Picture Array Generation Using Pure 2D Context-Free Grammar Rules

K.G. Subramanian^{1(\boxtimes)}, M. Geethalakshmi², N. Gnanamalar David³, and Atulya K. Nagar¹

¹ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Liverpool Hope University, Hope Park, Liverpool L16 9JD, UK kgsmani1948@yahoo.com

 k^2 Department of Mathematics, Queen Mary's College, Chennai 600004, India ³ Department of Mathematics, Madras Christian College, Chennai 600059, India

Abstract. Pure two-dimensional context-free grammar (*P*2*DCFG*) is a simple but effective non-isometric 2D grammar model to generate picture arrays. This 2D grammar uses only one kind of symbol as in a pure string grammar and rewrites in parallel all the symbols in a column or row by a set of context-free type rules. *P*2*DCFG* and a variant called $(l/u)P2DCFG$, which was recently introduced motivated by the "leftmost" rewriting mode in string context-free grammars, have been investigated for different properties. In this paper we introduce another variant of *P*2*DCFG* that corresponds to "rightmost" rewriting in string contextfree grammars. The resulting grammar is called (*r/d*)*P*2*DCFG* and rewrites in parallel all the symbols only in the rightmost column or the lowermost row of a picture array by a set of context-free type rules. Unlike the case of string context-free grammars, the picture language families of *P*2*DCFG* and the two variants (*l/u*)*P*2*DCFG* and (*r/d*)*P*2*DCFG* are mutually incomparable, although they are not disjoint. We also examine the effect of regulating the rewriting in a $(r/d)P2DCFG$ by suitably adapting two well-known control mechanisms in string grammars, namely, control words and matrix control.

1 Introduction

A variety of two-dimensional (2D) picture array generating grammars [\[5](#page-13-0)[,9](#page-14-0)– [11,](#page-14-1)[18](#page-14-2)[,19\]](#page-14-3) have been introduced and investigated by researchers, motivated by problems in different areas such as pattern generation and recognition, image description and analysis and others. These 2D grammars have been mainly developed based on the concepts and techniques of the well-investigated string grammar theory. They are basically of two varieties, namely, isometric array grammars in which geometric shape of the re-written portion of the array is preserved while non-isometric array grammars can alter the geometric shape. Here we consider a 2D picture grammar of the latter variety, called a pure 2D context-free grammar (P2DCFG) introduced in [\[17](#page-14-4)]. This 2D grammar represents a simple yet expressive non-isometric language generator of rectangular

picture arrays, involving only terminal symbols as in a pure string grammar [\[7](#page-14-5)] and using tables of context-free (CF) type rules. All the symbols in a column or a row of a rectangular array are re-written in parallel by CF type rules in a P2DCFG and in order to maintain the rectangular form of the array, all the symbols rewritten are replaced by strings of equal length. A P2DCFG allows rewriting any column or any row of a picture array by the rules of an applicable column rule table or row rule table respectively. In $[1,2,16]$ $[1,2,16]$ $[1,2,16]$ $[1,2,16]$, further properties of this 2D grammar model are investigated.

Motivated by the notion of leftmost derivation [\[14](#page-14-7)] in a context-free string grammar in which only leftmost nonterminal in a sentential form of a derivation is rewritten, a variant of P2DCFG, referred to as $(l/u)P2DCFG$ was introduced in [\[6](#page-13-3)]. This variant considers a "leftmost rewriting mode" in terms of P2DCFG in the sense that only the leftmost column or the uppermost row of a rectangular picture array is rewritten in a derivation step. In the case of context-free string grammars, the notion of a rightmost derivation is also known [\[14](#page-14-7)] in which only the rightmost nonterminal in a sentential form of a derivation is rewritten. We recall that for an ordinary derivation of a terminal word in a context-free string grammar, there is an equivalent leftmost as well as rightmost derivation of the word. On the other hand, it has been shown in [\[6\]](#page-13-3) that the picture language classes of P2DCFG (which correspond to "ordinary derivation mode") and $(l/u)P2DCFG$ (which correspond to "leftmost derivation mode") are incomparable. Here we investigate another variant of P2DCFG by considering a "rightmost rewriting mode" in terms of $P2DCFG$ in the sense that only the rightmost column or the lowermost row of a rectangular picture array is rewritten in a derivation step, with all the symbols being rewritten by "equal length" rules. We denote the resulting class of 2D grammars by $(r/d)P2DCFG$ and the corresponding language class by $(r/d)P2DCFL$.

We show that this variant of $(r/d)P2DCFL$ is also incomparable with both the picture language classes of $P2DCFG \text{ and } (l/u)P2DCFG \text{.}$ The effect of regulating the picture generation by controlling the application of rules with a regular control set on the labels of the tables of rules has been studied in $[1,2,6,16,17]$ $[1,2,6,16,17]$ $[1,2,6,16,17]$ $[1,2,6,16,17]$ $[1,2,6,16,17]$ $[1,2,6,16,17]$ $[1,2,6,16,17]$ for the classes of $P2DCFL$ and $(l/u)P2DCFL$. Besides examining the effect of this kind of a control on $(r/d)P2DCFG$, we also consider matrix type of control on the tables of rules for all the three classes, namely, $P2DCFL$ and the two variants, $(l/u)P2DCFL$ and $(r/d)P2DCFL$.

2 Preliminaries

For notions related to formal language theory we refer to [\[13](#page-14-8),[14\]](#page-14-7) and for array grammars and two-dimensional languages we refer to [\[5](#page-13-0)].

