Chapter 4 Axion and Dilaton + Metric Emerge Jointly from an Electromagnetic Model Universe with Local and Linear Response Behavior

Friedrich W. Hehl

Universally coupled, thus gravitational, scalar fields are still active players in contemporary theoretical physics. So, what is the relationship between the scalar of scalar-tensor theories, the dilaton and the inflaton? Clearly this is an unanswered and important question. The scalar field is still alive and active, if not always well, in current gravity research.

Carl H. Brans (1997)

Abstract We take a quick look at the different possible universally coupled scalar fields in nature. Then, we discuss how the gauging of the group of scale transformations (dilations), together with the Poincaré group, leads to a Weyl-Cartan spacetime structure. There the *dilaton* field finds a natural surrounding. Moreover, we describe shortly the phenomenology of the hypothetical *axion* field. In the second part of our essay, we consider a spacetime, the structure of which is exclusively specified by the premetric Maxwell equations and a fourth rank electromagnetic response tensor density $\chi^{ijkl} = -\chi^{jikl} = -\chi^{ijlk}$ with 36 independent components. This tensor density incorporates the permittivities, permeabilities, and the magneto-electric moduli of spacetime. No metric, no connection, no further property is prescribed. If we forbid birefringence (double-refraction) in this model of spacetime, we eventually end up with the fields of an axion, a dilaton, and the 10 components of a metric tensor with Lorentz signature. If the dilaton becomes a constant (the vacuum admittance) and the axion field vanishes, we recover the Riemannian spacetime of general relativity theory. Thus, the metric is encapsulated in χ^{ijkl} , it can be *derived* from it.

F.W. Hehl (🖂)

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, 50923 Cologne, Germany e-mail: hehl@thp.uni-koeln.de

F.W. Hehl Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

T. Asselmeyer-Maluga (ed.), At the Frontier of Spacetime,

Fundamental Theories of Physics 183, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-31299-6_4

[©] Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

4.1 Dilaton and Axion Fields

4.1.1 Scalar Fields

The Jordan-Brans¹-Dicke *scalar*, the *dilaton*, the *axion*, the *inflaton*—scalar fields everywhere—and eventually even one, the scalar, that is, the spinless *Higgs* boson H^0 , which has been found experimentally as heavy as some 134 protons. These different scalar fields² are not necessarily independent from each other, it could be, for example, that the JBD-scalar can be identified with the dilaton (see [30]) or the Higgs boson with the inflaton (see [6, 7]). Thus, the list of potentially existing universally coupled scalar fields could be somewhat smaller. For the history of the JBD-scalar, one can compare Brans [11] and Goenner [32] and, for the role of the inflaton in different models, Vennin et al. [97].

4.1.2 Einstein Gravity and the Energy-Momentum Current

As remarked by Brans [10] in the quotation above, if universally coupled, the scalar fields are intrinsically related to the gravitational field. In Einstein's theory of gravity, general relativity (GR), the gravitational potential is the metric g_{ij} , with i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3 as (holonomic) coordinate indices. As its source acts the symmetric energy-momentum tensor T_{ij} of matter. This is a second rank tensor, which is generated already in special relativity (SR) with the help of the group T(4) of *translations* in space and in time. Together with the Lorentz transformations SO(1, 3), the translations T(4) build up the Poincaré group P(1, 3) as a semi-direct product: $P(1, 3) = T(4) \rtimes SO(1, 3)$. This is the group of motion in the Minkowski space of special relativity, see [31].

Accordingly, if one desires to understand gravity from the point of view of the gauge principle, the T(4) is an indispensable part of these considerations. However, being only *one* piece of the P(1, 3), it is suggestive to gauge the complete P(1, 3). This is exactly what Sciama and Kibble did during the beginning 1960s, see [73], [9, Chap. 4], and [16].

²We skip here the plethora of *scalar* mesons,

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi^{\pm}, \pi^{0}, \eta, f_{0}(500), \eta'(958), f_{0}(980), a_{0}(980), ..., \\ &K^{\pm}, K^{0}, K^{0}_{S}, K^{0}_{L}, K^{*}_{0}(1430), D^{\pm}, D^{0}, D^{*}_{0}(2400)^{0}, D^{\pm}_{s}, ...; \end{aligned}$$

¹Carl Brans is one of the pioneers of the scalar-tensor theory of gravitation. This essay is dedicated to Carl on the occasion of his 80th birthday with all best wishes to him and his family. During the year of 1998, we had the privilege to host Carl, as an Alexander von Humboldt awardee, for several months at the University of Cologne. I remember with pleasure the many lively discussions we had on scalars, on structures of spacetime, on physics in general, and on various other topics.

they are all composed of two quarks. Thus, the scalar mesons do not belong to the fundamental particles.

4.1.3 Einstein-Cartan Gravity: The Additional Spin Current

This gauging of the P(1, 3) extends the geometrical framework of gravity. The 4 translational potentials e_i^{α} and the 6 Lorentz potentials $\Gamma_i^{\alpha\beta} = -\Gamma_i^{\beta\alpha}$ span a Riemann-Cartan spacetime, enriching the Riemannian spacetime of GR by the presence of Cartan's torsion; here $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are (anholonomic) frame indices. Whereas the translational potentials couple to the canonical energy-momentum tensor of matter $\Sigma_{\alpha}{}^i$, the Lorentz potentials couple to the canonical spin current of matter $\tau_{\alpha\beta}{}^i = -\tau_{\beta\alpha}{}^i$.

The simplest version of the emerging Poincaré gauge models is the Einstein-Cartan theory (EC), a viable gravitational theory competing with GR if highest matter densities are involved. If l_{Planck} denotes the Planck length and λ_{Compton} the Compton wave length of a particle, then deviations of the Einstein-Cartan from Einstein's theory are expected at length scales of below $\sim (l_{\text{Planck}}^2 \lambda_{\text{Compton}})^{1/3}$; for protons, prevalent in the early cosmos, it is about 10^{-29} m. According to Mukhanov [65], it is exactly this order of magnitude down to which, according to recent cosmological data, GR is known to be valid.

From a gauge theoretical point of view, the EC-theory looks more reasonable than GR since the Einsteinian principles of how to heuristically derive a gravitational theory were followed closely: they were just applied to fermionic matter instead of to macroscopic point particles or Euler fluids or to classical electromagnetism, as Einstein did.

Incidentally, in the EC-theory and, more generally, in the Poincaré gauge theory, the Poincaré and, in particular, the Lorentz covariance are valid locally by construction, similar as in a SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, we have local SU(2) covariant. Kostelecký (priv. comm., Jan. 2016) agrees that the "Einstein-Cartan theory maintains local Lorentz invariance." Then the same is true for a Poincaré gauge theory, which acts likewise in a Riemann-Cartan spacetime with torsion and curvature. However, in an experimental set-up, according to Kostelecký [48], torsion must be considered as an external field and, according to the "standard lore for backgrounds," local Lorentz invariance is broken. By the same token, an external magnetic field in electrodynamics breaks local Lorentz invariance. This is, in my opinion, an abuse of language, which conveys the wrong message that the existence of a torsion field violates local Lorentz invariance.

