Chapter 7
Biointerface Technology

Joachim Rychly

Abstract The application of biomaterials to regenerate tissues requires research of the interface between the synthetic material and the living tissue. Because biomaterials represent a synthetic extracellular matrix that controls the cell biology by mechanism of cell adhesion, basic mechanisms of cell adhesion are addressed. The technology of designing instructive materials involves chemical modifications by grafting of chemical groups, adhesion ligands and growth factors. Physical characteristics of the materials are created by modifications of the surfaces structure and stiffness of the material. Because stem cells have emerged as promising cells to address the challenge of tissue regeneration the control of stem cells by the characteristics of materials is discussed. Insights into the mechanisms at the biointerface that are involved in the regulation of stem cells by materials will advance the development of innovative biomaterials in regenerative medicine. Another challenge in designing surfaces of medical implants is the prevention of infections due to a bacterial biofilm. Antimicrobial strategies involve both chemical and physical characteristics of the material surface.

Keywords Cell adhesion • Mechanotransduction • Surface grafting • Ligands • Surface coating

7.1 Introduction: An Historical Perspective

The biointerface is the interface between a nonviable material and the biological tissue or a cell. Mechanisms of the interaction between a material and the biological tissue control the reaction of the tissue and may also determine the fate of the material. The application of materials as medical implants or prostheses has a more than 2000 years history. To replace limbs, eyes, teeth, part of the skull or bone, beside wood or ivory the ancient cultures used mostly different metals. The first polymer

J. Rychly (✉)
Laboratory of Cell Biology, University of Rostock, Schillingallee 69,
18057 Rostock, Germany
e-mail: joachim.rychly@med.uni-rostock.de

G. Steinhoff (ed.), *Regenerative Medicine - from Protocol to Patient*,
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-28274-9_7

as an implant was introduced by the British ophthalmologist Harold Ridley in 1949, when he used poly (methyl methacrylate) to replace a cataracted lens of a patient (Ridley 1952). He made the observation that the eyes of pilots who had shards of canopy plastic in their eyes due to enemy machine gun fire, tolerated this material, without ongoing reactions. In addition to implants, also ex vivo devices, like dialysis equipments or heart lung machines form a biointerface, in that case mostly with cells of the blood.

With the introduction of hip implants, vascular grafts or the kidney dialysis, first principles of application of medical materials were given by the late 1960s. The principal demand for a medical material was that the interaction of the material with the biological system should not provoke harmful reactions. The term "biocompatibility" originally refers to material characteristics of having no toxic effects or inducing mutagenesis and inflammation. The goal of the early biomaterials was to achieve a biological "inertness". The challenge of the new generation of materials is to create bioactive surfaces that are suitable to specifically control the biology of the tissue. In the field of regenerative medicine the control of stem cell plays a significant role. Therefore, the designing of implant materials is focussed on the question how characteristics of the materials are able to steer all the biological functions of a stem cell, which include self-renewal, differentiation to a specific cellular phenotype, secretion of bioactive factors, or migration. The development of such bioactive material surfaces requires the interdisciplinary collaboration between disciplines of engineering and the life sciences. The progress in this field depends on both the understanding of the biological mechanisms and the development of technological methods. The driving force for the design of bioactive material surfaces is the understanding of the complex mechanisms on the cellular level that determine the regenerative processes in the different tissues of the organism. Therefore, in this chapter first a review of cell biological mechanisms will be given with a focus on the adhesive interactions of cells with the extracellular matrix. These interactions play a key role at the cell-material interface and basically, the aim of material design is to control the cell biology by modifications of the chemical and physical properties of the material surfaces.

7.2 Background/Principles

7.2.1 Mechanisms of Cell Adhesion

Cells are regulated by different signals induced by soluble factors, cell-cell contacts and the interaction of cells with the extracellular matrix. Proteins of the extracellular matrix, like collagens, fibronectin, laminin, elastin are secreted by cells and differ in their composition depending on the type of tissue. For example, collagen I is a characteristic matrix component for bone, collagen II for cartilage or laminin for the basal membrane of the epithelium and endothelium. The composition and

structure of the extracellular matrix is dynamic and vary which determine its function. This is obvious during processes of the development and tissue differentiation. For example, during the development of branched organs like mammary gland, kidney, gut and lung the branched units are surrounded by a microenvironment that change in composition and spatial distribution over the time (Rozario and DeSimone 2010). The spatio-temporal expression and deposition of extracellular matrix provides instructive differentiation signals. In the mouse development, myogenic differentiation occurs as laminin, collagen IV and entactin expression increases, whereas fibronectin expression decreases (Godfrey and Gradall 1998). Although the control of stem cell differentiation by the extracellular matrix appears complex, defined matrix molecules induced specific differentiation of stem cells. Embryonic stem cells are normally not competent to differentiate to trophoblastic cells, however on collagen IV but not on laminin, fibronectin or collagen I the cells developed to a trophoblastic lineage (Schenke-Layland et al. 2007). Also directed differentiation of multipotent adult stem cells was dependent on the type of matrix protein. Neural stem cells developed to neurons, astrocytes and glia cells on laminin but not on fibronectin (Flanagan et al. 2006). Osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells was induced on laminin-5, collagen I and vitronectin (Klees et al. 2005; Kundu and Putnam 2006; Salasznyk et al. 2004). The studies also revealed that differentiation to the same phenotype might be differentially regulated by different matrix proteins (Kundu and Putnam 2006). As already mentioned, the extracellular matrix is a highly dynamic structure, which is constantly undergoing remodelling, i. e. assembly and degradation. Experiments using fluorescence time lapse-imaging demonstrated that in a cell culture individual fibrils of fibronectin were stretched and displaced (Sivakumar et al. 2006). Motile osteoblasts actively mediated fibronectin assembly by adding globules of matrix molecules to existing fibronectin fibrils and reorganized the extracellular matrix by shunting matrix material from one location to another or exchanged fibrillar material between fibrils. Remodelling of the extracellular matrix is the result of multiple processes, which requires at least two events: synthesis and proteolytic degradation of the components (Daley et al. 2008). Among the proteolytic enzymes, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) play a dominant role in the degradation of the extracellular matrix. Although matrix protein degradation remains a principal physiological function of MMPs, there is evidence that also other substrates, like peptide growth factors, tyrosine kinase receptors, chemokines are a target of MMPs, which indicates a more extensive involvement of MMPs in a variety of physiological processes (Page-McCaw et al. 2007; Stamenkovic 2003). The interaction of cells with the extracellular matrix is mediated by receptors of the integrin family which enable a bidirectional signal transduction (Hynes 2002; Takada et al. 2007). Integrins function as heterodimeric transmembrane receptors consisting of one ß and one α-subunit. In human, 18 α-subunits and 8 ß-subunits are described, which form at least 24 different receptors (van der Flier and Sonnenberg 2001; Wehrle-Haller and Imhof 2003). The combination of the ß with the α-subunit determines the binding specificity for the ECM ligand and a simplified classification into three classes yields a group of integrins, which binds to the RGD sequence (amino acids

Arg-Gly-Asp) of fibronectin or vitronectin, receptors which bind to laminin and integrins that bind to collagens (Wiesner et al. 2005). Activation of integrins which induces signal transduction involves conformational changes in the extracellular domain to expose the ligand-binding site (Luo et al. 2007). The conformational changes also enable an increased binding avidity which leads to a clustering of hundreds or thousands integrin interactions with matrix ligands into tightly bound adhesive units (Legate et al. 2009). To connect integrins with the actin cytoskeleton in integrin mediated signal transduction, the formation of adhesion complexes at the interface between cell and substrate plays a dominant role. In these focal adhesions 157 molecules have been identified that are assembled in a "integrin adhesome" and enables signal transduction (Zaidel-Bar et al. 2007). Failure to establish functional adhesions and thus the assembly of cytoplasmic scaffolding and signalling networks can have severe pathological effects (Winograd-Katz et al. 2014). Upon integrin binding to a ligand focal adhesions mature. First nascent adhesions are organized within the lamellipodium. During maturation the adhesions grow into dot-like structures, which then become elongated to form fibrillar adhesions (Geiger et al. 2001; Wehrle-Haller and Imhof 2002; Zaidel-Bar et al. 2003). This process is facilitated by the α- actinin-actin structures and requires myosin II (Choi et al. 2008). Super-resolution fluorescence microscopy enabled a nanoscale mapping of the organization of proteins in focal adhesions (Kanchanawong et al. 2010). The functions of some of the numerous proteins assembled in focal adhesions have been elucidated. For example, by its polarized orientation, talin has a role in the organization of proteins inside of focal adhesions (Kanchanawong et al. 2010). Talin facilitates the interaction of integrins with the cytoskeleton by direct binding to the integrin tail, or vinculin plays a role in the formation and growth of focal adhesions (Gallant et al. 2005; Humphries et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2008). FAK appears to be responsible for turnover of focal adhesions and actin polymerization and is a major component in further downstream signalling events (Zhao and Guan 2009). Downstream, integrin signalling shares common pathways of growth factor receptors, like activation of MAP-kinases (Miyamoto et al. 1996; Moro et al. 1998). Beside the cross-talk between integrins and growth factor receptor pathways, also the physical proximity and lateral collaboration at the cell membrane between integrins and growth factor receptors are important to induce signalling and in consequence a biological function (Schneller et al. 1997).

7.2.2 Cellular Mechanotransduction

Cells are able to sense mechanical forces, which control their physiological functions. Physical forces act or are generated at the interface between the cell and the extracellular matrix (Geiger et al. 2009; Mammoto and Ingber 2009; Puklin-Faucher and Sheetz 2009). Therefore, the cellular components that facilitate cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix have a primary role in the cellular sensory machinery and are able to integrate and transduce mechanical signals. Transduction of mechanical

forces is bidirectional. While cells are able to sense forces from outside they also generate forces to the extracellular matrix, which is facilitated by the cytoskeleton and regulated for example by actin polymerization (Galbraith et al. 2007; Giannone et al. 2007; Ingber 2006; Kumar et al. 2006). Myosin II is responsible for the contractile nature of the stress fibres to exert forces to the extracellular matrix (Katoh et al. 2001; Peterson et al. 2004). Integrins function as primary sensor and mechanotransducer and facilitate the mechanical coupling between inside and outside the cell (Schober et al. 2007; Wang et al. 1993). Transition of the β integrin subunit from an inactive state to an active conformation can be induced by mechanical forces (Cluzel et al. 2005; Kim et al. 2004; Puklin-Faucher et al. 2006). Mechanical loads directly applied to integrins induce an accumulation of focal adhesion molecules and a direct physical link to the cytoskeleton by immobilizing of signalling proteins, like FAK to the actin cytoskeleton (Cox et al. 2006; Michael et al. 2009; Riveline et al. 2001; Schmidt et al. 1998). As found in proteomic analyses, activation of integrins induces adhesive complexes, in which many cytoskeleton-binding proteins and proteins with a broad range of cellular functions are enriched (Byron et al. 2015). The recruitment and assembly of some proteins depend on mechanical tension generated by myosin II-mediated contractile forces (Schiller and Fassler 2013). To convert mechanical forces into biochemical signalling events, proteins at the adhesive interface are stretched and expose binding sites (Brown and Discher 2009; Vogel and Sheetz 2009). Vinculin binds to talin rod due to mechanically stretching of the talin molecule (del Rio et al. 2009). Recently, filamin A has been identified as a mechanotransductive substrate within the cytoskeleton. When strain is applied, β integrin binding to filamin A increased which enables its cytoskeletal anchorage, whereas the protein FilGAP dissociates from filamin A (Ehrlicher et al. 2011). Detailed studies revealed that fibrillar fibronectin can be extended by stretch more than eightfold and the mechanically induced unfolding of fibrillar fibronectin alters the displayed binding sites (Klotzsch et al. 2009; Vogel 2006). Fibronectin contains different recognition sites for binding of serum proteins, other matrix proteins, cell adhesion proteins distributed over more than 54 domains that can be switched on and off be mechanical forces (Vogel and Sheetz 2009). Interestingly, the mechanical properties of the fibronectin fibres are regulated, old fibres become more unfolded with age than newly deposited fibres. Further, due to differences in the mechanical strain, fibrillar fibronectin is more unfolded on rigid than on soft substrates (Antia et al. 2008). To identify mechanosensitive transcription pathways, a gene expression screen in epithelial cells revealed that the transcription factors YAP and TAZ were differentially expressed and localized to the nucleus when the cells were plated on substrates with increased stiffnesses (Dupont et al. 2011). In this case, YAP/TAZ only accumulated in the nucleus when the cells actively generated tension. This study also demonstrated that both factors are functionally required for the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells to osteoblasts. In addition to a mechano-biochemical conversion near the adhesion site, there is evidence that cells are able to transduce mechanical signals directly to the nucleus due to a structural connectivity between extracellular matrix and cell nucleus (Maniotis et al. 1997; Wang et al. 2009). In this model, the cell is a “hard wired” tensegrity network which

refers to a stable interconnected cytoskeleton that resists mechanical stresses and maintain shape stability (Ingber 1997; Stamenovic et al. 1996). The connection between cytoskeletal filaments and the nuclear membrane is facilitated by a LINC complex (linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton) containing nesprins, sun and lamin proteins (Crisp et al. 2006; Haque et al. 2006). Through lamin A, which binds transcription factors, mechanical forces could directly alter gene expression in the nucleus (Dechat et al. 2008). In addition, mechanically induced expansion or contraction of nuclear pores may alter transport processes into the nucleus (Feldherr and Akin 1990). Such direct force transmission between cell membrane and nucleus may induce a fast induction of gene expression and may explain a rapid increase of calcium in the nucleus (Pommerenke et al. 2002).

