Chapter 6 Maximizing the Taxonomic Resolution of MALDI-TOF-MS-Based Approaches to Bacterial Characterization: From Culture Conditions Through Data Analysis

Lin Zhang and Todd R. Sandrin

Introduction

Bioterrorism, infectious disease, and microbial contamination of food and water threaten public health and safety (Bain et al. [2014;](#page-24-0) Chiu [2014](#page-25-0); Ferreira et al. [2014](#page-26-0); Murray [2010](#page-29-0); Trafny et al. [2014\)](#page-32-0). Infectious bacterial diseases cause nearly 20 million deaths annually (Chiu [2014](#page-25-0); Lazcka et al. [2007](#page-29-1)). Therefore, the development of rapid, reliable, and sensitive methods for microbial identification is critically important in environmental monitoring, clinical microbiology, as well as water quality and food safety.

Bacterial resistance to antibiotics has increased significantly recently (Gentile et al. [2014](#page-26-1); Martin-Loeches et al. [2014\)](#page-29-2). Antibiotic-resistant strains, such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE), and multidrug resistant bacteria, for example, bacteria with New Delhi Metallo-beta-lactamase-1 ( *NDM*-1) gene (Eells et al. [2013](#page-25-1); Epstein et al. [2014](#page-25-2); Holland et al. [2014b](#page-27-0); Morgan et al. [2014](#page-29-3); Epstein et al. [2014](#page-25-2)) complicate the treatment of infections (Livermore [2012](#page-29-4)). Rapid determination and detection of antibiotic resistant strains play an important role in therapy (Niederman [2009](#page-30-0)) and is necessary for preventing transmission of such pathogens (Grundmann et al. [2010\)](#page-27-1). This highlights the needs for rapid approaches to differentiate antibiotic-resistant from antibiotic-sensitive strains of pathogenic microorganisms. Strain-level information is also critically important when identification of strains with increased virulence or expanded host range is sought (Li et al. [2009\)](#page-29-5). As a result, rapid bacterial strain typing, or identifying bacteria at the strain level, has become increasingly important in modern microbiology.

Bacterial taxonomic levels remain highly debated in the literature (Gao and Gupta [2012](#page-26-2); Staley [2006](#page-32-1)). The term "strain" is used in this chapter to refer to a taxo-

L. Zhang

T. R. Sandrin (\boxtimes)

School of Mathematical and Natural Sciences, New College of Interdisciplinary Arts & Sciences, Arizona State University, Phoenix, AZ, USA e-mail: Todd.Sandrin@asu.edu

School of Mathematical and Natural Sciences, Arizona State University, MC 2352, PO Box 37100, Phoenix, AZ 85069, USA

[©] Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016 147

P. Demirev, T. R. Sandrin (eds.), *Applications of Mass Spectrometry in Microbiology,* DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-26070-9_6

Fig. 6.1 Increasing taxonomic resolution is required to reliably characterize bacteria when moving from the genus level (e.g., *Escherichia*) to the subspecies (e.g., K-12) level. Well-described and effective methods exist for many applications at the genus and species levels, while strain-level applications require additional methodological development and optimization

nomic level more specific and exclusive than species, which includes all subspecies taxa (Fig. [6.1](#page-1-0)).

Traditionally, methods to type bacteria are classified into two groups: phenotyping and genotyping. Bacterial phenotypes can be determined by assessing the morphology of bacterial colonies on solid media surfaces, gram staining, biochemical/metabolic patterns, immunology-based methods, and antibiotic susceptibility. These methods often do not provide enough information to differentiate closely related strains. Discrimination of strains based on comparison of genetic variation is widely used. Bacteria can be classified using DNA fingerprinting, DNA sequence information, and microarrays (Li et al. [2009](#page-29-5)). DNA fingerprint-based methods analyze patterns of DNA bands (fragments) which are generated by digestion of genomic DNA using restriction enzymes, amplification of DNA, or by a combination of both. Such methods include pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE; Spanu et al. [2014\)](#page-32-2), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP; Perez-Boto et al. [2014\)](#page-30-1), repetitive sequencing-based polymerase chain reaction (rep-PCR; Nucera et al. [2013\)](#page-30-2), multiple-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA; Shan et al. [2014\)](#page-31-0), and denaturing/temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE/TGGE; Xiao et al. [2014](#page-33-0)). Each of these genotyping methods can provide quantitative, accurate information about the unknown bacteria; however, they are time consuming, laborious, and technically demanding. Some methods, such as microarray-based methods, are also particularly expensive (Li et al. [2009\)](#page-29-5).

For more than two decades, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-offlight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) has been shown to be a rapid and effective tool to profile bacteria at the genus and species levels (Dallagassa et al. [2014;](#page-25-3) Demirev and Fenselau [2008;](#page-25-4) Freiwald and Sauer [2009](#page-26-3); Giebel et al. [2010;](#page-26-4) Welker and Moore [2011\)](#page-33-1). The utility of this approach to profile bacteria at the strain level has not been as clearly demonstrated, in part, because similar bacteria tend

Fig. 6.2 A generic workflow from cultivation through data analysis to characterize bacteria using MALDI-TOF MS. At each step of the workflow, different approaches have been employed and can be optimized to maximize taxonomic resolution

to produce remarkably similar MALDI-TOF profiles that often do not allow facile differentiation of individual strains from one another. The focus of this chapter is on recent developments and the state of the science of maximizing the taxonomic resolution of MALDI-TOF MS-enabled characterization of bacteria. In particular, the chapter focuses on recent approaches employed throughout the MALDI-TOF MS workflow—from culture conditions, sample preparation, data acquisition, through data analysis—that affect and can be optimized to enhance the performance of MALDI-TOF MS-based characterization of bacteria at the strain level (Fig. [6.2\)](#page-2-0).

Overview of MALDI-TOF MS Profiling of Bacteria

Mass spectrometry was first used for microbial characterization by Anhalt and Fenselau in 1975 (Anhalt and Fenselau [1975](#page-24-1)). By directly inserting lyophilized cells into a double-focusing mass spectrometer (CEC 21-110), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* produced distinguishable mass profiles. MAL-DI-MS was first introduced for analysis of high mass peptides and proteins in the late 1980s (Karas et al. [1987](#page-28-0)). A few years later, protein profiles of lysed and intact bacterial cells, for example *Escherichia coli,* were analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS (Cain et al. [1994](#page-24-2); Holland et al. [1996\)](#page-27-2). Results showed that bacteria could be easily distinguished based on these "fingerprint" mass spectra. Since that seminal work, a staggering number and diversity of medically and environmentally relevant bacteria have been profiled using MALDI-TOF MS (e.g., Dallagassa et al. [2014](#page-25-3); Ge et al. [2014](#page-26-5); Giebel et al. [2008](#page-26-6)).

Library-Based Approaches

The most commonly employed approach to characterizing bacteria using MALDI-TOF MS involves comparing mass spectra of unknown bacteria to spectra in databases that contain spectra of known reference bacteria (Fig. [6.3a\)](#page-3-0). This library-

Fig. 6.3 MALDI-TOF MS-enabled characterization of unknown bacterium using **a** library-based approaches and **b** bioinformatics-based approaches

based strategy is popular because of its ease of use and the high speed of data collection (Chiu [2014](#page-25-0); Fenselau [2013](#page-26-7); Sandrin et al. [2013](#page-31-1)) . The reproducibility of mass spectra of unknown bacteria must be assessed before matched to mass spectra in the databases, and high reproducibility is critically important, particularly in strain-level applications.

Typically, bacteria are streaked onto agar plates (Grosse-Herrenthey et al. [2008;](#page-27-3) Pennanec et al. [2010](#page-30-3); Stets et al. [2013](#page-32-3); Wang et al. [2014\)](#page-32-4) or grown in liquid broth (Han et al. [2014](#page-27-4); Wensing et al. [2010](#page-33-2); Xiao et al. [2014b\)](#page-33-3). After harvesting from broth or agar media, bacterial cells are inactivated and prepared for MALDI analysis (Freiwald and Sauer [2009\)](#page-26-3). Both intact cells (Han et al. [2014;](#page-27-4) Niyompanich et al. [2014](#page-30-4); Wang et al. [2014](#page-32-4)) and cell protein extracts (Goncalves et al. [2014;](#page-26-8) Kopcakova et al. [2014](#page-28-1); Stets et al. [2013\)](#page-32-3) have been widely used. In the intact cell approach, cells are often directly mixed with MALDI matrix, such as sinapinic acid or alpha-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid, and the cell-matrix mixtures are deposited onto the target for analysis (AlMasoud et al. [2014](#page-24-3); Helmel et al. [2014\)](#page-27-5). Cultures/cell suspensions have also been applied directly to the MALDI target plate and overlaid with matrix (Carbonnelle et al. [2007](#page-24-4); Christner et al. [2014](#page-25-5); Han et al. [2014](#page-27-4)). In protein extract-based approaches, cells are lysed using either physical (Fujinami et al. [2011](#page-26-9); Sun et al. [2006](#page-32-5); Teramoto et al. [2007a\)](#page-32-6) or chemical (AlMasoud et al. [2014;](#page-24-3)

Wieme et al. [2014b](#page-33-4); Zhang et al. [2014b](#page-34-0)) methods to release the contents of the cells into the supernatant. The supernatant containing the extracted proteins is then either overlaid (Wenning et al. [2014](#page-33-5); Wieme et al. [2014b\)](#page-33-4) or mixed (AlMasoud et al. [2014\)](#page-24-3) with MALDI matrix and deposited onto the target. Excellent and comprehensive reviews of various sample preparation methods (Šedo et al. [2011a](#page-31-2)) as well as a detailed protocol for sample preparation for profiling bacteria using MALDI-TOF MS (Freiwald and Sauer [2009](#page-26-3)) are available.

Reference spectra are generally collected using the linear detector of the MAL-DI TOF MS. Both automatic data acquisition using software (e.g., AutoXecute in Bruker's FlexControl software) to control the mass spectrometer (Eddabra et al. [2012](#page-25-6); Schumaker et al. [2012](#page-31-3); Zhang et al. [2014a](#page-34-1)) and manual data acquisition (Khot et al. [2012](#page-28-2); Schumaker et al. [2012\)](#page-31-3) have been used to collect spectra. Automated data acquisition can enhance the high-throughput nature of MALDI profiling, while manual data acquisition has been shown to yield mass spectra with higher quality and reproducibility. Typically, spectra are collected over a mass range from 2 to 20 kDa (Salaun et al. [2010](#page-31-4); Stets et al. [2013](#page-32-3); Thevenon et al. [2012\)](#page-32-7), while broader mass ranges, for example, 1 Da–100 kDa (Jackson et al. [2005\)](#page-28-3) and 1 Da–20 kDa (Hettick et al. [2006](#page-27-6)), and narrower mass ranges such as 500 Da–10 kDa (Keys et al. [2004](#page-28-4); Rajakaruna et al. [2009\)](#page-30-5) and 7–10 kDa (Sauer and Kliem [2010\)](#page-31-5) have also been employed. In automatic data acquisition, users must specify threshold values of several instrument operation parameters, including minimum base peak intensity, resolution, signal-to-noise ratio, and the number of peaks accumulated. These operation parameters can be optimized to increase the quality and reproducibility of the resulting mass spectra (Zhang et al. [2014a\)](#page-34-1). After collecting mass spectra from a large collection of bacterial strains, the spectra are processed and analyzed to obtain information (e.g., similarity coefficients and potential biomarker peaks) to characterize unknown bacteria. A reference spectrum of a species is generated by summarizing the processed spectra of technical and/or biological replicates of the species. The mass spectra of unknown bacteria are then compared with the reference spectra for characterization using a variety of metrics, including manufacturer-defined algorithms (e.g., BioTyper scores), Pearson product–moment correlation coefficients, and jackknife values, which are described more fully later in this chapter.

