# Chapter 11 An Information Rate Improvement for a Polynomial Variant of the Naccache-Stern Knapsack Cryptosystem

Giacomo Micheli, Joachim Rosenthal and Reto Schnyder

**Abstract** We adapt an information rate improvement by Chevallier-Naccache-Stern for the Naccache-Stern knapsack cryptosystem, called the prime packing strategy, to the polynomial version of the protocol.

# **11.1 Introduction**

In 1997 Naccache and Stern [4] proposed a new public key cryptosystem known as the *Naccache-Stern Knapsack cryptosystem*, or *NSK* for short. This system was based on modular arithmetic in the integers and had a number theoretic flavor. However, NSK suffers from a low information rate: The ratio of message to ciphertext size is less than 10% for many practical parameters. More recently in 2008, Chevallier-Mames, Naccache and Stern [2] presented several alterations to the protocol that improve the information rate at the cost of a larger public key size.

More than a decade after the NSK protocol was invented, Micheli and Schiavina presented a generalized monoid based version of the NSK Protocol [3], as well as an instance based on polynomials over finite fields. This variant suffers from the same low information rate. In this chapter, we apply the improvements of [2] to this polynomial based variant.

G. Micheli (🖂) · J. Rosenthal · R. Schnyder

Institute of Mathematics, University of Zurich,

Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland e-mail: giacomo.micheli@math.uzh.ch

J. Rosenthal e-mail: rosenthal@math.uzh.ch

R. Schnyder e-mail: reto.schnyder@math.uzh.ch

<sup>©</sup> Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016 M. Baldi and S. Tomasin (eds.), *Physical and Data-Link Security Techniques for Future Communication Systems*, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering 358, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-23609-4\_11

### **11.2 Recalling the NSK Protocol**

We recall here the NSK protocol and its generalization. They are both based on the following problem:

**Problem 11.1** Let *L* be a positive integer, *M* be a monoid and *c*,  $v_1, \ldots, v_L$  elements of *M*. Find (if one exists) a vector  $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_L) \in \{0, 1\}^L$  for which

$$c = \prod_{i=1}^{L} v_i^{m_i}.$$

In what follows, we show some instances of the problem above and the cryptographic protocol arising from them. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field of order q.

**Problem 11.2** Fix a positive integer L, the monoid  $M = (\mathbb{F}_q[x], \cdot)$ , irreducible polynomials  $p_1, \ldots, p_L \in M$  and

$$c = \prod_{i=1}^{L} p_i^{m_i}.$$

for some  $(m_1, \ldots, m_L) \in \{0, 1\}^L$ . Find the vector m.

It is immediate that Problem 11.2 can be easily solved by reducing *c* modulo  $p_i$  for each *i*: we have in fact  $m_i = 1$  if and only if  $c \equiv 0 \mod p_i$ .

**Problem 11.3** Let g be an irreducible polynomial of degree N, L a positive integer and  $M = (\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x)), \cdot) \cong (\mathbb{F}_{q^N}, \cdot)$ . Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_L \in M$  and

$$c = \prod_{i=1}^{L} v_i^{m_i}.$$

for some  $(m_1, \ldots, m_L) \in \{0, 1\}^L$ . Find the vector m.

The generic instance of Problem 11.3 is now difficult compared to Problem 11.2. This gap is exploited in [3]. In what follows we recall their protocol, which we will refer to as the *polynomial NSK* or *pNSK* for short.

Alice sets up the system as follows:

- Alice chooses a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , L irreducible polynomials  $p_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , an irreducible polynomial g for which  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \deg p_i < \deg g$  and a pair of integers (e, s) for which  $es \equiv 1 \mod q^N 1$ .
- The private key is  $(p_1, \ldots, p_L, s)$ .
- The public key is  $(v_1, \ldots, v_L, \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x)))$ , where  $v_i = p_i^e$ .

The encryption of a message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^L$  is performed as

$$m \mapsto \prod_i v_i^{m_i} = c \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x)).$$

Alice can then decrypt by computing  $c^s \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x))$  and reducing the result modulo  $p_i$  for each *i*, since  $c^s \mod g(x)$  (together with its factorization in terms of the  $p_i$ ) suitably lifts to  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  using the property  $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \deg p_i < \deg g$ .

The original NSK is obtained by replacing  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$  and irreducible polynomials by prime numbers.