If, for theoretical reasons, one wants to evade the emergence of the Riemann-Cartan spacetime, then one can manipulate, in the underlying Minkowski space, the intrinsic or spin part of the total angular momentum of matter in such a way that it vanishes on the cost of increasing the orbital part of it by the corresponding amount, see [63]. This procedure is called Belinfante-Rosenfeld symmetrization of the canonical energy-momentum current, which, in general, is defined as an asymmetric tensor by the Noether procedure. Accordingly, by symmetrization the energy-momentum current is made fit to act as a source of the Einstein field equation. In this way, one can effectively sweep the spin and the torsion under the rug and can live happily forever in the paradise of the Riemannian spacetime of GR.

Of course, in the end observations and/or experiments will decide which of the two theories, GR or EC, will survive. We opt for the latter.

4.1.4 Dilaton Field and Dilation Current

The dilaton field ϕ entered life as a Nambu-Goldstone boson of broken scale invariance, see Fujii in [30]. Thus, ϕ is related to dilat[at]ions or scale transformations in space and time. But the dilaton also occurs in theories of gravity (JBD) and in string theory, see Di Vecchia et al. [21]. The P(1, 3), if multiplied (semidirectly) with the scale group, becomes the Weyl group W(1, 3). This 11-parameter group is an invariance group for massless particles in special relativity. The translations, via Noether's theorem, generate the conserved energy-momentum tensor Σ_{ij} , the Lorentz transformations the conserved total angular momentum tensor $J_{ij}{}^{k} := \tau_{ijk} + x_{[i} \Sigma_{j]}{}^{k} = -J_{ji}{}^{k}$, and the dilation the conserved total dilation current $\gamma^{k} := \Delta^{k} + x^{l} \Sigma_{l}{}^{k}$,

$$\partial_k \Sigma_i^{\ k} = 0, \qquad (4.1)$$
$$\partial_k J_{ii}^{\ k} = \partial_i \tau_{ii}^{\ k} - \Sigma_{iii} = 0, \qquad (4.2)$$

$$\partial_k J_{ij}^{\ k} = \partial_k \tau_{ij}^{\ k} - \Sigma_{[ij]} = 0, \qquad (4.2)$$

$$\partial_k \Upsilon^k = \partial_k \Delta^k + \Sigma_k{}^k = 0, \qquad (4.3)$$

see [47, 59] particularly for Υ^k . Thus, if a universal coupling is assumed, then ϕ should have the intrinsic dilation current Δ^k as its source; for theories in Weyl spaces in which Δ^k does *not* play a role, see Scholz [86, 87].

There are numerous field theoretical models under way which, if scale or dilation invariance is implemented, have conformal invariance as a consequence; for a more recent review see Nakayama [66]. Hence, jumping to conformal invariance, before one understood scale invariance, is probably not a very good strategy. For this reason we confine ourselves here to scale invariance, to the dilaton, and to the 11-parametric Weyl group. But it should be understood that the light cone is also invariant under the 15-parametric conformal group, see Barut and Rączka [5] and Blagojević [8] and, for a historical account, Kastrup [45].

Both currents, the intrinsic dilation current Δ^k and the energy-momentum current Σ_i^k are related to *external* groups, to the dilation (scale) and to the translation groups, respectively. This is the reason for their universality.

4.1.5 The Weyl-Cartan Spacetime as a Natural Habitat of the Dilaton Field

We only tried to make a strong case in favor of the EC-theory in order to repeat the corresponding arguments for the dilation group. Gauging the Weyl group yields a Weyl-Cartan spacetime. The classical paper in that respect is the one of Charap and Tait, see [9, Chap. 8]. A universally coupled massless scalar field induces a *Weyl*

covector Q_i as the corresponding dilation potential willy nilly. This is the type of spacetime Weyl used (with vanishing torsion) for his failed unified theory of 1918. Here the Weyl space with the connection ^W Γ is resurrected for the dilation current, instead of for the electric current, see [8]:

$${\stackrel{\rm W}{\nabla}}_{i} g_{jk} = -Q_{i} g_{jk} , \quad {^{\rm W}}\Gamma_{ijk} = {^{\rm RC}}\Gamma_{ijk} + \frac{1}{2}(Q_{i} g_{jk} + Q_{j} g_{ki} - Q_{k} g_{ij}) ; \qquad (4.4)$$

^{RC} Γ is the connection of the Riemann-Cartan space. Again, as in the case of the Lorentz group, one can manipulate the total dilation current Υ^k and can transform its intrinsic part into an orbital part by modifying in this case the *trace* Σ_k^k of the energy-momentum current. Then, again, one can stay within the realm of the Riemannian space of GR, see Callan et al. [13].

As we mentioned already, the gauge theoretical answer was given by Charap and Tait [15]. Again, which approach will succeed is eventually a question to experimental verification.

We see, if the JBD-scalar is interpreted as a dilaton, then we would expect that the Weyl-Cartan spacetime is its arena. Clearly this does only provide the kinematics of the theory. The dynamics would depend on the exact choice of the dilaton Lagrangian.

Recently, Lasenby and Hobson [53] wrote an in-depth review of gauging the Weyl group and, moreover, formulated an "extended Weyl gauge theory." Also within their framework, the Weyl-Cartan space, and a straightforward extension of it, play an important role, see also Haghani et al. [33]. Definite progress has also been achieved in the study of equations of motion within the scalar tensor theories of gravity, see Obukhov and Puetzfeld [76, 81, 82]. The breaking of scale invariance in the more general approach of metric-affine gravity was studied in [34], for example; for somewhat analogous breaking mechanisms, see [60–62].

4.1.6 Axion Field

Dicke did not only introduce in 1961, together with Brans [12], a scalar field into gravity, but he also discussed, in 1964, and pseudoscalar or axial scalar field φ^2 in the context of gravitational theory, see [20, Appendix 4, p. 51, Eq. (7)].

Subsequently, in the early 1970s, Ni [67] investigated matter coupled to the gravitational field and to electromagnetism and looked for consistency with the equivalence principle. He found it possible to introduce in this context a new neutral *pseudoscalar* field accompanying the metric field, see also [4, 68–70]. Later, in the context of the vacuum structure of quantum chromodynamics, a light neutral *pseudoscalar*, subsequently dubbed "axion" was hypothesized, see Weinberg [98, pp. 458–461]. Similar as Ni's field, the axion couples also to the electromagnetic field, see Wilczek's paper [99] on "axion electrodynamics".

The axion field is of a similar universality as the gravitational field. In other words, the axion belongs to the universally coupled scalar fields. Let in electrodynamics, $\mathcal{H}^{ij} = (\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}) = -\mathcal{H}^{ji}$ and $F_{ij} = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}) = -F_{ji}$ denote the excitation and the field strength, respectively. The constitutive relation characterizing the axion field $\alpha(x)$

(in elementary particle terminology it is called A^0) reads [35],

$$\mathcal{H}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha \epsilon^{ijkl} F_{kl} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} D^a = \alpha B^a \,, \\ H_a = -\alpha E_a \,, \end{cases}$$
(4.5)

see also [37] for the corresponding formalism; here ϵ is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol with $\epsilon^{ijkl} = \pm 1$, moreover, a = 1, 2, 3. Clearly, the axion embodies the magnetoelectric effect par excellence. It is a pseudoscalar under 4-dimensional diffeomorphisms.