7.2.3 Interaction with the Extracellular Matrix in the Stem Cell Niche

The stem cell niche is a specialized microenvironment in various organs which provides an anatomical compartment to maintain a pool of stem cells (Jones and Wagers 2008). The microenvironment, which involves soluble factors, the interaction with other cells and an extracellular matrix, regulate stemness, survival, differentiation, and migration out of the niche (Kolf et al. 2007). To mimic the mechanisms in a niche by bioactive material surfaces, the extracellular matrix is of primary interest. Evidence exists that the composition and mechanical properties of extracellular matrix determines the fate of stem cells in a niche, e. g. controls the balance between self-renewal and differentiation (Brizzi et al. 2012; Daley et al. 2008). The extracellular matrix of stem cell niches mainly consists of basement membrane components, like collagens, laminins, fibronectin, glycosaminoglycans (Votteler et al. 2010). Specific interactions of a matrix protein with a stem cell regulate the stem cell population within a niche. As an example, in skeletal muscle stem cells, binding of the receptor syndecan-4 in a complex with Wnt7a to fibronectin stimulates the expansion of these cells and newly activated stem cells remodel the niche by a transient increase in the expression of fibronectin (Bentzinger et al. 2013). Mesenchymal stem cells are localized in a perivascular niche and are exposed to signals from vascular cells (Crisan et al. 2008). Studies stressed the assumption that the type of extracellular matrix may determine the direction of stem cell differentiation, because on extracellular matrix derived from endothelial cells, mesenchymal stem cells developed markers of endothelial or smooth muscle cells (Lozito et al. 2009). Thus, dynamic remodelling of the extracellular matrix at a specific time and in a tissue-specific manner within a niche functions as an important switch to trigger stem cell differentiation or mobilization. Stem cell niches in various tissues obviously differ in their extracellular matrix components and their functions (Gattazzo et al. 2014). In the hematopoietic stem cell niche, collagen VI apparently plays a role, because in functional studies it provided a strong adhesive substrate for different hematopoietic cells (Klein et al. 1995) and the matrix glycoprotein osteopontin appears to

localize hematopoietic stem cells to the endosteal bone surface (Nilsson et al. 2005). In the neural stem cell niche, two laminin-like proteins, netrin-4 and reelin regulate the migration of neural progenitor cells (Kazanis and ffrench-Constant 2011). Beside regulation of the fate of stem cells mediated by specific adhesion to a matrix component, binding and presentation of growth factors by the extracellular matrix controls the cells inside the niche. The activity of these factors can be facilitated by receptor cross talk between integrins and growth factor receptors in the cell membrane (Brizzi et al. 2012). Because effects of the matrix are mediated by cell adhesion receptors like integrins, specific expression and activation of integrins play a role in controlling the stem cell population. Differential expression of integrin-β1 has been observed to regulate cell restriction and mobility of stem cells in the epidermal stem cell niche (Jensen et al. 1999). The fate of neural stem cells appeared to be dependent on the expression of β1-integrin (Yoshida et al. 2003). Neural stem cell differentiation was accompanied by a decrease in α5β1-Integrin. Nonetheless, because of the complex interaction of various factors in the niche, our understanding of the precise mechanisms how extracellular matrix determines the fate of stem cells is limited.

7.3 Technological and Biological Opportunities for Therapeutic Devices

7.3.1 Chemical Modification to Control the Biointerface

7.3.1.1 Modification of Chemical Groups

Chemical as well as physical characteristics of a material control the biological response of the tissue. For tissue regeneration, the key question is that, how the properties of a biomaterial specifically control the different biological functions of stem cells. Different steps of surface designing can generate a bioactive chemistry of a material. First, the chemistry is determined by the pure uncoated material. Next, the chemistry can be modified by grafting chemical groups on the surface, which alter the surface charge and the wettability. More specifically, molecules of the extracellular matrix or peptides which are characteristic of matrix domains and function as binding sites may be immobilized. Last, soluble factors, like growth factor may be incorporated into the material surface, which might be active as solid-phase ligand or which can be released by various mechanisms.

Dependent on the application regarding the tissue and function, materials for implants reach from metals to synthetic polymers and natural materials. All these materials differ in the chemistry of the surface. At the interface to a material surface the interaction of the cell is mediated by extracellular matrix proteins. However, prior to a matrix production of the cell, a first adhesive contact of the cell to the substrate can be mediated by a hyaluronan coat of the cell (Cohen et al. 2006; Evanko et al. 2007). The strength of this interaction differs in dependence on the

material to which the cell does adhere (Finke et al. 2007). For the subsequent integrin mediated adhesion, adsorption and organization of the extracellular matrix proteins to a material are required. The role of chemical variations of the surface to mediate adhesion dependent stimulation of biological functions of stem cells can be evaluated by generating polymers with different combinations of monomers. Combining 25 different monomers of acrylates to generate 576 polymers allowed a screening to identify materials with the ability to stimulate proliferation and differentiation of human embryonic stem cells (Anderson et al. 2004). Some of the polymers allowed for a high level of cytokeratin positive cells, indicating differentiation to epithelial cells. Interestingly, for some materials proliferation was observed only in the absence of retinoic acid as a soluble factor. This indicates an interaction of signals from soluble factors and the adhesive substrate. A relationship was also established between the ability of the polymers to adsorb fibronectin and cell adhesion (Keselowsky et al. 2003; Mei 2009). Polymers are not only capable to generate different amounts of adsorbed fibronectin, but also induce different activities of fibronectin (Mei 2009). Different techniques have been used to modify the chemistry of a material surface, which involved the use of self-assembled monolayers of alkanethiols, silanisation, plasma treatment, radiation grafting (Curran et al. 2005; Keselowsky et al. 2005; Ratner 1995). Grafting of functional groups using glow discharge plasma deposition was also successfully applied to modify titanium surfaces (Nebe et al. 2007). A major challenge of these modifications is the precise control of functional groups. The spectrum of functional groups comprises amino, methyl, hydroxyl, ether, carbonyl, carboxyl and carbonate. Specific alterations of the chemistry were found to guide differentiation and proliferation of mesenchymal stem cells (Curran et al. 2006; Phillips et al. 2010). -NH_2 and -SH modified surfaces stimulated osteogenic differentiation, whereas -OH and -COOH modified surfaces promoted chondrogenesis. Under specific culture conditions, –NH_2 surfaces enhanced the formation of adipogenic cells (Phillips et al. 2010). Generation of -CH_3 groups maintained the phenotype of mesenchymal stem cells (Curran et al. 2006). These biological responses of the cells depend on mechanisms related to changes in the cell-extracellular matrix interaction. Surface chemistry of a material can induce changes in the conformation of fibronectin, which modifies binding of integrins and induces short-term changes in focal adhesion formation (Keselowsky et al. 2004). Generation of –NH_2 groups on titanium surfaces using plasma polymerized allyl amine promoted the spreading of osteoblasts (Nebe et al. 2007). Titanium implants are widely used as bone substitutes, e. g. for artificial hip or knee joints. To stimulate bone regeneration at the interface to the bone tissue, titanium coating with calcium phosphate is a suitable approach because of the similarity with the mineral phase present in bone (de Groot et al. 1998; de Jonge et al. 2008). Similarly, calcium phosphate composites are applied as degradable scaffolds to heal bone defects (El-Ghannam 2005). The most successful technique to coat metallic implant with calcium phosphate has been the plasma-spray technique. Because coating must be at least 50 μm thick to completely cover the surface other methods including sol-gel deposition, electrospray deposition, electrolytic deposition have been applied and each has its advantages and disadvantages (de Jonge et al. 2008). Calcium phosphate



Fig. 7.1 Mesenchymal stem cells adhere, spread and form a flat morphology on hydroxyapatite coated surfaces

coatings are described to induce an increased bone-to-implant contact and therefore are regarded as osteoconductive (Barrere et al. 2003; Leeuwenburgh et al. 2006). To see, whether calcium phosphate surfaces may affect bone regeneration, a number of in vitro studies demonstrated that calcium phosphate promote the osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (Cordonnier et al. 2010; Moreau and Xu 2009; Muller et al. 2008; Sun et al. 2008). Although the mechanisms are not known, the observed strong adsorption of fibronectin and vitronectin, as well as a very flat morphology of stem cells on a calcium phosphate surface (Fig. 7.1) could support an osteogenic differentiation (Kilpadi et al. 2001; Walschus et al. 2009). Evidence exists that a substrate of graphene promotes cell adhesion and proliferation (Aryaei et al. 2014). To test the effect of such surfaces on cell reprogramming to pluripotent stem cells, embryonic mouse fibroblasts transfected with four transcription factors to induce reprogramming were cultured on graphene (Yoo et al. 2014). The results revealed an increased number of colonies on graphene that were undergoing reprogramming compared with cells on a glass surface.

7.3.1.2 Grafting of Cell Adhesion Ligands

To further specifically control cell adhesion, material surfaces can be grafted with complete molecules of the extracellular matrix or synthetic peptide sequences which represent binding sites of matrix proteins. The best known of these is the

RGD peptide containing the amino acids arginine, glycine, aspartic acid which is found in fibronectin, laminin, collagen type IV, tenascin and thrombospondin (Benoit and Anseth 2005; Comisar et al. 2007) and several other adhesion molecules. Structural modifications of the peptides from linear to cyclic RGD peptides are potent alternatives and can enhance affinity towards a receptor or stimulate cell adhesion (Durrieu et al. 2004; Maeda et al. 1994). In most cases RGD peptides are linked to polymers via stable covalent amide bonds. In this case an activated surface carboxylic acid group reacts with the nucleophilic N-terminus of the peptide (Lin et al. 1994). Alternatively, a coupling is possible in a two-step protocol. First, the surface carboxyl group is activated as an ester and followed by coupling the peptide in water (Jo et al. 2000). Beside synthetic polymers, other materials, including natural polymers, starch, dextran and inorganic materials have been coated with RGD peptides (Hersel et al. 2003). Among the inorganic materials, titanium and hydroxylapatite were successfully coated with RGD peptides (Fujisawa et al. 1997; Itoh et al. 2002; Rezania et al. 1999). On hydroxylapatite, RGD-peptides were immobilized via negatively charged anchoring groups, like glutamic acid, phosphonates or natural HA-binding amino acid sequences (Gilbert et al. 2000; Hersel et al. 2003; Itoh et al. 2002). To prevent unspecific protein adsorption, grafting of RGD peptides can be combined with passivation of the material surface using e. g. poly(ethylene glycol) (Banerjee et al. 2000; Drumheller and Hubbell 1995). Star-shaped poly (ethylene glycol) prepolymers were used to prevent unspecific protein adsorption and allowed the binding of RGD peptides for specific adhesion of mesenchymal stem cells (Groll et al. 2005). For a spatially and temporally controlled presentation of adhesive peptides, activation of the peptides using light or other triggers is discussed (Boekhoven et al. 2013; Petersen et al. 2008). Recently, a transdermal light triggering of cell-adhesive peptides on a hydrogel, subcutaneously implanted in mice was demonstrated (Lee et al. 2015). In these experiments cyclic RGD peptides were modified using a photolabile butyl ester as caging group. On exposure to UV-light the caging group is released and an active RGD peptide presented. Cell experiments on materials coated with matrix proteins or peptides revealed that integrin mediated interactions with the substrate are complex and require flexible and dynamic mechanisms. Therefore, the introduction of a spacer to bind RGD peptides or matrix proteins improved cell attachment (Craig et al. 1995; Kantlehner et al. 2000). When collagen was immobilized to a polyether ether ketone via glutardialdehyde, osteoblasts did adhere but spread only when polyethylene glycol as spacer was introduced (Fig. 7.2). To further enable a dynamic interaction of cells with the adhesive substrate and remodel the extracellular matrix, materials were cross-inked by enzyme-degradable peptide sequences. The combination of integrin binding and matrix degradation by cellular metalloproteinases allowed the cells to migrate through a gel, which mimics tissue remodelling (Lutolf et al. 2003a). Enzymatically mediated cell migration has been provided using materials from chemically crosslinked hyaluronic acid (Bulpitt and Aeschlimann 1999; Park et al. 2003). Further, elastase-sensitive sequences were generated by crosslinking elastin-like units which contained the adhesion motif REDV (Girotti et al. 2004). Cleavage of the polymer yielded a bioactive VGVAPG fragment which stimulated cell proliferation. This



Fig. 7.2 The mode of collagen immobilization determines the spreading of osteoblasts. *Left*: On cover glass, which was coated by collagen I adsorption, cells spread and form actin fibres; *middle*: Cells spread and form actin fibres on a polyether ether ketone (PEEK) surface coated with collagen I, which was immobilized by glutardialdehyde (GDA) and polyethylenglycol was introduced as a spacer; *right*: Cells adhere but remain round without formation of actin fibres on PEEK, coated with collagen I, immobilized via GDA alone

functionality mimics dynamic processes of the extracellular matrix in vivo, whereby enzymatic activities can liberate cryptic binding sites. Although immobilization of matrix-derived peptides demonstrated support of cell adhesion, data of the biological specificity of such approaches are rare (Carson and Barker 2009). When titanium was passivated and grafted with the fibronectin fragment $FNIII_{7–10}$, this surface enhanced the osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells relative to RGD immobilized surfaces (Petrie et al. 2008). This appeared to result from the specific targeting of the β1α5-integrin. The presentation of adhesion peptides in a structural organization that mimic fibrils of the extracellular matrix could further contribute to the biological outcome. RGD peptides in 3D-network of nanofibers promoted the osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (Hosseinkhani et al. 2006). In a three dimensional network of nanofibers the immobilization of the laminin epitope IKVAV induced the differentiation of neural progenitor cells into neurons (Silva et al. 2004).

