

# Character sums and arithmetic combinatorics

Mei-Chu Chang

**Abstract** In this survey we review some old and new results on character sums and their applications to various problems in analytic number theory, e.g., smallest quadratic nonresidues, Dirichlet L-function, Linnik's problem. We also discuss open problems in this area. Some of the techniques involved belong to arithmetic combinatorics. One may hope for these methods to lead to further progress.

**Keywords** Character sums • Zero-free regions.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 11L40, 11M06.

A function  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} \cup \{0\}$  is a multiplicative character mod  $q$  if it satisfies the properties that  $\chi(mn) = \chi(m)\chi(n)$ , and  $\chi(n) = 0$  if  $\gcd(n, q) \neq 1$ . We are interested in bounding non-trivially the character sum of  $\chi$  over an interval of length  $H \leq q$ . More precisely, we want to study the following problem.

**Problem 1.** *Assuming  $\chi$  is non-principal and  $q \gg 0$ , how small  $H = H(q)$  can be such that*

$$\left| \sum_{n=a+1}^{a+H} \chi(n) \right| < H^{1-\epsilon} ? \quad (1)$$

In 1918, Polya and Vinogradov (Theorem 12.5 in [27]) had the estimate for  $H \gg \sqrt{q} \log q$ .

**Theorem 1 (Polya-Vinogradov).** *Let  $\chi$  be a non-principal Dirichlet character mod  $q$ . Then*

$$\left| \sum_{m=a+1}^{a+H} \chi(m) \right| < Cq^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log q).$$

---

M.-C. Chang (✉)

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA  
e-mail: [mcc@math.ucr.edu](mailto:mcc@math.ucr.edu)

Forty four years later Burgess [5] improved Polya and Vinogradov’s result to  $H > q^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}$ , first for prime moduli, then for cube-free moduli.

**Theorem 2 (Burgess).** *For  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $H > p^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}$ , then*

$$\left| \sum_{m=a+1}^{a+H} \chi(m) \right| \ll p^{-\delta} H.$$

Using sieving, there is the following

**Corollary 1.** *The smallest quadratic nonresidue mod  $p$  is at most  $p^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\varepsilon}}+\varepsilon}$ .*

At present time, Burgess’ estimate is still the best. Davenport and Lewis generalized it to higher dimensions by replacing the interval by a box  $B \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ .

Let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be an arbitrary basis for  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , there is a unique representation of  $x$  in terms of the basis.

$$x = x_1\omega_1 + \dots + x_n\omega_n$$

A box  $B \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is a set such that for each  $j$ , the coefficients  $x_j$  form an interval.

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n x_j\omega_j : x_j \in [a_j + 1, a_j + H_j], \quad \forall i \right\} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n [a_j + 1, a_j + H_j]. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Davenport and Lewis had the following non-trivial estimate for character sums over boxes. (See [6, 28] for work on special boxes.)

**Theorem 3.** [12, Theorem 2] *Let  $H_j = H$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , with*

$$H > p^{\frac{n}{2(n+1)}+\delta} \text{ for some } \delta > 0 \tag{3}$$

*and let  $p > p(\delta)$ . Then, with  $B$  defined as above*

$$\left| \sum_{x \in B} \chi(x) \right| < (p^{-\delta_1} H)^n,$$

*where  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\delta) > 0$ .*

For  $n = 1$  (i.e.,  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p$ ) this is Burgess’ result. But as  $n$  increases, the exponent in (3) tends to  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Motivated by the work of Burgess and Davenport-Lewis, we obtained the following estimates on incomplete character sums. Our result improves Theorem DL for  $n > 4$  [7, 8] and is also uniform in  $n$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\chi$  be a non-trivial multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. If*

$$B = \prod_{j=1}^n [a_j + 1, a_j + H_j]$$

is a box satisfying

$$\prod_{j=1}^n H_j > p^{(\frac{2}{5} + \varepsilon)n},$$

then for  $p > p(\varepsilon)$

$$\left| \sum_{x \in B} \chi(x) \right| \ll_n p^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}} |B|,$$

unless  $n$  is even and  $\chi|_{F_2}$  is principal, where  $F_2$  is the subfield of size  $p^{n/2}$ , in which case

$$\left| \sum_{x \in B} \chi(x) \right| \leq \max_{\xi} |B \cap \xi F_2| + O_n(p^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}} |B|).$$

The proof of Theorem 4 used ingredients and techniques from sum-product theory, specially multiplicative energy. Theorem 4 was improved by Konyagin [30] for regular boxes.