Given a finite alphabet Σ , a word or a string w is a sequence of symbols from Σ . The set of all words over Σ , including the empty word λ with no symbols, is denoted by Σ^* . The length of a word w is denoted by $|w|$. For any word $w = a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n$, we denote by $^t w$, the word $w = a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n \quad (n \geq 1)$

written vertically. For example, if $w = acbb$ over $\{a, b, c\}$, then $^t w = \frac{c}{b}$ a $\frac{b}{b}$. A twob

dimensional $m \times n$ array (also called a picture array or a picture) p over an alphabet Σ is a rectangular array with m rows and n columns and is of the form

$$
p = \begin{array}{c} p(1,1) \cdots p(1,n) \\ \vdots \\ p(m,1) \cdots p(m,n) \end{array}
$$

where each pixel $p(i, j) \in \Sigma$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. The uppermost row of p is considered as the first row and the leftmost column as the first column of p . Likewise. The lowermost row of p is the last row and the rightmost column, the last column of p. We denote the number of rows and the number of columns of p , respectively, by $|p|_{row}$ and $|p|_{col}$ and call the pair $(|p|_{row}, |p|_{col})$ as the size of p. The set of all rectangular arrays over Σ is denoted by Σ^{**} , which includes the empty array λ . $\Sigma^{++} = \Sigma^{**} - {\lambda}$. A picture language is a subset of Σ^{**} .

We now recall a pure 2D context-free grammar introduced in [\[16](#page-14-6)[,17](#page-14-4)].

Definition 1. *A pure 2D context-free grammar (*P2DCFG*) is a 4-tuple*

$$
G = (\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \Gamma)
$$

where

- *(i)* Σ *is a finite alphabet of symbols;*
- *(ii)* P_1 *is a finite set of column rule tables* c_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ *, for some* $m \geq 1$ *, where each* c_i *is a finite set of context-free rules of the form* $a \to u, a \in \Sigma, u \in \Sigma^*$ *having the property that for any two rules* $a \rightarrow u, b \rightarrow v$ *in* c_i *, we have* $|u| = |v|$ *i.e. the words* u *and* v *have equal length*;
- *(iii)* P_2 *is a finite set of row rule tables* r_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ *, for some* $n \geq 1$ *, where each* r_j *is a finite set of rules of the form* $c \to {}^t x, c \in \Sigma, x \in \Sigma^*$ *such that for any two rules* $c \rightarrow {}^t x, d \rightarrow {}^t y$ *in* r_j *, we have* $|x| = |y|$ *;*
- *(iv)* $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma^{**} \{\lambda\}$ *is a finite set of axiom arrays.*

A derivation in a P2DCFG G is defined as follows: Let $p, q \in \Sigma^{**}$. A picture q is derived in G from a picture p, denoted by $p \Rightarrow q$, either (i) by rewriting in parallel all the symbols in a column of p, each symbol by a rule in some column rule table or (ii) rewriting in parallel all the symbols in a row of p, each symbol by a rule in some row rule table. All the rules used to rewrite a column (or row) are taken from the same table.

The picture language generated by G is the set of picture arrays $L(G)$ = ${M \in \Sigma^{**} \mid M_0 \Rightarrow^* M \text{ for some } M_0 \in \Gamma}$. The family of picture languages generated by $P2DCFGs$ is denoted by $P2DCFL$.

Example 1. Consider the $P2DCFG G_1 = (\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \{M_0\})$ where $\Sigma = \{a, b, d\}$, $P_1 = \{c\}, P_2 = \{r\}, \text{ where } c = \{a \rightarrow bab, d \rightarrow ada, e \rightarrow aea\}, r =$ $\begin{cases} d \to \frac{d}{a}, a \to \frac{a}{b} \end{cases}$ $\Big\}$, and $M_0 =$ ada bab aea .

 G_1 generates a picture language L_1 consisting of picture arrays p of size $(m, 2n+1)$ 1), $m \ge 3$, $n \ge 1$ with $p(1, j) = p(1, j + n + 1) = p(m, j) = p(m, j + n + 1) = a$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$; $p(1, n+1) = d$; $p(m, n+1) = e$; $p(i, n+1) = a$, for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$; $p(i, j) = b$, otherwise. We note that a derivation in G_1 , starting from the axiom array M_0 , generates a picture array of the form

```
a \cdots a d a \cdots ab \cdots b a b \cdots b··· ···
  ··· ···
b \cdots b a b \cdots ba \cdots a \cdot a \cdots a
```
since the column rule table is applicable to only the "middle" column ${}^t da \cdots ae$, rewriting in parallel all the symbols d and a in that column, thereby adding the symbol a to the left and right of d as well as e , while adding the symbol b to the left and right of every a in that column. Likewise, the row rule table r is applicable to only the uppermost row and adds a row of the form $b \cdots ba \cdots b$ below it. Also note that the application of the column rule table c can take place independent of the row rule table r and hence the number of rows and the number of columns in the generated picture arrays of L_1 need not be related by any proportion. On the otherhand, due to the nature of rules in the column table c, every generated picture array has an equal number of columns to the left and right of the middle column $t(da \dots ae)$. A member of L_1 is shown in Fig. $1(a)$ $1(a)$ and on interpreting the symbol b as blank, the corresponding picture representing the letter I is shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-3-0)b).

Fig. 1. (a) (on the left) A picture array in the language L_1 (b) (on the right) The interpreted picture representing letter *I*.

We now recall (l/u) mode of derivation in a $P2DCFG$ introduced and investigated in [\[6\]](#page-13-3).