7.3.1.3 Grafting of Antimicrobial Peptides and Organic Compounds

A challenge in designing regenerative implants is the combination with antimicrobial surfaces that prevent the formation of an infectious bacterial biofilm. While RGD peptides facilitate direct binding of cells via integrin receptors and are able to induce signal transduction, antimicrobial peptides are able to electrostatically interact with bacterial membranes and disrupt the structural integrity of the membrane (Alves and Olivia Pereira 2014). Antimicrobial peptides are components of the immune system of living organisms and protect them against microorganisms. They have certain common properties, like a highly cationic character and can adopt an amphipathic structure because of their high proportion of hydrophobic residues. An important feature is the ability to discriminate between host and microbial cells.

Two principal strategies have been explored to immobilize antimicrobial peptides to surfaces, i. e. layer-by-layer techniques and covalent immobilization. In the layer-by-layer approach anti-microbial peptides can be embedded in a multilayer of alternate adsorption of polyanions and polycations. The release and antimicrobial activity can be controlled by the number of layers deposited. When the antimicrobial peptide ponericin G1 was incorporated into a polyelectrolyte multilayer film with varying architectures, the peptide was released over 10 days and inhibited the attachment of *Staphylococcus aureus* (Shukla et al. 2010). The broad-spectrum antimicrobial peptide HHC-36 was impregnated into a three-layer coating of TiO_2, calcium phosphate and a phospholipid on titanium, which was highly effective against *S. aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeroginosa* (Kazemzadeh-Narbat et al. 2013). Covalent immobilization of antimicrobial peptides on a titanium surface was performed by first activation of the surface using O_2 plasma followed by silanization with 3-chloropropyl triethoxysilane (CPTES) which enabled binding of the peptide GL 13 K (Chen et al. 2014). This surface killed the bacteria by rupturing the cell membrane under flow conditions. To functionalize a polymer brush of Pluronic F-127 with an antimicrobial peptide, the polymer was terminally carboxylated to introduce an activated ester (Muszanska et al. 2014). The ester activation then allowed a coupling with the synthetic antimicrobial peptide. This anti-microbial polymer brush revealed both anti-adhesive and antibacterial properties against three bacterial strains. A critical factor for the immobilization of antimicrobial peptides to surfaces is the introduction of spacers. The antimicrobial activity of some peptides was completely lost when immobilized on solid surfaces in the absence of a spacer (Gabriel et al. 2006). A stretchable spacer probably allows that the peptide can permeabilize the membrane and enter the bacterium (Alves and Olivia Pereira 2014). The cationic polymer polyallylamine was tested as antimicrobial surface after covalently binding to a glass surface via methoxysilane (Iarikov et al. 2014). This surface effectively killed attached bacteria of *Staphylococcus aureus and S. epidermidis* up to 97 %. Other organic compounds derived from plants or algae attracted the attention for the generation of antimicrobial coatings (Vasilev et al. 2009). Furanones are compounds extracted from marine algae and have a strong antibacterial activity, when physically adsorbed to a biomaterial surface (Baveja et al. 2004). Another study demonstrated the immobilization of furanone loaded nanoparticles of poly(L-lactic acid) to a titanium surface by crosslinking the particles on a microarc-oxidized titanium surface (Cheng et al. 2015). This surface released furanone for 60 days with an antibacterial effect. Efforts are also made to combine anti-microbial activity and improvement of cell adhesion. Additional immobilization of RGD peptides on a polymer brush with antimicrobial peptides enhanced the adhesion of fibroblasts in a similar extension to RGD peptides alone on the surface (Muszanska et al. 2014). Coupling a polyelectrolyte multilayer of hyaluronic acid as antimicrobial surface with RGD peptides did also significantly improve the adhesion of osteoblasts (Chua et al. 2008).



Fig. 7.3 Decellularized extracellular matrix from three different osteoblastic cell lines (MG63, U2-OS, SaOS) was deposited to a polished titanium surface. On these surfaces, a different appearance of focal adhesions was observed in cultured human mesenchymal stem cells. Whereas on matrix of MG-63 cells, cells developed well pronounced adhesions, on matrices of U2-OS and SaOS cells, focal adhesions were less expressed. (*green* – vinculin, *red* – actin, *blue* – nucleus)

7.3.1.4 Coating with Decellularized Extracellular Matrix

For tissue regeneration the natural extracellular matrix might supply an optimal support to control cellular processes. Several studies have documented that decellularization of whole organs, like heart, liver, lung, or kidney provides a scaffold of extracellular matrix that is able to regenerate tissue, when replenished with new cells (Arenas-Herrera et al. 2013; Ott et al. 2008). Different organs require different decellularization protocols including agents to generate an acellular organ that maintain its vascular structures. To enhance the biological functionality of implant materials, biomaterials can be coated by cell-generated natural extracellular matrix, which might preserve its composition and structure as found in vivo (Cheng et al. 2014; Fitzpatrick and McDevitt 2015). Implant materials, like titanium and polymers were used to coat with decellularized extracellular matrix (Fig. 7.3). For the generation of such hybrid materials several questions arise. Is the cell source important to obtain a matrix with a specific biological effect, which detergent can be used to remove the cells from the matrix, or is it possible to transfer the produced matrix to another material without loss of structural properties? A number of studies were aimed at stimulating osteogenic regeneration and used osteblastic cell lines, like SaOS-2 and MC-3T3 cells or mesenchymal stem cells as cell source to produce extracellular matrix (Datta et al. 2005; Pati et al. 2015; Thibault et al. 2013). Materials coated with decellularized matrix of these cells favoured the osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells cultured on these substrates, also in the absence of osteogenic factors in the culture medium. When tested five different cell types to produce extracellular matrix, distinctive cellular responses of mesenchymal stem cells on these matrices have been observed (Rao Pattabhi et al. 2014). Matrix

derived from mesenchymal stem cells induced an increased proliferation of cultured mesenchymal stem cells on this decellularized matrix. Extracellular matrix, which was laid down by mesenchymal stem cells that were cultured in osteogenic differentiation medium for 3 days before, stimulated osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells on this matrix. Extracellular matrix from two muscle cell lines induced a smooth muscle cell-like cell phenotype. Other experiments revealed that decellularized matrix from human fetal mesenchymal stem cells were more proliferative than adult mesenchymal stem cells (Ng et al. 2014) and matrix from bone marrow cells of young mice revealed a higher osteogenic capacity than matrix derived from cells of old mice (Sun et al. 2011). Indeed the composition of extracellular matrices from different cell types vary as shown in a comparison between bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells, articular chondrocytes and dermal fibroblasts (Lu et al. 2011). From experiments, in which mesenchymal stem cell derived matrix accelerated proliferation and multiple differentiation it was speculated that rather non-collagenous proteins are responsible for the differential effect of this matrix (Lin et al. 2012). In addition to a different composition of the matrix in dependence of the cell type, it became also obvious that mechanical properties of the matrices from different cell types vary (Prewitz et al. 2013). Mesenchymal stem cells produced a softer matrix than human neonatal dermal fibroblasts or human umbilical vein endothelial cells. The procedure to prepare cell-derived extracellular matrix appears to be important for the characteristics of the matrix. The goal of decellularization is to remove allogenic or xenogenic cellular antigens, but the selected procedure should preserve the composition, bioactivity and structural integrity of the matrix. Methods for decellularization include both chemical and physical treatments, but commonly used methods appear to be insufficient to achieve a complete removal of cellular components (Badylak et al. 2009). When comparing seven procedures, using a combination of freeze-thaw cycles or osmotic shock with different detergents, it was found that freeze-thaw in combination with NH_4OH and Triton X-100 combined with KCl were most effective (Lu et al. 2012). A more mild treatment was recently developed which appears to be superior in maintaining the structure of the decellularized matrix (Rao Pattabhi et al. 2014). The cell culture was treated with EDTA-PBS at 4 °C until the cells round up and detached from the substrate. To perform large-scale production of decellularized matrix for different applications, a transfer of coatings to a secondary surface would be required. To test this goal, extracellular matrix deposited by mesenchymal stem cells on tissue culture plastics was collected, mechanically homogenized and stored at room temperature up to 1 month (Decaris et al. 2012). After transfer to secondary tissue culture plates, the transferred matrix retained the ability to induce osteogenic differentiation in mesenchymal stem cells more sufficiently than on cell culture plastics. Because extracellular matrix also functions as reservoir and presenter of growth factors, efforts were made to immobilize growth factors into cell-derived extracellular matrix (Kim et al. 2015). Decellularized extracellular matrix derived from human lung fibroblasts was harvested, suspended and deposited on a polymer mesh scaffold. Heparin was bound via EDC chemistry, forming amide bounds with amine groups in the extracellular matrix. BMP-2 was then added to immobilize to heparin

and released at a controlled rate. This bioactive scaffold showed a significant increase of newly regenerated bone in a rat calvarial defect model.

7.3.1.5 Immobilization of Growth Factors

The extracellular matrix provides a reservoir for growth factors, which can be released and act as soluble ligands (Hynes 2009). Evidence exists that also matrix-bound growth factors stimulate cell functions via solid-phase signals (Wijelath et al. 2006). Specific binding sites have been detected in the extracellular matrix which can regulate the function of growth factors (Hynes 2009). Therefore, the immobilization of growth factors and other bioactive molecules plays a role in the strategies of designing the surface of implant materials for tissue regeneration (Cartmell 2009; Lee and Shin 2007; Silva et al. 2009). Growth factors bound to biomaterial surfaces may have enhanced activities compared with a soluble form of the factor, as it has been shown for TGF-β1 covalently linked to a polymer and stimulating matrix production (Mann et al. 2001). Different techniques have been applied to tether and control the release of bioactive factors (Place et al. 2009). The easiest way to add soluble factors is to load them into polymer matrix or to adsorb onto a composite (Soriano and Evora 2000; Ziegler et al. 2002). A variety of growth factors have been incorporated into hydrogels during the formation of the material in aqueous solution (Kanematsu et al. 2004). To tune the release of soluble proteins, the cross-linking density of the polymer can be modified (Hiemstra et al. 2007). bFGF could be released quantitatively from such hydrogels in 28 days. These techniques basically rely on the passive diffusion of growth factors from the matrix. Another strategy for protein release relies on a mechanical-responsive system (Augst et al. 2006; Lee and Mooney 2001). Many tissues, such as vasculature and musculature are mechanically dynamic. Mechanical compression could release factors from a material. Using a VEGF-containing alginate-hydrogel, it was shown that exposing mechanical strain to the hydrogel increased the release of VEGF (Lee et al. 2000). After implantation in mice, this mechanically induced release increased collateral vessel formation. Adding growth factors to ceramic materials is very convenient, because ceramics have a high affinity for proteins (Ziegler et al. 2002). Growth factors, such as TGF, FGF and VEGF were loaded to ceramics just by adsorption. The release patterns of most loaded ceramics seem to consist of an initial burst release of not bound protein followed by a second release dependent on the material/protein interaction (Habraken et al. 2007). Loading of calcium phosphate cements with growth factors was performed just by adding the protein to the liquid hardener, thereby distributing it equally through the cement. Bovine serum albumin can be used as carrier solution for growth factors to control the release of factors from the cement (Blom et al. 2002; Ruhe et al. 2006). Several in vivo studies proved the beneficial effects of growth factor loaded calcium phosphate scaffolds (Jansen et al. 2005; Kroese-Deutman et al. 2005; Ruhe et al. 2004; Seeherman and Wozney 2005).