Bioinformatics-Enabled Approaches

In contrast to library-based approaches which typically do not involve identification of the biological nature/origin of particular peaks, bioinformatics-enabled approaches identify peaks in MALDI profiles to characterize unknown bacteria (Fig. [6.3b\)](#page-3-0). Bioinformatics-enabled approaches are commonly applied to bacteria with sequenced genomes. Two methods have been used in bioinformatics-enabled approaches: bottom-up and top-down methods. Bottom-up methods involve digestion of proteins using enzymes, such as trypsin, prior to MS analysis. The enzymes cleave at well-defined sites (e.g., after every arginine and lysine, in the case of tryptic digestion) of the proteins to create complex peptide mixtures. Peptides in

the mixtures can be subjected to collision-induced dissociation (CID) to generate fragments, and the masses of the fragments can be determined. The masses of the peptide fragments are used to identify the proteins by searching databases (e.g., NCBI). The identified proteins are used as biomarkers to identify bacteria (Fenselau et al. [2007](#page-26-10); Pribil et al. [2005](#page-30-6); Russell et al. [2007](#page-30-7)). In contrast, top-down methods introduce intact (undigested) proteins into the mass spectrometer. The intact proteins are fragmented into smaller peptides in tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). The experimental MS/MS spectra are compared with in silico-generated MS/MS spectra from protein sequences in proteome databases for rapid identification of bacteria (Demirev et al. [2005](#page-25-7); Wynne et al. [2009](#page-33-6)). Top-down methods have also been successfully applied to distinguish a pathogenic *E. coli* strain (O157:H7) from the nonpathogenic strains (non-O157:H7) (Fagerquist et al. [2010\)](#page-26-11). Spectral reproducibility of bioinformatics-enabled approaches is not critical as long as the ions of biomarkers are consistent with the sequences in the database. Thus, bioinformatics-enabled approaches do not require rigorously standardized protocols across laboratories to the extent required by library-based approaches. However, bioinformatics-enabled approaches are rarely applied to microorganisms that do not have fully sequenced genomes and readily available protein/peptide databases.

Successes at the Genus and Species Levels

Genus- and species-level characterization of bacteria using MALDI-TOF MS with library-based and/or bioinformatics-enabled methods has been successfully applied in many areas. For example, in clinical microbiology, MALDI-TOF MS has been used to identify pathogens directly from monomicrobial positive blood cultures (Klein et al. [2012](#page-28-5); Martinez et al. [2014](#page-29-6); Rodriguez-Sanchez et al. [2014](#page-30-8)) and urine samples (Ferreira et al. [2010b](#page-26-12); Rossello et al. [2014](#page-30-9); Wang et al. [2013\)](#page-32-8) . Correct identification rates at the genus and species levels shown in these studies range from 98% (Martinez et al. [2014](#page-29-6)) down to 70% (Klein et al. [2012\)](#page-28-5). Gram-negative bacteria have been reported to be more readily identified correctly than Gram-positive bacteria (Klein et al. [2012\)](#page-28-5). Schrottner et al. ([2014](#page-31-6)) reported that MALDI-TOF MS can distinguish between two opportunistic pathogens, *Myroides odoratus and Myroides odoratiminus* at the species level, and results were comparable to those obtained with 16S rDNA sequencing. Zhang et al. ([2014b](#page-34-0)) used MALDI-TOF MS to identify *Lactobacilli* isolated from saliva samples of adults with dental caries. Results showed that 88.6% of *Lactobacillis* isolates and 95.5% of *non-Lactobacillis* isolates were correctly identified at the genus level using MALDI-TOF MS. These rates were comparable to those obtained using 16S rDNA sequencing (Zhang et al. [2014b](#page-34-0)). Hsueh et al. ([2014](#page-27-7)) showed that *Acinetobacter* species isolated from blood samples could be correctly identified using MALDI with commercially available software (Bruker's Biotyper). The correct identification rate for various *Acinetobacter* species ranged from 98.6% down to 72.4% (Hsueh et al. [2014](#page-27-7)). Both library-based (Ilina et al. [2010\)](#page-28-6) and bioinformatics-enabled methods (Xiao et al.

[2014c](#page-33-7)) have been successfully applied to identify the etiologic agent of stomach ulcers, *Helicobacter pylori*, a Gram-negative, microaerophilic bacterium with high genetic variability. Furthermore, differentiation between *Streptococcus pneumonia* and some closely related species, such as, *Streptococcus pseudopneumoniae*, *Streptococcus mitis* and *Streptococcus ordis*, is difficult and misidentifications occur with routinely employed molecular methods (Werno et al. [2012](#page-33-8)). MALDI-TOF MS has been reported to facilitate identification of 75% of *Streptococcus* isolates at the genus and species levels (Wessels et al. [2012](#page-33-9)). Werno et al. ([2012\)](#page-33-8) suggest that rigorous examination of the mass peak profiles can enhance the ability of MALDI-TOF MS to distinguish *Streptococcus pneumonia* from nonpneumococcal isolates . MALDI-TOF MS is not only applicable to aerobic bacteria, but also applied to anaerobic bacteria. Zarate et al. ([2014\)](#page-33-10) used MALDI-TOF MS to identify 106 clinical isolates of anaerobic bacteria. The correct identification rate at the genus and species levels was 95.3%, comparable to that obtained using conventional biochemical tests . The possibility of using MALDI to identify and type anaerobic bacteria has been reviewed recently (Nagy [2014\)](#page-29-7).

Recently, MALDI-TOF MS has been employed in food microbiology. *Enterococcus* species are considered to be secondary contaminants of food and often play roles in food spoilage. Some closely related enterococcal species are difficult to discriminate using 16S rDNA sequencing, while one study has shown that *Enterococcus* can be rapidly identified at the species level using MALDI-TOF MS (Quintela-Baluja et al. [2013](#page-30-10)). Other food-borne pathogens and spoilage bacteria, such as *Arcobacter* spp*.*, *Helicobacter* spp., *Campylobacter* spp., *Lactobacillus* spp., *Pediococcus* spp*.*, *Leuconostoc* spp*., Streptococcus* spp., *Clostridium* spp., and *Staphylococcus* spp., have also been identified at the species level using library-based MALDI-TOF MS methods (Alispahic et al. [2010](#page-23-0) Bohme et al. [2011a](#page-24-5); Bohme et al. [2011b](#page-24-6); Han et al. [2014](#page-27-4); Kern et al. [2013](#page-28-7); Regecova et al. [2014](#page-30-11); Wieme et al. [2014b\)](#page-33-4). Some *Bacillus* species are pathogens or spoilage agents in food products. MALDI-TOF MS was shown to be more effective than 16S rDNA sequencing to differentiate *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus cereus* from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Fernandez-No et al. [2013](#page-26-13)). Acetic acid bacteria are involved in the industrial production of vinegar. Andrés-Barrao et al. ([2013](#page-24-7)) characterized 64 strains of acetic acid bacteria belonging to the genera *Acetobacter*, *Gluconobacter,* and *Gluconacetobacter* using MALDI-TOF MS with the SARAMIS[™] software package (Spectral Archive and Microbial Identification System; Anagnostec Gmbh, Germany). Results showed that these acetic acid bacteria could be rapidly and reliably identified using fingerprint mass spectra (Andres-Barrao et al. [2013\)](#page-24-7). Bohme et al. ([2013](#page-24-8)) used two technologies, 16S rDNA sequencing and MALDI-TOF MS, to identify pathogens in seafood. DNA sequencing identified only 50% of the strains at the species level and performed relatively poorly with regard to identification of members of the *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* genera. In contrast, MALDI-TOF MS facilitated correct identification of 76% of the isolated strains and showed a higher rate of correct classification of members of the *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* genera.

In addition to the applications in clinical and food microbiology, MALDI-TOF MS has been used to characterize culturable bacterial populations isolated from various environments, including laboratory mice and rats (Goto et al. [2012](#page-27-8)), horse semen samples (Masarikova et al. [2014](#page-29-8)), human feces (Samb-Ba et al. [2014\)](#page-31-7), air (Setlhare et al. [2014\)](#page-31-8), as well as recombinant bacteria (Xiao et al. [2014a](#page-33-11)). All of these studies suggest that MALDI-TOF MS is a rapid, reliable, and alternative method for characterizing bacteria particularly at the genus and species levels.

Strain-Level Characterization: Successes, Challenges, and Strategies

MALDI-TOF MS has shown promise at the strain level. For example, Christner et al. ([2014\)](#page-25-5) used MALDI-TOF MS to type 294 *E. coli* isolates collected during a large outbreak in northern Germany. Strain-specific biomarker peaks were reported, and 99% of the *E. coli* strains were correctly identified using strain-specific biomarkers (Christner et al. [2014\)](#page-25-5). Similarly, Schafer et al. ([2014](#page-31-9)) used MALDI to identify ERIC-genotypes of *Paenibacillus larvae* strains. *P. larvae* is the causative agent of American foulbrood disease in honeybees. Results showed that with a reference database, ERIC I and II types of *P. larvae* strains could be unambiguously identified. In the food industry, *Lactobacillus brevis* strains exhibit varying beerspoiling abilities. Kern et al. (2014) showed that strain-level identification of 17 *Lactobacillus brevis* strains was achieved in 90% of 204 spectra (Kern et al. [2014a\)](#page-28-8).

The MALDI-TOF MS fingerprint technique also shows promise in tracking strains isolated from different environmental sources. Siegrist et al. ([2007](#page-31-10)) showed that a limited number of environmental *E. coli* strains could be grouped according to the source from which they were isolated. Similarly, Niyompanich et al. ([2014](#page-30-4)) showed that 6 out of 11 clinical and environmental *Burkholderia pseudomallei* strains were grouped correctly according to their respective sources . Strain-level characterization has also been demonstrated for *Propionibacterium acnes* (Nagy et al. [2013](#page-29-9)) and *Bacteroides fragilius* (Nagy et al. [2011](#page-29-10)). Fujinami et al. ([2011](#page-26-9)) reported using MALDI-TOF MS to successfully discriminate 23 *Legionella pneumophila* strains . In addition, MALDI-TOF MS has been used to detect antibiotic resistance associated with identified strains, which is extensively reviewed elsewhere in this book (Chapter(s) XX).

While successes using MALDI-TOF at the strain level have been described, characterization at this level faces many more challenges than applications at higher taxonomic ranks. For example, Zeller-Peronnet et al. ([2013](#page-34-2)) studied the discriminatory power of MALDI-TOF MS to differentiate 24 strains within *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* and *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides* species. Results showed that, although individual species could be readily identified, only half of the strains could be correctly identified to the strain level, suggesting that the discriminatory power of MALDI might not be adequate for characterization of these two species at the strain level (Zeller-Peronnet et al. [2013\)](#page-34-2). Several studies have explored whether MALDI-

TOF MS can differentiate MRSA from methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* ones (MSSA), but there is limited consensus (Bernardo et al. [2002](#page-24-9); Jackson et al. [2005](#page-28-3); Lasch et al. [2014](#page-29-11); Majcherczyk et al. [2006](#page-29-12); Walker et al. [2002](#page-32-9)). Conflicting results may be because of low quality and reproducibility of the fingerprint mass spectra. In addition, cultivation conditions and sample preparation methods have also been suggested to affect strain-level differentiation (Goldstein et al. [2013;](#page-26-14) Balážová et al. [2014a](#page-24-10)). Besides *Staphylococcus aureus*, MALDI-TOF MS has also showed insufficient discriminatory power for typing strains of other bacteria. Lasch et al. ([2014\)](#page-29-11) reported that MALDI could not reliably differentiate *Enterococcus faecium* strains based on clonal complexes and multilocus sequence types. Kern et al. (2014) reported that when highly similar strains of the beer-spoilage bacterium *Pectinatus frisingensis* were incorporated for analysis using MALDI, the correct identification rate for *P. frisingensis* at the strain level decreased from 73% (using a relatively diverse set of strains) to 60% (including those that were highly similar to one another; Kern et al. [2014b](#page-28-9)) . A more comprehensive review of reports on bacterial strain categorization, differentiation, and identification using MALDI-TOF MS is available (Sandrin et al. [2013](#page-31-1)).

Commercially Available Software

In library-based approaches, bacterial characterization requires comparison of mass spectra of unknowns with those of reference spectra of known bacteria. Though visual inspection can sometimes provide a qualitative assessment of the similarity between mass spectra at the genus and possibly the species levels, software algorithms have been developed to provide more objective and quantitative assessments. Such tools are critically important at the strain level, where spectra of closely related strains are often extraordinarily similar, and reliable discrimination requires sensitive and repeatable measures. Many software solutions, such as custom R packages, Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA), MATLAB (MathWorks, Matick, MA), and BioNumerics (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium), have been applied to enhance analysis (Croxatto et al. [2012](#page-25-8); Pavlovic et al. [2013;](#page-30-12) Sandrin et al. [2013](#page-31-1)).

