

Sum of the Lerch Zeta-Function over Nontrivial Zeros of the Dirichlet L -Function

Ramūnas Garunkštis and Justas Kalpokas

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Wolfgang Schwarz

Abstract For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, λ rational, we consider the sum of values of the Lerch zeta-function $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ taken at the nontrivial zeros of the Dirichlet L -function $L(s, \chi)$, where $\chi \pmod{Q}$, $Q \geq 1$, is a primitive Dirichlet character.

Keywords Dirichlet L -function • Value-distribution

2010 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 11M06; Secondary 11M26

1 Introduction

Let $s = \sigma + it$ denote a complex variable. We use the notation $e(x) = \exp(2\pi ix)$. By $\{x\}$, (a, b) , and $[a, b]$ we denote the fractional part of the real number x , the greatest common divisor of integers a, b , and the least common multiple of integers a, b , respectively. In this paper T always tends to plus infinity and ε is any positive number.

The Lerch zeta-function is defined by

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e(\lambda n)}{(n + \alpha)^s} \quad (\sigma > 1),$$

R. Garunkštis (✉) • J. Kalpokas

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University, Naugarduko 24,
03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

e-mail: ramunas.garunkstis@mif.vu.lt; justas.kalpokas@mif.vu.lt

where $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. The Dirichlet L -function is defined by

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 1),$$

where $\chi(n)$ is a Dirichlet character modulo some positive integer Q . For $\chi \pmod 1$ we get the Riemann zeta-function $L(s, \chi) = \zeta(s)$. The yet unsolved generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) states that inside the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$ every Dirichlet L -function has zeros only on the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. Zeros in the critical strip are called nontrivial and we denote them by $\rho_\chi = \beta_\chi + i\gamma_\chi$. In view of the functional equation (see formula (2.4) below) the nontrivial zeros are symmetrically distributed with respect to the critical line. A Dirichlet character $\chi \pmod Q$ is said to be primitive if it is not induced by any other character of modulus strictly less than Q . The unique principal character modulo Q is denoted by χ_0 . The character $\chi_0 \pmod 1$ is the only one principal and primitive character. For $T > 0$, let $N(T, \chi)$ denote the number of the nontrivial zeros with $0 \leq \gamma_\chi \leq T$. For the primitive character $\chi \pmod Q$, we have (Montgomery and Vaughan [17, Corollary 14.7])

$$N(T, \chi) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{QT}{2\pi e} + O(\log QT),$$

where $T \geq 4$.

For special values of α and λ the Lerch zeta-function reduces to the Riemann zeta-function $L(1, 1, s) = \zeta(s)$, $L(1, 1/2, s) = (2^s - 1)\zeta(s)$, $L(1/2, 1, s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$, the Dirichlet L -function $L(1/2, 1/2, s) = 2^s L(s, \psi)$, where $\psi \pmod 4$ is an odd Dirichlet character. If we fix $\lambda = 1$ we get the Hurwitz zeta-function $L(1, \alpha, s) = \zeta(s, \alpha)$ and if we fix $\alpha = 1$ we get the periodic zeta-function $e(\lambda)L(\lambda, 1, s) = F(s, \lambda)$. Nontrivial zeros $\rho(\lambda, \alpha) = \beta(\lambda, \alpha) + i\gamma(\lambda, \alpha)$ of the Lerch zeta-function $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ are located in the strip $-1 < \sigma < 1 + \alpha$ ([9]). In view of the formula [8, Corollary 2]

$$\sum_{|\gamma(\lambda, \alpha)| \leq T} \left(\beta(\lambda, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\lambda(1 - \{\lambda\})}} + O(\log T),$$

we see that the nontrivial zeros are not always symmetrically distributed with respect to the critical line. It is pleasant to recall that the paper [8] was written when the first author was visiting W. Schwarz at Frankfurt university.