**Theorem 5.** [30] *Let  $\chi$  be a non-trivial multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , and let  $B$  be given as in (2) with*

$$H_j = H > p^{\frac{1}{4} + \epsilon}, \quad \forall j.$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in B} \chi(x) \right| \ll_n p^{-\delta} |B|,$$

where  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ .

**Problem 2.** *Obtain a non-trivial estimate on  $\sum_{x \in B} \chi(x)$ , assuming  $|B| > q^{1/4 + \epsilon}$  and  $B$  not ‘essentially’ contained in a multiplicative translate of a subfield.*

As in [12] (see p. 131), Theorem 4 has the following application to the distribution of primitive roots of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $B \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  be as in Theorem 4 and satisfying  $\max_{\xi} |B \cap \xi F_2| < p^{-\varepsilon} |B|$  if  $n$  even. The number of primitive roots of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  belonging to  $B$  is*

$$\frac{\varphi(p^n - 1)}{p^n - 1} |B| (1 + o(p^{-\tau'})),$$

where  $\tau' = \tau'(\varepsilon) > 0$  and assuming  $n \ll \log \log p$ .

The proof of Corollary 2 is by combining character sums estimate with the following.

$$\frac{\phi(p^n - 1)}{p^n - 1} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{\substack{d|p^n-1 \\ d>1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\text{ord}(\chi)=d} \chi(x) \right\} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is primitive,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We also generalize Burgess’s inequality in a slightly different direction.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a proper  $d$ -dimensional generalized arithmetic progression in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with*

$$|\mathcal{P}| > p^{\frac{2}{5} + \varepsilon}, \text{ some } \varepsilon > 0$$

If  $\chi$  is a non-principal multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} \chi(x) \right| < p^{-\tau} |\mathcal{P}|,$$

where  $\tau = \tau(\varepsilon, d) > 0$  and assuming  $p > p(\varepsilon, d)$ .

**Remark 6.1.** The exponent  $\frac{2}{5} < \frac{1}{2}$  does not depend on  $d$ .

**Remark 6.2.** Similar results hold for  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  with worse exponent.

Using Freiman’s theorem, sum–product, and character sums, we obtained the following.

**Corollary 3.** *Given  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a constant  $\kappa = \kappa(C, \varepsilon)$  and a positive integer  $k < k(C, \varepsilon)$  such that if  $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$  satisfies*

- (i)  $|A| > p^{2/5 + \varepsilon}$
- (ii)  $|A + A| < C|A|$ .

Then we have

$$|A^k| > \kappa p,$$

where  $A^k = A \cdots A$  is the  $k$ -fold product set of  $A$ .

There is also the study of multi-linear character sums.

Let  $(L_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$  be  $n$  independent linear forms in  $n$  variables over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and let  $\chi$  be a non-principal multiplicative character mod  $p$ . Denote a box by  $B = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, a_i + H]$ . We are interested in the following non-trivial estimates

$$\left| \sum_{x \in B} \chi \left( \prod_{j=1}^n L_j(x) \right) \right| < p^{-\delta} H^n. \tag{4}$$

**Theorem 7 (Burgess).** *Assume*

$$H > p^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} + \varepsilon}. \tag{5}$$

Then (4) holds.

For  $n = 1$ , condition (5) is the well-known Burgess assumption  $H > p^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon}$  for character sum bound. For  $n = 2$ , it is  $H > p^{\frac{1}{3} + \varepsilon}$ . We generalized the Burgess assumption to any dimension. (See [4].)

**Theorem 8 (Bourgain-Chang).** *Assume*

$$H > p^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon}, \text{ for any } n.$$

Then (4) holds.