More precise, growth factors can be immobilized to a material surface by covalent binding. This can be achieved by reacting of the side chains of polymers with

amino acids of a growth factor. Several growth factors have been covalently linked to polyethylene glycol, including TGF, EGF, bFGF (Bentz et al. 1998; DeLong et al. 2005; Kuhl and Griffith-Cima 1996). To control the release of covalently attached growth factors by the cells, synthetic hydrogels have been generated which contained protease sensitive binding sites (Lutolf et al. 2003a; Zisch et al. 2003b). In this case the hydrogels are prepared with functionalities of natural extracellular matrix, i. e. the ability to mediate adhesion and to respond to proteolytic degradation by enzymes, such as metalloproteinases which are secreted by cells. As structural building blocks, end-functionalized polyethylene vinylsulfone chains were used with thiol-bearing peptides. Cross-linking occurred by incorporation of bis-cysteine peptides, which can be cleaved by proteases. Growth factors, like VEGF and BMP were bound to these structures and could be delivered on cell demand (Lutolf et al. 2003a; Zisch et al. 2003a). Using this approach, an active liberation of VEGF was confirmed which resulted in a remodelled vascularized tissue, when the matrix was implanted subcutaneously in rats (Zisch et al. 2003a). Similarly, bone regeneration was demonstrated in a critical size defect by cell-mediated proteolytic release of BMP from a matrix (Lutolf et al. 2003b). A further more natural mechanism of the control of growth factor binding, modulation and release is the attachment of glycosaminoglycans to a material surface. These complex molecules have a tissue specific distribution and multiple physiological functions (Raman et al. 2005). Their sulphation patterns determine the specific interaction with proteins. One example is the binding of bFGF to heparin. Heparin has been widely incorporated into scaffolds to bind and release bFGF (Sakiyama-Elbert and Hubbell 2000; Zhang et al. 2006).

As demonstrated, the physiological effect of growth factors can be mimicked by designing of a modular peptide (Lee et al. 2010). This peptide contained a BMP-2 derived peptide sequence and hydroxyapatite-binding sequences inspired by the N-terminal alpha-helix of osteocalcin. The multifunctional fusion protein can bind to hydroxylapatite coated surfaces or bone structures and exert BMP activity. When this peptide was presented to mesenchymal stem cells, both immobililized or in solution, the construct was capable to promote the osteogenic differentiation of the cells (Lee et al. 2010).

Microspheres with encapsulated or surface bound growth factors present a system to persist and deliver growth factors at the target site (Arras et al. 1998; Cleland et al. 2001; Park et al. 2009b). For the fabrication of biodegradable polymer microspheres polyester like polylactide (PLA) and poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) have been used. Applying a double emulsion technique, growth factors, such as bFGF, VEGF have been mixed into the particles (Perets et al. 2003). The loaded microspheres were incorporated into an alginate matrix or hydrogel. This approach enables the delivery of two or more growth factors with distinct kinetics. Microspheres containing PDGF were mixed with VEFG prior to processing into scaffolds, which resulted in a rapid release of VEGF and a slower, more even distribution of PDGF. When the scaffolds were implanted into rats, the distinct release kinetics of the growth factors stimulated the formation of a mature vasculature (Richardson et al. 2001).

7.3.1.6 Surface Loading and Release of Cations

Metals, like silver, zinc, copper and others are cytotoxic at higher ion concentrations. In a number of studies mainly silver was tested for antimicrobial coatings of implant materials. On a titanium surface, silver was successfully implanted by surface modification with a phosphonate monolayer. Adding of $AgNO_3$ to this layer enabled the formation of silver thiolate endgroups (Tilmaciu et al. 2015). On TiO_2 nanotubes, silver was deposited by electron beam evaporation (Lan et al. 2013). Other strategies are using silver nanoparticles, which will be incorporated or covalently linked in polymer assemblies (Vasilev et al. 2009). On glass, a self-assembled monolayer of silver nanoparticles was achieved through preliminary aminosilanization (Taglietti et al. 2014). All these silver coatings revealed antimicrobial activity in vitro and in some cases also in vivo. Silver was also combined with other antimicrobial agents. An amine-modified xerogel which was able to store and release nitric oxid was loaded with a $AgNO_3$ sol to promote a synergistic activity against bacteria (Storm et al. 2014). The challenge of silver coatings is to find a balance between antibacterial activity and cytotoxicity. Silver loading on a titanium surface in a micro-arc oxidation process at a concentration of 0.21–0.45 % in the coated layer revealed cytotoxicity to osteoblasts (Song et al. 2009). Similar to silver, copper ions are cytotoxic at higher concentrations. However, in contrast to silver, copper plays a role in physiological processes of the cell and defects in copper homeostasis are directly responsible for human diseases (Turski and Thiele 2009). Because copper is required for functional activities of several intracellular proteins, a possible role of copper in tissue regeneration was examined (Burghardt et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2013). These studies found that copper ions stimulate both proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells at a concentration of 0.1–0.3 mM (Burghardt et al. 2015). When copper was galvanically deposited on a titanium surface, in dependence on the concentration of copper ions released from this surface, copper induced both osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells to mineralizing osteoblasts and the killing of adherent *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteria (Burghardt et al. 2015). Thus, by tuning the concentration of a copper release from a surface, copper enables the designing of implants with both antibacterial and regenerative properties (Fig. 7.4).

7.3.2 Physical Modifications to Control the Biointerface

7.3.2.1 Structural Organization of the Surface

The structure of a material surface can be categorized into topography and chemical patterning. The topography reflects the roughness of a surface which can be designed by ridges and grooves or by evenly or randomly distributed pits or protrusions. Chemical patterning is achieved by the spatial organization and immobilization of molecules in controllably size and position, mostly to control cell adhesion (Lim and Donahue 2007).



Fig. 7.4 Scheme of a strategy to design Cu^{2+} – containing titanium surfaces to both prevent bacterial infection and stimulate bone regeneration. By adjustment of an appropriate concentration of Cu^{2+} at the surface of a titanium implant, immediately after implantation and in the vicinity of the implant surface a higher concentration of Cu^{2+} will generate an anti-microbial effect. By decreasing concentration of Cu^{2+} in the time course after implantation and with greater distance from the implant surface, a stimulating effect on bone regeneration will be achieved (Reproduced from Burghardt et al. 2015, with permission from Elsevier Limited)

For clinical application of titanium implants different techniques have been used to roughen the surface, which include blasting, etching, and oxidation. A huge number of experimental data demonstrate that a rough implant surface has a beneficial effect on the bone response (Wennerberg and Albrektsson 2009). This concerns roughness in the micrometre level, whereas little is known about the effects of topographies in the nanometre level in vivo (Wennerberg and Albrektsson 2009). When testing the cell behaviour on topographies the scale plays an important role. It became obvious that cells are able to sense the micro- and nanoscale topography and react with bridging of grooves or conforming the surface structure (Millette et al. 1987; Teixeira et al. 2003; Walboomers et al. 1999). An attractive approach to generate defined structures on titanium or titanium alloys is the generation of nanotubes. By an electrochemical anodization process, self-organized oxide tube arrays with virtually perfectly organized hexagonality and a thickness of several hundreds of micrometers can be obtained (Roy et al. 2011). The diameter of the tube surfaces can be adjusted to any value between 10 and 250 nm and even with complex shaped surfaces (Bauer et al. 2006). The size of the nanotubes determines the amount of adsorbed fibronectin, which controls cell adhesion (Kulkarni et al. 2015). In general, the behaviour of the whole cell due to a topography correlates with an orientation of the cytoskeleton and the alignment of focal adhesions (Dalby et al. 2002, 2003). In addition to structural changes in the organization of cellular components, functional consequences have been observed. Osteoblastic cells expressed a higher RNA level of osteopontin and osteocalcin when cultured on a surface with grooves than on a flat surface (Matsuzaka et al. 2004). Generation of microgrooves on a PDMS membrane with the same height but different widths and spacings between

10 and 40 μm enhanced the reprogramming of mouse fibroblasts to pluripotent stem cells compared with a flat surface (Downing et al. 2013). An epigenetic mechanism was postulated that involves modification of histone by the physically induced shape change of the cell nucleus. Apparently, a defined size of pits or grooves is important on a structured surface. As shown, osteoblastic differentiation measured by the activity of alkaline phosphatase was stimulated more on 11 nm islands than on 85 nm islands (Lim et al. 2005). Although some conflicting results exist concerning the optimal size of nanotubes to promote an osteogenic differentiation (Oh et al. 2009; Park et al. 2009a), stimulation of cell spreading should be essential for a differentiation towards osteoblasts, which was observed at a smaller length scale of 15 nm (Park et al. 2007). Similarly, also cell proliferation depends on defined surface structures. Progenitor cells displayed a higher proliferation rate on 5–40 μm diameter posts compared with cells on a smooth surface (Mata et al. 2002). In addition to the size of posts created on a surface, the organization of a pattern controls the function of cells. When mesenchymal stem cells were cultured on disordered dots with nanosize the cells were induced to express osteocalcin and osteopontin in the absence of osteogenic supplements, demonstrating the stimulation of osteogenic differentiation (Dalby et al. 2007). In comparison, when the same nanofeatures were symmetrically organized, the cells did not express osteogenic proteins. From these data it is obvious that micro- and nanostructured surfaces stimulate various collective cell functions (Lim and Donahue 2007). In addition, efforts were made to see, whether surface topography affects adhesion of bacteria and may have a selective effect due to different characteristics of bacteria and eukaryotic cells. A nanometer sized titanium surface was found to reduce the adhesion of three different strains of bacteria (Puckett et al. 2010). Similarly, nanocolumnar structures on titanium, which were generated by a magnetron sputtering technique, strongly inhibited adhesion of bacteria but promoted adhesion and proliferation of osteoblastic cells (Izquierdo-Barba et al. 2015).

Chemical patterning which generates precisely defined micro- or nanometer areas for cell adhesion can be achieved by lithographic techniques (Nie and Kumacheva 2008). These techniques involve photolithography and printing techniques. Printing methods can be classified into techniques which involve the contact of a stamp with the substrate and methods which directly transfer “ink” to the substrate. Dip-pen nanolithography represents a relatively new direct writing technique, using the tip of an atomic force microscope to form a liquid meniscus between tip and substrate, and as a result of this procedure the ink molecules are transferred to the underlying substrate by chemical or physical adsorption (Piner et al. 1999). Micropatterning allows the spatial control of adhesion of the whole cell. By restriction of cell spreading the shape of cells can be controlled. Using mesenchymal stem cells, it was demonstrated that cell shape commits the direction of differentiation (McBeath et al. 2004). More rounded cells differentiated to adipocytes, whereas flat cells became osteocytes. The authors revealed that induction of mechanical tension of the cytoskeleton, which correlates with stress fibre formation and is mediated by the activities of RhoA and Rho kinase (ROCK) induces osteogenic differentiation. Blocking of RhoA and ROCK activities stimulated the adipogenic differentiation.

By generating fibronectin lines in the nanoscale which altered the cell morphology, the proliferation of embryonic stem cells was stimulated, which depended on an altered organization of the cytoskeleton (Gerecht et al. 2007). Generation of fibronectin lines with varying width of 10–80 μm and varying non-adhesive spacings between them allowed the control of the nuclear and cellular morphology in mesenchymal stem cells, as well as lateral contacts with neighbouring cells (Kasten et al. 2014). With decreasing width of the fibronectin lines, an increased migration of cells was observed.

In addition to control of the entire cell shape by adhesion patterns, the sensing of nanoscale adhesion sites by cells controls integrin mediated signal transduction and in consequence influences differentiation and proliferation. For example, the precise spacing between nanotopographic features of RGD-peptides for cell adhesion can modulate the clustering of integrins. A minimal distance of 58 nm between adhesive dots was required for integrin clustering, formation of stable focal adhesions and cell spreading (Arnold et al. 2004; Cavalcanti-Adam et al. 2007). The formation of a molecular gradient of the ligand spacing from 50 to 80 nm revealed that cells are able to sense the small differences in ligand spacing (Arnold et al. 2008). Differences which are little as 1 nm seem to affect cell polarization and migration.