Definition 2. Let $G = (\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \Gamma)$ be a P2DCFG with the components as *in Definition [1.](#page-2-0) A picture array* M_2 *is derived from* M_1 *in* G *with* (l/u) *mode of derivation, denoted by* $M_1 \Rightarrow_{(l/u)} M_2$, by rewriting all the symbols and only

these symbols, either in the leftmost column or in the uppermost row of M_1 *using respectively, the column rule tables or the row rule tables. All the rules used to rewrite a column (or row) are taken from the same table.*

The picture language generated is defined as in the case of a P2DCFG *but using* $\Rightarrow_{(l/u)}$ *derivations. The family of picture languages generated by* $P2DCFGs$ *under* $\Rightarrow_{(l/u)}$ *derivations is denoted by* $(l/u)P2DCFL$ *. For convenience, we write* $(l/u)P2DCFG$ *to refer to* $P2DCFG$ *with* \Rightarrow (l/u) *derivations.*

We illustrate with an example.

Example 2. Consider an $(l/u)P2DCFG G_2 = (\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \{M_0\})$ where $\Sigma =$ ${a, b}, P_1 = {c}, P_2 = {r} \text{ with } c = {a \rightarrow ab, d \rightarrow da}, r = \begin{cases} a \rightarrow \frac{a}{a}, b \rightarrow \frac{b}{b} \end{cases}$ $\left.\right\},$ and $M_0 = \frac{a}{d} \frac{b}{a}$.

 G_2 generates a picture language L_2 consisting of arrays p of size $(m, n), m \geq 2$, $n \ge 2$ with $p(m, 1) = d$; $p(m, j) = a$, for $2 \le j \le n$; $p(i, 1) = a$, for $1 \le i \le m-1$; $p(i, j) = b$, otherwise. A member of L_2 is shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) A member of L_2 is shown in Fig. $2(a)$ $2(a)$ and on interpreting the symbol b as blank, the corresponding picture representing the letter L is shown in Fig. [2\(](#page-4-0)b).

abbbbb abbbbb abbbbb abbbbb daaaaa a a a a daaaaa

Fig. 2. (a) (on the left) A picture array in the language L_2 (b) (on the right) The interpreted picture representing letter *L*.

3 Pure 2D Context-Free Grammar with (*r/d***) Mode of Derivations**

We now introduce another variant of a $P2DCFG$. The leftmost and rightmost derivation modes in a context-free grammar (CFG) in string language theory, are well-known [\[13](#page-14-8)[,14](#page-14-7)], especially in the context of the study of parsers. It is also known that these derivation modes are equivalent to the "ordinary" derivations in a CFG in the sense of generating the same language class. Motivated to consider a corresponding notion of "leftmost kind" of derivation in pure 2D context-free grammars, the $(l/u)P2DCFG$ with an (l/u) mode of derivation was introduced in [\[6\]](#page-13-3). Here we consider the dual idea of rewriting the rightmost column of a picture array by a column rule table or the lowermost row by a row rule table. This corresponds to the "rightmost kind" of derivation mode in a string CFG. The interesting aspect is that this results in a picture language family which neither contains nor is contained in $P2DCFL$ or $(l/u)P2DCFL$.

Definition 3. Let $G = (\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \Gamma)$ be a P2DCFG with the components as in *Definition* [1.](#page-2-0) An (r/d) mode of derivation of a picture array M_2 from M_1 in G , *denoted by* \Rightarrow $_{(r/d)}$ *, is a derivation in* G *such that only the rightmost column or the lowermost row of* M¹ *is rewritten using respectively, the column rule tables or the row rule tables, to yield* M2*. The generated picture language is defined as in the case of a* P2DCFG *but with* \Rightarrow _(r/d) derivations. The family of picture languages *generated by* P2DCFGs *under* \Rightarrow (r/d) *derivations is denoted by* (r/d)P2DCFL. *For convenience, we write* $(r/d)P2DCFG$ *to refer to* $P2DCFG$ *with* \Rightarrow (r/d) *derivations.*

We illustrate with an example.

$$
\begin{array}{c} a\; b\; b\; b\; b\; a\\ b\; b\; b\; b\; b\; a\\ b\; b\; b\; b\; b\; a\\ b\; b\; b\; b\; b\; a\\ a\; a\; a\; a\; a\; b \end{array}
$$

Fig. 3. A picture array in the language *L*³

Example 3. Consider an
$$
(r/d)P2DCFG
$$
 $G_3 = (\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \{M_0\})$ where $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$, $P_1 = \{c\}$, $P_2 = \{r\}$ with $c = \{a \rightarrow ba, b \rightarrow ab\}$, $r = \{a \rightarrow \begin{matrix} b & b \\ a & b \end{matrix}, b \rightarrow \begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}\}$, and $M_0 = \begin{matrix} a & a \\ a & b \end{matrix}$.

