# 21 Gram-Negative Bacterial Infections After Hematopoietic Stem Cell or Solid Organ Transplantation

Diana Averbuch and Dan Engelhard

# 21.1 Introduction

# 21.1.1 Epidemiology of Gram-Negative Rods Infections

Gram-negative rods (GNR) cause significant morbidity and mortality in hematopoietic stem cell (HSCT) and solid organ transplant recipients (SOTR)  $[1-7]$ . These patients are prone to infection with GNR as a result of neutropenia, mucositis, the use of invasive devices and due to operation in SOTR [8]. Invasive GNR infections usually arise from abdomen (including infections of the hepatobiliary system in liver transplant recipients)  $[9]$ , the urinary tract (especially occurring in renal transplant recipients) and lungs (occurring in all transplant groups, but notably in lung transplant recipients). In SOTR, complications (for example, portal vein thrombosis in liver transplant recipients) and prolonged mechanical ventilation represent significant risks. Risk factors for invasive GNR infection in neutropenic patients include age >45 years, recent administration of beta- lactams, chills, urinary symptoms, absence of gut decontamination with both colimycin and aminoglycosides  $[10]$  and previous colonization  $[11]$ . In the early years of transplantation, GNR were the leading cause of serious bacterial infection in both bone marrow and SOT recipients [12]. Later, gram-positive pathogens have become more fre-quent [13, [14](#page-13-0)]. Reemergence of GNR is reported in recent years [15–18]. A recent review of studies published during 2005–2011, on bacterial infections in patients with hematological malignancies or post HSCT, reported gram-positive to GNR ratios in adults 60 %:40 %, with a huge variation between centers, from 85 %:15 % to 26 %:74 % [\[ 17](#page-13-0) ]. The corresponding numbers in children were: 58 %:42 %, ranging from 86 %:14 % to 32 %:68 % in individual studies. The main GN pathogens causing bacteremia in HSCT recipients (expressed as median prevalence, with range) were *Enterobacteriaceae* (24 %, 6–54 %), followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (10 %, 0–30 %) [\[ 17](#page-13-0) ]. An ECIL-4 survey performed in 2011 on surveillance of bacteremia in hematology and HSCT patients summarized recent data

from 39 European centers. As compared to published data, it showed a slight reduction of the gram-positive to GNR ratio  $(55\%:45\%$  vs.  $60\%:40\%)$  and an increased rates of Enterobacteriaceae (30 % vs. 24 %), and decreased rate of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (5 % vs. 10 %) [ [17 \]](#page-13-0).

GNR are important cause of infections in SOTR [19]. 15.4 % of 956 SOTR developed GNR infection in one study [20]. The unadjusted overall incidence of gram-negative BSI was  $15.8/1000$  person-years following SOT [21]. In a recent multicenter Italian study, recipients of either heart or lung graft were at the highest risk to develop GNR bacteremia [20]. In another study, however, the rate of GNR infections was highest in simultaneous kidney–pancreas (40/1000 person/years) and lowest in liver and heart (12/1000 person years) recipients [21]. Others reported that  $50-60\%$  of all BSI in liver Tx patients were due to GNR [7, 22]. The majority of infections with the GNR in transplant recipients occur in the early posttransplant period, especially in the first month post transplantation  $[9, 15, 16, 20, 21, 23]$ .

## 21.1.2 Clinical Manifestations and Outcome

 GNR infections may present with diverse clinical pictures: bacteremia with or without concomitant local site infections. One study reported pulmonary infections in 28.4 %, urinary tract infections in 14.8 %, and skin or soft tissue infections in 9.7 % [ [24 \]](#page-13-0). Other studies have reported that septic shock was specifically associated with infection with GNR [25] or with drug-resistant GNR infections [26].

 Infection with GNR is associated with worse prognosis. Mortality rate in HSCT patients experiencing GNR BSI was 59 % in one study  $[27]$ . In other studies, 7-and 30-day mortality after BSI onset was  $17-22\%$  and  $24-31\%$  [23, [28](#page-13-0)]. In one study, among aerobic gram-negative pathogens, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* had the highest associated mortality rate (40 %) followed by the *Enterobacter, Citrobacter, Serratia* group with 25 % mortality and *E. coli* or *Klebsiella* with  $3\%$  mortality within a 7-day period [15]. The overall unadjusted 28-day all-cause mortality of GNR BSI was 4.9 % in SOTR and was lower in kidney than in liver recipients  $(1.6\%$  vs.  $13.2\%$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) [21]. However, another study reported lower mortality  $2/70$  (3%) patients [29].

#### 21.1.3 Antimicrobial Resistance

#### 21.1.3.1 Definitions

 The isolate is considered multidrug-resistant (MDR) if it is non-susceptible to at least one agent in ≥3 therapeutically relevant antimicrobial categories; extensively drug-resistant (XDR) was defined as non-susceptibility to at least one agent in all but two or fewer antimicrobial categories (i.e., bacterial isolates remain susceptible to only one or two categories); and pandrug-resistant (PDR) was defined as non-susceptibility to all agents in all antimicrobial categories [30].

#### *21.1.3.2 Mechanisms of Resistance*

 The major mechanism of resistance to cephalosporins is beta-lactamase production. The most important betalactamases are the plasmid-mediated extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs), including CTX-M, TEM and SHV and inducible group 1 AmpC cephalosporinases, which are resistant to beta-lactamase inhibitors [31–39]. Class B betalactamases (metallo beta lactamases, MBLs) hydrolyze all penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenems, with the exception of monobactam aztreonam. The most common types of MBL are IMP and VIM groups [40].

 The usual mechanism of resistance to quinolones is mutation of the genes that encode the target enzymes (DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV) for quinolones.

#### *21.1.3.3 Epidemiology of Resistance*

 There is a growing problem of increasing resistance to antibiotics all over the world, including in oncological and transplant patients. There is a significant site-to-site variation in the epidemiology of resistance. Prevalence of resistance is influenced by the local policy of antibiotic use for prophylaxis and treatment, infectious control measures, as well as prevalence of resistance in the whole hospital and country.

 Recent literature review of studies which report on the epidemiology of BSI in HSCT patients reported that 41 % (range  $18-74\%$ ) of GNR bacteria are resistant to fluoroquinolones,  $28\%$  (6–41%) to aminoglycosides,  $43\%$  (17–45%) to ceftazidime and  $20\%$  (11–72%) to carbapenems [17].

 According to the ECIL-4 questionnaire assessing the recent situation in HSCT centers in Europe, median rates of ESBLproducers among *Enterobacteriaceae* was 15–24 %, aminoglycoside-resistant GNRs 5–14 % and carbapenem- resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 5–14 %. Resistance rates were significantly higher in South-East vs. North-West European HSCT centers [17]. The resistant pathogens causing most clinical problems were reported to be ESBL- producing

*Enterobacteriaceae* in 28 (76%) of centers, whilst the nextmost frequent concerns were fluoroquinolone-resistant GNRs, ( *n* = 17, 46 %), carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*  $(n=15, 41\%)$  and much less multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Acinetobacter baumannii* ( *n* = 5, 14 %).

 Several studies report on increase in MDR GNR rods in HSCT patients, including ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* , AmpC cephalosporinase hyperproducing *Enterobacteriaceae* , MDR *P. aeruginosa, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii* [18, 41–43].

#### *21.1.3.4 Risk Factors for Resistance*

 The most important risk factor for infection with resistant pathogens is prior colonization or infection by resistant organisms such as ESBL- and carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae, colistin-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ; resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* , *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* [44–56].

 Another important risk factor for infection with resistant GN in transplant patients is previous exposure to broad spectrum antibiotics for treatment or prophylaxis [18, 40, 42, [57](#page-14-0) [– 66](#page-15-0) ]. Especially important in this context is the potential role of fluoroquinolone prophylaxis in HSCT recipients [16, [60](#page-14-0), [67](#page-15-0)-72].

 Treatment with third-generation cephalosporins was associated with infection due to MDR GNR pathogens [ [73](#page-15-0) ].

 Other risk factors for resistant GNR pathogens in HSCT patients include serious illness (e.g., end-stage disease, sepsis, pneumonia), nosocomial infection, prolonged hospital stay and/or repeated hospitalizations, intensive care unit (ICU) stay, urinary catheters, and older age  $[18, 42, 45, 46,$  $[18, 42, 45, 46,$  $[18, 42, 45, 46,$ [48](#page-14-0) [– 55](#page-14-0) , [60](#page-14-0) , [61](#page-14-0) , [70](#page-15-0) [– 75](#page-15-0) ].

 In SOTR, risk factors for infection with resistant GNR include nosocomial acquisition, longer hospital stay, admission to hospital for more than 48 h before transplantation, previous transplantation, prior ICU admission, septic shock, age greater than 50 years, HCV infection, devices, increased severity of the underlying disease, renal failure with or without dialysis [20, 26, 62, 64, 66, 76-78].

 In renal Tx recipients, risk factors for resistant GNR infections were double kidney–pancreas transplantation, requirement for posttransplant hemodialysis, surgical reoperation, posttransplant urinary obstruction, and requirement for nephrostomy [64, [77](#page-15-0)]. Lung transplant recipients had a higher risk for isolation of carbapenem-resistant bacteria in one study  $[20]$ .

#### *21.1.3.5 Impact of Resistance*

 Infections caused by resistant GNR, including ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae, MDR NFGNR, carbapenemresistant GN, are associated with increased mortality in both HSCT and SOT patients [7, [22](#page-13-0), [41](#page-14-0), [42](#page-14-0), [61](#page-14-0), [64](#page-15-0), [79](#page-15-0)–85].

 Many of these studies show that failure to cover GNR pathogens, particularly ESBL producers, MDR *P. aeruginosa*, and CRE in empiric treatments significantly and independently impairs outcomes patients, increasing mortality and prolonging hospitalization  $[43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 [43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 [43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 [43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 [43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 [43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 [43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 [43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 [43, 60, 61, 71, 72, 80, 86 -$ [89](#page-16-0)]. Infection with multiresistant bacteria was associated with graft loss in kidney transplant recipients [64].

#### 21.1.4 Treatment

 Serious infections due to the GNR rods in transplant recipients should be managed with a beta-lactam or quinolone antibiotic, active in vitro against the infecting organism.

 Several studies demonstrate that onco-hematological and transplant patients infected with resistant and MDR GNR are significantly more likely to receive an inadequate initial empiric antibiotic therapy than those with a susceptible strain [18, 26, 42, 60, 61, 71]. These studies also show that the time to appropriate therapy is much longer where the pathogen is resistant.

# 21.2 Enterobacteriaceae

#### 21.2.1 Epidemiology

 The members of the *Enterobacteriaceae* are GNR bacilli which are usually resident in the gastrointestinal tract. Examples of such organisms include *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* , *Enterobacter cloacae, Proteus mirabilis* , and *Citrobacter freundii* . The majority of infections are caused by *E. coli* , followed by *Klebsiella* spp. and *Enteroba cter* / *Citrobacter* / *Serratia* spp. [ [15 ,](#page-13-0) [21 \]](#page-13-0).

 The majority of BSI in SOTR are due to *Enterobacteriaceae*  $[7, 20-22]$ , they mainly occur during the first month after SOT [7, [22](#page-13-0)]. The risk is highest in transplant recipients whose peritoneal cavity has been breached (liver, intestinal, and pancreatic transplant recipients). Spillage of enteric organisms into the peritoneal cavity in such patients may lead to intra-abdominal abscess formation and manipulation of the biliary tree may lead to cholangitis.

 Pneumonia occurring early after lung transplantation and urinary tract infections in renal transplant recipients may be more likely to be due to the *Enterobacteriaceae* [90, 91].

## 21.2.2 Clinical Manifestations and Outcome

 Infections with the *Enterobacteriaceae* in transplant recipients have a multitude of clinical presentations. The sites of infection have been diverse and have included the urinary tract, lower respiratory tract, intra-abdominal, bloodstream, and wounds.

 All transplant recipients, by virtue of prolonged hospitalization, may develop skin and upper respiratory tract colonization with gastrointestinal tract flora. Therefore, central venous line related infections and ventilator-associated pneumonia may occur due to the *Enterobacteriaceae* . Liver transplant recipients are prone to development of intra- abdominal infections with the *Enterobacteriaceae* . These may be mixed infections with anaerobes and enterococci. Examples of such infections include peritonitis, intra- abdominal abscess, cholangitis, and infected bilomas. Renal transplant recipients may develop complicated urinary tract infections or develop secondary infections within urinary leaks. The most common infections with the *Enterobacteriaceae* in lung and heart transplant recipients are pulmonary infections, which occur in all other SOT [92].

 Death in neutropenic or other heavily immunosuppressed patients may occur within hours of onset of signs of infection, in the absence of appropriate supportive and antibiotic treatment. *Enterobacter* bacteremia was associated with 63 % mortality rate in one SOTR study [ [12 \]](#page-13-0).

#### 21.2.3 Antimicrobial Resistance

#### *21.2.3.1 Mechanisms of Resistance*

 The increasing resistance to carbapenems in *Enterobacteriaceae* , especially but not limited to *K. pneumoniae*, is a major concern. Resistance to carbapenems may be mediated by several mechanisms, including production of carbapenemases, efflux pump, decreased membrane permeability, and combination of these mechanisms [93]. Combination of plasmid-encoded AmpC or ESBL expression together with decreased cell membrane permeability may be also responsible for resistance to carbapenems [94]. The main mechanism of carbapenem resistance in *Enterobacteriaceae* in most parts in the world is hydrolysis by the serine class A β-lactamase *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC). This mechanism also conferred resistance to all cephalosporins, aztreonam, and beta- lactamase inhibitors such as clavulanic acid and tazobactam. The gene encoding this enzyme *bla* KPC is located on plasmids and can be transferred between different species [94, 95]. Specifically, KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clone, sequence type ST258, has emerged and disseminated worldwide, being responsible for several outbreaks, including in HSCT patients [96-99].

 Since 2009, a novel plasmid-encoded enzyme, New Delhi MBL (NDM), has spread through India, Pakistan, and the UK, and was reported also in transplant patients [100, [101](#page-16-0)]. These strains typically also have 16S rRNA methylases, conferring complete aminoglycoside resistance [102].

 Carbapenem- resistant *Enterobacteriacea* (CRE) are frequently resistant to other antibiotics, including those considered as a "last resource," as colistin, tigecycline, fosfomycin, and aminoglycosides.

#### *21.2.3.2 Epidemiology of Resistance*

 Two to 44 % of *Enterobacteriaceae* in HSCT patients are ESBL producers [15, [16](#page-13-0), 41, 73, [79](#page-15-0), [81](#page-15-0), [83](#page-15-0), 103]. There is significant increase in carbapenem-resistant GNRs, especially *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (CRKp), in some HSCT centers  $[104]$ .

 In one study, CRKp infection was independently associated with recent stem-cell transplantation or organ, and it found to be associated with numerous health care-related risk factors and with high mortality [105].

 In a recent retrospective Italian survey, more than a half of 52 centers reported on CRKp infections, and the incidence is growing, especially in allogeneic HSCT patients [\[ 43](#page-14-0) ]. The incidence of CRKp infections was 0.4 % (from 0.1 % in 2010 to 0.7 % in 2013) in auto-SCT and 2 % (from 0.4 % in 2010 to  $2.9\%$  in 2013) in allo-SCT populations [43].

 ESBL producing organisms frequently colonize the gastrointestinal tract of SOTR.

 The rate of ESBL-producers among Enterobacteriaceae in SOTR is 8–77% [21, 22, [29](#page-13-0), 77, [106](#page-16-0)–111]. 42.3% of 80 MDR GNR strains isolated from 350 SOT recipients were ESBL-positive (mainly *Enterobacteriaceae*) [39].

 There is increasing quinolones resistance of GNR bacteria in SOT  $[21, 112]$  $[21, 112]$  $[21, 112]$ . One study reported on increasing resistance among *Escherichia coli* isolates to fluoroquinolone antibiotics from 0 to  $44\%$  ( $p=0.002$ ) throughout the study period (1996–2007) [21].

 Several studies reported on infections caused by carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) in SOT patients. In one cohort study, organ transplant recipients appeared to be at increased risk for CRKp bacteremia [113]. Incidence of CRE infections was 1.3–12.9 % in liver, 9.4–26.3 % in kidney,  $0.4-6.6\%$  lung,  $7.5-16.7\%$  in heart transplant patients [100, [114](#page-16-0)]. In one recent multicenter Italian study, 26 % of all GNR bacteria and half of all *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in SOT patients were carbapenem-resistant [20]. SOTR were involved in hospital outbreak with CRE [115]. The median time since SOT to infection was 12–90 days, late infection 218 days after lung transplant was reported however [116]. The site of infection was bacteremia in 17–100%. The other sites were pneumonia  $25-50\%$  in liver, lung, and heart SOT; UTI in 60–100 % in renal transplants, intraabdominal (mainly in liver transplants) and soft tissue infections.