#### **11.3 Prime Packing**

In what follows our goal is to show that a direct adaptation of the NSK packing presented in [2] is also possible in the case of the polynomial variant. We pack the irreducible polynomials up to degree *d* as follows: Let  $b, t \in \mathbb{N}$  be positive integers for which  $bt \leq \overline{\pi}(d)$ , where  $\overline{\pi}(d)$  is the number of irreducible polynomials up to degree *d*. Partition the first (according to any ordering respecting the degree) *bt* polynomials in *t* sets  $\{S_i\}$  each of size *b* satisfying that for all  $i, j \in \{1, ..., t\}$ , if  $f \in S_i$  and  $h \in S_j$  we have

$$i \le j \Rightarrow \deg(f) \le \deg(h)$$

More informally, we pack the polynomials up to degree *d* into *t* packs, each of them containing the *b* polynomials of the lowest possible degree. Let us denote by  $p_{j,i}$  the *i*th polynomial living in the *j*th box  $S_j$ , again ordered by degree. In particular, we have deg  $p_{j,i} \leq \deg p_{j,b}$  for all *i* and *j*. The protocol will then be modified as follows. The space of messages becomes  $\{1, \ldots, b\}^t$ , we require now only  $\sum_{j=1}^t \deg p_{j,b} < \deg g = N$ . Again, let  $es \equiv 1 \mod q^N - 1$ .

The public key is set up as  $(\{v_{j,i}\}_{i,j}, \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x)))$ , where again  $v_{j,i} = p_{j,i}^e$ . The secret key is analogously  $(\{p_{j,i}\}_{i,j}, s)$ . The encryption of a message  $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_t) \in \{1, \ldots, b\}^t$  is performed as

$$m \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{t} v_{j,m_j} = c \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x)).$$

Alice can then decrypt by computing  $c^s \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x))$  and reducing the result modulo  $p_{j,i}$  for each i, j, as before.

It is now easy to compute the information rate and public key size: The information rate is  $\frac{t \log b}{N \log q}$ , and the public key has size  $bt N \log q$ .

b	t	Information rate (%)	Public key size (kbit)
pNSK	130	7.9	215
5	130	18.3	1074
10	130	26.1	2149
30	130	38.6	6447
50	127	43.4	10496
70	109	40.4	12612

**Table 11.1** Information rate and public key size of prime packing for q = 6287, deg g = 131 and various box sizes

#### 11.3.1 Example Parameters

As an example, consider the medium prime case q = 6287. We compare the information rate and public key size of our scheme in the case deg g = 131 for various values of the box size *b* in Table 11.1. Computations were done using Sage [6]. The first row corresponds to the original pNSK (which is not quite the same as setting b = 1). Note that for small box sizes *b*, we always get t = 130 boxes. This is because it is possible to use only degree 1 polynomials for the  $p_{j,i}$ . As *b* becomes larger, this is no longer possible, and the information rate suffers.

Evidently, the information rate can be greatly improved at the cost of a much larger public key size. This cost can be somewhat reduced by applying the "powers of primes" technique of [2], and we will do so in Sect. 11.4.

#### 11.3.2 Asymptotic Information Rate

As in [2], we can obtain linear bandwidth by setting the number of packs equal to their size. Indeed, we show that if we set n := b = t, then the information rate of pNSK using prime packing is asymptotically equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

To analyze the information rate, we first need to find the degree of the *n*th irreducible polynomial  $p_n$ , according to any order respecting the degree. In [3, Sect. 3.2.2], it was shown that the number of irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  of degree at most *d* is asymptotically equal to  $\frac{q}{q-1}\frac{q^d}{d}$ . Hence, the polynomials with a given degree *d* should be numbered roughly between  $\frac{q}{q-1}\frac{q^{d-1}}{d-1}$  and  $\frac{q}{q-1}\frac{q^d}{d}$ . Thus, if the polynomial  $p_n$  has degree  $d_n$ , we have

$$rac{q}{q-1}rac{q^{d_n-1}}{d_n-1}\lesssim n\lesssim rac{q}{q-1}rac{q^{d_n}}{d_n},$$

where  $a_n \leq b_n$  means that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n/b_n \leq 1$ . Taking logarithms gives

$$(d_n-1)-\log_q(d_n-1)\lesssim \log_q n-\log_q \frac{q-1}{q}\lesssim d_n-\log_q d_n,$$

which asymptotically is the same as

$$d_n - 1 \lesssim \log_q n \lesssim d_n.$$

We hence see that  $d_n = \deg p_n \sim \log_q n$ .

