# **Design of Microbubbles for Gene/Drug Delivery**

# **11**

# Thierry Bettinger and François Tranquart

#### **Abstract**

 The role of ultrasound contrast agents (UCA) initially designed for diagnosis has evolved towards a therapeutic use. Ultrasound (US) for triggered drug delivery has many advantages. In particular, it enables a high spatial control of drug release, thus potentially allowing activation of drug delivery only in the targeted region, and not in surrounding healthy tissue. Moreover, UCA imaging can also be used firstly to precisely locate the target region to, and then used to monitor the drug delivery process by tracking the location of release occurrence. All these features make UCA and ultrasound attractive means to mediate drug delivery. The three main potential clinical indications for drug/gene US delivery are (i) the cardiovascular system, (ii) the central nervous system for small molecule delivery, and (iii) tumor therapy using cytotoxic drugs. Although promising results have been achieved in preclinical studies in various animal models, still very few examples of clinical use have been reported. In this chapter will be addressed the aspects pertaining to UCA formulation (chemical composition, mode of preparation, analytical methods…) and the requirement for a potential translation into the clinic following approval by regulatory authorities.

# **Keywords**

Microbubbles • Gene and Drug Delivery

T. Bettinger  $(\boxtimes)$ 

Novel agents Department, Bracco Suisse SA, Plan-Les-Ouates, Switzerland e-mail: [thierry.bettinger@bracco.com](mailto:thierry.bettinger@bracco.com)

 F. Tranquart Bracco Suisse SA, Plan-Les-Ouates, Switzerland

# **11.1 Introduction**

 Gas microbubbles have long been used as ultrasound contrast agents (UCA). These can be made of phospholipids, albumin, surfactant or synthetic polymer shells filled with gas displaying low aqueous solubility, such as fluorinated gases

(*e.g.*, sulfurhexafluoride or perfluorobutane). Their size ranges typically between 2–8 μm (*i.e.*, below red blood size diameter), and thus are considered as pure blood pool agent. These stabilized gas bubbles proved to be useful in many different clinical contexts where imaging of perfusion is desired, such as for the characterization of liver diseases (Burns et al. 2000; Tranquart et al. [2008](#page-12-0)), left ventricular opacification (Senior et al. 2000; Kitzman et al. 2000) or response of malignant tumors to therapy (Lassau et al. 2007).

 In recent years, the role of these microbubbles has expanded beyond their primary role in diagnostics to potentially provide new options for therapeutic purposes. The three main clinical indications for drug/gene US delivery are (i) the cardiovascular system (Unger et al. [2014](#page-13-0)), (ii) the central nervous system for small molecule delivery (Aryal et al.  $2014$ ; Liu et al.  $2014$ ), and (iii) tumor therapy using cytotoxic drugs (Ibsen et al.  $2013a$ . All these applications rely on the mechanical properties of UCA when exposed to ultrasound. Indeed, when insonified under specific ultrasound regimen, UCA undergo oscillations inducing acoustic cavitation, allowing an increase in cell membrane permeability in the close vicinity of the UCA. This enables drugs to cross the membrane, thus penetrating into cells and ultimately inducing a therapeutic effect. On the same principle, UCA can also act on vessel permeability for promoting drug access to the extracellular space. Recent promising studies exploiting this property showed that UCA were able to transiently open the blood brain barrier (BBB) for drug delivery to the brain (Liu et al. [2014](#page-12-0)). Another emerging application is sonothrombolysis (STL), where UCA are used to promote blood clot lysis, leading to recanalization of previously occluded vessels and ultimately improved long-term outcome. This could become of a major clinical interest in the context of ischemic stroke or myocardial ischemia.

 Besides UCA, use of ultrasound (US) for triggered drug delivery has many advantages. In particular, it enables a high spatial control of drug release; since the US beam can be focused as such that it represents only a few cubic millimeters of volume. Thus, it potentially allows

 activation of the drug delivery only in the targeted region, and not in the surrounding healthy tissue. Moreover, imaging of UCA can be used to firstly precisely locate the target region, and then used to monitor the drug delivery process by tracking the location of release occurrence. All these features make UCA and ultrasound an attractive means to mediate drug delivery.

 In the course of all these developments, it appeared obvious that requirement for an efficient therapeutic agent based on UCA could be different than what is needed for an imaging agent. In particular, known limitations of UCA are limited payload, short half-life, size restraining their action exclusively to the vascular bed and poor response to ultrasound exposure due to a polydisperse diameter. Whereas these features are typically less critical for imaging purposes due to the exquisite sensitivity of imaging systems, they are prone to affecting the potency of UCA for drug/gene delivery. This has prompted different groups to focus on the design of UCA for therapeutic use. The topic of drug delivery using microbubble-assisted ultrasound has been addressed in several recent reviews (Lentacker et al. [2014](#page-12-0); Sirsi and Borden 2014; Rychak and Klibanov  $2014$ ). This is also addressed in detail in different chapters of this book.

 The purpose of this chapter is more to focus on the aspects of UCA formulation (chemical composition, mode of preparation, analytical methods…) and the requirement for a potential translation into the clinic following approval by regulatory authorities.