In electrotechnical terms, the axion behaves like the (nonreciprocal Tellegen) gyrator of network analysis, see [49, 100]; also the perfect electromagnetic conductor (PEMC) of Lindell and Sihvola [58, 93] represents an analogous structure. Metaphorically speaking, as we see from (4.5), the axion "rotates" the voltages (**B**, **E**) into the currents (**D**, **H**). In SI, we have the units $[B] = \text{Vs/m}^2$, [E] = V/m; $[D] = \text{As/m}^2$, [H] = A/m. Thus, $[\alpha] = 1/\text{ohm} = 1/\Omega$ carries the physical dimension of an admittance. Now, in the Maxwell Lagrangian, we find an additional piece $\sim \alpha(x)\epsilon^{ijkl}F_{ij}F_{kl} \sim \alpha(x)\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}$, a term, which was perhaps first discussed by Schrödinger [89, pp. 25–26]. If α were a constant, the field equations would not change.

As we already remarked, α is a 4-dimensional pseudoscalar. The same is true for the von Klitzing constant $R_{\rm K} \approx 25\,813\,\Omega$. And this covariance is a prerequisite for its universal meaning. Phenomenologically, the quantum Hall effect (QHE) can also be described by a constitutive law of the type (4.5), see [35, Eq. (B.4.60)].

It is possible to apply the constitutive relation (4.5) directly to a solid, too. By the evaluation of experiments we have shown [37] that in the multiferroic Cr_2O_3 (chromium sesquioxide) we have a nonvanishing axion piece of up to $\sim 10^{-3}\lambda_0$, where λ_0 is the vacuum admittance of about 1/377 Ω . This fact demonstrates that there exist materials with a nonvanishing, if small, (pseudoscalar) axion piece. This may be considered as a plausibility argument in favor of a similar structure emerging in fundamental physics. If the A^0 were found, it would *not* be an unprecedented structure, see in this context also Ni et al. [72].

In matter-coupled $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity models, there are examples in which a dilaton and an axion are contained simultaneously in the allowed particle spectrum, see Freeman and Van Proeyen [29, p. 451]. However, in the next section we will demonstrate that in a fairly simple classical model of an electromagnetic universe, the axion can emerge jointly with a dilaton and the metric.

More recently, there have been attempts to relate the axion field to the torsion of spacetime, see, for example Mielke et al. [64] and Castillo-Felisola et al. [14]. To us, this assumed link between the internal symmetry U(1) of the axion with the external translation symmetry T(4) related to the torsion appears to be artificial and not supported by physical arguments.

4.2 An Electromagnetic Model Universe

4.2.1 The Premetric Maxwell Equations

We consider a 4-dimensional differentiable manifold. The electromagnetic field is specified by its excitation \mathcal{H}^{ij} , a 2nd rank antisymmetric contravariant tensor density, and by its field strength F_{ij} , a 2nd rank antisymmetric covariant tensor; the electric current \mathcal{J}^k is a contravariant vector density, see Post [80]. On this manifold, the Maxwell equations read

$$\partial_k \mathcal{H}^{ik} = \mathcal{J}^k , \qquad \partial_{[i} F_{ik]} = 0 ; \qquad (4.6)$$

the brackets [] denote antisymmetrization of the corresponding indices with 1/3! as a factor, see [88]; for the Tonti-diagram of (4.6), compare [95, p. 315].

In none of these equations the metric tensor g_{ij} nor the connection Γ_{ij}^{k} are involved. Still, these equations are valid and are generally covariant in the Minkowski space of special relativity, in the Riemann space of general relativity, and in the Riemann-Cartan or Weyl-Cartan space of gravitational gauge theories. The Maxwell equations (4.6) as such, apart from a historical episode up to 1916, see [22, 23], have no specific relation to the Poincaré or the Lorentz group.

Perlick [78] has shown that the initial value problem in electrodynamics can be particularly conveniently implemented by means of the premetric form of the Maxwell equations.

In contrast to most textbook representations, no "comma goes to semicolon rule" is required. The Maxwell equations (4.6) are just universally valid for all forms of electrically charged matter. Incidentally, this represents also a simplifying feature for numerical implementations. The price one has to pay is to introduce, as Maxwell did, the excitation \mathcal{H}^{ij} , besides F_{ij} , as an independent field quantity and to note that it is a tensor density. From a phenomenological point of view, this is desirable anyway, since the excitation has an operational definition of its own, namely as charge/length² (**D**) and current/length (**H**), respectively, which is independent from the definition of the field strength as force/charge (**E**) and force/current (**B**). For a rendition in the calculus of exterior differentiable forms, one can compare with the axiomatic scheme in [18, 35], see also [19].

Let us stress additionally that \mathcal{H}^{ij} , F_{ij} , and \mathcal{J}^k can be defined in a background independent way.

The Maxwell equations (4.6) are based on the conservation laws of electric charge $Q := \int d\sigma^{ijk} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \mathcal{J}^l$ (unit in SI "coulomb") and magnetic flux $\Phi := \int d\sigma^{ij} F_{ij}$ (unit in SI "weber"). Charge Q and flux Φ are 4-dimensional scalars. They induce the structure of the excitation \mathcal{H}_{ij} and the field strength F_{ij} . In this context, the field strength is operationally defined via the Lorentz force density $\mathfrak{f}_i = F_{ij}\mathcal{J}^j$, the current being directly observable and the force and its measurement known from mechanics.

The charge and its conservation is the anchor of electrodynamics. Its current \mathcal{J}^k defines, by means of the Lorentz force density \mathfrak{f}_i , the field strength F_{ij} , which allows to define the magnetic flux Φ . Faraday's induction law is an incarnation of magnetic flux conservation.

Some people have no intuition about the conservation of a quantity that is defined in 3 dimensions by integration over a 2-dimensional area $\sim \int d\sigma^a B_a$, since we usually associate conservation with a quantity won by 3-dimensional volume integration, namely $\sim \int dV \rho$. Some mathematics education about dimensions will enable us to understand the induction law as a "continuity equation."

Summing up: the premetric Maxwell equations are a close-knit structure, the 4dimensional diffeomorphisms covariance holds it all together. Clearly, a metric as well as a connection are alien to the Maxwell equations.

4.2.2 A Local and Linear Electromagnetic Response

In order to fill the Maxwell equations with life, one has to relate F_{ij} to \mathcal{H}^{ij} :

$$\mathcal{H}^{ij} = \mathcal{H}^{ij}(F_{kl}) \tag{4.7}$$

If we assume this functional to be local, that is, $\mathcal{H}^{ij}(x)$ depends only on $F_{kl}(x)$, and linear homogeneously, then we find

$$\mathcal{H}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \chi^{ijkl} F_{kl} \quad \text{with} \quad \chi^{ijkl} = -\chi^{ijlk} = -\chi^{jikl} ; \qquad (4.8)$$

here the field $\chi^{ijkl}(x)$ represents the electromagnetic response tensor density of rank 4 and weight +1, with the physical dimension $[\chi] = 1$ /resistance. An antisymmetric pair of indices corresponds, in 4 dimensions, to 6 independent components. Thus, χ^{ijkl} can be understood as a 6 × 6 matrix with 36 independent components.

We want to characterize the electromagnetic model spacetime by this response tensor field $\chi^{ijkl}(x)$ with 36 independent components.³ This is the tensor density defining the *structure* of spacetime. It transcends the metric and/or the connection.