The theorem above has application to character sums of polynomials.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  and split over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , and let  $B = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, a_i + H] \subset \mathbb{F}_p^d$  be a box of length  $H$  with*

$$H = p^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon}.$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in B} \chi(f(x)) \right| < p^{-\delta} H^d.$$

This improves Gillett’s condition  $H > p^{\frac{d}{2(d+1)} + \varepsilon}$ .

Coming back to Problem 1, for special moduli, the condition on  $H$  in Burgess’ theorem can be improved. One can proved (1) for much smaller intervals. There are two classical results, based on quite different arguments by Graham–Ringrose ([27], Corollary 12.15) and Iwaniec ([27], Theorem 12.16).

First, for the modulus  $q$ , we set up the following notations for the largest prime divisor  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $q$ , and the core  $k$  of  $q$ .

$$\mathcal{P} = \max_{p|q} p \quad \text{and} \quad k = \prod_{p|q} p.$$

**Theorem 10.** [18] *Let  $\chi$  be a primitive character of modulus  $q \geq 3$  with  $q$  square free. Then, for*

$$N = |I| \geq q^{\frac{4}{\sqrt{\log \log q}}} + \mathcal{P}^9$$

we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I} \chi(n) \right| \ll N e^{-\sqrt{\log q}}.$$

The purpose of the work of Graham and Ringrose is to study the following least quadratic nonresidue problem.

**Problem 3.** *Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $n(p)$  be the least quadratic nonresidue mod  $p$ . Find a lower bound on  $n(p)$ .*

Independent of Burgess' result  $n(p) \leq p^{\frac{1}{4\sqrt{e}} + \varepsilon}$ , Friedlander [14] and Salié [35] showed that  $n(p) = \Omega(\log p)$ , i.e., there are infinitely many  $p$  such that  $n(p) > c \log p$  for some absolute constant  $c$ . By assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, in 1971 Montgomery [33] proved that  $n(p) = \Omega(\log p \log \log p)$ . Using Theorem 10, Graham and Ringrose showed that  $n(p) = \Omega(\log p \log \log \log p)$  unconditionally.

In 1974, Iwaniec [26] proved the following theorem by generalizing Postnikov's theorem [34].

**Theorem 11.** [34] *Let  $\chi$  be a primitive character of modulus  $q$ ,  $2 \nmid q$ . Then, for*

$$k^{100} < N < N' \leq 2N \tag{6}$$

we have

$$\left| \sum_{N < n \leq N'} \chi(n) \right| \leq C^{s(\log s)^2} N^{1 - \frac{c}{s^2 \log s}},$$

where  $s = \frac{\log q}{\log N}$ .

Theorem 11 is good for  $q$  powerful. A related problem is about the digital aspects of the primes. Let  $x < N = 2^n$ . Write

$$x = x_0 + 2x_1 + 2^2x_2 + \dots + 2^{n-1}x_{n-1} \quad \text{with } x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}.$$

For  $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ , given  $\{\alpha_j \in \{0, 1\}\}_{j \in A}$ , one expects

$$\left| \{p = x < N : x_j = \alpha_j, \forall j \in A\} \right| \sim \frac{N}{\log N} 2^{-|A|}.$$

**Problem 4.** *How large can  $A$  be?*

For related work, see Sierpinski [39], Harman–Katai [22], Bourgain [3].

Theorem 10 is for  $q$  with small prime factors (smooth moduli), for which one assumes

$$\log N \gg \log \mathcal{P} + \frac{\log q}{\sqrt{\log \log q}}. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, Theorem 11 is for  $q$  with small core. Condition (6) implies that

$$\log N \gg \log k. \tag{8}$$

If fix  $k$ , to get non-trivial result, in Theorem 11, one needs to assume

$$\log N \gg \log k + (\log q)^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon}. \tag{9}$$

Both are special cases of the following theorem in [11].