Now we can approximate the degree of g:

$$N = \deg g = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \deg p_{in}$$
$$\sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log_q(in) \sim \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log_q(n^2) \sim 2n \log_q n$$

For the first  $\sim$ , note that the indices of  $p_{in}$  in the sum are all at least *n*, and so only the asymptotic behavior of deg  $p_{in}$  is relevant. Finally, we get for the information rate

$$\frac{t\log_2 b}{N\log_2 q} \sim \frac{n\log_2 n}{2n\log_q n\log_2 q} = \frac{n\log_2 n}{2n\log_2 n} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

#### **11.4 Powers of Primes**

In [2, Sect. 4], prime packing was applied to a variant of NSK using a base larger than 2 in order to further improve information rate and reduce public key size. This method can also be applied to the polynomial NSK variant.

As in Sect. 11.3, we again choose a degree *d* and integers *b* and *t* satisfying  $bt \leq \overline{\pi}(d)$ , and we partition the first *bt* irreducible polynomials into *t* sets  $S_i$  of size *b*. We further choose an integer parameter  $\ell \geq 1$ . We again denote by  $p_{j,i}$  the *i*th polynomial in the *j*th box, ordered by degree. As before, we need an irreducible polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  of large degree as our modulus, but this time, we require that  $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \ell \deg p_{j,b} < \deg g = N$ . Again, we choose integers *e* and *s* with  $es \equiv 1 \mod q^N - 1$  and set  $v_{j,i} = p_{j,i}^e$ . The public key is  $(\{v_{j,i}\}_{i,j}, \ell, \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x)))$  and the private key is  $(\{p_{j,i}\}_{i,j}, s)$ .

For each box  $S_i$ , we now have more options available for encryption than simply choosing one element of  $S_i$ : we can choose up to  $\ell$  elements, allowing repetitions, and multiply those. Each of these possibilities corresponds to a *b*-tuple in  $T = \{(k_1, \ldots, k_b) \in \mathbb{N}^b \mid k_1 + \cdots + k_b \leq \ell\}$ . As shown in [2, Appendix A], there

are  $\binom{b+\ell}{\ell} = B$  such tuples, and there is a bijection  $\varphi \colon \{1, \ldots, B\} \to T$  that can be computed efficiently [5]. Hence, we use the message space  $\{1, \ldots, B\}^t$ , and we encrypt a message  $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_t)$  as

$$m \mapsto \prod_{j=1}^{t} \prod_{i=1}^{b} v_{j,i}^{k_{j,i}} = c \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x)),$$

where  $\varphi(m_{j}) = (k_{j,1}, ..., k_{j,b}) \in T$ .

Decryption is again done by lifting and factoring  $c^s$  and inverting  $\varphi$ .

We can again give a formula for information rate and public key size. The information rate is  $\frac{t \log B}{N \log q}$ , and the public key still has size  $bt N \log q$ .

#### 11.4.1 Toy Example

We present a small example to clarify the "powers of primes" method. Let q = 2, and we consider a system with t = 2 packs of b = 3 irreducible polynomials each. Let furthermore  $\ell = 2$ . The first six irreducible polynomials are

$$p_{1,1} = x \qquad p_{2,1} = x^3 + x + 1 p_{1,2} = x + 1 \qquad p_{2,2} = x^3 + x^2 + 1 p_{1,3} = x^2 + x + 1 \qquad p_{2,3} = x^4 + x^3 + 1.$$

We need  $\ell \deg p_{1,3} + \ell \deg p_{2,3} = 12 < \deg g = N$ , so we choose

$$g = x^{13} + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1.$$

We randomly choose secret exponents e = 6020 and  $s = 6380 \equiv e^{-1} \mod 2^{13} - 1$ . The public elements are now given by  $v_{j,i} \equiv p_{j,i}^e \mod g$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{1,1} = x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^5 & v_{2,1} = x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + 1 \\ & v_{1,2} = x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x & v_{2,2} = x^{12} + x^{11} + x^6 + x^5 + x^3 \\ & v_{1,3} = x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + 1 & v_{2,3} = x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^6 + x^5 + x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $B = \binom{3+2}{2} = 10$ , so we can represent a message in base 10. We choose the following encoding from integers 0 to 9 to 3-tuples  $(k_1, k_2, k_3)$  satisfying  $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \le 2$ .