7.3.2.2 Mechanical Characteristics of the Surface

Mechanical stimuli represent regulators of development and function in many tissues. It is generally accepted that the structure of the various tissues reflect the acting forces, which specifically control the physiological processes. In some cases, tissues are heterogeneously organized into mechanically distinct zones, for example the superficial, radial and tight zones of cartilage. Therefore, implant materials must provide some level of physical support to assist tissue function. Engineering strategies have been developed to steer the viscoelastic properties of implant materials, for example by cross-linking of polymers. Highly elastic gels of cross-linked hyaluronic acid with controllable viscoelasticity were generated for tissue engineering of vocal folds (Sahiner et al. 2008). For tendon repair, gels were combined with a type I collagen sponge to optimize the stiffness of the material, which was successfully applied in a patellar tendon model (Butler et al. 2008). Findings in several cell types provide evidence for the importance of the substrate stiffness as a physical signal for cells (Georges and Janmey 2005). Early experiments demonstrated that differentiation of mammary epithelial cells increased when grown on soft collagen gel substrate, as opposed to tissue culture plastic (Emerman et al. 1979). Neurons preferentially branched on soft tissues compared to stiff surfaces (Flanagan et al. 2002). Although in most of these studies, the influence of different mechanical properties is difficult to separate from the type and density of the chemical ligand, it is obvious that stiffness of the substrate plays a role in tissue development. The role of substrate stiffness in the context with regenerative processes was emphasised by the fundamental finding that stem cell lineage specification can be determined by

mechanical properties of the substrate (Engler et al. 2006). Mesenchymal stem cells were grown on polyacrylamide gels with varying compliance. These experiments convincingly demonstrated that the stiffness of the material defines the differentiation lineage (Discher et al. 2009; Zajac and Discher 2008). Soft substrates which mimic the mechanical properties of brain stimulated the neurogenic differentiation, intermediate stiffness leads to muscle cell differentiation and stiff substrates where found to be osteogenic. Similar experiments using adult neural stem cells have shown that softer substrates provoked neuronal differentiation, whereas stiffer materials induced the formation of glial cells (Saha et al. 2008). The mechanical properties of the substrates were also found to control the self-renewal of stem cells. Adult stem cells from skeletal muscle tissue revealed increased cell proliferation with rising stiffness of the matrix (Boonen et al. 2009). Mesenchymal stem cells were kept quiescent on a gel that mimicked the softness of bone marrow. In contrast stiffer substrates induced the entry of these cells into the cell cycle (Winer et al. 2009). The cells maintained the multilineage potential and could be differentiated both to adipocytes and osteocytes. These experiments provided evidence of mimicking the functional capacity of a bone marrow niche by tuning the mechanical properties of an artificial substrate. In addition to the control of proliferation and multipotential differentiation, sensing of substrate stiffnesses enables cells to migrate from soft to stiffer matrices, which appears of importance for stem cell translocation to sites of tissue regeneration (Gray et al. 2003; Kidoaki and Matsuda 2008). This phenomenon was termed “durotaxis” (Lo et al. 2000). To further explore mechanisms, how cells are able to sense rigidity of a substrate and response with a specific biological function, it was demonstrated that mesenchymal stem cells were able to assemble plasma fibronectin from the culture medium into fibrils of their extracellular matrix. The generated fibers were more stretched on a rigid substrate than on a soft material. More stretched fibronectin induced osteogenesis of the cells, which was dependent on the activity of $\alpha v\beta 3$ and $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrins (Li et al. 2013). However, some recent experiments revealed that mechanosensing of cells and in consequence the biological response is a result of many interdependent inputs from a complex and dynamic interaction between adhesion receptors, extracellular matrix and the synthetic material (Chaudhuri et al. 2014; Kumar 2014; Trappmann et al. 2012; Wen et al. 2014).

7.4 Applications for Therapeutic Devices

Progress in biomaterials design and engineering are converging to enable a new generation of instructive materials to emerge as candidates for regenerative medicine. The aim of the design of current biomaterials is to regulate tissue regeneration by modulating direct or indirect chemical and physical control over transplanted or host cells. The dilemma is that to influence cell behaviour, biomaterials must provide complex information (Place et al. 2009). Tissue engineered skin equivalents have been introduced into clinical practice in 1997. Since then tissue engineered

devices have been in clinical trials or already approved as therapies for tissues including cartilage, bone, blood vessel and pancreas. However, over-engineered devices make their translation to clinical use unlikely. The reconstruction of entire organs has largely given up and changed to smaller goals. For example, clinical advance in cardiac repair focus on coronar arteries, valves and regeneration of the myocardium. In principle, the aim is to develop synthetic materials that establish key interaction with cells that stimulate the innate organization and self-repair of the body.

7.5 Barriers to Practice and Prospects

A major hurdle for the progress in the application of biomaterials in the field of regenerative medicine lies not in the biomaterials but in stem-cell biology. The advancement of basic research in stem cell biology represents the driving factor for the development of biomaterials to regenerate a specific tissue. Current trends suggest that biomaterial development will continue to create more life-like multifunctional materials that are able to simultaneously provide complex biological signals (Chan and Mooney 2008; Howard et al. 2008). Much can be learned from the mechanisms that regulate cell fate in the stem cell niche. For example, the adhesion molecules that contribute to asymmetric stem cell division have begun to identified within the niche environment of hair follicle, intestinal epithelial, spermatogonial stem cells (Kanatsu-Shinohara et al. 2008; Ohyama et al. 2006; Tanentzapf et al. 2007). In addition to the general control of stem cell function, there is growing interest in the dynamic nature of stem cell niches which can change properties under certain conditions (Adams and Scadden 2008).

7.6 Conclusions and Future Challenges

Chemical and physical characteristics of biomaterials are able to control the biology of stem cells and significant advances have been gained in in vitro studies. By controlling the properties of biomaterials we may further improve the regulation of stem cell in a bioartificial system. Although stem cell function is regulated by a set of different signals from the environment, the control of the extracellular matrix has proven a valuable tool to guide the development and commitment of stem cells. The challenge is to engineer an artificial extracellular matrix, which is capable to directly control the behaviour of stem cells. In addition, the outcome of growth factors administration can be improved enormously with the use of slow-release constructs. A further step in the generation of bioactive materials will be the design of heterogeneous constructs and even complex organs, which will require both more insights the mechanisms of cell and developmental biology as well as innovation in biomaterial research.

References

Adams GB, Scadden DT (2008) A niche opportunity for stem cell therapeutics. Gene Ther 15:96–99

Alves D, Olivia Pereira M (2014) Mini-review: antimicrobial peptides and enzymes as promising candidates to functionalize biomaterial surfaces. Biofouling 30:483–499

Anderson DG, Levenberg S, Langer R (2004) Nanoliter-scale synthesis of arrayed biomaterials and application to human embryonic stem cells. Nat Biotechnol 22:863–866

Antia M, Baneyx G, Kubow KE et al (2008) Fibronectin in aging extracellular matrix fibrils is progressively unfolded by cells and elicits an enhanced rigidity response. Faraday Discuss 139:229–249; discussion 309–225, 419–220

Arenas-Herrera JE, Ko IK, Atala A et al (2013) Decellularization for whole organ bioengineering. Biomed Mater 8:014106

Arnold M, Cavalcanti-Adam EA, Glass R et al (2004) Activation of integrin function by nanopatterned adhesive interfaces. Chemphyschem 5:383–388

Arnold M, Hirschfeld-Warneken VC, Lohmuller T et al (2008) Induction of cell polarization and migration by a gradient of nanoscale variations in adhesive ligand spacing. Nano Lett 8:2063–2069

Arras M, Mollnau H, Strasser R et al (1998) The delivery of angiogenic factors to the heart by microsphere therapy. Nat Biotechnol 16:159–162

Aryaei A, Jayatissa AH, Jayasuriya AC (2014) The effect of graphene substrate on osteoblast cell adhesion and proliferation. J Biomed Mater Res A 102:3282–3290

Augst AD, Kong HJ, Mooney DJ (2006) Alginate hydrogels as biomaterials. Macromol Biosci 6:623–633

Badylak SF, Freytes DO, Gilbert TW (2009) Extracellular matrix as a biological scaffold material: structure and function. Acta Biomater 5:1–13

Banerjee P, Irvine DJ, Mayes AM et al (2000) Polymer latexes for cell-resistant and cell-interactive surfaces. J Biomed Mater Res 50:331–339

Barrere F, van der Valk CM, Meijer G et al (2003) Osteointegration of biomimetic apatite coating applied onto dense and porous metal implants in femurs of goats. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 67:655–665

Bauer S, Kleber S, Schmuki P (2006) TiO2 nanotubes: tailoring the geometry in H3PO4/HF electrolytes. Electrochem Commun 8:1321–1325

Baveja JK, Willcox MD, Hume EB et al (2004) Furanones as potential anti-bacterial coatings on biomaterials. Biomaterials 25:5003–5012

Benoit DS, Anseth KS (2005) The effect on osteoblast function of colocalized RGD and PHSRN epitopes on PEG surfaces. Biomaterials 26:5209–5220

Bentz H, Schroeder JA, Estridge TD (1998) Improved local delivery of TGF-beta2 by binding to injectable fibrillar collagen via difunctional polyethylene glycol. J Biomed Mater Res 39:539–548

Bentzinger CF, Wang YX, von Maltzahn J et al (2013) Fibronectin regulates Wnt7a signaling and satellite cell expansion. Cell Stem Cell 12:75–87

Blom EJ, Klein-Nulend J, Wolke JG et al (2002) Transforming growth factor-beta1 incorporation in an alpha-tricalcium phosphate/dicalcium phosphate dihydrate/tetracalcium phosphate monoxide cement: release characteristics and physicochemical properties. Biomaterials 23:1261–1268

Boekhoven J, Perez CMR, Sur S et al (2013) Dynamic display of bioactivity through host-guest chemistry. Angew Chem-Int Ed 52:12077–12080

Boonen KJ, Rosaria-Chak KY, Baaijens FP et al (2009) Essential environmental cues from the satellite cell niche: optimizing proliferation and differentiation. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 296:C1338–C1345

Brizzi MF, Tarone G, Defilippi P (2012) Extracellular matrix, integrins, and growth factors as tailors of the stem cell niche. Curr Opin Cell Biol 24:645–651

Brown AE, Discher DE (2009) Conformational changes and signaling in cell and matrix physics. Curr Biol 19:R781–R789
Bulpitt P, Aeschlimann D (1999) New strategy for chemical modification of hyaluronic acid: preparation of functionalized derivatives and their use in the formation of novel biocompatible hydrogels. J Biomed Mater Res 47:152–169
Burghardt I, Luthen F, Prinz C et al (2015) A dual function of copper in designing regenerative implants. Biomaterials 44:36–44
Butler DL, Juncosa-Melvin N, Boivin GP et al (2008) Functional tissue engineering for tendon repair: a multidisciplinary strategy using mesenchymal stem cells, bioscaffolds, and mechanical stimulation. J Orthop Res 26:1–9
Byron A, Askari JA, Humphries JD et al (2015) A proteomic approach reveals integrin activation state-dependent control of microtubule cortical targeting. Nat Commun 6:6135
Carson AE, Barker TH (2009) Emerging concepts in engineering extracellular matrix variants for directing cell phenotype. Regen Med 4:593–600
Cartmell S (2009) Controlled release scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. J Pharm Sci 98:430–441
Cavalcanti-Adam EA, Volberg T, Micoulet A et al (2007) Cell spreading and focal adhesion dynamics are regulated by spacing of integrin ligands. Biophys J 92:2964–2974
Chan G, Mooney DJ (2008) New materials for tissue engineering: towards greater control over the biological response. Trends Biotechnol 26:382–392
Chaudhuri O, Koshy ST, Branco da Cunha C et al (2014) Extracellular matrix stiffness and composition jointly regulate the induction of malignant phenotypes in mammary epithelium. Nat Mater 13:970–978
Chen X, Hirt H, Li Y et al (2014) Antimicrobial GL13K peptide coatings killed and ruptured the wall of Streptococcus gordonii and prevented formation and growth of biofilms. PLoS One 9:e111579
Cheng CW, Solorio LD, Alsberg E (2014) Decellularized tissue and cell-derived extracellular matrices as scaffolds for orthopaedic tissue engineering. Biotechnol Adv 32:462–484
Cheng Y, Zhao X, Liu X et al (2015) Antibacterial activity and biological performance of a novel antibacterial coating containing a halogenated furanone compound loaded poly(L-lactic acid) nanoparticles on microarc-oxidized titanium. Int J Nanomedicine 10:727–737
Choi CK, Vicente-Manzanares M, Zareno J et al (2008) Actin and alpha-actinin orchestrate the assembly and maturation of nascent adhesions in a myosin II motor-independent manner. Nat Cell Biol 10:1039–1050
Chua PH, Neoh KG, Kang ET et al (2008) Surface functionalization of titanium with hyaluronic acid/chitosan polyelectrolyte multilayers and RGD for promoting osteoblast functions and inhibiting bacterial adhesion. Biomaterials 29:1412–1421
Cleland JL, Duenas ET, Park A et al (2001) Development of poly-(D, L-lactide--coglycolide) microsphere formulations containing recombinant human vascular endothelial growth factor to promote local angiogenesis. J Control Release 72:13–24
Cluzel C, Saltel F, Lussi J et al (2005) The mechanisms and dynamics of (alpha)v(beta)3 integrin clustering in living cells. J Cell Biol 171:383–392
Cohen M, Kam Z, Addadi L et al (2006) Dynamic study of the transition from hyaluronan- to integrin-mediated adhesion in chondrocytes. Embo J 25:302–311
Comisar WA, Kazmers NH, Mooney DJ et al (2007) Engineering RGD nanopatterned hydrogels to control preosteoblast behavior: a combined computational and experimental approach. Biomaterials 28:4409–4417
Cordonnier T, Layrolle P, Gaillard J et al (2010) 3D environment on human mesenchymal stem cells differentiation for bone tissue engineering. J Mater Sci Mater Med. 21:981–987
Cox BD, Natarajan M, Stettner MR et al (2006) New concepts regarding focal adhesion kinase promotion of cell migration and proliferation. J Cell Biochem 99:35–52
Craig WS, Cheng S, Mullen DG et al (1995) Concept and progress in the development of RGD-containing peptide pharmaceuticals. Biopolymers 37:157–175