Currently, two of the most frequently used commercially available software packages are BioTyper (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA) and SARAMIS (bioMérieux, SA, Marcyl'Etoile, France). BioTyper is commonly used in the clinical setting with the MicroFlex LT mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics; Billerica MA, USA). SARAMIS is offered by bioMérieux and is routinely used with the Vitek MS system (bioMérieux SA; Marcyl'Etoile, France). Both BioTyper and SARAMIS provide a database environment and spectrum comparison algorithm that allows for quantitative comparison and identification of bacteria within the database. These two software packages also allow users to build custom databases, in which spectra acquired in-house can be added to the database. For quantitative comparison, both BioTyper and SARAMIS require summarization of mass spectra of biological and/or technical replicates of bacteria. The resulting composite mass spectra are called main spectral projections (MSPs) in BioTyper and a SuperSpectrum TM in SARAMIS.</sup>

BioTyper and SARAMIS have been most commonly used to identify bacteria at the genus and species levels. BioTyper uses a score-based classification system for bacterial identification, while SARAMIS uses a percentage-based method. These algorithms are described more fully later in this chapter. In addition, studies have suggested that BioTyper has similar (Mather et al. [2014\)](#page-29-13) or superior (Chen et al. [2013\)](#page-24-11) abilities to SARAMIS with regard to identification at the genus and species levels. With regard to the strain-level characterization, BioTyper has been suggested to be useful in characterizing bacteria at the strain level, but typically with the assistance of additional software, such as ClinProTools (Bruker Daltonics) and R. Ayyadurai et al. ([2010\)](#page-24-12) reported that, with assistance of ClinProTools, three biotypes of *Yersinia pestis* strains could be differentiated. Nakano et al. ([2014](#page-29-14)) used three Clin-ProTools models to differentiate vanA-positive *Enterococcus faecium* from vanAnegative *Enterococcus faecium* with MALDI and BioTyper. All three ClinProTools models yielded > 90% recognition capability . Karger et al. ([2011\)](#page-28-10) used BioTyper with the R-package caMassClass to filter out some peaks, and this data-reduction strategy enhanced categorization of strains of Shiga toxin-producing *E.coli* . Strainlevel applications of SARAMIS have not been frequently reported in the literature.

Assessing Strain-Level Performance

Objectives

Strain-level characterization often entails one or more three distinct objectives: (1) strain categorization, (2) strain differentiation, and (3) strain identification (Fig. [6.4\)](#page-10-0). These three objectives often require different levels of taxonomic resolution. Strain categorization involves grouping bacterial strains that share a particular trait, such as their origin (Dubois et al. [2010](#page-25-9); Siegrist et al. [2007\)](#page-31-10), antibiotic resistance (Shah et al. [2011](#page-31-11); Wolters et al. [2011\)](#page-33-12), pathogenicity (Stephan et al. [2011](#page-32-10)), and/or as different subspecies/biotypes (Ayyadurai et al. [2010](#page-24-12); Lundquist et al. [2005](#page-29-15); Zautner et al. [2013\)](#page-33-13). Strain categorization does not typically involve discriminating single strains. In contrast, strain differentiation requires distinguishing single strains and thus higher taxonomic resolution. Many studies have reported that bacterial strains, of both medical and environmental relevance, can be differentiated based on the presence and/or absence of one or more strain-specific biomarker peaks (Donohue et al. [2006](#page-25-10); Everley et al. [2008](#page-25-11); Ghyselinck et al. [2011](#page-26-15); Majcherczyk et al. [2006;](#page-29-12) Ruelle et al. [2004](#page-30-13); Zautner et al. [2013\)](#page-33-13) or by cluster analysis (Balážová et al. [2014b;](#page-24-13) Holland et al. [2014a\)](#page-27-9). Among these three objectives, strain identification requires the highest taxonomic resolution. When comparing with a reference library, strains often cannot be confidently identified based on the presence of only one or a few

Fig. 6.4 Objectives of strain-level applications of MALDI-TOF MS-enabled characterization of bacteria have included categorization (**a**), differentiation (**b**), and identification (**c**). The requisite level of taxonomic resolution tends to increase as one progresses from efforts to categorizing strains to identifying individual strains. (Adapted from Sandrin et al. [2013](#page-31-1), copyright John Wiley & Sons)

biomarker peaks. Analysis of the entire spectrum (Holland et al. [2014b\)](#page-27-0) with rigorous analytical tools, such as those in R, ClinProTools, and BioTyper software (Nakano et al. [2014\)](#page-29-14), is often required to obtain reliable strain identification.

Reproducibility

Closely related strains of bacteria yield highly similar mass spectra (Fig. [6.5](#page-11-0)). To reliably characterize strains, the reproducibility of replicated mass spectra of the same strain must be quantified before conducting further analysis. Here, reproducibility refers to how similar replicate spectra of the same strain are to one another based on comparing peak presence/absence and/or peak intensity. Reproducibility (similarity) between replicates of the same strain must exceed the similarity of mass profiles of closely related bacterial strains. Several previous studies have examined reproducibility based primarily on visual inspection of spectra (Arnold and Reilly [1998;](#page-24-14) Jackson et al. [2005\)](#page-28-3), while more recently, studies have quantified reproducibility more rigorously using software packages described previously. The coefficient of

Fig. 6.5 Bacteria of the same species tend to produce similar MALDI-TOF spectra. Four environmental isolates of *Escherichia coli* yielded MALDI spectra that were particularly similar within a single environmental source (i.e., duck or human origin), but adequately distinct across different sources to allow characterization of isolates based upon source. (Adapted from Siegrist et al. [2007](#page-31-10), with permission from Elsevier)

variation of each mass signal of replicate spectra has been used to quantify the reproducibility of replicate spectra (Freiwald and Sauer [2009](#page-26-3)). Chen et al. ([2008](#page-24-15)) combined analysis of variance and principal component analysis (ANOVA–PCA) to quantify the reproducibility of replicate spectra . Toh-Boyo et al. ([2012\)](#page-32-11) reported using ANOVA, PCA, and multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA) to assess reproducibility . The curve-based Pearson correlation coefficient has also been used to measure reproducibility (Giebel et al. [2010](#page-26-4); Schumaker et al. [2012](#page-31-3); Zhang et al. [2014a\)](#page-34-1). Binary coefficients (which do not include peak intensity measurements), including the Dice similarity coefficient (Ziegler et al. [2012\)](#page-34-3) and Jaccard index (Erler et al. [2014](#page-25-12)), have also been used. As detailed below, both experimental and data analysis factors have been reported to affect the reproducibility of replicate spectra.

Group Separation/Performance Metrics

Score

BioTyper (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA) and SARAMIS (bioMérieux, SA, Marcyl'Etoile, France) are the most commonly used software and database packages for bacterial identification at the genus and species levels. Both use a score/percentage-based method to assess performance. BioTyper compares patterns of peaks of unknowns with reference spectra based on peak position, peak intensity, and peak frequencies. A log score is obtained for each comparison using a manufacturer-defined algorithm. The manufacturer proposes that a score≥2 indicates species identification; a score between 1.7 and 1.9 indicates genus identification, and a score < 1.7 indicates no identification. Similar to BioTyper, SARAMIS uses a confidence percentage for genus and species identifications. Genus-level identification is acceptable when the confidence percentage ranges from 98% to 90%. Species identification is acceptable when the confidence percentage is $> 98\%$. Identification is not acceptable when the confidence percentage is $\leq 90\%$ (Chen et al. [2013\)](#page-24-11). With regard to the Sepsityper™ kit (Bruker Daltonics; Billerica, MA, USA) to directly identify bacteria from positive blood cultures, the score cutoff is 1.8 for identification at species level and 1.6 for that at the genus level (Nonnemann et al. [2013\)](#page-30-14).

Reliable identification of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria at the genus and species levels using BioTyper scores has been reported in many studies (Balada-Llasat et al. [2013](#page-24-16); Coltella et al. [2013](#page-25-13); Ferreira et al. [2010a](#page-26-16); Hsueh et al. [2014](#page-27-7); Ikryannikova et al. [2013](#page-27-10); Lacroix et al. [2014](#page-28-11); Mather et al. [2014](#page-29-13); Sanchez-Juanes et al. [2013;](#page-31-12) Schulthess et al. [2014](#page-31-13)). The correct identification rate at the genus and species levels ranges from 100% (Ikryannikova et al. [2013](#page-27-10); Sanchez-Juanes et al. [2013\)](#page-31-12) down to 72% (Hsueh et al. [2014\)](#page-27-7). Furthermore, some studies have suggested that reducing the species cutoff, for example, from 2.0 to 1.7 (Mather et al. [2014;](#page-29-13) Pereyre et al. [2013](#page-30-15); Schulthess et al. [2014](#page-31-13)), and from 1.8 to 1.5 for positive blood cultures using SepsityperTM kit (Nonnemann et al. [2013\)](#page-30-14), can increase species identification rates for some species. However, unreliable and/or no identification for some bacterial species, such as *Nocardia* spp. (except for *N. nova* and *N. otitidiscaviarum*), *Tsukamurella* spp., *Gordonia* spp., and *Avibacterium spp*. (except for *A. paragallinarum*), using BioTyper scores has also been reported (Alispahic et al. [2014](#page-23-1); Hsueh et al. [2014](#page-27-7)).

Jackknife/Bootstrapping/Threshold Cutoffs

The jackknife test has also been used to evaluate the performance of MALDI. The principle of the jackknife test is to take out one entry from a group (e.g., genus, species, and/or strains) and to identify this entry against different groups (Johnson and Wichern [2007\)](#page-28-12). The procedure is repeated for all entries in this group, and the percentage of correct group identifications is used to assess the accuracy of MALDI for characterization of the group. The jackknife test has been used to evaluate the accuracy of bacteria identification at the species (De Bruyne et al. [2011](#page-25-14)) and strain levels (Goldstein et al. [2013\)](#page-26-14). Besides the jackknife statistic, bootstrapping has also been used to estimate the performance of classification models of isolates. For example, AlMasoud et al. ([2014\)](#page-24-3) used PCA and support vector machines (SVM) to supervise peak classification of a peak table matrix containing 243 unique peaks for characterizing *Bacillus* spp. The SVM models were validated by using a bootstrap replacement procedure coupled with cross-validation for selection of model parameters. Classification accuracies at the *Bacillus* species level reached about 90% using the validated SVM models.

Culture Conditions

A variety of media, including solid agar (e.g., Grosse-Herrenthey et al. [2008;](#page-27-3) Pennanec et al. [2010\)](#page-30-3) and liquid broth (e.g., Wensing et al. [2010](#page-33-2)), have been used to support the growth of bacteria to be characterized by MALDI. Mass spectra of bacteria consist of peaks mainly derived from ribosomal proteins and other abundant proteins (Ryzhov and Fenselau [2001](#page-30-16); Teramoto et al. [2007b\)](#page-32-12). Ribosomal proteins are highly conserved and are not expected to be affected by culture conditions (Arnold and Reilly [1999\)](#page-24-17). Several studies have also shown that a core set of speciesspecific peaks are constantly observed regardless of the media used (De Bruyne et al. [2011](#page-25-14); Grosse-Herrenthey et al. [2008;](#page-27-3) Hsu and Burnham [2014](#page-27-11); Kern et al. [2013](#page-28-7); Lartigue et al. [2009](#page-28-13); Sauer et al. [2008\)](#page-31-14); however, culture conditions can influence the expression pattern of other proteins (Valentine et al. [2005](#page-32-13)). Thus, media effects have been widely reported (Horneffer et al. [2004](#page-27-12); Moura et al. [2008](#page-29-16); Ruelle et al. [2004](#page-30-13); Walker et al. [2002\)](#page-32-9), though some studies have suggested that the effects are subtle and do not affect the overall ability of MALDI to characterize bacteria (Bernardo et al. [2002](#page-24-9); Dieckmann et al. [2008](#page-25-15); Kern et al. [2013](#page-28-7); Vargha et al. [2006\)](#page-32-14).

Taken together, these results indicate that medium effects at the strain level may be more pronounced and significant than at higher taxonomic ranks.

Medium Type

Several studies have shown that medium components can affect the rate of successful identification of many bacteria. For example, Anderson et al. ([2012](#page-24-18)) reported that the correct identification rate at the genus level for bacteria grown on different types of agars varied for *Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus,* and enteric isolates. The effect was most pronounced for *Staphylococcus* isolates, varying from 75% on colistin-nalidixic acid agar to 95% on blood agar and mannitol salt agar (Anderson et al. [2012\)](#page-24-18). Variations have been reported with other *Staphylococci* using different media (Rajakaruna et al. [2009](#page-30-5); Walker et al. [2002\)](#page-32-9). Similarly, results from one study suggested that changing the medium could improve differentiation between closely related members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* (Keys et al. [2004\)](#page-28-4). Ford and Burnham [\(2013](#page-26-17)) grew 24 enteric Gram-negative bacteria (EGNB) and 25 non-glucose-fermenting/fastidious Gram-negative bacteria (NFGNB) on different types of agars. Results showed that the rate of successful identification on EGNB at the species level was approximately 20% less than on other types of agars. For NFGNB, rates of correct identification at the species level varied from less than 60% on OFPBL agar to 90% on sheep blood agar, and one misidentification was observed for bacteria grown on MacConkey agar (Ford and Burnham [2013\)](#page-26-17).