Let

$$\Lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } x = p^k \text{ for some prime } p \text{ and integer } k \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

be the von Mangoldt function. For an integer n and a character $\chi \pmod Q$ the Gauss sum is defined by

$$G(n, \chi) = \sum_{a=1}^Q \chi(a) \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{an}{Q}\right).$$

If $(n, Q) = 1$, then for a primitive character $\chi \pmod Q$, we have $|G(n, \chi)| = \sqrt{Q}$ and, for the principle character $\chi_0 \pmod Q$, it is known that $G(n, \chi_0) = \mu(n)$, where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function. We shall prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\chi \pmod Q$, $Q \geq 1$, be a primitive Dirichlet character.*

We have, for $0 < \lambda = \frac{k}{q} \leq 1$, $(k, q) = 1$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < \gamma_\chi \leq T} L(\lambda, \alpha, \rho_\chi) &= - \left(\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \delta(Q, q)e(-\alpha\lambda) \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} L(1 - \alpha, \lambda, 1) \right) \frac{T}{2\pi} \\ &\quad + O\left(T \exp(-c \log^{\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon} T)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\delta(Q, q) = 1$ if $Q|q$, $\delta(Q, q) = 0$ otherwise, and c is a positive absolute constant.

Further, for $0 < \lambda = \frac{k}{q} < 1$, $(k, q) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < \gamma_\chi \leq T} L(\lambda, 1, \rho_\chi) &= \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{TQ}{2\pi e} - \delta(Q, q)e(-\lambda) \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + C(\chi, \lambda) \frac{T}{2\pi} \\ &\quad + O\left(T \exp(-c \log^{\frac{1}{4}-\epsilon} T)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where the constant $C(\chi, \lambda)$ is defined by Eq. (2.17) below.

Let A be a positive constant. Both asymptotic formulas of this theorem are valid uniformly for $Q \ll \log^A T$.

Next we discuss the asymptotic formula (1.1) of Theorem 1.1. From the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Nakamura [18] we know that, for $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, 1) \neq 0.$$

The proof of the last formula is nice and short. By the integral representation (Lerch [16, formula (2)] or [15, formula (2.6)]) we have

$$\Gamma(\sigma)L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\sigma-1} e^{(1-\alpha)x}}{e^x - e^{2\pi i \lambda}} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\sigma-1} e^{(1-\alpha)x} (e^x - e^{-2\pi i \lambda})}{|e^x - e^{2\pi i \lambda}|^2} dx \quad (\sigma > 0).$$

Now $\operatorname{Re} L(\lambda, \alpha, 1) > 0$ since $\operatorname{Re} (e^{(1-\alpha)x} (e^x - e^{2\pi i \lambda})) > 0$ for $x > 0$.

Formula (1.1) extends results obtained in Fujii [4], Steuding [10, 21], where the case $\lambda = 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $Q = 1$ was investigated. In [6] and [14] Formula (1.2)

with $0 < \lambda = k/q < 1$, $(k, q) = 1$, $\alpha = 1$, and $Q = 1$ was considered, see also Steuding [22].

In the paper [11] the sum of $L(s, \chi)$ and in [7] the sum of $L(s, \chi)L(1-s, \chi)$ over nontrivial zeros of another Dirichlet L -function were studied.

The next section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will use contour integration. The proof of the theorem relies on the method of Conrey et al. [2]. We divide the proof into the following Sects.: 2.1. Beginning of the proof: Functional equations; Sect. 2.2. Gonek's lemma; and Sect. 2.3. Perron's formula.