Crisan M, Yap S, Casteilla L et al (2008) A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. Cell Stem Cell 3:301–313
Crisp M, Liu Q, Roux K et al (2006) Coupling of the nucleus and cytoplasm: role of the LINC complex. J Cell Biol 172:41–53
Curran JM, Chen R, Hunt JA (2005) Controlling the phenotype and function of mesenchymal stem cells in vitro by adhesion to silane-modified clean glass surfaces. Biomaterials 26:7057–7067
Curran JM, Chen R, Hunt JA (2006) The guidance of human mesenchymal stem cell differentiation in vitro by controlled modifications to the cell substrate. Biomaterials 27:4783–4793
Dalby MJ, Yarwood SJ, Riehle MO et al (2002) Increasing fibroblast response to materials using nanotopography: morphological and genetic measurements of cell response to 13-nm-high polymer demixed islands. Exp Cell Res 276:1–9
Dalby MJ, Childs S, Riehle MO et al (2003) Fibroblast reaction to island topography: changes in cytoskeleton and morphology with time. Biomaterials 24:927–935
Dalby MJ, Gadegaard N, Tare R et al (2007) The control of human mesenchymal cell differentiation using nanoscale symmetry and disorder. Nat Mater 6:997–1003
Daley WP, Peters SB, Larsen M (2008) Extracellular matrix dynamics in development and regenerative medicine. J Cell Sci 121:255–264
Datta N, Holtorf HL, Sikavitsas VI et al (2005) Effect of bone extracellular matrix synthesized in vitro on the osteoblastic differentiation of marrow stromal cells. Biomaterials 26:971–977
de Groot K, Wolke JG, Jansen JA (1998) Calcium phosphate coatings for medical implants. Proc Inst Mech Eng H 212:137–147
de Jonge LT, Leeuwenburgh SC, Wolke JG et al (2008) Organic-inorganic surface modifications for titanium implant surfaces. Pharm Res 25:2357–2369
Decaris ML, Mojadedi A, Bhat A et al (2012) Transferable cell-secreted extracellular matrices enhance osteogenic differentiation. Acta Biomater 8:744–752
Dechat T, Pfleghaar K, Sengupta K et al (2008) Nuclear lamins: major factors in the structural organization and function of the nucleus and chromatin. Genes Dev 22:832–853
del Rio A, Perez-Jimenez R, Liu R et al (2009) Stretching single talin rod molecules activates vinculin binding. Science 323:638–641
DeLong SA, Moon JJ, West JL (2005) Covalently immobilized gradients of bFGF on hydrogel scaffolds for directed cell migration. Biomaterials 26:3227–3234
Discher DE, Mooney DJ, Zandstra PW (2009) Growth factors, matrices, and forces combine and control stem cells. Science 324:1673–1677
Downing TL, Soto J, Morez C et al (2013) Biophysical regulation of epigenetic state and cell reprogramming. Nat Mater 12:1154–1162
Drumheller PD, Hubbell JA (1995) Densely crosslinked polymer networks of poly(ethylene glycol) in trimethylolpropane triacrylate for cell-adhesion-resistant surfaces. J Biomed Mater Res 29:207–215
Dupont S, Morsut L, Aragona M et al (2011) Role of YAP/TAZ in mechanotransduction. Nature 474:179–183
Durrieu MC, Pallu S, Guillemot F et al (2004) Grafting RGD containing peptides onto hydroxyapatite to promote osteoblastic cells adhesion. J Mater Sci Mater Med 15:779–786
Ehrlicher AJ, Nakamura F, Hartwig JH et al (2011) Mechanical strain in actin networks regulates FilGAP and integrin binding to filamin A. Nature 478:260–263
El-Ghannam A (2005) Bone reconstruction: from bioceramics to tissue engineering. Expert Rev Med Devices 2:87–101
Emerman JT, Burwen SJ, Pitelka DR (1979) Substrate properties influencing ultrastructural differentiation of mammary epithelial cells in culture. Tissue Cell 11:109–119
Engler AJ, Sen S, Sweeney HL et al (2006) Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. Cell 126:677–689
Evanko SP, Tammi MI, Tammi RH et al (2007) Hyaluronan-dependent pericellular matrix. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 59:1351–1365

Feldherr CM, Akin D (1990) The permeability of the nuclear envelope in dividing and nondividing cell cultures. J Cell Biol 111:1–8
Finke B, Luethen F, Schroeder K et al (2007) The effect of positively charged plasma polymerization on initial osteoblastic focal adhesion on titanium surfaces. Biomaterials 28:4521–4534
Fitzpatrick LE, McDevitt TC (2015) Cell-derived matrices for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine applications. Biomater Sci 3:12–24
Flanagan LA, Ju YE, Marg B et al (2002) Neurite branching on deformable substrates. Neuroreport 13:2411–2415
Flanagan LA, Rebaza LM, Derzic S et al (2006) Regulation of human neural precursor cells by laminin and integrins. J Neurosci Res 83:845–856
Fujisawa R, Mizuno M, Nodasaka Y et al (1997) Attachment of osteoblastic cells to hydroxyapatite crystals by a synthetic peptide (Glu7-Pro-Arg-Gly-Asp-Thr) containing two functional sequences of bone sialoprotein. Matrix Biol 16:21–28
Gabriel M, Nazmi K, Veerman EC et al (2006) Preparation of LL-37-grafted titanium surfaces with bactericidal activity. Bioconjug Chem 17:548–550
Galbraith CG, Yamada KM, Galbraith JA (2007) Polymerizing actin fibers position integrins primed to probe for adhesion sites. Science 315:992–995
Gallant ND, Michael KE, Garcia AJ (2005) Cell adhesion strengthening: contributions of adhesive area, integrin binding, and focal adhesion assembly. Mol Biol Cell 16:4329–4340
Gattazzo F, Urciuolo A, Bonaldo P (2014) Extracellular matrix: a dynamic microenvironment for stem cell niche. Biochim Biophys Acta 1840:2506–2519
Geiger B, Bershadsky A, Pankov R et al (2001) Transmembrane crosstalk between the extracellular matrix--cytoskeleton crosstalk. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2:793–805
Geiger B, Spatz JP, Bershadsky AD (2009) Environmental sensing through focal adhesions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10:21–33
Georges PC, Janmey PA (2005) Cell type-specific response to growth on soft materials. J Appl Physiol 98:1547–1553
Gerecht S, Bettinger CJ, Zhang Z et al (2007) The effect of actin disrupting agents on contact guidance of human embryonic stem cells. Biomaterials 28:4068–4077
Giannone G, Dubin-Thaler BJ, Rossier O et al (2007) Lamellipodial actin mechanically links myosin activity with adhesion-site formation. Cell 128:561–575
Gilbert M, Shaw WJ, Long JR et al (2000) Chimeric peptides of statherin and osteopontin that bind hydroxyapatite and mediate cell adhesion. J Biol Chem 275:16213–16218
Girotti A, Reguera J, Rodriguez-Cabello JC et al (2004) Design and bioproduction of a recombinant multi(bio)functional elastin-like protein polymer containing cell adhesion sequences for tissue engineering purposes. J Mater Sci Mater Med 15:479–484
Godfrey EW, Gradall KS (1998) Basal lamina molecules are concentrated in myogenic regions of the mouse limb bud. Anat Embryol (Berl) 198:481–486
Gray DS, Tien J, Chen CS (2003) Repositioning of cells by mechanotaxis on surfaces with micropatterned Young's modulus. J Biomed Mater Res A 66:605–614
Groll J, Fiedler J, Engelhard E et al (2005) A novel star PEG-derived surface coating for specific cell adhesion. J Biomed Mater Res A 74:607–617
Habraken WJ, Wolke JG, Jansen JA (2007) Ceramic composites as matrices and scaffolds for drug delivery in tissue engineering. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 59:234–248
Haque F, Lloyd DJ, Smallwood DT et al (2006) SUN1 interacts with nuclear lamin A and cytoplasmic nesprins to provide a physical connection between the nuclear lamina and the cytoskeleton. Mol Cell Biol 26:3738–3751
Hersel U, Dahmen C, Kessler H (2003) RGD modified polymers: biomaterials for stimulated cell adhesion and beyond. Biomaterials 24:4385–4415
Hiemstra C, Zhong Z, van Steenbergen MJ et al (2007) Release of model proteins and basic fibroblast growth factor from in situ forming degradable dextran hydrogels. J Control Release 122:71–78
Hosseinkhani H, Hosseinkhani M, Tian F et al (2006) Osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells in self-assembled peptide-amphiphile nanofibers. Biomaterials 27:4079–4086

Howard D, Buttery LD, Shakesheff KM et al (2008) Tissue engineering: strategies, stem cells and scaffolds. J Anat 213:66–72
Humphries JD, Wang P, Streuli C et al (2007) Vinculin controls focal adhesion formation by direct interactions with talin and actin. J Cell Biol 179:1043–1057
Hynes RO (2002) Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. Cell 110:673–687
Hynes RO (2009) The extracellular matrix: not just pretty fibrils. Science 326:1216–1219
Iarikov DD, Kargar M, Sahari A et al (2014) Antimicrobial surfaces using covalently bound polyallylamine. Biomacromolecules 15:169–176
Ingber DE (1997) Tensegrity: the architectural basis of cellular mechanotransduction. Annu Rev Physiol 59:575–599
Ingber DE (2006) Mechanical control of tissue morphogenesis during embryological development. Int J Dev Biol 50:255–266
Itoh D, Yoneda S, Kuroda S et al (2002) Enhancement of osteogenesis on hydroxyapatite surface coated with synthetic peptide (EEEEEEEPRGDT) in vitro. J Biomed Mater Res 62:292–298
Izquierdo-Barba I, Garcia-Martin JM, Alvarez R et al (2015) Nanocolumnar coatings with selective behavior towards osteoblast and Staphylococcus aureus proliferation. Acta Biomater 15:20–28
Jansen JA, Vehof JW, Ruhe PQ et al (2005) Growth factor-loaded scaffolds for bone engineering. J Control Release 101:127–136
Jensen UB, Lowell S, Watt FM (1999) The spatial relationship between stem cells and their progeny in the basal layer of human epidermis: a new view based on whole-mount labelling and lineage analysis. Development 126:2409–2418
Jo S, Engel PS, MIkos AG (2000) Synthesis of poly(ethylene glycol)-tethered poly(propylene fumarate) and its modification with GRGD peptide. Polymer 41:7595–7604
Jones DL, Wagers AJ (2008) No place like home: anatomy and function of the stem cell niche. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9:11–21
Kanatsu-Shinohara M, Takehashi M, Takashima S et al (2008) Homing of mouse spermatogonial stem cells to germline niche depends on beta1-integrin. Cell Stem Cell 3:533–542
Kanchanawong P, Shtengel G, Pasapera AM et al (2010) Nanoscale architecture of integrin-based cell adhesions. Nature 468:580–584
Kanematsu A, Yamamoto S, Ozeki M et al (2004) Collagenous matrices as release carriers of exogenous growth factors. Biomaterials 25:4513–4520
Kantlehner M, Schaffner P, Finsinger D et al (2000) Surface coating with cyclic RGD peptides stimulates osteoblast adhesion and proliferation as well as bone formation. Chembiochem 1:107–114
Kasten A, Naser T, Brullhoff K et al (2014) Guidance of mesenchymal stem cells on fibronectin structured hydrogel films. PLoS One 9:e109411
Katoh K, Kano Y, Amano M et al (2001) Rho-kinase–mediated contraction of isolated stress fibers. J Cell Biol 153:569–584
Kazanis I, ffrench-Constant C (2011) Extracellular matrix and the neural stem cell niche. Dev Neurobiol 71:1006–1017
Kazemzadeh-Narbat M, Lai BF, Ding C et al (2013) Multilayered coating on titanium for controlled release of antimicrobial peptides for the prevention of implant-associated infections. Biomaterials 34:5969–5977
Keselowsky BG, Collard DM, Garcia AJ (2003) Surface chemistry modulates fibronectin conformation and directs integrin binding and specificity to control cell adhesion. J Biomed Mater Res A 66:247–259
Keselowsky BG, Collard DM, Garcia AJ (2004) Surface chemistry modulates focal adhesion composition and signaling through changes in integrin binding. Biomaterials 25:5947–5954
Keselowsky BG, Collard DM, Garcia AJ (2005) Integrin binding specificity regulates biomaterial surface chemistry effects on cell differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:5953–5957
Kidoaki S, Matsuda T (2008) Microelastic gradient gelatinous gels to induce cellular mechanotaxis. J Biotechnol 133:225–230