#### **11.2 Formulation**

#### **11.2.1 General Consideration**

#### **11.2.1.1 Shell Components**

 Shell component selection is important. Semisynthetic lipids will be favored because of their non-animal origin. Addition of a lipopolymer molecule (*e.g.*, PEGylated lipids) will improve blood circulation lifetime, but will potentially also impair drug loading mediated through non-covalent adsorption, such as hydrophobic or electrostatic

associations. Of interest, manufacturing process and nature of the shell components can also impact the shell membrane homogeneity. Indeed, this was nicely illustrated when microdomain, resulting from sequestration of some lipids, could promote heterogeneity in the UCA shell (Kim et al. 2003). In the same trend, it was recently demonstrated that replacing distearoylphosphatidylcholine (DSPC) by diphosphorylphosphatidylcholine (DPPC) in DSPE-PEG2000-biotin microbubbles yielded a more homogenous distribution of biotin ligands, as probed with fluorescently labeled streptavidin (Kooiman et al.  $2014$ ). As a consequence, this could affect the actual loading efficiency of the prepared UCA by restraining drug loading to a limited surface area at the UCA surface. Specific lipid mixtures or manufacturing conditions (heating) could be investigated in order to alter this heterogeneity.

#### **11.2.1.2 UCA Stability and Lifetime**

 UCA bloodstream lifetime has considerably improved over the past years, now providing good imaging time frames in the range of a few minutes. However, for drug delivery this lifetime might not be optimal to allow release of the therapeutic agent, and thus to mediate the desired biological effect. In that sense, polymeric UCA may provide improved circulation time, but conversely, release of drug load from the polymeric shell must be carefully assessed. Indeed, upon destruction most of the shell remains intact (see below for details). Moreover, UCA with thick and rigid shells (such as protein or polymershelled) usually display poor oscillation behavior, unlike lipid-shelled UCA. This will likely have an impact on the cavitation effect induced by ultrasound, and thus their potency to promote a therapeutic effect. It has also been shown that these thick shelled-agents might display a higher loading capacity compared to soft shell agent.

 Gas nature is an important player for the lifetime and stability, and a mixture of perfluorocarbon and nitrogen could be preferable to limit the risks of UCA size modification resulting from exchange with soluble nitrogen present in biological fluids. As such, these size changes might induce different responses to the same driven

acoustic frequency, and thus might become less sensitive to ultrasound activation.

 Since multiple passages are necessary to allow destruction of sufficient UCA for increasing accumulation of the therapeutic agent, the mode of administration might be considered. As an example, using continuous infusion in place of bolus injection of UCA would help in maintaining a constant concentration for a longer time, even at a lower range than that obtained with a bolus. The combination of UCA amount and US pulse characteristics should be carefully tuned according to the selected indication. This could require a high concentration for an instant, or a lower concentration for a longer time. This can significantly enhance drug delivery efficacy, while addressing possible safety issues.

# **11.2.2 Conditions Allowing Drug Delivery**

 Generally, UCA loaded with drug or in combination with circulating therapeutic agent can be activated in two manners for delivery: stable cavitation or inertial cavitation. Stable cavitation is usually achieved at relatively low acoustic pressure and is generated when UCA undergo repetitive oscillation. These expansions and contractions generate shear stress to cell membranes, affecting their permeability (van Bavel 2007). In contrast, inertial cavitation is obtained at higher acoustic pressure and results from violent UCA destruction, causing strong biophysical effects, microjets and microstreaming in the immediate surrounding environment (Husseini et al. [2005](#page-11-0)). This behavior is valid for soft-shelled UCA. In the case of hard shell agents, higher levels of energy are required to generate cavitation due to their inherent shell stiffness. Thus, under these high acoustic pressures, these UCA undergo violent rupture releasing free gas microbubbles through small defects in the shell, or so-called 'sonic cracks' (Bloch et al.  $2003$ ) (see Fig. [11.1](#page-3-0) for illustration). This complex interaction between ultrasound waves, microbubbles and tissue will not be described in detail in the present chapter, but it is the object of a different dedicated chapter (see Chap. [9\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-22536-4_9).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

 **Fig. 11.1** Illustration of the physical mechanisms underlying the biological effect of soft or hard shell Ultrasound Contrast Agents (Adapted from Kiessling et al. 2014)

# **11.2.3 Drug Delivery with UCA and Ultrasound**

#### **11.2.3.1 Plain UCA with Free Drug**

 There are several reports describing the use of a mixture of UCA and drug for therapeutic purposes. This is a very convenient approach due to the immediate availability of both microbubbles and drug agents. It is also seen as a straightforward continuation of the current diagnostic use of clinically approved agents. Thus, many of these reports have used marketed UCA (Definity®, SonoVue®, Optison® $\dots$ ), and most advanced clinical studies have been conducted for the purpose of clot lysis, so-called sonothrombolysis (de Saint Victor et al. [2014](#page-11-0)), and more recently for pancreatic cancer (Kotopoulis et al. 2014). Conditions described were in presence or absence of fibrinolytic agent r-tPa, and under the regime of various ultrasound settings (various frequencies, acoustic pressure, pulse repetition frequency…). Some clinical trials have shown the potency of this approach to treat patients suffering from stroke by enabling faster and more complete recanalization (Molina et al. 2006). However, current trials did not provide significant improvement in patient conditions at 3 months post-treatment, partially due to the limited number of patients enrolled. Moreover, one of the main lessons to retrieve from these studies is that still little is known of the mechanism underlying UCA-enhanced sonothrombolysis (STL), and in some cases, lack of control of the biological effects of this new therapeutic approach has triggered some safety concerns. It is thought that design of UCA specific for STL indication has the potential to further improve not only their effect as treatment enhancers, but also their safety profile.