2.1 Beginning of the Proof: Functional Equations

Similarly as in [11], without loss of generality, we consider T satisfying the inequality

$$\min_{\gamma_\chi} |T - \gamma_\chi| \gg \frac{1}{\log(QT)}. \quad (2.1)$$

For $q \geq 1$, $\chi \pmod q$ and $t \geq 0$ we have (Prachar [20, Theorem 3.3 in Chap. 7])

$$N_\chi(t+1) - N_\chi(t) := \#\{\rho_\chi = \beta_\chi + i\gamma_\chi : t < \gamma_\chi \leq t+1\} \ll \log Q(t+2).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_\chi \leq T} L(\lambda, \alpha, \rho_\chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{C}} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) L(\lambda, \alpha, s) ds + O(\log Q),$$

where the contour \mathfrak{C} is a rectangle with vertices $a + ib$, $a + iT$, $1 - a + iT$, and $1 - a + ib$, where $a = 1 + 1/\log(QT)$, $2 \leq b \leq 3$, $\min_{\gamma_\chi} |b - \gamma_\chi| \gg 1/\log Q$. Further

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{C}} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) L(\lambda, \alpha, s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{a+ib}^{a+iT} + \int_{a+iT}^{1-a+iT} + \int_{1-a+iT}^{1-a+ib} + \int_{1-a+ib}^{a+ib} \right\} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) L(\lambda, \alpha, s) ds \\ &=: \sum_{j=1}^4 \mathcal{J}_j. \end{aligned}$$

First we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{J}_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+ib}^{a+iT} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi)L(\lambda, \alpha, s)ds \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_b^T \frac{L'}{L}(a + it, \chi)L(\lambda, \alpha, a + it)dt \\
 &= - \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)\Lambda(m)e(\lambda n)}{(m(n + \alpha))^a} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_b^T \frac{1}{(m(n + \alpha))^{it}}dt \\
 &= - \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{T}{2\pi} + O(\log^2(QT)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Second we consider \mathcal{J}_2 . Formula (6) from [11] gives [recall that T satisfies (2.1)]

$$\frac{L'}{L}(\sigma + iT, \chi) \ll \log^2(QT) \quad \text{for } -1 \leq \sigma \leq 2, T \geq 2. \tag{2.2}$$

By Corollary 4 from [5] we have

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, \sigma + iT) \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log T \quad \text{for } -\frac{1}{\log(QT)} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\log(QT)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus we get

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+iT}^{1-a+iT} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi)L(\lambda, \alpha, s)ds = O\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3(QT)\right).$$

Similarly we see that

$$\mathcal{J}_4 = O(\log^3 Q).$$

A change of variables $s \mapsto 1 - \bar{s}$ gives

$$\mathcal{J}_3 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+ib}^{a+iT} \frac{L'}{L}(1 - \bar{s}, \chi)L(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - \bar{s})ds.$$

After conjugation we get

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}_3} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+ib}^{a+iT} \frac{L'}{L}(1 - s, \bar{\chi})\overline{L(\lambda, \alpha, 1 - \bar{s})}ds.$$

To evaluate this integral we will use functional equations. A Dirichlet L -function to a primitive character χ mod Q satisfies the functional equation (Apostol [1, Theorem 12.11])

$$L(1-s, \chi) = \Delta(1-s, \chi)L(s, \bar{\chi}), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\Delta(1-s, \chi) = \tau(\psi) \frac{1}{Q} \left(\frac{Q}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s) \left(\exp\left(-\frac{\pi is}{2}\right) + \psi(-1) \exp\left(\frac{\pi is}{2}\right) \right).$$

Taking the logarithmic derivative of the functional equation we get

$$\frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \chi) = \frac{\Delta'}{\Delta}(1-s, \chi) - \frac{L'}{L}(s, \bar{\chi}),$$

where

$$\frac{\Delta'}{\Delta}(s, \chi) = \frac{\Delta'}{\Delta}(1-s, \bar{\chi}) = -\log \frac{tQ}{2\pi} + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad (2.5)$$

for $t > 1$. The Lerch zeta-function satisfies the functional equation (Lerch [16] or [15])

