae, Dendrophylliidae, Euphylliidae, Flabellidae, Fungiacyathidae, Guyniidae, Poritidae, Siderastreidae and Turbinoliidae from the "complex" clade; and Anthemiphylliidae, Caryophylliidae, Coscinaraeidae, Deltocyathiidae, Diploastraeidae, Fungiidae, Lobophylliidae, Meandrinidae, Merulinidae, Montastraeidae, Mussidae, Oculinidae, Plesiastreidae, Pocilloporidae and Psammocoridae represent the "robust" clade (Fig. [4.1](#page-3-0) ). Genetic sampling for three families is limited or nonexistent. Rhizangiidae is represented only by the mitochondrial genome of an *Astrangia* species (Medina et al. [2006](#page-15-0)), which is closely related to *Oculina* (Huang [2012](#page-14-0); Huang and Roy 2013, 2015). Stenocyathidae consists of three monotypic genera, of which only *Stenocyathus* has been sequenced and found nested within Caryophylliidae (Cuif et al.  $2003$ ; Kitahara et al.  $2010b$ ; Stolarski et al.  $2011$ ). Schizocyathidae contains three monotypic genera that have never been sampled for genetic data . Among the "robust" corals , *Madrepora* and *Heterocyathus* + *Oulastrea* appear to be two phylogenetically distinct lineages that cannot be placed in any of the above families.

## **4.4 New Taxonomic Revisions of Families and Genera**

 The abundance of taxonomic revisionary studies is increasing in recent years, but the resolution of all scleractinian families and genera is far from complete. A large amount of data and comprehensive taxonomic coverage are necessary to justify formal name changes following the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, which have taken considerable time and effort by numerous coral taxonomists. Consequently, the first revision to jointly consider DNA sequence data and traditional forms of evidence such as morphology and reproduction in a phylogenetic context only emerged more than a decade after the first scleractinian molecular phylogenies by Romano and Palumbi (1996) and Veron et al. (1996).

The pioneering study by Wallace et al.  $(2007)$  used one mitochondrial (cytochome b) and one nuclear (histone 2a and 2b) gene to show that subgenus *Isopora*, previously placed within *Acropora*, was sufficiently distinct to be elevated to genus within family Acroporidae . *Isopora* tends to form more than one axial corallite per branch, while *Acropora* contains only a single axial corallite (Wallace et al. [2012](#page-18-0)). Reproductively, *Isopora* broods planula larvae and its oocytes are attached via a stalk to the mesenteries, in contrast to *Acropora* spp. which are broadcast spawners and have unstalked gonads.

 Acroporidae expanded further when, following the comprehensive reconstruction of Fukami et al. (2008), Dai and Horng (2009a) transferred *Alveopora* from Poritidae to Acroporidae (see also Licuanan [2009](#page-14-0)). Like its new confamilials, *Alveopora* possesses synapticulothecal walls (Wallace  $2012$ ). Its exact phylogenetic placement is unstable to date, although evidence has pointed to a close relationship with *Astreopora* (Fukami et al. 2008; Kitahara et al. [2010b](#page-14-0), [2014](#page-14-0); Huang and Roy 2015; Kitano et al. 2014; Fig. 4.1).

 Another group that underwent taxonomic changes relatively early was Siderastreidae. Fukami et al. (2008) first showed that the family was polyphyletic, with *Siderastrea* placed in the "complex" clade while the rest of the family was deep within the "robust" clade. Furthermore, Benzoni et al. [\( 2007](#page-11-0) , [2010](#page-11-0) ) found strong support to distinguish *Psammocora* from other "robust" siderastreids and resurrected Psammocoridae to accommodate the genus. The most recent analyses indicated that *Coscinaraea* , *Craterastrea* , *Horastrea* and *Anomastraea* constituted a monophyletic group that is sister to Psammocoridae, so the family Coscinaraeidae was proposed to contain these genera (Benzoni et al. [2012b](#page-11-0); see also Huang [2012](#page-14-0); Huang and Roy 2013, 2015).

 These revisions implicated the closely-related Fungiidae as two former polystomatous and attached siderastreids,

*Coscinaraea wellsi* and *Psammocora explanulata* , were genetically nested within the predominantly monostomatous and free-living Fungiidae and possessed the fungiid synapomorphy of compound synapticulae or fulturae, continuous buttress-like structures connecting the septa (Benzoni et al. [2007](#page-11-0)). The two rogue species were eventually transferred into *Cycloseris* (Benzoni et al. [2012a](#page-11-0)). Siderastreidae has thus been split into Siderastreidae, Psammocoridae and Coscinaraeidae, with two species transferred into Fungiidae. The latter also underwent a major reclassification based primarily on COI and ITS data, which supported the elevation of several subgenera previously in *Fungia* to genus, including *Cycloseris* , *Danafungia* , *Lobactis* and *Pleuractis* (Gittenberger et al.  $2011$ ). Several movements between genera were also proposed, such as the transfer of members of *Fungia (Verrillofungia)* into *Lithophyllon* , *Lithophyllon mokai* into *Cycloseris* , *Fungia (Danafungia) fralinae* into *Heliofungia* , and *Fungia (Wellsofungia) granulosa* into *Pleuractis* . Transformations of life history traits onto the molecular phylogeny further showed that the ability to be free living was lost four times and the evolution of multiple mouths occurred ten times, all independently throughout the evolutionary history of Fungiidae (Gittenberger et al. 2011).

The extreme polyphyly of the "robust" families Faviidae, Merulinidae, Mussidae and Pectiniidae revealed by Fukami et al.  $(2004b, 2008)$  $(2004b, 2008)$  $(2004b, 2008)$ , coupled with the large number of species and genera in these taxa, posed severe challenges for taxonomic definitions of these corals. There was widespread acknowledgement that reclassification was necessary (Fukami 2008; Budd [2009](#page-12-0); Budd et al. [2010](#page-12-0)), but the convergence of most macromorphological characters conventionally used to define genera and families hindered revi-sionary work. Many molecular (Huang et al. [2009](#page-14-0), [2011](#page-14-0); Benzoni et al. [2011](#page-11-0); Arrigoni et al. [2012](#page-11-0); Schwartz et al. [2012](#page-16-0)) and morphological (Budd and Smith 2005; Budd and Stolarski [2009](#page-12-0), [2011](#page-12-0)) studies identified problematic taxa and highlighted phylogenetically informative characters including molecular markers, macromorphology, micromorphology and microstructure—before the first taxonomic monograph was published.

In a massive undertaking, Budd et al.  $(2012)$  expanded Merulinidae to include all members of the "Bigmessidae" clade (XVII sensu Fukami et al. [2008](#page-13-0)), made up of mostly Indo-Pacific species from Faviidae, Merulinidae, Pectiniidae and Trachyphylliidae as defined by Veron  $(2000)$ . They also relegated Faviidae to subfamily Faviinae as a group restricted to the Atlantic, and synonymised Pectiniidae and Trachyphylliidae as Merulinidae. Mussidae was redefined to include Mussinae (Atlantic mussids) and Faviinae. Finally, Pacific "mussids", the three remaining pectiniid genera, *Echinomorpha* , *Echinophyllia* and *Oxypora* , as well as *Moseleya* were placed in the new family Lobophylliidae (Dai and Horng  $2009<sub>b</sub>$ ).

Budd et al.  $(2012)$  also proposed several modifications at the genus level. The highly polyphyletic *Favia* and *Montastraea* were trimmed of their Indo-Pacific and "Bigmessidae" members, which were accommodated by *Dipsastraea* and *Phymastrea* respectively, so that *Favia* now contains *F. fragum* and *F. gravida* , while *Montastraea* only includes *M. cavernosa. Montastraea* and *Diploastrea* were also placed in their own respective families Montastraeidae and Diploastraeidae as appropriate for their distinctiveness. *Scolymia* became an Atlantic genus, so its Indo-Pacific constituents became *Homophyllia australis* and *Parascolymia vitiensis* .

More recently, Huang et al.  $(2014a, b)$  $(2014a, b)$  $(2014a, b)$  examined Merulinidae more closely and found that more revisions at the genus level were necessary. In particular, *Astrea* was resurrected and a new genus *Paramontastraea* established to contain some species from *Phymastrea*, which was synonymised as *Favites. Coelastrea* was revived and a new genus *Paragoniastrea* described to accommodate distinct species previously classed in *Goniastrea* . *Barabattoia* and *Paraclavarina* were neither genetically nor morphologically separated from *Dipsastraea* and *Merulina* respectively, and were thus synonymised.

 Major changes to the recently-established Lobophylliidae are ongoing, as Arrigoni et al.  $(2014b)$  considered *Australomussa* as a junior synonym of *Parascolymia* , and also resurrected *Sclerophyllia* to accommodate *S. margariticola* and its sister species *S.* (previously *Acanthastrea* ) *maxima* that are endemic to waters surrounding the Arabian peninsula (Arrigoni et al. [2015](#page-11-0)).

Several taxa thought to be closely affiliated with Pacific "faviids" and "mussids"—Merulinidae and Lobophylliidae respectively—are now in distant "robust" taxa. Dai and Horng (2009b) transferred *Plesiastrea* into Plesiastreidae (clade XIV sensu Fukami et al. [2008](#page-13-0)), although only the move of the type species *P. versipora* has been validated since *P. devantieri* is in *Astrea* , Merulinidae (Huang et al. [2014b](#page-14-0)). *Blastomussa* was also transferred into Plesiastreidae (Dai and Horng [2009b](#page-12-0) ), but it has been considered *incertae*  sedis more recently (Budd et al. 2012; Benzoni et al. 2014) as *Plesiastrea* is more closely related to the azooxanthellate species *Cyathelia axillaris* , *Trochocyathus efateensis* and *Tethocyathus virgatus* (Kitahara et al. [2010b](#page-14-0); Benzoni et al. [2011 ;](#page-11-0) Huang [2012 ;](#page-14-0) Huang and Roy [2013 , 2015](#page-14-0) ). Furthermore, the closest relatives of *Blastomussa* are *Physogyra* , *Plerogyra* and *Nemenzophyllia* , all previously in the "complex" Euphylliidae and now *incertae sedis* (Fukami et al. [2008](#page-13-0); Kitahara et al. 2010b; Benzoni et al. [2014](#page-11-0)). *Oulastrea* is part of a deep-branching clade sister to Fungiidae , Psammocoridae and Coscinaraeidae (Huang [2012](#page-14-0); Huang and Roy [2013](#page-14-0), [2015](#page-14-0)), and may revert to the family Oulastreidae (Veron [2013](#page-17-0)). Perhaps the most enigmatic and still unresolved case of a former Pacific "faviid" is that of *Leptastrea*, which has been consistently shown as closely related to Fungiidae based on different markers (Romano and Palumbi [1996](#page-16-0); Romano and Cairns [2000](#page-16-0); Fukami et al. [2008](#page-13-0); Kitahara et al. [2010b](#page-14-0)) despite striking differences in morphology between this genus and any of the known mushroom coral genera.