With regard to subspecies and strain-level characterization, a few studies have reported that medium components do not affect the strain-level resolution (Bernardo et al. [2002](#page-24-9); Dieckmann et al. [2008](#page-25-15); Vargha et al. [2006\)](#page-32-14). However, other studies have reported that medium composition significantly affects strain-level performance. Šedo et al. ([2013](#page-31-15)) anaerobically cultivated strains from six *Lactobacillus* species in two kinds of liquid media, De Man-Rogosa-Sharpe broth and anaerobe basal broth, and on blood agar plates. No obvious effect of medium type on species-level resolution was observed, but some closely related strains could be distinguished only with a specific cultivation medium. Wieme et al. ([2014a](#page-33-14)) studied effects of five different culture media on the differentiation of 25 strains of acetic acid bacteria, including *Acetobacter, Gluconacetobacter,* and *Gluconobacter*strains, at the species and strain levels. For each strain grown on a particular medium type, a single composite mass spectrum was obtained. Results showed that culture media did not affect species-level differentiation, but strongly affected the number of shared strain-specific peak classes in the composite mass spectra of the same strain grown on different media, in particular when the culture media did not sustain optimal growth. Balážová et al. (2014) tested the effects of four culture media on the discriminatory power of MALDI to characterize 10 strains belonging to *Mycobacterium phlei* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis* (Balážová et al. [2014a](#page-24-10)). The successful identification rate calculated from Biotyper score cutoffs was similar for all the four media at the genus level, but twofold higher for one preferable medium over the others at the species level (Balážová et al. [2014a](#page-24-10)).

Medium type has also affected strain-level differentiation and identification. The influence seems specific for particular species and strains. For example, Šedo et al. [\(2013](#page-31-15)) examined the influence of growth conditions on strain differentiation within the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* group (17 strains representing six different species from the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* group). Results showed that two *Lactobacillus acidophilus* strains could be distinguished after cultivation on blood agar, but could not be distinguished when grown on other kinds of media, while other *Lactobacillus acidophilus* stains could be distinguished regardless of medium types. Balážová et al. (2014) reported that, generally, M7H9 medium generated a higher correct identification rate than Herrold's egg yolk medium (HEYM) medium for characterizing *Mycobacterium* strains. The effect was even more significant for *Mycobacterium phlei* strains. For example, 89% of *Mycobacterium phlei* strains were correctly identified using M7H9 medium, while only 50% of *Mycobacterium phlei* strains were correctly identified using HEYM medium. With regard to *Mycobacterium smegmatis* strains, HEYM medium showed a lightly better identification result (60%) than M7H9 medium (52%; Balážová et al. [2014a](#page-24-10)). These studies clearly showed that medium type has the potential to affect MALDI-TOF MS profiles, but the effects may be bacterium specific. To maximize taxonomic resolution, especially at the strain level, the potential effects should be thoroughly investigated. Databases containing multiple reference strains grown on different culture media may need to be established.

Medium Form (Broth/Agar)

Besides the components in the medium, medium form (agar or broth) has also been investigated with regard to potential effects on the taxonomic resolution of MALDI-TOF MS. At the species level, Lotz et al. ([2010\)](#page-29-17) cultivated 311 *Mycobacterium* strains both on agar plate and in liquid broth. Using an intact cell preparation method, correct identification rates were 97% for solid media and only 77% for liquid media. The low identification rate for liquid media was suggested to be because of the failures of spectrum acquisition (Lotz et al. [2010](#page-29-17)). Balada-Llasat et al. ([2013](#page-24-16)) cultured 178 mycobacterial isolates using both solid and liquid media. Using a protein extractionbased sample preparation method, 93.8% of the isolates were identified correctly at the species level with both forms of media, suggesting that medium forms may not affect MALDI resolution at the species level. At the strain level, though, Goldstein et al. [\(2013\)](#page-26-14) cultured MRSA and MSSA on both solid agar plates and broth media. Results showed that liquid media generated higher jackknife values when differentiating MRSA from MSSA, suggesting that culture in liquid media enhances the discriminatory power of MALDI. The higher discriminatory power may be because of the more homogeneous populations of cells synchronized in their growth phase in the broth cultures, whereas on an agar plate, colonies consist of older, senescent cells in the center and newer, more actively growing cells at the perimeter (Sandrin et al. [2013](#page-31-1)) .

Sample Preparation

As comprehensively reviewed by Šedo et al. ([2011](#page-31-2)), a myriad of diverse sample preparation techniques have been used to profile bacteria with MALDI. These diverse techniques can be classified into two types: intact cell-based and protein extract-based methods. Intact cell-based methods involve deposition of cells or cell suspensions onto the MALDI target, while protein extract-based methods involve deposition of cell extracts onto the MALDI target. While intact cell-based methods do not involve intentional extraction of cell materials, the chemicals added may still cause cell degradation. Intact-cell based methods are simpler and more rapid than cell protein extract-based methods, because they do not require additional steps to break cells and extract proteins (Sauer et al. [2008](#page-31-14)). Sample preparation methods have been suggested to affect the taxonomic resolution of MALDI-based approaches to bacterial characterization (Šedo et al. [2011\)](#page-31-2). For example, Zampieri et al. ([2013](#page-33-15)) used both intact cell-based and protein extraction-based methods to identify 11 bacteria isolated from bovine semen at the genus and species levels. The intact cell-based method resulted in correct identification of nine bacteria at the genus and species levels, while a protein extraction-based method afforded correct identification of all 11 bacteria at the genus and species levels (Zampieri et al. [2013\)](#page-33-15). Therefore, many studies have explored multiple preparation methods to maximize the taxonomic resolution of MALDI profiling (e.g., Dieckmann et al. [2008](#page-25-15); Ruelle et al. [2004](#page-30-13); Williams et al. [2003](#page-33-16)). Interestingly, commercially available platforms have been applied using different sample preparation approaches. For example, Lohmann et al. ([2013\)](#page-29-18) used a cell extract-based sample preparation approach with Bruker's BioTyper, but an intact cell-based approach with bioMérieux's SARAMIS.

Intact Cells

Generally, cells have been directly deposited onto MALDI targets in two ways. Some studies have described placement of cell suspensions/colonies directly on the MALDI targets and subsequently overlaid matrix solutions which usually contain TFA and ACN (Carbonnelle et al. [2007](#page-24-4); Christner et al. [2014](#page-25-5); Han et al. [2014](#page-27-4); Jackson et al. [2005](#page-28-3); Walker et al. [2002](#page-32-9)). Other studies have reported mixing cell suspensions with matrix solutions prior to deposition onto the MALDI target (AlMasoud et al. [2014](#page-24-3); Arnold and Reilly [1998](#page-24-14); Dickinson et al. [2004](#page-25-16); Donohue et al. [2006;](#page-25-10) Helmel et al. [2014](#page-27-5); Moura et al. [2008](#page-29-16); Ryzhov et al. [2000](#page-31-16); Welham et al. [1998\)](#page-32-15). Both "overlaid" and "premixed" approaches of intact cell-based sample preparation have afforded strain-level resolution (Kuehl et al. [2011](#page-28-14); Zautner et al. [2013](#page-33-13)); however, only a few studies have directly compared the effects of these two deposition approaches on the taxonomic resolution of MALDI profiling of bacteria. Jackson et al. ([2005](#page-28-3)) compared the performance of MALDI to profile MRSA at the

stain level by directly depositing MRSA colonies from agar plates with directly depositing MRSA cell suspensions onto the target plate. Results indicated that directly deposited colonies yielded higher quality spectra and higher reproducibility than deposited cell suspensions (Jackson et al. [2005](#page-28-3)). Kuehl et al. ([2011](#page-28-14)) applied three techniques, including premixed, overlaid (ethanol added as an organic modifier), and a sandwich method with cells placed between two layers of matrix, for applying samples to the MALDI target. Results showed that the sandwich method generated the highest quality mass spectra when characterizing *Enterococcus faecalis* (Kuehl et al. [2011](#page-28-14)). Toh-Boyo et al. ([2012\)](#page-32-11) compared the reproducibility of the mass spectra resulting from laser sampling at different regions within a single target well (intrasample) and between target wells (intersample) using both overlaid and premixed pipet-based deposition methods with three different matrices . The authors observed that the crystalline morphology of the sample on the target greatly influenced intrasample reproducibility (Fig. [6.6a](#page-18-0)). Samples deposited using the pipet-based premixed method yielded less variability between spots for a single sample than the pipet-based overlay method (Fig. [6.6b\)](#page-18-0). More recently, AlMasoud et al. ([2014](#page-24-3)) reported similar results showing that a premixed deposition method worked best for typing *Bacillus* and *Brevibacillus* species over the other three deposition methods, including (1) overlaying matrix onto protein extracts, (2) overlaying protein extracts onto matrix, and (3) a sandwich method in which protein extracts were situated between two layers of matrix .

Protein Extracts

Similar to intact cell-based methods, several protocols have been described to extract proteins from cells. The most commonly used protein extraction method is an ethanol-formic extraction, in which a crude protein extraction is performed in a microcentrifuge tube (e.g., Freiwald and Sauer [2009](#page-26-3)). In addition, plate-based formic acid extraction has also been described (e.g., Schulthess et al. [2014](#page-31-13)).

Several studies have suggested that protein extraction-based methods afford higher taxonomic resolution than intact cell-based methods. For example, Schulthess et al. ([2014\)](#page-31-13) compared three sample preparation methods for identification of 190 Gram-positive rods including 64 species from 21 genera. Results showed that species-level identification rates were higher with a plate-based formic acid extraction and an ethanol-formic acid extraction than with an intact cell-based direct smear method. Rates of correct identification were 68.4% with plate-based formic acid extraction, 71.6% with ethanol-formic acid extraction, and 63.7% with a direct smear method.

Fig. 6.6 MALDI-TOF spectra from a single sample in a single MALDI target well yielded distinct spectra (**a**). Similarly, the mode of application of sample to the MALDI target plate affected spectra (**b**). These findings underscore the need to (i) ensure sample preparation techniques maximize sample homogeneity on the target plate and (ii) ensure standardized sample deposition protocols are followed when using library-based MALDI-TOF-based approaches at the strain level. (Adapted from Toh-Boyo et al. [2012](#page-32-11), copyright American Chemical Society)

Pretreatment to Enhance Taxonomic Resolution

Several pretreatments have been used to increase the discriminatory power of library-based MALDI fingerprint approaches. Horneffer et al. ([2004\)](#page-27-12) used wet-heat treatment to extract additional analytes that facilitated strain-level resolution of *B.*

subtilis and *B. cereus*. Enzymatic pretreatment has also been used to facilitate more complete extraction of cell contents. Two of the most commonly used enzymes are trypsin (Balážová et al. [2014b](#page-24-13); Krasny et al. [2014](#page-28-15); Krishnamurthy et al. [1996](#page-28-16)) and lysozyme (Giebel et al. [2008](#page-26-6); Vargha et al. [2006\)](#page-32-14). Balážová et al. (2014) used trypsin to digest cells of *S. aureus, Staphylococcus haemolyticus,* and *B. subtilis* and applied a 2-min microwave irradiation after digestion. Strain-level differentiation was achieved for *S. aureus* and *S. haemolyticus*, and improved for *B. subtilis* based on ecotypes. An increase in the number of strain-specific peaks was also observed when using this microwave-assisted tryptic digestion sample preparation method (Balážová et al. [2014b](#page-24-13)). Abdelhamid et al. ([2014\)](#page-23-2) used a preconcentration technique, ultrasound-enhanced surfactant-assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction (UESA-DLLME) technique, with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *S. aureus*. This method improved the number of biomarker peaks and identification. Nanoparticles, for example, zinc oxide nanoparticles modified with polymethyl methacrylate, have also been synthesized for extracting bacteria from aqueous samples, which enhanced the sensitivity and quality of MALDI-MS spectra for characterizing bacteria such as *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* (Gedda et al. [2014](#page-26-18)). Modification of the surface of the MALDI target has also been applied to enhance characterization of bacteria. For example, Hasan et al. ([2014\)](#page-27-13) and Gopal et al. ([2013](#page-27-14)) demonstrated that using titanium chips as MALDI target and with appropriate surface pretreatments (using heat treatment at different temperatures), the chips could selectively capture either *P. aeruginosa* or *S. aureus*, leading to an improvement in spectrum quality for these two bacteria. Such modifications might be applied in the future to enhance strainlevel characterization.