b	l	t	Information rate (%)	Public key size (kbit)	
1	1	130	7.9	215	
2	2	65	10.1	215	
10	10	13	13.8	215	
30	1	130	39.0	6447	
42	2	65	38.9	4513	
310	26	5	38.8	2562	
83	26	5	25.1	686	

**Table 11.2** Information rate and public key size of the "powers of primes" variant for q = 6287, deg g = 131 and various box sizes and bases

To encrypt the message m = 94, we hence compute

$$v_{1,1}^0 v_{1,2}^0 v_{1,3}^2 \cdot v_{2,1}^1 v_{2,2}^1 v_{2,3}^0 \equiv x^{12} + x^9 + x^8 + x^3 + x^2 + 1 = c \mod g$$

To decrypt, raise the ciphertext to *s* and factor:

$$m^{s} \equiv x^{10} + x^{9} + x^{6} + x^{5} + x^{4} + x + 1 \mod g$$
  
=  $(x^{2} + x + 1)^{2} \cdot (x^{3} + x + 1) \cdot (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)$   
=  $p_{1,1}^{0} p_{1,2}^{0} p_{1,3}^{2} \cdot p_{2,1}^{1} p_{2,2}^{1} p_{2,3}^{0}$ ,

from which the message is recovered.

#### 11.4.2 Example Parameters

We again consider the case q = 6287 and compare the information rate and public key size of the "powers of primes" variant in the case deg g = 131 for different values for *b* and  $\ell$  in Table 11.2. The first row corresponds to the original pNSK, which is obtained by setting b = 1 and  $\ell = 1$ .

As we can see, the "powers of primes" method allows, to an extent, for larger information rates at the same key size, or for smaller keys for a given information rate.

#### 11.5 Security

As for the original Naccache-Stern cryptosystem, we do not know of a security proof for the pNSK, with or without our information rate improvements. However, we can recall a few considerations regarding the security of NSK from [2, 4], which also apply to our variant. First of all, note that our system is broken if one can solve a discrete logarithm problem  $p_{j,i}^s = v_{j,i}$ , as this directly reveals the secret key. Although the  $p_{j,i}$  don't have to be released publicly, they must have low degree and can thus be guessed easily. Hence, it is important to choose parameters in such a way that the field  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x))$  is large enough to withstand a DLP attack. Compared to the original NSK, we have to be even more careful due to recent quasipolynomial attacks on small characteristic [1].

As remarked in [4], a birthday-search attack on the message is possible on all NSK variants. In our case, this happens by dividing the packs  $S_j$  into two sets  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of similar size and searching for a collision in an appropriate way. For example, in the "powers of primes" situation, one could look for exponents  $k_{j,i}$  such that

$$\prod_{j \in T_1} \prod_{i=1}^{b} v_{j,i}^{k_{j,i}} = c \cdot \prod_{j \in T_2} \prod_{i=1}^{b} v_{j,i}^{-k_{j,i}}$$

To prevent this, the size of the message space should be chosen to be at least twice the desired security level.

Furthermore, since  $2 | q^d - 1$  for odd q, it is possible to find the parity of the number of factors  $v_{j,i}$  in a ciphertext c that are quadratic nonresidues in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x))$  by simply checking whether c itself is a quadratic residue. This is only a small information leakage, but nonetheless it should be avoided by encoding messages in such a way that this parity is always the same. A similar attack can be applied for other small factors of  $q^d - 1$ , so it should be chosen to have few such factors.

Acknowledgments The authors were supported by Swiss National Science Foundation grant number 149716 and *Armasuisse* 

## References

- Barbulescu R, Gaudry P, Joux A, Thomé E (2014) A heuristic quasi-polynomial algorithm for discrete logarithm in finite fields of small characteristic. In: Advances in Cryptology-Eurocrypt 2014. Springer, pp 1–16
- Chevallier-Mames B, Naccache D, Stern J (2008) Linear bandwidth naccache-stern encryption. In: Security and Cryptography for Networks. Springer, pp 327–339
- Micheli G, Schiavina M (2014) A general construction for monoid-based knapsack protocols. Adv Math Commun 8(3)
- Naccache D, Stern J (1997) A new public-key cryptosystem. In: Advances in Cryptology, EURO-CRYPT. pp 27–36
- 5. Stanton D, White D (1986) Constructive combinatorics. Springer, New York
- 6. Stein W, et al. (2014) Sage mathematics software (version 6.1.1). The Sage Development Team, http://www.sagemath.org