While the first integrative taxonomic revision was performed for Acroporidae in the "complex" clade, progress on other "complex" groups has been limited compared to the "robust" corals. Only recently was the first comprehensive revision of Poritidae published. Kitano et al. (2014) analysed samples from all five poritid genera using COI and ITS to show that *Porites* was monophyletic, but *Machadoporites* and *Poritipora* cannot be distinguished from *Goniopora* and were thus synonymised. The authors also found that *Goniopora stutchburyi* was genetically isolated from its congenerics but was the only sister species of *Stylaraea* , and thus moved it into a new genus, *Bernardpora* .

 The azooxanthellate corals have lagged far behind in terms of revisionary work, due to much fewer taxonomists working on the numerous scleractinian lineages that contain them. Nevertheless, problematic taxa have been identified through broad-scale phylogenetic analyses (Kitahara et al. [2010b](#page-14-0); Stolarski et al. 2011), and revisions have commenced. For instance, *Dactylotrochus cervicornis* was genetically nested among Agariciidae species, so Kitahara et al. (2012) moved it from Caryophylliidae into Agariciidae, making it the first extant agariciid that is solitary and azooxanthellate. An azooxanthellate shallow-water agariciid, *Leptoseris troglodyta*, was described shortly after (Hoeksema [2012](#page-13-0)). Finally, a new family Deltocyathiidae that included nearly all the species of *Deltocyathus* was established for an earlydiverging clade traditionally placed in Caryophylliidae (Kitahara et al. 2013).

## **4.5 Detection of Species Boundaries**

Identification of coral species has always been problematic. The overlap of morphological variation between and within colonies (i.e., between corallites) obscures species boundaries . Although species delimitation among scleractinian corals has been studied for many corals, data are still limited. The most studied coral in this respect is the *Orbicella annularis* complex. This group of three species, *O. annularis* , *O. franksi* and *O. faveolata* , is amongst the dominant corals of many Caribbean reefs. Historically, they have been considered as one species, *O. annularis*, with several morphs distributed along various environmental gradients, including different depths and reef zones (Graus and Macintyre 1976, [1989](#page-13-0)). However, a tremendous number of studies on morphology, reproduction, ecology, growth rates and genetics have been carried out (Knowlton et al. 1992, [1997](#page-14-0); van Veghel and Bak 1993, [1994](#page-17-0); van Veghel 1994; van Veghel and Kahmann [1994](#page-18-0); Weil and Knowlton 1994; van Veghel and Bosscher [1995](#page-17-0); van Veghel et al. 1996; Lopez and Knowlton [1997](#page-17-0); Szmant et al. 1997; Lopez et al. [1999](#page-15-0); Medina et al. 1999; Manica and Carter [2000](#page-15-0); Knowlton and Budd [2001](#page-14-0); Fukami et al. [2004](#page-14-0)a; Levitan et al. 2004, [2011](#page-14-0)), nearly all of which showing that the complex is not a single species with high morphological variation but comprises three separate species.

 The research effort devoted to resolving the *Orbicella annularis* complex was unprecedented for corals, and remains unmatched for other taxa that are seemingly as complex. Nevertheless, there have been several cases whereby species complexes showed varied levels of separation and no taxonomic action was taken. We describe some of these examples as follows.

In order to investigate species boundaries, crossing experiments and spawning observations are the most precise approaches to test for reproductive isolation between species (Lang [1984](#page-14-0)). However, data from such studies are limited in terms of taxonomic and geographic coverage. Crosses have been tested for a variety of *Acropora* species and interspecific fertilisation observed in several combinations (Willis et al. 1997; Hatta et al. 1999; van Oppen et al. 2002; Fukami et al. 2003; Isomura et al. 2013). Nevertheless, interspecific fertilisation rates tend to be lower than intraspecific ones (Wei et al.  $2012$ ), allowing species boundaries to be defined (Willis et al. 2006). *Acropora* colonies with intermediate morphologies between species are generally not used for such experiments and remain challenging subjects for taxonomic research. Species boundaries of such difficult morphologies have been explored in two instances. First, five species and seven morphs from the *A. humilis* species group were examined by Wolstenholme (2004) for their reproductive patterns and molecular phylogeny. The data indicated that the five species were valid and the morphs at different stages of divergence from the valid species. Second, Suzuki and Fukami (2012) analysed the fertilisation rates and molecular phylogenetic relationships of three morphs of *A. solitaryensis* and found that two morphs were actual variants of the species while the last one was an undescribed species.

 The merulinid genus *Platygyra* has also been used in multiple experimental crosses due to its abundance in the Indo-Pacific and problematic species identities. Miller and Babcock (1997) performed crossing experiments and recorded spawning times to show that reproductive isolation was severely limited among seven species in the Great Barrier Reef. Moreover, Miller and Benzie (1997) found that three species, *P. daedalea* , *P. sinensis* and *P. pini* contained no fixed differences in allozyme frequencies. However, contrary to these results, molecular phylogenetic analysis using ITS sequences revealed clear genetic differences between

M.V. Kitahara et al.

*P. sinensis* and *P. pini* in Hong Kong (Lam and Morton [2003](#page-14-0) ). To date, species boundaries among *Platygyra* species remain unresolved, although results have so far suggested that geographic variation in the degree of species separation is apparent.

 Cryptic diversity within species exists in several other corals . For example, comparisons of *Mycedium elephantotus* colonies between different localities in Taiwan revealed the existence of at least two reproductive groups based on timings of gametogenesis and spawning, supported by allozyme electrophoretic data (Dai et al. 2000). In fact, intraspecific differentiation was detected between co-occurring populations of *Cycloseris costulata* in Indonesia (Gittenberger and Hoeksema [2006](#page-13-0) ), *P. daedalea* in Kenya (Mangubhai et al. [2007](#page-15-0)), and *Favites valenciennesi* in Japan (Fukami and Nomura [2009](#page-13-0)). Larger geographic contrasts such as between Red Sea and Pacific Ocean populations of *Dipsastraea* and *Stylophora* have also revealed molecular separation between regions (Stefani et al. [2011](#page-17-0); Arrigoni et al. [2012](#page-11-0); Keshavmurthy et al. [2013](#page-14-0)). However, to reach a stage where taxonomic revisions can be attempted, broad geographic sampling across the Indo-Pacific and detailed studies of closely-related species are necessary, such as in the case of species in *Astreopora* (Suzuki and Nomura [2013](#page-17-0)), *Pocillopora* (Pinzón and LaJeunesse 2010; Pinzón et al. [2012](#page-16-0), 2013; Torda et al. 2013a, b; Marti-Puig et al. [2014](#page-15-0); Schmidt-Roach et al. [2013 , 2014 \)](#page-16-0) and *Psammocora* (Benzoni et al. 2010; Stefani et al. 2008a). In particular, boundaries among *Psammocora* species were clarified through a series of rigorous molecular and morphological analyses (Stefani et al. [2008a](#page-17-0), [b](#page-17-0); Benzoni et al. [2007](#page-11-0), [2010](#page-11-0)), which saw 24 nominal species reorganised as seven valid species—*P*. *albopicta* , *P. contigua* , *P. digitata* , *P. haimiana* , *P. nierstraszi* , *P. profundacella* and *P. stellata* .

 Crossing experiments are usually performed for broadcast- spawning corals because it is relatively easy to collect eggs and sperm, but are difficult to apply on species that brood, are gonochoric or release daughter colonies asexually. Temporal reproductive isolation has been examined in some fungiids (Loya et al. [2009](#page-15-0)), but for other taxa, detailed morphological analyses with type material combined with molecular methods have been used to define species boundaries, such as in *Pocillopora damicornis* (Schmidt-Roach et al. 2014) and *Goniopora stokesi* (Kitano et al. [2013](#page-14-0)). Considering that coral spawning usually occurs once a year, it may be prudent to use these approaches on top of crossing experiments. Unfortunately, the latter may be the only way to tell species apart as some closely-related corals may be indistinguishable with morphological and molecular methods (e.g., Forsman et al. 2009).

 An important goal of species delimitation is to characterise intraspecific morphological variation, but cryptic species that are still undergoing introgression may occur without

fixed morphological differences throughout their distribution , such as in *Acropora cytherea* and *A. hyacinthus* (Ladner and Palumbi [2012](#page-14-0)). We expect more corals to possess such a signature, but an unambiguous procedure to deal with them taxonomically remains to be established.

## **4.6 Unresolved Taxa and the Future of Coral Systematics**

 Much of biology depends critically on a reliable taxonomic framework (Wheeler [2004](#page-18-0)). In modern times, such a framework has been built with molecular data on top of traditional and updated morphological evidence that has been the mainstay of taxonomy. Often, developmental, reproductive, and other ecological data are also gleaned for such research. Within the last two decades, coral biologists have developed a systematic phylogenetic approach that integrates these lines of evidence. Indeed, molecular data have been the major driving force in modern coral taxonomy, and together with the application of new techniques to explore subcorallite morphology, new light is still being shed on scleractinian phylogeny.

 Although morphological evidence to support the three deep molecular clades is still scarce, microstructural characters such as the structure and arrangement of rapid accretion deposits and thickening deposits have proven to be phylogenetically informative at the family level (Budd and Stolarski 2011; Kitahara et al. [2013](#page-14-0); Arrigoni et al. [2014a](#page-11-0)). Micromorphological traits such as shape of septal teeth, the development of secondary calcification axes and corresponding granulation on septal teeth and faces, the shape of the area between teeth, fulturae (Gill 1980), and others, are also useful for the differentiation of some genera within zooxan-thellate coral families and genera (Benzoni et al. [2007](#page-11-0); Budd and Stolarski [2009](#page-12-0)). In the same way, the delineation of primarily azooxanthellate coral families has largely been resolved, with few notable exceptions including Caryophylliidae (Kitahara et al. 2010b, 2012, 2013; Stolarski et al. [2011](#page-17-0)) and Oculinidae (Kitahara et al. 2010b; Huang and Roy [2015](#page-14-0)).

 While rapid improvements have been achieved in scleractinian systematics , there are still unresolved taxa. In reality, the evolutionary positions of some families and genera, especially those still based solely on macromorphological char-acters (e.g., Wells [1956](#page-18-0)), remain tentative. Furthermore, only about one-third of all scleractinian species have been examined phylogenetically (Fig. 4.1; Huang and Roy 2015), and for most of these species, few genetic markers have been used. Families that are still showing considerable uncertainties in their evolutionary positions include Anthemiphylliidae, Astrocoeniidae, Caryophylliidae, Oculinidae and Siderastreidae (Benzoni et al. [2007](#page-11-0); Fukami et al. [2008](#page-13-0);

Kitahara et al.  $2010b$ ,  $2012$ ; Huang  $2012$ ; Huang and Roy  $2013$ ,  $2015$ ). In the case of genera, the emerging picture is even more concerning, as we are still unable to place many of them precisely on the phylogeny . They include *Anthemiphyllia* , *Astrangia* , *Catalaphyllia* , *Cladocora* , *Culicia, Gyrosmilia, Indophyllia* , *Leptastrea* , *Montigyra* , *Paracyathus* , *Polycyathus* , *Simplastrea* , *Solenastrea* and *Stephanocyathus* . Representatives of some of these genera are rare or restricted to remote localities and sampling them for molecular analyses poses a practical challenge. Nevertheless, some genera with sufficient numbers of representatives tested have been shown to be para- or polyphyletic. Among them, some of the most problematic genera are within the families Agariciidae (*Leptoseris* and *Pavona*), Dendrophylliidae (Balanophyllia, Cladopsammia, *Dendrophyllia* and *Rhizopsammia* ), Caryophylliidae ( *Phyllangia* and *Rhizosmilia* ), Euphylliidae ( *Euphyllia* and *Galaxea* ), Flabellidae ( *Flabellum* and *Truncatofl abellum* ) and Oculinidae (*Oculina*). Unfortunately, only a few genetic markers have been sequenced from these genera, and most are only informative at higher taxonomic levels.