 Colonization with CRKp endangers patients with subsequent infection. Generally, patient colonized with CRKp has 7.8–16% chance to develop CRKp BSI [117, 118]. In transplant patients this risk is higher. The rates of BSI among rectal CRKp carriers was 39 % in hematological and allo-HSCT patients, 26 % in auto-HSCT, 18.8 % in SOTR, 18.5 % in ICU and  $16\%$  in general ward patients  $[43, 117-119]$ . Patients with documented CRKp infection before allogeneic HSCT with had high chance of relapse—45.4 %; 90 % of them died despite early targeted therapy [43].

 In liver transplant patients, CRKp infection rates among patients non-colonized, colonized at the time of transplantation, and colonized after transplantation were 2, 18.2, and 46.7% in one study  $(p<0.001)$  [120]. In another study in liver Tx, 8/9 patients known to be colonized with KPC-2 CRKp developed infection, and five  $(56\%)$  were confirmed to have BSI with KPC-2-KP [84].

 Certain factors predispose CRKp colonized patients to develop infection, such as number of colonization sites, admission to the ICU, abdominal invasive procedure, chemotherapy/radiation therapy, diabetes mellitus, solid tumor, tracheostomy, urinary catheterization, having a central venous catheter, receipt of antibiotics, renal replacement therapy; mechanical ventilation >48 h; hepatitis C virus  $(HCV)$  recurrence  $[117, 118, 120]$ . Some of these factors are routinely present in transplant patients, which can explain higher risks of invasive infection following colonization. In patients with health care-associated bacteremia, prior use of carbapenems may be only second to cephalosporins as the most significant antibiotic exposure associated with the involvement of ESBL-producing organisms [48].

#### *21.2.3.3 Impact of Resistance*

 Mortality rate in infections caused by ESBL-producing bacteria was  $38-52\%$  as compared to  $5.5-29\%$  in infections caused by non-ESBL producing bacteria in HSCT recipients  $[41, 61, 79 - 81]$ .

Mortality in infections caused by CRE was significantly higher as compared to non-CRE bacteria (33–72% vs. 9–22 %) in several studies, including in transplant patients [43, 82, 104, [105](#page-16-0), [121](#page-17-0)–123]. The infection-related mortality rates were 16 and 64.4 % in autologous and allogeneic HSCT recipients, respectively. Almost all patients died because of CRKp infection in one recent study [43]. The high rate of mortality in allo-HSCT patients was comparable or higher than that reported in previous series of intensive care unit  $(32-41\%)$ , some solid organ transplant  $(40\%)$  and hematologic malignancies  $(65\%)$  patients  $[43, 100, 124]$  $[43, 100, 124]$  $[43, 100, 124]$ . The infection- related mortality rate was 48 % in patient who received CRKp- targeted 1st line therapy as compared with  $73\%$  in those who received a not CRKp-targeted first-line antibiotic therapy  $(p=0.002)$  [43].

 CRE bacteremia in SOTR caused septic shock in 18 % of patients, and was recurrent and persistent in 29 % each, in one study [116]. Summary of several studies in SOTR infected with CRE reported on  $37\%$  mortality [100], in one study it reached 78% [84]. SOTR with at least one positive culture for carbapenem-resistant GNR had a 10.23-fold higher mortality rate than those who did not [20]. Bacteremic or non-bacteremic infections due to CRKp resulted in a fivefold increased risk of death after liver transplantation [125]. Retrospective cohort study comparing SOTR with a first episode of UTI due to CRKp, ESBL-producing *Klebsiella* 

*pneumoniae* , or susceptible *Klebsiella pneumoniae* demonstrated that CRKP is associated with long length of stay, and microbiological failure [78]. Six of 13 (46%) kidney transplant recipients with CRKp infection, and none of the patients with carbapenem-sensitive *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection, died within  $6.5$  months of infection onset  $[126]$ . Resistance to colistin has been independently associated with worse outcomes in patients infected with CRKp [127].

## 21.2.4 Treatment

 An important caveat is that ESBL-producing organisms may appear susceptible in vitro to third generation cephalosporins (ceftazidime, cefotaxime or ceftriaxone) or cefepime, yet be functionally resistant to these agents  $[128]$ . 8–20% of patients receiving broad-spectrum cephalosporins for *Enterobacter* infection had resistant isolates under treatment due to induction of AmpC  $[129-132]$ .

 ESBL producers in vitro are inhibited by beta-lactamase inhibitors (sulbactam, clavulanate, tazobactam). However, MIC to these agents rises with increasing inoculum [133]. Quinolones are usually inappropriate for treatment, as resistance to quinolone is frequent in ESBL producing bacteria: 20–90 % ESBL producers were resistant to quinolones, as compared to 2-42% of non-ESBL-producers [61, 79, [134](#page-17-0)]. Carbapenems should be regarded as the drugs of choice for serious infections with β-lactamases-producing organisms [\[ 35](#page-13-0) , [37](#page-14-0) , [38](#page-14-0) , [121](#page-17-0) , [135](#page-17-0) ].

 Treatment of carbapenem-resistant GNR is discussed below. Resistance to agents active against carbapenemresistant GNR has been reported. For example, among KPC-Kp isolates in HSCT patients, 80.8 % were susceptible to colistin, 69.2 % to tigecycline, and 65.4 % to gentamicin in one study  $[104]$ .

 Appropriate treatment for resistant bacteria is frequently delayed. Inadequate empirical therapy was most common in SOTR infected with ESBL bacteria [56]. CRKp-targeted therapy was provided with more than 2 days delay in one study in patients with hematological malignancies [124].

# 21.3 Non-fermentative Gram-Negative Rods (NFGNR)

#### 21.3.1 Epidemiology

 The NFGNR include *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter* spp., *Burkholderia cepacia, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* , and some other more rare bacteria. Non- fermentative refers to their inability to ferment glucose (instead, most species degrade glucose oxidatively). Non- fermentatives are less frequent causes of BSI than *Enterobacteriaceae* in transplant patients  $[7, 12, 15, 17, 20–22]$ . However, these are more frequent causes of pneumonia [20].

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is the most frequent of the NFGNR, causing about  $5-15\%$  of bacteremias [7, [17](#page-13-0), 20, [21](#page-13-0) ]. *Acinetobacter* spp, *Burkholderia cepacia* , and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* are considerably less frequent—responsible for about  $2\%$  (0–12%) of bacteremia in HSCT [18, 27, 136–145] and 2–10% of GNR bacteremia in SOTR [7, 20].

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is responsible for 8–25 % of cases of pneumonia occurring in SOTR [92, 146]. Lung transplant recipients are at greatest risk [90, [147](#page-18-0), [148](#page-18-0)].

Between 2 and  $13\%$  of patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) are colonized with *Burkholderia cepacia* [149-151]. Increasing age and advanced lung disease are risk factors for *Burkholderia cepacia* colonization implying that candidates for lung transplantation may also be at the highest risk of *Burkholderia cepacia* .

#### 21.3.2 Clinical Manifestations and Outcome

 In one study on NFGNR bacteremia in cancer patients (including HSCT), the risk of complications was high  $(47\%)$ , including 35 % with septic syndrome, 19 % pneumonia, 3.5 % enterocolitis, and 3.5 % soft-tissue infections [\[ 139](#page-17-0) ]. There are few clinical characteristics which distinguish transplant recipients with infection with NFGNR from patients with infection with the *Enterobacteriaceae* .

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia may be associated with ecthyma gangrenosum. The skin lesions of ecthyma ganrenosum may be multiple, with rapid evolution through stages of macules, nodules, vesicles, and ulcerative eschars. The lesions contain little, if any, pus. In children the lesions tend to occur on the perineum and buttocks, but they may appear anywhere. Although ecthyma gangrenosum is regarded by some as pathognomonic for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia, similar lesions have been reported with other etiologies of bacteremia, such as *Stenotrophomonas*  maltophilia<sup>[152]</sup>.

 In SOT patients, *Pseudomonas* can cause pneumonia, UTI (mainly in renal Tx) and bacteremia [\[ 64](#page-15-0) , [106 \]](#page-16-0). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* may be associated with cholangitis in liver transplant recipients. De novo colonization of the lung allograft by *Pseudomonas* is associated with the subsequent development of bronchiolitis obliterans [153].

 Mortality is especially high in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia in both HSCT and SOTR:  $39-67\%$  [12, [15](#page-13-0), 16, [23](#page-13-0) , [28 ,](#page-13-0) [62 ,](#page-15-0) [154](#page-18-0) ]; the majority of patients died within 7 days from the onset of infection. Mortality is especially high if caused by multidrug resistant (MDR) bacteria [83, [155](#page-18-0)]. Onset of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* blood stream infections in ICU is an independent predictor of mortality after HSCT and SOT patients [62].

*Acinetobacter* spp, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* , and other NFGNR were responsible for catheter related bacteremia, severe sepsis, severe hemorrhagic pneumonia and soft tissue infection in HSCT patients  $[18, 27, 136-145]$  $[18, 27, 136-145]$  $[18, 27, 136-145]$  and  $2-10\%$  of GNR bacteremia in SOTR [7, [20](#page-13-0), [156](#page-18-0)]. The most frequent clinical manifestation of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* is pneumonia and the second most frequent is CVCrelated bacteremia [144, 156-158]. It must be recognized that not every isolate from the respiratory system is a true cause of pneumonia, but may represent colonization of respiratory tract.

*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* emerges particularly in patients with prior broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy.

*Acinetobacter* spp. can cause suppurative infections in virtually every organ system; mainly they cause nosocomial infections [9]. Lung transplant recipients infected with *Acinetobacter* were less likely to clear infection as compared to non-transplant patients, and more likely to die because of *Acinetobacter* infection [ [85 \]](#page-15-0). Infections with *Acinetobacter* can be severe, a third of them were associated with septic shock and  $47.1\%$  deaths in liver Tx recipients [9].

*Burkholderia cepacia* infection in lung transplant recipients may produce a rapidly progressive pneumonia, sometimes accompanied by septicemia. Occasional patients have lung abscess or empyema [159]. Both lung transplant recipients and lung transplant candidates may have simple colonization with *Burkholderia cepacia* however. Some lung transplant candidates have a gradual but progressive decline in their clinical condition after they become colonized with *Burkholderia cepacia* . These patients may have repeated hospital admissions with fever, declining respiratory function and weight loss. In contrast, some lung transplant candidates have a rapidly progressive syndrome known as the "cepacia syndrome" [160]. These patients present with high fever and respiratory failure. Laboratory testing reveals leukocytosis and a markedly elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR). Person to person transmission of *Burkholderia cepacia* has been reported, most likely through direct contact with respiratory secretions [161]. Transplant patients with CF and chronic granulomatous disease are vulnerable to *Burkholderia cepacia* pneumonia, and bacteremia with this pathogen may also occur.

Specific comment should be made regarding colonization and lung infection due to *Burkholderia cepacia* . Some, but not all, studies of *Burkholderia cepacia* pneumonia in lung transplant recipients have shown elevated mortality compared to patients who were never colonized with this organism. There is a report on mortality of close to 50 % in *Burkholderia cepacia* colonized CF patients undergoing lung transplantation [159]. Others found that 1-year survival of *Burkholderia cepacia* colonized patients was 67 % compared to 92 % for patients not colonized with this organism [162]. Lung SOTR with CF who were infected with *Burkholderia cepacia* had poorer outcomes and represented the majority of those who had a septic death [163]. In contrast, a number of small studies have not shown significant difference in survival of *Burkholderia cepacia* colonized versus non-colonized patients [164, 165]. It appears that a

subset of *Burkholderia cepacia* , genomovar III, is linked to inferior outcome [166, 167]. Patients colonized with *B*. *cenocepacia* before lung transplant were six times more likely to die within one year of transplant than those infected with other *Burkholderia cepacia* complex (Bcc) species  $(p=0.04)$  and eight times than noninfected patients ( *p* < 0.00005) [ [168 \]](#page-18-0). 9/12 patients with *B. cenocepacia* infection died following lung transplantation, as compared to significantly better outcomes of recipients infected with other Bcc species, comparable to other recipients with CF [169]. Therefore, colonization with *B. cenocepacia* is considered as a contraindication for lung transplantation in some centers [169].

 Following lung transplantation, infection with Bcc species other than *B. cenocepacia* does not significantly impact 5-year survival whereas infection with *B. cenocepacia* pretransplant is associated with decreased survival [168].

#### 21.3.3 Antimicrobial Resistance

#### *21.3.3.1 Mechanisms of Resistance*

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* displays a diverse array of antibiotic resistance mechanisms [170]. Resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics is usually, but not exclusively, mediated by betalactamases. A frightening arrival has been the IMP and VIM type beta-lactamases which can hydrolyze carbapenems, and all other beta-lactams with the exception of aztreonam [\[ 171](#page-18-0) ]. However the coexistence of other beta-lactamases usually results in resistance to aztreonam. Metallo beta-lactamase production was the main mechanism of resistance in NFGNR found in one study [39].

 Imipenem resistance can be mediated by loss of OprD, a porin or outer membrane protein. Loss of OprD results in resistance to imipenem and reduced susceptibility (but usually not frank resistance) to meropenem. OprD may be coregulated with an efflux pump called MexEF-OprN [170, [172](#page-18-0)]. Use of imipenem can select for loss of OprD, but not for upregulation of the efflux pump. In contrast, use of quinolones can select for upregulation of the efflux pump and also reduced OprD (resulting in resistance to both quinolones and imipenem). Frank resistance to meropenem usually requires both loss of OprD and upregulation of an efflux pump known as MexAB-OprM [172].

The efflux pumps are an important mechanism of multidrug resistance, since they may confer resistance to quinolones, antipseudomonal penicillins, cephalosporins, and sometimes also aminoglycosides. Quinolone resistance may also be mediated by mutations to the chromosomally mediated topoisomerases II and IV. Aminoglycoside resistance may be mediated by outer membrane impermeability or by aminoglycoside modifying enzymes.

*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* is intrinsically resistant to carbapenems because of the production of carbapenem hydrolyzing beta-lactamases. *S. maltophilia* usually harbors two types of beta-lactamases: L1, a metallo-beta-lactamase that hydrolyzes all beta-lactams except aztreonam and is not inhibited by clavulanic acid and L2, an inducible class A beta-lactamase that hydrolyzes aztreonam but is inhibited by clavulanic acid. Strains harboring these beta-lactamases hydrolyze almost all beta-lactams and beta-lactam–betalactamase inhibitor combinations. The majority of strains are susceptible to ticarcillin–clavulanate, but not to ampicillin– sulbactam or piperacillin–tazobactam. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* is frequently resistant to all aminoglycosides, probably due to impermeability of the outer membrane.

 A variety of beta-lactamases have been reportedly produced by *Burkholderia cepacia* [173-179]. Resistance may also be mediated by membrane impermeability.

*Acinetobacter* spp. may be capable of virtually complete antibiotic resistance. Some authors have used the abbreviations CRAB (carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* ) or PDRAB (pandrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* ) [180]. As is the case with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, resistance of *Acinetobacter* spp. may be mediated by a combination of beta-lactamases and outer membrane protein deficiencies. A clinically useful observation has been the in-vitro efficacy of ampicillin–sulbactam in the face of resistance to almost all other drug classes. Sulbactam is able to bind to penicillin binding protein 2 (PBP-2) and therefore can impart direct antimicrobial activity against *Acinetobacter* spp [181].

#### *21.3.3.2 Epidemiology of Resistance*

 Transplant recipients (HSCT and SOT) are at greater risk of MDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* BSI, with an appreciable mortality. In a large study, resistance to all antibiotic classes was significantly greater in *Pseudomonas* BSI isolates from transplant compared with non-transplant patients  $(p < 0.001)$ . Of isolates from transplant recipients  $(n=207)$ , 43% were MDR, compared with 18 % of isolates from non-transplant patients  $(n=391)$   $(p<0.001)$  [62].

 In HSCT patients *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is frequently resistant to several antibiotic classes. 18–72 % are resistant to fluoroquinolones,  $11-50\%$  to aminoglycosides,  $15-50\%$ to third-generation cephalosporins, 10–28 % to piperacillin tazobactam and  $8-60\%$  to carbapenems [15, [16](#page-13-0), 23, [24](#page-13-0), [72](#page-15-0), [182](#page-19-0)]; 25–71 % are MDR [16, 62, [72](#page-15-0), [73](#page-15-0), [143](#page-17-0)]. Outbreaks of multidrug resistant GNR rods ( *Stenotrophomonas, Pseudomonas*) were reported in HSCT units [183-185].