 G_3 generates a picture language L_3 consisting of arrays p of size $(m, n), m \geq 2$, $n \ge 2$ with $p(1, 1) = a$; $p(m, n) = b$; $p(m, j) = a$, for $1 \le j \le n-1$; $p(i, n) = a$, for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$; $p(i, j) = b$, otherwise. A member of L_3 is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-5-0) A sample derivation in $(r/d)P2DCFG$ G₃ starting from the axiom array M_0 and using the tables c, r, c, c in this order is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-5-1) The application of the column rule table c rewrites all symbols in the rightmost column in parallel and likewise, the application of the row rule table r rewrites all symbols in the lowermost row. We note that the application of a column table or a row table is independent of each other as in a P2DCFG and so cannot maintain any proportion between the number of columns and the number of rows in any generated picture array.

$$
M_0 = \begin{array}{l} a & a \\ a & b \end{array} \Rightarrow {}_{(r/d)} \begin{array}{l} a & b & a \\ a & b & b \end{array} \Rightarrow {}_{(r/d)} \begin{array}{l} a & b & a \\ b & b & a \end{array} \Rightarrow {}_{(r/d)} \begin{array}{l} a & b & b & a \\ b & b & a & b \end{array} \Rightarrow {}_{(r/d)} \begin{array}{l} a & b & b & a \\ b & b & a & a \end{array}
$$

Fig. 4. A sample derivation under (r/d) mode in Example [3](#page-5-2)

We now compare the generative power of $(r/d)P2DCFL$ with $(l/u)P2DCFL$ and P2DCFL.

Theorem 1. *Each pair of the three families of* P2DCFL*,* (l/u)P2DCFL *and* (r/d)P2DCFL *is incomparable but not disjoint, when the alphabet contains at least two symbols. All the three families coincide if we restrict to only a unary alphabet.*

Proof. The non-trivial picture language L*RECT* of all rectangular picture arrays over $\{a, b\}$ belongs to all the three families of P2DCFL, (l/u) P2DCFL and $(r/d)P2DCFL$. In fact the corresponding P2DCFG needs to have only two tables

$$
c = \{a \rightarrow aa, a \rightarrow ab, b \rightarrow ba, b \rightarrow bb\}, r = \left\{a \rightarrow \frac{a}{a}, a \rightarrow \frac{a}{b}, b \rightarrow \frac{b}{a}, b \rightarrow \frac{b}{b}\right\}
$$

and axiom pictures a, b , for all the three modes of derivations in the $P2DCFG$. This shows that the three families are mutually not disjoint.

The incomparability of the families $P2DCFL$ and $(l/u)P2DCFL$ has been established in $[6]$. The picture language L_3 L_3 in Example 3 which belongs to $(r/d)P2DCFL$ cannot be generated by any $P2DCFG$ since every column in the picture arrays of L_3 involves the two symbols a, b only and so in order to generate the picture arrays of L_3 starting from an axiom array, we have to specify column rules for both a, b . In the (r/d) mode of derivation, the rightmost column will require a column rule that will rewrite b into $a \cdots ab$ and a into $b \cdots ba$. But then the table with these rules can be applied to any other column in a P2DCFG, resulting in picture arrays not in the language L_3 .

On the other hand the picture language L_1 L_1 in Example 1 belongs to $P2DCFL$ but it cannot be generated by any $(r/d)P2DCFG$ as there is an unique middle column in every picture array of L_1 and to the left and right of this middle column there are an equal number of identical columns. Since only the rightmost column can be rewritten in an $(r/d)P2DCFG$, it is not possible to maintain this feature of "equal number of identical columns" if rightmost column rewriting is done. We also note that the picture generated in any intermediate step also belongs to the language which prevents the use of any other symbol other than a and b . This proves the incomparability of the families $P2DCFL$ and $(r/d)P2DCFL$.

Again, the picture language L_3 in Example [3,](#page-5-2) which belongs to (r/d) P2DCFL, cannot be generated by any $(l/u)P2DCFG)$, since the leftmost column of every picture array in L_3 has the symbol a in the first row as well as the last row. The former will require a rule of the form $a \to ab \cdots b$ while the latter will require a rule of the form $a \rightarrow a \cdots ab$. But then the presence of these two rules in a column table gives a non-deterministic choice for rewriting a in the leftmost column in (l/u) mode of derivation, resulting in picture arrays not in the language L_3 .

On the other hand, consider the picture language L'_3 consisting of picture arrays p of size $(m, n), m \ge 2, n \ge 2$, with $p(1, 1) = b$; $p(m, n) = a$; $p(1, j) = a$, for $2 \leq j \leq n$; $p(i, 1) = a$, for $2 \leq i \leq m$; $p(i, j) = b$, otherwise. L'_3 can be generated a $(l/u)P2DCFG$ with axiom array $M_0 = \frac{b}{a} \frac{a}{a}$ and column table c and

row table r given by

$$
c = \{a \to ab, b \to ba\}, r = \left\{a \to \frac{a}{b}, b \to \frac{b}{a}\right\}
$$

A member of L'_3 is shown in Fig. [5.](#page-7-0) It can be seen that L'_3 cannot be generated by any $(r/d)P2DCFG$. This proves the incomparability of the families $(l/u)P2DCFL$ and $(r/d)P2DCFL$.

In the case of a unary alphabet with a single symbol, say, a , the column rules and the row rules can use only a and hence rewriting any column (or row) is equivalent to rewriting the leftmost or the rightmost column (or row) of a picture array. This shows that all the three families coincide in this case.

baaaaa abbbbb abbbbb abbbbb abbbba

Fig. 5. A picture array in the language L'_3 .

Remark 1. In [\[2\]](#page-13-2) (Proposition 3.14), the uniform membership problem (ump) for the class of $P2DCFG$ with unary alphabet, namely, "does the picture p belong to the language $L(G)$ generated by the P2DCFG G, given p as well as G as input?", is shown to be in class P. As a consequence of the fact that in the case of an unary alphabet, rewriting any column (respectively row) of a picture array is equivalent to rewriting the leftmost or the rightmost column (respectively row), this result on ump holds for the classes of $(l/u)P2DCFG$ and $(r/d)P2DCFG$.