 Endeavouring to improve our understanding of scleractinian evolution as a lineage and as a system, we recognise and consider some important future research directions. Amongst these, the most obvious is "the species problem in corals" as foreshadowed by Hoffmeister (1926: 151) and nowadays made increasingly clear by the application of molecular techniques; establishing a clear and unambiguous phylogenetic framework must be one of the first challenges to be addressed. Since reliable taxonomic information is essential for the interpretation of molecular phylogenies, institutional and financial investments should be made toward building strong specimen collections and spurring rigorous taxonomic research. In particular, the inclusion of more material with broader taxon coverage and multiple sampling localities in future phylogenetic studies should be supported consistently by *in situ* images, collection of voucher specimens and fixed tissue samples for deposition in accessible repositories. This will allow re-examination of evidence as new molecular and morphological techniques become available. Moreover, importance should also be accorded to existing historical reference collections, including type material of extant and extinct coral taxa for which only a morphological approach can be used.

 Although coral molecular phylogenetic studies generally focus heavily on few mitochondrial or ribosomal markers, and whilst these have greatly improved our understanding of scleractinian phylogenetic relationships, it is now clear that to achieve higher resolution and to be able to investigate all taxonomic levels, multiple genetic markers are essential (e.g., Dunn et al. [2008](#page-12-0); Philippe et al. [2009](#page-16-0); Regier et al.  $2010$ ). In the case of corals, a stumbling block to applying such multilocus phylogenetics is the paucity of single copy

<span id="page-11-0"></span>nuclear markers that have been tested. To cross this hurdle, we must turn to high-throughput sequencing technologies for obtaining genomic or transcriptomic data for a range of corals. These methods could be used to collect nearexhaustive molecular data possibly containing phylogenetic signal at all levels. However, notwithstanding the progress expected with phylogenomics, we stress that improvement of techniques and better understanding of the taxonomic signals and environment-induced variability of morphological characters are essential for advancing the field.

 As we go forth in this new age of coral systematics, the gap between the state-of-the-art classification and practical needs of the broader scientific community appears to be widening. Indeed, while taxonomic changes resulting from integrative analyses are increasingly being published, the outdated but understandably more widely-accepted scheme is still being applied in some recent work on corals and their associates (e.g., Ho and Dai [2014](#page-17-0); Tsang et al. 2014; Work and Aeby  $2014$ ). A lag is to be expected before the new framework is embraced outside the restricted circle better informed of the ongoing revisions. To bridge this gap more rapidly, we urge more active collaborations between taxonomists and ecologists, as well as more user-friendly literature such as field illustrations of corals under the revised classification (e.g., Dai and Horng  $2009a$ , [b](#page-12-0); Licuanan  $2009$ ).

 Thus, apart from encouraging a new generation of taxonomists, molecular biologists, and paleontologists, the foment of multi- and interdisciplinary studies including taxonomy , ecology, morphology , molecular biology, palaeontology and oceanography, will shape future studies positively to help improve our understanding of scleractinian evolution. This is indeed a welcome development in a time of major scientific interest and intense public concern due to the uncertain fate of coral reefs in the face of anthropogenic challenges .

## **References**

- Addamo AM, Reimer JD, Taviani M et al (2012) *Desmophyllum dianthus* (Esper, 1794) in the scleractinian phylogeny and its intraspe-cific diversity. PLoS One 7:e50215. doi:[10.1371/journal.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050215) [pone.0050215](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050215)
- Addamo AM, García-Jiménez R, Taviani M, Machordom A (2015) Development of microsatellite markers in the deep-sea cup coral *Desmophyllum dianthus* by 454 sequencing and cross-species amplifications in Scleractinia order. J Hered 106:322-330. doi[:10.1093/jhered/esv010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esv010)
- Adjeroud M, Guérécheau A, Vidal-Dupiol J et al (2014) Genetic diversity, clonality and connectivity in the scleractinian coral *Pocillopora damicornis*: a multi-scale analysis in an insular, fragmented reef system. Mar Biol 161:531–541. doi[:10.1007/s00227-013-2355-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2355-9)
- Alloiteau J (1952) Embranchement des coelentérés. In: Piveteau J (ed) Traité de paléontologie. Tome premier. Masson, Paris, pp 376–684
- Alloiteau J (1957) Contribution à la systématique des madréporaires fossiles. Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris
- Appeltans W, Ahyong ST, Anderson G et al (2012) The magnitude of global marine species diversity. Curr Biol 22:1–14. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.036) [cub.2012.09.036](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.036)
- Arrigoni R, Stefani F, Pichon M et al (2012) Molecular phylogeny of the Robust clade (Faviidae, Mussidae, Merulinidae, and Pectiniidae): an Indian Ocean perspective. Mol Phylogenet Evol 65:183–193. doi:[10.1016/j.ympev.2012.06.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.06.001)
- Arrigoni R, Kitano YF, Stolarski J et al (2014a) A phylogeny reconstruction of the Dendrophylliidae (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) based on molecular and micromorphological criteria, and its ecological implications. Zool Scr 43:661–688. doi[:10.1111/zsc.12072](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/zsc.12072)
- Arrigoni R, Richards ZT, Chen CA et al (2014b) Taxonomy and phylogenetic relationships of the coral genera *Australomussa* and *Parascolymia* (Scleractinia, Lobophylliidae). Contrib Zool 83:195–215
- Arrigoni R, Terraneo TI, Galli P, Benzoni F (2014c) Lobophylliidae (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) reshuffled: pervasive non-monophyly at genus level. Mol Phylogenet Evol 73:60–64. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.01.010) [ympev.2014.01.010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.01.010)
- Arrigoni R, Berumen ML, Terraneo TI et al (2015) Forgotten in the taxonomic literature: resurrection of the scleractinian coral genus *Sclerophyllia* (Scleractinia, Lobophylliidae) from the Arabian Peninsula and its phylogenetic relationships. Syst Biodivers 13:140–163. doi[:10.1080/14772000.2014.978915](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2014.978915)
- Ayre DJ, Willis BL (1988) Population structure in the coral *Pavona cactus* : clonal genotypes show little phenotypic plasticity. Mar Biol 99:495–505. doi[:10.1007/BF00392557](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00392557)
- Barbeitos MS, Romano SL, Lasker HR (2010) Repeated loss of coloniality and symbiosis in scleractinian corals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:11877–11882. doi:[10.1073/pnas.0914380107](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914380107)
- Benzoni F, Stefani F (2012) *Porites fontanesii* , a new species of hard coral (Scleractinia, Poritidae) from the southern Red Sea, the Gulf of Tadjoura, and the Gulf of Aden, and its phylogenetic relationships within the genus. Zootaxa 3447:56–68
- Benzoni F, Stefani F, Stolarski J et al (2007) Debating phylogenetic relationships of the scleractinian *Psammocora*: molecular and morphological evidences. Contrib Zool 76:35–54
- Benzoni F, Stefani F, Pichon M, Galli P (2010) The name game: morpho- molecular species boundaries in the genus *Psammocora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). Zool J Linn Soc 160:421–456. doi:[10.1111/j.1096-3642.2010.00622.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2010.00622.x)
- Benzoni F, Arrigoni R, Stefani F, Pichon M (2011) Phylogeny of the coral genus *Plesiastrea* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). Contrib Zool 80:231–249
- Benzoni F, Arrigoni R, Stefani F et al (2012a) Phylogenetic position and taxonomy of *Cycloseris explanulata* and *C. wellsi* (Scleractinia: Fungiidae): lost mushroom corals find their way home. Contrib Zool 81:125–146
- Benzoni F, Arrigoni R, Stefani F, Stolarski J (2012b) Systematics of the coral genus *Craterastrea* (Cnidaria, Anthozoa, Scleractinia) and description of a new family through combined morphological and molecular analyses. Syst Biodivers 10:417–433. doi[:10.1080/14772](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2012.744369) [000.2012.744369](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2012.744369)
- Benzoni F, Arrigoni R, Waheed Z et al (2014) Phylogenetic relationships and revision of the genus *Blastomussa* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia) with description of a new species. Raffles Bull Zool 62:358–378
- Best MB, Boekschoten GJ, Oosterbaan A (1984) Species concept and ecomorph variation in living and fossil Scleractinia. Palaeontogr Am 54:70–79
- Bongaerts P, Frade PR, Ogier JJ et al (2013) Sharing the slope: depth partitioning of agariciid corals and associated *Symbiodinium* across shallow and mesophotic habitats (2–60 m) on a Caribbean reef. BMC Evol Biol 13:205. doi[:10.1186/1471-2148-13-205](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-205)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span> Boulay JN, Hellberg ME, Cortés J, Baums IB (2014) Unrecognized coral species diversity masks differences in functional ecology. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 281:20131580. doi:[10.1098/rspb.2013.1580](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1580)
- Brazeau DA, Gleason DF, Morgan ME (1998) Self-fertilization in brooding hermaphroditic Caribbean corals: evidence from molecular markers. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 231:225–238. doi:[10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(98)00097-5) [S0022-0981\(98\)00097-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(98)00097-5)
- Budd AF (1993) Variation within and among morphospecies of *Montastraea* . Cour Forsch Inst Senckenb 164:241–254
- Budd AF (2009) Encyclopedia of life synthesis meeting report: systematics and evolution of scleractinian corals. National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC
- Budd AF, Smith ND (2005) Diversification of a new Atlantic clade of scleractinian reef corals: insights from phylogenetic analysis of morphologic and molecular data. Paleontol Soc Pap 11:103–128
- Budd AF, Stolarski J (2009) Searching for new morphological characters in the systematics of scleractinian reef corals: comparison of septal teeth and granules between Atlantic and Pacific Mussidae. Acta Zool 90:142–165. doi[:10.1111/j.1463-6395.2008.00345.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6395.2008.00345.x)
- Budd AF, Stolarski J (2011) Corallite wall and septal microstructure in scleractinian reef corals: comparison of molecular clades within the family Faviidae. J Morphol 272:66–88. doi[:10.1002/jmor.10899](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmor.10899)
- Budd AF, Romano SL, Smith ND, Barbeitos MS (2010) Rethinking the phylogeny of scleractinian corals: a review of morphological and molecular data. Integr Comp Biol 50:411–427. doi[:10.1093/icb/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icb/icq062) [icq062](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icb/icq062)
- Budd AF, Fukami H, Smith ND, Knowlton N (2012) Taxonomic classification of the reef coral family Mussidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Zool J Linn Soc 166:465–529. doi[:10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00855.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2012.00855.x)
- Cairns SD (1984) An application of phylogenetic analysis to the Scleractinia: family Fungiidae. Palaeontogr Am 54:49–57
- Cairns SD (1997) A generic revision and phylogenetic analysis of the Turbinoliidae (Cnidaria: Scleractinia). Smithson Contrib Zool 591:1–55. doi:[10.5479/si.00810282.591](http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.591)
- Cairns SD (1999) Species richness of recent Scleractinia. Atoll Res Bull 459:1–12
- Cairns SD (2001) A generic revision and phylogenetic analysis of the Dendrophylliidae (Cnidaria: Scleractinia). Smithson Contrib Zool 615:1–75. doi:[10.5479/si.00810282.615](http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.615)
- Cairns SD (2009) Phylogenetic list of 722 valid recent azooxanthellate scleractinian species, with their junior synonyms and depth ranges. In: Roberts JM, Wheeler A, Freiwald A, Cairns SD (eds) Coldwater corals: the biology and geology of deep-sea coral habitats. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Online appendix. [http://](http://www.lophelia.org/online-appendices) [www.lophelia.org/online-appendices](http://www.lophelia.org/online-appendices)
- Chen CA, Yu J-K (2000) Universal primers for amplification of mitochondrial small subunit ribosomal RNA-encoding gene in scleractinian corals. Mar Biotechnol 2:146–153. doi[:10.1007/s101269900018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s101269900018)
- Chen CA, Odorico DM, ten Lohuis M et al (1995) Systematic relationships within the Anthozoa (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) using the 5′-end of the 28S rDNA. Mol Phylogenet Evol 4:175–183
- Chen CA, Wallace CC, Yu J-K, Wei NV (2000) Strategies for amplification by polymerase chain reaction of the complete sequence of the gene encoding nuclear large subunit ribosomal RNA in corals. Mar Biotechnol 2:558–570
- Chen CA, Wallace CC, Wolstenholme JK (2002) Analysis of the mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene supports a two-clade hypothesis of the evolutionary history of scleractinian corals. Mol Phylogenet Evol 23:137–149. doi[:10.1016/S1055-7903\(02\)00008-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1055-7903(02)00008-8)
- Chen CA, Chang CC, Wei NV et al (2004) Secondary structure and phylogenetic utility of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) in scleractinian corals. Zool Stud 43:759–771
- Chen I-P, Tang C-Y, Chiou C-Y et al (2009) Comparative analyses of coding and noncoding DNA regions indicate that *Acropora* (Anthozoa: Scleractina) possesses a similar evolutionary tempo of