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda, \alpha, 1-s) &= (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \left(e\left(\frac{s}{4} - \alpha\lambda\right) L(1-\alpha, \lambda, s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e\left(-\frac{s}{4} + \alpha(1 - \{\lambda\})\right) L(\alpha, 1 - \{\lambda\}, s) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \bar{\chi}) \overline{L(\lambda, \alpha, 1-\bar{s})} \\ &= \left(\frac{\Delta'}{\Delta}(s, \chi) - \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) \right) (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \left(e\left(-\frac{s}{4} + \alpha\lambda\right) L(\alpha, \lambda, s) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e\left(\frac{s}{4} - \alpha(1 - \{\lambda\})\right) L(1-\alpha, 1 - \{\lambda\}, s) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{J}_3} &= -e(\alpha\lambda) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+ib}^{a+iT} \frac{\Delta'}{\Delta}(s, \chi) (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) e\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) L(\alpha, \lambda, s) ds \\ &\quad - e(-\alpha(1 - \{\lambda\})) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+ib}^{a+iT} \frac{\Delta'}{\Delta}(s, \chi) (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) e\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) L(1-\alpha, 1 - \{\lambda\}, s) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ e(\alpha\lambda) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+ib}^{a+iT} (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) e\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) L(\alpha, \lambda, s) ds \\
 &+ e(-\alpha(1 - \{\lambda\})) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+ib}^{a+iT} (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) e\left(\frac{s}{4}\right) \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) L(1 - \alpha, 1 - \{\lambda\}, s) ds \\
 &=: \sum_{j=1}^4 \mathcal{F}_j,
 \end{aligned}$$

say. In view of the bound (2.5), Stirling’s formula, and by the bound [5, Corollary 2]

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, a + it) \ll \log t, \tag{2.7}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_4 \ll 1.$$

Summarizing the so far obtained results we see that, for $0 < \lambda \leq 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and $Q \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_\chi \leq T} L(\lambda, \alpha, \rho_\chi) = -\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{T}{2\pi} + \overline{\mathcal{F}}_1 + \overline{\mathcal{F}}_3 + O(T^{1/2+\epsilon}). \tag{2.8}$$

2.2 Gonek’s Lemma

For \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_3 we will use the following version of Gonek’s Lemma (c.f. Gonek [12, Lemma 5] and [3, Lemma 2]).

Lemma 2.1 *Assume that $\sum_{m \leq x} |a_m| \ll x$ and $b_n \ll 1$. Let $1 < c \leq 1 + 1/\log \tau$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c+i}^{c+i\tau} \Delta(1-s) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m^s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{(n+\alpha)^s} ds \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0 \\ m(n+\alpha) \leq \frac{\tau}{2\pi}}} a_m b_n e(-m(n+\alpha)) + O\left(\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(c-1)^{-2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $\alpha \in [\delta, 1]$. Here

$$\Delta(1-s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \cos \frac{\pi s}{2}$$

is a factor from the functional equation $\zeta(1-s) = \Delta(1-s)\zeta(s)$.

Proof This is Lemma 5 in [10].

Let $\delta = \pm 1$. In order to apply Lemma 2.1 for our purpose note that

$$\frac{e\left(\frac{\delta s}{4}\right)}{2 \cos \frac{\pi s}{2}} = \begin{cases} O(\exp(-\pi|t|)) & \text{if } \delta t \geq 0, \\ 1 + O(\exp(-\pi|t|)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

We return to the integral \mathcal{F}_1 and rewrite it as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = -e(\alpha\lambda) \int_b^T \frac{\Delta'}{\Delta}(a + i\tau, \chi) d\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i\tau} (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) e\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) L(\alpha, \lambda, s) ds\right).$$

In view of (2.9) and the bound from (2.7) Lemma 2.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a+i}^{a+i\tau} (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) e\left(-\frac{s}{4}\right) L(\alpha, \lambda, s) ds \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \frac{\tau}{2\pi} - \lambda} e(\alpha n) e(-(n + \lambda)) + O(\tau^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}) \\ &= e(-\lambda) \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \frac{\tau}{2\pi} - \lambda} e(\alpha n) + O(\tau^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}) = O(\tau^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Then using Expression (2.5) we have, for $\alpha \neq 1$,