4 Regulating Rewriting in (*r/d***)***P* **2***DCFG* **with Control Words**

Based on the concept of regulating the rewriting in string and array grammars [\[3](#page-13-4),[4,](#page-13-5)[14](#page-14-7)[,15](#page-14-9)] with different control mechanisms, as for example, grammars with control languages and matrix grammars, a $P2DCFG$ with a control language on the labels of the column rule and row rule tables has been introduced in [\[17](#page-14-4)] and certain properties have been obtained. Further results on the effect of control have been established in $[1,2,16]$ $[1,2,16]$ $[1,2,16]$ $[1,2,16]$. This study on control language has been extended in [\[6](#page-13-3)] to the class $(l/u)P2DCFG$. Here we obtain corresponding results for the class $(r/d)P2DCFG$.

A $(r/d)P2DCFG$ with a control language is $G^c = (G, Lab, C)$ where $G = (\Sigma,$ P_1, P_2, Γ) is a $(r/d)P2DCFG$, Lab is a set of labels of the tables of G, with each table in $P_1 \cup P_2$ being assigned a distinct label and $C \subseteq Lab^*$ is a string language. The words in Lab^* are called control words of G. Derivations $M_1 \Rightarrow_w M_2$ in G^c are done as in G in (r/d) mode except that if $w \in Lab^*$ and $w = l_1l_2...l_m$, then the tables of rules with labels l_1, l_2, \ldots , and l_m are successively applied starting with the picture array M_1 to finally yield the picture array M_2 , which is collected in the language if M_1 is an axiom array. If any of the labels in a control word is such that the corresponding table of rules cannot be applied to the picture array on hand, the derivation is discarded and it does not yield any array. The picture array language generated by G*^c* consists of all picture arrays obtained from axiom arrays of G with the derivations controlled as described above. We denote the family of picture languages generated by $(r/d)P2DCFGs$ with a regular control language by $(R)(r/d)P2DCFL$ and with a context-free control language by $(CF)(l/u)P2DCFG$.

Theorem 2. $(r/d)P2DCFL \subset (R)(r/d)P2DCFL \subset (CF)(r/d)P2DCFL$.

Proof. The inclusions follow by noting that a $(r/d)P2DCFG$ is a $(R)(r/d)$ $P2DCFG$ on setting the regular control language as Lab^* where Lab is the set of labels of the tables of the $(r/d)P2DCFG$ and the well-known fact [\[14\]](#page-14-7) that the class of regular string languages is included in the class of context-free languages.

The proper inclusion in $(r/d)P2DCFL \subset (R)(r/d)P2DCFL$ can be seen by considering a picture language L_4 consisting of only square sized arrays p of the language L_3 given in the Example [3.](#page-5-2) This picture language can be generated by the $(r/d)P2DCFG$ G_{[3](#page-5-2)} in Example 3 with a regular control language $(cr)^*$. But by definition, the application of the column rule and row rule tables are independent in a $(r/d)P2DCFG$ and hence no $(r/d)P2DCFG$ can generate L_4 which consists of square sized arrays.

The proper inclusion of $(R)(r/d)P2DCFL$ in $(CF)(r/d)P2DCFL$ can be shown by considering a picture language L_5 consisting of picture arrays p as in Example [1](#page-2-1) but of sizes $(n+2, 2n+1), n \geq 1$. The $(CF)(r/d)P2DCFG$ $G^c = (G_5, Lab, C)$ generates L_5 , where $G_5 = (\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \{M_0\}), \Sigma = \{a, b, d\},$ $P_1 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}, P_2 = \{r\}$ with

$$
c_1 = \{d \to ad, a \to ba\}, c_2 = \{d \to da, a \to ab\}, c_3 = \{a \to aa, b \to bb\},\
$$

$$
r = \left\{d \to \frac{a}{d}, a \to \frac{b}{a}\right\},\
$$

 $M_0 = b \, a$ and $Lab = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, r\}$ with c_1, c_2, c_3, r themselves being consida d a d

ered as the labels of the corresponding tables. The CF control language is $C = \{(c_1r)^n c_2c_3^n | n \geq 0\}$. The grammar G_5 generates the picture arrays of L_5 , in the (r/d) derivation mode according to the control words of C. Starting a d

from the axiom array $M_0 = b \, a$ the rightmost column of M_0 is rewritten using a d

the column rule table c_1 and this is immediately followed by the row rule table r which rewrites once, all the symbols in the lowermost row. This can be repeated

n times (for some $n \geq 0$). Then the column rule table c_2 is applied once, followed by the application of the column rule table c_3 , the same number of times as c_1 followed by r was done, thus yielding a picture array in L_5 . But L_5 cannot be generated by any $(r/d)P2DCFG$ with regular control. In fact if a generation of a picture array $p \in L_5$ makes use of a regular control, then there will be no information available on the number of columns generated once the derivation "crosses" the middle column (made of one d as the first symbol and another d as the last symbol with all other symbols in the column being a's). Hence the columns to the left and right of this middle column cannot be generated in equal number. \Box

The notion of a control symbol or control character was considered in [\[2](#page-13-2)] while dealing with $(R)P2DCFG$. The idea is that In a $(R)P2DCFG$, the alphabet may contain some symbols called control symbols [\[2\]](#page-13-2) which might not be ultimately involved in the picture arrays of the language generated. A picture language L_d was considered in [\[2\]](#page-13-2) given by $L_d = \{p \in \{a, b\}^{++} | |p|_{col} =$ $|p|_{row}, p(i,j) = a$, for $i = j, p(i,j) = b$ for $i \neq j$. It was shown in [\[2\]](#page-13-2), that at least two control symbols are required to generate L_d using $(R)P2DCFG$. In $[6]$ $[6]$, it was proved that L_d can be generated with a single control character using $(R)(l/u)P2DCFG$. We show here that an analogous result holds in the case of $(R)(r/d)P2DCFG$.