nuclear vs. mitochondrial genomes as in plants. Mar Biotechnol 11:141–152. doi[:10.1007/s10126-008-9129-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10126-008-9129-2)

- Chevalier J-P, Beauvais L (1987) Ordre des scléractiniaires: XI. Systématique. In: Grassé P-P, Doumenc D (eds) Traité de zoologie. Tome III. Cnidaires: Anthozoaires. Masson, Paris, pp 679–764
- Concepcion GT, Medina M, Toonen RJ (2006) Noncoding mitochondrial loci for corals. Mol Ecol Notes 6:1208–1211. doi:[10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01493.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01493.x)
- Concepcion GT, Polato NR, Baums IB, Toonen RJ (2010) Development of microsatellite markers from four Hawaiian corals: *Acropora cytherea* , *Fungia scutaria* , *Montipora capitata* and *Porites lobata* . Conserv Genet Resour 2:11–15. doi[:10.1007/s12686-009-9118-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12686-009-9118-4)
- Cuif J-P, Perrin C (1999) Micromorphology and microstructure as expressions of scleractinian skeletogenesis in *Favia fragum* (Esper, 1795) (Faviidae, Scleractinia). Zoosystema 21:137–156
- Cuif J-P, Lecointre G, Perrin C et al (2003) Patterns of septal biomineralization in Scleractinia compared with their 28S rRNA phylogeny: a dual approach for a new taxonomic framework. Zool Scr 32:459–473
- Curnick DJ, Head CEI, Huang D et al (2015) Setting evolutionarybased conservation priorities for a phylogenetically data-poor taxonomic group (Scleractinia). Anim Conserv. doi:[10.1111/acv.12185](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/acv.12185)
- Dai C-F, Horng S (2009a) Scleractinia fauna of Taiwan. I. The complex group. National Taiwan University, Taipei
- Dai C-F, Horng S (2009b) Scleractinia fauna of Taiwan. II. The robust group. National Taiwan University, Taipei
- Dai C-F, Fan T-Y, Yu J-K (2000) Reproductive isolation and genetic differentiation of a scleractinian coral *Mycedium elephantotus* . Mar Ecol Prog Ser 201:179–187. doi:[10.3354/meps201179](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps201179)
- Daly M, Fautin DG, Cappola VA (2003) Systematics of the Hexacorallia (Cnidaria: Anthozoa). Zool J Linn Soc 139:419–437. doi:[10.1046/j.1096-3642.2003.00084.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1096-3642.2003.00084.x)
- Davies SW, Rahman M, Meyer E et al (2013) Novel polymorphic microsatellite markers for population genetics of the endangered Caribbean star coral, *Montastraea faveolata* . Mar Biodivers 43:167–172. doi[:10.1007/s12526-012-0133-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12526-012-0133-4)
- Diekmann OE, Bak RPM, Stam WT, Olsen JL (2001) Molecular genetic evidence for probable reticulate speciation in the coral genus *Madracis* from a Caribbean fringing reef slope. Mar Biol 139:221–233. doi:[10.1007/s002270100584](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002270100584)
- Dunn CW, Hejnol A, Matus DQ et al (2008) Broad phylogenomic sampling improves resolution of the animal tree of life. Nature 452:745– 749. doi:[10.1038/nature06614](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06614)
- Emblem Å, Karlsen BO, Evertsen J, Johansen SD (2011) Mitogenome rearrangement in the cold-water scleractinian coral *Lophelia pertusa* (Cnidaria, Anthozoa) involves a long-term evolving group I intron. Mol Phylogenet Evol 61:495–503. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.07.012) [ympev.2011.07.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.07.012)
- Esper EJC (1795) Fortsetzungen der Pflanzenthiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Farben erleuchtet nebst Beschreibungen. Raspeschen Buchhandlung, Nürnberg
- Ezaki Y (1997) The Permian coral *Numidiaphyllum*: new insights into anthozoan phylogeny and Triassic scleractinian origins. Palaeontology 40:1–40
- Ezaki Y (2000) Palaeoecological and phylogenetic implications of a new scleractiniamorph genus from Permian sponge reefs, south China. Palaeontology 43:199–217. doi:[10.1111/1475-4983.00124](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1475-4983.00124)
- Faircloth BC, McCormack JE, Crawford NG et al (2012) Ultraconserved elements anchor thousands of genetic markers spanning multiple evolutionary timescales. Syst Biol 61:717–726. doi[:10.1093/sysbio/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys004) [sys004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys004)
- Farris JS, Källersjö M, Kluge AG, Bult C (1995) Testing significance of incongruence. Cladistics 10:315–319
- Flot J-F (2007) CHAMPURU 1.0: a computer software for unraveling mixtures of two DNA sequences of unequal lengths. Mol Ecol Notes 7:974–977
- <span id="page-13-0"></span> Flot J-F (2010) SeqPHASE: a web tool for interconverting phase input/ output files and fasta sequence alignments. Mol Ecol Resour 10:162–166. doi[:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02732.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02732.x)
- Flot J-F, Tillier S (2006) Molecular phylogeny and systematics of the scleractinian coral genus *Pocillopora* in Hawaii. Proc 10th Int Coral Reef Symp, pp 24–29
- Flot J-F, Tillier A, Samadi S, Tillier S (2006) Phase determination from direct sequencing of length-variable DNA regions. Mol Ecol Notes 6:627–630. doi:[10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01355.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01355.x)
- Flot J-F, Magalon H, Cruaud C et al (2008) Patterns of genetic structure among Hawaiian corals of the genus *Pocillopora* yield clusters of individuals that are compatible with morphology. C R Biol 331:239– 247. doi[:10.1016/j.crvi.2007.12.003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2007.12.003)
- Flot J-F, Couloux A, Tillier S (2010) Haplowebs as a graphical tool for delimiting species: a revival of Doyle's "field for recombination" approach and its application to the coral genus *Pocillopora* in Clipperton. BMC Evol Biol 10:372. doi[:10.1186/1471-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-372) [2148-10-372](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-372)
- Flot J-F, Blanchot J, Charpy L et al (2011) Incongruence between morphotypes and genetically delimited species in the coral genus Stylophora: phenotypic plasticity, morphological convergence, morphological stasis or interspecific hybridization? BMC Ecol 11:22. doi:[10.1186/1472-6785-11-22](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-11-22)
- Forskål P (1775) Descriptiones animalium, avium, amphibiorum, piscium, insectorum, vermium. Quae In Itinere Orientali Observavit Petrus Forskål. ex officina Mölleri, Hauniæ
- Forsman ZH, Guzman HM, Chen CA et al (2005) An ITS region phylogeny of *Siderastrea* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa): is *S. glynni* endangered or introduced? Coral Reefs 24:343–347. doi:[10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-005-0497-z) [s00338-005-0497-z](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-005-0497-z)
- Forsman ZH, Hunter CL, Fox GE, Wellington GM (2006) Is the ITS region the solution to the "species problem" in corals? Intragenomic variation, and alignment permutations in *Porites* , *Siderastrea* and outgroup taxa. Proc 10th Int Coral Reef Symp, pp 14–23
- Forsman ZH, Barshis DJ, Hunter CL, Toonen RJ (2009) Shape-shifting corals: molecular markers show morphology is evolutionarily plastic in *Porites* . BMC Evol Biol 9:45. doi[:10.1186/1471-2148-9-45](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-45)
- Forsman ZH, Concepcion GT, Haverkort RD et al (2010) Ecomorph or endangered coral? DNA and microstructure reveal Hawaiian species complexes: *Montipora dilatata/flabellata/turgescens & M. patula/verrilli* . PLoS One 5:e15021. doi:[10.1371/journal.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015021) [pone.0015021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015021)
- Forsman Z, Wellington GM, Fox GE, Toonen RJ (2015) Clues to unraveling the coral species problem: distinguishing species from geographic variation in *Porites* across the Pacific with molecular markers and microskeletal traits. Peer J 3:e751. doi:[10.7717/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.751) [peerj.751](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.751)
- Foster AB (1979a) Environmental variation in a fossil scleractinian coral. Lethaia 12:245–264. doi[:10.1111/j.1502-3931.1979.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3931.1979.tb01004.x) [tb01004.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3931.1979.tb01004.x)
- Foster AB (1979b) Phenotypic plasticity in the reef corals *Montastraea annularis* (Ellis & Solander) and *Siderastrea siderea* (Ellis & Solander). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 39:25–54. doi:[10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(79)90003-0) [0022-0981\(79\)90003-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(79)90003-0)
- Foster AB (1980) Environmental variation in skeletal morphology within the Caribbean reef corals *Montastraea annularis* and *Siderastrea siderea* . Bull Mar Sci 30:678–709
- Fukami H (2008) Short review: molecular phylogenetic analyses of reef corals. Galaxea 10:47–55
- Fukami H, Knowlton N (2005) Analysis of complete mitochondrial DNA sequences of three members of the *Montastraea annularis* coral species complex (Cnidaria, Anthozoa, Scleractinia). Coral Reefs 24:410–417
- Fukami H, Nomura K (2009) Existence of a cryptic species of *Montastraea valenciennesi* (Milne Edwards and Haime, 1848) in