Data Acquisition

Modern MALDI TOF mass spectrometers can be operated with software that facilitates completely the automatic acquisition of spectra. User-defined parameters influence laser power, peak evaluation strategies, mass spectra accumulation, and laser movement on each sample. While convenient and supportive of high throughput applications, automation has been shown to affect performance. In particular, the mode of data acquisition (i.e., automated (Eddabra et al. [2012](#page-25-6); Khot et al. [2012](#page-28-2)) or manual (Khot et al. [2012](#page-28-2); Schumaker et al. [2012\)](#page-31-3) data acquisition) may affect the taxonomic resolution of MALDI-TOF MS profiling technique. Schumaker et al. ([2012\)](#page-31-3) rigorously examined the effects of data acquisition modes on spectrum quality and reproducibility. Results suggested that manual data acquisition yielded more reproducible and higher quality mass spectra. Similar results were reported by Balážová et al. (2014).

Though manual data acquisition yielded more reproducible spectra, automated data acquisition is still desirable when there is a large quantity of analyses to perform, especially in clinical labs. Recent work in our lab showed that automated data acquisition can be optimized to yield spectra with reproducibility comparable to

Fig. 6.7 A designed experiments approach to optimization of automated acquisition of MALDI spectra increased the reproducibility of spectra obtained from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (**a**), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (**b**), and *Serratia marcescens* (**c**). (Adapted from Zhang et al. [2014a](#page-34-1), creative commons attribution PLOS)

those obtained manually using a statistical design of experiments approach (Zhang et al. [2014a\)](#page-34-1). Results showed that the reproducibility of replicate *P. aeruginosa* spectra increased from 90% to 97% by optimizing the automated data acquisition conditions. Similar results were reported for *Klebsiella pneumonia* (94% before optimization vs. 98% after optimization) and *Serratia marcescens* (85% before optimization vs. 94% after optimization; Zhang et al. [2014a\)](#page-34-1) (Fig. [6.7](#page-20-0)).

Fig. 6.8 Raw MALDI spectra are typically subjected to multiple data preprocessing (**a**) as well as postprocessing and model validation (**b**) steps. No standard workflow has been widely adopted, in spite of the fact that modifications to many steps may affect performance of the method, particularly when applied at the strain level

Data Analysis

Generally, the workflow to analyze bacteria using MALDI-TOF MS includes preprocessing, postprocessing, and model validation (Fig. [6.8\)](#page-21-0). The objective of preprocessing is to reduce noise in the spectra. A variety of software has been used to preprocess raw mass spectra, such as FlexAnalysis (Bruker Daltonik, GmbH, Germany; Schrodl et al. [2012\)](#page-31-17), BioNumerics (Applied Maths; Kittler et al. 2014; Wieme et al. [2014a](#page-33-14)), and DataExplorer software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA; Vanlaere et al. [2008](#page-32-16)).

Three common steps in the preprocessing procedures are baseline subtraction, smoothing, and peak detection. For each step, algorithms as well as parameter values associated with each algorithm can be varied. For example, baseline subtraction is used to flatten the varying base profile of a spectrum. When using BioNumerics to preprocess data, baseline subtraction can be conducted using a rolling disk algorithm (Wieme et al. [2014a](#page-33-14)) with a user-specified radius of the disk. Other baseline subtraction algorithms include monotone minimum, moving bar, and binning. Smoothing is used to average data points with neighboring points as in a time-series of data to further reduce noise. For smoothing, Savitsky–Golay filters (Wieme et al. [2014a](#page-33-14)) and Kaiser filters (Kern et al. [2014b\)](#page-28-9) have been used. Peak detection is used to separate real peaks from false peaks representing noise. A user-defined signalto-noise ratio is usually applied for software to automatically pick peaks. Smaller signal-to-noise ratios may support higher taxonomic resolution when using peakpicking software which requires the user to specify a minimum signal-to-noise ratio such as in BioNumerics (*Applied Maths*; personal communication).

Postprocessing procedures include calculation of similarity coefficients to establish a set of classes (clusters). In the literature, similarity coefficients of spectra have been calculated in mainly two ways: using binary- and intensity-based measurements. Binary-based analysis of similarity considers only the presence/ absence of peaks without considering their intensities. Such measurements can be achieved by calculating Jaccard index (Erler et al. [2014](#page-25-12)) or the Dice coefficient (Hazen et al. [2009\)](#page-27-15). In contrast, intensity-based coefficients of similarity consider both the presence/absence of peaks and the peak intensity. The Pearson correlation coefficient (Kittler et al. 2014) has been used for intensity-based analysis. With regard to the dendrogram type, the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA), average linkage method (Quintela-Baluja et al. [2013](#page-30-10)), and single-link agglomerative algorithm (Andres-Barrao et al. [2013\)](#page-24-7) have been used in MALDI-fingerprint studies. Though various algorithms have been applied to preand postprocessing of mass spectra, few studies have directly compared the effects of these algorithms on taxonomic resolution. Model validation is used to further evaluate MALDI performance on group (e.g., genus, species, and strain) separation. For example, jackknife analysis which reports the percentage of correct and false identifications has been used to quantify the stability of groups of fingerprints of MSSA and MRSA (Goldstein et al. [2013](#page-26-14)). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, which illustrates the performance of a binary classification test, were also used in the literature to evaluate *E. coli* isolate classification by spectrum similarity (Christner et al. [2014\)](#page-25-5). In addition, sensitivity (true positive rate) and specificity (true negative rate) measures, which are also used for validation of the performance of binary classifications, have been reported (De Bruyne et al. [2011](#page-25-14)).

Summary

MALDI-TOF MS, shown to be a highly effective tool to characterize bacteria at the MALDI BioTyper CA System, was recently (November 2013) approved by the US FDA for the identification of Gram-negative bacterial colonies cultured from human specimens. Besides applications in clinical laboratories for identification of Gram-negative bacteria, studies have also shown that MALDI-TOF MS fingerprintbased methods can successfully characterize Gram-positive bacteria, bacteria isolated from various environments, and, in some cases, characterize bacteria at the subspecies and strain levels. Rapid innovation and advances in this area increase

the likelihood that strain-level applications will receive similar regulatory approval in the future.

Strain-level characterization using MALDI-TOF MS has included three objectives: strain categorization, strain differentiation, and strain identification. The taxonomic resolution reported for each objective has varied considerably. Generally, strain identification requires the highest taxonomic resolution, while strain categorization requires relatively low resolution. Factors, such as culture media, sample preparation method, data acquisition, and data analysis, have been shown to affect the limits of taxonomic resolution achieved. Many efforts have been made to increase taxonomic resolution. Different sample preparation methods and sample deposition have been compared with regard to their effects on taxonomic resolution. Treatments of samples, such as using enzymes to help break cell walls or using microwave radiation to help extract proteins, have also been employed. In addition, several novel approaches have been developed to increase the taxonomic resolution of MALDI-TOF MS fingerprint-based methods, including stable isotope-dependent methods that are described in more detail elsewhere in this book.

Overall, MALDI-TOF profiling of bacteria has shown remarkable promise at the genus, species, and strain levels for various bacteria; however, the limits of taxonomic resolution of this technique may impede its broader implementation. Additional efforts to maximize the taxonomic resolution of this method by optimizing experimental conditions—from culture condition through data analysis—are warranted.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Beau Grothendick for his assistance in preparing the manuscript. Funding for this work was provided by the New College of Interdisciplinary Arts and Sciences at Arizona State University.

References

- Abdelhamid HN, Bhaisare ML, Wu HF. Ceria nanocubic-ultrasonication assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction coupled with matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry for pathogenic bacteria analysis. Talanta. 2014;120:208–17.
- Alispahic M, Hummel K, Jandreski-Cvetkovic D, Nobauer K, Razzazi-Fazeli E, Hess M, Hess C. Species-specific identification and differentiation of *Arcobacter, Helicobacter* and *Campylobacter* by full-spectral matrix-associated laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry analysis. J Med Microbiol. 2010;59(3):295–301.
- Alispahic M, Christensen H, Bisgaard M, Hess M, Hess C. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry confirms difficulties in separating species of the *Avibacterium* genus. Avian Pathol. 2014;43(3):258–63.
- AlMasoud N, Xu Y, Nicolaou N, Goodacre R. Optimization of matrix assisted desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) for the characterization of *Bacillus* and *Brevibacillus* species. Anal Chim Acta. 2014;840:49–57.
- Anderson NW, Buchan BW, Riebe KM, Parsons LN, Gnacinski S, Ledeboer NA. Effects of solidmedium type on routine identification of bacterial isolates by use of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50(3):1008–13.
- Andres-Barrao C, Benagli C, Chappuis M, Perez RO, Tonolla M, Barja F. Rapid identification of acetic acid bacteria using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry fingerprinting. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2013;36(2):75–81.
- Anhalt JP, Fenselau C. Identification of bacteria using mass-spectrometry. Anal Chem. 1975;47(2):219–25.
- Arnold RJ, Reilly JP. Fingerprint matching of *E-coli* strains with matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry of whole cells using a modified correlation approach. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 1998;12(10):630–6.
- Arnold RJ, Reilly JP. Observation of *Escherichia coli* ribosomal proteins and their posttranslational modifications by mass spectrometry. Anal Biochem. 1999;269(1):105–12.
- Ayyadurai S, Flaudrops C, Raoult D, Drancourt M. Rapid identification and typing of *Yersinia pestis* and other *Yersinia* species by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. Bmc Microbiol. 2010;10:285.
- Bain R, Cronk R, Hossain R, Bonjour S, Onda K, Wright J, Yang H, Slaymaker T, Hunter P, Pruss-Ustun A, Bartram J. Global assessment of exposure to faecal contamination through drinking water based on a systematic review. Trop Med Int Health. 2014;19(8):917–27.
- Balada-Llasat JM, Kamboj K, Pancholi P. Identification of *Mycobacteria* from solid and liquid media by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry in the clinical laboratory. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(9):2875–9.
- Balážová T, Makovcova J, Šedo O, Slany M, Faldyna M, Zdrahal Z. The influence of culture conditions on the identification of *Mycobacterium* species by MALDI-TOF MS profiling. Fems Microbiol Lett. 2014a;353(1):77–84.
- Balážová T, Sedo O, Stefanic P, Mandic-Mulec I, Vos M, Zdrahal Z. Improvement in *Staphylococcus* and *Bacillus* strain differentiation by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-offlight mass spectrometry profiling by using microwave-assisted enzymatic digestion. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 2014b;28(17):1855–61.
- Bernardo K, Pakulat N, Macht M, Krut O, Seifert H, Fleer S, Hunger F, Kronke M. Identification and discrimination of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Proteomics. 2002;2(6):747–53.
- Bohme K, Fernandez-No IC, Barros-Velazquez J, Gallardo JM, Canas B, Calo-Mata P. Rapid species identification of seafood spoilage and pathogenic Gram-positive bacteria by MALDI-TOF mass fingerprinting. Electrophoresis. 2011a;32(21):2951–65.
- Bohme K, Fernandez-No IC, Gallardo JM, Canas B, Calo-Mata P. Safety assessment of fresh and processed seafood products by MALDI-TOF mass fingerprinting. Food Bioprocess Tech. 2011b;4(6):907–18.
- Bohme K, Fernandez-No IC, Pazos M, Gallardo JM, Barros-Velazquez J, Canas B, Calo-Mata P. Identification and classification of seafood-borne pathogenic and spoilage bacteria: 16S rRNA sequencing versus MALDI-TOF MS fingerprinting. Electrophoresis. 2013;34(6):877–87.
- Cain TC, Lubman DM, Weber WJ. Differentiation of bacteria using protein profiles from matrixassisted laser-desorption ionization time-of-flight mass-spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 1994;8(12):1026–30.
- Carbonnelle E, Beretti JL, Cottyn S, Quesne G, Berche P, Nassif X, Ferroni A. Rapid identification of *Staphylococci* isolated in clinical microbiology laboratories by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization—time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45(7):2156–61.
- Chen P, Lu Y, Harrington PB. Biomarker profiling and reproducibility study of MALDI-MS measurements of *Escherichia coli* by analysis of variance-principal component analysis. Anal Chem. 2008;80(5):1474–81.
- Chen JHK, Ho PL, Kwan GSW, She KKK, Siu GKH, Cheng VCC, Yuen KY, Yam WC. Direct bacterial identification in positive blood cultures by use of two commercial matrix-as-

sisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry systems. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(6):1733–9.