 In SOT patients NFGNR are frequently resistant to antibiotics;  $31-74\%$  of them are MDR in some reports  $[26, 29, 62, 62]$  $[26, 29, 62, 62]$  $[26, 29, 62, 62]$ [76](#page-15-0) , [83 ,](#page-15-0) [186](#page-19-0) , [187 \]](#page-19-0); others report on XDR *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* [\[ 76](#page-15-0) , [83](#page-15-0) ]. 37 % of 49 cases of *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection in kidney and liver transplant recipients were caused by carbapenem-resistant isolates in one study [89], while in another study in liver transplant patients, 75% were carbapenem-resistant [9]. Infection with CRAB manifested mainly as pneumonia (83 %) in one study in SOTR,

half of these patients subsequently developed CRAB BSI; 5/6 patients died [188]. CRAB caused 42.9% of all *Acinetobacter* infections in abdominal SOTR as compared to 13.7% among non-transplant ( $p < 0.01$ ) [188]. XDR *Acinetobacter baumannii* in infections were significantly more common among cardiothoracic than abdominal transplant recipients  $(p=0.0004)$ . Ninety-eight percent (40/41) of patients had respiratory tract infections, most commonly ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP); 88 % [36/41]) [189].

 CF patients undergoing lung transplantation are frequently infected with MDR and PDR NFGNR, such as *Pseudomonas, Burkholderia*, and others. In some centers, 44–55 % of patients harbored PDR NFGNR, mostly *Pseudomonas* [\[ 106 ,](#page-16-0) [190 ,](#page-19-0) [191](#page-19-0) ]. *Burkholderia cepacia* is fre-quently MDR [192, [193](#page-19-0)].

 Contact with other patients colonized with resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* may be risk factor for acquisition of resistant strain [194].

#### *21.3.3.3 Impact of Resistance*

 Mortality in MDR *Pseudomonas* infections was 36 % vs. 12.5 % in non-MDR infections in HSCT patients [ [182 \]](#page-19-0).

 MDR and XDR *Acinetobacter* infections is associated with high mortality rate of 49–95 % in HSCT and SOTR [ [136 ,](#page-17-0) [188 ,](#page-19-0) [189 ,](#page-19-0) [195 \]](#page-19-0). Polymyxin-resistant *Acinetobacter* colonization or infection after liver transplantation was independently associated with mortality [196].

 Some studies reported on decreased survival in CF patients infected with PDR bacteria [190], others however reported that their survival is similar to patients without PDR coloni-zation [163, [191](#page-19-0)]. Inappropriate therapy was associated with increased mortality in SOTR patients infected with Acinetobacter spp. and MDR GNR [39, 89].

#### 21.3.4 Treatment

#### *21.3.4.1 Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

 There has been long-standing debate over the value of combination therapy in the treatment of serious *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. Combination therapy had been considered the mainstay of therapy for many years, but recently proponents of monotherapy have emerged. Much of the support for combination therapy emanated from the prospective observational study of 200 consecutive patients with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteremia, showing that combination therapy was found to be significantly better than monotherapy in improving outcome. Mortality was significantly higher in patients given monotherapy (47 %) than in patients given combination therapy  $(27%)$  [197]. It should be noted that the most common combination used was piperacillin or ticarcillin combined with tobramycin or gentamicin. The monotherapy group was dominated by patients

given an aminoglycoside alone. Few patients received cephalosporins, aztreonam, carbapenems, or quinolones [197]. In the contrary, there are two studies, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* , on GNR bacteremia, that did not find statistically significant differences in mortality between those receiving beta-lactam monotherapy versus beta-lactam-aminoglycoside combination therapy [198, [199](#page-19-0)]. No difference in mortality between monotherapy with beta-lactam and combination of beta-lactam with aminoglycoside or fluoroquinolone was demonstrated in the recent review of randomized and non-randomized studies [200].

To definitively show that combination therapy is superior to monotherapy would require a randomized controlled trial of several hundred patients. It is not likely that such a study will be ever performed. The demonstration of in-vitro synergy between antipseudomonal beta-lactam antibiotics and aminoglycosides, and the development of resistance with monotherapy, prompts us to continue to recommend combination antibiotic therapy for serious *Pseudomonas* infections. It is not clear whether the combination of two antibiotics needs to be continued for the entire treatment course or whether combination therapy in the first 3–5 days of treatment is sufficient. A combination of antipseudomonal beta-lactam plus aminoglycoside is the gold standard of therapy. Minimization of the aminoglycoside component of this regimen to 3–5 days should minimize risk of toxicity [201]. Combinations of beta-lactams and quinolones are sometimes used but the clinical data to support such combinations is sparse. We do not recommend combinations of two betalactams. Double beta-lactam therapy has proved inferior to the beta-lactam-aminoglycoside combination in animal models [202]. One study in humans showed emergence of resistance in  $40\%$  (two of five) of cases in one series of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection treated with double betalactams [203].

 High doses of quinolones for therapy of serious *Pseudomonas* infections are recommended. For ciprofloxacin, an intravenous dose of 400 mg every 8 h is recommended instead of standard 400 mg every 12 h. Likewise we recommend levofloxacin at 750 mg per day, rather than 500 mg per day, for serious pseudomonal infections. For beta-lactams, the rate of bactericidal activity of beta-lactams does not increase substantially once concentrations exceed four times the MIC. Beta-lactams do not exhibit a postantibiotic effect against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with the notable exception of the carbapenems. Thus, high drug concentrations do not kill *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* any faster than low concentrations, and bacterial regrowth will begin very soon after serum and tissue levels fall below the MIC. The duration of time that serum levels exceed the MIC is the pharmacokinetic parameter that best correlates with in vivo efficacy of the beta-lactams. Continuous infusion of antipseudomonal betalactams is therefore theoretically attractive. At this time, this approach remains to be validated in large clinical studies.

Aminoglycosides, even when in combination therapy, should be dosed once daily. Aminoglycosides exhibit concentrationdependent bactericidal activity, and also produce prolonged postantibiotic effects. This supports the practice of once daily aminoglycoside dosing.

#### *21.3.4.2 Other NFGNR*

 There are no randomized controlled trials which can guide therapy of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Trimethoprim– sulfamethoxazole should be considered the primary therapeutic agent. Resistance may arise and the sulfonamide component is poorly tolerated by some patients [204-206]. However, it must be recognized that *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* may be a colonizer of the airways, in which case not treatment is needed. Alternative agents against *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* proposed by some authors include the beta-lactams, ticarcillin–clavulanate, and ceftazidime; fluoroquinolones, with moxifloxacin reportedly active in-vitro against some ciprofloxacin-resistant isolates from hematological patients [207]; minocycline and chloramphenicol. Combination therapy with either ticarcillin–clavulanate or with a third-generation cephalosporin (mainly ceftazidime) should be considered in a neutropenic or severely ill patients [204, 208, [209](#page-20-0)]. Published cases series on treatment regimens other than trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole are small with variable success and drugs used often in combination  $[50, 204-206]$  $[50, 204-206]$  $[50, 204-206]$ .

*Burkholderia cepacia* can be extremely resistant, but ceftazidime, carbapenems (imipenem and meropenem), ciprofloxacin, piperacillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim– sulfamethoxazole have the greatest likelihood of in vitro activity. It is important to note that combination therapy is highly desirable because of the probability of emergence of more resistant isolates during therapy. *Burkholderia cepacia* is resistant to commonly used inhaled antibiotics (tobramycin and colistin)  $[106]$ .

 Carbapenems (for example, imipenem or meropenem) have traditionally been regarded as extremely potent agents in the treatment of severe infections due to *Acinetobacter* spp. This has been borne out in studies of *Acinetobacter* bacteremia [210]. Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* may remain susceptible to sulbactam [2, 168, 211] a beta-lactamase inhibitor that also has clinically relevant intrinsic antimicrobial activity against the organism. In patients with strains resistant to virtually all currently available antibiotics, colistin may be the only viable option [212]. In-vitro studies show potential advantages of combinations of rifampin with colistin [\[ 213](#page-20-0) ]. A new antibiotic, tigecycline, shows usefulness against multiresistant *Acinetobacter* organisms [65, 214]. A. *baumannii* can develop resistance to tigecycline by mutation, with the trait sometimes selected in therapy [215-217]; moreover some regionally prevalent MDR strains are non-susceptible to tigecycline [218].

# 21.4 Treatment Options for Carbapenem- Resistant GNR

 Treatment of carbapenem-resistant GNR is challenging. In some cases, the only treatment options include old antibiotics (polymyxins and fosfomycin), tigecycline, and aminoglycosides  $[50, 135, 219-221]$  $[50, 135, 219-221]$  $[50, 135, 219-221]$  $[50, 135, 219-221]$  $[50, 135, 219-221]$ . All these options have efficacy, resistance, and/or toxicity issues.

 Summary on current treatment options for carbapenemresistant GNR is presented in Table 21-1 .

#### 21.4.1 Polymyxins

 The polymyxins were originally isolated from *Bacillus* spp polymyxin B from *B. polymyxa* in 1947 and colistin (also known as polymyxin E) from *B. colistinus* in 1950. The polymyxins act primarily on the bacterial cell wall, leading to rapid permeability changes in the cytoplasmic membrane. Entry into the cell is not necessary. The polymyxins may also have

antiendotoxin activity. Carbapenem-resistant GN can remain sensitive to colistin. Increasing number of reports on successful systemic polymyxins use, including in transplant patients [222–224]. Other usages of colistin reported were: as aerosols, in adjunction to systemic therapy in patients with pneumonia [225], intraventricular use for CNS infections [226] and endotoxin removal using polymyxin-B-based hemoperfusion [227]. Inhaled colistin in lung transplant patients may delay colonization with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [\[ 228](#page-20-0) ]. The use of colistin raises several issues of concern:

- 1. Efficacy. Treatment with colistin was associated with increased mortality as compared with other appropriate regimens in several studies; some of them included onco-hematological patients [229, [230](#page-21-0)]. Others, however, reported on considerable effectiveness, depending on the daily dosage and infection site [222, [223](#page-20-0), [231](#page-21-0)].
- 2. Toxicity, mainly nephrological and neurological. Nephrotoxicity, which was reported in up to 50 % of patients receiving colistin–polymyxin B in older studies,

TABLE 21-1. Main characteristics of the new or revisited antibacterial drugs for treatment of infections due to MDR GNR bacteria

	Colistin/polymyxin B [115, 220, 221, 232, 235, 236, 305]	Tigecycline [216, 220, 244, 306]	Fosfomycin [204, 231, 307, 308]
Class	Polymyxin	Tetracyclines	Phosphonic acid derivative
Mechanism of action. hydro/lipophilic	Disrupts bacterial membranes, hydrophilic	Protein synthesis inhibition, lipophilic	Inhibits peptidoglycan synthesis, Hydrophilic
Bactericidal/-static; concentration/time dependent activity	Bactericidal, concentration dependent	Bacteriostatic, time dependent	Bactericidal, variable concentration- dependent or time-dependent
Spectrum	Enterobacteriaceae, P. aeruginosa, A. baumannii, S. maltophilia, not Proteus, Serratia, Providencia spp	Enterobacteriaceae, A. baumannii, S. maltophilia, not P. aeruginosa, Proteus, Morganella, and Providencia spp	Enterobacteriaceae (esp. E. coli), some P. aeruginosa, not A. baumannii
Half life	$5.9 \pm 2.6$ h (Following administration of two million international units of colistin methanesulphonate)	$37 \pm 12 h$	$5.7 \pm 2.8$ h
Route of elimination	Renal	Biliary/fecal and renal	Renal and fecal
Dose and route	Wide dose range used $(3-9 \times 10^6 \text{ IU})$ day) Loading dose nine million IU and maintenance dose 4.5 million IU every 12 h preferred, IV	100 mg loading dose followed by 50 mg twice daily, IV	Range 2 g three times daily up to 4 g four times daily, IV
Main side effects	Nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity	Nausea, vomiting and headache	Gastrointestinal (rare)
Warnings	Increased mortality as compared to other appropriate regimens in some retrospective studies Low colistin concentration after the first few doses in the routine dose regimen	Low blood levels Increased risk of death compared to other antibiotics used to treat severe infections	No clinical experience in this patient population Readily selects resistance
European Medicines Agency (EMA) labeled indications	Serious infections caused by GNR bacteria, including those of the lower respiratory tract and urinary tract where sensitivity testing suggests that they are caused by susceptible bacteria	Complicated skin and soft tissue infections, complicated intra- abdominal infections	No EMA license; individual country licenses include infections of lung, urinary tract, and bone, with associated bacteremia

 Adapted from Averbuch D, Cordonnier C, Livermore DM, Mikulska M, Orasch C, Viscoli C et al. Targeted therapy against multi-resistant bacteria in leukemic and hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: guidelines of the 4th European Conference on Infections in Leukemia (ECIL-4, 2011). *Haematologica* 2013; 98(12): 1836–47.

is much less frequent in newer studies, including HSCT patients, with rates ranging from 10 to 30%  $[221 - 224, 232]$  $[221 - 224, 232]$  $[221 - 224, 232]$ .

- 3. Appropriate dose. The recommended dose in adults is nine million IU daily in two or three divided doses as a slow intravenous infusion; in critically ill patients a loading dose of nine million IU should be given. Doses should be reduced according to creatinine clearance in patients with renal impairment. In children, the suggested dose is 75,000 to 150,000 IU/kg daily, in three divided doses [233]. Loading dose and high daily dosages of colistin may help to overcome the problem of low blood levels that may have been responsible for the suboptimal efficacy of polymyxins, as well as to the selection of resistant strain variants [219, 234-237].
- 4. Emergence of colistin-resistant GN after previous exposure to colistin was reported [196, 234]. Susceptibility decreased during therapy with colistin in 40 % of SOTR infected with XDR *Acinetobacter baumannii* [195].

#### 21.4.2 Tigecycline

 Tigecycline has a broad spectrum of in vitro activity against MDR GNR bacteria, excluding *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus* spp., *Providencia* spp., *and Morganella* spp. [205, [238](#page-21-0) [– 240](#page-21-0) ]. Standard dosage tigecycline, in combination with an anti-pseudomonal drug (ß-lactams, quinolones, aminoglycosides) achieved clinical response in 56 % of HSCT recipients [ [241 \]](#page-21-0). Patients with pneumonia had lower response and higher mortality rates than those with bacteremia (51 % vs. 79 %, 44 % vs. 16 % respectively, both *p* < 0.05) [\[ 241](#page-21-0) ]. In another study, standard dosage tigecycline used alone or combined with other antibiotics, showed clinical response (defined as partial or complete improvement of signs/symptoms of infection) in 16/23 (70 %) of bacteremia cases, 18/29  $(67\%)$  of pneumonia and in 7/12 (58%) where it was used for empirical treatment of febrile neutropenia [242],. The microbiologic response rate 70 % was achieved during treatment of CRKp infections after liver transplantation in the intensive care unit (ICU) with tigecycline, but 30 % died due to CRKp [243].

 Higher-dosage tigecycline regimens potentially may be advantageous in severe infections. A recent randomized study in patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia showed that clinical cure with tigecycline 100 mg twice daily after a loading dose of 200 mg  $(17/20, 85.0\%)$  was numerically higher than with tigecycline 75 mg twice daily after a loading dose of 150 mg (16/23, 69.6 %) and imipenem–cilastatin  $(18/24, 75.0\%)$  [154]. However, evidence of increased mortality, compared to other antibiotic therapies, especially in VAP [244] leads to caution in its use. Moreover, a serious drawback, at least for monotherapy in bacteremia, is the low serum level obtained [216]. Superinfections with pathogens inherently resistant to tigecycline (*Pseudomonas aerugi-* *nosa* , *Proteus* spp., *Providencia* spp., and *Morganella* spp.) are another concern [215, [236](#page-21-0), 243]. Breakthrough CRE bacteremia during tigecycline therapy was reported due to susceptible strains [113]. Increased MIC during treatment with tigecycline was reported in kidney Tx patient [245].

# 21.4.3 Fosfomycin

 Fosfomycin is another old, but increasingly revisited, antibiotic with broad-spectrum in vitro activity against GNR bacteria, excluding *Acinetobacter* spp. Several studies estimate 80–90 % of Enterobacteriaceae with extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) and carbapenemases to be susceptible to fosfomycin [204, 219], but other studies report that only 50 % of *Klebsiella* spp. and fewer than 30 % of MDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to be susceptible [135, 221]. Due to the possibility of resistance developing during therapy, fosfomycin should be used in combination with other agents, selected according to the susceptibility results [204, [246](#page-21-0)]. Data on the efficacy of intravenous fosfomycin are limited to case reports and small case series [204] and there is no published experience of treating invasive infections in oncohematological and HSCT patients. A retrospective study in HSCT patients showed, that in a multivariate analysis, exposure to fosfomycin (route of administration not specified) was associated with a significantly decreased incidence of veno-occlusive liver disease [247]. Fourteen cases of UTI in kidney Tx recipients were treated with fosfomycin, mostly due to *E.coli* , 50 % resistant to carbapenems. The overall clearance rate of UTI at 3 months was 31 %; recurrence occurred in 54 % and persistence occurred in 21 % of cases, no adverse drug reactions were reported [\[ 248](#page-21-0) ]. In another report, 30 % microbiological cure was achieved when MDR GNR UTI was treated with fosfomycin in 15 SOTR; in 3 of them resistance to fosfomycin developed during treatment, and another one had superinfection due to fosfomycinresistant bacteria [249].