Wakayama, southern Honshu, Japan [in Japanese]. J Jpn Coral Reef Soc 11:25–31

- Fukami H, Omori M, Hatta M (2000) Phylogenetic relationships in the coral family Acroporidae, reassessed by inference from mitochondrial genes. Zool Sci 17:689–696
- Fukami H, Omori M, Shimoike K et al (2003) Ecological and genetic aspects of reproductive isolation by different spawning times in *Acropora* corals. Mar Biol 142:679–684. doi:[10.1007/s00227-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-002-1001-8) [002-1001-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-002-1001-8)
- Fukami H, Budd AF, Levitan DR et al (2004a) Geographic differences in species boundaries among members of the *Montastraea annularis* complex based on molecular and morphological markers. Evolution 58:324–337. doi[:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2004.tb01648.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2004.tb01648.x)
- Fukami H, Budd AF, Paulay G et al (2004b) Conventional taxonomy obscures deep divergence between Pacific and Atlantic corals. Nature 427:832–835. doi:[10.1038/nature02339](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02339)
- Fukami H, Chen CA, Budd AF et al (2008) Mitochondrial and nuclear genes suggest that stony corals are monophyletic but most families of stony corals are not (Order Scleractinia, Class Anthozoa, Phylum Cnidaria). PLoS One 3:e3222. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0003222](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003222)
- Gill GA (1980) The fulturae ("compound synapticulae"), their structure and reconsideration of their systematic value. Acta Palaeontol Pol 25:301–310
- Gittenberger A, Hoeksema BW (2006) Phenotypic plasticity revealed by molecular studies on reef corals of *Fungia (Cycloseris)* spp. (Scleractinia: Fungiidae) near river outlets. Contrib Zool 75:195–201
- Gittenberger A, Reijnen BT, Hoeksema BW (2011) A molecularly based phylogeny reconstruction of mushroom corals (Scleractinia: Fungiidae) with taxonomic consequences and evolutionary implications for life history traits. Contrib Zool 80:107–132
- Graus RR, Macintyre IG (1976) Light control of growth form in colonial reef corals: computer simulation. Science 193:895–897. doi:[10.1126/science.193.4256.895](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.193.4256.895)
- Graus RR, Macintyre IG (1989) The zonation patterns of Caribbean coral reefs as controlled by wave and light energy input, bathymetric setting and reef morphology: computer simulation experiments. Coral Reefs 8:9–18
- Hatta M, Fukami H, Wang W et al (1999) Reproductive and genetic evidence for a reticulate evolutionary history of mass-spawning corals. Mol Biol Evol 16:1607–1613
- Heled J, Drummond AJ (2010) Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. Mol Biol Evol 27:570–580. doi[:10.1093/molbev/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp274) [msp274](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp274)
- Hellberg ME (2006) No variation and low synonymous substitution rates in coral mtDNA despite high nuclear variation. BMC Evol Biol 6:24. doi:[10.1186/1471-2148-6-24](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-6-24)
- Heyward AJ, Stoddart JA (1985) Genetic structure of two species of *Montipora* on a patch reef: conflicting results from electrophoresis and histocompatibility. Mar Biol 85:117–121
- Ho M-J, Dai C-F (2014) Coral recruitment of a subtropical coral community at Yenliao Bay, northern Taiwan. Zool Stud 53:5. doi:[10.1186/1810-522X-53-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1810-522X-53-5)
- Hoeksema BW (1989) Taxonomy, phylogeny and biogeography of mushroom corals (Scleractinia: Fungiidae). Zool Verh Leiden 254:1–295
- Hoeksema BW (1991) Evolution of body size in mushroom corals (Scleractinia: Fungiidae) and its ecomorphological consequences. Neth J Zool 41:112–129. doi[:10.1163/156854291X00072](http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/156854291X00072)
- Hoeksema BW (1993) Historical biogeography of *Fungia (Pleuractis)* spp. (Scleractinia: Fungiidae), including a new species from the Seychelles. Zool Meded Leiden 67:639–654
- Hoeksema BW (2012) Forever in the dark: the cave-dwelling azooxanthellate reef coral *Leptoseris troglodyta* sp. n. (Scleractinia, Agariciidae). Zoo Keys 228:21–37. doi[:10.3897/zookeys.228.3798](http://dx.doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.228.3798)

<span id="page-14-0"></span> Hoffmeister JE (1926) The species problem in corals. Am J Sci 12:151– 156. doi[:10.2475/ajs.s5-12.68.151](http://dx.doi.org/10.2475/ajs.s5-12.68.151)