We decompose the 6 × 6 matrix into its 3 irreducible pieces. On the level of χ^{ijkl} , this induces [17, 35]

$$\chi^{ijkl} = {}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl} + {}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} + {}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl} .$$

$$36 = 20 \oplus 15 \oplus 1 .$$
(4.9)

³Schuller et al. [90] took the χ^{ijkl} -tensor density, which arises so naturally in electrodynamics, called the tensor proportional to it "area metric", and generalized it to *n* dimensions and to string theory. For reconstructing a volume element, they have, depending on the circumstances, two different recipes, like, for example, taking the *sixth* root of a determinant. From the point of view of 4-dimensional electrodynamics, the procedure of Schuller et al. looks contrived to us.

The third part, the *axion* part, is totally antisymmetric ${}^{(3)}\chi^{ijkl} := \chi^{[ijkl]} = \alpha \epsilon^{ijkl}$, with the pseudoscalar α , see also [83]. The *skewon* part is defined according to ${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} := \frac{1}{2}(\chi^{ijkl} - \chi^{klij})$. Under reversible conditions, (4.8) can be derived from a Lagrangian, then ${}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} = 0$. The *principal* part ${}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl}$ fulfills the symmetries ${}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl} = {}^{(1)}\chi^{klij}$ and ${}^{(1)}\chi^{[ijkl]} = 0$.

The local and linear response relation now reads

$$\mathcal{H}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left({}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl} + {}^{(2)}\chi^{ijkl} + \alpha \,\epsilon^{ijkl} \right) F_{kl} \,, \tag{4.10}$$

and, split in space and time [35, 37],

$$D^{a} = (\varepsilon^{ab} - \epsilon^{abc} n_{c})E_{b} + (\gamma^{a}{}_{b} + s_{b}{}^{a} - \delta^{a}_{b}s_{c}{}^{c})B^{b} + \alpha B^{a}, \qquad (4.11)$$

$$H_a = (\mu_{ab}^{-1} - \epsilon_{abc} m^c) B^b + (-\gamma_a^b + s_a^b - \delta_a^b s_c^c) E_b - \alpha E_a; \qquad (4.12)$$

here $\epsilon^{abc} = \epsilon_{abc} = \pm 1$, 0 are the 3-dimensional Levi-Civita symbols. The 6 permittivities $\varepsilon^{ab} = \varepsilon^{ba}$, the 6 permeabilities $\mu_{ab} = \mu_{ba}$ were already known to Maxwell. The 8 magnetoelectric pieces $\gamma^a{}_b$ (its trace vanishes, $\gamma^c{}_c = 0$) were found since 1961, see Astrov [2]. Eventually, the hypothetical skewon piece [35] carries 3 permittivities n_a , the 3 permeabilities m^a , and the 9 magnetoelectric pieces $s_a{}^b$. Equivalent response relations were formulated by Serdyukov et al. [91, p. 86] and studied in quite some detail, see also de Lange and Raab [52].

Suppose we have as *special case* a vacuum spacetime described by a Riemannian metric g_{ii} . Then the response tensor turns out to be

$$\chi^{ijkl} = {}^{(1)}\chi^{ijkl} = 2\lambda_0\sqrt{-g}g^{i[k}g^{l]j} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{ij} = \lambda_0\sqrt{-g}F^{ij}, \qquad (4.13)$$

with the vacuum admittance $\lambda_0 \approx 1/377 \,\Omega$. Thus, we recover known structures, and we recognize that the relation (4.8) represents a natural generalization of the vacuum case. The metric g^{ij} can be considered as some kind of a square root of the electromagnetic response tensor χ^{ijkl} .

We should keep in mind that a local and homogeneous electromagnetic response like (4.8) can be, if the circumstances require it, generalized to nonlocal and/or to nonlinear laws. Examples of *nonlocal* laws have been proposed by Bopp and Podolsky⁴ and by Mashhoon.⁵ *Nonlinear* laws are due to Heisenberg and Euler,⁶ Born and Infeld,⁷ and Plebański.⁸ Fresnel surfaces for the nonlinear case were found by Obukhov and Rubilar [77], for example. More recently, Lämmerzahl et al. [51] and Itin et al. [44] investigated electrodynamics in Finsler spacetimes. In the premetric

⁴See [28, Sect. 28-8].

⁵See [35, Sect. E.2.2].

⁶See [35, Sect. E.2.3].

⁷See [35, Sect. E.2.4].

⁸See [35, Sect. E.2.5].

framework, this corresponds to a nonlocal constitutive law, see [44, Eq. (3.29)], somewhat reminiscent of the Bopp-Podolsky scheme.

4.2.3 Propagation of Electromagnetic Disturbances

The obvious next step in evaluating the physics of our model of spacetime is to look how electromagnetic disturbances propagate in this spacetime. One can either consider the short wave-length limit of the electromagnetic theory, the WKB-approximation, or one can study, as we will do here, the propagation of electromagnetic disturbances with a technique developed by Hadamard; for a general outline, see [96, Chap. C].

Hadamard describes an elementary wave as a process that forms a wave surface. Across this surface, the electromagnetic field is continuous, but the derivative of the field has a jump. The direction of a jump is given by the wave covector. The subsequent integration produces the rays, with the wave vectors as tangents to rays, see for our case [35, 40, 46, 74]. In the meantime, our methods have been improved, see [3, 24, 27].

Out of the electromagnetic response tensor density we can define, with the help of the covariant Levi-Civita symbol $\epsilon_{ijkl} = \pm 1, 0$, the premetric "diamond" (single) dual and the diamond double dual, respectively:

$$\chi^{\diamond ij}{}_{kl} := \frac{1}{2} \chi^{ijcd} \epsilon_{cdkl} , \qquad ^{\diamond} \chi^{\diamond}{}_{ijkl} := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijab} \chi^{\diamond ab}{}_{kl} = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ijab} \chi^{abcd} \epsilon_{cdkl} . \tag{4.14}$$

The covariant Levi-Civita symbol carries weight -1 and χ^{abcd} weight +1. Thus, the double dual has weight +1, too. Performing the double dual apparently corresponds to a lowering of all four indices of χ^{abcd} —and this is achieved without having access to a metric of spacetime.

After this preparation, it is straightforward to define the (premetric) 4th rank *Kummer tensor density*, which is cubic in χ , as [3]

$$\mathcal{K}^{ijkl}[\chi] := \chi^{aibj} \diamond \chi^{\diamond}_{acbd} \chi^{ckdl} \,. \tag{4.15}$$

It has weight +1 and obeys the symmetry $\mathcal{K}^{ijkl} = \mathcal{K}^{klij}$.