# 21.4.4 Combination Therapy in Infections Due to Resistant GNR

#### *21.4.4.1 In-Vitro Data*

 Some in vitro data suggest synergy in combining two agents (polymyxin B and either rifampin or doxycycline; fosfomycin with meropenem or colistin) against carbapenemaseproducing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* , even when the pathogen is resistant to one of these agents [250, [251](#page-21-0)]. An ertapenem– doripenem combination may be of potential usefulness against KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* based on a study in an immunocompetent murine thigh infection model based on the notion that the high affinity of KPC for ertapenem would "trap" the enzyme thus enhancing the activity of doripenem [252].

 A recent meta-analysis of studies examining in vitro interactions of antibiotic combinations consisting of any carbapenem with colistin or polymyxin B against GNR reported that combination therapy showed synergy rates of 77 % for *Acinetobacter baumannii* , 44 % for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* , and 50 % for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* , with low antagonism rates for all. Doripenem showed high synergy rates for all three bacteria. The use of combination therapy led to less resistance development in vitro [253].

 Various combinations of rifampin, beta-lactams, aminoglycosides, quinolones, colistin–polymyxin B, fosfomycin, or other agents are synergistic in vitro, or in animal models, against MDR *Pseudomonas* or *Acinetobacter* spp. [\[ 135](#page-17-0) , [211](#page-20-0) , [254](#page-21-0) [– 258](#page-22-0)].

#### *21.4.4.2 Clinical Data*

 In the era of increasing resistance, combination therapy is increasingly used for treatment of carbapenem-resistant and **MDR GNR [221].** 

 Several meta-analyses of randomized controlled studies, some of them done before the present era of increasing resistance, concluded that there was similar all-cause mortality in febrile neutropenic patients treated with a beta-lactam vs. the same beta-lactam plus an aminoglycoside as empirical or definitive therapy  $[148, 259, 260]$  $[148, 259, 260]$  $[148, 259, 260]$ . However, owing to the small numbers of cases of infection due to resistant bacteria, a benefit of combination therapy could not be ruled out for those patients who were critically ill or were infected with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* or some other resistant pathogen  $[148, 259, 260]$  $[148, 259, 260]$  $[148, 259, 260]$  $[148, 259, 260]$  $[148, 259, 260]$ .

 In a recently published prospective multicenter study which compared empirical therapy with piperacillin–tazobactam with or without tigecycline in high-risk neutropenic patients with hematologic malignancies, the combination therapy proved to be more effective, including in patients with bacteremia and clinically documented infections [261].

 A retrospective study reviewed patients with hematological malignancies or post-HSCT, who were infected by ESBL- or AmpC-producing Enterobacteriaceae or resistant *Pseudomonas* , most of whom were empirically treated with combination of a beta-lactam and an aminoglycoside. Mortality was lower among those patients whose pathogen was sensitive in vitro to either the beta-lactam or the aminoglycoside, compared with those whose pathogen was resistant to both (OR, 1.8;  $95\%$  CI, 1.3 to 2.5) [87].

 Carbapenem-containing combinations were associated with significantly reduced mortality compared to noncarbapenem- containing regimens in a retrospective analysis of 138 patients who received treatment for infections due to carbapenemase producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* when the carbapenem MIC for the infecting organism was  $\leq 4$  mg/L [122]. Patients infected with CRKp who received combination therapy, especially with a combination of tigecycline, colistin, and meropenem, had lower mortality as compared to monotherapy treated group [262]. Combination antibiotic

therapy improves the likelihood that at least one component agent is active in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock associated with GNR bacteremia [263].

 The combination of a carbapenem and colistin was successfully used in SOT patients infected with CRKp and XDR *Acinetobacter baumannii* [ [116](#page-16-0) , [189](#page-19-0) , [195 \]](#page-19-0). This combination was associated with improved survival in XDR *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections and decreased chance of development resistance to colistin as compared to other combinations [189]. In a recently published case-control study in critical patients in ICU infected with carbapenem resistant GNR, mainly *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* , combination therapy had been used significantly more often in survivors compared with non-survivors  $(32.1\% \text{ vs. } 7.8\%, p < 0.01)$  [264].

 Rifampin was considered for addition to other active antibiotics in the treatment of uncontrolled infection due to MDR bacteria [211, 240, [250](#page-21-0), 265-267]. However, a randomized, open-label clinical trial, which enrolled 210 patients with life-threatening infections due *Acinetobacter baumannii* that were susceptible only to colistin showed that 30-day mortality was not reduced by addition of rifampicin [268]. Similarly, another randomized controlled study comparing colistin to combination of colistin and rifampin for VAP caused by *Acinetobacter* did not show significant differences in mortality [269]. Other problems with rifampin include its toxic potential and drug interactions, a main concern especially in transplant patients who receive a lot of other drugs concomitantly (such as cyclosporine, mycophenolate mofetil, antifungals, antivirals) [ [268 \]](#page-22-0).

 Although several studies reported on the improved outcome in patients who received combination therapy, mainly including colistin, a summary of the studies (12 retrospective cohort studies or case series, two prospective observational studies and two randomized controlled studies) did not demonstrate difference in mortality between colistin alone and colistin–carbapenem combination therapy for the treatment of carbapenemase-producing GNB or carbapenem-resistant GNR [270].

 Two randomized controlled studies are currently recruiting patients, comparing colistin–carbapenem combination therapy versus colistin monotherapy for invasive infections caused by MDR and XDR-GNB, will clarify this issue ([NCT01732250,](http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/external-ref?link_type=CLINTRIALGOV&access_num=NCT01732250) [NCT01597973\)](http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/external-ref?link_type=CLINTRIALGOV&access_num=NCT01597973).

 Aerosolized colistin can be considered as an adjunctive therapy for MDR infections causing pneumonia. A successful use of 100–150 mg colistin, administered via a Respirgard II nebulizer, as part of combination therapy for nosocomial pneumonia caused by MDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was described [271]. Potential concerns over aerosolized colistin include development of resistance to the antibiotic [272]. In a retrospective study which compared treatment of colistinonly susceptible GNR bacteria with intravenous (IV) colistin vs. aerosolized colistin in adjunction to IV colistin, patients who received the adjunction therapy had a higher clinical cure rate required fewer days of mechanical ventilation after VAP onset  $[225]$ .

#### 21.5 Prevention

 A meta-analysis of 109 trials performed during 1973–2010 reported that antibiotic prophylaxis, especially with quinolones, in afebrile neutropenic patients significantly reduced all-cause mortality [273]. Antibacterial prophylaxis with a fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin or ciprofloxacin) to prevent bacterial infections was recommended for adult SCT patients with anticipated neutropenic periods of 7 days or more. Antibacterial prophylaxis is generally started at the time of stem cell infusion and continued until recovery from neutropenia or initiation of empirical antibacterial therapy for fever during neutropenia. The prophylaxis should not be continued after recovery from neutropenia. Quinolone prophylaxis, however, has to be reconsidered in the situation of growing resistance. Local epidemiological data should be carefully considered before applying fluoroquinolone prophylaxis and once it is applied, the emergence of resistance in bacterial pathogens should be monitored closely because of increasing quinolone resistance worldwide [54]. Prophylaxis efficacy may be reduced when the prevalence of fluoroquinolone GNR bacillary resistance exceeds 20 % [274, 275]. Although a meta-analysis of 27 studies, published at 2007, reported on nonsignificant increase in colonization by quinoloneresistant bacteria under quinolone prophylaxis [276], later studies reported that infections which emerge under quinolone prophylaxis can be caused by MDR bacteria, necessitating use of broader spectrum antibiotics for treatment [277, [278](#page-22-0)]. Possible benefit of quinolone prophylaxis has to be considered based on local epidemiology and resistant data and if prophylaxis is discontinued—outcome of bacterial infections has to be closely monitored.

# 21.5.1 Prevention of Resistance

 Efforts to reduce antibiotic resistance among transplant patients must address two directions: limitation of use of broad spectrum antibiotics and disruption of spread of resistant bacteria.

 Heavy antibiotic use has been constantly reported as one of the main factors for development of resistant bacteria. Limitation of unnecessary use of broad spectrum antibiotics is important to reduce the spread of resistance.

 The ECIL group has proposed guidelines for empirical antibiotic therapy in the era of growing resistance [279]. Initial antibiotic regimen has to be targeted on the most prevalent bacteria at the center, unless the patient is seriously ill at presentation or is known to be colonized or previously infected with resistant bacteria. Differential approaches should be implemented for febrile neutropenic patients based on their presentation, knowledge on colonization/previous infection with resistant bacteria and local epidemiology in each center. An escalation strategy is recommended for

patients with uncomplicated presentation, who are unknown to be colonized or previously infected with resistant bacteria, in centers where infections due to resistant pathogens are rarely seen at the onset of febrile neutropenia. Such patients should be treated empirically with either anti-pseudomonal cephalosporins (cefepime, ceftazidime), or beta lactam-beta lactamase inhibitors (piperacillin–tazobactam, ticarcillin– clavulanate, cefoperazone–sulbactam) or combination of piperacillin and gentamicin. Usage of carbapenems and combinations should be avoided in such patients. Modifications of the initial regimen at  $72-96$  h should be based on the patient's clinical course and the microbiological results. The ECIL guidelines defined situations in which use of carbapenems and combination therapy is justified (deescalation approach), specifically in seriously ill patients, e.g., presentation with septic shock; those known to be colonized or previously infected with resistant bacteria or in centers with a high prevalence of infections due to resistant bacteria at the onset of febrile neutropenia. This de- escalation approach has to be followed by discontinuation of combination therapy or switch to a narrower-spectrum regimen in patients who were stable since presentation and in whom resistant bacteria was not isolated, especially if fever normalized.

 The empirical antibacterial treatment can be discontinued at ≥72 h irrespective of neutrophil count or expected duration of neutropenia in patients without evidence of clinically or microbiologically documented infections, who are hemodynamically stable since presentation and afebrile  $\geq 48$  h [280]. The patient should be kept hospitalized for at least 24–48 h under close observation if he is still neutropenic when antibiotic therapy is stopped. If fever recurs, antibiotics should be restarted urgently. This strategy aims to limit exposure to broad spectrum antibiotics and combinations, and also duration of antibiotic treatment, minimizing the collateral damage associated with antibiotic overuse, and the further selection of resistance.

 Promising new diagnostic techniques enabling rapid (within few hours) identification of ESBL and carbapenemaseproducing bacteria, with high sensitivity and specificity, may contribute to avoid of overuse of carbapenems [281–283]. The problem is that these tests should be applied on positive blood cultures, meaning that still  $\sim$ 24 h (ideally) will pass from the onset of infection until the result of these tests will be available. These tests can miss some carbapenemases in some bacteria (e.g., OXA-48, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) and they do not detect carbapenem-resistant bacteria due to mechanisms other than carbapenemases (e.g., reduced permeability of the outer membrane associated with overexpression of chromosomal or acquired AmpC and/or ESBL [284–286].

 Antibiotic stewardship is crucial to use antimicrobials in such a way that each and every patient receives the most efficacious and safe antimicrobials to treat their infections, while at the same time minimizing the ecologic impact of <span id="page-12-0"></span>antimicrobials used [287]. Five main principles of antibiotic stewardship in HSCT patients were defined [288]:

- 1. Local surveillance of antibiotic resistance, antibiotic consumption and patient outcomes, including monitoring reports;
- 2. Multidisciplinary protocols and algorithms on the diagnosis, prevention and treatment of infections should be developed in collaboration of oncologists, infectious disease specialists, and medical microbiologists and updated to reflect changes in bacterial antimicrobial susceptibilities in the unit;
- 3. Swift reporting of positive clinical cultures and implementation of rapid techniques for bacterial identification and resistance patterns by the microbiology laboratory to control the duration of treatment and to facilitate reassessment of the antibiotic therapy;
- 4. Optimization of dosing regimens using pharmacodynamic principles;
- 5. Frequent multidisciplinary rounds including discussion of patient histories and interactive, bedside education on antimicrobial drug use and infection control.

 Infectious control is crucial to prevent spread of resistant bacteria between patients within department, as well as between departments in the hospital and between hospitals. Antimicrobial resistance is a worldwide problem. Transportation of patients between departments in the same hospital, as ICU, surgery and transplant ward, between different hospitals, as well as medical tourism, contributes to the spread of resistant bacteria across the borders. Horizontal transmission of ESBL-producing *Klebsiella* , from patient to patient, via the hands of staff members has been very well documented [289–291]. Interventions to prevent and control the spread of MDR bacteria include hand-hygiene measures; active screening of patients with cultures; contact barrier precautions; enforcement of isolation criteria for patients colonized or infected with multidrug-resistant organisms; the use of single rooms for HSCT recipients; cohorting of infected patients; environmental cleaning and anti-infective stewardship [288, [292](#page-23-0), 293]. Bundles including combination of multiple interventions were efficient for containment of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae [99, [291](#page-23-0)]. Avoidance of contact with resistant *Pseudomonas* infected patients is important to prevent MDR *Pseudomonas* acquisition in lung transplant recipients [194].

 Rapid detection and isolation of patients colonized with resistant bacteria can limit its spread. Novel molecular-based diagnostic screening tests enable simultaneous detection of several resistant bacteria directly from swab samples with high sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value and results available in 24 h [294, 295].

 Decolonization of patients colonized with CRE with oral aminoglycoside or colistin was successful in 37–68 % of patients, although the appropriate dose has to be determined and there is concern that those who remained colonized will be colonized with resistant bacteria after de-colonization  $[296 - 301]$ .

 Transmission of microorganisms from an infected braindead donor can cause severe, sometimes fatal infection in the SOT recipient, even if appropriate therapy is provided [115, [302](#page-23-0) , [303 \]](#page-23-0). On the other hand, the donor pool is limited and increasing numbers of donors have underlying diseases, and may be infected with MDR bacteria. Investigation of donors for CRE carriage by suitable approaches (e.g., rectal swabbing) would seem mandatory, especially in areas where CRE are endemic [302]. A systematic approach for the acceptability of organs from donors infected with MDR bacteria was suggested, based on expert opinion [304]. The algorithm includes screening for MDR GNR in potential donors, who are at risk for MDR infection. If a donor was found to be colonized/infected with MDR bacteria, prophylactic antibiotic treatment should be initiated to donor and to recipient, with the appropriate agent according to susceptibility testing. Two conditions are contraindication to SOT: (1) if the donor has MDR bacteremia (2) lung transplantation from donor infected/colonized with MDR bacteria for which no adequate antibiotic treatment for pneumonia exists [304].

# 21.6 Summary

 Infections caused by GNR are increasingly common in transplant recipients; they can cause severe, life-threatening diseases. Prevention approaches, early diagnosis, appropriate empiric therapy based on local epidemiology and proper targeted therapy are crucial for patients survival. There is a global problem of growing resistance among GNR and it compromises prophylaxis and treatment options. Previous colonization and exposure to antibiotics are the most important risk factors for the development of resistance. Treatment of carbapenem-resistant GNR is challenging; in some cases, the only treatment options include old antibiotics (polymyxins and fosfomycin), tigecycline, and aminoglycosides. All these options have efficacy, resistance, and/or toxicity issues. Development of new treatment modalities is an important goal. Continuous monitoring of the local epidemiology and antimicrobial stewardship is mandatory for optimization therapy with the currently available drugs. Infectious control is crucial to limit the spread of resistance.