- Huang D (2012) Threatened reef corals of the world. PLoS One 7:e34459. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0034459](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034459)
- Huang D, Roy K (2013) Anthropogenic extinction threats and future loss of evolutionary history in reef corals. Ecol Evol 3:1184–1193. doi[:10.1002/ece3.527](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ece3.527)
- Huang D, Roy K (2015) The future of evolutionary diversity in reef corals. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 370:20140010. doi:[10.1098/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0010) [rstb.2014.0010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0010)
- Huang D, Meier R, Todd PA, Chou LM (2008) Slow mitochondrial COI sequence evolution at the base of the metazoan tree and its implications for DNA barcoding. J Mol Evol 66:167–174. doi:[10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00239-008-9069-5) [s00239-008-9069-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00239-008-9069-5)
- Huang D, Meier R, Todd PA, Chou LM (2009) More evidence for pervasive paraphyly in scleractinian corals: systematic study of southeast Asian Faviidae (Cnidaria; Scleractinia) based on molecular and morphological data. Mol Phylogenet Evol 50:102–116. doi[:10.1016/j.ympev.2008.10.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2008.10.012)
- Huang D, Licuanan WY, Baird AH, Fukami H (2011) Cleaning up the "Bigmessidae": molecular phylogeny of scleractinian corals from Faviidae, Merulinidae, Pectiniidae and Trachyphylliidae. BMC Evol Biol 11:37. doi[:10.1186/1471-2148-11-37](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-37)
- Huang D, Benzoni F, Arrigoni R et al (2014a) Towards a phylogenetic classification of reef corals: the Indo-Pacific genera *Merulina*, *Goniastrea* and *Scapophyllia* (Scleractinia, Merulinidae). Zool Scr 43:531–548. doi[:10.1111/zsc.12061](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/zsc.12061)
- Huang D, Benzoni F, Fukami H et al (2014b) Taxonomic classification of the reef coral families Merulinidae, Montastraeidae, and Diploastraeidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Zool J Linn Soc 171:277–355. doi[:10.1111/zoj.12140](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12140)
- Hunter CL, Morden CW, Smith CM (1997) The utility of ITS sequences in assessing relationships among zooxanthellae and corals. Proc 8th Int Coral Reef Symp 2:1599–1602
- Isomura N, Iwao K, Fukami H (2013) Possible natural hybridization of two morphologically distinct species of *Acropora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) in the Pacific: fertilization and larval survival rates. PLoS One 8:e56701. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0056701](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056701)
- Janiszewska K, Stolarski J, Benzerara K et al (2011) A unique skeletal microstructure of the deep-sea micrabaciid scleractinian corals. J Morphol 272:191–203. doi:[10.1002/jmor.10906](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmor.10906)
- Janiszewska K, Jaroszewicz J, Stolarski J (2013) Skeletal ontogeny in basal scleractinian micrabaciid corals. J Morphol 274:243–257. doi[:10.1002/jmor.20085](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmor.20085)
- Janiszewska K, Stolarski J, Kitahara MV et al (2015) Microstructural disparity between basal micrabaciids and other Scleractinia: new evidence from Neogene *Stephanophyllia* . Lethaia. doi:[10.1111/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/let.12119) [let.12119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/let.12119)
- Johnson KG (1998) A phylogenetic test of accelerated turnover in Neogene Caribbean brain corals (Scleractinia: Faviidae). Palaeontology 41:1247–1268
- Kayal E, Roure B, Philippe H et al (2013) Cnidarian phylogenetic relationships as revealed by mitogenomics. BMC Evol Biol 13:5. doi[:10.1186/1471-2148-13-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-5)
- Keshavmurthy S, Yang S-Y, Alamaru A et al (2013) DNA barcoding reveals the coral "laboratory-rat", *Stylophora pistillata* encompasses multiple identities. Sci Rep 3:1520. doi:[10.1038/srep01520](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep01520)
- Kitahara MV, Cairns SD, Miller DJ (2010a) Monophyletic origin of *Caryophyllia* (Scleractinia, Caryophylliidae), with descriptions of six new species. Syst Biodivers 8:91–118. doi:[10.1080/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14772000903571088) [14772000903571088](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14772000903571088)
- Kitahara MV, Cairns SD, Stolarski J et al (2010b) A comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of the Scleractinia (Cnidaria, Anthozoa) based on mitochondrial CO1 sequence data. PLoS One 5:e11490. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0011490](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011490)
- Kitahara MV, Stolarski J, Cairns SD et al (2012) The first modern solitary Agariciidae (Anthozoa, Scleractinia) revealed by molecular and microstructural analysis. Invertebr Syst 26:303–315. doi[:10.1071/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/IS11053) [IS11053](http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/IS11053)
- Kitahara MV, Cairns SD, Stolarski J, Miller DJ (2013) Deltocyathiidae, an early-diverging family of Robust corals (Anthozoa, Scleractinia). Zool Scr 42:201–212. doi[:10.1111/j.1463-6409.2012.00575.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2012.00575.x)
- Kitahara MV, Lin M-F, Forêt S et al (2014) The "naked coral" hypothesis revisited – evidence for and against scleractinian monophyly. PLoS One 9:e94774. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0094774](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094774)
- Kitano YF, Obuchi M, Uyeno D et al (2013) Phylogenetic and taxonomic status of the coral *Goniopora stokesi* and related species (Scleractinia: Poritidae) in Japan based on molecular and morphological data. Zool Stud 52:25. doi:[10.1186/1810-522X-52-25](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1810-522X-52-25)
- Kitano YF, Benzoni F, Arrigoni R et al (2014) A phylogeny of the family Poritidae (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) based on molecular and morphological analyses. PLoS One 9:e98406. doi[:10.1371/journal.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098406) [pone.0098406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098406)
- Knittweis L, Kraemer WE, Timm J, Kochzius M (2009) Genetic structure of *Heliofungia actiniformis* (Scleractinia: Fungiidae) populations in the Indo-Malay Archipelago: implications for live coral trade management efforts. Conserv Genet 10:241–249. doi[:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10592-008-9566-5) [s10592-008-9566-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10592-008-9566-5)
- Knowlton N, Budd AF (2001) Recognizing coral species past and present. In: Jackson JBC, Lidgard S, McKinney FK (eds) Evolutionary patterns: growth, form, and tempo in the fossil record. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 97–119
- Knowlton N, Weil E, Weigt LA, Guzman HM (1992) Sibling species in *Montastraea annularis* , coral bleaching, and the coral climate record. Science 255:330–333
- Knowlton N, Mate JL, Guzman HM et al (1997) Direct evidence for reproductive isolation among the three species of the *Montastraea annularis* complex in central America (Panama and Honduras). Mar Biol 127:705–711. doi[:10.1007/s002270050061](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002270050061)
- Ladner JT, Palumbi SR (2012) Extensive sympatry, cryptic diversity and introgression throughout the geographic distribution of two coral species complexes. Mol Ecol 21:2224–2238. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05528.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05528.x)
- Lam KKY, Morton B (2003) Morphological and ITS1, 5.8S, and partial ITS2 ribosomal DNA sequence distinctions between two species of *Platygyra* (Cnidaria: Scleractinia) from Hong Kong. Mar Biotechnol 5:555–567
- Lamarck J-BP (1801) Système des animaux sans vertèbres. Lamarck et Deterville, Paris
- Lang JC (1984) Whatever works: the variable importance of skeletal and of non-skeletal characters in scleractinian taxonomy. Palaeontogr Am 54:18–44
- Le Goff MC, Rogers AD (2002) Characterization of 10 microsatellite loci for the deep-sea coral *Lophelia pertusa* (Linnaeus 1758). Mol Ecol Notes 2:164–166. doi:[10.1046/j.1471-8286.2002.00190.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8286.2002.00190.x)
- Le Goff-Vitry MC, Rogers AD, Baglow D (2004) A deep-sea slant on the molecular phylogeny of the Scleractinia. Mol Phylogenet Evol 30:167–177. doi[:10.1016/S1055-7903\(03\)00162-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1055-7903(03)00162-3)
- Lemmon AR, Emme SA, Lemmon EM (2012) Anchored hybrid enrichment for massively high-throughput phylogenomics. Syst Biol 61:727–744. doi[:10.1093/sysbio/sys049](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys049)
- Levitan DR, Fukami H, Jara J et al (2004) Mechanisms of reproductive isolation among sympatric broadcast-spawning corals of the *Montastraea annularis* species complex. Evolution 58:308–323
- Levitan DR, Fogarty ND, Jara J et al (2011) Genetic, spatial, and temporal components of precise spawning synchrony in reef building corals of the *Montastraea annularis* species complex. Evolution 65:1254–1270. doi:[10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01235.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01235.x)
- Licuanan WY (2009) Guide to the common corals of the Bolinao-Anda reef complex, northwestern Philippines. U.P. Marine Science Institute, Diliman
- <span id="page-15-0"></span> Lin M-F, Luzon KS, Licuanan WY et al (2011) Seventy-four universal primers for characterizing the complete mitochondrial genomes of scleractinian corals (Cnidaria; Anthozoa). Zool Stud 50:513–524
- Lin M-F, Kitahara MV, Luo H et al (2014) Mitochondrial genome rearrangements in the Scleractinia/Corallimorpharia complex: implications for coral phylogeny. Genome Biol Evol 6:1086–1095. doi[:10.1093/gbe/evu084](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evu084)
- Linnaeus C (1758) Systema naturæ per regna tria naturæ, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiæ
- Liu L (2008) BEST: Bayesian estimation of species trees under the coalescent model. Bioinformatics 24:2542–2543
- Liu L, Pearl DK (2007) Species trees from gene trees: reconstructing Bayesian posterior distributions of a species phylogeny using estimated gene tree distributions. Syst Biol 56:504–514
- Liu L, Pearl DK, Brumfield RT, Edwards SV (2008) Estimating species trees using multiple-allele DNA sequence data. Evolution 62:2080–2091
- Lopez JV, Knowlton N (1997) Discrimination of species in the *Montastraea annularis* complex using multiple genetic loci. Proc 8th Int Coral Reef Symp 2:1613–1618
- Lopez JV, Kersanach R, Rehner SA, Knowlton N (1999) Molecular determination of species boundaries in corals: genetic analysis of the *Montastraea annularis* complex using amplified fragment length polymorphisms and a microsatellite marker. Biol Bull 196:80–93
- Lowenstam HA, Weiner S (1989) On biomineralization. Oxford University Press, New York
- Lowenstein JM (1985) Molecular approaches to the identification of species. Am Sci 73:541–547
- Loya Y, Sakai K, Heyward A (2009) Reproductive patterns of fungiid corals in Okinawa, Japan. Galaxea 11:119–129
- Luck DG, Forsman ZH, Toonen RJ et al (2013) Polyphyly and hidden species among Hawai'i's dominant mesophotic coral genera, *Leptoseris* and *Pavona* (Scleractinia: Agariciidae). Peer J 1:e132. doi[:10.7717/peerj.132](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.132)
- Maddison WP, Knowles LL (2006) Inferring phylogeny despite incomplete lineage sorting. Syst Biol 55:21–30
- Magalon H, Samadi S, Richard M et al (2004) Development of coral and zooxanthella-specific microsatellites in three species of *Pocillopora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) from French Polynesia. Mol Ecol Notes 4:206–208
- Maier E, Tollrian R, Nürnberger B (2001) Development of speciesspecific markers in an organism with endosymbionts: microsatellites in the scleractinian coral *Seriatopora hystrix* . Mol Ecol Notes 1:157–159. doi:[10.1046/j.1471-8278.2001.00058.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8278.2001.00058.x)
- Mangubhai S, Souter P, Grahn M (2007) Phenotypic variation in the coral *Platygyra daedalea* in Kenya: morphometry and genetics. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 345:105–115
- Manica A, Carter RW (2000) Morphological and fluorescence analysis of the *Montastraea annularis* species complex in Florida. Mar Biol 137:899–906
- Marcelino LA, Westneat MW, Stoyneva V et al (2013) Modulation of light-enhancement to symbiotic algae by light-scattering in corals and evolutionary trends in bleaching. PLoS One 8:e61492. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0061492](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061492)
- Márquez LM, van Oppen MJH, Willis BL et al (2002) The highly crossfertile coral species, *Acropora hyacinthus* and *Acropora cytherea* , constitute statistically distinguishable lineages. Mol Ecol 11:1339–1349
- Márquez LM, Miller DJ, MacKenzie JB, van Oppen MJH (2003) Pseudogenes contribute to the extreme diversity of nuclear ribosomal DNA in the hard coral *Acropora* . Mol Biol Evol 20:1077– 1086. doi[:10.1093/molbev/msg122](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msg122)
- Marti-Puig P, Forsman ZH, Haverkort-Yeh RD et al (2014) Extreme phenotypic polymorphism in the coral genus *Pocillopora*; micromorphology corresponds to mitochondrial groups, while colony

morphology does not. Bull Mar Sci 90:211–231. doi[:10.5343/](http://dx.doi.org/10.5343/bms.2012.1080) [bms.2012.1080](http://dx.doi.org/10.5343/bms.2012.1080) 

- McCormack JE, Hird SM, Zellmer AJ et al (2013) Applications of nextgeneration sequencing to phylogeography and phylogenetics. Mol Phylogenet Evol 66:526–538. doi:[10.1016/j.ympev.2011.12.007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.12.007)
- McMillan J, Miller DJ (1988) Restriction analysis and DNA hybridization applied to the resolution of *Acropora nobilis* from *Acropora formosa* . Proc 6th Int Coral Reef Symp 2:775–777
- McMillan J, Miller DJ (1989) Nucleotide sequences of highly repetitive DNA from scleractinian corals. Gene 83:185–186. doi:[10.1016/0378-1119\(89\)90418-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(89)90418-6)
- McMillan J, Mahony T, Veron JEN, Miller DJ (1991) Nucleotide sequencing of highly repetitive DNA from seven species in the coral genus *Acropora* (Cnidaria: Scleractinia) implies a division contrary to morphological criteria. Mar Biol 110:323–327. doi[:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01344350) [BF01344350](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01344350)
- Medina M, Weil E, Szmant AM (1999) Examination of the *Montastraea annularis* species complex (Cnidaria: Scleractinia) using ITS and COI sequences. Mar Biotechnol 1:89–97
- Medina M, Collins AG, Takaoka TL et al (2006) Naked corals: skeleton loss in Scleractinia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:9096–9100
- Miller KJ, Babcock RC (1997) Conflicting morphological and reproductive species boundaries in the coral genus *Platygyra* . Biol Bull 192:98–110
- Miller KJ, Benzie JAH (1997) No clear genetic distinction between morphological species within the coral genus *Platygyra* . Bull Mar Sci 61:907–917
- Miller KJ, Howard CG (2004) Isolation of microsatellites from two species of scleractinian coral. Mol Ecol Notes 4:11–13. doi:[10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00555.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00555.x)
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1848a) Recherches sur les polypiers. Deuxième mémoire. Monographie des Turbinolides. Ann Sci Nat, 3e Sér 9:211–344
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1848b) Recherches sur les polypiers. Premier mémoire. Observations sur la structure et le developpement des polypiers en genéral. Ann Sci Nat, 3e Sér 9:37–89
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1848c) Recherches sur les polypiers. Quatrième mémoire. Monographie des Astréides. Ann Sci Nat, 3e Sér 10:209–320
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1848d) Recherches sur les polypiers. Troisième mémoire. Monographie des Eupsammidae. Ann Sci Nat, 3e Sér 10:65–114
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1848e) Note sur la classification de la deuxième tribu de la famille des Astréides. C R Séances Acad Sci 27:490–497
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1850) Recherches sur les polypiers. Cinquième mémoire. Monographie des Oculinides. Ann Sci Nat, 3e Sér 13:63–110
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1851a) Recherches sur les polypiers. Septième mémoire. Monographie des Poritides. Ann Sci Nat, 3e Sér 16:21–70
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1851b) Recherches sur les polypiers. Sixième mémoire. Monographie des Fongides. Ann Sci Nat, 3e Sér 15:73–144
- Milne Edwards H, Haime J (1857) Histoire naturelle des coralliaires, ou polypes proprement dits. Tome second. Zoanthaires sclérodermés (Zoantharia Sclerodermata) ou madréporaires. Roret, Paris
- Odorico DM, Miller DJ (1997) Variation in the ribosomal internal transcribed spacers and 5.8S rDNA among five species of *Acropora* (Cnidaria; Scleractinia): patterns of variation consistent with reticulate evolution. Mol Biol Evol 14:465–473
- Okubo N, Mezaki T, Nozawa Y et al (2013) Comparative embryology of eleven species of stony corals (Scleractinia). PLoS One 8:e84115. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0084115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084115)
- Pallas PS (1766) Elenchus Zoophytorum Sistens Generum Adumbrationes Generaliores et Specierum Cognitarum Succintas