 The approach of administering a mixture of UCA and drug has also been exploited for other therapeutic applications, such as delivery of cytotoxic drug (Ibsen et al.  $2013a$ ; Escoffre et al.  $2013$ ), BBB opening (Aryal et al.  $2014$ ) or gene delivery



Stabilizing lipid monolayer



Perfluorocarbon/ air gas mixture



**Targeting** 



Lipophilic drug



Attached drug loaded liposomes and the control of the Drug loaded liposome



ligand Surface loaded DNA or drug



Thickened oil shell containing hydrophobic drugs



(Rychak and Klibanov [2014](#page-12-0); Newman and Bettinger [2007](#page-12-0)). The recent report of a successful chemotherapy improvement by using both ultrasound and UCA to treat pancreatic cancer has highlighted the clinical feasibility of such an approach, even though this has only been done on a limited number of patients (Kotopoulis et al. [2013](#page-12-0)).

 In parallel to the co-administration approach of free drug and UCA, several groups have also investigated the potential of co-localization of drug and cavitational activity on therapeutic efficiency by preparing drug-loaded UCA. Indeed, it is speculated that incorporation of therapeutic agent close to the UCA shell would be more favorable since cavitation will likely drive delivery.

#### **11.2.3.2 Drug-Loaded UCA**

 Drug loaded UCA have been formulated using different approaches, as illustrated in Fig. 11.2. Several strategies have been employed to incorporate therapeutic agent in UCA, such as chemical conjugation, electrostatic adsorption at the

outer surface and embedding in the shell. The choice for the best method of loading depends mainly on the nature of the drug.

 One additional advantage of loading UCA with therapeutics is that it can act as a protective drug carrier. Thus, unstable drugs can be protected from degradation in biological fluids, thus prolonging their half-life. This was nicely illustrated by DNA molecules, for which enhanced resistance to nuclease degradation was measured (Lentacker et al.  $2006$ ). On top of that, the limited release outside the insonified area due to the relatively low peripheral microbubble destruction could help in preventing major adverse events related to the drug itself.

#### **11.2.3.2.1 Drug in/on the Shell**

 Some studies have demonstrated that covalent attachment of the drug is more favorable to elicit a therapeutic effect. For example, conjugation of rose bengal onto UCA was proven to be more cytotoxic compared to un-conjugated sonosensitizer (Nomikou et al. 2012); so-called sonodynamic therapy (SDT). This approach could be of interest for the treatment of cancer lesions, and thanks to a relative good US tissue penetration, it appears better than typical light excitation used in photodynamic therapy (PDT).

 However, careful evaluations are warranted to measure the impact of the loading on the actual drug activity. For example, r-tPA proved to be a quite complex molecule to formulate with the need to use specific conditions to maintain biological activity (risk of aggregation of the native protein, personal results). In addition, if chemical conjugation is employed, careful control of the conjugation process is needed to ensure that biological activity of the drug is not altered. Moreover, loading procedure could also impact the actual bioavailability of the drug. Thus, even though drug loading efficiency is obviously important, formula optimization should equally be considered to ensure a proper delivery of the active drug to the site of treatment.

 Being inspired by cationic lipids or polymers used for non-viral gene delivery (so-called lipoplexes and polyplexes, respectively), some investigators have prepared UCA bearing cationic charges at the shell surface. For phospholipidic shells, this can be achieved by inserting cationic lipids (*e.g.*, DSTAP) to trigger a positive zeta potential of the microbubbles. This significantly increases the loading of nucleic acids, and several reports have demonstrated usefulness of these UCA constructs to promote gene delivery *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* (Wang et al. 2012; Rychak and Klibanov 2014).

 Due to the effective loading capacity being restricted to the UCA shell, drug-loading vehicles have mainly been developed with highly potent drugs, such as nucleic acid, known to be active in the μg range. It was estimated, on average, that loading capacity of UCA for nucleic acid complexation is typically in the range of 0.01 pg/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>. For a 2  $\mu$ m UCA diameter, this corresponds to ca. 0.12 pg/microbubble. Thus, almost 10 million UCA are required to carry 1 μg of nucleic acid, illustrating the limited loading capacity of regular cationic UCA. As an example, the situation is similar for drugs when compared to usual circulating drug concentration during chemotherapy. This is why some groups have used the approach of pre-loading drug into nanoparticles prior to coupling them onto the UCA shell surface (Mullin et al. [2013](#page-12-0)).

#### **11.2.3.2.2 Nanoparticles on UCA**

 These nanoparticles can be of different natures, such as liposomes (Kheirolomoom et al. [2007](#page-12-0)) or poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) (Chappell et al. [2008](#page-11-0) ). In particular, liposomal preparations of Doxorubicin are quite popular thanks to the availability of FDA approved products (*e.g.*, Doxil<sup>®</sup>). Properties of the drug to be formulated are of course very important, as it will have a direct impact on the loading efficiency. For example, highly hydrophilic drugs would be difficult to embed into the shell. Most importantly, impact of the drug loading on the UCA ultrasound responsiveness must be evaluated since modifications in UCA stability, risk of aggregation and shell properties (increase in stiffness) can be observed under certain conditions.

 There are numerous possible approaches to associate the nanoparticles to the UCA. This can be achieved by biotin-avidin interaction, but this approach is only valid for research or pre-clinical evaluation due to potential immune reaction with this protein (Chinol et al. 1998). An alternative mean to enable strong association relies on the use of chemical conjugation, such as amide, disulfide or thioether bond formation. Electrostatic attachment is another possibility leading to an easy preparation, but it does carry the risk of lack of reproducibility and lack of association control, impeding further development of this method towards clinical use.

#### **11.2.3.2.3 UCA in Drug-Loaded Liposomes**

 Interestingly, a recent procedure has been described to increase UCA drug loading. This was accomplished by encapsulating the UCA within the internal aqueous space of a drug-loaded liposome (Ibsen et al. [2011](#page-11-0)). This rather unusual construct must be evaluated to assess not only the drug delivery potential compared to regular nanoparticle-loaded UCA, but also the US-responsiveness of the encapsulated UCA.