At each point in spacetime, the wave covectors $q_i = (\omega, \mathbf{k})$ of the electromagnetic waves span the Fresnel wave surfaces, which are quartic in the wave covectors according to

$$\mathcal{K}^{ijkl}[\chi] q_i q_j q_k q_l = \mathcal{K}^{(ijkl)}[\chi] q_i q_j q_k q_l = 0.$$
(4.16)

The Tamm-Rubilar (TR) tensor density [35, 84], with the conventional factor 1/6, is defined by

4 Axion and Dilaton + Metric Emerge Jointly ...

$$\mathcal{G}^{ijkl}[\chi] := \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{K}^{(ijkl)}[\chi] = \frac{1}{6} \chi^{a(ij|b} \diamond \chi^{\diamond}_{acbd} \chi^{c|kl)d} \,. \tag{4.17}$$

It is totally symmetric and carries 35 independent components. By straightforward algebra it can be shown that the axion field drops out from the TR-tensor:

$$\mathcal{G}^{ijkl}[\chi] = \mathcal{G}^{ijkl}[{}^{(1)}\chi + {}^{(2)}\chi]; \qquad (4.18)$$

see in this connection also [39] and the references given there. The effect of the skewon piece on light propagation has been studied in [75]. Ni [68] was the first to understand that the axion field doesn't influence the light propagation in the geometrical optics limit. Note that ${}^{(1)}\chi + {}^{(2)}\chi$ has 20 + 15 independent components, exactly as \mathcal{G} —probably not by chance.

Accordingly, the totally symmetric TR-tensor $\mathcal{G}^{ijkl}[\chi]$, with its 35 independent components, can, up to a factor, be observed by optical means, that is, the TR-tensor—in contrast to the Kummer tensor, as far as we know—has a direct operational interpretation.

4.2.4 Fresnel Wave Surface

The (generalized) Fresnel equation

$$\mathcal{G}^{ijkl}[\chi] q_i q_j q_k q_l = 0, \qquad (4.19)$$

determines a Fresnel wave surface. A trivial test for checking the correctness of (4.19) is to substitute the response tensor for the Maxwell-Lorentz vacuum electrodynamics $(4.13)_1$ into the TR-tensor of (4.19). One finds straightforwardly $(g^{ij}q_iq_j)^2 = 0$, that is, two light cones that collapse onto each other. The decomposition of (4.19) into space and time can be found in [35, (D.2.44)].

For illustration, following [3, 85], see also [41], we will display a classical example of such a surface. In Eqs. (4.11) and (4.12), we choose an anisotropic permittivity tensor with three different principal values and assume trivial vacuum permeability, whereas all magnetoelectric moduli—with the possible exception of the axion α —vanish,

$$(\varepsilon^{ab}) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mu_{ab}^{-1}) = \mu_0^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.20)

Substitution into the Fresnel equation yield the quartic polynomial

$$(\alpha^{2}x^{2} + \beta^{2}y^{2} + \gamma^{2}z^{2})(x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2}) - [\alpha^{2}(\beta^{2} + \gamma^{2})x^{2} + \beta^{2}(\gamma^{2} + \alpha^{2})y^{2} + \gamma^{2}(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})z^{2}] + \alpha^{2}\beta^{2}\gamma^{2} = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

with the 3 parameters⁹ $\alpha := c/\sqrt{\varepsilon_1}$, $\beta := c/\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}$, $\gamma := c/\sqrt{\varepsilon_3}$, and with $c = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0\mu_0}$ as the vacuum speed of light.

The corresponding surface is drawn in Fig. 4.1. As an example of a Fresnel surface for a more exotic material, we provide one for the so-called PQ-medium of Lindell [56]. It may turn out that this response tensor can only be realized with the help of a suitable metamaterial, see [92]. Corresponding investigations are underway by Favaro [25].

Let us shortly look back on what we have achieved so far: We have formulated the Maxwell equation in a premetric way. For the response tensor only local and linear notions are used, no distances or angles were mentioned nor implemented. Under such circumstances, electromagnetic disturbances propagate in a birefringent way in accordance with the Fresnel wave surfaces, such as presented in Figs. 4.1 and 4.2.

How can we now bring in distances and angles, which are concepts omnipresent in everyday life? The answer is obvious, we have to suppress birefringence.

4.2.5 Suppression of Birefringence: The Light Cone

Looking at the figures, it is clear that we have to take care that both shells in each Fresnel wave surface become identical spheres. Then light propagates like in vacuum. For this purpose, we can solve the quartic Fresnel equation (4.19) with respect to the frequency q_0 , keeping the 3-covector q_a fixed. One finds four solutions, for the details please compare [38, 50]. To suppress birefringence, one has to demand *two conditions*. In turn, the quartic equation splits into a product of two quadratic equations proportional to each other. Thus, we find a light cone $g^{ij}(x) q_i q_j = 0$ at each point of spacetime.

Perhaps surprisingly, we derived also the *Lorentz signature*, see [35, 42, 43]. This can be traced back to the Lenz rule, which determines the relative sign of the two terms in the induction law, as compared to the relative sign in the Ampère-Maxwell law. The Lorentz signature can be understood on the level of classical electrodynamics, no appeal to quantum field theory, which is widespread in the literature, is necessary.

Globally in the cosmos, birefringence is excluded with high accuracy, see the observations of Polarbear [1] and the discussion of Ni [71].

4.2.6 Axion, Dilaton, Metric

At the premetric level of our framework, besides the principal piece, first the skewon and the axion fields emerged. Only subsequently the light cone was brought up. The skewon field was phased out by our insistence of the vanishing birefringence in the vacuum. Accordingly, the axion field and the light cone survived the suppression of the birefringence.

⁹Here, in this context, α is *not* the axion field!.



Fig. 4.1 Fresnel wave surface for the permittivities and the permeabilities of Eq. (4.20). It had been drawn by Jaumann for an optically biaxial crystal, see Schaefer [85, p. 485]. This crystal has the property of birefringence (or double refraction). The origin at x = y = z = 0 is the point in 3-dimensional space from where the wave covectors **k** originate. They end on the Fresnel wave surface. Their modulus is proportional to the reciprocal of the phase velocity ω/k . In other words, up to a sign, we have usually in one direction two different phase velocities. This is an expression of the birefringence. Only along the optical axes **I** and **II**, we have only one wave covector. The upper half depicts the exterior shell with the funnel shaped singularities, the lower half the inner shell. The two shells cross each other at four points forming cusps



Fig. 4.2 Fresnel wave surface for a PQ-medium of Lindell [56, 57]. Using Lindell's dyadic version of the Fresnel equation [54, 55], Sihvola [94] drew the Fresnel wave surface by using Mathematica. Our image was later created by Favaro [25] in a similar way, again with Mathematica. For the wave covector, we have $q_i = (\omega, k_1, k_2, k_3)$

The light cone does not define the metric uniquely. Rather an arbitrary function $\lambda(x)$ is left over:

$$\lambda(x) g^{ij}(x) q_i q_j = 0. (4.22)$$

The light cone is invariant under the 15-parametric conformal group. The 4 proper conformal transformation correspond to a reflection at the unit circle and, as such, are of a nonlocal nature. As a consequence, if two frames are related to each other by a proper conformal transformation and one frame is inertial, the other one is accelerated with respect to the former one. Accordingly, there is an operational distinction possible between a proper conformal and a dilation or scale transformation. Thus, only the 11 parameter Weyl subgroup of the 15 parameter conformal group is based on *local* transformations.