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Descriptiones, cum Selectis Auctorum Synonymis. Apud Franciscum Varrentrapp, Hagæ Comitum

- Palumbi SR, Vollmer SV, Romano SL et al (2012) The role of genes in understanding the evolutionary ecology of reef building corals. Evol Ecol 26:317–335. doi:[10.1007/s10682-011-9517-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10682-011-9517-3)
- Pandolfi JM (1992) Successive isolation rather than evolutionary centres for the origination of Indo-Pacific reef corals. J Biogeogr 19:593–609. doi[:10.2307/2845703](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2845703)
- Philippe H, Telford MJ (2006) Large-scale sequencing and the new animal phylogeny. Trends Ecol Evol 21:614–620. doi[:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.08.004) [tree.2006.08.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.08.004)
- Philippe H, Derelle R, Lopez P et al (2009) Phylogenomics revives traditional views on deep animal relationships. Curr Biol 19:706–712. doi[:10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.052](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.052)
- Pinzón JH, LaJeunesse TC (2010) Species delimitation of common reef corals in the genus *Pocillopora* using nucleotide sequence phylogenies, population genetics and symbiosis ecology. Mol Ecol 20:311–325. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04939.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04939.x)
- Pinzón JH, Reyes Bonilla H, Baums IB, LaJeunesse TC (2012) Contrasting clonal structure among *Pocillopora* (Scleractinia) communities at two environmentally distinct sites in the Gulf of California. Coral Reefs 31:765–777. doi[:10.1007/s00338-012-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-012-0887-y) [0887-y](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-012-0887-y)
- Pinzón JH, Sampayo E, Cox E et al (2013) Blind to morphology: genetics identifies several widespread ecologically common species and few endemics among Indo-Pacific cauliflower corals (Pocillopora, Scleractinia). J Biogeogr 40:1595–1608. doi[:10.1111/jbi.12110](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12110)
- Pochon X, Forsman ZH, Spalding HL et al (2015) Depth specialization in mesophotic corals (*Leptoseris* spp.) and associated algal symbionts in Hawai'i. R Soc Open Sci 2:140351. doi:[10.1073/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700466104) [pnas.0700466104](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700466104)
- Posada D, Crandall KA (2001) Selecting the best-fit model of nucleotide substitution. Syst Biol 50:580–601. doi:[10.1080/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150118469) [10635150118469](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150118469)
- Prada C, DeBiasse MB, Neigel JE et al (2014) Genetic species delineation among branching Caribbean *Porites* corals. Coral Reefs 33:1019–1030. doi:[10.1007/s00338-014-1179-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-014-1179-5)
- Regier JC, Shultz JW, Zwick A et al (2010) Arthropod relationships revealed by phylogenomic analysis of nuclear protein-coding sequences. Nature 463:1079–1083. doi:[10.1038/nature08742](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08742)
- Richards ZT, van Oppen MJH, Wallace CC et al (2008) Some rare Indo-Pacific coral species are probably hybrids. PLoS One 3:e3240. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0003240](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003240)
- Richards ZT, Miller DJ, Wallace CC (2013) Molecular phylogenetics of geographically restricted *Acropora* species: implications for threatened species conservation. Mol Phylogenet Evol 69:837–851. doi[:10.1016/j.ympev.2013.06.020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2013.06.020)
- Ridgway T (2005) Allozyme electrophoresis still represents a powerful technique in the management of coral reefs. Biodivers Conserv 14:135–149. doi[:10.1007/s10531-005-4054-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10531-005-4054-4)
- Rodriguez-Lanetty M, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2002) The phylogeography and connectivity of the latitudinally widespread scleractinian coral Plesiastrea versipora in the western Pacific. Mol Ecol 11:1177–1189
- Romano SL, Cairns SD (2000) Molecular phylogenetic hypotheses for the evolution of scleractinian corals. Bull Mar Sci 67:1043–1068
- Romano SL, Palumbi SR (1996) Evolution of scleractinian corals inferred from molecular systematics. Science 271:640–642. doi[:10.1126/science.271.5249.640](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.271.5249.640)
- Romano SL, Palumbi SR (1997) Molecular evolution of a portion of the mitochondrial 16S ribosomal gene region in scleractinian corals. J Mol Evol 45:397–411. doi:[10.1007/PL00006245](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/PL00006245)
- Romano SL, Richmond RH (2000) PCR-generated DNA fragment markers for assessing genetic variation within scleractinian coral species. Proc 9th Int Coral Reef Symp 1:125–130
- Roniewicz E (1989) Triassic scleractinian corals of the Zlambach Beds, northern Calcareous Alps, Austria. Denk Österr Akad Wiss Math Naturw Klasse 126:1–152
- Roniewicz E, Morycowa E (1993) Evolution of the Scleractinia in the light of microstructural data. Cour Forsch Inst Senckenberg 164:233–240
- Roniewicz E, Stanley GD Jr (1998) Middle Triassic cnidarians from the New Pass Range, central Nevada. J Paleontol 72:246–256
- Roniewicz E, Stolarski J (1999) Evolutionary trends in the epithecate scleractinian corals. Acta Palaeontol Pol 44:131–166
- Roniewicz E, Stolarski J (2001) Triassic roots of the amphiastraeid scleractinian corals. J Paleontol 75:34–45. doi[:10.1666/0022-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1666/0022-3360(2001)075<0034:TROTAS>2.0.CO;2) [3360\(2001\)075<0034:TROTAS>2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1666/0022-3360(2001)075<0034:TROTAS>2.0.CO;2)
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574
- Rubin BER, Ree RH, Moreau CS (2012) Inferring phylogenies from RAD sequence data. PLoS One 7:e33394. doi[:10.1371/journal.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033394) [pone.0033394](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033394)
- Schmidt-Roach S, Lundgren P, Miller KJ et al (2013) Assessing hidden species diversity in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* from eastern Australia. Coral Reefs 32:161–172. doi[:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-012-0959-z) [s00338-012-0959-z](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-012-0959-z)
- Schmidt-Roach S, Miller KJ, Lundgren P, Andreakis N (2014) With eyes wide open: a revision of species within and closely related to the *Pocillopora damicornis* species complex (Scleractinia; Pocilloporidae) using morphology and genetics. Zool J Linn Soc 170:1–33. doi:[10.1111/zoj.12092](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12092)
- Schwartz SA, Budd AF, Carlon DB (2012) Molecules and fossils reveal punctuated diversification in Caribbean "faviid" corals. BMC Evol Biol 12:123. doi[:10.1186/1471-2148-12-123](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-12-123)
- Scrutton CT (1993) A new kilbuchophyllid coral from the Ordovician of the southern uplands, Scotland. Cour Forsch Inst Senckenberg 164:153–158
- Scrutton CT, Clarkson ENK (1991) A new scleractinian-like coral from the Ordovician of the southern uplands, Scotland. Palaeontology 34:179–194
- Serrano X, Baums IB, O'Reilly K et al (2014) Geographic differences in vertical connectivity in the Caribbean coral *Montastraea cavernosa* despite high levels of horizontal connectivity at shallow depths. Mol Ecol 23:4226–4240. doi[:10.1111/mec.12861](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12861)
- Severance EG, Szmant AM, Karl SA (2004a) Microsatellite loci isolated from the Caribbean coral, *Montastraea annularis* . Mol Ecol Notes 4:74–76
- Severance EG, Szmant AM, Karl SA (2004b) Single-copy gene markers isolated from the Caribbean coral, *Montastraea annularis* . Mol Ecol Notes 4:167–169
- Shearer TL, Coffroth MA (2004) Isolation of microsatellite loci from the scleractinian corals, *Montastraea cavernosa* and *Porites astreoides* . Mol Ecol Notes 4:435–437. doi:[10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00653.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00653.x)
- Shearer TL, van Oppen MJH, Romano SL, Wörheide G (2002) Slow mitochondrial DNA sequence evolution in the Anthozoa (Cnidaria). Mol Ecol 11:2475–2487
- Shinzato C, Shoguchi E, Kawashima T et al (2011) Using the *Acropora digitifera* genome to understand coral responses to environmental change. Nature 476:320–323. doi[:10.1038/nature10249](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10249)
- Smith C, Chen CA, Yang H-P, Miller DJ (1997) A PCR-based method for assaying molecular variation in corals based on RFLP analysis of the ribosomal intergenic spacer region. Mol Ecol 6:683–685. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-294X.1997.00226.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.1997.00226.x)
- Stamatakis A (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22:2688–2690. doi[:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446)
- Stanley GD Jr (2003) The evolution of modern corals and their early history. Earth Sci Rev 60:195–225
- <span id="page-17-0"></span> Stefani F, Benzoni F, Pichon M et al (2008a) A multidisciplinary approach to the definition of species boundaries in branching species of the coral genus *Psammocora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). Zool Scr 37:71–91. doi[:10.1111/j.1463-6409.2007.00309.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2007.00309.x)
- Stefani F, Benzoni F, Pichon M et al (2008b) Genetic and morphometric evidence for unresolved species boundaries in the coral genus *Psammocora* (Cnidaria; Scleractinia). Hydrobiologia 596:153–172. doi[:10.1007/s10750-007-9092-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-9092-3)
- Stefani F, Benzoni F, Yang S-Y et al (2011) Comparison of morphological and genetic analyses reveals cryptic divergence and morphological plasticity in *Stylophora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). Coral Reefs 30:1033–1049. doi:[10.1007/s00338-011-0797-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0797-4)
- Stobart B, Benzie JAH (1994) Allozyme electrophoresis demonstrates that the scleractinian coral *Montipora digitata* is two species. Mar Biol 118:183–190. doi:[10.1007/BF00349784](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00349784)
- Stoddart JA (1983) Asexual production of planulae in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* . Mar Biol 76:279–284. doi:[10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00393029) [BF00393029](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00393029)
- Stoddart JA (1984) Genetical structure within populations of the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* . Mar Biol 81:19–30. doi:[10.1007/BF00397621](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00397621)
- Stolarski J, Roniewicz E (2001) Towards a new synthesis of evolutionary relationships and classification of Scleractinia. J Paleontol 75:1090–1108
- Stolarski J, Kitahara MV, Miller DJ et al (2011) The ancient evolutionary origins of Scleractinia revealed by azooxanthellate corals. BMC Evol Biol 11:316. doi:[10.1186/1471-2148-11-316](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-316)
- Suzuki G, Fukami H (2012) Evidence of genetic and reproductive isolation between two morphs of subtropical-dominant coral *Acropora solitaryensis* in the non-reef region of Japan. Zool Sci 29:134–140. doi[:10.2108/zsj.29.134](http://dx.doi.org/10.2108/zsj.29.134)
- Suzuki G, Nomura K (2013) Species boundaries of *Astreopora* corals (Scleractinia, Acroporidae) inferred by mitochondrial and nuclear molecular markers. Zool Sci 30:626–632. doi[:10.2108/zsj.30.626](http://dx.doi.org/10.2108/zsj.30.626)
- Szmant AM, Weil E, Miller MW, Colon DE (1997) Hybridization within the species complex of the scleractinan coral *Montastraea annularis* . Mar Biol 129:561–572
- Takabayashi M, Carter DA, Loh WKW, Hoegh-Guldberg O (1998a) A coral-specific primer for PCR amplification of the internal transcribed spacer region in ribosomal DNA. Mol Ecol 7:928–930
- Takabayashi M, Carter DA, Ward S, Hoegh-Guldberg O (1998b) Interand intra-specific variability in ribosomal DNA sequence in the internal transcribed spacer region of corals. In: Proceedings of the Australian Coral Reef Society 75th anniversary conference, Heron Island, Oct 1997. School of Marine Science, University of Queensland, Brisbane, pp 241–248
- Takabayashi M, Carter DA, Lopez JV, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2003) Genetic variation of the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata* , from western Pacific reefs. Coral Reefs 22:17-22
- Tay YC, Noreen AME, Suharsono et al (2015) Genetic connectivity of the broadcast spawning reef coral *Platygyra sinensis* on impacted reefs, and the description of new microsatellite markers. Coral Reefs 34:301–311. doi[:10.1007/s00338-014-1206-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-014-1206-6)
- Todd PA (2008) Morphological plasticity in scleractinian corals. Biol Rev 83:315–337. doi:[10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.00045.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.00045.x)
- Torda G, Lundgren P, Willis BL, van Oppen MJH (2013a) Revisiting the connectivity puzzle of the common coral *Pocillopora damicornis* . Mol Ecol 22:5805–5820. doi:[10.1111/mec.12540](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12540)
- Torda G, Lundgren P, Willis BL, van Oppen MJH (2013b) Genetic assignment of recruits reveals short and long distance larval dispersal in *Pocillopora damicornis* on the Great Barrier Reef. Mol Ecol 22:5821–5834. doi:[10.1111/mec.12539](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12539)
- Torda G, Schmidt-Roach S, Peplow LM et al (2013c) A rapid genetic assay for the identification of the most common *Pocillopora dami*-