#### **11.2.3.2.4 Hard Shell**

 In addition to the use of drug pre-formulated in nanoparticles, thick shelled UCA could also be a means to improve drug payload (Lensen et al. [2011](#page-12-0)). These agents are usually made of polymers and have shells of 20–100 nm thickness. Shell stiffness of these agents requires specific insonation parameters to mediate delivery. Indeed as these agents will not oscillate at low acoustic pressure, but require higher pressure to be activated leading to rupture and gas escape. For this reason, the potential issue that can be faced with this formulation is the drug release from the polymer shell; the shells remain in majority intact as only cracks are observed. Modification of shell properties, by altering shell thickness and composition, could improve both behaviors under US exposure and drug delivery efficiency. In addition, the nature of the polymer must be taken into consideration, particularly when dealing with safety linked to the biocomptability. In the same trend, it has been speculated that use of UCA composed of components with different shell properties can release a drug in a stepwise manner.

#### **11.2.3.2.5 Nanoemulsion**

 Another possible approach is the use of drugloaded nanoemulsion (Rapoport et al. 2009). These nanoparticles are made of liquid perfluorocarbon (*e.g.*, perfluoropentane). US exposure triggered heating causes a droplet-to-bubble phase shift, resulting in the *in-situ* formation of drug loaded UCA that can be further activated by distinct US conditions. The impact of phase shift has to be addressed in detail since it can alter the chemical integrity of the loaded drug. These particles display a particularly long circulation time, with up to 50 % of the injected dose still remaining in the circulation 2 h after administration (Rapoport et al.  $2011$ ). Moreover, thanks to their relatively small size (200–500 nm), they also have the opportunity to reach compartments not normally accessible to UCA. This is by escaping the blood space, so-called extravasation. Due to the narrow temperature range required for this transition phase, the treatment could be precisely tuned by modulating this temperature increase when focusing the ultrasound beam in the specified location. This will be extensively described in another chapter of this book (see Chap. [14\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-22536-4_14).

#### **11.2.3.2.6 Monosize**

 Recently, a new way to formulate drug in UCA relying on the use of microfluidics technology was described. Indeed, successful preparation of multilayer gas lipospheres was reported using flow-focusing geometry (Hettiarachchi et al. 2009). These rather complex constructs are composed of a phospholipid shell, an oil layer comprising cytotoxic drug doxorubicin, and an inner gas core. Moreover, this technology can address one additional critical parameter for an efficient drug delivery; control of UCA size so that it nicely matches the selected US frequency. Thus, devices allowing UCA preparation displaying a narrow size distribution were specifically designed. These developments will likely prove to be important for further improvement of drug delivery by ensuring that all the UCA exposed to US will be activated at a defined frequency, maximizing the delivery of the loaded drug. Use of monodisperse UCA may also improve the efficacy/safety balance by allowing use of lower

acoustic energy to elicit drug release, knowing that all UCA will eventually respond to US exposure at the selected frequency.

 Use of UCA for BBB opening has been described in several reports (Liu et al. [2014](#page-12-0); Choi et al.  $2010$ , most of the tested agents being approved UCA. However, UCA formulation with a defined diameter may improve drug delivery to the brain since it was shown that  $4-5 \mu m$  size was more effective than 1–2 μm UCA, under tested conditions (Choi et al.  $2010$ ). This reinforces again the need to develop manufacturing processes allowing preparation of monodisperse UCA. However, currently the main limitation is the yield of such manufacturing which does not allow a high amount of UCA preparation, meaning that acoustic characterization and *in-vivo* tests are still challenging.

#### **11.2.4 Optimization of Drug Delivery**

 Close contact between UCA and the target tissue should intuitively favor drug delivery, vessel permeability or clot lysis. Thus, a clever way to further improve drug delivery efficiency could be the use of targeting strategies such as either passive or active accumulation. Passive targeting can result from interaction of some shell components with the specific particle's clearance system. This is clearly illustrated by phosphatidylserinecontaining UCA (e.g., Sonazoid<sup>®</sup>), reported as being efficiently taken up by Kupffer cells.

The specificity of this UCA might be increased by adopting a specific targeting strategy for it. These UCA differ from those initially developed for blood pool imaging by the presence of a targeting moiety able to link the bubble to a selected cell biomarker. The general strategy is to link molecular entities to the phospholipid-stabilizing monolayer, allowing the bubbles to remain attached to selected sites in the vascular compartment. Once attached, these bubbles act as echoenhancers, similarly to blood pool agents, since the signal is generated by the bubble itself and not the ligand. This raised important points.

 As the bubbles remain strictly within the vascular compartment, targets must be selected that

are accessible to them *i.e.* on the luminal side of endothelial cells (Bettinger et al. [2012](#page-11-0); Pochon et al.  $2010$ ). Two application areas are well characterized: neoangiogenesis and inflammation, since both involve endothelial cells. Tumoral neoangiogenesis (Deshpande et al. 2010; Willmann et al. 2010), *i.e.*, the formation of new blood vessels, is a fundamental process occurring during tumor progression and is triggered by hypoxia. In the course of the inflammatory process, various cell surface markers are expressed or up-regulated on the endothelial luminal side, and are therefore accessible to targeted microbubbles. Site targeted microbubbles can also be used for the visualization of thrombi associated with stroke.

 The attachment of bubbles to the surface of endothelial cells must be strong enough to withstand vascular areas where shear stress is high due to high blood velocity and viscosity. The attachment could be enhanced by adding radiation force. This improves the interactions between microbubbles themselves and their interactions with endothelial cells. Otherwise, incorporation of magnetic particles within the shell of the core could enhance attachment. By adopting such a strategy, we not only have access to highly specific areas to drive the desired therapeutic effect, but we can also significantly limit adverse events by limiting interaction with non-affected regions.