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = -e(\alpha\lambda) \int_b^T \frac{\Delta'}{\Delta}(a + i\tau, \chi) d\left(O(\tau^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon})\right) = O(T^{1/2 + \epsilon})$$

and, for $\alpha = 1$,

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{TQ}{2\pi e} + O(T^{1/2 + \epsilon}).$$

Next we consider the integral \mathcal{F}_3 . Again by formula (2.9) and Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = -e(\alpha\lambda) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0 \\ m(n+\lambda) \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}}} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) e(\alpha n) e(-m(n + \lambda)) + O(T^{1/2 + \epsilon}).$$

We split the last sum into two sums with $(m, q) = 1$ and $(m, q) > 1$ accordingly. For the case $(m, q) > 1$ we obtain, for $\alpha \neq 1$,

$$\sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0, (m, q) > 1 \\ m(n+\lambda) \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}}} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) e(\alpha n) e(-m\lambda) = O\left(\sum_{\substack{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi} \\ (m, q) > 1}} \Lambda(m)\right) = O(\log T)$$

and, for $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0, (m, q) > 1 \\ m(n+\lambda) \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}}} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) e(\alpha n) e(-m\lambda) &= \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{\substack{m \leq \frac{T}{2\pi} \\ (m, q) > 1}} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) e(-m\lambda) \frac{1}{m} + O(\log T) \\ &= \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{p|q} \log p \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(p^j) e(-p^j \lambda)}{p^j} + O(\log T). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use that $\lambda = \frac{k}{q}$. If $(m, q) = 1$, then the orthogonality relation for Dirichlet characters gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) e\left(-m \frac{k}{q}\right) &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\psi \bmod q} \sum_{a=1}^q \overline{\psi}(a) e\left(-a \frac{k}{q}\right) \Lambda(m) \chi(m) \psi(m) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\psi \bmod q} G(-k, \overline{\psi}) \Lambda(m) \chi(m) \psi(m), \end{aligned}$$

where $G(-k, \overline{\psi})$ is the Gauss sum defined before Theorem 1.1. Then, for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_3 &= -\frac{e(\alpha\lambda)}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\psi \bmod q} G(-k, \overline{\psi}) \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0 \\ m(n+\lambda) \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}}} \Lambda(m) \chi(m) \psi(m) e(\alpha n) \tag{2.10} \\ &\quad - (\alpha - \{\alpha\}) e(\lambda) \frac{T}{2\pi} \sum_{p|q} \log p \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(p^j) e(-p^j \lambda)}{p^j} + O(T^{1/2+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Again, we summarize obtained results. In view of formula (2.8) Sect. 2.2 gives, for $0 < \lambda \leq 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and $Q \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma_\chi \leq T} L(\lambda, \alpha, \rho_\chi) = (\alpha - \{\alpha\}) \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{TQ}{2\pi e} - \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \chi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{T}{2\pi} + \overline{\mathcal{F}}_3 + O(T^{1/2+\epsilon}). \tag{2.11}$$

2.3 Perron’s Formula

In Titchmarsh [23, Lemma 3.12] Perron’s formula for ordinary Dirichlet series is proved. Easy to check that the analogous lemma is true for Dirichlet series $\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi\psi)L(\alpha, \lambda, s)$. Note that Perron [19] proved his formula (without error term) for general Dirichlet series. Therefore, for the second sum of the formula (2.10),

Perron’s formula gives

$$\sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0 \\ m(n+\lambda) \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}}} \Lambda(m)\chi(m)\psi(m)e(\alpha n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-iU}^{a+iU} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi\psi)L(\alpha, \lambda, s) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^s \frac{ds}{s} + O\left(\frac{T \log^2 T}{U}\right).$$