Denote

$$K = \frac{\log q}{\log k}.$$

**Theorem 12.** *Assume  $N$  satisfies*

$$q > N > \mathcal{P}^{10^3}$$

and

$$\log N > (\log q)^{\frac{9}{10}} + 10^3 \frac{\log 2K}{\log \log q} \log k. \tag{10}$$

Let  $\chi$  be a primitive multiplicative character modulo  $q$  and  $I$  an interval of size  $N$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in I} \chi(x) \right| \ll N e^{-(\log N)^{3/5}}. \tag{11}$$

**Remark 12.1.** In the same spirit as assumptions (7) and (9), assumption (10) can be replaced by the stronger and friendlier assumption.

$$\log N \gg \log \mathcal{P} + \frac{\log q}{\log \log q}. \tag{12}$$

(The second term of condition (12) is clearly bigger than either term of (10).)

**Remark 12.2.** This result is completely general and gives bounds for very short character sums as soon as  $\log \mathcal{P}$  is small compared with  $\log q$ .

**Remark 12.3.** To compare Theorem 12 with Theorem 10, we note also that condition (12) gives a better result than (7). Moreover, condition (7) is restricted to square free  $q$ . As for comparing Theorem 12 with Theorem 11, we let  $k$  be square free and  $q = k^m$ , where  $m$  is large but not too large. More precisely, we assume  $\log m = o(\log \log k)$ . Theorem 11 certainly requires that  $\log N > C \log k$  while condition (10) in Theorem 12 becomes  $\log N > (m \log k)^{\frac{9}{10}} + C \frac{\log m}{\log \log q} \log k$ , which is clearly better.

**Remark 12.4.** We did not try to optimize the power of  $\log q$  in the first term in (10) nor the saving in (11).

The following is a mixed character sum version of Theorem 12 (see also [10]).

**Theorem 13.** [15] *Under the assumptions of Theorem 12,*

$$\left| \sum_{x \in I} \chi(x) e^{if(x)} \right| < N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}}$$

assuming  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  of degree at most  $(\log N)^c$  for some  $c > 0$ .

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $T > 0$ . Assume  $N$  satisfies*

$$q > N > \mathcal{P}^{10^3}$$

and  $q$  satisfies

$$\log N > (\log qT)^{\frac{9}{10}} + 10^3 \frac{\log 2K}{\log \log q} \log k.$$

Then for  $\chi$  primitive, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I} \chi(n) n^{it} \right| < N e^{-\sqrt{\log N}} \quad \text{for } |t| < T.$$

Following the classical arguments going back to Hadamand, de-la-Vallee-Poissin, and Landau, the above estimates lead to zero-free regions for the corresponding Dirichlet L-functions. Denote

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_n \chi(n) n^{-s}, \quad s = \rho + it.$$

Iwaniec [26] obtained the following results.

**Theorem 14.** [26] *Assume  $|L(s, \chi)| < M$  for  $\rho > 1 - \eta$ ,  $|t| < T^2$ . Then  $L(s, \chi)$  has no zeros in the region  $\rho > 1 - \frac{\eta}{400 \log M}$ ,  $|t| < T$ , except for possible Siegel zeros.*

**Corollary 5.** [26] *Assume  $Y$  and  $\gamma > 0$  satisfy*

$$\left| \sum_{n \sim N} \chi(n)n^{it} \right| < N^{1-\gamma} \quad \text{for } N > Y, |t| < T.$$

*Then*

$$\rho > 1 - \frac{c}{\log Y + \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \log \frac{qT}{Y}}.$$

From Corollary 4 and Corollary 5, one derives bounds on the Dirichlet L-function  $L(s, \chi)$  and zero-free regions the usual way. For a detailed argument, see, for instance, Lemmas 8–11 in [26]. This leads to the following theorem.

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $\chi$  be a primitive multiplicative character with modulus  $q$ . For  $T > 0$ , let*

$$\theta = c \min \left( \frac{1}{\log \mathcal{P}}, \frac{\log \log k}{(\log k) \log 2K}, \frac{1}{(\log qT)^{9/10}} \right).$$

*Then the Dirichlet L-function  $L(s, \chi) = \sum_n \chi(n)n^{-s}$ ,  $s = \rho + it$  has no zeros in the region  $\rho > 1 - \theta$ ,  $|t| < T$ , except for possible Siegel zeros.*

It follows in particular that  $\theta \log qT \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\frac{\log \mathcal{P}}{\log q} \rightarrow 0$ .