- Chiu TC. Recent advances in bacteria identification by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry using nanomaterials as affinity probes. Int J Mol Sci. 2014;15(5):7266–80.
- Christner M, Trusch M, Rohde H, Kwiatkowski M, Schluter H, Wolters M, Aepfelbacher M, Hentschke M. Rapid MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry strain typing during a large outbreak of shiga-toxigenic *Escherichia coli*. Plos ONE. 2014;9(7).
- Coltella L, Mancinelli L, Onori M, Lucignano B, Menichella D, Sorge R, Raponi M, Mancini R, Russo C. Advancement in the routine identification of anaerobic bacteria by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Eur J Clin Microbiol. 2013;32(9):1183–92.
- Croxatto A, Prod'hom G, Greub G. Applications of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in clinical diagnostic microbiology. Fems Microbiol Rev. 2012;36(2):380–407.
- Dallagassa CB, Huergo LF, Stets MI, Pedrosa FO, Souza EM, Cruz LM, Assis FEA, Wolf S, Volanski W, Picheth G, Pigatto-Denardi CP, Farah SMSS, Fadel-Picheth CMT. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry analysis of *Escherichia coli* categories. Genet Mol Res. 2014;13(1):716–22.
- De Bruyne K, Slabbinck B, Waegeman W, Vauterin P, De Baets B, Vandamme P. Bacterial species identification from MALDI-TOF mass spectra through data analysis and machine learning. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2011;34(1):20–9.
- Demirev PA, Fenselau C. Mass spectrometry for rapid characterization of microorganisms. Annu Rev Anal Chem. 2008;1:71–93.
- Demirev PA, Antoine MD, Feldman AB, Kowalski P, Lin LS. Top-down proteomics for microorganism identification in mixtures. Abstr Pap Am Chem. S. 2005;230:U373–U373.
- Dickinson DN, Duc MT L, Haskins WE, Gornushkin I, Winefordner JD, Powell DH, Venkateswaran K. Species differentiation of a diverse suite of *Bacillus* spores by mass spectrometry-based protein profiling. Appl Environ Microb. 2004;70(1):475–82.
- Dieckmann R, Helmuth R, Erhard M, Malorny B. Rapid classification and identification of *Salmonellae* at the species and subspecies levels by whole-cell matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Appl Environ Microb. 2008;74(24):7767–78.
- Donohue MJ, Smallwood AW, Pfaller S, Rodgers M, Shoemaker JA. The development of a matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry-based method for the protein fingerprinting and identification of *Aeromonas* species using whole cells. J Microbiol Meth. 2006;65(3):380–9.
- Dubois D, Leyssene D, Chacornac JP, Kostrzewa M, Schmit PO, Talon R, Bonnet R, Delmas J. Identification of a variety of *Staphylococcus* species by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48(3):941–5.
- Eddabra R, Prevost G, Scheftel JM. Rapid discrimination of environmental *Vibrio* by matrixassisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Microbiol Res. 2012;167(4):226–30.
- Eells SJ, McKinnell JA, Wang AA, Green NL, Whang D, O'Hara P, Brown ML, Miller LG. A comparison of clinical outcomes between healthcare-associated infections due to communityassociated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains and healthcare-associated methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* strains. Epidemiol Infect. 2013;141(10):2140–48.
- Epstein L, Hunter JC, Arwady MA, Tsai V, Stein L, Gribogiannis M, Frias M, Guh AY, Laufer AS, Black S, Pacilli M, Moulton-Meissner H, Rasheed JK, Avillan JJ, Kitchel B, Limbago BM, MacCannell D, Lonsway D, Noble-Wang J, Conway J, Conover C, Vernon M, Kallen AJ. New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase-producing carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli* associated with exposure to duodenoscopes. Jama-J Am Med Assoc. 2014;312(14):1447–55.
- Erler R, Wichels A, Heinemeyer EA, Hauk G, Hippelein M, Reyes NT, Gerdts G. VibrioBase: A MALDI-TOF MS database for fast identification of *Vibrio* spp. that are potentially pathogenic in humans. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2014;38:16–25.
- Everley RA, Mott TM, Wyatt SA, Toney DM, Croley TR. Liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry characterization of *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* species. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 2008;19(11):1621–28.
- Fagerquist CK, Garbus BR, Miller WG, Williams KE, Yee E, Bates AH, Boyle S, Harden LA, Cooley MB, Mandrell RE. Rapid identification of protein biomarkers of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight-time-of-flight mass spectrometry and top-down proteomics. Anal Chem. 2010;82(7):2717–25.
- Fenselau CC. Rapid characterization of microorganisms by mass spectrometry—what can be learned and how? J Am Soc Mass Spectr. 2013;24(8):1161–6.
- Fenselau C, Russell S, Swatkoski S, Edwards N. Proteomic strategies for rapid characterization of micro-organisms. Eur J Mass Spectrom. 2007;13(1):35–9.
- Fernandez-No IC, Bohme K, Diaz-Bao M, Cepeda A, Barros-Velazquez J, Calo-Mata P. Characterisation and profiling of *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus licheniformis* by MALDI-TOF mass fingerprinting. Food Microbiol. 2013;33(2):235–42.
- Ferreira L, Castano SV, Sanchez-Juanes F, Gonzalez-Cabrero S, Menegotto F, Orduna-Domingo A, Gonzalez-Buitrago JM, Munoz-Bellido JL. Identification of *Brucella* by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Fast and reliable identification from agar plates and blood cultures. Plos ONE. 2010a;5 (12).
- Ferreira L, Sanchez-Juanes F, Gonzalez-Avila M, Cembrero-Fucinos D, Herrero-Hernandez A, Gonzalez-Buitrago JM, Munoz-Bellido JL. Direct identification of urinary tract pathogens from urine samples by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2010b;48(6):2110–5.
- Ferreira V, Wiedmann M, Teixeira P, Stasiewicz MJ. *Listeria monocytogenes* persistence in foodassociated environments: epidemiology, strain characteristics, and implications for public health. J Food Protect. 2014;77(1):150–70.
- Ford BA, Burnham CAD. Optimization of routine identification of clinically relevant gram-negative bacteria by use of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry and the Bruker Biotyper. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(5):1412–20.
- Freiwald A, Sauer S. Phylogenetic classification and identification of bacteria by mass spectrometry. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(5):732–42.
- Fujinami Y, Kikkawa HS, Kurosaki Y, Sakurada K, Yoshino M, Yasuda J. Rapid discrimination of *Legionella* by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Microbiol Res. 2011;166(2):77–86.
- Gao BL, Gupta RS. Microbial systematics in the post-genomics era. Anton Leeuw Int J G. 2012;101(1):45–54.
- Ge MY, Li B, Wang L, Tao ZY, Mao SF, Wang YL, Xie GL, Sun GC. Differentiation in MALDI-TOF MS and FTIR spectra between two pathovars of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Spectrochim Acta A. 2014;133:730–4.
- Gedda G, Abdelhamid HN, Khan MS, Wu HF. ZnO nanoparticle-modified polymethyl methacrylate-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction coupled with MALDI-MS for rapid pathogenic bacteria analysis. Rsc Adv. 2014;4(86):45973–83.
- Gentile RC, Shukla S, Shah M, Ritterband DC, Engelbert M, Davis A, Hu DN. Microbiological spectrum and antibiotic sensitivity in endophthalmitis a 25-year review. Ophthalmology. 2014;121(8):1634–42.
- Ghyselinck J, Van Hoorde K, Hoste B, Heylen K, De Vos P. Evaluation of MALDI-TOF MS as a tool for high-throughput dereplication. J Microbiol Methods. 2011;86(3):327–36.
- Giebel RA, Fredenberg W, Sandrin TR. Characterization of environmental isolates of *Enterococcus* spp. by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Water Res. 2008;42(4–5):931–40.
- Giebel R, Worden C, Rust SM, Kleinheinz GT, Robbins M, Sandrin TR. Microbial fingerprinting using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS): applications and challenges. Adv Appl Microbiol. 2010;71:149–84.
- Goldstein JE, Zhang L, Borror CM, Rago JV, Sandrin TR. Culture conditions and sample preparation methods affect spectrum quality and reproducibility during profiling of *Staphylococcus aureus* with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2013;57(2):144–50.
- Goncalves JL, Tomazi T, Barreiro JR, Braga PAD, Ferreira CR, Araujo JP, Eberlin MN, dos Santos MV. Identification of *Corynebacterium* spp. isolated from bovine intramammary infections by

matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Vet Microbiol. 2014;173(1–2):147–51.

- Gopal J, Hasan N, Wu HF. Fabrication of titanium based MALDI bacterial chips for rapid, sensitive and direct analysis of pathogenic bacteria. Biosens Bioelectron. 2013;39(1):57–63.
- Goto K, Yamamoto M, Asahara M, Tamura T, Matsumura M, Hayashimoto N, Makimura K. Rapid identification of *Mycoplasma pulmonis* isolated from laboratory mice and rats using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. J Vet Med Sci. 2012;74(8):1083–6.
- Grosse-Herrenthey A, Maier T, Gessler F, Schaumann R, Bohnel H, Kostrzewa M, Kruger M. Challenging the problem of clostridial identification with matrix-assisted laser desorption and ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). Anaerobe. 2008;14(4):242–9.
- Grundmann H, Livermore DM, Giske CG, Canton R, Rossolini GM, Campos J, Vatopoulos A, Gniadkowski M, Toth A, Pfeifer Y, Jarlier V, Carmeli Y, Grp CW. Carbapenem-non-susceptible *Enterobacteriaceae* in Europe: conclusions from a meeting of national experts. Eurosurveillance. 2010;15(46):22–34.
- Han SK, Hong Y, Kwak HL, Kim ES, Kim MJ, Shrivastav A, Oh MH, Kim HY. Identification of lactic acid bacteria in pork meat and pork meat products using SDS-PAGE, 16S rRNA gene sequencing and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. J Food Safety. 2014;34(3):224–32.
- Hasan N, Gopal J, Wu HF. Surface pretreatment effects on titanium chips for the adhesion of pathogenic bacteria in the MALDI-TOF MS. Appl Surf Sci. 2014;314:52–63.
- Hazen TH, Martinez RJ, Chen Y, Lafon PC, Garrett NM, Parsons MB, Bopp CA, Sullards MC, Sobecky PA. Rapid identification of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* by whole-cell matrixassisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Appl Environ Microb. 2009;75(21):6745–56.
- Helmel M, Marchetti-Deschmann M, Allmaier G. Improved sample preparation for intact cell mass spectrometry (biotyping) of mycelium samples taken from a batch fermentation process of *Penicillium chrysogenum*. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 2014;28(8):957–64.
- Hettick JM, Kashon ML, Slaven JE, Ma Y, Simpson JP, Siegel PD, Mazurek GN, Weissman DN. Discrimination of intact *Mycobacteria* at the strain level: a combined MALDI-TOF MS and biostatistical analysis. Proteomics. 2006;6(24):6416–25.
- Holland RD, Wilkes JG, Rafii F, Sutherland JB, Persons CC, Voorhees KJ, Lay JO. Rapid identification of intact whole bacteria based on spectral patterns using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization with time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 1996;10(10):1227–32.
- Holland RD, Wilkes JG, Cooper WM, Alusta P, Williams A, Pearce B, Beaudoin M, Buzatu D. Thymol treatment of bacteria prior to matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometric analysis aids in identifying certain bacteria at the subspecies level. Rapid Commun Mass Sp: RCM. 2014a;28 (23):2617–2626.
- Holland TL, Arnold C, Fowler VG. Clinical management of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: a review. Jama-J Am Med Assoc. 2014b;312(13):1330–41.
- Horneffer V, Haverkamp J, Janssen HG, Notz R. MALDI-TOF-MS analysis of bacterial spores: wet heat-treatment as a new releasing technique for biomarkers and the influence of different experimental parameters and microbiological handling. J Am Soc Mass Spectr. 2004;15(10):1444–54.
- Hsu YMS, Burnham CAD. MALDI-TOF MS identification of anaerobic bacteria: assessment of pre-analytical variables and specimen preparation techniques. Diagn Micr Infec Dis. 2014;79(2):144–8.
- Hsueh PR, Kuo LC, Chang TC, Lee TF, Teng SH, Chuang YC, Teng LJ, Sheng WH. Evaluation of the Bruker Biotyper matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry system for identification of blood isolates of *Acinetobacter* species. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(8):3095–100.
- Ikryannikova LN, Filimonova AV, Malakhova MV, Savinova T, Filimonova O, Ilina EN, Dubovickaya VA, Sidorenko SV, Govorun VM. Discrimination between *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

and *Streptococcus mitis* based on sorting of their MALDI mass spectra. Clin Microbiol Infec. 2013;19(11):1066–71.