Efficient ligand-target interaction can be achieved by either using flexible spacers to present the targeting ligand in the most effective way, or by fine-tuning the ligand density at the UCA surface. To improve circulation time and binding specificity, a clever approach of a 'buried ligand' has also been recently proposed (Borden et al. 2006, 2008). Active accumulation could also be achieved by physical means, such as acoustic radiation force (Kheirolomoom et al. 2007; Frinking et al. 2012) or magnetic force to concentrate UCA at the site of treatment (Stride et al. [2009](#page-12-0) ).

#### **11.2.5 Characterization of UCA**

 An important aspect of UCA preparation is the quality control assessment (Fig.  $11.3$ ). This is

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

even more acute in the case of these rather complex UCA-drug constructs. As for regular UCA, size can be determined by electrical zone sensing, using the so-called Coulter Counter. This is a fast and accurate method to measure at the same time UCA concentration, gas volume, size distribution (in volume and number) and UCA surface. Other methods exist, but so far they have proven less suitable than electrical zone sensing due to technical limitation from the natural buoyancy behavior of UCA in liquid.

 Zeta potential is also an important parameter to assess as it provides information on UCA surface charge. It relies on electrophoretic light scattering technology. The magnitude of the zeta potential gives an indication of the potential stability of the formed UCA. If all the UCA particles in suspension have a large negative or positive zeta potential, then they will tend to repel each other. On the other hand, if UCA particles display low zeta potential values, there will be a tendency for the particles to come together, promoting aggregation behavior. This measure could also be useful to monitor adsorption of nucleic acid molecules on positively charged UCA.

 In addition to these physical characterizations, chemical analysis of the shell lipid content is mandatory to ensure that the process of UCA preparation is robust and reproducible. For this purpose, specific analytical methods must be developed to allow titration of each UCA component, as well as the loaded drug. These methods mostly rely on reverse phase High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC). For native lipids (not derivatized), the Evaporative Light-Scattering Detector (ELSD) is far more useful for

lipid titration than the commonly used ultraviolet (UV) detector. Knowing the minute amount of material required for the formation of these stabilized gas microbubbles, this can be a challenging task, and usually requires extensive developments with robust and validated analytical methods. These methods will also be useful for controlling if loaded drug is affected by the manufacturing process. Indeed, drugs could be sensitive toward specific formulation conditions, such as heating and agitation (particularly for the case of probe sonication technique). This is why it is also important to assess whether biological activity of the drug remains unaffected by the formulation process. This is done using specific methods such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), or chromogenic assay for drugs with enzymatic activity (*e.g.*, r-tPa).

 Besides these physico-chemical analyses, acoustic characterization is warranted to ensure that formulated UCA (with or without drug) are US-responsive. This can be achieved by performing backscatter measurement or determining cavitation threshold. In addition, some of these methods will also be useful to study the stability of UCA formulation. For example, evaluating the impact of long-term storage under stress conditions, such as temperature can be assessed on UCA concentration, chemical integrity of shell components, drug bioactivity and acoustic properties.

 Finally, for the screening of drug-loaded UCA formulations in pre-clinical studies, development of analytical methods for assessing efficiency of drug delivery is required. In this trend, a recent report described a LC-MS/MS analysis method that allowed titration of doxorubicin in tumor

 tissue extracts with a limit of detection of 7.8 pg (Ibsen et al.  $2013b$ ). Further to all these considerations and speculation, there is still some controversy about the relative advantage of administering (i) a mixture of drug and UCA or (ii) drug loaded-UCA. The second approach might prove easier, particularly when moving toward preparation of a Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls (CMC) dossier for submission to regulatory authorities. This will be addressed in more detail in the last paragraph of this chapter.

# **11.3 Clinical Translation and Regulatory Issues**

 A major advantage of UCA is their capability to enable site-specific delivery of the drug by selective destruction of UCA with a non-invasive ultrasonic stimulus. However, this technique still presents many obstacles that need to be addressed and solved before moving into the clinic.

 Firstly, a better understanding of the underlying mechanism of this approach is warranted to ensure safe delivery into patients. This is presented in another chapter (see Chap. [9\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-22536-4_9), but so far, many concurrent or challenging hypotheses have been proposed without a unique theory. Even though it is not a pre-requisite to perform *in-vitro* or preclinical tests, the absence of a clear mechanism is a significant barrier for health authorities. This is reinforced by the observation of possible adverse events due to the UCA, the drug or the US beam, meaning that the contribution of each component is clarified. It is a prime importance since bioeffects have been observed in some studies (Vancraeynest et al. [2006](#page-13-0)). Safety assessment for the therapeutic application must be considered differently than for the diagnostic application. Indeed, whereas for diagnostic purposes, absence of or a few bioeffects is mandatory, it is however likely to be different for therapy to a certain extent. Secondly, one of the main limitations of UCA is their relatively low circulation time, typically ranging from 5–15 min, thus limiting their delivery potential. Thirdly, UCA are efficiently captured by organs, such as liver and spleen, raising the issue of unwanted

 accumulation in non-targeted organs/tissues that could become detrimental to the patient.