In [20, Chap. 8, Theorem 6.2] considering $[q, Q] \ll \log^B T$, with B being any positive constant, we find

$$L(s, \chi\psi) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \sigma > 1 - \frac{c}{\log^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon} T},$$

where c is an absolute positive constant. With regard to this zero-free region for $L(s, \chi\psi)$ let $b_1 = 1 - c/\log^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon} T$. Shifting the line of integration we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m \geq 1, n \geq 0 \\ m(n+\lambda) \leq \frac{T}{2\pi}}} \Lambda(m)\chi(m)\psi(m)e(\alpha n) &= -\text{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi\psi)L(\alpha, \lambda, s) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^s \frac{1}{s} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{a+iU}^{b_1+iU} + \int_{b_1+iU}^{b_1-iU} + \int_{b_1-iU}^{a-iU} \right\} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi\psi)L(\alpha, \lambda, s) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^s \frac{ds}{s} \quad (2.12) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{T \log^2 T}{U}\right) \\ &=: -\text{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi\psi)L(\alpha, \lambda, s) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^s \frac{1}{s} + \mathcal{K}_1 + \mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{K}_3 + O\left(\frac{T \log^2 T}{U}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the character χ is primitive. Thus if $\chi\psi$ is a principal character mod $[q, Q]$, then $Q|q$ and

$$\psi = \bar{\chi}\psi_0,$$

where ψ_0 is a principle character mod q . In view of this we introduce the notation

$$\delta(Q, q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Q|q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

The Dirichlet L -function attached to the principal character has a simple pole at $s = 1$, otherwise it is an entire function. In view of $L(s, \psi_0) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p|q} (1 - p^{-s})$

we have

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s, \psi_0) = -\frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma + \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p^s - 1} + O(s-1) \quad (s \rightarrow 1),$$

here γ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, the function $L(\alpha, \lambda, s)$ is entire and, for $\alpha = 1$, the function $L(\alpha, \lambda, s) = \zeta(s, \lambda)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$. It is known (Ivić [13, formula (1.122)]) that

$$\zeta(s, \lambda) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma(\lambda) + O(s-1) \quad (s \rightarrow 1),$$

where

$$\gamma(\lambda) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^N \frac{1}{m + \lambda} - \log(N + \lambda) \right) = -\frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}.$$

The last equality can be found in Wilton [24]. Note that $\gamma(1)$ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant. Thus, for $\alpha \neq 1$,

$$-\text{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \psi_0)L(\alpha, \lambda, s) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^s \frac{1}{s} = L(\alpha, \lambda, 1) \frac{T}{2\pi} \tag{2.14}$$

and, for $\alpha = 1$,

$$-\text{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \psi_0)L(\alpha, \lambda, s) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^s \frac{1}{s} = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} - \left(\frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} + \gamma + \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \frac{T}{2\pi}. \tag{2.15}$$

If $\chi\psi$ is a nonprincipal character mod $[q, Q]$, then for $\alpha = 1$,

$$-\text{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi\psi)L(\alpha, \lambda, s) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \right)^s \frac{1}{s} = -\frac{L'}{L}(1, \chi\psi) \frac{T}{2\pi}. \tag{2.16}$$

Integrals $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$, and \mathcal{K}_3 are analogous to the integrals which appear in Formula (9) of [11]. By a similar reasoning as in [11], using bounds (2.2), (2.3), and choosing $U = T^{1-b_1}$, we get that

$$\mathcal{K}_j \ll T^{1 - \frac{c}{\log^{3/4+\varepsilon} T}},$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$. In view of formulas (2.10)–(2.13) the residue (2.14) leads to Expression (1.1) of Theorem 1.1. Finally, residues (2.15) and (2.16) give (1.2) with

the constant

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(\chi, \lambda) = & -\delta(Q, q)e(-\lambda)\frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)}\left(\frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} + \gamma + \sum_{p|q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right) + \\
 & + \frac{e(-\lambda)}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod q \\ \chi\psi \neq \psi_0}} G(k, \psi) \frac{L'}{L}(1, \overline{\chi\psi}) \\
 & - e(-\lambda) \sum_{p|q} \log p \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\chi}(p^j)e(p^j\lambda)}{p^j}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

This proves Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgement The authors are supported by grant No. MIP-049/2014 from the Research Council of Lithuania.