If we compare our result in (4.22) with vacuum response in (4.13), we recognize, not forgetting the axion field, that we find the following response equation for vanishing birefringence:

$$\mathcal{H}^{ij} = [\underbrace{\lambda(x)}_{\text{dilaton}} \sqrt{-g} g^{ik}(x) g^{jl}(x) + \underbrace{\alpha(x)}_{\text{axion}} \epsilon^{ijkl}] F_{kl}.$$
(4.23)

Because of the presence of the dilation within the Weyl group, it is natural to identify the function $\lambda(x)$ with the dilaton field.¹⁰

In the calculus of exterior differential forms, see [35], the twisted excitation 2-form $H = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} \mathcal{H}^{kl} dx^i \wedge dx^j$ and the untwisted field strength 2-form $F = \frac{1}{2} F_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j$, together with the twisted current 3-form $J = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{ijkl} \mathcal{J}^l dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k$, obey the Maxwell equations dH = J and dF = 0. By means of the metric, we can introduce the Hodge star * operator. Then the response relation (4.23) becomes even more compact [26, 36]:

$$H = [\lambda(x)^{\star} + \alpha(x)]F. \qquad (4.24)$$

Equations (4.23) and (4.24) represent the end result of investigating an electromagnetic spacetime model with local and linear response and without birefringence. The three fields $\lambda(x)$, $g^{ij}(x)$, and $\alpha(x)$ come up together with a reasonable interpretation. At least in the way we defined them here, $\lambda(x)$, $g^{ij}(x)$, and $\alpha(x)$ are all three *descendants of electromagnetism*.

As we have argued in Sect. 1.5, the dilaton seems to be at home in the Weyl-Cartan spacetime. Our results (4.23) or (4.24) are consistent with this expectation, that is, we believe that these equations are valid in a Weyl-Cartan spacetime.

What are we told by experiments and observations? The axion A^0 has not been found so far, so we can provisionally put $\alpha = 0$. Moreover, under normal circumstances, the dilaton seems to be a constant field and thereby sets a certain scale, that is, $\lambda(x) = \lambda_0 = \text{const}$, where λ_0 is the admittance of free space, the value of which is, in SI-units, $\approx 1/(377 \ \Omega)$. Under these conditions, we are left with the response relation of conventional Maxwell-Lorentz electrodynamics,

$$\mathcal{H}^{ij} = \lambda_0 \sqrt{-g} F^{ij}$$
 or $H = \lambda_0 {}^*F$. (4.25)

The possible generalizations are apparent.

4.3 Discussion

Gravity, coupling to all objects carrying energy-momentum, is a truly universal interaction. Electromagnetism is only involved in electrically charged matter. What is curious and what we still do not understand is that the gravitational potential g^{ij} emerges in an electromagnetic context, that is, in studying electromagnetic disturbances, we can suppress birefringence, and then the light cone emerges. And the light cone is essentially involved in general relativity. In other words, we

¹⁰In the early 1980s, Ni [69] has shown the following: Suppressing the birefringence is a necessary and sufficient condition for a Lagrangian based constitutive tensor to be decomposable into metric+dilaton+axion in a weak gravitational field (weak violation of the Einstein equivalence principle), a remarkable result. Note that Ni assumed the existence of a metric. We, in (4.23), derived the metric from the electromagnetic response tensor density χ^{ijkl} .

cannot formulate a general-relativistic theory of gravity unless some electric charge is around: electromagnetic waves are a necessary tool for constructing general relativity.

Perlick is not concerned about it. He observes that [79] "...the vacuum Maxwell equations are but one example that have the light cones of the spacetime metric for their characteristics. The same is true of the Dirac equation, the Klein-Gordon equation and others...." Yes, this is true. However, if a metric is *not* prescribed, we cannot even formulate Dirac's theory. In contrast, in premetric electrodynamics, if a local and linear response tensor density is assumed, we can derive the metric, as we discussed above. In this sense, electrodynamics is distinguished from Dirac's theory—and in this, and only in this sense, the premetric Maxwell equations are more fundamental than the Dirac equation.

Accordingly, there seems to be a deep connection between electromagnetism and gravity, even though gravity is truly universal, in contrast to electrodynamics.

Acknowledgments This project was partly supported by the German-Israeli Research Foundation (GIF) by the grant GIF/No. 1078-107.14/2009. I am grateful to Claus Kiefer (Cologne) for helpful remarks re Higgs cosmology, to Yuri Obukhov (Moscow) re questions of torsion and of the Hadamard method, to Helmut Rumpf (Vienna) re the Mukhanov bound of 10^{-29} m, and to Volker Perlick (Bremen) re gravity versus electromagnetism and what is more fundamental. I would like to thank Ari Sihvola and Ismo Lindell (both Espoo/Helsinki) and Alberto Favaro (London) for the permission to use the image in Fig.2. Many useful comments on a draft of this paper were supplied by Hubert Goenner (Göttingen), Yakov Itin (Jerusalem), Claus Lämmerzahl (Bremen), Ecardo Mielke (Mexico City), Wei-Tou Ni (Hsinchu), Erhard Scholz (Wuppertal), Frederic Schuller (Erlangen), and Dirk Puetzfeld (Bremen). I am grateful to Alan Kostelecký (Bloomington) for a last-minute exchange of emails.

References

- P.A.R. Ade et al. [Polarbear Collaboration], Polarbear constraints on cosmic birefringence and primordial magnetic fields. Phys. Rev. D 92, 123509 (2015). arXiv.org:1509.02461
- D.N. Astrov, Magnetoelectric effect in chromium oxide. Sov. Phys. JETP 13, 729–733 (1961) (Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 40, 1035–1041 (1961))
- P. Baekler, A. Favaro, Y. Itin, F.W. Hehl, The Kummer tensor density in electrodynamics and in gravity. Annals Phys. (NY) 349, 297–324 (2014). arXiv.org:1403.3467
- A.B. Balakin, W.T. Ni, Non-minimal coupling of photons and axions. Class. Quant. Grav. 27, 055003 (2010). arXiv.org:0911.2946
- A.O. Barut, R. Raçzka, Theory of Group Representations and Applications (PWN-Polish Scientific, Warsaw, 1977)
- A.O. Barvinsky, A.Y. Kamenshchik, C. Kiefer, A.A. Starobinsky, C. Steinwachs, Asymptotic freedom in inflationary cosmology with a non-minimally coupled Higgs field. JCAP 0912, 003 (2009). arXiv.org:0904.1698
- F. Bezrukov, M. Shaposhnikov, Inflation, LHC and the Higgs boson. Comptes Rendus Physique 16, 994–1002 (2015). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crhy.2015.08.005
- 8. M. Blagojević, Gravitation and Gauge Symmetries (IoP, Bristol, 2002)
- 9. M. Blagojević, F.W. Hehl (eds.), *Gauge Theories of Gravitation, a Reader with Commentaries* (Imperial College Press, London, 2013)