 Preparing UCA loaded with a therapeutic agent, and possibly a ligand, for targeting can prove to be complex to manage for CMC dossier preparation. In particular, it is difficult to precisely measure the amount of drug loaded onto UCA, and to develop a process allowing reproducible preparation of such drug-loaded UCA. In that sense, a thorough evaluation of the advantage of loading drug onto UCA over co-injecting of free drug and UCA must be done. Preparation of drug-loaded UCA will lead to a new chemical entity, implying development of a complete process of manufacturing complying with GMP regulations. In contrast, use of approved drug and UCA could present some advantages in terms of development time and cost, if efficacy compared to drug-UCA complex is demonstrated in pre- clinical studies. However, it is also important to obtain authorities guidance on this approach since the use of targeting procedures for increasing local delivery will modify the natural metabolism of the approved drug. Therefore, this point must be carefully addressed during the course of the development of this new therapeutic method in terms of local and circulating concentration, changes in metabolic pathways and therapeutic dose.

 In terms of quality control of drug- loaded UCA for chemical conjugation, it will be easier if conjugation occurs prior to UCA preparation. This will enable thorough chemical characterization of the conjugate, and ensure that the conjugation process did not alter biological activity of the therapeutic agent. One advantage of co-administrating the therapeutic agent and UCA is that there is no limitation in terms of drug dose, unlike what can be experienced with drug-loaded UCA.

 From a regulatory point of view, the gas microbubble is considered as the active entity, meaning that each of the microbubble components should be fully characterized. Furthermore, the manufacture of clinical materials should be carried out in compliance with the Good Manufacturing Practice. With respect to the formulation characteristics, the selection of the ingredients is of outmost importance since the use of specific components should be validated for these new drug delivery systems for parenteral administration. In that perspective,



 **Fig. 11.4** Pre-clinical steps towards UCA clinical development for therapeutic use

the retained formulation for clinical trials must be challenged before finalization, as changing any of the components at a later stage could be difficult, even impossible, and costly.

Once the formulation is finalized, many steps must be accomplished before any clinical use: robustness of the manufacturing process, stability of the product and validation of the test methods. An additional requirement is a pharma-toxicology package that follows the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines. Even though adverse events cannot be considered as a limiting factor for the use of UCA in ultrasound imaging, the introduction of therapeutic drugs in addition of other materials in the bubble requires specific toxicology assessment. For conventional agents, it is generally admitted that the rate of these events (around 0.01 % for serious adverse events based on post-marketing safety data, with no significant differences between agents) is below what is reported for iodinated compounds and MR agents. Even though the therapeutic field is exposed to higher rates of adverse events in relation to the intrinsic nature of the interaction with tissues, it is essential to demonstrate that the risk/benefit ratio remains positive and higher than without the introduction of UCA and US. Some key points need to be investigated, such as allergic reactions to foreign materials, maximal tolerable dose in animals to determine the maximal dose to be used in patients, and the absence of compromised blood flow after injection due to sticking of UCA to endothelial cells outside the therapeutic area. These different steps are timeconsuming and expensive, and can be summarized as illustrated in Fig. 11.4 .

 Finally, when the steps above have been completed, the agent is suitable for clinical testing pending Investigational New Drug Application and Institutional Review Board (IRB) or ethical committee approval for the selected indication. Regulatory approval must be carefully considered since three components are closely interacting; the microbubble, the therapeutic drug and ultrasound waves. Whether we can precisely characterize the microbubble constituents and the acoustic parameters, the use of various therapeutic drugs is still a barrier. Indeed, do we need to get an approval of this device whatever the drug is, this being valid for the injection of free drug only, or do we need to get an approval for each individual drug to be used? The use of drugloaded systems should expose us to a different regulatory pathway, which must be drug-specific.

 A key component, which is not discussed in the present chapter, is the acoustic contribution to the desired effect. As such, there is a need to adapt the machines to this new modality, but in the same this is changing the nature of the equipment, which is moving from a pure diagnostic field to the therapeutic field. This means different requirements and higher regulatory constraints. In that perspective, a strong partnership is needed to exploit the potential of this therapeutic approach, and to strengthen the place of UCA in the diagnostic palette so they can be used by physicians according to their specific demand.

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span> **Conclusion**

 Drug delivery mediated using microbubbleassisted ultrasound is a promising therapeutic approach. The fact that ultrasound is a noninvasive technique, that can transmit energy deep into a discrete region of tissue or organ, is a key feature for enabling local drug delivery. In addition to the drug-delivery capabilities, one must bear in mind that UCA are also imaging agents, giving rise to the concept of therapy and diagnostics with one single agent, so-called 'theranostics'.

 Future improvements are warranted to pave the way for a clinical translation of this innovative therapeutic approach; (i) manufacture of UCA for longer circulation time and higher loading capacity, (ii) development of specific US protocols and (iii) tackling regulatory hurdles for clinical use in safe conditions. This requires a multi-disciplinary approach, with a close collaboration with ultrasound and drug pharma companies in order to sequentially address the different challenges with a clinical objective. The limited number of drugs tested in these conditions, together with the absence of clinical results, represents a significant drawback, indicating that clinical approval of this method will require at least 5 additional years. Emerging formulation based on microfluidics could become a disruptive technology, by allowing the manufacturing of UCA on spot, using FDA-approved devices. This will completely change the game in the field of UCA, since some features considered as important when using regular manufacturing processes could be obsolete for microfluidicsbased preparation (e.g., long-term stability).