Lemma 1. *The language* L_d *can be generated by an* $(R)(r/d)P2DCFG$ *using a single control character. Also,* L_d *is not in* $(r/d)P2DCFL$.

Proof. Consider the $(R)(r/d)P2DCFG$ with the $(r/d)P2DCFG$ given by $({a, b, e}, {c}, {r}, {a \mid b \atop b \mid a})$ where

$$
c = \{a \to ea, b \to bb\}, r = \left\{a \to \frac{b}{a}, e \to \frac{a}{b}, b \to \frac{b}{b}\right\},\
$$

and control language (cr)[∗] generates L*d*. The idea in the generation of the picture arrays of L*^d* is that the symbol e in the alphabet acts as the control character. An application of the column table c produces e to the left of the only a in the last row and when this is followed by the application of the row table r (according to the control word), the symbol e "disappears", yielding an array in L_d . It can be seen that L_d cannot be generated by any $(r/d)P2DCFG$.

In [\[2](#page-13-2), p. 1730], a picture language L_{2d} given by $L_{2d} = \{p \in \{a, b\}^{++} | |p|_{col} =$ $|p|_{row}, p(i,j) = b$, for $i = j$ and $i = j - 1$, $p(i,j) = a$ otherwise was shown to be generated by a $(R)P2DCFG$ making use of four ([\[2\]](#page-13-2) mentions three but the grammar actually involves four) control characters. We note that L_{2d} can be generated by a $(R)(r/d)P2DCFG$ G^c_{2d} with three control characters. In fact the grammar is essentially as given in [\[2\]](#page-13-2) with a slight modification. For completeness we give this grammar here. The $P2DCFG$ in G_{2d}^c is $({a, b, b_1, b_2, e}, P_1, P_2, {M_0}), P_1 = {c}, P_2 = {r_1, r_2}$ with

$$
c = \{a \to aa, b_2 \to b_2e\}, r_1 = \{a \to a, b_1 \to b, b_2 \to b\},
$$

$$
r_2 = \left\{ a \rightarrow \frac{a}{a}, b_1 \rightarrow \frac{b}{a}, b_2 \rightarrow \frac{b}{b_1}, e \rightarrow \frac{a}{b_2} \right\},\,
$$

 $M_0 = \frac{b}{b_1} \frac{a}{b_2}$ and the regular control language $(cr_2)^*r_1$. We can likewise construct a $(R)(l/u)P2DCFG$ to generate L_{2d} . Also, in [\[2,](#page-13-2) p. 1728], it was shown that the family $(R)P2DCFL$ has nonempty intersection with the class LOC [\[5\]](#page-13-0) of local picture languages whose pictures are defined by means of tiles i.e. square pictures of size $(2, 2)$ and another class of picture languages, which we call here as PL , defined by a class of picture grammars referred to as Prussa grammars $[2]$. This is done in $[2]$ $[2]$ by showing that L_{2d} is in all the three families $P2DCFL$, LOC and PL . As a consequence of these remarks, we have the following Theorem [3.](#page-10-0)

Theorem 3. All the three families $(R)P2DCFL$, $(R)(l/u)P2DCFL$ and (R)(r/d)P2DCFL *have non-empty intersection with the picture language families LOC* and PL.

5 Matrix Control on *P* **2***DCFG*

In another type of regulating the use of rules in derivations, known as matrix control, a pre-specified finite sequence of rules is applied constituting a single step of derivation in the grammar [\[3](#page-13-4),[14\]](#page-14-7). Here we consider this kind of control in P2DCFG and the two variants $(l/u)P2DCFG$ and $(r/d)P2DCFG$.

Definition 4. *A matrix P2DCFG is a 3-tuple* $G_m = (G, Lab, M)$ where $G =$ $(\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \Gamma)$ *is a P2DCFG, Lab is a set of labels of the tables of G_{<i>, with each*} *table in* $P_1 \cup P_2$ *being assigned a distinct label and* M *is a finite set of sequences, called matrices, of the form* $m = [l_1, \dots, l_n], n \ge 1$ *, where* $l_i \in Lab$ *, for all* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Derivations in a matrix P2DCFG *are defined as in a* P2DCFG *except that a single derivation step is done by the application of the tables of rules of a matrix* m *in* M*, in the order in which the labels of the tables are given in* m*. The family of picture languages generated by matrix* P2DCFG *is denoted by* MP2DCFL*.*

We illustrate with an example.

Example 4. Consider the picture language L'_1 consisting of arrays belonging to the picture language L_1 L_1 in Example 1 but of size $(n + 2, 2n + 1), n \ge 1$. Note that the arrays in L'_1 maintain a proportion and hence L'_1 cannot be generated by any P2DCFG since the column tables and row tables can be independently applied in a P2DCFG. But L'_1 is generated by the matrix P2DCFG G'_{m1} = (G_1, Lab, M) where G_1 is as in Example [1.](#page-2-1) In fact the column table c and the row table r in G_1 are $c = \{a \rightarrow bab, d \rightarrow ada, e \rightarrow aea\}, r = \left\{d \rightarrow \frac{d}{a}, a \rightarrow \frac{a}{b}\right\}$ $\left.\right\}$

and the axiom array is $M_0 = b a b$. The label set $Lab = \{c, r\}$ and the set M ada aea

consists of the only matrix $m = [c, r]$.