- 4 Axion and Dilaton + Metric Emerge Jointly ...
- C.H. Brans, Gravity and the tenacious scalar field, in *On Einstein's Path, Essays in Honor* of *E. Schucking*, ed. by A. Harvey (Springer, New York, 1999), Chap. 9, pp. 121–138. arXiv.org:gr-qc/9705069
- C.H. Brans, The roots of scalar-tensor theory: an approximate history, presented during the *1st* Internat. Workshop on Gravitation and Cosmology, 31 May–4 Jun 2004, Santa Clara, Cuba 2004. arXiv.org:gr-qc/0506063
- C. Brans, R.H. Dicke, Mach's principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation. Phys. Rev. 124, 925–935 (1961)
- C.G. Callan Jr., S.R. Coleman, R. Jackiw, A new improved energy-momentum tensor. Annals Phys. (NY) 59, 42–73 (1970)
- O. Castillo-Felisola, C. Corral, S. Kovalenko, I. Schmidt, V.E. Lyubovitskij, Axions in gravity with torsion. Phys. Rev. D 91, 085017 (2015). arXiv.org:1502.03694
- J.M. Charap, W. Tait, A gauge theory of the Weyl group. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 340, 249–262 (1974)
- C.M. Chen, J.M. Nester, R.S. Tung, Gravitational energy for GR and Poincaré gauge theories: a covariant Hamiltonian approach. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 24, 1530026 (2015). arXiv.org:1507.07300
- D.H. Delphenich, On linear electromagnetic constitutive laws that define almost-complex structures. Annalen Phys. (Berlin) 16, 207–217 (2007). arXiv.org:gr-qc/0610031
- D.H. Delphenich, Pre-metric Electromagnetism, electronic book, 410 pp. http://www.neoclassical-physics.info/ (2009)
- 19. D. Delphenich, Pre-metric electromagnetism as a path to unification. arXiv.org:1512.05183
- R.H. Dicke, *The Theoretical Significance of Experimental Relativity* (Blackie & Son, London, 1964)
- P. Di Vecchia, R. Marotta, M. Mojaza, J. Nohle, The story of the two dilatons (2015). arXiv.org:1512.03316
- A. Einstein, Eine neue formale Deutung der Maxwellschen Feldgleichungen der Elektrodynamik (A New Formal Interpretation of Maxwell's Field Equations of Electrodynamics) (Sitzungsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., Berlin, 1916), pp. 184–188; see also A.J. Kox et al. (eds.), The Collected Papers of Albert Einstein, vol. 6 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1996), pp. 263–269
- 23. A. Einstein, *The Meaning of Relativity*, 5th edn. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1955) (first published in 1922)
- A. Favaro, Recent advances in classical electromagnetic theory. Ph.D. thesis, Imperial College London (2012)
- 25. A. Favaro, Private communication (2015)
- A. Favaro, L. Bergamin, The non-birefringent limit of all linear, skewonless media and its unique light-cone structure. Annalen Phys. (Berlin) 523, 383–401 (2011). arXiv.org:1008.2343
- A. Favaro, F.W. Hehl, Light propagation in local and linear media: Fresnel-Kummer wave surfaces with 16 singular points. Phys. Rev. A 93, 013844 (2016). arXiv.org:1510.05566
- 28. R.P. Feynman, R.B. Leighton, M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Vol.II: Mainly Electromagnetism and Matter* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 4th Printing 1969)
- 29. D.Z. Freedman, A. Van Proeyen, Supergravity (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012)
- Y. Fujii, K. Maeda, *The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003)
- D. Giulini, The rich structure of Minkowski space, in *Minkowski Spacetime: A Hundred Years Later*, ed. by V. Petkov. Fundamental Theories of Physics (Springer), vol. 165, pp. 83–132 (2010). arXiv.org:0802.4345
- H. Goenner, Some remarks on the genesis of scalar-tensor theories. Gen. Rel. Grav. 44, 2077–2097 (2012). arXiv.org:1204.3455
- Z. Haghani, N. Khosravi, S. Shahidi, *The Weyl-Cartan Gauss-Bonnet gravity*, Class. Quant. Grav. 32, 215016 (2015). arXiv.org:1410.2412
- 34. F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, E.W. Mielke, Y. Ne'eman, Progress in metric-affine gauge theories of gravity with local scale invariance. Found. Phys. **19**, 1075–1100 (1989)

- 35. F.W. Hehl, Yu.N Obukhov, *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics: Charge, Flux, and Metric* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003)
- F.W. Hehl, Yu.N. Obukhov, Spacetime Metric from Local and Linear Electrodynamics: A New Axiomatic Scheme. Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer), vol. 702, pp. 163–187 (2006). arXiv.org:gr-qc/0508024
- F.W. Hehl, Yu.N. Obukhov, J.P. Rivera, H. Schmid, Relativistic nature of a magnetoelectric modulus of Cr₂ O₃ crystals: a four-dimensional pseudoscalar and its measurement. Phys. Rev. A 77, 022106 (2008). arXiv.org;0707.4407
- 38. Y. Itin, No-birefringence conditions for spacetime. Phys. Rev. D 72, 087502 (2005). arXiv.org:hep-th/0508144
- 39. Y. Itin, Photon propagator for axion electrodynamics. Phys. Rev. D 76, 087505 (2007). arXiv.org:0709.1637
- Y. Itin, On light propagation in premetric electrodynamics. Covariant dispersion relation. J. Phys. A 42, 475402 (2009). arXiv.org;0903.5520
- 41. Y. Itin, Dispersion relation for anisotropic media. Phys. Lett. A 374, 1113–1116 (2010). arXiv.org:0908.0922
- Y. Itin, Y. Friedman, Backwards on Minkowski's road. From 4D to 3D Maxwellian electromagnetism. Annalen Phys. (Berlin) 17, 769–786 (2008). arXiv.org:0807.2625
- Y. Itin, F.W. Hehl, Is the Lorentz signature of the metric of space-time electromagnetic in origin? Annals Phys. (NY) 312, 60–83 (2004). arXiv.org:gr-qc/0401016
- Y. Itin, C. Lämmerzahl, V. Perlick, Finsler-type modification of the Coulomb law. Phys. Rev. D 90, 124057 (2014). arXiv.org:1411.2670
- H.A. Kastrup, On the advancements of conformal transformations and their associated symmetries in geometry and theoretical physics. Annalen Phys. (Berlin) 17, 631–690 (2008). arXiv.org:0808.2730
- 46. R.M. Kiehn, G.P. Kiehn, J.B. Roberds, Parity and time-reversal symmetry breaking, singular solutions, and Fresnel surfaces. Phys. Rev. A **43**, 5665–5671 (1991)
- W. Kopczyński, J.D. McCrea, F.W. Hehl, The Weyl group and its currents. Phys. Lett. A 128, 313–317 (1988)
- V.A. Kostelecký, N. Russell, J. Tasson, Constraints on torsion from bounds on Lorentz violation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 111102 (2008). arXiv.org:0712.4393
- 49. K. Küpfmüller, W. Mathis, A. Reibiger, *Theoretische Elektrotechnik*, 19th edn. (Springer Vieweg, Berlin, 2013)
- 50. C. Lämmerzahl, F.W. Hehl, Riemannian light cone from vanishing birefringence in premetric vacuum electrodynamics. Phys. Rev. D **70**, 105022 (2004). arXiv.org;gr-qc/0409072
- C. Lämmerzahl, V. Perlick, W. Hasse, Observable effects in a class of spherically symmetric static Finsler spacetimes. Phys. Rev. D 86, 104042 (2012). arXiv.org:1208.0619
- 52. O.L. de Lange, R.E. Raab, Multipole theory and the Hehl-Obukhov decomposition of the electromagnetic constitutive tensor. J. Math. Phys. **56**, 053502 (2015)
- A. Lasenby, M. Hobson, Scale-invariant gauge theories of gravity: theoretical foundations (2015). arXiv.org:1510.06699
- I.V. Lindell, Electromagnetic wave equation in differential-form representation. Progr. Electromagn. Res. (PIER) 54, 321–333 (2005)
- I.V. Lindell, Multiforms, Dyadics, and Electromagnetic Media, IEEE Press, Wiley, Hoboken, 2015)
- I.V. Lindell, Plane-wave propagation in electromagnetic PQ medium. Progr. Electromagn. Res. (PIER) 154, 23–33 (2015)
- I.V. Lindell, A. Favaro, Decomposition of electromagnetic Q and P media. Progr. Electromagn. Res. B (PIER B) 63, 79–93 (2015)
- I.V. Lindell, A. Sihvola, Perfect electromagnetic conductor. J. Electromagn. Waves Appl. 19, 861–869 (2005). arXiv.org:physics/0503232
- 59. J. Łopuszánski, An Introduction to Symmetry and Supersymmetry in Quantum Field Theory (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991)