In certain range of  $k$ , this improves Iwaniec’s bound (See [27], Theorem 8.29.)

$$\theta = \min \left\{ c \frac{1}{(\log T)^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log \log T)^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \frac{1}{\log k} \right\}.$$

A well-known application of zero-free region is to primes in arithmetic progressions. In 1944, Linnik proved the following theorem about the least prime in an arithmetic progression.

**Theorem 16.** [31, 32] *There exists  $c$  such that if  $(a, q) = 1$ , then there is a prime  $p < q^c$  such that  $p \equiv a \pmod q$ .*

For explicit value of  $c$  in Theorem 16, Xylouris [40] has the best estimate  $c = 5.2$  by using Heath-Brown [23, 24] (who obtained  $c = 5.5$ ) proposed improvements.

Theorem 15 gives a lower  $c$  for  $q$  smooth.

**Theorem 17.** *In Theorem 16, one may take  $c = \frac{12}{5} + \epsilon$ , assuming  $\log \mathcal{P} < \frac{\log q}{\log \log q}$ .*

Estimates on short character sums are also related to Polyá–Vinogradov’s theorem. Based on the work of Granville and Soundararajan [19] (which characterizes when character sums are large), Goldmakher [17] used Theorem 10 to improve Polyá–Vinogradov’s bound on  $\sum_{n < x} \chi(n)$  and obtained the following.

**Theorem 18.** [18] *Let  $\chi \pmod q$  be a primitive character. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{n < x} \chi(n) \right| \ll \sqrt{q} \log q \sqrt{\log^3 q} \left( \frac{1}{\log^2 q} + \frac{\log \mathcal{P}}{\log q} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

*When  $q$  is square free, then*

$$\left| \sum \chi(n) \right| \ll \sqrt{q} \frac{\log q}{(\log \log q)^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

Instead of Theorem 10, we use Theorem 17 (and Granville–Soundararajan) and obtain the following.

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $\chi \pmod q$  be a primitive character, and let*

$$M = (\log q)^{\frac{9}{10}} + (\log 2K) \frac{\log k}{\log \log k} + \log \mathcal{P}.$$

*Then*

$$\left| \sum_{n < x} \chi(n) \right| \ll \sqrt{q} \sqrt{\log q} \sqrt{M} \sqrt{\log \log \log q}.$$

*When  $q$  is square free,*

$$\left| \sum_{n < x} \chi(n) \right| \ll \sqrt{q} \frac{\log q}{(\log \log q)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $q = p^\ell$  be a polynomial of degree  $d$ . We are interested in bounding the exponential sum

$$S = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n} e_p \left( \text{Tr}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \right) \tag{13}$$

as well as a certain incomplete sums where the variables are restricted to a ‘box’  $B \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ . More specifically, we consider various instances of this question where Deligne type estimates are not applicable, either because  $f$  is too singular or the box  $B$  is too small. In their work on Gowers’ norms, Green and Tao [20] obtain non-trivial bounds in the situation where  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p$  and  $d$  are fixed and  $n$  is large, assuming that the value of  $f$  is not determined by a few polynomials of lower degree.

**Problem 5.** *Obtain quantitative version of the Green–Tao result.*

For Problem 5, see the recent result by Forni and Flaminio [13].

Estimates of this type are also particularly relevant to circuit complexity [21].

Using methods from geometry of numbers, W. Schmidt [36] obtained bounds on incomplete sums (13) over boxes, but without exploring the effect of large  $n$  or  $\ell$ .

**Problem 6.** Investigate the bounds obtained in [36] when  $n$  or  $\ell$  is large.

It is possible that techniques from arithmetic combinatorics may be relevant.

For  $n = 1$ , estimates of this type are obtained in [2].

There are some other problems related to Problem 1.

**Problem 7.** Let  $V$  be a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , not essentially contained in a multiplicative translate of a subfield. Under what assumptions on  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$  can one obtain non-trivial bounds on  $\sum_{x \in V} \chi(x)$ ?