Note that in a derivation in G'_{m} that starts with the axiom array, an application of the matrix m amounts to rewriting by the rules of the table c followed by the rules of r to constitute one step of derivation yielding an array of size $(4,5)$

aadaa

given by bbabb \overrightarrow{b} \overrightarrow{a} \overrightarrow{b} \overrightarrow{b} . The process can be repeated any number of times yielding aadaa

the arrays of L_1 .

Analogous to matrix $P2DCFG$, we can define matrix $(l/u)P2DCFG$ and matrix $(r/d)P2DCFG$ as in Definition [4,](#page-10-1) except that we replace $P2DCFG$ by $(l/u)P2DCFG$ or $(r/d)P2DCFG$. We denote the resulting families of picture languages by $M(l/u)P2DCFL$ and $M(r/d)P2DCFL$.

We illustrate matrix $(r/d)P2DCFG$ by an example.

Example 5. Consider the picture language L'_3 consisting of arrays belonging to the picture language L_3 L_3 in Example 3 but of size (n, n) , $n \geq 2$. The language L'_3 cannot be generated by any $(r/d)P2DCFG$ since the arrays in L'_3 maintain a proportion. But L'_3 is generated by the matrix $(r/d)P2DCFG$ $G'_{m3} = (G_3, Lab, M)$ where G_3 is as in Example [3.](#page-5-2) In fact the column table c and the row table r in G_3 are $c = \{a \to ba, b \to ab\}, r = \left\{a \to \frac{b}{a}, b \to \frac{a}{b}\right\}$ $\big\}$

and $M_0 = \frac{a}{a} \frac{a}{b}$. The label set $Lab = \{c, r\}$ and the set M consists of the only matrix $m = [c, r]$.

Starting from the axiom array, one step of derivation on applying the matrix aba

m yields the array $b \, b \, a$. On repeating the process, we obtain the arrays of L'_3 . aab

Lemma 2. *(i)* $P2DCFL \subset MP2DCFL$ (iii) (l/u) P2DCFL ⊂ $M(l/u)$ P2DCFL (iii) (r/d) P2DCFL ⊂ M (r/d) P2DCFL

Proof. The proper inclusions in (i) and (iii) are a consequence of the Examples [4](#page-10-2) and 5 , while the inclusions are straightforward, noting that every table t of rules in a P2DCFG can be considered as a matrix $m = \{t\}$. The statement *(ii)* can be similarly shown.

Theorem 4. *(i)* $P2DCFL \subset MP2DCFL \subset (R)P2DCFL$ *(ii)* $(l/u)P2DCFL ⊂ M(l/u)P2DCFL ⊂ (R)(l/u)P2DCFL$ *(iii)* (r/d) *P2DCFL* ⊂ $M(r/d)$ *P2DCFL* ⊂ $(R)(r/d)$ *P2DCFL*

Proof. The inclusions and proper inclusions in the first half of the statements (i) , (ii) and (iii) follow from Lemma [2.](#page-11-1) We prove the second half of (i) and (*iii*). The second half of (*ii*) can be similarly shown. For every matrix of the form $m = [l_1, \dots, l_n]$ in a given matrix P2DCFG G_m , where $l_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are the labels of the tables of rules, we associate a word $w = l_1 \cdots l_n$. If w_1, \dots, w_p are the words obtained in this way from the matrix $P2DCFG G_m$, then we form a (R) P2DCFG where the P2DCFG is the same as the one in the matrix P2DCFG but the set of control words is $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}^*$. It can be seen that the $(R)P2DCFG$ constructed generates the picture language generated by G_m . This proves the inclusion $MP2DCFL \subseteq (R)P2DCFL$. The inclusion $M(r/d)P2DCFL \subseteq (R)(r/d)P2DCFL$ is similar.

```
aaabbdbbaaa
aaabbdbbaaa
aaabbdbbaaa
aaabbdbbaaa
aaabbdbbaaa
```
Fig. 6. A picture array in the language *La,b*

For the proper inclusion $MP2DCFL \subset (R)P2DCFL$, we consider the picture language $L_{a,b}$ generated by the $P2DCFG$ ({a, b, d}, {c₁, c₂}, {r}, {d}) where

$$
c_1 = \{d \rightarrow adb\}, c_2 = \{d \rightarrow bda\}, r = \left\{d \rightarrow \frac{d}{d}, a \rightarrow \frac{a}{a}, b \rightarrow \frac{b}{b}\right\}
$$

with a regular control language $\{(c_1r)^m(c_2r)^n|m, n \geq 1\}$. We note that this regular language can be expressed as $(c_1r)^+(c_2r)^+$ in terms of the Kleene-plus operation [\[13\]](#page-14-8) in string languages. The picture arrays generated are of size $(m+n,$ $2m + 2n + 1$, $m, n \ge 1$. A member of this language is shown in Fig. [6.](#page-12-0) We note that the first m columns are made of only a , the next n columns are made of only b and there is a middle column made of only d while to the right of this middle column, there are n columns made of only b followed by m columns only made of a. On the other hand no matrix $P2DCFG$ can handle this feature. In fact, if there is a matrix with a column table that produces the columns of a and also a column table that produces the columns of b , then picture arrays which are not in the desired form will be generated. Likewise, if there are two independent matrices with one having a column table that produces the columns of a and another matrix having a column table that produces the columns of b, any of them can be applied again yielding pictures not in the language.