*cornis* genetic lineages on the Great Barrier Reef. PLoS One 8:e58447. doi[:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058447)

- Tsang LM, Chu KH, Nozawa Y, Chan BKK (2014) Morphological and host specificity evolution in coral symbiont barnacles (Balanomorpha: Pyrgomatidae) inferred from a multi-locus phylogeny. Mol Phylogenet Evol 77:11–22. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.03.002) [ympev.2014.03.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.03.002)
- Tseng C-C, Wallace CC, Chen CA (2005) Mitogenomic analysis of *Montipora cactus* and *Anacropora matthai* (cnidaria; scleractinia; acroporidae) indicates an unequal rate of mitochondrial evolution among Acroporidae corals. Coral Reefs 24:502–508. doi[:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-005-0499-x) [s00338-005-0499-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-005-0499-x)
- van Oppen MJH, Willis BL, Miller DJ (1999) Atypically low rate of cytochrome b evolution in the scleractinian coral genus *Acropora* . Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 266:179–183
- van Oppen MJH, Willis BL, van Vugt HWJA, Miller DJ (2000) Examination of species boundaries in the *Acropora cervicornis* group (Scleractinia, Cnidaria) using nuclear DNA sequence analyses. Mol Ecol 9:1363–1373
- van Oppen MJH, McDonald BJ, Willis BL, Miller DJ (2001) The evolutionary history of the coral genus *Acropora* (Scleractinia, Cnidaria) based on a mitochondrial and a nuclear marker: reticulation, incomplete lineage sorting, or morphological convergence? Mol Biol Evol 18:1315–1329
- van Oppen MJH, Willis BL, Van Rheede T, Miller DJ (2002) Spawning times, reproductive compatibilities and genetic structuring in the *Acropora aspera* group: evidence for natural hybridization and semi-permeable species boundaries in corals. Mol Ecol 11:1363–1376
- van Oppen MJH, Koolmees EM, Veron JEN (2004) Patterns of evolution in the scleractinian coral genus *Montipora* (Acroporidae). Mar Biol 144:9–18. doi:[10.1007/s00227-003-1188-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1188-3)
- van Veghel MLJ (1994) Reproductive characteristics of the polymorphic Caribbean reef building coral *Montastrea annularis* . I. Gametogenesis and spawning behavior. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 109:209–219
- van Veghel MLJ, Bak RPM (1993) Intraspecific variation of a dominant Caribbean reef building coral, *Montastrea annularis*: genetic, behavioral, and morphometric aspects. Mar Ecol Prog Ser  $92.255 - 265$
- van Veghel MLJ, Bak RPM (1994) Reproductive characteristics of the polymorphic Caribbean reef building coral *Montastrea annularis* . III. Reproduction in damaged and regenerating colonies. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 109:229–233
- van Veghel MLJ, Bosscher H (1995) Variation in linear growth and skeletal density within the polymorphic reef building coral *Montastrea annularis* . Bull Mar Sci 56:902–908
- van Veghel MLJ, Kahmann MEH (1994) Reproductive characteristics of the polymorphic Caribbean reef building coral *Montastrea annularis* . II. Fecundity and colony structure. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 109:221–227
- van Veghel MLJ, Cleary DFR, Bak RPM (1996) Interspecific interactions and competitive ability of the polymorphic reef-building coral *Montastrea annularis* . Bull Mar Sci 58:792–803
- Vaughan TW, Wells JW (1943) Revision of the suborders, families, and genera of the Scleractinia. Geol Soc Am Spec Pap 44:1–345
- Veron JEN (1986) Corals of Australia and the Indo-Pacific. Angus and Robertson, Sydney
- Veron JEN (1995) Corals in space and time. UNSW Press, Sydney
- Veron JEN (2000) Corals of the world. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville
- Veron JEN (2013) Overview of the taxonomy of zooxanthellate Scleractinia. Zool J Linn Soc 169:485–508. doi:[10.1111/zoj.12076](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12076)

<span id="page-18-0"></span> Veron JEN, Wallace CC (1984) Scleractinia of eastern Australia. Part V. Family Acroporidae. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville

 Veron JEN, Odorico DM, Chen CA, Miller DJ (1996) Reassessing evolutionary relationships of scleractinian corals. Coral Reefs 15:1–9. doi[:10.1007/BF01626073](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01626073) 

- Vollmer SV, Palumbi SR (2002) Hybridization and the evolution of reef coral diversity. Science 296:2023–2025
- Vollmer SV, Palumbi SR (2004) Testing the utility of internally transcribed spacer sequences in coral phylogenetics. Mol Ecol 13:2763–2772
- Wallace CC (1999) Staghorn corals of the world: a revision of the coral genus *Acropora* . CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood
- Wallace CC (2012) Acroporidae of the Caribbean. Geol Belg 15:388–393
- Wallace CC, Chen CA, Fukami H, Muir PR (2007) Recognition of separate genera within *Acropora* based on new morphological, reproductive and genetic evidence from *Acropora togianensis* , and elevation of the subgenus *Isopora* Studer, 1878 to genus (Scleractinia: Astrocoeniidae; Acroporidae). Coral Reefs 26:231– 239. doi[:10.1007/s00338-007-0203-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-007-0203-4)
- Wallace CC, Done BJ, Muir PR (2012) Revision and catalogue of worldwide staghorn corals *Acropora* and *Isopora* (Scleractinia: Acroporidae) in the Museum of Tropical Queensland. Mem Queensland Mus 57:1–255
- Wang W, Omori M, Hayashibara T et al (1995) Isolation and characterization of a mini-collagen gene encoding a nematocyst capsule protein from a reef-building coral, *Acropora donei* . Gene 152:195–200. doi[:10.1016/0378-1119\(95\)00644-L](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(95)00644-L)
- Wei NV, Wallace CC, Dai C-F et al (2006) Analyses of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacers (ITS) and the 5.8S gene indicate that extremely high rDNA heterogeneity is a unique feature in the scleractinian coral genus *Acropora* (Scleractinia; Acroporidae). Zool Stud 45:404–418
- Wei NV, Hsieh HJ, Dai C-F et al (2012) Reproductive isolation among *Acropora* species (Scleractinia: Acroporidae) in a marginal coral assemblage. Zool Stud 51:85–92
- Weil E, Knowlton N (1994) A multi-character analysis of the Caribbean coral *Montastraea annularis* (Ellis and Solander, 1786) and its two

sibling species, *M. faveolata* (Ellis and Solander, 1786) and *M. franksi* (Gregory, 1895). Bull Mar Sci 55:151–175

- Wells JW (1956) Scleractinia. In: Moore RC (ed) Treatise on invertebrate paleontology. Part F: Coelenterata. Geological Society of America and University of Kansas Press, Lawrence, pp F328–F444
- Wheeler QD (2004) Taxonomic triage and the poverty of phylogeny. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 359:571–583
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor WJ (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications. Academic, San Diego, pp 315–322
- Willis BL, Ayre DJ (1985) Asexual reproduction and genetic determination of growth form in the coral *Pavona cactus*: biochemical genetic and immunogenic evidence. Oecologia 65:516–525. doi:[10.1007/BF00379666](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00379666)
- Willis BL, Babcock RC, Harrison PL, Wallace CC (1997) Experimental hybridization and breeding incompatibilities within the mating systems of mass spawning reef corals. Coral Reefs 16:S53–S65
- Willis BL, van Oppen MJH, Miller DJ et al (2006) The role of hybridization in the evolution of reef corals. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 37:489–517. doi[:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110136](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110136)
- Wolstenholme JK (2004) Temporal reproductive isolation and gametic compatibility are evolutionary mechanisms in the *Acropora humilis* species group (Cnidaria; Scleractinia). Mar Biol 144:567–582
- Wolstenholme JK, Wallace CC, Chen CA (2003) Species boundaries within the *Acropora humilis* species group (Cnidaria; Scleractinia): a morphological and molecular interpretation of evolution. Coral Reefs 22:155–166. doi:[10.1007/s00338-003-0299-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00338-003-0299-0)
- Wood  $E(1983)$  Reef corals of the world: biology and field guide. TFH Publications, Hong Kong
- Work TM, Aeby GS (2014) Microbial aggregates within tissues infect a diversity of corals throughout the Indo-Pacific. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 500:1–9. doi:[10.3354/meps10698](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps10698)
- Zilberberg C, Peluso L, Marques JA, Cunha H (2014) Polymorphic microsatellite loci for endemic *Mussismilia* corals (Anthozoa: Scleractinia) of the southwest Atlantic Ocean. J Hered 105:572– 575. doi:[10.1093/jhered/esu023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esu023)