- 4 Axion and Dilaton + Metric Emerge Jointly ...
- 60. E.W. Mielke, Spontaneously broken topological *SL*(5, *R*) gauge theory with standard gravity emerging. Phys. Rev. D **83**, 044004 (2011)
- E.W. Mielke, Weak equivalence principle from a spontaneously broken gauge theory of gravity. Phys. Lett. B 702, 187–190 (2011)
- E.W. Mielke, Symmetry breaking in topological quantum gravity. Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 22, 1330009 (2013)
- E.W. Mielke, F.W. Hehl, J.D. McCrea, Belinfante type invariance of the Noether identities in a Riemannian and a Weitzenböck spacetime. Phys. Lett. A 140, 368–372 (1989)
- E.W. Mielke, E.S. Romero, Cosmological evolution of a torsion-induced quintaxion. Phys. Rev. D 73, 043521 (2006)
- 65. V. Mukhanov, Physics Colloquium, University of Bonn on 2013 May 17
- 66. Y. Nakayama, Scale invariance vs conformal invariance. Phys. Rep. 569, 1–93 (2015). arXiv.org:1302.0884
- W.-T. Ni, A Non-metric Theory of Gravity, (Montana State University, Bozeman, 1973). http:// astrod.wikispaces.com/
- 68. W.-T. Ni, Equivalence principles and electromagnetism. Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 301–304 (1977)
- W.-T. Ni, Equivalence principles and precision experiments, in *Precision Measurement and Fundamental Constants II*, ed. by B.N. Taylor, W.D. Phillips. Natl. Bur. Stand. (US) Spec. Publ. 617, 647–651 (1984). http://astrod.wikispaces.com/
- W.-T. Ni, Dilaton field and cosmic wave propagation. Phys. Lett. A 378, 3413–3418 (2014). arXiv.org:1410.0126
- W.T. Ni, Spacetime structure and asymmetric metric from the premetric formulation of electromagnetism. Phys. Lett. A 379, 1297–1303 (2015). arXiv.org:1411.0460
- 72. W.-T. Ni, S.-S. Pan, H.-C. Yeh, L.-S. Hou, J. Wan, Search for an axionlike spin coupling using a paramagnetic salt with a dc SQUID. Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2439 (1999)
- Yu.N. Obukhov, Poincaré gauge gravity: Selected topics. Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 3, 95–138 (2006). arXiv.org:gr-qc/0601090
- Y.N. Obukhov, T. Fukui, G.F. Rubilar, Wave propagation in linear electrodynamics. Phys. Rev. D 62, 044050 (2000). arXiv.org:gr-qc/0005018
- Yu.N. Obukhov, F.W. Hehl, On possible skewon effects on light propagation. Phys. Rev. D 70, 125015 (2004). arXiv.org:physics/0409155
- Yu.N. Obukhov, D. Puetzfeld, Equations of motion in scalar-tensor theories of gravity: a covariant multipolar approach. Phys. Rev. D 90, 104041 (2014). arXiv.org:1404.6977
- Yu.N. Obukhov, G.F. Rubilar, Fresnel analysis of the wave propagation in nonlinear electrodynamics. Phys. Rev. D 66, 024042 (2002). arXiv.org:gr-qc/0204028
- V. Perlick, On the hyperbolicity of Maxwell's equations with a local constitutive law. J. Math. Phys. 52, 042903 (2011). arXiv:1011.2536
- 79. V. Perlick, Private communication (2015)
- E.J. Post, Formal Structure of Electromagnetics—General Covariance and Electromagnetics (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1962) (Dover, Mineola, 1997)
- D. Puetzfeld, Y.N. Obukhov, Equations of motion in metric-affine gravity: a covariant unified framework. Phys. Rev. D 90, 084034 (2014). arXiv:1408.5669
- D. Puetzfeld, Y.N. Obukhov, Equivalence principle in scalar-tensor gravity. Phys. Rev. D 92, 081502(R) (2015). arXiv.org:1505.01285
- R.E. Raab, A.H. Sihvola, On the existence of linear non-reciprocal bi-isotropic (NRBI) media. J. Phys. A 30, 1335–1344 (1997)
- G.F. Rubilar, Linear pre-metric electrodynamics and deduction of the light cone. Annalen Phys. (Berlin) 11, 717–782 (2002). arXiv.org:0706.2193
- 85. C. Schaefer, Einführung in Die Theoretische Physik, vol.3, Part 1 (de Gruyter, Berlin, 1932)
- E. Scholz, Higgs and gravitational scalar fields together induce Weyl gauge. Gen. Rel. Grav. 47(7) (2015). arXiv.org:1407.6811
- E. Scholz, MOND-like acceleration in integrable Weyl geometric gravity. Found. Phys. 46, 176–208 (2016). arXiv.org:1412.0430

- J.A. Schouten, *Tensor Analysis for Physicists*, corr. 2nd edn. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1959) (Dover, New York, 1989)
- 89. E. Schrödinger, Space-Time Structure (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1954)
- F.P. Schuller, C. Witte, M.N.R. Wohlfarth, Causal structure and algebraic classification of area metric spacetimes in four dimensions. Ann. Phys. (NY) 325, 1853–1883 (2010). arXiv.org:0908.1016
- 91. A. Serdyukov, I. Semchenko, S. Tretyakov, A. Sihvola, *Electromagnetics of Bi-anisotropic Materials. Theory and Applications* (Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 2001)
- 92. E. Shamonina, L. Solymar, Metamaterials: How the subject started. Metamaterials 1, 12–18 (2007)
- A. Sihvola, I.V. Lindell, Perfect electromagnetic conductor medium. Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 17, 787–802 (2008)
- 94. A. Sihvola, Private communication (2015)
- 95. E. Tonti, *The Mathematical Structure of Classical and Relativistic Physics: a general classification diagram* (Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013)
- C. Truesdell, R.A. Toupin, The classical field theories, in *Encyclopedia of Physics*, vol. III/1, ed. by S. Flügge (Springer, Berlin, 1960), pp. 226–793
- V. Vennin, J. Martin, C. Ringeval, Cosmic inflation and model comparison. Comptes Rendus Physique 16, 960–968 (2015)
- 98. S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields. Volume II: Modern Applications* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996)
- 99. F. Wilczek, Two applications of axion electrodynamics. Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1799–1802 (1987)
- 100. P. Russer, *Electromagnetics, Microware Circuit and Antenna Design for Communications* Engineering, 2nd edn. (Artech House, Norwood, MA, 2006)