#### References

- 1. Engelhard D, Marks MI, Good RA. Infections in bone marrow transplant recipients. J Pediatr. 1986;108(3):335–46.
- 2. Walter EA, Bowden RA. Infection in the bone marrow transplant recipient. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 1995;9(4):823–47.
- 3. Rubin RH, Tolkoff-Rubin NE. Antimicrobial strategies in the care of organ transplant recipients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1993;37(4):619–24.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span> 4. Paya CV, Hermans PE, Washington 2nd JA, Smith TF, Anhalt JP, Wiesner RH, et al. Incidence, distribution, and outcome of episodes of infection in 100 orthotopic liver transplantations. Mayo Clin Proc. 1989;64(5):555–64.
- 5. Wade JJ, Rolando N, Hayllar K, Philpott-Howard J, Casewell MW, Williams R. Bacterial and fungal infections after liver transplantation: an analysis of 284 patients. Hepatology. 1995;21(5):1328–36.
- 6. Grossi P, De Maria R, Caroli A, Zaina MS, Minoli L. Infections in heart transplant recipients: the experience of the Italian heart transplantation program. Italian Study Group on Infections in Heart Transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant. 1992;11(5):847–66.
- 7. Bert F, Larroque B, Paugam-Burtz C, Janny S, Durand F, Dondero F, et al. Microbial epidemiology and outcome of bloodstream infections in liver transplant recipients: an analysis of 259 episodes. Liver Transpl. 2010;16(3):393–401.
- 8. Perez F, Adachi J, Bonomo RA. Antibiotic-resistant gramnegative bacterial infections in patients with cancer. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;59 Suppl 5:S335–9.
- 9. Gao F, Ye Q, Wan Q, Liu S, Zhou J. Distribution and resistance of pathogens in liver transplant recipients with Acinetobacter baumannii infection. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2015;11:501–5.
- 10. Cordonnier C, Herbrecht R, Buzyn A, Leverger G, Leclercq R, Nitenberg G, et al. Risk factors for Gram-negative bacterial infections in febrile neutropenia. Haematologica. 2005;90(8): 1102–9.
- 11. Cohen ML, Murphy MT, Counts GW, Buckner CD, Clift RA, Meyers JD. Prediction by surveillance cultures of bacteremia among neutropenic patients treated in a protective environment. J Infect Dis. 1983;147(5):789–93.
- 12. Wagener MM, Yu VL. Bacteremia in transplant recipients: a prospective study of demographics, etiologic agents, risk factors, and outcomes. Am J Infect Control. 1992;20(5):239–47.
- 13. Singh N, Paterson DL, Chang FY, Gayowski T, Squier C, Wagener MM, et al. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: the other emerging resistant gram-positive coccus among liver transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis. 2000; 30(2):322–7.
- 14. Klastersky J. Science and pragmatism in the treatment and prevention of neutropenic infection. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1998;41(Suppl D):13–24.
- 15. Collin BA, Leather HL, Wingard JR, Ramphal R. Evolution, incidence, and susceptibility of bacterial bloodstream isolates from 519 bone marrow transplant patients. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;33(7):947–53.
- 16. Mikulska M, Del Bono V, Raiola AM, Bruno B, Gualandi F, Occhini D, et al. Blood stream infections in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: reemergence of Gram-negative rods and increasing antibiotic resistance. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2009;15(1):47–53.
- 17. Mikulska M, Viscoli C, Orasch C, Livermore DM, Averbuch D, Cordonnier C, et al. Aetiology and resistance in bacteraemias among adult and paediatric haematology and cancer patients. J Infect. 2014;68(4):321–31.
- 18. Gudiol C, Bodro M, Simonetti A, Tubau F, Gonzalez-Barca E, Cisnal M, et al. Changing aetiology, clinical features, antimicrobial resistance, and outcomes of bloodstream infection in neutropenic cancer patients. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013; 19(5):474–9.
- 19. Singh N, Wagener MM, Obman A, Cacciarelli TV, de Vera ME, Gayowski T. Bacteremias in liver transplant recipients: shift toward gram-negative bacteria as predominant pathogens. Liver Transpl. 2004;10(7):844–9.
- 20. Lanini S, Costa AN, Puro V, Procaccio F, Grossi PA, Vespasiano F, et al. Incidence of carbapenem-resistant gram negatives in Italian transplant recipients: a nationwide surveillance study. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0123706.
- 21. Al-Hasan MN, Razonable RR, Eckel-Passow JE, Baddour LM. Incidence rate and outcome of gram-negative bloodstream infection in solid organ transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2009;9(4):835–43.
- 22. Mrzljak A, Peric Z, Kovacevic V, Gustin D, Vrhovac R, Andrasevic AT. Rising problem of multidrug-resistant gramnegative bacteria causing bloodstream infections after liver transplantation: how should we handle the issue? Liver Transpl. 2010;16(10):1217–9.
- 23. Ortega M, Rovira M, Almela M, Marco F, de la Bellacasa JP, Martinez JA, et al. Bacterial and fungal bloodstream isolates from 796 hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients between 1991 and 2000. Ann Hematol. 2005;84(1):40–6.
- 24. Velasco E, Byington R, Martins CS, Schirmer M, Dias LC, Goncalves VM. Bloodstream infection surveillance in a cancer centre: a prospective look at clinical microbiology aspects. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2004;10(6):542–9.
- 25. Candel FJ, Grima E, Matesanz M, Cervera C, Soto G, Almela M, et al. Bacteremia and septic shock after solid-organ transplantation. Transplant Proc. 2005;37(9):4097–9.
- 26. Bodro M, Sabe N, Tubau F, Llado L, Baliellas C, Roca J, et al. Risk factors and outcomes of bacteremia caused by drug-resistant ESKAPE pathogens in solid-organ transplant recipients. Transplantation. 2013;96(9):843–9.
- 27. Poutsiaka DD, Price LL, Ucuzian A, Chan GW, Miller KB, Snydman DR. Blood stream infection after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation is associated with increased mortality. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2007;40(1):63–70.
- 28. Mikulska M, Del Bono V, Bruzzi P, Raiola AM, Gualandi F, Van Lint MT, et al. Mortality after bloodstream infections in allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) recipients. Infection. 2012;40(3):271–8.
- 29. Linares L, Garcia-Goez JF, Cervera C, Almela M, Sanclemente G, Cofan F, et al. Early bacteremia after solid organ transplantation. Transplant Proc. 2009;41(6):2262–4.
- 30. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drugresistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18:268–281.
- 31. Livermore DM. Mechanisms of resistance to cephalosporin antibiotics. Drugs. 1987;34 Suppl 2:64–88.
- 32. Livermore DM. Mechanisms of resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics. Scand J Infect Dis Suppl. 1991;78:7–16.
- 33. Livermore DM. Evolution of beta-lactamase inhibitors. Intensive Care Med. 1994;20 Suppl 3:S10–3.
- 34. Livermore DM, Yuan M. Antibiotic resistance and production of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases amongst Klebsiella spp. from intensive care units in Europe. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1996;38(3):409–24.
- 35. Paterson DL. Recommendation for treatment of severe infections caused by Enterobacteriaceae producing extended-spec-

<span id="page-14-0"></span>trum beta-lactamases (ESBLs). Clin Microbiol Infect. 2000;6(9):460–3.

- 36. Paterson DL. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: the European experience. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2001;14(6): 697–701.
- 37. Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: a clinical update. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2005;18(4):657–86.
- 38. Paterson DL, Ko WC, Von Gottberg A, Mohapatra S, Casellas JM, Goossens H, et al. Antibiotic therapy for Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteremia: implications of production of extendedspectrum beta-lactamases. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39(1):31–7.
- 39. Men TY, Wang JN, Li H, Gu Y, Xing TH, Peng ZH, et al. Prevalence of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacilli producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) and ESBL genes in solid organ transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis. 2013;15(1):14–21.
- 40. El Salabi A, Walsh TR, Chouchani C. Extended spectrum beta- lactamases, carbapenemases and mobile genetic elements responsible for antibiotics resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Crit Rev Microbiol. 2013;39(2):113–22.
- 41. Gudiol C, Calatayud L, Garcia-Vidal C, Lora-Tamayo J, Cisnal M, Duarte R, et al. Bacteraemia due to extended-spectrum beta- lactamase- producing Escherichia coli (ESBL-EC) in cancer patients: clinical features, risk factors, molecular epidemiology and outcome. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010; 65(2):333–41.
- 42. Gudiol C, Tubau F, Calatayud L, Garcia-Vidal C, Cisnal M, Sanchez-Ortega I, et al. Bacteraemia due to multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli in cancer patients: risk factors, antibiotic therapy and outcomes. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66(3):657–63.
- 43. Girmenia C, Rossolini GM, Piciocchi A, Bertaina A, Pisapia G, Pastore D, et al. Infections by carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in SCT recipients: a nationwide retrospective survey from Italy. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2015; 50(2):282–8.
- 44. Bossaer JB, Hall PD, Garrett-Mayer E. Incidence of vancomycin- resistant enterococci (VRE) infection in high-risk febrile neutropenic patients colonized with VRE. Support Care Cancer. 2010;19(2):231–7.
- 45. Cohen J, Donnelly JP, Worsley AM, Catovsky D, Goldman JM, Galton DA. Septicaemia caused by viridans streptococci in neutropenic patients with leukaemia. Lancet. 1983; 2(8365–66):1452–4.
- 46. Dubberke ER, Hollands JM, Georgantopoulos P, Augustin K, DiPersio JF, Mundy LM, et al. Vancomycin-resistant enterococcal bloodstream infections on a hematopoietic stem cell transplant unit: are the sick getting sicker? Bone Marrow Transplant. 2006;38(12):813–9.
- 47. Kamboj M, Chung D, Seo SK, Pamer EG, Sepkowitz KA, Jakubowski AA, et al. The changing epidemiology of vancomycin- resistant Enterococcus (VRE) bacteremia in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) recipients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2010;16(11):1576–81.
- 48. Martinez JA, Aguilar J, Almela M, Marco F, Soriano A, Lopez F, et al. Prior use of carbapenems may be a significant risk factor for extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli or Klebsiella spp. in patients with bacteraemia. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006;58(5):1082–5.
- 49. Narimatsu H, Kami M, Miyakoshi S, Yuji K, Matusmura T, Uchida N, et al. Value of pretransplant screening for colonization of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in reduced-intensity umbilical cord blood transplantation for adult patients. Ann Hematol. 2007;86(6):449–51.
- 50. Ansari SR, Hanna H, Hachem R, Jiang Y, Rolston K, Raad I. Risk factors for infections with multidrug-resistant Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in patients with cancer. Cancer. 2007;109(12):2615–22.
- 51. Tancrede CH, Andremont AO. Bacterial translocation and gram- negative bacteremia in patients with hematological malignancies. J Infect Dis. 1985;152(1):99–103.
- 52. Tsiatis AC, Manes B, Calder C, Billheimer D, Wilkerson KS, Frangoul H. Incidence and clinical complications of vancomycin- resistant enterococcus in pediatric stem cell transplant patients. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2004;33(9): 937–41.
- 53. Weinstock DM, Conlon M, Iovino C, Aubrey T, Gudiol C, Riedel E, et al. Colonization, bloodstream infection, and mortality caused by vancomycin-resistant enterococcus early after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2007;13(5):615–21.
- 54. Tomblyn M, Chiller T, Einsele H, Gress R, Sepkowitz K, Storek J, et al. Guidelines for preventing infectious complications among hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: a global perspective. Preface Bone Marrow Transplant. 2009;44(8): 453–5.
- 55. Zirakzadeh A, Gastineau DA, Mandrekar JN, Burke JP, Johnston PB, Patel R. Vancomycin-resistant enterococcal colonization appears associated with increased mortality among allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2008;41(4):385–92.
- 56. Winters HA, Parbhoo RK, Schafer JJ, Goff DA. Extendedspectrum beta-lactamase-producing bacterial infections in adult solid organ transplant recipients. Ann Pharmacother. 2011;45(3):309–16.
- 57. Hyle EP, Bilker WB, Gasink LB, Lautenbach E. Impact of different methods for describing the extent of prior antibiotic exposure on the association between antibiotic use and antibiotic-resistant infection. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007;28(6):647–54.
- 58. Kim SH, Kwon JC, Choi SM, Lee DG, Park SH, Choi JH, et al. Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteremia in patients with neutropenic fever: factors associated with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase production and its impact on outcome. Ann Hematol. 2013;92(4):533–41.
- 59. Lautenbach E, Patel JB, Bilker WB, Edelstein PH, Fishman NO. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae: risk factors for infection and impact of resistance on outcomes. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;32(8):1162–71.
- 60. Ortega M, Marco F, Soriano A, Almela M, Martinez JA, Munoz A, et al. Analysis of 4758 Escherichia coli bacteraemia episodes: predictive factors for isolation of an antibiotic-resistant strain and their impact on the outcome. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009;63(3):568–74.
- 61. Tumbarello M, Spanu T, Sanguinetti M, Citton R, Montuori E, Leone F, et al. Bloodstream infections caused by extendedspectrum- beta-lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae:

<span id="page-15-0"></span>risk factors, molecular epidemiology, and clinical outcome. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006;50(2):498–504.