# **References**

- Aryal M, Arvanitis CD, Alexander PM, McDannold N (2014) Ultrasound-mediated blood-brain barrier disruption for targeted drug delivery in the central nervous system. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 72:94–109
- Bettinger T, Bussat P, Tardy I, Pochon S, Hyvelin JM, Emmel P, Henrioud S, Biolluz N, Willmann JK, Schneider M, Tranquart F (2012) Ultrasound molecular imaging contrast agent binding to both E- and

P-selectin in different species. Invest Radiol 47: 516–523

- Bloch SH, Wan M, Dayton P, Ferrara KW (2003) Optical observation of lipid- and polymer-shelled ultrasound microbubble contrast agents. Appl Phys Lett 84:631–633
- Borden MA, Sarantos MR, Stieger SM, Simon SI, Ferrara KW, Dayton PA (2006) Ultrasound radiation force modulates ligand availability on targeted contrast agents. Mol Imaging 5:139–147
- Borden MA, Zhang H, Gillies RJ, Dayton PA, Ferrara KW (2008) A stimulus-responsive contrast agent for ultrasound molecular imaging. Biomaterials 29: 597–606
- Burns PN, Wilson SR, Simpson DH (2000) Pulse inversion imaging of liver blood flow: improved method for characterizing focal masses with microbubble contrast. Invest Radiol 35:58–71
- Chappell JC, Song J, Burke CW, Klibanov AL, Price RJ (2008) Targeted delivery of nanoparticles bearing fibroblast growth factor-2 by ultrasonic microbubble destruction for therapeutic arteriogenesis. Small 4:1769–1777
- Chinol M, Casalini P, Maggiolo M, Canevari S, Omodeo ES, Caliceti P, Veronese FM, Cremonesi M, Chiolerio F, Nardone E, Siccardi AG, Paganelli G (1998) Biochemical modifications of avidin improve pharmacokinetics and biodistribution, and reduce immunogenicity. Br J Cancer 78:189–197
- Choi JJ, Feshitan JA, Baseri B, Wang S, Tung YS, Borden MA, Konofagou EE (2010) Microbubble-size dependence of focused ultrasound-induced blood-brain barrier opening in mice in vivo. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 57:145–154
- De Saint Victor V, Crake C, Coussios CC, Stride E (2014) Properties, characteristics and applications of microbubbles for sonothrombolysis. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 11:187–209
- Deshpande N, Pysz MA, Willmann JK (2010) Molecular ultrasound assessment of tumor angiogenesis. Angiogenesis 13:175–188
- Escoffre JM, Novell A, Serriere S, Lecomte T, Bouakaz A (2013) Irinotecan delivery by microbubble-assisted ultrasound: in vitro validation and a pilot preclinical study. Mol Pharm 10:2667–2675
- Frinking PJ, Tardy I, Theraulaz M, Arditi M, Powers J, Pochon S, Tranquart F (2012) Effects of acoustic radiation force on the binding efficiency of BR55, a VEGFR2-specific ultrasound contrast agent. Ultrasound Med Biol 38:1460–1469
- Hettiarachchi K, Zhang S, Feingold S, Lee AP, Dayton PA (2009) Controllable microfluidic synthesis of multiphase drug-carrying lipospheres for site-targeted therapy. Biotechnol Prog 25:938–945
- Husseini GA, Diaz de la Rosa MA, Richardson ES, Christensen DA, Pitt WG (2005) The role of cavitation in acoustically activated drug delivery. J Control Release 107:253–261
- Ibsen S, Benchimol M, Simberg D, Schutt C, Steiner J, Esener S (2011) A novel nested liposome drug delivery vehicle capable of ultrasound triggered release of its payload. J Control Release 155:358–366
- <span id="page-12-0"></span> Ibsen S, Schutt CE, Esener S (2013a) Microbubblemediated ultrasound therapy: a review of its potential in cancer treatment. Drug Des Devel Ther 7:375–388
- Ibsen S, Su Y, Norton J, Zahavy E, Hayashi T, Adams S, Wrasidio W, Esener S (2013b) Extraction protocol and mass spectrometry method for quantification of doxorubicin released locally from prodrugs in tumor tissue. J Mass Spectrom 48:768–773
- Kheirolomoom A, Dayton PA, Lum AF, Little E, Paoli EE, Zheng H, Ferrara KW (2007) Acoustically-active microbubbles conjugated to liposomes: characterization of a proposed drug delivery vehicle. J Control Release 118:275–284
- Kiessling F, Fokong S, Bzyl J, Lederle W, Palmowski M, Lammers T (2014) Recent advances in molecules, multimodal and theranostic ultrasound imaging. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 72:15–27
- Kim DH, Costello MJ, Duncan PB, Needham D (2003) Mechanical properties and microstructure of polycrystalline phospholipid monolayer shells: novel solid microparticles. Langmuir 19:8455–8466
- Kitzman DW, Goldman ME, Gillam LD, Cohen JL, Aurigemma GP, Gottdiener JS (2000) Efficacy and safety of the novel ultrasound contrast agent perflutren (definity) in patients with suboptimal baseline left ventricular echocardiographic images. Am J Cardiol 86:669–674
- Kooiman K, Kokhuis TJA, van Rooij T, Skachkov I, Nigg A, Bosch JG, van der Steen AFW, van Cappellen WA, de Jong N (2014) DSPC or DPPC as main shell component influences ligand distribution and binding area of lipid-coated targeted microbubbles. Eur J Lipid Sci Technol 116:1217–1227
- Kotopoulis S, Delalande A, Popa M, Mamaeva V, Dimcevski G, Gilja OH, Postema M, Gjertsen BT, McCormack E (2014) Sonoporation-enhanced chemotherapy significantly reduces primary tumour burden in an orthotopic pancreatic cancer xenograft. Mol Imaging Biol 16:53–62
- Kotopoulis S, Dimcevski G, Gilja OH, Hoem D, Postema M (2013) Treatment of human pancreatic cancer using combined ultrasound, microbubbles, and gemcitabine: a clinical case study. Med Phys 40:072902
- Lassau N, Chami L, Benatsou B, Peronneau P, Roche A (2007) Dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (DCE-US) with quantification of tumor perfusion: a new diagnostic tool to evaluate the early effects of antiangiogenic treatment. Eur Radiol 17(Suppl 6):F89–F98
- Lensen D, Gelderblom EC, Vriezema DM, Marmottant P, Verdonschot N, Versluis M, de Jong N, van Hest JCM (2011) Biodegradable polymeric microcapsules for selective ultrasound-triggered drug release. Soft Matter 7:5417–5422
- Lentacker I, De Geest BG, Vandenbroucke RE, Peeters L, Demeester J, De Smedt SC, Sanders NN (2006) Ultrasound-responsive polymer-coated microbubbles that bind and protect DNA. Langmuir 22: 7273–7278
- Lentacker I, De Cock I, Deckers R, De Smedt SC, Moonen CT (2014) Understanding ultrasound induced sono-