The arithmetic combinatorics approach permits to go below the  $n/2$  barrier of classical methods. We are particularly interested in the situation where  $p$  is fixed and  $n$  is large. Assuming  $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  a generator, one may specify further  $V = \langle \xi^j : j \in S \rangle$  with  $S \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . In the special case  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , V. Shoup [38] used the Hasse–Weil method to get results for  $m = O(\log n)$ .

In the paper [8], we also succeeded in improving Karacuba’s result on character sums of the type

$$\sum_{x \in I} \left| \sum_{y \in A} \chi(x + y) \right|, \tag{14}$$

where  $\chi$  is a multiplicative character (mod  $p$ ),  $I$  an interval and  $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$  arbitrary. This result was important to the recent work [16] on the distribution of quadratic and higher order residues (mod  $p$ ). See also [25].

Further improvement on Karacuba’s result was obtained by X. Shao [37].

**Theorem 19.** [39] Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be cube-free and  $\chi \pmod q$  be non-principal. If  $A \subset [1, q]$  is a union of disjoint intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_s$  with  $|A| > q^{1/4+\varepsilon} s^{1/2}$  and  $|I_j| > q^\varepsilon$ , ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $\sum_{n \in A} \chi(n)$  has a non-trivial bound.

One could expect that for ‘most  $p$ ’ better results are obtainable. However, the following problem seems still open.

**Problem 8.** Show that for most  $p$ , the largest gap between quadratic residues is  $o(p^{1/4})$ .

The following interesting character sum questions were highlighted in Karacuba’s survey [29].

**Problem 9.** Obtain a non-trivial bound on general sums

$$\sum_{x \in A, y \in B} \chi(x + y),$$

when  $|A| \sim \sqrt{p} \sim |B|$ .

It is well-known that this question is related to the Paley graph conjecture and also relevant to the theory of ‘extractor’ in computer science [1].

**Problem 10.** *Prove that for  $p$  large and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$*

$$\sum_{1 \leq x < H} \left( \frac{x+a}{p} \right) \Lambda(x) = o(H),$$

when  $H \sim \sqrt{p}$ .

Results of this type were obtained by Vinogradov, for large values of  $H$ .

**Problem 11.** *Prove that for large  $p$*

$$\min \left\{ 1 \leq x \leq p : \left( \frac{a+x^2}{p} \right) = -1 \right\} = o(\sqrt{p})$$

uniformly in  $1 \leq a \leq p$ .

In [9], the bound  $p^{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{e}}+\varepsilon}$  was obtained, but for  $a \not\equiv 0$  given.

**Acknowledgements** Research by Mei-Chu Chang was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 1301608.

## References

1. B. Barak, G. Kindler, R. Shaltiel, B. Sudakov, A. Wigderson, Simulating independence: new constructions of condensers, Ramsey graphs, dispersers, and extractors. *J. ACM* **57**, 52 (2010). Preliminary version in STOC 2005
2. J. Bourgain, On exponential sums in finite fields, in *An Irregular Mind*, ed. by I. Bárány, J. Solymosi. Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, Budapest, vol. 21 (Springer, Berlin, 2010)
3. J. Bourgain, Prescribing the binary digits of primes. *Isr. J. Math.* **194**, 935–955 (2013)
4. J. Bourgain, M.-C. Chang, On a multilinear character sum of Burgess. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci.* **348**(3–4), 115–120 (2010)
5. D.A. Burgess, On character sums and primitive roots. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **12**, 179–192 (1962)
6. D.A. Burgess, Character sums and primitive roots in finite fields. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **37**, 11–35 (1967)
7. M.-C. Chang, On a question of Davenport and Lewis and new character sum bounds in finite fields. *Duke Math. J.* **145**(3), 409–442 (2008)
8. M.-C. Chang, Character sums in finite fields. *AMS Contemp. Math.* **518**, 83–98 (2009)
9. M.-C. Chang, On character sums of binary quadratic forms. *J. Number Theory* **129**(9), 2064–2071 (2009)
10. M.-C. Chang, An estimate of incomplete mixed character sums, in *An Irregular Mind*, ed. by I. Bárány, J. Solymosi. Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, Budapest, vol. 21 (Springer, Berlin, 2010), pp. 243–250
11. M.-C. Chang, Short character sums for composite moduli. *J. d’Anal. Math.* **123**, 1–33 (2014)