- 62. Johnson LE, D'Agata EM, Paterson DL, Clarke L, Qureshi ZA, Potoski BA, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremia over a 10-year period: multidrug resistance and outcomes in transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis. 2009;11(3):227–34.
- 63. Ram R, Farbman L, Leibovici L, Raanani P, Yeshurun M, Vidal L, et al. Characteristics of initial compared with subsequent bacterial infections among hospitalised haemato-oncological patients. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2012;40(2):123–6.
- 64. Linares L, Cervera C, Cofan F, Ricart MJ, Esforzado N, Torregrosa V, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of multiple antibiotic- resistant bacterial infection in renal transplantation. Transplant Proc. 2007;39(7):2222–4.
- 65. Sopirala MM, Pope-Harman A, Nunley DR, Moffatt-Bruce S, Ross P, Martin SI. Multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii pneumonia in lung transplant recipients. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2008;27(7):804–7.
- 66. Safdar N, Maki DG. The commonality of risk factors for nosocomial colonization and infection with antimicrobial-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, enterococcus, gram-negative bacilli, Clostridium difficile, and Candida. Ann Intern Med. 2002;136(11):834–44.
- 67. Bow EJ. Fluoroquinolones, antimicrobial resistance and neutropenic cancer patients. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2011;24(6): 545–53.
- 68. Castagnola E, Haupt R, Micozzi A, Caviglia I, Testi AM, Giona F, et al. Differences in the proportions of fluoroquinolone-resistant Gram-negative bacteria isolated from bacteraemic children with cancer in two Italian centres. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2005;11(6):505–7.
- 69. Freire AT, Melnyk V, Kim MJ, Datsenko O, Dzyublik O, Glumcher F, et al. Comparison of tigecycline with imipenem/ cilastatin for the treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2010;68(2):140–51.
- 70. Lopez-Dupla M, Martinez JA, Vidal F, Almela M, Soriano A, Marco F, et al. Previous ciprofloxacin exposure is associated with resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics in subsequent Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremic isolates. Am J Infect Control. 2009;37(9):753–8.
- 71. Trecarichi EM, Pagano L, Candoni A, Pastore D, Cattaneo C, Fanci R, et al. Current epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance data for bacterial bloodstream infections in patients with hematologic malignancies: an Italian multicentre prospective survey. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2015;21(4):337–43.
- 72. Trecarichi EM, Tumbarello M, Caira M, Candoni A, Cattaneo C, Pastore D, et al. Multidrug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa bloodstream infection in adult patients with hematologic malignancies. Haematologica. 2011;96(1):e1–3. author reply e4.
- 73. Oliveira AL, de Souza M, Carvalho-Dias VM, Ruiz MA, Silla L, Tanaka PY, et al. Epidemiology of bacteremia and factors associated with multi-drug-resistant gram-negative bacteremia in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2007;39(12):775–81.
- 74. Garnica M, Maiolino A, Nucci M. Factors associated with bacteremia due to multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacilli in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2009;42(3):289–93.
- 75. Henning KJ, Delencastre H, Eagan J, Boone N, Brown A, Chung M, et al. Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium on a pediatric oncology ward: duration of stool shedding and incidence of clinical infection. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1996;15(10):848–54.
- 76. Bodro M, Sabe N, Tubau F, Llado L, Baliellas C, Gonzalez-Costello J, et al. Extensively drug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremia in solid organ transplant recipients. Transplantation. 2015;99(3):616–22.
- 77. Linares L, Cervera C, Cofan F, Lizaso D, Marco F, Ricart MJ, et al. Risk factors for infection with extended-spectrum and AmpC beta-lactamase-producing gram-negative rods in renal transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2008;8(5):1000–5.
- 78. Brizendine KD, Richter SS, Cober ED, van Duin D. Carbapenem- resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae urinary tract infection following solid organ transplantation. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59(1):553–7.
- 79. Trecarichi EM, Tumbarello M, Spanu T, Caira M, Fianchi L, Chiusolo P, et al. Incidence and clinical impact of extendedspectrum-beta-lactamase (ESBL) production and fluoroquinolone resistance in bloodstream infections caused by Escherichia coli in patients with hematological malignancies. J Infect. 2009;58(4):299–307.
- 80. Ariffin H, Navaratnam P, Mohamed M, Arasu A, Abdullah WA, Lee CL, et al. Ceftazidime-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream infection in children with febrile neutropenia. Int J Infect Dis. 2000;4(1):21–5.
- 81. Kang CI, Chung DR, Ko KS, Peck KR, Song JH. Risk factors for infection and treatment outcome of extended-spectrum beta- lactamase- producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteremia in patients with hematologic malignancy. Ann Hematol. 2012;91(1):115–21.
- 82. Marchaim D, Navon-Venezia S, Schwaber MJ, Carmeli Y. Isolation of imipenem-resistant Enterobacter species: emergence of KPC-2 carbapenemase, molecular characterization, epidemiology, and outcomes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008;52(4):1413–8.
- 83. Moreno A, Cervera C, Gavalda J, Rovira M, de la Camara R, Jarque I, et al. Bloodstream infections among transplant recipients: results of a nationwide surveillance in Spain. Am J Transplant. 2007;7(11):2579–86.
- 84. Lubbert C, Becker-Rux D, Rodloff AC, Laudi S, Busch T, Bartels M, et al. Colonization of liver transplant recipients with KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae is associated with high infection rates and excess mortality: a case-control analysis. Infection. 2014;42(2):309–16.
- 85. Nunley DR, Bauldoff GS, Mangino JE, Pope-Harman AL. Mortality associated with Acinetobacter baumannii infections experienced by lung transplant recipients. Lung. 2010;188(5):381–5.
- 86. Elting LS, Rubenstein EB, Rolston KV, Bodey GP. Outcomes of bacteremia in patients with cancer and neutropenia: observations from two decades of epidemiological and clinical trials. Clin Infect Dis. 1997;25(2):247–59.
- 87. Martinez JA, Cobos-Trigueros N, Soriano A, Almela M, Ortega M, Marco F, et al. Influence of empiric therapy with a beta-lactam alone or combined with an aminoglycoside on prognosis of bacteremia due to gram-negative microorganisms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54(9):3590–6.
- <span id="page-16-0"></span> 88. Lupei MI, Mann HJ, Beilman GJ, Oancea C, Chipman JG. Inadequate antibiotic therapy in solid organ transplant recipients is associated with a higher mortality rate. Surg Infect. 2010;11(1):33–9.
- 89. de Gouvea EF, Martins IS, Halpern M, Ferreira AL, Basto ST, Goncalves RT, et al. The influence of carbapenem resistance on mortality in solid organ transplant recipients with Acinetobacter baumannii infection. BMC Infect Dis. 2012;12:351.
- 90. Deusch E, End A, Grimm M, Graninger W, Klepetko W, Wolner E. Early bacterial infections in lung transplant recipients. Chest. 1993;104(5):1412–6.
- 91. Takai K, Aoki A, Suga A, Tollemar J, Wilczek HE, Naito K, et al. Urinary tract infections following renal transplantation. Transplant Proc. 1998;30(7):3140–1.
- 92. Bonatti H, Pruett TL, Brandacher G, Hagspiel KD, Housseini AM, Sifri CD, et al. Pneumonia in solid organ recipients: spectrum of pathogens in 217 episodes. Transplant Proc. 2009;41(1):371–4.
- 93. Nordmann P, Cuzon G, Naas T. The real threat of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase-producing bacteria. Lancet Infect Dis. 2009;9(4):228–36.
- 94. Nordmann P, Dortet L, Poirel L. Carbapenem resistance in Enterobacteriaceae: here is the storm! Trends Mol Med. 2012;18(5):263–72.
- 95. Perez F, Van Duin D. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: a menace to our most vulnerable patients. Cleve Clin J Med. 2013;80(4):225–33.
- 96. Snitkin ES, Zelazny AM, Thomas PJ, Stock F, Henderson DK, Palmore TN, et al. Tracking a hospital outbreak of carbapenemresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae with whole-genome sequencing. Sci Transl Med. 2012;4(148):148ra116.
- 97. Munoz-Price LS, Poirel L, Bonomo RA, Schwaber MJ, Daikos GL, Cormican M, et al. Clinical epidemiology of the global expansion of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemases. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013;13(9):785–96.
- 98. Kitchel B, Rasheed JK, Patel JB, Srinivasan A, Navon-Venezia S, Carmeli Y, et al. Molecular epidemiology of KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates in the United States: clonal expansion of multilocus sequence type 258. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53(8):3365–70.
- 99. Schwaber MJ, Lev B, Israeli A, Solter E, Smollan G, Rubinovitch B, et al. Containment of a country-wide outbreak of carbapenem- resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in Israeli hospitals via a nationally implemented intervention. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52(7):848–55.
- 100. Satlin MJ, Jenkins SG, Walsh TJ. The global challenge of carbapenem- resistant Enterobacteriaceae in transplant recipients and patients with hematologic malignancies. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;58(9):1274–83.
- 101. Kumarasamy KK, Toleman MA, Walsh TR, Bagaria J, Butt F, Balakrishnan R, et al. Emergence of a new antibiotic resistance mechanism in India, Pakistan, and the UK: a molecular, biological, and epidemiological study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2010;10(9):597–602.
- 102. Livermore DM, Warner M, Mushtaq S, Doumith M, Zhang J, Woodford N. What remains against carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae? Evaluation of chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, colistin, fosfomycin, minocycline, nitrofurantoin, temocillin and tigecycline. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2011;37(5):415–9.
- 103. Wroblewska MM, Marchel H, Luczak M. Multidrug resistance in bacterial isolates from blood cultures of haematology patients. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2002;19(3):237–40.
- 104. Pagano L, Caira M, Trecarichi EM, Spanu T, Di Blasi R, Sica S, et al. Carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and hematologic malignancies. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014;20(7):1235–6.
- 105. Patel G, Huprikar S, Factor SH, Jenkins SG, Calfee DP. Outcomes of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infection and the impact of antimicrobial and adjunctive therapies. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2008;29(12):1099–106.
- 106. van Duin D, van Delden C. Multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria infections in solid organ transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2013;13 Suppl 4:31–41.
- 107. Bellier C, Bert F, Durand F, Retout S, Belghiti J, Mentre F, et al. Risk factors for Enterobacteriaceae bacteremia after liver transplantation. Transpl Int. 2008;21(8):755–63.
- 108. Pinheiro HS, Mituiassu AM, Carminatti M, Braga AM, Bastos MG. Urinary tract infection caused by extended-spectrum beta- lactamase- producing bacteria in kidney transplant patients. Transplant Proc. 2010;42(2):486–7.
- 109. Green M, Barbadora K. Recovery of ceftazidime-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae from pediatric liver and intestinal transplant recipients. Pediatr Transplant. 1998;2(3):224–30.
- 110. Valera B, Gentil MA, Cabello V, Fijo J, Cordero E, Cisneros JM. Epidemiology of urinary infections in renal transplant recipients. Transplant Proc. 2006;38(8):2414–5.
- 111. Rebuck JA, Olsen KM, Fey PD, Langnas AN, Rupp ME. Characterization of an outbreak due to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in a pediatric intensive care unit transplant population. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31(6):1368–72.
- 112. Laupland KB, Gregson DB, Church DL, Ross T, Pitout JD. Incidence, risk factors and outcomes of Escherichia coli bloodstream infections in a large Canadian region. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2008;14(11):1041–7.
- 113. Nguyen M, Eschenauer GA, Bryan M, O'Neil K, Furuya EY, Della-Latta P, et al. Carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteremia: factors correlated with clinical and microbiologic outcomes. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2010;67(2): 180–4.
- 114. Cicora F, Mos F, Paz M, Allende NG, Roberti J. Infections with blaKPC-2-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in renal transplant patients: a retrospective study. Transplant Proc. 2013;45(9):3389–93.
- 115. Bergamasco MD, Barroso Barbosa M, de Oliveira Garcia D, Cipullo R, Moreira JC, Baia C, et al. Infection with Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC)-producing K. pneumoniae in solid organ transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis. 2012;14(2): 198–205.
- 116. Clancy CJ, Chen L, Shields RK, Zhao Y, Cheng S, Chavda KD, et al. Epidemiology and molecular characterization of bacteremia due to carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in transplant recipients. Am J Transplant. 2013; 13(10):2619–33.
- 117. Borer A, Saidel-Odes L, Eskira S, Nativ R, Riesenberg K, Livshiz- Riven I, et al. Risk factors for developing clinical infection with carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in hospital patients initially only colonized with carbapenemresistant K pneumoniae. Am J Infect Control. 2012;40(5): 421–5.
- <span id="page-17-0"></span> 118. Giannella M, Trecarichi EM, De Rosa FG, Del Bono V, Bassetti M, Lewis RE, et al. Risk factors for carbapenemresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream infection among rectal carriers: a prospective observational multicentre study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2014;20(12):1357–62.
- 119. Schechner V, Kotlovsky T, Kazma M, Mishali H, Schwartz D, Navon-Venezia S, et al. Asymptomatic rectal carriage of blaKPC producing carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: who is prone to become clinically infected? Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19(5):451–6.
- 120. Giannella M, Bartoletti M, Morelli MC, Tedeschi S, Cristini F, Tumietto F, et al. Risk factors for infection with carbapenemresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae after liver transplantation: the importance of pre- and posttransplant colonization. Am J Transplant. 2015;15(6):1708–15.
- 121. Schwaber MJ, Carmeli Y. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: a potential threat. JAMA. 2008;300(24): 2911–3.
- 122. Daikos GL, Petrikkos P, Psichogiou M, Kosmidis C, Vryonis E, Skoutelis A, et al. Prospective observational study of the impact of VIM-1 metallo-beta-lactamase on the outcome of patients with Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009; 53(5):1868–73.
- 123. Borer A, Saidel-Odes L, Riesenberg K, Eskira S, Peled N, Nativ R, et al. Attributable mortality rate for carbapenemresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteremia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2009;30(10):972–6.
- 124. Satlin MJ, Calfee DP, Chen L, Fauntleroy KA, Wilson SJ, Jenkins SG, et al. Emergence of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae as causes of bloodstream infections in patients with hematologic malignancies. Leukemia Lymphoma. 2013;54(4):799–806.
- 125. Kalpoe JS, Sonnenberg E, Factor SH, del Rio Martin J, Schiano T, Patel G, et al. Mortality associated with carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infections in liver transplant recipients. Liver Transpl. 2012;18(4):468–74.
- 126. Simkins J, Muggia V, Cohen HW, Minamoto GY. Carbapenemresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infections in kidney transplant recipients: a case-control study. Transpl Infect Dis. 2014;16(5):775–82.
- 127. Capone A, Giannella M, Fortini D, Giordano A, Meledandri M, Ballardini M, et al. High rate of colistin resistance among patients with carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infection accounts for an excess of mortality. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2013;19(1):E23–30.
- 128. Paterson DL, Ko WC, Von Gottberg A, Casellas JM, Mulazimoglu L, Klugman KP, et al. Outcome of cephalosporin treatment for serious infections due to apparently susceptible organisms producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: implications for the clinical microbiology laboratory. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39(6):2206–12.
- 129. Chow JW, Fine MJ, Shlaes DM, Quinn JP, Hooper DC, Johnson MP, et al. Enterobacter bacteremia: clinical features and emergence of antibiotic resistance during therapy. Ann Intern Med. 1991;115(8):585–90.
- 130. Kaye KS, Cosgrove S, Harris A, Eliopoulos GM, Carmeli Y. Risk factors for emergence of resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporins among Enterobacter spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001;45(9):2628–30.
- 131. Choi SH, Lee JE, Park SJ, Lee SO, Jeong JY, Kim MN, et al. Emergence of antibiotic resistance during therapy for infections caused by Enterobacteriaceae producing AmpC betalactamase: implications for antibiotic use. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008;52(3):995–1000.
- 132. Jacoby GA. AmpC beta-lactamases. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2009;22(1):161–82. Table of Contents.
- 133. Lopez-Cerero L, Picon E, Morillo C, Hernandez JR, Docobo F, Pachon J, et al. Comparative assessment of inoculum effects on the antimicrobial activity of amoxycillin-clavulanate and piperacillin- tazobactam with extended-spectrum betalactamase- producing and extended-spectrum beta-lactamasenon-producing Escherichia coli isolates. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2010;16(2):132–6.
- 134. Paterson DL, Mulazimoglu L, Casellas JM, Ko WC, Goossens H, Von Gottberg A, et al. Epidemiology of ciprofloxacin resistance and its relationship to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase production in Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates causing bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;30(3):473–8.
- 135. Falagas ME, Karageorgopoulos DE. Extended-spectrum betalactamase- producing organisms. J Hosp Infect. 2009;73(4): 345–54.
- 136. Kim SB, Min YH, Cheong JW, Kim JS, Kim SJ, Ku NS, et al. Incidence and risk factors for carbapenem- and multidrugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii bacteremia in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. Scand J Infect Dis. 2014;46(2):81–8.
- 137. Araoka H, Fujii T, Izutsu K, Kimura M, Nishida A, Ishiwata K, et al. Rapidly progressive fatal hemorrhagic pneumonia caused by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in hematologic malignancy. Transpl Infect Dis. 2012;14(4):355–63.
- 138. Tada K, Kurosawa S, Hiramoto N, Okinaka K, Ueno N, Asakura Y, et al. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection in hematopoietic SCT recipients: high mortality due to pulmonary hemorrhage. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2013;48(1): 74–9.
- 139. Martino R, Gomez L, Pericas R, Salazar R, Sola C, Sierra J, et al. Bacteraemia caused by non-glucose-fermenting gramnegative bacilli and Aeromonas species in patients with haematological malignancies and solid tumours. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2000;19(4):320–3.
- 140. Cattaneo C, Quaresmini G, Casari S, Capucci MA, Micheletti M, Borlenghi E, et al. Recent changes in bacterial epidemiology and the emergence of fluoroquinolone-resistant Escherichia coli among patients with haematological malignancies: results of a prospective study on 823 patients at a single institution. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2008;61(3): 721–8.
- 141. Saavedra S, Sanz GF, Jarque I, Moscardo F, Jimenez C, Lorenzo I, et al. Early infections in adult patients undergoing unrelated donor cord blood transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2002;30(12):937–43.
- 142. Mullen CA, Nair J, Sandesh S, Chan KW. Fever and neutropenia in pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2000;25(1):59–65.
- 143. Narimatsu H, Matsumura T, Kami M, Miyakoshi S, Kusumi E, Takagi S, et al. Bloodstream infection after umbilical cord blood transplantation using reduced-intensity stem cell transplantation for adult patients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2005;11(6):429–36.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span> 144. Yazaki M, Atsuta Y, Kato K, Kato S, Taniguchi S, Takahashi S, et al. Incidence and risk factors of early bacterial infections after unrelated cord blood transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2009;15(4):439–46.
- 145. Dettenkofer M, Wenzler-Rottele S, Babikir R, Bertz H, Ebner W, Meyer E, et al. Surveillance of nosocomial sepsis and pneumonia in patients with a bone marrow or peripheral blood stem cell transplant: a multicenter project. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;40(7):926–31.
- 146. Singh N, Gayowski T, Wagener MM, Marino IR. Predictors and outcome of early- versus late-onset major bacterial infections in liver transplant recipients receiving tacrolimus (FK506) as primary immunosuppression. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1997;16(11):821–6.
- 147. Aguilar-Guisado M, Givalda J, Ussetti P, Ramos A, Morales P, Blanes M, et al. Pneumonia after lung transplantation in the RESITRA Cohort: a multicenter prospective study. Am J Transplant. 2007;7(8):1989–96.
- 148. Campos S, Caramori M, Teixeira R, Afonso Jr J, Carraro R, Strabelli T, et al. Bacterial and fungal pneumonias after lung transplantation. Transplant Proc. 2008;40(3):822–4.
- 149. Mahenthiralingam E, Vandamme P, Campbell ME, Henry DA, Gravelle AM, Wong LT, et al. Infection with Burkholderia cepacia complex genomovars in patients with cystic fibrosis: virulent transmissible strains of genomovar III can replace Burkholderia multivorans. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;33(9): 1469–75.
- 150. Agodi A, Barchitta M, Giannino V, Collura A, Pensabene T, Garlaschi ML, et al. Burkholderia cepacia complex in cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis patients: identification of a cluster of epidemic lineages. J Hosp Infect. 2002;50(3): 188–95.
- 151. Agodi A, Mahenthiralingam E, Barchitta M, Giannino V, Sciacca A, Stefani S. Burkholderia cepacia complex infection in Italian patients with cystic fibrosis: prevalence, epidemiology, and genomovar status. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39(8): 2891–6.
- 152. Teo WY, Chan MY, Lam CM, Chong CY. Skin manifestation of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection—a case report and review article. Ann Acad Med Singapore. 2006;35(12): 897–900.
- 153. Botha P, Archer L, Anderson RL, Lordan J, Dark JH, Corris PA, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa colonization of the allograft after lung transplantation and the risk of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. Transplantation. 2008;85(5):771–4.
- 154. Ramirez J, Dartois N, Gandjini H, Yan JL, Korth-Bradley J, McGovern PC. Randomized phase 2 trial to evaluate the clinical efficacy of two high-dosage tigecycline regimens versus imipenem- cilastatin for treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(4): 1756–62.
- 155. Hakki M, Limaye AP, Kim HW, Kirby KA, Corey L, Boeckh M. Invasive Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections: high rate of recurrence and mortality after hematopoietic cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2007;39(11):687–93.
- 156. Yeshurun M, Gafter-Gvili A, Thaler M, Keller N, Nagler A, Shimoni A. Clinical characteristics of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients: a single center experience. Infection. 2010; 38(3):211–5.
- 157. Shi SH, Kong HS, Xu J, Zhang WJ, Jia CK, Wang WL, et al. Multidrug resistant gram-negative bacilli as predominant bacteremic pathogens in liver transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis. 2009;11(5):405–12.
- 158. Shiratori S, Wakasa K, Okada K, Sugita J, Akizawa K, Shigematsu A, et al. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection during allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a single-center experience. Clin Transpl. 2014;28(6):656–61.
- 159. Snell GI, de Hoyos A, Krajden M, Winton T, Maurer JR. Pseudomonas cepacia in lung transplant recipients with cystic fibrosis. Chest. 1993;103(2):466-71.
- 160. Isles A, Maclusky I, Corey M, Gold R, Prober C, Fleming P, et al. Pseudomonas cepacia infection in cystic fibrosis: an emerging problem. J Pediatr. 1984;104(2):206–10.
- 161. LiPuma JJ, Dasen SE, Nielson DW, Stern RC, Stull TL. Personto- person transmission of Pseudomonas cepacia between patients with cystic fibrosis. Lancet. 1990;336(8723):1094–6.
- 162. Chaparro C, Maurer J, Gutierrez C, Krajden M, Chan C, Winton T, et al. Infection with Burkholderia cepacia in cystic fibrosis: outcome following lung transplantation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;163(1):43–8.
- 163. Meachery G, De Soyza A, Nicholson A, Parry G, Hasan A, Tocewicz K, et al. Outcomes of lung transplantation for cystic fibrosis in a large UK cohort. Thorax.  $2008;63(8):725-31$ .
- 164. Egan JJ, McNeil K, Bookless B, Gould K, Corris P, Higenbottam T, et al. Post-transplantation survival of cystic fibrosis patients infected with Pseudomonas cepacia. Lancet. 1994;344(8921):552–3.
- 165. Flume PA, Egan TM, Paradowski LJ, Detterbeck FC, Thompson JT, Yankaskas JR. Infectious complications of lung transplantation. Impact of cystic fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1994;149(6):1601–7.
- 166. De Soyza A, McDowell A, Archer L, Dark JH, Elborn SJ, Mahenthiralingam E, et al. Burkholderia cepacia complex genomovars and pulmonary transplantation outcomes in patients with cystic fibrosis. Lancet. 2001;358(9295):1780-1.
- 167. De Soyza A, Archer L, McDowell A, Moore J, Dark JH, Elborn S, et al. Lung transplantation for cystic fibrosis; the effect of B cepacia genomovars on post transplant outcomes. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2001;20(2):158.
- 168. Alexander BD, Petzold EW, Reller LB, Palmer SM, Davis RD, Woods CW, et al. Survival after lung transplantation of cystic fibrosis patients infected with Burkholderia cepacia complex. Am J Transplant. 2008;8(5):1025–30.
- 169. De Soyza A, Meachery G, Hester KL, Nicholson A, Parry G, Tocewicz K, et al. Lung transplantation for patients with cystic fibrosis and Burkholderia cepacia complex infection: a singlecenter experience. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2010;29(12): 1395–404.
- 170. Livermore DM. Multiple mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: our worst nightmare? Clin Infect Dis. 2002;34(5):634–40.
- 171. Cornaglia G, Mazzariol A, Lauretti L, Rossolini GM, Fontana R. Hospital outbreak of carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa producing VIM-1, a novel transferable metallobeta- lactamase. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31(5):1119–25.
- 172. Livermore DM. Of Pseudomonas, porins, pumps and carbapenems. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2001;47(3):247–50.
- 173. Trepanier S, Prince A, Huletsky A. Characterization of the penA and penR genes of Burkholderia cepacia 249 which