For the proper inclusion $M(r/d)P2DCFL \subset (R)P2DCFL$, we consider a similar picture language generated in the (r/d) mode, by the $P2DCFG$ $(\{a, b, d\}, \{c_1, c_2\}, \{r\}, \{d\})$ where

$$
c_1 = \{d \to ad\}, c_2 = \{d \to bd\}, r = \left\{d \to \frac{d}{d}, a \to \frac{a}{a}, b \to \frac{b}{b}\right\}
$$

with a regular control language $\{(c_1r)^m(c_2r)^n|m, n \geq 1$. It can be seen that matrix P2DCFG in (r/d) mode cannot generate this language.

6 Concluding Remarks

Another variant of P2DCFG [\[16,](#page-14-6)[17\]](#page-14-4) rewriting only the rightmost column or the lowermost row of a picture array is considered and properties of the resulting family $(r/d)P2DCFL$ of picture languages are obtained. We can also consider and examine other variants of P2DCFG having a mixed mode of derivation, as for example, rewriting the leftmost column along with the lowermost row or the rightmost column along with the uppermost row. In [\[2\]](#page-13-2), membership problem and the effect of substitution rules of the form $a \rightarrow b$ have been elaborately explored for the class P2DCFL. These questions and other properties remain to be investigated in the $(l/u)P2DCFG$ as well as $(r/d)P2DCFG$. Also we note that grammars are relevant to the problem of generation of fractals, as certain kind of Lindenmayer system (also called, L system) [\[8,](#page-14-10)[12\]](#page-14-11), which uses contextfree grammar (CFG) type of rules, has been used to generate fractals but the application of the CFG type of rules is done in parallel. The question of generation of fractals by the Pure 2D CF grammar models is a possible problem of investigation and we believe that this might require a different approach of applying the tables of rules of this 2D grammar.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to the referees for their very useful comments which helped improve the presentation of the paper.

References

- 1. Bersani, M.M., Frigeri, A., Cherubini, A.: On some classes of 2D languages and their relations. In: Aggarwal, J.K., Barneva, R.P., Brimkov, V.E., Koroutchev, K.N., Korutcheva, E.R. (eds.) IWCIA 2011. LNCS, vol. 6636, pp. 222–234. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
- 2. Bersani, M.M., Frigeri, A., Cherubini, A.: Expressiveness and complexity of regular pure two-dimensional context-free languages. Int. J. Comput. Math. **90**, 1708–1733 (2013)
- 3. Dassow, J., Păun, G.: Regulated Rewriting in Formal Language Theory. Springer, Heidelberg (1989)
- 4. Freund, R.: Control mechanisms on $\#$ -context-free array grammars. In: Păun, Gh. (ed.) Mathematical Aspects of Natural and Formal Languages, pp. 97–137. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore (1994)
- 5. Giammarresi, D., Restivo, A.: Two-dimensional languages. In: Rozenberg, G., Salomaa, A. (eds.) Handbook of Formal Languages, vol. 3, pp. 215–267. Springer, Heidelberg (1997)
- 6. Křivka, Z., Martín-Vide, C., Meduna, A., Subramanian, K.G.: A variant of pure two-dimensional context-free grammars generating picture languages. In: Barneva, R.P., Brimkov, V.E., Slapal, J. (eds.) IWCIA 2014. LNCS, vol. 8466, pp. 123–133. Springer, Heidelberg (2014)
- 7. Maurer, H.A., Salomaa, A., Wood, D.: Pure grammars. Inform. Control **44**, 47–72 (1980)
- 8. Mishra, J.: Classification of linear fractals through L-system. In: Proceedings of the International Conferences Emerging Trends in Engineering and Technology, pp. 1–5 (2008)
- 9. Nakamura, A.: Picture languages. In: Davis, L.S. (ed.) Foundations of Image Understanding. International Series in Engineering and Computer Science, pp. 127–155. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell (2001)
- 10. Rosenfeld, A.: Picture Languages. Academic Press, Reading (1979)
- 11. Rosenfeld, A., Siromoney, R.: Picture languages - a survey. Lang. Des. **1**, 229–245 (1993)
- 12. Rozenberg, G., Salomaa, A.: The Mathematical Theory of L-Systems. Academic Press, New York (1980)
- 13. Rozenberg, G., Salomaa, A. (eds.): Handbook of Formal Languages (3 Volumes). Springer, Berlin (1997)
- 14. Salomaa, A.: Formal Languages. Academic Press, Reading (1973)
- 15. Siromoney, R., Subramanian, K.G., Rangarajan, K.: Control on kolam arrays. Inform. Control **32**, 272–275 (1976)
- 16. Subramanian, K.G., Ali, R.M., Geethalakshmi, M., Nagar, A.K.: Pure 2D picture grammars and languages. Discrete Appl. Math. **157**(16), 3401–3411 (2009)
- 17. Subramanian, K.G., Nagar, A.K., Geethalakshmi, M.: Pure 2D picture grammars (P2DPG) and P2DPG with regular control. In: Brimkov, V.E., Barneva, R.P., Hauptman, H.A. (eds.) IWCIA 2008. LNCS, vol. 4958, pp. 330–341. Springer, Heidelberg (2008)
- 18. Subramanian, K.G., Rangarajan, K., Mukund, M. (eds.): Formal Models, Languages and Applications. Series in Machine Perception and Artificial Intelligence, vol. 66. World Scientific, Singapore (2006)
- 19. Wang, P.S.-P. (ed.): Array Grammars, Patterns and Recognizers. Series in Computer Science, vol. 18. World Scientific, Singapore (1989)