- Ilina EN, Borovskaya AD, Serebryakova MV, Chelysheva VV, Momynaliev KT, Maier T, Kostrzewa M, Govorun VM. Application of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry for the study of *Helicobacter pylori*. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom. 2010;24(3):328–34.
- Jackson KA, Edwards-Jones V, Sutton CW, Fox AJ. Optimisation of intact cell MALDI method for fingerprinting of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Microbiol Meth. 2005;62(3):273–84.
- Johnson RA, Wichem DW. Applied multivariate statistical analysis. 6th edn. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall; 2007
- Karas M, Bachmann D, Bahr U, Hillenkamp F. Matrix-assisted ultraviolet-laser desorption of nonvolatile compounds. Int J Mass Spectrom. 1987;78:53–68.
- Karger A, Ziller M, Bettin B, Mintel B, Schares S, Geue L. Determination of serotypes of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates by intact cell matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Appl Environ Microb. 2011;77(3):896–905.
- Kern CC, Usbeck JC, Vogel RF, Behr J. Optimization of matrix-assisted-laser-desorption-ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry for the identification of bacterial contaminants in beverages. J Microbiol Meth. 2013;93(3):185–91.
- Kern CC, Vogel RF, Behr J. Differentiation of *Lactobacillus brevis* strains using matrix-assistedlaser-desorption-ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry with respect to their beer spoilage potential. Food Microbiol. 2014a;40:18–24.
- Kern CC, Vogel RF, Behr J. Identification and differentiation of brewery isolates of *Pectinatus* sp by matrix-assisted-laser-desorption-ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). Eur Food Res Technol. 2014b;238(5):875–80.
- Keys CJ, Dare DJ, Sutton H, Wells G, Lunt M, McKenna T, McDowall M, Shah HN. Compilation of a MALDI-TOF mass spectral database for the rapid screening and characterisation of bacteria implicated in human infectious diseases. Infect Genet Evol. 2004;4(3):221–42.
- Khot PD, Couturier MR, Wilson A, Croft A, Fisher MA. Optimization of matrix-assisted-laserdesorption-ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry analysis for bacterial identification. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50(12):3845–52.
- Klein S, Zimmermann S, Kohler C, Mischnik A, Alle W, Bode KA. Integration of matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry in blood culture diagnostics: a fast and effective approach. J Med Microbiol. 2012;61(3):323–31.
- Kopcakova A, Stramova Z, Kvasnova S, Godany A, Perhacova Z, Pristas P. Need for database extension for reliable identification of bacteria from extreme environments using MALDI TOF mass spectrometry. Chem Pap. 2014;68(11):1435–42.
- Krasny L, Rohlova E, Ruzickova H, Jiri SA, Hynek R, Hochel I. Differentiation of *Cronobacter* spp. by tryptic digestion of the cell suspension followed by MALDI-TOF MS analysis. J Microbiol Meth. 2014;98:105–13.
- Krishnamurthy T, Ross PL, Rajamani U. Detection of pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 1996;10(8):883–8.
- Kuehl B, Marten SM, Bischoff Y, Brenner-Weiss G, Obst U. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometrymultivariate data analysis as a tool for classification of reactivation and non-culturable states of bacteria. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2011;401(5):1593–600.
- Lacroix C, Gicquel A, Sendid B, Meyer J, Accoceberry I, Francois N, Morio F, Desoubeaux G, Chandenier J, Kauffmann-Lacroix C, Hennequin C, Guitard J, Nassif X, Bougnoux ME. Evaluation of two matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) systems for the identification of *Candida* species. Clin Microbiol Infec. 2014;20(2):153–8.
- Lartigue MF, Hery-Arnaud G, Haguenoer E, Domelier AS, Schmit PO, van der Mee-Marquet N, Lanotte P, Mereghetti L, Kostrzewa M, Quentin R. Identification of *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolates from various phylogenetic lineages by matrix-assisted-laser-desorption-ionizationtime-of-flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2009;47(7):2284–7.
- Lasch P, Fleige C, Stammler M, Layer F, Nubel U, Witte W, Werner G. Insufficient discriminatory power of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry for typing of *Enterococcus faecium* and *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. J Microbiol Meth. 2014;100:58–69.
- Lazcka O, Del Campo FJ, Munoz FX. Pathogen detection: a perspective of traditional methods and biosensors. Biosens Bioelectron. 2007;22(7):1205–17.
- Li WJ, Raoult D, Fournier PE. Bacterial strain typing in the genomic era. Fems Microbiol Rev. 2009;33(5):892–916.
- Livermore DM. Fourteen years in resistance. Int J Antimicrob Ag. 2012;39(4):283–94.
- Lohmann C, Sabou M, Moussaoui W, Prevost G, Delarbre JM, Candolfi E, Gravet A, Letscher-Bru V. Comparison between the Biflex III-Biotyper and the Axima-SARAMIS systems for yeast identification by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(4):1231–6.
- Lotz A, Ferroni A, Beretti JL, Dauphin B, Carbonnelle E, Guet-Revillet H, Veziris N, Heym B, Jarlier V, Gaillard JL, Pierre-Audigier C, Frapy E, Berche P, Nassif X, Bille E. Rapid identification of mycobacterial whole cells in solid and liquid culture media by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48(12):4481–6.
- Lundquist M, Caspersen MB, Wikstrom P, Forsman M. Discrimination of *Francisella tularensis* subspecies using surface enhanced laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry and multivariate data analysis. Fems Microbiol Lett. 2005;243(1):303–10.
- Majcherczyk PA, McKenna T, Moreillon P, Vaudaux P. The discriminatory power of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry to differentiate between isogenic teicoplanin-susceptible and teicoplanin-resistant strains of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Fems Microbiol Lett. 2006;255(2):233–9.
- Martin-Loeches I, Diaz E, Valles J. Risks for multidrug-resistant pathogens in the ICU. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2014;20(5):516–24.
- Martinez RM, Bauerle ER, Fang FC, Butler-Wu SM. Evaluation of three rapid diagnostic methods for direct identification of microorganisms in positive blood cultures. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(7):2521–9.
- Masarikova M, Mrackova M, Sedlinska M. Application of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry in identification of stallion semen bacterial contamination. J Equine Vet Sci. 2014;34(6):833–6.
- Mather CA, Rivera SF, Butler-Wu SM. Comparison of the Bruker Biotyper and Vitek MS matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry systems for identification of *Mycobacteria* using simplified protein extraction protocols. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(1):130–8.
- Morgan DJ, Kaye KS, Diekema DJ. Reconsidering Isolation Precautions for Endemic Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus*. Jama-J Am Med Assoc. 2014;312(14):1395–6.
- Moura H, Woolfitt AR, Carvalho MG, Pavlopoulos A, Teixeira LM, Satten GA, Barr JR. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry as a tool for differentiation of invasive and noninvasive *Streptococcus pyogenes* isolates. Fems Immunol Med Mic. 2008;53(3):333–42.
- Murray PR. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry: usefulness for taxonomy and epidemiology. Clin Microbiol Infec. 2010;16(11):1626–30.
- Nagy E. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry: a new possibility for the identification and typing of anaerobic bacteria. Future Microbiol. 2014;9(2):217– 33.
- Nagy E, Becker S, Soki J, Urban E, Kostrzewa M. Differentiation of division I (cfiA-negative) and division II (cfiA-positive) *Bacteroides fragilis* strains by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. J Med Microbiol. 2011;60(11):1584–90.
- Nagy E, Urban E, Becker S, Kostrzewa M, Voros A, Hunyadkurti J, Nagy I. MALDI-TOF MS fingerprinting facilitates rapid discrimination of phylotypes I, II and III and *Propionibacterium acnes*. Anaerobe. 2013;20:20–6.
- Nakano S, Matsumura Y, Kato K, Yunoki T, Hotta G, Noguchi T, Yamamoto M, Nagao M, Ito Y, Takakura S, Ichiyama S. Differentiation of vanA-positive *Enterococcus faecium* from vanA-

negative *E. faecium* by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Int J Antimicrob Ag. 2014;44(3):256–9.

- Niederman MS. Treatment options for nosocomial pneumonia due to MRSA. J Infection. 2009;59:S25–31.
- Niyompanich S, Jaresitthikunchai J, Srisanga K, Roytrakul S, Tungpradabkul S. Source-identifying biomarker ions between environmental and clinical *Burkholderia pseudomallei* using whole-cell matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). Plos ONE. 2014;9(6).
- Nonnemann B, Tvede M, Bjarnsholt T. Identification of pathogenic microorganisms directly from positive blood vials by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry. Apmis. 2013;121(9):871–7.
- Nucera DM, Lomonaco S, Costa A, Morra P, Grassi MA. Diagnostic performance of rep-PCR as a rapid subtyping method for *Listeria monocytogenes*. Food Anal Method. 2013;6(3):868–71.
- Pavlovic M, Huber I, Konrad R, Busch U. Application of MALDI-TOF MS for the identification of food borne bacteria. Open Microbiol J. 2013;7:135–41.
- Pennanec X, Dufour A, Haras D, Rehel K. A quick and easy method to identify bacteria by matrixassisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 2010;24(3):384–92.
- Pereyre S, Tardy F, Renaudin H, Cauvin E, Machado LDN, Tricot A, Benoit F, Treilles M, Bebear C. Identification and subtyping of clinically relevant human and ruminant *Mycoplasmas* by use of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(10):3314–23.
- Perez-Boto D, Lopez-Portoles JA, Simon C, Echeita MA. Application of restriction fragment length polymorphism-polymerase chain reaction-flaA and resistotype to identify potential undiagnosed outbreaks of *Campylobacteriosis* in Spain. Enferm Infec Micr Cl. 2014;32(7):428– 33.
- Pribil PA, Patton E, Black G, Doroshenko V, Fenselau C. Rapid characterization of *Bacillus* spores targeting species-unique peptides produced with an atmospheric pressure matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization source. J Mass Spectrom. 2005;40(4):464–74.
- Quintela-Baluja M, Bohme K, Fernandez-No IC, Morandi S, Alnakip ME, Caamano-Antelo S, Barros-Velazquez J, Calo-Mata P. Characterization of different food-isolated *Enterococcus* strains by MALDI-TOF mass fingerprinting. Electrophoresis. 2013;34(15):2240–50.
- Rajakaruna L, Hallas G, Molenaar L, Dare D, Sutton H, Encheva V, Culak R, Innes I, Ball G, Sefton AM, Eydmann M, Kearns AM, Shah HN. High throughput identification of clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* using MALDI-TOF-MS of intact cells. Infect Genet Evol. 2009;9(4):507–13.
- Regecova I, Pipova M, Jevinova P, Maruskova K, Kmet V, Popelka P. Species identification and antimicrobial resistance of coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* isolated from the meat of sea fish. J Food Sci. 2014;79(5):M898–902.
- Rodriguez-Sanchez B, Sanchez-Carrillo C, Ruiz A, Marin M, Cercenado E, Rodriguez-Creixems M, Bouza E. Direct identification of pathogens from positive blood cultures using matrixassisted laser desorption-ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Clin Microbiol Infec. 2014;20(7):O421–7.
- Rossello GAM, Rodriguez MPG, Leonardo ROD, Domingo AO, Perez MAB. Procedure for microbial identification based on matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry from screening-positive urine samples. Apmis. 2014;122(9):790–5.
- Ruelle V, EL Moualij B, Zorzi W, Ledent P, De Pauw E. Rapid identification of environmental bacterial strains by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 2004;18(18):2013–9.
- Russell SC, Edwards N, Fenselau C. Detection of plasmid insertion in *Escherichia coli* by MAL-DI-TOF mass spectrometry. Anal Chem. 2007;79(14):5399–406.
- Ryzhov V, Fenselau C. Characterization of the protein subset desorbed by MALDI from whole bacterial cells. Anal Chem. 2001;73(4):746–50.
- Ryzhov V, Hathout Y, Fenselau C. Rapid characterization of spores of *Bacillus cereus* group bacteria by matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Appl Environ Microb. 2000;66(9):3828–34.
- Salaun S, Kervarec N, Potin P, Haras D, Piotto M, La Barre S. Whole-cell spectroscopy is a convenient tool to assist molecular identification of cultivatable marine bacteria and to investigate their adaptive metabolism. Talanta. 2010;80(5):1758–70.
- Samb-Ba B, Mazenot C, Gassama-Sow A, Dubourg G, Richet H, Hugon P, Lagier JC, Raoult D, Fenollar F. MALDI-TOF identification of the human gut microbiome in people with and without diarrhea in Senegal. Plos ONE. 2014;9(5).
- Sanchez-Juanes F, Ferreira L, de la Vega PA, Valverde A, Barrios ML, Rivas R, Mateos PF, Martinez-Molina E, Gonzalez-Buitrago JM, Trujillo ME, Velazquez E. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry as a tool for differentiation of *Bradyrhizobium* species: application to the identification of *Lupinus* nodulating strains. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2013;36(8):565–71.
- Sandrin TR, Goldstein JE, Schumaker S. MALDI TOF MS profiling of bacteria at the strain level: a review. Mass Spectrom Rev. 2013;32(3):188–217.
- Sauer S, Freiwald A, Maier T, Kube M, Reinhardt R, Kostrzewa M, Geider K. Classification and identification of bacteria by mass spectrometry and computational analysis. Plos ONE. 2008;3(7).
- Sauer S, Kliem M. Mass spectrometry tools for the classification and identification of bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8(1):74–82.
- Schafer MO, Genersch E, Funfhaus A, Poppinga L, Formella N, Bettin B, Karger A. Rapid identification of differentially virulent genotypes of *Paenibacillus larvae*, the causative organism of American foulbrood of honey bees, by whole cell MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Vet Microbiol. 2014;170(3–4):291–7.
- Schrodl W, Heydel T, Schwartze VU, Hoffmann K, Grosse-Herrenthey A, Walther G, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Olias P, Jacobsen ID, de Hoog GS, Voigt K. Direct analysis and identification of pathogenic *Lichtheimia* species by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight analyzer-mediated mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50(2):419–27.
- Schrottner P, Rudolph WW, Eing BR, Bertram S, Gunzer F. Comparison of VITEK2, MALDI-TOF MS, and 16S rDNA sequencing for identification of *Myroides odoratus* and *Myroides odoratimimus*. Diagn Micr Infec Dis. 2014;79(2):155–9.
- Schulthess B, Bloemberg GV, Zbinden R, Bottger EC, Hombach M. Evaluation of the Bruker MALDI Biotyper for identification of gram-positive rods: development of a diagnostic algorithm for the clinical laboratory. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(4):1089–97.
- Schumaker S, Borror CM, Sandrin TR. Automating data acquisition affects mass spectrum quality and reproducibility during bacterial profiling using an intact cell sample preparation method with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 2012;26(3):243–53.
- Šedo O, Sedlacek I, Zdrahal Z. Sample preparation methods for MALDI-MS profiling of bacteria. Mass Spectrom Rev. 2011;30(3):417–34.
- Šedo O, Vavrova A, Vad'urova M, Tvrzova L, Zdrahal Z. The influence of growth conditions on strain differentiation within the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* group using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry profiling. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 2013;27(24):2729–36.
- Setlhare G, Malebo N, Shale K, Lues R. Identification of airborne microbiota in selected areas in a health-care setting in South Africa. Bmc Microbiol. 2014;14:100
- Shah HN, Rajakaruna L, Ball G, Misra R, Al-Shahib A, Fang M, Gharbia SE. Tracing the transition of methicillin resistance in sub-populations of *Staphylococcus aureus*, using SELDI-TOF mass spectrometry and artificial neural network analysis. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2011;34(1):81–6.
- Shan XY, Zhang J, Zhou HJ, Zhu BQ, Xu L, Shao ZJ, Jiang BF. Genetic diversity of *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C ST-4821 in China based on multiple-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis. Plos ONE. 2014;9(11)e111866.
- Siegrist TJ, Anderson PD, Huen WH, Kleinheinz GT, McDermott CM, Sandrin TR. Discrimination and characterization of environmental strains of *Escherichia coli* by matrix-assisted laser

desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). J Microbiol Meth. 2007;68(3):554–62.

- Spanu V, Scarano C, Cossu F, Pala C, Spanu C, De Santis EPL. Antibiotic resistance traits and molecular subtyping of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from raw sheep milk cheese. J Food Sci. 2014;79(10):M2066-71.
- Sparbier K, Lange C, Jung J, Wieser A, Schubert S, Kostrzewa M. MALDI Biotyper-based rapid resistance detection by stable-isotope labeling. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(11):3741–8.
- Staley JT. The bacterial species dilemma and the genomic-phylogenetic species concept. Philos T R Soc B. 2006;361(1475):1899–909.
- Stephan R, Cernela N, Ziegler D, Pfluger V, Tonolla M, Ravasi D, Fredriksson-Ahomaa M, Hachler H. Rapid species specific identification and subtyping of *Yersinia enterocolitica* by MALDI-TOF Mass spectrometry. J Microbiol Meth. 2011;87(2):150–3.
- Stets MI, Pinto AS, Huergo LF, de Souza EM, Guimaraes VF, Alves AC, Steffens MBR, Monteiro RA, Pedrosa FD, Cruz LM. Rapid identification of bacterial isolates from wheat roots by high resolution whole cell MALDI-TOF MS analysis. J Biotechnol. 2013;165(3–4):167–74.
- Sun LW, Teramoto K, Sato H, Torimura M, Tao H, Shintani T. Characterization of ribosomal proteins as biomarkers for matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectral identification of *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 2006;20(24):3789–98.
- Teramoto K, Sato H, Sun L, Torimura M, Tao H. A simple intact protein analysis by MALDI-MS for characterization of ribosomal proteins of two genome-sequenced lactic acid bacteria and verification of their amino acid sequences. J Proteome Res. 2007a;6(10):3899–907.
- Teramoto K, Sato H, Sun L, Torimura M, Tao H, Yoshikawa H, Hotta Y, Hosoda A, Tamura H. Phylogenetic classification of *Pseudomonas putida* strains by MALDI-MS using ribosomal subunit proteins as biomarkers. Anal Chem. 2007b;79(22):8712–9.
- Thevenon F, Regier N, Benagli C, Tonolla M, Adatte T, Wildi W, Pote J. Characterization of fecal indicator bacteria in sediments cores from the largest freshwater lake of Western Europe (Lake Geneva, Switzerland). Ecotox Environ Safe. 2012;78:50–6.
- Toh-Boyo GM, Wulff SS, Basile F. Comparison of sample preparation methods and evaluation of intra- and intersample reproducibility in bacteria MALDI-MS profiling. Anal Chem. 2012;84(22):9971–80.
- Trafny EA, Lewandowski R, Stepinska M, Kaliszewski M. Biological threat detection in the air and on the surface: how to define the risk. Arch Immunol Ther Ex. 2014;62(4):253–61.
- Valentine N, Wunschel S, Wunschel D, Petersen C, Wahl K. Effect of culture conditions on microorganism identification by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry. Appl Environ Microb. 2005;71(1):58–64.
- Vanlaere E, LiPuma JJ, Baldwin A, Henry D, De Brandt E, Mahenthiralingam E, Speert D, Dowson C, Vandamme P. *Burkholderia latens* sp nov., *Burkholderia diffusa* sp nov., *Burkholderia arboris* sp nov., *Burkholderia seminalis* sp nov and *Burkholderia metallica* sp nov., novel species within the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex. Int J Syst Evol Micr. 2008;58:1580–90.
- Vargha M, Takats Z, Konopka A, Nakatsu CH. Optimization of MALDI-TOF MS for strain level differentiation of *Arthrobacter* isolates. J Microbiol Meth. 2006;66(3):399–409.
- Walker J, Fox AJ, Edwards-Jones V, Gordon DB. Intact cell mass spectrometry (ICMS) used to type methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: media effects and inter-laboratory reproducibility. J Microbiol Meth. 2002;48(2–3):117–26.
- Wang WP, Xi HY, Huang M, Wang J, Fan M, Chen Y, Shao HF, Li XJ. Performance of mass spectrometric identification of bacteria and yeasts routinely isolated in a clinical microbiology laboratory using MALDI-TOF MS. J Thorac Dis. 2014;6(5):524–33.
- Wang XH, Zhang G, Fan YY, Yang X, Sui WJ, Lu XX. Direct identification of bacteria causing urinary tract infections by combining matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry with UF-1000i urine flow cytometry. J Microbiol Meth. 2013;92(3):231–5.
- Welham KJ, Domin MA, Scannell DE, Cohen E, Ashton DS. The characterization of micro-organisms by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun Mass Sp. 1998;12(4):176–80.
- Welker M, Moore ERB. Applications of whole-cell matrix-assisted laser-desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry in systematic microbiology. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2011;34(1):2–11.
- Wenning M, Breitenwieser F, Konrad R, Huber I, Busch U, Scherer S. Identification and differentiation of food-related bacteria: a comparison of FTIR spectroscopy and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. J Microbiol Meth. 2014;103:44–52.
- Wensing A, Zimmermann S, Geider K. Identification of the corn pathogen *Pantoea stewartii* by mass spectrometry of whole-cell extracts and its detection with novel PCR primers. Appl Environ Microb. 2010;76(18):6248–56.
- Werno AM, Christner M, Anderson TP, Murdoch DR. Differentiation of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from nonpneumococcal *Streptococci* of the *Streptococcus mitis* Group by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50(9):2863–7.
- Wessels E, Schelfaut JJG, Bernards AT, Claas ECJ. Evaluation of several biochemical and molecular techniques for identification of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pseudopneumoniae* and their detection in respiratory samples. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50(4):1171–7.
- Wieme AD, Spitaels F, Aerts M, De Bruyne K, Van Landschoot A, Vandamme P. Effects of growth medium on matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization time of flight mass spectra: a case study of acetic acid bacteria. Appl Environ Microb. 2014a;80(4):1528–38.
- Wieme AD, Spitaels F, Aerts M, De Bruyne K, Van Landschoot A, Vandamme P. Identification of beer-spoilage bacteria using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Int J Food Microbiol. 2014b;185:41–50.
- Williams MG, Palandra J, Shobe EM. Rapid determination of rat plasma uridine levels by HPLC-ESI-MS utilizing the Captiva ™ filter plates for sample preparation. Biomed Chromatogr. 2003;17(4):215–8.
- Wolters M, Rohde H, Maier T, Belmar-Campos C, Franke G, Scherpe S, Aepfelbacher M, Christner M. MALDI-TOF MS fingerprinting allows for discrimination of major methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* lineages. Int J Med Microbiol. 2011;301(1):64–8.
- Wynne C, Fenselau C, Demirev PA, Edwards N. Top-down identification of protein biomarkers in bacteria with unsequenced genomes. Anal Chem. 2009;81(23):9633–42.
- Xiao JH, Liu Y, Wang MG, Jiang CH, You XX, Zhu CM. Detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* P1 subtype variations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Diagn Micr Infec Dis. 2014;78(1):24–8.
- Xiao D, Tao XX, Wang P, Liu GD, Gong YN, Zhang HF, Wang HB, Zhang JZ. Rapid and highthroughput identification of recombinant bacteria with mass spectrometry assay. Biomed Environ Sci. 2014a;27(4):250–8.
- Xiao D, Ye CY, Zhang HF, Kan B, Lu JX, Xu JG, Jiang XG, Zhao F, You YH, Yan XM, Wang DC, Hu Y, Zhang MJ, Zhang JZ. The construction and evaluation of reference spectra for the identification of human pathogenic microorganisms by MALDI-TOF MS. Plos ONE. 2014b;9(9):e106312.
- Xiao D, Zhang HF, He LH, Peng XH, Wang YD, Xue GH, Su P, Zhang JZ. High natural variability bacteria identification and typing: *Helicobacter pylori* analysis based on peptide mass fingerprinting. J Proteomics. 2014c;98:112–22.
- Zampieri D, Santos VG, Braga PAC, Ferreira CR, Ballottin D, Tasic L, Basso AC, Sanches BV, Pontes JHF, da Silva BP, Garboggini FF, Eberlin MN, Tata A. Microorganisms in cryopreserved semen and culture media used in the in vitro production (IVP) of bovine embryos identified by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS). Theriogenology. 2013;80(4):337–45.
- Zarate MS, Romano V, Nievas J, Smayevsky J. Utility of MALDI-TOF MS for the identification of anaerobic bacteria. Rev Argent Microbiol. 2014;46(2):98–102.
- Zautner AE, Masanta WO, Tareen AM, Weig M, Lugert R, Gross U, Bader O. Discrimination of multilocus sequence typing-based *Campylobacter jejuni* subgroups by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Bmc Microbiol. 2013;13:247.
- Zeller-Peronnet V, Brockmann E, Pavlovic M, Timke M, Busch U, Huber I. Potential and limitations of MALDI-TOF MS for discrimination within the species *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* and *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides*. J Verbrauch Lebensm. 2013;8(3):205–14.
- Zhang L, Borror CM, Sandrin TR. A designed experiments approach to optimization of automated data acquisition during characterization of bacteria with MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Plos ONE. 2014a;9(3):e92720.
- Zhang YF, Liu YY, Ma QW, Song YQ, Zhang Q, Wang XY, Chen F. Identification of *Lactobacillus* from the saliva of adult patients with caries using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Plos ONE. 2014b;9(8).
- Ziegler D, Mariotti A, Pfluger V, Saad M, Vogel G, Tonolla M, Perret X. In situ identification of plant-invasive bacteria with MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Plos ONE. 2012;7(5):e37189.