poration: definitions and underlying mechanisms. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 72C:49–64

- Liu HL, Fan CH, Ting CY, Yeh CK (2014) Combining microbubbles and ultrasound for drug delivery to brain tumors: current progress and overview. Theranostics 4:432–444
- Molina CA, Ribo M, Rubiera M, Montaner J, Santamarina E, Delgado-Mederos R, Arenillas JF, Huertas R, Purroy F, Delgado P, Alvarez-Sabin J (2006) Microbubble administration accelerates clot lysis during continuous 2-MHz ultrasound monitoring in stroke patients treated with intravenous tissue plasminogen activator. Stroke 37: 425–429
- Mullin LB, Phillips LC, Dayton PA (2013) Nanoparticle delivery enhancement with acoustically activated microbubbles. IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control 60:65–77
- Newman CM, Bettinger T (2007) Gene therapy progress and prospects: ultrasound for gene transfer. Gene Ther 14:465–475
- Nomikou N, Fowley C, Byrne NM, McCaughan B, McHale AP, Callan JF (2012) Microbubblesonosensitiser conjugates as therapeutics in sonodynamic therapy. Chem Commun (Camb) 48: 8332–8334
- Pochon S, Tardy I, Bussat P, Bettinger T, Brochot J, von Wronski M, Passantino L, Schneider M (2010) BR55: a lipopeptide-based VEGFR2-targeted ultrasound contrast agent for molecular imaging of angiogenesis. Invest Radiol 45:89–95
- Rapoport N, Nam KH, Gupta R, Nam KH, Gupta R, Gao Z, Mohan P, Payne A, Todd N, Liu X, Kim T, Shea J, Scaife C, Parker DL, Jeong EK, Kennedy AM (2011) Ultrasoundmediated tumor imaging and nanotherapy using drug loaded, block copolymer stabilized perfluorocarbon nanoemulsions. J Control Release 153:4–15
- Rapoport NY, Kennedy AM, Shea JE, Scaife CL, Nam KH (2009) Controlled and targeted tumor chemotherapy by ultrasound-activated nanoemulsions/microbubbles. J Control Release 138:268–276
- Rychak JJ, Klibanov AL (2014) Nucleic acid delivery with microbubbles and ultrasound. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 72C:82–93
- Senior R, Andersson O, Caidahl K, Carlen P, Herregods MC, Jenni R, Kenny A, Melcher A, Svedenhag J, Vanoverschelde JL, Wandt B, Widgren BR, Williams G, Guerret P, la Rosee K, Agati L, Bezante G (2000) Enhanced left ventricular endocardial border delineation with an intravenous injection of SonoVue, a new echocardiographic contrast agent: a european multicenter study. Echocardiography 17:705–711
- Sirsi SR, Borden MA (2014) State-of-the-art materials for ultrasound-triggered drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 72C:3–14
- Stride E, Porter C, Prieto AG, Pankhurst Q (2009) Enhancement of microbubble mediated gene delivery by simultaneous exposure to ultrasonic and magnetic fields. Ultrasound Med Biol 35:861-868
- Tranquart F, Le Gouge A, Correas JM, Ladam Marcu V, Manzoni P, Vilgrain V, Aube C, Bellin MF, Chami L,

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Claudon M, Cuilleron M, Drouillard J, Gallix B, Lucidarme O, Marion D, Rode A, Tasu JP, Trillaud H, Fayault A, Rusch E, Giraudeau B (2008) Role of contrast-enhanced ultrasound in the blinded assessment of focal liver lesions in comparison with MDCT and CEMRI: results from a multicentre clinical trial. Eur J Cancer Suppl 6:9–15

- Unger E, Porter T, Lindner J, Grayburn P (2014) Cardiovascular drug delivery with ultrasound and microbubbles. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 72C: 110–126
- VanBavel E (2007) Effects of shear stress on endothelial cells: possible relevance for ultrasound applications. Prog Biophys Mol Biol 93:374–383
- Vancraeynest D, Havaux X, Pouleur AC, Pasquet A, Gerber B, Beauloye C, Rafter P, Bertrand L, Vanoverschelde JL (2006) Myocardial delivery of colloid nanoparticles using ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction. Eur Heart J 27:237–245
- Wang DS, Panje C, Pysz MA, Paulmurugan R, Rosenberg J, Gambhir SS, Schneider M, Willman JK (2012) Cationic versus neutral microbubbles for ultrasound- mediated gene delivery in cancer. Radiology 264:721–732
- Willmann JK, Kimura RH, Deshpande N, Lutz AM, Cochran JR, Gambhir SS (2010) Targeted contrastenhanced ultrasound imaging of tumor angiogenesis with contrast microbubbles conjugated to integrinbinding knottin peptides. J Nucl Med 51:433–440