<span id="page-19-0"></span>encode the chromosomal class A penicillinase and its LysRtype transcriptional regulator. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1997;41(11):2399–405.

- 174. Baxter IA, Lambert PA. Isolation and partial purification of a carbapenem- hydrolysing metallo-beta-lactamase from Pseudomonas cepacia. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1994;122(3): 251–6.
- 175. Joris B, Galleni M, Frere JM, Labia R. Analysis of the penA gene of Pseudomonas cepacia 249. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1994;38(2):407–8.
- 176. Simpson IN, Hunter R, Govan JR, Nelson JW. Do all Pseudomonas cepacia produce carbapenemases? J Antimicrob Chemother. 1993;32(2):339–41.
- 177. Proenca R, Niu WW, Cacalano G, Prince A. The Pseudomonas cepacia 249 chromosomal penicillinase is a member of the AmpC family of chromosomal beta-lactamases. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1993;37(4):667–74.
- 178. Aronoff SC. Outer membrane permeability in Pseudomonas cepacia: diminished porin content in a beta-lactam-resistant mutant and in resistant cystic fibrosis isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1988;32(11):1636–9.
- 179. Prince A, Wood MS, Cacalano GS, Chin NX. Isolation and characterization of a penicillinase from Pseudomonas cepacia 249. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1988;32(6):838–43.
- 180. Hsueh PR, Teng LJ, Chen CY, Chen WH, Yu CJ, Ho SW, et al. Pandrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii causing nosocomial infections in a university hospital. Taiwan Emerg Infect Dis. 2002;8(8):827–32.
- 181. Levin AS. Multiresistant Acinetobacter infections: a role for sulbactam combinations in overcoming an emerging worldwide problem. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2002;8(3):144–53.
- 182. Caselli D, Cesaro S, Ziino O, Zanazzo G, Manicone R, Livadiotti S, et al. Multidrug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in children undergoing chemotherapy and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Haematologica. 2010;95(9):1612–5.
- 183. Labarca JA, Leber AL, Kern VL, Territo MC, Brankovic LE, Bruckner DA, et al. Outbreak of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia bacteremia in allogenic bone marrow transplant patients: role of severe neutropenia and mucositis. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;30(1):195–7.
- 184. Klausner JD, Zukerman C, Limaye AP, Corey L. Outbreak of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia bacteremia among patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation: association with faulty replacement of handwashing soap. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1999;20(11):756–8.
- 185. Paez J, Levin AS, Fu L, Basso M, Fonseca GH, Dulley FL, et al. Clusters of infection due to metallo-beta-lactamaseproducing Pseudomonas aeruginosa in stem cell transplant and haematology units. J Hosp Infect. 2011;77(1):76–7.
- 186. Sganga G, Spanu T, Bianco G, Fiori B, Nure E, Pepe G, et al. Bacterial bloodstream infections in liver transplantation: etiologic agents and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles. Transplant Proc. 2012;44(7):1973–6.
- 187. Silveira FP, Marcos A, Kwak EJ, Husain S, Shapiro R, Thai N, et al. Bloodstream infections in organ transplant recipients receiving alemtuzumab: no evidence of occurrence of organisms typically associated with profound T cell depletion. J Infect. 2006;53(4):241–7.
- 188. Reddy P, Zembower TR, Ison MG, Baker TA, Stosor V. Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii infections after organ transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis. 2010;12(1): 87–93.
- 189. Shields RK, Clancy CJ, Gillis LM, Kwak EJ, Silveira FP, Massih RC, et al. Epidemiology, clinical characteristics and outcomes of extensively drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii infections among solid organ transplant recipients. PLoS One. 2012;7(12):e52349.
- 190. Hadjiliadis D, Steele MP, Chaparro C, Singer LG, Waddell TK, Hutcheon MA, et al. Survival of lung transplant patients with cystic fibrosis harboring panresistant bacteria other than Burkholderia cepacia, compared with patients harboring sensitive bacteria. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2007;26(8):834–8.
- 191. Dobbin C, Maley M, Harkness J, Benn R, Malouf M, Glanville A, et al. The impact of pan-resistant bacterial pathogens on survival after lung transplantation in cystic fibrosis: results from a single large referral centre. J Hosp Infect. 2004;56(4): 277–82.
- 192. Boussaud V, Guillemain R, Grenet D, Coley N, Souilamas R, Bonnette P, et al. Clinical outcome following lung transplantation in patients with cystic fibrosis colonised with Burkholderia cepacia complex: results from two French centres. Thorax. 2008;63(8):732–7.
- 193. Murray S, Charbeneau J, Marshall BC, LiPuma JJ. Impact of burkholderia infection on lung transplantation in cystic fibrosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008;178(4):363–71.
- 194. Saiman L, Siegel JD, LiPuma JJ, Brown RF, Bryson EA, Chambers MJ, et al. Infection prevention and control guideline for cystic fibrosis: 2013 update. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014;35 Suppl 1:S1–67.
- 195. Shields RK, Kwak EJ, Potoski BA, Doi Y, Adams-Haduch JM, Silviera FP, et al. High mortality rates among solid organ transplant recipients infected with extensively drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii: using in vitro antibiotic combination testing to identify the combination of a carbapenem and colistin as an effective treatment regimen. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011;70(2):246–52.
- 196. Freire MP, Van Der Heijden IM, do Prado GV, Cavalcante LS, Boszczowski I, Bonazzi PR, et al. Polymyxin use as a risk factor for colonization or infection with polymyxin-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii after liver transplantation. Transpl Infect Dis. 2014;16(3):369–78.
- 197. Hilf M, Yu VL, Sharp J, Zuravleff JJ, Korvick JA, Muder RR. Antibiotic therapy for Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremia: outcome correlations in a prospective study of 200 patients. Am J Med. 1989;87(5):540–6.
- 198. Leibovici L, Paul M, Poznanski O, Drucker M, Samra Z, Konigsberger H, et al. Monotherapy versus beta-lactamaminoglycoside combination treatment for gram-negative bacteremia: a prospective, observational study. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1997;41(5):1127–33.
- 199. Vidal F, Mensa J, Almela M, Martinez JA, Marco F, Casals C, et al. Epidemiology and outcome of Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremia, with special emphasis on the influence of antibiotic treatment. Analysis of 189 episodes. Arch Intern Med. 1996;156(18):2121–6.
- 200. Vardakas KZ, Tansarli GS, Bliziotis IA, Falagas ME. beta-Lactam plus aminoglycoside or fluoroquinolone combination

<span id="page-20-0"></span>versus beta- lactam monotherapy for Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections: a meta-analysis. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2013;41(4):301–10.

- 201. Paterson DL, Playford EG. Should third-generation cephalosporins be the empirical treatment of choice for severe community- acquired pneumonia in adults? Med J Aust. 1998;168(7):344–8.
- 202. Johnson DE, Thompson B. Efficacy of single-agent therapy with azlocillin, ticarcillin, and amikacin and beta-lactam/amikacin combinations for treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremia in granulocytopenic rats. Am J Med. 1986; 80(5C):53–8.
- 203. Winston DJ, Barnes RC, Ho WG, Young LS, Champlin RE, Gale RP. Moxalactam plus piperacillin versus moxalactam plus amikacin in febrile granulocytopenic patients. Am J Med. 1984;77(3):442–50.
- 204. Falagas ME, Giannopoulou KP, Kokolakis GN, Rafailidis PI. Fosfomycin: use beyond urinary tract and gastrointestinal infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46(7):1069–77.
- 205. Nicodemo AC, Paez JI. Antimicrobial therapy for Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infections. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2007;26(4):229–37.
- 206. Safdar A, Rolston KV. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: changing spectrum of a serious bacterial pathogen in patients with cancer. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;45(12):1602–9.
- 207. Venditti M, Monaco M, Micozzi A, Tarasi A, Friedrich A, Martino P. In vitro activity of moxifloxacin against Stenotrophomonas maltophilia blood isolates from patients with hematologic malignancies. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2001; 7(1):37–9.
- 208. Muder RR, Harris AP, Muller S, Edmond M, Chow JW, Papadakis K, et al. Bacteremia due to Stenotrophomonas (Xanthomonas) maltophilia: a prospective, multicenter study of 91 episodes. Clin Infect Dis. 1996;22(3):508–12.
- 209. Cercenado E, Unal S, Eliopoulos CT, Rubin LG, Isenberg HD, Moellering Jr RC, et al. Characterization of vancomycin resistance in Enterococcus durans. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1995;36(5):821–5.
- 210. Cisneros JM, Reyes MJ, Pachon J, Becerril B, Caballero FJ, Garcia-Garmendia JL, et al. Bacteremia due to Acinetobacter baumannii: epidemiology, clinical findings, and prognostic features. Clin Infect Dis. 1996;22(6):1026–32.
- 211. Peleg AY, Seifert H, Paterson DL. Acinetobacter baumannii: emergence of a successful pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2008;21(3):538–82.
- 212. Levin AS, Barone AA, Penco J, Santos MV, Marinho IS, Arruda EA, et al. Intravenous colistin as therapy for nosocomial infections caused by multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii. Clin Infect Dis. 1999;28(5):1008–11.
- 213. Hogg GM, Barr JG, Webb CH. In-vitro activity of the combination of colistin and rifampicin against multidrug-resistant strains of Acinetobacter baumannii. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1998;41(4):494–5.
- 214. Henwood CJ, Gatward T, Warner M, James D, Stockdale MW, Spence RP, et al. Antibiotic resistance among clinical isolates of Acinetobacter in the UK, and in vitro evaluation of tigecycline (GAR-936). J Antimicrob Chemother. 2002;49(3):479–87.
- 215. Anthony KB, Fishman NO, Linkin DR, Gasink LB, Edelstein PH, Lautenbach E. Clinical and microbiological outcomes of

serious infections with multidrug-resistant gram-negative organisms treated with tigecycline. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46(4):567–70.

- 216. Peleg AY, Potoski BA, Rea R, Adams J, Sethi J, Capitano B, et al. Acinetobacter baumannii bloodstream infection while receiving tigecycline: a cautionary report. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;59(1):128–31.
- 217. Schafer JJ, Goff DA, Stevenson KB, Mangino JE. Early experience with tigecycline for ventilator-associated pneumonia and bacteremia caused by multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Pharmacotherapy. 2007;27(7):980–7.
- 218. Navon-Venezia S, Leavitt A, Carmeli Y. High tigecycline resistance in multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;59(4):772–4.
- 219. Falagas ME, Maraki S, Karageorgopoulos DE, Kastoris AC, Mavromanolakis E, Samonis G. Antimicrobial susceptibility of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) Enterobacteriaceae isolates to fosfomycin. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;35(3):240–3.
- 220. Giamarellou H. Multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria: how to treat and for how long. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;36 Suppl 2:S50–4.
- 221. Averbuch D, Cordonnier C, Livermore DM, Mikulska M, Orasch C, Viscoli C, et al. Targeted therapy against multiresistant bacteria in leukemic and hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: guidelines of the 4th European Conference on Infections in Leukemia (ECIL-4, 2011). Haematologica. 2013;98(12):1836–47.
- 222. Durakovic N, Radojcic V, Boban A, Mrsic M, Sertic D, Serventi-Seiwerth R, et al. Efficacy and safety of colistin in the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa in patients with hematologic malignancy: a matched pair analysis. Intern Med. 2011;50(9): 1009–13.
- 223. Hachem RY, Chemaly RF, Ahmar CA, Jiang Y, Boktour MR, Rjaili GA, et al. Colistin is effective in treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cancer patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007; 51(6):1905–11.
- 224. Mostardeiro MM, Pereira CA, Marra AR, Pestana JO, Camargo LF. Nephrotoxicity and efficacy assessment of polymyxin use in 92 transplant patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(3):1442–6.
- 225. Tumbarello M, De Pascale G, Trecarichi EM, De Martino S, Bello G, Maviglia R, et al. Effect of aerosolized colistin as adjunctive treatment on the outcomes of microbiologically documented ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by colistin-only susceptible gram-negative bacteria. Chest. 2013;144(6):1768–75.
- 226. Segal-Maurer S, Mariano N, Qavi A, Urban C, Rahal Jr JJ. Successful treatment of ceftazidime-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae ventriculitis with intravenous meropenem and intraventricular polymyxin B: case report and review. Clin Infect Dis. 1999;28(5):1134–8.
- 227. Novelli G, Morabito V, Ferretti G, Poli L, Novelli S, Ruberto F, et al. Safety of polymyxin-B-based hemoperfusion in kidney and liver transplant recipients. Transplant Proc. 2012;44(7):1966–72.
- 228. Suhling H, Rademacher J, Greer M, Haverich A, Warnecke G, Gottlieb J, et al. Inhaled colistin following lung transplantation

<span id="page-21-0"></span>in colonised cystic fibrosis patients. Eur Respir J. 2013;42(2): 542–4.