References

1. T.M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, 5th edn. (Springer, New York, 1998)
2. J.B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, S.M. Gonek, Simple zeros of zeta functions. *Publ. Math. Orsay* **88**(02), 77–83 (1988)
3. J.B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, S.M. Gonek, Simple zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **76**(3), 497–522 (1998)
4. A. Fujii, Zeta zeros, Hurwitz zeta function and $L(1, \chi)$. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. A* **65**, 139–142 (1989)
5. R. Garunkštis, Approximation of the Lerch zeta-function. *Lith. Math. J.* **44**, 140–144 (2004)
6. R. Garunkštis, J. Kalpokas, Sum of the periodic zeta-function over the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. *Analysis* **28**, 209–217 (2008)
7. R. Garunkštis, J. Kalpokas, The discrete mean square of the Dirichlet L -function at nontrivial zeros of another Dirichlet L -function. *Int. J. Number Theory* **9**(4), 945–963 (2013)
8. R. Garunkštis, J. Steuding, On the zero distributions of Lerch zeta-functions. *Analysis* **22**, 1–12 (2002)
9. R. Garunkštis, J. Steuding, Do Lerch zeta-functions satisfy the Lindelof hypothesis?, in *Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory (Palanga, 2001)* (TEV, Vilnius, 2002), pp. 61–74
10. R. Garunkštis, J. Steuding, On the distribution of zeros of the Hurwitz zeta-function. *Math. Comp.* **76**, 323–337 (2007)
11. R. Garunkštis, J. Kalpokas, J. Steuding, Sum of the Dirichlet L -function over nontrivial zeros of another Dirichlet L -function. *Acta Math. Hungarica* **128**(3), 287–298 (2010)
12. S.M. Gonek, Mean values of the Riemann zeta-function and its derivatives. *Invent. Math.* **75**, 123–141 (1984)
13. A. Ivić, *The Riemann Zeta-Function* (A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1985)
14. J. Kalpokas, Sum of the periodic zeta-function over the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, in *Proceedings of the Conference New Directions in the Theory of Universal Zeta and L Functions*, 6–10 October, 2008 (Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2009), pp. 121–130
15. A. Laurinćikas, R. Garunkštis, *The Lerch Zeta-Function* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002)

16. M. Lerch, Note sur la fonction $\mathfrak{K}(w, x, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2k\pi ix}}{(w+k)^s}$. Acta Math. **11**, 287–298 (1887)
17. H.L. Montgomery, R.C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory. I. Classical Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 97 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006)
18. T. Nakamura, Real zeros of Hurwitz-Lerch zeta and Hurwitz-Lerch type of Euler-Zagier double zeta functions. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **160**(1), 39–50 (2016)
19. O. Perron, Zur Theorie der Dirichletschen Reihen. J. Reine Angew. Math. **134**, 95–143 (1908)
20. K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung* (Springer, Wien, 1957)
21. J. Steuding, On the value distribution of Hurwitz zeta-functions at the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb. **71**, 113–121 (2001)
22. J. Steuding, Dirichlet series associated to periodic arithmetic functions and the zeros of Dirichlet L functions, in *Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory* (TEV, Vilnius, 2002), pp. 282–296
23. E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., revised by D.R. Heath-Brown (Oxford University Press, 1986)
24. J.R. Wilton, A note on the coefficients in the expansion of $\zeta(s, x)$ in powers of $s - 1$. Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **50**, 329–332 (1927)