12. H. Davenport, D. Lewis, Character sums and primitive roots in finite fields. *Rend. Circ. Matem. Palermo-Serie II-Tomo XII-Anno* **12**, 129–136 (1963)
13. G. Forni, L. Flaminio, On effective equidistribution for higher step nilflows arXiv:1407.3640
14. V.R. Friedlander, On the least  $n$ -th power non-residue. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **66**, 351–352 (1949)
15. P.X. Gallagher, Primes in progressions to prime-power modulus. *Invent. Math.* **16**, 191–201 (1972)
16. M.Z. Garaev, S.V. Konyagin, Y. Malykhin, Asymptotics for the sum of powers of distances between power residues modulo a prime. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **276**(1), 77–89 (2012)
17. L. Goldmakher, Character sums to smooth moduli are small. *Can. J. Math.* **62**, 1099 (2010)
18. S.W. Graham, C.J. Ringrose, Lower bounds for least quadratic nonresidues, in *Analytic Number Theory* (Allerton Park, IL, 1989). *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 85 (Birkhauser, Boston, 1990), pp. 269–309
19. A. Granville, K. Soundararajan, Large character sums: pretentious characters and the Pólya-Vinogradov theorem. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **20**, 357–384 (2007)
20. B. Green, T. Tao, The distribution of polynomials over finite fields, with applications to the Gowers norms. *Contrib. Discret. Math.* **4**(2), 1–36 (2009)
21. F. Green, A. Roy, H. Straubing, Bounds on an exponential sum arising in Boolean circuit complexity. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci.* **341**(5), 279–282 (2005)
22. G. Harman, I. Katai, Primes with preassigned digits II. *Acta. Arith.* **133**(2), 171–184 (2008)
23. D.R. Heath-Brown, Siegel zeros and the least prime in an arithmetic progression. *Q. J. Math. Oxf. Ser. (2)* **41**, 405–418 (1990)
24. D.R. Heath-Brown, Zero-free regions for Dirichlet L-functions, and the least prime in an arithmetic progression. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **64**(2), 265–338 (1992)
25. D.R. Heath-Brown, Burgess’s bounds for character sums. arXiv:1203.5219
26. H. Iwaniec, On zeros of Dirichlet’s L series. *Invent. Math.* **23**, 97–104 (1974)
27. H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004)
28. A.A. Karacuba, A certain arithmetic sum. *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **12**(4), 1172–1174 (1971)
29. A.A. Karatsuba, Arithmetic problems in the theory of Dirichlet characters. (Russian, English) *Russ. Math. Surv.* **63**(4), 641–690 (2008); translation from *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **63**(4), 43–92 (2008)
30. S.V. Konyagin, Estimates of character sums in finite fields. *Mat. Z.* **88**(4), 529–542 (2010)
31. Y.V. Linnik, On the least prime in an arithmetic progression I. The basic theorem. *Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S.* **15**(57), 139–178 (1944)
32. Y.V. Linnik, On the least prime in an arithmetic progression II. The Deuring-Heilbronn phenomenon. *Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S.* **15**(57), 347–368 (1944)
33. H.L. Montgomery, *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 227 (Springer, New York, 1971)
34. A.G. Postnikov, On Dirichlet L-series with the character modulus equal to the power of a prime number. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **20**, 217–226 (1956)
35. H. Salié, Über den kleinsten positiven quadratischen Nichtrest nach einer Primzahl. *Math. Nachr.* **3**, 7–8 (1949)
36. W. Schmidt, Bounds for exponential sums. *Acta Arith.* **44**(3), 281–297 (1984)
37. X. Shao, Character sums over unions of intervals, *Forum Math.* **27**(5), 3017–3026 (2015)
38. V. Shoup, Searching for primitive roots in finite fields. *Math. Comput.* **58**, 369–380 (1992)
39. W. Sierpinski, Sur un problème concernment les nombres  $k \cdot 2n + 1$ . *Elem. Math.* **15**, 63–74 (1960)
40. T. Xylouris, On Linnik’s constant (2009). arXiv:0906.2749