- 229. Paul M, Bishara J, Levcovich A, Chowers M, Goldberg E, Singer P, et al. Effectiveness and safety of colistin: prospective comparative cohort study. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65(5):1019–27.
- 230. Yahav D, Farbman L, Leibovici L, Paul M. Colistin: new lessons on an old antibiotic. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(1):18–29.
- 231. Falagas ME, Rafailidis PI, Ioannidou E, Alexiou VG, Matthaiou DK, Karageorgopoulos DE, et al. Colistin therapy for microbiologically documented multidrug-resistant gramnegative bacterial infections: a retrospective cohort study of 258 patients. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;35(2):194–9.
- 232. Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK. Toxicity of polymyxins: a systematic review of the evidence from old and recent studies. Crit Care. 2006;10(1):R27.
- 233. European Medicines Agency completes review of polymyxinbased medicines. 2014. [http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/news_and_events/news/2014/10/news_detail_002194.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058004d5c1) [jsp?curl=pages/news\\_and\\_events/news/2014/10/news\\_](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/news_and_events/news/2014/10/news_detail_002194.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058004d5c1) [detail\\_002194.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058004d5c1](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/news_and_events/news/2014/10/news_detail_002194.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058004d5c1).
- 234. Beno P, Krcmery V, Demitrovicova A. Bacteraemia in cancer patients caused by colistin-resistant gram-negative bacilli after previous exposure to ciprofloxacin and/or colistin. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2006;12(5):497–8.
- 235. Garonzik SM, Li J, Thamlikitkul V, Paterson DL, Shoham S, Jacob J, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate and formed colistin in critically ill patients from a multicenter study provide dosing suggestions for various categories of patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(7):3284–94.
- 236. Plachouras D, Karvanen M, Friberg LE, Papadomichelakis E, Antoniadou A, Tsangaris I, et al. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of colistin methanesulfonate and colistin after intravenous administration in critically ill patients with infections caused by gram-negative bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53(8):3430–6.
- 237. Dalfino L, Puntillo F, Mosca A, Monno R, Spada ML, Coppolecchia S, et al. High-dose, extended-interval colistin administration in critically ill patients: is this the right dosing strategy? A preliminary study. Clin Infect Dis. 2012; 54(12):1720–6.
- 238. Cai Y, Wang R, Liang B, Bai N, Liu Y. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effectiveness and safety of tigecycline for treatment of infectious disease. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(3):1162–72.
- 239. Hirsch EB, Tam VH. Detection and treatment options for Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemases (KPCs): an emerging cause of multidrug-resistant infection. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65(6):1119–25.
- 240. Neonakis IK, Spandidos DA, Petinaki E. Confronting multidrug- resistant Acinetobacter baumannii: a review. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2011;37(2):102–9.
- 241. Chemaly RF, Hanmod SS, Jiang Y, Rathod DB, Mulanovich V, Adachi JA, et al. Tigecycline use in cancer patients with serious infections: a report on 110 cases from a single institution. Medicine. 2009;88(4):211–20.
- 242. Bassetti M, Nicolini L, Repetto E, Righi E, Del Bono V, Viscoli C. Tigecycline use in serious nosocomial infections: a drug use evaluation. BMC Infect Dis. 2010;10:287.
- 243. Mouloudi E, Massa E, Piperidou M, Papadopoulos S, Iosifidis E, Roilides I, et al. Tigecycline for treatment of carbapenem-

resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae infections after liver transplantation in the intensive care unit: a 3-year study. Transplant Proc. 2014;46(9):3219–21.

- 244. Arpin C, Labia R, Andre C, Frigo C, El Harrif Z, Quentin C. SHV-16, a beta-lactamase with a pentapeptide duplication in the omega loop. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001;45(9): 2480–5.
- 245. Rodriguez-Avial C, Rodriguez-Avial I, Merino P, Picazo JJ. Klebsiella pneumoniae: development of a mixed population of carbapenem and tigecycline resistance during antimicrobial therapy in a kidney transplant patient. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(1):61–6.
- 246. Nilsson AI, Berg OG, Aspevall O, Kahlmeter G, Andersson DI. Biological costs and mechanisms of fosfomycin resistance in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2003;47(9):2850–8.
- 247. Hasegawa S, Horibe K, Kawabe T, Kato K, Kojima S, Matsuyama T, et al. Veno-occlusive disease of the liver after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in children with hematologic malignancies: incidence, onset time and risk factors. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1998;22(12):1191–7.
- 248. Reid GE, Grim SA, Layden JE, Akkina S, Tang I, Campara M, et al. The use of fosfomycin to treat urinary tract infections in kidney transplant recipients. Transplantation. 2013;96(3): e12–4.
- 249. Neuner EA, Sekeres J, Hall GS, van Duin D. Experience with fosfomycin for treatment of urinary tract infections due to multidrug- resistant organisms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(11):5744–8.
- 250. Elemam A, Rahimian J, Doymaz M. In vitro evaluation of antibiotic synergy for polymyxin B-resistant carbapenemaseproducing Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48(10):3558–62.
- 251. Souli M, Galani I, Boukovalas S, Gourgoulis MG, Chryssouli Z, Kanellakopoulou K, et al. In vitro interactions of antimicrobial combinations with fosfomycin against KPC-2 producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and protection of resistance development. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(5): 2395–7.
- 252. Bulik CC, Nicolau DP. Double-carbapenem therapy for carbapenemase- producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(6):3002–4.
- 253. Zusman O, Avni T, Leibovici L, Adler A, Friberg L, Stergiopoulou T, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of in vitro synergy of polymyxins and carbapenems. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(10):5104–11.
- 254. Rahal JJ. Novel antibiotic combinations against infections with almost completely resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter species. Clin Infect Dis. 2006;43 Suppl 2:S95–9.
- 255. Tripodi MF, Durante-Mangoni E, Fortunato R, Utili R, Zarrilli R. Comparative activities of colistin, rifampicin, imipenem and sulbactam/ampicillin alone or in combination against epidemic multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii isolates producing OXA-58 carbapenemases. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2007;30(6):537–40.
- 256. Tascini C, Ferranti S, Messina F, Menichetti F. In vitro and in vivo synergistic activity of colistin, rifampin, and amikacin against a multiresistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolate. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2000;6(12):690–1.
- <span id="page-22-0"></span> 257. Rynn C, Wootton M, Bowker KE, Alan Holt H, Reeves DS. In vitro assessment of colistin's antipseudomonal antimicrobial interactions with other antibiotics. Clin Microbiol Infect. 1999;5(1):32–6.
- 258. Stanzani M, Tumietto F, Giannini MB, Bianchi G, Nanetti A, Vianelli N, et al. Successful treatment of multi-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa osteomyelitis after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation with a combination of colistin and tigecycline. J Med Microbiol. 2007;56(Pt 12):1692–5.
- 259. Marcus R, Paul M, Elphick H, Leibovici L. Clinical implications of beta-lactam-aminoglycoside synergism: systematic review of randomised trials. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2011;37(6):491–503.
- 260. Safdar N, Handelsman J, Maki DG. Does combination antimicrobial therapy reduce mortality in gram-negative bacteraemia? A meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2004;4(8):519–27.
- 261. Bucaneve G, Micozzi A, Picardi M, Ballanti S, Cascavilla N, Salutari P, et al. Results of a multicenter, controlled, randomized clinical trial evaluating the combination of piperacillin/ tazobactam and tigecycline in high-risk hematologic patients with cancer with febrile neutropenia. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(14):1463–71.
- 262. Tumbarello M, Viale P, Viscoli C, Trecarichi EM, Tumietto F, Marchese A, et al. Predictors of mortality in bloodstream infections caused by Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemaseproducing K. pneumoniae: importance of combination therapy. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;55(7):943–50.
- 263. Micek ST, Welch EC, Khan J, Pervez M, Doherty JA, Reichley RM, et al. Empiric combination antibiotic therapy is associated with improved outcome against sepsis due to gram-negative bacteria: a retrospective analysis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54(5):1742–8.
- 264. Bass SN, Bauer SR, Neuner EA, Lam SW. Mortality risk factors for critically ill patients with carbapenem-resistant bacteremia: impact of combination antimicrobial therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015.
- 265. Bassetti M, Repetto E, Righi E, Boni S, Diverio M, Molinari MP, et al. Colistin and rifampicin in the treatment of multidrugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii infections. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2008;61(2):417–20.
- 266. Cirioni O, Ghiselli R, Orlando F, Silvestri C, Mocchegiani F, Rocchi M, et al. Efficacy of colistin/rifampin combination in experimental rat models of sepsis due to a multiresistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain. Crit Care Med. 2007;35(7):1717–23.
- 267. Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Sambatakou H, Galani I, Giamarellou H. In vitro interaction of colistin and rifampin on multidrug- resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Chemother. 2003;15(3):235–8.
- 268. Durante-Mangoni E, Signoriello G, Andini R, Mattei A, De Cristoforo M, Murino P, et al. Colistin and rifampicin compared with colistin alone for the treatment of serious infections due to extensively drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii: a multicenter, randomized clinical trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;57(3):349–58.
- 269. Aydemir H, Akduman D, Piskin N, Comert F, Horuz E, Terzi A, et al. Colistin vs. the combination of colistin and rifampicin for the treatment of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii ventilator- associated pneumonia. Epidemiol Infect. 2013;141(6):1214–22.
- 270. Paul M, Carmeli Y, Durante-Mangoni E, Mouton JW, Tacconelli E, Theuretzbacher U, et al. Combination therapy for carbapenem- resistant gram-negative bacteria. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014;69(9):2305–9.
- 271. Hamer DH. Treatment of nosocomial pneumonia and tracheobronchitis caused by multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa with aerosolized colistin. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;162(1):328–30.
- 272. Feeley TW, Du Moulin GC, Hedley-Whyte J, Bushnell LS, Gilbert JP, Feingold DS. Aerosol polymyxin and pneumonia in seriously ill patients. N Engl J Med. 1975;293(10):471–5.
- 273. Gafter-Gvili A, Fraser A, Paul M, Vidal L, Lawrie TA, van de Wetering MD, et al. Antibiotic prophylaxis for bacterial infections in afebrile neutropenic patients following chemotherapy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;1:CD004386.
- 274. Ng ES, Liew Y, Earnest A, Koh LP, Lim SW, Hsu LY. Audit of fluoroquinolone prophylaxis against chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in a hospital with highly prevalent fluoroquinolone resistance. Leukemia Lymphoma. 2011;52(1):131–3.
- 275. Lingaratnam S, Thursky KA, Slavin MA. Fluoroquinolone prophylaxis: a word of caution. Leukemia Lymphoma. 2011;52(1):5–6.
- 276. Gafter-Gvili A, Paul M, Fraser A, Leibovici L. Effect of quinolone prophylaxis in afebrile neutropenic patients on microbial resistance: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;59(1):5–22.
- 277. Garnica M, Nouer SA, Pellegrino FL, Moreira BM, Maiolino A, Nucci M. Ciprofloxacin prophylaxis in high risk neutropenic patients: effects on outcomes, antimicrobial therapy and resistance. BMC Infect Dis. 2013;13(1):356.
- 278. Rangaraj G, Granwehr BP, Jiang Y, Hachem R, Raad I. Perils of quinolone exposure in cancer patients: breakthrough bacteremia with multidrug-resistant organisms. Cancer. 2010;116(4):967–73.
- 279. Averbuch D, Orasch C, Cordonnier C, Livermore DM, Mikulska M, Viscoli C, et al. European guidelines for empirical antibacterial therapy for febrile neutropenic patients in the era of growing resistance: summary of the 2011 4th European Conference on Infections in Leukemia. Haematologica. 2013;98(12):1826–35.
- 280. Orasch C, Averbuch D, Mikulska M, Cordonnier C, Livermore DM, Gyssens IC, et al. Discontinuation of empirical antibiotic therapy in neutropenic leukaemia patients with fever of unknown origin is ethical. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2015;21(3):e25–7.
- 281. Garcia-Fernandez S, Morosini MI, Marco F, Gijon D, Vergara A, Vila J, et al. Evaluation of the eazyplex(R) SuperBug CRE system for rapid detection of carbapenemases and ESBLs in clinical Enterobacteriaceae isolates recovered at two Spanish hospitals. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2015;70(4):1047-50.
- 282. Dortet L, Brechard L, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Rapid detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae from blood cultures. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2014;20(4):340–4.
- 283. Dortet L, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Rapid detection of ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae in blood cultures. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(3):504–7.
- 284. Huang TD, Berhin C, Bogaerts P, Glupczynski Y. Comparative evaluation of two chromogenic tests for rapid detection of car-

<span id="page-23-0"></span>bapenemase in Enterobacteriaceae and in Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(8):3060–3.

- 285. Dortet L, Brechard L, Cuzon G, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Strategy for rapid detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014; 58(4):2441–5.
- 286. Johansson A, Ekelof J, Giske CG, Sundqvist M. The detection and verification of carbapenemases using ertapenem and matrix assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight. BMC Microbiol. 2014;14:89.
- 287. Tverdek FP, Rolston KV, Chemaly RF. Antimicrobial stewardship in patients with cancer. Pharmacotherapy. 2012;32(8): 722–34.
- 288. Gyssens IC, Kern WV, Livermore DM. The role of antibiotic stewardship in limiting antibacterial resistance among hematology patients. Haematologica. 2013;98(12):1821–5.
- 289. Eisen D, Russell EG, Tymms M, Roper EJ, Grayson ML, Turnidge J. Random amplified polymorphic DNA and plasmid analyses used in investigation of an outbreak of multiresistant Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Clin Microbiol. 1995;33(3):713–7.
- 290. Royle J, Halasz S, Eagles G, Gilbert G, Dalton D, Jelfs P, et al. Outbreak of extended spectrum beta lactamase producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in a neonatal unit. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 1999;80(1):F64–8.
- 291. Munoz-Price LS, Quinn JP. Deconstructing the infection control bundles for the containment of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2013;26(4): 378–87.
- 292. Kallen A, Guh A. United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention issue updated guidance for tackling carbapenem- resistant enterobacteriaceae. Euro Surveill. 2012;17(26). pii: 20207.
- 293. Tacconelli E, Peschel A, Autenrieth IB. Translational research strategy: an essential approach to fight the spread of antimicrobial resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014;69(11): 2889–91.
- 294. Weiss J, Arielly H, Ganor N, Paitan Y. Evaluation of the NanoCHIP Infection Control Panel test for direct detection and screening of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC) producing bacteria and vancomycin- resistant Enterococcus (VRE). Infection. 2015.
- 295. Vasoo S, Cunningham SA, Kohner PC, Mandrekar JN, Lolans K, Hayden MK, et al. Rapid and direct real-time detection of blaKPC and blaNDM from surveillance samples. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(11):3609–15.
- 296. Oren I, Sprecher H, Finkelstein R, Hadad S, Neuberger A, Hussein K, et al. Eradication of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae gastrointestinal colonization with nonabsorbable oral antibiotic treatment: a prospective controlled trial. Am J Infect Control. 2013;41(12):1167–72.
- 297. Tascini C, Sbrana F, Flammini S, Tagliaferri E, Arena F, Leonildi A, et al. Oral gentamicin gut decontamination for pre-

vention of KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae infections: relevance of concomitant systemic antibiotic therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58(4):1972–6.

- 298. Oostdijk EA, Kesecioglu J, Schultz MJ, Visser CE, de Jonge E, van Essen EH, et al. Effects of decontamination of the oropharynx and intestinal tract on antibiotic resistance in ICUs: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2014;312(14):1429–37.
- 299. Lubbert C, Faucheux S, Becker-Rux D, Laudi S, Durrbeck A, Busch T, et al. Rapid emergence of secondary resistance to gentamicin and colistin following selective digestive decontamination in patients with KPC-2-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae: a single- centre experience. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2013;42(6):565–70.
- 300. Saidel-Odes L, Polachek H, Peled N, Riesenberg K, Schlaeffer F, Trabelsi Y, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of selective digestive decontamination using oral gentamicin and oral polymyxin E for eradication of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae carriage. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2012;33(1):14–9.
- 301. Zuckerman T, Benyamini N, Sprecher H, Fineman R, Finkelstein R, Rowe JM, et al. SCT in patients with carbapenem resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae: a single center experience with oral gentamicin for the eradication of carrier state. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2011;46(9):1226–30.
- 302. Giani T, Conte V, Mandala S, D'Andrea MM, Luzzaro F, Conaldi PG, et al. Cross-infection of solid organ transplant recipients by a multidrug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate producing the OXA-48 carbapenemase, likely derived from a multiorgan donor. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(7): 2702–5.
- 303. Goldberg E, Bishara J, Lev S, Singer P, Cohen J. Organ transplantation from a donor colonized with a multidrug-resistant organism: a case report. Transpl Infect Dis. 2012;14(3): 296–9.
- 304. Bishara J, Goldberg E, Lev S, Singer P, Ashkenazi T, Cohen J. The utilization of solid organs for transplantation in the setting of infection with multidrug-resistant organisms: an expert opinion. Clin Transpl. 2012;26(6):811–5.
- 305. Evans ME, Feola DJ, Rapp RP. Polymyxin B sulfate and colistin: old antibiotics for emerging multiresistant gram-negative bacteria. Ann Pharmacother. 1999;33(9):960–7.
- 306. Noskin GA. Tigecycline: a new glycylcycline for treatment of serious infections. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;41 Suppl 5:S303–14.
- 307. Apisarnthanarak A, Mundy LM. Use of high-dose 4-h infusion of doripenem, in combination with fosfomycin, for treatment of carbapenem- resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;51(11):1352–4.
- 308. Falagas ME, Roussos N, Gkegkes ID, Rafailidis PI, Karageorgopoulos DE. Fosfomycin for the treatment of infections caused by gram-positive cocci with advanced antimicrobial drug resistance: a review of microbiological, animal and clinical studies. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2009;18(7): 921–44.