Kilpadi KL, Chang PL, Bellis SL (2001) Hydroxylapatite binds more serum proteins, purified integrins, and osteoblast precursor cells than titanium or steel. J Biomed Mater Res 57:258–267

Kim M, Carman CV, Yang W et al (2004) The primacy of affinity over clustering in regulation of adhesiveness of the integrin {alpha}L{beta}2. J Cell Biol 167:1241–1253

Kim IG, Hwang MP, Du P et al (2015) Bioactive cell-derived matrices combined with polymer mesh scaffold for osteogenesis and bone healing. Biomaterials 50:75–86

Klees RF, Salasznyk RM, Kingsley K et al (2005) Laminin-5 induces osteogenic gene expression in human mesenchymal stem cells through an ERK-dependent pathway. Mol Biol Cell 16:881–890

Klein G, Muller CA, Tillet E et al (1995) Collagen type VI in the human bone marrow microenvironment: a strong cytoadhesive component. Blood 86:1740–1748

Klotzsch E, Smith ML, Kubow KE et al (2009) Fibronectin forms the most extensible biological fibers displaying switchable force-exposed cryptic binding sites. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:18267–18272

Kolf CM, Cho E, Tuan RS (2007) Mesenchymal stromal cells. Biology of adult mesenchymal stem cells: regulation of niche, self-renewal and differentiation. Arthritis Res Ther 9:204

Kroese-Deutman HC, Ruhe PQ, Spauwen PH et al (2005) Bone inductive properties of rhBMP-2 loaded porous calcium phosphate cement implants inserted at an ectopic site in rabbits. Biomaterials 26:1131–1138

Kuhl PR, Griffith-Cima LG (1996) Tethered epidermal growth factor as a paradigm for growth factor-induced stimulation from the solid phase. Nat Med 2:1022–1027

Kulkarni M, Mazare A, Gongadze E et al (2015) Titanium nanostructures for biomedical applications. Nanotechnology 26:062002

Kumar S (2014) Cellular mechanotransduction: stiffness does matter. Nat Mater 13:918–920

Kumar S, Maxwell IZ, Heisterkamp A et al (2006) Viscoelastic retraction of single living stress fibers and its impact on cell shape, cytoskeletal organization, and extracellular matrix mechanics. Biophys J 90:3762–3773

Kundu AK, Putnam AJ (2006) Vitronectin and collagen I differentially regulate osteogenesis in mesenchymal stem cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 347:347–357

Lan MY, Liu CP, Huang HH et al (2013) Both enhanced biocompatibility and antibacterial activity in Ag-decorated TiO2 nanotubes. PLoS One 8:e75364

Lee KY, Mooney DJ (2001) Hydrogels for tissue engineering. Chem Rev 101:1869–1879

Lee SH, Shin H (2007) Matrices and scaffolds for delivery of bioactive molecules in bone and cartilage tissue engineering. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 59:339–359

Lee KY, Peters MC, Anderson KW et al (2000) Controlled growth factor release from synthetic extracellular matrices. Nature 408:998–1000

Lee JS, Lee JS, Murphy WL (2010) Modular peptides promote human mesenchymal stem cell differentiation on biomaterial surfaces. Acta Biomater 6:21–28

Lee TT, Garcia JR, Paez JI et al (2015) Light-triggered in vivo activation of adhesive peptides regulates cell adhesion, inflammation and vascularization of biomaterials. Nat Mater 14:352–360

Leeuwenburgh SC, Wolke JG, Siebers MC et al (2006) In vitro and in vivo reactivity of porous, electrosprayed calcium phosphate coatings. Biomaterials 27:3368–3378

Legate KR, Wickstrom SA, Fassler R (2009) Genetic and cell biological analysis of integrin outside-in signaling. Genes Dev 23:397–418

Li B, Moshfegh C, Lin Z et al (2013) Mesenchymal stem cells exploit extracellular matrix as mechanotransducer. Sci Rep 3:2425

Lim JY, Donahue HJ (2007) Cell sensing and response to micro- and nanostructured surfaces produced by chemical and topographic patterning. Tissue Eng 13:1879–1891

Lim JY, Hansen JC, Siedlecki CA et al (2005) Osteoblast adhesion on poly(L-lactic acid)/polystyrene demixed thin film blends: effect of nanotopography, surface chemistry, and wettability. Biomacromolecules 6:3319–3327

Lin HB, Sun W, Mosher DF et al (1994) Synthesis, surface, and cell-adhesion properties of polyurethanes containing covalently grafted RGD-peptides. J Biomed Mater Res 28:329–342
Lin H, Yang G, Tan J et al (2012) Influence of decellularized matrix derived from human mesenchymal stem cells on their proliferation, migration and multi-lineage differentiation potential. Biomaterials 33:4480–4489
Lo CM, Wang HB, Dembo M et al (2000) Cell movement is guided by the rigidity of the substrate. Biophys J 79:144–152
Lozito TP, Kuo CK, Taboas JM et al (2009) Human mesenchymal stem cells express vascular cell phenotypes upon interaction with endothelial cell matrix. J Cell Biochem 107:714–722
Lu H, Hoshiba T, Kawazoe N et al (2011) Autologous extracellular matrix scaffolds for tissue engineering. Biomaterials 32:2489–2499
Lu H, Hoshiba T, Kawazoe N et al (2012) Comparison of decellularization techniques for preparation of extracellular matrix scaffolds derived from three-dimensional cell culture. J Biomed Mater Res A 100:2507–2516
Luo BH, Carman CV, Springer TA (2007) Structural basis of integrin regulation and signaling. Annu Rev Immunol 25:619–647
Lutolf MP, Lauer-Fields JL, Schmoekel HG et al (2003a) Synthetic matrix metalloproteinase-sensitive hydrogels for the conduction of tissue regeneration: engineering cell-invasion characteristics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:5413–5418
Lutolf MP, Weber FE, Schmoekel HG et al (2003b) Repair of bone defects using synthetic mimetics of collagenous extracellular matrices. Nat Biotechnol 21:513–518
Maeda T, Titani K, Sekiguchi K (1994) Cell-adhesive activity and receptor-binding specificity of the laminin-derived YIGSR sequence grafted onto Staphylococcal protein A. J Biochem 115:182–189
Mammoto A, Ingber DE (2009) Cytoskeletal control of growth and cell fate switching. Curr Opin Cell Biol 21:864–870
Maniotis AJ, Chen CS, Ingber DE (1997) Demonstration of mechanical connections between integrins, cytoskeletal filaments, and nucleoplasm that stabilize nuclear structure. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:849–854
Mann BK, Schmedlen RH, West JL (2001) Tethered-TGF-beta increases extracellular matrix production of vascular smooth muscle cells. Biomaterials 22:439–444
Mata A, Boehm C, Fleischman AJ et al (2002) Growth of connective tissue progenitor cells on microtextured polydimethylsiloxane surfaces. J Biomed Mater Res 62:499–506
Matsuzaka K, Yoshinari M, Shimono M et al (2004) Effects of multigrooved surfaces on osteoblast-like cells in vitro: scanning electron microscopic observation and mRNA expression of osteopontin and osteocalcin. J Biomed Mater Res A 68:227–234
McBeath R, Pirone DM, Nelson CM et al (2004) Cell shape, cytoskeletal tension, and RhoA regulate stem cell lineage commitment. Dev Cell 6:483–495
Mei Y, Gerecht S, Taylor M, Urquhart AJ, Bogatyrev SR, Cho SW, Davies MC, Alexander MR, Langer RS, Anderson DG (2009) Mapping the interaction among biomaterials, adsorbed proteins, and human embryonic stem cells. Adv Mater 21:2781–2786
Michael KE, Dumbauld DW, Burns KL et al (2009) Focal adhesion kinase modulates cell adhesion strengthening via integrin activation. Mol Biol Cell 20:2508–2519
Millette JR, Clark PJ, Boone RL et al (1987) Occurrence and biological activity testing of particulates in drinking water. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 38:1–8
Miyamoto S, Teramoto H, Gutkind JS et al (1996) Integrins can collaborate with growth factors for phosphorylation of receptor tyrosine kinases and MAP kinase activation: roles of integrin aggregation and occupancy of receptors. J Cell Biol 135:1633–1642
Moreau JL, Xu HH (2009) Mesenchymal stem cell proliferation and differentiation on an injectable calcium phosphate-chitosan composite scaffold. Biomaterials 30:2675–2682
Moro L, Venturino M, Bozzo C et al (1998) Integrins induce activation of EGF receptor: role in MAP kinase induction and adhesion-dependent cell survival. Embo J 17:6622–6632

Muller P, Bulnheim U, Diener A et al (2008) Calcium phosphate surfaces promote osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells. J Cell Mol Med 12:281–291
Muszanska AK, Rochford ET, Gruszka A et al (2014) Antiadhesive polymer brush coating functionalized with antimicrobial and RGD peptides to reduce biofilm formation and enhance tissue integration. Biomacromolecules 15:2019–2026
Nebe B, Finke B, Luthen F et al (2007) Improved initial osteoblast functions on amino-functionalized titanium surfaces. Biomol Eng 24:447–454
Ng CP, Sharif AR, Heath DE et al (2014) Enhanced ex vivo expansion of adult mesenchymal stem cells by fetal mesenchymal stem cell ECM. Biomaterials 35:4046–4057
Nie Z, Kumacheva E (2008) Patterning surfaces with functional polymers. Nat Mater 7:277–290
Nilsson SK, Johnston HM, Whitty GA et al (2005) Osteopontin, a key component of the hematopoietic stem cell niche and regulator of primitive hematopoietic progenitor cells. Blood 106:1232–1239
Oh S, Brammer KS, Li YS et al (2009) Stem cell fate dictated solely by altered nanotube dimension. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:2130–2135
Ohyama M, Terunuma A, Tock CL et al (2006) Characterization and isolation of stem cell-enriched human hair follicle bulge cells. J Clin Invest 116:249–260
Ott HC, Matthiesen TS, Goh SK et al (2008) Perfusion-decellularized matrix: using nature's platform to engineer a bioartificial heart. Nat Med 14:213–221
Page-McCaw A, Ewald AJ, Werb Z (2007) Matrix metalloproteinases and the regulation of tissue remodelling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8:221–233
Park YD, Tirelli N, Hubbell JA (2003) Photopolymerized hyaluronic acid-based hydrogels and interpenetrating networks. Biomaterials 24:893–900
Park J, Bauer S, von der Mark K et al (2007) Nanosize and vitality: TiO2 nanotube diameter directs cell fate. Nano Lett 7:1686–1691
Park J, Bauer S, Schlegel KA et al (2009a) TiO2 nanotube surfaces: 15 nm--an optimal length scale of surface topography for cell adhesion and differentiation. Small 5:666–671
Park JS, Na K, Woo DG et al (2009b) Determination of dual delivery for stem cell differentiation using dexamethasone and TGF-beta3 in/on polymeric microspheres. Biomaterials 30:4796–4805
Pati F, Song TH, Rijal G et al (2015) Ornamenting 3D printed scaffolds with cell-laid extracellular matrix for bone tissue regeneration. Biomaterials 37:230–241
Perets A, Baruch Y, Weisbuch F et al (2003) Enhancing the vascularization of three-dimensional porous alginate scaffolds by incorporating controlled release basic fibroblast growth factor microspheres. J Biomed Mater Res A 65:489–497
Petersen S, Alonso JM, Specht A et al (2008) Phototriggering of cell adhesion by caged cyclic RGD peptides. Angew Chem-Int Ed 47:3192–3195
Peterson LJ, Rajfur Z, Maddox AS et al (2004) Simultaneous stretching and contraction of stress fibers in vivo. Mol Biol Cell 15:3497–3508
Petrie TA, Raynor JE, Reyes CD et al (2008) The effect of integrin-specific bioactive coatings on tissue healing and implant osseointegration. Biomaterials 29:2849–2857
Phillips JE, Petrie TA, Creighton FP et al (2010) Human mesenchymal stem cell differentiation on self-assembled monolayers presenting different surface chemistries. Acta Biomater 6:12–20.
Piner RD, Zhu J, Xu F et al (1999) "Dip-Pen" nanolithography. Science 283:661–663
Place ES, Evans ND, Stevens MM (2009) Complexity in biomaterials for tissue engineering. Nat Mater 8:457–470
Pommerenke H, Schmidt C, Durr F et al (2002) The mode of mechanical integrin stressing controls intracellular signaling in osteoblasts. J Bone Miner Res 17:603–611
Prewitz MC, Seib FP, von Bonin M et al (2013) Tightly anchored tissue-mimetic matrices as instructive stem cell microenvironments. Nat Methods 10:788–794
Puckett SD, Taylor E, Raimondo T et al (2010) The relationship between the nanostructure of titanium surfaces and bacterial attachment. Biomaterials 31:706–713
Puklin-Faucher E, Sheetz MP (2009) The mechanical integrin cycle. J Cell Sci 122:179–186

Puklin-Faucher E, Gao M, Schulten K et al (2006) How the headpiece hinge angle is opened: new insights into the dynamics of integrin activation. J Cell Biol 175:349–360
Raman R, Sasisekharan V, Sasisekharan R (2005) Structural insights into biological roles of protein-glycosaminoglycan interactions. Chem Biol 12:267–277
Rao Pattabhi S, Martinez JS, Keller TC 3rd (2014) Decellularized ECM effects on human mesenchymal stem cell stemness and differentiation. Differentiation 88:131–143
Ratner BD (1995) Surface modification of polymers: chemical, biological and surface analytical challenges. Biosens Bioelectron 10:797–804
Rezania AJR, Lefkow AR, Healy KE (1999) Bioactivation of metal oxide surfaces. Langmuir 15:6931–6939
Richardson TP, Peters MC, Ennett AB et al (2001) Polymeric system for dual growth factor delivery. Nat Biotechnol 19:1029–1034
Ridley H (1952) Intra-ocular acrylic lenses after cataract extraction. Lancet 1:118–121
Riveline D, Zamir E, Balaban NQ et al (2001) Focal contacts as mechanosensors: externally applied local mechanical force induces growth of focal contacts by an mDia1-dependent and ROCK-independent mechanism. J Cell Biol 153:1175–1186
Roy P, Berger S, Schmuki P (2011) TiO_2 nanotubes: synthesis and applications. Angew Chem-Int Ed 50:2904–2939
Rozario T, DeSimone DW (2010) The extracellular matrix in development and morphogenesis: a dynamic view. Dev Biol 341:126–140
Ruhe PQ, Kroese-Deutman HC, Wolke JG et al (2004) Bone inductive properties of rhBMP-2 loaded porous calcium phosphate cement implants in cranial defects in rabbits. Biomaterials 25:2123–2132
Ruhe PQ, Boerman OC, Russel FG et al (2006) In vivo release of rhBMP-2 loaded porous calcium phosphate cement pretreated with albumin. J Mater Sci Mater Med 17:919–927
Saha K, Keung AJ, Irwin EF et al (2008) Substrate modulus directs neural stem cell behavior. Biophys J 95:4426–4438
Sahiner N, Jha AK, Nguyen D et al (2008) Fabrication and characterization of cross-linkable hydrogel particles based on hyaluronic acid: potential application in vocal fold regeneration. J Biomater Sci Polym Ed 19:223–243
Sakiyama-Elbert SE, Hubbell JA (2000) Development of fibrin derivatives for controlled release of heparin-binding growth factors. J Control Release 65:389–402
Salasznyk RM, Klees RF, Hughlock MK et al (2004) ERK signaling pathways regulate the osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells on collagen I and vitronectin. Cell Commun Adhes 11:137–153
Schenke-Layland K, Angelis E, Rhodes KE et al (2007) Collagen IV induces trophoectoderm differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells 25:1529–1538
Schiller HB, Fassler R (2013) Mechanosensitivity and compositional dynamics of cell-matrix adhesions. EMBO Rep 14:509–519
Schmidt C, Pommerenke H, Durr F et al (1998) Mechanical stressing of integrin receptors induces enhanced tyrosine phosphorylation of cytoskeletally anchored proteins. J Biol Chem 273:5081–5085
Schneller M, Vuori K, Ruoslahti E (1997) Alphavbeta3 integrin associates with activated insulin and PDGFbeta receptors and potentiates the biological activity of PDGF. Embo J 16:5600–5607
Schober M, Raghavan S, Nikolova M et al (2007) Focal adhesion kinase modulates tension signaling to control actin and focal adhesion dynamics. J Cell Biol 176:667–680
Seeherman H, Wozney JM (2005) Delivery of bone morphogenetic proteins for orthopedic tissue regeneration. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 16:329–345
Shukla A, Fleming KE, Chuang HF et al (2010) Controlling the release of peptide antimicrobial agents from surfaces. Biomaterials 31:2348–2357
Silva GA, Czeisler C, Niece KL et al (2004) Selective differentiation of neural progenitor cells by high-epitope density nanofibers. Science 303:1352–1355

Silva AK, Richard C, Bessodes M et al (2009) Growth factor delivery approaches in hydrogels. Biomacromolecules 10:9–18
Sivakumar P, Czirok A, Rongish BJ et al (2006) New insights into extracellular matrix assembly and reorganization from dynamic imaging of extracellular matrix proteins in living osteoblasts. J Cell Sci 119:1350–1360
Song WH, Ryu HS, Hong SH (2009) Antibacterial properties of Ag (or Pt)-containing calcium phosphate coatings formed by micro-arc oxidation. J Biomed Mater Res A 88:246–254
Soriano I, Evora C (2000) Formulation of calcium phosphates/poly (d, l-lactide) blends containing gentamicin for bone implantation. J Control Release 68:121–134
Stamenkovic I (2003) Extracellular matrix remodelling: the role of matrix metalloproteinases. J Pathol 200:448–464
Stamenovic D, Fredberg JJ, Wang N et al (1996) A microstructural approach to cytoskeletal mechanics based on tensegrity. J Theor Biol 181:125–136
Storm WL, Johnson JA, Worley BV et al (2014) Dual action antimicrobial surfaces via combined nitric oxide and silver release. J Biomed Mater Res A. doi:10.1002/jbm.a.35331. [Epub ahead of print]
Sun H, Ye F, Wang J et al (2008) The upregulation of osteoblast marker genes in mesenchymal stem cells prove the osteoinductivity of hydroxyapatite/tricalcium phosphate biomaterial. Transplant Proc 40:2645–2648
Sun Y, Li W, Lu Z et al (2011) Rescuing replication and osteogenesis of aged mesenchymal stem cells by exposure to a young extracellular matrix. FASEB J 25:1474–1485
Taglietti A, Arciola CR, D'Agostino A et al (2014) Antibiofilm activity of a monolayer of silver nanoparticles anchored to an amino-silanized glass surface. Biomaterials 35:1779–1788
Takada Y, Ye X, Simon S (2007) The integrins. Genome Biol 8:215
Tanentzapf G, Devenport D, Godt D et al (2007) Integrin-dependent anchoring of a stem-cell niche. Nat Cell Biol 9:1413–1418
Teixeira AI, Abrams GA, Bertics PJ et al (2003) Epithelial contact guidance on well-defined micro- and nanostructured substrates. J Cell Sci 116:1881–1892
Thibault RA, Mikos AG, Kasper FK (2013) Scaffold/extracellular matrix hybrid constructs for bone-tissue engineering. Adv Healthc Mater 2:13–24
Tilmaciu CM, Mathieu M, Lavigne JP et al (2015) In vitro and in vivo characterization of antibacterial activity and biocompatibility: a study on silver-containing phosphonate monolayers on titanium. Acta Biomater 15:266–277
Trappmann B, Gautrot JE, Connelly JT et al (2012) Extracellular-matrix tethering regulates stem-cell fate. Nat Mater 11:642–649
Turski ML, Thiele DJ (2009) New roles for copper metabolism in cell proliferation, signaling, and disease. J Biol Chem 284:717–721
van der Flier A, Sonnenberg A (2001) Function and interactions of integrins. Cell Tissue Res 305:285–298
Vasilev K, Cook J, Griesser HJ (2009) Antibacterial surfaces for biomedical devices. Expert Rev Med Devices 6:553–567
Vogel V (2006) Mechanotransduction involving multimodular proteins: converting force into biochemical signals. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct 35:459–488
Vogel V, Sheetz MP (2009) Cell fate regulation by coupling mechanical cycles to biochemical signaling pathways. Curr Opin Cell Biol 21:38–46
Votteler M, Kluger PJ, Walles H et al (2010) Stem cell microenvironments–unveiling the secret of how stem cell fate is defined. Macromol Biosci 10:1302–1315
Walboomers XF, Monaghan W, Curtis AS et al (1999) Attachment of fibroblasts on smooth and microgrooved polystyrene. J Biomed Mater Res 46:212–220
Walschus U, Hoene A, Neumann HG et al (2009) Morphometric immunohistochemical examination of the inflammatory tissue reaction after implantation of calcium phosphate-coated titanium plates in rats. Acta Biomater 5:776–784

Wang N, Butler JP, Ingber DE (1993) Mechanotransduction across the cell surface and through the cytoskeleton. Science 260:1124–1127
Wang N, Tytell JD, Ingber DE (2009) Mechanotransduction at a distance: mechanically coupling the extracellular matrix with the nucleus. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 10:75–82
Wehrle-Haller B, Imhof B (2002) The inner lives of focal adhesions. Trends Cell Biol 12:382–389
Wehrle-Haller B, Imhof BA (2003) Integrin-dependent pathologies. J Pathol 200:481–487
Wen JH, Vincent LG, Fuhrmann A et al (2014) Interplay of matrix stiffness and protein tethering in stem cell differentiation. Nat Mater 13:979–987
Wennerberg A, Albrektsson T (2009) Effects of titanium surface topography on bone integration: a systematic review. Clin Oral Implants Res 20(Suppl 4):172–184
Wiesner S, Legate KR, Fassler R (2005) Integrin-actin interactions. Cell Mol Life Sci 62:1081–1099
Wijelath ES, Rahman S, Namekata M et al (2006) Heparin-II domain of fibronectin is a vascular endothelial growth factor-binding domain: enhancement of VEGF biological activity by a singular growth factor/matrix protein synergism. Circ Res 99:853–860
Winer JP, Janmey PA, McCormick ME et al (2009) Bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stem cells become quiescent on soft substrates but remain responsive to chemical or mechanical stimuli. Tissue Eng Part A 15:147–154
Winograd-Katz SE, Fassler R, Geiger B et al (2014) The integrin adhesome: from genes and proteins to human disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 15:273–288
Wu C, Zhou Y, Xu M et al (2013) Copper-containing mesoporous bioactive glass scaffolds with multifunctional properties of angiogenesis capacity, osteostimulation and antibacterial activity. Biomaterials 34:422–433
Yoo J, Kim J, Baek S et al (2014) Cell reprogramming into the pluripotent state using graphene based substrates. Biomaterials 35:8321–8329
Yoshida N, Hishiyama S, Yamaguchi M et al (2003) Decrease in expression of alpha 5 beta 1 integrin during neuronal differentiation of cortical progenitor cells. Exp Cell Res 287:262–271
Zaidel-Bar R, Ballestrem C, Kam Z et al (2003) Early molecular events in the assembly of matrix adhesions at the leading edge of migrating cells. J Cell Sci 116:4605–4613
Zaidel-Bar R, Itzkovitz S, Ma'ayan A et al (2007) Functional atlas of the integrin adhesome. Nat Cell Biol 9:858–867
Zajac AL, Discher DE (2008) Cell differentiation through tissue elasticity-coupled, myosin-driven remodeling. Curr Opin Cell Biol 20:609–615
Zhang L, Furst EM, Kiick KL (2006) Manipulation of hydrogel assembly and growth factor delivery via the use of peptide-polysaccharide interactions. J Control Release 114:130–142
Zhang X, Jiang G, Cai Y et al (2008) Talin depletion reveals independence of initial cell spreading from integrin activation and traction. Nat Cell Biol 10:1062–1068
Zhao J, Guan JL (2009) Signal transduction by focal adhesion kinase in cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev 28:35–49
Ziegler J, Mayr-Wohlfart U, Kessler S et al (2002) Adsorption and release properties of growth factors from biodegradable implants. J Biomed Mater Res 59:422–428
Zisch AH, Lutolf MP, Ehrbar M et al (2003a) Cell-demanded release of VEGF from synthetic, biointeractive cell ingrowth matrices for vascularized tissue growth. Faseb J 17:2260–2262
Zisch AH, Lutolf MP, Hubbell JA (2003b) Biopolymeric delivery matrices for angiogenic growth factors. Cardiovasc Pathol 12:295–310